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#### Abstract

The total number of species of Lernanthropidae previously recorded from Australian waters is 15 (i.e., one species each of Aethon Krøyer, 1837, Lernanthropodes Bere, 1936, and Lernanthropsis Do, in Ho \& Do, 1985; 10 species of Lernanthropus de Blainville, 1822; and two species of Sagum Wilson, 1913), and all of these records are reviewed. We report here the presence of three species of Aethon. One species, A. garricki Hewitt, 1968, is reported from Australian waters for the first time and a new species, A. bicamera sp. nov., is described from the latrid, Latris lineatus (Forster, 1801) caught off South Australia. The genus Lernanthropodes is represented by a single species, L. trachinoti Pillai, 1962. We recognize Chauvanium Kazachenko, Kovaleva, Nguyen \& Ngo, 2017 as a subjective synonym of Lernanthropodes and transfer its type and only species C. chauvani Kazachenko, Kovaleva, Nguyen \& Ngo, 2017 which becomes Lernanthropodes chauvani (Kazachenko, Kovaleva, Nguyen \& Ngo, 2017) n. comb. Lernanthropsis mugilii (Shishido, 1898) is reported here from Mugil cephalus Linnaeus, 1758 sampled in Queensland and in New South Wales. The genus Lernanthropus is the most species rich and we report the presence of 20 nominal species on Australian marine fishes. This total includes six new species: L. alepicolus sp. nov. from Alepes apercna Grant, 1987, L. elegans sp. nov. from Atractoscion aequidens (Cuvier, 1830), L. gnathanodontus sp. nov. from Gnathanodon speciosus (Forsskål, 1775), L. paracruciatus sp. nov. from Protonibea diacanthus (Lacepède, 1802), L. pemphericola sp. nov. from Pempheris compressa (White, 1790), and L. selenotoca sp. nov. from Selenotoca multifasciata (Richardson, 1846). In addition, we report the presence of another four species in Australian waters for the first time: L. abitocephalus Tripathi, 1962, L. cadenati Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954, L. microlamini Hewitt, 1968, and L. pomadasysis Rangnekar \& Murti, 1961. After reexamination of the types of $L$. paenulatus Wilson, 1922 held in the USNM, we relegate this species to subjective synonymy with $L$. seriolii Shishido, 1898. Previous records of L. paenulatus from Australian Seriola species should be reassigned to L. seriolii. Lernanthropus ecclesi Kensley \& Grindley, 1973 is recognized as a junior subjective synonym of L. micropterygis Richiardi, 1884, and L. delamarei Marques, 1960, which is based on the male only, is tentatively considered to be a junior subjective synonym of $L$. micropterygis. Males are described for the first time for three species; L. breviculus Kabata, 1979, L. microlamini and L. mollis Kabata, 1979. A member of the genus Mitrapus Song \& Chen, 1976, M. oblongus (Pillai, 1964), is reported from Australia for the first time, on Herklotsichthys castelnaui (Ogilby, 1897) caught off Queensland and New South Wales. Finally, two species of Sagum were previously known from Australia and here we add three more. Two of the newly reported species were originally described as species of Lernanthropus but we formally transfer them here to Sagum as S. lativentris (Heller, 1865) n. comb. and S. sanguineus (Song, in Song \& Chen, 1976) n. comb. The males of $S$. lativentris and $S$. vespertilio Kabata, 1979 are described for the first time. A key to the females of the 31 species of lernanthropids found in Australian waters is provided.
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## Introduction

Copepods of the family Lernanthropidae are relatively large and conspicuous parasites which occur only on the gills of marine teleost fishes and some species have been implicated in causing disease problems in cultured finfish (e.g. Manera \& Dezfuli, 2003; Toksen, 2007; Chu et al., 2012). Lernanthropids occur globally but relatively few species have been recorded from the marine fishes of Australia. The earliest record of any lernanthropid from Australian waters was that of Heller (1865) who described Lernanthropus atrox Heller, 1865 from Chrysophrys auratus (Forster, 1801) (as Pagrus guttalatus). More than a century later, Cressey \& Collette (1970) in their global review of copepods parasitic on belonid fishes, reported two further species of Lernanthropus de Blainville, 1822 from Australia, both parasitic on the needlefish Strongylura incisa (Valenciennes, 1846). Kabata (1979a) published the most important work to date on Australian representatives of this family, recording nine species from three different genera, including three new species of Lernanthropus and one new species of Sagum Wilson, 1913. Since Kabata's (1979a) review a further five species have been reported, bringing the total number of species known from Australian waters to 15 . All previous records, together with the new records reported here are summarized in Table 1. The validity of these records is also assessed.

The family currently comprises nine genera: Aethon Krøyer, 1837, Chauvanium Kazachenko, Kovaleva, Nguyen \& Ngo, 2017, Lernanthropinus Do, in Ho \& Do, 1985, Lernanthropodes Bere, 1936, Lernanthropsis Do, in Ho \& Do, 1985, Lernanthropus, Mitrapus Song \& Chen, 1976, Norion von Nordmann, 1832, and Sagum. Representatives of five of these genera had previously been reported from Australian waters (Table 1) and here we report the presence of a Mitrapus species for the first time. We also discuss the validity of Chauvanium as a distinct genus.

In this paper we describe seven new species from Australian marine fishes, one of Aethon and six of Lernan-
thropus, and we report on an additional nine species recorded for the first time in Australian waters. Male morphology is particularly informative in the Lernanthropidae and in this study we provide data on the males of 14 species, including five species for which the males were previously unknown (i.e., Lernanthropus breviculus Kabata, 1979, L. microlamini Hewitt, 1968, L. mollis Kabata, 1979, Sagum lativentris (Heller, 1865) n. comb. and S. vespertilio Kabata, 1979), and five new species in which both sexes are described. A total of 31 species of the family Lernanthropidae is now known from Australian marine fishes. Either a full description, a supplementary description, or a brief diagnosis is presented for each these lernanthropid species and a key to adult females is provided.

TABLE 1. Species of the family Lernanthropidae and their hosts in Australian waters

| Current valid name | Host(s) in Australia: | Source of Australian record |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aethon bicamera sp. nov. | Latris lineata (Forster, 1801) | present account |
| Aethon garricki Hewitt, 1968 | Nemadactylus macropterus (Forster, 1801) | present account |
| Aethon morelandi Hewitt 1968 | Nemadactylus macropterus (Forster, 1801) | Rohde et al., 1980 |
|  | Nemadactylus valenciennesi (Whitley, 1937) | present account |
| Lernanthropodes trachinoti Pillai, 1962 | Trachinotus botla (Shaw, 1803) | Kabata, 1979a |
| Lernanthropsis mugilii (Shishido, 1898) | Mugil cephalus Linnaeus, 1758 | Kabata, 1979a; present account |
|  | Choerodon cyanopodus (Richardson, 1843) <br> (as Choerodon albigena (De Vis)) | Kabata, 1979a |
| Lernanthropus abitocephalus Tripathi, 1962 | Pomadasys argenteus (Forsskål, 1775) | present account |
| Lernanthropus alepicolus sp. nov. | Alepes apercna Grant, 1987 | present account |
| Lernanthropus atrox Heller, 1865 | Chrysophrys auratus (Forster, 1801) (as Pagrus guttalatus) | Heller, 1865; present account |
|  | Acanthopagrus australis (Günther, 1859) | Kabata, 1979a; present account |
|  | Rhabdosargus sarba (Forsskål, 1775) | present account |
| Lernanthropus belones Krøyer, 1863 | Strongylura incisa (Valenciennes, 1846) | Cressey \& Collette, 1970 |
| Lernanthropus brevicornutus Kabata, 1979 | Arrhamphus sclerolepis Günther, 1866 | Kabata, 1979a; present account |
|  | Hyporhamphus quoyi (Valenciennes, 1847) | Kabata, 1979a |
|  | Hyporhamphus regularis (Günther, 1866) | present account |
| Lernanthropus breviculus Kabata, 1979 | Cheilinus chlorourus (Bloch, 1791) | Kabata, 1979a |
| Lernanthropus cadenati Delamare | Megalops cyprinoides (Broussonet, 1782) | present account |
| Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954 |  |  |
| Lernanthropus chrysophrys Shishido, 1898 | Acanthopagrus pacificus Iwatsuki, Kume \& Yoshino, 2010 (as Acanthopagrus berda (Forsskål, 1775)) | Byrnes, 1988 |
|  | Acanthopagrus australis (Günther, 1859) | present account |
| Lernanthropus elegans sp. nov. | Atractoscion aequidens (Cuvier, 1830) | present account |
|  | Argyrosomus japonicus (Temminck \& Schlegel, 1843) (as A. hololepidotus | present account |
| Lernanthropus gisleri van Beneden, 1852 | Argyrosomus japonicus (Temminck \& Schlegel, 1843) (as Sciaena antarctica) <br> Selenotoca multifasciatus (Richardson, 1846) (as Scatophagus multifasciatus) | Kabata, 1979a; present account Kabata, 1979a |
| Lernanthropus gnathanodontus sp. nov. | Gnathanodon speciosus (Forsskål, 1775) | present account |
| Lernanthropus latis Yamaguti, 1954 | Lates calcarifer (Bloch, 1790) | Brazenor \& Hutson, 2013 |
| Lernanthropus microlamini Hewitt, 1968 | Hyperoglyphe antarctica (Carmichael, 1819) | present account |
| Lernanthropus mollis Kabata, 1979 | Sillago analis (Whitely, 1943) | Kabata, 1979a |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Current valid name | Host(s) in Australia: | Source of Australian record |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sillago bassensis Cuvier, 1829 | present account |
|  | Sillago burrus Richardson, 1842 | Hayward, 1997 |
|  | Sillago ciliata Cuvier, 1829 | Kabata, 1979a; present account |
|  | Sillago flindersi McKay, 1985 | Hayward, 1997 |
|  | Sillago maculata Quoy \& Gaimard, 1824 | Hayward, 1997 |
|  | Sillago schomburgkii Peters, 1864 | Hayward, 1997 |
|  | Sillago sihama (Forsskål, 1775) | Hayward, 1997 |
| Lernanthropus paracruciatus sp. nov. | Protonibea diacanthus (Lacepède, 1802) | present account |
| Lernanthropus pemphericola $\mathbf{s p}$. nov. | Pempheris compressa (White, 1790) | present account |
| Lernanthropus pomadasysis Rangnekar \& Murti, 1961 | Pomadasys kaakan (Cuvier, 1830) | present account |
| Lernanthropus seriolii Shishido, 1898 (as L. paenulatus Wilson, 1922) | Seriola hippos Günther, 1876 | present account |
|  | Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833 | Rohde et al., 1995; |
| (as L. paenulatus Wilson, 1922) | Seriola hippos Günther, 1876 | Hutson et al., 2007a <br> Hutson et al., 2007b |
| Lernanthropus selenotoca sp. nov. | Selenotoca multifasciatus (Richardson, 1846) | present account |
| Lernanthropus tylosuri Richiardi, in Goggio, 1906 | Strongylura incisa (Valenciennes, 1846) | Cressey \& Collette, 1970 |
| Mitrapus oblongus (Pillai, 1964) | Herklotsichthys castelnaui (Ogilby, 1897) | present account |
| Sagum epinepheli | Plectropomus leopardus (Lacepède, 1802) | present account |
| (Yamaguti \& Yamasu, 1960) |  |  |
|  | Epinephelus coioides (Hamilton, 1822) | present account |
| Sagum lativentris (Heller, 1865) n. comb. | Lutjanus carponotatus (Richardson, 1842) | present account |
|  | Lutjanus johnii (Bloch, 1792) | present account |
|  | Lutjanus russellii (Bleeker, 1849) | present account |
| Sagum petersi (van Beneden, 1857) | Epinephelus lanceolatus (Bloch, 1790) (as Promicrops lanceolatus) | Kabata, 1979a |
| Sagum sanguineus <br> (Song, in Song \& Chen, 1976) n. comb. | Lutjanus johnii (Bloch, 1792) | present account |
| Sagum vespertilio Kabata, 1979 | Lethrinus laticaudis Alleyne \& MacLeay, 1877 <br> (as L. fletus) <br> Lutjanus malabaricus (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) <br> Lutjanus erythropterus Bloch, 1790 <br> Lutjanus sebae (Cuvier, 1816) | Kabata, 1979a; present account present account present account present account |

## Materials and methods

New copepod material was collected during two parasitology workshops organised by Thomas Cribb and Scott Cutmore (University of Queensland) and held at the University of Queensland's Marine Laboratory on North Stradbroke Island in Moreton Bay. The workshops both took place during 2016, one in the austral summer ( 09 to 22 January) and one in winter ( 24 June to 07 July). Live fish were sourced each day, either from commercial fishermen using tunnel nets set in Moreton Bay, or by spear-fishing or rod-and-line fishing around the shore of the island. Fish from the commercial fishermen were transported live to the laboratory for examination; those that died during capture were usually placed on ice. Fish that were still alive on arrival at the laboratory were placed in holding tanks in the aquarium room. Fish caught by spear-fishing or rod-and-line were transported in coolboxes containing a mix of seawater and ice. The laboratory protocol for the parasitological examination of the fish is detailed in Cribb \& Bray (2010), although it was adapted to make it more suitable for copepods (see Boxshall, 2018, for details). Some additional material from Moreton Bay was collected by Scott Cutmore after the workshops.

Other recent collections of lernanthropids from Australian fishes include material from South Australia collected by K.S. Hutson, material from across northern Australia (from Western Australia to Queensland) collected by D.P. Barton, and material from the Northern Territory and Queensland collected by B.K. Diggles. Fish were collected either by hook and line or from commercial fisheries from a number of locations across northern Australia, from Locker Point in Western Australia to Moreton Bay. Fish were placed on ice or frozen and transported to the laboratory for processing. In the laboratory, each fish was measured (total length; mm), the gills removed and washed in sea water; the gills and the washing water were examined for parasites.

Additional unidentified material from Australian fishes lodged in the collections of the Natural History Museum, London was examined and included in this paper. The majority of this material in London was collected by Klaus Rohde in the early 1980s in the vicinity of Coffs Harbour (New South Wales). Type specimens of the new taxa described by Kabata (1979a) are also housed in the Natural History Museum, London and some were re-examined during this study.

The results presented here are based on the external morphology. After removal from the host, the copepods were typically preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol. Fixed specimens were cleaned with brushes and most of the larger species were photographed for habitus illustrations. Prior to morphological examination the specimens were cleared in lactic acid for at least 2 h and mounted on glass slides as temporary preparations in lactic acid. Limbs were dissected where necessary to observe fine details. Measurements were made using an ocular micrometer and drawings were made using a drawing tube on a Leitz Diaplan microscope equipped with differential interference contrast. Morphological terminology follows Huys \& Boxshall (1991); host fish names have been updated according to FishBase (Froese \& Pauly, 2018).

All holotypes and some paratypes, plus voucher specimens of known species collected from Moreton Bay are deposited in Queensland Museum (QM); the holotype of the new species from South Australia plus voucher specimens of known species collected from South Australia are deposited in the South Australian Museum (SAMA); holotypes of species collected from New South Wales are deposited in the Australian Museum, Sydney (AM). The holotype, allotype and some paratypes of a new species and voucher specimens of other species collected from the Northern Territory are deposited in the Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory (MAGNT), Darwin. Where available additional paratypes and/or vouchers are deposited in the collections of the Natural History Museum, London.

## Systematics

## Family Lernanthropidae

In the family Lernanthropidae characters relating to the gross body morphology of the adult female have proven valuable in the discrimination of both generic and species level taxa. At the generic level in particular, the general form of legs 1 to 4 provides important characters, as well as the presence and shape of the dorsal trunk plate(s) on the fourth pedigerous somite, and the form of the egg sacs in the adult female. Older descriptions tended to focus on gross morphology, so characters relating to the details of cephalosomic limbs are often not available. Fortunately, gross morphology is unusually informative in this family, even at the species level, so the taxonomy of lernanthropids is relatively robust. Although some species have been transferred to other genera, 148 of the 167 nominal species formally described in the family remain valid, with only 19 species ( $11 \%$ ) recognized as synonyms. Males of ten species are described here for the first time, including five previously unknown males and five from new species.

## Genus Aethon Krøyer, 1837

## Aethon bicamera sp. nov.

(Figs. 1-3)
Type material: Holotype $q$ and allotype $\delta$ from Latris lineata (Forster, 1801), Port MacDonnell, South Australia, January 2008; collected by K.S. Hutson; SAMA Reg. No. C6901. 2 q 9 and 2 §§ paratypes from L. lineata,

Port MacDonnell, South Australia, 06 May 2008; collected by K.S. Hutson; NHMUK Reg. Nos. 2009.276 and 2018.194-195.

Etymology: The name of the new species is derived from the Latin bi- meaning two and camera meaning chamber, and refers to the two chambers at the posterior end of the trunk.

Description: Female body comprising broad cephalothorax, subquadrate trunk and small urosome completely concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate (Fig. 1A,C). Mean body length 4.71 mm , with a range of 4.65 to 4.76 mm (based on 3 specimens). Cephalothorax comprising cephalosome plus first pedigerous somite; squat, about 2 times wider than long, with weakly convex frontal margin. Lateral margins tapering strongly, widest posteriorly; bearing 2 pairs of lateral lobes, posterior pair more prominent. Both pairs with surface ornamented with cuticular tubercles. Trunk somites completely fused; tergites of second and third pedigerous somites raised, distinct and with surface ornamentation (Fig. 1A-C). Lateral margin of trunk expanding outwards from prominent anterolateral shoulders backwards to tapering posterolateral processes. Entire dorsal surface of trunk ornamented with cuticular tubercles. Dorsal trunk plate covering fourth pedigerous somite and entire urosome; plate short but extending to full width of body, with weakly convex lateral margins and small median indentation in transverse posterior margin. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and anal somite all fused, wider than long. Anal slit terminal, located between paired caudal rami; caudal rami setation not observed.


FIGURE 1. Aethon bicamera sp. nov., holotype $q$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, ventral; C, habitus, lateral. Scale bar 2 mm.

Antennule (Fig. 2A) indistinctly segmented; segmental setation as follows: 1, 4, 2, 2, 1, 3+ae, $8+\mathrm{ae}$; all setae short and naked. Antenna (Fig. 2B) well developed; basal segment massive, bearing spinous process proximally on medial margin; terminal claw strong with spinous accessory process proximally on concave margin. Mandible stylet-like, armed with 8 marginal teeth distally (Fig. 2C). Maxillule bilobate (Fig. 2D); small inner lobe bearing 2 apical spines; laterally-directed outer lobe elongate, armed with 3 apical spines. Maxilla (Fig. 2E) comprising unarmed syncoxa and long basis bearing curved, bilaterally-serrate, terminal claw plus slender spine apically; basis bearing short spine subapically. Maxilliped (Fig. 2F) comprising robust basal segment and curved distal subchela bearing spinous process proximally and small process distally, at level of suture.

Leg 1 biramous (Fig. 2G); unsegmented protopod bearing stout inner spine and slender outer seta: endopod 1segmented, tapering distally, armed with apical spine about $35 \%$ length of segment: exopod indistinctly segmented bearing 5 stout spines around distal margin. Leg 2 (Fig. 2H) unsegmented and modified into large, heavily sclerotized, inwardly-curved process bearing accessory median process proximally; armed with small lateral papilla bearing outer protopodal seta; unarmed distal process representing exopod. Leg 3 (Fig. 2I) with large foliaceous rami; exopod oriented mainly vertically but curving ventrally to form lateral plates enclosing posterior part of trunk both laterally and ventrally (Fig. 1B,C): exopod rigid and strongly sclerotized, ornamented externally with tubercles: endopod unornamented, oriented mainly horizontally, separating upper trunk chamber containing loosely coiled egg
sacs from lower chamber containing adult male. Leg 3 pair meeting in midline at extreme anterior end and posteriorly but with distinct heart-shaped space separating legs in anterior third (Fig. 1B). Leg 4 (Fig. 2J) biramous with outer protopodal seta present proximally on dorsal margin; rami foliaceous, rounded distally, entirely enclosed by modified rami of leg 3. Leg 5 not observed.

Male. Body divided into cephalothorax incorporating first pedigerous somite, and unsegmented trunk representing fused second to fifth somites, genital somite and abdomen (Fig. 3A). Total body length 1.87 and 2.09 mm ( 2 specimens). Cephalothorax about 1.3 times longer than wide, with weakly convex lateral margins; comprising $57 \%$ of body length. Trunk about equal in width to cephalothorax, tapering posteriorly; comprising second to fifth pedigerous somites, genital complex and abdomen, all fused. Anal somite tapering posteriorly, bearing paired caudal rami (Fig. 3B) on posterolateral margins; rami about 2.3 times longer than maximum width; tapering irregularly towards tip; bearing 4 setae, with long dorsal seta located proximally, lateral seta situated about at mid-length, plus apical and subapical spiniform setae.

Antennule 7-segmented and showing traces of geniculation between segments 5 and 6 (Fig. 3C); armed with setae as follows: $1,4,2,5,1,2+\mathrm{ae}, 9+\mathrm{ae}$. Antenna massive (Fig. 3D) subchelate; comprising unarmed, robust basal segment and strong terminal claw bearing curved spinous process proximally on concave margin. Mandible and maxillule as in female. Maxilla (Fig. 3E) basis with bifid subapical process; terminal claw densely ornamented with fine spinules. Maxilliped (Fig. 3F) comprising muscular basal segment and curved terminal subchela; basal segment ornamented with extensive patches of tiny tubercles proximally on myxal surface, and with patches of spinules distally; subchela with small process near concave margin. Leg 1 biramous (Fig. 3G); unsegmented protopod bearing stout inner spine and slender outer seta: endopod 1 -segmented, tapering distally, armed with apical spine about $65 \%$ length of segment: exopod 1 -segmented armed with 5 spines along distal margin; protopod and both rami ornamented with patches of spinules, as figured. Leg 2 (Fig. 3H) biramous; protopod bearing outer seta on papilla; endopod slender tapering towards tip, bearing apical spine about $47 \%$ length of segment; exopod unsegmented, lobate, ornamented with rounded tubercles in zone of wrinkled integument on distal surface. Leg 3 (Fig. 3I) reduced, lamellate, bearing outer seta on elongate papilla; posteriorly directed lamella weakly bilobed and armed with 2 small spines on apex of outer lobe. Leg 4 (Fig. 3J) bi-lobed; bearing outer seta on papilla; larger lamelliform outer lobe ornamented with isolated sensillae and armed with 2 reduced setae distally; smaller inner lobe unarmed. Leg 5 (Fig. $3 A)$ represented by small outer lobe bearing single seta. Unarmed, plate-like operculum closing off each genital aperture probably representing leg 6.

Remarks: The genus Aethon currently comprises only four species (Walter \& Boxshall, 2018): the type species Aethon quadratus Krøyer, 1837, A. percis (Thomson, 1890), A. garricki Hewitt, 1968 and A. morelandi Hewitt, 1968. The type species is known only from the Atlantic, whereas the other three species were all originally described from New Zealand waters (Thomson, 1890; Hewitt, 1968). The new species can be readily distinguished from $A$. garricki by the shape of the posterior part of the trunk, the dorsal trunk plate, which is about 1.6 times longer than wide in A. garricki, whereas in the new species the dorsal trunk plate is 1.6 times wider than long. In addition, the lateral margins of the cephalothorax carry distinct lobes at the posterior extremity in the new species but these margins are evenly convex in A. garricki.

In both $A$. morelandi and $A$. percis the dorsal trunk plate is about as long as wide, compared to the short, squat plate of the new species. The free posterior margin of the plate forms two evenly rounded lobes separated by a median indentation in A. percis, whereas in A. morelandi this margin is more sinuous as it has a median indentation plus paired lateral indentations which produce the appearance of angular posterolateral corners. The new species differs from both of these species in having an almost linear, truncated rear margin with just a tiny median indentation. These differences are sufficient to justify the establishment of a new species.

The male was lodged inside a chamber enclosed laterally and ventrally by the sclerotized exopods of the third legs and dorsally by the unsclerotized third leg endopods plus the lamellate fourth legs which together form a horizontal internal wall separating the male from the dorsally-located, upper chamber containing the loosely coiled egg strings. The partitioning of the brood chamber into a dorsal space enclosing the egg strings and a ventral space housing a single adult male has not been reported before for any Aethon species. The male was attached directly to the gill filament of the host using its paired antennae which extend out through the anteriorly-located, heart-shaped gap between the third legs (Fig. 1B). It seems likely that the male attaches to the gill of the host and the adult female then moves into position over the male and envelopes it within its ventral chamber by closing the third legs around it. In this position both male and female are still attached to the host.


FIGURE 2. Aethon bicamera sp. nov., paratype q. A, antennule; B, antenna; C, mandible; D, maxillule; E, maxilla; F, maxilliped; G, leg 1; H, leg 2; I, leg 3, internal view; J, leg 4. Scale bars A,F,G $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{H}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{E}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


FIGURE 3. Aethon bicamera sp. nov., paratype $\delta^{\lambda}$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, caudal ramus, dorsal; C, antennule; D, antenna; E, maxilla; F, maxilliped; G, leg 1 and part of intercoxal sclerite; H, leg 2; I, leg 3; J, leg 4. Scale bars A, $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}-\mathrm{J}$, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{F}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Aethon garricki Hewitt, 1968

(Fig. 4)

Material examined: $1 q$ from gills of Nemadactylus macropterus (Forster, 1801), Port MacDonnell, South Australia, 09 May 2007; collected by K.S. Hutson; NHMUK 2007.951. 3q q from gills of N. macropterus caught 210 km SW of Streaky Bay ( $33^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$ S, $132^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), South Australia: collected by K.S. Hutson from host material in the Victoria Museum, Melbourne. 1 q from gills of N. macropterus, Port MacDonnell, South Australia, 09 May 2007; collected by K.S. Hutson; SAMA Reg No. C6896.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax longer than wide, with distinct dorsal cephalothoracic shield; lateral margins weakly convex, without processes (Fig. 4A). Trunk distinctly wider than cephalothorax: anterior part with 2 well-defined dorsal tergites derived from second and third pedigerous somites, lateral margins extended into long pointed posterolateral processes (Fig. 4A-C); posterior part of trunk (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by dorsal trunk plate. Plate about 1.6 times longer than wide, with almost linear lateral margins; posterior margin of plate with deep median indentation. Loosely coiled egg strings entirely concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate in dorsal view. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and 1 -segmented abdomen, all fused. Anal somite bearing paired caudal rami, each about 2.5 times longer than wide and tapering to acutely pointed apex. Leg 2 with endopod fused to protopod forming curved process bearing reduced exopod as small protuberance on outer side of process. Leg 3 large, comprising 2 fleshy lamellate lobes (Fig. 4B-C); outer lobe (exopod) rigid and sclerotised, orientated vertically and running length of dorsal trunk plate, forming side wall of cavity containing coiled egg strings: inner lobe (endopodal) elongate, orientated horizontally and extending posteriorly about as far as end of dorsal trunk plate; lobes connected by ventrally-directed, curtain-like lamella. Leg 4 bilobate; both lobes lamellate with rounded tips, inner lobe shorter than outer. Mean body length of $q 3.86 \mathrm{~mm}$, range 3.47 to 4.37 mm (based on 5 specimens).

Distribution: The original description of Aethon garricki was based on a single female collected from the cheilodactylid Nemadactylus macropterus (as Cheilodactylus macropterus), caught off Somes Island in Wellington Harbour, New Zealand (Hewitt, 1968). This new record from the same host, caught off South Australia, extends the known distribution of this distinctive species.


FIGURE 4. Aethon garricki Hewitt, 1968, adult $q$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 2 mm.

Remarks: This species is readily distinguished from its congeners by the smoothly convex lateral margins of its cephalothorax: in contrast the lateral margins of all other Aethon species have well developed lateral lobes. The holotype female from New Zealand was 3.82 mm long, while the Australian specimens are larger. Vooren \& Tracey (1976) studied the metazoan parasites of N. macropterus in New Zealand waters and found A. garricki to have an overall prevalence ranging from $16.9 \%$ off East Cape to $26.9 \%$ in Tasman Bay, but it was absent from the Chatham Islands population.

## Aethon morelandi Hewitt, 1968

(Fig. 5)
Material examined: $20 \not \subset q$, 18 ðゐ on Nemadactylus macropterus (Forster, 1801), Coffs Harbour, New South Wales, collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No.1982.86. $1{ }^{\Uparrow}$ from N. macropterus, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales, 15 November 1981; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1992.163-172.19 from "Morwong" (Cheilodactylidae), Port Macquarie, New South Wales, 01 September 1980; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1992.153-162. 1q from Nemadactylus valenciennesi (Whitley, 1937), Port MacDonnell, South Australia, collected by K.S. Hutson, 09 May 2007, SAMA Reg. No. C6891.


FIGURE 5. Aethon morelandi Hewitt 1968, adult q. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 2 mm .

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax markedly wider than long, with distinct dorsal cephalothoracic shield; lateral margins with 2 pairs of lateral processes (Fig. 5A-C), posterior pair much longer than anterior pair; tips of both pairs with papillate surface ornamentation. Trunk distinctly wider than cephalothorax: anterior part with 2 well-defined dorsal tergites derived from second and third pedigerous somites, posterolateral margins extended into pointed posterolateral processes; posterior part of trunk (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by dorsal trunk plate. Plate just longer than wide, with evenly but weakly convex lateral margins; posterior margin with deep median indentation and shallower lateral indentations (Fig. 5A). Looped egg strings entirely concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate in dorsal view. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and 1 -segmented abdomen all fused. Anal somite bearing paired caudal rami, each 1.3 times longer than wide, with bluntly rounded apex. Leg 2 with endopod fused to protopod forming curved process bearing reduced exopod as small protuberance on outer side of process. Leg 3 large, comprising 2 lamellate lobes; outer lobe (exopod) rigid and highly sclerotised, orien-
tated vertically and running length of trunk plate, forming side wall of cavity containing loosely coiled egg strings; external surface of outer lobe with papillate surface ornamentation: inner lobe (endopodal) orientated horizontally and extending posteriorly about to end of trunk plate; inner lobe fleshy, lacking surface ornamentation. Leg 4 bilobate; both lobes lamellate with rounded tip, inner lobe shorter than outer. Mean body length of $q 4.33 \mathrm{~mm}$ and of $\widehat{\sigma}$ 1.66 mm (length data from Boxshall, 1989).

Distribution: This species was originally described from New Zealand waters on the latrid Latridopsis ciliaris (Forster, 1801) (Hewitt, 1968). The first record from Australia was from Coffs Harbour (New South Wales) on the cheilodactylid Nemadactylus macropterus (Boxshall, 1989). A subsequent record of this species from N. valenciennesi caught in South Australia is reported here.

