Discipline and Punish

Outline by John Protevi / Permission to reproduce granted for academic use protevi@lsu.edu / http://www.protevi.com/john/Foucault/DP.pdf

Part One: Torture

1. The body of the condemned (3-31)

- I. Intention: "...correlative history of modern soul and of new power to judge" (23).
 - A. what is the "modern soul"?: out of it is constructed psyche, subjectivity...(29)
 - B. F writes this history of the soul through writing a "history of the body" (25)
 - C. soul is the "present correlative of a certain technology of power over the body \dots "
 - D."the soul is the prison of the body" (30)
 - E. "a history of the present" (31)
- II. Method: "a micro-physics of power" (26)
 - A. four methodological rules: (23-24)
 - 1.positivity: not just repressive effects
 - 2. specificity: discipline as "political tactic"
 - 3.commonality: of penal system and human science
 - 4.corporeality: body invested by power relations
 - B. power is not seen as a "property, but as a strategy" (26)
 - C. need a power/knowledge schema (27)
- III. Examples: public torture of the condemned/timetable
 - A. not progress; F shows torture had own logic ="surplus power" of sovereign (29) B. two clues:
 - 1. disappearance of public torture (8-10)
 - 2.slackening of hold on body (10-11)
 - C. "modern rituals of execution" show this double process
 - D. shift in power relations: "displacement in object of the punitive operation" (16)
 - E. Change in truth (19):
 - F. Clue to this change is
 - 1.treatment of madness (19-20)
 - 2.role of psychiatry (21-22)

2. The Spectacle of the Scaffold (32-69)

- IV. The Logic of the System (32-54)
 - A. Judicial System for the production of truth (32-47)
 - 1.torture as rational, not emotional (32-35)
 - 2.part of truth-production mechanism (35-37)
 - 3.the confession (37-40) "double ambiguity" (to be centerpiece of HS1)
 - 4.torture of the truth: truth through the body: *askesis*: exercise as subject formation 5.body in punishment (43-47): power written on the body
 - B. Political logic of punishment as performative display of power (47-54)
 - 1.crime as offense/punishment as vengeance
 - 2. punishment restores sovereignty by displaying infinite force on body
 - 3.two aspects: victory in war against criminal (50; struggle for truth (51-53)
 - 4.recap (53-54): public execution as political operation
- V. Interlude: Context of the logic ("general background") (55)
 - A. contempt for body
 - B. historical conjuncture: political need for crown to display power
- VI. Summary: "Atrocity" as key to logic of the system (56)

- A. Crimes break natural and positive laws
- B. violent challenge to sovereign
- VII. Reasons for change: "the "people": internal to scene, yet principle of disorder (57-69)
 - A. people as internal to spectacle (58)
 - B. possibility of revolt (59-65) (62: "from below ... permanent unrest)
 - C. NB: target of reform was this disorder, potential class solidarity (63)
- VIII. gallows speeches: popular expression and authoritative propaganda (67)
 - A. "equivocal effect" (67) "two-sided discourses" (68) (dissemination)
 - B. becomes "new literature of crime" (68-69)

Part Two: Punishment

1. Generalized Punishment (73-103)

- IX. Forecast of section: (73-75): Call for a new form of punishment:
 - A. time: 1789: French Revolution as threshold
 - B. program: no longer vengeance, just punishment
 - C. problems: 1. power: revolting; 2. condemned: shameful; 3. people: dangerous
 - D. first formulation: cry from the heart: respect for humanity 1."man" as legal limit: subjectivity must be respected 2."man" becomes object of knowledge in 19th century
- X. Situate "reformers" in process found in archives (against "great man" history) (75-82):
 - A. the changes (75-76)
 - B. "underlying processes" (76) "whole complex mechanism" (77)
 - C. how to characterize this change? (77-78)
 - 1.change in attitude?
 - 2.effort to adjust mechanisms of power
 - D. Method: power vs. discourse of reform: "irregularity" of former power under attack
 - E. aim of reform:

1.a better economy, homogenous, and more effective at control of behavior (80-81) 2."not to punish less, but to punish better ..." (82)

- XI. New policy for illegalities (82-89)
 - A. Ancien Régime (82-84)
 - 1.social stratification of accepted illegalities
 - 2.paradoxes
 - 3.part of everyday functioning; in some cases necessary for survival of lumpen
 - B. Reversal from rights to property (84-89)
 - C. Summary statement (87): legal reform at juncture of struggle
 - D. Methodological dictate (89): "a penal system must be conceived as a mechanism intended to administer illegalities differentially, not to eliminate them all"
- XII. Contract theory undergirds theoretical reform discourse (89-
 - A. shifts right to punish (90)
 - 1.from vengeance of sovereign
 - 2.to defense of society (criminal as outcast, as wolf [cf Locke])
 - B. limits of new punishment:
 - C. principle of calculation of effects of power (91-93)
 - D. Major rules of new economy: (99)
 - E. Shift in point of application of power (101)
 - 1.no longer display of body in pain
 - 2.but "play of representations and signs" (101)
- XIII. Recap and forecast (101-103)
 - A. two lines of objectification (101) "power relation" duplicated by an "object relation"

