APPENDIX E: SPECIALIST STUDIES

- Biodiversity Study (inclusive of Plant and Animal Compliance Statements)
- Aquatic Biodiversity Compliance Statement
- HIA and Palaeontology Desktop Assessment
- Soils and Land Capability Compliance Statement
- Landscape/Visual SSVR
- Financial Provision





Reg No. 2005/122/329/23 VAT Reg No. 4150274472 PO Box 751779 Gardenview 2047 Tel: 011 616 7893 Fax: 086 724 3132 Email: admin@sasenvgroup.co.za www.sasenvironmental.co.za

BIODIVERSITY ASSESSMENT AS PART OF THE BASIC ASSESSMENT PROCESS FOR THE PROPOSED EXTENSION OF THE RAILWAY INFRASTRUCTURE AT THE WESSELS MINE, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

Prepared for

SLR Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd

July 2021

Prepared by: Report author:

Report reviewers:

Report reference:

Scientific Terrestrial Services CC C. Hooton S. Erwee C. Steyn (Pr. Sci. Nat) K. Marais (Pr. Sci. Nat) STS 210054











EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

STS was appointed by SLR Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd to conduct a biodiversity assessment as part of the Basic Assessment (BA) process for the proposed extension of the railway infrastructure at Wessels Mine in the Northern Cape. The project included the assessment of the proposed railway loop supplied by the mine.

During the field assessment, three habitat units were identified, namely the Senegalia melifera Thicket, the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland and the Transformed habitat. The majority of the proposed railway loop is located within the Transformed habitat, which is characterised by the transformation of the indigenous vegetation to that of the current mining area as well as the associated roads. The southern portion is associated with the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland whilst the eastern portion is associated with the Senegalia melifera Thicket.

Four floral Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) (Vachellia erioloba & V. haematoxylon, Harpagophytum procumbens and Boophone disticha) were observed during the site assessment, whilst there is potential that six additional species may occur. No faunal SCC were observed, though there remains the possibility that four may utilise the railway footprint area, most likely whilst foraging. Overall, the habitat units, due to their proximity to the mine and past grazing impacts, have been degraded, with many areas becoming encroached. Given the aforementioned and taking into consideration the data from the field assessment, the two natural habitat units have been assigned an intermediate sensitivity, whist the transformed areas are considered to be of low sensitivity.

Following the ecological assessment of the biodiversity within the proposed railway loop, the impacts associated with the proposed development activities were determined. The impacts on the floral and faunal habitat, diversity and SCC are considered to range from medium to very low significance prior to the implementation of mitigation measures. With mitigation fully implemented the impacts can be reduced to low and very-low significance impacts. No significant impacts on the biodiversity associated with the proposed railway loop are however anticipated.

The National Web based Environmental Screening Tool returned a Low Sensitivity for the Animals and Plants theme and a Very High Sensitivity for the Terrestrial Biodiversity theme. Following the site assessment, and as presented within this report, the proposed railway loop aligns more with a higher sensitivity than that of the low sensitivity indicated in the screening tool. Such deviation was largely due to the relatively intact nature of the non-transformed areas as well as the presence of several floral SCC.

This report and the data contained herein fulfils the requirements for both the Plants and Animals Compliance Statements as well as the baseline data reporting requirements for the Basic Assessment (BA) process.

It is the opinion of the ecologists that this study provides the relevant information required in order to implement Integrated Environmental Management (IEM) and to ensure that the best long-term use of the ecological resources in the proposed railway loop will be made in support of the principle of sustainable development.



DOCUMENT GUIDE

The table below provides a guide to the reporting of biodiversity impacts as they relate to 1) Government Notice No. 320 Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on **Terrestrial Biodiversity** as published in Government Gazette 43110 dated 20 March 2020, and 2) Government Notice No. 1150 Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on **Terrestrial Plant and Animal Species** as published in Government Gazette 43855 dated 30 October 2020.

	Theme-Specific Requirements as per Government Notice No. 320 Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme – Very High Sensitivity Rating as per Screening Tool Output				
No.	SPECIALIST ASSESSMENT AND MINIMUM REPORT CONTENT	Section in report/Notes			
2	Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment				
2.1	The assessment must be prepared by a specialist registered with the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professionals (SACNASP) with expertise in the field of terrestrial biodiversity.	Appendix I			
2.2	The assessment must be undertaken on the preferred site and within the proposed development footprint.	Section 1			
2.3	The assessment must provide a baseline description of the site which in following aspects:	cludes, as a minimum, the			
2.3.1	A description of the ecological drivers or processes of the system and how the proposed development will impact these;	Section 4			
2.3.2	Ecological functioning and ecological processes (e.g., fire, migration, pollination, etc.) that operate within the preferred site;	Section 4			
2.3.3	The ecological corridors that the proposed development would impede including migration and movement of flora and fauna;	Section 4			
2.3.4	The description of any significant terrestrial landscape features (including rare or important flora-faunal associations, presence of Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSAs) or Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (FEPA) sub catchments;	Section 4			
2.3.5	 A description of terrestrial biodiversity and ecosystems on the preferred site, including: a) main vegetation types; b) threatened ecosystems, including listed ecosystems as well as locally important habitat types identified; c) ecological connectivity, habitat fragmentation, ecological processes and fine scale habitats; and d) species, distribution, important habitats (e.g. feeding grounds, nesting sites, etc.) and movement patterns identified; 	Section 3 (desktop analysis)			
2.3.6	The assessment must identify any alternative development footprints within the preferred site which would be of a "low" sensitivity as identified by the screening tool and verified through the site sensitivity verification; and	Not Applicable.			
2.3.7	The assessment must be based on the results of a site inspection undertake must identify:	n on the preferred site and			
2.3.7.1	 Terrestrial Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs), including: a) the reasons why an area has been identified as a CBA; b) an indication of whether or not the proposed development is consistent with maintaining the CBA in a natural or near natural state or in achieving the goal of rehabilitation; c) the impact on species composition and structure of vegetation with an indication of the extent of clearing activities in proportion to the remaining extent of the ecosystem type(s); d) the impact on ecosystem threat status; e) the impact on ecosystem threat status; f) the impact on overall species and ecosystem diversity of the site; and g) the impact on any changes to threat status of populations of species of conservation concern in the CBA; 	Section 3 (desktop analysis) and 4			



	 a) the impact on the ecological processes that operate within or across the cite; 	
	b) the extent the proposed development will impact on the functionality of	
	the ESA; and	
	c) loss of ecological connectivity (on site, and in relation to the broader	
	landscape) due to the degradation and severing of ecological corridors	
	and fauna:	
2.3.7.3	Protected areas as defined by the National Environmental Management:	
	Protected Areas Act, 2004 including-	Section 3 (desktop
	a) an opinion on whether the proposed development aligns with the	analvsis)
	objectives or purpose of the protected area and the zoning as per the	J)
2374	Priority areas for protected area expansion including-	
2.0	a) the way in which in which the proposed development will compromise	Section 3 (desktop
	or contribute to the expansion of the protected area network;	analysis)
2.3.7.5	SWSAs including:	
	a) the impact(s) on the terrestrial habitat of a SWSA; and b) the impacts of the proposed development on the SWSA water quality	Section 3 (desktop
	and quantity (e.g., describing notential increased runoff leading to	analysis)
	increased sediment load in water courses);	
2.3.7.6	FEPA sub catchments, including-	
	a) the impacts of the proposed development on habitat condition and	Not Applicable
0077	species in the FEPA sub catchment;	
2.3.1.1	a) impact on the ecological integrity of the forest and	
	b) percentage of natural or near natural indigenous forest area lost and a	Not Applicable
	statement on the implications in relation to the remaining areas.	
2.4	The findings of the assessment must be written up in a Terrestrial Biodiversi	tv Specialist Assessment
		cy opeolanet / loceoonnent
	Report.	
	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers	sity as it relates to vegetation
	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6 .	sity as it relates to vegetation on Terrestrial Biodiversity as it
3	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6 . Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report	sity as it relates to vegetation n Terrestrial Biodiversity as it
3 3.1	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions or relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as	sity as it relates to vegetation on Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following
3 3.1	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the anagislist their SACNASE registration number, their field of the anagislist for the registration number.	sity as it relates to vegetation n Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following
3 3.1 3.1.1	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae:	sity as it relates to vegetation on Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist;	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodiverse communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the	sity as it relates to vegetation n Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Section 1.3
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant:	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D Section 1.3
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site inspection observations;	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D Section 1.3
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site inspection observations; A location of the areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided device exercise and exercise exercise.	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D Section 1.3 Section 5 & 6
3 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site inspection observations; A location of the areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided during construction and operation (where relevant);	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D Section 1.3 Section 5 & 6
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers: communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site inspection observations; A location of the areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided during construction and operation (where relevant); Impact Assessment Requirements 3.1.7	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D Section 1.3 Section 5 & 6
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6	Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers: communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions or relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A location of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site inspection observations; A location of the areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided during construction and operation (where relevant); Impact Assessment Requirements 3.1.7 Additional environmental impacts expected from the proposed development;	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D Section 1.3 Section 5 & 6
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6	 Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site inspection observations; A location of the areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided during construction and operation (where relevant); Impact Assessment Requirements 3.1.7 Additional environmental impacts expected from the proposed development; 3.1.8 Any direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of the proposed 	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D Section 1.3 Section 5 & 6
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6	 Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site inspection observations; A location of the areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided during construction and operation (where relevant); Impact Assessment Requirements 3.1.7 Additional environmental impacts expected from the proposed development; 3.1.8 Any direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of the proposed development; 	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D Section 1.3 Section 5 & 6 Section 5 & 6
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6	 Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site inspection observations; A location of the areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided during construction and operation (where relevant); Impact Assessment Requirements 3.1.7 Additional environmental impacts expected from the proposed development; 3.1.8 Any direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of the proposed development; 3.1.9 The degree to which impacts and risks can be mitigated; 3.1.4 The degree to which the impacts and risks can be mitigated; 	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D Section 1.3 Section 5 & 6 Section 6
3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6	 Report. Results of the Floral Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial Biodivers communities and the results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions of relates to faunal communities are in Sections 4 – 6. Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as information: Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae; A signed statement of independence by the specialist; A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment; A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant; A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site inspection observations; A location of the areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided during construction and operation (where relevant); Impact Assessment Requirements 3.1.7 Additional environmental impacts expected from the proposed development; 3.1.8 Any direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of the proposed development; 3.1.9 The degree to which the impacts and risks can be mitigated; 3.1.10 The degree to which the impacts and risks can be reversed; 3.1.1 The degree to which the impacts and risks can be reversed; 	sity as it relates to vegetation in Terrestrial Biodiversity as it a minimum, the following Appendix I Appendix I Section 1.3 Section 2 Appendices B, C & D Section 1.3 Section 5 & 6 Section 6



r		
	3.1.12 Proposed impact management actions and impact management	
	outcomes proposed by the specialist for inclusion in the Environmental	
	Management Programme (EMPr);	
3.1.13	A motivation must be provided if there were development footprints identified as	Not Applicable to this
	per paragraph 2.3.6 above that were identified as having a "low" terrestrial	Not Applicable to this
	biodiversity sensitivity and that were not considered appropriate;	report
3.1.14	A substantiated statement, based on the findings of the specialist assessment,	Executive summary 9
	regarding the acceptability, or not, of the proposed development, if it should	Executive summary &
	receive approval or not; and	Section 7
3.1.15	Any conditions to which this statement is subjected.	Section 5 & 6
3.2	The findings of the Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment must be	
	incorporated into the Basic Assessment Report or the Environmental Impact	Not Applicable to this
	Assessment Report, including the mitigation and monitoring measures as	report
	identified, which must be incorporated into the EMPr where relevant.	
3.3	A signed copy of the assessment must be appended to the Basic Assessment	Not Applicable to this
	Report or Environmental Impact Assessment Report.	report



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXEC	JTIVE SUMMARY	i
DOCU	MENT GUIDE	ii
TABLE	E OF CONTENTS	v
LIST C	OF FIGURES	. vi
LIST C	OF TABLES	. vi
GLOS	SARY OF TERMS	vii
LIST C	OF ACRONYMS	i
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Project Scope	4
1.3	Assumptions and Limitations	4
1.4	Legislative Requirements	5
2.	ASSESSMENT APPROACH	6
2.1	General Approach	6
2.2		7
3.	RESULTS OF THE DESKTOP ANALYSIS	8
3.1	Conservation Characteristics associated with the Assessment Zone	8
4.		15
4.1	Floral Assessment	18
4.2	Faunal Assessment	21
4.3	Allen and Invasive Plant (AIP) Species	23
4.3.1		23
4.3.Z		24 24
Э. С		24
0.	Impact Assessment considerations and outcome	27
6.2	Floral and Faunal Impact Accessment	21
0.Z 6.2.1	IMPACT: Loss of Floral and Faunal Habitat and Species Diversity in the Seneralia	20
0.2.1	melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Seneralia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba –	
	Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland	29
622	IMPACT: Loss of Floral and Faunal Habitat and Species Diversity in the	23
0.2.2	Transformed Areas	31
623	IMPACT: Loss of Floral and Faunal SCC	32
6.3	Integrated Impact Mitigation	35
7.	CONCLUSION	37
8.	REFERENCES	40
APPEN	NDIX A - Legislative Requirements and Indemnity	42
APPE	NDIX B - Floral Method of Assessment	46
APPEN	NDIX C - Faunal Method of Assessment	49
APPE	NDIX D - Impact Assessment Methodology	51
APPE	NDIX E - Vegetation Type	54
APPE	NDIX F - Species Lists	55
APPE	NDIX G - Floral SCC	58
APPE	NDIX H - Faunal SCC	68
APPE	NDIX I - Specialist information	70



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Satellite image depicting the location of the proposed railway loop and	
	associated assessment zone in relation to surrounding area	2
Figure 2:	The proposed railway loop and associated assessment zone depicted on a	
-	1:50 000 topographical map in relation to the surrounding area.	3
Figure 3:	Remaining extent of the Kathu Bushveld vegetation type associated with the	
	assessment zone (NBA 2018).	11
Figure 4:	Important biodiversity features relating to the assessment zone according to	
	the Northern Cape CBA Map (2016).	12
Figure 5:	Centres of endemism of the Northern Cape Province: the assessment zone	
	indicated by the yellow circle (NPSDF, 2012)	13
Figure 6:	Development corridors of the Northern Cape Province: the assessment zone	
-	is indicated by the yellow circle (NPSDF, 2012).	14
Figure 7:	Road along the current railway line (left) and periphery of the active mining	
-	area (right).	15
Figure 8:	Habitat units associated with the assessment zone.	17
Figure 9:	Combined floral and faunal sensitivity map for the proposed railway loop	26

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Summary of the biodiversity conservation characteristics for the assessment zone [Quarter Degree Squares (QDS) 2722BB]	9
Table 2:	A summary of the floral and faunal sensitivity of each habitat unit and implications for development.	25
Table 3:	Assessment of the impact for the Construction Phase: Loss of habitat and species diversity in the Senegalia melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland.	29
Table 4:	Assessment of the impact for the Operational Phase: Loss of habitat and species diversity in the Senegalia melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland.	31
Table 5:	Assessment of impact for the Construction and Operational Phase: Loss of habitat and species diversity in the Transformed Areas.	32
Table 6:	Assessment of the impact for the Construction Phase: Loss of habitat and species diversity in the Senegalia melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland.	
T - 1 - 1 - 7	According to the largest for the Original Disease have at helitations	33
Table 7:	Assessment of the impact for the Operational Phase: Loss of habitat and species diversity in the Senegalia melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland.	34
Table 8:	A summary of the mitigatory requirements for the biodiversity associated with the proposed railway loop.	36
		-



GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Most definitions are based on terms and concepts elaborated by Richardson *et al.* (2011), Hui and Richardson (2017) and Wilson *et al.* (2017), with consideration to their applicability in the South African context, especially South African legislation [notably the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004), and the associated Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2020].

Alien species (syn. exotic species; non-native species)	A species that is present in a region outside its natural range due to human actions (intentional or accidental) that have enabled it to overcome biogeographic barriers.
Biological diversity or Biodiversity (as per the definition in NEM:BA)	The variability among living organisms from all sources including, terrestrial, marine, and other aquatic ecosystems and the ecological complexes of which they are part and also includes diversity within species, between species, and of ecosystems.
Biome - as per Mucina and Rutherford (2006); after Low and Rebelo (1998).	A broad ecological spatial unit representing major life zones of large natural areas – defined mainly by vegetation structure, climate, and major large-scale disturbance factors (such as fires).
Bioregion (as per the definition in NEM:BA)	A geographic region which has in terms of section 40(1) been determined as a bioregion for the purposes of this Act;
Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA)	A CBA is an area considered important for the survival of threatened species and includes valuable ecosystems such as wetlands, untransformed vegetation, and ridges.
Corridor	A dispersal route or a physical connection of suitable habitats linking previously unconnected regions.
Disturbance	A temporal change, either regular or irregular (uncertain), in the environmental conditions that can trigger population fluctuations and secondary succession. Disturbance is an important driver of biological invasions.
Ecoregion	An ecoregion is a "recurring pattern of ecosystems associated with characteristic combinations of soil and landform that characterise that region".
Endangered	Organisms in danger of extinction if causal factors continue to operate.
Endemic species	Species that are only found within a pre-defined area. There can therefore be sub- continental (e.g., southern Africa), national (South Africa), provincial, regional, or even within a particular mountain range.
Ecological Support Area (ESA)	An ESA provides connectivity and important ecological processes between CBAs and is therefore important in terms of habitat conservation.
Ground-truth	Ground truth is a term used in various fields to refer to information provided by direct observation (i.e., empirical evidence) as opposed to information provided by inference.
Habitat (as per the definition in NEM:BA)	A place where a species or ecological community naturally occurs.
Important Bird and Biodiversity Area (IBA)	The IBA Programme identifies and works to conserve a network of sites critical for the long-term survival of bird species that: are globally threatened, have a restricted range, are restricted to specific biomes/vegetation types or sites that have significant populations.
Indigenous vegetation (as per the definition in NEMA)	Vegetation occurring naturally within a defined area, regardless of the level of alien infestation and where the topsoil has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding ten years.
Integrity (ecological)	The integrity of an ecosystem refers to its functional completeness, including its components (species) its patterns (distribution) and its processes.
Invasive species	Alien species that sustain self-replacing populations over several life cycles, produce reproductive offspring, often in very large numbers at considerable distances from the parent and/or site of introduction, and have the potential to spread over long distances.
Listed alien species	All alien species that are regulated in South Africa under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004), Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2020.
Least Threatened	Least threatened ecosystems are still largely intact.
Native species	Species that are found within their natural range where they have evolved without
(syn. indigenous species)	human intervention (intentional or accidental). Also includes species that have



	expanded their range as a result of human modification of the environment that does not directly impact dispersal (e.g., species are still native if they increase their range as a result of watered gardens but are alien if they increase their range as a result of spread along human-created corridors linking previously separate biogeographic regions).
Red Data listed (RDL) species	According to the Red List of South African plants (<u>http://redlist.sanbi.org/</u>) and the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), organisms that fall into the Extinct in the Wild (EW), Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) categories of ecological status.
Species of Conservation Concern (SCC)	The term SCC in the context of this report refers to all RDL and IUCN listed threatened species as well as protected species of relevance to the project.



LIST OF ACRONYMS

AIP	Alien Invasive Plant
BA	Basic Assessment
BGIS	Biodiversity Geographic Information Systems
CARA	Conservation of Agricultural Resource Act
CBA	Critical Biodiversity Area
CR	Critically Endangered
DFFE	Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment
EA	Environmental Authorisation
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
E-GIS	Environmental Geographical Information Systems
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EIS	Ecological Importance and Sensitivity
EMPr	Environmental Management Programme
EN	Endangered
ESA	Ecological Support Area
EW	Extinct in the Wild
FEPA	Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas
GIS	Geographic Information System
GN	Government Notice
GPS	Global Positioning System
GWC	Griqualand West Centre
Ha	Hectares
IBA	Important Bird Area
IEM	Integrated Environmental Management
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature
MAMSL	Meter Above Mean Sea Level
MAP	Mean Annual Precipitation
MAPE	Mean Annual Potential for Evaporation
MASMS	Mean Annual Soil Moisture Stress
MAT	Mean Annual Temperature
MFD	Mean Frost Days
MRA	Mining Right Area
NBA	National Biodiversity Assessment
NCDENC	Northern Cape Department of Environment and Nature Conservation
NCNCA	Northern Cape Nature Conservation Act,
NCPSDF	Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework
NEMA	National Environmental Management, 1998 Act (Act No. 107 of 1998)
NEM:BA	National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 1998 (Act No. 10 of 2004)
NFA	National Forest Act, 1998 [Act No. 84 of 1998]
NPAES	National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy
NT	Near Threatened
NTBA	Not Yet Been Assessed
ONA	Other Natural Areas
PES	Present Ecological State
POC	Probability of Occurrence
QDS	Quarter Degree Square (1:50,000 topographical mapping references)
RDL	Red Data List
SABAP 2	Southern African Bird Atlas 2



SACAD	South Africa Conservation Areas Database
SACNASP	Professional member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute
SanParks	South African National Parks
SAPAD	South Africa Protected Area Database
SCC	Species of Conservation Concern
SLR	SLR Consulting (Africa) (Pty) Ltd
STS	Scientific Terrestrial Services CC
SWSA	Strategic Water Source Area
TOPS	Threatened or Protected Species
TSP	Threatened Species Programme
VEGMAP	National Vegetation Map Project
VU	Vulnerable
WAS	Water Source Area



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Scientific Terrestrial Services (STS) was appointed by SLR Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd to conduct a biodiversity assessment as part of the Basic Assessment process for the proposed extension of the railway infrastructure at the Wessels Mine, which is operated by South32 Limited (South32), within the Northern Cape. The proposed extension of the railway infrastructure comprises of a single proposed railway loop, hereafter collectively referred to as the "proposed railway loop" (Figures 1 and 2). For the purpose of this assessment a 20 m assessment corridor on either side of the proposed railway loop was investigated during the field assessment and will hereafter be referred to as the "assessment zone".

The proposed railway loop is located within the John Toalo Gaetsewe District Municipality and magisterial District Municipality within the Joe Morolong Local Municipality in the Northern Cape Province. The Wessels Mine is located approximately 1.5 km north-east of Blackrock Mine and is an operational underground manganese mine operating at a depth of approximately 350 m below surface. The Wessels Mine is located approximately 18 km north east of the town of Hotazel, with the R380 roadway situated directly west of the proposed railway loop. The Ga-Mogara River is located approximately 6,4 km east of the proposed railway loop. The majority of the proposed railway loop is situated within the mining area with limited native vegetation remaining.

This report, after consideration of the description of the ecological integrity of the proposed railway loop, must guide the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP), the regulatory authorities and the developing proponent, by means of the presentation of results and recommendations as to the viability of the proposed development activities from a biodiversity resource management perspective.





Figure 1: Satellite image depicting the location of the proposed railway loop and associated assessment zone in relation to surrounding area.





Figure 2: The proposed railway loop and associated assessment zone depicted on a 1:50 000 topographical map in relation to the surrounding area.



1.2 Project Scope

Specific outcomes in terms of this report are outlined below:

- To outline the legislative requirements that were considered for the assessment (Appendix A of this report);
- To define the Present Ecological State (PES) of the biodiversity of the assessment zone;
- To determine and describe habitats, communities and the ecological state of the assessment zone;
- To conduct a faunal and floral Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) assessment, including the potential of suitable habitat to occur within the assessment zone for SCC;
- To identify and consider all sensitive landscapes, including rocky ridges, wetlands and any other ecologically important features, if present;
- Verify the outcomes of the screening tool for the proposed railway loop;
- To determine the environmental impacts that the construction of the proposed development might have on the biodiversity of the surrounding area; and
- To develop mitigation and management measures for all phases of the proposed railway loop.

