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## PREFACE.

Although the grammatical system of Old Irish is now fairly well established, very little systematic work has as yet been done at the grammar of the later periods of the language. It had long been my intention to undertake some investigations on the history of the Irish language, and accordingly, when, on the lamented death of the late Professor Strachan, Professor Kuno Meyer suggested to me to take up "The Language of the Annals of Ulster" as a subject for a dissertation for the M.A. degree of Manchester University, I thought it well to treat the material at my disposal from an historical point of view. Whilst keeping this before me, I have not in the present volume deemed it advisable to deal with the whole of Oldand Early Middle-Irish grammar beyond comparing the material of the Annals with that of contemporary documents. In the course of the work, I endeavour to show that the Annals, from the early eighth century onwards, consist of a chronicle taken from contemporary records, and so may be expected to afford a means of dating various Irish documents from the eighth to the eleventh century.

Before proceeding to thank those from whom I have received kind assistance and encouragement, I wish, first of all, to place on record my indebtedness to the great scholar to whose memory this book is dedicated, for a valuable training in the scientific study of Old Irish, and for his many important papers on Irish grammar which have served as my guide.

My best thanks are due to Professor Kuno Meyer, of

Liverpool, who suggested to me the subject of this dissertation, and whose great knowledge of Irish has always been at my disposal. He has also been so kind as to read through the proofs of the book, and to make many important corrections.

I have further to record my sincere thanks to Professor Thurneysen of Freiburg i./B., and Professor Zimmer of Berlin, both of whom read a considerable part of the manuscript, and made many valuable suggestions. To the work of these scholars in the department of Irish philology I have in the course of the book often referred. As the present volume was in the hands of the printer before the former's "Handbuch des Alt-irischen" appeared, the references to this invaluable book are much fewer than would otherwise have been the case.

Last, but not least, I wish to express my very especial thanks to Dr. E. C. Quiggin, of Caius College, Cambridge, who has read carefully through nearly the whole of the manuscript and all the proofs, making several important corrections and suggestions.

I have also to thank Professor Tout for kindly taking charge, on the part of the Publication Committee of the University of Manchester, of the printing of the thesis, and for his solicitude for the success of the work.

I have further to thank the authorities of the Library of Trinity College, Dublin, and of the Bodleian Library, Oxford, for their kindness in placing the MSS. of the Annals at my disposal.

Whilst thanking the above-mentioned for a good deal of valuable aid ungrudgingly given, I deem it my duty to state that, for the general accuracy of the work and for any theories put forward, I am alone responsible.

TOMÁS Ó MÁILLE.
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## THE LANGUAGE OF THE ANNALS OF ULSTER.

## I. INTRODUCTION.

§ I. In the following dissertation I propose to give some account of the language of the Annals of Ulster from the earliest entries down to about A.D. Io50.

Professor Kuno Meyer was the first to draw attention ${ }^{1}$ to the importance of the Ulster Annals as a help in dating the various changes that took place in Old Irish, inasmuch as these Annals are taken from contemporary documents from the seventh century onwards, and represent more or less faithfully the Old and Early Irish ${ }^{2}$ sources from which they were compiled. Hence, when carefully investigated, the Annals will show the development the language underwent during the Old and Middle Irish periods. In this way they serve, so to speak, as a history of the Irish language as well as a history of Ireland.

Dr. Meyer was preparing a collection of the nominal forms to form the nucleus of a history of Old and Middle Irish declension. The late Professor Strachan was, at the same time, engaged in working at the language of the Annals, when, to the great grief and loss of all Irish scholars, death so abruptly took him away. Dr. Meyer suggested that I should take in hand the treatment of the whole subject. He kindly lent me the material he had collected, and Dr. Strachan's notes ${ }^{3}$ and collections were also, through the kindness of Mrs.

[^0]Strachan, placed in my hands. Thus it became my mournful privilege to continue the work of my former teacher, Professor Strachan.
§ 2. I have arranged the work in the following order: (i) The sources of the Annals, (2) Orthography, (3) Phonology (an investigation of the dates of the various vowel and consonant changes in Old Irish), (4) Declension, (5) the Verb (including Infixed Pronoun), (6) Syntax. ${ }^{1}$ In addition, I propose to add as an appendix a critical edition of the quotations in verse scattered throughout the Annals, together with a translation.

## THE MANUSCRIPTS.

§ 3. In preparing the thesis, I have collated Hennessy's edition down to A.D. 1000 with its two sources :-
(1) H i. 8, a vellum MS. in the library of Trinity College, Dublin, to which I refer as H .
(2) The Rawlinson MS. B 489, a vellum MS. in the Bodleian Library at Oxford. This I indicate by R.

In the Trinity copy ${ }^{2}$ it is possible to distinguish three different hands : A, the original hand in which the bulk of the entries are written; B, a second hand in which some of both the interlinear and marginal glosses are written; ${ }^{3} \mathrm{C}$, a continuation of the paragraph in a late hand. The entries in this (C) hand are, as a rule, very late. The scribe of C is also responsible for some of the marginal and interlinear
to 1 roo, which he intended to arrange later. The verbs from 800 onwards he had collated with the MSS.
${ }^{1}$ The syntax I do not deal with in the present part.
${ }^{2}$ I only refer here to the portion of the "Annals " prior to A.D. Ioso.
${ }^{3}$ Hennessy often prints the whole text consecutively, both original hand and glosses, without drawing any distinction between the different hands though he occasionally refers to them in the notes. In making this remark, I do not wish to belittle Hennessy's edition which has a good many excellent points, but which, from a scientific point of view, leaves much room for improvement. An edition of the earlier portion to about rioo showing in different type the glosses, and later additions in H r. 8, with expansion of contractions indicated, is greatly to be desired.
glosses. I treat only of such entries as are in the original hand (in H), except that I sometimes use the other entries for purposes of comparison.

In the Rawlinson MS. the entries corresponding to A and C (of the Trinity MS.) are, as a rule, written in one continuous hand, and there are, in addition, a few interlinear and marginal glosses. Some of the marginal and interlinear glosses of H are also written in the body of the text in R. ${ }^{1}$ As R contains many old forms ${ }^{2}$ not preserved in H, I conclude that R was based on H , with, however, the help of some of the original sources for checking the correctness of the work, although it is possible that the scribe of R may have corrected some of the scribal blunders of H by a comparison of the entries themselves.
§4. The text of the Annals is drawn from different sources. Sources actually mentioned are the Book of Cuanu, the Book of Dubdalethe, and a writer, Mochta. To these for the present I shall merely refer. ${ }^{3}$ It is clear that these different sources are, in part, responsible for the variation of the language of the entries in the earlier periods. Another source of confusion is the occasional modernization ${ }^{4}$ of the entries by the Middle Irish scribe. The Middle Irish scribe who was familiar with a certain form of a name in the language of his own time would be liable to write down inadvertently this late form when copying Old Irish entries. He would, as in the case Amalngado referred to, be less liable to tamper with a name, with the form of which he was not familiar.
${ }^{1}$ For instance the entry at the year 752 mil mor dorala dochum tire, which in H is added on the margin in a different hand, is written in R in the same hand as the rest of the entry.
${ }^{2}$ For example at 717, 72 (gloss), H has g. Aedha, R has Aedo. Cf. also the writing nares 8 ro.
${ }^{3}$ The Book of Cuanu is mentioned at $467,468,47 \mathrm{r}$ and down to 628 . See Zimmer, "Nennius Vindicatus," p. 250. I shall endeavour to deal more fully with this subject in the next section (v. "Sources of the Annals ").
${ }^{4}$ A striking instance of this modernization is the entry Mors Aengusa mic Amalngado at the year 592. Here Aengusa is a very late form (O. Ir. Oengusso, Oingusso), whilst the other part of the name Amalngado might be taken as the correct form of the name at the beginning of the Old Irish period. Such modernization, however, as will be seen, is very rare and seems to occur only in the case of very common names. Cf. further diphthongs oe, ae, and writings such as Ceallach, etc.

In view of this possibility of confusion of forms one must check the evidence of the Annals, step by step, by the various Old and Middle Irish documents which can be approximately dated.

Even where it is not possible to work out from the text an approximately exact date for a certain change, as in the case of $o$ and $-a$ in the genitive singular of $u$ - and $i$-stems, I hope the material collected and arranged will still be of value as showing what was actually written and what was possible in the orthography of the Annals, and other Old Irish documents at a particular date.

When all the various changes here discussed are taken into account it will be possible to decide with more or less certainty the date of Old or Early Middle Irish texts and to estimate with greater accuracy their relative value or authenticity.

I have in the course of the work compared forms from various sources, but it is for the present impossible to note all the instances which occur of an interesting Old Irish form. A careful consideration of the Old Irish texts in LU such as Compert Conculaind and Fled Bricrenn, and Longes mac n-Usnig, Táin Bó Fráich, etc., in LL. with a view to deciding how far the text has been tampered with by the compiler, would be sure to yield valuable results.
85. I give, throughout, the dates of the Annals themselves, which are antedated by one year from 486 till ior 3 (cf. MacCarthy, Introduction to the Annals, xcvi. seq.) and not the corrected date. The years 891 to 1012 are corrected in the MS. (H I. 8) and IOI 3 is a blank, after which they bear the correct date.

## II. THE SOURCES OF THE ANNALS.

§6. The Annals of Ulster are compiled from various sources. The investigation of the sources is a difficult problem, and though a good deal of light can be thrown on the subject by a consideration of the language itself, still much remains that is not clear. The Annals, as we have them, were compiled by Cathal Mac Maghnusa Mheg Uidhir, ${ }^{1}$ who died in 1498 at Senaid, L. Erne, and were taken from earlier compilations. After his death they were continued to 1504 in the MS. H I. 8 and to $1588^{2}$ in R 489. At 1498 they contain the entry of Mag Uidhir's own death: "Mac Maghnusa Mheg Uidhir do ég in bliadhain-si idon Cathal og Mac Cathail . . . in nech robui lan do rath 7 do ecna in gach uile eladhain co haimsir a eitsechta eter dlighedh 7 diaghacht, fhisighecht 7 fhellsaime 7 ealadhain Gaedhilge airchena 7 nech ro chumdaigh 7 ro theglaim 7 ro thinol an leabhar-su a leabhraibh ilimdai ailibh. . . . Ocus tabrad gach nech dia leghfa ind lebur-sa 7 dia foighena a bennacht for an anmain sin mic Maghnusa." "Mac Maghnusa Mag Uidhir died this year, that is Cathal $\sigma \mathrm{g}$ son of Cathal . . . a man full of good qualities and knowledge in every science, both law and divinity, physic and philosophy, and a scientific knowledge of Irish besides, who planned out and compiled and collected this book from several other books. ... And let every one who will read this book and to whom it will be of service bestow a blessing on the soul of Mac Maghnusa." This eulogy was certainly deserved, for Mag Uidhir succeeded remarkably well in an extremely difficult task and did his work in a scientific
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ I. 8 from fol. 49 (A.D. III5) onwards is written in a different hand to the earlier portion. From ro5i to 1115 some of the entries are either re-inked or written in different ink, which gives the appearance of a different hand. The earlier portion was presumably written by Mag Uidhir himself, who when he had got to the twelfth century probably considered the matter plain sailing and handed over the writing to some scribes.
${ }^{2}$ With several intermissions.
manner from the point of view both of language and history, which reflects credit on the Irish scholarship of his time.
§ 7. The book, as said, is a compilation, and moreover makes use of an earlier compilation or compilations. This double compilation would naturally be a source of confusion, but when we come to compare the forms from year to year and from decade to decade it is surprising how remarkably free from errors the work is, and how clearly the development of forms can be seen as time goes on. But this is not the case from the first. During the very early period the language is comparatively late, and can be shown in some cases to belong to the late ninth century-some entries at least being as late as this and others not later. After a time, towards the end of the sixth century, the language becomes distinctly older, and during the seventh century we have occasional old entries side by side with later ones. The obvious conclusion from this is that when, during this early period, the compiler had old entries for a year he left them unchanged, hence when we come to the period where all the entries belong to the language of the time it is clear that the compiler was drawing altogether from contemporary chronicles or documents. Further, we must conclude that MagUidhir, during the Old and Early Irish period, reproduced what he had got before him with occasional slips, some of which are corrected in the R manuscript. How far Mag Uidhir is responsible for the later form of a familiar name ${ }^{1}$ during the Early Old Irish period is not clear.
§ 8. The period when the language of the consecutive entries for each year ${ }^{2}$ begins to be contemporary is, as will be seen, the last few years of the seventh century. This can be proved by a comparison of the language of the entries of this and the following decade or so with texts which can be dated with comparative accuracy, such as Adamnán's Life of Columba and Muirchu Maccu Machtheni's Memoirs of St. Patrick, and ${ }^{3}$ Tirechán's notes in the Book of

[^1]Armagh, etc. After this the gradual and regular changes of the forms from time to time show by abundant examples that we are dealing with the language of contemporary chronicles. This is further borne out by a comparison of the entries with various Old Irish documents whose forms show them to be written at different periods, and which have already been approximately dated. To make this clearer I shall deal in full with the forms from 700 onwards. During the seventh century we have occasional entries which possibly go back to the time at which they are inserted, i.e. about the middle of the seventh century. I give a list of these, and shall deal with them later. Only in one case (viz., $\$ \$ 128,129$ ) do they serve to give an approximate date for a change. Outside this they are too rare to be of much value and cannot serve to fix an inferior limit.
§ 9. Up to the end of the Old Irish period, with the exception of the verse quotations, the great bulk of the Annals are in Latin. The names, as in the case of the Vita Columbae and the Book of Armagh, preserve in most cases the Irish declension. The Annals are dated according to the Anno Domini system. This points to the first compilation as being later than the time of Beda who was the first to use this system in Western Europe. This does not prevent the record of the events themselves belonging to a much older period written according to Anno Mundi and transferred according to some well-known landmark to the new system.
§ io. Among the books and writings made use of in the compilation of the Annals are the following: those of a writer, Maucteus or Mochta, who is also mentioned in Adamnán's Vita Columbae: ${ }^{1}$ "quidam proselytus Brito, homo sanctus, sancti Patricii discipulus Maucteus nomine". His death is mentioned at 534 which seems late.

Foreign works mentioned are the histories of Isidorus, Marcellinus and Beda. These are all quoted in reference to foreign events except the coming of St. Patrick to Ireland. Isidorus is mentioned at $432,583,616$, Marcellinus at $432,449,456,536$. Beda's "Chronicle" is mentioned at $432,440,460$, and he is quoted at 565 , 583,605 , a reference to his "great book" at 711 and his death is
${ }^{1}$ Ed. Reeves, p. 6; Stokes, Tripartite Life of S. Patrick, 227, 498; Theq. ii. 272.
entered in a late hand at 734 . Cf. also quatrain A.D. ro6o, do rèir ro-Beì robuilid.
§ II. The Book of Cuanu is mentioned for the first time at 467 as an authority for the entry Ceha Temhra la h-Ailill Molt (and possibly also for the preceding entry quies Benigni episcopi). Sic in libro Cuanach inveni. The following entry is not in H and is added in a late hand in B. The use of the first person "inueni" points to the compiler Mag Uidhir himself as having the book in his possession unless he was directly quoting an older compiler. At 468 , bellum Dumai Achir. i. for Aillill Molt, sicut inueni in libro Cuanach. This battle is given in a late hand at 474 , and also at 476 . St. Mochtae or Maucteus is mentioned at 47 I as the source of the statement that "the second prey of the Saxons from Ireland was carried off in this year". This the compiler also found in the Book of Cuanu. From this it would appear that Cuanu himself made use of the writings of Maucteus.

The Book of Cuanu is next mentioned at 475, as the source for the entry Bellum Breg hEile re n-Ailill molt. At 482 ut Cuana scripsit is cited in connection with the battle of Oche in Meath and the death of Ailill Molt thereat. At 489 , Cuanu is cited as the source of information regarding the " battle of Cenn Losnado in which fell Oengus, son of Nadfraich ". ${ }^{1}$ At 544, we find : Diarmait regnare incipit secundum librum Cuanach. At 552: sic in libro Cuanach inveni i. Reilci ${ }^{2}$ Patraic do tabairt $i$ scrin tri fichit bliadnae iar n-etsecht Patraic la Colum Cille, etc. It is clear that the language of Cuanu has been altered here. ${ }^{3}$ At 598, "Ailither ab clono maccu Nois. Quies Cainnigh in Achaid bo, ut Cuana docet." At 600, "Sic inveni in libro Cuanach: bellum Slemne 7 bellum Cuile coil 7 pausa Comgaill 7 mors Oddach mic Aeda in isto anno perfecta esse". This is a good instance of the treatment of his sources by the compiler. These entries the scribe does not give in the language of the Book of Cuanu, but collects them and gives them in his own. He writes Aedo, Aeda, but Oddach he leaves unchanged. All these are

[^2]given from a different source, and in different spelling in the following year. At 602, the writer states "omnia que scripta sunt in anno subsequente inveni in libro Cuanach in isto esse perfecta".

At 610, "quies Colmani ${ }^{1}$ Elo. Sic est in libro Cuanach Aed Roin 7 Aedh Laighen." The Book of Cuanu is referred to for the last time at 628 where the Book of Dubdalethe commences. It is noticeable that Cuanu is spelled Cuana in all these entries 482,489 , 72 I , which form is at least as late as the tenth century, while it is spelled Cuanu at $777,817,824$. The spelling Cuana may be due to MagUidhir himself. Who this Cuanu was remains undetermined. Zimmer (Nennius Vindicatus, p. 250, 1888) was of the opinion that he was the same as the King-warrior of Fermoy whose death is mentioned in the Chron. Scottorum, 64r. ${ }^{2}$ He draws this conclusion on the ground that citations from Cuanu deal mainly with Munster affairs. This, as we see, does not hold good, as the entries for which Cuanu is cited deal also with Meath and Leinster and Columcille (north of Ireland). The death is mentioned of a Cuana of Druim Cuilinn (King's Co.) at $72 x$. At $73^{8^{3}}$ there is an entry Cuanu nepos Bessain scriba pausat. This latter Cuanu is more likely to have been the author of the chronicle than the one who died at 64 I , and even he seems rather early for some of the language ${ }^{4}$ attributed to the Book of Cuanu. It is certainly very remarkable that where the Book of Cuanu ceases to be quoted the Book of Dubhdalethe begins. Another Cuanu "sapiens et episcopus" is mentioned at 824 .
§ 12. The Book of Dubdaleithi is mentioned at $628,962,1003$, and for the last time at 1021. At 749 is entered the death of Dubdalethe, Abbot of Cill Scire. His obit is given at 745 by the Four Masters who call him " of the writing," and Hennessy suggested that he was probably the compiler of the book mentioned at 628 . However, under 962 he suggests as compiler of the Book of Dubdal-

[^3]ether the warlike Abbot Dubdalethe of Armagh from 1049 to 1064, and this latter seems more correct if we are to judge by the last entry at 1021. But very often a chronicle was continued after the death of the writer or compiler. Witness the Annals of Tighernach who died A.D. 1088 which were continued down to 1164. And further the Annals of Ulster which were continued after MagUidhir's death. Moreover the Dubdalethe of Armagh (1049-64) was a very warlike personage and not the kind of man one would expect to compile such tedious work as a chronicle. If we could imagine the first Dubdalethe (d. 749) as having begun the book and brought it down to the time of his death after which it was continued till 1021, and that it was afterwards used by the compiler of the present Annals, this hypothesis would well suit the character of the language of the Annals of Ulster. A Dubdaleithe introduces the "Canon of Patrick" into Cruachan in 782, and another Dubdalethe became Abbot of Armagh in 964 (A. U.), who is probably the one referred to in Saltair na Rann (A.D. 987) : ocus Dubdaletha loir . . . sûi cosaidbri segtai rainn osmur maigni meic Alprainn. Hence in the absence of some special reference it is difficult to decide the question.
§ I3. As regards the language in the early periods, I shall, to make the matter clearer, consider in detail the various entries from the beginning of the Annals down to about 700 . As already stated, it will be seen that with the exception of a few rare words and entries, the language during that period cannot as a whole be shown to be older than the last decade of the seventh century, whilst during the same period for the most part it can be proved to be later than the eighth.

The first Irish entry ${ }^{1}$ is cetna brat Saxan di Ere, 434. The nonnasal form of the dative is used for the last time at Ere gor but dat. iar n-Erinn at 916, ${ }^{2}$ Er- 964, Er- 979 (H and R). Cf. Fiacc's Hymn where both MSS. have dond Erinn (Erind). The n-dative seems to have disappeared ${ }^{3}$ in the tenth century. Dat. h-Ere

[^4]occurs Fel. Oen. Jul. 31, d. Ere in Tochmarc Etaine, and Compert Conculainn. For Ere, Poem ${ }^{1}$ on death of Maelsechlainn, 862. The next consecutive entry in Irish is at 438 , Senchus mor do scribunn, where $n n$ should then be $n d .{ }^{2}$ At 444, Ard Macha fundata est, very late for Ard Mach[a]e, at least as late as second half of the ninth century, ${ }^{3}$ identical with the entry in LL24a.

At 445 is entered the death of Nathi mac Fiachrach Mhaighe Tail which is placed with more probability ${ }^{4}$ by the Four Masters at 428. The Annals of Innisfallen also place the event at 446, hence we may conclude that for this entry the Annals of Ulster and the Annals of Innisfallen drew from a common source different from that of the Four Masters. The entry as it stands is written in late Irish orthography, e.g. mhaighe, ag, og, etc. But with slight emendations for old Irish orthography there is nothing in the entry which would not go back to the Early Irish period, except Fiachrach. ${ }^{5}$ The fall of Coerthin[n], son of Cóelub, at 446 corresponds to the entry in the Annals of Innisfallen at 448 .
§ I4. The birth of St. Brigid is given both at 452 and 456, but in the Annals of Innisfallen at 455 . The entry at 453 cathroineadh ria Loeghaire is Middle Irish in orthography and language. The entry cena (alias feis) Temhra apud (alias la) Loeghaire filium Neill at 454 is in the old hand. ${ }^{6}$ If the entry in the original hand was not altered by the compiler of the Annals we have here the language of the ninth century, as the change of $o$ to $a$ took place orthographically during the ninth century. ${ }^{7}$ It cannot be much later, as g. Temra gave way to Temrach in the early tenth century. ${ }^{8}$ The older $g$. Temhro occurs at 461. A similar g. Ailella at 463, and cena Temhra again at 467 .

At 458 is the entry Cath atho Dara for Laighaire re Laighnibh,

[^5]all in correct Old Irish. The -aire of Laighaire is instead of older -uire. The gen. Dara for Daro side by side with Atho must be either due to tampering by the scribe or else written at a time when the final $-o$ and $-a$ were confused, i.e. during the ninth century. The entry at 459 is in a late hand. 462. Eriu 7 Albu. ${ }^{1}$ The last sentence in late hand. 464. ria Laighnibh in different ink. 465. Eogan mac Neill, belongs at the earliest to about the middle of the ninth century. ${ }^{2}$ The change of $-\breve{e}$ to $-\breve{a}$ in second syllable shows that the entry did not belong to the very early period. 467. in libro Cuanach. ${ }^{3}$ 468. Isserninus, called at 439 Serninus. 469 in late hand. 471. Maucteus (i. Mochtae), R. Mocteus. 470. Feis Temra la Ailill Molt. 473. Dornghal, etc., in a late hand. Correct gen. Breg, 475, 477. 476. ria late. 477. g. Tocco. 479. Ianair for the first time, no entry. Before this always Ien-. 481. g. Iarlathi. ${ }^{4}$ 482. Bellum Oche, at least in early eighth century orthography. la Lugaid, etc., in old hand over line. Cuana, notice final a. ${ }^{5}$ This may be due to MagUidhir himself. LL. 24a has bellum Ocha, hence the present entry cannot have been borrowed from it.
482. Cormac ( ${ }^{*}$ Corp-maquos, ${ }^{6}$ Corbo-maquos) is not the old form. 483. Ennai older Endai, Endi. 484. g. Lugdech fairly old. Cf. Oghum Lugudeccas. R has Luğ. 485. Fincath (R Finchat) with final -th in unaccented syllable ${ }^{7}$ preserved. 487. in Ard-Achuth, an old form. 489. Cuana. ${ }^{8}$ 491. Scoiti. 492. Bellum Srotha which the An. Innisfallen have at 485 as Srath Conaill. 493. Cath Taillten a late spelling, cf. 495 Talten; ria also late. 494. Eochu, earlier Echu. 496. g. Ardmacha, very late, at least late ninth century. Mochoei, old, later Mochuae. Cf. Mochoe 498. 497. i crich oa n Gabhla. ${ }^{9}$

[^6]501. Daui (glossed Duach) tinga-umhai. Daui with au preserved is a very old form, and may be as old as the time for which it is entered, though it is also possible orthographically a good deal later. ${ }^{1} \quad 502$. (in old hand) ria Laighniu (R Laighnib) for Huibh ( R ibh) Neill, with confusion of cases is very late. 503. o Ferti Cherpain. ${ }^{2}$ 505. g. espuic a very late form, again (espuc) 512. 511. Ciarani doubtless a Latin form. 512. g. Aird Machai, confusion of -ae, -ai. 515. Droma derge, cf. LL. 24a29. 516. Poem by Cennfaelad (R Cęnn-). His death is at 678 . ${ }^{518}$. g. Coluim Cille at least eighth century, ${ }^{3}$ also 522 Colum Cille. 519. Detnae. Final -ae points to date between 700-850. in Drumbaibh Bregh is remarkable. Mac Erca is late, ${ }^{4}$ cf. 527 . Cath Dethna 522 in a somewhat later hand. 525. Dormitatio sancte Brigite corresponds to LL. 24a31. 525. g. Ard Macha (R Armach-), see above. 527. Erce, early orthography. Librum Mochod ${ }^{5}$ in a later hand. 533. g. Ailbe Imlecha, ninth century or later. cf. LL. 24b16. 534. Dormitatio Muchti, i.e. Mauchteus. 535. Aird Macha. 536. Tuathal, cf. again at 538. 537. g. Cloenlocha. 542. g. Torten, an old form in which e e between non-palatal consonants is preserved in unaccented syllable. R has Tortan. The same entry has Erce filius Ailella Molt. The following entry has Eugen bel ${ }^{6}$ with unaccented $-\check{-}$ - preserved. 543. mac Setna and mac Setni. 545. g. Coluim. 546. Eugen. 548. g. Cluana Eois. ${ }^{7}$ At 836 we find Cluaen Eoais which is the next time the spelling eo occurs in this word. Hence the form is at least as late as ninth century. 547. g. Ard Macha (R Arda). There are some very late Irish entries during this period. Cf. again at $55^{1}$ g. Ard Macha. 549. i Ceru, etc. Cf. LL. 24b for a similar entry. $55^{2}$. Colum Cille and a long passage already referred to quoted from the Book of Cuanu, which contains such late forms as rofhoghail, ${ }^{8}$ fein, etc. 554. Achid, an old spelling. 556. Mors Fergna . . . Uloth. Fergna is a very late form (cf. again at 581 but g. Fergnai 622 ) and Uloth though apparently old is a spelling still common in the ninth

[^7]century. 557. Ceana (R cena) Temra la Diarmait, Diarmata, Cluain Ferta may be taken as ninth century ${ }^{1}$ forms ; cf. 559, Feiss Temhra. It is also possible that they may be due to scribal corruption. 562. g. Mona for Monae ; ${ }^{2}$ re $n$-uib for auib. In poem at 562 nauch (?) rhymes with Duach (leg. Dauch). This seems old. Except where the rhyme comes to our aid much cannot be dated from the forms in the poems.
563. Chluana Ferta. 564. Diarmato . . Erce: these are eighth or early ninth century forms. 565. g. Earca, but Setni an old spelling. 565. Gabrae Liphi, LL. 24b has Gabra. 567. Diarmato. 568. g. Setna. 569. Oena, LL. 24b has Oenu, hence perhaps a misreading of $a$ for $u$. 569. Gillas ( $=$ Gildas) sic LL. 24b. $l d$ became $l l$ about the middle of the ninth century. 57 r. g. Ferta. G. dual da aeu ( R au). 572. g. Diarmato, Gabrae ( R Gabhre). 574. Droma Ceata (Ceta R ). In these instances R preserves the earlier forms. Colum Cille. 575. Duncath, g. Telocho, mic Setna. 576. g. Brendain Clona Ferta. Clona, Ferta have late endings, but Clona appears to be due to scribal corruption as the change of $\bar{o}$ to $u a$ was on the whole earlier than that of oo to $-a .576$. g. Uloth, Gillas, cf. 569. 577. g. Uloth, g. Boetan, notice gen. $-\bar{a} n$. g. Ardmacha. 578. g. Aedha mic Geno. We have here the preservation of -o in the gen. of the non-familiar word. 578 . Uinniani, ${ }^{3}$ the initial $\underset{\sim}{u}$ if not due to Latin influence is a very ancient form. 579. Droma mic Erce. 580. Ercae (Erce R). 583. Cill Biein, a seemingly old form; Fergusso ; Osrige, an old spelling. 585. Ninnedho, Temro. Here the language seems to become somewhat older. 587. epscoip. 588. espuic Aeda, g. Tethba, very late. 593. romebaid, n. Fiachna (bis). 594. Coluimcille. 595. g. Ratho. 596. Brannub written after change of $n d$ to $n n$. Cf. 589 where R has Brandubh. 596.

[^8]Mumen, an old form, but n. Fiachna in the same entry. 597. Eocho abb Aird Macha. The form Machae occurs hardly at all during the early period. G. righmedho 7 Aedha, preservation of ending of less familiar word. Beacc mac Cuanach. 598. Clono. 599. g. Colmaen, a ninth century ${ }^{1}$ spelling. 600. Mors Oddach mic Aeda, which Hennessy equates with mors $h$ Uatach ${ }^{2}$ mic Aedho at 60 I. In one respect (Aedho) the latter is the earlier entry, but $\bar{o}$ for $u a$ shows that the former is really the oldest, the form Aeda to be attributed rather to scribal corruption. 602. Finntain. 603. poem, ce $d u$ for later cia du. G. Aedho twice, Aedha once. g. Locha. 604. g. Branduib, Lagen. Triginta annis, etc., is in small writing in a different hand, and the poem is a continuation of this hand. 605. g. Beugnai, an older form than g. Beognai of Vit. Columb. ${ }^{3}$ 608. Lugdach macku Ochae (H R). 609. Aird Macha; Sillani. ${ }^{4}$ 610. Uloth; g. Colmani Elo (L. Cuanu). 6ri. Aedo Alddain; Temro. The entries in this year are old except Maelcobha. 614. Aedho; g. Tueth, LL. 24b Toad. 617. int immairecc in Eiluuin, g. Colggen. 617. (poem) mai 'if' which is possibly the oldest form of this word, hua leg. aue ; ${ }^{5}$ g. gono Fergusso where the final oo rhymes with $\bar{o}$ of $d \overline{0} . \quad 617$. Epscop; Eogan Ratha; g. Macha. 618. Sillani. Cf. Silnanus, Vita Columbae ${ }^{6}$ from an earlier Sinlanus and Mosinu. 620. Duncath mac Eugain (Eougain, R). 621. Mors Mailembracho mic Rimedho. This was obviously written at the period of transition of $m r$ to $b r$. This change had not taken place at $729^{7}$ but had taken place at 834, hence this entry is in the language of about the year 800 , i.e. either late 8th or early 9th century. G. Colggen is also fairly old.
622. G. Uinei abbatis Neir. The initial $u$ of Uinei must be very old and points to $\underset{\sim}{\varkappa}-$ not having become $f$ at this time.
623. G. Aedho Alddain. 624. g. Comgellain, a very early form. 624. (poem) forsriadhat rhymes with Fiachach. 626. Guaire. Cf. Goreus, Vit. Columb. 626. Furseus. 627. g. Laegen, ae ninth century orthography ; ${ }^{8}$ g. Luatha (Luatho R), g. Eogain, g. Columbani, ${ }^{9}$ g. Clono; Lagen. 628. The Book of Cuanu and the Book of

[^9]Dubdalethe, cf. § ir. G. Fiachna. 635. g. Aedho Alddain. 636. g. Euagain. This word may possibly be very old, but the value of the first $a$ is not clear. Cf. further $e u>e 0$. G. Muman. 642. Loscoth. 646. Uloth, Duncath aue Ronain, an old entry ${ }^{1}$ not later than the middle of the eighth century; it may belong to the period for which it was entered. G. Colgan, and gen. plur. huae are considerably later. 648. Raghallaigh, late ninth century. ${ }^{2}$ 649. g. Maelcobha (Maelcobho, R). This points to the scribe of R as having some of the older sources at his disposal. 651. G. Aidlogo, imairice (cf. immbairecc 709). 652. G. Totholain. 653. maccu Delduibh, Lochre H (Lochrae, R), not later than eighth century. ${ }^{3}$
653. Fergusso, Rogaillnigh, Aedo, Sratho, Duncath. This year contains several fairly old entries. 657. Fiachrach Telnain, ${ }^{4}$ Alo Cluathe, Ronain mic Coluimb. This latter entry was written before change of $m b$ to $m m$ and may possibly belong to this period. 659 . G. Finnani, Glinne da locho, Euganan mac Tothalain, eighth century. ${ }^{5}$ 662. maccu Chuind (H and R), probably earlier than change of $n d$ to $n n$ (which see). G. Morgaind, Tothalain. 663. Comgan maccu Teimne. ${ }^{6}$ 664. filii Silni. 666. Eugen, eighth, or perhaps seventh, century. 667. Columbani. Cf. LL. 25 a which corresponds as far as "Sanctorum" ; also change of $m b$ to $m m$. G. Erend, confusion of nd, nn. 669. Dunchado hui ${ }^{7}$ Ronain. N. Columbana, 675. 676. g. Cuandai. 677. maccu Retai. 679. Dūch (Dunč, R). 68ı. Colgen. 682. na g. f. article. 683. Concoluim. 685. Rotachtaigh, otherwise Rotechtaigh with ĕ preserved. 687. g. Ardmachae. In poem, cro rhymes with Imblecho. Notice mbl. 688. Tuathalain. 690. Cronan maccu Chualne. ${ }^{3}$ 691. Conchadh, with final th (?) having become dh. Euganain.
692. G. Faelaen. This gen. in -aen does not occur at all during the eighth century nor until the year 800 when we have the next instance, hence it indicates ninth century orthography. The genitives nieth Neill and Boendo ${ }^{9}$ are however very old forms and Nectin is

[^10]an old spelling. 693. mac Auin. ${ }^{1}$ Alochuathe, Balni, Cluana. 696. immarecc, g. Lochair. ${ }^{2}$ 697. Duin Onlaigh, and again at 700, the last instance of the word in this form. 697. Forannain, Daro, Muirguisa (Muirgisa, R). 698. Fiannamla. 699. All entries except g. Suibne appear to be contemporary. 700. Auae, Muman ${ }_{2}$ imbairecc, Cuandai.
§ I5. The above are examples from 432 to 700 to illustrate the language of the entries. Here, and perhaps somewhat earlier, as has been already pointed out, we come into touch with contemporary language. Thus we see that in these entries up to 700 we have a good many instances which can be shown to belong to the ninth century, some tenth century or later, ${ }^{3}$ whilst others point to the eighth century and may go back even to 700 or before it. Ancient forms occur before 700, but decisive instances are rare. The following are the most striking: Daui, 501, g. Uinniani, ${ }^{4}$ 578, i.e. Finnian of Magh Bile (Chron. Scot. Finniani, 578). Cf. Finnio, 548, 858 (g), g. Finnen, 972 , and at 775 Uiniaui (H), Finniaui ${ }^{5}$ (R). Eiluuin, ${ }^{617}$, mai 'if' ${ }^{17} 7$, g. Uinei 622, g. Euagain 636 (cf. Euogain, R 620), Duncath ave Ronain 646, Nem ${ }^{6}$ (?) 654, Ronain mic Columb 657, maccu Chuind 662, g. Columbani 667, n. Columbana 675, d. Laind abae 675, Cuandai 676, maccu Retai 677, Imblecho 684, Duin Onlaig 697, 700. These ancient forms are mostly from the early seventh century onward. Some instances in this early period (cf. Mailembracho) can be fixed as the language of about 800 .
§ 16. The following entries regarding meteorological, atmospherical, and sundry natural phenomena may be referred to. These phenomena must have been noted by an eye-witness, or one who had lived through them : Nix magna 587 , not said where ; aestas torrida 588, matutina tenebrosa 591, terremotus in Gallia 617, nix magna

[^11]occidit multos in campo Bregh 634, cf. 669, 747, 759, etc. Oscolt mor 669. 688. obscura est pars solis. 69r. Luna in sanguinem colorem, etc. 699. Fames et pestilentia in Hibernia. 706. Duo terremotus in mense Decembri in aquiloni parte Hiberniae. 719. Murbrucht mor. 720. Terrimotus in Octimbre. 724. Luna tenebrosa. 733. Eclipsis lunae. 747. Nix insolitae magnitudinis . . . Hibernie. 748. Naues in aere uisae sunt; a mirage. 734. Draco ingens ${ }^{1}$. . . cum tonitru. 745. Dracones in coelo.
§ 17. It is evident that from the latter part of the seventh century onwards, chronicles in contemporary language, or containing contemporary forms, were available. There is then the possibility that, side by side with the contemporary forms, for the first few decades of the eighth century an occasional ninth century ${ }^{2}$ form may have been inserted. Such possibly are Flann Febla 714, cf. Fland Feblae 739, Ard Macha 7 I 8 and several of the genitives in $-a$ for $-e$, but I think if we begin with about A.D. 740 or 750 (cf. § 12 ) that we shall be absolutely safe in concluding that we are dealing with bona fide contemporary language ${ }^{3}$ from that onwards. To make the matter clear, I shall consider in detail every instance between 700 and about the middle of the eleventh century, in an endeavour to date the various phonological changes which took place in Old and Early Middle Irish. These are given under their various heads in the section on Phonology.

By the year 900 all the phonetic changes enumerated (under Phonology) had taken place with the exception of the change of $-u$ and $-a i$ to $a$. The date of the latter change, however, is owing to the orthography, not clear. gor is the last instance of d. Ere in the Annals. At 912 the entries begin to be almost consecutively in Irish instead of in Latin. At 914 the guttural genitive Temrach

[^12]occurs for the first time. By 917 we have the loss of the $-i b$ of dat. plur. of article. With all these changes we come to the end of the Old Irish period at about the first quarter of the tenth century. It is, however, difficult to draw a hard and fast line as to when Old Irish ceased and Middle Irish began. The Old Irish verbal system survived this period, but if we are to include this then we must add at least another half a century. Professor Zimmer would place the end of the Old Irish period at the break down of the neuter, ${ }^{1}$ and this is a good criterion.

[^13]
## III. ORTHOGRAPHY.

§ 18. In dealing with orthographical peculiarities it will not be necessary to do more than refer to such well-known Old Irish methods of orthography as the writing of tenues for unaspirated mediæ in the interior of a word. For instance, $p$ for $b$ in dianepred, ' of which was said,' 771, 798. A better representation of the sound is given at the year 928, viz. Abbred nech fri Donnchad donn. The sound was, of course, $b,{ }^{1}<\sqrt{b e r-.}$ In Old Irish there were several cases where medir $g, d$ arose out of the loss of $n$ before tenues $c, t$, as, to give a common example, cēt (pr. cēd) W. cant, L. centum, < *centom, fr. *k'mitóm ; tet, Mod. Ir. téad, cf. L. tentus > *tntos, etc.

The Latin alphabet, which was adopted intc Irish, had no sign to express the spirants $b h, d h, g h$; so for these in Irish $b, d, g$ were reserved. This usage spread to forms where the mediæ originally began a word. Thus from moa + de was formed móite $;^{2}$ modern, móide. Lugu + de became lugaite; modern, lughaide. In some words borrowed from Latin-for instance, opair, from an oblique case of Latin opus-the intervocalic tenuis was pronounced as a media when the word reached our language. The tenuis, however, continued to be written, and thus we have opair written but obair pronounced. In the same way L. sacerd $\bar{o} t-{ }^{3}$ gave sagart, written sacart. Both these words must have been incorporated into the language after the law of the aspiration of an intervocalic consonant had worked itself out. The writing of the mark of aspiration over the mediæ is a relatively

[^14]late innovation in Irish, and its appearance in the Annals, even in the earliest periods, is presumably due to the scribe or compiler Mag Uidhir himself. He may have thought it necessary to prevent ambiguity by indicating the pronunciation, and this restoration is often very important. I should, however, prefer to make the whole question of Old Irish pronunciation a subject for a separate investigation, and shall, for the present, confine myself to a few remarks on the material.

## Vowels.

(a) Simple.

## áe for âi.

§ 19. At the year 599 we find the first instance of this peculiar spelling of $a e$ for $a i^{1}$ (likewise $o e$ for $o i$ ), which occurs mostly in the genitives of derivatives in -án $<^{*}$-agnos, e.g. g. Colmaen moer, 599. The origin of this spelling is not clear to me. It has sometimes been attributed to Latin influence, i.e. that the Irish scribe in endeavouring to avoid combinations (ai,oi) not existing in Latin may have changed ai to $a$. These sounds were, however, not diphthongs, but equal to $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{o}$ respectively, the $i$ (as in Modern Irish) being the vowel glide before a palatal consonant. A more probable explanation is that when the older diphthong $a i$ was changed into ae a number of the $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\bar{o}^{\mathrm{i}}$ forms were treated in the same way by mistake. This also happened in the middle of a word, e.g. g. Maeni 626, 676. Cf. Mane 7 11, 712 , Maini 72 1, Maine R.

The instances of ae for ai are : ${ }^{*}$ Cairlaen 587, g. Meccnaen 603, g. Stellaen 623, g. Mani 626, 676, Coelan 634, Cridan 638, mac *Acithan ${ }^{2}$ 685, g. Fooloen 692, g. Ronaen 800 (= Rónain), g. Quiaraen 808, g. Iellaen 825, g. Aerdd Machae 835 (cf. Chuaen 844), g. Mael 848 ( $=$ Mail), g. Dubaen 851 (cf. Dubain 804), g. Tommaen 870.
Compare aei for ai in Mac Aedhaein ( $=$ Aeddain) 806. Not to be

[^15]confused is Libraen ${ }^{1} 585$ ( $=$ Librén, Chr. Scot.). It is noticeable that, so far as I can detect, no instance of this $a^{e}$ for $a^{i}$ occurs during the eighth century. It is common in the entries for the sixth and seventh centuries and reappears again abruptly at the beginning of the ninth. For the later periods I have not noted any instances. This would go to show that several of the entries up to the last decade of the seventh century are practically ninth century in orthography and language. The instance Colmaen 599 obviously does not belong to the writing of the early period as it contains the change of $m b$ to $m m .^{2}$ After 870 the genitive in $-\bar{a} n$ becomes common.

Side by side with this spelling we have the more usually -ain in g. Aedain 628, 691, 700, g. Ultain 676, Moelain 677, Mongain 697, Comain 704, Colmain 706, 735, Faelain 718, Brecain 718, 735, Broccain 724, Moudain 726, Corcrain 735, Ciarain 742, etc.

The instances quoted of $a^{e}$ for $a^{\text {i }}$ all occur before $n$ with the exception of $a^{e}$ before $r$ in Aerdd (Machae) 835, ae before $l$ in g . $\mathrm{Mael}(=$ Mail $) 848$.

Short (?) $a^{e}$ occurs in g. Laegen, Aenmire (=Ainmire) 876, Mani 676. In Faelbei 672, 677, 694, Faelbe 675, 736, but Failbhi 709, Foelbeus 712 , Faelbi 799, the quality of the $a e, a i$ is not clear.

## $\overline{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ for $\mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{i}}$.

§ 20. The spelling $o^{e}$ for $o^{i}$ extends over much the same periods. Instances are: g. (Lis) moer 591, 745, 759, 780, 782, 855; g. m. moer 599, (Cluana) moer 778, 827, (bis) 834 ; n. (mess) moer 805 (sic H) ; g. Roiss moer 839, (Droma) moer 841, Domnaigh moer 844, but moir 751, 756, 775, etc.

All the instances I have noted of $\overline{\sigma^{e}}$ for $\bar{j}^{i}$ occur before $r$ in the adjective moer. Doubtful is mac Broen (=Broin?) 86r. Chron. Scot. has g. Broin, but cf. n. Broen mac Ruadrach 813 ; cf. diphthong $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$.

[^16]
## ān for -āin.

§ 2I. In many cases, particularly during the ninth, tenth and eleventh centuries, the palatal nature of the $-n$ in the genitive of masculines in $-\bar{a} n$ was not expressed at all, the genitive being spelled like the nominative (cf. Strachan, CZ. iii. 414). This spelling is common in LL.

Instances : genitives Boetan 577 (cf. g. Baetain 585, etc.) ; g. Beccan 745 (cf. n. De Becan 676, 972) ; g. Colman 750 (R Colmā); g. (ri.h.) Liathan 789, Oa $n$ - *Olcan 794 (aird ${ }^{1}$ ) mBrecan 821 (cf. Brecain 718, 735, 764, 781), Forannan 829, Dolcan 832 ; g. Faelan 834, Dromman 835, Boadan 862, Forindan 868, Spelan 868, mac Brocan 872, Flannacan 872, 890, Cuileannan (sic H) 906, 950, Muirecan 907, 908, mac Cathan 915, Rubucan 932, Conallan 948, Canannan 948, 949, 966, Lapan 958, 983, Domnallan 960, 983, 999, mac Uchtan 968, Ciaran 978, Mothran 982, Cairellan 987, Robocan 988 (mac) Maelciaran 982 (cf. mac Beoan 1002), Brecan 1002, Comaltan 1003, Baigellan 1006 (cf. g. Crichain 1006), etc.

It is noticeable that there are very few instances ${ }^{2}$ during the eighth century, and the spelling does not become common till the late ninth. It becomes almost regular in the Annals during the tenth and eleventh centuries. ${ }^{3}$ Side by side with this genitive in $-\bar{a} n$ we find also $-\bar{a} i n$ as in the above instances. Cf. also the following genitives :-

Garbain 821 , Commain 823, Colmain 826, Scannlain 833, Brocain, 833, 876, Cendercain 833, Tommain 837, Faelain 837, Colmain 845, 854, 859, Forindan 848, Ultain 851, Aedhacain 865, 913 , Ronain 866, 868, Ciannain 880, Ciarain 881, Brecain 882, Muirecain 882, Flannacain 890, 892, 893, 895, 896, 901, 902, 913; n. Maelchiarain 893, Eochacain 894, 914, Cernachain 900, Muiricain 901, Tornain 912, Giblechain 913, Cellachain 913, etc.

With the foregoing we may compare the writing of $a$ (and $o, u$ ) before palatal consonants ; thus, Slane 634, 653, etc., Mani 754, 777, 821 , etc., Ernani 660 (and also Lagen). ${ }^{4}$ In all these cases

[^17]however the palatal ${ }^{1}$ nature of the consonant is indicated by the following vowel.

## èn.

§ 22. Something similar to the foregoing we find in the treatment of final -èn. Thus :-
n. Laidhggen 660 ; g. Eiliuin 672, mac Acithaen ${ }^{2}$ 685, Laidggin 721 ; g. Deilggden 722; g. Cuilen rigi 732, 802 ; g. Maileaithcen 737 ; g. Macledaithnein 738 ; g. Aui Livinn $^{3} 741$; g. Laidggnéin 743 (cf. n. Laidgnean 726) ; g. Ailiuin ${ }^{4} 743$; g. Telaigh Findin 75 I, voc. cheirchen (?) ${ }^{5} 75^{8}$; g. Laidggnen 768 ; g. Laithgnaen 760 ; n. Breslen 778 ; g. Laidhghnein ${ }^{6} 779$; g. Laidhgnein 781, 792 ; (cf. g. den 861 (gloss)) ; g. Foibrein 815 ; g. Temhnen 827 ; g. Locha Lein 837 ; g. mac nDaimen 868 ; g. Foillein 871 ; g. Cruinnein 887 ; g. Duilgein 911; g. Draignen 915,*Duiligen 925 ; n. Cuilen 932 ; g. Duilgen 956 ; g. Coimgen (?) 958, Maelfinnen 968 (cf. g. Finnen 972) ; g. Finnen 992 (cf. n. Maelfinnian 992) ; g. Mellen 1034, g. Finnen 1006, 1012, 1019; g. Finnein 1015, 1025; g. Laidgnen 1022; g. Machainen 1023 ; g. Ceithnen 1030.

As can be seen from the above, both forms of the genitive -en and -ein occur. We find names in -ene having later this genitive (and nominative also) in -èn, -inn, e.g. g. Daimeni 608, 784 , but g. Daimen 868, Daimín 1127 (cf. Ossene 705) ; g. Osseni 778, Alene mac Ossene 1019, but An. Loch Ce, Alen mac Oissein, Mod. Ir. Ó hOisin. ${ }^{7}$

[^18]a before a palatal consonant (later $a i$ ).

## § 23.

g. Slane 658.
g. Ernani 66r, Critani ${ }^{1}$ 668, g. Ernaine 661. alaliu ${ }^{2}$ 668, Lagenorum 679 Maini 683, Gaimide 694, imbai(cf. 717, 718 ), immarece 686.
g. Slane 7II; n. Mane 7 II (g.), 712, Alen 713, Lagen 714. Bairche 711, Laigen 708, 720, 721.

Talten 716, Laghen 720 (Logen, Maige 719, Maini 72 1, 722. R).

Slane 736, 786, 788, 801, 813, Tailtae 732, Maigi 735, 824, etc. 824, 833, 866, 876, 889.
Galeng 737, Ernani 740. Laignin 731, 817, etc., Taich leach 733.
Mani 754, 777, 786, 817, 821. Maini 742, Saighrae 743, Slaine Lagen 758, 759, 807, 81о. 750.

Rathin 756, 762, bare $75^{8 .}$
Mane $774 \quad$ Maine 774, 783, Muaide 784.
Maghen 793 (but magan 801,831). Laigen 792, 803, 826, 836, 837, 852.

Darii 8 II , Dari 825.
Tailten 810, 830, 872, 876, 888, Cainnigh, 82 1, 888.
Taillten 826, Laigen $827,833,869$.
Tailtin 877 , Laigin 840 , sochaide ${ }^{3}$ (mora) 830.
Manistrech 833, Slane 837, 848. Mainisdreach ${ }^{4}$ 830, Alddailed 835 (sic H).
draighnibh 840, alaile 844 .
baislicce 845, maithi 850, laithi 850.

Caisil 847, 852, etc. ; d. Taillte 856.

[^19]Cluathe 869, Cluade 871. Mainisdrech 865, 877, Saighre 866.

Galengaibh 883 , dunetathe 884 . laisre 884 , maithibh 886 , mainistrech 890 .

The spelling ai (caol le caol) grows commoner as time goes on, and eventually becomes regular. The spelling $a$ (before a palatal consonant) becomes very rare in the late ninth century, except in a few fixed forms. The above list is not complete, and the conditions require further investigation (cf. change of $-a e$ to $-a$, and $i, a i, \S 27$ below).
$\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{o}$ before a palatal consonant.
§ 24. With the foregoing may be compared the spellings of $o, u, e$ before a slender consonant. The following are examples :-
g. Lurggeni 667; n. Aurthulae Oirggnech 679, Loingsich 695, 675, Fother 693, Cule 651. Aurthuile 699, Muirsce 706,

Becce 713,729 , etc.
Rubin 724.
Mume ${ }^{1} 734$.
Mursce 734.
Fobrigh 741, forbthe 747.
more $756,799,851$, ut chule 794.
Turges 844, Buti 845 .
The above list may be largely added to. o becomes $u$ in lunge before $e$ of genitive. ${ }^{2}$ This is not the case in Loingsech. I hope to deal with the subject more fully in a separate article on $u$-infection. We may also compare genntib $831,832,833,841$, etc. ; g. Celi 715 , etc. For $u$ before a palatal consonant cf. sochude Wb. 8aı7, etc. Such spellings were preserved pretty late.

[^20]
## ea for $\mathbf{e}$.

§ 25. There are several instances of this spelling in common words such as Ceallach 802 ; (g.) $803,813,815$; (n.) 846 , etc., also Caireall 800 , cealtrae 836 , mainisdreach 830 , etc. (cf. conrusleachta Ml. 53 d 11 , coineas 102a23). For pronunciation we may compare O. Norse Kiallakr ( $=$ Cellach), etc.

The actual date of its introduction and the change of pronunciation it represents I have not investigated.

$$
a \mathrm{i},-\mathrm{i} \text {. }
$$

§ 26. The following is a list of the writings $-a i,-i$ from the late seventh to the early ninth century :-
g. Riati 628, 699.
*Finntin 686, Balni 693.
Nectin 692, Moling 694, 696.
Riaiti 703 (Riati, R), Lothri 709.
Riati 710, 716, 730, 740 ; g. fotti 718.

Mrachidi 726, Maelrubi 736.
Petir 733 ; g. Ostich 742, Lucridh $75^{2}$.

Osrigiu 753, Foling 759, Fabri 761 (Faibri, R).

Finsnechti 760.
Lothri 762, 787, 891.

Eochaidh 665, Riatai 672, g. Cuanai 669, Maelrubai 670, 672.

Cuandai 676, Osraigi 677, Bresail 684, Retai 677, -umai 681, Nechtain 685 , -riadai 694, Achaid 694, Tethbai 699.
Cuandai 700, Clochair 701, Ulaith 702, Nectain 709, 728, Comghaill 709, -gualai 711, rubai $7_{1} 6$, brathair 718 , culaibh 729, Ratai 735, 740, Tuathail 731 (and passim), Cathail $73^{2}$ (and passim), Amalghaidh 736, Rechrainne 738, Fobair 739, Riatai 740, Aithecdai 741, esclai 747, Mobai 752, Comgaill 754, nathraich 758, Conaith 759, dumai 760, 779, Duachail 762, argait 763, Diarmait 763 (and passim), Maelumai 766, Tolai 764, Bresail 767, Fiach-

Balni 779, Cruachnib 782.

Goli 786, Humil 786.
*Muccirt 787, Donncorci 791, Toli 792.

Borime 797.
g. Umill 8 I 2.
(oiss) allti 817 .
g. Tarbgi 821, ind Fochli 821, Achidh 829, tanisi 874; g. Finsnechti 836, Delbhni 842.
rai 769, Letubai 772, mathair 776, Achaid 781, Umaill 783. Echaidh 784, Osraige 785, Conaing 785 (and passim), Cnodhbai 788, Tethbai 788, argai 791, Achaidh 795, argait ${ }^{1}$ 799, Conlaid 799, Osraighi 801, rubhai 802 ; g. Achaidh 804, mélai 808, Umhaill 8ı r, cumai 817, notlaic 817, Cnodbai 817, Mochtai 817, Delbnai 821; g. Finsnechtai 828.

For a further list of forms in $-a i$, $-i$, see $-a i,-i>a,-e$. I have noted no instance of $-i$ for $-a i$ before a final $g h$, but cf. in L. Ardm. Feradig, etc. The -ail of genitives of compounds of ual-, ${ }^{2}$ e.g. Cathal, W. Cadwal, is never -il (cf. Tuathail, Bresail).

The above instances of $-i$ for $-a i$ are mostly after tenues, ${ }^{3}$ unaspirated mediae and $l, n, r$; for $i$ instead of $u i$ after $m h$ at 786,812 Umill cf. cosmil Wb. 2ar1, 2c20, cosmilius 3ar4, but cosmulius 3a15, Ml. 5 ra8 fochosmailius. Sg. has both writings. In L. Ardm. -i not $-a i$ is written after a non-palatal consonant, as Calpdi, Machi, Cetni, Achid, Dumi, etc., but Chungai, Humail and the later notes, which have also -ai.
§27. With the above may be compared the writing of $a$ and $o$ before a palatal consonant. In general it may be noted that slender $s$ with or without a consonant is always indicated by a palatal vowel on both sides. This is usually the case with palatal $r$, also in Wb., but cf. Dari 825 . The development of slender $s$ in Irish must be very early. A similar slender $s\left(s_{s}\right)$ before palatal vowels $(e, i)$ occurs in Russian (cf. Sievers, "Phonetik," p. 102), and palatalisation of $s$ is common also in Portuguese.

We find the guttural group $(c, g)$ early split up into front and back qualities (palatal and non-palatal).

[^21]We have the slender dentals distinguished from the corresponding broad ones in Wb . in the middle of a word, thus creitem 4 d 32 , creitfess 4 d 21 , rochretti 527 ( $t t$ for slender $d$ ), geintib 5210 , etc. But we should hardly be justified in assuming palatalisation from spellings like Talten 716 standing by themselves. For the spelling compare Wb. 5b25 accomallte. In some cases Wb. is not clear ${ }^{1}$ in the writing of a non-palatal group, e.g. ferte Wb. 12bi5, but fertae M1. 40022. The O. Ir. initial dentals before $i, e$ must, as in Mod. Ir., have been palatal.

## uat for uai.

§ 28. With the writing of ae for $a i$ referred to above ( $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1 } \\ & \text { 19 }\end{aligned}$ ) we may compare the writing of $u a e$ for the diphthong $u a+$ palatal glide $i$. Instances :-
i nDun Chuaer 803 (chuer, R.), Cluaen 844 (cf. Cluen 81 7).
These instances occur before $r, n$ respectively. oe for oi (or uai).
d. i Cloen 844 (cf. Cloin 794).
ae for ě.
§ 29. indraedh 617 (= indred) ; g. Bairdaeni 627 (cf. Bardene).
$i$ for $i u$.
§ 30.
Before s: n. Muirgis 791, 795, 798, 809=Muirgius ; a. Muirgis 804 (cf. acc. Muirgius 792); g. Muirgiusso 809, Muirgiussa 697, 743, 804, 807, but Muirgissa 815, Muirgessa 825.

[^22]Before l, ll: du Chaissil 714; d. ghil 764; n. *Cairill 808 (cf. Caireall 8oo, Cairell 850).
Before $n n$ : d. chinn 928 , ror 4 (cf. ciunn 848 , etc.).
For this phenomenon cf. Strachan, s-Future and Subjunctive (Trans. Phil. Soc. p. 22) : "The effect of the final $\bar{o}$ appears in the future -gigius and in later Irish spellings like -rius, in the glosses $-r i s^{1}$ (with u-timbre unexpressed)." Compare however the 1 sing. subjunctive do-futhris-se where the particle -se shows that the final -s had no u-quality but was palatal. In the instance Cairill $808 i$ seems rather to be intended to denote the weak vowel and $l l$ had not a u-quality.

## oa of various orign.

§3x. n. coach ${ }^{2} 55^{2}$ (bis), g. Atho Goan 632, g. Noais 664, g. Loairnn 677, doaibh 687 (poem), Loairn 718, Loairnd 732, Noais 739, Loarnn ( < Loërn) 764, g. Doadain 768, mac Oac ${ }^{3} 787$, doaib 797 (bis $=$ dotb , a dissyl.) 851, 935, Maelgoan 846, fheirt Boadain 862, a. ócu 912 , doib 920, Boainn 921 ( $=$ Böind, Bó-fhind cf. Boinde 817, also 836, 841), soas 922 ( $=$ so-fhios), Goach (mac Duibroa) 926, Ath da Loarc ${ }^{4}$ 938 (cf. Telaig n-Ooc 1011, io31), g. Goaigh 1015.

I have here collected instances of various origin. In most of them oa represents a dissyllable which became $\bar{o}$ in the first half of the tenth century. Cf. oa in surnames $>\bar{o}$ at 953 (and in gen. plur. at 88r), and ócu 912.

We may compare here the spellings of (Cluain maccu) Nóis. It is spelled Noois at 723 , Noais at 664, 739, Nois 849, 874, etc. This word was probably in the first place dissyllabic and later had long 0 . At 730 it is spelled Nuis (R Nois) that is $\bar{o}>\bar{u}$ in connection with a nasal as sometimes in Modern Irish as in mú for nó, mú for mó, trathnóna, pron. trathnuina with nasal quality of $u$. For à before $n$ see note on don. The $\bar{o}$ of $N \bar{o} i s$ does not become diphthongised to $u a$.

[^23]$a u$ for $\mathbf{u}$.
§ 32. mac Caunga 664, g. Caustantin ${ }^{1} 899$ (= Constantine) *Maelchaurarda 871, 880, 884.

The pronunciation of these words is not clear. Custantin (789, 8ı9, etc.) goes back to Constantīnus, already *Köstantīnus in Latin, Gr. K $\omega \sigma \tau a \nu \tau \iota \nu o s$, Gothic Kustanteinus. It is probable that the $u$ (au) was short. Cf. W. Cystenhyn. As to Maelchaurarda we may compare Maelchererda LL. 338g4, Maelcairarda BB. 75d, and cf. next paragraph.

Variation of au with e, i.
§ 33. g. Craumtain (Craumthain), $483,{ }^{2} 548,725,731,737$. Craumthainn 741, cf. Cremtann 632, 7 18, Craumthain 849, Aurthulae 675, Aurthuile 699, Aurtaile 726, cf. Ertuile ${ }^{3}$ 718, Dairtaighe 718 , daurthige 817 (891), dairthighe 835, daurmes 835, derthach 850, derthaighi (873), 894, ernaichti 894.

The variation between er-, aur- in Aurthuile corresponds to the variation of er-, ir-, aur-, ur- in words like erchor, aurchor, irchor, irgal, aurgal where the er-, aur-, etc., may be due to the want of accent ${ }^{4}$ on these particles in the early period. Instances generally occur in connection with $r$, th. As to Craumthan(n), Cremtann the vowel must have been originally $r<{ }^{*} k r m<q^{\mathrm{u}}{ }_{\sigma} m$-(?) In daurthige, daur(-mes) the $u$ is due to $u$ in stem.

[^24]
## Doubling of Vowels to Express Length.

## 00 for $\mathbf{\delta}$.

§34. Fooith ${ }^{1}$ 652, Aporcroosan 672, Loogdae 728 (Loegdae R), croo 779 (poem), ${ }^{2}$ (Achaidh) boo 788, 81 1, g. dual da boo 803, g. croo 868, Telaigh $n$-Ooc $1011, n$-Ox 1031.

## ee : inna lee 562.

uu for $\mathbf{u}$.
Conall cuu 601, Chonaill Chuu 603. Cuu (cen mathair). Cuu (Dimerggo) 718, Blathuug 728, Cuи 808.
ii for 1.
Scii joo, triit.
aa for a.
758 Garaalt 731, hitaat (758) poem. In -taat the aa represent a dissyllable.
aa (for d?). Tuaatan 560.

## Diphthongs.

## ái.

§ 35. (a) Before a non-palatal consonant (modern ao).
n. *Maelcaich 628, g. Aidlogo 651, g. Aido 713, g. Mainaigh 720 n. Aid ${ }^{3} 731$, ${ }^{*}$ Ailgal 755 (Tig. Aelgal) 764, 790, n. Aidhain 767, 791. ${ }^{4}$ g. (Ratho) Aidho 775, 787, g. Aida 8ı0.
(b) Before a palatal consonant (modern aoi).
g. caich 640. mac Maileduin 720, Caintigernd 733, maine ${ }^{5} 737$, main 742 (wealth), Maileoctrig 741, g. Crunnmhail 818 caincomraicc ${ }^{6}$ 858, Chaich 866, g. Mailmorda ${ }^{7}$ 911, cainid 9 II ( $=$ cóinid), $i{ }^{*}$ Cair (Ebhroc) 866, n. Aideid 897 (cf. d. Aeddeid 894), Mhailmithidh 943 (Mhailmithigh, R), g. int sair 947, Maelmuire ${ }^{7} 969$.
${ }^{3}$ Wid of the Pictish Chronicle.
${ }^{2}$ Not printed by Hennessy: croo dosnegat srotha.
${ }^{8}$-i. Aedh, in a gloss. ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Aedhan in the same entry.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. L. mūnus. *oi>oc $>\bar{u}$ in Latin. Cf, óin, L. ūnus, v. oi.
${ }^{6}$ O. W. céin corresponds to Ir. cáin, caoin.
${ }^{7}$ Cf. mael under auc. O. W. mail.
(c) Final.
g. lai 1020 (mod. lae). Cf. in Toai 1020. (Campi) Ai 701, and passim, is dissyllabic. Cf. Aii 753, and LL. ${ }^{151}$ b9, LU. 57 b5.

This ai corresponds to Indg. *ai. Cf. -caich 628, 640, W. coeg, Lat. caecus, Gothic haihs, Aid 73 I, L. Aedui. We have, however, oi and aí confused in main ${ }^{1} 742$, corresponding to Latin münus. ${ }^{2}$ As instances such as Aid, g. Aido are very rare in the Annals the change of $a i$ to ae must have taken place very early. Cf. dis, Wb.
 in ail- ${ }^{3}$ are doubtful. In L. Ardm. (Muirchu's Memoirs) ai and $a e$ are found, e.g. -mail, -mael. In the later editions to Tirechan's notes $a i$ is general but also Aed. In Vit. Columb. $a i$ is the general form : Aido, etc.

$$
\text { ae (from } a ̉ i, o b i) .
$$

§ 36. (a) Before a non-palatal consonant (Mod, ao):
g. Baetain 572, g. Aedo 603, 650, 653, 664, 694 (R), mael 682 (bis), mac Aedain 691, Aedho 690, 704, 710, $71 \mathrm{II}, 74 \mathrm{I}, 778$, g. Faelaen 692, g. Aengusa 695, Aed 697, g. Cinnfaelad 681, 701, * mac Maelcon 702, n. Faelchu 715, 723, Faelchon 717, Faelan 721, 726, n. Mael 72 I, caech 723, Maelduin 727, Faeldobur 730, Aedo 732, Laechraid 763, g. Maelrubi 736, Aedgaile 763, 809, Saerghal 780, gaeth 776, laech 867, Braen 882, maer 881, 893, caech 915, ardmaer 923, Faelan 942, Maenaig 991, Maelan 1008, 1018, d. taeb roir, fhaesamh 1015 , aenach 1021, aen 1024 .

## ae.

§ 37. (b) Before a palatal consonant (gen. Mod. Ir. aot):
g. Maeletuile 679, Maele ${ }^{4}$ 679, g. na Craeibhe 682 (Modern aoi),

[^25]mac Crunnmael 655, 687, Maeleduin 689. Cf. g. Maeilduin 689, Maele 718, 720, 724, 735 (bis), 862, etc., ind. shaer 766, *Aedgen 770, aensit (fasted) 77 I , Aedan 791, -gaedhelaibh 856 (etc.), maer 887, g. Crunnmhaeil 878, Aeddeid 894, laei 916, Formaeile 966, g. Braen 988.

Some of the above instances of ae go back to an earlier ó $i$, as well as to $a ́ i$ and, after a time, ae comes to be written for earlier oe. Cf. § 43 .

## ói.

§ 38. (a) Before a non-palatal consonant, later oe:
g. Loighaire 641, Noindrommo 642, n. doirad 677, Noindromo 683, Doirgarto 709, 7 11, Ointribh 727, oinaigh 730, Noindromma 734, 754, Moinaigh 751, 752, 775, n. Moinan 778, Moinach 782, Moinaigh 782, 785, 786 (Móinaigh), g. Oinbo 786, Loigaire 796, Loighaire 799, 812 (cf. Laigaire 458), fon oin cumai 818, g. Móinaigh 82 I, oinaig 826 (cf. oenaigh, next entry), Coimhan 867.

This oi comes from Idg. *oi. Cf. oin 818, Lat. ūnus ; móine 850, Goth. ga-mains, L. munus ; cf. máin 742 . It becomes oe very early before a non-palatal consonant. Cf. the following lists, also Wb. 222 I cossin nóin, but oen 16a17, etc. (at the beginning of a word). In the middle of a word ar-ro-throithad M1. 12 Idg.; Mod. Ir. traothadh. In L. Ardm. $o \dot{o}$ is regular, but in the later notes there are instances of óe, oen.

## $6 i$.

§ 39. (b) Before a palatal consonant (modern aoi, ae):
g. (Conaill) choil 680, g. (Monid) chroib 727, Moinigh ${ }^{1}$ 747, g. (Aedha) roin 750, *Maccoiged 752, g. Coimgin 789, n. pl. Goidil 775 (modern ae), g. Goidil 775, *foroireth 777, Curoi 796 (final), roiniud 821 (bis), $824,845,847,863,896$, cathroinedh 827 , doinib 849, doine 850 ,

[^26]859, moine 850, Goidheluibh 852,855 (861) (cf. Gaeidhelu 855 , Gaedhelaibh 856), "toisech 868 (Gaidhel 878, poem), dhoinibh 880, Goidelaib 881, cathroiniud 896, 912, 919, 925,-roinidh 909, roined 912, 925 , 941, *toisech ${ }^{1} 9^{13}$ (cf. tosech 913, 915), coil 913, roinis 916, $9{ }^{17}$, Goidhelu $9{ }^{17}$, nóo 923 (final), 927 , roiniud 932, 942, 982, Goidil 94 I, Gaidhel 942 (poem), Goidel 946, 956, -roimid ${ }^{2}$ 946, Lis oeiged 1008 , Coeimhghin 1002, cloin 1014, Goeidhil 1042, *toisech 1042, $i$ croeibh 1037.

The last example of Goidhel- is at 986 . Thence onwards it has di, Gaidel-997, 1014, etc., except Goeidhil 1042. The pronunciation of this is, however, not aoi but ae; in Modern Irish, Gaedheal. Similarly, g. craibe 1003, d. pl. dainibh 992, 1032, g. pl. doene 1041, dhainibh 1043, g. pl. daine 1005, $1012,1049$.

