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#### Abstract

Phylogenetic analyses of molecular data (COI, 16SrDNA, 18SrDNA, and 28SrDNA) show that the hesionid genus Gyptis Marion \& Bobretzky in Marion, 1874, and the tribe Gyptini Pleijel, 1998, are nonmonophyletic as currently delineated. We introduce the new tribe Amphidurini and the new genus Neogyptis to accommodate these new findings. Amphidurini is sister to Gyptini and Ophiodromini and includes Amphiduros Hartman, 1959, Amphiduropsis, Pleijel, 2001, Neogyptis gen. nov., and, possibly, Parahesione Pettibone, 1956. Morphologically, Amphidurini can be separated from Gyptini by the distally, rather than subdistally, inserted ventral cirri, and Neogyptis by the combination of this feature, the presence of a median antenna, and a distal ring with papillae on the proboscis. We redescribe and transfer the four species Gyptis crypta Pleijel, 1993, Gyptis mediterranea Pleijel, 1993, Gyptis plurisetis Hilbig, 1992, and Gyptis rosea (Malm, 1874) from Gyptis to Neogyptis gen. nov., and describe five new species from shallow waters in Belize, Hong Kong, off Vladivostok, and deep-sea hydrothermal vents in the Lau Basin off Fiji.


© 2012 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2012, 165, 471-494.
doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2012.00819.x
ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: genus revision - new combinations - phylogeny.

## INTRODUCTION

The genus Gyptis was introduced by Marion \& Bobretzky in Marion (1874) for the single species Gyptis propinqua Marion \& Bobretzky, 1875, and today includes about 20 described species (Pleijel, 1998). The genus has never been revised world-wide, although Pleijel (1993a) reviewed the European species, and provided a brief review in a revision of

[^0]Hesionidae (Pleijel, 1998). Based on differences in morphology the members of Gyptis can be split into two groups, where the nominotypical group has distinct lip pads and subdistally inserted ventral cirri on the neuropodium, and the second group usually lacks lip pads and always has distally inserted ventral cirri. In a previous analysis, although with limited taxon sampling for the question at hand (Ruta et al., 2007), these two groups came out as nonmonophyletic. We here assess the phylogeny of members of Gyptini, including Amphiduros Hartman, 1959, Gyptis, and Parahesione Pettibone, 1956, in an analysis based on COI, 16S rDNA, 18S rDNA, and 28S rDNA. In order
to accommodate our new findings we introduce the new tribe Amphidurini and the new genus Neogyptis, including five new species from shallow waters in Belize, Hong Kong, and deep-sea hydrothermal vents in the Lau Basin off Fiji, and four new combinations for species transferred from Gyptis to Neogyptis (for simplicity these will be referred to with their new names hereafter in this paper), namely Neogyptis crypta Pleijel, 1993b, comb. nov., Neogyptis mediterranea Pleijel, 1993a, comb. nov., Neogyptis plurisetis Hilbig, 1992, comb. nov., and Neogyptis rosea (Malm, 1874) comb. nov.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Specimens for standard microscopy were relaxed with $7 \%$ magnesium chloride in fresh water, preserved in $10 \%$ formaldehyde in sea water for a few days, rinsed in fresh water and transferred to $70 \%$ alcohol, and specimens for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were fixed in $1 \%$ osmium tetroxide in the magnesium chloride solution for 1 h , rinsed in fresh water, conserved in $70 \%$ alcohol, critical point-dried, and sputtercoated. For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), specimens were fixed in $3 \%$ glutaraldehyde, with 0.2 M sodium cacodylate buffer ( pH 7.4 ) and 0.3 M sucrose. They were then rinsed in buffer at least three times and postfixed with $1 \%$ osmium tetroxide in buffer at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 80 min . Samples were rinsed in buffer three times before dehydration with increasing concentrations of ethanol (finally three times of $100 \%$ ethanol). Specimens were infiltrated and embedded in Spurr's resin and $500-800 \mathrm{~nm}$ sections were cut and stained for 20 min in alcoholic uranyl acetate, rinsed in distilled water, stained for 10 min in lead citrate, rinsed, and examined in a Philips EM400 transmission electron microscope. Specimens for DNA sequencing were preserved directly in $95 \%$ alcohol. Origin of specimens, GenBank accession numbers, and deposition of vouchers are detailed in Table 1. DNATable 2 was extracted using DNAeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen) following the protocol supplied by the manufacturer. We amplified 334-658 bp and 402-519 bp of the mitochondrial genes cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) and 16 S rDNA, respectively, and $739-790 \mathrm{bp}$ and $1638-1778 \mathrm{bp}$ of the nuclear 28 S rDNA and 18 S rDNA, respectively. We used the primers LCO1490, HCO2198 (Folmer et al., 1994) and COI-E for COI (Bely \& Wray, 2004), 16SarL and 16 SbrH for 16 S rDNA (Palumbi, 1996), 28SC1' (Dayrat et al., 2001) and 28SD2 for 28S rDNA (Lê, Lecointre \& Perasso, 1993), and PCR1F, PCR2F, PCR1R, and PCR2R for 18S rDNA (Nygren \& Sundberg, 2003). PCR mixtures contained $21 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ doubledistilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each primer $(10 \mu \mathrm{M}), 2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA template, and puReTaq Ready-To-Go PCR Beads (Amersham Biosciences). The temperature profile was
as follows: $96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 240 \mathrm{~s}-\left(94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}-48-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}-\right.$ $\left.72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 60 \mathrm{~s}\right)^{*} 45$ cycles $-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 480 \mathrm{~s}$. PCR products were purified with a $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ mixture of exonuclease I and FastAP thermosensitive alkaline phosphatase (Fermentas) (Werle et al., 1994). Sequencing was performed at Macrogen Inc. facilities (Seoul, Korea). Overlapping sequence fragments were merged into consensus sequences using GENEIOUS 5.1.7 (Drummond et al., 2010). The protein coding COI was trivial to align, whereas the ribosomal genes were aligned using MAFFT v.6.814b (Katoh et al., 2002) within GENEIOUS 5.1.7 with the following settings: algorithm $=$ E-INS-i, scoring matrix $=200 \mathrm{PAM} / \mathrm{k}=2$, gap open penalty $=1.53$. We used the online GBlocks server v. 0.91b (Castresana, 2002), using the option 'Allow gap positions within the final blocks', to detect alignment-ambiguous sites that were subsequently excluded from the analysis (Gatesy, DeSalle \& Wheeler, 1993). Bayesian analyses (BAs) of the combined data set were run in MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist \& Huelsenbeck, 2003), and the best-fit models were selected using the Akaike information criterion in MrModeltest 2.1 (Nylander, 2004). For all partitions except for the third positions in COI we used a general time reversible model with gamma distributed rate across sites with a proportion of the sites invariable (GTR + G + I). For the third positions in COI we used a GTR + G model. Stationary base frequency priors were set to be equal for the first positions in COI. All partitions were unlinked. The number of generations was set to 15 million with four parallel chains (three hot, one cold), sample frequency was set to 1000 , and number of runs was set to two. One third of the samples was discarded as burn-ins. Parameters were altered in the proposal mechanisms to acquire a span of $20-60 \%$ acceptance rates for the moves in the cold chain of each run (Gelman et al., 2009). The following changes (in italics) were made: change (topology and branch lengths) with extending tree-bisection reconnection ( $T B R$ ), extension probability from 0.8 to 0.45 , and multiplier tuning parameter 0.94 to 0.45 , change (gamma shape) with multiplier, multiplier tuning parameter (lambda) from 0.811 to 1.7, change (topology and branch lengths) with LOCAL, multiplier tuning parameter (lambda) from 0.191 to 0.06 , change (proportion of invariable sites) with sliding window, sliding window size from 0.1 to 0.25 , change (rate multiplier) with Dirichlet proposal, Dirichlet parameter from 500 to 2900, and change (state frequencies) with Dirichlet proposal, Dirichlet parameter from 300 to 650 . Proposal rates were not changed. Rate prior for the partition rate multiplier was set to be variable, and the prior for branch lengths was changed to unconstrained : exponential (100) to avoid the 'land of long trees' (Marshall, 2010). The tree files were analysed in AWTY (Are We There Yet; Nylander et al., 2008) to interpret
Table 1. Origin of sequenced terminals, specification of vouchers and GenBank accession numbers. New sequences are in bold. All vouchers are hologenophores unless otherwise stated (Pleijel et al., 2008)

| Taxon | Origin | Voucher | COI | 16S | 18S | 28S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nereis pelagica | Sweden | - | - | AY340470 | AY340438 | AY340407 |
| Dysponetus caecus | Sweden | - | AF221568 | EU555047 | AY839568 | EU555028 |
| Hesione sp. | New Caledonia | - | - | DQ442615 | DQ442617 | DQ442619 |
| Hesiospina aurantiaca | Sweden | SIO-BIC A2513* | - | JN631319 | JN631329 | JN631342 |
| Hesiospina vestimentifera | Fiji | SIO-BIC A2510* | JN631310 | JN631320 | JN631330 | JN631343 |
| Leocrates chinensis | New Caledonia | - | DQ442565 | DQ442575 | DQ442589 | DQ442605 |
| Micropodarke dubia | New Caledonia | - | - | DQ442576 | DQ442590 | DQ442597 |
| Nereimyra punctata | Sweden | - | DQ442566 | DQ442577 | DQ442591 | DQ442606 |
| Ophiodromus flexuosus | Sweden | - | DQ442567 | DQ442578 | DQ442592 | DQ442607 |
| Ophiodromus pallidus | Sweden | - | - | DQ442579 | DQ442593 | DQ442608 |
| Podarkeopsis arenicolus | France | - | - | - | DQ442594 | DQ442609 |
| Podarkeopsis helgolandicus | Sweden | SIO-BIC A2511*, A2512† | JN631311 | - | JN631331 | JN631344 |
| Psamathe fusca | Sweden | - A | DQ513294 | DQ442581 | DQ442595 | DQ442610 |
| Sirsoe methanicola | Gulf of Mexico | - | DQ513295 | DQ442582 | JN631332 | DQ442611 |
| Syllidia armata | Sweden | - | DQ442568 | DQ442583 | DQ442596 | DQ442612 |
| Vriejenhoekia balaenophila | California | - | DQ513296 | DQ513301 | JN631333 | DQ513306 |
| Amphiduros fuscescens | France | - | DQ442561 | DQ442569 | DQ442584 | DQ442598 |
| Amphiduros pacificus | California | SIO-BIC A2514* ${ }^{*}$ A2515 $\dagger$ | JN631312 | JN631324 | JN631334 | JN631345 |
| Gyptis brunnea | California | FP collection | JN631313 | JN631323 | JN631335 | JN631346 |
| Gyptis golikovi | Svalbard | SIO-BIC A2509*, paragenophore | - | JN631321 | JN631336 | JN631347 |
| Gyptis pacifica | Japan | SIO-BIC A2516*, A2517 $\dagger$ | JN631314 | JN631322 | JN631337 | JN631348 |
| Gyptis paucilineata | Australia, Adelaide | - A | EU498243 | - | - | - |
| Gyptis polymorpha | Australia, Adelaide | - | EU498247 | - | - | - |
| Gyptis propinqua | France, Sweden | - | EU498229 | DQ442573 | DQ442586 | DQ442602 |
| Gyptis simpsonorum | Australia, Adelaide | - | EU498237 | - | - | - |
| Neogyptis carriebowcayi sp. nov. | Belize | SIO-BIC A2468\$, paragenophore | JN631315 | JN631325 | JN631338 | JN631349 |
| Neogyptis fauchaldi sp. nov. | Belize | SIO-BIC A2485, paragenophores | JN631316 | JN631326 | JN631339 | - |
| Neogyptis hinehina sp. nov. | Off Fiji | SIO-BIC A2493, holo- and paragenophores | JN631317 | JN631328 | JN631340 | JN631350 |
| Neogyptis mediterranea | France | - A | DQ442563 | DQ442572 | - | DQ442601 |
| Neogyptis rosea | Norway | - | DQ442564 | DQ442574 | DQ442587 | DQ442603 |
| Neogyptis sp. A | Florida | SIO-BIC A2505 | JN631318 | JN631327 | JN631341 | JN631351 |

