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#### Abstract

The phylogenetic and taxonomic significance of morphological and anatomical trends in fruits of tribe Crotalarieae has been evaluated, with emphasis on the genus Crotalaria and its seemingly distinctive, inflated and balloonshaped pods. In addition to the normal explosive dehiscence as a means of dispersal, several genera (including Crotalaria) show independent evolution of modifications apparently adapted for dispersal by wind, water and gravity. Transverse sections were made of mature pods of 142 species from the 12 currently recognized genera of Crotalarieae. The taxa differ in the orientation of the fibres (related to dehiscence or non-dehiscence), the overall thickness of the fruit wall, the relative proportions of the pericarp layers, the degree of lignification and the presence or absence of trichomes. Three basic pericarp types can be distinguished: type I, with one, two or three zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres (almost all genera); type II, with a single cell layer of fibres (only in Rothia, Robynsiophyton, Lebeckia and Lotononis sections Listia and Leobordea); and type III, with one zone of several cell layers of gelatinous fibres and multicellular trichomes associated with the endocarp (only in some species of Calobota and Wiborgiella). Considerable variation was encountered in the tribe, but Crotalaria appears to be rather uniform, with type I predominating. © 2010 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2011, 165, 84-106.
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## INTRODUCTION

Crotalarieae (Benth.) Hutch. are the largest tribe of papilionoid legumes in Africa (Polhill, 1968; Van Wyk \& Schutte, 1995) with c. 1204 species (Van Wyk, 2005). The tribe is closely related to Genisteae Bronn and Podalyrieae Benth., all of which form part of the genistoid alliance (sensu Polhill, 1976), more specifically the core genistoids (Crisp, Gilmore \& Van Wyk, 2000). The monophyly of Crotalarieae is well supported by molecular, morphological, cytological and

[^0]chemical data (Van Wyk \& Schutte, 1995; Crisp et al., 2000; Wink \& Mohamed, 2003; Boatwright et al., 2008a; Boatwright, Tilney \& Van Wyk, 2009). Combined analyses of molecular and morphological data of this tribe indicated that there are three clades and, because of the polyphyly of some of the genera, changes at the generic level resulted in 12 genera being recognized (Boatwright et al., 2008a, 2009). Crotalarieae (Fig. 1) comprise three clades. The 'Cape' clade includes six genera: (1) Aspalathus L.; (2) Wiborgia Thunb.; (3) Wiborgiella Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk; (4) Calobota Eckl. \& Zey.; (5) Lebeckia Thunb; and (6) Rafnia Thunb. The poorly known Lotononis macrocarpa Eckl. \& Zeyh. on its own represents a


Figure 1. Pericarp structure indicated on a phylogenetic tree for tribe Crotalarieae: type I pericarp (black rectangle); type II pericarp (grey rectangle); type III pericarp (white rectangle).
seventh lineage in this clade. The Lotononis clade includes four genera (Boatwright, Wink \& Van Wyk, in press): (1) Lotononis (DC.) Eckl. \& Zeyh.; (2) Pearsonia Dümmer; (3) Robynsiophyton R.Wilczek; and (4) Rothia Pers. The Crotalaria clade includes: (1) Lotononis hirsuta (Thunb.) D.Dietr.; (2) Crotalaria L.; and (3) Bolusia Benth. (Boatwright et al., 2008a). All of these lineages have recently been given generic status and the necessary nomenclatural changes have been proposed (Boatwright et al., in press). As the concepts are not yet formalized, we refer to the groups as the Lotononis hirsuta clade (genus 'Euchlora' in Boatwright et al., in press), the Listia clade (genus 'Listia' in Boatwright et al., in press), the Leobordea clade (genus 'Leobordea' in Boatwright et al., in press) and the Lotononis macrocarpa clade (genus 'Ezoloba' in Boatwright et al., in press).

Crotalaria, Bolusia and Lotononis hirsuta together form the sister group of the remainder of tribe Crotalarieae and include $>700$ species, widely distributed across the southern hemisphere. Approximately 500 species are endemic to Africa and Madagascar (Polhill, 1982). Crotalaria is commonly known as 'rattlepod' because of the sound produced by the ripe seeds when the inflated fruits are shaken. The generic name is derived from the Greek word crotalon ( $\kappa \rho о \tau \alpha \lambda \circ v$ ), which means castanet, also referring to this rattling sound. Polhill divided the genus into eight sections based on taximetric analyses (Bisby, 1973; Bisby \& Polhill, 1973, Polhill 1982) of morphological, chemical and seed anatomical characters.

Kirkbride, Gunn \& Weitzman (2003) published generic descriptions of the fruit morphology and some anatomy for the papilionoid legumes. The pericarp anatomy and dehiscence mechanisms have been described several times for the family (Fahn \& Zohary, 1955; Esau, 1962; Fahn, 1967, 1982; Pate \& Kuo, 1981). The pericarp consists of an exocarp (single epidermal cell layer), mesocarp (multiple parenchyma and collenchyma cell layers) and endocarp (single or multiple sclerenchyma cell layers with a single inner epidermal cell layer, or multiple parenchyma cell layers with a single epidermal cell layer). Dehiscence is caused by the anisotrophic shrinkage of thickened cell walls in the pericarp. The greatest expansion is at right angles to the longitudinal axis of the fruits and microfibrils. When fruits mature, the cell walls dry out and shrink, causing an explosion. Variations of the pericarp structure and modes of dehiscence are numerous (Fahn \& Zohary, 1955; Fahn, 1982).

With the clarification of phylogenetic relationships within Crotalarieae (Boatwright et al., 2008a), an opportunity exists to evaluate potentially useful taxonomic characters further. As Crotalaria is now known to be one of the early diverging lineages, a comparison of the fruit morphology and anatomy with other genera was expected to yield phylogenetically informative results. This study is aimed at determining the taxonomic value of pericarp anatomical structure at the generic level within Crotalarieae, and at the sectional and species levels, with emphasis on the genus Crotalaria. Furthermore, we wished to evaluate the overall pattern in the evolution of fruits in the tribe and explore possible links between the structure of the fruits and the main adaptations to seed dispersal: dehiscence, where the seeds are expelled, or indehiscence, where the whole fruit (diaspore) is dispersed by wind, water or gravity. Anatomical features of dehiscence mechanisms in the tribe were also investigated and compared with those that have previously been reported for legumes in general.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## TAXON SAMPLING

Fruits of 142 species from all 12 genera of Crotalarieae and two of Genisteae were obtained through fieldwork and from specimens from the following herbaria: BOL, JRAU, K, MEL, NBG (including SAM), PRE, UPS and WIND. This sampling represents all or most of the taxonomic diversity in Crotalarieae and also the extremes of the variation in the individual genera. Two species of Genisteae, one of Dichilus DC. and one of Melolobium Eckl. \& Zeyh., were sampled as outgroup taxa. In molecular systematic studies

