# City of Santa Fe Springs

Planning Commission Meeting

# AGENDA

## MEETING OF THE SANTA FE SPRINGS PLANNING COMMISSION July 11 2022 6:00 p.m.

Mark Fresquez, Commissioner Johnny Hernandez, Commissioner William K. Rounds, Commissioner Francis Carbajal, Vice Chairperson Gabriel Jimenez, Chairperson

You may attend the Planning Commission meeting telephonically or electronically using the following means:

Electronically using Zoom: Go to Zoom.us and click on "Join A Meeting" or use the following link: <a href="https://zoom.us/j/558333944?pwd=b0FqbkV2aDZneVRnQ3BjYU12SmJIQT09">https://zoom.us/j/558333944?pwd=b0FqbkV2aDZneVRnQ3BjYU12SmJIQT09</a>

Zoom Meeting ID: 558 333 944

Password: 554545

Telephonically: Dial: 888-475-4499

Meeting ID: 558 333 944

Public Comment: The public is encouraged to address the Commission on any matter listed on the agenda or on any other matter within its jurisdiction. If you wish to address the Commission, please complete the card that is provided at the rear entrance to the Council Chambers and hand the card to the Secretary or a member of staff. The Commission will hear public comment on items listed on the agenda during discussion of the matter and prior to a vote. The Commission will hear public comment on matters not listed on the agenda during the Oral Communications period. All written comments received by 12:00 p.m. the day of the Planning Commission meeting will be distributed to the Planning Commissioners and made a part of the official record of the meeting. Written comments will not be read the meeting, only the name of the person submitting the comment will be announced.

Pursuant to provisions of the Brown Act, no action may be taken on a matter unless it is listed on the agenda or unless certain emergency or special circumstances exist. The Commission may direct staff to investigate and/or schedule certain matters for consideration at a future Commission meeting. Americans with Disabilities Act: In compliance with the ADA, if you need special assistance to participate in a City meeting or other services offered by this City, please contact the Planning Department. Notification of at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist the City staff in assuring that reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.

**Please Note:** Staff reports and supplemental attachments, are available for inspection in the Planning Department, City Hall, 11710 E. Telegraph Road, during regular business hours 7:30 a.m. – 5:30 p.m., Monday – Friday (closed every other Friday) Telephone (562) 868-0511.

	Planning Commission Meeting	July 11, 2022
1.	CALL TO ORDER	
2.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	
3.	<b>ROLL CALL</b> Commissioners Jimenez, Carbajal, Hernandez, and Rounds	).
4.	<b>EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS</b> This section is intended to allow all officials the opportunity regarding site visits or ex parte communications about public	
5.	<b>PUBLIC COMMENT</b> This is the time when comments may be made by member within the jurisdiction of the Planning Commission, on the agenda. The time limit for each speaker is three (3) minutes of by the Chair.	e agenda and not on the
6.	MINUTES Approval of the minutes of the June 13, 2022 Planning Com	mission Meeting
7.	PUBLIC HEARING <u>Adoption of Mitigated Negative Declaration</u> <u>Development Plan Approval (DPA) Case No. 989</u> A request for approval to allow the construction of a new ±10 up industrial building and related improvements on pro Bloomfield Avenue (APN: 8026-019-022) within the M-2-BF Buffer Parking, Zone. (Rexford Industrial – 12118 Bloomfield	operty located at 12118 P, Heavy Manufacturing –
8.	<b>CONSENT ITEM</b> Consent Agenda items are considered routine matters whic motion and roll call vote. Any item may be removed from considered separately by the Planning Commission.	
	A. CONSENT ITEM <u>Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 8</u> Compliance review report for Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Per- continued operation and maintenance of an alcohol bever consumption involving JR Liquor Store located at 13418 Im Heavy Manufacturing Zone, within the Consolidated Redevelor (Mr. Medhat Beshay, Owner)	rage sales use for off-site perial Highway in the M-2,
	B. CONSENT ITEM <u>Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 16</u> Compliance review report for Alcohol Sales Conditional Use I the continued operation and maintenance of an alcohol bev	

consumption involving La Rubia Restaurant located at 14060 Gannet St. in the M-2, Heavy Manufacturing Zone. (Nancy Olvera, Owner)

### C. CONSENT ITEM

Conditional Use Permit Case No. 480-5

A request for a time extension of Conditional Use Permit (CUP) Case No. 480 to allow the continued operation and maintenance of a mobile office trailer outdoor storage facility and related improvements on the 11.69-acre property located at 11811 Greenstone Avenue, within the M-2, Heavy Manufacturing zone. (Williams Scotsman Inc.)

#### D. CONSENT ITEM

#### Conditional Use Permit Case No. 641-4 & Zone Variance Case No. 68-4

A request for a time extension of Conditional Use Permit (CUP) Case No. 641 to allow the establishment, operation and maintenance of an open storage yard use for RV storage; and Zone Variance (ZV) Case No. 68 to allow a deviation from the provision of Section 155.451 (frontage on a public street) and Section 155.639 (exceed 1-acre maximum) on the 7.49-acre property generally located between the Interstate-605 Freeway to the east and the San Gabriel River to the west (APN: 8177-029-811), in the M-2, Heavy Manufacturing, Zone. (Premier RV Storage SFS, LLC)

#### 9. ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Commissioners
- Staff

#### 10. ADJOURNMENT

I, Teresa Cavallo, hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing agenda has been posted at the following locations; city's website at <u>www.santafesprings.com</u>; City Hall, 11710 Telegraph Road; City Library, 11700 Telegraph Road, and the Town Center Plaza (Kiosk), 11740 Telegraph Road, not less than 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Teresa Cavallo Planning Secretary July 7, 2022 Date **Planning Commission Meeting** 



July 11, 2022

# APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Minutes of the Planning Commission Meetings

## RECOMMENDATION

• Approve the minutes as submitted.