[^1]Table 2. Summary of morphological characters of species of Neogyptis

| Species | Eyes | Proboscis papillae | Lip pads | Enlarged vc | Neurochaetae start | Notochaetae start | One-side serrated notochaetae | Dorsal ridges | Maximum known length (mm)/no. of segms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Neogyptis rosea comb. nov. | Brown-black | 35-80 | Absent | Segm 1-4 | Segm 5 | Segm 6 | Present | Weak | 11/36 |
| Neogyptis carriebowcayi sp. nov. | Red | 10 | Absent | Segm 1-4 | Segm 5 | Segm 6 | Present | Absent | 6.5/28 |
| Neogyptis crypta comb. nov. | Red | 10 | Absent | Segm 1-4 | Segm 5 | Segm 5 | Present | High | 7.5/39 |
| Neogyptis fauchaldi sp. nov. | Brown-black | 10 | Absent | Segm 1-4 | Segm 5 | Segm 6 | Present | Weak | 4.5/24 |
| Neogyptis hinehina sp. nov. | Absent | 10 | Absent | Segm 1-3 | Segm 4 | Segm 6 | Present | High | 4.5/27 |
| Neogyptis hongkongensis sp. nov. | Black | 10 | Present | Segm 1-4 | Segm 5 | Segm 6 | Absent | Absent | 2.6/25 |
| Neogyptis mediterranea comb. nov. | Red | 20-32 | Absent | Segm 1-4 | Segm 5 | Segm 6 | Present | High | 7/32 |
| Neogyptis plurisetis comb. nov. | Red | 35-40 | Unknown | Segm 1-4 | Segm 5 | Segm 6 | Present | Uncertain | Unknown |
| Neogyptis vostokensis sp. nov. | Black | 10 | Absent | Segm 1-4 | Segm 5 | Segm 6 | Absent | Weak | 4.5/27 |
| Neogyptis sp. A | Red | 10 | Absent | Segm 1-4 | Segm 5 | Segm 6 | Present | Uncertain | Incomplete |

[^2]visually if the analyses had reached the stationary phase.

Maximum parsimony analyses (PAs) of the concatenated data set were executed in PAUP* v. 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2001) using a heuristic search, TBR branch swapping, with 1000 random-addition starting tree replicates, and assessed support via 1000 jackknife replicates ( $37 \%$ deletion according to Farris et al., 1996). Two PAs were performed, one with and one without the third positions of COI included. The maximum likelihood (ML) result was inferred using RAxML 7.2.3 (Stamatakis, 2006) with the GTRGAMMA model for each of the gene partitions. The proportion of invariable sites and gamma distribution shape parameter for the variable sites were estimated during the ML analysis. 'Multiparametric' bootstrapping (command $-b=$ nonparametric bootstrapping) with random seed value 12345 was carried out with 1000 replicates using the same model as the ML search. Alignments are available from the last author.

Abbreviations used for museums and other depositories are NHMG, Gothenburg Museum of Natural History; SAM, South Australian Museum, Adelaide; SIO-BIC, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, Benthic Invertebrate Collection, La Jolla; SMNH, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm; USNM, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC; ZMUB, Zoological Museum, University of Bergen; ZMUU, Zoological Museum, University of Uppsala; NMW, National Museum of Wales, Cardiff.

## PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS OF GYPTINI

In order to assess positions and delineations of Amphidurini, new tribe, and Neogyptis gen. nov., we performed Bayesian, maximum likelihood, and parsimony analyses of the mitochondrial COI and 16 SrDNA , and the nuclear 18 SrDNA and 28 SrDNA from a number of putative members of these two taxa and outgroups.

The combined data set of COI, 16S rDNA, 28S rDNA, and 18 S rDNA consists of 4090 aligned positions. Amongst the 3596 characters left after excluding alignment ambiguous regions, 1224 are variable and 820 are parsimony-informative.

The topologies from the BA and ML are fully congruent (Fig. 1) and show that Gyptini and Gyptis as traditionally delineated (e.g. Pleijel, 1998) are nonmonophyletic. The nominotypical group of Gyptis, characterized morphologically by subdistally inserted ventral cirri, is the sister taxon to Ophiodromus M. Sars, 1862 and Podarkeopsis Laubier, 1961, whereas those Gyptis species that have distally inserted ventral cirri instead are the sister group to Amphiduros Hartman, 1959. We refer these to the new
genus Neogyptis, and together with Amphiduros, to the new tribe Amphidurini. Neogyptis has strong support in all three analyses, whereas Amphidurini has highest possible support in BA, but only 0.78 in ML and PA. Together with the five new species (of which only three are included in Fig. 1 because we lack molecular data for two of them), the taxon Neogyptis at present includes nine species.

Our initial parsimony analysis differed from BA and ML mainly in that Neogyptis, as delineated here, was paraphyletic with the exclusion of Amphiduros (result not shown). However, when removing the third positions of COI the result was identical to the two other analyses with Neogyptis and Amphiduros as nonnested taxa, and we attributed the first result to the higher sensitivity of noise in PA. Following the removal of the third positions a monophyletic Neogyptis also received high support in PA (support values for this second analysis are shown in Fig. 1). We therefore chose to delineate Neogyptis as in Figure 1. Furthermore, and with due consideration to differences in terminals, the topology in Figure 1 is also fully congruent with the analysis of Ruta et al. (2007).

Although not within the scope of this study, Hesiospina Imajima \& Hartman, 1964, in the analysis is represented by the two species Hesiospina aurantiaca (M. Sars, 1862) and Hesiospina vestimentifera Blake, 1985, and these appear in a grade together with Micropodarke Okuda, 1938, and Psamathe Johnston, 1836, thus bringing into question the monophyly of Hesiospina. The matter warrants further study based on more dense taxon sampling of Hesiospina and relatives.

## TAXONOMY

Ophiodrominae Pleijel, 1998

## AMPHIDURINI NEW TRIBE

Description: Median antenna dorsally inserted, terminal ring of proboscis papillae present or absent, lip pads absent or present, enlarged dorsal cirri on segment $1-5$, enlarged ventral cirri and cirrophores on segment $1-3$ or $1-4$, neurochaetae from segment 4 or 5 , notochaetae from segment 5 or 6 , notochaetae and notopodial lobes well developed, dorsal ridges absent or present, elevated dorsal cirri on segment 5, $8,10,12,15,17,19,21$, and 23 , distally inserted ventral cirri, and pygidial papilla absent.

Remarks: Amphidurini, new tribe, includes Amphiduros Hartman, 1959, Amphiduropsis Pleijel, 2001, and Neogyptis gen. nov. Parahesione Pettibone, 1956, may or may not be a member of Amphidurini; it shares the distally inserted ventral cirri but lacks a median antenna.


Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships of Amphidurini, new tribe, and Neogyptis gen. nov. Majority rule consensus tree from the Bayesian analysis together with support values from the maximum likelihood and parsimony analyses (with the third positions excluded). First node values represent posterior probabilities from the Bayesian analysis, second bootstrap support from the maximum likelihood analysis, and third jackknife support from the parsimony analysis. Asterisks denote 1.0 posterior probabilities or $100 \%$ bootstrap support. Only values $\geq 0.75$ and $75 \%$, respectively, are displayed. Branch length of the two outgroups are truncated and the values are instead given within parentheses after the taxon names.

## NEOGYPTIS GEN. NOV.

Type species: Ophiodromus roseus Malm, 1874: 82.
Etymology: 'neo' is Latin for new, 'Gyptis' is the genus to which several of the Neogyptis members were previously allocated. Gender feminine.

Description: Terminal ring of proboscis papillae present, lip pads usually absent (present in Neogyptis hongkongensis sp. nov.), neurochaetae usually from segment 5 (from segment 4 in Neogyptis hinehina sp. nov.), notochaetae usually from segment 6 (from segment 5 in Neogyptis crypta sp. nov.), transverse dorsal ridges absent or present.

Remarks: Neogyptis includes Neogyptis carriebowcayi sp. nov., N. crypta Pleijel, 1993b, comb. nov., Neogyptis
fauchaldi sp. nov., N. hinehina sp. nov., N. hongkongensis sp. nov., N. mediterranea Pleijel, 1993a, comb. nov., N. plurisetis Hilbig, 1992, comb. nov., N. rosea (Malm, 1874) comb. nov., Neogyptis vostokensis sp. nov., and Neogyptis sp. A. A summary of characters separating the species is provided in Table 2.

There are no currently known morphological apomorphies for Neogyptis at the exclusion of Amphiduros. However, the molecular data provide strong support for a sister group relationship between the two taxa.

