## State of the Black Sea Spiny Dogfish (Squalus acanthias Linnaeus, 1758

## 1. Basic Identification Data

| Scientific name: Squalus <br> acanthias | Common name: Spiny/Picked <br> Dogfish | ISSCAAP Group: 38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Geographical sub-area: 29 |  |  |
| Stock assessment method: trawl survey, VIT and YPRLEN 2.1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Authors: Gheorghe RADU and Valodea MAXIMOV with consultancy for data from STECF/BS <br> stock assessment working group |  |  |
| Affiliation:National Institute for Marine Research and Development "Grigore Antipa" Constanta |  |  |

## 2. Stock identification and biological information

### 2.1 Stock unit

Piked dogfish inhabits the whole Black Sea shelf at the water temperatures 6 $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - Fig. 2.1.1 and Fig 2.1.2. It undertakes extensive migrations. In autumn feeding migrations are aimed at the grounds of the formation of the wintering concentrations of anchovy and horse mackerel in the vicinity of the Crimean, Caucasus and Anatolian coasts. With their disintegration picked dogfish disperses all over the shelf. Reproductive migrations of viviparous picked dogfish take place towards the coastal shallows with two peaks of intensity - in spring and autumn. The autumn migration for reproduction covers more individuals usually (Radu G.and Radu E., 2008).

Piked dogfish belongs to long-living and viviparous fish, therefore reproduction process includes copulation and birth of fries. Near the coasts of Bulgaria, Georgia, Romania, Russian Federation and Ukraine the intense spawning season is in March-May. Two peaks of birth of juveniles can be distinguished - spring period (April-May) and summer-autumn (August-September, Serobaba et al., 1988). To give birth of juveniles the females approach the coastal zone in depth $10-30 \mathrm{~m}$ (Maklakova, Taranenko, 1974). At this time males keep separately from females in depth $30-50 \mathrm{~m}$. The birth of picked dogfish juveniles takes place at the temperature of water $12-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In autumn piked dogfish aggregates into large schools, accompanying anchovy and horse mackerel, which migrate to wintering grounds along eastern and western coast. During wintering the densest concentrations of picked dogfish are observed, where picked dogfish feeds intensively. They are associated, above all, with major wintering areas of anchovy in the waters of Georgia and Turkey.

In the North-western Black Sea in the waters of Ukraine and Romania in depth from $70-80 \mathrm{~m}$ down to $100-120 \mathrm{~m}$ abundant wintering concentrations of picked dogfish are also observed, where they are located on the grounds of whiting and sprat concentrations (Kirnosova, Lushnicova, 1990).

(Radu G., 2003)

Fig. 21.1 Distribution and migration routes of the piked dogfish at Romanian littoral (Radu et al.,2008, 2010).

(Ivanov L. and Beverton R.J.H., 1985)
Fig. 2.1.2 Distribution and migration routes of the piked dogfish at Black Sea level.

### 2.2 Growth and maturity

Piked dogfish is a major demersal predator, reaching in the Black Sea the length of about 1.50 m . According to investigations conducted in former USSR waters, Kirnosova, (1993) found that the piked dogfish maximum age is 20 years. The parameters in VBGF and natural mortality parameters are:
Males: $\mathrm{K}=0.029 \mathrm{t}_{0}=-3.84 ; \mathrm{L}_{\infty}=272 \mathrm{~cm} ; \mathrm{W}_{\infty}=47 \mathrm{~kg} ; \mathrm{M}=0.20 \div 0.23$
Females: $\mathrm{K}=0.026 \mathrm{t}_{0}=-3.32 ; \mathrm{L}_{\infty}=303 \mathrm{~cm} ; \mathrm{W}_{\infty}=196 \mathrm{~kg} ; \mathrm{M}=0.15 \div 0.20$
Age and length, at which $50 \%$ of individuals are mature, are 10.49 years and 87.57 cm for males and 11.99 years and 102.97 cm for females, respectively. Mean biennial fecundity is 19.4 eggs and 12.9 pups. The linear relationship between fecundity and length is: $F_{e}=0.09 \times$ TLp $+2.12(r=0.5)$ for pups and $F_{0}=0.27 \times T \operatorname{Lp}-21.59(r=0.7)$ for eggs
(Demirhan and Seyhan, 2007).
Ukrainian data for the period 1971-2001 are: $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}=282$; $\mathrm{t}_{0}=-3.6684$ (year); a = $0.00000677 ; b=2.9593$. For period $2002-2012 a=0.00000640 ; b=3.0000$

Romanian data for 2011 are the following: $L \infty=136.3 \mathrm{~cm}$; $\mathrm{t}_{0}=-1.30$ (year); $\mathrm{a}=$ $0.0117 ; \mathrm{b}=2.76694 ; \mathrm{k}=0.191$ (year $^{-1}$ ); $\mathrm{M}=0.258$ (Radu, 2011).