## oe. (Earlier ót, Mod. ao.)

§ 40. (a) Before a non-palatal consonant : Cloen ${ }^{3} 537$, Coemain ${ }_{5} 28$, Oena 569, Moenu 571, n. Boetán 571 (g. Baetain 572, cf. g. Baodain Chron. Scot. 585), Coelan 634, (Conall) coel 636, g. Moelain 677, Boendo 692, g. Doergairt 692 (cf. g. Doirgarto 71I), g. Moenaigh 701, ${ }^{4}$ Oengusa 702, 735, Cloenath 703, n. Croen 707, Oengus 709, 721, 728, 729, 738, 788, 882, g. noe 674, 710, Moenaigh 730, 805, 813, 877, ( 955 n .), Oengusso 733, g. m. ind Róes 746 (F. M. ind rois), oen 771, 882, 973, oenach 771, 830, 872, 875, 877, 888, 918, 1006, boed 777, Cloento 781, oenaigh 776, 783, 807, 826 (cf. ói), g. roen 783 (poem, cf. g. roin 818), 788, Loegaire 789, 800, Boetain 798, moer ( $=$ Mod. Ir. maor) 812 , Broen $813,849,882$, foesmaib 850 , soeraib 856, Noendrommo 872, Coemhain 899, boeghul 902 (poem), oenur 902 (poem), d. oenuch 902, Soergussa 902, moer 917, noemhu 911, Foelan 940, Noendromma 975, soerlaidh 968, cloen 971, 982, toebh 1006, Cloenloch 1009, Coencomrac roir, Oentrubh ior 8.

[^27]
## oe.

§4I, (b) Before a palatal consonant:
${ }^{*}$ Coeddi 7 II, Oegedchar ${ }^{1}$ 734, g. ${ }^{*}$ roen ${ }^{2} 783$, *toesch 855 , g. Broen 861, oc Croeb 884, doenib 960, roeniudh 973, doeine 986, soere 1011 (cf. oeigedh 1003 , R has oeidhedh), coectighas ${ }^{3} 1023$.

## oe for ae.

§ 42. g. Oeda 804, 914. n. Moedhoc 834, 976 ( $=m^{\prime}$ Aed + British ending -óc[óg]), Foelan 941, Moedhoic (?) ${ }^{4} 1002$ (R Moē), etc.
§43. On the whole we see by the foregoing that oi before a nonpalatal consonant became early $o$ e, whilst as a rule $o i$ before a palatal consonant was preserved till it became ai which writing became general towards the end of the tenth century. In some cases during the period of the change we find the writing oei. About the same time oe became ae. Cf. oin at 786, 818; oen 771, 882, 973 ; aen 1024, similarly oinaigh 730 , oenaigh $776,783,807$ (918), (1006), aenach 102 I. This, in Modern Irish, is written aonach but pronounced in Munster with an è-quality, in Connacht with an ī-quality and in Ulster with an ui-quality ${ }^{5}$ (approx.). For the development of $o ́ i$ in the reduplicated perfect as -roimid,-reimid, -remaid, see § 191.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. n. pl. óegid Wb. 2IbI4. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cf. roin 818 , but it may be a gen. pl.
${ }^{3}$ Mod. Ir. coicthighis is pron. both caighcighis and cocaighis.
${ }^{4}$ Leg. Moedhóc. Declension of names in $o c$ is unusual. I have not noted the reading of H , and it may also be written with a contraction.
${ }^{5}$ For a description of the Donegal pronunciation v. Quiggin, A Dialect of Donegal, p. 10.

## IV. ORTHOGRAPHY-CONSONANTS.

§ 44. Some peculiarities in the writings of consonants have a certain phonetic significance, such as $g g$ to express unaspirated $g, b b$ for unaspirated $b$, and so on. Others, however, such as $c c$ in coccadh 776,777 (cf. cocath 648 ) have no such significance, as $c c$ generally represents $c$ in pronunciation, $c$ represents $g$ and $t, d$. For an exhaustive article on this subject, with a large number of examples, cf. Zupitza, KZ. xxxvi. 206 seq.

## I. Gutturals.

 $g g$ for $g$ (unaspirated).§ 45. Instances: Colggu 579 (cf. Colgu 519, 622, 780, etc.), g. Colggen 609, 621, 677, 721, 730, 731, 735, 737, 745, 769, 775 (cf. g. Colgen 702, 714, etc.). g. Lurggeni 667, g. Builgg 646, Oirggnech 679, Deilggden 621, 723, Dimerggo 718, g. Laidggin 721, g. Dromo Dergg 728, in Muirbuilgg 730, d. Delggenis 732, *Ferggus 745, Tadgg 757, 809, g. Arggamain 763, g. Taidgg 759, Dubcalggaid 768, g. Laidggnen 768 (Laiggnen R), g. Cairgge 775, in bolggach 778, g. Taidhgg 781, 782, 799, n. Soerbergg ${ }^{1}$ 790, Dubdibeirgg 786, g. Deilgge 798, g. Muirggusso 809, g. Luirgg 811, Orggan 820, Orggain 823, 831, g. Sceilgg 823, Orggan 826 (cf. organ 826). Colggu 850, Tadhgg 899, Tolairgg 907.

Initially, g. na ggiallne 720 (doubtful).
Rule.-Further examples might be given for the later periods, but they would merely be a repetition of the same phenomena as the

[^28]preceding. It will be noticed that $g$ is doubled after $l, r$ and $d h$ (aspirated $d$ ). This seems to be regular during the period from which the material is taken. For the gginitially in na ggiallne, 720 compare la gglais, cu bbrath in L. Ardm. It may probably be written on the analogy of the other spellings above of $g g$ for a $g$ which was not aspirated. ${ }^{1}$ I may point out that in Modern Irish between $l, r$ and a following $g$, an obscure vowel has developed. Perhaps $g g$ was written to denote the unaspirated character of $g$ in this position.

I have one instance of $g g$ after $r$ where the $g$ is aspirated. Ferggus 745. The scribe was doubtless led into this blunder by the proximity of the $r$. There is also one instance of $g g(=g)$ after a vowel : Daimliagg 724.

For doubling of $g$ after $l$ cf. St. Gall, 23b2, do omalgg gl. mulsi ; hi coindeulgg ib. 25b2, 40 a 20 and passim.
$\mathbf{g g}$ for $\mathbf{c}$ (pron. c).
§46. The first instance I have is the corrupt entry ei legg (leg. ec leic ?) in Riaddai 614 ; Ruargg 842, cf. Ruarce 861, etc.
gc for $\mathbf{c}$.
bolgcach 679. The $c$ took the place of the second $g$ which would have given the ordinary spelling after $l$ (see above, §45).
cc for $\mathbf{c}$ (pron. $g$ ).
§47. g. Liace 677, 758, coccadh 776, 777 (cf. cocath 648), Maedhoicc 624 (Chr. Scot. Maodhog), doimliace 782, 838, 899, occ 783, 826, occo 870, g. daimliacc 831, i ccaisiul 855, occo 862, cf. oco 890, Taidhcc 900.
${ }^{1}$ If we take giallne as gen. pl. then $g g$ would represent $n g$. This would be difficult if we are to take $-n e$ as a singulative ending. Cf. $g g$ for $n g$ next page.
cc (pron. c).
Blaimicc 650, etc., Beccan 676 (C.S. Becan), Becce 645, 769, anaiccenta 812 (cf. anaiccenta 812), Mucirt 786, maicc Rime 791, Patraicc 797 (cf. Phatraic 813), 845, 850, Rua[r]cc 845, Ruarcc 861, -patraicc 884, 887, 893, maccaibh 900.
cc for ng.
g. Conaicc ${ }^{1} 73^{2}$ (= Conaing). Cf. Loigsich 798 (g. of Loingsech 799).
gg for ng. No instance. I may here point out that in Modern Irish $n g$ in words like sreangan, a string, is sometimes denasalized and pronounced $g(g g)$.

## ct for cht.

Nectan 712, 725, 728, Indrectach 740, Maileoctrig 741 (cf. Aithecdai 741), Rectabrat 751, 758, Fectach 780, Ectgaile 787, Airectach 793, Rectlaiten 782, Finsnecti 814, Ciannactai 831, 838, cact 835 .

This spelling of the sound cht possibly finds its explanation in the desire of Early Irish orthographers to avoid combinations of letters not familiar from Latin. Our instances are not sufficient to establish anything definite with regard to the date of the aspiration of the $c$.
qu for $\mathbf{c}$.
Lex Quiarani ${ }^{2}$ 813, uisque ${ }^{2} 617$ (poem), is written $\mathfrak{u r q} \mathrm{rq}_{3}$ in H . The $q$ is here written in Quiarani probably on analogy of Latin quia, etc. The $c$ of Ciaran was originally $q u$, but it could have been preserved in pronunciation so late as 8 I 3 . In both the above instances $q u$ represents a palatal $c$. As regards the early development of $q u$ to $c$, cf. macci menueh on Inchagill stone. We may also compare the use of $q u$ for $c$ in comparatively late documents.

## II. Dentals.

dd for $\mathbf{t}$ (pron. $d$ ).
§ 48. g. Deilgdden 620 (Chron. Scot., Deilgten), more usual spelling Deilggden. ${ }^{3}$ Foirddbe (usually foirtbe (741) = for-di-ben-) 743, 75 I

[^29](bis). This last instance may be taken as a doubling of a $d$ after $r$, cf. below.
d for th.
fridguin 748, do brid 820 ( $=$ do breith), (Sratha) Cluade 87 I.

## dd for d.

g. (Aedo) Alddain 735, g. Conmeldde 723, g. Irairdd 735, 863, Alddan 736, 737, Olddain 742, Ardd 745, 757, g. Alddain 786, Brann ${ }^{1}$ arddcenn 794, Arddgail 799, Airdd Machae 807, Arddae 827 , Ardd 822, 826, 850, comardd 849, Norddmannaib 836, Norddmannis 852, 855, g. Alddai 862, Norddmannorum 874, 880, Maelchaurardda 871, 880 .

All the above instances of doubling of $d$ occur after $l$ or $r$. Cf. doubling of $g$ after $l,{ }^{2} r$. For Norddmannaib 836, cf. O. Norse nor $\delta$ -nordr-, "north," that is, our forefathers then substituted Irish $d$ for the spirant $\delta$, just as at the present day we substitute for English $\delta$ in ' then' an Irish $d$, and for the corresponding voiceless th an Irish $t$.
d to represent $\mathbf{d}$ after r .
deiscerd ${ }^{3}$ 750, deisceird $745,769,811,814$, Ardae 718 , etc. After vowel, Rechtabrad 852.
t for th .
forsriadhat ${ }^{4}$ 624, iartar 632, Cartaigh 635, indreth 793 (sic leg.). The $t h$ in this latter example and foroireth 79 I become $d(h)$ with the change of $t h$ to $d h$ in unaccented syllables (q.v.).
tt for $\mathbf{t}$ (pron. $d$ ).
g. fotti 718 .

Other instances of $t$ (= ?) are : g. Littain, 730, Dublittir 735, 795.
III. Labials.
bb for p (pron. $b$ ).
§ 49. g. comarbbai 850, mac Oirbb 809, abbred 928.

[^30]I have not collected further examples, but the phenomenon in the first two instances must be brought into line with the doubling of consonants after $r, l$.
bb for b (initially).
robbadhadh 769 , to express non-aspiration of $b$.
b for $f$.
Breibne 804 (=Breifne) 804, 814, 82 1.
ff for f .
Beccan Liffecairi 781, Affath 793 is doubtful (Afiath, Tig.).
IV. Liquids.

11 for $1 .{ }^{1}$
§ 50. (a) g. Aillello 780, n. Dongall 8 I 7 (leg. Donngal).
These are simply cases of bad spelling for the usual Ailello, Donngal. In the latter case the $n n$ is also misspelled.
(b) Doubling of 1 before $t$.
g. Taillten 826 (cf. Talten 716, 722 and Tailten 830), d. Taillte 856, d. Ulltu 88r, Ulltaib 877, 881, Taillten 888 (cf. Tailten 887).

This doubling of $l$ before $t$ represents a real phonetic development. The pronunciation of $l$ before $t$ was probably then (826), as in modern Irish, $l l$.
Compare the doubling of $n$ before $t$, genntib 833, etc. (cf. gentib 833), which I need not deal with separately.

## rr for $\mathbf{r}$.

§51. g. Forrggo 676, Cearrnaigh 723, Ferrdomnach 731, conarrgabad, conarrgabtha 830 .
${ }^{1}$ The doubling of $l$ at the beginning of a word, e.g. illan mora 769 , is phonetic, from original -nl-. In Modern Irish initial $l$ is also pronounced double in fully stressed words except when it is in "aspirated" position.
$r r$ from original $n r$ like $l l$ from $n l$ is common, thus, dui irroladh 836 . Corresponding to this we find du in ro marbad 844, which form becomes common in the later periods. I shall refer to the instances under the Verb.

## V. Nasals.

## Doubling of $\mathbf{n}$ after $\mathbf{r}$.

§ 52. (i nDun) Ceithirnn 678, Caintigernd 733, Iarnnbodb 642, Mughthigernd 784, Mugdornne 793, carnd 857.

This also is a phonetic spelling ${ }^{1}$ as $n$ would be doubled in pronunciation after $r$ as in Modern Irish. I have included -rnd as $n d$ was (at 732) becoming confused with $n n$, and instances like the above help to fix the date of this confusion ; cf. § 130 , also Sg. $24 a 16$ amail in lochairnn, 29 a 24 g. ifirnn.

Doubling of m .
§53. Gormman 769, Commain 816, chaim 826, 835 (cf. cumai 832), Tommain 837, greamma 848, rommeabaid 851, Achaidh drummota (sic) 888. For further instances of mm such as caimm from caimb, v. $m b>m m$ below.
${ }^{1}$ I prefer to consider it in this light, rather than as the doubling in writing of a consonant after $r$ as in the case of the medir, $b, g, d$.

## V. PHONOLOGY.

## Aspiration ${ }^{1}$-Nouns.

§54. We should expect aspiration after an original final vowel, such, for instance, as in nouns after the nominative feminine ( $\bar{a}-$ and iā-stems), genitive masculine ( 0 - and io- stems), dative singular (all genders) and nominative plural (masc. and neuter o-stems), nominative dual, etc. The rule may have spread by analogy to the same cases of other stems.

As is well known the aspiration of mediæ is not shown in Old Irish orthography. Hence if a media is found aspirated it is due to late orthography, and accordingly is not so reliable. In our text, however, we find mediæ commonly written aspirated in the interior of a word, even when the correct old form of the word is preserved in other respects. ${ }^{2}$ On the other hand aspiration of initial tenues is not marked in many cases where we should expect it.

The foregoing causes considerably reduce the value of the available material. This material I have collected and arranged, but as it does not serve to throw light on any point of interest beyond the cases already sufficiently established, I do not print it here. A few special instances may be discussed.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Pedersen, Aspir. i Irsk and K.Z. xxxv.
${ }^{2}$ Even when the aspiration of the media in internal position was not marked we can decide whether it was aspirated or not by the modern usage and by the rule that every intervocalic consonant was aspirated. We have, moreover, the collateral evidence of Irish names occurring in the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, such as n. Aedan 599 which is referred to as Aegthan in the Saxon Chronicle at 603. The combination -gth- to represent Irish (aspirated) $d$ would indicate that the pronunciation of Irish (aspirated) $d$ was at that time somewhat the same as $g^{\prime} t h^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{O}$. English aegther. The original termination of the nominative must have fallen by this time. We must not lay too much stress however on the foreign representation of an Irish wodd. The writer may have had the word from written sources. Witness too the Mod. Ir. representation of Engl. th by Irish $t$. For reproduction of spelling cf. Mac Carthy Ir. Mac Carrthaigh.
§55. As regards the aspiration after nominatives, I find the following cases after masculine nouns.

Cath Cind Ailbhe ${ }^{1}$ 500, Cath Dhroma Lothmhuidhe 592; Cath Shleibhe Cua 602 ; n. Ultan macu ${ }^{2}$ Chonchubair 662 late ( R has i i Chonchubair), n. Cronan maccu Chualne 690 ( R maccu).

After Latin nominatives: Iugulatio Chraumthain 493, bellum Chuile Uinsen 561, quies Bhrenuinn 582 ; quies Choluim Chille 600, mors Chonaill Chuu 603, iugulatio Dhoir 623, iugulatio Conaill Choil ${ }^{3} 680$.
Mac Oncon scriba Chille Daro 729 (R has Cille) mors Fhlainn 753 (Flainn, R) sapiens Chille Maighnenn 786 (Cille R), episcopus Chille Daro 786 (Cille R).

The cases of non-aspiration I have not collected. The aspiration after cath, bellum, etc. must be due to late Irish ${ }^{4}$ when aspiration of genitive of proper names became general. As regards aspiration after quies, mors, iugulatio, it is noticeable that the Irish of these substantives would be either masculine or neuter, thus bas, n., $\bar{e}$, (m.) ? guin, n . In this respect there is an exception to the usual law that the Irish writer had the Irish gender of the substantive in his mind. Scriba, as it belonged to the $a$-declension may have been considered a feminine noun, but compare the correction of, R in this and two other cases of aspiration after a masculine noun.

As to forus chano Patricii 782, forus is a masculine noun, read with $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ I. 8 forus cano (without aspiration).
§ 56. I have the following instances of aspiration after ocus :-
7 Choblaith 689; ocus Chaiss Chobo 724; Commotatio martirium Petir ocus Phoil ocus Phatraicc 733; Abbas Findubrach abae 7 Chille moinni 808; eitir brith 7 mharbad 7 slat ${ }^{6}$ mor 868. 7 Finnein 7 Chronain 7 Fheichin 1015.

[^31]Aspiration of, and in connection with verbs, I shall deal with under verbs.

Aspiration after etir: etir theirt 916, etir fhiru 1050.

## General Remarks.

§57. A great number of important changes took place in the language within the historic period, at least as far as orthography is concerned. Of these changes a large number are the result of the working of the laws of accent. It might be concluded that several such changes took place at the same time, e.g. the change of th to $d h$, and the weakening of $e$ to $a$ in unaccented syllables, though there may be slight divergencies in the time in which they fully worked out. It is best however to deal with the evidence regarding such change separately, and afterwards to generalize where generalization is possible. The question (of dating) resolves itself into: first, determining when a change took place orthographically, and then, from various indications in the writing and in chance forms where the writer was off his guard, to determine when the phonetic change took place. As is obvious, the changes did not take place instantaneously or even in a year or two, but usually took a longer time to develop. When we come to deal with persons' names it may be pointed out that, as a rule, an individual does not change the spelling of his name ${ }^{1}$ during his life-time, that is, a man of seventy-five would continue to write it as he wrote it fifty years before. Still it will be seen that in Old Irish the phonetic change is in most cases quickly followed by the general orthographical change.

In some instances we have to deal with the possibility of modernization of well-known names by the scribe and this is often a source of difficulty. This modernization is for the most part confined to very common names. The worst case is that of the vowels in the genitive of i - and u -stems. Thus side by side with the genitive Aedo we

[^32]find written g. Aeda ${ }^{1}$ 717, 738, g. Fergusa 709, etc., and genitives in $-a$ all through the eighth century, whilst on the other hand we find the final $-o$ of the genitive of $i$ - and $u$-stems preserved as late as 941, 959 (Fergusso), 979 (atho). But even the earliest collection of glosses (the Wb. codex) has the -0 and $-a$ forms side by side. Changes which are clearly orthographical ${ }^{2}$ such as Ceallach for Cellach in the earlier periods are easier dealt with. In the case of consonants the confusion due to the scribe is not so great, and with correct readings is almost reduced to nil. I have already referred in the Introduction, $\$ 3$, note 2 , to Hennessy's habit of sometimes printing in the body of the text both the glosses which were written over the line, or on the margin, and the continuation of entries in a later hand, without at the same time always drawing attention in the notes to this distinction. As far as Hennessy's edition is concerned this blunder effects such important matters as the change of $l n$ to $l l$ and the change of a word from one declension to another, and this has made a careful collation necessary. For example, he writes the entry mac Rogallaigh at 704 whilst both in H and R it is mac Rogallnig. His writing of the entry Aedh Alddan ri Temrach at 737 might lead one to suppose that the guttural declension of Temair was then beginning, whereas in H the word (Temrach) is not in the body of the text at all, but over the line is written a word of which one can now read the final ach. R has here Rex Temoriae. ${ }^{3}$
§ 58. As far as the language of the Annals throws light on the subject, I have dealt with the following points in phonology as being of importance in helping to fix the date of an Old Irish text :-
(1) Weakening of $e$ to $a$ in unaccented syllables.
(2) Change of $i e$ to $i a$.
(3) Change of $u e$ to $u a$.
(4) Weakening of $o$ to $a$ in unaccented syllables.
(5) Change of final $o$ to $a$ in gen. sing. of i- and u-stems.
(6) Treatment of the diphthong $a u$.
(7) Change of é to ia, éi.
${ }^{1}$ At 717, 723 (gloss in H) we find it corrected to Aedo in R. At 738 H has g . Aeda, R has only g. Aedh. Cf. above under diphthong ái. At 738 there is a writing of Ath for a genitive in the previous line to Aedh, R has $A t$.
${ }^{2}$ But cf. § 25 .
${ }^{3}$ For change of declension of Temair see Declension, Guttural Stems.
(8) Diphthongization of $\delta$ to $u a$.
(9) Change of $e u$ to $e o$.
(Io) Treatment of vowels (ae, ai, i) of final open ${ }^{1}$ syllables.
(ir) Change of final $u$ to $a$.
(12) Change of $m b$ to $m m$.
(13) ", $m r-, b r$ -
(14) ", $m l-, b l$ -
(15) $\quad, \quad l d \quad, l l$.
(16) , $\quad \ln , \quad l l$.
(17) " $n d \quad, n n$.
(18) " th " $\delta$ in final position of unaccented syllables.
(19) " pre-tonic to- to do-.
(20) $u>f$ (instances of initial $u$ ).
(a) VOWEL CHANGES.
$$
e>a
$$
§ 59. With regard to the treatment of $\breve{e}$ between non-palatal consonants in unaccented syllables, I have the following material. For the present I include only one or two instances (such as aue of final ĕ). For the sake of clearness I shall afterwards deal with this case separately:-

Non-Weakening.
g. Lugdech 484.
g. Torten 542 (Tortan, R), n. g. Muman 551, 589, 636. Eugen 542.
g. Mumen 596, g. Colggen 609, (g. Eugain 562, 593, 610, 699, 621, 677.
g. *Comgellain 624.
n. aue 646, n. Eugen 666.

Weakening.
g. Lugdach 506, 608. 726, 729, always with a.)
g. Rechrann 634, g. Colgan 646.
g. Rogaillnigh 653, g. Muman $664,677,695,700,712,{ }^{*} \mathrm{~g}$. Cualann ${ }^{2}$ 703, 708, 714.

[^33]Non-Weakening.
g. Colgen 681, 702, 714, 734, g. Rogallnigh 704, Fogartach 713 , auae 700.
g. Rogellnaich 721, g. Fortrend ${ }^{1}$ 724.
g. Colggen 721, 730, 731, 735, 737 (bis), 745, 748, 769, 775, g. Colgen 746, 784.
g. Fogertaig 747, 750, 760.

Foghertaigh 766, 768.
g. Rogellnigh 769, Fogertaig 770 (bis).
acc. Muime 775.
immelle (together) 771, 9 pl. hue 771.
g. Mumen ${ }^{3} 778$ ('of the Munstermen ').
d. Colggen 779, n. Fogertach 780.
g. Colgen 784, g. Colggen 790.
g. Lugedon 789,* Rotechtach ${ }^{4} 796$.
g. Mumen 792, cene 797 ( = cen $+e ́)$.
a. Sogen 802, ined ${ }^{6} 803$.
g. Colggen 813.

Iarmumen 832.
g. Fogertaigh 824, g. Colgen 831 .
g. Tarmumen 834 .
g. Colgen 848 .
n. Fogertach 849, 851, derthech 855.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{The} \mathrm{tr}$ of Forirend is probably palatal, and the word is always so written.
${ }^{2}$ A gloss.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. accus. Muime 775. Cf. also Latin acc. pl. Mumanensis 774, Mumhan-
enses 75 .
${ }^{4}$ Cf. Rotochtaigh 685. ${ }^{5}=$ 'plied'. From imb-ro-bert.
${ }^{6}$ Ined may equal $i n+f e d$ (later feadh), 'a space'.
${ }^{7}$ Cf. Findubrecc of L. Ardm.

Non-Weakening.
Fogertaigh 881, 886.

Weakening. Rogaillnech 883, g. Colcan 885. Fhoghartach 894.

Sloged 881, 914 (cf. sloiged 821, 859).
§60. As the weakening of $\breve{e}$ would not be noticeable after palatal consonants we must, in discussing the instances, leave out of account those consonants and consonant groups which would become palatalized by following $e$. From the foregoing mass of rather conflicting evidence it is difficult to decide at what date the change of $e$ to $a$ in unaccented syllables took place. At the outset, I think we may for the present leave out of consideration all instances occurring before A.D. 700. Reliable instances of $\check{e}$ before this time, and which afterwards were weakened, are $n$. Eugen 542, g. Colggen 621,677 , and (in final position) aue (descendant) 646.
§ 6I. aue. Taking the instances in detail we find the final $-e$ of aue broadened to -ae in auae ${ }^{1}$ at 700 (and written in the MSSboth H and R as aue). ${ }^{2}$ There is no other instance of the word in the nominative till 744 , by which time it has become aua. The writing of the initial $a^{3}$ which does not occur after $763^{4}$ shows that the spelling of the form is well preserved and may be taken as reliable. Hence I should be inclined to take the weakening of this $-\check{e}$ to $-a$ as a separate case from $-a e$ to $-a(<-\vec{e})$ and to place the date of the change at about this time. That this is not too late is borne out by nom. dual auae ${ }^{5} 743$ (Aue R) which is the last instance of the final $-e(-a e)$ of this word aue in its full form with $-\check{e}$ following an accent. Aua occurs again at 763 and its equivalent oa at 762, 795, etc.

The word aue is, however, exceptional inasmuch as it contains no consonant. An original semi-vowel $u$ which, between vowels, either
${ }^{1}$ Tighernach corresponding to 700 has aue.
${ }^{2} \varepsilon$ is the usual way of writing $-a e$ in the MSS. of the Annals.
${ }^{3}$ Initial a occurs commonly till 744, a. pl. auu, 742 ; n. dual auae 743, afterwards g. sing. aui 747,749 ; d. pl. auib 743, 756, last instance aua 763. At 762, 895, etc. oa, g. pl. at 740, 748, 794. For a full list of the forms of aue see below, Declension, io-stems.
${ }^{4}$ Except in the very archaic dative auib 877.
${ }^{5}$ The broadening to -ae might denote the transition period, but cf. auae 700.
disappears in Irish (after a long vowel) or becomes a diphthong in conjunction with the preceding vowel is retained before $\dot{k} .{ }^{1} \quad$ As its development is interesting and is very fully shown in the Annals, a discussion of its phonology may not be out of place here. ${ }^{2}$ Aue comes from *auios < *auiios. The $o$ of -os before it fell changed $i$ to $e$. We have thus aue 646 , and (before vowels) aue $h$-. Final $-\check{\iota}$ is preserved till 743 (n. dual auae, auf, R). Various changes then took place.
I. Final $-\check{e}$ becomes weakened to $-a$ in aua 743 as explained above.
II. $A u$ becomes $\delta^{3}$ which gives the g. pl. oa at $740,748,794,831$, etc. It does not occur in nom. sing. till 762 and aua is preserved till 763 , thus the change works out in twenty-three years.
III. The initial $a$ of the diphthong $a u$ fell away. This occurs before $i$ in $u i$ at $733,769,770$, etc., and before $e$ at hue $771,{ }^{4} 780$ before $u u$ at $742(u u), 751$, written $u$ at 769,775 , etc.
IV. We find a few instances where $\bar{o}$ seems to have become again $u a$. Thus acc. dual $u a, 775 . \mathrm{n}$. dual $u a, 780$.

This may be rather a weakening of $\check{c}-$, cf. $u e$, unless we imagine that $a u$ first became $\bar{o}$ as usual, and then $u a$, and that both changes took place simultaneously, but cf. § 62 .

The fall of the initial $a$ in aue, and the development of $u e$, uae might be compared to the fall of the $a$ of $a u$ in words borrowed from Latin, ${ }^{5}$ and in such names as Augaire, ${ }^{5}$ Ugaire, or to the development of $a u$ (before $s$ and $i$ ) in diphthongs such as n. $g d u,{ }^{6} \mathrm{~Wb}$. 14c24, g. gue, Wb. 14c29, Ml. 3rbi2 ; guaigedar, Ml. 3rbi, which is gú- also in composition ; ${ }^{7}$ nūe, nua fr. ${ }^{*}$ nouios $<{ }^{*}$ newios. Gaul. Novio. An older form is n. naue, St. Gall. p. 217 margin (Thes. Pal. Hib. ii. Introduction xxii.).
§ 62. But then we are met by the fact that there are two developments side by side, thus oa $740,748,762,794,795,858$, etc. (in
${ }^{1}$ For treatment of diphthong $a u$ before i, v. Pedersen, Vergl. Gram., 37, 4.
${ }^{2}$ For a full list of its forms, see Declension, io-stems.
${ }^{3}$ See diphthong $a u, 879$.
${ }^{4}$ G. pl. < * aue $n$ - < *auiom. Cf. n. sing. huae 767, 768, 770, 772, 778, etc. and g. pl. huae 829.
${ }^{5}$ Such as ughdar. See diphthong au; cf. au-gaire, a pastor, $<$ *ovi-' 'a sheep' + gaire.
${ }^{6}$ Cf. n. gáo Wb. 14 c22.
${ }^{7}$ Cf. guforcell, Wb. 13bi5, perhaps there may have been a loss of accent.
which the dissyllabic character of the word is indicated) beside hue 771,780 ; huae ${ }^{1} 767,768,770,772,824$, acc. pl. ou 849,867 , 912 , beside $u u \mathbf{7 5 1}^{1}, 775$, etc. from earlier $a u u^{2}$ (742).

A more satisfactory explanation of this dual development is a dual system of accentuation of the word awe. Up to about the middle of the eighth century aue was used as an ordinary noun with normal accentuation, afterwards (737, 771) a change took place and aue in certain positions came to be used as a patronymic and took on the accentuation of a pro-clitic word. Thus we might postulate two sets of forms àue, àua with normal accentuation, auè, auà with secondary accentuation. For the loss of accent of aue we may also compare the word Mael ${ }^{3}$ in Modern Irish in such names as Maeleoin, Maelsheachlainn, Maelchiarain which becomes reduced to muil, and further to $m l$ in the name Muelsheachlainn which becomes Mleachlainn, Bleachlainn in Modern Irish. Compare further mac in surnames which becomes with loss of $m$ in pretonic position, 'ac, and later $x$ with a reduced vowel. ${ }^{4}$ The weak form $u i$ of g . aui occurs at ( 669 , $674),{ }^{5} 733,769,770,816$, whilst the weak form uib of the dative plural occurs for the first time at $9^{13}$, again at $94 \mathrm{I}, 96 \mathrm{I}, 983,987$, 1037, 1044, the strong form oib occurring for the last time at 964. The nominative singular huae begins at 767 , then at $768,770,772$, etc., whilst the form oa appears for the last time at 949, ua occurring at 919, 992, ro31. The accusative plural ou appears for the last time at 912 , the accusative singular $o a$ at 948 . The entry oa 949 , and the corresponding dat. pl. oib ${ }^{6} 964$ are the last entries of the strong (dissyllabic) forms. At 953 we have a dative singular $o$ which is confused with $n$. sing. form $o a 9 \mathbf{9 1 2}, 918$ which indicates that the

[^34]n. sing. form had by that time become monosyllabic. ${ }^{1}$ This period 912-964 corresponds to the period of the establishing Irish surnames with O , often popularly attributed to Brian Borumba, which in reality arose out of the natural development of the language during his youth.
§ 63. The weakening of other instances of $-\check{c}$ in the $n$. sing. of iostems I shall consider separately. For the sake of clearness I shall consider cases of final $-\breve{e}$ following a non-palatal consonant apart from final -ae from (long) $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$, $\overline{\mathrm{ae}} . \quad$ See $\check{e}>a$ below.

Note.-Mael. The following are the occurrences of the genitive of mael during the Old Irish period: g. Maelmbracho 621, g. Maelduibh 626, g. Maelcobha 649 (cf. n. Maelcobho 646), g. Maelodrain 650, Moelcobha 653, g. Moelcobho 653, Maelecobha 657, Maelefuataigh 651, Maelecobha 663, Maeleduin 664, 668, 675, 681, 682, 688, Crunn mail 666, 670, Maelcobho 669, Maelefothartaigh 669, 735, Maeletuile 67x, Maelduibh 673, 682, 696, Maelefithrich 680, mac Crunnmael 687, Maeleumai 681, Maeilduin 689 (H, Maeleduin, R) Maeleditraibh 691, Maeleodvae 692, 693, Maeledoith 696, Maeleduin 697, 705, 706, 711, 717, 740, 777, 787, 818, Crunnmail 699, Maeletuile 699, 735, Maeleracho 700, g. Maelcon 702, Maeleanfaid 709, Maileduin 715, 821, Maelfothartaigh 718, Maelefithrich 721, Maeleanfaith 724, 745, Maelrubi 736, Maeleaithcen 737, aui Maeluidir 737, Maileoctrigh 741, Maeleimorchair 750, Maelemanach 775, Maeletolai 778 (cf. n. Crunnmail 792), Maelruain 802, Maeleruain 8xo, Maelehumai 815, Maeleduin 822, 824, 862, 867, 881, Maelefothartaigh 832, Maelchonoc 834, Maelcron 837 (cf. d. Maelsechnaill 838), Maelruanaidh 838, 842 (cf. a. Maelsechnaill $8_{40}, 8_{44}, 845,858$ ), g. Maele tuile 842, 847, 870, 885, Maelebresail $8_{44}, 852$, 857, Maelruanaig 844, 846, 855 (cf. d. Maelsechnaill 847, 850), Maelpatraic 846, Mailbrigtae 849, g. Maelsechnaill 849, 859, Maeluidhir 85 (cf. n. Maelsechnaill 853, 855, 857), Maelbrigti 854, n. Maelsechlainn 857, 913, 914, Maeleruain 869, g. Maelsechnaill 876, Maelecothaidh 871, Maelecorcrai 878, Maelchaurarda 880, Maelsechlainn (g.) 881, Maelteimin 883, Maelecaurarda 884, Maelghualai 894. Maelsechnaill 904, 912, 913, Maelphatraicc 909, 943, Mailmorda 9x1, Maeldomnaigh 911, Maelfhind 912, Maelbrighte 912, 914 (cf. im Maelmbrighti 913), Mael. sechlainn 914, 915, 918, 920, 937 (947), Maelshechnaill 919, Maelbrigte 934, 954, Maelmuire 938, g. Maelmithig 941 (947, 955), Mailmithidh 943, Maelmordai 943 (946), Maelfiachrach 951, Maeldoid, 957.

It will be noticed that the declined forms of mael get scarce at about 850 , when the g. maele seems to be replaced by mael (mail) with aspiration, and have gone out by 950. Mael however appears as a full word followed by eclipsing $m$ (of accusative) at 913, cf. Maelmbuad 977. In Modern Irish mael (muil) is not aspirated after $u i$ (and $n i$ ) in surnames.
${ }^{1}$ The $o$ at 737 which Hennessy translates as the gen. pl. of ui (Faelain) is the preposition o'from'. The entry is co rucc giallu o Faelan (not Faelain) " and

$$
e>a \text { (continued) }
$$

§64. The genitives Muman $695,{ }^{1} 700,712,724,727,734$. N. Fogartach 713, 716 (acc.), 723, 737, g. Cinadon (a from $\bar{e}$ ?) 729 , 748 , and the genitives of the guttural stems Bethach 727, Finnubrach ${ }^{2} 718$, (798), Rogallnig 704, slogad ${ }^{3}$ 706, 714, are our oldest instances of weakening between consonants.

If we may place any reliance on the spelling of these instances, which are all written thus, in full, in H , we should be inclined to put the weakening of $e{ }^{\iota}$ between consonants early in the first half, or at the very beginning, of the eighth century. Moreover, as we shall see continually as we go along, the Annals are very fond of preserving archaic forms, and the gen. Colgen (with one $g$ ) is preserved till 848 . When a particular spelling was established for a certain word it was liable to be kept on without change for a considerable period, as was the $\check{e}$ in this case, without the weakening of the vowel being noticed or taken into account. In this instance for the $e$ in the genitive from nominative Colggu ${ }^{4}$ 701, 721 , etc., compare in Vita Columbae g. Colgion side by side with Colgen, as if from a nom. ${ }^{*}$ Colgiu. The genitive Colggene, 777 , is probably a diminutive (leg. Colggéni).

A more helpful word is Eugen $=E u+$ gen. ${ }^{5}$ It is noticeable that no instance with $-e^{-}$- occurs after Eugen 666, the next instance, Eugan 773, showing the change to $a$. N. Eugan occurs again at 775, and Eugen does not reappear. Cumuscc, at 776, which I take $=$ cum + mesc, also shows a weakening, the $u$ being used in connection with $m$ (a labial). N. Aedgen occurs at 770 , and again at 863 . The group $d g$ appears to be one easily palatalized by following slender vowel, and there is no sufficient evidence that it is not so here. Cf. g. Aedgein LL. 35 1g26, etc. Moreover, Aedgen Britt 863 is given in Chron. Scotorum as Edged Brit, which is probably more correct.
took hostages from Faelan". This Faelan died in the same year. For construction, cf. co tucc giallu o Domnall, 778. G. pl. o occurs as early as 88 r. Cf. also ócu 9 мг.
${ }^{1}$ Instances also occur-636, 664, 677-but they may be influenced by late handling.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Findubrec L. Ardm., Theu. Palhib ii. 26r. The present instance has $n n$ for $n d$.
${ }^{3}$ Slogad 706, 714 appears as sloged 88 r , 9r4, sloiged 82 r , but it is doubtful.
${ }^{4}$ This seems to indicate a palatal nature of $-n$, but that is unusual.
${ }^{5}$ Lat. Eugenius, Gr. Éj̧évıos, but cf. Pedersen, Vergl. Gram. p. 73. Gaul. Esugen(us).

Another instance of weakening is at 76 r , Robartach, if it is, as I take it, from $R o+$ bertach. No earlier instance of the word appears. In g. Rogellnaich ${ }^{1} 721$ occurs the last instance of $e$ in a possible non-palatal position in this word, as the - $l l n$ - is here nonpalatal. This, moreover, is the form which gave the dialectical Raghallaig, which continually occurs in the later years in the Annals side by side with Raghaillig. ${ }^{2}$ In all these questions, where considerations of palatalization or non-palatalization are involved, there is a tendency for separate dialectical forms to develop, all of which were liable to get thrown together in the Annals. It seems to me that we have in this word an instance of this. In the g. Rogellnigh 769 the -lln- is palatal, as is general in Modern Irish. The instances n. Rogaillnech $8_{14}, 883$, g. Rogaillnich 872 are not much to the point. ${ }^{3}$ The -lln- is palatal, and in all such positions ai occurs in other words, ${ }^{4}$ e.g. g. Eugain 699, 726, 729, etc., g. Comgaill 600, and sometimes $i$ (v. Orthography $a i, i$ ).
N. Rotechtach, which appears at 796, seems to be the same word as g. Rotachtaig 685 . The instance of this word occurring at 720 has unfortunately the vowel of the second syllable omitted. There seems to be what one might call a revival of the $\check{e}$ at about 800 ; for example, a. Sogen 802 is followed by ined, ${ }^{5}$ 'a place,' 803 , but the latter is doubtful.

The genitives Mumen, $778^{6}$ (' of the Munstermen') at 778 and later at 792. Iarmumen ${ }^{7} 834$, we should also probably regard as a mere archaic preservation ${ }^{8}$ of a well-known spelling. Compare Iarmuman, Desmuman 832. In this connection, I would call attention to accus. Muime ${ }^{9}$ 775, with a clearly palatal $m$ (cf. also dat. de-

[^35]Mume " of the Munstermen," 775), and we must not forget that in the derivative Muimnech, a Munsterman, the $m n$ is palatal. The modern g. Mumhan (cf. Muman 724, 727) has a non-palatal $m h$. The non-palatal $m$ is also shown in the dat. Mumae 825. Cf. Tuadmumu, Notes in Book of Armagh (Thes. ii. p. 365).

I have now dealt with all the instances except the ubiquitous Fogertach (modern Foghartach, pron. Fäghartach) who appears first as Foghartach $713,716,723,737$, then Fogertach 747, 750, 760, 766, 768,770 (bis), $780,824,849,851,88 \mathrm{r}, 886$, which is the last appearance. It is noticeable that Fogertach does not appear from 780 till 824, and in this interval Fogartach is common at 785,786 , 788, 796, 894, etc.

Here again a palatal $g$ is possible, ${ }^{1}$ and dialect may be in part responsible for the preservation of the $e$ of Fogertach though different authors or sources may also help to account for it.
§ 65. As regards the evidence of other texts L. Armagh has nearly all instances of $\check{e}$ in unaccented position preserved, as ached, atropert, clocher, Findubrecc, but weakening in adopart, contubart which latter may be due to scribe who wrote after A.D. 800 .

The prima manus in the Wb . glosses preserves its unaccented $\vec{e}$, 's without weakening. So also does the Cambray Homily.

In Life of St. Columba, about 700, है (and ö) are preserved: ached, Lathreg, etc.

The final ech of genitive of guttural stems, such as Lugdech < Lugudeccas seems to have become weakened very early. Our text has no instance after Lugdech 484 .
§ 66. On the whole, making allowance for some obviously archaic spellings, there are no significant instances in the Annals to show that the change of $-\check{c}$ - to $-a$ - (between non-palatal consonants) did not take place very early in the eighth century. Nearly all the words which show $-e$ - later have weakened forms in the earlier years of the eighth century. The treatment of $-e^{2}-$ in Vita Columbae and Book of Armagh fixes the superior limit. There are, however, in the Annals, some remarkable instances of $-c-$ - throughout the eighth and up to the middle of the ninth century (cf. § 76).

[^36]
## (b) $-\check{\mathrm{e}}>\mathrm{a}$.

§ 67. I give here for special consideration instances ${ }^{1}$ of final -e following a non-palatal consonant in the nominative singular of io- and dental stems, and in a few other words. Sometimes ee stands for $-a$ e, as in insole 735 for insolae, etc., and, vice versa, d. Tailtae 732, and the quality of the consonant preceding the final ee is not always clear. In $\S 92$ below, I consider the whole question of the weakening of $-a e$ $(-e)$ to $-a$, of which the largest number of instances are from the genitives of a-stems. ${ }^{2}$
(g. *lunge 672), amne (thus) 687 (poem), Chualne 690, n. Rechtabrae 733 (Rechtabre, R). n. Oitechde 729 (cf. g. Aitechtai 72 1), n. Tole 737 (cf. g. Tolai 764, g. Toli 792), g. Delmne 741, g. Slana 757, g. *Dochre 769, a. isin Fochla 770, 778, olchena 776, n. Flannabra ${ }^{3}$ 777, n. Rechtabra 786, cene ${ }^{4} 797$, dalta 813, but daltae 869.

The final -e of Rechtabre 733 (from earlier eै) has become -a by 786; similarly Flannabra 777. In Slane, when the $n$ became nonpalatal, we find -e becoming -a at 757 . We have $-e$ without weakening in cene 797, but olchena 776. The $-e$ is here from $\bar{e}$; cf. $-e$, ae of genitive of a-stems from -ē- or -ia-. ${ }^{5}$ Fochla 770, 778, 'north,' goes back to n. ${ }^{*}$ fochlae $<{ }^{*}$ fo-chle ${ }^{6}$; cf. W. gogledd. On the whole this case seems to be parallel to the weakening of $\check{e}$ in aue, but this material does not offer sufficient evidence to show that the change took place before the last quarter of the eighth century.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ia } & \text { ia } \\
\text { io }
\end{array}\right\}>{\mathrm{ia} .{ }^{7}}^{7}
$$

§68. Finnio 548, g. Biein 583 (cf. Biain 589) iar $^{8} 687$, g. liac 677 (of $\mathrm{n} . l i e$ ).
${ }^{1}$ The instances of aue are given above.
${ }^{2}$ The final -e of a-stems is not Indo-Germanic, but is an innovation in Irish. It comes from -ias or és. There are certain analogies for both: Ogham g. Ercias (Macalister, iii. p. 152), g. of Erc ; cf. g. Erce 56o. As to -es there is an Ogham Avitoriges.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. abre, abrae of Rechtabrae 733. ${ }^{4}$ cen $+\bar{e}$, 'already'. ${ }^{5}$ Cf. note above.
${ }^{8}$ 'On the left,' i.e. north. $\quad{ }^{7}$ ('f. weakening of $\breve{e}$ to $a$ in unstressed syllables.
${ }^{8}$ Cf. L. Ardm. iersíidiul, iersin. But it probably goes back to ${ }^{*}$ epero-m : Goth. afar, Sans. apara. Cf. Pedersen $\S 54$.

590, Nieth ${ }^{1} 692$ (cf. niadh Maccnia 7or.
494), cf. Dermato 703, 714, Flaithnia 714, 754, 777.

Macnio, 708, $779 . \quad$ Diarmoda 723 (Diarmata, R), liag 724.
g. Triein ${ }^{2}$ 737, (Imlecho) Fea 746. (Imlecho) Fia 736, Flaithniadh Ferfio 761, Cathnio 769.
Dermait 777, Macnio 779. die (samnae) 780 .

Dermait 822, 838, 850 . mac Iellaen ${ }^{4} 825$, Abnier 826 .
(Imlecho) Fio 842, Diermait 847.
Iercne ${ }^{5} 85 \mathrm{I}$, g. Finnio 858 .
er ${ }^{6}$ cath 868, g. Iergni 882.
cf. g. Ergni 885.
Dermait $95^{2}$.
In discussing this change it is important to bear in mind that $i e$ was often merely a way of writing the diphthong later expressed by $i a$. Vadum Clied $=$ ath Cliath occurs in Adamnan's Life of Columba (v. Thes. ii. p. 277), but another MS. ${ }^{7}$ has vadum Cleeth, which is obviously the older form. Even so, the occurrence of the form Clied at this period (early eighth century) is important, and together with Dermato ${ }^{8}$ at 703 points to the confusion of $i e, i a$ and $\bar{e}$ at that period. In like manner we have Maccnia at 70 r, though Macnio occurs at 708, 779. The writings of ie in the ninth century must be taken as a method of expressing the diphthong ia, and have hardly any dialectical significance. The spelling Fio 842 must be also an archaism, as we find Fia at 736, 797. On the other hand Finnio occurs at 858 .

[^37]
## (3) ue $>$ ua.

§69. Apart from the forms of aue in $u e$ at 771,780 , we have no instance of ue except Tueth 614 and *Cathrue 785. In Chron. Scot. it is Toath vel Taeth; in Four Masters and LL. it is Toadh. In R $T u e t h$ is written $\mathbb{C u}$ 乞 (i.e. $T u+h$ over the contraction for $e t$ ).

Tuenog $=T u+e n+o g$ does not occur again, and is hardly a genuine instance. In Maelruanaig 798 (802, 810) ruan- may come from ro-ān, or shall we analyse ruanaid $=$ ro-fhēinid, ' a great warrior'?

Mochonno Chuerni (?) 714 is probably corrupt. We might also compare Flathruae 773, but in this case the $e$ is final.

## (4) $\mathbf{o}>\mathrm{a}$.

§ 70. In the following section on the change of $\breve{o}$ to $a$ in unaccented syllables, I arrange the material in two main classes :-
(I) the change of $\breve{\partial}$ to $a$ between consonants;
(2) the change of $\breve{o}$ to $a$ in final position.

The latter, which will consist mainly of the genitives of i- and ustems, I shall deal with separately.

Amongst the first group I include all the possible instances of original $\check{o}$ and shall afterwards discuss such of the instances as may not be valid.
g. Telocho 575 .
g. Illannon 585 , Illandon 586.
g. pl. Uloth 556, 576, 577, 610.

Canonn 620, 672.
Rigullon 628, Cinedon 630.
loscoth 642, Aidlogo 651.
n. Cathusach ${ }^{1} 667,681$; Uloth ${ }^{2} 673$, fota 696.
g. Canonn 705. Ulath 701, 734.

[^38]g. Manonn 710, Diccolan 710
g. Bodbchoda 725 .
g. (droma) Fornocht 726.
g. Feroth 728.
n. (Cochul) odhor 729.

* Tetomun 729.
g. *Fallomuin 732.

Talorggan ${ }^{3} 733$.
anacol ${ }^{4} 742$, poem.
g. Cinadon 748.
g. Biror 749 .
g. Dunchodha ${ }^{5} 757$.
g. Mugdhorne 758 .
n. *Fallomon 764.
g. Murcodha 764 (sic R).
n. Encorach 768.
flechodh 776.
Forbosach 778, Murchodha 779.
g. Cinadon ${ }^{7} 777$.
g. Cenond 784.
n. Aoran 782.
n. ${ }^{*}$ Febordaith 785 ( = Faebur- g. Follamain 796. daith, Rawl. B. 502).
g. Lugedon 789 .
hodur 796, Murchoda $796 . \quad$ g. Lugadon 800.
g. Uloth 809, g. Loch Echoch 817.

Amhalngaidh ${ }^{1} 717$.
Forbasach ${ }^{2} 713$.
(cf. g. Diarmoda, 723 ).
g. *Telcha 730. (Cf. g. Telocho 575, 576.) (H has Delocho.)
g. Ulath 734.
g. Amalgada 741 .
g. (Becc) Baili mic Echach 748 .
g. Nuadhat 750.
g. Noiscan ${ }^{6} 753$.
n. ascalt 763 .
cf. g. Follamhain 765.

Bodbchad 773.
g. Forbasaig 785 .
g. Uladh 808 (poem), Forbusuich 821, g. pl. Ulath ${ }^{8} 826$.
g. Nodot 817 , n. loscuth 824 .
g. Bodbchoda 824, Sechonnan 858. n. Fallomhan 829, g. Bodhbcadha 834.
${ }^{1}$ Amolngid occurs thus three times in L. Ardm.
${ }^{2}$ Probably from forbas, but cf. Forbosach 77. $\quad{ }^{3}$ A foreign name.
${ }^{4}$ More usually anacul. $\quad{ }^{5}$ From a $n$ Dunchath, Dunchad.
${ }^{6}$ Original vowel of final syllable doubtful.
${ }^{7}$ A king of the Scottish Picts. $\quad{ }^{8}$ To rhyme with ulach, bearded.

* The instances where $o$ would be preserved owing to $m, b$, I mark with an asterisk.
g. Donncodha, 832 a. Matodan Loscadh 834 (bis) 839 . 850, ${ }^{1}$ Maelodor 869, a. Feronn g. pl. Ulath 852, 856, 869 (occa 862, 845, 871 ; *Gabhor 864, 862). g. Foclado 870, ascolt 878 (rex), Mac Cinadan 877. Uloth 894, 897, Cair Ebroc 866 (occo 870).
g. Eochocain 894, 913, 918, Ascolt 899 .
g. Eoch 913, in-erloch 917. do anacal 920, Follamhain, 920.
g. Locha Echoch 944.
(Oa Cananvan 949), di-ulochta ${ }^{2}$ Loch n-Echach 954.

964. 

§ 77. The material is not very satisfactory owing to the nonrecurrence of names showing the development. The period of change is perhaps best indicated by the confusion of $o$ - forms where $a$-forms were original. Such are g. Bodbchoda ${ }^{3} 725$, g. Dunchoda 757 (cf. g. Dunchado 669, 673, 700, 705, 734, etc.), and Murchoda ${ }^{4}$ 764.

If we could rely on these as being the spellings of the time they would lead us to the conclusion that not only had inter-consonantal -ŏ become $-a$ - but that the final -o of i - and u -stems had also become $-a$, an impossible conclusion in view of the weight of evidence on the change of final -o to $-a .{ }^{5}$ (See below $o$ in gen. of i - and u-stems.) Moreover, the change of inter-consonantal $-\varnothing$ to $-a$ was largely a question of accent, whereas the final $-\check{o}$ of i - and u stems is a contraction of ${ }^{*}-\bar{o} s,{ }^{*}$-ous, and the -o survived after the force of the accent had spent itself. The confusion of final vowels ${ }^{6}$ was as a rule a much later change than weakening after accent.

Perhaps in these entries, however, we have merely a transposition of $-a$ and $-o$, and that Dunchoda, Bodbchoda, Murchoda are merely

[^39]wrong expansions by some of the scribes of such a writing as ${ }^{\circ} \overline{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{c}^{1} \bar{n}^{1}{ }^{1}$ for $D u$ nchado. ${ }^{2}$

As regards other instances, we have such varying evidence as g. Echach 748, ${ }^{3}$ but Echoch 817 (fr. n. Echu), ascalt 763, but ascolt 878, g. Nuadhat 750, but Nodot $817,<n \bar{d} d e n s$, *nödentos, or *nödons, *nödontos.

It is, however, worth noticing that of the thirty-five valid instances of $-\varnothing$ - in unaccented syllables between consonants, from 725 onwards to 950 , twenty-one, or three-fifths of the examples occur after $c$ (one word) or ch. ${ }^{4}$ Of the remainder we have in di-ulochta 964 , in-eroloch 917 (second $\check{o}$-) instances in which the $\begin{gathered} \\ \\ \\ \end{gathered}$ precedes ch. Ciall trogh 745 has double accentuation. We may add that in later Irish oo develops before $c h$ in g. Eochach, side by side with g. Eachach.

Of the remaining instance in this period, except Biror 749, g. Cenond 784, a. feronn 862, we have $\check{o}$ in connection with $d h$ or th (for $d h$ ). ${ }^{5}$
In this way we find Echoch as genitive of Echu, though Echach also occurs, preserved till 949 . We also have Uloth, an established spelling preserved till 897. The cause of this preservation or revival during the ninth century is difficult to account for.

On the whole the earliest instances of the weakened forms are in this case better evidence as to the date of the change than the later survivals of old forms. The first real instances of the change of $-\delta$, to $-a$ are Amhalngaidh ${ }^{6} 717$ (again at 74 I , with $n$ lost). Ulath $701-$ 734, with weakening from the very beginning of the eighth century : g. Echach 748 (fr. n. Echu), * Forbasach ${ }^{7} 71$ 3, and g. Crothrann 719 are doubtful. The spelling Amhalngaidh (717), as the word loses
${ }^{1}$ Cf. the contraction 'oūncӣo, H, at 673, 699, ouch̄o 680, Ounchā 706, 718, 731. For readings of these forms $\mathrm{v} . t h>d h_{\mathrm{t}}$
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Dunchadò 700, 705, 734, etc.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Moccu Echach in the Book of Armagh.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. also the gen. dual in Glinne da locho 903, but Glinne da locha 774. This use of $\check{ð}$ after $c h$ may help us with the forms Murchoda, etc., referred to above. The spellings Donnchoda, Bodbchoda, etc., become very common during the ninth century.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{Cf}$. change of th to $d h$ in an unaccented syllable. The accentuation of Feroth 725 is doubtful. Possibly both changes took place about the same time.
${ }^{6}$ If L. Arm. has the correct spelling where Amolnged occurs three times.
${ }^{7}$ Cf. Forbosach 778 , and note on $\S 70$ above.
its $-n$ early (741), seems pretty reliable. Thus we see that the evidence of the earliest appearances ${ }^{1}$ of weakened forms, together with the somewhat unreliable evidence of confusion between $-\breve{a}$ and $-\check{0}$, indicate that the change took place in the early part of the eighth century.
§ 72. With regard to the evidence of other texts, unaccented $\check{\sigma}$ is preserved between consonants, with a few exceptions, in the Book of Armagh. This book was transcribed in the early ninth century, but the language of Muirchu's memoirs and Tirechan's notes, as is pointed out by the editors (Stokes and Strachan) in the Introduction to the Thesaurus Paleohibernicus, vol. ii., belongs to the end of the seventh century. The exceptions referred to must be due to later annotators or to the scribe Ferdomnach, who wrote the book. Instances, ${ }^{2}$ such as Fochluth for earlier Fochloth, show weakening.

In the place names in Adamnan's Life of Columba (about 700) unaccented $\check{o}$ is preserved.

In the prima manus of the Wurzburg glosses ŏ (unaccented) between consonants is preserved.

These considerations bring the superior limit of the change up to about the year 700 , and, as already pointed out, the Annals preserve no significant instances of the preservation of $\check{\sigma}$ much later.
(5) $o>a$ in Gen. Sing. of i- and u-stems.
§ 73. The material offered by the Annals is abundant but suffers from the same defect as in the last instance-perhaps even more so-that is, the very late survival of obviously archaic forms. In addition, we have here the opposite error of writing genitives in $-a$ even in the very early periods.

Beginning with the year 687 where the -0 in g. Imblecho is established by the rhyme, ${ }^{3}$ we have the following instances of gen. -0 of
${ }^{1}$ G. Cinadm 748, $777>$ Cinadon, and Lugadon $789>$ Lugadon $800(e>a)$ I have not discussed. In both words the $o$ is in the third syllable. The former, probably foreign, is the name of one of the Pictish kings.
${ }^{2}$ For further instances cf. Thes. ii. Introduction.
${ }^{3}$ For further instances of final -o established by rhyme cf. poetry in Cath. Cairn Conaill, ed. Stokes, Frag. Ann. (the Cummene story), etc.
i- and u-stems. For the purpose of convenience of comparison I give the writings in $-a$ in the opposite column.
g. Aedho 689, 699, g. Boendo ${ }^{1}$

Moelcobho 653, 663, 692 (cf. g.

## Moelcobho 653)

g. Maeleracho 700, g. Trego 699. [Aeda 709] ${ }^{2}$ Aedha 717 (Aedo

Dunchado 609, 700, 706, g. Aedo R).
702, 704, 7 10, 711 , g. Conamlo Oengusa 702.
704.
g. Daro 708, 709, Acdo 710. g. Fergusa 709, $711,712,713$,
g. Doirgarto 709, g. Ailello 712, none in $-a$.

727, Murcadho 714.
Murchada 714.
g. Aedo ${ }^{3} 717$ (R), Ceninnso 717. Cluana 715,717 ; Aeda ${ }^{3} 717$ (H).

Dimerggo 718, g. Alo 720 (cf. Dunchada 718.

Nuado 721).
locho 721, Muirgiso 721.
Daro 724, *Chobo 724.

Ailello 725, carno 728, Dromo 728 (droma, R), Murchadho 729, 739.

Daro 731, 742 ; Duinechdo 731.
Aedo 732, 736, 741 ; cobo 732, 738.

Fergusso 732, 735, 736, 740; Congusso 733.
Duncado 734, Atho 737, 745. Fergussa 737, 742.
Ailello 738, 739, 740; Cluano Aeda 738 (H, R has Aedh). 739, Fiannamlo ( $-a, \mathrm{R}$ ).
Forgusso 740, Treno 742, Dromo Aedha 742, Muirgiussa 743.
744 (Droma, R), Drommo foto Cluana 744, 747, 748, 75 I (bis),
${ }^{1}$ Or Boento, cf. g. Boanta 838. From the MS. it may be either one or the other.
${ }^{2}$ A gloss.
${ }^{3}$ This correction by the scribe of $R$ is probably due to the influence of the forms at $702,704,710,71 \mathrm{II}$, or was he here utilising some of the original sources? But cf. next note.
${ }^{4}$ A gloss in H , corrected to Aedo in R as he had corrected the others.

745, Imlecho 746, flatho 749, Fergusso 749, Aedho, 749, Murchado 748, Conguso 751 (Congiso, R).
Esso 752, Atho 753, 760, Daro 751, 761.
Aedho 758, Clono 759, Feidelmtho 760.

Airledo 771, Daro 772, 774; Bero 773.
Aido 775, Cobho 775, Caladromo 776.

Aedo 777, 778, 783 (bis); Cluano ela 777, fola 777, locha 777, 785, 778, Daro 778, 78ı.
Temro 779, Imlecho 780, Cloento cluana 777, 778, 779; Murchoda. 78 r.
Ratho 781, 783, 787 ; Atho 783, 789.

Duncadho 783, Dego 783, 788.
Fochlado 784, Daro 786 (three Aedha 785, 786, 787. times), 797, 803.
Aidho 787, Ailello 788, 791; Ailella 800, 801; Dunchada 800, Bochallo 790.
Muirmhedho 797, Aedo (Oird- Anmcadha 801, locka 8or, 804. nidhi), $803 .{ }^{3}$
Fergusso 804, 821; Muirgiusso Donnchada 802, 807; Niallgusa 809.
ratho 809, Ailello 815, 844, 845. Cenindsa 813, Ailgusa 813, Donnchada 82I.
Murchadho 817, Atho 820, 837. Cinaeda 827, Bodhbcodha 829.
Duncado 82r (sic H, Dunchada, Ruamlusa 832, 84r; Aeda 839 R).

Aedo 822, Feidilmtho 821 , Cinaedo 848.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Drommo foto. This case may be due to the scribe.
${ }^{2}$ Leg. Murchado?
${ }^{3}$ The ninth century instances I have considered in detail, but have not filled them in fully here.

Temro 845, 853, 858, 859, 863, Diarmata 84I, 842, 848, 864, 869. 873, 875 (bis) ; Atha 844, 845 . Diarmota 845, locha 848, 849.
Artgusso 864, Diarmato 866, occo Cinaeda 850, 878, 884, 912. 870.

Aedo 869, 870, 882, 885, 888 ; Sratha 851, 889 ; Dega 854, 872, Cinaedo 87 I .
Noindromo 872, Maelcobo 878 .
Fiangusa 873, (Rosa ${ }^{1} 873$ ).
Temru ${ }^{2}$ 886, Oengusso 884, Daro Cluana 870, 879, 880; Mael884, 917. chobha 876.
Imlecho 887, 889, 898, 912 (cf. fola 877, fatha 877, broga 878. oco 890).
Atho 892, 894 ; g. da locho 903. Cluana 881, 883; fota 890.
Aedo 907 (bis), 909, 911, 912, Atha (Cliath) 901, Soergusa 902.
913, 914, 917.
Murchado 920, Atho 930, Aedo 932.

Atho (Cliath) 941, 979. ${ }^{3} \quad$ Fergussa 959.
§ 74. The above are instances of i - and u -stems from about A.D. 700 to 979 . The genitives in -o before 700 , with the exception of the few quoted, I have not thought it necessary to put down. I have, however, given instances of the writing of genitives in $-a$ in the early periods, because even though they afford no help in fixing the date of the change, ${ }^{4}$ they may be of value as showing how far the influence of the scribe and late orthography are responsible for the condition of the earlier entries.

From the material we see genitives in $-o$ and $-a$ written side by side during almost the whole of the Old Irish period. On the one hand we find genitives in $a$ - in the early years of the eighth century, whilst on the other we find genitives in -o so late as Murchado 920, Atho 946 , Fergusso 959 , Atho $979,{ }^{5}$ which is the last instance of -o in the genitive of an $u$-stem occurring in the Annals.

[^40]An explanation of the genitive in $-a$ in the earlier entries might be sought in the carelessness of the scribe who, for example, made Oengusso, Oingusso into Oengusa 702, through ignorance of Old Irish forms. ${ }^{1}$ A further instance is Aedha at 717 in H, which is corrected to $A e d h o^{2}$ in R. The scribe of R also corrected the gloss Aedha at 723 in H to Aedho, probably on the analogy of the entries of Aedo previous to this. Mistakes of $-a$ for $-o$ in the early entries may also possibly be due to wrong expansion, and we must not place too much reliance on contracted forms. It is; however, more likely that the scribe should make the slip of writing the genitive of such a familiar word as Aeda for Aedo at 717, than that he should interfere with or mis-write the form of a less usual word. Whether the spelling of such a word as Aedo (918), Atho (941, 978), is attributable to the scribe or to the material he had before him is not clear. In any case, we are justified in attaching more importance to the form of an unusual name ${ }^{3}$ such as g. Boanta 839 , g. Ruamlusa 832 , 841 , or g. Fochlado 784, Bochallo 790, Muirmhedho 797, than to that of a frequently recurring name, as the scribe being less familiar with these unusual words would be more careful in writing them. Another circumstance, as already pointed out, ${ }^{4}$ which must not be lost sight of in these discussions, is the different sources ${ }^{5}$ from which the Annals have been taken, and the probability of dialectical and individual peculiarities in those sources. In considering this question it is well to recollect that whilst in the early stages $o$ represented, as in Modern Irish, a mid back rounded vowel, ${ }^{6}$ that later on it became weakened to a more or less indeterminable mixed vowel a which may have been considered to lie between $\breve{o}$ and $\breve{a}$, and to which different value

[^41]was attached by different writers, or by the same writer at different times.
§ 75. It will be noticed that at about 810 the genitives in -0 become very scarce. In the five years, $805-809$, there are twelve genitives in $-a$ (of i - and u -stems), and only three instances in $-o$ in the same period. In the five years, $8 \mathbf{1 0}-8 \mathbf{1 4}$, there are twelve instances in $-a$, inclusive of Aido, and none in -0 . In the ten years, $815-824$, the genitives in $-a$ and $-o$ are pretty evenly balanced, there being no marked instance on either side. All are familiar names, such as in -o-Ratho, Murchado, Mugrcado, Ailello, Atho, Fergusso, Dunchado (R has ounch $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ ) ; in - $a$-Aeda, Fergussa, Congusa, Chuana, Donnchadha, Cocha, etc. The material would suffer little if the words Cluana, Aeda could be left altogether out of account. The statistical method seems to be the usual way of treating this subject, and I tabulate here the number of occurrences from $780-800$ and 836 863 :-

| 795-789-13 , | Ratho, Ailello, etc.; | 13 | " | " |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 790-796-9 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | mostly unusual names; | 24 | , | , |
| $836-840-2$ " | - . | 13 | " | - |
| 841-845-4 , | - | 14 | " | - |

Later $o$ becomes more frequent; 863 has 3 in $-0, \mathrm{I}$ in $-a$, and 882 g . Aedo twice; none in -a.
§ 76. After this the gen. in oo becomes very rare, except that it was always used of Niall (Glundub) mac Aedho, King of Ireland, till the time of his death (918), and of the northern chieftains (920) and kings, ${ }^{1}$ e.g. Fergal mac Domnaill mic Aedo 932. Thus we see that Aedo, which was one of the first names to show the $-a$ forms, was preserved very late, possibly as a form of distinction. As the Annals are at this time so reliable in other respects, we must conclude that here the compiler wrote down what he had got before him.

The $-o$ is also preserved in the formula Gallaib atho Cliath at 892, 894, 874, 941, 979, and Atho Truim 820, 837, 930. Imlecho Ibhair (Tipperary) also keeps the -o form very late.

[^42]These later instances have probably got nothing to do with phonetic development.
§ 77. The Book of Armagh (Tirechan's notes, late seventh century) preserves the -o in gen. of i- and u-stems such as Clöno, Drommo, Fergusso, with one exception. ${ }^{1}$ So also do the later additions in that book, v. Thes. Pal. Hib. ii. pp. xv-xvi. In the foregoing I have not considered any instance of final $-a$ before 780 . But even in the Wb . glosses there are several instances of final $a$ - in gen. of i - and u -stems, thus: g. daggnima 6ari, but g. dagnimo in the same entry ; g. droggnimo 6a8, fessa $26 \mathrm{~d}_{15}$, etc. The Milan and St. Gall glosses have both $-o$ and $-a$ in the gen. of i- and u-stems. Cf. Strachan, CZ. iv. 472, 473, for examples. The Felire of Oengus ${ }^{2}$ has original -o to rhyme with $-a$ fr. -ae, e.g. Pr. 177, 182 Cluana (=Cluano) : buiada (=buadae), thus final oo had become weakened at the time.
§ 78. As already pointed out, I should be inclined to attach importance to such rare words as Cloento 781, Fochlado 784, Bochallo 790, Muirmedho 797, as indicating that -0 had a distinct phonetic value towards the end of the eighth century. Ten years afterwards, as already noted, genitives in $-o$ become very scarce. In endeavouring to fix an inferior limit some importance must be attached to such forms as g. Ruamlusa 832, 841, Boanta 838 , as indicating that at that period the change-phonetic at least-had taken place. On the other hand, as we have seen above, the gen. in oo was traditionally preserved in individual place and personal names till the end of the Old Irish period, and even later. It is clear that this practice was kept on long after the genitive in oo had gone out of the ordinary language.

Although the evidence of the Annals does not help to establish the date of the change very accurately, still it is important as indicating that the change of final -o to $-a$ cannot be taken as an exact criterion in dating an Old Irish text, though the presence of oo may in a general way be taken as an indication of age.

[^43]
## (6) The Diphthong au.

§ 79. I include all the cases where $a$ and $u$ come together. The instances where the diphthong has become $\bar{o} \mathrm{I}$ have added for purposes of comparison.

