# THE TYPES OF THE SCOLIDAE DESCRIBED BY FREDERICK SMITH (HYMENOPTERA)



BY

### J. CHESTER BRADLEY TO Cornell University, I thaca U.S.A.

and

Рр. 287-327

BULLETIN OF
THE BRITISH MUSEUM (NATURAL HISTORY)
ENTOMOLOGY Vol. 20 No. 7

LONDON: 1967

THE BULLETIN OF THE BRITISH MUSEUM (NATURAL HISTORY), instituted in 1949, is issued in five series corresponding to the Departments of the Museum, and an Historical series.

Parts will appear at irregular intervals as they become ready. Volumes will contain about three or four hundred pages, and will not necessarily be completed within one calendar year.

In 1965 a separate supplementary series of longer papers was instituted, numbered serially for each Department.

This paper is Vol. 20, No. 7 of the Entomological series. The abbreviated titles of periodicals cited follow those of the World List of Scientific Periodicals.

World List abbreviation Bull. Br. Mus. nat. Hist. (Ent.).

© Trustees of the British Museum (Natural History) 1967

TRUSTEES OF
THE BRITISH MUSEUM (NATURAL HISTORY)

## THE TYPES OF THE SCOLIIDAE DESCRIBED BY FREDERICK SMITH<sup>1</sup> (HYMENOPTERA)

#### WITH DESCRIPTIONS OF NEW TAXA, AND NOTES ON THE ORIENTAL LOCALITIES WHERE ALFRED RUSSEL WALLACE COLLECTED

By J. CHESTER BRADLEY and J. G. BETREM

In 1926 J. G. Betrem spent a few days at the British Museum and at Oxford intensively studying the type-material of Smith's Indo-Australian Scoliidae. The results were published in his great monograph of those wasps (1928). His frequent statement 'Hololectotype Smith's B.M.' or 'Oxford' was actually intended, in many cases, to serve as equivalent to a selection of lectotype, but we are agreed that it is insufficient to meet all the technicalities of lectotype selection, and in this paper we have tried to make clear the status of individual specimens as holotypes or lectotypes, following Betrem's original intent wherever another course is not clearly indicated. Betrem continued his study at Oxford in July, 1958, and subsequently, and at the British Museum on several subsequent dates up to and including August, 1966.

In the autumn of 1928 and in 1929 Bradley spent many months at the British Museum, and a period at Oxford, working on Scoliidae, and giving particular attention to the study of types. He placed red holotype or lectotype labels on many of

Smith's, Cameron's and Kirby's specimens.

Frederick Smith was born in London in 1805. As a boy he was a close friend of W. E. Shuckard, who, himself developing a taste for entomology, introduced his companion to its pleasures. Smith became a professional engraver. From about 1841 to 1850 he held the post of Curator of the Collections and Library of the Entomological Society of London. Then he became Assistant Keeper of Insects in the British Museum. Up to this time, Smith's numerous papers (Horn and Schenkling list 150 up to 1863) were mostly on bees or ants, but thereafter he broadened his field to include aculeate Hymenoptera in general with a few papers on beetles. Although often thought of as only a museum taxonomist, we are told that Smith had in reality an extensive field knowledge of British aculeate Hymenoptera. He died in London in 1879, in his 74th year.

Dr M. W. R. de Vere Graham, curator of insects in the Oxford Museum, has prepared and sent to us through courtesy of Professor G. C. Varley, the following

statement concerning Scoliidae in that institution:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper was completed with aid of a grant from the National Science Foundation of the U.S.A. ENTOM. 20, 7.

'The collections of Hymenoptera made by Alfred Russel Wallace in the eastern part of Indonesia and in New Guinea passed (at least mainly) into the hands of W. W. Saunders.<sup>2</sup> They form the entire substance of the series of papers subsequently published by Frederick Smith in the Journal of the Linnean Society. This material is now divided between the British Museum (Natural History) and the Hope Department of the Oxford University Museum. The old museum collection of the latter institution (Hope-Westwood collection) also contains Scoliidae, including Gray's type of Scolia fulva, and some species given manuscript names by Westwood; another, the Rottney collection, contains Scoliidae studied by Cameron'.

'It is clear that in some cases, syntypes of a particular species may exist both in the B.M. and in the Hope Department; such cases must be examined individually

in order to decide on a lectotype.'

Before selecting a lectotype Bradley has endeavoured to locate each syntype, wherever located, and to select the one that is most suitable to become lectotype, taking into account its sex, its conformity with the original description, its locality of capture, and the collection in which it is located; also whether Betrem, 1928, intended to make a selection.

[I have found two more places in the literature which confirm that the Wallace insect-collections, except the Coleoptera, were the property of W. W. Saunders.

I. Wallace stated in the introduction to his book The Malay Archipelago (4th ed., 1872: VIII): 'The remaining orders of insects ... are in the collection of Mr. William Wilson Saunders . . . The Hymenoptera alone amounted to more than nine hundred species . . . '

II. Smith (1862: 36) wrote: 'Many fine additions of the Aculeata are contained in the present collections which are the property of William Wilson Saunders, Esq.'

It seems reasonable to me that Smith sent back to Saunders the specimens that we now consider as typical (syntypes) and that he retained the duplicates. Therefore we must select lectotypes in the first place from the Wallace material in the Saunders collection. It follows that a lectotype may be selected from the duplicates in the British Museum only if it can be demonstrated that there is no material that accords with the description in the Saunders collection.

It has been assumed that all the material that Wallace collected is either in the museum at Oxford or in the British Museum. Therefore I was greatly astonished to find some Wallace material in New York in the collection of the American Museum of Natural History when I restudied their scoliid collection in December, 1965. A

<sup>2</sup> The evidence for this is contained in *Jour. Proc. Linn. Soc.* (Zool.) 1857, **1**: 4 where Saunders states 'A large portion of Mr. Wallace's entomological collections pass into my hands'... Saunders further states that he asked Francis Walker to catalogue the Diptera; presumably, in the same way, he must

have asked Smith to deal with the Hymenoptera. [Smith, 1861: 94 wrote 'This fine collection is the property of W. W. Saunders', a note which may [Smith, 1861: 94 wrote 'This fine collection is the property of W. W. Saunders', a note which may indicate that the other Wallace material was also Saunders' property. In the paper in question he described Scolia culta, morosa, and ducalis as new. The following entries in the accessions book of the British Museum show that some of the material collected by Wallace was sold to Stevens: '\frac{52}{44}. II April, purchased from Stevens, coll. by Alfred Wallace, 75 Hym., plus many other insects', and '\frac{62}{91} from Salawaty of New Guinea, purchased of Stevens, coll. by A. R. Wallace'.

Horn (1926, Suppl. Ent. 12: 107) stated that the Hymenoptera of the W. W. Saunders collection went to the Hope Museum via J. O. Westwood, which is certainly not, in general, true. J.G.B.]

In describing the majority of his oriental species, Smith has stated that the material is in the Saunders collection.

collection.

female of Scolia apicata and a specimen of Scolia dimidiata are there. The former is probably type of the species. Furthermore there is a syntype of Scolia zonata, a species that Smith described from the British Museum collection. All three were derived from the collection of J. Angus that was obtained by the American Museum many years ago. That gentleman was an old-timer who had a large private collection. He exchanged material with entomologists all over the world. Very likely he obtained this material from the British Museum, possibly through Smith. It is not at all probable that he exchanged with the museum at Oxford, because one of the species, Scolia zonata, definitely comes from the British Museum.

There are many indications (see, e.g. S. indica, ignita, erratica, and fasciatopennis) that Smith studied the older material of the Museum at Oxford before he wrote the scoliid portion of his Catalogue of the hymenopterous insects in the collection of the the British Museum. It is not improbable that some of his types were originally part of the material in the Oxford Museum. I.G.B.]

Notes on the localities where Wallace or Allen collected in the East Indies

Smith wrote the following two lists dealing with the distribution of the Hymenoptera that are of interest to us:

I. Notes on the geographical distribution of the aculeate Hymenoptera collected by Mr A. R. Wallace in the Eastern Archipelago (1863). This list gives more localities than the second one, but does not contain the localities mentioned in Smith's 1864 paper.

II. A catalogue of the Aculeate Hymenoptera and Ichneumonidae of India and the Eastern Archipelago, with introductory remarks by A. R. Wallace (1870).

The introduction by Wallace is important because of its biological notes. The list of localities of the scoliids is very incomplete. In this paper Smith followed the system of the catalogue of de Saussure & Sichel and accepted Elis, now Campsomeris, as a good genus.

More peculiarities about the localities where Wallace collected may be found in the second list and in his book: *The Malay Archipelago* (1st ed., 1869).

Wallace did not himself visit all of the localities from where material in his collection came. Many were visited only by his assistant Charles Allen, especially many of the islands in the Moluccas. I have indicated the localities which were visited especially by the latter.

Singapore. Wallace collected especially in the central hills where primary forests

still existed.

Penang. This island was not mentioned by Wallace in his publications. It seems that he or Allen collected there during the journey to or from the Malay Archipelago.

Malacca. This is a country in the south-eastern portion of Malaya. Almost all

the collecting was done on Mount Ophir; cf. Smith, 1857.

Borneo. Wallace collected there only in the south-western portion of what is now called Sarawak. The town of Sarawak, which he mentioned, is Kutching (Koetjong). The different localities are not indicated on the labels.

Sumatra. Wallace collected in the residency Palembang, mostly along the river

Ogan, probably near the present Batu Radjah.

Java. Wallace collected in east Java mostly in the village of Djapanan near Wonosalam in the district of Bareng, according to his map near Modjo-Agung. This locality is not on the slopes of Mt Ardjuno as he stated, but on the slopes of Mt Welirang.

In west Java, Wallace collected mostly on Mt Megamedong on Pundjakpas, at 4,500 ft, 20 miles south-east of Bogor (Buitenzorg).

Bali. Wallace collected at Bileling (Buleleng).

Lombok. Collecting was done by Wallace at Ampenan and Labuan Tring.

Flores. Allen collected here, not Wallace.

*Timor*. Wallace collected at Coupang (Kupang) in the Indonesian half and at Delli (Dilly) in the Portuguese portion.

Celebes. Wallace visited this island three times, the first time from September to November, 1856. He collected at Makassar and the district Goah, east of Makassar. Smith reported about this collection in 1858.

The second time Wallace was in the Celebes was from July to September, 1857; he collected then at Maros, 30 miles north of Makassar. Smith enumerated the collected species in his paper of 1861. No scollids were caught. It seems that all specimens were labelled Makassar.

The third time Wallace collected in the north of Celebes in the country called Minahassa, from June to September, 1859. Menado or Tondano is written on the

labels. Smith published about this collection in 1864.

Wallace himself collected on the Banda Islands, Ambon, Buru, Goram, Martabello (Ceram Laut Islands), Waigiou (Waigeou), Ternate, Tidore, Makian, Kaisaa (Kaioa), Batchian (Batjan), Aru-Islands and the Key-Islands.

Charles Allen collected on the Sula-Islands (Isle Mangola!), Morty (Morotai),

Mysol, and Salwatty (Salawaty).

Both men collected on *Ceram*. The specimens labelled *Wahai* were perhaps collected by Allen. Both collected on *Gilolo*. The specimens recorded in the paper of 1864 by Smith were probably collected by Allen in north *Gilolo*.

Wallace and Allen both collected in *New Guinea*. Wallace's insects were labelled 'Dorey', those of Allen probably: 'New Guinea'. They were collected in Sorong (Mal. Arch.: 571) one of most western localities on this island, and on a trip inland. The specimens collected by Allen were treated by Smith in his publications of 1863 and 1864. J.G.B.]

In his 1855 Catalogue, Smith printed 'B.M.' in the margin if the museum contained specimens of a given species. But that does not necessarily mean type-material.

The serious error that can arise from abbreviated pin-labels is illustrated by Wallace's specimens from Makassar in the South Celebes, which, Betrem points out, are all labelled just 'Mak'. This was invariably interpreted by Betrem, 1928, to mean 'Makian', an island in a region that is zoogeographically quite different. Since 'Makian' was therefore erroneously published as the type-locality of a number of species in Betrem's monograph, corresponding corrections must be made.

Betrem states that in the Wallace material, according to the museum authorities, the locality-label was placed on the pin of only the first of a series of specimens from the same locality. This explains why we often encounter unlabelled syntypes.

Betrem & Bradley have considered all points in this paper, and are in agreement upon each. Where the manuscript has been written by Betrem it is followed by his initials and enclosed in square brackets. Bradley is author of the remainder. Betrem's manuscript was written at Ithaca, N.Y., in March, 1962, November, 1964, November, 1965, September, 1966 and in London in July, 1966. Bradley's manuscript was written much earlier.

The synonymies that follow the centre-headings are not complete bibliographies of the species. All of Smith's new names or nominal species and what is believed at the moment to be the correct formula for the taxonomic species involved, are entered. The latter are indicated by a preceding 'equals' sign (=). In Palaearctic and Indo-Australian species, as well as African Campsomerinae, these have usually been determined by Betrem, and all such have been verified by him. In addition, references have been entered that indicate the origin of senior synonyms, or that indicate shifting generic or subgeneric position, as well as some others for special reasons.

In work in progress on the Scoliidae, Betrem will create certain new subgenera, and he, Mr C. Jacot Guillarmod and myself are agreed, that, in revising the classification of the family, certain taxa, heretofore ranked as subgenera, should be accorded full generic rank. These changes will have been published in a paper in press, it is hoped, before this paper appears.

Betrem proposed many years ago in a letter to me that Austroscolia, Carinoscolia, Laeviscolia, Microscolia, Liacos, and Diliacos should each be elevated to the status of genus. He accepted my representation that the time was not then ripe for such action. Since then we have learned so much more about the world fauna, especially the Ethiopian, that it is clear that in order properly to represent the taxonomy and zoogeography of the Scoliidae, Betrem's proposal should now be put into effect.

Betrem, 1967: 25 has raised Campsomeriella to generic rank.

All new combinations of generic and specific names that appear in the list of species are to be accredited to Betrem.

#### [DESCRIPTIONS OF NEW GENERA AND SUBGENERA

Here follow the descriptions of one new genus and three new subgenera in order that their names may be used in the list of species that follows, without being *nomina nuda*.

### CAMPSOMERINAE, tribe TRIELINI GUIGLIANA gen. n.

Type-species: Scolia aliena Klug, 1835 = Guigliana aliena (Klug, 1835) comb. n.

Q. Anterior rim of the clypeus complete, not interrupted at the sides; disc of the clypeus usually not strongly elevated, but strongly elevated in one subgenus, with a semicircular marginal carina. No frontal cross-furrow above the spatium frontale; punctuation of the spatium frontale not extending beyond the upper end of the laminae frontales, as is the case in the genus

ENTOM. 20, 7.

Campsomeris. Mesopleura with a distinct elevation below the forewings as in Scolia. Transition between the dorsal area and the vertical portions of the metapleura gradual. Three submarginal cells; two recurrent veins. First submarginal cell not setose. Black wasps, usually with black, but rarely with yellow-brown, vestiture.

