EFFECTS OF WATER QUALITY AND FLOODING EPISODE ON THE YIELD OF *OREOCHROMIS UROLEPIS* (NORMAN, 1922) FROM SELF-STOCKED PONDS

LAMTANE H. A. [1], Y. D. MGAYA[2] AND R. G. BAILEY[3]

1. *Faculty of Agriculture, Sokoine University of Agriculture, Morogoro, Tanzania*
2. *Faculty of Aquatic Science, University of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania*
3. *28 Duffield Lane Stoke Poges Slough SL2 4AD UK*

Abstract

A study was conducted to determine yields of *Oreochromis urolepis* from flood depended ponds in Rufiji floodplain, Tanzania. Eight ponds were constructed during dry season in two sites besides two floodplain lakes, Ruwe and Uba. These ponds were self-stocked with different fish species from the lakes. More than eight species were trapped and *O. urolepis* was considered as a good candidate for aquaculture. Other fish species were harvested immediately after flood recession and *O. urolepis* was cultured for the maximum of six months. Some species like *Labeo congoro* and *Clarias gariepinus* were redistributed in the ponds. However, other species in small quantities were remained in the ponds for the whole period of experiment. Water quality parameters were monitored throughout the study period. The relationships between water quality variables and flooding events were determined using canonical correspondence analysis (CCA). Other parameters included in the relationships were fish density, manure and number of species trapped and cultured. Dissolved oxygen and pH decreased with time in both sites. Fish yields were influenced by some water quality and flooding episode variables. Chlorophyll-*a* was the only environmental variable that showed a significant correlation with fish yield ($P < 0.01$). Fish density and number of species trapped showed a significant effect on the fish yield ($P < 0.05$). Re-connectivity between ponds and lakes was strongly positively correlated with yield. It can be concluded that some water quality variables and flooding parameters were responsible for the observed yield of *O. urolepis* and other fish species from the ponds.

Key words: Flooding, *Oreochromis urolepis*, self-stocked ponds, floodplain, fish yield

Correspondence: hlamtan@yahoo.co.uk or hlamtan@suanet.ac.tz

INTRODUCTION

Oreochromis urolepis is distributed in the south eastern flowing rivers and water bodies and forms an economically important fish species to the fisheries sector along this area of Tanzania. Unlike other tilapiine species aquaculture research on this species is limited. Most of research on tilapia aquaculture in Africa have been focused on Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Liti *et al.*, 2005a, b; Kaliba *et al.*, 2007) in Kenya, (Kaliba *et al.*, 2006) in Tanzania and (de Graaf, 2004) in Democratic Republic of Congo, with few studies on other species like *Oreochromis karongoe*, *Oreochromis* *shiranus* and *Tilapia rendalii* (Maluwa *et al.*, 1995; Chimatiro & Costa~Pierce, 1996; Kang'ombe *et al.*, 2006; Kang'ombe *et al.*, 2007) in Malawi, *Oreochromis variabilis* (Shoko, 2002) in Tanzania and *Sarotherodon galilaeus* (A-S Goda *et al.*, 2007) in Egypt. There is need of conducting research on the aquaculture of indigenous tilapiine species. Brummet and Katambalika (1996) developed protocols and emphasized on culture of indigenous species under local conditions.

Unlike normal polyculture in this study more than ten species were self-stocked in eight ponds through floods (Lamtane, 2008). These ponds were constructed along the wetlands adjacent to floodplain lakes and utilized the Junk *et al.* (1989) concept for their function. Construction of ponds in the wetlands followed the idea developed by Denny and Turyatunga (1992) in Uganda as one way of sustainable and wise use of wetlands. These ponds were regarded as Fingerponds (Denny *et al.*, 2006) due to their finger like appearance projected toward the wetland ecotone. Due to its preference to consumers *Oreochromis urolepis* and *Labeo congoro* at one site were regarded as key species in these ponds. Most of the other small fish species were harvested immediately after flood recession. This study aimed at determining the influence of water quality and flood events such flooding period, flood height and re-connectivity on the final yields. Also the influence of other management variables such as manure, culture period and number of species on yield of *O. urolepis* and other species remained in the ponds for the whole period of experiment were investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental set up and data management

