INDUCED POLYPLOIDY POTENTIAL FOR IMPROVING RESISTANCE IN *HEVEA* CLONES TO RUBBER TREE LEAF BLIGHT¹

N.T.V. JUNQUEIRA², V.H.F. MORAES³, R. LIEBEREI⁴ & L. GASPAROTTO³

²CPAC/EMBRAPA, C.P. 08223, 73301 - Planaltina, DF; ³CPAA/EMBRAPA, C.P. 319, 69001 - Manaus, AM. ⁴Institut Fuer Angewandte Botanik, Universitaet Hamburg. Postfach 302762, D-2000 Hamburg 36, Germany

(Accepted for publication on 01/08/92)

JUNQUEIRA, N.T.V., MORAES, V.H.F., LIEBEREI, R. & GASPAROTTO, L. Induced Polyploidy Potential for Improving Resistance in *Hevea* Clones to Rubber Tree Leaf Blight. Fitopatol. bras. 18:12-18. 1993.

ABSTRACT

Components of resistance that reduce the epidemic rate of rubber tree leaf blight, have been evaluated on colchicine-induced polyploids (CIP) *Hevea* clones and on their respective natural diploids after inoculation of virulent *Microcyclus ulei* isolates to the diploid clones. Some CIP clones have presented high level of resistance in comparison with their susceptible diploid clones, whereas

other CIP clones were susceptible. The polyploid plant inherent factors controlling the resistance to *M. ulei* and the induced polyploidy potential for rubber tree breeding aiming at resistance to leaf blight are discussed.

Key words: Rubber tree, *Microcyclus ulei*, induced resistance, physiological changes.

RESUMO

Potencial da poliploidia induzida para o melhoramento da seringueira visando resistência ao mal-das-folhas

Avaliaram-se componentes de resistência que reduzem a taxa de progresso do mal-das-folhas, em clones de seringueira poliplóides induzidos com colchicina e em seus respectivos diplóides naturais, após inoculação de vários isolados de *Microcyclus ulei* virulentos para os clones diplóides. Alguns clones poliplóides apresentaram

alto nível de resistência quando comparados com seus respectivos diplóides susceptíveis, ao passo que outros foram susceptíveis. São discutidos os fatores que podem controlar a resistência dos clones poliplóides ao *M. ulei* e o potencial da poliploidia induzida para o melhoramento da seringueira visando resistência ao mal-das-folhas.

INTRODUCTION

Rubber tree leaf blight, caused by *Microcyclus ulei* (P. Henn.) Arx, causes drastic economic losses in rubber plantation in Brazil.

The chemical control of this disease is restricted to nurseries, clonal gardens and very young plantations. In spite of intensive research for chemical protection of rubber against leaf blight, no suitable economic technique for large-scale fungicide application has been developed as yet for mature plantations. Planting of productive and resistant rubber tree clones could be the most efficient method to control leaf blight. However, the high physiological variability of the pathogen (Junqueira et al. 1986) and the difficulties to transfer the "horizontal" resistance to the productive clones through conventional

Part of this work was carried out during the research stay of the senior author at the Botanical Institute of the Tecnical University of Braunschweig, Germany, receiving financial support from DGF/GTZ, IBAMA and EMBRAPA-CPAA, AM.

breeding (Junqueira *et al.* 1989b) have not yield productive rubber clones which are resistant to leaf blight.

Treatment of *Hevea* clones with colchicine, according to Moraes (1982a, 1982b), has allowed the production of different rubber tree polyploid clones with high potencial for latex production. However, the level of resistance of these colchicine-induced polyploid (CIP) clones to leaf blight disease is not yet known. The objective of this study was to show the differences between CIP rubber clones and their respective natural diploid clones concerning the resistance to leaf blight.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Production of polyploids rubber tree clones was done in accordance with Moraes (1982a; 1982b) using solution composed of colchicine (0,25%) + sucrose (5,0%) + 0,1% of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Apical bud of grafted young plants were eliminated and when the lateral buds became swollen, the petiole of each leaf were cut, and 7,5 microliters of this solution were injected in it by using a micropipette.

The polyploid material was identified by apical meristem organogenesis analysis, chromossome number, and presence of sectorial chimeras in leaves. Mixoploid material was eliminated based on frequency, class and size of the stomata, on leaf thickness and on sieve tube caliber.