Remarks: Aethon morelandi was established on the basis of three females found on the gills of Latridopsis ciliaris caught at Ngaraunga near Wellington, New Zealand (Hewitt, 1968). The male was subsequently described from the same host caught at Portobello, east of Dunedin, New Zealand (Rohde et al., 1980). Both sexes were redescribed in detail by Boxshall (1989), based on material from Coffs Harbour.

## Genus Lernanthropodes Bere, 1936

## Lernanthropodes trachinoti Pillai, 1962

## Material examined: none.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax longer than wide; lateral margins near linear and tapering anteriorly towards narrow frontal margin; posterior margin concave. Trunk comprising elongate anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) with more or less parallel sides, lacking dorsal trunk plate, so fourth pedigerous somite and urosome exposed in dorsal view. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused; entire urosome sometimes flexed vertically upwards. Egg sacs linear. Caudal rami elongate, about 2.2 times longer than maximum width. Leg 3 lamellate; lamellae fused to form large ventral-ventrolateral tubular extension directed posteriorly but open dorsomedially so urosome visible dorsally: rear margin of tubular extension trilobate. Leg 4 biramous, rami forming slender flattened lobes, almost equal in length. Leg 5 absent. Body length of adult $q$ 4.5 mm (from Pillai, 1962), mean body length of adult 1.15 mm (from Kabata, 1979a).

Distribution: The original description of L. trachinoti was based on material collected from the carangid Trachinotus blochii (Lacepède, 1801) caught off Kerala, India (Pillai, 1962). Kabata (1979a) recorded the adult male and an immature female of L. trachinoti from Trachinotus botla (Shaw, 1803) caught at Tangalooma in Moreton Bay. It has since been reported from T. blochii caught off Taiwan (Ho et al., 2011).

Remarks: The female of L. trachinoti was redescribed in detail by Ho et al. (2011). They noted the close resemblance between their material and the original description of Pillai (1962) but remarked that the females described by Pillai (1985) in his monograph differ and may represent a different species. Kabata (1979a) provided the only available description of the male, based on Australian material.

The genus Lernanthropodes is well defined by its lack of a dorsal trunk plate on the fourth pedigerous somite and by configuration of the third legs which are fused to form a large ventral-ventrolateral tubular extension enclosing the posterior end of the body except for a dorsomedial opening through which the urosome is visible in dorsal view (Ho \& Do, 1985). These diagnostic characters are shared with the monotypic genus Chauvanium, recently established by Kazachenko et al. (2017) to accommodate C. chauvani Kazachenko, Kovaleva, Nguyen \& Ngo, 2017 described from the carangid Alepes melanoptera (Swainson, 1839) caught in Bakbo Gulf, Vietnam. The morphological basis for the new genus is the position of the posterior trunk "which is bent dorsally and directed towards the anterior end of the body" in Chauvanium, whereas "it is not bent dorsally and is directed towards the posterior end of the body" in Lernanthropodes (Kazachenko et al., 2017). Unfortunately, this character does not appear to be robust as a generic discriminant. Indeed, Pillai (1985: Fig. 209A-B) illustrated a female L. trachinoti with its entire urosome flexed vertically upwards and out through the dorsomedian opening, whereas the female of the same species illustrated by Ho et al. (2011) had its urosome directed posteriorly. The ability to flex the urosome upwards is exhibited by species of Lernanthropodes and there is no other support for Chauvanium is a distinct genus. The type species of Chauvanium is here transferred to Lernanthropodes as L. chauvani (Kazachenko, Kovaleva, Nguyen \& Ngo, 2017), new combination, and Chauvanium is here recognized as a junior subjective synonym of Lernanthropodes.

# Genus Lernanthropsis Do, in Ho \& Do, 1985 

## Lernanthropsis mugilii (Shishido, 1898)

(Fig. 6)
Syn: Lernanthropus mugilii Shishido, 1898
Lernanthropus mugilis Shishido, 1898 (emended by Yamaguti, 1936)
Lernanthropus shishidoi Shiino, 1955
Lernanthropus nudus Bassett-Smith, 1898

Material examined: $9 \uparrow q, 1 \widehat{c}^{\lambda}$ from Mugil cephalus Linnaeus, 1758 (TC17257), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 18 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; QM Reg. No. W29475. 3q o , 1 § from M. cephalus (TC17120), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 14 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; QM Reg. No. W29476. 7q $q$, $1 \delta^{\imath}$ from M. cephalus (TC17170), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 15 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. 10q 9 , $1 \delta^{\uparrow}$ from M. cephalus (TC17714, TC17715, TC17718), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 29 June 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. 5q $\uparrow$, 3 ỡ $^{\text {® }}$ from M. cephalus (TC17692, TC17695), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 28 June 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. 5 웅, 2 §§ from M. cephalus (TC 17763, TC17783, TC17788), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 01 July 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. 12 우, $4 \circlearrowleft^{\top}$ § from M. cephalus (TC17860), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 04 July 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.218-227.3q $\uparrow$, 3 § ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ from M. cephalus, Clarence River, New South Wales, 28 March 1981; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.91. 14우, 5 ${ }^{\top}{ }^{\top}$ from M. cephalus, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales, February 1981; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.92. 6q q, 2 §§ from M. cephalus, Arrawarra Creek, New South Wales, 22 April 1981; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.93.

Comparative material examined: Holotype female of Lernanthropus nudus Bassett-Smith, 1898 from Mugil sp. collected in Aden, BMNH Reg. No. 1898.12.2.12.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax slightly longer than wide with distinct frontal area delimited by distinct constriction (Fig. 6A). Trunk distinctly wider than cephalothorax, divided into anterior and posterior parts by shallow transverse furrow: anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) with weakly convex lateral margins; posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) with pair of small flattened lobes located dorsally above bases of fourth legs: dorsal lobes lamellate, clearly separated in midline (Fig. 6A). Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and 1-segmented abdomen, all fused. Caudal rami paired, each ramus about 2.1 times longer than wide; with bluntly rounded apex. Parabasal flagellum cylindrical with swollen base. Leg 3 comprising small fleshy lamella located posteriorly on third pedigerous somite; each leg projecting ventrally (Fig. 6B), concave posteriorly and about one quarter width of trunk. Leg 4 bilobate; inner and outer lobes elongate, subequal (Fig. 6A-B). Leg 5 absent. Body length of $Q$ ranging from 4.56 to 5.00 mm , with a mean of 4.68 mm (based on 10 specimens).

Male body comprising cephalothorax and trunk (Fig. 6C-D). Cephalothorax comprising $40 \%$ of total body length; with distinct frontal area bearing antennules and antennae delimited by constriction. Trunk comprising second to fourth pedigerous somites plus urosome, all somites fused but with grooves delimiting anterior pedigerous somites. Leg 3 uniramous, forming elongate, laterally-directed cylindrical lobe. Leg 4 biramous with subequal endopodal and exopodal lobes, each with rounded tip. Body length of o ranging from 3.35 to 3.60 mm , with a mean of 3.47 mm (based on 5 specimens).

Distribution: This species was originally described from Japan (Shishido, 1898) but it has a wide geographical range across the Indo-West Pacific with reports from Yemen (Bassett-Smith, 1898a), India (Pillai, 1964, 1985; Raja et al., 2018), Sri Lanka (Kirtisinghe, 1964), Taiwan (Ho et al., 2008), and China (Song \& Chen, 1976). Kabata (1979a) reported L. mugilii from Heron Island (Queensland) based on collections made by P.C. Young in 1963: the hosts in Australian waters were given as Mugil cephalus and a labrid, Choerodon cyanopodus (Richardson, 1843) (as Choerodon albigena (De Vis)). The latter report, from a non-mugilid host, is very unusual and may indicate an error in labelling the original collection. Lernanthropus mugilii has been reported from a second mugilid, Planiliza haematocheila (Temminck \& Schlegel, 1845) (as Mugil soiuy Basilewsky) caught in Chinese and Japanese waters (Song \& Chen, 1976; Gusev, 1951). Australian material in the collections of the NHM, London was collected from M. cephalus caught at three sites in New South Wales by K. Rohde.

Remarks: Kabata (1979a) reviewed the nomenclature of this species concluding that Lernanthropus shishidoi Shiino, 1955 was an unnecessary replacement name for L. mugilii, and that Lernanthropus nudus Bassett-Smith, 1898 was a junior synonym. After examination of the holotype female of $L$. nudus stored in the collections of the

Natural History Museum (BMNH Reg. No. 1898.12.2.12), we can confirm this synonymy. Yamaguti (1936) considered the name mugilii of Shishido (1898) to be a "lapsus calami or a typographical error" and proposed to correct the name to L. mugilis. This is an unnecessary emendation. A detailed redescription of both sexes was provided by Ho \& Do (1985). Ho et al. (2008) reported this species from Mugil cephalus landed in Taiwan and also redescribed both sexes. Izawa (2014) recently provided another re-description based on Japanese material from the same host.

This copepod can be very abundant locally: Raja et al. (2018) found a prevalence rate of $98 \%$ of $L$. mugilii on Mugil cephalus caught off the coast of southeastern India.


FIGURE 6. Lernanthropsis mugilii (Shishido, 1898), adult $\uparrow$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, ventral. Adult $\delta^{\lambda}$. C, habitus, dorsal; D, habitus, ventral. Scale bars 2 mm .

## Genus Lernanthropus de Blainville, 1822

## Lernanthropus abitocephalus Tripathi, 1962

(Fig. 7A-B)

Material examined: $3 q$ from Pomadasys argenteus (Forsskål, 1775), Bynoe Harbour, Darwin, Northern Territory, 24 November 2012, collected by B.K. Diggles; $2 q$ q to MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019240; 1 Q QM Reg. No. W29477. $2 q$ 早 (1 incomplete) from P. argenteus, Adelaide River, Northern Territory, 28 August 2012, collected by B.K. Diggles; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.230. $2 \widehat{J o}^{\top}$ from P. argenteus, Bynoe Harbour, Northern Territory, 16 October 2014, collected by B.K. Diggles; 1 § MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019240; 1 § NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.232. 1 immature $q$ (incomplete) from P. argenteus, Inner Harbour, Northern Territory, 25 August 2012, collected by B.K. Diggles; NHMUK 2018.231.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax much longer than wide, narrowing anteriorly towards short transverse frontal margin (Fig. 7A). Anterior part of trunk (second and third pedigerous somites) just longer and wider than cephalothorax, becoming gradually wider towards posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by dorsal trunk plate. Dorsal trunk plate very wide, with straight transverse free posterior margin. Urosome comprising partly fused fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen (Fig. 7B). Paired caudal rami elongate, each ramus about 2.5 times longer than wide; tapering towards blunt apex. Parabasal flagellum tapering linear process, about half length of antennule. Leg 3 forming fleshy lamella, outer lobe splayed outwards at right angle to longitudinal axis of body and extending towards posterior; inner lobe forming vertical lamella close to midline. Leg 4 bilobate; inner lobe shorter than outer; both lobes with distal tips protruding beyond free posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate. Leg 5 represented by unarmed conical process. Mean body length of $q 1.88 \mathrm{~mm}$ (range 1.82 to 1.92 , based on 4 specimens); body length of $\widehat{\delta}$ specimens 1.31 and 1.34 mm .

Distribution: This species was originally described on the basis of females collected from Pomadasys maculatus (Bloch, 1793) caught in the Bay of Bengal, India (Tripathi, 1962). Song \& Chen (1976) subsequently recorded L. abitocephalus on Pomadasys sp. in Chinese waters. This is the first record from Australia and P. argenteus is a new host record.

Remarks: Pillai (1985) found L. abitocephalus on $P$. maculatus caught off Kerala and redescribed it based only on the female. He made comparisons with L. pomadasysis described from the same host in Indian waters by Rangnekar \& Murti (1961) and concluded, despite some differences which he attributed to mistakes by Rangnekar \& Murti, that these two species are synonymous. Inexplicably Pillai (1985) chose to relegate the older of the two names (L. pomadasysis) to synonymy with the younger name (L. abitocephalus). Ho et al. (2008) redescribed female L. pomadasysis and described the male for the first time. They noted significant differences from L. abitocephalus in the proportions and shape of the cephalothorax, in the form of leg 3 and in the relative lengths of the lobate rami of leg 4 . On the basis of these differences (cf. Fig. 7C-D), they resurrected $L$. pomadasysis as a valid species, distinct from $L$. abitocephalus. We follow their treatment here.

Another closely related species is $L$. villiersi Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954 which is also parasitic on pomadasyids: it was first reported from Pomadasys incisus (Bowdich, 1825) (as Pristipoma bennetti Lowe) and P. rogeri (Cuvier, 1830) (as Pristipoma suillus (Valenciennes)) caught in the southeastern Atlantic off the coast of Senegal (Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954). Its known range was extended south to a latitude of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ by Capart (1959) who also reported it from P. incisus. An additional host, P. peroteti (Cuvier), was later reported from off the coast of Senegal (Diebakate \& Raibaut, 1996). The shape of the cephalothorax of $L$. villiersi is somewhat intermediate between L. abitocephalus and L. pomadasysis, and the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate is weakly indented in $L$. villiersi but straight in the other two species. As noted by Pillai (1985), the record of $L$. villiersi on Sillago sihama (Forsskål, 1775) caught off Sri Lanka (Kirtisinghe, 1964) may well be a misidentification of the very similar L. sillaginis Pillai, 1963.

## Lernanthropus alepicolus sp. nov.

(Fig. 8-9)
Type material: Holotype $q$ and 14 paratype $q$ q from Alepes apercna Grant, 1987 (TC17073), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 13 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall, QM Reg. Nos.W29478 (Holotype $q$ ), W29479 (paratype
q $q$ ). 8 paratype $q$ q from A. apercna (TC17052), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 12 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall, NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.206-213.

Etymology: the name of the new species combines the generic name of the host with -icola, meaning inhabitant.

Description. Female body comprising cephalothorax and trunk (Fig. 8A-C): body length excluding fourth legs ranging from 3.67 to 3.94 mm , with mean of 3.79 mm (based on 10 specimens). Cephalothorax comprising about $30 \%$ of total body length, about 1.1 times longer than wide; lateral margins convex, slightly expanded anterolaterally into ventrally-directed folds on either side of cephalothorax (Fig. 8B). Anterior part of trunk (second and third pedigerous somites) well delimited from posterior part by transverse groove; narrowest anteriorly, with prominent anterolateral lobes (shoulders), and widest posteriorly with rounded posterolateral lobes. Posterior part of trunk (Fig. 8A) bearing broad, rounded dorsal trunk plate covering entire abdomen including caudal rami, but with distal half of fourth legs visible in dorsal view; dorsal trunk plate with rounded posterior margin with minor irregularities and slight median indentation. Urosome (Fig. 9A) comprising fifth pedigerous somite fused to broad genital complex, indistinctly separated from unsegmented abdomen. Genital complex wider than long with conspicuous paired genital openings dorsolaterally and paired copulatory pores on ventral surface at extreme posterolateral corners (arrowed in Fig 9A). Dorsal surface of genital complex ornamented with row of 4 sensillae dorsally. Abdomen about 1.2 times longer than wide, with median anal slit. Paired caudal rami located on ventral surface: each ramus about 2.7 times longer than wide; armed with 2 plumose setae dorsally near base, 1 short lateral seta and 2 short apical setae (Fig. 9A).

Antennule (Fig. 9B) 6-segmented, segments 3 and 4 incompletely separated; some segments with irregular cuticular thickening; setal formula: 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, $11+2$ ae. Parabasal flagellum lacking. Antenna (Fig. 9C) robust, comprising swollen corpus, bearing papilliform process on medial surface, and distal subchela armed with small process in zone of arthrodial membrane in articulation between corpus and subchela; distal claw with flattened area of less sclerotized cuticle on concave margin. Mandible slender, stylet-like, armed with 8 marginal teeth distally (Fig. 9D). Maxillule (Fig. 9E) bilobate, smaller lobe tipped with 1 spiniform element; larger lobe tipped with 3 unequal spiniform elements. Maxilla (Fig. 9F) 2-segmented: comprising proximal syncoxa (lacertus) and distal basis (brachium); basis ornamented with slender process distally; terminal claw armed with rows of sharp denticles along both edges. Maxilliped (Fig. 9G) 2-segmented; comprising massive corpus with papilliform process and rounded knob on myxal surface, and distal subchela; subchela comprising compound endopodal segment, bearing minute seta (arrowhead on Fig. 9G) on concave margin and small knob-like process distally; terminal claw strongly curved.

Leg 1 with protopodal part fused to somite; members of leg pair joined by slender intercoxal sclerite (Fig. 9H). Each leg biramous with outer plumose seta and short, stout inner spine on incorporated protopod; exopod 1-segmented, armed with 5 robust terminal spines with smooth margins; endopod 1-segmented, tapering distally, armed with terminal seta and ornamented with patches of spinules distally. Leg 2 (Fig. 9I) mounted on inflated subspherical prominence derived from incorporated protopod and armed with outer plumose seta: both rami 1-segmented; exopod distinct, armed with 4 small distal spines; endopod incompletely separated basally, armed with small seta. Leg 3 bilobate: both lobes lamellate; outer (exopodal) lobe shoehorn-like in shape and slightly longer than inner (endopodal) lobe: endopodal lamellae of leg pair together forming hollow, shoehorn-like lobe but lobes separate along inner margin, not fused (Fig. 8C); outer basal seta not observed. Leg 4 (Fig. 9J) biramous with both rami forming elongate flattened processes, proximal part broader but tapering in distal half to narrower distal part; outer basal seta present proximally on dorsal surface: lobes of similar length but inner (endopodal) lobe slightly longer than outer (exopodal). Leg 5 (Fig. 9A) represented by cylindrical lobe about 2.5 times longer than width at base; armed with outer basal seta located dorsally near mid-length, plus 1 minute knob on ventral surface and another on dorsal surface of distal part.


FIGURE 7. Lernanthropus abitocephalus Tripathi, 1962, adult q. A, habitus, dorsal; B, urosome plus caudal rami, dorsal. Lernanthropus pomadasysis Rangnekar \& Murti, 1961, adult q. C, habitus, dorsal; D, urosome plus caudal rami, dorsal. Scale bars A, C, 0.5 mm ; B,D, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
TABLE 2. Lernanthropus species reported from hosts of the family Carangidae

| Lernanthropus species | Host | Locality | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L. alatus Pillai, 1964 | Selaroides leptolepis (Cuvier, 1833) | India (Kerala) | Pillai, 1964 |
|  | Alepes melanoptera (Swainson, 1839) | Vietnam | Kazachenko et al., 2014 |
| L. alepicolus sp. nov. | Alepes apercna Grant, 1987 | Queensland, Australia | present account |
| L. corniger Yamaguti, 1954* | Megalaspis cordyla (Linnaeus, 1758) | Indonesia; India; China; Taiwan; Japan; Malaysia; Thailand; Arabian Gulf | Yamaguti, 1954; Pillai, 1985; Song \& Chen, 1976; Liu et al., 2009b; Leong, 1986; Ho \& Kim, 2004; Al-Niaeem et al., 2013 |
|  | Alepes djedaba (Forsskål, 1775) <br> (as Carangoides malabaricus) | Arabian Gulf | Al-Niaeem et al., 2013 |
|  | Alepes djedaba (Forsskål, 1775) (as Caranx djedaba) | South Africa | Kensley \& Grindley, 1973 |
|  | Decapterus sp. | off Hainan Island, China | Song \& Chen, 1976 |
| L. giganteus Krøyer, 1863 | Caranx hippos (Linnaeus, 1766) (as Caranx carangus) | Brazil; Senegal | Krøyer, 1863; Delamare <br> Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954 |
|  | Caranx crysos (Mitchill, 1815) | Jamaica; off West Africa | Wilson 1913; Capart, 1959 |
|  | Caranx hippos (Linnaeus, 1766) | Jamaica; Texas (USA); Senegal; Brazil | Wilson, 1913; Pearse, 1952; Diebakate \& Raibaut, 1996; Luque \& Alves, 2001 |
|  | Caranx ignobilis (Forsskål, 1775) | Sri Lanka; Taiwan | Kirtisinghe, 1964; Liu et al., 2009b |
|  | Caranx latus Agassiz, 1831 | Brazil | Luque \& Alves, 2001 |
|  | Caranx melampygus Cuvier, 1833 | Yemen; Sri Lanka | Bassett-Smith, 1898a; Kirtisinghe, 1964 |
|  | Caranx hippos (Linnaeus, 1766) (as Caranx sansum) | Sri Lanka | Kirtisinghe, 1964 |
|  | Caranx senegallus Cuvier, 1833 | Senegal | Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954 |
|  | Caranx sexfasciatus Quoy \& Gaimard, 1825 | Taiwan | Liu et al., 2009b |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Lernanthropus species | Host | Locality | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carangoides ferdau (Forsskål, 1775) (as Caranx ferdau) | India | Pillai, 1985 |
|  | Hemicaranx bicolor (Günther, 1860) | off West Africa | Capart, 1959 |
|  | Carangoides praeustus (Anonymous [Bennett], 1830) | China | Song \& Chen, 1976 |
|  | Selaroides leptolepis (Cuvier, 1833) <br> (as Caranx leptolepis) | Kuwait | Ho \& Sey, 1996 |
| L. gnathanodontus sp. nov. | Gnathanodon speciosus (Forsskål, 1775) | Queensland, Australia | present account |
| L. indicus Pillai, 1967 | Caranx hippos (Linnaeus, 1766) <br> (as Caranx sansum) | India | Pillai, 1967 |
|  | Megalaspis cordyla (Linnaeus, 1758) | Arabian Gulf | Al-Ataby et al., 2012 |
|  | Alepes djedaba (Forsskål, 1775) <br> (as Carangiodes malabaricus) | Arabian Gulf | Al-Ataby et al., 2012 |
| L. koenigii Steenstrup \& Lutken, 1861** | Parastromateus niger (Bloch, 1795) | India | Pillai, 1985 |
| L. micropterygis Richiardi, 1884*** | Seriola dumerili (Risso, 1810) (as Micropteryx dumerili) | Mediterranean Sea | Richiardi, 1884; Brian, 1906 |
|  | Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833 (as Seriola aureovittata) | Red Sea | Wilson, 1924 |
| [as L. delamarei Marques, 1960] | Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833 | São Tomé | Marques, 1960 |
| [as L. ecclesi Kensley \& Grindley, 1973] | Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833 | South Africa | Kensley \& Grindley, 1973 |
| [as L. gisleri van Beneden, 1852] | Lichia amia Linnaeus, 1758 | Mediterranean Sea | Brian, 1906 |
| L. mitratus Shiino, 1959 | Seriola sp. | $06^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Shiino, 1959 |
| L. robustus Pillai, 1964 | Caranx sp. | India | Pillai, 1964 |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Lernanthropus species | Host | Locality | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L. seriolii Shishido, 1898**** | Seriola quinqueradiata | Japan | Shishido, 1898 |
|  | Temminck \& Schlegel, 1845 |  |  |
|  | Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833 | Japan | Izawa, 2014 |
|  | Seriola hippos Günther, 1876 | Queensland, Australia | present account |
| [as L. paenulatus] | Seriola hippos Günther, 1876 | South Australia, Australia | Hutson et al. 2007b |
| [as L. paenulatus] | Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833 | Massachusetts, North Carolina (USA); Australia; New Zealand; | Wilson, 1922; Rohde et al., 1995; Hutson et al., 2007a |
| [as L. paenulatus] | Seriola sp. | China | Song \& Chen, 1976 |
| Misidentified species |  |  |  |
| L. polynemi Richiardi, 1881 $\dagger$ <br> [as L. trifoliatus Bassett-Smith, 1898] | Alepes djedaba (Forsskål, 1775) (as Caranx kalla) | Sri Lanka | Kirtisinghe, 1956 |
| L. polynemi Richiardi, 1881 $\dagger$ <br> [as L. trifoliatus Bassett-Smith, 1898] | Carangoides praeustus (Anonymous [Bennett], 1830) (as Caranx Carangoides praeustus) | Sri Lanka | Kirtisinghe, 1956 |
| L. caranxi Hesse, 1878 $\dagger \dagger$ | "Caranx brachurus" | European waters | Hesse, 1878 |

*Lernanthropus corniger has also been reported from a number of non-carangid hosts including: Mene maculata (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) (Menidae) and Myripristis vittata Valenciennes, 1831 (Holocentridae) by Liu et al., (2009b), and Rastrelliger brachysoma (Bleeker, 1851) (Scombridae) by Pillai (1985).
lamarei Marques, 1960 is tentatively
** Lernanthropus koenigii has also been reported from the non-carangid host, Peprilus paru (Linnaeus, 1758) (Stromateidae) by Pillai (1985)
**** We consider that the report of L. seriolii (as L. paenulatus) from Scienops ocellatus (Linnaeus, 1766) by Causey (1953) may be a misidentification.
$\dagger$ Piasecki \& Hayward (2002) concluded that Kirtisinghe's material was unlikely to represent $L$. trifoliatus, which they synonymised with $L$. polynemi.
$\dagger \dagger$ Lernanthropus caranxi Hesse, 1878 is here transferred to Lernanthropinus as Lernanthropinus caranxi (Hesse, 1878) n. comb. (see text).


FIGURE 8. Lernanthropus alepicolus sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 1 mm .

Remarks: Globally, 13 species of Lernanthropus are typically found on carangid hosts (Table 2). Six of these species are known only from host fishes belonging to the genus Seriola Cuvier, 1816: L. delamarei Marques, 1960, L. ecclesi Kensley \& Grindley, 1973, L. micropterygis Richiardi, 1884, L. mitratus Shiino, 1959, L. paenulatus Wilson, 1922, and L. seriolii Shishido, 1898. The adult females of $L$. micropterygis and L. ecclesi both have a long slender body form with a lanceolate leg 5 that is about 4 to 5 times longer than wide, and lanceolate caudal rami, the tips of which extend beyond the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate. Richiardi's (1884) original description of $L$. micropterygis was based on females collected from Seriola dumerili (Risso, 1810) (as Micropteryx dumerili). It was very brief and lacked illustrations, however Goggio (1906) subsequently illustrated the habitus of both sexes based on material from the same host also caught in Italian waters. Some further morphological details of L. micropterygis were provided by Wilson (1924), based on material from Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833 (as S. aureovittata) caught in the Red Sea. The South African material from Seriola lalandi described by Kensley \& Grindley (1973) as a new species, L. ecclesi, appears to be identical to L. micropterygis in all important respects. We here propose that L. ecclesi be treated as a junior subjective synonym of L. micropterygis. The female illustrated by Brian (1906: Tav. XVII, fig. 2) under the name L. gisleri is misidentified: it is L. micropterygis and its host was the carangid Lichia amia Linnaeus, 1758.

Lernanthropus mitratus and L. seriolii both have long lanceolate fifth legs that extend well beyond the tips of the caudal rami. Both of these species and L. micropterygis can be readily differentiated from L. alepicolus $\mathbf{s p}$. nov. by the fifth legs, which are reduced to a short, laterally-directed, cylindrical process in the new species, and which are only about the same length as the caudal rami. The fifth legs of $L$. paenulatus were described by Wilson (1922) as "a pair of short fifth leg rudiments just in front of the genital segment", but re-examination of the type material of $L$. paenulatus has revealed that it has a large lanceolate leg 5 . After more detailed consideration (see below) we conclude that L. paenulatus Wilson, 1922 is a junior subjective synonym of L. seriolii Shishido, 1898.


FIGURE 9. Lernanthropus alepicolus sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, urosome showing right leg 5 and caudal rami, dorsal; B, antennule; C, antenna; D, mandible; E, maxillule; F, maxilla; G, maxilliped; H, leg 1 and intercoxal sclerite; I, leg 2; J, leg 4. Scale bars A,C, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{I}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{E}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Unfortunately, L. delamarei is known only from the male (Marques, 1960) and the male of the new species is unknown. However, in Lernanthropus both sexes usually have very similar antennules: in $L$. delamarei the antennule is 7 -segmented compared to indistinctly 6 -segmented in the new species. It seems probable that $L$. delamarei is the male of one of the other species known from the Atlantic and Mediterranean, L. micropterygis and L. seriolii. Its body is less elongate than the male of L. micropterygis (as L. ecclesi) figured by Kensley \& Grindley (1973), although this may well be a fixation artefact. The 7 -segmented antennules and the presence of a single long seta on the apex of the endopod of legs 1 and 2 are shared characters. The body form of the male of L. seriolii is more similar to that of $L$. delamarei but the antennules are only 6 -segmented and the endopod of leg 2 lacks an apical seta according to Wilson (1922: Pl. 7, Fig. 56). We tentatively place L. delamarei into synonymy with $L$. micropterygis, but re-examination of these males is necessary to confirm its true identity.

Among the remaining species listed in Table 2, L. giganteus Krøyer, 1863 is the most widely distributed, occurring on at least 11 different species of four different carangid genera, Caranx Lacepède, 1801, Carangoides Bleeker, 1851, Hemicaranx Bleeker 1862 and Selaroides Bleeker, 1851 (Table 2). It is characterized by the large processes on the posterolateral corners of the anterior part of the trunk, combined with the elongate fifth legs that extend at least to the tips of the elongate caudal rami (which are themselves about 4.2 times longer than wide, see Liu et al., 2009b). The new species differs in having short posterolateral processes on the anterior part of the trunk, short fifth legs that do not extend back past the genital apertures, and caudal rami that are only about 2.7 times longer than wide.

The other widely distributed species, L. corniger Yamaguti, 1954, is similar to the new species in having short fifth legs bearing a single subapical seta and it is also known to occur on a species of Alepes Swainson, 1839 (Table 2). However, L. corniger is instantly recognizable by the conspicuous paired anterior processes on the dorsal cephalic shield, formed by anterior projections of the ventrally folded lateral margins of the shield. Such frontal processes are lacking in the new species.

Lernanthropus robustus is another distinctive species, distinguishable by the shape of the dorsal trunk plate, which is longer than wide and has a concave posterior margin and angular posterolateral corners. In contrast the new species has a rounded dorsal trunk plate which is wider than long and has a rounded free posterior margin. Another major difference is leg 5 , which is long and lanceolate in $L$. robustus but short with a single subapical seta in $L$. alepicolus sp. nov.

The new species differs from L. indicus Pillai, 1967 in the form of leg 5, which is short with a single subapical seta in the former, but elongate in the latter, extending well beyond the tips of the caudal rami. The dorsal trunk plate of $L$. indicus is just longer than wide and tapers posteriorly whereas in the new species the plate is about 1.3 times wider than long and is widest at mid-length. Lernanthropus koenigii Steenstrup \& Lutken, 1861, which occurs on both a carangid and a stromateid host (Pillai, 1985), is similar to L. indicus in habitus but has enormous fifth legs, which are only just shorter than the inner (endopodal) lobe of the fourth legs. This feature serves to distinguish $L$. koenigii from both L. indicus and the new species.