1.3 Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions and limitations apply to this report:

- The biodiversity assessment was confined to the assessment zone and did not include the neighbouring and adjacent properties. These were considered as part of the desktop assessment (Section 3);
- With ecology being dynamic and complex, some aspects (some of which may be important) may have been overlooked. It is, however, expected that most floral and faunal communities have been accurately assessed and considered. Relevant online sources and background information were further accessed to improve on the overall understanding of the assessment zone's ecology;
- Due to most faunal taxa's nature and habits, it is unlikely that all species would have been observed during a field assessment of limited duration. Due to the locality of the proposed railway loop (adjacent current mining activities), the cyclical nature of many species' life stages, as well as the season of the assessment, few faunal species were observed during the site visit. As such, background data (desktop) and literature studies (previous studies undertaken in the immediate area) were used to further infer faunal species composition and sensitivities in relation to the available habitat;



- Due to the season of assessment (winter), many of the geophytes had died back and were not observable or identifiable. Similarly, many of the smaller herbaceous species, without the distinctive flowers, inflorescences or seeds made identification difficult. As such some species were only identifiable to species levels whilst other species that only show in summer were likely missed during this assessment. However, the data presented within this report is deemed suitable and accurate in order to make the necessary decisions pertaining to the project;
- Sampling, by its nature, means that not all individuals are assessed and identified. Some species and taxa associated with the assessment zone may therefore have been missed during the assessment; and
- The data presented in this report are based on one site visit, undertaken on the 10th of June 2020 (winter). A more comprehensive assessment would require that assessments take place in all seasons of the year. However, on-site data were augmented with all available desktop data. Together with project experience in the area, the findings of this assessment are considered an accurate reflection of the ecological characteristics of the assessment zone.

1.4 Legislative Requirements

The following legislative requirements were considered during the assessment:

- > The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996¹;
- > The Conservation of Agricultural Resource Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983) (CARA);
- > The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA);
- The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEM:BA);
- Government Notice (GN) number R.1020: Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2020, in Government Gazette 43735 dated 25 September 2020 as it relates to the NEM:BA;
- > The National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998, amended 2001) (NFA);
- GN 536 List of Protected Tree Species as published in the Government Gazette 41887 dated 7 September 2018 as it relates to the NFA;

¹ Since 1996, the Constitution has been amended by seventeen amendments acts. The Constitution is formally entitled the 'Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996". It was previously also numbered as if it were an Act of Parliament – Act No. 108 of 1996 – but since the passage of the Citation of Constitutional Laws Act, neither it nor the acts amending it are allocated act numbers



- GN No. 320 Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on Terrestrial Biodiversity as published in Government Gazette 43110 dated 20 March 2020;
- GN No. 1150 Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on Terrestrial Plant and Terrestrial Animal Species as published in Government Gazette 43855 dated 30 October 2020; and
- > The Northern Cape Nature Conservation Act, 2009 (Act No. 9 of 2009) (NCNCA); and
- The Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework (NCPSDF) as developed 2011 to meet the requirements of the Northern Cape Planning and Development Act, 1998 (Act 7 of 1998) and the Municipal Systems Act, 2000 (Act 32 of 2000).

The details of each of the above, as they pertain to this study, are provided in Appendix A of this report.

2. ASSESSMENT APPROACH

2.1 General Approach

Maps and digital satellite images were generated prior to the field assessment to determine broad habitats, vegetation types and potentially sensitive sites. The biodiversity desktop assessment is confined to the and assessment zone and does not include the neighbouring and adjacent properties, although the sensitivity of surrounding areas is included on the respective maps. Relevant databases and documentation that were considered during the assessment of the proposed railway loop include²:

- 2010 National Protected Area Expansion Strategy (NPAES) (Government of South Africa. 2010; DEA & SANBI, 2009), including the below-listed vector datasets:
 - <u>NPAES Focus Areas 2010</u>: National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy: Focus areas for protected area expansion (South African National Parks (SanParks), 2010);
 - <u>NPAES Formal</u>: Polygons of formal protected national parks areas in South Africa (SANParks/SANBI, 2013); and

⁻ Environmental Geographical Information Systems (E-GIS) website. URL: <u>https://egis.environment.gov.za/</u>



² Datasets obtained from:

⁻ SANBI BGIS (2020). The South African National Biodiversity Institute - Biodiversity GIS (BGIS) [online]. URL: http://bgis.sanbi.org; and

- <u>NPAES Protected Areas Informal</u>: Informal conservation areas in South Africa (SANParks/SANBI, 2012).
- > The South African Conservation Areas Database, Quarter 1 (SACAD, 2021);
- > The South African Protected Areas Database, Quarter 1 (SAPAD, 2021);
- The National Vegetation Map Project (VEGMAP), with the below vector dataset used for information on Biomes, Bioregions and Vegetation Type(s):
 - 2018 Final Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (SANBI, 2018a)
- > The National List of Threatened Ecosystems 2011 (SANBI 2011; South Africa, 2011);
- From the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA, 2018) Terrestrial Assessment project (Skowno et al., 2019):
 - 2018 Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level remaining extent (SANBI, 2018b); and
 - 2018 Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level layer (SANBI, 2018c).
- The Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBA) Programme and vector dataset (BirdLife South Africa, 2015; Marnewick et al., 2015a and 2015b), in conjunction with the South African Bird Atlas Project 2 (SABAP 2);
- Northern Cape Critical Biodiversity Areas Database (2016);
- > The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN);
- The National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool (accessed 2021) hereafter referred to as the "screening tool"; and
- > From the 2017 Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSA) project:
 - o 2017 SWSA Surface water (Water Research Commission, 2017).

The field assessment took place during the winter season (10th of June 2021) to determine the ecological status of the assessment zone and to "ground-truth" the results of the desktop assessment. Results of the field assessment are presented in Section 4.

2.2 Sensitivity Mapping

All the ecological features associated with the assessment zone were considered, and sensitive areas were delineated using a Global Positioning System (GPS). A Geographic Information System (GIS) was used to project these features onto satellite imagery.



3. RESULTS OF THE DESKTOP ANALYSIS

3.1 Conservation Characteristics associated with the Assessment Zone

The following table contains data accessed as part of the desktop assessment. It is important to note that although all data sources used provide useful and often verifiable, high-quality data, the various databases do not always provide an entirely accurate indication of the assessment zone's actual biodiversity characteristics.



Table 1: Summary of the biodiversity conservation characteristics for the	assessment zone [Quarter Degree Squares (QDS) 2722BB].

DETAILS OF THE ASSESSMENT ZONE IN TERMS OF MUCINA & RUTHERFORD (SANBI, 2018c) DESCRIPTION OF THE KATHU BUSHVELD VEGETATION TYPE RELEVANT ASSESSMENT ZONE (MUCINA & RUTHERFORD 2006)			TO THE				
Biome	The assessment zone is situated within the Savanna Biome.	Distribution	North-West and Northern Cape Provinces.				
Bioregion	The assessment zone is situated within the Eastern Kalahari Bushveld		Summer and autumn rainfall with very dry winters.				
Diorogion	Bioregion.	Climate	MAP	MAT	MFD	MAPE	MASMS
Vegetation	The assessment zone falls within the Kathu Bushveld (SVk 12) vegetation		(mm)	(°C)	(days)	(mm)	(%)
Туре	type.		300	18.5	27	2883	85
CONSERVATION DATABASES)	DETAILS PERTAINING TO THE ASSESSMENT ZONE (VARIOUS	Altitude (m)	1300 – 1500)			
	According to the National Threatened Ecosystem Dataset, the entire assessment zone is located within an ecosystem that is considered Least	Conservation	Least threatened. Target 16%. None conserved in statutory conservation areas. Erosion is very low.				
National Threatened Ecosystems (2011)	For Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs), the 2011 National list of Threatened Ecosystems remains the trigger for a Basic Assessment in terms of Listing Notice 3 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended, published under	Geology & Soils	Carbonates and chert of the Vaalian Griqualand West Supergroup and Kalahari sediments form flat, rocky, sandy plains with shallow (0.1–0.6 m) red aeolian sands, stony and underlain by rock. Dominant land types Ae and Fc, with Hutton, Clovelly and Mispah soil forms common.				
	assessment of threat status for terrestrial ecosystems, but the National List of Threatened Terrestrial Ecosystems has not yet been revised.	Vegetation & landscape Vegetation & landscape				V. karroo, ver poorly nphoratus	
	The assessment zone is located within the remaining extent of the Kathu Bushveld (Least Concern), which is currently poorly protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as "not protected", "poorly protected",	features (Dominant Floral Taxa in Appendix E)	and grass layer open, with much bare soil in places. Biogeographically Important Taxa: <u>Graminoid</u> : Anthephora argentea.				
	"moderately protected" and "well protected" based on the proportion of each	NATIONAL WEB BASED ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING TOOL (accessed 2021)					
National Biodiversity Assessment (2018) (Figure 3)	 ecosystem type that occurs within a protected area recognised in the Protected Areas Act, 2003 (Act No. 57 of 2003), and compared with the biodiversity target for that ecosystem type. The ecosystem protection level status is assigned using the following criteria: If an ecosystem type has more than 100% of its biodiversity target protected in a formal protected area either A or B, it is classified as Well Protected; When less than 100% of the biodiversity target is met in formal A or B protected areas it is classified it as Moderately Protected; If less than 50% of the biodiversity target is met, it is classified it as 	The Screening Tool is intended to allow for pre-screening of sensitivities in the landscape to be assessed within the Environmental Authorisation (EA) process. This assists with implementing the mitigation hierarchy by allowing developers to adjust their proposed development footprint to avoid sensitive areas.				scape to sts with roposed	
		Terrestrial Sensitivity	The Terrestrial Sensitivity for the assessment zone has a very high sensitivity , due to the area being classified as a Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (FEPA) Catchment.				
		Plant Species	For the Plant Species theme, the assessment zone scored a low sensitivity .			scored a	
	iv. If less than 5% it is Hardly Protected.	Animal Species	For the Animal Species theme, the assessment zone scored a low sensitivity .				
		STRATEGIC WATER SOURCE AREAS FOR SURFACE WATER (2017)					



SAPAD (2021, Q1); SACAD (2021, Q1); NPAES (2010)	According to the NPAES, ³ database, the SAPAD ⁴ and the SACAD ⁵ the assessment zone is not located within a protected or conservation area or nature reserve, nor is it situated within 10 km of such areas. The NPAES database, however, indicate that the assessment zone is located approximately 4 km south east of the Eastern Kalahari Bushveld Focus Area.	Surface water SWSAs are defined as areas of land that supply a disproportionate (i.e., relatively large) quantity of mean annual surface water runoff in relation to their size. They include transboundary areas that extend into Lesotho and Swaziland. The sub-national Water Source Areas (WSAs) are not nationally strategic as defined in the report but were included to provide a complete coverage.		
		Name and Criteria	The assessment zone is not within 10 km of a Strategic Water Source Area.	
	The assessment zone is not located within an IBA, nor is it located within 10 km of an IBA.	NORTHERN CAPE CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREAS (2016) (Figure 4)		
IBA (2015)		According to the Northern Cape CBA (2016) database, the assessment zone is located within an area classified as Other Natural Areas (ONA). ONAs consist of all those areas in good or fair ecological condition that fall outside the protected area network and have not been identified as CBAs or ESAs (SANBI, 2017).		
NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCIAL SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (NCPSDE, 2019) (FIGURE 5 & 6)				

The NCPSDF is to function as an innovate strategy that will apply sustainability principles to all forms of land use management throughout the Northern Cape as well as to facilitate practical results, as it relates to the eradication of poverty and inequality and the protection of the integrity of the environment.

The assessment zone is located within the **Griqualand West Centre** (GWC) of plant endemism (Figure 5). This semi-arid region is broadly described as Savanna, forming part of the Eastern Kalahari Bushveld Bioregion. Studies investigating the endemism of the centre report at least 23 plant species that have restricted distributions (Frisby *et al.* 2015).

The assessment zone also fall within the **Gamagara corridor** (Figure 6). The Gamagara Corridor comprises the mining belt of the John Taolo Gaetsewe and Siyanda districts and runs from Lime Acres and Danielskuil to Hotazel in the north. The corridor focuses on the mining of iron and manganese.

CBA = Critical Biodiversity Area, ESA = Ecological Support Area, IBA = Important Bird and Biodiversity Area, MAP = Mean Annual Precipitation, MAT = Mean Annual Temperature, MFD = Mean Frost Days, MAPE = Mean Annual Potential for Evaporation, MASMS = Mean Annual Soil Moisture Stress, NBA = National Biodiversity Assessment, NPAES = National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy, SACAD = South African Conservation Areas Database, SAPAD = South African Protected Areas Database.

⁵ SACAD (2021): The types of conservation areas that are currently included in the database are the following: 1. Biosphere reserves, 2. Ramsar sites, 3. Stewardship agreements (other than nature reserves and protected environments), 4. Botanical gardens, 5. Transfrontier conservation areas, 6. Transfrontier parks, 7. Military conservation areas and 8. Conservancies.



³ Protected areas are areas of land or sea that are **formally** protected by law and managed mainly for biodiversity conservation. Protected areas recognized in the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003 (Act No. 57 of 2003) are considered **formal protected areas** in the NPAES. It is important to differentiate protected areas from conservation areas. Conservation areas are areas of land not formally protected by law but informally protected by the current owners and users and managed at least partly for biodiversity conservation. Because there is no long-term security associated with conservation areas, they are not considered a strong form of protection. Conservation areas are not a major focus of the NPAES.

⁴ SAPAD (2021): The definition of protected areas follows the definition as defined in the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003 (Act No. 57 of 2003). Chapter 2 of the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003 sets out the "System of Protected Areas", which consists of the following kinds of protected areas - 1. Special nature reserves; 2. National parks; 3. Nature reserves; 4. Protected areas declared in terms of the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003); 5. World heritage sites declared in terms of the World Heritage Convention Act; 6. Marine protected areas declared in terms of the Marine Living Resources Act; 7. Specially protected forest areas, forest nature reserves, and forest wilderness areas declared in terms of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998); and 8. Mountain catchment areas declared in terms of the Mountain Catchment Areas Act, 1970 (Act No. 63 of 1970).

STS 210054



Figure 3: Remaining extent of the Kathu Bushveld vegetation type associated with the assessment zone (NBA 2018).





Figure 4: Important biodiversity features relating to the assessment zone according to the Northern Cape CBA Map (2016).





Figure 5: Centres of endemism of the Northern Cape Province: the assessment zone indicated by the yellow circle (NPSDF, 2012).





Figure 6: Development corridors of the Northern Cape Province: the assessment zone is indicated by the yellow circle (NPSDF, 2012).



4. ASSESSMENT RESULTS

The assessment zone (the 40m buffer around the proposed railway loop) is located within the existing and approved Mining Right Area (MRA), traversing through the active mining area as well as into the adjacent natural habitat to the west of the current Wessels Mine. The assessment zone is located within the Kathu Bushveld vegetation type, which, according to Mucina & Rutherford (2006) comprises of an open tree layer characterised by *Vachellia erioloba*, *V. karroo*, *Searsia lancea* and *Ziziphus mucronata*. The shrub layer is generally poorly developed, with *Grewia flava* and *Tarchonanthus camphoratus* and an open grass layer, with much bare soil in places.

During the field assessment three broad habitat units namely the Senegalia melifera Thicket, the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland and the Transformed habitat were identified. The majority of the assessment zone is associated with the Transformed habitat, which is characterised by the transformation of the indigenous vegetation to that of the current mining area as well as the associated roads.

The Senegalia melifera Thicket and Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland habitat units are further expanded upon in the dashboard in Section 4.1 below. Due to the level of transformation, the Transformed habitat is briefly described below only, and will not be further discussed in detail as it does not provide suitable floral or faunal habitat.

Transformed Areas

The Transformed areas (Figure 7) include existing gravel roads and the active mining area and comprise of little to no remaining vegetation. This habitat unit is no longer representative of the associated vegetation type and comprises of little to no native vegetation, as such, the habitat is of **low sensitivity**, which aligns with the screening tool's low sensitivity output for animals and plants sensitivity theme.



Figure 7: Road along the current railway line (left) and periphery of the active mining area (right).



The existing impacts on the biodiversity associated with the assessment zone include the below:

- Historic transformation of mining areas, including the road network;
- Edge effects from the mining activities including cutting of shrubs and trees along the permitter fence line, altering the vegetation structure;
- Growth of alien plant species in the disturbed areas, though this does not seem to be proliferate yet; and
- Active mining leading to dust and noise pollution, impacting on the biodiversity in the adjacent areas.





Figure 8: Habitat units associated with the assessment zone.



4.1 Floral Assessment

	Senegalia melifera Thicket	Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland
Reference photos		
Habitat Overview	Due to the good rains received during the summer months the herbaceous layer has recovered from extended dry periods and grazing, providing suitable ground cover. This habitat unit, although encroached, is still considered representative of the reference vegetation type as described by Mucina and Rutherford (2006).	This habitat unit comprises of a well-established and dense herbaceous layer. The woody component is more open and not encroached. This habitat unit is considered representative of the reference vegetation type as described by Mucina and Rutherford (2006).
	<u>Vegetation structure</u> Encroached stands of Senegalia melifera with relatively homogenous grass swards scattered throughout.	Vegetation structure Open woodland structure with well-established yet relatively homogenous herbaceous layer.
Species Overview	 Dominant Indigenous Vegetation: <u>Trees and Shrubs</u>: Vachellia erioloba, Senegalia mellifera, Grewia flava and Melolobium candicans; <u>Herbs and Forbs</u>: Aptosimum elongatum, Crotalaria orientalis, Cucumis africanus, and Dimorphotheca sp.; and <u>Graminoids</u>: Schmidtia kalahariensis and Eragrostis lehmanniana. 	 Dominant Indigenous Vegetation: <u>Trees and Shrubs</u>: Vachellia erioloba, Vachellia haematoxylon, Senegalia mellifera, Melolobium candicans and Grewia flava; <u>Herbs and Forbs</u>: Crotalaria orientalis and Cucumis africanus; and <u>Graminoids</u>: Schmidtia kalahariensis and Eragrostis lehmanniana.
	Refer to Appendix F for a complete list of species recorded on site.	Refer to Appendix F for a complete list of species recorded on site.
	Dominant Alien Vegetation: None observed during the site assessment. Refer to Section 4.3 for further information pertaining to Alien Invasive Plant (AIP) species.	Dominant Alien Vegetation: None observed during the site assessment. Refer to Section 4.3 for further information pertaining to AIPs.







Concluding Remarks

The habitat units within the assessment zone are not considered to be unique within the local nor regional setting, however they are considered important from an ecological perspective as they are known to support several floral SCC. Overall, the habitats are still considered to be largely intact, and although encroachment in areas has occurred, this has not yet impacted significantly on the overall floral diversity.

Important considerations:

- The habitat units are considered to be representative of the reference vegetation type, i.e., the Kathu Bushveld;
- The habitat units are associated with four known floral SCC, and may provide habitat to several more;
- No AIPs were observed at the time of the assessment, however, AIPs are known to occur in the region and flourish in disturbed areas. As such, the areas must be monitored for AIPs and when such are found, they are to be removed / controlled as per an AIP control plan;
- According to the Northern Cape CBA (2016) database, the assessment zone is located within an area classified as ONA and is not associated with any CBAs or ESAs;
- The Screening Tool output for the area indicated a low sensitivity for the assessment zone, however, given the above data, the site more closely aligns with that of a higher sensitivity; and

- From a floral ecological perspective, it is recommended that Alternative 2 of the railway loop alternatives be selected. Alternative 2 will result in the least vegetation clearance and is located closest to the current active mining site.



4.2 Faunal Assessment





Concluding Remarks

Overall, the assessment zone and the habitat therein will support a moderate diversity of species, dominated by species that are common to the region. The current state of the habitats associated with the assessment zone are unlikely to support key populations of endemic or protected faunal species, and whilst SCC likely occur in the region, they are unlikely to be wholly reliant on the affected habitats. As a result of increased noise, dust and the presence of people, it is likely that many animals will instinctively avoid the areas through which the proposed railway loop are located. The exception to this are those species which have shown a degree of adaptability and are still found in areas of increased activity. Generally, these are smaller nocturnal species, however this is not always the case and larger, albeit secretive and low density species may also be found in these areas.

Important considerations:

- The proposed railway loop will lead to further habitat loss and fragmentation in the areas adjacent the mine, however, these impacts are restricted to a relatively small area to the east of the mine. Given the already existing degree of habitat fragmentation in an east-west format, the railway is unlikely to add to this significantly;
- It is important that disturbed areas are rehabilitated and natural vegetation reinstated where possible to limit additional habitat loss through erosive actions and AIP proliferation;
- The Screening Tool indicated the site sensitivity as low for animals, however, following the site assessment of the habitat and faunal assemblages, the natural (non-transformed) habitats are considered to be of intermediate / medium sensitivity (albeit not in isolation from the remaining open space habitat to the east of the assessment zone); and
- From a faunal ecological perspective, it is recommended that Alternative 2 of the railway loop alternatives be selected. Alternative 2 will result in the least vegetation clearance and is located closest to the current active mining site, thus has more likely be subjected to edge effects that would have already resulted in the displacement of faunal species.



4.3 Alien and Invasive Plant (AIP) Species

South Africa is home to an estimated 759 naturalised or invasive terrestrial plant species (Richardson et al., 2020), with 327 plant species, most of which are invasive, listed in national legislation⁶. Many introduced species are beneficial, e.g., almost all agriculture and forestry production are based on alien species, with alien species also widely used in industries such as horticulture. However, some of these species manage to "escape" from their original locations, spread and become invasive. Although only a small proportion of introduced species become invasive (\sim 0.1–10%), those that do proceed to impact negatively on biodiversity and the services that South Africa's diverse natural ecosystems provide (from ecotourism to harvesting food, cut flowers, and medicinal products) (van Wilgen and Wilson, 2018).

4.3.1 Legal Context

South Africa has released several Acts legislating the control of alien species. Currently, invasive species are controlled by the NEM:BANEM:BA – Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2020, in Government Gazette 43735 dated 25 September 2020. AIPs defined in terms of NEM:BA are assigned a category and listed within the NEM:BA List of Alien and Invasive Species (2020) in accordance with Section 70(1)(a) of the NEM:BA:

- > Category 1a species are those targeted for urgent national eradication;
- Category 1b species must be controlled as part of a national management programme, and cannot be traded or otherwise allowed to spread;
- Category 2 species are the same as category 1b species, except that permits can be issued for their usage (e.g., invasive tree species can still be used in commercial forestry, providing a permit is issued that specifies where they may be grown and that permit holders "Unless otherwise specified in the Notice, any species listed as a Category 2 Listed Invasive Species that occurs outside the specified area contemplated in sub-regulation (1), must, for purposes of these regulations, be considered to be a Category 1b Listed Invasive Species and must be managed according to Regulation 3"); and
- Category 3 are listed invasive species that can be kept without permits, although they may not be traded or further propagated, and must be considered a Category 1b species if they occur in riparian zones.

⁶ GN number 1003: Alien and Invasive Species Lists, 2020, in Government Gazette 43726 dated 18 September 2020, as it relates to the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No 10 of 2004).



Duty of care related to listed invasive species are referred to in NEM:BA Section 73⁷. The motivation for this duty of care is both environmentally and economically driven. Management of alien species in South Africa is estimated to cost at least ZAR 2 billion (US\$142 million) each year - this being the amount currently spent by the national government's DFFE - i.e. the Working for Water programme (van Wilgen, 2020). Managing AIPs early on will reduce clearing costs in the long run.

4.3.2 Site Results

No AIPs were recorded within the railway loop alternatives during the site assessment mainly due to the largely natural habitat associated with the *Senegalia melifera* Thicket and the Open Mixed *Senegalia melifera* – *Vachellia erioloba* – *Vachellia haematoxylon* Woodland and the transformed habitat being devoid of vegetation. Although none were recorded, there still remains the possibility that AIPs could establish in the area in future, notably in any areas that may be disturbed either as part of the construction and operation of the proposed railway loop or as a result of edge effects from the mine. It is important that all AIPs are suitably controlled as per the mines existing AIP Control Plan, and that the railway loop is included into this plan.

5. SENSITIVITY MAPPING

Figure 9 conceptually illustrates areas of ecological sensitivity – depicting the sensitivity for flora and fauna, respectively. The proposed railway loop are depicted according to their sensitivity in terms of the presence or potential for SCC, habitat integrity and levels of disturbance, threat status of the habitat type, the presence of unique landscapes and overall levels of diversity. Table 2 (below) presents the sensitivity of each identified habitat unit for i) flora and ii) fauna, along with an associated conservation objective and implications for development.



