

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

A breach of fair and equitable treatment is alleged in almost every investor-state dispute. It has therefore become a controversial norm, which touches many questions at the heart of general international law. Roland Kläger sheds light on these controversies by exploring the deeper doctrinal foundations of fair and equitable treatment and reviewing its contentious relationship with the international minimum standard. The norm is also discussed in light of the fragmentation of international law, theories of international justice and rational balancing, and the idea of constitutionalism in international law. In this vein, a shift in the way of addressing fair and equitable treatment is proposed by focusing on the process of justificatory reasoning.

ROLAND KLÄGER is currently a law clerk at the Higher Regional Court of Frankfurt and a research assistant with Clifford Chance, Frankfurt. After his legal studies at the University of Freiburg, he received a Dr. iur. from the University of Tübingen. He was also a research fellow at the Institute for Public Law, University of Freiburg, and a visiting fellow at the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW

Established in 1946, this series produces high-quality scholarship in the fields of public and private international law and comparative law. Although these are distinct legal sub-disciplines, developments since 1946 confirm their interrelations.

Comparative law is increasingly used as a tool in the making of law at national, regional and international levels. Private international law is now often affected by international conventions, and the issues faced by classical conflicts rules are frequently dealt with by substantive harmonisation of law under international auspices. Mixed international arbitrations, especially those involving state economic activity, raise mixed questions of public and private international law, while in many fields (such as the protection of human rights and democratic standards, investment guarantees and international criminal law) international and national systems interact. National constitutional arrangements relating to 'foreign affairs', and to the implementation of international norms, are a focus of attention.

The Series welcomes works of a theoretical or interdisciplinary character, and those focusing on the new approaches to international or comparative law or conflicts of law. Studies of particular institutions or problems are equally welcome, as are translations of the best work published in other languages.

General Editors

James Crawford SC FBA

Whewell Professor of International Law, Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge

John S. Bell FBA

Professor of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press,
New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521197717

© Roland Kläger 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Kläger, Roland, 1982–

'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law / Roland Kläger.

p. cm. – (Cambridge Studies in International and Comparative Law)

ISBN 978-0-521-19771-7 (hardback)

1. Arbitration and award, International. 2. Investments, Foreign
(International law). 3. Investments, Foreign – Law and
legislation. 4. Arbitration and award, International. I. Title.

K2400.K53 2011

346'.092–dc22

2010044499

ISBN 978-0-521-19771-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to
in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such
websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Meinen Eltern und der Familie

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Dissertation of the Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xv
<i>Table of cases</i>	xvi
<i>Table of treaties</i>	xxiv
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xxxvi
1 Introduction	1
Part I The construction of fair and equitable treatment	7
2 Fundamentals for the construction of fair and equitable treatment	9
A Conventional basis of fair and equitable treatment	9
1 No reference to fair and equitable treatment	9
2 Hortatory references to fair and equitable treatment	11
3 Legally binding references to fair and equitable treatment	13
(a) Conventional variations of fair and equitable treatment	14
(b) Fair and equitable treatment in combination with other standards	15
(c) Fair and equitable treatment combined with a reference to general international law	17
(d) Fair and equitable treatment combined with a reference to customary international law	18
(e) Fair and equitable treatment contingent on domestic law	20

viii CONTENTS

(f)	Conclusion: increasing variety of treaty language	21
B	Institutional, economic and functional basis of fair and equitable treatment	22
1	International investment process	23
(a)	Underlying ideologies	23
(b)	The proliferation of international investment agreements	24
(c)	The dynamics of investment treaty arbitration	26
2	The effectiveness of international investment agreements	28
3	Functionality of investment arbitration	32
(a)	Methodological approaches to fair and equitable treatment	32
(b)	The function of arbitrators	35
C	Fair and equitable treatment in light of the general rules of interpretation	38
1	Recapitulating the general rules of interpretation	38
2	Applying the general rules of interpretation	40
(a)	Literal meaning of fair and equitable treatment	41
(b)	Adjacent context	42
(c)	Object and purpose	43
3	Underdetermination of the general rules of interpretation	45
3	Fair and equitable treatment and the international minimum standard	48
A	The emergence of a controversy	48
1	The international minimum standard	48
2	The equating approach	55
3	The plain meaning approach	59
B	Discussion concerning Article 1105 of the NAFTA	61
1	Jurisprudence up to the FTC note of interpretation	62
(a)	The <i>Metalclad</i> and <i>S.D. Myers</i> approach	62
(b)	The <i>Pope & Talbot</i> final merits award	67
2	FTC note of interpretation on Article 1105(1) of the NAFTA	70
3	Debate after the FTC note of interpretation	72
(a)	Legitimacy of the FTC note of interpretation	72
(b)	Evolutionary character of the customary minimum standard	74
C	External reception of the NAFTA discussion	76
1	The controversy in non-NAFTA jurisprudence	77

	(a) <i>Occidental Exploration & Production Co. (OEPC) v. Ecuador</i>	77
	(b) <i>CMS Gas Transmission Co. v. Argentina</i>	79
	(c) <i>Saluka Investments BV v. Czech Republic</i>	81
	(d) <i>Azurix Corp. and others v. Argentina</i>	83
2	The disappearance of a controversy?	85
4	The role of international law in the construction of fair and equitable treatment	89
A	Fragmentation and international investment law	89
B	Impulses from international law for the construction of fair and equitable treatment	93
1	General clauses as gateways	93
2	The gateway character of fair and equitable treatment	94
3	Gateway examples	97
	(a) Case concerning <i>Oil Platforms</i>	97
	(b) <i>Mox Plant</i> dispute	98
	(c) European Court of Human Rights	99
	(d) Article XX of the GATT	100
C	Systemic integration of international law arguments	101
1	Relevant rules of international law	102
	(a) <i>Lex specialis</i> rules	102
	(b) The matter of limited jurisdiction	103
2	Applicability between the parties	107
3	Inter-temporality	108
D	Conclusion: the integrative construction of fair and equitable treatment	110
Part II The concept of fair and equitable treatment		113
5	Conceptual challenges	115
A	Conceptual problems in arbitral jurisprudence	115
1	<i>Topoi</i> in arbitral jurisprudence	116
2	Quality of the concept	119
B	Conceptual suggestions from legal scholarship	121
1	Fair and equitable treatment as a 'standard'	122
2	Fair and equitable treatment as an embodiment of the rule of law	125
6	Fair and equitable treatment and justice	129
A	Fair and equitable treatment as an embodiment of justice	129

X CONTENTS

1	Connections between fair and equitable treatment and justice	129
2	The rise of justice in international legal relations	132
3	Theories of international justice	135
	(a) Cosmopolitanism	135
	(b) Communitarism	137
	(c) Rawls' <i>Law of Peoples</i>	138
B	Franck's theory on fairness in international law	140
1	Legitimacy	141
2	Equity	142
3	Fairness discourse	144
C	Fairness discourse on fair and equitable treatment	146
1	Stages of a legal discourse	146
2	Aspects of stability and change	148
3	The imperative of balancing	151
7	Principles of fair and equitable treatment	154
A	Substantive principles	154
1	Sovereignty	154
	(a) Meaning of sovereignty in the context of international investment law	155
	(b) Sovereignty in arbitral jurisprudence	159
	(c) The relative weight of sovereignty	163
2	Legitimate expectations	164
	(a) The relevance of expectations in general	165
	(b) Investors' expectations in arbitral jurisprudence	169
	(i) Stability of the overall legal framework	169
	(ii) Stability in the administrative conduct	175
	(iii) Stability in the contractual relationship	180
	(c) The relative weight of investors' expectations	186
3	Non-discrimination	187
	(a) General meaning of non-discrimination	188
	(b) Non-discrimination in arbitral jurisprudence	189
	(c) The relative weight of non-discrimination	195
4	Sustainable development	197
	(a) Emergence and meaning of sustainable development	197
	(b) Fair and equitable treatment and sustainable development	203
	(c) Sustainable development in arbitral jurisprudence	206
	(d) The relative weight of sustainable development	211
B	Procedural principles	213