- B. different temporalities of these objectifications
- C. Forecast: (103) supercession of punitive semio-technique by "new politics of the body"

2: The Gentle Way in Punishment (104-131)

XIV. Reformist punishment (104-114): "punitive city" (113) = "technology of representations" (104)

- A. unarbitrary: symbolic rather than signifive (104-106)
- B. mechanics of forces (of sensibility and passion) (106-7)
- C. temporal modulation (107-8)
- D. circulation of punishment-signs (108-9)
- E. economy of publicity (109-112)
- F. inversion of traditional discourse on crime (112-113)
- G. recap/portrait of the punitive city (113-114)

XV. Imprisonment only punishment for reformists: how does it become essential? (114-120)

- A. very short time span for conversion (116)
- B. obstacles to imprisonment as essential punishment (118-19)
- XVI. models of prison (120-126)
 - A. Amsterdam (120)
 - B. Ghent (121)
 - C. English models (122)
 - D. Philadelphia (123)
- XVII. Convergence and disparities of reformist punishment and new prison models
 - A. convergences (126-27)
 - B. disparities [in technology of the penality: access to individual] (127-
 - C. punitive city or coercive institution? (129)
- XVIII. Recap: (130-31)
 - A. three forms of power overlapping in late 18th century
 - B. forecast: how does the third technology of power wins out?

Part Three: Discipline

1. Docile Bodies (135-69)

- XIX. Body as object and target of power (136-41)
 - A. two registers (136):
 - 1.anatomico-metaphysical register: Descartes, physicians 2.technico-political register: army, school, hospital
 - B. characteristics of docile body (136): 1.joins analysable body to manipulable body
 - 2.may be subjected, used, transformed, improved
 - C. disciplines: new political technology of the body (137)

1.characteristics:

- a.scale: individual movements
- b.object: economy of motion
- c.modality: constant coercion
- 2.general formulas of domination
 - a.effect: increasing spiral of obedience and utility (138) b.force:
 - c.dissociates power from the body
- 3.history (138):
 - a.multiplicity of often minor processes
 - b.converging and gradually producing blueprint

- 4. Foucault's intention: write new microphysics of power (139)
- 5.political anatomy of detail (139)
- 6.Napoleon as figure crossing both worlds of central imperium and disciplinary detail
- 7.birth of man of modern humanism (141; cf. 191)
- XX. Art of Distributions (space) (141-149) "living tables" (148)
 - A. enclosure: "protected place of disciplinary monotony" (141)
 - B. partitioning: "principle of elementary location" (143)
 - C. functional sites: create a useful space (143-45)
 - D. rank (145-9)
- XXI. Control of Activity (149-156)
 - A. time-table (149-51)
 - B. temporal elabortation of the act (151-2)
 - C. correlation of the body and the gesture (152)
 - D. body/object articulation (152-3)
 - E. exhaustive use (154-156)
- XXII. Organization of geneses (156-62) (capitalizing time [157])
 - A. methods:
 - B. disciplinary time:
 - C. exercise (161):
- XXIII. Composition of forces (162-67): articulate internal motions of machine
 - A. individual body becomes an element, allowing articulated motion
 - B. chronological series are also pieces of machinery
 - C. precise system of command: stimulus/response (166)
- XXIV. Summary (167-169):
 - A. an individuality with four characteristics
 - 1.cellular (distributed bodies)
 - 2.organic (coded activities)
 - 3.genetic (trained aptitudes)
 - 4.combinatory
 - B. four great techniques
 - 1.draws up tables
 - 2.prescribes movements
 - 3.imposes exercises
 - 4.arranges tactics
 - C. politics of discipline (contract theory/disciplinary practice)
 1.military model for elimination of civil disorder
 2."military dream of society" (169)

2. The Means of Correct Training (170-194)

- XXV. Hierachical observation (170-77): linking visibility and power
 - A. model of military camp (171)
 - B. architecture of control (172)
 - C. new type of surveillance:
 - D. disciplinary power (176-77)
- XXVI. Normalizing judgement (177-84)
 - A. characteristics
 - B. normalization via disciplinary punishment (182-83)
 - C. "penality of norm"
- XXVII. Examination (184-94)
 - A. combines techniques of hierarchical observation and normalizing judgment
 - B. power/knowledge in human sciences (185)
 - C. examination as power/knowledge mechanism (187-

