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## The Objectives Of The Work

My project was to find the best shape nose cone that had the lowest drag. This was done by measuring the force in a wind tunnel.

## The Approach Taken

1. Where did I get the idea for my research project? I got my idea from my dad's book, "69 Simple Science Fair Protectors With Model Rockets" (page 92) which nose cones are the best?
2. Where did I get the nose cones? My dad made some of the nose cones. I got the rest from Apogee Components, at the Science Fair Collection (http://www.apogeerockets.com/Rocket_Kits/Skill_Level_1_ Kits/Avion_Nose Cone Science Fair_Kit) .

3. How did I make the nose cones? First I got the Science Fair Nose Cones. Then I lightly sanded them. Then I sealed them and sanded them to make them smooth. After that, I painted them gray and then I wet sanded them to make all of the nose cones the same smoothness. My dad helped me make the rest of them.
4. Then I went to the Air Force Academy to test them. The people there helped me with the wind tunnel and the measurements. We wrote down the data. It took 45 minutes to test all nine shapes.
5. When we got home we looked at the information. We wrote it down in our chart and my dad helped me make a graph showing how they compared.
6. Before I started I made predictions. The best one of my predictions was the Long Cone. The worst one of my predictions was the Solid Cylinder. Why? The solid cylinder is like if you stuck your hand out the car window with your palm facing the wind. The long cone is like if you stuck your hand out the car window with your palm facing down.

7. Which one was the best and which one was the worst? The best one was the Long Elliptical. The worst one was the Cupped Cylinder. I was wrong in my prediction.


## R \& D Reports previously entered by the author, if any, with brief summaries.

No R\&D reports previously entered.

## References to previous work done on the subject, found in research preparatory to this report:

The references that I found show that not everyone agrees which nose cones have less drag.


Above image from: "Topics In Advanced Model Rocketry" (page 381), by Gordon K. Mandell, George J. Caporaso, William P. Bengen.


Above image from: Estes Industries, "TR-11 Aerodynamic Drag of Model Rockets" (page 11) by Dr. Gerald M. Gregorek

## The Equipment Used:

12" Wind Tunnels Open Circuit, Eiffel type (at the Air Force Academy).
The nine nose cones that I tested:
\#1 - Parabolic, 2" long. Plastic - Apogee Components, PNC-24A

\#2 - Ogive, 2" long, Balsa - Apogee Components Science Fair Nose Cone Assortment
\#3 - Long Elliptical, 2" long, Balsa - Apogee Components Science Fair Nose Cone Assortment
\#4 - Short Elliptical, 1-3/8th inch long, lengthened to 2" long with a body tube. Apogee Components VFNC-24B.

\#5- Long Cone, 2" long, Balsa - Apogee Components Science Fair Nose Cone Assortment \#6-Short Cone, 2" long, Balsa - Apogee Components Science Fair Nose Cone Assortment
\#7-Solid Cylinder, long, Balsa - Apogee Components Science Fair Nose Cone Assortment
\#8-Cupped Cylinder, Made from a 2" long BT-50 tube, with a tube coupler for the shoulder. The bottom of the cup is 1.5 inches from the top. It was made from a cardboard circle.
\#9-Vented Cupped Cylinder, Made from a 2" long BT-50 tube, with a tube coupler for the shoulder.

Nose Cone Shapes Tested


Cupped Cylinder With 4 Vent Holes Home-made

The bottom of the cup is 1.5 inches from the top. It was made from a cardboard circle. Four vents were made with a paper punch above the bottom of the cup.

## The Facilities Used

United States Air Force Academy Department of Aeronautics Laboratory


This is the wind tunnel that I used at the Air Force Academy. The man that keeps the tunnel working is Ken Ostasiewski.


Katrina shows the computer at the wind tunnel.


Katrina put the nose cones into the wind tunnel.


Katrina McGuire helped run the wind tunnel for my nose cone project.


Katrina shows the weights used to calibrate the system.