1	Fair procedure	213
	(a) General concepts of procedural fairness	214
	(b) Procedural fairness in arbitral jurisprudence	217
	(i) Denial of justice	217
	(ii) Administrative due process	225
	(c) The relative weight of procedural fairness	227
2	Transparency	227
	(a) General meaning of transparency	228
	(b) Transparency in arbitral jurisprudence	230
	(c) The relative weight of transparency	234
C	The structure, intensity and rationality of review	235
1	Proportionality as structural element	236
	(a) General meaning and function of proportionality	236
	(b) Proportionality in arbitral jurisprudence	240
2	Intensity of review	246
	(a) Variability of the intensity of review	246
	(b) Intensity of review in times of economic crisis	248
3	Rationality deficits	250
	(a) Structural bias in investment treaty arbitration	251
	(b) Rationality of balancing and legal politics	253
D	Conclusion: principled fairness	256
Part III The position of fair and equitable treatment in the international legal system		259
8	Fair and equitable treatment in the system of international law sources	261
A	The categorisation of fair and equitable treatment	261
	1 Fair and equitable treatment as a purely conventional norm?	262
	2 Fair and equitable treatment as a norm of customary law?	265
	3 Fair and equitable treatment as a general principle of law?	271
B	The principles of fair and equitable treatment and the sources of international law	273
	1 The general characteristics of principles	273
	2 The normative status of the principles of fair and equitable treatment	277
9	Fair and equitable treatment in the system of international investment law	281

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xii CONTENTS

A	Interplay with other standards of investment protection	281
1	National treatment	281
	(a) Meaning of national treatment	281
	(b) Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	285
2	Most-favoured-nation treatment	286
	(a) Meaning of most-favoured-nation treatment	286
	(b) Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	287
3	Arbitrary or discriminatory measures	288
	(a) Meaning of arbitrary or discriminatory measures	288
	(b) Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	290
4	Full protection and security	291
	(a) Meaning of full protection and security	291
	(b) Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	293
5	Expropriation	295
	(a) Meaning of expropriation	295
	(b) Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	296
6	Umbrella clause	299
	(a) Meaning of the clause	299
	(b) Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	302
B	Fair and equitable treatment between dispensability and master norm	303
1	Fair and equitable treatment as an absolute obligation?	304
2	Fair and equitable treatment as a gap-filling obligation?	306
3	Fair and equitable treatment as an overriding obligation?	308
C	Conclusion: fair and equitable treatment and the idea of constitutionalism	310
10	Conclusion	317
	<i>Bibliography</i>	321
	<i>Index</i>	353

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Foreword

International investment law has grown considerably in importance in recent years, as evidenced by the great increase in the number of international investment agreements, in the scholarly literature and even in the number of awards. Nevertheless, the doctrinal foundations of international investment law have remained highly contested: it is easier to draw up a list of disputed than agreed propositions. Dr Kläger's work seeks to address this problem in respect of fair and equitable treatment, a central norm of international investment law. In doing so he discusses fair and equitable treatment in relation to general theories of international law, legal method and even international justice.

In Part I he argues that exploring these doctrinal foundations gives a broader justificatory basis to the fair and equitable treatment standard and thereby conduces to greater consistency and legal certainty. This contrasts with a persistent trend of opinion that fair and equitable treatment is irreducibly vague, and that it authorises international tribunals to conduct an 'all things considered' examination of host State action or inaction. On this view, arguments derived from the general rules of interpretation are of little use in the application of fair and equitable treatment: the only important question is what the current tribunal decides happened and whether it was – at some adjectival level – unfair or inequitable to the investor. By way of reaction, other tribunals (notably in *Glamis Gold*) have constricted the meaning of the formula to an outdated and excessively rigid version of an international minimum standard, based on cases (especially *Neer*) involving a distinct factual matrix. The oversimplification of traditional approaches towards fair and equitable treatment highlights the growing disunity of the law.

The discussion of 'fragmentation', as it has come to be called (as if international law had once been unfragmented and immaculate),

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xiv FOREWORD

suggests an alternative. Dr Kläger suggests ways to integrate arguments from other sub-systems of international law into international investment law. On this view, vague provisions like fair and equitable treatment serve as gateway clauses allowing a systemic exchange between different sub-systems.

Part II looks at the actual argumentation of arbitral tribunals and the ideas of justice behind their decisions. The notion of fair and equitable treatment already implies an affiliation to underlying perceptions of justice. By reviewing international theories of justice, he argues that the application of fair and equitable treatment is the result of a process of balancing of often conflicting arguments and the competing poles of stability and discretion. It is suggested that there is already a series of argumentation patterns or *topoi* which may be considered as sub-elements or principles of fair and equitable treatment. These principles are further explored with respect to their comparative law background, their contours in arbitral jurisprudence as well as their role and weight in decision making. Thereby, it is argued that the structure, intensity and rationality of arbitral review may converge to achieve a convincing construction of fair and equitable treatment.

In a final Part, the book tries to assess the impact of this conceptual scheme of fair and equitable treatment in the broader context of the international legal system. The author argues that, within the system of international law sources, fair and equitable treatment has not undergone transformation of status so as to become a conventional norm: in other words, he rejects the customary law character of fair and equitable treatment. Nevertheless, the principles underlying the idea of fair and equitable treatment disclose an emerging justificatory deep-structure that resembles some elements of a process of constitutionalisation in this area of law.

This is a valuable attempt to give some rigour to a term which has sometimes seemed devoid of meaning and a jurisdiction consequently controversial and insecure. Whether one shares its conclusions, its aim is surely right – and its appearance in Cambridge Studies in International and Comparative Law consequently to be welcomed.

James Crawford
Lauterpacht Centre for International Law
University of Cambridge

20 April 2011

Acknowledgements

As with probably most first books, this work is the result of my personal and legal education. As such, many people have contributed to the fact that this book has been written and that the foundations for writing it have been laid. Among those, especially Prof. Dr Martin Nettesheim as my doctoral advisor at the University of Tübingen has accompanied the work on this book by his excellent supervision and great wisdom. His encouragement has continuously incited and enriched the laborious process of writing. I am equally grateful to Prof. em. Dr Dr h.c. Wolfgang Graf Vitzthum, LL.M., for his complaisant and rapid correction of the text. Furthermore, I am indebted to Prof. Dr Jürgen Schwarze and his entire team for providing me with an intellectual home at the University of Freiburg for over two years. During this time, I not only learned a lot about European and international law, but also benefited hugely from the great atmosphere at his chair. I am also deeply obliged to Prof. James Crawford, SC, FBA, for admitting my book to this book series and for writing such an enlightening and kind foreword.

Moreover, I would like to thank Tillmann Rudolf Braun, MPA, and Joachim Steffens for inducting me into the secrets of bilateral investment treaty negotiations at the German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology. Another important and inspiring experience in the course of toiling over this book has been a stay at the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law at the University of Cambridge. I was fortunate to be a Visiting Fellow at the Centre, offering a great opportunity to have a stimulating exchange with international law scholars from all over the world. Additionally, I am grateful to Isolde Zeiler for her organisational support, as well as to Lewis Enim for language advice. Finally, I would like to thank my parents, my brother, the entire family and Christine Löhr for enabling my academic expeditions and for keeping me grounded at the same time.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Table of cases

Permanent Court of International Justice

The Case of the S.S. 'Lotus' (France v. Turkey), Judgment of 7 September 1927, PCIJ Series A. 10 (1927), p. 133

International Court of Justice

Ambatielos (Greece v. United Kingdom), Judgment of 19 May 1953, ICJ Reports (1953), p. 287

Anglo-Iranian Oil Co. (United Kingdom v. Iran), Judgment of 22 July 1952, ICJ Reports (1952), p. 287

Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Co. Ltd (Belgium v. Spain), Judgment of 5 February 1970, ICJ Reports (1970), p. 60

