

Rec. Nat. Prod. X:X (202X) XX-XX

records of natural products

# Chemical Constituents and Biological Activity of the Stems of Adinandra hainanensis Hayata

Vu Thi Kim Oanh 101, Tran Huu Giap 1, Thu Ha Bui 12,

Thi Lan Phuong Diep 10, Thi Van Anh Nguyen 14 and Le Nguyen Thanh 10<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate University of Science and Technology and Institute of Marine Biochemistry, Vietnam Academy

of Science and Technology (VAST), Hanoi, Vietnam

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Biology, Hanoi National University of Education, Hanoi, Vietnam

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Science, Quy Nhon University, Binh Dinh, Vietnam

<sup>4</sup> University of Science and Technology of Hanoi (USTH), Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology

(VAST), Hanoi, Vietnam

(Received August 06, 2021; Revised September 21, 2021; Accepted September 22, 2021

Abstract: Phytochemical study of *Adinandra hainanensis* stems led to the isolation of a new triterpene lupan- $3\beta$ ,20dihydroxy-28-carbaldehyde (1) and eleven known compounds 2-12. The stems of *A. hainanensis* was rich in lupanetype triterpenes, especially betulinic acid (9) was abundant (around 0.45% w/w). The isolated triterpenes, including new compound 1 showed strong  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitory activity with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging of 2.27 ± 0.05 µg/mL to 12.25 ± 0.36 µg/mL. In the cytotoxic evaluation, betulinic acid, the major component, showed good cytotoxic activity against all tested cancer cell lines while new compound 1 was weakly active.

**Keywords:** *Adinandra hainanensis;* lupane triterpene; betulinic acid;  $\alpha$ -glucosidase; cytotoxicity. © 2021ACG Publications. All rights reserved.

## **1. Introduction**

The genus *Adinandra* comprises over 100 species distributed in South East Asian countries, China, southern Japan, Bangladesh, India and African tropical forests. *Adinandra* genus has been previously classified in the Theaceae family, and the current botanical databases classified it as a member of the Pentaphylacaceae [1-2]. Plants of the genus have been used in Vietnamese traditional medicine for the treatment of snake bites and stomachaches [3]. In China, tea (Shiyacha) prepared from leaves of *Adinandra nitida* was reported to have many pharmacological activities such as reducing blood pressure, antioxidant, antibacterial and anti-inflammatory effects [4-5]. Several other *Adinandra* plants including *A. milletti*, *A. latifolia* and *A. jubata* were reported to have antioxidant and antiproliferation activities [6]. Phytochemical studies on *Adinandra nitida* leaves revealed the presence of triterpene saponins and flavonoids with camellianin A, which was found as the main compound [7-9]. However, so far, there is no report on the chemical composition of different *Adinandra* species.

The article was published by ACG Publications <u>http://www.acgpubs.org/journal/records-of-natural-products</u> Month-Month 202x EISSN:1307-6167 DOI: http://doi.org/10.25135/mp.282.2108.2158

Available online: October 09, 2021

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: E-Mail: lethanh@imbc.vast.vn; Phone:084-983-882573 Fax:084-243-7917053

#### Chemical constituents and biological activity

Plant Adinandra hainanensis Hayata (synonym: A. rubropunctata Merr. & Chun) was a species found in northern mountains of Vietnam. This plant was used in folk medicine for the treatment of throat cancer [3]. In the present study, we reported the isolation and identification of a new triterpene (1) together with eleven known compounds 2-12 from the A. hainanensis stems. Several isolated components were evaluated for cytotoxicity and  $\alpha$ -glucosidase enzyme inhibitory activity.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Plant Materials

The plant stems were collected in Van Ban, Laocai province, Vietnam in 2008 and identified as *Adinandra hainanensis* Hayata (Pentaphylacaceae family) by Dr. Nguyen Quoc Binh, Vietnam Museum of Nature, VAST and Dr. Bui Thu Ha, Faculty of Biology, Hanoi National University of Education. A voucher specimen (VN-1968) was deposited at the Institute of Marine Biochemistry, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology.

