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#### Abstract

The amphipod (Crustacea) family Stegocephalidae Dana, 1852 is revised, and the results of a phylogenetic analysis of the family are presented. The morphological information, obtained mainly through direct examination of the species, has been transformed into 200 characters. 91 stegocephalid species ( $91 \%$ of all recognized species) are included in the analysis, in addition to six outgroup taxa. Based upon this analysis, the family is divided into five subfamilies and 26 genera. Four new subfamilies (Andaniexinae, Andaniopsinae, Bathystegocephalinae \& Parandaniinae) and ten new genera (Alania, Austrocephaloides, Austrophippsia, Bouscephalus, Gordania, Mediterexis, Pseudo, Schellenbergia, Stegonomadia \& Stegomorphia) are erected. Five genera are put into synonymy (Andaniella with Andaniopsis; Phippsiella and Stegocephalopsis with Stegocephalus; Stegophippsiella with Stegocephalina; Euandania with Parandania). © 2001 The Linnean Society of London
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## INTRODUC'TION

The present paper is the last in a series of taxonomic papers (Berge \& Vader, 1997a--d, 2000,2001, in press a-c; Berge, 2001, a-c; Berge, Boxshall \& Vader, 2000; Berge, De Broyer \& Vader, 2001; Berge, Vader \& Galan, in 2001) on the amphipod family Stegocephalidae Dana, 1852 (Crustacea). In the previous papers, focus has been on providing as much detailed knowledge as possible at the specific level, while relationships at the generic level have been left mostly undiscussed. The main purpose of the present paper is to present a revised classification of the Stegocephalidae, based on the results of a phylogenetic analysis of the family.

The family Stegocephalidae was first described as a subfamily of the Gammaridae by Dana in 1852. Later, the subfamily Stegocephalinae was transferred to the Leucothoidae by Boeck in 1876, until Sars (1883) changed its taxonomic rank to that of a family. The last revision of the family was that by Stebbing (1906); at the time the family consisted of 12 species (of which Stebbing thought two were uncertain) and nine genera. Today, the family consists of 100 species, herein allocated to 26 different genera.

There has, however, never been any thorough discussion of the phylogenetic relationships within the

[^0]Stegocephalidae, except for the splitting of the family by Barnard \& Karaman (1991) into two groups based upon the second maxilla: those possessing an ordinary outer plate and those in which it is gaping and geniculate. A number of character states that earlier authors (e.g. Sars, 1891) thought were 'of generic value' (such as the number of articles on the palp of the first maxilla, the morphology of the basis of pereopods 6 and 7 , the form of the telson (cleft or entire), and the number of articles on the outer ramus of U3) have later been found in other closely related genera, thereby blurring the distinctions between them. The classical genera have, until the present, nevertheless been sustained in the classification of the family, thereby resulting in unclear phylogenetic relationships. As an example, the four genera Phippsiella Schellenberg, 1925, Stegocephalus Krøyer, 1842, Stegocephalopsis Schellenberg, 1925, and Stegocephaloides Sars, 1891 were all erected based on different combinations of the states of the following three characters: articulation of the palp of mx 1 , and morphology of the bases of pereopods 6 and 7. Although later new taxa were discovered that variously combined the different states of these characters, the boundaries between the four genera were still retained. This state of affairs, added to the erroneous observation that the palp of mx 1 of S. inflatus is uni-articulate (see Berge \& Vader, 2001) led B \& K to conclude that "the classification of genera
remains cloudy especially in Phippsiella, Stegocephalus, Stegocephalopsis, Stegocephaloides, and two anomalous taxa Stegocephaloides camoti and Stegocephalopsis katalia". Herein, three of the genera discussed above (Phippsiella, Stegocephalus, and Stegocephalopsis) are considered to be synonymous.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The analysis presented herein was performed by PAUP* (Swofford, 1998), and is based on a matrix consisting of 97 taxa ( 91 stegocephalid taxa and six outgroup taxa) and 200 characters. The analysis was carried out by first running 10000 replicates with a random addition sequence and with 'MulTrees' option in PAUP* turned off. Then, the resulting tree was used as starting tree in a final analysis with 'MulTrees' operational.
The list of characters that were used in the analyses is presented in Appendix 1, and the entire matrix is presented in Appendix 2. The list of apomorphies is presented in Appendix 3.

The choice of outgroup is based mostly on the result of a phylogenetic analysis of the Amphipoda presented elsewhere (Berge, Boxshall \& Vader, 2000), which suggests the Lysianassoidea as the most probable outgroup. However, in order to both broaden the outgroup and to 'test' the stability of the ingroup, other outgroups were also selected. Thus, two lysianassid species were selected, in adddition to one species of the four families Amphilochidae, Astyridae, Ochlesidae and Liljeborgiidae, respectively.

Under the discussion (see below) of the different subfamilies and genera, a short morphological description is provided. These descriptions will emphasize the same characters throughout, but characters are usually excluded when they appear in more than one state within the taxon. These short descriptions are meant to be informative about the morphological characteristics of the taxon, but also to provide a set of easily obtained characters by which the taxon in question may be compared to other closely related taxa. Thus, the synapomorphies that define the hypothesized monophyletic taxa may in many cases not be included, and the short descriptions should for this reason not be considered as diagnoses.
Under each genus, the distribution is briefly considered and discussed, but a complete review of the distributional data is presented in Appendix 4. An index of subfamilies, genera and species is included in Appendix 5.

## CHARACTERS

One character (\#82) is a multistate character ( 6 states), all others are binary. The character states describing
the different arrangements of setae on both maxillae and the maxilliped were discussed and explained by Berge (2001b), and will not be discussed any further herein. Other characters, however, have previously been used in the description of species (e.g. Berge, $2001 a-c$ ) without having been the subject of any discussion; these will be discussed briefly below.

Character 4: antenna 1 flagellum articulation between articles one and two.

In most species, article one of the flagellum is conspicuously long compared with the other articles, but the flagellum possesses in addition an equally conspicuously short second article. The articulation appears to be weak compared to the other articulations, but is undoubtedly present in some species.

Character 6: antenna 1 accessory flagellum articulation.

A second article on the accessory flagellum is either absent, or present only as a rudimentary second article on the apex of the first article on the accessory flagellum.

Characters 11, 12: morphology of the epistome (see Appendix 1 and Figs $4 \& 5$ ).
The present characters are illustrated in Figures 4 and 5, where the epistome of Andaniexis lupus Berge \& Vader, 1997c is pictured. In Figure 5, the epistome is produced laterally into two elongated ridges that cover most of the epistome. In other species, these laterally produced ridges may be conspicuously rounded (vs rectangular).

Characters 21-24: lacinia mobilis on the left mandible (always absent on the right in the Stegocephalidae).
The morphology of the lacinia mobilis can be divided into two major states: powerful or weakly developed. The powerful lacinia mobilis has a broad toothed cutting edge (usually as broad as the incisor), with the inner margin conspicuously expanded. Conversely, the weakly developed lacinia mobilis is either conical or rectangular to triangular, but both margins are straight (vs expanded). Furthermore, the cutting edge is either smooth or only weakly toothed.

Characters 106-110: labrum.
The labrum is considered reduced if its length is shorter than its breadth. The two distal lobes may be symmetrical or asymmetrical, depending upon whether both lobes are reduced or not.

Character 113: distal finger on the labium.
Distally on the outer lobe of the labium (the inner lobe is always absent in the Stegocephalidae), there are usually one or more 'fingers'. These processes are
typically pointed and acute, but may also appear distally blunt, crenulated or bifid.

Characters 151, 152: pereopod 6 basis.
In the traditional classification of the Stegocephalidae, many taxa were classified as possessing an unexpanded (i.e. linear) posterior margin of the basis on pereopod 6. However, close examination of the morphology of most stegocephalid species revealed that many taxa that had been described as possessing an unexpanded basis, did in fact have a rudimentarily expanded basis. Such rudimentary expansion can usually only be seen at high magnification.
Character 193: submarginal setae on the apex of each lobe of the telson.
In species that possess a cleft telson, there is usually one submarginal seta on the apex of each lobe. However, in many stegocephalid species the telson is entire (i.e. not cleft), but they may still possess setae located at about 'the same place', i.e. if the telson had been cleft the setae would have been located submarginally on each lobe. However, in those species that possess a short, cleft and rounded telson (e.g. Andaniotes spp.), the submarginal setae are not located at the very apex of each lobe, but more laterally on the lobes. The presence of these submarginal setae is therefore considered to be a potentially homologous character state.

## RESULTS

The 10000 initial replicates (random addition sequence and 'MulTrees' turned off) resulted in 23 trees with a length of 1353 steps. The final search (which used this tree as a starting point, with 'MulTrees' turned on) produced 48 trees of the same length as the starting tree. In Figure 1 tree \#1 is presented, with a corresponding list of apomorphies in Appendix 3. Character states were optimized using the ACCTRAN option in PAUP*. The strict consensus of these 48 rooted cladograms is shown in Figure 2. In Figure 3, the relationships between the 26 genera are illustrated, with the five junior synonyms indicated after their senior synonym.

According to the topology of the strict consensus tree, the family Stegocephalidae is divided into five major clades, herein treated as subfamilies (Figs 1-3): Andaniexinae, new subfamily; Andaniopsinae, new subfamily; Bathystegocephalinae, new subfamily; Parandaniinae, new subfamily; Stegocephalinae Dana, 1852.

## TAXONOMY

## FAMILY STEGOCEPHALIDAE DANA, 1852

Subfamilies
Andaniexinae, new subfamily; Andaniopsinae, new subfamily; Bathystegocephalinae, new subfamily;

Parandaniinae, new subfamily; Stegocephalinae Dana, 1852.

## Remarks

The family Stegocephalidae consists today of 99 valid described species, but this is possibly a significant underestimation of the true number (see also Discussion). Following both the present revision and a number of papers on Stegocephalidae published in recent years, at least five new and undescribed taxa have been reported (e.g. De Broyer \& Rauschert, 1999: 286; Berge, 2001a), in addition to three new unpublished species (Berge \& Vader, in prep.). In this revision, only one (Austrocephaloides nr . camoti, see below) of these undescribed taxa has been included.

## General morphology

The Stegocephalidae are characterized by their globular body form, partly due to their large and rounded coxae-shield (coxae 1-4). The accessory flagellum on antenna 1 is relatively small and never has more than two articles (the last article is either minute or the articulation between them is lost). The two antennae are subequal in length. The mouthparts are characterized by the absence of both the molar and the palp on the mandible, and the outer plate on the second maxilla is always much narrower than the inner. The basis on pereopod 5 is linear and unexpanded, whereas the basis of pereopod 6 varies between unexpanded and linear to broad and rounded. The telson is flat, but varies between entire and cleft. Gills are generally present on pereopods $2-7$, but a few species of the genus Andaniotes have lost the gills on the last pair of pereopods. Similarly, oostegites are usually present on pereopods $2-5$, but within the genus Andaniotes the oostegites are, in some species, absent on pereopods 4 and/or 5 .

Sexual dimorphism is generally weak, but the propodus of pereopod 2 is generally larger in males than in females. Furthermore, the males of the genus $A n$ daniotes possess a conspicuously enlarged urosome (see below and Berge, 2001a).

## Biology

The Stegocephalidae consist predominantly of true deep-sea species, usually recorded from either the bathyal ( $200-2000 \mathrm{~m}$ ) or abyssal $(2000+)$ zones, but three species have also been recorded from the intertidal zone [Stegocephalina pacis (Bellan-Santini \& Ledoyer, 1974) from the Kerguelen Islands, and Andaniotes corpulentus (Thomson, 1882) and Tetradeion crassum (Chilton, 1883), from New Zealand]. In general they appear to be micro-predators, and are often recorded in association with benthic sessile invertebrates (e.g.

## KEYS TO THE SUBFAMILIES

(A)

|  | M |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Maxilla 2 outer plate present ................................................................................................................ 2 |
| 2. | Maxilla 2 outer plate gaping and geniculate ..................................................................... Stegocephalinae |
|  | Maxilla 2 outer plate not gaping and geniculate |
| 3. | Antenna 2 peduncle article 5 elongate: twice as long as article 4 and conspicuously longer than the entire peduncle on antenna 1 $\qquad$ Parandaniinae |
|  | bination |

4. Mandibular incisor and left lacinia mobilis toothed (incisor sometimes only partly), maxilla 1 palp uniarticulate and short (not exceeding outer plate) ............................................................................ Andaniopsinae Mandibular incisor smooth, maxilla 1 palp well developed ............................................................. Andaniexinae
(B)
5. Telson entire .......................................................................................................................................................... 2

Telson cleft .............................................................................................................................................................. 6
2. Telson longer than broad, rounded ............................................................................................... Stegocephalinae

Telson not longer than broad, triangular and/or weakly pointed ........................................................................ 3
3. Antenna 1 flagellum with more than 10 articles; adult specimens larger than 20 mm ................. Parandaniinae

Antenna 1 flagellum with 4 or 5 articles; adult specimens smaller than 20 mm ................................................ 4
4. Coxa 4 posterior margin concave, pleonites 1-3 usually toothed dorsally ...................................... Andaniexinae

Coxa 4 posterior margin not concave, pleonites smooth .................................................................................... 5
5. Pereopod 6 basis weakly expanded, subrectangular ....................................................................... Andaniopsinae

Pereopod 6 basis broad ( $2 \times$ broader than basis on pereopod 5), rounded ...................................... Andaniexinae
6. Telson longer than broad ....................................................................................................................................... 7

Telson not longer than broad ................................................................................................................................. 8
7. Mandibular incisor coarsely toothed, epistome smooth ................................................................ Stegocephalinae

Mandibular incisor smooth, epistome produced laterally (see Figs 4 \& 5) ...................................... Andaniexinae
8. Pereopod 7 about half the length of pereopod 6; coxae 1-4 conspicuously rounded and deep

Bathystegocephalinae
This combination not present 9
9. Antenna 1 flagellum with more than 10 articles ............................................................................. Parandaniinae

Antenna 1 flagellum with 4 or 5 articles .............................................................................................................. 10
10. Epistomal plate absent ................................................................................................................. Stegocephalinae

Epistomal plate present ....................................................................................................................................... 11
11. Mandibular incisor toothed ............................................................................................................. Andaniopsinae

Mandibular incisor smooth ............................................................................................................... Andaniexinae

Vader, 1984). In contrast to these general aspects of the biology of the stegocephalid species, Barnard \& Karaman (1991:672) noted that "most Stegocephalidae have strongly parasitic mouthparts and most have the globular body form of pelagic hyperiids". No true (obligate) parasitic stegocephalid species have, however, ever been recorded, although many have been found as associates of marine benthic sessile invertebrates. As for the body form resembling that of the pelagic hyperiids, this is mostly true for the nonpelagic species: most pelagic stegocephalids [except Parandania gigantea (Stebbing, 1883) and P. nonhiata (Andres, 1985)] are more elongate and have a more reduced coxae-shield, and thus do not look as globular as the non-pelagic taxa.

Apart from these general aspects, the biology of the stegocephalid species has only been examined briefly,
although some authors have presented extensive research on the feeding biology of some species (mainly Andaniexis abyssi, A. lupus, Andaniopsis nordlandica, Parandania boecki and Stegocephaloides christianiensis; see Moore, 1979; Moore \& Rainbow, 1984, 1992; Coleman, 1990; Moore et al., 1994). For most of the examined species, examination of stomach contents and the presence of ferritin crystals in the gut caeca led the authors to conclude that they were predominantly micro-predators feeding on cnidarians. As the species examined are representatives from four of the five subfamilies (the monotypic Bathystegocephalinae is the only subfamily that is not represented), it seems natural to conclude that this feeding habit may be characteristic for the entire family. There is, however, one genus, Andaniotes (see below), in which the species have regularly been captured in baited traps, and thus


Figure 1. Tree 1 with the internal nodes labelled.


Figure 2. Strict consensus of all 48 most parsimonious trees. Labels on the branches refer to the 26 genera: 1: Andaniexis; 2: Parandaniexis; 3: Mediterexis; 4: Andaniotes; 5: Stegosoladidus; 6: Glorandaniotes; 7: Metandania; 8: Andaniopsis; 9: Steleuthera; 10: Phippsia; 11: Austrophippsia; 12: Tetradeion; 13: Schellenbergia; 14: Pseudo; 15: Stegocephalus; 16: Stegomorphia; 17: Stegocephalina; 18: Austrocephaloides; 19: Stegocephaloides; 20: Stegocephalexia; 21: Alania; 22: Gordania; 23: Bouscephalus; 24: Stegonomadia; 25: Bathystegocephalus; 26: Parandania.


Figure 3. Cladogram describing the relationship between all 26 stegocephalid genera. The five subfamilies are indicated with boxes on the cladogram, and genera considered as junior synonymies are shown as footnotes. On each branch, the Bremer support (decay index) is indicated (branches without a Bremer support number are monotypic genera).
ANDANIEXINAE: KEY TO THE GENERA

1. Telson entire ..... 2
Telson cleft ..... 4
2. Coxa 4 posteriorly concave (e.g. Watling \& Holman, 1980: 650, fig. 26) Parandaniexis
Coxa 4 not posteriorly concave ..... 3
3. Uropod 3 outer ramus 1 -articulate, antenna 1 flagellum 4 -articulate Mediterexis
Uropod 3 outer ramus 2 -articulate, antenna 1 flagellum 5 -articulate ..... Andaniexis
4. Epistomal plate large, conspicuous (Fig 5) ..... 5
Epistomal plate weakly developed ..... 6
5. Antenna 2 peduncle article 4 clearly shorter than 5 Metandania
Antenna 2 peduncle article 4 about as long as 5 Glorandaniotes
6. Urosome and/or uropods 1 or 2 conspicuously enlarged Andaniotes (males only)
Neither urosome nor uropods conspicuously enlarged ..... 7
7. Pereopod 7 similar to pereopod 6 (but usually smaller) ..... Andaniotes
Pereopod 7 clearly differentiated from pereopod 6 ..... 8
8. Coxae 1-4 overlapping each other or antenna 1 conspicuously longer than antenna 2 ..... Stegosoladidus
Coxae 1-4 contiguous and antennae subequal ..... 9
9. Epimeral plate 3 posterior margin straight AndaniotesEpimeral plate 3 posterior margin medially curvedGlorandaniotes
seem to be (or at least be facultatively able to function as) necrophagous species.

## ANDANIEXINAE SUBFAM. NOV.

Type genus. Andaniexis Stebbing, 1906.

## Genera

Andaniexis Stebbing, 1906; Andaniotes Stebbing, 1897; Glorandaniotes Ledoyer, 1986; Mediterexis n.gen; Metandania Stephensen, 1925; Parandaniexis Schellenberg, 1929; Stegosoladidus Barnard \& Karaman, 1987.

## Morphological characteristics

Antennae 1 with 4 or 5 (type) articles. Epistomal plate present, small or large. Epistome laterally produced (type) or smooth. Mandible left lacinia mobilis not distally expanded, incisor transverse and smooth. Maxilla 1 palp powerful. Maxilla 2 not gaping and geniculate, setae on outer plate simple. Labium broad. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly conspicuously expanded. Telson not longer than broad.

## Remarks

The present subfamily is one of the two major clades within the Stegocephalidae, and constitutes most genera with an ordinary maxilla 2. The group is defined by 10 synapomorphies (see Appendix 3, nodes 186 to 156). One of the morphologically most characteristic features of the subfamily is the laterally expanded epistome which is not found anywhere outside the

Andaniexinae (but see Remarks under Stegocephalina). Furthermore, the combination of a transverse and smooth incisor is not found in any of the other subfamilies (Andaniopsis has a transverse but toothed incisor). The laterally produced epistome, however, is not present in the genus Metandania (the sister taxon to the remaining subfamily), and is thus only a synapomorphy for the remaining part of the subfamily (genera Andaniexis, Andaniotes, Glorandaniotes, Mediterexis and Stegosoladidus, and presumably secondarily absent in Parandaniexis).

Andaniexinae is, as defined herein, a morphologically very unified group, although some taxa appear to be more derived than others. This is first of all the case for the two pelagic genera Metandania and Parandaniexis, which have, according to Figure 2, shifted from a hyperbenthic to a pelagic habitat (see also Remarks under the respective genera) independently on two occasions. Secondly, within the genus Stegosoladidus, two species (S. complex and S. simplex) are highly derived, but it is unknown whether this is also correlated with a shift in habitat as for the two pelagic genera mentioned above.