Figure 2. Morphological variation of fruits in Crotalarieae: A, Aspalathus linearis [Van Wyk 3617 (JRAU)]; B, Wiborgia monoptera [Schutte 296 (JRAU)]; C, Wiborgiella leipoldtiana [Van Wyk et al. 3278 (JRAU)]; D, Calobota sericea [Van Wyk et al. 2353 (JRAU)]; E, C. cuspidosa [Boatwright et al. 92 (JRAU)]; F, Lebeckia sepiaria (L.) Thunb. [Van Wyk et al. 2979 (JRAU)]; G, L. ambigua [Van Wyk et al. 2900 (JRAU)]; H, Rafnia capensis [Campbell et al. 11 (JRAU)]; I, Pearsonia sessilifolia [Van Wyk et al. 3192 (JRAU)]; J, P. cajanifolia [Posthumus 1a (JRAU)]; K, Lotononis densa [Van Wyk 3122 (JRAU)]; L, L. globulosa [Van Wyk 2211 (JRAU)]; M, L. listii [Schutte 354 (JRAU)]; N, L. subulata [Van Wyk 2884 (JRAU)]; O, L. macrocarpa [Schlechter 4925 (BOL)]; P, L. benthamiana [Van Wyk 2538 (JRAU)]; Q, L. hirsuta [Van Wyk 1338 (JRAU)]; R, Bolusia amboensis [Boatwright et al. 248 (WIND)]; S, Crotalaria lotoides [Germishuizen 3790 (PRE)]; T, C. damarensis [Germishuizen 9247 (PRE)]; U, C. vasculosa [De Winter 9460 (PRE)]; V, C. pisicarpa [Le Roux et al. 79 (WIND)]; W, C. longidens Burtt Davy ex Verdoorn [Le Roux et al. 101 (JRAU)]; X, C. virgulata [Van Wyk 3044 (JRAU)]; Y, C. laburnifolia [Van Wyk et al. 4334 (JRAU)]. Scale bar, 10 mm.
(Crisp et al., 2000; Boatwright et al., 2008b), these two southern African genera were the earliest divergent lineages in Genisteae. They may show the original character states for Genisteae, avoiding the complication of having to consider further possible modifications higher up in the phylogenetic tree. Voucher specimen information is listed in the Appendix. Author citations for the individual species are also listed in the Appendix.

## Anatomy

Anatomical sections were performed both by hand and with a Porter-Blüm ultramicrotome. For microtome sections, material of 83 species was prepared using a modification of the method of Feder \& O'Brien (1968) for embedding in glycol methacrylate (GMA). This involved a final infiltration in GMA for 5 days. Dried material was rehydrated and fixed in formaldehyde-acetic acid-alcohol (FAA; formaldehyde:acetic acid:96\% alcohol:water; 10:5:50:35) for 24 h , whereas fresh material was directly fixed in FAA before dehydrating and embedding in GMA. Sections of $3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ were cut and stained using the periodic acid Schiff/toluidine blue (PAS/TB) staining method (Feder \& O'Brien, 1968) and mounted. Photographs were taken with a JVC KY-F1030 digital camera. For hand sections, fruit material of 61 species was rehydrated in hot water for approximately 15 min , sectioned with a sharp blade, stained with toluidine blue and scanned for diagnostic characters.

## RESULTS

Fruit morphology in tribe Crotalarieae was found to be variable. Figure 2 illustrates some of the variation that was observed in the different genera in terms of size, shape, degree of inflation, presence or absence of a stipe, vestiture, presence or absence of a margin or wing and constrictions between the seeds. Fruits of Crotalaria are generally larger than those of most other genera and are typically much inflated or
balloon-shaped (there are a few exceptions, e.g. $C$. coursii M.Peltier, C. cytisoides DC., C. leptocarpa Balf.f. ssp. leptocarpa, C. leptocarpa Balf.f. ssp. contracta Polhill and C. linearifoliolata Chiov., which have laterally compressed fruits), with the base and/or apex rounded and bulging. Similar fruits occur in the related genus Bolusia and in Lotononis hirsuta. The fruit may rarely also be small in Crotalaria (e.g. C. vasculosa Graham and C. pisicarpa Welw. ex Baker, Fig. 2U and V, respectively) and similar in size to those of other genera in the tribe. Crotalaria fruits in general lack adaptations to wind dispersal (except in a few species where the calyx is persistent, e.g. C. berteroana DC., C. chinensis L., C. dubia Graham and C. sessiliflora L.) as seen in other genera (Fig. 3). Wiborgia, for example, has fruits with broad wings (Figs 2B and 3E) and similar but narrower wings are found along the upper suture in Lebeckia meyeriana Eckl. \& Zeyh. (Fig. 3I). Fruits of Lotononis section Digitata B.-E.van Wyk (Fig. 3A, D) and L. section Synclistus B.-E.van Wyk (Fig. 3B, C) have small, lightweight, indehiscent fruits with persistent calyces and corollas so that they are easily blown about by the wind. The species of section Digitata grow almost exclusively in the cracks of large granite domes, and in this habitat the fruits are highly mobile and easily 'caught' in the cracks (B.-E. van Wyk, pers. observ.). Another adaptation is the 'rolling' fruits of section Synclistus (e.g. L. longicephala B.-E.van Wyk, Fig. 3B and L. polycephala Benth., Fig. 3C). These species occur in bare sandy habitats (mostly inland sand dunes) and the fruits are rolled around by the wind (B.-E. van Wyk, pers. observ.). Similar are the fruits of Calobota elongata (Thunb.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk, also an inhabitant of inland sand dunes. This is the only species of Calobota with small, indehiscent, single-seeded fruits enclosed in a persistent calyx and corolla. The similarity with species of Lotononis section Synclistus is striking. A few species of Crotalaria are similar in having small, indehiscent, few-seeded pods that appear to be adapted to dispersal by wind, water or gravity, perhaps with a rolling action.


Figure 3. Fruits with different dispersal methods in Crotalarieae. (A-D) and (H) show a series of three photographs where the fruits are firstly enclosed in persistent calyx and corolla, secondly where the calyx and corolla are removed and thirdly where the fruit is opened. (E-G) and (I) show a series of two photographs where the fruits are firstly closed and secondly opened. A, Lotononis digitata [Van Wyk 2341 (JRAU)]; B, L. longicephala [Van Wyk 2241 (JRAU)]; C, L. polycephala [Van Wyk 2394 (JRAU)]; D, L. benthamiana [Van Wyk 2538 (JRAU)]; E, Wiborgia fusca [Van Wyk 3213 (JRAU)]; F, Crotalaria sphaerocarpa [Le Roux et al. 74 (WIND)]; G, C. pisicarpa [Le Roux et al. 78 (WIND)]; H, Calobota elongata [Van Wyk $2562 b$ (JRAU)]; I, Lebeckia meyeriana [Van Wyk 3351a (JRAU)]. Scale bar, 5 mm .

