

NATURAL RESOURCES ANALYSIS PROGRAM (NRAP)

VEGETATION SURVEY AND MAPPING OF CAPE YORK PENINSULA

V.J. Neldner and J.R. Clarkson Queensland Herbarium, Mareeba Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage 1995

CYPLUS is a joint initiative of the Queensland and Commonwealth Governments

CAPE YORK PENINSULA LAND USE STRATEGY (CYPLUS)

Natural Resources Analysis Program

VEGETATION SURVEY AND MAPPING OF CAPE YORK PENINSULA

V.J. Neldner and J.R. Clarkson Queensland Herbarium, Mareeba Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage 1995

Incorporating information collected by: D.G. Fell and J.P. Stanton Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage

CYPLUS is a joint initiative of the Queensland and Commonwealth Governments

Final report on project:

NR01 - CAPE YORK PENINSULA VEGETATION MAPPING

Recommended citation:

Neldner, V.J and Clarkson, J.R. (1995). 'Vegetation Survey and Mapping of Cape York Peninsula'. (Cape York Peninsula Land Use Strategy, Office of the Co-ordinator General of Queensland, Brisbane, Department of the Environment, Sport and Territories, Canberra, and Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage, Brisbane.)

Note:

Due to the timing of publication, reports on other CYPLUS projects may not be fully cited in the REFERENCES section. However, they should be able to be located by author, agency or subject.

ISBN 0724262024

[©] The State of Queensland and Commonwealth of Australia 1995.

Copyright protects this publication. Except for purposes permitted by the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced by any means without the prior written permission of the Office of the Co-ordinator General of Queensland and the Australian Government Publishing Service. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to:

i

Office of the Co-ordinator General, Government of Queensland PO Box 185 BRISBANE ALBERT STREET Q 4002

or

The Manager, Commonwealth Information Services GPO Box 84 CANBERRA ACT 2601

CAPE YORK PENINSULA LAND USE STRATEGY STAGE I

PREFACE TO PROJECT REPORTS

Cape York Peninsula Land Use Strategy (CYPLUS) is an initiative to provide a basis for public participation in planning for the ecologically sustainable development of Cape York Peninsula. It is jointly funded by the Queensland and Commonwealth Governments and is being carried out in three stages:

- Stage I information gathering;
- Stage II development of principles, policies and processes; and
- Stage III implementation and review.

The project dealt with in this report is a part of Stage I of CYPLUS. The main components of Stage I of CYPLUS consist of two data collection programs, the development of a Geographic Information System (GIS) and the establishment of processes for public participation.

The data collection and collation work was conducted within two broad programs, the Natural Resources Analysis Program (NRAP) and the Land Use Program (LUP). The project reported on here forms part of one of these programs.

The objectives of NRAP were to collect and interpret base data on the natural resources of Cape York Peninsula to provide input to:

- evaluation of the potential of those resources for a range of activities related to the use and management of land in line with economic, environmental and social values; and
- formulation of the land use policies, principles and processes of CYPLUS.

Projects examining both physical and biological resources were included in NRAP together with Geographic Information System (GIS) projects. NRAP projects are listed in the following Table.

Physical Resource/GIS Projects	Biological Resource Projects
Bedrock geological data - digitising and integration (NR05)	Vegetation mapping (NR01)
Airborne geophysical survey (NR15)	Marine plant (seagrass/mangrove) distribution (NR06)
Coastal environment geoscience survey (NR14)	Insect fauna survey (NR17)
Mineral resource inventory (NR04)	Fish fauna survey (NR10)
Water resource investigation (groundwater) (NR16)	Terrestrial vertebrate fauna survey (NR03)
Regolith terrain mapping (NR12)	Wetland fauna survey (NR09)

Physical Resource/GIS Projects	Biological Resource Projects
Land resource inventory (NR02)	Flora data and modelling (NR18)
Environmental region analysis (NR11)	Fauna distribution modelling (NR19)
CYPLUS data into NRIC database FINDAR (NR20)	Golden-shouldered parrot conservation management (NR21)
Queensland GIS development and maintenance (NR08)	

GIS creation/maintenance (NR07)*

* These projects are accumulating and storing all Stage I data that is submitted in GIS compatible formats.

Research priorities for the LUP were set through the public participation process with the objectives of:

- collecting information on a wide range of social, cultural, economic and environmental issues relevant to Cape York Peninsula; and
- highlighting interactions between people, land (resource use) and nature sectors.

Projects were undertaken within these sector areas and are listed in the following Table.

People Projects	Land Projects	Nature Projects	
Population	Current land use	Surface water resources	
Transport services and infrastructure	Land tenure Fire		
Values, needs and aspirations	Indigenous management of land and sea	Feral and pest animals	
Services and infrastructure	Pastoral industry	Weeds	
Economic assessment	Primary industries (non-pastoral, non-forestry)	Land degradation and soil erosion	
Secondary and tertiary industries	Forest resources	Conservation and natural heritage assessment	
Traditional activities	Commercial and non commercial fisheries	Conservation and National Park management	
Current administrative structures	Mineral resource potential and mining industry		
	Tourism industry		

SUMMARY

The structure, floristic composition and areal extent of the present native vegetation of Cape York Peninsula defined as that area of Queensland lying north of latitude 16° south including offshore islands and the islands of Torres Strait is described and mapped from aerial photography and extensive field traverses. This report summarises information which will be presented at length in two publications due for completion by the end of 1994 (Clarkson & Neldner in press and Neldner and Clarkson in press).

Twenty-one structural formations are recognised. Woodland in its various facies is the most common and wide spread structural formation. Closed forests cover less than 5% of the area thus dispelling the widespread misconception that this formation dominates the vegetation of Cape York Peninsula.

Two hundred and one map units are recognised for the natural vegetation in the mapping component of the study. An additional six units are defined for disturbed vegetation. To simplify regional analysis an intuitive amalgamation of the 201 native vegetation map units produced 30 broad vegetation groups (BVG). Summary information obtained by intersecting the vegetation coverage on the GIS with the CYPLUS regolith, geology and soils coverage is presented for each broad vegetation group. The table below summarises the data presented as broad vegetation groups.

The vegetation of Cape York Peninsula is dominated by *Eucalyptus* spp. woodlands, openwoodlands and open-forests, which occupy 64% of the study area (see table below). This dominance of eucalypt savannas is repeated in other tropical areas of northern Australia.

Amalgamated Broad Vegetation Groups	Area (sq km)	%Total Area
Eucalyptus spp. dominated woodlands, open-woodlands and open-forests	85 417	64.0
Melaleuca spp. dominated low open-woodlands, low woodlands and tall shrublands	19 013	14,2
Grasslands and sparse open-woodlands	8 1 1 0	6.1
Closed-forests (excluding mangroves)	7 482	5.6
Heathlands	4 461	3.3
Miscellaneous communities (including mangroves, littoral vegetation and wetlands)	9 056	6.8
TOTAL	133 539	100.0

Extent of amalgamated broad vegetation groups

The messmate (*Eucalyptus tetrodonta*) dominated woodlands and tall woodlands (broad vegetation groups 16 and 17) are the most extensive, occupying 36.3% of the study area. *E. tetrodonta* dominates large areas in the Top End of the Northern Territory and significant areas in the Kimberley.

Eucalyptus hylandii and/or E. tetrodonta dominated woodlands occurring on sandstone, metamorphic and ironstone ranges occupy 7.3% of the study area. Other larger broad vegetation groups dominated by Eucalyptus spp. are group 8 (5.6%), which is dominated by the bloodwoods (E. clarksoniana, E. novoguinensis and E. polycarpa); group 7 (5.0%), dominated by the boxes (E. chlorophylla, E. microtheca and E. acroleuca); group 9 (4.0%) dominated by the ironbarks (E. cullenii and E. crebra) and box (E. persistens subsp. tardecidens); and group 11 (3.1%), dominated by Molloy box (E. leptophleba).

The next most extensive vegetation group is the low open-woodlands, low woodlands and tall shrublands dominated by *Melaleuca* spp. (14.2% of total area), in particular *Melaleuca viridiflora* (broad vegetation group 18) which covers 10.4% of the study area.

Grasslands (6.1%), rainforests (5.6%) and heathlands (3.3%) are the next most extensive vegetation types.

Extensive field collecting and analysis of herbarium data has produced a list of the vascular plants known to occur in the study area of 3338 species. The composition of the flora is summarised in some detail in a series of tables. Of some concern is the increase in the number of naturalised exotic species which has been shown to have occurred in less than 10 years since the last similar analysis of the flora was undertaken. While still accounting for only 7.4% of the total vascular flora, naturalised exotics have increased by almost 106% in this time. This is more than 4.5 times the corresponding increase in native species. As land use patterns change leading to more extensive clearing, increased use of exotic pasture species and the importation of materials and machinery from the south, this alarming trend is likely to continue.

	Pteridophytes	Gymnosperms	Angiosperms	Total
Families	30	5	183	218
Genera	73	6	1,118	1,197
Species	157	8	3,173	3,338

÷

i

Summary of the vascular flora

Family	No. of Genera	Percentage
Poaceae	93	7.7
Orchidaceae	62	5.1
Fabaceae	56	4.6
Euphorbiaceae	45	3.7
Asteraceae	45	3.7
Rubiaceae	35	2.9
Myrtaceae	32	2.6
Sapindaceae	26	2.1
Cyperaceae	23	1.9
Rutaceae	20	1.6

Ranking of the 10 largest families based on the number of genera and their percentage of the total vascular genera

379 taxa recognised as rare or threatened by the Queensland Herbarium (1994) and known to occur on Cape York Peninsula are listed in Appendix 4. This represented 10.7% of the total flora.

.



CONTENTS

1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.1	Previous vegetation surveys	1
2.0	SURV	VEY METHOD	5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	Mapping parameters	- 5
	2.3	Sampling	12
	2.4	CORVEG database	15
	2.5	Photointerpretation and mapping techniques	15
	2.6	Data analysis	18
	2.7	Limitations of the survey	19
3.0	VEGI	ETATION OF CAPE YORK PENINSULA	21
	3.1	Structural formations	21
	3.2	Broad vegetation groups	23
	3.3	BVG 1. Closed-forests of the Wet Tropics region	26
	3.4	BVG 2. Closed-forests of the McIlwraith-Iron Range region	28
	3.5	BVG 3. Closed-forests of northern Cape York Peninsula and the	
		Torres Strait Islands	30
	3.6	BVG 4. Closed-forests of coastal dunes, dunefields and the Jardine	
	·	River frontage	32
	3.7	BVG 5. Deciduous low closed-forests on slopes and alluvia	34
	3.8	BVG 6. Gallery closed-forests and Melaleuca spp. dominated open-	
		forests on alluvia	36
	3.9	BVG 7. Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus	
		chlorophylla, E. microtheca or E. acroleuca	38
	3.10	BVG 8. Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucatyptus	
		clarksoniana, E. novoguinensis of E. polycarpa	40
	3.11	BVG 9. Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus	47
	<u> </u>	cullenii, E. crebra of E. persistens subsp. tardecidens	42
	3.12	BVG 10. Woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus hylanali of E.	
		tetrodonta on sandstone, metamorphic and ironstone ranges	44
	3.13	BVG 11. Open-woodlands and woodlands dominated by Eucaryprus	
		leptophleba on river frontages and northern undulating plains	40
	3.14	BVG 12. Woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus leptophleba, E.	
		platyphylla or E. erythrophiota on undulating hills and plains in the	40
		south-east	48
	3.15	BVG 13. Open-forests and woodlands dominated by Eucaryptus	50
		nesophila or E. hylandii var. campestris	50
	3.16	BVG 14. Eucalyprus spp. open-forests of the Wet Tropics region	52
	3.17	BVG 15. Open-forests and woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus	
		tessellaris, E. clarksoniana or E. brassiana on coastal plains and	
		ranges	54
	3.18	BVG 16. Woodlands and tall woodlands dominated by Eucalyphus	e /
		tetroaonta on deeply weathered plateaus and remnants	20
	3,19	BVG 17. Woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus tetrodonta on	20
		erosional surfaces and residual sands	28

.

-

viii

.

	3,20	BVG 18. Low open-woodlands and low woodlands dominated by	
		Metaleuca viridiflora on depositional plains	60
	3.21	BVG 19. Open-forests and low open-forests dominated by	
		Metaleuca spp. in seasonally inundated swamps	62
	3.22	BVG 20. Low open-woodlands and tall shrublands dominated by	
		Melaleuca stenostachya, M. citrolens or other Melaleuca spp	64
	3.23	BVG 21. Tussock grasslands on marine and alluvial plains	66
	3.24	BVG 22. Closed-tussock grasslands and open-woodlands on	
		undulating clay plains	68
	3.25	BVG 23. Tussock grasslands on longitudinal drainage depressions,	
		headlands or continental islands	70
	3.26	BVG 24. Open-heaths and dwarf open-heaths on dunefields.	
		sandplains and headlands	72
	3.27	BVG 25. Woodlands and herblands on heach ridges and the littoral	-
	2.12.1	maroin	74
	3.78	BVG 26 Closed forests and low closed forests dominated by	~
	5.20	DYC 20. Closed-forests and fow closed-forests dominated by	76
	2 20	DVG 27 Sedeelends lakes and laceans	/0 70
	2 20	BVG 27. Sedgetation of the appel stells, this is a send south the	10
	3.30	BVG 28. Vegetation of the coral atoms, shingle cays and sand cays	δŪ
	3.31	BVG 29. Rocky and oare sandy areas, e.g. salipans, sand blows and	~~
	a a a	fock pavements	82
	3.32	BVG 30. Miscellaneous vegetation group dominated by Acacia spp.	
		or members of the Myrtaceae family occurring on a variety of	_
		landforms	84
	3.33	Vegetation summary	87
4.0	VEGE	TATION MAPPING GIS INFORMATION	91
	4.1	Vegetation mapping coverage	91
	4.2	Vegetation point attribute tables	91
	4.3	Lookun tahles	01
	4 4	CORVEG site database	<u>61</u>
	45	Standard documentation files	01
	4.5	Access to information	71
	4.0	Access to information	92
5.0	FLOR	ISTICS	93
	5.1	Floristic notes	93
	5.2	Alien plants	96
	5.3	Rare or threatened plants	96
	2.12		/0
6.0	USER	S AND POTENTIAL USERS	99
	6.1	Introduction	99
	6.2	CYPLUS users	99
	6.3	Non-CYPLUS users	99
	6.4	Future uses	00
			~~
7.0	CONC	LUSIONS	01
8.0	ACKN	IOWLEDGMENTS	03

9.0 10.0 10.1 10.2 APPENDIX 3. Naturalised exotic plants known to occur on Cape 10.3 APPENDIX 4. Rare or threatened plants known to occur on Cape 10.4 APPENDIX 5. Examples of rainforest site data collected by D.G. 10.5

ix

LIST OF TABLES

1.	Nomenclature of structural formations	6
2.	Field key to structural types of Australian rainforest vegetation	8
3.	Aerial photography used in this study	11
4.	Reliability ratings assigned by photointerpreter	16
5.	Percentage of study area for each reliability rating	16
6.	Percentage and area of each structural formation	23
7.	Extent of amalgamated broad vegetation groups	87
8.	Extent of Eucalyptus spp. dominated communities	88
9.	Broad vegetation groups in decreasing order of areal extent	89
10.	Summary of the vascular flora	93
11.	Ranking of the 10 largest families based on the number of genera	93
12.	Ranking of the 10 largest families based on the number of species	94
13.	Genera with 10 or more species ranked by the number of species	94
14.	Summary of taxa considered rare or threatened	97

.

.

х

xi

.

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Extent of study area	. 2
2.	Previous vegetation surveys in the study area	4
3.	Location of sampling sites	13
4.	Spatial extent of high and low reliability mapping	17
5.	Distribution of dominant structural formations	22
б.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 1	27
7.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 2	29
8.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 3	31
9.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 4	33
10.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 5	35
11.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 6	37
12.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 7	39
13.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 8	41
14.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 9	43
15.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 10	45
16.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 11	47
17.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 12	49
18.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 13	51
19.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 14	53
20.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 15	55
21.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 16	57
22.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 17	59
23.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 18	61

24.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 19	
25.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 20	
26.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 21	67
27.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 22	69
28.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 23	
29.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 24	
30.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 25	
31.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 26	
32.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 27	
33.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 28	
34.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 29	83
35.	Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 30	
36.	Distribution of the number of plant collections hele by the Queensland Herbarium for the study area .	d

ł

.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Vegetation survey and mapping has been a function of the Queensland Herbarium since 1969. The Herbarium embarked on the Vegetation Survey of Queensland in 1977. This survey aims to map and describe the vegetation of the state in nine sections at a compilation scale of 1:250 000. Three sections have been published (Boyland, 1984; Neldner, 1984; Neldner, 1991).

The Far Northern Queensland sheet (all of Queensland north of 16° S) covers all of the CYPLUS boundary with the exception of the southern extremities of the Cook Shire (see Figure 1). This study area also includes all of the Torres Strait and Great Barrier Reef islands that are part of Queensland. The study area covers 133,500 km² (7.7% of Queensland), which by way of comparison is nearly twice the size of Tasmania. It is important to note that this study area encompasses an additional 351 km² (mainly composed of the northern islands) than the CYPLUS area (north of 16°S). Area calculations will differ slightly from those based on the CYPLUS area.

Initial flora survey work began in 1979, and intensive mapping work in 1989. A substantial amount of photointerpretation, data collection and ground truthing had occurred before the commencement of CYPLUS Stage 1 in 1992. The support of CYPLUS funds allowed the survey and mapping program to be accelerated through the provision of technical and data entry support personnel. It also allowed a more balanced sampling of the vegetation through the use of helicopters to access remote areas. As part of CYPLUS NR01 a second team of botanists commenced sampling the rainforests, and these data have greatly improved the knowledge of the Cape York rainforests.

This report briefly summarises the results of this survey. Two major Queensland Herbarium publications, *Plants of Cape York Peninsula* (Clarkson and Neldner in prep) and *Vegetation of Cape York Peninsula* (Neldner and Clarkson in prep), will comprehensively document the results of the survey. A coloured map (at approximately 1:2,000,000 scale) of the 30 broad vegetation groups recognised for the study area together with individual black and white maps for each group are included in this report. Fourteen 1:250 000 scale coloured vegetation maps depicting the distribution of the 201 map units on Cape York Peninsula will by published in the future.

1.1 **Previous vegetation surveys**

The location and extent of previous surveys in the study area are shown in Figure 2. The CSIRO Mitchell-Normanby land resource survey (Galloway, Gunn and Story 1970) was the first systematic natural resource survey in the study area. It covered the southern six 1:250 000 sheets, excluding the south-eastern corner of the Cooktown sheet. 1:2 000 000 maps of geology, soils, pasture lands and vegetation were derived from the 1:1 000 000 land systems map. Eight map units were recognised on the vegetation map.

The soil scientist Ray Isbell travelled extensively through Cape York Peninsula while working on the Atlas of Australian soils survey (Isbell, Webb and Murtha 1968). In June and July 1968, Les Pedley and Isbell travelled both by vehicle and helicopter to record 80



Figure 1. Extent of study area

detailed vegetation sites in the Peninsula. A 1:2 000 000 map depicting 26 vegetation map units together with descriptions was produced (Pedley and Isbell 1971). Until recently this has been the most detailed vegetation coverage over the whole study area.

A 1:500 000 map of Cape York Peninsula rainforests was produced by the Department of Forestry (1988). This map was integrated with the Pedley and Isbell map and 1:1 000 000 colour composite LANDSAT imagery to produce a 1:2 000 000 map depicting 17 plant communities by Connell Wagner (1989).

LANDSAT imagery was also used to produce 1:5 000 000 maps of the natural and present vegetation of Australia by AUSLIG (1990).

Large scale vegetation maps have been produced for less extensive area on Cape York Peninsula by Byrnes, Everist and Reynolds (1977), Clarkson (1982), Gasteen (1982), Gunness, Lawrie and Foster (1987), Hynes and Tracey (1980), Lavarack, Puniard and Fell (1988), Lavarack and Stanton (1977), Tracey and Webb (1973), Unwin and Sanderson (1988) and Neldner and Clarkson (1991). Apart from Galloway et al. (1970), other land system surveys in the area have been produced by Godwin (1985) and Morgan (1984). Pye and Jackes (1980) described the vegetation of the heathlands at Cape Flattery showing the location of each type within the dunefields. A small section of these dunefields was mapped as part of the ethnobotanical studies of Calvert (1993). As part of a soil survey in the Lockhart River area, Bleeker and Laut (1987) produced a map of vegetation structural formations. A number of areas have been surveyed for orchids; McIlwraith Range (Lavarack 1980), Carron Valley (Lavarack 1984), Cape York and Jardine River areas (Lavarack 1986) and northern Torres Strait Islands (Lavarack, 1989). Descriptions of the vegetation were also included in the consultants reports for the Shelburne area (MacDonald Wagner 1986), the airfield for the Royal Australian Airforce near Weipa (Gutteridge Haskins and Davey 1990), the Skardon Kaolin Project (Blandford and Associates 1994), and Saibai and Coconut Islands (Environmental Science and Services (NQ) 1994). The intertidal areas of the Endeavour, Daintree and Mulgrave Rivers were surveyed and mapped by Le Cussan (1991), while observations of the vegetation in the estuaries of the creeks between Port Stewart and Harmer Creek were recorded in Le Cussan (1993). The vegetation of the intertidal areas of the CYPLUS area has also been mapped and described to the generic level by Danaher (1994) and the occurrence of mangrove communities along various western Peninsula streams has also been documented by Messel et al. (1981).

A variety of large scale vegetation maps have been produced for the Great Barrier Reef islands that support large bird populations. These are published in the journal *Corella*. Stoddart and Fosberg (1991) described the vegetation communities of the reef islands.

Many of the surveys listed above have been published as internal government or consultants' reports, and may be difficult to access.

4



Figure 2. Previous vegetation surveys in the study area.

2.0 SURVEY METHOD

2.1 Introduction

The vegetation survey and mapping methods adopted by the Queensland Herbarium have been summarised and discussed by Neldner (1993). Boyland (1984) gives a resume to the background of the Vegetation Survey of Queensland, and describes the context in which the methods used on the project were developed. The methods used in the present study are compatible with those used in South Western Queensland (Boyland, 1984), South Central Queensland (Neldner, 1984) and Central Western Queensland (Neldner, 1991). These surveys relied extensively on data collected on previous land system surveys, and were partly constrained by the methodologies used on these integrated surveys. This survey of Far Northern Queensland was planned and developed as a single purpose vegetation survey with the majority of the data being collected by the authors between April 1989 and May 1994.

With the commencement of the Cape York Peninsula Land Use Strategy (CYPLUS) in May 1992, opportunities for integrated field work arose. Joint field work was conducted with Department of Primary Industries soil scientists resulting in 300 sites where detailed vegetation and soil information are available.

2.2 Mapping parameters

2.2.1 Map scale

The scale used for the vegetation survey was 1:250 000. This is equivalent to a reconnaissance survey with recommended uses including national and regional resource inventory, planning of large property development and management and assessment of extensive conservation areas (Reid, 1988). The 1:250 000 scale has been successfully used in other Vegetation Survey of Queensland study areas. It is a standard scale used nationally for topographic, soils, geology and vegetation. The soils, geology and regolith surveys conducted as part of CYPLUS were also produced at 1:250 000 scale.

2.2.2 Vegetation classification

Vegetation communities may vary continuously both in time and space. "Any attempt to classify a continuously varying system into several categories must necessarily be somewhat arbitrary, in so far as at some points the system must be broken into distinct groups. The selection of these critical points constitutes a controversial issue, since classification is essentially a compromise between the desire to preserve these natural groupings as continuously varying entities and the need to subdivide them for more utilitarian purposes" (Beadle and Costin 1962, p 61).

The best classification system for a project will be determined by the purpose and scale of the map. A classification based firstly on the structure, and secondly on the floristics of the vegetation is the most appropriate approach when mapping a large little-known area (Küchler 1967; Beard and Webb 1974; Boyland 1984), and has been adopted for the Vegetation Survey of Queensland. Primary emphasis for classification was placed on the distribution of the perennial evergreen species as these are present regardless of the timing of sampling and less likely to reflect variations in seasonal conditions.

The structural formations of the vegetation are classified using a modification of the classification proposed by Specht (1970) (Table 1). Those formations occurring in this study area are indicated in boldface. Specht's scheme is based on the projective foliage cover, height and life form of the tallest layer. Map units in this survey are classified primarily on the structure of the predominant or characteristic layer, which is the layer contributing most to overall biomass and secondarily on the dominant species in that layer. The modification of Specht's classification is discussed in Boyland (1984).

Life form and height	Projective foliage cover of characteristic stratum			
of characteristic stratum †	Dense (70-100)%	Mid-dense (30-70)%	Sparse (10-30)%	Very sparse (<10)%
Trees* > 30 m	tall closed- forest	tall open-forest	tall woodland	
Trees* 10-30 m	closed-forest	open-forest	woodland	open-woodland
Trees* < 10 m	low closed- forest	low open-forest	low woodland	low open- woodland
Shrubs# 2-8 m	closed-scrub	open-scrub	tali shrubland	tall open- shrubland
Shrubs# J-2 m	closed-heath	open-heath	shrubland	open-shrubland
Shrubs# < 1 m		dwarf open-heath	dwarf shrubland	dwarf open- shrubland
Succulent shrub			succulent shrubland	open-succulent shrubland
Hummock grasses			bummock grass- land	open-hummock grass]and
Tussock grasses	closed-tussock grassland	tussock grassland	op <u>en</u> -tussock grassland	sparse-tussock grassland
Herbs	closed-herbland°	herbland [°]	open-herbland [°]	sparse-herbland°
Forbs	elosed-forbland	forbland	open-forbland	sparse-forbland
Sedges	closed-sedgeland	sedgeland	open-sedgeland	

Table 1. Nomenclature of structural formations (modified from Specht 1970).

† Characteristic stratum is the layer which contributes most to the biomass.

* Tree is a woody plant more than 5 m tall usually with a single stem.

Shrub is a woody plant less than 8 m tall either multi-stemmed or branched close to ground level, infrequently with a single stem.

[°] Herbland refers to associations in which species composition and abundance is dependent on seasonal conditions, and at any one time grasses or forbs may predominate.

The structural classification of rainforests by Webb (1978) (Table 2) has been widely followed in descriptive and ecological studies. This classification is used to further classify the closed-forest structural formations.

The fundamental unit of description of the vegetation is the plant association. An association is a community in which the dominant layer has a qualitatively uniform floristic composition, and which exhibits a uniform structure as a whole (Beadle and Costin, 1952). Associations were erected on the basis of the frequency and abundance (based on density, basal area and cover estimates) of species, the stratification of plant forms and the spatial distribution of individuals. The structural attributes are of a continuous nature and can lead to a proliferation of associations, as structural formations grade into each other.

A map unit is that area which is readily mappable. Map units may be homogeneous, consisting of a single plant association, or they may comprise several different plant associations. Where various plant associations could not be consistently segregated by photointerpretation, they were amalgamated into one map unit.

Table 2.Field key to structural types of Australian rainforest vegetation (from
Webb, 1978)

1.	Mesop	hylis and	notop		
-	2.	Robus macro spaces diamet av. 21	t liane phylls gener ters in -42 m	s, vascular epiphytes, plant buttresses, and compound mesophylls prominent; trunk rally obscured by aroids and palms; stem regular, many av. 60-120 cm; canopy level	
		3.	De	ciduous emergent and top canopy trees rare.	
			4.	Palm trees not prominent in canopy	Complex mesophyll vine forest (CMVE)
			4.	Feather pain trees prominent in canopy	Mesophyll feather-palm vine forest (MFPVF)
		3.	Deci canc	iduous and semi-deciduous emergent and top apy.	
			4.	Mostly mesophylls	Semi-deciduous mesophyll vine forest (SDMVF)
			4.	Mostly notophylls	Semi-deciduous notophyll vine forest (SDNVF)
	2.	Robust upper tr plank bi diamete regular, Simplifi approac Scleropi	lianes rec lay utress rs (exc, av. 6 cation h that hylls (and vascular epiphytes not conspicuous in ers which are simplified; spur rather than es prominent; trunk spaces open, stem cept for evergreen emergents) generally 0 cm; canopy level av. 24-36 m. of structural features does not, however of simple notophyll evergreen types. e.g. Acaria) may be scattered in canopy.	
			abso	at. Mostly mesophylls.	
			4.	Palm trees not prominent in canopy	Mesophyll vine forest (MVF)
			4.	Fan palm trees prominent in canopy	Mesophyll fan-palm vine forest (MFAPVF)
1.	Notophy	Notophylls and microphylls most common		hylls most common	
	2.	 Robust and slender woody lianes, vascular epiphytes, plank buttresses, and compound entire leaves prominent; trunk spaces generally obscured by the Aroid <i>Pothas</i>; stem diameters irregular, many av. 60-120 cm. 			
		3.	Can mos	opy level uneven, av. 21-45 m, emergents tly evergreen and umbrageous	Complex notophyll vine forest (CNVF)
		3.	Can deci Ara	opy level uneven, av. 15-36 m, occasional iduous species with common emergent ucaria or Agathis, reaching av. 36-51 m	Araucarian notophyll vinc forest (ANVF)

•

:

.