Colchicine-induced polyploid (CIP) clones and their respectives natural diploids were cultivated in black polyethylene bags containing 10 kg of substrate (40% of organic compost + 60% of yellow latossol) mantained under local ambient conditions at CPAA, Manaus, AM. Inolucations were carried out after the third leaf flush, with 2x10⁵ conidia/ml of *M. ulei*, according to Junqueira *et al.* (1986). The leaf flushes were firstly inoculated with two highly virulent isolates of *M. ulei* to the diploids clones, previously tested for virulence (Junqueira *et al.* 1989b).

Assessment of the resistance was done by determination of the M. ulei incubation period (IP-time from inoculation until the development of macroscopic visible lesions), the M. ulei generation period (GP-time from inoculation until the production of conidia), number of lesions per 9 cm² of leaf surface (NL), diameter of lesions (DL) sporulation (EP), and leaf fall tolerance (LFT). The NL, DL, and EP were determined 12 days after inoculation in accordance with a diagramatic scale proposed by Junqueira et al. (1986). The LFT was determined through inoculation of different M. ulei inoculum concentrations. After the first assessment, all susceptible CIP plants of each clone were eliminated whereas the resistant CIP plants were pruned above the first leaf flush and fertilized to get new leaf flush for the second inoculation. After the second inoculation, which was done on the third leaf flush emitted after the first prune, all susceptible polyploid plants were eliminated whereas the resistant plants were again pruned, fertilized and inoculated when they had emitted the third leaf flush. After the third inoculation, the resistant plants were

transferred to a new substrate and, five plants of each clone, were inoculated with 13 *M. ulei* isolates from different regions of Brazil.

RESULTS

Polyploid clones as the Fx 985 P₁, IAN 6158 P₁, MDF 180 P₁ and CNS AM 7704 P₁ presented high percentage of *M. ulei* resistant plants in comparison with their respective natural diploids (Table 1). The polyploid IAN 873 P₁ (IAC 222) presented only 13,0% of resistant plants. The other CIP clones such as IAN 717 P₁, Fx 3925 P₁, IAN 6323 P₁, Fx 4098 P₁ and CNSAM 7665 did not present resistant plants.

All CIP clones, with the exception for the CNSAM 7704 P₁, have shown some susceptible plants even after the three inoculations cycles (Table 2). The higher percentage of susceptible CIP *Hevea* plants, which showed resistance during thr first *M. ulei* inoculation, was observed among the IAN 873 P₁ (IAC 222) and MDF 180 P₁ plants (see Table 1). These results suggest that the character for *M. ulei* resistance is not yet fixed in the CIP *Hevea* clones, having variable level of mixoploid tissue among those clones.

The resistant polyploid plants selected (Table 2), when reinoculated on the third leaf flush emitted after the second prune, maintained their resistance, except IAC 222 (Table 3). The results indicate the probable fixation of the character for resistance to *M. ulei* or elimination/reduction of the mixoploidy level after the third inoculation.

The components of resistance that reduce the leaf blight severity on CIP clone and on their respective natural diploids are listed in Table 4. The IP and NL were similar to CIP plants and natural diploids clones, whereas the DL, GP and EP were different among clones and within clones. Resistant CIP clones as Fx 985 P₁, IAN 6158 P₁, MDF 180 P₁, CNSAM 7704 P₁ and IAN 873 P₁ (Table 3), presented hypersensitive reactions with no spores formation, and lesions diameter at least, twice smaller than the lesions that occurred on their respective natural diploids, showing, nevertheless, higher resistance level than the diploid clones.

Susceptible CIP clones (Table 4) as IAN 717 P₁, Fx 3925 P₁, IAN 6323 P₁, Fx 4098 P₁ and CNSAM 7665 P₁, have presented lesions with larger diameter and the same sporulation level than their diploids; however, they were more tolerant to leaf fall than their diploids.