## ANDANIEXIS STEBBING

Andania Boeck, 1871: 128 [homonym, Lepidoptera] Andaniexis Stebbing, 1906: 94 [new name] Andaniexis Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 675 Andaniexis Berge \& Vader, 1997c: 1430 and in press a
Andaniexis Berge, Vader \& Galan, 2001 (part)
Type species. Andania abyssi Boeck, 1871 (selected by Boeck, 1876).

## Species

Andaniexis abyssi (Boeck, 1871); A. americana Berge, Vader \& Galan, 2001; A. andaniexis Berge \& Vader, in press; A. australis K.H. Barnard, 1932; A. elinae Berge \& Vader, in press a; A. gloriosa Berge, Vader \& Galan, 2001; A. gracilis Berge \& Vader, 1997; A. lupus Berge \& Vader, 1997; A. oculata Birstein \& Vinogradov, 1970; A. ollii Berge, De Broyer \& Vader, 2000; A. stylifer Birstein \& Vingradov, 1960; A. subabyssi Birstein \& Vinogradov, 1955. [12 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Antenna 1 flagellum 5-articulate. Epistomal plate present but small, epistome produced laterally, with a long ridge on each side covering the entire epistome. Mandibular incisor transverse, smooth; left lacinia mobilis not powerful. Maxilla 1 palp 2 -articulate, powerful, distally with short robust setae; outer plate with ST arranged in two parallel rows. Maxilla 2 ordinary. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus 2 -articulate. Telson short, entire.

## Remarks

The present genus is defined by only two synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes 112 to 108), a consequence of the close phylogenetic and morphological relationships between this and the two genera Mediterexis and Parandaniexis (see below). Andaniexis is one of the most speciose genera in the Stegocephalidae, with 12 described species, but it is still a morphologically highly unified group. Some taxa that have previously been associated with this genus are here transferred to other genera: A. pelagica, A. spinescens and A. tridentata to Parandaniexis, A. eilae and A. spongicola to Glorandaniotes, and A. mimonectes to Mediterexis (see Remarks under the respective genera). With the exception of $A$. australis (see Berge et al., 2001), the present genus, as defined herein, is identical to what Berge \& Vader (1997c: 1453) called "the abyssi-group".

Three species were not included in the analysis, due to lack of detailed information about the morphology of the mouthparts: A. oculatus, A. stylifer and A. subabyssi. However, their general morphology leaves no doubt that the three species do belong in the present genus (they were all considered part of the "abyssigroup" by Berge \& Vader (1997c: 1453)).

Andaniexis is a widely distributed genus, represented in all geographical zones (Appendix 4) except one: the Mediterranean.

## ANDANIOTES STEBBING

Andaniotes Stebbing, 1897: 30
Andaniotes Stebbing, 1906: 96

Andaniotes Hurley, 1955: 196
Andaniotes Watling \& Holman, 1981: 219
Andaniotes Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 678
Andaniotes Lowry \& Stoddart, 1995:
Andaniotes Berge, 2001a: 788
Not Metandania Stephensen, 1925: 136
Not Glorandaniotes Ledoyer, 1986: 957
Type species. Anonyx corpulentus Thomson, 1882.

## Species

Andaniotes abyssorum (Stebbing, 1888); A. bagabag Lowry \& Stoddart, 1995; A. corpulentus (Thomson, 1882); A. karkar Lowry \& Stoddart, 1995; A. linearis K.H.Barnard, 1930; A. lowryi Berge, 2001a; A. pooh Berge, 2001a; A. poorei Berge, 2001a; A. pseudolinearis Berge, 2001a; A. wallaroo Barnard, 1972; A. wollongong Berge, 2001a. [11 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Antenna 1 flagellum 4-articulate. Epistomal plate present, usually small, epistome produced laterally, with a long ridge on each side covering the entire epistome. Mandibular incisor transverse, smooth; left lacinia mobilis not powerful. Maxilla 1 palp 1 -articulate, powerful, distally with long or short robust setae; outer plate with ST arranged in two parallel rows. Maxilla 2 ordinary. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus 2 -articulate. Telson short, cleft.

## Remarks

Andaniotes was recently revised by Berge (2001a), and its composition of species is herein left totally unchanged.

The present genus is defined by six synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes 128 to 123). The males of the species of this genus possess a conspicuously enlarged urosome, together with an enlarged outer ramus on uropods 2 and/or 3, character states only found within the genus Andaniotes. For a further discussion on the genus, see Berge (2001a).

Andaniotes is a strictly southern taxon, found only in the Southern Hemisphere (mainly in the South Pacific, see Appendix 4).

## GLORANDANIOTES LEDOYER

Glorandaniotes Ledoyer, 1986: 957
Glorandaniotes Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 679
Glorandaniotes Berge \& Vader, in press a
Andaniexis Berge \& Vader, 1997: 1448 (part)
Type species. Glorandaniotes fissicaudata Ledoyer, 1986: 958.

## Species

Glorandaniotes eilae (Berge \& Vader, 1997c); G. fissicaudata Ledoyer, 1986; G. spongicola (Pirlot, 1933); G. sandroi Berge \& Vader, in press a; G. traudlae Berge \& Vader, in press a. [5 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Antenna 1 flagellum 4 -articulate. Epistomal plate present, large or small; epistome not produced laterally. Maxilla 1 palp 1 or 2 -articulate, powerful, distally with short robust setae; outer plate with ST arranged in two parallel rows. Maxilla 2 ordinary. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus 2 articulate. Telson short, cleft.

## Remarks

Berge \& Vader (1997a: 1453) discussed reasons for considering the three species G. eilae, G. fissicaudata and G. spongicola as one group ("spongicola-group"), but did not then transfer them into the same genus, mainly due to the general confusion that surrounded the genera Andaniotes, Glorandaniotes, and Metandania at the time: Barnard \& Karaman (1991: 678-679) considered the three genera as one group, but did not synonymize Glorandaniotes with the other two although they did "not know how to distinguish this [Glorandaniotes] from Andaniotes" (Barnard \& Karaman, 1991b: 679). Contrary to Barnard \& Karaman's (1991b) concept, Berge \& Vader (1997c) hypothesized that, based on the striking similarities in the mouthparts, the spongicola-group was closely related to Andaniexis. Neither hypothesis is confirmed by the present analysis, as Glorandaniotes appears as the sister group to the clade consisting of the five genera Andaniexis, Andaniotes, Parandaniexis, Mediterexis and Stegosoladidus. Glorandaniotes is defined by five synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes 134 to 133).

Glorandaniotes appears to have a rather unusual distributional pattern (see Appendix 4); four of the five species are distributed in either the South Pacific or in the Indian Ocean, whereas the fifth (G. eilae) is only recorded from the North Atlantic (Iceland).

## MEDITEREXIS GEN. NOV.

Andaniexis Ruffo, 1975: 449
Andaniexis Ruffo, 1993: 685
Andaniexis Berge \& Vader, 1997c: 1430 (part)
Type species. Andaniexis mimonectes Ruffo, 1975. Monotypic.

## Short description of the genus

Antenna 1 flagellum 4-articulate. Epistomal plate present but small, epistome produced laterally, with a
long ridge on each side covering the entire epistome. Mandibular incisor transverse, smooth; left lacinia mobilis reduced, conical. Maxilla 1 palp 2-articulate, powerful, distally with short robust setae; outer plate with ST arranged in two parallel rows. Maxilla 2 ordinary. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus 1-articulate. Telson short, entire.

## Etymology

Mediterexis mimonectes is one of only four stegocephalid species (M. mimonectes, Pseudo pseudophippsia, Stegocephaloides barnardi and S. christianiensis) that have been recorded from the Mediterranean, hence the name of the genus.

## Remarks

Mediterexis is herein erected as a monotypic genus for M. mimonectes (Ruffo, 1975), a species that has until present been assigned to the closely related genus Andaniexis. As discussed above, Mediterexis is part of a monophyletic group consisting of Andaniexis, Mediterexis and Parandaniexis (see above), and is morphologically closely related to Andaniexis. These two genera are mainly separated on the absence, in Mediterexis, of an articulation on both the outer ramus on uropod 3 and between articles 1 and 2 on the flagellum of antenna 1. For a further discussion of the genus, see Remarks under Andaniexis and $P a$ randaniexis.

Mediterexis is recorded from the Mediterranean and the North Atlantic (Bay of Biscay only).

## METANDANIA STEPHENSEN

Metandania Stephensen, 1925
Metandania Schellenberg, 1953: 187
Metandania Berge, 2001a: 825
Metandania Berge, 2001c: 213
Andaniotes Barnard, 1969: 441 (part)
Andaniotes Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 678 (part)
Type species. Metandania islandica Stephensen, 1925: 136.

## Species.

Metandania islandica Stephensen, 1925; M. wimi Berge, 2001c. [2 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate large, epistome not produced laterally. Mandibular incisor transverse, smooth; left lacinia mobilis not powerful. Maxilla palp uni-articulate, distally with short robust setae; outer plate ST arranged
in two parallel rows. Maxilla 2 ordinary. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly expanded. Telson short, cleft.

## Remarks

Metandania was put into synonymy with Andaniotes by Barnard (1969: 441), but re-established as a valid taxon by Berge (2001a). Later, a second species, M. wimi Berge, 2001c, was described in the genus. However, as discussed by Berge (2001a), there are reasons to suspect that Stephensen's and Schellenberg's material, both identified as M. islandica, should be considered as representing two different species.

As the genus is defined herein, it consists of two species that, despite their similar morphology, appear to be inhabiting two very different habitats. Metandania wimi is only known from its type locality off Iceland, and was collected, as most other stegocephalid species, in a hyperbenthic sledge (at a depth of 680 m ). The other species, $M$. islandica, appears to be a bathypelagic species, collected down to 7900 m .

Metandania is defined by eight synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes 136 to 135), and is the sister taxon to the remaining subfamily. In contrast to the other genera within the Andaniexinae, Metandania does not possess a laterally produced epistome. For a further discussion of the genus, see Berge (2001a, c).

Metandania is not recorded from outside the North Atlantic.

## PARANDANIEXIS SCHELLENBERG

Parandaniexis Schellenberg, 1929: 197
Parandaniexis Barnard, 1967: 141
Parandaniexis Watling \& Holman, 1980: 651
Parandaniexis Ledoyer, 1986: 958
Parandaniexis Andres, 1977: 64
Andaniexis Ledoyer, 1986: 953 (part)
Andaniexis Berge et al., 2001: 113 (part)
Type species. Parandaniexis mirabilis Schellenberg, 1929.

## Species

Parandaniexis dewitti Watling \& Holman, 1980; P. inermis Ledoyer, 1986; P. mirabilis Schellenberg, 1929; P. pelagica (Berge et al., 2001); P. spinescens (Alcock, 1894); P. tridentata (Ledoyer, 1986). [6 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Antenna 1 flagellum 5-articulate. Epistomal plate absent; epistome smooth. Maxilla 1 palp 2 -articulate, powerful, distally with short robust setae; outer plate with ST arranged in two parallel rows. Maxilla 2
ordinary. Coxa 4 distally concave, pereopod 4 subchelate or simple. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly expanded. Pleonites $1-3$ dorsally smooth or produced. Uropod 3 outer ramus 2 -articulate. Telson short, entire.

## Remarks

Parandaniexis was erected for A. mirabilis by Schellenberg in 1929, based on its subchelate pereopod 4, short and distally concave coxa 4 , elongate pereopods $5 \& 6$, and dorsal teeth on pleonites 1-3. All of these character states are, within the Stegocephalidae, unique for the genus, although all states are not present in all species simultaneously. Three species are herein transferred from Andaniexis to Parandaniexis ( $P$. pelagica, P. spinescens and $P$. tridentata). The three species that are transferred from Andaniexis are identical to the three species that Berge \& Vader (1997c: 1453) named the "tridentata-group".

Parandaniexis is defined by 14 synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes 112 to 111), but is closely related to Andaniexis. Three genera (Andaniexis, Mediterexis and Parandaniexis) together constitute a monophyletic group defined by eight synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes 129 to 113). They all share some striking similarities in the mouthparts (e.g. maxilla 1 and 2), but Parandaniexis is easily separated from the other two due to the highly derived 'external' morphology (e.g. pereopods 4,5 and 6).

The fact that Parandaniexis is highly derived compared to the closely related genera Andaniexis and Mediterexis may be a consequence of adaptations to the pelagic habitat that these species occupy, in contrast to the hyperbenthic habitat of the other two genera. One other related genus within the subfamily Andaniexinae (Metandania) also contains pelagic species (only M. islandica), but according to the cladograms (Fig. 2), the shift from a hyperbenthic to a pelagic habitat has occurred independently. In both these taxa, however, the epistome is smooth (vs laterally produced), in contrast to all other members of the subfamily.

Two other taxa within the family, i.e. the two new subfamilies Bathystegocephalinae and Parandaniinae (see below), also consist entirely of pelagic species, but also their shift to a pelagic habitat seems independent to that of Parandaniexis. This hypothesis of independent shifts is supported by the fact that their adaptations are very different: Parandaniexis has basically lost the globular body form that is typical for most stegocephalid species, and shows a reduced coxaeshield and elongated pereopods 5 and 6 (possibly together with dorsal teeth on the pleonites which can be thought to have a function in stabilizing the direction of movement). The pelagic adaptations found in Parandania and Bathystegocephalus include the retention of the globular body shape and short pereopods

5 and 6, while the body size has increased and they have elongated antennae. However, the globular body shape of these last two groups could be further divided into two different categories: the Parandaniinae seem to resemble more closely the typical stegocephalid species in that the body itself has a conspicuously globular shape. The Bathystegocephalinae, on the other hand, have a relatively slender body, but it is the elongate and conspicuously rounded coxae-shield that accounts for the globular body shape [in contrast, Parandania gigantea (Stebbing, 1883) has actually very short and reduced coxae].

Parandaniexis is a strictly southern taxon (see Appendix 4), with three of the six species distributed in the Indian Ocean ( $P$. inermis, $P$. spinescens and $P$. tridentata), one in the South Pacific ( $P$. mirabilis), one in the Antarctic region ( $P$. dewitti) and one in the South Atlantic (P. pelagica).

## STEGOSOLADIDUS BARNARD \& KARAMAN

Stegosoladidus Barnard \& Karaman, 1987: 869
Stegosoladidus Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 683
Stegosoladidus Berge, 2001b: 596
Type species. Andaniotes simplex K.H. Barnard, 1930.

## Species

Stegosoladidus antarcticus Berge, 2001b; S. complex Berge, 2001b; S. debroyeri Berge, 2001b; S. ingens (Chevreux, 1906); S. simplex (K.H. Barnard, 1930). [5 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Antenna 1 flagellum 4-articulate. Epistomal plate present, usually small, epistome produced laterally, with a long ridge on each side covering the entire epistome. Maxilla 1 palp 1-articulate, powerful, distally with long or short robust setae; outer plate with ST arranged in a pseudocrown. Maxilla 2 ordinary. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus 2 articulate. Telson short, cleft.

## Remarks

Stegosoladidus appears as a well defined clade, with 13 synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes 128 to 127). The genus was recently revised by Berge (2001b), and its composition of species is herein left totally unchanged. For a further discussion on the genus, see Berge (2001b).

Stegosoladidus is a strictly southern taxon (see Appendix 4), found only in the Antarctic (S. antarcticus, $S$. debroyeri and $S$. ingens) or the South Pacific ( $S$. complex and S. simplex).

## ANDANIOPSINAE, SUBFAM. NOV.

Type genus. Andaniopsis Sars, 1891.
Genera. Andaniopsis Sars, 1891; Steleuthera Barnard, 1964.

## Morphological characteristics

Antenna 1 flagellum with 4 articles. Epistomal plate present, usually large. Epistome laterally smooth. Mandible incisor transverse, toothed; left lacinia mobilis broad (distally produced), toothed. Maxilla 1 palp short, uni-articulate, distal setae short and simple; outer plate setae arranged in two parallel rows. Maxilla 2 outer plate not gaping and geniculate, setae distally simple. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly weakly expanded.

## Remarks

The Andaniopsinae appear as an intermediate clade between the derived Stegocephalinae and the more plesiomorphic Andaniexinae: the second maxilla is not gaping and geniculate, but the outer plate is considerably more elongate and narrow than in Andaniexinae. Furthermore, the mandibular incisors are toothed, but the orientation of the incisor is transverse. The Andaniopsinae resemble the Stegocephalinae in the broad and toothed lacinia mobilis and in the laterally unproduced epistome, but the outer plate of the first maxilla (setal-teeth arranged in two parallel rows) and the outer plate of the second maxilla (setae distally without hooks) resemble the character states found in Andaniexinae.

Andaniopsinae are defined by five synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes 185 to 140).

## ANDANIOPSIS SARS

Andania Boeck, 1871: 128
Andaniopsis Sars, 1891: 208
Andaniopsis Stebbing, 1906: 92
Andaniopsis Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 676
Andaniopsis Berge \& Vader, 1997d: 349
Andaniella Sars, 1891: 210 (new synonymy)
Andaniella Stebbing, 1906: 93
Andaniella Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 675
Andaniella Berge \& Vader, 1997d: 348

Type species. Andania nordlandica Boeck, 1871.

## Species

Andaniopsis integripes (Bellan-Santini \& Ledoyer, 1986); A. nordlandica (Boeck, 1871); A. pectinata (Sars, 1883). [3 species.]
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate large, epistome laterally smooth. Mandible incisor transverse, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp short, uni-articulate, distally with short simple setae. Maxilla 2 not gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally simple. Maxilliped palp slender, weakly setose; inner plate with 2 nodular setae. Pereopod 6 basis slender to weakly expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus two-articulate. Telson short, entire.

## Remarks

Andaniella is herein synonymized with Andaniopsis, in order to avoid oversplitting of the clade. The type species of both Andaniella and Andaniopsis were both originally described in Andania, but Sars (1891) erected new genera for both, based primarily on the differences between these two species and the type species of Andania. Andaniopsis pectinata and A. nordlandica resemble each other in the mouthparts, but $A$. pectinata has the dactyli on pereopods 1 and 2 pectinate, the character upon which Sars based his description of Andaniella. Later, Bellan-Santini \& Ledoyer (1986) described Andaniella integripes that does not possess any pectination on the dactyli on pereopods $1 \& 2$. Andaniopsis is defined by four synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes 140 to 138).

Recently, Berge et al. (2001) described a new species assigned to the present genus: Andaniopsis africana. In accordance with the herein proposed phylogeny (Figs 1-3) of the Stegocephalidae, this species is trans ferred to Steleuthera.

One species (A. integripes) is restricted to the South Atlantic (see Appendix 4), whereas the other two species within the genus are widely distributed in both the Arctic and the North Atlantic.

## Steleuthera Barnard

Steleuthera Barnard, 1964: 15
Steleuthera Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 683
Andaniopsis Berge et al., 2001: 117
? 'Unknown genus and species' Barnard, 1967: 150
Type species. Steleuthera maremboca Barnard, 1964.

## Species

Steleuthera africana (Berge et al., 2001); S. maremboca Barnard, 1964. [2 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate large and conspicuous, epistome not produced laterally (convex). Mandible incisor transverse, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp short, uni-articulate, distally with short simple setae. Maxilla 2 ordinary; outer plate setae distally simple. Maxilliped palp slender, weakly setose; inner plate with 1 nodular seta. Pereopod 6 basis rudimentarily expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus 1 or 2 -articulate. Telson short, cleft.

## Remarks

The present genus was erected by Barnard in 1964 for $S$. maremboca, mostly due to the (at the time) supposedly unique combination of the ordinary maxilla 2 (i.e. not gaping and geniculate), the toothed incisor of the mandible, the short and uni-articulate palp of the first maxilla, and the cleft telson. According to the present analysis, S. maremboca should be considered as the sister species to $S$. africana, which is herein transferred to Steleuthera from Andaniopsis.

Steleuthera maremboca is restricted to the South Pacific (see Appendix 4), whereas S. africana is recorded from the South Atlantic (see Appendix 4), possibly also from the North Pacific (Unknown genus and species'; Barnard; 1967: 150).

## BATHYSTEGOCEPHALINAE SUBFAM. NOV.

Type genus. Bathystegocephalus Schellenberg, 1926. Monotypic.

Morphological characteristics and remarks: see under the genus.

## BATHYSTEGOCEPHALUS SCHELLENBERG

Bathystegocephalus Schellenberg, 1926a: 221
Bathystegocephalus Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 678
Bathystegocephalus Berge et al., 2001: 119
Type species. Stegocephalus globosus Walker, 1909: 329. Monotypic.