Experiment was conducted in eight earthen ponds constructed in Rufiji floodplain, Tanzania. In both sites the ponds were filled with flood water and self stocked with fish. Immediately after the ponds became isolated after flooding, the removal or depletion method was used to estimates the number fish trapped. The ponds were seined three times with 2 x 12 m seine nets of 6.5 mm mesh size. The fish caught on each seine pull were identified, weighed, and counted. The first two catches were not returned to the ponds until the third netting was completed. Each seine pull was performed in the same direction and manner with the same team of up to six operatives to take out seining as a variable (Cowx, 1983; Killian *et al.*, 1998).

Oreochromis urolepis was identified as key species as it is well known fish growing under aquaculture conditions. Clariid catfish were identified as possible key species for all sites to control tilapia recruits. *Labeo congoro* was trapped only at Ruwe site therefore was retained for the duration of the study. At one site, 50 kg of green manure were applied in three ponds at an interval of one week. At the second site all ponds were not treated due to high trophic level indicated in the ponds.

Water quality monitoring

Water quality measurements were made on a monthly basis. Surface water temperature, dissolved oxygen, conductivity and pH were determined with portable meters. Visual transparency was assessed using a secchi disc. Chlorophyll-*a* was determined spectrophotometrically after ethanol extraction. Water samples were filtered before nutrient analysis. Analysis of nitrate, nitrite, phosphates and ammonia were carried out according to standard methods (APHA, 1995).

Fish data

At the initial census large tilapias greater than 150-200 mm TL, and 130-200 g weight were harvested and stocks of smaller fish reduced. The aim was to achieve about 1 tilapia >50 mm TL per square meter. Small clariids where they occurred in sufficient numbers were redistributed equally between ponds. All other small species were harvested. Periodic harvesting was carried out by marginal or full pond netting aimed at reducing and further removal of non-key species. At the end of the experiment all ponds were seined by repeated net hauls.

Specific growth rate (% body weight day-1) was calculated using the formula:

$SGR = (\ln WT_F – \ln WT_I) \times 100/T$

Where: WT_F = final fish weight (g)

WT_I = initial fish weight (g),

T = days between initial and final weight

Data analysis

The direct gradient canonical correspondence was used for the evaluation of factors controlling the fish growth and yields. Multivariate statistical analyses were performed using CANOCO version 4.5 (ter Braak and Šmilauer, 2002). These parameters were water quality (temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, turbidity, conductivities, chlorophyll-a, phosphate, nitrate, nitrite and ammonia), flooding episodes (re-connectivity, flood height) and management variables (fish density and weight after equalisation, manure, culture period, specific growth rate of key species and flood period).

RESULTS

Water quality monitoring

Different water quality parameters were monitored throughout the ponds functional period. Monthly variations of water quality parameters are given in Figs. 1, 2 and 3. Water temperatures at Ruwe were almost the same throughout the rearing period with a mean of 29 ºC. pH fell in all four ponds in the last 2 months. Dissolved oxygen (DO) dropped rapidly during the last three months (January-March) except in the non-manured control pond. Also water clarity diminished with time (Fig. 1). The highest chlorophyll *a* for each pond was observed in the last month. Control pond had slightly lower chlorophyll-*a* compared to manured ponds (Fig. 3).

Like Ruwe ponds, at Uba temperatures were almost equal in all ponds with a mean of 30.6 ºC. pH again fell to about 4 in last two months. Dissolved oxygen decreased with time in all ponds. Visual transparency showed no common pattern (Fig. 2). Maximum and minimum chlorophyll *a* values at Uba were observed in pond one and four respectively (Fig.3).