As Crotalaria fruits are typically much inflated, it is of interest to consider the overall pattern in the tribe. The shape of the fruit in transverse section is determined by the orientation of the upper and lower sutures, which can be raised or sunken in various combinations, as shown in Figure 4. In Crotalaria, the fruits are often perfectly round in transverse section (Fig. 4O, L) or they may be ellipsoid (Fig. 4H), cordate (Fig. 4 K ) or somewhat didymous when both the upper and lower sutures are sunken (Fig. 4N). Inflated fruits are also found in other genera of the tribe and are thus not unique to Crotalaria. Bolusia (Fig. 4Q), Lotononis hirsuta (Fig. 4P), Wiborgiella and several other species of Lotononis have inflated fruits (Fig. 4E, F). In Crotalaria section Hedriocarpae Wight \& Arn., some species have the seeds surrounded by trichomes, which often fill the cavity completely (Fig. 4J). The same is true for species of Calobota (Fig. 4C, D). In Crotalaria section Chrysocalycinae (Benth.) Bak.f. subsection Glaucae (Benth.) Bisby \& Polhill and section Crotalaria subsection Longirostres (Benth.) Polhill, a few species have a line of trichomes inside the fruit along the lower suture. Superficially, the species of Calobota (Fig. 4C, D) are similar to some species of Lebeckia (Fig. 4A, B) in having inflated, spongy fruits, but these are not homologous: the spongy texture is because of spongy parenchyma in the mesocarp in Lebeckia, whereas it is because of a dense layer of endodermal trichomes in Calobota.
Diagnostically informative characters of the pericarp that were identified include the number of fibre cell layers in the endocarp, the type of fibres and the presence or absence of multicellular trichomes composing the endocarp cells. Three basic fruit types were identified:

1. Type I - one, two or three zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres within the endocarp; trichomes (formed from the endocarp cells) occasionally present.
2. Type II - single cell layer of normal fibres within the endocarp; trichomes absent.
3. Type III - one zone of several cell layers of gelatinous fibres; trichomes (formed from the endocarp cells) invariably present.

A short fruit anatomical description for each genus is given below (summarized in Table 1) with an indi-
cation of the classification of the fruit wall type (fruit type classification for all species investigated is listed in the Appendix). The distribution of fruit wall types within the tribe is shown in Figure 1.

## GENERIC FRUIT ANATOMICAL DESCRIPTIONS

‘CAPE’ CLADE - TYPE I AND TYPE III FRUIT WALLS ARE PRESENT
Aspalathus: Fruits relatively uniform, thick-walled (Fig. 5A-C). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with highly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells absent. Mesocarp: Only collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One or two zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent.

Wiborgia: Fruits relatively thin- to thick-walled (Fig. 5D, E). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with slightly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells absent. Mesocarp: Only parenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One or two zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits, or two directions, parallel and perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent.

Wiborgiella: Fruits thick-walled (Fig. 5F-I). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with slightly to highly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells present or absent. Mesocarp: Parenchyma and collenchyma cells present or only collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One or two zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres or one zone (except W. vlokii Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk, with two zones) of several cell layers of gelatinous fibres arranged in one direction, parallel or at $45^{\circ}$ angle to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes mostly absent (but present in W. inflata (Bolus) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk and W. bowieana (Benth.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk, Fig. 5G and H, respectively). Wiborgiella humilis (Thunb.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk has exceptionally thin-walled fibre cells (Fig. 5F).

Calobota: Fruits relatively thin- to thick-walled (Fig. 6A-I). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with slightly to



Figure 4. A comparison of inflated fruits in Crotalarieae. A, Lebeckia brevicarpa [Le Roux et al. 4 (JRAU)]; B, L. pauciflora [Le Roux et al. 12 (JRAU)]; C, Calobota cinerea [Boatwright et al. 150 (JRAU)]; D, C. thunbergii [Boatwright et al. 140 (JRAU)]; E, Lotononis nutans [Van Wyk 3442 (JRAU)]; F, L. pungens [Vlok 1646 (JRAU)]; G, Crotalaria laburnifolia [Van Wyk et al. 4333 (JRAU)]; H, C. damarensis [Le Roux et al. 65 (WIND)]; I, C. obscura [Le Roux et al. 109 (JRAU)]; J, C. somalensis [Gillett 21175 (PRE)]; K, C. argyraea [Le Roux et al. 82 (WIND)]; L, C. flavicarinata [Le Roux et al. 72 (WIND)]; M, C. excisa [Le Roux et al. 108 (JRAU)]; N, C. recta [Le Roux 42 (JRAU)]; O, C. virgulata [Le Roux et al. 38 (JRAU)]; P, Lotononis hirsuta [Van Wyk 1338 (JRAU)]; Q, Bolusia amboensis [Boatwright et al. 248 (WIND)]. Scale bar, 1 mm .
highly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells absent. Mesocarp: Parenchyma and collenchyma cells present or only collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One or rarely two zones of various numbers of cell layers of
fibres or one zone of several cell layers of gelatinous fibres arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes only present in fruits with gelatinous fibres.

Table 1. Summary of pericarp characters of Crotalaria and all other genera of the tribe Crotalarieae (and two genera of the tribe Genisteae). Authorities for the names of taxa and data for the individual species of each genus are provided in the Appendix. Type I - one, two or three zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres within the endocarp; trichomes (formed from the endocarp cells) occasionally present. Type II - single cell layer of normal fibres within the endocarp; trichomes absent. Type III - single zone of several cell layers of gelatinous fibres; trichomes (formed from the endocarp cells) invariably present

|  |  |  |  | Exocarp |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Figure 5. Transverse sections of fruits in Crotalarieae, all with a type I pericarp: A, Aspalathus teres [Van Wyk 1329 (JRAU)]; B, A. linearis [Van Wyk 3617 (JRAU)]; C, A.spinosa [Van Wyk 2935 (JRAU)]; D, Wiborgia monoptera [Boatwright et al. 152 (JRAU)]; E, W. sericea [Boatwright et al. 124 (JRAU)]; F, Wiborgiella humilis [Boatwright et al. 212 (JRAU)]; G, W. inflata [Johns 162 (JRAU)]; H, W. bowieana [Streicher s.n. sub Schutte 831 (JRAU)]; I, W. vlokii [Vlok 2045 (PRE)]. Pericarp layers: Exocarp (Ex); Mesocarp (M); Endocarp (En). Scale bar, 0.1 mm .

Lebeckia: Fruits thin- to thick-walled (Fig. 7A-C). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with slightly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells present. Mesocarp: Parenchyma and collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: A single cell layer of normal fibres arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent. L. wrightii Bolus (Fig. 7C) has a type I pericarp structure. It differs from the other Lebeckia spp. in having only collenchyma cells present in the mesocarp and one zone of multiple numbers of cell layers of fibres and trichomes in the endocarp.

Rafnia: Fruits thin- to thick-walled (Fig. 7D, E). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with slightly to highly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells absent. Mesocarp: Parenchyma and collenchyma cells present or only collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One or two zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres arranged in one direction, parallel or at a $45^{\circ}$ angle to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent. Rafnia amplexicaulis Thunb. has fibre cells that are only slightly lignified (Fig. 7D).