## BACKGROUND

Staff has prepared minutes for the following meetings:

Approval of the minutes of the June 13, 2022 Planning Commission Meeting

Staff hereby submits the minutes for Planning Commissioners' approval.

Wayne M. Morrell

Director of Planning

Attachments: <u>Approval of the minutes of the June 13, 2022 Planning Commission Meeting</u>



**APPROVED:** 

# MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE SANTA FE SPRINGS PLANNING COMMISSION

June 13, 2022

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Jimenez called the meeting to order at 6:04p.m.

### 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chair Jimenez called upon Vice Chair Carbajal to lead everyone in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. ROLL CALL

Members present:	Chairperson Jimenez
-	Vice Chairperson Carbajal
	Commissioner Fresquez – via Zoom
	Commissioner Hernandez
	Commissioner Rounds

Staff:Baron J. Bettenhausen, City Attorney<br/>Wayne M. Morrell, Director of Planning<br/>Cuong Nguyen, Assistant Director of Planning<br/>Vince Velasco, Associate Planner<br/>Teresa Cavallo, Planning Secretary

Council: None

Members absent: None

- 4. EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS None.
- 5. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS None.

#### 6. MINUTES

- A. <u>Approval of the minutes of the May 9, 2022 Planning Commission Meeting</u>
- B. Approval of the minutes of the May 16, 2022 Planning Commission Meeting

It was moved by Commissioner Rounds, seconded by Commissioner Hernandez to approve the minutes as submitted, with the following vote:

Ayes:Jimenez, Carbajal, Fresquez, Hernandez, and RoundsNays:NoneAbsent:None

### 7. PUBLIC HEARING

<u>Categorical Exempt – CEQA Guidelines Section 15332, Class 32</u> <u>Development Plan Approval (DPA) Case No. 992</u> **Recommendations:** 

- Open the Public Hearing, receive the staff report and any comments from the public regarding Development Plan Approval Case No.992, and thereafter, close the Public Hearing; and
- Find and determine that the proposed project will not be detrimental to persons or properties in the surrounding area or to the City in general, and will be in conformance with the overall purpose and objective of the Zoning Ordinance and consistent with the goals, policies and program of the City's General Plan; and
- Find that the applicant's DPA request meets the criteria set forth in §155.739 of the City's Zoning Ordinance, for the granting of a Development Plan Approval; and
- Find and determine that pursuant to Section 15332, Class 32 (In-Fill Development), of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the project is Categorically Exempt; and
- Approve Development Plan Approval Case No. 992, subject to the conditions of approval as contained within Resolution No. 211-2022; and
- Adopt Resolution No. 211-2022, which incorporates the Planning Commission's findings and actions regarding this matter.

Chair Jimenez called upon Associate Planner Vince Velasco to present Item No. 7. Present via Zoom was Applicant's Representative Mike Griswold.

Chair Jimenez asked if any of the Planning Commissioners had any questions.

Commissioner Rounds inquired about the number of hydrogen peroxide tanks on the property and the use of the hydrogen peroxide.

Chair Jimenez opened the Public Hearing at 6:13 p.m. and asked if anyone via Zoom or in the audience wished to speak.

Project Manager Mike Griswold responded that the property has two hydrogen peroxide tanks that contain food grade hydrogen peroxide.

Chair Jimenez inquired if any comments were submitted via email. Planning Secretary Teresa Cavallo responded no comments were received.

Having no further questions or comments, Chair Jimenez closed the Public Hearing at 6:15 p.m. and requested a motion.

It was moved by Vice Chair Carbajal, seconded by Commissioner Rounds to approve Development Plan Approval (DPA) Case No. 992, and the recommendations regarding this entitlement, which passed by the following roll call vote:

Ayes:Jimenez, Carbajal, Fresquez, Hernandez, and RoundsNays:NoneAbsent:None

City Attorney Baron J. Bettenhausen read the City's appeal process.

#### 8. PRESENTATION

New Single-Room Occupancy Housing Development

Director of Planning Wayne Morrell presented social media posts that have been circulating online and clarified the erroneous information presented on this particular social media account.

Vice Chair Carbajal thanked Planning staff for their transparency and clarification.

Chair Jimenez called upon Associate Planner Vince Velasco to provide a presentation on SRO Phase 1 and Phase 2 and California's SB35.

A discussion ensued regarding the current businesses at this location, the City streets surrounding this project possibly being repaired, and the Single-Room Occupancy Housing Development.

#### 9. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Commissioners

Vice Chair Carbajal wished everyone a Happy 4<sup>th</sup> of July.

Commissioners expressed their condolences to Assistant Planner Cuong Nguyen and his family on the passing of his father.

Vice Chair Jimenez welcomed new Planning Commissioner Mark Fresquez.

Commissioner Fresquez looks forward to being on the Planning Commission.

Staff

Assistant Planner Cuong Nguyen announced that staff is currently working on advanced planning and staff has a few upcoming ADU workshops scheduled in June and July.

Mr. Nguyen also announced the upcoming Special Joint Meetings with both City Council and Planning Commissioners scheduled for July 19<sup>th</sup> and August 2<sup>nd</sup>.

#### 10. ADJOURNMENT

Chairperson Jimenez adjourned at 6:45 p.m. to the next Planning Commission meeting scheduled for July 11, 2022 at 6:00 p.m.