The adult female of $L$. alatus Pillai, 1964 is similar in general habitus to L. alepicolus sp. nov. and both species have relatively short fifth legs that project laterally and do not extend past the genital openings. These species differ in the shape of the cephalothorax, which is about 1.3 times longer than wide with linear margins in the former but about as wide as long, with convex margins in the new species. In addition, the anterior trunk has pronounced anterior projections ("shoulders") in L. alepicolus sp. nov. whereas in L. alatus these corners are rounded.

Lernanthropus caranxi Hesse, 1878 is still listed in WoRMS as a valid species under the name L. carangis Hesse, 1878 (Walter \& Boxshall, 2019) but, as already indicated by Wilson (1922), it almost certainly doesn't belong in Lernanthropus. Given the poor quality of the original description, the generic placement of this species appears problematic as it shows some similarities with both Lernanthropinus and Lernanthropodes, depending on the interpretation of Hesse's description. Wilson (1922) simply rejected it from Lernanthropus but was "unable to locate it anywhere else". Hesse's (1878) description is stylized but shows the female with a deeply-incised dorsal trunk plate combined with paired third legs, as typical for a member of the genus Lernanthropinus. Its type host was given as "Caranx brachurus" by Hesse (1878), but the name of the host cannot be traced: brachurus has never been used as a specific epithet for any member of the family Carangidae (Eschmeyer, 1998). We believe that brachurus is a typographical error for trachurus, because Caranx trachurus Linnaeus, 1758 (now known as Trachurus trachurus (Linnaeus, 1758)) is a common carangid in European waters. This is also the type host for Lernanthropinus trachuri (Brian, 1903). Comparison between the figures of Lernanthropus caranxi published by Hesse (1878) and
the descriptions of Lernanthropinus trachuri published by Brian $(1903,1906)$ shows no major differences that cannot be attributed to the descriptive standards of the late nineteenth Century. We, therefore, recognise L. trachuri as a junior subjective synonym of L. caranxi, and this species is transferred to Lernanthropinus as Lernanthropinus caranxi (Hesse, 1878) n. comb. Its original spelling is retained and the amended spelling, L. carangis, used by Bas-sett-Smith (1899), Goggio (1906) and Wilson (1922) can be considered an unnecessary emendation.

Among species that do not occur on carangid hosts, the new species most closely resembles L. opisthopteri Pillai, 1964, a parasite of Opisthopterus tardoore (Cuvier, 1829) in Indian coastal waters, a member of the family Pristigasteridae. The basic body shape is similar and both species have a short leg 5 armed with a single seta. However, in L. opisthopteri the lobes of leg 4 differ in length, with a longer outer (exopodal) lobe that is longer than the entire body, whereas in the new species the outer and inner lobes are subequal and both are shorter than the entire body.

These comparisons with congeners from carangid hosts and with other congeners with similar body form, reveal multiple differences that justify the establishment of the new species to accommodate the Australian material collected from Alepes apercna.

## Lernanthropus atrox Heller, 1865

 26 November 1981; collected by K. Rohde. 2 q $9,1 \delta$ from R. sarba, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales, 1980; collected by K. Rohde. 21 q早, 13 §§ from R. sarba, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales, October 1980; collected by K. Rohde. 6qq, $12 \delta^{\AA} \delta^{\AA}$ from R. sarba, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales, 1980; collected by K. Rohde. $12 q$, 7 §§ from R. sarba, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales, 19 April 1982; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.106-111. 5q from R. sarba (TC17083), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 13 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; QM Reg. No. W29480. 2 q $q$ from R. sarba (TC17094), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 13 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall.

2 워 from Acanthopagrus australis (Günther, 1859) (TC17133), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 14 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; QM Reg, No. W29481. 2 qㅇ, $1 \delta^{\uparrow}$ from A. australis (TC17316), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 20 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.244-246. 1 Q from A. australis (TC17135), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 14 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall.

3 워 from Chrysophrys auratus (Forster, 1801) (TC17145), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 14 January 2016; collected by G.A.Boxshall; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.247-249. 1q from C. auratus (TC 16941), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 08 December 2015; collected by S.C. Cutmore; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.250. 2 §§ from C. auratus (TC17575), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 25 June 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. 13q $q$, $9 \widehat{o}^{\widehat{ }}{ }^{\widehat{\prime}}$ from C. auratus, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales, collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1982.85.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax subrectangular, wider than long. Trunk about as long as cephalothorax; anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) slightly wider than cephalothorax, bearing third legs ventrally (lateral margins of which visible in dorsal view). Posterior part of trunk (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by ovoid and longer than wide dorsal trunk plate, lateral margins of plate convex, entire free posterior margin weakly convex. Urosome comprising fused genital complex and abdomen bearing paired caudal rami. Caudal rami about twice as long as wide; tapering towards blunt apex; rami entirely concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate in dorsal view. Parabasal flagellum absent. Leg 3 located laterally on ventral surface of third pedigerous somite within trunk region, forming horizontally-orientated fleshy lamella, directed posteriorly, with weakly bilobed tip; outer lobe shorter than inner. Leg 4 bilobate; both inner and outer lobes elongate, subequal, protruding well beyond free posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate. Leg 5 as small unarmed lobe. Body length of $q$ ranging from 2.58 to 3.01 mm , with a mean of 2.80 mm (based on 6 specimens); body length of $2 \delta^{\top}$ specimens 1.68 and 1.78 mm .

Distribution: Lernanthropus atrox was originally described from Australian waters as parasitic on Chrysophrys auratus (as Pagrus guttalatus) by Heller (1865). Kabata (1979a) subsequently reported a single male specimen of L. atrox from Acanthopagrus australis (as Mylio australis) collected at Tangalooma in Moreton Bay by P.C. Young in February 1964. Kabata (1979a) considered L. atrox to be rather rare but we found it to be common on $A$. australis in Moreton Bay. Roubal (1981) reported L. atrox from the same host caught off northern New South Wales, and Roubal et al. (1983) reported it from Chrysophrys auratus taken off Coffs Harbour. In a more detailed study, Roubal et al. (1996) found a significant difference in the prevalence of L. atrox on C. auratus between the estuarine
(prevalence $=18.8 \%$ ) and the offshore populations (70.1\%) at Port Hacking, Sydney. Byrnes (1988) recorded it on A. australis, A. butcheri (Munro, 1949) and A. latus (Houttuyn, 1782) collected at numerous localities around the coast of Australia including: Carnarvon and Broome (WA), Brisbane (QLD), and Coffs Harbour, Newcastle and Eden (NSW).

This species has also been repeatedly recorded in Japanese waters (Shishido, 1898; Yamaguti, 1936; Shiino, 1955, 1959; Ho \& Do, 1985) where it occurs on several sparid fishes including Chrysophrys auratus (as Pagrosomus unicolor), P. major (Temminck \& Schlegel) and Acanthopagrus schlegelii (Bleeker, 1854) (as Sparus macrocephalus and as A. schlegelii). Lernanthropus atrox has also been reported from China on A. schlegelii (as Sparus macrocephalus) (Chin, 1947; Song \& Chen, 1976). In Brazilian Atlantic waters, L. atrox was recorded on Pagrus pagrus (Linnaeus, 1758) by Luque (1996), although the geographically disjunct nature of this record invites closer comparison with L. sarbae Kensley \& Grindley, 1973, described from South African waters.

Remarks: Bassett-Smith (1898b) reported L. atrox from Rhabdosargus sarba (as Chrysophrys sarba) caught at Bunder Abbas in the Persian Gulf but Ho \& Do (1985) agreed with Shiino (1955) in suspecting that this Lernanthropus should be attributed to L. sarbae described from the same host caught off South Africa (Kensley \& Grindley, 1973). When describing L. wilsonis Capart, 1941 from Sparus aurata Linnaeus, 1758 caught off the west coast of Africa, Capart (1941) appeared to distinguish between L. atrox as described by Heller (1865) and L. atrox as described by Yamaguti (1936). We follow Ho \& Do (1985) in considering Yamaguti's Japanese material of L. atrox as conspecific with Heller's.

## Lernanthropus belones Krøyer, 1863

(Fig. 10A)

Syn: Lernanthropus chlamydotus Wilson, 1922

## Material examined: none.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax longer than wide with linear lateral margins (Fig. 10A), widest posteriorly and tapering towards straight anterior margin. Trunk just over twice as long as cephalothorax; anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) as wide as cephalothorax, with weakly convex margins; posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by long, cloak-like dorsal trunk plate, flared out laterally and widest towards posterior (Fig. 10A). Urosome comprising fused genital complex and abdomen bearing paired caudal rami. Caudal rami short and broad, with bluntly pointed apex; all caudal setae located in distal half of ramus. Leg 3 located ventrolaterally at rear of third pedigerous somite, forming shoehorn-shaped fleshy lamella, directed ventrally; third legs separate along midline. Leg 4 biramous; rami forming elongate, flattened lobes, entirely concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate in adult. Leg 5 absent. Mean body length of $q 2.85 \mathrm{~mm}$, of $\sigma^{\overparen{ }} 1.53 \mathrm{~mm}$ (from Cressey \& Collette, 1970).

Distribution: In their monographic survey of copepods parasitic on needlefishes (Belonidae), Cressey \& Collette (1970) reported $L$. belones from nine different host species collected at numerous localities in tropical, subtropical and warm temperate waters around the world. Their survey included a record of L. belones from Strongylura incisa caught off Arnhem Land (New Territory).

Remarks: Cressey \& Collette (1970) recognized only two species of Lernanthropus on belonid hosts, L. belones and L. tylosuri Richiardi, in Goggio, 1906 (as L. tylosuri Richiardi, 1880). They distinguished between them solely on the basis of the shape of the cephalothorax: in L. tylosuri there are prominent posterolateral processes on the margin of the cephalothorax while $L$. belones lacks such processes. The males of both species were figured in detail by Cressey \& Collette (1970), who noted fine scale differences in the shape of the lamellate leg 4, which they considered to be more deeply bifid at its apex in L. tylosuri than in L. belones.

Without discussion, Cressey \& Collette (1970) placed L. chlamydotus Wilson, 1922 (as L. chlamydotes) in the synonymy of $L$. belones and this synonymy was accepted by Ho \& Do (1985). However, as recognized by Shiino (1955), the Japanese material reported by Yamaguti (1939) under the name L. chlamydotus is referable to L. tylosuri, as previously noted by Ho \& Do (1985).


FIGURE 10. Lernanthropus belones Krøyer, 1863, adult q. A, habitus, dorsal. Lernanthropus brevicornutus Kabata, 1979 adult $q$. B, habitus, dorsal. Lernanthropus tylosuri Richiardi, in Goggio, 1906, adult $q$. C, habitus, dorsal. [Figures modified from Cressey \& Collette, 1970, Kabata, 1979a, and Ho \& Do, 1985, respectively]. Lernanthropus brevicornutus Kabata, 1979, adult Q, D. urosome and caudal rami, dorsal; E, leg 4; F, tip of exopod of leg 4; G, tip of endopod of leg 4. Adult $\delta^{\lambda}$. H, habitus, dorsal. All scale bars 0.5 mm .

## Lernanthropus brevicornutus Kabata, 1979

(Figs. 10B, D-H, Fig. 11)
Material examined: Holotype 1 Q from Arrhamphus sclerolepis Günther, 1846, Moreton Bay, Queensland, 01 October 1963; collected by P.C. Young; NHMUK Reg. No.1977.114.

Paratype $4 \uparrow$ ¢ , $1 \delta$ from A. sclerolepis, Moreton Bay, Queensland, 01 October 1963; collected by P.C. Young; NHMUK Reg. No. 1977.115-118. 3 Q $\uparrow$, 1 § from Arrhamphus sclerolepis (TC17221), Moreton Bay, Queensland; 18 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; 3 q $\uparrow$ and $1 ठ^{\wedge}$ QM Reg. No. W29483.
$1 \not \subset$ from Hyporhamphus regularis (Günther, 1866) (TC17638), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 27 June 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.251.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax longer than wide, with linear lateral margins narrowing anteriorly, and bearing small posterolateral processes (Fig. 10B, 11A-C). Trunk about 3 times longer than cephalothorax with more-or-less parallel lateral margins; anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) slightly wider than cephalothorax, bearing third legs ventrally; posterior part of trunk (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by long, cloak-like dorsal trunk plate, with convex lateral margins and weak median indentation on posterior margin (Fig. 10B, 11A-C). Urosome comprising fused genital complex and abdomen bearing paired caudal rami (Fig. 10D). Caudal rami short and broad, with bluntly pointed apex; all 5 caudal setae located in distal half of ramus. Parabasal flagellum long and slightly curved, reaching to middle of subapical segment of antennule. Leg 3 forming shoehorn-shaped lamella, projecting ventrolaterally (Fig. 11B); third legs separate along midline and slightly diverging from opposite member of leg pair. Leg 4 biramous (Fig. 10E); rami forming subequal elongate lobes, completely concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate in dorsal view (Fig. 11A); both lobes with complex apical ornamentation (Fig. 10F,G). Leg 5 absent. Body length of $q$ ranging from 3.98 to 4.21 mm , with a mean of 4.12 mm (based on 3 specimens).
Male with cephalothorax about as long as wide; comprising 37\% of total body length (Fig. 10H). Leg 3 uniramous. Leg 4 forming elongate lobe with bifid tip (Fig. 10H). Body length of single ठ 1.49 mm .

Distribution: Originally described from Moreton Bay by Kabata (1979a), this species was based on material of both sexes collected from Arrhamphus sclerolepis (the type host) and from Hyporhamphus quoyi (Valenciennes, 1847) (as Hemirhamphus quoyi). This is only the second report of this copepod and Hyporhamphus regularis is a new host record.


FIGURE 11. Lernanthropus brevicornutus Kabata, 1979 adult $q$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 1 mm .

Remarks: Cressey \& Collette (1970) recognized only two species of Lernanthropus on belonid hosts, L. belones and L. tylosuri, both of which are globally distributed on needlefish hosts (Belonidae). They noted variation in size of the posterolateral processes on the margins of the cephalothorax but treated the state of development of the processes almost as a continuous variable, although they identified all specimens bearing processes as L. tylosuri. When establishing L. brevicornutus, Kabata (1979a) highlighted the close similarity between it and both L. belones and $L$. tylosuri, but he chose to recognize three species which he distinguished primarily on the basis of the presence and size of the paired posterolateral processes on the cephalothorax: these are absent in L. belones (Fig. 10A), short in L. brevicornutus (Fig. 10B) and long in L. tylosuri (Fig. 10C). The validity of these three very similar species should be tested using molecular data. The female photographed in Figure 11A-C has a distorted dorsal trunk plate (a post-fixation artefact) but a slight median indentation in the posterior margin of the plate is visible and was present in the holotype (Kabata, 1979a: Fig. 3). In both L. belones and L. tylosuri the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate is evenly convex. This is an additional feature which might help to distinguish L. brevicornutus.

## Lernanthropus breviculus Kabata, 1979

(Figs. 12-14)

Material examined: Holotype $1 q$ on Cheilinus chlorourus (Bloch, 1791), Heron Island, Queensland, 24 August 1963; collected by P.C. Young; NHMUK Reg. No.1977.121.

Comparative material examined: 1 q from C. chlorourus, Baie de Koutio, New Caledonia; collected by J.-L. Justine, NHMUK Reg. No. 2010.657.

7 우, $2 \widehat{\text { § }}$ from Choerodon graphicus De Vis, 1885, New Caledonia, collected by J.-L. Justine, NHMUK Reg. No. 2012.249-257. 1 $\uparrow$ from C. graphicus, New Caledonia, collected by J.-L. Justine, NHMUK Reg. No. 2012.248.
$1 \not \subset$ from Cheilinus trilobatus Lacepède, 1801, New Caledonia, collected by J.-L. Justine, NHMUK Reg. No. 2012.261.
$3 \not \subset Q$ from Oxycheilinus unifasciatus (Streets, 1877), New Caledonia, collected by J.-L. Justine, NHMUK 2012.258-260.

Supplementary description of female: Cephalothorax about as long as wide, with slightly angular convex lateral margins (Fig. 12A). Anterior part of trunk (second and third pedigerous somites) broader than cephalothorax and broader than posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite), covered by narrow dorsal trunk plate. Dorsal trunk plate longer than wide, with slightly angular lateral margins and free posterior margin. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and 1-segmented abdomen, all fused (Fig. 13A); genital complex about twice as wide as long, with large paired genital apertures located dorsally and paired copulatory pores at posterolateral corners; surface ornamented with pair of sensillae. Paired caudal rami elongate, about 4.1 times longer than wide; tapering towards blunt apex; bearing 2 plumose setae dorsally, one short naked seta on mid-lateral margin, and 2 short naked setae apically.

Antennule (Fig. 13B) indistinctly 6 -segmented; armed with $1,3,2,1,1,10+2 \mathrm{ae}$. Antenna comprising long corpus with shallow papilla on medial surface, plus strongly recurved distal subchela (Fig. 13C). Parabasal flagellum absent. Maxilla with terminal claw ornamented with spinules (Fig. 13D). Maxilliped (Fig. 13E) 2-segmented; comprising massive corpus with papilliform process and proximal swelling on myxal surface, and strong distal subchela. Leg 1 biramous (Fig. 13F), joined by intercoxal sclerite; protopod with outer seta on papilla plus inner margin spine: exopod 1 -segmented with 5 spines on distal margin; endopod 1 -segmented, unarmed but with internal glandular structure at apex. Leg 2 forming large ventrally directed lobe (Fig. 13G) derived from protopod armed with outer seta, and carrying small, 1 -segmented rami distally; exopod armed with 4 vestigial spines; endopod lobate, unarmed. Leg 3 forming fleshy lamella, orientated horizontally and directed-posteriorly; members of leg pair fully fused along midline (Fig. 12B). Leg 4 bilobate; inner and outer lobes subequal, protruding well beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate (Fig. 12B). Leg 5 absent. Body length of holotype $q 1.90 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Kabata, 1979a).

Description of male: Body smaller than female (Fig. 14A), total length about 1.70 mm (based on 2 specimens). Cephalothorax large, comprising about $46 \%$ of total body length, with convex lateral margins. Frontal area of cephalothorax carrying antennule and antennae, defined by indentation. Trunk comprising all fused post-cephalothoracic somites (Fig. 14A), including urosome. Anal somite defined, bearing paired caudal rami; each ramus
elongate, about 3.0 times longer than wide, armed with 2 plumose setae proximally on dorsal surface, 1 short lateral seta located about at mid-length, plus 2 apical setae.


FIGURE 12. Lernanthropus breviculus Kabata, 1979, adult q. A, habitus, ventral; B, habitus, dorsal. Scale bar 1 mm.

Antennule 6-segmented as in female; setal formula: $1,3,2,0,1,3+\mathrm{ae}, 7+$ ae. Parabasal flagellum absent. Antenna comprising long, slender corpus and distal subchela terminating in strongly recurved claw: corpus armed with broad process proximally on medial surface plus inner distal process; subchela armed with strong accessory claw proximally and another accessory claw near middle. Mandible stylet-like, with 8 marginal teeth near apex. Maxillule bilobate, larger lobe armed with 3 unequal elements distally; smaller lobe with strong apical element. Maxilla with 2 rows of denticles on distal claw. Maxilliped comprising robust corpus bearing small pointed myxal process and ornamented with patches of blunt spinules proximally on medial surface, and distal subchela armed with inner seta about at mid-length plus blunt process at base of terminal claw.


FIGURE 13. Lernanthropus breviculus Kabata, 1979, adult $q$. A, urosome and caudal rami, dorsal; B, antennule; C, antenna; D, tip of maxilla; E, maxilliped; F, leg 1 and part of intercoxal sclerite; G, leg 2. Scale bars A,C,E,G, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B} 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{F}$, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Leg 1 robust, members of leg pair joined by intercoxal sclerite as in female: basis armed with outer seta on papilla and stout inner spine; exopod 1 -segmented, broadening distally, armed with 5 distal spines, as in female; endopod 1-segmented (Fig. 14B), tapering distally, armed with spinulose apical seta about 2.5 times longer than segment; segment ornamented with spinules distally. Leg 2 (Fig. 14C) lacking intercoxal sclerite; basis with outer seta; both rami 1-segmented; exopod lobate, modified with spinous structures and rows of spinules on distal surface; endopod just longer than wide and armed with long unilaterally spinulose seta apically; seta about 2.0 times longer than segment; surface of segment ornamented with spinule row proximally. Leg 3 (Fig. 14A) uniramous, forming long cylindrical process directed posterolaterally from ventrolateral origin on trunk, armed with basal seta dorsally at base of limb; surface of leg 3 densely ornamented with rounded tubercles. Leg 4 (Fig. 14A) uniramous, forming long cylindrical process, outer basal seta present dorsally at base of limb. Leg 5 represented by minute papilla with apical seta (arrowed in Fig. 14A).


FIGURE 14. Lernanthropus breviculus Kabata, 1979, adult $\delta^{\lambda}$. A, habitus, dorsal view with seta representing fifth leg arrowed; B, endopod of leg 1; C, leg 2. Scale bars A, $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Distribution: Kabata (1979a) established L. breviculus based on a single female collected from the gills of the labrid Cheilinus chlorourus (as C. chlorurus) caught off Heron Island. It has been collected subsequently by J.-L. Justine from the same host, C. chlorourus, caught in the Baie de Koutio, New Caledonia, and from three other labrid species, Choerodon graphicus (NHMUK 2012.248 and 2012.249-257), Oxycheilinus unifasciatus (NHMUK 2012.258-260) and Cheilinus trilobatus (NHMUK 2012.261).

Remarks: Kabata (1979a) had only a single specimen, the holotype, which he did not dissect, so this species has never been fully described. On the basis of material in the collections of the Natural History Museum, we here provide a supplementary description of the female including details of appendage structure, plus the first description
of the male. The material described here was collected by J.-L. Justine from Choerodon graphicus caught off New Caledonia.

Considering only the habitus of the female, L. breviculus appears to be closely related to L. callionymicola El-Rashidy \& Boxshall, 2012 described from Callionymus filamentosus Valenciennes, 1837 caught in the Mediterranean Sea (El-Rashidy \& Boxshall, 2012), but the dorsal trunk plate of L. callionymicola is very short and the tips of the caudal rami are visible in dorsal view, whereas in L. breviculus the dorsal trunk plate is relatively longer and the caudal rami are completely concealed (Fig. 11A-B).

## Lernanthropus cadenati Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954

(Fig. 15)

Material examined: $2 q$ q and $1 \delta$ from Megalops cyprinoides (Broussonet, 1782) purchased at West End Market, Brisbane, Queensland, 25 February 2017; collected by R.Q-Y.Yong; QM Reg. No. W29484. 2 Q $q$ from M. cyprinoides Darwin, Northern Territory, 18 October 2012; collected by B.K. Diggles; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.214-215.

Differential Diagnosis: Cephalothorax just wider than long (Fig. 15A); lateral margins produced anteroventrally into vertical flanges; frontal margin broad, slightly convex. Trunk subrectangular, comprising anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) wider than cephalothorax and bearing third legs posterolaterally, and posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by dorsal trunk plate about as wide as anterior part. Dorsal trunk plate with weakly convex lateral margins but extremely short, so urosome entirely exposed and visible in dorsal view (Fig. 15A-C). Egg sacs linear. Caudal rami about 3.2 times longer than width at base. Parabasal flagellum with swollen base and tapering distal part. Leg 3 lamellate, with large fleshy outer lobe directed posteriorly and almost reaching to end of dorsal trunk plate, plus lamellate inner lobe (endopod): endopodal lobes fused in midline to form triangular ventral plate (Fig. 15C). Leg 4 bilobate, both lobes lamellate (Fig. 15C), outer lobe longer than inner with only very bases of lobes concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate. Leg 5 reduced to short conical process, unarmed. Mean body length of $q 5.05 \mathrm{~mm}$, range 4.95 to 5.11 mm (based on 3 specimens).


FIGURE 15. Lernanthropus cadenati Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954, adult $q$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 2 mm .

Distribution. Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo (1954) first described this species based on females collected from Elops senegalensis Regan, 1909 caught off the coast of Senegal (West Africa). It was subsequently reported from India on a megalopid, Megalops cyprinoides by Pillai (1966). Liu et al. (2009a) recorded it from the same host landed in Taiwan and they also found it on a second elopid host, Elops machnata (Forsskål, 1775). In the collections of the NHM there is material of L. cadenati collected by J.-L. Justine from the gills of Elops hawaiensis Regan, 1909 caught off New Caledonia (NHMUK 2010.897). Ho \& Sey (1996) reported L. cadenati from two sparid hosts, Acanthopagrus berda (Forsskål, 1775) and Sparidentex hasta (Valenciennes, 1830) (as Acanthopagrus hasta). This report from sparid hosts, rather than elopid and megalopid hosts, is most unusual and needs confirmation. The present report is the first from Australian waters, and the known distribution now extends from Brisbane in Queensland to Darwin in the Northern Territory.

Remarks. Both sexes of this distinctive species were redescribed in detail by Liu et al. (2009a). The form of the third legs with their fused endopodal lobes, in combination with the short dorsal trunk plate which leaves the entire genitoabdomen and bases of the fourth legs exposed in dorsal view, are important diagnostic characters of the female. The male exhibits marked size disparity between its uniramous third and fourth legs: leg 3 is about as long as the male's trunk while leg 4 is about 4 times longer than leg 3 and 1.5 times longer than the entire body of the male.

Lernanthropus delamari Capart, 1959 is also known from elopid hosts, E. senegalensis and E. lacerta Valenciennes, 1847, from off the coast of Zaire and Senegal (Capart, 1959; Diebakate \& Raibaut, 1996). Lernanthropus cadenati can be differentiated from L. delamari by the extent of the dorsal trunk plate, which is short in the former, revealing the abdomen and caudal rami in dorsal view, but long in the latter, completely concealing the entire urosome in dorsal view. The single record of L. rathbuni Wilson, 1922 on Elops saurus Linnaeus, 1766 (Causey, 1953) is questionable since, as already remarked by Diebakate \& Raibaut (1996): L. delamari is very similar to L. rathbuni and the former was not recognized as a distinct species until 1959.

## Lernanthropus chrysophrys Shishido, 1898

(Fig. 16)

Material examined: $3 \uparrow q$ from Acanthopagrus australis (Günther, 1859) (TC17145), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 14 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; QM Reg. No. W29485. 2 q $q$ from A. australis (TC17250), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 18 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; QM Reg. No. W29486. $3 \uparrow$ q from A. australis (TC17563), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 24 June 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; QM reg. No. W29487. 2 q $q$ from A. australis (TC17132), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 14 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. $1 q$ from A. australis (TC17310), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 20 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. $2 q$ q from $A$. australis (TC17316), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 20 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. 4 Q $9,1 \delta^{\lambda}$ from $A$. australis (TC17580), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 25 June 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.234-243.
$3 Q Q$ from Acanthopagrus pacificus Iwatsuki, Kume \& Yoshino, 2010, Darwin Harbour, Northern Territory, 15 October 2014: collected by B.K. Diggles; $2 q$ q MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019241; $1 q$ NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.233.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax about as long as wide, with almost straight frontal margin and paired posterolateral processes on lateral margins (Fig. 16A-C); processes slightly curved posteriorly. Anterior part of trunk (second and third pedigerous somites) narrower than cephalothorax, longer than wide with slight indentation marking boundary between fused second and third pedigerous somites. Posterior part of trunk (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by almost circular dorsal trunk plate, with entire and almost linear free posterior margin. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused. Paired caudal rami elongate, each ramus about 4 times longer than wide; tapering towards apex. Parabasal flagellum tapering from wide base to cylindrical distal part. Leg 3 forming fleshy lamella, projecting ventrally with distal part curving anteriorly (Fig. 16B); lamella curved (so convex anteriorly and concave posteriorly). Leg 4 bilobate; lobes lanceolate (Fig. 16C), inner lobe slightly shorter than outer, distal halves of both lobes protruding well beyond free posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate. Body length of $q$ ranging from 3.32 to 4.21 mm , with a mean of 3.78 mm (based on 9 specimens); body length of single $\widehat{\$} 1.73 \mathrm{~mm}$.


FIGURE 16. Lernanthropus chrysophrys Shishido, 1898, adult q. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 2 mm .

Distribution: This species was originally described from Japan (Shishido, 1898) and has been recorded subsequently on numerous occasions, on Acanthopagrus schlegelii in Japanese waters (Yamaguti, 1936 (as Sparus longispinis); Shiino, 1955; Ho \& Do, 1985). This species has also been reported on A. latus, A. schlegelii and A. berda (Forsskål, 1775) in Taiwan (Liu et al., 2009a); on A. schlegelii (as Sparus macrocephalus) in China (Song \& Chen, 1976), and on A. berda in India (Tripathi, 1962; Pillai, 1985). This species has previously been reported in Australian waters, where it is widespread: Byrnes (1988) recorded it on A. australis, A. pacificus (historically misidentified as $A$. berda, see Iwatsuki et al., 2010) and $A$. latus collected at numerous localities around the coast of Australia including: Point Samson, Broome (WA), Darwin, Bing Bong (NT), Townsville, Gladstone, Brisbane (QLD) and Eden (NSW). It is reported here from Moreton Bay for the first time.

Remarks: This species has been redescribed numerous times, most recently by Liu et al. (2009a). The description by Ho \& Do (1985) is ideal for comparisons as it is supported by illustrations of the highest quality. The rounded knob-like post-antennal process of the female was figured by Yamaguti (1936).

## Lernanthropus elegans sp. nov.

(Figs. 17-19, Fig. 20A-B)
Syn: Lernanthropus gisleri: Yamaguti, 1936
Type material: Holotype $q$ and allotype $\delta^{\lambda}$ from Atractoscion aequidens (Cuvier, 1830), Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; 10 December 1981; collected by K. Rohde, AM Reg. Nos. P. 103901 (Holotype q), P. 103902 (allotype §§).

Paratype $q$ from same host; QM Reg. No. W29488. 2 paratype $q$ q, from A. aequidens, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; December 1980-January 1981; collected by K. Rohde, NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.252-253.

