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#### Abstract

We have applied an integrative taxonomic approach, including bioacoustics, ecology, morphology, and molecular genetics (barcoding and phylogeography), to explore species richness in the genus Diasporus in eastern Panama, from where only Diasporus quidditus (Lynch, 2001) was previously known. During fieldwork in eastern Panama in 2011 and 2012 we found six additional species, four of which we are describing here as new to science, plus two species that are new for this region. We have evaluated the presence of Diasporus diastema (Cope, 1875) in eastern Panama by comparing morphological, genetic, and bioacoustic characters of specimens from near the type locality in central Panama with specimens from eastern Panama. We further describe and compare male advertisement calls of most Diasporus species. The phylogeographic analysis suggests the allopatric speciation of Diasporus species in eastern Panama following the completion of the Panamanian isthmus in the middle Miocene. Subsequent geological events concur with the vicariant evolution of different lineages in situ, suggesting eastern Panama to be a centre of endemism for this group of frogs. We present an integrative analysis of the species from eastern Panama and include an identification key for all species of the genus.
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## INTRODUCTION

Cryptic species are defined as 'two or more distinct species that are erroneously classified under one species name' (Bickford et al., 2007). Frogs of the genus Diasporus are a good example of cryptic diversity, as most species resemble each other externally, and are

[^0]difficult to distinguish by morphological methods alone; however, integrative analysis (the combination of several methods and lines of evidence) reveals the true diversity in this species complex (Hertz et al., 2012). In recent years, three new species of the genus Diasporus (formerly Eleutherodactylus diastema group; sensu Hedges, Duellman \& Heinicke, 2008) have been described from Costa Rica and western Panama (Chaves et al., 2009; Batista, Ponce \&

Hertz, 2012; Hertz et al., 2012), and several more species presumably await discovery and description (Lynch \& Duellman, 1997; Ibáñez, Rand \& Jaramillo, 1999; Savage, 2002; Hertz et al., 2012). There is evidence of divergence between populations in traits other than morphology, for example on geographic isolation, ecology, bioacoustics, and molecular genetics, but it is difficult to distinguish them based on morphology alone. The application of an integrative approach offers a more balanced solution (Dayrat, 2005; Vieites et al., 2009; Padial et al., 2010; Hertz et al., 2012) that can solve the taxonomic problems within this genus.

The genus Diasporus comprises small frogs with short limbs and expanded disc pads, with or without lanceolate or papillate tips, that inhabit rainforests from eastern Honduras to north-western South America as far as north-western Ecuador (Hedges et al., 2008). Adult males possess well-developed vocal slits and a single external subgular vocal sac. Their characteristic 'dink' call (subjective general acoustic description), which can be more like a whistle in some species, is commonly heard in wet forests throughout the distribution range of the genus. Males usually call from vegetation growing at ground level up to several metres above the ground (Savage, 2002).

To date, the genus Diasporus contains 11 described species (Frost, 2014). Seven species are currently known to be endemic to Central America: Diasporus citrinobapheus Hertz et al., 2012; Serranía de Tabasará, Panama; Diasporus diastema (Cope, 1875), widespread between central Panama and Honduras; Diasporus hylaeformis (Cope, 1875), cordilleras (mountain ranges) of Costa Rica and western Panama; Diasporus igneus Batista et al., 2012; Serranía de Tabasará, Panama; Diasporus tigrillo (Savage, 1997), Atlantic slopes of the Cordillera de Talamanca, Costa Rica; Diasporus ventrimaculatus Chaves et al., 2009; Cordillera de Talamanca, Costa Rica; and Diasporus vocator (Taylor, 1955), western Panama and southern Costa Rica. Diasporus quidditus (Lynch, 2001) occurs in eastern Panama and north-western Colombia (Lynch, 2001; Köhler, 2011). The remaining three species are distributed along the Pacific side of northern South America, from Colombia to north-western Ecuador: Diasporus anthrax (Lynch, 2001), along the eastern foothills of the Cordillera central and the western slope of the Cordillera Oriental, Colombia; Diasporus gularis (Boulenger, 1898), lowlands of western Colombia and north-western Ecuador; and Diasporus tinker (Lynch, 2001), Pacific slopes of Colombia (IUCN, 2013; Frost, 2014).

Eastern Panama (EP) is known as an important biodiversity hot spot with high endemism, as a result of the great variety of habitats. Still largely unknown
forests, that are suffering from rapid habitat loss (Parker, Carrión \& Samudio, 2004). The high endemism in EP is likely to be the result of the complex geohistory of the Isthmus of Panama. EP represents the northernmost part of the Chocó biogeographical region (Duque-Caro, 1990), and can be subdivided into three main geographical units: the massifs of the Dabeiba Arc in the north-east (San Blas and Darién mountain ranges) and the Baudó Arc in the south-west (Jingurudó, Majé, Pirre, and Sapo mountain ranges). Between these mountains lies the Chucunaque Basin, a sedimentary basin that forms the central part of the Choco Block, with the drainage of Chucunaque River and Tuira Basin in the lowlands (Duque-Caro, 1990; Coates \& Obando, 1996). The uplift of the Choco Block is the result of the collision of the Panama Arc with South America since the middle Miocene (as early as 11 Mya; Farris et al., 2011). The continuous uplift of the Choco Block shallowed the water depth in the Atrato and Chucunaque basins, as they were steadily filled with sediments (Duque-Caro, 1990; Coates et al., 2004). These geohistorical dynamics periodically separated land masses (e.g. the isolation of the mountains in the Baudo and Dabeiba arcs in EP during the Middle Miocene) that promoted speciation events and an increased species diversity in this region (Batista et al., 2014b).

During the last 4 years we have conducted several expeditions and collected numerous specimens and associated materials of amphibians and reptiles across large parts of EP. Besides two recent discoveries and publications on regional anuran fauna (Batista et al., 2014a,b), preliminary barcoding analysis of Diasporus frogs from different localities in EP revealed several distinct lineages. Herein, we apply the first integrative approach on Diasporus taxa to evaluate the status of these lineages. We use information from bioacoustics, ecology, morphology, and biogeography to evaluate the divergence among genetic lineages and draw taxonomic conclusions. Furthermore, we discuss the distribution pattern of Diasporus taxa from EP in a biogeographical context by comparing analyses of regional geological events and molecular clock calibrations.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fieldwork was carried out in the Chucunaque and Tuira basins of the eastern Panamanian lowlands (EPLL), and in all major eastern Panamanian mountain ranges. Eastern Panama (EP) defines the eastern half of the country, corresponding to the area east of the Panama Canal. It comprises two important ecoregions of the western hemisphere, the eastern Panamanian montane forests (EPMF) in the
highlands and the Chocó-Darién moist forests (CDMF) in the lowlands (Fund, 2014). The EPMF is further split into several mountain ranges: San Blas mountain range (SBM), Darién mountain range (DM), Jingurudó-Sapo mountain range (JSM), Majé mountain range (MM), and Pirre mountain range (PM) (Figs 1 and 2). The lowlands (EPLL) are dissected by a few large rivers, the Balsas, Chucunaque, Sambú, and Tuira rivers, within the CDMF. There are a few additional, smaller ( $<500 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.) mountain ranges, such as the Filo del Tallo-Canglón in middle of Darién, and the Bagre in the south-east of Darién along the Sambú River. All geographical coordinates were recorded in the WGS 1984 datum given in decimal degrees. The maps were created with ArcGIS 10 (ESRI, 2009). The voucher specimens collected were killed with agent T61 and subsequently fixed with a preservative mixture of 5 mL of formalin ( $40 \%$ ) in 1 L of ethanol ( $94 \%$ ), and then stored in ethanol (70\%). All figures have been digitally
modified for improved visibility and combined using Adobe CS3. For candidate species and their delimitation we follow the integrative concept for amphibians of Vieites et al. (2009).

## MORPHOMETRICS

Morphological nomenclature, measurements, and diagnoses follow Duellman \& Lehr (2009). All measurements were made using digital calipers and were rounded to the nearest 0.01 mm . Measurements are given as mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ and range in parentheses (Table 1). Specimens were deposited in the Museo Herpetológico de Chiriquí at the Universidad Autónoma de Chiriquí, David, Panama, and at the Senckenberg Research Institute and Nature Museum, Frankfurt, Germany. The abbreviations for museum collections follow Sabaj Pérez (2013), with field numbers $A B$ from the abbreviated name Abel Batista. Morphological data of similar Diasporus


Figure 1. Map of the Darién region, eastern Panama, showing the distribution of the species of Diasporus described herein.


Figure 2. Map of eastern Panama (EP), showing the distribution of EP species that inhabit variable elevations, including lowlands: Diasporus diastema complex, Diasporus aff. quidditus, and Diasporus tinker.

Table 1. Genetic p-distances (in percentages) in the 16 S mtDNA between the Diasporus spp. used in the phylogenetic analysis

|  | Species | p-distance (in \%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 1 | D. majeensis sp. nov. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | D. darienensis $\mathrm{sp} . \mathrm{nov}$. | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | 10.3 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | D. sapo sp. nov. | 6.5 | 2.6 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | D. diastema CWP | 10.0 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | D. aff. diastema EPL | 11.0 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 9.2 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | D. aff. diastema MM | 10.8 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | D. citrinobapheus | 11.0 | 9.0 | 9.7 | 9.2 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | D. hylaeformis | 8.4 | 5.5 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | D. aff. quidditus | 10.2 | 6.9 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 8.9 |  |  |  |
| 11 | D. tinker | 9.9 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 9.6 | 7.4 |  |  |
| 12 | D. vocator | 10.5 | 8.9 | 11.1 | 8.3 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 10.6 |  |

species for comparison were taken from holotypes (D. anthrax, D. citrinobapheus, D. igneus, D. quidditus, and D. tinker), as well as from original descriptions and published literature (Savage, 1997; Lynch, 2001; Chaves et al., 2009; Batista et al., 2012; Hertz et al., 2012; Jiménez et al., 2013). A list of specimens examined is presented in Appendix 1.
The following morphometric measurements were taken (with abbreviations indicated, see Duellman \& Lehr, 2009): snout-vent length (SVL); head length (HL), measured diagonally from angle of jaw to tip of snout; head width (HW), measured between angles of jaws; interorbital distance (IOD); eye length (EL),
measured from anterior to posterior edge of externally accessible eye; hand length (HAL), measured from proximal edge of palmar tubercle to tip of third finger; tibia length (TL), the distance from knee to distal end of the tibia; foot length (FL), measured from proximal edge of outer metatarsal tubercle to tip of fourth toe; width of third finger (3FW), at penultimate phalanx just anterior to disc; width of disc of third finger (3FD), at greatest width; width of third toe (3TW), at penultimate phalanx just anterior to disc; width of disc of third toe (3TD), at greatest width; width of fourth toe (4TW), at penultimate phalanx just anterior to the disc; width of disc of
fourth toe (4TD), at greatest width; tympanum diameter (TD), measured horizontally, based on an estimated circular tympanum; and body width (BW), at greatest width of body. We determined the sex of adults by the presence of vocal slits in males and the presence of eggs in females. Specimens without vocal slits or eggs, and with the correspondingly smaller SVL (relative to the standard size of confirmed adult females or males for each species), were classified as juveniles. For the description of the ungual flap we followed Lynch (2001) and Savage (2002). The ungual flap is known as the 'more or less free anterior portion of the disk cover' (see Savage, 1987), it represents the anteriormost margin of the finger/toe tip, which can be hanging in a loose flap (sheet of skin). Generalized coloration summaries were derived directly from live specimens or indirectly from photos of live specimens. For the standardized color descriptions of selected individuals, the capitalized color and color codes (with the latter in parentheses) are taken from Köhler (2012).

## Bioacoustics

Male advertisement calls were recorded using a Marantz Professional (PMD 620) and/or a Panasonic RRXS410 digital recorder, with a Sennheiser ME 66 shotgun microphone capsule and a Sennheiser K6 powering module. The microphone was positioned between 0.5 and 1.5 m from the calling frog. Recordings were made at a sampling rate of 44 kHz with 16 -bit resolution in uncompressed pulse-code modulation (PCM) format and saved as .wav files. The spectral and temporal parameters were analysed and the power spectra were calculated in RAVEN PRO 1.4 (Blackman DFT window; 2048 samples; 3-dB filter bandwidth of 158 Hz ; grid spacing of 21.5 Hz ; overlap 70.1\%; Charif, Clark \& Fristrup, 2004). The lowest and highest frequencies were measured at 20 dB below the peak frequency, thereby avoiding overlapping with background noise (Simões \& Lima, 2011). Because our original recordings of two male Diasporus sp. nov. from Bajo Pequeno were lost, we extracted the calls from the background of another recording that was targeted at a Pristimantis sp. nov. (A. Batista, unpubl. data), using Adobe Audition 5.0. We amplified the sound (using the amplitude function) to extract details that were difficult to see in the original file. The terminology used in the description of advertisement calls follows Duellman \& Trueb (1994), and follows Ursprung, Ringler \& Hödl (2009) for the description of calling in bouts. The call rate was calculated as (total number of calls -1 )/(time from the beginning of the first call to the beginning of the last call) (Cocroft \& Ryan, 1995). Because the dominant frequency (DF)
is correlated with SVL in many frog species (Gerhardt \& Huber, 2002; Bradbury \& Vehrencamp, 2011), we used the non-standardized residuals between these variables for the statistical analyses. The environmental temperature and humidity were measured using an Oakton digital thermo-hygrometer. Because temporal parameters are tempera-ture-dependent in many frog species, statistical adjustments are required (Gerhardt \& Huber, 2002). In cases where we found no correlation between temperature and temporal parameters among species, we used raw data (e.g. call rate, note duration, note interval). We ran a discriminant function analysis to classify the advertisement calls of different species. The species for which SVL and/or temperature were not available were excluded from this analysis; however, all specimens were included in scatter plots of raw data that correlate DF against call rate and DF against note duration (Gerhardt \& Huber, 2002; Padial et al., 2008). The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0. Acoustic data for D. anthrax and $D$. ventrimaculatus were taken from Chaves et al. (2009) and Jiménez et al. (2013), respectively. As the terms DF, peak frequency, and high frequency were obviously confused by Jiménez et al. (2013), we re-estimated the real DF value from the spectrogram shown in that publication. The spectrogram figure was produced with the SEEWAVE package in $R$.