Daui ${ }^{1}{ }_{501}$, Mauchteus ${ }^{2}$ 534, g. Muchti 534 (Mochta R), nauch (?) 562 (poem, rhymes with Duach), Duach 582, 583, Muchautu ${ }^{3}$ 636, Aublo 63 1, g. *Nao 640, mac *Caunga ${ }^{4}$ 664, Guaire ${ }^{5}$ 674, g. Nóe ${ }^{6} 674$, mac Auin ${ }^{7}$ 693, (Cluana) Auis 700, g. Noe 710, Auis 716, Eoain 717 (cf. Moudain 726), g. Phoil 733, Auis 745, 750, 777, 805, Guaire 751, 787, Au-inis 749, g. *Dochuae 770, g. f. nuae 778, Augustin 779, g. croo 779 (poem), ${ }^{8}$ ochtar ${ }^{9}$ 779, g. Noe 789 , g. Mochtai 81 $_{17}$, Ausaile ${ }^{10}$ 827 (cf. im Chluaen Eoais 836), Auis ${ }^{11}$ 839, (Cluain) Auis 850, (879), Auisle 862, 866 (Óisle, Frag. Ann.), Ausili 871, 873, mic Ausli 882, a. goi 882, Cluana hAuis (*Auis, R) 930, Augaire ${ }^{12}$ 916, 957 (Aughaire), Augran 916, (isind ) láu ${ }^{13} 916$ (cf. Goach 926), 944, Ugaire 973, 977, $102 \mathrm{I}, 1044$, isind ló 1088, lo 1090.
§ 80. To the above instances may be added the (strongly accented) forms of aue, which I give under Declension. ${ }^{14}$ The origin and afterdevelopment of many of the above instances are not clear. The general development is that Idg. au (which in Irish fell together with $e u$, ou ${ }^{15}$ ) became $\bar{o}$, which was after liable to become diphthongized to $u a$. Compare L. aurum, Ir. $\overline{o r}$, Paulus, Ir. $P \bar{o} l^{16} a u, \bar{o}$, an ear
${ }^{1}$ Glossed Duach (tinga umhai). Cf. 560, 562.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Mauchteus, Vita Columbae.
${ }^{3}$ Corrected to Mochuta on margin. $\quad{ }^{4} \mathrm{H}$ has m̆ caüga.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. Gōre, Latin, Vit. Columb. ; Gr. $\gamma \alpha \hat{v} \rho o s, ~ ' p r o u d, ' ~ S t o k e s . ~$
${ }^{6}$ Cf. Mac Naue, Vit. Columb. Thes. ii. 272. For g. Noe, cf. Sg. 69224.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Oan 724, and Ovanos on an Ogham stone at Killeen Cormac.
${ }^{8}$ Not printed by Hennessy. Cf. Welsh creu, crau < *krouos, *kreǔos and Conall crau, Frag. An. 722, g. críu, Imr. Br. 59, cróu B. in Scáil 46, cráo LL. 173a12, v. Meyer. Contrib.
${ }^{9}$ Cf. ōs, uas, W. uch, (uchel) < "aukvos (Thurneysen, KZ. xxx. 492).
${ }^{10}=$ St. Auxilius. Notice $s$ for $x$. Cf. 439, 459.
${ }^{11}$ Eois in Chron. Scot. 840. ${ }^{12}$ Cf. Ugaire 973, 977.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{~A}$ long diphthong.
${ }^{14}$ See io-stems and § 6 I above.
${ }^{15}$ For a discussion on this point, cf. Foy, CZ. iii. 265, and Zupitza, pp. 275 and 591 , in the same volume.
${ }^{16}$ In some words $a t$ had already become $o$ in popular I atin.
(L. auris $>$ *ausis, ${ }^{1}$ Lit. ausis). This change (of $a u$ to $\hat{0}$ ) had, in most cases, taken place at the beginning of the O. Ir. period-the end of the seventh century. After this we have instances of the $a u$ of aue < *auios, the last of which aua occurs in the Annals at 763 , with the exception of the very late auib 877 ; oa appears from 740 onwards.
§ 81', The long diphthong d. lāu 9r6 is an instance of $\bar{a}$ and $u$ placed side by side. This also becomes $\bar{o}$ in $t \bar{o}$ (ro88). Compare $d \bar{a} u, d \bar{o}<{ }^{*} d \underline{o} u u$, and atáu becoming hitó, M1. 92b8, etc. Dative Flaunn occurs at $863,876,913$, but the $\check{a} u$ resulting from $u$-infection I prefer to consider separately.
§ 82. Another development of $a u$ (which does not take place till a later period) is the fall of the $a$. Thus Augaire ${ }^{2} 916,957$ becomes Ugaire 973, etc., Augran 916, Ugran, Frag. Ann. 908, where the phenomenon occurs before $g$. Compare ${ }^{3}$ Mod. Ir. ughdar, L. auctor, g. pl. Auctor, Wb. 3c4, Mod. Ir. cuís, L. causa, O. Ir. cōis, and the u -infection in baullu ( Wb .3 b26), which sometimes results in $-u$ as in bullu ; further, Maugdornu (=Mugdornu) in L. Ardm., in the Annals always Mug.
au occurs before $r$ in Aurchath 944, Maelchaurardda 871, 880, 884, and Aurthulae 675, 699, 726, where it varies with er-, ir-. This I have already referred to under Orthography, § 33. We cannot build much, however, on forms the pronunciation of which is not clear.

For the usual Cluain auis we find Cluaen Eoais 836, which seems to be the Cluain Eois of later times. The Danish name, Auisle 862, 866 is Óisle in the Fragments of Irish Annals 866. Unfortunately several of these words in $a u$ do not occur in other Irish Annals and documents, hence their later development is not clear.

[^44]
## (7) $\overline{\mathrm{e}}>\mathrm{ia}$.

§ 83. The following list includes all the possible instances of original long $\bar{e}$ which became $i a$, together with some cases, for purposes of comparison, of non-original $\bar{e}$ written $\bar{e}$.
g. Fiatach 578, Fiachna 593, 601 ; ${ }^{1}$ g. Fiachrach ${ }^{2}$ 602, ce du 603 (=cia du), Fiachna (593, 596), 622, 627 (g.) ; g. Fiachnai 624, g. Fiachnae 624, g. Fiachach ${ }^{3} 624$ (Dal) Riati 628, g. Fiachae 635, *Liathdana 639, g. Fiachrach 657 (cf. Diarmato ${ }^{4}$ 663), srianach 670, Riatai 672 , maccu Retai 677 , rigriadai 694 (poem), * Fiannamail 695, 708; Dal Riati 699, 716, 730 ; g. Riaiti 703 (Riati R), (cf. g. Dermato 703, 714) ; Fiachra 708, Niall ${ }^{5} 717$, ggiallne 720 (cf. g. Fia 736, Fea 746), g. Fiachach 739, 765; Riatai 740, Ciannachtae 741, 747 ; g. Reguil 747, g. Fiachna 751, g. Fiachrach (sic leg.), 757, 758, 763,766 ; giall 763 , n. Fiachrai 769 , ria 769 , inna dead ${ }^{6}$ 772, * Fianchu ${ }^{7}$ 774, g. Riati 777 (cf. Dermait 777, 822); n. Fiachnae 783, Ciannachta 785 (cf. Diarmait 790), re 783, n. Fiachrai 785, *Liathan 789 (cf. Imlecho Fia 797), g. *riach 801 (Diarmitius 810, 813 ) ; (Dermait 838, 850, 869, 952 ; Dermata (?) 869, Diermait 847).
§ 84. The only instances of original $\bar{e}$ we have so preserved in the Annals are maccu Retai 677, ${ }^{*}$ Reguil 747. The name dal Riatai never occurs with $\bar{e}$ at all. Fiacha, Fiachrai, Fiachnae never occur with an $\bar{e}$, though they are thus written in the Vita Columbae, and the Book of Armagh. ${ }^{8}$ We find an instance g. Dermato at 703 which points to confusion or interchange between $\bar{e}$ and $i a$ at this period. Diarmait had not an original $\bar{e}$ as its oldest form seems to have been
${ }^{1}$ Fechno, Vita Columbae, Thes. ii. pp. 272, 275, 28 r.
${ }^{2}$ This form occurs at $607,617,657,708$ and passim with $i a$. No instance of the word with $\bar{e}$ occurs in the Annals. G. Fechrach occurs in L. Ardm., Fēchreg in Vit. Columb.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Fēchach, Thes. ii. 264.
${ }^{4}=$ Di-format, Thurneysen. It occurs as Diormitius in Vit. Columb.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. Neel, L. Ardm.
${ }^{6}$ A dissyllable (?), W. diwedd ; cf. indead Sg. ra5, 18b2, Wb. 11a77, inna deud Sg. Irb4.
${ }^{7}$ Shall we compare Ogham Voenacunas, Macalister, iii. 4, 15, etc.? But this we should rather expect to give Fóenchú.
${ }^{8}$ See Thes ii. and Index.

* Doubtful cases are marked with an asterisk.

Diormatius, ${ }^{1}$ though the form Dermit occurs in the Vita Columbae. Dermait occurs as late as 952 . There is a possibility of confusion between the genitive of Dērmait for Diarmait and that of the form with a short $e$ from which the modern $\bar{O}$ Dearmada is derived.

Towards the middle of the century forms such as Fianchu 774, Ciannachtae ${ }^{2}$ 741, Fiachna 751, Fiachrach 757, etc., always show the $i a$ and $\bar{e}$ must at least have become $i a$ by this time. As the instances of (original) $\bar{e}$ written as $\bar{e}$ are so very few in the Annals the change must have taken place very early, probably by the end of the seventh century. ${ }^{3}$
§ 85. The Vita Columbae preserves $\vec{e}$ as in Féchnus, Nēth, etc. This represents the language of the period before A.D. 700. In the Dorbēne manuscript written $7 \mathrm{I} 3,{ }^{4}$ the transitional form ea appears, e.g. Ceannachte 56a (= Cenacte B ${ }^{5}$ ), Ceate $58 \mathrm{a}(=$ Cete B), Feachnaus 32a (=Fechnus B, C, F, S), Leathain 118 a (= Lethani B), Clied 55b ( = Cleeth B) and once Niath. The later MSS. preserve the earlier form. The earlier portions of the Book of Armagh ${ }^{6}$ have $\bar{e}$ undiphthongized. Later additions have the ea form thus Druim Leas, and ie in Fiechrach but commonly also ia. Our text has the transitional form in Fea 746 beside Fio.

$$
\text { (8) } \overline{\mathrm{o}}>\mathrm{u} .
$$

§ 86.
Clono ${ }^{7}$ 548, 598, 627 ; Mochoei hUatach 6or. 496.

Oddach 600, g. Lochre (H) 653. tuathaib . . . Tuathail ${ }^{3} 624$ (poem).

[^45]g. Tothalain 622, 652, 659.
g. Clona ${ }^{1} 664$; (Cluano, R).
(Moling) Lochair 696.
slogadh 706; (Teclae) Olaind 710; g. *Condi 7 Io.
sloghadh 714 ; Clona 722, 736.
Moudain ${ }^{2} 726$.

Irlochrae 732 ; Slogad 737.

Tomae ${ }^{3} 739$; Bochaill 744.
Tome 748 ; Tomae 750.
slogad 755 ; Clona 751, 752, 764, 769.

Clono ${ }^{1} 759$ (cf. Loarnn 764 ; Doadain 768).
crodha 763 ; Tothail ${ }^{4} 765$.
Tomae 767.
Telcha Oland, 770; Slogad 776, 779; Ochtur 779.
g. Croo 779 (poem) ${ }^{5}$; Ochtair Ruadrach 779; sluaigh 779 779 (poem). ${ }^{6}$
Tommae da Olann (sic), 780.
hUatach 648; g. Cuanach ${ }^{6} 628$.
Guaire ${ }^{7}$ 662, 665, 674.
Cluana ${ }^{8} 664$; Tuathalain 688.
g. ${ }^{*}$ Cualann ${ }^{9}$ 703, 708, 714, 726, 730, 732.
Alo Cluathe 721 ; *Cuanan 721.
Nuado 721; telcha h-Ualand 730 ; *Cualand 730, 732 ; Cluano 738.
Tuathail 731 ; Cluana 726, 732, 745, 748 ; Сиапи ${ }^{6}$ 734, 738, 741.

Cuan, Cuain 747; *Suanaich 747, 762.
Anluain 743; Tuathalain 746 ; *Cuanan, 746.
Nuadhat 750; Guaire 751; *Cualann ${ }^{9}$ 747, 777.
g. ${ }^{*}$ Luaith $75^{8}$; * Duachail 762.
g. Cuanach 761; Cluana 764, $769,771,784$; g. Tuae 769.
Tuathal 771, 777, 803 ; (cf. Luan 776).

Flathruae ${ }^{10} 773$; Cuanu $^{6}$ 777, 817, 824.
(poem), ${ }^{5}$ Nuada 780.
Alo Cluade 779; (Tomae da) Ghualann 780; Chuain 781; $h$ Uargaile 78 I ; Muaidhe 784.

[^46]Irlochre 785; Mac *Oac ${ }^{1}$ 787. Cruachnib ${ }^{2} 782$; n. Cathrue 785 ;
Guaire 787; Cluano 788.
*Bochallo 789; Tomae (greine) Tuathal 790 ; Cathrue 785. 793.
sloghadh 793 ; Cloin ferta, 794. Mochuae 789; Guaire 793.
sloghad 803; *Nodan 808.
Anlon ${ }^{3} 809$; Cille Tome 812.
Nodot (?) $8 \mathbf{1 7}$; (Lodot, H, R). Nuada 8ıi.
slogad 814, 819, 820, 825 ; sloiged Cluana 814, 815 .
82 I.
Sloghadhaigh 823.

* Flaithroa 836; d. cloen 844.
g. Tomae 885 ; slogad 858,887 ,

905, 913; sloged 912.
slogad 923, 928, 937, 948.
slog 913, $9^{23}$; slogad 959.
sloigh 918 (rhymes with bröin).
Tuathal 815 (bis), 816, 821; sluagaibh 817.
Cluana 832, 834 .
Cluade 871 .
Nuadat 900.
uadh 913; n. Flathruae 913.
Fuacarta 926; Tuama 948.
shuagad 988, 991.
$\S 87$. The precise conditions under which $\bar{o}$ became $u a$ are not clear. With regard to the change as illustrated by the material of the Annals the following points may be noted: (i) The change did not take place in full for all words. This change was particularly late before ch, gh, mm, and a vowel, ${ }^{4}$ e.g. slogad 948, 959, sluagad 988, 991 ; (2) After the change had taken place both forms seem to have been used side by side, e.g. cloin 794, cloen 844 , besides cluain, cluana. Sloigh rhymes with broin 918, and is often pronounced slögh even to the present day. This dual form may have been due to the influence of dialect. ${ }^{5}$ After a time $\bar{o}$ seems to have again come into use, or there was a confusion in writing between $\bar{o}, u a$. Compare Nodot 81 $_{7}$ with Nuado 721, Anlon 809 with Anluain 743.

[^47]Leaving out of consideration for the present the development of $\bar{\sigma}$ before gutturals and $m$ we have with regard to its treatment in other positions the following evidence. We find Tothalan at 662 but Tuathalan 688, 746, Tuathail 731. After 700 the first instance of $\bar{o}$ having become $u a$ is Alo Cluathe 721. Olaind occurs at 710, but Ualand 730. Cluana occurs at 726, 732, etc., but the form may not be reliable, ${ }^{1}$ and we cannot build very much on such a familiar word. Moreover, the $\bar{\delta}$ is preserved till $769,794,844$. Nuado occurs at 721,750 , though the $o$ reappears in the ninth century. Guaire (674) and again at 75 I . On the other hand Tothail occurs as late as 765 , but Tuathal 771 , and the forms of Cloin, Clono much later. Cuanu, earlier Cönu, occurs at 734 (Cuanach), 738, 741, 76r.
§ 88. The comparison of such forms in the Book of Armagh ${ }^{2}$ as dirrogel, ódib ( $=$ from them), Maccu Booin, Clono, Coonu, Boonrige, ${ }^{3}$ but Buain, Buas, Ruaid, Muaide ${ }^{4}$ (bis), Mruig thuaithe Cluáin (bis), and (Petra) Cloithe, Tothail, Mödam in the Vita Co!umbae show that the change had not taken place by the beginning of the eighth century, but if the $u a$ forms ${ }^{5}$ in the Book of Armagh (Tirechan's notes) are not due to the scribe the change must have been taking place at this time.

As regards other texts, we find the only instance ood occurring in the Cambrai Homily not diphthongized. The prima manus in the Würzburg codex preserves the $\tilde{\rho}^{6}$ e.g. soos, coirt-tobe, boid. In the main codex of Wb. we find ar ocht 1od24, cen $\log$, 1od, 29, 23, 27, where $\bar{o}$ is preserved before gutturals, and docoith iraz2.

The next great collection of Old Irish, the St. Gall Glosses, have uniformly $u a$, except in words in which the $\bar{o}$ is kept in later times, e.g. $\overline{\text { ond }}$, ' from the,' ondi, 'from the thing,' 56 b 8 . An exception is, how-

[^48]ever, Mochoe ${ }^{1}$ Noindrommo, p. 226, margin. The Milan glosses have $u a$ in all these cases ${ }^{2}$ : huandisin, M1. 91c3, huanfir 91c5, hua 9rbir, 9rc6 and passim.
§ 89. Thus we may conclude that the diphthongization of $\bar{o}$ to $u a$, whilst possibly not so early as that of $e$ to $i a$, took place early in the first half of the eighth century, and had worked itself out by the middle of the century. The Annals show instances of the change having taken place at 72 I , with a preservation of the $\bar{\sigma}$ in Tothail (765), and an archaic preservation of it in Cloin 794, cloen $844^{\circ}$ The change of $\bar{o}$ to $u a$ before gutturals ${ }^{3}$ occurred later, and was only partial even then.

## (9) $\mathrm{eu}>\mathrm{eo}$.

§ 90. I include instances of eo not from earlier eu.
g. Beugnai 605 , g. Euagain ${ }^{4} 636$,
g. Euganain $676 . \quad$ Eochaidh ${ }^{5} 665$.

Eu 720, Eughain ${ }^{4}$ 726, 729, 73 1.
Eudus 727 ; Eutighirn 761.
Eugain 763, 773, 775, 788.
Maighi h-Eu 782, Eudus 797, Eogain 786.
82 I.
Euginis 801, Eugain 802.
Neutir 809, (Europae 812).
Euchu ${ }^{5}$ 822, Eugain 822, 826, *Eochaid ${ }^{5}$ 823, *Deoninni 836, 830. beas 841, leo 841, 862; Echu Euganacht 847, (Europae ${ }^{6} 85$ 1). 850.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Mochoei, A.U. 496, but Mochuae 789. As has already been pointed out by Strachan, part of the St. Gall glosses are very old (cf. Thes. ii. Introduction xxiii).
${ }^{2}$ Cf. na trogi, MI. grar3.
${ }^{3}$ But cf. the phrase ostu, 92a14, ósme, 92b7, 'even I,' ho londas, 92cr2.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. Lat. Eugenius, Gr. Ej̀yévoos, and W. Owein; Gaulish Esugenos Meyer, Festschr. für Stokes, and Pedersen, Vergl. Gram. p. 73.
${ }^{5}$ In these words Euchu, Eochaid a vowel develops before ch. In both cases the vowel eo is short.
${ }^{6}$ Eorpa 102 I .
leu 85 I , Indeuin 853 .
Eugain $865,870,876,889$.

Eugain 907, 961.
leu ${ }^{1} 922,923$.

Eugain 961, 963, 966, 974.

* Eachach 866.
*Eochaccan 882, Eoloir 885.
Eogain 892, 904, 907; *Eochacain 894.
Eoganachta 895, heo 902 (poem). breo 902 (poem).
Leo 907, 913, *seola 911.
Eochacain 913, 914, 918.
beos 914, 915 .
Eoganacht 916.
Eogain 946 (bis), 963, 980, 988, 990, 992.
g. Leogan 992.
§ 91. I have included above instances of eu from all sources. The evidence of the Annals on this point is clear. There is only one instance of eo for earlier $e u$ in the eighth century. In the ninth century we find the first instances, beos, leo at 841 . From this onward we find both forms eu, eo till what we may term the end of the Old Irish period (about 920). The last instances of $e u$ (with the exception of Eugain-a fixed spelling) are leu 922, 923 .

The isolated form at 786 of a common word Eogain might not mean much by itself, but when we compare it with such forms as Wb. leosom ${ }^{2}$ rod6, etc., it seems reliable for the period. Cf. also bithbeo ib. 3b2, 4, beo 3c27, which are not quite parallel.

The Milan glosses have however regularly eu: 63b2 a n-eulas, 65 c 3 leu, 65 c 8 beus, 65 cr 6 leusom, 57 cri ho eulassaib, 63 b 12 leu, 87b6 feutdai, 87b9 beuidbart, $87 \mathrm{c} 3455,88 \mathrm{~b} 4,90 \mathrm{~b} 11,92 \mathrm{~d} 6$ leu, dungneu 92a17, etc.

This agrees with the evidence of Annals which has only one instance of eo until close on the middle of the ninth century. Moreover $e u$ appears to be the standard literary form till the end of the Old Irish period.

Of the St. Gall glosses I have made no collections, and Strachan
${ }^{1}{ }^{2} e+u$, cf. lethu, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 24 r .
${ }^{2}$ Compare also g. Beognai, Vit. Columb. Thes. ii. pp. 273, 278, which is Beugnai 605 in the Annals, but leu, Wb. 5b42.
has not dealt with the point in his articles on the MI. and Sg . glosses, CZ. iv. pp. 48, 470.

The Felire has eolais Prol. 317, eo Ap. 10 (one MS. has eú). In Prol. 197. we have eobail rhyming with Eogain which may both have had eu, cf. breo Ap. 15, Aug. 20, p. 258. At Jan. 22 we find Beognae, dignae, ceoldae. The date of the phonetic change I have not investigated. Cf. Remarks on Vowels, § 1 33. Judging from the occurrences of eo forms in Wb . the change in certain words at least must have been very early.

## FINAL VOWELS.

$$
(10)-a e>-a
$$

§ 92. The following instances of final $-a e$ are mostly genitives singular of feminine, a-iā- and i-stems, ${ }^{1}$ together with a few instances of nominatives singular of io- and dental stems, and various forms in -ae added for purposes of comparison :-
g. Oche 552, 553, g. Erce 560, g. Gabrae 564, 565, 572 (cf. g. Gabrai 735), g. Ciannachtae 571, 615, g. Tola 572, g. Ochae 608, g. pl. Mogdornae 610, g. Torchae 616, g. Fiachae ${ }^{2}$ 635, g. Fiachna 627 , 646, g. Fiachnae 651, corre 651, g. Lochrae 653, g. Machae 671, 689, g. Ardmachae 660, 687, g. lunge 672, Finechta 674, Finechtae 675, 676, Finshnechta 687, 694, amne 687 (poem), g. Crannchae 696, g. Selggae ${ }^{3} 708$, g. Telchae 710 (Telce, R), n. Cuchercae 712, Singittae (?) 713, g. Febla 714, *Garbsalcha 713, g. Ardae nesbi 718, g. Ard Macha 718, g. Collae 721, 731, Machae 724, 731, g. Loogdae 728, g. Sinnae 73 1, g. Irlochrae 734, d. Tailtae ${ }^{4}$ 732, n. Rechtabrae 733, Tole 737, g. Feblae 739, g. Tigernae 739, g. Tomae 739, 750, 767, g. Tethba 740, g. Delmne 741, g. Ciannachtae 741, Feillae 745, Arda, Airde 748, Ciannachte 747, Machae 749, Lecnae 750, (Lecnę, R), g. Tethbae 751, g. Fiachna 751, g. Ferta 752, g. Delbnae 755, g. Gronnae 755, g. Slana 757, g. pl. Mughdhorne 758, g. Emnae 758, g. Othnae 761, g. Ercae 762, 796, g. Sruthrae 765, g. dathe 768, g. Dochre 769, g. Tethbae 770, g. Dochae 770, g. Telcha 770, g. Lamcomarthae 771, g. Ferta 772, g. *Cruachna 773, n. Flathruae 773, 788, g. Othnae 773, g. Ercae 773, g. Erce ${ }^{5}$ 775, olchena 776,

[^49]Mugdorne 778, g. pl. Locha 777, n. Flannabra ${ }^{1}$ 777, Ochae 779, n.pl. srotha (rhymes with ocha, poem ${ }^{2}$ 779), Die Samnae 780, Tommae 780, 781, g. Edargnae 780, g. Ferta 782, g. Fernae 782, g. Senchuae 782, g. Aird ${ }^{3}$ Machae 782, 783.
a. Fiachnae 783, Irlochre 784, Ferta 784 (-ta a contraction). Celtrae 784.
Cugamnae 783, g. pl. Mugdornae g. Ciannachta 785.
785.

Ardae 785 (arde, R), n. Cathrue Rechtabra 786. 785 , g. crichae 786.
n. Fiachnae 788, g. Fertae 788, g. Slane 788.

Ablae 788, 790, Mochuae 789. (Glinne da Locha 789, cf. g. da lachae 867, g. da locho 903).
Techbae 790, rathae 792.
g. Tamlachtai 79 r .

Machae 792, 795, g. pl. Mugdorne 793.

Cernae 793, Earcae 796 (cf. g. Finsnechta ${ }^{4} 796$ (cf. g. Finsnechte Erce 560, 775).
Connlae 779, g. Fiachnae 799, 808, 809.
g. Fitae 803, Mugdorne 801, 802.
g. Ferta 8or (-ta a contraction in R).
Machae 806, Crae 806, Mug- g. Telcha ${ }^{5} 808$ (bis). dornae 811.
g. Tamlachtae 810, g. Tóme 812.
g. Tamhlacta 8ro, g. Tamlachtai 8ıo.
Ferta ${ }^{6}$ 812, n. dalta 813, cf. g. * Dudubtae 813.

Ardae 816, ind lochae (n. pl.) 817. Rectabra ${ }^{7}$ 817.
g. Coccae 817, g. Delbnae 817, iarmae 817.

[^50]Fothnae 818, g. Fiachnae 818. n. pl. Locha 821 .
g. Tethbae $822,823,825,827$, g. Tamlachtae 824 .

Machae 822, 825, martre 824, 827.
d. (di) Mumae ${ }^{1} 825$, n. pl. adomnae 825.

Arddae, ${ }^{2}$ ardae 827, Ciannachtae Ciannachta 827, g. Delbna 827 ,

823, 827.
Cumbae 829, Ercae 829.
Machae 830, 831, 832, 833, 835, 838.

Mugdorne 833, Abae 833, 837 ( macu Blae 831).
g. Innseo Cealtra 836 ; Inbir Flaithroa 836 (cf. Flathruae Deaae 835 .
g. Linnae 841 ; Ferta 843 .

Machae $844,845,847,851,859$; Ciannachtae 849 ; Lindae 851.
Othnae 85 I .

## Machae 862.

g. Glinne da lachae 867 . Tamlachtae 867,869 .
g. pl. Mugdorne 868.

Daltae 869.

## 828.

Rechtabra 832, in Ard Macha ${ }^{3}$ 834.
n. d. Maelcerna 835 . 913) ; Crea 838.
n. Fiachna 844 ; g. pl. Mugdorna 848, 849 .
Ciannachta 850 ; cena ('besides ') 854 ; sneachta 854.
Locha 854 ; prim-locha 855; g. Rois Crea 858.
Macha 86I ; Tamlachta 864.
g. Aird Macha 868.

Cille Delga 867 ; Rechtabra 867. chena 868 ; Foghla 868 ; g. Cara 869; Macha 869, g. da locha 874.

Tamlachtae 873, 874; Machae g. Macha 877 ; Macha 878, 88ı, 876.

Mac na Cerda 874.
n. Fiachnae 885 .

882, 887.
g. Tethbai 879,893 ; g. da locha 874.
g. Delca 887 .

[^51]g. Aird Muchae 902. Rois Creae 917.
> g. Macha 892, 894, 896, 898. Eoganachta 895 ; Emna 902. g. Da locho 903 ; g. Ferna. Tamlachta 914; amra 917. na congbala 914; Macha 914, 915. n. pl. locha 916; g. lunga ${ }^{1} 920$ (cf. acc. lungai ${ }^{2}$ 920).

Macha 920, 921 ; Mugdorna 954.
§ 93. After a time the final $-e$ split up ${ }^{3}$ into $-e$ and $-a e$, the former to be used after palatal consonants, the latter after non-palatal consonants. Thus after groups of consonants not easily palatalized the result of the change was that a- and $i$-stems fell together in the genitive with i- and u-stems. Strachan (RC. xx. 192) pointed out that this splitting up had taken place in the Félire as in primary rhyme $-e$ rhymes with $-e^{4}$ but not with -ae.

The cases where the $-e$ was accompanied by palatalization of the final consonant do not belong here, as weakened ee after a palatal consonant is not represented as different from -e and hence cannot be further investigated. In some words $e$ falls away before palatalization is effected, thus Slana 757. The word however afterwards appears with palatal $n$ as Slaine, Slane 786, 788, 801, etc. Some groups again appear as palatal in the early period and become nonpalatal afterwards towards the end of the Old Irish period. Thus maige lunge ${ }^{5} 672$, Maighe Luinge 774, g. lunga 920. Cf. (Cille) Deilgge 742, 763, 778, 798. Deilge 757, but (Cille) Delga 867, g. Delca 887.

Sometimes we find ae after a palatal group or the nature of the
${ }^{1}$ Cf. maighe luingi 774.
${ }^{2}$ A change to the i- declension in this word.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Strachan, Middle Irish Declension, p. 5. Cf. such forms as g. pl.ferte Wb. 12b15, but fertae M1. 17c9.
${ }^{4}$ Later on (RC. xx. 297) he instances g. Machae as rhyming with a word containing a palatal consonant, viz. Pr. 165 (g. p.) flathe : Machae. But the th in this word is not necessarily to be taken as palatal. Again, however, May 28, sathe: Machae.
${ }^{5}$ The change of $o$ to $u$ indicates palatalization of $n g$. Forms like the modern g. luinge might be better regarded as a survival of the palatal beside the nonpalatal form than as a new analogical development from the nominative.
group unsettled, e.g. d. Tailtae $732=$ Tailte, cf. d. Tailti 790, g. Feille 745 (H, R), Saighrae 743 H (Saighre R), Saigre 787. Cf. Sg. 27b3 cheillae, 66b15 deirbba.

The instances in the Annals of the change of a palatal to a nonpalatal consonant or vice versa are perhaps not decisive enough to be brought into connection with the dating of the change of ae to $-a$ and the subject requires further investigation.
§ 94. The material of the Annals shows change of final-ae to have been taking place from 800 onwards. The isolated example Febla 714 seems too early to be genuine, cf. Feblae 739. For Rectabrae 733 we find Rechtabra 786. This change of $-e$ to $-a$ in the third syllable evidently took place earlier ${ }^{1}$ than the change of $-a e(-e)$ which comes from an original $-\bar{e}$ fr. ${ }^{*}-\bar{e} s,{ }^{*}$-ias in the genitive of a-stems and in the nominative plural of u -stems, and is more in the nature of the change $-\stackrel{c}{ }$ to $-a$ in unaccented syllables. The instance in Ard Macha ${ }^{2} 718$ is presumably nothing more than a scribal blunder. ${ }^{3}$ In a poem ${ }^{4}$ at 779 we find n. pl. srotha rhyming with Ocha but then both may have ended in -ae though not so preserved by the manuscript. The prose, moreover, has got -ae. The g. Fiachna at 75 I is improbable as Fiachnae is preserved till 885.

After 800 the entries $-a$ from ae begin to get numerous and at 8 ro we find beside the g. Tamlachtae two other forms Tamhlachta and Tamlachtai. If not due to scribal corruption the first would indicate weakening of $-a e$ to $-a$, the second confusion of $-a e, a i$. This latter aspect would point to $-a e$ as having a value distinct from $-a$, unless we could take both $-a e$ and $-a i$ as having become levelled to $-a$ which is too early for the latter. ${ }^{5}$

The orthographical change is decisive. The g. pl. Mugdornae which regularly ends in $-e^{6}$ till 833 becomes Mugdorna 848, 849 and final $e$ in this word does not recur. The g. Machae is regular till

[^52]862, after which g. Macha becomes regular. Before this, Macha occurs at 834 and afterwards Machae at 876 with an isolated survival at 902 . We see from these instances that the orthographical change of $-a e$ to $-a$ is practically complete by about 860 .
§ 95. In the St. Gall and Milan ${ }^{1}$ glosses final -ae is often confused with $-a$, though in the genitive plural of i - and u -stems $-a e$ is pretty well preserved in Ml.

In a poem ${ }^{2}$ composed by Fingen mac Flainn (about 850) we find arddae ${ }^{3}$ rhyming with garge. The Imram Brain preserves final $e$, -ae in all the best MSS. : e.g. amre 10, amrae 33 (R, H). ${ }^{4}$ Some of the MSS. of this text have the orthography of Wb., cf. bledne, 5 $(\mathrm{R})$, etc.

As regards the Félire -ae can in most cases be restored from the rhyme. We find instances however where the rhyme shows the change of $-a e$ to $-a$ as having taken place. This has been pointed out by Strachan (RC. xx. 295) where he gives the examples: nua (= nuae) in primary rhyme with subjunctive ronglea, and with Duibrea, Ep. 38 arnach nera ( 2 sg .) with ban, dera, and concludes that the change was beginning to make its way into the language of poetry. Moreover $-a e$ and final $-o$ had fallen together, e.g. Pr. 177, 182, buada (= buiadae) to rhyme with Cluana (= Cluano). Cf. § 77 above. Still as -ae was used in so many cases to rhyme with -ae it must have had a distinct phonetic value in the standard speech of the time.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\mathrm{I} 0)(b)-\mathrm{ai}^{5}>-\mathrm{a} \\
-\mathrm{i}>-\mathrm{e} .
\end{gathered}
$$

§ 96. Instances from the end of the eighth century onward are :-

[^53]g. Segeni 800 ; n. Macoigi 800 ; g. Fhinsnechti 814, 836 ; g. Mochtai 817 ; ind fochli 821 ; Delbnai 82 I ; Dari 825 ; g. Tarbgi 821; Liphi 831, 836; cumai 832; g. Finsnechti 836; d. Delbni 842.

Lifi 846 ; d. Tethbai 839.
g. Comardai 844 ; g. Comarbbai 850.
g. Tarbnai 857 ; g. Dumhai 859 .
g. Midhi $860,86 \mathrm{r}$; a. a cennlai $7_{7}$ a n-eti 7 a croda 865 ; ind fhochlai $867,87 \mathrm{I}$. In 877 , poem, g. cridhe, d. aire, g. bile may be restored to $-i$; a. dolmai 879 ; g. Liphi 883; muintir Fini 890 ; g. Lothri 891 (sic leg.).
g. Fethgnai 892 ; mac Maelgualai 894 ; acc. Delmnai 895 ; acc. martrai 895 ; g. Turbi 902.
ind fhochlai 913, 914, 918; ind
(f)ochla 920; acc. in lungai 920 ; imbi 923.
d. f. cednai, 934.
g. Fethgnai 952.
d. Tethbai 953.
g. ecnai 978 ; mac Riadai 979.
g. Dubdai $9^{81}$.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. d. in-Aidniu 783.
${ }^{3}$ The nom. had become Finsnechta 796, and may have been regarded as indeclinable. But cf. n. Finsnechtai 854, g. Finsnechti 836.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. g. Cernai 66r, poem. But as a rule, in the poems, the endings are not well preserved.
g. fota 798; Moenmaighi 800; g. Tamlachtai 791, 8ro; g. Finsnechte 802; g. Aidhne $809{ }^{1}$ (cf. g. Dudubtae 814); d. Berba 814 ; n. pl. cnama 823 (poem) ; g. Breibne ${ }^{2} 82 \mathrm{I}$; g. Mide 829, 839 ; Maigi 830; g. Finsnechta ${ }^{3} 828$.
g. innsi 824 ; g. Tethbai 840 .
g. Luibnighi 847 ; n. Finsnechtai 854.
g. Mide 850 (860), (861), (863) ; na nDeisi 853.
n. Finsnechtai 854 ; g. cairgi 858 (cf. g. cairge 880) ; g. duine 866 ; g. Cerna ${ }^{4} 867$; g. innsi 872, 881, 883 ; muighi 873 ; g . taighi 866; Ainmeri 878; g. Tetbai 878; g. Maighi Bile 889.
derthaighi 894 rhymes with ernaichti and in both ee may be restored.
n. *Dublachtnai 894 ; g. leithi 896 .
g. Midhe 914; g. Brighti 915; d. m. chetnai 916.
g. loingsi 944.
g. taighi, 952, 963 (taigi).
g. bile 953 .
g. *Lachtna 957.
g. Brigti 963.
g. Dal Riatai 988.
g. Ciardai 992 ; Feichini 992.
d. ind ecnai 1004.
g. Dubtai 1005 .
arai in comdaig dendai 1006.
g. Endai iovo; g. Tolai ioıо.

g. mothlai 1014.
d. in Fhodbai ${ }^{3}$ гоा 7.
d. tuighi 1020.
g. lai (' of a day,') 1020.
g. escai 1023 .
g. ind escai cednai 1023.
cen taisi 1024 (poem).
Ennai 1036.
i meisce 988.
g. Fiachnai ${ }^{1} 990$.
g. innsi 994, Ioro.
g. Fiacna 1003 ; g. Aedai 1003.
(g. of Aedh); g. leithi 1004.
g. lethi 1006 ; g. Muigi Bile 1006.
g. in fochla 1010.
g. saraighti 1012 ; bristi 1012.
g. bachlai roir. Cf. g. na bachla IOI 5.
g. Maighi iol4. d. ic are roly.
g. Lothra ${ }^{2}$ IOI5.

Dun Lethglaisi 1оェ6, a. tir nEnna 1019.
g. Dermaighi ior 9.
g. Osene 1020; d. do luaidhe 1020.
g. tuaithi 1020 ; g. eclaisi 1020. ime (about. him), 1021 ; forsind arce 1022.
g. innsi 1022.
g. Darmaighi 1022; g. Fernmuighi 1022 ; n. erchrai 1023.
no croisi 1024 (and poem) ${ }^{4}$; maisi ${ }^{4} 1024$.
d. Tebtha 1024.
g. Daire 1025 .
g. Mochta 1026.
g. Tola 1056.
§97. As these are two similar changes I have taken them together. From 800 on ${ }^{5}$ we find confusion, particularly in the case

[^54]of $i$-. The genitives Mide, Maigi need, however, not be too strongly dwelt on. Mide ${ }^{1}$ was a common word, and one likely to be corrupted by the scribe. As for maigi, muigi, $-i$ seems to become regular in the genitive singular of neuter s-stems. This may be due to the analogy of the genitive of masculine io-stems. Final ai appears to be much better preserved. We find it confused with -ae as early as 791, 810, 82 I ; witness the genitives Tamlachtai 810, Delbnai 82 I, Tethbai 839. This form in -ai can hardly be considered as a weakening of final -ai but rather as a confusion of declension. At any rate we find the gen. $-a i$ (of io-stems) pretty well preserved in writing till 1000 , after which there are several cases of writing of the weak form (in $-a$ ), and the confusion becomes complete. Thus g. Fiachnai ${ }^{2}$ 990, g. Aedai for Aeda 1003, bachlai 1011, side by side with fhochla IO15, Lothra Io15, Enna ioig. On the other hand we find g. -ai preserved as a traditional spelling to a much later period, as g. Ua Ciardai 1077, 1128, g. Ceniuil Ennai 1078, but g. Einne Arann III4, g. Dubdai iII9, II20, II26. Strachan, Cormac's Rule, Eriu, vol. ii. part i., points out that final $-e$ and $-i$ were then distinct-that is towards the end of the ninth century.

Of the nom. pl. in $-a i$ I have no instance after cnama 823 (poem). But the forms in the poetry are, as a rule, much more corrupted than the prose, ${ }^{3}$ and we cannot draw conclusions from a single example.

Thus though the changes seem similar in some respects the chronological difference is considerable. ${ }^{4}$ In the poetry in Imram Brain, which, according to other evidences, ${ }^{5}$ goes back at least to the early ninth century, we find (at par. $13^{6}$ ) the rhyme combindi with g . (fino) oingrindi, ${ }^{7}$ which is best construed as a feminine genitive.

Rigi, digi in poem may be restored to Rige, dige ; Maighi 782, g. Loigaire 783, g. Finnghlaisi 790, 795; g. Maine 798; g. Corcaighi 791, g. duine 792; but g. maighe, tige 783, etc., Mani 790, Ailbhi 792.
${ }^{1}$ The g. of Mide was written Mide much earlier. Cf. g. Mide 714, 748, 750, 752. Cf. also g. Guaire 751, 793, etc. ; g. Midi 765. Also sochaidi (sing.) 759 for sochaide.
${ }^{2}$ This might simply be a change of declension.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. the poem at 779, which has Ocha, but prose Ochae.
${ }^{4}$ But cf. the preservation of the distinction in Cormac's Rule, Eriu, vol. ii. part $i$. if this was not a survival in poetry alone.
${ }^{5}$ See the change of $m r$ to $b r, m l>b l, l d>l l$.
${ }^{6}$ Meyer's ed.
${ }^{7}$ The MSS. H, R have -grinde.

## (ir) Final -u.

§ 98. Instances of final $-u$ in the nominative and dative singular and accusative plural :-
d. daire (cf. Dairiu 847).
co tuc giallu 855 , uisciu 863 .
do indarbu ${ }^{1}$ 864, 970.
aicsiu 867 , a. Dubhghallu 874.
Temru . .. turu, muru : marbu :
amru 886, firu 907, 912, 948.
d. ala laithiu 916, eturru 916.
isind lau 916, for Goidhelu 918.
culu 916, $h$-Eiriu 918, d. deirghiu 926.
d. rubu 932, etarru $948 . \quad$ for Midiu ${ }^{2} 7$ for Bregu 948.

Saxanu 951, Bretnu 951, Mochutu 952.
giallu 954, 964, 978, 997, 1001, 1025.
la h-Albanchu fein ${ }^{4}$ 966, Mochutu 978.
tadbsiu 991, isin bliadain-siu 992. do innarba 993.
iarsuidhiu 998, rempu 999, chulu acc. Connachtu 997, o Laigniu 999, 1001, 1004.
firu 998, 1000, 1008, 1012, forglu
1003, Ultu 1005. 999.
firu 998, 1000, 1008, 1012, forglu (maidm re) Connachtu $997^{5}$. . .
impu 1014, gallu 1014, 1022, n. *Clothna 1008, 1048, etorra firu 1or8, rorg.
ind rethu 1018, a. Gailengu 1oi9, Cuana 1023. etarru 1021, giallu 1026.
co h-Osraigiu ${ }^{6}$ 1026, co Gollu forra 1024, co Golla 1026 (=co
1026. Gallu).
Eire (poem, fol. 26 over 1020).
${ }^{1}$ Cf. n. indarba gor.
${ }^{2}$ By analogy with plural forms Laigniu, etc., but it may simply be an instance of confusion of cases after prepositions ; cf. n. 5 .
${ }^{3}$ Probably by analogy with Laigniu.
${ }^{5}$ Confusion of cases after prepositions.
${ }^{4}$ But 3 s. m. fesin 963.
${ }^{6} \mathrm{Cf}$. accus. Osraige 785.
impu 1029, 1034 (acc. Cuanu ${ }^{1}$ isinbliadain-si 1037, treotho 1041. 1037).
a. Albanchu etarru 1045.
i mBregu 1047, firu 1050, 1053, 1054.

Airghiallu 1058.
Etrú 1056.
Ultu 1071, g. muru 1074.
firи 1076, 1087, 1092, Colcu 1077. таси 1087.
giallu 1088, 1090, iloi, 1104.
Ulltu 1089, Iェ03, Mochutu 1090.
do innarbad (sic) IO5I.
i Ceara 1063 (cf. i Ceru 559).
g. bachlu ${ }^{2}$ ro73, for Feru Manach, $1077,1080{ }^{3}$
acc. feru 1084.

Connachtu 1093.
feru inoo, iro3, Mura inoi.
§99. We find instances of the accusative $-u$ weakened to -0 at 998 (forro), and to $-a$ at 1024, 1026. Final -o occurs again at 104 r . We find conclusive proof of the change at $1077,1080,1084$ where the older firu becomes feru. Though $-u$ is written, the change of $i$ to $e$ shows that the change of $u$ to $a$ had taken place.

In Saltair na Rann (A.D. 987) there is only one example of $-a$ for $-u$ where $-a$ was established by the rhyme, i.e. fora cuila to rhyme with díra 3560. Strachan, Mid. Ir. Decl. p. 2I6, had not noted any examples of $-a$ from LU., but this depends on the nature of the texts copied. The acc. plur. catha (bis) 917 is probably a question of declension.

The $-u$ in nominative singular of $n$ - and guttural stems seems to have been preserved equally long, e.g. h-Eriu 918, ind retlu 1018 , Etru 1056. The final $-u$ of Eriu ${ }^{4}$ had fallen by about the middle of the eleventh century, but the Annals do not furnish any evidence on this point except the instance Eire in the poetry on fol. 26 which is not so reliable. This and the whole question of $u$-infection I intend to return to and to deal with in greater detail later on.

[^55]
## (b) CONSONANT CHANGES.

§ 100. The evidence for consonant changes is, as a rule, more definite than that for vowel changes except that the conditions under which the change operated were somewhat different. Thus when a certain phonetic development came about, such as the change of $l d$ to $l l$, the change continued to operate wherever combinations of $l d$ came together so long as the law was a living force. ${ }^{1}$ Thus it is possible that a certain development may take place in different words at different times.

The position of the letters with relation to the neighbouring syllables i.e. whether they belong to the same or different syllables, has also a considerable effect on the development. For example, whilst as a rule $m l$ becomes $b l$, the $m l$ of Mod. Ir. domlas $<$ *dus-mlass- does not become bl at all. Compare also doomlacht 732, where probably the change did not take place. Further Mod. Ir. cuimligheann, cuimleochaidh 'rubs' from con-meil-. On the other hand, the $m$ and $l$ of unaccented Mael coming together at the beginning of a name become $b l$, e.g. Maelsheachlainn $>$ Bleachlainn.

$$
\text { (12) } \mathrm{mb}>\mathrm{mm} \text {. }
$$

## § IOI.

in Drumbaibh ${ }^{2}$ Bregh 519.
in Drommaibh Bregh 522.
g. Coluim 545, 560, 562.

Colum 552, im chnass 562.
${ }^{1} d$ following $l l$ in modern Irish would not assimilate with it, as gallda, foreign. Cf. miondaigheacht, but gránna $=$ gran + da. Compare étaldai, Sg. 34a4, coldde $=$ colurnus, Sg. 35bro and other examples in St. Gall Glosses. Etaldai fr. etal $+d a$ adjectival suffix. Acaldmaiche Sg. 28a1. Also 28 a 4.
${ }^{2}$ If this spelling Drumbaibh has any value, which is doubtful, it would disprove the etymology of druim from *drosmen; cf. Latin dorsum. L. Ardm. has drommo. In the plural it becomes later an n-stem though it is not so here.
g. Columbe 573.
g. Columbani 627 (Latin).
g. Coluimb 657, g. Columbani 667.
n. Columbana episcopus, 675.
g. Imblecho 687.

Imbairece 700.
immbairecc 709.
Concumbu 729.
mbleguin 732.
g. (cuain) caimb 747.

Colman 572, 585, 586, 601, 624, Colmaen 599.
Colmani 6ro, 623, int immairece '617 (Colmain 603, 611, 612, 627 bis).
athcumai 626 (poem).
*in-Druimm 640, Colmain 641, 659.
g. Imlecho (Ibair) 660, Colman 664, 679.
g. *Ruimm, 676.
g. Concoluim 683, g. Imlecho (sic) 687.
n-immarece 696.
Colman ${ }^{1}$ 700, 702, Colmain 706, mac * Concoluinn 708 (leg. Con coluim?).
imesech 713, Dromma 721 (and passim).
Imlecho 729, 736, *Tomae 739.

* Cormaice 745, n. pl. cimmidi 745.

Inlecho Fea 748, g. Colman 750.
*Tome 748, *Tommae ${ }^{2}$ 750, 780.
n. Imairece 759, 774.
(lex) Columbae Cille 756 (Latin; g. Coluim 752, *Tomae 767. Col, R).
g. Duibhchombair 771, 786.

Maelcombair 789.
g. Concumbu ${ }^{3} 791$.

Columbae cille 806 (Latin).
dimbaigh 814, cumbae 829.
Fiambur 830, Imblecho Fio 842. $\quad$ im $(=i m b) 836$.

[^56]imbi 847 (along with him).
imbechtair 865, 868, imbi 903,
949.

Imbleach 1058, Cill Combair ime 1004, Imleacha 1058.
1031.
§ 102. I think the best resuits will be obtained if we divide the subject into three cases: (1) $m b$ in pretonic position, (2) $m b$ in the syllable following the accent, and (3) $m b$ in the accented syllable. The results of ( 1 ) and ( 2 ) go closely together.

We find the preposition $i m$ at 562 and imesech 713 , and never $i m b$, whilst imbi occurs at $847,903,949$. Cf. imb $i$. . imb $i$, Wb. гоаı2, but $i m$ when followed by a consonant imdo dia imdo . . 3bI5; ite immelotar immuaneclis, L. Arm. I8bi. ${ }^{1}$

It is noticeable that there are no instances of Coluimb (with mb ) in the Annals after 657 , if we except the obviously Latin genitives Columbae 756; 806. Columbana episcopus 675 represents ${ }^{2}$ an early derivative from Columb, with the final vowel in the $-a$ stage. $^{3}$ At 702 it is $m$ in Colman, which is also the form used in Vita Columbae and Book of Armagh. At 709 we have a name, Concoluinn, which should probably be read Concoluim, as at 683. Adamnan's Life of Columba (A.D. 700) contains the nominative Columm once and Columb three times and Cambas (bis). The Book of Armagh preserves the $m b$ as in d. Imbliuch, n. Colombcille, g. Coluimbcille. In our next instance of the word in the Annals we have g. Coluim $75^{2}$. All this evidence points to the change of $m b$ to $m m$ in unaccented syllables as having practically taken place by the end of the seventh century. The name Colman at 702 is a good instance, as in this spelling the derivation was forgotten. ${ }^{4}$

As regards $m b$ in the accented syllable there is a possibility of different treatment according as $m b$ came at the end of a word or

[^57]was followed by a consonant or a vowel. We find the last instance of $m b$ following an accented vowel in g. caimb ${ }^{1} 747$ ( = crooked). In its next occurrence it is $m m, \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{m}$. chaimm 778 . With the exception of this word we have no instance of final $m b$ (after an accented vowel) after 700 . The material, however, is scanty.

Imb before $l$ practically disappears after 687, Imblecho. ${ }^{2}$ It is Imlecho at 729, 736, 748, though, strange to say, it reappears as Imblecho (Fio) at 842, and Imbleach 1048, but the latter two should probably be simply regarded as archaisms.
§ Io3. The foregoing evidence would lead us to the conclusion that, in these two cases at least, the change of $m b$ to $m m$ had begun about the beginning of the eighth century, and had worked itself out at about $750,{ }^{3}$ which I should be inclined to put as the inferior limit of the phonetic change. The genitive mbleguin 732, though not coming directly under this head, is significant as showing that the combination $m b$ was a familiar sound in the pronunciation of the period.

If we may take immarecc ${ }^{4} 696$ as authentic we should be led to the same conclusion for $m b$ when followed by a vowel, as in the last case. Imbairecc occurs at 700 and immbairecc at 709 , which is the last instance ${ }^{5}$ of this word with $m b$. The writing of immbairect with two $m$ 's seems to be a provision for expressing the change which was taking place within the knowledge of the writer. We may look at immarecc from two points of view, as an ordinary noun or as a compound of $i m b+$ airecc. In the latter case, when $i m b$ had become imm, imbairecc would be rebuilt immairecc. ${ }^{6}$ Another instance is cimmidi 745 , where $m b$ has become $m m$. Cf. cimbid in Wb. 27 c22. The bulk of the glosses in the Würzburg codex preserve $m b$ in medial position before vowels, as cimbid $27 \mathrm{c} 22, \operatorname{imb} i$. imb i 1 1 a12, but immarchor 5a5, romatar from rombatur, immib ('about ye') 27bi6, but $m$ before a consonant: timthirect 5d9, imrool

[^58]( $=$ imb-ro-ól) 12 a 17 , but timpne ( $=$ to-imb-ane) 4 d 24 , for $n$-imbradud 6 b 6 . The phonetic writings, such as commimis in Wb .6 b 2 I , shows that the change had taken place then but was not in most cases orthographically expressed. In the prima manus it has adcumbe ${ }^{1}$ (carnis) 23 d 22 , with accent on the first syllable.

The Cambrai Homily has imratib from imb-rādim, but membur, Latin, membrum. The St. Gall codex, p. 217 margin, has memmbrum naue, 'new parchment,' p. r95a, in memr'.
§ 104. As regards instances, such as cumbae 829 (fr. com + be), this represents the regular condition of things in M1, where the writing $m b$ in accented position, followed by a vowel, is common. Thus imbed, imbiud 56aI3, 7 Ic 3 , and at 56b9, 56b23, but followed by a consonant, chamdeicsin 55a8, $n$-imdae 62b23; mb has become mm in immaircide, 'fitting,' 6rbi6. That the change had taken place in Ml. is proved by mec $(=m b e c) 40220$, and we have such writings as ambus 75 d 8 for ammus (?) ; cf. Strachan, CZ. iv. 56. Such an instance of archaic preservation ${ }^{2}$ of $m b$ we have in the inscription ${ }^{3}$ on the (lost) case of the Book of Durrow, g. Choluimb, which was written for Flann mac Mailsechnaill, who is for the first time mentioned in the Annals at 876 (d. 915). As for Duibhcombair 771 , 786, Maelcombair 789, compare Cill Combair 1031, where the $m b$ is merely a way of writing mm . With regard to cen dimbaig 814, it may be pointed out that the writing of $m b$ for $m m$ in compounds with dimextended into the middle or even Modern Irish period. Witness the corresponding oíombãıó, Dinneen, Irish-English Dictionary, p. 243, and a long list oíombatleać, oíombuat́, etc., p. 244, which are of course pronounced with $m(m)$-that is written $m b$ for $m(m)$ at least 1,100 years after the change had taken place. In oomblar (ib. p. 256) ml , and not mbl , is pronounced. The writing imbi is kept late. It occurs in a passage in LU., i30bi9, 20 , which contains g. Temrach twice, that is, after 914. ${ }^{4}$ Imbirt, imberat occur in the Tain Bo Cuiailnge (LU.).

[^59]$$
(\mathrm{r} 3,14) \mathrm{mr}>\mathrm{br} ; \mathrm{ml}>\mathrm{bl} .
$$
§ 105. Instances :-
g. Maile mbracho 621 (cf. Imble- (ard) Breccain 718, 735 (Domcho 687, 688, 842).
g. Mrachidi (H and R), 726 (*Brecc 724.)
(Tigernach, Mbrachaighe).
g. Mruichesaich 729 .
g. mbleguim 732, doomlacht 732 . (Cf. a. Corcumruadh 762.)
(*Brece Berbha 730.)
foirddbe * Brecrighe 75 r .
Brecain 764, 780 (Breccan) 78 r, 82 I, ${ }^{1} 848$, etc.
Cairge Brachaidhe 834.
Conn in Broga ${ }^{2}$ (in poem on top of folio 39 b. circ. 850 ).
*Bricc 858, g. Cairge Brachaide 880.
g. Broga 878 (cf. Mruig, L.

Ardm.), (Corcumbruad 1045).
As there are two similar changes I take both together. The material is scarce but pretty valuable as far as it goes. The first instance-mbracho 62 I belongs to the later period when the transition was taking place. The instances Mraichidhi 726 and Mruichesaich 729 , establish decisively that the change had not taken place by that time. The origin of Brecrighe is doubtful and cannot, I think, be brought into line with mrecht,,$^{2}$ brecht. ${ }^{3}$ It is, however, important to note that the kindred change of $m l$ to $b l$ was also taking place about this time, compare g. mbleguin 732. Further, it is clear that the change had taken place at Brachaidhe 834 , also in g. broga 850 (?), 878. It is remarkable that the proper names in Brec- during the eighth century have no instance of initial $m r$-. Can it be that we had two roots mrec-, brecc- ?

If not, we must conclude that they were, as familiar names, modernised by the scribe. Even if we could establish the change as having taken place in the early eighth century we should expect the transition form mbr. Thus the Annals point to 729-834 as the period of

[^60]transition, but from them alone it is not possible to narrow down the limit any further.
§ 106. The Würzburg glosses have always $m r$ initially. I have not noted any instance of $b r^{1}$ (for original $m r$ ), e.g. mratha 18a22, nirbo mraithem $32 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{I}_{5}$, mrechtrad $\mathrm{I}_{5 \mathrm{C} 2}$. The St. Gall codex has n . mrechtrad 197air, 16, g. in mrechtraid 197air where the $m$ is aspirated. ${ }^{2}$ Similarly the MI. Glosses have tri mrechtrad 2 d 5 .

A poem in the Codex S. Pauli ${ }^{3}$ has mrugaib in an alliterative line. For further instances, ${ }^{4}$ cf. Ascoli under mr. Cath Cairnd Chonaill, ${ }^{5}$ LU. Ir 7 a 7 has moroga, leg. mrogo.

The poetry in Longes mac n-Uisnig has mbrogtar (Windisch, i. 2, p. 68) in an alliterative line. The Voyage of Bran ${ }^{6}$ has mruig 23, 24 ; mroga 56, in the best MSS. In stanza 23 mbrecht in four MSS., brecht in one. In par. 9 mbrath is found in four MSS., mbrad in one. Thus the text belongs at the latest to the period when $m r$ was at the transition stage of mbr.
§ 107. The only one instance of change of $m l$ to $b l$, g. mblegiun 732 shows the transition period, with a $b$ developing between $m$ and l. In doomlacht in the same entry (at 732) the change has not taken place, ${ }^{7}$ and probably did not take place as $m$ and $l$ may have been considered to belong to different syllables; cf. § 100 above. Corcumruadh occurs at 762, but Corcumudruadh (sic H) at 743 represents an older form of the word which is also written Corcu medruad (with palatal $m$ ). The $b$ in the writing Corcumbruadh 1045 has hardly any significance.

The instances g. Imblecho 687, 688, 842 are not valid as here

[^61]the $m b$ and $l$ belonged to different syllables and the reverse change took place, i.e. $m b$ became $m m$.

In the Old Irish incantation in the Codex St. Pauli (Thes. ii. p. 293) mlicht occurs twice. Cf. mliuchtaib M1. Ioobr5, mlichtaib 100b20. Cf. mblicht in O. Ir. Homily published by Strachan, Eriu iii. I. In Munich Glossary (Thes. ii. p. 43) blén occurs. This is mleen (MS. melen) in Gloss. Philarg. ıob (Thes. ii. p. 47).

The text Longes mac $n$-Usnig has no mbligtis (Ir. Texte i. 2). Cf. no mbrogtais referred to above where both changes are in the same condition. Imram Bran has mlas, par. $62,{ }^{1}$ which seems to be the reading of all the manuscripts.

The material from the Annals is not sufficient to indicate the period of the change more exactly than that it takes place sometime between 729 and 834, whilst mbleguin 732 shows the transition stage.

## § 108.

## (15) ld 11.

g. Aedho Alddain 635, 6 r 1 .

Maccu Delduibh (sic MSS.), 653; (Chron. Scot. Telluibh.) Aldfrith 703.
g. *Conmeldde 723 (cf. Mld 712).
*Conmaeldae ${ }^{2} 726$ (Conmelde, R).
Aldchu 724.
Alddan 736.
Alddan 737, n. Olddain 742, g. Aldain 742.
*Aildobur 756, 799.
[* Edalbald (King of Saxons) 756.]
Aldchu ${ }^{4}$ 786, g. Alddain 786, 787. *Ellbrigh (abbatisa) 784.
*Mael-doborchon 827 (cf. Notlaic

## 817).

[^62]Co h- anumaloit ${ }^{1} 835$.
g. Alddailedh (sic H) 835 .

Coille (Follamhain) 850, 884.

## Raith Aldain 851, Uamh Achaidh <br> Alddai ${ }^{2} 862$.

g. Aldniadh (innseo Clothrann) g. Duin Caillenn 864. 870.
g. Duin Chaillden ${ }^{3} 872$.
(Cf. cadla 882.)
(Cf. Adlai 947.)
*Mac Allacain 913. Aeda Allain 914 (poem), Raghnall ${ }^{4}$ 913, 916, 917.
*Maelcallan 922, Mac Allchon 953.
${ }^{*}$ Gilla 976,982 (cf. gilldae,Corm.).
§ Iog. Evidence of other texts :-
The Cambrai homily contains no instance of $l d$ or $l l$.
The Wb. glosses: 8d26, umaldoit; 2a23, maldactin; 4ci9, bes meldach less; ${ }^{5} 3 \mathrm{c}_{4}$, accaldam; 9d17, act mad melltach lass ; 4d4, accaltam; 5d23, diammaldachae, maldachad; $\mathbf{1 2 a 2 5}$, is áildiu, ${ }^{6}$ etc. There is no instance in the Wb . glosses of $l d$ having become $l l$, and the writings melltach and accaltam, in which $t$ is written for $d$, prove clearly that the change had not then taken place.

The St. Gall glosses contain the following examples: 38ar2, colde ${ }^{7}=$ hazel (gl. colurnus), 34a4, etaldai ; ${ }^{7} 35$ bio, coldde; 33b5, muldae; 2gaI, 4, do accaldmaiche; 30bıo, inchernaldai. I have no instance of $l d$ having become $l l$ in Sg.

In the Félire of Oengus the instances of $l d$ are rare: geldu, Aug. 20 (in all MSS.) ; ceoldai, Jan. 22 ; at Ap. 4 Stokes restores alne, the MSS. have alle, dille, alaind, of which I think the last is correct.

[^63]At Prol. 133, n. pl. ailli, MSS. ; aidbli L, alle F, aille LB, Jan. 9, dildi. At Ep. 83 the gen. aille is the reading of all the MSS. Compare mell, "an error," p. io (Introduction), where the $l l$ appears to be original. Cf. Pedersen, p. 85, who compares mellaim with Lett. me'ls-t.

The Milan glosses: 58 c 4 , dobert maldachta; 57 d 9 , populdaib; 62b13, Caldai; 62bı6 na Cald ; 68cı4, illdai (=plural); 70a8, 87 b 6 , feuldae, immusacaldat, 62 , etc. M1. 63 d 15 has lase nad reildissemni, for which read -reillissemni ${ }^{1}$ from ${ }^{*}$ ro-éilnissem, cf. M1. 74a3, asrulensat $>$ as-ro-len- fr. aslena, 'he pollutes'. This instance points to confusion of $l d, l l$. Strachan (CZ. iv. 55) considered this the only proof that $l d$ had become $l l$ in the Milan glosses. If we compare (in M1.) gell, 'a pledge,' with O. Norse gjald, 'payment,' Ger. Geld, we have an instance of original $l d$ having become $l l$ at the end of a word. I have not noted any other instances. Cf. ro-leldar, MI. 96cı3, with LU. $43^{\text {b } 22}$ co-ruildetar, for which H has co-ruileatar.

The Voyage of Bran ${ }^{2}$ has meld, par. 34 (sic E), meld, 39 (sic MS. R , meallt, E), all the other MSS. have mell in these instances. Geldod (=gel-dath ?) 36 (sic RB, geltot E). Par. 61 accaldaim but nisnaicilled. These instances show that the change had not taken place by the time the Voyage of Bran was written down.

In a poem ${ }^{3}$ by Fingen macFlainn (circ. 850) ald occurs, but it is likely a misspelling for alt.
§ iro. The material of the Annals shows that $l d$ was preserved well into the second half of the 9 th century. The last instance of $l d$ is Dun Chaillden ${ }^{4} 872$. That the change had at any rate then taken place is shown by the occurrence of the same word, Dun Caillenn, at 864. One instance, and possibly two, indicate the phonetic change of original $l d$ to $l l$ as having taken place much earlier, viz. caille 76 r , Allcellach 770 . The latter instance is doubtful, as there is no evidence that the all- in Allcellach is from original ald-, since it does not so occur elsewhere. There is a possibility that it may be the same as ald- in Ald-chu 724, 786, in which latter instance it has not changed to all. The $l d$ of Alddain also occurs at $786,787,851$, and

[^64][^65]all the earlier instances of this name with $l l$ are (in H . 8) due to glosses in an earlier hand. If the original of caille 761 is not due to similar sources (which we have no sufficient reason to conclude, seeing the general accuracy of the Annals about this time), the phonetic change of original $l d$ to $l l$ must have begun by the second half of the 8 th century. The g. Coille occurs at 850 . The dative of this word occurs in "The King and Hermit" ${ }^{1}$ as coild, which goes back to *kaldi (cf. Lat. callis, Ger. holz, O. Icel., etc., holt), and which, if genuine (cf. § iri and n. I), points to this text as being very old. The instances gell and reildissemni ( $63 \mathrm{~d}_{5} 5$ ) in the M1. glosses and the evidence of the Félire point also to an early date of the change. It may further be urged that those words which show $l d$ are mostly personal names where the old spelling would be longer preserved (cf. § 57).
§ ini. It would probably be best to consider the twoucases separately: ( I ) the change of original $l d$ to $l l$, which took place first, and ( 2 ) that of syncopated $l d$ to $l l$, which took place soon afterwards. Instances of $l d$ by syncopation are accaltam Wb. 4d4, etc., umaldoit Wb. 6a5, omalldoit Turin 60. This word umaldoit, which comes (through British) from Latin (h)umilitāt-, appears as (an-)umaloit 835, in which the $d$ has fallen as in Mod. Irish. The disappearance of the $d$ (here in an unaccented syllable) is hardly parallel with the change of $l d$ to $l l$, but I give it for purposes of comparison. It is noticeable that $l d$ is (with the exception of $n d$ ) the only one of the O. Ir. consonant groups which is extensively preserved in the Mid. Ir. manuscripts of O. Ir. texts, e.g. aildiu maigib LL. 275b43 (Story of Mor Muman), fon cailde (?), CZ. iii. 239, Tochmarc Emire. Such instances probably induced the scribe (Gilla Ríabhach O'Clery) to use $l d$ in words which ought to have $l l$ as Colum cilde, etc.; meild Slan Seiss LL. 49bi6; ald LL. 50a, 21, 29, etc.
$l d$ has become $l l$ in Serglige Conculaind, e.g. illānach (Wi. i. § 33), ' of many gifts'; fr. il-dān-.
§ II2. In fixing an inferior limit for the date of the change we must bear in mind that $l d$ became $l l$ in foreign borrowings, such as O. Norse. ${ }^{*}$ Reginald- (cf. O.H.G. Raginald, Regenald, later Icel.
${ }^{1}$ Ed. Meyer, from Harleian MS. 5280 (Brit. Mus.), written by Gilla Riabhach O'Clery.

Rögnvaldr), with which the Irish could not have become familiar before the middle of the 9th century, has changed $l d$ to $l l$ in Ragnall (913), and which shows that the law was a living force in the second half of the 9th century. I have no instance of Gilla as a personal name before 976 , Gilla colaim, and 982 Gilla Patraic mac Imhair, after which it is common; cf. LU. 55a36, 6ob $36,66 a 2$. The derivation of gilla, gilldae is uncertain; some have suggested $O$. Norse gildr, " of full worth," "one serviceable ". The word gilla also occurs commonly in Fled Bricrenn, Serg. Conculaind.
§ II3. The change of $l d$ to $l l$ shows an important development in O. Ir. pronunciation. The resulting sound was probably, as in Modern Irish, $l l$, a single sound. It is not clear whether original $l l$ was pronounced as one ("double" ll) sound or no. It is probable in any case that it had fallen together with $l l$ from $l d$ and $l l$ from $l n$ by the time of the change. Initial unaspirated $l$ is written double in dollotar 768 (poem). Instances of this are common in the O . Ir. texts of LU.

The date of the kindred change of $d l$ to $U$ cannot be easily decided owing to the orthography which, as in Mod. Ir., has dl. There is the same difficulty with regard to the change of $d n$ to $n n$, as in céadna; pron. cēanna.

$$
\text { (土 } 6) \ln >11 \text {. }
$$

## § 114.

Cuilne 551, a. Eilne 562.
giallno 562, Amalngado 591. * Daill 607.
a. Chuilne $6_{1}{ }_{7}$, mogalna ${ }^{1}$ (?) $621{ }^{*}$ SSillani $^{2}{ }^{6}$ 18. (poem).

Raghallaigh 648, Raghallaich 655 (late).
Rogaillnigh 653, Telnain $657 . \quad$ (Domus)* Tailli 671.
g. Silni $664,{ }^{3}$ g. Eilne 689 (g. of Rogailligh 679 (H., but Rogail-R). Eilinn?). ${ }^{4}$

[^66]Chualne 690, Balni ${ }^{1} 693$.
Duin Onlaig 697, Onlaig 700.

Rogallnigh ${ }^{3}$ 704, (campo) Eilni ${ }^{4}$ 708.

Silni 710, n. Amhalngaidh 717. na ggiallne 720.
Rogellnaich 72 I .
g. Cuilnige mare 762.

Balni 779, Ailngnad 780.
n. Rogaillnech 814, hi foigaillnaig (leg. fogiallnaig) 830.
fianlach ${ }^{7} 846$.
Rogaillnich 872, Rogaillnech 883.
for Ou Amalngaid 912.
*Conailli ${ }^{2} 687$ (poem), Duin Ollaigh 685.
(*Domnall 693, 727, 758, 783, etc.)

Ollaigh ( = Onlaigh) 713.
il-loch 718.
*Theille ${ }^{5} 725$, g. Ollaigh 733.
g. Amhalghaidh 736, g. Amalgado 740.
g. Amalgaidh 741, alleth ${ }^{*} n$-aill 746.
*mic Fallaigh 751, il-lan mora 769.
n. Amalgaid 790.

Niall Cailli ${ }^{6} 83$.
il loch uair 844, dallad 286. collin 869, col-Luimnech 905.
mac Amalgaid 908, Grellaigh ${ }^{8}$ Eillti 913.
§ II5. I have included the instances in which $n l$ becomes $l l$. From prehistoric times we find eclipsing $n$ - assimilating with initial $l$ and written $l l .{ }^{9}$ In this case no vowel intervened between $n$ and $l$. In
${ }^{1}$ Balla, Co. Mayo.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Conalneos (?) Thes. ii. p. 259, Conailli (id.) p. 309.
${ }^{3}$ So H and R though Hennessy prints Rogallaigh.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. Campi Eilni, Vit. Columb. Thes. ii. 277, and L. Ardm. 15a2. Also d. Eilniu L. Ardm. and see Father Hogan's Irish Grammar.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. Daire Meilli 8o9, but see Colgan, Acta Sanctorum, p. 796. Taille occurs again at 744 .
${ }^{6}$ Cf. Challand in poem 845, MS. Kt, and the gloss 845 ic linne Neill for Callaind. See also LL. 16b37 17a19, d. Challaind 130a50.
${ }^{7}$ Cf. fiallach, Tog. Br. Da D. etc.
${ }^{8}$ Cf. Grenlach Fote, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 265.
${ }^{9}$ We have no evidence that this $l l$ was pronounced different from the ordinary initial (unaspirated) l. Cf. dollotar 758 (poem), follongam Wb. I4b15.
the middle of a word we find a similar change taking place, thus Onlaig 697, 700 becomes Ollaigh ${ }^{1} 713$ which is Ollaigh again 733. The origin of Onlaig is not clear. In most cases where $n$ and $l$ came together by syncope no change took place. Cf. rollegusa Wb. iga6 $=$ ron-legusa.