NEOGYPTIS ROSEA (MALM, 1874) COMB. NOV. (Figs 2, 3)
Ophiodromus roseus Malm, 1874: 82.
Gyptis rosea Eliason, 1962: 238-240, figure 9; Haaland \& Schram, 1982: 107-118, figures 1-9;


Figure 2. Neogyptis rosea comb. nov., live relaxed mature female from Bohuslän, Sweden, 10.5 mm long excluding cirri. A, dorsal view. B, ventral view.

Pleijel, 1993a: 172-176, figures 9, 10: figure 26; Hartmann-Schröder, 1996: 132 [not $G$. rosea sensu Hartmann-Schröder (1971: 132-134, figure 43, and Helgason et al. (1990)]

Type material: Holotype (NHMG 901).
Type locality: Sweden, Bohuslän, Gullmarsfjorden, 82 m , mud.

Material examined: SWEDEN. Holotype (NHMG 901), Bohuslän, Gullmarsfjorden, 82 m , mud; two specimens (spms; NHMG 12805a, b), Gullmarsfjorden, Skår,

110 m, mud, 25.v.1963; one spm (FP collection, fixed in formaldehyde), Bohuslän, Singlefjord, $59^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $11^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 80-84 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, detritus sledge, collected by (coll.) FP 27.xii.1988; two spms (FP collection, fixed in formaldehyde), same locality data, coll. FP 14.vi.1989; six spms (FP collection, four fixed in formaldehyde), same locality data, coll. FP 11.iv.1990; three spms (FP collection, fixed in osmium and mounted on SEM stubs), same locality data, coll. FP 26.ii.1991; five spms (FP collection, fixed in formaldehyde), same locality data, coll. FP 2.ix.1991; five spms (FP collection, fixed in formaldehyde), same locality data, coll. FP 15.ix.1991; six spms (FP collection, four fixed in form-


Figure 3. Neogyptis rosea comb. nov., scanning electron microscopy photographs of specimens from Bohuslän, Sweden. A, anterior end, anterodorsal view. B, anterior end, right side. C, median parapodia, anterodorsal view. D, capillary notochaetae with alternating rows of teeth. E , median parapodia, ventral view. Scale bars: $\mathrm{A}=250 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{E} 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, D $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; A and B same scale.
aldehyde, two fixed in osmium and mounted on SEM stubs), Bohuslän, Koster area, W Svartskär, $58^{\circ} 54.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $11^{\circ} 05.0^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, \quad 100-150 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, dredge, coll. FP 22.ix.1989; one spm (FP collection, fixed in formaldehyde), Bohuslän, Koster area, South of Yttre Vattenholmen, $58^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 11^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 50-140 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, dredge, coll. FP 1.x.1990; four spms (FP collection, fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol), Bohuslän, Koster area, S Yttre Vattenholmen, $58^{\circ} 52.31^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 11^{\circ} 05.89^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 196-210 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, detritus sledge, coll. FP 28.xi.1995; one spm (FP collection, fixed in osmium and mounted on stub), same locality data, coll. FP 17.viii.1991; two spms (one fixed in formaldehyde and one in osmium and mounted on SEM stub), same locality data, coll. FP 7.ix.1991; three spms (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $58^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 10^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 295 \mathrm{~m}$, grab, 27.vi.1933; two spms (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $58^{\circ} 02.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 09^{\circ} 29.5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 478 \mathrm{~m}$, grab, 30.vi.1933; one spm (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $58^{\circ} 02.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 09^{\circ} 29.5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 427 \mathrm{~m}$, grab, 1.vii.1933; one spm (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $58^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 08^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 225 \mathrm{~m}$, grab, 2.vii.1933; one spm (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $58^{\circ} 02.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 08^{\circ} 13.5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 241 \mathrm{~m}$, grab, 4.vii.1933; four spms (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $57^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, ~ 08^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 358 \mathrm{~m}$, grab, 5.vii. 1933 ; one spm
(ZMUU), Skagerrak, $57^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 08^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 421 \mathrm{~m}$, grab, 6.vii.1933; three spms (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $57^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$ N, $08^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 510 \mathrm{~m}$, Agassiz trawl, 6.vii.1933; two spms (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $57^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 06^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 384 \mathrm{~m}$, grab, 7.vii.1933; one spm (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $58^{\circ} 59.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $06^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 290 \mathrm{~m}$, dredge, 12.vii. 1933 ; six spms (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $58^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 10^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 270 \mathrm{~m}$, Agassiz trawl, 14.vii.1933; five spms (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $10^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 300 \mathrm{~m}$, Agassiz trawl, 15.vii.1933; one spm (ZMUU), Skagerrak, $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 10^{\circ} 32.5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 175 \mathrm{~m}$, Agassiz trawl, 15.vii.1933; one spm (FP collection, fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol), Bohuslän, Persgrunden, $58^{\circ} 43.014^{\prime}-58^{\circ} 42.96^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 10^{\circ} 51.89 .044^{\prime}-10^{\circ} 51.790^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, 44-64 m, mud and stones, dredge, coll. FP 3.ix.2004; one spm (FP collection, fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol), Bohuslän, Koster area, North of Nord Hällsö, $58^{\circ} 58.335-$ $58^{\circ} 58$. $415^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 11^{\circ} 04.348-11^{\circ} 04$. $414^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 134-129 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, dredge, coll. FP 26.iii.2007; one spm (FP collection, fixed in osmium and mounted on SEM stub), Bohuslän, Koster area, S Yttre Vattenholmen, $58^{\circ} 52.132^{\prime}-58^{\circ} 51.809^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 11^{\circ} 06.235^{\prime}-11^{\circ} 06.434^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, 170 m , mud, detritus sledge, coll. FP 16.i.2006; two spms (FP collection, fixed in formaldehyde), Bohuslän,

Koster area, S Yttre Vattenholmen, $58^{\circ} 51.905^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $11^{\circ} 06.511^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 125 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, detritus sledge, coll. FP 26.vi.2007; two spms (FP collection, fixed in formaldehyde), Bohuslän, Koster area, S Yttre Vattenholmen, $58^{\circ} 52.425^{\prime}-\quad 58^{\circ} 51.905^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 11^{\circ} 06.053^{\prime}-11^{\circ} 06.511^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, 130-140 m, mud, detritus sledge, coll. FP 5.x.2007; two spms (FP collection, fixed in formaldehyde), same locality data, coll. FP 10.x.2007; one spm (FP collection, fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol), Bohuslän, Koster area, S Yttre Vattenholmen, $58^{\circ} 52.238^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 11^{\circ} 06.274^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 110-$ 133 m , mud, detritus sledge, coll. FP 15.xii.2009. NORWAY. One spm (ZMUB), Skagerrak, $57^{\circ} 49.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $08^{\circ} 12.5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 500 \mathrm{~m}$, RP-sledge, 15.iii.1990; one spm (ZMUB), Skagerrak, $\quad 57^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 08^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, \quad 500 \mathrm{~m}$, RP-sledge, 15.iii.1990; one spm (FP collection), Trondheimsfjorden, $63^{\circ} 27.90^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 10^{\circ} 06.40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 503 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, detritus sledge, coll. FP 21.viii.1995; ten spms (FP collection, fixed in ethanol, four destroyed for DNA sequencing), Trondheimsfjorden, $63^{\circ} 29.24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $10^{\circ} 22.43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 271-334 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, detritus sledge, coll. FP 15.i.2002.

Description: Length up to 11 mm for 36 segments. Live animals transparent with light yellow gut; eyes brownish black. Body outline in dorsal view elliptical with median parapodia much longer than anterior and posterior ones (Fig. 2A, B). Prostomium rounded rectangular, wider than long (Fig. 3A). Palpophores cylindrical, palpostyles evenly tapering to rounded tips; palpophores and palpostyles of equal length (Fig. 3A, B). Paired antennae as long as palps but much thinner, tapering, distal-most third forming demarcated tips. Median antenna of similar shape to paired antennae but much shorter, inserted centrally on dorsal part of prostomium (Fig. 3A). Eyes small, anterior pair larger and positioned slightly further apart. Nuchal organs ciliated bands along lateral sides of prostomium (Fig. 3A, B). Lip pads absent. Proboscis with rugose surface on proximal ring, distal ring smooth, opening with terminal ring of long, thin papillae (Fig. 3A, B), number 35-80 (size-dependent). Non-everted proboscis reaching segment 10. Anterior segmental delineations indistinct, most part of segment 1 dorsally reduced. Dorsal cirri and cirrophores segment 1-5 much longer and stouter than following ones, with dorsal cirri segment 1 reaching about segment 10, dorsal cirri segment 2 reaching about segment 18 , dorsal cirri segment 3 reaching about segment 12 , dorsal cirri segment 4 reaching about segment 18 , and dorsal cirri segment 5 reaching about segment 17 (Fig. 2A, B). Ventral cirri segment $1-4$ with welldelineated cirrophores and longer and stouter cirri than on following segments, with ventral cirri segment 1 reaching about segment 7 , ventral cirri segment 2 reaching about segment 9 , ventral cirri segment 3 reaching about segment 7 , and ventral cirri segment 4
reaching about segment 10 (Fig. 2A, B). Segment 5 with neuropodial lobes, neurochaetae, and ventral cirri similar to following segments, segment 6 with notopodial lobes and notochaetae. Elevated and slightly stouter dorsal cirri on segment $8,12,15,17,19,21$, and 23. Dorsal cirri reaching as far as or slightly further than chaetae. Weakly developed transverse dorsal ridges across median and posterior segments. Notopodial aciculary lobes conical. Notochaetae of three kinds; few dorsally bent aciculary chaetae emerging near tip of acicula, large number of capillary chaetae with two alternating rows of teeth (Fig. 3D), and few, ventrally positioned curved chaetae with conical side subdistally serrated (Pleijel, 1993a: fig. 1H). Prechaetal neuropodial lobes elongated rounded to conical (Fig. 1C). Forty to 50 neurochaetae, all unidentate compounds, dorsal and median blades up to five times longer than ventral ones. Usually double noto- and neuroaciculae. Ventral cirri inserted distally near tip of neuropodium, tapering to fine points (Fig. 3E). Pygidium with long pygidial cirri, similar in shape to dorsal cirri; pygidial papilla absent.