## MOLECULAR LABORATORY WORK AND PHYLOGENETIC INFERENCE

DNA was extracted from fresh muscle or liver tissue in the Grunelius-Möllgaard Laboratory for Molecular Evolution, Senckenberg, Germany, using the protocol of Ivanova, Dewaard \& Hebert (2006). The samples were amplified using a Mastercycler pro $S$ (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), performing an initial denaturation for 1 min at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 35 steps with denaturation for 9 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, annealing for 27 s at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and with elongation for 1.5 min at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Final elongation proceeded for 7 min at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the nuclear recombination activating gene 1 (RAG1), we used: one cycle of 2 min at $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 45$ cycles of 20 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25 \mathrm{~s}$ at $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 2 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; and one cycle of 7 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture contained $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) template, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of reaction buffer $\times 10$ (PeqGold), $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2.5 mM dNTPs, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (containing 2.5 units) of Taq Polymerase (PeqLab), $14.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$, and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per primer for $16 S$ ( 10 pmol ; forward primer, L2510, 5'-CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3'; reverse primer, H3056, 5'-CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT-3'; eurofins MWG Operon), and $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per primer for RAG1
(forward, R182, 5'-GCCATAACTGCTGGAGCATYA T-3'; reverse, R270, 5'-AGYAGATGTTGCCTGGGT CTTC-3'; eurofins MWG Operon (Heinicke, Duellman \& Hedges, 2007). Sequencing of the $16 S$ rRNA and RAG1 was performed in the molecular laboratory of the Senckenberg Biodiversität und Klima Forschungszentrum (BIK-F), Germany. The mitochondrial cytochrome $c$ oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was sequenced in the Southern China DNA Barcoding Center. In total we extracted DNA from 48 Diasporus frogs from EP and received 41 sequences for 16S, 30 sequences for $C O I$, and 45 sequences for RAG1 (Appendix 2). We compared the mtDNA data of our specimens with Diasporus sequences published in GenBank. The sequences were aligned with CLUSTAL W (Larkin et al., 2007) and were edited by eye using GENEIOUS 4.8.5 (Drummond et al., 2010). A list of specimens included in the genetic analysis with corresponding GenBank accession numbers is presented in Appendix 2. GenBank sequences of Colostethus panamansis (Dunn, 1933), Craugastor opimus (Savage and Myers, 2002), Craugastor fitzingeri (Schmidt, 1857), Eleutherodactylus planirostris (Cope, 1862), Eleutherodactylus thorectes Hedges, 1988, and Pristimantis caryophyllaceus (Barbour, 1928) were used as out-groups. The final alignment (including GenBank sequences) of the $16 S$ mtDNA comprised 66 sequences (excluding outgroups) and consisted of 449 positions, of which 251 sites were variable and 158 sites were parsimonyinformative. The final alignment of COI comprised 38 sequences consisting of 552 positions, of which 250 sites were variable and 224 sites were parsi-mony-informative. The final alignment of RAG1 comprised 48 sequences consisting of 571 positions, of which 172 sites were variable and 76 sites were par-simony-informative. A total of 73 samples, combining the mitochondrial genes and the nuclear RAG1, were obtained (excluding out-groups), consisting of 1537 positions, of which 630 sites were variable and 447 were parsimony-informative. Using MEGA 6 (Tamura et al., 2011) we calculated uncorrected pairwise genetic p-distances for $C O I$ and $16 S$ both separately and combined. For each gene and for the combined gene data set (COI, 16S, and RAG1). We selected the substitution model for the Bayesian analysis using JModeltest 0.1.1 (Posada, 2008) under the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc; Akaike, 1974). The three-parameter model with rate heterogeneity, TPM1uf $+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ (Kimura, 1981), was implemented for the combined gene data set. We ran a Bayesian phylogenetic analysis in MrBayes 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck \& Ronquist, 2001) for 10000000 generations with four default chains, sampling every 1000 generations. In the final consensus tree $25 \%$ were discarded as burn-in. To test species delimita-
tion among the Diasporus species, we applied the automatic barcode gap discovery (ABGD) algorithm (Puillandre et al., 2011) with the following settings: 20 steps; distance calculated using the Kimura twoparameter model with a transversion/transition ratio of 2.0 ; and the setting for the minimum relative gap width ( $X$ ) was set to different values between 0 and 1.5.

## PhyLOGEOGRAPHY AND DIVERGENCE TIME ANALYSIS

We have used defined biogeographic areas of EP (see first paragraph in the Material and methods section) in the phylogeographic analysis. The phylogenetic relationships and divergence times were estimated for the mtDNAs $16 S$ and $C O I$ and nuclear DNA RAG1 combined ( $1537 \mathrm{bp}, 20$ Diasporus samples included) in BEAST 1.5.4 (Drummond \& Rambaut, 2007), with a relaxed clock, allowing substitution rates to vary according to an uncorrelated log-normal distribution, assuming a Yule tree prior (Drummond et al., 2006). The prior distribution on substitution parameters was set to the default. To calibrate the root and one node age respectively, we used the age of approximately 57 Mya with a standard deviation of 15 Myr for the most common recent ancestor between Pristimantis and Eleutherodactylus-Diasporus (Heinicke et al., 2009; Pinto-Sánchez et al., 2012); and with the estimated crown ages of 32 Mya for the Eleutherodactylus-Diasporus (Heinicke et al., 2009), and 17 Mya for D. vocator and D. hylaeformis (Pinto-Sánchez et al., 2012). Parameters were estimated using 100 million generations with a burn-in of 50 million generations and trees were sampled every 10000 generations. Results were visualized and compared using TRACER 1.5 (Rambaut \& Drummond, 2009), and summary trees were generated using TreeAnnotator 1.5.4.

## RESULTS

The phylogenetic analysis of the two mitochondrial genes revealed substantial molecular differences between groups with p-distances above $4.0 \%$ in $16 S$ mtDNA, $11 \%$ in COI mtDNA (Tables $1-3$ ), and $7.0 \%$ when both genes were combined (Table 3). The divergence of these groups are further supported by substantial differences in male advertisement call and morphometric characters. The ABGD analysis generated 12 distinct phylogenetic groups for $16 S$ with a divergence threshold of 0.033 and a relative barcoding gap of 0.05 ( $X$-value). For COI, it produced 12 groups assuming an a priori intraspecific divergence threshold of 0.021 and a relative gap width of 0.05 ( $X$-value). The two analyses (ABGD for $16 S$ and COI) lumped all samples in one group, with a prior
intraspecific divergence of 0.050 and 0.010 , respectively. For both mitochondrial genes, the groups resulting from the ABGD analysis were consistent with the species units named in this study, except for the lumping of Diasporus sp. nov. from Cerro Sapo and Diasporus sp. nov. from DM and JSM, which is also present at Cerro Sapo.
The Bayesian consensus tree of all genetic markers combined (16S, COI, and RAG1) as well the divergence time analyses showed two well-differentiated clades of Diasporus in EP, with one containing the highland species (MM, PM, and JSM) that are monophyletic and well supported (Bayesian probability $>0.95$ ). The second clade includes species primarily distributed in the lowlands, including also $D$. citrinobapheus (from western Panama, WP), various lineages of $D$. aff. diastema (from central Panama, CP, the eastern Panamanian lowlands, EPL, and Majé MM, below 1000 m a.s.l.) and $D$. aff. vocator (from WP and south-eastern Costa Rica, CR). The divergence time analyses indicated that the EP lineages
split from the WP lineages around 15 Mya ( $95 \%$ confidence interval, 95\% CI, 6-29 Myr).

Most Diasporus species can be differentiated morphologically by a combination of SVL, disc shape, and color pattern (Table 4). In bioacoustics, a discriminant function analysis correctly classified all species included ( $P<0.01 ; N=26$; Table 5). These results proved that seven species of the genus Dias porus occur in EP and can be diagnosed by some selected traits (e.g. SVL, ungual flap, color pattern, dominant frequency, and distribution; for more details, see Figs 3-7 and Table 6), with a new record for the species $D$. tinker in Panama. A detailed comparison of the type series of $D$. tinker from Colombia left no doubt that the specimens collected in EP are conspecific. We took advantage of these new records and include molecular, bioacoustic, and morphological data of $D$. tinker to increase the knowledge of morphological variation in this species in Panama. Furthermore, we used this data to distinguish D. tinker from other Diasporus species in EP. Addi-

Table 2. Genetic p-distances (in percentages) in the COI mtDNA between the Diasporus spp. used in the phylogenetic analysis

|  | Species | p-distance (in \%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 1 | D. majeensis sp. nov. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $D . p e q u e n o$ sp. nov. | 15.2 | 16.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | D. sapo sp. nov. | 13.0 | 10.0 | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | D. diastema CP | 16.1 | 17.6 | 13.9 | 16.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | D. aff. diastema EPL | 16.7 | 16.5 | 14.4 | 17.0 | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | D. aff. diastema MM | 15.2 | 16.0 | 12.3 | 16.2 | 9.6 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | D. hylaeformis | 18.3 | 19.7 | 18.3 | 18.7 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | D. aff. quidditus | 16.7 | 16.9 | 14.5 | 17.5 | 12.6 | 14.3 | 13.1 | 19.0 |  |  |  |
| 10 | D. tinker | 16.4 | 16.9 | 14.6 | 17.1 | 14.7 | 14.1 | 14.0 | 20.6 | 16.2 |  |  |
| 11 | D. vocator | 17.8 | 18.7 | 17.7 | 17.6 | 18.5 | 20.1 | 16.5 | 19.1 | 21.1 | 18.6 |  |

Table 3. Genetic p-distances (in percentages) in the $16 S$ and COI mtDNA combined between the Diasporus spp. used in the phylogenetic analysis

|  | Species | p-distance (in \%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | D. aff. diastema EPL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | D. aff. quidditus | 10.4 | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | 13.5 | 9.9 | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | D. tinker | 11.5 | 14.1 | 12.2 | 13.2 |  |  |  |
| 6 | D. sapo sp. nov. | 13.4 | 10.3 | 12.7 | 7 | 13.6 |  |  |
| 7 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | 12.1 | 14.1 | 12.4 | 14.3 | 12.5 | 12.9 |  |

tionally, four species that clearly belong to the genus Diasporus on the basis of molecular (Figs 8, 9), bioacoustic, and morphological evidence cannot be assigned to any described species. Thus, we describe them as new species hereafter.

## SPECIES ACCOUNTS

DIASPORUS DARIENENSIS SP. NOV.
Figs 10, 14A, B
ELEUTHERODACTYLUS DIASTEMA - MyERS 1969: FIG. 19B

## Holotype

SMF 97304 (original field number AB 1144), an adult female (Fig. 10), collected by Abel Batista \& Konrad Mebert on the ridge of Pirre mountain range, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~km}$ north from the peak of Cerro Pirre, Parque Nacional Darién (PND), Distrito de Pinogana, Darién, Panama, on 8 December 2012 at 20:35 h (7.97312 N, 77.70785 W; 1143 m a.s.l.).

## Paratypes

MHCH 2840-41, 2844-47, 2862, SMF 97303, 97314, 97306-08, 97661-62, with same collecting data as holotype; MHCH 2850-52, SMF 97309-10, 97312, collected by Abel Batista \& Milan Veselý in the Jingurudo mountain range, on a ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambú River, between the Comarca EmberáWounaan and the PND, Distrito de Sambú, Darién, Panama, 26-30 September 2011 (7.69271 N, $78.04200 \mathrm{~W} ; 869 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.); SMF 97313, collected by Abel Batista \& Gustavo Dojirama at the top of Cerro Sapo, PND, Distrito de Garachiné, Darién, Panama, on 4 December 2011, at $22: 06 \mathrm{~h}$ (7.97618 N, $78.36263 \mathrm{~W} ; 1169 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.).

## Diagnosis

Diasporus darienensis sp. nov. is characterized by the following combination of traits (see Table 1): (1) dorsal skin texture smooth and/or with rounded or pointed scattered tubercles; (2) tympanic annulus concealed by skin, tympanic membrane absent; (3) snout acuminated in dorsal view and rounded in profile; (4) usually with a slightly enlarged and conical supraocular tubercle, cranial crests absent; (5) dentigerous processes of vomers triangular, diagonal to the eyes, near to the middle of the mouth and posteriorly separated about three-quarters of their total length from each other; (6) vocal sac moderately developed, with longitudinal gular folds evident, vocal slits present on the posterior part of the jaw, halfway under the tongue and ending at the midlevel of the eyes, no nuptial pads; (7) finger II longer than finger I, ungual flap mostly expanded, rounded, and
more evident on fingers II-IV; (8) no fringes or webbing on fingers; (9) palmar tubercle ovoid, flattened, and slightly larger than thenar tubercle; thenar tubercle low and elongate; subarticular tubercles rounded and globular; no supernumerary tubercles, palmar accessory tubercles small, rounded, and almost indistinguishable; (10) heel smooth; (11) no fringes or webbing on toes, ungual flap slightly expanded to rounded, more evident on toes II-V; (12) plantar tubercle indistinguishable, between one and three non-protuberant subarticular tubercles present (one on toes I and II, two on toes III and V, and three on toe IV); inner metatarsal tubercle elongated; outer metatarsal tubercles conical and smaller than inner; tarsal ridge absent; (13) dorsal ground color in life brown to reddish, some specimens with pale reticulations on a dark background color; usually with a pair of red or pale dorsolateral lines, venter translucent or suffused with dark color, vocal sac yellow; (14) SVL $18.1 \pm 21.3(14.9-22.9, N=21)$, males $\quad 17.1 \pm 1.11 \quad(14.9-18.5, \quad N=15)$, females $20.7 \pm 1.86$ ( $18.5-22.9, N=6$ ); (15) advertisement call composed of a single, amplitude-modulated short note ( $49.1-51.7 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) with harmonic structure. The dominant frequency is also the fundamental frequency, with most energy emitted at $3.34-3.81 \mathrm{kHz}$.

## Description of the holotype

An adult female (SVL 17.40, Fig. 10) with slender body; dorsal skin smooth with small scattered tubercles, ventral skin smooth, discoidal fold not evident, low anal warts present; one small conical supraocular tubercle; eye twice as long as snout; tympanum of moderate size, ratio TD/EL $34 \%$; tympanum indistinguishable, annulus tympanicus concealed by skin, tympanic membrane absent, positioned above the junction of jaws and behind the orbit; head as long as wide (HL/HW 1.03), greatest head width between angles of jaw $40 \%$ of SVL; snout subacuminate from above and rounded in profile; nares situated near tip of snout and slightly dorsolaterally directed, clearly visible in frontal view, also visible dorsally but not ventrally; canthus rostralis rounded; loreal region feebly concave; dentigerous processes of vomer clearly visible, orbit in an oblique outline in frontal of eyes, each with five teeth; vocal slits absent; tongue long ( $25 \%$ of SVL) and knobbed at the end, first third attached to floor of mouth; hands moderate in size, $20 \%$ of SVL; relative lengths of adpressed fingers $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{II}<\mathrm{IV}<\mathrm{III}$; finger II subequal in size to finger VI, finger II reaching the disc on finger IV when adpressed; finger III disc 1.6 times wider than distal end of adjacent phalanx; palmar tubercle low and rounded, larger than thenar tubercle; thenar tubercle low and elongate; subarticular tubercles rounded and globular; no supernumerary tubercles;