## 2.2. General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotation was recorded on JASCO P-2000 polarimeter. IR spectra were obtained on a Bruker TENSOR 37 FT-IR spectrometer. The NMR experiments were recorded on a Bruker AM500 FT-NMR spectrometer with tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as an internal standard. High-resolution mass spectra (HR-ESI-MS) were obtained with a Thermo LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer. Open column chromatography (CC) was performed on silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh, Merck) or Sephadex LH-20® (Sigma-Aldrich) Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on DC-Alufolien silica gel 60  $F_{254}$  plates (Merck, Germany). Spots were visualized under UV lamp at 254 nm or spraying with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 10% reagents, followed by heating.

## 2.3. Extraction and Isolation

The stems of A. hainanensis were dried and ground into powder. The plant material (3.3 kg) was macerated with MeOH (10L x 4 times x 24 h) at room temperature. The combined filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain 157 g crude extract. It was suspended in distilled water, then successively partitioned with *n*-hexane and EtOAc (each solvent, 3 times x 1 L/time extraction). The organic solvent layers were separated and evaporated *in vacuo* to obtain *n*-hexane residue (6.9 g) and EtOAc residue (41 g), respectively. The *n*-hexane residue was subjected to open normal-phase silica gel CC (4 cm size) and eluted with gradient solvent mixture of *n*-hexane/EtOAc (100:1 - 0:1) to result in 23 fractions (H1 – H23). Fraction H3 (51 mg) was purified by silica gel CC (1 cm size) using *n*-hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3/7, v/v) as eluent to yield 2 (8.3 mg). Compound 3 (27 mg) was obtained from H9 fraction (0.167 g) by crystallization in acetone. Fraction H12 (601 mg) was fractionated to 4 subfractions H12A-H12D by open silica gel CC (2.5 cm size), eluting with n-hexane/EtOAc (85/15, v/v). Subfraction H12B (110 mg) was purified by silica gel CC (1.5 cm size) and eluted with *n*-hexane/EtOAc (85/15, v/v) to afford 4 (6.5 mg). Fraction H16 (199 mg) was separated by silica gel CC (2.0 cm size), eluted with n-hexane/EtOAc (8/2, v/v) to give 3 subfractions H16A–H16C. Subfraction H16B (45 mg) was further purified by open Sephadex LH-20 CC (1.5 cm size), eluted with MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (9: 1) to yield 5 (3.4 mg). Subfraction H16C (121 mg) was purified by Sephadex LH-20 CC (2 cm size), eluted with MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (9: 1) to afford compound 6 (6 mg). Compound 7 (19 mg) was obtained from H19 fraction (205 mg) by crystallization in acetone. Fraction H22 (171 mg) was fractionated to 2 fractions H22A-H22B by Sephadex LH-20 CC (2 cm size), eluted with MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (9/1, v/v). The fraction H22B (86 mg) was purified in open silica gel CC (1.5 cm size), eluted with *n*-hexane/EtOAc (7/3, v/v) to afford **8** (7.5 mg). The H23 (389 mg) was separated by Sephadex LH-20 CC (2.5 cm size), eluted with MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (9:1, v/v) to give 4 subfractions H23A - H23D. Subfraction H23B (166.3 mg) was purified on silica gel CC (2 cm size) using  $CH_2Cl_2/acetone (9/1, v/v)$  to afford compound 1 (6.9 mg). Compound 9 (15 g) was obtained from the EtOAc residue (41 g) by crystallization in acetone. The remained EtOAc residue (25 g) was subjected to silica gel CC (5 cm size)

Oanh et.al., Rec. Nat. Prod. (202X) X:X XX-XX

and eluted with gradient solvent mixture of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH (95/5, v/v) to result in 8 fractions (E1 – E8). Fraction E3 (250 mg) was purified by silica gel CC (2 cm size), eluted with *n*-hexane/EtOAc (7/3, v/v) to yield **10** (7.3 mg). Fraction E5 (2.1 g) was fractionated to 4 subfractions E5A – E5D by silica gel CC (3 cm size), eluted with *n*-hexane/EtOAc (1/1, v/v). Fraction E5C (450 mg) was purified by silica gel CC (2.5 cm size), eluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone (8/2, v/v) to yield **11** (4.1 mg) and **12** (8.5 mg).