The reactions of CIP rubber clones and respective diploids to several *M. ulei* isolates from different regions of Brazil, are listed in Table 5. The selected CIP Fx 985 P₁, IAN 6158 P₁, MDF 180 P₁, IAN 873 P₁ (IAC 222), and CNSAM 7704 P₁ (Table 3), were resistant or highly resistant to all the *M. ulei* isolates, whereas their respective diploide were susceptible to some isolated groups and resistant to others, according to the host specificity (Junqueira *et al.* 1986). The susceptible CIP rubber clones (Table 5) such as IAN 717 P₁, Fx 3925 P₁, IAN 6323 P₁, Fx 4098 P₁ and CNSAM 7665 P₁ presented, according to specificity group, either susceptibility or resistance similar to their respective natural diploids.

TABLE 1. Performance of colchicine-induced polyploid Hevea clones to virulent Microcyclus ulei isolates to their natural diploid clones, after inoculations done on the third foliar flush*.

CLONES	Genetic Origin	Tested plants	Resistant plants**	Resistant plants (%)	
Fx 985N	H. brasiliensis	93	0	0,0	
Fx 985P ₁	H. brasiliensis	76	58	76,3	
IAN 6158N	H. benthamiana	125	0	0,0	
IAN 6158P ₁	H. brasiliensis	83	61	73,5	
MDF 180N	H. brasiliensis	38	0	0,0	
MDF 180P ₁	H. brasiliensis	22	12	54,5	
IAN 873N	H. brasiliensis	105	0	0,0	
IAN 873P ₁ (IAC 222)	H. brasiliensis	123	16	13,0	
CNSAM 7704N	H. benthamiana	26	0	0,0	
CNSAM 7704P ₁	H. benthamiana	39	26	66,7	
IAN 717N	H. benthamiana	96	0	0,0	
IAN 717P ₁	H. brasiliensis	25	0	0,0	
Fx 3925N	H. benthamiana	43	0	0,0	
Fx 3925P ₁	H. brasiliensis	32	0 ~	0,0	
IAN 6323N	H. benthamiana	67	0	0,0	
IAN 6323P ₁	H. brasiliensis	25	0	0,0	
Fx 4098N	H. brasiliensis	117	0	0,0	
Fx 4098P ₁	H. brasiliensis	16	$\tilde{0}$	0,0	
CNSAM 7665N	***	87		0,0	
CNSAM7665P ₁	***	36	0	0,0	

= Natural diploid; P₁ = Colchicine-induced polyploid Hevea clone

= Corresponding to third foliar flush emitted after the planting of budded stumps.

*** = Probably natural hybrid between H. brasiliensis x H. camporum.

TABLE 2. Performance of colchicine-induced polyploid Hevea plants, which have presented resistance to the same Microcyclus ulei isolates (Table 1), after reinoculations done on the third foliar flush emitted after the first prune*.

Polyploid Clones	Tested plants	Resistant plants	Resistant plants (%)		
Fx 985P ₁	58	47	81,0		
IAN 6158P ₁	61	59	96,7		
MDF 180P ₁	12	10	83,3		
IAN 873P ₁ (IAC 222)	16	11	68,3		
CNSAM 7704P ₁	26	26	100,0		

The first prune was done above the first foliar flush when the plants had emitted the third foliar flush.

TABLE 3. Performance of colchicine-induced polyploid Hevea clones, which have presented resistance to the same Microcyclus ulei isolates (Table 2), after reinoculations done on the third foliar flush, emitted after the second prune*.

Polyploids Clones	Tested plants	Resistant plants	Resistant plants (%)		
Fx 985P ₁	47	47	100		
IAN 6158P ₁	59	59	100		
MDF 180P ₁	10	10	100		
IAN 873P ₁ (IAC 222)	11	9	82		
CNSAM 7704P ₁	26	26	100		

The second prune was done when the foliar flush emitted after the first prune had emitted the third foliar flush.

^{** =} Plants that did not allow the *M. ulei* conidia and stromata formation on the infected tissue were considered resistant to the pathogen.

^{**} Plants that did not allow the M. ulei conídia and stromata formation on the infected tissue were considered resistant to pathogen.

^{**} Plants that did not allow the M. ulei conídia and stromata formation were considered resistant to pathogen.

TABLE 4. Components of resistance in colchicine-induced polyploid *Hevea* clones and their respective natural diploids to virulent *Microcyclus ulei* isolates to the diploid *Hevea* clones.