Table 2. (cont).Field key to structural types of Australian rainforest vegetation
(from Webb, 1978)

2.	Robust tops; sk underst leaves p (except erowns tendenc in uppe with sel	lianes and vascular epiphytes inconspicuous in tree ender woody and wiry lianes prominent in arey; plank buttresses inconspicuous; simple toothed prominent; trunk spaces open; stem diameters for emergents) generally regular av. 60 cm; tree evergreen and generally sparse and narrow; strong y to single species dominance (e.g. Ceratopetalion) r tree layers; canopy level even, av. 21-33 m often lerophyllous emergents and co-dominants.	Simple notophyll evergreen vine forest (SNEVF)
2.	Robust present, crowns deciduo betweer	lianes, vascular epiphytes and plank buttresses , but not so prominent as in complex types; tree mostly evergreen, but with a few semi-evergreen or us species, ic. structural features are intermediate a simple and complex types	Notophyll vine forest (NVF)
2.	Robust (climbir vascular feather ; overgro	and slender lianes generally present, wiry lianes og ferns) generally conspicuous in understorey; r epiphytes and plank buttresses inconspicuous; palms generally conspicuous; tree crowns en; canopy level av. 20-25 m	Evergreen notophyll vine forest
2.	Robust, fleshy w plank b promine tree cro evergre and co-	slender and wiry lianes generally inconspicuous; rascular epiphytes may be prominent on trunks; uttresses inconspicuous; simple entire leaves ent; deciduous species generally absent but many was become sparse during the dry season i.e. semi- en; typically mixed with sclerophyllous emergents dominants.	(ENVF) <u>+</u> feather palms
	3. 3.	Canopy level av. 10-20 m Canopy level av. 3-9 m, generally even, and canopy trees often branched low down (shrub- like)	Simple semi-evergreen notophyll vine forest (SSENVF) Simple semi-evergreen notophyll vine thicket (SSENVT)
1. Microphylls	most con	nmon	
2.	Mossy a layers; a absent; shrub u; and enti	and vascular epiphytes inconspicuous in top tree robust lianes generally prominent; plank buttresses prickly and thorny species frequent in usually dense nderstorey; ground layer sparse; compound leaves ire leaf margins common.	
	3.	Canopy level uneven, av. 9-15 m with mixed evergreen and semi-evergreen emergent and upper tree layer species; araucarian and deciduous emergents rare or absent	Low microphyll vine forest (LMVF)
	3.	Canopy level uneven, av. 9-15 m with some deciduous and semi-evergreen species; frequent arzucarian (Araucaria cunninghamil) emergents to av. 21-36 m	Araucarian microphyll vine forest (AMVF)
	3.	Canopy level uneven and discontinuous, av. 4-9 m with mixed evergreen, semi-evergreen and deciduous emergents to av. 9 - 18 m, swollen stems ("Bottle Trees' common)	Semi-evergreen vine thicket (SEVT)
	3.	Canopy level uneven and discontinuous, av. 4-9 m; practically all emergents are deciduous, and many understorey species are deciduous or semi- evergreen; swollen stems ('Bottle Trees' and other species may be common)	Deciduous vine thicket (DVT)

Table 2. (cont). Field key to structural types of Australian rainforest vegetation (from Webb, 1978)

2.	Mossy layers; general thomy commo (<i>Nothoj</i> ground present	and vascular epiphytes usually present in top tree robust liancs inconspicuous; slender and wiry lianes ly prominent; plank buttresses absent; prickly and species absent; simple leaves with toothed margins n; strong tendency to single species dominance lagus, Eucryphia) in tree layer; tree ferms and ferms prominent; sclerophyll emergents generally in marginal situations	
	3.	Canopy level tail, even except for sclerophylls, av. 20-45 m	Microphyll fern forest (MFF)
	3.	Canopy level stunted, generally even and mixed with sclerophylis, av. 6-9 m	Microphyli fera thicket (MFT)
1. Nanophylls	most com	mon	
2.	Mossy prickles simple { tendeno layer; to and cov present.	epiphytes conspicuous; robust lianes and true s and thorns absent or rare; plank buttresses absent; leaves with toothed margins common; strong by to single species dominance (<i>Nothofagus</i>) in tree ree fems and ground prominent; floor often peaty vered by mosses; sclerophyll emergents generally	
	з.	Canopy level tall, except for selerophylls, av. 18-40 m	Nanophyll form forest (NFF) and mossy forest (NMF)
	3.	Canopy level stunted, uneven, often with sclerophylls, av. 6-9 m	Nanophyll fern thicket (NFT) and mossy thicket (NMT)

2.2.3 Nature of mapped vegetation

This study aims to map and survey relatively undisturbed natural vegetation. Natural vegetation is an integration of environmental parameters, and hence a summary of the abiotic parameters of a site (soils, geology, climate) and an indication of its resource potential. While virtually all of Cape York Peninsula vegetation may have been influenced by the grazing of domestic and feral animals and altered fire regimes since European settlement, it is impossible to fully evaluate the magnitude and extent of change in the vegetation. The invasion of weeds has also occurred, causing severe degradation in localised areas, eg. *Cryptostegia grandiflora* (Rubbervine) in the frontage country of the Mitchell river. However, compared to more closely settled area of Queensland, the impacts on the vegetation have been low, resulting in only subtle changes in structure and floristics. Most of these changes have probably affected the low shrub and ground layers. There are obvious examples where change has been dramatic, either directly by human influence, e.g. clearing for mining or cropping, or indirectly through altered fire regimes and grazing, e.g. expansion of rainforest species in the Cooktown area.

The vegetation map represents the distribution of undisturbed natural vegetation in the study area at the time when the aerial photographs were taken. For all but the Cooktown sheet, the aerial photographs used were taken between 1969 and 1971. The Cooktown photography used was flown between 1960 and 1962. The details of the aerial photography used in the project are listed in Table 3.

Where field sampling showed that the vegetation has dramatically changed since when the aerial photographs were taken, both the vegetation shown on the aerial photographs and the present vegetation are recorded in the GIS coverages. By interrogating the GIS, the areas where dramatic change has been noted can be displayed. However, this evaluation of present vegetation is restricted to areas that were visited during field work.

1:250 000 map sheet	Code	Scale	Date	Туре	Comment
Αυτυκυπ	D54-7	1:85 000	6/69;7/69;8/69;11/69	B&W_	
Boigu	SC57-7	Various	7/73;6/75	B&W	-
Cape Melville	D55-9	1:84 400	9/69;11/69;7/70;7/74	B&W	
Cape Melville	D55-9	1:138 300	6/75	B&W	Cape Melville area
Cape Weymouth	D54-4	1:84 000	11/69;9/70;11/71; 10/72;7/74;8/74	B&W	
Com	D54-8	1:83 000	8/69;7/70;10/72;7/74 8/74	B&W	
Cooktown	D55-13	1:85 000	12/59;5/60;6/60;9/60;7/62	B&W	
Cooktown	D55-13	1:138 300	9/78	colour	SE Corner only
Daru	SC54-8	Various	5/74;6/75	B&W	
Ebagoola	D54-12	1:82 970	9/69;7/70;11/72	B&W	
Hann River	D54-16	1:83 480	3/69;4/69;5/69;7/69	B&W	
Holroyd	D54-11	1:84 680	5/69;7/69;8/69	B&W	
Jardine River	C54-9	1:85 000	11/69;6/71;7/71	B&W	
Maer	SC55-5	Various	5/74	B&W	
Orford Bay	C54-16	1:86 000	6/71;11/71;7/74;8/74	B&W	
Rutland Plains	D54-15	1:84 480	4/69	B&W	
Torres Strait	C54-12	1:85 000	6/71;8/71;11/71;7/74 8/74	B&W	
Weipa	D54-3	1:84 680	7/69;8/69;11/69;9/70	B&W	

Table 3. Aerial photography used in this study

2.2.4 Survey type

This survey was conducted using a free survey method. Road access provides the most economical means of conducting field work, but is limited in most areas. Hence the location of sites was primarily determined by the presence of trafficable roads and tracks, and secondarily by the photopatterns delineated from the aerial photographs. Sites that were highly disturbed or perceived as atypical in the field were not sampled intensively.

Limited helicopter surveying allowed rapid access to many inaccessible areas on Cape York Peninsula, even though landing was impossible in many areas. Helicopter transects were carefully planned to maximise the sampling of areas of unreliable mapping (method 1) and for inadequately sampled vegetation communities (method 2). The methods used for assessing the reliability of mapping and adequacy of sampling are discussed fully in Neldner, Crossley and Cofinas (in press). Within a vegetation pattern, sites were selected to cover the geographic and environmental variation within the pattern. The offshore islands were sampled during Department of Environment and Heritage boat charters. Only limited sampling was possible on the Torres Strait Islands (36 detailed sites), however the mapping of these islands was checked by Quarantine botanist Barbara Waterhouse, Department of Primary Industries who has a good knowledge of the vegetation of these islands.

2.3 Sampling

2.3.1 Detailed sites

Detailed vegetation data were recorded for 1473 sites (See Fig. 3). Detailed soil profile information is available for 300 of these sites (Grundy and Heiner, 1991; Biggs and Philip, 1994). For each sampling site, the slope, aspect and position were recorded. A 50 m x 10 m plot was used as the basic sampling unit. The height, projective foliage cover (pfc) and density of each species in the woody strata were recorded. The heights of trees were measured using a clinometer, while the pfc was estimated using the formula:

$$pfc = pcc x acc$$

- $pfc = percentage \ crown \ cover the total length of the transect (midline of the 50 m x 10 m plot) covered by the vertical projection of crowns, assuming the crowns to be solid, expressed as a percentage of the total transect length.$
- acc = average percentage canopy cover the proportion of the ground area covered by the vertical projection of foliage within the perimeter of the crowns of individual plants estimated by reference to photographs of representative crowns given in Walker and Hopkins (1990).



Figure 3. Location of sampling sites.

The basal area of the tree layer was estimated by using the Bitterlich method (Grosenbraugh, 1952), while the diameter breast heights (dbh) of the five closest trees to the centre point were measured using girthing tapes.

The projective foliage cover of each ground layer species occurring in five 0.5×1.0 m quadrats located at 10 m intervals along the plot centre line were recorded. The heights of the ground layer were measured, and additional herbaceous species occurring in the 50 x 10 m plot were recorded. A complete list of vascular plant species present was made. Plants unable to be identified in the field were collected and later determined in the laboratory. Extensive collections of fertile plant material vouch the identification of plants noted in the study area. These specimens are lodged with the Queensland Herbarium, Brisbane and duplicates distributed widely to herbaria within Australia and overseas.

The detailed site data is most comprehensive and of the highest reliability. It has been used to devise the intuitive map legend, in pattern analysis to confirm classification concepts and in ground truthing the mapping.

2.3.2 Observational sites

Observational sites made while travelling by vehicle record the dominant woody species and other conspicuous species, the vegetation structure and frequently the landform situation. The site position is determined by using a Global Positioning System (GPS). 5700 observational sites were recorded during this study. The observational sites are used primarily for ground truthing the vegetation mapping, but also provide invaluable distributional data for the dominant woody species.

2.3.3 Helicopter observations

The 2650 helicopter observations made while flying at low altitudes in the helicopter record the dominant species and structure of communities. The data was recorded initially using a tape recorder and GPS, and subsequently transcribed and recorded in the database. Because of the rapid and more remote nature of these observations, these data are generally brief and of a lower reliability than detailed or observational sites. However they are very useful in truthing the vegetation maps, particularly where no data is available because of inaccessibility (See Fig. 1).

2.3.4 Other data

Other reliable data for the study area were incorporated into the database and analyses. These sources of these data are:

 140 Rainforest sites collected by D.G. Fell and J.P. Stanton as part of CYPLUS. These data gave comprehensive species and structural information using the 32 nearest neighbour plotless method (Young, 1985). Reliable environmental data was also gathered. Examples of data from two of these sites are given in Appendix 5.

- 2) 105 rainforest sites collected by L.J. Webb and J.G. Tracey. Detailed floristic data was gathered for the canopy layer only with a structural class assigned and some environmental data recorded.
- 3) 110 sites on islands in the Great Barrier Reef collected by various Department of Environment and Heritage (DEH) staff. Variable floristic and structural data depending on the collector and time of year.
- 4) 16 sites on the Endeavour River estuary collected by Jenni Le Cussan (1991), DEH. Comprehensive floristic and some structural data were collected.

Queensland Herbarium specimen data were retrieved through HERBRECS. The description of habitat and environmental data varies greatly with the collector. These data only indicated the presence of the collected plant at a location. These records were incorporated into the species list for the study area (Clarkson and Neldner in prep.).

2.4 CORVEG database

The data is stored in the CORVEG database developed by McDonald and Dillewaard (1993) using Microsoft FoxPro software. CORVEG is being developed as the vegetation survey database for DEH and the Queensland Herbarium. A number of retrieval programs have been written to assist in the production of map unit descriptions and statistics.

2.5 Photointerpretation and mapping techniques

Initial aerial photointerpretation of the study area was undertaken in 1989 using stereopairs of vertical black and white photos. Nominal scale of the photography was approximately 1:85 000 scale for most areas (see Table 3).

Dyeline maps of photopatterns at 1:250 000 scale were produced from the interpreted photos and used for planning and conducting field work. The vegetation boundaries delineated on the aerial photographs were scanned and checked to provide a digital coverage. At the end of 1992, an intuitive map legend was devised on the basis of the detailed site data gathered and any published information available for the region. The aerial photographs were once again examined stereoscopically, and Unique Mapping Area (UMA) files produced for each map sheet. For each UMA, ie. area of land delineated on the map, the vegetation map units (up to four per UMA) and the percentage of the UMA they each occupy were determined. Any detailed or observational sites located in the UMA were noted. A reliability code (see Table 4) was assigned for each UMA. This enabled easy identification of areas of low mapping reliability and assisted in planning helicopter transects.

Rating	Description		
1	Very reliable, detailed site(s) recorded in UMA.		
2	Very reliable, observational site(s) recorded in UMA.		
3	Reliable, photointerpretation and aerial observations only.		
4	Reliable, photointerpretation only but high confidence.		
5	Low reliability, photointerpretation only but low confidence.		
6	Unreliable, photointerpretation only but poor confidence.		

Table 4. Reliability ratings assigned by photointerpreter

Where field data indicated that the vegetation had changed since the time of photography, the present vegetation was also recorded in the UMA File. Once the UMA files were checked, they were incorporated into the GIS using ARCINFO software. The vegetation polygon and site data coverages were checked using ARCVIEW to display a variety of queries and allow thorough visual checking.

Table 5 presents the percentage of the study area covered by each reliability code. Only 10.6% of the area has a low reliability of mapping. The location of these areas is shown in Figure 4.

Rating	Unique mapping areas	% of study area
1	739	25.4
2	956	15.2
3	671	11.4
4	12,966	37.4
5	1,668	8.8
6	444	1.8
Total	17,444	100.0

Table 5. Percentage of study area for each reliability rating

On the completion of final editing, the GIS coverages were submitted to the CYPLUS GIS residing with the Department of Lands in Brisbane and NRIC in Canberra. Other copies of the coverages reside with the Environmental Resources Information Network (ERIN) in Canberra and the Queensland Herbarium in Brisbane and Mareeba.



Figure 4. Spatial extent of high and low reliability mapping

17

As stated earlier, the scale of the vegetation mapping is 1:250 000, and the smallest unit that can be represented is approximately 2 mm x 2 mm or the equivalent of 25 ha. In order to give a balanced representation of the vegetation, certain plant communities e.g. narrow riverine communities, isolated rainforest patches, and small swamps, may have been exaggerated in their areal extent, so as to be shown on the map. In many situations, two or more mapping units were so intermingled that delineation was not practical, and these were mapped as complexes. The percentages of each vegetation type in the complex are tabulated in the UMA file. Some plant associations recognised were never of a mappable size at this scale.

2.6 Data analysis

A legend of photopattern types was constructed during initial photointerpretation. As field work progressed, a provisional map legend was constructed on the basis of the detailed site data collected and the photopattern types. At the completion of the field data collection, the detailed site data was objectively classified using the numerical classification program PATN (Belbin, 1988).

Because of the high seasonal variability in the ground layer and the dominance of woody species (in terms of biomass) in the majority of vegetation associations of Cape York Peninsula, pattern analysis was only performed on the non-herbaceous plant species. Classifications derived solely from canopy species have been found to be as informative as those based on full floristic composition for normal mapping scales (Webb, Tracey, Williams and Lance 1967; Neldner and Howitt 1991; Bedward, Keith and Pressey 1992). Analyses were performed on presence/absence data, and quantitative data including basal area, tree density and shrub density. The Bray Curtis (or its binary equivalent the Czekanowski) was used as the association measure, and association matrices generated for each data set. Each matrix was subjected to a hierarchical agglomerative polythetic clustering using FUSE, based on the Flexible Unweighted Pair Group Method Using Averages (UPGMA) with the β value set at -0.1. Research has shown this to be a robust general agglomerative hierarchical clustering strategy (Belbin, Faith and Milligan 1992).

To obtain species classifications, each data set was transposed, and the above classification repeated using the Two-Step option (Austin and Belbin 1982). Dendrograms of the resulting hierarchies were plotted. The PATN modules, GDEF (describes the groups established by the classification), GSTA (provides statistics for each group) and TWAY aided interpretation of the classifications, the latter imposing the site and species classifications of each data matrix to produce a two-way table.

Similar analyses were performed on various subsets of the detailed site database, e.g. all *Eucalyptus tetrodonta* dominated sites to assist in finalising the map legend.

On the completion of data analysis, the final legend of 201 map units was constructed, then sorted firstly on structural formation and secondly on dominant species. The dominant species were determined by comparing the basal area estimates, stem densities, cover estimates and frequencies of each species in the predominant layer. The vegetation coverage was then edited to conform to the final legend.

2.7 Limitations of the survey

- 1. The vegetation mapping represents the distribution of relatively undisturbed natural vegetation at the time of the aerial photography (1960 1974).
- 2. The field sampling effort was commensurate with the mapping scale of 1:250 000. Care should be taken in extrapolating the data presented beyond this scale.
- 3. Vegetation associations tend to merge into one another, so that a line on the vegetation map often represents an ecotone rather than a discrete boundary. Discrete boundaries do occur in some situations, e.g. closed-forest/woodland boundaries.
- 4. Vehicle based field work was restricted by access mainly to the dry season months (May-October), hence some ephemeral herbaceous plant species may not have been recorded at the time of sampling. Helicopters were used to allow access to remote areas in the wet season to partially compensate for this problem.



3.0 VEGETATION OF CAPE YORK PENINSULA

3.1 Structural formations

Twenty-one structural formations have been recognised as occurring in the vegetation of Cape York Peninsula. Woodlands, which by definition are dominated by trees (10 - 30 m tall) with a projective foliage cover of 10 - 30%, cover 51% of the study area. The majority of these woodlands are dominated by *Eucalyptus* spp. with only 5.7% dominated by other genera (*Acacia* spp., *Casuarina equisetifolia*, *Melaleuca* spp. and *Thryptomene oligandra*). Woodlands are the dominant structural formation on the coarse textured soils (Kandosols and Tenosols), which occupy the majority of the study area.

Eucalyptus spp. also dominate the majority of the open-woodlands (PFC < 10%) with only minor areas of this formation dominated by *Terminalia* spp. (0.7%) or *Corypha utan* (0.5%). Open-woodlands cover 6.9% of the study area, and are predominantly confined to the heavier textured soils, where the boxes *Eucalyptus chlorophylla*, *E. leptophleba*, *E. microtheca* and *E. acroleuca* are the major species.

The closed-forests (> 70% PFC with trees > 10 m tall) are predominantly confined to the wetter areas of Cape York Peninsula, particularly on the east coast ranges which as well as receiving a higher annual rainfall, are more likely to have some orographic precipitation in the dry season months. Closed-forests also occur in drier areas but in topographic positions, such as in valleys, streamlines or depressions that receive additional moisture through runon and flooding. Low closed forests occur in situations where factors such as shallowness of soil, soil infertility, moisture stress or exposure to winds limit the height of the canopy trees to less than 10 m. In moisture stressed situations, many of the trees and shrubs are deciduous in the dry season. Apart from the rainforests, the closedforests (4.2% of the area) also include the *Rhizophora* spp. \pm *Bruguiera* spp. mangrove closed-forests which occupy 0.6% of the study area. The mangrove low closed-forests dominated by *Ceriops tagal, Avicennia marina* or *Pemphis acidula* occupy 0.5% of the study area, while the low closed-forest dominated by rainforest species cover 0.8%.

The closed-tussock grasslands (2.8%) and tussock-grasslands (2.6%) are mainly confined to the areas of heavy textured soils, particularly Grey Vertosols which tend not to favour the growth of trees and shrubs. These formations, together with the closed-sedgelands (0.2%) and open-sedgelands (0.5%), occur in areas that regularly experience flooding for extended periods. Sites experiencing very frequent fires also have a poor development of woody species.

Closed-herblands (< 0.1%) are restricted to frontal dunes, sand cays and islands. The sparse-herblands (1.2%) occur on a variety of substrates that are hostile to plant colonisation, e.g. saltpans, rock pavements, sandblows, and regularly flooded islands and river beds.

The lakes and lagoons (0.4%) are very restricted in the study areas, with the permanent wetlands only covering < 0.1% of the study area.


Figure 5. Distribution of dominant structural formations

22

Table 6 lists the percentage and area of the study area occupied by each structural formation in decreasing size, and the spatial extent of seven amalgamated structural classes is shown in Figure 5.

Structural Formation	Number of map units	Атеа	% of total area
Woodland	57	67 609	50.6
Low open-woodland	11	17 776	13.3
Tall woodland	2	9715	7.3
Open woodiand	9	9146	6.9
Closed-forest	32	5581	4.2
Open-heath	9	3987	3.0
Open-forest	19	3983	3.0
Closed-tussock grassland	8	3711	2.8
Tussock grassland	2	3447	2.6
Sparse-herbland	5	1672	1.3
Low closed-forest	14	1670	1.3
Low woodland	8	1388	1.0
Low open-forest	7	1232	0.9
Open-sedgeland	1	685	0.5
Tall shrubland	3	552	0.4
Lakes and lagoons	3	462	0.4
Dwarf open-heath	3	352	0.3
Closed-sedgeland	1	212	0.2
Tall open-shrubland	2	193	0.1
Closed-scrub	3	[123	0.1
Closed herbland	2	33	< 0.1

Table 6.	Percentage	and area	of each	structural	formation
----------	------------	----------	---------	------------	-----------

3.2 Broad vegetation groups

Two hundred and one map units are recognised for the natural vegetation of the study area. An additional six units are defined for disturbed vegetation. These map units will be fully described in Neldner and Clarkson (in prep.). Six descriptions are given in Appendix 1 as examples and brief descriptions are included in sections 3.3 to 3.32. The process of devising these map units is described in sections 2.5 and 2.6.

While the segregation to map units level is essential for 1:250 000 scale mapping and for studies at the district or property level, there is a need for produce broader groups for national and regional analyses. For this reason, each map unit was assigned to one of thirty broad vegetation groups (BVG's). These groups encompass vegetation types that are frequently dominated by a single species, e.g. *Melaleuca viridiflora* (BVG 18) or suite of species, e.g. the box eucalypts, *Eucalyptus chlorophylla*, *E. microtheca* or *E. acroleuca* (BVG 7). Other groups are dominated by a structural formation, e.g. open-heaths and

dwarf open-heaths (BVG 24) or a combination of a structural formation and locality, e.g. closed-forests of the Wet Tropics region. Specialised habitats such as the coral islands (BVG 28) and intertidal areas (BVG 26) form other groups. The flora of Cape York Peninsula will be analysed in relation to these 30 groups in Clarkson and Neldner (in prep.). Examples of this analysis are given in Appendix 2.

Summary information for each broad vegetation group is given in the next section. The predominant landforms are derived by intersecting the vegetation coverage with the CYPLUS regolith coverage (AGSO 1994a) on the GIS using ARC INFO. Similarly, the vegetation coverage has been intersected with the CYPLUS geology coverage (AGSO 1994b) and CYPLUS soils coverage (Biggs and Philip 1994) to provide statistics on the predominant geology and soils for each broad vegetation group. The soil classification followed is Isbell (1993). The vegetation map units making up each broad vegetation group and the proportion of the area that they cover is calculated on the GIS. Figures for areas incorporate both polygons where a map unit is dominant and all other polygons where the unit occurs, using the proportions assigned in the vegetation coverage to calculate the area.

This page has been left unused.

.

3.3 BVG 1. Closed-forests of the Wet Tropics region

Predominant landforms:

Mountains (55%), hills (17%), low rises (13%)

Predominant geology:

Hodgkinson Formation (D-Ch)	(57%)	Metamorphics	(greywacke,	slate)
Finlayson Granite (Pgf)	(17%)	Acid plutonics	(porphyritic	adamellite)
Kintore Adamellite (SDk)	(5%)	Acid plutonics	(muscovite,	adamellite)

Predominant soil map units:

Rule (Ri)	(74%)	Red Dermosols
Jeannie (Jn)	(11%)	Yellow Dermosols or Brown Kandosols

Vegetation map units:

Closed-forests

3	(22.9%)	Complex mesophyll vine forest (Lowlands, metamorphics)
4	(0.8%)	Complex mesophyll vine forest on basalt (Shiptons Flat)
5	(33.1%)	Complex notophyll vine forest ± Agathis robusta (Midslopes)
6	(9.1%)	Semi-deciduous mesophyll vine forest (Wet Tropics)
7	(8.0%)	Semi-deciduous mesophyll vine forest (Metamorphic slopes)
13	(6.2%)	Semi-deciduous notophyll/microphyll vine forest (Mt Webb)
17	(6.8%)	Evergreen mesophyll/notophyll vine forest (Sandstone gullies, Cooktown area)
23	(12.8%)	Simple evergreen notophyll vine forest (Upper slopes)
28	(0.1%)	Simple evergreen notophyll vine forest (High peaks)
30	(0.1%)	Simple microphyll vine fern thicket (Mt Finnigan summit)



Figure 6. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 1.

i

.

3.4 BVG 2. Closed-forests of the McIlwraith-Iron Range region

Predominant landforms:

Low rises (45%), hills (13%), mountains (11%), escarpment (11%)

Predominant geology:

Kintore Adamellite (SDk)	(37%) Acid plutonics (muscovite, adamellite)
Lankelly Adamellite (SDI)	(15%) Acid plutonics (granite, adamellite)
Weymouth Granite (Piw)	(11%) Acid plutonics (granite)
Sefton Metamorphics (Ps)	(9%) Metamorphics (muscovite, schist, quartzite,
•	phyllite)

Predominant soil map units:

Drop (Dr)	(54%)	Yellow Kandosols or Yellow Dermosols
Henderson (Hs)	(12%)	Red Chromosols

Vegetation map units:

Closed-forests

9	(1.8%)	Semi-deciduous mesophyll/notophyll vine forest (Granite slopes, Birthday Mountain)
15	(4.4%)	Araucarian notophyll vine forest with emergent Araucaria cunninghamii (Altanmoui, McIlwraith & Melville Ranges)
21	(43.1%)	Notophyll vine forest (Iron and McIlwraith Ranges)
26	(48.8%)	Simple evergreen notophyll vine forest with Acacia aulacocarpa \pm Eucalyptus tessellaris \pm Blepharocarya involucrigera emergents (Iron Range & Wet Tropics)
27	(1.5%)	Simple evergreen notophyll vine forest with <i>Eucalyptus pellita</i> emergents (Battlecamp Range)
29	(0.5%)	Simple evergreen notophyll vine forest ± Wodyetia bifurcata (Melville Range)



Figure 7. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 2.

3.5 BVG 3. Closed-forests of northern Cape York Peninsula and the Torres Strait Islands

Predominant landforms:

Low hills (85%), erosional plains (6%)

Predominant geology:

Helby Beds (JKb)	(38%)	Sedimentary (clayey quartzose
		sandstone)
Tertiary remnants (T&Qf)	(27%)	Weathered (ferruginous laterite,
-		ferricrete)
Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(8%)	Colluvial (quartzose sand)

Predominant soil map units:

Harmer (Hm)	(32%)	Yellow Kandosols
Kool (Kl)	(17%)	Red Kandosols
Emma (Em)	(12%)	Red Kandosols

Vegetation map units:

Closed-forests

11	(12.9%)	Semi-deciduous notophyll vine forest (Lockerbie)
1 2	(20.3%)	Semi-deciduous notophyll vine forest (Small patches on plateaus)
22	(5.4%)	Notophyll vine forest of Welchiodendron longivalve, Syzygium branderhorstii, Ficus spp. and palms (Torres Strait Islands)
24	(42.6%)	Simple evergreen notophyll vine forest (North-east CYP) (Sometimes emergent Callitris intratropica)
25	(5.1%)	Simple evergreen notophyll vine forest dominated by <i>Callitris</i> intratropica emergents

Low closed-forests

124 (13.7%) Evergreen notophyll vine forest dominated by Welchiodendron longivalve ± Acacia polystachya ± Canarium australianum (Northern islands & headlands)



Figure 8. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 3.

:

:

3.6 BVG 4. Closed-forests of coastal dunes, dunefields and the Jardine River frontage

Predominant landforms:

Coastal dunes (27%), beach ridges (22%), low hills (13%), chenier plains (12%)

Predominant geology:

Holocene deposits (Qd)	(32%) Dune deposits (white quartzose sands)
Holocene deposits (Qhm)	(27%) Beach ridges (coloured quartzose sands)

Predominant soil map units:

Daunt (Dn)	(29%)	Aeric Podosols
Doughboy (Db)	(22%)	Semiaquic Podosols
Caravan (Cv)	(21%)	Bleached-Orthic Tenosols

Vegetation map units:

Closed-forests

20	(15.3%)	Evergreen to semi-deciduous notophyll vine forest dominated by Syzygium spp., Terminalia spp. & Xanthostemon spp. (Beach Rainforest, east coast)
31	(29.9%)	Semi-deciduous vine thicket with canopy of Neofabricia myrtifolia, Syzygium suborbiculare ± Terminalia muelleri ± Thryptomene oligandra (Dune Scrub, west coast)
	Low closed	forests
121	(28.2%)	Araucarian microphyll vine forest dominated by Asteromyrtus angustifolia \pm Acacia crassicarpa \pm Syzygium spp. \pm Araucaria cunninghamii emergents (Coastal dunes)
123	(7.7%)	Evergreen notophyll vine forest dominated by Terminalia muelleri, Cupaniopsis anacardioides, Syzygium suborbiculare (Coastal dunes)
	Closed-scru	ibs
161	(18.9%)	Leucopogon yorkensis ± Asteromyrtus angustifolia ± Acacia spp. (Sandplains)



Figure 9. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 4.

34

3.7 BVG 5. Deciduous low closed-forests on slopes and alluvia

Predominant landforms:

Erosional plains (29%), mountains(18%), low hills (15%), floodplains (14%), hills(12%)

Predominant geology:

	Rolling Downs Group (Klr)	(21%)	Sedimentary (mudstones, slates &
		(0101)	
	Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(21%)	Alfuvia (silts & quartzose sands)
	Twin Humps Adamellite (Put)	(10%)	Acid plutonics (hornblende adamellite
	Weymouth Granite (Plw)	(6%)	Acid plutonics (biotite granite)
	•		-
_			

Predominant soil map units:

Altanmoui (Am)	(22%)	Orthic Tenosols
Batavia (Bv)	(18%)	Yellow Dermosols
Drop (Dr)	(10%)	Yellow Kandosols/Yellow Dermosols
Picanniny (Pn)	(10%)	Brown or Grey Vertosols

Vegetation map units:

Closed-forests

32	(10.4%)	Deciduous notophyll/microphyll vine thicket ± Gyrocarpus
		americanus ± Bombax ceiba var. leiocarpum emergents with semi-
		deciduous notophyll vine forest on associated colluvium)(Laura
		Basin)

Deciduous vine forest (Lakeland area on basalt hills, eg. Mt Earl, Mt 33 (0.7%) Scatterbrain)

Low closed-forests

125	(38.7%)	Deciduous microphyll vine thicket \pm emergent Lagerstroemia archeriana (Riverine areas on heavy clays, central Cape York Peninsula)
126	(44.5%)	Deciduous vine thicket dominated by Cochlospermum gillivraei \pm Canarium australianum \pm Acacia aulacocarpa (Granite slopes)
127	(4.4%)	Deciduous vine thicket with Wodyetia bifurcata (Granite slopes, Cape Melville)
130	(1.3%)	Terminalia spp. \pm low trees \pm frequent scandent shrubs \pm Melaleuc

Terminalia spp. \pm low trees \pm frequent scandent shrubs \pm Melaleuca (1.3%) citrolens ± Eucalyptus acroleuca emergents (Depressions, Lakefield)



Figure 10. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 5.