Table 4. Morphological proportions for Diasporus species

| Species ( $N$ ) | SVL | HW | HL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. anthrax (2)* | 16.5-18.7 | - | - |
| D. tigrillo (2)* | 16.8-17.5 |  |  |
| D. citrinobapheus (7) | $19 \pm 1.41$ (17.3-21.8) | $7 \pm 0.47$ (6.5-7.8) | $7.6 \pm 0.54$ (7-8.7) |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. (21) | $18.1 \pm 2.13$ (14.9-22.9) | $6.5 \pm 0.82$ (5.2-8.4) | $6.5 \pm 0.64$ (5.6-7.9) |
| D. aff. diastema, CP (49) | $19.2 \pm 2.78$ (14.6-27.7) | $7 \pm 1.2$ (5.4-10.9) | $7.6 \pm 0.99$ (6-10.6) |
| D. aff. diastema, MM (5) | $19.8 \pm 3.11$ (16-24.5) | $7.3 \pm 0.93$ (6.1-8.7) | $7.3 \pm 1.04$ (6-8.9) |
| D. aff. diastema, EPL (20) | $21.3 \pm 1.82$ (18.3-25.2) | $7.9 \pm 0.83$ (6.1-9.6) | $7.4 \pm 0.63$ (6.7-8.4) |
| D. gularis (3) | $22.1 \pm 1.75$ (20.4-23.9) | - | - |
| D. hylaeformis (28) | $20.3 \pm 1.51$ (16.9-23.1) | $7.4 \pm 0.74$ (5.9-8.8) | $8 \pm 0.71$ (6.8-9.5) |
| D. igneus (4) | $26.1 \pm 0.5$ (25.5-26.6) | $9.9 \pm 0.17$ (9.7-10.1) | $8.5 \pm 0.31$ (8.2-8.8) |
| D. majeensis sp. nov. (15) | $21.5 \pm 2.64$ (15.3-25.5) | $8.1 \pm 0.92$ (6.2-9.7) | $7.8 \pm 0.84$ (6-9.4) |
| D. pequeno sp. nov. (13) | $19.3 \pm 2.38$ (16.9-24.8) | $7.2 \pm 0.96$ (6.2-8.9) | $7.2 \pm 1.04$ (5.9-9.1) |
| D. aff. quidditus (51) | $14.5 \pm 1.44$ (11.5-17.9) | $5.4 \pm 0.48$ (4-6.5) | $5.6 \pm 0.48$ (4.5-6.3) |
| D. sapo sp. nov. (11) | $22.6 \pm 2.86$ (18.8-29.1) | $8 \pm 0.82$ (7.1-9.7) | $8.2 \pm 1.05$ (6.6-10.2) |
| D. tinker (39) | $17.3 \pm 1.55$ (14.6-20.4) | $6.4 \pm 0.42$ (5.6-7.3) | $6.2 \pm 0.58$ (5.3-7.2) |
| D. ventrimaculatus (4) | $22.8 \pm 1.89$ (20.2-24.7) | $-\quad 1$ | - |
| D. vocator (12) | $14.4 \pm 1.46$ (12-17.2) | $4.9 \pm 0.41$ (4-5.4) | $5.4 \pm 0.66$ (4.4-6.3) |
| Species | TL | HAL | HW/SVL |
| D. anthrax | - | - | - |
| D. tigrillo |  | - | 0.36 (0.34-0.37) |
| D. citrinobapheus | $7.9 \pm 0.68$ (7-9.2) | - | - |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | $7.7 \pm 0.73$ (6.5-9.5) | $6.8 \pm 0.89$ (5.4-9) | $4.4 \pm 0.39$ (3.7-5.1) |
| D. aff. diastema, CP | $8 \pm 1.32$ (5.5-12) | $4.5 \pm 0.93$ (3.2-6) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.3-0.4) |
| D. aff. diastema, MM | $8.4 \pm 0.96$ (7.3-9.9) | $4 \pm 0.58$ (3.5-5) | $0.4 \pm 0.01$ (0.4-0.4) |
| D. aff. diastema, EPL | $8.3 \pm 0.77$ (7.3-9.4) | $3.9 \pm 0.5$ (3.4-4.8) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.3-0.4) |
| D. gularis | $-\quad 10.75{ }^{-}$ | - | - |
| D. hylaeformis | $8 \pm 0.75$ (6.6-10) | - | $-$ |
| D. igneus | $11.7 \pm 0.5(11.3-12.4)$ | $11.5 \pm 0.27$ (11.1-11.7) | $11.9 \pm 0.36$ (11.5-12.2) |
| D. majeensis sp . nov. | $9.1 \pm 1.14$ (6.8-10.9) | $8.3 \pm 1.01$ (5.9-9.6) | $5.1 \pm 0.63$ (3.5-6.1) |
| D. pequeño | $8.4 \pm 0.71$ (7.5-10) | $7.1 \pm 0.69$ (6.1-8.5) | $4.4 \pm 0.51$ (3.7-5.5) |
| D. aff. quidditus | $6.9 \pm 0.56$ (5.9-8) | $5.5 \pm 0.54$ (4.3-6.3) | $3.3 \pm 0.32$ (2.7-4) |
| D. sapo sp. nov. | $10.4 \pm 1.02$ (8.3-12.1) | $9.5 \pm 1.07$ (7.3-11.5) | $5.5 \pm 0.6$ (4.2-6.4) |
| D. tinker | $7.3 \pm 0.66$ (5.3-8.8) | $6.2 \pm 0.42$ (5.3-7.4) | $3.8 \pm 0.31$ (3.2-4.6) |
| D. ventrimaculatus | $-\quad 10.6{ }^{-1}$ | $-\quad 10.42{ }^{\text {- }}$ | - |
| D. vocator | $5.8 \pm 0.43$ (5.2-6.6) | $4.9 \pm 0.88$ (3.9-5.5) | $2.9 \pm 0.38$ (2.5-3.2) |
| Species | HW/HL | HL/SVL | TL/SVL |
| D. anthrax | - | - | - |
| D. aff. tigrillo | 0.92 (0.85-0.99) | 0.39 (0.38-0.40) | 0.48 (0.46-0.50) |
| D. citrinobapheus | $0.9 \pm 0.04$ (0.9-1) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.4-0.4) | $0.4 \pm 0.01$ (0.4-0.4) |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | $1 \pm 0.06$ (0.9-1.1) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.3-0.4) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.4-0.5) |
| D. aff. diastema, CP | $0.9 \pm 0.07$ (0.8-1) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.3-0.4) | $0.4 \pm 0.04$ (0.4-0.6) |
| D. aff. diastema, MM | $1 \pm 0.02$ (1-1) | $0.4 \pm 0.01$ (0.4-0.4) | $0.4 \pm 0.03$ (0.4-0.5) |
| D. aff. diastema, EPL | $1 \pm 0.05$ (0.9-1.1) | $0.4 \pm 0.01$ (0.3-0.4) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.4-0.4) |
| D. gularis | $-\quad$ | $-\quad$ | $-\quad$ |
| D. hylaeformis | $0.9 \pm 0.05$ (0.8-1) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.3-0.4) | $0.4 \pm 0.03$ (0.3-0.5) |
| D. igneus | $1.2 \pm 0.03$ (1.1-1.2) | $0.3 \pm 0.01(0.3-0.3)$ | $-\quad$ |
| D. majeensis sp. nov. | $1 \pm 0.03$ (1-1.1) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.3-0.4) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.4-0.5) |
| D. pequeño | $1 \pm 0.05$ (1-1.1) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.3-0.4) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.4-0.5) |
| D. aff. quidditus | $0.9 \pm 0.07$ (0.8-1.2) | $0.2 \pm 0.19$ (0-0.4) | $0.5 \pm 0.03$ (0.4-0.5) |
| D. sapo sp. nov. | $1 \pm 0.05$ (0.9-1.1) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.3-0.4) | $0.5 \pm 0.02(0.4-0.5)$ |
| D. tinker | $1 \pm 0.06$ (0.9-1.2) | $0.4 \pm 0.02$ (0.3-0.4) | $0.4 \pm 0.03$ (0.3-0.5) |
| D. ventrimaculatus | $-\quad 10.0{ }^{-1}$ | $-\quad$ | $-\quad$ |
| D. vocator | $0.9 \pm 0.07(0.8-1.1)$ | $0.4 \pm 0.03$ (0.3-0.4) | $0.4 \pm 0.04(0.4-0.5)$ |

Mean $\pm$ SD (range); see Material and methods for abbreviations. Numbers in parenthesis next to the species names represents the number of specimens analysed.
*Measurements taken from original descriptions and literature.
Table 5. Variations in advertisement call parameters in 11 species of Diasporus

| Species | Traits |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DF (kHz) | Low freq. (Hz) | High freq. (Hz) | Delta freq. (Hz) | Note duration (s) |
| D. anthrax* | 3.81 | $3.19 \pm 0.35$ (2.94-3.44) | $4.45 \pm 0.29$ (4.25-4.65) | 1.31-1.22 | 0.06 |
| D. citrinobapheus (2) | 2.86-3.04 | 2.77-2.95 | 2.95-3.42 | 0.2-0.5 | 0.11-0.17 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. (2) | $3.57 \pm 0.33$ (3.34-3.81) | $3 \pm 0.29$ (2.79-3.21) | $4.07 \pm 0.33$ (3.83-4.3) | $\begin{array}{r} 1.07 \pm 0.04 \\ (1.04-1.09) \end{array}$ | $0.05 \pm 0(0.05-0.05)$ |
| D. aff. diastema, EPL (7) | $3.3 \pm 0.12$ (3.2-3.5) | $2.9 \pm 0.07(2.8-3)$ | $3.8 \pm 0.11$ (3.6-3.9) | $0.9 \pm 0.05$ (0.8-0.9) | $0.1 \pm 0.01(0.1-0.1)$ |
| D. aff. diastema, MM (4) | $3.1 \pm 0.2(3-3.4)$ | $2.7 \pm 0.1(2.7-2.9)$ | $3.5 \pm 0.15$ (3.4-3.7) | $0.8 \pm 0.06$ (0.7-0.9) | $0.1 \pm 0(0.1-0.1)$ |
| D. aff. diastema, CP (7) | $3.3 \pm 0.16$ (3.2-3.5) | 2.97 | 3.82 | 0.86 | 0.09 |
| D. igneus* (1) | 2.4 | 2 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.05-0.10 |
| D. majeensis sp. nov. <br> (1) | 2.47-2.71 | 2.38-3.03 | 2.85-3.14 | 0.50-0.93 | 0.01-0.02 |
| D. pequeño (1) | 3.44-3.48 | 3.20-3.23 | 3.67-3.63 | 0.39-0.46 | 0.09-0.15 |
| D. aff. quidditus (22) | $4.81 \pm 0.14$ (4.55-5.08) | $4.56 \pm 0.18$ (4.35-4.84) | $4.97 \pm 0.19$ (4.77-5.29) | $0.41 \pm 0.02$ (0.39-0.45) | $\begin{gathered} 0.34 \pm 0.04 \\ (0.25-0.38) \end{gathered}$ |
| D. tinker (9) | $3.5 \pm 0.19$ (3.14-3.71) | $3.16 \pm 0.16$ (2.84-3.32) | $3.8 \pm 0.2(3.42-4.07)$ | $0.64 \pm 0.06$ (0.56-0.75) | $\begin{array}{r} 0.17 \pm 0.02 \\ (0.14-0.19) \end{array}$ |
| D. ventrimaculatus* | 2.50-2.61 | 2.14 | 2.9 | 0.76 | 0.07 |
| D. vocator (5) | $4.6 \pm 0.3$ (4.35-5.1) | $3.83 \pm 0.17$ (3.71-3.94) | $4.94 \pm 0.25$ (4.77-5.12) | $1.12 \pm 0.08$ (1.06-1.18) | $0.02 \pm 0$ (0.01-0.02) |
| Species | Note interval (s) | Call rate (calls/min) | Notes/bouts | Bout duration | Interbout duration |
| D. anthrax | 0.55-5.77 | 23.4-44.2 |  |  |  |
| D. citrinobapheus | $16.58 \pm 0.47$ (16.25-16.91) | $3.61 \pm 0.1$ (3.54-3.68) | 19-30 | 19.30-55.50 | 38.47-156.43 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | $3.08 \pm 0.9$ (1.65-4.84) | $20.29 \pm 6.17$ (12.04-34.41) | - | - | - |
| D. aff. diastema, EPL | $3.1 \pm 0.68$ (2.2-3.9) | $19.7 \pm 4.43$ (14.8-25.8) | 8.5 | 28.4 | 40.26 |
| D. aff. diastema, MM | $3.4 \pm 1.08$ (2.5-4.8) | $17.9 \pm 5.02$ (12-22.8) |  |  |  |
| D. aff. diastema, CP | 1.65 | 34.41 | 10.2 | 17.33 | 30.25 |
| D. igneus | 6.40-9.67 | 8.18 | - | - | - |
| D. majeensis sp. nov. | 2.67-6.02 | 12.32 | - | - | - |
| D. pequeño | 3.51-6.85 | 11.61 | - | - | - |
| D. aff. quidditus | $4.71 \pm 0.78$ (3.27-5.44) | $12.19 \pm 2.24$ (10.35-16.71) | - | - | - |
| D. tinker | $2.96 \pm 2.05$ (1.35-7.55) | $25.38 \pm 11.84$ (7.76-39.16) | 11-13 | 15.97-27.85 | 19.71-30.58 |
| D. ventrimaculatus* | 5.15 | 11.45 |  |  |  |
| D. vocator | $1.91 \pm 0.57$ (1.4-2.52) | $32.98 \pm 9.35$ (23.7-42.39) | $13.23 \pm 10.51$ (5.8-20.67) | $\begin{gathered} 19.25 \pm 13.31 \\ (9.84-28.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 311.02 \pm 304.7 \\ (95.56-526.47) \end{gathered}$ |

Mean $\pm$ SD (range). Number in parentheses next to the species names represents the number of individuals analysed. DF, dominant frequency.
*Information obtained from literature.


Figure 3. Differences in snout-vent length (SVL) of Diasporus species, separated by sex. The bottom and top of the box are the first and third percentile, and the band inside the box is the median, whiskers are the extreme values; open circles above or below the boxes represent outliers.


Figure 4. Discriminant function analyses of the acoustic characters of Diasporus species. Variables included in the analysis: note duration, note interval, dominant frequency ( DF , corrected by snout-vent length), low frequency, high frequency, and call rate (temporal characters are corrected for temperature).
palmar and plantar accessory tubercles indistinguishable; no nuptial pads; no fringes on fingers; hindlimbs of moderate length, TL $43 \%$ of SVL; relative lengths of adpressed toes I $<$ II $<$ III $<\mathrm{V}<$ IV; when adpressed, tip of toe I reaches to tubercle of toe II; disc of toe IV slightly expanded, 1.3 times wider than distal end of adjacent phalanx; no fringes on toes;
between one and three nonprotuberant subarticular tubercles present (one each on toes I and II, two on toes III and V, and three on toe IV); inner metatarsal tubercle ovoid; outer metatarsal tubercles slightly pointed and smaller than inner; tarsal ridge absent; hands and feet without webbing; finger and toe discs even, broadened; ungual flap expanded, almost


Figure 5. Scatter plot for dominant frequency/note duration (left) and dominant frequency/call rate (right) in 11 species of Diasporus.


Figure 6. Spectrograms (only the harmonic containing the dominant frequency is shown) and oscillograms (below) of the advertisement calls of Diasporus species from eastern Panama (EP): a, Diasporus majeensis sp. nov. (SMF 97658); b, Diasporus aff. diastema MM (MHCH 2809); c, Diasporus diastema from Colón, Panama (SMF 97287, $9.26020{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, \quad 79.93540{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, 36 m a.s.l.; $\sim 9 \mathrm{~km}$ south-west from type locality); d, Diasporus pequeno sp. nov. (Bajo Pequeño, Cerro Pechito Parado, not collected); e, Diasporus tinker (SMF 97315); f, Diasporus darienensis sp. nov. (SMF 97313); g, Diasporus vocator (not collected; from Celmira, Bugaba, Panama, $8.55348{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 82.81525^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 242 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.; $\sim 60 \mathrm{~km}$ east from type locality); h, Diasporus aff. quidditus (SMF 97292).
rounded; pads broadened and globular in profile (Figs 7A, 10).

## Coloration of holotype in life

Holotype (SMF 97304; Figs 10, 14A, B) recorded as follows: iris light Pratt's rufous (71) with a couple of lateral and irregular lines tawny (60), lumbar region tawny (60), bordered by two lines light buff (2);
flanks brick red (36); groin, axilla, and ventral areas mottled with brick red (36).

Coloration in preservative
Dorsal ground color raw amber (23), with a couple of dorsolateral lines light buff (2); groin and ventral areas buff (5), with small points sepia (279); ungual flaps dark drab (45).

## Measurements of holotype (mm)

SVL 17.40; HL 6.70; HW 6.30; IOD 3.24; EL 2.72; TD 0.92; FL 6.42; TL 7.50; HAL 3.47; 3FW 0.40; 3FD 0.64; 3TW 0.36; 3TD 0.49; 4TW 0.31; 4TD 0.67; BW 5.22 (for variation of the species, see Table 1).

## Vocalization

The calls produced by two specimens from Cerro Sapo (Fig. 6; Table 2), one paratype (SMF 97313, environmental temperature $21.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; humidity $84 \%$; 22:06 h) and an uncollected specimen (environmental temperature $21.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; humidity $80 \%$; 21:00 h) were analysed. The calls consist of single, short, monophasic notes that are reminiscent of a 'whistle' (Fig. 6). Note duration is $0.04-0.05 \mathrm{~s}$, with an interval between calls of $16.91-16.25 \mathrm{~s}$, and with a call rate of four calls per minute. The peak frequency band ranges from 2.79 to 4.30 kHz ; the first harmonic contains the dominant frequency at $3.34-3.81 \mathrm{kHz}$.

## Natural history

This species is found in the eastern Panamanian montane forest (Fund \& Hogan, 2012) along the PM and JSM (Fig. 1). The vegetation consists predominantly of trees covered with moss, bromeliads (Werauhia spp.


Figure 7. Drawings of ventral view of right hand and left foot of the new Diasporus species described here; h, hand; f, foot. Arrows indicate two examples of ungual flap shape. A, Diasporus darienensis sp. nov. (MHCH 2852). B, Diasporus majeensis sp. nov. (MHCH 2835). C, Diasporus pequeno sp. nov. (MHCH 2826). D, Diasporus sapo sp. nov. (SMF 97331). Scale bars: 1 mm .
and Guzmania spp.), giant ferns (Cyathea spp.), and orchids. Diasporus darienensis sp. nov. is an inhabitant of the cloud forest (869-1169 m a.s.l.), usually found $1-5 \mathrm{~m}$ above ground. During the day, specimens seek retreats between bromeliad leaves. At night they actively move across tree bark and bromeliads. Only two males were encountered calling, both during the end of the rainy season (December) at the top of Cerro Sapo. One male (SMF 97313) was observed calling from the underside of a leaf in a tree about 5 m above ground, the other was calling from a branch on a ridge 3 m above the ground on the same day. Diet is not known, but as with other Diasporus it may eat small arthropods (Batista, 2009).