⁷ Section 73(2): A person who is the owner of land on which a listed invasive species occurs must-

a) notify any relevant competent authority, in writing, of the listed invasive species occurring on that land;

b) take steps to control and eradicate the listed invasive species and to prevent it from spreading; and

c) take all the required steps to prevent or minimise harm to biodiversity.
Labitat Constituity	Conconvotion objective		Kay babitat obaractoristics
nabitat Sensitivity	Conservation objective	Habitat Offic	Rey habitat characteristics
Intermediate	Preserve and enhance biodiversity of the habitat unit and surrounds while optimising development potential.	Senegalia melifera Thicket Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland	 Past grazing activities and more recently mining activities have led to a degree of habitat degradation, though the vegetation is overall still considered to be representative of the vegetation type, Kathu Thornveld; Bush encroachment in some areas has impacted floral and faunal diversity; Several Floral SCC were noted and expected to occur; No faunal SCC were observed yet several are likely to forage in these habitats, albeit unlikely in isolation from the adjacent eastern habitat, outside of the assessment zone; and The habitat unit does not align with the Low Sensitivity for plants and animals as listed in the screening tool.
Low Terrestrial Sensitivity Terrestrial SCC Presence of Unique Landscape Habitat Integrity Habitat Integrity	Optimise development potential.	Transformed Areas	 This habitat has been largely transformed from the reference vegetation type due to the development of the mine and roads; Little to no native vegetation remains; No floral or faunal SCC were observed or expected to occur; and The habitat unit aligns with the Low Sensitivity for plants and animals as listed in the screening tool.

Table 2: A summary of the floral and faunal consitivity of each babitat unit and implications for development



STS 210054



Figure 9: Combined floral and faunal sensitivity map for the proposed railway loop.



6. IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The sections below provide the significance of perceived impacts arising from the proposed development of the railway loop alternatives at the South 32 Wessels Mine. The impact assessment below focusses on the two proposed alternative railway loops and their associated 20 m buffer (the assessment zone).

An impact discussion relating to the i) construction, and ii) operational and maintenance phase impacts on fauna and flora is provided in Section 6.2. All mitigatory measures required to minimise the perceived impacts are presented in Section 6.3.

6.1 Impact Assessment considerations and outcome

Following the assessment of the ecological state and characteristics of the habitats associated with the proposed railway loop, SLR's impact assessment methodology was applied to ascertain the significance of perceived impacts to the faunal and floral ecology associated with each of the 2 alternatives. Details of the method of assessment are presented in Appendix D. The impact assessment was applied under two different scenarios: the first scenario assuming that no mitigation is applied, and the second scenario to ascertain the significance of impacts assuming that a high level of mitigation takes place (for each of the 2 alternatives).

The construction of the railway loop, regardless of which alternative is preferred, will inevitably impact upon the terrestrial ecology within the footprint area as a result of vegetation clearance and earth works. Both of the proposed railway loop are primarily located within the transformed areas associated with the mine. Within these areas, the development is expected to have minimal impacts to the receiving environment and the species therein. Where the proposed railway loop are located within the Senegalia melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera - Vachellia erioloba - Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland habitats, impacts to the receiving environment are likely, though, are not expected to be significantly high as these areas are not considered unique or of increased sensitivity. These habitats are well represented within the region, with several floral SCC being observed along each proposed railway alternative. In addition to the floral SCC observed, there is further potential that several more floral as well as faunal SCC may be present within the alternatives than what was recorded during this assessment of limited duration. As the proposed railway alternatives are linear in nature with a limited width, they are likely to result in a smaller impact footprint and not entail extensive ground clearing in a single location. Focus on footprint minimisation and edge effect control will however be key in decreasing the extent and significance of impacts.



Activities and impacts arising from the construction and operation of the proposed railway (for both alternatives) are likely to impact on floral and faunal species within the final railway footprint as follows:

- Loss of faunal and floral habitat;
- > Loss of faunal and floral species diversity; and
- ➢ Loss of faunal and floral SCC.

The points listed below summarise the considerations made when applying the impact assessment:

- The impact assessment was applied considering the risk significance to the various habitats and the associated sensitivities relating to each of the proposed railway loop;
- The impact assessment was applied to the various habitat units, including species diversity. This was done as faunal and floral species diversity are intrinsically linked to habitat condition;
- The impact on floral and faunal SCC was assessed separately so as to gauge impacts on these species as SCC are of increased importance, with impacts to these species often used as a determinant to the acceptability of a project;
- The activities relating to the construction and operation of the railway is considered to be highly site specific, and provided all mitigation measures are implemented, are likely to have a limited impact in terms of the overall extent, notably as a significant portion of the railways is located within an area of low sensitivity; and
- Most impacts are considered to be easily detectable with the considered mitigation measures being easily implementable.

6.2 Floral and Faunal Impact Assessment

The tables below present the perceived impact on each of the Habitat Units for the i) Construction and ii) Operational Phase associated with the proposed railway loop in terms of floral species and habitat loss, both prior to and post mitigation measures. For the purpose of this impact assessment the *Senegalia melifera* Thicket and the Open Mixed *Senegalia melifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon* Woodland have been assessed together, as they are of similar sensitivity, with general species composition and SCC also similar. Although their vegetation structure varies, the impacts to both these habitats will be similar. The transformed areas have however been assessed separately.



For the purpose of the impact assessment, the impact assessment was applied to the assessment zone as a whole and not each separate railway alternative. Both Alternatives will result in the same impact scores, with any minor differentiations not being evident in the scoring attributes of the impact methodology. Where minor differences are applicable, they have been discussed in the text accordingly.

6.2.1 IMPACT: Loss of Floral and Faunal Habitat and Species Diversity in the Senegalia melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland.

Both of the habitat units are considered to be of intermediate sensitivity. Although these habitat units have been subjected to anthropogenic activities and edge effects, they still share an affinity (in terms of structure and function) with the reference vegetation.

Impacts Associated with the Construction Phase:

The construction phase will result in the clearing of vegetation for the railway line (regardless of which alternative) within the footprint area and thus only a localised loss of floral and faunal species is anticipated. Despite the localised clearing of vegetation, the loss of habitat and species diversity outside of the direct development footprint may result during the construction phase if:

- i. Vegetation clearance goes ahead unmanaged and unsupervised which may result in a larger than necessary area being cleared;
- ii. AIPs are allowed to proliferate as a result of poorly managed disturbances and edge effects associated with the construction activities;
- iii. Fire frequency increases as a result of construction activities;
- iv. Snaring / hunting of faunal SCC by construction personnel in the adjacent areas; and
- v. Indiscriminate driving of construction vehicles through natural vegetation is not managed. Vehicles must remain within designated roads only.

If mitigation measures as presented in section 6.3 are implemented, then the significance ratings of the impacts can be reduced. The impact significance prior to mitigation is expected to be medium. Post mitigation measures are expected to be low (Table 3).

Table 3: Assessment of the impact for the Construction Phase: Loss of habitat and species diversity in the Senegalia melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland.

Issue: loss of floral and faunal habitat and diversity		
Phases: Construction Phase		
Criteria	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Intensity	Moderate (M)	Low (L)



Issue: loss of floral and faunal habitat and diversity		
Duration	Short-term (L)	Short-term (L)
Extent	Beyond site boundary (M)	Whole site (L)
Consequence	Medium	Low
Probability	Definite	Definite
Significance	Medium	Low (L)
Nature of cumulative impacts	Vegetation clearing activities will further contribute to habitat and species loss that is currently occurring in the region as a result of mining activities and the expansion of mines. The railway will further add to the overall loss of habitat in the local area as well as contribute to habitat fragmentation. Further habitat fragmentation will limit habitat connectivity and faunal species movement, with Alternative 1 likely to have result in greater habitat fragmentation than that of Alternative 2.	
Degree to which impact can be reversed	The impact can be managed during the construction phase.	
Degree to which impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources	Low	
Residual impacts	 Residual impacts are anticipated to be low. Potential residual impacts include: Further loss of and altered floral and faunal species diversity; Edge effects such as further habitat fragmentation and AIP proliferation; and Potential increased bush encroachment. 	

Impacts Associated with the Operational Phase:

The proposed railway loop will have a notably decreased impact during this phase. This is because no further vegetation clearing, or construction is anticipated to take place. However, ongoing impacts to the habitats and species diversity may still occur (regardless of which alternative is preferred) during the Operational Phase if:

- AIP control and management plans are not implemented which may lead to ongoing displacement of natural vegetation outside of the footprint area as a result of AIP proliferation;
- ii. Bush encroachment is not controlled leading to continued thickening of *Senegalia melifera* in the adjacent areas leading to decreased habitat functionality and suitability for fauna and flora species; and
- iii. Poorly implemented rehabilitation activities in the disturbed areas post construction leading to habitat loss and further AIP proliferation.

If mitigation measures as presented in section 6.3 are implemented, then the significance ratings of the impacts can be reduced. The significance i) prior to mitigation measures is expected to be very low, and ii) post mitigation the significance is expected to be very low (Table 4).



Table 4: Assessment of the impact for the Operational Phase: Loss of habitat and species diversity in the Senegalia melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland.

Issue: loss of floral and faunal habitat and diversity		
Phases: Operational Phase		
Criteria	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Intensity	Minor (L)	Negligible (VL)
Duration	Long-term (H)	Long-term (H)
Extent	Part of site (VL)	Part of site (VL)
Consequence	Low (L)	Low (L)
Probability	Possible (M)	Conceivable (L)
Significance	Very low (VL)	Very Low (VL)
Nature of cumulative impacts	Operational activities will continue to contribute to edge effects, though these are likely to be limited in both intensity and extent. The operational phase, provided AIPs are suitably managed and disturbed areas rehabilitated, is not likely to significantly contribute to cumulative impacts in the local area.	
Degree to which impact can be reversed	High	
Degree to which impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources	Very low	
Residual impacts	 Residual impacts are anticipated to be low. Potential residual impacts include: Loss of floral and faunal species habitat and diversity due to AIP proliferation; and Edge effects and potential increased bush encroachment. 	

6.2.2 IMPACT: Loss of Floral and Faunal Habitat and Species Diversity in the Transformed Areas.

The Transformed Areas is of low sensitivity and is not considered to be representative of the reference vegetation type (because of anthropogenic activities and extensive habitat transformation). Due to the overall disturbed nature of the Transformed areas, the construction and operation phases have been assessed together as have the impacts associated with Alternative 1 and 2, as the determining factors for the impact significance for both these phases did not differ.

Impacts Associated with the Construction and Operational Phase:

Potential impacts that may arise from these phases include:

i. AIPs are allowed to proliferate in disturbed areas; and



ii. AIPs stands in these areas become a source for further dispersal, spreading AIPs to natural areas outside of the mine altering faunal and floral habitat beyond the construction and operational footprints.

If mitigation measures as presented in Section 6.3 are implemented, then the significance ratings of the impacts can be reduced. The impact significance prior and post mitigation measures are expected to be very low (Table 5).

Issue: loss of floral and faunal habitat and diversity		
Phases: Construction and Operational Phase		
Criteria	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Intensity	Negligible (VL)	Negligible (VL)
Duration	Long-term (H)	Long-term (H)
Extent	Part of site (VL)	Part of site (VL)
Consequence	Low (L)	Low (L)
Probability	Conceivable (L)	Conceivable (L)
Significance	Very Low (VL)	Very Low (VL)
Nature of cumulative impacts	Should AIPs develop alongside the railway, these populations will become sources of seed dispersal into the surrounding natural areas as well as other areas within the mine itself. Cumulative impacts will include further proliferation of AIPs both in the mine and potentially outside the mine as seeds are dispersed. Such dispersal to the natural areas will further contribute to habitat degradation, impacting on faunal and floral species.	
Degree to which impact can be reversed	Impact can be readily managed during all phases.	
Degree to which impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources	Very low	
Residual impacts	Residual impacts are anticipated to be low. Potential residual impacts include: - Edge effects such as further AIP proliferation.	

Table 5: Assessment of impact for the Construction and Operational Phase: Loss of habitat and spec	cies
diversity in the Transformed Areas.	

6.2.3 IMPACT: Loss of Floral and Faunal SCC.

Four floral SCC (*Vachellia erioloba, V. haematoxylon, Harpagophytum procumbens* and *Boophone disticha*) were observed within the assessment zone during the site assessment, whilst there is potential that six additional species may occur within the footprint areas (see Section 4.1 for details). No faunal SCC were observed during the site assessment, though, several may occur within / utilise the area for foraging (see Section 4.2 for details). It is recommended that prior to any construction activities taking place a walkdown be conducted of the selected railway alternative and all floral and faunal SCC observed be marked. The



relevant permits will need to be obtained for any rescue and relocation activities, or destruction purposes in terms of the larger trees that cannot successfully be relocated. Marking of floral SCC as well as the rescue and relocation activities must take place in the growing season, ideally post good rainfall (November/ December to February) when the smaller plants are identifiable / visible. During the winter month many of them die back with no surface vegetation visible.

Impacts Associated with the Construction Phase:

The construction phase (prior to the implementation of mitigation measures) will result in the clearing of vegetation as well earthworks which will disturb the subterranean soil habitat which may harbour bulbous floral SCC (e.g., species from the Amaryllidaceae and Iridaceae families) and smaller faunal SCC such as *Opistopthalmus* spp (Burrowing scorpion). The construction activities will lead to the loss of floral SCC located within the preferred railway alternative footprint and places smaller burrowing faunal SCC at risk of being crushed. The following impacts on floral and faunal SCC can be anticipated during this phase:

- i. Loss of floral and faunal SCC within either alternative footprint due to vegetation clearing and earthworks;
- ii. Potential further exploitation of SCC due to the removal and/or collection of SCC beyond the direct footprint;
- iii. Small, less mobile, burrowing faunal SCC may be crushed during earthworks;
- iv. Potential runaway fires started by construction staff which will impact on floral SCC in the surrounding habitats; and
- v. Poorly managed edge effects (including ineffective rehabilitation of bare areas and the subsequent spread of AIP species into surrounding areas which may result in the degradation of habitat and SCC individuals.

If mitigation measures as presented in Section 6.3 are implemented, then the significance ratings of the impacts can be reduced. The impact significance prior to mitigation is expected to be medium. Post mitigation measures are expected to be low (Table 6).

Table 6: Assessment of the impact for the Construction Phase: Loss of habitat and species diversity in the Senegalia melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland.

Issue: loss of floral and faunal SCC		
Phases: Construction Phase		
Criteria	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Intensity	Moderate (M)	Low (L)
Duration	Short-term (L)	Short-term (L)



Issue: loss of floral and faunal SCC		
Extent	Beyond site boundary (M)	Whole site (L)
Consequence	Medium	Low
Probability	Definite	Definite
Significance	Medium	Low (L)
Nature of cumulative impacts	Further loss of floral and faunal SCC from the area. For floral SCC, a decrease in individuals may result in lower pollination success rates, limiting population recovery in disturbed areas whilst potentially leading to decreased numbers in the natural areas as plants that die are not readily replaced by new ones from seed growth. For fauna, most faunal SCC will relocate to areas outside of the disturbance footprint, leading a decline and potential total absence of faunal SCC from the areas around the mine and result in increased competition for resources in the adjacent environment.	
Degree to which impact can be reversed	The impact can be managed during the construction phase.	
Degree to which impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources	Low	
Residual impacts	Residual impacts are anticipated to be lo - Decrease in floral and faunal S - Edge effects such as further ha	w. Potential residual impacts include: CC abundance in the local area; and bitat fragmentation and AIP proliferation.

Impacts Associated with the Operational Phase:

The railway line (regardless of which alternative is preferred) will have a notably decreased impact during this phase as no further vegetation clearing, or construction is anticipated to take place. However, ongoing impacts to floral and faunal SCC may still occur during the Operational Phase if:

- i. AIP control and management plans are not implemented leading to AIP proliferation; and
- ii. Potential exploitation of SCC due to the collection of floral SCC and trapping/snaring of fauna SCC beyond the direct footprint.

If mitigation measures as presented in Section 6.3 are implemented, then the significance ratings of the impacts can be reduced. The significance both prior to and post mitigation measures is expected to be very low (Table 7).

Table 7: Assessment of the impact for the Operational Phase: Loss of habitat and species diversity in the Senegalia melifera Thicket and the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland.

Issue: loss of floral and faunal habitat and diversity		
Phases: Operational Phase		
Criteria	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Intensity	Minor (L)	Negligible (VL)



Issue: loss of floral and faunal habitat and diversity		
Duration	Long-term (H)	Long-term (H)
Extent	Part of site (VL)	Part of site (VL)
Consequence	Low (L)	Low (L)
Probability	Possible (M)	Conceivable (L)
Significance	Very low (VL)	Very Low (VL)
Nature of cumulative impacts	Minimal contributions to cumulative impacts to the area are expected, however, further decline of floral and faunal SCC in the local area may occur if collection / snaring is allowed, and fragmentation of the habitat may result in increased persecution of species, impacting on overall species populations in the local area.	
Degree to which impact can be reversed	High	
Degree to which impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources	Very low	
Residual impacts	Residual impacts are anticipated to be low. Potential residual impacts include: - Loss of floral and faunal SCC through AIP proliferation and illegal harvesting / snaring.	

Following the impact assessment and the resultant impacts to the receiving environment, it is evident that prior to mitigation measures, the development of the railway will result in medium to very low impact significances. With mitigation implemented, the impact significance levels can be reduced to low and very low. Both the alternatives will result in the clearance of vegetation and the subsequent loss of habitat and species however, it is recommended that Alternative 2 be the preferred option. Alternative 2 is closer to the existing mining area and is slightly shorter resulting in less vegetation clearance being required. Additionally, given the closer proximity of Alternative 2 to the mining area, the remaining section of vegetation located between the railway loop and the mine will be smaller, and as such, lead to less habitat fragmentation for faunal species.

6.3 Integrated Impact Mitigation

The table below highlights the key, general integrated mitigation measures that are applicable to the proposed railway loop in order to suitably manage and mitigate the ecological impacts that are associated with all phases of the proposed development.

Provided that all management and mitigation measures are implemented, as stipulated in this report, the overall risk to floral and faunal diversity, habitat and SCC can be mitigated and minimised.



Table 8: A summary of the mitigatory requirements for the biodiversity associated with the proposed railway loop.

Project	phase	Construction Phase
Impact	Summary	Loss of floral and faunal habitat, species, and SCC
Propos	ed mitigation and m	anagement measures:
Develor	oment footprint	
•	Prior to any vegetat be undertaken and permits applied for conducted prior to o when the smaller bu The construction for	ion clearance activities taking place a walkdown of the final railway footprint must all floral and faunal SCC encountered must be GPS marked and the necessary with the relevant national and provincial departments. The site walk down is to be clearance activities and ideally post good rains between November and February ilbous plants are growing and visible; otprint must be kept as small as possible to minimise impact on the surrounding
•	environment (edge e Removal of vegetati	effect management); on must be restricted to what is absolutely necessary and should remain within the
•	approved footprint. Clearing of vegetation	Where possible/ feasible; on should take place in a phased manner from north to south or vice versa. This will
•	allow for any faunal It is recommended movement of small f of the larger natura	species within the proposed railway loop to flee and avoid harm; that culverts of sufficient size be placed under the railway line so as to allow for faunal species between the remaining natural area inside the railway loop and that I area outside. Culverts should be regularly inspected for infilling and blockages, rekept clear and open:
•	Smaller species suc ground clearing. A construction activitie the disturbance foot not to kill them. Sn proposed railway loo larger venomous sna out the relocation of	th as scorpions and reptiles will not as readily able to move out of an area ahead of s such should any be observed in the construction site during clearing and as, they are to be carefully and safely moved to an area of similar habitat outside of print. Construction personnel are to be educated about these species and instructed naller scorpion species and harmless reptiles (that are likely present within the op) should be carefully relocated by a suitably nominated construction person. For akes, a suitably trained specialist, or on-site personnel, should be contacted to carry the species, should it not move off on its own;
•	Vehicles should be of the construction necessary, and the	restricted to travelling only on designated roadways to limit the ecological footprint activities. Additional road construction should be limited to what is absolutely footprint thereof kept to a minimal;
•	No hunting or trappi It is recommended to small mammals, suc walls. Should the p openings be left to a must be continuousl Informal fires by co	ng of faunal species is to be allowed by construction personnel; hat should a perimeter fence be erected, this fence must allow for the movement of ch as palisade fencing or cattle fencing, as opposed to solid constructions such as erimeter be walled in with an impermeable fence, it is recommended that small allow for continuous movement of small terrestrial faunal species. Such openings by monitored and cleared of debris to ensure continued movement is possible; instruction personnel should be prohibited, and no uncontrolled fires whatsoever
•	Should be allowed; Care should be tak surrounding natural	en during the construction of the proposed development to limit edge effects to habitat. This can be achieved by:
	 Demarcating all No dumping of li be disposed of temporary dump disposal contain and general was 	itter, rubble or cleared vegetation on site should be allowed. Rubble / waste should at an appropriate registered dump site away from the development footprint. No o sites should be allowed in areas with natural vegetation. It is advised that waste lers and bins be provided during the construction phase for all construction rubble ste;
•	• Manage the spre Appropriate sanitary be removed to an ap If any spills occur, the floral rehabilitation I breakdown, mainter be practised, prever Upon completion of indigenous species	ead of AIP species as per the mines mine's Biodiversity Management plan. / facilities must be provided during the construction of the development and must propriate waste disposal site; hey should be immediately cleaned up to avoid soil contamination that can hinder ater down the line. Spill kits should be kept on-site at all times. In the event of a nance of vehicles must take place with care, and the recollection of spillage should nting the ingress of hydrocarbons into the topsoil; and f construction activities, it must be ensured that no bare areas remain, and that be used to revegetate the disturbed area.
Alien V	egetation	
•	Edge effects arisin proliferation, which r this regard is made line with the NEM:B	g from the proposed development, such as erosion and alien plant species may affect adjacent natural areas, need to be strictly managed. Specific mention in of Category 1b AIP species (as listed in the NEM:BA Alien species lists, 2020), in A Alien and Invasive Species Regulations (2020);



- AIP monitoring and clearing/control should take place throughout the construction phase of the development, and a 30 m buffer surrounding the proposed railway loop should be regularly checked for AIP proliferation and to prevent inward and or/outward spread of AIPs, notably into non infested areas outside of the proposed railway loop or into newly rehabilitated areas; and
- Alien vegetation that is removed must not be allowed to lay on unprotected ground as seeds might disperse upon it. All cleared plant material to be disposed of at a licensed waste facility which complies with legal standards.

Floral and Faunal SCC

- Should any floral SCC be observed and relocated, the relocation success of such species should be monitored during the construction phase to ensure immediate actions can be taken if it becomes evident that relocation is not successful;
- No collection of floral SCC must be allowed by construction personnel;
- Edge effect control needs to be implemented to prevent further degradation and potential loss of floral and faunal SCC outside of the proposed development footprint area; and
- Should the presence of any faunal or floral SCC be noted within the development footprint post walkdown and during vegetation clearance / construction activities, a suitably qualified specialist should be consulted on the best way to proceed.

Project phase	Operational and Maintenance Phase
Impact Summary	Loss of floral and faunal habitat, species, and SCC
Proposed mitigation and management measures:	
Development footprint	
The footprint area r disturbance which v	nust be regularly inspected for sign of erosion, edge effects and any new areas of vill lead to further habitat loss and/or the proliferation of AIPs; and

No dumping of litter or waste must be allowed on-site.

Alien Vegetation

- AIP proliferation which may affect adjacent natural areas needs to be strictly managed. Specific mention
 in this regard is made of Category 1b AIP species (as listed in the NEM:BA Alien species lists, 2020),
 in line with the NEM:BA Alien and Invasive Species Regulations (2020);
- Ongoing AIP monitoring and clearing/control should take place throughout the operational phase, and the project perimeters should be regularly checked for AIP establishment to prevent spread into surrounding natural areas; and
- Alien vegetation that is removed must not be allowed to lay on unprotected ground as seeds might disperse upon it. All cleared plant material to be disposed of at a licensed waste facility, which complies with legal standards.

Floral and Faunal SCC

 If any relocation of SCC took place, monitoring of relocation success should continue for at least three years after the completion of the construction phase, or until it is evident that the species have established self-sustaining populations.

7. CONCLUSION

Scientific Terrestrial Services (STS) was appointed by SLR Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd to conduct a biodiversity assessment as part of the Basic Assessment process for the proposed extension of the railway infrastructure at the Wessels Mine in the Northern Cape. The project included the assessment of two proposed railway alternatives supplied by the mine of which only one will be selected for development.

During the field assessment, three habitat units were identified, namely the Senegalia melifera Thicket, the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland and the Transformed habitat were identified. The majority of both of the railway loop alternatives are located within the Transformed habitat, which is characterised by the transformation of the indigenous vegetation to that of the current mining area as well as the



associated roads. The southern portion is associated with the Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – *Vachellia erioloba* – *Vachellia haematoxylon* Woodland whilst the eastern portion is associated with the Senegalia melifera Thicket.