٩.

ł

3.8 BVG 6. Gallery closed-forests and *Melaleuca* spp. dominated open-forests on alluvia

Predominant landforms:

Stream banks, channel benches, terraces and levees on flood plains (36%), alluvial plains (16%), erosional plains (12%), rises (12%) and low hills (9%)

Predominant geology:

Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(29%)	Alluvia (silts & quartzose sands)
Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(26%)	Colluvial (quartzose sand)

Predominant soil map units:

Leptic Tenosols - small occurrences in most map units

Vegetation map units:

Closed-forests

8	(14.9%)	Semi-deciduous mesophyll vine forest (Claudie & Normanby Rivers)
10	(3.1%)	Semi-deciduous mesophyll/notophyll vine forest (Alluvia, Cooktown)
14	(0.1%)	Semi-deciduous notophyll/microphyll vine thicket
16	(2.1%)	Evergreen mesophyll vine forest with Archontophoenix alexandrae (Streams)
18	(23.5%)	Evergreen notophyll vine forest (Major streams)
19	(0.8%)	Evergreen notophyll vine forest dominated by Melaleuca leucadendra, Xanthostemon crenulatus and Lophostemon suaveolens (swamps)
	Open-forests	

Open-forests

48	(53.2%)	Melaleuca argentea \pm M. leucadendra \pm Acacia auriculiformis \pm
		Syzygium forte ± Leptospermum madidum subsp. madidum (Major
		streams) (Melaleuca saligna in minor streams)

50 (2.3%) Melaleuca leucadendra ± Eucalyptus tereticornis ± Nauclea orientalis ± Acacia oraria ± Lagerstroemia archeriana ± Melaleuca trichostachya (Streams in metamorphics)



Figure 11. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 6.

3.9 BVG 7. Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus chlorophylla, E. microtheca or E. acroleuca

Predominant landforms:

Flood plains (49%), erosional plains (18%), rises (11%) & alluvial plains (6%)

Predominant geology:

Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(49%)	Alluvia (silts & quartzose sands)
Rolling Downs Group (Klr)	(15%)	Sedimentary (mudstones, slates & siltstones)
Pleistocene colluvia (Czx)	(8%)	Colluvia (mottley clayey sands)
Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(6%)	Colluvia (quartzose sands)

Predominant soil map units:

Kennedy (Kd)	(23%)	 Redoxic or Oxyaquic Hydrosols/
Ŧ		Grey or Aquic Vertosols
Antbed (Ab)	(17%)	Redoxic Hydrosols
Batavia (Bv)	(12%)	Yellow Dermosols
Myall (Ml)	(5%)	Yellow Dermosols
	• •	

Vegetation map units:

Woodlands

58	(10.8%)	Eucalyptus chlorophylla $\pm E$. clarksoniana (Lakefield, SE CYP)
59	(0.5%)	Eucalyptus chlorophylla with Terminalia platyptera and Melaleuca stenostachya subcanopy (Laura River)

Open-woodlands

112	(4.7%)	Eucalyptus acroleuca (Lakefield, floodplains)		
113	(50.5%)	Eucalyptus chlorophylla (Southern plains)		
117	(4.2%)	Eucalyptus microtheca $\pm E$. papuana (Archer River floodplains)		
	Low open-w	voodiands		
150	(3.6%)	Eucalyptus chlorophylla \pm Melaleuca viridiflora (Hillslopes)		
151	(9.3%)	Eucalyptus chlorophylla (Flat plains, Mitchell River floodplain)		
152	(16.4%)	Eucalyptus microtheca ± E. chlorophylla ± Acacia ditricha ± Lysiphyllum cunninghamii (Mitchell River floodplain)		



Figure 12. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 7.

3.10 BVG 8. Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus clarksoniana, E. novoguinensis or E. polycarpa

Predominant landforms:

Flood plains (44%), erosional plains (17%), alluvial plains (13%), & rises (10%)

Predominant geology:			
Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(27%)	Alluvia (silts & quartzose sands)	
Rolling Downs Group (Klr)	(21%)	Sedimentary (mudstones, slates & siltstones)	
Holocene deposits (Qha)	(19%)	Alluvia (quartzose sands, silts & clays)	
Pliocene colluvia (TQs)	(12%)	Colluvial (quartzose sands)	

Predominant soil map units:

Batavia (Bv)	(17%)	Yellow Dermosols
Antbed (Ab)	(13%)	Redoxic Hydrosols
Mitchell (Mc)	(9%)	Brown or Red Kandosols
Bend (Bn)	(8%)	Brown or Grey Dermosols or Kandosols
Kennedy Kd)	(6%)	Redoxic or Oxyaquic Hydrosols/
-		Grey or Aquic Vertosols
Clark (Cr)	(6%)	Yellow Kandosols

Vegetation map units:

Woodlands

60	(2.9%)	Eucalyptus clarksoniana, Erythrophleum chlorostachys, Eucalyptus brassiana $\pm E$, tessellaris \pm Canarium australianum, Melaleuca nervosa (Running Creek)
61	(4.8%)	Eucalyptus clarksoniana $\pm E$. papuana $\pm Erythrophleum$ chlorostachys \pm Melaleuca nervosa (North-west Lakefield)
62	(5.7%)	Eucalyptus clarksoniana $\pm E$. papuana \pm Melaleuca nervosa \pm Piliostigma malabaricum \pm Eucalyptus chlorophylla $\pm E$. microtheca (Archer River Floodplain)
63	(13.2%)	Eucalyptus clarksoniana ± Melaleuca viridiflora ± Erythrophleum chlorostachys ± Eucalyptus leptophleba (Plains)
64	(5.1%)	Eucalyptus clarksoniana ± Syzygium eucalyptoides ± Melaleuca viridiflora (Aurukun/Holroyd drainage)
65	(15.9%)	Eucalyptus clarksoniana/E. novoguinensis \pm Lophostemon suaveolens \pm Parinari nonda \pm Erythrophleum chlorostachys \pm Melaleuca viridiflora (River frontages)
67	(15.8%)	Eucalyptus clarksoniana/E. polycarpa \pm Erythrophleum chlorostachys \pm Eucalyptus tetrodonta \pm E. confertiflora (Adjacent western streams)



Figure 13. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 8.

Woodlands (cont.)

88 (17.3%) Eucalyptus polycarpa (or E. clarksoniana) \pm E. papuana \pm E. curtipes (E. papuana open-woodlands on edge) (Levees, Mitchell floodplain)

Open-woodlands

114 (19.3%) Eucalyptus clarksoniana \pm Melaleuca viridiflora \pm E. platyphylla (Plains & floodplains)

3.11 BVG 9. Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus cullenii, E. crebra or E. persistens subsp. tardecidens

Predominant landforms:

Rises (26%), hills (22%), mountains (18%), low hills (15%) & escarpments (9%)

Predominant geology:

Hodgkinson Formation (D-Ch)	(33%) Metamorphics (greywacke,slate)
Kintore Adamellite (SDk)	(16%) Acid plutonics (muscovite, adamellite)
Holroyd Metamorphics (Ph)	(6%) Metamorphics (biotite muscovite)
Coen Metamorphics (Pc)	(5%) Metamorphics (biotite muscovite)
Dargalong Metamorphics (Pd)	(5%) Metamorphics (schist, gneiss)

Predominant soil map units:

ap process	
(27%)	Yellow Dermosols or Brown Kandosols
(24%)	Yellow Kandosols or Yellow Dermosols
(6%)	Grey Sodosols
(5%)	Bleached-Leptic Tenosols or Brown Kandosols
(5%)	Orthic Tenosols
	(27%) (24%) (6%) (5%) (5%)

Vegetation map units:

Woodlands

68	(5.2%)	Eucalyptus crebra, E. ellipsoidea or E. hylandii var. hylandii (Southern ranges)		
69	(25.9%)	Eucalyptus cullenii, E. clarksoniana $\pm E$. chlorophylla $\pm E$. confertiflora (Granite slopes)		
70	(47.9%)	Eucalyptus cullenii $\pm E$. clarksoniana (Acid volcanic ranges)		
71	(12.5%)	Eucalyptus cullenii, E. hylandii var. hylandii ± Melaleuca stenostachya (Ranges)		
90	(3.8%)	Eucalyptus staigeriana (Metamorphic ranges, Maytown area)		
	Low open-	forests		
136	(1.0%)	Eucalyptus hylandii var. hylandii & or E. crebra \pm E. brassiana \pm Lophostemon suaveolens (Southern headlands & Melville Range)		
137	(0.2%)	Lophostemon suaveolens, Eucalyptus crebra (Altanmoui Range)		
	Low wood	lands		
142	(3.5%)	Eucalyptus persistens subsp. tardecidens, Melaleuca stenostachya		

(Southern metamorphic plateaus)



Figure 14. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 9.

3.12 BVG 10. Woodlands dominated by *Eucalyptus hylandii* or *E. tetrodonta* on sandstone, metamorphic and ironstone ranges

Predominant landforms:

Rises (26%), hills (21%), erosional plains (16%), low hills (8%) & mountains (8%)

Predominant geology:

Gilbert River Formation (JKg)	(27%) Sedimentary (quartzose sandstones)
Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(13%) Colluvial (quartzose sand)
Holroyd Metamorphics (Ph)	(12%) Metamorphics (biotite muscovite)

Predominant soil map units:

Camp(Cm)	(30%)
Batavia (Bv)	(6%)
Dixie (Dx)	(5%)
Haven (Hv)	(5%)
Clark (Cr)	(5%)

Bleached-Leptic Tenosols Yellow Dermosols Bleached-Orthic Tenosols Yellow Kandosols Yellow Kandosols

Vegetation map units:

Woodlands

75	(9.1%)	Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris, E. tetrodonta (Ironstone knolls, Aurukun)
76	(32.9%)	Eucalyptus hylandii var. hylandii $\pm E$. tetrodonta $\pm E$. cullenii (Sandstone plateaus)
77	(39.9%)	Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris $\pm E$. tetrodonta $\pm E$. cullenii \pm Melaleuca stenostachya (Ironstone knolls and erosional surfaces)
85	(0.6%)	Eucalyptus phoenicea $\pm E$. nesophila $\pm E$ umbra (Cape Bedford & wetter sandstones)
89	(0.1%)	Eucalyptus similis $\pm E$. nesophila (Ebagoola)
96	(6.5%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta, E. hylandii vas. hylandii \pm Erythrophleum chlorostachys (Sandstone plateaus)
100	(8.2%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta, E. hylandii var. hylandii $\pm E$. nesophila $\pm E$. cullenii (or E. crebra) (Sandstone plateaus)
105	(2.7%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta $\pm E$. nesophila \pm Lophostemon suaveolens \pm Melaleuca stenostachya (Metamorphic and granite undulating hills)



Figure 15. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 10.

46

3.13 **BVG 11.** Open-woodlands and woodlands dominated by *Eucalyptus leptophleba* on river frontages and northern undulating plains

Predominant landforms:

Erosional plains (50%), rises (22%), alluvial plains (7%) & flood plains (6%)

Predominant geology:

Rolling Downs Group (Kir) (63%) Sedimentary (mudstones, slates & siltstones)

Predominant soil map units:

Batavia (Bv)	(48%)	Yeilow Dermosols
Myall (Mi)	(15%)	Yellow Dermosols
Drop (Dr)	(8%)	Yellow Kandosols or Yellow Dermosols

Vegetation map units:

Woodlands

.

78	(6.3%)	Eucalyptus leptophleba $\pm E$. clarksoniana $\pm Erythrophleum$ chlorostachys (Sandstone colluvium, Laura)
80	(14.3%)	Eucalyptus leptophleba, E. tessellaris \pm E. clarksoniana (Levees)
	Open-wood	llands
115	(13.0%)	Eucalyptus leptophleba ($\pm E$. chlorophylla) $\pm E$. papuana \pm Erythrophleum chlorostachys \pm Eucalyptus cullenii (Erosional slopes)
116	(66.4%)	Eucalyptus leptophleba $\pm E$. papuana $\pm E$. clarksoniana (Rolling plains, northern Cape York Peninsula)



Figure 16. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 11.

3.14 BVG 12. Woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus leptophleba, E. platyphylla or E. erythrophloia on undulating hills and plains in the south-east

Predominant landforms:

Erosional plains (20%), rises (18%), low hills (13%), alluvial terraces (12%), flood plains (11%) & pediments (9%)

Predominant geology:

Pleistocene colluvia (Czx)	(38%)	Colluvia (mottley clayey sands)
Hodgkinson Formation (D-Ch)	(26%)	Metamorphics (greywacke,slate)
McLean Basalt (Cze)	(23%)	Basic volcanics (olivine basalt)

Predominant soil map units:

Jeannie (Jn)	(29%)	Yellow Dermosols or Brown Kandosols
Burn (Br)	(19%)	Red Ferrosols
Kingjack (Kj)	(12%)	Yellow Dermosols
Gibson (Gs)	(11%)	Yellow Sodosols or Redoxic Hydrosols
Greenant (Ga)	(8%)	Yellow, Grey or Brown Sodosols or Redoxic
		Hydrosols

Vegetation map units:

Open-forests

43 (3.5%) Eucalyptus platyphylla, E. leptophleba, Erythrophleum chlorostachys ± other Eucalyptus spp. (Ranges & flats, Wet Tropics)

Woodlands

73	(4.0%)	Eucalyptus erythrophioia (Basalt flows, Lakeland)
79	(24.9%)	Eucalyptus leptophleba $\pm E$. papuana $\pm E$. clarksoniana $\pm E$. erythrophloia $\pm E$. cullenii (Basalt areas, Lakeland)
81	(44.6%)	Eucalyptus leptophleba, E. platyphylla \pm E. tessellaris \pm E. clarksoniana (Rolling hills, Cooktown)



Figure 17. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 12.

3.15 BVG 13. Open-forests and woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus nesophila or E. hylandü var. campestris

Predominant landforms:

Hills (51%), mountains (11%), pediments (9%), low hills (8%) & flood plains (6%)

Predominant geology:

Hodgkinson Formation (D-Ch)	(45%) Metamorphics (greywacke, slate)
Quaternary fans (Czt)	(11%) Colluvia (piedmont fans, earthy breccia)
Pleistocene colluvia (Czx)	(8%) Colluvia (mottled clayey sands)
Muralug Ignimbrite (Cm)	(7%) Acid plutonics (rhyolite, welded tuff)
Predominant soil map units:	

Jeannie (Jn)	(56%)	Yeilow Dermosols or Brown Kandosols
Galloway (Gw)	(11%)	Red Kandosols
Rule (RI)	(6%)	Red Dermosols

Vegetation map units:

Open-forests

41 (18.9%) Eucalyptus nesophila ± Eucalyptus spp. open-forest (Wet Tropics)

Woodlands

74	(19.4%)	Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris \pm E. nesophila \pm
		Welchiodendron longivalve ± mid-dense shrub layer (Slopes &
		undulating plains, northern Cape York Peninsula & Torres Strait
		Islands)

- 82 (58.7%) Eucalyptus nesophila $\pm E$. brassiana (Metamorphic hills)
- 83 (3.0%) Eucalyptus nesophila $\pm E$. novoguinensis $\pm E$. hylandii var. campestris $\pm E$. tetrodonta (Old stabilised dunes & sandy colluvium)
- 87 (23.0%) Eucalyptus platyphylla $\pm E$. clarksoniana (Flat wet plains)



Figure 18. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 13.

3.16 BVG 14. Eucalyptus spp. open-forests of the Wet Tropics region

Predominant landforms:

Hills (36%), low hills (28%), mountains (17%) & plateaus (9%)

Predominant geology		
Hodgkinson Formation (D-Ch)		(60%) Metamorphics (greywacke, slate)
Finlayson Granite (Pgf)		(28%) Acid plutonics (porphyritic adamellite)
Predominant soil ma	p units:	
Rule (Rl)	(62%)	Red Dermosols

Rule (Rl)(62%)Red DermosolsJeannie (Jn)(34%)Yellow Dermosols or Brown Kandosols

Vegetation map units:

Open-forests

38	(3.4%)	Eucalyptus cloeziana (Ranges, Rossville)
39	(12.7%)	Eucalyptus crebra ± E. intermedia ± Lophostemon suaveolens ± Allocasuarina littoralis (Ranges, Rossville)
40	(10.3%)	Eucalyptus intermedia, E. leptophleba, Erythrophleum chlorostachys ± Eucalyptus tereticornis (Hills, Wujal Wujal)
42	(26.4%)	Eucalyptus pellita ± E. intermedia ± Allocasuarina torulosa ± Acacia flavescens (Rossville)
45	(4.1%)	Eucalyptus reducta (Mt Poverty)
46	(24.9%)	Eucalyptus sp. (Mt Mulligan J.R. Clarkson 5889) (CREB track)
	Woodlands	
56	(18.2%)	Eucalyptus sp. (Mt Mulligan J.R. Clarkson 5889), E. citriodora, E.

crebra (Sandstone capping, Mt Janet)



Figure 19. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 14.

3.17 BVG 15. Open-forests and woodlands dominated by *Eucalyptus tessellaris*, *E. clarksoniana* or *E. brassiana* on coastal plains and ranges

Predominant landforms:

Low hills (33%), alluvial plains (16%), rises (7%), pediments (7%), hills (6%) & flood plains (6%)

Predominant geology:

Kintore Adamellite (SDk)	(21%) Acid plutonics (muscovite, adamellite)
Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(18%) Alluvia (silt & quartzose sand)
Pliocene colluvia (TQs)	(14%) Colluvial (quartzose sand)
Lilyvale Beds (Tmpv)	(7%) Colluvial (clayey quartzose sand)
Lankelly Adamellite (SDI)	(6%) Acid plutonics (muscovite, adamellite)

Predominant soil map units:

Drop (Dr)	(31%)	Yellow Kandosols or Yellow Dermosols
Quarantine (Qt)	(7%)	Grey Sodosols
Gail (Gl)	(6%)	Yellow Kandosols
Kennedy (Kd)	(4%)	Redoxic or Oxyaquic Hydrosols or Grey or Aquic Vertosols

Vegetation map units:

Open-forests

36	(8.5%)	Eucalyptus brassiana, E. clarksoniana, Allocasuarina littoralis (Western McIlwraith Range & wet coastal areas)
37	(31.4%)	Eucalyptus clarksoniana (or E. novoguinensis), E. tessellaris \pm Acacia polystachya \pm rainforest species (McIlwraith & coastal ranges)
44	(23.3%)	Eucalyptus tessellaris, E. clarksoniana \pm Lophostemon suaveolens \pm Acacia crassicarpa (Coastal areas)
	Woodlands	
57	(0.3%)	Eucalyptus brassiana (Drainage areas, Bathurst Head)
66	(9.8%)	Eucalyptus clarksoniana/E. novoguinensis with mid-dense shrub layer $\pm E$. platyphylla (Coastal wet areas)
84	(10.2%)	Eucalyptus novoguinensis $\pm E$. tessellaris $\pm E$. nesophila (Northern Cape York Peninsula)
91	(16.5%)	Eucalyptus tessellaris $\pm E$. clarksoniana $\pm E$. acroleuca $\pm E$. leptophleba (Levees, Lakefield)



Figure 20. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 15

3.18 BVG 16. Woodlands and tall woodlands dominated by *Eucalyptus tetrodonta* on deeply weathered plateaus and remnants

Predominant landforms:

Erosional plains (26%), rises (21%), low hills (16%), plateaus (15%) & alluvial plains (9%)

Predominant geology:

Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(31%)	Colluvial (quartzose sands)
Tertiary surfaces (T&Qa)	(25%)	Weathered (bauxite, ferricrete)
Rolling Downs Group (Klr)	(7%)	Sedimentary (mudstones, slates & siltstones)
Helby Beds (JKb)	(7%)	Sedimentary (clayey quartzose sandstones)
Bulimba Formation (KTi)	(6%)	Sedimentary (clayey quartzose sandstones)

Predominant soil map units:

mant son map units.		
Weipa (Wp)	(20%)	Red Kandosols
Kimba (Kb)	(19%)	Red Kandosols
Harmer (Hm)	(9%)	Yellow Kandosois
Clark (Cr)	(9%)	Yellow Kandosols
Emma (Em)	(8%)	Red Kandosols
Kool (Kl)	(6%)	Red Kandosols

Vegetation map units:

Tall woodlands

1	(4.8%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta $\pm E$, hylandii var, campestris \pm Erythrophleum chlorostachys (The Desert, west of Laura)
2	(32.6%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta, E. nesophila ± Erythrophleum chlorostachys (Bauxite plateaus, northern Cape York Peninsula)
	Woodlands	
101	(33.5%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta, E. nesophila (Plateaus)
102	(9.3%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta $\pm E$. nesophila \pm Asteromyrtus brassii \pm heath understorey (Sandplains over sandstone)
103	(8.2%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta $\pm E$. nesophila (& for E. hylandii var. campestris) $\pm Erythrophleum chlorostachys \pm Eucalyptusleptophleba \pm E. confertiflora (Lower slopes)$
104	(11.6%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta $\pm E$. clarksoniana $\pm E$. nesophila (Rises in south)



Figure 21. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 16.
3.19 BVG 17. Woodlands dominated by *Eucalyptus tetrodonta* on erosional surfaces and residual sands

Predominant landforms:

Rises (27%), erosional plains (26%), alluvial fans (14%), alluvial plains (12%) & flood plains (9%)

Predominant geology:

Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(50%) Colluvial (quartzose sands)
Rolling Downs Group (Klr)	(14%) Sedimentary (mudstones, slates & siltstones)
Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(8%) Alluvia (silts & quartzose sands)

Predominant soil map units:

Clark (Cr)	(37%)	Yellow Kandosols
Batavia (Bv)	(15%)	Yeliow Dermosols
Dixie (Dx)	(6%)	Bleached-Orthic Tenosols
Harmer (Hm)	(5%)	Yellow Kandosols

Vegetation map units:

Woodlands	;
-----------	---

.

72	(3.1%)	Eucalyptus cullenii $\pm E$. tetrodonta $\pm Erythrophleum chlorostachys \pm Eucalyptus confertiflora \pm E. clarksoniana (Erosional surfaces off bauxite plateaus)$
86	(2.9%)	Eucalyptus phoenicea $\pm E$. tetrodonta $\pm E$. hylandii var. campestris \pm Erythrophleum chlorostachys \pm Eucalyptus clarksoniana (Sandy colluvia, Laura Basin)
92	(27.4%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta $\pm E$. clarksoniana $\pm Erythrophleum$ chlorostachys (Low lying sandy areas)
9 3	(0.4%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta, E. clarksoniana $\pm E$. brassiana (Stabilised dunes, Archer Point & Barrow Point)
94	(2.5%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta $\pm E$. clarksoniana $\pm E$. tessellaris (Coastal lowlands)
95	(15.1)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta $\pm E$. confertiflora $\pm E$. hylandii var. campestris \pm Erythrophleum chlorostachys \pm Eucalyptus clarksoniana $\pm E$. leptophleba (Rolling Downs erosional area)
97	(9.3%)	Eucalyptus tetrodonta (or E. nesophila), E. hylandii var. campestris \pm Erythrophleum chlorostachys \pm Xanthorrhoea johnsonii \pm Eucalyptus cullenii (Granite valleys)



Figure 22. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 17.

Woodlands (Cont.)

- 98 (38.3%) Eucalyptus tetrodonta, E. hylandii var. campestris, Erythrophleum chlorostachys ± Eucalyptus setosa (Sand ridges, west of Dividing Range)
- 99 (1.0%) Eucalyptus tetrodonta, E. hylandii var. campestris ± E. cullenii

3.20 BVG 18. Low open-woodlands and low woodlands dominated by Melaleuca viridiflora on depositional plains

Predominant landforms:

Flood plains (52%), erosional plains (12%) & alluvial plains (10%)

Predominant geology:

Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(53%) Alluvia (silts & quartzose sands)
Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(22%) Colluvial (quartzose sands)

Predominant soil map units:

Anthed (Ab)	(28%)	Redoxic Hydrosols
Silver (Sv)	(12%)	Redoxic Hydrosols
Clark (Cr)	(12%)	Yellow Kandosols
Hann (Hn)	(11%)	Redoxic Hydrosols

Vegetation map units:

Low woodlands

144	(3.9%)	Melaleuca viridiflora \pm low trees (Drainage areas)
145	(0.8%)	Melaleuca viridiflora, Asteromyrtus symphyocarpa \pm Eucalyptus novoguinensis \pm M. stenostachya (Torres Strait Islands, north of Jeannie River)
147	(1.0%)	Melaleuca viridiflora ± Xanthorrhoea johnsonii ± Acacia brassii (Coen plains)
	Low open-w	oodlands
158	(14.3%)	Melaleuca viridiflora \pm Petalostigma banksii (Plains)
159	(80.0%)	Melaleuca viridiflora ± Petalostigma pubescens ± emergent Eucalyptus clarksoniana (Low lying plains)



Figure 23. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 18.

3.21 BVG 19. Open-forests and low open-forests dominated by *Melaleuca* spp. in seasonally inundated swamps

Predominant landforms:

Swamps, sinkholes, drainage depressions and streamlines on alluvial plains (29%), drainage depressions (18%), erosional plains (17%), flood plains (10%) and alluvial swamps (7%)

Predominant geology:

Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(34%) Colluvial (quartzose sand)
Bulimba Formation (KTi)	(18%) Sedimentary (clayey quartzose sandstones)
Tertiary surfaces (T&Qa)	(17%) Weathered (bauxite, ferricrete)
Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(11%) Alluvia (silts & quartzose sands)

Predominant soil map units:

Mapoon (Mp)	(8%)	Redoxic Hydrosols
Hann (Hn)	(6%)	Redoxic Hydrosols

Vegetation map units:

Open-forests

47	(26.0%)	Lophostemon suaveolens \pm Dillenia alata \pm Xanthostemon crenulatus \pm Melaleuca leucadendra (Alluvial and swampy areas)
51	(1.7%)	Melaleuca quinquenervia open-forest (Coastal swamps)
53	(16.7%)	Melaleuca saligna \pm M. leucadendra \pm M. viridiflora, Lophostemon suaveolens \pm Asteromyrtus symphyocarpa &/or Melaleuca sp. (Emu Lagoon J.R. Clarkson + 9582) (Sinkholes & swamps)
	Woodlands	
109	(41.5)	Melaleuca viridiflora \pm M. saligna \pm Asteromyrtus symphyocarpa \pm Lophostemon suaveolens \pm Melaleuca spp. (Sinkholes & drainage depressions)
	Low closed-:	forests

(1.1%) Semi-deciduous microphyll species ± emergent Melaleuca spp. (Sinkholes, Batavia Downs)

Low open-forests

- 138 (2.0%) Melaleuca arcana (Dune swamps)
- 139 (11.0) Melaleuca sp. (Emu Lagoon J.R. Clarkson+ 9582) (Western swamps)



Figure 24. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 19.

3.22 BVG 20. Low open-woodlands and tall shrublands dominated by Melaleuca stenostachya, M. citrolens or other Melaleuca spp.

Predominant landforms:

Flood plains(36%), erosional plains (15%), rises (11%), drainage depressions (10%), hills (6%) & alluvial fans (6%)

.

Predominant geology:

Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(38%)	Colluvia (quartzose sands)
Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(29%)	Alluvia (silts & quartzose sands)
Tertiary colluvia (TQs)	(9%)	Colluvia (motley clayey sands)

Predominant soil map units:

Antbed (Ab)	(20%)	Redoxic Hydrosols
Hann (Hn)	(18%)	Redoxic Hydrosols
Clark (Cr)	(1 6%)	Yellow Kandosols
Welcome (Wp)	(7%)	Bleached-Leptic Tenosols
Eykin (Ek)	(5%)	Grey Sodosols
• •		-

Vegetation map units:

Open-forests

52 (0.4%) Melaleuca saligna \pm Hakea pedunculata \pm M. acacioides (Edge of salt pans, Bathurst Heads)

Woodlands

106 (12.2%) Melaleuca stenostachya, Acacia leptostachya (Erosional slopes)

Low open-woodlands

153	(23.6%)	Melaleuca citrolens $\pm M$. foliolosa $\pm M$. viridiflora $\pm M$. acacioides (Longitudinal drainage depressions)
155	(28.3%)	Melaleuca stenostachya \pm M. foliolosa \pm shrub layer (Sandstone scarps)
156	(2.2%)	Melaleuca stenostachya \pm M. viridiflora (Plains)
157	(18.6%)	Melaleuca viridiflora, M. stenostachya ± Xanthorrhoea johnsonii (Flat plains, Lakefield)
	Tall shrubl	ands
164	(0.7%)	Melaleuca acacioides \pm Hakea pedunculata with emergent M. citrolens and M. viridiflora (Behind mangrove areas)

 165 (14.0%) Melaleuca citrolens ± M. foliolosa and/or Antidesma parvifolium (Western drainage lines)



Figure 25. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 20.

3.23 BVG 21. Tussock grasslands on marine and alluvial plains

Predominant landforms:

Flood plains (51%), alluvial plains (27%) & tidal flats (8%)

Predominant geology:Holocene alluvia (Qa)(44%) Silt and quartzose sandsHolocene alluvia (Qac)(23%) Coastal alluviaHolocene alluvia (Qha)(10%) Modern alluvia & levee deposits

Predominant soil map units:

Marina (Mn)	(40%)	Aquic or Grey Vertosols
Kennedy (Kd)	(21%)	Oxyaquic Hydrosols or Grey Vertosols
Hann (Hn)	(12%)	Redoxic Hydrosols
Antbed (Ab)	(10%)	Redoxic Hydrosols

Vegetation map units:

Open-woodlands

111 (0.8%) Corypha utan (Northern Lakefield)

Closed-tussock grasslands

183	(16.4%)	Oryza spp. \pm Eleocharis spp. \pm Panicum trachyrhachis \pm Fimbristylis spp. (Seasonally inundated marine plains)
185	(5.0%)	Sporobolus virginicus (Western coastal plains)
186	(14.0%)	Themeda arguens \pm Dichanthium sericeum \pm Capillipedium parviflorum \pm Fimbristylis spp. \pm Sorghum spp. (Marine plains)
187	(0.3%)	Grasslands/sedgelands with emergent <i>Pandanus</i> spp.(northern Torres Strait Islands)
	Tussock gr	asslands

188 (63.5%) Panicum spp., Fimbristylis spp. ± Oryza australiensis ± Sporobolus virginicus ± Eriachne spp. (Western coastal plains)



Figure 26. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 21.