I used a level to make sure the nose cone was level.


Levelling the nose cone.


This is me writing down data


Picture of the wind tunnel.


Nose cone mounted in the wind tunnel.


The big fan in the wind tunnel.


Picture of the front of the wind tunnel where the air is sucked in.


The Cupped Cylinder inside the wind tunnel.


Mr. Christopher Seaver is the Deputy Director for Laboratory Operations in the Department of Aeronautics at the United States Air Force Academy, and gave us permission to use the low speed wind tunnel for my project and my sister's project.

# The Money Spent on The Project (budget): 

Apogee Components Science Fair Nose Cone Assortment $=\$ 31.88$
Body Tubes, tube couplers $=\$ 10.00$
Nose Cones = \$10.00
Total $=\$ 51.88$

## The Data Collected:

The chart on the next page shows the drag force for each nose cone we tested. This was the order they were tested in the wind tunnel.

| Nose Shape | Wind Speed | Temp | Drag <br> Force |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parabolic | 39.28 mph | $72.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 4.477 g |
| Ogive | 39.28 mph | $72.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 4.942 g |
| Long Eliptical | 39.27 mph | $72.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 4.149 g |
| Short Eliptical | 39.27 mph | $72.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 4.791 g |
| Long Cone | 39.26 mph | $72.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 4.561 g |
| Short Cone | 39.25 mph | $72.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 5.248 g |
| Solid Cylinder | 39.24 mph | $72.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 8.659 g |
| Cupped Cylinder | 39.26 mph | $72.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 10.459 g |
| Vented Cupped Cylinder | 39.19 mph | $72.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 10.399 g |

## The Results Obtained:

Heres the list of nose cones from best to worst:
\#1 - Long Elliptical
\#2 - Parabolic
\#3 - Long Cone
\#4 - Short Elliptical
\#5-Ogive
\#6 - Short Cone
\#7 - Solid Cylinder
\#8 -Vented Cupped Cylinder
\#9 - Cupped Cylinder


Nose Cone Shape

## The Conclusions Drawn

The conclusion drawn, if you want your rocket to go high you should use he best nose cone. You should use the Long Elliptical shape, because it has the lowest drag. Don't use the high drag shapes like the Cupped Cylinders.

Putting holes in the Cupped Cylinders does not make a big difference at all because the drag does not go down.

## Further work that would clarify or extend the results obtained:

I would use more nose cone shapes and maybe tail cones. I think it would also be better to test the shapes at higher wind speeds.
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## Summary

My R\&D was about the drag of nose cones shapes.The drag is a force of resistance, which is a push or a pull. I got this idea from my dad's book "69 Science Fair Projects With Model Rockets." The first nose cones I got were some of the Science Fair nose cones assortment from Apogee Components. These were all balsa wood and I sanded these first. I then glued them to seal them. Then I lightly sanded all the balsa wood nose cones again to make them a little smooth. Then I painted and wet sanded them. My dad helped me make two of the other nose cones. One of the nose cones was a plastic one from a kit. The last nose cose was a vacuum-formed nose cone with a tube added to make it 2 inches long. All the nose cones were the same length. I used a total of 9 nose cones.

I went to the Air Force Academy to use their wind tunnel to test the nose cones. I put each nose cone through a wind tunnel to find the drag of each nose cone. Each nose cone was put in a body tube that was held by a black tube holder. Then air was sucked through the tunnel and the computer measured the drag force. We got the results at the end of the testing.

The results showed that the Long Elliptical Shape was the best nose cone. The second best was the Parabolic Nose Cone. The order for the rest was: \#3 Long Cone; \#4 Short Elliptical; \#5 Ogive; \#6 Short Cone; \#7 Solid Cylinder; \#8 Vented Cupped Cylinder and \#9 Cupped Cylinder. The Cupped Cylinder had the most drag.

This experiment was a surprise because my prediction didn't really match, and I thought it would match.