Four floral SCC were recorded on site, namely:

- > The NFA:
 - Vachellia erioloba & V. haematoxylon;
- > The NCNCA:
 - Schedule 1 Specially Protected Species: Harpagophytum procumbens; and
 - Schedule 2 Protected Species: Boophone disticha (Family Amaryllidaceae);
- > The NEM:BA Threatened or Protected Species (TOPS):
 - Harpagophytum procumbens (Protected).

Additionally, six other floral SCC have an increased probability of occurring within the assessment area. No faunal SCC were observed, though there remains the possibility that four may utilise the railway footprint area, most likely whilst foraging. Overall, the habitat units, due to their proximity to the existing mine footprint and past grazing impacts, have been degraded, with many areas becoming encroached. Given the aforementioned and taking into consideration the data from the field assessment, the two natural habitats have been assigned an intermediate sensitivity, whist the transformed areas are considered to be of low sensitivity.

Following the ecological assessment of the biodiversity within the proposed railway loop, the impacts associated with the proposed development activities were determined. The impacts on the floral and faunal habitat, diversity and SCC are considered to range from medium to very low significance prior to the implementation of mitigation measures. With mitigation fully implemented the impacts can be reduced to low and very-low significance impacts. No significant impacts⁸ on the biodiversity associated with the proposed railway loop are however anticipated.

The Screening Tool returned a Low Sensitivity for the Animals and Plants theme and a Very High Sensitivity for the Terrestrial Biodiversity theme. Following the site assessment, and as presented within this report, the proposed railway loop align more with a higher sensitivity than that of the low sensitivity indicated in the screening tool. Such deviation was largely due to the relatively intact nature of the non-transformed areas as well as the presence of several floral SCC.

^a Significant impact: An impact that may have a notable effect on one or more aspects of the environment or may result in non-compliance with accepted environmental quality standards, thresholds, or targets (DEA *et al.*, 2017).



Following the impact assessment and the analysis of the field data, Alternative 2 is deemed to the preferred option for development. Alternative 2 is located closer to the existing mine and as such, is likely to have been exposed to more edge effects (noise, dust etc) than alternative 1. Furthermore, Alternative 2 will result in a smaller section of vegetation being fragmented between the railway and the mine, resulting in a lower impact to habitat connectivity.

The objective of this study was to provide sufficient information on the biodiversity significance of the area, together with other studies on the physical and socio-cultural environment for the EAP and the relevant authorities to apply the principles of Integrated Environmental Management (IEM) and the concept of sustainable development. The need for conservation as well as the risks to other spheres of the physical and socio-cultural environment need to be compared and considered along with the need to ensure sustainable economic development of the country.

This report and the data contained herein fulfils the requirements for both the Plants and Animals Compliance Statements as well as the baseline data reporting requirements for the Basic Assessment process.

It is the opinion of the ecologists that this study provides the relevant information required in order to implement Integrated Environmental Management (IEM) and to ensure that the best long-term use of the ecological resources in the proposed railway loop will be made in support of the principle of sustainable development.



8. REFERENCES

- BirdLife South Africa. Important Bird Areas 2015 [vector geospatial dataset] 2015. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website. Mucina, L. and Rutherford, M.C. (2006).
- Bromilow, C. (2010). Revised Edition, First Impression. *Problem Plants of South Africa.* Briza Publications, Pretoria, RSA.
- Chittendan, H. (2007). Roberts Bird Guide. A comprehensive field guide to over 950 bird species in southern Africa. John Voeckler Bird Book Fund. Cape Town.
- DEA & SANBI. 2009. National Protected Area Expansion Strategy Resource Document.
- Frisby, A.W., Siebert, S.J., Struwig, M. and Cilliers, D.P., 2019. Plant endemism in Griqualand West, South Africa. South African Journal of Botany, 124, pp.127-137.Government of South Africa. 2010. National protected area expansion strategy for South Africa 2008. Priorities for expanding the protected area network for ecological sustainability and climate change adaptation. Pretoria, South Africa: The Government of South Africa.
- Hui C, Richardson DM (2017) Invasion dynamics. Oxford University Press, Oxford. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198745334.001.0001
- IUCN (2019-2). http://www.iucnredlist.org/.
- Child MF, Roxburgh L, Do Linh San E, Raimondo D, Davies-Mostert HT, editors. The Red List of Mammals of South Africa,
- Swaziland and Lesotho. South African National Biodiversity Institute and Endangered Wildlife Trust, South Africa.
- Marnewick MD, Retief EF, Theron NT, Wright DR, Anderson TA. 2015a. Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas of South Africa. Johannesburg: BirdLife South Africa.
- Marnewick MD, Retief EF, Wright DR, Theron NT. 2015b. South Africa's Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas Status Report 2015. Johannesburg: BirdLife South Africa.
- Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (Eds). (2012). *The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. Strelitzia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, RSA.
- NPAES: DEA and SANBI. 2009. National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy Resource Document. Online available: http://bgis.sanbi.org/protectedareas/NPAESinfo.asp
- Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework. (NCSPDF). 2019
- Picker. M., Griffiths. C. & Weaving. A. (2004). New Edition. Field Guide to Insects of South Africa. Struik Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, RSA.
- Pyšek, P., Richardson, D.M., Rejmánek, M., Webster, G.L., Williamson, M. and Kirschner, J., 2004. Alien plants in checklists and floras: towards better communication between taxonomists and ecologists. Taxon, 53(1), pp.131-143.
- Raimondo, D., von Staden, L., Foden., W., Victor, JE., Helme, NA., Turner, RC., Kamundi, DA., Manyama, PA. (eds) (2009). *Red List of South African Plants* Strelitzia 25. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- Richardson, D.M., Pyšek, P., Rejmánek, M., Barbour, M.G., Panetta, F.D. and West, C.J., 2000. Naturalization and invasion of alien plants: concepts and definitions. Diversity and distributions, 6(2), pp.93-107.
- Richardson DM, Pyšek P, Carlton JT (2011) A compendium of essential concepts and terminology in invasion ecology. In: Richardson DM (ed) Fifty years of invasion ecology. The legacy of Charles Elton. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp 409–420. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444329988</u>. ch30
- Richardson, D.M., Foxcroft, L.C., Latombe, G., Le Maitre, D.C., Rouget, M. and Wilson, J.R., 2020. The biogeography of South African terrestrial plant invasions. Biological invasions in South Africa, pp.67-96.
- SACAD: Department of Environmental Affairs. 2018. South Africa Protected Areas Database (SACAD_OR_2021_Q1). Online available: [http://egis.environment.gov.za]
- SANBI. 2011. National List of Threatened Ecosystems 2011 [vector geospatial dataset] 2011. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website.



- SANBI. 2018a. 2018 Final Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland [Vector] 2018. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website.
- SANBI. 2018b. Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level remaining extent [Vector] 2018. URL: http://bgis.sanbi.org
- SANBI. 2018c. Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level layer [Vector] 2018. URL: http://bgis.sanbi.org
- SANParks/SANBI. 2013. NPAES Formal [vector geospatial dataset] 2013. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website
- SANParks/SANBI. 2012. NPAES Protected Areas Informal 2010 [vector geospatial dataset] 2012. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website.
- South Africa. 2011. National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act: National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection. Government Gazette, 558(34809): 1 544, December 9.
- South African National Parks (SANParks). 2010. NPAES Focus Areas 2010 [vector geospatial dataset] 2010. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website.
- SAPAD: Department of Environmental Affairs. 2018. South Africa Protected Areas Database (SAPAD_OR_2021_Q1). Online available: [http://egis.environment.gov.za]
- Skinner JD, Chimimba CT. 2005. The Mammals of the Southern African Subregion. Third edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Skowno, Andrew & C.J., Poole, & Raimondo, Domitilla & K.J.,, Sink, & Van Deventer, Heidi & Van Niekerk, Lara & Harris, Linda & Smith-Adao, Lindie & Tolley, Krystal & Zengeya, Tsungai & W.B.,, Foden, & G.F., Midgley, & Driver, Amanda. 2019. National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: The status of South Africa's ecosystems and biodiversity. Synthesis Report. South African National Biodiversity Institute, an entity of the Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries, Pretoria.South Africa. 2011. National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act: National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection. Government Gazette, 558(34809): 1 544, December 9.
- Smithers, R. H. N. 2000. Third Edition. Edited by Peter Apps. The Mammals of the Southern African. A Field Guide. Struik Publishers, Cape Town, RSA.

Southern African Bird Atlas Project (SABAP) 2. 2007 -2019. Online available: http://sabap2.adu.org.za/.

- The South African National Biodiversity Institute Biodiversity GIS (BGIS) [online]. URL: http://bgis.sanbi.org as retrieved in 2019
- Threatened Species Programme (2017). Red Data List of South African Plant Species. Available online: <u>http://www.redlist.org</u>.
- Van Oudtshoorn, F. (2004). Second Edition, Third Print. Guide to Grasses of South Africa. Briza Publications, Pretoria, RSA.
- van Wilgen BW, Wilson JR (eds) (2018) The status of biological invasions and their management in South Africa in 2017. S Afr Nat Biodiv Inst, Cape Town and DST-NRF Cent Excel Invas Biol, Stellenbosch.
- van Wilgen, B.W., 2020. A brief, selective history of researchers and research initiatives related to biological invasions in South Africa. In Biological Invasions in South Africa (pp. 33-64). Springer, Cham.
- Van Wyk, B. and van Wyk, P. 1997. Field guide to Trees of Southern Africa. Struik Nature. Cape Town, RSA
- Water Research Commission. 2017. SWSA Surface water [Vector] 2017. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website.
- Wilson JRU, Gaertner M, Richardson DM et al (2017) Contributions to the national status report on biological invasions in South Africa. Bothalia 47: a2207. <u>https://doi.org/10.4102/abc.v47i2.2207</u>
- Woodhall, S. (2005). Field Guide to Butterflies of South Africa. Struik Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, RSA



APPENDIX A - Legislative Requirements and Indemnity

THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1996

The environment and the health and well-being of people are safeguarded under the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 by way of section 24. Section 24(a) guarantees a right to an environment that is not harmful to human health or well-being and to environmental protection for the benefit of present and future generations. Section 24(b) directs the state to take reasonable legislative and other measures to prevent pollution, promote conservation, and secure the ecologically sustainable development and use of natural resources (including water and mineral resources) while promoting justifiable economic and social development. Section 27 guarantees every person the right of access to sufficient water, and the state is obliged to take reasonable legislative and other measures within its available resources to achieve the progressive realisation of this right. Section 27 is defined as a socio-economic right and not an environmental right. However, read with section 24 it requires of the state to ensure that water is conserved and protected and that sufficient access to the resource is provided. Water regulation in South Africa places a great emphasis on protecting the resource and on providing access to water for everyone.

THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 1998 (ACT NO. 107 OF 1998) (NEMA)

The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA) and the associated Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (GN R326 as amended in 2017 and well as listing notices 1, 2 and 3 (GN R327, R325 and R324 of 2017), state that prior to any development taking place which triggers any activity as listed within the abovementioned regulations, an environmental authorisation process needs to be followed. This could follow either the Basic Assessment process or the Environmental Impact Assessment process depending on the nature of the activity and scale of the impact.

THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT BIODIVERSITY ACT, 2004 (ACT NO. 10 OF 2004) (NEM:BA)

The objectives of this act are (within the framework of NEMA) to provide for:

- The management and conservation of biological diversity within the Republic of South Africa and of the components of such diversity;
- > The use of indigenous biological resources in a sustainable manner;
- The fair and equitable sharing among stakeholders of the benefits arising from bio prospecting involving indigenous biological resources;
- To give effect to ratify international agreements relating to biodiversity which are binding to the Republic;
- > To provide for cooperative governance in biodiversity management and conservation; and
- To provide for a South African National Biodiversity Institute to assist in achieving the objectives of this Act.

This act alludes to the fact that management of biodiversity must take place to ensure that the biodiversity of the surrounding areas are not negatively impacted upon, by any activity being undertaken, in order to ensure the fair and equitable sharing among stakeholders of the benefits arising from indigenous biological resources.

Furthermore, a person may not carry out a restricted activity involving either:

- a) A specimen of a listed threatened or protected species;
- b) Specimens of an alien species; or
- c) A specimen of a listed invasive species without a permit.



THE NATIONAL FOREST ACT, 1998 (ACT NO. 84 OF 1998), AS AMENDED IN SEPTEMBER 2011 (NFA)

According to the department of Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) (previously the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF)) ©2019 website (<u>https://www.daff.gov.za/daffweb3/</u>):

"In terms of the National Forests Act of 1998 certain tree species (types of trees) can be identified and declared as protected. The Department of Water Affairs and Forestry followed an objective, scientific and participative process to arrive at the new list of protected tree species, enacted in 2004. All trees occurring in natural forests are also protected in terms of the Act. Protective actions take place within the framework of the Act as well as national policy and guidelines. Trees are protected for a variety of reasons, and some species require strict protection while others require control over harvesting and utilization."

<u>Applicable sections of the NFA pertaining to the proposed project include the below:</u> **Section 12:**

Declaration of trees as protected

- 1) The Minister may declare
 - a. particular tree,
 - b. a particular group of trees,
 - c. a particular woodland; or
 - d. trees belonging to a particular species,
 - to be a protected tree, group of trees, woodland or species.
- The Minister may make such a declaration only if he or she is of the opinion that the tree, group of trees, woodland or species is not already adequately protected in terms of other legislation.
- 3) In exercising a discretion in terms of this section, the Minister must consider the principles set out in section 3(3) of the NFA.

Section 15(1):

No person may cut, disturb, damage or destroy any protected tree or possess, collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell, donate or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree or any forest product derived from a protected tree, except under a licence granted by the Minister or in terms of an exemption from the provisions of this subsection published by the Minister in the Gazette.

Contravention of this declaration is regarded as a first category offence that may result in a person who is found guilty of being sentenced to a fine or imprisonment for a period up to three years, or both a fine and imprisonment.

GOVERNMENT NOTICE NUMBER R.1020: ALIEN AND INVASIVE SPECIES REGULATIONS, 2020 (IN GOVERNMENT GAZETTE 43735), INCLUDING GOVERNMENT NOTICE NUMBER 1003: ALIEN AND INVASIVE SPECIES LISTS, 2020 (IN GOVERNMENT GAZETTE 43726) AS IT RELATES TO THE NEM:BA

NEM:BA is administered by the Department of Environmental Affairs and aims to provide for the management and conservation of South Africa's biodiversity within the framework of the NEMA. In terms of alien and invasive species. This act in terms of alien and invasive species aims to:

- Prevent the unauthorized introduction and spread of alien and invasive species to ecosystems and habitats where they do not naturally occur,
- Manage and control alien and invasive species, to prevent or minimize harm to the environment and biodiversity; and
- Eradicate alien species and invasive species from ecosystems and habitats where they may harm such ecosystems or habitats.

Alien species are defined, in terms of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act no 10 of 2004) as:

- (a) A species that is not an indigenous species; or
- (b) An indigenous species translocated or intended to be translocated to a place outside its natural distribution range in nature, but not an indigenous species that has extended its



natural distribution range by natural means of migration or dispersal without human intervention.

Categories according to NEM:BA (Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2020):

- > Category 1a: Invasive species that require compulsory control;
- Category 1b: Invasive species that require control by means of an invasive species management programme;
- Category 2: Commercially used plants that may be grown in demarcated areas, provided that there is a permit and that steps are taken to prevent their spread; and
- > Category 3: Ornamentally used plants that may no longer be planted.

THE CONSERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES ACT, 1983 (ACT NO. 43 OF 1983) (CARA)

Removal of the alien and weed species encountered in the application area must take place in order to comply with existing legislation (amendments to the regulations under the CARA, 1983 and Section 28 of the NEMA, 1998). Removal of species should take place throughout the construction and operation, phases.

THE NORTHERN CAPE NATURE CONSERVATION ACT (ACT NO. 9 OF 2009) (NCNCA)

The purpose of this Act is to provide for the sustainable utilisation of wild animals, aquatic biota and plants; to provide for the implementation of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora; to provide for offences and penalties for contravention of the Act; to provide for the appointment of nature conservators to implement the provisions of the Act; to provide for the issuing of permits and other authorisations; and to provide for matters connected therewith.

Restricted activities involving specially protected plants:

49(1) No person June, without a permit -

- (a) Pick;
- (b) Import;
- (c) Export;
- (d) Transport;
- (e) Possess;
- (f) Cultivate; or
- (g) Trade in,

A specimen of a specially protected plant

Restricted activities involving protected plants

- 50 (1) Subject to the provision of section 52, no person June, without a permit -
 - (a) Pick;
 - (b) Import;
 - (c) Export;
 - (d) Transport;
 - (e) Cultivate; or
 - (f) Trade in,

A specimen of a protected plant.

NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCIAL SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (NCPSDF, 2019)

The Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework (NCPSDF) was developed in 2011 to meet the requirements of the Northern Cape Planning and Development Act, 1998 (Act 7 of 1998) and the Municipal Systems Act, 2000 (Act 32 of 2000).

Indemnity and Terms of use of this Report

The findings, results, observations, conclusions, and recommendations given in this report are based on the author's best scientific and professional knowledge as well as available information. The report is based on survey and assessment techniques which are limited by time and budgetary constraints



relevant to the type and level of investigation undertaken and STS CC and its staff reserve the right to modify aspects of the report including the recommendations if, and when, new information may become available from ongoing research or further work in this field, or pertaining to this investigation.

Although STS CC exercises due care and diligence in rendering services and preparing documents, STS CC accepts no liability and the client, by receiving this document, indemnifies STS CC and its directors, managers, agents and employees against all actions, claims, demands, losses, liabilities, costs, damages and expenses arising from, or in connection with, services rendered, directly or indirectly by STS CC and by the use of the information contained in this document.

This report must not be altered or added to without the prior written consent of the author. This also refers to electronic copies of this report which are supplied for the purposes of inclusion as part of other reports, including main reports. Similarly, any recommendations, statements or conclusions drawn from or based on this report must make reference to this report. If these form part of a main report relating to this investigation or report, this report must be included in its entirety as an appendix or separate section to the main report.



APPENDIX B - Floral Method of Assessment

Floral Species of Conservational Concern Assessment

Prior to the site visit, a record of floral SCC and their habitat requirements was developed for the focus area, which includes consulting the National Web-based Environmental Screening Tool. Because not all SCC have been included in the Screening Tool layers (e.g. NT and DD taxa), it remains important for the specialist to be on the lookout for additional SCC. For this study, two primary sources were consulted and are described below.

The National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool

The Screening Tool was accessed to obtain a list of potentially occurring species of conservation concern for the focus area. Each of the themes in the Screening Tool consists of theme-specific spatial datasets which have been assigned a sensitivity level namely, "*low*", "*medium*", "*high*" and "*very high*" sensitivity. The four levels of sensitivity are derived and identified in different ways, e.g. for **confirmed** areas of occupied habitat for SCC a Very High and High Sensitivity is assigned and for areas of suitable habitat where SCC may occur based on spatial models only, a Medium Sensitivity is assigned. The different sensitivity ratings pertaining to the Plant [and Animal] Protocols are described below⁹:

- Very High: Habitat for species that are endemic to South Africa, where all the known occurrences of that species are within an area of 10 km² are considered Critical Habitat, as all remaining habitat is irreplaceable. Typically, these include species that qualify under Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), or Vulnerable (VU) D criteria of the IUCN or species listed as Critically/ Extremely Rare under South Africa's National Red List Criteria. For each species reliant on a Critical Habitat, all remaining suitable habitat has been manually mapped at a fine scale.
- High: Recent occurrence records for all threatened (CR, EN, VU) and/or rare endemic species are included in the high sensitivity level. Spatial polygons of suitable habitat have been produced for each species by intersecting recently collected occurrence records (those collected since the year 2000) that have a spatial confidence level of less than 250 m with segments of remaining natural habitat.
- Medium: Model-derived suitable habitat areas for threatened and/or rare species are included in the medium sensitivity level. Two types of spatial models have been included. The first is a simple rule-based habitat suitability model where habitat attributes such as vegetation type and altitude are selected for all areas where a species has been recorded to occur. The second is a species distribution model which uses species occurrence records combined with multiple environmental variables to quantify and predict areas of suitable habitat. The models provide a probability-based distribution indicating a continuous range of habitat suitability across areas that have not been previously surveyed. A probability threshold of 75% for suitable habitat has been used to convert the modelled probability surface and reduce it into a single spatial area which defines areas that fall within the medium sensitivity level.
- Low: Areas where no SCC are known or expected to occur.

BRAHMS Online Website

The Botanical Database of Southern Africa (BODATSA) is accessed to obtain plant names and floristic details (<u>http://posa.sanbi.org/</u>) for species of conservation concern within a selected boundary;

This website provides access to South African plant names (taxa), specimens (herbarium sheets) and observations of plants made in the field (botanical records). Data is obtained from



⁹ More details on the use of the Screening Tool for Species of Conservation Concern can be found in the below resources:

South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI). 2020. Draft Species Environmental Assessment Guideline. Guidelines for the implementation of the Terrestrial Flora (3c) & Terrestrial Fauna (3d) Species Protocols for environmental impact assessments in South Africa. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. Version 1.0.

The National Web based Environmental Screening Tool website: <u>https://screening.environment.gov.za/screeningtool/#/pages/welcome</u>

the Botanical Database of Southern Africa (BODATSA), which contains records from the National Herbarium in Pretoria (PRE), the Compton Herbarium in Cape Town (NBG & SAM) and the KwaZulu-Natal Herbarium in Durban (NH).

- Information on habitat requirements etc. is obtained from the SANBI Red List of South African Plants website (<u>http://redlist.sanbi.org/</u>).
- Typically, data is extracted for the Quarter Degree Square (QDS) in which the focus area is situated but where it is deemed appropriate, a larger area can be included.

NEM:BA TOPS Species

The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No.10 of 2004) (NEM:BA) Threatened or Protected Species (TOPS) list (Government Gazette [GN] 29657, as amended in GN R1187 in Government Gazette 30568 of 2007 and again in GN 627 in Government Gazette 43386 of 2020) were taken into consideration.

Provincial: Specially Protected and Protected Species

The Northern Cape Nature Conservation Act, 2009 (Act No. 9 of 2009) (NCNCA), provides a list of Specially Protected Species (Schedule 1) (Section 49(1) of the NCNCA) and Protected Species (Schedule 2) (Section 50(1) of the NCNCA) for the Northern Cape Province. These species formed part of the SCC assessment.

Nationally Protected Trees

The National Forest Act, 1998 (act 10 of 1998), as amended in September 2011 (NFA), affords protection to a list of tree species. All nationally protected trees were included as SCC in this report.

Throughout the floral assessment, special attention was paid to the identification of any of these SCC as well as the identification of suitable habitat that could potentially support these species.

The Probability of Occurrence (POC) for each floral SCC is described:

- "Confirmed': if observed during the survey;
- > "High": if within the species' known distribution range and suitable habitat is available;
- "Medium": if either within the known distribution range of the species or if suitable habitat is present; or
- > "Low": if the habitat is not suitable and falls outside the distribution range of the species.

The accuracy of the POC is based on the available knowledge about the species in question, with many of the species lacking in-depth habitat research.

Vegetation Surveys

When planning the timing of a floristic survey, it is important to remember that the primary objective is not an exhaustive species list but rather to ensure that sufficient data are collected to describe all the vegetation communities present in the area of interest, to optimise the detection of SCC and to assess habitat suitability for other potentially occurring SCC (SANBI, 2020).

The vegetation survey incorporates the subjective (or stratified) sampling method. Subjective sampling is a sampling technique in which the specialist relies on his or her own professional experience when choosing sample sites within the focus area. This allows representative recordings of floral communities and optimal detection of SCC. Subjective sampling is used to consider different areas (or habitat units) which are identified within the main body of a habitat/focus area.

One of the problems with random sampling, another popular sampling method, is that random samples may not cover all areas of a focus area equally and thus increase the potential to miss floral SCC. Random sampling methods also tend to require more time in the field to locate the amount of SCC that can be detected using subjective sampling methods - In the context of an EIA where time constraints are often restrictive, priority needs to be given to collecting data in the shortest time possible without compromising the efficiency of locating SCC (SANBI, 2020).



Floral Habitat Sensitivity

The floral habitat sensitivity of each habitat unit was determined by calculating the mean of five different parameters which influence floral communities and provide an indication of the overall floristic ecological integrity, importance, and sensitivity of the habitat unit. Each of the following parameters are subjectively rated on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = lowest and 5 = highest):

- Floral SCC: The confirmed presence or potential for floral SCC or any other significant species, such as endemics, to occur within the habitat unit;
- Unique Landscapes: The presence of unique landscapes or the presence of an ecologically intact habitat unit in a transformed region;
- Conservation Status: The conservation status of the ecosystem or vegetation type in which the habitat unit is situated based on local, regional, and national databases. Whether the habitat is representative of a Critical Biodiversity Area or forms part of an Ecological Support Area is also taken into consideration;
- Floral Diversity: The recorded floral diversity compared to a suitable reference condition such as surrounding natural areas or available floristic databases; and
- Habitat Integrity: The degree to which the habitat unit is transformed based on observed disturbances which may affect habitat integrity.