	M. ulei incubation period-IP*	M. ulei generation period-GP*	Lesion number NL*	Lesion diameter DL* (mm)	M. ulei sporulation type-EP*	Leaf fall tolerance LFT* (conidia/ml)	Reaction type RT*
Fx 985N	3,4+	6,4	12,5+	3,3	9	6 x 10 ⁵	HS
Fx 985P ₁	3,5	**	13,8	1,3	2	***	R
IAN 6158N	3,5	7,5	11,6	1,4	6	1×10^{6}	S
IAN 6158P ₁	3,5	**	12,5	0,7	2	***	R
MDF 180N	3,0	6,0	14,4	3,0	9	6×10^5	HS
MDF 180P ₁	3,5	**	12,6	1,5	2	***	R
IAN 873N	3,0	5,2	11,8	3,5	10	4×10^{5}	HS
IAN 873P ₁ (IAC 222		**	12,6	0,9	2	***	R
CNSAM 7704N	3,0	8,0	15,3	2,0	6	1×10^{6}	S
CNSAM 7704P ₁	3,0	**	12,8	0,8	2	***	R
IAN 717N	3,5	5,0	12,6	3,6	10	4×10^{5}	HS
IAN 717P ₁	3,5	5,0	13,0	4,1	10	6×10^{3}	HS
Fx 3925N	3,0	5,0	13,3	3,5	10	4×10^{5}	HS
Fx 3925P ₁	3,0	5,0	12,6	4,3	10	6×10^{5}	HS
IAN 6323N	3,3	5,5	12,4	3,6	10	6×10^{5}	HS
IAN 6323P ₁	3,0	5,0	11,3	4,0	10	6×10^{5}	HS
Fx 4098N	3,3	6,4	12,5	2,0	9	4×10^{5}	HS
Fx 4098P ₁	3,0	6,0	12,0	2,4	9	6×10^{5}	HS
CNSAM 7665N	3,0	5,0	12,0	3,5	10	8×10^{5}	HS
CNSAM 7665P ₁	3,0	5,0	13,3	3,8	10	8×10^{5}	HS

^{*}N :Natural diploid; P1 = colchicine-induced polyploid; GP = time from inoculation until the production of conídia; IP = time from inoculation until appearance of visible lesions.

DISCUSSION

According to Tal (1978), the polyploidy consists in duplication of the chromossome number (conventional polyploidy) or in the increase of DNA proportion per chromossome (cryptic polyploidy) and it is an important factor in plant evolution (Lawrence, 1968).

Ploidy level of rubber tree is not yet well known, but Bouharmont (1960) and Ong (1975), mentioned by Soleille (1984) think of it as amphidiploid whereas Soleille (1984) thinks of it as autotetraploid.

It is shown in this study that the polyploidy may alter the rubber tree resistance to *M. ulei*. In potential, it is an important factor for rubber tree breeding aiming at obtaining productive and leaf blight resistant clones. Among the CIP rubber clones with resistance for all *M. ulei* isolates tested, the most presented complete resistance characterized by incompatible hypersensitive reaction.

These hypersensitive reactions, represented by small necrotic lesions, when observed under ultraviolet light (U.V.) at 365 mm, presented a blue fluorescent halo around the lesions. This blue fluorescent substance was defined by Lieberei et al. (1989) as scopoletin, a coumarin derivative phytoalexin. The compatible M.ulei lesions on either susceptible diploid or polyploid Hevea clones did not present blue fluorescent substances, or had small quantities of it, rarely visible under U.V. light. According to Lieberei et al. (1989) the scopoletin production by susceptible M. ulei infected leaves is totally inhibited by HCN released in larger quantity during the pathogenesis process. On resistant clones the HCN, which acts as a susceptibility factor, is slowly released in small quantities without inhibiting the defense reactions of the plant against M. ulei. Slow release of HCN by resistant rubber clones may be due to high β - cyanoalaninesynthase activity. These results are indications of genome changes in the CIP clones.

^{*}NL: Number of *M. ulei* lesions observed on 9cm² of leaf area;

^{*}EP: Scale 0-10 according to Junuqueira et al. (1986); numbers refer to resistant or susceptible plants of each clone; 2 - necrotic leisons with 1,0-2,0 mm diameter with no spores; 6 = lesions less than 1,5 mm diameter with high spores production only on abaxial leaf surface; 9 = lesions more than 2,5 mm diameter with very high spores production only on abaxial leaf surface; 10 = lesions more than 2,5 mm diameter with very high spores production on both leaf surface.

