| American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER) | 2016           |
|---|----------------|
| American Journal of Engineering Res             | earch (AJER)   |
| e-ISSN: 2320-0847 p-ISS                         | N:2320-0936    |
| Volume-5, Issue-1                               | 10, pp-354-357 |
|   | www.ajer.org   |
| Research Paper                                  | Open Access    |

# **Phytoseiid Mites on Ornamental Plants in Tokat**

A. Yeşilayer<sup>1\*</sup> M. H. Uçar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> (GOP University, Agricultural Faculy, Department of plant Protection. 60216 Tokat, Turkey)Department,

**ABSTRACT:** In this study was carried out Tokat province centraland 11 districts in 2013-2014. Specimens were collected at weekly intervals from various areas and plants, including deciduous trees, conifers, parks, ornamental trees, home gardens, and shrubs in recreational areas of Tokat province. The samples were taken mainly from unsprayed areas during the growing seasons. Based on the survey results phytoseiid family mites belonging 6 species of 4 genus from 9 different plant species were identified. This mite species were Typhlodromus pyri Scheuten 1857, Phytoseiulus finitimus Ribaga 1904, Typhlodromus cotoneastri Wainstein 1961, Typhlodromus athiasae Porath and Swirski 1965, Paraseiulus solieger Ribaga 1902, Euseius (Amblyseius) finlandicus (Oudemans, 1915). E. finlandicus was the most abundant phytoseiid species.

As it is already well known phytoseiid mites (acari: phytoseiidae) were used as biological control agents of phytophagous mites, thrips and whiteflies. In this article will be given information and distrubution of six phytoseiid species also.

Keywords: Mite, Phytoseiidae, predator, ornamental plants, Tokat, Turkey

This study was supported by GOP University BAP Project-No: 2013-118

## I. INTRODUCTION

Tokat province, located in the Central Black Sea basin of Yeşilırmak and it has been a total of twelve districts including the central district. The surface area of 998 242 km<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, it is the fourth biggest province of Tokat Black Sea Coast. It were 00 445 000 m<sup>2</sup> of wooded areasand green lawn area 00 155 657 m<sup>2</sup> [1]. Phytoseiid mites are Live primarily on plants and fast moving predators.

Phytoseiid mites have a considerable economic impact because they are predators of several phytophagous mites, They include approximately 2300 species, found throughout the world [2]. Phtoseiids have received a great deal of attention because of their potential use in the biological control of plant-parasitic mites [3-4-5-6]. The main objective of this study is to present observations and a quantitative assessment of phytoseiid mite species on ornamental plants in Tokat during 2013-2014.

# II. METHODOLOGY AND DATA COLLECTION

In this study predatör mites were collected from various plants and parks in Tokat, Turkey between 2013-14 at the following sampling sites: Artova, Erbaa, Niksar, Pazar, Reşadiye, Turhal and Zile. Specimens were collected at weekly intervals from various areas and plants, including deciduous trees, conifers, parks, ornamental trees, home gardens, and shrubs in recreational areas. The samples were taken mainly from unsprayed areas during the growing seasons. In total, 312 from the leaf samples under a stereomicroscope and extracted using Berlese funnels and 35 specimens were identified.

The predatory mites were preserved in 70% ethyl alcohol. After clearing the mite samples in lactophenol solutions, they were mounted in Hoyer's medium. The slides were dried (for 2–4 weeks) at 35°C. The identifications were based on Rowell et al. [7], Kolodochka [8], Arutunjan [9], Beglyarov [10] and Chant & Yoshida-Shaul [11].

# III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As a result of this study six predator species from nine different plant species were identified; , *Typhlodromus pyri* Scheuten 1857, *Phytoseiulus finitimus* Ribaga 1904, *Typhlodromus cotoneastri* Wainstein 1961, *Typhlodromus athiasae* Porath and Swirski 1965, *Paraseiulus solieger* Ribaga 1902, *Euseius (Amblyseius) finlandicus* (Oudemans, 1915). It was previously found except *T. cotoneastri* all phytoseiidae species in Tokat (Table 3.1). And it is the first study on ornamental plants in Tokat province.