Description of female: Female body comprising cephalothorax, trunk and urosome entirely concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate (Fig. 17A-C). Cephalothorax about 1.2 times longer than wide; frontal margin with weakly trilobate appearance; lateral margins more or less parallel, expanded into short, anteroventrally directed folds on either side. Trunk elongate; anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) about 1.6 times longer than cephalothorax, becoming slightly wider posteriorly towards origin of third legs; posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) increasing in width towards posterior margin, with dorsal trunk plate covering abdomen but with tips of caudal rami visible in dorsal view (Figs. 17C, 18A). Dorsal trunk plate with linear lateral margins increasing in width posteriorly, posterior margin evenly convex, without median indentation (Fig. 18A). Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen (Fig. 18A-B), all fused; dorsal surface of urosome ornamented with 6 sensillae. Each caudal ramus about 5.0 times longer than wide, tapering towards apex armed with 2 plumose setae proximally on dorsal surface, minute lateral seta located at about $87 \%$ of ramus length, and 2 small apical setae (Fig. 18B). Body length of $q$ ranging from 4.64 to 4.95 mm , with a mean of 4.80 mm (based on 3 specimens).


FIGURE 17. Lernanthropus elegans sp. nov., holotype q. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 2 mm .

Antennule (Fig.18C) 7-segmented, segments 3 and 4 incompletely separated; setal formula: 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, 3 $+\mathrm{ae}, 7+\mathrm{ae}$; swollen first segment and adjacent cephalothoracic surface densely ornamented with long setules. Parabasal flagellum with broad base and curved, slender distal part (Fig. 18D) more than half length of antennule; swollen base lacking ornamentation. Antenna (Fig. 18E) robust, comprising massive corpus, bearing papilliform element proximally on medial surface, and distal subchela unarmed but with pitted surface. Post-
antennal process forming anteriorly-directed rounded lobe, densely ornamented with long setules (Fig. 18F). Mandible stylet-like, armed with 8 marginal teeth distally (Fig. 18G). Maxillule (Fig. 18H) comprising small inner lobe tipped with 1 spiniform element and larger outer lobe with posteriorly-directed swelling at base; lobe armed with 3 unequal spiniform elements; basal swelling densely ornamented with setules. Maxilla 2-segmented: comprising proximal syncoxa (lacertus) and distal basis (brachium); basis ornamented with claw-like process distally; terminal claw (Fig. 18I) armed with sharp denticles on inner surface plus 2 larger denticles proximally. Maxilliped (Fig. 18J) 2-segmented; massive corpus with papilliform element on myxal surface opposing tip of subchela; distal subchela comprising fully fused endopodal segment and strongly curved terminal claw, bearing 2 small spines.

Leg 1 biramous (Fig. 18K) with inflated protopod densely ornamented with long setules on anterior and lateral surfaces, armed with pinnate inner spine and slender outer seta originating close to exopod and not on a papilla: exopod 1 -segmented, armed with 5 robust terminal spines (inner and outer with minute serrations on margins, middle 3 spines smooth); endopod 1 -segmented, tapering distally, armed with terminal seta about as long as segment, and ornamented with spinules in distal half. Leg 2 (Fig. 19A) mounted on irregularly hemispherical prominence derived from incorporated protopod; armed with outer seta on papilla: both rami 1 -segmented; exopod armed with 4 distal margin spines; endopod armed with small apical seta less than half length of segment; both rami ornamented with patches of spinules. Leg 3 located ventrolaterally at rear of third pedigerous somite, endopod forming long fleshy, shoehorn-shaped, lamella, directed laterally: third legs separate along midline; slightly outer protrusion of margin at base of lamella possibly representing reduced exopod; leg 3 armed with dorsal outer basal seta (Fig. 17A-C). Leg 4 bilobate; inner and outer lobes elongate, protruding well beyond free posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate (Fig. 17A-C); outer protopodal seta located dorsally at base of limb. Leg 5 (Fig. 18A-B) forming lanceolate process extending well beyond tips of caudal rami and beyond rear margin of dorsal trunk plate; outer protopodal seta present dorsally near base of process; ornamented with surface sensillae proximally.

Description of male: Body smaller than female (Fig. 19B), total length about 2.43 mm . Cephalothorax comprising about $34 \%$ of total body length, with convex lateral margins becoming wider posteriorly; dorsal cephalic shield about 1.4 times longer than maximum width. Frontal area of cephalothorax carrying antennule and antennae, defined by weak indentation. Trunk slender, comprising all fused post-cephalothoracic somites (Fig. 19B), including urosome. Ventral surface of genital area ornamented with setules (Fig. 19C). Anal somite unornamented; bearing paired caudal rami; each ramus elongate, about 5.0 times longer than maximum width, armed with 2 plumose setae proximally on dorsal surface, 1 short lateral seta located at $84 \%$ of ramus length, plus 2 apical setae.

Antennule 7-segmented as in female. Parabasal flagellum as in female. Antenna (Fig. 19D) comprising long, slender corpus and distal subchela terminating in strongly recurved claw: corpus armed with papilliform element proximally on medial surface; subchela with small process in area of proximal articulation plus larger claw like process near middle. Postantennal process and mandible as in female. Oral cone ornamented with scattered spinules (Fig. 19E). Maxillule bilobate; smaller lobe with blunt apical element, larger lobe armed with 3 unequal distal elements and ornamented with spinules (Fig. 19E). Maxilla as in female. Maxilliped (Fig. 19F) comprising robust corpus with small myxal process and ornamented with patches of spinules proximally on medial surface, and distal subchela armed with 2 spines.

Leg 1 robust (Fig. 20A), basis armed with slender outer seta and stout inner spine; exopod 1-segmented, broader distally, ornamented with spinules as figured and armed with 5 distal spines, all spinulate; endopod 1-segmented, tapering distally, distally and armed with spinulose apical seta just shorter than segment; segment ornamented with long spinules distally. Leg 2 (Fig. 20B) lacking intercoxal sclerite; basis with slender outer seta; both rami 1-segmented; exopod swollen distally, armed with 3 spines plus a hemispherical swelling and other spinous structures distally, plus rows of spinules on distal surface; endopod tapering distally and armed with long unilaterally spinulose seta apically; seta about as long as segment; surface of segment ornamented with spinules along medial surface. Leg 3 (Fig. 19G) biramous, armed with basal seta dorsally at base of limb; exopodal lobe long and cylindrical, directed posterolaterally from ventrolateral origin on trunk; endopodal lobe about one third length of exopodal lobe. Leg 4 (Fig. 19B) biramous with both rami forming elongate lobes, outer basal seta present dorsally at base of limb. Leg 5 represented by single pinnate seta located laterally on urosome (Fig. 19C).


FIGURE 18. Lernanthropus elegans sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, dorsal trunk plate, fourth pedigerous somite and urosome, ventral view; B, Left side of urosome showing fifth leg, genital opening, copulatory pore (arrowed) and caudal ramus, dorsal; C, antennule; D, parabasal flagellum; E, antenna; F, postantennal process; G, tip of mandible; H, maxillule; I, tip of maxilla; J, maxilliped, with inset showing detail of papilliform process on myxal surface; K, leg 1. Scale bars A, $1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~B}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$ $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{F}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{G}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURE 19. Lernanthropus elegans sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, leg 2. L. elegans sp. nov., paratype $\widehat{\jmath}$, B, habitus, dorsal; C, urosome and caudal rami, ventral; D, antenna; E, oral cone and maxillule, in situ; F, maxilliped; G, leg 3. Scale bars A,E,F $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, B, $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{G}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURE 20. Lernanthropus elegans sp. nov., paratype $\begin{gathered} \\ \text {, A, leg 1; B, leg 2. Lernanthropus gisleri van Beneden, 1852, adult } q \text {, }, ~ \text {, }\end{gathered}$ C, habitus, dorsal; D, habitus lateral. Adult ${ }^{\lambda}$, E , habitus, dorsal. Scale bars A,B, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{E}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Remarks: The new species was found on Atractoscion aequidens, a member of the family Sciaenidae, and this family serves as host to about 20 species of Lernanthropus in total (Table 3). Most of the species listed in Table 3 are exclusively parasitic on sciaenid hosts, but a few, such as L. gisleri and L. leidyi have occasionally been reported from non-sciaenids. In addition, L. pomatomi, L. pupa and L. paenulatus were all originally described from nonsciaenid hosts but each has been reported on a single occasion from a sciaenid host. Detailed comparison of the new species with all of these other species found on sciaenid hosts reveals some significant differences as well as shared character states.

The new species, L. elegans sp. nov., can be readily distinguished from L. huamani Luque \& Farfán, 1990, L. longipes Wilson, 1932, L. pacificus Oliva \& Durán, 1982, and L. paralonchuri Luque, Bruno \& Covarrubias, 1989, by the length of the lobes of leg 4 , which are shorter than the body in the new species but markedly longer than the entire body in these four species. Another very distinctive species is L. grassei. This is an elongate, slender species which has very unequal lobes on leg 4: the exopodal lobe is almost twice the length of the endopodal lobe. This contrasts with the more-or-less equal lobes of the new species. The South American species L. cynoscicola has an unusually short dorsal trunk plate so that the tip of the abdomen and the entire length of the caudal rami are visible in dorsal view, whereas in L. elegans sp. nov. the dorsal trunk plate is more extensive, concealing the entire urosome and all but the tips of the caudal rami.

Lernanthropus barnardi Capart, 1959 and L. nunesi Capart, 1959 are both known only from their inadequate original descriptions in Capart (1959). However, the former can be distinguished by the relatively short lanceolate lobes of leg 4 which extend beyond the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate only by about $30 \%$ of their total length, whereas in the new species about $70 \%$ of the length of these lobes is visible in dorsal view. The latter species, L. nunesi, has elongate fourth leg lobes but differs from the new species in having a very reduced leg 5 compared to the large lobate leg 5 of the new species. The original description of $L$. leidyi was also inadequate, but both sexes of this species were redescribed by Luque \& Paraguassú (2003). Comparing the new species with this redescription of L. leidyi allows us to distinguish between them by the length of dorsal trunk plate, which is longer than the anterior part of the trunk in the new species but distinctly shorter in L. leidyi, and by the fifth leg which is elongate in the new species but short and subcylindrical in L. leidyi, according to Luque \& Paraguassú (2003). The fifth legs of $L$. pagodus Krøyer, 1863 appear to be reduced or absent, since Krøyer (1863: Tab VIII, Fig. 2c) does not figure them in his ventral habitus drawing. This species also differs in having a broad dorsal trunk plate (about 2.0 times wider than the cephalothorax) with a median indentation in its posterior margin, whereas L. elegans $\mathbf{s p}$. nov. has a more slender dorsal trunk plate (only about 1.5 times wider than the cephalothorax) with an evenly convex posterior margin.

The new species shares a distinctive set of character states with the remaining eight species from Table 2, including the widely distributed $L$. gisleri. This character set includes: 7-segmented antennule with the segment bearing the subapical aesthetasc separate from the apical segment (these segments are fused in many Lernanthropus species); the proximal segment of the antennule is fused to a swelling on the surface of the cephalothorax and both the segment and swelling are densely ornamented with long setules; the maxilla bears a curved process on the basis just proximal to the base of the apical claw; the protopod of leg 1 is densely ornamented with long setules; leg 3 forms a large, (postero-) laterally directed lamella; the rami of leg 4 are both elongate; leg 5 forms an elongate process; and the caudal rami are elongate. Detailed comparisons are required to separate L. elegans sp. nov. from these eight species: L. gisleri, L. capistroides Olivier \& van Niekerk, 1995, L. cruciatus Pillai, 1962, L. francai Nuñes-Ruivo, 1962, L. indefinitus Koyuncu, Castro-Romero \& Karaytug, 2012, L. otolithi Pillai, 1963, L. pami Tripathi, 1962, and L. sciaenae Gnanamuthu, 1947.

Lernanthropus pami is included in this group but, unfortunately, only limited comparisons are possible because this species is very poorly known and its original description contains major mistakes (cf. Pillai, 1985). The information available from Tripathi (1962) indicates that the fifth legs (erroneously interpreted as a third lobe of a "trifoliate" leg 4) are elongate but extend only to about the mid-level of the caudal rami. In contrast, L. elegans $\mathbf{s p}$. nov. has lanceolate fifth legs that extend well beyond the distal tips of the caudal rami. Additionally, the tips of the caudal rami only just reach the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate in L. pami but extend well beyond this margin in the new species. Tripathi (1962) remarked that L. pami was similar to L. sciaenae described by Gnanamuthu (1947), probably because Gnanamuthu had also misinterpreted leg 4 as trifoliate. His figure of the antennule of $L$. sciaenae shows the setular ornamentation on the proximal segment, even though the description is lacking in other details. Lernanthropus sciaenae resembles $L$. pami in the proportional lengths of the fifth legs and caudal rami, and in the extent of the caudal rami relative to the margin of the dorsal trunk plate, and differs from L. elegans $\mathbf{s p}$. nov.
in these features. We propose to treat $L$. pami as a species inquirendum, but it is also possible that $L$. pami is a junior synonym of L. sciaenae.

The original description of L. capistroides was supported only by scanning electron micrographs (Olivier \& van Niekerk, 1995). These images provide considerable detail of the appendages: for example, the maxilla of the female carries a curved claw (canna in Olivier \& van Niekerk, 1995) on the inner margin of the basis plus a thinwalled process (clavus in Olivier \& van Niekerk, 1995) on the base of the terminal claw (calamus in Olivier \& van Niekerk, 1995). This armature is shared with L. elegans sp. nov. However, Olivier \& van Niekerk (1995) did not provide an illustration of the habitus, which renders comparisons with older descriptions problematic. The most readily observable difference between L. capistroides and the new species is the caudal rami, which do not reach the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate in the former but extend well beyond this margin in the latter. The mean body length of L. capistroides is given as 7.2 mm by Olivier \& van Niekerk (1995), of which the dorsal cephalic shield comprised 1.3 mm and the dorsal trunk plate 3.2 mm . We can calculate that the mean length of the anterior trunk was therefore 2.7 mm . This is about 2.1 times longer than the cephalothorax which differs from the new species, in which the anterior trunk is only 1.6 times longer than the cephalothorax.

Lernanthropus francai was first described from off the coast of Angola (Nuñes-Ruivo, 1962) and has only been reported once since, in a list of copepods found on Vietnamese fishes (Kazachenko et al., 2014). It is known only from the female which exhibits many detailed similarities to L. elegans sp. nov. For example, both species have a densely setulose outer expansion of the protopodal part of leg 1 and the endopod of this leg bears a single apical seta that is about as long as the ramus. Both also have the setulose, posteriorly-directed proximal lobe on the maxillule. The key difference between these species is the extent of the caudal rami, the tips of which do not reach the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate in L. francai, but extend beyond it in L. elegans sp. nov. They can also be distinguished by the relative lengths of the lanceolate rami of leg 4 relative to the dorsal trunk plate: in $L$. francai these rami extend beyond the posterior margin of the plate by slightly more than half of their entire length whereas in the new species about $75 \%$ of their length is visible in dorsal view, extending beyond the margin.

The Indian species L. cruciatus has a body length of over 16 mm (Pillai, 1985) and is instantly recognizable by its enormous third legs, which are laterally directed and about equal in length to the cephalothorax and anterior trunk combined. The dorsal trunk plate of $L$. cruciatus is also very well developed, comprising just over half ( $53 \%$ ) of the entire body length. In contrast, L. elegans sp. nov. has third legs that are slightly shorter than the anterior trunk region alone, and its dorsal trunk plate comprises only $42 \%$ of body length.

The slender body shape of the female of L. elegans sp. nov. contrasts with the rather robust body form of $L$. otolithi. The latter has an almost circular dorsal trunk plate which is slightly wider than long whereas in the former the plate is about 1.3 times longer than wide and has tapering linear lateral margins that expand posteriorly. The ventrally-produced lateral margins of the dorsal cephalic shield of $L$. otolithi project anteriorly generating a trilobate frontal margin whereas the frontal margin is straight with rounded corners in L. elegans sp. nov.

The original description of L. indefinitus (Koyuncu et al., 2012) combines some excellent illustrations of particular limbs (such as the antennule and legs 1 and 2) with inadequate illustrations which fail to show important features (such as the caudal rami, from which most of the caudal setae are missing). In female L. indefinitus the fifth leg lobes do not reach the tips of the caudal rami whereas in L. elegans sp. nov. they extend well beyond the tips of the rami. The caudal rami themselves are about 3 times longer than wide in $L$. indefinitus compared to about 5 times longer than wide in the new species.

Finally, the new species can be differentiated from L. gisleri by the length of the caudal rami and fifth legs relative to the free posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate: in L. gisleri the caudal rami are completely concealed in dorsal view, hidden beneath the dorsal trunk plate while the fifth legs reach to the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate and the tips may be visible dorsally in some specimens. In contrast, in L. elegans sp. nov. the tips of the caudal rami and the distal $25 \%$ of the fifth legs extend beyond the margin of the plate and are visible in dorsal view. The structure and armature of the limbs are very similar in these two species. The antennules are 7 -segmented in both and the setation is almost identical. The absence of setular ornamentation on segment 1 in Kabata's figure (1979b: fig. 1058) is significant since it is very conspicuous in L. elegans sp. nov. and would not be easy to overlook. Both species possess a hirsute posterior swelling at the base of the maxillule. Legs 1 and 2 are also very similar in the two species. The only difference in leg 1 is the extent of the setular ornamentation, which is much more extensive in L. elegans sp. nov. In leg 2 both rami are ornamented with surface spinules in the new species but, according to Kabata (1979b), only the endopod carries any spinules in L. gisleri.
TABLE 3. Lernanthropus species reported from hosts of the family Sciaenidae.

| Lernanthropus species | Host | Locality | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L. barnardi Capart, 1959 | Umbrina canariensis Valenciennes, 1843 (as Umbrina valida) | South Atlantic off coast of Angola ( $12^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ S $11^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) | Capart, 1959 |
| L. capistroides Olivier \& van Niekerk, 1995 | Otolithes ruber (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) | St Lucia estuary, South Africa | Olivier \& van Niekerk, 1995 |
| L. cruciatus Pillai, 1962 | "sciaenid" | Kerala, India | Pillai, 1962 |
| L. cynoscicola Timi \& Etchegoin, 1996 | Cynoscion striatus (Cuvier, 1829) | Argentina | Timi \& Etchegoin, 1996 |
|  | Cynoscion guatucupa (Cuvier, 1830) | Argentina \& Uruguay; Brazil | Timi, 2003; Sabas \& Luque, 2003 |
| L. elegans sp. nov. | Atractoscion acquidens (Cuvier, 1830) | Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; Australia | present account |
| L. francai Nuñes-Ruivo, 1962 | Umbrina ronchus Valenciennes, 1843 | Angola | Nuñes-Ruivo, 1962 |
|  | Larimichthys crocea (Richardson, 1846) | Vietnam | Kazachenko et al., 2014 |
| L. gisleri van Beneden, 1852* | Argyrosomus regius (Asso, 1801) as Sciaena aquila | Belgium; French coast of North Sea; Senegal | van Beneden, 1852; Hesse, 1877; Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954 |
|  | Argyrosomus regius (Asso, 1801) | Scotland | Kabata, 1979b |
|  | Umbrina cirrosa (Linnaeus, 1758) | Adriatic Sea; Ligurian Sea | Heider, 1879; Brian, 1906 |
|  | Sciaena umbra Linnaeus, 1758 (as Corvina nigra) | Adriatic Sea; Ligurian Sea | Heider, 1879; Brian, 1906 |
|  | Argyrosomus japonicus (Temminck \& Schlegel, 1843) (as Sciaena antarctica) | Port Willunga, Australia, | Kabata, 1979a |
|  | Argyrosomus japonicus (Temminck \& Schlegel, 1843) | Port river, South Australia | present account |
|  | Sciaena umbra Linnaeus, 1758 (as Corvina nigra) | Senegal | Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954 |
|  | Umbrina steindachneri Cadenat, 1951 | Senegal | Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954 |
|  | Umbrina canariensis Valenciennes, 1843 | Senegal | Diebakate \& Raibaut, 1996 |
|  | Umbrina canariensis Valenciennes, 1843 (as Umbrina valida) | off West Africa | Capart, 1959 |
|  | Cynoscion nebulosus (Cuvier, 1830) | Gulf of Mexico; Texas (USA) | Bere, 1936; Pearse, 1952 |
|  | Argyrosomus hololepidotus (Lacepède, 1801) <br> (as Johnius hololepidotus) | off West Africa | Kabata \& Gusev, 1966 |
|  | Pseudotolithus moorii (Günther, 1865) (as Corvina cameronesis) | off West Africa | Capart, 1959 |
|  | Sciaena sp. | Japan | Yamaguti, 1936, 1963 |

TABLE 3. (Continued)

| Lernanthropus species | Host | Locality | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L. grassei Delamare Deboutteville \& Nu-ñes-Ruivo, 1954 <br> L. huamani Luque \& Farfán, 1990 | Johnius dussumieri (Cuvier, 1830) (as Sciaena dussumieri) | China | Song \& Chen, 1976 |
|  | Pseudotolithus senegallus (Cuvier, 1830) (as Otolithus (Johnius) brachygnathus | Senegal | Delamare Deboutteville \& Nuñes-Ruivo, 1954 |
|  | Menticirrhus ophicephalus (Jenyns, 1840) | Peru | Luque \& Farfán, 1990 |
|  | Sciaena deliciosa (Tschudi, 1846) | Peru |  |
|  | Stellifer minor (Tschudi, 1846) | Peru |  |
| L. indefinitus Koyuncu, Castro-Romero \& Karaytug, 2012 | Argyrosomus regius (Asso, 1801) | Mediterranean Sea | Koyuncu et al., 2012 |
| Karaytug, 2012 <br> L. leidyi Wilson, 1922** | Bairdiella chrysoura (Lacepède, 1802) | North Carolina, Florida (USA) | Wilson, 1922; Pearse, 1952, Causey, 1955 |
|  | Umbrina canosai Berg, 1895 | Brazil | Luque \& Paraguassú, 2003 |
| L. longipes Wilson, 1932 | Pogonias cromis (Linnaeus, 1766) | Woods Hole, USA | Wilson, 1932 |
|  | Sciaenops ocellatus (Linnaeus, 1766) | North Carolina, Texas, USA | Pearse, 1947; 1952 |
|  | Larimus fasciatus Holbrook, 1855 | Gulf of Mexico | Bere, 1936 |
| L. nunesi Capart, 1959 | Pentheroscion mbizi (Poll, 1950) (as Sciaena mbizi) | South Atlantic off coast of Zaire ( $05^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 12^{\circ} 0^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) | Capart, 1959 |
| L. otolithi Pillai, 1963 | Otolithes ruber (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) (as Otolithus argenteus Cuvier) | Trivandrum, India | Pillai, 1963, 1985 |
|  | Pennahia pawak (Lin, 1940) | Taiwan | Ho et al., 2008 |
|  | Pterotolithus maculatus (Cuvier, 1830) (as Otolithus maculatus) | India | Pillai, 1985 |
|  | Johnius carouna (Cuvier, 1830) | Vietnam | Kazachenko et al., 2014 |
|  | Daysciaena albida (Cuvier, 1830) | S.E. coast of India | Raja et al., 2018 |
| L. pacificus Oliva \& Durán, 1982 | Cilus gilberti (Abbott, 1899) (as Sciaena gilberti) | Peru | Oliva \& Durán, 1982 |
| [as L. guacoldae Villalba \& Fernandez, 1984***] | Sciaena deliciosa (Tschudi, 1846) | Concepción Bay, Chile | Villalba \& Fernandez, 1984 |
| L. pagodus Krøyer, 1863 | Equetus lanceolatus (Linnaeus, 1758) (as Equetus balteatus) | Brazil | Krøyer, 1863 |
| L. pami Tripathi, 1962 | Otolithoides pama (Hamilton, 1822) (as Pama pama) | India | Tripathi, 1962; Pillai, 1985 |
| L. paracruciatus sp. nov. | Protonibea diacanthus (Lacepède, 1802) | Northern Territory, Australia | present account |
| L. paralonchuri Luque, Bruno \& Covarrubias, 1989 | Paralonchurus peruanus (Steindachner, 1875) | Peru | Luque et al., 1989 |

TABLE 3. (Continued)

| Lernanthropus species | Host | Locality | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L. sciaenae Gnanamuthu, 1947 Cycnoscion analis (Jenyns, 1842) Peru <br> Misidentified species Johnius glaucus (Day, 1876) (as Sciaena glaucus) Madras, Kerala (India) | Iannacone, 2005 <br> L. seriolii Shishido, 1898 <br> [as L. paenulatus Wilson, 1922]+ | Sciaenops ocellatus (Linnaeus, 1766) | Louisiana, USA |

*L. gisleri has also been reported from a number of non-sciaenid hosts, including Selenotoca multifasciata (Scatophagidae) (as Scatophagus multifasciatus) by Kabata (1979a), Centropomus unidecimalis (Bloch, 1792) (Centropomidae) by Bere (1936), Pearse (1952), and Tavares \& Luque (2004), Polydactylus quadrifils (Cuvier, 1829) (Polynemidae) by Capart (1959) and Paralichthys sp. (Paralichthyidae) by Causey (1953). The L. gisleri recorded from Lichia amia Linnaeus (Carangidae) by Brian (1906) was misidentified, it is L. micropterygis (see text).
**L. leidyi has also been reported from a non-sciaenid host, Morone americana (Gmelin, 1789) (Moronidae), by Wilson (1922).
***Luque \& Farfán (1990) considered L. guacoldae Villalba \& Fernandez, 1984 to be a junior synonym of L. pacificus Oliva \& Durán, 1982.
+Lernanthropus seriolii is typically a parasite of carangids of the genus Seriola Cuvier; we consider that the report (as L. paenulatus Wilson, 1922) from Sciaenops ocellatus by Causey (1953) is probably a misidentification.
++Lernanthropus polynemi Richiardi, 1881 is the senior subjective synonym of L. trifoliatus Bassett-Smith, 1898 (see Piasecki \& Hayward, 2002). The type host of L. polynemi is Eleutheronema tetradactylum (Shaw, 1804) and it has been recorded from various other polynemids including, Polydactylus plebius (Broussonet, 1782), P. sextarius (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) and Filimanus heptadactyla (Cuvier, 1829) plus two carangids although these are probably misidentifications (see Table 2). Under the name of its junior synonym (misspelt as Lernanthropus trithfoliatus), L. polynemi was reported from the sciaenid Otolithes ruber by Bannai (2002). It is probable that this is a misidentification.
+++ The type host of $L$. pomatomi is Pomatomus saltatrix (Linnaeus, 1766) (Pomatomidae) but it was also recorded from a serranid (Mycteroperca sp.) by Causey (1955) and from the sciaenid Cynoscion nebulosus by Causey (1953).
+++ Lernanthropus pupa Burmeister, 1833 is typically a parasite of Chaetodipterus faber (Broussonet, 1782) (Ephippidae) but was reported from the sciaenid Cynoscion nebulosus by Causey (1953). This report is doubtful.

The four Lernanthropus females from Sciaena sp. identified by Yamaguti (1936) as L. gisleri are misidentified, as already noted by Koyuncu et al. (2012). Although not described in full by Yamaguti (1936), this material appears to belong to the new species, L. elegans sp. nov., and it seems possible that the material of L. gisleri listed, but not illustrated by Song and Chen (1976) from Johnius dussumieri (Cuvier, 1830) (as Sciaena dussumieri) caught in China, might also be attributed to the new species.

Although not parasitic on a sciaenid host, L. antofagastensis Castro-Romero \& Baeza-Kuroki, 1985 is included in our comparisons. This species is parasitic on a haemulid, Anisotremus scapularis (Tschudi, 1846), found in Chilean coastal waters and it shares many character states with L. elegans sp. nov. including: the swollen proximal segment of the antennule with a dense ornamentation of setules, the swollen and densely setulose base of the parabasal flagellum, the armature on the basis of the maxilla, the long cylindrical fifth legs and the elongate caudal rami. However, these two species can be distinguished by the relative lengths of the fifth legs which extend well beyond the tips of the caudal rami in L. elegans sp. nov., but only to the base of the caudal rami in $L$. antofagastensis.

## Lernanthropus gisleri van Beneden, 1852

(Fig. 20C-E)
Non: L. gisleri: Yamaguti, 1936

Material examined: $6 \uparrow+4,4 \delta^{\top}$ from Argyrosomus japonicus (Temminck \& Schlegel, 1845), Port River, South Australia; 02 September 2007; collected by K.S. Hutson; 2 q $q$, $1 \delta$, NHMUK Reg. No. 2007.994-946, and 4 $q$, $q$, $3 \overbrace{}^{\lambda} 0^{\lambda}$, SAMA Reg. No. C6889. 1q from A. japonicus, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; February 1982; collected by K. Rohde. $8 \uparrow+$, $3 \overparen{\delta}$ drom A. japonicus, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; February 1982; collected by K. Rohde. 8 q$q, 3 \widehat{\jmath}$ from A. japonicus, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; February 1982; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.94-96.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax longer than wide with almost linear lateral margins (Fig. 20C). Anterior part of trunk just wider than, and about twice as long as, cephalothorax and with more-or-less parallel lateral margins. Posterior part of trunk (fourth pedigerous somite) narrowest anteriorly at level of origin of third legs and with dorsal trunk plate increasing in width posteriorly towards strongly convex posterior margin; dorsal trunk plate about 1.15 times longer than anterior part of trunk. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused. Paired caudal rami elongate; fully concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate. Leg 3 (Fig. 20D) forming ventrolaterally directed, elongate lobe, just slightly longer than anterior part of trunk; endopodal lobes entirely separate in mid-line: exopod not defined. Leg 4 bilobate; both lobes elongate, lanceolate; outer lobe slightly longer than inner: lobes almost as long as entire body and both protruding well beyond free posterior margin of dorsal plate. Leg 5 elongate, with tips just visible at posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate. Body lengths of 2 $q 8.80$ and 8.90 mm (based on females from A. japonicus): male (Fig. 20E) body length 3.10 mm .

Distribution: Lernanthropus gisleri is primarily a parasite of sciaenid fishes and was originally described from European waters (van Beneden, 1852). It has since been widely reported from both sides of the North Atlantic and in the Mediterranean Sea (Table 3). It was first reported from Australian waters by Kabata (1979a) who examined two lots of Lernanthropus in the collections of the University of Adelaide, one labelled from "Port Willunga" and the other "probably New South Wales", and identified them as L. gisleri. The hosts were Argyrosomus japonicus (as Sciaena antarctica Castelnau) and Selenotoca multifasciatus (Richardson, 1846) (as Scatophagus multifasciatus). Kabata (1979a) did not provide any description based on his Australian material, instead referring to his redescription of L. gisleri in Kabata (1979b) which was based on specimens collected from Scotland.