## LOTONONIS CLADE - TYPE I AND TYPE II FRUIT WALLS ARE PRESENT

Lotononis: Fruits thin- to thick-walled (Figs 7F-I, 8A-C and 9A). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with slightly to highly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells usually absent. Mesocarp: Parenchyma and collenchyma cells present or only collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One or rarely two zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres or a single cell layer of normal fibres arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent. Lotononis macrocarpa Eckl. \& Zeyh. (Fig. 7F) has slightly lignified fibres and forms part of the 'Cape' clade. Lotononis hirsute Schinz (Fig. 9A) forms part of the Crotalaria clade and has a type I fruit wall structure.

Pearsonia: Fruits thick-walled (Fig. 8D-F). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with slightly to highly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells absent. Mesocarp: Only collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One zone of various numbers of cell layers of fibres arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent.

Rothia: Fruits thin-walled (Fig. 8G-H). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with slightly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells usually absent. Mesocarp: Only collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: A single cell layer of normal fibres arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent.

Robynsiophyton: Fruits thin-walled (Fig. 8I). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with slightly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells present. Mesocarp: Only collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: Usually a single cell layer of normal fibres arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent.

## Crotalaria clade - type I fruit walls ARE PRESENT

Crotalaria: Fruits usually thick-walled (Figs 9B-I and 10A-F). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with slightly to highly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells sometimes present. Mesocarp: Parenchyma cells rarely present, collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One, two or three zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres arranged in one direction, parallel or two directions, parallel and perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes occasionally present.

Bolusia: Fruits thick-walled (Fig. 10G). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with somewhat thickened cell walls; mucilage cells absent. Mesocarp: Only collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One or two zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent.

## GEnisteae - type I fruit walls are present

Melolobium: Fruits thick-walled (Fig. 10H). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with highly thickened cell walls; mucilage cells absent. Mesocarp: Only collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One zone of various numbers of cell layers of fibres arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent.

Dichilus: Fruits thick-walled (Fig. 10I). Exocarp: Epidermal cells with somewhat thickened cell walls; mucilage cells absent. Mesocarp: Parenchyma and collenchyma cells present. Endocarp: One or two zones of various numbers of cell layers of fibres


Figure 6. Transverse sections of fruits in Crotalarieae with a type I pericarp (A-F) and a type III pericarp (G-I): A, Calobota linearifolia [Giess et al. 6180 (WIND)]; B, C. elongata [Van Wyk 2562 (JRAU)]; C, C. pungens [Boatwright et al. 106 (JRAU)]; D, C. spinescens [Boatwright et al. 158 (JRAU)]; E, C. saharae [Davies 49564 (K)]; F, C. lotononoides [Boatwright et al. 142 (JRAU)]; G, C. sericea [Boatwright et al. 151 (JRAU)]; H, C. cytisoides [Boatwright et al. 114 (JRAU)]; I, C. halenbergensis [Boatwright et al. 149 (JRAU)]. Scale bar, 0.1 mm .
arranged in one direction, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits; trichomes absent.

## DISCUSSION

Fruit morphology is variable and characters sometimes have diagnostic value at the generic level. Examples are the indehiscent, winged, samara-like fruits of Wiborgia (Van Wyk, 2005; Boatwright et al., 2009) and the inflated and usually dehiscent fruits of Crotalaria (Polhill, 1982). Detailed studies by Polhill (1976) and a taxonomic review by Van Wyk (1991) have shown that genera can usually only be identified by a combination of characters and that fruit characters on their own have limited value. However, the present results have contributed to a better understanding of the relation between form and function in the fruits of Crotalaria and related genera.

The anatomy of the pericarp is too conservative to be used diagnostically at the generic level. However, some trends were observed and three basic pericarp types were identified within the tribe. The distribution of these types across the tribe is somewhat congruent with the current phylogenetic analyses, as shown in Figure 1. Note that type I is predominant, type II occurs in three independent lineages (Lebeckia, Leobordea-Listia and Rothia-Robynsiophyton) and type III is found in only two genera (Calobota and Wiborgiella, albeit only in some species).

## ‘CAPE' CLADE

Aspalathus (Fig. 5A-C), Wiborgia (Fig. 5D-F), Wiborgiella (Fig. 5G-I), Rafnia (Fig. 7D-E) and some species of Calobota all have a type I pericarp, and Lebeckia spp. (Fig. 7A-C), with the exception of L. wrightii, all have a type II pericarp. Lebeckia wrightii is a shortlived fireweed with several unusual morphological features, such as stipules, spirally twisted keel petals and black seeds (Le Roux \& Van Wyk, 2009). A comparison with its close relative, L. uniflora B-E. van Wyk \& M.M. le Roux, may yield interesting results. Aspalathus seemingly has a uniform pericarp structure (type I), despite the large number of variable species in the genus. No diagnostic differences were observed among species from different groups within the genus. Wiborgiella humilis (Fig. 5F) was transferred to the genus Wiborgiella based on molecular data, together with fruit and androecial characters to
support the generic change (Boatwright et al., 2009; Boatwright, Tilney \& Van Wyk, 2010). The present fruit anatomical study revealed that there are multiple cell layers of only somewhat lignified cells as opposed to the thick-walled fibres of Wiborgiella and Wiborgia. Of particular interest is the discovery of an unexpected anatomical difference between Wiborgiella inflata and W. vlokii, two closely related and anomalous species. They are the only short-lived perennials in the genus and also differ in having gelatinous fibres (elsewhere found only in Calobota spp.). Furthermore, W. vlokii differs from $W$. inflata in the absence of the endodermal trichomes that are invariably associated with gelatinous fibres in other species investigated. In Calobota (Fig. 6A-I) both type I and type III pericarps are found. This is the only other genus in which gelatinous fibres (present in type III) are present and correlates with the two informal groups found within the genus. The one group has thin-walled fruits (type I pericarp) and the second has thick-walled fruits with trichomes associated with the endocarp (type III pericarp), with the exception of C. elongata (Thunb.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk and C. namibensis Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk, which have type I pericarps. Calobota saharae (Coss. \& Durieu) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk (Fig. 6E) has two cell layers of only somewhat lignified cells and has a type I pericarp.

## LOTONONIS CLADE

Recent molecular studies (Boatwright et al., 2008a, in press) have indicated that Lotononis is polyphyletic and three clades were identified (Fig. 1): (1) the Lotononis s.s. clade (L. section Lotononis and allies); (2) the Leobordea clade [L. section Leobordea and allies]; and (3) the Listia clade (L. section Listia). These three clades are now considered to be distinct at generic level and formal new circumscriptions were proposed by Boatwright et al. (in press), respectively, as 'Lotononis', 'Leobordea' and 'Listia'. The Lotononis s.s. clade (Fig. 7G-H) has a type I pericarp, the Leobordea clade (Figs 7I and 8A) has both type I and type II pericarps and the Listia clade has exclusively type II pericarps (Fig. 8B, C). Sections within the Leobordea clade can be recognized using pericarp structure; for example, all species of section Synclistus have a type II pericarp. Compared with Aspalathus, that has a rather uniform pericarp structure throughout, differences within the Leobordea clade are diagnostically


Figure 7. Transverse sections of fruits in Crotalarieae with a type II pericarp (A-B) and a type I pericarp (C-I): A, Lebeckia contaminata [Le Roux et al. 16 (JRAU)]; B, L. pauciflora [Le Roux et al. 12 (JRAU)]; C, L. wrightii [Johns 163 (JRAU)]; D, Rafnia amplexicaulis [Campbell et al. 40 (JRAU)]; E, R. capensis [Campbell et al. 11 (JRAU)]; F, Lotononis macrocarpa [Schlechter 4925 (NBG)]; G, L. densa [Van Wyk 3122 (JRAU)]; H, L. lenticula [Schutte 300 (JRAU)]; I, L. eriantha [Van Wyk 2631b (JRAU)]. Scale bar, 0.1 mm .