As regards $l n$ before a spirant we find the $n$ of Amhalngaidh ${ }_{71} 7$ disappearing at 740 (Amalgado), which is Amalgaidh 741, 790, though the $n$ appears in the writing Amalngaid 912. As regards Ailngnad 780 , it is not clear whether the $g$ was a spirant or no.

During the eighth century (single) $l+n$ occurs at 708, 710,762 , 779 (Balni) 780 (Ailngnad), whilst during the same period there is no authentic instance (in the Annals) of $l n$ having become $l l$. Unfortunately no instance of $\ln$ occurs for over fifty years from 780 to 832 when the change is represented as having taken place. Cailli $8_{3} 2$ represents the genitive of Calland ${ }^{2}$ (or Callann). This is a good instance as, in the genitive, the origin of $l l$ was likely to have been forgotten. The Annals have thus the change between 780 and $83^{2}$, but it was probably nearer to the former date.
§ II6. The treatment of $l l n$ seems to have been somewhat different, as, during the ninth century, the combination occurs in Rogaillnech 814, forgaillnaig 830, Rogaillnich 872, Rogaillnech 883. The last two, however, may be mere archaic survivals as Amalngaid 912. Such spellings are common even among modern Irish writers, thus guailne ${ }^{3}$ for guaille (guailli) and our Mod. Irish dictionaries give also such forms (guailne) that is with $l n$ for $l l$ more than a thousand years after the change had taken place.

Domnall 702, 731, etc. is doubtful. It is Latinized g. Domnallis, Vit. Columb. 6gb. Perhaps *Domno-yallos $(?)<$ * Dumno-ualnos. The variation with single $l$ in gen. Domnail ib. ı08a is peculiar. Cf. Cerball 650,693 , Fallomon 824, Follomhon 828, 829. Shall we compare irrufollnastar $\mathrm{Wb} . \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~b} 29$ ' in which he has reigned'?

Other texts: Vita Columbae g. Campi Eilni (Thes. ii. 277); Kailli au inde (?) (ib. p. ${ }^{278}$ ) is doubtful. A place name g. Cainle also occurs.

[^67]In the Cambrai Homily the change had not taken place. Instances : comalnnamar, colnide. In the bulk of the Wb. codex the change had not taken place. Instances: chomalnad 2b26, comallnad 2C14, comollnither 2C17, cholnide 3c38, chollno 3d1, a aellnad (to pollute it) 8d6, cholno 6b4, irrufollnastar 13b29, atmuilniur 18cr2, lie uilnech 2 Ic 6 . Inna builnni ${ }^{1} 7 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~d}$, Mod. Ir. builli is probably a case of original ln .

Exceptions in Wb. : atballat Wb. 9d6 probably comes from a present stem *-baln- ${ }^{1}$ and is an early instance of this change. The treatment of áildiu, Wb. $\mathbf{1 2 a 2 5}$, is peculiar. Here we have a combination of $l n d$, with a fall of $n$ between $l$ and $d^{2}$ whilst $d$ was still pronounced. At all events $l d$ is the result which does not change to $l l$ before the general change of syncopated $l d$ to $l l$, i.e. after the time of the Milan glosses. Cf. aildi, M1. 32222, ara aildi ade. Thus we see that the change was beginning in Wb . in case of original in but not in the case of syncopated $\ln$.

In the St. Gall glosses in had not changed to $l l$ : e.g. 3885 tolnaid, an artificer; irobz, comalne $=$ dropsy, but pallnacdib, Pallacine 217 a 4 , and possibly alaill 96a4, etc. The Carlsruhe gl. Priscian have comalnae.

In the Milan glosses, however, the change had taken place : 74d5 arrocomallus, 81d4 rocomallad, 89bir comallaibther. Also at 94bi, 3, 4; 74c20, 62c5, 82d5 follaither ${ }^{3}$-su (gl. regis); 6obr6, inchollugud ${ }^{4}$ Crist ; 63a14, sechis arruneillestar ; ${ }^{5}$ 69b6 dofuilled, fr. to-fo-lin-.

Exceptions in M1. : before $g: 6{ }_{9} \mathrm{~d}_{7}$ etuailngigedar, and $60 \mathrm{~d}_{4}, 7$ fulngat.
$l l$ before $n$ : follnaither 90a9, and 98bro induillnedche 6 'voracity'.
Tochmare Emire, ed. Meyer, CZ. iii. 244 has a word Tailne, Taillne ; duilnib, LL. 49b37 (Slan Seiss).

In the Feilire (A.D. 808) the only instance I have noted has $l n$ :

[^68]reim calne 'of the Calends,' Prol. 305 , and as this is rather an artificial form, much cannot be built on it.
§ II7. Thus our evidence serves to show that the change had not taken place by the time of the Wb . and St . Gall ${ }^{1}$ glosses but may have begun for original $l n$. It had taken place by the time of the Milan glosses, though it had not worked itself out fully for all words. The entry in the Annals Niall Cailli 832 serves to fix the inferior limit of the change.

## (17) nd $>\mathrm{nn}$.

§ 118.
g. Illaind 526, g. Cuilind 548. Finnio 548, Uinniani 578.
g. Brendain 575,600; g. Illandon donaib 603 (poem), lann 624 586, 62 I.
brandhal(?) 604 (poem), Lindair Flainn ${ }^{5}$ 643, a muilinn 650 62 I .
Midind 625, adrandat 622 (poem).
muilind 650 , tuirind 650 .
Forindain 628, 651 ; Delend 656.
Condire 658.
morgaind 662, alaind 661 (poem),
find ${ }^{2}$ 66I (poem), Chuind 662, Cuandai 676, 700 . (poem).
thuirinn 650, Fortrinn 653.
Crunnmail 646, 653, 655.
inna 66I (fol. 24 a poem). ${ }^{6}$
g. Finnani 659, *glinne 659.

Scannail 665, Flainnesso 665, Erend 667 (late spelling). finn ${ }^{2}$ 669, 670 ; Crunnmail 670, 687 (poem).
Coraind 682, Scandail ${ }^{3}$ 689. Pante 674, il laind (abae) 675.
Fortrend 692, 724, Boendo ${ }^{4} 692$.
Crandamnai 695.
(cf. Loairnn 677), Finnbair 683.
Crannamhna 688, Flainn 690. ina 694 (poem), Finnguine ${ }^{7}$ 694, 720.
${ }^{1}$ But cf. pallnacdib 217a4 referred to above.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Find- in Thes. Pal. Hib. ii. pp. 272, 275, 276, 277, 284, Vit. Columb., also Gaulish uindos and Fiacc Find, Thes. ii. pp. 241, 242. See note 5.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Scandal, Vit. Columb. Thes. Pal. ii. p. 28r.
${ }^{4}$ In H the $o$ is written into the $t$ and it may be read Boento, cf. g Boanta $83^{8}$.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. Fland Feblae, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. p. 242, additions to Tirechan's notes.
${ }^{6}$ Not printed by Hennessy. $\quad{ }^{7}$ Also with one $n$, Finguinne 728.
g. Olaind 710 (Olainn, R).

Condi(?) 710, Condalach 716.
Indrechtach 722, 731.
Condere 725.
d. pl. dendib 726 .
g. Ualand 730 (Ualann, R).

Flaind 731.

Indreachtaig 731.
g. Gertindi 735 .

Etarlinddu 735 (H), Etarlindu 735.

Noindenaigh 737.
Crundmhail 738 (Crunnmhail, R).
Fland Feblae 739 (Flād, H).
Secridi 739 (H), Sechndi (R).
Indrechtach 740.
Flaind 742, 753 (R Flainn).
tiugrand 742.
Brendain ${ }^{6}$ 743, Fernand 748.
na $n$ - 696, Crunnmail 699, Forannan 697.
Flann 699, 711, 716; g. Finnbarr 702.
Cualann 703, 708, 714; g. Ceninnso ${ }^{1} 717$.
Loairn 718; g. Finnglinne 718.
innred 720 (indred, R); g. Cuannai 720, inna 720.
Cuinnles ${ }^{2} 723$, Flann 727 ; Cualand 730 (late spelling).
Cf. g. Cualann ${ }^{3}$ 726, 732, 733, and note ${ }^{3}$.
g. Finnguine 734, 737, 741.

Flann 731, 732, 733, Loairnd ${ }^{4} 73^{2}$.
Dun leith finn 733.
Caintigernd ${ }^{5} 733$.
Flainn 734, Crunnmail 735.
Cuinn 737.
Fernbeand 737 (Fernbeann R) (cf. Gaul. Canto-bennum).
Flann 738, 739.
g. Flainn 740.

Innrechtach 742, Cualann 742.
dianommansed $74^{2}$ (poem) for diandomansed.
Cualand 743, Cuilinn 744.
Forannan 744.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Cenondas, L. Arm. Thes. ii. p. 266.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Cuindless, Christian Inscriptions i. fig. ii. (Thes. ii. p. 286).
${ }^{3}$ G. Cualann, in a poem in the Codex S. Pauli Thes. ii. p. 295, fr. n. Cualu, and Latin form Coolennorum, L. Arm. Thes. ii. 259.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. Loarn, L. Arm. Thes. ii. p. 271 ; Loarnn 764, Loairn 718 and Gaul. Lovernios.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. Fortchernn, L. Arm. Thes. Pal. Hib. ii. p. 270 (422) ; Life of Columbae, Thes. Pal. ii. p. 278, g. Fortgirni.
${ }^{6}$ Cf. Vita Columbae, Thes. ii. pp. 277, 279, 281, etc.
 754.

Linde 756.
Condam 759.
g. Endai ${ }^{2} 759$.
linne 762, Finnglaisi 762, tuirinne ${ }^{4} 763$.
Dubinnrecht 765, 767, 798.
Fernand 768, Nindedo 768.
Brendain 769, g. Oland 770, Flainn 769 (bis), inna 775, g. s. f. phraind 771.
ind 776 .

Cenond 785. ina 771.
Brennainn 772.
fhinn 775, Conna 778, Flannabra, Finn 777.
Dubhinnrecht 780, Scannal 781.
Innrechtach 783, 789, 796; Flann 783.

Noennenaigh 783, Mughthigernd 784, g. Cualand 787.
Faindelaigh 792, Dindanaigh 792, indreth 793.
Condmach 797, 803.
indreda 798, Dindataigh 798.
Indrechtaigh 798, Nindidh 800.
na 789, ann 792.
Finnglaisi 795, Forinnan 797.
Crunmael 796, Finnubhrach 798. ${ }^{3}$
Finshnechta, Finsnechta 796, Finn 796.

Brendain 801, 806; Condmach Airfhinnan 802.
806.

Findubhrach ${ }^{3}$ 808, indred 808 . Connmach 805 . and 808, Flaind 808.
Cenindsa 813, andes 814, indi Finnglaise 81ı.
814 (ref. to cluain cremo).

[^69]Boinde 817, Andola 717.
tighi Mundu 8ı6.
ind lochae 817, ind ${ }^{1}$ eich $819,82 \mathrm{I}$.
Cendin 822, Forindain 823, Findubrach 823, 828.
Fland 824, Brenaind 825.
Mundu 827, indred 821.
Cuilind, minda 830.

Forindan 835, indnu 835.
Finndubrach 837.
$i$ ndon, Forindan 838.
Flaind 840.

Brendain 843.
Finndubrach abae 844, 906.
Calaind 845 (poem).
Forindan 845, 847, 868.
Indrechtach 848 .
Lomaind 850.
Lind Duachail 850, indi 935 .
Lindae 85 I , Fland 853.
*Bannaig 814, Scannlain 817, aband 817.
Dubinnrecht 8ı7, ann 8ı8.
Crunnmael 820.
inna 821 (bis), Crunnmail 826.
Flannabra 824.
Forannan 829, h-Eirind 830 (late spelling).
Scannlain 833, 834, innon 834 ( $\mathrm{R}=$ indon ).
Finnubhrach 833 .
Connmhach 836 .
Boinn 836, 841.
Crunnmhael 838 .
g. Fortrenn 838.

Duiblinn 84I, linnae 84I.
Forannain 842 .
Connmhach 845, 846, linne 845 .
ann 849, g. Rechrand 849.

Flann 852.
Finn 856 (poem).
(Cf. g. Etmonn ${ }^{2}$ 974.).
§ II8. There is no characteristic of Irish orthography which has survived so long as the writing of $n d$ for $n n$. Throughout the Middle Irish period $n d$ was written where $n n$ was pronounced, not only for original $n d$ but also for original $n n$. This, of course, makes the investigation of the time of the change of $n d$ to $n n$ very difficult, and we must, in considering this subject, not forget that the writing of $n d$ for $n n$ survives even to the present day.

Accordingly, we must take for our criteria, not the survival of $n d$ in orthography, but rather the confusion in writing, of $n d$ for

[^70]original $n n$, taken in connection with the earliest appearance of $n n$ for original $n d$. As orthography follows pronunciation more or less slowly, we may expect to find that a certain condition of things is frequently not represented in writing until long after the phonetic change had taken place.

Starting with 700 we find the first clear cases of confusion ${ }^{1}$ of $n d$ for $n n$ at Cualand 730, but Cualann 703, 708, 714, Loairnd ${ }^{2}$ 732, and in the following year 733 Caintigernd. This spelling of rnd for original $r n$ indicates a confusion between $n d$ and $n n$ at that time. The pronunciation is probably best represented by Loairnn at (677), 762. It is not clear that there was not a confusion also between $r n d$ and $r n n$ in the pronunciation as well as in the orthography of the period, and $n d$ may have then actually developed and been pronounced after $r$. In this connection the fact is worth considering that we actually find $r d$ written for $r n$ in later Old Irish, e.g. Serglige Conculaind, Ir. Texte, i. 218, sin card rhyming with arm. ${ }^{3}$ This could have come from a pronunciation carnd, as $n$ falls between $r$ and $d$ as well as between $l$ and $d$ in Old Irish. ${ }^{4}$ Even supposing that $n d$ was the final sound of Loairnd at 732, the entry Loarnn at 764 shows that at that time the sound was $n n$.

As regards the earliest appearances of $n n$ from $n d$ we have abundant instances of it from 700 onwards. Thus Fland with original $n d$ is written Flann ${ }^{5}$ at $699,711,716,727,732,733,734$ (g), 738, 739, side by side with g. Flaind 731, Fland 739, and of course the $n d$ is written at a much later period. Other early instances of $n n$

[^71]for $n d$ are Forannan ${ }^{1}$ 697, Fïnnbarr ${ }^{2}$ 702, Ceninnso 717, Finnglinne 718, Cuinnles 723, whilst g. Cuandai with nd at 676, 700 is Cuannai at 720. Later on, Linne 762, but Linde 756, Noennenaigh 783, Noindenaigh 737, Flannabre 777, a derivative of Fland. In pretonic position $n d$ occurs in the gen. fem. of the article inna 720 , g. pl. na 696. On the other hand the dat. pl. dendib occurs at 726. Nom. fem. and gen. masc. ind occur throughout the Old Irish period. For a full list, see Declension, the Article, p. 123 . There is no instance of find from 661 till 751 (in composition), Findin, whilst Finn occurs at 669,670 , and often in composition.
§ II9. The evidence of the Annals on this point certainly goes to show that the phonetic change-at least, of $n d$ to $n n$-had taken place by the beginning of the 8 th or the end of the 7th century, and we cannot safely trust the Annals ${ }^{3}$ much earlier. The change of $n d$ to $n n$ is of a similar nature to the change of $m b$ to $m m$, and it is not unlikely that both changes took place in Irish about the same time, though the evidence of other texts is rather against this.

But though the phonetic change (of $n d$ to $n n$ ) had probably taken place by the early part of the 8 th century we find $n d$ distinguished from $n n$ in orthography till a much later time.
§ I20. In the bulk of the glosses of the Wb. codex $n d$ is not confused with $n n .{ }^{4}$ Instances are abundant: ${ }^{5}$ mo chlainde 6arg, in bendachad 5d23, 7b21, frissalind 7d9, cland 2c12, 2 Id 6 , scribinnd 15a30, rinnd 13d25. Exceptions: pronn, ${ }^{6}$ 3Ib22, in clainn ${ }^{7}{ }^{5}$ b33, re proinn ${ }^{8}$ 28c20, no finnatar ${ }^{9}$ 29a28, Sans. vindáti, cf. S. véda, L. video.

[^72]Loss of $d$ is found between $n$ and $g$ and $n$ and $c h$ in Wb .
The gen. sing. and n . pl. of the article has become $n n$ in Wb . glosses : inna lobri 6 c 23 , inna aithissi 6 c 29 , etc.

All this shows that the change of $n d$ to $n n$, in certain positions at least, such as in pretonic words, had taken place by the time that the bulk of the Wb. codex was written. The occasional instances of $n n$ for original $n d$ (in Wb .) also seem to me to indicate that, phonetically, the change had taken place throughout, though $n d$ was sufficiently familiar to remain the standard form. For the writing of $n d$ we may, moreover, compare the writing of $m b$ in accented syllables, ${ }^{1}$ with the exception already referred to-commimis 6 b 2 I .
$\S$ 12I. In the Félire of Oengus ${ }^{2}$ the manuscripts vary very much. As $n d$ and $n n$ were promiscuously written for one another throughout the Middle Irish period the value of the readings of manuscripts written during this time is considerably obscured. In this respect the investigation of the change of $n d$ to $n n$ is entirely different from the other consonant changes, such as $m b$ to $m m$, $l d$ to $l l$, as in the latter cases the original spellings entirely disappear after a certain time. The evidence of the manuscripts of the Félire points clearly in some instances to $n d$ having become $n n$, and in some cases, such as glind, June 3, all the MSS. have $n d$ for original $n n$. Thurneysen, ${ }^{3}$ moreover, proves by the rhyme of $n d$ with an original $l l$ (thus: findeBasille, May 17 ; finde-Bille, August 8 ; clainde-daille Epil., 509) that $n d$ had become $n n$ by the time of the Félire (circ. 808).
§ I22. The St. Gall glosses have preserved $n d$ except in the gen. fem. and plural of the article, which has nn, e.g. ga6 inna, g. pl. na 9aI9, zai inna, 4aェ, etc., and the exceptions masculinni 67ar7, ansom $=$ andsom 15124 . Examples are: gaI dindi as, 9 a1 2 dofoirnde (cf. 9a16 iar indigbail), 9a21 hi scribiunt, 22 hi scribunt, 9b4 sluindid, 2 a 7 ind inducbail, 3b15, 6b28 ind rann, ${ }^{4} 3 \mathrm{br} 8$ ind toraind, cruindae, 4a1 inna toranda, 4b4 indib, 5a23 inbindius, ndondfoirde 26a12, 42a4 condeilgg, p. II4 margin bendacht, 28b6 alaind. The instances

[^73]scribunt, scribiunt point to a pronunciation $n d$ with the usual Old Irish writing of $t$ for $d .{ }^{1}$ The reason for this in the St. Gall glosses is not clear, except that it be owing to a different dialect or to glosses of a different date. We may compare, however, the writing of $-n t$ for $-n d$ in the article in St. Gall thus: isint aimsir 14bI3, int aithlaichtho 66 c 5 , isint erchru $30 \mathrm{O}_{2} 7$, etc.; cf. Strachan, CZ. iv. 62. The phonetic value of this $n t$ is not clear. As already pointed out, in a good many respects the St . Gall glosses show evidences ${ }^{2}$ of very archaic Irish.
§ 123. The Milan glosses have for the most part preserved $n d$. For example, 99 d 4 huand londas, 65 cı 6 torand, torund; 67cı condalb, 67 Cr 2 indithern, 59d4 domundaib, ${ }^{3} 59 \mathrm{ar}_{7}$ londasa, 60b4 forbanda, ind anmandai. As Wb. has instances of $n d$ having become $n n$ it is hardly necessary to point out the following forms :-

In Ml. $n d$ has become $n n$ at 99bro, amal nad finnatar 26dı2, g. pl. article passim, 29b8 tororansom, " he signified," < to-ro-rand-, 30a8 (and passim) donaib. Also 30b2, 18d2, 140 C 2 atamgrennat, chlainn 9 IbI 7 , rinn $145 \mathrm{~d}_{3}$, dilgiunn 33C5, tinnagat 93a20, $126 \mathrm{~d}_{12}$; doinnastar $3^{\circ} \mathbf{\circ} 17$, tinnacul $93 \mathrm{~d} 6,96 \mathrm{~d} 6,97 \mathrm{a}$, etc. With single $n$, clain $23 \mathrm{dr2}$, etc.
§ 124. As regards the earlier texts we have $n d$ in the Book of Armagh. The following are instances from the biblical glosses ${ }^{4}$ of this book: condid, tarsende, etc., indloingtis, sluindim, lindae, indeb, but inna luae 'of the steer,' conid, dunaib. In the place names in L. Arm. we find the following forms : Findubrecc, Alo Find, Cenondas, Find-maige, *Cainnechus Endi, Lee Benndrigi, Boindeo, *Gluinn, Findglaiss; and in the Irish notes in the same book: leth-indli, *mennut, Endi, Endae, andooit, find, immindraitset, Naindid, and lland, thoorund, rind.

[^74]In Adamnan's Life of Columba: Find, Fint . . . Lathrag inden, ${ }^{1}$ Finnio ${ }^{2}$ (106b), Findchanus, Kailli au inde, Fendae.

Thus from the preceding early Irish texts we may conclude (1) that $n d$ had become $n n$ in pretonic position, e.g. in the cases of the article, by the time of these texts, that is, by about A.D. 700 ; (2) that $n d$ had not become $n n$ in words with full stress. To this there is the possible exception of Finnio. ${ }^{3}$ This word appears as Uiniano, Adamnan, 53 b, Uinniani, in the Carlsruhe Beda (Thess. ii.), Finnio, in the Stowe Missal, and A.U. 548 , Uinniani, A.U. 578 . At 775 it has Uiniani, R Finniani. At 858 comarba Finnio, 890 minna Finnia, 943 comarba Finnia, 972 comarba Finnen; Chron. Scot., Finnian 55 1, Finniani ${ }_{57} 8$. Columbanus, ${ }^{4}$ at 600, referred to Vennianus. It is noteworthy that in some of the cases the word occurs with single $n$. Zimmer (KZ. xxxii. 160) equates Finnio with Findbarr, Finnbarr. ${ }^{5}$ Thurneysen (CZ. i. 347) explains the $n n$ of this word as being due to the Welsh form of the name ${ }^{6 *}$ Winnion or *Guinion, where the change of $n d$ to $n n$ took place at a much earlier period, and compares the diphthong in adjectival forms firian, firion, firen, borrowed from W. gwirion.

The Cambrai Homily contains the following instances : assindber, assindbeir, oire nundem, indarbe, dundaib, but $i$ pennit.

The Annals put the change very early, and even if we except such common names as Flann we still find the change at 702, 717,718 , 720. As regards such a foreign word as Etmonn 974, it may have been borrowed whilst the sound change was active. As the writing $n d$ was so common it could in any case become $n n$ by analogy.

[^75]$$
(18) \text { th }>d h
$$
§ 125. th becomes $d h$ in unaccented syllables. Instances :-

Fincath 485, Duncath 575.
g. Tueth 614 (R, Tueth), Duncath 620, loscoth 642.
Duncath 646, 653; Uloth 646, cocath ${ }^{1} 648$.
g. Dunchatha 669, 673, 699, 700.
g. Dunchatho ${ }^{2}$ 680, Nieth 687, 692.

Cinngarath 688, Cathboth 700.
Ulath 7or, Ulaith 702, 7 II.
forcraith 702 (H, R), Dunchath 706.

Cinaeth 722, Maeleanfaith 724. (Monith 728), Feroth ${ }^{3} 728$. coscrath 732, Calathros 735.

Affiath 742.

Losnado 486.
n. Dunchath 650, 676, 679, 682.
n. Dunchadh 658 (H), Cinngaradh ${ }^{5} 659$.
n. doirad 679 .
n. Conchad 691, Duncadho 706.
slogadh 706, 714 (sloghadh), Maeleanfaidh 709.
coscrad $71 \mathrm{IO}, 7 \mathrm{I} 3$ (coscradh), Murchadho 714.
Murchada 714, Dunchad 716, 720, 726, 727.
Dunchad 718, g. Dunchada 718, g. Dunchada 72 I .

Murchadho 727, 736, 748 ; Garadh 73 I .
coscradh 732, Dunchado 734, slogad 737.
Fergusa forcraidh 742, 775, badhud 747 (cf. fridguin 748), slogad 775, 776 ; Garadh 762.
Murchad 764, Donnchad 764, Donnchad 768, 769, 774 ; Dunchada 769, coscradh 769 (bis).
Bodbcadh 773, Cinaedh 775.
cathcoscrad 775, Dunchada 775.
g. Tobaith 775 .
foroireth ${ }^{4} 777$ (poem), Sithmaith ${ }^{3}$ 777.

[^76]Monoth 781.
Ulaith 783, Febordaith ${ }^{1} 785$.
Cinaeth 789.
indreth 793.

Uloth 8og.
cath Forath (?) 8 I 7.
foruth 822 .
loscuth 824.
Ulath 826, 852, 856, 869, 88r, 885.
manrath ${ }^{2} 839$.
coscrath 880, Donncath 887, Fothuth 890 .
g. Uloth 894, 897 ; in-eisriuth 892.
d. brisiuth 901, do innriuth 915.
do indriuth 94 I .

Cinngaradh 775, conbadh 775.
Donnchad 777, 779, 783.
flechodh 776, coccadh 776, 777.
g. Flaithniadh 780, Fochlado 784.

Ulad 789, cathchoscradh 790.
Cinaedh 792, innred 792, loscad 794.
lomradh 794, Murchad 798, 806.
Dunchad 802, sluaiged 803 (margin).
slogad 804, 807; Cinaedha 805, 807.
indredh 808, Ulaid 808, indred 8if, 82I.
Uladh 808, Cinaedh 8i3, slogad 8ı3, 8ı4, 8ıя.
loscadh 815, fothud 818.
(cf. do brid $=$ breith 820), Murchadh 822.
roiniudh 824 , loscadh 825,827 , 829.
coscradh 826, innreadh 826.
roiniudh 832 , badudh 844 .
§ 126. I have not thought it necessary to collect instances of $d h$ in the later periods as it is very common. Final -th is pretty frequent till 732, which has both coscrath and coscrad. After this there are no instances ${ }^{3}$ of $t h$ for a long period until it is revived in the 9 th century, and preserved as late as 901 in brisiuth 915, 941. The spelling Uloth (g. pl.) is very common during the 9 th century, and seems an

[^77]artificial one both as regards the $\check{b}$ and the -th. This spelling must be a borrowing from early 8th century documents.

The change of $-t h$ to $-d h$ in unaccented syllable belongs clearly, according to the Annals, to the early 8th century. We find -th, however, preserved in poetry at 777 and again at 839. Most of the earlier instances are indecisive, as the final -ath- or -ad is expressed by a contraction thus : ounch 706 , which may be either one or the other (th, $d$ ). We have, however, some clear instances of final -ad in Duncado 706, coscrad 710, 713, Murchado 714, etc., slogadh 706, 710 , which show that the change was an early 8th century one. We find final -th preserved in poetry in the 9th century thus: manrath 839 (poem), to rhyme with cath. In the 10th century 901, 915, 944 we find -th after the dative $u$. The entry natiuitas Donnchada $73^{2}$ was probably not entered until about thirty years later.
(19) to-> do- in pretonic position.
§ 127. In connection with the change of $t h$ to $d h$ we may take the change of to- to do- in pretonic position.

Tolfa 613 $_{3}$ (F.M. Tolua).
Tuenog 662.

Teroc (leg. Ternoc ${ }^{2}$ 791)
Disirt Teornoc ${ }^{2} 818$.
docher 516, domised 617.
dolaissi (maccu Imse ${ }^{1}$ ) 638 .
Dochuae 653.
g. Ducinni 688.
g. Dochumai conōc 686, Dobecoc 689.

Duchanna 705.
Duchonna 725, Dochumai 732.
g. Dodimóc 747.
n. Documai 747, g. Dulassi 750.
n. Duceta (?) 762 .
g. Dochonna 797, Docutu 837.

Dosenchiarocc 837, Dabeoc 1070, etc.
§ 128. The verbs furnish no evidence. In the earliest instances, docher ${ }_{516} 6$ domised ${ }^{17} 7$ (in poetry, cf. § 94, 97), the change of to- to

[^78]do- is represented as having already taken place. The last instance of to (tu-) is Tuenoc 662, where the Tu- was probably not completely unaccented and moreover To survives as $t^{\prime}$ before a vowel as $\operatorname{Ter}(n) o c$ 79r. It is probable that this change of to- to do- took place much at the same time as the other weakenings due to accent. Cf. tuthegot, tuesmot, Cambrai Homily. To Channu, To Cummi, Rel. St. Columba (v. Thes. ii. 281).

## (20) $\mathrm{u}>\mathrm{f}$.

§ I29. The only instances of initial $\underset{\sim}{u}$ are : g. Uinniani 578 , i.e. Finnian of Magh Bile, Chron. Scot. Finniani 578. Cf. Finnio 548. G. Uinniani (?) 775 which is Uiniani H, Finniani R (cf. Eiluuin (?) ${ }^{1}$ 617), G. Uinei $622=$ Fine. Several instances such as Firth 634 ( $=$ Wid), 640, 652, Fursu, Fursi 647,648 with $f$ might be adduced.
§ 130. Instances of initial $f$ such as Fiachrach 645 , Fiachnae 650 , Fergusso 653, I have not collected. To the above may be added : Vennianus written by Columbanus (A.D. 600) in a letter ${ }^{2}$ to Pope Gregory, Uinniaui in the Carls. Beda and Uinauo, Adamnan. Such occurrences and the examples up to 622 are sufficient to show that the change had not taken place until the beginning of the seventh century.

Looking at this change phonetically we find a rather remarkable development. In initial position $\underset{\sim}{u}$ lost its voice by a process which is not altogether clear, thus giving $f$. If we could imagine that $u$ was followed by a breath glide which in course of time became a full aspirate $h$, then ${ }_{n}^{u+h}$ would become like the wh- in English which. Then this $h$ would unvoice the $\mu$ and it would become $f$, just as English which is pronounced fuitf by Irish speakers. Intervocalic $\underset{\sim}{u}$ fell in Irish, thus we have "a fear" 'her husband' but $m^{\prime}$ 'hear ( $=$ mofhear), or in other words the change of initial ${ }_{c}^{u}$ to $f$ in Irish is later than aspiration. Professor Zimmer, with his usual keenness, was the first, to my knowledge, to draw this latter conclusion. The change must be later than the borrowing of the Latin vinum fr. *uoinom, O. Ir. fin, W. gwin.

[^79]
## CONSONANT CHANGES.

## General Remarks.

§ I3I. We see by the foregoing that many important developments took place in Old Irish pronunciation from A.D. 650 to 900 . I have already discussed these as far as they can be determined from orthographical indications. I may mention, in the first place, the weakening of the vowels (approximately) in the early eighth century which is due to the working of the Irish laws of accent. A considerable period elapsed between the various consonant changes. Thus, on the one hand, the assimilation of $n d$ to $n n$ had taken place, roughly speaking, by the beginning of the Old Irish period whilst the change of $l d$ to $l l$ had not ceased till about the middle of the ninth century. ${ }^{1}$ Apart from orthographical considerations and written records, one might state that the change of $n d$ to $n n$ had not taken place before the assimilation of the Latin benedictio into Irish as bendacht and thus determine a superior limit, whilst the change of $l d$ to $l l$ was a living force when the Norsemen in Ireland began to be assimilated towards the end of the ninth century. These principles no longer hold ; cf. galldacht, conndae ( $n d$ from $n t$ ), miondaigheacht, prionda ('print'), etc.
§ I32. Lying between these two changes we have the change of In to $l l$ which had taken place by the time of the Milan Glosses. There is a difference of time between the change of original $l n$ to $l l$ and the change of $l n$ brought together by syncope. Thus atballat in Wb. which I have already noted. Still this change (of original $\ln$ to ll) must have taken place only a short time before the change of syncopated $l-n$ to $l l$. In the modern g. olla we make use of an old form ready at hand rather than go through the performance of

[^80]building a gen. *olnna and then, at the same time, changing lnn into ll. Similarly, aille, guaille (guailli). To say that we do this latter would be equivalent to saying that the aspiration after $a$ "his" in a cheann "his head" is an instantaneous phonetic change. It now serves to show a difference of meaning from a 'her,' whilst we know that the change took place more than 1200 years ago as the result of Irish Sandhi laws, probably at the same time as the corresponding simple consonants between vowels became aspirated. Moreover, in the syncopated forms, it took at least 100 years to operate when we compare the Annals, Vita Columbae, Wb. and Ml.

We find similar changes in other languages, thus Lat. collis from ${ }^{*}$ con-lis, sella from ${ }^{*}$ sedlāa, stêlla fr. ${ }^{*}$ stèr-lāa ${ }^{1}$ (Gr. à árи́p, Sans. stär, Got. stairno), sallo fr. *saldo, Got. salta, etc. Irish, however, retained the primitive conditions very late. We find this also in initial $m r$ - for later $b r$ - in words such as mruig, Mruichesach late in the eighth century. In Latin this $m r$ initially became $f r$, cf. fraces, fr. ${ }^{*} m r a c$ - to marceo, cf. Ir. mraich, braich.

In all these changes the assimilation of original combinations ( $n d$, $\left.l_{n}, l d\right)$ probably took place before the syncopated forms. Thus $l d$ had probably become $l l$ in coill before it took place in syncopated forms such as ailde fr. *ailnde. As long as the change was alive in people's recollection the law would probably continue to operate where combinations to which it applied were brought together.

In these changes $l d, l n>l l$ the first stage of the development was probably that $l$ became double ${ }^{2}$ and then that the $d, n$ became gradually weaker. Further, the assimilation of $d$ by $n$ and $l, n$ by $l$ presupposes that the $d, n, l$ were in the same tongue position; cf. above, $\$ 27$.

[^81]
## VOWEL CHANGES.

§ I33. As I have already noted, several important vowel changes, such as weakening of vowels after the accent, took place approximately at the beginning of the 8th century, and were accompanied, as it were, by a new welding together of the word. Then we have weakening of final $-o$ and $-a e$ to $-a$, which had not come to pass till the beginning of the 9 th century. The writing of $-a$ for $-a e$ did not become general till the middle of the 9th century. Looked at from a phonetic point of view, one might expect that these changes took place at the same time as the first. The change is the weakening of a mid-back (o) or mid-front $(-e)$ to the weak vowel 2 , a being the representative of the weakened vowel in non-palatal position. In non-palatal position -ae would not be so much a mixed front as a mid-mixed. When the consonant was palatal the weakened vowel was represented by $e$.

But we must recollect that the -o represented a contraction of ou from earlier *ous, and $-e(-a e)$ represents a contraction of earlier *ias, *és; cf. Ogham g. Ercias and Avitoriges. In the case of io-stems the $e$ would be from ${ }^{*}$ ios, etc. The forms $-o,-e(-a e)$ survived after the force of the accent had spent itself out.

A much later change was the weakening of final $-a i,-i$, which (at least the former) probably had not taken place before the end of the 9th century, and which is preserved in orthography until much later. The exact phonetic value of this $-a i,-i$ is not easily determined, but it was apparently a high front vowel. The $-a i$ may have been further back or less tense than $-i$.

As regards final $u$ there is no evidence that it was weakened to $-a$ much before the end of the roth century except where this was due to change of declension. It was, after a broad consonant, presumably a short high back, rounded vowel. In positions like Eriu, Bricriu it must have been more towards the front.

Thus we see that the high vowels held out a good deal longer without weakening than did the middle ones.
§ I34. Diphthongs. In Early and Old Irish we had mostly ascending diphthongs, thus $a u$ (from $a u,{ }^{1} e u, o u$ ), adi, ói. The value of $e u$ from $e+u$ is not clear. It eventually became $e o, \bar{o}$ (preceded by slender consonant). $a u$ became $\bar{o}$, which again, in most cases, became $u a$, with the position of the vowels reversed. á $i, o b i$ became $a e$, oe before a broad consonant, where the phonetic change is not clear.

To the diphthongs was added $i a$ from (tense) $\bar{e}$ from original ${ }^{*} e i$. Before a non-palatal consonant the second part of the vowel became opener and broader; thus cén became ce-en. This became cẹ́an, with the accent on the first part of the diphthong, and céan again became cian by dissimilation. These various stages are to be seen in the manuscripts of Adamnan's Vita Columba. One might postulate similar stages for the development of $o$ to $u a$. The open long $\bar{e}$, from $\check{e}$ by compensatory lengthening through the loss of a consonant, did not diphthongize. Thus already in the 8th century the old ascending diphthongs were largely replaced by descending diphthongs, a change as great as any of the consonant changes referred to above.

[^82]
## V. DECLENSION.

§ I35. I have, where possible, arranged the words according to the various stems to which they belong. With the exception of the article and the declension of aue, I have not aimed at giving complete lists, and only include with their declension some interesting and unusual words and names whose oldest forms occur in the Annals. I have also included the declension of some common words and names whose declension has already been fully established wherever I thought such words might prove of interest from the point of view of either their phonology or of the date at which certain forms of them appear. Irish place names form by themselves a subject of interest for a separate investigation. Considerable additional light will be thrown on this subject by Father Hogan's great collection, which will soon be complete.

In the lists of declensions I give, as a rule, the names in alphabetical order for the sake of greater convenience in consulting them. In addition to classifying the nouns according to their declensions I deal with the subject of declension under the following heads :-
(i) Declension of the article.
(2) Fall of $-i b$ in dative plural of the article.
(3) $-i b$ in dative plural of adjective.
(4) $u$ in dative.
(5) Neuter $n$.
(6) Accusative $n$-.
(7) Change of declension. ${ }^{1}$

[^83]

N．$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ind（u－）622；in 894，} 916(3 \text { times），917，} 999\end{array}\right.$ N．$\left\{\begin{array}{l}n a 985, \text { 1012，1021．} \\ \text { G．} \\ \text { ina } 823 ; n a 750(m), 783,789,822 . \\ n a n-696,4747,790,820,856,876 .\end{array}\right.$
D．$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { dendibh } 726 . \\ \text { donaib } 603 ; \text { dinaib } 826 ; \text { isna } 840 \text {（poem）．} \\ \text { ona } 942 ; \text { riasna } 986 .\end{array}\right.$
A．$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { itir na h－775，799；forsna } 779,848,917 . \\ \text { lasna h－917；darsna 954．}\end{array}\right.$ in da（tigerna） 7 I 8 ；na da sluag 1008. 1 MS，an．The gender of O．Ir，men is not clear．
そし゚

## \＆I36．Declension of the Article．

in $624,560(?),{ }^{1} 763,77 \mathrm{x}, 783,798,920,969,992$.

（in 835，859，912，963， 970. ind（ll）921， 923.
$\int a \sin (+d) 913$.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { isind }(+l) 916 ; \text { dind }(l-) 923 . \\ \text { dont }(s) \text { 101．}\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { forsa 822．} \\ \text { an－} 859 \text { ；issin（tir）916，} 1012 .\end{array}\right.$
$\{$ in（magh ）ror4；in（dun） $\mathbf{1 0 1 0}$ ．
in 1012 （bis），roi4．
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { inna（locha，muire）} 821 . \\ \text { ind（lochae）} 817 \text { ；na } 920 \text { ；na locha } 944 .\end{array}\right.$
4nan－Airgialla；cf．na n Airgiall 963．
${ }^{2}$ Cf．LL，IoIaI7，35，cuma caich．
in di（longais） 836.
${ }^{2}$ Not printed by Hennessy．

inna 66I（poem）， 720.
（isind（＋vow．）890，1006，1050；isin 1012. isin（＋cons．）916；resin 916.
icon IO2I；forsind（arce） 1022.
Plur．
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { inna（con），} 775 ; 7 a 797,826,846 . \\ \text { na }\end{array}\right.$ na n－700， 73
forsna maighibh 877.
na 89 I （bis）．
na 891 （bis）．
§ I37. It will be noticed that ind $+\dot{s}$ in the genitive and dative masculine had not become int at $626,766,776$, but isint shamrad ${ }^{923}$, isint sechtmhain (d. f) 934, g. int shair 947. This is common in M1., e.g. isind salm ; huand soud 118 cr , etc. Dat. fem. isind saltair M1. 2d2. (Cf. Strachan, CZ. iv. 62, 488.)

The last instance of $a$ as nom. sing. neuter of the article is at 911 , a minn. It has become in (nom.) at 991, 1003, 1020, an 1045. In the accusative neuter in occurs at 916, ror 4 in the form $i s s i n$. In the accus. masc. isa occurs for isin at 881. This indicates a confusion ${ }^{1}$ between masculine and neuter article. In Saltair na Rann ${ }^{2}$ the neuter article only occurs three times, viz., an-nem 112, al-leith $n$-aill $5^{152}$, a m-be 5974 , of which the first may be a scribal error, and the second is a traditional formula. Strachan (Middle Irish Declension, p. 208) pointed out that the neuter article had practically disappeared by A.D. rooo. I should be inclined to put its disappearance much earlier. ${ }^{3}$

In Fled Bricrenn $a$ as the neuter article is regular. There are in this text some instances of in after prepositions, thus issintech (acc.), Wi. i. p. 264, 1. 17 imman rigthech, Wi. i. $265,1.3$. The $n$ form probably began in the accusative after prepositions. With confusion of cases after prepositions it is only natural that the two forms should get interchanged. In the texts of LU. and LL. it is not clear how far the compiler tampered with the text he had before him. In such a text as Fled Bricrenn where there is such interpolation and harmonising ${ }^{4}$ of different versions it is not surprising that some late forms should have crept in. Cf. further a $n$-dunad LL. $276 \mathrm{a} 21,{ }^{5}$ etc.
§ I38. The nom. plur. masc. in occurs for the last time at 999. The form na occurs at 985 , again at 1012 and from that onward. Na occurs in the dual as early as 917. In Modern Irish in is the regular form for the dual, but $n a$ is also used in some localities.

The phrase indala rann occurs at 892. At the time when this

[^84]combination (indala) was formed the $d$ of ind was still pronounced. The ala (a weakening of aile) took over the $d$ and thus became in dala. $L$ in pretonic words became $r$ in Middle Irish, ${ }^{1}$ cf. amail, amal, Mid. Ir. mar, O. Ir. ol>ar. Thus indala > in dara. With masculines we should expect int, in tara, which is still used in Munster. Cf. in tarna (Munster) corresponding to Connacht in darna fr. indala $n$-ai. Acallamh na Senorach (4976) has already darna la.

Ind is used beside inna as nom. plur. neuter at 817 . The $a$ in $a$ leath na cille 835 is probably the anticipatory pronoun as the $l$ is not doubled.

## -ib in Dative Plural of Article.

§ 139. Of the dat. plur. of the article we have the following instances : dendibh 726 , dinaib 826 , isna ${ }^{2}$ draighnibh 840, oconaib 851, forsna maighibh 877, isnaib caillib, 891, dina genntibh 917, ona Gallaib cetnaibh 942, riasna Danaraibh 986, ar forsna Danaraibh 986. Thus the - $i b$ - of the dative plural of the article survives till 89r. It has fallen by ${ }^{917}$, that is, at the end of the Old Irish period. Instances of dative plural of article without -ib are already common in M1. (cf. Strachan, CZ. iv. 63). Forsna huilib remshuidigthib occurs in St. Gall, 212 a1 $_{3}$, ocna fothaircthib Sg. 217a4, with the intermediate stage ${ }^{3}$ donab huilib doinib, 189b9 (Strachan, CZ. iv. 489). Compare isnaib dālaib in a poem by Fingen mac Flainn (about 850). Isnaib rendaib, Gl. Carlsruhe Beda, 18c4, dunaib, id. 18d2.

## -ib in Dative Plural of Adjective.

§ I40. I do not intend to deal here with the adjective, but for the sake of comparison I give the instances of adjectives in the dative plural up to 1100:-
${ }^{1}$ We have ara for ala at III6, don dara cur. SR. 19 has indara $n$-ai . . . araile. Fis Adamnain has indara (fecht) in both MSS. Alaile occurs at 918. Ar for earlier ol occurs M1. 38c12 ar Crist, 44c20 ar Duaid, thus the change must have begun in O. Ir.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. isna fochaidib, M1. 74d5.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. arnab Wb. 2a15, 21а13, dinab 13d33, donaballaib 12 b 2.

Cosaib tirmaib (dat. abs.) 817, cona chellaibh huilibh 831, for soeraibh setaib 856, o Galengaibh moraibh 883, co forcetlaib maithib ailib 886 , fo riaghlaibh reilibh 927 (established by rhyme with cleirigh), maidm . . . for Galenga moraib ${ }^{1}$ 938, o na Gallaibh cetnaibh 942, $d^{\prime \prime}$ airnib dubcorcra 1030 (?), ${ }^{2}$ cona uilibh templaibh 1074, co setaibh imdhaibh 1103, im shetaib imdaibh 1103, cuana sloghaibh remhraitibh 1113, imdaib 1130, 1162, 1165, 1171; dlightechaibh 1170, cetna 1171, 1172; moraib II71, dimhoraibh 1178.
dib: dib cetaibh long 870, condib longaib 920, ar dib misaib 1006.
The above are instances of both the ordinary adjective and dib up to 1100 . I have noted one instance without $-i b$ at 1030 and an instance of $-i b$ in an adjective at 938 whilst the noun has not the $-i b$ form. The -ib form is of course the normal one in LU. but there are already some instances of the non -ib form such as ossaib alta $16 a 15$, ona maccaib aile 52 b 7 . The final $-i b$ is however artificially preserved very late in Middle Irish, witness fo cethrib hairdib an domain, The Gaelic Maundeville, ed. Stokes, CZ. ii. 2, written in 1475, v. Introduction, ib. The d. pl. of adjective without $-i b$ is common in LL.

In SR. ${ }^{3}$ we have instances of the non -ib forms in such adjectives as (in etgodaib) cilecda 6872, diarmidi 387 etc.

## $u$ in Dative.

§ I4I. For the sake of convenience I include here the instances of $u$ in dative of $i o$ - and $u$-stems as well as that of $o$-stems.

Achuth 487 , ecc leccū ${ }^{4}$ lias (leg. leccun) 532, Ciunn 575, 680, 685 ; Fhoghlu 650, Lathrug 656, raithiun 635, Uaircridiu 687, Sleibtiu 699, Cuinciu (?) 710, Biliu 713 , Midiu 713 , iarthur 732, cheniul 75 1, ciunn 758, 848; argut 763, cruithniucht 763, tinntud 769, omhun 771, ochtur 779, frecur 781, Ceninnus 806, ciunn 806, 848; (do) thofunn 817, (do) dhenum 823, do cumusc 830, (do) innriud 830, cf. n. indred 830, Domnuch 831, Caisiul 835, deisciurt 835, Coirpriu 835,
${ }^{1} 7$ beccaib added over line. $\quad{ }^{2}$ But cf. entry at 1097.
${ }^{2}$ For instances, v. Strachan, Mid. Ir. Declension, p. 246.
${ }^{4}$ M.S. H. I. 8, clearly leccū $=($ leccun $)$.
do riuth 835 , inbiur 836 , dilgiunn $836, n \bar{t}^{1} 840$ (cf. n. cumsundud 840), anneurt 849, indriud 846, 861 ; uisciu 863, Olcobur 847 (cf. n. Olcobar 850), Belut 857, denum 858, fhocus 859, Flaunn 863 (Flann, R) 876, du indarbu 864, (cf. n. indred 869), ogumur 878, erruch 878, esriuth 892, denum 884, Caisiul 900, oenuch 902, brisiuth 901, 919 ; d. abs. oenur 902, airiur 912, Flaunn 913, lurg 913 (bis), Fregabul 913, innriuth 915, lius 915, ailiu 916, cobluch 916, chocud 916, lau 916, dergiu 917, maithius (abs.) 918, laithiu 918, do deirgiu 917, 919; brisiuth 919, Sathurn 920, ciunn 920, 923 ; escairdiu 920, dergiu 919, 920; drochut 925, deirghiu 926, indriuth 941, do dhelchudh 944 (thelsudh R); cheniul 940, 946, 963, 966 ; argut gil 946, allurg 954, iar fut 960, Dhabull 962, cumscugud 969; do innarbu 970, dorus ${ }^{2}$ 970, Imur 981, do indriud 984, Domnuch 985, ceniul 990, 1000, 1003, 1006; deisciurt 1000, crabud 1004 (don) Durc 1006 (leg. dond Turc cf. in Torc 1006) ; dheirgiu 1006, comarbus 1006 (cf. g. comarbuis 1006), escur 1008, chotlud 1012, Cenannus 1017, tairriucht 1018, brisidd, 1019 (on) Gut 1022, airiucht 1023, Corunn 1024, argut 1029, don UrC 1030, brisiudh 1042, do eludh ${ }^{3}$ 1054, disiurt 1056, Surd 1056, cloiccthiuch 1076 (for proper dative), galur 1075, Luimniuch 1083, etc.

## $\mathbf{u}$ in Dative: Non-instances.

§ 142. iarthar $6_{32}$, Caisil 714, il-loch 718 (and passim), Cathal 618, 732, Lilcach 729, 747, Domnall 732, 778, 783 (and passim), ar modh 742 (leg. ar mugh), Donnchad 768, (iar n-a) bharach 776, 777, ar oenach 788 , cath 797, sarugad 810 , sharugad 817, Cellach 817 , Bennchor 823 , Oentrob 823, in airer 827, Ceallach 832, Dunadach 833, i ndon ${ }^{4} 84$

[^85](innon R), Tigernach 850, re Cerball 846, cathugad 851, Bruatar 852 (Bruatur, R), Fland 853, loscad 856, 873, 890, 919, dalladh 862, Shochlachan 866, do coscrath 880, do labradh 884, re Flann 909, Niall 909, rith 910, dunadh 913, il-lorg 917, Topor 916, longport 916, belach 92 I , shamradh 918, 923, dhalladh 918, loscad 919, airer 920, anacal 920, snam 925, Muircertach 926, chinn 928 (poem, leg. chiunn).
co coiced 932, Congalach 944, marbad 944, 946, 947, 950, 953 (and passim), loscad 949, argat 963, biadh ${ }^{1}$ 964, bathad 972, airer 985, do innarba 993, dhallad 996, escor 1003, oenach 1006, innred 1010, of 1012, Draignen 1012, chocadh 1012, denam 1012, samradh ro14, cinn 1014, fhaesamh 1015, cheneol 1015, cenel 1017, tairrecht 1021, cinn 1021, innarbad 1051.
§ I43. It will be noticed that names in -al, -all, fr. *ual-, uall-, as Cathal, Domnall, never have $u$ in the dative. ${ }^{2}$ Such also is the case with nouns in $-\bar{a} n>{ }^{*} a n u$, ${ }^{*}$-agn $\overline{0}$, as is well known. Cath has not the $u$ in dative, but the $u$ appears in the compound chocud ${ }^{3} 916$. Sometimes the $u$ is not written, as in Caisil 714, chinn ${ }^{4}$ 928, 1021.

An interesting word is d. innarbu 864, 970, indarba $n$ - $901 .{ }^{5}$ It appears as d. innarba 993, 1093, and as d. innarbad 1051. The former (at 993) might be taken to indicate that final $-u$ and $-a$ had then fallen together. The d. innarbad 1051 we are probably to regard as a new verbal noun built to innarbaim from indarbenim. This -adh form might also possibly be regarded as a recollection of the earlier dative $-u$, with $-a d h$, pronounced then, as in Modern Irish, approximately as $-\bar{u}$. We have something similar in n. innarbu SR, 3732 to rhyme with $d u$. The final $u$ in dative was then going out, and might have been used in the nominative through confusion, but perhaps the $-u$ could best be explained from the development innarbadh, pro-
pronunciation, cf. modern pronunciation of dona occasionally as dana, sona as sana, etc. I have no instance of a mid-back vowel $o$ occurring before $n$ in pronunciation. Cf. g. con, pr. cun long, pr. lung, etc. Before $n n$, as in tonn, donn, the vowel is also different from $o$, but here again we have influence of double consonant. The mark of length in M1. 35cr may not have any meaning. Instances of this word don in late Mid. Ir. would be welcome.
${ }^{1}$ A dissyllable.
${ }^{2}$ But d. Chathul LL. 183b58.
${ }^{3}$ This passes in Mid. Ir. to the $o$ - declension ; cf. g. cocaid S.R. 3042, etc.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. Mod. Ir. os cionn and locative Cionn tSáile.
${ }^{5}$ Indarba $n$ Gennti a h Ere, an entry in good Old Ir., the importance of which is not generally dwelt upon by Irish historians. For older indarbae, cf. M1. 23 c8.
nounced with $-u$. Outside of this possibility, I have no instance of final unaccented $u$ becoming $\bar{u}$. The Irish Nennius (second half of rith century) has still the form without $-d$, e.g. iar na hinnarba (Todd's ed. p. 54, etc.). As another development ${ }^{1}$ in -edh, -eadh we may compare g. pl. na $n$ - innsed 979, innseadh 984.

As regards forms such as coiced 932, Strachan (Mid. Ir. Decl. p. 215) sought the explanation of this in the falling together of $u, i u$ with $a, e$ in the unaccented syllable. In forms like loscad 856, dhallad 862, 918, etc., where $-a d$ came from a prehistoric -* $\bar{a}$ tus (cf. L. amātum), there was no $u$ - infection; cf. molad Turin 98a. It is only natural that there should, after a time, be confusion between these and forms which had $u$ - infection, such as innriud 830 , etc. The dative $i n d o n \mathrm{I}$ have referred to in a note above. Later on, dative $-u$ becomes very general, and extends to cases where it did not originally belong, as d. cloiccthiuch 1076 . Perhaps we should compare d. cennuch SR. 3428, etc. The subject requires further investigation.

## Neuter n-.

## § 144.

slogad $\dot{n}$-Aedo 803, slogad $n$ - 804 .
coscradh atha 769.
coscrad Ochtair 779.
indred $n$ - Ulad 808.
ar n-Umill 812 (but cf. in bo-ar mor 776, 777).
gas $n$-oir 867 .
minn $n$-aine 877 , rigdomna $m B r e g$ 892.
n. ar $n$ Gall 895, n. ar n- Eoganachta 895.
ar gennte 811, indred deisceird 82 I.
*guin Artrach 825, coscrad oinaigh 826 (bis), ar Dealbhna 827. ar (?) aile $8_{51}$, ar dimhor 85 .
rigdomna deisceirt 886, rigdomna i[n]d Fhochlai 886.
ridomna Breg 894, 895 ; rigdomna Breg 895.
${ }^{1}$ As regards pronunciation of (slender) $d$ he may note afrithisi 870 , doridhisi rori, but doriisi 999, where the $d \boldsymbol{h}$ has fallen out. SR. has generally the $d$ form of this word ; cf. $1.3538,3500$, etc.
n. indarba $n$ - 901, ar . . $n$ imbi 903.
ar nGall 916, ar n-aile 916.
voc. a tir n-alaind $n$ - 918.
acc. är ndimar 917, a Magh mBregh m-918, ār ndimhar 919.
coscradh $n$ - 926.
cleithi n-ordain 938.
terca n-etha 970 (fol. 5 Ib , poem).
g. ceniul (?) $n$ - 904.
a leith deiscertach 915, a. ar dib 917.
indred . . . uadib 920.
ridomna Ailigh 934, 993.
ridomna Erend 949.
maidm aile 973.
n. derg-ar Gall 979, ridomna Ailigh 979, ridomna Osraighi 990.
sluagad $n$-aill 997.
cenel $n$-Eogain 1003 (bis), 1006. ${ }^{1}$ acc. $i$ magh Bregh 999.
slogad Aedha гоог.
toisech sil $n$-Anmchada 1006.
co ceniul Eogain 1006, primmind iarthair 1006.
mind 1006 .
ridomna Ulad 1007.
(acc. $i$ magh $n$ - ai 1O15.)
ard mBrecain 1036.
ar impu 1014.
ridomna Erenn 1017 , 1042.
ar Gall 1017.
la cenel Eogain 1018.
g. ceneoil mBinnig 1030.
cenel Eogain 1053.
ridomna 1054.
§ I45. The word arr, 'slaughter, destruction,' seems in the earlier period (cf. 776, 777) to have been masculine and later to have become neuter. Compare also coscrad at 769,779 , which took $n$ - at 926. Operating with such instances is not very satisfactory. The
${ }^{1}$ Confusion of cases. I have not noted the MS. reading, and it may be a contraction cen-1.
examples, however, show that the neuter $n$-, which began to fall early in the roth century, survived till rooo, but not much longer. The difference between voc. a magh m-918 and acc. mag 999 without $m$ is striking. On the other hand a poem at 970 has terca n-etha, with $n$ preserved. Of the original gender of terca I have, outside this occurrence, no decisive instance. ${ }^{1}$ In sluagad n-aill 997 we have a late preservation of the neuter pronoun aill, with which the instances at 916, 973 are to be compared; cf. SR. 5152 . al-leth $n$-aill and this was probably then rather an historical formula; cf. in leth aili SR. 275. After 1000 we find a few instances-of $n$, where it ought not to be, as g. ceneoil mBinnigh ro30, which show that the proper conception of neuter $n$-was then lost. The neuter $n$ - is still common in Saltair na Rann. ${ }^{2}$

The neuter $n$ - in fixed formulæ, like la $n$-ann, survived into late Middle and early Modern Irish. The neuter dual in Modern Irish and Sc. Gaelic, dd dtrian, 'two thirds,' may be further compared, and also the neuter $n$ - in place names. ${ }^{3}$

## Accusative -n.

## $\S 146$.

itir D. mac n- 7 Fiachnae.
mac $n$ - 783, la dal $n-789$.
la G. mac $n$ - 792 .
la C. mac $n$ - 807. slogad la Aed iarum 814.
la C. mac $n$ - 820, 821, 826 .
corici Aird mBrecain 82 I .
for $h$ - Erind $n$-uile 825 .
la Niall mac Aedho 7 la cenel nEughain 822.
for M. rig n-826.
corici Octhar $n$-Ugan 826. in-airer $n$-Arddae 827 ( $n$ wrongly
for muinntir n-Airdd 830 . used).
${ }^{1}$ Wi. (Wörterbuch) gives it as fem., but his instance is late.
${ }^{2}$ For instances and exceptions v. Strachan, Mid. Ir. Decl. p. 207 (Trans. Phil. Soc. 1904-5).
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Hogan, Irish Neuter Substantives, Cath Rois na Rig, for traces of the neuter n - in place names.
for C. mac n- 832 .
in uisci nduabais 845 .
a. hErenn $n$-uile 848 (sic).
la R. mac M.righ mBretan 855 .
for Aedh $n$-iaramh 859.
for D. mac $n-863$.
etir cenel $n$ - 865 (892), 7 .
dal $n$ - 865 .
co Dun miBolce 869 .
la cenel $n$ - 870 .
la D. mac Aedho 888.
la mac n-892, la Sichfrith nlerll, ${ }^{1} 892$.
fo Ceallach $n$ - 894 .
brised cech ndrong ${ }^{2}$ ndolbach 902, la Niall mac Aedho 907.
la Niall mac $n$ - 912, 913, 914 ;
itir Niall mac $n$ - 913 .
im U. mac $n$ - 9I 3 .
fri Donnchad donn ${ }^{3} 928$.
for loch $n$ - Echach 954.
for loch $n$ - Eirne 954.
for loch $n$ - U. 954.
co ro- ort in $m B .954$.
co loch n-Aindenne 962 .
la C. mac D. 976.
la F. mac C. ri Ailigh 987.
im Aralt mac Amlaimh, 998 (cf. im maithib Gall), co Dun Echdach 1003, for loch Eirne 1006.
im Chulen mac $n$ - Etigen 999.
co Belach n[D]uin 1005.
Tracht $n$-Eothaili ioir.
Telaigh n-Ooc iori, la Cathal mac nDonnchada roi2, tir $n$ -
Enna 1o19, co Talaigh n-Ooc la mac Eochada 103r.
1031, la mac mBr, la D. mac D.
1031.

[^86]la righ $n$ - Ailigh 1044.
la $h n$-1055. im C. mac Dergan 1056.
co ro-bris in $m[b]$ ile ${ }^{1} 1056$.
We see by the instances that accus. $n$ - was pretty firm till towards the close of the tenth century, and Siegfried, the Earl, is subjected to its laws at 892 . The number of non-instances ${ }^{2}$ occurring at about 1000 is most probably due to the confusion of cases after prepositions as we find it regularly preserved till much later. The accus. ro-bris in mile ( $=$ mbile) at 1056 shows that the accus. $n$ - was a living force in the middle of the eleventh century. After that I have noted la $M$. mac mBriain 1057, la T. hua m-1067, la D. hua n-1071, for M. hua mBriain 1075, le righ n-Ailigh 1085, ina ngrianan ngle 1088, la M. hua mBriain 1094, but la Mael Coluim ${ }^{3}$ mac D. 1058, and la righ Oiligh 1091.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{R}$ in mile, H in mile with punctum delens under $m$, and $b$ written above it. Cf. Hennessy AU. i. p. 598, note 7.
${ }^{2}$ These are moreover accus. neuter which had then practically died out.
${ }^{3}$ Who slew Macbeth (Mac Beathadh).

## o-Stems.

§ 147. Amongst o-stems I include adjectival derivatives in -ach, w- awg. <* àcos, such as Cathusach 667, 681, 947, and to which the article can be prefixed in later Irish: thus An Cathusach. These derivatives in $-a c h$ do not take $u$ in dative (see below, and cf. § I43). Neuters in -ach pass over to s-stems in the plural ; thus dat. plur. marclaighibh, 'horseloads,' 855 . Of this I have noted very few instances. The gen. plur. Airgialla 696, 851, 875, 913 becomes Airgiall 962, 998, 1022, and thus becomes an o-stem. N. Dunlaing 835, g. Dunlainge 526, 733, 816, 818, 870 becomes an o-stem, n. Dunlang 987, 1014, g. Dunluing 1016, 1021 .

## -uch in Dative.

§ 148. I have here collected the instances of -uch in dative, together with non-instances of $u$ before $c h$ (cf. il-Lathrugh ${ }^{1}$ Briuin 656); (o) Domnuch 831, erruch 878, Oenuch 902, cobluch 916, Domnuch 985, Luimniuch 1083.

Non-instances : il-loch 718 (and passim), Lilcach 729, 747, (iar na) bharach 776, 777, ar oenach 788, du Cellach 817, Ceallach 832, (re n) Dunadach 833, o Tigernach 850, belach 92 I, (o) Muircertach 926, do Congalach 944, oenach 1006.
§ 149. The following o-stems I give in alphabetical order :-
N. *Abnier 826, g. Achaidh 774, 788, 795, d. Achadh 788, 687 (note) ; g. Accidain 648 ; n. Aedan ${ }^{2}$ 562, 599, etc., g. Aedain 595 ; n, Ailbran 780 (cf. g. Albrain 773) ; n. Ailen 713, 724, g. Ailiuin 743 ;

[^87]n. Ainfceallach 718, g. Ainfceallaigh 797 (Ainfcellaich 732) ; n. Airectach $^{1}$ 793, g. Airechtaigh 741 ; n. Airmedach 717, n. Airmedhach 830 ; g. Amalghaidh ${ }^{2} 736,741$; g. Anfadain ${ }^{3} 762$; n. Anlon 809, g. Anluain $743 ;$ g. argait 763 (poem), 799 (airgit ${ }^{4}$ R), d. argut 763 ; g. Artablair 708 ; n. baccach 708 (a plague); g. Baith 731 ; n. bas ${ }^{5} 51 \mathrm{I}$; g. Beluit 761, d. (o) Belut 857 ; g. Bennchoir 629, 631, Benncair 558, 729, Benncuir 5 19, 727 , d. Benncor 823 ; g. Beoigh 523 ; g. Berich 800 ; g. Bessain 738 ; g. Biein 583 , Biain 590 ; g. pl. Biror 563,764 , Biroir 659, d. pl. Birraib 826 ; n. Blathmac 642, g. Blaithmic 657, Blathmicc 729, Blaimhicc 824, d. Blathmac 650, Blaimac 664, Blaimic 650, 670 ; g. Blaisleib 680, leg. (with Chron. Scot. 677) Blaisleibe ; a. Brandubh 597, Brannubh 596 ; g. Brenainn 582, 594, Brenaind ${ }^{6} 825$, g. Brendain $575,576,600$, etc.; g. Bricc 588 ; g. Broccain 718;833, g. Cairlaen 587 ; g. Caratbrain 803 ; g. Carthuinn 504 ; n. Cathal ${ }^{7} 743$, g. Cathail 728 , d. Cathal 720 ; g. Cathasaig 806, Cathusaig 649 ; g. Cepais 670 ; n. Cerpān ${ }^{8} 503$, g. Cherpain 502, 503 ; g. in choicid 691, d. coiced 763 (leg. coiciud) ; n. Coidbenach 706 ; n. Cochul odar 729, a scribe; g. Collbraind 775; g. *Conaith 759; n. Conan ${ }^{9} 815$; *conbadh 775 (leg. with Stokes confad) ; n. Condam 759, g. Cendercain 833 ; n. Conid ${ }^{10}$ cerr 628 (cf. g. Conaeth cirr 693) ; g. Conlaid 799 (cf. g. Conleid 552, Conlaith 557 gloss); n. Cremtann 632, 718, g. Craumthain 552, 731, 737, Cranmthainn 741 ; g. Cridaen 638 ; n. Cronan Ua hEoain ${ }^{11} 717$, n. Cron becc 693 ; g. Cruinn 806 (cf. Crond, Meyer), g. Cruip (?) 741 (Cuirp, R), g. Crumtain 716 (leg. Craumthain); g. Cumminn 609, n. Cuirrech, g. Cuirrich 781; g. Daimargit 513, 564; g. Dathail 816, g. Derforgaill 683 (Derforgail R) ; n. Diccolan 710 ; g. Dindagaidh 805 ; g. Doadain 768; n. Dom-

[^88]nall ${ }^{1}$ 546, 562,565, g. Domnaill 565 , d. Domnall 732 ; g. Domangairt 537, 595 ; n. Dothaidh 759; n. Drucan 828; n. Dub-da-doss 743 ; n. Dub da inber 726; g. Duin ${ }^{2}$ 685, 872, g. Dunadhaigh 834, d. Dunadhach 833 ; n. Ecomras 696 ; g. Ecuilp 640, Ecuilp 640, Ecuilb 716; g. Eiliuin 672.
n. Elarius ${ }^{3}$; Eirc ${ }^{4}$ 783, 795 ; Etirscel 726, 769; d. oc Eudonv 593 ; n. ${ }^{*}$ Eudus 727,797 ; g. Euin 628 ; g. Eutigirn 761 ; g. Faelchair ${ }^{5}$ 734 ; n. Fedach 738 ; g. Feirnn 662 ; g. pl. (Atha) Fen 795 ; g. Fidhcain ${ }^{6}$ 786, n. ${ }^{*}$ flechodh 776; g. Foibrain 758 (cf. Artbran, Osbran); n. Forbasach $7_{13}$; g. Droma Fornocht ${ }^{7} 726$, acc. foruth, a seat, 822 ; g. Froich, 801 , n. (Froech) ; g. Furudrain 661, 644 ; g. pl. Gaileng 737 ; g. Galluist 704 ; n. giall, g. pl. giall 763, a. pl. giallu (compare gen.-fem. giallne 720, and bertair giallno, poem, 562); g. Ibdaig $55^{6}$; n. Laidgnen 987, Laidgnean 726, g. Laidhgnein 658, 779, 792, Laidggnen ${ }^{8} 768$, g. Laithgnaen 760.
g. Leith moir $755^{1}$; d. pl. lathraigib ${ }^{9}$ 891, g. lathraigh 900, n. Lēn ?), g. (aui) Liuin 741 ; g. Lochdheirc ${ }^{10}{ }^{1} \mathrm{I}_{3}$; Mac Oirbb (filius Neutir) 809 ; g. Masoit 707, d. pl. marclaighibh (neuter) "horseloads," 855, Methuis tuirm 755 (leg. truim ?) ; Meccnaen 603; n. pl. ceithre (sic) (may be an expansion of iv.) meic 737, g. Monid Chroibh 727 , Monith Carno 728 ; g. Muccirt 787 ; g. Muiredaigh (R) 593, 804 ; n. Muircertac ${ }^{11}{ }^{527}$, g. Muircertaig 546; g. ${ }^{*}$ Neir 622 ; n. Oegadchar ${ }^{12} 734$; g. Ogomon 661; g. Ointribh 727 ; n. ol. n 732, ol n-ais, 'a drink of

[^89]milk '; n. Olcobhur ${ }^{1} 795$; n. Orach 780 ; g. Osbrain ${ }^{2} 757$; g. Ostich $74^{2}$; g. (Imlecho) Pich 686, 687; g. Raithin 730, d. Raithiun 635; n. Rogaillnech ${ }^{3} 814$; n. Rotechtach 794 ( $=$ the great possessing one), cf. g. Rothegtaigh 720, Rotegthaig R ; n. Ruamnus ${ }^{4} 800$ (a Latinized form?) ; g. rois 720 , n. in saer 763, g. ind saer 766 ; g. Segain 662 ; n. Senach 619 , g. Senaich 632,739 ; n. Sneidbrain 786 ; n. Suairlech ${ }^{5}$ 773 ; g. Tail 548 ; Teig 803; g. *Tobaith 775; thraightechaibh 855 "pedestrians"; n. Tuatchar ${ }^{6}$ 833, g. Tuidbig 761, g. hUmaill ${ }^{7}{ }^{78}{ }_{3}$, hUmil 786, hUmhaill 811 (ar $n$-) Umill 812, hUmill 812, hUmaill 912 cf. mac Uilliug Umaill 1352).