American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER)

| Typhlodromus pyri                | Platanus acerifolia L                       | %2.6  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Phytoseiulus finitimus           | Platanus acerifolia L                       | %2.6  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlodromus cotoneastri         | Platycladus orientalis (L.) Franco          | %10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlodromus athiasae            | Platycladus orientalis (L.) Franco          |       |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | Sorbus umbellata (desf.) fritsch            |       |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | Rosa spp.                                   | %28.9 |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | Pinus nigra J.F.Arnold                      |       |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | Cedrus atlantica (Endl.) Manetti exCarriere |       |  |  |  |  |
| Paraseiulus solieger             | Prunus cerasifera Ehrh                      | %2.6  |  |  |  |  |
| Euseius (Amblyseius) finlandicus | Platanus acerifolia L.                      |       |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | Aesculus hippocastanum L.                   |       |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | Alnus rubra Bong                            | %52.8 |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | Platycladus orientalis (L.) Franco          |       |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | Rosa spn                                    |       |  |  |  |  |

| 3.1.Table | . Phytoseiid | species on | ornamental | plants in | Tokat |
|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|
|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|

## 3.1 Typhlodromus pyri Scheuten, 1857

20.04.2013 Park of Yunus Emre-Tokat Platanus acerifolia L 1

## 3.2 Phytoseiulus finitimus Ribaga, 1904

27.06.2013 Kids Park-Pazar Platanus acerifolia L. 1

#### 3.3 Typhlodromus cotoneastri Wainstein 1961

03.05.2013 Park of Ayvaz-Niksar *Platycladus orientalis* (L.) Franco 1  $\bigcirc$  20.08.2013 Park of Alparsalan Türkeş-Reşadiye *Platycladus orientalis* (L.) Franco) 2 $\bigcirc$  20.08.2013 Park of Alparsalan Türkeş-Reşadiye *Platycladus orientalis* (L.) Franco 1  $\bigcirc$ 

#### 3.4 Typhlodromus athiasae Porath and Swirski, 1965

03.05.2013 Park of Ayvaz-Niksar *Sorbus umbellata* (desf.) fritsch 2♀ 12.06.2013 Bedesten House Garden-Tokat *Rosa spp.* 1♀ 20.08.2013 Park of Alparsalan Türkeş-Reşadiye *Platycladus orientalis* (L.) Franco 3♀ 02.09.2013 Park of Kültür-Erbaa *Platycladus orientalis* (L.) Franco 1♀ 16.09.2013 Hospital Garden-Artova *Pinus nigra* J.F.Arnold 2♀ 16.09.2013 Hospital Garden-Artova *Cedrus atlantica* (Endl.) Manetti exCarriere) 1♀

## 3.5 Paraseiulus solieger Ribaga, 1902

02.04.2013 Kültür Palace Garden-Tokat Prunus cerasifera Ehrh 1

## 3.6 Euseius (Amblyseius) finlandicus Oudemans, 1915

20.04.2013 Park of Yunus Emre-Tokat *Platanus acerifolia* L.  $2\bigcirc$ 21.04.2013 Park of Şehitler-Tokat *Aesculus hippocastanum* L.  $2\bigcirc$ 28.04.2013 Campus of GOP University-Tokat *Alnus rubra* Bong  $2\bigcirc$ 03.05.2013 Park of Ayvaz-Niksar *Platycladus orientalis* (L.) Franco  $1\bigcirc$ 12.05.2013 Park of Milli Egemenlik-Turhal *Platanus acerifolia* L,  $3\bigcirc$ ;1 $\bigcirc$ 12.06.2013 Bedesten House Garden-Tokat *Rosa spp.*  $3\bigcirc$ 20.08.2013 Park of Alparsalan Türkeş-Reşadiye *Rosa spp.*  $1\bigcirc$ 17.09.2013 Kümbet Mosque-Tokat *Aesculus hippocastanum* L.  $1\bigcirc$ ; 1 $\bigcirc$ 20.09.2013 Park of Türk Kültürü-Zile *Rosa spp.*  $1\bigcirc$ 25.09.2013 Bahçelievler Garden-Zile *Aesculus hippocastanum* L.  $2\bigcirc$ 

# IV. CONCLUS DON

During the this study 134 plant samples were collected in genarally coniferous tree. Phytoseiid species were collected from nine of these plant species, from which were collected 38 specimens. It is also potentially significant to consider them in the context of a biological control system and preserving the natural balance. Mite fauna, and especially beneficial mite fauna, are rich in Tokat province.

*Euseius* (*Amblyseius*) *finlandicus* was the most common species (%52.8) (Figure 1). *Euseius finlandicus* was found on *Acer negundo* in Istanbul and on 19 deciduous trees and shrub trees in parks [12-13].

This species feeding on different preys; mites ,scale insects and whiteflies [14-15-16-17-18-19].

American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER)



Figure 1. The distribution of predatory mite species (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on ornamental plants

The other phytoseiid species were; *T. athiasae* (28.9 %), *T. cotoneastri* (10.5%), *T. pyri* (2.6%), *P. finimus* (2.6%) and *P. soliger*(2.6%) (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Number of individual as Phytoseiidae genus.

It is also potentially significant to consider them in the context of a biological control system and preserving the natural balance. Beneficial mite fauna, are rich in Tokat province.