3.24 BVG 22. Closed-tussock grasslands and open-woodlands on undulating clay plains

Predominant landforms:

Erosional plains (58%), rises (19%) & flood plains (6%)

Predominant geology:

Rolling Downs Group (Klr)	(51%)	Mudstones, slates & siltstones
Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(33%)	Silts & quartzose sands
Pliocene colluvia (TQs)	(6%)	Colluvial quartzose sands & minor silts

Predominant soil map units:

Myall (MI)	(23%)	Yellow Dermosols
Picanninny (Pn)	(21%)	Brown or Grey Dermosols
Batavia (Bv)	(12%)	Yellow Dermosols
Wakooka (Wk)	(11%)	Yellow Dermosols
Greenant (Ga)	(6%)	Yellow Sodosols or Redoxic Hydrosols

Vegetation map units:

Open-woodlands

118	(36.9%)	Eucalyptus papuana $\pm E$. leptophleba (Rolling to flat plains, Batavi	ia
		Downs)	

119 (6.6%) Terminalia aridicola var. chillagoensis, T. platyphylla (Heavy clays, Olive Vale)

Low open-woodlands

149 (8.4%) Acacia ditricha, Albizia procera (Rokeby)

Tall open-shrublands

167 (15.3%) Piliostigma malabaricum (Rokeby)

Closed-tussock grasslands

181	(3.3%)	Heteropogon triticeus, Themeda arguens, Sorghum plumosum \pm
		Piliostigma malabaricum (Picanninny Plains)

- 182 (12.6%)Imperata cylindrica ± Mnesithea rottboellioides ± Arundinella
setosa (Coastal plains, hillslopes & islands, Lockhart River)
- 184 (16.8%) Sorghum spp., Themeda arguens (Southern Lakefield & Olive Vale)



Figure 27. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 22.

3.25 BVG 23. Tussock grasslands on longitudinal drainage depressions, headlands or continental islands

Predominant landforms:

Flood plains (53%), drainage depressions (12%), erosional plains (8%) & islands

Predominant geology:

Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(51%) Silts & quartzose sands
Pliocene colluvium (TOs)	(32%) Colluvial quartzose sands & minor silts

Predominant soil map units:

Hann (Hn)	(31%)	Redoxic Hydrosols
Clark (Cr)	(19%)	Yellow Kandosols
Anthed (Ab)	(16%)	Redoxic Hydrosols
Kimba (Kb)	(7%)	Red Kandosols
Citri (Ct)	(6%)	Redoxic or Oxyaquic Hydrosols

Vegetation map units:

Low open-woodlands

154 (13.6%) Melaleuca saligna $\pm M$. viridiflora $\pm M$. citrolens (Longitudinal drainage depressions)

Closed-tussock grasslands

180 (85.2%) Eriachne spp. ± Aristida spp. ± Eragrostis spp. ± Fimbristylis spp.
 (Holroyd drainage lines)

Tussock grasslands

189 (1.2%) Themeda triandra or Schizachyrium spp. ± Eriachne spp.(Headlands and islands)



Figure 28. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 23.

:

-

BVG 24. Open-heaths and dwarf open-heaths on dunefields, sandplains and headlands 3.26

Predominant landforms:

Low hills (47%), coastal dunes (13%), alluvial plains (9%), erosional plains (6%) & dunefields (5%)

Predominant geology:

Helby Beds (JKb)	 (27%) Sedimentary (Clayey quartzose sandstones)
Holocene dunes (Qd)	(21%) Coastal deposits (quartzose sands)
Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(13%) Colluvial (quartzose sands)
Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(13%) Coastal deposits (silts & quartzose sands)

Predominant soil map units:

Harmer (Hm)	(34%)	Yellow Kandosols
Daunt (Dn)	(20%)	Aeric Podosols
Grevil (Gv)	(14%)	Semiaquic Podosols

Vegetation map units:

Low closed-forests

120	(2.8%)	Low microphyll vine forest dominated by Acacia crassicarpa, Syzygium banksii ± Neofabricia myrtifolia ± Leucopogon yorkensis subcanopy (Coastal dunes)
	Open-heaths	

168	(8.4%)	Asteromyrtus lysicephala \pm Baeckea frutescens \pm emergent Thryptomene oligandra, Neofabricia myrtifolia (Sandplains adjacent Jardine River)
169	(6.6%)	Asteromyrtus lysicephala, Choriceras tricorne, Xanthorrhoea johnsonii, Banksia dentata (Sand sheets, north-east of Coen)
170	(2.0%)	Asteromyrtus lysicephala ± Jacksonia thesioides ± Choriceras tricorne ± Banksia dentata (Adjacent streams, central Peninsula)
171	(41.4%)	Asteromyrtus lysicephala ± Jacksonia thesioides ± Choriceras tricorne ± Neofabricia myrtifolia ± emergent Melaleuca stenostachya (Heaths over sandstone plateau)
172	(11.2%)	Asteromyrtus lysicephala ± Neofabricia myrtifolia ± Thryptomene oligandra ± Hibbertia banksii ± low trees (Sandplains)
173	(3.7%)	Asteromyrtus lysicephala, Thryptomene oligandra, Neofabricia myrtifolia \pm emergent Melaleuca arcana (Jack River headwaters)
174	(0.01%)	Leucopogon yörkensis ± Asteromyrtus brassii ± Pouteria sericea (Torres Strait Islands)
1 75	(3.7%)	Melaleuca arcana, Thryptomene oligandra, Asteromyrtus lysicephala ± Baeckea frutescens (Swamp sandplains)
176	(12.3%)	Neofabricia myrtifolia \pm Jacksonia thesioides \pm Thryptomene oligandra \pm Leucopogon spp. (Quaternary dunefields)



Figure 29. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 24.

Dwarf open-heaths

- 177 (1.0%) Acacia humifusa \pm Myrtella obtusa \pm Grevillea pteridifolia \pm Petalostigma pubescens (Coastal dunes and headlands)
- 178 (5.0%) Asteromyrtus lysicephala, Neofabricia myrtifolia, Grevillea pteridifolia ± Melaleuca viridiflora &/or Schizachyrium spp. tussock grasslands (Sandstone plateaus)
- 179 (1.8%) Neofabricia myrtifolia ± Labichea buettneriana ± Leucopogon ruscifolius (Exposed sandplains, Cape Flattery)

3.27 BVG 25. Woodlands and herblands on beach ridges and the littoral margin

Predominant landforms:

Chenier plains (50%), beach ridges (32%) & tidal flats (6%)

Predominant geology:

Holocene beach ridges (Qhm)	(60%)	Marine deposits (quartzose sands,
		calcarenite)
Pleistocene beach ridges (Qpm)	(13%)	Older beach ridges (quartzose sands)
Quaternary deposits (Qac)	(9%)	Marine deposits (silty clays & sands)
	•	• • • •

Predominant soil map units:

Caravan (Cv)	(80%)	Bleached-Orthic Tenosols
Marina (Mn)	(6%)	Aquic or Grey Vertosols

Vegetation map units:

Open-forests

49	(11.6%)	Melaleuca dealbata \pm Acacia crassicarpa (Dune swales)
	Woodlands	
54	(70.5%)	Acacia crassicarpa \pm Syzygium suborbiculare \pm Parinari nonda \pm Acacia spp. (Dunes on west coast)
55	(2.2%)	Casuarina equisetifolia (Foredunes)
	Low woodla	ands
143	(2.1%)	Melaleuca foliolosa, Grevillea striata, Hakea persiehana, Melaleuca viridiflora (Old beach ridge, Marina Plains)
	Closed-herb	lands
193	(3.0%)	Mixed graminoids and forbs (Beach Foredunes)

Sparse-herblands

196 (10.6%) Mixed herb species ± emergent low trees (Coast dunes, west coast)



Figure 30. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 25.

3.28 BVG 26. Closed-forests and low closed-forests dominated by mangroves

Predominant landforms:

Tidal flats (82%)

Predominant geology:

Quaternary deposits (Qac)	(54%) Marine deposits (silty clays & sands)
Quaternary deposits (Qm)	(14%) Marine deposits (saltwater swamps)

Predominant soil map units:

Skardon (Sd)	(71%)	Intertidal Hydrosols
George (Go)	(9%)	Supratidal Hydrosols

Vegetation map units:

Closed-forests

34 (53.4%) Rhizophora stylosa \pm Bruguiera gymnorhiza \pm Avicennia marina (Low intertidal areas)

Low closed-forests

- 131 (7.0%) Avicennia marina var. eucalyptifolia ± Ceriops tagal (Landward intertidal areas)
- 132 (37.2%) Ceriops tagal ± Avicennia marina var. eucalyptifolia (Landward intertidal areas)
- 133 (0.04%) Pemphis acidula \pm Avicennia marina var. eucalyptifolia \pm Rhizophora stylosa (Islands)

Closed-scrubs

 160 (2.4%) Excoecaria agallocha ± Aegiceras corniculatum ± Lumnitzera spp. with emergent Avicennia marina var. eucalyptifolia (Tidal rivers & intertidal areas)



Figure 31. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 26.

3.29 BVG 27. Sedgelands, lakes and lagoons

Predominant landforms:

Drainage swamps, ephemeral and permanent lakes or alluvial plains (39%), low hills (15%), flood plains (8%), erosional plains (8%) & tidal flats (7%)

Predominant geology:

mindlif Bearagy	
Holocene alluvia (Qa)	(39%) Alluvia (silts & quartzose sands)
Pliocene colluvium (TQs)	(9%) Colluvial (quartzose sands)
Helby Beds (JKb)	(8%) Sedimentary (clayey quartzose sandstones)
Tertiary remnants(T&Qf)	(7%) Weathered (ferruginous laterite, ferricrete)
Holocene dunes (Qd)	(7%) Coastal deposits (quartzose sands)
·	• •

Predominant soil map units:

Grevil (Gv)	(37%)	Semiaquic Podosols
Daunt (Dn)	(6%)	Aeric Podosols
Emma (Em)	(6%)	Red Kandosols
Marina (Mn)	(5%)	Aquic or Grey Vertosols

Vegetation map units:

Closed-sedgelands

190 (15.6%) Eleocharis dulcis (Marine plains)

Open-sedgelands

191 (50.4%) Restio tetraphyllus subsp. meiostachyus \pm Leptocarpus spathaceus \pm Nepenthes mirabilis \pm Gahnia sieberiana (Drainage swamps)

Lakes and lagoons

199	(26.6%)	Ephemeral lakes (Seasonally dry)
200	(3.9%)	Perennial lakes with sedgelands on the margins (Lakes in dunefields)
201	(3.5%)	Permanent lakes and lagoons frequently with fringing woodlands (Lakefield National Park)

.



Figure 32. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 27.

3.30 BVG 28. Vegetation of the coral atolls, shingle cays and sand cays

Predo	Coral atolls,	orms: shingle platforms and sand cays
Predo	minant geolog Not surveyed	gy: Coral rubble
Preda	minant soil m	ap units:
	Not surveyed	Arenic Rudosols
Veget	ation map uni	its:
	Low closed-f	orests
122	(69.7%)	Evergreen notophyll vine forest dominated by Manilkara kauki \pm Mimusops elengi \pm Terminalia spp. (Sand cays)
128	(0.7%)	Pisonia grandis (Sand cays)
	Closed-scrub	s
162	(14.2%)	Premna serratifolia ± mixed shrub spp. (Sand cays)
	Closed-herbla	ands
192	(15.5%)	Lepturus repens \pm Ipomoea pescaprae \pm Tribulus cistoides (sand cays and shingle cays)

Associations from other broad vegetation groups that are also present on the islands

- 34 Rhizophora stylosa ± Bruguiera gymnorhiza ± Avicennia marina var. eucalyptifolia closed-forests
- 55 Casuarina equisetifolia low open-forests
- 131 Avicennia marina var. eucalyptifolia ± Ceriops tagal low closed-forests
- 132 Ceriops tagal ± Avicennia marina var. eucalyptifolialow closed-forests
- 133 Pemphis acidula \pm Avicennia marina var. eucalyptifolia \pm Rhizophora stylosa low closed-forests
- 185 Sporobolus virginicus closed-tussock grasslands
- 194 Bare saltpans with areas of Halosarcia spp. sparse-forblands &/or Xerochloa imberbis tussock grasslands &/or Suriana maritima woody forblands or Sesuvium portulacastrum open-herblands



Figure 33. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 28.

3.31 BVG 29. Rocky and bare sandy areas, e.g. saltpans, sand blows and rock pavements

Predominant landforms:

Tidal flats (71%), flood plains (9%) and dunefields (7%)

Predominant geology:

Holocene deposits (Qac)	(34%) Marine deposits (Silty clays & sands)
Holocene deposits (Qhp)	(31%) Tidal flat deposits (Silty clays)
Holocene deposits (Qhm)	(6%) Beach ridge deposits (quartzose sands)

Predominant soil map units:

George (Go)	(56%)	Supratidal Hydrosols
Skardon (Sd)	(13%)	Intertidal Hydrosols
Marina (Mn)	(11%)	Aquic or Grey Vertosols

Vegetation map units:

194	(80.1%)	Bare saltpans \pm areas of <i>Halosarcia</i> spp. sparse-forbland &/or <i>Xerochloa imberbis</i> tussock grassland &/or <i>Suriana maritima</i> woody forbland or <i>Sesuvium portulacastrum</i> open-herblands (Saltpans & saline flats)
1 95	(2.0%)	Granite boulders covered with Blue Green Algae \pm scattered trees (Black Mountain, Cape Melville)
1 9 7	(3.8%)	Rock pavements on mountains, in river beds, or on islands \pm sparse-herblands
198	(14.1%)	Sand blows, or bare sand areas (Dunefields, sand cays & river beds) \pm sparse scattered shrubs sparse-herblands



Figure 34. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 29.

3.32 BVG 30. Miscellaneous vegetation group dominated by Acacia spp. or members of the Myrtaceae family occurring on a variety of landforms

Predominant landforms:

Low hills (37%), erosional plains (13%), flood plains (11%), rises (7%), hills (7%) & alluvial plains (6%)

Predominant geology:

Holocene sand (TQs)	(27%) Colluvia (quartzose sands)
Helby Beds (JKb)	(16%) Sedimentary (clayey quartzose sandstones)
Quaternary sand (Qa)	(13%) Alluvia (quartzose sands)
Tertiary laterite (T&Qf)	(13%) Tertiary remnants (ferricrete)

Predominant soil map units:

Harmer (Hm)	(13%)	Yellow Kandosols
Emma (Em)	(10%)	Red Kandosols
Dixie (Dx)	(9%)	Bleached-Orthic Tenosols
Clark (Cr)	(8%)	Yellow Kandosols
Grevil (Gv)	(7%)	Semiaquic Podosols
Witchura (Wu)	(6%)	Red Kandosols

Vegetation map units:

Open-forests

35 (2.4%) Acacia shirleyi (Rocky rises, southern CYP)

Woodlands

1 07	(3.8%)	Melaleuca viridiflora, Asteromyrtus brassii ± Meleleuca
		stenostachya (Metamorphic hills, Wattle Hills)

- 108 (2.5%)Melaleuca viridiflora, Asteromyrtus brassii ± Melaleuca
stenostachya (Flat sandplains, south of Lockhart River)
- 110 (50.8%) Thryptomene oligandra ± Neofabricia mjoebergii ± Melaleuca viridiflora ± Grevillea pteridifolia ± Acacia torulosa (Drainage depressions)

Low open-forests

- 134 (0.6%) Acacia brassii (Northern ranges and islands)
- 135 (20.5%) Asteromyrtus brassii, Neofabricia myrtifolia, Allocasuarina littoralis ± Welchiodendron longivalve (Northern CYP, sandy plateaus)

i

 140 (5.3%) Neofabricia myrtifolia, Asteromyrtus brassil, Lophostemon suaveolens, Leucopogon yorkensis ± Callitris intratropica emergents (Elliot Creek)



Figure 35. Spatial distribution of broad vegetation group 30.

Low woodlands

- 141 (0.4%) Allocasuarina littoralis \pm Acacia crassicarpa \pm Grevillea glauca \pm Melaleuca viridiflora (Sandstone plateaus)
- 146 (10.1%) Melaleuca viridiflora \pm Neofabricia myrtifolia \pm Allocasuarina littoralis \pm Asteromyrtus brassii \pm Acacia spp. (Undulating plains, thin sand cover)
- 148 (0.4%) Welchiodendron longivalve, Melaleuca viridiflora \pm Neofabricia myrtifolia \pm Acacia brassii (Ridge crests, Iron Range area)

Tall shrublands

163 (2.1%) Leptospermum purpurascens (Granite hills, Pascoe River area)

Tall open-shrublands

166 (1.1%) Neofabricia myrtifolia, Acacia calyculata, Jacksonia thesioides \pm Leptospermum purpurascens (Sandstone breakaways, Janet Range) The vegetation of Cape York Peninsula is dominated by *Eucalyptus* spp. woodlands, openwoodlands and open-forests, which occupy 64% of the study area (see Table 7). This dominance of eucalypt savannas is repeated in other tropical areas of northern Australia.

Table 7.	Extent of an	nalgamated bro	ad vegetation	groups
		TOLE THE PARTY OF		8.000

Amalgamated Broad Vegetation Groups	Area (sq km)	%Total Area
Eucalyptus spp. dominated woodlands, open-woodlands and open forests	85 417	64.0
Melaleuca spp. dominated low open-woodlands, low woodlands and tall shrublands	19 013	14.2
Grasslands and grassy open-woodlands	8 110	6.1
Closed-forests (excluding mangroves)	7 482	5.6
Heathlands	4 461	3.3
Miscellaneous communities (including mangroves, littoral vegetation and wetlands)	9 056	6.8
TOTAL	133 539	100.0

The messmate (Eucalyptus tetrodonta) dominated woodlands and tall woodlands (groups 16 and 17) are the most extensive, occupying 36.3% of the study area (see Table 8). E. tetrodonta dominates large areas in the Top End of the Northern Territory (Wilson, Brocklehurst, Clark and Dickinson, 1990) and significant areas in the Kimberley (Beard 1979). Darwin Woollybutt (Eucalyptus miniata) is a frequent codominant or dominant species with E. tetrodonta for large areas in the Northern Territory, but occurs in Queensland only south of 16° S.

Eucalyptus hylandii and/or E. tetrodonta dominated woodlands occurring on sandstone, metamorphic and ironstone ranges occupy 7.3% of the study area. Other larger broad vegetation groups dominated by Eucalyptus spp. are group 8 (5.6%), which is dominated by the bloodwoods (E. clarksoniana, E. novoguinensis and E. polycarpa); group 7 (5.0%), dominated by the boxes (E. chlorophylla, E. microtheca and E. acroleuca); group 9 (4.0%) dominated by the ironbarks (E. cullenii and E. crebra) and box (E. persistens subsp. tardecidens); and group 11 (3.1%), dominated by Molloy box (E. leptophleba).

	Broad Vegetation Group	Area (sq km)	%Area
16.	Woodlands and tail woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus tetrodonta on deeply weathered plateaus and remnants	25 910	19.4
17,	Woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus tetrodonta on erosional surfaces and residual sands	22 527	16.9
10.	Woodlands dominated by <i>Eucalyptus hylandii</i> var. <i>hylandii</i> or <i>E. tetrodonta</i> on sandstone, metamorphic and ironstone ranges	9 690	7.3
8.	Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus clarksoniana, E. novoguinensis or E. polycarpa	7 520	5.6
7.	Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus chlorophylla, E. microtheca or E. acroleuca	6 695	5.0
9.	Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus cullenii, E. crebra or E. persistens subsp. tardecidens	5 299	4.0
11	Open woodlands and woodlands dominated by <i>Eucalyptus leptophleba</i> on river frontages and northern undulating plains	4 079	3.1
13.	Open-forests and woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus nesophila or E. hylandii var. campestris	1 240	0.9
12.	Woodlands dominated by <i>Eucalyptus leptophleba</i> , <i>E. platyphylla</i> or <i>E. erythrophloia</i> on undulating hills and plains in the south-east	1 192	0.9
15.	Open-forests and woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus tessellaris, E. clarksoniana or E. brassiana on coastal plains and ranges	1 155	0.9
14.	Eucalyptus spp. open-forests of the Wet Tropics region	110	0.1
TOTA	AL.	85 417	64.0

Table 8. Extent of Eucalyptus spp. dominated communities

The next most extensive vegetation group is the low open-woodlands, low woodlands and tall shrublands dominated by *Melaleuca* spp. (14.2% of total area), in particular *Melaleuca viridiflora* (broad vegetation group 18) which covers 10.4% of the study area.

Grasslands (6.1%), rainforests (5.6%) and heathlands (3.3%) are the next most extensive vegetation types.

Table 9 lists the 30 broad vegetation groups in decreasing order of areal extent.

	Broad Vegetation Group	Area (sq km)	% Area
16.	Woodlands and tall woodlands dominated by <i>Eucalyptus</i> tetrodonta on deeply weathered plateaus and remnants	25 910	19.4
17.	Woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus tetrodonta on erosional surfaces and residual sands	22 527	16.9
18.	Low open-woodlands and low woodlands dominated by Melaleuca viridiflora on depositional plains	13 904	10.4
10.	Woodlands dominated by <i>Eucalyptus hylandii</i> var. <i>hylandii</i> or <i>E. tetrodonta</i> on sandstone, metamorphic and ironstone ranges	9 690	7.3
8.	Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus clarksoniana, E. novoguinensis or E. polycarpa	7 520	5.6
7.	Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus chlorophylla, E. microtheca or E. acroleuca	6 694	5.0
21.	Tussock grasslands on marine and alluvial plains	5 396	4.0
9.	Woodlands and open-woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus cullenii, E. crebra or E. persistens subsp. tardecidens	5 299	4.0
24.	Open-heaths and dwarf open-heaths on dunefields, sandplains and headlands	4 461	3.3
11.	Open-woodlands and woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus leptophleba on river frontages and northern undulating plains	4 078	3.1
30.	Miscellaneous vegetation group dominated by Acacia spp. or members of the Myrtaceae family occurring on a variety of landforms	3 522	2.6
6.	Gallery closed-forests and Melaleuca spp. dominated open-forests on alluvia	3 358	2.5
20.	Low open-woodlands and tall shrublands dominated by Melaleuca stenostachya, M. citrolens or other Melaleuca spp.	3 282	2.5
19.	Open-forests and low open-forests dominated by Melaleuca spp. in seasonally inundated swamps	1 827	1.4
2.	Closed-forests of the McIlwraith-Iron Range region	1 805	1.4

Table 9. Broad Vegetation Groups in decreasing order of areal extent

	Broad Vegetation Group	Area (sq km)	% Area
23.	Tussock grasslands on longitudinal drainage depressions, headlands or continental islands	1 714	1.3
26.	Closed-forests and low closed-forests dominated by mangroves	1 594	1.2
29.	Rocky and bare sandy areas, eg. saltpans, sand blows and rock pavements	1 568	1.2
27.	Sedgelands, lakes and lagoons	I 360	1.0
13.	Open-forests and woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus nesophila or E. hylandii var. campestris	1 240	0.9
12.	Woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus leptophleba, E. platyphylla or E. erythrophloia on undulating hills and plains in the south-east	1 192	0.9
15.	Open-forests and woodlands dominated by Eucalyptus tessellaris, E. clarksoniana or E. brassiana on coastal plains and ranges	1 155	0.9
22.	Closed-tussock grasslands and open-woodlands on undulating clay plains	1 000	0.8
25.	Woodlands and herblands on beach ridges and the littoral margin	981	0.7
3.	Closed-forests of northern Cape York Peninsula and the Torres Strait Islands	752	0.6
5.	Deciduous low closed-forests on slopes and alluvia.	615	0.5
1.	Closed-forests of the Wet Tropics region	521	0.4
4.	Closed-forests of coastal dunes, dunefields and the Jardine River frontage	430	0.3
14.	Eucalyptus spp. open-forests of the Wet Tropics region.	110	0.1
28.	Vegetation of the coral atolls, shingle cays and sand cays	31	0.02

.

4.0 VEGETATION MAPPING GIS INFORMATION

4.1 Vegetation mapping coverage

The vegetation mapping coverage was constructed using ARC/INFO Version 6.1.1 on a SPARC station IPC. It consists of the line (arc) coverage storing the vegetation mapping boundaries, and the polygon coverage, representing unique mapping areas (UMAs) with specific vegetation attribute information. The coverage contains information on up to four natural vegetation units and two disturbed vegetation units that make up each polygon together with the percentage contribution of each for the 17 444 polygons.

VEG.PAT - polygon attribute table

4.2 Vegetation point attribute tables

Three vegetation point attribute tables that store a number of attributes from the site database:

SITE.PAT -	detailed site table
OBS.PAT -	observational point table
HELLPAT -	helicopter observation table

4.3 Lookup tables

VEG.LEG	-	brief descriptions of the 201 natural and 8 disturbed vegetation units
		diffic
VEG.STR	-	descriptions of the 21 vegetation structural formations
VEG.BVG	-	descriptions of the 30 broad vegetation groups
VEG REL	-	relates the attributes in the other 3 tables
TEO.REE		

4.4 CORVEG site database

The complete CORVEG site database has been submitted to the CYPLUS GIS.

4.5 Standard documentation files

There are a number of standard documentation files attached to the digital coverage;

VEG.DCT -	data dictionary file defines the attributes used in the vegetation
VEG.OAL -	data quality file discusses the derivation of the line work.
	positional accuracy, logical consistency and completeness of the
	polygon coverage
VEG.RME -	read me file describes the basic settings of the GIS coverage
VEG.TBA -	FINDAR information file
VEG.TBB -	FINDAR information file

4.6 Access to information

The vegetation coverage resides on the CYPLUS GIS at Department of Lands (Brisbane) and NRIC (Canberra), and on the Queensland Herbarium GIS at Indooroopilly. A Memorandum of Understanding regarding the use of this data exists under CYPLUS. A few additional conditions of use are required from the data custodian, The Chief Botanist, Queensland Herbarium, Meiers Road, Indooroopilly, Q, 4880.

:

5.0 FLORISTICS

5.1 Floristic notes

The flora of Cape York Peninsula is summarised statistically in Tables 10 to 13. The total number of vascular species recorded is 3338. This is 805 greater than that recorded by Clarkson and Kenneally (1988) in their comparative analysis of the Cape York and Kimberley floras. Care should be taken however, in making direct comparisons between the figures quoted here and those given by Clarkson and Kenneally. Only published names were used in the earlier analysis whereas recognised but undescribed species have been included in the present study. If the figures given here are discounted for these undescribed species the net increase in total vascular species is 582. This still represents a significant increase (23%) and the results of recent field studies suggest that the numbers will continue to increase for some years yet. Interesting finds are liable to come from wet season collecting when short-lived ephemerals appear briefly or as previously uncollected areas are systematically surveyed by botanists familiar with the flora of the Peninsula.

Table 10. Summary of the vascular flora

	Pteridophytes	Gymnosperms	Angiosperms	Total
Families	30	5	183	218
Genera	73	6	1,118	1,197
Species	157	8	3,173	3,338

 Table 11.
 Ranking of the 10 largest families based on the number of genera listing the number of genera and their percentage of the total vascular genera

Family	No. of Genera	Percentage
Poaceae	93	7.7
Orchidaceae	62	5.1
Fabaceae	56	4.6
Euphorbiaceae	45	3.7
Asteraceae	45	3.7
Rubiaceae	35	2.9
Myrtaceae	32	2.6
Sapindaceae	26	2.1
Cyperaceae	23	1.9
Rutaceae	20	1.6
Family	No. of Species	Percentage
---------------	----------------	------------
Poaceae	313	9.3
Cyperaceae	184	5.5
Fabaceae	182	5.4
Myrtaceae	173	5.1
Orchidaceae	168	5.0
Euphorbiaceae	141	4.2
Rubiaceae	106	3.1
Sapindaceae	79	2.3
Mimosaceae	68	2.0
Lauraceae	66	1.9

Table 12.Ranking of the 10 largest families based on the number of specieslisting the number of native species and the percentage of the totalspecies

		-	-	-
	Cyperus	64	Endiandra	14
	Acacia	48	Plectranthus	14
	Dendrobium	44	Elaeocarpus	14
	Eucalyptus	44	Diospyros	14
	Fimbristylis	41	Aristida	13
	Syzygium	33	Bulbophyllum	13
	Ficus	29	Dysoxylum	13
	Cryptocarya	27	Eriocaulon	13
	Eragrostis	25	Eleocharis	13
	Mitrasacme	23	Brachychiton	12
	Ipomoea	21	Panicum	12
	Scleria	20	Digitaria	12
	Eriachne	19	Terminalia	12
	Phyllanthus	19	Planchonella	11
	Euphorbia	18	Spermacoce	11
	Solanum	17	Polygala	11
	Canthium	17	Brachiaria	11
	Melaleuca	17	Glochidion	11
i	Tephrosia	17	Croton	I1
	Crotalaria	17	Rhynchospora	11
ĺ	Stylidium	16	Cissus	10
	Austromyrtus	16	Psychotria	10
	Utricularia	16	Grevillea	10
	Desmodium	16	Hibiscus	10
	Pandanus	15	Capparis	10

Parsonsia

10

15

14

Indigofera

Amyema

Table 13. Genera with 10 or more species ranked by the number of species

In an attempt to determine which areas have been poorly collected and might warrant further study, the total number of specimens held by the Queensland Herbarium (BRI) was calculated for a grid based on 30 minutes of latitude by 30 minutes of longitude. The results are shown in Figure 36. This clearly shows that collecting effort to date has concentrated mainly in areas of closed-forest. A vast area in the southwest remains under collected. Recent field studies have shown that detailed collecting in the isolated closed-forest pockets of BVG 3 and BVG 5 has the potential to yield significant additions to the flora.



Figure 36. Distribution of the number of plant collections held by the Queensland Herbarium for the study area.

Plant taxonomy is a dynamic science and species numbers will change as field work or herbarium studies reveal previously unrecognised taxa. A conservative estimate suggests that approximately 225-250 species (6.5-7.5%) of the flora remains undescribed. This includes recognised but undescribed species and species where taxonomic opinion suggests that the names in current use are misapplied. A significant proportion of these plants were discovered for the first time in the course of the CYPLUS project. A full list of plants known to occur in the study area has been prepared (see Appendix 2 for example pages) and will be published soon (Clarkson & Neldner in prep).

5.2 Alien plants

Exotic species which have become naturalised account for 7.4% of the total vascular flora. While this is still low in comparison to the contribution these species make to the floras of more closely settled areas (see figures quoted by Clarkson & Kenneally 1988), it represents an increase of almost 106% in the number of aliens recorded for the area since the last similar analysis of the flora was undertaken less than 10 years ago (Clarkson and Kenneally 1988). This is more than 4.5 times the corresponding increase in native species added to the list in the same time. As land use patterns change leading to more extensive clearing, increased use of exotic pasture species and the importation of materials and machinery, this alarming trend is likely to continue. While the risk of undesirable species reaching Cape York Peninsula from the north is being carefully monitored by quarantine authorities, movement from the south is receiving little attention. A list of alien plant species recorded on Cape York Peninsula is given in Appendix 3. The distribution and impact of naturalised exotic species is being assessed as part of the CYPLUS Land Use Program.