ATTEST:

Chair Jimenez

Teresa Cavallo Planning Secretary Date



Planning Commission Meeting

## PUBLIC HEARING

Adoption of Mitigated Negative Declaration

Development Plan Approval (DPA) Case No. 989

A request for approval to allow the construction of a new  $\pm 109,570$  sq. ft. concrete tilt-up industrial building and related improvements on property located at 12118 Bloomfield Avenue (APN: 8026-019-022) within the M-2-BP, Heavy Manufacturing – Buffer Parking, Zone. (Rexford Industrial – 12118 Bloomfield, LLC)

## **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- Open the Public Hearing and receive the staff report and any comments from the public regarding Development Plan Approval Case No. 989 and related Environmental Documents, and thereafter, close the Public Hearing; and
- Find and determine that the proposed project will not be detrimental to persons or properties in the surrounding area or to the City in general, and will be in conformance with the overall purpose and objective of the Zoning Ordinance and consistent with the goals, policies and program of the City's General Plan; and
- Find that the applicant's DPA request meets the criteria set forth in §155.739 of the City's Zoning Ordinance, for the granting of a Development Plan Approval; and
- Approve and adopt the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration and accompanying Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) which, based on the findings of the Initial Study, indicates that there is no substantial evidence that the proposed project will have a significant adverse immitigable impacts on the environment; and
- Approve Development Plan Approval Case No. 989, subject to the conditions of approval as contained within Resolution No. 212-2022; and
- Adopt Resolution No. 212-2022, which incorporates the Planning Commission's findings and actions regarding this matter.

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

A.	Applicant:	EPD Solutions, Inc. 2 Park Plaza Irvine, CA 92614
B.	Property Owner:	Rexford Industrial – 12118 Bloomfield, LLC 11620 Wilshire Boulevard, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025
C.	Existing Zone:	M-2-BP (Heavy Manufacturing – Buffer Parking)

Report Submitted By: Vince Velasco

Date of Report: July 7, 2022 ITEM NO. 7

Planning and Development Department

D.	General Plan:	Industrial
E.	CEQA Status:	Mitigated Negative Declaration
F.	Staff Contact:	Vince Velasco, Associate Planner vincevelasco@santafesprings.org

### LOCATION / BACKGROUND

The subject property, located at 12118 Bloomfield Avenue, is comprised of a single parcel (APN: 8026-019-022) measuring 224,770 sq. ft. (5.16 acres), and located on the east side of Bloomfield Avenue. The property is zoned M-2-BP (Heavy Manufacturing – Buffer Parking) and is currently occupied by Crown Fence, a fencing fabricator, distributor, and wholesaler. Industrial uses are located on the north, south, and east sides of the property, while the California Department of State Hospitals – Metropolitan is located to the east.

The applicant, Rexford Industrial – 12118 Bloomfield, LLC, is proposing to construct a new 109,570 sq. ft. concrete tilt-up multi-tenant industrial building on the subject property. In accordance with the City's Zoning Ordinance, a Development Plan Approval is required for the construction of a new building.

#### DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPROVAL CASE NO. 989.

#### <u>Site Plan</u>

The applicant is proposing to construct a new  $\pm 109,570$  sq. ft. concrete tilt-up multitenant industrial building at 12118 Bloomfield Avenue (APN: 8026-019-022). The proposed industrial building will be setback a minimum 98' from the front property line along Bloomfield Avenue. The proposed development will provide two (2) driveways along Bloomfield Avenue for ingress and egress. The northern driveway has a width of 30' and the southern driveway has a width of 33'-8". Parking and landscaping for the subject property will be distributed throughout the property.

#### <u>Floor Plan</u>

The floor plan indicates that the proposed industrial building will measure  $\pm 109,570$  sq. ft., with 5,604 sq. ft. designated as first floor office area, 4,701 sq. ft. as office mezzanine, and the remaining 99,265 sq. ft. designated for warehouse/manufacturing area. The subject building is proposed as a two unit building with the gross floor area of Unit 1 at 61,034 sq. ft. and the gross floor area of Unit 2 at 48,536 sq. ft.

#### Elevations

The elevations indicate that the proposed industrial building will have a contemporary design. The entry to the office area (west elevation) is provided with

extensive glazing, color variation, pop-outs, height variation, and materials used. The remaining elevations have been provided with a combination of the aforementioned architectural treatments, which results in an aesthetically pleasing building.

#### Lot Coverage/Floor Area Ratio

The City's recently adopted 2040 General Plan identifies a maximum floor area ratio (FAR) of 0.75 within the Industrial land use area, while the M-2 (Heavy Manufacturing) Zone does not have a lot coverage requirement. With a total site area of 224,770 sq. ft., the maximum buildable area under the 0.75 FAR limitation for the subject property is 168,578 sq. ft. As proposed, the 109,570 sq. ft. (0.49) multi-tenant industrial building is well within the limitations of the new FAR requirement.

#### Landscape Requirement

For maximum value, the majority of the landscaping will be provided along the setback areas that adjoins the street (Bloomfield Avenue). Additionally, as required by the Code, the applicant will landscape at least 6% of the parking area. The minimum landscape requirement for the project, based on the overall street frontage of 236' and 25,954 sq. ft. of parking area is 8,221 sq. ft. According to the conceptual landscape plan, the applicant will be providing an overall total of 16,514 sq. ft. of landscaping throughout the site. The project, therefore, exceeds the minimum requirements set forth in the City's Zoning Ordinance.

Landscaping Requirement			
Landscaping Area	Required	Provided	
Frontage	6,663 sq. ft.	6,663 sq. ft.	
Parking	1,558 sq. ft.	9,851 sq. ft.	
Total	8,221 sq. ft.	16,514 sq. ft.	