^{*}LFT: Maximum M. ulei conidia concentration supported by Hevea leaves after inoculations under controlled environment condition

^{*}RT :R = resistant; S = susceptible; HS = highly susceptible

^{**} No sporulation

^{***} No inoculations

⁺ Not significantly differences (P < 0,05)

TABLE 5. Reactions of colchicine-induced polyploid Hevea clones and of their respective natural diploide to thirteen Microcyclus ulei isolates belonging to different groups **.

CLONES	M. ulei ISOLATES													
	GROUP I**			GROUP II**			GROUP III***			*	GROUP IV**			
	11*	22*	33*	54*	10*	31*	52*	58*	53*	55*	56*	57*	4*	
Fx 985N	R***	HR**	* R	R	HS	HS	S	S	R	R	R	R	HR	7.5 <u>0</u> 0
Fx 985 P ₁	R	HR	R	HR	R	R	R	R	R	HR	HR	R	HR	
AN 6158N	MR	S	MR	S	R	R	HR	MR	R	R	S	S	HR	
IAN 6158P ₁	HR	R	R	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	S	HR	
MDF 180N	R	R	R	HR	S	HS	HS	S	MR	MR	HR	HS	HR	
MDF 180P ₁	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	HR	R	HR	
AN 873N	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HR	
IAN 873P ₁ (IAC 222)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	HR	
CNSAM 7704N	MR	MR	MR	R	R	R	R	R	MR	MR	MR	MR	R	
CNSAM 7704P ₁	HR	R	R	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	
AN 717N	HS	HS	HS	HS	R	R	R	R	HS	HS	HS	HS	HR	
AN 717P ₁	HS	HS	HS	HS	R	R	R	R	HS	HS	HS	HS	HR	
Fx 3925N	HS	HS	HS	HS	R	R	R	R	S	S	HS	HS	HR	
Fx 3925P ₁	HS	HS	HS	HS	R	R	R	R	HS	HS	HS	HS	R	
IAN 6323N	HS	HS	HS	HS	S	HS	S	S	S	S	HS	HS	HR	
IAN 6323P ₁	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	S	HS	HS	HS	HS	R	
Fx 4098N	R	R	R	R	HS	HS	MR	HS	MR	MR	MR	S	R	
Fx 4098P ₁	R	R	R	R	HS	HS	MR	HS	MR	MR	MR	S	R	
CNSAM 7665N	R	R	R	R	MR	MR	R	MR	R	R	R	MR	HS	
CNSAM 7665P ₁	R	R	R	R	MR	MR	R	MR	R	R	R	MR	HS	

M. ulei isolates collection kept at EMBRAPA/CPAA, Manaus, AM. Brazil.

* HR = Highly resistant; R = Resistant; MR=Moderately resistant; S = Susceptible; HS = Highly susceptible.

N = Natural diploid; P1 = Colchicine-induced polyploid clone.

Several investigators have mentioned physiological and biochemical alteration in naturally or colchicine-induced polyploids plants (Levy, 1976, Lewis, 1979: Kohli & Denford, 1977). Alterations in the content of phenolic or flavonoid compounds between diploid and CIP plants have occurred (Kohli & Denford, 1977). These compounds which are responsible for the inhibition of microbial growth in resistant plants, may act as phytoalexins (Mansfield, 1983: Kuc, 1982). They react forming hypersensitive reaction as the result of the oxidation and polymerization of pre-existent phenois (Clark, 1983). In rubber tree resistant plants, the presence and the effect of toxic substance to M. ulei as the Kaempferol-3 rhamnodiglycoside (Martins et al. 1970), quercetin (hashim et al. 1980), scopoletin (Lieberei et al. 1989) and other phenolic compounds (Figari, 1965) have been related.

Increasing in the activities of peroxidase, esterase and alcohol dehydrogenase in naturally or CIP plants has also been related (De Maggio & Lambrukos, 1974: Yoshikawa, 1983; Lewis, 1979). According to these investigators, the peroxidase may be associated with the plant resistance to disease and its activity increase in direct proportion to increase of the genome.

