# Sensorimotor Changes Following Distal Radius Fractures: Clinical Significance

Christos Karagiannopoulos MPT, M.Ed, ATC, CHT, Ph.D

ATI Physical Therapy OrthopaediCare Center 1200 Manor Drive, Chalfont, PA 18914

# **Distal Radius Fracture Overview**

- Metaphysial bone fx (Ring & Jupiter, 2007)
- Incidence sharply increases

   ○ ≥ 50 years (4:1 ratio) (Solgaard & Petersen, 1985)
- Low-energy trauma (Chen and Jupiter, 2007)
- Strongest predictive factors:
  - Age and gender (Lippuner et al., 2009; Vogt et al., 2002; Singer et al., 1998).
  - Low-bone mineral density (Chen & Jupiter, 2007; Lippuner et al., 2009; Vogt et al., 2002)



# Impairment Following DRF

- Initial 12 weeks following DRF intervention
  - Critical period for treatment progression decisions
    - Up to 50% functional recovery
    - Correlated with physical impairment recovery
      - Pain, AROM, grip strength

(MacDermid et al., 2002; Karnezis & Fragkiadakis, 2002; Tremayne et al., 2002; Harris et al., 2005; Lucado et al., 2008).

- Delayed functional return/complications frequently observed:
  - Sensory, muscle strength, recruitment, coordination deficits
- Sensori-motor (SM) impairment is present
  - Limited research to justify its assessment and treatment (Karagiannopoulos, et al., 2013)

## Proprioception

"Sensations arising in the deep areas of the body contributing to conscious sensations, postural equilibrium, and joint stability"

- Physiologist Charles Scott Sherrington 1906
- Replaced by Sensori-Motor control in 1997
  - Delineate its proprioceptive role on joint control
  - Describe proprioception is simply the afferent constituent of the sensori-motor system

Riemann & Lephart, 2002; Hagert, 2010

# Sensori-Motor Control System

• Integrates peripheral afferent signals within CNS to provide conscious and unconscious proprioceptive senses resulting in efferent responses towards optimal joint control



(Riemann and Lephart, 2000; Hagert, JHT, 2010)

### Sensori-Motor Senses



Riemann & Lephart, 2002; Proske & Gandevia, 2009; Hagert, 2010

# Life-learned Sensori-Motor Control

• Development of unconscious and conscious senses derives from early age via our daily interactions and constant SM experiences from surroundings







# **Unconscious Sense**

### • Neuromuscular sense

- Complex automatic SM joint function
- $\circ\,$  Mediated by
  - ★ Incoming feed-back afferent signals
  - ★ Centrally descending feed-forward mechanisms
- Control functional wrist AROM patterns
  - **x** Reciprocal & recurrent muscle inhibition pathways
- Provide wrist joint stability
  - ➤ Synergistic and co-activation muscle functions
  - ▼ Preparatory and anticipatory control

# **Conscious Sense**

- Incoming sensory input
  - Muscle spindle and skin receptors
  - Ascend to upper CNS centers
    - ★ Dorsal column pathway
  - $\circ~$  Centrally processed and interpreted
    - × Cerebellum
    - ★ Primary sensory-motor cortex
  - Conscious perception
    - ➤ Precise joint motion and position
  - $\circ~$  Optimal joint function and control

Wall, 1960; Gilman, 2002; Braun et al. 2001; Riemann & Lephart, 2000; Hagert, 2010

# **Conscious Sense**

#### • Kinesthesia:

- Ability to sense joint angle change or joint motion
- $\circ$  Measured with vision blocked
  - ▼ Detect smallest angle change that prompts feeling of joint motion
    - **o** Passive motion, isokinetic dynamometer

### • Joint Position Sense (JPS):

- Ability to sense joint position
- Measured with vision blocked
  - ▼ Reproducing a specific joint angle of reference
    - **o** Goniometer

Riemann & Lephart, 2002; Hagert, 2010

# **Clinical Assessment**

- Neuromuscular sense
  - Complex interventional instrumentation
    - ▼ Not applicable for daily clinical practice
- Conscious Sense
  - Kinesthesia
    - ★ Complex and expensive instrumentation
  - Joint Position Sense
    - ★ Simple instrumentation
    - ▼ Feasible in a busy clinical practice

# **Clinical Implications**

- Wrist sensori-motor impairment
  - Frequently observed in the clinic following wrist trauma
    - ★ Sensibility deficit
    - ▼ Muscle strength or recruitment difficulty
    - ★ Joint coordination deficit
    - ➤ Delayed functional return
- Integral goal of rehabilitation strategies
  - Treat the observed sensori-motor impairments
  - Restore optimal function

# **Clinical Implications**

- Sensori-motor function is critical for optimal joint control and stability (Riemann and Lephart, 2002)
- Reasonable to assume that sensori-motor impairment contributes to functional limitations
- Clinical knowledge on sensori-motor impairment and its association with function following wrist trauma is important to guide our rehabilitation paradigms

# What we have been missing?

- Limited clinical research:
  - Prevalence of SM deficit following wrist trauma
    - Both conscious and unconscious senses
  - Clinically meaningful SM assessment methods
    - Instrument psychometric properties
  - Association between SM deficit and function
  - $\circ~$  Optimal rehabilitation methods towards SM deficit
  - Influence of other clinical factors (pain, age, gender, bone quality)
  - Influence of psycho-social factors (anxiety, depression)

# **Current Research Evidence**

 A Descriptive Study on Wrist and Hand Sensori-Motor
 Impairment and Function
 Following Distal Radius
 Fracture Intervention