## io-Stems.

§ I50. I shall interrupt the alphabetical order so as to begin with the declension of aue, 'a grandson, descendant,' which is interesting as its older forms are well preserved, and its frequent occurrence shows the development very clearly. I have already dealt with its phonological development above (v. § 6 r, Phonology).
aue, a grandson, M.
Sing.
$\mathrm{N} .\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { aue } 646 \text {, auae } 744,763 ; \text { hue } 780, \text { ua. }{ }^{8} \\ \text { auae } 700,{ }^{2} \text { oa } 762,858,895 ; \text { hoa } 795,86 \mathrm{I} \text {; huae } 767,768, \\ 77^{2,} 77^{8}, 824 ; \text { hua }{ }^{10} 617 \text { (poem). }\end{array}\right.$
G. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { aui } 706,730,737 \text { (4 times), } 74 \mathrm{I}, 749 .\end{array}\right.$
${ }^{1}=$ Mac Olchobhuir $=$ Gallagher (?). $\quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}=0 s+$ bran.
${ }^{3}=\mathrm{Ro}+$ gell + nech, 'the man of the great pledges'.
${ }^{4}$ Change of declension to g . Rosa 873 .
${ }^{s}=\mathrm{Su}+$ airlech, ' one giving good counsel '.
${ }^{6}$ Tuath + char, 'lover of his people'.
${ }^{7}$ Cf. Umall, Eg. version of Fled Bricrenn Wi. Ir. T. I. 268, d. Umall, Trip. Life, p. 8x.

[^90]D. hu 617, d'uи 810, ria . . . oa 912.
A. ${ }^{1}$ oa 948.

## Plur.

N. oi 840 , hui $769,82 \mathrm{I}$.
G. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}o a ~ 740,748,859,867,868 \text { (bis) } 878,880 \text { (bis), } 890 . \\ \text { oa } n \text { - }(497), 794,83 \mathrm{I}, 867,85 \mathrm{I} ; ~ o 88 \mathrm{I} .\end{array}\right.$
hиe 771, huae n-648, huae 646, 829; ua ${ }^{2}$ 644, 817; hu 736.
D. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}0 \text { auib 756, 877; (ne } n \text { - oaib) } 789,822 \text {; (re } n \text {-) uib } 562 . \\ \text { (di) auib }\end{array}\right.$
( $d_{i}$ ) auib 731, 743, 749; oib 819 (bis); (for) uib 650 (poem).


## Dual.

N. da auae (Cheallaigh, H) 743 (aue R), G. da ua (Conaing) 780.
G. da aeu 571 .
A. itir da ua Cernaigh 776, itir da huae 817.

Later development of aue.
Sing.
N. oa 918, 949; иа h-919, ${ }^{3}$ Һиа 992, иа 1031.
G. hoi 944, hui 954.
D. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { oa 912, 918, 920; u } 952 \text { (leg. uib?), hu 964, (d') o Fhaelan } \\ \text { 1038. } \\ 0 \text { 953, ua 1012, (o) hui 1039, 1043; 1041 (?) (do hui Ch). } \\ \text { (do h-) ui 1043, 1044; hui (Osseni) 1044, u 1053, 1054. }\end{array}\right.$
A. oa $n-948$, (for) $u 1024$.

Plur.
N. ui 1037.
G. oa 923 (bis), $h u$ 937, ua 978, a oa (his grandsons), 1177.
fo huib 913, 983 ; oib 964, o huib 1044.
D. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { uib } 94 \mathrm{I}, 987 \text {; wibh } 96 \mathrm{I}, \text { huibh } 1037 . \\ \text { for huibh } 996 .\end{array}\right.$
A. ou $912, h u 940$.
${ }^{1}$ No instance before goo.
${ }^{2}$ Hennessy prints $h u a$ also at 690 but H reads merely $h$.
${ }^{3}$ Sitriuc Ua h Imair.

## Dual.

N. da ua Chanannan 992, 1003.
§ I51. As we have seen (Phonology, § 62) oa from aue, prefixed to names, became monosyllabic about the middle of the tenth century, and in this form together with the name was used to form surnames, thus O'Ruairc. Of surnames formed with $O$ in Modern Irish the following classification can be made. (1) Those to which -ach can be suffixed, e.g. Ruairceach, Cathusach, Ceallach, etc. (2) Those to which it cannot, e.g. Niall. (3) Compounds with Mael-. Surnames in -ach (Class I.) have the article prefixed when used without the Christian name, e.g. An Ruairceach, Cathusach, etc. Surnames to which -ach cannot be suffixed (Class II.) have not the article, and to specify an individual Mac $U_{i}$ is used, thus Mac Ui Neill $=$ Mr. O'Neill. In Middle Irish ant-Ua Neill, "the O'Neill," was used to designate the chief of the clan. To names in Mael- Mac Uì is also prefixed, thus Mac Uí Maeleoin ${ }^{1}=$ O'Malone. In $^{\prime}$ Ine latter case, it is noticeable that Mael (Mul, Muil) is not aspirated after Ut. Again (I.) 'a man named O'Brian,' or 'Casey' would be fear de Bhrianach, de Chathasach, etc. (or de na Brianaigh, Cathasaigh). This form probably spread from words like Ceallach, Cathasach, to Brianach, Ruairceach. "A man named O'Neill," "an O'Neill" is fear de mhuinntir Néill. For names in Mael- the form is fear de Chlainn, Ui Maeleoin. We have also for the plural, Clann with such names as Clann nDomhnaill, Clann nDonnchadha. Clann is the regular form used with Mac, e.g. Clann 'ac (= mhic) an Fhaola, duine de Chlainn (mh)ic Conmara.
§ I52. The following io-stems are arranged in alphabetical order:G. Ai 700, d. in-Aidniu 783, 833, g. Oidni 797, but g. Aidhne 809 ; g. Aitechdai 736 (721), cf. n. Oitechde 731; d. alaliu 668 ; g. Aleni 610, etc., g. Argai 791 ; g. *(Baeth-) Bet[h]ri 750, g. Bairche ${ }^{2} 729$, but d. Bairchiu 600, 610, $75^{2}$ (late) ; g. Balni 693, 779, g. Berri 778, but g. Beirre 798 ; g. Beugnai 605 ; g. Breifni 791, but g. Breibne 82 I ; g. Breni 808 (poem) (v. Meyer, Contrib.) ; g. Bronni $5^{11}$, n.

[^91]Bute 518, g. Buiti 72 I, Buitti 763 ; g. *Cinrighmonai 746 ; g. Cnodhbai 817 ; g. Condi 710 ; n. Connlae 799; g. Conli 740; g. ${ }^{*}$ crui ${ }^{1}$ 775, g. Cuandai 676, 700, Cuannai 720; d. Cuinchiu 710; n. Cummaene 583, g. Cummeni 7 10; g. Daimeni 608 ; n. Daire ${ }^{2}$ 545, g. Daire 723, 809, g. Darii 811, 812, 825, d. (do) Dhairiu 668, 847 ; n. derghe 923, d. dergiu 917, 919, 920, 926 (deirgiu); g. Dibcheini 710 ; n. *Dorbeni 712 , g. Dorbeni 715 ; g. duine ${ }^{3}$ 792, 814, g. Eilniu 708 ; g. Endai 759, Ennai 483 ; g. Ernani 740 ; g. Esclai 747 (cf. ar esclu LU. Táin), g. Fabri 761 ; g. Ferblai $75^{2}$; g. Fergnai 622 ; n. Fursu 647, 660, g. Fursi 648, 752, g. Fursui 752, g. Goli 786, g. *Locheni 736 ; g. Lothri 709; g. Meilli 809 ; g. Mrachidi 726 ; g. Muchti 634 ; n. Ossene ${ }^{4} 705$, g. Osseni 686 ; g. Predeni 783 ; g. *Reothaide 762 ; g. Retai 677 , g. Riati $710,716,730$, Riatai 672 ; n. righe 603 (poem) ; g. ${ }^{*}$ Robairgi 710 ; g. scuili 723; g. ${ }^{*}$ Sechndi 739; g. Silni 710 ; d. Sleibtiu 699 ; d. Tlachtgu 732 ; n. Uarcride 769, d. Uarcridiu 686 ; n. uisque 617 ; d. uisciu, 863.

## a-Stems.

§ I53. The following instances of a-stems are mostly from the early periods of the Annals. To be noticed are the class of men's names formed by the ending -gal. These belong to a-stems in the nominative and genitive, but have a broad consonant in the accusative. In the latter respect they resemble s-stems: thus n. Fergal 705, g. Fergaile ${ }^{5}$ 651, d. (ria) Fergail 932, a. Fergal 717, 720, 721 ; n. Dungal 680, 742 ; g. Dungaile 671, 689, 709, a. (apud) Dunghal 730 ; Gormgaile 800, a. Gormgal 792, 798.

The non-palatalization of the accusative may be due to the fact that the words were names of men, and hence partially considered masculine o-stems. In some cases we find them so declined; e.g. g. Ardghail 836, etc.

Other a-stems (arranged in alphabetical order) are :-

[^92]g. (achadh) Ablae ${ }^{1} 788$; g. Ailche 675 ; g. Ailenne 727 ; g. Ailgaile 798 ; g. Almuine 533 ; g. Bairne ${ }^{2}$ (no Inse Bregainn) 726, g. Baslice 763, 804.
n. Becc ${ }^{3}$ 673, 717 , Bec 706, g. Becce 645, 646, $711,713,729$, Beicce 706, a. Beicc $n-678$; g. Boinde ${ }^{4} 8_{17}$ (for) Boinn 836, 841, d. Boainn 921 ; n. bachal 840 (poem), bachall 949, g. bachlae ${ }^{5} 648$, na bachla 1015. The g. bachlu also occurs ; g. (Niall) Cailli ; ${ }^{6}$ g. cairgge 775 ; n. pl. cialla cain ${ }^{7} 796$ (poem) ; g. Comraire 761 ; n. Condal 796 ; d. congail (? $5^{62}$ ) 808 ; g. Corcaighi more 791 ; g. *Crannchae 796; g. Cremthainne 480 (cf. Cremthainn 483).
G. Cruachna 733, d. Cruachain (clannaigh) 814, a. Cruachna, d. pl. Cruachnibh 782, varies in its declension, but is best taken as an a-stem. Fled Bricrenn (Windisch 274) has acc. co Cruachain (3 times), co Cruachnaib, Cruachna, g. Cruachan, Cruachna, na Cruachna. L. Ardm. has g. Cróchan, probably gen. pl., and super Crōchan Aigli 267. Later, it was taken as an n-stem. LL. 157a6, in a Dindsenchas on the name, has n. Cruachu, g. Cruachna, d. Cruachain, but also uses n. Crochen.
N. cul, g. cule 601, cuile 549, 559, 560, g. pl. cul 742, 809 ; n. digal 942, digail 914, d. dighail 650 ; g. ${ }^{*}$ Edargnae 780, g. Eiblinne 532, 536; g. Erce 485, 527, 542, 549, 579, 775, Ercae 762, 796, Ercaae 580; * Feblae 739; d. foigaillnaig 830 (leg. foigiallnaig); g. Foire 829 ; n. fross 763 , a. frois 763 , n. pl. frossa 763 ; g. glaisse 745, 757, 884; g. dual da glas 883, a. dual di glais 951 , a. pl. glasa 835 ; n. dual di grein 910 ; d. Greamaigh 462 , g. Gronnae 755 ; n. ingin 729 (accus. for nom.), g. Laitne 762 ; g. *Laithlinne

1 'The field of the apple-tree.'
${ }^{2}$ Varies in gen. with Bairenn (change of declension).
${ }^{3}$ Leg. Bécc ; another instance of a man's name belonging to the a-stems.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. n. Boend, Vita Columba 59a, n. Boand in notes on Fiach's Hymn, Boindeo, L. Ardm. $16 a 2$ (Thes. ii. 269), r6br, d. Boind, L. Ardm. riar. In this word the nom. form becomes generalized, whence g. Boinde, Boindeo. M. Innse bo finne 754, the gen. becomes generalized. For g. Boindeo, cf. g. innseo (v. ì-stems). The -end of Boend indicates the non-pal. nd of "uinda.
${ }^{5}$ Occasionally g. bachlu.
${ }^{6}$ Nom. callann or Calland, which is usually written with a contraction in the Annals, and hence not clear. For this name cf. also LL. 16b37, 17a19, 130a50, etc.
${ }^{7}$ Cain has short ai here, is almost a synonym with cáin (caoin), and generally means 'fair,' 'excellent'. If it is an adj. it is indeclinable. Cf. g. Innsi cain Dega 1022.

847, 852 ( $=$ g. Lochlaind 1014, etc.), n. (ind) lamcomart 771, 798, g. lamcomarthae 771 ; g. ${ }^{*}$ Lecnae 750 (Lecne, R) ; g. Licce 725 ; n. longas (a fleet) 836, 923, 926, 928, 93I, longus (a fleet) 920, 92 I , g. loingsi ${ }^{1}$ 944, 1014, a. (condici) longaiss 938 , n. dual in di longais, n. longus, banishment, 768, a. longais 8 I 7 .
G. lunge 672 , luingi 774, g. lunga 920, d. luing, a. lungai 920, n. pl. longa $851,962,985$. Though the final vowel is $a$ at 920 the $u$ does not change to $o$ (v. Strachan, Mid. Ir. Declension, p. 229). Compare, however, the Mod. Ir. pronunciation of long which is pronounced lung rather than long, o before $n^{2}$ being generally pronounced $u$.
N. Mor $6_{31}$ (g. Moire, Chr. Sc. 633) ; g. Muaide 784; g. Muirsce 602, 705, Mursce 682, 734, d. Muiruisc 602; g. Othnae 724; g. Rechrainne ${ }^{3}$ 742, 768, 798 ; g. Samhdainne 738; n. Sebdann 731, g. Selggae 708 (Selge, R) ; g. ${ }^{*}$ Singittae 713 ; g. Slemne 600 (cf. deissoicc, ${ }^{4}$ leg. dess Soicc 813) ; Tamlachtae ${ }^{5}$ 824, etc.; g. Telcha 808, 1051, d. Telaigh 751 (913); a. Talaig $n$ - 1031, Telaigh $n$ - 1011 ; toghal $8 \mathbf{0 1}$, $93^{8}$; n. Tomnat 694 ; g. Torchae 616, a. Toraich 732, n. thuirind ${ }^{6} 650$, g. tuirinne 763 (poem), d. thuirinn 650.

## iā-Stems.

§ I54. I have not noted many interesting instances of these stems: cf. n. Fiachnae (Fiachna 625), g. Fiachnae 624, 799, 808, 809, but accus. Fiachnae 783. Cf. Fiachnai, Imram Brain. The g. Fiachnai occurs at 624 (poem). G. ${ }^{*}$ Fitae 803 ; g. Itae 576.
N. (sing. ${ }^{7}$ ) sochaidi 769 , sochaide mor 949 , a. sochaide moir 1027 , n. pl. sochaide mora 830 .

With confusion of final $-i$ and $-e$ these forms became virtually indeclinable.

[^93]
## i-Stems.

§ 155. In the section on Phonology (change of $-o$ to $-a$ ) I have already given several instances of genitives of i - and u -stems. In the list of instances of $u$ in the dative I include several u-stems. I add the few following examples, which may be of interest from various points of view. In the absence of decisive cases, such as $u$ in dative singular or accusative plural, it is difficult to tell an i- from a u-stem.
N. Aig 817, 82 I (aigh), 916, fr. *iagi, g. éga. Cf. saigid, segait, etc.
G. Alo (Cluathe) 72 I later became a guttural stem. N. Amhalngaidh 717, Amalgaidh 790, g. Amalngado 592, Amalgadho 740, Amhalghaidh 736, 74I, became indeclinable. It lost its $n$ early between $l$ and $g h$.
D. (i m.) Bodbraith 738, n. Bochaill 744, g. Bochallo 790; g. cano 782, d. cain 886 ; n. Cluain ${ }^{1}$ 547, 548, Cluaen 844, g. Clono 548, 627 , 759, Clona 576, 722, 736, 769, Cluano 551, 653, 663, d. Cloin 794, Cloen 844, a. Cluain 572, 600; chnama ${ }^{2} 823$; n. Choblaith ${ }^{3}$ 689, Coblaith 730, 770 ; g. ${ }^{*}$ Cobo 732 ; n. Conamail 672,709 , g. Conamlo 704 ; g. Crannamna 659 ; n. Dichcuill 700 (H), g. Dicolla 681 (Dicholla, R), cf. n. Dichuill 631 ; (Cuu) Dimerggo 718 ; g. Drommo ${ }^{4}$ foto (670), 745, 728; n. *Dubcalggaidh 768; n. Duinechaidh 795, g. Duinechdo 731, 795 ; n. *Dunflaith 798 ; g. Echdroma 789, g. Elo 610, Ela 739 ; n. Fiannamail 695, 717, g. Fiannamlo 740, cf. Fianamla 735 ; g. *fola 687 (leg. folo), 763 ; n. Forbflaith ${ }^{5} 779$; g. Forggo 676 ; n. guin 643,825 , g. gono 617 ; g. Itho $663,732,733$, Itha 845 , 906, etc. ; g. Maelembracho ${ }^{6} 621$; g. Maelracho 700; g. melo 717 , d. mhil 763; g. Noindrommo 642, d. Noendruim 638; g. Ratho (Oinbo) 786, Ratho 595, 622, 730, 809, Ratha 808, d. Raith 622, 747, 788, a. Raith $n$ - 622 ; g. Sratho 679 ; g. Temro ${ }^{7}$ 585, 611 , 779, d. Temuir 502 (Temraigh 839); g. *Tola 7, Fortola 572, 573.
${ }^{1}$ The nom. Cloin does not, as far as I have noted, occur in the Annals.
${ }^{2}$ Note loss of final vowel, but the poetical extracts, where the metre does not help, do not preserve the final vowels very well.
${ }^{8}$ Com-flaith, W. cy-wlad. Cf. Gormlaith, Dunflaith 798, Forbflaith 779. The initial consonant is here aspirated after ocus.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. g. Droma moer 841, Droma hiung 834, Droma 727, 744, 788, etc., d. Druim ing 721, and see also Hogan, Ir. Neuter subst. p. 120.
${ }^{5}$ Ingin Connlai (sic H), from *for-ylatis, the $u$ being preserved after $r$.
${ }^{6}$ From mraich, 'malt'. $\quad{ }^{7}$ For change, v. Change of Declension, § 164.

## i-Stems.

§ I56. The full form of the declension is rare, as in inis, g. inse 726,772 , g. innse $754,784,788$, d. insi 701,783 , 1053, a. insi 857 , but d. inis 744, 1029, a. inis 948. G. innsi $88 \mathrm{r}, 883$ shows confusion of $-i$ and $-e$. A. pl. innsi $856,92 \mathrm{I}, 923,927,94 \mathrm{I}$. Very early this word took a genitive in -eo as if it had passed over in this case to an i -stem, e.g. g. inseo 737, 870, innseo 740. A genitive innsae occurs at 548 , where -ae( $(\underline{\ell})$ probably means $-e$. Later (979), as will be seen under change of declension, it passed, in the plural, to the dental stems (v. § r 66).

Further examples: n. adaigh 729, 'night,' g. aidhchi 1053, d. aithchi 859 , aidci 1006, a. (abs.) aidhchi (notlaic) ${ }^{1} 985$, aidchi 991, n. dual, di aidhchi (dhec) ${ }^{2}$ ro14, g. (anad) da aidhchi 935, g. Fertae 788, but g. Fheirt 862, d. Ferti ${ }^{3} 502$.

Several nouns which may be classed with this declension in the nominative and genitive have the dative like a-stems, e.g. g. Brigitae 523 (Brigide, R), n. Dunlaing 835, g. Dunlainge 526, 732, 816, 818, 870; g. Emnae 758, Emna 902; n. feiss 559; g. Gabrae 564; g. Irlochrae $73^{2}$; g. Lochre 653 ; n. scrin 797, g. scrine 808; g. Samnae 780, d. Samhain 780; g. Sruthrae 765; n. pl. suili 758 (poem); Tome 748, Tomae 739, etc.

Change to this declension: a. in tailchi (the hill) 1012; cf. oc Telaigh 913, etc. Also long in the instance g. lunga, a. lungai, 920. See above, a-stems.

Change from this declension: Dunlaing (835) is replaced by n. Dunlang 987, 1014, with g. Dunluing 1016, 1021. The g. Dunlainge 526 shows that the entry was written before the time of the change.

With this declension may also be classed : g. (Uib Briuin) Sinna 987 , d. Sinaind 836 ; cf. accus. in Sinainn, g. na Sinna in The Adventures of Ricenn, ed. Meyer, Archiv iii. 309, but na Sinainne, Morthimchell Erenn, ed. Hogan 33. L. Ardm. has g. Sinnae, and Latinized Sinona. Cf. further acc. Sinainn Trip. Life 92, (94, 146).

[^94]
## u-Stems.

§ 157. N. Aid 731 (glossed, i. Aed), g. Aidho 713 (cf. Aida 8ro), from n. *Aidus, g. *Aidous, cf. Latin Aedui, are the oldest forms of this name. N. Aed occurs at 697, Aedh 610, 713, 714, 718, 721 , 746, 757, etc.; g. Aedo 650, 653, 664, 694 (R). 732 ; Aedho, 695, 700, 702, 704, 741, 907 ; Aeda 709, 721 ; d. Aed 604, 912, 913; a. Aed $n$ - $562,736,804$. The change from Aid, Aido to Aed, Aedo must have been very early as only three instances of the form in ai occur in the Annals.
G. Aidlogo 651 ; g. Ailgusa ${ }^{1} 813$; n. ${ }^{*}$ Ailngnad 780; g. Airledo 771 ; g. Anmcadha 801; g. Atho (Truim) 745, 783, 930; Atha (Truim) 795, not followed by aspiration. Not followed by $h$ in Atho Aublo ${ }^{2}$ 631. G. Berodeirgg 773, n. Bodbcath 703, g. Bodbchodha 725, 829; a. pl. catha ${ }^{3}$ 917; g. Ceninnso 717, Cenindsa 813; g. Cinaeda (805), 807 ; a. Cinaedh 727, g. Cloento 781, g. *Cnucho 731, n. coemchludh 834 ; n. Conchadh 691, 734 (Conchad); g. Concobho, 696, n. coscrad 710 ; g. Daithgussa 731 ; g. pl.(?) dathe 768 ; g. *Dego 788 ; n. deirmess 772 (deirmhess, R), g. dairmesa 768; n. doirad 677, 673, 680, 699, 700; g. Doirgarto 709, 711 ; cf. g. Doirgairt; n. Duncath ${ }^{4}$ 575, 620, 62 I, 646, 653; g. Dunchath 650, 676, 682, 706, etc., g. Dunchatho 669, g. Dunchado 734 ; n. echt 548 ; eludh 821 ; n. *Eudus 821 ; Eoaedh (?) 615 ; g. esso $75^{2}$; g. Fedho 628 ; n. Feidelmidh 700, Feidlimid 721, 841; Feidilmidh 577, g. Feidhelmtho ${ }^{5} 760$ (Feithelmtho, R), g. Feidelmtie (?) 588 (Feidelmdhe, R), d. Feidlimthid 822, a. Feidlimthe 822 ; n. Ferggus 745, g. Fergusso 617 (: to rhyme with $d \bar{o}), 653,{ }^{6} 82$ I , later g. Fergusa 737 ; n. Fincath 485 ; g. Forgusso 740 ; g. Imblecho 687, Imlecho 660, 729, 746, 780, 797 ; innred 792, indreth 793, n. pl. indreda 797; g. locho 677, 721 ; locha 742, da locho 639, 686, 903 ; da locha 768, 774 ; n. loscudh, loscoth 642, luatho 646 ; Lutho 663; n. Muirgius 814, g. Muirgiusso 809 (Muirggiusso, R), Muirgiussa 699, 743 ; a. Muirgius 814 ; g. Muirmhedho 797 ; n.

[^95]riuth 763 (a long u-stem?), d. riuth 835 ; n. roiniud $82 \mathrm{I}, 832,836$; n. roined 828 (without u-infection); n. sarugad 792, 830 , d. sarugad 808, 810, 817, sarugud 745 (R) ; g. pl. na tri sloinnte 789 ; g. *Trego 699 ; g. Treno 742, 793.
§ 158. The genitive plural which is na $n$-. Airgialla $696,851,875$, 884, 913 is na $n$ - Airgiallu 918, and becomes an o-stem with g. pl. na $n$ - Airgiall 962, Airgiall 998, 1022, to which we have an acc. pl. Airgiallu. Cath has accusative plural catha 917 with $-a$ for $-u$ (see § 157, note 3). Cf. for Locha Eirne.

## Guttural Stems.

§ 159. I have noted the following instances of guttural stems :-
G. Aedach (mic daill) 607 ; n. Ainmire 560, 562, g. Ainmirech 568, 574, 597, 709, a. Ainmire 575 (poem) ; n. Artri 801, 817, 832, g. Artrach 741, 790, 793, 803, 825, a. (la h) Artrigh 822, 826; g. Bethach 727, cf. Bethech 748 ; g. Bregh 634, 684, 738, d. Bri 599 ; n. (Cliu), g. Cliach 743, d. cliu 626 ; n. Cuanu 738, 777, 817, 824, Cuana ${ }^{1}$ 482, 489, 72 I, 804, g. Cuanach 628, 741, etc., cf. g. Cuanai 669 ; n. Daui ${ }^{2}{ }_{501}$, g. Duach 560, $562^{3}$ (poem) ; n. Echu 696, 795, 800, 803, 850, Euchu 821, Eochu 494, 940, Eocho 497, 597, g. Euchach 552, Echach 610; n. Echaidh 758, 808, 809, 81 1, Echuidh 788, Eochaidh 665, g. Echdach 701, 713, 800 (cf. g. Echdaigh 702), Echdhach 708 ; n. Etru 1032, 1056 (Etru), Etroch 1003 ; n. Fiacha 515, g. Fiachach 739, d. (ria) Fiachaidh 516 (1. h.) ; n. Fiachrai 769, 785, Fiachra 803, g. Fiachrach 562, 570, 602, 607, 758, 763, Fiachrach 645, 757, a. Fiachraigh 913; g. Fiatach 578 ; g. Finnubrach 718, 798, Findubrach 808, 823 ; n. lethri 756 ( $=$ a deposed king), ${ }^{4}$ leithri 817 ; g. liacc (find) 785, (daim) liacc 758, (doim) liacc 809; g. Lugdech, Lugdach 506, (511), 608, Lugdag 553, g. monistrech 722, 836, mainistrech 763, manistrech ${ }^{5} 833$, moinistrech 854 ; a. (idir) nathraich 758 , for nathracha

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \mathrm{Cf} \text {. Introduction, } \$ 8 \mathrm{II}, 12 . \\
& { }^{3} \text { At } 562 \text { Duach rhymes with nauch. } \\
& { }^{4} \mathrm{Cf} \text {. Mod. Ir. a chaitheamh de leithrigh }=\text { ' to throw it aside '. } \\
& { }^{5} \text { For variation between } a \text { and } o \text { cf. } u \text { in Dat.; note on don. }
\end{aligned}
$$

to rhyme with cathraigh; n. Ruaidhri 781, 877, g. Ruadrach 779, 804, 813, 850, a. Ruadraich 781, Ruadhraigh ${ }^{1} 855$ (this word later becomes indeclinable), cf. g. Ruaidri 1o20, 1043; g. (pl.?) Sailech 841 ; n. Temair ${ }^{2}$ (cf. g. Temro 6ir, Temra 889, but) g. Temrach 914, 915 , etc. ; n. (Uada, Chron. Scot. 592), g. Oddach 600, $h$ Uatach 601, 648, Uadach 655.

## Dental Stems.

§ 160. G. arad 810, n. Mac Beathadh (mac Finnlaich) 1058 (= Macbeth) ; g. bragad 1029, a. (for) braghait 809 ; g. Buchat 596 ; g. (pl. ?) Both ${ }^{3} 627$; Cathub 554, cf. g. Cathboth, L. Ardm. Thes. li. 240 ; n. Cathnio 169, Cathnia 793, 809 (sic leg.); g. Cinngarath 688, Cinngaradh 659, 736 (cf. cille garadh 731, g. garadh 762) ; g. Concarat 723; g. Dirath $69^{2}$; g. (cinn) Febrat 756; n. Flaithnia 714, 754, 805, Flathnia 809, g. Flaithniadh 754, Flathnia ${ }^{4} 8$ го; n. Flannabra ${ }^{5}$ 777, 824, g. Flannabrat 786 ; g. Grainairet ${ }^{6} 494$, Granaerad 485 ; n. Macnio 708, 779, Maccnia 701, g. Macniadh 751 ; n. Macoigi 801, Maccoigi 874, g. Maccoiged $75^{2}$; n. Nia 775, g. Nieth 692, Niadh 494 ; n. Nuadho 72 I, Nuadha 810, g. Nuadat ${ }^{7}$ 751, Nodot 817, n. Rechtabrae 733 (Rechtabre, R), Rechtabra ${ }^{8}$ 786, 817 $_{7}$, g. Rechtabrat 751, 758 ; n. Rechtnia 782 ; g. Roth ${ }^{9} 836$ (i.e. the battle of Magh Rath); n. tene 622, 771, 798 , g. thened 713 , g. in teinidh 771 ; a. tenid 622 ; g. (pl.?), na tengadh ${ }^{10} 1022$; g. Tueth ${ }^{11}$ (?) 614 .

[^96]
## n-Stems.

§ 16I. A large number of these stems are formed by a noun prefixed to $c u$, e.g. Ailchu ("hound of the rock"), g. Ailchon 722, 726, Aldchu 724,786 . The $c u$ is sometimes used with a dependent genitive as Cu bretan 739 , Cu roi 796 (" the hound of the battlefield"), and g. Concumbu, 729, 79 r.

The most interesting nouns belonging to this class are those having the genitive in $-n(n)$, but with the dative in $-e-a e$. These have their nominative in -iu. Examples are :-
N. Eriu 920, g. Erenn 858, 917, 961, Erend 876, d. (di) Ere 434, iar $n$-Ere 857, a hEre 901 (hē, R ), but d. iar $n$-Erinn ${ }^{1} 916$, in Ē̈r${ }^{2}$ 979, 964 (sic H), a h Eī 979 (sic H, R), Erinn ro66, acc. Erinn 797, 979 (Erinn), for $h$ Erind 825, (an-) 852 ; fiu Erinn 902 ; compare d. h Ere Fél. Oen. Jul. 3 I (also d. ire Ep. 25,450, d. dīle Fél. Ep. 452). Dative Ere occurs ${ }^{3}$ in Compert Conculaind, Tochmarc Etaine, Story of Mor Muman, LL. 27422 I. Tigernach, corresponding to A.U. 737 , has d. Eri to rhyme with Fene ; for Ere occurs in poem on the death of Maelsechlainn 862. Fiacc's Hymn has d. Erinn in one MS. ; Erind in the other. The non-nasal dative seems to have been giving place to the nasal one early ${ }^{4}$ in the roth century.

Further examples are: g. Luscan $^{5}$ 615, 701, 735, 783, 799, 804, 881, 890, d. (o) Lusca 696; g. Mumen 596, 778, 792, Muman 727, etc., d. Mume 775, (di) Mumae 825 ; g. Talten 716, 722, acc. Tailtin 1001, d. (a) Tailtae ${ }^{6}$ 732, a Tailti 790, i Taillte 856; d. (hi) Fernae moer 782, g. Fernann 692, $714,816$.

Miscellaneous nasal stems are: n. aicsiu 867 , aicsin ${ }^{7}$ 918; g. ${ }^{*}$ Banban 724 ; n. Ciniod 712 , g. Cinedon ${ }^{8} 630$, Cinadon 729, Cinadan 877 ; n. Colggu 579, $701,721,795$, etc., g. Colggen 609, 621, 677, 721 , 730, etc., Colgen 702, etc., later Colgan ; g. Crothrann ${ }^{9} 719,784$; g.
${ }^{1}$ Sic H. I have not noted the reading of R. ${ }^{2}$ Leg. Erinn ?
${ }^{3}$ This does not exhaust the number of occurrences.
${ }^{4}$ But cf. Flann Manistrech LL. 185br8 in hEriu.
${ }^{5}$ But change to g . Lusca 906, 928, 1055, 1059.
${ }^{6}$ The - $l t$ - is probably palatal though followed by -ae. Cf. next instance. The dat. $h i$ Teilte occurs in Vita Columbae (Thes. ii. 279).
${ }^{7}$ MS. (H) can be read aicsin.
${ }^{8}$ A king of the Picts. The "Cinioth filius Lutrin" of the Pictish Chronicle. Cf. 712.
${ }^{9}$ Leg. with Hennessy Clothrann.

Cualann 703, 708, 726 ; g. Dairben (?) 777, n. ditein 878 (for ditiu), g. Deilggden 621, 723, Deilgdden 621 ; n. herim ${ }^{1} 758$; g. * Eitchen 577 , 585; Loch Erpsen 929 (Loch Oirbsen 1338) ; g. Erumon 772; g. in gobann 1or I ; g. Illandon 586, 62 ( Illainn C. S.), Illannon 585 (cf. n. Tllann 624) ; g. * Laisren $^{2}$ 604, cf. g. Lasre 622, 645 ; d. leim 585 ; g. *Lingsen 799; g. Lugedon 789, Lugadon 780, 800; g. Lurgan 624 ; maidm 720 (bis), d. madhmaim 779, 815, 857 ; g. Maignenn 786 ; g. Nesan 700 ; g. Nodan 808 ; g. Noiscan 753 ; taidbhsiu 991 (Taidhbsi, R), (Ath) Truisten 937, Uilcon 696 (leg. with R Iulcon ?), g. Uinsen 560, 561 .

The declension of the following is variable: g. Arann ${ }^{3}$ 917, g. Airne 759, 866, a. Arainn 857, cf. g. Bairenn 694, 777, but g. Bairne 726, g. Rechrann 634, 849, 974, but g. Rechrainne 738, 742, 768, $772,794,798$ (the latter certainly appears to be the oldest as far as dates are concerned). Cf. g. Eiblinne 532, 536. G. *Cruachna 773, d. (de) Cruachain (clannaigh) 814, a. (for) Cruachna, d. (hi) Cruachnibh 782 , is often classed, in later times, as an n-stem, but is really an a-stem. It is sometimes used in the singular and sometimes in the plural, and its declension seems very erratic.

## Irregular.

G. arba 913 (from nom. arbar, old dat. arbaimm).

## s-Stems.

§ 162. Of words declined in the singular we may take as a type sliabh, n. 'a mountain,' g. sleibhe 820, d. (i) sleib 775, 893, 1054, a. (fri) sliab 887, (hi $) 758$ (poem), (dar) 962 . I have no instances of the word in the plural in any period.

The genitive of mag, 'a plain,' is sometimes followed by a $h$
${ }^{1}$ I have included all nasal stems here.
${ }^{2}$ This -én is probably the termination léne in its shortened form.
${ }^{3}$ It is doubtful if this is an n-stem, as the oldest genitive appears to be Airne (759).
before a yowel as maige, as in Maighe heu 731, 772, Muighi hai 734, Muigi hItha 906 ; not followed by $h$ in Maighi Ochtair 589 ( R maigi). Other instances : g. maige 730 ( R maighi), 824, d. maig $755,799,824$, acc. Mag 836, etc., voc. a mag m-918.

Of tech, n. 'a house,' the dative (do) thaigh occurs at 780,955 , but tigh at 634, 808, 1012 ; g. taighi 912, 952, 1012, g. tighi 1043, tige n. pl. na taighi 891, 911, 920, a. tech 1034. For dūn, which becomes partially an s-stem, see Change of Declension, § 165.

## Dual.

The following dual forms may be noted: g. dual (neuter) da locho $639,686,903$, g. da locha $768,774,1017$, n. dual fem. di grein 910, g. dual fem. da glas 883, a. dual f. di glais 951, n. dual in di longais.

## Change of Declension.

§ 163. The following are the principal cases of change of declension which I have noted. For changes from o-, u- and i-stems, see under these stems.

## Guttural Stems.

§ 164. ı. G. Ailech Cluathe 869; earlier g. Alo Cluathe 721, etc. 2. Temuir, formerly an i-stem, g. Temro 6ir, etc., Temra 88 g . The oldest instance ${ }^{1}$ of the guttural genitive of Temuir is g . Temrach 914, and, at about which date, ${ }^{2}$ I should fix its change to the guttural declension. G. Temrach occurs again at 915, 917,920 , by which time the guttural declension of the word was fully established. Temra (Temro) does not re-occur. These words changed to the guttural declension on the analogy of words ending in $l, r$ which belong to this declension. Compare láthair, g. láithreach, cáil, g.

[^97]calach (' fame,' ' 'name '), lair, g. lárach (' a mare,') meabhair, meabhrach in modern Irish ${ }^{1}$; cf. also clais, g. clasach (' a pit '), cis, g. ceasach (' a causeway '). Other examples might be cited, and the tendency is strong in the modern language.
G. Monistrech 722 (also at $763,833,836$ ) is a rather early instance of the guttural declension for a borrowed word.
G. Ruaidhri 1020, 1043 changed from the guttural declension, but $h$ Ua Ruadrach occurs at 1053 for the last time. Hua Ruadrach also occurs in a poem on Aed hua Farreith (1032).

## s-Stems.

§ 165. N. Dun, (n.) 733, g. Duin 680, 685, ${ }^{2} 697,{ }^{2} 844,864,872$. At 866, 964, 1174, g. dūine, ${ }^{3}$ probably on analogy with glun; a. pl. dune 870 . The g. düin remains in place names, e.g. g. Duinlethglaisi 955, 1006, etc., co Belach n[d]uin 1005, g. Duin Chaillden 872, but g. Duine Caillenn 1045 ; cf. g. in duíne, LL. 276a24. As a rule, place names preserve the older declension. For neuters in -ach cf. o-stems, § 147.

## Dental Stems.

§ 166. N. inis, g. pl. innse $n$-, an i-stem, has g. pl. na $n$-innsed at 979 , innseadh 984 , as if formed from an accusative plural innseda. This plural in -edha (adha) possibly helped to give rise to the Modern Irish plural in -i, ai. ${ }^{4}$ It was probably formed, in the first place, on the analogy of forms in eed, eeda in dental stems, though the $d$ can hardly have represented a dental at the time of the above instances. At 1004 the gen. plur. is again na $n$ - innsi.
${ }^{1}$ In Mod. Ir. suiul ('eye ') gives g. súlach beside súla; also glún, g. glúnach.
${ }^{2}$ In R the stroke for $n$ is over both $u$ and $i$.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Máel dúine, Anecd. i. p. 74, § 220 (rhyming with rúine), and v. Hogan, Ir. Neuters, p. 13 r.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. Strachan, Mid. Ir. Declension, p. 224 (Trans. Phil. Soc. 1904-5).

## Nasal Stems.

§ 167. N. (Rechru), g. Rechrann 634, 849, 974, but g. Rechrainne $738,742,768,772,794,798$ as an a-stem (cf. under n-stems). Compare g. Bairenn ${ }^{1}$ 694, 777, 793, but g. Bairne 726. G. Arann 917 points to a nom. ${ }^{*} \overline{A r} u$, but Airne 759, 866 appears to be the older genitive.

Instances of change from this declension are: g. Ferna 904, Dercca Ferna 929, g. Ferna móire 1042 (g. Ferna mor 1002); cf. g. Fernann 692, 714, 816. G. Lusca 906, 928, but g. Luscan 701,735 to 890 . Both these words had a non-nasal dative, hence after this change they become indeclinable.
${ }^{1}$ G. Bairenn also occurs in Cluain Bairenn, Story of Recinn, Meyer, Archiv iii. 308. Ceann Bóirne is the modern Irish for Black Head, Co. Clare.

## VI. THE VERB. I.-MATERIAL.

The Copula.
indicative.

## PRESENT.

§ 168.
Sing. Plur.
I.
2.
3. is (e) 560 (gloss), 640 (?) is at (imda) 1014, 104 r . $562,645,66 \mathrm{r}, 839,853,9 \mathrm{If}, 7$ atte mesca 1012 .
IOII.
dianid 839, 'to whom is,' condid 916, indid 918, conid
858 (conid, conidh), 1015 , 'so that it was'.
$n i$ hed 640 (? poem), ni 640 ,
668.

PRETERITE.
1.
2.
3. $b a{ }_{516}$ (poem, 3 times), 66 I , 694 (poem), $763,850$.
ba himdha 670, ba imda 777,
$n i$ bo (chomailt) ${ }^{1} 650$ (poem), ni comtar 855, 916, 944. bo 66 r, ${ }^{2}$ badhid 879, baithiunn ${ }^{3}$ combtar, 940.
902.
(olsodhain) napu (menic) 938, batar 1012.
combo 991.
${ }^{1}$ Notice regular aspiration.
${ }^{2}$ In a poem on folio 24a: ni bo chointi ni occo.
${ }^{3}$ 'There was to us'='we had'; cf. taithiunn, Poem iii. Codex S. Pauli, baithium, Longus mac n-U (Wi. i. p. 78), etc.
perfect.
1.
2.
3. robo (croda ${ }^{1}$ ) 763 (poem), diarmbu 878.
corbo (comardd) 849, 1003.
FUTURE.
1.
2.
3. ni ba (ellmhu), 687 (poem).
bidh (fir fir) $8_{23}$ (poem).
SECONDARY FUTURE.
3. ropad 742 , robad 970 (fol. 51 Ib ). 3. nipdais 979 (fol. 52 a ). subjunctive.
present.
No instance. PAST.
1.
2.
3.
3. roptis 687 (poem). diamtis 979 (fol. 52 a ).

## THE SUBSTANTIVE VERB.

## indicative.

## PRESENT.

§ 169 .
Sing.
Plur.
I.
2.
3. ata 928.
${ }^{1}$ Notice non-aspiration of $c$.
nista 918 (bis).
Rel. file (' which is ') 687.
itaat 758 (poem), ' in which they are '.
conidfail ${ }^{1} 958$, 'so that he is'.
ni fail, ni fil 894 .
present consuetudinal.
No instance.
imperfect.
1.
2.
3. a tech a mbidh 670 (poem, agrees with ba); hi taigh i mbith (poem) 670 .

## PRETERITE.

Sing. Plur.
1.
2.
3. bai, 856, poem, 1009, (rel.) 3. (rel.)batar (i maig Itechta) 102 I , baithiunn ('we had ') 902. 7 bhatar 1029.

PERFECT.
I.
2.
3. ni raibhe 102 I , robai (roboi, R), corabadur 755 (sic R), robadur 1021; (rel.) roboi 1006. 848, (rel.) robatar 817, 846, 1103.

FUTURE.
3. ro-n-bia 1065. (rel.) bias 687.
subjunctive.
PRESENT.
3. vambe, ${ }^{2} 694$ (poem).
past.
I. (dia) mbeinnsi 742 .
3. (cia) beith 928 .

Verbal Noun : dobuid 687.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Wb. 16bg ci nin-fil lib.
${ }^{2}=r$-an-be; $a n$ - is the infixed pron. of 3 sing. masc.

## THE VERB.

## § 170 .

imperative.
Sing.
1.
2.
3. (?) berad 562.
abbred 928.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Plur. } \\
& \text { 1. tiagam } 913 \text {. } \\
& \text { 2. taiscidh } 622 . \\
& \text { 3. } \\
& \text { INDICATIVE. } \\
& \text { PRESENT. }
\end{aligned}
$$

§ 171 .
Sing.
Plur.
( addaim 640 (?) (fol. 23b).
ni caraim 845 (leg. ní caru).
(o) doralaim 877, adfeidim 902.
2. $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { ce nomaide } 845, \text { condici }^{1} \\ 938, \text { corici } 853 . \\ \text { ?ni-s-riadai }{ }^{2} 694 .\end{array}\right.$
2. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}n i \text { chelaid }^{3} 516 \text { (poem), } \\ \text { asmberidh (rel.) } 516 . \\ \text { nach cainid 9II. }\end{array}\right.$ fosgniat ${ }^{4}$ 624, adrandat 627.
(inna) frescat 661, nodchiat ${ }^{5}$ $75^{8}$ (poem).
3. fogeir ${ }^{6} 877$.
ni cumaing 918, 1041 , nadmair 942.
$3 .\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { fosgniat }{ }^{4} \text { 624, adrandat } \\ 627 . \\ \text { (inna) frescat 661, nodchiat }{ }^{5} \\ 758 \text { (poem). } \\ \text { dosnegat } 779 \text { (fol. 34a). } \\ \text { asberat } 1003, \text { brenait 1024, } \\ \text { facaid 1099. } \\ \text { innisit 1099, comhraicit } \\ \text { 1099, tescait } 1099 .\end{array}\right.$
${ }^{1}$ A crystallized phrase, as in Mod. Ir. go dti, go dtigidh $=$ 'as far as'; leg. odtici. It takes the accus. in O. Ir.; cf. Wb. 24d5 condidticci.
${ }^{2}$ For ni-sn-riadai, but cf. infixed pron.
${ }^{3}$ Aspiration after $n i+$ infixed neuter pronoun.
${ }^{4}=f o-s n$-gniat, 'serve them'. $\quad{ }^{5} \mathrm{Cf} . \mathrm{Wb}$. robб, amal nahi nadchiat.
${ }^{6}$ ' heats.' Cf. Cambrai Homily, Theo. ii. 246, fogeir a nggalur in uile corp. Henn. wrongly prints fugerr.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (is alaind) feras }{ }^{1} 562, \text { meilis } \quad \text { indisit (rel.) } 1118 . \\ 650 \text {, scoras } 66 \mathrm{I} . \\ \text { hiaratha } 746 \text { (poem) (leg. } \\ \text { aratha }{ }^{2} \text { ). } \\ \text { diallas }(?) 779 \text { (fol. 34a). } \\ \text { imteit } 845 \text { (leg. imeteit), } \\ \text { opas } 970 .\end{array}\right.$

Passive.
fichtir ${ }^{3}$ (cath) 562, (dogarar $55^{2}$ rimthir 927 (leg. rimtir).
late).
berthair (giallno) 562.
nachinlecar 913, gnithir 1014,
fogabhar 1031 (circ.).
ce nach arimter 1011 (' though they are not reckoned ') (arimhther, R).

## IMPERFECT.

## § 172.

I.
2.
3. baeded ${ }^{4}$ (?) 763 , brised 902 . $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { mordais } 979 . \\ \text { rel. immasreitis }{ }^{5} 694 \text { (poem). }\end{array}\right.$ corenadh 964.

## S-PERFECT.

I.
2. robadis 845 , roscarais 918 .
3. rogab 622,914 ; rosoi 640 , ruc rodatoigsetar (?) 62 I (MS. rod650 . batoigsetar).
atosrolaic ${ }^{6}$ 694, coruce, corruce
737, rolegh 746, roleig 746,
${ }^{1}$ For this use of rel. compare 687 niba ellmhu bias gen, and M1. 57 cr2.
${ }^{2}$ ' which is ahead.' Cf. arithmboi in next stanza.
${ }^{3}$ Leg. fichthir (?), but cf. again in same stanza fichtir cath nGabra.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. badhid 879, and see § 199.
5'used to ride over it.' Cf. LL. 275 immusréitis cossa ech \| Muman i mbethu Cuanach. Tighernach has imoreithdis rigriadhai.
${ }^{6}$ For $t$ we want two dentals. Analysis ad-to-sn-ro-laic for later O. Ir. ad-da-ro-laic rather than Mid. Ir. ad-dos-ro laic. Cf. Fled Br. adsoirg, Wi. 1. ix. 25.
roleic 746, roleici 746, ni terna 755 , cofargaib ${ }^{1} 758$, co tucc 778 , nisrogab 780, cororann 801, 804, 817 ; corugiall 803 , rodomthes ${ }^{2}$ 809, romarb 809, conidroloiscc ${ }^{3}$ 822, dosfuc 840, ro-iad (glasa) 835, corindridh ${ }^{4}$ 849, co fargab 854, 913; co comscar 856, durat 858 , tuc 857 , co tuc 865,967 , ioir; co farcaib 859, roslat 865, co tarla 865 , ro-as 867 , [ad cotada ${ }^{5}$ 869], ni forlaigh 886, ni essib, (879) 886, Jdarsgar 891, co ruc 891, co rogab 914, co tarmasc ${ }^{6}$ 916, co rala 916, 917, 986 ; fotracaib 918, ro-s-baid 918, co romarb 920, roscar 920 , co robris 920 , co ro inder 927, 948, 961 ; conidforsailc 938 , co ro innir 940 , conerlai 941, dorigal 941, doruagell 963, co tarat 967, co rosindir 967, co tarait 984 (tarat R), co ro erlegh 992, co ro innir ${ }^{7}$ 997, 1026, co rola 998, fargaibh 988, 995, 1004, co ro gaibh 1009, co tarait $\mathbf{1 0 0 5}$, co ro marb 1ori, 1026, dorat 1048, far-
ro ansat 780 .
co ragiallsat 321 (bis), rureset ${ }^{8}$ 821.
co ransat 835, roslatsat 836, co rolscsad 849 , co roloscaiset 844 . mmascsat 850 , co ralsat 850 .
co ro[s]latsat ${ }^{9}$ 850, co farggabsat 85 I .
co rugiallsat 852 , co romarbsat 859 . ro scruidiset 862 , co tucsat 865 .
cor innriset ${ }^{10} 865,923$; co rucsat 894, co nerlasat 901 , co farcabsat 901, co fargabsat 912, 919, 920.
imorroiset 916, co rolsat 917, co ruscat 92 I .
co forgaibset 932, tucsat 939, 997, Io I ; co rindriset 937.
rogabsat 941, romarbsat 941, 984, 985.
co farcaibset 1000, fargaibset 1000. co roscarsatar ${ }^{11}$ roor.
co romarbsat ioni, co roloiscset roir, co tardsat 1012, 1035 . co ro lasat 1027 .
tucsat 1028, 1041, 1059, 1062, 1072.
${ }^{1}$ fo-ad-ro-gab. $\quad 2$ 'has heated me.' ${ }^{3}$ ' and burned it.'
${ }^{4} \mathrm{R}$ corinnridh. The verb seems as if it were a denominative form innred. O. Ir. ind-rethaim has ro-infixed; cf. in-ro-raid, M1. 66d2r. It passes over early (865) to the s-perfect; cf. instances at 927, 948, 961, etc.
${ }^{5}$ Leg. adcotad (?), as in M1. 43d24; or should we take it as pres. subj., q.v. ?
${ }^{6}$ Sic R leg. Co darsgar = co dtarsgar (to-ro-sgar), later trasgar. Tigernach cur trascair corres. 985 (A.D.).
${ }^{7}$ Notice loss of infixed pronoun.
8 ' They have frozen.' Perfect of $r \bar{e}-i m$, 'I freeze '.
${ }^{9} s$ omitted in both MSS.
${ }^{10}$ Cf. M1. 35a21, inrorthatar; also 104b8. $\quad{ }^{11} \mathrm{R}$ roscarsat.
gaib 1012, 1029, coro-thair- coro-brisidur ${ }^{1} 1041$, foracsat 1056, misc 1003 (thairmisg, R), ro- ternatur ${ }^{2}$ 1103. thecht 1056.

Deponent :
coro-ordigestar, sic leg., MSS. srodigestar.
Rel. :
(is amhlaidh) ro-fhoghail ${ }^{3} 552$, ronghonsadar 604 (late hand). ro-mharb 604, ro fhaigh ${ }^{4}$ 814, rogab 867.
ro-scar 920, (in lucht) ro marb ${ }^{5}$ IOII.

Pass. :
fosriadhat ${ }^{6}$ 624, ni etad 645 co ructha 821, 830. (poem).
robbadhad ${ }^{7}$ (sochaidi) 769 , foroir - conarrgabtha 830 (bis), 7 rogabta. eth 777.
conarrgabad 830, rolloscad 835, asatuctha 865.
irroladh 836 .
corolscadh 835, 868; foracbadh 840, (du in ro) marbadh 844 (bis), forolgad ${ }^{8} 850$ (fol. 39b), coralad 855, co fargbadh 857, 923 ; corogabad 864.
co rosaragat (for saragad) 874, cor-innred $88 \mathbf{1}$, coro-tairmeisced 904, co rolscath 907, (du in ro-)
badudh 923, ro cabadh 925,

[^98]irroladh 979, 986; co romarbad ${ }^{1}$ coro-riagtha 985, coro-marbtha 995, 1003 ; corolad 998, 1022, 1031, 1076. coro-cuired ${ }^{2}$ 1022, ni fargbad ro marbtha 1019, * corusdilegait ${ }^{3}$ IOII.
coro-tescadh 1019, ir-ralad 1032, i farcbad 1043.
986.
doronta 998, co fargabtha 1012. IOI4.

## Reduplicated and Various Perfects. ${ }^{4}$

§ I74.
Sing.
Plur.
I.
2.
3. ro-mebaid $(=-m e m a i d) 593$, robi (bis) 603.
hi torchair 626, 835 ; co torchair 661 (poem).
imrualaid ${ }^{5} 746$, cia dorochair $i$ torchradur 816 (H, R). 796.
co torchair 821, coniddeisigh (du i) torchratar ${ }^{6}$ (ili) 833. 839.
rommeabaid $8_{51}$, romemaid $8_{53}$, 859.
dodechaidh 858, adrogaidh ${ }^{7}$ itorchradur ${ }^{8}$ 892, 896, conde858. chadur 892, adconncadur 917.
${ }^{1}$ Is uaidhib fein ro-marbad, "it is through themselves that he was slain". In Mod. Ir. also $o$ is the preposition we use to connect the agent with the perfect participle, thus bīod sí déanta ō n-a láimh; cf. § 197, 5, note 2.
${ }^{2}$ A new form. It occurs again at (1178), 1188, etc., coroladh is used to 1126 , dorala 1220, 1232.
${ }^{3}$ This peculiar form seems to mean 'so that they were destroyed'. Such forms were common during the rith and first half of the I2th cent. Instances occur in the Annals at 1155, II61, 1170, 1187 (-badh, R). Several instances will be found in the Leabhar Breac Passions and Homilies.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. Strachan, Old Irish Paradigms, p. 54.
${ }^{5}=$ imb-ro-as-luid, 'it passed away from him'; cf. nicon imruldatar- Turin 65, nad imrulaid, Ir. Psalt. 1. 468, without as- (?).
${ }^{6}$ Sic H. $\quad{ }^{7}=$ ad-ro-gāid. $\quad{ }^{8}$ At 892,896 sic $R$ and $H$.
co-remid 920 (leg. -roimid).
condeochaid ${ }^{1} 940$, co-roimid 946 . i torchratur $93^{2}$.
[Cf. arrochiu ${ }^{2}$ (?) 970 (poem).]
i torchair 971 , 972, 979, 982.
to remaidh 995, 998, 1003, 1005, co torchair 1003, dorochair 1003, 1014 [maidhis $1014=$ romemaid $]$, coremid 1016.
[co romuidh ${ }^{3}$ 1022, 1024], co comrangadur 1021. condechaid 1026, 1054 ; torchair 1038, 1050; dochuaidh 1056, (docuaidh R).
Rel. :
rombi 603 , [ise ro edbair 588 gloss].
dodrorbai 8 io.
Passive:
ro-hicad ${ }^{4} 796$.
-gaeth (?) 850 (poem).
erclos 882.
do na frith 1014, 1047.
[cf. condidaptha 830 ; v. § 178 t-pret. note 4], atcessa 808 . cofritha 877.
cororenta ${ }^{5} 985$. corodairthea 1022.

Deponent :
forsngenair 642 (? poem).
o genair ${ }^{6} 979$ (?).
${ }^{1}$ Condeoch, R.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. ar-ro-chiuir Fél. Prol. 67, 127, arrochiúirtatar L.U. 23bI9, araruichiuir M1. 136 a8.
${ }^{3}$ Notice the disappearance of the reduplicated pret. and perf. here and at ror4. Ro becomes an independent particle and maidid passes over to the s-pret. ; cf. maidhes 1099 (maidhis, R). Meabaidh 1128 seems to be used as a present. Tighernach (corres. to A.U. 997) has cor muigh and A.U. 1031 mebuis. For transition to $b$ - fut. in this word in SR. cf. (Strachan SR. 18) no maidfed 5036.
${ }^{4}$ For $h$ see Thurneysen, Eriu ii.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. rithae ('was sold '), L. Ardm. I7b (Thes. ii. 240). The above is a new formation from renaim.
${ }^{6}$ Mughron, to whom the poem refers, died in 979 (980).
\& 175
T-Perfect.
Sing. Plur.
1.
2. an ro milt 650 .
3. arroet 552, 1106 ; robi 603, adrandat 627. rothobaig 763, * conarecht ${ }^{1}$ (?)
8 Io, corort 849 , doferbairt 859 , corortadur 844 . (sic R, $\bar{f}$ bairt, H), in erbailt 878, doroachtadur 85I (doros̄ad ${ }^{u}=$ ni roaiht 879, naranacht 894, doroachtadur, R).
coro-ort 940, 954, 964, ro-ort forropartar 869.
969, 970; conerbailt 973, ェ036, fusruapartadur 916, coro ortatur IIO4; ro shiacht 1003 .
co ro-acht ${ }^{2}$ IOII, co ro-ad-
921, (932), i torchratur 932, ro-oirg 986.
nacht ${ }^{3}$ IO14, co ro-ort IOI5, co ro achtadur 1005.
IOI9, 1024, IIOO.
co ro-oirc ${ }^{4}$ IOI2, conerbailt ェо36, ( sderbailt R).
ReL. :
is mise imrubart ('who plied ')
809, ro malart 9x8.
Passive :
ro ort 998.
asrorta 840 (' out of which '). ro horta 900, ro orta 950.

## § $\mathbf{1 7 6}$.

S-Preterite.
Sing.
Plur.
I.
2.
3. fillis 562 , scorais 913 , anais 916. fillsit 562, sinsit 562, forloiscset roinis 916. 562 , aensit 771 , nadcarsat 780 . soissit 808 , ansit 808 .
${ }^{1}$ Leg. conna resed, 'so that there might not run'. See Past s-subjunctive.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. LL. igra, co ro aig Pardus Adaimh. ${ }^{3}$ 'interred them.'
${ }^{4}$ Cf. rodosn-airg, SR 5415, and v. Strachan, Verbal System of Saltair na Rann (Trans. Phil. Soc. 1895), pp. 4, 26.
maidis 1014, do fuit ${ }^{1}$ ro16. gabais Inor.

Dep. :
Rel.:
nodfich 562.

## Passive:

tescadh rorg.
tindscansat 808.
co comascsat 848 .
do gensat 917, cathaigset ${ }^{2} 916$.
coirsetar $^{3} 621$.
$\S 177$.

## T-Preterite.

Sing. Plur.
1.
2.
3. asbert 913, ${ }^{*}$ acht ${ }^{4} 920$. fechtatar 1024 (fol. 55 b poem).

Passive:
doomlacht ${ }^{5} 732$, dianepred 771 , 778, asbreth 916.

## § 178.

## Reduplicated and Various Preterites.

## Sing.

I.
2.
3. docer 516, 916 (docher), memhaid 516.

Plur.

1. fuaramar 913, nimacualamar 970, (fol. 5 rb).
2. 
3. dollotar 758, 916. lotar 780.
${ }^{1}$ A new verb. Docer occurs for the last time at roar.
${ }^{2}$ We should expect a deponent ending. The form used is not absolute either. Active forms of deponent verbs, however, occur frequently.
${ }^{3}$ Leg. with Chron. Scot. ; concoirsetar, lit. 'they conspired against'.
${ }^{4}$ Acht in sluagh fa thuaigh, 'the army made for the north'. Hennessy translates acht by 'but' and inserts 'that went' in parenthesis, but I have not met a parallel for such a construction. If we put a full stop after thuaigh and begin a new sentence with dosfarraidh we shall have the true Irish narrative style. The perfect form ro-acht occurs commonly.
${ }^{5}$ ' was milked,' from to-od-melg, translate : 'She was milked three times. A drink of milk at every milking.'
arithmboi ${ }^{1}$ 746, nimatulaig ${ }^{2}$ condidaptha ${ }^{4}$ ili de 830 . $75^{8}$ (poem).
o fhuair 763 (leg. fofuair).
condeissidh 821, coniddeisigh 839, condeisidh 857, 859, 88I.
ni thargai ${ }^{3}$ (?) 886, ni taircell co comairnechtar 917. 886.
dusnarraid 913, dosfarraidh nadacadur 917. 920.
co tainic 925 , conostarraidh 932.
deissid 942, tainic 963 (tainig R).
conitarraidh 973, conustaraidh 999, 995, 1012, doluidh 999.
luidh 1001, 1004, 1014,1056 tall 1015.
docer (sochaide) 102I. nimalotar 1012.
conitairtetur IO35 (-tairthet ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} . \mathrm{R}$ ).

Passive:
forsmbith ${ }^{6} 694$.
§ 179
FUTURE.
Sing.
Plur.

1. dombeuir ${ }^{7}$ (rel.) 617.
2. 

${ }^{1}=a r-i d n-b o i$, ' which was before him '.
${ }^{2}$ Leg. nimatulaid $=$ ni-mad-tu-luid.
${ }^{3}$ Perhaps we should read ni targa (fut.), 'there will not come,' as in Tig. corres. to A.U. 995 ni targa; but cf. SR targai 4498.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. M1. 98b8 atbatha, commonly atbathatar, as asindbathatar, M1. 36a1o, conaptha YBL. 58b4; cf. RC. xi. 450 . For infixed pronoun in condid-cf. atbail.
${ }^{5}$ Sic R. 6 ' on which was slain.' ${ }^{7}$ F.M. dober.
§ 182.] THE VERB.
3. $\begin{cases}\text { beraid } 640 \text { (poem). } & \text { doregat }^{2} \text { (rel.) } 617 \text { (poem). } \\ \text { fodirfe } 970 \text {, dorega }{ }^{1} \text { (rel.) } 640 & \text { riasangebat } 1022 \text { (poem). } \\ \text { (? fol. 23b). } \\ \text { adfe }^{3} 640 \text { (fol. 23b). }\end{cases}$

Passive:<br>berthair ${ }^{4}$ (mo chnama) 823.

## § 180.

SECONDARY FUTURE.
I.
2.
3. nosfirfed (fol. 52a, 970 circ.).

## § 18 I.

## Subjunctive.

PRESENT.
Sing. Plur
I.
2.
3. (*adcotada ${ }^{5} 869$; cf. enclitic iarmifoiset ${ }^{6} 617$. form -etada).

## § 182.

> PAST.
I.
2.
3. (mai) domised-sa 617, mona arangabtis 916.
${ }^{1}$ In a poem attributed to Columbcille! $\quad 2$ ' will come.'
${ }^{3}$ ' who will tell,' for adfí. The poem runs in sui dorega indes : ise ad duibh for lej. Beraid Cumain cua thech: do mac Aedho mic Ainmerech.
${ }^{4}$ Leg. bērtair.
${ }^{3}$ As there is no principal verb in the sentence it is not easy to know how to construe this. As dia follows perhaps we should read adcotadad (' all he could get ') ; but it may be simply historical present. Cf. adcotedae, L. Ardm. 18br. For the s- perfect form of this verb, cf. adchotados-sa, Wb. 7ar6, also M1. 44cr8, $4_{3}$ d24, Tur. 100, Sg. 50a3, M1. 54a9.
${ }^{6} 3 \mathrm{pl}$. pres. s-subj. of iarmi-fo-siag-.
icad 687 (poem), dianomm-
ansed 742, dichet ${ }^{1} 783$.
ni tuidecht 783 (leg. twidched ${ }^{2}$ ).
ona res̄ (leg. con na resed ${ }^{3}$ ) 810.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. LU. 38a3r, $n n \bar{a}$ díchtheth carpat friae disiu nach anall.
${ }^{2}$ MS. टuroe $\overline{\text {. }}$. Cf. Strachan, CZ. iii. Grammatical notes.
${ }^{3}$ Sic leg. 'so that neither horse nor chariot might run'. $H$. has эทaŋес́ट eć, R. эnaŋе $\bar{\uparrow} \mathrm{ec}=$ conna resed ech. If this reading be right we have here an important historical reference to chariot- and horse-racing. For -resed, cf. Rev. Celt. xi. 446, ara-resed amal in roth $\sin$, 'so that it might run like that wheel'.

## II. REMARKS.

§ 183. The verbs in the Annals are relatively few, but are important as far as they go. As the occurrences are mostly confined to the third person of the present, preterite and perfect indicative, with but a few forms of the future and subjunctive, there are several points on which they do not touch. The past tenses of the indicative are, however, pretty well represented. In these latter I have arranged the ro- and ro-less forms as perfect ${ }^{1}$ and preterite respectively.
§ 184. After a time ro- tends to move to the front of the verb and to become a separate particle with the accent on the next syllable following it. Of this we have instances of simple verbs after $c o$, 'so that, and,' common in the early 10 th century as cor-ansat 835 , cor-ortadur 844, but coro-ortadur 921, 932, cor-ort 849, but coro ort $940,954,964$, etc., co rollscsad 849 , but coru-giallsat $85^{2}$, etc. In both cases $c o$ is final. It may be translated by 'until,' ' and'.

With compound verbs, co-rindridh 849, cor-innred 881, but coroinder $927,948,96 \mathrm{I}$. Ind-rethaim seems to have been early treated as a denominative verb from indred and passes over to the s-perfect. ${ }^{2}$ In O. Ir. it has ro infixed ; cf. an-in-ro-rad-su (2 sing. perf.), Ml. 84c2, in-ro-raid $66 \mathrm{~d}_{21}$; further, 35 a 2 I , 104b8. Before going further it may be well to point out that in these forms, where ro- precedes a vowel, Modern Irish represents the older rather than the later condition of things, and it is not clear that the phenomenon in such cases is not due to artificial spelling. From forms like co ro-gab 914 ro becomes atonic and loses its $o$, thus giving Mod. Ir. gur ghabh. Apart from this, however, we have a general tendency for ro to come to the front in the roth century, and this phenomenon may be a part

[^99]of that tendency. Even in O. Ir., after con- ('so that'), the tendency is not to have the accent on the syllable following $\mathrm{co}^{1}$; cf. srogabsid, Wb. 26 a 25 , lase $\jmath$-ro-thinoll Sg. 66b23. After ni, na, in Old Irish, ro is brought forward in unaccented position ; cf. Strachan, The Particle Ro-, 168. After co before a reduplicated preterite we have always ro accented, e.g., co roimid 946 , co rèmaidh 995 , 998, 1003, 1005.

From these instances also we see that the non-accentuation of rotakes place very early ; thus co rolscsat 849 , but co ro loscaiset 844 , co ru-giallsat 852 , etc. It may however be noted that this accentuation of ro seems to occur preferably before $l,{ }^{2} g, c h$; thus Togal Bruidne da Derga, LU. $84 \mathrm{a} 13,85 \mathrm{a42}$, has cor-ràgbaiset. At any rate, it is clear that the consonant group which follows ${ }^{3}$ influences the accentuation. Strachan, The Particle Ro-, p. 187 (Trans. Phil. Soc. 1896) thought that the "sinking of ro to $r u$ may indicate a change of accents". It may be well to point out that in our material the writing $r u$ only occurs between 803 and 852 side by side with the writing $r o$, and only in a few words. In the Wb . glosses the instances of $r u$ are relatively few, but they are pretty common in MI.
§ 185. When ro comes in the second position after a preposition it regularly takes the accent, e.g. ad-rò-gaid 858 , do-ròchair 796 , etc., do-rigal 941, fo-rolgad 850, imrubart 809, etc. ${ }^{4}$

But after the preposition ar-, oo does not take the accent ; ${ }^{5} \mathrm{cf}$. ar-ru-nert M1. 139b2, ar-ro-dibaid Wb. ıra19 (rel.), ar-ru-dibaid M1. 99a2, ar-ru-throith, Ml. 38d7, ar-ru-genisiu, Ml. 72b20, but cf. however, do-rigenuas-sa, M1. $2 \mathrm{a6}$ (rel.). The same rule may apply to such prepositions as ceta.

[^100]
## Infixed ro-

§ 186. We have ro- still preserved in infixed position ${ }^{1}$ in dorigal 94 I , dorìagell ${ }^{2} 963$, etc. In fargaib 988, 995, 1004, 1012, 1029 , in plural at 1000, 1056 (foracsat), Dorigal has become in SR. 4465 ro digail, with palatal $l$. SR. has, as a rule, ro infixed in compounds in which it was infixed in Old Irish, e.g. doru-malt 2909, 3683, etc., do-r-dd-nacht 124, 1469, etc., do-r-immart 860, do-roे-sat 3, 657, etc. ${ }^{3}$ But ro- is prefixed in co-ro-thafind (do-senn- < * to-svenn-), SR. 6405 , ro thëpi 29, rothimgair 1731, 2477, ro-th-àirn-gair 3287 .

The change did not become general till after the middle of the roth century, and had not fully operated for compounds of one preposition at the time of SR. Compounds of two prepositions had generally moved ro- on one place, as do-rò-sat, SR. 3657 , but doforsat MI. ${ }^{17 b} 6$, do-fhorsat Sg. 3rb5. This had already begun in O. Ir.; cf. dorosat, Sg. 3rb2, Pcr. rab. $^{2}$.

We may further compare such forms as conrotacht, Fl. Br., and conrottig which becomes in Mid. Ir. ro chumtaig, as rochumtaig, Three M. Ir. Hom. ${ }^{4}$ p. 18 ; ro chumtaig gloss on arutacht, Broccan's Hymn, etc. This introducing of the enclitic form after ro- for the orthotonic form was the greatest change that the O . Irish verb underwent.

Even in O. Ir. we find the beginnings of this change in certain exceptional conditions, such as in forms which were not felt to be compounds : thus ma-ru-d-choiscset, Wb. 28c7, ro coscad, M1. 49a5, ro-tuirset, M1. 44d23, ro-thuirsium, Fel. Oen. Ep. 143. After con- in lase 3 -ro-th-in-oll, Sg. 66b23.
§ 187. After $n$ í, nad, we find ro also brought to the front in certain verbs in the time of the glosses: thus ni ru-thogaitsam, Wb. 16a22, beside ni-m-thorgaith, M1. 38ar3; ruthochurestar, M1. 18d6, beside do-ro-churestar, MI. 16c6; niruforaithmenairsom, Ml. 24a17. For a collection of instances, v. Strachan, The Particle Ro-, p. 168, where he points out that these are later compounds which did not undergo the laws of Irish accent.