Fig. 1 Monthly variations of physico-chemical parameters from Ruwe ponds



Fig. 2 Monthly variations of physico-chemical parameters from Uba ponds



Fig. 3 Monthly variations of chlorophyll *a* from Uba and Ruwe ponds

Specific growth rate (SGR)

The specific growth rate of *Oreochromis urolepis* and *Labeo congoro* is given in Table 1. Mean initial weights were calculated at the initial census after flood recession. Final mean weights were obtained after 7 months when the final harvesting was carried out. Both species were found to have SGRs of about 1g/fish/day.

Table 1. Specific growth rates of *Oreochromis urolepis* and *Labeo congoro*

				Pond		
		1	2	3	4	Mean
	O. urolepis					
	Initial weight (g)	5.8	4.0	2.5	9.8	5.5±1.6
	Final weight	34.9	41.6	39.8	47.4	40.9±2.6
	SGR (g/fish/day	0.9	1.1	1.3	0.8	1.0±0.1
Ruwe						
	Labeo congoro					
	Initial weight (g)	6.2	8.2	9.3	6.0	7.4±0.8
	Final weight	41.2	67.8	58.7	73.1	60.2±7.0
	SGR (g/fish/day	0.9	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.0±0.1
	O. urolepis					
Uba	Initial weight (g)	13.0	29.7	18.4	30.6	22.9±4.3
	Final weight	101.8	92.0	62.5	76.2	83.1±8.7
	SGR (g/fish/day	1.4	0.9	1.0	0.8	1.0±0.1

Fish yields

Fishponds management data and yields are given in Table 2 and yields of individual species are given in Table 3. At Uba all ponds were untreated and produced almost the same amount of fish in terms of biomass. At Ruwe the untreated control pond produced more biomass compared to the other ponds treated with green manure. But statistically there were no differences in yields among ponds in both sites (ANOVA, $F = 0.87$, $P > 0.05$). At Uba the yield was more than 2-3 times greater than at Ruwe although only functioned for 4-5 months but there was no significant difference in yield between the sites ($t = 0.99$, $P > 0.05$). No management measure, were applied but equalisation was attempted in all ponds. Both tilapia and *Clarias* were redistributed equally. Pond 3 with four months functional time gave best total yield.

Table 2. Finger ponds management data

Site	Pond	Flooding date	Fish density		culture length	Fish harvest	Inputs
			Fish/m^2	Weight (kg)	(months)	Yield (kg/pond)	Green manure (kg/pond/week)
Ruwe	1	Apr./May 04	0.5	0.8	7	7.3	50
	2	Apr./May 04	0.5	0.9	7	9.8	50
	3	Apr./May 04	0.5	0.7	7	6.3	50
	4	Apr./May 04	0.5	0.6	6	10.8	Control
	1	Apr./May 02	1.2	1.3	5	19.2	-
Uba	2	Apr./May 02	1	3	4	16.5	-
	3	Apr./May 02	1.8	1.8	4	23.5	-
	4	Apr./May 02	1.1	3.1	4	16.3	-

Contributions by species to the final yield

The contribution of individual species is given in Table 3. At Ruwe yields was predominated by *Oreochromis urolepis, Labeo congoro* and *Clarias* spp. in descending order. Similarly at Uba the tilapia predominated at with the substantial yields from *Synodontis* spp. and *Clarias* spp.

Table 3. Yields (kg) of individual fish species

		Ponds				Yield
		1M	2M	3M	4C	Total (kg)
	Oreochromis urolepis	2.8	4.4	3.7	6.7	17.5
Ruwe	*Labeo congoro*	2.9	1.7	1.3	2.6	8.5
	Clarias spp.	0.8	2.4	0	0.7	3.9
	Other species	0.8	1.3	1.2	0.8	4.3
	Total yield (kg)	7.3	9.8	6.2	10.8	34.2
	Number of species	6	7	4	5	
		1	2	3	4	Total (kg)
	Oreochromis urolepis	17.2	13.1	7.3	9.2	46.7
	Clarias spp.	1	2.5	2	1.8	7.3
Uba	*Synodontis spp.*	0.5	-	9.3	3.3	13.2
	Other species	0.5	0.9	4.7	2	8.3
	Total yield (kg)	19.2	16.5	23.5	16.3	75.5
	Number of species	5	3	9	8	