Control of the pest species, in the context of ecological balance, is important for the recreational areas and urban ecosystems in Tokat.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Prof. Dr. Sultan ÇOBANOĞLU (ANKARA Universitiy, Agricultural Faculty, Plant Protection Department, Turkey) for identification of the Phytoseiidae species. The authors wish to thank to GOP University- BAP Project No:2013/118

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Anonymous, 2011. Tokat İli Park Alanları İstatistiki Bilgileri. Park ve Bahçeler Müdürlüğü. Tokat.
- [2]. Moraes, G. J., McMurtry, J. A., Denmark, H. A. and Campos, C. A.2004. A Revised Catalog of the Mite Family Phytoseiidae. Zootaxa, 434: 1–494.
- [3]. Swirski, E. and Amitai, S.1982. Notes on Predacious Mites (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) from Turkey, with Description of The Male of Phytoseius echinus Wainstein and Arutunjan. Isr. J. of Entomol.,16: 55–62.
- [4]. Şekeroğlu, E., 1984. Phytoseiid Mites (Acarina: Mesostigmata) of Southern Anatolia, Their Biology and Effectiveness as a Biological Agents on Strawberry Plants. *Doğa Bil.Derg.*, D2, 8: 320–336.
- [5]. Çobanoğlu, S., 1992. An Annotated List of Mites Found on The Hazel of Turkey. Isr. J. of Entomol. 25: 35–40.
- [6]. Yıldız, S., 1998. Determination of The Phytoseiidae Species from Vegetable Growing Areas of The East Mediterranean-Turkey. MSc Thesis, Çukurova University Adana, 36 pp.

2016

# American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER)

[7]. Rowell, H. J., Chant, D. A. and Hansell, R. I. C. 1978. The Determination of Setal Homologies and Setal Patterns on The Dorsal Shield in The Family Phytoseiidae (Acarina: Mesostigmata). *Can. Entomol.*, **110**: 859–876.

[8]. Kolodochka, L.A. 1982. New phytoseiid mites (Parasitiformes:Phytoseiidae) from Turkmenia. J. Vestnik Zoologii. 6: 7-13.

- [9]. Arutunjan, E. S. 1977. Key to Phytoseiid Mites of Agricultural Crops in the Armenian USSR. Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences, Armenian SSR, Erevan, 112 pp.
- [10]. Beglyarov, G. A. 1981. Keys to The Determination of Phytoseiid Mites of the U.S.S.R. Information, Bulletin, Internal Organization for Biological Control of Noxious Animals and Plants, East Palaearctic Section, 2 (1): 97.
- [11]. Chant, D. A. and Yoshida-Shaul, E. 1987. A World Review of The Soleiger Species Group in The Genus *Typhlodromus* Scheuten (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). *Can. J. Zool.*, 65: 1770–1804.
- [12]. Kabicek, J. and Koubkova, Z. 1998. Phytoseiid Mites on Plants of a City Park. Plant Protec. Sci., 34: 142-45.
- [13]. Yeşilayer A. Çobanoğlu S. 2011. The Distribution of Predatory Mite Species (Acari : Phytoseiidae) on Ornamental Plants and Parks of Istanbul, Turkey. Türk. entomol. bült.,1 (3): 135-143
- [14]. Amano H. and Chant D.A. 1986. Laboratory Studies on The Feeding Habits, Reproduction and Development of Three Phytoseiid Species, *Typhlodromus pomi*, *Phytoseius macropilis* and *Amblyseius finlandicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae), Occurring on Abandoned Apple Trees in Ontario, Canada. *Exp Appl Acarol.* 2(4):299–313. pp.
- [15]. Duso C., P., 1991.Camporese Developmental Times and Oviposition Rates of Predatory Mites *Typhlodromus pyri* and *Amblyseius andersoni* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) Reared on Different Foods. *Exp Appl Acarol.* 13:117–128.
- [16]. Schausberger P. 1999. Predation Preference of *Typhlodromus pyri* and *Kampimodromus aberrans* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) When Offered Con- and Heterospecific İmmature Life Stages. *Exp Appl Acarol.* 23:389–398.
- [17]. Nomikou M., Janssen A., Schraag R. and Sabelis, M.W. 2001. Phytoseiid Predators as Potential Biological Control Agents for Bemisia tabaci. Exp Appl Acarol. 25:271–291.
- [18]. Vargas RNO, Cardemil YA (2005) Desarrollo postembrionario y parámetros de tablas de vida de *Typhlodromus pyri* Scheuten, Cyndodromus californicus (McGregor) (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) Brevipalpus chilensis (Acarina: Tenuipalpidae). Agri. Téc. (Chile) 35:147–156.
- [19]. Lorenzon M., Pozzebon A. and Duso C. 2012. Effect of Potential Food Sources on Biological and Demographic Parameters of the predatory mites Kampimodromus aberrans, Typhlodromus pyri and Amblyseius andersoni. Exp Appl Acarol. 58:259–278

2016