Case Concerning the Territorial Dispute (Libya v. Chad), Judgment of 3 February 1994, ICJ Reports (1994), p. 40

Elektronica Sicula SpA ELSI (USA v. Italy), Judgment of 20 July 1989, ICJ Reports (1989), p. 57, 289, 292

Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v. Slovakia), 25 September 1997, ICJ Reports (1997), p. 200

Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. USA), Judgment of 27 June 1986, ICJ Reports (1986), p. 97, 159, 265

North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (Federal Republic of Germany v. Denmark; Federal Republic of Germany v. Netherlands), Judgment of 20 February 1969, ICJ Reports (1969), p. 130, 266

Nuclear Tests Case (Australia v. France), Judgment of 20 December 1974, ICJ Reports (1974), p. 214

Oil Platforms (Iran v. USA), Judgment of 6 November 2003, ILM 42 (2003), p. 97, 103

Pulp Mills on the River Uruguay (Argentina v. Uruguay), Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures, Order of 13 July 2006,

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

available at: www.icj-cij.org/docket/files/135/11235.pdf, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 202

Sovereignty over Pulau Ligitan and Pulau Sipadan (Indonesia v. Malaysia), Judgment of 17 December 2002, ICJ Reports (2002), p. 39

International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

The Mox Plant Case (Ireland v. United Kingdom), Order on Provisional Measures of 3 December 2001, ILM 41 (2002), p. 98

Permanent Court of Arbitration

Dispute Concerning Access to Information under Article 9 of the OSPAR Convention (Ireland v. United Kingdom), Award of 2 July 2003, ILM 42 (2003), p. 98

Iron Rhine Railway (Belgium v. Netherlands), Award of 24 May 2005, available at: www.pca-cpa.org/showpage.asp?pag_id=1155, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 201

Madame Chevreau (France v. United Kingdom), Award of 9 June 1931, AJIL 27 (1933), p. 52

The Mox Plant Case (Ireland v. United Kingdom), Order on the Suspension of Proceedings on Jurisdiction and Merits of 24 June 2003, ILM 42 (2003), p. 98

WTO Dispute Settlement Body

European Communities – Measures Affecting the Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products ('EC-Biotech'), Report of the Panel of 29 September 2006, WT/DS219/R, p. 108

United States – Restrictions on Imports of Cotton and Man-made Fibre Underwear ('US-Cotton Underwear'), Report of the Appellate Body of 10 February 1997, WT/DS24/AB/R, p. 230

United States – Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products ('US-Shrimps'), Report of the Appellate Body of 12 October 1998, WT/DS58/AB/R, I.L.M. 38 (1999), p. 100, 107, 199 et seq., 230

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights

The Social and Economic Rights Action Center and the Center for Economic and Social Rights v. Nigeria ('Ogoniland Case'), Decision of 13–27 October 2001, Communication No. 155/96 (2001), available at: www1.umn.edu/humanrts/africa/comcases/155-96.html, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 201 et seq., 205

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xviii TABLE OF CASES

European Court of Human Rights*Al-Adsani v. United Kingdom [GC]*, Judgment of 21 November 2001,

Application No. 35763/97, ECHR 2001-XI, p. 99

Fogarty v. United Kingdom [GC], Judgment of 21 November 2001,

Application No. 37112/97, ECHR 2001-XI, p. 99

McElhinney v. Ireland [GC], Judgment of 21 November 2001, Application

No. 31253/96, ECHR 2001-XI, p. 99

European Court of Justice*Commission v. Ireland* ('Mox Plant'), ECJ, Case C-459/03, Judgment of

30 May 2006, [2006] ECR I-4635, p. 98

Hauptzollamt München-Mitte v. Technische Universität München, ECJ, Case

C-269/90, Judgment of 21 November 1991, [1991] ECR 5469, p. 216

Van Gend en Loos v. Administratie der Belastingen, ECJ, Case 26/62, Judgment

of 5 February 1963, [1963] ECR 3, p. 313

General Claims Commission – United States and Mexico*George W. Hopkins (USA v. Mexico)*, Award of 31 March 1926, Docket No. 39,

UN RIAA, Vol. IV, p. 65

Laura M. B. Janes and others (USA v. Mexico), Award of 16 November 1926,

UN RIAA, Vol. IV, p. 52

L.F.H. Neer and Pauline E. Neer (USA v. Mexico), Award of 25 October 1926,

Docket No. 136, UN RIAA, Vol. IV, p. 51, 55, 71 et seq., 74 et seq., 88, 210

Harry Roberts (USA v. Mexico), Award of 2 November 1926, UN RIAA,

Vol. IV, p. 52

Investment Arbitration Decisions

The decisions are usually also available at one of the following websites:

www.investmentclaims.com; <http://ita.law.uvic.ca/>; <http://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/>; or www.naftaclaims.com/ (all accessed 9 November 2010)*AES Corp. v. Argentina*, Decision on Jurisdiction of 26 April 2005, ICSID

Case No. ARB/02/17, p. 34

ADC Affiliate Ltd and ADC & ADMC Management Ltd v. Hungary, Award of

2 October 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/16, p. 115, 118, 162 et seq., 226

ADF Group Inc. v. United States, Award of 9 January 2003, ICSID Case

No. ARB(AF)/00/1, p. 74 et seq.

Aguas del Tunari SA v. Bolivia, Decision on the Respondent's Objection

to Jurisdiction of 21 October 2005, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/3, p. 46

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF CASES xix

- American Manufacturing & Trading Inc. (AMT) v. Zaire*, Award of 21 February 1997, ICSID Case No. ARB/93/1, ILM 36 (1997), p. 58, 292
- Asian Agricultural Products Ltd (AAPL) v. Sri Lanka*, Award of 21 June 1990, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, ILM 30 (1990), p. 58, 292 et seq.
- ATA Construction, Industrial and Trading Co. v. Jordan*, Award of 18 May 2010, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/2, p. 118, 224
- Robert Azinian and others v. Mexico*, Award of 1 November 1999, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/2, ILM 39 (2000), p. 62, 118, 210, 217 et seq., 224
- Azurix Corp. and others v. Argentina*, Award of 14 July 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, p. 34, 83 et seq., 289 et seq., 293 et seq., 297 et seq.
- Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret ve Sanayi AŞ v. Pakistan*, Award of 24 August 2009, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, p. 13, 116, 186, 270, 288
- BG Group Plc. v. Argentina*, Award of 24 December 2007 (UNCITRAL), p. 117, 173, 243 et seq.
- Biwater Gauff Ltd v. Tanzania*, Award of 18 July 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22, p. 116
- Champting Trading Co. and Ameritrade International Inc. v. Egypt*, Award of 27 October 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/9, p. 118, 232 et seq., 283
- Chevron Corp. and Texaco Petroleum Corp. v. Ecuador*, Interim Award of 1 December 2008 (UNCITRAL), p. 222
- CME Czech Republic BV v. Czech Republic*, Partial Award of 13 September 2001 (UNCITRAL), p. 180 et seq., 244, 293
- CME Czech Republic BV v. Czech Republic*, Final Award of 14 March 2003 (UNCITRAL), p. 180, 244, 293
- CMS Gas Transmission Co. v. Argentina*, Award on the Merits of 12 May 2005, ICSID ARB/01/8, ILM 44 (2005), p. 34, 79 et seq., 117, 171, 290
- CMS Gas Transmission Co. v. Argentina*, Decision on Annulment of 25 September 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, ILM 46 (2007), p. 80
- Compañía de Aguas de Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentina*, Decision on Annulment of 3 July 2002, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, ILM 41 (2002), p. 301
- Compañía de Aguas de Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentina*, Award of 20 August 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, p. 118, 293
- Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v. Costa Rica*, Final Award of 17 February 2000, ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, p. 296
- Consortium RFCC v. Morocco*, Award of 22 December 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/6, p. 184
- Duke Energy Electroquil Partners and Electroquil SA v. Ecuador*, Award of 12 August 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/19, p. 86, 184, 186