Each of these values contribute equally to the mean score, which determines the floral habitat sensitivity class in which each habitat unit falls. A conservation and land-use objective is also assigned to each sensitivity class which aims to guide the responsible and sustainable utilization of the habitat unit in question. To present the results use is made of spider diagrams to depict the significance of each aspect of floral ecology for each vegetation type. The different classes and land-use objectives are presented in the table below:

Score	Rating significance	Conservation objective
1 < 1.5	Low	Optimise development potential.
≥1.5 <2.5	Moderately low	Optimise development potential while improving biodiversity integrity of surrounding natural habitat and managing edge effects.
≥2.5 <3.5	Intermediate	Preserve and enhance biodiversity of the habitat unit and surrounds while optimizing development potential.
≥3.5<4.5	Moderately high	Preserve and enhance the biodiversity of the habitat unit, limit development and disturbance.
≥4.5≤5.0	High	Preserve and enhance the biodiversity of the habitat unit, no- go alternative must be considered.

Table B1: Floral habitat sensitivity rankings and associated land-use objectives.



APPENDIX C - Faunal Method of Assessment

It is important to note that due to the nature and habits of fauna, varied stages of life cycles, seasonal and temporal fluctuations along with other external factors, it is unlikely that all faunal species will have been recorded during the site assessment. The presence of human habitation nearby the focus area and the associated anthropogenic activities may have an impact on faunal behaviour and in turn the rate of observations.

Mammals

Mammal species were recorded during the field assessment with the use of visual identification, spoor, call, and dung. Specific attention was paid to mammal SCC as listed by the IUCN, 2015.

Avifauna

The Southern African Bird Atlas Project 2 database (<u>http://sabap2.adu.org.za/</u>) was compared with the recent field survey of avifaunal species identified on the focus area. Field surveys were undertaken utilising visual observation and bird call identification techniques in order to accurately identify avifaunal species. Specific attention was given to avifaunal SCC listed on a regional and national level, as well as those identified by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN).

Reptiles

During the field assessment, suitable applicable habitat areas (rocky outcrops and fallen dead trees) were inspected for the presence of reptiles, and any individuals encountered were identified. The data gathered during the assessment along with the habitat analysis provided an accurate indication of which reptile species are likely to occur on the focus area. Specific attention was given to reptile SCC listed on a regional and national level, as well as those identified by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN).

Amphibians

Identifying amphibian species is done using direct visual identification along with call identification technique. Amphibian species flourish in and around wetland, riparian and moist grassland areas. It is unlikely that all amphibian species will have been recorded during the site assessment, due to their cryptic nature and habits, varied stages of life cycles and seasonal and temporal fluctuations within the environment. The data gathered during the assessment along with the habitat analysis provided an accurate indication of which amphibian species are likely to occur within the focus area as well as the surrounding area. Specific attention was given to amphibian SCC listed on a regional and national level, as well as those identified by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN).

Invertebrates

Whilst conducting transects through the focus area, all insect species visually observed were identified, and where possible photographs taken.

It must be noted however that due to the cryptic nature and habits of insects, varied stages of life cycles and seasonal and temporal fluctuations within the environment, it is unlikely that all insect species will have been recorded during the site assessment period. Nevertheless, the data gathered during the assessment along with the habitat analysis provided an accurate indication of which species are likely to occur in the focus area at the time of survey. Specific attention was given to insect SCC listed on a regional and national level, as well as those identified by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN).



Arachnids

Suitable applicable habitat areas (rocky outcrops, sandy areas and fallen dead trees) where spiders and scorpions are likely to reside were searched. Rocks were overturned and inspected for signs of these species. Specific attention was paid to searching for Mygalomorphae arachnids (Trapdoor and Baboon spiders) as well as potential SCC species within the focus area.

Faunal Species of Conservational Concern Assessment

The Probability of Occurrence (POC) for each faunal SCC is described:

- "Confirmed': if observed during the survey;
- > "**High**": if within the species' known distribution range and suitable habitat is available;
- "Medium": if either within the known distribution range of the species or if suitable habitat is present; or
- > "Low": if the habitat is not suitable and falls outside the distribution range of the species.

The accuracy of the POC is based on the available knowledge about the species in question, with many of the species lacking in-depth habitat research.

Faunal Habitat Sensitivity

The sensitivity of the focus area for each faunal class (i.e. mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians and invertebrates) was determined by calculating the mean of five different parameters which influence each faunal class and provide an indication of the overall faunal ecological integrity, importance and sensitivity of the focus area for each class. Each of the following parameters are subjectively rated on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = lowest and 5 = highest):

- Faunal SCC: The confirmed presence or potential for faunal SCC or any other significant species, such as endemics, to occur within the habitat unit;
- > Habitat Availability: The presence of suitable habitat for each class;
- > Food Availability: The availability of food within the focus area for each faunal class;
- Faunal Diversity: The recorded faunal diversity compared to a suitable reference condition such as surrounding natural areas or available faunal databases; and
- Habitat Integrity: The degree to which the habitat is transformed based on observed disturbances which may affect habitat integrity.

Each of these values contributes equally to the mean score, which determines the suitability and sensitivity of the focus area for each faunal class. A conservation and land-use objective is also assigned to each sensitivity class which aims to guide the responsible and sustainable utilisation of the focus area in relation to each faunal class. The different classes and land-use objectives are presented in the table below:

Score	Rating significance	Conservation objective
1.0 < 1.5	Low	Optimise development potential.
≥1.5 <2.5	Moderately low	Optimise development potential while improving biodiversity integrity of surrounding natural habitat and managing edge effects.
≥2.5 <3.5	Intermediate	Preserve and enhance biodiversity of the habitat unit and surrounds while optimising development potential.
≥3.5<4.5	Moderately high	Preserve and enhance the biodiversity of the habitat unit, limit development and disturbance.
≥4.5 ≤ 5.0	High	Preserve and enhance the biodiversity of the habitat unit, no-go alternative must be considered.

Table C1: Faunal habitat sensitivity rankings and associated land-use objectives.



APPENDIX D - Impact Assessment Methodology

The Impact Assessment Methodology is as per the SLR Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd methodology.

PART A: DEFINITIONS AND CRITERIA*			
Definition of SIGNIFIC	ANCE	Significance = consequence x probability	
Definition of CONSEQ	UENCE	Consequence is a function of intensity, spatial extent and duration	
Criteria for ranking of the INTENSITY of environmental impacts	VH	Severe change, disturbance or degradation. Associated with severe consequences. May result in severe illness, injury or death. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern continually exceeded. Substantial intervention will be required. Vigorous/widespread community mobilization against project can be expected. May result in legal action if impact occurs.	
	Н	Prominent change, disturbance or degradation. Associated with real and substantial consequences. May result in illness or injury. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern regularly exceeded. Will definitely require intervention. Threats of community action. Regular complaints can be expected when the impact takes place.	
	М	Moderate change, disturbance or discomfort. Associated with real but not substantial consequences. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern may occasionally be exceeded. Likely to require some intervention. Occasional complaints can be expected.	
	L	Minor (Slight) change, disturbance or nuisance. Associated with minor consequences or deterioration. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern rarely exceeded. Require only minor interventions or clean-up actions. Sporadic complaints could be expected.	
	VL	Negligible change, disturbance or nuisance. Associated with very minor consequences or deterioration. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern never exceeded. No interventions or clean-up actions required. No complaints anticipated.	
	VL+	Negligible change or improvement. Almost no benefits. Change not measurable/will remain in the current range.	
	L+	Minor change or improvement. Minor benefits. Change not measurable/will remain in the current range. Few people will experience benefits.	
	M+	Moderate change or improvement. Real but not substantial benefits. Will be within or marginally better than the current conditions. Small number of people will experience benefits.	
	H+	Prominent change or improvement. Real and substantial benefits. Will be better than current conditions. Many people will experience benefits. General community support.	
	VH+	Substantial, large-scale change or improvement. Considerable and widespread benefit. Will be much better than the current conditions. Favourable publicity and/or widespread support expected.	
Criteria for ranking	VL	Very short, always less than a year. Quickly reversible	
the DURATION of	L	Short-term, occurs for more than 1 but less than 5 years. Reversible over time.	
impacts	М	Medium-term, 5 to 10 years.	
	Н	Long term, between 10 and 20 years. (Likely to cease at the end of the operational life of the activity)	
	VH	Very long, permanent, +20 years (Irreversible. Beyond closure)	
Criteria for ranking	VL	A part of the site/property.	
the EXTENT of	L	Whole site.	
impacts	М	Beyond the site boundary, affecting immediate neighbours	
	Н	Local area, extending far beyond site boundary.	
	VH	Regional/National	



PART B: DETERMINING CONSEQUENCE							
INTENSITY =	VL						
	Very long	VH	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High
	Long term	Н	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
DURATION	Medium term	М	Very Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Short term	L	Very low	Very Low	Low	Low	Low
	Very short	VL	Very low	Very Low	Very Low	Low	Low
INTENSITY =	L						
	Very long	VH	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Long term	Н	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	High
DURATION	Medium term	М	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium
	Short term	L	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
	Very short	VL	Very low	Low	Low	Low	Medium
INTENSITY =	М						
	Very long	VH	Medium	High	High	High	Very High
	Long term	Н	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
DURATION	Medium term	М	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Short term	L	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	High
	Very short	VL	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
INTENSITY =	н						
	Very long	VH	High	High	High	Very High	Very High
	Long term	н	Medium	High	High	High	Very High
DURATION	Medium term	М	Medium	Medium	High	High	High
	Short term	L	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Very short	VL	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	High
INTENSITY = VH							
	Very long	VH	High	High	Very High	Very High	Very High
	Long term	н	High	High	High	Very High	Very High
DURATION	Medium term	м	Medium	High	High	High	Very High
	Short term	L	Medium	Medium	High	High	High
	Very short	VL	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High

	1	1		
VL	L	M	н	VH
A part of	Whole site	Beyond the	Extending	Regional/
the site/		site,	far beyond	National
property		affecting	site but	
		neighbours	localised	
EXTENT				

PART C: DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE							
PROBABILITY	Definite/	νн	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High
(of exposure	Continuous	VII	Very Low	LOW	Wiedidini	ingn	Veryffigh
to impacts)	Probable	н	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High
	Possible/		Versileur	Veryley	Low	Madium	Lliah
	frequent	IVI	very Low	very Low	LOW	weatum	Fign
	Conceivable	L	Insignificant	Very Low	Low	Medium	High
	Unlikely/	VI	Incignificant	Incignificant	VeryLow	Low	Modium
	improbable	VL	insignificant	Insignmeant	VeryLow	LOW	weatum
			VL	L	м	н	VVH
			CONSEQUENCE				



PART D: INTE	PART D: INTERPRETATION OF SIGNIFICANCE				
Significance	Decision guideline				
Very High	Potential fatal flaw unless mitigated to lower significance.				
High	It must have an influence on the decision. Substantial mitigation will be required.				
Medium	It should have an influence on the decision. Mitigation will be required.				
Low	Unlikely that it will have a real influence on the decision. Limited mitigation is likely required.				
Very Low	It will not have an influence on the decision. Does not require any mitigation				
Insignificant	Inconsequential, not requiring any consideration.				

Mitigation measure development

The following points present the key concepts considered in the development of mitigation measures for the proposed development.

- Mitigation and performance improvement measures and actions that address the risks and impacts¹⁰ are identified and described in as much detail as possible.
- Measures and actions to address negative impacts will favour avoidance and prevention over minimisation, mitigation, or compensation.
- Desired outcomes are defined, and have been developed in such a way as to be measurable events with performance indicators, targets and acceptable criteria that can be tracked over defined periods, with estimates of the resources (including human resource and training requirements) and responsibilities for implementation.

Recommendations

Recommendations were developed to address and mitigate impacts associated with the proposed development. These recommendations also include general management measures which apply to the proposed development as a whole. Mitigation measures have been developed to address issues in all phases throughout the life of the operation from planning, through to construction and operation.



¹⁰ Mitigation measures should address both positive and negative impacts

APPENDIX E - Vegetation Type

Kathu Bushveld (SVk 12)



Figure E1: SVk 12 Kathu Bushveld: *Open savanna dominated by Vachellia erioloba, Senegalia mellifera and Grewia Flava* with low cover of *Stipagrostis ciliata* against the red sand east of Oupos, in the Kuruman District north of Kathu. Image by M.C. Rutherford.

Remarks: One of the most strikingly dominant areas of tall *Vachellia erioloba* is centred on the town of Kathu, which was built around many of these trees.

Plant Community	Species					
Dominant and typical floristic species						
Woody Layer						
Trees	Small Tree: Senegalia erubescens (d), Boscia albitrunca (d), Terminalia sericea. Tall Tree: Vachellia erioloba					
Shrubs	 Tall Shrub: Diospyros lycioides subsp. lycioides (d), Dichrostachys cinerea, Grewia flava, Gymnosporia buxifolia, Rhigozum brevispinosum. Low Shrubs: Aptosimum decumbens, Grewia retinervis, Nolletia arenosa, Sida cordifolia, Tragia dioica. Succulent Shrub: Kalanchoe rotundifolia, Talinum caffrum. 					
Forb layer						
Herbs	Acrotome inflata, Erlangea misera, Gisekia africana, Heliotropium ciliatum, Hermbstaedtia fleckii, H. odorata, Limeum fenestratum, L. viscosum, Lotononis platycarpa, Senna italica subsp. arachoides, Tribulus terrestris.					
Gramminoid layer						
Graminoids	Aristida meridionalis (d), Brachiaria nigropedata (d), Centropodia glauca (d), Eragrostis lehmanniana (d), Schmidtia pappophoroides (d), Stipagrostis ciliata (d), Aristida congesta, Eragrostis biflora, E. chloromelas, E. heteromera, E. pallens, Melinis repens, Schmidtia kalahariensis, Stipagrostis uniplumis, Tragus berteronianus.					

Table E1: Floristic s	pecies of The	Kathu Bushveld	(Mucina &	Rutherford.	2012).
				maniferrora,	2012/

*(d) is for dominant



APPENDIX F - Species Lists

Floral Species List

Table F1: Dominant floral species encountered during the field assessment. Alien species falling within an alien invasive category as per the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act No. 10 of 2004): Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2020 are indicated with an asterisk (*).

Scientific name	Senegalia melifera Thicket	Open Mixed Senegalia mellifera – Vachellia erioloba – Vachellia haematoxylon Woodland
	Woody & Shrub species	_
Senegalia mellifera	X	X
Grewia flava	X	X
Melolobium candicans	X	X
Vachellia erioloba	X	X
Vachellia haematoxylon		X
Senegalia hebeclada	X	X
Terminalia sericea	X	
Gewia bicolor	X	
Elephanthorhiza elephanthina	X	X
	Forb & Herb Species	
Aptosimum elongatum	X	
Crotalaria orientalis	X	X
Cucumis africanus	X	X
Dimorphotheca sp	X	
felicia muricata	X	
Pentzia calcarean	X	X
Senna italica	X	
Hermannia crystallina	X	X
Indigofera alternans	X	
Dimorphotheca sp.	X	
Acanthosicyos naudinianus	X	X
Chrysocoma obtusata	X	X
	Graminoid Species	
Schmidtia kalahariensis	X	X
Eragrostis lehmanniana	X	X
Pogonothria squarrosa	X	X
Stipagrostis uniplumis	X	X
Melenis repens	X	X
Aristida congesta	X	X
Aristida meridionalis	X	X
Digitaria eriantha	X	
Eragrosits nindensis	X	X
Stipagrostis obtusa	X	



Faunal Species List

At the time of the assessment, a limited number of faunal species were observed. Faunal species are however considered to be limited to common species adapted to increased levels of anthropogenic activities.

Table F2: Mammal species observed within the proposed railway loop.

Scientific Name	Common Name	IUCN
Cryptomus hottentosus	Common mole-rat)	LC
Raphicerus campestris	Steenbok	LC
Lupulella mesomelas	Black-backed jackal	LC
Tragelaphus strepsiceros	Kudu	LC
Elephantulus intufi	Bushveld Sengi	LC
Lepus capensis	Cape Hare	LC
Hystrix africaeaustralis	Porcupine	LC

LC = Least Concern

Table F3: Avifaunal species observed within the proposed railway loop.

Scientific Name	Common Name	IUCN
Streptopelia capicola	Cape turtledove	LC
Pycnonotus nigricans	Red-eyed Bulbul	LC
Columba guinea	Speckled pigeon	LC
Uraeginthus granatinus	Violet eared waxbill	LC
Colies colius	White-backed mousebird	LC
Afrotis afraoides	Northern Black Korhaan	LC
Ploceus velatus	Southern masked weaver	LC
Tockus leucomelas	Southern yellow-billed hornbill	LC
Laniarius astrococcineus	Crimson-breasted shrike	LC
Upupa africana	African Hoopoe	LC
Prinia masulosa	Karoo Prinia	LC
Passer melanurus	Cape Sparrow	LC
Sporopipes squamifrons	Scaly-feathered Finch	LC
Spreo bicolor	Pied Starling	LC
Saxicola torquata	African Stonechat	LC
Cisticola fulvicapillus	Neddicky	LC
Elanus caeruleus	Black-shouldered Kite	LC
Tchagra senegalus	Black-crowned Tchagra	LC
Calendulauda africanoides	Fawn-coloured Lark	LC
Dicrurus adsimilis	Fork-tailed Drongo	LC
Parus cinerascens	Ashy Tit	LC
Batis pririt	Pririt Batis	LC
Sigelus silens	Fiscal Flycatcher	LC
Erythropygia paena	Kalahari scrub Robin	LC

LC = Least Concern

Table F4: Insect species observed with the proposed railway loop

Scientific Name	Common Name	IUCN
Hodotermes mossambicus	Northern harvester termite	NYBA
Junonia hierta	Yellow Pansy	LC
Passalidius fortipes	Burrowing ground beetle	NYBA



Scientific Name	Common Name	IUCN
Apterogyna sp.	Velvet ant	NA
Gonometa postica	African silk moth	NYBA
Calidea dregii	Rainbow Shield Bug	NYBA
Belenois aurota	Brown-veined White	NYBA
Danaus chrysippus	African Monarch	NYBA
Eurema brigitta	Broad-bordered Grass Yellow	NYBA
Spalia sp	Sandman	NYBA
Loxostege frustalis	Karoo Moth	NYBA
Pachylomerus femoralis	Flattened Giant Dung Beetle	NYBA
Sphingonotus scabriculus	Blue-wing	NYBA
Sternocera sp	Giant Jewel Bug	NYBA
Acanthacris ruficornis	Garden Locust	NYBA
Gastrimargus sp.	N/A	NYBA
Rhachitopis sp	N/A	NYBA
Systophlochius palochius	Orange wing	NYBA
Anterhynchium fallax	N/A	NYBA
Camponotus fulvopilosus	Bal-byter	NYBA
Cynthia cardui	Painted Lady Butterfly	LC
Pantala flavescens	Wandering Glider	LC
Mylabris oculata	CMR Bean Beetle	NYBA

LC = Least concerned, NYBA = Not yet been assessed by the IUCN, DD = Data deficient

Table F5: Arachnid species observed within the proposed railway loop.

Scientific Name	Common Name	IUCN
Ageledidae sp	Funnel-web Spider	NYBA

NYBA = Not yet been assessed by the IUCN

Table F6: Reptile species observed within the proposed railway loop.

Scientific Name	Common Name	IUCN
Agama aculeata	Ground agama	LC
Pedioplanis namaquensis	Namaqua Sand Lizard	LC

NYBA = Not yet been assessed by the IUCN



APPENDIX G - Floral SCC

South Africa uses the internationally endorsed <u>IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria</u> in the Red List of South African plants. This scientific system is designed to measure species' risk of extinction. The purpose of this system is to highlight those species that are most urgently in need of conservation action. Due to its strong focus on determining risk of extinction, the IUCN system does not highlight species that are at low risk of extinction but may nonetheless be of high conservation importance. Because the Red List of South African plants is used widely in South African conservation practices such as systematic conservation planning or protected area expansion, we use an amended system of categories designed to highlight those species that are at low risk of extinction but of conservation concern.

Definitions of the national Red List categories

Categories marked with ^N are non-IUCN, national Red List categories for species not in danger of extinction but considered of conservation concern. The IUCN equivalent of these categories is Least Concern (LC).

- Extinct (EX) A species is Extinct when there is no reasonable doubt that the last individual has died. Species should be classified as Extinct only once exhaustive surveys throughout the species' known range have failed to record an individual.
- Extinct in the Wild (EW) A species is Extinct in the Wild when it is known to survive only in cultivation or as a naturalized population (or populations) well outside the past range.
- **Regionally Extinct (RE)** A species is Regionally Extinct when it is extinct within the region assessed (in this case South Africa), but wild populations can still be found in areas outside the region.
- **Critically Endangered, Possibly Extinct (CR PE)** Possibly Extinct is a special tag associated with the category Critically Endangered, indicating species that are highly likely to be extinct, but the exhaustive surveys required for classifying the species as Extinct has not yet been completed. A small chance remains that such species may still be rediscovered.
- **Critically Endangered (CR)** A species is Critically Endangered when the best available evidence indicates that it meets at least one of the five IUCN criteria for Critically Endangered, indicating that the species is facing an extremely high risk of extinction.
- Endangered (EN) A species is Endangered when the best available evidence indicates that it meets at least one of the five IUCN criteria for Endangered, indicating that the species is facing a very high risk of extinction.
- **Vulnerable (VU)** A species is Vulnerable when the best available evidence indicates that it meets at least one of the five IUCN criteria for Vulnerable, indicating that the species is facing a high risk of extinction.
- **Near Threatened (NT)** A species is Near Threatened when available evidence indicates that it nearly meets any of the IUCN criteria for Vulnerable and is therefore likely to become at risk of extinction in the near future.
- **Critically Rare** A species is Critically Rare when it is known to occur at a single site but is not exposed to any direct or plausible potential threat and does not otherwise qualify for a category of threat according to one of the five IUCN criteria.
- **NRare** A species is Rare when it meets at least one of four South African criteria for rarity but is not exposed to any direct or plausible potential threat and does not qualify for a category of threat according to one of the five IUCN criteria. The four criteria are as follows:
 - Restricted range: Extent of Occurrence (EOO) <500 km², OR
 - Habitat specialist: Species is restricted to a specialized microhabitat so that it has a very small Area of Occupancy (AOO), typically smaller than 20 km², OR
 - Low densities of individuals: Species always occurs as single individuals or very small subpopulations (typically fewer than 50 mature individuals) scattered over a wide area, OR
 Small global population: Less than 10 000 mature individuals.
- Least Concern A species is Least Concern when it has been evaluated against the IUCN criteria and does not qualify for any of the above categories. Species classified as Least Concern are considered at low risk of extinction. Widespread and abundant species are typically classified in this category.



- Data Deficient Insufficient Information (DDD) A species is DDD when there is inadequate information to make an assessment of its risk of extinction, but the species is well defined. Listing of species in this category indicates that more information is required, and that future research could show that a threatened classification is appropriate.
- **Data Deficient Taxonomically Problematic (DDT)** A species is DDT when taxonomic problems hinder the distribution range and habitat from being well defined, so that an assessment of risk of extinction is not possible.
- Not Evaluated (NE) A species is Not Evaluated when it has not been evaluated against the criteria. The national Red List of South African plants is a comprehensive assessment of all South African indigenous plants, and therefore all species are assessed and given a national Red List status. However, some species included in <u>Plants of southern Africa: an online checklist</u> are species that do not qualify for national listing because they are naturalized exotics, hybrids (natural or cultivated), or synonyms. These species are given the status Not Evaluated and the reasons why they have not been assessed are included in the assessment justification.

Floral Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) that were assessed for the proposed railway loop are listed within the table below:

According to the Floral Species List obtained from BODATSA, there are no Floral SCC expected for the area.

Family	Scientific Name	Habitat	POC
Fabaceae	Vachellia erioloba	Savanna, semi-desert, and desert areas with deep, sandy soils and along drainage lines in very arid areas, sometimes in rocky outcrops	100%
Fabaceae	Vachellia haematoxylon	Bushveld, usually on deep Kalahari sand between dunes and dry watercourses.	100%
Capparaceae	Boscia albitrunca	This species is found in the drier parts of southern Africa, in areas of low rainfall.	80%

Table G1: Tree list according to the National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998) for the tree species expected to occur within the assessment zone.