Water quality and fish yields

The canonical correlation coefficients for axis one, two and three are given in Table 4. The first yield canonical correlation accounts for 58% of variance. The major factors contributing to the final yields are phosphate, nitrate, nitrite, dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH and conductivity all with positive coefficient on first axis. Positive values of canonical correlation on axis two corresponded to the ponds with the yield of *Labeo congoro* in Ruwe ponds. Thus, chlorophyll-turbidity and ammonia concentrations favoured the yield of *Labeo congoro* at Ruwe. The yield of *Oreochromis urolepis* at Uba was favoured by nutrients (phosphate, nitrite and nitrate), pH, dissolved oxygen and temperature (Fig. 4). Chlorophyll-*a* was the only environmental variable that showed a significant correlation with fish yield ($P< 0.01$). The second canonical correlation accounts for further 34% of the variance and the third one accounted for only 8% of the variance.

The CCA triplot showed a distinctive group of Ruwe ponds indicated that yield of *Labeo congoro* was associated with two factors; turbidity and chlorophyll a. The position of pond one indicated the slightly higher yield of *Labeo congoro* compared to other ponds (Table 3). The yield of *Oreochromis urolepis* was slightly similar in pond one and two with a minor influence from water quality parameters. Similarly Fig. 4 showed a separate position of pond three at Uba dominated with *Synodontis* spp. with minor influence from water quality parameters.

Table 4. Canonical correlation analysis showing the influence of water quality on fish yields. Bolded figures indicate most important coefficient

Parameters	CCA axis 1	CCA axis 2	CCA axis 3
Temperature	0.592	-0.719	-0.092
pH	0.632	-0.421	-0.359
DO ((mgl^{-1})	0.706	-0.611	-0.174
Transparency (cm)	-0.511	0.343	0.562
Conductivity (µS/cm)	0.626	-0.635	-0.075
Chlorophyll-a (µgl^{-1})	-0.682	0.688	0.100
Ammonia ((µgl^{-1})	0.115	0.713	0.362
Nitrite (µgl^{-1})	0.635	-0.667	-0.135
Nitrate (µgl^{-1})	0.710	-0.583	0.010
Phosphate (µgl^{-1})	0.724	-0.595	-0.044

Flooding episode, management variables and fish yields

The canonical correlation coefficients on fish yield with flooding events and management variables are given in Table 5. The first yield canonical correlation accounts for 58 % of variance. The yield of *Labeo congoro* at Ruwe ponds was influenced by its specific growth rate, manure and culture period. This has been shown by forming a distinctive group in Figure 5. The three parameters formed a strong positive correlation with the second CCA axis. The second yield canonical correlation was accounted for 33 % of the variance. The yield of *Oreochromis urolepis* at Uba was negatively influenced by its growth rate in pond one and two while the yield from pond four was positively influenced by initial weight and density (Fig.5). The third canonical axis explained only 9 % of variance and the major contributing factor on yield was final water level. Fish density after equalisation and number of species were the only variables that showed a significant effect on the total fish yield ($P<0.05$). These two variables formed strongly positively correlation with first canonical axis. Re-connectivity of ponds to lakes during rainy season also showed strong positive correlation with first axis and had an influence on the yield of *Synodontis* spp. in pond three.