Biology: Females with eggs found in Sweden in April to August, the former immature. Mature eggs pink (Fig. 2A, B), about $50-60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter (the colour of mature females explains Malm's choice of the specific epithet 'rosea'). Haaland \& Schram (1982) provide descriptions of both adults and juvenile stages from the Oslofjord.

Habitat: Mud, 44-510 m.
Distribution: Northern part of the Swedish west coast, Skagerrak, the Oslofjord, and the Trondheimsfjord.

Remarks: Records and descriptions of $N$. rosea (as Gyptis rosea) by Hartmann-Schröder (1971) and Helgason et al. (1990) refer to members of Gyptis sensu stricto, rather than to Neogyptis, possibly to G. propinqua.

## Neogyptis carriebowcayi sp. nov. (Figs 4, 5)

Type material: Holotype (SIO-BIC A2467), + 100 paratypes (SIO-BIC 2468-2476, 2508).

Type locality: Belize, north of Carrie Bow Cay, $16^{\circ} 48.805^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 04.902^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$, sand and amongst Halimeda algae.

Etymology: Named after Carrie Bow Cay, the type locality.

Material examined: BELIZE. Holotype (SIO-BIC A2467, fixed in formaldehyde), Carrie Bow Cay, $16^{\circ} 48.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 04.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$, sand and Thalassia,


Figure 4. Neogyptis carriebowcayi sp. nov., dorsal view of live relaxed paratype (SIO-BIC A2475), 3.7 mm long excluding cirri.

SCUBA, coll. FP 18-26.ix.1997; 13 paratypes (SIOBIC A2468, fixed in formaldehyde), same collection data; five spms fixed in osmium and mounted on SEM stubs (FP collection), same collection data; +30 paratypes (SIO-BIC A2469, fixed in formaldehyde), Carrie Bow Cay, off reef, 26 m , Halimeda sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 23.ix.1997; + 25 paratypes (SIO-BIC A2470, fixed in formaldehyde), Curley Cay, $16^{\circ} 47.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $88^{\circ} 04.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$ coarse sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 22.ix.1997; three paratypes (SIO-BIC A2506, one spm fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol); one spm (SIO-BIC A2471, fixed in formaldehyde), Carrie Bow Cay, $16^{\circ} 48.162^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $88^{\circ} 04.913^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$, sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 3.xi.2006; one paratype (SIO-BIC A2472, fixed in formaldehyde) and six spms (fixed in 95\% ethanol, destroyed for DNA sequencing), Twin Cays, Lair Channel, $16^{\circ} 49.675^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $88^{\circ} 06.047^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$, mangrove, SCUBA, coll. FP 5.xi.2006; nine paratypes (SIO-BIC A2507, two spms fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol, SIO-BIC A2473, eight spms fixed in formaldehyde), and 11 spms (FP collection), fixed in osmium and mounted on SEM stubs, Carrie Bow Cay, outside reef, $16^{\circ} 48.190^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 04.669^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 15 \mathrm{~m}$, Halimeda sand, SCUBA, coll. GR 8.xi.2006; 20 paratypes (SIO-BIC A2474, five spms fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol; SIO-BIC A2475, six spms fixed in formaldehyde), south of Carrie Bow Cay, Curlew Bank, $16^{\circ} 47.311^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $88^{\circ} 04.575^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 15 \mathrm{~m}$, coll. GR 10.xi.2006; six paratypes (SIO-BIC A2508, fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol), north of Carrie Bow Cay, $16^{\circ} 48.805^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 04.902^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}$, Halimeda sand, coll. FP 11.xi.2006; ten paratypes (SIO-BIC A2476, fixed in formaldehyde), same collection data; ten spms (FP collection, fixed in osmium and mounted on SEM stubs), same collection data.

Description: Length up to 6.5 mm for 28 segments (holotype, measured live, relaxed). Live animals transparent with light yellow gut (Fig. 4). Preserved animals whitish, some with dark dorsal and ventral
cirri. Eye colour red, pigment often lost in preserved specimens. Body outline elongated elliptical to cylindrical (Fig. 4) Prostomium slightly wider than long (Fig. 5A). Palpophores cylindrical, palpostyles elongated, evenly tapering to rounded tips; palpostyles longer than palpophores (Fig. 5A, B). Paired antennae slightly thinner and shorter than palps, of even width with last third tapering to fine tips. Median antenna similar to paired antennae but much shorter (Fig. 5B), inserted well in front of eyes. Eyes large, anterior pair twice as large as posterior and positioned further apart, both pairs almost coalescing. Nuchal organs ciliated bands along lateral sides of prostomium, almost meeting mid-dorsally (Fig. 5A). Lip pads absent. Proboscis smooth, with proximal and distal ring, opening with terminal ring of ten conical papillae (Fig. 5C). Non-everted proboscis reaching segment 8-9 (Fig. 4). Segment 1 dorsally reduced, following segments fully developed. Dorsal cirri and cirrophores segment 1-5 longer and stouter than following ones, with dorsal cirri segment 1 reaching about segment $8-9$, dorsal cirri segment 2 reaching about segment 11 , dorsal cirri segment 3 reaching about segment 11-12, dorsal cirri segment 4 reaching about segment 11, and dorsal cirri segment 5 reaching about segment $11-12$. Ventral cirri segment $1-4$ with well-delineated cirrophores and much longer and stouter cirri than on following segments, with ventral cirri segment 1 reaching about segment $5-6$, ventral cirri segment 2 reaching about segment 6-7, ventral cirri segment 3 reaching about segment 7 , and ventral cirri segment 4 reaching about segment $7-8$. Segment 5 with neuropodial lobes, neurochaetae, and ventral cirri similar to following segments, segment 6 with notopodial lobes and notochaetae. Elevated and longer dorsal cirri on segment $8,12,15,17,19,21$, and 23 . Shorter dorsal cirri reaching as far as or slightly further than chaetae (Fig. 5D). Transverse dorsal ridges absent. Notopodial


Figure 5. Neogyptis carriebowcayi sp. nov., scanning electron microscopy photographs of specimens from the type locality. A, anterior end, dorsal view. B, anterior end, ventral view. C, proboscis opening. D, parapodia segment 5-7, left side, dorsal view. E, parapodia segment 6-8, right side, anteroventral view. F, posterior end, ventral view. Scale bars = A, B, D-F $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
aciculary lobes conical. Notochaetae of three kinds, single bent chaeta emerging near tip of acicula, about 25 capillary chaetae with two alternating rows of teeth, and two to three ventrally positioned curved chaetae with conical side subdistally serrated. Prechaetal neuropodial lobes elongated triangular. About 25 neurochaetae, all unidentate compounds, dorsal and median blades up to four times longer than ventral. Usually single noto- and neuroaciculae. Ventral cirri
inserted distally on neuropodium, tapering to fine points (Fig. 5E). Pygidial cirri much longer than dorsal cirri; pygidial papilla absent (Fig. 5F).
Biology: Not fully mature females and males observed in September and November (the only months when specimens were collected).

Habitat: Found in sand and coarse sand at $1-26 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.

Distribution: Only known from Carrie Bow Cay and Twin Cays in Belize.

Remarks: Neogyptis carriebowcayi shares red eye colour with $N$. crypta and $N$. mediterranea, but differs from the former in having notochaetae from segment 6 , rather than from segment 5 , and from the latter in having ten papillae in the terminal proboscis ring, rather than 20-32, and in the absence of high dorsal ridges on the posterior half of dorsum.

NEOGYPTIS CRYPTA (PLEIJEL, 1993b) COMB. NOV.
Gyptis crypta Pleijel, 1993b: 238-242, figure 2.
Gyptis vittata Gardiner, 1976: 119, figure 81; Uebelacker, 1984: 28.29-28.31, figure 28 [not Gyptis vittata Webster \& Benedict, 1887].

Type material: Holotype (USNM 52893) and 31 paratypes (USNM 52892-52894, 45534, 75478, 75319, 157615).

Type locality: USA, North Carolina, Wrightsville Beach, Banks Channel, intertidally in burrow of Notomastus lobatus.

Material examined: NORTH CAROLINA. One paratype (USNM 52892), Wrightsville Beach, Banks Channel, intertidal in burrow of N. lobatus, 20.vii.1974; holotype (USNM 52893) and five paratypes (USNM157615), same locality, 18.viii.1974; five paratypes (USNM 52894), same locality, 27.ii.1975. FLORIDA. 18 paratypes (USNM 45534), Tampa Bay, 1963; one paratype (USNM 75319), off south-west Florida, $24^{\circ} 47.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, ~ 82^{\circ} 13.16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 24 \mathrm{~m}$, vii.1981; MISSISSIPPI. One paratype (USNM 75478), off Mississippi, $\quad 30^{\circ} 16.27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 88^{\circ} 36.42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, \quad 3.4 \mathrm{~m}$, 24.x.1980).

Description: Length up to 7.5 mm for 39 segments. Live animals opaque with yellowish gut; eyes red. Body outline in dorsal view slightly elliptical, posteriorly tapered. Prostomium rounded rectangular, wider than long. Palpophores cylindrical, palpostyles thinner, tapering to rounded tips; palpophores as long as or slightly longer than palpostyles. Paired antennae tapering, slightly longer than or as long as palps, distal-most third forming well-demarcated tips. Median antenna similar to paired antennae but much shorter, inserted on or just in front of line between anterior pair of eyes. Eyes small, anterior pair larger and positioned slightly further apart. Nuchal organs ciliated bands along lateral sides of prostomium. Lip pads absent. Proboscis smooth, with proximal and distal ring, opening with terminal ring of ten conical papillae. Non-everted probos-
cis reaching segment 9 . Segment 1 dorsally reduced, segments 2 and 3 possibly dorsally fused. Dorsal cirri and cirrophores segment $1-5$ much longer and stouter than following ones, with dorsal cirri segment 3 and 4 reaching about segment $10-11$. Ventral cirri segment $1-4$ with well-delineated cirrophores and longer and stouter cirri than on following segments. Segment 5 with notopodial lobes and notochaetae, and neuropodial lobes and neurochaetae. Transverse dorsal ridges across median and posterior segments. Dorsal cirri reaching as far or slightly further than chaetae. Notopodial aciculary lobes conical. Notochaetae of three kinds; five to ten dorsally bent aciculary chaetae inserted anterior to other chaetae, large number of capillary chaetae with two alternating rows of teeth, and few, ventrally positioned curved chaetae with conical side subdistally serrated. Prechaetal neuropodial lobes elongated conical. Twenty to 40 neurochaetae, all compound and unidentate, with dorsal and median blades up to five times longer than ventral. Usually double noto- and neuroaciculae. Ventral cirri inserted distally near tip of neuropodium, tapering to fine points. Pygidium with long pygidial cirri, longer than dorsal cirri; pygidial papilla absent.