## Etymology

The species name is derived from the province name Darién where the holotype was found, with the Latin suffix -ensis donating a place or locality. The species is known to occur only in this province, and it is present in the main mountain ranges of the region.

## DIASPORUS MAJEENSIS SP. NOV.

 Figs 11, 14C, D
## Holotype

SMF 97293 (original field number AB 1030), an adult male (Fig. 11) collected by Abel Batista \& Konrad Mebert on the top of Cerro Chucantí, at Majé mountain range, Río Congo Arriba, Distrito de Chepigana, Darién, Panama, on 2 December 2012 at 20:35 h (8.79936 N, 78.46156 W ; 1380 m a.s.s.l.).

## Paratypes

MHCH 2832-39, SMF 97655-60, with same collection data as the holotype.

Diagnosis: Diasporus majeensis sp. nov. is characterized by the following combination of characters (Figs 11, 14C, D; Table 1): (1) dorsal skin smooth with small dispersed warts, ventral skin smooth; (2) only lower part of the tympanic annulus barely visible, tympanic membrane absent; (3) snout
Table 6. Main diagnostic characters and character states to differentiate members of the Diasporus genus in Central and South America

| Species | SVL | Ungual flap | Dorsal color pattern | Ventral color pattern | DF (kHz) | Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. anthrax* | 16.5-18.7 | Palmate to rounded, or expanded | Dark or blackish | Black with white flecks | 3.81 | North-western Ecuador and Colombia |
| D. tigrillo* $^{*}$ | 16.8-17.5 | Spadate | Yellow to orange | White, granules on venter with base greyish | No data | Alto Lari, SE Costa Rica, $300-400 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l. |
| D. citrinobapheus | $\begin{gathered} 19 \pm 1.41 \\ (17.3-21.8) \end{gathered}$ | Palmate to rounded, or spadate | Yellowish | Almost transparent | 2.86-3.04 | Western Panama, 680-790 m a.s.l. |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | $\begin{array}{r} 18.1 \pm 2.13 \\ (14.9-22.9) \end{array}$ | Palmate to rounded, or spadate | Reddish, with or without reticulations | Reddish or small black speckles | $\begin{gathered} 3.57 \pm 0.33 \\ (3.34-3.81) \end{gathered}$ | Serranía de Pirre and JingurudóSapo, Darién, Panama, 8691169 m a.s.l. |
| D. aff. diastema, CWP | $\begin{array}{r} 19.2 \pm 2.78 \\ (14.6-27.7) \end{array}$ | Palmate to rounded, or spadate | Yellowish | White with dark spots | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \pm 0.16 \\ (3.2-3.5) \end{gathered}$ | Lowlands of central and western Panama |
| D. aff. diastema, MM | $\begin{gathered} 19.8 \pm 3.11 \\ (16-24.5) \end{gathered}$ | Palmate to rounded | Yellowish | White with dark spots | $3.1 \pm 0.2(3-3.4)$ | Serranía de Maje, Panama |
| D. aff. diastema, EPL | $\begin{array}{r} 21.3 \pm 1.82 \\ (18.3-25.2) \end{array}$ | Palmate to rounded, or spadate | Yellowish | White with dark spots | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \pm 0.12 \\ (3.2-3.5) \end{gathered}$ | Lowlands of eastern Panama |
| D. gularis | $\begin{array}{r} 22.1 \pm 1.75 \\ (20.4-23.9) \end{array}$ | Palmate to rounded, or spadate | Pale brown with vague markings | Cream with brown stippling on throat | No data | Lowlands of western Colombia and north-western Ecuador |
| D. hylaeformis | $\begin{array}{r} 20.3 \pm 1.51 \\ (16.9-23.1) \end{array}$ | Palmate to rounded | Suffused with pink or red | Translucent, suffused with yellow or red | No data | Serranía de Talamanca Costa Rica and Panama, $1500-2500 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l. |
| D. igneus | $\begin{gathered} 26.1 \pm 0.5 \\ (25.5-26.6) \end{gathered}$ | Palmate to rounded | Brownish with yellow to orange reticulations | Yellow | 2.4 | Western and eastern slopes of Cerro Santiago, Panama, above 1500 m a.s.l. |
| D. majeensis sp. nov. | $\begin{array}{r} 21.5 \pm 2.64 \\ (15.3-25.5) \end{array}$ | Palmate to rounded, or spadate | Reddish, with or without reticulations | Unpigmented venter | 2.47-2.71 | Top of Cerro Chucantí, Panama, 1400 m a.s.l. |
| D. pequeno sp. nov. | $\begin{array}{r} 19.3 \pm 2.38 \\ (16.9-24.8) \end{array}$ | Lanceolate to papillate | Brown, cream, with dark reticulations | Venter translucent, with a dark speckle and sky blue spots | 3.44-3.48 | Serranía de Darién, Panama, above 472 m a.s.l. |
| D. aff. quidditus | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \pm 1.44 \\ (11.5-17.9) \end{array}$ | Lanceolate to papillate | Brown | Brown | $\begin{gathered} 4.81 \pm 0.14 \\ (4.55-5.08) \end{gathered}$ | Eastern Panama and north-western Colombia, above 100 m a.s.l. |

Table 6. Continued

| Species | SVL | Ungual flap | Dorsal color pattern | Ventral color pattern | DF (kHz) | Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. sapo sp. nov. | $\begin{array}{r} 22.6 \pm 2.86 \\ (18.8-29.1) \end{array}$ | Palmate to rounded, or spadate | Uniform red | Translucent, suffused with red | No data | Cerro Sapo, Darién, Panama, 1169 m a.s.l. |
| D. tinker | $\begin{array}{r} 17.3 \pm 1.55 \\ (14.6-20.4) \end{array}$ | Lanceolate to papillate | Grey | Brown to orange | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \pm 0.19 \\ & (3.14-3.71) \end{aligned}$ | Eastern Panama to north-western Colombia, in Panama 8001350 m a.s.l., in Colombia, up to 1880 m a.s.l. |
| D. ventrimaculatus | $\begin{array}{r} 22.8 \pm 1.89 \\ (20.2-24.7) \end{array}$ | Spadate | Red to pink | White with red spots in males and white with dark spots in females | 2.50-2.61 | Valle del Silencio, Costa Rica, 2550 m a.s.l. |
| D. vocator | $\begin{gathered} 14.4 \pm 1.46 \\ (12-17.2) \end{gathered}$ | Lanceolate to papillate | Pigmented with dark mottling and light areas | Brown | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \pm 0.3 \\ & (4.35-5.1) \end{aligned}$ | South-western Costa Rica to central Panama, 2-1220 m a.s.l. |

*Information obtained from literature. DF, dominant frequency.
rounded in dorsal and profile view; (4) conical supraocular tubercle or cranial crests absent; (5) dentigerous processes of vomers with between one and four teeth each, straight in outline, in frontal to the orbit; (6) vocal sac small, but with visible longitudinal gular folds, vocal slits present, situated beside the tongue, from the middle side of the tongue to near the junctions of jaws, no nuptial pads; (7) finger II longer than finger I, ungual flap mostly expanded, rounded, more evident on fingers II-IV; (8) no fringes or webbing on fingers; (9) palmar tubercle ovoid, flattened, and slightly larger than thenar tubercle; thenar tubercle low and elongate; subarticular tubercles rounded and globular, first tubercle more evident; one or two supernumerary tubercles, palmar accessory tubercles small and rounded; (10) heel smooth; (11) no fringes or webbing on toes, ungual flap slightly expanded to rounded, more evident on toes II-V; (12) plantar tubercle indistinguishable, subarticular tubercles present (one on toes I and II, two on toes III and V, and three on toe IV), first tubercle more evident; small and rounded supernumerary tubercles; inner metatarsal tubercle elongated; outer metatarsal tubercles conical and smaller than inner; tarsal ridge absent; (13) dorsal ground color in life brown to reddish, some specimens with dark reticulations on a reddish background color, venter translucent, vocal sac same color as venter (Fig. 14C-D); (14) SVL $21.5 \pm 2.64$ (15.3-25.5, $N=15$ ), males $19.9 \pm 2.1$ (15.3-21.8, $N=9$ ), females $23.9 \pm 1.22$ (22.3-25.5, $N=6$ ); (15) advertisement call composed of a single, amplitudemodulated short note with duration of $0.01-0.02 \mathrm{~s}$, and with the DF ranging between 2.47 and 2.71 kHz (Fig. 6; Table 2).

## Description of the holotype

An adult female (SVL 20.90), with slender body; dorsal skin smooth with small dispersed warts, ventral skin smooth, discoidal fold not evident; eye 1.30 times longer than snout; tympanum small, ratio TD/EL $21 \%$; only lower part of the tympanic annulus barely visible, tympanic membrane absent, positioned 2 mm behind orbit; head slightly wider than long (HL/HW 0.85 ), greatest head width between angles of jaw $38 \%$ of SVL; snout rounded from above and in profile; nares situated near tip of snout and slightly dorsolaterally directed, visible in frontal view, and also visible dorsally but not ventrally; canthus rostralis rounded; loreal region feebly concave; dentigerous processes barely visible, in frontal of the orbit of eyes in a straight outline, each with four teeth; vocal slits absent; tongue long ( $20 \%$ of SVL) and broadening to the end, first third attached to floor of mouth; hands moderate in size, $23 \%$ of SVL; relative lengths of adpressed fingers I $<$ II $<$ IV $<$ III; finger II smaller


Figure 8. Bayesian consensus tree of the genus Diasporus based on $16 S, C O I$, and RAG1 genes. Out-groups are not shown (Pristimantis caryophyllaceus, Craugastor gollmeri, Craugastor fitzingeri, Colostethus pratti, Eleutherodactylus planirostris, and Eleutherodactylus thorectes). Asterisks on nodes indicate estimated posterior probabilities: $P \geq 0.90$.


Figure 9. A chronogram of Diasporus species based on $16 S, C O I$, and RAG1, derived from a relaxed-clock Bayesian analysis, using BEAST software. The scale indicates time in Mya. The red line indicates the hypothesized completion, 15 Mya, of the Isthmus of Panama. Asterisks on nodes indicate estimated posterior probabilities: $P \geq 0.95$. Numbers at nodes represent estimated ages of diversification (SD in parenthesis). Letters at the end of species names represent biogeographic areas (for an explanation, see Material and methods); CR, Costa Rica; CP, central Panama; DM, Darién mountain range; G, Gatún lake at CP; JSM, Jingurudó-Sapo mountain range; MM, Majé mountain range; PM, Pirre mountain range; SBM, San Blas mountain range; WP, western Panama.
than finger VI, finger II reaching the base of disc on finger IV when adpressed; finger III disc 1.6 times wider than distal end of adjacent phalanx; palmar tubercle low and rounded, larger than thenar tubercle; thenar tubercle low and elongate; subarticular tubercles rounded and globular; no supernumerary tubercles; palmar and plantar accessory tubercles small and rounded; no nuptial pads; no fringes on fingers; hindlimbs of moderate lengths, TL $46 \%$ of SVL; relative lengths of adpressed toes I $<$ II $<$ III $<\mathrm{V}<$ IV; when adpressed, tip of toe I reaches the last third of distal phalanx of toe II; disc of toe IV slightly expanded, 1.3 times wider than distal end of adjacent phalanx; no fringes on toes; subarticular tubercles
present (one each on toes I and II, two on toes III and V, and three on toe IV), first subarticular tubercles more visible than the rest; inner metatarsal tubercle ovoid; outer metatarsal tubercles rounded, slightly pointed, and smaller than inner; tarsal ridge absent; hands and feet without webbing; finger and toe discs slightly triangular; ungual flap expanded, even, rounded; pads globular in profile (Fig. 7B).

## Coloration of holotype in life

Holotype (SMF 97293, Fig. 11) recorded as follows: iris light orange yellow (7) with middle area light Pratt's rufous (71); dorsal ground color chestnut (30) with peach red (70) areas in the occipital, flanks, and


Figure 10. Holotype of Diasporus darienensis> sp. nov.: A, frontal view; B, ventral view; C, left foot ventrally; D, right hand ventrally; E, flanks; F, posterior side of thighs and rear.


Figure 11. Holotype of Diasporus majeensis sp. nov.: A, B, frontal and lateral view, respectively; C, left foot ventrally; D , right hand ventrally.
lumbar region; a spectrum red (67) interorbital band, bordered posteriorly by a sepia (286) band; axilla and groin slightly pigmented with chestnut (30); limbs
same as dorsum; ventral areas translucent slightly pigmented with sepia (286); ventral part of fingers and toes dark carmine (61).

## Coloration in preservative

Dorsal ground color burnt sienna (38) with flesh ocher (57) areas in the occipital, flanks, and lumbar region; interorbital band flesh ocher (57), groin and venter light buff (2), ventral surfaces of limbs light orange yellow (7).

## Measurements of holotype (mm)

SVL 20.90; HL 6.53; HW 7.68; IOD 2.21; EL 2.97; TD 0.62; FL 8.41; TL 9.51; HAL 4.79; 3FW 0.47; 3FD 0.75; 3TW 0.47; 3TD 0.65; 4TW 0.42; 4TD 0.53; BW 6.77 (for variation of the species, see Table 1).

## Vocalization

The calls produced by one specimen (SMF 97658, environmental temperature $18.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 3 December 2012, 18:19 h) were analysed. The call consisted of single, short, monophasic notes that are reminiscent of a 'whistle' (Fig. 6). Note duration is $0.01-0.02 \mathrm{~s}$, with an interval between calls of $2.67-6.02 \mathrm{~s}$ and a call rate of 12.32 calls $/ \mathrm{min}$; the low frequency was $2.38-3.03 \mathrm{kHz}$, the high frequency was $2.85-3.14$ kHz , and the first harmonic contains the dominant frequency at $2.47-2.71 \mathrm{kHz}$.

## Natural history

This species is found in the eastern Panamanian montane forest (Fund \& Hogan, 2012) of the Majé mountain ranges (Fig. 1). Cloud forest in this area has vegetation consisting predominantly of trees covered with moss and a large variety of understory bromeliads (Werauhia spp. and Guzmania spp.). At night, $D$. majeensis sp . nov. was found $0.5-2.0 \mathrm{~m}$
above ground on tree bark in bromeliad foliage. During the daytime, individuals were found hiding between bromeliad leaves. At the top of Cerro Chucantí, males were calling during the end of the rainy season (December). The recorded male was observed calling between dry bromeliad leaves 1.5 m above ground. The diet is not known, but as with other Diasporus it is likely to eat small crickets, cockroaches, ants, and isopods (Batista, 2009).

## Etymology

The species name is derived from the name of the mountain range, Majé, where the holotype was found, with the Latin suffix -ensis donating a place or locality.

## DIASPORUS PEQUENO SP. NOV. Figs 12, $14 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}$

## Holotype

SMF 97663 (original field number AB 857), an adult female (Fig. 12) collected by Abel Batista, Marcial Sabugara, and Amadiel Chaquí at Cerro Pechito Parado, at the Darién mountain range, Río Tuquesa, Bajo Pequeño, Cémaco, Comarca Embera Wounaan, Darién, Panama, on 5 November 2012 at 22:35 h (8.47553 N, 77.54883 W; 472 m a.s.l.).

## Paratypes

SMF 97333-34, same locality as holotype; MHCH 2828-31, SMF 97635-38, collected at Cerro Pechito Parado on 7 November 2012 at 19:00-


Figure 12. Holotype of Diasporus pequeno sp. nov.: A, B, frontal and lateral view, respectively; C, ventral view; D, flanks.

00:30 h (8.47911 N, 77.52799 W; 718 m a.s.l.), with same collectors as for holotype; MHCH 2826-27 collected at Cerro Pechito Parado, on 6 November 2012 at 19:00-01:30 h (8.47996 N, 77.51941 W; 858 m a.s.l.), with same collectors as for holotype.

