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#### Abstract

A synonymised world-wide list of the annual taxa (excluding Lolium) of Poaceae: Loliinae (sensu Soreng et al., 2017) is presented, with a brief discussion of the more contentious taxonomic issues. Eight genera are recognised for present purposes. A dichotomous key is provided to all the 33 accepted species plus three extra subspecies. Genera of the related subtribe Parapholinae are also briefly considered.
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## The genus Vulpia

The genus Vulpia was founded by C.C. Gmelin in 1805 to accommodate Festuca myuros (and subsequently relatives) that differ from Festuca in their annual habit, short lower glumes and usually single stamens. Since then well over 100 names have been added, representing in my opinion a total of 21 species. The Euro+Med Plantbase (as at March 2022) accepts the same 16 species (naturally excluding the five American endemics), plus $V$. obtusa which is in this paper synonymised under $V$. geniculata. The Plant List (2013) recognises 26 species, the above 21 plus the four species of the genus Ctenopsis and V. alpina, a hexaploid species described from Tibet in 2002 but clearly representing a rather depauperate $V$. myuros.

Of the 21 species, ten fit within what Gmelin would have recognized as his new genus, i.e. annuals with cleistogamous or semi-cleistogamous florets usually with 1-2 stamens; these may be designated as section Vulpia. The other eleven extend the concept of the genus in that they are mainly chasmogamous or semi-chasmogamous species with three stamens; ten of them can also be distinguished by the fact that the pedicels are distinctly dilated distally, seven of them have an ovary with a hairy apex, and two of them ( V. sicula and V. litardiereana) are perennials. Ten of these species have been placed in three other sections (Loretia, Spirachne, Monachne), the first of which has been segregated as the genus Loretia in the past. Vulpia unilateralis does not fit satisfactorily into any of these groups (Stace, 1978) and is often assigned its own section, Apalochloa (syn: sect. Nardurus). The 21 species with what may now be termed their five traditional sectional assignations are listed in Appendix 1 at the end of the paper.

## Taxonomic issues

In the text of this paper authorities are omitted as they are provided in Appendix 1.

## Perennial species

Vulpia litardiereana and V. sicula are the only two perennial species. The latter, which I have seen in the field in S. France, Sicily and Corsica, is an open-tufted perennial with culms more conspicuous and often more numerous than the tillers. It seems clearly related to two annual members of section Loretia, V. ligustica and V. geniculata, sharing with them a distally dilated pedicel, unequal glumes and a hairy ovary tip. V. litardiereana, confined to the Grand Atlas of Morocco (2,400-2,800 m), is evidently a densely tussocked perennial; it has abundant tillers and vegetatively resembles a fine-leaved Festuca rather than a Vulpia, although the inflorescence resembles that of $V$. sicula. It has somewhat unequal glumes, the ovary is hairy at the apex, all the tillers are intravaginal in origin, and the leaf-sheaths are overlapping (not fused into a tube). Until a thorough molecular study including this species is made, it seems for present purposes best to retain $V$. litardiereana in Vulpia, although it does extend the concept of that genus and might be better retained in Festuca. V. sicula is often divided into two varieties, vars sicula and setacea. Var. setacea has a looser, more spreading panicle, and there are small differences in the relative lengths of the glumes, lemmas and paleas. Maire (1955) stated that in North Africa var. sicula is the commoner variety and that var. setacea occurs "Çà et là avec le type", suggesting that varietal status is justified. In Europe var. setacea seems to be the commoner variety.

## Vulpia attenuata

Parlatore (1844) described "Vulpia attenuata nob." and stated that it occurred in Sicily "in campi marittimi vicino Palermo a Mondello". Despite Parlatore's provision of a long description, and detailed comparisons with both V. geniculata and V. setacea ( $V$. sicula var. setacea), V. attenuata has not subsequently been accepted at species level, but has been placed under one or other of three species, Vulpiella stipoides and the above two. Fiori (Fiori \& Paoletti, 1896) reduced it to V. geniculata var. attenuata, and Trabut (Battandier \& Trabut, 1895) treated it as V. geniculata subsp. attenuata. Similarly, Maire (1955), in his monumental Flore de l'Afrique du Nord, presented attenuata as one of five subspecies of V. geniculata, and the same treatment is maintained in Dobignard \& Chatelain's Index Synonymique of the North African flora (2010), in the catalogue of Sicilian plants by Giardina et al. (2007, p. 455), and (as at March 2022) in the Euro+Med Plantbase. In The Plant List (version 1.1, 2013), however, V. attenuata is treated as a synonym of V. sicula, and the same is true in the latest Italian checklist (Bartolucci et al., 2018), and in The World Checklist of Selected Plant Families (as at January 2021). Finally, Pignatti (2017), in the second edition of Flora d'Italia, placed $V$. attenuata as a synonym of Vulpiella tenuis ( $=V$. stipoides), a much more distantly related taxon. In the first edition (Pignatti, 1982) it had appeared under V. geniculata.

In order to settle the position of $V$. attenuata, I have recently lectotypified it on a specimen of V. geniculata in FI (sheet FI007024) collected by Parlatore at Mondello, Sicily before 1844 (Stace, 2021). Nevertheless, many specimens solabelled in herbaria and described in Floras as V. geniculata subsp. attenuata are actually V. sicula.

Festuca morisiana
Festuca morisiana Parl. was a Sardinian endemic until subsp. sicula was described from Sicily in 2007 (Cristaudo et al., 2007). However, Salvatore Brullo (Catania) recently alerted me to the fact that the latter seems very similar to Vulpia sicula, and study of the fine line-drawings of this subspecies provided by Cristaudo et al. (2007) and of subsp. morisiana provided by Barbey (1884) has convinced me that $F$. morisiana subsp. sicula should be included under Vulpia sicula and not placed under F. morisiana.

## Vulpia geniculata

Vulpia geniculata is easily recognised despite its being very variable, especially in North Africa. Four extra subspecies were recognised in Algeria and Morocco by Maire (1955) and by Dobignard \& Chatelain (2010). Only one of these (subsp. attenuata), in addition to the type subspecies, is also found in Europe. There was confusion about the position of subsp. attenuata which was only solved when it was lectotypified on a typical specimen of V. geniculata (Stace, 2021). Many specimens labelled as subsp. attenuata in fact belong to the related species $V$. sicula.

In a study of V. geniculata Stace (2022) concluded that it is conveniently separated into three subspecies, geniculata, pauana and flavescens, a classification that is followed here.

Little is known of a further species, V. obtusa, from extreme N.E. Algeria. Maire had seen no material, despite searching in herb. Trabut, and he merely quoted from Trabut's inadequate protologue, which describes a short ( $10-12 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) plant with a little-branched panicle included in the top leaf-sheath at its base. There is nothing there to distinguish it from V. geniculata subsp. pauana; the inclusion of the base of the panicle in the top-most leaf-sheath is a common feature of droughted material. Dobignard \& Chatelain (2010) listed it with a question mark.

## Vulpia alopecuros

Subspp. fibrosa and schousboei, from Morocco, are sometimes (e.g. Maire, 1955) recognised in V. alopecuros. They appear to be based merely on the variation in growth habit and inflorescence shape in this species, best treated at the level of variety, if at all. Maire implied that subsp. fibrosa includes the type of the species and, although he recognized the two subspecies, he doubted their systematic value. They were not recognised by Dobignard \& Chatelain (2010).

Vulpia alopecuros differs from the other species of section Loretia in its unilateral inflorescence shape and its lemma with an elongated pointed callus, which suggests that it might be more closely related to section Monachne, although it lacks the cluster of small sterile apical florets characteristic of Monachne and it has a completely glabrous ovary.

## Section Apalochloa

Vulpia unilateralis presents strikingly different facies according to the size of its floral parts, the degree to which the spike appears unilateral, and the length of the lemma awns (almost absent to $c .5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and longer than the lemma body). Accordingly, the species has often been subject to subdivision into varieties or subspecies.

