

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Theriogenology

Theriogenology 64 (2005) 457-468

www.journals.elsevierhealth.com/periodicals/the

Genetic sperm defects

Peter J. Chenoweth *

School of Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences, Charles Sturt University, Wagga Wagga, NSW 2678, Australia

Abstract

Genetic sperm defects are specific sperm defects, which have been shown to have a genetic mode of transmission. Such genetic linkage, either direct or indirect, has been associated with a number of sperm defects in different species, with this number increasing with improved diagnostic capabilities.

A number of sperm defects, which have proven or suspected genetic modes of transmission are discussed herein, with particular emphasis on cattle. These include:

- 1. Acrosome defects (knobbed, ruffled and incomplete);
- 2. Head defects (abnormal condensation, decapitated, round head, rolled head, nuclear crest);
- 3. Midpiece abnormalities ("Dag" defect, "corkscrew" defect, "pseudo-droplet" defect);
- 4. Tail defects ("tail stump" defect, primary ciliary dyskinesia).

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Genetic sperm defects; Male fertility; Sperm morphology

1. Introduction

Sperm abnormalities have long been associated with male infertility and sterility in most species studied. These abnormalities vary from morphological defects that are evident upon clinical examination, to those, which are more subtly defective. In general, sperm structure can play a substantial role in both fertilization and pregnancy outcome [1–7]. The causes of defective sperm structure may be environmental, genetic, or a combination of both. Although environmental causes are considered to be most common, there is a growing list of sperm structural defects, which are considered to be of genetic origin.

0093-691X/\$ – see front matter C 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2005.05.005

^{*} Tel.: +61 2 69332652.

E-mail address: pchenoweth@csu.edu.au.

Even though the heritability of bull fertility is generally considered to be low, certain aspects of bull fertility, including sperm morphological abnormalities, are under genetic control [5]. Earlier work [8] associated sire differences with the proportion of normal sperm, including a tendency for inbred bulls to have more morphological sperm abnormalities than line-cross bulls. This was reinforced by further work with the same lines of bulls [9], in which degree of inbreeding was associated with increased proportions of abnormal seminiferous tubules. Much of the variation (62%) in non-return rate using frozen semen was associated with bulls [2], although this study included only AI bulls previously screened for genital health and semen quality (which would tend to reduce the adverse effects of those variables).

2. Definitions

A number of classification systems exist for sperm abnormalities, including the following.

2.1. Primary and secondary sperm defects

Sperm abnormalities have been classified on the basis of their presumptive origin [10,11]. In this system, defects which occur during spermatogenesis are considered as primary and those developing subsequent to spermiation considered as secondary. Although primary defects were originally considered to be caused by some type of direct insult to the seminiferous epithelium, they were not automatically assumed to be more deleterious to fertility than secondary defects, which could be induced by a variety of causes, including iatrogenic. Later interpretations of this system included assumptions that primary defects are more adverse to male fertility than secondary defects [12], and that the latter are more variable and less consequential than the former. These assumptions are undergoing serious review in light of recent developments in cell biology and proteomics.

2.2. Major and minor sperm defects

The primary/secondary classification was revised [13] as exceptions to the rule became evident. In the revised system, sperm defects were classified as either major or minor in terms of their perceived adverse effects upon male fertility. Here, major sperm defects were those, which had proven to be associated with impaired fertility, and minor sperm defects were those which were generally considered to be of minor consequence to male fertility. Further definition of major sperm defects (sometimes referred to as specific sperm defects) included the following criteria:

- 1. They are well characterized "primary" sperm defects,
- 2. They occur in a substantial proportion (at least 10-15%) of the sperm population,
- 3. They are consistent in occurrence,
- 4. They are associated with male infertility or sterility,
- 5. They may be heritable.