Table G2: POC assessment results for provincially protected floral species as per the Northern Cape Nature Conservation Act, 2009 (Act No. 9 of 2009) (NCNCA). Threatened status and additional information on species threat status, habitat and distribution was obtained from The Red List of South African Plants (<u>http://redlist.sanbi.org/index.php</u>). The Potential of Occurrence (POC) of these floral SCC within the assessment zone is also provided.

Species	Habitat and distribution details	IUCN	POC
Schedule 1 Specially Protected Species FAMILY PEDALIACEAE			
Harpagophytum procumbens	Geophyte, herb, creeper Provincial distribution : Free State, Limpopo, Northern Cape, North West Major habitats: Terrestrial Description: Well drained sandy habitats in open savanna and woodlands Population trend: Stable.	LC	High



Species	Habitat and distribution details	IUCN	POC
Schedule 1 Specially Protected Species FAMILY FABACEAE			
Lessertia frutescens subsp. frutescens	Shrub Provincial distribution : Eastern Cape, Free State, KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga, Northern Cape, Western Cape Major habitats: Terrestrial	LC	Medium
FAMILY AIZO	Schedule 2 Protected Species ACEAE (MESEMBRYANTHEMACEAE) - All species except those liste	ed as Schedule	1
Chasmatophyllum musculinum	Succulent Provincial distribution : Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Northern Cape, North West, Western Cape Major habitats: Terrestrial Description: Wide, but sparse distribution within the southern African interior. Habitat can range from rocky areas to deeper soils (Smith et al. 1998).	LC	Low
Ebracteola wilmaniae	Succulent Range : Widespread across the Northern Cape and North West Province, from Zeerust to Prieska. Major habitats: Grassland, Savanna. Description: Lithosols in chert or dolomite outcrops in grassland.	LC	Low
Lithops aucampiae subsp. aucampiae var. aucampiae	Succulent Range: Northern Cape. Kimberly to Upington. Major habitats: Savanna. Description: Red quartzite.	LC	Low
Galenia collina	Dwarf shrub Provincial distribution: Northern Cape, Western Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: None provided.	LC	Low
Galenia prostrata	Dwarf shrub Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape, Free State, Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: None provided. Population trend: Stable.	LC	Low
Nananthus aloides	Succulent Range: Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Widespread in the climatically severe southern African interior. It grows mostly at the edge of pans in finely decomposed limestone, the plants often sunken into the ground, or among stones (The encyclopaedia of succulents). Population trend: None provided.	LC	Low
Plinthus cryptocarpus	Dwarf shrub Range: Northern Cape Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: None provided. Population trend: None provided.	LC	Low
Prepodesma orpenii	Succulent Range : Northern Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Arid subtropics. It grows in dry plane lands on barren loamy shales or in crevices between quartzitic limestone stones (The encyclopaedia of succulents). Population trend: Stable.	LC	Low
Ruschia griquensis	Succulent; shrub Range: Free State, Northern Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial Description: The plant sprawls on exposed, stony ground. Population trend: Stable.	LC	Low
Tetragonia arbuscula	Succulent; dwarf shrub Range: Eastern Cape, Free State, Northern Cape, Western Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Not provided.	LC	Low


Species	Habitat and distribution details	IUCN	POC
	Population trend: Not provided.		
Tetragonia calycina	Succulent; dwarf shrub Range: Eastern Cape, Free State, Northern Cape, Western Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Not provided. Population trend: Not provided.	LC	Low
F	Schedule 2 Protected Species	ule 1	
Boophone disticha	Geophyte Range: Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Northern Cape, North West, Western Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Dry grassland and rocky areas. Population trend: Decreasing.	LC	Confirmed
Nerine laticoma	Geophyte Range: Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial.	LC	Medium
	Schedule 2 Protected Species		
	FAMILY APOCYNACEAE - All species except those listed as Schedul	le 1	
Brachystelma circinatum	 Range: Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Western Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Grows in various stony places and has adapted to different environmental factors (The encyclopaedia of succulents). Population trend: Not provided. 	LC	Low
Cynanchum orangeanum	Herb Range: Eastern Cape, Free State, Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Population trend: Not provided.	LC	Low
Fockea angustifolia	Succulent; climber Range : Free State, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Northern Cape, North West Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Occurs in dry areas on stony hillsides on granite or limestone (Pooley, 2005).	LC	Low
Gomphocarpus fruticosus	 Herb; shrub Range: Widespread across South Africa, extending northwards to Angola, Zambia and Mozambique. Major habitats: Albany Thicket, Desert, Fynbos, Grassland, Indian Ocean Coastal Belt, Nama Karoo, Savanna, Succulent Karoo. Description: Dry sandy soils in open or disturbed places, often on riverbanks. 	LC	Low
Gomphocarpus tomentosus	Herb; shrub Range : Widespread across the central and north-eastern interior of South Africa, extending northwards within southern Africa to southern Angola, Zimbabwe and southern Mozambique. Major habitats: Grassland, Nama Karoo, Savanna. Description: Sandy open or disturbed areas.	LC	Low
Huernia barbata subsp. ingeae	Succulent Range: Northern Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Not provided.	LC	Low
Microloma armatum	Dwarf shrub; shrub Range : Widespread, but sparsely distributed across southern Namibia and the Northern Cape Province, South Africa, extending as far south as Karoopoort east of Ceres in the Western Cape. Major habitats: Nama Karoo, Savanna, Succulent Karoo. Description: Arid shrubland and thornveld. Sometimes restricted to rock formations.	LC	Low
Orbea sp	Succulent Range: Widespread species	LC	Medium



Species	Habitat and distribution details	IUCN	POC		
Pachypodium succulentum	Succulent; shrub Range : Eastern Cape, Northern Cape, Western Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: It occurs in rocky grassland, koppies, steep hills and succulent scrub vegetation in the Western, Eastern and Northern Cape and western Free State, at altitudes up to 1 400 m (SANBI PlantZAfrica). **This species is listed on Appendix II of CITES (the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora).	LC	Low		
FAMILY ASPH	Schedule 2 Protected Species ODELACEAE - All species except those listed as Schedule 1, and the	species Aloe fei	rox		
Aloidendron dichotomum	 Range: From Nieuwoudtville east to Olifantsfontein and northwards to the Brandberg in Namibia. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: On north-facing rocky slopes (particularly dolomite) in the south of its range. Any slopes and sandy flats in the central and northern parts of range. Population trend: Decreasing. 	VU	Low		
Bulbine abyssinica	Succulent; geophyte; herb Range : Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Northern Cape, North West, Western Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: It favours rocky grassland and shallow soil overlying rock but can also be found in woodland and along seepage areas.	LC	Low		
Trachyandra saltii	Succulent; geophyte Range : Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: In rocky montane grassland, margins of forest and vleis and open woodland, often on stony or sandy soils, including Kalahari sand.	LC	Low		
Schedule 2 Protected Species FAMILY CAPPARACEAE - Boscia spr., i.e. Shenherd's trees, all species					
Boscia albitrunca	Shrub; tree Range : Free State, Gauteng, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: This species is found in the drier parts of southern Africa, in areas of low rainfall.	LC	Medium		
	Schedule 2 Protected Species FAMILY CELASTRACEAE - Gymnosporia spp. All species				
Gymnosporia buxifolia	Shrub; tree Range : Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Northern Cape, North West, Western Cape. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Its natural habitat is in grasslands, fynbos, Nama-karoo, forests, thickets and savanna-bushveld. It occurs on hillsides, dry slopes of valleys, sometimes in riverbeds, often on termite mounds and it is often found as undergrowth to taller trees.	LC	Low		
Schedule 2 Protected Species FAMILY CRASSULACEAE - All species except those listed in Schedule 1					
Crassula corallina	Succulent; herb Range : Northern Cape (Subsp. <i>corallina</i> , also occurs in the Eastern Cape, Free State, North West, Western Cape). Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: It grows in quartzite outcrops in desert-like habitat and dry floodplain (The encyclopaedia of succulents).	LC	Low		
Crassula muscosa	Succulent; herb Range : This species is widespread across Namaqualand, Bushmanland and the Karoo, extending to the coastal lowlands of the Western Cape and the western half of the Eastern Cape. It also occurs in Namibia. Major habitats: Terrestrial, including Postmasburg Thornveld.	NE	Low		



Species	Habitat and distribution details	IUCN	POC		
	Description: Occurs sheltered under shrubs or in rocky places in karroid shrubland vallov bushvald and funder				
Kalanchoe rotundifolia	 Karroid sindband, valley busited and tynoos. Succulent; dwarf shrub Range: Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: A very common plant found growing as a pioneer plant usually in shade or half-shade, single or in large communities under trees or shrubs in bushland, woodland, open and secondary forests, savanna, open veld; sandy, limestone, brackish or rocky soils or on rocks either in dry or wet habitats. 	LC	Low		
Schedule 2 Protected Species					
Eunhorbia crassines	FAMILY EUPHORBIACEAE - Euphorbia spp. All species				
or potentially <i>Euphorbia</i> <i>fusca</i> The separation of these two species as distinct is	Range: Northern Cape. Major habitats: Namibia to Kliprand, Pofadder, Prieska and Kimberley. Description: Gravelly flats.	LC	Low		
not universally accepted.	Suitable habitat on site: Rocky Habitat.				
Euphorbia davyi	Range: Gauteng, Limpopo, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial.	LC	Low		
Euphorbia duseimata	Succulent; dwarf shrub Range : Free State, Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Sandy or turfy soils, Kalahari Thornveld and Bushveld.	LC	Low		
Euphorbia gariepina	Succulent Range: Northern Cape and Namibia. From the Orange River to 160 km north of Windhoek. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Sandy, gravelly soils.	LC	Low		
Euphorbia wilmaniae	Spineless dwarf succulent Range : Northern Cape. Griqualand West Centre endemic species. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Among boulders and rocks, often concealed in the crevices of the rocks.	LC	Low		
Schedule 2 Protected Species					
Eucomis autumnalis	Geophyte Range: South Africa, Swaziland, Lesotho, Botswana, Zimbabwe and Malawi. Major habitats: Grassland Description: Damp, open grassland and sheltered places from the coast to 2450 m.	NE	Low		
	Schedule 2 Protected Species	1			
Babiana bainesii	Geophyte; herb Range: Limpopo, Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Grassland, usually among small rocks.	LC	Low		
Babiana hypogaea	Geophyte; herb Range : Free State, Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: Red sand plains. Usually in Kalahari Sand or stony laterite in open woodland or grassland.	LC	Medium		
Duthieastrum linifolium	Geophyte; herb Range: Free State, Northern Cape, North West. Major habitats: Terrestrial. Description: None provided	LC	Low		
Freesia andersoniae	Geophyte; herb Range : Eastern Cape, Free State, Northern Cape, North West. Widespread across the central interior of South Africa. Major babitats : Grassland Nama Karoo, Savanna	LC	Low		



Species	Habitat and distribution details	IUCN	POC
	Description: Wedged among rocks on lower slopes of dolerite and		
	dolomite outcrops.		
	Range: Free State, Northern Cape, Western Cape.		
Gladiolus orchidiflorus	Major habitats: Terrestrial.	LC	Low
	Description: Found on clay and sandstone soils from Namibia to Cape		
	Flats and also to Free State and flowers in the spring.		
	Range: Eastern Cape, North West, Western Cape.		
Moraea polystachya	Major habitats: Terrestrial.	LC	Low
	Description: The habitat is well-drained flats and slight slopes, with		
	collectors often referring to the presence of calcrete deposits.		
F.	AMILY MELIACEAE - Nymania capensis (Thunb.) (Lindb.) Chinese La	ntern	
	Tree; shrub		
	Range: Eastern Cape, Northern Cape, Western Cape.		
Nymania capensis	Major habitats:	LC	Low
	dry sandy rivers		
	Schedule 2 Protected Species		
FAM	ILY OLEACEAE - Olea europaea subsp. africana (Mill.) (P.S. Green) W	/ild olive	
	Tree Brown Faster Orea Free State Octation Kerzich Natal Lingues		
	Kange: Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, KwaZulu-INatal, Limpopo,		
Olea europaea subsp.	Maior habitats: Terrestrial		Low
africana	Description: This tree is found in a variety of habitats, often near water,		2011
	e.g. on rocky hillsides, on stream banks and in woodland (where it can		
	reach 12 m) (SANBI PlantZAfrica).		
FAMILY OXA	Schedule 2 Protected Species	ed in Schedule 1	
	Geophyte		
Oxalis lawsonii	Range: Free State, Northern Cape, North West.	LC	Low
	Major habitats: Terrestrial.		
	Schedule 2 Protected Species FAMILY SCROPHULARIACEAE - Jamesbrittenia spp. All species		
	Shrub; dwarf shrub		
	Range: Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, Northern Cape, North		
Jamesbrittenia	West, Western Cape.	LC	Low
atropurpurea	Major habitats: Terrestrial.	-	-
	among scrub		
	Dwarf shrub		
	Range: Eastern Cape, Northern Cape.		
lamoshrittonia tysonii	Major habitats: Terrestrial.		Low
Jamesbrittenia tysonii	Description: It grows on slopes, along seasonal watercourses among	20	LOW
	scrub adapted to semi-arid terrain; also, on degraded land (Operation		
	Herb		
Manulea burchellii	Major habitate: Terrestrial	LC	Low
	Description: None provided		

CR PE = Critically Endangered (Possibly Extinct); **EN**= Endangered; **EW** = Extinct in the Wild; **NT** = Near Threatened; **VU**= Vulnerable; **P**= Protected **LC** = Least Concern; **POC** = Probability of Occurrence.

Table G3: TOPS list for South Africa – plant species.



NEM:BA TOPS LIST (PLANT SPECIES)				
Scientific Name	Common Name	POC	Provincial Distribution	Conservation Status
Adenia wilmsii	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Mpumalanga Range: Lydenburg to Waterval Boven Description: Dolerite outcrops or red loam soil, in open woodland, 1300-1500 m.	EN; P
Adenium swazicum	Swaziland Impala Lily	Low	Range: Kruger National Park to Swaziland along the Lebombo Mountains and adjacent areas in south-western Mozambique.	VU
Adenium swazicum	Swaziland Impala Lily	Low	Provincial distribution: Mpumalanga	VU
Aloe albida	Grass Aloe	Low	Provincial distribution: Mpumalanga Range: Aloe albida has a restricted range in the mountains south of Barberton, Mpumalanga, extending to Malolotja in north- western Swaziland.	NT
Aloe pillansii (now Aloidendron pillansii)	False Quiver Tree	Low	Provincial distribution: Northern Cape Range: Richtersveld and southern Namibia.	EN
Aloe simii	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Mpumalanga Range: This species is endemic to a small area in the transition area between the Mpumalanga Lowveld and Escarpment, where it occurs from Sabie southwards to White River and around Nelspruit. Description: It occurs along drainage lines and in wetlands in open woodland and grassland, 600-1100 m.	EN; P
Clivia mirabilis	Oorlogskloof Bush	Low	Provincial distribution: Northern Cape,	VU; P
Diaphananthe millarii	Tree Orchid	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal Range: East London and Durban.	VU
Disa macrostachya	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Northern Cape	EN; P
Disa nubigena	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Western Cape	Rare; P
Disa physodes	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Western Cape	CR; P
Disa procera	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Western Cape	EN; P
Disa sabulosa	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Western Cape	EN; P
Encephalartos aemulans	Ngotshe Cycad	LOW	Provincial distribution: KwaZulu-Natal	CR
Encephalartos altensteinii	Bread Palm	Low	KwaZulu-Natal	VU; P
Encephalartos arenarius	Dune Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape	EN
Encephalartos brevifoliolatus	Escarpment Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	EW
Encephalartos caffer	Breadfruit Tree	Low	KwaZulu-Natal	NT; P
Encephalartos cerinus	Waxen Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: KwaZulu-Natal	CR
Encephalartos cupidus	Blyde River Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo, Mpumalanga Description: Grassland, on steep, rocky slopes or cliffs and sometimes near seepage areas bordering gallery forests.	CR
Encephalartos dolomiticus	Wolkberg Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	CR
Encephalartos dyerianus	Lowveld Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	CR; P
Encephalartos eugene- maraisii	Waterberg Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	EN
Encephalartos friderici- guilielmi	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal	NT; P
Encephalartos ghellinckii	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal	VU; P
Encephalartos heenanii	Woolly Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Mpumalanga Description: Open areas of montane grasslands amidst scarp forest in deep valleys and ravines.	CR
Encephalartos hirsutus	Venda Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	CR



NEM:BA TOPS LIST (PLANT SPECIES)				
Scientific Name	Common Name	POC	Provincial Distribution	Conservation Status
Encephalartos horridus	Eastern Cape Blue Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape	EN
Encephalartos humilis	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Mpumalanga Description: Montane and mistbelt grassland, rocky sandstone slopes.	VU; P
Encephalartos inopinus	Lydenburg Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	CR
Encephalartos laevifolius	Kaapsehoop Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga Description: Steep, rocky slopes in mistbelt grassland, 1300-1500 m.	CR
Encephalartos lanatus	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Gauteng and western Mpumalanga Description: Sheltered, wooded ravines in sandstone ridges, 1200-1500 m.	NT; P
Encephalartos latifrons	Albany Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape	CR
Encephalartos lebomboensis	Lebombo Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga Description: Cliffs and rocky ravines in savanna and grassland.	EN
Encephalartos lehmannii	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape	NT; P
Encephalartos longifolius	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape	NT; P
Encephalartos middelburgensis	Middelburg Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Gauteng, Mpumalanga Description: Open grasslands and in sheltered valleys.	CR
Encephalartos msinganus	Msinga, Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: KwaZulu-Natal	CR
Encephalartos natalensis	Natal Giant Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal	NT; P
Encephalartos ngoyanus	Ngoye Dwarf Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: KwaZulu-Natal	VU
Encephalartos nubimontanus	Blue Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	EW
Encephalartos paucidentatus	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Mpumalanga Description: Forest, occurs on steep rocky slopes and alongside streams in deep gorges.	VU; P
Encephalartos princeps	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape	VU; P
Encephalartos senticosus	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: KwaZulu-Natal	VU; P
Encephalartos transvenosus	Modjadje Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	LC; P
Encephalartos trispinosus	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape	VU; P
Encephalartos woodii	Wood's Cycad	Low	Provincial distribution: KwaZulu-Natal	EW
Euphorbia clivicola	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	CR; P
Euphorbia meloformis	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape	NI;P
Euphorbia obesa Harpagophytum procumbens	No common name Devil's Claw	Low Confirmed	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape Provincial distribution: Free State, Limpopo,	EN; P LC: P
Harpagophytum zeyherii	Devil's Claw	Low	Provincial distribution: Gauteng, Limpopo,	LC; P
Hoodia currorii	Ghaan	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	D
	бпаар	LOW	Provincial distribution: Eree State Northern	Г
Hoodia gordonii	Ghaap	Medium	Cape, Western Cape	DDD; P
	Pondoland Coconut	LOW	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape	EN
Merwilla plumbea	Blue Squill	Low	Major habitats: Grassland <u>Description</u> : Montane mistbelt and Ngongoni grassland, rocky areas on steep, well drained slopes. 300-2500 m.	NT
Newtonia hildebrandtii var. hildebrandtii	Lebombo Wattle	Low	Provincial distribution: KwaZulu-Natal	Now LC
Protea odorata	Swartland Sugarbush	Low	Provincial distribution: Western Cape	CR; P



NEM:BA TOPS LIST (PLANT SPECIES)				
Scientific Name	Common Name	POC	Provincial Distribution	Conservation Status
Siphonochilus aethiopicus	Wild Ginger	Low	Provincial distribution: KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga Range: Sporadically from the Letaba catchment in the Limpopo Lowveld to Swaziland. Extinct in KwaZulu-Natal. Widespread elsewhere in Africa. Description: Tall open or closed woodland, wooded grassland or bushveld.	CR
Stangeria eriopus	No common name	Low	Provincial distribution: Eastern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal	VU; P
Warburgia salutaris	Pepper-bark Tree	Low	Provincial distribution: KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga Range: North-eastern KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga and Limpopo Province. Also occurs in Swaziland, Mozambique and Zimbabwe and Malawi. Description: Variable, including coastal, riverine, dune and montane forest as well as open woodland and thickets.	EN
Zantedeschia jucunda	Yellow Arum Lilly	Low	Provincial distribution: Limpopo	VU

CR = Critically Endangered, EN = Endangered, EW = Extinct in the Wild, NT = Near Threatened, VU = Vulnerable, P = Protected, POC = Probability of Occurrence.



APPENDIX H - Faunal SCC

The tables below list the faunal Species of Conservation Concern for the assessment zone:

Scientific Name	Common Name	Threat Status
Homopus signatus	Speckled tortoise	VU
Pachydactylus goodi	Good's Gecko	VU
Cordylus macropholis	Large-scaled Lizard	Р
Cordylus imkeae	Rooiberg Girdled Lizard	Р
Opistophthalmus ater	Steinkopf Burrowing Scorpion	CR
Acinonyx jubatus	Cheetah	VU
Manis temminckii	Pangolin	VU
Ceratotherium simum	Southern White Rhinoceros	Р
Crocuta crocuta	Spotted Hyaena	Р
Felis nigripes	Black-footed Cat	Р
Hyaena brunnea	Brown Hyaena	NT
Neophron percnopterus	Egyptian Vulture	CR
Aquila rapax	Tawny Eagle	EN
Torgos tracheliotos	Lappet-faced Vulture	EN
Gyps africanus	White-backed Vulture	CR
Gyps coprotheres	Cape Vulture	EN
Neotis Iudwigii	Ludwig's Bustard	EN
Polemaetus bellicosus	Martial Eagle	EN
Terathopius ecaudatus	Bateleur	EN
Anthropoides paradiseus	Blue Crane	Р
Ardeotis kori	Kori Bustard	Р
Orycteropus afer	Aardvark	Р

Table H1: TOPS list of faunal species (2007) expected to occur within the Northern Cape.

CR= Critically Endangered, EN=Endangered, NT=Near Threatened, VU=Vulnerable, P=Protected

Table H2: Threatened species not yet listed above that may occur in the area.

Common Name	Species	NCCA 2009 Status	IUCN 2015 Status
Honey badger	Mellivora capensis	Specially Protected	LC
African wild cat	Felis silvestris	Specially protected	LC
Striped polecat	Ictonyx striatus	Specially protected	LC
African striped weasel	Poecilogale albinucha	Specially protected	LC
Aardwolf	Proteles cristata	Specially protected	LC
Cape fox	Vulpes chama	Specially protected	LC
Southern African hedgehog	Atelerix frontalis	Specially protected	LC
Leopard	Panthera pardus	Specially protected	VU
Black eagle	Aquila verreauxii	Specially Protected	VU
White-backed Vulture	Gyps africanus	Specially Protected	CR
Ludwig's Bustard	Neotis Iudwigii	Specially protected	EN
Martial Eagle	Polemeatus bellicosus	Specially Protected	EN
Tawny Eagle	Aquila rapax	Specially Protected	EN
Cape Vulture	Gyps coprotheres	Specially Protected	EN
Lappet-faced Vulture	Torgos tracheliotos	Specially Protected	EN
Burchell's courser	Cursorius rufus	Protected	VU
Lanner Falcon	Falco biarmicus	Specially Protected	VU
Secretarybird	Sagittarius serpentarius	Specially Protected	VU
Kori Bustard	Ardeotis kori	NA	NT
African Rock Pipit	Anthus crenatus	Protected	NT
Burrowing scorpion	Opistophthalmus carinatus	Specially Protected	NYBA
Burrowing scorpion	Opistophthalmus wahlbergii	Specially Protected	NYBA
Common flap-neck chameleon	Chamaeleo dilepis	Specially Protected	LC
African rock python	Python sebae	Specially Protected	

EN = Endangered, CR = Critically Endangered, VU = Vulnerable, NT = Near Threatened, LC = Least Concern, NYBA = Not yet been assessed, NE = Not Evaluated, NA = Not applicable



The Northern Cape Nature Conservation Act, 2009 (Act No. 9 of 2009) (NCNCA) lists several Specially Protected (Schedule 1) and several Protected Species (Schedule 2). Should any species that scored a POC of high, or whose presence was confirmed within the proposed railway loop area during the site assessment, it will be listed below. The lists as per the NCNCA can be accessed in the link provided:

https://sherloc.unodc.org/cld/uploads/res/document/northern-cape-nature-conservation-act-9of-2009_html/NC_Nature_Conservation_Act.pdf

Table He: Athadhal e				
PENTADS	LINK TO PENTAD SUMMARY ON THE SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2 WEB PAGE			
2705_2250	http://sabap2.adu.org.za/coverage/pentad/2705_2250			
2710_2250	http://sabap2.adu.org.za/coverage/pentad/2710_2250			

Table H3: Avifaunal Species for the pentads 2705_2250 and 2710_2250 within the QDS 2722BB



APPENDIX I - Specialist information

1. (a) (i) Details of the specialist who prepared the report

Christopher Hooton	B.Tech Nature Conservation (Tshwane University of Technology)
Christien. Steyn	MSc Plant Science (University of Pretoria)
Kim Marais	BSc (Hons) Zoology (University of the Witwatersrand)
Sanja Erwee	BSc (Zoology) (University of Pretoria)

1. (a). (ii) The expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae

Company of Specialist:	Scientific Terrestrial Services			
Name / Contact person:	Christien Steyn			
Postal address:	PO. Box 751779, Gardenview			
Postal code:	2047	Fax:	011 615 6240/ 086 724 3132	
Telephone:	011 616 7893			
E-mail:	christien@sasenvgroup.co.	za		
Qualifications	MSc (Plant Science) (Universi	ty of Pretoria)		
	BSc (Hons) Plant Science (Inv	asion Biology)	(University of Pretoria)	
	BSc Environmental Science (L	Jniversity of Pre	etoria)	
Registration / Associations	Member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (SACNASP)			
	Member of the South African A	Association of B	otanists (SAAB)	
	Member of the Botanical Society of South Africa (BotSoc)			
Company of Specialist:	Scientific Terrestrial Services			
Name / Contact person:	Kim Marais			
Postal address:	PO. Box 751779, Gardenview	V		
Postal code:	2047 Fax: 086 724 3132			
Telephone:	011 616 7893			
E-mail:	kim@sasenvgroup.co.za			
Qualifications	BSc (Hons) Zoology (University of the Witwatersrand)			
	BSc (Zoology and Conservation) (University of the Witwatersrand)			
Registration / Associations	Registered Professional Scientist at South African Council for Natural Scientific			
	Professions (SACNASP)			
	Member of South African Wetland Forum			

1. (b) a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority

I, Christopher Hooton, declare that –

I act as the independent specialist in this application;

- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct.