A frequently recognised segregate is subsp. montana, from the mountains of southern Spain, which Devesa (1986) and Muñoz Rodriguez \& Devesa (2020) characterised by spikelets (4)5-7 mm with 4-7 florets and anthers (1)1.3-2 mm, as opposed to the type subspecies with spikelets $2.5-4.5(5) \mathrm{mm}$ with $3-4$ florets and anthers $0.6-1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Plants with small spikelets that Devesa assigned to subsp. unilateralis are indeed common in Spain, but they do not accord with plants at the northern end of the species' range (e.g. northern France and England), in which the spikelets are 4-7(8) mm with 3-6 florets and anthers $0.75-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. Probably Devesa's two subspecies summarise the variation in Spain, but they do not adequately account for the variation over the total range. For example, Fiori (1969) for Italy, Krechetovich \& Bobrov (1934, transl. 1963) for the USSR, and Tzvelev (1976, transl. 1983) for the Soviet Union, all recognise two taxa at specific, subspecific or varietal levels, with differing characters and names which are not easily correlated. More recently subsp. tomentosa was described from Iran (Faramarzi et al., 2012), but similarly pubescent plants are found in southern Europe and North Africa. Clearly the species requires further study across its total range before an infraspecific classification can be established.

## Section Vulpia

Under V. ciliata two subspecies are here recognised. They represent separate geographical races with constant albeit small morphological differences, as discussed by Stace \& Auquier (1978). Records of subsp. ambigua from the Mediterranean region are glabrous variants of subsp. ciliata.

The species in what can be called the $V$. myuros group are very similar and have been much confused. There are four species ( $V$. bromoides, V. muralis, V. myuros and $V$. persica) in the Old World, and three in America ( $V$. octoflora, $V$. antucensis and $V$. australis). The first three have often been reduced to subspecific or varietal status under V. myuros, e.g. by Maire (1955) and Dobignard \& Chatelain (2010), but they are retained as species here because the diploids have probably been progenitors of the two hexaploids in the group (V. myuros and V. persica), and possibly of the tetraploid V. ciliata as well (Stace, 2005), and they are all, with experience, distinguishable on morphological characters.

Although V. persica and V. hirtiglumis are sometimes retained as separate species (e.g. Bor, 1970), I can see no differences apart from pubescence (glabrous to scabrid in the former, pubescent in the latter). Several species in section Vulpia vary in this way. Bor used this character in his key, but in the text also stated that in $V$. hirtiglumis the two glumes are more or less equal, whereas in V. persica the lower glume is half as long as the upper. This observation might have arisen from observations on spikelets terminal on lateral branches of the inflorescence in the former species; it does not apply to most of the spikelets. This species is very close to $V$. myuros, and might even be better as a subspecies of it. In addition to the characters given in the key (couplet 18), V. persica has a slightly longer lower glume ( $1 / 3-3 / 5$ as long as upper) than $V$. myuros ( $1 / 10-2 / 5$ as long as upper). Bor actually treated V. persica (but not V. hirtiglumis) as the sole Iranian representative of section Loretia, on the basis of one of its two or three anthers being large, not small ("antherae magnae", versus "antherae minimae", no lengths given). In my experience these two adjectives, in this group of the genus, indicate $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ and less than 1 mm respectively. Such unusually large anthers as the former, usually not
in all three stamens, are frequently found in the cleistogamous species of section Vulpia (Auquier \& Stace, 1980), and V. persica possesses none of the diagnostic characters of section Loretia. All the anthers of $V$. persica that I have observed are within 0.3-0.6 mm.

Vulpia octoflora is the only species native to both North and South America. In South America there are apparently native plants that have been segregated as $V$. antofagastensis, as well as aliens from North America. The former has slightly smaller spikelets and florets, but there is too much overlap and the distributions are too mixed to justify subspecific recognition.

Vulpia microstachys is a classic example of an inbreeding annual that has given rise to a number of 'pure lines' (Jordanons), of which eight have been given specific rank. However, Lonard \& Gould (1974) reduced these to varietal level, with which I agree.

## Vulpiella

The genus Vulpiella (Trab.) Burollet, with a single species V. stipoides (L.) Maire ( V. tenuis (Tineo) Kerguélen), bears a close superficial resemblance to Vulpia, and has often been confused with it. Vulpiella stipoides has four synonyms at specific level under Vulpia. However, there are wide differences, as listed by Cotton \& Stace (1977), notably the 3-veined, 3-keeled lemma, the short hilum, and several fundamental epidermal characters. Soreng et al. (2017) placed it with seven other mostly annual genera in the separate subtribe Parapholinae.

## Related genera

In addition to the above 21 species there are 12 or 13 others apparently quite closely related, many of which have been included in Vulpia or Nardurus in the past but are now usually assigned to a few small separate genera, and it has therefore been difficult to define the limits of the 'Vulpia group' (Stace, 1981). Recent molecular studies (summarised in Soreng et al., 2017), however, have clarified this. The following seven related small annual genera nested with Lolium L., Drymochloa Holub, Schedonorus P. Beauv., Festuca L. and other perennials as well as Vulpia in what Soreng defined as subtribe Loliinae: Ctenopsis, Loliolum, Micropyrum, Narduroides, Psilurus, Wangenheimia and Castellia. Their species are also included in Appendix 1. Other small annual genera that have sometimes been associated with Vulpia in the past were not included in Loliinae, i.e. Desmazeria Dumort., Catapodium Link, Cutandia Willk. and Vulpiella (Trab.) Burollet. These all have morphological and anatomical features which further indicate their less close relationship to the Loliinae, and Soreng placed them together with three other annual genera (Hainardia Greuter, Parapholis C.E. Hubb. and Sphenopus Trin.), amounting to a total of $c .23$ species, and the perennial Agropyropsis A. Camus in the subtribe Parapholinae. The scope of this paper is therefore the annual species of Soreng's Loliinae (excluding Lolium). The Parapholinae are, however, of relevance to the present paper as several species of Loliinae have in the past been placed in their genera (particularly in Catapodium), and for that reason the seven annual genera of Parapholinae are included in the generic key below.

The allocation of the above annual genera to two different subtribes is supported by more than just molecular evidence. Cotton \& Stace (1977) investigated a range of morphological and anatomical characters in these grasses, and found two
strongly contrasting patterns in the intercostal long-cells of the leaf inter-costal abaxial epidermis:

Type A - Long-cells very narrowly rectangular, parallel-sided, and with thick, tightly sinuate lateral walls.

Type B - Long-cells elongated-hexagonal, not parallel-sided, with thin, straight walls.

Type A is found in all of the perennial and in seven of the eight annual genera of the Loliinae. Type B is found in at least four (possibly all) of the annual genera of the Parapholinae and in Loliolum (Loliinae). This strongly suggests that the placement of Loliolum should be reinvestigated, but it is retained in Loliinae for present purposes.

The genus Nardurus has been used as a repository for small, more or less spicate annuals allied to Vulpia, but the species concerned belong to seven different genera, and the type species ( $N$. maritimus) is a member of Vulpia as here defined (Stace, 1978). Nevertheless, Dobignard \& Chatelain (2010) bizarrely resurrected Nardurus for $N$. subulatus (= Loliolum subulatum) alone, while retaining the type species in Vulpia (as V. unilateralis). As mentioned above, Nardurus (Vulpia section Apalochloa) does not fit in satisfactorily with either of the two main groups of Vulpia (sections Vulpia and Loretia s.l.), much less with Loliolum.