As noted above, L. gisleri has also been reported from Japan on Sciaena sp. (Yamaguti, 1936) and from China on Johnius dussumieri (as Sciaena dussumieri) (Song \& Chen, 1976). Koyuncu et al. (2012) considered that Yamaguti's (1936) report of $L$. gisleri was based on a misidentification and that his material "does not belong to $L$. gisleri". They concluded that "his specimen probably represents a new species". On the basis of the brief description provided by Yamaguti (1936) his Japanese material is here re-identified as L. elegans sp. nov., described above.

Remarks. Kabata (1979a) did not record the length of the females he reported from Australian waters but the females reported here from Argyrosomus japonicus caught in South Australia, have a mean body length of 8.90 mm . The long slender body form of this material (Fig. 20C, D) corresponds closely to that of the Scottish material figured
by Kabata (1979b). Females of L. gisleri collected from Argyrosomus regius (Asso, 1801) caught off the South coast of England and stored in the NHMUK collections (Reg. No. 1960.1.19.2) exhibited a mean body length of 9.04 mm (range 8.9 to 9.2 mm , based on 5 specimens). The female body length is very similar despite the geographical separation of these records.

Based on Kabata \& Gusev's (1966) study of L. gisleri material collected from Argyrosomus hololepidotus (Lacepède, 1801) (as Johnius hololepidotus) caught off the west coast of Africa, Kabata (1979b) considered that $L$. gisleri varied in size according to geographical area. The body length of females from Argyrosomus regius caught off the Scottish coast ranged from 9.6 to 11.0 mm , compared to 6.2 mm for the African females. Kabata \& Gusev (1966) also noted differences in the third legs, which were shorter relative to body length in the African material, and in body proportions. We consider that the disparity in body size taken together with these morphological differences indicate that the African material probably belongs to another related species, such as L. francai, which has a body length of about 6 mm and occurs off the West African coast. This possibility requires further investigation.

## Lernanthropus gnathanodontus sp. nov.

(Figs. 21-23, Fig. 24A-F)

Type material: Holotype $q, 6$ paratype $q Q, 1$ allotype $\widehat{\delta}$ from Gnathanodon speciosus (Forsskål, 1775) (TC17577), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 25 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall, QM Reg Nos. W29489 (Holotype Q), W29490 (allotype $\delta^{\top}$ ), W29491 (paratype $Q Q$ ). 3 paratype $Q Q$ from G. speciosus (TC17074), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 13 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. 1 paratype $q$ from G. speciosus (TC17075), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 13 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. 2 paratype đð G. speciosus (TC17116), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 13 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall. 2 paratype đす ${ }^{\top}$ G. speciosus (TC17950), Moreton Bay, Queensland, 06 July 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall, NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.254-262.

Etymology: The name of the new species refers to the generic name of its only known host.
Description: Female body comprising cephalothorax, trunk and small urosome concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate (Fig. 21A-C): body length ranging from 3.98 to 4.45 mm , with a mean of 4.27 mm (based on 9 specimens). Cephalothorax slightly wider than long (length measured along dorsal midline); lateral margins slightly convex in dorsal view and produced ventrally and anteriorly into conspicuous "horns" either side of frontal margin. Trunk with narrow anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) gradually becoming wider towards base of third legs; posterior part covered by dorsal trunk plate covering entire abdomen, with only tips of leg 4 lamellae visible in dorsal view: dorsal trunk plate with narrow anterior base, expanding posteriorly and with shallow median indentation in posterior margin (Fig. 21C). Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused (Fig. 22A). Genital complex widest anteriorly at level of conspicuous paired, dorsolateral genital openings and narrower posteriorly, bearing paired copulatory pores on ventral surface (arrowed in Fig. 22A). Abdomen slightly wider than long, with median anal slit; ornamented with paired sensillae dorsally. Caudal rami located on ventral surface: each ramus about 4.0 times longer than wide; armed with 2 plumose setae dorsally near base, 1 short lateral seta located at about $80 \%$ of ramus length, and 2 short apical setae (Fig. 22A).

Antennule (Fig. 22B) indistinctly 6 -segmented with irregular cuticular thickening; setation comprising total of 8 setae on proximal part and $11+2$ aesthetascs on compound apical segment; proximal 3 setae on anterior margin plumose, all other setae naked. Parabasal flagellum broader proximally with narrow distal part curved (Fig. 22B), located near of base of antennule. Antenna (Fig. 22C) robust, comprising long corpus bearing papilliform element on medial surface, and distal subchela showing traces of suture line; subchela armed with small process in zone of arthrodial membrane in articulation between corpus and subchela, minute distal seta on concave margin (arrowhead on Fig. 22C) and blunt proximal process; terminal claw with striated cuticle. Rounded post-antennal process present on ventral cephalothoracic surface immediately posterior to base of antenna (arrowed in Fig. 22D). Mandible stylet-like, armed with 8 marginal teeth distally (Fig. 22E). Maxillule (Fig. 22F) bilobate, smaller lobe tipped with 1 spiniform element; larger lobe tipped with 3 unequal spiniform elements. Maxilla (Fig. 22G) 2-segmented: comprising proximal syncoxa (lacertus) and distal basis (brachium); basis ornamented with patch of spinules distally and bearing bifid element plus long process originating adjacent to terminal claw; claw ornamented with sharp denticles along both edges. Maxilliped (Fig. 22H) 2-segmented comprising massive corpus with papilliform element on medial surface opposing tip of claw, and distal subchela; corpus ornamented with minutes spinules on medial surface;
subchela comprising compound endopodal segment and strongly curved terminal claw; armed with minute seta on inner concave margin and distal knob-like process; surface of claw striated.


FIGURE 21. Lernanthropus gnathanodontus sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, habitus, ventral; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, dorsal. Scale bar 2 mm .

Leg 1 with protopodal part fused to somite; intercoxal sclerite absent (Fig. 23A): leg biramous with outer basal seta plus short, stout inner spine on incorporated protopod; exopod 1-segmented, armed with 5 terminal spines with smooth margins, surface of segment ornamented with rows of spinules distally; endopod 1-segmented, armed with terminal seta about twice as long as segment, and ornamented with patches of spinules. Leg 2 (Fig. 23B) mounted on inflated subspherical prominence derived from incorporated protopod and armed with outer seta on papilla: both rami 1-segmented and ornamented with scattered spinules; exopod armed with 4 small distal spines; endopod armed with apical seta about twice as long as segment. Leg 3 lamellate and trilobate (Fig. 23C); 3 digitform lobes connected by membranous web; outer and middle lobes located closer to each other, separated from inner lobe by wider gap; outer lobe ornamented with minute serrated scales on tip (Fig. 23D), ornamentation lacking on middle and inner lobes; members of leg pair separate along ventral mid-line. Leg 4 (Fig. 23E) biramous with both rami forming elongate processes about equal in length; dorsal seta present basally (arrowed in Fig. 23E). Leg 5 well developed; forming cylindrical lobe about 6.6 times longer than wide, reaching beyond tips of caudal rami (Fig. 22A); armed with outer basal seta proximally on dorsal surface.


FIGURE 22. Lernanthropus gnathanodontus sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, urosome, dorsal view showing fifth leg on left side only, genital openings (arrowed on right side) and caudal rami; B , antennule and parabasal flagellum; C , antenna; D , frontal part of cephalothorax, ventral view showing location of paired postantennal processes (arrowed); E, tip of mandible; F, maxillule; G, maxilla; H, maxilliped. Scale bars A, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{E}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{G}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURE 23. Lernanthropus gnathanodontus sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, leg 1; B, rami and outer protopodal seta of leg 2; C, leg 3, lateral view; D, tip of outer lobe of leg 3, showing surface ornamentation; E, leg 4. Paratype $\widehat{\jmath}$, F, habitus, dorsal; G, antennule; H, antenna; I, postantennal process; J, mandible; K, leg 5. Scale bars A,H, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~J}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~F}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{K}$, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Male. Body smaller than female, mean body length 2.00 mm (based on 2 specimens). Cephalothorax comprising about $47 \%$ of total body length; slender, broadest at middle, with angular lateral margins; frontal area of cephalothorax carrying antennule and antennae, defined by slight indentation (Fig. 23F). Trunk slender, comprising second to fourth pedigerous somites fused to urosome. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital somite and 1 -segmented abdomen, all fused. Genital somite with convex lateral margins, wider than abdomen. Caudal rami as in female.

Antennule indistinctly 6 -segmented (Fig. 23G) with irregular cuticular thickenings, setal formula: 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, $11+2$ ae. Parabasal flagellum absent. Antenna (Fig. 23H) comprising massive corpus and distal subchela; corpus armed with papilliform process and small spinous process medially; subchela armed with 2 blunt elements; small process present in articulation between corpus and subchela. Postantennal process small, hemispherical (Fig. 24I). Mandible (Fig. 23J) and maxillule similar to those of female. Maxilla as for female but with additional spinular ornamentation distally on basis (Fig. 24A). Maxilliped similar to female but with slightly different myxal ornamentation (Fig. 24B); corpus with papilliform element and adjacent spinous elements at mid-level, plus small bifid element distally; subchela as in female.

Leg 1 with protopod distinct from somite; members of leg pair joined by intercoxal sclerite (Fig. 24C). Each leg biramous with outer seta and short, stout inner spine on basis; basis ornamented with patches of spinules: exopod 1-segmented, armed with 5 robust terminal spines and ornamented with spinules distally; endopod 1-segmented, armed with very long terminal seta about 2.5 times longer than segment, and ornamented with spinules. Leg 2 (Fig. 24D) mounted on inflated prominence derived from partly incorporated protopod armed with outer seta: trace of intercoxal sclerite retained: exopod showing traces of subdivision with distal part set at angle to proximal part, exopod (Fig. 24E) armed with 3 small distal spines and larger curved element; endopod well defined basally, armed with long terminal seta about 2.0 times longer than segment, and ornamented with patches of spinules. Leg 3 (Fig. 23F) biramous; comprising long cylindrical exopodal process protruding ventrolaterally from trunk with small ventrally-directed endopodal process near base of exopod; endopod ornamented with surface spinules and conspicuous papillae (Fig. 24F) as present on exopodal lobe; outer protopodal seta present dorsally at base of limb. Leg 4 biramous, comprising 2 long cylindrical processes, with outer protopodal seta on common base (Fig. 23F); leg 4 longer than exopod of leg 3; surfaces of both rami ornamented with conspicuous papillae, as present on leg 3. Leg 5 reduced to small conical process (Fig. 23K) with minute vestige of seta at apex.

Remarks. The species of Lernanthropus recorded on hosts belonging to the family Carangidae are listed in Table 2 and their characteristics are discussed above in the remarks section supporting the establishment of L. alepicolus sp. nov. Comparison of L. gnathanodontus sp. nov. with congeneric species known from carangids is relatively easy since the new species can be distinguished by the trilobate form of leg 3; no other species of Lernanthropus shares this form of third leg. The new species also has prominent anterolateral processes on the cephalothorax which represent frontal projections of the ventrally folded lateral margins of the dorsal cephalic shield. Prominent frontal projections are most notably present in L. corniger, but smaller paired processes are also present in L. alatus, L. indicus and $L$. koenigii, and detailed comparisons are necessary between the new species and these four species known to utilize carangid hosts.

In $L$. corniger the frontal projections are enormous, almost half the length of the dorsal cephalic shield, whereas in the new species they are only about $20 \%$ of shield length. The dorsal trunk plate of $L$. corniger is subcircular and about as wide as long whereas that of L. gnathanodontus sp. nov. is distinctly longer than wide and has a narrow anterior base, expanding posteriorly towards a broader posterior margin which is slightly concave.

Lernanthropus gnathanodontus sp. nov. has elongate fifth legs ( 6.6 times longer than wide) which extend beyond the tips of the caudal rami. This serves to distinguish it from L. alatus and L. alepicolus sp. nov., both of which have short fifth legs. Both L. indicus and L. koenigii have elongate fifth legs as in the new species, but the dorsal trunk plate of L. indicus and L. koenigii is broadest anteriorly and tapers towards the rear margin which is relatively narrow, whereas in the new species the plate has a narrow anterior base and becomes broader posteriorly. The third legs of L. indicus and L. koenigii are biramous, comprising two flattened lobes (fused in L. koenigii, according to Pillai (1985)) and are readily distinguishable from those of the new species which comprise 3 digitiform lobes connected by cuticular membrane. These differences from similar congeneric species fully justify the establishment of the new species.


FIGURE 24. Lernanthropus gnathanodontus sp. nov., paratype $\sigma^{\lambda}$. A, tip of maxilla; B, maxilliped; C, leg 1 and intercoxal sclerite; D, leg 2; E, exopod of leg 2, anterior view; F, endopod of leg 3, showing surface ornamentation. Lernanthropus latis Yamaguti, 1954, adult $q$. G, habitus, dorsal. Scale bars A, C-F, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{G} 1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Lernanthropus latis Yamaguti, 1954

(Fig. 24G)
Syn: Lernanthropus latesi Tripathi, 1962

Material examined: $7 \nrightarrow Q$ from gills of Lates calcarifer (Bloch, 1790), Darwin, Northern Territory; collected by B.K. Diggles, MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019242. 10 우 from gills of L. calcarifer, Darwin, Northern Territory; 11 October 2012, collected by B.K. Diggles, $5 \not+q$ QM Reg. No. W29492, $5 q+$ NHMUK Reg.No. 2018.263-267.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax small relative to trunk, tapering towards frontal margin: trunk wider than cephalothorax with constriction in anterior part marking boundary between second and third pedigerous somites; posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) comprising narrow anterior section immediately posterior to origin of lat-erally-directed third legs and dorsal trunk plate (Fig. 24G). Dorsal trunk plate almost circular, with strongly convex lateral and posterior margins. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused. Paired caudal rami elongate, each ramus more than 3 times longer than width as base; tapering towards apex, fully concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate. Parabasal flagellum comprising swollen base and curved distal part; more than half length of antennule. Antenna slender, with strong hook-like process on medial margin of proximal segment. Leg 3 located on lateral surface of somite, forming rounded fleshy lamella, directed posterolaterally: third legs entirely separate. Leg 4 bilobate; inner lobe longer than outer, both protruding well beyond free posterior margin of dorsal plate. Fifth leg forming small laterally-directed process with rounded apex. Mean body length of $q 7.26$, range 6.9 to 7.6 mm (based on 7 specimens); mean body length of $\widehat{ } 1.86 \mathrm{~mm}$ (data from Brazenor \& Hutson, 2013).

Distribution: This distinctive species was originally described from Lates calcarifer caught off Macassar (Sulawesi) by Yamaguti (1954). It has since been reported from the same host taken at Chilka Lake and off Kerala in India (Tripathi, 1962; Pillai, 1985), Sri Lanka (Kirtisinghe, 1964), Thailand (Ho \& Kim, 2004) and Malaysia (Chu et al., 2012). It was first reported from Australia on the same host kept in seacages at Port Hurd, Northern Territory (Small et al., 2009).

Remarks: Lernanthropus latis is widely distributed and can be abundant locally: Raja et al. (2018) found a prevalence of $76.5 \%$ on L. calcarifer caught off the coast of southeastern India. This species has also been reported from cage cultured Lates calcarifer in Malaysia (Abdul Khalid \& Shaharoum-Harrison, 2014). Brazenor \& Hutson (2013) considered L. latis to be a major threat to sustained mariculture of barramundi (L. calcarifer). They described the life cycle stages and examined the impact of water temperature and salinity on hatching success of the copepod. The overall prevalence of L. latis on wild L. calcarifer $(\mathrm{n}=121)$ sampled from Darwin Harbour over 5 half yearly sampling periods between August 2012 and March 2014 ranged between $18.3 \%$ and $71.6 \%$ (mean intensity 1.0 -4.17 copepods per fish), with no apparent seasonality.

## Lernanthropus microlamini Hewitt, 1968

(Figs. 25-26)
Material examined: $2 q+q 3 \circlearrowleft^{\lambda} \delta^{\lambda}$ from gills of Hyperoglyphe antarctica (Carmichael, 1819), Adelaide, South Australia; 10 May 2007; collected by K.S. Hutson; $1 q$ and $1 \sigma^{\lambda}$ NHMUK Reg. No. 2007.948-949 and $1 q$ and $2 \sigma^{\top} \sigma^{\top}$ SAMA Reg. No. C6900. $1{ }^{\top}$ from gills H. antarctica, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; 27 October 1981; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.90.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax longer than wide with lateral margins parallel anteriorly; frontal margin weakly concave. Trunk about 2.5 times longer than cephalothorax (Fig. 25A-C); anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) becoming wider posteriorly, posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by subrectangular dorsal trunk plate with rounded corners and with weakly convex lateral and posterior margins. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused. Paired caudal rami small; each ramus about twice as long as width at base; completely concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate. Parabasal flagellum cylindrical. Leg 3 forming large, ventrally-directed, fleshy lamella, extending posterolaterally from side of body by almost one third of length: third legs separate but overlapping slightly in midline. Leg 4 bilobate (Fig. 25A-C); inner and outer lobes foliaceous, tapering distally, outer lobe longer than inner and protruding posterolaterally from beneath lateral margin of dorsal trunk plate. Leg 5 represented by laterally-directed cylindrical lobe about twice as long as wide, armed with basal seta on dorsal surface. Body length of $q 8.45 \mathrm{~mm}$.


FIGURE 25. Lernanthropus microlamini Hewitt, 1968, adult $q$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 2.5 mm .

Description of male. Body smaller than female, body length 2.74 mm . Cephalothorax large, comprising about $40 \%$ of total body length, broadest at middle, with angular lateral margins; frontal area of cephalothorax carrying antennules and antennae, defined by slight indentation (Fig. 26A). Trunk slender comprising second to fourth pedigerous somites fused to urosome. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital somite and abdomen, all fused. Genital somite with weakly convex lateral margins, wider than abdomen. Caudal rami (Fig. 26B) about 2.1 times longer than wide, as in female.

Antennule indistinctly 6 -segmented (Fig. 26C) with irregular cuticular thickenings, setal formula: 1, 3, 1, 2, 1, $12+2$ ae. Parabasal flagellum (Fig. 26D) comprising broad base and short, curved distal part. Antenna (Fig. 26E) comprising massive corpus and distal subchela; corpus armed with papilliform process medially; subchela armed with rounded knob-like process proximally, curved spine on concave margin, plus distal tooth-like process; small process present in articulation between corpus and subchela; surface integument of claw striated. Postantennal process directed anteriorly, lacking ornamentation (Fig. 26F). Mandible (Fig. 26G) and maxillule (Fig. 26H) similar to those of female. Maxilla with simple subdistal process and additional spinular ornamentation distally on basis (Fig. 26I). Maxilliped (Fig. 26J) comprising robust corpus with small papilliform process on myxal surface, and distal subchela armed with 2 rounded processes; surface of subchela striated.

Leg 1 with protopod distinct from somite; members of leg pair joined by intercoxal sclerite (Fig. 26K). Each leg biramous with outer seta and short, stout inner spine on basis; exopod 1 -segmented, armed with 5 robust terminal spines and ornamented with spinules distally; endopod 1 -segmented, armed with long terminal seta about 1.2 times longer than segment, and ornamented with patch of spinules distally. Leg 2 (Fig. 26L) lacking trace of intercoxal sclerite: protopod with outer seta; exopod indistinctly articulated at base, armed with 3 small distal spines and larger curved element; endopod well defined basally, armed with single apical seta just shorter than segment, without ornamentation. Leg 3 (Fig. 26A) uniramous, comprising tapering cylindrical exopodal process protruding ventrolaterally from trunk; outer protopodal seta not observed. Leg 4 (Fig. 26A) biramous, comprising 2 long cylindrical processes, with outer protopodal seta on common base; exopodal lobe longer than endopodal lobe. Leg 5 reduced to rounded lobe bearing naked seta subapically (Fig. 26M).

Distribution: This species was established by Hewitt (1968) based on the description of a single female collected from Seriolella brama (Günther, 1860) caught "presumably in the region of Wellington", New Zealand according to Hewitt (1968). The discovery of this species on Hyperoglyphe antarctica in Australia extends its known range to include the southeastern sector of the Australian coast from Adelaide in the south to Coffs Harbour on the east coast. Both H. antarctica and S. brama belong to the Centrolophidae and this parasite appears restricted to hosts belonging to this family. This is the first published report of L. microlamini from Australian waters.


FIGURE 26. Lernanthropus microlamini Hewitt, 1968, adult ${ }^{\top}$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, caudal ramus, dorsal; C, antennule; D, parabasal flagellum; E, antenna; F, postantennal process; G, mandible; H, maxillule; I, basis of maxilla; J, maxilliped; K, leg 1 and intercoxal sclerite; L, leg 2; M, leg 5. Scale bars A, 1 mm , B,D,F-L $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{M}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{E}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Remarks: This is a large species. The body length of the holotype female was 9.92 mm and the female from South Australia was 8.45 mm . Found here for the first time, the male was 2.74 mm in length. Hewitt (1968) pointed to similarities between his L. microlamini and L. trifoliatus Bassett-Smith, 1898 (now synonymized with L. polynemi Richiardi, 1881 (see Piasecki \& Hayward, 2002)), but the shape of the dorsal trunk plate differs: it narrows posteriorly in the former but becomes wider and more rounded posteriorly in the latter.

## Lernanthropus mollis Kabata, 1979

(Figs. 27-29)
Material examined: Holotype $q$ from Sillago analis (Whitley, 1943) Moreton Bay, Queensland; 08 May 1963; collected by P.C. Young; NHMUK Reg. No. 1977.119. Paratype $q$ from S. analis, Moreton Bay, Queensland; 08 May 1963; collected by P.C. Young; NHMUK Reg. No. 1977.120.

49 우, $1 \jmath^{\wedge}$ from Sillago bassensis Cuvier, 1829 Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; 15 May 1982; collected by K. Rohde. 44 qq, $2 \widehat{\jmath}^{\lambda}$ from S. bassensis, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; 15 May 1982; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.101-102. 66 ㅇ from S. bassensis, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; February 1982; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.105. 4 $q$ q from Whiting, Red Rock, February 1980; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.104. $1 \circlearrowleft^{\top}$ from Whiting, Arrawarra Beach, 21 February 1980; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.103.

3 아 from Sillago ciliata Cuvier, 1829 (TC17210), Moreton Bay, Queensland; 18 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; QM Reg. No. W29493. 1q from S. ciliata (TC17590), Moreton Bay, Queensland; 26 June 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.265.


FIGURE 27. Lernanthropus mollis Kabata, 1979, adult $q$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 2 mm .

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax longer than wide with almost linear lateral margins extended anteroventrally. Trunk about 2.6 times longer than cephalothorax; anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) as wide as cephalothorax, separated by slight narrowing from posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by short dorsal trunk plate (Fig. 27A-C). Dorsal trunk plate ovoid, wider than long, with convex lateral margins and linear free posterior margin. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused; tip of abdomen visible extending beyond margin of dorsal trunk plate in dorsal view. Genital complex with conspicuous paired gonopores located dorsolaterally (Fig. 28A): surface ornamented with 2 pairs of sensillae located between gonopores; paired copulatory pores located posterolaterally on ventral surface. Paired caudal rami elongate; each ramus about 3.3 times longer than wide; armed with 2 plumose setae on dorsal surface proximally, 1 tiny lateral seta located at about $45 \%$ of ramus length and 2 small apical setae. Rami extending well beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate.

Antennule indistinctly segmented: proximal part with total of 7 setae; apical segment with 11 setae plus 2 aesthetascs (Fig. 28B). Parabasal flagellum with swollen base and long distal part, curved at tip; almost as long as entire antennule (Fig. 28C). Antenna, mandible, and maxillule typical for genus. Maxilla (Fig. 28D) with short apical claw. Maxilliped with long and strongly curved subchela (Fig. 28E); ornamented with papilla on corpus opposing tip of subchela, plus minute seta present on concave surface of subchela. Leg 1 biramous with 1 -segmented rami: members of leg pair connected by intercoxal sclerite: basis with outer seta and stout inner spine with point on apex; exopod armed with 5 spines; endopod with long apical seta. Leg 2 exopod with 4 spines; endopod with no apical seta but ornamented with spinules. Leg 3 located on ventral surface of third pedigerous somite, forming fleshy lamella, directed laterally and splayed out ventrally: third legs separate along midline. Leg 4 bilobate; inner and outer lobes elongate, almost equal in length, protruding well beyond free posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate. Leg 5 absent. Body length of $q$ ranging from 1.95 to 2.42 mm , with a mean of 2.19 mm (based on 10 specimens).

Description of male. Body smaller than female, mean body length 1.01 mm (based on 4 specimens). Cephalothorax large (Fig. 29A), comprising about 47\% of total body length, broadest anterior to middle, with convex lateral margins; frontal area of cephalothorax carrying antennule and antennae produced, defined by slight indentation (Fig. 29A). Trunk slender comprising second to fourth pedigerous somites, and fused to urosome. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused. Anterior part of genital complex tapering posteriorly with linear lateral margins contiguous with genital opercula. Abdomen narrow, with strongly convex lateral margins (Fig. 29B). Caudal rami as in female, except dorsal setae apparently naked rather than plumose.

Antennule indistinctly segmented (Fig. 29C) with irregular cuticular thickenings, setal formula: 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, $11+2$ ae. Parabasal flagellum linear (Fig. 29D), tapering, more than half length of antennule. Antenna (Fig. 29E) comprising massive corpus and distal subchela; corpus with smooth medial surface; subchela armed with rounded process distally; well developed process present in articulation between corpus and subchela. Postantennal process (Fig. 29F) rounded, about 25 um in diameter. Mandible (Fig. 29G) and maxillule (Fig. 29H) similar to those of female. Maxilla with conspicuous blunt-tipped process and additional spinular ornamentation distally on basis (Fig. 29I). Maxilliped corpus with small conical process on myxal surface and ornamented with patches of minute spinules (Fig. 29J); subchela with rounded process at mid-length.

Leg 1 with protopod distinct from somite; members of leg pair joined by intercoxal sclerite (Fig. 28F). Each leg biramous with outer seta and short, hirsute inner spine on basis; basis ornamented with patches of spinules: exopod 1-segmented, armed with 5 robust terminal spines and ornamented with spinules distally; endopod 1-segmented, armed with terminal seta about 1.4 times longer than segment. Leg 2 (Fig. 28G) biramous, no trace of intercoxal sclerite observed; protopod armed with outer plumose seta: exopod armed with small distal spine and ornamented with spinules over distal surface; endopod 1 -segmented, armed with terminal seta just longer than segment. Leg 3 (Fig. 28A) biramous, comprising long cylindrical exopodal process protruding ventrolaterally from trunk with small ventrally-directed endopodal process (Fig. 28I) near base of exopod; endopod ornamented with surface spinules and conspicuous papillae as present on exopodal lobe (Fig. 28H); outer protopodal seta present dorsally at base of limb (arrowed in Fig. 29A): surfaces of both rami ornamented with papillae; tips of rami with irregular spinule rows (Fig. 28G, H). Leg 4 (Fig. 29A) biramous, comprising 2 long cylindrical processes, armed with outer protopodal seta on common base; leg 4 longer than exopod of leg 3; surfaces of both rami ornamented with conspicuous papillae as present on leg 3. Leg 5 absent.


FIGURE 28. Lernanthropus mollis Kabata, 1979, adult q. A, urosome and caudal rami, dorsal; B, antennule; C, parabasal flagellum; D, maxilla; E, maxilliped. Adult ${ }^{\lambda}$. F, leg 1 and intercoxal sclerite; G, leg 2; H, tip of exopodal lobe of leg 3, showing surface ornamentation; I, endopod of leg 3, showing surface ornamentation. Scale bars A, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{I}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, 100$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$.


FIGURE 29. Lernanthropus mollis Kabata, 1979, adult $\delta^{\lambda}$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, paired genital openings, abdomen and caudal rami, ventral; C, antennule; D, parabasal flagellum; E, antenna; F, postantennal process; G, mandible; H, maxillule; I, maxilla; J, maxilliped. Scale bars A, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{G}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Distribution: Lernanthropus mollis was originally described from only two females, both collected in Moreton Bay, Queensland. The type host was Sillago analis (Whitley, 1943) and the paratype female was found on S. ciliata Cuvier, 1829 (Kabata, 1979a). In his large scale survey of the ectoparasites of sillaginid fishes in the Indo-West Pacific, Hayward (1997) expanded the known distribution of L. mollis to include localities in Western Australia, the Northern Territory, and New South Wales, and added five new host species, Sillago burrus Richardson, 1842, S. flindersi McKay, 1985, S. maculata (Quoy \& Gaimard, 1824), S. schomburgkii Peters, 1864, and S. sihama. In addition, Hayward (1997) reported the presence of L. mollis in the Gulf of Thailand on S. aeolus Jordan \& Evermann, 1902. This remains the only record of L. mollis outside of Australian waters. Sillago bassensis is a new host record.

Remarks: The only other Lernanthropus species reported from a sillaginid host is L. sillaginis Pillai, 1963 which was described from females collected from the gills of Sillago sihama caught off Trivandrum, India (Pillai, 1963). The male of L. sillaginis was described subsequently by Song \& Chen (1976) based on Chinese material from the same host. There is also material of L. sillaginis in the NHM, London collections, from S. sihama caught off the Iraq coast in the Arabian Gulf (NHMUK 2013.52-53). Lernanthropus sillaginis was reported from Sillago maculata caught off Thailand (Sirikanchana, 1982) and by Hayward (1997) from S. aeolus, S. arabica McKay \& McCarthy, 1989, S. attenuata McKay, 1985, S. chondropus Bleeker, 1849, S. parvisquamis Gill, 1861 and S. sihama over a range extrending from the Arabian Gulf in the west through to China in the east and Bali (Indonesia) in the south. Subsequently, Raja et al. (2018) reported it from S. vincenti McKay, 1980 in Indian waters. The only record from a non-sillaginid host is that of Ho \& Kim (2004), who found L. sillaginis on Priacanthus tayenus Richardson, 1846 caught off Thailand.

The females of these two species are readily distinguishable: L. sillaginis has a large trunk with a broad dorsal trunk plate that flares out posteriorly so that it is twice as wide as the cephalothorax, whereas the trunk of $L$. mollis is slender and the small dorsal trunk plate is only about 1.2 times wider than the cephalothorax. In addition, the bilobed, foliaceous third legs are separated in the midline in L. sillaginis, but are unilobate and fused in the midline in L. mollis. The male of L. mollis is described here for the first time. It is very similar to the male of L. sillaginis, but can be distinguished by its better defined abdomen, slightly longer caudal rami, and longer exopodal lobe of leg 3 (relative to leg 4).