Figure 8. Transverse sections of fruits in Crotalarieae with a type II pericarp (A-C, G-I) and a type I pericarp (D-F): A, Lotononis globulosa [Van Wyk 2211 (JRAU)]; B, L. listii [Schutte 354 (JRAU)]; C, L. subulata [Van Wyk 2884 (JRAU)]; D, Pearsonia sessilifolia [Van Wyk 3192 (JRAU)]; E, P. cajanifolia [Posthumus 1a (JRAU)]; F, P. aristata [De Castro 346 (JRAU)]; G, Rothia hirsuta [Bogdon 2205 (K)]; H, R. indica [Latz 16126 (MEL)]; I, Robynsiophyton vanderystii [Lisowski 20326 (K)]. Scale bar, 0.1 mm .


Figure 9. Transverse sections of fruits in Crotalarieae, all with a type I pericarp: A, Lotononis hirsuta [Van Wyk 1338 (JRAU)]; B, Crotalaria doidgeae [Viljoen 52 (PRE)]; C, C. lebeckioides [Van Wyk 3315 (JRAU)]; D, C. burkeana [Nienaber EN 126 (PRE)]; E, C. natalitia [Mogg 34335 (JRAU)]; F, C. dura [Ward 11910 (PRE)]; G, C. pisicarpa [Klaasen et al. 107 (WIND)]; H, C. burtii [Grundy L96 (PRE)]; I, C. pallida [Germishuizen 1146 (PRE)]. Scale bar, 0.1 mm .


Figure 10. Transverse sections of fruits in Crotalarieae (A-G) and Genisteae (H-I), all with a type I pericarp: A, Crotalaria vasculosa [De Winter 9460 (PRE)]; B, C. virgultalis [Le Roux 38 (JRAU)]; C, C. spartioides [Le Roux et al. 84 (WIND)]; D, C. recta [Le Roux 42 (JRAU)]; E, C. humilis [Thorne 52437 (NBG)]; F, C. globifera [Pienaar 532 (PRE)]; G, Bolusia amboensis [Boatwright et al. 248 (WIND)]; H, Melolobium alpinum [Schutte 160 (JRAU)]; I, Dichilus strictus [Schutte 376 (JRAU)]. Scale bar, 0.1 mm .
useful at an infrageneric level. Species of the Leobordea clade are more widely distributed and adaptations to various habitats could account for the observed variation. Lotononis macrocarpa (Fig. 7F), an anomalous species of the genus, is placed closer to the 'Cape' group of Crotalarieae (Boatwright et al., in press) and has a type I pericarp with multiple cell layers of not highly sclerified cells in the endocarp, which differs from Lotononis s.s. Rothia and Robynsiophyton have been reported to be closely related to Pearsonia (Van Wyk, 1991), which is supported by molecular (Boatwright et al., 2008a) and morphological data (Boatwright, Tilney \& Van Wyk, 2008c). A sister relationship between Rothia and Robynsiophyton (and their generic status, i.e. being distinct from Pearsonia), as indicated by Boatwright \& Van Wyk (2009) and Boatwright et al. (2008a), is here also supported by the fruit anatomy.

## CROTALARIA CLADE

The taxa of the earliest diverging clade of Crotalarieae, Lotononis hirsuta (Fig. 9A), Crotalaria (Figs 9B-I and 10A-F) and (3) Bolusia, all have a type I pericarp and strongly inflated pods. Molecular and morphological data (Boatwright et al., 2008a, in press) indicated that $L$. hirsuta should be excluded from the genus Lotononis and its placement within the Crotalaria clade is also supported by the fruit anatomy.
It is difficult to identify apomorphies for the sections within Crotalaria. Species from sections Grandiflorae (Bak.f.) Polhill and Geniculatae Polhill show some uniformity in the pericarp thickness, ratio of the pericarp layers and fibre orientation (Figs 9B, C and 10B, C). These pericarp characters are also similar to those of some individual species from other sections within Crotalaria (e.g. C. recta Steud. ex A.Rich., Fig. 10D) and other genera, e.g. Aspalathus (Fig. 5A-C) and Pearsonia (Fig. 8D-F). Other sections in Crotalaria display too much variation and it is difficult to find characters to use as apomorphies for specific groups; for example, section Crotalaria (Fig. 10C-F) or section Hedriocarpae (Figs 9I and 10A). In these cases, fruit characters could be useful diagnostically at the species level.
Fahn \& Zohary (1955) considered the presence of multiple cell layers of fibres to be the primitive state in legume fruit and its loss to be derived. The type I pericarp (present in the early diverging lineages of the tribe) therefore represents the most primitive state, whereas the type II, as found in the Listia clade, is clearly derived. The plesiomorphic state is also present in Melolobium and Dichilus (Fig. 10H and I, respectively) of Genisteae.

## DEHISCENCE

The mechanism of dehiscence and the function of fibre orientation in this process were described by Fahn \& Zohary (1955) and several variations of the basic dehiscence model, which can exist within one genus (Fahn \& Zohary, 1955; Fahn, 1982). In the type I pericarp, all fibres within a single zone are generally arranged in one direction parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fruits. When the fruits mature, the cells dry out and the cell walls shrink in a perpendicular direction to the longitudinal axis, creating tension and resulting in dehiscence (Fig. 10A-C). Fibres may also be directed at a $45^{\circ}$ angle to the longitudinal axis, and the elongated epidermal and hypodermal cells of the exocarp and mesocarp are also orientated at a $45^{\circ}$ angle, but in the opposite direction to the fibres. This arrangement of fibres results in the twisting of the valves and the dehiscence of the fruits. Fibre cell layers with different cell wall thicknesses can be arranged in two or more zones. When these cells dry out, each zone creates a different strength of tension, resulting in dehiscence (Fig. 9E, I). Indehiscent fruits appear to have two zones; an outer zone of various numbers of cell layers of fibres oriented parallel to the longitudinal axis and an inner zone of various numbers of cell layers of fibres oriented perpendicular to the longitudinal axis (Figs 9G and 10F).

In the type III pericarp, fibres (all forming one zone) are mostly arranged in a single direction parallel to the longitudinal axis, resulting in dehiscence (Fig. 6G-I). In type II, the fibres are all orientated in the same direction in a single layer of fibres, parallel to the longitudinal axis, and the fruits are sometimes dehiscent.