The three species of the genus Narduretia have been treated within Vulpia, but Paunero (1963) transferred them to Ctenopsis with its type species, C. pectinella. The latter is here segregated from Narduretia at subgeneric level. Two of the species, the African C. Cynosuroides and the Spanish C. gypsophila, are very similar; Huguet del Villar (1925) admitted that if the two were not specifically distinct the Spanish plant could be known as Narduretia cynosuroides var. gypsacea (Willk.) Villar (not validly published). Despite their great similarity there are small quantitative differences, albeit all of them overlapping, and I have found an important difference in anther length (see Key). The short anthers of $C$. cynosuroides suggest a cleistogamous inbreeding species (although I have not been able to observe anthesis), while the long anthers of C. gypsophila, which are exserted at anthesis, indicate an at least partially outbreeding taxon, as is the related C. delicatula. The Spanish C. gypsophila is confined to gypsaceous soils in central Spain, but I have not found any such association mentioned concerning the African C. cynosuroides. There is an outlying area of C. gypsophila in western Sicily, which seems to be correctly assigned to the Spanish plant as it is one of only five of the 380 Italian taxa found on gypsum that are totally confined to it (Musarella et al., 2018).

The genus Wangenheimia has traditionally been recognised as monotypic, containing only the very distinctive western Mediterranean gypsophile W. lima. The orientation of the glumes in this species is unusual in that the lower glume is twisted out of its usual position (lateral to the spikelet and adjacent to the back of the first lemma) to lie in the centre of the abaxial flattened face of the spikelet adjacent to and parallel with the rhachilla or to the lateral margin of the first lemma. A North African species, originally described as Festuca demnatensis, transferred to Nardurus by Maire and later to Catapodium by Maire \& Weiller, has the same distinctive spikelet structure, as described by Maire (1955), and mainly on this basis it was transferred to Wangenheimia by Stace (1978). The situation is complicated, however, by the fact that Loliolum subulatum also has a similar spikelet structure,
which, as far as I know, is confined in the Loliinae/Parapholinae to these three species. Other morphological characters, including those of the caryopsis, are not decisive in determining generic affiliation. Whatever the correct generic placement of W. demnatensis, the keys below are designed to identify it at species level.

Nardurus mamoraeus was described by Maire from his 1936 collection "In arenosis in sylva Mamora", Morocco, and was later transferred to Catapodium by Maire \& Weiller. I have seen images of the isotypes in MPU (MPU010589) and $\mathbf{P}$ (P00434529). The species appears to have been collected since only once, by Charles Sauvage in 1961 from the same area ("Reg. Rabat, Mamora occidentale, sables mobiles aux abords de Dayete Er-Rma"). There are no other collections in
BA, BM, G, K, M, MPU, P, RNG or SEV. Sauvage's herbarium is in RAB and MPU (specimen MPU1400378 in the latter seen courtesy of Caroline Loup), but the collection was also used as number 3709 in the Société française pour /'Échange des Plantes vasculaires, Fascicule 10 (1960-61). Filip Veerlove (Meise) has kindly furnished me with a list of the participants and associates of the Société at that time. The total of 31 was mainly made up of individuals (including Sauvage, working in Rabat in 1961), but included at least six institutions: AL, BR, MPU, P, RAB and STR. These institutions should have duplicates of 3709. The label for 3709 in the distribution gives some further data: (transl.) in open places on mobile siliceous sand in cork-oak woodland. Endemic to Mamora and surroundings (Souk-el-Arba-duRharb).

In general appearance and spikelet details $N$. mamoraeus much more closely resembles a Micropyrum, to which it was transferred by Stace (1978), than a Catapodium. Unfortunately, both the collections (early April) are at anthesis, and no caryopsides are present. The position of the hilum would decisively decide between the latter two genera. This is another taxonomic problem that requires a wideranging DNA analysis of the Loliinae/Parapholinae. In this paper Nardurus mamoraeus is treated as of uncertain position, and it is not catered for in the keys. The inclusion or not of this species in Micropyrum would decide whether there are 12 or 13 Vulpia relatives.

## Classification of Loliinae

There is still no agreed generic classification of Loliinae, and this paper does not aim to present one. Molecular purists, i.e. those unwilling to accept paraphyletic groups as genera, include seven of the eight genera treated here (not Castellia) within Festuca, on the grounds that these seven genera are nested within Festuca in their cladograms (Soreng et al., 2017). Others can see the logic in this (strict adherence to monophyly as a taxonomic criterion), but not necessarily the wisdom (Stace, 2020). Excellent molecular analyses of the Loliinae at species level have been carried out by a research group at the Universidad de Zaragoza (Catalán et al., 2004; Torrecilla et al., 2004; Díaz-Pérez et al., 2014). The presence of polyploids, particularly V. ciliata and V. myuros, which are by definition often polyphyletic, as always complicates the issue. The species covered in each of these three reports varies slightly, but a total of 22 of the 34 species treated in this paper was included.

The main results from the Zaragoza group are that (a) a large monophyletic fine-leaved Festuca clade (FEVRE - Festuca, Vulpia and related ephemerals) is defined; and (b) the genus Vulpia is polyphyletic, being dispersed within FEVRE in four separate subclades. Subclade (A) contains the sections Loretia, Monachne and

Spirachne; the second (B) contains the section Apalochloa; and the third (C) and fourth (D) subclades contain the diploid and polyploid species respectively of the section Vulpia. Díaz-Pérez et al. (2014) added three American species of section Vulpia to the Old World species covered by the other two reports; these formed a fifth subclade. These results could be used as evidence that the first two subclades should be recognised as distinct genera (sections Loretia, Monachne and Spirachne as Loretia Duval-Jouve; section Apalochloa as Nardurus). However, a solution regarding section Vulpia is less obvious, since the diploids and polyploids, and the American species, are widely separated in the cladogram. It is notable that, within the restraints imposed by the sample size, the limits of FEVRE coincide almost exactly with those of Soreng's Loliinae. It should also be noted that the annual species in all the above four subclades are associated with different groups of perennial fine-leaved Festuca species.

It is not the purpose of this paper to assess the merits of different classification systems of Vulpia and allied genera, but instead to present a clarification of the often complex nomenclature and a means of identifying all the species. Clearly the genus Vulpia as here defined is artificial (polyphyletic). However, recognition of an enlarged fine-leaved Festuca will clearly not solve all the taxonomic problems. Presumably such a genus, with perhaps some 600 species, will require an infrageneric classification, so the taxonomic problems will simply shift from the generic to the infrageneric level. For convenience, in Appendix 1 the traditional classification of the annual Loliinae is presented, but if these annual genera are to be maintained then Vulpia should be divided, probably into three genera: Vulpia, comprised of the type section; Loretia, to include sections Loretia, Monachne and Spirachne, and Nardurus (section Apalochloa). The position of V. litardiereana remains doubtful. A final decision can be reached only when all 34 annual species of Loliinae, as well as the $c .23$ species of Parapholinae, have been subjected to more detailed molecular analyses.

## Chromosome numbers

Chromosome counts of most of the species in Appendix 1 have been made. There is considerable variation in the older reports (diploid to hexaploid) of some species, especially in Vulpia and often for British species. However, the many counts that I and my colleagues have made over half a century have convinced us that this variation is spurious; as far as I am aware all the species have a single chromosome count based on $x=7$. Within Vulpia, 12 species are diploids, two species are tetraploids with $2 \mathrm{n}=28$ ( $V$. fasciculata and $V$. ciliata) and four are hexaploids with $2 n=42$ ( $V$. myuros, V. persica, V. microstachys and V. elliotea). Vulpia gracilis, V. litardiereana and $V$. antucensis have not been counted. All the species that have been counted in the seven small genera are diploids with $2 n=14$, except the single species of Psilurus which is a tetraploid with $2 \mathrm{n}=28$. Apparently only Micropyrum mamoraeum, Ctenopsis pectinella and C. cynosuroides have not been counted.