## Short description of the genus

Antennae elongate. Epistomal plate present. Mandible incisor triangular, toothed; left lacinia mobilis broad and toothed. Maxilla 1 palp uni-articulate, outer plate ST in two parallel rows. Maxilla 2 outer plate absent. Pereopod 6 elongate, basis rudimentarily expanded.

Uropod 3 outer ramus uni-articulate. Telson short and cleft.

## Remarks

The present genus should, according to the strict consensus (Fig. 2), be considered as the type genus of a monotypic new subfamily, and is the sister taxon to the clade consisting of the three subfamilies Andaniexinae, Andaniopsinae and Stegocephalinae. Furthermore, the subfamily consists of only one highly derived species, defined by no less than 15 autapomorphies (see Appendix 3 ); it is the only species within the family in which the outer plate of maxilla 2 is lacking. As a result, it was difficult to assign the genus to either the "ordinary" or "gaping and geniculate" group as discussed by Barnard \& Karaman (1991b) based on the morphology of the second maxilla. Bathystegocephalus globosus is a pelagic species that appears to be restricted to the Indian Ocean, but, as discussed above, it shows some very different adaptations to the pelagic habitat than those found in any of the other pelagic taxa in the family.

Bathystegocephalus is only recorded from the Indian Ocean.

## PARANDANIINAE SUBFAM. NOV.

Type genus. Parandania Stebbing, 1899. Monotypic.
Morphological characteristics and remarks. See under the genus.

## PARANDANIA STEBBING

Parandania Stebbing, 1899: 206
Parandania Stebbing, 1906: 95
Parandania Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 679
Parandania Berge, De Broyer \& Vader, 2000: 223
Euandania Stebbing, 1899: 206 (new synonymy)
Euandania Stebbing, 1906: 97
Euandania Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 678
Euandania Berge, De Broyer \& Vader, 2000: 223
Type species. Andania boecki Stebbing, 1888: 735.

## Species

Parandania boecki (Stebbing, 1888); P. gigantea (Stebbing, 1883); P. nonhiata (Andres, 1985). [3 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate present, epistome not produced laterally. Mandibular incisor transverse, smooth; left lacinia mobilis absent or reduced. Maxilla palp uniarticulate, distally with long setae; outer plate ST arranged in two parallel rows. Maxilla 2 ordinary.

Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly expanded. Telson short, cleft (varies from cleft to entire in two species).

## Remarks

The present genus consists of three species, two of which had been designated the type species of different genera (Euandania and Parandania), and is the sister taxon to the remaining family. To avoid 'over-splitting' of clades within the family, Euandania is herein synonymized with Parandania.
Parandania is a morphologically homogeneous genus, defined by ten synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes 190 to 189). Its species are all very large (compared to other stegocephalid species) and pelagic, with morphological adaptations discussed above (see Remarks under Parandaniexis). In general, the mouthpart morphology is very similar to that of the Andaniexinae, but in contrast to that subfamily, the Parandaniinae do not possess a laterally produced epistome (see Figs 4, 5).

Two of the three species, Parandania boecki and $P$. gigantea, appear to be cosmopolitan (see Appendix 4), whereas the last species ( $P$. nonhiata) seems to be restricted to the Antarctic. It should be noted, however, that the latter species was separated from its sisterspecies $P$. gigantea mainly on two characters, both of which must be considered at best as weak: telson broadly cleft with and absence of a lacinia mobilis on the right mandible. The former has previously been shown to be a highly variable (Watling \& Holman, 1981; Berge \& Vader, 1997b) character in both its congeners, ranging from entire to deeply cleft, whereas the latter is a synapomorphy for the entire family. At present, the only character that seems to separate $P$. gigantea and $P$. nonhiata seems to be the highly asymmetrical labrum in the latter. However, also this distinction may prove to be more apparent than real, as examination of the types of $P$. gigantea show that also this taxon does in fact possess an asymmetrical labrum, although not as conspicuous as in P. nonhiata. Until more material has become available for examination that can shed light on the status of these two taxa, P. nonhiata is herein retained as a valid species (see also Berge et al., 2000).

STEGOCEPHALINAE DANA, 1852
Type genus. Stegocephalus Krøyer, 1842.

## Genera

Alania gen. nov.; Austrocephaloides gen. nov.; Austrophippsia gen. nov.; Bouscephalus gen. nov.; Gordania gen. nov.; Phippsia Stebbing, 1906; Pseudo gen. nov.; Schellenbergia gen. nov.; Stegocephalexia Moore,


Figure 4. SEM photograph of the head of Andaniexis lupus Berge \& Vader, 1997. Arrows: 1, epistome; 2, labrum; 3, mandibles; 4, antenna $1 ; 5$, maxilliped.


Figure 5. SEM photograph of the epistome of Andaniexis lupus Berge \& Vader, 1997. Arrows: 1, laterally produced epistome; 2, epistomal plate (weakly developed); 3, peduncle (articles 1-3) antenna 2; 4, flagellum antenna 1.

1992; Stegocephalina Stephensen, 1925; Stegocephaloides Sars, 1891; Stegocephalus Krøyer, 1842; Stegomorphia gen. nov.; Stegonomadia gen. nov.; Tetradeion Stebbing, 1899.

Morphological characteristics
Epistomal plate variable, epistome usually not produced laterally. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis broad (distally produced), toothed. Max-

## STEGOCEPHALINAE: KEY TO THE GENERA

1. Telson entire ..... 1
Telson cleft ..... 3
2. Telson longer than broad Tetradeion
Telson not longer than broad Stegonomadia
3. Maxilliped palp dactylus bifid, with one pointed and one heavily setose part Austrocephaloides
Maxilliped palp dactylus simple and pointed ..... 5
4. Coxa 1 anteriorly concave Stegomorphia
Coxa 1 anteriorly convex ..... 4
5. Epistomal plate large and conspicuous ..... 6
Epistomal plate absent or rudimentary ..... 8
6. Antenna 2 peduncle articles 3-5 long, geniculate Austrophippsia
Antenna 2 peduncle article 3 short, not geniculate ..... 7
7. Coxa 4 very large: anteriorly concave and reaching beyond pereonite 7 posteriorly, epimeral plate 3 posteriorly pointed or conspicuously serrate Phippsia
Coxa 4 not reaching pereonite 7 posteriorly, epimeral plate 3 rounded, without serrations Austrocephaloides
8. Rostrum well developed and/or antenna 2 flagellum with more than 10 articles ..... Stegocephalus
Rostrum absent or rudimentary, antenna 2 flagellum with no more than 10 articles .....  9
9. Uropod 3 outer ramus 2 -articulate ..... 10
Uropod 3 outer ramus 1 -articulate ..... 14
10. Pereopods 3-6 merus, carpus and propodus anteriorly lacking setae Stegonomadia
Pereopos 3-6 merus, carpus and propodus anteriorly with setae ..... 11
11. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly broad and rounded ..... 12
Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly unexpanded and linear ..... 13
12. Antenna 1 flagellum article about as long as peduncle ..... Stegocephalus
Antenna 1 flagellum article 1 clearly shorter than peduncle Stegocephalexia
13. Antenna 1 flagellum with six or more articles ..... Alania
Antenna 1 flagellum with four or five articles Austrocephaloides
14. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly broad (broader than 1.5 times basis on pereopod 5), rounded ..... 15
Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly unexpanded (or rudimentarily expanded), linear ..... 20
15. Labrum about twice as long as broad, triangular Stegocephalina
Labrum about as long as broad, rounded ..... 16
16. Pereopod 2 ischium elongate (longer than 1.5 times the length of pereopod 1 ischium) ..... 17
Pereopod 2 ischium not elongate ..... 18
17. Maxilla 1 palp two-articulate Stegocephalus
Maxilla 1 palp uni-articulate ..... Alania
18. Both antennae conspicuously longer than the depth of coxa 4 ..... Stegocephalus
Antennae not elongate ..... 19
19. Maxilla 2 inner plate setae rows widely separated ..... Pseudo
Maxilla 2 inner plate setae rows appressed ..... Gordania
20. Uropods 1 and 2 rami about half length of peduncle Bouscephalus
Uropods 1 and 2 rami clearly longer than half length of peduncle ..... 21
21. Epimeral plate 3 posteriorly serrate ..... 22
Epimeral plate 3 posteriorly not serrate ..... 23
22. Labrum twice as long as broad, triangular and pointed Stegocephalina
Labrum about as long as broad, not triangular Schellenbergia
23. Pereopod 7 basis distal margin pointed, basis exceeding merus distally StegocephaloidesPereopod 7 different from above24
24. Antenna 1 flagellum article 1 longer than peduncle Stegocephalina
Antenna 1 flagellum article 1 not longer than peduncle Stegocephalus
illa 1 palp well developed, distal setae long; outer plate setae usually arranged in a pseudocrown. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate, outer plate setae distally with hooks (reduced in some taxa). Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly variable. Telson elongate.

## Remarks

The present subfamily is the largest of the five stegocephalid subfamilies, both in number of species and genera. Furthermore, it does also appear to be the morphologically most variable and heterogeneous, al-
though it is defined by 12 synapomorphies (see Appendix 3). Of these 12 synapomorphies, six are retained unchanged throughout the entire clade: (a) character \#21, mandibular incisor with a lateral orientation; (b) character \#34, setae on palp of the first maxilla with setules; (c) character \#52, maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; (d) characters $114 / 115$, labium distally pointed and with distal finger present; and (e) character 194, telson elongate.

## alania gen. NOV.

Stegocephaloides Berge et al., 2001: 129 (part)
Stegocephalus Hurley, 1956: 28 (part)
Stegocephalus Berge \& Vader, 2001b: 995
Type species. Stegocephaloides calypsonis Berge et al., 2001.

## Species

Alania beringi (Berge \& Vader, 2001b); A. calypsonis (Berge et al., 2001); A. hancocki (Hurley, 1956). [3 species.]

## Etymology

The present genus is named after Prof. Alan Myers (Cork, Ireland), in honour of his valuable and significant contribution to the knowledge of the Amphipoda in general.

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate absent. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp short, uni-articulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally with hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally unproduced, dactylus simple; inner plate with 1 (or without) nodular setae. Pereopod 2 ischium elongate. Pereopod 6 basis slender to broadly expanded. Telson elongate and cleft.

## Remarks

The present genus appears as a morphologically diverse group, although the genus only consists of three species. The type species, A. calypsonis, was first described as belonging to the genus Stegocephaloides, although the morphology of especially the mouthparts differed from those typically found within that genus. Together with its two congeners, both originally described in Stegocephalus, Alania is defined by nine synapomorphies (Fig. 2, nodes $178 \rightarrow 177$; Appendix 3).

Alania is characterized by a relatively short, but powerful, uni-articulate palp on the first maxilla, an elongate inner plate (together with a reduced number
of nodular setae) on the maxilliped, and a coxa 4 very similar to Stegocephalus similis (see below).

The genus is a widely distributed taxon, found in the Arctic (A. beringi), South Atlantic (A. calypsonis) and the North Pacific (A. hancocki).

## AUSTROCEPHALOIDES GEN. NOV.

Stegocephaloides K.H. Barnard, 1916: 129
Stegocephaloides Barnard, 1967: 148
Stegocephaloides Berge \& Vader, in press a (part)
Stegocephaloides Berge et al., 2001 (part)
Type species. Stegocephaloides australis K.H. Barnard, 1916.

## Species

Austrocephaloides australis (K.H. Barnard, 1916); A. boxshalli (Berge, Vader \& Galan, 2001); A. camoti (Barnard, 1967); A. nr. camoti (Berge \& Vader, in press a); A. gunnae (Berge \& Vader, in press a); A. tori (Berge \& Vader, in press a); A. tucki (Berge \& Vader, in press a). [7 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate absent or present, epistome convex (smooth). Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed, 1 -articulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally with hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally weakly produced, inner plate short, distally concave. Pereopod 6 basis weakly to broadly expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus uni- or two-articulate. Telson elongate, cleft.

## Etymology

The name of the genus is in analogy to its strictly southern distribution and to the close relationship between this genus and Stegocephaloides.

## Remarks

All species within Austrocephaloides have previously been assigned to its sister taxon Stegocephaloides (see also Remarks under that genus), which is herein split into two clades. In addition to the 12 species that are allocated to either of these two genera, two additional species, Alania calypsonis and Stegocephalina wagini (see also Remarks under their respective genera), have also been, until now, assigned to Stegocephaloides. Thus, what appeared as a large and diverse group, which was difficult to isolate from other related genera (see e.g. Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 681), is streamlined by first removing the two most derived species
(Stegocephalina wagini and Alania calypsonis). Furthermore, the clade is divided into two different genera; for Stegocephaloides s.s. this seems adequate, as the five species within the genus are morphologically very similar. However, for the present genus, the clade could easily be divided into three genera (see below), but to avoid over-splitting, only two genera are identified.

One taxon is included in the list of species (see above) which has not yet been given any formal scientific name: Austrocephaloides nr . camoti. This species is known by only one specimen, but did show some significant differences from Barnard's description of the species (1967). However, as both these apparently different species are only known from one single specimen each, and since it was not possible to examine Barnard's material, A. nr. camoti was not erected as a valid species (Berg \& Vader, in press).

Austrocephaloides is defined by only two synapomorphies (Fig. 2, nodes $173 \rightarrow 168$; Appendix 3), but the two genera together do constitute a morphologically highly unified group. However, within this genus, the species are more diverse, and easily separated into minor clades. Two of its species, A. australis and A. tucki, possess a conspicuous palp on the maxilliped (see description of e.g. A. tucki in Berge \& Vader, in press), whereas two other species, $A$. gunnae and $A$. tori both possess a large and conspicuous epistomal plate. This character does, however, appear to have evolved independently, as the two taxa do not constitute a monophyletic clade.

Austrocephaloides is restricted to the southern hemisphere, found only in either the South Atlantic or the South Pacific (see Appendix 4).

## AUSTROPHIPPSIA GEN. NOV.

Phippsia Berge \& Vader, 2000 (part)
Type species. Phippsia unihamata Berge \& Vader, 2000. Monotypic.

## Etymology

The name of the genus refers to the strictly Antarctic distribution of this monotypic genus.

## Short description of the genus

Antenna 1 accessory flagellum rudimentary. Epistomal plate present, large and conspicuous. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed, twoarticulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally with hooks (single). Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally conspicuously produced, inner plate with 4 nodular setae. Pereopod 6 basis
weakly expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus two-articulate. Telson elongate, rounded and cleft.

## Remarks

The present genus is part of a monophyletic clade, consisting of the four genera Austrophippsia, Phippsia, Schellenbergia and Tetradeion. In addition to the eight species represented in the cladograms (Figs 1-3), this clade also consists of two additional species Schellenbergia pacifica and Tetradeion latus (see Remarks under their respective genera).
Recently, Berge and Vader (2000) published a revision of the two genera Phippsia and Tetradeion, mainly on the basis of new extensive material from the Southern Hemisphere. In that paper, they argued that the ten species (herein found in the four above mentioned genera), should be considered as closely related, but did not, pending this family revision, erect any new genera.

Austrophippsia is erected as a monotypic genus to encompass $P$. unihamata, due to its position 'between' the genera Phippsia and Tetradeion. Austrophippsia possesses a cleft telson and oval labrum, characters that are shared with both Phippsia and Schellenbergia. However, the rudimentary accessory flagellum on the first antenna, and the long and geniculate peduncle on the second antenna, are characters shared with the more derived Tetradeion. Thus, both in terms of morphology and phylogeny, the present genus appears as a 'transition' clade between the derived Tetradeion and the more plesiomorphic genera Phippsia and Schellenbergia.
Austrophippsia is a strictly Antarctic genus.

## BOUSCEPHALUS GEN. NOV.

Stegocephalopsis Moore, 1992: 930 (part)
Stegocephalopsis Berge and Vader, 2001: 994 (part)
Type species. Stegocephalopsis mamillidacta Moore, 1992. Monotypic.

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate present, inconspicuous. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp short but powerful, uni-articulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally with hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally not produced, slender, inner plate with 2 nodular setae. Pereopod 6 basis unexpanded, rectangular. Telson elongate, cleft.

## Etymology

To acknowledge both the quality and quantity of the taxonomic work on amphipods that Dr Ed Bousfield
has carried out, especially in the North Pacific, this North Pacific genus is named after him.

## Remarks

Bouscephalus mamillidacta (Moore, 1992) was originally described in the genus Stegocephalopsis, but both Moore (1992) and Berge and Vader (2001) noted that its morphology did not suggest any close phylogenetic relationships with the type species of Stegocephalopsis, S. ampulla (Phipps, 1774). In general, B. mamillidacta appears to be a rather derived species (17 autapomorphies, see Appendix 3). Thus, the allocation of the species to the genus Stegocephalopsis followed the general pattern, as identified by Barnard \& Karaman (1991: 681), of treating Stegocephalopsis as a catch-all genus. The mouthparts of $B$. mamillidacta show some striking similarities to the relatively closely related genus Stegonomadia, but coxae 1-4 suggests that it is more closely related to Phippsia.

The genus is restricted to the North Pacific.

## GORDANIA GEN. NOV.

Phippsiella Stephensen, 1925: 131 (part)
Phippsiella Barnard, 1967: 144 (part)
Phippsiella Berge \& Vader, 1997d (part)
Type species .Phippsiella minima Stephensen, 1925.

## Species.

Gordania minima (Stephensen, 1925); G. pajarella (Barnard, 1967). [2 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate absent. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed, two-articulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally with or without hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally unproduced, dactylus simple; inner plate with 2 nodular setae. Pereopod 2 ischium not elongate. Pereopod 6 basis broadly expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus uni-articulate. Telson short to elongate, pointed and cleft.

## Etymology

The genus is named after Dr Gordan Karaman, who during many years has poured out his numerous 'Contributions to the study of the Amphipoda'.

## Remarks

The present genus is defined by nine synapomorphies (Fig. 2, nodes $180 \rightarrow 179$; Appendix 3), and consists of
two minute species ( $<2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Both species in the genus, G. minima and G. pajarella, were originally described in the genus Phippsiella. However, Steele (1967a) pointed out that immature specimens of Stegocephalus inflatus were very similar to G. minima, and that erroneous identifications of this kind had previously been published (Shoemaker, 1931). Although their general morphology, for example, is very close to Stegocephalus inflatus, the two congeners are best characterized by their unique arrangement of ST on the outer plate of the first maxilla. The ST are arranged in two parallel rows containing only six ST, as both ST A \& B are absent, in addition to the absence of one ST in the first row.

Gordania is restricted to the Northern Hemisphere, with one species found in the North Atlantic (G. minima) and the other in the North Pacific.

## PHIPPSIA STEBBING

Aspidopleurus Sars, 1891: 203 (homonym, Pisces)
Phippsia Stebbing, 1906: 89 (new name)
Phippsia Schellenberg, 1925: 197
Phippsia Stephensen, 1925: 133
Phippsia Berge \& Vader, 2000 (part)
Phippsia Berge, Vader \& Galan, 2001: 120
Type species. Stegocephalus gibbosus Sars, 1883.

## Species.

Phippsia gibbosa (Sars, 1883); P. nemeri Schellenberg, 1925. [2 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Antenna 1 accessory flagellum well developed. Epistomal plate present, large and conspicuous. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed, two-articulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally with double hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally conspicuously produced, inner plate with 2 or 4 nodular setae. Pereopod 6 basis not expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus two-articulate. Telson elongate, rounded and cleft.

## Remarks

Based on the phylogeny as proposed herein, the present genus should only include the two species $P$. gibbosa and $P$. roemeri. Recently Berge \& Vader (2000) revised the two sister taxa Phippsia and Tetradeion, and included four other species in the genus Phippsia: Tetradeion angustipalpa, Tetradeion dampieri (Berge \& Vader, 2000), Austrophippsia unihamata (Berge \&

Vader, 2000) and Schellenbergia vanhoeffeni (Schellenberg, 1926b). All are herein transferred to the three closely related genera Austrophippsia, Schellenbergia and Tetradeion (see below). These four genera (Phippsia, Austrophippsia, Schellenbergia and Tetradeion) constitute a monophyletic group, defined by eight synapomorphies (Fig. 1, nodes $158 \rightarrow 147$; Appendix 3), whereas the genus Phippsia is defined by seven synapomorphies (Fig. 1, nodes $146 \rightarrow 141$; Appendix 3).
As defined herein, Phippsia is a small and morphologically unified clade, although both species are easily separated on the shape of coxa 4: $P$. gibbosa has a very elongate posterior lobe, and with the distal (ventral) margin straight, whereas $P$. roemeri does not possess an equally elongate posterior lobe, and, more importantly, has the entire distal margin of the coxal plate curved (and thus with a coxae-shield similar to that of Bathystegocephalus globosus).
The genus is restricted to the Northern Hemisphere, with P. gibbosa and P. roemeri found only in the Arctic and the North Atlantic (not south of Norway).