Karagiannopoulos C, Sitler M, Michlovitz S, Tierney R. JHT, 26 (2013) 204-215

# Primary Aim: To determine the magnitude of sensorimotor impairment following DRF intervention

• 48 female participants  $\geq$  50 years

NSurg	Surg	HC
N=12	N=12	N=24
Closed Reduction 6-wks immobilization	Volar Plate	Matched for age & Dominance

- 4 ATI Physical Therapy clinics
- Tested 8 weeks from treatment initiation

# **Dependent Variables**

Sensory	Motor	Patient-Rated
Sensibility	Muscle Recruitment Muscle co-activations	Function
Conscious Proprioception	Total Grip Force	Pain
	Muscle Fatigue	

- Pain & Function:
  - PRWE questionnaire (MacDermid, 1996)
    - Most responsive functional outcome measure following DRF

# **Sensory Variables**

### • Sensibility

- Ten Test (Strauch et al., 1997)
  - ➤ Simple instrumentation
  - ➤ Strong psychometric properties
  - ★ Moving light-touch:
    - o equivalent skin dermatomes
  - ★ Verbal scale: 1-10
  - × 10 = normal
- Conscious Proprioception
  - Active wrist JPS test
    - ★ High intra-tester reliability value (.85 ICC)

# **Active Wrist JPS Test**

- Standardized protocol:
  - 1. Passive wrist positioning / memorization reference angle
  - 2. Active relaxation away from reference angle
  - 3. Active reproduction of reference angle



#### Score = Reference angle – Reproduced Angle

Karagiannopoulos et al., 2013

# Active Wrist JPS Test

- Memorization of reference angle: 20° extension
- Active reproduction
- Goniometric assessment
- 2 trials
- Total score based on absolute angle values
- Clinical example:

Patient Active Wrist Angle Reproduction		JPS Deficit score	
Trial 1	30° Extension	10°	
Trial 2	16° Extension	- 4°	
Total test score (mean of absolute values)		7°	

### Motor variables

- Surface EMG and Hand-Held Computerized Dynamometer
- Neuromuscular sense
  - Total muscle recruitment
    - × WE, WF, FDS, FDI
  - Muscle co-activations
    - × WE/WF, WE/FDS, FDS/FDI
    - Known synergistic activity during normal grasping
  - $\circ~$  Total grip force produced
    - × Over a 30-sec period





## **Muscle Fatigue**

- Known negative effect on conscious proprioception (Hagert, 2010)
- Magnitude of grip force decline over time
- % value of the force difference
  - $\,\circ\,$  Between the peak and smallest force produced over time



# Study Results

- Significant and clinically meaningful differences between DRF and healthy control groups
- SM variables:
  - o JPS
    - $\circ$  Healthy = near 3 deg
    - DRF = near 12 deg
  - $\circ$  Muscle recruitment
    - Total EMG activity:WE, WF, FDS, FDI
  - Total grip force
  - Muscle fatigue
- Function & pain



# **Study Results**

• Significant Correlations between SM variables Function and Pain

	Sensory Variables		Motor Variables		
	TT	JPS Test	Muscle EMGs	Total Grip Force	Fatigue Ratio
PRWE	564**	.645**	353* to476**	613**	.335*
Pain	520*	.627*	402* to488*	613*	.353**

• No correlation between SM variables and age

# **Study Results**

• Ranking for Clinical Meaningfulness and Correlation to Function

Variable	Clinical Meaningfulness (Part Eta Sq)	Correlation to Function
1. JPS Test	.699 (High)	.645**
2. Total Grip Force	.491 (High)	613**
3. EMG (area)	.234327 (High)	353 to437**
4. Muscle Fatigue ratio	.279 (High)	.335*

# **Study Conclusions**

- Significant SM impairment prevails following DRF
  - Influenced by pain
  - $\circ\,$  Associated with sig functional disability
- Active wrist JPS test most clinically meaningful measure to assess wrist conscious SM control
  - Simple goniometric method
  - Easily reproduced in today's clinical settings

# **Clinical Implications**

- SM impairment assessment following wrist trauma requires:
  - Reliable, responsive, and valid instrumentation
  - How various patient factors (e.g., pain) influence test psychometric properties
- Recent research evidence:
  - 1. <u>Active wrist JPS test</u> is highly responsive following DRF
    - Both surgical and non-surgical interventions
    - Accurate to detect change within its specific clinical domain
    - ES, SRM, MDC, MCID values
    - How influenced by pain
    - Other covariates: gender, age, hand dominance

(Karagiannopoulos et al., 2014 unpublished dissertation work)

# **Current SM Rehabilitation**

- Evidence is limited for wrist SM deficit interventions
- Promising rehabilitation methods exists
  - Research evidence mainly from other joints
- Train conscious and unconscious senses
  - Manual skills and techniques
  - Closed and open chain active wrist ROM exercises
  - Visual influence (mirror therapy)
  - Eccentric and concentric exercises
  - Isometric: co-contraction effect
  - Perturbation training: anticipatory control

# **Concluding Statement**

- Significant SM impairment prevails following DRF
  - Clinically meaningful due to its strong association with function
- Active wrist JPS test is a reliable, responsive, and clinically meaningful measure for conscious sensorimotor deficit
  - Greatly influenced by pain levels following DRF intervention
- Further clinical research is needed
  - Substantiate the efficacy of current rehab methods
  - Guide our future wrist rehabilitation paradigms