3. Quite like the female, almost no sexual dimorphism.

Habitat: Ethiopian Region.

## Tribe CAMPSOMERINI CAMPSOMERIS MEGAMERIS subgen. n.

Type-species: Campsomeris mansuefacta Bradley, 1931 = Campsomeris (Megameris) soleata (Gerstaecker, 1870).

Q. Front usually impunctate medially, short; carina occipitalis complete above. Transition between the dorsal and the vertical areas of the mesopleura not strongly elevated either medially or anteriorly, straight or almost straight; transition between the dorsal and the vertical areas of the metapleura sharp but not like a carina. First submarginal cell setose only above. Longer spur of tibia III usually very dark; acute, blunt, or rarely spatulate. Basal portion of the carina lateralis attaining the spiracles; transition between the area horizontalis lateralis and the area lateralis sharp, usually with a high carina that has a groove on the inner side. Basal tergites usually opaque, rarely more or less shining. Vestiture on the thorax often dense and long. Large to very large species.

3. Spatium frontale densely punctate. Basal tergites usually with broad, yellow, apical bands that are strongly broadened medially in front. Volsellae very densely covered with

long setae.

Habitat: Ethiopian Region.

This subgenus is allied to *Megacampsomeris* Betr., 1928 of the Indo-Australian Region.

#### MICROMERIS subgen. n.

Type-species: Scolia marginella Klug, 1805 = Campsomeris (Micromeris) marginella marginella (Klug, 1805).

Q. Front impunctate medially; carina occipitalis complete above; temporal groove absent. Transition between the dorsal and the vertical areas of the mesopleura gradual, somewhat elevated medially; transition between the dorsal and the vertical areas of the metapleura very gradual; upper plate of the metapleura impunctate. First submarginal cell bare, setose only along its upper margin. Area posterior medialis impunctate or with fine punctures; transition between the area horizontalis lateralis and the area lateralis rounded, without a distinct carina lateralis except for an apical indication; basal portion of the carina lateralis attaining the spiracles. Basal tergites opaque. Vestiture never entirely dark. Small species.

3. Interspaces between the punctures of the spatium frontale larger than their diameters.

Volsellae not densely setose.

Habitat: Ethiopian Region, Southern Palaearctic Region, Indo-Australian Region as far as, but not including, New Guinea.

#### PHALERIMERIS subgen. n.

Type-species: Elis (Campsomeris) phalerata Sauss., 1858 = Campsomeris (Phalerimeris) phalerata (Sauss., 1858).

Q. A group of deep punctures on the front before the anterior occllus; temporal groove usually not deep but present; carina occipitalis usually more or less interrupted above. No shallow groove on the scapulae; transition between the dorsal and the vertical areas of the mesopleura not elevated medially, practically straight; transition between the dorsal and the vertical areas of the metapleura not gradual, sometimes almost without an edge, sometimes with a distinct edge, never like a carina. First submarginal cell setose in greater part. Spurs white, longer spur of tibia III blunt or acute, never spatulate. Basal portion of the carina lateralis attaining the spiracles. Basal tergites opaque. Vestiture usually in greater part brown-yellow. Tergites often with yellow apical bands.

3. Area frontalis densely punctate. Scutellum and metanotum usually yellow. Paramera

with an angular circumference.

Habitat: Indo-Australian Region including New Guinea and adjacent islands, but not Australia. J.G.B.]

#### THE LIST OF SPECIES

#### 1. agilis

1859. Scolia agilis Smith, 3: 10. 'Hab. Celebes'.

1864. Elis (Dielis) agilis Saussure & Sichel, 3: 203, n. 8.

1928. Campsomeris leefmansi leefmansi Betrem, P, J: 130, syn. n., teste Betrem.

1928. Campsomeris leefmansi problematica Betrem, Q: 131, syn. n., teste Betrem.

1967. Campsomeriella (Campsomeriella) agilis Betrem, ♀: 28.

= Campsomeriella (Campsomeriella) agilis (Smith) Betrem.

There is a male of agilis in the Saunders collection. It bears the pin-label 'Mak'. (Makassar, South Celebes) and also Smith's manuscript label 'Scolia agilis'. It was marked by Betrem 'lectotype'. Its palettes are exposed, and as Betrem's key stands it runs quite certainly to manokwariensis on p. 78, but this is without significance, since the males of related species cannot be distinguished, as Betrem notes below, and since the male that he described as leefmansi (actually agilis) is not included in his key.

[I referred in 1928: 130, to the male from Makassar as holotype of agilis but placed it as the male of C. micans bernsteini, because I thought at that time that 'Mak.' was an abbreviation of Makian. A second male came from Celebes and is in the British Museum. On p. 124 of the same work I referred to it as 'type of agilis Smith', but queried it there as a probable synonym of C. manokwariensis Cam. My label 'lectotype' on the specimen in the Oxford Museum is therefore correct, and its publication as 'holotype' in my monograph must be correspondingly corrected. The males of the species of Campsomeriella in the eastern part of Indonesia cannot be distinguished from one another with certainty. They can be named only by the locality in which they were caught. The identity of the Celebes male in the British Museum therefore remains questionable. The only species that occurs in the South Celebes is C. leefmansi Betr., 1928. This name must now be replaced by C. agilis (Smith). The subspecies problematica that I described from the South Celebes, and the subspecies leefmansi that occurs in Eastern Java, must both be suppressed, because it appears, now that more material is available, that these nominal taxa cannot be distinguished. There is a second male of C. agilis in the Saunders collection from 'Wag.' (Waigiou). This cannot be a syntype. Probably it is a male of C. loriae Cam., because it has only three yellow bands on the abdomen. I.G.B.]

#### 2. albofimbriata

1879. Scolia (Dielis) albofimbriata Smith, ♀, ♂: 189. 'Hab., Costa Rica, Cache'. 1893. Elis albofimbriata Cameron, ♀, Biol. Centr. Amer., Hym. 2: 229, pl. 12, f. 13. = 1957. Campsomeris (Lissocampsomeris) columba albofimbriata (Smith) Bradley: 75.

The holotype is in the British Museum and bears the number: '15.1378'. For details cf. Bradley, 1945: 30.

#### 3. alecto

1858. Scolia alecto Smith, ♀, ♂: 10. 'Hab. Celebes'.

1864. Scolia (Triscolia) alecto Saussure & Sichel, ♀, ♂: 48, n. 24.

1928. Scolia (Triscolia) alecto Betrem, ♀, ♂: 237.

= 1964. Megascolia (Regiscolia) alecto alecto (Smith) Betrem & Bradley: 443, n. 4a.

The lectotype is the only female in the Saunders collection without locality label, but bearing Smith's mss. label 'Scolia alecto Sm.' It has been marked by Betrem and published by him (1928: 237) as 'Hololectotype'.

The male specimen which Betrem (1928: 237) referred to as 'allotype' bears the pin-label 'Mak' (Makassar, S. Celebes). There are also a male and a female in the

British Museum which Betrem referred to as paratypes.

[The mesoscutum of the female lectotype is more densely punctate anteriorly than in *Megascolia alecto regnatrix* (i.e. *cincta*); it is broadly impunctate medially, very remotely punctate posteriorly as well as along the parapsidal grooves. The carina behind the tubercle on tergite 2 (I) is blunter and longer than in *M. alecto regnatrix*. Wings reflecting blue-violet; the veins dark; no distinct pale area in the first submarginal cell. J.G.B.]

#### 4. ambigua

1862. Scolia ambigua Smith, Q: 52. 'Hab. Gilolo'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) ambigua Saussure & Sichel, ♀: 108, n. 99.

1928. Campsomeris (Dielis) nigerrima ambigua Betrem, Q: 106.

1963. ?Campsomeris (Laevicampsomeris) nigerrima Krombein, 9: 568.

= Campsomeris (Laevicampsomeris) nigerrima (Smith) Betrem, infrasubspecific form ambigua Smith.

The lectotype is in the Saunders collection and bears a label 'Gil' and a Smith mss. label 'Scolia ambigua Sm.'. It has been labelled and published by Betrem as 'Hololectotype' (1928: 106). [There are two other female syntypes in the Oxford Museum, one labelled 'Gil', the other 'G'. One of these has three punctures on one side of the scutellum, while the scutellum of the other is impunctate. Krombein regards ambigua as only a variant of nigerrima. J.G.B.]

#### 5. apicata

1862. Scolia apicata Smith, ♀: 52. 'Hab. Celebes' (Tondano).

1864. Scolia (Triscolia) apicata Saussure & Sichel, Q: 46, n. 21.

1928. Scolia (Microscolia) apicata Betrem, Q, 3: 208.

= Microscolia apicata (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

[There is one female of Scolia apicata Smith in the American Museum of Natural History. It bears three labels: (I) a round, white label 'Tond.' (Tondano) such

as is normal for Wallace material; (2) '349'; (3) 'Collection J. Angus'; cf. the introduction.

Since neither of us could find the type nor any specimen whatsoever of apicata in either Oxford or London, and since this specimen belongs to the Wallace material and came from the type-locality, Tondano, it must be presumed to be the holotype, although it would seem that Smith or the British Museum would only have sent the unique type to Angus through some error. The specimen agrees exactly with the description. J.G.B.]

#### 6. ardens

1854. Scolia fervida Burmeister, ♂, ♀: 20, n. 12.

1855. Scolia ardens Smith: 112, n.n. for fervida Burm., 1854, nec Smith, 1852. 'Hab. Mexico'.

1864. Scolia (Triscolia) fervida Saussure & Sichel, ♀: 53, n. 30.

= 1964. Triscolia ardens (Smith) Betrem & Bradley: 437.

1966. Triscolia ardens Bradley & Betrem, ♀, ♂: 75.

A new name for *fervida* Burmeister and therefore with the same type.

#### 7. arrogans

1853. Scolia decorata Burmeister, ♂, ♀: 20, n. 39.

1865. Scolia arrogans Smith, 3: 81. 'Hab. Sumatra', syn. n. Betrem..

1928. Campsomeris arrogans Betrem, 3: 332.

= 1964. Scolia (Discolia) decorata Betrem & Bradley: 93, n. 66.

1966. Scolia (Discolia) decorata decorata Bradley & Betrem, Q, &: 75.

The unique male in the Saunders collection is the holotype and has been so labelled by Betrem. It bears a pin-label 'Sum' and Smith's mss. label 'Scolia arrogans Sm.'

#### aureipennis

1855. 'Scolia aureipennis St. Fargeau' Smith, Q: 94. 'Hab. South Africa (Gambia) B.M.', a misidentification.

1864. ?Scolia (Discolia) smithii Saussure & Sichel, ?Q, &: 86, n. 64, nec Fox, 1896.

1906. ?Scolia (Discolia) smithii Schulz.

Smith cited St. Fargeau as author, but the material in the British Museum, seen in 1929, that he had before him, as well as the Gambian locality, shows that he misidentified Lepeletier's oriental species. As a consequence Smith established no new name and no type.

[I could not find any material in the British Museum from Gambia in 1966 that Smith could have studied. J.G.B.]

Saussure & Sichel described both  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  of *smithii*, the former with a query. They cited Smith's misidentification of *aureipennis* as a synonym of the probable female, but whether rightly or wrongly can only be determined after the lectotype of *smithii* Saussure & Sichel has been selected. The material of Saussure & Sichel came from Cape of Good Hope, not Gambia, and the female is excluded from selection as a lectotype, because it was attached to *smithii* with a query.

Since this taxon involves no Smith type, I have assigned it no number in the heading.

#### 8. aurulenta

1855. Scolia aurulenta Smith, ♀, 'Habitat Philippine Ids. B.M. '.

1864. Elis (Dielis) aurulenta Saussure & Sichel, 9: 206, n. 221.

1928. Campsomeris (Dielis) aurulenta aurulenta Betrem, 9, 3: 98.

= Campsomeris (Phalerimeris) aurulenta aurulenta (Smith) Betrem.

[The holotype is in the British Museum, and bears the following labels: (1) '53/71' which means 'Philippine Islands, purchased from Cuming'; (2) 'aurulenta Smith type'; (3) 'B.M. type Hym. 15.1318'; (4) 'Holotype', added by Betrem in 1966.

The vestiture on the head, thorax, and femora is yellow-brown, except that it is

partly white on femora III, and is white on the base of the abdomen.

There are three other females that stand as *aurulenta* in the British Museum, none of them syntypes: One is C. *aurulenta defectiva* Betr., and bears the following labels: (1) '55/8', which means 'Ceram, purchased of S. Stevens, collected by Mrs Ida Pfeiffer'.

The structure is as in typical *aurulenta*, but the vestiture on the under side of the head, on the propleura, mesopleura, base of t. 2(1), and on all femora is white. This colouration agrees with that of the subspecies *tondanensis* Betr., but in that subspecies the yellow-brown apical bands on the basal tergites are narrow.

The second specimen is C. aurulenta tondanensis and comes from Tondano in the

The third specimen is *C. extrania leveri* Krombein. It bears the following label: '56/85', which means, 'Salomon Island, 467 collected by Sir Y. Siddel. Purchased by the B.M. after 1855'. J.G.B., July, 1966].

#### 9. bifasciata

1775. Scolia bicincta Fabricius,  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$ , Syst. ent.: 356, n. 6, nec Scopoli, 1786, nec Rossi, 1792. 1855. Scolia bifasciata Smith: 97. 'Hab. North America', n.n. for bicincta Fabricius.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) bicincta Saussure & Sichel,  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ : 129–130, n. 135.

= Scolia (Discolia) bicincta Fabricius.

Smith gave no description, but intended bifasciata as a new name for bicincta Fabr. which he mistakenly quoted as from the Ent. syst., 1793, and therefore invalidated by bicincta Rossi, 1792, whereas bicincta Fabr. actually dates from the Syst. ent., 1775, and itself preoccupies all the other uses of Scolia bicincta. Smith also included obscura Klug and radula Sulz. in the synonymy of bifasciata. The former is a synonym of bicincta F., and the latter is not an American species. From a nomenclatural standpoint it can be questioned whether Smith did anything more than create a nomen nudum, or if it be held that he proposed a new name for one of the three that he cited, and that the first reviser has settled which, then Saussure & Sichel, 1864: 130, have restricted it to being a replacement-name for bicincta F. The matter is of only academic interest, since bifasciata was itself preoccupied by Rossi, 1792, and bicincta F. is a valid name. For somewhat similar cases see erratica and soror.

#### 10. bimaculata

- 1854. Scolia frontalis Saussure, Q, J, Mém. Soc. Phys. Hist. nat. Genève 14: 38, n. 16, fig. 13; teste Betrem, syn. n.
- 1855. Scolia bimaculata Smith, ♀: 115, 'Hab. New Holland, Port Stephen. B. M. '.
- 1855. Scolia coronata Smith, Q: 112, 'Hab. Australia (Adelaide)'; teste Betrem, syn. n.
- 1928. Scolia (Laeviscolia) frontalis frontalis Betrem, Q, &: 222.
- = Laeviscolia frontalis (Saussure, 1854) Betrem, comb. n. and syn. n.