## Distribution

16 of the 21 species of Vulpia, plus all the 13 species in the other seven small genera (as well as all the c. 23 species of Soreng's Parapholinae), occur in the Mediterranean area, with a few extending northwards to southern Scandinavia, east to Tibet, south to tropical African mountains and west to Macaronesia. Vulpia
australis and $V$. antucensis occur in temperate South America; $V$. elliotea and $V$. microstachys occur in temperate North America; and V. octoflora occurs in both South and North America. A few species, notably V. bromoides and V. myuros, are weedy and occur very widely as aliens in all continents, especially in Englishspeaking areas such as South Africa, North America and Australasia, where they can be troublesome due to their poor pasture value and the barbed fruiting lemmas. In southern Europe the central and northern European $V$. bromoides is largely replaced by $V$. muralis, and it is interesting to note that, whereas $V$. bromoides is a common alien in North America, it is largely replaced by V. muralis in the Spanish- and Portuguese-speaking areas of South America. Indeed, V. muralis was described from alien material in Ecuador in 1822.

## Keys

It is thought that the provision of keys to all the species of Loliinae in the world, as opposed to regional keys which are the norm, should be useful in a group whose species are frequently found as aliens in areas far from their native range. Keys to the species of North America (Lonard \& Gould, 1974), Argentina (Stace, 2012), North Africa (Maire, 1955), Europe (Stace, 1980), Italy (Pignatti, 2017), Iberian Peninsula (Muñoz Rodriguez \& Devesa, 2020) and Turkey (Stace, 1985) remain informative, despite differences in taxonomic treatment. The generic key also covers Soreng's Parapholinae.

Key to the annual genera of Loliinae and Parapholinae sensu Soreng et al. Genera in square brackets are not treated further here (all these, apart from Lolium, belong to Parapholinae; see text)

1 Terminal spikelet with 2 glumes, all others with 1 glume 2
1 All spikelets with 2 glumes, sometimes the lower glume minute 4
2 Spikelets with more than 1 floret
(Loliinae) [LOLIUM]
2 Spikelets with 1 floret
3 Glume much longer than lemma; lemma awnless
(Parapholinae) [HAINARDIA cylindrica]
3 Glume much shorter than lemma; lemma with awn c. as long as body
(Loliinae) PSILURUS incurvus
4 Lower glume less than $1 / 2$ as long as upper 5
4 Lower glume at least $1 / 2$ as long as upper 7
5 Lemma membranous, subacute to rounded or truncate at apex, awnless
(Parapholinae) [SPHENOPUS]
5 Lemma chartaceous, acute to acuminate at apex, often with terminal awn
6 Hilum less than $1 / 2$ as long as grain; pedicels held at $45-90^{\circ}$ to rhachis after anthesis; grain loosely adherent to palea (Loliinae; see key below) CTENOPSIS
6 Hilum more than $1 / 2$ as long as grain; pedicels suberect after anthesis; grain firmly adherent to palea (Loliinae; see key below) VULPIA p.p.
7 Lemmas densely tuberculate, glabrous (Loliinae) CASTELLIA tuberculosa
7 Lemmas glabrous, scabrid or hairy, not tuberculate ..... 8
8 Lemmas 3-veined, notched at apex, awnless or with awn arising from notch ..... 9
8 Lemmas 5-veined, rarely 3-veined and then gradually tapered to long awn and not notched at apex ..... 11
9 Rhachis breaking at maturity below each spikelet; spikelets 1-flowered; glumes as long as spikelet; lemmas membranous, not keeled(Parapholinae) [PARAPHOLIS]
9 Spikelets several- to many-flowered, breaking at maturity below each floret; glumes shorter than rest of spikelet; lemmas chartaceous, keeled on each vein ..... 10
10 Spikelets becoming strongly divaricate at maturity; lemmas awnless or with short awns up to $1(1.5) \mathrm{mm}$; caryopsis more or less free from palea
(Parapholinae) [CUTANDIA]
10 Spikelets not becoming divaricate at maturity; most lemmas with awn at least $1 / 2$ as long as body; palea adherent to caryopsis
(Parapholinae) [VULPIELLA stipoides]
11 Spikelets set in depressions on the rhachis; glumes emarginate
(Loliinae) NARDUROIDES salzmannii
11 Spikelets not set in depressions on the rhachis; glumes obtuse or acute to subulate ..... 12
12 Lower glumes mostly twisted to lie close to and parallel to rhachilla on the flattened face of the spikelet ..... 13
12 Lower glumes not so twisted, lying in same plane as first lemma ..... 14
13 Upper glume longer than rest of spikelet; anthers $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$
(Loliinae) LOLIOLUM subulatum
13 Upper glume shorter than rest of spikelet; anthers 2-2.5 mm
(Loliinae; see key below) WANGENHEIMIA
14 Hilum linear, more than $1 / 2$ as long as caryopsis; glumes markedly unequal to subequal ..... 15
14 Hilum punctiform to oblong, up to $1 / 2$ as long as caryopsis; glumes subequal ..... 16
15 Inflorescence usually a simple raceme; lower glume more than 3/4 as long as upper; lemmas obtuse to acute, awned or not(Loliinae; see key below) MICROPYRUM
15 Inflorescence usually branched, if a simple raceme then lower glume notmore than $3 / 4$ as long as upper; lemmas usually gradually acuminate,awned or not (Loliinae; see key below) VULPIA p.p.
16 Lemma strongly keeled throughout, (3)3.5-4.5(6) mm; lemma and rhachilla with minute (up to 0.2 mm ) capitate-apiculate hairs

16 Lemma rounded on back or keeled only near apex, 2-3(3.8) mm; lemma and rhachilla glabrous or with tapering pointed hairs
(Parapholinae) [CATAPODIUM]

## Key to species of Vulpia

Glume measurements must be taken from spikelets that are not apical on the main inflorescence or on its side branches (however short).