## PSEUDO GEN. NOV.

Phippsiella Barnard, 1967: 146 (part)
Phippsiella Bellan-Santini, 1985: 296 (part)
Phippsiella Ruffo, 1993: 687 (part)
Phippsiella Berge \& Vader, 1997d (part)
Type species. Phippsiella pseudophippsia Bellan-Santini, 1985.

## Species

Pseudo bioice (Berge \& Vader, 1997d); P. pseudophippsia (Bellan-Santini, 1985); P. viscaina (Barnard, 1967). [3 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate absent. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed, 1- or 2-articulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate, outer plate setae distally with hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally weakly produced. Pereopod 6 basis conspicuously expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus uni-articulate. Telson elongate, cleft.

## Etymology

The name refers to the impression that the morphology of the genus seems, at least for the mouthparts of its type species, as if designed by a committee; inner plate of the maxilliped similar to Stegocephalus similis, palp
similar to Phippsia, second maxilla similar to Stegocephaloides and the first maxilla similar to Stegocephalus inflatus. In addition, the name of $P$. pseudophippsia was assigned by analogy of the close resemblance of the maxilliped palp between this species and the genus Phippsia.

## Remarks

All the three species that are assigned to Pseudo have initially been assigned to Phippsiella (herein put in synonymy with Stegocephalus), but constitute a monophyletic group of their own (defined by four synapomorphies, see Fig. 2, nodes $156 \rightarrow 149$; Appendix 3).

Pseudo is a strictly northern taxon (see Appendix 4), with one species recorded from the North Atlantic ( $P$. bioice), one from the Mediterranean (P. pseudophippsia) and one from the North Pacific (P. viscaina).

## SCHELLENBERGLA GEN. NOV.

Stegocephaloides Schellenberg, 1926b: 299 (part)
Stegocephaloides K.H. Barnard, 1930: 328
Stegocephalopsis Barnard \& Karaman, 1991:681 (part)
Phippsia Gurjanova, 1951: 295 (part)
Phippsia Berge \& Vader, 2000
Type species. Stegocephaloides vanhoeffeni Schellenberg, 1926b: 299.

## Species.

Schellenbergia pacifica (Bulycheva, 1952); S. vanhoeffeni (Schellenberg, 1926b). [2 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate absent. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed, uni-articulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally with hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally weakly produced, inner plate with 2 nodular setae. Pereopod 6 basis weakly expanded. Epimeral plate 3 posteroventral corner strongly serrate. Uropod 3 outer ramus uni-articulate. Telson elongate, cleft.

## Etymology

The present genus is named after the late Prof. A. Schellenberg, who described its type species.

## Remarks

The type species of the present genus, $S$. vanhoeffeni, has previously been assigned to three other genera: Stegocephaloides, Stegocephalopsis and Phippsia. This
flux in generic status can best be explained by the lack of a detailed description, especially of the mouthparts, of this taxon, until Berge \& Vader (in press a,b) recently published a redescription, and could thereby argue for its close relationship to Phippsia and Tetradeion. However, pending the revision of the family presented herein, they provisionally assigned $S$. vanhoeffeni to Phippsia.

Schellenbergia is the sister taxon to the three genera Austrophippsia, Phippsia and Tetradeion, and consists of two species: S. pacifica and S. vanhoeffeni. Due to the limited descriptions that are available of $S$. pacifica, this species was omitted from the matrix. However, based on the morphology of the maxilliped (which is, according to the cladograms, a reliable indicator of phylogenetic relationships within this clade of four related genera), labrum and telson, it is assigned as the sister taxon to $S$. vanhoeffeni. In addition to these characters, both species within this genus possess a large and well developed pereopod 7. In the other three closely related genera, pereopod 7 is considerably smaller than the preceding pereopods (in the most derived genus, Tetradeion, two of its species have a reduced number of articles on pereopod 7). Secondly, and possibly equally important, is the fact that the genus Stegocephalopsis, as defined by Barnard \& Karaman (1991b: 681) with six species, has through the present analysis become totally redundant. None of the five species (two species were synonymized by Berge \& Vader, in press b) are even thought to belong to the same genus, thereby creating the need to find a suitable genus also for this relatively poorly known species. However, as the present species is far from sufficiently described, and as no material has been available, the allocation of S. pacifica to Schellenbergia must be regarded at best as a qualified guess.

Schellenbergia is restricted to the Southern Hemisphere; both species are found in the South Pacific, whereas $S$. vanhoeffeni has also been recorded from the Antarctic (see Appendix 4).

## STEGOCEPHALEXIA MOORE

Stegocephalexia Moore, 1992: 927
Stegocephalexia Berge \& Vader, 2001: 989
Type species. Stegocephalexia penelope Moore, 1992. Monotypic.

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate absent. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed, uni-articulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally without hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally weakly produced, inner plate
with nodular setae. Pereopod 6 basis conspicuously expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus two-articulate. Telson elongate, pointed cleft.

## Remarks

Moore (1992) assigned S. penelope as type species for the monotypic genus Stegocephalexia, but without any thorough discussion of its relationship to other stegocephalid genera. Recently, Berge \& Vader (in press b), in their survey of North Pacific stegocephalid species, discussed the relationships between $S$. penelope and Alania hancocki. However, the present analysis does not support the hypothesis of a close phylogenetic relationship between these two species, although their respective genera (Stegocephalexia and Alania) appear to be relatively closely related (see also Berge \& Vader, in press b).

Stegocephalexia is a strictly northern taxon (see Appendix 4), with its single species recorded only from the North Pacific.

## STEGOCEPHALINA STEPHENSEN

Stegocephalina Stephensen, 1925: 134
Stegocephalina Berge \& Vader, 1997b: 350 (part)
Stegocephalina Berge, 2001c
Stegophippsiella Bellan-Santini \& Ledoyer, 1974: 694 (new synonymy)
Stegocephaloides Berge \& Vader, 1997a: 326 (part)
Type species. Stegocephalina ingolfi Stephensen, 1925.

## Species

Stegocephalina ingolfi Stephensen, 1925; S. pacis (Bellan-Santini \& Ledoyer, 1974); S. trymi Berge, 2001c; S. wagini (Gurjanova, 1936). [4 species].

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate absent, epistome laterally produced. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed, 1- or 2 -articulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally without (type) or with hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally unproduced, inner plate long and rectangular. Pereopod 6 basis expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus uniarticulate. Telson elongate, cleft.

## Remarks

The composition of Stegocephalina has changed dramatically during the last few years: Stephensen first erected the genus to include only its type species, but Berge \& Vader (1997b) assigned three other species (S. biofar, S. idae and S. katalia) to the genus, although
they all showed some significant differences from the type species. Later, a fifth species ( $S$. trymi) was assigned to the genus by Berge (2001c). Herein, the three species first added to the genus are transferred to a new genus (Stegonomadia, see below), while two other species (Stegocephaloides wagini and Stegophippsiella pacis) are transferred into Stegocephalina; this leaves the number of species in the genus at four. Berge (2001c) considered that $S$. wagini was closely related to both $S$. ingolfi and $S$. trymi, but did not then transfer it into the same genus pending the present revision.

The present genus is characterized by its elongate mouthparts, although these are only weakly developed in S. wagini. Still more characteristic is the laterally produced epistome, which is clearly different from the produced epistome found in the subfamily Andaniexinae. The epistome of the present genus is triangular and short, and can thus be seen to support the hypothesis indirectly presented in Figures 1-3; i.e. that the laterally produced epistome has evolved independently more than once. Stegocephalina is defined by ten synapomorphies (Fig. 1, nodes $162 \rightarrow 161$; Appendix 3).

One species is recorded only from the Antarctic region ( $S$. pacis), whereas the other three species are distributed in the North or South Atlantic (see Appendix 4).

## STEGOCEPHALOIDES SARS

Stegocephaloides Sars, 1891: 201
Stegocephaloides Stebbing, 1906: 91
Stegocephaloides K.H. Barnard, 1916: 128 (part)
Stegocephaloides Stephensen, 1925: 133 (part)
Stegocephaloides Schellenberg, 1925: 200 (part)
Stegocephaloides Gurjanova, 1951: 300
Stegocephaloides Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 681 (part)
Stegocephaloides Berge \& Vader, 1997a: 326 (part)
Stegocephaloides Berge \& Vader, in press a (part)
Stegocephaloides Berge et al., 2001 (part)
Type species. Stegocephalus christianiensis Boeck, 1871.

Species.
Stegocephaloides attingens K.H.Barnard, 1916; S. auratus (Sars, 1883); S. barnardi Berge \& Vader, 1997; S. christianiensis (Boeck, 1871); S. ledoyeri Berge et al., 2001. [5 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate absent, epistome convex (smooth). Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed, 1-articulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and
geniculate; outer plate setae distally with hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally weakly produced, inner plate short, distally concave. Pereopod 6 basis weakly to rudimentarily expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus uni-articulate. Telson elongate, cleft.

## Remarks

Stegocephaloides was previously one of the most speciose genera within the family Stegocephalidae, but is herein split up into two different genera, see Remarks under Austrocephaloides. As treated herein, Stegocephaloides consists of five morphologically unified species, but the genus is defined by only four synapomorphies (Fig. 2, nodes $173 \rightarrow 172$; Appendix 3). Like all four genera within this clade (see above), Stegocephaloides is mainly characterized by the morphology of the maxilliped, coxa 4 and pereopods 6 and 7. Due to the close relationships, both in terms of morphology and phylogeny, between these four genera, there are only a few synapomorphies that separate them from each other.
Stegocephaloides is a widely distributed genus, but is not recorded in the Pacific and the Antarctic region (see Appendix 4).

## STEGOCEPHALUS KRØYER

Stegocephalus Krøyer, 1842: 150
Stegocephalus Boeck, 1872: 420
Stegocephalus Sars, 1891: 197
Stegocephalus Stebbing, 1906: 90
Stegocephalus Brüggen, 1909: 14
Stegocephalus Stephensen, 1925: 128
Stegocephalus Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 682 (part)
Phippsia Stebbing, 1906: 89 (part)
Phippsiella Schellenberg, 1925: 200 (new synonymy)
Phippsiella Stephensen, 1925: 130
Phippsiella Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 680
Phippsiella Berge \& Vader, 1997d: 1502
Phippsiella Berge, De Broyer \& Vader, 2000: 226
Phippsiella Berge, Vader \& Galan, 2001: 121
Stegocephalopsis Schellenberg, 1925: 200 (new synonymy)
Stegocephalopsis Stephensen, 1925: 132
Stegocephalopsis Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 681
Stegocephalopsis Berge \& Vader, 1997b: 361
Type species. Stegocephalus inflatus Krøyer, 1842 [Boeck (1872: 421) named Cancer ampulla Phipps, 1774 as type species of the genus, as he synonymized S.inflatus with S. ampulla].

## Species

Stegocephalus abyssicola (Oldevig, 1959); S. ampulla (Phipps, 1774); S. cascadiensis (Moore, 1992); S. inflatus Krøyer, 1842; S. kergueleni (Schellenberg,

Table 1. Generic status of species that have traditionally been considered as belonging to the three genera Phippsiella, Stegocephalopsis and Stegocephalus; a comparison between the herein presented classification, their original generic position and the classification in Barnard \& Karaman (1991)

| Species | Original generic placement | Classification according to Barnard |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bouscephalus mamillidacta | Stegocephalopsis | \& Karaman (1991) |
| Calypso beringi | Stegocephalus | - |
| Calypso hancocki | Stegocephalus | - |
| Gordania minima | Phippsiella | Stegocephalus |
| Gordania pajarella | Phippsiella | Phippsiella |
| Pseudo bioice | Phippsiella | Phippsiella |
| Pseudo pseudophippsia | Phippsiella | Phippsiella |
| Pseudo viscaina | Phippsiella | Phippsiella |
| Schellenbergia vanhoeffeni | Stegocephaloides | Phippsiella |
| Stegocephalus abyssicola | Phippsiella | Stegocephalopsis |
| Stegocephalus ampulla | Cancer | Phippsiella |
| Stegocephalus cascadiensis | Phippsiella | Stegocephalopsis |
| Stegocephalus inflatus | Stegocephalus | - |
| Stegocephalus kergueleni | Phippsiella | Stegocephalus |
| Stegocephalus longicornis | Phippsiella | Phippsiella |
| Stegocephalus nipoma | Phippsiella | Phippsiella |
| Stegocephalus rostrata | Phippsiella | Phippsiella |
| Stegocephalus similis | Stegocephalus | Phippsiella |
| Stegomorphia watlingi | Phippsiella | Phippsiella |

1926b); S. longicornis (Gurjanova, 1962); S. nipoma (Barnard, 1961); S. rostrata (K.H. Barnard, 1932); S. similis (Sars, 1891). [9 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate absent. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally with hooks (absent in S. ampulla). Pereopod 6 basis conspicuously expanded. Telson elongate, cleft.

## Remarks

Two genera, Phippsiella Schellenberg, 1925 and Stegocephalopsis Schellenberg, 1925, are herein put into synonymy with the older Stegocephalus. However, some species that have usually been treated, e.g. by Barnard \& Karaman (1991b: 680-682), as belonging to either Phippsiella, Stegocephalopsis or Stegocephalus are herein reallocated to six different (in addition to the present genus) genera: Bouscephalus, Alania, Gordania, Pseudo, Schellenbergia and Stegomorphia (see Table 1).

As treated herein, Stegocephalus includes nine species, and is defined by five synapomorphies (Fig. 1, nodes $156 \rightarrow 155$; Appendix 3 ), but the genus is partly
unresolved internally. Due to their highly similar morphology, and to the difficulties of assigning the insufficiently described species (Phippsiella kergueleni and $P$. longicornis) that were deleted from the analysis to either of the clades, all nine species are herein treated as belonging to the same genus.

As discussed above, the classification within the subfamily Stegocephalinae has been both in a state of flux and highly uncertain. One reason for this may be, as is evident from the cladograms, that the three species that have been assigned as types of the three oldest genera (Phippsiella, Stegocephalus and Stegocephalopsis), are in fact very closely related: S. ampulla and $S$. inflatus (type species of Stegocephalus and Stegocephalopsis, respectively) are herein considered as sister taxa). Hence, as the traditional classification of the Stegocephalidae has always been sought to be preserved, artificial generic differences have been created, with the natural consequence of a highly cloudy and uncertain classification. The two most important characters that have been used to separate these and closely related genera are (1) number of articles on the maxilla 1 palp, and (2) shape of basis on pereopod 6. Both these characters have, through the present analysis and through close examination of most stegocephalid species, proved to be inappropriate: the basis on the sixth pereopod is usually rudimentarily
expanded, also in taxa that have been characterized as possessing an unexpanded basis, and the articulation of the palp of the first maxilla shows a very high level of homoplasy.

One of the main characteristics of the species in the present genus, compared with most other stegocephalid genera, is their large body size. Stegocephalus ampulla is, together with Parandania gigantea (see above), the largest known stegocephalid species, growing up to 60 mm . Furthermore, Steele (1967a,b) showed that the type species of the genus is a protandric hermaphrodite. As this particular life-history trait has not been examined for any of its congeners, it is possible that this character may be present also in other closely related taxa.
Stegocephalus is a widely distributed genus (see Appendix 4), but its distribution appears to be biased towards the Northern Hemisphere: six of the nine species are found in the Arctic or the northern regions of either the Atlantic or the Pacific. Two species are restricted to the Antarctic, and one species is not recorded from outside the South Atlantic.

## STEGOMORPHIA GEN. NOV.

Phippsiella Berge et al., 2000; 226 (part)
Type species. Phippsiella watlingi Berge et al., 2000. Monotypic.

## Short description of the genus

Antenna 2 peduncle article 4 curved. Epistomal plate absent, epistome convex (smooth). Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed, uniarticulate. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate, outer plate setae distally with hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally unproduced, inner plate with 2 nodular setae. Coxa 1 anteriorly concave. Pereopod 6 basis conspicuously expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus uni-articulate. Telson elongate, cleft.

## Etymology

The name of the genus refers to its highly derived morphology.

## Remarks

Stegomorphia is a monotypic genus, characterized mainly by the conspicuous morphology of antenna 2 , coxa 1 , and merus on pereopods 3 and 4, all characters that separate it from all other known stegocephalid taxa. The genus is characterized by 13 autapomorphies (Fig. 1, nodes $157 \rightarrow$ watlingi; Appendix 3), and is the sister taxon to the large genus Stegocephalus.

Stegomorphia is only recorded from the Antarctic region.

## STEGONOMADIA GEN. NOV.

Stegocephaloides Barnard, 1962: 40
Stegocephalopsis Barnard \& Karaman, 1991:681 (part)
Stegocephalina Berge \& Vader, 1997b: 350 (part)
Type species. Stegocephalina biofar Berge \& Vader, 1997b.

## Species

S. biofar (Berge \& Vader, 1997b); S. idae (Berge \& Vader, 1997b); S. katalia (Barnard, 1962). [3 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate present, epistome not produced laterally. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp short, uni-articulate, distally with short simple setae. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally simple or cleft. Maxilliped palp slender, weakly setose; inner plate with 2 nodular setae. Pereopod 6 basis weakly expanded. Uropod 3 outer ramus 2 -articulate. Telson long or short, cleft.

## Etymology

The name refers to the unstable classification of the genus' species; Stegonomadia katalia (Barnard, 1962) has been assigned to four different genera since it was described in 1962 by J.L. Barnard.

## Remarks

According to the cladogram presented herein (Fig. 2), Stegonomadia is the sister taxon to the remaining subfamily. The species of this genus do, in contrast to the remaining subfamily, possess setae on the outer plate of the second maxilla that are neither hooked nor distally bent (but see also Discussion below), although the outer plate itself is truly gaping and geniculate.

All three species of the present genus were provisionally placed in the genus Stegocephalina by Berge \& Vader (1997b), despite the fact that they are all "morphologically very distinct from the type species of this genus [i.e. of Stegocephalina]" (Berge \& Vader 1997b: 354). Stegonomadia is defined by ten synapomorphies (see Fig. 1 and Appendix 3, nodes $184 \rightarrow 183$ ), and is, compared with the other species within the subfamily, highly derived. This can first of all be seen in the mouthparts, where many of the different setae-groups (as identified by Berge, 2001b) are totally absent. As a consequence, the phylogenetic
position of the present genus could be somewhat misleading, as many characters necessarily had to be scored as 'inapplicable' for the three species.

One species ( $S$. katalia) is restricted to the South Atlantic (see Appendix 4), whereas the other two species are distributed in the Arctic and the North Atlantic.

## TETRADEION STEBBING

Tetradeion Stebbing, 1899: 207
Tetradeion Chilton, 1924
Tetradeion K.H. Barnard, 1930: 329
Tetradeion Hurley, 1955: 197
Tetradeion Barnard, 1972: 155
Tetradeion Barnard \& Karaman, 1991: 683
Tetradeion Berge \& Vader, 2000
Phippsia Berge \& Vader, 2000 (part)
Type species. Cyproidea crassa Chilton, 1883.

## Species

Tetradeion angustipalpa (Berge \& Vader, 2000); T. crassum (Chilton, 1883); T. dampieri (Berge \& Vader, 2000); T. quatro Berge \& Vader, 2000; T. latum (Haswell, 1879). [5 species.]

## Short description of the genus

Epistomal plate present, usually conspicuous. Mandible incisor lateral, toothed; left lacinia mobilis powerful, toothed, distally produced. Maxilla 1 palp well developed. Maxilla 2 gaping and geniculate; outer plate setae distally with hooks. Maxilliped palp article 2 inner margin distally produced. Pereopod 6 basis slender to weakly expanded. Telson elongate, rounded and entire.

## Remarks

The present genus was erected by Stebbing (1899: 207) to encompass T. crassum based primarily on its strongly reduced seventh pereopod (only two articles present). The genus remained monotypic until Berge \& Vader (2000) assigned a second species to the genus: T. quatro, which also has a strongly reduced seventh pereopod, but with four articles present. Berge \& Vader (2000) acknowledged the obvious and close relationship to Phippsia, but, pending the present revision, retained Tetradeion to encompass only those species with a reduced pereopod 7 .