## Lernanthropus paracruciatus sp. nov.

(Figs. 30-34)

Type material: Holotype $q, 4$ paratype $q$, and allotype $\sigma^{\top}$ from Protonibea diacanthus (Lacepède, 1802), Lorna Shoal, Timor Sea, Northern Territory, 22 August 2012; collected by D.P. Barton; MAGNT Reg. Nos. Cr019243
 Vanderlin Island, Gulf of Carpentaria, Northern Territory, 27 February 2014; collected by D.P. Barton; MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019246. 5 paratype $q$ q from P. diacanthus, Cape Hotham, Northern Territory, 11 May 2014; collected by D.P. Barton; QM Reg. No. W29494. 1 paratype đ from P. diacanthus, Arafura Sea, Northern Territory, 25 July 2013; collected by D.P. Barton; QM Reg. No. W29495. 3 paratype $q$ q from P. diacanthus, Lorna Shoal, Timor Sea, Northern Territory, 28 March 2014; collected by D.P. Barton; QM Reg. No. W29496. 1 paratype $q$ from P. diacanthus, Lorna Shoal, Timor Sea, Northern Territory, 02 October 2012; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 paratype $q$ from P. diacanthus, Arafura Sea, Northern Territory, 25 July 2013; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 paratype $q, 1$ paratype $\overparen{\sigma}^{\lambda}$ from P. diacanthus, Cape Hotham, Northern Territory, 30 August 2013; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 paratype $\delta_{\text {§ }}$ from P. diacanthus, Vanderlin Island, Gulf of Carpentaria, Northern Territory, 26 February 2014; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 paratype $\delta$ from P. diacanthus, Vanderlin Island, Gulf of Carpentaria, Northern Territory, 27 February 2014; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 paratype $q$ and $1 \diamond$ from P. diacanthus, Groote Eylandt, Northern Territory, 15 October 2013; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 paratype $q, 2$ paratype $\delta^{\lambda} \delta^{\lambda}$ from P. diacanthus, Darwin (Outer Harbour), Northern Territory, 07 March 2014; collected by B.K. Diggles. 1 paratype $q$ from P. diacanthus, Lorna Shoal, Timor Sea, Northern Territory, 02 December 2012; collected by D.P. Barton; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.269-280.

Etymology: The species name alludes to the close resemblance between the new species and Lernanthropus cruciatus Pillai, 1962.

Description of Female. Body slender, comprising cephalothorax and elongate trunk (Fig. 30A-C): mean body
length excluding fourth legs ranging from 5.27 to 6.54 mm , with a mean of 5.96 mm (based on 8 specimens). Cephalothorax about as long as wide, with small frontal region bearing antennules and antennae defined by marginal indentations; lateral margins more-or-less parallel, expanded into ventrolaterally directed folds on either side of cephalothorax; posterolateral corners of cephalothorax produced into slight lobes (Figs. 30A-C, 34A-F). Anterior part of trunk nearly twice as long as cephalothorax, with linear lateral margins becoming gradually wider posteriorly: posterior part of trunk covered by dorsal trunk plate, typically about 1.3 times longer than wide and concealing entire fifth legs and caudal rami in dorsal view; dorsal trunk plate truncate, typically with nearly straight posterior margin (Fig. 30A). Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused (Fig. 31A); bearing paired, elongate caudal rami on ventral surface. Each caudal ramus about 7.5 times longer than maximum width; armed with 2 plumose setae on dorsal surface near base, minute lateral seta located subapically, plus 2 tiny apical setae (Fig. 31A).


FIGURE 30. Lernanthropus paracruciatus sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 2 mm .

Antennule (Fig. 31B) distinctly 7-segmented, proximal segment ornamented with long surface setules, some segments with irregular cuticular thickening; setal formula: $1,3,2,1,1,2+\mathrm{ae}, 8+\mathrm{ae}$. Parabasal flagellum located near of base of antennule, comprising broad base densely ornamented with long setules and curved distal part (Fig. 31C). Antenna (Fig. 31D) slender, comprising elongate corpus, bearing papilliform element proximally on medial surface, and short, strongly-recurved distal subchela; subchela armed with small process in zone of arthrodial membrane in articulation between corpus and subchela, plus small inner seta and blunt proximal process; terminal claw with striated and pitted areas on surface. Mandible stylet-like, armed with 8 marginal teeth distally (Fig. 31E). Maxillule (Fig. 31F) bilobate, smaller lobe tipped with 1 spiniform element; larger lobe tipped with 3 unequal spiniform elements. Maxilla 2-segmented: comprising proximal syncoxa (lacertus) and distal basis (brachium); basis (Fig. 31 G ) with strong subapical spine and flaccid walled process adjacent to terminal claw; terminal claw ornamented with row of sharp denticles on both margins. Maxilliped (Fig. 31H) 2-segmented comprising massive corpus with papilliform element proximally on medial surface, and distal subchela comprising compound endopodal segment and weakly curved terminal claw; subchela armed with proximal inner seta and distal spiniform process.

Leg 1 members joined by intercoxal sclerite (Fig. 32A); protopodal part armed with outer seta on papilla and inner spine; outer and anterior surfaces of protopod densely ornamented with long setules, inner surface with tiny spinules; exopod 1-segmented, armed with 5 robust terminal spines with serrate margins and ornamented with patch
of spinules on outer surface; endopod 1-segmented, tapering distally, armed with terminal spine about as long as segment and ornamented with spinules. Leg 2 (Fig. 32B) mounted on inflated prominence derived from incorporated protopod and armed with outer seta on papilla; surface ornamented with long setules: both rami 1-segmented; exopod armed with 4 distal spines; endopod armed with robust apical element less than half length of segment, and ornamented with spinules distally. Leg 3 uniramous, with elongate endopodal lobe directed both laterally and posteroventrally, longer than anterior part of trunk; endopodal lobes separate along inner margin (Fig. 30C); armed with dorsal outer basal seta; exopod not defined. Leg 4 (Fig. 30C) biramous with both rami forming elongate processes, inner (endopodal) lobe slightly shorter than outer (exopodal) lobe, but both lobes damaged and incomplete in most specimens (cf. Fig. 34A-F); armed with basal seta dorsally near base of limb. Leg 5 represented by elongate lobe, about 10 times longer than wide; with unarmed tip but bearing single plumose seta dorsally near base (Fig. 31A).

Male. Body smaller than female (Fig. 32C), body length ranging from 2.20 to 2.58 mm , with a mean of 2.39 mm (based on 3 specimens). Cephalothorax comprising about $36 \%$ of total body length, broadest at middle, with evenly convex lateral margins: frontal area of cephalothorax carrying antennules and antennae, defined by marginal indentations. Trunk comprising fused pedigerous somites (Fig. 32C) fused to unsegmented urosome incorporating genital complex and abdomen, bearing paired caudal rami. Caudal ramus elongate (Fig. 32D), about 7.5 times longer than wide, armed with 2 plumose setae proximally on dorsal surface, 1 short lateral seta located in distal $17 \%$, plus 2 apical setae; ornamented with single sensilla on dorsal surface.

Antennule 7-segmented (Fig. 32E), setal formula: 1, 3, 2, 0, 1, 3+ae, $7+$ ae. Parabasal flagellum located close to base of antennule, comprising broad base with thickened cuticle plus slender distal part (Fig. 32F). Antenna (Fig. 32 G ) comprising long, slender corpus and distal subchela terminating in strongly recurved claw: corpus armed with broad process proximally on medial surface plus inner distal process; subchela armed with strong accessory claw proximally and another accessory claw near middle. Postantennal process rounded. Mandible stylet-like with 8 marginal teeth near apex (Fig. 33A). Maxillule bilobate (Fig. 33A); larger lobe armed with 3 unequal elements distally and ornamented with surface spinules; smaller lobe with strong apical element. Maxilla with strong spinous process subapically on basis (Fig. 32H); distal claw with 2 rows of denticles. Maxilliped (Fig. 33B) comprising robust corpus bearing small pointed myxal process and ornamented with patches of blunt spinules proximally on medial surface, and distal subchela armed with inner seta about at mid-length plus blunt process at base of terminal claw.

Leg 1 robust, members of leg pair joined by intercoxal sclerite (Fig. 33C): basis armed with outer seta on papilla and stout inner spine with patch of spinules at base; exopod 1 -segmented, broadening distally, armed with 5 distal spines, innermost spine longest with smooth margins, outermost spine short, middle 3 spines all robust, heavily sclerotized and apparently fused to segment: ramus ornamented with inner and outer patches of spinules; endopod elongate, 1 -segmented, armed with spinulose apical seta just shorter than segment; segment extensively ornamented with spinules. Leg 2 (Fig. 33D) retaining only slender vestige of intercoxal sclerite; basis with outer seta on papilla; both rami 1-segmented; exopod broad distally, armed with 3 small spines on outer part of distal margin, and ornamented with patches of spinules distally; endopod slender, slightly curved and armed with long spinulose seta apically; shorter than segment; surface ornamented with spinules. Leg 3 (Fig. 32C) uniramous, forming long cylindrical process directed posterolaterally from ventrolateral origin on trunk: armed with dorsal basal seta at base of limb; surface of leg 3 smooth, unornamented. Leg 4 (Fig. 32C) biramous, each ramus forming long cylindrical process, exopodal lobe longer than endopodal lobe: outer basal seta present dorsally at base of limb (Fig. 32I). Leg 5 represented by minute papilla with apical seta (arrowed in Fig. 32I).

Distribution. The type locality of the new species is in the Northern Territory, but this species is also known from Broome and Wyndham in Western Australia (D.P. Barton, unpubl.).

Remarks: The new species is most closely related to L. cruciatus. Both species have a very long and well developed leg 3, characterized by an enlarged endopodal lobe projecting both laterally and posteroventrally, which is longer than the anterior part of the trunk (measured from the posterior margin of the dorsal cephalic shield to the shallow groove marking the origin of the dorsal trunk plate at the anterior edge of the fourth pedigerous somite). Both the new species and L. cruciatus also share numerous features with several other species found on sciaenid hosts and are similar to L. gisleri (see Table 3). These shared features include the following character states: the antennule is 7 -segmented with the segment bearing the subapical aesthetasc separate from the apical segment; the proximal segment of the antennule is fused to a swelling on the surface of the cephalothorax and both segment and swelling are densely ornamented with long setules; the maxilla bears a curved process on the basis just proximal to


FIGURE 31. Lernanthropus paracruciatus sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, urosome, dorsal view showing fifth legs, genital openings and caudal rami; B, antennule; C, parabasal flagellum; D, antenna; E, mandible; F, maxillule; G, basis of maxilla; H, maxilliped. Scale bars A, $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{G}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURE 32. Lernanthropus paracruciatus sp. nov., paratype + . A, leg 1 and intercoxal sclerite; B, leg 2. L. paracruciatus sp. nov., paratype ${ }^{\text {JT. }}$. C, habitus, dorsal; D, caudal ramus, ventral; E, antennule; F, parabasal flagellum; G, antenna; H, basis of maxilla; I, right side of genital complex, dorsal view showing vestigial fifth leg (arrowed). Scale bars A,G, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, C, $1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{I}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.



FIGURE 34. Lernanthropus paracruciatus sp. nov., paratype $q$ q. A-F, dorsal habitus of different females showing varying degrees of damage to dorsal trunk plate and posterior legs. Scale bar 5 mm .

Sexual dimorphism is very marked in lernanthropids, affecting not only body form but also the structure and armature of almost every limb. However, the sexual dimorphism expressed in the first swimming leg of $L$. paracruciatus sp. nov. is unusual: the spines on the distal margin of the exopod have the normal tapering shape in the female but in the male these spines are thicker, have a more rounded shape and are contiguous (possibly fused).

## Lernanthropus pemphericola sp. nov.

(Figs. 35-37)

Type Material: Holotype $q$, allotype $\delta^{\lambda}, 27$ paratype $q+q, 14$ paratype $\delta^{\lambda} \delta^{\lambda}$ from Pempheris compressa (White, 1790), Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; 15 May 1981; collected by M. La Spina: AM Reg. Nos. P. 103903 (Holotype $q$ ), P. 103904 (allotype $\delta^{\top}$ ), P. 103905 (10 paratype $q+$ and 5 paratype $\delta_{\delta} \delta_{\text {) }}$ ); 10 paratype $q+$ and 5 paratype


Etymology: the name of the new species combines the generic name of the host with -icola, meaning inhabitant.


FIGURE 35. Lernanthropus pemphericola sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, habitus with detached egg sac, dorsal; B, urosome, dorsal view showing paired genital apertures and right caudal ramus; C , antennule; D , antenna; E , mandible; F , maxillule; G , maxilla; H, maxilliped; I, fifth leg. Scale bars A, 1 mm , B-D,H, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, E-G,I, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.



maxilla; D, maxilliped; E, leg 1 and intercoxal sclerite; F, leg 2. Scale bars A,B,D, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Description: Female body comprising cephalothorax, trunk and urosome (Fig. 35A): body length ranging from 1.47 to 1.69 mm , with a mean of 1.59 mm (based on 10 specimens). Cephalothorax subcircular, about 1.2 times wider than long; frontal margin with convex protruding central part; lateral margins evenly convex, expanded into ventrolaterally directed folds on either side of cephalothorax; posterior margin convex. Trunk broad: anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) wider than long, expanding posteriorly towards level of origin of third legs; posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) with short dorsal trunk plate with rounded posterior margin (Fig. 35A). Dorsal trunk plate wider than long, covering anterior part of urosome but with part of genital complex, anal somite and caudal rami all visible in dorsal view. Egg sacs linear (Fig. 35A). Abdomen small, not clearly differentiated from genital complex (Fig. 35B), bearing paired, elongate caudal rami. Each caudal ramus about 3.7 times longer than wide; armed with 2 long plumose setae proximally, 1 short outer seta located at about $75 \%$ of ramus length, and 2 spine-like setal elements at apex (Fig. 35B).

Antennule (Fig. 35C) indistinctly segmented, proximal part with irregular cuticular thickening and armed with total of 7 setae; defined apical segment with $11+2$ aesthetascs. Parabasal flagellum absent in female. Antenna (Fig. 35D) comprising robust corpus, bearing 2 small processes proximally on medial surface, and distal subchela armed with 2 small processes proximally and small seta on concave margin. Mandible stylet-like, armed with 8 marginal teeth distally (Fig. 35E). Maxillule (Fig. 35F) bilobate, smaller inner lobe tipped with 1 spiniform element; larger outer lobe tipped with 3 unequal setal elements. Maxilla (Fig. 35G) 2-segmented: comprising proximal syncoxa (lacertus) and distal basis (brachium); basis with 1 subapical seta plus triangular process distally; terminal claw ornamented with sharp denticles along margins and fine spinules on inner surface. Maxilliped (Fig. 35H) 2-segmented comprising massive corpus with papilliform element on medial surface opposing tip of claw, and distal subchela comprising compound endopodal segment and strongly curved terminal claw, armed with seta and minute process near concave margin.

Leg 1 biramous (Fig. 36A); protopod well defined, intercoxal sclerite absent; basis armed with outer seta and short inner spine; exopod 1 -segmented, armed with 5 robust terminal spines; endopod 1 -segmented, armed with vestigial seta on apex. Leg 2 (Fig. 36B) mounted on inflated prominence derived from incorporated protopod, armed with outer seta located on small prominence, and ornamented with 2 setules medially: both rami 1 -segmented; exopod armed with 4 small terminal spines; endopod armed with curved apical element. Leg 3 biramous: exopod represented by flattened, horizontally-orientated lobe, visible in dorsal view; endopod represented by slightly larger obliquely-orientated lobe, extending back to about level of origin of fourth leg lobes; endopodal lobes of leg pair separate along midline (Fig. 36C); outer basal seta not seen. Leg 4 (Fig. 35A) biramous with rami forming elongate processes, armed with dorsal seta at base: outer (exopodal) lobe elongate, inner (endopodal) lobe slightly shorter than exopodal lobe. Leg 5 represented by small lobe bearing single plumose seta on apex (Fig. 35I).

Male. Body smaller than female; body length ranging from 0.92 to 1.07 mm , with a mean of 0.98 mm (based on 10 specimens). Cephalothorax large, comprising about $46 \%$ of total body length: broadest at middle, with strongly convex lateral margins (Fig. 36D); frontal area carrying antennules and antennae, narrow and defined by marked lateral indentations. Trunk comprising second to fourth pedigerous somites fused to urosome. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital somite and abdomen, all fused. Genital somite with linear, tapering lateral margins, more than twice width of abdomen; abdomen wider than long bearing paired caudal rami armed as in female.

Antennule indistinctly segmented (Fig. 37A), proximal part armed with total of 8 setal elements; apical segment with $11+2$ aesthetascs. Parabasal flagellum straight, with slightly swollen base, located close to base of antennule and reaching $36 \%$ along limb (Fig. 37A). Antenna (Fig. 37B) comprising massive corpus and distal subchela consisting of endopod plus terminal claw: corpus armed with papilliform process medially and ornamented with spinules; subchela armed with stout inner process plus; small process in articulation between corpus and subchela. Postantennal processes (Fig. 36, pap) rounded located anterior to short oral cone (Fig. 36E, oc). Mandible similar to that of female. Maxillule with longest seta on apex of outer lobe more than twice length of shorter elements (Fig. 36E). Maxilla as in female but with additional large spinules in distal part of basis and on claw (Fig. 37C). Maxilliped with same segmentation as female; corpus ornamented with spinules along myxal margin, subchela with strong pointed process near concave margin (Fig. 37D).

Leg 1 with well defined protopod and members of leg pair joined by intercoxal sclerite: biramous (Fig. 37E) with outer seta and strong inner spine on basis; basis ornamented with spinules around origin of inner spine: exopod 1-segmented, armed with 4 terminal spines and ornamented with scattered spinules distally; endopod 1-segmented, with small elements and scattered spinules apically. Leg 2 (Fig. 37F) without intercoxal sclerite; protopod defined
from somite proximally and armed with outer seta; both rami 1-segmented; exopod broadening distally, armed with distal spines of varying sizes and with ornamentation of spinules laterally; endopod tapering distally, surface ornamented with scattered spinules, armed with small naked seta apically. Leg 3 (Fig. 36D) uniramous, forming long cylindrical process directed laterally from trunk, armed with outer protopodal seta proximally on dorsal surface. Leg 4 (Fig. 36D) uniramous, forming long cylindrical process directed posterolaterally, with outer protopodal seta proximally on dorsal surface; leg 4 lobe about 1.8 times longer than leg 3 .

Distribution: This new species is known only from the type locality, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales.
Remarks: This small species is the first lernanthropid to be recorded from any member of the family Pempheridae. It is similar to $L$. atrox in its broad cephalothorax and narrow dorsal trunk plate allowing the dorsal surface of the posteriorly-directed leg 3 to be visible in dorsal aspect. These species differ, however, in the extent of the dorsal trunk plate which conceals the urosome including the tips of the caudal rami in $L$. atrox, but which reveals the posterior part of the genital complex, the abdomen and the entire caudal rami in the new species. In addition, the lobes of leg 4 are short (less than the length of the trunk) in L. atrox but elongate (almost as long as entire body) in $L$. pemphericola sp. nov. The males differ markedly in leg structure: legs 3 and 4 are biramous in L. atrox (see Shiino, 1955: Fig. 3C) but both are uniramous in the new species.

The other known Australian species with a short and broad anterior trunk and narrow dorsal trunk plate is $L$. breviculus, but in this species the dorsal trunk plate covers the entire urosome and caudal rami, and only the lateral margin of leg 3 is visible dorsally. In contrast, in the new species almost all of the urosome protrudes beyond the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate and the entire exopodal lobe of leg 3 is visible in dorsal view. In addition, the members of leg 3 pair are fused along the midline in L. breviculus, but separate in L. pemphericola $\mathbf{s p}$. nov. The new species can also be distinguished from L. callionymicola by the same feature, namely that in L. callionymicola only the tips of the caudal rami are visible protruding beyond the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate (ElRashidy \& Boxshall, 2012) compared to almost the entire urosome in the new species.

The male of the new species has uniramous legs 3 and 4 and this is a relatively unusual condition. Although males are as yet unknown in many species, where males have been described they typically have the fourth legs (and often the third legs) biramous. So the possession of uniramous legs 3 and 4 is not common in males but it is shared with a number of other Indo-Pacific species including L. breviculus, L. callionymicola, L. cadenati and L. secutoris Pillai, 1963. The first two of these species have already been distinguished from the new species on the basis of female characteristics. Females of the new species differ from L. cadenati in the form of leg 3, which has unfused endopodal lobes in the new species rather than endopodal lobes fused along the midline to form a triangular ventral plate in L. cadenati. In male L. cadenati leg 4 is about 4 times longer than leg 3 whereas in male L. pemphericola sp. nov. leg 4 is only about 1.8 times longer than leg 3 . The ratio of the lengths of legs 3 and 4 is similar in males of $L$. secutoris and the new species, but the females differ: in L. secutoris the dorsal trunk plate is longer than the anterior trunk and conceals the genital complex, whereas in the new species the trunk plate is shorter than the anterior trunk and the genital complex is visible in dorsal view.

## Lernanthropus pomadasysis Rangnekar \& Murti, 1961

(Fig. 10C-D)
Material examined: $4 q$, $1 \delta$ from Pomadasys kaakan (Cuvier, 1830), Bynoe Harbour, Northern Territory, 16 October 2014, collected by B.K. Diggles. 1q, 1 § MAGNT Reg. No. Cr-019247. $1 q$ QM Reg. No. W29498, $2 q$ q (1 dissected) NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.228-229.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax wider than long, widest posteriorly and narrowing to medially pointed frontal margin, giving triangular outline in dorsal view (Fig. 7C); lateral margins of cephalothorax produced anteroventrally. Anterior part of trunk (second and third pedigerous somites) narrower than cephalothorax, becoming gradually wider towards posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by dorsal trunk plate. Dorsal trunk plate short and wide, with entire but irregularly-convex free posterior margin. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused (Fig. 7D); genital complex ornamented with 2 pairs of sensillae on dorsal surface, abdomen with 1 pair. Paired caudal rami elongate; ramus about 2.2 times longer than wide; tapering towards blunt apex. Parabasal flagellum simple, cylindrical. Leg 3 forming fleshy outer lamella, splayed outwards at right angle to longitudinal axis of body, plus smaller inner lobe partly fused along midline to other member of
leg pair. Leg 4 bilobate; inner and outer lobes subequal, distal $60 \%$ of both lobes protruding beyond free posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate. Leg 5 absent. Body length of $q$ ranging from 1.82 to 1.94 mm , with a mean of 1.87 mm (based on 4 specimens); body length of single $\widehat{ } 1.00 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Distribution: This species was originally described from Pomadasys maculatus (Bloch, 1793) caught off Bombay in Indian waters (Rangnekar \& Murti, 1961). Subsequently Ho et al. (2008) reported it from P. kaakan landed in Taiwan. This is the first record of L. pomadasysis from Australian waters.

Remarks: Pillai (1985) relegated this species to synonymy with L. abitocephalus, which occurs on the same host (P. maculatus), but Ho et al. (2008) resurrected L. pomadasysis as a valid species after examining and redescribing material of both sexes collected from P. kaakan landed in Taiwan. They highlighted the differences between L. abitocephalus and L. pomadasysis in the shape of the cephalothorax and the shape and size of legs 3 and 4 (see Fig. 7). In L. pomadasysis the inner and outer lobes of leg 4 are about equal in length whereas in L. abitocephalus the inner (endopodal) lobe is markedly shorter than the outer (exopodal). These differences are consistent with the character states exhibited by these two species in Australian waters.

## Lernanthropus seriolii Shishido, 1898

(Figs. 38, 39A)

Syn: Lernanthropus seriolae: Yamaguti, 1963
Lernanthropus paenulatus C.B. Wilson, 1922 new synonym
Lernanthropus paenulatus: Rohde et al., 1995; Hutson et al., 2007

Material examined: 7q $q$, $1 \delta^{\Uparrow}$ on Seriola hippos Günther, 1876, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; December 1980-January 1981; collected by K. Rohde. 10 우, 1 § on S. hippos, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; December 1980-January 1981; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.97-98. 17q $\uparrow$ on Seriola lalandi Valenciennes, 1833, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; December 1980-January 1981; collected by K. Rohde. 3ỗ on S. lalandi, Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; December 1980-January 1981; collected by K. Rohde; NHMUK Reg. No. 1984.99-100.

Comparative material examined: Holotype $q$ (USNM 54058) and paratype $q+$ (USNM 54057) of Lernanthropus paenulatus C.B. Wilson, 1922 stored in the United States National Museum of Natural History, Washington D.C., USA.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax longer than wide with weakly convex lateral margins and straight frontal margin: trunk elongate, about 5 times longer than cephalothorax; anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) just wider than cephalothorax and bearing third legs posteriorly; posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by large dorsal trunk plate; plate becoming wider posteriorly and with evenly convex free posterior margin (Fig. 38A-C). Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused (Fig. 39A). Genital complex with conspicuous gonopores located dorsolaterally and with paired copulatory pores located posterolaterally on ventral surface. Genital complex ornamented with 2 pairs of sensillae on dorsal surface between gonopore openings. Paired caudal rami slightly curved; about 3.4 times longer than maximum width, tapering from broad base towards apex; not extending beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate; each ramus armed with 2 plumose setae proximally on dorsal surface, 1 small lateral seta located about at $58 \%$ of ramus length, and 2 small apical setae (Fig. 39A). Leg 3 bilobate, located ventrally inner lobe forming fleshy lamella, shoehorn-shaped with distal part curved towards head; outer lobe much smaller than inner, but clearly visible in lateral view (Fig. 38A). Leg 4 bilobate; inner lobe longer than outer; tips of both lobes protruding beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate. Leg 5 represented by large lamellate lobe (Fig. 39A) about 4.7 times longer than maximum width, tapering towards tip extending beyond tips of caudal rami: armed with single protopodal seta located in proximal quarter on dorsal surface. Body length of $q$ ranging from 7.85 to 9.00 mm , with a mean of 8.48 mm (based on 10 specimens); mean body length of đ 2.43 mm (based on 4 specimens).

Distribution: Lernanthropus seriolii was originally described by Shishido (1898) based on material collected from the gills of Seriola lalandi caught off Japan. Izawa (2014) redescribed this species (as L. seriolae) after examination of material from the same host caught in Japanese waters. The change in spelling to seriolae is an unjustified emendation, first used by Yamaguti (1963); the original spelling was subsequently used by Izawa (2018) and is maintained here.


FIGURE 38. Lernanthropus seriolii Shishido, 1898, adult Q A, habitus, lateral; B, habitus, lateroventral; C, habitus, dorsolateral. Scale bar 5 mm .

Lernanthropus seriolii is very similar in gross morphology to L. paenulatus Wilson, 1922 which was described from material collected from the gills of the same host (S. lalandi) caught off the Atlantic coast of the USA at Woods Hole, Massachusetts and at Beaufort, North Carolina (Wilson, 1922). According to Izawa (2014), L. seriolii can be distinguished from $L$. paenulatus most readily by the form of the female leg 5 . In L. seriolii the fifth legs form elongate lamellae, which extend well beyond the tips of the caudal rami, whereas in L. paenulatus the fifth legs were described by Wilson (1922: 52) as "a pair of short fifth leg rudiments just in front of genital segment". Re-examination of the type material of $L$. paenulatus stored in the USNM revealed that Wilson's description was erroneous: $L$. paenulatus possesses elongate lamellate fifth legs, as described for $L$. seriolii. In the absence of other substantive differences, we propose to treat $L$. paenulatus as a junior subjective synonym of L. seriolii.

Previous records of L. paenulatus from Australia should be attributed to L. seriolii: Hutson et al. (2007a) reexamined material from S. lalandi caught in New Zealand waters, originally reported as Lernanthropus sp. by Smith et al. (2004) and one of us (GAB) identified it as L. paenulatus. Rohde et al. (1995) had first reported L. paenulatus from Australia, on S. lalandi caught at Coffs Harbour (NSW). Re-examination here of Rohde's material stored in Natural History Museum, London confirms that the Australian material from Coffs Harbour is L. seriolii. The material reported by Hutson et al. (2007a) as L. paenulatus from the same host taken at Sir John Woods Banks (NSW) and off Killarney (Victoria) and material reported by Hutson et al. (2007b) from Seriola hippos is also now reassigned to L. seriolii, as is the record of L. paenulatus from a Seriola sp. caught in China (Song \& Chen, 1976).

Remarks: This is a distinctive species with a long slender body in the female. A characteristic feature of this species is the small triangular outer (exopodal) lobe located proximally at the base of leg 3 .

Lernanthropus micropterygis is similar to L. seriolii, both species have a similar elongate body form in the female and both have long, lamellate fifth legs and caudal rami. However, L. micropterygis differs from L. seriolii in the relative lengths of these structures: the fifth legs are longer than the caudal rami in $L$. seriolii but shorter than the
caudal rami in L. micropterygis. In addition, the dorsal trunk plate is much shorter in L. micropterygis so that almost the whole of the elongate fourth legs are visible in dorsal view whereas in L. seriolii only the distal $40 \%$ is visible. Lernanthropus micropterygis has a more restricted distribution than L. seriolii, as it is known only from the Mediterranean Sea (Richiardi, 1884; Goggio, 1906), Red Sea (Wilson, 1924) and South Africa (Kensley \& Grindley, 1973, as L. ecclesi).


FIGURE 39. Lernanthropus seriolii Shishido, 1898, adult $q$. A, urosome, dorsal view showing paired fifth legs, genital openings and caudal rami. Mitrapus oblongus (Pillai, 1964) $\begin{gathered}\text { h , B, habitus, dorsal. Scale bars A, } 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~B}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~m} .\end{gathered}$

## Lernanthropus selenotoca sp. nov.

(Figs. 40-41)

Type material: Holotype $q$ and 3 paratype $q+\frac{q}{}$ from Selenotoca multifasciata (Richardson, 1846) (TC17225), Moreton Bay, Queensland; 18 January 2016; collected G.A. Boxshall; QM Reg. Nos. W29499 (Holotype Q), W29500 (paratype $q$ q). 2 paratype $q$ q from S. multifasciata (TC17303), Moreton Bay, Queensland; 20 January 2016; collected by G.A.Boxshall; QM Reg. No. W29500. 2 paratype $q$ q from S. multifasciata (TC16942), Moreton Bay, Queensland; 08 December 2015; collected by S.C. Cutmore. 3 paratype $q$ q $q$ from S. multifasciata (TC17062), Moreton Bay, Queensland; 13 January 2016; collected G.A. Boxshall; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.291-295.