1.transformed economy of visibility into exercise of power

2. introduces individuality into field of documentation

3.examination plus documentation makes individual into a "case"

D. constituting individuals as effect and object of power/knowledge (192)

XXVIII. Reversal of political axis of individualization

- A. classical: ascending individualization
- B. disciplinary: descending individualization

3. Panopticism (195-228)

XXIX. Plague city (195-200)

- A. characteristics:
- B. "compact model of disciplinary mechanism" (197)
- C. plague vs. leper (198)
- D. 19th century discipline: treat "lepers" as "plague victims" (199)
- XXX. Bentham's Panopticon (200-209)
 - A. creation of field of visibility whose viewpoint is invisible
 1.this is moment that creates interiority, self-reflection, super-ego
 2."... he becomes the principle of his own subjection" (203)
 - B. "automatizes and disindividualizes power" (202)
 - C. laboratory for training individuals (203)
 - D. panopticon as diagram (205):
 - 1."general principle of new 'political anatomy' ... discipline" (208)
 - 2.generalizable model of functioning (205)
 - 3. polyvalent in applications (205)
 - 4.makes possible perfection of exercise of power (206)
- XXXI. Disciplinary society (209-218)
 - A. functional inversion of the disciplines (210-11)
 - B. swarming of disciplinary mechanisms (211-212)
 - C. state-control of mechanisms of discipline (213-216)
 - D. discipline as type of power (215)
 - E. the modernity of discipline (216)
- XXXII. Formation of disciplinary society (218-228)
 - A. economic processes
 - B. legal structures and discipline
 - 1. society could afford formal liberties because discipline assures conformity
 - 2. "real, corporeal disciplines ... foundation of formal, juridical liberties" (222)
 - 3. disciplines as "counter-law" (222):
 - 4. hence as "concrete form of every morality"
 - C. combination of disciplinary practices allows power/knowledge (224)

Part Four: Prison

1. Complete and austere institutions (231-256)

XXXIII. How did prison become the essential form of punishment? [231-35]

A. characteristics: [232-33]

B. prison reform contemporaneous w/ its expansion [234]

XXXIV. "Complete and austere institutions" [235-]

- A. complete: "recoding of existence" [236]
- B. principles [236-48]:
- C. carceral as [disciplinary] excess over judicial [247-48]

XXXV. Prison has come to trap criminal justice in power/knowledge [248-55]

A. at first, resistances to prison [248]

B. entrapment in power/knowledge: realization of Panopticon [249]

C. convict, as object of knowledge, becomes the delinquent [251]

XXXVI. Summary [255-56]

A. three lines of objectification of the criminal

B. prison as site of power/knowledge

2. Illegalities and delinquency (257-292)

XXXVII. Symptom/symbol of transformation: from chain-gang to police cart [257-64] A. chain-gang [257-63]

B. police cart [263-64]: mobile Panopticon

XXXVIII. Denunciation of prison "failures" and announcement of "reforms" [264-71]

- A. failures [same today as 1840]:
- B. reforms/good principles [same today as 1840]:
- C. carceral system [271]
 - 1.prison/failure/reform all part of same system

2.fourfold system

XXXIX. What is positively served by prison "failure"? [271-85]

- A. success of penality [272]:
- B. history of illegalities [273-76]:
- C. penality as social control [276-82]: depoliticized crime
- D. two figures

E. crime literature: theoretical and aesthetic representation of bourgeois illegality [285] XL. Tactics of delinquency and penality [285-92]

- A. systematic confusion of common law and labor law [285-86]
- B. install perception of delinguents as close by, fearful, etc. [286-7]
- C. workers' newspapers: political analysis of crime [287-89]
- D. figure of indiscipline: revaluation of bourgeois values [290-92]

3. The Carceral [293-308]

XLI. Mettray: discplinary model at the extreme [292-96]

- A. all the coercive technologies of behavior [292-93]
- B. technicians of behavior [294-95]
- C. specificity of Mettray training [295]
- XLII. "carceral archipelago" [297-]
 - A. discipline inside and outside the prison
 - B. results of this spread
 - 1.continuity of offense/deviation from norm
 - 2.recruitment of disciplinary "careers"
 - 3. lowering threshold of penality [301-03]
 - 4.the norm [304]:
 - 5.body [304-5]:
 - 6."extreme solidity" of the prison [305-06]
- XLIII. Conclusion [307-08]
 - A. carceral is multiple network of diverse elements
 - B. all involved in normalization [=proletarianization?]
 - C. carceral as combat, as installation of order on what tends to disorder