*Lupan-3β,20-dihydroxy-28-carbaldehyde (1):* White amorphous powder,  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +23.5 (c 2.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $\nu_{max}$  (KBr) 3354, 2942, 1726, 1451, 1383 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* 493.3425 [M+Cl]<sup>-</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Cl 493.3448). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) see the Table 1.




Figure 1. The structure of the new compound 1

#### 2.4. Cytotoxicity Assay

The cytotoxicity assays were carried out in triplicate against KB, MCF-7, and HepG-2 and LU cancer cell lines (ATCC) using a MTT method, that was described in previous study [10]. Ellipticine was used as a reference compound.

## 2.5. α-Glucosidase Enzyme Inhibition Evaluation

The assay for *in vitro*  $\alpha$ -glucosidase enzyme (from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, G0660, Sigma-Aldrich) inhibition activity was performed in triplicate following the previously published method [11]. Briefly, 2  $\mu$ L of sample solution prepared in DMSO and 40  $\mu$ L of enzyme solution (0.5 unit/mL) in 120 mL of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) were preincubated at 37 °C for 5 min. Then, 40  $\mu$ L of 5 mM *p*-nitrophenyl- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (pNPG) solution prepared in phosphate buffer was added and incubated at 37 °C for another 30 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 80  $\mu$ L of 0.2 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The absorbance of the resulting mixture was recorded at 410 nm using a micro-plate reader. The  $\alpha$ -glucosidase activity was determined by measuring the *p*-nitrophenol released from pNPG. Acarbose was used as the positive control.

## 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1. Structure Elucidation

Compound 1 was isolated as a white amorphous powder with the molecular formula  $C_{30}H_{50}O_3$ , which was established from the negative HR-ESI-MS spectrum with the ion peak m/z 493.3425 [M+Cl]<sup>-</sup>. Its IR spectrum showed absorption bands at 3354 and 1726 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to hydroxy and carbonyl functions, respectively. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum revealed signals of a triterpene with an aldehyde group at  $\delta_H$  9.60 (1H, s, H-28), an oxymethine proton at  $\delta_H$  3.19 (1H, dd, J = 4.5; 11.5 Hz, H-3) and seven tertiary methyl groups at  $\delta_H$  1.25 (3H, H-29), 1.14 (3H, H-30), 0.99 (3H, H-27), 0.96 (3H, H-23), 0.90 (3H, H-25), 0.82 (3H, H-26) and 0.75 (3H, H-24). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR and DEPT spectra indicated the presence of 30 carbon signals including seven methines [among that a carbaldehyde was observed at  $\delta_C$  206.8 ppm and an oxymethine carbon was found at  $\delta_C$  79.0 (C-3)], ten methylenes, seven methyl groups, five quaternary carbons and a tertiary alcohol carbon at  $\delta_C$  73.3 (C-20). Therefore, the structure of compound 1 was suggested as a

#### Chemical constituents and biological activity

lupane-type triterpene with a hydroxy group at C-20 position. This is further confirmed by HMBC correlations of H-29 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.25) and H-30 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.14) to C-20 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  73.3) (Figure 2). The coupling constants of H-3 (J = 4.5; 11.5 Hz) suggested that the hydroxy group was at  $\beta$  position. Moreover, the cross-peaks of H-3 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.19) and H-5 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.67), H-3 and H-23 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.96) were observed in the NOESY spectrum (Figure 2). On the basis of the above spectral evidence, **1** is determined as lupan-3 $\beta$ ,20-dihydroxy-28-carbaldehyde, a new natural compound.

 Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data of new compound 1 (<sup>a</sup> 500 MHz, <sup>b</sup>125MHz)

C         IP*         I3Cb         DEPT           1 $1.70 (m, 1H)$ $38.7$ $CH_2$ 2 $1.59 (m, 2H)$ $27.3$ $CH_2$ 3 $3.19 (1H, dd, J = 4.5 Hz; 11.5 Hz)$ $79.0$ $CH$ 4         - $38.8$ $C$ 5 $0.67 (br d, J = 11.0 Hz)$ $55.2$ $CH$ 6 $1.51 (m, 1H)$ $18.2$ $CH_2$ 7 $1.37 (m, 2H)$ $34.6$ $CH_2$ 9 $1.25 (m, 1H)$ $50.3$ $CH$ 10         - $37.1$ $C$ 9 $1.25 (m, 1H)$ $50.3$ $CH$ 11 $1.48 (m, 1H)$ $21.2$ $CH_2$ 9 $1.25 (m, 1H)$ $21.2$ $CH_2$ 11 $1.48 (m, 1H)$ $21.2$ $CH_2$ 12 $1.68 (m, 1H)$ $28.1$ $CH_2$ 13 $2.04 (dt, J = 3.5 Hz; 11.5 Hz, 1H)$ $38.2$ $CH$ 14         - $43.5$ $C$ 15 $1.79 ($	
1       0.88 (m, 1H)       38.7         2       1.59 (m, 2H)       27.3       CH <sub>2</sub> 3       3.19 (1H, dd, $J = 4.5$ Hz; 11.5 Hz)       79.0       CH         4       -       38.8       C         5       0.67 (br d, $J = 11.0$ Hz)       55.2       CH         6       1.51 (m, 1H)       18.2       CH <sub>2</sub> 6       1.57 (m, 2H)       34.6       CH <sub>2</sub> 8       -       41.2       C         9       1.25 (m, 1H)       50.3       CH         10       -       37.1       C         11       1.48 (m, 1H)       21.2       CH <sub>2</sub> 11       1.48 (m, 1H)       21.2       CH <sub>2</sub> 12       1.68 (m, 1H)       28.1       CH <sub>2</sub> 13       2.04 (dt, $J = 3.5$ Hz; 11.5 Hz, 1H)       38.2       CH         14       -       43.5       C         15       1.79 (m, 1H)       29.2       CH <sub>2</sub> 16       2.13 (m, 1H)       29.5       CH <sub>2</sub>	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
6 $1.37 (m, 1H)$ $18.2$ 7 $1.37 (m, 2H)$ $34.6$ $CH_2$ 8       - $41.2$ $C$ 9 $1.25 (m, 1H)$ $50.3$ $CH$ 10       - $37.1$ $C$ 11 $1.48 (m, 1H)$ $21.2$ $CH_2$ 11 $1.48 (m, 1H)$ $21.2$ $CH_2$ 12 $1.68 (m, 1H)$ $28.1$ $CH_2$ 13 $2.04 (dt, J = 3.5 Hz; 11.5 Hz, 1H)$ $38.2$ $CH$ 14       - $43.5$ $C$ 15 $1.79 (m, 1H)$ $29.2$ $CH_2$ 16 $2.13 (m, 1H)$ $29.5$ $CH_2$	
$1.37$ (m, 1H) $34.6$ $CH_2$ $7$ $1.37$ (m, 2H) $34.6$ $CH_2$ $8$ - $41.2$ $C$ $9$ $1.25$ (m, 1H) $50.3$ $CH$ $10$ - $37.1$ $C$ $11$ $1.48$ (m, 1H) $21.2$ $CH_2$ $11$ $1.68$ (m, 1H) $28.1$ $CH_2$ $12$ $1.68$ (m, 1H) $28.1$ $CH_2$ $13$ $2.04$ (dt, $J = 3.5$ Hz; $11.5$ Hz, 1H) $38.2$ $CH$ $14$ - $43.5$ $C$ $15$ $1.79$ (m, 1H) $29.2$ $CH_2$ $16$ $2.13$ (m, 1H) $29.5$ $CH_2$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
111.25 (m, 1H)21.2121.68 (m, 1H)28.1 $CH_2$ 132.04 (dt, $J = 3.5$ Hz; 11.5 Hz, 1H)38.2 $CH$ 14-43.5 $C$ 151.79 (m, 1H)29.2 $CH_2$ 162.13 (m, 1H)29.5 $CH_2$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$16 \qquad \begin{array}{c} 1.26 \text{ (m, 1H)} \\ 2.13 \text{ (m, 1H)} \\ 1.44 \text{ (m, 1H)} \end{array} \qquad 29.5 \qquad \text{CH}_2$	
16 1.44 (m, 1H) 29.5	
1.44 (m, 1H)	
17 - 61.5 C	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
18 1.70 (m, 1H) 46.9 CH	
19 2.18 (m, 1H) 49.4 CH	
20 - 73.3 C	
21 1.44 (m, 1H) 29.0 CH <sub>2</sub>	
1.18 (m, 1H)	
22 $1.60 (m, 1H)$ 33.0 $CH_2$	
1.29 (m, 1H)	
23 $0.96 (s)$ 27.9 $CH_3$	
24 0.75 (s) 15.3 CH <sub>3</sub>	
25 0.90 (s) 16.1 CH <sub>3</sub>	
26 $0.82$ (s) 16.1 CH <sub>3</sub>	
27 $0.99$ (s) 14.5 $CH_3$	
28 9.60 (1H, s) 206.7 CH	
29 1.25 (s) $31.2$ CH <sub>3</sub>	
<u>30</u> 1.14 (s) 25.0 CH <sub>3</sub>	