[^101]§ 188. In some cases ro becomes part of the verb, as $i$ torchair 971, 972, etc., $i$ torchradur 1127 , etc. Where ro has become part of the verb, as in coràlsat $85^{\circ}$ (cf. co rolsat, LU. 83a7), irràlad 1032, corala $9^{16}$, $9^{17}$, 986, ro keeps the accent when a preposition or conjunction precedes. The compound co tarla 865 gives the Mod. Ir. tarla, tarlaigh. Ro becomes ra under the accent when the following syllable contains $a$. Cf. co ragbad, with weakened root, after $r a$.
§ 189. The preposition in becomes ir-before ro: irroladh 979 , 986, irralad 1032. On the other hand we have in maintained before ro: thus du in ro marbad 844 (bis), du in ro badudh 923 . In this case ro does not take the accent, while it does so after as in asrorta 840 .

In Mod. Ir. we have the $n$ of $i n$ - preserved before $r$ in in rud ar bith beside $i$ rud ar bith. We have instances ! of both in- and ir forms in the glosses; cf. in rochomallad, Ml. 122 d 7 , in rogbath 24 dro , irrufolnastar, Wb. I3b29. The same conditions prevail in connection with $a n$ - (' what '). In case of other particles the accent follows $i n$ - : du i torchratar 833, etc.
§ 190. We have aspiration after independent ro at ro-siacht 1003. This, as was only natural, spread from non-independent ro, which had, by that time, become full.

## The Reduplicated Preterite and Perfect.

§ I9I. After a time the s-preterite becomes the regular thing in the singular, and the -tar or deponental forms become generalized in the plural. Thus for the regular reduplicated perfect coroimid 946 ( $=$ co-ro-memaid) we have co remid ${ }^{2}$ (leg. roimid) 920 , co remaidh 995 , $998,1003,1005$, coremid 1016, but co ro muidh 1022, 1024 ; cf. the preterite maidhis ro16 for (ro) memaid. In these forms we have both changes: ro becomes an independent particle and memaid passes

[^102]over to the s-preterite. Tigernach corresponding to AU. 997 has cor muigh. Meabaidh 1128 stands between two presents and seems to be used as a present. The disappearance of the reduplicated perfect probably became general in the early 1 ith century. Even in the Milan glosses we find the change from the reduplicated (deponent) form to the s -form in a few words, ${ }^{1}$ as foruraithminsit, ${ }^{2}$ Ml. 135a1. The reduplicated perfect is common in $\mathrm{SR},{ }^{3}$ but there are a good many cases of transition to the s-preterite and perfect : thus ros-dedlaig 7958, but ro-dluig 4045, romemaid 5097, 6589, but diarmaid 5582 ; further, snegdatar 252 I , instead of an older reduplicated preterite of snigim. Thus the change was in process of taking place ${ }^{4}$ when the Saltair was composed, the new non-reduplicated form being the spoken one which could be used when the metre required it beside the older literary form.

Togal Bruidne da Derga has both reduplicated and non-reduplicated forms: ro cuchain, LL. 83b28, 37, 9ra39, etc., but rachain 86a32, etc.

The Táin Bó Cuailnge (LU.) has generally the reduplicated form as lelgatar, LU. 57br9, cachain 57b28, and numerous instances. Non-reduplicated forms are cumrigis $62 b_{42}$ (for conreraig) romaid $63 b_{42}$, rodgonsat 78 b 10 , etc. Cf. Quiggin, The s-Preterite, Eriu, IV. ii. p. 203.

The Siabar-charpat Conculaind ${ }^{5}$ in Egerton 88 fo. a4o has Ata lim is bo rodlelaig, ${ }^{6}$ for which LU. has Ata lim is bo rodalig. The Brit. Mus. Addit. MS. 33,993, fo. 2b, has for this passage italím is bó roduslelai. This makes it clear that the writer of LU. occasionally modernized his texts. In a poem in the Cogad Gaedel re Gallaib, in a remark put into the mouth of Brian Borumha, occurs cruaid ro-m-

[^103]lensat thar cach lear ; cf. Ml. 96cı3, ro-leldar, Serglige Conculaind, co-ruildetar. In the poems by Fland Mainistrech (d. 1056) in LL. 181a ff. we find reduplicated forms like geguin 18 Iar 5 , cechain ib . 19 , ${ }^{1}$ etc., but these are probably poetic survivals, and he has do-cersat 182 b 38 , which shows that he was not familiar with the form. Gilla Coemain ${ }^{2}$ has also docer, LL. 129b, 3b, adnacht, -erbailt (LL. 3b), etc., but cingset, LL. 4a, romaidset 17 a .
§ 192. In the passive we have a new form : cororenta 985 ; cf. rithae (' was sold '), L. Ardm. rybr. For a similar change cf. SR. 587 r, roben.

## T-Preterite and Perfect.

§ 193. For the t-perfect we have an instance co ro-oirc 1012 (3 Sing.) where the s-form is introduced, and for the 3 plur. ro-oirg 986 (sic MSS.). We have the t -form co ro-ort however at 1015 , 1019, 1024, IIOO.

The t-perfect is regular in SR, but we have several instances which have gone over in the singular to the s-perfect. Thus ro-dosn-airg 5415 , do-rim-gair 4930, but dorimgart 2019, 3176. Compounds of -garim have always the perfect in -gart in the Glosses. The conditions in SR correspond pretty well to the indication of the Annals.

We may compare further ro recair, Serglige Conculaind (Wi. 33), for ro-recart, but nis-frecart, id. 18. The change in verbs ending in $-r$ seems earlier than that of verbs ending in $-c h t$, $l$. Strachan, VSR, p. 26, suggested the analogy of dorochair. Such verbs would also naturally be influenced by deponents in -ir. ${ }^{3}$ We may take the loss of $-t$ in these verbs as earlier than the putting of ro in the beginning, as the above compounds do-r-imgair, etc., have ro-infixed.

[^104]We have palatalization of 3 sing. perfect in do-forbairt ${ }^{1} 859$ ( R ferbairt, leg. forbart).

Cf. further erbailt (3 sing.) 878, 973, 1036, ${ }^{2}$ 1104, with atrubalt, M1. $125^{\text {CI }}$, erbalt, Longes mac n - U . We may compare here the $\mathrm{s}-$ perfect co tarait $984(\mathrm{H})$ with palatal $t, \mathrm{R}$ has co tarat. At 1005 both MSS. have co tarait.

## S-Preterite and Perfect.

§ 194. Of the $-d a r(-d u r)$ or deponent forms in the 3 plural we have an instance ro-brisidur 1041 ( R co ro-brisetur). In this case the $s$-form is not visible. We have a mixture of both forms rocarsatar $1001(\mathrm{H})$, but R has coro-carsat, and with the $s$ - fallen away we have ternatur 1103 . Corresponding to AU. ioor Tighernach has leigsidar. He has generally tucsat, but the mixed form must have been pretty common in the second half of the eleventh century. When the old reduplicated preterite and perfect fell away their forms in the plural would coincide with those of the t -preterite and this would tend to become the general form.

From rio3 onwards we have the absolute ending in compound verbs with the accent on the first syllable in 3 sing. pret., e.g. impais, facbais, ${ }^{3}$ maidhis 1103. The ro- forms however would not have the - is endings. Cf. cotlais Tog.BrdD. LU. 91a42, dórtais 98a32, which are presumably due to the scribe. The few verbs ${ }^{4}$ which have the accent on the second syllable in Modern Irish are a remnant of the Old Irish compounds with their double accentuation. The Modern Irish past tenses like ghabh sé must have gone out from ro- and do- forms.

Looked at from various points of view the Old Irish verbal system

[^105]was almost completely broken up by the late tenth or early eleventh century. The partial levelling out of the endings in the past tenses went on much later into Middle Irish. With fuller material, the date of the various changes may be more closely approximated.

## Present.

§ 195. In the present we have new forms like innisit ${ }^{1}$ ro99, 1 II 8 , instead of the more usual compound as-ind-feth, with the absolute for the conjunct (or secondary) ending in 3 plur. Cf. ad-fedim 902 and adfeidim LL. 5br in poem by Eochu O Flainn (?). Similarly comhraicit ${ }^{2}$ r099, tescait ${ }^{3}$ ro99, facaid ro99 with $b$ of the root gab fallen away. Cf. foracsat $\mathrm{ro}_{5} 6$. These examples are pretty late, but I have not noted any earlier instances. The absolute instead of conjunct endings in 3 sing. perf. I have referred to above. For such endings in compound forms in SR. cf. feib tecait 3488, fábait 7655, heirgit 8246, timchellait 422 , etc. Here we have two generalizations: (1) the accent on the first syllable, (2) the absolute ending. Thus we have here the generalization of the absolute ending for the Irish verb as the secondary ${ }^{4}$ (conjunct) ending was generalized in Latin, and the primary in the present and future in Sanskrit. Thus this great Irish principle of the accent on the first syllable, the effects of which were completed in the noun by about 700 , had asserted itself again for the verb 400 years later. How far the various changes reflect the results of the events of Irish history in the meantime would be interesting to consider.
${ }^{1}$ Seems to be built from a verbal noun of ind-feth, cf. infeded, Mongan-Finn Story, ed. Meyer, cf. aisneis, fr. as-ind-feth. Cf. the b-fut. innisfes in this verb, LU. 8a29, 3I, innisfed 15342 , etc. Cf. incoad, fr. in-co-fed.
${ }^{2}$ The accent is also on the first syllable.
${ }^{3}$ 'They cut up,' 'uproot'. Cf. LU. 86 b 8 do-n-iscide crand asa thoib, LU. 65 b37, $t$-án-isca ; do-escim is possibly to be further reduced to to-cess with metathesis of $c, s$ in accented position.
${ }^{4}$ For a discussion on this subject of conjunct and absolute conjugation v . Thurneysen, KZ. xxvii. p. 154 sq. and Zimmer, KZ. xxx. II sq.

## THE INFIXED PRONOUN.

8196. 

Sing.
Plur.
$\int($ mai $)$ do-m-ised-sa 617, do-m-beir ${ }^{1}$ 645, dia-nomm- nach-in-lecar, 913 . ansed ${ }^{2}$ 742, ro-domtheisi 809.
2. fo-t-racaibh 918.
$r$-am-be ${ }^{3}$ 694, ar-ithm-boi fo-s-gniat 624, *do-snegat ${ }^{6}$ 779, 746, con-id-fail 758, nach cainid 911, conidforsailc 938, coromarbsat ${ }^{4} 94 \mathrm{I}$, con-id-airthitur 946, conitarraidh 973, corothairmisc 1003 , conitairthetur 102I, 1035, co ro-marb 1026, ronbia 1065 (poem), cono-ro-gab (galur) 1105, conattarthadar II26.
3 f. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { immasreitis } 694, \text { ni-s-riadai } \\ \begin{array}{c}\text { 694. } \\ \text { atosrolaic } \\ \\ 5\end{array} 694, \text { do-s-fuc } \\ 840 . \\ \text { conus-mursat } 1165 .\end{array}\right.$ ni-s-rogab 780, du-sn-arraidh 913, fusruapartadur 916, ni-sta 918, ro-s-baid 918, conostarraidh 932, co-ro-s-indir ${ }^{7} 967$, no-s-firfed 970 (fol. 52a), conustaraidh 995, 1000, 1012, 1046, IIOI, II25, co ro-innir ${ }^{8} 997$ (bis), ce nach arimter IoII, co ro-adhnacht IoI4, coru-sdilegait 1014 , coro-loisc ${ }^{9} \mathrm{IO2}^{2}$. co ro thesairc 1096, coru-s-tairmesc 1097, coro-n-etarscar ${ }^{10}$ III3.
${ }^{1}$ LU. has dombert. ${ }^{2}$ Leg. dian-dom-ansed.
${ }^{3}$ For infixation, cf. conidfail 758, ce nīnfil lib, Wb. 16by, etc.
${ }^{4}$ Leg. co ra-marbsat for older con-idn-ro-marbsat.
${ }^{5}$ v. \&-Perfect, p. 157, note 6.
${ }^{8}$ In poem croo dosnegat srotha, 'streams of blood wash (?) them'. But it could be also from snigid, ' drops'.
${ }^{7}$ For ro-sn-indir for older condarindrid. O. Ir. ind-rethaim had ro infixed; v. s-Perf., p. 158, note 4.
${ }^{8}$ Referring to Laigniu. Notice disappearance of infixed pronoun.
${ }^{9}$ Referring to the foreigners or their territory, v. note 8.
${ }^{10} \mathrm{Cf}$. coro-n-innarba nert Bretan iat, LU. 3245.
3 n. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ni chelaid } 516, \text { no-d-chiat } \\ 758 \text {, con-id ro-loiscc } 822, \\ \text { dorigal } 94 \mathrm{I}, \text { co-ro-innir } \\ \text { 1026. }\end{array}\right.$

Rel. m. in lucht ro-marb ${ }^{2}$ roir.
n. no-d-fich 562, do-d-rorbai ${ }^{3}$

810, fo-d-irfe 970.
Affixed pronouns: badhid 879, baithiunn ${ }^{4} 902$. indid 918, "since it is".
${ }^{1}$ Referring to Inis Mochta. Notice coro-loisc in same year, for O. Ir. condaroloisc.

2 ' Those who slew him.' In lucht is a new phrase.
${ }^{3}$ Perhaps masc. $=d o-d n$-rorbai, fr. to-ror-ben.
4' There was to us,' i.e. 'we had'.

## REMARKS.

§ 197. The instances of the infixed pronoun of the first and second persons are unfortunately very few, and consequently do not help much towards showing the development of the forms. Nach-in-lecar ${ }^{1}$ 913 shows no indication of the $\mathrm{ar} n$ - form of the infixed pronoun of I pl., which is common in SR. We have nach cainid 91I for nach-$n$-cainid ('do you lament him not'), unless the neuter "it" be meant ; cf. nach beir, Wb. 6cı8, 'who dare not pass it (the judgment)'; connách moidea nech, Wb. 2b4, nach n-astad, Wb. roa7, nach moided 9di8, nach $\dot{n}$-deirsed, Sg. 209b27, connach $n$-accaitis, Ml. 32 dic ; also Ml. 69a17, i22ais. The $n-{ }^{2}$ was lost before $c$ and vocalized it, and such forms with masculine infixed pronoun were the starting-point of the modern nach (g) caoinim. As regards do-s-fuc 840, cf. the form without nasalization in Wb. 26b16, manisdeirclimmis, Ml. 68b2, nosgabthae, but Wb. 6b29, Ml. 29a3, etc. ${ }^{3}$
§ 198. As has been remarked above, the past tenses with ro are common. It may be well to point out here the rules of infixation with ro:-

1. When nothing (or $o$, etc.) precedes ro infixes the pronoun, as ro-s-baid 918 , rambe $=r$-an-be 694 ; cf. ro-m-soirsa, Wb. 3dzo, ro-s-gab hual (sic), Ml. $57 \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$, etc. The same rule holds when ar precedes; cf. ar-ro-t-neithius-sa, Ml. 46bzo. Cf. the accentuation after ar above, § 185. After a time, however, ar- takes the dental form $a r-d a .^{4}$ For ro-dom-theisi 809 ('has heated me'), cf. ised . . .

[^106]rodam-soersa, M1. 48a2 I , and for this construction, copula + adjective + relative, cf. above, is alaind feras al luadh 562 and is denithir sin arachrin Ml. 57 C12, cid dian 7 cian notheisinn ${ }^{1}$ M1. 4Id9, etc., $n i$ bronach do-n-intarrai Wb . 16bı8. This corresponds exactly to our modern use of the relative in such a phrase as is beag a chuirfeas $\sin$ as $d o ́$ (' that will not put much out of his way ').
2. After $n i$ - the infixed pronoun is put before ro, as $n i-s-$ rogab 780 ('seized them not') ; cf. ni-s-rochretset, M1. 39d3, ar ni-s-rothechtusa, Ml. 44bro, but ni rus-comallas [atar], Ml. 105a6. SR. has the infixed pronoun after ro in ni-ro-s-luaid 5112 , ni-ro-s-liuna 653 I , but ni-s-relicc 672 I .
3. After prepositions such as $f 0$ the pronoun is infixed before ro, as fot-racaib 918 (' has left thee '), fus-ruapartadur 916 ; cf. fo-t-racbussa Wb. 3rbi, but doro-n-donadni Wb. r6bry (passive).
4. When co $n$ - precedes, it infixes the pronoun ${ }^{2}$ (dental form), as con-id-roloisc $822=$ con-did-roloisc ; cf. Wb. 33a2, ishe sid-rotig, but later coro-s-indir 967 for older condarindrid. This also occurs commonly in SR., co-ro-s-athin SR. 2 196, cor-dascuibdig SR. 7862. Similarly we have co-rus-tairmesc at 1097. We have a like formation at 937, co-ro-sithaig, where the $s$ - does not appear owing to the $s$ - of the verb. Another instance of the old formation is con-id-forsailc ${ }^{3}$ 938 , where, however, the construction is not clear and the nominative is wanting. There is a possibility that forms like coro-s-innir spread from no forms like conosberinn Wb . Iod36.
5. When, according to this new arrangement, the infixed pronoun follows co + ro-, the result is seen in such forms as coro-marbsat 941 . This, according to the new arrangement, should be cor-an-marbsat. But ro became full about this time-cf. § 184 to § 19 I above-the pro-

[^107]noun being felt to be $-n$ - rather than -an-, and $n$ got assimilated to $m$. Thus we have coro-marbsat developed quite regularly with no visible masculine infixed pronoun of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person at 94I. Further, coromarb 1026. Romarbad 1003 as a passive ${ }^{1}$ has no infixed pronoun. Co ro-thairmisc 1003 (coro-thairmisg R) Hennessy translates as ' (the Cenel Eogain) prevented him,' but the meaning more probably is that he (Brian) put a stop to the Cenel Eogain.

The Leabhar Gabhala has the older form conid romarb, ${ }^{2}$ but it has also got $s$ - for 3 rd sing. masc. ${ }^{3}$ and also the independent pronoun. At LL. 6a12, con-os-toracht, the infixed pronoun stands for hEriu.

Remarkable is the form co-no-rogab 1 IO5 $=$ con-da-ro-gab. For instances of this use of $d a$ for 3 sing. masc., such as cono-rucur, LU. $544^{23}$, v. Strachan, The Infixed Pronoun, Eriu i. 174. For the contrary cf. conid-romarb ('and slew her'), LU. 53 bi4.
6. The loss of the pronoun before or after ro has spread to the plural in coro-innir $995=$ until (or 'so that') he devastated them ( $=$ Connacht and Leinster) ; coro-adnacht roI4 (and interred them), coro-loisc 1026. Coro-thesairc rog6 is doubtful. With $s$ - revived, cor-us-tairmesc 1097, where it is not clear whether the $s$ - means 'him' or 'them'.

## Affixed Pronoun.

§ 199. As to the affixed pronoun in senchaidh badhid amru 879 ('more excellent than he'), cf. Frag. Ir. An. p. 42 (A.d. 722), ni ffuaramar ar talmain Almain badid redither, ni rangamar iarsin cath Lilcach badid nemether; Liadan and Cuir. p. 16, badid ciallidiu; cf. YBL. 261a14, ${ }^{15}$, bes-idn-isle, bes-adn-nuaisliu ${ }^{4}$-in all of which $i d$ is the dative of comparison.
${ }^{1}$ In the third person the meaning seems to have been passive, but in the first and second persons the passive meaning is not at all obvious. The infixed pronouns are either accus. or dat., and later become replaced by possessives, and impersonal would perhaps be a better description than passive.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Strachan, The Infixed Pronoun, Eriu i. 177.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{Ib}$. p. 166 . In a poem attributed to Eochu O Flainn in this collection we find forms such as dosnucc, LL. 5br2, fofhuair.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. Thes. ii. 292, note x.
§ 200. We see from the above that the infixed pronoun fell pretty early in connection with co $+r$. It was better preserved in a fixed formula, such as conitairthetur 102 I, 1035, conustaraidh (s-form) 1046, IIOI, II25. The infixed pronoun was in certain positions preserved late into Middle Irish. It would be interesting to determine how far this was a recognized spoken or literary form or merely a poetical embellishment.

## Independent Pronoun.

§ 20I. It is noticeable that in these 1 rth century instances of the loss of the infixed pronoun that it is not replaced by the independent pronoun. This latter begins, as far as I have noted, at 1099, ${ }^{1}$ loiscit . . . Cenel Eogain é; that is, at the close of the irth century the independent pronoun as object ${ }^{2}$ came to be recognized in the literary language. Instances are common in LL.

For instances from LU. v. Strachan, The Infixed Pronoun, p. ${ }^{176}$. He takes his examples out of texts such as the Amra Coluimb Cille and Fled Bricrenn. In these cases the independent form is to be attributed to the scribe; in FB. he was probably endeavouring to harmonize two different versions of the text. ${ }^{3}$ The general use of the independent pronoun at the end of the rith century corresponds pretty well to the conjugating of compound verbs with absolute forms and the throwing back of the accent on the first syllable ; cf. § 194-95 above. These compound verbs with the accent on the first syllable had become, so to speak, simple verbs. With simple verbs we had an affixed pronoun, and the affixed pronoun was in this case replaced by the independent pronoun. The particle no had become obsolete, and there remained the cases of ro, $n i$, etc., which were detached from the verb.
${ }^{1}$ See Strachan, The Infixed Pron., Eriu i. r69, note.
${ }^{2}$ We have sinn, SR. 3493, as independent pronoun of the subject. For further early instances of the independent pronoun, v. Strachan, Infix. Pron., Eriu i. 176 .
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Thurneysen, CZ. iv. 200 sq., Zimmer, Zeitschrift f. deutsches Altertum, xxxv. 1-172, 252.

## WORD INDEX.

The numbers refer to the pages.
$a$, 'his,' 117.
$a$, 'her,' 117.
g. abae, 108 (Findubrach), abae, 44.
g. aband, 108; v. aibni.
abbred, 20, 40, 156.
Ablae, 80, 14 I .
Abnier, $57,134$.
-abruigheann, 173 n .
-acadur, 164; -accaitis, 177.
accaldam, 98.
accaldmaiche, 98.
accomallte, 29 .
Achad, 8 ; g. Achid, 13, 28 ; Achaid, 28,
134 ; d. Achuth, 134.
Achad ablae, 141.
Achad Alddai, 98.
Achad bo, 8, 32.
acht, 163.
(ro-) acht, 163.
g. Acithaen, 22, 24.
adaig, 144.
adconncadur, 160 .
adcotada, 156, 158, 165.
adcotadad, 165 n. ; atchotados - $s a, 165 \mathrm{n}$.; adcotedae, 165 n .
adcumbae, 94.
addaim, 156.
adeir, 173 n .
adfe(i)dim, 156,174 ; adfe, 165 ; adf, 165, n.
Adlai (g.), 98.
-adnacht, 162, 172, 175, 179.
adomnae, $8 \mathbf{1}$.
adopart, 55.
adrandat, 105, 156, 162.
adrogaid, 168.
adsoirg, 157 n .
Aed, 3 n., 15, 46, 145; g. Aedo, 45, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67; Aeda, 46, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67.
Aedhacan, 23
Aed Alddan, 15, 46.
Aed mac Domnaill, 89 n .

Aed roin, 9, 34.
g. Aedach, 146.
g. Aedai, 86.

Aedan, 22, 32, 33, 43 n.
Aeddeid, 32, 34.
g. Aedgaile, 33.

Aedgen, 34 .
Aedgen Britt, $53 \cdot$
Aegthan, 43 n.
Aelgal, 32.
aen, 33 .
aenach, 36.
Aengus, 3 n.
Aenmire (=Ainmire), 2.
aensit, 34, 162.
Aerd Machae, 22.
aeu v. aue.
Affiath, 4 I (Afiath), 114.
afrithisi, 129 n .
Ai, 32, 139; Aii, 32.
aibni, 123.
ni-sn-aicilled, 99.
aicsiu, 88, 148.
Aid, 32, 33, 145; g. Aido, 32, 64, 66 n.,67.
Aidan, 32 ; v. Aedan.
Aideid, $3^{2}$; v. Aeddeid.
Aidlug, 58 ; g. Aidlogo, 16, 32, 145.
Aidne, 85 п., 139 .
aig, 143.
Ail; g. Alo, 16.
Ailbe, 87 n .
Ailbran, 134.
Ailche (g.), 141.
Ailchu, 148; g. Ailchon, 33 -
Ail Cluathe, 73, 143 .
Aildobur, 97.
aile, 5, 130; d. ailiu, 127.
Ailech, 130, 133.
ailen, 24 n., 25 ; g. ailiuin, 180.
Ailenn, 141.
Ail Find, 112.
Ailgal, 32, 33, 141.
Ailgus, 64, I45.

Ailill, 4I, 63.
Ailill Molt, 8.
aill, 102, 131; v. aile.
Ailngnad, 33, 102, 145.
aimser, 5, 112.
g. Aindenne, 132.

Ainfceallach, 135.
Ainmere, 85,165 n. ; Ainmire, 146.
Airchena, 5.
Aird Machae, 40; v. Ard Machae.
aird, 126; a. pl. airtiu, 80 n .
Airdde sratha, 66 n .
aiver, 127, 128, 131.
Airechtach, 39, 135.
Aivfhinnan, ro7.
(ro-) airg, 172.
Airgaillae, 88, 123 n., 146.
-airladaigthe, 168.
Airlid, $64,145$.
Airmedach, 135.
Airne, 126.
aisneis, 174 n .
Aitechdae, 56, 139; Aithecdae, 27, 39.
aithisst, III.
ala, 88, 125.
alaile, 25,125 n.; d. alailiu, alaailiu,
25; alaliu, 139; ailib, 126.
alaill, 104.
álaind, 98, 104, 105, III, 130, 157, 178.
Albanchu, 88, 89.
Albu, 12.
ald, 99.
Aldchu, 97, 99, 148.
Alddai, 40.
g. Alddailed, 25, 98.

Alddan, 15, 40, 97, 98, 99.
Aldfrith, c.7.
Aldnia, 98.
Alene, 139.
Alla, 98 n.; Allae, 98 n.
Allacan, 98.
Allan, 97, 98 ; v. Alddan.
Allcellach, 97.
Almu, 179.
Almun, 14 r ; v. Almu.
Alprann, 10 ( = Calprann).
alta, 126.
amal, 125, 166 n.
Amalgaid, 27, 135, 143.
Amalngaid, 3 n., 4, 59, 101, 102.
Amolngid, 59 n. ; v. Amalngaid.
ambus ( $=$ ammus), 94.
Amlamh, 132.
amne, 56, 79.
атra, 82; amru, 179.
anacal (= anacol), 59, 128.
anad, 144.
anaiccenta, 39 .
anall, 166 n.
and, 107, 108.
Andola, 108.
andooit, II2.
Anfadan, 135.
Anlon, 74, 135.
Anluan, v. Anlon.
Anmead, 64, 130, 145.
d. anmain, 5 .
(ro-) ansat, 158, 167; ansit, 162.
-ansed, 166.
an t-ua Neill, 139.
anumaloit, 98.
aonach, 36; v. aenach, oinach.
Aoran, 59.
Aporcroosan, 32.
ar 57 n., 125.
ara, 147.
arachrin, 178.
arai, 86.
ara-ruichiuir, 172.
aratha, 157.
Aralt, 132.
g. Arann, 149 ; g. Airne, 149, 152.
arbar, I49; g. arba, 149.
Ard, 84, 13 I.
d. Ard-achuth, 12.
ard-ailean, 24 n .
Ardd, 40.
arddcenn, 40.
Ardgal, 40, 140.
ard $m$-, 130 .
Ardmnchae, 11, 79, 80, 81, 82.
ardmaer, 33.
Ard mBrecain, $\mathbf{1 3}$.
Ard nesbi, 79.
are, 86.
Argae, 139.
argat, 27, 28, 126, 127, 128.
Arggaman, 37.
-arimter, 157, 175.
arithmboi, 157 n., 164.
ar $n, 129,130$.
arnach n-era, 84.
arrochiu (?), 工61, 168 n.
arrochiuir, I6I n.
arroet, 162.
arrotneithius- sa, 177.
arruneillestar, 104.
Artablar, 135.
Artbran, 136.
Artgus, 65.
Artri, 146.
arutacht, 169.
as, 178.
(ro-) ás, 158.
asberat, I 56 ; asbert, 163 ; asbreth, 163 ;
asmberidh, $x_{56}$.
ascalt, 59.
ascolt, 60.
asind, 123.
asindbathatar, 164 n .
asindbeir, 113.
aslena, 99 ; asrulensat, 99.
aslui, 158.
ass, 136.
-astad, 177.
ata, 154.
atá lim, 171 .
atamgrcnnat, 112.
atbail, 164 n.
atballat, 104, 118.
atbatha, 164 n.; atbathatar, 164 n.
atcessa, 161.
ath, 64, 65, 66, 67.
g. Ath, 46 n .

Ath Aublo, 145.
Ath Cliath, 57.
athcumai, 91, 94 n .
Ath da Loarc, 30 n.
Ath Dara, Ix.
Ath Fen, 136.
Ath Goan, 30.
athin, 178 .
Ath Truim, 67, 149.
Ath Truisten, 149 .
atmuilniur, 104.
atosrolaic, 157, 175.
atropert, 55.
atrubalt, 173.
at, 153 ; atte, 153.
g. Aublo, 69.

аиае, 17,48 ; v. aue, p. 137.
aue, 16, 33 n., 17, 49, 70, 83 n., I37;
aeu, 14; auи, 51.
Au Ercae, 51 n.
g. Aui Liuin, 24, 136.

Augaire, 69.
Augran, 70.
Augustin, 69.
g. Auin, 17, 69; v. Oan.

Au-inis, 69.
Auis, 69.
Auisle, 69, 70.
Aurchat, 70.
aurchor, 31.
aurgal, 31.
Aurthaile, 3I ; Aurthuile, 26, 3I ; (sic
leg.) ; v. next entry.
Aurthulae, 26, 70.
Ausaile, 69.
Avitoriges, 120.
ba, 153 ; batar, 178 n.
baccach, 135.
bachall, I4I ; g. bachla, bachlai, 186.
(ro-) badis, 25, 157.
badhud, 159, 170.
(ro-) badhud, 170.
baeded, 157.
(ro-) bbadhad, 4I, 159.
Baetan, 33.
Baeth, 135.
Baeth Bethri, 139.
bai, 154 ; badhid, 153, 176, 1.79; baithiunn, 154, 176 ; besidnisle, v . bes.
-baid, $175,177, \mathrm{v}$. bádis.
baig; g. bága, 8r.
g. Baigellan, 23.

## baile, 124 n .

Baile mic Eachach, 59.
Baile Uí bhFiadhcháin, 136 n.
Bairche, 25, 139.
Bairenn, 141, 149, 152.
ball, 70.
Balne, 102, 139; g. Balni, 17, 27, 28.
baislicce, 125.
ba:naig, 108.
(iarna) bharach, 134.
Bardene, 29 ; Bairdaeni, 29.
bás, 44, 135.
bare, 25.
Basille, IIx.
(ro-) bbadhad, 41, 559.
(cu) bbrath, 38.
be, 124.
bec, 94 .
Becc, 14I; g. Becce, 26, 39, 14I; a. Beice, I4r.
Beccan, Becan, 39.
Beccan Liffecairi, 4r.
Beda, 7, 8.
-beinn -si, 156.
-beir, 177; -beridh, 156; berad, 156 beraid, 165 ; bertair, 136; berthair 157, 165.
belach, 128, 132, 134.
Belut, 127, 135.
-ben, 172.
bendachad, iro.
bendacht, IIr.
Bennchor, 127, 135.
Benndrigi, Ix2.
Beoach; g. Beoigh, I35
g. Beoan.
beos, 76, 77.
Berach, 135.
Berba, 85, 95.
Berri, 139.
bes-adn-uaisliu, 179; bes-idn-isle, 179.
Bessan, 9, 135.
g. Bethach, 48, 53, 146 .
bethu, 157 n.

Beugnae, 76, 77 n.; g. Beuggnai, 15 ; Beognae, 15,77 n., 78.
beu-idbart, 77.
(ro-) bi, 162; rombi, 161.
biadh, 128 ; bias, 154 ; biodh, 160 n .
Bian, 135 ; g. Biein, 14, 56.
bid, 154, 155.
bile, 85, 133, 139 n. ; d. biliu, 126.
Bille, III.
binde, 87.
bindius, IIx.
Binnech, r $\mathbf{r} \mathbf{0}$.
Birderg, 145.
Biror (g.) 6I, 135.
bith, $\mathbf{I} 70$.
bithbeo, 77.
g. Blaimice, 39; v. Blathmac.

Blaisleib, 135.
Blathmac, 135; Blathuug, 32.
Bleachlainn (= Maelsheachlainn), 51.
g. bledne, 84 .
blén, 97; v. mlén.
bliadain, 88.
g. Boadan, 23.

Boand v. Boend.
Bochaill, 74, 143 ; g. Bochallo, 64, 66.
bocht, I36 n.
Bodbchath ( = Bodbchad), 59, 145.
Bodbraith, 114 n., I43.
Boend, I4I n. ; Boainn, 30 ; Boind, 30, I4I; Bofhind, 30 ; g. Boinde, 108;
Boindeo, 112.
g. Boendo, 16, 63 ; Boento, 16 n., 63 n., Boanta, 16, 63 n., 64.
Boetan (Baodan), 23, 35.
boid, 75.
bolgcach, 38 ; bolggach, 37.
Bolgg; g. Builgg, 37.
g. boo, 32 (da boo), 32.

Boonrige, 75.
borime, 28.
Both, 147.
Braen, 33, 34.
brage, 147.
braich, IIg.
Brandub, 14, 15, 135 ; Вrannub, 14.
brandhal (?), 105.
Brann (leg. Bran), arddchenn, 40.
brat, $\mathbf{r o .}$
brathair, 27.
Brect, 95, 135.
Brec(c)án, 22, 23, 95.
brecht, 95.
Bregann, 141.
a. pl. Bregu, 88.

Breibne, 41, v.
Breifne, 85 n., 139.
breith, 40, II5.
brenait, 156.
Brenann, 44, 135.
Brendan, 105, 106, 107, 135.
Brene, 139.
breo, 77, 78.
Bresal, 28.
g. Bretan, 132, 175 n. ; ${ }_{\text {M }}$ a. pl. Bretnu, 88.

Bri; g. Breg, 12, 129.
Brian, 133.
Brianach, 139.
Brian Borumha, 52.
Brian mac Cinnetig, 6 n .
Bricriu, 120.
brid, 40, 115.
Bri Eilc, 8.
Brigit (II), 13, 85, 144.
-bris, 133, 158;-brisidur, 159 ; brised, 127, 132, 157.
brith, 44.
Brocan, 23; Broccan, 23, 135.
Broen, 22, 36.
bron, 74.
bronach, 178.
Bronne, 139.
Bruatar, 128.
bruig, 95: broga, 65.
buaid, 84 ; buada, 68.
Buan, 75 ; Buas, 75.
Buche, 147.
(ro-) bui, 5.
buille, 104.
bullnne, 104.
Bu(i)te, 26, 140 .
(ro-) cabadh, 159.
cäch, 123 n.
cachain, 171.
cact, 39.
cadla, 98.
Cadwal (W.), 28.
caech, 33 .
cáil, 15.
caillit, 125.
Caill Tuidbig, 97.
cain, 14r and n., 143.
cáin, 32.
-cáinid, 156, 175.
g. Cainle, 103.

Cainnech, 8.
Cainnechus, 112.
Caintigernd, 32, 42, 106, 108.
Cairbre, 44 n.
g. Cairge Brachaidhe, 95.

Caireall, 27, 30.
Caireallan, 23.
Cairell, 30 n. ; Cairill, 30.
Cairlaen, 2x, 135.
g. Caisil, 30, 126, 127; d. Caisuil, 38.

Caladruim, 64.
Calathros, 114.
Cáldai,99.
Calland, 102 n., 108.
Cal(l)ann, 102, 103, 141 n.
g. calne, 104.

Calpdi, 28.
camb, 91, 93; g. caimb, 42.
Cambas, 92.
camdeicsine, 94.
camm, v. camb.
Canannan, 23, 139.
Canto-bennum (Gaul.), 106.
caoinim, 177.
-caraim, 156; -carsat, 162, 173.
Caratbran, 135.
card ( $=$ carn), 109.
Carn, 62 n.
carnd, 42.
g. carno, 63, 136.
carpat, 166 n.
carraic, 14 I ; g. cairgge, $37,85,95$.
g. Cartaigh, 40.

Carthonn, 135.
Cass, 44.
cath, II, 44, 127, 146; a. pl. cathu, 145 n. ; catha, 145.
cathaigset, 163.
cathair, 147.
Cathal, 27, 28, 128, 135; d. Cathul, 128 n.
Cathan, 23.
cathas, 158 n .
Cathasach, 135.
g. Cathboth, v. Cathub.

Cath Cairn Conaill, 62 n.
cathchoscrad, II4.
Cath (n)Gabra, 157 n.
Cathnia, 57.
Cathnio, 57, 113.
cathroinedh, II, 34 ; cathrainiudh, 35.
Cathrue, 74.
Cathub, II4, 147.
cathugad, 128.
Cathusach, 58, 134, 139.
g. Caunga, 31, 69.

Caustantin, 3I.
céadna, ror.
Ceallach, 4 n., 27, 132; v. Cellach.
Ceallachan, 23.
g. Cealtrae, 28.

Ceanannas, 18 n. ; v. Cenondas.
ceann, II9.
Ceann Bóirne, 152.
g. Cearrnaigh, 41.
g. Ceata, 14 ; v. Cete.
cechain, 172.
cednae, 85 .
g. cheillae, 83.
voc. cheirchen, 24.
ceithre, 136.
-chelaid, 156 ; -celaid, 176.
g. Celi, 27.
cell, 126.
Cellach, 6 n., 46, 127, 134.
g. Celtrae, 80, 81.
cen, 75.
cén, 121 .
cena, 81 ; chena, 81: v. cene.
Cendercan, 23, 135.
Cendin, 108.
cene, 48.
cenél, 87, 126, 130.
Cenel Eogain, 180.
cenmothe, 20 n .
Cennfaelad, 13, 8r n.
cennlai, 85.
d. cennuch, 129.
g. Cenond, 59, 107.

Cenondas, 112 ; Cenindus, 63, 107, 108, 126, I45; Cenannus, 127.
ceolach, 18 n .
ceoldae, 78 .
Cepas, 135.
Cerball, 103, 128.
Cernachan, 23.
Cerna, 85, v.
Cernae, $80,85 \mathrm{n}$.
Cerpán, 135.
d. Cerv, Ceara, 13, 89.
cét, 20 ; cétaib, 126.
ceta-, 168.
cete, ceate, 72 .
cetnae, 10, 28 ; cetnaibh, 125.
ciall, 14 I .
ciallidiu, 179.
Ciall trógh, 61.
cian, $\mathbf{1 2 I}$; v. cén.
Ciannachtae, 7x, 72, 79, 81; g. Ciannactai, 39.
Ciannan, 23.
Ciaran, 22, 23 : g. Ciarani, 13.
Ciardae, 86, 87 n .
-chiat, $156,166$.
cilecda, 126.
Cill Biein, 14.
g. Chille Daro, 44.

Cill Deilgge, 72.
Chille Maighnenn, 44.
Chille Moinni, 44.
Cill Scire, 9.
cimbid, 93.
Cinaer, 59, 60, 155, 145; g. Cinaedo, 64, 65.
Cina th, 114, 115; v. Cinaed.

Ciniod, 58 ; g. Cinadon, 48, 62.
g. Cinedon, 148.
d. chinn, 30 ; ciunn, 30, 126.
d. Cinngaradh, 114, 115; Cinngarath, 147.

Cionn t Saile, 128 n .
cis, 151 .
clais, 151.
cland, IIO, III, 112.
Clann 'ac an Fhaola, 139.
Clann Conmara, 139.
Clann Domhnaill, 139.
Clann Donnchadha, 139.
Clann Uí Maeleoin, 139.
claon, 35 n .
Cleeth, 72.
cleithi $n$-, 130.
Clied, 57, 72.
cliath, v. cleeth.
Cliu, 146 (Cliach).
clocher, 55; g. clochair, 27.
cloen, v. clóin.
Cloenad, 64, 145; Cloenath, 35.
Cloenloch, 13.
cloicthech, 123, 129.
Clö́n, 8, 29, 72, 73, 76, 143 ; g. Clóno, 68.

Clóin Ferta, 74.
Clóin Maccu Nois, 8 (30).
g. Cloithe, 73 n. ; v. Cluade.

Clothna, 88.
Clothrann, 148 n .
g. Cluade, 26, 40, 150 ; Cluathe, 150.

Cluain, 63, 64, 65, 73, 74, 84; v. Clóin.
Cluain Auis, 69, 70; Cluain Eoais, 13, 70.

Cluain Bairenn, 152 n.
Cluain cremo, ro7.
Cluain Ferta, 14 .
Cluain maccu Nóis, 30.
cnáim, 143 ; cnama, 85, 87, 165.
cnass, 90.
Cnodbae, 28, 140.
g. Cnucho, 145 .
co, $88,132$.
coach, 30.
Coblaith, 14, 143.
g. Cobo, 44, 63, 143.
cocath, 37, 38 , 114 .
coccad, 37, 38, 115, 127, 128 n.
Coccae, 80.
Cochul odor, 59, 135.
coectigha, 36; v. coicthighis.
Coeddi, 36.
Coelan, 35.
Coelub, II; g. Coelboth.
Coemhan, 35.
coemchlud, 145.
coencomrac, 35 .
Coerthin [ $n$ ], II .
coiced, 128, 129, 135.
coicthighis, 36 n .
Coidbenach, 135.
coild, $\mathbf{1 0 0}$; v.
coill, 98, 119.
Coiman (sic leg.), 34.
Coimgen, 24, 34.1.
coindeulgg, 38....
cointi, 153 ; coineas, 27.
coir, 93 n .
Coirpriu, 126.
coirsetar, 163.
cóirt- tobe, 75 .
cóis, 75.
choiscset, 169.
col-, 102.
Colcu, 89; v. Colggu.
colde, 98 .
Colggene, 53.
Colggu, 37, 148; g. 47, 48; g. Colgion, 53.

Collae, 79.
Collbrand, 135.
Colm, 92 n.
Colman, 9, 22, 23, 44 n.; g. Colmaen, 21, 22; Colmani, 15.
g. Colm.
colnide, 104.
Colomb cille, 92.
Columb, 91, 92, 93, 94.
Columban, 9 n., 14, 15, 16, 17, 91, 92.
Columban of Boblio, 14 .
Colum, 90, 91, 92.
Colum cille, 13, 44, 100.
comailt, 153,
comairnechtar, 164.
(ro-) chomallad, 104, 170; -comallus, 104; comallasatar, 178; comalnnamar, 104.
Comalnad, comallad, 104.
comalne, 104.
Comaltan, 23.
comarbbai, 40.
comarbus, 127.
comardd, 40, 154.
-comascsat, 163.
Combar, 94.
comdach, 86.
Comgall, 8, 27, 54.
Comgan, 16.
Comgellan, 15 .
Comman, 22, 42, 91.
commimis, III.
comhraicit, 156, 174.
comrangadur, 161.
comrar, 141 .

- comscar, 158.
comtar, 153.
con, 128 n .
Conaeth, 135.
Conaicc (= Conaing), 139 .
Conailli, 102.
Conait, 27.
Conall coil, 34, 44.
Conall crav, 69 n .
Conall cuи, 32, 44.
Conallan, 23.
Conamail, 63, 143.
Conan, 135.
conaptha, 164 n.
conarrgabad, 41.
conbadh, II5.
Conchadh, 16, 114, 145.
g. Concolaim, 92.
g. Conculainn (?), 92.

Conchubar, 44.
Cond, 105, 106.
condae, II8.
Condal, 14 I .
Condalach, 106.
condalb, 112.
Condam, 107, 135.
condarsgar, 158.
Conde, 140
condeilgg, ini.
condici, co dtici, 156, 156 n.
condid, conid, II2.
condidaptha, $16 \mathrm{x}, 164$.
Condire, 105; Condere, ro6.
Condm:1ch, 107.
confadh, 135.
Congaltach, 48 .
Congalach, 128.
congbala, 82.
Congus, 64, 67.
conid, 153 ; v. condid.
conid-fail, 154.
conidrotig, 178.
conitairthetur, $\mathbf{1 6 4}, \mathbf{1 7 5}, 180$.
conitarraid, $164,175$.
Conleth, 135
Conmeldde, Conmaelde, 40.
Conna.
conna (" so that . . . not"), 166 n .
Connachtu, 88.
Connadh Cerr, 135 n.
Connlae, 80, 141, 143 n.
conostarraidh, 164 ; conustarraidh, 180 .
converaig, I7x.
Chonvii moccu cein, 44 n.
conrotacht, 169 ; conrotig, 169.
conrusleachta, 27.
contubart, 55.
Coolenorum, 73 n.

Соони, 73 n.
Corand, 105, 127.
Corbmac, 17
corbo, 154.
Corcach, 87 n .
Corcach mor, 14 I.
Corcran, 22.
Corcu medruad, 96.
Corcumruadh, 95, 96; Corcumbruad, 95.
corici, 23 n., 95 n., 131, 156.
Cormac, 12.
Cornaldai, 98.
corp, 156.
g. Corre (65I), 79.
cos, 126.
-coscad, 169.
coscrad, 129, 130, 145; coscrath, II4.
cosmailius, 28 ; cosmilius, 28.
cosmil, 28 .
cossin, 34 .
cotlais, 173
cotlud, 127.
g. craeibhe, 33 .
-chraitea, 168 n.
crand, 174 n .
Crandamnae, 105.
Crannach, 79, 14I.
Crannamain, 143.
crau, 69 n. ; crou, 69 n.
Craumtan, 3I; v.
Craumthann, 3I, 44.
Crea, 8r ; Creae, 82.
creitem, 29.
creitfess, 29 ; (ro-) chretti, 29; -chrete, 168 n. ; -chretset, 178.
crem, 107.
Cremthann, 3I, 135.
crich, 80.
Crichan, 23.
cridhe, 85 .
Crimthunn, 109 n.
Criomtann, 3I.
Crist, 104 .
g. Critani, 25.
cro, 16, 66 n.; g, croo, 6973.
Crochen, 14I ; Crochan, 74 n.
crodha, 73.
d. croeb, 36; d. croribh, 35.

Croen, 35
g. Chroib, 34 .

Cronan, 16, 44.
Cronan maccu Chualne, 44 .
croo, 32; v. cro.
cros, 86.
Crothrann, 61, 148.
Cruachan, 74, 14I ; Cruachnib, 28.
cruaid, 17x.
crue, 140.
cruindae, III.
g. Cruinnein, 24 .
cruithniucht, 126.
Crundmail, 32, 34, 105, 106.
cuach, 30 n.
Cuaer, 29.
Cualne, 16, 44, 56, 102.
Cualu, 149; Cualann, 47, 106, 107, 109.

Cuan, 73, 91.
Сиапа, 88 ; v. Сиапи.
Cuanae, 146; Cuanai, 27.
Cuandae, 16, 17, 27, 105, 106, 110.
Сиапи, 3, 8 (Book of), 9, 13, 15, 75, 146, 157 n .
Сиапи (Book of), 8, 13.
Cuanu aue Bessain, 9.
Cuanu mac Cailcin, 9 n.
Cu bretan, 148.
Cu carat, 147.
Cu chercae, 79.
Cu cobho, 145.
Cu coluim, 9r.
Си ситbu, 9т, 148.
-cuibdig, 178.
Cuileannan, 23.
Cuilen, 24; v. Culen.
Cuilen rigi, 24.
Cuilend, 105, 1о6, 108.
Cuilne, rox.
Cuilnech mar, 102.
Cuinche, 140 ; Cuincin, 126.
Cuinnles, ro6, 1 го.
chuirfeas, 178.
Cuirrech, 26, 135.
cuís, 70.
cul, I4I ; cule, 26 ; cuile, 26, 44; a. pi. culu, 88 ; culaib, 27.
Culen, 132.
Cumaeldae, 97 ; v. Cumelde.
cumai, 28, 42.
Cumain, 165 n .
-cumaing, 156 .
cumbae, 81, 9r, 94.
сиmme, 93.
Ситmene, 140 .
Cummene Fota, 16 n .
Cummenn, 135.
cumscugud, $\mathbf{1 2 7}$.
cumsundad, 127.
(ro-) chumtaig, $\mathbf{1 6 9 .}$
ситизсс, 48, 53, 126.
Cungae, 28.
g. cutlaigh, 44 n .

Cuu cen mathair, 32.
Cuи Dimerggo, 32.

Dabeóc, 1 г6.
Dabull, 127.
Da glas, 14 I .
Daig, 14I n., 145; g. Dego, 64, 65, 145.

Daimen, 24 ; v. Daiméne.
Daiméne, 24, 140.
Daimin, v. Daıméne.
g. daimliacc, 38 ; daimliagg, 38.
dhainib, 35 .
dair, 63 .
Dairben, 149 .
daire, 146; dairiu, 88.
dairmes, $64,145$.
-dairthea, 16 I .
dairthech, v. derthach.
Daithgus, 145.
dál, 125, 131.
dall, Ior, III.
dallad, 102, 128.
Dál Riatai, 86 ; v. Riatae.
daltae, 56, 80 (dalta), 81.
Damargat, 135 .
dá $n$-, 131.
Danar, 125.
(in) dara, 124.
g. Dari, 25, 28, 85 (86).
darna, 124.
darsna, 123.
dath, 79, 145.
Dathal, 135.
dáu, dó, 70 ; v. dó.
Daui, 13, 17, 69, 69 n., 146.
daurthech, v. derthach.
Deaae, 8 ז.
dead, 7 I.
Dealbhna, 129; v. Delbnae. déanta, 160 n . -dechadur, 160.
-dedlaig, 171.
g. Dego, 64, 65 ; v. Daig.
g. Deilggdın,-24, 37, 39, 149.
g. Deilgge, 37 ; v. Delgg.
deirbbae, 83 .
-deirclimmis, 177.
d. deirghiu, 88; v. derge.
deirmess, v. dairmes.
-deirsed, 177.
deiscerd, 40.
deiscert, 126, 129.
deiscertach, 130.
Deisi, 85.
deissoic, 141 ; q.v.
-deissidh, 163 ; -deisigh, 160.
Delbnae, 28, 79, 85, 87.
Delbne, v. Delbnae.
Delc, 81.
delchudh, 127.

Deldubh, 16, 97.
g. Delend, 105.

Delgg, 37, 79 n.
Delggenis, 37.
Delmne, 56, 79 ; v. Delbnae.
g. den, 24 .
denam, 126, 128.
dendae, 86.
dendib, 106, $110,123,125$.
denithir, 178.
Deoninni, 66.
dera, 84.
Dercca Ferna, 152.
g. Der-forgaill, 135.
y. Dergan, 133.
derg-ar, 130.
derge, 127, 140 (80).
Dergg, 27.
Dermagh, 86.
Dermait, 57, 71, 72; v. Diarmait.
derthach, 31, 48, 85 .
desimrecht, 168.
g. Desmuman, 54.
g. Dethna, 13.
di, $\mathbf{1 0}$.
diallas, 157.
dian, 178.
dianepred, 20, 163.
dianid, 153.
dianommansed, 106, 166, 175.
Diarmait, 8, 14, 57, 71, 72.
diarmbu, 154.
diarmidi, 126.
dib, 130.
(ro-) dibaid, 168.
Dibcheine, 140.
Diccolan, 58, 135.
Dichcuill, 143.
-dichtheth, 166 n .
Diermait, 71, 72; v. Diarmait.
die Samnae, 57.
digal, I4r.
di grein, 150.
diit, 168 n .
-dilegait, 175.
dilgenn, $112,127$.
dimbaig, 91, 94 .
g. Dimerggo, 32, 37.

Dimman, 91.
dinaib, $123,125$.
Dindagadh, 135.
Dindanach, 107.
Dindatach, 10\%.
diombáidh, 94 ; diombaileach, 94.
disert, $1 \times 6,127$.
disiu, 166 n .
ditiu, 149.
di-ulochta, 61.
-dluig, 171.
dó, 70, 178; v. díu.
Doadan, 30, 135.
doaib, 30.
Dobecóc, 116.
do-buid, 156.
docher, II6, 163.
Docinni, 163.
docoith, 75 ; v. docuaid.
g. Dochre, 56, 79.

Dochuae, 69, 79, 116.
docuaid, 16I.
Dochumai conóc. II6.
Do-dimóc, Ir6.
dodrorbai, 16х, 176.
doeine, doenib, 35, 36.
Doergairt, 35 ; v. Doirgairt.
do-escim, 174 n.
do- farlaic, 127 n.
do-feich, 169 n.
do-for bart, 173.
do-forsat, 169.
do-fuasalcat, 33.
do-fuilled, 104.
do-fuit, 163 .
do-futhris-se, 30.
do-garar, 157.
do-gensat, 163.
doib, 30 ; v. doaib.
doine, $34,35,125$.
do-innastar, I12.
g. Dhoir, 44 .
dóirad, 34, II4, 145.
Doirgairt, 35, 145.
Dolaissi, 116.
dolbach, 132.
g. Dolcan, 23.
dolotar, 164; dollotar, 97, 101, 163.
dolmae, 85 .
doman, 126.
Domangart, 136.
do-m-beir, I 75.
do-m-beuir, 164.
domblas, 90, 94.
do-m-ised, $\mathbf{1} 6,165,175$.
Domnach, 126, 127 ; g. Domnaigh moer, 22.

Domnall, 95, 102, 104, 127, 128, 135, 136.

Domnallan, 23.
domundae, II 2 .
don, 30, 108, 127 and n., 146 n.
-donad, 178 .
donaib, 123.
Dongall (leg. Donngal), 4r.
dongni, 178 n .; dongenae, 178 n .
do- $n$-intarrai, 178.
do- $n$ - iscide, 174 n .

Donnchad，20，60，I16，127， 132.
Donacorci， 28.
dontlucham， 178 n．
do－omalgg， 38 ；do－omlacht，90，95，163． do－valaim， 156 ；dorala， 160 n ．
do－rat， 158.
Dorbéne， 140.
dorega，doregat， 165.
doridhisi，doriisi， 129 n．
doridnacht， 169.
dorigal， 158 ， $168,169,176$.
dorimgair，dorimgart， $\mathbf{1} 72$.
dorimmart， 169.
dornghal， 12.
doroachtadur， 162 ．
dorochair，16r，工68， 172.
dorochurestar， 169.
doronta， 160 ．
dorosat，169．
dórtais， 173.
doruagell， 158 ， 169.
doruich， 169 n ．
dorumalt， 169 ．
dorumenatar， 17 I n．
dorus， 127 and n．
Do－senchiarocc， 116.
dosfarraidh，163，土64．
dosfuc，158， 177.
dosnegat，32，156， 175.
Dothad， 136 ．
draigen， 125.
Draignen，24， 128.
drochat， 127.
Dromman， 23.
drong， 132.
Drucan， 136.
druimm，63，64，91．
Druim Ceata， 14 ．
Druim Cuilinn，9．
Druim derge，13．
Druim Fornocht．
Druim Hiung，I43 n．
Druim Leas， 72.
Druim Lothmhuidhe，44．
Druim mor， 22.
d．Drumbaibh Breg，13， 90 （Dromm－ aibh Breg，90）．
g．drummota， 42 ．
dú，42， 7 I．
duabas，Iзг．
Duach（Dauch），r4；v．Daui．
Duachal，27， 73.
Duaid， 125 n．
g．Dubaen， 22.
Dubcalggaidh， 143.
Duibchombair，91， 94.
Dubdabhairend， 107.
Dub－da－doss， 136.

Dub－da－inber， 136.
Dubdae，85，86， 87.
Dubdalethe，3， 10.
Dubdibeirgg， 37.
a．pl．Dubhghallu， 88.
Dubinnrecht， 107.
Dublittir， 40.
Dubtae， 86 ；v．Dubdae．
Duceta， 1 I6．
Duchanna，rı6；Duchonna，Iı6．
Ducuta， 1 ェб．
Dudubtae，80， 85.
duibh， 165 n ．
Duiblinn， $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ ．
Duibrea， 84 ．
Duilgen， 24 ．
Duiligen， 24 ．
d．pl．duilnib，ro4．
duine， 139 ， 140.
Duinechaid，63， 143.
dumae，27， 28.
Dumae Aichir， 8.
dün， 85,87 n．，132，150， 15 I．
dunad， $124,128$.
Dunadhach，127，132， 134.
Dun Bolcc， 132.
Dun Caillden，98， 151.
Dunchad，16，60，6r，63，64，67，114， 115，In6， 145.
Duncath，14，I6，17，II4；Dunchath， 59，I14，Ix5．
Dun Ceithirnn， 42.
Dun Chuaer， 29.
dundaib， 1 I 3.
Dun Echach， 132.
dunetathe， 26.
Dunflaith， 143 ．
dunforsailc， 178 n ．
Dungal， 140 ．
dungnea， 77.
Dunlaing，134，I44．
Dun－leithfinn，Io6．
Dun lethglaisi，86， 151.
Dun Onlaig，17， 102.
Dun Ollaig，v．Dun Onlaig．
dúra， 89.
dusnarraid， 164, I75．
dиsnиссаі， 177.
é， 180.
éalodh， 127 n.
éanduine， 112 n ．
g．Earca，14；v．Erce．
éc， 44 ．
ecnae，5， 85 ．
Echaidh，28， 146.
Echdruim，63， 143.
echt， 145.

Echtgus, 64.
eclais, 92.
Ecomras, 136.
Ectgal, 39.
Eculp, 136.
Echu, 12, 76, 77, 146.
(nih-) ed, 153.
Edalbald, 97.
Edargnae, I4I.
(ro-) edbair, r6r.
Edged Brit, 53.
ég, 5.
Eiblin, g. Eiblinne, r4r.
Eil, 143 .
g. Eiliuin, 24 ; d. 15.

Eilne, rox, ro2, 102 n., 103.
Éinne, 87 ; v. Endae.
Eircne, 57 n .
Eire, 88, 89 n . ; v. Eriu.
heirgit, 174.
d. eisriuth, $\mathrm{IIF}_{5}$.

Eitche, 149.
eitir, 44 .
eitsecht, 5 .
eladhain, 5 .
ellan, 154 .
Ellbrigh, 97.
g. Elo, 9, 64 ; Eil.
elud, 127, 145.
Emain, 79, 82.
én, 136; g. euin, r36.
Encorach, 59.
Endae, 12, 86, 87, 107, 112, 140.
Ende, 112 ; v. Endae.
Enna, 12, 87 ; v. Endae.
heo, 77,78 .
Eo- aed, 145.
g. Eoain, 69.
g. Eoais, 69.
eobail, 78.
Eochacan, 23, 60, 77.
Eochaid, 27, 76.
Eocho, 14; v. Echu.
Eogan, 15.
Eogan Bél, 13 n.
Eogan mac Neill, 12.
Eoganacht, 77, 129.
Eois, 69 n .
eolas, 77, 78.
Eolair, 77.
Eothaile, 132.
g. Eougain, 15 ; v. Eugen.
er, 57.
-erbailt, -erbalt, 162, 173.
Erc, 136, 14 I.
g. Ercae, 79.
g. Erce, 13, 79 .

Ercias, 120.
rchrae, 112.
erchor, 31.
erclos, 16x.
g. Ergni, 57.
herim, 149.
hEriu, 12, 89, 108, 120, 148, 179 ;
hErenn, Erind, 131, 132; Ere, ro, 11, 128 n .
-erlai, 158; -erlasat, 158.
(ro-) erlegh, 158.
ernaichti, 31,85.
Ernane, 23, 24.
evoloch, 6 I.
Erpsiu, 149 ; v. Oirbsiu.
errach, 127.
Ertuile, 3 I .
escae, 86.
escairdiu, 127.
esclae, 27, 140.
escor, 128.
espoc, 13.
esred, 127 (115).
ess, 64, 145.
-essib, 158.
-étada, 165.
etaedae, 98.
Etarlinddu, го6.
etarru, 88 ; eturru, 88.
-etarscar, I75.
étgodaib, 126.
eti, 85.
Etigen, 132.
etir, 45, 132.
Etmonn, 108, Ir3.
Etrú, 89, 146.
etsecht, 8; v. éitsecht.
Eu, 76 .
g. Euagain, 16, 17, 76.

Euchu, 76, 77, 146; v. Echu.
Eudonn, 136 .
Eudus, 76, 136, 145.
Eugan, 47, 48, 53, 76, 77; v. Eugen.
Eugen, 13, 49, 53.
Euganacht, 76, 77.
Euganan, 76.
Eugenius, 53 n.
Euginis, 76.
euin, 136 ; v.én.
eulas, 77.
Europae, 76; Eorpa, 76 n.
Eutigern, 136.
Fabre, 27, 140 .
facait, 156, 174 ; facbais, 173.
Faeburdaith, 59.
Faelan, 23, 33, 52.
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Faelbe, 22.
Faelchar, 136 and n.
faesam, 33, 128.
Fághartach, 55.
-faidset, 178 n. ; -fhaigh, 159.
fail (fil), 154 .
-failci, 127 n .
Failngnad, 33.
Faindelach, 107.
Fallach, 102.
Fallamon, 59, 103.
(f) arce, 86, 123.
fargaib, 158. 169; farggabsat, 158.
fa thuaigh, 163.
Fea, Fio, 57.
fear, 117.
(Febal), g. Feblae, 17, 79, 83, 109 n., 14 I.
Febordaith, 59, 115; Faeburdaith.
g. Febrat, 147.

Fechre, 71 n.; v. Fiachrae.
Fectach, 39.
fechtatar, 163.
Fedach, 136.
feib, 174.
-feiceann, 173 n.
Feichin, 44, 86.
Feidlimid, 64, 145.
g. Feillae, 79, 83 .
feiss, II. 14, 44.
Fen, 136.
fellsaime, 5 .
Fendae, 113.
Fene, 148.
Feradach, 28.
feras, ${ }^{157},{ }_{7} 7_{8}$.
Ferblae, 146.
Fercar, 44 n.
Ferfio, 57.
Fergal, 140.
Fergal mac Domnaill, 67.
Fergnae, 13, 140.
Firgus, 38, 46, 63, 64, 65, 67, 117.
Fernae, Fernand, 80, 82, 107, 148.
Fernbeann, 106.
Fernmagh, 86.
Fernn, 136.
Feronn, 60.
g. Feroth, 59, 6 I n., 114.

Ferrdomnach, 4 I.
fert, 29, 30, 80 n., 144 .
d. Ferti Cherpain, 13.
a. pl. feru, 88, 89 .
fesin, 88 n .
Fethgnae, 85.
feuldae, 77 (sic leg.), 99.
Fiachae, 71, 79, 146 .
Fiachnae, 14, 71, 79, 80, 117, 131.

Fiachrai, 11, 27, 71, 117, 146.
fiallach, 192 n.; v. fianlach.
Fiambur, 91.
Fiangus, 65,
fianlach, 102.
Fiannamail, 17, 71, 143.
g. Fiatach, 71.
-fich, 163, 176 ; fichtir, 157.
Fid, 145.
Fidchan, 136 and n.
fil, 154 .
fillis, 162 ; fillsit, 162.
fin, 117.
Finbil, ro7.
Finchath, 12, 114, 145 .
find, 105, 107, 108, I10, III, 112, II3.
Findbarr, 106, 110, 113.
Findchanus, rr3.
Finden, 105, 107, 107 n., 110, 113.
Findmag, II2.
Findubair, 107, 108, 146; Findubrach, $44,48$.
Findubrec, 48 n., 55, 107 n., I12.
Fine, 85, 117.
-finnatar, 工10, 122.
Finnglas, 87 n., 107.
Finnglenn, 106.
Finnguine, Finguine, 105, 106.
Finnen, 17, 24, 44; v. Finden.
Finnian, 16, 17, ІІ3, I17; v. Finden.
g. Finniani, 56, $\mathbf{1 0 5 .}$

Finnlaech, 147.
Finsnechtae, 27, 28, 39, 79, 85.
Finntin, 27.
Fio, 57.
firfed, $165,175$.
firian, firion, 113.
Fir manach, 89.
a. pl. firu, 45.
fis, 68.
fisighecht, 5 .
g. Fitae, 80, 14I, 142.
flaith, 64, 85.
Flaithnia, 147.
Fland, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109 n., I10, 128.

Fland Feblae, 18, 105 n., 106, 109 n.
Fland Manistrech, 148 n.
Flann, 44, 127; v. Fland.
Flannabra, 56, 107, 108.
Flannacan, 23.
Flathruae, 58, 73 ; Flaithroa, 74.
flechod, 59, II5.
Fobar, 27.
g. Fobrigh, 26.

Focartai, Focartaigh, 48.
fochaide, 125.
Fochlae, 28, 56, 86, 87, 123, 129.
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Fochlad, 60, 64, 115.
Fochloth, 60 ; v. Fochlad.
Fochluth, 62 ; v. Fochlad.
fochrice, 28 n .
focus, 127.
fo-dílim, forodail, 13 n.
Fodbac, 86.
fodirfe, 165, 176.
Foelan, 22, 35, 36.
Foenchu, 71 n.
fof'huair, 179.
fogabhar, 157.
fogeir, 156.
Fogertach, 48, 55.
(ro-) fhoghail, $x 3$ n.
foghlu, 126.
g. Foibrein, 24.

Foibran, 136.
-foided, 178 n.
d. foigiallaig, 14 I .
-foighena, 3 ; sg. fut., 5.
Foillen, 24.
foirddbe, 26, 39. 95,
foirtbe, v . foirddbe.
Foith $($ Fooith $)=$ Wid, 32, 117 .
Foling, 27.
Follamhan, 98.
follnaither, 104.
(ru-) follnaster, 103, 104, 170.
follongam, 102 n .
fon, 123.
Fooith, v. Foith.
For, g. Foire, 141.
for, 88, 132.
foracbadh, 159 ; foraesat, 159, 174.
-foraithmenair - som, 169 .
foralaig, 159 n .
Forannan, 17, 23, 105, 106, 107, 108, 110; v. Forindan.
Forath, 115 .
forbairt, 162.
Forbasach, 59, 61, 136.
Forbflaith, II4 n., 143.
forbthe, 26.
forcetal, 126.
forcraith, 114.
g. Forggo, 4I, 143.
forglu, 88.
Forgus, 63, 145.
Forindan (sic leg.), 23, 108.
forloiseset, 162.
Formacile, 34 .
for $n$ - (=your), 165 n .
Fornocht, 136.
forodail, 159 n .
foroireth, 34, 40, II4.
forolgad, 168.
forra, 88.
-forsailc, 175.
forsmbith, 164.
forsriadhat, 15, 40 and n .
g. Fortola, 143.

Fortchernn, 106.
Fortrend, 48, 105.
foruraithminsit, 171, 172.
forus, 44.
foruth, $115,136$.
fo-s-gniat, $\mathbf{~ 6 6 , ~} 175$.
fota, 58, 85 ; g. foto, 63.
Fothan, 8r.
fothud, 155.
fotracaib, 158, 175 ; fotracbus- sa, 178.
g. fotti, 27, 40, 44 n., 102 n.
frecart, 172 ; $(f)$ recair, 172.
frecur, 126.
Fregabal, 127.
-frescat, 156.
fri, 132 ; frim, 178 n. ; friae, 166 n.
fridguin, 40, II4.
frith, 16r; fritha, 16r.
Froech, 136.
Fuacarta, 74 ; cf. Focartai.
-fuair, 164 ; fuaramar, 163, 179.
-fuighidh, 173 n.
fuil, 64, 143.
Furse, 15, 117, 140 n. ; Fursu, 140.
Furudran, 136.
fusruapartadur, $\mathbf{1 6 2 , 1} 173$ n., 178.
d. fut, 127 (fot).
gab, 157, 158, 167, 175, 179; gabtis, 165 ; gabais, 163 ; -gabsid, 168 ; -gab.
thae, 159, 177; -gebat, 165.
Gabair, 14, 79, 144.
Gabhal, 12.
Gaedhel, 34 ; v. Gaidhel, Goidel.
Gaedhilg, 5.
gaeth, 33, 161.
Gaidhel, 35 ; v. Goidel.
Gaileng, 25, 26, 88, 126.
Gaimide, 25.
galar, 127, 156.
Gall, 125.
gallda, 98 n .
galldacht, 118.
Gallust, 136 .
gáo, gáu, 50, 50 n .
Garaalt, 32.
garadh, I14, 147
Garban, 23.
Garbsalach, 79.
garg, 84.
gas $n$-, 129.
geguin, 172 .
gel, 30, 127.
geld, 98.
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geldod, 99.
gell, 99.
gen, 157 n .
-genair, 16r.
(arru-) genisiu, 168.
gennte, 29, 41, 130.
g. geno, 14 .
gheobhfaidh, 173 n.
Geraghty, 135 n.
Gertinde, хоб.
giall, 52 n., 7 r, 88, 136.
-giall, 158 ; giallsat, 158,167, 土68.
ggiallne, 37, 38, мо2.
giallno, 101, 136, 157.
Giblechan, 23.
-gigius, 30.
gilla (gilldae), 98, тот.
Gilla Colaim, Ior
Gilla Patraic, rox.
Gillas (=Gildas), 14, 97.
gglais, 38 ; pl. glasa, 158.
glas, 14 I .
Glassiconas, 92 n.
Glenn da locho, 16, 61 n .
Glonn, 112.
-gluaisid, 177.
glún, 151 n .
gnima, 18 n .
ghnios, 178 n.
gnithir, 157.
Goach, 30.
Goan, 30.
gobae, 149.
Goidel 34, 35.
Gole, 28, 140.
(ron-) ghonsadar, 159.
a. pl. Gollu (=Gallu), 88.