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

XX TABLE OF CASES

- EDF (Services) Ltd v. Romania*, Award of 2 October 2009, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/13, p. 118, 174, 244
- El Paso Energy International Co. v. Argentina*, Decision on Jurisdiction of 27 April 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/15, p. 301
- Enron Corp. and Ponderosa Assets LP v. Argentina*, Decision on Jurisdiction of 14 January 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, p. 298
- Enron Corp. and Ponderosa Assets LP v. Argentina*, Award of 22 May 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, p. 80 et seq., 117, 172 et seq., 301
- Eureko BV v. Poland*, Partial Award on Liability of 19 August 2005 (UNCITRAL), p. 117, 181 et seq., 192 et seq., 301
- Marvin Roy Feldman Karpa v. Mexico*, Award of 16 December 2002, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/1, ILM 42 (2003), p. 118, 222, 231, 283, 298 et seq.
- Piero Foresti, Laura de Carli and others v. South Africa*, Registered on 8 January 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/01, p. 92
- GAMI Investments Inc. v. Mexico*, Final Award of 15 November 2004 (UNCITRAL), ILM 44 (2002), p. 73, 170 et seq.
- Alex Genin, Eastern Credit Ltd Inc. and AS Baltoil v. Estonia*, Award of 25 June 2001, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2, p. 33, 58, 83, 118, 190, 211, 225
- Glamis Gold Ltd v. United States*, Award of 14 May 2009 (UNCITRAL), p. 38, 40, 75, 86, 88, 92, 210, 247
- Inceysa Vallisoletane SL v. El Salvador*, Award of 2 August 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/26, p. 212
- International Thunderbird Gaming Corp. v. Mexico*, Arbitral Award of 26 January 2006 (UNCITRAL), p. 73, 75, 117 et seq., 151, 160 et seq., 178 et seq., 225 et seq.
- International Thunderbird Gaming Corp. v. Mexico*, Separate Opinion by Thomas W. Wälde of 26 January 2006 (UNCITRAL), p. 116, 165, 179, 193
- Impregilo SpA v. Pakistan*, Decision on Jurisdiction of 22 April 2005, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/3, p. 183 et seq.
- Joy Mining Machinery Ltd v. Egypt*, Award on Jurisdiction of 6 August 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11, ILM 44 (2005), p. 184, 300
- Ronald S. Lauder v. Czech Republic*, Final Award of 3 September 2001 (UNCITRAL), p. 117, 180 et seq., 186, 190 et seq., 290, 294
- LG&E Energy Corp. and others v. Argentina*, Decision on Liability of 3 October 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/1, ILM 46 (2007), p. 40, ICSID Rev. – FILJ 21 (2006), p. 117, 172, 231, 289
- Link-Trading Joint Stock Co. v. Moldova*, Final Award of 18 April 2002 (UNCITRAL), p. 299
- Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States*, Award of 26 June 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3, ILM 42 (2003), p. 73, 118, 219 et seq.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

- Emilio Agustín Maffezini v. Spain*, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction of 25 January 2000, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, ILM 40 (2001), p. 287
- Emilio Agustín Maffezini v. Spain*, Award of 13 November 2000, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, ILM 40 (2001), p. 118, 206, 232
- MCI Power Group LC, New Turbin Inc. v. Ecuador*, Award of 31 July 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/6, p. 117, 121
- Merrill & Ring Forestry L.P. v. Canada*, Award of 31 March 2010, ICSID Administered Case, p. 86 et seq., 106, 267
- Metalclad Corp. v. Mexico*, Award of 30 August 2000, ICSID Case No. Arb (AF)/97/1, ILM 40 (2000), p. 62 et seq., 92, 104, 118, 169, 175, 230 et seq., 296, 306
- Metalpar SA and Buen Aire SA v. Argentina*, Award on the Merits of 6 June 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/5, p. 117, 173, 223
- Methanex Corp. v. United States*, Final Award on Jurisdiction and Merits of 3 August 2005 (UNCITRAL), ILM 44 (2005), p. 73, 92, 117, 191 et seq., 208, 284 et seq.
- Mondev International Ltd v. United States*, Award of 11 October 2002, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/2, ILM 42 (2003), p. 34, 73, 75, 118, 121, 181, 217 et seq., 264
- MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile SA v. Chile*, Award of 25 May 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7, ILM 44 (2005), p. 33, 41 et seq., 118, 177, 192, 211, 234, 240, 288, 294
- MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile SA v. Chile*, Decision on Annulment of 21 March 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7, p. 177
- S.D. Myers Inc. v. Canada*, First Partial Award of 13 November 2000 (UNCITRAL), ILM 40 (2001), p. 32, 62, 64 et seq., 71, 92, 104, 117, 131, 161, 189 et seq., 207, 240, 242, 246, 272, 284, 306 et seq.
- National Grid Plc. v. Argentina*, Award of 3 November 2008 (UNCITRAL), p. 41, 85, 173, 250
- Noble Ventures Inc. v. Romania*, Award of 12 October 2005, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/11, p. 181, 290, 293, 301, 308 et seq.
- Jan de Nul NV and Dredging International NV v. Egypt*, Award of 24 October 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/13, p. 221, 224
- Nykomb Synergetics Technology Holding AB v. Latvia*, Award of 16 December 2003 (Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce), p. 117, 290
- Occidental Exploration and Production Co. v. Ecuador*, Final Award in the Matter of an UNCITRAL Arbitration of 1 July 2004 (London Court of International Arbitration), Case No. UN 3467, p. 77 et seq., 80, 117, 118, 169 et seq., 284 et seq., 293, 307

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxii TABLE OF CASES

- Pan American Energy LLC and BP Argentina Exploration Co. v. Argentina* (joined case), Decision on Jurisdiction of 27 July 2006, ICSID Case Nos. ARB/03/13 and ARB/04/8, p. 298, 301
- Pantehniki SA Contractors and Engineers v. Albania*, Award of 28 July 2009, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/21, p. 212, 222
- Parkerings-Compagniet AS v. Lithuania*, Award of 11 September 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, p. 42, 92, 117, 172 et seq., 184, 193, 209, 211, 233 et seq.
- Petrobart Ltd v. Kyrgyz Republic*, Arbitral Award of 29 March 2005 (Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce), SCC Case No. 126/2003, p. 224
- Victor Pey Casado and Président Allende Foundation v. Chile*, Award of 22 April 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2, p. 118, 193, 223 et seq.
- Plama Consortium Ltd v. Bulgaria*, Decision on Jurisdiction of 8 February 2005, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/24, ICSID Rev. – FILJ 20 (2005), p. 287
- Pope & Talbot Inc. v. Canada*, Interim Award of 26 June 2000 (UNCITRAL), ILM 40 (2001), p. 68
- Pope & Talbot Inc. v. Canada*, Final Merits Award of 10 April 2001 (UNCITRAL), p. 67 et seq., 85, 118, 159 et seq., 241, 284 et seq., 288
- Pope & Talbot Inc. v. Canada*, Award on Damages of 31 May 2002 (UNCITRAL), ILM 41 (2002), p. 72 et seq.
- PSEG Global Inc. and Konya Ilgin Elektrik Üretim ve Ticaret Ltd Şirketi v. Turkey*, Award of 19 January 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/5, p. 117, 173, 290, 294, 297, 306, 309
- Rumeli Telekom SA and Telsim Mobil Telekomikasyon Hizmetleri AS v. Kazakhstan*, Award of 29 July 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/16, p. 11, 116, 269, 288
- Salini Costruttori SpA and Italstrade SpA v. Jordan*, Decision on Jurisdiction of 29 November 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/13, ILM 44 (2005), p. 287
- Saluka Investments BV v. Czech Republic*, Partial Award of 17 March 2006 (UNCITRAL), p. 40, 80, 81 et seq., 117 et seq., 121, 131, 151, 161 et seq., 186, 193, 194 et seq., 220, 231, 242 et seq., 249, 285, 289 et seq., 307
- Sempra Energy International v. Argentina*, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction of 11 May 2005, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/16, p. 301
- Sempra Energy International v. Argentina*, Award of 28 September 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/16, p. 80 et seq., 129, 131, 172 et seq., 297, 301
- Sempra Energy International v. Argentina*, Decision on Annulment of 29 June 2010, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/16, p. 38, 80
- SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v. Pakistan*, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction of 6 August 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/13, ILM 42 (2003), p. 300