There are some exceptions to the dehiscence or indehiscence model. Other factors that could influence dehiscence are fruit morphology and additional anatomical features. These modifications were probably necessitated by a need for seed protection and dispersal. Type II pericarp fruits should dehisce, for example, Lotononis globulosa B.-E.van Wyk (Fig. 8A), but stay intact. This could be because of the presence of large epidermal cells in the exocarp, which could absorb some of the tension created by the single layer of fibres. Wiborgia monoptera E.Mey. is an example of an indehiscent fruit with a type I pericarp. The fruits have multiple layers of fibres orientated at $45^{\circ}$ angles to the longitudinal axis and should dehisce; the tension created by the shrinking fibres is possibly absorbed and counteracted by the presence of a wing along the zone of dehiscence.

## CONCLUSIONS

Fruit morphology in Crotalarieae is diverse and of limited systematic value. However, there are conver-
gent trends in the size, shape and general morphology that can be linked to dispersal and seed protection. In general, the inflated, balloon-shaped fruits typical of Crotalaria are a useful diagnostic character and represent the ground plan for further structural modifications seen in other genera of the tribe.
Three different pericarp types are recognized within the tribe: (1) type I, with one, two or three zones of various numbers of cell layers of normal fibres and trichomes occasionally associated with the endocarp; (2) type II, with a single cell layer of normal fibres and (3) type III, with one zone of several cell layers of gelatinous fibres and trichomes associated with the endocarp. The type I pericarp is most widely distributed throughout the tribe. There are some potentially useful generic apomorphies. The lack of monophyly of both Lebeckia s.l and Lotononis s.l. is supported by the results presented here. Species of Lebeckia sensu stricto (Le Roux \& Van Wyk, 2009) almost invariably have a type II pericarp, whereas types I and III are present in the segregate genera Wiborgiella and Calobota (Boatwright et al., 2009, 2010). Species of Lotononis s.s. have type I pericarps, whereas the section Listia invariably has type II. Although all Crotalaria spp. have a type I pericarp, there is some structural variation that may be of value in distinguishing between some of the species. There are no apparent discontinuities between any of the sections.

An example of non-homologous similarity was revealed by comparing pericarp structure of the small, indehiscent, globose and few-seeded fruits (presumably all wind or water dispersed) that are found in species of Crotalaria, Lotononis section Synclistus and one species of Calobota (C. elongata). These have types I, II and III pericarps, respectively. Also noteworthy is the fact that this seemingly identical dispersal mechanism has evolved independently in each of the three main clades in the tribe.
The pattern of dehiscence and indehiscence in Crotalaria and other genera of the tribe seems to conform to the general pattern reported for other legume genera. The orientation of the fibres in the endocarp relative to the longitudinal axis of the fruits is the major determinant, but the presence of different zones of fibres and their orientation relative to one another are also important. Our study has revealed other morphological and anatomical features that may counteract dehiscence, such as the presence of wing tissue along the line of the suture (e.g. Wiborgia) or the relative thickness of the single fibre cell layer in relation to the adjoining mesocarp tissue, which seems to be independent of the absolute thickness, or the fibre cell layer (thick in, e.g. Lebeckia brevicarpa M.M.le Roux \& B.-E.van Wyk, but thin in Lotononis section Synclistus). Fruit anatomical characters are of potential value not only for new taxonomic interpre-
tations but also to deepen our understanding of various morphological and functional adaptations in tribe Crotalarieae.
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List of fruit pericarp types and voucher specimens used for fruit anatomical studies in the tribe crotalarieae.

| Species | Infrageneric group (if applicable) | Voucher specimen | Pericarp thickness (mm) | Fruit classification | Dehiscence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspalathus angustifolia (Lam.) Dahlgr. |  | Van Wyk 2592 (JRAU) | 182 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus bracteata Thunb. |  | Van Wyk 914 (JRAU) | 169 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus carnosa Berg. |  | Van Wyk 3006 (JRAU) | 240 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus cf. hirta E.Mey. |  | Van Wyk 3295 (JRAU) | 353 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus chortophila Eckl. \& Zeyh. |  | Van Wyk et al. 831 (JRAU) | 235 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus intermedia Eckl. \& Zeyh. |  | Schutte 522 (JRAU) | 219 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus juniperina Thunb. subsp. juniperina |  | Van Wyk 2756 (JRAU) | 339 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus lactea Thunb. subsp. adelphea (Eckl. \& Zeyh.) Dahlgr. |  | Van Wyk et al. 1564 (JRAU) | 266 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Aspalathus linearis (Burm.f) Dahlgr. |  | Van Wyk 3617 (JRAU) | 262 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus longifolia Benth. |  | Van Wyk 2799 (JRAU) | 452 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus pendula Dahlgr. |  | Van Wyk 3346 (JRAU) | 530 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus perfoliata (Lam.) Dahlgr. |  | Van Wyk 2786 (JRAU) | 417 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus spinosa L. |  | Van Wyk 2935 (JRAU) | 273 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Aspalathus teres Eckl. \& Zeyh. |  | Van Wyk et al. 1329 (JRAU) | 405 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Bolusia amboensis (Schinz) Harms |  | Boatwright et al. 248 (WIND) | 233 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Calobota angustifolia (E.Mey.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Boatwright et al. 138 (JRAU) | 385 | Type III | Dehiscent |
| Calobota cinerea (E.Mey.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Boatwright et al. 150 (JRAU) | 491 | Type III | Dehiscent |
| Calobota cuspidosa (Burch.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Boatwright et al. 92 (JRAU) | 265 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Calobota cytisoides (Berg.) Eckl. \& Zeyh. |  | Boatwright et al. 114 (JRAU) | 506 | Type III | Dehiscent |
| Calobota elongata (Thunb.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Van Wyk 2562b (JRAU) | 203 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Calobota halenbergensis (Merxm. \& Schreib.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Boatwright et al. 149 (JRAU) | 328 | Type III | Dehiscent |
| Calobota linearifolia (E.Mey.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Giess et al. 6180 (WIND) | 270 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Calobota lotononoides (Schltr.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Boatwright et al. 142 (JRAU) | 397 | Type III | Dehiscent |
| Calobota namibensis Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk, ined. |  | De Winter et al. 7919 (WIND) |  | Type I | ?Dehiscent |
| Calobota psiloloba (E.Mey.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Le Roux et al. 20 (JRAU) | 291 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Calobota pungens (Thunb.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Boatwright et al. 106 (JRAU) | 305 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Calobota saharae (Coss. \& Dur.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Davies 49564 (K) | 141 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Calobota sericea (Thunb.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Boatwright et al. 151 (JRAU) | 479 | Type III | Dehiscent |
| Calobota spinescens (Harv.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Boatwright et al. 158 (JRAU) | 230 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria agatiflora Schweinf. | Section Grandiflorae (Bak.f.) Polhill | Le Roux 45 (JRAU) | 531 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria alata Ham. ex D. Don | Section Calycinae Wight \& Arn. | Rwaburindore 2628 (PRE) | 395 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria alexandri Bak. f. | Section Dispermae Wight \& Arn. | Pope 15 (PRE) | 117 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria argyraea Welw. ex Bak. | Section Hedriocarpae Wight \& Arn. | Van Slageren MSJB011 (WIND) | 334 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Crotalaria brachycarpa (Benth.) Burtt Davy ex Verdoorn | Section Crotalaria | Le Roux 49 (JRAU) | 771 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Crotalaria burkeana Benth. | Section Chrysocalycinae (Benth.) Bak.f. | Nienaber EN 126 (PRE) | 422 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria burttii Bak.f. | Section Hedriocarpae | Grundy L96 (PRE) | 223 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria calycina Schrank | Section Calycinae | De Nevers et al. 3310 (PRE) | 359 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria cephalotes Steud. ex A.Rich. | Section Dispermae | Schmidt et al. 1912 (PRE) | 338 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria damarensis Engl. | Section Chrysocalycinae | Leippert 4608 (WIND) | 488 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria dinteri Schinz | Section Crotalaria | Giess 11637 (PRE) | 304 | Type I | Dehiscent |