[There is one female in the British Museum with the label '44/105', on the reverse 'P. Stephen'; this means: 'Port Steven(s)' (harbour in New South Wales, Co. Gloucester, 32° E., 42° S.) 'pres. by the Earl of Derby, coll. by Mac Gillavry'.

This specimen is the holotype of *Sc. bimaculata*. It agrees with Smith's description, and the type locality is so extraordinary, that there can be no doubt about it. I have labelled it 'Holotype'. It is registered as B.M. type, Hym. 15.1423.

There is one male of the same species in the British Museum collection with the label 'Adelaide' and 'Smith coll. pres. by Mrs Farren-White 99–303'. It is not a syntype, because Smith did not mention a male.

Betrem (1928: 113) synonymized this species with *Elis anthracina* var. *consanguinea* Saussure, 1854, probably on the authority of Saussure & Sichel (1864: 140, n. 148), although he had seen the type in the British Museum in 1926.

Smith placed *bimaculata* in the wrong division. The type has no second recurrent vein in the fore wings. This fact and the very obvious transverse yellow band on the vertex leave no doubt that *bimaculata* is a synonym of *S. frontalis* Saussure, 1854. J.G.B.]

#### II. captiva

- 1862. Scolia captiva Smith, &: 52. 'Hab. Gilolo'.
- 1862. Scolia ambigua Smith, Q: 52. 'Hab. Gilolo'.
- 1864. Scolia (Discolia) captiva Saussure & Sichel, 3: 107, n. 98.
- 1928. Campsomeris (Dielis) captiva Betrem, 3: 107.
- 1933. Campsomeris (Laevicampsomeris) captiva Betrem: 240.
- = Campsomeris (Laevicampsomeris) captiva (Smith) Betrem, 3.

A male from Gilolo (i.e. Halmahera) in the Saunders collection agrees with Smith's description and was referred to by Betrem, 1928: 107, as Smith's holotype. I hereby designate it to be the LECTOTYPE. There is also a male from Gilolo in the British Museum bearing the Smith collection printed label on which someone, but not Smith, has written 'type'. It may be a syntype or it may be from later material, probably the former.

[The male of Scolia captiva in the British Museum bears the pin-label 'Gil', not 'Cel' as I had thought in 1926. The locality that I gave for 'Paratype Smith's,' 1928: 107, should therefore be Gilolo, not Celebes. This male also bears the following information on its labels: 'F. Smith Coll. 19–22', and 'type no. 15–1392'. In 1864: 28, Smith recorded this species also from Waigeou and Martabella. A specimen marked 'Wag.' is in the Saunders collection.<sup>3</sup>

I placed Scolia captiva in my monograph in the synonymy of Scolia (Austroscolia)

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Krombein, 1963 : 566, regards Smith's Waigiou and New Guinea specimens as being C. (L.) bonguensis Betrem, 1933.

nitida also (1928: 210). I indicated that the male allotype of nitida is the holotype of Scolia captiva Smith. These references must be deleted. They probably were inserted by an error, the nature of which cannot now be ascertained, since the notes that I made in 1926 were lost in Java during the war. On re-examining the collections of the Hope Museum at Oxford in 1964, I could not find a specimen of any species of Austroscolia. This erroneous citation has already caused some confusion in the literature, ex. gr. Krombein, 1963: 566.

There are two females of Carinoscolia opalina Smith under the label captiva in

the Oxford Museum, which must have been placed there by accident.

It is not known with which female C. captiva belongs, because the males of almost

all species of the subgenus Laevicampsomeris are so similar.

Krombein (1963: 159), could also not distinguish the males of *C. bonguensis* from those of *C. nigerrima*. The male type of *captiva* and the female type of *ambigua* are each from Gilolo so that they appear to belong together. If we synonymize *ambigua* Sm. with *nigerrima* Sm., *captiva* Sm. would become a synonym of the last mentioned nominal species. J.G.B.]

#### T2. cincta

1858. Scolia cincta Smith, ♀: 89. 'Hab. Borneo' (Sarawak), nec Scolia cincta Klug.

1864. Scolia (Triscolia) cincta Saussure & Sichel, ♀: 45, n. 19.

1928. Scolia (Triscolia) alecto cincta Betrem, ♀: 226.

= 1964. Megascolia (Regiscola) alecto regnatrix Betrem & Bradley: 442.

There are two females in the Saunders collection each bearing Smith's mss. label 'Scolia cincta Sm.'. One bears a pin-label 'Sum', the other 'Sar.'. Betrem has correctly labelled the latter 'Holotype'. On the back of Smith's name label on this specimen is written: 'New sp. most like patricialis but without pale maculae on abd.'. The specimen agrees with Smith's description. The other female from 'Sum' is not a type. It is a different species, azurea according to Betrem (see below). Betrem, 1928: 226, has included 'alecto subsp. cincta Sm.' in his key, but has omitted the subspecies cincta under his account of the species alecto on p. 237. He therefore gives a short description here of the holotype.

#### [Description of the Holotype of Scolia cincta

Q. Spatium frontale above, front, vertex and upper temples yellow. Vestiture black except long setae on the central apical part of tergite 2(1), the fringes of tergite 3(2), long setae on the epipygium, and fringes on the sides of the last sternite yellow-red.

Mesonotum densely punctate anteriorly, broadly impunctate medially and posteriorly, more densely punctate along the parapsidal furrows. Tubercle of tergite 2(1) not very large, elongate

in the form of a carina.

Wings with a greenish, yellow-golden effulgence; a distinct transverse pale area in the first

submarginal cell; veins yellowish.

The female from Sumatra in the Saunders collection, bearing Bradley's label '1.4.2.29 alecto' is a specimen of azurea, as is proven by the presence of a deep groove behind the tubercle of tergite 2(1). It has red setae only on the epipygium, and there are two obscure red spots on tergite 4(3). The pale colour on the head is yellow. J.G.B.]

#### 13. conspicua

- 1845. Colpa wesmaeli Lepeletier, Q: 536, n. 3.
- 1855. Scolia conspicua Smith, Q: 107. 'Hab. Brazil (Para) (H. W. Bates) B.M.'.
- 1864. Elis (Dielis) conspicua Saussure & Sichel, Q, J: 228, n. 243.
- 1945. Campsomeris wesmaeli Bradley, ♀, ♂: 25.
- = 1957. Campsomeris (Lissocampsomeris) wesmaeli (Lepeletier) Bradley: 76.

The holotype is in the British Museum, cf. Bradley, 1945: 26.

#### 14. coronata

- 1854. Scolia frontalis Saussure, Q, &, Mém. Soc. Phys. Hist. nat. Genève, 14: 38, n. 16, fig. 13.
- 1855. Scolia coronata Smith, ♀: 112. 'Hab. Australia (Adelaide) B.M.'.
- 1928. Scolia (Laeviscolia) frontalis frontalis Betrem, Q, d: 222.
- = Laeviscolia frontalis frontalis (Saussure, 1854) Betrem, comb. n.

[The specimens in the British Museum are:

- A. A female bearing the following labels: (I) 'S. coronata Sm. type', a white label with red margin; (2) 'lectotype', attached by Betrem in 1966. There is no locality label. It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1427. Smith did not observe the three submarginal cells, because the very dark wings are folded over the back.
- B. A female labelled: (1) '52/9', with 'Adelaide' on the reverse. This label means 'S. Australia (Adelaide), purchased from Stevens, collected by Dr. Wilson'; (2) 'paralectotype' attached by Betrem in 1966.
  - C. A male also labelled '52/9'.
- D. A male labelled: '99-303' meaning 'Adelaide, Smith coll., presented by Mrs Farren-White'.
  - E. Another male, with the same label as D, but actually a male of *bimaculata* Sm. The males are not syntypes, since Smith did not describe that sex. J.G.B., 1966.]

#### 15. culta

- 1838. Scolia formosa Guérin, Q, in Duperry, Voy. Coquille., Zool., 2, pt 2: 252.
- 1861.⁴ Scolia culta Smith, Q: 117. 'Hab. Dory' (N. Guinea).
- 1864. Scolia (Discolia) culta Saussure & Sichel, ♀: 122, n. 123.
- 1928. Campsomeris (Pseudotrielis) formosa culta Betrem, Q, &: 87.
- 1963. Campsomeris (subg.?) formosa Krombein, \2, \3: 571, fig. 16.
- = Campsomeris (Laevicampsomeris) formosa formosa (Guérin, 1838) Turner, infrasubspecific form culta Smith.

A specimen in the British Museum was collected by Wallace at Dory and was purchased in 1858 from Stevens; it is the only *culta* in that museum. But Smith described *culta* as from the Saunders collection (cf. Smith, 1861:94) and there is a specimen in that collection which bears Smith's mss label '*Scolia culta* Sm', but not 'type'. Betrem has marked but not recorded it as 'type'. I hereby confirm it as LECTOTYPE, assuming that Smith saw also the British Museum specimen. The latter agrees with Smith's description, except that the hind tibiae are not black

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is a correction of the reference to the preceding volume 4, 1860, both by Dalla Torre and by Betrem, 1928: 87.

beneath at base, and the line on tergite 5(4) is very weak. The type in Oxford has a much stronger second recurrent vein, as also the line on tergite 5(4) and the hind tibiae dark at base within.

The strength of the second recurrent vein is variable. The types agree with typical formosa (Guérin) as described by Betrem, 1928: 86. The taxonomic subspecies identified by Betrem as culta must be abandoned, certainly the name culta, as has been suggested by Tuijn, 1961, and by Krombein, 1963: 572, to which Betrem agrees.

#### 16. dubia

1864. Scolia dubia Smith, &: 28, nec Say, 1837. 'Hab. Ceram'.

1889. Diliacos dubia Kirby, Q, Trans. Ent. soc. Lond.: 444, a misidentification.

1896. Scolia loewitii Dalla Torre: 168, n.n.

1928. Scolia (Austroscolia) aruicola Betrem, ♂, ♀: 216.

1933. Scolia (Austroscolia) loewitii Betrem,: 254.

= Austroscolia loewitii (Dalla Torre, 1896) comb. n.

Three males in the Saunders collection bear Smith's mss. label 'dubia'. One, from 'Wag' is not a syntype, another is from 'Ceram.'. The third bears the label 'Cer. E.' (East Ceram) and is the lectotype. It is the specimen called 'Holotype' of loewitii by Betrem, 1928: 212, although it does not belong in the taxonomic species in which he placed it.

[This is the taxonomic species that I described as aruicola, 1928: 216, but which is in fact Sc. loewitii Dalla Torre, 1896. The taxon that I erroneously called Sc. (Austroscolia) loewitii Dalla Torre (loc. cit.: 212), I renamed Scolia (Austroscolia)

nitidella dallatorrei in 1933: 354.

A female stands under the label dubia in the British Museum with the pin-label 'Ceram  $\frac{5.5}{8}$ '. It is  $Diliacos\ gracilipes$  Micha, 1927: 75. I think that it cannot be a syntype of dubia, because it seems to have been already acquired in 1855. The females recorded by Kirby from the Solomon Islands are  $Diliacos\ glabrata$  Micha, subspecies praslini Bradley, according to the specimens in the British Museum. Another female from Ceram in the British Museum standing as dubia, was presented by Turner (1913–438) but is in reality a specimen of Micha's gracilipes mentioned above. J.G.B.]

#### 17. ducalis

1861. Scolia ducalis Smith, ♀: 118. 'Hab. Kaisaa'.

1864. Scolia (Triscolia) ducalis Saussure & Sichel, Q: 49, n. 25.

1928. Scolia (Megascolia) ducalis ducalis Betrem, Q: 244.

= 1964. Megascolia (Megascolia) velutina ducalis (Smith) Betrem & Bradley: 444, n. 3a.

An unique female in the Saunders collection bears the pin-label 'Kai' and also Smith's mss. label 'Scolia ducalis Sm.'. It is the holotype, and has been so labelled by Betrem, who (1928: 244) recorded it erroneously as being in the British Museum.

#### 18. erratica

1854. Scolia verticalis Burmeister, &: 37, n. 61. A misidentification of S. verticalis Fabricius. 1855. Scolia erratica Smith, ♂, not ♀: 88. 'Hab. India, Sumatra', a new name for Scolia verticalis Fabricius, as misidentified by Burmeister.

1911. Scolia erratica Turner, Ann. Mag. nat. Hist. (8) 8: 619.

1928. Scolia (Scolia) erratica erratica Betrem, ♀, ♂: 271.

1964. Scolia (Discolia) erratica Betrem & Bradley: 92, n. 29.

= Scolia (Discolia) erratica erratica Smith, 1855.

Burmeister in 1854 described a male Scolia from Sumatra under the name verticalis Fabricius. This was a misidentification of the Fabrician species, which came from 'New Holland' (Australia).

The following year Smith proposed a replacement name for verticalis sense of Burmeister, not of Fabricius. This new name was 'erratica'. He did not say 'new name', to use those words was not his custom, but he did write as a synonym 'Scolia verticalis Burm., Abh. Nat. Ges. Halle, i.37.61 (nec. Fabr.) 'and he backed up the synonymy by translating Burmeister's Latin description of the male (the only sex described by Burmeister<sup>5</sup>).

To this he added a single character ('the prothorax sometimes red') drawn from a female of another species<sup>6</sup> which he erroneously supposed to be the female of erratica.

The case obviously comes under Article 72(d) of the code<sup>7</sup> and the type must be sought for among Burmeister's specimens.

The fact that Saussure misidentified erratica Smith in 1858 and that he and Sichel, 1864: 111, n. 104, renamed his misidentified material molesta, has no bearing on the matter.

Turner, 1911: 619, noted that Saussure's description of molesta answers well ' to Smith's type' (of erratica), but it is not possible to construe this as having any bearing upon the identity of the latter.

[There is a specimen in the British Museum labelled in Smith's handwriting 'erratica Sm. type'. It bears a second label: 'B.M. type Hym. 15:311.'. It has no locality label. In July, 1962, I marked it 'lectotype', but probably wrongly. Smith's label suggests that he intended to establish a new species, but our present rules do not seem to admit that interpretation. Since it is a male of Scolia erratica erratica the matter is not of great significance. Its fore wings are dark, with a coppery reflection, more rose-purple at apex. J.G.B.]

There is a male in the old collection of the Oxford Museum that is labelled 'Sc. erratica verticalis'. This is further indication that Smith studied the material in that museum. [I.G.B.]

1859. J.G.B.]
 Article 72(d). Types of replacement nominal species.

If an author proposes a new specific name expressly as a replacement for a prior name, but at the same time applies it to particular specimens,' (the male *erratica* and mistakenly identified female that Smith had before him) 'the type of the replacement nominal species' (here *erratica*) 'must be that of the prior nominal species' (*verticalis* Burmeister, *nec* Fabricius) 'despite any contrary designation of type-specimen or different taxonomic usage of the replacement name '.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Betrem, 1928: 271, under the synonymy of erratica refers to verticalis Burmeister 'β, nec \( \varphi \), nec \( \varphi \); but as Burmeister described only the male, 'nec  $\mathbb{Q}$ ' must be struck out. For the same reason the entire first line in the synonymy of vollenhoveni on p. 289 must be struck out.