#### Parking Requirements

A total of 170 parking stalls will be provided for the new building: 101 standard stalls, 21 electric vehicle stalls, 42 compact stalls, and 6 accessible stalls. As proposed, the project is required to provide a total of 170 parking stalls.

• 1 stall per 500 sq. ft. for the first 40,000 sq. ft. = 80 stalls, 1 stall per 750 sq. ft. for the next 60,000 sq. ft. = 80 stalls, and 1 stall per 1000 sq. ft. for the remaining 9,570 sq. ft. = 10 stalls. It should be noted that the proposed office area is less than 15% of the gross floor area and thus no additional parking is required as proposed.

Pursuant to Section 155.487 (F) of the City's Zoning Ordinance, because the

building exceeds 100,000 sq. ft., the proposed development is also required to provide one (1) truck parking stall (12' x 53') for every four (4) dock high doors. With 15 dock high doors proposed, the project is required to provide a total of four (4) truck parking stalls. A total of four (4) truck parking stalls will be provided within the truck loading area but said stalls will not obstruct on-site circulation. The proposed project, therefore, meets the minimum parking requirements set forth by the City's Zoning Ordinance.

#### Loading/ Roll Up Doors

According to the plans, the proposed building will have a total of eighteen (18) loading doors, including two (2) grade level doors and sixteen (16) dock high doors, along the north elevation. All loading doors are strategically placed so that they will not be directly visible from Bloomfield Avenue. Additionally, the applicant will provide a 14' high decorative block wall to provide additional screening for on-site truck activities.

Per the City's Zoning Ordinance, all off-street truck loading areas, zones, ramps, doors, wells, or docks shall be designed to provide and maintain a minimum unobstructed area of 120' to allow for proper truck maneuvering on-site. As proposed, the design will provide the required unobstructed area in all necessary locations.

#### Trash Enclosures

According to the site plan, two (2) 360 sq. ft. trash enclosures will be located along the north elevation of the building (1 per tenant). The proposed trash enclosures are strategically placed behind the proposed 14' high screen wall and thus will not be visible or accessible to the public.

## STREETS AND HIGHWAYS

The subject site is located on the east side of Bloomfield Avenue. Bloomfield Avenue is designated as a major arterial (4-lanes), within the Circulation Element of the City's General Plan.

#### ZONING AND LAND USE

The subject property is zoned M-2-BP (Heavy Manufacturing – Buffer Parking). The property has a General Plan Land Use designation of Industrial. The zoning, General Plan and land use of the surrounding properties are as follows:

Surrounding Zoning, General Plan Designation, Land Use			
Direction	Zoning District	General Plan	Land Use (Address/Business Name)
North	M-2-BP, Heavy Manufacturing – Buffer Parking, Zone	Industrial	<u>Manufacturing</u> (12020 Bloomfield Ave./Palmer Johnson Power Systems)

Date of Report: July 7, 2022

	M-2, Heavy Manufacturing, Zone	Industrial	<u>Manufacturing</u> (12630 Allard St./Compressed Air of California)
	M-2, Heavy Manufacturing, Zone	Industrial	<u>Warehousing/Distribution</u> (12640 Allard St./Ziglift Material Handling)
South	M-2-BP, Heavy Manufacturing – Buffer Parking, Zone	Industrial	Office (12150 Bloomfield Ave./First Rate Staffing) Office (12150 Bloomfield Ave./Solution Leading Partner, Inc.)
East	M-2, Heavy Manufacturing, Zone	Industrial	<u>Trailer Storage</u> (12133 Greenstone Ave./Production Transport)
West	I, Institutional (City of Norwalk)	Institutional	Metropolitan State Hospital (11401 Bloomfield Avenue)

### LEGAL NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

This matter was set for Public Hearing in accordance with the requirements of Sections 65090 and 65091 of the State Planning, Zoning and Development Laws and the requirements of Sections 155.860 through 155.864 of the City's Municipal Code.

Legal notice of the Public Hearing for the proposed project was sent by first class mail to all property owners whose names and addresses appear on the latest County Assessor's Roll within 500 feet of the exterior boundaries of the subject property on June 30, 2022. The legal notice was also posted in Santa Fe Springs City Hall, the City's Town Center Kiosk, the City's Library, and published in a newspaper of general circulation (Whittier Daily News) on June 30, 2022, as required by the State Zoning and Development Laws and by the City's Zoning Ordinance. In addition to comment letters responding to the IS/MND, staff received a letter from the Southern California District Council of Laborers on June 24, 2022, expressing their support of the project (Attachment #8).

#### ZONING REQUIREMENTS

The procedures set forth in Section 155.736 of the Zoning Ordinance, states that a DPA is required to give proper attention to the siting of new structures or additions or alterations to existing structures.

Code Section:	Development Plan Approval
155.736	Section 155.736 The purpose of the development plan approval is to assure compliance with the provisions of this chapter and to give proper attention to the siting of new structures or additions or alterations to existing structures, particularly in regard to unsightly and undesirable appearance, which would have an adverse effect on surrounding properties and the community in general.

## ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS

The environmental analysis provided in the Initial Study, including related technical studies, indicates that the proposed project will not result in any significant adverse immitigable impacts on the environment, therefore, the City caused to be prepared and proposed to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the proposed project. The MND reflects the independent judgment of the City of Santa Fe Springs, and the environmental consultant, EPD Solutions, Inc. The IS/MND prepared by EPD Solutions, Inc., reflects the independent judgement of the City of Santa Fe Springs and the City's environmental consultant, Blodgett/Baylosis Environmental Planning.