Herein, three other species are transferred to $T e$ tradeion: Phippsia angustipalpa, $P$. dampieri and Stegocephalopsis latus. The last of these species was not included in the analysis, due to insufficient morphological knowledge of the species. Tetradeion latum was originally described as Stegocephalus latus, but
was later transferred to Stegocephalopsis by Barnard \& Karaman (1991b: 682). The reasons for doing so were not given, but Stegocephalopsis was treated as a 'catch all' genus, encompassing six highly diverse and generally insufficiently known species. Based on the following characters: (1) eyes present (small, round and conspicuous), (2) morphology of coxa 1-4, and (3) the reduced pereopod 7, the species is transferred to Tetradeion. However, the species remains one of the poorest known stegocephalid species, and its allocation to the present genus may prove to be erroneous in the future.

Tetradeion is defined by ten synapomorphies (Fig. 1 , nodes $145 \rightarrow 144$; Appendix 3 ), and is part of a clade consisting of three other genera: Austrophippsia, Phippsia and Schellenbergia (see also above). In contrast to these three genera, the species of Tetradeion all possess an entire telson, in addition to a long and geniculate peduncle on the second antenna.

Tetradeion is a strictly southern genus (see Appendix 4), recorded only from either the Antarctic (T. crassum), the South Pacific (T. angustipalpa, T. crassum, T. latum and T. quatro) or the Indian Ocean (T. dampieri).

## DISCUSSION

Nine species were excluded from the analysis of the ingroup (family Stegocephalidae) due to lack of suitable knowledge about their morphology, especially their mouthparts: Andaniexis oculatus Birstein \& Vinogradov, 1970, A. spinescens (Alcock, 1894), A. stylifer Birstein \& Vinogradov, 1960, A. subabyssi Birstein \& Vinogradov, 1955, Parandaniexis inermis Ledoyer, 1986, P. kergueleni Schellenberg, 1926b, P. longicornis Gurjanova, 1962, Stegocephalopsis latus (Haswell, 1879), and S. pacifica (Bulycheva, 1952). The generic status of some of the species listed above seems rather obvious: Andaniexis oculatus, A. stylifer and A. subabyssi all belong to the genus Andaniexis, Andaniexis spinescens and Parandaniexis inermis belong to Parandaniexis, whereas Phippsiella kergueleni and $P$. longicornis are transferred to Stegocephalus (see also Remarks under the respective genera). The generic status of the remaining two is, unfortunately, not so easy to assess, but they are here transferred to Tetradeion and Austrophippsia, respectively (see Remarks under these genera for a further discussion).

The present revision aims at providing a revised classification based entirely upon the presented phylogenetic analysis, which follows a thorough morphological examination of most of the species within the family. The phylogenetic relations and morphological features have been discussed under each genus (see above), and will thus not be discussed here. The general pattern, however, needs to be examined more closely. Until now, the Stegocephalidae have been divided into
two major groups: with or without a gaping and geniculate second maxilla. At the generic level, the number of articles on the palp of the first maxilla and the shape of the basis of pereopod 6 were significant characters. According to the results presented herein, the separation of the family into two major groups is only partially supported. One group, the Andaniexinae, consists of most taxa possessing an ordinary outer plate of maxilla 2, and the Stegocephalinae consist of all taxa from the gaping and geniculate group.
The three remaining smaller subfamilies, the Andaniopsinae, Bathystegocephalinae and Parandaniinae, consist of taxa from the 'ordinary' group, although the absence of an outer plate on the second maxilla in the Bathystegocephalinae makes it difficult to assign its only species, Bathystegocephalus globosus, to either of the two groups (i.e. 'ordinary' or 'gaping and geniculate'). According to the cladograms, the gaping and geniculate maxilla 2 is thus a global synapomorphy for the Stegocephalinae, as this character state is not found in any other taxa, either within or outside the Stegocephalidae. The species in the genus Stegonomadia all possess a gaping and geniculate maxilla 2, but none of the species shows the distal hooks that are characteristic of most of the members of the Stegocephalinae. It is suggested here that a transition state between distally unhooked and hooked setae can be identified within the genus Stegonomadia, as $S$. idae (the sister taxon to the rest of the genus, and thus possibly with most plesiomorphic characters) possesses setae on the outer plate that are deeply and conspicuously cleft distally (see Berge \& Vader, 1997b: 375 fig. 4). By imagining that these setae were subsequently curved distally, it is possible to envisage a transformation from straight and unhooked setae to setae with distal hooks. Some species within this subfamily appear secondarily to have lost these hooks, but all those species have their setae sharply curved distally into what could be interpreted as the remains of the distal hooks.

There is, however, one other appendage that, according to the strict consensus, appears to be equally important for higher level classification, viz. the left mandible. In both the Andaniopsinae and Stegocephalinae, all taxa possess a distally expanded and toothed lacinia mobilis, in addition to the lateral orientation of the incisor. In the Andaniexinae, on the other hand, the taxa are characterized by a transverse incisor, and a rectangular or conical lacinia mobilis that is either smooth or only weakly toothed. As in the second maxilla, there is also one exception to the general rule for the mandible: Bathystegocephalus globosus has a toothed and triangular incisor, which is thus not similar to any of the other stegocephalid subfamilies, but it possesses a lacinia mobilis very similar to that of the two subfamilies Andaniopsinae
and Stegocephalinae. In Parandania, the lacinia mobilis is clearly different from those in the remaining subfamilies: $P$. boecki has lost the lacinia mobilis, whereas in the other two species the lacinia mobilis appears to be longer and more powerful than in most other species within the Andaniexinae. Furthermore it is slightly curved distally, and this could, in light of the phylogenetic evidence presented herein, be interpreted as a secondary reduction from the distally expanded and toothed state.

In Figure 3, the Bremer support values are plotted on each of the branches (not on branches of monotypic genera). According to these values, there is substantial support in the data for the monophyletic status of the ingroup (Bremer support of 10 steps). Also at the generic level, there seems to be substantial support (in terms of Bremer support values) for most genera, with values varying between 1 and 8 . However, the five subfamilies do not appear as well supported as many of the genera, as Andaniexinae, Andaniopsinae and Stegocephalinae all have a Bremer support of only 1. These low indices are probably the result of the instability of three genera: Bathystegocephalus, Parandania and Stegonomadia. Although all these genera themselves are well supported, they are all morphologically highly derived, and thus difficult to relate to other groups. Bathystegocephalus has lost the outer plate on its second maxilla (see also Remarks under the genus), whereas Stegonomadia has lost many of the different setae-groups used in the matrix, in addition to the unique morphology of the setae on the outer plate of the second maxilla. By saving sub-optimal trees (of e.g. 2 steps longer than the most parsimonious), their phylogenetic positions are readily altered, whereas most other arrangements are retained. Stegonomadia shares some similarities with the Andaniopsinae, and in many of the sub-optimal trees appears as closely related to this group. Nevertheless, it seems adequate to assume that the Stegonomadia is, as is indicated on the cladograms in Figures 1-3, in fact more closely related to the remaining Stegocephalinae than to any of the Andaniopsinae genera. Although Stegonomadia has, as do the Andaniopsinae, a strongly reduced palp of the first maxilla, both the outer and inner plates indicate closer relationships towards the Stegocephalinae. Similarly, although the setae on the outer plate of the second maxillae are simple ( $v s$ hooked in the remaining Stegocephalinae), the outer plate is conspicuously gaping and geniculate and the setation of the inner plate suggest a closer relationship towards the Stegocephalinae.
The two subfamilies Bathystegocephalinae and Parandaniinae share many morphological features with the Andaniexinae, and appear within this clade in many of the sub-optimal trees. However, although these two subfamilies both have a relatively low

Bremer support (Fig. 3), neither possesses the conspicuous laterally produced epistome of the Andaniexinae.

As discussed above, the selection of outgroups was made predominantly on the basis of the results of a phylogenetic analysis of the Amphipoda (Berge et al., 2000), which suggested that the Stegocephalidae are the sister taxon to the Lysianassidae. As for the Stegocephalidae, the Lysianassidae predominantly consist of hyperbenthic species, but several pelagic taxa can also be found within the group. According to the cladograms presented from this analysis (Figs 1-3), it would seem most parsimonious to consider the common ancestor of the Stegocephalidae as a pelagic species, with subsequent shifts to the hyperbenthic habitat. However, as discussed above (see Remarks under Parandaniexis), the adaptations found in the four pelagic stegocephalid taxa (Bathystegocephalus, Metandania, Parandania and Parandaniexis) are all very different. Furthermore, the hyperbenthic genera, which by far outnumber the pelagic genera, seem to be much more similar and possibly more conservative in morphology. Thus, it seems appropriate to suggest that the ancestor of the Stegocephalidae was a hyperbenthic species, and that, during evolution, there have been four independent shifts to a pelagic habitat.

The Stegocephalidae are a widely distributed family, represented in all the eight geographical zones defined in Appendix 4. The area that is least well represented is the Mediterranean where only four stegocephalid species have been recorded (see Appendix 4), whereas in the North Atlantic and the South Pacific, 26 and 31 species have been recorded, respectively. Of all the one hundred stegocephalid species listed in Appendix 4, $77 \%$ appear to be endemic to one of the geographical zones. However, a very high percentage of these species is known only from (or in close vicinity to) their type locality, which makes it difficult to define their distribution: stegocephalids are mostly deep-sea species, and the distribution of both species and genera appears to correlate very well with how extensively the different deep-sea areas have been explored. Thus, the distributional pattern as known today is most probably not a suitable subject for any biological or geographical explanations. As a geographical example of the distributional patterns of the Stegocephalidae, the Antarctic was, until 1980 (see Berge et al., 2001), represented by five species belonging to four genera. Today, after the area has been subject to extensive sampling, there are 19 species (belonging to 11 genera) reported from the area. This close link between number of taxa reported from an area, and the number of sampling projects carried out in the area, makes it difficult to use the distributional data in any further analysis of the origin of the Stegocephalidae. Over the last 10 years, the number of described (and valid)
species has increased from 55 (counted from Barnard \& Karaman, 1991b) to the 100 species treated herein. In addition to these 100 species, De Broyer \& Rauschert (1999) have recently indicated four new species and two new genera in their report. Based on these experiences, it seems prudent to expect further significant increases in the number of stegocephalid taxa as new, and previously poorly sampled, regions become the subject of thorough studies. As a consequence, the following discussion on the distributional patterns, and the hypothesized origin of the Stegocephalidae, must be regarded as being based on a probably far from complete data set.

If the Indian Ocean is interpreted as mainly belonging to the southern Hemisphere (as the Stegocephalidae are predominantly a group of deep-sea species, there are significantly more barriers towards the northern Hemisphere, than from the southern to the Indian Ocean), there is a total of 68 southern species, whereas the corresponding number of species recorded from the northern Hemisphere is 54. At the generic level, eight genera are endemic to the northern Hemisphere, whereas the corresponding number for the southern Hemisphere is 10 . None of the species are endemic to the Arctic, whereas in the Southern Ocean 12 out of a total of 19 recorded species are endemic to the region. In general, 55 species are restricted to the southern Hemisphere, whereas only 22 species are restricted to the northern. In the Atlantic Ocean, there are recorded 39 species, of which 22 are endemic to the Atlantic. Similarly, in the Pacific, there are recorded 43 species of which 36 appears as endemic to that region. It is however, evident that as many as 16 of the species found to be restricted to the southern Hemisphere, are all found within two genera: Andaniotes and Stegosoladidus. Thus, the relatively high number of species endemic to the southern Hemisphere, compared to that of the northern, seems to be more apparent than real.

The fossil record in the Amphipoda is sparse (Schram, 1986), but the group has nevertheless been assumed to be relatively old (e.g. Bousfield, 1982). For the Stegocephalidae, however, there are no fossil records, and, in addition, according to the cladograms presented by Berge et al. (2000), the Stegocephalidae belong to a rather derived group; it seems very difficult to make any assumptions about the relative age of the family. However, as the family in general consists of deep-sea species, there would probably have been pronounced barriers limiting the distribution of stegocephalid taxa already before the break-up of the continental plates. Thus, although the model may seem simplistic, Figure 6A represents a general way of illustrating the relationship between different major marine geographical zones, which in turn could be used to analyse the present day distribution of the
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Figure 6. (A) General scheme for present-day connections between the different areas. Each arrow accounts for one evolutionary step, hence two steps are required for a taxon to invade the South Atlantic (area 3) from the Arctic (area 1). (B) Stepmatrix for a multistate character (8 states) as inferred from (A).

Stegocephalidae. Based upon these relationships, a stepmatrix can be constructed (Fig. 6B) that describes the number of steps (i.e. each transition equals one step) that are required to explain the present-day distribution of the Stegocephalidae among the different geographical areas.
Thus, the distribution of the species is scored as a multistate character (not scored for the outgroups) with eight states, with each state corresponding to zones 1 through 8 in Appendix 4. This stepmatrix can then be assigned as a user defined character type in PAUP*, and subsequently be used to infer the most parsimonious state (and hence the hypothesized geographical origin) for the common ancestor of the family.
When this method is applied, and the character is plotted on tree 1 , the result unequivocally suggests that the family has its origin in the South Atlantic (area 3, Fig. 6A and node 190, Fig. 1). However, it also suggests that it is most parsimonious to assign state '2' (i.e. North Atlantic) to node 186 (see Fig. 1), which thus means that the three subfamilies Andaniexinae,

Andaniopsinae and Stegocephalinae all have their origin in the North Atlantic. All four species allocated to the remaining two subfamilies (Bathystegocephalinae and Parandaniinae) are strictly pelagic, and, in addition, two of them appear as cosmopolitan taxa. Furthermore, the phylogenetic position of Bathystegocephalinae, as discussed above, is somewhat uncertain, as its only species does not possess an outer plate of the second maxilla. Although the cladograms specifically suggest an origin in the southern parts of the Atlantic, there are thus reasons to treat this result with some caution. Therefore, it seems reasonable to suggest only that the family has its origin within the Atlantic Ocean.
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## APPENDIX 1

## CHARACTER LIST FOR THE ANALYSIS OF THE STEGOCEPHALIDAE

The character list was initially written in TAXASOFT, which labels the character states in a binary character ' 1 ' and '2', hence state label ' 0 ' is not used. The order of the states does not reflect any assumptions about which state is plesiomorphic and apomorphic, respectively.

## 1. Head

1. not retractable under pereonite 1
2. retractable under pereonite 1
3. Rostrum reduction
4. short, inconspicuous
5. well developed
6. Antennae reduction
7. present
8. absent
9. Antenna 1 flagellum articulation
10. present
11. absent
12. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum
13. normal
14. vestigial
15. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum articulation
16. absent
17. present
18. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum elongation [in nelation to flag.art.1]
19. absent
20. present, longer than flagellum article 1
21. Antenna 2 peduncle article 3
22. short, about as long as broad
23. elongate, article 3 and 4 geniculate
24. Antenna 2 peduncle article 4 reduction
25. absent
26. present
27. Antenna 2 peduncle article 5 reduction
28. absent
29. present
30. Epistome laterally
31. curved (convex) and smooth
32. produced
33. Epistome
34. rectangular, with a long ridge on each side
35. broad and round
36. Epistomal plate (medial keel)
37. produced
38. not produced
39. Epistomal plate
40. small elongate medial ridge covering the entire epistome
41. large conspicuous medial keel
42. Mouthparts
43. ordinary
44. elongate; pointed and narrow
45. Mandible palp (ingroup)
46. present
47. absent
48. Mandible molar (ingroup)
49. present
50. absent
51. Mandible raker setae (ingroup)
52. present
53. absent
54. Mandible incisor orientation
55. lateral
56. transverse
57. Mandible incisor
58. smooth
59. toothed
60. Mandible left lacinia mobilis
61. present
62. absent
63. Mandible left lacinia mobilis
64. powerful
65. reduced
66. Mandible left lacinia mobilis distally
67. straight
68. expanded
69. Mandible left lacinia mobilis
70. not conical
71. conical
72. Maxilla 1 palp articulation
73. absent
74. present
75. Maxilla 1 palp
76. rectangular
77. oval
78. Maxilla 1 palp length
79. apex not reaching above the apex of outer plate
80. apex reaching above the apex of outer plate
81. Maxilla 1 palp setae on outer margin
82. absent
83. present
84. Maxilla 1 palp with short simple setae
85. absent
86. present
87. Maxilla 1 palp short robust setae
88. present
89. absent
90. Maxilla 1 palp long setae
91. present
92. absent
93. Maxilla 1 palp setae with setules
94. present
95. absent
96. Maxilla 1 palp long distal setae distally
97. pappose
98. pectinate
99. Maxilla 1 outer plate distally
100. rounded
101. rectangular
102. Maxilla 1 outer plate $S T$ arranged as
103. two parallel rows, first marginal and second submarginal
104. a pseudocrown
105. Maxilla 1 outer plate ST 1
106. ordinary (similar to ST 2-4)
107. conspicuously enlarged
108. Maxilla 1 outer plate ST 1-5
109. all present
110. reduced, 4 setae present
111. Maxilla 1 outer plate ST 6
112. present
113. absent