Major	Minor
Underdeveloped	Narrow heads
Double forms	Small normal heads
Acrosome defect (knobbed acrosome)	Giant and short broad heads
Decapitated sperm defect (active tails)	Free normal heads
Diadem defect	Detached acrosome membranes
Pear-shaped defect	Abaxial implantation
Narrow at base	Distal droplet
Abnormal contour	Simple bent tail
Small abnormal heads	Terminally coiled tail
Free pathological heads	Other abnormal cells
Corkscrew defect	Epithelial cells
Other midpiece defects (tail stump)	Erythrocytes
Proximal droplet	Medusa formation
Pseudodroplet	Boat cells
Strongly coiled or folded tail ("Dag" defect)	Round cells
	Pus cells

 Table 1

 Major and minor sperm defects in the bull [13]

A further tacit caveat is that such defects occur in the absence of a clinically or environmentally discernable cause.

This system was used to develop a presumptive list of major and minor sperm defects in the bull [13] (Table 1).

Considerable overlap occurs between this system (based upon known effects upon fertility) and the primary/secondary system (based upon origin of the defect), to the extent that lists of sperm defect categories in either system are strikingly similar. Closer scrutiny however suggests that a number of "minor" defects most probably occur prior to spermiation (e.g. subtle sperm head abnormalities and abaxial implantation). Certainly, effective use of the major/minor sperm defect system also requires critical interpretation. For example, as knowledge grows in areas of cell biology, genomics and proteomics, our understanding of sperm "fertility" becomes more complicated and the list of "major" sperm defects tends to increase. In addition, computer-assisted image analysis enables us to identify subtle shape differences between sperm [14]. We now better understand that the spermatogenic epithelium responds to a wide variety of stressors in a predictable fashion, such that many sperm abnormalities previously regarded as being finite entities are now considered to be different representations of the same response process. This stereotyped spermatogenic stress response is characterized, at one end of the spectrum, by the subtle diadem defect of sperm, which cascades through to gross sperm head abnormalities with increasing severity or duration of spermatogenic stress (Fig. 1).

2.3. Compensable and uncompensable semen traits

Abnormal sperm may reduce fertility in one of two ways: (1) failure to reach the fertilization site; or (2) inability to fertilize the ovum once they are at the fertilization site or to sustain development of the early embryo [15]. In the first case, failure of sperm to reach the fertilization site can often be traced to problems in sperm transport. Sperm defects



Fig. 1. The crater/diadem defect and its sequelae.

which cause either impaired sperm motility, or reduced probability of successfully transcending the female tract, are termed compensable defects. This is because a theoretical increase in numbers of functionally competent sperm will solve, or mitigate, the problem. Those defects which lead to failed fertilization or early pregnancy loss are termed uncompensable [15]. With these defects, an increase in sperm numbers alone will theoretically not improve fertility.

2.4. Genetic sperm defects

Under natural breeding conditions, there is continuous natural selection against inherited factors, which reduce fertility. In addition, natural barriers occur within the male and female tract to remove faulty gametes. However, modern breeding methods, particularly those involving advanced assisted reproductive technologies (e.g. IVF, ICSI), may circumvent these principles and barriers such that genetic infertility factors may be propagated via subfertile males [16]. In this discussion, we will focus upon genetic sperm defects where the following quotation is relevant. "Some types of defects appear in the semen at a fairly constant rate and in a very high proportion of the sperm cells without any indication of environmental influence. Such defects may be presumed to be rooted in the bull's genome and the prognosis for future improvements in semen quality would be very poor" [12].

Genetic sperm defects are those that have been shown to have a genetic mode of transmission. Such genetic linkage has been associated with a number of sperm defects in different species, with this number increasing with improved diagnostic capabilities. However, it should be noted that other sperm defects, more variable in nature that may be influenced by an interaction of environment and genetic predisposition. The elucidation of the genetic basis of sperm defects presents difficulties and it is no coincidence that the number of identified genetic sperm defects is related to the rate of adoption of AI of the species involved. Thus, the number of genetic sperm defects identified in cattle is considerably greater than in many other species. This should not, however, imply that cattle are more afflicted in this regard than are other species. Rather, they have probably undergone more scrutiny than most. Such scrutiny should follow appropriate protocols, such as those described below, to properly establish a genetic basis for the defect in question. Here, the National Association of Artificial Breeders (NAAB) in USA recognizes the importance of identifying genetic faults, as is evident by the following quotation. "The major responsibility of NAAB is to assure that effective programs are implemented by the AI industry... to control the proliferation of economically important gene defects". To firmly establish a nominate defect as having a genetic basis, the NAAB requires the following questions to be answered:

- 1. Has evidence been provided that establishes the presence of the condition in the cattle population?
- 2. Is the mutation within germinal or somatic cells?
- 3. What breed (or breeds) is involved?
- 4. Is the condition lethal, and at what time in life is the condition expressed?
- 5. What proportion of the population may be affected?
- 6. Are similar conditions known in other species?
- 7. Is there evidence of a selective advantage for a heterozygote?
- 8. Are tests currently available to detect the condition?
- 9. Are the tests definitive and what are their limitations?

Once the problem is recognized as an adverse genetic condition, it is important to establish the following:

- 1. Its physiological basis.
- 2. Its mode of inheritance.
- 3. Its economic consequences.
- 4. An accurate rapid and inexpensive diagnostic test.
- 5. Control procedures.

Interestingly, in its deliberations to date, the NAAB has not identified any genetic sperm defects. Rather, the current approved lists of identified genetic defects in both dairy and beef cattle contain structural, neurological and biochemical defects only. In the following

discussion, attempts are made to identify, in animals of veterinary interest, a number of relevant sperm defects, which are either known or suspected to have a genetic basis, at least on occasion.

3. Categorization of genetic sperm defects

3.1. Acrosome

3.1.1. Knobbed acrosome defect

This sperm defect was first reported in a sterile Friesian bull [17] and subsequently associated with an autosomal sex-linked recessive mode of genetic transmission in this breed [18]. It has been associated with infertility in bulls, boars and rams [16,19,20]. In boars, the defect has been associated with both dominant [21] and sex-linked recessive [22] modes of transmission. There is some evidence that it exists as a genetic defect within the Angus breed in North America (Chenoweth unpublished) and there is a suggestion of a genetic linkage in Charolais cattle [12].

In the bull, the major manifestations of this abnormality are: (1) a refractile, thickened acrosomal apex; and (2) an indented sperm apex [23]. Electron microscopy often reveals a cystic region ("cystic apical body" [24]) containing vesicles with inclusions, as well as abnormal fusion of acrosomal membranes [24,25]. There is also often a bending back, or abrupt termination, of sperm nuclear material [23].

Elevated levels of knobbed acrosomes (KA) in bull semen may be caused by either environmental or genetic factors. When environmental, they are usually transitory and associated with other signs of spermatogenic dysfunction (i.e. increased sperm abnormalities in general, including nuclear vacuoles). A genetic cause is suspected when high proportions of sperm exhibit the KA defect in the absence of frequent numbers of other sperm abnormalities, and when the defect persists at a consistently high level [23]. In Canada, 78 of 1331 (0.53%) bulls had sperm with knobbed acrosomes (cause unknown) [12]. In contrast, in our laboratory, we found an estimated genetic prevalence of 6.74% in a known affected Angus herd (unpublished). Sperm containing KA either lack the ability to attach to ova [26] or have reduced capability to do so [23]. If this were the only adverse effect of KA, then this defect would satisfy the "compensable" category, whereby increasing sperm numbers could compensate for damaged sperm. In fact, this may help explain an observed difference between natural and AI fertility of a KA affected ram [20]. In addition, the proportion of KA sperm was shown to decrease during transit in the cow's genital tract [27]. Despite these findings, in vitro studies indicate that apparently normal sperm from animals affected by KA may also have compromised fertility [20]. Further studies have shown that these apparently morphologically normal sperm can have plasma membrane damage, and show premature capacitation, spontaneous acrosome reaction, and impaired chromatin condensation [28]. Thus the KA defect may encompass both "compensable" and "uncompensable" characteristics. As bulls with apparently similar proportions of the defect may vary in infertility, this variation may be either due to such unrecognized causes of sperm dysfunction, or to the numbers of undamaged sperm reaching the fertilization site.