1 Callus at base of fertile lemma 0.5-1.5 mm, pointed, minutely antrorsely
scabrid or hairy ..... 2
1 Callus at base of fertile lemma c. 0.2 mm , rounded, glabrous ..... 6
2 Anthers 3, 2-5 mm, fully exserted at anthesis; spikelet with c.4-10 fertile florets, with 1-2(3) smaller sterile distal ones V. alopecuros
2 Anthers 1-3, 0.5-2 mm, slightly exserted at anthesis; spikelets with 1-3(5) proximal fertile florets and at least as many smaller sterile distal ones ..... 3
3 Ovary and caryopsis minutely hairy at apex ..... 4
3 Ovary and caryopsis glabrous ..... 5
4 Spikelets with 2-5 fertile florets; upper glume with awn mostly 0.5-1 times as long as body; anthers $0.8-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ V. fasciculata
4 Spikelets with 1 fertile floret; upper glume with awn mostly 1.5-3 times as long as body; anthers 0.5-1.2 mm V. gracilis
5 Lemma 4-8 mm (excl. awn); callus $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ V. fontqueriana
5 Lemma 7-15 mm (excl. awn); callus 0.5-0.8 mm V. membranacea
6 Spikelets borne in regular clusters of 3, dispersed as a unit, the 3 pedicels borne on a common stalk; each spikelet with 1 female-fertile floret; glumes subequal, each with awn $\pm$ as long as body V. brevis
6 Spikelets not aggregated into clusters of 3; each spikelet normally with more than 1 female-fertile floret; lower glume usually conspicuously shorter than upper glume, neither with awn as long as body ..... 7
7 Perennial; non-flowering tillers mixed with flowering culms ..... 8
7 Annual; non-flowering tillers absent ..... 9
8 Tillers not or scarcely outnumbering culms, forming loose tuft; lower glume $1 / 5-3 / 5$ as long as upper V. sicula
8 Tillers greatly outnumbering culms, forming dense tussock; lower glume $2 / 3-4 / 5$ as long as upper V. litardiereana
9 Pedicels distinctly flattened and widened distally; anthers (1-)3, well exserted at anthesis, (1.25)2-6 mm ..... 10
9 Pedicels more or less parallel-sided; anthers 1(-3), usually not exserted atanthesis, but sometimes exserted, usually $0.3-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, rarely up to 1.8 mm
10 Lower glume less than $1 / 6$ as long as upper; inflorescence-branches patent to pendent at maturity
V. ligustica
10 Lower glume at least $1 / 3$ as long as upper; inflorescence-branches erect to erecto-patent (V. geniculata) ..... 11
11 Panicle with long spreading primary branches devoid of spikelets for $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$, hence very diffuse V. geniculata subsp. flavescens
11 Panicle with primary branches bearing spikelets close to base, hence relatively compact ..... 12
12 Panicle up to 5 cm , very compact, with branches not evident without dissection, lemmas with awns much shorter than body V. geniculata subsp. pauana
12 Panicle often more than 5 cm , with some primary branches clearly visible; lemma-awns as long as or longer than body V. geniculata subsp. geniculata
13 Panicle-branches and pedicels becoming patent to deflexed at fruiting, due to conspicuous pulvinus (callus) in their axils; anthers $0.7-3 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ V. microstachys
13 Panicle-branches and pedicels without a callus in their axils, not becoming patent to deflexed at fruiting; anthers 0.2-0.8(1.8) mm ..... 14
14 Spikelets with 1-3 proximal fertile florets, with (2)3-7 larger but sterile distal florets (V. ciliata) ..... 15
14 Spikelets with most florets fertile, the distal (0)1-2(3) florets sterile and smaller than the fertile ones ..... 16
15 Spikelets mostly 7-10.5 mm; fertile lemma 5-6.5 mm, usually pubescent on dorsal midline and ciliate on margins, rarely glabrous V. ciliata subsp. ciliata
15 Spikelets mostly 5-7 mm; fertile lemma 4-5 mm, glabrous V. ciliata ssp. ambiguaV. elliotea
16 Lemmas with 5 veins, (2)3.5-8(10) mm, if less than 3.5 mm then inflorescence a raceme or with few short proximal branches ..... 17
17 Stamens 3; anthers 0.7-1.3(1.9) mm, well exserted at anthesis; inflorescence usually a simple raceme, sometimes with a few short branches proximally; lemmas (2)3-4(5) mm (excl. awns) V. unilateralis
17 Stamens 1(-3); anthers 0.2-0.8(1.8) mm, usually not exserted at anthesis (rare chasmogamous variants with $1(-3)$ anthers up to 1.8 mm occur in several species); inflorescence usually with conspicuous branches, if racemose then lemmas4-7 mm (excl. awns)18
18 Inflorescence racemose or with a few short branches proximally; pedicels
$0.2-1(2.5) \mathrm{mm}$18 Inflorescence paniculate except in depauperate specimens; pedicels(0.4)1-2.5(3.5) mm19
19 Lower glume up to $1 / 2$ as long as upper glume ..... 20
19 Lower glume more than $1 / 2$ as long as upper glume ..... 21
20 Mature inflorescence usually not fully exserted from uppermost leaf-sheath, up to 35 cm ; lower glume $1 / 10-2 / 5$ as long as upper glume V. myuros
20 Mature inflorescence usually well exserted from uppermost leaf-sheath, up to 15 cm ; lower glume $1 / 4-1 / 2$ as long as upper glume V. muralis
21 Lemmas (excl. awns) 4-8 mm, 1.3-2 mm wide when flattened out; lower glume 2.4-6 mm; spikelets with mostly 3-8 florets ..... 22
21 Lemmas (excl. awns) (2.7)3.5-6.5 mm, 0.8-1.3 mm wide when flattened out; lower glume (1.7)2.5-3.5(4.5) mm; spikelets with mostly 5-12 florets ..... 23
22 Lower glume (0.6)0.75-0.9 times as long as upper glume; upper glume 3-7.5 mm (incl. awn); spikelets with 3-6 florets V. antucensis
22 Lower glume 0.5-0.75 times as long as upper glume; upper glume $4.5-9(10.5) \mathrm{mm}$ (incl. awn); spikelets with (4)5-8(10) florets V. bromoides
23 Spikelets elliptic to obovate in side view, with curved edges; lemma with awn usually about as long as or shorter than body V. octoflora
23 Spikelets obtriangular in side view, with straight edges; lemma with awn usually longer than body V. australis

## Key to the species of Ctenopsis

1 Inflorescence a simple spike of more or less sessile spikelets (pedicels $0-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); spikelets $3.5-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; lemmas with awn 0 or much less than $1 / 2$ as long as bodyC. pectinella

1 Inflorescence a simple spike or branched proximally; pedicels $0.4-1(2) \mathrm{mm}$; spikelets $5.5-12 \mathrm{~mm}$; lemmas with awn $c .1 / 2-1$ times as long as body2

2 Ligule of upper cauline leaves 0.2-0.6 mm; anthers 1.5-2.5 mm; lower glume acuminate to subulate
C. delicatula

2 Ligule of upper cauline leaves 0.5-1.5 mm; anthers 0.4-2 mm; lower glume acute to obtuse

3 Panicle 1-3 cm, with crowded spikelets; anthers 0.4-0.9 mm; N Africa

## C. cynosuroides

3 Panicle (1)2-6 cm, with clearly spaced spikelets; anthers (0.5)1-2 mm; SW Europe
C. gypsophila

## Key to the species of Micropyrum

1 Spikelets erecto-patent at anthesis, (7)9-15 mm; rhachilla-segments 1-1.6 mm; anthers 2-3.2 mm
M. patens

1 Spikelets more or less appressed to rhachis at anthesis, (3)4-9(14) mm; rhachilla-segments $0.6-0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$; anthers $0.5-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$
M. tenellum

## Key to the species of Wangenheimia

1 Inflorescence 1-2.5(3.5) cm, with all spikelets reaching c. half-way up next higher spikelet on same side of rhachis
W. lima

1 Inflorescence $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ (longer in cultivation), with spikelets not or only just reaching next higher spikelet on same side of rhachis
$W$. demnatensis
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## Appendix 1. Conspectus of annual Loliinae

All taxa at species and subspecies level are included, as well as their basionyms, but other varieties and formae are not listed

VULPIA C.C. Gmel., Fl. Bad. 1: 8 (1805)
Syn: see under sections Loretia, Monachne, Spirachne, Apalochloa and Vulpia
Section Loretia (Duval-Jouve) Boiss., Fl. Or. 5: 630 (1884)
Bas: Loretia Duval-Jouve, Rev. Sci. Nat., Sér. 2, 2: 38 (1880)
Syn: Vulpia subg. Pseudofestuca Rouy, Vulpia sect. Festucoides A Camus
V. alopecuros (Schousb.) Dumort., Obs. Gram. Belg. 100 (1824)

Bas: Festuca alopecuros Schousb., Iagttag. Vextrig. Marokko 40 (1800)
Syn: Bromus barbatus Savi, Festuca gibraltarica Willd. ex Steud., F. ciliata Link non Gouan, F. pubescens Zea ex Roem. \& Schult. non Willd., F. savii Ten., Mygalurus alopecuroides Link, Vulpia alopecurus subsp. fibrosa H. Lindb., $V$. alopecurus subsp. schousboei H. Lindb. V. ciliata auct. non Dumort., $V$. fibrosa (H. Lindb.) A.W. Hill
V. geniculata (L.) Link, Hort. Berol. 1: 148 (1827)