## Diagnosis

Diasporus pequeno sp. nov. is characterized by the following combination of characters (see Tables 4-6): (1) dorsal and ventral skin texture smooth, with small scattered tubercles, anal warts present; (2) tympanic annulus present, but with only the lower part clearly visible, tympanic membrane absent; (3) snout rounded in dorsal view and in profile; (4) rounded supraocular tubercle present, cranial crests absent; (5) dentigerous processes of vomers with between four and six teeth each, straight in outline, near to the frontal border of the orbit; (6) vocal sac well developed (Fig. 14E, F), vocal slits present, situated under the centre of the orbit level, no nuptial pads; (7) finger II longer than finger I; disc pads even broadened; ungual flap expanded, lanceolate, more evident on finger III (Fig. 7C); (8) no fringes or webbing on fingers; (9) palmar tubercle rounded, flattened, and larger than thenar tubercle; thenar tubercle low and elongate; subarticular tubercles rounded and globular, first tubercle more evident; supernumerary tubercles barely evident, palmar accessory tubercles small and rounded; (10) heel smooth; (11) no fringes or webbing on toes, ungual flap expanded, lanceolate, more evident on toes II-V; (12) plantar tubercle indistinguishable, subarticular tubercles present (one on toes I and II, two on toes III and V, and three on toe IV), first tubercle more evident; small and rounded supernumerary tubercles; inner metatarsal tubercle elongated, outer metatarsal tubercles rounded and smaller than inner; tarsal ridge absent; (13) dorsal ground color in life brown, cream, with dark reticulations, venter translucent (Wolffian duct visible), with a dark speckle and sky-blue spots, vocal sac bright yellow (Fig. 14E, F); (14) SVL $19.3 \pm 2.38$ (16.9-24.8, $N=13), \quad$ males $18.2 \pm 1.09 \quad(16.9-19.9, \quad N=10)$, females $22.9 \pm 1.69$ (21.5-24.8, $N=3$ ); (15) advertisement call composed of a single, amplitude-modulated short note ( $0.09-0.15 \mathrm{~s}$ ) with harmonic structure, and with most energy emitted with the first harmonic call ( $3.44-3.48 \mathrm{kHz}$ ).

## Description of the holotype

An adult female (SVL 22.68) with a slender body; dorsal skin smooth with scattered tubercles, with a small supraciliary tubercle, ventral skin smooth, discoidal fold not evident; eye 1.70 times longer than snout; tympanum of moderate size, ratio TD/ EL $36 \%$, tympanic annulus present, but only the
lower part clearly visible, tympanic membrane absent; head as wide as long (HL/HW 0.95), greatest head width between angles of jaw $39 \%$ of SVL; snout rounded from above and in profile; nares situated near tip of snout and slightly dorsolaterally directed, visible in frontal view, also visible dorsally but not ventrally; canthus rostralis rounded; loreal region feebly concave; dentigerous processes in front of the orbit of eyes, perpendicular in direction to the centre of roof of mouth, in a straight outline, each with seven teeth; vocal slits absent; tongue long ( $18 \%$ of SVL) and broadening to the tip, first third attached to floor of mouth; hands moderate in size, $18 \%$ of SVL; relative lengths of adpressed fingers I $<$ II $<$ IV $<$ III; finger II smaller than finger VI, finger II reaching the disc on finger IV when adpressed; finger III disc 2.16 times wider than distal end of adjacent phalanx; palmar tubercle rounded to ovoid, larger than thenar tubercle; thenar tubercle elongate; subarticular tubercles rounded and globular, first tubercle more evident; supernumerary tubercles rounded and small; palmar and plantar accessory tubercles small and rounded; no nuptial pads; no fringes on fingers; hindlimbs of moderate length, TL $43 \%$ of SVL; relative lengths of adpressed toes I $<$ II $<$ III $<\mathrm{V}<$ IV; when adpressed, tip of toe I reaches the last third of distal phalanx of toe II; disc of toe IV expanded, 1.73 times wider than distal end of adjacent phalanx; no fringes on toes; subarticular tubercles present (one each on toes I and II, two on toes III and V, and three on toe IV), first subarticular tubercles more evident than the rest; inner metatarsal tubercle ovoid; outer metatarsal tubercles rounded, slightly pointed, and smaller than inner; tarsal ridge absent; hands and feet without webbing; finger and toe discs even broadened; ungual flap expanded, fingers and toes III and IV lanceolated; pads globular in profile (Fig. 7C).

## Coloration of holotype in life

Holotype (SMF 97663; Fig. 12) recorded as follows: iris geranium (66) with fine sepia (286) reticulations; dorsal ground color walnut brown (27), with sepia (286) blotches, and small sky-blue (192) dots; a flesh ocher (57) interorbital band, bordered posteriorly by a sepia (286) band; groin Pratt's ruby (68); axilla and venter walnut brown (27) mottled with pale pinkish buff (3), throat suffused with buff (5); fingers and toes with a pale buff (1) band just before the disc cover.

## Coloration in preservative

Dorsal ground color drab (19), with a pair of dorsolateral lines light orange yellow (7); groin and
ventral areas light buff (2), with small points sepia (279); ungual flaps cinnamon-drab (50).

## Measurements of holotype ( mm )

SVL 22.68; HL 8.43; HW 8.89; IOD 2.52; EL 3.33; TD 1.20; FL 8.12; TL 9.75; HAL 4.49; 3FW 0.50; 3FD 1.08; 3TW 0.51; 3TD 0.91; 4TW 0.52; 4TD 0.90; BW 7.81 (see Table 4).

## Vocalization

Through call amplification of a recording containing several species ( $4-\mathrm{dB}$ amplified; for an explanation, see Material and methods), we were able to extract the calls of three species: Pristimantis sp. (DF 2.76 kHz ), D. diastema ( DF 2.99 kHz ), and $D$. pequeno sp . nov. (environmental temperature $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 8 October 2012, 18:17 h). Eleven calls were analysed (because the similarity of the call intervals indicates a single individual), consisting of single, short, monophasic notes that are reminiscent of a 'tink' (Fig. 6). Note duration is $0.09-0.15 \mathrm{~s}$, with an interval between calls of $3.51-$ 6.85 s and a call rate of $11.61 \mathrm{call} / \mathrm{min}$; the low frequency was $3.20-3.23 \mathrm{kHz}$, the high frequency was $3.63-3.67 \mathrm{kHz}$, and the fundamental frequency is also the dominant frequency at $3.44-3.48 \mathrm{kHz}$.

## Natural history

This species is found in the eastern Panamanian montane forest (Fund \& Hogan, 2012) of the Darién mountain range (Fig. 1). Most specimens were found at $0.2-$ 1.0 m above ground, over green leaves, between branches with dry leaves or in bromeliads. At the first location ( 472 m a.s.l.) the understory was open. The predominant vegetation were palms, vines, and small trees; at the second location above 700 m a.s.l. bromeliads were predominant; $D$. aff. pequeno sp . nov. was found to be sympatric with $D$. diastema and D. quidditus, and all species were actively calling.

## Etymology

The species name pequeno is derived from the name Bajo Pequeño (or Bajo Chiquito), the last village at Río Tuquesa, where this species was found.

## DIASPORUS SAPO SP. NOV.

Figs $13,14 \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$
Eleutherodactylus sp. - Myers 1969: fig. 19C.

## Holotype

SMF 97329 (original field number AB 429), an adult female (Fig. 13) collected by Abel Batista \& Gustavo Dojirama at the top of Cerro Sapo, PND, Distrito de Garachiné, Darién, Panama, on 4 December 2011, at 20:00 h (7.97618 N, 78.36263 W; 1169 m a.s.l.).

## Paratypes

MHCH 2853-58, SMF 97328, SMF 97330-32; same collecting data as for holotype.

## Diagnosis

Diasporus sapo sp. nov. is characterized by the following combination of characters (see Tables 4-6): (1) dorsal skin texture slightly tuberculate, venter smooth; (2) tympanum indistinguishable, annulus tympanicus and tympanic membrane absent; (3) snout rounded in dorsal view and in profile; (4) conical supraocular tubercle and cranial crests absent; (5) dentigerous processes of vomers with between seven and 11 teeth each, straight in outline, from the centre of the orbit to the centre of the roof of mouth; (6) vocal sac and vocal slits not differentiated, only a slightly differentiated fold beside the tongue, no nuptial pads; (7) finger II longer than finger I, ungual flap expanded, spadate, more evident on fingers II-IV; (8) no fringes or webbing on fingers; (9) palmar tubercle ovoid or rounded, flattened and almost the same size as thenar tubercle; thenar tubercle elongate; subarticular tubercles rounded and globular; two or three supernumerary tubercles; (10) heel smooth; (11) no fringes or webbing on toes, ungual flap on toes expanded, spadate, more evident on toes IV and V; (12) plantar tubercle indistinguishable, subarticular tubercles rounded and globular (one on toes I and II, two on toes III and V, and three on toe IV); foot without supernumerary tubercles; inner metatarsal tubercle elongated, outer metatarsal tubercles rounded and


Figure 13. Holotype of Diasporus sapo sp. nov.: A, B, frontal and lateral views, respectively.
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Figure 14. Color variation of the new Diasporus species: A, B, Diasporus darienensis sp. nov. (SMF 97305); C, D, Diasporus majeensis sp. nov. (SMF 97658); E, F, Diasporus pequeno sp. nov. (MHCH 2830); G, H, Diasporus sapo sp. nov. (G, not collected; H, MHCH 2854).
globular, smaller than inner; tarsal ridge absent; (13) dorsal ground color in life reddish and patternless, venter translucent, vocal sac not visible (Fig. 14H); (14) SVL $22.6 \pm 2.86(18.8-29.1, N=11)$, males $22.6 \pm 2.59(19.9-29.1, N=9)$, females $22.6 \pm 5.3$ (18.8-26.3, $N=2$ ); (15) advertisement call unknown.

## Description of the holotype

An adult female (SVL 28.91), with slender body; dorsal skin texture slightly tuberculate, venter skin smooth, discoidal fold not evident; protuberant eyes 1.78 times longer than snout; tympanum small, ratio TD/EL $23 \%$; tympanum indistinguishable, annulus tympanicus and tympanic membrane absent, positioned
2.6 mm behind the orbit; head as wide as long (HL/ HW 0.95), greatest head width between angles of jaw $35 \%$ of SVL; snout rounded from above and in profile; nares situated near tip of snout and slightly dorsolaterally directed, visible in frontal view, also visible dorsally but not ventrally; canthus rostralis rounded; loreal region concave; dentigerous processes of vomers with ten (right) and eight (left) teeth each side, straight in outline, from the centre of the orbit to the centre of the roof of mouth, and separated by a space of half of its total length; vocal slits absent; tongue long ( $26 \%$ of SVL) and broadening to the end, first third attached to floor of mouth; hands moderate in size, $22 \%$ of SVL; relative lengths of adpressed fingers


Figure 15. Diasporus aff. diastema, dorsal and ventral views: A, B, Majé mountain range (MM), near Ambroya (MHCH 2801); C, D, Eastern Panamanian lowlands (EPL), Río Mono, near Bayano (MHCH 2806); E, F, Gatún, Colón, near type locality (SMF 97287); G, H, Darién mountain range (DM), Bajo Pequeño, Río Tuquesa (SMF 97289).

I $<$ II $<$ IV $<$ III; finger II smaller than finger VI, finger II reaching the middle of disc on finger IV when adpressed; finger III disc 2.07 times wider than distal end of adjacent phalanx; palmar tubercle ovoid to rounded, flattened, and almost the same size as thenar tubercle; thenar tubercle low and elongate; subarticular tubercles rounded and globular; no supernumerary tubercles; two palmar accessory tubercles small and rounded; no nuptial pads; no fringes on fingers; hindlimbs of moderate lengths, TL $43 \%$ of SVL; relative lengths of adpressed toes $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{II}<\mathrm{III}<\mathrm{V}<\mathrm{IV}$; when adpressed, tip of toe I reaches the disc base of toe II; disc of toe IV expanded, 2.11 times wider than distal end of adjacent phalanx; no fringes on toes; subarticular tubercles rounded and globular (one each on toes I
and II, two on toes III and V, and three on toe IV); inner metatarsal tubercle elongated; outer metatarsal tubercles rounded, globular, and smaller than inner; tarsal ridge absent; hands and feet without webbing; finger and toe discs even broadened and slightly globular in profile (Fig. 7D); ungual flap on toes expanded, spadate, more evident on toes IV and V.

## Coloration of holotype in life

Coloration recorded as follows (Fig. 13): iris medium neutral gray (298) with reticulations sepia (286), iris periphery jet black (300), eye periphery sky blue (192); dorsal ground color uniform Pratt's ruby (68), becoming darker to the front as dark carmine (61); venter and limbs chrome orange (74), throat pale buff (1).


Figure 16. Diasporus aff. quidditus: A, B, Pirre mountain range (PM), Perresenico stream (MHCH 2824); C, D, Jingurudó-Sapo mountain range (JSM), near Pavarandó (SMF 97653); E, PM, Cana Field Station (MHCH 2813); F, PM, Pirre ridge (SMF 97292); G, San Blas mountain range (SBM), Taintidu river (SMF 97298); H, calling male under leaf, 20 cm from ground, SBM, Burbayar Private Reserve.

## Coloration in preservative

Dorsal ground color cinnamon-drab (50), becoming darker to warm sepia (40) to the tip of snout; limbs and venter cream color (12), throat buff (5), hand and foot drab (19).

## Measurements of holotype ( mm )

SVL 28.91; HL 9.63; HW 10.19; IOD 2.93; EL 4.29; TD 0.98; FL 11.58; TL 12.33; HAL 6.37; 3FW 0.52; 3FD 1.8; 3TW 0.61; 3TD 1.12; 4TW 0.57; 4TD 1.20; BW 9.99 (for variation in the species, see Tables 4-6).

## Natural history

This species is known only from the top of Cerro Sapo, which is covered by elfin forest. The vegetation
predominantly consists of small trees (roughly 10 m in height) fully covered with moss and bromeliads. Diasporus sapo sp. nov. was most often found at 12 m above ground during the night; individuals were seen walking over tree branches and tree bark.

## Etymology

The species name is derived from the name of Cerro Sapo, where the species was found.

## DISCUSSION

The application of an integrative approach has resulted in the description of four new species within the genus. Additionally, the historical reconstruction


Figure 17. Diasporus tinker: A, B, Pirre mountain range (PM), Pirre ridge (MHCH 2864); C, F, PM, Pirre ridge (SMF 97324); G, H, Jingurudó-Sapo mountain range (JSM), showing metachrosis (same specimen); G, night coloration; H , day coloration.
of the biogeography for the Diasporus species confirms a Middle American origin of the genus, as revealed by previous studies (e.g. Pinto-Sánchez, Crawford \& Wiens, 2014), as the older clade is represented by species distributed in western Panama (Fig. 2). Moreover, the 11 amphibian species endemic to EP (Ibáñez \& Crawford, 2004; Crawford, Ryan \& Jaramillo, 2010a; Crawford, Lips \& Bermingham, 2010b; Batista et al., 2014a; this paper) support the hypothesis of EP being a centre of endemism rather than just a pathway between two continents during the Great American Biotic Interchange (GABI; Crawford et al., 2010a,b).