Known compounds including stigmas-3-one (2) [12], lupeol (3) [13], betulinal (4) [14], acetyl ursolic acid (5) [15], vanillin (6), betulin (7) [16], ursolic acid (8) [17], betulinic acid (9) [16], scopoletin (10) [18], 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde (11) and 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (12) were elucidated by comparing their NMR data to the previous literature (Figure S1). All these compounds were reported for the first time from *Adinandra* genus. Our phytochemical study showed that *A. hainanensis* is rich in lupane-type triterpenes, among which betulinic acid is the most abundant one (around 0.45% w/w). Notably, the chemical composition of *A. hainanensis* in Vietnam is quite different from Chinese *A. nitida* plant with flavonoid camellinin A as the major component [4].




Figure 2. Key COSY (-), HMBC (-) and NOESY (-) correlations of the compound 1

#### 3.2. Biological Activity

Several isolated compounds were tested for cytotoxicity and  $\alpha$ -glucosidase enzyme inhibitory activity. As shown in table 2, all the tested compounds displayed stronger activity than reference compound acarbose (IC<sub>50</sub> value of 184.0 ± 3.01 µg/mL) in enzyme inhibition assay. New compound **1** also showed good enzyme inhibitory activity with IC<sub>50</sub> value of 12.25 ± 0.36 µg/mL. The triterpenes **3-5**, **7** and **9** showed strong  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitory activities with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from 2.27 ± 0.05 µg/mL to 4.56 ± 0.20 µg/mL while ursolic acid (**8**) exhibited moderate activity. Scopoletin (**10**) had weak  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitory activity with IC<sub>50</sub> of 86.24 ± 1.28 µg/mL.