Bas: Bromus geniculatus L., Mant. Pl. 33 (1767)
Subsp. flavescens (Sennen) Stace, Brit. Irish Bot. 4: 50 (2022)
Bas: Vulpia flavescens Sennen, Diagn. Nouv., ser. 1933: 208, no. 8973 (1936)
Subsp. geniculata
Syn: Bromus incrassatus Lam. non V. incrassata (Salzm. ex Loisel.) Parl., Festuca attenuata (Parl.) Guss., F. geniculata (L.) Lag. \& Rodr., F. geniculata subsp. attenuata (Parl.) Jahand. \& Maire, F. geniculata subsp. monanthera Maire ('monantha'), Loretia geniculata (L.) DuvalJouve, Loretia incrassata (Lam.) Duval-Jouve, Mygalurus geniculatus (L.) Link, Vulpia attenuata Parl., V. flavescens Sennen \& Mauricio, V. geniculata f. breviglumis Trab., V. geniculata subsp. attenuata (Parl.) Trab., V. geniculata subsp. breviglumis (Trab.) Maire, V. geniculata subsp. monanthera (Maire) Maire, V. subalata Sennen
Subsp. pauana (Font Quer) Maire, Cat. Pl. Maroc 864 (1934)
Bas: Festuca pauana Font Quer, Cavanillesia 4: 25 (1931)

Syn: ?Vulpia obtusa Trab., V. pauana (Font Quer) Font Quer
V. ligustica (All.) Link, Hort. Berol. 1: 148 (1827)

Bas: Bromus ligusticus All., Fl. Pedem. 2: 249 (1785)
Syn: Festuca incrassata L. non V. incrassata (Salzm. ex Loisel.) Parl., Festuca ligustica (All.) Bertol., Loretia ligustica (All.) Duval-Jouve, Vulpia hispidula De Not. ex Parl., Zerna ligustica (All.) B.D. Jacks.
V. litardiereana (Maire) A. Camus, Riviera Sci. 21: 44 (1934)

Bas: Festuca litardiereana Maire, Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Afrique N. 22: 323 (1931)
V. sicula (C. Presl) Link, Hort. Berol. 2: 272 (1833)

Bas: Festuca sicula C. Presl, Cyper. Gram. Sicul. 36 (1820)
Syn: Festuca gussonis Trin. ex Steud., F. morisiana Parl. subsp. sicula Cristaudo, Foggi, Galesi \& Maugeri, F. setacea Parl. non Poir., F. thomasiana J. Gay ex Mutel, Loretia setacea (Parl.) Duval-Jouve, Vilfa sicula (C. Presl) Steud., Vulpia avenella Lojac., V. hackelii Lojac., V. parlatoris Lojac., V. setacea Parl., V. sicula var. setacea (Parl.) Hack.

Section Monachne Dumort., Obs. Gram. Belg. 100 (1824)
Syn: Vulpia sect. Intermediae Rouy
V. fasciculata (Forssk.) Samp., Lista das Espécies Representadas no Herbário Português 24 (1913)

Bas: Festuca fasciculata Forssk., Fl. Aegypt.-Arab. 22 (1775)
Syn: Bromus hordeiformis Lam., Festuca uniglumis Aiton, Lolium bromoides Huds., Mygalurus uniglumis (Aiton) Link, Vulpia fontanesii Parl., V. membranacea auct. non (L.) Dumort., V. membranacea subsp. fasciculata (Forssk.) O. Bolòs, Masalles \& Vigo, V. uniglumis (Aiton) Dumort.
V. fontqueriana Melderis \& Stace, Collect. Bot. (Barcelona) 7: 782 (1968)
V. gracilis H. Scholz, Willdenowia 5: 109 (1968)

Syn.: Festuca scholziana Domina \& El Mokni
V. membranacea (L.) Dumort., Obs. Gram. Belg. 100 (1824)

Bas: Stipa membranacea L., Sp. Pl. 1: 560 (1753)
Syn: Festuca agrestis Loisel., F. linneana Steud., F. longiseta Brot., F. membranacea (L.) Druce, F. pyramidata Link, Vulpia agrestis (Loisel.) Duval-Jouve, V. linnaeana Parl., V. longiseta (Brot.) Hack., V. membranacea subsp. longiseta (Brot.) Rouy, V. pyramidata (Link) Rothm., V. uniglumis var. longiseta (Brot.) Husn.

Section Spirachne (Hack.) Boiss., Fl. Or. 5: 630 (1884)
Bas: Vulpia subg. Spirachne Hack., Flora 63: 475 (1880)
V. brevis Boiss. \& Kotchy, Diagn. Pl. Orient., Ser. 2, 4: 139 (1859)

Syn: Festuca biaristata Ehrh. ex Boiss., F. brevis (Boiss. \& Kotchy) Asch., Schweinf, \& Muschl., F. inops (Hack.) Delile ex Asch. \& Graebn., F. marmarica F. Herm., Vulpia inops Hack.

Section Apalochloa (Dumort.) Stace, Nord. J. Bot. 1: 24 (1981)
Bas: Brachypodium sect. Apalochloa Dumort., Obs. Gram. Belg. 99 (1824)
Syn: Festucaria Link non Heist. ex Fabr., Nardurus Rchb., Prosphysis Dulac, Vulpia sect. Nardurus (Rchb.) Stace
V. unilateralis (L.) Stace, Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 76: 350 (1978)

Bas: Triticum unilaterale L., Mant. Pl. 35 (1767)
Syn: Agropyron hispanicum (Reichard) C. Presl, A. unilaterale (L.) P. Beauv., Brachypodium hispanicum (Reichard) Rchb., B. montanum (Boiss. \& Reut.) Nyman, B. psilanthum Link, B. tenuiflorum (Schrad.) Roem. \& Schult., B. unilaterale (L.) P. Beauv., Catapodium montanum (Boiss. \& Reut.) Laínz, C. unilaterale (L.) Griseb., Festuca divaricata Sieber ex Steud. non Desf., F. krausei Regel, F. lolioides Trin. ex Steud., F. maritima L., F. montana (Boiss. \& Reut.) Steud., F. smithii Kunth, F. tenuiflora Schrad., F. tenuiflora var.
aristata W.D.J. Koch, F. unilateralis (L.) Schrad., Festucaria psilantha (Link) Link, Nardurus elegans Drobow, N. gandogeri Gredilla, N. krausei (Regel) V.I. Krecz. \& Bobrov, N. maritimus (L.) Murb., N. maritimus subsp. aristatus (W.D.J. Koch) Tzvelev, N. montanus Boiss. \& Reut., N. tenuiflorus (Schrad.) Boiss., N. unilateralis (L.) Boiss., N. woronowii Schischk., Triticum biunciale Vill., T. hispanicum Reichard, Vulpia hispanica (Reichard) Kerguélen, V. hispanica subsp. montana (Boiss. \& Reut.) Devesa, V. maritima (L.) Gray, V. tenuiflora (Schrad.) Heynh., V. unilateralis subsp. montana (Boiss. \& Reut.) Cabezudo, Devesa, R. Tormo, F. Vázquez \& J.M. Nieto, V. unilateralis subsp. tomentosa Faramarzi \& Rahimin.

## Section Vulpia

Syn: Chloamnia Raf., Dasiola Raf., Distomomischus Dulac, Mygalurus Link, Vulpia sect. Articulatae A. Camus
V. antucensis Trin., Linnaea 10: 303 (1836)

Syn: Festuca antucensis (Trin.) Steud., F. eriolepis É. Desv., F. eriolepis var. nana Hieron., Vulpia australis var. nana (Hieron.) Parodi, V. australis f. glabrata C.H. Blom, V. eriolepis (É. Desv.) C.H. Blom
V. australis (Nees ex Steud.) C.H. Blom, Acta Horti Gothob. 9: 163 (1934)

Bas: Festuca australis Nees ex Steud., Syn. Pl. Glumac. 1: 304 (1854)
V. bromoides (L.) Gray, Nat. Arr. Brit. Pl. 2: 124 (1821)