## Morphology and ecology

Most diagnostic characters used to differentiate species within the genus Diasporus are morphological traits: usually SVL (body size), ungual flap (disc cover), and color pattern (Savage, 1997; Lynch, 2001; Chaves et al., 2009; Batista et al., 2012; Hertz et al., 2012). Although SVL appears to be helpful in identifying species because of remarkable interspecific variation (Fig. 3), this character is valid only to differentiate between species with no overlap in SVL, or supported along with other morphological characters. In EP there are two groups of Diasporus, sepa-
rated by the ungual flap shape (see results, Fig. 3). The lanceolate or papillate ungual flap present in D. pequeno sp. nov., D. quidditus, D. aff. vocator, and $D$. tinker seems to have evolved from one common ancestor. Although those species build a monophyletic clade with members of the D. diastema complex, the latter do not possess lanceolate or papillate ungual flaps. This possibly means that a lanceolate/papillate ungual flap was subsequently lost in $D$. diastema and $D$. citrinobapheus. The function of the ungual flap in these frogs is not known, but interestingly all species with lanceolate or papillate ungual flaps are inhabitants of the lower understory up to 1 m above ground (including the western Panamanian D. vocator), and only populations of D. quidditus from Colombia are usually found above this height. There are other rain frogs or Terrarana (Hedges et al., 2008; former genus Eleutherodactylus) with lanceolate or papillate ungual flaps [Craugastor gollmeri group, Pristimantis chalceus (Peters, 1873), Pristimantis scolodiscus (Lynch \& Burrowes, 1990), Noblella spp.] that are also inhabitants of the forest floor or the low understory (Savage, 1987; Lynch \& Duellman, 1997; Duellman \& Lehr, 2009). In contrast, lanceolate or papillate ungual flaps are usually not present in other Terrarana members with more arboreal habits (e.g. Pristimantis spp.; Savage, 2002; Duellman \& Lehr, 2009). So we suggest that the expression of this character is related to the understory habit of these frogs.
Diasporus diastema has more palmate than spadate disc covers, as stated by Savage (1997, 2002), Lynch (2001), and Köhler (2011); however, we found specimens at the mouth of Chagres River, Colón, Panama (near the type locality) and in EP with spadate rather than palmate finger disc covers. We presume that the authors mentioned above did not examine D. diastema specimens from central Panama, but only examined specimens from Costa Rica that are likely to represent at least one different species (Savage, 1997, 2002: plate 127; Lynch, 2001: fig. 2D KU 35149; Köhler, 2011: figs 437, 39). Moreover, the disc cover shape can be highly variable as a result of different preservation techniques (Lynch, 2001). Such high variation in disc shape has been described within and between populations of Pristimantis caryophyllaceus (Barbour, 1928) (Batista et al., 2014b), among other species of Terrarana, leaving this trait as a controversial tool to identify species in preserved specimens.
Most species of Diasporus are inhabitants of the understory of tropical forests. Nevertheless, there are differences in the spatial distribution of species: whereas D. pequeno sp. nov., D. quidditus (Fig. 16), and D. tinker (Fig. 17) occupy the zone from near ground level up to 1 m above the forest floor, $D$. di-
astema, D. majeensis sp. nov., and D. sapo sp. nov. are usually found higher, $1.0-2.5 \mathrm{~m}$ above the ground, and D. darienensis sp. nov. has been found even higher, from 1 m up to 5 m above ground. There is also controversy about the smallest species, D. quidditus, which was originally described from Colombia, with specimens from Panama referred to this species (Lynch, 2001). Although the populations from Panama and Colombia are almost similar in appearance (size and color), they vary substantially in ecology. Specimens from Colombia are reported to inhabit the mid-level of the forest, with males calling from the undersides of leaves at $1.5-4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ above ground (Lynch, 2001). After more than 3 years of field experience with this species in EP and observing more than a hundred calling males, we never encountered D. quidditus (Fig. 16) calling from a position higher than 0.5 m above the ground. Unfortunately, we have neither acoustic nor molecular data of topotypic specimens of D. quidditus to compare with our specimens. Therefore, the taxonomic relevance of these geographical behavioural variations remains unclear. Thus, we consider the populations from EP as $D$. aff. quidditus until more detailed molecular and bioacoustics comparison between the populations from EP and Colombia become available.

## Bioacoustic

To the human ear, the calls of Diasporus species are very similar to each other. A typical advertisement call was described as a simple 'tink tink' or 'dink dink' (Savage, 1997, 2002; Lynch, 2001). With such a simple subjective call description it was difficult to distinguish among the calls of different species; however, in recent years detailed descriptions of advertisement calls for 12 of the 15 described species have been published (Chaves et al., 2009; Batista et al., 2012; Hertz et al., 2012; Jiménez et al., 2013; this paper). It has been shown that comparison of acoustic parameters is a powerful tool for species identification in the genus Diasporus. To date, only call descriptions of D. gularis, D. sapo sp. nov., and D. tigrillo are pending.

Spectral and temporal parameters of the calls have been used successfully to evaluate the taxonomic status in Terrarana frogs. Even with a limited sample size these parameters show differences between Diasporus spp. (Padial et al., 2008; Figs 4 and 5). The discriminant function analysis properly sorted species when we compared dominant frequency (DF) against note duration, but not if we used DF against call rate (Figs 4 and 5). Some species, such as D. citrinobapheus, D. diastema (at least for Panamanian populations of $D$. aff. diastema), D. tinker, and
D. vocator have calls that are organized in bouts. In species with calls organized in bouts, the note interval decreases from the beginning towards the end of the call group. Thus, the high variation of DF versus call rate in those species is a result of this modulation of the note interval. We recommend that more call recordings are made in future studies (with at least ten individuals and ten calls/individual per population) to evaluate the effect of the note interval modulation on the call rate. Four species of Diasporus are known to call in bouts, but we did not evaluate whether this is a phylogenetic character of related species. Usually, temporal parameters can be related to evolutionary constraints or to environmental selection (Ryan, Cocroft \& Wilczynski, 1990; Bosch \& De la Riva, 2004); however, calling in bouts is often used to facilitate note alternation between conspecific neighbours (Schwartz, 1991), as well as to restore energetic deficits during a calling session (Leary et al., 2004). It is known that orthopterans that call in bouts can affect female preferences (Hendrick, 1986), but in Diasporus spp. the reason for this calling pattern remains unknown.

The call of $D$. diastema from central Panama was described by Fouquette (1960) and Wilczynski \& Brenowitz (1988), but their temporal and spectral measurements are highly variable, which might be an artifact of involving several species in the recording (Hertz et al., 2012). We have analysed a sample of $D$. diastema from a site near the type locality (Figs 6C and 16E, F), and its DF ranged between 3.34 and 3.47 kHz , which agrees well with data from Wilczynski \& Brenowitz (1988). Nevertheless, some incongruence has been detected in the note duration (Hertz et al., 2012). According to our experiences, measuring temporal parameters can sometimes lead to erroneous results. This is especially true when trying to determine the end point of the call on the oscillogram, or if the recording includes considerable background noise. The $D$. diastema specimen (SMF 97287; Fig. 15E, F) that we found at the mouth of the Chagres River was the only Diasporus specimen found within a radius of 2 km from this site, which is approximately 9 km from the type locality near Margarita, Colón, Panama (Dunn, 1942; Taylor, 1955; Savage, 1973; Hertz et al., 2012; Fig. 16E, F). Therefore, we assume that this specimen belongs to $D$. diastema as originally described by Cope (1876). Nevertheless, it is difficult to test for conspecificity by comparing the morphology of our specimen with that of the holotype of D. diastema, as it is in poor condition (Cochran \& Goin, 1970; A. Hertz, pers. observ.); however, it should be noted that the specimen from the mouth of Chagres River and the holotype of $D$. diastema are at least of almost similar size (D. diastema holotype, SVL
20.0 mm ; Diasporus sp. from Chagres River, SVL 19.0 mm ). In this area the only other congeneric frog is $D$. aff. vocator (see also Ibáñez et al., 1999), which is significantly smaller than $D$. diastema, (Fig. 3; Table 4), and calls at a higher DF.

## BARCODING AND PHYLOGENETIC INFERENCE

DNA barcoding is a useful tool for species identification (Hebert et al., 2004; Crawford et al., 2010b; Jörger et al., 2012; Paz \& Crawford, 2012); however, the straightforward application of this approach could yield misleading interpretations of biodiversity (see Trewick, 2008; Huang et al., 2013; Shen, Chen \& Murphy, 2013). DNA sequence information in the absence of other lines of evidence should never be used for species delimitations (DeSalle, 2006). Here we are using molecular barcoding along with other methods to reveal unnamed species within the genus Diasporus from EP. We found high genetic divergence between lineages above the suggested threshold to identify candidate species in Neotropical amphibians ( $>3.0 \%$ in $16 S$ and $>10 \%$ in COI; Vences et al., 2005; Fouquet et al., 2007; Crawford et al., 2013), and most of them were supported by the barcoding analysis (ABGD; Puillandre et al., 2011). Differences in the barcoding genes were additionally supported by bioacoustics, ecology, morphology, and phylogeography. According to the integrative analysis, most lineages identified as species showed considerable genetic distances and are monophyletic in the reconstructed tree; however, the polyphyly in members of the $D$. diastema complex is problematic (Fig. 8). Whereas D. citrinobapheus is monophyletic, although consisting of two subclades, and is well differentiated from D. diastema, D. diastema itself is paraphyletic. We included two specimens from central Panama, of which SMF 97287 is most probably a 'true' $D$. distema, whereas SMF 97290 could represents another genetic lineage. We were not able to clearly distinguish between separate lineages of $D$. aff. diastema from MM or EPL, nor raise any of them to species level (Tables 4-6). Despite the fact that they showed a genetic distance above the threshold used to recognize candidate species within this group (e.g. $>4.0 \%$ genetic p-distance in mtDNA $16 S$; Table 1), we prefer treating these populations as unconfirmed candidate species (Vieites et al., 2009), and label all specimens other than SMF 97287 as Diasporus aff. diastema until more comparative data from more widespread populations become available.

## PhYLOGEOGRAPHY

The results of our chronological tree indicate that species from EP are younger than those from WP
(Fig. 9). Thus, the ancestors of the genus Diasporus have originated somewhere in Lower Central America (see also Pinto-Sánchez et al., 2014). Recent hypotheses on the formation of the land bridge between South America and North America suggest that the Isthmus of Panama was connected with the north-western landmass of South America via an island arc during the mid-Miocene around 15 Mya (Montes et al., 2012a,b). Diasporus species from WP and EP split around this time ( 15 Mya ), promoting the subsequent evolution into numerous Diasporus spp. within EP. Later on, another vicariant event took place in situ, probably induced by eustatic fluctuations during the middle and late Miocene (as early as 11 Mya ), such as the flooding of the Atrato and Chucunaque basins (Duque-Caro, 1990; Coates et al., 2004). This consequently separated populations, including the predecessors of the three closely related species $D$. darienensis sp . nov., D. majeensis sp. nov., and $D$. sapo sp. nov. that became isolated on separate land masses of EP during this period ( $5-8 \mathrm{Mya}$ ), and evolved allopatrically into distinct species. Around the same time (5-6 Mya), D. aff. diastema from MM split from the rest of the $D$. aff. diastema complex and remained isolated within the foothills of MM, evolving only minor morphological changes.

A hypothetical route of colonization and speciation for Diasporus frogs in EP is as follows: Diasporus ingresses into EP through the San Blas peninsula when it started to uplift (around 20 Ma , Montes et al., 2012a). The Chucunaque and Atrato basins isolated the islands of Maje-Baudo in the south and Dabeiba (e.g. the San Blas mountain range) in the north. Diasporus populations colonized those islands either by over sea dispersal (debris rafting) and/or via a temporary land connection. The clade of D. dariensis, $D$. sapo sp. nov., and $D$. majeensis sp . nov. evolved on the southern island, whereas the remainder species ( $D$. aff. diastema, $D$. pequeno sp. nov., $D$. aff. quidditus, and D. tinker) evolved on the northern island. Diasporus pequeno sp. nov. is the oldest lineage of the latter clade, whereas the other species from the southern island dispersed more recently when continuing tectonic events and sedimentation allowed occasional migrations over more shallow and narrow water bodies. Ancestors of $D$. tinker expanded east and crossed the Atrato corridor. The ancestors of D. aff. quidditus evolved during an extended period on the northern island, and began dispersing into South America when the Isthmus of Panama was nearly completed. The $D$. aff. diastema clade including $D$. citronobapheus expanded in both western and eastern directions.

## CONCLUSION

Many species within the genus Diasporus in EP have been difficult to differentiate: as they were based solely on external appearance, a new approach became necessary. Based on a comprehensive analysis of Diasporus samples from EP, we bring new insights into bioacoustics, ecology, molecular diversification, and morphology, and reconstruct the phylogeography of the genus in this region. All new species described herein were well supported by the integration of these approaches. Although this study substantially raises the number of known species of the genus, the diversity of Diasporus spp. still promises to grow in the future. During the last 4 years seven species have been described (including those described here); therefore, we can expect that integrative taxonomical approaches on the genus in western Panama, Costa Rica, and Colombia may further raise the number of species.

Key to the species of the genus DiAsporus

1a. Ungual flap lanceolate or papillate at least on the third finger or third and fourth toe. . . . . . 2
1b. Fingers and toes with rounded or spadate ungual flap.
2a. Very small frogs; SVL usually $<17 \mathrm{~mm}$. . . . . . 3
2b. Small frogs; SVL usually $>17 \mathrm{~mm} . . . . . . . .4$
3a. Dorsum shagreen; fingers without thick lateral fringes; toe V not partially fused with toe IV; SVL of adult males $14.0-16.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, adult females $16.5-18.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; calls with DF of $4.35-$ 5.10 kHz

Diasporus vocator
3b. Dorsum with scattered low warts; fingers with thick lateral fringes; toe V partially fused with toe IV; SVL of adult males $10.9-14.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, adult females $13.2-16.9 \mathrm{~mm}$; calls with DF of $4.55-$ $5.08 \mathrm{kHz} .$. Diasporus aff. quidditus (populations from EP)
4a. Disk expanded with cuspidate pads, skin smooth aside from low flattened warts, no perianal warts; vocal sac pale brown or orange in males; calls with DF of 3.143.71 kHz . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Diasporus tinker

4b. Disk expanded with rounded pads, skin texture smooth, with small scattered tubercles, perianal warts may or may not be present, vocal sac bright yellow in males . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5
5a. Finger III with a small papillate ungual flap; dorsal pattern brownish with dark blotches; ventral areas translucent with dark speckles and small sky-blue blotches; males with bright
yellow vocal sac; calls with DF of 3.443.48 kHz . . . . . . . Diasporus pequeno sp. nov.

5b. Fingers without papillate ungual flap; dorsal color yellowish tan, with brown markings; ventral surfaces white. . . . . . . . . Diasporus gularis
6a. Reddish color pattern on dorsum, venter translucent or with distinct black and white blotches . 7
6b. Dorsal color pattern variable, pale, dark brown, or yellowish, venter white or cream in color, with dark blotches or suffused (or speckled) with dark color 10
7a. Venter translucent. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8
7 b . Venter usually with distinct black and white blotches, males have white venters with red spots and females have white venters with black spots; calls with DF of $2.50-2.61 \mathrm{kHz} .$. . . Diasporus ventrimaculatus
8a. Outer edge of the tibia and forearm smooth, without a series of tubercles.
. 9
8 b . Outer edge of the tibia and forearm covered with a series of tubercles; calls with DF of 2.4 kHz . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .Diasporus igneus

9a. Dorsal color uniform red; eye periphery sky blue; species restricted to the Sapo-Jingurudó mountain range . . . . . . Diasporus sapo sp. nov.
9b. Dorsal color reddish with brown or pale reticulations; eye periphery black; species restricted to the Majé mountain range; calls with DF of 2.472.71 kHz . . . . . . .Diasporus majeensis sp. nov.

10a. Dorsal pattern yellowish, usually suffused with pink or red; venter translucent without blotches, or with speckled pattern . . . . . . . 11
10b. Dorsal pattern dark or pale brown, venter cream with dark spots or dark with white flecks . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 13
11a. Dorsum uniformly bright yellow to orange, color of posterior surface of thigh same color as dorsum; adults with vomerine teeth . . . . . . . 12
11b. Posterior surface of thigh often suffused with pink or red in life; adults without vomerine teeth; calls with DF of $2.35-3.05 \mathrm{kHz} .$. . Diasporus hylaeformis
12a. Dorsum smooth, uniformly bright yellow to orange, sometimes with irregularly distributed dark blotches; distal subarticular tubercle on finger I and toe I flat and rounded; SVL of adult males $17.3-19.7 \mathrm{~mm}$; calls with DF of $2.86-3.04 \mathrm{kHz}$. . . . Diasporus citrinobapheus
12b. Dorsum with scattered low pustules, dorsum yellow to orange with dark-brown spots confined to pustules; distal subarticular tubercle on finger I and toe I weakly bifid; SVL of adult males $16.0-17.5 \mathrm{~mm} .$. . . . . Diasporus tigrillo
13a. Dorsal pattern pale brown or reddish; venter cream with dark spots or suffused with reddish
color; axilla and groin cream in color or same color as dorsum . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 14
13b. Dorsal pattern black with short red lines; axilla and groin scarlet; ventral surfaces black with white flecks; calls with DF of 3.81 kHz . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .Diasporus anthrax
14a. Dorsal pattern pale brown with dark spots; venter cream with dark spots; axilla and groin cream in color; calls with DF of 2.96$3.55 \mathrm{kHz} . .$. ................................ . . Diasporus aff. diastema (populations from CP and EP)
14b. Dorsal pattern reddish with pale lines or blotches; venter suffused with reddish color; axilla and groin unpigmented or same color as dorsum; calls with DF of 3.343.81 kHz . . . . Diasporus darienensis sp. nov.
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Appendix 1. Details of the museum voucher numbers (when available) and collecting locality for all Diasporus samples used in this study.