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

- SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v. Philippines*, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction of 29 January 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/6, p. 300 et seq.
- Siemens AG v. Argentina*, Decision on Jurisdiction of 3 August 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, ILM 44 (2005), p. 287
- Siemens AG v. Argentina*, Award of 6 February 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, p. 184, 233, 294, 301
- Técnicas Medioambientales, TECMED SA v. Mexico*, Award of 29 May 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2, ILM 43 (2004), p. 33, 117 et seq., 131, 169, 175 et seq., 231 et seq., 242, 287, 298
- Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co. v. Libya*, Award on the Merits of 19 January 1977, ILM 17 (1978), p. 168
- United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Canada*, Award on Jurisdiction of 22 November 2002 (UNCITRAL), p. 73, 285
- United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Canada*, Award and Separate Opinion of 24 May 2007 (UNCITRAL), p. 283 et seq.
- Vattenfall AB, Vattenfall Europe AG, Vattenfall Europe Generation AG & Co. KG v. Germany*, Request for Arbitration of 30 March 2009, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/6, p. 27, 212
- Waguih Elie George Siag and Clorinda Vecchi v. Egypt*, Award of 1 June 2009, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/15, p. 116, 224
- Walter Bau AG v. Thailand*, Award of 1 July 2009 (UNCITRAL), p. 117
- Waste Management v. Mexico*, Award of 30 April 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/00/3, ILM 43 (2004), p. 34, 75, 118, 171, 182, 192, 222 et seq.
- Wena Hotels Ltd v. Egypt*, Award of 8 December 2000, ICSID Case No. Arb/98/4, ILM 41 (2002), p. 292

Domestic courts

- Czech Republic v. CME Czech Republic BV*, Svea Court of Appeals, Judgment of 15 May 2003, ILM 42 (2003), p. 180
- Mexico v. Metalclad Corp.*, Supreme Court of British Columbia, Judgment of 2 May 2001, 2001 BCSC 664, p. 62, 64, 66, 70, 104, 296
- Penn Central Transportations Co. v. City of New York*, US Supreme Court, Judgment of 26 June 1978, 438 US 104, p. 167
- Tschechische Republik v. Saluka Investments BV*, Schweizerisches Bundesgericht (Swiss Federal Tribunal), Judgment of 7 September 2006, Reference No. 4P.114/2006, available at: www.bger.ch/index/jurisdiction/jurisdiction-inherit-template/jurisdiction-recht/jurisdiction-recht-urteile/2000.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 81

Table of treaties

Multilateral treaties

- 1945 Charter of the United Nations ('UN Charter') (signed 26 June 1945, entered into force 24 October 1945); 1 UNTS 16, p. 97, 311
- 1945 Statute of the International Court of Justice ('ICJ Statute') (signed 26 June 1945, entered into force 24 October 1945); 1 UNTS 993, p. 73, 97, 102, 128, 261, 271 et seq., 277 et seq.
- 1947 General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade ('GATT') (signed 30 October 1947, entered into force 1 January 1948, amended 1 January 1995); 55 UNTS 87; available at: www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/legal_e.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 10, 64, 100, 199 et seq., 230, 238, 282 et seq.
- 1950 European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and its Protocols ('European Convention on Human Rights') (signed 4 November 1950, entered into force 3 September 1953); 213 UNTS 222, p. 54, 99, 213, 216, 218, 239
- 1965 Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States ('ICSID Convention') (signed 18 March 1965, entered into force 14 October 1966); 575 UNTS 160; ILM 4 (1965), p. 524; available at: <http://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/ICSID/RulesMain.jsp>, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 26, 38, 105
- 1966 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (signed 16 December 1966, entered into force 23 March 1976); 999 UNTS 171; ILM 6 (1967), p. 198, 216

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

- 1966 International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (signed 16 December 1966, entered into force 3 January 1976); 993 UNTS 3; ILM 6 (1967), p. 198
- 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties ('VCLT') (signed 23 May 1969, entered into force 27 January 1980); 1155 UNTS 331, p. 39 et seq., 70, 83, 97 et seq., 167
- 1969 American Convention on Human Rights (signed 22 November 1969, entered into force 28 July 1978); 1144 UNTS 143; ILM 9 (1970), p. 216
- 1972 Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage ('UNESCO World Heritage Convention') (signed 16 November 1972, entered into force 17 December 1975); 1037 UNTS 151, p. 209, 211
- 1981 African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (signed 27 June 1981, entered into force 21 October 1986); 1520 UNTS 217; ILM 21 (1982), p. 58; available at: www1.umn.edu/humanrts/instree/afriinst.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 201
- 1985 Convention establishing the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency ('MIGA Convention') (signed 11 October 1985, entered into force 12 April 1988); 1508 UNTS 99; available at: www.miga.org/quickref/index_sv.cfm?stid=1583, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 11 et seq.
- 1989 Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal ('Basel Convention') (signed 22 March 1989, entered into force 5 May 1992); 1673 UNTS 57, p. 207, 240 et seq.
- 1992 Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic ('OSPAR Convention') (signed 22 September 1992, entered into force 25 March 1998); ILM 32 (1993), p. 98
- 1992 North American Free Trade Agreement ('NAFTA') (signed 17 December 1992, entered into force 1 January 1994); ILM 32 (1993), p. 17 et seq., 32, 59, 61 et seq., 85, 95, 104 et seq., 117, 160, 170, 179 et seq., 191 et seq., 217 et seq., 230, 281, 286, 298
- 1993 Treaty establishing the Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa ('COMESA') (signed 5 November 1993, entered into force 8 December 1994); 2314 UNTS 265; ILM 33 (1994), p. 16

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxvi TABLE OF TREATIES

- 1994 General Agreement on Trade in Services ('GATS') (signed 15 April 1994, entered into force 1 January 1995); ILM 33 (1994), p. 1167; available at: www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/legal_e.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 10, 100, 282, 284, 286
- 1994 Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Investment Measures ('TRIMS') (signed 15 April 1994, entered into force 1 January 1995); 1868 UNTS 14; available at: www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/legal_e.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 10, 282
- 1994 Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights ('TRIPS') (signed 15 April 1994, entered into force 1 January 1995); ILM 33 (1994), p. 1197; available at: www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/legal_e.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 282, 286
- 1994 WTO Understanding on Rules and Procedures governing the Settlement of Disputes ('WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding') (signed 15 April 1994, entered into force 1 January 1995); ILM 33 (1994), p. 1226; available at: www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/legal_e.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 104
- 1994 Energy Charter Treaty (signed 17 December 1994, entered into force 16 April 1998); 2080 UNTS 95; ILM 34 (1995), p. 373; available at: www.encharter.org/index.php?id=28&L=0, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 15, 17, 21, 105, 206, 289, 315
- 1998 Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making, and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters ('Aarhus Convention') (signed 25 June 1998, entered into force 30 October 2001); 2161 UNTS 447; ILM 38 (1999), p. 517; available at: www.unece.org/env/pp/treatytext.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 98
- 2004 Central America-Dominican Republic-United States Free Trade Agreement ('DR-CAFTA') (signed 5 August 2004, entered into force 1 January 2009); available at: www.ustr.gov/trade-agreements/free-trade-agreements/cafta-dr-dominican-republic-central-america-fta/final-text, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 20
- 2007 Treaty on European Union ('TEU') (consolidated version as amended by the Treaty of Lisbon, signed 13 December 2007, entered into force 1 December 2009); OJ C 115 of 9 May 2008, 13, p. 239