Table 5. Canonical correlation analysis showing the influence of managements and fish growth rate on final yields. Bolded figures indicate most important coefficient

Parameters	SPEC AX1	SPEC AX2	SPEC AX3
Number of species	0.621	0.628	0.094
Culture period	-0.741	0.511	-0.001
Initial density	0.917	-0.198	-0.141
Initial weight	0.448	-0.492	0.325
Manure	-0.508	0.588	0.261
Initial water level	0.284	0.324	0.587
Final water level	0.318	0.101	0.620
SGR (*O. urolepis*)	-0.128	-0.356	-0.308
SGR (*L. congoro*)	-0.671	0.628	0.030
Re-connectivity	0.893	0.028	0.133



Fig. 4. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) showing the effect of water quality on fingerponds fish yield. RP1-4 are Ruwe ponds and UP1-4 are Uba ponds. Orur: *Oreochromis urolepis*, Laco: *Labeo congoro*, Cl. spp.: *Clarias* spp. and Sy. spp.: *Synodontis* spp.



Fig. 5. Canonical correspondence analysis showing the effect of some variables on fingerponds fish yield. RP1-4 and UP1-4 are Ruwe and Uba ponds respectively. Orur: *Oreochromis urolepis*, Laco: *Labeo congoro*, Cl. spp.: *Clarias* spp. and Sy. spp.: *Synodontis* spp.

DISCUSSION

The quality of water determines how well the fish will grow in the ponds and indeed, if they will survive. In formal aquaculture, maintenance of suitable water quality greatly reduces the likelihood of a disease problem. Critical water quality parameters include dissolved oxygen (DO), water clarity, pH, temperature and nitrogenous wastes (unionised ammonia, NH_3; nitrites, NO_2). Many of these parameters are interrelated (Durborow *et al.*, 1992; Buttner *et al.*, 1993).

There was some variability between ponds in any month, notably DO and water clarity. At Ruwe ponds most of water quality parameters were at optimum for the first three months after ponds were disconnected. All water quality variables except ammonia showed a strong correlation with total fish yields. Only turbidity and conductivity showed a positive correlation. Decrease of dissolved oxygen in the last three months of sampling was probably due to the excessive organic matter from fermented green manure, decomposition of marginal vegetation as water levels decreased and algal die off particularly at Uba ponds. It has been reported that organic loading affects biological processes in pond water. Decomposition of organic matter through heterotrophic activity decreases pH and oxygen hence liberating dissolved minerals into water (Milstein, 1993).

According to Balarin and Hatton (1979) tilapia can survive under extremely adverse DO condition and the lowest limit, which has been recorded, is 0.1 mg/l for *Oreochromis mossambicus* and *O. niloticus*. It is possible that all tilapias seem to be able to survive oxygen levels as low as 1mg/l. Decrease in dissolved oxygen at Uba in the last three months was probably due to the die off marginal vegetation as water level dropped and the collapse of algal blooms. However, the higher mean dissolved oxygen and percentage saturation recorded at Uba throughout the study period indicated higher water productivity.

At Ruwe low conductivity and turbidity favoured the growth rate and yield of *Labeo congoro*. Chlorophyll-*a* showed a significant effect on fish yield particularly for *Labeo congoro.* Yields of tilapia were associated with environmental factors apart from conductivity and transparency with different degrees, and this was evident at Uba ponds. The relatively high levels of suspended organic matter at Uba ponds probably contributed to low water clarity. In some circumstances, the high level of suspended solids with reduced transparency could be due to the stirring of bottom sediments by fish seeking food. Suspended particles may scatter light and sometimes cause mechanical damage to fish. Low water clarity or high turbidity reduces primary production and oxygen levels in ponds (Balarin and Hatton, 1979). It has been reported that *Sarotherodon spilurus* exhibited lower growth due to high turbidity as it reduces phytoplankton production (van Someren and Whitehead (1959) in Balarin and Hatton, 1979). By contrast to Ruwe, reduced water clarity at Uba was generally due to high phytoplankton biomass. Also these ponds contained relatively richer nutrient water and they were visibly green with phytoplankton throughout the rearing period. These ponds received rich nutrient water from the lake.