## APPENDIX 1 - continued

39. Maxilla 1 outer plate gap between ST 5 and ST 7
40. present
41. absent
42. Maxilla 1 outer plate ST first now [expanded]
43. with 6 setae (ST $1-5$, ST 7 )
44. with more than 6 setae (ST 1-5 expanded, ST 7)
45. Maxilla 1 outer plate $S T$ A
46. present
47. absent
48. Maxilla 1 outer plate ST A
49. part of second row
50. relocated, part of first row
51. Maxilla 1 outer plate $S T B$
52. present
53. absent
54. Maxilla 1 outer plate $S T B$
55. part of second row
56. relocated, part of first row
57. Maxilla 1 outer plate ST C
58. present
59. absent
60. Maxilla 1 outer plate ST D
61. present
62. absent
63. Maxilla 1 inner plate shoulder
64. well developed
65. weakly developed
66. Maxilla 1 inner plate, setae
67. pappose
68. pappopectinate
69. Maxilla 2 outer plate (ingroup)
70. broad
71. narrow, much less than $1 / 2$ of inner plate
72. Maxilla 2
73. ordinary
74. gaping and geniculate
75. Maxilla 2 outer plate setae with distal hooks
76. absent
77. present
78. Maxilla 2 outer plate setae distally
79. straight
80. curved
81. Maxilla 2 outer plate setae distal cleft
82. absent
83. present
84. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $A$, length
85. covering the entire margin
86. reduced, not covering the entire margin
87. Maxilla 2 inner plate now $A$
88. appressed to row B
89. clearly separated from row $B$
90. Maxilla 2 inner plate now $A$ and D
91. separated
92. continuous
93. Maxilla 2 inner plate now $A$ with 2-3 first setae
94. similar to the other setae
95. differentiated from the other setae
96. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $A$ setae proximally with setules
97. absent
98. present (pappose)
99. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $A$ setae distally with pectinations
100. absent
101. present
102. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $B$
103. present
104. absent
105. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $B$ setae
106. thick and distally blunt
107. similar to A setae
108. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $B$ setae proximally
109. pappose
110. simple
111. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $B$ setae distally with cusps
112. absent
113. present
114. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $C$
115. present
116. absent
117. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $D$
118. present
119. absent
120. Maxilla 2 inner plate now $D$, length
121. reduced, 1-3 long setae distally
122. expanded, row covering most of the distal margin of inner plate
123. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $D$ setae distally with cusps
124. absent
125. present
126. Maxilla 2 inner plate row $D$ setae proximally with setules
127. absent
128. present (pappose)
129. Maxilliped palp [number of articles]
130. 3-articulate
131. 4-articulate
132. Maxilliped palp article 2 distally [produced]
133. unproduced
134. produced
135. Maxilliped palp article 2 distal inner margin
136. weakly produced
137. greatly produced (at least one third of article 3)
138. Maxilliped palp dactylus distally
139. simple (pointed)
140. cleft, one pointed and one heavily setose part
141. Maxilliped palp dactylus distal collar of setae
142. present
143. absent
144. Maxilliped palp articles 1-3 inner margin plumose setae
145. present
146. absent
147. Maxilliped inner plate elongation
148. absent
149. present (exceeding palp article 1)
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76. Maxilliped inner plate distal
margin
77. convex
78. concave
79. Maxilliped inner plate distal margin inner corner
80. unproduced
81. produced
82. Maxilliped inner plate distal margin outer corner
83. unproduced
84. produced
85. Maxilliped inner plate nodular setae
86. absent
87. present
88. Maxilliped inner plate, number of nodular setae
89. Maxilliped inner plate inner margin distally with nodular setae
90. absent
91. present
92. Maxilliped inner plate medial setae-row [presence]
93. present
94. absent
95. Maxilliped inner plate medial setae-row
96. not reduced
97. reduced to one or two setae, but differentiated from distal row
98. Maxilliped inner plate, medial row
99. vertical
100. transverse (or following the distal margin)
101. Maxilliped inner plate, medial setae-row
102. pectinate
103. simple
104. Maxilliped inner plate, distal setae-row [distally on outer corner]
105. absent
106. present
107. Maxilliped inner plate inner setae-row
108. present
109. absent
110. Maxilliped inner plate inner setae-row [setae]
111. conspicuously large and robust setae
112. setae not conspicuously large
113. Maxilliped inner plate inner setae-row [number]
114. row not reduced, more than two setae
115. now reduced to one or two setae
116. Maxilliped inner plate inner setae-row [location]
117. distally
118. medially
119. Maxilliped outer plate inner margin outer setae-row
120. present
121. absent
122. Maxilliped outer plate, outer setae-row [location]
123. marginal
124. submarginal
125. Maxilliped outer plate outer setae-row, setae attached
126. normally
127. in a deep hollow
128. Maxilliped outer plate outer setae-row, setae
129. long robust
130. short
131. Maxilliped outer plate, outer setae-row [curved upwards]
132. straight
133. strongly curved upwards (hooks)
134. Maxilliped outer plate inner margin inner setae-row [presence]
135. present
136. absent
137. Maxilliped outer plate inner margin inner setae-row
138. well developed
139. present, but strongly reduced
140. Maxilliped outer plate, inner setae-row setae
141. long robust
142. short simple
143. Maxilliped outer plate inner setae-row, setae-type
144. slender
145. pappose
146. Maxilliped outer plate inner setae-row [adpressed]
147. adpressed to outer row
148. not adpressed to outer row
149. Maxilliped outer plate inner setae-row [parallel]
150. parallel to outer
151. not parallel to outer
152. Maxilliped outer plate inner setae-row distally
153. transverse
154. vertical
155. Maxilliped outer plate distal setae-group [present]
156. absent
157. present
158. Maxilliped outer plate distal setae-group, setae attached
159. normally
160. in a deep hollow
161. Maxilliped outer plate distal setae-group, setae [type]
162. long robust
163. short simple
164. Labrum reduction
165. absent
166. present
167. Labrum (shape)
168. oval
169. conspicuously triangular and pointed
170. Labrum lobes [asymm. or symm.]
171. symmetrical
172. asymmetrical
173. Labrum right lobe
174. ordinary
175. reduced
176. Labrum left lobe
177. ordinary
178. reduced
179. Labrum [fused with epistome?]
180. separated from the epistome
181. fused with the epistome
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112. Labrum distally
113. narrowing
114. broad, oval
115. Labrum distal finger
116. present
117. absent
118. Labrum number of distal fingers
119. 1
120. 2
121. Labrum finger distally
122. pointed and acute
123. rounded
124. Labrum finger distally crenulation
125. absent
126. present
127. Coxal plates and basis on the pereopods
128. smooth
129. covered with setae
130. Coxal plates and basis, setae
131. very short, setules
132. simple [not very short]
133. Coxae 1-3 [overlapping]
134. contiguous
135. overlapping, coxa broad
136. Pereopod 1 coxa (ingroup)
137. rectangular
138. triangular
139. Pereopod 1 coxal plate
140. not as deep as basis
141. about as deep as basis
142. Pereopod 1 basis [straight or not]
143. straight
144. anterior margin weakly expanded
145. Pereopod 1 [propodus shape]
146. subrectangular
147. subovate
148. Pereopod 1 propodus posterior margin, groups of setae
149. absent, all setae in one single row
150. present
151. Pereopod 1 propodus, submarginal row of setae
152. absent
153. present
154. Pereopod 1 propodus distally, conspic. large cuspidate setae
155. absent
156. present
157. Pereopod 2 length
158. longer and thinner than pereopod 1
159. general appearance like pereopod 1
160. Pereopod 2 ischium elongation
161. absent
162. present [ratio length:breadth exceeding 1.5]
163. Pereopod 2 ischium distal posterior margin plumose setae
164. absent
165. present
166. Pereopod 2 [propodus shape]
167. subrectangular
168. subovate
169. Pereopod 2 palm
170. developed
171. absent
172. Pereopod 2 propodus, posterior submarginal row of mbust setae
173. present
174. absent
175. Pereopod 2 propodus posterior margin, groups of robust setae
176. present
177. absent
178. Pereopod 2 propodus distally, conspic. large cuspidate setae
179. absent
180. present
181. Pereopods 3 \& 4 merus and carpus posterior margins
182. without setae
183. with setae
184. Pereopods $3 \& 4$ lgroups of setae] propodus posterior margin
185. without setae
186. with setae
187. Pereopods 5-6 [groups of setae] merus and carpus anterior margins
188. without setae
189. with setae
190. Pereopods 5-6 [groups of setae] propodus anterior margin
191. without setae
192. with setae
193. Pereopod 7 [groups of setae] merus and carpus anterior margins
194. without setae
195. with setae
196. Pereopod 7 [groups of setae] propodus anterior margin
197. without setae
198. with setae
199. Pereopod 4 coxa, shape of the lower margin
200. anterior part of the lower margin forming a straight line
201. entire lower margin curved
202. Pereopod 4 coxa, distal margin
203. broad
204. pointed (making coxa heartshaped)
205. Pereopod 4 coxa posterior margin
206. convex
207. concave
208. Pereopod 4 basis anterior margin long setae
209. absent
210. present
211. Pereopod 4 basis posterior margin long setae
212. absent
213. present
214. Pereopod 4 basis, plumose setae on distal anterior margin
215. absent
216. present
217. Pereopod 4 basis, plumose setae on distal posterior margin
218. absent
219. present
220. Pereopod 4 ischium, plumose setae on posterior distal margin
221. absent
222. present
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149. Pereopod 4 propodus and dactylus

1. simple
2. subchelate
3. Pereopod 5 basis (ingroup)
4. expanded
5. rectangular
6. Pereopod 6 basis posteriorly
7. expanded
8. unexpanded
9. Pereopod 6 basis expansion
10. conspicuous
11. rudimentary
12. Pereopod 6 basis expansion
13. rounded posteriorly (approaching linear)
14. concave
15. Pereopod 6 basis with a row of long plumose setae
16. absent
present

## corner

1. rounded
2. acute
3. Pereopod 6 basis, posterior margin of the expansion
4. smooth
5. serrated
6. Pereopod 7
7. differentiated from p 6
8. similar to p 6
9. Pereopod 7 basis posterior margin
10. smooth
serrate
margin
11. straight
12. concave
13. Pereopod 7 basis distally
14. rounded
15. pointed and acute
16. Pereopod 7 basis with
17. absent
18. present
19. Pereopod 7 basis, medial row of setae
20. present
21. absent
22. Pereopod 7 basis, setae-type in medial mw
23. long
24. short and robust
25. Pereopod 7 carpus
26. present
27. absent
28. Pereopod 7 dactylus
29. present
30. absent
31. Oostegites on pereopod 2
32. present
33. absent
34. Oostegites on pereopod 3
35. present
36. absent
37. Gills pereopods $2-3$
38. broad (ordinary)
39. long and narrow, similar to oostegites
40. Pleonite 1-3 dorsally
41. smooth
42. with a carina
43. Epimeral plate 3 lower margin, serrations
44. absent
45. present
46. Epimeral plate 3 posterior margin, serrations
47. absent
48. present
49. Epimeral plate 3 posteroventral corner, serrations
50. absent
51. present
52. Epimeral plate 3 posteroventral corner
53. not produced
54. produced
55. Epimeral plate 3 posteroventral
corner
56. rounded
57. pointed and acute
58. Epimeral plate posterior margin
59. unproduced
60. broadly produced
61. Urosomites 2 and 3, articulation
62. present
63. absent
64. Unopod 1 outer ramus lateral margin with robust setae
65. absent
66. present
67. Uropod 1 outer ramus medial margin with robust setae
68. absent
69. present
70. Uropod 1 inner ramus outer margin with robust setae
71. absent
72. present
73. Uropod 1 inner ramus inner margin with robust setae
74. absent
75. present
76. Uropod 2 outer ramus outer margin with robust setae
77. absent
78. present
79. Uropod 2 outer ramus inner margin with robust setae
80. absent
81. present
82. Uropod 2 inner ramus outer margin with robust setae
83. absent
84. present
85. Uropod 2 inner ramus inner margin with rabust setae
86. absent
87. present
88. Uropod 3 peduncle reduction
89. absent
90. present [shorter than half the length of rami]
91. Uropod 3 peduncle elongation
92. absent
93. present [at least as long as rami]
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| 187. Uropod 3 outer ramus | 192. Telson elongation | 197. Males: Urosome |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. 1-articulate | 1. absent | 1. ordinary (similar to females) |
| 2. 2-articulate | 2. present [longer than broad] | 2. conspicuously larger than in |
| 188. Uropod 3 outer ramus lateral | 193. Telson submarginal setae on | females |
| margin with robust setae | apex of each lobe | 198. Males: Uropod 1 outer ramus |
| 1. absent | 1. absent | 1. ordinary |
| 2. present | 2. present | 2. enlarged, curved upwards |
| 189. Uropod 3 outer ramus medial | 194. Telson | 199. Males: Uropod 2 outer ramus |
| margin with robust setae | 1. cleft | 1. ordinary |
| 1. absent | 2. entire | 2. enlarged, curved upwards |
| 2. present | 195. Telson apically | 200. Males: Uropod 3 rami |
| 190. Uropod 3 inner ramus lateral | 1. rounded | 1. ordinary |
| margin with robust setae | 2. pointed | 2. reduced |
| 1. absent | 196. Males: Pereopod 2 propodus |  |
| 2. present | 1. larger in males than in females |  |
| 191. Uropod 3 inner ramus medial | 2. equally sized in males and |  |
| margin with robust setae | females |  |

## APPENDIX 2

## TWO HUNDRED CHARACTERS SCORED FOR 91 INGROUP TAXA AND SIX OUTGROUP TAXA

## abyssi

2111122121211112222112112222212 $2-11112111111122121111121221111$ $21112121-1221111221112121121121$ 1111111121--21122122---1-121122 1112212211222222111112121211111 2121111211111121121112111211112 21111122221111

## australis

2111112121211112222112112222212 $2-11112111111122121111121221111$ $21112121-1221111211112121121121$ $1111111121--21122122---1-121122$ 1112222211222222111112121211111 1122111211111111121112111211112 21111122221111

## gracilis

2111122121211112222112112222212 2-11112111111122121111121221111 $21112121-1221112221112121111121$ $1111111121--21122122--1-121122$ 1112112211222222221111111211111 1122111211111111121111111211112 $211111221 \ldots \ldots$

## lupus

2111122121211112222112112222212 $2-11112111111122121111121221111$ $21112121-1221111221112121121121$ $1111111121--21122122---1-121122$ 1112212211222222111222121211111 1121111211111111121112111211112 21111122221111

## mimonectes

2112111121211112222112112222212 $2-11112111111121121111121221111$ $21112121-1221121231112121111111$ $1111112121--21122122--1-121122$ 1112212211222222221221111211121 1121111211111111221112111212111 $111111221 \ldots+{ }^{-}$

## americana

2111122111211112222112112222212 $2-11112111111122121111121221111$ $21112121-1221121211112122--121$ $1111111121--211221-2--11-121122$ 1112212211222222221112121211111 $112211121111111112111121121111-$ $2----1221-1111$

## andaniexis

2111122121211112222112112222212 $2-11112111111122121111121221111$ $21112121-1221121211112221121121$ $1111111121--21122122--11-121112$ 1112212211212222111212121211111 1121111211111111121112111211112 21111122121111

## gloriosa

2111121121211112222112112222212 $2-11112111111122121111121221111$ $21112121-12211112112---21121121$ $1111111121-21122122-\cdots-1-121122$ $11122122112222-211111111211111$ 1121111211111111122112111211112 21111222221111
elinae
2111112121211112222112112222212 $2-11112111111122121111121221111$ $21112121-1221121211112221111121$ $1111111121--21122122-\infty-1-121122$ 1112212211212122111222221211111 1121111211111111121112111211112 211111222 - - - -
ollii
2111111121211112222112112222212 $2-11112111111122121111121221111$ $21112121-1221121211112121121121$ $1111211121--21122122---1-121122$ 1112212211222222111112221211111 1121111211111111121112112211212 $221111222-1111$

## abyssorum

2112121111221212222112121121112 $2-1111211111112212111112-222111$ $212---21-1211121231112121221111$ $1112212121--21122122--1-122222$ 1112221211212122211111121211121 $2121112-11111111111112111211111$ 21111111112222

## bagabag

2112121111211112222112111121112 $2-1111211111112212111112-22211-$ $--2--21-1211111231122221221111$ $1111112121--21122122--1-121122$ 1112212211212121211122121211121 $1221112-1121-111111122111211111$ $21111121122 \ldots$
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## corpulentus

21121 ? 1111211112222112121111112 2-1111211111112212111112-22211-$--2---21-1211121231112121111111$ $1112212121--21122122---1-121222$ 1112212211111122211122221211111 1121111211111111111122112211111 21111111122212

## karkar

2112121111211112222112111121112 2-1111211111112212111112-22211-$--2---21-1211111231122221221111$ $1111112121--21122122---1-121122$ 1112212211222121211122221211121 $1221112-1122-111111122111211111$ 21111121122212

## linearis

2112111111211112222112121122112 $2-1111211111112212111112-222111$ $212---21-1211121231122221111111$ $1112212121--21122122---1-122222$ 1112212211212121211122121211211 1121111211111111111122122211211 211111111 ?????

## lowryi

2112111111221112222112111121112 $2-1111211111112212111112-222111$ $212---21-1211111231122221111111$ $112-----1--21122122---1-121122$ 1112211211212121211122221211121 $2221122-11212111121122111211111$ 21111121122212

## pooh

2112121111211112222112121122112 $2-1111211111112212111112-222111$ $212---21-1211121241112121221111$ $1112112121--21122122---1-122222$ 2112212111212122211122121211221 1121111211111111111122112211111 21111111122221

## poorei

2112111111211112222112111122112 2-1111211111112212111112-222111 $212---21-1211121231112221121111$ $1112112121--21122122---1-122222$ 2112212111212122211122221211221 1121111211111111111122111211111 21111121122121

## pseudolinearis

2112121111221112222112121122112 $2-1111211111112212111112-222111$ $212---21-1211111221112221211111$ $1112211121--21122122---1-122222$ 1112222211212121211122221211111 $1111112-11111111111112112211211$ 21111111122121

## wallaroo

2112121111221112222112121121112 $2-1111211111112212111112-222111$ $212---21-1211111231112121111111$ $1112112121--21122122---1-121222$ 2212221212212121111122121211111 1211121211111111122122111211111 21111121222212

## wollongong

2112121111211112222112111111112 $1-1111211111112212111112-222111$ $212---21-1211121231112221211111$ $1112212121--21212122---1-121122$ 1112212211111122211122121211121 1121111211212111121122111211111 $211111211 \cdots \cdots+$

## antarcticus

2112121212211112222112111111121 2-1211211122-12212111111-221112 $112---21-12211111--112221221111$ $11121121221121122122---21222222$ 1112211211212122211111121211111 1121111211111111121112112211211 $221111211-{ }^{---}$

## complex

2112121112211112222112121111121 2-1212211122-12212111111-221112 $122---21-12211121--2---22---111$ $212------1--21122122---21122122$ 1112212211111121111112221211121 1121111211111111121112111211111 21111221121111

## debroyeri

2112121211221112222112111112121 $2-1211211121112212111112-221112$ $112---21-1221111221111121222111$ $1112112121--21122122---21222222$

11221121121212221112212121122 $1121112-11111111111122111211111$ 211111112

## APPENDIX 2 - continued

## ingens

2112111111211112222112111111121 2-1211212122-12212111111-221112 $112---21-12211111--112221211111$ $11111121221121122122--21222222$ $1122211211212122211122121212-11$ 1111111211111111111112112211211 22111211121111

## simplex

2112121112211112222112121111121 2-1211211122-12212111111-221112 122---11-12211111--121221222121 $212-\cdots--1--21122122---21122122$ 1112222211111122111122121211111 1121111211111111111121111111111 211112111 ------
wimi
$21121211111-1212222111111222212$ 2-1111211111112222111112-222111 $212---21-1221121221112121111111$ $1112212121--11212122--1-121212$ 1112212211222222221122121211121 2121111211111111111112222211211 211112111 -----

## islandica

$21121111211-1212222111111222112$ 2-1111211111112222111112-222111 212---21-1211121261112121111111 $11122121221111212122--1-121222$ 1111212211222222211222121211121 2121111211111111111112222212211 121222211-----

## fissicaudata

21121-1111211212222112111222212 1-1111211111112212111112-22?111 2?2---21-1221221---112121221111 $1112212121--21122122----122112$ $1112-1221122222222112--1211121$ $1121112-11111111122112112--\operatorname{-11}$ 211111211 -----
eilae
2112122111211212222112112222212 1-1111211111112212111112-222111 212---21-1221221221112121221111 $1112212121--21212122---21122112$ 1112212211212122221121221211121 $1121112-11111111122112112211112$ 22111121121111

## spongicola

211 ----111----12222112122222212 1-1111211111112212111112-222111 212---21-1221221221112-21221111 $1112212121-------2-----122122$ 11122122112121222211211212111 -1 $1121112-11111111121112111211112$ 211111-11-----

## sandroi

2112121111211112222112111222112 $121111211111112212111112-222111$ 212---21-1221221221112121211111 $1112212121--21122122---21122112$ 1121212211222222211122221211121 1121111211111111121112112211112 21111121121111

## traudlae

2112121111211112222112111222112 $121111211111112212111112-222111$ 212---21-1221221221112121211111 $1112212121--21122122---21122112$ 1121212211222222211121221211121 1121111211111111121122112211111 22111121111111

## dewitti

$2121111121---12222112112222212$ $1211112112-1112212111112-222111$ 212---21-12211122-1112221111111 $11112111221121122122--1-121122$ $111221221122222222211---2212-11$ $1121112-1111121112211$---------------1122

## mirabilis

21211-11211-2-12222112112222212 $121111211111112212111112-222112$ 212---21-1221112241112-21111111 $11112111221121122122--1-121-22$ $111221221122222222212--2212-11$ $1121112-11111211122111111111112$ 21111112221111

## pelagica

$21221111211-2-12222112112222212$ $121111211111112212111112-222111$ 212---21-1221112221112221121111 $1112211121--21122122--1-121122$
$1121112-11111111122111111111111$
21111112221111

APPENDIX 2 - continued

## tridentata

$21211211211-2-122221-21-2222-12$ $2-111121111111221211111-122-{ }^{-1}$ ------21-1221112---112-21211111 $1111111121------122-----121122$ $111221211122222222211-21212-11$ $112111--11111211122112111212112$ 21111212121111
globosus
$21211112211-1212222211211222221$ $2-211221-111111222--1-11-222121$ $212---21-12211111--122121111111$ $2111112121--21122122---21122121$ $2121222211222222211121121212-11$ 1121111111111111111122122211221 11111211121111

## boecki

$21221111211-111222212---1112121$ $2-11112111111122221111121222111$ $21112221-1221211221112122---111$ $11111211221121122122---1-122222$ 2121222111222222211121221211121 1121111211111111122112222221212 12222212121111

## gigantea

$21221211211-1112222112111212121$ 2221112111111122121111121222121 $21112221-1211111221122121111111$ $1111111121--21222122---1-122122$ 1121222211222222211222221211121 $2121112-11111111121112222222212$ 122222111 - - - -

## nonhiata

$21221221211-1112222112111212121$ 1221112111111122121111121222121 $21112221-1211121221122221111111$ $1111111121--21212122---1-122122$ 1121222211222222211222221211121 $2121112-11111111121112222222212$ 12222211121111

## integripes

$21121111211-1212222211211111222$ 2-1111211112-12222111111-222121 $212---21-1221112221112121111112$ $2112212121--11212122---1-122111$ $1112212211111121211122111212-11$ $1121112-11111112211112111111112$ 21111122221111