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

- 2007 Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union ('TFEU') (consolidated version amended by the Treaty of Lisbon, signed 13 December 2007, entered into force 1 December 2009); OJ C 115 of 9 May 2008, 47, p. 315

Bilateral treaties

The texts of bilateral investment treaties are also available at: www.unctadxi.org/templates/DocSearch___779.aspx, accessed 9 November 2010

- 1955 Treaty of Amity, Economic Relations, and Consular Rights between Iran and the United States of America ('Iran-FCN Treaty') (signed 15 August 1955, entered into force 16 June 1957), 284 UNTS 93, p. 97
- 1959 Treaty for the Promotion and Protection of Investments between the Federal Republic of Germany and Pakistan ('Germany-Pakistan BIT') (signed 25 November 1959, entered into force 28 April 1962), 457 UNTS 23, p. 10, 109
- 1971 Convention entre la Confédération Suisse et la République d'Ouganda concernant l'encouragement et la protection réciproque des investissements ('Switzerland-Uganda BIT') (signed 23 August 1971, entered into force 8 May 1972), p. 18
- 1977 Agreement between Japan and the Arab Republic of Egypt concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments ('Japan-Egypt BIT') (signed 28 January 1977), p. 10
- 1983 Treaty between the United States of America and the Republic of Haiti concerning the Reciprocal Encouragement and Protection of Investment ('US-Haiti BIT') (signed 13 December 1983), p. 281
- 1984 Treaty between the United States of America and the Republic of Zaire concerning the Reciprocal Encouragement and Protection of Investments ('US-Zaire BIT') (signed 3 August 1984, entered into force 28 July 1989), p. 58
- 1986 Treaty between the United States of America and the Arab Republic of Egypt concerning the Reciprocal Encouragement and Protection of Investments ('US-Egypt BIT') (signed 11 March 1986, entered into force 27 June 1992), p. 232

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxviii TABLE OF TREATIES

- 1990 Accordo fra la Repubblica Italiana e la Repubblica Argentina sulla Promozione e la Protezione degli Investimenti ('Italy-Argentina BIT') (signed 22 May 1990), p. 15
- 1991 Agreement on Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Czech and Slovak Federal Republic ('Netherlands-Czech Republic BIT') (signed 29 April 1991, entered into force 1 October 1992); 2242 UNTS 205, p. 82, 161
- 1991 Treaty between the United States of America and the Czech and Slovak Federal Republic concerning the Reciprocal Encouragement and Protection of Investment ('US-Czech Republic BIT') (signed 22 October 1991, entered into force 19 December 1992), p. 290
- 1991 Treaty between the United States of America and the Argentine Republic concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment ('US-Argentina BIT') (signed 14 November 1991, entered into force 20 October 1994), p. 80, 84
- 1992 Agreement between the Government of the Kingdom of Norway and the Government of the Republic of Lithuania on the Promotion and Mutual Protection of Investments ('Norway-Lithuania BIT') (signed 16 August 1992), p. 15
- 1993 Treaty between the United States of America and the Republic of Ecuador concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment ('US-Ecuador BIT') (signed 27 August 1993), p. 78
- 1994 Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Estonia for the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments ('US-Estonia BIT') (signed 19 April 1994, entered into force 16 February 1997); 1987 UNTS 131, p. 58, 83
- 1995 Agreement between the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Government of the Republic of Kazakhstan for the Promotion and Protection of Investments ('UK-Kazakhstan BIT') (signed 23 November 1995, entered into force 23 November 1995); UKTS 30 (1996), Cmnd 3176, p. 270
- 1997 Bilateral Investment Treaty between the countries of the Caribbean Common Market and Cuba ('CARICOM-Cuba BIT');

- cited from: UNCTAD, *Bilateral Investment Treaties 1995–2006*, UNCTAD/ITE/IIT2006/5 (New York 2007), p. 20
- 1998 Agreement between the Government of Canada and the Government of the Republic of Costa Rica for the Promotion and Protection of Investments ('Canada–Costa Rica BIT') (signed 18 March 1998), p. 18
- 1998 Agreement between the Government of the Republic of France and the Government of the United Mexican States on the Reciprocal Promotion and Protection of Investments ('France–Mexico BIT') (signed 12 November 1998), p. 18
- 1998 Agreement on Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Federative Republic of Brazil ('Netherlands–Brazil BIT') (signed 25 November 1998), p. 291
- 1999 Agreement Between the Government of the People's Republic of China and the Government of the State of Qatar Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments ('China–Qatar BIT') (signed April 1999), p. 291
- 1999 Accord entre la Confédération suisse et la République du Chili concernant la promotion et la protection réciproque des investissements ('Switzerland–Chile BIT') (signed 24 September 1999, entered into force 2 May 2002), p. 15 et seq.
- 2000 Agreement between the Government of the Republic of Indonesia and the Government of the People's Democratic Republic of Algeria concerning the Promotion and Protection of Investments ('Indonesia–Algeria BIT') (signed 21 March 2000), p. 291
- 2000 Treaty between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Republic of Botswana concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments ('Germany–Botswana BIT') (signed 23 May 2000), p. 16
- 2001 Agreement between the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the Belgo-Luxembourg Economic Union concerning the Reciprocal Promotion and Protection of Investments ('Saudi Arabia–Belgium/Luxembourg BIT') (signed 22 April 2001), p. 16
- 2001 Agreement on Reciprocal Promotion and Protection of Investment between the Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh and the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran ('Bangladesh–Iran BIT') (signed 29 April 2001), p. 16

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxx TABLE OF TREATIES

- 2001 Agreement between the Government of the Kingdom of Cambodia and the Government of the Republic of Cuba concerning the Promotion and Protection of Investments ('Cambodia-Cuba BIT') (signed 28 May 2001), p. 16
- 2001 Agreement between the Republic of Lebanon and the Republic of Hungary for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments ('Lebanon-Hungary BIT') (signed 22 June 2001), p. 17
- 2003 United States-Chile Free Trade Agreement ('US-Chile FTA') (signed 6 June 2003, entered into force 1 January 2004); available at: www.ustr.gov/trade-agreements/free-trade-agreements/chile-fta/final-text, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 71
- 2003 Agreement between Japan and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam for the Liberalisation, Promotion and Protection of Investment ('Japan-Vietnam BIT') (signed 14 November 2003), p. 16, 283
- 2006 Acuerdo para la promoción y protección recíproca de inversiones entre el Reino de España y los Estados Unidos Mexicanos ('Spain-Mexico BIT') (signed 10 October 2006), p. 15

Other documents

- 1929 The Law of Responsibility of States for Damage Done in their Territory to the Person or Property of Foreigners, by Edwin M. Borchard ('1929 Harvard Research Draft on the Law of State Responsibility'); *AJIL Spec. Suppl.* 23 (1929), p. 215
- 1948 Havana Charter for the Establishment of an International Trade Organization ('Havana Charter') (signed 24 March 1948); excerpts reprinted in: UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium*, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. I (New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. I, p. 11
- 1948 Economic Agreement of Bogotá (signed 2 May 1948), available at: www.oas.org/juridico/english/Sigs/a-43.html, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 14
- 1948 United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights (adopted 10 December 1948); UN General Assembly Res. 217 A (III); UN Doc. A/RES/217; available at: www.un.org/documents/resga.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 54, 198