Habitat: Sand and mixed bottoms intertidally to 24 m depth, reported several times from burrows of the polychaete N. lobatus (Gardiner, 1976; Uebelacker, 1984).

Distribution: North Carolina, Florida, and off Mississippi.

Remarks: The description above is based on Pleijel (1993b), which also includes illustrations of the species. Neogyptis crypta comb. nov. is unique amongst Amphidurini in having both noto- and neuropodial lobes and chaetae starting on segment 5; usually in related taxa the notopodial lobes and notochaetae do not appear until on the following segment.

## NEOGYPTIS FAUCHALDI SP. NOV. (Figs 6-8)

Type material: Holotype (SIO-BIC A2477), +60

Type locality: Belize, Carrie Bow Cay, $16^{\circ} 48.162^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $88^{\circ} 04.913^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$, sand.

Etymology: Named after maestro Kristian Fauchald.
Material examined: BELIZE. Holotype (SIO-BIC A2477, fixed in formaldehyde), Carrie Bow Cay, $16^{\circ} 48.162^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 04.913^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$, sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 2.xi.2006; six paratypes (SIO-BIC A2478, fixed in


Figure 6. Neogyptis fauchaldi sp. nov., dorsal view of live relaxed female paratype (SIO-BIC A2490) from Carrie Bow Cay, Belize, 4.6 mm long excluding cirri.
formaldehyde), Carrie Bow Cay, $16^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, 1 m , sand and Thalassia, SCUBA, coll. FP 10.v.1993; four paratypes (SIO-BIC A2479, fixed in formaldehyde), Carrie Bow Cay, $16^{\circ} 48.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $88^{\circ} 04.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$, sand and Thalassia, SCUBA, coll. FP 3-10.v.1997; 15 spms (in authors' collections, fixed in glutaraldehyde and osmium for TEM and SEM), same collection data; seven paratypes (SIOBIC A2480, fixed in formaldehyde), reef 200 m east of Carrie Bow Cay, $10-15 \mathrm{~m}$, sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 19.ix.1997; three paratypes (SIO-BIC A2481, fixed in formaldehyde), east of Carrie Bow Cay, off First Reef, 30 m , sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 21.ix.1997; five spms (FP collection, fixed in osmium for SEM), same collection data; six paratypes (SIO-BIC A2482, fixed in formaldehyde), Curley Cay, $16^{\circ} 47.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $88^{\circ} 04.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$, coarse sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 22.ix.1997; ten paratypes (SIO-BIC A2483, fixed in formaldehyde), Carrie Bow Cay, off First Reef, East Carrie Bow Cay, 26 m , sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 23.ix.1997; three spms (fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol, destroyed for DNA sequencing), same collection data; six paratypes (SIO-BIC A2484, fixed in formaldehyde), East Carrie Bow Cay, off First Reef, 12 m , sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 23.ix.1997; two paratypes (SIO-BIC A2485, fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol), same collection data as holotype; two spms (fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol, destroyed for DNA sequencing), same collection data as holotype; one paratype (SIO-BIC A2486, fixed in formaldehyde), Twin Cays, $16^{\circ} 49.991^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 06.240^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$, sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 3.xi.2006; two paratypes (SIO-BIC A2487, fixed in formaldehyde), Carrie Bow Cay, off reef, $16^{\circ} 48.190^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 88^{\circ} 04.669^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, 15 m , Halimeda sand, SCUBA, coll. GR 8.xi.2006; one paratype (SIO-BIC A2488, fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol), same collection data; ten paratypes (SIO-BIC A2489, fixed in formalde-
hyde), Curlew Bank, $16^{\circ} 47.311^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 04.575^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$, sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 10.xi.2006; five paratypes (SIO-BIC A2490, fixed in formaldehyde), Carrie Bow Cay, off reef crest, $16^{\circ} 48.065^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 08.847^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 3 \mathrm{~m}$, sand, SCUBA, coll. FP 13.xi.2006.

Description: Length up to 4.5 mm for 24 segments. Live animals transparent with yellow gut; eyes brownish black. Body outline cylindrical with tapering posterior-most end (Fig. 6). Prostomium rounded quadrangular, as wide as long. Palpophores cylindrical, palpostyles evenly tapering to rounded tips; palpophores shorter than palpostyles (Fig. 7A, B). Paired antennae as long as palps but slightly thinner, tapering, distal-most third forming demarcated tips. Median antenna weakly club-shaped, much shorter than paired antennae (Fig. 7B), inserted anterior to anterior pair of eyes. Eyes medium-sized, anterior pair kidney-shaped, posterior pair smaller and rounded; both pairs positioned almost along transverse line (Fig. 6). Nuchal organs ciliated bands along dorsolateral sides of prostomium (Fig. 7A, C). Proboscis with smooth distal and terminal rings, opening with terminal ring of ten very small papillae (Fig. 7C). Lip pads absent. Non-everted proboscis reaching segment 10. Anterior segmental delineations indistinct, most part of segment 1 reduced. Dorsal cirri and cirrophores segment 1-5 longer and stouter than following ones, distinctly annulated. Ventral cirri segment 1-4 with well-delineated cirrophores and longer and stouter cirri than on following segments. Segment 5 with neuropodial lobes, neurochaetae and ventral cirri similar to following segments, segment 6 with notopodial lobes and notochaetae. Alternation in length and direction of dorsal cirri not observed. Dorsal cirri reaching as long as or slightly beyond chaetae. Weakly developed transverse dorsal ridges across posterior


Figure 7. Neogyptis fauchaldi sp. nov., scanning electron microscopy photographs of specimens from the type locality. A, anterior end, dorsal view. B, anterior end, ventral view. C , anterior end, right side. D , median parapodia, anterodorsal view. E, median parapodia, right side, ventral view. F, posterior end, ventral view. Scale bars: A, B, C, E $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{D}=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~F}=60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
segments. Notopodial aciculary lobes conical (Fig. 7D). Notochaetae of three kinds; single dorsally bent aciculary chaetae inserted near tip of notoaciculae, $c$. ten capillary chaetae with two alternating rows of teeth, and few, ventrally positioned curved chaetae with conical side subdistally serrated. Prechaetal neuropodial lobes conical (Fig. 7D). About 15 neurochaetae, all compound and unidentate, with dorsal and median blades up to three times longer than ventral. Single
noto- and neuroaciculae. Ventral cirri inserted distally on neuropodium, tapering to fine points (Fig. 7E). Pygidial cirri much longer than dorsal cirri; pygidial papilla absent (Fig. 7F).

Biology: Mature specimens observed both in May and November. Mature eggs weak pink, size $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The sperm of $N$. fauchaldi sp . nov., appears to be that of an animal with broadcast spawning. It has a spheri-


Figure 8. Neogyptis fauchaldi sp. nov., specimen from the type locality, transmission electron micrograph of a section through several mature sperm. The sperm have spherical nucleus, flat cap-like acrosome and several spherical mitochondria surrounding the anchoring apparatus and $9+2$ axoneme. Scale bar $=500 \mathrm{~nm}$.
cal electron-dense nucleus capped by a simple acrosome and the midpiece is simple with a few mitochondria surrounding the anchoring apparatus. The flagellum consists of the axoneme and plasma membrane only.

Habitat: Sand and coarse sand at $1-30 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.

Distribution: Only known from Carrie Bow Cay and surroundings in Belize.

Remarks: Neogyptis fauchaldi sp. nov., shares brownblack eye colour with $N$. rosea comb. nov., N. hongkongensis sp. nov., and N. vostokensis comb. nov. It differs from $N$. rosea comb. nov. in having ten, rather than 35-80, papillae terminally on the proboscis, from $N$. hongkongensis sp. nov. in lacking lip pads but having curved notochaetae with conical side subdistally serrated, and from N. vostokensis comb. nov. in having slimmer ventral cirri with well-demarcated tips.

Neogyptis hinehina sp. NOV. (Figs 9, 10)
Type material: Holotype (SIO-BIC A2491). c. 70 paratypes (SIO-BIC 2492-2495).

Type locality: Off Fiji, Lau Basin, $22^{\circ} 31.94^{\prime}$ S, $176^{\circ} 43.11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, 1821 m , RV Melville, ROV Jason, II dive J2-145, on vent site Hine Hina.

Etymology: Named after Hine Hina, the type locality.

Material examined: Holotype (SIO-BIC A2491, fixed in formaldehyde), off Fiji, Lau Basin, $22^{\circ} 31.94^{\prime}$ S, $176^{\circ} 43.11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1821 \mathrm{~m}$, RV Melville, ROV Jason II dive J2-145, on vent site Hine Hina, 22.v.2005; c. 70 paratypes (SIO-BIC A2492, fixed in formaldehyde), same collection data as holotype; five spms (preserved in $95 \%$ ethanol, destroyed for DNA sequencing), same collection; five paratypes (SIO-BIC A2493, preserved in $95 \%$ ethanol), same collection data; three paratypes (SIO-BIC A2494, fixed in formaldehyde), off Fiji, Lau Basin, $22^{\circ} 32.33^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 176^{\circ} 43.07^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1845 \mathrm{~m}, ~ R V ~ M e l v i l l e, ~$ ROV Jason II dive J2-146, on vent site Hine Hina, 23.v.2005; five specimens (FP collection, fixed in osmium and mounted on SEM stubs), same collection data; three paratypes (SIO-BIC A2495, fixed in formaldehyde), off Fiji, Fiji Basin, $16^{\circ} 59.43^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, $173^{\circ} 54.95^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1973 \mathrm{~m}$, RV Melville, ROV Jason II dive J2-150, on vent site White Lady, 29.v.2005.