The most important factors affecting total and species yields were culture period, initial density and weight after equalisation, manure, and specific growth rate of key species (Table 1). The main predominant components in the fingerponds were *Oreochromis urolepis* and *Labeo congoro* at Ruwe and *Oreochromis urolepis* at Uba. Therefore the total yield was influenced by these two species. Manure, culture period and specific growth rate of *Labeo congoro* favoured the yield of *Labeo* in Ruwe ponds (Fig. 5). The yield of *Oreochromis urolepis* at Uba was favoured by its initial density and weight in pond 1, 2 and 4. In pond 3 the yield of *Synodontis* spp. was favoured by re-connectivity while other species were influenced strongly with the number of species present in the ponds (Fig. 5).

The use of organic fertilizers has a long tradition in tropical semi-intensive aquaculture. When added to ponds, they may ultimately increase fish yields through soluble and/or particulate pathways. Release of soluble nitrogen and phosphorus stimulates algal production, which in turn can be consumed by fish directly or after intermediate processing by zooplankton or microbes (detritus formation). The rationale of manuring treatments was to establish a good level of pond productivity. However, when added to ponds, organic fertilizer may exert an oxygen demand and excessive application may result in depletion of dissolved oxygen. Qin *et al*. (1995) observed that ponds with organic fertilizer had lower dissolved oxygen than those without organic fertilizer.

In the present study green manure showed a negative correlation with fish yield although it was not significant (Table 5). The highest fish yields were recorded from the untreated control pond (Tables 2). This might be contributed by the green manure added which lowered dissolved oxygen. Milstein *et al*. (1988) reported a minor influence of manure on fish yield compared to other management factors like stocking density and weight. In this study although a high dose of green manure was applied it did not produce enough nutrients into the ponds to maintain the total fish biomass by increasing the natural pond production. According to Little and Muir (1987) accumulation of green fodders in the ponds, can lead to oxygen stress because green manures become oxidised after 5 days. Therefore, in ponds fertilized by green manure oxygen problem may occur over a number of days.

Fish yield in fingerponds was largely influenced by initial density and weight. Fish density after equalization showed a significant correlation with fish yield. Milstein *et al*. (1988) used canonical correlation analysis of relationship between management inputs and fish growth and yields and reported that the most important factors affecting growth and yield were initial weight and density. In the present study fish density after equalization showed a strong positive correlation with yields. In aquaculture fish growth and yields depends on initial density and weight. The higher the initial density and weight the higher the yield (Table 5). However, when the density exceeds the optimum level growth rate will be affected as well as the final yield.

Culture period showed a strong negative correlation with yields and this was evident in Ruwe ponds. The ponds with longer culture length had relatively lower yield compared to those with relatively shorter culture period (Table 2). However, Uba ponds produced higher fish yields because of late disconnection and tenuous re-connection in some of the ponds during rainy seasons. During final harvest, Ruwe ponds had slightly less number of fish species compared to Uba (Table 3). There were three key species at Ruwe and two at Uba, which contributed to the final yields. The numbers of species showed a significant effect on the fish yield. The ponds with less number of key species produced higher yield. Small effects of water levels were noticed in the first axis.

In general terms, the natural productivity of a fishpond in the tropics is considered to be about 500 kg/ha/year (Haylor, 1989). Fish farming in wetlands has shown benefits in some regions e.g. in the managed fish holes and drain-in ponds of Benin, with the annual yields of 1.5-2 t/ha (Rothius *et al.*, 1994; Roggeri, 1995). Pant *et al.* (2004) reported a yield of about 500 kg/ha from rain fed lowland integrated agriculture systems in Northeast Thailand. Extrapolated yield of 2-5 t/ha/year have been reported from ponds fertilized by fresh chopped weed in Asia (Edward, 1985). The same author recommended 40 kg wet weight of chopped freshwater plants in ponds with area of 200 m^2 in order to produce 110 kg/pond/year. For comparison of the fish yields from the present study with other studies elsewhere the yields should be extrapolated. The extrapolated yields (in the brackets) from Ruwe and Uba ponds ranged from 7.3-10.8 kg (487-787 kg/ha) and 16.3-23.5 kg (1779-2326 kg/ha) respectively. Chikafumbwa, (1996) reported a yield of 560 kg/ha extrapolated from 2.00 m^2 pond from ponds fertilized by Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) only. From the present study it can be concluded that fish yields from fingerponds were probably influenced by some water quality parameters like dissolved oxygen and management variables such as manuring. Also, culture time and flooding time were responsible for variations in fish yields.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the EU INCO-DEV Contract No: ICA4-CT-2001-10037.

