AP® MICROECONOMICS 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2

5 points (1 + 2 + 1 + 1)

- (a) 1 point:
 - One point is earned for stating that PieCrust should choose to advertise because it makes greater profit by advertising: \$250> \$180.
- (b) 2 points:
 - One point is earned for stating that LaPizza does not have a dominant strategy.
 - One point is earned for stating that its best choice depends on the strategy chosen by PieCrust. When PieCrust advertises, LaPizza does better by not advertising (\$300>\$200); When PieCrust does not advertise, LaPizza does better by advertising (\$500 >\$400).
- (c) 1 point:
 - One point is earned for identifying the daily profit for PieCrust, \$450, and for LaPizza, \$300.
- (d) 1 point:
 - One point is earned for reproducing the payoff matrix to reflect the effect of the increase in advertising costs.

		LaPizza Advertise Not Advertise	
		Auveruse	NOT Advertise
PieCrust	Advertise	\$190, \$140	\$390, \$300
	Not Advertise	\$180, \$440	\$390, \$400

abvertise, This is because if Lalizza advertises of can cithe advertise and nake \$250 or not advertise and nake \$160. \$250>\$180, so lie (rust should advertise because if Pie (rust advertise Lalizza should not advertise because if Pie (rust advertise Lalizza should not advertise because \$300>\$200. Yet if Pie (rust advertise because \$500>\$400. Thus, Lalizza muld not definitely be one strategy to matter a Pie (rust does, so there is no dominant strategy.	fielru 1 se.
advertise. This is because if balizza advertises of an either absentise and nate \$250 or not absentise and nake \$160. \$2507\$180, so liebrust should advertise and have \$160. \$2507\$180, so liebrust should advertise because if liebrust advertise because because \$300 > \$200. Yet, if liebrust does not advertise than Lalizza should advertise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, balizza muld advertise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, balizza muld be not definitely to one strategy no nather a liebrust does, so there is no dominant strategy.	fielru 1 se.
an either advertise and nake \$250 or not advertise and nake \$160. \$2507\$180, so lie crust should advertise because if Rie crust advertise LaPizza should not advertise because \$300 > \$200. Yet if Pie crust LaPizza should not does not advertise than LaPizza should advertise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, LaPizza muld advertise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, LaPizza muld be not definitely to one strategy no nather a Pie crust does, so there is no dominant strategy.	hat
b) La Pizza boes not have a dominant strategy. This is because if Pie Crust advertise La Pizza should not advertise because \$300 > \$200. Yet if Pie Crust boes not advertise than La Pizza should advertise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, La Pizza muld be not definitely to one strategy no nather a Pie Crust does, so there is no dominant strategy.	hat
b) La Pizza does not have a dominant strategy. This is because if Pie Crust advertise La Pizza should not advertise because \$300 > \$200. Yet, if Pie Crust does not advertise than La Pizza should advertise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, La Pizza muld not definitely to one strategy no matter a Pie Crust does, so there is no dominant strategy.	hat
advertise because \$300 > \$200. Yet, if PieCrust Lacs not advertise than LaPizza should advertise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, LaPizza mould be not definitely to one strategy no matter an Pie Crust does, so these is no dominant strategy.	hat
advertise because \$300 > \$200. Yet, if PieCrust Locs not advertise than LaPizza should advertise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, LaPizza mould be not definitely to one strategy no matter an Pie Crust does, so these is no dominant strategy.	hat
advertise because \$300 > \$200. Yet, if PieCrust Lacs not advertise than LaPizza should advertise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, LaPizza mould be not definitely to one strategy no matter as Pie Crust does, so these is no dominant strategy.	hat
does not advertise than LaPizza should advertise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, LaPizza mould to not definitely to one strategy no nather and Pie Crust does, so these is no dominant strategy.	Lat
advotise because \$500 > \$400. Thus, Lafizza mould not definitely be one strategy no matter as Pie Crust does, so these is no dominant strategy.	Lat
Pie Crust does, so there is no dominant strategy.	hat
Pie Crust does, so there is no dominant strategy.	
) (1/ 1·1 C. XV.C.	
c) : Pie Crust's daily profit = \$450.	
ii. La Pizza's duily profit = \$300	
	,
La Pizza	
	,
Advertise Not Advertise	
Advertise Not Advertise	
Adrestise \$190, \$140 \$390, \$300	
Crust	
Not Advertise \$180, \$440 \$390, \$400	portruit constitution of the second
	/-
*	
	,

ATT LaPizza @ nere to	advertise, Piecrust should advertise as
well, It both tirms were to	o advertise, Precrust would make \$250
as apposed to \$180 it Pielru	st were to choose not to.
B. Lafizza obegny have a ob	minant strategy. If they were to
choose between the two ties	rust would make more money if they
and vertised. If Laitizza were -	to know that Piperust will advertise,
fheir only choice is to not ad	realise to make more money
·	
(i) \$390	
(1) \$400	
<u>)</u>	
LaPi	270
Advertise	Not Advertise
Adortice \$190, \$140	\$ 390, \$300
Piecrost	
Not (Occord ANIAC)	1 1200 4/00
Advertise \$180, 7770	1340, PIW
Mark the control of t	

AP® MICROECONOMICS 2013 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2

Overview

This question determined students' understanding of some aspects of game theory. Part (a) tested students' ability to use a payoff matrix to determine the best decision for one player on the basis of another player's decision. Part (b) determined whether students understand the concept of a dominant strategy. Students illustrate their understanding by indicating dollar values in the payoff matrix. Part (c) tested whether students could identify a Nash equilibrium. Part (d) determined whether students can redraw a payoff matrix to show the effect of changes in some of the payoffs.

Sample: 2A Score: 5

The student answers all parts of the question correctly and earned all 5 points.

Sample: 2B Score: 3

The student did not earn 1 point in part (b) for failing to explain, using the dollar values in the payoff matrix, why LaPizza does not have a dominant strategy. The student did not earn 1 point for part (c) for incorrectly determining the daily profit for PieCrust and LaPizza in the Nash equilibrium.

Sample: 2C Score: 1

The student earned 1 point in part (a) for correctly explaining that PieCrust should advertise since \$250>\$180.