Description: Length up to 4.5 mm for 27 segments. Live animals opaque with yellow gut, red dorsal blood vessel distinct. Body outline elongated elliptical with tapering posterior end (Fig. 9). Prostomium rounded, as wide as long (Fig. 10A). Palpophores cylindrical, palpostyles evenly tapering to rounded tips; palpostyles longer than palpophores (Fig. 10A-C). Paired antennae thinner and slightly shorter than palps, distal-most third forming well-demarcated tips. Median antenna similar in shape to paired antennae but shorter, inserted on anterior third of prostomium (Fig. 10A, B). Eyes absent. Nuchal organs short ciliated bands along lateral sides of prostomium (Fig. 10B). Lip pads absent. Proboscis opening with terminal ring of ten elongated papillae (Fig. 10C). Non-everted proboscis reaching segment 8 . Segment 1 dorsally reduced, segment 2 fully developed. Dorsal cirri and cirrophores segment 1-5 much longer and stouter than following ones; longest dorsal cirri reaching segment $11-12$. Ventral cirri segment $1-3$ with well-delineated cirrophores and longer and stouter cirri than on following segments (Fig. 10C). Segment 4 and 5 with neuropodial lobes, neurochaetae and ventral cirri similar to following segments, segment 6 with notopodial lobes and notochaetae. Dorsal cirri thin (Fig. 10D), reaching about as far as or slightly


Figure 9. Neogyptis hinehina sp. nov., dorsal view of live relaxed paratype (SIO-BIC A2492), 4.5 mm long.
further than chaetae. Dorsal cirri alternation uncertain. Transverse dorsal ridges across median and posterior segments (Fig. 10D). Notopodial aciculary lobes conical. Notochaetae of three kinds: one to four bent aciculary chaetae, large number of capillary chaetae with alternating rows of teeth, and few, ventrally positioned curved chaetae with conical side subdistally serrated. Neuropodial lobes conical. Neurochaetal blades of three kinds: with prolonged teeth, with smooth long blades positioned medially in bundle, and with short serration. Notochaetae except aciculary chaetae and shaft of neurochaetae twisted along longitudinal axis. One to three notoaciculae and usually two neuroaciculae, one smaller and one larger. Ventral cirri tapering to fine points (Fig. 10E). Pygidial cirri similar to dorsal cirri; pygidial papilla absent (Fig. 10F).

Habitat: Hydrothermal vents, 1821-1973 m.

Distribution: Only known from the hydrothermal vent sites Hine Hina and the White Lady in the Lau Basin off Fiji.

Remarks: Neogyptis hinehina sp. nov. is unique within Amphidurini in lacking eyes, in having neurochaetae from segment 4, and in having twisted notoand neurochaetae.

Neogyptis hongkongensis sp. NOV. (Fig. 11)
Gyptis sp. A, Pleijel (1998), figure 22A-F.

Type material: Holotype (SIO-BIC A2496) and 21 paratypes (SIO-BIC A2497).

Type locality: Hong Kong, Cape D'Aquilar, Lobster Bay, $22^{\circ} 12.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 15.5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$.

Etymology: Named after Hong Kong, the type locality.
Material examined: Holotype (SIO-BIC A2496, fixed in formaldehyde), Hong Kong, Cape d'Aquilar, Lobster Bay, $22^{\circ} 12.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 15.5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, coarse sand, 1 m , 10-15.vii.1995; 21 paratypes (SIO-BIC A2497; fixed in formaldehyde), same collection data as holotype; ten spms (FP collection; fixed in osmium and mounted on stubs for SEM), same locality and date.

Description: Length up to 2.6 mm for 25 segments. Body outline cylindrical with tapering posterior end. Live animals transparent; eyes brown-black. Prostomium rounded quadrangular, as wide as long (Fig. 11A). Palpophores cylindrical, palpostyles tapering to rounded tips; palpostyles longer than palpophores. Paired antennae as long as palps but thinner, with tapering distal part (Fig. 11B). Median antenna much shorter than paired antennae, inserted on anterior third of prostomium, weakly club-shaped. Eyes medium-sized, anterior pair twice as large as posterior and situated anterolaterally to posterior pair. Nuchal organs ciliated bands along lateral sides of prostomium (Fig. 11A, D). Distinct lip pads present (Fig. 11C). Proboscis with smooth distal and terminal rings, opening with terminal ring of


Figure 10. Neogyptis hinehina sp. nov., scanning electron microscopy photographs of specimens from the type locality. A, anterior end, dorsal view. B, anterior end, left side. C, anterior end, ventral view. D, posterior segments with dorsal ridges, dorsal view. E, median parapodia, right side, ventral view. F, posterior end, posteroventral view. Scale bars: A, B,
ten small papillae. Non-everted proboscis reaching segment $7-8$. Segment 1 dorsally reduced, segment 2 fully developed. Dorsal cirri and cirrophores segment 1-5 longer and stouter than following ones, distinctly annulated. Ventral cirri segment $1-4$ with well-delineated cirrophores and longer and stouter cirri than on following segments. Segment 5 with neuropodial lobes, neurochaetae and ventral cirri similar to following segments, segment 6 with noto-
podial lobes and notochaetae. Elevated and slightly stouter dorsal cirri on segment $8,12,15,17,19,21$, 23, and 25. Transverse dorsal ridges absent. Dorsal cirri short, not reaching beyond notochaetae. Transverse ridges absent (Fig. 11E). Notopodial aciculary lobes conical. Notochaetae of two kinds; single or several dorsally bent aciculary chaetae inserted near tip of notoaciculae, and $c$. ten capillary chaetae with two alternating rows of teeth. Prechaetal neuropo-


Figure 11. Neogyptis hongkongensis sp. nov., scanning electron microscopy photographs of specimens from the type locality. A, anterior end, dorsal view. B, anterior end, ventral view. C, lip pads. D, anterior end, right side. E, median segments, dorsal view. F, median parapodia, anteroventral view, right side. Scale bars: A, B, D, E $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=25 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~F}=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
dial lobes conical. About 15 neurochaetae, all compound and unidentate, with dorsal and median blades up to four times longer than ventral. Single noto- and neuroaciculae. Ventral cirri tapering to fine points (Fig. 11F). Pygidial cirri much longer than dorsal cirri; pygidial papilla absent.

Habitat: Coarse sand at 1 m depth.
Distribution: Only known from the type locality.

Remarks: Neogyptis hongkongensis sp. nov., shares brown-black eye colour with $N$. rosea comb. nov., $N$. fauchaldi sp. nov., and N. vostokensis comb. nov. It differs from $N$. rosea comb. nov. in having ten, rather than $35-80$, papillae terminally on the proboscis, from $N$. fauchaldi sp. nov. in lacking curved notochaetae with conical side subdistally serrated, and from $N$. vostokensis comb. nov. in having slimmer ventral cirri with well-demarcated tips. Furthermore, it differs from all Amphidurini in having lip pads, a
feature that otherwise is present in Gyptis. The species is referred to Neogyptis based on the presence of distally inserted ventral cirri. However, at least one of these features must be homoplastic, and the preference of Neogyptis, rather than Gyptis, is somewhat arbitrary. Unfortunately no specimens were available for molecular study.

This is the smallest known member of Neogyptis and, as no mature specimens were observed and all were collected within a limited time period, we cannot exclude the possibility that they may attain a larger size. However, the absence of notochaetae on segment 1-5 and neurochaetae on segment 1-4, together with the development of the dorsal and ventral cirri on segment $1-5$ and $1-3$, respectively, indicate that they indeed are adults (see e.g. Pleijel, 1998, on the development of hesionids).

Neogyptis mediterranea (Pleijel, 1993a) comb. nov. (Fig. 12)
Gyptis mediterranea Pleijel, 1993a: 168-172, figures 6, 7.

Type material: Holotype (SMNH 4398) and 20 paratypes (SMNH 4399-4401).

Type locality: South France, Banyuls-sur-Mer, $42^{\circ} 29.92^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 03^{\circ} 09.22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 35 \mathrm{~m}$, sandy mud with detritus.

Material examined: FRANCE. Holotype (SMNH 4398), seven paratypes (SMNH 4399, 4400, fixed in formaldehyde) and four spms (FP collection, fixed in osmium and mounted on stub), Banyuls-sur-mer, $42^{\circ} 30.17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 03^{\circ} 09.48^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 40 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, dredge, colls Andy Mackie and FP 7.x.1991; three paratypes (NMW.Z. 1992.007.3, fixed in formaldehyde), Banyuls-sur-mer, $42^{\circ} 29.92^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 03^{\circ} 09.22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 35 \mathrm{~m}$, sandy mud with detritus, dredge, colls Andy Mackie and FP 3.x.1991; 13 paratypes (SMNH 4401, fixed in formaldehyde), Banyuls-sur-mer, $42^{\circ} 30.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 03^{\circ} 11.75^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 80 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, dredge, colls Andy Mackie and FP 13.x.1991; six spms (FP collection, fixed in osmium and mounted on stub), Banyuls-sur-mer, $42^{\circ} 29.55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 03^{\circ} 09.90^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 45 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, dredge, coll. FP 15.v.1997; one spm (FP collection, fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol) Banyuls-sur-mer, $42^{\circ} 29.55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $03^{\circ} 09.90^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 45 \mathrm{~m}$, sandy mud, dredge, colls AN and FP 13.vii.2004. ITALY. One spm (FP collection, fixed in formaldehyde), east Sicily, Brucoli, $37^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $15^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 60 \mathrm{~m}$, mud, dredge, coll. FP 21.v.1990.

Description: Length up to 7 mm for 32 segments. Live animals transparent; eyes red. Body outline elliptical with median parapodia much longer than anterior and posterior ones. Prostomium rounded rectangular, wider than long, with very small dorsoposterior inci-
sion (Fig. 12A, B). Palpophores cylindrical, palpostyles thinner, evenly tapering to rounded tips; palpophores and palpostyles of equal length. Paired antennae thinner and slightly shorter than palps, distal-most third forming demarcated tips (Fig. 12A, B). Median antenna cylindrical, much shorter than paired antennae and without demarcated tip, inserted on line between anterior pair of eyes. Eyes medium-sized, anterior pair larger and situated further apart. Nuchal organs ciliated bands along lateral sides of prostomium (Fig. 12B). Lip pads absent. Proboscis with rugose surface on proximal ring, distal ring smooth, opening with terminal ring of conical papillae, number 20-32 (size-dependent) (Fig. 12C). Anterior segmental delineations indistinct, most part of segment 1 dorsally reduced, segment 2 and 3 dorsally fused. Dorsal cirri and cirrophores segment $1-5$ much longer and stouter than following ones, dorsal cirri segment 2 longest, reaching about segment $10-12$. Ventral cirri segment $1-4$ with welldelineated cirrophores and longer and stouter cirri than on following segments. Segment 5 with neuropodial lobes, neurochaetae, and ventral cirri similar to following segments, segment 6 with notopodial lobes and notochaetae. Elevated and slightly stouter dorsal cirri on segment $8,12,15,17,19,21$, and 23 . Dorsal cirri reaching about as far as chaetae. Transverse dorsal ridges across segments, higher on median and posterior segments (Fig. 12D, E). Notopodial aciculary lobes conical. Notochaetae of three kinds: few dorsally bent aciculary chaetae inserted anterior to other chaetae, large number of capillary chaetae with two alternating rows of teeth, and few, ventrally positioned curved chaetae with conical side subdistally serrated. Prechaetal neuropodial lobes elongated rounded to conical. Twenty to 40 neurochaetae, all unidentate compounds, dorsal and median blades much longer than ventral ones. Usually double notoand neuroaciculae. Ventral cirri inserted distally near tip of neuropodium, tapering to fine points (Fig. 12F). Pygidium with long pygidial cirri, similar in shape to dorsal cirri; pygidial papilla absent.