5.3 Rare or threatened plants

Plants may be considered rare or threatened for a variety of reasons. They may be known from only a few herbarium specimens or in the field from only a few isolated localities. They may have been common at one time but disturbances such as land clearing, altered fire regimes or the impact of feral animals may have lead to severe depletion of natural populations. In many cases however, a poor knowledge of the occurrence of the plant in the field can result in a degree of uncertainty being associated with the status assigned to many species. These are coded K. Extensive collecting and field observation associated with the vegetation mapping program has removed many of these uncertainties from the list for Cape York Peninsula. However, 27% of the plants listed still fit into this category. These species are not afforded any special consideration under nature conservation legislation in Queensland. Taxa included under this category are currently being assessed for listing under an acceptable category or for deletion.

The 379 taxa listed as rare or threatened by the Queensland Herbarium (1994) and known to occur on Cape York Peninsula are listed in Appendix 4. This represents 10.7% of the total flora or 7.9% if the taxa coded K are disregarded. A summary is provided in Table 14. The criteria for derivation of the codes X, E and V are those defined by the Endangered Flora Network for the Australian and New Zealand Environmental and Conservation Council (ANZECC 1993). The codes for R & K are derived using the criteria of Thomas and McDonald (1989).

_	Extinct	Endangered	Vulnerable	Rare	Poorly
	(X)	(E)	(V)	(R)	Known (K)
Ferns	0	3	3		6
Gymnosperms	0	0	1		0
Angiosperms	0	12	45		96
Total	0	15	49	213	102

Table 14. Summary of taxa considered rare or threatened

X - Presumed extinct

 E - Endangered and at risk of disappearing from the wild state within 10 to 20 years if present land use and other casual factors continue to operate

- V Vulnerable but not presently endangered
- R Rare but not considered endangered or vulnerable
- K Poorly known but suspect of being at risk

The conservation status assigned to any taxon is dependent on the current knowledge of distribution and threatening processes. The coding assigned can be altered if there is a change in either. For example, *Neofabricia mjoebergii*, *N. sericisepala* and *Decaschistia peninsularis* were removed from the list when observations made in the course of field survey associated with this project showed these plants to be more widely distributed than originally thought (Neldner 1993). The conservation of rare or threatened species is being reviewed under the CYPLUS Land Use Program. Reassessment of the coding assigned to any species will require ratification by the Queensland scientific advisory committee.

Over half (56%) of the species listed for Cape York Peninsula fall into the rare but not endangered or vulnerable category (R). Discounting the total species listed for those which are poorly known and thus coded K, this figure rises to 77%. This high figure is a reflection of current land use based largely on extensive rather than intensive practices. A shift towards projects requiring more intensive development particularly widespread clearing or disturbance of key areas would probably be followed by an increase in the numbers of taxa coded E and V.



6.0 USERS AND POTENTIAL USERS

6.1 Introduction

While vegetation and land resource surveys are expensive projects, they will repay the investment many times over in the long term. By providing inventories of natural resources, land surveys allow informed management decisions to be made. Benefits such as the prevention of soil losses or land degradation, and the preservation of endangered species or communities, are not easily quantified in economic terms. However, Dent and Young (1981) estimate that for both Australia and the United States of America, benefit-cost ratios for land resource surveys are in the order of 40:1 or 50:1.

This survey of Cape York Peninsula maps the natural vegetation at 1:250 000 scale, using a uniform methodology over the whole study area. Natural vegetation is a good indicator of resource potential, as it reflects the climate, soils, regolith and history of a site (Webb *et al.* 1970; Havel 1981; Kirkpatrick and Dickinson 1986; Gunn *et al.* 1988). The scale of mapping is regional with applications including the assessment of development potential, pasture production areas, national and regional resource inventory (Reid 1988). The GIS vegetation coverage is seen as one of the key coverages for future planning and the development of a land use strategy for Cape York Peninsula.

6.2 CYPLUS users

Before the vegetation coverage was finalised in August 1994, a number of CYPLUS NRAP projects had accessed and used the mapping and site data. The Terrestrial Fauna Survey (NR03) ,the Marine Plant Distribution NR06) and Ecology of Golden Shouldered Parrots (NR21) acquired hard copy maps, while the Wetland Fauna Survey (NR09) and Environmental Region Analysis (NR11) acquired a digital coverage. The Flora Data and Modelling (NR18) project relied heavily upon site data.

The vegetation coverage has been used by a number of projects in the Land Use Program. Amalgamation of mapping units were used to derive "country type" maps by Gary Cotter for his study of the pastoral industry on Cape York Peninsula (Cotter 1994). These maps are also being used by the Pasture Production project. Crowley (1994) in her analysis of Fire on Cape York Peninsula derived amalgamated vegetation types from the GIS coverage. The Forest Assessment group acquired digital coverage of the high production *Eucalyptus tetrodonta* woodlands. The Department of Environment and Heritage and Australian Heritage Commission are using both the GIS coverage and site data in the Conservation and Natural Heritage Assessment projects.

6.3 Non-CYPLUS users

The GIS coverage and associated site data are being recognised as a key data set by workers across a wide range of disciplines. Numerous requests for information have been processed to date. Some examples follow. A vegetation map was acquired by Greg Calvert (James Cook University student) for ethnobotanical studies in the Hopevale area (Calvert 1993). Vegetation coverages have been used for property planning on a number of Cape York Peninsula properties, eg Kendall River, Olive Vale, by officers of the Queensland Department of Primary Industries. Hard copy vegetation maps have also been supplied to the Injinoo and Kowanyama Community Councils. The Defence Department has used the digital vegetation coverage to assist in updating the land cover maps. Sections of the mapping coverage have been acquired by consultants, e.g. Environmental Science and Services (NQ) (1994), for a variety of environmental studies. The National Forest Inventory (NFI) has recently requested both the point and polygon data in digital form.

6.4 Future uses

The traditional uses of vegetation surveys and maps include strategic and regional planning, property planning, infrastructure planning, development control, environmental impact assessment, community participation, research and teaching, conservation, fauna distribution, forestry and military uses, and have been discussed more fully by Neldner (1993). Additional innovative uses are likely to appear as GIS technology becomes more widely applied.

The demand for vegetation information for these purposes will increase as government departments, land use planners and property owners embrace policies aimed at the sustainable land use and management (QDPI 1994). The vegetation mapping coverage of Cape York Peninsula is both a historical and geographical reference. Analyses can be made of the changes to the landscape over time. For example, a recent study by Smith, Shields and Danaher (1994) has used the vegetation survey of Neldner (1984) and a number of CSIRO land resource surveys to examine clearing of vegetation over time in South Central Queensland. Hopefully, informed planning decisions will prevent land degradation, but where areas require rehabilitation, this survey will provide information on the plants that are adapted to particular areas.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

While vegetation and land resource surveys are expensive projects, they will repay the investment many times over in the long term. By providing inventories of natural resources, land surveys allow informed management decisions to be made. Benefits such as the prevention of soil losses or land degradation, and the preservation of endangered species or communities, are not easily quantified in economic terms. However, it has been estimated that benefit-cost ratios for land resource surveys are in the order of 40:1 or 50:1.

This survey of Cape York Peninsula maps the natural vegetation at 1:250 000 scale, using a uniform methodology over the whole study area. 201 natural and 8 disturbed vegetation units have been recognised and spatially delineated in 17 444 Unique Mapping Areas (UMAs). This is a quantum increase in the level of mapping compared to the 26 vegetation map units recognised by Pedley and Isbell (1971) in the previously most comprehensive mapping of Cape York Peninsula vegetation.

Natural vegetation is a good indicator of resource potential, as it reflects the climate, soils, geology, regolith and history of a site. The relationships between the vegetation cover and underlying soil, geology and landforms has been analysed by intersecting the vegetation cover with the CYPLUS soils (NR02), geology (NR05) and regolith (NR12) coverages. The scale of mapping is regional with applications including the assessment of development potential, pasture production areas, national and regional resource inventory. The GIS vegetation coverage is being used as one of the key coverages for future planning and the development of a land use strategy for Cape York Peninsula. To assist in the regional analyses of the study area, the 201 map units have been combined into 30 broad vegetation groups.

Another major increase in knowledge has been in the distribution of individual plant species. 1473 detailed sites recording structural and floristic data on all the species present at a site have been collected. In addition, 5700 vehicle observational sites and 2650 helicopter observations have been recorded. More than 4000 herbarium specimens, some new to science, have been collected and distributed to herbaria in Australia and overseas. These specimens have been used by botanists all over the world for taxonomic studies. The site information is being used extensively in the CYPLUS flora data modelling project (NR18) and the nature conservation and natural heritage assessment project of the Land Use Program.

The data produced by this survey will remain as a reference point for all studies in the future. It will allow monitoring of changes in the spatial distribution and composition of the vegetation to be documented. Much further analysis is required to fully explore the information contained in the data. More comprehensive description and analysis of the flora and vegetation of Cape York Peninsula is being prepared for the companion volumes, *Plants of Cape York Peninsula* (Clarkson and Neldner in prep.) and *Vegetation of Cape York Peninsula* (Neldner and Clarkson in prep.)

-

_

103

8.0 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the dedicated and competent work of our technical assistants at the Queensland Herbarium, without whom the deadlines for this project would not have been met:

- Jack Kelley (Indooroopilly) produced the vegetation coverage, being involved in all processes from the transfer of linework from the aerial photographs, to the final editing and checking of the digital coverage.
- Damian Milne (Mareeba) performed a variety of tasks including field assistance, database manipulation, transcribing tape transcripts, processing of herbarium specimens and producing graphics from the GIS coverages.
- Val Halbert (Marceba) entered, checked and edited the substantial CORVEG database.
- Ceri Pearce (Marceba) assisted with data entry and checking, processing of herbarium specimens and a variety of other tasks.
- Hans Dillewaard (Indooroopilly) assisted us with the customising of CORVEG for our requirements and wrote a number of retrieval programs to enable efficient analysis of the data.

The staff of the Queensland Herbarium (Indooroopilly) gave excellent administrative and technical support. Others who assisted in Mareeba include Lorraine Higgins, Marg Hudson and George Precoma.

Joint field trips with Andrew Biggs, Seonaid Philip and Peter Wilson of CYPLUS NR02 soils mapping and David Fell and Peter Stanton of NR01 rainforest inventory were enjoyable and enlightening. Peter Stanton also provided a spare seat during helicopter surveying of two National Parks. Bob Reid and Kerry Trapnell of the CYPLUS taskforce provided organisational support. CYPLUS projects NR02 (soils), NR12 (regolith) and NR05 (geology) provided access to their digital GIS coverages, and Fell and Stanton provided their site data. The team at ERIN (NR18) performed a number of analyses on the vegetation data. Professor Henry Nix and Janet Stein of the Centre of Resource and Environmental Studies (CRES), Australian National University, provided advice and programming assistance for performing the numerical analyses. Barbara Waterhouse of Department of Primary Industries, Mareeba assisted with the checking of the mapping of the northern Torres Strait Islands.

The Corporate Services support staff and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) staff at the Department of Primary Industries, Mareeba are thanked for word processing and technical GIS advice respectively. Helicopter pilots Don Blanch, Mark Daunt, James Lake, Mark Phillips and Perry Scholte provided safe transport in often challenging conditions.

The Australian Geographic Society Inc. and Royal Geographical Society of Queensland Inc. provided accommodation and logistic support for wet season expeditions held 9-17 June 1990 and 25 February - 10 March 1992 respectively. The residents of Cape York Peninsula for providing access, advice and assistance throughout the field seasons. We would like especially like to pay tribute to our families who have endured our long absences in the field which were necessary to complete this work and who have supported us in the work.

9.0 REFERENCES

- AUSLIG (1990). Atlas of Australian Resources. Vegetation. Third Series. Vol. 6. Australian Surveying and Land Information Group, Canberra.
- Austin, M. P. and Belbin, L. (1982). A new approach to the species classification problem in floristic analysis. Australian Journal of Ecology, 7, 75-89.
- AGSO (1994a). Regolith of Cape York Peninsula. Cape York Peninsula Land Use Strategy (CYPLUS). 1:250000 mapping coverage. Australian Geological Survey Organisation.
- AGSO (1994b). Geology of Cape York Peninsula. Cape York Peninsula Land Use Strategy (CYPLUS) 1:250000 mapping coverage. Australian Geological Survey Organisation.
- Beadle, N. C. W. and Costin, A. B. (1952). Ecological classification and nomenclature. Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales, 77, 61-82.
- Beard, J. S. (1979). Kimberley. Explanatory notes to sheet 1. Vegetation Survey of Western Australia. University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Western Australia.
- Beard, J. S. and Webb, M. J. (1974). Great Sandy Desert. Explanatory notes to sheet 2. Vegetation Survey of Western Australia. University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Western Australia.
- Bedward, M., Keith, D. A. and Pressey, R. L. (1992). Homogeneity analysis: Assessing the utility of classifications and maps of natural resources. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, 17, 133-139.
- Belbin, L. (1988). PATN, Pattern Analysis Package. Reference Manuals. CSIRO Division of Wildlife and Rangelands Research, Canberra.
- Belbin, L., Faith, D. P. and Mulligan, G. W. (1992). A comparison of two approaches to β-flexible clustering. *Multivariate Behavioural Research* 27, 417-433.
- Biggs, A. J. W. and Philip, S. R. (1994). Soils of Cape York Peninsula. Cape York Peninsula Land Use Strategy (CYPLUS) NR02 Report.
- Blandford, D.C. and Associates Pty Ltd (1994). Skardon Kaolin Project. Environmental Impact Statement. Unpublished report.
- Bleeker, P. and Laut, P. (1987). A Soil Survey and Land Evaluation for Oil Palm and Cashew Nut of the Lockhart River Valley, Cape York, Queensland. Divisional Report 87/1. CSIRO, Canberra.
- Boyland, D. E. (1984). Vegetation Survey of Queensland. South Western Queensland. Queensland Botany Bulletin, 4.

- Byrnes, N. B., Everist, S. L. and Reynolds, S. T. (1977). The vegetation of Lizard Island, North Queensland. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Queensland, 88, 1-15.
- Calvert, G. A. (1993). Dissertation on Ethnobotany of the Guugu Yimithir Aboriginal Community (Hopevale, Cape York Peninsula). Unpublished Honors thesis, James Cook University, Townsville.
- Clarkson, J. R. (1982). A Vegetation Survey of Special Bauxite Mining Lease 9, Aurukun. Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane.
- Clarkson, J. R. and Kenneally, K. F. (1988). The floras of Cape York and the Kimberleys: a preliminary comparative analysis. Proceedings of the Ecological Society of Australia, 15, 259-266.
- Clarkson, J. R. and Neldner, V. J. (in prep.). Plants of Cape York Peninsula. Vegetation Survey of Queensland. Far Northern Queensland. Vol 1. Queensland Botany Bulletin, Queensland Herbarium, Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage, Brisbane.
- Connell Wagner. (1989). Cape York Peninsula Resource Analysis. Department of the Premier, Economic Trade and Development, Brisbane.
- Cotter, G. F. (1994). A Study of the Pastoral Industry of Cape York Peninsula. Queensland Department of Lands, Cape York Peninsula Land Use Strategy (CYPLUS) report.
- Crowley, G. M. (1994). Fire on Cape York Peninsula. Cape York Peninsula Land Use Strategy (CYPLUS) Land Use Program report.
- Danaher, K. F. (1994). Marine Vegetation Project. Draft Report. Cape York Peninsula Land Use Strategy (CYPLUS) and Natural Resources Analysis Program (NRAP)
- Dent, D. and Young, A.(1981). Soil Survey and Land Evaluation. George Allen and Unwin, London.
- Department of Forestry (1988). Far Northern Queensland. 1:500 000 map. Government Printing Office, Brisbane.
- Environmental Science and Services (NQ) (1994). Torres Strait. Vegetation Mapping and Review. Unpublished report prepared for Island Co-ordinating Council, Cairns.
- Galloway, R. W., Gunn, R. H. and Story, R. (1970). The Lands of the Mitchell -Normanby Area, Queensland. CSIRO Land Research Series, No. 26.
- Gasteen, W. J. (1982). Lakefield National Park. A description of the natural environment. Unpublished report for the Queensland National Parks and Wildlife Service, Cairns.

- Godwin, M. D. (1985). Land Units of the Weipa Region of Australia's Cape York Peninsula. Unpublished report for the Queensland National Parks and Wildlife Service, Cairns.
- Grosenbaugh, L. R. (1952). Plotless timber estimates. Journal of Forestry, 52, 32-37.
- Grundy, M. J. and Heiner, I. J. (1991). Soil associations of Batavia Downs. Queensland Department of Primary Industries Establishments Publication, QR91002.
- Gunn, R. H., Beattie, J. A., Riddler, A. M. H. and Lawrie, R. A.(1988). Mapping. In Australian Soil and Land Survey Handbook: Guidelines for Conducting Surveys, Eds. Gunn, R. H., Beattie, J. A., Reid, R. E. and Graaff van der, R. H. M. Inkata Press, Melbourne, pp. 90-112.
- Gunness, A. G., Lawrie, J. W. and Foster, M. B. (1987). Land Units of the Weipa Environs, Unpublished report for Comalco Aluminium Limited, Weipa.
- Gutteridge Haskins & Davey Pty Ltd (1990). Proposed airfield for the Royal Australian Air Force, Cape York Peninsula, North Queensland. Environmental Impact Statement. Unpublished report.
- Havel, J. J. (1981). Vegetation classification as a basis for land use planning. In A.N. Gillison and D.J. Anderson (Eds.), Vegetation Classification in Australia. CSIRO and Australian National University Press, Canberra, pp. 219-226.
- Hynes, R. A. and Tracey, J. G. (1980). Vegetation of the Iron Range Area, Cape York Peninsula. In Contemporary Cape York Peninsula, Stevens, N. C. and Bailey, A.(Eds.) Royal Society of Queensland, Brisbane, pp. 11-30, 1980.
- Isbell, R. F. (1993). A Classification System for Australian Soils (3rd Approximation). Unpublished Technical Report No.2,
- Isbell, R. F., Webb, A. A. and Murtha, G. G. (1968). Atlas of Australia, Explanatory Data for Sheet 7, North Queensland. CSIRO and Melbourne University Press, Canberra.
- Kirkpatrick, J. B. and Dickinson, K. J. M. (1986). Achievements, concepts and conflicts in Australian small-scale vegetation mapping. *Australian Geographical Studies*, 24, 222-234.
- Küchler, A. W. (1967). Vegetation Mapping. The Ronald Press Company, New York.
- Lavarack, P. S. (1977). Orchids of the Iron Range. Unpublished report to the Australian Orchid Foundation.
- Lavarack, P. S. (1980). Orchids of McIlwraith Range. Unpublished report to the Australian Orchid Foundation.

- Lavarack, P. S. (1984). Orchids of the Carron Valley Area. Unpublished report to the Australian Orchid Foundation.
- Lavarack, P. S. (1986). Orchids of the Cape York and Jardine River Areas. Unpublished report to the Australian Orchid Foundation.
- Lavarack, P. S. (1989). Orchids of the Torres Strait. Unpublished Report to the Australian Orchid Foundation.
- Lavarack, P. S. and Stanton, J. P. (1977). Vegetation of the Jardine River catchment and adjacent coastal areas. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Queensland*, 88, 39-48.
- Lavarack, P. S., Puniard, D. J. and Fell, D. G. (1990). McEwraith Range Vegetation. A Study of the Biology of the Range with Particular Reference to the Vegetation. Unpublished Report.
- Le Cussan, J. (1991). A Report on the Intertidal Vegetation of the Daintree, Endeavour and Russell/Mulgrave Rivers. Queensland National Parks and Wildlife Service, Cairns.
- Le Cussan, J. (1993). Report on Estuarine Investigations from Port Stewart to Harmer Creek, Shelburne Bay. Unpublished report to the Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage.
- Leigh, J., Boden, R. and Briggs, J. (1984). Extinct and Endangered Plants of Australia. MacMillan, South Melbourne.
- Leigh, J., Briggs, J. and Hartley, W. (1981). Rare or threatened Australian plants. Australian National Parks and Wildlife Service. Special Publication, 7.
- Macdonald Wagner Pty Ltd. (1986). Shelburne Silica Joint Venture, Environmental Impact Statement, Shelburne Bay, Vol. 1. Macdonald Wagner in assoc. with Environment Science and Services. Unpublished report.
- McDonald, W. J. and Dillewaard, H. A. (1994). CORVEG (Version 2.0) Vegetation and Flora Data Base for Queensland. Queensland Herbarium, Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage, Brisbane.
- Messel, H., Vorlicek, G.C., Wells, A.G., Green, W.J., Curtis, H.S., Roff, C.R.R., Weaver, C.M. and Johnson, A. (1981). Surveys of tidal waterways on Cape York Peninsula, Queensland, Australia and their crocodile populations. Monograph 16, Peragmon Press, Australia.
- Morgan, G. (1984). Environmental Study of the Quinkan Area, North-east Queensland and Basis for Management. University of New England, Armidale.
- Neldner, V. J. (1984). The Vegetation Survey of Queensland. South Central Queensland. Queensland Botany Bulletin, 3.

- Neldner, V. J. (1991). Vegetation Survey of Queensland. Central Western Queensland. Botany Bulletin, 9.
- Neldner, V. J. (1993). Vegetation Survey and Mapping in Queensland. Queensland Botany Bulletin, 12.
- Neldner, V. J. (1993). The distribution and habitats of three presumed rare species from Cape York Peninsula. Austrobaileya 4:121-127.
- Neldner, V. J. and Clarkson, J. R. (1991). Vegetation Survey of Batavia Downs, Cape York Peninsula. *Research Establishments Publication QR91003*, Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane.
- Neldner, V. J. and Clarkson, J. R. (in prep.). Vegetation of Cape York Peninsula. Vegetation Survey of Queensland, Far Northern Queensland Vol 2. *Queensland Botany Bulletin*.
- Neldner, V. J. and Howitt, C. J. (1991). Comparison of an intuitive mapping classification and numerical classifications of vegetation in south-east Queensland, Australia. *Vegetatio*, 94, 141-152.
- Neldner, V. J., Crossley, D. C. and Cofinas, M. (in press). Using geographical information systems (GIS) to determine the adequacy of sampling in vegetation surveys. *Biological Conservation*.
- Pedley, L. and Isbell, R. F. (1971). Plant communities of Cape York Peninsula. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Queensland, 82, (5)51-74.
- Pye, K. and Jackes, B. (1981). Vegetation of the coastal dunes at Cape Bedford and Cape Flattery dunefields, North Queensland. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Queensland, 92, 37-42.
- Queensland Department of Primary Industries (1994). The sustainable use and management of Queensland's resources. Policies and strategies. *Miscellaneous Publication* QM94001, Queensland department of Primary Industries, Brisbane.
- Queensland Herbarium (1994). Queensland Vascular Plants. Names and Distribution. Queensland Government, Brisbane.
- Reid, R. E. (1988). Soil survey specifications. In Australian Soil and Land Survey Handbook: Guidelines for Conducting Surveys, Eds. Gunn, R. H., Beattie, R. E., Reid, R. E. and Graaff, van der R. H. M., Inkata Press, Melbourne, pp. 60-72.
- Smith, D. M., Shields, P. G. and Danaher, T. J. (1994). An assessment of the extent of clearing in south-central Queensland. Queensland Department of Primary Industries Land Resources Bulletin Series (QV94001), Brisbane.

- Specht, R.L. (1970). Vegetation. In G.W. Leeper (Ed.), The Australian Environment. (4th edition). CSIRO and Melbourne University Press, Melbourne, pp. 44-67.
- Specht, R.L. (1981). Foliage projective cover and standing biomass. In A.N. Gillison and D.J. Anderson (Eds.), Vegetation Classification in Australia. CSIRO and Australian National University Press, Canberra, pp. 10-21.
- Specht, R. L., Salt, R. B. and Reynolds, S. T. (1977). Vegetation in the vicinity of Weipa, North Queensland. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Queensland, 88, 17-38.
- Stoddart, D. R. and Fosberg, F. R. (1991). Phytogeography and vegetation of the Reef Islands of the Northern Great Barrier Reef. Atoll Research Bulletin, 349.
- Thomas, M. B. and McDonald, W. J. F. (1989). Rare and Threatened Plants of Queensland. 2nd Edition. Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane.
- Tracey, J. G. and Webb, L. J. (1975). Vegetation of the humid tropical region of North Queensland. 1:100 000 maps. CSIRO, Indooroopilly.
- Tracey, J. G. (1982). The Vegetation of the Humid Tropical Region of North Queensland. CSIRO, Melbourne.
- Unwin, G. L. and Sanderson, K. D. (1988). Report on forest rehabilitation at the Leswell Ck/Mt Walker site of the Collingwood joint venture. Unpublished CSIRO report, Atherton.
- Walker, J. and Hopkins, M. S.(1990). Vegetation. In Australian Soil and Land Survey Field Handbook, Eds. McDonald, R. C., Isbell, J. G., Speight, J. G., Walker, J. and Hopkins, M. S. Inkata Press, pp. 58-86.
- Webb, L.J. (1978). A general classification of Australian rainforests. Australian Plants, 9, 349-363.
- Webb, L.J., Tracey, J.G., Williams, W.T. and Lance, G.N. (1967). Studies in numerical analysis of complex rainforest communities. 1. Comparison of methods applicable to site-species data. *Journal of Ecology* 55:171-191.
- Wilson, B.A., Brocklehurst, P.S., Clark, M.J. and Dickinson, K.J.M. (1990). Vegetation Survey of Northern Territory, Australia. Conservation Commission of the Northern Territory, Darwin, Technical Report Number 49.
- Young, P.A.R. (1985). Vegetation and flora of Brisbane Forest Park. Brisbane Forest Park Administration Authority, Brisbane.

10.0 APPENDICES

10.1 APPENDIX 1. Examples of map unit descriptions

The map unit descriptions are derived by retrieving the detailed site data for each map unit from CORVEG, and running a retrieval program to calculate frequency of occurrence of each species in each layer and the mean and range of the structural parameters; height, projective foliage cover (pfc), basal area, and stem density. Dominant species are those that contribute most to the biomass at a site or in a layer. As well having a high frequency of occurrence, they also occur with a high basal area (trees only), stem density (trees and shrubs only) and/or pfc. These data are stored in CORVEG, but are not included in the descriptions.

Some of the species recorded as occurring in a map unit are deleted from the description to keep the descriptions concise. Generally species that occur in less than 20% of the sample sites are removed, and if a taxon is only known to generic level, eg *Aristida* spp., it must occur in at least 40% of the sites to be retained in the description. These general rules may be relaxed for some descriptions, particularly for the ground layer, where presence at a site can be dependent on the timing of sampling.

The distribution maps are derived from the 1:250 000 digital vegetation coverage. The subdominant areas include areas where the unit is the second, third or fourth most extensive unit in each polygon. The calculation of the area for each map unit uses the proportion assigned to that map unit in each polygon in the vegetation coverage, and produces a more accurate estimate than assuming a polygon is totally occupied by the dominant unit. Generally the dominant unit (V_1) in each polygon will occupy 50% or more of the area of the polygon, while subdominant units (V_2 , V_3 and V_4) may only occupy 10%. Hence, for some map units, the area of subdominant occurrence appears to be overestimated on the map.

The sampling index is calculated by dividing the area of the unit by the number of sample sites. The landform statistics are derived by intersecting the vegetation coverage with the 1:250 000 regolith digital coverage (AGSO 1994a) on the GIS using ARCINFO. The soils and geology statistics are similarly derived by intersecting with the 1:250 000 soils coverage (Biggs and Philip 1994) and geology coverage (AGSO 1994b) respectively. The soil classification used is Isbell (1993). Generally only those units occupying greater than 7% are included in the description.

The total number of species recorded, mean and standard deviation (s.d.) for each unit are calculated from the relevant sample sites. The woody layers incorporate all strata apart from the ground layer. These calculations are based only on validly published names and accepted HISPID names. Clarkson and Neldner (in prep.) gives a complete listing of these names for Cape York Peninsula. Extensive common name - scientific name lists are also provided. All taxa not identified to species level are not included in the calculations.

The map units are ordered as they appear in the GIS coverage, firstly according to structure (following modified Specht 1970), and secondly alphabetically on the dominant

species in each map unit. The broad vegetation group that each unit is assigned to is noted in the description. The projective foliage cover categories used are those accepted by both Specht (1981) and Walker and Hopkins (1990); dense (> 70%); mid-dense (30-70%); sparse (10-30%) and very sparse (< 10%).

Six map unit descriptions from Neldner and Clarkson (in prep.) follow.

Map unit 1: Eucalyptus tetrodonta ± Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris ± Erythrophleum chlorostachys tall woodland

Broad Vegetation Group: 16

Description: Eucalyptus tetrodonta predominates forming a distinct but discontinuous canopy (18-34m tall). Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris and Erythrophleum chlorostachys occasionally occur in the canopy, but usually form a very sparse subcanopy layer (19-24m tall). Scattered low trees (4-8m tall) are sometimes present, and a low shrub layer (0.5-2m tall) composed of young trees and shrubby regrowth is usually present. The ground layer is usually very sparse to middense and dominated by grasses, frequently Schizachyrium spp., Alloteropsis semialata and Heteropogon triticeus.

Structural formation range: Tall woodland 67%, woodland 33%

Basal Area Estimate: Mean 21, range 13-25 m²/ha



Canopy tree layer: Ht. mean 27.7m, range 18-34m; PFC mean 23.3%, range 15-30%; Stem density mean 233, range 120-340 trees/ha

Frequent species: Eucalyptus tetrodonta (100%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (33%), Eucalyptus clarksoniana (33%), Eucalyptus crebra (33%), Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris (33%)

Subcauopy tree layer: Ht. mean 21.7m, range 19-24m; PPC mean 15.0%, range 5-25%. Frequent species: Erythrophleum chlorostachys (100%), Adenanthera abrosperma (67%), Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris (33%), Eucalyptus tetrodonta (33%)

Low tree layer: Ht. 4-8m; PPC < 10%; Density 140-220 stems/ha Frequent species: Grevilleu glauca (67%), Parinari nonda (33%), Xylomelum scontianum (33%)

Shrub layer: Ht mean 0.8m, range 0.5-2.0m; PPC mean 18.3%, range 10.0-25.0%. Frequent species: Erythrophleum chlorostachys (100%), Alphitonia oblusifolia (100%), Croton arnhemicus (100%), Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris (100%), Eucalyptus tetrodonta (100%), Grevillea parallela (100%), Indigofera pratensis (100%), Persoonia falcata (100%), Planchonia careya (100%), Planchonella pohlmaniana (100%), Pogonolobus reticulatus (100%), Capparis sp. (67%), Helicteres sp. (67%), Pandanus sp. (67%), Brachychiton diversifolius subsp. orientalis (67%), Pavetta australiensis var. australiensis (67%), Xylomelum scottianum (67%)

Ground layer: Ht. mean 1.1m, range 0.4-2.0m; PFC mean 38.3%, range 15.0-60.0%.