Etymology: the name of the new species refers to the genus of the type host.
Description: Female body comprising cephalothorax and trunk (Fig. 40A-C). Body length of $q$ ranging from 3.32 to 3.74 mm , with a mean of 3.56 mm (based on 8 specimens). Cephalothorax about 1.15 times longer than wide, gradually becoming wider posteriorly; lateral margins linear, expanded into ventro-laterally directed folds
on either side and extending anteriorly into slightly produced lobes, giving frontal margin a weakly indented appearance. Trunk about 1.1 times longer than cephalothorax; anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) just wider than cephalothorax and bearing third legs posteriorly; posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by dorsal trunk plate; plate with evenly convex lateral margins, posterior margin with distinct median indentation. Urosome (Fig. 41A) comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused. Genital complex with conspicuous gonopores located dorsolaterally and with paired copulatory pores (arrowed in Fig. 41A) located posterolaterally on ventral surface. Genital complex ornamented with 1 pair of sensillae and median pore on dorsal surface between gonopore openings. Paired caudal rami about 2.7 times longer than maximum width; not extending as far as posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate; each ramus armed with 2 plumose setae proximally on dorsal surface, 1 small lateral seta located at about $80 \%$ of ramus length, and 2 unequal apical setae (Fig. 41A).


FIGURE 40. Lernanthropus selenotoca sp. nov., paratype $q$. A, habitus, ventral; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, dorsal. Scale bar 1 mm .

Antennule (Fig. 41B) 6-segmented, some segments with irregular cuticular thickening; setal formula: 1, 3, 2, 0, $1,10+2$ ae. Parabasal flagellum (Fig. 41C) with swollen base and slender, slightly curved distal part. Antenna (Fig. 41D) robust, comprising massive corpus bearing papilliform element on medial surface, and distal subchela showing traces of suture line; subchela armed with small process proximally near articulation between corpus and subchela, plus 1 rounded and 1 pointed process; claw ornamented with surface striations and pits towards tip. Mandible styletlike, armed with 8 marginal teeth distally. Maxillule (Fig. 41E) bilobate, smaller inner lobe tipped with 1 spiniform element; larger outer lobe tipped with 3 unequal spiniform elements. Maxilla 2-segmented, comprising proximal syncoxa (lacertus) and distal basis (brachium); basis (Fig. 41F) ornamented with process distally on inner margin;


FIGURE 41. Lernanthropus selenotoca sp. nov., paratype q. A, urosome, dorsal view showing paired genital openings, copulatory pores (arrowed) and caudal rami; B, antennule; C, parabasal flagellum; D, antenna; E, maxillule; F, basis of maxilla; G, maxilliped; H, leg 1 and intercoxal sclerite; I, leg 2; J, leg 4. Scale bars A, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}, 0.5$ mm .
terminal claw armed with sharp denticles along both margins. Maxilliped (Fig. 41G) 2-segmented, comprising massive corpus with papilliform element on myxal surface, and distal subchela; subchela comprising compound endopodal segment and strongly curved terminal claw; armed with distinctive accessory process on mid-concave margin.

Leg 1 biramous, members of leg pair joined by robust intercoxal sclerite (Fig. 41H): protopod inflated, armed with outer seta and inner spine; exopod 1 -segmented, armed with 5 robust distal margin spines with minutely serrate margins; endopod 1 -segmented, tapering distally, armed with terminal seta about as long as segment. Leg 2 (Fig. 41I) mounted on inflated hemispherical prominence derived from incorporated protopod and armed with outer seta on distinct papilla: both rami 1 -segmented; exopod armed with 3 distal spines; endopod armed with pinnate apical seta, about 1.5 times longer than segment. Leg 3 uniramous, lacking exopod; endopodal lobe forming large shoehorn-shaped lamella, directed ventrally (Fig. 40A, B); leg pair separate medially; armed with outer basal seta on dorsal surface. Leg 4 (Fig. 41J) biramous, with rami forming elongate processes: outer (exopodal) lobe slightly longer than inner (endopodal); distal $35 \%$ of lobes extending beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate; armed with basal seta proximally on dorsal surface. Leg 5 absent.

Male unknown.
Distribution: The type locality, Moreton Bay, is the only known locality for this species.
Remarks: The only previous record of a lernanthropid from Selentoca multifasciatus is that of Kabata (1979a) who reported L. gisleri from this host in Australia. No other lernanthropids have been reported from members of the family Scatophagidae.

In body proportions, the female of new species superficially resembles two species reported from carangid hosts in Indian waters, L. indicus and L. koenigii. In all three of these species the cephalothorax comprises about 25 to $30 \%$ of total body length, the anterior trunk is 1.0 to 1.2 times longer than the cephalothorax, and the dorsal trunk plate comprises about 40 to $45 \%$ of total body length and has a weak median indentation in its posterior margin. However, both of these Indian species have the fifth leg in the form of an elongate lobe while this leg is absent in $L$. selenotoca $\mathbf{s p}$. nov. Most other species have a straight or evenly convex posterior margin on the dorsal trunk plate, unlike the medially indented margin of the new species.

Another unusual feature of the new species is the accessory process on the subchela of the maxilliped in the female. No other Indo-Pacific species (which has been described in sufficient detail) possesses such a process.

## Lernanthropus tylosuri Richiardi, in Goggio, 1906

(Fig. 10C)

Syn: Lernanthropus cornutus Kirtisinghe, 1937

## Material examined: none

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax longer than wide with linear lateral margins tapering towards straight anterior margin, bearing large process at each posterolateral corners (Fig. 10C). Trunk 2 to 3 times longer than cephalothorax; anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) as wide as cephalothorax, with weakly convex margins; posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by long, cloak-like dorsal trunk plate, flared out laterally and widest towards posterior margin. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused. Paired caudal rami short and wide; all caudal setae located in distal half of ramus. Parabasal flagellum long and slightly curved, reaching to middle of subapical segment of antennule. Leg 3 located ventrolaterally at rear of third pedigerous somite, forming long fleshy lamella, shoehorn-shaped, directed ventrally: third legs separate along midline. Leg 4 bilobate; inner and outer lobes flattened and entirely concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate; both lobes with complex apical ornamentation. Leg 5 absent. Body length of $Q 7.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, of $\lesssim 1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ (length data from Pillai, 1985).

Distribution: The original description of L. tylosuri was based on material collected in the Mediterranean Sea by Richiardi (see Goggio, 1906). Cressey \& Collette (1970) reported this species from numerous localities across the North and South Atlantic, North and South Pacific, and Indian Oceans. Their global survey included the first Australian record of L. tylosuri, from Strongylura incisa caught on the Great Barrier Reef (Queensland). Raja et al. (2018) reported a prevalence rate of $41.7 \%$ on Tylosurus crocodilus crocodilus (Peron \& Lesueur, 1821) caught off S. E. India.

Remarks. This species has a confused nomenclatural history. The name Lernanthropus tylosuri was first used by Richiardi (1880) who simply listed the name in his Catalogo sistematico dei Crostacei che vivono sul corpo degli animali, as occurring on the gills of Tylosurus imperialis (Rafinesque) (as Thylosurus imperialis). Richiardi's name is a nomen nudum because citing a host together with a new name but in the absence of any description or illustration is not sufficient to constitute an indication according to the Code. Both Carus (1885) and Brian (1906) noted that L. tylosuri of Richiardi (1880) was a nomen nudum. However, Goggio (1906: Tav II, Fig. 10) provided an illustration of an adult female labelled as L. tylosuri and stated "[of Lernanthropus tylosuri I have not found any specimen and therefore I limit myself to reproducing a figure of Prof. S. Richiardi]". The use of the binomial name and an illustration prior to 1931 is sufficient to constitute an indication under the Code. Wilson (1922) had presumably seen Goggio's work because he was able to use the presence of the conspicuous paired posterolateral processes on the cephalothorax as a distinguishing character of L. tylosuri in his key to species.

Kirtisinghe (1937) established L. cornutus as a new species, but this is clearly the same species as the L. tylosuri of Wilson (1922) and Cressey \& Collette (1970). Both names have subsequently been used by numerous researchers (see summary in Ho \& Do, 1985). Cressey \& Collette (1970) used L. tylosuri for this taxon in their major geographic survey and Pillai (1985) used it in his monograph on Indian parasitic copepods, but Ho \& Do (1985) used $L$. cornutus in their important analysis of the phylogenetic relationships between the lernanthropid genera and cited numerous other users. Liu et al. (2009a) and Ho et al. (2011) continued to use L. cornutus. Given that Goggio (1906) attributes L. tylosuri to Richiardi and uses a figure provided by Richiardi to support the use of the name, we consider that the valid name and authority for this species is Lernanthropus tylosuri Richiardi, in Goggio, 1906, and that Lernanthropus cornutus Kirtisinghe, 1937 is a subjective junior synonym.

## Genus Mitrapus Song \& Chen, 1976

The validity of Mitrapus as a generic level taxon needs testing as it shares multiple character states with Lernanthropus. The females are currently distinguished from female Lernanthropus only by the marked disparity between the lengths of the endopodal and exopodal lobes of leg 4 . Given that the relative lengths of the rami of leg 4 can vary between species within both genera, this character is not robust. The males differ but male characters were not used in the phylogenetic analysis of Ho \& Do (1985).

## Mitrapus oblongus (Pillai, 1964)

(Fig. 39B, Fig. 42)

Syn: Lernanthropus oblongus Pillai, 1964

Material examined: $15 q Q$ and $5 \delta^{\top} \widehat{\sigma}$ (attached to $q$ ) from Herklotsichthys castelnaui (Ogilby, 1897) (TC17275), Moreton Bay, Queensland; 19 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; QM Reg. No. W29502. 1 Q and and $1 \delta^{\text {® }}$ from H. castelnaui (TC17229), Moreton Bay, Queensland; 18 January 2016; collected by G.A. Boxshall; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.296-297. 6 ㅇ from H. castelnaui (as Harengula abbreviata), Coffs Harbour, New South Wales; 15 May 1981; collected by M. La Spina; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.298-301.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax oval; anterolateral margins of cephalothorax folded downward to encircle base of antenna laterally. Trunk about 1.4 times longer than wide, covered with dorsal trunk plate extending posteriorly to overlap basal part of bilobed leg 4 (Fig. 42A-C); posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate entire and evenly convex. Anterior corners of trunk produced to form conspicuous, paired, knob-like protrusions. Lateral surfaces of trunk ornamented with numerous small papillae. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and anal somite, all fused. Egg sacs linear. Caudal rami carried on ventral surface of abdomen; conical, tapering from broad base; about 1.5 times longer than width at base; armature comprising 2 large caudal setae located dorsally in proximal third, lateral seta located in mid-margin, plus 2 apical setae. Parabasal flagellum absent. Leg 2 biramous, with unimerous rami. Leg 3 bilobate with fleshy outer in inner lamellae; outer lamella orientated vertically, inner lobe shoehorn-like, partly fused along midline to other member of leg pair. Leg 4 bilobate; outer (exopodal) lobe elongate, inner (endopodal) lobe about half (42-52 \%) of length of exopod; distal parts of both lobes protruding well
beyond free posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate (Fig. 42A-C). Leg 5 absent. Body length of $q$ ranging from 1.87 to 2.13 mm , with a mean of 2.00 mm (based on 10 specimens). Body length of figured male 0.91 mm (Fig. 39B).

Distribution: This species was originally described (as Lernanthropus oblongus) from India on the clupeid Sardinella fimbriata (Valenciennes, 1847) (Pillai, 1964). El-Rashidy \& Boxshall (2009; 2010) recorded both sexes of M. oblongus from two clupeiform fishes caught in Mediterranean coastal waters off Alexandria (Egypt): the dussumieriid Etrumeus teres (DeKay, 1842) which is an established immigrant species from the Red Sea, and Sardinella aurita Valenciennes, 1847, a native Mediterranean clupeid. Romero \& Öktener (2010) subsequently reported M. oblongus from the latter host in Turkish coastal waters. This is the first record of the genus from Australian waters and the clupeid host Herklotsichthys castelnaui constitutes a new host record for M. oblongus.


FIGURE 42. Mitrapus oblongus (Pillai, 1964) adult + . A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 1 mm .
TABLE 4. Character states of females of Sagum species

| Species | Lateral head margins | Caudal ramus shape | Caudal ramus L:W ratio | Dorsal caudal setae | Leg 4 | Visibility of leg 4 | Data source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. angulatum | paired lobes | short, cylindrical | 1.2:1 | unknown | tapering | concealed | Krøyer, 1863 |
| S. brotulae | paired lobes | tapering | 2.8:1 | proximal half | flagellate tips | visible | Izawa, 2018 |
| S. enneacentri | paired lobes | unknown | unknown | unknown | flagellate tips | visible | Pillai, 1985 |
| S. epinepheli | large lobes | tapering | 2.8:1 | proximal half | flagellate tips | visible | Ho et al., 2011 |
| S. flagellatum | paired lobes | lobate | "short" | unknown | flagellate tips | tips visible | Wilson, 1913 |
| S. pillaisebastiani | 3 angular lobes | tapering | 1.8:1 | proximal half | flagellate tips | visible | Pillai, 1985 |
| S. posteli | paired lobes | leaf-like | 1.0:1 | unknown | flagellate tips | visible | Toksen et al., 2012 |
| S. vespertilio | large lobes | leaf-like | 2.5:1 | proximal half | flagellate tips | tips visible | Ho et al., 2008 |
| S. petersi | weakly convex | unknown | unknown | unknown | flagellate tips | visible | Kabata, 1979a |
| S. bitaro | linear | leaf-like | 2.1:1 | distal half | tapering | concealed | Uyeno \& Naruse, 2018 |
| S. caesionis | linear | leaf-like | 1.5:1 | unknown | tapering | tips visible | Yamaguti, 1954 |
| S. foliaceum | linear | tapering | 2.5:1 | unknown | tapering | visible | Hewitt, 1968 |
| S. folium | linear | leaf-like | 1.8:1 | distal half | tapering | concealed | Ho et al., 2011 |
| S. gurukun | linear | leaf-like | 1.4:1 | proximal half | tapering | concealed | Uyeno \& Naruse, 2018 |
| S. lativentris | linear | leaf-like | 2.8:1 | proximal half | tapering | tips visible | present account |
| S. paracaesionis | linear | leaf-like | 2.0:1 | distal half | tapering | concealed | Izawa, 2014 |
| S. sanguineus | linear | leaf-like | 2.7:1 | proximal half | tapering | visible | Song \& Chen, 1976; present account |
| S. vietnamensis | linear | elongate, cylindrical | 4.4:1 | proximal half | tapering | concealed | Kazachenko et al., 2017 |

Remarks: Pillai (1985) commented that M. oblongus closely resembled M. rubiginosus (Redkar, Rangnekar \& Murti, 1949) (as L. rubiginosus) collected from the clupeid Nematalosa nasus (Bloch, 1795) (as Chatoessus nasus), but M. rubiginosus was subsequently recognised as a junior subjective synonym of M. heteropodus (Yü, 1933) by El-Rashidy \& Boxshall (2010). Both sexes of M. oblongus were redescribed in detail by El-Rashidy \& Boxshall (2010).

## Genus Sagum Wilson, 1913

Remarks: The genus Sagum was established by Wilson (1913) to accommodate a new species, S. flagellatum Wilson, 1913, found on the gills of a serranid Epinephelus adscensionis (Osbeck, 1765) caught off Jamaica. The new genus was distinguished from Lernanthropus by "the rostrum and wings of the cephalothorax, by the long endopods of the third legs, and by the lash-like tips of the fourth legs." The two female syntypes of S. flagellatum lacked egg sacs, so the form of the egg sacs was not included in the original generic diagnosis. In his revision of the North American Dichelesthiidae, Wilson (1922) transferred Lernanthropus angulatus Krøyer, 1863 into Sagum as S. angulatum and gave a revised generic diagnosis which included as a key feature, the possession of coiled egg sacs entirely contained within the space enclosed between the dorsal trunk plate and the third and fourth legs.

In their phylogenetic analysis of the lernanthropid genera, Ho \& Do (1985) grouped Aethon, Norion and Sagum together on the basis of the possession of coiled egg sacs. They distinguished Aethon by its highly modified second legs and grouped Sagum and Norion by the shared possession of bilobate fourth legs with lobes that are lamellate proximally and flagellate distally. Although used by Wilson (1922) is his original diagnosis of the genus, this latter character is not robust since at least half of Sagum species (see Table 4) have tapering bilobate fourth legs rather than legs with flagellate tips on the exopodal and endopodal lobes. The loss of leg 2 was used to characterize Norion species whereas the form of leg 3, described as "divided into 3 branches; lamelliform or cylindrical", was used to diagnose the Sagum branch. This latter character is also not robust, applying only to a minority of species. Given the difficulty in using some of these traditional characters, it is clear that the relationships between the currently recognized lernanthropid genera need to be reassessed using a wider range of characters from both sexes.

## Sagum epinepheli (Yamaguti \& Yamasu, 1960)

(Fig. 43)

Syn: Pseudolernanthropus epinepheli Yamaguti \& Yamasu, 1960
Non Sagum epinepheli: Pillai \& Sebastian, 1967
Material examined: $3 q Q$ and $3 \widehat{\delta} \hat{\sigma}$ from Plectropomus leopardus (Lacepède, 1802), Townsville, Queensland, 01 March 2012, collected by K.S. Hutson; $2 q$ q and $2 \widehat{\jmath}$ QM Reg. No. W29503, $1 q$ and $1 \delta^{\Uparrow}$ NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.216-217. 1 q from Epinephelus coioides Hamilton, 1822, Bynoe Harbour, Northern Territory, 09 March 2014, collected by B.K. Diggles; MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019248.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax wider than long; mid-section of lateral margin produced into process on each side (Fig. 43A-C). Trunk subrectangular, markedly wider than cephalothorax: anterior part of trunk (second and third pedigerous somites) wider than long, produced into tapering posterolateral processes extending almost halfway along lateral margins of dorsal trunk plate. Posterior part of trunk covered by square dorsal trunk plate with weakly convex sides, rounded corners and slight medial indentation in posterior margin. Entire dorsal surface of cephalothorax and trunk densely ornamented with small cuticular papillae. Urosome formed from fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen. Egg sacs coiled beneath dorsal trunk plate. Paired caudal rami elongate, tapering towards acute tip. Leg 2 biramous, with unimerous rami. Leg 3 forming fleshy lamella, with large, lamellate outer lobe orientated near-vertically and expanded posteriorly, reaching almost to posterior end of body, connecting via short ventrally directed anterior lobe to elongate, lamellate and horizontally-orientated inner lobe. Leg 4 bilobate; both inner and outer lobes with foliaceous proximal part tapering abruptly to flagellate distal part; flagellate tips sometimes visible, extending beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate (Fig. 43A-C). Leg 5 absent. Mean body length of $q$ from $P$. leopardus 5.02 mm , range 4.89 to 5.14 mm (based on 3 specimens); mean body length of $\circlearrowleft^{\lambda} 1.70 \mathrm{~mm}$, range 1.65 to 1.76 (based on 3 specimens): body length of $Q$ from E. coioides 4.33 mm .


FIGURE 43. Sagum epinepheli (Yamaguti \& Yamasu, 1960), adult $q$. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 2 mm .

Distribution: Originally recorded in Japanese waters from Epinephelus akaara (Temminck \& Schlegel, 1842) by Yamaguti \& Yamasu (1960), this species has been reported subsequently from India on E. merra Bloch, 1793 (Pillai, 1985) and from Taiwan on E. awoara (Temminck, 1842) (Ho et al., 2011). Justine et al. (2010a) reported $S$. epinepheli from five grouper species: E. chlorostigma (Valenciennes, 1828), E. coeruleopunctatus (Bloch, 1790), E. cyanopodus (Richardson), E. merra, and E. morrhua (Valenciennes, 1833) caught in New Caledonia. Raja et al. (2018) have recently reported S. epinepheli from E. fasciatus (Forsskål, 1775) caught off the coast of southeastern India. In Australian waters this copepod occurs on Plectropomus leopardus and E. coioides. Sagum epinepheli was rare in wild E. coioides $(\mathrm{n}=19)$ sampled from Bynoe Harbour over 5 half yearly sampling periods between August 2012 and March 2014, with prevalence ranging between $0 \%$ and $16.7 \%$ (mean intensity $=1$ ), with no apparent seasonality.

Remarks: The original description of this species was based on females from Japan (Yamaguti \& Yamasu, 1960). It has been redescribed in detail by Ho et al. (2011) and again by Izawa (2018). Pillai \& Sebastian (1967) provided a description of females they attributed to $S$. epinepheli collected from an unidentified species of Epinephelus caught off Kerala, India but this was clearly a misidentification, as noted by Izawa (2018) who established a new species Sagum pillaisebastiani Izawa, 2018, to accommodate Pillai \& Sebastian's material. The description of the male attributed to $S$. epinepheli by Pillai (1985) actually refers to $S$. pillaisebastiani.

## Sagum lativentris (Heller, 1865) n. comb.

(Figs. 44-46)
Syn: Lernanthropus lativentris Heller, 1865
Sagum lativentris: Pattipeiluhu \& Gill, 1998
non Lernanthropus lativentris: Pillai, 1985

Material examined: $3 q Q$ from Lutjanus johnii (Bloch, 1792), Darwin (Outer Harbour), 07 October 2012, col-
lected by B.K. Diggles; MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019249. 1q from L. johnii, Cape Hotham, Northern Territory; 03 January 2014; collected by D.P. Barton; QM Reg. No. W29504. $1 \jmath^{\top}$ from L. johnii, Lorna Shoal, Timor Sea, Northern Territory; 15 August 2013; collected by D.P. Barton; MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019250. 10 from L. johnii, Melville Island, Northern Territory; 12 September 2013; collected by D.P. Barton; QM Reg. No. W29505. 1 q from L. johnii, Cape Hotham, Northern Territory; 03 January 2014; collected by D.P. Barton. $1 \AA_{\text {§rom L. johnii, Melville Island, }}$ Northern Territory; 12 September 2013; collected by D.P. Barton; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.303.

1 ¢ from Lutjanus russellii (Bleeker, 1849), Darwin (Outer Harbour), 07 October 2012, collected by B.K. Diggles; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.304.
$4 \not \subset q$ from Lutjanus carponotatus (Richardson, 1842), Bynoe Harbour, Northern Territory, 28 August 2012, collected by B.K. Diggles; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.305.

Comparative material examined: $3 \uparrow$, $2 \delta^{\top}$ from Lutjanus vitta (Quoy \& Gaimard, 1824) (as Mesoprion phaiotaeniatus), Ambon, Indonesia; summer 1993, collected by S. Pattipeiluhu; NHMUK Reg. No. 1994.711-715.


FIGURE 44. Sagum lativentris (Heller, 1865) n. comb., adult q. A, habitus, dorsal; B, habitus, lateral; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 1 mm .

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax about 1.1 times longer than wide with almost linear lateral margins narrowing anteriorly towards short frontal margin (Fig. 44A-C); lateral margins of dorsal cephalothoracic shield projecting ventrally, with evenly convex free margin in lateral view (Fig. 44B). Anterior part of trunk (second and third pedigerous somites) about 2.1 times wider than long with distinct anterolateral shoulders; becoming slightly wider posteriorly and with short tapering posterolateral processes; posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by dorsal trunk plate. Dorsal trunk plate subcircular, with convex lateral and strongly convex posterior margins (Fig. $44 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}$ ); entirely concealing urosome and fourth legs in dorsal view. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused (Fig. 45A). Genital complex slender, with conspicuous paired gonopores dorsolaterally and with paired copulatory pores located posterolaterally on ventral surface (arrowed in Fig. 45A); dorsal surface of genital complex ornamented with 2 pairs of sensillae located between gonopores. Egg sacs loosely coiled beneath dorsal trunk plate and partly concealed laterally by third legs. Paired caudal rami elongate, about 2.8 times longer than maximum width; broadest in mid-section. Caudal rami each with 2 plumose dorsal setae; distal dorsal seta located at $44 \%$ of length of ramus, small outer seta located at about $60 \%$ of ramus length, plus 2 apical setae (Fig. 45A): tips of caudal rami reaching beyond mid-length of lobes of leg 4 (Fig. 45B).


FIGURE 45. Sagum lativentris (Heller, 1865) n. comb., adult q q. A, urosome, dorsal view showing vestigial fifth legs (arrowheads), paired genital openings, copulatory pores (arrowed) and caudal rami; B, posterior end of trunk and urosome, ventral view showing extent of lobes of leg 4 relative to caudal rami; C, antennule; D, antenna; E, postantennal process; F, mandible; G, maxillule; H, maxilla. Scale bars A, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURE 46. Sagum lativentris (Heller, 1865) n. comb., adult $q$. A, maxilliped; B, leg 1 and intercoxal sclerite; C, leg 2. Adult ${ }^{\top}$. D, habitus, dorsal; E, genital operculum and caudal ramus on right side, ventral view; F, parabasal flagellum; G, tip of maxilla; H, maxilliped; I, endopod of leg 1; J, leg 2. Scale bars A,B,E,H, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{J}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{I}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Antennule unsegmented, armed with 7 setae proximally and 12 setae plus 2 aesthetascs around apex (Fig. 45C). Parabasal flagellum absent. Antenna with robust proximal segment armed with conical process on medial margin; distal subchela armed with 2 rounded processes plus smaller process in articulation with proximal segment (Fig. 45D). Postantennal process rounded (Fig. 45E). Mandible (Fig. 45F) stylet-like with 8 marginal teeth at apex. Maxillule bilobed, smaller lobe tipped with 1 spiniform element; larger lobe tipped with 3 unequal spiniform elements and ornamented with patch of hair-like setules (Fig. 45G). Maxilla (Fig. 45H) with short tapering syncoxa; basis with apical claw ornamented with row of denticles, plus blunt subapical process. Maxilliped corpus with irregular myxal surface but no obvious processes; subchela armed with inner seta on concave margin (Fig. 46A).

Leg 1 with coxa fused to somite and incompletely separated from basis; members of leg pair joined by intercoxal sclerite (Fig. 46B). Each leg biramous with outer plumose seta and short, hirsute inner spine on basis; exopod 1 -segmented, armed with 5 robust terminal spines with denticulate margins; endopod 1-segmented, smoothly tapering to unarmed apex; surface unornamented. Leg 2 (Fig. 46C) carried on inflated subspherical prominence derived from incorporated protopod armed with outer plumose seta: biramous, with both rami 1 -segmented and both with wrinkled cuticular surface; exopod armed with 5 small distal spines; endopod incompletely separated basally, unarmed. Leg 3 located on ventral surface of third pedigerous somite, forming bilobed fleshy lamella, large outer lobe held vertically and directed posteriorly, reaching about to middle of dorsal trunk plate; smaller inner lobe about one third length of outer lobe; held vertically and extending posteromedially towards midline but legs separate along midline. Leg 4 bilobate (Fig. 45B); inner and outer lobes elongate, flattened and tapering slightly from wider base; distal part irregularly bent and usually concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate, but when fully extended and straight, reaching beyond free posterior margin of trunk dorsal plate. Leg 5 represented by minute papilla carrying short apical seta (arrowheads in Fig. 45A). Body length of $q$ ranging from 2.18 to 3.20 mm , with a mean of 2.72 mm (based on 9 specimens).

Description of male. Body smaller than female, comprising cephalothorax covered with dorsal shield and subrectangular trunk, separated by narrow neck region (Fig. 46D); dorsal surface of shield and trunk both densely ornamented with minute papillae. Cephalothorax about 1.4 times longer than wide with angular posterolateral corners and convex posterior margin. Trunk about 1.3 times longer than wide; lateral margins sinuous; posterior margin with indentations at base of leg 4 and medial to paired genital apertures: anal somite fused to trunk, wider than long, bearing paired caudal rami plus medial tapering process (Fig. 46E). Caudal rami irregularly cylindrical; bearing 2 dorsal setae in proximal half, lateral seta located at two-thirds of ramus length, plus 2 unequal apical setae.

Antennule unsegmented and armed as in female. Parabasal flagellum curved, with broad base tapering towards slender tip (Fig. 46F). Antenna, mandible and maxillule as in female. Maxilla similar to female but subapical process on basis smaller (Fig. 46G). Maxilliped with unisensillate papilla on myxal surface; subchela with small process distal to tiny seta on concave margin; apical claw ornamented with row of tiny spinules at base and with surface striations and pits towards apex (Fig. 46H).

Leg 1 as in female except vestigial setal element on tip of endopod better developed (Fig. 46I). Leg 2 (Fig. 46J) with better defined exopod with complex surface ornamentation; endopod lobate, unarmed. Leg 3 biramous (Fig. 46D), with each ramus forming elongate cylindrical lobe; armed with outer protopodal seta situated on small papilla located dorsally at base. Leg 4 (Fig. 46D) similar to leg 3, also armed with outer protopodal seta situated on small papilla located dorsally at base. Leg 5 absent. Leg 6 represented by paired genital apertures, unarmed. Body lengths of measured đ đ 1.59 and 1.66 mm .

Distribution: The original description of Sagum lativentris (as Lernanthropus lativentris) was based on females found on Lutjanus vitta (Quoy \& Gaimard, 1824) (as Mesoprion phaiotaeniatus) caught off Java, Indonesia (Heller, 1865). Pattipeiluhu \& Gill (1998) reported this species from the same host caught off Ambon, Indonesia, but other records of this species from India have been shown to refer to a different species (see Remarks below). The report of S. lativentris (as L. lativentris) on the gempylid Thyrsitoides marlayi Fowler, 1929 from Mozambique (Reimer, 1986) is so unusual as to raise considerable doubt over the identification. [The only Sagum species reported from a gempylid host is $S$. foliaceum known from New Zealand waters (Hewitt, 1968).] The present account is the first report of S. lativentris n. comb. from Australian waters.

Remarks: Pillai (1985) reported a species under the name of "Lernanthropus lativentris" from Lethrinus harak (Forsskål, 1775) (as L. rhodopterus) and Lutjanus vitta in Indian waters. Kabata (2005) concluded that the material identified and described by Pillai (1985) as L. lativentris in his monograph was misidentified, and he established L. pillaii Kabata, 2005 as a new species to accommodate Pillai's material. We follow Kabata in recognizing that

Heller's L. lativentris is valid and distinct, but this species remained poorly known. It is unfortunate that Heller's type material was not ovigerous, since the morphology of the egg sacs indicates that this species belongs in Sagum. The discovery of ovigerous material from the type host Lutjanus vitta (as Mesoprion phaiotaeniatus) collected in Ambon, Indonesia revealed the nature of the loosely coiled egg sacs, so this discovery was reported by Pattipeiluhu \& Gill (1998) under the combination Sagum lativentris, although the new combination status was not made explicit.