Life-history parameters and food diet of picked dogfish (Squalus acanthias) from the SE Black Sea were studied (Demirhan and Seyhan, 2007). Picked dogfish at age 1 to 14 years old were observed, with dominance of 8 years old individuals for both sexes. The length-weight relationship was $\mathrm{W}=0.0040^{*} \mathrm{~L}^{2.95}$ and the mean annual linear and somatic growth rates were 7.2 cm and 540.1 g , respectively. The estimated parameters in VBGF were: $\mathrm{W}_{\infty}=12021$ (g), $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}=157$ (cm), $\mathrm{K}=0.12$ ( year $^{-1}$ ) and $\mathrm{t}_{0}=-1.30$ (year). The size at first maturity was 82 cm for males and 88 cm for females. Mean biennial fecundity was also found to be 8 pups per female. The relationships fecundity-length, fecundity-weight and fecundity-age were found to be:
$F=-17.0842+0.2369 * \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{r}=0.93)$
$F=0.3780+0.0018^{*} W(r=0.89)$
$F=-0.7859+1.1609^{*} \mathrm{~A}(r=0.94)$, respectively.
In conformity with Ukrainian data, the maturity ogive for last years is the following:

| Year/ <br> Age | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 0.95 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

Maturity ogive from Romanian data (Radu et al., 2011b)

| Year/ <br> Age | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.7 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table2. 1: Maximum size, size at first maturity and size at recruitment at Romanian littoral in 20102011

| Somatic magnitude measured (LH, LC, etc) ${ }^{*}$ | Lt | Units $^{*}$ | cm |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sex |  | Fem | Mal | Both | Unsexed |  |  |
| Maximum size <br> observed |  |  | 157 |  | Reproduction <br> season | Spring and autumn |  |
| Size at first maturity | 88 | 82 | 85 |  | Reproduction areas | BS shelh, coastal <br> zone |  |
| Recruitment size |  |  | 75 |  | Nursery areas | coastal zone |  |

Table-2.2: Growth and length weight model parameters


| M (vector by <br> length or age) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{M}=0.1-0.2$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| sex ratio <br> (\% females/total) | $15.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |

In Romanian waters the overall sex ratio of males was significantly positive with a rate of $84.29 \%$ compared to only 15.61 females (Maximov et al., 2008b; 2010a,b). In Bulgarian waters, the majority of the piked dogfish were females.


Fig.2.2.1: Structure on length classes for dogfish in 2011, total catches in Romanian area

## 3. Fisheries information

### 3.1 Description of the fleet

3.1.1 Table Bulgarian and Romanian Dogfish catches in 2008-2011

| Country | Year | Vessel length | Gear | Mesh size range | Fishery | GSA | Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catche } \\ & \text { (t) } \end{aligned}$ | Discards <br> (t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUL | 2008 | VL0006 | LLS | 400DXX | DEMSP | SA 29 | DGS | 0.7 | 0 |
| BUL | 2008 | VL0612 | LLS | 400DXX | DEMSP | SA 29 | DGS | 7.9 | 0 |
| BUL | 2008 | VL1218 | LLS | 400DXX | DEMSP | SA 29 | DGS | 7.3 | 0 |
| BUL | 2008 | VL1824 | OTM | 20D40 | SPF | SA 29 | DGS | 6.8 | 0 |
| BUL | 2009 | VL2440 | OTM | 20D40 | SPF | SA 29 | DGS | 31.4 | 0 |
| BUL | 2009 | VL0006 | LLS | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 2.4 | 0 |
| BUL | 2009 | VL0612 | LLS | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 3.4 | 0 |
| BUL | 2009 | VL1218 | LLS | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 2.7 | 0 |
| BUL | 2010 | VL1218 | OTM | 20D40 | SPF | SA 29 | DGS | 53 | 0 |
| BUL | 2010 | VL1824 | OTM | 20D40 | SPF | SA 29 | DGS | 2.9 | 0 |