It is, thus, evident that natural or induced polyploid plants may express novel "non parental" chemical compounds as flavonoids and novel heteromeric enzymes, besides quantitative alterations in the alkaloid and phenolic content and increase in the activity of some enzymes (Lewis, 1979). Some alloploids, besides presenting higher phenolic compounds than their parental diploids, have a tendency to produce novel chemical compounds which associated with phenolic compounds, increase the plant's defense capacity to disease (Mansfield, 1983).

De Maggio & Lambrukos, (1974) and Lewis (1979) have reported that qualitative and quantitative alterations in the chemical constituent of naturally or colchicine-induced polyploid plants are due to the derepression or disrupture of the gene regulation. This derepression is due to the genome duplication, which increases the gene dosage, thus, increasing the global rate of transcription and resulting in an increase of enzymatic activity. Yoshikawa et al. (1977) and Tani & Yamanoto (1978) have shown, respectively, in studies with soybean x Phytophthora megasperma var. sojae and oats crown rust, that the increase of the transcription rate may increase the phytoalexin biosyntesis and the plant's resistance to disease. The "De novo DNA

According to Junqueira et al. (1986, 1989a) the group I M. ulei isolates are more specific for clones carrying genes of Hevea benthamiana whereas group II isolates are more specific for H. brasiliensis clones. The group III M. ulei isolates are able to produce conidia as on H. brasiliensis clones as on H. benthamiana derivative clones. The group IV M. ulei isolates are highly specific for clones carrying genes of H. camporum.

transncription and translaction" is necessary for phytoalexin production and for plant resistance expression.

The presence of CIP rubber clones, which were resistant to several *M. ulei* isolates highly virulent to their respective diploid clones, indicates that alterations in the chemical constituents or plant physiological changes, after the colchicine application, have occurred.

The resistance of some CIP Hevea clones in comparison with their respective diploids could also be explained by increase of content or production of new toxic substances to M. ulei due to the increase in the transcription rate or increase in the enzymatic activity. The activation or derepression of genes able to induce production of novel toxic chemical compounds to M. ulei, as pre-existent phenolic compounds or other phytoalexin, may also have occurred.

The resistance of the induced polyploid rubber clones may also be explained by interference of insertion sequences, which are discrete units of DNA able to transpose from one site in the genome to another site in the same genome, independent of the homologal recombination system in the host changing, thus the plant's resistance to the pathogen (Calos & Miller, 1980). Staskawicz (1983) has related that these discrete units of DNA (Transposing elements) are responsible for virulence alterations of several plant pathogenic bacteria genera. In relation to CIP rubber clones, genome duplication and the derepression or desrupture of the gene regulation, under a possible mutagenic action of the colchicine, could facilitate the transposition of discrete units of DNA in the genome, inducing the resistance of these plants to leaf blight.

It is important to consider that, even among the resistant polyploid rubber clones, susceptible plants from resistant plant buds were found. This observation suggests that the character for *M. ulei* resistance is not yet well fixed, indicating a probable presence of pathogen susceptible mixoploid tissue. This problem can be overcame by a selection process through successive *M. ulei* inoculations.

This study revealed that the induced polyploid technique is potentially important for selecting productive and *M. ulei* resistant rubber clones. Therefore, the polyploidization of a larger number of plants per clone to increase the probability of originating resistant polypolid clones such as IAN 6158 P₁, Fx 985 P₁, CNSAM 7704 P₁ and MDF 180 P₁ is recomended. To achieve further genetic progress, the *M. ulei* resistant polyploid material shall be submitted to higher pressure of *M. ulei* inoculum either in field conditions or in the laboratory by inoculating several virulent *M. ulei* isolates to the diploid clones. The selected material must be tested for yield and used as sources of resistance for crossing with highly productive and susceptible clones.

LITERATURE CITED

CALOS, M.J. MILLER, J. Transposable elements. Cell 20:579-596. 1980.