	$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$				
Compounds	KB	Hep-G2	LU	MCF-7	α-glucosidase inhibitory activity
1	$76.78 \pm 2.12$	$79.49 \pm 2.57$	$85.61 \pm 3.13$	>128	$12.25\pm0.36$
3	$98.16 \pm 4.25$	$88.35\pm3.43$	$79.77 \pm 2.88$	$99.37 \pm 4.63$	$3.67\pm0.10$
4	$77.53 \pm 1.20$	$50.07 \pm 1.12$	$86.16 \pm 2.98$	$85.63 \pm 2.89$	$2.27\pm0.05$
5	$84.53 \pm 2.24$	$72.25 \pm 1.57$	>128	>128	$2.78\pm0.09$
7	$63.36\pm0.88$	$59.29 \pm 0.99$	$69.43 \pm 1.98$	$70.57\pm3.63$	$2.96\pm0.06$
8	>128	>128	>128	>128	$30.76\pm0.79$
9	$21.71 \pm 1.20$	$8.01\pm0.51$	$8.00\pm0.55$	$26.54 \pm 1.54$	$4.56\pm0.20$
10	>128	$32.00\pm0.55$	>128	$43.63\pm0.90$	$86.24 \pm 1.28$
Ellipticine	$0.30\pm0.05$	$0.33\pm0.05$	$0.45\pm0.05$	$0.46\pm0.03$	-
Acarbose	-	-	-	-	$184.0\pm3.01$

**Table 2.** Cytotoxicity and  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitory activity of isolated compounds.

In the cytotoxic assay against different cancer cell lines, compound **1** showed weak cytotoxicity against KB, HepG-2, and LU cancer cell lines with IC<sub>50</sub> values of  $76.78 \pm 2.12 \,\mu$ g/mL,  $79.49 \pm 2.57 \,\mu$ g/mL and  $85.61 \pm 3.13 \,\mu$ g/mL, respectively. Scopoletin (**10**) displayed selective activity against HepG-2 and MCF-7 cell lines over KB and LU (Table 2). Betulinic acid that was found in high abundance in the stems showed good cytotoxicity to all tested cell lines while other isolates were weakly active or inactive. The anticancer activity of betulinic acid (**9**) has been well reported [16, 19-20]. Our chemical and biological results might give an explanation to the traditional use of *A. hainanensis* for the treatment of throat cancer in Vietnam.

In summary, a new compound, namely lupan- $3\beta$ ,20-dihydroxy-28-carbaldehyde (1) together with eleven known compounds were isolated from the stems of *A. hainanensis*. Among them, betulinic acid was isolated as major component. New compound 1 showed good  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitory activity with IC<sub>50</sub> value of 12.25 ± 0.36 µg/mL and the triterpenes 3-5, 7 and 9 strongly inhibited enzyme activity. In the cytotoxic assay, betulinic acid (9) played good cytotoxic activity against all tested cancer cell lines while new compound 1 showed weak cytotoxicity against KB, HepG-2, and LU cancer cell lines.

## Acknowledgments

This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 104.01-2018.16.

## **Supporting Information**

Supporting information accompanies this paper on <u>http://www.acgpubs.org/journal/records-of-natural-products</u>

# ORCID 问

Vu Thi Kim Oanh: <u>0000-0001-5027-7700</u> Tran Huu Giap: <u>0000-0002-3899-5328</u> Thu Ha Bui: <u>0000-0001-6971-0105</u> Thi Lan Phuong Diep: <u>0000-0002-1884-9286</u> Thi Van Anh Nguyen: <u>0000-0002-5084-8347</u> Le Nguyen Thanh: <u>0000-0002-8375-4187</u>