Góre, $55,69 \mathrm{n} . ;$ v. Guaire.
Gormgal, 24 n., I40.
Gormlaidh, 114 n.
Gormman, 42.
Grainairet, 147.
Graneret, 147 n .
g. greamma, 42.

Greic, 33.
Grellach Eillti, 102.
Gremach, 14I.
grenlach, 102, 102 n.
Grenlach Fote, 102 n .
grian, 74, 98 n., I4I.
grinde, 87.
Gronn, 79, 141.
guaille, II9; v. gualainn.
Guaire, 15, 69, 73, 87 n.
-gualai, 27.
gualainn, 103.
guforcell, 50 n .
guin, 15, 44, 129, 143.
(ro-) iad, 158.
Ianair (Ienair), $\mathbf{1 2}$. iar, 57 n., 127, 129.
iaram, 132.
hiaratha (leg. aratha), 157.
iarla,123, 132 n., cf. Ierll.
Iarlathi, 12.
iarmae, 8 o .
iarmifoiset, 155.
Iarmumen, 48.
Iarnnbodb, 42.
iarramuid, 178 n. ; iarras, 178 n .
iarsuidhiu, 88.
iarthar, 40, 126, 127, I30.
(ro-) hicad, 161, 166; -iccad, 178 n .
iccon, 123.
idon, 5.
Iellan, Iallan, 57.
Iercne, 57.
g. Iergni, 57.

Ierll, 132.
ifirnn, 42.
Ild, 97.
ilgotha, 145 n.
illánach, roo.
Illand, 58, 105, 1 12, 149.
im, 90, 91, 92, 93, 工32, I33; ime, 96 ;
v. imb.
imairecc, 15 ; v. imbairecc.
Imar, 101, 127.
imb, 91, 92, 93 ; imbi, гзо; v. impu.
imbairecc, 17, 25, 91, 93.
imbed, 94 .
imbirt, 94 ; imberat, 94.
Imblech, 91, 92, 93, 96, $\mathrm{r}_{45}$.
Imblech Fea, 57.
Imblech Ibhair, 67.
imbradud, 93 n., 94.
imdae, 91, 94, I53; imdaibh, 126.
imesech, 9I, 92.
imguin, 123.
immalle, v. immelle.
immarchor, 93.
immarec, 17,25 ; v. imbairecc.
immasveitis, $157,175$.
immelle, 54 n., 9r.
immelotar, 92.
immidrádi, III.
imorroiset, 158.
impais, 173 .
impu, 88.
imrool, 93.
imrulaid, 160 n .
imteit, 157
in, ind, etc.; v. The Article, p. 123.
imber, 127.
Inber Deaae, 8x.
inchollugud, 104.
ind, v. Article, p. 123.
indala, 123, 124, 125.
indan, 127 n .
indarbe, 88, 113, 127, 128 n., 130.
indarbenim, 128.
indeb, 112.
(ro-) inder, -innir, 158, 167; v. indrethaim.
indes, 165 n .
Indeuin, 77 .
indi, 107.
indid, 'since,' 176.
indisit, 157.
indlongtis, II2.
indraedh, 29 ( $=$ indred).
Indrechtach, 39, 106, 107.
indred, 15, 40, 106, 107, 108.
indnu, 108.
inducbal, Ix.
ined, 48,54.
ind-rethaim, 158 n., 167,175 n.; -indir, 175; -indrid, 158 ; -innred, 159 ; -innrisit, 167 n., 17 .
infeded, 174 n.
ing, 144 n.; v. Hiung.
ingen, 141 .
inis, 15 I .
Iulcon (?), 149 .
inna, 32, 7I; v. Article, p. 123.
innarba, 88, 128 ; v. indarbe.
innarba, 175 n .
innarbad, 89, 128.
innarbaim, 128.
innir, 175,179 ; v. ind-rethaim.
innis,98; innisit, 156,174 ; innisfed, 174 n.
innred, 126, 127, 128, 145 ; v. indred.
-inrorad -su, 167; inroraid, 158 n .; inrorthatar, $158 \mathrm{n} . ;$ v. indrethaim.
int, 15 ; v. Article, p. 123.
irgal, $3 \mathbf{1}$.
Irlochair, 73, 74, 79, 80.
Irlochir, 75 n.
is, 153.
Isidorus, 7.
isint, 112, 123.
isna, 123.
Iserninus, 12.
hitaat, 32, I54.
ite, 92.
Ith, r43,
ith, g. etha, r30.
itir, 123, 131.
Hiung, 143 n.
Kailli, 103.
Ker, 135 n.
Kiallakr (O.N.), 27.
Kuono, Kuonrad, 89 n.
la, 124, 132, 133 ; la h-, 88.
labradh, 128.
lachae, 80.
Lachtna, 85.
lae, 86, g. 33 ; g. laei, 34 ; d. lav, 88 ; laithi, 25, 88.
laech, 33.
laechraid, 33.
Laegen, 15, 22.
Laidggin, 24, 37.
Laidggnen, 24, 136.
La(i)gen, 11, 23, 25 ; a. pl. 88 n.
Laithgnaen, v. Laidggnen.
laigid, 156.
Land Abae, 17.
láir, 151.
Laisre, 26, 149.
g. Laisren, 149.

Laiten, 14 I.
Laithlenn, $\mathbf{1 4}$.
lamcomart, 142.
lan mora, 4 I n.
lann, 105.
Laoch Liathmiune, 9 .
Lapan, 23.
(ro-) lasat, 158.
lase, 99.
láthair, 50
lathrach, 136; d. Lathrug, 126, 134.
Lathrugh Briuin, 134.
Lathrach da Arad, 136 n.
Lathrach inden, II3.
Lathreg Finden, II3 n.
leabhar, 5.
leath, 125.
Lecan, 79, 142.
-lecar, 157, 175, 177.
Lecc, 142.
leccun (?), 126
Lee, 32, 112.
legg (?), 38.
(ro-) legh, 157 ; (dia) leghfa, 5.
(ro-) leic, 158 ; leigsidar. 173.
(ro-) leig, v. -legh.
léim, 149.
(g. Locha) Léin, 24.
leith, 130 ; v. leth.
leithrign, 146 n .
-lelai, 171.
-lelaig, 171.
-leldar, 99, 172.
Lén. 24 n., I36.
lensat, 172.
leo, 76, 77.
Leogan, 77.
less, 98.
leth, 85,86 , 102, 124 ; leithi, 85 ; leth
$n$-, I31.

Leth finn, 106.
Leth mor, 136.
lethri, 146.
Letubai, 28.
leu, 77.
g. Liace, 38.
g. liag, liac, 56, 57 ; v. lie.

Liathan, 22, 77.
Liathdana, 71.
Libraen, 22; v. Librén.
Librén, 22.
lie, 56, 104, 146.
Liffecaire, 4r.
-lig, 171.
Lilcach, 127, 134, 179.
lin, 102.
Lind, 8x, 107, 110; g. lindae, 112.
Lindar, 105.
Lind Duachail, 108.
g. Lingsen, 149 .
linn, linnae, 81, 108; v. Lind.
Liphe, 85.
lis, 127.
g. Lis moer, 22.

Littan, 40.
g. Liuin, 24 ; v. Lén.
liuna, 178 .
Loarc, 30 n .
Loarnn, 30, 73, 105, 106, 107.
lobri, III.
loch, 80, 8x, 82, 102, 123, 145; n. pl. lochae, 80 .
Locha Eirne, 146; v. Loch n- Eirne.
g. Lochair, 17.

Lochair, 72, 73.
lochairnn, 42.
Lochderc, 136.
Lochene, $\mathbf{I} 40$.
Loch Erpsen, 149.
Lochland, 142.
Loch $n$ - Aindenne, 132 .
Loch Léin, 24.
Loch $n$ - Echach, 57, 60, 132.
Loch n-Eirne, 132.
g. Lochre, 16; v. Lochair.

Loegaire, $1 \mathrm{Ix}, 35$; Loigaire.
Loërn, 30.
$\log , 75,75 \mathrm{n}$.
Loigaire, 34, 87 n .
g. Loigsich, 39; v. Loingsech.

Loingsech, 26.
löir, 10.
-loisce, 158, 175.
loiscit, 180 .
lomrad, 115.
londas, 86 n .
long, 79, 85, 126, 142.
longas, $85,123,142,150$.
longport, 128.
Loogdae (Loegdae), 32, 79.
lorg, 37, 128.
loscad, $115,129,145$.
-loscad, 159.
loscoth, 16, 58, 145.
loscuth, 59, 60, 115.
Losnad, II4.
lotar, 163.
Lothre, 27, 85, 86, 140 .
luadh, 178.
luae, 112.
-luaid, 178.
luaidhe, 86.
Luan, 73.
luath, 145.
Luath, 73.
g. Luatho, 15.
lucht, 176, 176 n.
Lucridh, 27.
Lugaid, 12, 146; g. Lugdech, 47.
lugaite, 20.
g. Lugedon, 48, 59, 149.
g. Lugadon, v. Lugedon.

Lugudeccas, 55.
Luibnech, 85.
luidh, 164.
Luighne, 26.
Luimnech, 102, 127.
g. lunge, 26, 56, 82; lunga, 82.
a. lungai, 144.
lurg, 127.
g. Lurgan, 149.
g. Lurggeni, 26, 37.

Lusca, 148 n., 152; g. Luscan, 148.
Luth, 145.
mac, 39, 126, 131, 132, 133.
Mac Beathadh (= Macbeth), 133 n., 147.
g. macci, 39.
g Maccoiged, 34 ; v. Macoigi.
maccu, 44, 44 n., 89, 97.
Масси Booin, 75.
Масси Chuind, 17 .
Масси Imde (sic leg.), 116.
Maccu Retai, 7r.
Maccu Delduibh, 16.
g. Machainen, 24 .

Mache, 28, 79, 80, etc. ; v. Avdmachac.
Mac na Cerdda, 81.
Macnio, Macnia, 57, 113, 147.
Mac Oac, 30, 48, 74.
Macoigi, 85, 147.
Mac Oirbb, 40, 136.
Mac Olchobhuir, 137.
Mac Rime. 39.
macu v. maccu.

Mac Uag, 30 n.
(ni-)macualamar, 163.
Maedhoce, 38
Masl, 22, 32, 52. See p. 52 for a long list of instances.
Maelan, 33.
Maelaithcen, 52.
Maelanfaith, 114.
Maelbresail, 52.
Maelbrighte, 52, 94 n.
Maelcaich, 32.
Maelchaurardda, 31, 40, 52, 70.
Maelcerna, 8r.
Maelciarain, 23.
Maelchonoc, 52.
Maelchu, 33, 52.
Maelcobho, 15, 16, 52.
Maelcolaim, 133.
Maelcombair, 94 .
Maelcorcrai, 52.
Maelcothaidh, 52.
Maeldaithnein (sic leg.), 24.
Maelditraibh, 52.
Maeldoid, 52.
Maelduibh, 52.
Maeldúin, 33, 34, 52.
Maeldúine, 15 I n.
g. Maele, 33; v. Mael.

Maeleoin, 5I, 139.
Maelfhind, 52.
Maelfiachrach, 52.
Maelfinnen, Maelfinnian, 24.
Maelfothartaigh, 52.
Maelgoan, 30.
Maelimorchair, 52.
Maelmanach, 52.
Maelmbuaidh, 52.
Maelmuire, 32.
Maeloctrig, 32, 39, 52.
Maelodrae, 52.
Maelodrain, 52.
Maelruain, 52.
Maelruanaig, 52, 58.
Maelrubi, 2', 33.
Maelsechlainn, II, 51, 52 al.
Maelsechnaill, 52.
Maelteimin, 52.
Maeltuile, 33, 52
Maeltolai, 52.
Maeluidir, 52.
Maelumai, 27.
Maenach, 33.
maer, 33.
mag, 25, 85, 87, 149 .
Mag, Meg-, 5I $^{\text {n. }}$
magh, 123.
magan, 25.
Magh Bregh, 130.
maghen, 25.
Mag luinge, 82.
Magh m-, 130.
Mag n-Ai, 130.
Mag $n \cdot E u, 76,150$.
Mag $n$-Itechta, 154.
Mag n-Itha, $\mathrm{I}_{50}$.
Mag $n$-Oshtair, 150.
Magh Rath, 147.
Mag Tail, II.
Mag Uidhir, 5, 6, 21, etc.
mai, 'if,' $15,17,165,175$.
(diar-)maid, 171.
(no-)maide, 156.
maidhis, 161, 163, 173, 176.
maidm, 88, 126, 130.
d. pl. maighib, 125 ; v.mag.
maigen, 10.
g, Maighnenn, 44, 149.
g. Maileaithcen, 24 .
g. Mailembracho, 15, (95), (143).

Mailmithidh, 32.
Mailracho, 143.
Máin, 32, 33 .
Mainaigh (sic leg.), 32.
Ma(i)ne, 23, 25, 87.
mainistrech, $25,26,146$.
-mair, $\mathbf{1} 56$.
maise, 86.
maithi, 25, 26.
(ro-)malart, 162.
maldachte, 98.
(ni-)malotar, 164 .
Mane, 21, 22, 25, 87 ; v. Maine.
manistrech, v. mainistrech.
Manonn, 58.
manrath, II5.
mar, 125.
-marb, 158, 175 ; -marbsat, 178 ; -mar-
bad, 42, 170, 179 ; marbtha, 160.
marbad, 44.
a. pl. marbu, 88.
marclach, 136.
Masot, 136 .
mathair, 28.
mathe, 25; v. maithi.
Matodan, 60 .
(ni-)matulaig, 164.
Maucteus, 7, 8, 12 .
Mauchteus, 69.
Maugdorn, 70.
mblegon, 91, 93, 95.
mbrath, 96.
(no-) mbrogtais, 97.
meabhaidh, 171.
meabhair, 151.
g. Meccnaen, 21, 136 .
meiles, 157 (sic leg.) ; meilis, 157.

Meille, 145.
meisce, 86.
mélai, 28.
meld, 99, 109 ; mell, 99.
meldach, 98.
mellaim, 99.
g. Mellen, 24.
melltach, 98.
(ro-) memaid, 35 n., 160, 163, 170 .
membur, 94.
memhaid, 163.
memmbrum, 94.
men, 123 n .
menic, 153.
mennut, II2.
menueh, 39 .
Mervyn, 147 n.
mesc, 153.
Methus tuirm, 136.
Mide, 85, 86, 87, 88, 126.
Midend, 105.
mil, 143.
míl mór, 3 n .
(ro-) milt, 162.
$\operatorname{minda}, 298$; v. $\operatorname{minn}$.
$\min n n-129$.
miondaigheacht, 112 n., II8.
mis, 126, 144
mlas, 97.
Mleachlainn, 5x.
mleen, 97.
mlicht, 97.
(no-) mligtis, 97.
mó, mú, 30.
móa, 178 n.
Mobae, 27.
g. Mochoe (= Mochuae), 12, 76.

Mochonno C'huerni (?), 58.
Mochtae, 12, 28, 69.
Mochuae, 74, 80.
Mochutu, 88.
Моси Curin, 44 n.
Mód, 75.
Moddagni, 73 .
Moedhóc, 36, 36 n .
Moelan, 22.
Moenach, 35 .
Moenmagh, 26.
Mоепи, 35 .
moer, 22, 23.
moer, 35 (= maor).
Mogdorn, 79; Moghdairne, 83 n.
moidea, 177.
moinach, 34 .
Moinan, 34.
móine, 34.
moite, 34.
molad, 129.

Moling, 27.
g. moinni, 44 .
g. mona (of móin), 14 .

Mongan, 22.
g. Monid, 34 ; 136 (Monith).
monistir, 146, 15 I ; v. mainistrech.
Monoth, II4, II5.
Monoth croib, 536.
Mor, I42.
more, 26 ; moraibh, 126.
mordais, 157.
Morgand, $16,105$.
Mosinu, 15.
Mothlae, 86.
Mothran, 23.
Moudon, 22, 69, 73.
Mrachide, 27, 95, 140.
mraich, I19, 143 n.
mraith, 96.
mraithem, 96.
mrecht, 95.
mrechtrad, 96.
Mruichesach, 95, II9
mruig, 95, 96.
Mruig thuaithe, 75.
Muad, 25, 73, 75, 142.
Muadan, 73 n.
Muccert, 28, 39, 136.
Muchautu, 69.
Muchte, 13, 69, 140.
mug, 127.
Mugdornne, 42, 59, 79, 80, 81, 82 .
Mughthigernd, 42, 107. V14
(ro-) muidh, 16x ; -muigh, 17x.
muil (= mael), 5I.
muilend, 105.
Muime, 48, 54 .
Muimnecha, 54 n .
muinnter, Izr.
-muinset, 17x n.
muir, 123.
Muirbolgg, 33.
Muirchertach, 128, 136.
Muirecan. 23.
Muiredach, 136 .
Muirgis, 17, 29, 37, 63; Muidguis, 145.
Muirican, v. Muirecan.
Muirmid, 64, 66, 145.
Mul-, v. muil.
Mume, 26, 148; g. Mumen, 14, 47, etc.
Мити, 148.
Mundu, 108.
mur, 10.
murbrucht, I7.
Murchad, 59, 63, 64, 65, 67, I14, I15, 116 .
Muresc, 142 ; Mu(i)rsce, 26.
-mursat, 175.
мити, 88, 89.

## WORD IND:A.

na. See Article, p. 123.
na, 153.
nach, 166 n., 177.
nad, 99.
Naindid, 109 n., 112.
na ри, 153.
Nargus, 64 .
nathair, 27, 146 .
Nathi, II.
nauch (?), 14, 146 n .
naue, 50, 94.
nech, 5 .
Nectan, 27, 39.
g. Neir, 15, 136.

Nem, 17.
nem, 124.
nemether, 179.
nert, 127.
(ru-) nert, 168.
g. Nesan, 149 .

Neutir, 76.
nia, 57.
Nia, 147.
Niall, 11, 72, 128, 132.
Niall Cailli, 102, 104, 105, 141.
Niall Glun- dub, 67.
Niallgus, 64.
g. Nieth, 16, 57, 114, 147.

Nindid, 14, 107.
ni-n-fil, 154
Ninnidh, v. Nindid.
nista, 154.
nitat, 18 n.
Noais, 30, 74 n.
Nodon, 74, 149.
Nodu, 59; g. Nodot, 74; v. Nuadu.
Nóe, 69.
noemhu, 35.
Noindenach, 106, 107.
Noindruimm, 34, 35, 64, 65, 76, 143.
g. Noiscan, 59, 149.

Norddmann, 40.
notlaic, 28, 97, 144 .
Nuado, v. Nuadu.
Nuadu, 59, 63, 74, 147; v. Nódu. пиае, 69; пие, 50.
nundem, 113.

## ó, 52 ก., 75, 88.

oa, 39, 50, (51), 138 ; v. aue.
Oac, 48 .
Oan, 17, 69.
obair, 20.
occ, 38 ; оссо, осо, 38 (123).
Ochae, 12, 79, 87 n.
ocht $(=$ uacht $), 75$.
óchter, 73, 75, 126, 131.
oconaib, 123.
ócu, 30, 53 n.
Odbae, 86 n.
g. Oddach, 8, 14, 15, 72, 147.
odur, 59.
Oeda, 36.
Oegadchar, 36, 136.
oeigedh, 36 ; oeidhedh, 36 .
óen, 36.
Oena, 14, 35.
oenach, 35, 127, 134.
Oengus, 3 n., 35, 63, 64, 65.
Oentrob, 35, $\mathbf{1 2 7}$; v. Ointreb.
Ogomon, 136.
ogumar, 127.
Oidne, 139 ; v. Aidne.
öin, 36 ; v. óen, aen.
óinach, 34 ; v. óenach.
Oingus, 3 n.; v. Oengus.
Ointrebh, 35, 工36; v. Oentrol.
g. Oirbb, 40, 136.

Oirbsiu, 149.
(ro-) oirc, 162, 172.
oircenech, 26,37.
oire, 113.
oirggnech, v. oircnech.
Oisle, 69.
Oitechde, 56, 169.
ol, 125, 128, 136.
Oland, 106, 107.
g. Olcan, 2.
olchena, 56, 79.
Olcobhur, 127, 137.
oldaas, 178 n.
Olddan, 40, 97 ; v. Alddán.
olla, 117.
g. Ollaigh, v. Onlaigh
ol sodhain, 153.
omalldoit, $\mathbf{r o o .}$
ÓMalone, 139.
omhun, 126.
ond, 75.
g Onlaigh, 17, 102 (=Ollaigh).
ooc, 32.
opair, 20.
opas, 157.
Orach, 137.
Orbb, 136 ; v. Oirbb.
ordan, 130.
(ro-)ordigestar, 159.
orggan, orggain, 37 .
(ro-) ort, 132, 162, 167, 172; -ortadur,
, 162, 167 ; -orta, 162.
ÓRuairc, 139.
os, 69 n .
Osbran, 136, 137.
oscolt, 17.
Osene, 86 ; v. Ossene

Oisin, 24.
Osraige, 27, 28, 88 n., 130.
oss, 28, 126 ; oiss allti, 28.
Ossene, 24, 138, 140.
Ostech, 27, 137.
Othan, 79, 142.
Ovanos, 69 n.
pallnacde, 104.
Pante, 105.
Patraicc, 39, 44.
Patrick, 10.
pennit, 113.
g. Petir, 27, 44 .

Pól, 44, 69.
praind, 107 ; pronn, IIO.
Predene, 140.
-pridchid, 168 n.
prim-mind, 130.
prionda, 118.
pronn, v. praind.
Quiaran, 39; g. Quiaraen, 22.
rachain, 171.
Raghallach, 54; Rogaillnech.
-ragbaiset, 168.
Raghnall, 98, 101.
-raibhe, 154.
raith, 14, 64, 143 .
Raith Aidho, 32.
Raith Aldain, 98.
Raith Both, 147 n.
Raithen, 137; g. Raithin, 25, 137; d.
Raithiun, 126.
-rala, 158, 179; -ralad, 170.
rambe, 154, $^{175}$, 177.
rangamar, 179.
rann, 10.
rath, 5 .
(Druimm) rathae, 192.
re, 7I ; resin, 123.
g. Rechrainne, 27, 142, 149, 152 ; g.

Rechrann, 47, 108, 149, 152.
Rechru, 152; v. Rechrainne.
Rechtabrae, 39, 40, 56, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 147.
rechtidi, 28 n .
Rectlaiten, 39.
Rechtnia, 147.
redither, 179.
Regul, 7 I.
reilci, 8.
-reildissemni, 99, roo, 168 n.
-reillissem $\cdot n i, 99$.
re-im, 158 n . ; -reset, 158.
réim, 104.
-reimid, -remaid, 36, 16x.
rél, 126.
-relice, 178.
-remid, 161 ; -roimid, 161.
rempu, 88.
remshuidigthe, 125.
-renadh, 167; -renta, 16x, 172.
Reothaide, 140.
-résed, x66, 166 n.
(ru-) reset, 158 ; v. ré-im.
resin, 123.
Rétae, 16, 17, 27, 71, 140; v. Riatae.
retlu, 88, 89.
ria, 71.
riach, 71 .
Riaddai, 38, 85; v. Riatae.
-riadai, 27, 156, 175.
riaghal, 126.
-riagtha, $\mathbf{1 6 0}$.
Riatae, 27, 71, 86, 140.
rigdomna, 129, 130.
Rige, 86 n.
rige, 24, 140.
-rigenuas, -sa, 168.
g. righmedo, 14 ; rimedho, 15 .
rig-riadai, 71, 157 n.
rigthech, 124.
Rigullon, 58.
Rime, 39 -
rimthir, 157.
rind, IIO, 112.
-rindrid, 178 ; v. ind -rethaim.
rith, $127,128,146$.
rithae, 161 n., 172.
-rius, -ris, 30.
riuth, 146 ; v. rith.
roacht, 163 n .
Pobairge, 140 .
Robartach, 54.
robo, 154.
Robocan, 23.
roboi, 154.
-rochra, 168.
rodigail, 169.
rodomtheisi, $175,177$.
roemid, 170 n .
g. roen, 36.
roeniudh, 36.
g. Roés, 35.

Rogaillnech, 16, 46, 47, 48, 49, 54, 101, 102, 103, 137.
Rogellnech, 48, 54 ; v. Rogaillnech.
-rogba, 168 n.; rogbaid, 168 n.; rogbat, 168 n .
-roimid, $36,168$.
g. roin, 34, 36 n.
roined, $35,115,146$.
roiniud, 34, 35, 146.
roinis, 162.
-roladh, 42, 160.
-rolscad, 159.
rolscaad, 167.
romebaid, 14, 42.
Ronan, 16, 22, 23.
Ronan mac Coluimb, 17.
ronbia, 175.
ropad, 154.
Ros, 22, 23, 137 n.; g. Rosa, 65.
Ros Creae, 8x, 82.
Rotechtach, 16, 48, 54, 137.
roth, 166 n .
Roth, 147.
Ruaidri, 22, 73, 147, 151.
Ruairceach, 139.
Ruamlus, 64, 66.
Ruanmus, 137.
ruanaid, 58.
Ruarce, 37, 38
Ruargg, 38.
rubai, 27.
Rubin, 26.
Rubucan, 23.
d. rubu, 88 .
-ruc,-rисс, 52, 157, 158; -ructha, 159;
-rисиг, 179.
g. Ruimm, 91.
s-, v. Infixed Pronoun, p. 175.
sacart, v. sagart.
saer, 32,88 , I14, 137.
Saerghal, 33.
sagart, 20.
saidbri, $\mathbf{1 0}$.
saigid (segait), 143.
Saighir, 25, 83.
Sailech, 147.
salm, 124.
Samain, 57, 144.
Samdann, 142.
samrad, 124, 128.
Samuin, 57
sarugad, 127, 146.
Satharn, 127.
sathe, 82 n .
Saxan, 10, 88.
Scandal, 105, 107.
Scannlan, 23.
-scar, 158; -scarais, 157; -scarsatar, 158.

Sceilgg, 37.
Scii, 32.
Scoiti, 12.
scorais, 162.
scribend, II, IIO, III, 112.
scrudiset, 158.
Scuile, 140.
sean-duine, 112.

Sebdann, 142.
-sechfider, 177.
sechis, 104.
Sechnde, 106, 140.
Sechonnan, 59.
sechtmain, 124.
Segene, $85,137$.
Segan, 44 n.
segtai, 10.
Selgg, 79.
Senach, 137.
Senchuae, 80.
Senchus mor, II.
seola, 77.
set, 126.
Setne, 13.
-si, 89 .
(ro-) shiacht, 162, 170.
Sichfrith, 132.
Sillan. 15, ror.
sil $n$-, 130 .
Silne, 16, 1or, 140.
$\sin , 166$ n., 178.
Sinann, 144, 149.
Singittae, 79.
Sinlanus, 15.
$\operatorname{sinn}, 180$.
sinsit, 162.
-sithaig, 178.
Sithmaith, 114.
-siu, 88.
Slane, 23, 25, 56; Slana, 56, 79.
slat, 44.
(ro-) slat, 158 ; -slatsat, 158.
Sleibte, 126, 140.
Slemain, 8.
sliab, 149.
Sliab Cua, 44 .
Sliab Toad, 147 n.
slog, 74.
slogad. 53, 73, 74, 114, 129, 131.
Slogadach, 74.
slogad $n$-, 129.
slo(i)ged, 49, 53 n .
sloinnte, 146.
sluagh, 73.
sluagad $n$-, 130, 131.
sluaiged, 55 n.
sluindim, 112; sluindid, III.
snam, 128.
sneachta, 8r.
Sneidbran, 137.
snigid, 175 n .
snigim, 171.
soas, 30.
sochaide, 25, 26, 87 n., 159.
sochude, 26 ; v. sochaide.
soer, 88.
-soer, 178.
Soerbergg, $37 \cdot$
soere, 36.
Soergus, 35, 65.
Soerlaidh, 35 .
Sogen g. Sogain, 48, 54.
(ro-) soi, 157.
-soir, 177 (178).
soissit, 162.
soos, 75.
soscele, 168 n.
soud, 124.
Spelan, 23.
Srath, 143.
Srath Conaill, 12.
sreangán, 39.
srianach, 7r.
sruth, 12, 32, 80, 175 n.
Sruthair, 79, 144.
g. Stellaen, 22.

Suairlech, 137.
Suanach, 73.
sui, 10, 165 n.
suil, 144.
Surd, 127.
tabairt, 8, 18 n., 88.
tabrad, 5.
tadbsiu, 88, 149.
Tadgg, 37, 38.
taeb, 33.
-thafind, 169.
Taichleach, 25.
acc. tailchi, 144 .
Taillne, 104.
Tailtiu, 148 ; g. Tailten, 25, 4 I ; d. Tailte, Tailtae, 25, 4I, 56, 79, 83, 148.
tainic, 164.
-taircell, 163.
-tairmesc, 175, 178, 179; -thairmisc, 159, 179.
-thairngair, 169.
tairrecht, 127, 128.
-tairthetur, 164.
taiscidh, 156.
taithiunn, 153.
taise, 86.
Tal, 137.
Talach, 142.
talam, $\mathbf{1} 79$.
tall, 164.
Talorggan, 59.
g. Talten, v. Tailtiu.
g. Tamlachtae, 80, 81, 85, 142.
tangadur, $\mathrm{I}_{4}$.
tanisca, 174 n .
tara, 125.
-tarat, 158, 173.
Tarbge, 28.
-targa, 164 n.
-targai, 163.
tharla, 1 I 9 n .
-tarla, 158, 170.
-tarlaic, 127 n.
-tarmasc, 158.
tarna, 124.
tarsende, I12.
-tarsgar, 158 n.
-'tchi, I73 n.
téad, 20.
tecait, $\mathbf{1} 74$.
tech, 87 n., 124, $150,154,165$.
(ro-) thecht, 158 ; thectusa, 178.
(ro-) theglaim, 5 .
Teille, $\mathbf{1 0 2}$.
Teilte, 148 n. ; v. Tailtiu.
Teimne, 16, 44 n.
(rodom-)the(i)si, 158.
-theisinn, 178.
Telach, 59, 73, 79, 132, 142, 144.
Telach Findin, 24.
Telach Olánd (Lualand), 73.
Telach Ooc, 30, 32.
g. Telocho, $14,58,59$.

Telnan, 16, 10 .
Temair, II, 46, 64, 65, 67 n., 147, 150. g. Temhnen, 24 .
tene, 147.
tenga, 147.
(ro-) thepi, 169.
Ternoc (Teornoc), 1 I6.
-terbaiset, 178 n.
terca $n$-, I30.
-terna, 158 ; ternatur, 159,173 ; ternsat, 159 n .
(ro-) thesairc, $175,179$.
tescadh, 163.
tescait, $156,174$.
(rodom-) thesi, v. -theisi, 158.
tét, 20.
Tethbae, 27, 28, 79, 80, 81, 85.
Tetomun, 59.
tiagam, 156.
tigernae, 79, 123.
Tigernach, 128, 134 .
timchellat, 174.
-thimgair, 169.
timpne, 94.
timthirecht, 93.
tindscansat, 163.
tinga umhai, 69 n .
tinnacul, II2.
tinnagat, 工12.
tinntud, 126.
(ro-) thinol, 5.
(ro-) thinoll, 168, 169.
tic, 86, 123, 130.
Tirechán, 6.
tirm, 126.
tir $n$-, 130 .
tiug-rand, 106.
Tlachtgu, 140.
Toad, 58.
g. Toai, 33.
(ro-) thobaig, 162, 169 n.
g. Tobaith, 114, 137.

To-Channu, ri\%.
(ru-) thochurestar, $\mathbf{x} 69$.
g. Tocco, 12.

To-Cummi, II7.
-todlaigester, 168 n.
toebh, 35
toesech, 36.
tofunn, $\mathbf{1 2 6 .}$
toghal. 142.
(ro-da-)toigsetar, 157.
Toim, 73, 74, 79, 91, 144.
Toim greine, 74.
Toim da Ghualann, 73.
Toim da Olann, 73.
toisech, 35, 130 .
g. Tola, 79, 86, 143.
g. Tolairgg, 37.

Tole, 28, 56, 79; g. Tolai, 27, 56, 86.

Tolfa (= Tolua), 116.
tolnaid, ro4.
g. Tommaen, 22.

Tomman, 23, 42.
tomnat, 142.
thoortnd, 109 n., 112.
Topor, 128.
Torach, 79, 142.
-toracht, 179.
torand, III, III n., 112.
Torc, 127.
-torchair, 160, 170; -torchratur, 160, 161, 170.
-torgaith, 169.
Tornan, 23.
tororansom, 112.
g. Torten, 13, 47.
tosech, 35 ; v. toisech.
Tothal, 72 n., 73 .
Tothalan, 16, 73.
Tracht, 132.
traightech, 137.
traothad, 34.
trasgar, 158 n .
trathnóna, 39.
trathnána, 30.
g. Trego, 146.
(arro-) troithad, 34.

Treno, 63, 146; cf. Trian.
treotho, 89.
tri, 96 .
trian, 131.
Trian, 57 п.
g.Triein, 57.
triit, 32.
g. Truisten, 149.

Tuaatan, 32.
Tuae, 73.
Tuaim, 74.
tuath, 72, 86.
Tuathal, 27, 28, 72, 73, 74, 75.
Tuathalan, 73, 75.
Tuathchar, 137.
tuc, 53 n., 88, 158 ; tucsat, 158, 173 ; -tuctha, 159.
Tue, g. Tueth, 15, 57, 147, 147 n.
Tuenog, 57, 116, 117.
tuesmot, 117.
Tuidbech, 137.
tuidched, 166.
tuidecht, 166.
tuighe, 86.
tuirend, 105, 142.
(ro-)thuirset, 169 ; -thuirsium, 159.
Turbe, 85 .
Turges, 26.
tuthegot, 117.
tywyssawg (W.), 35 n .
Uada, 157.
huad, 74 ; uadib, 130.
hual(l), 177.
Uaim Alla, 98.
Ualand, 106.
uamh, 98 .
uar, 102.
Uarcride, 126, 140.
$h$ Uargaile, 73.
uas, 69 n .
hUatach, 72, 147.
Uchtan, 23.
Ugaire, 50, 69 n.
uib, auib, I4.
Uilling, 137.
Uilcon (?), 149.
uile, 125.
uilnech, $\mathrm{IO}_{4}$.
g. Uinsen, 44, 149.
uisce, 88 (d), 129, 131, 140.
uisque ( = uisce), 39, 140 .
ulach, 59 n.
Ulaid, 41, 58, 114, 130.
Ulaith, 13, 27, 59, 6I, 114; a. pl. Ulltu, 89.
Ultan, 22.
Ultan macu Chonchubair, 44.
-umai, 27
Umall, hUmal, 28, 129, 137.
umaldoit, 98, 100.
Uter Pendrago, 18 n .
Vennianus, $113,117$.
Uine, $15,17,117$.

Uinian, 113.
Uinnian, 14, 17, 105, 113.
Uiniani, 17.
Voenacunas, 7 I n.
Wid, II7.

## SUBJECT INDEX.

The numbers refer to the pages.
a before palatal consonant, 23, 25 .
absolute endings, 174 .
absolute endings, generalization of, 174 . absolute endings in compounds, 173 .
Acallam na Senorach, 44 n .
accent, 118, 168, 169.
accent on first syllable, 174, 180.
accusative after corici, 23 n .
ae before a palatal and non-palatal consonant, 33 .
$a \dot{e} e$ for $a ́ i, 21,22$.
ae for $\ell, 29$.
ài (diphthong), 32, 33 .
$-a i,-i, 27$.
affixed pronoun, 176,179 .
-án for áin, 23.
Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, 43 n .
Annals of Innisfallen, II, $\mathbf{1 2}$.
Anno Domini system, 7.
anticipatory pronoun, $\mathbf{1 2 5}$.
archaic spellings, $123,124$.
article, the, 123,124 .
arrangement of subject, 2.
ascending diphthongs, $\mathbf{1 2 1}$.
aspiration, 43, 117, 119.
aspiration after etir, 45 .
a spiration after nominatives, 44 -
aspiration after ocus, 44 .
aspiration after ro-, 170.
aspiration in verbs, v. remarks on verbs.
aspiration of proper names, 44 . a-stems, I40.
atmospherical phenomena, 17.
au for $u, 31$.
aue, declension of, 137.
$b b$ for $b, 41$.
$b b$ for $p, 40$.
$b$ for $f, 41$.
Book of Cuanu, 3, 8, 9, 15 .
Book of Dubdalethe, 3, 9, 10, 16. breath glide, 117.

Canon of Patrick, 10.
caol le caol, 26.
Cathal mac Magnusa, 5 .
cc for $n g, 39$.
change of conjugation, 17 In .
change or $-a e$ to $-a, 79$.
change of $-a i$ to $-a, 84$.
change of declension, $65 \mathrm{n} ., 137 \mathrm{n}$., 144 ,
146, 150 ; dental stems, 151 ; guttural stems, 150; nasal stems, 152; sstems, 15 r.
change of $d l$ to $l l$, ior.
", $\quad e$ to $a, 12,47,56$.
", "é to ia, 71, 121.
" , eu to $e 0,16,76$.
",,$-i$ to $-e, 24$ n., 84.
", ", ie, io, to ia, 56 .
", $l d$ to $l l, 97,118$.
,, $l n$ to $l l$, ror, 18 .
„, $m b$ to $m m, 90$.
,, $m l$ to $b l, 95$.
,,$m r$ to $b r, 95$.
, $n d$ to $n n$, 105.
,, $n l$ to $l l$, 102, 103.
,,$o$ to $a, 5^{8}$.
, -0 to $-a, 62$.
,,ó to $\bar{u}$ before nasal, 30.
, ${ }^{\prime}$ to $u a, 72,74$.
, , $t h$ to $d h$, II4.
,, to- to do-, 116 .
, $u$ to $a$ in unaccented syllables, 25 n. , $u$ to $f, 117$.
"., ue to $u a, 58$.
chariot racing, 166 n .
Christian names, 139 .
clans, 139.
Columban of Bobbio, 14 .
Compert Conculaind, 4 .
compound verbs, 169.
confusion of $-a e,-a i, 83$.
consonant changes, 90 ; general remarks, 118.
copula, 153.
-ct- for -cht-, 39.

Dark morning, 17.
dative of comparison, 179.
datives in $-\ell, 148$.
$d d$ for $d, 40$.
$d d$ for $t, 39$.
$d$ for th, 40 .
declension, 122 ; of article, 123.
denominative verbs, 167 .
dentals, 39 ; v. orthography.
dental stems, 147.
deponent, 161, 163.
derivatives in $-a c h, 134$.
descending diphthongs, 121 .
development of aue, 49.
dialectical forms, 54 .
dialectical peculiarities, 66.
diphthong $a u, 69$.
diphthongization of $\dot{e}, 72$.
diphthongs, 32 ; development of, 12 I.
Dorbéne MS., 72.
doubling of $g, 37,38$.
," " $l$ after $r, 119 \mathrm{n}$.
", ", $l$ before $t$, In9 n.
,, ,,m, 42.
" $\quad, n$ after $r, 42$.
", ", vowers, 32.
dragons in air, 18.
$d$ to represent $d$ after $r$.
dual, 150.
dual accentuation of aue, $5 \mathbf{r}$.
Dubgaill, the, 98 n .
Early Irish, x .
earthquake, 18 .
eclipses of sun, 18.
$-e$ for $-a e, 56$.
-ea for e, 27.
-én, 24 .
-éne, 24 .
Ferdomnach, 62.
ff for $f, 4$.
final $-a i,-i$, 120.
final $-i b$, ı26.
final $-u, 88$.
final vowels, 79 .
Fingen mac Flainn, 84, 99, 125.
Finnian of Maghbile, I4.
future, 164.
genitive followed by $h$, I49.
genitive in -aen, $x 6$.
genitive in -an, 22, 23.
genitive in -én, 24 .
$g c$ for $c, 38$.
gg for $c, 38$.
$g g$ for $n g, 39$.
Gilla Riabhach ÓClery, 100.
gutturals, 37.
guttural stems, 146 .
high vowels, 12 I.
horse racing, 166 n .
hot summer, 17.
huge dragon, 18.
hunger and plague, 18 .
ia-stems, 142.
$i b$ of dative plural, 19.
$-i b$ of dative plural of adjective, $\mathbf{1 2 5}$.
$-i b$ of dative plural of article, 125.
$i$ for $a i, 28$.
$i$ for $i u, 29,30$.
Inchagill stone, 39.
independent pronoun, 180.
infixation with ro-, 177.
infixed pronoun, 175; confusion of,
179; loss of, 179; remarks on, 177.
infixed pronoun with passive, 179.
interlinear glosses, $\mathbf{x ,} 2$.
intervocalic tenues, 20.
intervocalic $\underset{\lambda}{u}, 117$.
introduction, $\mathbf{I}$.
io-stems, 137, r38.
Irish gender, 44
Irish surnames, 52 ; formation of, 52 ; with O, 52 .
irregular declension, 149.
Isidorus, 7.
i-stems, I43, 144.
labials, 40.
Latin alphabet, 20.
Latin influence, 21.
laws of (Irish) accent, II8; working of, 45.
levelling of verbal endings, 174 .
liquids, 41 .
$l l$ for $l, 4 \mathrm{I}$.
long diphthongs, 70.
loss of $d$, ini.
loss of neuter, 19 .
Mac and $O, 139$.
Mac in surnames, 51, 139.
mael, 52; in Mod. Irish, 52 ; in surnames, 139.
Mag Uidhir, 5, 6, 8, 17, 21.
manuscripts of the Annals, 2.
Marcellinus, 7 .
Maucteus, 7 .
Middle Irish, 19.
modern ao, 35 .
Modern Irish past tenses, 173.
modernization, 3
Miurchu's memoirs, 62.
names in gal, 140.
names in -oc, 36 n .
nasals, 42 .
natural phenomena, 17 .
Nennius Vindicatus, 9 -
neuter article, 124.
neuter dual, 131.
neuter $n$-, 129 .
new formations, 159, 160, 161 n., 163, 172.

Niall Glundub, 67.
non-palatal-cht, 136 n .
n-stems, 148 .
oa of various origin, 30 .
$0 a$ in surnames, 30 .
óe, 35 ; before palatal and non-palatal consonants, 36.
óe for oi, 22.
Oghan, 12.
oi before palatal and non-falatal consonants, 34.
Old Irish, 19.
Old Irish pronunciation, 20, 40, IOI, $102 \mathrm{n} ., 109,118,129 \mathrm{n}$.
00 for 0,32 .
ó preserved before gutturals, 75 n .
orthography, 20; of consonants, 37; general remarks on, 45 .
o-stems, 134.
$q u$ for $c, 39$.
Palatal consonants, 23, 24.
palatalization, $24,28,29,54,82,83$, 135 n . ; of 3 sing. of verbs, 173.
partial solar eclipse, 18.
particle $n o, 180$.
passives in -it, $\mathbf{1} 60$.
perfect for preterite, 167 n .
pestilence, 15.
phonetic writings in Wb., 94 .
phonology, 43 ; arrangement of subject, 46.

Pictish kings, 59 n., 62 n.
poetical forms, 115 n .
poetical language, 172 n ., 18 o .
present tense, 174 .
pretonic words, 125.
pronunciation, 20, 21; Old Irish, 129 n. ; of $m h, 96 \mathrm{n}$. ; of $o$ before $n$, 128 n .

Red moon, 17.
reduplicated perfect, 160, 170; disappearance of, $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ n., $\mathbf{1 7 1}$.
reduplicated preterite, $163,170$.
remarks on verbs, 167.
ro-, accentuation of, 168 ; ro-, an independent particle, 170; infixation of, 169 ; position of, 167 .
$r r$ for $r, 41$.
$r r$ from $n \boldsymbol{n}, 42$.
Saint Patrick, 7, 8, ro.
Sandhi laws, 119.
Saxons, 10.
semi-vowel $u, 49$.
Senchus mór, ir.
ships in air, 18.
slender s, 28.
snow, 17.
sources of Annals, 3, 5 .
s-perfect, 157.
spirant $8,40$.
s-preterite, 162.
s-stems, 149.
St. Gall Glosses, age of, 79 n., 112.
subjunctive, 165.
substantive verb, 154 .
surnames, 138, 139 .
syncopation, IO4, II9.
Tirechán, 33, 62, 68.
Tochmarc Etaine, 10.
t-perfect, $162, x_{72}$.
t-preterite, 163,172 .
$u$ and $o$ betore palatal consonant, 26.
uae for $u a i, 29$.
uch in dative, 134.
$u$ - in dative, $126,127$.
u-infection, 28 n., 89, 129, 146.
unvoicing, 117.
u-stems, 145 .
u-timbre, 30.
variation of declension, 148.
variation of er, aur, ir, 3 I.
verb, the, $153,156$.
vowels, 21.
vowel changes, 47 ; remarks on, 120. vowels, weakening of $e, 50,52,53$.
weak vowels, 120.
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## MANCHESTER UNIVERSITY PUBLICATIONS. ANATOMICAL SERIES.

No. I. STUDIES IN ANATOMY from the Anatomical Department of the University of Manchester. Vol. iii. Edited by Alfred H. Young, M.B. (Edin.), F.R.C.S., Professor of Anatomy. Demy 8vo, pp. ix. 189, 23 plates. 10s. net. (Publication No. 10, 1906.)
"This forms the third volume of the Studies in Anatomy issued by the Council, and contains contributions of considerable interest. The volume is well printed and bound. It speaks well for the activity of investigation at Manchester."-Lancet.
"The volume is well got up and is evidence of the continuation of the excellent work which has been carried on for so long a period, under Professor A. H. Young's supervision, and has been encouraged and stimulated by his own work."-British Medical Journal.

## BIOLOGICAL SERIES.

No. I. THE HOUSE FLY. Musca domestica(Linnæus). A Study of its Structure, Development, Bionomics and Economy. By C. Gordon Hewitt, D.Sc., Dominion Entomologist, Ottawa, Canada, and late Lecturer in Economic Zoology in the University of Manchester. Demy 8vo, pp. xiv. 200, 10 plates. 20s. net.
(Publication No. 52, 1910.)
"The book is concisely written and beautifully illustrated by coloured plates."-Lancet.
"In the first the author deals with the anatomy of the fly, in the second with the habits, development, and anatomy of the larva, and in the third with the bionomics, allies, and parasites of the insect, and its relations with human disease. . . . . The book affords an excellent illustration of the amount of original and useful work that may be done on the commonest and best known of animals."-Nature.
"Of the book itself, it may be said that it is a model of its kind." -Athenceum.

## CELTIC SERIES.

No. I. AN INTRODUCTION TO EARLY WELSH. By the late Prof.
J. Strachan, LL.D. Demy 8vo, pp. xvi. 294. 7s. 6d. net.
(Publication No. 40, 1908.)
"The Grammar as a whole is of course a very great advance on the pioneer work of Zeuss; Dr. Strachan had fuller and more accurate texts to work with, and possessed a knowledge probably unsurpassed of the results of recent progress in Celtic philology, which he himself did so much to promote."-Professor Morris Jones in the Manchester Guardian.
"The work is an excellent introduction to the study of early Welsh. We can strongly recommend it to Welsh students; it is undoubtedly a work which no student of Celtic literature can afford to be without."

> - North Wales Guardian.
"The work is destined, of course, to become the text-book in early Welsh wherever taught."-Western Mail.
No. II. THE LANGUAGE OF THE ANNALS OF ULSTER. By Tomás O'Mállle, M.A., Professor of Instruction in University College, Galway. Demy 8vo, pp. xiii. 220. 7s. 6d. net.
Publication No. 53, 1910.)

The objects of this dissertation are firstly to investigate the date at which certain old-Irish phonological developments took place, and secondly to give an account of old-Irish declension as evidenced by the language of the Annals of Ulster. An Appendix on the analysis of Irish personal names is appended.

## MANCHESTER UNIVERSITY PUBLICATIONS. CLASSICAL SERIES.

No. I. A STUDY OF THE BACCHAE OF EURIPIDES. By G. Norwood, M.A., Assistant Lecturer in Classics. Demy 8vo, pp. xx, 188. 5 s . net.
(Publication No. 31, 1908.)
"The interest of Mr. Norwood's book, which . . . is a very welcome addition to the bibliography of Euripides, and a scholarly and interesting piece of work, displaying erudition and insight beyond the ordinary, lies in the way in which, by applying Dr. Verrall's methods . . . . he first shows up difficulties and inconsistencies, some of which have hardly been noticed before . . . . and then produces his own startling theory, which he claims is the great solvent of all the perplexities."
-Saturday Review.
"Unless very strong evidence can be produced against Mr. Norwood's view, it must be accepted as the true solution of the problem. . . . Mr. Norwood is generally clear, and abounds in illuminating thoughts. He has added a full bibliography (running to twenty-three pages) of writings on Euripides, and for this every scholar will offer his sincere thanks. - ". He has done a very good piece of work."-Athenceum.
"This volume forms the first of a Classical Series projected by the Manchester University, who are to be congratulated on having begun with a book so original and full of interest. . . . It is admirably argued, and is instinct with a sympathetic imagination. It is, at the "very least, an extremely able attempt to solve a very complex problem."
-Manchester Guardian.
"Mr. Norwood's book has even in the eyes of a sceptic the considerable merit of stating the hypothesis in a very thoroughgoing and able manner, and at least giving it its full chance of being believed."
-Professor Gilbert Murray in the Nation.
"L'interprétation de $M$. Norwood est certainement très ingénieuse; elle est mếme très séduisante."-Rerue Critique.

## ECONOMIC SERIES.

No. I. THE LANCASHIRE COTTON INDUSTRY. By S. J. Chapman, M.A., M. Com., Stanley Jevons Professor of Political Economy and Dean of the Faculty of Commerce. Demy 8vo, pp. vii. 309. 7s. 6d. net. (Publication No. 4, 1904.)
"Such a book as this ought to be, and will be, read far beyond the bounds of the trade."-Manchester Guardian.
"There have been books dealing with various phases of the subject, but no other has so ably treated it from the economic as well as from the historical point of view."-Manchester Courier.
"The story of the evolution of the industry from small and insignificant beginnings up to its present imposing proportions and highly developed and specialised forms, is told in a way to rivet the attention of the reader ...... the book is a valuable and instructive treatise on a fascinating yet important subject."-Cotton Factory Times.
(Gartside Report, No. 1.)
No. II. COTTON SPINNING AND MANUFACTURING IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: By T. W. Uttley, B.A., Gartside Scholar. Demy 8vo, pp. xii. 70. 1s. net.
(Publication No. 8, 1905.)
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(Gartside Report, No. 2.)

No. III. SOME MODERN CONDITIONS AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN IRON AND STEEL PRODUCTION IN AMERICA, being a Report to the Gartside Electors, on the results of a Tour in the U.S.A. By Frank Popplewell, B.Sc., Gartside Scholar. Demy 8vo, pp. xil. 126. 1s. net.
(Publication No. 21, 1906.)
"Mr. Popplewell gives a clear exposition of the results of specialisation in production, of the development of ore-handling machinery, and of the general use of the charging machine, features that characterise American practice. He shows, too, that the colossal blast-furnace with huge yield due to high-blast pressure, regardless of consumption of steam and boiler coal, is giving place to a blast furnace of more modest dimensions.
"The impression derived from reading Mr. Popplewell's report is that many of the most striking developments, admirable as they are, were designed to meet special wants, and are not necessarily applicable in Great Britain."-Nature.

## (Gartside Report, No. 3.)

No. IV. ENGINEERING AND INDUSTRIAL CONDITIONS in the united states. By Frank Foster, M.Sc., Gartside Scholar. Demy 8vo, pp. ix. 106. 1s. net.
(Publication No. 22, 1906.)
"The report under review is of very great interest to those connected with the manufacturing branch of engineering in this country, many of whom will have to relinquish their preconceived notions regarding American methods, if Mr. Foster's conclusions are to be accepted."
-Electrical Review.
No. V. THE RATING OF LAND VALUES. By J.D. Chorlton, M.Sc. Demy 8vo, pp. viii. 177. 3s. 6d. net. (Publication No. 23, 1907.)
"The first half of this book deserves to become a classic . . . . . . is one of the best books on a practical economic question that has appeared tor many years. It is not only scientifically valuable, but so well written as to be interesting to a novice on the subject."-The Nation.
"A very businesslike and serviceable collection of essays and notes on this intricate question."-Manchester Guardian.
" Mr. Chorlton deals clearly and concisely with the whole subject of rating and land values."-The Standard.
"The impartiality and candour of Mr. Chorlton's method are beyond dispute, and his book will repay careful study by all who are interested in the question, from whatever motive."-Westminster Gazette.
(Gartside Report, No. 4.)
No. VI. DYeing in Germany And America. By Sydney H. Higgins, M.Sc., Gartside Scholar. Demy 8vo. pp. xiii. 112. 1s. net.
(Publication No. 24, 1907.)
"The book will . . . make a valuable addition to the technical literature of this country."-Tribune.
"The work is one which . . . . should receive the attention of those who desire a general view of the German and American dyeing in-dustries."-Textile Manufacturer.
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No. VII. THE HOUSING PROBLEM IN ENGLAND. By Ernest Ritson Dewsnup, M.A., Professor of Railway Economics in the University of Chicago. Demy 8 vo , pp. vii. 327 . 5s. net. (Publication No. 25, 1907.)
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No. VIII. AMERICAN BUSINESS ENTERPRISE. By Douglas Knoop, M.A., Gartside Scholar. Demy 8vo, pp. viii. 128.1 1s. 6d. net. (Publication No. 30, 1907.)
"The book is calculated to give a clear and accurate description, "essentially intended for the general reader," and the author has quite rightly eliminated everything of a technical character, giving his theme both the simplicity and the interest that are required. .. The work might well have been doubled in length without any loss of interest. Invaluable as a text-book."-The Economic Journal.
"Should on no account be missed, for it is a very good attempt at a survey of the enormous field of American business in the true and judicial spirit."-Pall Mall Gazette.

## (Gartside Report, No. 6.)

No. IX. THE ARGENTINE AS A MARKET. By N. L.' Watsor M.A., Gartside Scholar. Demy 8vo, pp. viii. 64. 1s. net.
(Publication No. 33, 1908.)
"A valuable and thorough examination of the conditions and future of Argentine commerce."
-Morning Leader.

## (Gartside Report, No. 7.)

No. X. SOME ELECTRO-CHEMICAL CENTRES. By J. N. Pring, M.Sc., Gartside Scholar. Demy 8vo, pp. xiv. 137. 1s. 6d. net.
(Publication No. 41, 1908.)
"Concise, business-like, and furnished with some valuable papers of statistics, the report will prove well worthy of the study of anyone specially interested in this subject." -Scotsman.

Gartside Report . . . . . is the best all-round book on industrial electrochemistry that has so far come to his notice."-Electro-chemical and Metallurgical Industry, May, 1909.
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(Gartside Report, No. 8.)
No. XI. CHEMICAL INDUSTRY ON THE CONTINENT. By Harold Baron, B.Sc., Gartside Scholar. Demy 8vo, pp. xi, 71. 1s. 6d. net.
(Publication No. 44, 1909.)
"Well informed, well systematised, and written with businesslike precision, it deserves the attention of everyone interested in its subject."-Scotsman.
"For a good general account of the chemical industry on the Continent we think this report, so far as it goes, to be an excellent one and is, moreover, unlike many works on the subject, interesting to read."
-Chemical Trades Journal.
"Clearly and intelligently handled."-The Times.
No. XII. UnEMPLOYMENT. By Prof. S. J. Chapman, M.A., M.Com., and H. M. Hallsworth, M.A., B.Sc. Demy 8vo, pp. xvi. 164. 2s. net, paper, 2s. 6d. net, cloth. (Publication No. 45, 1909.)
"On the whole, the authors offer a solid centribution, both as regards facts and reasoning, to the solution of a peculiarly difficult and pressing social problem."-Cotton Factory Times.
". . . reproduces in amplified form a valuable set of articles, giving the results of an investigation made in Lancashire, which lately appeared in the Manchester Guardian. By way of Introduction we have an examination, not previously published, of the Report of the Poor-law Commission on Unemployment. There is a large accompaniment of Charts and Tables, and indeed the whole work bears the mark of thoroughness."
-Guardian.
(Gartside Report, No. 9).
No. XIII. THE COTTON INDUSTRY IN SWITZERLAND, VORARLBERG AND ITALY. A Technical and Economic Study. By S. L. Besso, LL.B. Demy 8vo, pp. xv. 229. 3s. 6d. net. (Publication No. 54, 1910.)
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No. I. CONTINUATION SCHOOLS IN ENGLAND \& ELSEWHERE. Their place in the Educational System of an Industrial and Com. mercial State. By Michael E. Sadler, M.A., LL.D., Professor of the History and Administration of Education. Demy 8vo, pp. xxvi. 779. 8s. 6d. net.
(Publication No. 29, 1907.)
This work is largely based on an enquiry made by past and present Students of the Educational Department of the University of Manchester. Chapters on Continuation Schools in the German Empire, Switzerland, Denmark, and France, have been contributed by other writers.

## MANCHESTER UNIVERSITY PUBLICATIONS. EDUCATIONAL SERIES. <br> CONTINUATION SCHOOLS (Continued).

". . . . . gives a record of what the principal nations are doing in the prolongation of school work. It is invaluable as a corpus of material from which to estimate the present position of the world-so far as its analogies touch Britain-in 'further education,' as the phrase is."
-The Outlook.
"The most comprehensive book on continuation schools that has yet been issued in this country."-Scottish Review.
"Professor Sadler has produced an admirable survey of the past history and present condition of the problem of further education of the people . . . . but apart from his own contributions, the bulk of the work, and its most valuable portion, consists of material furnished by teachers and by organisers of schools in various parts of England and Scotland, by officials of the Board of Education and the Board of Trade. and by local education authorities."-Manchester Guardian.
"This book will for many years remain the standard authority upon its subject."-The Guardian.
"The whole question is discussed with an elaboration, an insistence on detail, and a wisdom that mark this volume as the most important contribution to educational effort that has yet been made."
-Contemporary Review.
"The subject of the work is one that goes to the very heart of national education, and the treatise itself lays bare with a scientific but humane hand the evils that beset our educational system, the waste of life and national energy which that system has been unable in any sufficient degree to check."-The Spectator.
"It is a treasure of facts and judicious opinions in the domain of the history and administration of education."-The Athenceum.
No. II. THE DEMONSTRATION SCHOOLS RECORD. No. I.
Being Contributions to the Study of Education from the Department of Education in the University of Manchester. By J. J. Findlax, M.A., Ph.D., Sarah Fielden Professor of Education. Demy 8vo, pp. viii. 126. 1s. 6d. net. (Publication No. 32, 1908.)
"Professor Findlay and his skilled and experienced collaborators give an interesting account of the uses of the demonstration classes, the nature and scope of the work done in them, and the methods adopted (as well as the underlying principles) in some of the courses of instruc-tion."-The Athenøeum.
"The book gives an instructive account of the attempts made to correlate the subjects of school instruction, not only with each other, but also with the children's pursuits out of school hours. . . . The problem Professor Findlay has set himself to work out in the Demonstration School is, How far is it possible by working with the children through successive culture epochs of the human race to form within their minds not only a truer conception of human history, but also eventually a deeper comprehension of the underlying purpose and oneness of all human activities?"-Morning Post.
No. III. THE TEACHING OF HISTORY IN GIRLS' SCHOOLS IN NORTH AND CENTRAL GERMANY. A Report by Eva Dodge, M.A., Gilchrist Student. Demy 8vo, pp. x. 149. 1s. 6d. net. (Publication No. 34, 1908.)
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# MANCHESTER UNIVERSITY PUBLICATIONS. ENGLISH SERIES. 

No. I. THE LITERARY PROFESSIUN IN THE ELIZABETHAN AGE. By Ph. Sheavyn, M.A., D.Lit., Special Lecturer in English Literature and Tutor for Women Students; Warden of the Hall of Residence for Women Students.
A series of brief studies dealing with the conditions amidst which the profession of literature was pursued under Elizabeth and James I. It treats of their relations with patrons, publishers, and reading public, and with various authorities exercising legal control over the press; and discusses the possibility of earning a sufficient livelihood, in this period, by the proceeds of literary work. Demy 8 vo , pp. xii. 221 . 5s. net. (Publication No. 49, 1909.)
". . . . scholarly and illuminating book. It opens a new series in the Manchester University publications, and opens it with distinction. A more elaborately documented or more carefully indexed work need not be desired. The subject is an engrossing one; and, although the author has aimed rather at accuracy and completeness than at the arts of entertainment, the result remains eminently readable."-
-Manchester Guardian.
"Quite interesting to the general literary reader as well as to the special student for whom, perhaps, it is directly meant. We are always ready to read of the Elizabethan age in authorship, and it loses none of its attractions in Miss Sheavyn's hands."-Daily Chronicle.
"A series of studies that will be valuable to everyone interested in the history of literature."-Daily Mail.
No. II. BEOWULF : Edited, with Introduction, Notes, and Glossary, by W. J. Sedgefield, Litt.D., Lecturer in English Language. Demy 8vo, pp. xii. 300. 9s. net. (Publication No. 55, 1910.)
"It is his carefulness in this matter of the text that will win Mr. Sedgefield the chief thanks of students. This record of variants is full and accurate, and the fuller notes which follow the text itself should be very helpful both to the pupil and the expert. In the glossarial index Mr. Sedgefield has accomplished a task hitherto unattempted in England."-Manchester Guardian.

## HISTORICAL SERIES.

No. I. MEDIAVAL MANCHESTER AND THE BEGINNINGS of LanCashire. By James Tait, M.A., Professor of Ancient and Mediæval History. Demy 8vo, pp. x. 211. 7s. 6d. net.
(Publication No. 3, 1904.)
"Patient and enlightened scholarship and a sense of style and proportion have enabled the writer to produce a work at once solid and readable."-English Historical Review.
"A welcome addition to the literature of English local history, not merely because it adds much to our knowledge of Manchester and Lancashire, but also because it displays a scientific method of treatment which is rare in this field of study in England."-Dr. Gross in American Historical Review.
"La collection ne pouvait débuter plus significativement et plus heureusement que par un ouvrage d'histoire du Moyen Age dû à M. Tait, car l'enseignement mediéviste est un de ceux qui font le plus d'honneur à la jeune Université de Manchester, et c'est à M. le Professeur Tait qu'il faut attribuer une bonne part de ce succès."-Revue de Synthèse historique.
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No. II. INITIA OPERUM LATINORUM QUAE SAECULIS XIII., XIV., XV. ATtribu untur. By A. G. Little, M.A., Lecturer in Palæography. Demy 8vo, pp. xiii. 273 (interleaved). (Out of print.)
(Publication No. 5, 1904.)
"Whoever has attempted to ascertain the contents of a Mediæval miscellany in manuscript must often have been annoyed by the occurrence of a blank space where the title of the treatise ought to be. Mr. Little has therefore earned the gratitude of all such persons by making public a collection of some 6,000 incipits, which he arranged in the first instance for his private use, in compiling a catalogue of Franciscan MSS."
-English Historical Review.
No. III. THE OLD COLONIAL SYSTEM. By Gerald Berkeley Hertz, M.A., B.C.L., Lecturer in Constitutional Law. Demy 8vo, pp. xi. 232. 5s. net.
(Publication No. 7, 1905.)
" Mr. Hertz gives us an elaborate historical study of the old colonial system, which disappeared with the American Revolution. . . . . He shows a remarkable knowledge of contemporary literature, and his book may claim to be a true history of popular opinion."-Spectator.
"Mr. Hertz's book is one which no student of imperial developments can neglect. It is lucid, fair, thorough, and convincing."
-Glasgow Herald.
"Mr. Hertz's 'Old Colonial System' is based on a careful study of contemporary documents, with the result that several points of no small importance are put in a new light . . . . it is careful, honest work
The story which he tells has its lesson for us."-The Times.
"Both the ordinary reader and the academic mind will get benefit from this well-informed and well-written book."-Scotsman.
"Mr. Hertz has made excellent use of contemporary literature, and has given us a very valuable and thorough critique. The book is interesting and very well written."-American Political Science Review.
"An interesting, valuable, and very necessary exposition of the principles underlying the colonial policy of the eighteenth century."
-Yorkshire Post.
No. IV. STUDIES OF ROMAN IMPERIALISM. By W. T. Arnold, M.A. Edited by Edward Fiddes. M.A., Lecturer in Ancient History, with Memoir of the Author by Mrs. Humphrì Ward and C. E. Montague. With a Photogravure of W. T. Arnold. Demy 8vỏ, pp. cxxiii. 281. 7s. 6d. net.
(Publication No. 16, 1906.)
"Mrs. Humphry Ward has used all her delicate and subtle art to draw a picture of her beloved brother; and his friend Mr. Montague's account of his middle life is also remarkable for its literary excellence."
-Athenoum.
"The memoir . . . . tenderly and skilfully written by the 'sister and friend,' tells a story, which well deserved to be told, of a life rich in aspirations, interests, and friendships, and not without its measure of actual achievement."-Tribune.
"This geographical sense and his feeling for politics give colour to all he wrote."-Times.
"Anyone who desires a general account of the Empire under Augustus which is freshly and clearly written and based on wide reading will find it here."-Manchester Guardian.
"Nothing could be better than the sympathetic tribute which Mrs. Humphry Ward pays to her brother, or the analysis of his work and method by his colleague Mr. Montague. The two together have more stuff in them than many big books of recent biography."
-Westminster Gazette.
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No. V. CANON PIETRO CASOLA'S PILGRIMAGE TO Jerusalem in the Year 1494. By. M. M. Newett, B.A., formerly Jones Fellow. Demy 8vo, pp. viii. 427. 7s. 6d. net. (Publication No. 26, 1907.)

"'Tra mezzo ai tanti libri esteri di semplici divulgazione su fatti e figure della storia italiana, questo emerge piacevalmente e si legge volontieri. E diverso di carattere e di trattazione. Esume . . . . dalla polvere degli archivi e delle biblioteche qualche cosa che ha un valore fresco ed interessante, un valore storico e un valore umano."
-A.A.B. in the Archivio Storico Italiano.
"L'introduction se termine par toute une dissertation du plus grand intérêt, documentée à l'aide des archives vénitiennes, sur le caractère commercial des pelérinages, dont les armateurs de Venise assumèrent, jusqu 'au XVIIe siecle l'entreprise."
-J.B. in the Revue de Synthèse historique.
" Miss Newett has performed her task admirably, preserving much of the racy humour and shrewd phrasing which mark the original, and adding, in the introduction, a general treatise on the Venetian pilgrim industry, and in the notes copious illustrations of the text."

Horatio F. Brown in The English Historical Review.
"Miss Newett's introduction is an admirable bit of work. She has studied carefully what the archives of Venice have to say about pilgrim ships and shipping laws, and her pages are a mine of information on such subjects."-Dr. Thomas Lindsay in the Scottish Historical Review
"This is a deeply interesting record, not merely of a Syrian pilgrimage, but of Mediterranean life and of the experiences of an intelligent Italian gentleman at the close of the Middle Ages-two years after the discovery of America. It would not be easy to find a more graphic picture, in old days, of a voyage from Venice to the Levant." American Historical Review.
No. VI. HISTORICAL ESSAYS. Edited by T. F. Tout, M.A., Professor of Mediæval and Modern History, and James Tait, M.A., Professor of Ancient and Mediæval History. Demy 8vo, pp. xv. 557. 6s. net. Reissue of the Edition of 1902 with index and New Preface. (Publication No. 27, 1907.)
"Diese zwanzig chronologisch geordneten Aufsätze heissen in der Vorrede der Herausgeber $W^{\prime}$ estchrift, behandeln zur Hälfte ausser-englische Themata, benutzen reichlich festländische Literatur und verraten überall neben weiten Ausblicken eine methodische Schulung die der dortigen Facultät hohe Ehre macht."-Professor Liebermann in Deutsche Literaturzeitung.
"Imperial history, local history, ecclesiastical history, economic history and the methods of historical teaching-all these are in one way or another touched upon by scholars who have collaborated in this volume. Men and women alike have devoted their time and pains to working out problems of importance and often of no slight difficulty. The result is one of which the university and city may be justly proud."-The late Professor York Powell in the Manchester Guardian.
"Esso contiene venti lavori storici dettati, quattro da professori e sedici da licenziati del Collegio, e sono tutto scritti appositamente e condotti secondo le più rigorose norme della critica e su documenti."-R. Predelli in Nuovo Archivio Veneto.
"La variété des sujets et l'érudition avec laquelle ils sont traités font grand honneur à la manière dont l'histoire est enseigné à Owens College."
-Rerue Historique.
"Par nature, c'est un recueil savant, qui témoigne du respect et de l'émulation que sait exercer pour les études historiques la jeune et déjà célèbre université."-Reıue d'histoire ecclésiastique (Louvain).
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HISTORICAL ESSAYS (Continued).

"All these essays reach a high level; they avoid the besetting $\sin$ of most of our present historical writing, which consists of serving up a hash of what other historians have written flavoured with an original spice of error. . . .. They are all based on original research and written by specialists."-Professor A. F. Pollard in the English Historical Review.
"Sie bilden einen schönen Beweis fur die rationelle Art, mit der dort dieses Studium betrieben wird."-Professor O. Weber in Historische Zeitschrift.