Specialist Signature

I, Christien Steyn, declare that -

- I act as the independent specialist (reviewer) in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct

Signature of the Specialist

I, Sanja Erwee, declare that -

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my
 possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with
 respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document
 to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct



Signature of the Specialist

I, Kim Marais, declare that -

- I act as the **independent specialist (reviewer)** in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct

Signature of the Specialist



CURRICULUM VITAE OF CHRISTOPHER HOOTON

PERSONAL DETAILS		
Position in Company	Senior Scientist, Member	
	Biodiversity Specialist	
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2013	
EDUCATION		
Qualifications		
BTech Nature Conservation (Tshwane University of Te National Diploma Nature Conservation (Tshwane Univ	echnology) /ersity of Technology)	2013 2008
Short Courses Certificate – Department of Environmental Science in Compliance and Enforcement (UNISA) Introduction to Project Management - Online course b	Legal context of Environmental Management, y the University of Adelaide	2009 2016
Integrated Water Resource Management, the Nationa focusing on WULAs and IWWMPs	I Water Act, and Water Use Authorisations,	2017

AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE

South Africa – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West, Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape, Western Cape, Northern Cape, Free State Africa - Zimbabwe, Sierra Leone

KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

Biodiversity Assessments

- Floral Assessments
- Faunal Assessments
- Biodiversity Actions Plan (BAP)
- Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP)
- Alien and Invasive Control Plan (AICP)
- Ecological Scan
- Protected Tree and Floral Marking and Reporting
- Biodiversity Offset Plan

Freshwater Assessments

- Freshwater Verification Assessment
- Freshwater (wetland / riparian) Delineation and Assessment
- Freshwater Eco Service and Status Determination
- Rehabilitation Assessment / Planning





CURRICULUM VITAE OF CHRISTIEN STEYN

PERSONAL DETAILS		
Position in Company	Floral Ecologist	
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2018	
MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES		
Member of the South African Council for Natural Scier	tific Professions (SACNASP)	
Member of the South African Association of Botanists	(SAAB)	
Member of the Botanical Society of South Africa (BotS	loc)	
EDUCATION		
Qualifications		
MSc (Plant Science) (University of Pretoria)		2017
BSc (Hons) Plant Science (Invasion Biology) (Universit	ty of Pretoria)	2014
BSc Environmental Science (University of Pretoria)		2013

AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE

South Africa – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West, Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Northern Cape, Free State

KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

Biodiversity Assessments

- Terrestrial Ecological and Biodiversity Scoping Assessments
- Terrestrial Ecological and Biodiversity Screening Assessments
- Floral Assessments
- Input into Terrestrial Rehabilitation Plan design with the focus on the re-establishment of vegetation
- Floral Rescue and Relocation Plans
- Alien and Invasive Control Plan (AICP)
- Alien and Invasive Plant Identification and awareness training
- Terrestrial Monitoring
- Protected Tree and Floral Marking and Reporting
- Desktop Studies, Mapping and Background Information Research

Training

- Advanced Grass Identification Course
- Practical Plant Identification, including Herbarium Usage and Protocols
- Vegetation Classification and Mapping: Use of Geographic Information System for understanding vegetation pattern and biodiversity conservation.
- Introduction to Statistics for Biologists: Applications of plant ecology principles in plant conservation, i.e., species distribution modelling, alien plant invasions, conservation planning
- Plant Functional Trait Course: Hands-on, field-based exploration of plant functional traits, along with experience in the usage of plant traits data in climate-change research and ecosystem ecology





CURRICULUM VITAE OF SANJA ERWEE

PERSONAL DETAILS			
Position in Company	GIS Technician and Visual Specialist		
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2014		
EDUCATION			
Qualifications			
BSC Zoology (University of Pretoria)	2013		
Short Courses			
Global Mapper	2015		
SANBI BGIS Course	2017		
Global Mapper Lidar Course	2017		
ESRI MOOC ARCGIS Cartography	2018		

AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE

South Africa – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West, Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Northern Cape, Western Cape, Free State

KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

Freshwater Assessments

- Desktop Freshwater Delineation
- Plant species and Landscape Plan

Visual Impact Assessment

- Visual Baseline and Impact Assessments
- Visual Impact Peer Review Assessments
- View Shed Analyses
- Visual Modelling

GIS

• Mapping and GIS for various sectors and various disciplines (biodiversity, freshwater, aquatic, soil and land capability).



CURRICULUM VITAE OF KIM MARAIS

PERSONAL DETAILS		
Position in Company	Senior Scientist	
	Water Resource Manager	
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2015	
MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES		
Professional member of the South African Council for Natura (SACNASP – Reg No. 117137/17)	al Scientific Professions	
Member of the Western Cape Wetland Forum (WCWF)		
EDUCATION		
Qualifications		
BSc (Hons) Zoology (University of the Witwatersrand)		2012
BSc (Zoology and Conservation) (University of the Witwater	srand)	2011
Short Courses		
Aquatic and Wetland Plant Identification (Cripsis Environme	nt)	2019
Tools for Wetland Assessment (Rhodes University)		2018
Certificate in Environmental Law for Environmental Manage	rs (CEM)	2014
Certificate for Introduction to Environmental Management (C	CEM)	2013
KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES		
Biodiversity Assessments		
 Biodiversity Action Plans (BAP) 		
 Alien and Invasive Control Plans (AICP) 		
Faunal Eco Scans		

• Faunal Impact Assessments

Freshwater Assessments

- Desktop Freshwater Delineation
- Freshwater Verification Assessment
- Freshwater (wetland / riparian) Delineation and Assessment
- Freshwater Eco Service and Status Determination
- Rehabilitation Assessment / Planning
- Watercourse Maintenance and Management Plans
- Freshwater Offset Plan

Aquatic Ecological Assessment and Water Quality Studies

- Riparian Vegetation Integrity (VEGRAI)
- Water quality Monitoring
- Riverine Rehabilitation Plans

Legislative Requirements, Processes and Assessments

- Water Use Applications (Water Use Licence Applications / General Authorisations)
- Water Use Audits
- Freshwater Resource Management and Monitoring as part of EMPR and WUL conditions
- Public Participation processes





Scientific Terrestrial Services

Applying science to the real world

29 Arterial Road West, Oriel, Bedfordview, 2007 Tel 011 616 7893 Fax 086 724 3132 admin@sasenvgroup.co.za www.sasenvironmental.co.za

> Name: Chris Hooton Stephen van Staden Date: Tuesday, 07 September 2021 Ref: STS 210054

SLR Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd PO Box 1596, Cramerview, 2060 Tel: 011 467 0945 Email: <u>rbaker@slrconsulting.com</u>

Attention: Mrs. Rizqah Baker

RE: AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY IMPACT AND COMPLIANCE STATEMENT AS PART OF THE BASIC ASSESSMENT PROCESS FOR THE PROPOSED WESSELS MINE RAILWAY EXTENSION, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND SETTING

Scientific Terrestrial Services (STS) CC was appointed by SLR Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd. to prepare an Aquatic biodiversity impact¹ and compliance statement as part of the Basic Assessment (BA) process for the proposed extension of the existing railway infrastructure at Wessels Mine which is operated by South32 Limited (South32), within the Northern Cape. The proposed upgrade of the railway infrastructure includes a single railway loop, hereafter referred to as the proposed railway loop (Appendix A, Figure A1 and A2). In order to identify all freshwater ecosystems that may be potentially impacted by the proposed project, a 500 m "zone of investigation" around the proposed railway loop in accordance with Government Notice (GN) 509 of 2016 as it relates to the National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998) (NWA), was used as a guide in which to assess possible sensitivities of the receiving environment. This area – i.e. the 500 m zone of investigation around proposed railway loop, will henceforth be referred to as the "investigation area".

The proposed railway loop is located within the John Toalo Gaetsewe District Municipality within the Joe Morolong Local Municipality in the Northern Cape Province. The Wessels Mine is located approximately 1.5 km north-east of Blackrock and is an operational underground manganese mine

¹Although the DEFF (2020) Screening Tool refers to 'aquatic biodiversity', for the purposes of this investigation, 'aquatic' is taken to include all freshwater ecosystems including wetlands.



operating at a depth of approximately 350 m below surface. The Wessels Mine is further located approximately 12,5 km north east of the town of Hotazel, with the R380 roadway situated directly west of the proposed railway loop. The Ga-Mogara River is located approximately 6,4 km east of the proposed railway loop whilst the Kuruman River is approximately 5km north of the proposed railway loop. The majority of the proposed railway loop are situated within the mining area with limited indigenous vegetation remaining.

STS was required to report on aspects of the aquatic biodiversity and provide input into any development constraints the proposed project may have in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA) and the National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998). STS was required to, as necessary, assess the impact that the proposed project poses to the aquatic ecosystem and associated biodiversity within the receiving environment.

2. OUTCOMES OF THE APPLICATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS (DEA) SCREENING TOOL.

The protocol for the assessment of aquatic biodiversity prepared in support of the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and Environment (DFFE) national web based environmental screening tool, provides the criteria for the assessment and reporting of impacts on aquatic biodiversity for activities requiring Environmental Authorisation (EA). For the aquatic biodiversity theme, the requirements are for landscapes or sites which support various levels of biodiversity. The relevant aquatic biodiversity theme in the national web based environmental screening tool has been provided by the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI). Based on the sensitivity rating, a suitably qualified specialist must prepare the relevant report or opinion memo which is to be submitted as part of the BA application.

As part of the process of initiating the BA process, SLR Consulting applied the DFFE screening tool to the proposed railway loop. According to the national web based environmental screening tool, the proposed railway loop is located within an area of **Very High** aquatic biodiversity significance, as the proposed railway loop situated within a Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (FEPA) sub catchment (Figure A4). As a result, an applicant intending to undertake an activity on a site identified as being of "very high sensitivity" for an aquatic biodiversity theme must submit an Aquatic Biodiversity Impact Assessment or if the area is identified as being of "low sensitivity" then an Aquatic Biodiversity Compliance Statement must be compiled and submitted to the competent authority. It is noted, however, that during a site survey undertaken by a suitably qualified freshwater ecologist should the sensitivity be determined different from that assigned by the screening tool (i.e. that a high risk to the regional aquatic biodiversity or freshwater ecosystems in the area is likely even though it is assigned as a "low" sensitivity, or if it is assigned a high sensitivity, however, the proposed develop risk are deemed low) then the relevant assessment approach must be followed based on the site survey results and not the DFFE screening tool allocation.



3. DEFINITIONS AND LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The legislation considered during this investigation included the following:

- > The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996²;
- > The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA);
- > The National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998) (NWA); and
- Government Notice 509 (GN 509) as published in the Government Gazette 40229 of 2016 as it relates to the National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998).

3.1 Freshwater Ecosystem Definition

The NWA is aimed at the protection of the country's water resources, defined in the Act as "a watercourse, surface water, estuary or aquifer". According to the NWA a watercourse means:

(a) a river or spring;

(b) a natural channel in which water flows regularly or intermittently;

(c) a wetland, lake or dam into which, or from which, water flows; and

(d) any collection of water which the Minister may, by notice in the Gazette, declare a watercourse.

The Act further provides definitions of wetland and riparian habitats as follows:

Wetland habitat is "land which is transitional between terrestrial and aquatic systems where the water table is usually at or near the surface, or the land is periodically covered with shallow water, and which land in normal circumstances supports or would support vegetation typically adapted to life in saturated soil."

Riparian habitat includes the physical structure and associated vegetation of the areas associated with a watercourse which are commonly characterized by alluvial soils, and which are inundated or flooded to an extent and with a frequency sufficient to support vegetation of species with a composition and physical structure distinct from those of adjacent areas.

Thus, for the purposes of this site assessment, the definition of a freshwater ecosystem is considered to be synonymous with the definition of a watercourse as per the NWA.

4. DESKTOP INVESTIGATION FINDINGS

A desktop database analysis was undertaken prior to the site survey of the proposed railway loop (see Appendix A) as well as the associated 500 m investigation area. The results are summarised in the points below with the relevant maps presented in Appendix A.

According to the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area, 2011 (NFEPA) database (2011), there are no wetland or river features associated with the proposed railway loop and associated investigation area. The closest wetland feature, which is a natural flat wetland is located approximately 2 km north of the proposed railway loop; and

² Since 1996, the Constitution has been amended by seventeen amendments acts. The Constitution is formally entitled the 'Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 19996". It was previously also numbered as if it were an Act of Parliament – Act No. 108 of 1996 – but since the passage of the Citation of Constitutional Laws Act, neither it nor the acts amending it are allocated act numbers.



According to the National Biodiversity Assessment, 2018 (NBA): The South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) dataset corresponds with the NFEPA Database (2011) indicating that there are no wetland or river features associated with the proposed railway loop.

4.2 Site assessment results

The investigation area was assessed during the site assessment which was undertaken on the 10th of June 2021, using visual assessment methods as well as digital satellite imagery. During the field assessment, it was confirmed, as per the satellite imagery (Figure 1) and the NFEPA database (Appendix A), that the proposed railway loop does not traverse any freshwater features, nor are there any naturally occurring features within 500m.



Figure 1: Digital satellite imagery indicating no presence of freshwater features within the proposed railway loop route.

Although not assessed during the site assessment due to access constraints, the Biodiversity Management and Action Plan for the South32 Wessels Mine Mining Right Area and Operations (Dr. BJ Henning, 2020) does indicate a single artificial freshwater feature that is located approximately 216m north of the proposed railway loop (Figure 2 and 3 below). This feature is referred to as the Duck Pond and has been classified by Dr. BJ Henning as "an artificial wetland system according to the classification by SANBI and specifically a man-made endorheic depression". Although the Duck Pond is considered to be artificial, it is pertinent to note that the proposed railway loop significant quantum of risk to this feature, nor with the railway loop hinder recharge of this system, predominantly since there is an active mining area located between the Duck Pond and the proposed railway loop which forms an existing barrier for recharge by clean water runoff originating from the railway loop locality.

According to the screening tool the overall aquatic sensitivity of the proposed railway loop is **Very High**, due to the proposed railway loop being situated within a FEPA catchment for the Ga-Mogara River. Given the small surface extent of the proposed railway, it is unlikely that the construction and operation of the railway will inhibit or decrease the overall catchment yield to the Ga-Mogara River. Additionally,



it must be noted that the Ga-Mogara River is situated approximately 6,4 km east of the proposed railway loop. Any surface water collection from rainfall events in the locality of the proposed railway loop currently infiltrate into the ground and are not transported over the surface to the Ga-Mogara River. The proposed railway loop will not inhibit water infiltration in the area and is unlikely to have any significant impact on the Ga-Mogara River.



Figure 2: Image depicting the freshwater feature known as the Duck Pond located north of the proposed railway loop (Dr. BJ Henning, 2020).



Figure 3: Satellite imagery indicating the locality of the artificial freshwater feature (blue polygon) in context to the proposed railway loop.



5. BUSINESS CASE, OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED RAILWAY LOOP.

A single artificial feature identified as an endorheic system i.e., the Duck Pond, is situated approximately 216m north of the proposed railway loop. Due to the feature being artificial in nature and of an anthropogenic origin, it does not enjoy protection in terms of the NWA and NEMA. As a result, from a freshwater ecosystem resource management perspective, no development constraints are considered applicable and the proposed railway loop may be considered acceptable.

The proposed railway loop is not subject to any Zones of Regulation as per General Notice (GN 509) of the NWA and 32 m NEMA Zone of Regulation. As a result, this compliance statement must be submitted to the relevant competent authorities for approval prior to commencement of the construction of the proposed railway loop. Planning and construction of the railway must ensure continued free drainage of water within the landscape and ensure that no ponding of water adjacent the railway occurs.

We trust that we have interpreted your requirements correctly. Please do not hesitate to contact us if there are any aspects of this memorandum that you would like to discuss.

Yours Faithfully,

Stephen van Staden³ SACNASP REG.NO: 400134/05 (Ecology)

Declaration of independence and CV included in Appendix B and C respectively



³ Co-authored by S. Pillay

6. REFERENCES

- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF). 2005. Final draft: A practical field procedure for identification and delineation of wetlands and Riparian areas.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF). 2008. Updated Manual for the Identification and Delineation of Wetlands and Riparian Areas, prepared by M. Rountree, A. L. Batchelor, J. MacKenzie and D. Hoare. Report no. X. Stream Flow Reduction Activities, Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS). 2014. A Desktop Assessment of the Present Ecological State, Ecological Importance and Ecological Sensitivity per Sub Quaternary Reaches for Secondary Catchments in South Africa. Secondary: C2 Compiled by RQIS-RDM: Online available: <u>https://www.dwa.gov.za/iwqs/rhp/eco/peseismodel.aspx</u>.
- Nel, JL, Driver, A., Strydom W.F., Maherry, A., Petersen, C., Hill, L., Roux, D.J, Nienaber, S., Van Deventer, H., Swartz, E. & Smith-Adao, L.B. 2011. Atlas of Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas in South Africa: Maps to support sustainable development of water resources. Water Research Commission Report No. TT 500/11, Water Research Commission, Pretoria.
- NFEPA: Driver, A., Nel, J.L., Snaddon, K., Murruy, K., Roux, D.J., Hill, L., Swartz, E.R., Manuel, J. and Funke, N. 2011. Implementation Manual for Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas. Water Research Commission. Report No. 1801/1/11. Online available: http://bgis.sanbi.org/nfepa/project.asp
- Van Deventer, H., Smith-Adao, L., Collins, N.B., Grenfell, M., Grundling, A., Grundling, P-L., Impson, D., Job, N., Lötter, M., Ollis, D., Petersen, C., Scherman, P., Sieben, E., Snaddon, K., Tererai, F. & Van der Colff, D. 2019. South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: Technical Report. Volume 2b: Inland Aquatic (Freshwater) Realm. CSIR report number CSIR/NRE/ECOS/IR/2019/0004/A. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12143/6230.
- Van Deventer, H.; Smith-Adao, L.; Mbona, N.; Petersen, C.; Skowno, A.; Collins, N.B.; Grenfell, M.; Job, N.; Lötter, M.; Ollis, D.; Scherman, P.; Sieben, E.; Snaddon, K. 2018. South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. Report Number: CSIR report number CSIR/NRE/ECOS/IR/2018/0001/A; SANBI report number <u>http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12143/5847</u>.



APPENDIX A- DESK BASED DATABASE ANALYSES SUMMARY AND PROJECT MAPS



Table A1: Desktop data relating to the characteristics of the freshwater ecosystems associated with the proposed railway loop and investigation area.

Aquatic ecoregion and sub-regions in which the proposed railway loop are located		Detail of the proposed railway loop in terms of the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (NEEPA 2011) database		
Ecoregion	Southern Ka	lahari		The proposed railway loop is situated within a SubWMA considered an FEPA Catchment.
Catchment	Orange			River FEPAs achieve biodiversity targets for river ecosystems and threatened fish species
Quaternary Catchment	D41M		FEPACODE	and were identified as rivers that are currently in a good condition (A or B ecological
WMA	Lower Vaal			category). Although the FEPA status applies to the actual river reach, the surrounding land
subWMA	Molopo			and smaller stream network need to be managed in a way that maintains the good condition of the river reach.
Dominant characteristics of the Southern Kalahari (29.01) Aquatic Ecoregion Level 2 (Kleynhans <i>et al.,</i> 2007)		NFEPA Wetlands	According to the NFEPA Database there are no wetland features associated with the proposed railway loop and investigation area. The closest wetland feature is a natural flat wetland located 2 km to the north.	
Dominant primary terrain morpho	ology	Plains; moderate relief, Closed Hills, mountains; moderate and high relief.	Wetland Vegetation Type	The proposed railway loop is situated within the Eastern Kalahari Bushveld Group 1, considered Least Threatened according to SANBI, 2012 and Mbona <i>et al.</i> (2015).
Dominant primary vegetation type	es	Karroid Kalahari Bushveld, Kalahari Mountain Bushveld,		
Altitude (m a m s l)				According to the NFEPA Database there are no rivers associated with the proposed railway
MAP (mm)		0 - 500		of the proposed railway loop.
The coefficient of Variation (% of the MAP) 30 - 40		Detail of the prop	posed railway loop in terms of the Northern Cape Critical Biodiversity Areas (2016)	
Rainfall concentration index		60 - >65		The majority of the proposed railway loop falls within an area classified as "Other Natural
Rainfall seasonality		Late Summer	Other Natural Area	Areas (ONA). ONA consist of all those areas in good or fair ecological condition that fai outside the protected area network and have not been identified as CBAs or ESAs (SANBI
Mean annual temp. (°C)		16 - 22		
Winter temperature (July)		0 - 22		2017).
Summer temperature (Feb)		16 - >32	National Web Based Environmental Screening Tool (2020).	
Median annual simulated runoff (mm) <5 – 40		The Screening Tool is intended to allow for pre-screening of sensitivities in the landscape to be assessed within the EA process. This assists with implementing the mitigation hierarchy by allowing developers to adjust their proposed development footprint to avoid sensitive areas.		
National Biodiversity Assessment (2018): South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) ⁴				
According to the NBA (2018): SAIIAE there are no wetlands or rivers associated with proposed railway loop				
or investigation area. The Ga-Mogara River is situated approximately 6,4 km east of the proposed railway loop.		According to the screening tool the overall aquatic sensitivity of the proposed railway loop is Very High , due to the proposed railway loop situated within a FEPA catchment.		

CBA = Critical Biodiversity Area; DWS = Department of Water and Sanitation; EI = Ecological Importance; ES = Ecological Sensitivity; ESA = Ecological Support Area; ETS = Ecosystem Threat Status; m.a.m.s.l = Metres Above Mean Sea Level; MAP = Mean Annual Precipitation; NBA = National Biodiversity Assessment; NFEPA = National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas; PES = Present Ecological State; SAIIAE = South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems; WMA = Water Management Area.



⁴ The NBA (2018) Dataset includes the National Wetland Map 5 Information.



Figure A1: A digital satellite image depicting the location of the proposed railway loop in relation to the surrounding area.





Figure A2: The proposed railway loop depicted on a 1:50 000 topographical map in relation to the surrounding area.





Figure A3: The sensitivity of the area associated with the proposed railway loop according to the Northern Cape CBA Map (2016).





Figure A4: FEPA and upstream catchments associated with the proposed railway loop (NFEPA, 2011).