REFERENCES

1. APHA. 1995. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 19th edition. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C., USA.
2. A-S Goda, A. M., M. E. Wafa, E. R. El Haroun and M. A. K. Chowdhury. 2007. Growth performance and feed utilization of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and tilapia galilae *Sarotherodon galilaeus* (Linnaeus, 1758) fingerlings fed plant protein-based diets. *Aquaculture Research*, 38: 827-837.
3. Balarin, J. D. and J. P. Hatton. 1979. Tilapia. A guide to their biology and culture in Africa. University of Sterling. 174 pp.
4. Brummet, R. E. and K. Katambalika. 1996. Protocol for the development of indigenous species: polyculture of indigenous species under Malawian smallholder conditions. *Aquaculture Research*, 27: 225-233.
5. Buttner, J. K., R. W. Soderberg and D. E. Terlizzi. 1993. An introduction to water chemistry in freshwater aquaculture. *Northeastern Regional Aquaculture Center*, *Fact Sheet* No. 170, 4 pp.
6. Chikafumbwa, F. J. K. 1996a. The use of napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) and maize (*Zea mays*) bran as low-cost tilapia aquaculture inputs. *Aquaculture*, 146: 101-107.
7. Chimatiro, S. K. And B. A. Costa~Pierce. 1996. Waste vegetable leaves as feed for juvenile *Oreochromis shiranus* and *Tilapia rendalli* in mono- and polyculture. *In*: R.S.V. Pullin, J. Lazard, M. Legendre, J. B. Amon Kothias and D. Pauly (eds.) The Third International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture. ICLARM Conference Proceedings, pp. 183-192.
8. Cowx, I. G. 1983. Review of the methods for estimating fish population size from survey removal data. *Fisheries Management*, 14: 67-82.
9. Das, S. J. and B. B. Jana. 2003. Pond fertilisation regimen: State-of-the art. *Journal of Applied Aquaculture*, 13: 35-66.
10. de Graaf, G. T. 2004. Optimisation of the pond rearing of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.): The impact of stunting processes and recruitment control. PhD Thesis, Wageningen University, The Netherlands, 179 pp.
11. Denny, P. and F. Turyatunga. 1992. Ugandan wetlands and their management. *In*: E. Maltby, P. J. Dugan & J. C. Lefeuvre (eds.). Conservation and Development: The Sustainable Use of Wetland Resources. Proceedings of the Third International Wetlands Conference Rennes, France, 19-23 September 1988. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland. xii, pp. 77-84.

12. Denny, P., J. Kipkemboi, R. Kagwa and H. Lamtane. 2006. The potential of fingerpond systems to increase food production from wetlands in Africa. *International journal of Ecology and Environmental Science*, 32: 41-47.

13. Durborow, R. M., D. M. Crosby and M. W. Brunson. 1992. Ammonia in fish ponds. *Southern Regional Aquaculture Center, Publication No*. 463, 2 pp.

14. Haylor, G. S. 1989. The case for the African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* Burchell, 1822, Clariidae: a comparison of the relative merits of Tilapiine fishes, especially *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.) and *C. gariepinus* Burchell, for African aquaculture. *Aquaculture and Fisheries Management*, 20: 279-285.

15. Junk, W. J., P. B. Bayley and R. E. Sparks. 1989. The flood pulse concept in river-floodplain ecosystems. *In*: Proceedings of the International large River Symposium Dodge, D.P. (ed.). pp 110-127, Ottawa, Canadadian Special Publication in Fisheries and Aquatic Science 106.