APPENDIX Continued

| Species | Infrageneric group (if applicable) | Voucher specimen | Pericarp <br> thickness <br> (mm) | Fruit classification | Dehiscence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crotalaria doidgeae Verdoorn | Section Grandiflorae | Viljoen 52 (PRE) | 437 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria dura Wood \& Evans | Section Chrysocalycinae | Ward 11910 (PRE) | 347 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Crotalaria ephemera Polhill | Section Dispermae | Brooks et al. 93 (PRE) | 183 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria excisa (Thunb.) Bak.f. | Section Crotalaria | Le Roux 108 (JRAU) | 342 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria filicaulis Welw. ex Bak. | Section Dispermae | Teixeira et al. 4463 (PRE) | 207 | Type I | Indehiscent to tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria flavicarninata Bak.f. | Section Geniculatae Polhill | Le Roux et al. 72 (WIND) | 330 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria globifera E.Mey. | Section Crotalaria | Pienaar 532 (PRE) | 398 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria goetzei Harms | Section Chrysocalycinae | Thulin 7826 (UPS) | 226 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria griquensis Bolus | Section Crotalaria | Gubb s.n. PRE 825170 (PRE) | 187 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Crotalaria heidmannii Schinz | Section Geniculatea | Le Roux et al. 69 (WIND) | 502 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria humilis Eckl. \& Zeyh. | Section Crotalaria | Thorne 52437 (NBG) | 169 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria hyssopifolia Klotzsch | Section Dispermae | Faulkner 208 (PRE) | 119 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria incana L. | Section Chrysocalycinae | Thulin et al. 9114 (UPS) | 254 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria juncea L. | Section Calycinae | Arnola 9991 (PRE) | 290 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria kirkii Bak. | Section Hedriocarpae | Markström et al. MN215A (UPS) | 232 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria laburnifolia L. | Section Grandiflorae | Van Wyk et al. 4333 (JRAU) | 441 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria lanceolata E.Mey. | Section Hedriocarpae | Le Roux 37 (JRAU) | 385 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria lebeckioides Bond | Section Grandiflorae | Van Wyk 3315 (JRAU) | 397 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria leptocarpa Balf. f. | Section Schizostigma Polhill | Van Wyk et al. 4650 (JRAU) | 125 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria lotoides Benth. | Section Chrysocalycinae | Le Roux 47 (JRAU) | 300 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria mesopontica Taub. | Section Hedriocarpae | Rwaburindore 2340 (UPS) | 326 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria monteiroi Taub. ex Bak.f. | Section Grandiflorae | Le Roux 95 (JRAU) | 736 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria natalitia Meisner | Section Chrysocalycinae | Mogg 34335 (JRAU) | 268 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria occidentalis Hepper | Section Calycinae | ? 3765 (PRE) | 123 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria orientalis Burtt Davy ex Verdoorn | Section Geniculatea | Le Roux et al. 91 (JRAU) | 449 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria pallida Ait. | Section Hedriocarpae | Germishuizen 1146 (PRE) | 272 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria pearsonii Bak.f. | Section Crotalaria | Marloth 12445 (PRE) | 266 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria pisicarpa Welw. ex Bak. | Section Chrysocalycinae | Klaasen et al. 107 (WIND) | 346 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Crotalaria platysepala Harv. | Section Crotalaria | Le Roux et al. 73 (WIND) | 342 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria prittwitzii Bak.f. | Section Chrysocalycinae | Bidgood et al. 3597 (UPS) | 359 | Type I | Indehiscent to tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria pseudotenuirama Torre | Section Dispermae | Greenway et al. 11682 (PRE) | 218 | Type I | Indehiscent to tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria recta Steud. ex A.Rich. | Section Crotalaria | Le Roux 42 (JRAU) | 490 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria saltiana Andr. | Section Hedriocarpae | Hemming 3056 (PRE) | 336 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria somalensis Chiov. | Section Hedriocarpae | Gillett 21175 (PRE) | 255 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria spartioides DC. | Section Geniculatea | Le Roux et al. 84 (WIND) | 422 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria spectabilis Roth. | Section Crotalaria | Le Roux et al. 98 (JRAU) | 596 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria sphaerocarpa Perr. ex DC. | Section Geniculatea | Le Roux et al. 74 (WIND) | 161 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Crotalaria steudneri Schweinf. | Section Hedriocarpae | Le Roux 80 (WIND) | 196 | Type I | Tardily dehiscent |
| Crotalaria tenuirama Welw. ex Bak. | Section Dispermae | Teixeira 3.391 (PRE) | 192 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria vasculosa Wall. ex Benth. | Section Hedriocarpae | De Winter 9460 (PRE) | 132 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria virgulata Klotzsch | Section Crotalaria | Le Roux 38 (JRAU) | 377 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Crotalaria xanthoclada Boj. ex Benth. | Section Chrysocalycinae | Hedrén et al. 665 (UPS) | 295 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Dichilus strictus E.Mey. |  | Schutte 376 (JRAU) | 271 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Lebeckia ambigua E.Mey. |  | Boatwright et al. 131 (JRAU) | 456 | Type II | Tardily dehiscent |
| Lebeckia brevicarpa M.M.le Roux \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Le Roux et al. 4 (JRAU) | 886 | Type II | Indehiscent |
| Lebeckia contaminata (L.) Thunb. |  | Le Roux et al. 16 (JRAU) | 269 | Type II | Tardily dehiscent |
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|  | Le Roux et al. 12 (JRAU) Johns 163 (JRAU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Section Lotononis | Van Wyk 2587 (JRAU) |
| Section Buchenroedera (Eckl. \& Zeyh.) B.-E.van Wyk | Stirton 12484 (JRAU) |
| Section Krebsia (Eckl.\& Zeyh.) Benth. | Van Wyk 4011 (JRAU) |
| Section Listia (E.Mey.) B.-E.van Wyk | Schutte 462c (JRAU) |
| Section Digitata B.-E.van Wyk | Van Wyk 2538 (JRAU) |
| Section Synclistus B.-E.van Wyk | Van Wyk 2444 (JRAU) |
| Section Polylobium (Eckl. \& Zeyh.) Benth. | Schutte 447 (JRAU) |
| Section Lotononis | Van Wyk 2879 (JRAU) |
| Section Aulacinthus (E.Mey.) Benth. | Van Wyk 2190 (JRAU) |
| Section Lipozygis (E.Mey.) Benth. | Stirton 11819 (JRAU) |
| Section Leptis | Van Wyk 1699 (JRAU) |
| Section Aulacinthus | Van Wyk 3122 (JRAU) |
| Section Lotononis | Van Wyk 2573 (JRAU) |
| Section Lipozygis | Van Wyk 2631b (JRAU) |
| Section Lipozygis | Maguire 8691 (JRAU) |
| Section Synclistus | Van Wyk 2211 (JRAU) |
| Section Euchlora (Eckl. \& Zeyh.) B.-E.van Wyk | Van Wyk 1338 (JRAU) |
| Section Lipozygis | Van Wyk 1884 (JRAU) |
| Section Oxydium Benth. | Van Wyk 1315 (JRAU) |
| Section Oxydium | Schutte 300 (JRAU) |
| Section Listia | Schutte 354 (JRAU) |
| Section Synclistus | Van Wyk 2209 (JRAU) |
| Section Buchenroedera | Van Wyk 1938 (JRAU) |
| Section Listia | Schlechter 4925 (NBG) |
| Section Digitata | Van Wyk 2552 (JRAU) |
| Section Synclistus | Van Wyk 2379 (JRAU) |
| Section Leobordea (Del.) Benth. | Viviers 2039 (JRAU) |
| Section Digitata | Van Wyk 3282 (JRAU) |
| Section Leptis (E.Mey. ex Eckl. \& Zeyh.) Benth. | Van Wyk 1700 (JRAU) |
| Section Lotononis | Van Wyk 3494 (JRAU) |
| Section Digitata | Van Wyk 2416 (RJAU) |
| Section Synclistus | Van Wyk 2905 (JRAU) |
| Section Krebsia | Van Wyk 1720 (JRAU) |
| Section Listia | Van Wyk 2884 (JRAU) |
|  | Schutte 160 (JRAU) |
|  | De Castro 346 (JRAU) |
|  | Schutte 202 (JRAU) |
|  | Posthumus 1a (JRAU) |
|  | Van Wyk 3192 (JRAU) |
| Section Rafnia | Campbell et al. 23 (JRAU) |