APPENDIX B - DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

DETAILS, EXPERTISE AND CURRICULUM VITAE OF SPECIALISTS

1. (a) (i) Details of the specialist who prepared the report

Stephen van Staden	MSc (Environmental Management) (University of Johannesburg)
Christopher Hooton	BTech Nature Conservation (Tshwane University of Technology)
Sanja Erwee	BSc Zoology (University of Pretoria)

1. (a). (ii) The expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae

Company of Specialist:	Scientific Terrestrial Services			
Name / Contact person:	Stephen van Staden			
Postal address:	29 Arterial Road West, Oriel, Bedfordview			
Postal code:	1401 Cell: 083 415 2356			
Telephone:	011 616 7893	Fax:	011 615 6240/ 086 724 3132	
E-mail:	stephen@sasenvgroup.co.za			
Qualifications	MSc (Environmental Management) (University of Johannesburg)			
	BSc (Hons) Zoology (Aquatic Ecology) (University of Johannesburg)			
	BSc (Zoology, Geography and	d Environmental	Management) (University of Johannesburg)	
Registration / Associations	Registered Professional Natural Scientist at South African Council for Natural Scientific			
	Professions (SACNASP)			
	Accredited River Health Practitioner by the South African River Health Program (RHP)			
	Member of the South African Soil Surveyors Association (SASSO)			
	Member of the Gauteng Wetland Forum			

1. (b) a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority.

I, Stephen van Staden, declare that -

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct

Signature of the Specialist



I, Christopher Hooton, declare that -

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that
 reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application
 by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for
 submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct.

Specialist Signature

I, Sanja Erwee, declare that -

- I act as the **independent specialist** in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct

Signature of the Specialist



APPENDIX C- CV OF SPECIALISTS





CURRICULUM VITAE OF STEPHEN VAN STADEN

PERSONAL DETAILS		
Position in Company	Group CEO, Water Resource Discipline Lead, M Member, Ecologist, Aquatic Ecologist	anaging
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2003 (year of establishment)	
MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES Registered Professional Scientist at South African Council Accredited River Health Practitioner by the South African F Member of the South African Soil Surveyors Association (S Member of the Gauteng Wetland Forum Member of International Association of Impact Assessors (Member of the Land Rehabilitation Society of South Africa	for Natural Scientific Professions (SACNASP) River Health Program (RHP) SASSO) Member of the Gauteng Wetland Forum IAIA) South Africa; (LaRSSA)	
EDUCATION Qualifications		
MSc Environmental Management (University of Johannest BSc (Hons) Zoology (Aquatic Ecology) (University of Johar BSc (Zoology, Geography and Environmental Managemen	burg) nnesburg) t) (University of Johannesburg)	2003 2001 2000
Short Courses		
Integrated Water Resource Management, the National Wat on WULAs and IWWMPs	ter Act, and Water Use Authorisations, focusing	2017
Tools for Wetland Assessment (Rhodes University)		2017
Legal liability training course (Legricon Pty Ltd)		2018
Hazard identification and risk assessment training course (Legricon Pty Ltd)	2018
Wetland Management: Introduction and Delineation (WLID	1502S) (University of the Free State)	2018
Hydropedology and Wetland Functioning (TerraSoil Science	e and Water Business Academy)	2018

AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE

South Africa – All Provinces Southern Africa – Lesotho, Botswana, Mozambique, Zimbabwe Zambia Eastern Africa – Tanzania Mauritius West Africa – Ghana, Liberia, Angola, Guinea Bissau, Nigeria, Sierra Leona Central Africa – Democratic Republic of the Congo

DEVELOPMENT SECTORS OF EXPERIENCE

- 1. Mining: Coal, chrome, Platinum Group Metals (PGMs), mineral sands, gold, phosphate, river sand, clay, fluorspar
- 2. Linear developments (energy transmission, telecommunication, pipelines, roads)
- 3. Minerals beneficiation
- 4. Renewable energy (Hydro, wind and solar)
- 5. Commercial development
- 6. Residential development
- 7. Agriculture
- 8. Industrial/chemical



KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

Legislative Requirements, Processes and Assessments

- Water Use Applications (Water Use Licence Applications / General Authorisations)
- Environmental and Water Use Audits
- Freshwater Resource Management and Monitoring as part of EMPR and WUL conditions

Freshwater Assessments

- Freshwater (wetland / riparian) Delineation and Assessment
- Freshwater Eco Service and Status Determination
- Rehabilitation Assessment / Planning
- Maintenance and Management Plans
- Plant Species and Landscape Plans
- Freshwater Offset Plans
- Hydropedological Assessment
- Pit Closure Analysis

Aquatic Ecological Assessment and Water Quality Studies

- Habitat Assessment Indices (IHAS, HRC, IHIA & RHAM)
- Aquatic Macro-Invertebrates (SASS5 & MIRAI)
- Fish Assemblage Integrity Index (FRAI)
- Fish Health Assessments
- Riparian Vegetation Integrity (VEGRAI)
- Toxicological Analysis
- Water quality Monitoring
- Screening Test
- Riverine Rehabilitation Plans
- **Biodiversity Assessments**
- Floral Assessments
- Biodiversity Actions Plan (BAP)
- Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP)
- Alien and Invasive Control Plan (AICP)
- Ecological Scan
- Terrestrial Monitoring
- Biodiversity Offset Plan

Soil and Land Capability Assessment

- Soil and Land Capability Assessment
- Hydropedological Assessment

Visual Impact Assessment

- Visual Baseline and Impact Assessments
- Visual Impact Peer Review Assessments





CURRICULUM VITAE OF CHRISTOPHER HOOTON

PERSONAL DETAILS		
Position in Company	Senior Scientist, Member	
	Biodiversity Specialist	
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2013	
EDUCATION		
Qualifications		
BTech Nature Conservation (Tshwane University of T	echnology)	2013
National Diploma Nature Conservation (Tshwane Uni	versity of Technology)	2008
AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE		
South Africa – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West,	Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape,	, Western Cape,
Northern Cape, Free State		
Africa - Zimbabwe, Sierra Leone and Zambia		
KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES		
Biodiversity Assessments		
Floral Assessments		
 Faunal Assessments 		
 Biodiversity Actions Plan (BAP) 		

- Biodiversity Actions Plan (BAP)
- Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP)
- Alien and Invasive Control Plan (AICP)
- Ecological Scan
- Protected Tree and Floral Marking and Reporting
- Biodiversity Offset Plan

Freshwater Assessments

- Freshwater Verification Assessment
- Freshwater (wetland / riparian) Delineation and Assessment
- Freshwater Eco Service and Status Determination
- Rehabilitation Assessment / Planning





CURRICULUM VITAE OF SANJA ERWEE

PERSONAL DETAILS	
Position in Company	GIS Technician and Visual Specialist
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2014
EDUCATION	
Qualifications	
BSC Zoology (University of Pretoria)	2013
Short Courses	
Global Mapper	2015
SANBI BGIS Course	2017
Global Mapper Lidar Course	2017
ESRI MOOC ARCGIS Cartography	2018

AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE

South Africa – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West, Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Northern Cape, Western Cape, Free State

KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

Freshwater Assessments

- Desktop Freshwater Delineation
- Plant species and Landscape Plan

Visual Impact Assessment

- Visual Baseline and Impact Assessments
- Visual Impact Peer Review Assessments
- View Shed Analyses
- Visual Modelling

GIS

• Mapping and GIS for various sectors and various disciplines (biodiversity, freshwater, aquatic, soil and land capability).



PROPOSED EXTENSION OF THE RAILWAY INFRASTRUCTURE AT THE WESSELS MINE, NORTHERN CAPE - LANDSCAPE/VISUAL SITE SENSITIVITY VERIFICATION REPORT

Prepared for: South32 Limited

SLR

DMRE Reference: TBC

SLR Project No.: 720.19136.00010 Report No.: 1 Revision No.: 0 July 2021
DOCUMENT INFORMATION

Title	Proposed Extension of the Railway Infrastructure at the Wessels Mine, Northern Cape - Landscape/Visual Site Sensitivity Verification Report				
Project Manager	Rizqah Baker				
Project Manager Email	rbaker@slrconsulting.com				
Author	Dylan Moodaley				
Reviewer	Ed Perry				
Keywords	Basic Assessment, South 32 Limited, Railway Infrastructure, SSVR, Landscape/Visual				
Status	Issued for inclusion into Basic Assessment Report				
DFFE Reference	N/A				
DMRE Reference	ТВС				
DWS Reference	N/A				
Report No.	1				
SLR Company	SLR Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd				

DOCUMENT REVISION RECORD

Rev No.	Issue Date	Description	Issued By
0	July 2021	Issued for inclusion into Basic Assessment Report	RB

BASIS OF REPORT

This document has been prepared by an SLR Group company with reasonable skill, care and diligence, and taking account of the manpower, timescales and resources devoted to it by agreement with **South32 Limited** (the Client) as part or all of the services it has been appointed by the Client to carry out. It is subject to the terms and conditions of that appointment.

SLR shall not be liable for the use of or reliance on any information, advice, recommendations and opinions in this document for any purpose by any person other than the Client. Reliance may be granted to a third party only in the event that SLR and the third party have executed a reliance agreement or collateral warranty.

Information reported herein may be based on the interpretation of public domain data collected by SLR, and/or information supplied by the Client and/or its other advisors and associates. These data have been accepted in good faith as being accurate and valid.

SLR disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the agreed scope of the work.

The copyright and intellectual property in all drawings, reports, specifications, bills of quantities, calculations and other information set out in this report remain vested in SLR unless the terms of appointment state otherwise.

This document may contain information of a specialised and/or highly technical nature and the Client is advised to seek clarification on any elements which may be unclear to it.

Information, advice, recommendations and opinions in this document should only be relied upon in the context of the whole document and any documents referenced explicitly herein and should then only be used within the context of the appointment.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition
DFFE	Department of Forestry, Fisheries and Environment
EA	Environmental Authorisation
НММ	Hotazel Manganese Mines (Pty) Ltd
MPRDA	Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (No. 28 of 2002)
MR	Mining Right
NEM: AQA	National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act (No. 39 of 2004)
NEM: WA	National Environmental Management: Waste Act (No. 59 of 2008)
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act (No. 107 of 1998)
NFEPA	National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area
NWS	National Water Act (No. 36 of 1998(
SLR	SLR Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd
South32	South32 Limited
SSVR	Site Sensitivity Verification Report
Wessels Mine	Wessels Manganese Mine
WRD	Waste Rock Dump

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION1
1.1	Project background and description of the activity1
1.2	Purpose of the Report1
2.	METHODOLOGY
3.	DESKTOP ANALYSIS
4.	SITE VERIFICATION
5.	CONCLUSION

PPENDIX A: SCREENING TOOL REPORT	9
	-

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Data type and source for the SSVR	3
--	---

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Locality Map	2
Figure 2: Biodiversity Sensitivity Map	4
Figure 3: NFEPA Map	5
Figure 4: View of the proposed project area depicting areas highly disturbed by existing mining activities	6
Figure 5: View of the proposed project area depicting areas highly disturbed by existing mining activities	6
Figure 6: View of the existing railway line	6
Figure 7: View of the Wessels Mine perimeter fence	6
Figure 8: View of the proposed project area depicting sandy, vegetated plains	7
Figure 9: View of the proposed project area depicting sandy, vegetated plains	7
Figure 10: View of the gravel access road towards the eastern-most section of the proposed project area	7

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIVITY

Hotazel Manganese Mines (Pty) Ltd (HMM), a subsidiary of South32 Limited (South32), owns and operates the underground Wessels Manganese Mine (Wessels Mine) located approximately 15 km north of the town of Hotazel, in the John Taolo District Municipality and the Joe Morolong Local Municipality, Northern Cape province. A locality map is provided in Figure 1.

HMM holds existing Environmental Authorisations (EAs) and licenses under the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 28 of 2002 (MPRDA), the National Environmental Management Act, 107 of 1998 (NEMA), the National Water Act, 36 of 1998 (NWA), the National Environmental: Air Quality Act, 39 of 2004 (NEM: AQA) and the National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 59 of 2008 (NEM: WA).

The Wessels Mine is located on the farms Dibiaghomo 226, Wessels 227, Dikgatlong 268 and N'Chwaning 267 and is comprised of vertical and incline shafts for access to underground areas, waste rock dump (WRD) and stockpile areas, along with support services and infrastructure.

As part of its on-going mine planning, HMM has identified the need to upgrade the existing railway infrastructure at the Wessels Mine. In this regard, HMM is proposing to modify the staging rail line and to design a new rail balloon (turning loop). The extension of the railway into the new rail balloon (2 500 m long and 25 m wide) will result in the clearing of indigenous vegetation. The route of the proposed railway balloon will entail the removal of about 15 000 to 25 000 m³ of material from the tailings area to form the base easement.

SLR Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd (SLR), an independent firm of environmental consultants, has been appointed by South32 to manage the EA process.

1.2 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

As part of the EA process, the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and Environment's (DFFE) national web-based environmental screening tool was applied in order to identify environmental sensitivities within the proposed project area. The screening tool report indicated a low level of sensitivity for the landscape/visual component of the proposed project (see Appendix A). A Site Sensitivity Verification Report (SSVR) must be compiled by an environmental assessment practitioner or specialist to verify the site sensitivity as identified by the screening tool report, where the level of environmental sensitivity is deemed low. In this regard, this SSVR has been compiled in accordance with the Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of NEMA and will detail the landscape/visual component of the proposed project.



2. METHODOLOGY

A description of the methods used to compile this SSVR is provided in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Data type and source for the SSVR

Methodology	Data type	Year	Source/Reference
Desktop Analysis	Aerial Imagery	1984 - present	Google Earth
	Existing authorisations, studies and assessments undertaken for the study area and surrounds	2010 - present	South32
Site inspection	Site photographs	2021	SLR

3. DESKTOP ANALYSIS

The Wessels Mine is located within an area characterised by hot temperatures in summer and colder temperatures in winter, with low humidity which precludes frost. The visual character within and surrounding the Wessels Mine has been influenced by surrounding mining operations and grazing activities. The Wessels Mine is located on the Hotazel Formation (Voëlwater Subgroup Postmasburg Group) of the Transvaal Sequence and the Kalahari Manganese Field. The area falls within the Savanna Biome and the Kathu Bushveld vegetation type. Protected tree and plant species located within the Wessels Mine include *Vachellia erioloba; Vachellia haematoxylon; Boscia albitrunca, Harpagophytum procumbens* and *Nerine laticoma*. The greater area falls within the Lower Vaal Water Management Area which is in the quaternary drainage region D41M.

The proposed project area is located within the eastern section of the Wessels Mine. The area is located approximately 1 045 m above sea level and is predominantly flat in terms of topography. The natural surrounding and on-site topography has been influenced largely through mining activities (including tailings storage facilities, stockpiles and WRDs). The project area does not fall within any Critical Biodiversity Areas or Ecological Support Areas (see Figure 2). There are no watercourses or wetlands within the proposed project area or within 500 m of the area; however, the Ga-Mogara and Kuruman Rivers lie approximately 7.5 km and 9 km to the east of the proposed project area, respectively. No National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (NFEPA) have been identified within the proposed project area (see Figure 3).

The towns of Hotazel and Black Rock are situated approximately 15 km north and 1.8 km south-west from the proposed project area. The R380 lies approximately 650 m westwards, which is used to access the town of Kuruman.





4. SITE VERIFICATION

SLR undertook a site visit to the proposed project area on 10 June 2021. The aim of the site visit was to confirm the environmental sensitivity of the landscape/visual component.

Physical landscape characteristics such as topography, vegetation, and land use are important factors influencing the visual character and visual sensitivity of an area. In this regard, the proposed project area is characterised as follows:

- Towards the north and south of the proposed railway balloon, the site is largely disturbed by existing mining activities, such as stockpiles, access roads, a railway line, access control etc. (see Figure 4, Figure 5, and Figure 6).
- The eastern-most section of the proposed railway balloon is located outside of the mine perimeter (see Figure 7) on open farmland characterised by sandy, vegetated plains (see Figure 8 and Figure 9). A gravel access track is also located in this area (see Figure 10).



Figure 4: View of the proposed project area depicting areas highly disturbed by existing mining activities



Figure 5: View of the proposed project area depicting areas highly disturbed by existing mining activities



Figure 6: View of the existing railway line



Figure 7: View of the Wessels Mine perimeter fence



Figure 8: View of the proposed project area depicting sandy, vegetated plains



Figure 9: View of the proposed project area depicting sandy, vegetated plains



Figure 10: View of the gravel access road towards the eastern-most section of the proposed project area

Visual receptor locations and routes that are sensitive and/or potentially sensitive to the visual intrusion of the proposed project include the towns of Black Rock and Hotazel located 15 km north and 1.8 km south-west, the gravel access road locates within the eastern-most section of the proposed project area, and the R380 located 650 m westwards. The proposed project is not considered to have a significant visual impact on these areas given the following details:

- the proposed project entails the extension of an existing railway line;
- it is located within and adjacent to the existing Wessels Mine operation, which is visually intrusive;
- the proposed project is linear in nature and will not comprise of any high structures; and
- the proposed project area is not visible from the R380 and the towns of Black Rock and Kuruman, as the Wessels Mine is obstructing it.



It follows that the **LOW** environmental sensitivity of the proposed project area for the landscape/visual component, as identified by the DFFE's national web-based screening tool, is confirmed.

5. CONCLUSION

The proposed project is area predominantly flat in topography, comprises sandy, vegetated plains and is largely disturbed by existing mining infrastructure. The area is located within and adjacent to the Wessels Mine. Given that the proposed project entails the extension of the existing railway line at the Wessels Mine, the outcome of this SSVR confirms that the environmental sensitivity for the landscape/visual component of the project area is **LOW**. This is in line with the environmental sensitivity identified in the DFFE's screening tool report.



Rizqah Baker (Project Manager)

(Reviewer)



APPENDIX A: SCREENING TOOL REPORT

SCREENING REPORT FOR AN ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORIZATION AS REQUIRED BY THE 2014 EIA REGULATIONS – PROPOSED SITE ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY

.....

EIA Reference number: TBc

Project name: Basic Assessment

Project title: Proposed Railway Extension at Wessels Mine

Date screening report generated: 13/04/2021 16:32:58

Applicant: South32

Compiler: Rizqah Baker

Compiler signature:

Application Category: Infrastructure | Transport Services | Rail | Private

Table of Contents

Proposed Project Location
Orientation map 1: General location3
Map of proposed site and relevant area(s)4
Cadastral details of the proposed site4
Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area4
Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application4
Environmental screening results and assessment outcomes5
Relevant development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions5
Map indicating proposed development footprint within applicable development incentive, restriction, exclusion or prohibition zones
Proposed Development Area Environmental Sensitivity
Specialist assessments identified7
Results of the environmental sensitivity of the proposed area9
MAP OF RELATIVE AGRICULTURE THEME SENSITIVITY
MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY10
MAP OF RELATIVE AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY
MAP OF RELATIVE ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE THEME SENSITIVITY
MAP OF RELATIVE CIVIL AVIATION THEME SENSITIVITY
MAP OF RELATIVE DEFENCE THEME SENSITIVITY14
MAP OF RELATIVE PALEONTOLOGY THEME SENSITIVITY
MAP OF RELATIVE PLANT SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY
MAP OF RELATIVE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY

Proposed Project Location

Orientation map 1: General location



General Orientation: Basic Assessment



Map of proposed site and relevant area(s)



Cadastral details of the proposed site

Property details:

No	Farm Name	Farm/ Erf No	Portion	Latitude	Longitude	Property Type
1	DIGIAGHOMO	226	0	27°5'15.45S	22°52'50.34E	Farm
2	DIKGATHLONG	268	0	27°6'47.33S	22°54'24.9E	Farm
3	DIGIAGHOMO	226	2	27°6'26.78S	22°52'9.63E	Farm Portion
4	DIKGATHLONG	268	1	27°7'6.45S	22°52'46.25E	Farm Portion

Development footprint¹ vertices: No development footprint(s) specified.

Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area

No	EIA Reference No	Classification	Status of application	Distance from proposed area (km)
1	14/12/16/3/3/2/615	Solar PV	Approved	7.1

Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application

¹ "development footprint", means the area within the site on which the development will take place and incudes all ancillary developments for example roads, power lines, boundary walls, paving etc. which require vegetation clearance or which will be disturbed and for which the application has been submitted.

No intersections with EMF areas found.

Environmental screening results and assessment outcomes

The following sections contain a summary of any development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions that apply to the proposed development site as well as the most environmental sensitive features on the site based on the site sensitivity screening results for the application classification that was selected. The application classification selected for this report is: Infrastructure|Transport Services|Rail|Private.

Relevant development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions The following development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions and their

implications that apply to this site are indicated below.

No intersection with any development zones found.





Project Location: Basic Assessment

Proposed Development Area Environmental Sensitivity

The following summary of the development site environmental sensitivities is identified. Only the highest environmental sensitivity is indicated. The footprint environmental sensitivities for the proposed development footprint as identified, are indicative only and must be verified on site by a suitably qualified person before the specialist assessments identified below can be confirmed.

Theme	Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
Agriculture Theme			Х	
Animal Species Theme				Х
Page 6 of 17			Di	sclaimer annlies

Aquatic Biodiversity Theme	Х			
Archaeological and Cultural				Х
Heritage Theme				
Civil Aviation Theme		Х		
Defence Theme				Х
Paleontology Theme			Х	
Plant Species Theme				Х
Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme	Х			

Specialist assessments identified

Based on the selected classification, and the environmental sensitivities of the proposed development footprint, the following list of specialist assessments have been identified for inclusion in the assessment report. It is the responsibility of the EAP to confirm this list and to motivate in the assessment report, the reason for not including any of the identified specialist study including the provision of photographic evidence of the site situation.

N O	Special ist assess	Assessment Protocol
	ment	
1	Agricultu ral Impact Assessm ent	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols /Gazetted_General_Agriculture_Assessment_Protocols.pdf
2	Landsca pe/Visua I Impact Assessm ent	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols /Gazetted General Requirement Assessment Protocols.pdf
3	Archaeol ogical and Cultural Heritage Impact Assessm ent	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols /Gazetted_General_Requirement_Assessment_Protocols.pdf
4	Palaeont ology Impact Assessm ent	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols /Gazetted_General_Requirement_Assessment_Protocols.pdf
5	Terrestri al Biodiver sity Impact Assessm ent	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols /Gazetted Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment Protocols.pdf
6	Aquatic Biodiver sity Impact Assessm ent	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols /Gazetted_Aquatic_Biodiversity_Assessment_Protocols.pdf
7	Geotech nical	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols

	Assessm	/Gazetted_General_Requirement_Assessment_Protocols.pdf
	ent	
8	Plant	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols
	species	/Gazetted Plant Species Assessment Protocols.pdf
	Assessm	
	ent	
9	Animal	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols
	Species	Gazetted Animal Species Assessment Protocols ndf
	Assessm	
	ent	

Results of the environmental sensitivity of the proposed area.

The following section represents the results of the screening for environmental sensitivity of the proposed site for relevant environmental themes associated with the project classification. It is the duty of the EAP to ensure that the environmental themes provided by the screening tool are comprehensive and complete for the project. Refer to the disclaimer.



MAP OF RELATIVE AGRICULTURE THEME SENSITIVITY

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		Х	

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Land capability;01. Very low/02. Very low/03. Low-Very low/04. Low-Very low/05. Low
Medium	Land capability;06. Low-Moderate/07. Low-Moderate/08. Moderate



MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY

Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za</u> listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			Х

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low sensitivity



MAP OF RELATIVE AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
x			

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Very High	Freshwater ecosystem priority area quinary catchments

MAP OF RELATIVE ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			Х

Sensitivity	Feature(s)	
Low	Low sensitivity	



MAP OF RELATIVE CIVIL AVIATION THEME SENSITIVITY

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
	Х		

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
High	Within 8 km of other civil aviation aerodrome

MAP OF RELATIVE DEFENCE THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			Х

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low Sensitivity
Low	Low Sensitivity



MAP OF RELATIVE PALEONTOLOGY THEME SENSITIVITY

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		X	

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Features with a Medium paleontological sensitivity



MAP OF RELATIVE PLANT SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY

Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za</u> listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			Х

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low Sensitivity



MAP OF RELATIVE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
x			

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Very High	Freshwater ecosystem priority area quinary catchments

AFRICAN OFFICES

South Africa

CAPE TOWN T: +27 21 461 1118

FOURWAYS T: +27 11 467 0945

Namibia

WINDHOEK T: + 264 61 231 287

Ghana

www.slrconsulting.com