16. Kaliba, A. R., C. C. Ngugi, J. Mackambo and K. K. Quagraine. 2007. Economic profitability of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) production in Kenya. *Aquaculture Research*, 38: 1129-1136.

17. Kaliba, A. R., K. O. Osewe, E. M. Senkondo, B. V. Mnembuka and K. K. Quagraine. 2006. Economic analysis of Nile tilapia in Tanzania. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 37: 464-473.

18. Kang'ombe J., J. S. Likongwe, H. Eda, H. and J. P. Mtimuni. 2007. Effect of varying dietary energy level on feed intake, feed conversion, whole-body composition and growth of Malawian tilapia, *Oreochromis shiranus*-Boulenger. *Aquaculture Research*, 38: 373-380.

19. Kang'ombe, J., J. A. Brown and L. C. Halfyard. 2006. Effect of using different types of organic animal manure on plankton abundance and on growth and survival of *Tilapia rendalli* (Boulenger) in ponds. *Aquaculture Research*, 37: 1360-1371.

20. Killian, H. S., D. Heikes, P. Van Wyk, M. Masser and C. R. Engle. 1998. Inventory assessment methods for aquaculture ponds. *Southern Regional Aquaculture Center, Publication* No. 395, 4 pp.

21. Knud-Hansen, C. F. 1993. The role of chicken manure in the production of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). *Aquaculture and Fisheries Management*, 24: 483-493.

22. Lamtane, H. A. 2008. Fish ecology and yields from self-stocked fingerponds in East African wetlands. PhD Thesis, University of London, King's College, 231pp.

23. Liti, D., L. Cherop, J. Munguti and L. Chhorn. 2005. Growth and economic performance of Nile tilapia fed on two formulated diets and two locally available feeds in fertilized ponds. *Aquaculture Research*, 38: 746-752.
24. Liti, D. M., B. Fulanda, J. M. Munguti, M. Straif, H. Waidbacher and G. Winkler. 2005. Effects of open-pond density and caged biomass of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) on growth feed utilization, economic returns and water quality in fertilized ponds. *Aquaculture Research*, 36: 1535-1543.
25. Maluwa, A. O., A. C. Brooks and B. B. Rashidi. 1995. Production of the Malawi chambo*, Oreochromis karongae* (Trewavas, 1941) association, and *Oreochromis shiranus* (Boulenger, 1896) in polyculture with the African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822). *Aquaculture Research*, 26: 103-108.
26. Milstein, A., G. Hulata and G. W. Wohlfarth. 1988. Canonical correlation analysis of relationships between management inputs and fish growth and yields in polyculture. *Aquaculture and Fisheries Management*, 19: 13-24.
27. Pant, J., H. Damaine and P. Edward. 2004. Assessment of the aquaculture subsystem in integrated agriculture-aquaculture systems in Northeast Thailand. *Aquaculture Research*, 35: 289-298.
28. Qin, I., D. A. Culver and N. Yu. 1995. Effect of organic fertilizer on heterotrophs and autotrophs: implications for water quality management. *Aquaculture Research*, 26: 911-620.
29. Rahman, M. M., L. A. J. Nagelkerke, M. C. J. Verdegem, M. A. Whab and J. A. J. Verreth. 2008. Relationships among water quality, food resources, fish diet and fish growth in polyculture ponds: A multivariate approach. *Aquaculture,* 275: 108-115.
30. Roggeri, H. 1995. Tropical freshwater wetlands: A guide to current knowledge and sustainable management. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 349 pp.
31. Rothius, A. J., C. Bastiaansen and M. C. J. Verdegem. 1994. Possibilities for development of extensive fish farming in upland river valley systems in west Zambia. *Journal of Aquaculture in the tropics*, 9: 109-118.
32. ter Braak, C. J. F. and P. Šmilauer. 2002. CANOCO reference manual and user's guide to Canoco for windows: Software for canonical community ordination (version 4.5). Ithaca, New York: Microcomputer Power.