6 [The specimen is in the collection of the British Museum and is a female S. vollenhoveni Saussure,

#### 19. eximia

1854. Scolia guttata Burmeister, 2: 36, n. 57.

1855. Scolia eximia Smith, ♀: 99. 'Hab. India, B.M.'. 1864. Elis (Dielis) eximia Saussure & Sichel: 195, n. 208.

1928. Campsomeris eximia Betrem, ♀: 333.

1964. Scolia (Discolia) guttata, var. eximia Betrem & Bradley: 96, n. 134. = Scolia (Discolia) guttata Burmeister, infrasubspecific form eximia Smith.

A female in the British Museum (type no. 15.1282) has no locality label but bears Smith's mss. label 'eximia Sm. type'. It is the holotype, and is a specimen of guttata Burm., the published locality 'India' being incorrect. Bingham reported, incorrectly, that the type is not in the British Museum. This species should be deleted from Betrem's key to Campsomeris, 1928: 66, couplet 1b, and from p. 333. It is a neotropical taxon.

#### 20. facilis

1839. Elis elegans Brullé, &, Q, Hist. nat. des Iles Canaries, 2, pt. 2: 91, n. 50, pl. 3, fig. 18, &, 19, Q.

1855. Scolia facilis Smith, Q: 98. 'Hab. Canary Ids. (Coll. W. W. Saunders, Esq.)'.

1864. Elis (Dielis) elegans Brullé, Saussure & Sichel, 9, 3: 174, n. 177.

= Campsomeris (Micromeris) aureola elegans (Brullé) comb. n. and stat. n., teste Betrem.

There are two female syntypes in the Saunders collection from the Canary Islands. They stand in front of the label 'facilis' Smith'. I hereby designate the one with a large spot at each side of the third tergal band 'LECTOTYPE', and I have so labelled it. It is an *elegans* with an exceptional amount of yellow on the first three tergites.

[There are also two females labelled 'Can'y' (Canary Islands) in the old collection at Oxford. They have an exceptional amount of yellow on the basal tergites. I suppose that these are syntypes. J.G.B.]

Betrem is responsible for giving *elegans* the status of a subspecies of *aureola* in the subgenus *Micromeris*, but Turner transferred *aureola* to *Campsomeris*.

[The wings not pilose except extreme anterior margin; longer spur of tibiae III distinctly but slightly spatulate; transition between the horizontal area and the vertical parts of the metapleura forming a blunt angle. Clypeus striate anteriorly. The preceding characters appertain to the lectotype and paratype. J.G.B.]

#### 21. fasciatopennis

1855. Scolia fasciatopennis Smith, ♀, ♂: 103. 'Hab. West Africa (Coll. F. Smith)'.

1864. Elis (Dielis) fasciatipennis [sic!] Saussure & Sichel,  $\mathfrak P$ ,  $\mathfrak F$ : 169, n. 171, an emendation. 1889. Discolia fasciatipennis Kirby,  $\mathfrak P$ ,  $\mathfrak F$ , Trans. ent. Soc. Lond.: 448.

= 1964. Scolia (Discolia) fasciatipennis Betrem & Bradley: 94, n. 95.

There is a female in the British Museum that bears a mss. label 'fasciatipennis Sm.' [sic!] and a second printed label 'F. Sm. Coll. 79: 22' with 'type' written on it. It is the British Museum Type 15.1287. It agrees with the description. I hereby designate it LECTOTYPE and Betrem has labelled it, July, 1966. Another female in the British Museum has no label except 'W. Afr.' but there is nothing to indicate that it came from the Smith collection. The two are identical.

The male allotype, from 'Gambia' is also in the British Museum. It is one of a series of types purchased by the Museum from the Smith collection after his death.

The original description of this species was included among those having two recurrent veins, but the lectotype has only one. Kirby says this was done inadvertently, but it caused Saussure & Sichel to confound fasciatopennis with a species of Campsomeris.

[There is a specimen marked 'type' in the Oxford Museum and it is in drawer 43 of the type-collection, but has been extracted from drawer 40 of the old Hope collection. It is a *Scolia* from Sierra Leone as indicated by the initials 'S. L.' on the label.

The following specimens are labelled fasciatipennis [sic!] in drawer 40: (1) a female Campsomeris with dark anterior wing-margin from Sierra Leone; (2) a female Liacos labelled 'Raddon, W. Africa'; (3 and 4) two male Scoliae from Sierra Leone; (5) a male Scolia labelled 'Raddon, Gold Coast'; (6) a female Liacos from Lake N'Gami, Castelneau, 1862. It is possible that Smith saw this mixture of specimens that all look alike but have different wing-venation, and that it is the explanation of his confusion.

Except the Castelneau specimen, I suppose that the other specimens are old because William Raddon published in 1835 and 1836 according to Horn. J.G.B.]

#### 22. fascinatus

- 1873. Scolia (Discolia) fascinatus Smith, J: 185. 'Hab. Hiogo Japan'.
- 1917. Discolia fascinatus Matsumura, 3, Konchu Bunruiguku 2: 307.
- 1928. Scolia (Carinoscolia) vittifrons vittifrons Betrem, 3, nec ♀: 186.
- 1941. Scolia (Carinoscolia) fascinatus fascinatus Betrem, ♂, ♀: 113.
- = Carinoscolia fascinatus fascinatus (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

There is only one male from Japan in the British Museum. It bears a printed label 'Hiogo Japan', a museum printed type-label, a mss. label 'Scolia fascinatus Smith', and a printed label 'Smith Coll.' on one end of which is written 'type'. It is the holotype. In Betrem's key (1928:177) it runs to vittifrons with which Betrem at that time identified it.

[I could not find the type in the British Museum in 1966. I now regard the Japanese fascinatus as a species distinct from the Chinese vittifrons. I have stated the reason in my 1941 paper. J.G.B.]

#### 23. fenestrata

- 1854. Elis dimidiatipennis Saussure, Q, Mém. Soc. Phys. Hist. nat. Genéve 14: 64, n. 32.
- 1855. Scolia fenestrata Smith, Q: 104. 'Hab. Congo=Gambia'.
- 1864. Elis (Dielis) dimidiatipennis Saussure & Sichel, ♀: 168, n. 170.
- 1896. Scolia dimidiatipennis Dalla Torre: 154.
- = Campsomeriella (Campsomeriella) dimidiatipennis (Saussure) Betrem.

Although Betrem, 1947 (1945): 413, listed dimidiatipennis as a subspecies of thoracica, he no longer so regards it. There are three female syntypes in the British Museum, two from 'Congo' and one from 'Gambia'. One of those from Congo is marked 'type' on Smith's mss. label, and I hereby designate it LECTOTYPE.

[The lectotype bears the labels: (1) 'Congo'; (2) a white label with red margin, 'fenestrata Sm. type'; (3) 'lectotype', label added by Betrem, 1966. It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1425. I find two syntypes from Gambia.

A female in the old collection at Oxford is labelled 'S. L.' (= Sierra Leone).

Since Smith did not mention this locality it is not a syntype. [I.G.B.]

#### 24. fervida

1805. Scolia analis Klug, Q, Beitr. z. Naturk. 1: 36, n. 31, nec Fabricius, 1804.

1810. Scolia cruenta Klug, Q, loc. cit. 2: 168, n.n. for analis Klug, nec Fabricius.

1852. Scolia fervida Smith, Q: 46, 'Hab. Poona, collected by Ezra T. Downes. Presented to the Honorable The East India Company'.

1928. Scolia (Scolia) sexpustulata Betrem, 1928: 310.

= 1964. Scolia (Discolia) cruenta Klug, Betrem & Bradley: 93, n. 59.

[The holotype, a female in the British Museum, bears the following labels: (I) 'Ind' (India); (2) a blue paper label, 'fervida Smith'; (3) '99-303', which means: 'Smith coll., presented by Mrs Farren-White'; (4) 'holotype', added by Betrem in 1966. It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1430.

This is Scolia cruenta Klug without any doubt. Some difficulty arises in my key, 1928: 257, because the type has a distinct, but faint, red cross-band on the front.

J.G.B., July, 1966.]

#### 25. flavidula

1855. Scolia flavidula Smith, ♀: 115. 'Hab. Australia, B.M.'.

1864. Elis (Trielis) flavidula Saussure & Sichel,  $\mathcal{Q}$ : 143, n. 151. 1909. Campsomeris (Trielis) flavidula Turner,  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$ , Ann. Mag. nat. Hist. (8)  $\mathbf{4}$ : 171.

1928. Campsomeris (Pseudotrielis) flavidula Betrem, ♀: 85. = Trisciloa (Pseudotrielis) flavidula (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

The holotype in the British Museum bears Smith's mss. label 'flavidula Sm. type', and the type-number: 15.1518.

#### 26. flavopicta

1854. Scolia decorata Burmeister, ♀, ♂: 30, n. 39.

1855. Scolia flavopicta Smith, Q: 91. 'Hab. Java. B.M.'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) decorata Saussure & Sichel,  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$ : 122, n. 122.

1928. Scolia (Scolia) decorata decorata var. flavopicta Betrem, Q: 321.

= Scolia (Discolia) decorata decorata Burmeister, infrasubspecific form flavopicta Smith, teste Betrem.

[The holotype, a female in the British Museum, bears the following labels: (1) '49/5' with 'Java' on the reverse, means '14 Hym. Java purchased of Argent'; (2) 'holotype', attached by Betrem in 1966. It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1432.

There is another female of flavopicta in the British Museum labelled '54/76', on the reverse 'Sumatra'; the reference means 'various localities, purchased of Stevens'. A specimen of Megascolia (Regiscolia) azurea is similarly labelled, and bears additional labels: (1) 'flavopicta, type'; (2) 'B.M. type Hymen. 15.1296'; (3) a white label with red margin, 'type'. Type-labels on this female are of course false. J.G.B., 1966].

#### 27. fraterna

1855. Scolia fraterna Smith, ♀, ♂: 94. 'Hab. Port. Natal'. = 1864. Scolia (Discolia) fraterna Smith, Saussure & Sichel, ♀, ♂: 82, n. 59.

A female in the British Museum from 'Port Natal' bears Smith's mss. label 'Sc. fraterna Sm. type' and 'B.M. Hym. 15.1285'. I hereby designate it LECTO-TYPE. It does not exactly agree with the description which fails to mention red antennae, and the punctation on the abdomen is very fine and sparse, especially on tergite 2(I).

#### 28. fulgidipennis

1859. Scolia fulgidipennis Smith, Q, &: 152. 'Hab. Aru'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) fulgidipennis Saussure & Sichel, 9: 109, n. 101.

1889. Diliacos fulgidipennis Kirby, Trans. ent. Soc. Lond.: 444.

1928. Scolia (Liacos) fulgidipennis Betrem, ♀, ♂: 175.

1963. Scolia (Liacos) fulgidipennis Krombein, ♀, ♂: 609.

= Liacos fulgidipennis (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

A female and a male are in the Saunders collection labelled 'Aru', and a female labelled 'Dor' (= Dorey). I hereby select the female labelled 'Aru' to be LECTO-TYPE (Betrem, 1928: 175, referred to it as holotype). It agrees with Betrem's description but its wings reflect brilliant green, more yellow-green toward the margin. Seen in a certain light their reflection is violet-blue on the basal part, green-blue at the margins. A specimen in the British Museum bears, incorrectly, the label 'type 15.1374'.

#### 29. fulvipennis

1859. Scolia fulvipennis Smith, &: 10. 'Hab. Celebes'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) fulvipennis Saussure & Sichel, Q: 125, n. 129.

= 1928. Scolia (Scolia) fulvipennis Smith, Betrem, 3, 9: 276.

There are two male syntypes in the Saunders Collection. Betrem has labelled 'lectotype' the one that bears Smith's mss. label 'fulvipennis', but he referred to it (1928:276) as 'holotype Smith's' from Makian, misinterpreting its locality label 'Mak' as meaning the island of Makian, instead of Makassar in the South Celebes. I hereby confirm the status of this specimen as LECTOTYPE. It agrees with Betrem's interpretation of the species 1928:276.

#### 30. habrocoma

1855. Scolia habrocoma Smith, ♀: 100, 'Hab. India (Coll. W. W. Saunders, Esq.) '.

1864. Elis (Dielis) habrocoma Saussure & Sichel, Q: 198, n. 212.

= 1928. Campsomeris (Megacampsomeris) habrocoma (Smith) Betrem,  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$ : 144.

An unique female in the Saunders collection agrees exactly with the original description. It stands in front of the label 'habrocoma Sm. Ind.'. It bears a pin-label 'E.I.', but, as pointed out by Betrem, it bears a label 'E. servillei Guér.? Voy. Coq.'. This label, if not indeed present by mischance, is without significance. It can represent nothing more than someone's incorrect endeavour to identify the specimen with servillei which is South American. The pin label 'E.I.' is correct,

since the taxonomic species *habrocoma* occurs in Java, but not in India. Smith published a wrong type-locality. Betrem, 1928: 144, referred to this specimen as 'holotype' and I have so labelled it.

#### 31. hirtipennis

1855. Scolia oryctophaga Coquerel, ♀, Ann. Soc. ent. France (3) 3: 170, pl. 10, fig. 2. 1855. Scolia hirtipennis Smith, ♀, ♂: 95. 'Hab. Madagascar (coll. F. Smith)'. = 1864. Scolia (Discolia) oryctophaga Coquerel, Saussure & Sichel, ♀, ♂: 78, n. 52.

A female in the British Museum bears Smith's mss. label 'Scolia oryctophaga Co. S. hirtipennis Smith' and also a museum printed label 'Smith Coll.' with the word 'type' written on it. Another female bears Smith's mss. label 'hirtipennis Smith type' but there is nothing to indicate that it came from the Smith collection. This specimen has a hairy propodeum which does not appear sericeous and which has its punctures more or less obscured by hair. In the former the propodeum is denuded, therefore appears 'more finely punctate and covered with a sericeous pile' as described by Smith. I hereby designate the female with Smith's mss. type-label to be LECTOTYPE, and have so labelled it (B.M. type, Hym. 15.1421), despite the fact that the other agrees better with his description.

#### 32. ignita

- 1854. Scolia indica Saussure, Q, Mém. Soc. Phys. Hist. nat., Genéve, 14: 46, fig. 10.
- 1855. Scolia ignita Smith: 101, Q, 'Hab. Silhet, North Bengal; Travan, B.M.'.
- 1928. Campsomeris (Campsomeris) indica Betrem, ♀, ♂: 116.
- 1928. Campsomeris (Trielis) assamensis Betrem, 3: 111, syn. n., Betrem.
- 1941. Campsomeris (Colpacampsomeris) indica Betrem: 101.
- = Campsomeris (Colpacampsomeris) indica indica (Saussure) Betrem, stat. n. teste Betrem.