3.1.2. Ruffled and incomplete acrosomes

Ruffled and incomplete acrosomes have been reported in subfertile bulls where they were linked with the knobbed acrosome defect [16]. Here, ruffled acrosomes had an irregular staining pattern leading to a wrinkled, or ruffled, appearance. Incomplete acrosomes had an irregular margin; giving the appearance that part of the acrosome was missing or incomplete. A genetic basis was suggested by the occurrence of the three defects (knobbed, ruffled and incomplete acrosomes) in four sons of a subfertile Holstein sire. Some similarity has also been drawn with acrosome abnormalities described in "genetically-determined quasi-sterile" male mice [29].

3.2. Sperm head defects

3.2.1. Abnormal DNA condensation

"Differences in spermatozoan DNA exist, not only among individuals of the same species but also among sperm in the same ejaculate" [30]; a reference related to Fuelgen-DNA staining patterns of sperm. Abnormal sperm DNA condensation ("clumping") is difficult to identify using routine sperm morphology techniques. However, the use of flow cytometry, in concert with DNA-specific fluorochromes, was effective in detecting the degree of heterogeneity of sperm nuclear chromatin structure; this was associated with disturbances of spermatogenesis, sperm abnormalities and infertility in a number of species [31]. It is included in this discussion as it may provide an example of genetic-environmental interactions on sperm structure. A Canadian Simmental bull identified with this condition showed variable levels of infertility as well as DNA "clumping", with the latter appearing to increase over the summer months [12].

3.2.2. Decapitated (disintegrated) sperm defect

Separation of the sperm head and tail can be caused by a number of adverse factors affecting either spermiogenesis or sperm maturation [12]. However, a specific, sterilizing form has been reported in several cattle breeds (Guernsey, Hereford, Swedish Red & White) where it has been associated with sterility. Most (80–100%) of sperm are affected, with the separated tail usually remaining motile. In addition, the proximal end of the separated midpiece is often curled around a cytoplasmic droplet, giving the appearance perhaps of a micro-cephalic sperm head. The separation has been associated with defective development of the sperm head, implantation grove and basal plate, and becomes evident when sperm are traversing the epididymis [32,33]. Evidence for the hereditary nature of this defect in bulls (most probably via a sex-limited recessive gene with male and female carriers) has come from several reports [34–36]. A similar defect was reported in a group (n = 8) of Hereford bulls [37], in which testicular hypoplasia (n = 5) was also diagnosed.

The following characteristics have been proposed as being indicative of this specific sperm abnormality [38]:

- 1. Sperm heads and tails are separated in 80-100% of ejaculated sperm,
- 2. A high percentage of the loose tails show active movement,
- 3. A proximal bending or curling of the middle-piece around the cytoplasmic droplet is often seen.

3.2.3. Round-headed sperm

This defect has been reported in four infertile men (two of whom were brothers), where it affected 100% of ejaculated sperm [39]. Many of the sperm heads contained vacuole-like structures, while none had an acrosome attached.

3.2.4. Rolled-head, nuclear crest, giant head syndrome

A combination of abnormalities (rolled-head, nuclear crest and giant heads) have been observed to occur in combination in some bulls. Where such abnormalities occur in substantial numbers, there have been suggestions of hereditary linkages [12]. The ultrastructure of sperm with rolled heads and nuclear crests has been described [40]. It is suggested that rolled sperm represent a deviated form of the giant sperm head abnormality [12,41], and that they are often diploid (and occasionally triploid or even tetraploid) [12,42]. Bulls with this defect generally exhibit a consistent spermiogram over time [12]. Both the nuclear crest and rolled head defects were also reported to be caused by ethylene-dibromide spermatoxicity [43]. Although effects of this sperm defect upon fertility are unclear [12], it is logical to assume that abnormal chromosome numbers in sperm would compromise fertility, as encountered in males with Kleinfelter's syndrome [44].