#### Phases in the Environmental Review Process:

The implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) entails three separate phases:

- 1. The first phase consists of preliminary review of a project to determine whether it is subject to CEQA
- 2. If the project is subject to CEQA, the second phase involves the preparation of an Initial Study to determine whether the project may have a significant environmental effect.
- 3. The third phase involves the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) if the project may have a significant environmental effect of a Negative Declaration or Mitigated Declaration if no significant effects will occur.

<u>Phase 1</u>: The first phase is to determine if the proposed project is subject to CEQA. CEQA applies to an activity that (a) involves the exercise of an agency's discretionary powers, (b) has the potential to result in a direct or reasonable foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, and (c) falls within the definition of a "project" as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15378. City staff reviewed the proposal and determined that the project is subject to CEQA

Phase 2: The second phase involves the preparation of an Initial Study. An Initial Study is a preliminary analysis to determine whether an EIR or a Negative Declaration or Mitigated Negative Declaration is needed. If the Initial Study concludes that the proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment that cannot be mitigated, an EIR should be prepared. If no potentially significant impacts are identified, then a Negative Declaration can be prepared. If potentially significant impacts are identified that can be mitigated, then a Mitigated Negative Declaration can be prepared with mitigated measures conditioned as part of the project's approval to reduce potentially significant impacts to levels of insignificance. To facilitate the Commission's determination whether "effects" are potentially significant, the Commission should focus on scientific and factual data. Unfortunately, CEQA does not provide a definitive definition of what constitutes a "significant effect" as a substantial or potentially substantial adverse change in the physical environment. City staff determined, through the preparation of the Initial Study, including related technical studies, that there were no potentially significant environmental effect that could not be mitigated to a level of insignificance and, therefore, a Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared.

<u>Phase 3:</u> A Mitigated Negative Declaration is a written statement, briefly explaining why a proposed project will not have a significant environmental effect and includes a copy of the Initial Study justifying this finding. Included within the Initial Study are mitigation measures to avoid potentially significant effects. City staff determined that, although, the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project applicant or mitigation measures are being implemented to reduce all potentially significant effects to levels of insignificance. As a result, a Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared for the project.

#### Draft MND Review:

The Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment of the City of Santa Fe Springs and the environmental consultant, EPD Solutions, Inc., as to the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project on the environment. The Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration was circulated for the required 20-day public review and comments from June 8, 2022 to June 28, 2022. The Notice of Intent to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration was posted with the Los Angeles County Clerk and the State of California Clearinghouse. The Planning Commission were emailed a copy of the Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration was also mailed to all responsible and trustee agencies as well as surrounding cities for their review and comment.

On June 8, 2022, the City released the Draft IS/MND. These materials were made available to the public throughout the 20-day review and comment period. The

public comment period for the Draft IS/MND ended June 28, 2022 and the City received a total of two (2) responses. The first response was sent by the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts on June 21, 2022 and the second response was sent by Mitchell M. Tsai, Attorney at Law, on behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters (SWRCC). All materials were made available for review at the following locations:

- State of California Clearinghouse Website: <u>https://ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/</u>
- Los Angeles County Recorder's Office Website: <u>https://apps.lavote.net/CEQA/Search/Results/10#res</u>
- City of Santa Fe Springs Website: <u>https://www.santafesprings.org/cityhall/planning/planning/environmental\_documents.asp</u>

When reviewing the Mitigated Negative Declaration/Initial Study, the focus of the review should be on the project's potential environmental effects. If persons believe that the project may have a significant effect, they should, (a) Identify the specific effect; (b) Explain why they believe the effect would occur, and; (c) Explain why they believe the effect would be significant.

Individuals who believe there are significant effects as outlined above, should also explain the basis for their comments and submit data or reference offering facts, reasonable assumptions based on facts or expert opinion supported by facts in support of the comments. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines, an effect shall not be considered significant in the absence of substantial evidence.

#### Potentially Affected Environmental Factors:

The draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration has identified several factors that may be potentially affected by the subject project which include: *Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Geology/Soils, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, and Tribal Cultural Resources.* These factors and their respective pertinent issues are discussed and analyzed within the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration. Mitigations, where necessary, were implemented to help ensure potential impacts are reduced to a less than significant level. A detailed analysis can be found in the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Corresponding Mitigated Monitoring and Reporting Program.

#### Mitigation Monitoring:

The monitoring and reporting on the implementation of these measures, including the monitoring action, monitoring agency, and the period for implementation, are identified in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment #4).

#### AUTHORITY OF PLANNING COMMISSION

The Planning Commission has the authority, subject to the procedures set forth in the City's Zoning Ordinance, to grant a Development Plan Approval when it has

been found that said approval is consistent with the requirements, intent and purpose of the City's Zoning Ordinance. The Commission may grant, conditionally grant or deny approval of a proposed development plan based on the evidence submitted and upon its own study and knowledge of the circumstances involved, or it may require submission of a revised development plan.

#### STAFF REMARKS

Based on the findings set forth in the attached Resolution (212-2022), Staff finds that the applicant's request meets the criteria set forth in §155.739 of the City's Zoning Ordinance, for the granting of a Development Plan Approval.

#### **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

Conditions of approval for DPA 989 are attached to Resolution 212-2022 as Exhibit A.


byne M.