[The holotype was in the British Museum labelled 'type  $\frac{45}{107}$  Sylhet' but I could not locate it in 1966, and it is not in the card-index of types. This species is identical with *indica* Saussure for which that author mentioned no type-locality. I distinguish four subspecies as follows:

- I. C. (Colpacampsomeris) indica indica (Saussure, 1854) Betrem [= ignita Smith, 1855] [= assamensis Betrem, 1928, syn. n.]. Silhet.
- 2. C. (Colpacampsomeris) indica eliformis (Saussure), stat. n. Ceylon.
- 3. C. (Colpacampsomeris) indica salvazai Betrem, 1941. Cochin China (South Vietnam).
- 4. C. (Colpacampsomeris) indica pseudojavanica Betrem, 1928, stat. n. Taiping, in Perak, Malay Peninsula.

Saussure & Sichel, 1864, give 'Bengalia, Silhet' as locality for *indica* Saussure, 1854. This may be construed as fixing a type-locality for that species, and as it is the type-locality for *ignita* one may conclude that Saussure & Sichel were correct in synonymizing the two. Sylhet is in extreme north-eastern East Pakistan, in what was formerly Assam, but prior to 1874 was partly Bengal.

There are three females named 'indica' in the Oxford Museum. Their pin-labels are respectively 'Sylhet', 'Travancore', and 'India'. They may be syntypes of ignita. [I.G.B.]

#### 33. instabilis

1854. Scolia jurinei Saussure, Q, J, Mém. Soc. Phys. Hist. nat., Genève, 14: 45, n. 21.

1855. Scolia instabilis Smith, Q, 3: 88. 'Hab. India. B.M.'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) aureipennis Saussure & Sichel, ♀, ♂: 109, n. 102. Misidentification of aureipennis Lepeletier.

1928. Scolia (Scolia) aureipennis Betrem, Q, 3: 280. Misidentification of aureipennis Lepeletier

1941. Scolia (Scolioides) jurinei Betrem: 136.

= 1964. Scolia (Discolia) jurinei Saussure, Betrem & Bradley: 93, n. 34.

[There is only a single female in the British Museum that agrees with the original description and that is old enough to be a syntype; it bears the following labels: (1) 'Madras', (mss.), and (2) 'instabilis Smith' on blue paper in Smith's mss. I hereby designate it LECTOTYPE, and have added a label to that effect. It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1424. The male syntype referred to by Smith was in the extension collection of the British Museum. It was presented by Mrs Farren-White. J.G.B., August, 1966].

#### 34. insularis

1859. Scolia insularis Smith, J: 153. 'Hab. Key Islands. Saunders Coll.'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) insularis Saussure & Sichel, 3: 107, n. 97.

= 1927. Diliacos insularis (Smith) Micha, ♂, nec ♀: 73.

1928. Scolia (Diliacos) insularis Betrem: 191.

Four males in the Saunders collection bear Smith's mss. label 'Scolia insularis'. One is from Aru, one has no locality label, one is from 'Cer. E.' and the fourth is labelled 'Ke' which I assume to mean Key Id. This last one agrees with the original description and I hereby designate it LECTOTYPE and have so labelled it. It was referred to by Betrem, 1928: 192, as 'holotype' but not labelled. Since the male without pin-label may be a syntype it is safer to say lectotype rather than holotype.

[The male lectotype in the Saunders collection labelled 'Ke' has somewhat reddish effulgence of the wings. That of the male from Aru is more golden. There is some difference between the two in the punctation of the mesoscutum. The male from eastern Ceram is *Diliacos gracilipes* Micha, 1927, which was described from Ambon. The apical half of the disc of its tergite 2(I) is impunctate, whereas in

insularis it is entirely, rather densely, punctate.

The material labelled *insularis* in the British Museum consists of two males of *insularis* from 'Ke I.' from the Smith collection, one presented by Mrs Farren-White, and another male from the Smith collection no. 79–22 that is not an *insularis*, because the lower plate of the mesopleura is deeply, roughly, punctate, possibly it is the male of *Liacos schindleri* (Betrem), 1933, **comb. n.** J.G.B.]

#### 35. intrudens, 1862

1862. Scolia intrudens Smith, &, Q: 53. 'Hab. Celebes (Tondano)' nec 1868: 241.

1864. Scolia (Triscolia) intrudens Saussure & Sichel, 3: 42, n. 14.

1928. Scolia (Megascolia) ducalis gribodoi Betrem: 244, syn. n., teste Betrem.

= 1964. Megascolia (Megascolia) velutina intrudens (Smith) Betrem & Bradley: 441, n. 3b.

The holotype, a male, is in the Saunders collection. It bears a label 'Tond.' (i.e. Tondano, N. Celebes) and Smith's mss. label 'Scolia intrudens Smith' and has been noted by Betrem, 1928: 246. It is a male of the taxonomic species to which Betrem, (1928: 245) applied the name 'velutina Sauss.'. The two other males in the Saunders collection are neither one from the type locality, hence are not syntypes.

#### 36. intrudens, 1868

1775. Tiphia radula Fabricius, Q Syst. ent.: 354, n. 5.

1868. Scolia (Dielis) intrudens Smith, Q: 241. 'Hab. Champion Bay (Australia)'.

1909. Campsomeris radula Turner, Ann. & Mag. nat. Hist. (8) 3:484.

1928. Campsomeris (Dielis) radula Betrem, ♀, ♂: 88.

= 1962. Campsomeris (Radumeris) radula (Fabricius) Betrem, teste Betrem, Ent. News: 206.

[The holotype, a female in the British Museum, bears the following labels: (1) 'Champion Bay'; (2) 'H. du Boulay, Smith coll.'; (3) 'Scolia (Dielis) intrudens'. Smith, 1868: 231, stated 'The species not indicated as being in the National collection are in my own collection'.

Without a doubt this is *radula* F. There are specimens in the British Museum that have a narrow, yellow, apical line on tergite 2(1), but none with red tibiae and tarsi. J.G.B., July, 1966].

#### 37. iridicolor

1855. Scolia iridicolor Smith, &: 95. 'Hab. Madagascar (Coll. F. Smith)'. = 1864. Scolia (Discolia) iridicolor Smith, Saussure & Sichel, &: 79, n. 54.

The holotype male is in the British Museum, and I have so labelled it. It bears the museum type number 15.1422. It agrees with the description and bears a label 'F. Sm. Coll. 79.22' and a mss. label (probably Smith's) 'Scolia iridicolor Sm.'. Another specimen in the British Museum that bears the museum 'type' label and also Smith's mss. label 'iridicolor Sm. type' is not a type, for it is a female, much too large to fit the description and did not come from the Smith collection but was purchased in 1858 from Stevens.

#### 38. irregularis

1793. Scolia variegata Fabricius, Ent. syst., 2: 230, n. 10.

1855. Scolia irregularis Smith, Q, &: 107. 'Hab. Brazil. B.M.'.

1864. Elis (Dielis) variegata Saussure & Sichel,  $\mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$ : 226, n. 242.

= 1957. Campsomeris (Aelocampsomeris) variegata (Fabricius) Bradley, 1957: 74.

In 1940: 6, I incorrectly referred to the 'holotype' from Brazil. As the species was described from syntypes representing each sex, this must now be corrected to lectotype,  $\mathfrak{P}$ . The LECTOTYPE, by present designation, is in the British Museum; it agrees with Smith's description.

[The female lectotype bears the labels: (1) 'Braz'; (2) 'irregularis Sm. Type'; (3) a round white paper label with red margin 'type'; (4) a red label 'lectotype', added by Betrem in 1966 to replace the former holotype label; (5) 'stands as variegata in the Smith coll.'. It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1426. There are no males. J.G.B., July, 1966].

#### 39. japonica

1787. Tiphia histrionica Fabricius, Q, Mant. ins. 1: 243, nec Scolia histrionica F., 1798.

1873. Scolia japonica Smith, ♀, ♂: 185. 'Hab. Hiogo (Japan)'.

1928. Scolia (Scolia) japonica Betrem, ♀, ♂: 322.

1941. Scolia (Scolioides) japonica Betrem, ♀, ♂: 166.

= 1964. Scolia (Discolia) histrionica histrionica (Fabricius) Bradley & Betrem: 15.

Betrem (1928: 322) referred to Smith's female syntype in the British Museum as the holotype, but since there are at least two syntypes, female and male, it is necessary to designate the female LECTOTYPE. Its bears the museum typenumber 15.1298.

#### 40. laeviceps

1855. Scolia laeviceps Smith, Q, B, p. 91. 'Hab. Hong Kong. B.M.'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) laeviceps Smith, Saussure & Sichel, Q, 3: 118, n. 116.

1928. Scolia (Scolia) laeviceps Betrem,  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ : 265.

= Scolia (Discolia) laeviceps Smith.

The lectotype ('Hololectotype') male, selected by Betrem, 1928: 266, is in the British Museum.

[The lectotype bears the following labels: (1) '48/60', on the reverse: 'Hong Kong'; (2) 'laeviceps Sm., type'; (3) 'B.M. type Hymen. 15.1401'; (4) a white paper label with red margin 'Type'. J.G.B., 1966].

#### 41. larradiformis

1864. Scolia larradiformis Smith, Q: 28. 'Hab. Waigeou'.

1864. Scolia morata Smith, 3: 28. 'Hab. Mysol', new probable synonymy, Betrem.

1927. Diliacos larradiformis Micha: 140, 9.

1928. Scolia (Diliacos) larradiformis Betrem, ♀: 196.

1963. Scolia (Diliacos) larradiformis larradiformis Krombein,  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ : 615.

= Diliacos quadriceps larradiformis (Smith) Micha, stat. n.

The unique type is in the Saunders collection, as already indicated by Betrem, 1928: 196, and I have labelled it 'Holotype'. It bears a pin-label 'Waig.' and Smith's blue mss. label 'Scolia larradiformis Sm.'. One wing is half torn. It agrees with Smith's description. [I re-examined the types of larradiformis and of quadriceps Smith in 1964 and regard them as subspecies, differing only in wing-colour. J.G.B.]

#### 42. litigiosa

1855. Scolia litigiosa Smith, Q: 113. 'Hab. India. B.M.'.

1864. Elis (Trielis) litigiosa Saussure & Sichel, ♀: 158, n. 164.

1950. Campsoscolia (Campsoscolia) litigiosa Bradley: 436.

= Trielis (Trielis) litigiosa (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

The holotype is an unique female in the British Museum bearing a mss. label 'litigiosa Smith type'. Its number is 15.1362. It agrees with Smith's description.

#### [Description of the holotype of Scolia litigiosa Smith.

Q. Black, the following parts brown-yellow: the sides of the clypeus, area frontalis, spatium frontale, front, vertex, upper temples, scapulae, tergites 4(3) and 5(4) each except for a median line.

Clypeus with a broad anterior margin, the median part rather finely striate, scarcely raised, the sides coarsely punctate. Spatium frontale with only a few coarse punctures, somewhat raised, the transverse groove deep, dark-brown; fissura frontalis deep on the spatium frontale, slightly indicated on the front. Front with only a few rather coarse punctures; the postfrontal suture distinct on the sides of the front, forming a depression; front outside of this depression impunctate. Vertex very broad with coarse punctures behind the lateral ocelli.

Scapulae with sparse, coarse, punctures; mesoscutum punctate except for a rather large impunctate median area; scutellum impunctate except for some punctures anteriorly and at the sides; metanotum in greater part impunctate; metapleura strongly raised medially below the front wings; the upper anterior area of the mesopleura densely, coarsely, punctate; the upper posterior area densely punctate, except for a small area; the posterior, lower, part of the mesopleura punctate except anteriorly and posteriorly; mesopleural crest not sharp, with sparse punctures; metapleura impunctate except for some punctures below the hind wings; transition between the horizontal and vertical parts very gradual.

Area horizontalis medialis densely, coarsely, deeply, punctate. Area horizontalis lateralis with the same kind of punctures except for an impunctate area on the inner basal corner. Area before the stigma impunctate; carina lateralis distinct; area lateralis punctate above, sparsely below; area posterior medialis punctate except below; area posterior lateralis regularly punctate.

Wings dark, reflecting blue, not setose, a transverse brown-yellow line in the first submarginal cell. Longer spur of tibia III absent on the type on both sides. Vestiture black.

Tergites sparsely, rather coarsely, punctate; tergite 2 (1) without a tubercle.

This description was drawn from the type in the British Museum, which bears three pin-labels: 1st label, 'B.M. type Hymenoptera 15.1362'; 2nd label, 'litigiosa Smith, type'; 3rd label, 'Ind.'. I doubt whether India is the correct locality, at most it could have come from West Pakistan.

This species is allied to *Trielis interrupta* (Fabricius). It differs from *Trielis klugii* (v.d. Linden), **comb. n.,** its closest ally, by its more punctate vertex, the deeper depression on the front, the more sparsely punctate scapulae, and the darker wings. J.G.B.]

#### 43. luctuosa

1854. Scolia 4-guttulata Burmeister, ♀: 21, n. 17.

1855. Scolia luctuosa Smith, Q: 101. 'Hab. Silhet (India) B.M.'.

1864. Elis (Dielis) luctuosa Saussure & Sichel, 2, 3: 194, n. 206.

1928. Campsomeris (Colpa) peregrina Lepeletier var. 4-guttulata Betrem, Q: 115.

1941. Campsomeris (Sericocampsomeris) 4-guttulata Betrem: 92.

= Campsomeris (Sericocampsomeris) quadriguttulata quadriguttulata (Burm.) Betrem, stat. n.

[The holotype is a female in the British Museum. It bears the following labels: (1) 'Silhet'; (2) 'luctuosa Sm., type'; (3) a white label with red margin, 'type'; (4) 'B.M. type Hymen. 15.1343'; (5) 'Holotype', added by Betrem, 1966. Another old specimen in the British Museum is from Sarawak. It was purchased from Stevens. There are two females in the Oxford Museum that may be syntypes. Both are from Silhet in the extreme north-eastern part of East Pakistan (Bengal in 1855). One has an entirely black abdomen. The other has four yellow spots on it. J.G.B.]

#### 44. minuta

- 1859. Scolia minuta Smith, &: 11. 'Hab. Celebes'.
- 1864. Scolia (Discolia) minuta Saussure & Sichel, 3: 125, n. 128.
- 1928. Campsomeris (Campsomeris) marginella terminata (Smith) Betrem: 137.
- 1937. Campsomeris marginella terminata var. minuta Betrem, 3: 41, footnote.
- 1941. Campsomeris (Campsomeriella) marginella terminata Betrem: 90.
- = Campsomeris (Micromeris) marginella terminata (Smith) Betrem, infra-subspecific form minuta Smith, teste Betrem.

A male in the Saunders collection bears a label 'Mak' and has been correctly labelled 'holotype' by Betrem (1928:137). But its pin-label 'Mak' refers to Makassar in the South Celebes, not to the island of Makian.