There are another two very similar species currently placed in Lernanthropus, L. caesionis Yamaguti, 1954 and L. sanguineus Song, in Song \& Chen, 1976, which also appear to belong in Sagum. The former species was described by Yamaguti (1954) based on two females taken from the gills of Caesio cuning (Bloch, 1791) (as C. kuning) caught off Sulawesi, Indonesia. A complete description of this species is not available but in addition to the habitus of the female, the unarmed endopod of leg 1 and the leaf-like shape of the caudal rami are typical of a cluster of Sagum species (see Table 4). We here transfer L. caesionis to Sagum as S. caesionis (Yamaguti, 1954) n. comb. We note that S. caesionis is very similar to $S$. gurukun Uyeno \& Naruse, 2018, but can be distinguished by the length of the rami of leg 4. Finally, L. sanguineus was based on study of two females collected from Lutjanus sanguineus (Cuvier, 1828) caught at Sanya, Hainan Dao, China (Song \& Chen, 1976). The females were not ovigerous but the only subsequent record of this copepod was under the name Sagum sanguineus (Song, 1976) and came from Vietnamese waters. This report was based on females from Lutjanus johnii (Kazachenko et al., 2014) and the name used was not explicitly identified as constituting a new combination, but the transfer to Sagum is confirmed below.

After these generic transfers, Sagum now comprises 18 species (Table 4). These three newly transferred species were not included in the comparisons made by Uyeno \& Naruse (2018) when they established two new species of Sagum from the Ryukyu Islands, Japan, nor were they considered in the brief discussion in Kazachenko et al. (2017) who established S. vietnamensis Kazachenko, Kovalev, Nguyen \& Ngo, 2017. The key to species of the genus provided by Uyeno \& Naruse (2018) needs to be updated, but here we provide a Table comparing key character states of all species.

The material of S. lativentris collected from Lutjanus johnii in Australian waters has smooth linear lateral margins of the cephalothorax and tapering fourth legs, the tips of which may just extend beyond the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate when straightened. There are nine species that share these two character states (Table 4). These same species all have a leaf-like caudal ramus, with the exception of $S$. vietnamensis which has cylindrical caudal rami that are about 4.4 times longer than wide according to Kazachenko et al. (2017). Sagum lativentris has caudal rami that are about 2.8 times longer than wide and can be readily separated from $S$. caesionis and S. gurukun, which have short caudal rami (only 1.4 to 1.5 times longer than wide). The setation on the caudal ramus also provides useful characters: in S. bitaro Uyeno \& Naruse, 2018, S. folium, and S. paracaesionis Izawa, 2014, the 2 dorsal caudal setae are located in the distal half of the ramus, whereas in $S$. lativentris these 2 setae originate in the proximal half. Sagum lativentris differs from S. foliaceum in body proportions: so the cephalothorax and anterior trunk combined are longer than the dorsal trunk plate in S. lativentris but markedly shorter in S. foliaceum. In addition the lobes of leg 4 extend well beyond the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate in the latter, but only the tips are visible in dorsal view in the former. Finally, S. lativentris and S. sanguineus are very similar morphologically and both use lutjanids as hosts. Indeed, both parasites have been recorded from the same host, L. johnii (present account; Kazachenko et al. 2014). The best characters to differentiate between them relate to the fourth legs and caudal rami. In $S$. lativentris the fourth legs have somewhat flaccid tips and are often bent, but when straightened the tips are visible beyond the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate, whereas in $S$. sanguineus the fourth leg lobes are more linear and the distal third of each is visible in dorsal view. The caudal rami in both species are about 2.7 to 2.8 times longer than wide but in $S$. lativentris the distal of the two dorsal setae is located at about $44 \%$ of the ramus length while in S. sanguineus it is located at about $30 \%$ of the ramus length. In addition, the tips of the caudal rami reach beyond the mid-length of the fourth leg lobes in S. lativentris (Fig. 45B) but do not reach the mid-length in S. sanguineus (Fig. 47C).

## Sagum petersi (van Beneden, 1857)

Syn: Lernanthropus petersi van Beneden, 1857
Paralernanthropus petersi (van Beneden, 1857)
Pseudolernanthropus petersi (van Beneden, 1857)

## Material examined: none.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax about as long as wide, with evenly convex lateral margins. Trunk irregularly subrectangular, wider than cephalothorax: anterior part of trunk (second and third pedigerous somites) wider than long, produced into tapering posterolateral processes extending about $30 \%$ of distance along lateral margins of dorsal trunk plate. Posterior part of trunk covered by broad dorsal trunk plate with rounded hexagonal shape. Dorsal surface of cephalothorax and trunk lacking ornamentation. Urosome formed from fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused. Egg sacs loosely coiled beneath dorsal trunk plate. Paired caudal rami short, tapering towards tip. Leg 2 biramous, with unimerous rami. Leg 3 trilobate, with large, lamellate exopodal lobe orientated horizontally across ventral surface, reaching to posterior end of body, connecting via long posteriorlydirected, flagellum-like middle lobe to smaller rounded inner lobe; flagellate middle lobe extending well beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate. Leg 4 bilobate; with inner and outer lobes long and tapering, distal flagellate parts of both lobes extending well beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate. Body length of $q$ about 5.8 mm (from Kabata, 1979a).

Distribution: This species was originally described from Epinephelus fuscoguttatus (Forsskål, 1775) (as Serranus goliath) caught off Mozambique (van Beneden, 1857). It was also listed as occurring on an unidentified host caught off Zaire by Brian (1939), but in the absence of any voucher specimens or description, this record is in need of confirmation. Kabata (1979a) reported S. petersi on Epinephelus lanceolatus (as Promicrops lanceolatus) from Queensland waters.

Remarks: This species is not well characterized but the trilobate state of the third leg, with the middle flagel-lum-like lobe extending beyond the posterior margin of the dorsal trunk plate, as described by Kabata (1979a), is unique within the genus.

## Sagum sanguineus (Song, in Song \& Chen, 1976) n. comb.

(Figs. 47-48)
Syn: Lernanthropus sanguineus Song, in Song \& Chen, 1976
Material examined: $1 q$ from Lutjanus johnii (Bloch, 1792) (OH-M), Lee Point, Outer Harbour, Darwin, Northern Territory, 10 March 2014, collected by D.P. Barton; MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019251. 1q from Lutjanus johnii, Condor, Melville Island, Northern Territory; 23 August 2012; collected by D.P. Barton; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.302.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax about 1.25 times longer than wide with almost linear lateral margins narrowing anteriorly towards short frontal margin (Fig. 47A); lateral margins of dorsal cephalothoracic shield projecting ventrally, with strongly convex posterior margin. Anterior part of trunk (second and third pedigerous somites) about 1.7 times wider than long with distinct anterolateral shoulders and with more or less parallel lateral margins; posterior part (fourth pedigerous somite) covered by subcircular dorsal trunk plate. Dorsal trunk plate entirely concealing urosome but with at least distal third of fourth leg lobes extending beyond posterior margin and visible in dorsal view (Fig. 47A). Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused (Fig. 47B, C). Genital complex slender, with conspicuous paired gonopores dorsolaterally and with paired copulatory pores located posterolaterally on ventral surface (arrowed in Fig. 47B); dorsal surface of genital complex ornamented with 2 pairs of sensillae located between gonopores. Egg sacs loosely coiled (Fig. 47D) beneath dorsal trunk plate and concealed laterally by third legs. Paired caudal rami elongate (Fig. 47B), about 2.7 times longer than maximum width; broadest in proximal-section; tips of caudal rami not reaching middle of elongate fourth leg lobes (Fig. 47C). Caudal rami each with 2 dorsal setae, distal dorsal seta located at $30 \%$ of length of ramus, small outer seta located at about $60 \%$ of ramus length, plus 2 apical setae.

Antennule unsegmented, armed with 6 setae proximally and 10 setae plus 2 aesthetascs around apex (Fig. 47E). Parabasal flagellum absent. Antenna (Fig. 47F) with robust proximal segment; distal subchela armed with rounded process, small spinous process near medial margin, plus small process in articulation with proximal segment; surface of strongly recurved subchela ornamented with striations and pits. Postantennal process elongate (Fig. 47G). Mandible stylet-like with 8 marginal teeth at apex. Maxillule bilobed, smaller lobe tipped with 1 spiniform element; larger lobe tipped with 3 unequal spiniform elements and ornamented with hair-like setules (Fig. 47H). Maxilla (Fig. 47I) with short tapering syncoxa; basis with apical claw ornamented with marginal rows of denticles, plus blunt subapical process. Maxilliped (Fig. 47J) corpus with irregular myxal surface armed with short spine; subchela armed with minute setal vestige on concave margin.
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FIGURE 47. Sagum sanguineous (Song, in Song \& Chen, 1976) n. comb., adult $q$. A, habitus, dorsal: B, urosome, dorsal view showing vestigial fifth legs (arrowed), paired genital openings and caudal rami; C, posterior end of trunk and urosome, ventral view showing extent of lobes of leg 4 relative to tips of caudal rami; D, egg sac; E, antennule; F, antenna; G, postantennal process; H, maxillule; I, basis of maxilla; J, maxilliped. Scale bars A, $1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, 100$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$.


FIGURE 48. Sagum sanguineus (Song, in Song \& Chen, 1976) n. comb., adult $q$. A, leg 1; B, leg 2; C, leg 3. Scale bars.

Leg 1 with coxa fused to somite and incompletely separated from basis; members of leg pair joined by intercoxal sclerite (Fig. 48A). Each leg biramous with outer seta and short, inner spine on basis; outer surface of coxal part of protopod ornamented with long setules: exopod 1 -segmented, armed with 5 robust terminal spines with sparsely denticulate margins; endopod 1-segmented, smoothly tapering to unarmed apex; surface unornamented. Leg 2 (Fig. 48B) carried on inflated subspherical prominence, with wrinkled surface, derived from incorporated protopod and armed with outer seta: biramous, with both rami 1 -segmented and both with wrinkled cuticular surface; exopod armed with 5 small distal spines; endopod conical with rounded apex, unarmed but with surface papillae. Leg 3 located on ventral surface of third pedigerous somite, forming bilobed fleshy lamella (Fig. 48C), large outer lobe held vertically and directed posteriorly, reaching about to middle of dorsal trunk plate; smaller inner lobe about one third length of outer lobe; held vertically and extending posteromedially but legs separate along midline. Leg 4 bilobate (Fig. 47C): distinct protopodal part bearing outer basal seta: inner and outer lobes elongate, flattened and tapering slightly from wider base; outer (exopodal) lobe slightly longer than inner (endopodal) lobe: at least distal third of lobes extending beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate. Leg 5 represented by minute papilla carrying short apical seta (arrowed in Fig. 47B). Body lengths of $q+\} 3.06 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3.14 mm (based on 2 specimens).

Distribution: This species (as Lernanthropus sanguineus) was originally established on the basis of two females collected from the gills of Lutjanus sanguineus caught off Sanya, China. The only subsequent record of this copepod, as Sagum sanguineus (Song, 1976), was from Vietnamese waters on Lutjanus johnii (Kazachenko et al., 2014). This is the first report of this copepod from Australian waters.

Remarks: The presence of loosely coiled egg sacs in the female confirms the validity of the transfer of this species to Sagum informally carried out by Kazachenko et al. (2014). The possession of leaf-like caudal rami, a short and strongly recurved claw on the antenna, and the form of the first leg of the female with its unarmed endopod, are all character states shared by a core group of species within the genus Sagum (Table 4). In particular, as mentioned above, this species closely resembles S. lativentris and both are reported here from L. johnii. These two species share even fine details of limb setation but can be readily distinguished by the length of the fourth legs and the position of the distal dorsal caudal seta on the caudal ramus, as discussed above.

Sagum vespertilio Kabata, 1979
(Figs. 49-50)

Material examined: Holotype $q$ and paratype $q$ from Lethrinus laticaudis Alleyne \& MacLeay, 1877 (as Lethrinus fletus), Moreton Bay, Queensland; 08 April 1964; collected by P.C. Young; NHMUK Reg. No. 1977.122-123. 2 q $q$ from L. laticaudis, Sunshine Coast, Queensland; date of collection unknown; collected by D.P. Barton; QM Reg. No. W29506.


FIGURE 49. Sagum vespertilio Kabata, 1979, adult q. A, habitus, lateral; B, habitus, dorsal; C, habitus, ventral. Scale bar 2 mm .

1 1q from Lutjanus sebae (Cuvier, 1816) (SSRE2015006), Joseph Bonaparte Gulf, Northern Territory, 03 August 2015; collected by D.P. Barton; MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019252. 2 q of from L. sebae (SSRE2015008), Joseph Bonaparte Gulf, Northern Territory, 03 August 2015; collected by D.P. Barton; MAGNT Reg. No.CR019253. 1ठ from L. sebae (SSRE2015012), Joseph Bonaparte Gulf, Northern Territory, 03 August 2015; collected by D.P. Barton; MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019254. 1 ¢ from L. sebae (SSRE2016010), Arafura Sea East, Northern Territory, 03 February 2016; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 ¢ from L. sebae (SSRE2016013), Arafura Sea East, Northern Territory, 03 February 2016; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 ¢ from L. sebae (SSRE2016031), Arafura Sea West, Northern Territory, 30 March 2016; collected by D.P. Barton; NHMUK 2019.1037-1039.

1 ¢ from Lutjanus malabaricus (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) (SSSTS2015016), Joseph Bonaparte Gulf, Northern Territory, 03 August 2015; collected by D.P. Barton; 1 ¢ from L. malabaricus (SSSTS2015024), Joseph Bonaparte Gulf, Northern Territory, 03 August 2015; collected by D.P. Barton; $1 \not$ from L. malabaricus (SSSTS2015039), Arafura Sea East, Northern Territory, 14 August 2015; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 \& from L. malabaricus (SSSTS2015044), Arafura Sea East, Northern Territory, 14 August 2015; collected by D.P. Barton. MAGNT Reg. No. Cr019255. 1 ¢ from L. malabaricus (SSSTS2015058), Arafura Sea East, Northern Territory, 14 August 2015; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 ¢ from L. malabaricus (SSSTS2015063), Gulf of Carpentaria, Northern Territory, 21 August 2015; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 q from L. malabaricus (SSSTS2015075), Gulf of Carpentaria, Northern Territory, 21 August 2015; collected by D.P. Barton. 2 Q \& from L. malabaricus (SSSTS2015104), Timor Reef Fishery Zone, Northern Territory, 16 September 2015; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 Q from L. malabaricus (SSSTS2015110), Timor Reef Fishery Zone, Northern Territory, 16 September 2015; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 q from L. malabaricus (SSSTS2016003), Arafura Sea West, Northern Territory, 22 April 2016; collected by D.P. Barton. 1 Q from $L$. malabaricus (SSSTS2016009), Arafura Sea West, Northern Territory, 22 April 2016; collected by D.P. Barton; NHMUK Reg. No. 2018.1040-1047.

1 1\% from Lutjanus erythropterus Bloch, 1790, outer Darwin Harbour, Northern Territory, August 2012; collected by B K Diggles.

Differential diagnosis: Cephalothorax extremely broad (more than twice as wide as long); anterolateral margins of dorsal cephalothoracic shield expanded laterally into large triangular processes (Fig. 49A-C); ventral surface with paired ventrally-directed ridge-like lobes located lateral to base of antennae and postantennal processes. Ceph-
alothorax separated from trunk by short neck bearing paired cylindrical processes laterally. Trunk wide; anterior part (second and third pedigerous somites) forming rounded anterior shoulders and lateral margins extended posteriorly overlapping broad dorsal trunk plate on both sides (Fig. 49A-C); dorsal trunk plate covering fourth pedigerous somite and extending posteriorly to overlap all except flagellate tips of fourth legs; posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate with weak median indentation. Lateral surface of head and dorsal surface of trunk ornamented with numerous small tubercles. Urosome comprising fifth pedigerous somite, genital complex and abdomen, all fused; entirely concealed beneath dorsal trunk plate. Egg sacs loosely coiled beneath dorsal trunk plate and concealed laterally by exopods of third legs. Caudal rami broad proximally, with long distal part tapering to acute tip; armed with 2 dorsal, 1 lateral and 2 apical setae. Leg 1 biramous, lacking intercoxal sclerite; with 1 -segmented rami; partly concealed by ventral lobe adjacent to protopod. Leg 2 biramous with 1 -segmented rami. Leg 3 with foliaceous rami: exopod flattened and orientated in horizontal plane; endopod orientated vertically and forming looped fold, open posteriorly. Leg 4 biramous; both rami forming foliaceous lobes with broad basal part tapering to distal flagellate part; outer and inner lobes similar in length. Leg 5 as short lobe with single seta. Body length of $Q$ ranging from 4.44 to 6.22 mm , with a mean of 5.05 mm (based on 10 specimens from L. malabaricus).

Description of male. Body smaller than female, comprising cephalothorax covered with dorsal shield and irregularly tapering trunk, terminating in narrow, angular posterior margin (Fig. 50A). Cephalothorax comprising about $52 \%$ of total body length: about as long as wide with rounded corners and slightly concave posterior margin: dorsal shield with narrow antennal part anteriorly and broader posterior part. Trunk about 1.3 times longer than maximum width (at anterior end); lateral margins sinuous; posterior margin with indentations at base of leg 3. Genitoabdomen bearing paired caudal rami, concealed beneath trunk in dorsal view. Caudal rami with broad base and narrow distal part (Fig. 50B); about 4.1 times longer than wide; armed with 2 dorsal setae proximally plus 2 apical setae; lateral seta absent.

Antennule indistinctly 6-segmented (Fig. 50C), armed with 5 setae on proximal segments plus 11 and 2 aesthetascs on compound apical segment; scar on proximal segment probably indicating lost seta in observed specimen. Parabasal flagellum absent. Antenna (Fig. 50D) comprising robust proximal segment, armed with papilliform process on myxal margin, and distal subchela: small process present in articulation at base of subchela; terminal claw tapering gradually, lacking armature. Mandible short, stylet-like; armed with 8 marginal teeth near apex (Fig. 50E). Maxillule with small inner lobe bearing single apical seta; longer outer lobe with 1 apical seta plus 2 setae on mid posterior margin (Fig. 50F). Maxilla with claw fused to basis; spinular ornamentation extending over claw and onto distal part of basis (Fig. 50G). Maxilliped with papilliform process surrounded by minute spinules on myxal surface; subchela with small proximal process on concave margin; apical claw unornamented (Fig. 50H).

Leg 1 biramous, with robust intercoxal sclerite joining members of leg pair (Fig. 50I); protopod with slender outer seta and stout inner spine: exopodal segment short, broader at tip, armed with 5 stout spines distally; endopod 1 -segmented, armed with apical spine about as long as segment. Leg 2 (Fig. 50J) with outer seta on basis; exopod 1segmented with partial transverse suture proximally; armed with 3 stout spines distally: endopod armed with single apical seta, shorter than segment. Leg 3 biramous (Fig. 50A) with each ramus forming elongate cylindrical lobe; anterior (exopodal) lobe apparently with swollen apex; armed with outer protopodal seta situated on small papilla located dorsally at base. Leg 4 biramous (Fig. 50A) with each ramus forming elongate cylindrical lobe; outer lobe slightly longer than inner; armed with outer protopodal seta situated on small papilla located dorsally at base. Leg 5 absent. Leg 6 represented by paired genital apertures, unarmed. Body length of single |  |
| :---: | .81 mm .

Distribution: This distinctive species was originally described from Lethrinus laticaudis (as L. fletus (Whitley)) caught in Moreton Bay (Kabata, 1979a). It was subsequently reported from L. nebulosus (Forsskål, 1775) landed in Taiwan by Ho et al. (2008) and from L. rubrioperculatus Sato, 1978 caught off New Caledonia (Justine et al., 2010b). The Australian records presented here from Lutjanus sebae, L. malabaricus and L. erythropterus represent new host records. This species is now known from four species of Lethrinus and three species of Lutjanus. Ho et al. (2008) treated Sagum tuberculatum Pillai, 1985 described from Indian waters, as a junior synonym of $S$. vespertilio. The host of $S$. tuberculatum was given as Lutianus sp. by Pillai (1985) and its true identity cannot now be established. Pilla et al. (2012) reported S. vespertilio (as S. tuberculatum) from Lutjanus fulviflamma (Forsskål, 1775) caught off the coast of India at Visakhapatnam.


FIGURE 50. Sagum vespertilio Kabata, 1979, adult đ. A, habitus, dorsal; B, caudal ramus, ventral; C, antennule; D, antenna; E, mandible; F, maxillule; G, basis of maxilla; H, maxilliped; I, leg 1 and part of intercoxal sclerite; J, leg 2. Scale bars A, 0.5 $\mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{J}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Remarks: The original description of this species by Kabata (1979a) focused on the female habitus only and provided little detail of limb structure but Ho et al. (2008) were able to redescribe the female in detail based on new material from Taiwan. The male is described here for the first time, but only a single specimen was collected and, unfortunately, the urosome was damaged.

The description here of two previously unknown males of Sagum species allows us to attempt to formulate a set of diagnostic features for the males in this genus even though it appears that Sagum males vary markedly. We exclude the specimen described as the male of $S$. enneacentri Pillai, 1985 because, on the basis of the leaf-like third legs, we consider that it is probably an immature female (a possibility already noted by Pillai (1985)). The male of the New Zealand species, S. foliaceus, described by Hewitt (1968) is essentially similar to the males of many Lernanthropus species, having a trunk that is longer than the cephalothorax, biramous legs 3 and 4, but with the endopod of leg 3 reduced to a knob-like lobe, and with the genital complex and anal somite projecting posteriorly from the rear margin of the trunk. The males of a cluster of other species including S. folium, S. gurukun, S. lativentris, S. vespertilio and S. pillaisebastiani (described by Pillai (1985) as the male of S. epinepheli) all share a relatively large cephalothorax, a broad trunk incorporating the genital complex, and biramous legs 3 and 4 where both rami are cylindrical and elongate. All of these species utilize hosts belonging to the closely related fish families Lutjanidae, Lethrinidae and Caesionidae.

The male of Norion tayenus Ho \& Kim, 2004, which occurs on the priacanthid host, Priacanthus tayenus (Ho \& Kim, 2004), shares numerous character states with this cluster of Sagum species, indicating possible close affinity. Interestingly, these characteristics appear to be shared by Lernanthropus holmbergii von Nordmann, 1864 which was described on the basis of the male only, collected from an unknown fish caught off Hawaii (von Nordmann, 1864). This species could belong either to Sagum or to Norion, but at present should be considered as a species inquirendum since too few details are available to assess its identity.

## Key to adult females of Lernanthropidae found in Australian waters

1. Egg sacs coiled. ..... 2
Egg sacs linear. . ..... 4
2. Second leg absent ..... Norion
Second leg present
7 [Aethon]
3. Second leg unsegmented, bifid.
9 [Sagum]
Second leg biramous with defined 1-segmented rami
4. Somite bearing leg 4 without dorsal trunk plate or paired dorsal processes: members of leg 3 pair fused to form ventral plate .Lernanthropodes trachinotiThese characters not combined 5
5. Somite bearing leg 4 with pair of small flattened processes located dorsally near posterior end of fourth pedigerous somite . .Lernanthropsis mugilii
Somite bearing leg 4 with dorsal trunk plate deeply incised (right to base) and resulting paired lobes fused to third leg on eachside to form lateral plates.[Lernanthropinus]
Somite bearing leg 4 with single dorsal plate (medially indented or weakly incised in some species).
Mitrapus oblongus 6. Exopodal lobe of leg 4 about twice as long as endopodal lobe
Exopodal and endopodal lobes of leg 4 of similar lengths. 13 [Lernanthropus]
6. Dorsal trunk plate about 1.6 times longer than wide; lateral margins of cephalothorax evenly convex ..... Aethon garricki
Dorsal trunk plate about as wide as long, or wider than long; lateral margins of cephalothorax with lateral lobes .....  . . 8
7. Dorsal trunk plate about 1.6 times wider than long; rear margin of plate truncated, almost linear with slight median indenta-tion.Aethon bicamera sp. nov.Dorsal trunk plate about as wide as long; rear margin of plate sinuous, with median and paired lateral indentations
.Aethon morelandi
8. Lateral margins of cephalothorax with large lobes ..... 10
Lateral margins of cephalothorax linear to weakly convex, without paired lobes. ..... 11
9. Paired lobes on cephalothorax large, triangular, tapering towards pointed apex at posterior margin Sagum vespertilio
Paired lobes on cephalothorax forming rounded expansion of margin, slightly wider posteriorly . . . . . . . . . .Sagum epinepheli
10. Cephalothorax 1.1 to 1.3 times longer than wide; lateral margins of cephalothorax linear; leg 3 bilobate. ..... 12

- Cephalothorax about as long as wide; lateral margins of cephalothorax weakly convex; leg 3 trilobate . Sagum petersi

12. Tips of caudal rami reaching beyond mid-length of leg 4 . .Sagum lativentris n. comb.
Tips of caudal rami not reaching mid-length of leg 4. . Sagum sanguineus n. comb.
13. Cephalothorax with large lobes on posterolateral corners of dorsal cephalic shield ..... 14
Cephalothorax without posterolateral lobes or with weakly protruding posterolateral corners. ..... 15
14. Leg 4 lobes largely or entirely concealed beneath extensive dorsal trunk plate ..... Lernanthropus tylosuriLeg 4 lobes extending well beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate, so that more than $50 \%$ of lobe length visible in dorsalviewLernanthropus chrysophrys
15. Ventrally expanded lateral margin of dorsal cephalic shield produced anteriorly into paired anterolateral (frontal) projections.
Lernanthropus gnathanodontus sp. nov.Cephalic shield without marked frontal projections16
16. Fifth legs forming elongate process, longer than caudal rami ..... 17
Fifth legs forming short processes (about as long as caudal rami), reduced to setiferous lobes, or absent ..... 20
17. Third legs distinctly longer than anterior region of trunk (second and third pedigerous somites) .....  18
Third legs shorter than anterior region of trunk ..... 19
18. Posterolateral corners of dorsal shield on cephalothorax produced into small lobes; posterior margin of dorsal trunk shieldtruncated, straight.Lernanthropus paracruciatus sp .nov.
Posterolateral corners of dorsal shield rounded, not produced; posterior margin of dorsal trunk shield evenly convex
Lernanthropus gisleri
19. Fifth legs tapering from broad base; about 4.7 times longer than maximum width; caudal rami about 3.4 times longer thanwideLernanthropus seriolii
Fifth legs with parallel lateral margins, tapering near tip; about 8.0 times longer than wide; caudal rami ca. 5.0 times longer thanwideLernanthropus elegans sp. nov.
20. Trunk more than 4.5 times longer than cephalothorax; antenna slender with large hook-like process on medial surface
Lernanthropus latis
Trunk at most 3.5 times longer than cephalothorax; antenna without large hook-like process on medial surface .....  21
21. Fifth legs forming laterally-directed lobe about 2.7 times longer than wide ..... 22
Fifth legs forming short setiferous lobe, further reduced or absent ..... 23
22. Dorsal trunk plate distinctly wider than long Lernanthropus alepicolus sp. nov.Dorsal trunk plate longer than wide .Lernanthropus microlamini
23. Lobes of leg 4 entirely concealed beneath cloak-like dorsal trunk plate in dorsal view ..... 24
At least tips of leg 4 lobes visible in dorsal view extending beyond posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate ..... 25
24. Cephalothorax with weakly protruding posterolateral corners Lernanthropus brevicornutus

- Cephalothorax with rounded posterolateral corners .Lernanthropus belones

25. Dorsal trunk plate concealing entire urosome including full length of caudal rami ..... 28
Dorsal trunk plate short, posterior part of urosome including entire length of caudal rami extending beyond posterior margin ofplate; only very bases of leg 4 concealed26
26. Endopodal lobes of leg 3 fused in midline to form triangular ventral plate; parabasal flagellum present
Lernanthropus cadenati
Endopodal lobes of leg 3 separate along midline; parabasal flagellum absent27
27. Anterior part of trunk about 1.6 times longer than wide and about twice as long as dorsal trunk plate . . .Lernanthropus mollisAnterior part of trunk nearly 2 times wider than long, only just longer than dorsal trunk plateLernanthropus pemphericola sp. nov.28. Caudal rami about 4 times longer than wideLernanthropus breviculusCaudal rami less than 3 times longer than wide29
28. Dorsal trunk plate with median indentation in posterior margin; subchela of maxilliped with distinctive curved process on con-cave margin..Lernanthropus selenotoca sp. nov.
Posterior margin of dorsal trunk plate linear or weakly convex, without any median indentation; maxilliped lacking process onsubchela30
29. Dorsal trunk plate about as wide as anterior trunk and cephalothorax. .Lernanthropus atrox
Dorsal trunk plate about twice as wide as anterior trunk and cephalothorax ..... 31
30. Cephalothorax longer than wide with weakly convex lateral margins and straight frontal margin; leg 5 an unarmed conicalprocess.Lernanthropus abitocephalus
Cephalothorax wider than long, with medially pointed frontal margin; leg 5 absent. Lernanthropus pomadasys

## Discussion

Two different formats of uniseriate egg sac are exhibited within the Lernanthropidae. In Aethon, Norion and Sagum the egg sacs are loosely coiled or looped within a chamber located beneath the dorsal trunk plate and enclosed laterally and ventrally by the lamellate third and fourth legs. The external surfaces of the walls of this chamber (the dorsal plate and the exopods of the third legs) are often reinforced by sclerotized ornamentation. The remaining genera, Lernanthropus, Lernanthropodes, Lernanthropinus, Lernanthropsis, and Mitrapus retain the plesiomorphic linear type of egg sacs, found widely among fish parasitic siphonostomatoid families (Boxshall \& Halsey, 2004). In the former group of genera the loosely coiled egg sacs lie within the chamber formed beneath the dorsal trunk plate and are protected as they develop through to hatching in an enclosed space. In genera with linear egg sacs it seems
probable that some measure of protection is afforded by the development of other structures: Lernanthropus, Lernanthropinus and Mitrapus often have the rami of the fourth legs forming elongate lobes which extend posteriorly together with the egg sacs and, in addition, the dorsal trunk plate helps to conceal at least the proximal part of the egg sacs in many species. There is no dorsal trunk plate in Lernanthropodes but the fusion of the third legs to form a ventral tube serves to provide some protection to the eggs sacs. In Lernanthropsis there is no dorsal trunk plate and the egg sacs are protected by the lobate fourth legs only. These posteriorly-directed structures may help to reduce damage to, and loss of, egg sacs due to the activity of cleaner fish.
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