3.3. Sperm midpiece defects

3.3.1. "Dag" defect

Named after the Jersey bull in which the defect was first identified, this defect is represented by strong folding, coiling and fracture of the distal part of the sperm midpiece (with or without a retained distal cytoplasmic droplet). A consistent similar spermiogram was observed in a full brother to "Dag", suggesting a hereditary basis. However, an environmental link with dietary zinc has also been suggested [45]. The defect may reflect disturbance in the testis or epididymis and may be present (<4%) in normal semen. Levels above 50% can have serious fertility implications [12]. A similar defect has been reported in a subfertile boar [46], although a genetic basis was not established.

3.3.2. "Pseudo-droplet" defect

This was first reported in five Friesian bulls in Denmark [47] where the defect was encountered in 7–26% of ejaculated sperm. As the affected bulls were all related (two were half-brothers), a hereditary basis was suggested. The semen samples from the affected bulls were usually of normal concentration, although initial sperm motility was poor. The percentage of affected sperm tended to increase with bull age.

The defect was characterized by a local thickening on the midpiece. Although they could resemble cytoplasmic droplets under normal microscopy, they are prone to occur in regions where droplets are seldom encountered (e.g. the middle of the midpiece). They are also more likely to be irregular in shape and more visually dense than droplets. Ultra-microscopically, they comprised accumulations of granules surrounded by mitochondria.

A "mictotubular mass defect" of spermatozoa was reported in the semen of seven Standardbred stallions, in which a genetic link was suggested [48]. Here, irregular masses in the proximal region of the midpiece contained torturous arrays of small abnormal microtubules. Similar structural defects (termed "knobs") were observed in a sterile stallion [49], where they represented mitochondrial accumulations.

Similar irregular midpiece formations in bull semen have been associated with Bovine Ephemeral Fever [50] and also with gossypol spermatoxicity [51]. In the latter case, these masses were also caused by abnormal accumulations and clumping of mitochondria.

3.3.3. "Corkscrew midpiece" defect

The "corkscrew sperm defect" was first described in ejaculated sperm of two sterile bulls [52] where it was observed as an irregular distribution ("lumps") of mitochondria, resembling a corkscrew under light microscopy. A genetic cause was suggested as four of the first five detected bulls were related. However, similar defects have been reported in bulls following Bovine Ephemeral Fever [50], in a sterile stallion [49] and in bulls undergoing gossypol spermatoxicity [52]. Further doubt concerning the genetic basis of this defect came from the original source, who associated an observed temporal increase of this defect in Danish bulls with concurrent increased levels of radioactive fallout [53]. Here, an environmental-genetic interaction may have been evident as the Red Danish breed appeared to be particularly susceptible to the spermatoxic effect in question.

3.4. Sperm tail defects

3.4.1. Coiled tails

Simple coiled tails (a term now understood to include the distal midpiece reflex) are among the most common sperm defects. Often, an increased prevalence of such defects in the ejaculate is associated with a one or more of a variety of non-genetic origin etiologies.

3.4.2. "Tail stump" defect

This defect was first reported in bulls in 1925 [1]. It has also been encountered in the mouse, rabbit, dog, stallion and man [12]. Three sterile Canadian bulls (Ayrshire, Shorthorn, Holstein) showed virtual absence of the sperm midpiece and tail in the majority of ejaculated sperm, where they were represented by a small "stump" or "stub" [54]. In addition, sperm concentration was very low and sperm motility was virtually absent. Monitoring of sperm morphology in these bulls indicated that the percentage of sperm with the defect increased with the age of the bulls. It has been suggested that a prevalence of greater than 25% of this defect in the ejaculate is suggestive of a genetic fertility problem [12]. Other reports have linked this defect with sterility in bulls [55–57], and suggestion has been made of an inherited mode of transmission [12,58,59]. It has also been linked with infertility in boars [60] and reported in man as well as mice. Care should be taken to differentiate this condition from the "accessory tail defect" in bulls, which probably shares a common etiology with abaxial sperm midpieces, as well as little impact upon fertility [61].