Bas: Festuca bromoides L., Sp. Pl. 1: 75 (1753)
Syn: Bromus ambiguus Cirillo, B. dertonensis All., Distomomischus sciuroides (Roth) Dulac, D. subuniglumis Dulac, Festuca commutata Scheele, F. dertonensis (All.) Asch. \& Graebn., F. geniculata var. monandra Döll, F. hordeiformis Wulfen ex Steud., F. onurus Willd. ex Spreng., F. panormitana Guss., F. sciuroides Roth, F. willemetii Savi, Lolium bromoides (L.) Huds., Mygalurus bromoides (L.) Link. Vulpia brachypoda Font Quer, V. bromoidea St.-Lag., V. dertonensis (All.) Gola, V. exserta St.-Lag., V. granulata Sennen, V. myuros var. bromoides (L.) Parl., V. myuros var. dertonensis (All.) Fiori, V. myuros var. sciuroides (Roth) Coss. \& Durieu, V. myuros var. subuniglumis Hack., V. panormitana (Guss.) Parl., V. sciuroides (Roth) C.C. Gmel., Zerna bromoides (L.) B.D. Jacks.
V. ciliata Dumort., Obs. Gram. Belg. 100 (1824)

Subsp. ambigua (Le Gall) Stace \& Auquier, Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 76: 384 (1978) Bas: Festuca ambigua Le Gall, Fl. Morbihan 731 (1852) Syn: Vulpia ambigua (Le Gall) More

## Subsp. ciliata

Syn: Distomomischus ciliatus (Dumort.) Dulac, Festuca aetnensis (Tineo) Walp., F. barbata Gaudin non L., F. ciliata Gouan, F. ciliata var. imberbis Vis., F. danthonii Asch. \& Graebn., F. exigua Litv., F. gaudiniana Guss., Vulpia aetnensis Tineo, V. ciliata var. danthonii (Asch. \& Graeb.) Maire \& Weiller, V. danthonii (Asch. \& Graebn.) Volkart, V. gaudiniana (Guss.) N. Terracc., V. mandaliscae Lojac., V. myuros var. ciliata (Dumort.) Bonnier \& Barratte, V. unioloides Lojac.
V. elliotea (Raf.) Fernald, Rhodora 47: 106 (1945)

Bas: Dasiola elliotea Raf. Neogenyton 4 (1825)
Syn: Festuca monandra Elliot, F. sciurea Nutt., Vulpia quadriflora Trin. ex Steud., V. sciurea (Nutt.) Henrard
V. microstachys (Nutt.) Munro, Pl. Hartw. [Bentham] 342 (1857)

Bas: Festuca microstachys Nutt., Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia 4: 24 (1848)
Syn: Festuca arida Elmer, F. confusa Piper, F. dives Suksd., F. eastwoodiae Piper, F. grayi (Abrams) Piper, F. pacifica Piper, F. reflexa Buckley, F. suksdorfii Piper ex Suksd., F. tracyi Hitchc., Vulpia arida (Elmer) Henrard, V. confusa (Piper) Henrard, V. eastwoodiae (Piper) Henrard, V. grayi (Abrams) Henrard, V. pacifica (Piper) Rydb., V. reflexa (Buckley) Rydb., V. tracyi (Hitchc.) Henrard
V. muralis (Kunth) Nees, Linnaea 19: 694 (1847)

Bas: Festuca muralis Kunth, Syn. Pl. 1: 218 (1828)
Syn: Festuca bromoides var. broteroi (Boiss. \& Reut.) Henriq., F. broteroi (Boiss. \& Reut.) Steud., F. hybrida Brot., F. dertonensis var. tenella (Boiss.) Briq., F. myuros var. muralis (Kunth) Speg., F. myuros var. tenella Boiss., Vulpia bromoides var. hybrida (Brot.) A. Fern, J.G. García \& R. Fern., V. broteroi Boiss. \& Reut., V. dertonensis var. broteroi (Boiss. \& Reut.) Hegi, V. dertonensis var. longearistata (Willk. ex Husn.) Azn., V. hybrida (Brot.) Pau, V. longiseta var. hybrida (Brot.) Cout., V. myuros var. broteroi (Boiss. \& Reut.) Pérez Lara, $V$. myuros var. tenella (Boiss.) Maire \& Weiller, V. sciuroides var. broteroi (Boiss. \& Reut.) Trab., V. sciuroides var. longearistata Willk. ex Husn., V. sciuroides var. microstachys Hack.
V. myuros (L.) C.C. Gmel., Fl. Bad. 1: 8 (1805)

Bas: Festuca myuros L., Sp. Pl. 1: 74 (1753)
Syn: Avena muralis Salisb., Bromus bohemicus F.W. Schmidt ex Mert. \& Koch, Distomomischus myuros (L.) Dulac, Festuca linearis Gilib., F. megalura Nutt., F. myuros f. major Rohlena, F. pseudomyuros Soy.-Will., Mygalurus caudatus Link, Vulpia alpina L. Lui, V. bromoides var. rigida Nees, V. crinita Lojac., V. longivaginata St.-Lag., V. major (Rohlena) A. Löve \& D. Löve, V. megalura (Nutt.) Rydb., V. murorum Gray, V. myuros f. hirsuta (Hack.) C.H. Blom, V. myuros f. megalura (Nutt.) Stace \& R. Cotton, V. myuros subsp. pseudomyuros (Soy.-Will.) Maire \& Weiller, V. pilosa C.C. Gmel., V. pseudomyuros (Soy.-Will.) Rchb, V. reclinata Dumort., V. vaginata St.-Lag.
V. octoflora (Walter) Rydb., Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 36: 538 (1909)

Bas: Festuca octoflora Walter, Fl. Carol. 81 (1788)
Syn: Brachypodium festucoides Link, Diarrhena setacea (Poir.) Roem. \& Schult., Festuca gracilenta Buckley, F. parviflora Elliott, F. pusilla Buckley, F. setacea Poir., F. tenella Willd., Gnomonia octoflora (Walter) Lunell, Schedonorus tenellus (Willd.) P. Beauv., Vulpia antofagastensis Parodi, V. tenella (Willd.) Heynh.
V. persica (Boiss. \& Buhse) V.I. Krecz. \& Bobrov, Fl. URSS 2: 535 (1934)

Bas: Nardurus persicus Boiss. \& Buhse, Mem. Soc. Nat. Mosc. 12: 225 (1860)
Syn: V. hirtiglumis Boiss., V. villosa Maslenn.
CTENOPSIS De Not., Ind. Sem. Horti Bot. Genuensis 1847: 26 (1847)

## Section Ctenopsis

Syn: Festuca subsect. Pectinula F. Herm., Vulpia sect. Ctenopsis (De Not.) Boiss.
C. pectinella (Delile) De Not., Ind. Sem. Horti Bot. Genuensis 1847: 26 (1847)

Bas: Festuca pectinella Delile, Index Seminum [Montpellier] 1836: 24 (1837)
Syn: Ctenopsis patens (Boiss.) Melderis, Festuca cynosuroides Delile non Desf., F. patens (Boiss.) Steud., Vulpia patens Boiss., V. pectinella (Delile) Boiss.

Section Pseudocynosurus (Willk.) Cotton \& Stace, Bot. Not. 130: 187 (1977)
Bas: Vulpia sect. Pseudocynosurus Willk., in Willk. \& Lange, Prodr. Fl. Hisp. 1: 90 (1861)
Syn: Festuca subsect. Ctenopsis F. Herm., Narduretia Villar
C. cynosuroides (Desf.) Paunero ex Romero García, Lagascalia 18: 321 (1996)

Bas: Festuca cynosuroides Desf., Fl. Atlant. 1: 88 (1798)
Syn: Narduretia cynosuroides (Desf.) Villar, Nardurus cynosuroides (Desf.) Trab., Triticum cynosuroides (Desf.) Spreng., Vulpia cynosuroides (Desf.) Parl.
C. delicatula (Lag.) Paunero, Anales Inst. Bot. Cavanilles 21: 365 (1963)

Bas: Festuca delicatula Lag., Varied. Ci. 2(4): 39 (1805)
Syn: Loretia delicatula (Lag.) Willk., Mygalurus delicatulus (Lag.) Link, Narduretia delicatula (Lag.) Villar, Vulpia delicatula (Lag.) Dumort.
C. gypsophila (Hack.) Paunero, Anales Inst. Bot. Cavanilles 21: 368 (1963)