| BUL | 2010 | VL0006 | LLS | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 3.9 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUL | 2010 | VL0612 | LLS | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 50.8 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL0612 | LLS | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 4.9 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL0612 | LLD | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 8.8 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL0612 | OTM | 20D40 | SPF | SA 29 | DGS | 1 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL1218 | GNS | 50D100 | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 1 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL1218 | LLS | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 23.4 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL1218 | OTM | 20D40 | SPF | SA 29 | DGS | 6.4 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL1218 | LLD | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 19.9 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL1824 | LLS | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 9.9 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL1824 | OTM | 20D40 | SPF | SA 29 | DGS | 1.9 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL1824 | LLD | 400DXX | MDPSP | SA 29 | DGS | 1.3 | 0 |
| BUL | 2011 | VL2440 | OTM | 20D40 | SPF | SA 29 | DGS | 3018 | 0 |
| ROM | 2008 | VL1224 | GNS | 100D400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 1.054 | 0 |
| ROM | 2008 | VL0612 | GNS | 100D400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 8.079 | 0.103 |
| ROM | 2008 | VL0006 | GNS | 100D400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 1.047 | 0 |
| ROM | 2009 | VL2440 | GNS | 100D400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 0.415 | 0 |
| ROM | 2009 | VL1824 | GNS | 100D400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 0.06 | 0 |
| ROM | 2009 | VL0612 | GNS | 100D400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 3.763 | 0 |
| ROM | 2009 | VL0006 | GNS | 100D400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 0.092 | 0 |
| ROM | 2010 | VL0612 | GNS | 100 D 400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 3.069 | 0 |
| ROM | 2011 | VL1824 | GNS | 100D400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 0.5 | 0 |
| ROM | 2011 | VL0612 | LLS | none | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 1.766 | 0 |
| ROM | 2011 | VL0612 | GNS | 100D400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 1.544 | 0.26 |
| ROM | 2011 | VL0006 | GNS | 100D400 | DEMF | SA 29 | DGS | 0.155 | 0 |

In 2011, only Romania gives data regarding number of gillnets on vessel length:
Table 3.1.2 Number of fishing gillnets for dogfish in the Romanian area

| Vessel length (m) | Number of gillnets for <br> dogfish |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<6 \mathrm{~m}$ | 10 |
| $6-12 \mathrm{~m}$ | 205 |
| $18-24 \mathrm{~m}$ | 50 |
| Total | 265 |

In last years, only Romania gives data regarding commercial CPUE for 2009-2011 period and CPUE in at sea surveys for 2010 and 2011.

Table 3.1.3 Romanian CPUE in commercial fishing.

| YEAR | Fishing | CPUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |
| LOA | $6-12$ | gillnets |
| LOA | $0.24 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{gear} /$ day |  |
| LOA | 24-40 | gillnets |
| gillnets | $0.40 \mathrm{~kg} /$ gear/day |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |  |


| LOA | $6-12$ | gillnets | $0.18 \mathrm{~kg} /$ gear/day |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2011 |  |  |  |
| LOA | $6-12$ | gillnets | $0.248 \mathrm{~kg} /$ gear/day |
| LOA | $18-24$ | gillnets | $0.91 \mathrm{~kg} /$ gear/day |

3.3 Catches as used in the assessment
3.3.1 Catches as used in the assessment - 2011 data (N)

| Age <br> class | Bulgaria | Georgia | Romania | Russian <br> Federation | Turkey | Ukraine |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 36 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 29 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 152 | 6 | 16 | 14 | 106 | 122 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 168 | 7 | 18 | 16 | 117 | 134 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 460 | 18 | 48 | 44 | 320 | 369 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 633 | 25 | 67 | 60 | 441 | 508 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 555 | 22 | 58 | 53 | 387 | 446 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 752 | 30 | 79 | 71 | 524 | 604 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 604 | 24 | 63 | 57 | 420 | 484 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 652 | 26 | 69 | 62 | 454 | 523 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 869 | 34 | 91 | 82 | 605 | 697 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 503 | 20 | 53 | 48 | 350 | 403 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 190 | 7 | 20 | 18 | 132 | 152 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 48 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 34 | 39 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5622 | 222 | 591 | 533 | 3914 | 4511 |

3.3.2 Catches as used in the assessment - average 1989-2011 data (N)

| Age <br> class | Bulgaria | Georgia | Romania | Russian <br> Federation | Turkey | Ukraine |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0 | 60 | 0 | 34 | 1019 | 232 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 0 | 253 | 0 | 143 | 4298 | 979 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 0 | 279 | 0 | 157 | 4744 | 1081 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0 | 767 | 0 | 432 | 13021 | 2967 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 0 | 1055 | 0 | 595 | 17924 | 4083 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 2}$ | 926 | 133 | 522 | 15727 | 3583 |


| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 595 | 1254 | 170 | 707 | 21299 | 4852 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 3039 | 1007 | 871 | 568 | 17096 | 3895 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 2180 | 1087 | 625 | 613 | 18465 | 4207 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 198 | 1449 | 57 | 817 | 24609 | 5607 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 132 | 838 | 38 | 472 | 14231 | 3242 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 0 | 317 | 0 | 179 | 5380 | 1226 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 0 | 81 | 0 | 45 | 1369 | 312 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 6 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 2 6 5}$ |

### 3.2 Historical trends

In the Black Sea the largest catches of piked dogfish are along the coasts of Turkey, although this fish is not a target species of fisheries, being yielded as by-catch in trawl and purse seine operations mainly in the wintering period. In the 1989-1995 annual catches of Turkey are 1055-4558 t (Shlyakhov, Daskalov, 2008). In subsequent years, they have decreased about 2 times and did not exceed 2400 t .