- CLARKE, D.D. Potato leaf blight: a case study. IN: CALLOW, J.A., ed. Biochemical Plant Pathol. New York, John Wiley, 1983. p.3-15.
- DE MAGGIO, A.E. & LAMBRUKOS, J. Polyploidy and gene dosage effects on peroxidase activity in ferns. Biochem. Genetics 12:429-439. 1974.
- FIGARI, A. Substancias fenólicas tóxicas al hongo Dothidella ulei en hojas de clones de Hevea brasiliensis. Turrialba 15:103-110. 1965.
- HASHIM, I.; CHEE, K.H. & WILSON, L.A. The relationship of phenols and oxidative enzymes with the resistance of *Hevea* to South American leaf blight. J. Phytopathol. 97:322-345. 1980.
- JUNQUEIRA, N.T.V.; CHAVES, G.M.; ZAMBOLIM, L.; GASPAROTTO, L. & ALFENAS, A.C. Variabilidade fisiológica do *Microcyclus ulei*. Fitopatol. bras. 11:823-833. 1986.
- JUNQUEIRA, N.T.V.; GASPAROTTO, L.; KALIL FILHO, A.N.; LIEBEREI, R. & LIMA, M.I.P.M. Identificação de fontes de resistência ao *Microcyclus ulei*, agente causal do mal-das-folhas da seringueira. Filopatol. bras. 14(2): 147. 1989b.
- KOHLI, B.L. & DENFORD, K.E. A study of the flavonoids of the *potentilla pensylvanica* complex in north America. Can. J. Bot. 55:476-479. 1977.
- KUC, J. Phytoalexins from the solanaceae. In: BAILEY, J. & MANSFIELD, J.W., eds. Phytoalexins. London. Blackie, 1982. p.81-100.
- LAWRENCE, W.J.C. Plant breeding. London. E. Arnold, 1968. 56p.
- LIEBEREI, R.; BIEHL, B.; GIESEMANN, A. & JUNQUEIRA, N.T.V. Cyanogenesis inhibits active defense reactions in plants. Plant. Physiol. 90:33-36. 1989.
- LEVY, M. Altered glycoflavone expression in induced autotetraploids of *Phlox drummondii*. Biochem. Systemat. ecol. 4:249-254. 1976.
- LEWIS, W.H. Polyploidy in species populations. In: Polyploidy. New York, Plenum Press, 1979. p.103-144.
- MANSFIELD, J.W. Antimicrobial compounds. In: CALLOW, J.A., ed. Biochemical Plant Pathol. New York, John Wiley, 1983. p. 237-265.
- MARTINS, E.M.F.; MORAES, W.B.C.; CARDOSO, R.M.G. & KUC, J. Purificação e identificação de uma substância ligada à resistência de seringueira (*Hevea brasiliensis* Muell. Arg.) ao fungo *Dothidella ulei* P. Henn. O Biologico 36:112-144. 1970.
- MORAES, V.H.F. Técnica de aplicação de colchicina no meristema apical do caule de seringueira para obtenção de poliploides. Manaus, EMBRAPA-CNPSD, 1982a, 3p. (EMBRAPA, CNPSD, Pesquisa em Andamento, 5).
- MORAES, V.H.F. Organogênese em meristema apical do caule da seringueira. Pesq. agropec. bras. 17:893-897. 1982b.

- SOLLEILE, B. Etude de la variabilité enzymatique chez Hevea brasiliensis: recherche du determinisme genetic et analyse des premieres introductions en selection. Orsay, Université de Paris-Sud, 1984. 169p. Tese Doutorado.
- STASKAWICZ, B.J. Molecular genetics of plant disease. In: CALLOW, J.A., ed. Biochemical plant pathology. New York. John Wiley, 1983. p. 199-214.
- TAL, M. Physiology of polyploids. In: LEWIS, W.H., ed. Polyploids-biological relevance. New York, Plenum Press. 1979. p. 61-76.
- TANI, T. & YAMAMOTO, H. Nucleic acid and protein synthesis in association with the resistance of oats leaves to crown rust. Physiol. Plant. Pathol. 12:113-121. 1978.
- YOSHIKAWA, M.; MASAGO, H. & KEENIN, T. Activated synthesis of poly (A)-containing messenger RNA in soybean hypocotyls infected with *Phytophthora megasperma* var. *sojae*. Physiol. Plant. Pathol. 10:125-138. 1977.
- YOSHIWAMA, M. Macromolecules, recognition, and the triggering of resistance. In: CALLOW, J.A., ed. Biochemical plant pathology. New York, John Wiley, 1983. p. 267-298.