

## PHYTOCHEMICAL PROFILE OF THREE SELECTED PLANTS OF *MILLINGTONIA HORTENENSIS* *BIGNONIA* *RADICANS* *BIGNONIA SUAVEOLENS*

KVSK. Ram, G. Priyanka, D. Venkateshwarulu, D. Ramya, S. Bhargavi and  
A. Ravi Kumar

Department of Pharmacognosy, Bapatla College of Pharmacy, Bapatla-522 101,  
Andhra Pradesh, India.

### ABSTRACT

A Phytochemical profile of three selected plant species *Millingtonia hortensis* *Bignonia radicans* *Bignonia suaveolens* were carried out. Crude dry powder analysis, ash value, solubility, extractive value, fluorescence analysis, qualitative analysis of Phytochemicals and mineral contents of the chosen plants were studied using various solvents.

**Keywords:** Phytochemical profile Plant extracts. *Millingtonia hortensis* *Bignonia radicans*.

### INTRODUCTION

A Knowledge of the chemical constituents of plants is essential not only for the discovery of therapeutic agents, but also such information discloses the source of economic materials such as tannins, oils, gums, precursors for the synthesis of complex chemical substances of different values. In addition, the knowledge of the chemical constituents of plants would further be valuable in discovering the actual value of folkloric remedies. Several Phytochemical surveys have been carried out, including the random sampling approach, which involved some plant accessions collected from throughout the world. The major chemical substances of interest in these surveys have been the alkaloids and steroidal sapogenins, however, unsaturated sterols, triterpenoids, essential oils, etc., have also been reported. The present study was undertaken to determine the biologically active compounds that contribute to the flavor, color and other characteristic of the chosen plants. the trumpet creeper family of the mint order of flowering plants Lamiales. Bignoniaceae family contains about 110 genera and more than 800 species of trees, shrubs, and, most commonly, vines, chiefly of tropical America, tropical India and the Indo-Malayan region. They form an

important part of tropical forest ecosystems because of their numerous climbing vines. A few are found in temperate regions, notably the catapala tree (*Catalpa*), the trumpet creeper (*Campsis*), and the cross vine (*Bignonia*). The family is characterized by oppositely paired, usually bicomponent leaves and bell- or funnel-shaped bisexual flowers. The flowers feature a five-lobed calyx and corolla, two long and two short stamens arising from the corolla tube, and a pistil positioned on a disk above the attachment point of the other flower parts. The ovary consists of two fused ovule-bearing carpels enclosing two (rarely one) chambers that contain many ovules attached along the central axis. The seeds are usually flat and winged and are generally borne in a capsule fruit. Among the important ornamental and useful members are the African tulip tree (*Spathodea campanulata*), Calabash tree (*Crescentia cujete*), saosoga tree (*Kigelia africana*), trumpet creeper (*Campsis radicans*), cross vine (*Bignonia capreolata*), cat's claw (*Dolichandra unguis-cati*), trumpet tree (*Tabebuia*), jacaranda (*Jacaranda*), flowering willow (*Chilopsis linearis*), and Cape honeysuckle (*Tecoma capensis*). Phytochemical Profile of three

plants *Millingtonia hortensis* *Bignonia radicans* *Bignonia suaveolens* were selected for the study.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Three plant species roots of *Millingtonia hortensis* *Bignonia radicans* *Bignonia suaveolens* were authenticated by Prof. Dr. A.Ravi Kumar Head Department of Pharmacognosy Bapatla College of Pharmacy Bapatla Guntur District and collected from different parts of Guntur Krishna Prakasam Districts. The air dried plant material was made into fine powder in Willey Mill. The crude dried powdered materials are separately extracted with ethanol and water to a small bulk order reduced pressure at 50°C was suspended in water. Further fractioned with solvents like hexane, benzene, chloroform, methanol and water were subjected to chemical evaluation value of benzene, chloroform, hexane, water and ethanol soluble extractive values are also determined.

#### Phytochemical screening and Estimation of Chemical Constituents

##### Alkaloid determination

Around 5g of sample was weighed into a 250 ml beaker and 200 ml of 10% acetic acid in ethanol was added. The mixture was covered and allowed to stand for 4 hours. Then filtered and extract was concentrated on a water bath to one-quarter of the original volume. Concentrated ammonium hydroxide was added drop by drop to the extract until the precipitates completely dissolved. The whole solution was allowed to settle and the collected precipitates were washed dilute ammonium hydroxide and the filtered. The alkaloid residue was dried and weighed.

##### Tannin determination

Around 500 mg of the sample was weighed into a 59 ml plastic bottle. To this, 50 ml of distilled water was added and shaken for 1 h in a mechanical shaker. This solution was filtered into a 50 ml volumetric flask and made up to the mark. Then 5 ml of the filtered was pipette out into a test tube and mixed with 2 ml of 0.1 M.  $\text{FeCl}_3$  in 0.1 N. HCL and 0.008 M potassium ferrocyanide. The absorbance was measured at 420 nm within 10 min.

##### Saponin determination

The samples were ground and 20 g of each were taken in a conical flask and 100 ml of 20% aqueous ethanol was added. The samples were heated over a hot water bath for 4 hours with continuous stirring at about 55°C. The mixture was filtered and the residue was re-extracted with another 200 ml of ethanol. The combined extracts were reduced to 40 ml over water bath at about 90°C. The concentrate was transferred into a 250ml separator funnel and 20 ml of diethyl ether was added and vigorously shaken. The aqueous layer was recovered while the ether was discarded. The purification process was repeated. Then 60 ml of n-butanol was added. The combined n-butanol extracts were washed twice with 10 ml of 5% aqueous sodium chloride. The remaining solution was heated in a water bath. After evaporation the samples were dried in an oven constant weight and the saponin content was calculated as percentage.