In the waters of Ukraine most of piked dogfish is harvested in spring and autumn months by target fishing with gill-nets of 100 mm mesh-size, long-lines, and as by-catch of sprat trawl fisheries. As in Turkish waters, in the last 20 years the maximum annual catches of picked dogfish are observed in 1989-1995, reaching 1200-1300 t. After 1994 the catches went down being between 20 and 200 t .

In the rest of countries piked dogfish is harvested mainly as by-catch, annual catches are usually lower than the Ukraine. The maximum annual catches of picked dogfish in 1989-2005 were: Bulgaria - 126 t (2001), Georgia - 550 t (1998), Romania - 52 t (1992), Russian Federation - 183 t (1990).

It should be noted that in the waters of Bulgaria, the highest catches were observed in the early 2000's. In Romania dogfish is caught mainly as by-catch of the sprat trawl fishery. The catches decreased very much because of decreasing of the trawling effort (Maximov et al., 2008, 2010; Radu et al., 2009, 2010).

In Turkey piked dogfish lost its commercial importance in recent years. In the last 20 years, the decrease of dogfish landing may be may be due to over-fishing (Demirhan , phD thesis,)

Table 3.2 Picked Dogfish catches in the Black Sea area (BSC2011, BS stock assessment working group, 2011,2012)

| Year | Bulgaria | Georgia | Romania | Russian <br> Federation | Turkey | Ukraine | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | 28 | 217 | 30 | 135 | 4558 | 1191 | $\mathbf{6 1 5 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 16 | 128 | 45 | 183 | 1059 | 1330 | $\mathbf{2 7 6 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 21 | 18 | 26 | 67 | 2017 | 775 | $\mathbf{2 9 2 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 15 | 14 | 52 | 15 | 2220 | 595 | $\mathbf{2 9 1 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 12 | 131 | 6 | 5 | 1055 | 409 | $\mathbf{1 6 1 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 12 | 45 | 2 | 11 | 2432 | 148 | $\mathbf{2 6 5 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 80 | 31 | 7 | 90 | 1562 | 67 | $\mathbf{1 8 3 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 64 | 71 | 5 | 19 | 1748 | 44 | $\mathbf{1 9 5 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 40 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 1510 | 20 | $\mathbf{1 5 8 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 28 | 550 | 5 | 6 | 855 | 38 | $\mathbf{1 4 8 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 25 | 18 | 5 | 9 | 1478 | 94 | $\mathbf{1 6 2 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 102 | 21 | 5 | 12 | 2390 | 71 | $\mathbf{2 6 0 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 126 | 27 | 5 | 27 | 576 | 134 | $\mathbf{8 9 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 100 | 65 | 5 | 19 | 316 | 97 | $\mathbf{6 0 2}$ |


| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 51.3 | 40 | 5 | 29 | 184 | 172 | $\mathbf{4 8 1 . 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 47.2 | 31 | 5 | 34 | 211 | 93 | $\mathbf{4 2 1 . 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 14.5 | 35 | 5 | 19 | 102 | 75 | $\mathbf{2 5 0 . 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 6.226 | 10 | 9 | 17 | 193 | 67 | $\mathbf{3 0 2 . 2 2 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 23.98 | 2 | 17 | 32 | 91 | 45 | $\mathbf{2 1 0 . 9 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 22.75 | 0.4 | 10 | 59 | 35 | 79 | $\mathbf{2 0 6 . 1 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 9.46 | 1.5 | 4 | 14 | 159 | 47 | $\mathbf{2 3 4 . 9 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 42 | 1.5 | 3 | 8.54 | 16 | 27 | $\mathbf{9 8 . 0 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 38.06 | 1.5 | 4 | 3.61 | 26.5 | 30.537 | $\mathbf{1 0 4 . 2 0 7}$ |

### 3.3 Management regulations

Romanian fisheries regulatory framework includes between others the following laws:

- Law on Fishing Fund, Fishery and Aquaculture No. 23 /2008;
- Annual Order on the Fishing Prohibition;
- Order no. 342/2008 on minimal size of the aquatic living resources;
- Order nr. 449/2008 on technical characteristics and practice conditions for fishing gears used in the commercial fishing.

Regarding Spiny dogfish, for protecting the reproduction and rehabilitation of the stock were adopted the following measures (Radu G. and Nicolaev S., 2010):

- in period April - June, 60 days, the fishing is prohibited;
- it is banned to use the trawl in marine zone under the 20 m depths;
- mesh size for dogfish gillnets: $\mathrm{a}=100 \mathrm{~mm}, 2 \mathrm{a}=200 \mathrm{~mm}$;
- minimum admissible length in catches is 120 cm (TL)

In the Black Sea Fishes list IUCN status presented on the Black Sea Commission website (www.blacksea-commission.org) is included and categorized Squalus acanthias as follows (Table 3.3.1.1) in the BSC, 2011:

Table 3.31.1. .The IUCN status of spiny dogfish in the Black Sea countries

| Country | BG | GE | RO | RF | TR | UK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IUCN <br> status | N/A | LC | NT | N/A | EN | NT |

LC - least concerned; NT- near threatened; EN- endangered; N/A - no data

### 3.4 Reference points

| Reference <br> Points | F | YPR | SSB/R | TSB/R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F-zero | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 19036.640242 | 27482.638943 |
| F0.1 | 0.227000 | 1630.065081 | 7333.681895 | 15746.881160 |
| F-max | 1.100000 | 1854.713847 | 1905.248354 | 10231.715141 |
| F at 25\% <br> of MSP | 0.389000 | 1790.293295 | 4768.058109 | 13161.086947 |

Mean Fc $=0.255$ for the period 1989-2011
Mean Fc = 0.262 for 2011

## 4 Fisheries independent information

4.1 Swept area method
4.1.1 Brief description of the chosen method and assumptions used

In the Romanian waters was used the bottom trawl 22/27-34 with horizontal opening of 13 m . The average speed of the vessel was of $2.3-2,5$ knots, the trawling time was standardized at 60 minutes. Have been registered the following elements: geographical coordinates for trawling points, water depth ( $m$ ), the average trawling speed (knots), time of trawling, total catch and structure on species. For every trawling was taken one random sample for small size species and for big species like turbot and dog-fish each specimens were registered and measured.

Having data regarding horizontal opening of the trawl, trawling speed and time have been calculated the surveyed area, catch per surveyed area, extrapolated catch per square Nm for each species and biomass.

### 4.1.2 Spatial distribution of the resources

In Romanian waters the agglomerations are distributed on the entire shelf, but especially at depth deeper than 20 m . Two peaks of intense spawning and of birth of juveniles are in spring and autumn period at Romanian littoral.


Fig. 4.1.2.1. Distribution of picked dogfish agglomeration during demersal trawl survey in 2009, Romanian Black Sea area.


Fig. 4.1.2.2. Distribution of piked dogfish catches during demersal trawl survey in 2010, Romanian Black Sea area.
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Fig 4.1.2.33.1.1.3. Distribution of piked dogfish catches during demersal trawl survey in 2011, Romanian Black Sea area.

### 4.1.3 Historical trends

In the former USSR and later in Ukraine, to assess the piked dogfish stock, the swept area technique using bottom trawl surveys, as well as dynamic model of an isolated population, were applied (Shlyakhov, 1997). The abundance and biomass of picked dogfish in the waters adjacent to Georgia, the Russian Federation and Ukraine were assessed. Whole population of picked dogfish in 1972 - 1992 was assessed by VPA. The obtained results from stock assessments for whole Black Sea (Prodanov et al., 1997, Daskalov 1998), the former USSR and Ukrainian waters (Shlyakhov, Charova, 2006) in 1989-2005 are presented in table 4.1.3.1
According to the assessments, in 1989 - 2005 the stock of picked dogfish in the shelf area of the Black Sea and in Ukraine waters tends to be gradually reduced. Observed dynamics
of stock corresponds with increasing CPUE in Turkish waters.
Table. 4.1.3.1 Commercial stock of picked dogfish in the Black Sea and along the coast of the former USSR and in the water of Ukraine, th. tones.

| Years | Whole Black Sea <br> shelf | Waters of Ukraine, the <br> Russian Federation and <br> Georgia |  | Waters of Ukraine |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VPA | Trawl survey |  | Modeling | Trawl survey |
| Modeling |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | 117.8 | 58.5 | 63.5 | 34.6 | - |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 112.9 | 58.7 | 63.2 | 48.8 | - |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 97.9 | $17.2 / 69.9^{*}$ | 64.0 | $14.4 / 58.5^{*}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 90.0 | 62.9 | 60.3 | 56.9 | - |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | - | - | 57.1 | 30.2 | - |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | - | - | 52.9 | 36.0 | 42.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | - | - | - | - | 37.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | - | - | - | - | 32.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | - | - | - | - | 31.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | - | - | - | 32.0 | 30.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | - | - | - | - | 28.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | - | - | - | - | 24.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | - | - | - | - | 22.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | - | - | - | - | 21.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | - | - | - | - | 22.1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | - | - | - | - | 22.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\boldsymbol{-}$ |  | - | - | - |

* stock assessment is reduced to the average area of the registration (survey) zone.

According to the assessments of Prodanov et al. (1997) and Daskalov (1998) picked dogfish stock has increased until 1981, after that it began to decrease. The authors explained the increase in picked dogfish with the increased abundance of main food species (whiting, sprat, anchovy and horse mackerel), and its subsequent reduction partially with intensification of the dogfish fishery during the period 1979-1984.