Forbs:

Frequent species: Spermacoce sp. (67%), Euphorbia mitchelliana (67%), Phyllanthus virgatus (67%), Galactia muelleri (67%), Breynia oblongifolia (33%), Cassytha filiformis (67%). Ipomoea gracilis (67%), Xenostegia tridentata (67%), Crotalaria medicaginea (33%), Flemingia parviflora (33%), Hybanthus enneaspermus (33%), Oldenlandia mitrasacmoides subsp. nigricans (33%), Phyllanthus fuernrohrii (33%), Phyllanthus hebecarpus (33%), Polycarpaea corymbosa (33%), Rostellularia adscendens (33%)

Graminoids:

Prequent species: Thaumastochloa sp. (100%), Aristida sp. (100%), Schizachyrium sp. (100%), Alloteropsis semialata (100%), Heteropogon triticeus (100%), Eriachne sp. (67%), Lomandra sp. (67%), Panicum sp. (67%), Setaria sp. (67%), Aristida holathera var. holathera (67%), Sorghum plumosum var. plumosum (67%), Aristida perniciosa (33%), Cymbopogon refractus (33%)

Sampling data	Area: 1254.5 km ² (0.9% of total) No. of sites: 3 Sampling index: 1 site/418km ²
Landforms	Rises: (78%) Erosional plains: (15%)
Geology	Pliocene colluvium (TQs) (91%)
Soils	Kimba (Km) (57%) Strath (St) (27%)
Species recorded	Total: 52 Woody layers: 33 Ground layer: 28 Mean spp/site: 30 s.d.= 1
Representative sites	27, 28, 29

Ecological notes: This association is restricted to the gently undulating plateau area locally referred to as "The Desert", which occurs on the Great Dividing Range, north-west of Laura. The trees in this association are some of the tallest on Cape York Peninsula, and form tall woodlands, which together with map unit 2, represent the highest structural development in the *Eucalyptus tetrodonta* continuum. The soils are predominantly deep Red Kandosols and deep Orthic Tenosols.

Map unit 2: Eucalyptus tetrodonta , E. nesophila ± Erythrophleum chlorostachys tall woodland

Broad Vegetation Group: 16

Description: Eucalyptus tetrodonta predominates forming a distinct but discontinuous canopy (22-32m tall), with Eucalyptus nesophila a subdominant to codominant canopy species. Large Erythrophieum chlorostachys trees may be present, but occur just below the canopy. A very sparse subcanopy layer (8-25m tall) is dominated by Eucalyptus spp. and Grevillea glauca. Scattered low trees (4-8m tall) are sometimes present, and a low shrub layer (0.5-2m tall) dominated by Acacia spp. and Eucalyptus spp. is usually present. The ground layer is usually sparse to mid-dense and dominated by the grasses, Sorghum plumosum var. plumosum, Heteropogon triticeus, Alloteropsis semialata and Eulalia mackinlayi.

Structural formation range: Tall woodland 58%, woodland 34%, open-forest 8%

Basal Area Estimate: Mean 13, range 9-18 m2/ha



Canopy tree layer: Ht. mean 26.3m, range 22-32m; PFC mean 28.5%, range 25-35%; Stem density mean 203, range 80-700 trees/ha

Frequent species: Eucalyptus tetrodonta (100%), Eucalyptus nesophila (92%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (33%), Eucalyptus hylandii vat. campestris (25%)

Subcanopy tree layer: Ht. mean 12.0m, range 8-25m; PFC mean 7.0%, range 1-15%.

Frequent species: Grevillea glauca (67%), Eucalyptus tetrodonta (58%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (42%), Eucalyptus nesophila (33%), Parinari nonda (33%), Xylomelum scottianum (33%), Grevillea parallela (25%), Planchonia careya (25%), Acacia crassicarpa (17%), Acacia rothii (17%), Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris (17%), Livistona muelleri (17%)

Low tree layer: Ht. mean 5.1m, range 4-8m; FFC mean 3.0%, range 2-5%.

Frequent species: Acacia rothii (25%), Grevillea glauca (25%), Acacia crassicarpa (17%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (17%), Eucalyptus nesophila (17%), Planchonia careya (17%)

Shrab layer: Ht. mean 1.1m, range 0.5-2.0m; PFC mean 10.8%, range 1.0-30.0%.

Frequent species: Eucalyptus nesophila (83%), Acacia rothil (75%), Eucalyptus tetrodonta (75%), Pogonolobus reticulatus (75%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (67%), Grewia retusifolia (67%), Morinda reticulata (67%), Pandanus sp. (58%), Planchonia cateya (58%), Grevillea parallela (50%), Hibbertia sp. (42%), Croton arnhemicus (42%), Persoonia falcata (42%), Xylomelum scottianum (42%), Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris (33%), Hibbertia candicans (33%), Livistona muelleri (33%), Parinari nonda (33%), Planchonella pohlmaniana (33%), Acacia crassicarpa (25%), Canarium australianum (25%), Ficus opposita (25%), Indigofera pratensis (25%), Petalostigma pubescens (25%), Smilax australis (25%)

Ground layer: Ht. mean 0.9m, range 0.5-2.0m; PFC mean 45.4%, range 22.0-73.0%.

Forbs:

Prequent species: Crotalaria medicaginea (67%), Spermacoce sp. (58%), Euphorbia mitchelliana (50%), Blumea saxatilis (42%), Flemingia parviflora (42%), Phyllanthus virgatus (42%), Uraria sp. (33%), Crotalaria montana (33%), Galactia muelleri (33%), Schelhammera multiflora (33%), Brunoniella sp. (25%), Polymeria sp. (25%), Aristolochia thozetii var. thozetii (25%), Eriosema chinense (25%), Evolvulus alsinoides (25%), Spermacoce laevigata (25%), Anisomeles sp. (17%), Cartonema sp. (17%), Flemingia sp. (17%), Austrodolichos errabundus (17%), Cassytha filiformis (17%), Sebastiania chamaelea (17%), Tacca leontopetaloides (17%), Vernonia cinerea (17%), Wedelia biflora (17%)

Graminoids:

Prequent species: Heteropogon triticeus (83%), Sorghum plunosum var. plunosum (83%), Lomandra sp. (58%), Alloteropsis semialata (58%), Aristida sp. (50%), Scleria sp. (42%), Eulalia mackinlavi (42%), Thaumastochloa sp. (33%), Capillipedium parviflorum (33%), Mnesithea rottboellioides (33%), Schizachyrium sp. (25%), Eriachne pallescens (25%)

Sampling data	Area: 8460.7 km ² (6.3% of total); No. of sites: 12; Sampling index: 1 site/ 705.1 km ²
Landforms	Plateaus (44%); Erosional plains (35%)
Geology	Tertiary surfaces (T&Qa) (73%) ; Plincene colluvium (TQs) (8%)
Soils	Weipa (Wp) (61%) ; Kool (Kl) (14%) ; Harmer (Hr) (10%)
Species recorded	Total: 102; Woody layers: 54; Ground layer: 55; Mean spp/site: 28; s.d.= 9
Representative sites	244, 247, 344, 518, 535, 544, 887, 888, 893, 1132, 1133, 1134

Ecological notes: This association occurs predominantly on the weathered Tertiary plateaus and erosional plains in the north-west. The trees in this association are some of the tallest on Cape York Peninsula, and form tall woodlands, which together with map unit 1, represent the highest structural development in the *Eucalyptus tetrodonta* continuum. The soils are predominantly deep Red Kandosols, with some occurrences on Yellow Kandosols. Some areas of this map unit have been cleared for bauxite mining at Weipa.

Map unit 101: Eucalyptus tetrodonta , E. nesophila woodland

Broad Vegetation Group: 16

Description: Eucalyptus tetrodonta and E. nesophila dominate the sparse canopy (10-28m tall). In most situations both species are present and codominant, however in places, E. nesophila may be dominant or occasionally absent. Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris is sometimes present in the canopy. A very sparse to sparse subcanopy tree layer (8-20m tall) is present with Erythrophleum chlorostachys (just below the canopy), Grevillea glauca, Parinari nonda and Acacia rothii the most frequent species. A very sparse low tree layer (2-8m tall) is sometimes present. The shrub layer (0.3-2.5m tall) is dominated mainly by species that also occur in the tree layers, and is sparse to mid-dense (particularly in areas recently burnt). The ground layer is dominated by grasses, with Heteropogon triticeus, Sorghum plumosum var. plumosum, Thaumastochioa spp. and Eriachne spp. frequently dominating the ground layer biomass.

Structural formation range: Woodland 76%, tall woodland 17%, open-forest 4%, open-woodland 3%

Basal Area Estimate: Mean 11, range 2-16 m2/ha



Canopy tree layer: Ht. mean 21.2m, range 10-28m; PFC mean 25.0%, range 5-40%; Stem density mean 347, range 63-1760 trees/ha

Frequent species: Eucalyptus tetrodonta (100%), Eucalyptus nesophila (97%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (40%), Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris (30%),

Subcanopy tree layer: Ht, mean 9.7m, range 3-20m; PFC mean 7.5%, range 1-20%.

Frequent species: Grevillea glauca (50%), Parinari nonda (50%), Eucalyptus tetrodonta (37%), Acacia rothii (33%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (33%), Eucalyptus nesophila (33%), Grevillea parallela (27%), Alphitonia obtusifolia (23%), Acacia flavescens (20%), Xylomelum scottianum (20%), Acacia crassicarpa (17%), Planchonella pohlmaniana (17%)

Low tree layer: Ht. mean 4.4m, range 2-8m; PFC mean 3.8%, range 1-10%. Frequent species: Acacia crassicarpa (10%), Eucalyptus tetrodonta (7%), Grevillea glauca (7%), Planchonella pohlmaniana (7%)

Shrub layer: Ht. mean 1.0m, range 0.3-2.5m; PFC mean 17.3%, range 1.0-50.0%.

Frequent species: Eucalyptus tetrodonta (80%), Planchonia careya (77%), Eucalyptus nesophila (67%), Pogonolobus reticulatus (67%), Planchonella pohlmaniana (53%), Erythraphleum chlorostachys (50%), Acacia rothii (47%), Persoonia falcata (47%), Alphitonia obtusifolia (43%), Xylomelum scottianum (43%), Parinari nonda (40%), Grevillea parallela (37%), Grevillea glauca (30%), Morinda reticulata (30%), Hibbertia sp. (27%), Acacia flavescens (27%), Croton arnhemicus (27%), Indigofera pratensis (27%), Petalostigma pubescens (27%), Brachychiton sp. (23%), Pandanus sp. (23%), Acacia crassicarpa (23%), Canarium australianum (23%), Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris (20%), Neofabricia myrtifolia (20%)

Ground layer: Ht. mean 0.8m, range 0.3-2.0m; PFC mean 36.5%, range 15.0-90.0%. Forbs:

Frequent species: Phyllanthus virgatus (43%), Flemingia parviflora (33%), Vernonia cinerea (33%), Crotalaria montana (30%), Crotalaria medicaginea (23%), Cheilanthes sp. (20%), Euphorbia mitchelliana (20%), Hybanthus enneaspermus (20%), Schelhammera multiflora (20%), Spermacoce laevigata (20%), Galactia muelleri (20%), Spermacoce sp. (17%), Striga sp. (17%), Brunoniella australis (17%), Cassyiha filiformis (17%), Wedelia biflora (17%), Smilax australis (14%), Stackhousia intermedia (14%), Vigna lanceolata var. filiformis (14%), Xenostegia tridentata (14%), Blumea saxatilis

(13%), Chamaecrista mimosoides (10%), Evolvulus alsinoides (10%), Phyllanthus fuernrohrii (10%), Pleurocarpaea denticulata (10%), Tacca leontopetaloides (10%), Thecanthes cornucopiae (10%)

Graminoids:

Frequent species: Heteropogon triticeus (67%), Aristida sp. (63%), Lomandra sp. (57%), Sorghum plumosum var. plumosum (47%), Alloteropsis semialata (37%), Eriachne sp. (30%), Thaumastochloa sp. (30%), Eragrostis sp. (23%), Scleria sp. (23%), Eulalia mackinlayi (23%), Dianella sp. (20%), Panicum sp. (20%), Schoenus sp. (20%), Cymbopogon refractus (20%), Schizachyrium fragile (17%), Fimbristylis recta (13%), Heteropogon contortus (13%), Mnesithea formosa (13%), Mnesithea rottboellioides (13%)

Sampling data	Area: 8687.6 km ² (6.5% of total); No. of sites: 30 ; Sampling index: 1 site/ 289.2 km ²
Landforms	Rises (27%); Low hills (21%); Erosional plains (15%); Alluvial plains(15%)
Geology	Pliocene colluvium (TQs) (41%); Rolling Downs Group (Klr) (14%); Gilbert River Formation (JKg) (11%)
Soils	Kimba (Kb) (19%); Clark (Cr) (16%); Emma (Em) (12%);Batavia (Bv) (9%); Harmer (Hm) (8%)
Species recorded	Total: 230 ; Woody layers: 104 ; Ground layer: 144 ; Mean spp./site: 29 ; s.d.= 11
Representative sites	43, 50, 51, 58, 60, 67, 46, 80, 81, 87, 95, 128, 133, 257, 260, 275, 276, 295, 313, 316, 367, 378, 390, 738, 788, 841, 881, 882, 901, 1137

Ecological notes: This map unit occurs extensively on gently undulating rises and low hills, where it generally occurs on deep Red Kandosols (most frequently Gn 2.11 and Gn 2.12). It also occurs on the lower slopes of rises and on some plains where the soils are generally Yellow Kandosols. In some areas such as the Embley Range, the canopy height reaches 25-27m tall and approaches tall woodlands.

Map unit 102: Eucalyptus tetrodonta $\pm E$. nesophila \pm Asteromyrtus brassii \pm Neofabricia myrtifolia woodland

Broad Vegetation Group: 16

Description: Eucalyptus tetrodonta predominates forming a distinct but discontinuous canopy (14-25m tall), with E. nesophila a subdominant to codominant canopy species. A very sparse subcanopy layer (5-12m tall) is characterised by Asteromyrtus brassii, Neofabricia myrtifolia, Grevillea glauca and Acacia rothii. Scattered low trees (2-6m tall) are sometimes present, and a sparse to mid-dense low shrub layer (0.5-2m tall) is dominated by heath shrubs such as Jacksonia thesioides, Neoroepera banksii, Choriceras tricorne, Asteromyrtus lysicephala and Acacia calyculata, frequently at high densities. The ground layer is usually very sparse to mid-dense and dominated by the sedge Schoenus sparteus and the grasses Heteropogon triticeus and Eulalia mackinlayi.

Structural formation range: Woodland 77%, openwoodland 23%

Basal Area Estimate: Mean 8, range 2-16 m2/ha



Canopy tree layer: Ht. mean 17.8m, range 9-25m; PFC mean 16.3%, range 5-28%; Stem densiry mean 280, range 60-1680 trees/ha

Frequent species: Eucalyptus tetrodonia (100%), Eucalyptus nesophila (85%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (15%), Eucalyptus clarksoniana (15%), Eucalyptus hylandii vat. campestris (15%)

Subcanopy tree layer: Ht. mean 7.1m, range 2-12m; PFC mean 8.3%, range 1-25%. Frequent species: Grevillea glauca (85%), Asteromyrtus brassii (77%), Neofabricia myrtifolia (69%), Acacia rothii (62%), Eucalyptus tetrodonta (46%), Allocasuarina linoralis (38%), Parinari nonda (38%), Xylomelum scottianum (38%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (23%), Grevillea parallela (23%), Persoonia falcata (23%),

Low tree layer: Ht. mean 3.4m, range 2-6m; FFC mean 5.8%, range 1-10%. Frequent species: Grevillea glauca (31%), Acacia rothii (23%), Neofabricia myrtifolia (23%)

Shrub layer: Ht. mean 1.2m, range 0.5-3.0m; PFC mean 20.2%, range 5.0-35.0%.

Frequent species: Acacia calyculata (77%), Acacia rothii (77%), Neoroepera banksii (77%), Eucalyptus tetrodonta (69%), Morinda reticulata (69%), Neofabricia myrtifolia (69%), Persoonia falcata (69%), Pandanus sp. (62%), Eucalyptus nesophila (62%), Xylomelum scottianum (62%), Hibbertia candicans (54%), Planchonia careya (54%), Parinari nonda (46%), Planchonella pohlmaniana (46%), Pogonolobus reticulatus (46%), Acacia flavescens (38%), Anthobolus filifolius (38%), Grevillea glauca (38%), Lomandra banksii (38%), Acacia crassicarpa (31%), Alyxia spicata (31%), Choriceras tricorne (31%), Croton arnhemicus (31%), Hibbertia banksii forma banksii (31%), Lamprolobium fruticosum (31%), Melaleuca viridiflora (31%), Myrtella obtusa (31%), Petalostigma pubescens (31%), Platysace valida (31%), Xanthorrhoea johnsonii (31%), Clerodendrum sp. (23%), Acacia leptocarpa (23%), Asteromyrtus lysicephala (23%), Breynia cernua (23%), Dodonaea polyandra (23%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (23%), Crevillea pteridifolia (23%), Jacksonia thesioides (23%), Leucopogon lavarackii (23%), Myrtella retusa (23%), Petalostigma banksii (23%)

Ground layer: Ht. mean 0.6m, range 0.3-1.5m; PFC mean 23.2%, range 6.0-48.0%. Forbs:

Frequent species: Cassytha filiformis (54%), Phyllanthus virgatus (46%), Spermacoce laevigata (46%), Euphorbia mitchelliana (38%), Spermacoce sp. (31%), Euphorbia vachellii (31%), Ipomoea gracilis (31%), Phyllanthus sp. (23%), Vigna sp. (23%), Lomandra banksii (23%), Schelhammera multiflora (23%), Aristolochia thozetii var, thozetii (15%), Brunoniella acaulis (15%), Ceratanthus longicornis (15%), Hybanthus enneaspermus (15%), Schizaea dichotoma (15%), Sebastiania chamaelea (15%),

Graminoids:

Frequent species: Schoenus sparteus (100%), Lomandra sp. (69%), Aristida sp. (54%), Eulalia mackinlayi (54%), Heteropogon triticeus (54%), Alloteropsis semialata (46%), Sorghum plumosum var. plumosum (46%), Cleistochloa sp. (38%), Eriachne sp. (38%), Fimbristylis recta (38%), Thaumastochloa sp. (31%), Dianella sp. (23%), Cleistochloa subjuncea (23%), Eriachne pallescens (23%), Schelhammera multiflora (23%), Schizachyrium fragile (23%), Capillipedium parviflorum (15%), Eremochloa bimaculata (15%), Eriachne stipacea (15%), Haemodorum coccineum (15%), Leptocarpus schultzii (15%), Whiteochloa airoides (15%), Xyris complanata (15%)

Sampling data	Area: 2395.6 km ² (1.8% of total); No. of sites: 15 ; Sampling index: 1 site/159.7 km ²
Landforms	Law hills (83%) ;Rises (5%)
Geology	Helby Beds (JKb) (60%); Garraway Beds (Jw) (9%); Pliocene colluvium (TQs) (6%)
Soils	Harmer (Hm) (58%); Emma (Em) (22%)
Species recorded	Total: 147; Woody layers: 88; Ground layer: 88; Mean spp./site: 39; s.d.= 10
Representative sites	192, 193, 201, 308, 315, 342, 363, 365, 379, 383, 385, 391, 515, 540, 803

Ecological notes: This map unit occurs extensively on the low undulating sandstone hills and rises of the northern Peninsula. The soils are predominantly Yellow and Red Kandosols. The low shrub layer is composed of species which dominate the heath communities and is the distinctive feature of this map unit. There is frequently an ecotone area of up to 500m between the heath associations and this map unit.

A variant of these map unit (102A) was recorded at 2 sites (315 and 365) south of Heathlands. It is characterised by the presence of *Lophostemon suaveolens*, *Eucalyptus brassiana* or a *Xanthostemon* sp. The ground and shrub layers are similar to unit 102, although the shrub densities are much lower. *Asteromyrtus brassii* and *Neofabricia myrtifolia* were prominent in the subcanopy.

Map unit 103: Eucalyptus tetrodonta ± E. nesophila ± E. hylandii var. campestris ± E. leptophleba woodland

Broad Vegetation Group: 16

Description: Eucalyptus tetrodonta and either E. nesophila or E. hylandii yar, compestris usually codominate to form the canopy (13-28m tall), E. leptophleba, and sometimes E. clarksoniana, are frequently present as scattered canopy or subcanopy trees. Erythrophleum chlorostachys and Eucalyptus confertiflora frequently form a very sparse subcanopy layer (12-18m tall). Acacia rothii, Melaleuca viridiflora, Grevillea glauca and Petalostigma spp. are also abundant in the subcanopy layer, but usually reach 3-8m in height. A very sparse low tree layer (2-4m tall) is occasionally present, and a very sparse to mid-dense shrub layer (0.5-2m tall) dominated by shrubs and shrubby trees is always present. The ground layer is dominated by grasses, with Schizachyrium spp., Sorghum plumosum var, plumosum, Heteropogon triticeus and Thaumastochloa spp. frequent dominants.





Basal Area Estimate: Mean 10, range 5-16 m2/ha

Canopy tree layer: Ht. mean 18.9m, range 13-28m; PFC mean 22,7%, range 12-31%; Stem density mean 416, range 160-1040 trees/ha

Frequent species: Eucalyptus tetrodonta (95%), Eucalyptus nesophila (73%), Eucalyptus leptophleba (55%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (50%), Eucalyptus confertiflora (36%), Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris (36%), Eucalyptus clarksoniana (32%)

Subcanopy tree layer: Ht. mean 7.4m, range 3-18m; PFC mean 5.7%, range 1-15%.

Frequent species: Acacia rothil (36%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (32%), Grevillea glauca (32%), Melaleuca viridiflora (27%), Eucalyptus nesophila (23%), Melaleuca nervosa (23%), Parinari nonda (23%), Alphitonia obtusifolia (18%), Eucalyptus tetrodonta (18%), Planchonia careya (18%), Xylomelum scottianum (18%), Acacia crassicarpa (14%), Petalostigma banksii (9%), Petalostigma pubescens (9%)

Low tree layer: Ht. mean 2.8m, range 2-4m; PFC mean 2.0%, range 2-2%. Frequent species: Acacia crassicarpa (9%), Petalostigma banksii (5%), Petalostigma pubescens (5%)

Shrub layer: Ht. mean 0.7m, range 0.5-2.0m; PFC mean 9.2%, range 1.0-55.0%.

Frequent species: Eucalyptus tetrodonta (55%), Pogonolobus reticulatus (55%), Eucalyptus nesophila (45%), Acacia rothii (41%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (41%), Parinari nonda (41%), Grevillea glauca (36%), Melaleuca viridiflora (36%), Planchonia careya (36%), Xylomelum scottianum (32%), Grevillea parallela (27%), Melaleuca nervosa (27%), Persoonia falcaia (27%), Alphironia obtusifolia (23%), Decaschistia peninsularis (23%), Acacia flavescens (18%), Indigofera pratensis (18%), Planchonella pohlmaniana (18%) (5%)

Ground tayer: Ht, mean 0.7m, range 0.2-2.0m; PFC mean 42.5%, range 10.0-70.0%. Forbs:

Frequent species; Phyllanthus virgatus (36%), Spermacoce laevigata (36%), Flemingia parviflora (32%), Euphorbia mitchelliana (27%), Buchnera linearis (18%), Crotalaria medicaginea (18%), Crotalaria montana (18%), Pleurocarpaea denticulata (18%), Schelhammera multiflora (18%), Galactia sp. (14%), Helicteres sp. (14%), Brunoniella australis (14%), Drosera petiolaris (14%), Evolvulus alsinoides (14%), Tacca leontopetaloides (14%), Thecanthes connucopiae (14%), Vernonia cinerea (14%), Alysicarpus rugosus (9%), Brunoniella acaulis (9%), Cartonema parviflorum (9%), Chamaecrista mimosoides (9%), Desmodium trichostachyum (9%), Pycnospora lutescens (9%), Rostellularia adscendens (9%), Tylophora erecta (9%), Vigna lanceolata vat. filiformis (9%), Graminoids:

Frequent species: Heteropogon triticeus (41%), Sorghum plumosum var. plumosum (41%), Lomandra sp. (32%). Alloteropsis semialata (27%), Schizachyrium fragile (23%), Setaria surgens (23%), Panicum sp. (18%), Eulalia

mackinlayi (18%), Xyris complanata (18%), Aristida sp. (14%), Eremochloa bimaculata (14%), Mnesithea formosa (14%), Themeda triandra (14%), Eriachne squarrosa (9%), Eriachne stipacea (9%), Eriachne triseta (9%), Fimbristylis recta (9%), Mnesithea rottboellioides (9%), Thaumastochloa monilifera (9%), Thaumastochloa pubescens (9%),

Sampling data	Area: 2135.2km ² (1.6% of total); No. of sites: 24 ; Sampling index: 1 site/ 89.3 km ²
Landforms	Rises (39%) ; Erosional plains (36%) ; Alluvial plains (8%)
Geology	Rolling Downs Group (Klr) (29%) ; Bulimba Formation (KTi) (28%); Pliocene colluvium (TQs) (12%)
Soils	Batavia (Bv) (16%) ; Kool (Kl) (13%) ; Cox (Cx) (9%) ; Emma (Em) (9%); Clark (Cr) (7%); Bertie (Bt) (7%)
Species recorded	Total: 185 ; Woody layers: 85 ; Ground layer: 144 ; Mean spp/site: 25 ; s.d.= 13
Representative sites	53, 57, 61, 63, 66, 69, 70, 71, 82, 88, 89, 48, 90, 124, 129, 131, 134, 205, 302, 337, 490, 588, 590, 896

Ecological notes: This map unit occurs widely in the central Peninsula, and generally occupies the lower slopes and plains below map unit 101. The presence of *Eucalyptus leptophleba* and *E. clarksoniana* in the canopy or subcanopy, and frequently *Melaleuca viridifiora* in the subcanopy layer are helpful indicators of these unit. Generally trees in this unit are lower than unit 101. The soils are variable with deep Red Kandosols, Yellow Kandosols and Yellow Dermosols the dominant soils.

In some widely scattered areas, a variant of this map unit (103A) occurs which is characterised by a conspicuous subcanopy later of *Livistona muelleri* (5-9m tall; density 240-320 stems/ha). Eucalyptus nesophila usually dominates the canopy with *Eucalyptus tetrodorta* also present. The other layers have a similar composition to unit 103. Representative sites for this association are 337 and 588.

Map unit 104: Eucalyptus tetrodonta $\pm E$. clarksoniana $\pm E$. nesophila \pm shrubby layer woodland

Broad Vegetation Group: 16

Description: Eucalyptus tetrodonta predominates forming a distinct but discontinuous canopy (17-26m tall). E. nesophila and E. clarksoniana may be subdominant in the canopy. Erythrophleum chlorostachys may occur just below the canopy, occasionally forming a mid-dense layer. Low trees (2-15m tall) are sometimes present. A sparse low shrub layer (0.5-2m tall) composed of young trees and shrubby regrowth is usually present. The ground layer is usually very sparse to mid-dense and dominated by grasses, frequently Schizachyrium spp., Sorghum plumosum var. plumosum, Panicum spp., Alloteropsis semialata and Thaumastochloa spp.

Structural formation range: woodland 75%, openforest 25%,

Basal Area Estimate: Mean 12, range 10-16 m2/ha

Canopy tree layer: Ht. mean 22.3m, range 17-26m; PFC mean 25.0%, range 15-30%; Stem density mean 195, range 120-300 trees/ha



Frequent species: Eucalyptus tetrodonta (100%), Eucalyptus clarksoniana (50%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (25%), Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris (25%), Eucalyptus nesophila (25%)

Subcanopy free layer: Ht. mean 8.6m, range 4-15m; PFC mean 5.3%, range 2-10%.

Frequent species: Grevillea glauca (75%), Persoonia falcata (75%), Acacia rothii (50%), Erythrophleum chlorostachys (50%), Erythroxylum ellipticum (50%), Grevillea parallela (50%), Pogonolobus reticulatus (50%), Acacia flavescens (25%), Alphitonia obtusifolia (25%), Canarium australianum (25%), Melaleuca nervosa (25%), Melaleuca stenostachya

(25%), Parinari nonda (25%), Planchonella pohlmaniana (25%), Pouteria sericea (25%), Wrightia saligna (25%). Xylomelum scottianum (25%)

Low tree layer: Ht. mean 3.5m, range 2-5m; PFC mean 30.0%, range 30-30%. Frequent species: Acacia platycarpa (25%), Exocarpos latifolius (25%), Ixora klanderiana (25%), Petalostigma pubescens (25%), Pogonolobus reticulatus (25%), Pouteria sericea (25%), Xylomelum scottianum (25%)

Shrub layer: Ht. mean 1.1m, range 0.5-2.0m; PFC mean 5.0%, range 5.0-5.0%.