[The holotype belongs to the subspecies terminata of Campsomeris (Micromeris) marginella but differs as a variety in being much smaller, having only two yellow scutellar spots, and in having its metanotum yellow medially. Its abdominal bands are normal. J.G.B.]

#### 45. modesta

- 1855. Scolia modesta Smith, \, \, \, \dagger : 91. 'Hab. Philippine Islands, B.M.'.
- 1864. Scolia (Discolia) modesta Saussure & Sichel, ♀, ♂: 124, n. 126.
- 1893. Discolia modesta Gribodo, &, Bull. Soc. ent. ital. 25: 178.
- 1928. Campsomeris (Campsomeris) marginella modesta Betrem, 2, 3: 136.
- 1941. Campsomeris (Campsomeriella) marginella modesta Betrem: 90.
- = Campsomeris (Micromeris) marginella modesta (Smith) Betrem.

Betrem (1928: 136) stated that the holotype is in the British Museum and indicated that a male syntype belongs to a different species. Since *modesta* was described from syntypes, I hereby designate the female to be LECTOTYPE, not holotype, and it has been so labelled.

[The lectotype bears the following labels: (1) '42/22', on its reverse 'Philip. Isl'; (2) 'modesta type Smith'; (3) 'B.M. type Hym. 15.1332'; (4) a white paper label with red margin. J.G.B., 1966].

#### 46. morata

- 1864. Scolia morata Smith, J: 28, 'Hab. Mysol'.
- 1864. Scolia larradiformis Smith, 9: 28, 'Hab. Waigeou'.
- 1963. Scolia (Diliacos) larradiformis morata Krombein, 3: 615, 619, fig. 30.
- = Diliacos quadriceps larradiformis (Smith) Micha or possibly Diliacos quadriceps morata (Smith) Micha.

[There are two males in the Saunders collection. Specimen A bears a circular label on which is written just 'M', which presumably stands for Mysol. Specimen B bears no label, but undoubtedly is also a syntype from Mysol, being an instance of what is referred to in the introduction where only the first of two specimens from the same locality bears the locality label. Specimen B bears my label 'Holotype' but since the species was described from syntypes, I now select it to be the LECTO-TYPE, and have so labelled it.

Professor Varley of the Oxford Museum very kindly loaned these two syntypes to Dr Krombein, who sent them to me for re-examination here in Ithaca, March, 1962. I examined them again in 1964. Both agree with Smith's original description.

Both belong to the same taxon, but differ slightly in structure. Specimen (A) has the disc of the clypeus and of the mesonotum less punctate than has specimen (B). My description of the male of morata (1928:192) was drawn from specimen (B), the lectotype. The male lectotype of morata Smith is probably the male of Diliacos larradiformis (Smith, 1864), which was described from the female. Krombein established morata as a subspecies of larradiformis; on p. 615 he says: 'The female of larradiformis larradiformis may not be separable from that of l. morata. I have examined the male type of S. morata from Mysol. It differs slightly in the shape of the parameres (squamae), the volsellae (fig. 30) are slightly more slender and the wings have greenish golden reflection'.

The female from Mackay that I assigned to *morata* (1928: 192) is not the female of that species. In 1933, I made it the holotype of a new species, *papuasiae*, which Krombein (1963: 622) has synonymized with *D. glabrata glabrata* Micha. He also has described the male (loc. cit.). I agree with him. J.G.B.

#### 47. morosa, 1861

1859. Scolia velutina Saussure, 3, Stettin. ent. Ztg 20: 175.

1861. Scolia morosa Smith, Q: 118. 'Hab. Amboyna, Saunders Collection', nec 1862: 53.

1864. Scolia (Triscolia) velutina Saussure & Sichel, 3: 41, n. 13.

1927. Triscolia velutina velutina Micha: 102.

1928. Scolia (Megascolia) velutina velutina Betrem, ♂, ♀: 245.

= 1964. Megascolia (Megascolia) velutina velutina (Saussure) Betrem & Bradley: 441, n. 3c.

The female 'holotype' of *morosa* in the Saunders collection has been so labelled by Betrem and is referred to in his monograph, 1928: 246. It agrees with Smith's description. It belongs to the taxonomic species and subspecies to which Betrem applied there the name *velutina*.

[There is a second female in the Saunders collection labelled 'Scolia morosa Smith, Sul.' i.e. Sulu Islands. It stands above a label 'nigrita Fabr.'. It cannot be a

syntype. J.G.B.]

#### 48. *morosa*, 1862

1862. Scolia morosa Smith, 9: 53. 'Hab. Celebes (Tondano), Saunders Coll.', nec 1861.

1864. Elis (Dielis) morosa Saussure & Sichel, Q: 193, n. 204.

1897. Scolia celebesiaca Dalla Torre: 151.

= Campsomeris (Sericocampsomeris) quadriguttulata celebesiaca (Dalla Torre) Betrem, comb. n. and stat. n.

Betrem was originally unable to find the type in the Saunders collection, where it should be. There is, however, a female labelled 'Tond' in that collection that is not labelled as morosa '62, but that agrees precisely with Smith's description of that species. We agree that it is undoubtedly the holotype. Probably Saunders or someone noted that it did not agree with specimens in the collection labelled 'morosa Smith' (but which are morosa 1861, not 1862) and set it aside without a label, not realizing that Smith had described a second morosa. I have labelled it 'Holotype morosa Smith 1862, nec 1861'. Since celebesiaca D. T. was a new name for morosa, 1862, both have the same type.

S. celebesiaca D.T. as defined by Smith's type of morosa '62, is not the species to which Betrem misapplied the name morosa Sm. (1928:110). The latter taxonomic species at present stands without a name but Betrem is here establishing a name for it. See below.

Provision for *celebesiaca* D.T. (= *morosa* Smith '62, *nec* '61) can be made by the following addition to Betrem's key to the females of *Campsomeris*, 1928: 74 (for revision of couplet 76 see Betrem, 1933: 239).

In lieu of 77a, read:

| 77a. | V. und Tempora fast ganz glatt  |
|------|---|
|      | T. nicht matt, glanzend, deutlich fein punktiert, hinter der subapikalen  |
|      | Punktreihe nur schmal glatt; Scut. fein, ziemlich dicht, eingestochen p., |
|      | hinten glatt (? auch in sitolensis)                                       |
| b.   | T. matt; Scut. glanzend, vorn und auf den Seiten mit einigen groben P.    |
|      | celebesiaca D.T.  |

In lieu of 79a read:

79a. P. der Ar.h.m. feiner, ziemlich fein p. so gross wie die Zwischenraume zwischen ihnen; P. der T. dichter . . . . . . . . . . . bradleyi Betrem.

#### [Description of the holotype of Scolia morosa Smith, 1862.

\$\\\\\\\\\\$. Anterior margin of the clypeus rounded, broader medially than at the sides of its central portion, the lateral lobes flattened, disc uneven, its anterior border striate. Impunctate part of the front short; fissura frontalis deep; a small group of punctures just below the front ocellus. Vertex impunctate except its declivous portion deeply and densely punctate; a row of punctures next to the orbits; temples impunctate; sides of the front near the orbits deeply punctate.

Scapulae densely and deeply punctate with a deep longitudinal depression, their posterior margin broadly impunctate; sides behind the punctate callosity impunctate, sharply limited above from the punctate scapulae. Upper area of the mesopleura almost entirely impunctate except for a small area below the fore wings; the upper half of the lower posterior area of the mesopleura impunctate. Transition below the hind wings blunt; metapleura with only minute punctures. Carina lateralis long, extending to the sides of the area horizontalis lateralis and the area posterior lateralis. Mesoscutum impunctate medially, deeply, coarsely, and densely punctate anteriorly and laterally; scutellum impunctate except for lateral anterior, triangular, coarsely punctate areas; metanotum densely, deeply punctate, except at the sides, posteriorly, and a narrow impunctate median strip. Area horizontalis medialis not so coarsely punctate as the metanotum, laterally finely punctate, an indication of a blunt transverse carina at its apex; area horizontalis lateralis with a transverse punctate area; area posterior impunctate except for fine punctures on the upper third of its median plate.

Fore wings without setae, except along their anterior margins. Inner spur of tibia III brown, blunt, not spatulate. Basal tergites opaque. Tergite 2(1) truncate anteriorly. Description drawn from the holotype of *Scolia morosa* Smith, from Tondano. J.G.B.].

#### [Campsomeris (Tristimeris) bradleyi Betrem, sp. n.

1928. Campsomeris (Trielis) morosa Betrem,  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$ : 110. A misidentification of Scolia morosa Smith, 1862, nec 1861.

1938. Campsomeris bradleyi Betrem, nomen nudum: 358 and 360.

In discussing the Scoliidae of the Celebes I twice mentioned a *Campsomeris bradleyi* (Betrem, 1938: 358 and 360). This is a manuscript name which I now wish to validate.

Q. The description of the female applied incorrectly to *Campsomeris morosa* (Smith, 1862) by Betrem, 1928: 110, is the description of the female of this species.

Holotype ♀. The holotype is the female referred to by me, loc. cit., as 'Plesiotype (Paratype Smith's?) Celebes, leg. Pfeiffer, Coll. Smith, pres. by Farren-White, B.M. '. It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1431.

3. The description of a male applied incorrectly to C. morosa Smith by Betrem,

1928: 110, is the description of the male of this species.

Allotype, J. The allotype is the male referred to by me, loc. cit., as 'Allotype: Pagoewat, Celebes, leg. Rosenberg, M. L.'. J.G.B.]

#### 49. nigerrima

1861. Scolia nigerrima Smith, Q: 116. 'Hab. Dory, Saunders Coll.'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) nigerrima Saussure & Sichel, ♀: 105, n. 93.

1928. Campsomeris (Dielis) nigerrima nigerrima Betrem, ♀: 106.

= 1933. Campsomeris (Laevicampsomeris) nigerrima (Smith) Betrem: 238.

1963. Campsomeris (Laevicampsomeris) nigerrima Krombein, 9: 568.

The holotype is in the Saunders collection and bears a label 'Dor' and Smith's mss. label 'Scolia nigerrima.' Betrem has labelled it 'type' and recorded it as 'Holotype', 1928: 106.

#### 50. nitida

1859. Scolia nitida Smith, Q: 152. 'Hab. Aru'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) nitida Saussure & Sichel, ♀: 108, n. 100.

1928. Scolia (Austroscolia) punctatissima cupreopennis Betrem, Q, 3: 212.

1963. Scolia (Austroscolia) nitida nitida Krombein, 3, 9: 641.

= Austroscolia nitida nitida (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

This is not the taxonomic species which Betrem (1928:210) termed *nitida* and which (1933:254) he renamed *nitidella*. A female specimen in the Saunders collection has been labelled 'Lectotype' by Betrem, but not published. Thinking that it was the only example from Aru I incorrectly labelled it holotype.

[I hereby designate the female from Aru in the Saunders collection to be the LECTOTYPE.

There is also a female syntype in the British Museum labelled as follows: (1) 'Sc. nitida Sm. Aru'; (2) 'Smith coll., presented by Mrs. Farren-White'; (3) 'Type'.

A male from Aru (variety) and a female and a male from Morty Island are not

syntypes. J.G.B., July, 1966].

The following characters appertain to the lectotype of *nitida*: Wings green-gold to gold-green, with the apical third purplish red. Dorsal surface of the propodeum polished and almost impunctate, but with very fine, sparse, shallow punctures; disc of tergite 2(x) highly polished and impunctate behind the middle; sides of the tergites punctate, but not closely so; propodeum and sides of the tergites not long-hairy.

#### 51. nudata

1855. Scolia nudata Smith, Q: 110. 'Hab. North Bengal. B.M.'.

1864. Scolia (Triscolia) nudata Saussure & Sichel, Q, 3: 38, n. 7.

1928. Scolia (Austroscolia) nudata Betrem, ♀: 219.

= Austroscolia nudata (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

There are only two females among the older material in the British Museum. One is from 'Madras', hence not the type. The other bears the museum 'Type' label, a label 'N. Bengal', and Smith's mss. label 'nudata Sm. type.'. It is the specimen from which Betrem (1928: 219) drew his description and referred to as 'holotype'. I have labelled it 'Holotype'. It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1400. It agrees with nudata, female, in couplet 7b of the key to species of Austroscolia, Betrem, 1928: 209.

#### 52. opalina

1858. Scolia opalina Smith, ♀, ♂: 89. 'Hab. Sarawak'.

1864. Scolia (Triscolia) opalina Saussure & Sichel, ♀, ♂: 42, n. 15.

1928. Scolia (Carinoscolia) opalina opalina Betrem, Q, 3: 178.

= Carinoscolia opalina opalina (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

Betrem, 1928: 178, incorrectly stated that the holotype is from Borneo in the British Museum. He should have said 'in the Saunders collection'. Since there are two male, and one female syntypes in the Saunders collection it is necessary to select a lectotype labelled 'Sarawak'. I hereby designate a female in the Saunders collection to be LECTOTYPE and have so labelled it. It is the female above mentioned that Betrem labelled and published as holotype. It bears labels 'Sar' and in Smith's mss. 'Scolia opalina Sm.' and Betrem's label 'Holotype'. The type agrees with opalina subspecies opalina in Betrem's key, 1928: 178, couplet 9a, Bb.

[There are also two females in the British Museum, both representing a manuscript species that Smith never described. One bears the labels: (1) 'Sar'; (2) '56/44'; (3) 'type'; (4) 'type, Hym. 15.1407'. It has no card in the index. J.G.B., 1966].

#### 53. ornata

1830. Scolia maculata Guérin, ♀, in Duperry, Voy. Coquille, Zool., 2, pt. 2:255.

1855. Scolia ornata Smith: 96. 'Hab. Georgia', nec ornata Lep., 1845.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) nobilitata var. maculata Saussure & Sichel: 132, n. 138.

= Scolia (Discolia) nobilitata Fabricius, infrasubspecific form maculata Guérin, stat. n.

This was proposed as a new name for *Scolia maculata* Guérin, *nec* Drury, and therefore takes the same type. Both *maculata* and *ornata* are invalid as subspecific names for this variety, but as an infrasubspecific variant, although a name is not essential, *maculata* is available.

#### 54. personata

1854. Scolia fulvifrons Saussure, Q, Mém. Soc. Phys. Hist. nat., Genève, 14:43, pl. 19, fig. 11.

1855. Scolia personata Smith, 3: 91. 'Hab. Silhet. B.M.'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) fulvifrons Saussure & Sichel, Q, &: 116, n. 11.

1928. Scolia (Triscolia) fulvifrons Betrem ♀, ♂: 238.

= 1964. Megascolia (Regiscolia) fulvifrons (Saussure) Betrem & Bradley: 444, n. 7.

[The holotype, a male, is in the British Museum and bears the following labels: (1) '45/107' with 'Silhet' on the reverse (3 Hymenoptera from Silhet, purchased of Rev. Stainsforth); (2) 'personata Sm., type'; (3) a white label with red margin 'type'; (4) 'Lectotype', attached by Betrem, 1966. It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1428. There is also a female from Silhet, but Smith did not describe it. J.G.B., July, 1966].