In Romanian waters the swept area method was applied for stock assessment of piked dogfish. Results for estimated piked dogfish biomasses in spring and autumn of 2009-2011 in Romanian waters are given in the following tables (Maximov et al.2010a,b; Radu et al. 2010, 2011a,b, Radu, 2011). In May 2009 the biomass of dogfish was evaluated at 741 t , extrapolated to 967 t for the shelf till 50 Nm from the shore. In May 2010 the biomass of dogfish was evaluated at 2437 t , extrapolated to 5635 t for the shelf till 50 Nm from the shore. In the autumn period the biomass agglomeration increased at 2541 t (2009) and 13051 tons (2010).

Table 4.1.3.2 Assessment of piked dogfish biomass in spring 2009 by demersal trawl, Romanian Black Sea area.

| No. <br> polygon | Surveyed <br> area <br> $\left(\mathbf{N m}^{2}\right)$ | Range (t/Nm $\left.\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | Average <br> $\left(\mathbf{t} / \mathbf{N m}^{2}\right)$ | Total t in <br> polygon (t) | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $1,227.13$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | Extrapolated |
| 2 | 242.25 | $0.27-0.43$ | 0.35 | $84.78 \quad$ at 967 t for |  |
| 3 | 165.00 | $0.23-0.28$ | 0.26 | 42.90 | the shelf till |
| 4 | 116.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 32.48 | 50 Nm from |
| 5 | 724.25 | 0.530 .76 | 0.63 | 456.27 | shore |
| 6 | 478.25 | $0.23-0.28$ | 0.26 | 124.35 |  |
| 7 | 265.63 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 |  |


| Total | $3,218.5$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4.1.3.2 Assessment of dogfish agglomeration in the Romanian area in spring 2010, sampling gear demersal trawl

| No. polygon | Polygon area ( $\mathrm{Nm}^{2}$ ) | Range ( $\mathbf{t} / \mathbf{N m}^{2}$ ) | Average ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{Nm}^{2}$ ) | Total tons in polygon(t) | Total on the shelf (t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 630.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Extrapolated at 5635 tons for the shelf till 50 Nm from shore (about 5000 Nm2), including the new area (near Snake Island) |
| 2 | 567.75 | 0.21-1.41 | 0.63 | 357.68 |  |
| 3 | 216.75 | 0.24-0.68 | 0.47 | 101.87 |  |
| 4 | 1155.00 | 0.56-5.62 | 2.11 | 2437.00 |  |
| Total | 2570 |  |  | 2897.00 |  |

Table 4.1.3.3. Assessment of picked dogfish biomass by demersal trawl in autumn 2009, Romanian Black Sea area.

| No. polygon | Surveyed Area( $\mathrm{Nm}^{2}$ ) | Range ( $\mathbf{t} / \mathbf{N m}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) | Average ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{Nm}^{2}$ ) | Total tin polygon <br> (t) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 926.25 | 0.26-0.81 | 0.41 | 379.76 | Extrapolated at $2,541 \mathrm{t}$ for the shelf till 50 Nm from shore |
| 2 | 2,404.13 | 0.39-2.04 | 0.68 | 1,634.81 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3,330 |  |  | 2,015 |  |

Table 4.1.3.4 Assessment of dogfish agglomeration in the Romanian area in the autumn 2010, sampling gear demersal trawl

| No. <br> polygon | Polygon <br> area <br> $\left(\mathbf{N m}^{\mathbf{2})}\right.$ | Range (t/ $\mathbf{N m}^{\mathbf{2})}$ | Average <br> $\left(\mathbf{t} / \mathbf{N m}^{\mathbf{2})}\right.$ | Total tons <br> in <br> polygon(t) $)$ | Total on the shelf <br> $\mathbf{( t )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 40 | 164.48 | 164.48 | 6579.2 | Extrapolated at 13051 <br> tons for the shelf till 50 |
| 2 | 56 | 5.82 | 5.82 | 325.9 | Nm from shore (about |
| 3 | 1201 | $0.00-0.89$ | 0.46 | 552.5 | 5000 Nm2), including |
| 4 | 315 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | the new area (near |
| 5 | 570 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Snake Island) |
| 6 | 868 | $0.28-1.01$ | 0.58 | 503.44 |  |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 0 5 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{7 9 6 1 . 0 4}$ |  |

Table 4.1.3.5 Assessment of dogfish agglomeration in the Romanian area in the spring 2011, sampling gear demersal trawl

| Range of depths <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{0 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 7 0}$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area $\left(\mathbf{N m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | 675 | 1050 | 500 | 2225 |
| Range of $\mathbf{t} / \mathbf{N m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $0.00-0.00$ | $0.00-1.11$ | $0.00-2.53$ |  |
| Biomass $(\mathbf{t})$ | 00.00 | 205.8 | 316 | $522.3^{*}$ |