## References

- [1] P. H. Ho (2000). An illustrated of Vietnamese flora, Youth Publisher, Ho Chi Minh city, Vol I, 415.
- [2] H.T. Son and L.V. Dung (2014). Adinandra hongiaoensis (Theaceae), a new species from Lam Dong, Vietnam, J. Jpn. Bot. 89, 331-334.
- [3] V. V. Chi (2012). Dictionary of Vietnamese Medicinal Plants, Medical Publishing House, Hanoi, Vol II, 734-736
- [4] T. B. Liu, J. Yang, Y. Ma, E. Yuan and C. Chen (2010). Antioxidant and angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activities of ethanol extract and pure flavonoids from *Adinandra nitida* leaves, *Pharm. Biol.* 48, 1432-1438.
- [5] H. Gao, B. Liu, F. Liu and Y. Chen (2010). Anti-proliferative effect of camellianin A in *Adinandra nitida* leaves and its apoptotic induction in human Hep G2 and MCF-7 cells, *Molecules* **15**, 3878-3886.
- [6] Y. Chen, G. Chen, X. Fu and R. H. Liu (2015). Phytochemical profiles and antioxidant activity of different varieties of *Adinandra* tea (*Adinandra* Jack), *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **63**, 169-176.
- [7] J. Zhang, D. Tao, J. Duan, Z. Liang, W. Zhang, L. Zhang, Y. Hou and Y. Zhang (2006). Separation and identification of compounds in *Adinandra nitida* by comprehensive two-dimensional liquid chromatography coupled to atmospheric pressure chemical ionization source ion trap tandem mass spectrometry, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 386, 586-593.
- [8] C. Yuan, L. Huang, J. H. Suh and Y. Wang (2019). Bioactivity-guided isolation and identification of antiadipogenic compounds in Shiya tea (Leaves of Adinandra nitida), J. Agric. Food Chem. 67, 6785-6791.
- [9] Y. Wang, W. Ye, Z. Yin and S. Zhao (2008). Triterpene saponins from *Adinandra nitida*, *Yaoxue Xuebao*, 43, 504-508.
- [10] N. T. T. Ha, P. V. Cuong, N. T. Tra, N. V. Tuyen, L. T. T. Anh, B. T. Cham, H. H. Tung and N. T. Son (2020). Cytotoxic naphthoquinones from *Diospyros fleuryana* leaves, *Discov. Phytomedicine* 7, 42–46.
- [11] H. H. T. Tran, M. C. Nguyen, H. T. Le, T. L. Nguyen, T. B. Pham, V. M. Chau, H. N. Nguyen and T. D. Nguyen (2014). Inhibitors of α-glucosidase and α-amylase from *Cyperus rotundus*, *Pharm. Biol.* 52, 74-77.
- [12] J. R. Luo, Q. Y. Ma, Y. X. Zhao, T. M. Yi, C. S. Li and J. Zhou (2009). Palaeophytochemical components from the miocene-fossil wood of *Pinus griffithii*, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 56, 600-605.
- [13] M. Soumia, H. Hamada, L. Catherine, L. Christophe and B. Mohammed (2012). Chemical constituents of *Centaurea omphalotricha* Coss. & Durieu ex Batt. & Trab, *Rec. Nat. Prod.* 6, 292–295.
- [14] A. Barthel, S. Stark and R. Csuk (2008). Oxidative transformations of betulinol, *Tetrahedron* **64**, 9225-9229.
- [15] A. T. C. Taketa, E. Breitmaier and E. P. Schenkel (2004). Triterpenes and triterpenoidal glycosides from the fruits of *Ilex paraguariensis* (Maté), *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **15**, 205-211.

- [16] M. Sholichin, K. Yamasaki, R. Kasai and O. Tanaka (1980). <sup>13</sup>C Nuclear magnetic resonance of lupanetype triterpenes, lupeol, betulin and betulinic acid, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 28, 1006-1008.
- [17] W. Seebacher, N. Simic, R. Weis, R. Saf and O. Kunert (2003). Complete assignments of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR resonances of oleanolic acid, 18α-oleanolic acid, ursolic acid and their 11-oxo derivatives, *Magn. Reason. Chem.* 41, 636-638.
- [18] M. Adfa, T. Yoshimura, K. Komura and M. Koketsu (2010). Antitermite activities of coumarin derivatives and scopoletin from *Protium javanicum* Burm. F., *J. Chem. Ecol.* **36**, 720-726.
- [19] P. Yogeeswari and D. Sriram (2005). Betulinic acid and its derivatives: a review on their biological properties, *Curr. Med. Chem.* **12**, 657-666.
- [20] S. Fulda (2008). Betulinic acid for cancer treatment and prevention, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 9, 1096-1107.

A C G publications