Wayne M. Morrell Director of Planning

#### Attachments:

- 1. Aerial Photograph
- 2. Public Hearing Notice
- 3. Radius Map for Public Hearing Notice
- 4. Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration & Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) (previously emailed to Planning Commission on 7/1/2022)
- 5. IS/MND Comment Letter Los Angeles County Sanitation District (6/21/2022)
- 6. IS/MND Comment Letter Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters (6/28/2022)
- 7. IS/MND Comment Letter County of Los Angeles Fire Department (7/1/2022)
- 8. IS/MND Response to Comments
- 9. Support Letter Southern California District Council of Laborers (6/24/2022)
- 10. Resolution 212-2022
  - a. Exhibit A Conditions of Approval
- 11. Full Set of Proposed Plans


Report Submitted By: Vince Velasco Planning and Development Department




## DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPROVAL CASE NO. 989



12118 Bloomfield Avenue (Applicant: Rexford Industrial – 12118 Bloomfield, LLC)






Report Submitted By: Vince Velasco Planning and Development Department Date of Report: July 7, 2022



## Attachment #3: Radius Map for Public Hearing Notice

Report Submitted By: Vince Velasco Planning and Development Department Date of Report: July 7, 2022



#### 3.8 DETERMINATION

(To be completed by the Lead Agency) on the basis of this initial evaluation

	I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.			
$\boxtimes$	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.			
	I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.			
	I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.			
	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.			
	AVe	June 2, 2022		
Sign	ature	Date		
Vinc	Vince Velasco City of Santa Fe Springs			

**Printed Name** 

# For

#### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than

Attachment #5: IS/MND Comment Letter – Los Angeles County Sanitation District (6/21/2022)



1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, CA 90601-1400 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998 (562) 699-7411 • www.lacsd.org

June 21, 2022

Ref. DOC 6595066

Mr. Vince Velasco, Associate Planner City of Santa Fe Springs Planning Department 11710 East Telegraph Road Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670

Dear Mr. Velasco:

#### NOI Response to 12118 Bloomfield Avenue Development Project

The Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts (Districts) received a Notice of Intent (NOI) to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the subject project on June 9, 2022. The proposed project is located within the jurisdictional boundaries of District No. 18. We offer the following comments regarding sewerage service:

- 1. The wastewater flow originating from the proposed project will discharge to a local sewer line, which is not maintained by the Districts, for conveyance to the Districts' Bloomfield Avenue Trunk Sewer, located in Imperial Highway at Bloomfield Avenue. The Districts' 18-inch diameter trunk sewer has a capacity of 2.6 million gallons per day (mgd) and conveyed a peak flow of 0.2 mgd when last measured in 2018.
- 2. The expected increase in average wastewater flow from the project site, described in the MND as a total of 107,146 square feet of warehouse use and a total of 5,604 square feet of office use, is 2,136 gallons per day, after the structures on the project site are demolished. For a copy of the Districts' average wastewater generation factors, go to <u>www.lacsd.org</u>, under Services, then Wastewater Program and Permits, select Will Serve Program, and scroll down to click on the <u>Table 1, Loadings for Each Class of Land Use</u> link.
- 3. The wastewater generated by the proposed project will be treated at the Los Coyotes Water Reclamation Plant located in the City of Cerritos, which has a capacity of 37.5 mgd and currently processes an average flow of 23.1 mgd.
- 4. The Districts are empowered by the California Health and Safety Code to charge a fee to connect facilities (directly or indirectly) to the Districts' Sewerage System or to increase the strength or quantity of wastewater discharged from connected facilities. This connection fee is used by the Districts for its capital facilities. Payment of a connection fee may be required before this project is permitted to discharge to the Districts' Sewerage System. For more information and a copy of the Connection Fee Information Sheet, go to <u>www.lacsd.org</u>, under Services, then Wastewater (Sewage) and select Rates & Fees. In determining the impact to the Sewerage System and applicable connection fees, the Districts will determine the user category (e.g. Condominium, Single Family Home, etc.) that best represents the actual or anticipated use of the parcel(s) or facilities on the parcel(s) in the development. For more specific information regarding the connection fee application procedure and fees, the developer should contact the Districts' Wastewater Fee Public Counter at (562) 908-4288, extension 2727.

5. In order for the Districts to conform to the requirements of the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA), the capacities of the Districts' wastewater treatment facilities are based on the regional growth forecast adopted by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). Specific policies included in the development of the SCAG regional growth forecast are incorporated into clean air plans, which are prepared by the South Coast and Antelope Valley Air Quality Management Districts in order to improve air quality in the South Coast and Mojave Desert Air Basins as mandated by the CAA. All expansions of Districts' facilities must be sized and service phased in a manner that will be consistent with the SCAG regional growth forecast for the counties of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, and Imperial. The available capacity of the Districts' treatment facilities will, therefore, be limited to levels associated with the approved growth identified by SCAG. As such, this letter does not constitute a guarantee of wastewater service, but is to advise the developer that the Districts intend to provide this service up to the levels that are legally permitted and to inform the developer of the currently existing capacity and any proposed expansion of the Districts' facilities.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned at (562) 908-4288, extension 2743, or mandyhuffman@lacsd.org.

Very truly yours,

Mandy Huffman

Mandy Huffman Environmental Planner Facilities Planning Department

MNH:mnh

Attachment #6: IS/MND Comment Letter – Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters (6/28/2022) P: (626) 381-9248 F: (626) 389-5414 E: info@mitchtsailaw.com



139 South Hudson Avenue Suite 200 Pasadena, California 91101

# VIA E-MAIL

June 28, 2022 Vince Velasco, Associate Planner City of Santa Fe Springs 11710 Telegraph Road Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Em: <u>vincevelasco@santafesprings.org</u>

# RE: <u>City of Santa Fe Spring's Initial Study / Mitigated Negative</u> <u>Declaration for 12118 Bloomfield Avenue Development Project</u> <u>(SCH No. 2022060167)</u>

Dear Mr. Velasco,

On behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters ("**Southwest Carpenter**" or "**SWRCC**"), my Office is submitting these comments for the City of Santa Fe Spring's ("**City**") Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration ("**IS/MND**") for the 12118 Bloomfield Avenue Development Project ("**Project**").