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF TREATIES xxxi

- 1957 'Second Report on International Responsibility', by Mr F. V. García Amador; UN Doc. A/CN.4/106; ILC Yearbook (1957 II), p. 104; available at: http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/documentation/english/a_cn4_106.pdf, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 53
- 1959 'Draft Convention on Investments Abroad', by Hermann J. Abs and Hartley W. Shawcross ('Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention'); *Emory Law Journal* 9 (1960), p. 116 (formerly *Journal of Public Law*); reprinted in: UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium*, UNCTAD/DITE/2(Vol. V) (New York and Geneva 2000), Vol. V, p. 21
- 1961 Draft Convention on the International Responsibility of States for Injuries to Aliens, by Louis B. Sohn and Richard R. Baxter ('1961 Harvard Draft Convention on International Responsibility'); *AJIL* 55 (1961), p. 53
- 1967 OECD Draft Convention on the Protection of Foreign Property (adopted 12 October 1967); *ILM* 7 (1968), p. 117; reprinted in: UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium*, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. II (New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. II, p. 17, 21, 56, 58
- 1970 Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations ('Friendly Relations Declaration') (adopted 24 October 1970); UN General Assembly Res. 2625 (XXV); UN Doc. A/RES/25/2625; available at: www.un.org/documents/resga.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 159
- 1972 ICC Guidelines for International Investment (adopted 29 November 1972); reprinted in: UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium*, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. III (New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. III, p. 12
- 1974 Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States (adopted 12 December 1974); UN General Assembly Res. 3281 (XXIX); UN Doc. A/RES/29/3281; *ILM* 14 (1975), p. 251; available at: www.un.org/documents/resga.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 50, 157
- 1976 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (adopted 28 April 1976); available at: www.uncitral.org/uncitral/en/uncitral_texts/arbitration/1976Arbitration_rules.html, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 26, 38, 105

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxxii TABLE OF TREATIES

- 1978 ILC Draft Articles on Most-Favoured-Nation Clauses; ILC Report A/33/10 (1978), Chapter II, p. 16; 30 *ILC Yearbook* (1978 II), p. 7; available at: http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/commentaries/1_3_1978.pdf, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 286
- 1985 Draft UN Code of Conduct on Transnational Corporations; reprinted in: UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium*, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. I (New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. I, p. 13
- 1986 Switzerland Model BIT; reprinted in: Rudolf Dolzer and Margrete Stevens, *Bilateral Investment Treaties* (The Hague: Nijhoff, 1995), p. 16
- 1987 Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development ('Brundtland Report') (adopted 11 December 1987); UN General Assembly Res. 42/187; UN Doc. A/RES/42/187; available at: www.un.org/documents/resga.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 197
- 1992 World Bank Guidelines on the Treatment of Foreign Direct Investment ('World Bank Guidelines'); ILM 31 (1992), p. 1379; available at: <http://ita.law.uvic.ca/documents/WorldBank.pdf>, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 12, 308
- 1992 US Model BIT; reprinted in: Rudolf Dolzer and Margrete Stevens, *Bilateral Investment Treaties* (The Hague: Nijhoff, 1995), p. 18
- 1992 Report of the UN Conference on Environment and Development ('Rio Declaration') (adopted 3–14 June 1992); UN Doc. A/CONF.151/26 (Vol. I); ILM 31 (1992), p. 874; available at: www.un.org/documents/ga/conf151/aconf15126-1annex1.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 98
- 1993 Netherlands Model BIT; reprinted in: Rudolf Dolzer and Margrete Stevens, *Bilateral Investment Treaties* (The Hague: Nijhoff, 1995), p. 17, 294
- 1994 Protocol of Colonia for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments in MERCOSUR ('MERCOSUR Colonia Protocol') (signed 17 January 1994); available at: www.cvm.gov.br/ingl/inter/mercosul/coloni-e.asp, accessed 9 November 2010, p.17
- 1994 Austria Model BIT; reprinted in: Rudolf Dolzer and Margrete Stevens, *Bilateral Investment Treaties* (The Hague: Nijhoff, 1995), p. 16

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF TREATIES xxxiii

- 1994 China Model BIT; reprinted in: UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium*, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. III (New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. III, p. 16
- 1994 Chile Model BIT; reprinted in: UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium*, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. III (New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. III, p. 289
- 1994 UK Model BIT; reprinted in: UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium*, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. III (New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. III, p. 17
- 1995 Pacific Basin Charter on International Investments (adopted 16 November 1995); reprinted in: UNCTAD, *International Investment Instruments: A Compendium*, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. III (New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. III, p. 12 et seq.
- 1998 Germany Model BIT; available at: www.textbuch-deutsches-recht.de/data/resources/aba2b369e78.pdf, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 16
- 1998 OECD Multilateral Agreement on Investment ('MAI') (draft consolidated text of 22 April 1998); DAF/MAI(98)7/REV1; available at: www1.oecd.org/daf/mai/pdf/ng/ng987r1e.pdf, accessed 5 July 2010, p. 17, 22
- 2000 Partnership Agreement between the Members of the African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States and the European Community and its Member States ('Cotonou Agreement') (signed 23 June 2000, entered into force April 2003, revised 25 June 2005); available at: http://ec.europa.eu/development/icenter/repository/Cotonou_EN_2006_en.pdf, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 13
- 2000 OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, Declaration on International Investment and Multinational Enterprises (signed 27 June 2000); available at: www.oecd.org/dataoecd/56/36/1922428.pdf, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 205
- 2000 UN Global Compact (launched 26 July 2000); the principles of the Global Compact are available at: www.unglobalcompact.org/AboutTheGC/TheTenPrinciples/index.html, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 205
- 2001 Notes of Interpretation of Certain Chapter 11 Provisions ('NAFTA FTC Notes of Interpretation') (adopted by the NAFTA Free Trade Commission on 31 July 2001); available at: www.international.gc.ca/trade-agreements-accords-commerciaux/

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxxiv TABLE OF TREATIES

- disp-diff/nafta-interpr.aspx?lang=en, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 19, 70 et seq., 85, 95, 191, 195, 288
- 2001 Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (adopted 12 December 2001); UN General Assembly Res. 56/83; UN Doc. A/RES/56/83; available at: www.un.org/documents/resga.htm, accessed 9 November 2010; also reprinted in: ILC Report A/56/10 (2001), Chapter IV, p. 53, 238
- 2002 Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development ('Johannesburg Declaration') (adopted at the World Summit on Sustainable Development on 4 August 2002); UN Doc. A/CONF.199/20; available at: www.un-documents.net/jburgdec.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 198
- 2004 US Model BIT; reprinted in: Campbell McLachlan, Laurence Shore and Matthew Weininger, *International Investment Arbitration* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), p. 393; also available at: www.state.gov/documents/organization/29030.doc, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 18 et seq., 105, 213, 281, 294 et seq., 298
- 2004 Canada Model BIT; available at: <http://ita.law.uvic.ca/investmenttreaties.htm>, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 19
- 2005 Germany Model BIT; reprinted in: ArchVR 45 (2007), p. 276; Campbell McLachlan, Laurence Shore and Matthew Weininger, *International Investment Arbitration* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), p. 146, 269, 282, 289
- 2006 Fragmentation of International Law: Difficulties Arising from the Diversification and Expansion of International Law: Report of the ILC Study Group of the International Law Commission, finalised by Martti Koskeniemi (13 April 2006); UN Doc. A/CN.4/L.682; available at: http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/guide/1_9.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 90
- 2006 Conclusions of the Work of the Study Group on the Fragmentation of International Law: Difficulties Arising from the Diversification and Expansion of International Law, International Law Commission; ILC Report A/61/10 (2006), Chapter XII, para. 251; available at: <http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/reports/2006/2006report.htm>, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 90

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

2007 Norway Model BIT; available at: <http://ita.law.uvic.ca/investmenttreaties.htm>, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 20

Hong Kong Model BIT; reprinted in: Rudolf Dolzer and Margrete Stevens, *Bilateral Investment Treaties* (The Hague: Nijhoff, 1995), p. 17

Sri Lanka Model BIT; reprinted in: Campbell McLachlan, Laurence Shore and Matthew Weininger, *International Investment Arbitration* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), p. 105

National documents

2003 Verwaltungsverfahrgesetz – VwVfG ('German Code of Administrative Procedure') (revised version of 23 January 2003); BGBl. I 2003, p. 102; available at: www.gesetze-im-internet.de/vwvfg/index.html, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 168 et seq.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Abbreviations