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W | m a.s.l. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMF 89819 | D. aff. citrinobapheus | Alto de Piedra, Santa fé National Park | Panama | 8.51449 | 81.1171 | 878 |
| MHCH 2373 | D. aff. citrinobapheus | Alto de Piedra, Santa fé National Park | Panama | 8.51449 | 81.1171 | 878 |
| LSt 018 | D. aff. citrinobapheus | Cerro Mariposa, Santa fé National Park | Panama | 8.51545 | 81.119 | 930 |
| LSt 085 | D. aff. diastema | Cerro Mariposa, Santa fé National Park | Panama | 8.50128 | 81.11868 | 1215 |
| LSt 120 | D. aff. diastema | Cerro Mariposa, Santa fé National Park | Panama | 8.52556 | 81.13168 | 652 |
| ICN 41696 (holotype) | D. anthrax | Campamento la Miel II, near junction of quebrada Tasajos with Río la Miel, km 23 carretera la Victoria-Samaná; Caldas, Colombia | Colombia |  |  | 700 |
| ICN 41697 <br> (paratype) | D. anthrax | Bosque de San Rafaél, Municipio San Rafael, Antioquia, Colombia | Colombia |  |  | 1200 |
| MHCH 2840 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre Mountain top ( 1400 m a.s.l.) to camp 2; Rancho Frío Field station, Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.94739 | 77.7042 | 1317 |
| MHCH 2841 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.98792 | 77.70774 | 1127 |
| MHCH 2844 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.98792 | 77.70774 | 1127 |
| MHCH 2845 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.98771 | 77.70783 | 1149 |
| MHCH 2846 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.97976 | 77.70843 | 1133 |
| MHCH 2847 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.98 | 77.70839 | 1139 |
| MHCH 2850 | D. darienensis sp . nov. | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68289 | 78.03846 | 959 |
| MHCH 2851 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.67942 | 78.03868 | 946 |
| MHCH 2852 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Bailarín mount, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.69312 | 78.04226 | 865 |
| MHCH 2862 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.98724 | 77.70785 | 1140 |
| SMF 97303 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre Mountain top ( 1400 m a.s.l.) to camp 2; Rancho Frío Field station, Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.94739 | 77.7042 | 1317 |
| SMF 97304 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Camp 2 (ridge 1300 m a.s.l.); Rancho Frío Field station, Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.9632 | 77.70432 | 1267 |
| SMF 97305 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | From mirador 2 to Perresenico Stream to Camp 2 (ridge 1300 m a.s.l.); Rancho Frío Field Station | Panama | 7.97312 | 77.70785 | 1143 |
| SMF 97306 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.98887 | 77.70739 | 1100 |
| SMF 97307 | D. darienensis sp . nov. | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.97864 | 77.70851 | 1112 |

Appendix 1. Continued

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W | m a.s.l. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMF 97308 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.97935 | 77.70872 | 1085 |
| SMF 97309 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.69271 | 78.042 | 869 |
| SMF 97310 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.69271 | 78.042 | 869 |
| SMF 97312 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68357 | 78.03848 | 948 |
| SMF 97313 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97632 | 78.36269 | 1152 |
| SMF 97314 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.98741 | 77.70787 | 1137 |
| SMF 97661 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Camp 2 (ridge 1300 m a.s.l.); Rancho Frío Field station, Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.9632 | 77.70432 | 1267 |
| SMF 97662 | D. darienensis sp. nov. | Pirre Mountain top ( 1400 m a.s.l.) to camp 2; Rancho Frío Field Station, Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.94719 | 77.7042 | 1326 |
| MHCH 2801 | D. diastema | Amborlla, La Javillosa ridge | Panama | 8.91587 | 78.62897 | 906 |
| MHCH 2802 | D. diastema | Taintidu River, Chucunaque River | Panama | 9.03547 | 78.02637 | 289 |
| MHCH 2803 | D. diastema | Bajo pequeño, camp 2 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47553 | 77.54884 | 472 |
| MHCH 2804 | D. diastema | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 | 718 |
| MHCH 2805 | D. diastema | Río Mono, 5.7 km SE from Bayano Bridge | Panama | 9.1772 | 78.74551 | 107 |
| MHCH 2806 | D. diastema | Río Mono, 5.7 km SE from Bayano Bridge | Panama | 9.17816 | 78.74582 | 100 |
| MHCH 2807 | D. diastema | Maje mountain range, Amborlla | Panama | 8.89224 | 78.56029 | 943 |
| MHCH 2808 | D. diastema | Maje mountain range, Amborlla | Panama | 8.89182 | 78.56268 | 788 |
| MHCH 2809 | D. diastema | Maje mountain range, Amborlla | Panama | 8.89427 | 78.56509 | 622 |
| MHCH 2810 | D. diastema | Maje mountain range, Amborlla | Panama | 8.8972 | 78.56762 | 610 |
| MHCH 2811 | D. diastema | La Moneda, Meteti, Darién | Panama | 8.5974 | 78.04934 | 69 |
| SMF 97286 | D. diastema | Maje mountain range, Amborlla | Panama | 8.91681 | 78.61779 | 485 |
| SMF 97287 | D. diastema | 400 m W from the Gatún Locks, Colón | Panama | 9.26021 | 79.9354 | 36 |
| SMF 97288 | D. diastema | Maje mountain range, Amborlla | Panama | 8.92267 | 78.6253 | 852 |
| SMF 97289 | D. diastema | Bajo pequeño, camp 2 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47553 | 77.54884 | 472 |
| SMF 97290 | D. diastema | Río Terable, Chepo | Panama | 9.28399 | 78.98383 | 322 |
| MHCH 1440 | D. diastema | Donoso, Colón | Panama |  |  |  |
| MHCH 1469 | D. diastema | Donoso, Colón | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 80781 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 81961 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 79796 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 79797 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 83391 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 85135 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 78965 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 82033 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |

Appendix 1. Continued

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W | m a.s.l. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMF 82032 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 82035 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 81812 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 78187 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 78188 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 78189 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 78190 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 78186 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 78191 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| LSt 018 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| LSt 085 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| MHCH 1360 | D. diastema | Bocas del Toro Island, Bocas del Toro | Panama |  |  |  |
| MHCH 1379 | D. diastema | Donoso, Colón | Panama |  |  |  |
| MHCH 1427 | D. diastema | Donoso, Colón | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 85938 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 79794 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 79800 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 79799 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 83390 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 83389 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 85068 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 84997 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 80977 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 80978 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 80979 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 82034 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 82031 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 29859 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 29874 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 81811 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 78561 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 78185 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 78184 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 77231 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| LSt 123 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 85939 | D. diastema |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |

Appendix 1. Continued

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W | m a.s.l. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. diastema | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| ICN 19306 | D. gularis | Quebrada La Miquera, Vereda Venados, Parque Natural Nacional Las Orquideas, Antioquia | Colombia | 6.53802 | 76.30345 | 1060 |
| ICN 53771 | D. gularis |  | Colombia |  |  |  |
| ICN 45169 | D. gularis | Centro Forestal Bajo Calima, Valle del Cauca | Colombia | 3.98333 | 76.95 | 50 |
| AH 242 | D. hylaeformis | Bajo Mono, Boquete | Panama | 8.82515 | 82.50204 | 1820 |
| AH 244 | D. hylaeformis | Bajo Mono, Boquete | Panama | 8.82515 | 82.50204 | 1872 |
| AH 245 | D. hylaeformis | Bajo Mono, Boquete | Panama | 8.82515 | 82.50204 | 1780 |
| HAU 012 | D. hylaeformis | Bajo Mono, Boquete | Panama | 8.82511 | 82.49813 | 1778 |
| HAU 013 | D. hylaeformis | Bajo Mono, Boquete | Panama | 8.82595 | 82.49904 | 1800 |
| HAU 018 | D. hylaeformis | Cerro Guayaba, Comarca Ngöbe buglé | Panama | 8.75797 | 82.2572 | 1358 |
| AH 486 | D. hylaeformis | Cerro Sagui, Comarca Ngöbe buglé | Panama | 8.5639 | 81.8221 | 2033 |
| AH 175 | D. hylaeformis | Reserva Forestal Fortuna | Panama | 8.67685 | 82.19606 | 1750 |
| AH 178 | D. hylaeformis | Reserva Forestal Fortuna | Panama | 8.67685 | 82.19606 | 1750 |
| AH 176 | D. hylaeformis | Reserva Forestal Fortuna | Panama | 8.67685 | 82.19606 | 1750 |
| AH 177 | D. hylaeformis | Reserva Forestal Fortuna | Panama | 8.67685 | 82.19606 | 1750 |
| AH 115 | D. hylaeformis | Fortuna/Westhang Pata de Macho | Panama | 8.6775 | 82.198 | 1760 |
| AH 116 | D. hylaeformis | Fortuna/Westhang Pata de Macho | Panama | 8.6775 | 82.198 | 1760 |
| AH 117 | D. hylaeformis | Fortuna/Westhang Pata de Macho | Panama | 8.6775 | 82.198 | 1760 |

Appendix 1. Continued

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W | m a.s.l. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AH 118 | D. hylaeformis | Fortuna/Westhang Pata de Macho | Panama | 8.6775 | 82.198 | 1760 |
| AH 380 | D. hylaeformis | Fortuna/Westhang Pata de Macho | Panama | 8.67857 | 82.19329 | 1793 |
| HAU 007 | D. hylaeformis | Fortuna/Westhang Pata de Macho | Panama | 8.67885 | 82.20037 | 1810 |
| HAU 011 | D. hylaeformis | Fortuna/Westhang Pata de Macho | Panama | 8.67885 | 82.20037 | 1750 |
| AH 381 | D. hylaeformis | Fortuna/Westhang Pata de Macho | Panama | 8.67857 | 82.19329 | 1793 |
| SMF 89872 | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.91138 | 82.71288 | 2068 |
| SMF 89867 | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.91844 | 82.72325 | 2332 |
|  | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.91844 | 82.72325 | 2332 |
|  | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.91844 | 82.72325 | 2332 |
|  | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.93138 | 82.7137 | 2400 |
| SMF 89874 | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.93138 | 82.7137 | 2400 |
| SMF 89873 | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.93138 | 82.7137 | 2400 |
| MHCH | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.93138 | 82.7137 | 2400 |
| SMF 89868 | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.93138 | 82.7137 | 2400 |
| SMF 89869 | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.93138 | 82.7137 | 2400 |
| SMF 89875 | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.91152 | 82.71253 | 2070 |
| SMF 89876 | D. hylaeformis | Jurutungo, Río Sereno, Renacimientos | Panama | 8.91152 | 82.71253 | 2070 |
| AH 042 | D. hylaeformis | La Nevera, Comarca Ngöbe buglé | Panama | 8.49966 | 81.77238 | 1700 |
| AH 343 | D. hylaeformis | La Nevera/Cerro Santiago Westhang | Panama | 8.49546 | 81.76718 | 1815 |
| SMF 89871 | D. hylaeformis | Las Nubes, Cerro Punta, Chiriquí | Panama | 8.89418 | 82.6149 | 2117 |
| SMF 89870 | D. hylaeformis | Las Nubes, Cerro Punta, Chiriquí | Panama | 8.89418 | 82.6149 | 2117 |
| NH 0034 | D. hylaeformis | Lost and Found, Reserva Forestal Fortuna | Panama | 8.67445 | 82.2193 | 1283 |
| AH 236 | D. hylaeformis | Volcán Barú/Sendero Quezales | Panama | 8.84944 | 82.51538 | 2134 |
| MHCH 1327 (holotype) | D. igneus |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| MHCH 1388 (paratype) | D. igneus |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| MHCH 2072 (paratype) | D. igneus |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 89821 (paratype) | D. igneus |  | Panama |  |  |  |
| SMF 89821 (paratype) | D. igneus | La Nevera, Comarca Ngöbe buglé | Panama | 8.49546 | 81.76718 | 1815 |
| MHCH 2832 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.79936 | 78.46156 | 1380 |
| MHCH 2833 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.79936 | 78.46156 | 1380 |
| MHCH 2834 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.80462 | 78.45951 | 1460 |
| MHCH 2835 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.80462 | 78.45951 | 1460 |
| MHCH 2836 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.80462 | 78.45951 | 1460 |
| MHCH 2837 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.80462 | 78.45951 | 1460 |

Appendix 1. Continued

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W | m a.s.l. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MHCH 2838 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.80462 | 78.45951 | 1460 |
| MHCH 2839 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.80462 | 78.45951 | 1460 |
| SMF 97293 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.79936 | 78.46156 | 1380 |
| SMF 97655 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.79936 | 78.46156 | 1380 |
| SMF 97656 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.79936 | 78.46156 | 1380 |
| SMF 97657 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.79936 | 78.46156 | 1380 |
| SMF 97658 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.80462 | 78.45951 | 1460 |
| SMF 97659 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.80462 | 78.45951 | 1460 |
| SMF 97660 | D. majeensis sp. nov. | Chucantí, Maje mountain range | Panama | 8.80462 | 78.45951 | 1460 |
| MHCH 2826 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47997 | 77.51941 | 859 |
| MHCH 2827 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47997 | 77.51941 | 859 |
| MHCH 2828 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 2 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47553 | 77.54884 | 472 |
| MHCH 2829 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 | 718 |
| MHCH 2830 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 | 718 |
| MHCH 2831 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 | 718 |
| SMF 97333 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 2 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47553 | 77.54884 | 472 |
| SMF 97334 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 2 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47553 | 77.54884 | 472 |
| SMF 97335 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 | 718 |
| SMF 97336 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 | 718 |
| SMF 97337 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 | 718 |
| SMF 97338 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 | 718 |
| SMF 97663 | D. pequeno sp. nov. | Bajo pequeño, camp 3 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 | 718 |
| MHCH 2813 | D. quidditus | Rio Cana, Cana field station, Chimenea trail | Panama | 7.75602 | 77.68565 | 525 |
| MHCH 2814 | D. quidditus | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68338 | 78.03844 | 943 |
| MHCH 2815 | D. quidditus | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.67978 | 78.03856 | 947 |
| MHCH 2816 | D. quidditus | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.98013 | 78.35546 | 796 |
| MHCH 2817 | D. quidditus | Púcuro River, Darién | Panama | 8.0575 | 77.37022 | 1043 |
| MHCH 2818 | D. quidditus | Púcuro River, Darién | Panama | 8.0575 | 77.37022 | 1043 |
| MHCH 2819 | D. quidditus | Púcuro River, Darién | Panama | 8.0575 | 77.37022 | 1043 |
| MHCH 2820 | D. quidditus | Taintidu River, Chucunaque River | Panama | 9.03434 | 78.022 | 228 |
| MHCH 2821 | D. quidditus | Taintidu River, Chucunaque River | Panama | 9.03547 | 78.02637 | 289 |
| MHCH 2822 | D. quidditus | From Taintidu River to the ridge, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.04897 | 77.99753 | 433 |
| MHCH 2823 | D. quidditus | Bajo pequeño, camp 2 Pechito Parao Mountain | Panama | 8.47553 | 77.54884 | 472 |
| MHCH 2824 | D. quidditus | Near Perresenico creek Rancho Frío Field station | Panama | 7.99706 | 77.71084 | 558 |
| SMF 97291 | D. quidditus | Near Perresenico creek Rancho Frío Field station | Panama | 7.99241 | 77.70941 | 871 |

