# AP ${ }^{\oplus}$ MICROECONOMICS 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES 

## Question 2

5 points $(1+2+1+1)$
(a) 1 point:

- One point is earned for stating that PieCrust should choose to advertise because it makes greater profit by advertising: $\$ 250>\$ 180$.
(b) 2 points:
- One point is earned for stating that LaPizza does not have a dominant strategy.
- One point is earned for stating that its best choice depends on the strategy chosen by PieCrust. When PieCrust advertises, LaPizza does better by not advertising ( $\$ 300>\$ 200$ ); When PieCrust does not advertise, LaPizza does better by advertising ( $\$ 500>\$ 400$ ).
(c) 1 point:
- One point is earned for identifying the daily profit for PieCrust, \$450, and for LaPizza, \$300.
(d) 1 point:
- One point is earned for reproducing the payoff matrix to reflect the effect of the increase in advertising costs.

|  | LaPizza |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PieCrust | Advertise | Not Advertise |  |
|  | Advertise | $\$ 190, \$ 140$ | $\$ 390, \$ 300$ |
|  | Not Advertise | $\$ 180, \$ 440$ | $\$ 390, \$ 400$ |

a) If Lapizza chooses to advertise than Piecrust should advertise. This is because if Lapizza advertises piecrust ca cither advertise and make $\$ 250$ or not advertise and wee $\$ 180$. $\$ 250>\$ 180$, so Pielrust should advertise.
b) La Pizza does not have a dominant strategy. This is because if PieCrust advertise LaPizza should not advertise because $\$ 300>\$ 200$. Yet, if PieCrust does not advertise than Lapizza should advertise because $\$ 500>\$ 400$. Thus, La pizza mould sot definitely de one strategy no water what Piecrust dos, so there ir 10 dominant strategy.
c) i. Pie Crust's daily profit $=\$ 450$.
ii. La Pizza's daily profit $=\$ 300$
d) La Pizza

Advertise Not Advertise

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advertise | $\$ 190, \$ 140$ | $\$ 390, \$ 300$ |  |
| Pie Crust |  |  |  |
|  | Not Advertise | $\$ 180, \$ 440$ | $\$ 390, \$ 400$ |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

At It La Pizza were to advertise, Piecrust should advertise as well. If both firms were fo advertise, Piecrust would make \$250 as opposed fo $\$ 180$ it Piecrust wee to choose not to.
B. Lapizza doegn't have a dominant strategy. If they mere to choose between the two, Piecrust would make more money if they advertised). If Lafizza were to know that Piecrust will advertise, their only choice is to not advertise to make more money,
C.
(i) 5390
(iii) $\$ 400$

2
Lapizza

a) If lapizza chooses to advertise, piecrust should i also choose to advertise as it would make, $\$ 250$ daily pi profits with advertising rather than $\$ 180$ without advertising.
b) La Pizza's dominant strategy would, be to not advertise because it would make whens $\$ 400$ or $\$ 300$, which would be better than $\$ 200$
c) Nous h equilibrium
i) Piecrust's daily profit $\$ 390$.
ii) Lapizza's dually profit $\$ 400$
d) If advertising cost $\$ 60$ per day...

La pizza

| advertise pe |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not advertise |  |  |  |
| Piecrust advertise | $\$ 190,5140$ | $\$ 390, \$ 240$ |  |
| not |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| advertise | $\$ 120, \$ 440$ | $\$ 330, \$ 340$ |  |

if these higher advertising costs were in effect
piecrust would notadvertise
lapizzor would hot advertise
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## Question 2

## Overview

This question determined students' understanding of some aspects of game theory. Part (a) tested students' ability to use a payoff matrix to determine the best decision for one player on the basis of another player's decision. Part (b) determined whether students understand the concept of a dominant strategy. Students illustrate their understanding by indicating dollar values in the payoff matrix. Part (c) tested whether students could identify a Nash equilibrium. Part (d) determined whether students can redraw a payoff matrix to show the effect of changes in some of the payoffs.

## Sample: 2A

## Score: 5

The student answers all parts of the question correctly and earned all 5 points.

## Sample: 2B

Score: 3

The student did not earn 1 point in part (b) for failing to explain, using the dollar values in the payoff matrix, why LaPizza does not have a dominant strategy. The student did not earn 1 point for part (c) for incorrectly determining the daily profit for PieCrust and LaPizza in the Nash equilibrium.

## Sample: 2C

Score: 1

The student earned 1 point in part (a) for correctly explaining that PieCrust should advertise since \$250>\$180.