AJIL	American Journal of International Law
AJIL Spec. Suppl.	AJIL Special Supplement
ALI	The American Law Institute
Am. U. Int'l L. Rev.	American University International Law Review
Arb. Int'l	International Arbitration
ArchVR	<i>Archiv des Völkerrechts</i>
ARSP	<i>Archiv für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie</i>
ASIL	American Society of International Law
ASIL Proc.	ASIL Proceedings of the Annual Meeting
Asper Rev. Int'l Bus. & Trade L.	Asper Review for International Business and Trade Law
AWD	Außenwirtschaftsdienst des Betriebs-Beraters
BCSC	Supreme Court of British Columbia
BIICL	British Institute of International and Comparative Law
BIT	Bilateral investment treaty
Bus. L. Brief (Am. U.)	Business Law Brief (American University)
BYIL	British Yearbook of International Law
Cal. L. Rev.	California Law Review
Can. Bus. L. J.	Canadian Business Law Journal
CARICOM	Caribbean Common Market
Chicago J. Int'l L.	Chicago Journal of International Law
Chinese JIL	Chinese Journal of International Law
CMLR	Common Market Law Review
Cmdnd	Command
Colum. J. Transnat'l L.	Columbia Journal of Transnational Law
Colum. L. Rev.	Columbia Law Review

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xxxvii

COMESA	Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa
Conn. J. Int'l L.	Connecticut Journal of International Law
Cornell Int'l L.J.	Cornell International Law Journal
DR-CAFTA	Central America-Dominican Republic-United States Free Trade Agreement
Duke L.J.	Duke Law Journal
EC	European Community
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECJ	European Court of Justice
Ecology L. Q.	Ecology Law Quarterly
ECR	European Court Reports
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
ECT	Energy Charter Treaty
EJIL	European Journal of International Law
Ent. L. R.	Entertainment Law Review
EU	European Union
EuR	<i>Europarecht</i>
EuZW	<i>Europäische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsrecht</i>
FCN treaty	Treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation
FDI	foreign direct investment
Finnish YIL	Finnish Yearbook of International Law
Fla J. Int'l L.	Florida Journal of International Law
Fletcher F. World Aff.	The Fletcher Forum of World Affairs
Fordham Int'l L.J.	Fordham International Law Journal
Fordham L. Rev.	Fordham Law Review
FTA	Free Trade Agreement
FTC	Free Trade Commission
Ga L. Rev.	Georgia Law Review
GATS	General Agreement on Trade in Services
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GC	Grand Chamber
Geo. Int'l Env'tl L. Rev.	Georgetown International Environmental Law Review
Geo. Mason L. Rev.	George Mason Law Review
German L.J.	German Law Journal
GYIL	German Yearbook of International Law
Harv. Int'l L.J.	Harvard International Law Journal

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxxviii LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Harv. L. Rev.	Harvard Law Review
Hastings W.-N. W.	Hastings West-Northwest Journal of Environmental Law and Policy
J. Envtl L. & Pol'y	International Chamber of Commerce
ICC	International Council for Commercial Arbitration
ICCA	International Court of Justice
ICJ	International and Comparative Law Quarterly
ICLQ	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes
ICSID	ICSID Review – Foreign Investment Law Journal
ICSID Rev. – FILJ	international investment agreement
IIA	Institute for International Law and Justice
IIJ	International Institute for Sustainable Development
IISD	International Law Association
ILA	International Law Commission
ILC	International Legal Materials
ILM	International Labour Organization
ILO	ILSA Journal of International and Comparative Law
ILSA J. Int'l & Comp. L.	International Monetary Fund
IMF	Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies
Ind. J. Global Legal Stud.	International Arbitration Law Review
Int. ALR	International Journal of Cultural Property
Int. J. of Cultural Property	The International Lawyer
Int'l Law.	International Law Quarterly
Int'l L. Q.	International Tax & Business Lawyer
Int'l Tax & Bus. Law.	International Trade Law & Regulation
Int. TLR	Iowa Law Review
Iowa L. Rev.	International Organization for Standardization
ISO	International Trade Organization
ITO	Journal of International Economic Law
J. Int'l Econ. L.	Journal of Transnational Law & Policy
J. Transnat'l L. & Pol'y	Journal of World Investment & Trade
JWIT	

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xxxix

JWT	Journal of World Trade
JZ	<i>Juristenzeitung</i>
L. & Bus. Rev. Am.	Law and Business Review of the Americas
Law & Contemp. Probs.	Law and Contemporary Problems
Law & Pol'y Int'l Bus.	Law and Policy in International Business
Leiden J. Int'l L.	Leiden Journal of International Law
Maastricht J. Europ. & Comp. L.	Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law
MAI	Multilateral Agreement on Investment
Manchester J. Int'l Econ. L.	Manchester Journal of International Economic Law
Max Planck UNYB	Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law
MERCOSUR	Mercado Común del Cono Sur (Southern Cone Common Market)
Mich. J. Int'l L.	Michigan Journal of International Law
Mich. L. Rev.	Michigan Law Review
MIGA	Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency
Minn. J. Global Trade	Minnesota Journal of Global Trade
Minn. J. Int'l L.	Minnesota Journal of International Law
Minn. L. Rev.	Minnesota Law Review
mn.	Margin number
NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
NAFTA FTC	NAFTA Free Trade Commission
N. C. L. Rev.	North Carolina Law Review
NILR	Netherlands International Law Review
NPM	non-precluded measures
Nw. J. Int'l L. & Bus.	Northwestern Journal of International Law and Business
NYU Env'tl L. J.	New York University Environmental Law Journal
NYU J. Int'l L. & Pol.	New York University Journal of International Law and Politics
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
OSPAR	Oslo/Paris Convention (for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic)
Pace Env'tl L. Rev.	Pace Environmental Law Review

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xi LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Pac. McGeorge Bus. & Dev. L. J.	Pacific McGeorge Global Business & Development Law Journal
PCA	Permanent Court of Arbitration
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
RdC	<i>Recueil des Cours</i>
RGDIP	<i>Revue Générale de Droit International Public</i>
RIW	<i>Recht der internationalen Wirtschaft</i>
SCC	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce
SchiedsVZ	<i>Zeitschrift für Schiedsverfahren</i>
SLA	Softwood Lumber Agreement
St John's J. Legal Comment.	St John's Journal of Legal Commentary
Suffolk Transnat'l L. Rev.	Suffolk Transnational Law Review
TEU	Treaty on European Union
TDM	Transnational Dispute Management
Tex. Int'l L. J.	Texas International Law Journal
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
Touro Int'l L. Rev.	Touro International Law Review
TRIMS	Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Investment Measures
TRIPS	Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights
U. C. Davis J. Int'l L. & Pol'y	U. C. Davis Journal of International Law and Policy
UCLA J. Int'l L. & Foreign Aff.	UCLA Journal of International Law and Foreign Affairs
U. Miami Inter-Am. L. Rev.	University of Miami Inter-American Law Review
U. Miami Int'l & Comp. L. Rev.	University of Miami International and Comparative Law Review
UN	United Nations
UNESCO	UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNCITRAL	UN Commission on International Trade Law
UNCTAD	UN Conference on Trade and Development
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xli

UN RIAA	UN Reports of International Arbitral Awards
UNTS	United Nations Treaty Series
U. Pa J. Int'l Econ. L.	University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law
Urb. Law.	The Urban Lawyer
US	United States
USD	US dollar
US PPI	US Producer Price Index
Va J. Int'l L.	Virginia Journal of International Law
VAT	value-added tax
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
Vol.	Volume
Wis. L. Rev.	Wisconsin Law Review
VwVfG	Verwaltungsverfahrensgesetz (German Code of Administrative Procedure)
WTO	World Trade Organization
Yale J. Int'l L.	Yale Journal of International Law
Yale L. J.	Yale Law Journal
ZaöRV	<i>Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht</i>