##### Flavonoid determination

About 10 g of the plant sample was extracted repeatedly with 100 ml of 80% aqueous methanol at room temperature. The whole solution was filtered through Whatman filter paper no. 42 (125 mm) and the filtrate was transferred to a crucible and evaporated to dryness over a water bath and weighed to a constant weight.

##### Determination of total phenolic compound

The fat free sample was boiled with 50 ml of ether for extraction of the phenolic component for 15 min. From this 5 ml of the extract was pipette into a 50 ml flask, then 10 ml of distilled water was added. Then 2 ml of ammonium hydroxide solution and 5 ml of concentrated amyl alcohol were also added. The samples were made up to mark and left to react for 30 min for color development. This was measured at 505 nm in a spectrophotometer.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study carried out on the three plant samples revealed the presence of medicinally active constituents. Table presents the chemical composition of the *Millingtonia hortensis* *Bignonia radicans* *Bignonia suaveolens*

**Table 1: Crude dried powder analysis of the chosen plants**

Plant	Total ash	Water Soluble ash	Alkalinity For water Soluble ash	Acid Insoluble ash	P <sup>H</sup> 1% Aqueous solution	Loss on drying 110 <sup>o</sup> C
<i>M.hortensis</i>	NLT 6.09	NLT 2.73	0.34	NLT 0.78	6.2	NMT 6%w/w
<i>B.radicans</i>	NLT 6.28	NLT 1.74	0.51	NLT 0.61	7.1	NMT 12%w/w
<i>B.suaveolens</i>	NLT 7.09	NLT 2.77	0.1	NLT 0.53	6.6	NMT 6%w/w

NLT = Not less than; NMT = Not more than

Tough minerals such as sodium, magnesium, chloride and sulphate are present in all the studied plant species but no traces of iron was found (Table)

**Table 2: Mineral compositions of the selected plant species**

Plant	Calcium	Sodium	Iron	Magnesium	Chloride	Sulphate
<i>M.hortensis</i>	+	+	NT	+	+	+
<i>B.radicans</i>	+	+	NT	-	+	+
<i>B.suaveolens</i>	+	+	NT	+	+	+

NT – Not traceable

Crude extract of the test samples in five different extracts were analyzed and presented in Table

**Table 3: Extractive value of the chosen plants in various solvents**

Plant	Benzene extractive values (%)	Chloroform extractive values (%)	Water soluble extractive values (%)	Ethanol soluble extractive values (%)
<i>M.hortensis</i>	NMT 2.1394	NMT 3.09376	NMT 10.22923	NMT 14.1943
<i>B.radicans</i>	NMT 10.22829	NMT 12.713212	NMT 19.8728	NMT 4.7121
<i>B.suaveolens</i>	NMT 3.07814	NMT 3.43087	NMT 7.53379	NMT 13.762

NMT: Not more than

Phytochemical screening of the three plants extracted in the following solvents; hexane, chloroform, ethanol and water were analyzed and presented in table

**Table 4: Qualitative analysis of chemical constituents of the selected plants under various solvents**

Plant	Extract	Saponin	Antraquinone	Flavonoid	Protein	Carbohydrate	Terpene
<i>M.hortene</i>	Hexane	-	-	+	-	-	+
	Benzene	-	-	++	-	-	+
	Chloroform	-	++	++	-	-	-
	Ethanol	++	-	++	+	++	+
<i>B.radicans</i>	Water	+	-	-	+	+	-
	Benzene	-	-	++	-	-	-
	Chloroform	-	++	++	-	-	-
	Ethanol	++	+++	++	+	+	+
<i>B.suaveolens</i>	Water	+	-	-	+	++	-
	Hexane	-	-	-	-	-	+
	Chloroform	-	-	++	-	-	-
	Ethanol	+	+	++	+	+	-
<i>B.suaveolens</i>	Water	+	-	-	+	+	-

The plants studied here can be seen as a potential source of useful drugs. Further studies are going on these plants in order to isolate, identify, characterized and elucidate the structure of the bioactive compounds

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Head of Department of Pharmacognosy and Head of Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry of Bapatla College of Pharmacy Bapatla for helpful in completion of a Section of B.Pharm Project Work .

#### REFERENCES

1. Farnsworth NR. J Pharm. 1966;55:225.
2. Faraz Mojab, Mohammad Kamalinejad, Naysaneh Ghaderi and Hamid Reza Vahidipour Iranian. J Pharm Res. 2003;77.
3. Sofowara A. Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicine in Africa. Spectrum Books Ltd. Ibadan, Nigeria. 1993;289.
4. Trease GE and Evans WC. Pharmacognosy 11<sup>th</sup> Edn., Brailliar Tiridel Can. Mamillian Publishers. 1989.
5. Harborne JB. Phytochemical Methods, Chapman and Hall Ltd. London. 1973;49.
6. Van Burden TP and Robinson WC. J Agric Food Chem. 1981;1:77.
7. OPbadoni BO and Ochuko PO. Global J Pure Appl Sci. 2001;8:203.
8. Boham BA and Kocipal Abyanazan R. Pacific Sci.1994;48:458.