[^0]Table 4.1.3.6 Assessment of dogfish agglomeration in the Romanian area in the autumn 2011, sampling gear demersal trawl

| Range of depths <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{0 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 7 0}$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area $\left(\mathbf{N m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | 650 | 1225 | 1700 | 3575 |
| Range of $\mathbf{t} / \mathbf{N m}^{2}$ | $0.00-0.00$ | $0.00-1.53$ | $0.00-2.53$ |  |
| Biomass $(\mathbf{t})$ | 00.00 | 561.86 | 650.969 | 1212.8 |

* extrapolated at 1696 tons


## 5 Ecological information

### 5.1 Protected species potentially affected by the fisheries

### 5.2 Environmental indexes

## 6 Stock assessment

### 6.1 Name of Model VIT and YPR-LEN 3.1

I used the VIT program for estimation of abundance and fishing mortality and YPR-LEN (NOAA Fisheries Toolbox Version 3.1) for obtaining the reference points for dogfish in the Black Sea.
The program VIT is conceived for the analysis of fisheries where the available information is limited. VIT is designed for the analysis of marine populations, exploited by one or several gears, based on single species' catch data (structured by age or size). The main assumption underlying the model is that of steady state, because the program works with pseudo-cohorts and it is therefore not suitable for historical data series.
The program uses the catch data and ancillary parameters for rebuilding the population of the species and the mortality vectors affecting it by means of Virtual Population Analysis (VPA).
Once the virtual population has been rebuilt, an analysis of the fishery can be carried out with the aid of several tools: Comprehensive VPA results, Yield-per-Recruit analysis based on the fishing mortality vector, analysis of sensitivity to parameter values and transition analysis. The latter permits non-equilibrium analysis of how a shift in exploitation regime is reflected in the fisheries. All these tools can be applied to specific studies of competition among fishing gears.

### 6.1.1 Model assumptions

The VIT software was applied to assess population parameters based on pseudocohort analyses of average 1989-2011 data and 2011 data.
The two scenarios were run with the following parameters:
$\mathrm{L}_{\infty}=157 \mathrm{~cm}$
$\mathrm{k}=0.12$
$\mathrm{t}_{0}=-1.31$
$\mathrm{a}=0.0117$
$\mathrm{b}=2.769$
$\mathrm{M}=0.15$

### 6.1.2 Scripts

### 6.1.3 Results

The VIT software was applied to assess population parameters based on pseudocohort analyses of average 1989-2011 data (6.1.3.1) and 2011 data (Table 6.1.3.2). In the first variant the main share in total catch was represented by Turkey and Ukraine and in the last option the main share is divided between Bulgaria, Turkey and Ukraine.

Three scenarios were run with $M=0.1, M=0.15$, and, $M=0.2$. The presented results are with $M=0.15$.

From average of the catches by countries in the last 23 years (1989-2011), have been obtained $\mathrm{Fc}=0.255$, and $\mathrm{SSB}=410579 \mathrm{t}$, while for 2011 data the $\mathrm{Fc}=0.262$, SSB $=35263 \mathrm{t}$.
Table 6.1.3.1 Summary results for 1989-2011 data

| --- | Catch mean age | Catch mean length | Mean F | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Global } \\ F \end{gathered}$ | Total catch | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Catch/ } \\ \text { D \% } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Catch/ } \\ \mathbf{B} \% \end{gathered}$ | B/R | 28368.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 13.985 | 130.65 | 0.255 | 0.069 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 38.4 | 9.36 | SSB/R | 10489.63 |
| BG | 14.69 | 133.811 | 0 | 0 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 0.07 | 0.02 | Y/R | 2654.105 |
| GE | 13.984 | 130.646 | 0.001 | 0 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 0.13 | 0.03 | Y/R BG | 4.568 |
| RO | 14.69 | 133.811 | 0 | 0 | 14769381 | 0.01 | 0 | Y/R GE | 8.699 |
| RF | 13.984 | 130.643 | 0 | 0 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 0.04 | 0.01 | Y/R RO | 0.377 |
| TR | 13.984 | 130.644 | 0.241 | 0.065 | $9.81 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 36.28 | 8.84 | Y/R RF | 2.766 |
| UK | 13.984 | 130.645 | 0.013 | 0.003 | $5.09 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1.88 | 0.46 | Y/R TR | 2507.542 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Y/R UK | 130.152 |
| Current Stock Mean Age |  |  | 10.353 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Stock Critical Age |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virgin Stock Critical Age |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Stock Mean Length |  |  | 116.558 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Stock Critical Length |  |  | 105.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virgin Stock Critical Length |  |  | 105.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of recruits, R |  |  | 39141358.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Biomass, Bmean (g) |  |  | $1.11037 \mathrm{E}+12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spawning Stock Biomass, SSB (g) |  |  | $4.10579 \mathrm{E}+11$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biomass Balance, D |  |  | $2.70558 \mathrm{E}+11$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural death/D |  |  | 61.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bmax/Bmean |  |  | 14.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turnover, D/Bmean |  |  | 24.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.1.3.2 Summary results for 2011 data.

