

Bayes Estimator

Given θ , X_1, \dots, X_n , iid $\sim f(x|\theta)$.

prior distribution $g(\theta)$.

joint distribution: $f(x_1, \dots, x_n|\theta)g(\theta)$

posterior $g(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Estimator $T = h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

Loss: $L(t, \theta)$

e.g., $L(t, \theta) = (t - \theta)^2$, $L(t, \theta) = |t - \theta|$.

Risk: $R(T, \theta) = E_\theta L(T, \theta)$

Bayes risk of T is

$$R(T, g) = \int_{\Theta} R(T, \theta)g(\theta)d\theta.$$

T is Bayes estimator if

$$R(T, g) = \inf_{\mathcal{T}} R(T, g).$$

To minimize Bayes risk, we only need to minimize the conditional expected loss for each x .

Binomial model

$$X \sim \text{binom}(x|n, \theta)$$

prior $g(\theta) \sim \text{unif}(0, 1)$.

posterior $g(\theta|x) \sim \text{beta}(x + 1, n - x + 1)$.

For squared error loss, the Bayes estimator is

posterior mean $\frac{x+1}{n+2}$.

If X is a random variable, choose c

$$\min E(X - c)^2.$$

$$c = E(X)$$

Prior distributions

Where do prior distributions come from?

- * a prior knowledge about θ
- * population interpretation—(a population of possible θ values).
- * mathematical convenience (conjugate prior)

conjugate distribution— the prior and the posterior distribution are in the same parametric family.

Conjugate prior distribution

Advantages:

- * mathematically convenient
- * easy to interpret
- * can provide good approximation to many prior opinions (especially if we allow mixtures of distributions from the conjugate family)

Disadvantages:

may not be realistic

Binomial model

$$X|\theta \sim \text{bin}(n, \theta)$$

$$\theta \sim \text{beta}(\alpha, \beta).$$

$$g(\theta|x) = \text{beta}(x + \alpha, n - x + \beta).$$

$$\text{posterior mean is } \hat{\theta} = \frac{x + \alpha}{n + \alpha + \beta}.$$