3.4.3. Primary ciliary dyskinesia (previously termed immotile cilia syndrome)

This is represented by a diverse group of disorders characterized by a structural and generalized abnormality of ciliated cells. In man, a systemic axonemal alteration is associated with Kartagener's syndrome in which the males are infertile and possess immotile spermatozoa [62]. Here, in common with other ciliated cells in the body (such as respiratory epithelial cells) affected sperm (and respiratory tract cilia) have perturbed axonmenal structures, e.g. part or complete absence of dynein arms, microtubule disorganization, or absent radial spokes [63]. This suggests a genetic link between these structures or that they are coded by the same gene. Similar sperm aberrations exist in the animal world, although the link with respiratory diseases has not been adequately pursued. It should be noted that the axonemal complex contains over 200 proteins, defects in any of which could be related to problems with genetic coding. Similar alterations to sperm tail axonemal complexes have been identified in genetically similar mice, which were sterile [62].

References

- [1] Williams WW, Savage A. Observations on the seminal micropathology of bulls. Cornell Vet 1925;15: 353–75.
- [2] Saacke RG, White JM. Semen quality tests and their relationship to fertility. In: Proceeding of the 4th NAAB Tech Conference on AI and Reprod. 1972. p. 22–7.
- [3] Wiltbank JN, Parrish NR. Pregnancy rates in cows and heifers bred to bulls selected for semen quality. Theriogenology 1986;779–83.
- [4] Barth AD. Influence of abnormal sperm morphology on conception rates in cattle. Proc Soc Theriogenol 1989;13–39.
- [5] Chandler JE, Adkinson RW. Genetic and non-genetic contributions to variation in spermatozoal morphology of mature Holstein bulls. In: Proceeding of the NAAB 13th Technical Conference on AI and Reprod. 1990. p. 57–62.
- [6] Zamboni L. Sperm structure and its relevance to infertility. Arch Pathol Lab Med 1992;116:325-44.
- [7] Saacke RG. What is a BSE–SFT standards: the relative importance of sperm morphology: an opinion. Proc Soc Theriogenol 2001;81–7.
- [8] Salisbury GW, Baker FN. Nuclear morphology of spermatozoa from inbred and linecross Hereford bulls. JAS 1966;25:476–9.
- [9] Carroll EEJ, Ball L. Testicular changes as affected by mating systems in beef cattle. Am J Vet Res 1970;31:243–54.
- [10] Milovanov VK. Artificial insemination of farm animals (Russ) 5 ed, 1940. Moscow.
- [11] Blom E. Interpretation of spermatic cytology of bulls. Fert Steril 1950;1:223-38.
- [12] Barth AD, Oko RJ. Abnormal morphology of bovine spermatozoa. Ames, IA: Iowa State Press (Blackwell), 1989.
- [13] Blom E. The ultrastructure of some characteristic sperm defects and a proposal for a new classification of the bull spermiogram. In: Atti del VII Simposio Int di Zootechnia Milan. 1972. p. 125–39.
- [14] Ostermeier GC, Sartor-Bergfelt R, Susko-Parrish JL, Parrish JJ. Bull infertility and sperm nuclear shape. Ag Biotech Net 2000;2:1–10.
- [15] Saacke RG. What is abnormal? And is abnormal dependent upon the animal.In: Proceeding of the NAAB 13th Technical Conference on AI and Reprod. 1990. p. 67–73.
- [16] Saacke RG, Amann RP, Marshall CE. Acrosomal cap abnormalities of sperm from subfertile bulls. J Anim Sci 1968;27:1391–400.
- [17] Teunissen GHP. Een afwijkning van het acrosom (kopcap) bij de spermatozoiden van een stier. Tijfdschr Diergeneesk 1946;71:292.
- [18] Donald HP, Hancock JL. Evidence of a gene-controlled sterility in bulls. J Agric Sci (Camb) 1953; 43:178.
- [19] Barth AD. The knobbed acrosome defect in beef bulls. Can Vet J 1986;27:379-84.
- [20] Soderquist L. Reduced fertility after artificial insemination in a ram with a high incidence of knobbed acrosomes. Vet Rec 1998;143:227–8.

