tion practices and extrauterine pregnancy: A realistic perspective. Fertil Steril 28:407, 1977

5. Liskin L, Fox G: IUDs—an appropriate contraceptive

for many women. Popul Rep [B] 4:101, 1982

6. Spiegelberg O: Zur casuistik der ovarialschwanger-schaft. Arch Gynaekol 13:73, 1878 7. Boronow RC, McElin TW, West RH, Buckingham JC: Ovarian pregnancy: Report of four cases and thirteen-year survey of the English literature. Am J Obstet Gynecol 91: 1095, 1965

8. Berger B, Blechner JN: Ovarian pregnancy associated with copper-7 intrauterine device. Obstet Gynecol 52:

9. Wilson SJ, Milano CT, Marinescu A: Ovarian pregnancy and the intrauterine device, report of a case and review of the literature. Mount Sinai J Med 46:15, 1979

10. Gray CL, Ruffolo EH: Ovarian pregnancy associated with intrauterine contraceptive devices. Am J Obstet Gyne-

col 132:134, 1978

11. Chidiac A, Buka N, Ravinsky E, Garulli R: Ovarian ectopic pregnancy in association with a copper-7 intrauterine device in situ. Fertil Steril 32:127, 1979

12. Evans MI, Angerman NS, Moravec WD, Hajj SN: The intrauterine device and ovarian pregnancy. Fertil Steril

32:31, 1979

13. Hallatt JG: Primary ovarian pregnancy: A report of

twenty-five cases. Am J Obstet Gynecol 143:55, 1982

14. McMorries KE, Lofton RH, Stinson JC, Cummings RV: Is the IUD increasing the number of ovarian pregnancies? Contemp Ob/Gyn 13(June):165, 1979

15. Golan A, Menchovsky E, Edelstein T: Primary ovarian pregnancy and the intrauterine contraceptive device:

Report of two cases. S Afr Med J 52:1130, 1977

16. Buchholz F, Philipp E: Kasuistischer beitrag zur ovarialgraviditat bei intrauterinpessaren. Med Welt 29:59,

17. Laufer M, Zilberman R, Antebi SV: Ectopic pregnancy and intrauterine device. Harefuah 94:71, 1978

18. Ringrose CAD: The occurrence of non-tubal ectopic

pregnancies in women with an intrauterine device. J Reprod Fertil 55:373, 1979

19. Esmer A, Ahat E: Primare ovarialgraviditat bei lie-

gender intrauterinspirale. Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd 40: 456, 1980

20. David MP, Avni A, Pausner D, Baratz M: Ovarian pregnancy and IUD. Eur J Obstet Gynaecol Reprod Biol

11:173, 1980
21. Exalto N, Vooys GP, Meyer JWR, Lange WPH: Ovarian pregnancy: A morphologic description. Eur J Obstet Gynaecol Reprod Biol 11:179, 1980 22. Helde MD, Campbell JS, Himaya A, et al: Detection

of unsuspected ovarian pregnancy by wedge resection. Can Med Assoc J 106:237, 1972 23. Campbell JS, Hacquebard S, Mitton DM, et al: Acute

hemoperitoneum, IUD, and occult ovarian pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 43:438, 1974

24. Ford K: Use of intrauterine contraceptive devices in the United States. In National Center for Health Statistics (Hyattsville, Md): Advance Data from Vital and Health Statistics, No. 43. DHEW publication No. (PHS)79-1250. Gov-

ernment Printing Office, 1978 25. Piotrow PT, Rinehart W, Schmidt JC: IUDs—update on safety, effectiveness, and research. Popul Rep [B] 3:49,

1979

26. US Bureau of Census: Statistical Abstract of the United States; 1978. US Department of Commerce. Gov-

ernment Printing Office, 1978

27. Births, marriages, divorces, and deaths for 1980. National Center for Health Statistics (Hyattsville, Md): In Monthly Vital Statistics Report, Provisional Data, vol 29, No. 12. DHHS publication No. (PHS)81-1120. Government Print-

ing Office, March 18, 1981 28. Tatum HJ, Schmidt FH: Contraceptive and sterilization practices and extrauterine pregnancy: A realistic perspective. Fertil Steril 28:407, 1977

29. Ory HW, Women's Health Study: Ectopic pregnancy and intrauterine contraceptive devices: New perspectives. Obstet Gynecol 57:137, 1981

# Epigastric Bruit: Prevalence and Clinical Significance in a Student Population

James A. McSherry, MB, ChB, FRCGP Kingston, Ontario

Localized epigastric bruit is the sole physical sign in celiac artery compression syndrome, 1,2 an

association of abdominal symptoms with extrinsic compression of the celiac axis at its origin from the anterior aspect of the abdominal aorta immediately below the diaphragm. This extrinsic compression may be from either the median arcuate ligament of the diaphragm or from strands of the celiac plexus.3

From the Student Health Service, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada. Requests for reprints should be addressed to Dr. J.A. McSherry, Student Health Service, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada. The presence of an epigastric bruit must be a sensitive indicator of celiac artery compression when found in conjunction with a compatible pattern of abdominal symptoms, but it is of low specificity, since a similar bruit may be found in healthy people.

The prevalence of an epigastric bruit has been variously reported as 6.5 percent,<sup>4</sup> 15.9 percent,<sup>5</sup> 27 percent, and 31 percent,<sup>6</sup> with no difference in prevalence between men and women. This author conducted a study in which statistically significant differences in prevalence were found between male and female patients at all ages from 10 to 54 years, with peak prevalence occurring in both sexes in the group aged 15 to 34 years.<sup>7</sup> This study, performed on a family practice population, showed the prevalence of an epigastric bruit to be 24.7 percent in women and 8.5 percent in men aged 15 to 24 years (P < .02).