The index can be purchased separately, price 6 d . net.
No. VII. STUDIES SUPPLEMENTARY TO STUBBS' CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY. Vol. i. By Ch. Petit-Dutaillis, Litt.D., rector of the University of Grenoble. Translated from the French by W. E. Rhodes, M.A., and edited by Prof. James Tait, M.A. Demy 8 vo , pp. xiv. 152 . 4s. net
"The volume will be virtually indispensable to teachers and students of history."
-Athenceum.
"This task has been carefully and well performed, under the supervision of Professor Tait, who has written a short but adequate introduction. This little book, ought, without delay, to be added to every public or private library that contains a copy of the classic work to which it forms an indispensable supplement."
-Dr. W. S. McKechnie in the Scottish Historical Review.
"These supplementary studies impress one as a discreet and learned attempt to safeguard a public, which is likely to learn all that it will know of a great subject from a single book, against the shortcomings of that book."-Professor A. B. White in the American Historical Review.
" C'est un complément indispensable de l'ouvrage de Stubbs, et l'on saura gré à l'Université de Manchester d'avoir pris l'initiative de cette publication."-M. Charles Bémont in Revue Historique.
"Ce sont des modèles de critique ingénieuse et sobre, une mise au point remarquable des questions les plus importantes traitées jadis par Stubbs."-M. Louis Halphen in Revue de Synthèse historique.
"Zu der englischen Ubersetzung dieser Excurse, durch einen verdienten jüngeren Historiker, die durchaus leicht wie Originalstil fliesst, hat Tait die Vorrede geliefert und manche Note, die noch die Literatur von 1908 berücksichtigt. Die historische Schule der Universität, Manchester, an Rührigkeit und strenger Methode von keiner in England übertroffen, bietet mit der Veröffentlichung der werthvollen Arbeit des Franzosen ein treffliches Lehrmittel.-Professor F. Liebermann, in Deutsche Literatur Zeitung.
No. VIII. MALARIA AND GREEK HISTORY. By W. H. S. Jones,
M.A. To which is added the History of Greek Therapeutics and the Malaria Theory by E. T. Withington, M.A., M.B. Demy 8 vo , pp. xii. 176. 5s. net. (Publication No. 43, 1909.)
" Mr. W. H. S. Jones is to be congratulated on the success with which he has conducted what may be described as a pioneering expedition into a practically unexplored field of history . . . . the publishers are to be congratulated on the admirable way in which the book has been turned out-a joy to handle and to read."-Manchester Guardian.
"This interesting volume is an endeavour to show that the decline of the Greeks as a people for several centuries before and after the Christian era was largely due to the prevalence of malaria in its various forms."-Glasgow Herald.
"[The author] . . . . has amassed a considerable store of valuable information from the Greek classics and other sources which will prove extremely useful to all who are interested in his theory."
-Birmingham Daily Post.
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No. IX. HANES GRUFFYDD AP CYNAN. The Welsh text with translation, introduction, and notes by Arthur Jones, M. A., Jones Fellow in History. Demy 8vo. Pp. viii. 204. 6s. net.
(Publication No. 50, 1910.)
"No Welsh historian of the future can afford to neglect this scholarly attempt to give the work of Griffith ap Cynan a true historical setting. The introduction is an ideally well-balanced estimate of a singularly quaint and beautiful piece of history."-Glasgow Herald.
"The Editor has prefaced his text with a comprehensive and nearly always convincing introduction of more than 100 pages, besides copious notes. Nearly every page of both contains matter of Irish history, sometimes really new, since taken from the document never deeply studied before, and always valuable from the new light thrown by the collation of independent, 'international' testimonies. . . It will at once be seen that we have here a document of the first interest to ourselves; the University and the Editor have put us in their debt for a valuable contribution to our history."-Freeman's Journal.
"Mr. Jones prints the Welsh text in a scholarly recension, and accompanies it page by page with a faithful version into English, explains its obscurities and personal and local allusions in notes always concise and to the point, and brings it in with an interesting introduction, which treats fully of the transmission of the text, of its value as an historical document, and of its relation to other remaining original authorities for the history of the Norman Conquest."-Scotsman.
"Mr. Jones's enterprise is the result of the happy union in the University of Celtic and of historical studies. . . . The textual editing, the annotations, and the translation have all been admirably done, and the work is a credit alike to the author, the University, and to the Press."-Manchester Guardian.
"Hearty thanks are due for a most useful and satisfactory edition."
-Archooologia Ċambrensis.

## No. X. THE CIVIL WAR IN LANCASHIRE. By Ernest Broxap, M.A. Demy 8vo, pp. xv. 226. 7s. 6d. net.

(Publication No. 51, 1910.)
"By a judicious use of it he has produced an eminently readable and informing work. . . The University of Manchester, which, but for the pressure of the political situation, would have been founded in 1642, is to be congratulated upon its choice of an historian of the war in Lancashire."-Athenøeum.
"Mr. Broxap's monograph must be welcomed as the most important of those hitherto given to history to illuminate the county aspect of the Civil War. . . . . The whole book is very carefully revised and accurate in its details, full and satisfactory, and the order in which the story is told is excellent. The index is also sufficient, and the whole study is amply annotated. Altogether, both the author and the Manchester University Press are to be thoroughly congratulated upon the volume."-Morning Post.
"It is clear that Mr. Broxap has minutely studied all available original materials and that he uses them with care and discrimination. . . . the highest praise that can be given to the author of a historical monograph is that he set out to produce a book that was wanted, does that extremely well, and does nothing else, and to this praise Mr. Broxap is fully entitled."-Westminster Gazette.
"The author has carefully studied authorities, and this first complete and separate account of a momentous episode in the Civil War is marked by a painstaking regard to accuracy of detail, and also by a judgment that is invariably fair and unbiassed as well as careful and acute."
-Scotsman.
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No. XI. THE CROMWELLIAN CONQUEST AND SETTLEMENT of IRELAND. By Robert Dunlop, M.A., formerly Berkeley Fellow. Demy 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.
This work will consist of a series of unpublished documents relating to the History of Ireland from 1651 to 1659, arranged, modernized, and edited, with introduction, notes, etc., by Mr. Dunlop.
[In Preparation.

## MEDICAL SERIES.

No. I. SKETCHES OF THE LIVES AND WORK OF THE HONORARY MEDICAL STAFF OF THE ROYAL INFIRMARY. From its foundation in 1752 to 1830 , when it became the Royal Infirmary. By Edward Mansfield Brockbank, M.D., M.R.C.P. Crown 4to. (illustrated), pp. vii. 311. 15s. net.
(Publication No. 1, 1904.)
"Dr. Brockbank's is a book of varied interest. It also deserves a welcome as one of the earliest of the 'Publications of the University of Manchester.'"-Manchester Guardian.
"We have a valuable contribution to local Medical Literature."

> - Daily Dispat.h.

No. II. PRACTICAL PRESCRIBING AND DISPENSING. For Medical Students. By William Kirkby, sometime Lecturer in Pharmacognosy in the Owens College, Manchester. Crown 8vo, pp. iv. 194. 5s. net.
(Publication No. 2, 1904, Second edition, 1906.)
"The whole of the matter bears the impress of that technical skill and thoroughness with which Mr. Kirkby's name must invariably be associated, and the book must be welcomed as one of the most usefu? recent additions to the working library of prescribers and dispensers."
-Pharmaceutical Journal.
"Thoroughly practical text-books on the subject are so rare, that we welcome with pleasure Mr. William Kirkby's 'Practical Prescribing and Dispensing.' The book is written by a pharmacist expressly for medical students, and the author has been most happy in conceiving its scope and arrangement."-British Medical Journal.
No. III. HANDBOOK OF SURGICAL ANATOMY. By G. A. Wright, B.A., M.B. (Oxon.), F.R.C.S., Professor of Systematic Surgery, and C. H. Preston, M.D., F.R.C.S., L.D.S., Lecturer on Dental Anatomy; Assistant Dental Surgeon to the Victoria Dental Hospital of Manchester. Crown 8vo, pp. ix. 205. 5s. Second edition.
(Publication No. 6, 1905.)
"We can heartily recommend the volume to students, and especially to those preparing for a final examination in surgery."-Hospital.
"Dr. Wright and Dr. Preston have produced a concise and very readable little handbook of surgical applied anatomy. . . . The subject matter of the book is well arranged and the marginal notes in bold type facilitate reference to any desired point."-Lancet.
No. IV. A COURSE OF INSTRUCTION IN OPERATIVE SURGERY in the University of Manchester. By William Thorburn, M.D., B.S. (Lond.), F.R.C.S., Lecturer in Operative Surgery. Crown 8vo, pp. 75 (interleaved), 26 Figures in the Text. 2s. 6d. net.
(Publication No. 11, 1906.)
"This little book gives the junior student all that he wants, and nothing that he does not want. Its size is handy, and altogether for its its purpose it is excellent."-University Review.
"As a working guide it is excellent."-Edinburgh Medical Journal.
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No. V. A HANDBOOK OF LEGAL MEDICINE. By W. Sellers, M.D. (London), of the Middle Temple, and Northern Circuit, Barrister-at-law. With 7 Illustrations. Crown 8vo, pp. vii. 233. 7s. 6 d . net. (Publication No. 14, 1906.)
This is quite one of the best books of the kind we have come across."-Law Times.
No. VI. A CATALOGUE OF THE PATHOLOGICAL MUSEUM OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER. Edited by J. Lorrain Smith. M.A., M.D. (Edin.), Professor of Pathology. Crown 4to, 1260 pp .7 s .6 d . net. (Publication No. 15, 1906.)
"The catalogue compares very favourably with others of a similar character, and, apart from its value for teaching purposes in an important medical school such as that of the University of Manchester, it is capable of being of great assistance to others as a work of reference."
-Edinburgh Medical Journal.
"In conclusion we need only say that Professor Lorrain Smith has performed the most essential part of his task-the description of the specimens-excellently and an honourable mention must be made of the book as a publication."-British Medical Journal.
No. VII. HANDBOOK OF DISEASES OF THE HEART. By Graham Steell, M.D., F.R.C.P., Professor of Medicine, and Physician to the Manchester Royal Infirmary. Crown 8vo, pp. xii. 389,11 plates ( 5 in colours), and 100 illustrations in the text. 7 s .6 d . net. (Publication No. 20, 1906.)
" It more truly reflects modern ideas of heart disease than any book we are acquainted with, and therefore may be heartily recommended to our readers."-Treatment.
"We regard this volume as an extremely useful guide to the study of diseases of the heart, and consider that no better introduction to the subject could possibly have been written."
-Medical Times and Hospital Gazette.
"We can cordially recommend Dr. Steell's book as giving an excellent and thoroughly practical account of the subject of which it treats."
-Edinburgh Medical Review.
No. VIII. JULIUS DRESCHFELD. IN MEMORIAM. Medical
Studies by his colleagues and pupils at the Manchester University and the Royal Infirmary. Imperial 8vo, pp. vi. 246. With a Photogravure and 43 Plates. 10s. 6d. net. (Publication No. 35, 1908.)
"A worthy memorial of one who left no small mark upon the study of clinical pathology in this country."-British Medical Journal.
"The papers which compose the bulk of the volume have been reprinted from the Manchester Chronicle, vol. xiv, and they are of both interest and permanent value."-Scottish Medical Journal.
"The editor, Dr. Brockbank, can be congratulated upon editing a volume that will fitly perpetuate the memory of his eminent colleague."
-Medical Review.
No. IX. HANDBOOK OF INFECTIOUS DISEASES. By R. W. Marsden, M.D. Crown 8vo, pp. vi. 296. 5s. net.
(Publication No. 39, 1908.)
"This book aims at giving a practical account of the various infectious diseases, suitable for ready reference in everyday work, and the author has, on the whole, succeeded admirably in his attempt."-The Lancet.
"Throughout the book the information given seems thoroughly adequate, and especial attention is paid to diagnosis."
-Scottish Medical Journal.
"The subject matter is well arranged and easy of reference."
-The Medical Officer.
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No. X. LECTURES ON THE PATHOLOGY OF CANCER. By Charles Powell White, M.A., M.D., F.R.C.S. Imperial 8vo pp. x. 83, 33 plates. 3s. 6d. net. (Publication No. 42, 1908.)
"The volume is a model of scientific self-restraint. In four chapters the author covers in simple language much that is of main interest in the present phase of investigation of cancer . . .
"The volume . . . is well illustrated with statistical charts and photomicrographs, and its perusal must prove profitable to all who wish to be brought up-to-date in the biology of cancer."-Nature.
"Full of scholarly information and illustrated with a number of excellent black-and-white plates."-Medical Press.
"These lectures give a short résumé of recent work on the subject in an easily assimilable form."-St. Bartholomew's Hospital Journal.

No. XI. SEMMELWE1S: HIS LIFE AND HIS DOCTRINE. A chapter in the history of Medicine. By Sir William J. Sinclair, M.A., M.D., Professor of Obstetrics and Gynæcology in the University of Manchester. Imperial 8 vo , pp. x. 369, 2 plates. 7s. 6d. net.
(Publication No. 46, 1909.)
"Semmelweis has found a worthy biographer who has made a noteworthy contribution to medical literature, and whose understanding of the work and sympathy for the trial of his subject are obvious."
-Dublin Journal of Medical Science
"Das wahrhaft vornehm geschriebene Buch des auch bei uns in Deutschland hochverehrten englischen Kollegen spricht für sich selbst. Es ist berufen, in dem Vaterlande Lister's auch dem grossen Märtyrer Semmelweis Gerechtigkeit zuteil werden zu lassen."
-Zentralblätt für Gynäkologie.
> "There should be a wide public, lay as well as medical, for a book as full of historical, scientific and human interest as this 'Life of Semmelweis.' . . . Sir William Sinclair's book is of the greatest interest, and we are glad to welcome an adequate English appreciation of Semmelweis, who certainly ranks among the 'heroes of medicine.'"
> -Nature.
> "It is a book all obstetricians and research men should read."
> -Scottish Medical Journal.
> "A most instructive and interesting biography of the discoverer of the cause of puerperal fever. . . . The book is well printed and bound."
> -Medical Review

No. XII. MODERN PROBLEMS IN PSYCHIATRY. By E. Lugaro
Professor of Nervous and Mental Diseases in the University of Modena, Translated from the Italian by David Orr, M.D., Assistant Medical Officer and Pathologist to the County Asylum, Prestwich; and R. G. Rows, M.D., Assistant Medical Officer and Pathologist to the County Asylum, Lancaster. With an introduction by T. S. Clouston, M.D., Physician Superintendent, Royal Asylum, Morningside, and Lecturer on Mental Diseases in Edinburgh University. Imperial 8 vo , pp. viii. 305, 8 plates. 7 s .6 d . net. (Publication No. 47, 1909.)
"Professor Lugaro is to be congratulated upon the masterly and judicious survey of his subject which he has given to the world in this work. Not only have we a succinct and clear exposition of the present state of our knowledge, but we are confronted with a tale of the inexhaustible work that lies before us."-Lancet.
"The work should be on the shelf of every pathologist and asylum
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MODERN PROBLEMS IN PSYCHIATRY (Continued. physician; it is thoughtful, suggestive and well written. The translation also is excellent."-Nature.
"The book is a very distinct addition to the literature of psychiatry, and one which will well repay careful study."
-Californian Medical Journal.
"The whole book is suggestive in the highest degree, and well worthy of careful study. Dr. David Orr and Dr. R. G. Rows, the translators, are to be heartily congratulated on the manner in which they have rendered the original into terse and idiomatic English."-Athenceum.
No. XIII. FEEBLEMINDEDNESS IN CHILDREN OF SCHOOL
AGE. By C. Paget Lapage, M.D., M.R.C.P. With an Appendix on Treatment and Training by Mary Dendy, M.A. Crown 8vo.
(In the Press.)

## PHYSICAL SERIES.

No. I. THE PHYSICAL LABORATORIES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER. A record of 25 years' work. Demy 8 vo , pp. viii. 142, with a Photogravure, 10 Plates, and 4 Plans. 5s. net.

> (Publication No. 13, 1906.)

This volume contains an illustrated description of the Physical, Electrical Engineering, and Electro-Chemistry Laboratories of the Manchester University, also a complete Biographical and Bibliographical Record of those who have worked in the Physics Department of the University during the past 25 years.
"The book is excellently got up, and contains a description of the department of physics and its equipment, a short biographical sketch of the Professor with a list of his scientific writings and a well-executed portrait and a record of the career of students and others who have passed through Dr. Schuster's hands. Alumni of Owens will welcome the volume as an interesting link with their alma mater."-Glasgow Herald.
"This interesting and valuable contribution to the history of the Manchester University also contains several illustrations, and forms the first of the 'physical series' of the publications of the University of Manchester."-The Times.
"It is a memorial of which any man would be justly proud, and the University of which he is both an alumnus and a professor may well share that pride."-Manchester Guardian.

## PUBLIC HEALTH SERIES.

No. I. ARCHIVES OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER. Edited by A. Sheridan Delépine, M.Sc., M.B., Ch. M., Director of the Laboratory and Proctor Professor of Comparative Pathology and Bacteriology. Crown 4to. pp. iv. 451. £1. 1s, net.
(Publication No. 12, 1906.)
"The University of Manchester has taken the important and highly commendable step of commencing the publication of the archives of its Public Health Laboratory, and has issued, under the able and judicious editorship of Professor Sheridan Delépine, the first volume of a series that promises to be of no small interest and value alike to members of the medical profession and to those of the laity. . . . Original communications bearing upon diseases which are prevalent in the districts surrounding Manchester, or dealing with food- and water-supplies, air, disposal of refuse, sterilisation and disinfection and kindred subjects, will be published in future volumes; and it is manifest that these, as they successively appear, will form a constantly increasing body of trustworthy information upon subjects which are not only of the highest interest to the profession but of supreme importance to the public."
-The Lancet.
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No. I. INAUGURAL LECTURES delivered during the Session 1904-5, by the Professors and Lecturers of the Faculty of Theology, viz. : -

Prof. T. F. Tout, M.A. ; Prof. A. S. Peake, B.D. ; Prof. H. W. Hogg, M.A.; Prof. T. W. Rhys Davids, LL.D.; Rev. W. F. Adeney, D.D.; Rev. A. Gordon, M.A.; Rev. L. Hassé, B.D. ; Rev. Canon E. L. Hicks, M.A. ; Rev. H. D. Lockett, M.A.; Rev. R. Mackintosh, D.D. ; Rev. J. T. Marshall, D.D. ; Rev. J. H. Moulton, D.Litt.

Edited by A S. Peake, B.D., Dean of the Faculty. Demy 8vo, pp. xi. 296. 7s. 6d. net. (Publication No. 9, 1905.)
"The lectures, while scholarly, are at the same time popular, and will be found interesting and instructive by those who are not theologians. ....The entire series is excellent, and the volume deserves a wide circulation."-Scotsman.
"The lectures themselves give a valuable conspectus of the present position of Theological research. . . . They are, of course, not addressed to experts, but they are exceedingly valuable, even when allowance is made for their more or less popular form."-Examiner.
"This is a most interesting and valuable book, the appearance of which at the present moment is singularly significant. . . But it is impossible in a brief review to indicate all the treasures of this rich volume, to read which carefully is to be introduced to the varied wealth of modern Biblical scholarship."-Baptist.
"The writers of these lectures do not attempt to offer more than samples of their wares: but what is given is good, and it may be seen that theology without tests is destitute neither of scientific value nor of human interests."-Athenceum.

## LECTURES.

No. I. GARDEN CITIES (Warburton Lecture). By Ralph Neville, K.C. 6d. net.
(Lecture No. 1, 1905.)
No. II. THE BANK OF ENGLAND AND THE STATE (A Lecture). By Sir Felix Schuster. 6d. net. (Lecture No. 2, 1905.)
No. III. BEARING AND IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL. TREATIES IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY. By Sir Thomas Barclay. 6d. net.
(Lecture No. 3, 1906.)
No. IV. THE SCIENCE OF LANGUAGE AND THE STUDY OF THE GREEK TESTAMENT (A Lecture). By James Hope Moulton, M.A., Litt.D. 6d. net. (Lecture No. 4, 1906.)
No. V. THE GENERAL MEDICAL COUNCIL: ITS POWERS and ITS WORK (A Lecture). By Donald Macalister, M.A., M.D., B.Sc., D.C.L., LL.D. 6d. net. (Lecture No. 5, 1906.)

No. VI. THE CONTRASTS IN DANTE (A Lecture). By the Hon. William Warren Vernon, M.A. 6d. net. (Lecture No. 6, 1906.)
No. VII. THE PRESERVATION OF PLACES OF INTEREST OR Beauty (A Lecture). By Sir Robert Hunter. 6d. net.
(Lecture No. 7, 1907.)
No. VIII. ON THE LIGHT THROWN BY RECENT INVESTIGATIONS ON ELECTRICITY ON THE RELATION BETWEEN MATTER AND ETHER (Adamson Lecture.) By J. J. Thomson, D.Sc., F.R.S. 6d. net.
(Lecture No. 8, 1908.)
No. IX. HOSPITALS, MEDICAL SCIENCE, AND PUBLIC HEALTH (A Lecture). By Sir Clifford Allbutt, K.C.B., M.D. (Cantab.) 6d. net.
(Lecture No. 9, 1908.)
No. X. ENGLISH POETRY AND GERMAN PHILOSOPHY IN THE AGE OF WORDSWORTH (Adamson Lecture). By A. C. Bradley, Litt.D. 6d. net.
(Lecture No. 10, 1909.)
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CALENDAR OF THE VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF MAN. CHESTER. Session 1904-5. Demy $8 \mathrm{vo}, 1100 \mathrm{pp}$. 3s. net. (Publication No. 17.)
CALENDAR OF THE VICTORTA UNIVERSITY OF MAN. CHESTER. Session 1905-6. Demy $8 \mathrm{vo}, 1200 \mathrm{pp}$. 3s. net.
(Publication No. 18.)
CALENDAR OF THE VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF MAN. CHESTER. Session 1906-7. Demy 8vo, 1300 pp. 3s. net.
(Publication No. 19.)
CALENDAR OF THE VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF MAN. CHESTER. Session 1907-8. Demy 8vo, 1400 pp. 3s, net.
(Publication No. 28.)
CALENDAR OF THE VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF MAN. CHESTER. Session 1908-9. Demy 8vo, 1460 pp. 3s. net.
(Publication No. 37.)
CALENDAR OF THE VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF MAN. CHESTER. Session 1909-10. Demy 8vo. 1470 pp . 3s. net.
(Publication No. 48.)
CALENDAR OF THE VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF MAN. CHESTER. Session 1910-11. Demy 8vo, 1472 pp . 3s. net.
(Publication No. 56.)
THE REGISTER OF GRADUATES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER UP TO JULY 1908. 2s. 6d. net, cloth 3s. 6d. net (Publication No. 36.)
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See "Triads of Ireland," p. x.
    ${ }^{2}$ By Early Irish I mean the language before A.D. 700; cf. Thurneysen, Celt. Zeitschrift, iii. p. 47 ff., in his article on the age of the Würzburg glosses.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dr. Strachan's notes consist of a collection of verbal forms from the Annals down to A.D. 1536 and of sundry collections of material from A.D. 800

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The writing of Cellach as Ceallach and the marking the aspiration of the mediae I refer to under Orthography. The various possible instances of modernization I deal with separately nnder their separate heads.
    ${ }^{2}$ Of course such an entry as at 941, natiuitas Briain mic Cennetig,'was not inserted for at least thirty years after this date.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. v. Stokes and Strachan, Introduction, Thes. Pal. Hib., Zimmer, K.Z. xxxvi. 474.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ King of Munster. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Reliquie, R.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. rofhoghail, O. Ir. forodil, fein, dogarar, soiscela, etc. It is possible, however, that Cuanu may have written the entry in Latin. The first part of the entry may be old.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. g. Columbani, 667. See also 675.
    2 "Bas Cuanach mic Cailcin i. laoch Liathmhuine Ri Fernmaighe." This in Chr. Sc. is a mistake for Fermuighe. For a story of this Cuanu, cf. LL. 274240, 275 b20.
    ${ }^{3}$ For other Cuanu's v. Guttural stems.
    ${ }^{4}$ Part of the entries may have been in Latin in L. Cuanach and translated into Irish in quotation.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ I do not take account of the history previous to A.D. 431 in the first few folios of H I. 8 which is in Irish not earlier than the eleventh century, and which was obviously added at a later time.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sic Hennessy. H has Erinn. The readings of R I have not noted.
    ${ }^{3}$ But see $n$-stems, § 16 r .

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Meyer, Selections of Old Irish Poetry.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. change of $n d$ to $n n$, Phonology. $\quad{ }^{3} \mathrm{v}$. change of $-a e$ to $-a$.
    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ succeeded at the death of Niall of the Nine Hostages in 405 and reigned twenty-three years.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. "Vitae Columbae".
    ${ }^{6}$ To avoid confusion in this portion I only deal with such entries as are in the original hand in H x. 8.
    ${ }^{7}$ See change of -0 to $-a$ in gen. sing. of $i$ - and $u$-stems. There are a good many instances of gen. in -a during the 8th century.
    ${ }^{8}$ See guttural stems.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not identical with the entry in LL. 24 a.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. change of $e u$ to $e 0$, but instance Eogain 786. In LL. 242 the entry is Eogan mac Neil.
    ${ }^{3}$ For other references to Cuanu see above.
    ${ }^{4}$ Identical with entry in LL. 24 a.
    ${ }^{5}$ Final $-u$ did not become $-a$ till after the end of tenth century. See Final Vowels.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. Corbmac, L.Br. p. 220, col. 2.
    ${ }^{7}$ See $-t h>-d h$ in Phonology. The form cannot be much later than the middle of eighth century.
    ${ }^{8}$ Probably by Mag Uidhir himself from a gen. Cuanach.
    ${ }^{9}$ See declension aue for possible date.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ See development of $a u . \quad{ }^{2}$ See ī-stems. $\quad{ }^{3}$ See change of $m b$ to $m m$.
    ${ }^{4}$ See $-a e(-e)>-a$. ${ }^{5}$ Hennessy equates this with the reference at ${ }_{511}$.
    ${ }^{6}$ LL. 24 b has Eogan bèl ri Connacht.
    ${ }^{7}$ Clones, Co. Monaghan.
    ${ }^{8}$ In O.Ir. we should expect forodil, cf. forodail, perf. of fo-dälim, LU. 53 ar3. For date of this change see position of $r o$ in verbs, $\S 198$. The last two sentences at least are probably an eleventh century translation from the Latin.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ See change of -o to $-a . \quad{ }^{2}$ See i-stems.
    ${ }^{3}$ Finnian of Maghbile, see change of $n d$ to $n n$. Columban of Bobbio ( $6 \mathrm{rr}_{5}$ ) in a letter written (A.D. 600) to Pope Gregory wrote Vennianus. Cf. "Monumenta Germaniae Epistolae," iii. 156. Printed by Mommsen, "Chronica Minora," iii. 21. This refers to Finnian or Finnio of Clonard (v. 548). As Latin $\check{\imath}$ in passing from popular Latin to O. French became e fermé, Columban may have written e under this influence. As regards $n n$, Professor Zimmer considers change of $n d$ to $n n$ in inlaut as being earlier than that of $n d$ in auslaut, and compares W. cant but cannoeth. The dat. pl. dendib 726 is against this. $C f$. also Thurneysen, CZ i. 347 for an explanation of $n n$, and see below, change of $n d$ to $n n$, Phonology.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Orthography, -aen. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Called Uadu, "Chron. Scot." 592.
    ${ }^{3}$ Thes. ii. pp. 273, $278 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Cf. 618.
    ${ }^{5}$ See section on Poetry Appendix under $6 \mathbf{1} 7 . \quad{ }^{6}$ Thes. Pal. Hib. ii. 282.
    ${ }^{7}$ See change of $m r$ to $b r$ and cf. mbleguin, 732. ${ }^{8}$ See Orthography, § 19.
    ${ }^{9}$ See change of $\boldsymbol{m b}$ to $m m$. Later Colmain.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Declension auc. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Possibly later, see change of $\ln$ to $l l$.
    ${ }^{3}$ See $\bar{o}>u a$, and $-a e>-a$. ${ }^{4}$ See $l n>l l$. ${ }^{5}$ See $\bar{o}>u a, \check{e}>a$.
    ${ }^{6}$ See dialogue between Comgan and Cummene Fota in YBL.
    ${ }^{7}$ See Declension, io- stems, auc.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. Tighernach corres. to 690, Cronan maccu Caulne.
    ${ }^{9}$ The MS. (H 1. 8) may be either read Boendo or Boento; cf. Boanta.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Oan, 724. ${ }^{2}$ Leg. Lochrae (Luachra, R).
    ${ }^{3}$ For instance Cuana. This I think may safely be attributed to Mag Uidhir himself who had the gen. Cuanach before him.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. also Finnani, 659. The origin of $n n$ in this word is doubtful. See Zimmer, KZ. xxxii. p. I6o ; Thurneysen, CZ. i. 346 ; and note on the word above at 578 .
    ${ }^{5}$ The final -aui of this word may have been taken as the g. of aue, 'grandson, descendant,' placed after the noun as in Corbmac.
    ${ }^{6}$ Should we read $\bar{e}$ ?

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ v. Du Cange, draco . . . Draconis Anglicani originem ab ipso Uter Pendragone accersit Matth. Westmon. ann. 498 qui cum stellam Draconis ignei effigie horridam in caelo conspexisset, etc.
    ${ }^{2}$ The later forms are generally added in later hands and can thus be distingulshed. Thus at the year 803 is added in the margin, in what $I$ have desig. nated as the C hand: Tabhairt Cheanannsa cen chath do Cholium chille cheolach, which is a quotation from Gilla Coemain's poem. See LL. I3Ia (printed by Stokes, Tripart. Life S. Patrick).
    ${ }^{3}$ We must also in case of final vowels allow for the possibility of slips on the part of the scribe. Cf. Wb. rgbr2 nitat gnima.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ The neuter article $a$ is used in the Annals for the last time at gir but may have survived for some time longer. For the fall of neuter $n$-, v. Declension.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Consonants were often written double to show non-aspiration. It is unlikely that $b b$ denoted sound distinct from un-aspirated $b$.
    ${ }^{2}$ In Modern Irish the phrase cé is muite "except" is often analysed as cé is $m o ̄+d e$. It may be a contamination of this and the O. Ir. cen-motha.
    ${ }^{3}$ The final $t$ in sagart must be due to the falling together of the $d$ and $t$. If the word were borrowed from the nominative sacerdōs the final $-d$ would have remained.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ With long $a$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Doubtful instances I mark throughout with an asterisk.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ But g. Librain 621. $\quad{ }^{2}$ See below, Phonology, $m b>m m$.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Accus. after corici.
    ${ }^{2}$ Those given above.
    ${ }^{3}$ I have not continued the collection for the eleventh century.
    ${ }^{4}$ See below, § 23 .

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ N. Slana occurs once with non-palatal $n$. The question of palatal and nonpalatal consonants did not seem to be clearly decided during the eighth century, at least so far as orthography was concerned. For instances of $i$ for $a i$ after dentals, cf. ai, $i$ below, $\S 26$.
    ${ }^{2}$ A Pict. $\quad{ }^{3}$ N. Lēn $<{ }^{*}$ Lecnos, Gaulish Licnos ; $i>e$ before loss of $c$.
    ${ }^{4}$ N. Ailen (cf. Gormghal in Ard-ailean 1017).
    ${ }^{5}$ Cleirchen 911, sic leg. (?) ${ }^{6}$ Laidgnein, R.
    ${ }^{7}$ Oissén, ‘a fawn,' occurs in Acallam na Senorach, 1. 6283 (Ed. Stokes).

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ The usual Latin form. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cf. alaile $\mathbf{8 4 4}_{4}$, alailiu Sg. 28br, alaailiu 32b5.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. sochude Wb. ga4; d. sochudi nic6, but sochide Carls. Beda 32b3. For change of $u(i)$ in unaccented syllables to ai I have no special collections.
    ${ }^{4}$ The spelling seems late.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. change of $-e$ to $-a$.
    ${ }^{2}$ This indicates a palatal $n g$, but the word appears later with non-palatal $n g$.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Later palatal.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compounds of ual- do not show $u$-infection in dative.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. rechtidi Wb. 2b24, fochricc 2b26, Finsnechti 760. The cht would not be easily palatalized.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Bergin, " Palatalisation in Irish," p. 6.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ A I sing. pres. subjunctive in Wb . 14a17, gazo.
    ${ }^{2}$ Later cuach.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Mac Uag of Modern Irish.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. (Ath) da Loarc, L. Ardm. (Thes. ii. p. 266).

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Custantin 789, 8r9, 875, 951, Constantinus (Lat.) 672, Constantin 788.
    ${ }^{2}$ At 484 for this word Chron. Scot. has Criomtainn.
    ${ }^{3}$ A different person however.
    ${ }^{4}$ There is hardly sufficient ground to suppose a different element in the composition of one and the same word such as orchor aurchor.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. also Mainaigh 720.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Priscian and note in St. Gall 1gor, ardofuasalcat Greic oe in $u$ sic Latini.
    ${ }^{3}$ Such as Ailchon 722, 726. With Ailgal 790, etc., cf. Algaile 798. As to Ailngnad 780 , cf. Failngnad, L. Ardm.
    ${ }^{4}$ For a full list of maele, maile 700-950, see mael, under aue.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $n$ must have been palatal in this case as $i$ is not written for $a i$ before $g h$.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ From to-uess-acos, W. tywyssawg. The Mod. Ir. word for 'front,' 'beginning,' is toisech (beside tosach).
    ${ }^{2}$ From ro-memaid. It becomes later co remaid 995, 998, 1003, 1005.
    ${ }^{3}$ Modern claon, earlier clóin.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Mainaigh 720.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e. 'free robber' or 'freebooter'.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{R}$ has also Conaicc. $\quad{ }^{2}$ See above, gg for $g$. $\quad{ }^{3} \mathrm{v}$. above $g g$ for $g$.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Leg. Bran. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cf. change of $l d$ to $l l$.
    ${ }^{3}$ From dess and cerd, the south (or right hand) quarter.
    ${ }^{4}$ F. M. forsriadhadh.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Late Irish. Notice $n d$ of Cind, g. Ailbe, and ria Cairbre at end of sentence. Cf. aspiration after bellum.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gender? For aspiration after this word compare Luguid Mocu Themne (v. Thes. ii. 281), gente Mocu Curin (id. 274), but Chonrii Moccu Cein (ib.) and at A. U. 663, Macu Teimhne, Maccu Cormaicc; g. Segain Maccu Chuind 662; g. Ultain Macu Chonchobair ( R has Macu Concubair).
    ${ }^{3}$ Aspiration not marked in noun. Aspiration of adjective is not marked in $g$, m. Colmain Cutlaigh 743. g. m. Fercair Fotti 718.
    ${ }^{4}$ There are instances of such aspiration in Acallam na Senorach.
    ${ }^{5}$ Hennessy also prints abbas Chluana 8oo, but both R and H have Cluana.
    ${ }^{6}$ Aspiration prevented by $s$ of ocus.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. § 76 .

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ I use "open" syllables to mean syllables which end in a vowel.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Lat. Coolennorum in L. Ardm.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ This I take to be another way of writing $u e$ with the broadening of the final -e marked.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. also la Au Ercae, Memoranda in the Book of Armagh, Thes. II. p. 365. It might be possible to regard $o a$ as a stage in the diphthongization of $\bar{o}$ to $u a$, but the $o a$ here is generally dissyllabic. Acc. pl. auu occurs in Trip. Life S. Patrick, p. 94 (ed. Stokes).
    ${ }^{8}$ See note next page on the treatment of Mael.
    ${ }^{4}$ It becomes Mag, Meg before a vowel, $l$, and $r$ in Mid. and Mod. Ir. ('g). Cf. A. U. 1418, 1384 .
    ${ }^{5}$ Sic in H. The old form aui occurs at 706, 730, 737 (four times), 741, 749.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. oaib at $789,822$.

[^35]:    ${ }^{3}$ From Ro-gell-nech. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cf. Annals of Loch Ce for usage with palatal -ll-.
    ${ }^{3}$ The last instances are in any case obviously archaic, as -lln- would then have become-ll-.
    ${ }^{4}$ But cf. immelle together, 77 I. This form occurs in M1. 53b15, 68d9, beside immalle. Strachan, CZ.iv. 50.
    ${ }^{5}$ This would be a bad spelling, if we could derive from in + fot. The derivation in + fed (later feadh) is more likely. Cf. \& 59 note.
    ${ }^{6}$ At 774 the Latin has both acc. Mumanenses and g. pl. Muminensium. Cf. further Muminensium, Vit. Col., Thes. ii. 276.
    ${ }^{7}$ Here, however, notice $e$ is in third syllable.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. Thes. Pal. Hib. ii. xxxiv. note 3. But g. Muman occurs from 700 on, and I have no very early instance of g. Mumen except the instance at 596 which may be due to the copyist.
    ${ }^{9}$ Accusative generally Mnmain, cf. 734; for Muime, R has Muimnech F .

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. O. Ir. slogad which becomes by dialect sloiged 821,859 , written sloged $9^{14}$, written sluaiged in Chron Scot.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ A dental stem. Nom. ${ }^{*}$ nie $<{ }^{*}$ nepos, a nephew (or a warrior). Cf. Nia 775 and g. Nioth, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 267, but Niath, id. p. 27 r.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Thes. ii. 262, 36 , Trian. ${ }^{3}$ Rhymes with nodchiat.
    ${ }^{4}$ mac Iallain $859 . \quad{ }^{5}$ Eircne R.
    ${ }^{8}$ A weakening of $i a r$, which becomes later $a r$.
    ${ }^{7}$ See Zimmer, KZ. xxxvi. 47 б.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. Diormitius (=di-format) Vit. Columb. Thes. ii. 275, 278, 28 I, Dērmait, id. 273,274 .

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ But v. Meyer Contrib. cathas. i. cath-fesach. H 3. 18, p. 67.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is $U l o d$ in the place names in the Book of Armagh.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Matudhan 932, 948, 969. ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Fochloth of L. Ardm. ?
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Bodbcath 703. $\quad{ }^{4}$ Cf. Murchadho 729.
    ${ }^{5}$ But cf. Introduction. The final -o was more likely to be tampered with by the scribe. The confusion in Wb . must be also taken into account.
    ${ }^{6}$ Whether considerations of declension had anything to do with the matter is not clear. Cf. further remarks on vowels.
    *The instances where $o$ would be preserved owing to $m, b$, I mark with an asterisk.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Change of declension: cf. g. Rois.
    ${ }^{2}$ The last instance before change to guttural declension.
    ${ }^{3}$ The last instance of -0 in gen. sing.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. discussion in the chapter on the Sources of the Annals above.
    ${ }^{5}$ Sic H i. 8.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ But cf. final $a$ for $-o$ in Wb . Airddsratha, L. Arm., or were the sources of these instances somewhat later?
    ${ }^{2}$ If he had the real old form before him we should expect Aido.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. also the entry Aengusa mic Amalngado already referred to (Introduction).
    ${ }^{4}$ Introduction, and Sources of the Annals.
    ${ }^{5}$ It is also possible, as pointed out in the chapter on the Sources of the Annals, that the compiler may have, in these cases, used sources written somewhat later during the period of confusion, but we cannot build much on this change of $-o$ to $-a$, which is perhaps the most indecisive of all the Old Irish changes. Further, most of the cases concerned are very common names; cf. $\S 76$. As regards possibilities of dialect, it is difficult to determine anything decisive.
    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Cf}$. rhyme cro: Imblecho, etc., referred to above.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ But Niall mac Aeda rex Temhro, with $-a$ in one and -o in the other.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is probably due to the ninth century transcriber.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Strachan, RC. xx. 195 note.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Intervocalic s was lost in Celtic.
    ${ }^{2}$ The pronunciation was probably nearer to $\check{u}$ than $a u$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Also Ir. nūe, nua <naue, from *nouiios, nouionos, Gr. $\nu \in ́(F)$ os, Sans. návyas, but this is hardly an exact parallel, as here a diphthong remains. For an older form naue, 'new,' cf. St. Gall, p. 217, margin. The archaic character of some of the entries in the St. Gall glosses I have already referred to elsewhere.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. note 4 , preceding page. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cf. Ceannachte, Vit. Columb. 56 a .
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Cf}$. the condition of $\bar{e}$ in Vita Columbae, and the Book of Armagh. The word Dermato at 703 points to confusion between $i e, i a$ and $\bar{e}$.
    ${ }^{4}$ See A. U. 712 , Zimmer, KZ. xxxii. 199, xxxvi. 476, and Thes. ii., Introduction xxxi. and remarks on vowels below.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Life of Columba, ed. Reeves.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Thes. ii. Introduction xv , for a list of instances.
    ${ }^{7}$ Cf. Clono, Auiss, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 262 ; Cloni, Vit. Col. Thes. ii. p. 280. ${ }^{\prime}$
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. Tothail, Vita Columbae.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Clono, Auiss, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 262; Cloni, Vit. Col. Thes. ii. p. 280.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Muadain, Memoranda in L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 364, and Ogham Moddagni, Macalister iii.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. indorso Tomme, Vit. Columb. Thes. ii. p. 280.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Tothail, Vita Columbae. ${ }^{5}$ Poem, not printed by Hennessy.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. Coonu, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 266.
    ${ }^{7}$ Cf. Gore, Latin, Vit. Columb. Thes. ii. 276.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cloithe, Vit. Columb. and Ptol. К $\lambda \omega \dot{\tau} \alpha$, W. Clud.
    ${ }^{9}$ Cf. Coolennorum, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 259.
    ${ }^{10}$ G. Flathrui 776.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Oac a dissyllable, I insert for purpose of comparison.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Crochan, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 265, 267. ${ }^{3}$ Chron. Scot. Anlon 8ıo.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. noois $723=$ noais 664,739 , nois 849,874 , where the $o$ did not become dipthongized. This word was originally dissyllabic. Cf. Pedersen, Verg. Gram. § 37 .
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. the extensive use of $\bar{o}$ for $u a$ in the pronunciation of Munster to the present day.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. change of final -o to $-a$ above. We should probably read Cluano as at 738, 788.
    ${ }^{2}$ If Cualann has original $\bar{o}$ as in Latin Coolennorum in L. Arm., then we have instances of $\bar{o}$ having become $u a$ from 702 onwards.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Thes. Pal. Hib. ii. 238 foll., and before gutturals ochter, lōg, Irlochir, where the $\bar{o}$ was longer preserved.
    ${ }^{4}$ Mōdam in Vit. Columb.
    ${ }^{5}$ They are mostly before a broad consonant.
    ${ }^{6}$ See preface to the Thes. Pal. Hib. i.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ For gen. of a-stems v. final $-\ell>a, \S 67$, note 2 , and $\S 133$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. g. Fiachach.
    ${ }^{3}$ Notice non-palatal gg, and cf. g. Deilgge 742, but g. Delca (867), 887.
    ${ }^{4}$ i.e. Tailte ; cf. $i$. Tailti 790, and dat. Teilte, Vit. Columb.
    ${ }^{5}$ Erce may have represented a palatal, $c$.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare -abrae, -abre of Rechtabre 733.
    ${ }^{2}$ Not printed by Hennessy. The prose, however, has Ochae.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. g. pl. Ardae 718, g. Airde, Ardda 748, a. pl. airtiu 823.
    ${ }^{4}$ Original final e; cf. g. Finsnechti 836, g. Finsnechtai 828.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. Telchre 710.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. d. Ferti, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. pp. 259, 263, Fertae, Thes. ii. p. 260.
    ${ }^{7}$ This appears to represent original final $-e$. Cf. however, Rechtabrae 733.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. g. Muman 825.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. g. Airde Ciannachtae 748, g. Ardda Oa Cennfaelad 748.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. in-Avd Machae $8_{47}$.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ The change of aue to aua at 744,763 already dealt with, I have included in this category.
    ${ }^{2}$ This phrase in Ard Macha occurs again at 834. We find the two parts of this word declined together, thus g. Ardmachae 660, 687, 757. Is this a Latinised form, or was the word Ard at that time not fully accented ? Also g. Ard Macha at rog 6 .
    ${ }^{8}$ But cf. § $16 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Not printed by Hennessy. ${ }^{5}$ Cf. $-a i>a$.
    ${ }^{6}-\mathrm{rn}$ - is palatal in Moghdairne, 749.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ For instances see Strachan, CZ. iv. p. 477, Thes. i. Introduction, and RC. xx. 303.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Meyer, Archiv f. Celt. Lex. iii. p. 293.
    ${ }^{3}$ The BB. version has arda, garga, so have both versions at strophe 55, etc.
    ${ }^{4}$ See The Voyage of Bran, ed. Meyer, for further instances and variants. I give the paragraphs as numbered by Meyer.
    ${ }^{5}$ This $-a i$ is mostly from an earlier writing $-i$ in the genitive of io-stems. The Wb. glosses have also generally $-i$ for later $-a i$ : v. $a i,-i$, Orthography, $\S 23$.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probably a iā-stem. See g. Fiachnae 651, 799, 808, 809, 818.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. g. Lothri 762. ${ }^{3}$ i.e. Odba, near Navan, n. Odbae.
    ${ }^{4}$ In the poem at 1024 croisi rhymes with n. taisi and n. maisi.
    ${ }^{5}$ We find instances of $-i$ for $-e$ even early in the eighth century. g. Maighi Bile 742, 746; g. Dermaighi 763, maighe luingi 774, g. Rigi beside Rige 780, g.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e. Kuono or Kuonrad. $\quad{ }^{9}$ Cf. g. bachlu 758, B. $\quad{ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ Feraib, R.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Eire in poem on death of Aed Mac Domnaill (A.D. roo4), Archiv f. Celt. Lex. iii. 304, where it rhymes with gréine.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Colman in Vita Columbae.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. L. Ardm. Tomme. See Thes. ii.; obviously not a case of $m b$.
    ${ }^{3}$ F.M. has Concumba.

    * Doubtful instances I mark with an asterisk.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Also immrani, etc.
    ${ }^{2}$ If the ending is not a Latin one to correspond to Columba. But the Latin genitive form occurs in Columbani 627, 667. Cf. Colman. Columban is common in Vit. Col.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Ogham Glassiconas, $-a$, etc. Columbana has the vowel $u$ still preserved. According to the laws of Irish accentuation this $u$ should disappear. In the modern form of Columb also the $u$ has fallen; n. Colm, g. Coilm, with palatal $l$, which is vocalic.
    ${ }^{4}$ The spelling Colman during the sixth and early seventh century is presumably due to late compiler.

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ Goes back to *cambi. Cf. More-cambe, 'hook of the sea '.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Imbliuch, L. Ardm.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. further Wb. r2air, imróol, 'a great draught,' <imb-ro-ōl, but imbradud, 6 a 6 , both cases of $i m b+r$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Immairecs also occurs at 617 . The present instance is rather early.
    ${ }^{5}$ At 759, 774 it is imairecc.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. do imm archor chore, Wb .5 a , with $m m$ for $m b$ in accented position.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. athcumai 626 (poem).
    ${ }^{2}$ Maelmbuadh 977 beside Maelmuadh may be a way of indicating unaspirated $m$ after accus. Mael. Cf. im Mael mBrighti.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Thes. ii. p. 289.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Declension, Guttural Stems, for change of declension of Temair.

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ Accus. corici Aird m-Brecan.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. im Mruig, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 263 ; mruig mrecht, Imram Brain.
    ${ }^{3}$ Brecc, as far as I know, never occurs as mrecc.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~Wb}$. I8aI5 ar for mraith does not, by itself, count, but there are independent instances of the mr form.
    ${ }^{2}$ This instance is valid as it would of course be possible to distinguish $m h$ from bh. Cf. Mod. Ir. samhradh where the nasal character of the $m h$ is quite distinct.
    ${ }^{3}$ Thes. ii. 295.
    ${ }^{4}$ The original form of various Irish words with initial $b r$ - is still obscure.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ed. Stokes, CZ. iii. 214, q.v. for variants.
    ${ }^{6}$ For variants, v. Meyer, Voyage of Bran, and for further instances of this word cf. Meyer Contrib. briug.
    ${ }^{7}$ Compare also Modern Irish domlas $<{ }^{*}$ do + mlas $<{ }^{*}$ dus-mlass- in which the change never takes place at all.

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ed. Meyer. $\quad{ }^{2}$ G. of Cu -mael-de.
    ${ }^{3}$ This entry is a gloss in late Irish and has not accus. $n$. Aedh Ollan is added in a late hand. Hennessy printed both without pointing this out.
    ${ }^{4}$ F. M. Aladhchu 782.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Wb. 6a5, umaldoit.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Alla, Meyer, Contrib. ; uaim Alla 1063, mac Allai LL. 394b; also R.C.' xii. 58, p. 12.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dunkeld in Perth. $\quad{ }^{4}$ King of the Dubgaill = Raginald, Reginald.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. Goth. mildeis, 'mild'. ${ }^{6}$ Cf. alind, Wb. 7cr, 22a13, 3 Ib35.
    7 There are instances of adjectives formed from nouns with the adjectival ending -de (-dae), -da. It is doubtful whether in these instances $d$ ever became assimilated to $l$. Cf. Gallda in Modern Irish. This latter word may, however, have been formed at a time when the law had worked itself out.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. also M1. 63a14, arruneillestaar.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Meyer, Archiv iii. p. 293.

[^65]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Meyer's edition.
    ${ }^{4}$ Dunkeld in Perth, Scotland.

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probably corrupt.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Martyr. Donegal, Irth Nov.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Silnan Thes. ii. pp. 276, 277, 278.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. g. Eilni 708 , and v. n. 4 , next page.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dunolly in Scotland.
    ${ }^{2}$ We should probably more correctly read Callann as the treatment of the combination lnd was different. See note 6, p. 102.
    ${ }^{3}$ v. An Claidheamh Soluis, 24th October, 1908, etc.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ So Stokes. But the change of $l n$ coming together by syncope would be later.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Thurneysen, CZ. v. r ; further diltud, from di-sluindi where $l t$ results from the combination -sind-. Cf. loss of $n$ between $r$ and $d$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Wb. r3b29 follnastar. ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Wb. 4 d 27 incholnichto.
    ${ }^{5}$ From as-lena, he pollutes: cf. 63di5 lase nadreildissemni, and see above $l d$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. M1. 98bir arindolintaigi.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Findan, Thes. ii. pp. 258, 287.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Ende, L. Arm. Thes. ii. pp. 267, 268; 263, 264 (Lat. Endeus), 281.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Findubrec L. Arm. Thes. ii. p. 261.
    ${ }^{4}$ It rhymes with Uilinne. Cf. various forms of the word at 650 .

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a further list of forms, see Article, p. 123. $\quad{ }^{2}$ King of the Saxons.

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ Olaind 708, Ualand 730, are doubtful. I cannot find the word in any Early Irish text.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Loairn 718, Loarnn 764, Gaul. Lovernios.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. also in same piece S.C. 31 (Ir. Texte, i. 218), ni maird for ni mairn, 3rd sing. of present mairnim, I betray; pres. subj. meraid.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Thurneysen, Zeit. für Celt. Phil. vol. v. p. I, for a discussion on this subject.
    ${ }^{5}$ We cannot lay overmuch stress on the spelling of a common word like Flann by itself, as it may be on a parallel with the writing of Aeda for Aedo in the early 8th century. Fland Feblae occurs in L. Ardm. (Thes. ii. 242), in the additions to Tirechan's Notes on the Life of S. Patrick. This text has é, ea, and ia and belongs to the very early eighth century. Cf. Introduction to Thes. ii. xv. It also has Endi, Endae, andooit Naindid, find, and (bis), dothoorund, durind, but donn, Crimthunn, etc. If Fland had not original nd then the confusion would indicate that the change had taken place at the time of the Notes.

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ Forannan seems a late form owing to weak vowel ; cf. Forindain 751, 755 .
    ${ }^{2}$ See Zimmer, Kuhn's Zeitschrift xxxii. 160, 173.
    ${ }^{3}$ See chapter on the Sources of the Annals. There are some possible late entries in the first half of the 8th century.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Thurneysen, Zeitschr. Celt. Phil. i. 346.
    ${ }^{5}$ For further examples of $n d$ in Wb . glosses cf. Pedersen : Aspirationen i Irsk, p. IIo.
    ${ }^{6}$ From Latin prandium.
    ${ }^{7}$ Strachan reads in clainnd, and compares scribinnd, Wb. 15a30. For form, cf. W. plant, L. planta.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. Strachan, Zeitschr. Celt. Phil. iv. p. 55.
    ${ }^{3}$ A phonetic spelling, the derivation of the word having been overlooked.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1} m b$ is generally written $m m$ in unaccented syllables in Wb . Cf. the instances given above and 8 bg immidrádi, 5 a 5 immarchor chore, but roai2.
    ${ }^{2}$ In Stokes' edition for the Bradshaw Society $n d$ is restored.
    ${ }^{3}$ KZ. xxxvii. 55.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Félire. On the other hand torand 26 b 12, 15, etc.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. $m p$ for $m b$ in the Würzburg glosses.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. $a u, \mathrm{p} .70, \mathrm{n} .3$, and their treatment of aui above. Cf. also Strachan in RC. xx. 191, 295, and Zeit. Celt. Phil. iv. 470, "in an isolated point like the use of forsa not fora it seems more archaic than Wb."
    ${ }^{3}$ But cf. in Modern Irish $n+$ adjectival suffix $d a$ remaining $n d$, thus miondaigheacht. In sean-duine, however, $n d>n n$, pron. seannuine. Cf. éanduine, pr. éannuine.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Thes. Pal. Hib. i. 494 and foll.

    * Most probably original $n n$.

[^75]:    1 "Perhaps for Lathreg Finden, gen. sg. of Finnio, infra 1o6b. If so, lathreg is a fem. form of the masc. (or neut.) lathrach."-Stokes.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Uinniani, Carlsruhe Beda; Finnio, in the Stowe Missal.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Thurneysen, CZ. p. 346, and v. above the sources, \& 15 .
    ${ }^{4}$ Mon. Germ. Hist. Epistolae, iii. 156, and v. CZ. i. 346.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. Finnbary, A.U. 702.
    ${ }^{6}$ A similar ending occurs in Macnio 708, 779, Maccnia 7oI, but g. macniadh 751, Cathnio 769, Cathnia 793. But must we necessarily take Finnio, Vennianus as a derivative from find-?

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ con + cath. . ${ }^{2}$ MS. Dūchā, leg. Dunchatho ?
    ${ }^{3}$ There may be a double accent on these words. With Sithmaith cf. Coblaith 730, 770, etc., Bodbraith 773, Forbflaith 779, but Gormlaidh 947.
    ${ }^{4}$ This appears to be the MS. reading : foroiret-Hennessy.
    ${ }^{5}$ Kingarth in Bute-Hennessy.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ With accent also on -daith. ${ }^{2}$ To rhyme with cath.
    ${ }^{3}$ Except poetical forms such as foroireth.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sic leg. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Accented on first syllable.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eliuim, R.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Monum. German. Epistol. iii. printed by Mommsen, Chronica Minora iii. p. 2 .

[^80]:    ${ }^{3}$ Outside the Glosses and the Félire $l d$ seems to be the only unassimilated group which is frequently met with in Old Irish documents.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Irish $l$ is now doubled after $r$, but the change of $r l$ to $l l$ did not, in general, take place. There is, however, a tendency among individuals to pron. $r l$ in tharla as $l l$.
    ${ }^{2}$ We have doubling of $l$ before $t$ in Taillten 829, etc., as in Mod. Irish.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Zupitza, CZ. iii. 275 sq. and 59r sq. ; Pedersen, Vergl. Gram. §37.

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ Change of final vowels I deal with separately under Phonology.

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the accus. a baile, a may stand for poss. pron. Otherwise we must attribute it to confusion of gender.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Strachan, Mid. Ir. Declension, p. 208, for further examples from LL.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. instance (acc.) at 9 r 6 above.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Thurneysen, CZ. iv. 200 sq.; Zimmer, Zeitschr. f. deutsches Altertum, xxxv. $1,172$.
    ${ }^{5}$ The Story of Mor Mumhan.

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ Leg. niurt, the same line has (in H) a ct. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Also dorus in nom.
    ${ }^{3} U d h$ and $a d h$ were probably pronounced the same about then. Cf. innarbad 105I (M. S. reading I have not noted). The modern form is éalódh or éalógh.

    4' In the place of.' For don cf. M1. IIIb23 ciadud failci don, 'though it yields ground'; M1. r3Ib2, nad tarlaic don; M1. 35cr, codufailcedón; Turin 99, dofarlaic don, 'he has yielded ground'. We have here possibly the origin of the Modern Irish, i ndan, 'fit to,' 'able to'; that is, 'in a position to'. The development of meaning is quite possible. Cf. Ger. im Stande. For change of

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ From O. Norse jarl, cf. A. S. eorl, W. iarll. The above is the earliest occurrence of the word in Irish that I have noted. Cf. n. dual iarla 917.
    ${ }^{2}$ Drong has here still its old declension. ${ }^{3}$ There is alliteration here.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. d. pl. lathraigib 891; v. Hogan's Irish Neuter Substantives, pp. 171-8r, and Stokes, BB. xi. 97 seq.
    ${ }^{2}$ This Aedan is called Aegthan in the Saxon Chronicle, 603.

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mac Airechtaigh is anglicized Geraghty.
    ${ }^{2}$ A change of declension g. Amalngado 592, here, in reality, indeclinable.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Ainfcellach, F. M. Ainbhcellach.
    ${ }^{4}$ Notice palatalization in $R$ version.
    ${ }^{5}$ The commoner usage is the Latin quies.
    ${ }^{6}$ Chron. Scot. has Brenaind 575 ; at 576 it has also Brenainn and Brenuinn for the equivalent of the A.U. entry at 600 .
    ${ }^{7}$ Cathal $=$ Cath + ual-, W. Kadwal. But d. Chathul LL. 183b58.
    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Cf}$. declension of aue.
    ${ }^{9}$ In the Cambrian Annals he is Kinan, a king of the Britons.
    ${ }^{10}$ Chron. Scot. has Connadh Cerr. This is the origin of the well-known surname Ker, Kerr.
    ${ }^{11}$ Indeclinable as a name.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ Domnall $=$ *Domno uallos, $<$ *Dumnoualnos "ruler of the world". W. Dyfnwal, early W. Dumngual.
    ${ }^{2}$ Change to g. duine 866 , etc. See change of declension, $\S 165$.
    ${ }^{3}$ A Latinized form.
    ${ }^{4}$ This I take to be from a masculine form Erc rather than a change of declension from the older gen. Erce.

    5 " Wolf-loving."
    ${ }^{6}$ Leg. Fidhchain and cf. Baile Ui bhFiadhchain = Newport, Co. Mayo.
    ${ }^{7}$ Strachan, Middle Irish Declension compares n. pl. fornocht, Y.B.L. 5ob33, and thinks it may be a way of expressing the non-palatal $c h$ beside the palatal $t$ as in gen. of bocht in Modern Irish.
    ${ }^{8}$ Words in -én do not generally show any change in the genitive and hence appear indeclinable.
    ${ }^{9}$ Neuter, cf. d. sing. du lathruch da Arad, L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 240.
    ${ }^{10}=$ "Lake eye."
    ${ }^{11}=$ Muir + certach, "arbiter of the sea". Notice non-aspiration of $c$.
    ${ }^{12}=$ Guest-loving.

[^90]:    ${ }^{8}$ At 717, 723 Henn. prints $U a$ but H and R read simply $h$.
    ${ }^{9}$ Tigernach aue. ${ }^{10}$ At 617 in poem read aue.
    ${ }^{11}$ Sic in MS. H.

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Mael- is pronounced Mul, Muil- with accent on the following syllable.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. g. Bile 694, 824, d. Biliu 713.

    * Doubtful instance.

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ An epithet.
    ${ }^{3}$ For pl. see Diph. oi, § 39.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. g. Fergail 763 (poem).
    ${ }^{2}$ It has L. fundata in agreement with it.
    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{Cf}$. § 22 for later development of ending -éne.

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. g. Mochloingse 7ro. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cf. $u$ in Dat. note 2, § 142.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{v}$. change of declension.
    4'South of the Succ'(?). Cf. desgabair, des abaind. Hennessy reads deissoicc 'of the south'. But RC xviii. 158 n . in $t$ Suca, L. Ardm. flumen Succae, Keating g. Shuca, BB 23a, g. pl. na tri Succa.
    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{v}$. change of $-a e$ to $-a$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Leg. thuirend to rhyme with muilend, MS. muilind.
    ${ }^{7}$ With a singular verb.

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ Notlac, R.
    ${ }^{2}$ Earlier deac ; cf. deac 803, 846, 865, which was dissyllabic $<{ }^{*}$ dvi-penque. It had already become dec at $847,849,947$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. L. Ardm. Thes. ii. 259, 263.

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ Words with -gus are a very common type of $u$-stems.
    ${ }^{2}$ This was first written $A u b o$ in H with $l$ afterwards written through the $o$ and then an 0 . Should we compare g. ablae 788, 790 ?
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. cathu SR 4077, and notice $-a$ for $-u$ (bis). For ending $-a$ cf. Sg. 197ail ilgotha.

    4See change of th to $d(h) . \quad{ }^{5}$ G. Fheidhlim in late hand 604.
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{R}$ has Ferguis, the modern form.

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ mac Meirminn, King of Wales. Cf. Rōdri, Annales Cambriae, A.d. 877 (Ir. Ruaidhri 877).
    ${ }^{2}$ See below, § 164.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Ratho both 816, which may be a genitive plural.
    ${ }^{4}$ Sic H. R. The fall of final $d h$ is remarkable.
    ${ }^{5}=$ " The bright red eyebrow."
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. g. Granairit 769 , which refers to a different place ; Graneret, Tirechan's Notes.
    ${ }^{7}$ Here a weakening of vowel of the unaccented syllable.
    ${ }^{8}$ Notice weakening of final -ae to $-a$.
    ${ }^{9}$ This may be a gen. pl., which would require it to be an o-stem.
    ${ }^{10}$ This Hennessy takes as gen. pl.
    ${ }^{11}$ The F.M. write the name Sliabh Toadh and Chron. Scot. Toath (or Taeth). LL. Toad.

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Instances in a late hand I do not take into account.
    ${ }^{2}$ A dative Temhraigh occurs at 839 , but this is possibly due to later Irish influence. There is a spelling of $g h$ for $d h(d)$ in the same line.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ Coro-brisetur, R. Notice the change to the -dur (-dar) plural. There are some other instances in the irth cent.
    ${ }^{2}$ For earlier ternsat, cf. terna 755 from to-ess-ro-sni.
    ${ }^{3}$ In O. Ir. with ro infixed; cf. Wb. roarr amal fondrodil, forodlad, LU. 58a26, forodail Scél M. Mic Datho.
    ${ }^{4}$ Leg. ro-fhaid.
    ${ }^{5}$ Notice loss of infixed pronoun. in lucht is a new expression.
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Cf}$. $\S 4^{8} ; t$ for $t h$, note 4 .
    ${ }^{7}$ Sochaidi may have been taken as sing.
    ${ }^{8}$ Analysis fo-lagaim (?) ; cf. M1. 43d5 fo-ralaig (fo-ad-lag-), 'had prostrated '.

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Zimmer, KZ. xxxvi. 463 sq., Thurneysen, KZ. xxxvi. 52 sq., Strachan, Action and Time in the Ir. Verb, Trans. Phil. Soc. 1899-1900, p. 408 sq. By about Iooo the perfect had come to be used for the preterite as a narrative tense.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. cor-innriset 865 . As there are no obvious reduplicated forms, I have treated it with the s-preterite and perfect.

[^100]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Cf}$. also the use of co (causal) with the subjunctive in such phrases as conairladaigthe, gl. ut obediatis, Wb. 3bg. This co takes $n o$ and accent on the second syllable. Cf. note 3 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. nad reildissem, M1. 63di5, but nad ro-todlaigester, M1. 124d9.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Cf}$. in subj. Wb. 28dII, sro-gba cach desimrecht diit, 26b7, $0-\mathrm{ro}$-gbaid, 30b4, 9-rogbat, 6 d I , con-rochra, but con-rochomalnid et 9 -ropridchid soscele, Wb. 23b4o, ग-ro-chrete-si et ro-intsamlithe, Wb. 17a13, ग-ro-gabthe-si, M1. 39d22. Corrochraitea, Wb . 12c33, points to accentuation of ro, and it may be that the nonaccentuation of ro-in this position was only beginning.
    ${ }^{4}$ A doubtful form arrochiu (followed by $r$ in the next word) occurs at 970 (poem), rhyming with aniu.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. the position of the infixed pronoun below, § 198.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ The instance ro-thobaig 763 (poem) is probably corrupt. Dorigal has the verbal noun ending; pres. dofeich, older perf. doruich.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. doríagell, Ir. Charters in the Book of Kells, iii. I ; cf. Strachan, SR. 30.
    ${ }^{3}$ For further instances, cf. Strachan, Verbal System of SR. p. 25.
    ${ }^{4}$ For further instances, cf. Windisch, Wörterbuch.

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Strachan, The Particle Ro-, p. 87.
    ${ }^{2}$ Togal Bruidne da D. has the intermediate stage co roomid, LU. 98ar3.

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. also ar-ru-muinset, M1. goar, with depon. ending dia-ru-muinestar, Wb. 4c38, but dorumenatar, M1. 35bi8, etc.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. M1. 24aI7, ni ruforaithmenairsom (3 sing. perf.), which shows indications of a late compound. This change of conjugation in compounds of -moiniur is not to be taken as a general rule but rather an attraction into the s-deponent, which was the commonest form. We may here compare do-ru-ménatár, $\mathrm{Fl} . \mathrm{Br}$. (LU.), Wi. 82, which has the oldest form of the word. Cf. foraithmenatar, ib. 86.
    ${ }^{3}$ For instances, v. Strachan, Verbal System of SR, pp. 24, 25.
    ${ }^{4}$ The change to the s-perfect in cor-innriset 865 I have referred to above.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. Zimmer, Zeitschr. f. Deutsches Alterthum, xxxv. 43, and Pr. Akademie der Wissenschaften (Igo8), IIO2.

    6 ' It seems to me that it was a cow that licked it.'

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cachain occurs in The Voyage of Bran, and, if I recollect aright, also in M1.
    ${ }^{2}$ In dealing with these Middle Irish poets we must be on our guard against their artificial poetic language as distinguished from the popular spoken language and that of unaffected prose literature.
    ${ }^{3}$ Also by such perfects as ara-rui-chiuir, M1. 136a8. Cf, note on foruraithminsit, M1. I35ar, in the preceding paragraph.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ From to-fo-ro-od-ber, "to attack," "make for". The more usual form of the compound is in forropartar (sic) 869, fusruapartadur 916.
    ${ }^{2}$ sderbailt, R.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. facbais, Fl. Bric. (LU.), Windisch, par. 67. For a similar condition of things in the present, see next paragraph.
    ${ }^{4}$ Such as adeir, 'deir, 'tchi (=ad-chi), 'gheobhfaidh (fut., fo-géb-), but nach n-abruigheann, nach bhfeiceann, nach bfhuighidh.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Wb. 15d4o, nach-in-rogba, M1. 93dro, huare nach-an-soirainni. With pronouns of the ist and and plur. the sing. form is used; cf. cotobsechfider, Wb. ga23, etc. Cf. also note on romarbad in next paragraph.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sergl. Con. (Windisch i. 208) has the -in form in the imperative nach-ingliasid.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. further M1. 42C12, dusnucai, etc.
    ${ }^{4}$ v. Strachan, The Infixed Pronoun, Eriu i.

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ Strachan, CZ. iv. 68, contrasts Wb. 2Id9, ismóa dongnisom oldaas dontlucham, but perhaps we should translate is mó a ghnios sé ná a iarras sinn ( = iarramuid), 'He does more than what we ask,' rather than 'He does it more than we ask it,' taking the $n$ as relative $n$. Cf. also Wb. 32a25, bid mó dongenaesiu oldaas rofoided cucut. We may also compare ni maith rombatar frim (Tales from the Tain, p. 4)-lit. 'it is not good that they were towards me' $=$ 'they were not kind to me,' etc. We find the dental form -dos- common in Mid. Ir. ; thus SR 4653 ro-dos-terbaiset 4655 , ro-das-faidset, etc.
    ${ }^{2}$ But (in passive form) in Wb. con romiccad 28aro.
    ${ }^{3}=$ con-did-fo-ro-od-sailc ; cf. dunforsailc M1. 125a9, donforsailced 118d20, doforsailced 13rdi.

[^108]:    "The writer gives ample details concerning wages and other features connected with typical mills . . . and the information thus gathered is of interest and value to the factory operative as well as the student and economist."-Cotton Factory Times.
    "Mr. Uttley describes how he visited the mills in various States in a very systematic and detailed manner. Altogether the report makes an admirable and welcome collection of information, and will be found on many occasions worthy of reference."-T'extile Mercury.

[^109]:    "Professor Dewsnup's book on the housing problem consists of three distinct parts, each of which is a valuable contribution to economic science. In Part I, Professor Dewsnup tries to give a clear and definite account of the evil with which authorities in England are called upon to cope. Avoiding all special pleading and all evidence of the sensational kind which is apt to give a false idea of the extent and intensity of the evil of overcrowding, he does not on the other hand fall into the error of minimizing the evil.
    "In Part II, Professor Dewsnup gives a most excellent and welldigested summary of the legislation which has been passed by Parliament since 1851 to cope with the evils of overcrowded houses, and of overcrowded areas.
    "In Part III, the strictly informational and statistical work of the previous parts is utilized by the author to support his own conclusions as to the best methods of dealing with the problem of overcrowding.
    "Whether or not the reader agrees with Professor Dewsnup in the conclusions he draws from his data, every student of economics must be grateful to him for the accuracy and care which have gone into the collection and arrangement of his material."-The American Political Science Review, vol. iii, No. 1, February, 1909.

[^110]:    "The large amount of information gathered has been carefully arranged. . . . The work is a worthy one, interesting to the general reader, and valuable to the captain of commerce, and inevitably suggests the desirability of having the remaining countries of the Continent similarly surveyed . . . . this volume, which is well worth careful study by all who are interested in the social and economic conditions of textile workers abroad."-The Cotton Factory Times.
    "This volume may be heartily commended to the attention of all persons interested in every phase of cotton mill economics, and we congratulate Mr. Besso on the admirable manner in which he has set forth the results of his painstaking investigations. In these days of international comparisons, a series of volumes dealing in this way with every industrial country would be of considerable value to students of industrial and commercial affairs."-The Textile Mercury.
    ". . . the facts and statistics the author marshals so clearly a skilled investigator. For the rest, this volume does infinite credit alike to the author and to his University."-Morning Leader.

[^111]:    "We cordially recommend this most workmanlike, and extremely valuable addition to pedagagogic literature." -Education.
    " Miss Dodge has much of interest to say on the limitations and defects of history-teaching in girls' schools, but the real contribution of this book is its revelation of how the history lesson can be made a living thing."
    -Glasgow Herald.
    "Gives a clear and detailed account of two well-organised schemes of historical teaching in Germany."