Frequent species: Erythrophleum chlorostachys (75%), Grevillea parallela (75%), Indigofera pratensis (75%), Planchonia careya (75%), Pogonolobus reticulatus (75%), Acacia rothii (50%), Adenanthera abrosperma (50%), Alphitonia obtusifolia (50%), Croton arnhemicus (50%), Eucalyptus tetrodonta (50%), Grevillea glauca (50%), Grewia retusifolia (50%), Planchonella pohimaniana (50%), Wrightia saligna (50%), Xylomeium scottianum (50%), Acacia flavescens (25%), Alyxia spicata (25%), Brachychiton diversifolius subsp. orientalis (25%), Erythroxylum ellipticum (25%), Eucalyptus clarksoniana (25%), Eucalyptus hylandii var. campestris (25%), Eucalyptus nesophila (25%), Glochidion disparipes (25%), Grevillea dryandri subsp. dryandri (25%), Hibbertia candicans (25%), Hibiscus meraukensis (25%), Maytenus cunninghamii (25%), Melaleuca stenostachya (25%), Parinari nonda (25%), Sebastiania chamaelea (25%), Syzygium suborbiculare (25%), Tinospora smilacina (25%)

Ground Layer: Ht. mean 0.9m, range 0.3-2.0m; PFC mean 38.0%, range 16.0-61.0%. Forbs:

Frequent species: Spermacoce sp. (75%), Crotalaria medicaginea (75%), Galactia muelleri (75%), Phyllanthus virgatus (75%), Euphorbia mitchelliana (50%), Evolvulus alsinoides (50%), Hybanthus enneaspermus (50%), Phyllanthus hebecarpus (50%), Polygala pycnophylla (50%), Desmodium sp. (25%), Brunoniella australis (25%), Cassytha filiformis (25%), Chamaecrista absus (25%), Crotalaria linifolia (25%), Desmodium filiforme (25%), Desmodium rhytidophyllum (25%), Fimbristylis recta (25%), Flemingia parviflora (25%), Heliotropium tenuifolium (25%), Ipomoea gracilis (25%), Rostellularia adscendens (25%), Tephrosia juncea (25%), Tephrosia leptoclada (25%), Tephrosia simplicifolia (25%), Uraria lagopodioides (25%), Uraria picta (25%), Vernonia cinerea (25%), Vigna lanceolata (25%)

Graminoids:

Frequent species: Aristida sp. (100%), Panicum sp. (100%), Sorghum plumosum var. plumosum (100%), Thaumastochloa sp. (75%), Alloteropsis semialata (75%), Cymbopogon refractus (75%), Digitaria sp. (50%), Fimbristylis sp. (50%), Heteropogon triticeus (50%), Schizachyrium fragile (50%), Aristida hygrometrica (25%), Ectrosia laxa (25%), Eragrostis pubescens (25%), Eriachne armitli (25%), Eriachne stipacea (25%), Eriachne triseta (25%), Planichloa nervilemma (25%), Schizachyrium pachyarthron (25%), Thaumastochloa rariflora (25%)

Sampling data	Area: 2976.1 km ² (2.2% of total); No. of sites: 4 ; Sampling index: 1 site/744.0 km ²
Landforms	Erosional plains (48%) ; Rises (34%)
Geology	Pliocene colluvium (TQs) (72%); Holocene alluvia (Qa) (7%)
Soils	Kimba (Kb) (55%) ; Clark (Cr) (13%); Emma (Bm) (6%)
Species recorded	Total: 85 ; Woody layers: 40 ; Ground layer: 47 ; Mean spp/site: 35 ; s.d.= 1
Representative sites	7. 14. 498, 719

Ecological notes: This map unit is restricted to undulating rises and slopes in the south. It occurs primarily on deep, well-drained Red Kandosols, but also on Yellow Kandosols and Orthic Tenosols. Water is probably available to the woody plants for most of the year, because of capillary action from the water table below, and this may account for the mid-dense low tree layer that can occur in places. This map unit occurs in similar situations to unit 101, and replaces it in the south. The canopy is characterised by the greater biomass of *Eucalyptus clarksoniana* and less frequent occurrence of *E. nesophila* than in unit 101.

A full list of the vascular plants known to occur on Cape York Peninsula including the islands of the Great Barrier reef and Torres Strait has been prepared for publication. This will appear as *Plants of Cape York Peninsula* (Clarkson and Neldner in prep.) a companion volume to *Vegetation of Cape York Peninsula* (Neldner and Clarkson in prep.). The list will provide an indication of the most commonly encountered life form for each species and common names where they are known. The occurrence of each species in the 30 broad vegetation groups recognised will also be indicated. A description of the broad vegetation groups recognised and a brief analysis of the flora will accompany the list.

KEY TO CODES USED IN THE SPECIES LIST

Two columns to the left of the species name indicate:

- * No specimen of this plant from Cape York Peninsula is held by the Queensland Herbarium (BRI). The record is based upon material held in an herbarium other than BRI or upon a reliable field sighting.
- * An introduced species not native to Cape York Peninsula.

Columns to the right of the species name indicate:

- R an entry in this column indicates that the plant is considered rare or threatened
 - **X** presumed extinct
 - E endangered and at risk of disappearing from the wild state within 10 to 20 years if present land use and other causal factors continue to operate
 - V vulnerable but not presently endangered
 - **R** rare but not considered endangered or vulnerable
 - K poorly known but suspect of being at risk
- Lf the entry in this column indicates the most commonly encountered life form together with an indication of any specialised habitat preference.

Predominant life form:

- T tree a woody plant > 2 m tall with a single stem or branching well above the base
- S shrub a woody plant either mulistemmed at the base or within 0.2 m from ground level and > 1.5 m tall or if single stemmed < 2 m tall.
- **B** subshrub a woody plant < 1 m tall, often multi-stemmed.
- C scandent shrub a woody plant with weak stems usually straggling over surrounding vegetation but without special modifications for climbing.

- **F** *forb* an herbaceous or slightly woody plant not having a grass-like appearance.
- G graminoid an herbaceous plant with a grass-like appearance.
- vine a climbing or trailing plant usually with special modifications for climbing. Vines may range from herbaceous plants such as members of the Cucurbitaceae to robust woody lianes such as many Menispermaceae.

Specialised habitat preference:

- a aquatic growing in water either rooted in the substrate or free floating.
- e epiphytic growing upon another plant but not parasitically.
- 1 *lithophytic* growing upon rocks.
- m mangrove growing at or below high water mark in tidal areas.
- **p** *parasitic or saprophytic* growing upon another plant (living or dead) and drawing some or all of the nutrients required for growth from the host.
- t *terrestrial* growing in soil (used only for Pteridophytes and Orchidaceae where this character can be useful in identifying species).
- 1 30 Broad vegetation groups define in sections 3.3 to 3.32 amalgamated in the following way:
 - 1 6 closed-forests (excluding mangroves)
 - 7 17 Eucalyptus spp. dominated woodlands, open-woodlands and openforests
 - 18 20 *Melaleuca* spp. dominated low-open woodlands, low woodlands and tall shrublands
 - 21 23 grasslands and grassy open-woodlands
 - 24 30 heathlands mangroves and miscellaneous communities

	R	Lf	11	2 3	4	5 6	7	7 8	9	10 1	1 1	2 13	14	15	16	17	18	92	10 2	21 2	2 23	24	25	26 2	7 28 ;	29 30
MYRTACEAE																										
Acmena hemilampra (F. Muell, ex F.M. Builey) Metr. & L.M. Perry	1															ĺ						1				
subsp. hemilampra	1	Т.	1.	2 3	4	6																1				
subsp. orophila B. Hyland		т	11	2												[1				
Acmena mackinnoniana B. Hyland	R	т		2		6																				
Acmena sp. (Mt Misery G. Sankowsky+ 1131)		т	11				1												i			1				
Acmenosperma claviflorum (Roxb.) Kausel		т	11	2 3		6	1															1				
Acmenosperma pringlei B. Hylend	R	т					1															1				
Archishodomystus becklert (F. Muell.) A.J. Scott		TS	1				1															1				
Asteromyrtus angustifalia (Geotin.) Craven		TS		3	4									15								24				
Asteromyrius brassil (Byrnes) Craven		ST		2 3	4	6		8		10		13		15	16	17	18 J	9				24		2	2	30
Asteromyrtus lysicephala (F. Muell. & F.M. Bailey) Cravon		\$			4	5		8			13	2			16	ĺ	18 1	9				24		2	,	30
Asteromyrius symphyocarpa (F. Muell.) Creven		\$T	1	3	4	6		8		10					16	17	1	9 2	ω			24		2	7	30
Austromyrtus bldwillil (Benth.) Burret		Т	F	2		56										_ !						1				
Austromyrtus dallachlana (F. Muell.) L.S. Sm.		Т	ł	2																		1				
Austromyrtus floribunda (A.J. Scott) Guymer		Т		3	4	5 6	1							15		ļ						1				
Austromyrtus hulli (Benth.) Burret		Т					1															1				
Austromyrtus tucida (Gaerta.) L.S. Sm.	R	Т	1	2		5																1				
Austromyrtus minutiflora Buret		Т	1	2		6										I										
Austromyrius skepherdil (F. Muell.) L.S. Sm.		Т	1			6																				
Austromyrtus sp. (Barnaga B.P. Hyland 10235)	R	т		2			1																			
Austromyrtus sp. (Byerslown Range G.P. Guymer 2037)	R	Т		2		5																1				
Austromyrtus sp. (Cape Flattery L.J. Webb+ 13537)		r			4																	24				
Austromyrtus sp. (Claudie River G.P. Guymer 2052)	ĸ	т		2	4	5 6																				
Austromyrtus sp. (Danbella L.S. Smith 10123)		Т	ł																							
Austromyrtus ap. (Isabella Falls G. Sankowsy+ 959)		т																								
Austromyrtus sp. (Lizard Island G.N. Ballanoff 12183)		Т																								
Austromyrtus sp. (McIlwraith Range B.P. Hyland 11148)	R	Т																								
Austromyrtus sp. (Windsor Tableland B. Gray 412)		Т																								
Backhousia bancrofiti F.M. Bailey & F. Muell. ex F.M. Bailey	R	Т																								
Backhousla hughesti C.T. White		т																								
Basekea frutescent L.		TS	ł														1	Ŷ				24		2	7	
Baeckea sp. (Tozer Range L.). Brass 19348)	v	\$																								
Collistemon polandii F.M. Bailey		ŝ					1																	21	7	
Callistemon viminalis (Sol. ex Gserin.) G. Don ex Loudon		\$				6	1																			
Calyirix laptophylla Benth.		SB															16	2	90 -							
Decaspermum humile (G. Don) A.J. Scott		ST	1	2 3	4	6																				
Eucalyptus acroleuca L.A.S. Johnson & K.D. Hill		Т					7	7											12	21 2	2					
Bucalyptus brassiana S.T. Blake		ʻl'	1		4	6		8	9 .	10 1	1 1	2 13	;	15	16		18 I	9				24				30
Sucalypius camaldulensis Dehah.		r				6		8		1	1															
Bucalyptus chlorophylla Brooker & Done		Т	1				7	7 B	9 1	10 1	1 13	2 13		15	16		18	2	0	2	2					
subsp. (Archer River K. Hill+ 1771)		т					7	,											1							
Eucalyptus citriodora Hook.		т	1										14													
Eucalyptus clarksoniana D.J. Cert & S.G.M. Cert		Т		2	4	56	7	8	9 1	10 I	1 12	2 13	- 14	15	16	17	18 1	9		2	2 23	24	25			30
Eucalyptus closziana F. Moell.		т	1				1						4									1				

.

		Lf	1	2	3 4	45	6	7	8 9	1 0) II	12	13	14	15	16 17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25 26	27	28 2	29 30	0
							,																					
Eucalyptus confertifiora P. Muell.		T					0	7.	8 5	7 10) II					16 17	18		1									
Eucalypius crebra P. Micci.		L.				2			3		11		13	14	15	16			••						27			_
Rucalyptus cullenti Cambage		Ĺ				>				y IC	0 11	12	13	14	15	16 17			20								30	٥L
Eucalyptus curtiper D.J. Carr & S.G.M. Carr		<u> </u>							8																			
Rucalyptus drepanophylia F. Muell. ex Benth.		T								_			13		15													
Bucalyptus ellipsoidea D.J. Carr & S.G.M. Carr		T							9	9																		
Eucalyptus erythrophiota Blakely		T										12																
Buçalyptus exseria E. Muell.		T															ļ											
Bucalyptus hylandil D.J. Catt & S.G.M. Catt		_						_																				
ver, campesiris D. Carr & S.G.M. Carr		T						7	8 9	,		12	13	14	15	16 17	18		20								30	٥Į
ver. hylandii		T						ļ		10)																	
Eucalyptus Intermedia R.T. Bekcr		Т		_		_	_					12	13	14	15			19					24	25				
Bucalyptus leptophleba F. Mucil.		Т	1	2		5	6	7	8 9	9 10	0 11	12	13	14	15	16 17	18				22				27			
Bucalyptus microneura Maiden & Blakely		Т																										
Encalyptus microtheca F. Mueli.		Т						7											20	21	22							1
Eucalyptus nesophila Blakely		Т							8 9	ю)	12	13	14	15	16 17	18						24		27		30	0
Eucalyptus novoguinensis DJ. Cart & S.G.M. Carr		T					6		8		11		13		15	16 17	18	19	20				24	25			30	0 -
Eucolyptus popuana F. Maell.		т				4	ő	7	8 9	ЭК	0 11	12			15	16 17	18		20	21	22	23		25				-
Eucolyptus pellita F. Mueil.		Т		2			6						13	14								:	24					
Bucalyptus persistens L.A.S. Johnson & K.D. Hill																												
subsp. eardeoldens L.A.S. Johnson & K.D. Hill		т							- 5	,																		
Eucalyptus phoenicsa F. Muell.		Т								- 10)		13			17	ĺ.					1						1
Bucalyptus platypkylla F. Muell.		r							8 9	ю	D 11	12	13	14	15	16 17	18		20									
Eucolyptus polycarpa F. Muell.		Т					6	7	8							17	18											
Eucalyptus reducta L.A.S. Johnson & K.D. Hill		Т												14														
Eucalyptus restnifera Sm.		Т	1	2										14			ł											
Encolyptus setosa Schaver		Т							8							17						i						
subsp. (Muzgrave K. Hill+ 1914)	1	Т							8																			
Eucalyptus similis Maiden		Т	1							- 10)											1						
Eucolyptus sp. (Archer Point D.F. Blaxell+ 89/136)		Т	1																									
Eucalyptus sp. (Fox Range K. Hill+ 3780)		т	1																									
Eucalyptus sp. (Lake Galilee S.W. Jacobs 5905)		т																										
Bucalyptus sp. (Mt Mulligan J.R. Clarkson 5889)		T	1						5	2 10)		13	14														
Rucalyptus sp. (Mt Tozer K. Hill+ 1862)		т	1														-											
Bucalyptus statgerland F. Muell, ex F.M. Bailey		т								- 10)						1											
Eucalyptus stockeri D.J. Cerr & S.G.M Carr		т																										
Eucalypius terefleornis Sm.		т	1 1				6					12	13	14														
Rucalyptus tessellaris F. Mucil.		т	1	2	3 4	45	6	7	8 9) 10) 11	12	13	14	15	16 17	18	19		21	22	23		25				
Encalyptus tetrodonta F. Muell.		т							8 9	P 1 0	0 11		13		15	16 17	18		20				24		27		- 30	0 -
Eucalyptus tokwa D.J. Carr & S.G.M. Carr		Т	1																									
Bucalyptus torelliana F. Muell.		Т	1					1																				
Bugania reinwardtiana (Blume) DC.		ST	1	2	3 4	1 5	6																24	25		28 3	29	
Homoranthus tropicus Byrnes	R	В																	20									
Leptospermum amboinense Blome		ST						1																				
Lentomermum brachvandeum (F. Muell.) Druce		S	1					1									1											

. .

	[R	Lf	11	2 3	34	- 5	б	17	89	- 10	11	12	13	14 1	5 I)	5 17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26 Z	7 28	29	30
Leptomermum madidum A.R. Bean	Ļ																1					1						
subsp. madidum	İ	ST					6										I.											
Leptospermum polygallfollum Salisb.																	ł											
subsp. tropicum Joy Thomps.	ł	S	1																				24		2	7		
Lapiospermum purpuroscent Joy Thomps.	R	21				5																	24					30
Lindsayomyrius racemoldes (Greves) Craven	ł	т																										
Lophostemon confertus (R. Br.) Poter G. Wilson & J.T. Waterh.	ł	Т	-																									
Lophosiemon grandifiorus (Benth.) Peter G. Wilson & J.T. Waterh.	ł																											
subsp. riparius (Domin) Pater G. Wilson & J.T. Weterh.	1	Т	1			5	6															1						
Lophostamon surveolens (Sol. ex Gaerin.) Peter G. Wilson & J.T. Waterh.	[Т	1	2 3	34	5	6		89	10	11	12	13	14 1	5 II	5 17	31	19	20		22	1	24	25	2	7		30
Melaleuca acacioides F. Muell.	ł																!					1						
subsp. <i>acactoldes</i>		TS															1	19	20			23						
Melaleuca arcana S.T. Bloko	ł	TS			- 4													19					24	25	2	7		
Melaleuca argenica W. Filzg.	ŧ	TS					6										1											l
Melaleuca bracteata F. Mucll.	İ	ST															1											
Melaleuca cajuputi Powell	ł	Т															1											1
subsp. (DaIntree River B.A. Barlow+ 3889)		т	1														1											
Melaleuca citrolens Barlow		TS				5		7									18	19	20			23						30
Melaleuca dealbata S.T. Blake	[Т			4		ú										18	19						25				
Malalauca foliolosa A. Cuna. ex Benth.	L	ST	1					7	9		11						18		20			23	24					30
Metaleuca leucadendra (L.) L.	ł	т		2	4		6		8		11		13	1	5 1	6		19										- I
Melaleuca minutifolia F. Muell.	ł													-				-										
subsp. monantha Barlow		ST															1											_ !
Melaleuca nerrasa (Lindl.) Cheel		ST						7	8 9	10	11	12	13	14 1	5 II	5 17	18	19					24	25				ļ
Melaieuca quinquenervia (Cav.) S.T. Blake		т	1				6		8			12						19					24	_	2	7		
Melalsuca sallena Schwer		Т	1				6		8								18	19	20			23	24		_	28		
Melalenca 30, (Archer River LR, Clarkson 6039)		т	1				6										1	1-				-	-					1
Metaleuca ap. (Emu Lagoon J.R. Clarkson+ 9582.)		1	1														1	19	20									1
Melaleuca stenostachya S.T. Blake		ST	1					7	8 9	- 10	11	12	13	14	L.	5 17	18		20		22	23	24					30
Meialeuca trichostochya Lindi.		ST	1				6															·						·
Melaisuca viridifiora Sol. ex Gterin.		12	1			5	6	7	89	10	. 11	12	13	14 1	5 1	5 17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	2	7 28		30
Myrtella obtusa (Endl.) A.J. Scott		BS			4																		24	25				30
Myrtella retusa (Endl.) A.J. Scott		BS		3	3				9					1	5 1	5 17			20				24				29	30
Neofabricia mioebergii (Cheel) Joy Thomp.		5 T							8	10						17	18											30
Neofabricia myrilfolla (Gaerin.) Joy Thomp.		ST		3	34	5	ó		8	10			13	1	5 D	5 17	18	19	20				24		2	7		30
Neofabricia sericisepala J.R. Clarkson & Joy Thomp.		ST	!						-	10				-		17	18		20						_			30
Osbornia octodonta F. Muell.		Sm	1																						26	28		
Pliidiastigma recurvum (C.T. White) A.J. Scott		ST	lι	2	4		6	1									1											
Pilidiostigma tetramerum U.S. Sm.		ST	1 î				-																					- 1
Rkodamnia australis A.J. Scott		TS		2 3	3 4	5	6	I									1											
Rhodamnia blairíana F. Muell.		Т	1	2	3		6																					1
Rhodamnia sessiiifiora Benth.		TS	1 1				6	I									1			·								1
Rhodamula sp. (Cape York 1S. Smith 12538)		Т	[.				•																					ļ
Rhodownia sp. (Mellwraith Bange L.J. Webb+ 9527)	1	Ť																										ļ
Rhodomala sn. (Upper Massey Creek L.S. Smith 11733)		Ť	1			5		I									1											
AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE ADDRESS AND ADDRESS ADDRES								-																				

.

.

	R	LL	1	4 3	4	~ ~	· '	0 7	10	1 1	6 13	14	15 10	0 1/	18	19 20	21	22 25	29	<i>ω</i> 2	0 2/	28	4 30
Rhodamula spongiosa F.M. Bailey		тя]	2		6									:								
Rhodomyrtus offusa Guymer	R	S															ļ						
Rhodomyrius macrocarga Beath.		ST	1.	3	4	56											1						
Rhodomyrtus pervagata Guymet		֥		-																			
Rhodomyrius sericea Burret		S	1			6									:				1				
Rhodomyrius trinsura (F. Muell.) F. Muell, ex Benth.		•	1 -			-									E								
subsn. canensis Guymer		S	I F	2 3		6									1	P							
Subaecautia chartacen Peter G. Wilson & B. Hyland	R	ř	1.																				
Synaarpia olomulttera (Sm.) Nied.		Ť	1									14			1				1				
Synchow anoanharaidet (F. Mitell.) B. Ryland		Ť	1 1	2 3	4	5 6						14			ļ .	0							
Syrugium angenerene (F. Miell.) B. Hyland		Ť	t i	2	-	~ ~									I '				Í				
Servature account (Burn, f.) Alston	10	Ť	l . :	,																			
System agreen (Sum 17 Justice)	5	÷	· ·	4	4										i .	0			26				
Syzygran to geropeation D. Termine Syzygran to geropeation B. Hyland	1	Ť	1 1		à										1				24				
Currentum Kanbell (Britten & S. Moorn or S. Moore) R. Huland		л Т	1 ¹ 1		4														24				20
Sylverium Beaudeshoroff I auterh		т Т		3	4	6									!				24				50
Syrygrant brandernorshi Educio.		Ť		2		6											!						
Sylveron Daemerianan (K. Schull.) Chai.	^	т Т	Ι. :			6																	
Syzygium oungepinnin (C.M. Balley) D. Hyland	ŀ	Å.	l' '	23	4	0											ļ						
Syzygtum cancertex D. Hyland		- -		• •		e											!						
Sytygiam correctional (r. Mach.) 6. Hyland	-	1 T	' '	6 3		0											i i						
Syrgium encopricium B. Hyland Surgium combroachus (CT. White) B. Hyland	-	1 m	יו																				
Syzygium erymrocatyx (C.1. wind) D. Hyland	ŀ	1 TO																					
Sytygium eryaroadaum (S. moore) D. Hyjand	-	15													1								
Syzygium aucalyprotaes (P. Mucu.) D. Hyrand		TC																					
subsp. dicetori (O. Schwarz) H. Hyland	ļ	15		-				_															•••
subsp. eucalyptotaes	[15				0		Ŗ	10						18	20			24	25			30
Syzygium fibrosum (F.M.Balley) T.G. Hatley & L.M. Petty		T	1 1	23	4	Ð																	
Syzygium forte (F. Muell.) B. Hyland		_													i –		1						
subsp. for ic		Т	יין	23	4	56									1		1		24				
subsp. polamophilum B. Hyland	ŀ	Т		3	4	6									1								
Syzygium gustavioldes (P.M. Bailey) B. Hyland		T													1								
Syzygium Johnsouli (F. Muell.) B. Hyland		т	11	2											1		1						
Syzyglum kuranda (F.M. Bailey) B. Hyland		т	1			б									1		1						
Syzygium luchmannii (F. Muell.) I.A.S. Johnson	ļ	Т	1			6									1								
Syzyglum maciiwralihianum D. Hyland	R	Т													1								
Syzygium malaccense (L.) Mett. & L.M. Perty	R	T	L			6									1								
Syzygium pseudofastigiatum B. Hyland	R	.I.		23		6									1		1						
Syzyglum puberulum Morr. & L.M. Perry	K	Т		2		6									1		1		1				
Syzygium rubrimolle H. Hyland	R	т	ŀ												1		1		1				
Syzyglum sayeri (F. Muell.) B. Hyland		Т	1				1								1]						
Syxyglum suborblealare (Benth.) T.G. Hartley & L.M. Perry		TS		3	4	56		8	10	11	13		15 10	6 17	18	19	1		24	25	27	28	- 30
Syzygium Herneyanum (F. Muell.) T.G. Hartley & L.M. Perry		Т	;	2	4	6	i								1		1		1				
Syzygium velorum B. Hyland	V	т		3			ł												Í				
Servelum wese B. Hyland		Т													1		1		1				

-

· -

.

		R	Lf	1	2	34	56	5	789	10	11	12 1	3 (4	15	16 I	נן ז	8 1	9 20		21 22	23	24	25	26 2	27 2	8 29	30
Syzygium wilsonti (subsp. cryp † Syzygium xerampol Thrypiomene oligar Tristantopsis axilifi Uromyrius metrostä Waterhousea hedra	F. Muell.) B. Hyland <i>lophiebium</i> (F. Muell.) B. Hyland <i>laum</i> B. Hyland <i>lara</i> F. Muell. <i>ma</i> (F. Muell.) Peter G. Wilson & J.T. Waterh. <i>leras</i> (F.M. Balley) A.J. Scott <i>lophylla</i> (F. Muell.) B. Hyland	R R R	T TS ST T T T	1	2	4	6 5	5		10		12		LS	Ľ	7 1	.6 1	9			23	24	25	2	27		30
Waterhouses unipu Weichtodendron los Xanthostemon aren	ncéaéa B. Hyland Igéralive (F. Muell.) Peter G. Wilson & J.T. Wateria. actus Peter G. Wilson	P	T TS T		2	4 34 4	56	5		10		12 1	3	15	16 1	7		20	,		23	24		2	27		30
Xanikosiemon chry Xanikosiemon cren	santhus (F. Muell.) F. Muell. ex Benth. ulatus C.T. White		T T	1	2 2	34	6 6	5	8	_	11						1	920	,			24		2	27		
Xanthoslemon umb Xanthoslemon verti Xanthoslemon zero Xanthoslemon youti	rosus (A. Cuno, ex Lindl.) Peter G. Wilson & J.T.Waterh, cillatur (C.T. White & W.D. Francis) L.S. Sm. philus Peter G. Wilson gill C.T. White & W.D. Francis	R R V	т Г Т ЗГ		2	4 3 4	56			10					16							24 24					30
																						:					
		:																									
				ĺ																							
																						:					
																1											- 1

129

10.3 APPENDIX 3. Naturalised exotic plants known to occur on Cape York Peninsula

ACANTHACBAB Asystasia gangetica Barleria cristata Ruellia malacosperma Thunbergia alata

AGAVACEAE Agave sisalana Sansevieria trifasciata

AIZOACEAE Trianthema portulacastrum

AMARANTHACEAE Alternanthera bettzickiana Alternanthera dentata Alternanthera ficoidea Amaranthus viridis Celosia argentea Gomphrena celosioides

ANACARDIACEAE Anacardium occidentale Mangifera indica

ANNONACEAE Annona glabra Annona reliculata Annona squamosa

APOCYNACEAB Cathoranthus roseus

ARECACEAE Cocos nucifera

ASCLEPIADACEAE

Asclepias curassavica Calotropis gigantea Calotropis procera Cryptostegia grandiflora Hoya serpens

ASTERACEAE

Acanthospermum hispidum Ageratum conyzoides Ageratum houstonianum Bidens bipinnata Bidens pilosa var. pilosa Conyza leucantha Cosmos caudatus Eclipta prostrata Elephantopus scaber Eleutheranthera ruderalis Emilia sonchifolia Emilia sonchifolia var. sonchifolia ASTERACEAE (Cont.) Gamochaeta spicata Sigesbeckia orientalis Sonchus oleraceus Synedrella nodiflora Tithonia diversifolia Tridax procumbens Wedelia trilobata Xanthium pungens

BIGNONIACEAE Tecoma stans

BIXACEAE Bixa oreliana

BORAGINACEAE Heliotropium indicum

BRASSICACEAE Coronopus integrifolius Raphanus raphanistrum

CACTACEAE Opuntia stricta var. stricta

CAESALPINIACEAE Bauhinia monandra Cassia fistula Cassia siamea Chamaecrista nigricans Chamaecrista rotundifolia Senna alata Senna obtusifolia Senna occidentalis Senna pendula var. glabrata Tamarindus indica

CHENOPODIACEAE Salsola kali

COMBRETACEAE Quisqualis indica

COMMELINACEAE Commelina benghalensis

CONVOLVULACEAE

Argyreia nervosa Ipomoea cairica Ipomoea carnea subsp. fistulosa Ipomoea hederifolia Ipomoea nil Ipomoea quamoclit Ipomoea triloba Merremia dissecta
CONVOLVULACEAE (Cont.) Merremia quinquefolia

CRASSULACEAE

Bryophyllum daigremontianum

CUCURBITACEAE

Citrullus lanatus Cucumis anguria var. anguria Cucumis melo subsp. agrestis Cucumis metuliferus Lagenaria siceraria Momordica charantia

CYPERACEAE

Cyperus brevifolius Cyperus compressus Cyperus esculentus Cyperus metzii Cyperus rotundus Cyperus sphacelatus Cyperus tuberosus

DIOSCORBACEAE

Dioscorea alata

EUPHORBLACEAE

Acalypha wilkesiana Euphorbia cyathophora Euphorbia heterophylla Euphorbia hirta Euphorbia hyssopifolia Euphorbia prostrata Euphorbia thymifolia Jatropha curcas Jatropha gossypiifolia Manihot esculenta Pedilanthus tithymaloides subsp. smallii Phyllanthus tenellus Ricinus communis

FABACEAE

Aeschynomene aspera Aeschynomene brevifolia Aeschynomene indica Calopogonium mucunoides Centrosema pubescens Clitoria ternatea Crotalaria anagyroides Crotalaria goreensis Crotalaria juncea Crotalaria laburnifolia Crotalaria lanceolata Crotalaria pallida Crotalaria spectabilis Crotalaria verrucosa Desmodium heterophyllum Desmodium scorpiurus Desmodium tortuosum Indigofera tinctoria Macroptilium atropurpureum

130

FABACEAE (Cont.)