- [21] Wohlfarth E. Beitrag zum akrosom-defekt im eberspermia. Zuchthyg FortpflStor Besam Haustiere 1961;5:268.
- [22] Bishop MWH. Genetically determined abnormalities of the reproductive system. J Reprod Fert Suppl 1972;15:51.
- [23] Thundathil J, Meyer R, Palasz AT, Barth AD, Mapletoft RD. Effect of knobbed acrosome defect in bovine semen on IVF and embryo production. Theriogenology 2000;54:921–34.
- [24] Bane A, Nicander L. Electron and light microscopical studies on spermateliosis in a boar with acrosomal abnormalities. J Reprod Fert 1966;11:133–8.
- [25] Cran DG, Dott HM. The ultrastructure of knobbed bull spermatozoa. J Reprod Fert 1976;47:407-8.
- [26] Buttle HRL, Hancock JL. Sterile boars with "knobbed" spermatozoa. J Agric Sci (Camb) 1963;65: 255-60.
- [27] Mitchell JR, Senger PL, Rosenberger JL. Distribution and retention of spermatozoa with acrosomal and nuclear abnormalities in the cow genital tract. J Anim Sci 1985;61:956–67.
- [28] Thunderthil J, Palasz AT, Barth AD, Mapletoft RJ. Plasma membranes and acrosomal integrity in bovine spermatozoa with the knobbed acrosome effect. Theriogenology 2002;58:97–102.
- [29] Rajasekarasetty MR. Studies on a new type of genetically determined quasi-sterility in the house mouse. Fert Steril 1954;5:68–97.
- [30] Salisbury GW, Hart RG, Lodge JR. The spermatozoan genome and fertility. Am J Ob Gyn 1977;128:342–50.
- [31] Ballachey RE, Hohenboken WD, Evanson DP. Heterogeneity of sperm nuclear chromatin structure and its relationship to bull fertility. Biol Reprod 1987;36:915–25.
- [32] Settergren I, Nicander L. Ultrastructure of disintegrated bull sperm. In: Proceeding of the 6th International Congress Animal Reprod and AI, vol. 1; 1968.p. 191.
- [33] Blom E, Birch-Andersen A. Ultrastructure of the decapitated sperm defect in Guernsey bulls. J Reprod Fert 1970;23:67–72.
- [34] Jones WA. Abnormal morphology of the spermatozoa in Guernsey bulls. Br Vet J 1962;118:257.
- [35] Van Rensburg SWJ, Van Resnburg SJ, de Vos WH. The significance of the cytoplasmic droplet in the disintegration of semen in Guernsey bulls. Onderstepoort J vet Res 1966;33:169.
- [36] Milk Marketing Board (UK). Decapitated sperm. Rep Breed and Prod Org Milk Market Brd 1967/ 1968;18:135.
- [37] Williams G. An abnormality of the spermatozoa of some Hereford bulls. Vet Rec 1965;77:1204-6.
- [38] Blom E. A decapitated sperm defect in two sterile Hereford bulls. Nord Vet-Med 1977;29:119–23.
- [39] Kullander S, Rausing A. On round-headed human spermatozoa. Int J Fert 1975;20:33-40.
- [40] Cran DG, Dott HM, Wilmington HW. The structure and formation of rolled and crested bull spermatozoa. Gamete Res 1982;5:203–69.
- [41] Blom E. Rolled head and nuclear crest sperm defects in a rare case of presumed diploidy in the bull. Nord Vet-Med 1980;32:409–16.
- [42] Gledhill BL. Cytophotometry of presumed diploid bull spermatozoa. Nord Vet Med 1965;17:328–35.
- [43] Eljack AH, Hrudka F. Patterns and dynamics of teratospermia induced in rams by parenteral treatment with ethylene dibromide. J Ultrastruct Res 1979;7:124–34.
- [44] Lanfranco F, Kamischke A, ZitzmannM. Nieschlag E. Kleinfelter's syndrome. Lancet 2004;364:273-83.
- [45] Blom E, Wolstrup C. Zinc as a possible causal factor in the sterilizing sperm tail defect, the "Dag defect", in Jersey bulls. In: Proceeding of the VIIIth International Congress Anim Reprod and AI, vol. IV; 1976.p. 690–3.
- [46] van Duijn C. Ultrastructural mid-piece defects in spermatozoa from the subfertile Great Yorkshire boar Ard. In: Proceeding of the 7th International Congress Anim Reprod and AI, vol. 1. 1972. p. 469–73.
- [47] Blom E. A new sperm defect: pseudo-droplets, in the middle piece of bull sperm. Nord Vet-Med 1968;20:279–83.
- [48] Heath E, Aire T, Fujiwara K. Microtubular mass defect of spermatozoa in stallions. Am J Vet Res 1985;46:1121–5.
- [49] Chenoweth PJ, Pascoe RR, McDougall HL, McCosker PJ. An abnormality of the spermatozoa of a stallion (*Equus caballus*). Br Vet J 1970;126:476–88.
- [50] Burgess GW, Chenoweth PJ. Mid-piece abnormalities in bovine semen following experimental and natural cases of bovine ephemeral fever. Br Vet J 1975;131:536–44.