## pectinata

$21121211111-1212222211211111222$ $2-1111211111112222111111-222122$ $222---21-12211112212-\cdots-22---112$ $2112212121--11212122---1-122111$ $1112212211111121221121221212-21$ 1121111211111111111112111211112 21111122221111
nondlandica
$21121211111-1212222211211111222$ 2-1111211111112212111111-222121 $222--21-1221121221112111111112$ $2112212121--11212122---1-122111$ $1122212211111121211222221212-11$ 1121111211111111111112111111112 21111122221111

## maremboca

$21121211111-1212222211211111222$ 2-1122211111112212111111-122111 212---21-122111121112-111121112 $212-\ln ^{2}-1--11212122---1-121112$ $1121212211111111221111111211-11$ $1111112-11-11111121112111111111$ 21111121121111

## africana

$21121211111-1212222211211111222$ 2-1111211111112212111111-221111 $222---21-1211111221112111121112$ $1112212121--11212122---1-122111$ 1121212211111111221112111211111 1121111211111111121111111111112 $111111211-{ }^{-----}$

## gibbosa

$21121111111-1212221211212111221$ $1-222122112111212222-2212122121$ 2112222221222112221111121121121 $121112221221112122112111-122112$ $211121211122222211112112122-21$ $-121112-11111111111212122212111$ 21111211121111

## nomeri

$21121111111-1212221211212111221$ $11222122112111212222-2212122121$ 2112222221222111242111121122121 $121112221221112122111111-122222$ $211121211122222221112112122-01$ $-121112-11111111121112121211111$ 21111211121111

## APPENDIX 2 - continued

## unihamata

$21122112111-1212221211212111221$ $11222122112111212222-1212122121$ $2112222221222111241111122--121$ 1211122212211112221111121122122 $1111222211222222211122221212-21$ $1121112-11111111221112121221211$ 22211211121111
vanhoeffeni
$21121111111-2-12221211211111121$ $12222122112111212222-1221122121$ $2112222211222111221111122--111$ 2211122212221111121111121122222 $2111212212222222211122221212-21$ 1121111211111112221122112211211 11111221221111

## angustipalpa

21122112121-1122221211211111221 12221121112111212222-111-122121 212---21-1222111222111121222121 122------221121222111211-122222 212122221122222221112222122--2-$-121111211-11111121122112211111$ 211112121-1111

## dampieri

$21122112111-1212221211212111221$ $12222121112111212222-1112122121$ 2112222221222111231111121221121 1111121112211121221111121122222 $212122211122222221112222122--22$ 112111121111111111112222222211 22211222111111

## quatro

21122112111-1212221211212111221 $12222121112111212222-1112122121$ 2111212221222111241111121221121 1211111112211112221112121122122 212122211122222221112122122--21 1121111222111111122121222121211 21211222121111
crassum
21122112111-1222221211212111221 $12222121112111212222-2211122122$ 2112222221222111232111121111121 1111121112211121221111121122122 21212221112222--21112222122--21 $-121111222111111111122111211111$ 11111212121111

## bioice

$21121111111-2-12221211211111121$ $12212121111111212222-1221122121$ 2112222211222212221112121111112 2211122222221121211111121122222 1121222211222222211122111211111 $1121111211-11111221112222222211$ 11111221221111

## pseudophippsia

$21121111111-2-12221211212111121$ $12212121111111212222-1221122121$ 2112222211222212221112121111112 2211122222221121211111121122222 1121122211222222211122121211111 $1111112-11111111121112222222221$ $111112212----$

## viscaina

21121111111-2-12221211212111-21 --21212111111121-222-122-------------2211222212221112121111112 $22111-22222211212111111--122222$ -121212-112222222111222212111-1 2121112-11111111222112222222211 11111221221111

## abyssicola

$21221111111-2-12221211212111221$ $12212121111111222222-1221122121$ 2112222211221212221112121111112 2211112222221121211111121122222 111121221122222211122221211111 $2121112-1111111111111$ ? ? ? ? 2222111 11112111 - ----

## nipoma

$21221111111-2-12221211212111221$ $12212121111111221222-1221122121$ 2112222211221212221112121111112 2211122222221121211111121122222 1111212211222222211122221211111 $1121112-11111111121112222222211$ 12222221221111
cascadiensis
$21221111111-2-12221211212121221$ 1-21-121111111222222-1----2-12-------2211221212---112--21111112 22111-2222221121211111122122222

11211121111111112211222211121 21111211221111

## APPENDIX 2 - continued

## rostrata

$22221211111-2-12221211212111221$ $12212121111111222222-1221122122$ 21122221-1221212221112112---112 $221121222222112121111111-122212$ 1121212211222222211122211211121 $1121122-11111111121112121212121$ 12111211121111
similis
$21121111111-2-12221211212111221$ $12212121111111221222-1221122121$ 2112222211221212221112121111112 $221111222222112121111121-122222$ 1111212211222222211222221211121 1121111111111112211112222222221 12222211121111

## inflatus

22121121211-2-12221211211111121 12221121-12111222222-111-122121 212---21-1221112211112121111112 $221121212222112121111111-122122$ 1111212111222222211122221211122 2121121111111121122112222222211 12222221221111
ampulla
22121211111-2-12221211212111121 $12221121-111112222212111-222121$ 212---21-1221112252112121111112 212--_---1--112121111111-122222 1111222211222222211222221211221 $1121122-11111112211212222222221$ 12222211121111

## watlingi

$21121211111-2-12221211211111221$ 1222212111211121222221221122121 2212222211221112221112121111112 2211122122211111111111122122222 1112222 ? ? 12222222112122212111212 $121112-111111111111121122112111$ 21112212

## minima

$21121111111-2-12221211212111221$ 12212221-2-2-1211222-111-122121 21----21-1221111211112121111112 $221121222222112121111111-122222$ 1112222211222222211111211211111 $1121112-11--1111121111111111111$ $111112-12-1111$

## pajarella

21121--1111-2-12221211212111-21 1-212221-2-2-12212212111-122121 2-2---21-1221111211112121111112 $221121222222112121111111-122222$ $111-212211222222211111221211111$ $1121112-11-1111122112111211121$ 111112211-1111
biofar
$21121211211-2-12221211211111222$ 1-2111211111112212211111-121121 $122--221121211122112-211212112$ 2112121121--112121112111-122112 1122122211111111221122121211121 $1121112-11111111121111111111111$ 21111221111111

## katalia

$21121211211-2-12221211211111222$ 1-2111211111112212211111-121121 122---2211212111221121-11212112 2112121121--112121112111-122112 1122122211111111211122121211121 $1121112-11--1111121111111111111$ 211112211 ----1

## idae

$21121111111-2-12221211211111222$ 1-2111211111112212211211-121121 222---221121111122112-111212112 212------1--112121111211-122112 1122212211111111111112221211111 $1121112-11111111121111111211111$ $211111111 \ldots \ldots$
ingolfi
21121-1111211122221211211111121 $122111-{ }^{2}-1111121222111221122121$ 2-122221-1222111221111221121112 212------1--12111111211--122212 $-121-12-11222222211211121211121$
$111111--11-11111111212122212111$ $111112112-\cdots-$

## trymi

2112111111211122221211211111221 $12211121111111211222-1221122121$ 21122221-12222121--112121212112 $2111111121-1212211112121122112$ $2221122212222222211122221212-21$ 1111111111111111221122222211111 111122211 -----

## APPENDIX 2-continued

## wagini

2112111111211112221211212111221 $12221121112111211222-1221122121$ 2112222211222121221122121212112 2211112222221111111111122122222 $212122221122222211122212122--2-$ $-121111111111111122112222212111$ 12111221211111

## pacis

$2112211112--2-22221211211111221$ 122-112111-1-1212222-221112212-$--12--21-12221112-1111-21112--$ $--111---2222122---11111--122222$ $1221-22212222222211----12111-1$ $111111--11---111121112111111112$ 11111221121111

## attingens

$21121111111-2-12221211211111221$ $12222121112111221222-1212122121$ 2112222211221211221122121212112 2211212222221121211112222122122 $2121212211222222211122121212-2-$ $-121211111111111211122122212211$ 11111221211111
auratus
$21121111111-2-12221211211111221$ $12222121112111212222-1212122121$ 2112222211221211221122121212112 2211212222221121211112221122122 $211122221122222211112211122-11$ $-121211211111111221111212121211$ 11111221211111
barnardi
$21121121111-2-12221211211111221$ $12222221112111211222-1221122121$ 2112222211221211221122121212112 2211212222221121211111122122222 2121122111222222111122121211121 $1121112-11111111121112112111211$ 11111211211111

## christianiensis

$21121111111-2-12221211211111221$ $12221121212111221222-1212122121$ 2112222211221211221122121212112 2212212222221121211112221122122 $1112222211222222111121221212-21$ 1121111211111111221112222222211 11111221211111

## ledoyeri

$21121221211-2-12221211211111221$ $12222122112111222222-1221122121$ 2112222211221211221122121212112 $22112122222211212111111-122222$ $2111212111222222111122121212-21$ 1121111211111111211112211121211 11111211211111

## gunnae

$21121211111-1112221211211111221$ $12222121112111222222-1221122121$ 2112222211221211221122121212112 2211212222221121211111121122222 $2112212111222222211121121212-21$ 1121111211111111111122112211121 11111221211111
tori
$21121111111-1112221211211111221$ $12222121112111222222-1221122121$ 2112222211221211221122121222112 2211212222221121211111121122222 2112212111222222211122221211121 1121111211111111121122111211211 11111221211111
camoti
21121-11111-2-12221211211111-21 $1-22212111211122-222-1221---1--$ $--12--21-1221211221122-22-----$ $--112-212222112--111122--122222$ $2111-12-11222222211-\ldots--1212-21$ $1121111211--1111122112111211121$ $211112111-1111$

## nr camoti

$21121211111-2-12221211211111121$ $12222121112111222222-1221122122$ $21122121-1221211221122221212112$ 2211212222221121211111121122222 $2111212111222222211121121212-21$ 1121111211111111121122111211121 $211112111-{ }^{21}$

## boxshalli

$21121211111-2-12221211211111221$ $12221121112111212222-1221122122$ 2112222211221211221122221222112 2211212222221121211111121122222 $2111222111222222211121121212-21$ $1121111211111111121112111---21$ 21111211111111

## APPENDIX 2-continued

## australis

21121211111-2-12221211211111221 $12222121112111212222-1221122121$ 2112222212121211221122121112112 2211212222221121211111121122222 $2112212211222222211122221212-21$ 1121111211111111221121112211211 211112112-----

## tucki

$21121221111-2-12221211211111221$ $12222121112111212222-1221122121$ 2112222212121211221122121112112 2211212222221121211111122122222 $2112212111222222211122121212-21$ 1121111211111111111122212221211 11111211211111
calypsonis
$21221221111-2-12221211211111121$ $12211122211111222222-1221122121$ 21122221-1222221211112121212112 $221221222222112121111111-122112$ $211221221121212211112111122-1$ -$-121112-11111111121122122211111$ 21111211111111
beringi
21121221211-2-12221211211111121 $12221121212111211222-111-122121$ 212---21-12222211--112221112112 222------222112121111211-122222 1112212211222222211121221211121 $2121122-11111112111112222222211$ 111112111 -----

## hancocki

$21121111111-2-12221211211111121$ 122-112--12111212222-111-122121 212---21-12222211--112121112112 $21122122122211212111122-122122$ $211221211122222221111--1211111$ $2121112-11111112221112112211211$ 121122212

## mamillidacta

21121211111 -1122221211211111222 1-211121111111221222-111-122121 212---21-122211122111-111212112 211221212222112121111111 -122122 $1112222211222222211121111212-11$ $1111111111--1112211222111211111$ $111112211-{ }^{1}$

## penelope

$21121111111-2-12221211211111121$ $122211211122-12222212111-122121$ 212---2211221112221122121122112 $211211221222112121111111-122222$ 2111212211222222211121121211111 $1121112-11111111111112112222211$ 11111211211111
Onisimus edwardsii
$11121222121-1111112111112121212$ 1-2-111--111111211111111-122111 212---21-1221111231122121111112 2111212112112112212111122212112 2212111111222222111111111111111 $2221112-11111111122112112211211$ 21212121121111

## Anonyx nugax

$11221222121-1211112111112121212$ 1-2-111--111111211111111-122111 212---21-1221111231112121121112 212------1--21122122---21212112 2212221111222222211121111111111 $2221112-11111111122112222222221$ 22222221221111

## Astyra abyssi

$11212111111-1211112211212121112$ 2-21112--111111111111111-122121 222---21-12211112311121-1112121 $2111111121--11122122---22212122$ $11211122112222222-1211111111121$ $1211112-11111111111112222222221$ 12222211121111

## Amphilochus manudens

121-2--2121-1-11112211212221212 2-21112--1111112-21111111112122 121-2122112221112212---22---2----2------211111111111111-21112-11-1121221222222211211111111111 $2221112-11111111122112222221211$ 12212212221111

## Ochlesis lewetzowi

22122--1111-2-21122112121111121 2--1212112-2-21--2111111-112121 $122--------21112112--11211121$ 2112212-1212121221111111-22121-$11-1112121222222212111111111111$ $1211112-11111211122112222222211$ 122222121-.....

## APPENDIX 2 - continued

| Lilljeborgia fissicornis | $1111111121--21122122---1-121121$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $11211221111-2-11111211112222211$ | $11-1121221222222111221111111112$ |
|  | $2221222-11111211122112222222211$ |
| ------21-12111111--112121211111 | 12222221211111 |

## APPENDIX 3

APOMORPHY LISTS ACCORDING TO TREE NUMBER 1
Tree length $=1353$
Consistency index (CI) $=0.1486$
Homoplasy index (HI) $=0.8514$
CI excluding uninformative characters $=0.1454$
HI excluding uninformative characters $=0.8546$
Retention index $(\mathrm{RI})=0.6852$
Rescaled consistency index $(\mathrm{RC})=0.1018$

| Branch | Char\# | Steps | CI | Change | Branch | Char\# | Steps | CI | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| node $195 \rightarrow$ node 190 | 1 | 1 | 0.500 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 34 | 1 | 0.333 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 9 | 1 | 0.100 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 97 | 1 | 0.111 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 16 | 1 | 1.000 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 98 | 1 | 0.083 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 17 | 1 | 1.000 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 106 | 1 | 0.333 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 18 | 1 | 0.500 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 108 | 1 | 0.091 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 25 | 1 | 0.091 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 109 | 1 | 0.100 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 30 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 123 | 1 | 0.077 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 32 | 1 | 0.143 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 128 | 1 | 0.100 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 46 | 1 | 0.500 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 130 | 1 | 0.045 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 49 | 1 | 0.500 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 146 | 1 | 0.056 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 57 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 179 | 1 | 0.083 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 68 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 184 | 1 | 0.083 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 129 | 1 | 0.143 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 187 | 1 | 0.083 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 131 | 1 | 0.143 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 193 | 1 | 0.043 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 148 | 1 | 0.083 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ | node $186 \rightarrow$ node 136 | 20 | 1 | 0.250 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 150 | 1 | 1.000 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 23 | 1 | 0.250 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 157 | 1 | 0.500 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 30 | 1 | 0.250 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 162 | 1 | 0.048 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 55 | 1 | 0.111 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 174 | 1 | 0.067 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 61 | 1 | 0.250 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 183 | 1 | 0.067 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 68 | 1 | 0.250 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
| node $190 \rightarrow$ node 187 | 23 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 77 | 1 | 0.091 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 94 | 1 | 0.167 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 94 | 1 | 0.167 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 100 | 1 | 0.125 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 127 | 1 | 0.083 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 178 | 1 | 0.077 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 154 | 1 | 0.059 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 182 | 1 | 0.091 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ | node $136 \rightarrow$ node 134 | 11 | 1 | 0.333 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 188 | 1 | 0.077 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 14 | 1 | 0.125 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 189 | 1 | 0.200 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 22 | 1 | 0.333 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 190 | 1 | 0.167 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 88 | 1 | 0.056 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 191 | 1 | 0.167 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 106 | 1 | 0.333 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
| node 187 $\rightarrow$ node 186 | 3 | 1 | 0.125 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 108 | 1 | 0.091 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 9 | 1 | 0.100 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 109 | 1 | 0.100 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 31 | 1 | 0.200 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 192 | 1 | 0.125 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |


| $\mathrm{L} \Leftarrow \mathrm{Z}$ | 001＇0 | I | Li |  | $\mathrm{Z}^{\text {¢ }}$ | 8800 | ［ | LヵI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{I}=\square$ | ¢f0 0 | I |  |  | $\zeta \Leftarrow \tau$ | 0970 | I | 28 |  |
| て¢ | 97L0 | I | 761 |  | $\mathrm{L} \Leftarrow \mathrm{Z}$ | LLOO | I | $L$ |  |
| Z¢I | $\angle 90^{\circ} 0$ | I | 881 |  | \％$=1$ | LLOO | I | 881 |  |
| $\underline{L} \Leftarrow$ | L20＇0 | I | 681 |  | $\zeta \Leftarrow[$ | 8800 | ［ | 581 |  |
| Z¢I | 9900 | I | 88 | рұрұиар？иך¢ LII әрои | $\zeta \Leftarrow 1$ | 6900 | I | 081 |  |
| $\underline{[ } \Leftarrow$ | 9ZL＇0 | I | 981 |  | Z¢1 | 8800 | ［ | 86 |  |
| $\underline{\square} \leftarrow$ | 0960 | I | 691 |  | $\mathrm{I}=$ Z | LLOO | I | 4 | ？ $1710 \leftarrow 80$ I әpou |
| Z¢I | LILO | I | 26 |  | $\mathrm{C} \Leftarrow \mathrm{L}$ | $\angle 90^{\circ} 0$ | ［ | $9 \dagger 1$ |  |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow ⿺ 𠃊 ⿴ 囗 ⿱ 一 一$ | 6900 | ［ | 68 |  | $\mathrm{L} \Leftarrow \mathrm{Z}$ | L910 | I | 881 |  |
|  | 007：0 | I | I | polsplad $\leftarrow$ OLI əpou | $1 \Leftarrow$ ¢ | 6900 | I | 68 |  |
| Z $¢$ | L9000 | I | $9 \pm 1$ |  | $\underline{[ }$ | 9900 | ［ | 961 |  |
| Z¢I | 8tio | I | 29 | s！l？qpulu $\leftarrow 60$ I әpou | Lちを | 7 $\ddagger 00$ | I | LDI |  |
| Z¢1 | EEC\％ | I | Lt | ？77？пวр $\leftarrow 60$ т әрои | L ¢ | LLOO | I | \＆ 7 |  |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow 1$ | $000^{\circ} \mathrm{I}$ | I | 6 TI |  | $\zeta \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | 9700 | I |  | s？хәпиорир $\leftarrow$ ¢0L әрои |
| Z¢I | $00{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | I | 801 |  | て¢I | TLOO | I | 珧 |  |
| $\square \leftarrow \square$ | $888 \%$ | I | 08 | 60L әрои↔0LI әpou | $\underline{[ } \Leftarrow$ | \＆゙L0 | ［ | 98 L |  |
| $\square=1$ | 9900 | I | 961 |  | $\zeta \Leftarrow 1$ | 8800 | I | 98 | \％0T әроиヶ80T әрои |
| $1 \Leftarrow \%$ | 0010 | I | I8I |  | てヶI | 7500 | I | Lit |  |
| $\mathrm{I}=6$ | IIt．0 | I | LLI |  | ¢ $¢ 1$ | $160^{\circ} 0$ | I | LL | 80L әроиセォ0г әрои |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow[$ | $880^{\circ} 0$ | I | 86 |  | $\mathrm{Z}^{-}=1$ | 0090 | I | 69 I |  |
| $\underline{1}$ | 8ito | I | 78 |  | \％$¢$ | 9700 | I | 08L | Sl1pupsno $\leftarrow$ ¢ 1 әpou |
| $\underline{[ } ¢_{\text {\％}}$ | 9t00 | I | 9 | OLI әрои↔III әpou | $\underline{L}$ | $9 \pm 00$ | I | 9 | ØOL әрои↔¢0I әрои |
| $\mathrm{I}=6$ | 8100 | I | 861 |  | $\underline{L}=\square$ | $88 \% 0$ | I | 08 | ¢0I әрои $\leftarrow 901$ әpou |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow$ I | L9000 | I | TLI |  | \％$=1$ | $\angle 90^{\circ} 0$ | I | 9 LI |  |
| $\overbrace{}^{\leftarrow}-1$ | 097\％ | I | 691 |  | $\zeta \Leftarrow 1$ | T20．0 | I | 形 | sndnl $\leftarrow$ L0L әpou |
| 6ヶ－1 | 8100 | I | 791 |  | Z $=1$ | 00 c 0 | I | OLI |  |
| $Z \Leftarrow 1$ | ［t500 | I | 691 |  | \％$=1$ | L2OO | I | 9GT |  |
| $\zeta \leftarrow I$ | 37000 | I | しも |  | Z ¢ 1 | 9900 | I | 961 |  |
|  | 009\％0 | I | ¢もI |  | $\underline{L}$ | 8510 | I | 6tI |  |
| $\zeta \leftarrow 1$ | $880^{\circ} 0$ | I | 98 |  | $\underline{L}$ | $880^{\circ}$ | I | じT |  |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow 1$ | $96 \mathrm{I}^{\circ} 0$ | ［ | 84 |  | $\zeta \Leftarrow 1$ | $680 \%$ | I | 68 | 90 L әроиъ80I әрои |
| $\sigma^{\leftarrow}$ | 98T0 | I | 99 |  | \％$\Leftarrow 1$ | 0970 | I | 76 |  |
| $\zeta \leftarrow I$ | 00\％ 0 | I | 69 |  | Z $\Leftarrow 1$ | LLO＇0 | I | 1 | 80I әрои $\leftarrow$ ¢LI әрои |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow I$ | $00{ }^{\circ} 0$ | I | \＆1 |  | $\zeta \Leftarrow$ I | grio 0 | I | 981 |  |
| $1 \Leftarrow \square$ | 8¢8＊0 | I | II |  |  | 6900 | I | $\mathfrak{t c I}$ |  |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow I$ | 9610 | I | $\varepsilon$ | III วpou $\leftarrow$ ZII əpou | $\underline{I}$ ¢ | $\underline{290} 0$ | I | 9tI |  |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow 1$ | $\angle 200$ | I | 8LI |  | $1 \Leftarrow 6$ | 96IO | I | 001 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{z}$ ¢ | IIT＇0 | I | 48 |  | $\underline{I} \Leftarrow 6$ | $160^{\circ} 0$ | I | 14 |  |
| $1 ¢_{\text {¢ }}$ | $888^{\circ} 0$ | I | 08 |  |  | $00 \% 0$ | I | I | ZII әрои $\leftarrow$ \＆II əpou |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow$ I | 1600 | I | LL |  | Z $=1$ | $007^{\circ} 0$ | I | ゅ6I |  |
|  | 0010 | I |  | рирэчиаир↔LOL әрои | $\mathrm{Z}^{\circ} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | Etio | I | 6ヤL |  |
| $\mathfrak{L}$ | 8800 | I | $8 \pm 1$ |  | $1 \Leftarrow 6$ | L9T0 | I | IZI |  |
| $\underline{I} \Leftarrow$ | 9900 | I | 97I |  | $\underline{[ } \Leftarrow$ | LIT0 | ［ | L6 |  |
| $\underline{I}=\square$ | $8 \pm 0^{\circ} 0$ | I | 67 L |  | $I \leftarrow \square$ | 950\％ | I | 88 |  |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | 9610 | ［ | 82 |  | L $\leftarrow$ | g6T0 | I | 99 |  |
| $\underline{[ }=6$ | ItI．0 | I | LLI |  | $\underline{6}$ | $160{ }^{\circ}$ | ［ | 96 |  |
| \％$\Leftarrow$ | 009＇0 | I | 691 | L0I әрои $\leftarrow 80$ I әрои | $\underline{\sim}$ ¢ | $000^{\circ} 0$ | I | 6 | ¢II әрои $\leftarrow 67$ I әрои |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow 1$ | g6T．0 | I | 76I |  | LEZ | $690^{\circ} 0$ | I | 08I |  |
| \％$\Leftarrow 1$ | $\angle 90^{\circ} 0$ | I | TLI |  | ¢ヶI | LLOO | I | 8ZI |  |
| $\mathrm{L} \Leftarrow \mathrm{Z}$ | $880^{\circ} 0$ | ［ | $8 \pm 1$ |  | $1 \Leftarrow \%$ | $880^{\circ} 0$ | I | 86 |  |
| $\underline{I} \models^{\circ}$ | 9900 | I | 971 |  | $\underline{L}$ | $008^{\circ}$ | I | 69 | 671 әpouヶも\＆I әрои |
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APPENDIX 3 - continued