| Summary Results 2011data for Spiny Dogfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| --- | Total | BG | GE | RO | RF | TR | UK |  |
| Catch mean age | 13.985 | 13.985 | 13.984 | 13.98 | 13.995 | 13.985 | 13.984 |  |
| Catch mean length | 130.647 | 130.647 | 130.663 | 130.629 | 130.684 | 130.646 | 130.646 |  |
| Mean F | 0.262 | 0.122 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.059 | 0.078 |  |
| Global F | 0.08 | 0.037 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.018 | 0.024 |  |
| Total catch | 3.77693 | 1.75645 | 2.73526 | 1.94084 | 1.57877 | 8.51702 | 1.13084 |  |
|  | $3 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $9 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $7 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+09$ |  |
| Catch $/ \mathrm{D} \%$ | 47.07 | 21.89 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0.2 | 10.62 | 14.09 |  |
| Catch/B\% | 10.71 | 4.98 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 2.42 | 3.21 |  |


| B/R | SSB/R | Y/R | Y/R BG | Y/R GE | Y/R RO | Y/R RF | Y/R TR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B/R | SSB/R | Y/R | Y/R BG | Y/R GE | Y/R RO | Y/R RF | Y/R TR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y/R } \\ & \text { UK } \end{aligned}$ |
| 31761.055 | $\begin{aligned} & 31761.0 \\ & 55 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3401.77 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $1581.98$ | 2.464 | 17.481 | 14.22 | 767.103 | $\begin{aligned} & 1018 . \\ & 517 \end{aligned}$ |
| Current Mean Age Stock | 10.503 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Stock <br> Critical Age | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virgin Critical Age | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Stock Mean Length | 117.205 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Stock Critical Length | 111.439 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virgin Stock Critical Length | 116.591 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of recruits, R | $\begin{aligned} & 1110283 . \\ & 68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Biomass, Bmean | $\begin{aligned} & 3.52637 \\ & 8 \mathrm{E}+10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spawning Stock } \\ & \text { Biomass, SSB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.52637 \\ & 8 \mathrm{E}+10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biomass Balance, D | $\begin{aligned} & 8.02354 \\ & 1 E+09 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural death/D | 52.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bmax/Bmean | 13.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turnover, D/Bmean | 22.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.1.3.3 Reference points

| Reference <br> Points | F | YPR | SSB/R | TSB/R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F-zero | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 19036.640242 | 27482.638943 |
| F0.1 | 0.227000 | 1630.065081 | 7333.681895 | 15746.881160 |
| F-max | 1.100000 | 1854.713847 | 1905.248354 | 10231.715141 |
| F at 25\% <br> of MSP | 0.389000 | 1790.293295 | 4768.058109 | 13161.086947 |

Mean Fc $=0.255$ for the period 1989-2011
Mean Fc = 0.262 for 2011


Fig. 6.1.3.4 Fishing Mortality related to Y/R and SSB/R


Fig. 6.1.3.5 Fishing Mortality related to catch numbers


Fig. 6.1.3.6 Fishing Mortality related to YPR


Fig. 6.1.3.7 Fishing Mortality related toSSB/R


Fig. 6.1.3.8 Fishing Mortality related to \% MSP


Fig. 6.1.3.9 Length related to maturity

### 6.2 Robustness analysis

### 6.3 Assessment quality

## 7 Stock predictions

### 7.1 Short term predictions

Comparing the obtained results it seems that in a period of 20 years the stock biomass has decreased almost of 30 times. On the other hand historical estimates for 1972-1992
(including a period of pre-industrial exploitation) using XSA and tuned by survey data have shown maximum SSB of about 100 000t (Daskalov 1998) that is 4 times less than the average SS B estimated here for 1989-2011.
We estimated F $0.1=0.227$ (Fmsy proxy) as a limit reference point consistent with high long term yields and low risk of fishery collapse for dogfish in the Black Sea. Taking into account that the current $F=0.262$ the stock is considered to be overexploited.

### 7.2 Medium term predictions

### 7.3 Long term predictions

## 8 Draft scientific advice

## Gaps that need to be addressed in the near future include:

- Low quality of the input data for assessments (in terms age and size composition, fishing effort, CPUE and research surveys);
- The lack of quality survey information deteriorates the estimates of the current population parameters (abundance and mortality) in stock assessments and decreases the reliability of the short term predictions and management advice.
- Insufficient knowledge of stock units
- Lack of knowledge, evaluations and monitoring programs for assessing the IUU and discards
- Lack of reliable frameworks of assessing and standardizing of the commercial fleets fishing effort and CPUE


## Management advice and recommendations

- Reducing fishing mortality;
- Improve selection pattern;
- Close spawning seasons in spring and autumn;
- Obligation for pregnant females to be discarded;
- Regional management measures
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