- [51] Chenoweth PJ, Chase Jr CC, Risco CA, Larsen RE. Characterization of gossypol-induced sperm abnormalities in bulls. Theriogenology 2000;53:1193–203.
- [52] Blom E. A rare sperm abnormality: corkscrew-sperm associated with sterility in bulls. Nature 1959; 183:1280–1.
- [53] Blom E. The corkscrew sperm defect in Danish bulls: a possible indicator of nuclear fallout? Nord Vet-Med 1978;30:1–8.
- [54] Courbrough RI, Barker CAV. Spermatozoa: an unusual middle piece abnormality associated with sterility in bulls. In: Proceeding of the 5th International Congress Anim Reprod and AI; 1964.p. 219–29.
- [55] Blom E. A sterilizing "tail stump sperm defect" in a Holstein Friesian bull. Nord Vet-Med 1976;28:295-8.
- [56] Williams G. Tail stump defect affecting the spermatozoa of two Charolais bulls. Vet Rec 1987;121:248-50.
- [57] Peet R, Mullins K. Sterility in a poll Hereford bull associated with the tail stump sperm defect. Aust Vet J 1991;68:245.
- [58] Vierula M, Alanko M, Andersson M, Vanhaperttula T. Tail stump defect in Ayrshire bulls: morphogenesis of the defect. Andrologia 1987;9:207–16.
- [59] Foote R, Hough S, Johnson L, Kaproth M. Electron microscopy and pedigree study in an Ayrshire bull with tail-stump sperm defects. Vet Rec 1992;130:578–9.
- [60] Andersson M, Makipaa R. Length of the sperm tail in fertile boars of different breeds and in sterile Yorkshire boars affected with the hereditary "short tail" sperm defect. In: Proceeding of the 14th International Congress Anim Reprod, vol. 2; 2000.p. 31–96.
- [61] Barth A. Abaxial tail attachment of bovine spermatozoa and its effect on fertility. Can Vet J 1989;30:656-62.
- [62] Leestma JE, Sepsenwol S. Sperm tail axoneme alterations in the Wobbler mouse. J Reprod Fert 1980;58:267–70.
- [63] Bacetti B, Burrini AG, Palluni V, Maver A, Reneiri T. 9 + 0 immotile spermatozoa in an infertile male. Andrologia 1979;11:437–43.