Lebeckia pauciflora Eckl. \& Zeyh.
Lebeckia wrightii (Harv.) Bolus
Lotononis acuminata Eckl. \& Zeyh.
Lotononis alpina (Eckl. \& Zeyh.) B.-E.van
Wyk subsp. multiflora (Eckl. \& Zeyh.) B.-E.van
Wyk
Lotononis bachmaniana Dümmer
Lotononis bainesii Bak.
Lotononis benthamiana Dümmer
Lotononis bolusii Dümmer
Lotononis brevicaulis B.-E.van Wyk
Lotononis complanata B.-E.van Wyk
Lotononis comptonii B.-E.van Wyk
Lotononis corymbosa (E.Mey.) Benth.
Lotononis decumbens (Thunb.) B.-E.van Wyk
subsp. decumbens
Lotononis densa (Thunb.) Harv.
Lotononis elongata (Thunb.) D.Dietr.
Lotononis eriantha Benth.
Lotononia foliosa Bolus
Lotononis globulosa B.-E.van Wyk
Pearsonia sessilifolia (Harv.) Dümmer
Rafnia angulata Thunb.
Lotononis hirsuta (Thunb.) D.Dietr.
Lotononis lanceolata (E.Mey.) Benth.
Lotononis laxa Eckl. \& Zeyh.
Lotononis lenticula (E.Mey.) Benth.
Lotononis listii Polhill
Lotononis longicephala B.-E.van Wyk
Lotononis lotononoides (Scott Elliot) B.-E.van
Wyk
Lotononis macrocarpa Eckl. \& Zeyh.
Lotononis magnifica B.-E.van Wyk
Lotononis pentaphylla (E.Mey.) Benth.
Lotononis platycarpa (Viv.) Pic.-Serm.
Lotononis plicata B.-E.van Wyk
Lotononis prolifera (E.Mey.) B.-E.van Wyk
Lotononis prostrata (L.) Benth.
Lotononis quinata (Thunb.) Benth.
Lotononis rosea Dümmer
Lotononis stricta (Eckl. \& Zeyh.) B.-E.van Wyk
Lotononis subulata B.-E.van Wyk
Melolobium alpinum Eckl. \& Zeyh.
Pearsonia aristata (Schinz) Dümmer
Pearsonia bracteata Benth. (Polhill)
Lajanifolia (Harv.) Polhill
Ler
APPENDIX Continued

| Species | Infrageneric group (if applicable) | Voucher specimen | Pericarp thickness (mm) | Fruit classification | Dehiscence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rafnia amplexicaulis Thunb. | Section Rafnia | Campbell et al. 40 (JRAU) | 305 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Rafnia capensis (L.) Schinz | Section Colobotropis E.Mey. | Campbell et al. 11 (JRAU) | 115 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Rafnia rostrata G.J.Campbell \& B.-E.van Wyk | Section Rafnia | Van Wyk 2175 (JRAU) | 218 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Robynsiophyton vanderystii Wilczek |  | Lisowski 20326 (K) | 79 | Type II | Dehiscent |
| Rothia hirsuta (Guill. \& Perr.) Baker |  | Bogdon 2205 (K) | 109 | Type II | Dehiscent |
| Rothia indica (L.) Druce |  | Latz 16126 (MEL) | 123 | Type II | Dehiscent |
| Wiborgia monoptera E.Mey. | Subgenus Pterocarpia Dahlgr. | Boatwright et al. 152 (JRAU) | 180 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Wiborgia sericea Thunb. | Subgenus Pterocarpia | Boatwright et al. 124 (JRAU) | 468 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Wiborgia tetraptera E.Mey. | Subgenus Pterocarpia | Schutte 737 (JRAU) | 225 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Wiborgiella bowieana (Benth.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Streicher s.n. sub Schutte 831 (JRAU) | 571 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Wiborgiella humilis (Thunb.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Boatwright et al. 212 (JRAU) | 296 | Type I | Indehiscent |
| Wiborgiella inflata (H.Bolus) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Johns 162 (JRAU) | 462 | Type III | Dehiscent |
| Wiborgiella leipoldtiana (Schltr. ex R.Dahlgren) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Boatwright et al. 123 (JRAU) | 412 | Type III | Dehiscent |
| Wiborgiella mucronata (Benth.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Esterhuysen 6880 (BOL) | 297 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Wiborgiella sessilifolia (Eckl. and Zeyh.) Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk |  | Taylor 4329 (PRE) | 375 | Type I | Dehiscent |
| Wiborgiella vlokii Boatwr. \& B.-E.van Wyk, ined. |  | Vlok 2045 (PRE) | 312 | Type I | Dehiscent |
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