Biology: Several mature females collected in Banyuls in October, eggs $50-60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter.

Habitat: Mud, 35-80 m.
Distribution: Only known from southern France and the east coast of Sicily.

Neogyptis plurisetis (Hilbig, 1992) comb. NOV. Gyptis plurisetis Hilbig, 1992: 712-713, figure 2.

Amphiduros sp. A, Uebelacker, 1984: 28-34, figures 28-30.


Figure 12. Neogyptis mediterranea comb. nov., scanning electron microscopy photographs of specimens from Banyuls-sur-mer, south France. A, anterior end, anterodorsal view. B, anterior end, left side. C, proboscis opening. D, median parapodium, right side, dorsal view. E, dorsal ridges on median segments, anterodorsal view. F, median parapodium, right side, ventral view. All scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material: Holotype (USNM 75314) and three paratypes (USNM 75212, 75315,148702 ).

Type locality: Gulf of Mexico, south Florida, $25^{\circ} 45.70^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 83^{\circ} 11.07^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 54 \mathrm{~m}$.

Material examined: GULF OF MEXICO. Holotype (USNM 75314), south Florida, $25^{\circ} 45.70^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $83^{\circ} 11.07^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 54 \mathrm{~m}$; one paratype (USNM 75212), off

Texas, $27^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 98 \mathrm{~m}$; one paratype (USNM 75315), same as type locality. CALIFORNIA. One paratype (USNM 148702), California, Santa Maria Basin, $35^{\circ} 05.83^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 120^{\circ} 49.16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 91 \mathrm{~m}$.

Description: Length up to 2 mm for eight chaetigers (only anterior fragments present). Prostomium rounded rectangular, wider than long. Palpophores cylindrical, palpostyles evenly tapering to rounded
tips; palpophores and palpostyles of about equal length. Paired antennae tapering, much thinner than palps. Median antenna of similar shape to paired antennae but much shorter, inserted between anterior pair of eyes. Eyes red, large, anterior pair twice as large as posterior pair. Nuchal organs ciliated bands along lateral sides of prostomium. Lip pads unknown. Proboscis divided in proximal and distal ring, opening with terminal ring of long, thin papillae, number 35-40 (USNM 75212 and 75315). Dorsal cirrophores segment $1-5$ stouter than on following segments. Ventral cirrophores well delineated on segment 1-4, indistinct on following segments. Segment 5 with neuropodial lobes and neurochaetae only, notopodial lobes and notochaetae from segment 6. Transverse dorsal ridges uncertain. Notochaetae of four kinds (not examined, according to original description and Uebelacker, 1984); two dorsally bent aciculary chaetae, five capillary chaetae with two alternating rows of teeth, about five ventrally positioned curved chaetae with conical side subdistally serrated, and one or two very fine and smooth capillaries. Neurochaetae all compounds. Scars from ventral cirri indicate distal insertion near tip of neuropodium.

Remarks: The description above is based solely on the holotype and paratypes from the Gulf of Mexico. The type material also included a paratype from California; however, this specimen is certainly not conspecific. Although all type specimens are in poor condition, they can be separated from the Gulf of Mexico specimens by having black rather than red eyes, and by having ten papillae in the terminal proboscis ring rather than a higher number. The original description reported four different kinds of notochaetae, but only three could be verified here.

Referred to Amphidurini and Neogyptis based on the absence of lip pads and distally inserted ventral cirri.

Neogyptis vostokensis sp. NOV. (Fig. 13)
Type material: Holotype (SIO-BIC A2498), c. 75
paratypes SIO-BIC A2499-A2504).
Type locality: Sea of Japan, Peter the Great Bay, Vostok Bay, Vostok Marine Biological Station, $42^{\circ} 53.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 132^{\circ} 44.0^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, \quad 0.5-1 \mathrm{~m}$, amongst lumps of Modiolus modiolus (Linnaeus, 1758).

Etymology: Named for Vostok Bay, the type locality.
Material examined: Russia, Sea of Japan, Peter the Great Bay, Vostok Bay, Vostok Marine Biological Station. Holotype (SIO-BIC A2498, fixed in formaldehyde), $42^{\circ} 53.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 132^{\circ} 44.0^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 0.5-1 \mathrm{~m}$, amongst lumps
of M. modiolus, colls FP and Radashevsky 11.v.1994; 17 paratypes (SIO-BIC A2499, fixed in formaldehyde), same collecting data as holotype; eight spms (FP collection, fixed in formaldehyde; mounted on SEM stubs for SEM), same collecting data as holotype; c. 25 paratypes (SIO-BIC A2500, fixed in formaldehyde), $42^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 132^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 6-8 \mathrm{~m}$, amongst lumps of M. modiolus and mud with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, SCUBA, coll. Belokonev 13.v.1994; nine paratypes (SIO-BIC A2501, fixed in formaldehyde), $42^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 132^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 3-5 \mathrm{~m}$, amongst Crassostrea gigas (Thunberg, 1793), SCUBA, coll Belokonev 15.v.1994; ten paratypes (SIO-BIC A2502, fixed in formaldehyde), $42^{\circ} 53.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 132^{\circ} 44.0^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 0.5-$ 1 m , amongst Mytilus sp. growing on buoy, colls FP and Radashevsky 17.v.1994; three paratypes (SIOBIC A2503, fixed in formaldehyde), $42^{\circ} 53.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $132^{\circ} 44.1^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 3 \mathrm{~m}$, sand, SCUBA, coll. Radashevsky 19.v.1994; c. 25 paratypes (SIO-BIC A2504, fixed in formaldehyde), Point Pashennikov, $42^{\circ} 53.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $132^{\circ} 43.9^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 6-8 \mathrm{~m}$, coarse gravel with some detritus, SCUBA, coll. Radashevsky 20.v.1994.

Description: Length up to 4.5 mm for 27 segments. Live animals transparent yellowish white, eyes black; preserved yellowish white. Body outline in dorsal view of equal width with tapering posterior end (Fig. 13A). Prostomium rounded rectangular, slightly wider than long (Fig. 13B). Palpophores cylindrical, palpostyles cylindrical to elongated ovoid with rounded tips; palpophores and palpostyles of equal length. Paired antennae about as long as palps but slightly thinner, cylindrical with tapering, rounded tips. Median antenna much shorter than paired antennae, elliptical with rounded tip, inserted anterior to anterior pair of eyes. Eyes medium-sized, anterior pair twice as large and positioned slightly further apart. Nuchal organs ciliated bands along lateral sides of prostomium (Fig. 13B). Lip pads absent. Proboscis smooth, with terminal ring of ten small, elongated papillae. Segment 1 dorsally reduced, segment 2 fully developed. Dorsal cirri and cirrophores segment 1-5 much longer and stouter than following ones, with dorsal cirri segment 2 and 4 reaching about segment $10-11$. Ventral cirri segment $1-4$ with well-delineated cirrophores and longer and stouter cirri than on following segments (Fig. 13C). Segment 5 with neuropodial lobes, neurochaetae and ventral cirri similar to following segments, segment 6 with notopodial lobes and notochaetae. Elevated and slightly stouter dorsal cirri on segment $8,12,15,17$, 19, 21, and 23. Median segments with dorsal cirri reaching as far as chaetae (Fig. 13A). Weakly developed transverse dorsal ridges across median and posterior segments (Fig. 13A, D). Notopodial aciculary lobes small, conical. Notochaetae of two kinds, single dorsally bent aciculary chaeta inserted anterior to


Figure 13. Neogyptis vostokensis sp. nov., specimens from the type locality, scanning electron microscopy photographs. A, entire specimen, dorsal view. B, prostomium, dorsal view. C, anterior end, ventral view. D, median parapodia, right side, anterodorsal view. E , segment 5 and 6, right side, anteroventral view. Scale bars: $\mathrm{A}=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{C}=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
other chaetae, and c. 25 capillary chaetae, both with two alternating rows of teeth. Neuropodial lobes elongated triangular. Neurochaetae c. 25, all unidentate compounds with shafts with distinct internal chambers and longitudinal canals, dorsal and median blades up to seven times longer than ventral ones. Single or double noto- and neuroaciculae. Ventral cirri inserted distally near tip of neuropodium, with distinct, elongated tips (Fig. 13E; usually with more
elongated tips than on picture). Pygidium with long pygidial cirri, similar in shape to dorsal cirri; pygidial papilla absent.

Habitat: Sand and mud, amongst mussels and oysters, $0.5-8 \mathrm{~m}$.

Distribution: Only known from Vostok Bay in Peter the Great Bay, Sea of Japan.

Remarks: Morphologically, N. vostokensis sp. nov. belongs to a group of small Neogyptis species that have brown-black eyes, and that also includes N. fauchaldi sp. nov., from Belize, and N. hongkongensis sp . nov. It can be separated from the latter by the absence of lip glands, from the former by the absence of notochaetae with the conical side subdistally serrated, and from both by the shape of the ventral cirri, which are stouter and lack welldemarcated tips. Unfortunately, no specimens were available for molecular study.

## Neogyptis Sp. A

Material examined: One spm fixed in $95 \%$ ethanol, posterior part used for molecular analysis (SIO-BIC A2505), US, Florida Keys, Spanish Harbor Key Borrow, $\quad 24^{\circ} 39.430^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 81^{\circ} 18.155^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, intertidal, amongst sand, stones, and Halimeda sp., colls FP and GR, 5.iii. 2010 .