A further study was undertaken to elucidate this conflicting evidence of distribution of prevalence between the sexes and to determine any influence that sex, physique, blood pressure, and morbidity might exert. A student population was chosen for examination, since almost all students are of the age under discussion and are basically healthy and free from degenerative disease.

## Methods

Two hundred sixteen consecutive, unselected students between the ages of 15 and 24 years who were attending the Student Health Service at Queen's University were examined by a single physician. During the interview and after a full explanation was given and the patient's verbal consent obtained, auscultation of the abdomen was performed with the patient supine, comfortably at rest on an examination table in one of two adjoining quiet rooms.

The same bell-diaphragm binaural stethoscope was used for each observation, only the bell being employed. Observations were made during normal hours of work, 9 AM to 12 noon and 1 PM to 4:30 PM daily, weekdays only. Each patient's age,

Table 1. Prevalence of Epigastric Bruit	
	No. With Bruit (%)
Female	27/118 (23)
Male	16/98 (16)
Total	43/216 (20)

sex, height, weight, blood pressure, and diagnosis were recorded together with the presence or absence of an epigastric bruit and its grade and timing, if present.

The only bruit accepted for the purposes of the study was one audible in the midline epigastrium with the patient supine, localized to the epigastrium without conduction proximal or distal along the aorta or to either flank, and audible without pressure of the stethoscope on the anterior abdominal wall.

A bruit was graded as follows: grade 1—faint, but definite; grade 2—definite, but not loud; grade 3—loud. When there was any doubt as to the presence of a bruit, it was recorded as absent. The results were tabulated (Table 1) and subjected to tests of probability ( $\chi^2$ ) to determine statistical significance.

#### Results

A bruit satisfying the study criteria was heard in 43 patients (20 percent), 27 female (23 percent) and 16 male (16 percent). The difference in prevalence between male and female patients is not statistically significant (P > .10).

Thirty-five bruits were grade 1, eight were grade 2. No grade 3 bruits were heard, and all

bruits were systolic and loudest in expiration.

The presence or absence of a bruit bore no relationship to physique, blood pressure, or morbidity. The study population was composed of individuals whose blood pressures were normal, and virtually all had weights within the desirable range for height, age, and sex.

### Discussion

Celiac artery compression syndrome is something of an enigma. Some would doubt its very existence, since the conventional view is that more than one visceral artery must be completely occluded before bowel ischemia may develop. How then, the argument runs, can partial blockage of one vessel produce the bizarre and sometimes severe abdominal symptoms found in patients?8,9 The diagnostic dilemma is further intensified by typical appearances of celiac artery compression found in patients undergoing aortography for totally unrelated reasons. 10,111 The answer is beyond the scope of this paper, which simply reports the results of a study showing that an epigastric bruit may be heard on auscultation of the abdomens of a sizable proportion of a healthy population. A vascular bruit must presumably represent turbulence in the flow within a vessel. Perhaps there are variations in the angle at which the celiac artery comes off the aorta, and this results in the median arcuate ligament of the diaphragm compressing the artery at certain stages of the respiratory cycle. The possibilities for conjecture are endless, but they do not in any way detract from the fact that an epigastric bruit may be safely ignored in the absence of unexplained abdominal pain.

Why should this study show equal prevalence of a bruit in young men and women, when a previous one found statistically significant differences? Since both studies were conducted in an identical fashion by the same observer, the answer must be that the second study was more accurate or was biased by intraobserver variation, or that some characteristic of the study population changed. Intraobserver variation is unlikely in view of the agreement in both studies for prevalence in women, the studies differing mainly in the observed frequency with which a bruit was found in young men.

There is no reason to believe that a student population might possess any special physical characteristics sufficient to distinguish it from any group of young patients in a general practice in such a way as to influence these observations. No other studies of epigastric bruit prevalence have surveyed sizable populations of young adults, and this study is of a larger sample of male patients than the previous one. It is therefore more likely to be accurate.

# Summary

A systolic epigastric bruit is a common finding on auscultation of the abdomens of healthy young people. It is loudest during expiration and is of no clinical significance whatsoever.

#### References

- 1. Dunbar JD, Molnar W, Benman FF, et al: Compression of the celiac trunk and abdominal angina. Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nuclear Med 95:731, 1965
- McSherry JA: Coeliac artery compression syndrome. J R Coll Gen Pract 27:684, 1977
   Marable SA, Kaplan MF, Beman FM, et al: Celiac compression syndrome. Am J Surg 115:97, 1968
- 4. Edwards AJ, Hamilton JD, Nichol WD, et al: Experience with celiac artery compression syndrome. Br Med J 1:342, 1970
- 5. Julius S, Stewart BH: Diagnostic significance of abdominal murmurs. N Engl J Med 276:1175, 1967
- 6. Watson WC, Williams PE, Duffy G: Epigastric bruits in patients with and without celiac axis compression. Ann Intern Med 79:211, 1973
- McSherry JA: The prevalence of epigastric bruit. J R Coll Gen Pract 29:170, 1979
- 8. Rob C: Stenosis and thrombosis of the celiac and
- mesenteric arteries. Am J Surg 114:363, 1967
  9. Dick AP, Graff R, Gregg DM, et al: An arteriographic study of mesenteric arterial disease. Gut 8:206, 1967
- 10. Sutton RA: Coeliac axis stenosis. Proc R Soc Med
- 60:139, 1967 11. Drapanas T, Bron KM: Stenosis of the celiac artery. Ann Surg 164:1085, 1966