#### 55. prismatica

1855. Scolia prismatica Smith, 9: 102. 'Hab. Shanghai. B.M.'. 1864. Elis (Dielis) prismatica Saussure & Sichel, 9: 199, n. 214.

= 1928. Campsomeris (Megacampsomeris) prismatica (Smith) Betrem, \( \rangle \), \( \frac{1}{3} \): 152.

[The holotype, a female, is in the British Museum. It bears four labels: (1) '52/28' (meaning from Shanghai, collected by Mr Fortune) and on the reverse 'Shanghai'; (2) a white mss. label 'prismatica Sm. type'; (3) a white label with red margin 'type'; (4) 'B.M. type Hym. 15.1329'. I have added a holotype label. J.G.B.]

[Characters of the holotype of S. prismatica

Q. Front imp. except deeply p. laterally; v. entirely deeply p., medially, anteriorly rather coarsely, densely p., interspaces between the p. very narrow; mesoscut. imp. medially, its hind margin p.; scut. heavily damaged by the pin, but probably p. in greater part (cf. S. farrenwhitei below). J.G.B., July, 1966].

[There are two other female specimens in the British Museum named 'S. prismatica' by Smith but probably not syntypes. The one, which I designate 'A', bears two labels: (I) a mss. label on blue paper 'prismatica Smith'; (2) 'F. Sm. coll. 79–22'. It is a true specimen of prismatica. Fr. p. only laterally; fiss.fr. distinct; mesoscut. p. along its posterior margin; scut. densely p., except its posterior margin.

The second specimen, which I designate 'B' is the holotype of S. farrenwhitei Betrem, 1928, a valid species. It bears six labels: (I) a white, triangular, mss. label 'E. Ind'; (2) a blue mss. label 'prismatica Smith'; (3) 'Campsomeris farrenwhitei Holotype det. Betrem'; (4) 'Smith coll. pres. by Mrs. Farren-White 99-303'; (5) a white label with red margin 'Type'; (6) 'B.M. type Hym. 15.1335'. Fr. imp., fiss.fr. deep; anterior portion of v. more or less p., its left half more so; post frontal suture deep and distinct, entirely obscured by p. in the holotype of prismatica; posterior margin of the mesosc. imp.; scut. broadly imp. medially. J.G.B.]

#### 56. pulchra

1854. Scolia picteti Saussure, Q, Mém. Soc. Phys. Hist. nat., Genève, 14: 42, n. 18.

1855. Scolia pulchra Smith, Q: 88. 'Hab. India. B.M.'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) histrionica Saussure & Sichel, Q: 121, n. 121, nec Fabricius, 1787.

1928. Scolia (Scolia) histrionica Betrem,  $\mathcal{Q}$ : 330. 1941. Scolia (Scolioides) picteti Betrem: 166.

= 1964. Scolia (Discolia) picteti Saussure, Betrem & Bradley: 94, n. 73.

[The female holotype in the British Museum bears the following labels: (1) ' $\varphi$ , Ind.'; (2) 'pulchra Sm. type'; (3) 'histrionica F. pulchra Sm. of Smith's coll.'; (4) a white label with red margin 'type'; (5) 'B.M. type Hym. 15.1373'; (6) 'Holotype' attached by Betrem in 1966. J.G.B., July, 1966].

#### 57. quadriceps

1859. Scolia quadriceps Smith, Q, &: 153. 'Hab. Aru. Saunders Collection'.

1864. Liacos (Diliacos) quadriceps Saussure & Sichel, ♀: 37, n. 6.

1928. Scolia (Diliacos) quadriceps Betrem, ♀, ♂: 194.

1963. Scolia (Diliacos) quadriceps Krombein, 9: 613, 615.

= Diliacos quadriceps quadriceps (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

There are two females but no males in the Saunders collection. One of the females bears the pin-label 'Bac' meaning Bachian and Smith's white mss. label 'Scolia quadriceps Smith'. Since this specimen does not come from Aru it is not a syntype of quadriceps, and has, in fact, been made the holotype of poultoni Betrem, 1928. The second female bears no locality label. As Betrem, 1928: 194, pointed out, it does not belong to the same taxon as the female from Bachian, and is in fact the specimen of quadriceps which he used when differentiating poultoni from that species. A third female is in the British Museum. It bears the pin-label 'Aru' and also a printed Smith collection label on which has been written (but not by Smith) the word 'type'; Betrem (1928: 194) referred to this specimen as Smith's holotype, but we must now say LECTOTYPE. He has re-examined it in 1964, and finds it identical with the unlabelled female in the Saunders collection. Doubtless the two originally stood together, the second one also from Aru, but not given a pin-label.

[S. quadriceps differs from larradiformis only in the colour of the wings. Krombein, 1963: 613, has written 'S. quadriceps Smith from Aru is an earlier name and may replace either larradiformis or ribbei for one of those polytypic species'.

J.G.B.]

#### 58. rubromaculata

1855. Scolia rubromaculata Smith, Q: 99. 'Hab. India. B.M.'.

1864. Elis (Dielis) rubromaculata Saussure & Sichel, 9: 196, n. 209.

1928. Campsomeris (Dielis) rubromaculata rubromaculata Betrem, Q: 119.

= 1941. Campsomeris (Sericocampsomeris) rubromaculata rubromaculata Betrem, 9: 94, 95.

[There are three females in the old collection of the British Museum, one is unlabelled, the other two bear old labels 'Ind?'. Probably they belonged originally with the series in Oxford (a female from India and another without pin-label). I believe that the lectotype should be selected from among the three females in the British Museum. J.G.B., July, 1966].

#### 59. ruficeps

1855. Scolia ruficeps Smith, Q. &: 111. 'Hab. Philippine Islands. B.M.'.

1927. Triscolia ruficeps ruficeps Micha, 3: 96.

1928. Scolia (Austroscolia) ruficeps ruficeps Betrem,  $\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{F}$ : 217.

= Austroscolia ruficeps ruficeps (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

There are only three specimens amongst the old material in the British Museum: two males from the Philippines and one female with the labels 'E. Ind' and '43:43' as well as Smith's mss. label 'ruficeps Smith type'. The notation '43:43' refers to an accession book which shows the specimen came from 'Moulmein, E. India' i.e. Burma. Since the typical subspecies, well-characterized by its cupreo-violaceous

wings in both sexes, is widely distributed over the Philippines, Java, Burma, eastern India, and elsewhere, it seems very likely that the female from Moulmein is actually the specimen from which Smith drew his description, and that he merely failed to publish that locality in addition to the Philippines from which his males came. Betrem, 1928: 217, wrote 'Holotype Smith's Philippinen, B.M.  $\[mathbb{Q}'$ , but there is no female from the Philippines to be found and he and I are forced to conclude that he had reference to the Moulmein female, and that recording it from the Philippines was an error in his notes, which unfortunately were destroyed during the war.

[Smith published an eight-line description of the female, and only a two-line description of the male. Although he gave only the Philippines as locality, his female did not come from there, because he stated for that sex 'Wings dark fuscous, and having a bright coppery effulgence, dashed with purple in certain lights'. This is true of all Asian females, but not those from the Philippines, the wings of which have a blue-violet effulgence. This species has been split into a number of subspecies in the East Indies. Philippine material is of a subspecies different from the Asian.

From these considerations I hereby designate the female from Moulmein to be the LECTOTYPE. It bears the following labels: (1) '43/43', on the reverse 'E. Indies', the number means: 'Six Hymenoptera, E. Indies (Moulmein) purchased from Archdeacon Clerk'; (2) 'ruficeps Sm. type'; (3) a white label with red margin 'type'; (4) a red label 'lectotype' attached by Bradley in 1929; (5) 'B.M. type 15.1889'. The wings of the lectotype are more bronze, without purple. This involves a correction of the published type-locality.

The subspecifically different males bear the label '55/77' meaning: '6 Hymenop-

tera. Philippine Isl. purchased from Cuming'. J.G.B., July, 1966].

#### 60. rufipes

1855. Scolia rufipes Smith, &: 95. 'Hab. Port Natal. B.M.' nec Illiger, 1802. 1864. Scolia (Discolia) rufipes Saussure & Sichel, \( \rho, \delta \): 91, n. 75. = 1964. Scolia (Discolia) rufipes Smith, teste Betrem & Bradley: 95, n. 118.

This is a species which I cannot at present place. An unique male in the British Museum labelled 'Port Natal, 52–79' and bearing Smith's mss. label 'rufipes Sm. Type' is the holotype. It is not advisable to rename this nominal species before its synonymy is known.

#### 61. senex

1855. Scolia senex Smith, 3: 94. 'Hab. Cape of Good Hope (Coll. Saunders, Esq.)'. = 1864. Scolia (Discolia) senex Smith, Saussure & Sichel: 98, n. 87.

There is only one male in the Saunders collection. It bears a label 'S. incana mss. C. G. H. Drege' (i.e. Cape of Good Hope), and a mss. label, not written by Smith, that it is Scolia senex Sm. I have labelled it 'Holotype'.

#### 62. signata

1855. Scolia signata Smith, Q: 105. 'Hab. South Africa (Gambia). B.M. 'nec Panzer, 1799.

1864. Elis (Dielis) signata Saussure & Sichel, ?Q: 176, n. 180.

1906. Scolia (Dielis) labilis Schulz n.n., Spolia hymen.: 164.

= Campsomeris (Megameris) labilis (Schulz) Betrem, comb. n.

The holotype, an unique female, is in the British Museum marked 'type' on Smith's mss. pin-label. [This specimen bears four labels, as follows: 'B.M. Type Hym. 15.1355', 'Holotype signata Smith teste 1928, J. C. Bradley', 'signata type, Smith' 'Gambia'. J.G.B.] It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1227.

#### [Description of the type of Scolia signata Smith

Q. Black; mandibles and anterior margin of the clypeus dark-brown; the following parts yellow; the apical half of tergite 2(1), the apical 2/3 of tergite 3(2), except for dark lateral incisions, and the apical 2/3 of tergite 4(3), narrowed laterad at halfway from the centre. Vestiture almost white except for the setae on abdominal segments 5(4) and following, those on the sides of tergite 4(3) and the fringes of sternites 3(2) and 4(3). Wings yellow-hyaline; veins brown, the costa and subcosta very dark. Longer apical spur of tibiae III somewhat spatulate but not very broad, dark brown; spines of tibiae almost black.

The structure is quite like that of *Campsomeris soleata* (Gerstaecker), but is more punctate between the ocellar triangle and the upper part of the eyes; the mesoscutum medially is more impunctate, the scutellum and metanotum have fewer punctures, the latter being rather sparsely punctate. The very sharp crest of the mesopleura is notable.

Described 5th July, 1964 from the holotype in the British Museum. J.G.B.]

#### 63. *soror*

- 1845. Scolia cyanipennis Lepeletier, Q, Hist. nat. ins. Hymen. 3: 524, n. 7. A misidentification of Scolia cyanipennis Fabricius, 1804.
- 1855. Scolia soror Smith: 96, n. 50, n.n.
- 1855. Scolia viridipennis Smith; 96, n. 52, n.n.
- 1864. Scolia (Discolia) soror Saussure & Sichel: 126, n. 131.
- 1928. Scolia (Austroscolia) soror Betrem, ♀, ♂: 213.
- = Austroscolia soror (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

Four lines apart on p. 96 of his catalogue Smith rechristened Lepeletier's misidentification of *cyanipennis* Fabr. first *soror*, then *viridipennis*. Lepeletier, p. 254, printed a description of a female from 'Port-Jackson, Nouvelle Holland' which he misidentified as *cyanipennis* F. He did not use *cyanipennis* as a name for a new species, homonym of *cyanipennis* F., but the description that he gave applies to a species that had not at that time been given a name of its own. Consequently there is neither holotype nor syntypes of *cyanipennis* Lepeletier, because the type of that nominal species is Fabrician.

The type-material of both soror and viridipennis must be identical, and can only be that upon which Lepeletier's new description of what he misidentified as cyanipennis was based. In searching for Lepeletier's types, I paid no attention to cyanipennis for that was not one of Lepeletier's nominal species. However, Saussure & Sichel, p. 126, referred to material from 'Nova Hollandia' in 'Musea Guérinianum, Parisiense, Saussurianum.'. Some of these collections may contain Lepeletier's specimens, especially Paris. But search must also be made in Turin.

Which name, soror or viridipennis, shall be retained has been settled by Saussure

& Sichel, 1864, as first revisers. They adopted soror.

The use of two new names by Smith for Scolia cyanipennis, sense of Lepeletier, not of Fabricius, may be explained by the fact that he had before him two forms: the one that he called soror has wings with blue effulgence, the other that he called viridipennis has wings with green effulgence. Wings of the latter type occur among subspecies of Austroscolia nitida Smith, a form of which occurs in Australia, but I have no specimens from there for comparison.

I was unable to find a specimen in the British Museum that Smith had labelled

viridipennis. J.G.B., September, 1966.]

[I am uncertain about the real identity of Scolia cyanipennis in the sense of Lepeletier, not Fabricius. As long as the type is unknown we are agreed that it is better to accept my interpretation (1928, p. 213) which is based on specimens in my collection.

There is only one specimen of soror, a male, in the British Museum that predates the establishing of the name soror; it bears the following labels: (1) '63/56' with 'Sydney, NSW.' on the reverse, the numerals meaning also: 'NSW. Sydney pres. by Dr. Andrew St. Clair'; (2) 'cyanipennis St. F. soror Sm. of Smith coll.'.

The mesonotum in soror is entirely, very remotely, punctate. [.G.B., July, 1966].

#### 64. specifica

1855. Scolia specifica Smith, Q: 89. 'Hab. India (Coll. W. W. Saunders, Esq.)'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) specfica Saussure & Sichel, Q: 89, n. 13.

1928. Scolia (Scolia) decorata specfica Betrem, ♀: 322.

1964. Scolia (Discolia) decorata Betrem & Bradley: 93, n. 66.

= Scolia (Discolia) decorata specfica Smith.

Betrem has indicated that a female in the British Museum is the holotype, but it is only a syntype. Smith stated that his description is based on material in the Saunders collection. There is an unique female in the Saunders collection which it is necessary to designate LECTOTYPE and I hereby do so.

An interrupted yellow line on the shoulders of the type, mentioned by Smith, throws the species into couplet 39a on p. 260 of Betrem's key, 1928. Sc. histrionica [= japonica] also comes under couplet 39a.

#### 65. speciosa

1858. Scolia speciosa Smith, Q: 90. 'Hab. Sarawak'.

1864. Scolia (Triscolia) speciosa Saussure & Sichel, Q: 44, n. 17.

1928. Scolia (Megascolia) speciosa Betrem, Q, 3: 243.

= 1964a. Megascolia (Megascolia) speciosa (Smith) Betrem & Bradley: 441, n. 4.