Macroptilium lathyroides Mucuna pruriens var. utilis Neonotonia wightli Pachyrhizus erosus Pueraria lobata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Stylosanthes hamata Stylosanthes humilis Stylosanthes humilis Stylosanthes viscosa Teramnus labialis Vigna adenantha Vigna radiata Vigna unguiculata subsp. dekindtiana

LAMIACEAE

Hyptis capitata Hyptis suaveolens Leonotis nepetifolia Leucas decemdentata Ocimum americanum Ocimum basilicum Ocimum menthifolium Ocimum tenuiflorum Salvia misella

LYTHRACEAE

Ammannia auriculata

MALVACEAE

Abelmoschus manihot subsp. manihot Abelmoschus manihot subsp. tetraphyllus Gossypium barbadense Malvastrum americanum Sida acuta Sida cordifolia

MIMOSACEAE

Acacia farnesiana Leucaena leucocephala Mimosa pudica

MOLLUGINACEAE Mollugo verticillata

MORINGACEAE

Moringa pierygosperma

MUSACEAE Musa acuminata

ONAGRACEAE Ludwigia peploides subsp. montevidensis

PASSIFLORACEAE Passiflora foetida var. foetida Passiflora suberosa

PHYTOLACCACEAE Rivina humilis

POACEAE

Andropogon gayanus Axonopus compressus Axonopus fissifolius Bothriochloa pertusa Brachiaria decumbens Brachiaria humidicola Brachiaria mutica Cenchrus brownii Cenchrus ciliaris Cenchrus echinatus Cenchrus pennisetiformis Cenchrus setiger Chloris gayana Chloris inflata Chloris virgata Cynodon nlemfuensis var. nlemfuensis Dactyloctenium aegyptium Dichanthium annulatum Dichanthlum aristatum Digitaria ciliaris Digitaria eriantha subsp. pentzii Echinochloa colona Echinochloa crus-galli Echinochloa polystachya Eleusine indica Eragrostis bahiensis Eragrostis cilianensis Eragrostis pilosa Hymenachne amplexicaulis Melinis minutifiora Melinis repens Panicum maximum vas. coloratum Panicum maximum var. maximum Panicum maximum var. trichoglume Paspalum notatum Paspalum paniculatum Paspalum plicatulum Pennisetum pedicellatum subsp. unispiculum Setaria ítalica Setaria pumila subsp. pallide-fusca Setaria pumila subsp. pumila Setaria sphacelata var. sericea Sorghum bicolor Sporobolus coromandelianus Sporobolus pyramidalls var. jacquemontii Themeda quadrivalvis Urochloa mosambicensis Urochloa oligotricha

POLYGALACEAE Polygala chinensis

PONTEDERIACEAE Eichhornia crassipes

PORTULACACEAE

Portulaca pilosa Talinum paniculatum 131

PRIMULACEAE Anagallis pumila RHAMNACEAE Ziziphus mauritiana ROSACEAE Prunus grisea RUBIACEAE Anthocephalus chinensis Geophila repens Mitracarpus hirtus Oldenlandia corymbosa var. corymbosa Richardia brasiliensis Richardia scabra Spermacoce latifolia Tarenna dallachiana SAPINDACEAE Cardiospermum halicacabum vas, halicacabum **SCROPHULARIACEAE** Angelonia salicariifolia Bacopa procumbens Scoparia dulcis SOLANACEAE Capsicum annuum var. glabriusculum Capsicum frudescens Datura metel Nicotiana tabacum Physalis ixocarpa Solanum americanum subsp. nodiflorum Solanum erianthum Solanum seaforthianum Solanum torvum STERCULIACEAE Melochia pyramidata TILIACEAE Grewia asiatica VERBENACEAE Clerodendrum heterophyllum yar, baueri Duranta erecta Lontona comara Lippia alba var. alba Phyla nodiflora var. nodiflora Stachytarpheta jamaicensis Stachytarpheta mutabilis Stachytarpheta x adulterina ZINGIBERACEAE Curcuma longa Kaempferia sp. (Murray Island M. Lawrie 5) Zingiber officinale Zingiber zerumbet

10.4 APPENDIX 4. Rare or threatened plants known to occur on Cape York Peninsula

FLOWERING PLANTS

ACANTHACEAE

- K Hemigraphis royenii
- K Lepidagathis royenii
- R Peristrophe brassli
- K Rhaphidospora cavernarum

AIZOACEAE

K Macarthuria sp. (McIvor River J.R. Clarkson 5447)

ALISMATACEAE

R Limnophyton australiense

ALSEUOSMIACEAE

R Crispiloba disperma

ANNONACEAE

- R 🔰 Ancana hirsuda
- R Artabotrys sp. (Claudie River B. Gray 3240)
- R. Haplostichanthus johnsonii
- R Haplostichanthus sp. (Mt Finnigan L.W. Jessup 632)
- R Haplostichanthus sp. (Topaz L.W. Jessup 520)
- K Melodorum sp. (Claudie River B.P. Hyland 21171V)
- K Melodorum sp. (Font Hills G. Sankowsky 380)
- K Polyaulax sp. (Mt Lewis L.W. Jessup 554)
- K Uvaria rufa

APOCYNACEAE

- R Alyxia orophila
- R Neisosperma poweri
- K Parsonsia densivestita
- K Parsonsia sp. (Capt Billy Landing
- K.A. Williams 85222) R Parsonsia sp. (Possum Scrub
- P.I. Forster+ PIF13519)
- R Wrightia versicolor

APONOGETONACEAE

- V Aponogeton elongatus
- R Aponogeton queenslandicus

ARACEAE

- R Pothos brassii
- R Remusatia vivipara
- R Rhaphidophora pachyphylla
- R Scindapsus altissimus

ARALIACEAE

R Schefflera bractescens

ARECACEAE

- V Arenga australasica
- K Arenga microcarpa
- R Calamus aruensis
- V Calamus warburgii
- V Gulubia costata
- R Linospadix microcarya
- R Linospadix palmeriana
- R Livistona sp. (Cooktown A.K. Irvine 2178)
- V Normanbya normanbyi
- V Wodyetia bifurcata

ARISTOLOCHIACEAE

- R Aristolochia chalmersii
- K Aristolochia sp. (Lamond Hill G. Sankowsky+ 382)
- K Aristolochia sp. (Woopen Creek G. Sankowsky+ 685)

ASCLEPIADACEAE

- R Cryptolepis grayi
- V Dischidia littoralis
- R Reterostemma acuminatum
- R Hoya anulata
- R Hoya macgillivrayi
- R Hoya revoluta
- E Marsdenia sp. (Bromley D.J. Liddle AQ561263)
- R Sarcolobus vittatus
- R Secamone auriculata
- V Tylophora williamsii

ASTERACEAE

K Acomis sp. (Alice River J.R. Clarkson 5016)

BIGNONIACEAE

- R Dolichandrone spathacea
- R Neosepicaea viticoides
- R Tecomanthe sp. (Roaring Meg L.J. Brass 20326)

BORAGINACEAE

V Carmona retusa

CAESALPINIACEAE

- K Caesalpinia hymenocarpa
- R Cassia queenslandica
- R Crudia papuana
- R Labichea buettneriana

CAMPANULACEAE

R Lobelia douglasiana

CAPPARACEAE

R Crateva religiosa

CELASTRACEAE

- R Euonymus globularis
- R Hypsophila halleyana

CLUSIACEAE

- V Calophyllum bicolor
- K Garcinia sp. (Claudie River L.J. Brass 19658)

COMBRETACEAE

- R Combretum trifoliatum
- K Dansiea grandiflora
- K. Terminalia prostrata

CONNARACEAE

R Rourea brachyandra

CONVOLVULACEAE

- K Ipomoea stolonifera
- R Operculina brownii

CUCURBITACEAE

- K Momordica cochinchinensis
- E Muellerargia timorensis
- K Mukia sp. (Little Annan River B. Gray 101)

CUNONIACEAE

K Ceratopetalum sp. (Mt Hemmant B.P. Hyland RFK3338)

CYPERACEAE

- R Carex rafflesiana
- K Cyperus serotinus
- K Fimbristylis costiglumis
- K Hypolytrum compactum
- R Paramapania parvibractea
- K Rhynchospora gracillima
- K Scleria carphiformis
- K Scleria pergracilis

DATISCACEAE

R Tetrameles nudiflora

DICHAPETALACEAE

K Dichapetalum sp. (Claudie River B.P. Hyland 7006)

DILLENIACEAE

- R Hibbertia echilfolia
 - K Hibbertia sp. (Mt Tozer L.J. Brass 19024)

DIOSCOREACEAE

K Dioscorea pentaphylla var. papuana

EBENACEAE

- R Diospyros sp. (Bamaga B.P. Hyland 2517)
- R Diospyros sp. (Mt Lewis L.S. Smith 10107)

ELAEOCARPACEAE

- R Elaeocarpus sp. (Mt Lewis
 - B.P. Hyland 2907)
- R Elaeocarpus theimae

EPACRIDACEAE

- V Leucopogon cuspidatus
- R Leucopogon spathaceus

ERICACEAE

R Rhododendron lochiae

ERIOCAULACEAE

- K Eriocaulon fistulosum
- K Eriocaulon pusillum

EUPHORBLACEAE

- R Cleistanthus myrianthus
- R Croton brachypus
- R Croton stockeri
- R Glochidion pungens
- V Macaranga polyadenia
- R Margaritaria indica
- R Omphalea papuana
- R Phyllanthus hypospodius
- R Pimelodendron ambointcum

FABACEAE

- R Bossiaea arenicola
- R Derris rubrocalyx subsp. rubrocalyx
- K. Ormocarpum orientale
- R Phylacium bracteosum
- R Phyllodium pulchellum
- K Phyllodium sp. (Montalbion H.S. McKee 9430)
- K Pterocarpus sp. (Archer River B.P. Hyland 3078)
- R Sesbania erubescent
- K Tephrosia debilis
- K Tephrosia maculata
- R Tephrosia savannicola

GROSSULARIACEAE

K Argophyllum verae

HALORAGACEAE

- V Myriophyllum coronatum
- K Myriophyllum muricatum

HAMAMELIDACEAE

R Ostrearia australiana

HERNANDIACEAE

R Hernandia albiflora

HYDROCHARITACEAE

R Vallisneria gracilis

ICACINACEAE

R Ryticaryum longifolium

LAMIACEAE

- R Plectranthus arenicola
- K Teucrium ajugaceum

LAURACEAE

- R Beilschmiedia castrisinensis
- ${f R}$ Beilschmiedia peninsularis
- R Cinnamomum baileyanum
- K Cryptocarya bamagana
- R Cryptocarya bellendenkerana
- R Cryptocarya burckiana
- R Cryptocarya claudiana
- R Cryptocarya glaucocarpa
- R Endiandra collinsii
- R Litsea macrophylla

LILIACEAE

K Dianella incollata

LOGANIACEAE

K Mitreola petiolata

LORANTHACEAE

- K Cecarria obtusifolia
- R Dactyliophora novaeguineae

MALVACEAE

- R Macrostelia grandifolia subsp. grandifolia
- R Macrostelia grandifolia subsp.
- macilwraithensis

MELASTOMATACEAE

R Medinilla balls-headleyi

MELIACEAE

- R Aglaia argentea
- R Aglaia brassii
- R Dysoxylum setosum

MENISPERMACEAE

- K Cissampelos pareira
- K. Cissampelos pareira var.
- (Upper Massey Creck L.S. Smith 11741) K Pycnarrhena ozantha
- R Tiliacora australiana
- R. Tinospora angusta

MENYANTHACEAE

E Nymphoides elliptica

MIMOSACEAE

- R Acacia albizioides
- R Acacia armillata
- R Acacia armitii
- R Acacia fleckeri
- R Acacia ommatosperma
- R Acacia pennata subsp. kerrii
- V Acacia sp. (McIvor River J.R. Clarkson 5475)
- R Albizia retusa subsp. morobei
- R 👘 Albizia retusa subsp. retusa

MIMOSACEAE (Cont.)

- R Archidendron hirsutum
- R Archidendropsis xanthoxylon

MONIMIACEAE

K Wilkiea sp. (Palmerston B.P. Hyland 80)

MORACEAE

- R Fatoua pilosa
- K Ficus melinocarpa vat. hololampra
- K Ficus triradiata vat. sessilicatpa

MYRTACEAE

- R Acmena mackinnoniana
- R Acmenosperma pringlei
- R Austromyrtus lucida
- R Auπromyrtus sp. (Bamaga B.P. Hyland 10235)
- R Austromyrtus sp. (Byerstown Range G.P. Guymer 2037)
- K Austromyrtus sp. (Claudie River G.P. Guymer 2052)
- R Austromytus sp. (McIlwraith Range B.P. Hyland 11148)
- R Backhousia bancroftii
- V Baeckea sp. (Tozer Range L.J. Brass 19348)
- R Homoranthus tropicus
- R Leptospermum purpurascens
- R Rhodomyrtus effusa
- R Sphaerantia chartacea
- R Syrygium aqueum
- R Syzygium argyropedicum
- R Syzygium buettnerionum
- R Syzygium macilwraithianum
- R Syzygium malaccense
- R Syzygium pseudofastigiatum
- K Syzygium puberulum
- R Syzygium rubrimolle
- V Syzygium velarum
- R Syzygium xerampelinum
- R Uromyrtus metrosideros
- R Waterhousea hedraiophylla
- R Xanthostemon arenarius
- R Xanthostemon verticillatus
- R Xanthostemon xerophilus
- V Xanthostemon youngii

OLACACEAE

K Anacolosa popuana

ORCHIDACEAE

R

- V Acriopsis javanica
- K Aphyllorchis queenslandica
- R Appendicula australiensis
- R Bulbophyllum blumei
- V Bulbophyllum gracillimum
- R Bulbophyllum grandimesense
- V Bulbophyllum longiflorum

Cadetia wariana

R Cadetia collinsii

ORCHIDACEAE (Cont.)

- Corybas neocaledonicus ĸ
- Е Dendrobium antennatum
- ν Dendrobium bigibbum
- v Dendrobium carronii
- v Dendrobium johannis
- Ε Dendrobium lithocola
- R Dendrobium lobbii
- R Dendrobium malbrownii
- E Dendrobium mitbelianum
- Е Dendrobium nindii
- ν Dendrobium phalaenopsis
- R Dendrobium toressae
- 37 Dendrobium tozerensis
- R Dendrobium wassellii
- v Dendrobium x superbiens
- к Didymoplexis pallens
- R Dipodium ensifolium
- Dipodium pictum Е
- R Eria dischorensis
- R Eria irukandjiana
- K Eulophia zollingeri
- R Flickingeria convexa
- R Gastrodia queenslandica
- R Goodyera grandis
- R Habenaria hymenophylla
- Ε Habenaria macraithii
- R Habenaria rumphii
- R Liparis condylobulbon
- R Malaxis fimbriata
- Ε Malaxis lawleri
- R Nervilia crociformis
- R Oberonia carnosa
- R Oeceoclades pulchra
- R Pachystoma pubescens v
- Phaius pictus
- Ε Phaius tancarvilleae
- Ε Phalaenopsis rosenstromii
- v Pomatocalpa marsupiale
- v Rhinerrhiza moorei
- R Robiquetia wassellii
- v Sarcochilus hirticalcar
- R Schoenorchis sarcophylla
- v Spathoglottis paulinae
- v Spathoglottis plicata
- к Taeniophyllum confertum
- K Taeniophyllum lobatum
- к Thelasis carinata
- Trichoglottis australiensis v
- v Vanda hindsii

PANDANACEAE

- Freycinetia marginata R
- R Freycinetia percostata
- R Pandanus gemmifer
- R Pandanus zea

POACEAE

- R Apiuda musica
- Κ Aristida cumingiana

POACEAE (Cont.)

- Arthragrostis clarksoniana ĸ
- R Bambusa forbesii
- к Brachiaria kurzii
- v Centotheca philippinensis
- ĸ Coix gasteenii
- к Cyrtococcum capitis-york
- к Dallwatsonia felliana
- ĸ Dimeria acinaciformis
- ĸ Ectrosia anomala
- к Enteropogon dolichostachyus
- R Eremochloa ciliaris
- Ε Eremochloa muricata
- R Garnotia stricta var. longiseta
- v Germainia capitata
- R Heterachne baileyi
- R Lepturus geminatus
- R Lepturus xerophilus
- Lophatherum gracile ĸ
- ĸ Paspakan multinodum
- К Scrotochloa tararaensis
- ĸ Scrotochloa urceolata
- ĸ Thelepogon australiensis

POLYGALACEAE

Polygala pycnophylla R

PROTEACEAE

- Buckinghamia ferruginiflora R
- v Macadamia claudiensis
- R Stenocarpus cryptocarpus
- R Triunia montana

RHAMNACEAE

- К Cryptandra sp. (Mt Mulligan J.R. Clarkson 5949)
- R Gouania australiană
- Gouania hillii R

RUBLACEAE

v

к

v

R

к

R

R

R к

к

RUTACEAE

- Aidia sp. (Gap Creek L.W. Jessup 651) К
- к Canthium sp. (Thursday Island E. Cowley 10)
- v Gardenia psidioides
- к Gardenia rupicola
- R Gardenia scabrella
- R Hedvotis philippensis

Hodgkinsonia fruescens

Lasianthus cyanocarpus

Myrmecodia beccarii

Psychotria lorentzii Psychotria submontana

Randia audasii

Oldenlandia polyclada

Acronychia chooreechillum

Boronia sp. (Massy Creek

R.G. Coveny+ 7174)

J.R. Clarkson 5301)

Boronia sp. (Mt Mulligan

RUTACEAE (Cont.)

- V Eriostemon sp. (Mt Tozer L.J. Brass 19483)
- R Flindersia brassii
- R Medicosma glandulosa
- R Medicosma riparia
- R Medicosma sessiliflora
- R Microcitrus garrawayae
- K Zanthoxylum rhetså

SANTALACEAE

R Dendromyza reinwardtiana

SAPINDACEAE

- K Alectryon repandodentatus
- R Arytera macrobotrys
- K Arytera pseudofoveolata
- R Diploglottis harpullioides
- R Dodonaea oxyptera
- K Guioa sp. (Mt Misery P.I. Forster+ PIF10757)
- R Harpullia arborea
- R Harpullia ramiflora
- V Jagera javanica subsp. australiana
- R Lepiderema hirsuta
- R Mischocarpus albescens
- R Sarcopteryx acuminata
- R Tristiropsis canarioides

SAPOTACEAE

- R Chrysophyllum lanceolatum
- R Chrysophyllum sp. (Mt Lewis A.K. Irvine 1042)
- R Planchonella ripicola

SCROPHULARIACEAE

R Torenia polygonoides

SIMAROUBACEAE

K Quassia sp. (Kennedy River J.R. Clarkson 5645)

SMILACACEAE

- K Smilax blumei
- K Smilax kaniensis

SOLANACEAE

- V Solanian dunalianian
- R Solanum multiglochidiatum

STACKHOUSIACEAE

K Stackhousia sp. (McIvor River J.R. Clarkson 5201)

STEMONACEAE

V Stemona angusta

STERCULIACEAE

- R Argyrodendron sp. (Whyanbeel B.P. Hyland RFK1106)
- R Brachychiton albidus
- R. Brachychiton grandiflorus

STERCULIACEAE (Cont.)

- R Brachychiton velutinosus
- R Brachychiton vitifolius
- R Sterculia shillinglawii subsp. shillinglawii

SYMPLOCACEAE

- R Symplocos sp. (Mt Finnigan LJ. Brass 20129)
- R Symplocos stawellii var. montana

THYMELABACEAB

V Jedda multicaulis

TILIACEAE

- R Browniowia argentata
- **K** Grewia australis

VERBENACEAE

K Premna hylandiana

VITACEAE

K Cissus aristata

WINTERACEAE

R Bubbia queenslandiana subsp. queenslandiana

ZINGIBERACEAE

- R Amomum dallachyi
- R Amomum queenslandicum
- R Etlingera australasica
- R Globba marantina

GYMNOSPERMS

- CYCADACEAE
 - V Cycas silvestris

FERNS AND FERN ALLIES

- ADIANTACEAE
 - K Doryopteris ludens

ASPLENIACEAE

K Asplenium macilwraithense

CYATHEACEAE

- E Cyathea exilis R Cyathea felina
- K Cyanaea jenna

DRYOPTERIDACEAE

K. Tectaria siifoha

GLEICHENIACEAE

R Sticherus milnei

GRAMMITIDACEAE

- V Ctenopteris blechnoides
- K Grammitis adspersa
- V Grammitis reinwardtii

HYMENOPHYLLACEAE

R Hymenophyllum eboracense

LINDSAEACEAE

- B Lindsoea repens var. marquesensis
- K Lindsaea repens var. sessilis
- R Lindsaea walkerae

LYCOPODIACEAE

- E Huperzia carinata
- R Huperzia phlegmaria
- V Huperzia phlegmarioides
- R Lycopodiella limosa

POLYPODIACEAE

K Lecanopteris sinuosa

VIITARIACEAE

R Antrophyum plantagineum

:

RARITY CODES

- X Presumed extinct
- E Endangered and at risk of disappearing from the wild state within 10 to 20 years if present land use and other casual factors continue to operate
- V Vulnerable but not presently endangered
- R Rare but not considered endangered or vulnerable
- -K Poorly known but suspect of being at risk

X. B & V as defined by the Australian and New Zealand Conservation Council (ANZECC 1993) R & K as defined by Thomas and McDonald (1989)

10.5 APPENDIX 5. Examples of rainforest site data collected by D.G. Fell and J.P. Stanton

SITE 50 (CMP) HOWICK RIVER

Date:	9 May 1993
Air Photo Ref:	Cape Melville 1970 Run 6 Photo # 42 (55mm, 98mm)
Topo Ref:	Jeannie River 1:100 000 Sheet 7858 BD 406729
Lat Long:	14° 42' 18.37"S 144° 35' 27.77"E
Altitude:	80m.
Location:	Head of the Howick River
	48.9km ENE of Lakefield Ranger Base (59.2°)
Teaure:	Kalpowar Pastoral Holding
Plate:	Roll 7 #4

STRUCTURE

DRY SEMI-DECIDUOUS NOTOPHYLL/MICROPHYLL VINE FOREST on weathered products of ferroginous sandstone (colluvial fan) with dominant Syzygium argyropedicum and occasional Bombax ceiba var. leioccarpum and Gyrocarpus americanus.

FLORISTICS	(16 species within 33 individuals)
	(* denotes obligate deciduous species)

Emergents

Height: 20 - 26m

Syzygium argyropedicum

Савору

Height: 12 - 22m

Syzygium argyropedicum, Arytera bifoliolata, *Vitex acuminata, *Croton arnhemicus, *Bombax ceiba var. leioclada, *Gyrocarpus americanus, Celtis philippensis, Drypetes deplanchei, *Premna dallachiana, *Pongamia pinnata, *Wrightia pubescens subsp. penicillata, *Canarium australianum, Mimusops elengi, *Briedelia sp. (Stone Crossing J.R. Clarkson 9032), *Terminalia sp. aff. T. muelleri, *Celtis sp. (Cape Melville D.G. Fell + DGF 3025)

(outside plot: *Garuga floribunda var. floribunda)

Subcanopy

Height: 8 - 14m Density: 266 stems/ha

Arytera bifoliolata, *Wrightia pubescens subsp. penicillata, Cryptocarya exfoliata, *Tabernaemontana orientalis, *Celtis sp. (Cape Melville D.G. Fell+ DGF 3025), Microcitrus garrawayae, Pouteria sericea, *Briedelia sp. (Stone Crossing J.R. Clarkson 9032)

138

Understorey

Height: 1 - 8m

*Ziziphus oenopolia, Celtis sp. (Cape Melville D.G. Fell + DGF 3025), Phyllanthus novae-hollandiae, Arytera bifoliolata, *Premna dallachyana, Diospyros compacta var. reticulata, Memecylon pauciflorum var. pauciflorum, Melicope erythrococca, Croton arnhemicus, Wrightia pubescens subsp. penicillata, Cryptocarya exfoliata, Uvaria membranaceum, Austromyrtus sp. (Bakers Blue G.P. Guymer 2037), Ficus virens var. petiolaris, Breynia cernua, Drypetes deplanchei, Amorphospermum antilogum, Cassine melanocarpum, Harrisonia brownit, Euphorbia plumerioides var. plumerioides, Briedelia sp. (Stone Crossing J.R. Clarkson 9032), Abutilan micropetalum, Litsea glutinosa

Lianes & Epiphytes

Ventilago ecorollata, Uvaria membranaceum, Dendrobium bigibbum

Groundcover (~ denotes seedlings)

Height: 0 - 1m

Asystasia australasica, Panicum trichoides, –Euphorbia plumerioides vat. plumerioides, ~Pongamia pinnata, ~Croton arnhemicus

ECOLOGICAL NOTES

This is a unique patch of vine forest. It occurs on a colluvial fan of red-brown sandy loam, the products of ferruginous sandstone. Other patches nearby occur on ferruginous sandstone hillsides. These are the same type as those that occur on the Altanmoui Range sampled previously in sites 9 and 48.

Site 50 sits in the uppermost catchment divide of the Howick River. It occurs adjacent to white sand country overlying sandstone. The Howick itself rises out of springs in the sand. Broad adjacent slopes support large tracts of almost pure *Eucalyptus phoenicea* open forest.

The semi-deciduous nature of this forest occurs through the presence of Bombax, Gyrocarpus, Vuex, Pongamia, Premna, Wrightia, Terminalia and Croton. Crown cover is dominated by tall robust Syzygium argyropedicum. Their large size on the Howick side of the patch may be attributed to the tapping of the water table.

The seasonal abundance of water provides a focus for feral cattle and pigs. The understorey is very disturbed with the country smelling and looking like a cattle camp. The prolific Acanthaceous herb Asystasia australasica has been extensively browsed by cattle.

This patch of vine forest is unlike any encountered to date. It is a unique floristic type and is considered to have extremely high conservation value. This uniqueness is provided by the presence of the rare and threatened listed Syzygium argyropedicum as the dominant canopy tree. This tree species was formerly known only from consolidated dune sands on Silver Plains Holding. As a result of these surveys its northern limit of distribution has been confirmed on coastal aeolian sands within Iron Range National Park (Sites 113,114). The record here on the Howick represents a highly disjunct southern limit of distribution.

140

SITE 86 (SAM)

Date:	16 October 1993
Air Photo Ref:	Orford Bay 1974 Run #25 Photo #0112 (70mm, 94mm)
Topo Ref:	Shelburne Bay 1:100000 Sheet 747454L XN 857066
Lat/Long:	11° 41' 42.26"5 142° 42' 13.28"E
Altitude:	120 metres
Location:	14.6km NE of Heathlands Ranger Base
	'Messum' catchment
Tenure:	R 7, Heathlands D & O Reserve
Geology:	Sandstone

STRUCTURE

COMPLEX EVERGREEN NOTOPHYLL, VINE FOREST on permanently moist sandstone escarpments with Calophyllum sil, Planchonella obovoidea, Maranthes corymbosa and conspicuous palms Gulubia costata, Caryota rumphiana, Licuala ramsayi.

FLORISTICS	(20 species with 33 individuals)
	(* denotes obligate deciduous species)

Canopy

Height: 15-35m

Calophyllum sil, Planchonella obovoidea, Maranthes corymbosa, Ternstroemia cherryi, Endiandra longipedicellata, Gulubia costata, Litsea breviumbellata, Buchanania arborescens, Cryptocarya cunninghamii, Garcinia warrenii, Xanthophyllum octandrum, Syzygium bungadinnia, Gmelina dalrympleana, Carallia brachiata, Aglaia euryanthera, Ilex arnhemicus subsp. ferdinandii, Dysoxylum arborescens, Gomphandra australiana, Ptychosperma elegans, Licuala ramsayi

(outside of plot: Melicope elleryana, Blepharocarya involucrigera, Horsfieldia australiensis, Ficus destruens, Ficus obliqua var. obliqua, Podocarpus grayae)

Subcanopy

Height: 20-30m

Ternstroemia cherryi, Ptychosperma elegans, Caryota rumphiana, Helicia australasica, Gulubia costata, Polyscias elegans, Gmelina dalrympleana, Maranthes corymbosa, Hydriastele wendlandiana, Aglaia sapindina, Garcinia warrenii, Vavaea amicorum, Planchonella obovoidea, Pternandra coerulescens, Ficus obliqua var. obliqua

Understorey

Height:

1-10m

Licuala ramsayi, Macaranga polyadenia, Aglala sapindina, Ternstroemia cherry, Ptychosperma elegans, Caryota rumphiana, Pleomele angustifolia, Helicia australasica, Calophyllum bicolor, Gulubia costata, Polyscias elegans, Cryptocarya bamagana, Pternandra coerulescens, Archidendron hirsutum, Endiandra cowleyana, Maranthes corymbosa, Melicope elleryana, Podocarpus grayi, Hydriastele wendlandiana, Cyathea felina, Salacia chinensis, Palaquium galactoxylon, Cryptocarya hypospodia, Ficus sp. (Heathlands D.G. Fell + DFG 3738), Diospyros hebecarpa, Garcinia warrenii, Vavaea amicorum, Cryptocarya cunninghamii, Haplostichanthus sp. (Rocky River P.I. Forster + PIF 10617), Planchonella obovoidea, Horsfieldia australiana, Syzygium forte subsp. forte, Myristica insipida, Pittosporum ferrugineum, Mallotus polyadenos, Mackinlaya confusa, Dictyoneura obtusa, Adenanthera pavonina, Deplanchea tetraphylla, Neolitsea brassii, Cordyline cannifolia, Syzygium fibrosum, Gomphandra australiana, Emmenosperma alphitonioides, Decaspermum humile, Randia sessilis, Aidia racemosa, Quassia sp. (Tozet Range L.J. Brass 19393), Garcinia dulcis, Cleistanthus hylandii, Drypetes deplanchei, Pandanus conicus, Mischocarpus lachnocarpus, Lasianthus strigosus

Lianes & epiphytes

Stenochlaena palustris, Calamus australis, Calamus hollrungii, Freycinetia percostata, Medinilla ballsheadleyi, Pachygone ovata, Austrosteenisia sp. (DGF 3737), Ichnocarpus frutescens, Pycnarrhena sp. (DGF 3740), Melodinus australis, Tetracera nordtiana, Smilax australis, Flagellaria indica, Strychnos colubrina, Cryptolepis gravi, vine (DGF 3743), Tetrastigma thorsborneorum, Melodorum sp. (Stone Crossing L.W. Jessup 814), Hugonia jenkinsii, Pyrrosia lanceolata, Pyrrosia longifolia, Dendrobium johannis, Cymbidium sp., Antrophyum callifolium

Groundcover (- denotes seedlings)

0-1m

Height:

Angiopteris evecta, Cyathea felina, Drynaria sparsisora, Dianella bambusifolia, Hypolytrum nemorum, Stenochlaena palustris, Tectaria brachiata, Leptaspis banksii, Sphaerostephanos heterocarpus, Leptaspis banksii, Lindsaea media,

~Gulubia costata, ~Caryota rumphiana, ~Calamus hollrungii, ~Podocarpus grayi, ~Hydriastele wendlandiana, ~Ptychosperma macarthurii, ~Myristica insipida, ~Pandanus conicus

ECOLOGICAL NOTES

Site 86 represents vine forest which is as close as you can get to a complex type on Cape York Peninsula. It is situated at the head of the coastal catchment draining the sandstone escarpment. This escarpment represents the northern extremity of the Great Dividing Range. This Divide separates the westerly flowing Jardine catchment from the multitude of unnamed watercourses flowing into sand dune country between Captain Billy Creek and Shelburne Bay. This forest type is unique to the country between Shelburne and Newcastle Bays and is considered to have high conservation values.

The vine forest grows on the alluvial products of sandstone. This rock outcrops in steep areas and may form small shelves. Of major significance to the structure and composition of the forest is the presence of permanent springs rising out of the sandstone. The gullies are therefore perennially moist. There is also favourable moisture influence from the almost constant moisture-laden south-east winds and squalls that the area encounters.

These escarpment forests are thus different to the other sites surveyed in the Heathlands - Jardine - Escape River area. It must be noted that they are not conserved in the present Jardine River National Park, but occur on adjoining Departmental and Official Purposes Reserve. They have developed and survived over time in a refugial situation. They are in fact, islands of well developed vine forest of a type otherwise found at Iron Range and at Bamaga. Evidence to this is in their well developed structure, abundance of lifeforms, species richness, and the presence of disjunct taxa such as *Ternstroemia cherryi*, *Pternandra coerulescens*, *Gulubia costata*, Sphaerostephanos heterocarpus, Angiopteris evecta, Cyathea felina, Dictyoneura obtusa and *Rex arnhemensis* subsp. ferdinandii.

A total of eight rare and threatened species are recorded among an overall total of 100 taxon. This is by far the richest site examined to date.

Sites 87 and 88 are other examples of this type.

•

·