Appendix 1. Continued

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W | m a.s.l. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMF 97292 | D. quidditus | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.98728 | 77.70785 | 1135 |
| SMF 97294 | D. quidditus | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.67962 | 78.03859 | 956 |
| SMF 97295 | D. quidditus | Camp 2 Pícuro River | Panama | 8.04887 | 77.37004 | 787 |
| SMF 97296 | D. quidditus | Camp 4 Pícuro River | Panama | 8.0575 | 77.37022 | 1043 |
| SMF 97297 | D. quidditus | Camp 4 Pícuro River | Panama | 8.0575 | 77.37022 | 1043 |
| SMF 97298 | D. quidditus | Taintidu River, Chucunaque River | Panama | 9.03547 | 78.02637 | 289 |
| SMF 97299 | D. quidditus | San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.05929 | 77.98421 | 553 |
| SMF 97300 | D. quidditus | Bajo pequeño, camp 2 Pechito parao Mount | Panama | 8.47553 | 77.54884 | 472 |
| SMF 97301 | D. quidditus | Bajo pequeño, camp 2 Pechito parao Mount | Panama | 8.47997 | 77.51941 | 859 |
| SMF 97302 | D. quidditus | Camp 2 (ridge 1300 m a.s.l.); Rancho Frío Field station | Panama | 7.9632 | 77.70432 | 1267 |
| SMF 97653 | D. quidditus | Pavarandó, from camp 1 to stream; Garra Garra Mountain | Panama | 7.75898 | 78.09228 | 643 |
| SMF 97654 | D. quidditus | Pavarandó, from Camp 1 to stream; Garra Garra Mountain | Panama | 7.75898 | 78.09228 | 643 |
| MHCH 2825 | D. quidditus | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.99207 | 77.70947 | 871 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ICN } 38150 \\ \text { (paratype) } \end{gathered}$ | D. quidditus | 3 km NE de la cabecera municipal, via Cerro Macana, Bahía Solano, Chocó | Colombia |  |  | 200 |
| ICN 38151 (paratype) | D. quidditus | 4 km . NE de la cabecera municipal, via Cerro Macana, Bahía Solano, Chocó | Colombia |  |  | 200 |
| ICN 38152 (paratype) | D. quidditus | 5 km NE de la cabecera municipal, via Cerro Macana, Bahía Solano, Chocó | Colombia |  |  | 200 |
| ICN 45173 <br> (holotype) | D. quidditus | Centro Forestal Bajo Calima, Buenaventura, Valle del Cauca | Colombia | 3.98333 | 76.94999 | 50 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |

Appendix 1. Continued

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W | m a.s.l. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| n.a. | D. quidditus | Burbayar, private reservation, San Blas mountain range | Panama | 9.31577 | 79.0058 | 321 |
| MHCH 2853 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97616 | 78.36097 | 1063 |
| MHCH 2854 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97614 | 78.36285 | 1148 |
| MHCH 2855 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97618 | 78.36263 | 1169 |
| MHCH 2856 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97618 | 78.36263 | 1169 |
| MHCH 2857 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97618 | 78.36263 | 1169 |
| MHCH 2858 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97618 | 78.36263 | 1169 |
| SMF 97328 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97618 | 78.36263 | 1169 |
| SMF 97329 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97606 | 78.36289 | 1158 |
| SMF 97330 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97589 | 78.36254 | 1160 |

Appendix 1. Continued

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W | m a.s.l. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMF 97331 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97594 | 78.36265 | 1158 |
| SMF 97332 | D. sapo sp. nov. | Sapo Mountain | Panama | 7.97618 | 78.36263 | 1169 |
| MHCH 2812 | D. tinker | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.98923 | 77.7074 | 1149 |
| MHCH 2848 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68412 | 78.03866 | 962 |
| MHCH 2849 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68412 | 78.03866 | 962 |
| MHCH 2863 | D. tinker | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.96258 | 77.70401 | 1253 |
| MHCH 2864 | D. tinker | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.96256 | 77.70393 | 1243 |
| MHCH 2865 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.69463 | 78.0426 | 818 |
| MHCH 2866 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.69271 | 78.042 | 869 |
| MHCH 2867 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68423 | 78.03867 | 971 |
| MHCH 2868 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68405 | 78.03865 | 969 |
| MHCH 2869 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68393 | 78.0386 | 970 |
| MHCH 2870 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68393 | 78.0386 | 970 |
| MHCH 2871 | D. tinker | Bailarin mount, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.66911 | 78.03802 | 1133 |
| MHCH 2872 | D. tinker | Bailarin mount, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.66911 | 78.03802 | 1133 |
| MHCH 2873 | D. tinker | Bailarin mount, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.66911 | 78.03802 | 1133 |
| SMF 97311 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68412 | 78.03866 | 962 |
| SMF 97315 | D. tinker | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.96256 | 77.70393 | 1243 |
| SMF 97316 | D. tinker | Pirre mountain range | Panama | 7.96256 | 77.70393 | 1243 |
| SMF 97317 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.69463 | 78.0426 | 818 |
| SMF 97318 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.69271 | 78.042 | 869 |
| SMF 97319 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.69271 | 78.042 | 869 |
| SMF 97320 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.69271 | 78.042 | 869 |
| SMF 97321 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.68405 | 78.03865 | 969 |

Appendix 1. Continued

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W | m a.s.l. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SMF 97322 | D. tinker | Ridge between Aldo Creek and Sambu River, <br> Jingurudó mountain range | Panama | 7.67947 | 78.03861 |  |

Appendix 1. Continued

| Museum no. | Species | Locality | Country | Coordinates N | Coordinates W |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SMF 89950 | D. vocator cf. | Cerro Negro, Santa fé National Park | Panama | 8.5691 | 81.09875 |
| AH 364 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Appendix 2. Voucher numbers and GenBank accession numbers for all Diasporus samples included in the phylogenetic analyses.

| Species | Museum no. | Field number | GenBank accession no. |  |  | Country | Coordinates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $16 S$ | COI | RAG1 |  | Latitude | Longitude |
| D. aff. diastema | MHCH 2801 | AB 637 | KT186624 | KT186572 | KT119471 | Panama | 8.91587 | 78.62896 |
| D. aff. diastema | MHCH 2802 | AB 675 | KT186617 | KT186563 | KT119472 | Panama | 9.03547 | 78.02637 |
| D. aff. diastema | MHCH 2805 | AB 035 |  | KT186555 | KT119461 | Panama | 9.17720 | 78.74551 |
| D. aff. diastema | MHCH 2807 | AB 073 |  | KT186580 | KT119438 | Panama | 8.89224 | 78.56029 |
| D. aff. diastema | MHCH 2808 | AB 084 | KT186627 | KT186578 | KT119439 | Panama | 8.89182 | 78.56268 |
| D. aff. diastema | MHCH 2809 | AB 086 | KT186633 | KT186588 |  | Panama | 8.89427 | 78.56509 |
| D. aff. diastema | MHCH 2811 | AB 218 |  | KT186571 | KT119459 | Panama | 8.59740 | 78.04934 |
| D. aff. quidditus | MHCH 2824 | AB 1130 | KT186621 | KT186569 | KT119443 | Panama | 7.99706 | 77.71084 |
| D. aff. quidditus | MHCH 2825 | B 131 |  | KT186560 | KT119454 | Panama | 7.99207 | 77.70947 |
| D. pequeno sp. nov. | MHCH 2828 | AB 822 |  | KT186556 | KT119475 | Panama | 8.47553 | 77.54884 |
| D. pequeno sp. nov. | MHCH 2830 | AB 860 |  | KT186559 | KT119478 | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 |
| D. majeensis sp. nov. | MHCH 2839 | AB 1065 | KT186629 |  | KT119442 | Panama | 8.80462 | 78.45951 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | MHCH 2841 | AB 1268 | KT186618 |  | KT119449 | Panama | 7.98771 | 77.70783 |
| D. vocator | MHCH 2843 | AB 1240 |  |  | KT119448 | Panama | 8.86528 | 80.64383 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | MHCH 2845 | AB 151 |  | KT186561 | KT119456 | Panama | 7.98771 | 77.70783 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | MHCH 2850 | AB 329 | KT186626 | KT186576 | KT119460 | Panama | 7.68289 | 78.03846 |
| D. sapo sp. nov. | MHCH 2855 | AB 430 | KT186619 | KT186568 | KT119464 | Panama | 7.97618 | 78.36263 |
| D. sapo sp. nov. | MHCH 2856 | AB 431 | KT186616 |  | KT119465 | Panama | 7.97618 | 78.36263 |
| D. sapo $\mathrm{sp} . \mathrm{nov}$. | MHCH 2858 | AB 439 | KT186628 |  | KT119467 | Panama | 7.97618 | 78.36263 |
| D. sp . | MHCH 2859 | AB 032 | KT186614 | KT186558 |  | Panama | 8.71893 | 82.23161 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | MHCH 2862 | AB 159 |  | KT186579 | KT119458 | Panama | 7.98724 | 77.70785 |
| D. tinker | MHCH 2871 | AB 1270 | KT186620 |  | KT119451 | Panama | 7.66911 | 78.03802 |
| D. tinker | MHCH 2872 | AB 1271 | KT186623 |  | KT119452 | Panama | 7.66911 | 78.03802 |
| D. tinker | MHCH 2873 | AB 1272 | KT186615 |  | KT119453 | Panama | 7.66911 | 78.03802 |
| D. vocator | MHCH 2874 | AB 564 | KT186622 |  | KT119469 | Panama | 8.56315 | 81.05242 |
| D. diastema | SMF 97287 | AB 602 |  | KT186566 | KT119470 | Panama | 9.26021 | 79.93540 |
| D. diastema | SMF 97289 | AB 818 |  | KT186586 | KT119474 | Panama | 8.47553 | 77.54884 |
| D. diastema | SMF 97290 | AB 979 |  | KT186577 | KT119481 | Panama | 9.28399 | 78.98383 |
| D. aff. quidditus | SMF 97291 | AB 138 | KT186613 |  | KT119455 | Panama | 7.99241 | 77.70941 |
| D. aff. quidditus | SMF 97292 | AB 158 | KT186634 | KT186589 | KT119457 | Panama | 7.98728 | 77.70785 |
| D. majeensis sp. nov. | SMF 97293 | AB 1030 |  | KT186565 | KT119440 | Panama | 8.79936 | 78.46156 |
| D. aff. quidditus | SMF 97295 | AB 499 |  |  | KT119468 | Panama | 8.04887 | 77.37004 |
| D. aff. quidditus | SMF 97298 | AB 689 | KT186625 |  | KT119473 | Panama | 9.03547 | 78.02637 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | SMF 97304 | AB 1144 |  | KT186581 | KT119445 | Panama | 7.96320 | 77.70432 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | SMF 97305 | AB 1134 |  | KT186582 | KT119444 | Panama | 7.97312 | 77.70785 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | SMF 97312 | AB 323 | KT186631 | KT186585 |  | Panama | 7.68357 | 78.03848 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | SMF 97313 | AB 425 |  |  | KT119462 | Panama | 7.97632 | 78.36269 |
| D. tinker | SMF 97320 | AB 308 | KT186632 | KT186587 |  | Panama | 7.69271 | 78.04200 |

Appendix 2. Continued

| Species | Museum no. | Field number | GenBank accession no. |  |  | Country | Coordinates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $16 S$ | COI | RAG1 |  | Latitude | Longitude |
| D. tinker | SMF 97326 | AB 1184 |  |  | KT119446 | Panama | 7.94718 | 77.70420 |
| D. tinker | SMF 97327 | AB 1269 | KT186635 |  | KT119450 | Panama | 7.66911 | 78.03802 |
| D. sapo sp. nov. | SMF 97329 | AB 429 |  | KT186557 | KT119463 | Panama | 7.97606 | 78.36289 |
| D. sapo sp. nov. | SMF 97330 | AB 435 | KT186630 | KT186584 | KT119466 | Panama | 7.97589 | 78.36254 |
| D. pequeno sp. nov. | SMF 97335 | AB 856 |  | KT186583 | KT119476 | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 |
| D. pequeno sp. nov. | SMF 97337 | AB 861 |  | KT186570 | KT119479 | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 |
| D. vocator | SMF 97339 | AB 028 |  | KT186573 |  | Panama | 8.98843 | 80.58243 |
| D. aff. quidditus | SMF 97652 | AB 931 |  | KT186562 |  | Panama | 7.75898 | 78.09228 |
| D. majeensis sp. nov. | SMF 97653 | AB 1031 |  | KT186567 | KT119480 | Panama | 8.79936 | 78.46156 |
| D. majeensis $\mathrm{sp} . \mathrm{nov}$. | SMF 97657 | AB 1033 |  |  | KT119441 | Panama | 8.79936 | 78.46156 |
| D. darienensis sp. nov. | SMF 97662 | AB 1185 |  | KT186564 | KT119447 | Panama | 7.94719 | 77.70420 |
| D. pequeno sp. nov. | SMF 97663 | AB 857 |  | KT186575 | KT119477 | Panama | 8.47911 | 77.52799 |
| D. citrinobapheus | SMF 89814 | AH 449 | JQ927333 |  |  | Panama | 8.48500 | 81.17300 |
| D. citrinobapheus | SMF 89820 | AH 211 | JQ927334 |  |  | Panama | 8.56900 | 81.09900 |
| D. citrinobapheus | MHCH 2370 | AH 450 | JQ927335 |  |  | Panama | 8.48500 | 81.17300 |
| D. citrinobapheus | MHCH 2371 | AH 452 | JQ927336 |  |  | Panama | 8.48500 | 81.17300 |
| D. hylaeformis | SMF 89868 | AH 267 | JQ927337 |  |  | Panama | 8.93100 | 82.71400 |
| D. hylaeformis | SMF 89869 | AH 268 | JQ927338 |  |  | Panama | 8.93100 | 82.71400 |
| D. hylaeformis | SMF 89872 | AH 124 | JQ927339 |  |  | Panama | 8.91100 | 82.71300 |
| D. hylaeformis | SMF 89875 | AH 282 | JQ927340 |  |  | Panama | 8.91200 | 82.71300 |
| D. citrinobapheus | USNM 572442 | KRL 0902 | FJ784425 |  |  | Panama | 8.66700 | 80.59200 |
| D. citrinobapheus | USNM 572443 | KRL 1181 | FJ784484 |  |  | Panama | 8.66700 | 80.59200 |
| D. citrinobapheus | USNM 572454 | KRL 0900 | FJ784423 |  |  | Panama | 8.66700 | 80.59200 |
| D. citrinobapheus | USNM 572455 | KRL 0901 | FJ784424 |  |  | Panama | 8.66700 | 80.59200 |
| D. citrinobapheus | MVUP 1783 | KRL 0694 | FJ784338 |  |  | Panama | 8.66700 | 80.59200 |
| D. citrinobapheus | MVUP 1830 | KRL 0840 | FJ784395 |  |  | Panama | 8.66700 | 80.59200 |
| D. quidditus | USNM 572444 | KRL 0647 | FJ784326 |  |  | Panama | 8.66700 | 80.59200 |
| D. quidditus | MVUP 1832 | KRL 0856 | FJ784405 |  |  | Panama | 8.66700 | 80.59200 |
| D. vocator | FMNH 257769 | AJC 0127 | JN991419 | JN991348 |  | Costa Rica | 8.79000 | 82.96000 |
| D. hylaeformis | USNM 572546 | KRL 0782 | FJ784369 | FJ766810 |  | Panama | 8.66700 | 80.59200 |
| D. hylaeformis | MVUP 1826 | KRL 0831 | FJ784390 | FJ766809 |  | Panama | 8.66700 | 80.59200 |
| D. hylaeformis | MVZ 203844 | 1999 | EU186682 |  |  | Costa Rica | 9.75000 | 83.80400 |
| D. hylaeformis | UCR 16264 | AJC 0468 | JN991418 | JN991347 |  | Costa Rica | 10.22000 | 84.54000 |


[^0]:    *Corresponding author. E-mail: abelbatista@hotmail.com

[^1]:    n.a. $=$ voucher number not available.