Description: Posteriorly incomplete, 3.5 mm long for 18 segments. Live specimen transparent whitish; eyes red. Prostomium rounded rectangular, about as wide as long.

Palpophores cylindrical, palpostyles tapering; palpophore length $1 / 3$ of palpostyles. Paired antenna as long as palps but thinner, cylindrical, with demarcated tips. Median antenna without distinct tip, length $1 / 3$ of paired antennae, inserted anterior to anterior pair of eyes. Anterior pair of eyes larger than posterior pair, weakly kidney-shaped; posterior pair rounded. Nuchal organs not observed. Lip pads absent. Proboscis terminal ring with ten papillae. Non-everted proboscis reaching segment 8. Dorsal cirri and cirrophores segment 1-5 much longer and stouter than following ones, ventral cirri segment 1-4 with well-delineated cirrophores and longer and stouter cirri than on following segments. Segment 5 with neuropodial lobes, neurochaetae and ventral cirri similar to following segments, segment 6 with notopodial lobes and notochaetae. Dorsal cirri reaching slightly further than neurochaetae. Notochaetae of two kinds only observed, about 20 capillary chaetae with two alternating rows of teeth, and single chaetae with one side subdistally serrated. Neurochaetae about 20, all unidentate compounds, dorsal median blades much longer than ventral ones. Ventral cirri inserted distally near tip of of neuropodium, with distinct tips.

Habitat: Sand and Halimeda, intertidal.

Distribution: Known only from a single specimen from Spanish Harbor Key Borrow, Florida Keys.

Remarks: Neogyptis sp. A may be close to N. plurisetis but we have at present not enough information to assess this; the number of proboscis papillae appears to be smaller in Neogyptis sp. A, although this may be size-related.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support for F. P. was provided from the Swedish Research Council (dnr 2009-5215), Carl Tryggers Stiftelse för vetenskaplig forskning, the Fulbright Commission through a research scholar award, Kungliga vetenskapsakademien, Kungliga Vetenskaps- och vitterhetssamhället i Göteborg, and Signhild Engkvists stiftelse. Financial support for A. N. was provided from the Swedish Taxonomy Initiative dnr 140/07 1.4 and 166/08 1.4, Magnus Bergvall foundation, the Adlerbert Research Foundation, and Kungl. Fysiografiska sällskapet Nilsson-Ehle donationerna. Some of the specimens studied were collected within a Swedish monitoring project of the benthic marine fauna in Kosterhavet National Park, financed by Naturvårdsverket. Special thanks to Thomas Dahlgren and Vasily Radashevsky for field collaboration, to Bob Vrijenhoek for inviting F. P. and G. R. to join the hydrothermal vent expedition to the west Pacific Ocean, to Jose Carvajal for DNA sequencing some of the terminal used in this study, and to Brigitte Ebbe and an anonymous reviewer for valuable comments.

## REFERENCES

Bely AE, Wray GA. 2004. Molecular phylogeny of naidid worms (Annelida: Clitellata) based on cytochrome oxidase I. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 30: 50-63.
Blake JA. 1985. Polychaeta from the vicinity of deep-sea geothermal vents in the eastern Pacific. I. Euphrosinidae, Phyllodocidae, Hesionidae, Nereididae, Glyceridae, Dorvilleidae, Orbiniidae, and Maldanidae. Bulletin of the Biological Society of Washington 6: 67-101.
Castresana J. 2002. Gblocks, v. 0.91b. online version available at: http://molevol.cmima.csic.es/castresana/Gblocks_ server.html (accessed 6 August 2011).
Dayrat B, Tillier A, Lecointre G, Tillier S. 2001. New clades of euthyneuran gastropods (Mollusca) from 28 S rRNA sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 19: 225-235.
Drummond AJ, Ashton B, Buxton S, Cheung M, Cooper A, Heled J, Kearse M, Moir R, Stones-Havas S, Sturrok S, Thierer T, Wilson A. 2010. Geneious v5.1. Available at: http://www.geneious.com/ (accessed 6 February 2011).
Eliason A. 1962. Die polychaeten der Skagerak-Expedition 1933. Zoologiska Bidrag från Uppsala 33: 207-293.

Farris JS, Albert VA, Källersjö M, Lipscomb D, Kluge AG. 1996. Parsimony jackknifing outperforms neighborjoining. Cladistics 12: 99-124.
Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994.

DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Molecular Marine Biology Biotechnology 3: 294-299.
Gardiner SL. 1976. Errant polychaete annelids from North Carolina. Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society 91: 77-220.
Gatesy J, DeSalle R, Wheeler W. 1993. Alignmentambiguous nucleotide sites and the exclusion of systematic data. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 2: 152-157.
Gelman A, Carlin JB, Stern HS, Rubin DB. 2009. Bayesian data analysis, 2nd edn. London: Chapman and Hall.
Haaland B, Schram TA. 1982. Larval development and metamorphosis of Gyptis rosea (Malm) (Hesionidae, Polychaeta). Sarsia 67: 107-118.
Hartman O. 1959. Catalogue of the polychaetous annelids of the world. Part I. Allan Hancock Foundation Publications. Occasional Paper 23: 1-353.
Hartmann-Schröder G. 1971. Annelida, Borstenwürmer, Polychaeta. Tierwelt Deutschlands 58: 1-594.
Hartmann-Schröder G. 1996. Annelida, Borstenwürmer, Polychaeta. 2., neubearbeitete Auflage. Tierwelt Deutschlands 58: 1-648.
Helgason GV, Gardarsson A, Svavarsson J, Adalsteinsdottir K, Gudmundsson H. 1990. Polychaetes new to the Icelandic fauna, with remarks on some previously recorded species. Sarsia 75: 203-212.
Hilbig B. 1992. New polychaetous annelids of the families Nereididae, Hesionidae, and Nephtyidae from the Santa Maria Basin, California, with a redescription of Glycera nana Johnson, 1901. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 105: 709-722.
Imajima M, Hartman O. 1964. The polychaetous annelids of Japan. Part 1. Allan Hancock Foundation Publications. Occasional Paper 26: 1-166.
Johnston G. 1836. Illustrations in British zoology. The Magazine of Natural History 9: 14-17.
Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Research 30: 30593066.

Lê HLV, Lecointre G, Perasso R. 1993. A 28 S rRNA-based phylogeny of the Gnathostomes: first steps in the analysis of conflict and congruence with morphologically based cladograms. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 2: 31-51.
Malm AW. 1874. Annulater i hafvet utmed Sveriges vestkust och omkring Göteborg. Göteborgs Kungl. Vetenskaps och Vitterhets Samhälles Handlingar 14: 67-105.
Marion A-F. 1874. Sur les annélides du Golfe de Marseille. Compte rendu de l'Academie des sciences, Paris 79: 398-401.
Marshall DC. 2010. Cryptic failure of partitioned Bayesian phylogenetic analyses: lost in the land of long trees. Systematic Biology 59: 108-117.
Nygren A, Sundberg P. 2003. Phylogeny and evolution of reproductive modes in Autolytinae (Syllidae, Annelida). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 29: 235-249.
Nylander J. 2004. Mrmodeltest v2. Program distributed by the author: Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
Nylander J, Wilgenbusch J, Warren DL, Swofford DL.
2008. AWTY (Are We There Yet?): a system for graphical exploration of MCMC convergence in Bayesian phylogenetics. Bioinformatics 24: 581-583.
Okuda S. 1938. Polychaetous annelids from the vicinity of the Mitsui Institute of Marine Biology. Japanese Journal of Zoology 8: 75-105.
Palumbi SR. 1996. Nucleic acids II: the polymerase chain reaction. In: Hillis DM, Moritz C, Mable BK, eds. Molecular systematics, 2nd edn. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer, 205-247.
Pettibone MH. 1956. Marine polychaete worms from Labrador. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 105: 531-584.
Pleijel F. 1993a. Taxonomy of European species of Amphiduros and Gyptis (Polychaeta: Hesionidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 106: 158-181.
Pleijel F. 1993b. Gyptis crypta, a new hesionid species from the U.S, east coast, with a redescription of Gyptis vittata Webster \& Benedict, 1887 (Annelida: Polychaeta). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 106: 237-242.
Pleijel F. 1998. Phylogeny and classification of Hesionidae (Polychaeta). Zoologica Scripta 27: 89-163.
Pleijel F. 2001. Revision of Amphiduros (Gyptini, Hesionidae, Polychaeta). Ophelia 54: 15-27.
Pleijel F, Jondelius U, Norlinder E, Nygren A, Oxelman B, Schander C, Sundberg P, Thollesson M. 2008. Phylogenies without roots? A plea for the use of vouchers in molecular phylogenetic studies. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 48: 369-371.
Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MrBayes3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19: 1572-1574.
Ruta C, Nygren A, Rousset V, Sundberg P, Tillier A, Wiklund H, Pleijel F. 2007. Phylogeny of Hesionidae (Aciculata, Polychaeta), assessed from morphology, 18s rDNA, 28s rDNA, 16s rDNA and COI. Zoologica Scripta 36: 99-107.
Sars M. 1862. Uddrag af en af detaillerade Afbildningar ledsaget udførlig Beskrivelse over følgende Norske Annelider. Forhandlinger $i$ Videnskabsselskabet $i$ Kristiania 1862: 87-95.
Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihoodbased phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22: 2688-2690.
Swofford DL. 2001. PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony. Ver. 4. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
Uebelacker JM. 1984. Chapter 28. Family Hesionidae Sars, 1862. In: Uebelacker JM, Johnson PG, eds. Taxonomic guide to the polychaetes of the northern Gulf of Mexico. Final report to the Minerals Management Service, contract 14-12-001-29091. Mobile, AL: Barry A. Vittor \& Associates, Inc, 1-39.
Webster HE, Benedict JE. 1887. The Annelida Chaetopoda from Eastport, Maine. Report of the United States Commissioner of Fisheries 1885: 707-755.
Werle E, Schneider C, Renner M, Völker M, Fiehn W. 1994. Convenient single-step, one tube purification of PCR products for direct sequencing. Nucleic Acids Research 22: 4354-4355.


[^0]:    *Corresponding author. E-mail: fredrik.pleijel@marecol.gu.se

[^1]:    COI, cytochrome oxidase subunit I.
    *Anterior end, preserved in formaldehyde.
    $\dagger$ Posterior end, preserved in ethanol.
    $\ddagger$ Entire specimen, preserved in formaldehyde.

[^2]:    Segm, segment; vc, ventral cirii.