Bas: Festuca gypsophila Hack., Oesterr. Bot. Z. 27: 47 (1877)
Syn: Loretia gypsophila (Hack.) Willk., Narduretia gypsacea (Willk.) Villar, Vulpia delicatula var. gypsacea Willk., Vulpia gypsophila (Hack.) Nyman

MICROPYRUM (Gaudin) Link, Linnaea 17: 397 (1844)
Bas: Triticum sect. Micropyrum Gaudin, Fl. Helv. 1:366 (1828)
M. patens (Brot.) Rothm. ex Pilg., Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 74: 567 (1949)

Bas: Triticum patens Brot., Fl. Lusit. 1: 120 (1804)
Syn: Brachypodium patens (Brot.) Nyman, Catapodium patens (Brot.) Rothm. \& P. Silva, Festuca patens (Brot.) K. Richt., Micropyrum albaredae Paunero, M. tenellum subsp. patens (Brot.) Rivas Mart., Nardurus patens (Brot.) Hack.
M. tenellum (L.) Link, Linnaea 17: 398 (1844)

Bas: Triticum tenellum L., Syst. Nat., ed. 10, 2: 880 (1759)
Syn: Brachypodium nardus (DC.) P. Beauv., B. poa (DC.) Roem. \& Schult., B. tenellum (L.) P. Beauv., Catapodium halleri (Viv.) Rchb., C. tenellum (L.) Trab., Festuca festucoides (Bertol.) Bech., F. gracilis Kunth, F. lachenalii (C.C. Gmel.) Spenn., F. nardus (DC.) Raspail, F. racemifera Trin. ex Steud., Festucaria tenuicula (Loisel.) Link, Nardurus halleri (Viv.) Fiori, N. lachenalii (C.C. Gmel.) Godr., N. morisonii Lojac., N. poa (DC.) Boiss., N. tenellus (L.) Reichard ex Godr., N. tenuiculmis Fourr., Prosphysis tenella (L.) Dulac, Triticum festuca DC., T. festucoides Bertol., T. halleri Viv., T. lachenalii C.C. Gmel., T. lolioides Pers., T. nardus DC., T. poa DC., T. subulatum Sm. ex Munro, T. tenuiculum Loisel., Vulpia lachenalii (C.C. Gmel.) Heynh., $V$. nardus (DC.) Dumort.

LOLIOLUM V.I. Krecz. \& Bobrov, Fl. URSS 2: 544 (1934)
L. subulatum (Banks \& Sol.) Eig, J. Bot. 75: 189 (1937)

Bas: Triticum subulatum Banks \& Sol., Nat. Hist. Aleppo, ed. 2, 2: 244 (1794)
Syn: Agropyron subulatiforme Soó, A. subulatum (Banks \& Sol.) Roem. \& Schult., Festuca orientalis (Boiss.) B. Fedtsch., Loliolum orientale (Boiss.) V.I. Krecz. \& Bobrov, Nardurus orientalis Boiss., N. subulatus (Banks \& Sol.) Bor

WANGENHEIMIA Moench, Methodus (Moench) 200 (1794)
W. demnatensis (Murb.) Stace, Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 76: 350 (1978)

Bas: Festuca demnatensis Murb., Lunds Univ. Arsskrift, n.s., 18(3): 14 (1922)
Syn: Catapodium demnatense (Murb.) Maire \& Weiller, Nardurus demnatensis (Murb.) Maire
W. lima (L.) Trin., Fund. Agrost. (Trinius) 132 (1820)

Bas: Cynosurus lima L., Sp. Pl. 1: 72 (1753)
Syn: Catapodium pauciflorum (Merino) Brullo, Giusso, Miniss. \& Spamp., Dactylis disticha (Moench) Ball, D. lima (L.) Steud., Desmazeria pauciflora Merino, D. rhachiantha (Steud.) Mendonça \& Vasc., D. castellana Willk., Eleusine lima (L.) Lam., Dinebra lima (L.) P. Beauv., Festuca rhachiantha Steud., Poa lima (L.) Trin., Wangenheimia disticha Moench

NARDUROIDES Rouy, Fl. France [Rouy \& Foucaud] 14: 301 (1913)
N. salzmannii (Boiss.) Rouy, Fl. France [Rouy \& Foucaud] 14: 301 (1913)

Bas: Nardurus salzmannii Boiss., Voy. Bot. Espagne 2: t. 178 (1842)
Syn: Brachypodium salzmannii (Boiss.) Nyman, Catapodium salzmannii (Boiss.) Boiss., Festuca salzmannii (Boiss.) Boiss. ex Coss., Nardurus filiformis (Salzm. ex Willk. \& Lange) C. Vicioso, Triticum filiforme Salzm. ex Willk. \& Lange

PSILURUS Trin., Fund. Agrost. (Trinius) 93 (1820)
P. incurvus (Gouan) Schinz \& Thell., Vierteljahrsschr. Naturf. Ges. Zürich 58: 40 (1913) Bas: Nardus incurva Gouan, Hortus Monsp. 33: (1762)

Syn: Asprella aristata (L.) Kuntze, A. nardiformis Host, Festuca incurva (Gouan) Gutermann, Nardus aristata L., Psilurus aristatus (L.) Duval-Jouve, P. hirtellus Simonk., P. nardoides Trin., P. rottboellioides Griff., Rottboelia monandra Cav.

CASTELLIA Tineo, Pl. Rar. Sicil. 17 (1817)
C. tuberculosa (Moris) Bor, Indian Forester 74: 90 (1948)

Bas: Catapodium tuberculosum Moris, Atti Riunione Sci. Ital. 2: 481 (1841)
Syn: Castellia tuberculata Tineo, Desmazeria tuberculosa (Moris) Bonnier, Festuca muricata Durieu ex Parl., F. tuberculosa (Moris) Coss. \& Durieu, Lolium elegans Steud., Micropyrum tuberculosum (Moris) Pilg., Nardurus tuberculosus (Moris) Hayek

## SPECIES INCERTAE SEDIS

Nardurus mamoraeus Maire, Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Afrique N. 28: 386 (1937)
Syn: Catapodium mamoraeum (Maire) Maire \& Weiller
Micropyrum mamoraeum (Maire) Stace

## EXCLUDED SPECIES

Ctenopsis cerasiformis (Stocks) Naudin = Ctenolepis cerasiformis (Stocks) C.B. Clarke (Cucurbitaceae)
C. garcinii (L.) Naudin = Ctenolepis garcinii (L.) C.B. Clarke (Cucurbitaceae)

Vulpia brauniana Nees = Anthosachne scabra (R. Br.) Nevski
V. incrassata (Salzm. ex Loisel.) Parl. = Vulpiella stipoides (L.) Maire
V. letourneuxii Asch. ex E.A. Durande \& Barratte = Vulpiella stipoides (L.) Maire
V. megastachya Nees = Festuca vulpioides Steud.
V. michelii (Savi) Rchb. = Avellinia festucoides (Link) Váldes \& H. Scholz
V. pectinata (Labill.) Nees = Australopyrum pectinatum (Labill.) Á. Löve
V. rectiseta Nees = Anthosachne rectiseta (Nees) Barkworth \& S.W.L. Jacobs
V. scabra (R. Br.) Nees = Anthosachne scabra (R. Br.) Nevski
V. stipoides (L.) Dumort. = Vulpiella stipoides (L.) Maire
V. tenuicula Boiss. \& Reut. = Avellinia festucoides (Link) Váldes \& H. Scholz
V. tenuis (Tineo) Parl. = Vulpiella stipoides (L.) Maire
V. ulochaeta Nees ex Steud. = Festuca ulochaeta Steud.

Wangenheimia umbellata (Ruiz \& Pav.) F. Dietr. = Dendropanax umbellata (Ruiz \& Pav.) J.F. Macbr. (Araliaceae)