The holotype (so labelled by Betrem, although he does not mention it in his monograph, 1928: 243) is in the Saunders collection. It is an unique female labelled 'Sar' and bearing Smith's mss. label 'Scolia speciosa Sm.'. It agrees exactly with Smith's description.

#### 66. subobscura

1845. Colpa infuscata Lepeletier, '♀' (recte ♂) Hist. nat. ins. Hymen., 3:537, n. 4, nec Klug, 1832. Cayenne.

1854. Scolia infuscata Burmeister, ♀: 23, n. 23.

1855. Scolia subobscura Smith: 102, n. n. for infuscata Lepeletier.

1855. Scolia vitripennis Smith, &: 108. 'Hab. Brazil (Amazonas) Coll. W. W. Saunders, Esq.'.

1864. Elis (Dielis) infuscata Saussure & Sichel: 215, n. 230.

1864. Elis (Dielis) vitripennis Saussure & Sichel, d: 216, n. 231.

= 1957. Campsomeris (Campsomeris) vitripennis (Smith) Bradley: 75.

Subobscura was a new name for *infuscata* Lep., hence based on Lepeletier's type. In 1957, as first reviser, I selected *vitripennis* as the valid name of the species, rejecting *subobscura*. For a full discussion of this case see Bradley, 1964a: 106.

#### 67. terminata

1859. Scolia terminata Smith, 3: 10. 'Hab. Celebes'.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) terminata Saussure & Sichel, 3: 124, n. 127.

1928. Campsomeris (Campsomeris) marginella terminata Betrem, ♀, ♂: 137.

1937. Campsomeris marginella terminata Betrem, 3: 92.

1941. Campsomeris (Campsomeriella) marginella terminata Betrem: 90.

= Campsomeris (Micromeris) marginella terminata (Smith) Betrem.

The holotype is an unique male labelled 'Mak' in the Saunders collection. This label refers to Makassar and not Makian. This specimen bears Smith's mss. label 'Scolia terminata Sm.' and Betrem's holotype label. It runs to 'marginella subspecies terminata' in Betrem's key, 1928: 79, couplet 47a. Betrem (1937: 93) has published a revised key. Betrem, from a re-examination of the type, notes that the scutellum and metanotum are yellow, and that the band on tergite 3(2) turns abruptly forward at each side; compare also minuta.

#### 68. undulata

1775. Tiphia tricincta Fabricius, &, Syst. ent.: 354, n. 6.

1855. Scolia undulata Smith, 9: 104. 'Hab. Africa (Coll. F. Smith.)'.

1864. Elis (Dielis) undulata Saussure & Sichel, ♀, ♂: 175, n. 179.

1927. Campsomeris tricincta Rohwer, 9: 153.

1957. Campsomeris (Xanthocampsomeris) tricincta Bradley: 71.

= Campsomeris (Xanthocampsomeris) tricincta (Fabricius) Rohwer.

Two females from the Smith collection are in the British Museum, labelled respectively in the same mss. 'Afr.' and 'W. Afr.'. The former also bears Kirby's mss. blue label 'undulata Sm.' and a museum type-label '15.1361'. It agrees with the description, while the other varies in detail of colour markings and has black antennae. I have labelled the former 'Holotype'. One antenna is broken at the third segment and the other is lacking. The three segments are rufous, infuscated above. The locality is false, for the type is a specimen of the West Indian tricincta.

#### 69. ventralis

1873. Scolia ventralis Smith, J: 186. 'Hab. Hiogo (Japan)'.

1928. Campsomeris ventralis Betrem, &: 337.

1941. Scolia (Scolioides) histrionica ventralis Betrem, ♀, ♂: 165.

= Scolia (Discolia) decorata ventralis Smith.

[The holotype is in the British Museum, it bears the museum number '15.1333', 'Ihogo' [sic!] 'Japan, Scolia ventralis Smith type 3', 'type', and 'Smith collection 79-22'. J.G.B.]

#### 70. venusta

1855. Scolia venusta Smith,  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$ : 90. 'Hab India (Coll. Saunders, Esq.)'. = 1864. Scolia (Discolia) venusta Smith, teste Saussure & Sichel,  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$ : 120, n. 120.

1928. Scolia (Scolia) venusta, ♀, ♂ Betrem: 292.

1941. Scolia (Scolioides) venusta Betrem: 147.

There are a male and a female in the Saunders collection, labelled 'Ind' and a female labelled 'Ceylon'. Betrem, 1928: 292, referred to the female from India as 'Holotype' but since the species was described from both sexes it is only a syntype. I hereby designate it LECTOTYPE, and have so labelled it.

#### 71. viridipennis

1845. Scolia cyanipennis Lepeletier, ♀: 524, n. 7, nec Fabricius.

1855. Scolia soror Smith: 96, n. 50, n. n.

1855. Scolia viridipennis Smith: 96, n. 52, n. n.

1864. Scolia (Discolia) soror Saussure & Sichel, ♀, ♂: 126, n. 131.

= Austroscolia soror (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

See under '63 soror' for details of this case.

#### 72. vitripennis

1845. Colpa infuscata Lepeletier, 'Q' (recte 3): 357, n. 4, nec Klug, 1832.

1854. Scolia infuscata Burmeister, ♀: 33, n. 33.

1855. Scolia subobscura Smith: 102, n. n. for infuscata Lep., nec Klug.

1855. Scolia vitripennis Smith, 3: 105. 'Hab. Brazil (Amazonas) (Coll. W. W. Saunders, Esq.)'.

1864. Elis (Dielis) infuscata Saussure & Sichel, '♀' (recte ♂): 215, n. 230.

1864. Elis (Dielis) vitripennis Saussure & Sichel, 3: 216, n. 231.

= 1957. Campsomeris (Campsomeris) vitripennis (Smith) Bradley: 75.

The holotype, which I have so labelled, is in the Saunders collection. It bears a label: 'Amaz.' and agrees with the description. For a detailed discussion of this case, see Bradley, 1964b: 106. Also see under *infuscata* Smith, above.

[There are also two males in the British Museum, one incorrectly labelled type. They are: (A) labelled (I) 'Mex. 61/II8'; (2) 'vitripennis Sm. type'; (B) labelled 'Para, 70/I6'. Neither can be a syntype, since they were obtained by the British Museum later than 1855. J.G.B., July, 1966].

#### 73. vivida

1855. Scolia vivida Smith, ♂: 89. 'Hab. Madras, B.M.'. = 1864. Scolia (Discolia) vivida Smith, teste Saussure & Sichel, ♂: 123, n. 125. 1928. Scolia (Scolia) vivida Betrem, ♀, ♂: 329.

[There are two males in the British Museum. I hereby designate the LECTOTYPE to be the one bearing the following labels: (1) 'Madras'; (2) '99/303' which means 'Smith coll. presented by Mrs. Farren-White'; (3) 'Lectotype', label attached by Betrem, 1966. It is registered as B.M. Type, Hym. 15.1429. The second specimen, without a label, is probably a syntype. J.G.B., July, 1966].

#### 74. zonata

1855. Scolia zonata Smith, ♀, ♂: 116. 'Hab. New Holland. B.M.'.

1864. Elis (Trielis) zonata Saussure & Sichel,  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$ : 141, n. 150.

1928. Campsomeris (Pseudotrielis) zonata Betrem, ♀, ♂: 83.

= Trisciloa (Pseudotrielis) zonata (Smith) Betrem, comb. n.

A female in the British Museum bears a museum type-label '15.1310', a label '56/94' (which means 'Between Sydney and Moreton Bay or in S. Australia') and Smith's mss. label reading 'Zonata Sm. Type'. I hereby designate this female to be the LECTOTYPE and have so labelled it. Betrem, 1928: 84, called it 'Holotype' but the species was described from two sexes without specification of the type.

[There is one female of *Scolia zonata* in the American Museum of Natural History. It bears the following labels: (I) 'Austr.' on a round blue label, characteristic for Smith; (2) 'Collection J. Angus 346'; (3) '*Scolia zonata* Sm.'; (4) 'Australia'. It came from the collection of J. Angus, along with the presumptive holotype of *Sc. apicata*, referred to above. This female may be regarded as an additional syntype. J.G.B.]

#### REFERENCES

- Betrem, Johan George. 1928. Monographie der indo-australischen Scoliiden (Hym. Acul.) mit zoogeographischen Betrachtungen. *Treubia* 9, suppl., 388 pp., 5 pl.
- —— 1933. Die Scoliiden der indoaustralischen und paläarktischen Region aus dem Staatlichen Museum für Tierkunde zu Dresden (Hym.). Stettin. ent. Ztg 94: 236–263.
- —— 1938. Die Scoliiden-fauna van Celebes en haar ontstaan. *Hand. ned.-indisch. natuurw. Congr.* Soerabaya 8:357–360.
- —— 1941. Étude systématique des Scoliidae de Chine et leurs relations avec les autres groupes de Scoliidae. *Notes Ent. chin.* 8:45–188.
- —— 1947. Analyse van enkele fauna-elementen van de Maleische Scoliiden. *Tijdschr. Ent.*, 1945 (1947), Feestbundel **88**: 409–416.
- —— 1962. The taxon Trielis (Hym. Scoliidae) and its type. Ent. News 73: 146.
- —— 1967. The natural groups of Campsomeriella Betrem, 1941 (Hymenoptera Scoliidae). Ent. Ber., Amst. 27: 25-29.
- & Bradley, James Chester. 1964. Annotations on the genera *Triscolia*, *Megascolia* and *Scolia* (Hymenoptera: Scoliidae). *Zoöl. Meded*. First part, **39**: 433–444. Second part, **40**: 89–96.

- Bradley, James Chester. 1945. The Scoliidae (Hymenoptera) of northern South America, with especial reference to Venezuela. 1. The genus Campsomeris. Boln Ent. venez. 4: 1-36.
- --- 1950. The most primitive Scoliidae. Eos, Madr., Tomo extraordinario: 427-438.
- —— 1957. The taxa of *Campsomeris* (Hymenoptera-Scoliidae) occurring in the New World. Trans. Am. ent. Soc. 83: 65-77.
- —— 1964a. Further notes on the American taxa of *Campsomeris* (Hymenoptera: Scoliidae). *Ent. News* **75**: 101–108.
- —— & Betrem, Johan George. 1964. The Fabrician types of Scoliidae (Hymenoptera) with notes and an appendix by J. G. Betrem. Spolia zool. Mus. haun. 21: 38 pp.
- —— 1966. Burmeister's work on Scoliidae with especial reference to types and synonymy. Beitr. Ent. 16: 73-84.
- Burmeister, Hermann Carl Conrad. 1854. Bemerkungen über den allgemeinen Bau und die Geschlechtsunterschiede bei den Arten der Gattung Scolia Fabricius. Abh. naturforsch. Ges. Halle 1: 1–46.
- Dalla Torre, Karl Wilhelm Von. 1897. Catalogus Hymenopterorum hucusque descriptorum systematicus et synonymicus 8. Lipsiae.
- KROMBEIN, KARL VON VORST. 1963. The Scoliidae of New Guinea, Bismarck Archipelago and Solomon Islands. Nova Guinea, Zoology, 22: 543-651.
- LEPELETIER, AMÉDÉE LOUIS MICHEL, Comte de Saint-Fargeau. 1845. Histoire naturelle des insectes. Hyménoptères, 3. Paris.
- MICHA, ILSEMARIE. 1927. Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Scoliiden (Hym. Acul.) (Liacos Guér., Diliacos Sauss. Sich., und Triscolia Sauss. Sich.). Mitt. zool. Mus. Berl. 13: 1-156.
- ROHWER, SIEVERT ALLEN. 1927. Some scoliid wasps from tropical America. *Proc. Wash. Acad. Sci.* 17: 150-155.
- SAUSSURE, HENRI DE & JULES SICHEL. 1864. Catalogus specierum generis Scolia (sensu latiori). Genève et Paris.
- SMITH, FREDERICK. 1852. Descriptions of some Hymenopterous insects captured in India, with notes on their economy, by Ezra T. Downes, Esq., who presented them to the Honourable the East India Company. *Ann. Mag. nat. Hist.* (2) 9:44-50.
- —— 1855. Catalogue of Hymenopterous insects in the collection of the British Museum. Part III. Mutillidae. 206 pp., 6 pls. London, Trustees of the British Museum.<sup>8</sup>
- —— 1858. Catalogue of the Hymenopterous insects collected at Sarawak, Borneo; Mount Ophir, Malacca; and at Singapore, by A. R. Wallace. J. Linn. Soc. Lond. (Zool.) 2:42–130, 2 pl.
- —— 1859. Catalogue of Hymenopterous insects collected at Celebes by Mr. A. R. Wallace. Loc. cit. 3: 4-27.
- —— 1859. Catalogue of the Hymenopterous insects collected by Mr. A. R. Wallace at the islands of Aru and Key. *Loc. cit.* **3**: 132-178.
- —— 1861. Catalogue of Hymenopterous insects collected by Mr. A. R. Wallace in the islands of Bachian, Kaisaa, Amboyna, Gilolo, and at Dory in New Guinea. *Loc. cit.* 5:93-143, 1 pl.
- --- 1862. Catalogue of Hymenopterous insects collected by Mr. A. R. Wallace in the islands of Ceram, Celebes, Ternate, and Gilolo. *Loc. cit.* 6: 36-66, 1 pl.
- —— 1863. Notes on the geographical distribution of the aculeate Hymenoptera collected by Mr. A. R. Wallace in the Eastern Archipelago. *Loc. cit.* 7: 109-145.
- —— 1864. Catalogue of Hymenopterous insects collected by Mr. A. R. Wallace in the islands of Mysol, Ceram, Waigiou, Bouru, and Timor. *Loc. cit.* 7:48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> [I did not find the exact date of publication known in the British Museum, but the preface is dated March 7, 1855. J.G.B.]

- SMITH, FREDERICK. 1865. Descriptions of new species of Hymenopterous insects from the islands of Sumatra, Sula, Gilolo, Salwatty, and New Guinea, collected by Mr. A. R. Wallace. *Loc. cit.* 8: 61–94, 1 pl.
- —— 1868. Descriptions of aculeate Hymenoptera from Australia. Trans. ent. soc. Lond. 1868: 231-258.
- —— 1871. A catalogue of the aculeate Hymenoptera and Ichneumonidae of India and the Eastern Archipelago. J. Linn. Soc. Lond. (Zool.) 11:285-415.
- —— 1873. Descriptions of aculeate Hymenoptera of Japan, collected by Mr. George Lewis at Nagasaki and Hiogo. *Trans. ent. soc. Lond.*: 181–206.
- —— 1879. Descriptions of new species of Hymenoptera in the collection of the British Museum. xxii, 240 pp. London, Trustees of the British Museum.
- Tuijn, P. 1961. Annotated list of the Scoliidae of New Guinea in the Leiden Museum (Hymenoptera). Nova Guinea (n.s.) 10: 227-244.
- Wallace, Alfred Russel. 1869. The Malay Archipelago: The land of the orang-utan, and the bird of paradise. A narrative of travel, with studies of man and nature. London, Macmillan and Co., 2 vols.