| Branch | Char\# | Steps | CI | Change | Branch | Char\# | Steps | CI | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| node $120 \rightarrow$ pseudolinearis | 153 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ | node 124 $\rightarrow$ antarcticus | 8 | 1 | 0.167 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 179 | 1 | 0.083 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 145 | 1 | 0.067 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 146 | 1 | 0.056 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 12 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 173 | 1 | 0.042 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 77 | 1 | 0.091 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 192 | 1 | 0.125 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 80 | 1 | 0.238 | $3 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 193 | 1 | 0.043 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 100 | 1 | 0.125 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ | node 124 $\rightarrow$ ingens | 6 | 1 | 0.045 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 130 | 1 | 0.045 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 10 | 1 | 0.167 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 147 | 1 | 0.042 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 40 | 1 | 0.333 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 158 | 1 | 0.111 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 89 | 1 | 0.059 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 162 | 1 | 0.048 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 97 | 1 | 0.111 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 176 | 1 | 0.063 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 127 | 1 | 0.083 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
| node $123 \rightarrow$ node 122 | 125 | 1 | 0.091 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 152 | 1 | 0.111 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 132 | 1 | 0.077 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 158 | 1 | 0.111 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 153 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ | node $126 \rightarrow$ node 125 | 24 | 1 | 0.143 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
| node $122 \rightarrow$ pooh | 80 | 1 | 0.238 | $3 \Rightarrow 4$ |  | 64 | 1 | 0.143 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 180 | 1 | 0.059 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 83 | 1 | 0.083 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 198 | 1 | 0.500 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 94 | 1 | 0.167 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
| node $122 \rightarrow$ poorei | 6 | 1 | 0.045 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 96 | 1 | 0.100 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 24 | 1 | 0.143 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 119 | 1 | 0.333 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 85 | 1 | 0.083 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 122 | 1 | 0.059 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 88 | 1 | 0.056 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 131 | 1 | 0.143 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 147 | 1 | 0.042 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 135 | 1 | 0.200 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 193 | 1 | 0.043 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 137 | 1 | 0.200 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
| node $128 \rightarrow$ node 127 | 27 | 1 | 0.143 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 141 | 1 | 0.083 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 30 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ | node $125 \rightarrow$ complex | 37 | 1 | 0.200 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 31 | 1 | 0.200 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 78 | 1 | 0.125 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 35 | 1 | 0.167 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 82 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 42 | 1 | 0.167 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 87 | 1 | 0.111 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 62 | 1 | 0.143 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 140 | 1 | 0.200 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 63 | 1 | 0.333 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 145 | 1 | 0.067 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 77 | 1 | 0.091 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 147 | 1 | 0.042 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 84 | 1 | 0.167 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 154 | 1 | 0.059 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 90 | 1 | 0.111 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 173 | 1 | 0.042 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 117 | 1 | 0.111 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 193 | 1 | 0.043 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 119 | 1 | 0.333 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ | node $125 \rightarrow$ simplex | 69 | 1. | 1.000 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 131 | 1 | 0.143 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 92 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
| node $127 \rightarrow$ node 126 | 10 | 1 | 0.167 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 130 | 1 | 0.045 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 28 | 1 | 0.250 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 176 | 1 | 0.063 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 43 | 1 | 0.200 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 177 | 1 | 0.111 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 55 | 1 | 0.111 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 181 | 1 | 0.100 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 79 | 1 | 0.200 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ | node $127 \rightarrow$ debroyeri | 8 | 1 | 0.167 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 85 | 1 | 0.083 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 12 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 154 | 1 | 0.059 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 153 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 176 | 1 | 0.063 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 162 | 1 | 0.048 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 192 | 1 | 0.125 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 195 | 1 | 0.056 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
| node $126 \rightarrow$ node 124 | 84 | 1 | 0.167 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ | node $134 \rightarrow$ node 133 | 32 | 1 | 0.143 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 90 | 1 | 0.111 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 76 | 1 | 0.143 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 103 | 1 | 0.100 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 117 | 1 | 0.111 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 180 | 1 | 0.059 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 146 | 1 | 0.056 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 184 | 1 | 0.083 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 147 | 1 | 0.042 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 188 | 1 | 0.077 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ | node $133 \rightarrow$ node 131 | 14 | 1 | 0.125 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |

рапичииоо

| Z $\Longleftarrow 1$ | III0 | ［ | 98 | рәояиаири↔6\＆I әрои | $\underline{L}$ | 0980 | I | 87 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［ $\Leftarrow \boldsymbol{Z}$ | $880^{\circ} 0$ | ［ | 8 IL |  | $\underline{L}$ | 8ヵI＇0 | I | LT |  |
| ［ | 1900 | I | 971 |  | $\underline{I} \Leftarrow$ | 0070 | I | 97 | 981 әрои $\leftarrow 981$ әрои |
| $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | 8®10 | I | $\boldsymbol{Z T L}$ |  | $\mathbf{Z} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | $291^{\circ} 0$ | I | L6I |  |
| $\underline{T} \Leftarrow$ | 0090 | I | 68 I |  | $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow$ I | L91．0 | I | 06I |  |
| $\underline{L} \Leftarrow \square$ | 0010 | I | 86 L |  | て¢ | $\angle L 0^{\circ} 0$ | I | 88 I |  |
| $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow$ I | 6900 | I | 68 |  | $\mathrm{I} \Leftarrow \mathrm{C}$ | $880^{\circ} 0$ | I | L8I |  |
| $\underline{[ } \Leftarrow$ | 09\％ 0 | I | L9 |  | ठ¢ | $\angle 900$ | I | 88I |  |
| Z¢I | ［LOO | I | 焐I |  | $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | LLO\％ | ［ | も开 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | L60\％ | I | LL | во！риетрлои | $\underline{[ }$ | OOI＇0 | I | 8ZI |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\leftarrow 88 \mathrm{I}$ әрои | $\mathrm{Z}^{\leftarrow}-\mathrm{I}$ | LLO 0 | I | 8GI |  |
| $\square \Leftarrow I$ | 0010 | I | 181 |  |  | 001＊0 | I | 80I |  |
| Z $\Leftarrow 1$ | 6900 | I | ¢GL |  | $9 ¢ 6$ | $88 \square^{\circ} 0$ | I | 08 |  |
| $\underline{[ }$ | 9900 | I | 97I |  | $\mathrm{I} \Leftarrow \mathrm{Z}$ | L9T＇0 | I | 五L |  |
| $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | EDIO | L | 6®I |  | $\mathscr{L}$ | $\angle 200$ | I | 67 |  |
| Z¢ | IIIO | I | 48 |  | 6＝1 | 001\％ | I | 6 |  |
| Z¢I | 09\％ 0 | I | 78 |  | $\underline{L} \Leftarrow$ | 9700 | I | 9 | оэпpupls？¢¢EL әрои |
| Z $=1$ | \＆I＇0 | I | 69 | рұричұәวd $\leftarrow L \varepsilon$ I әрои | $\underline{I} \Leftarrow$ | $8 \pm 00$ | I | 86I |  |
| Z¢I | $\angle 200$ | I | BLI |  | Z¢I | \＆DI＇0 | I | てヵI | ？u！$\leftarrow \leftarrow G E L$ әрои |
| Z¢I | $295^{\circ} 0$ | I | ILI |  | Z $\leftarrow$ | 8800 | I | 78I |  |
| $Z \Leftarrow I$ | $870^{\circ} 0$ | I | 69 L |  | $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | 8800 | I | 6LI |  |
| $\underline{I}$ | $880^{\circ} 0$ | I | 8もI |  | Z $¢ 1$ | $\angle L 0^{\circ} 0$ | I | 8LI |  |
| $\underline{L}$ | 7 700 | I | $\angle も L$ |  | $\underline{¢}$ | Z 700 | I | ELI |  |
| $\sigma \Leftarrow 1$ | GGI．0 | I | 84 |  | $\underline{6} \Leftarrow 1$ | $\angle 20 \% 0$ | I | 991 |  |
| $\underline{L}$ | EDI＇0 | I | 79 |  | $\zeta \Leftarrow I$ | 6900 | I | ZZI |  |
| $\mathrm{Z} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | 00\％ 0 | I | ET |  | $\underline{I} \Leftarrow$ | L9I＇0 | I | TZI |  |
| $\zeta \Leftarrow 1$ | 0010 | I | 6 |  | $\mathrm{Z}^{\leftarrow}$－ | LLOO | I | 87 |  |
| $\underline{I} \Leftarrow$ | $970{ }^{\circ} 0$ | I | 9 | sวdนвоти！$\leftarrow L \& I$ әрои |  | $8 \pm{ }^{\circ} 0$ | I | 96I |  |
| $\underline{[ }$ | 8800 | I | LZI |  | \％$¢$ | $\angle 200$ | I | 88I |  |
| $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow 1$ | $00 \%^{\circ} 0$ | I | 98 |  | $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | $890 \%$ | I | 9LI | әрорпрић $\leftarrow$ ¢\＆I әрои |
| $6 \Leftarrow 1$ | $\angle 20^{\circ} 0$ | I | 87 | L\＆I әроиヶ－88I әрои | ర¢I | 9ZI＇0 | I | 98 I |  |
| $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow$ I | 9900 | I | 961 |  | $\boldsymbol{Z} \leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | 9900 | I | 97I | ？ 0 ¢pups $\leftarrow$ G\＆I əpou |
| $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow 1$ | 00\％ 0 | I | D6I |  | $\mathrm{I} \Leftarrow 6$ | 0010 | I | 8てI |  |
| $\underline{[ }$ | 7 $\ddagger 00$ | I | ELI |  | $\boldsymbol{7} \Leftarrow ⿺$ | 8800 | I | LZI |  |
| 6¢ | III＇0 | I | \％91 |  | $\underline{I} \Leftarrow 6$ | $\angle L 0^{\circ} 0$ | I | 67 | Z\＆I әрои $\leftarrow$ ¢\＆I әрои |
| $\boldsymbol{Z} \leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | \％ 700 | I | LDI | 8\＆I әроиヶ0ヵI әрои | $\mathrm{L} \Leftarrow \mathrm{C}$ | 6900 | I | 08I |  |
| $\underline{[ }$ | gZI＇0 | I | 76I |  | $\underline{L} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{Z}$ | $L 900$ | I | TLI |  |
| Z↔I | 9610 | I | 981 |  | $\underline{I}-Z$ | 7 700 | ［ | LDI |  |
| $\underline{I}$ | O01．0 | I | 181 |  | $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | $\angle L 0^{\circ} 0$ | I | \＆ZI |  |
| $\underline{L}$ | 098．0 | I | もठL | $0 D \mathrm{I}$ әроиヶG8I әрои | $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow$ I | EDIO | ［ | 焐 | plon®uods $\leftarrow 0 ¢ \mathrm{~L}$ әрои |
| $\mathrm{I} \Leftarrow 6$ | 6900 | I | 08I |  | $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | $\angle L O O$ | I | 88I | apl！a↔0\＆I əpou |
| L $\leftarrow$ | 00\％ 0 | I | 071 |  | $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | 9 CIO | I | 98I |  |
| $\underline{L} \leftarrow 7$ | L9T＇0 | I | 88I |  | $\underline{L} \Leftarrow$ | L910 | I | 88I |  |
| $\underline{L} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{Z}$ | 00\％ 0 | I | LEI |  | $L \Leftarrow G$ | EDIO | I | 98I |  |
| $\underline{\leftarrow} \leftarrow$ | \＆もI＇0 | I | 981 |  | $L \leftarrow Z$ | O010 | I | 60 L |  |
| $[\leftarrow \square$ | 00\％ 0 | I | 98L |  | $\boldsymbol{G} \leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | ［600 | ［ | 801 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{6} \leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | 09\％ 0 | I | got |  | \％$¢$ | 1600 | I | 96 |  |
| $\zeta \leftarrow[$ | $000^{\circ}$ | I | 701 |  | $\mathrm{Z} \leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | $\angle L O 0$ | I | $L$ | $0 \varepsilon!$ əpouヶT\＆I әpou |
| Z $\Leftarrow 1$ | \＆88＇0 | I | 86 |  | $\boldsymbol{Z}^{\leftarrow}$ | $\angle 900$ | I | TLI |  |
| $\underline{I}$ | $007 \cdot 0$ | I | 98 |  | $Z \Leftarrow I$ | 8700 | I | 79I |  |
| $\boldsymbol{T} \leftarrow \mathrm{I}$ | $009^{\circ} 0$ | I | 99 |  | Z $\Leftarrow 1$ | \＆tio | L | $\boldsymbol{\square D I}$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{Z} \leftarrow I$ | \＆$\square^{\circ} 0$ | I | $\mp 9$ |  | $\boldsymbol{Z} \Leftarrow 1$ | 6900 | I | 68 |  |
| องิบบบ | $\mathrm{I})$ | sdatS | \＃хеч口 | чэuexя | asuryo | IO | sdə7S | \＃лечО | чour．ı |
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| Branch | Char\# | Steps | CI | Change | Branch | Char\# | Steps | CI | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| node $192 \rightarrow$ Ochlesis lewetzowi | 156 | 1 | 0.077 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 123 | 1 | 0.077 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 158 | 1 | 0.111 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  | 132 | 1 | 0.077 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 183 | 1 | 0.067 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 143 | 1 | 0.500 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 190 | 1 | 0.167 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 144 | 1 | 0.071 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 195 | 1 | 0.056 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 169 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  |  | node $195 \rightarrow$ Lilljeborgia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0.500 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ | fissicornis | 4 | 1 | 0.200 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 13 | 1 | 0.100 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 7 | 1 | 0.077 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 15 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 13 | 1 | 0.100 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 18 | 1 | 0.500 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 19 | 1 | 0.500 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 20 | 1 | 0.250 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 48 | 1 | 0.077 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 22 | 1 | 0.333 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 74 | 1 | 0.167 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 23 | 1 | 0.250 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ |  | 79 | 1 | 0.200 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 24 | 1 | 0.143 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 88 | 1 | 0.056 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 25 | 1 | 0.091 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 121 | 1 | 0.167 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 27 | 1 | 0.143 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 124 | 1 | 0.250 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 30 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 133 | 1 | 0.500 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 31 | 1 | 0.200 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 141 | 1 | 0.083 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |
|  | 36 | 1 | 0.111 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 144 | 1 | 0.071 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 41 | 1 | 0.333 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 155 | 1 | 0.333 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 43 | 1 | 0.200 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 156 | 1 | 0.077 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 45 | 1 | 1.000 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 160 | 1 | 0.333 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 86 | 1 | 0.200 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |  | 161 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 105 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 169 | 1 | 0.250 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 107 | 1 | 0.333 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 193 | 1 | 0.043 | $1 \rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 120 | 1 | 0.500 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 195 | 1 | 0.056 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |
|  | 122 | 1 | 0.059 | $1 \Rightarrow 2$ |  | 196 | 1 | 0.143 | $2 \Rightarrow 1$ |

## APPENDIX 4

DISTRIBUTION OF ALL KNOWN STEGOCEPHALID SPECIES

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | Area |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | Area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Species |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | Species | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Arctic | North <br> Atlantic | South <br> Atlantic | Southern Ocean | Med. | South <br> Pacific | North <br> Pacific | Indian <br> Ocean |
| 76 | Stegocephalus abyssicola | $\mathbf{x}$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | Stegocephalus ampulla | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | Stegocephalus cascadiensis |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| 79 | Stegocephalus inflatus | x | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | Stegocephalus kergueleni |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | Stegocephalus longicornis |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| 82 | Stegocephalus nipoma |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | Stegocephalus rostrata |  |  |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | Stegocephalus similis | $\mathbf{x}$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | Stegomorphia watlingi |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | Stegonomadia biofar | $\mathbf{x}$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87 | Stegonomadia idae |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | Stegonomadia katalia |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | Stegosoladidus antarcticus |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | Stegosoladidus complex |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| 91 | Stegosoladidus debroyeri |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | Stegosoladidus ingens |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | Stegosoladidus simplex |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| 94 | Steleuthera africana |  |  | x |  |  |  | $x(?)$ |  |
| 95 | Steleuthera maremboca |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| 96 | Tetradeion angustipalpa |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| 97 | Tetradeion crassum |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |
| 98 | Tetradeion dampieri |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |
| 99 | Tetradeion latum |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| 100 | Tetradeion quatro |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
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