The Southwest Carpenters is a labor union representing 50,000 union carpenters in six states, including California, and has a strong interest in well ordered land use planning and addressing the environmental impacts of development projects.

Individual members of the Southwest Carpenters live, work and recreate in the City and surrounding communities and would be directly affected by the Project's environmental impacts.

SWRCC expressly reserves the right to supplement these comments at or prior to hearings on the Project, and at any later hearings and proceedings related to this Project. Cal. Gov. Code § 65009(b); Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21177(a); *Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. Bakersfield* (2004) 124 Cal. App. 4th 1184, 1199-1203; see *Galante Vineyards v. Monterey Water Dist.* (1997) 60 Cal. App. 4th 1109, 1121.

SWRCC incorporates by reference all comments raising issues regarding the IS/MND submitted prior to certification of the IS/MND for the Project. *Citizens for Clean Energy v City of Woodland* (2014) 225 Cal. App. 4th 173, 191 (finding that any party who has

objected to the Project's environmental documentation may assert any issue timely raised by other parties).

Moreover, SWRCC requests that the City provide notice for any and all notices referring or related to the Project issued under the California Environmental Quality Act ("**CEQA**"), Cal Public Resources Code ("**PRC**") § 21000 *et seq*, and the California Planning and Zoning Law ("**Planning and Zoning Law**"), Cal. Gov't Code §§ 65000–65010. California Public Resources Code Sections 21092.2, and 21167(f) and Government Code Section 65092 require agencies to mail such notices to any person who has filed a written request for them with the clerk of the agency's governing body.

The City should require the use of a local skilled and trained workforce to benefit the community's economic development and environment. The City should require the use of workers who have graduated from a Joint Labor Management apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California, or have at least as many hours of on-the-job experience in the applicable craft which would be required to graduate from such a state approved apprenticeship training program or who are registered apprentices in an apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California.

Community benefits such as local hire and skilled and trained workforce requirements can also be helpful to reduce environmental impacts and improve the positive economic impact of the Project. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. As environmental consultants Matt Hagemann and Paul E. Rosenfeld note:

[A]ny local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site.

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling.

City of Santa Fe Springs – 12118 Bloomfield Avenue Development Project June 28, 2022 Page 3 of 5

Skilled and trained workforce requirements promote the development of skilled trades that yield sustainable economic development. As the California Workforce Development Board and the UC Berkeley Center for Labor Research and Education concluded:

... labor should be considered an investment rather than a cost – and investments in growing, diversifying, and upskilling California's workforce can positively affect returns on climate mitigation efforts. In other words, well trained workers are key to delivering emissions reductions and moving California closer to its climate targets.<sup>1</sup>

Local skilled and trained workforce requirements and policies have significant environmental benefits since they improve an area's jobs-housing balance, decreasing the amount of and length of job commutes and their associated greenhouse gas emissions. Recently, on May 7, 2021, the South Coast Air Quality Management District found that that the "[u]se of a local state-certified apprenticeship program or a skilled and trained workforce with a local hire component" can result in air pollutant reductions.<sup>2</sup>

Cities are increasingly adopting local skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements into general plans and municipal codes. For example, the City of Hayward 2040 General Plan requires the City to "promote local hiring . . . to help achieve a more positive jobs-housing balance, and reduce regional commuting, gas consumption, and greenhouse gas emissions."<sup>3</sup>

In fact, the City of Hayward has gone as far as to adopt a Skilled Labor Force policy into its Downtown Specific Plan and municipal code, requiring developments in its Downtown area to require that the City "[c]ontribute to the stabilization of regional construction markets by spurring applicants of housing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> California Workforce Development Board (2020) Putting California on the High Road: A Jobs and Climate Action Plan for 2030 at p. ii, *available at* <u>https://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Putting-California-on-the-High-Road.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> South Coast Air Quality Management District (May 7, 2021) Certify Final Environmental Assessment and Adopt Proposed Rule 2305 – Warehouse Indirect Source Rule – Warehouse Actions and Investments to Reduce Emissions Program, and Proposed Rule 316 – Fees for Rule 2305, Submit Rule 2305 for Inclusion Into the SIP, and Approve Supporting Budget Actions, *available at* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> <u>source/Agendas/Governing-Board/2021/2021-May7-027.pdf?sfvrsn=10</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> City of Hayward (2014) Hayward 2040 General Plan Policy Document at p. 3-99, *available at* <u>https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/documents/General Plan FINAL.pdf</u>.

City of Santa Fe Springs – 12118 Bloomfield Avenue Development Project June 28, 2022 Page 4 of 5

and nonresidential developments to require contractors to utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs, . . .<sup>"4</sup> In addition, the City of Hayward requires all projects 30,000 square feet or larger to "utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs."<sup>5</sup>

Locating jobs closer to residential areas can have significant environmental benefits. . As the California Planning Roundtable noted in 2008:

People who live and work in the same jurisdiction would be more likely to take transit, walk, or bicycle to work than residents of less balanced communities and their vehicle trips would be shorter. Benefits would include potential reductions in both vehicle miles traveled and vehicle hours traveled.<sup>6</sup>

In addition, local hire mandates as well as skill training are critical facets of a strategy to reduce vehicle miles traveled. As planning experts Robert Cervero and Michael Duncan noted, simply placing jobs near housing stock is insufficient to achieve VMT reductions since the skill requirements of available local jobs must be matched to those held by local residents.<sup>7</sup> Some municipalities have tied local hire and skilled and trained workforce policies to local development permits to address transportation issues. As Cervero and Duncan note:

In nearly built-out Berkeley, CA, the approach to balancing jobs and housing is to create local jobs rather than to develop new housing." The city's First Source program encourages businesses to hire local residents, especially for entry- and intermediate-level jobs, and sponsors vocational training to ensure residents are employment-ready. While the program is voluntary, some 300 businesses have used it to date, placing more than

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> City of Hayward (2019) Hayward Downtown Specific Plan at p. 5-24, *available at* <u>https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/Hayward%20Downtown%</u> 20Specific%20Plan.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> City of Hayward Municipal Code, Chapter 10, § 28.5.3.020(C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> California Planning Roundtable (2008) Deconstructing Jobs-Housing Balance at p. 6, available at <u>https://cproundtable.org/static/media/uploads/publications/cpr-jobs-housing.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Cervero, Robert and Duncan, Michael (2006) Which Reduces Vehicle Travel More: Jobs-Housing Balance or Retail-Housing Mixing? Journal of the American Planning Association 72 (4), 475-490, 482, *available at* <u>http://reconnectingamerica.org/assets/Uploads/UTCT-825.pdf</u>.

3,000 city residents in local jobs since it was launched in 1986. When needed, these carrots are matched by sticks, since the city is not shy about negotiating corporate participation in First Source as a condition of approval for development permits.

The City should consider utilizing skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements to benefit the local area economically and mitigate greenhouse gas, air quality and transportation impacts.

Sincerely,

Talia Nimmer Attorneys for Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters

Attached:

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling (Exhibit A);

Air Quality and GHG Expert Paul Rosenfeld CV (Exhibit B); and

Air Quality and GHG Expert Matt Hagemann CV (Exhibit C).

# **EXHIBIT A**



2656 29<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 201 Santa Monica, CA 90405

Matt Hagemann, P.G, C.Hg. (949) 887-9013 <u>mhagemann@swape.com</u>

> Paul E. Rosenfeld, PhD (310) 795-2335 prosenfeld@swape.com

March 8, 2021

Mitchell M. Tsai 155 South El Molino, Suite 104 Pasadena, CA 91101

#### Subject: Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling

Dear Mr. Tsai,

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise ("SWAPE") is pleased to provide the following draft technical report explaining the significance of worker trips required for construction of land use development projects with respect to the estimation of greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions. The report will also discuss the potential for local hire requirements to reduce the length of worker trips, and consequently, reduced or mitigate the potential GHG impacts.

#### Worker Trips and Greenhouse Gas Calculations

The California Emissions Estimator Model ("CalEEMod") is a "statewide land use emissions computer model designed to provide a uniform platform for government agencies, land use planners, and environmental professionals to quantify potential criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects."<sup>1</sup> CalEEMod quantifies construction-related emissions associated with land use projects resulting from off-road construction equipment; on-road mobile equipment associated with workers, vendors, and hauling; fugitive dust associated with grading, demolition, truck loading, and on-road vehicles traveling along paved and unpaved roads; and architectural coating activities; and paving.<sup>2</sup>

The number, length, and vehicle class of worker trips are utilized by CalEEMod to calculate emissions associated with the on-road vehicle trips required to transport workers to and from the Project site during construction.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "California Emissions Estimator Model." CAPCOA, 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/home.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "California Emissions Estimator Model." CAPCOA, 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/home.
 <sup>3</sup> "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-

source/caleemod/01\_user-39-s-guide2016-3-2\_15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

Specifically, the number and length of vehicle trips is utilized to estimate the vehicle miles travelled ("VMT") associated with construction. Then, utilizing vehicle-class specific EMFAC 2014 emission factors, CalEEMod calculates the vehicle exhaust, evaporative, and dust emissions resulting from construction-related VMT, including personal vehicles for worker commuting.<sup>4</sup>

Specifically, in order to calculate VMT, CalEEMod multiplies the average daily trip rate by the average overall trip length (see excerpt below):

"VMT<sub>d</sub> =  $\Sigma$ (Average Daily Trip Rate i \* Average Overall Trip Length i) n

Where:

n = Number of land uses being modeled."5

Furthermore, to calculate the on-road emissions associated with worker trips, CalEEMod utilizes the following equation (see excerpt below):

"Emissions<sub>pollutant</sub> = VMT \* EF<sub>running,pollutant</sub>

Where:

Emissions<sub>pollutant</sub> = emissions from vehicle running for each pollutant

VMT = vehicle miles traveled

EF<sub>running,pollutant</sub> = emission factor for running emissions."<sup>6</sup>

Thus, there is a direct relationship between trip length and VMT, as well as a direct relationship between VMT and vehicle running emissions. In other words, when the trip length is increased, the VMT and vehicle running emissions increase as a result. Thus, vehicle running emissions can be reduced by decreasing the average overall trip length, by way of a local hire requirement or otherwise.

## Default Worker Trip Parameters and Potential Local Hire Requirements

As previously discussed, the number, length, and vehicle class of worker trips are utilized by CalEEMod to calculate emissions associated with the on-road vehicle trips required to transport workers to and from the Project site during construction.<sup>7</sup> In order to understand how local hire requirements and associated worker trip length reductions impact GHG emissions calculations, it is important to consider the CalEEMod default worker trip parameters. CalEEMod provides recommended default values based on site-specific information, such as land use type, meteorological data, total lot acreage, project type and typical equipment associated with project type. If more specific project information is known, the user can change the default values and input project-specific values, but the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") requires that such changes be justified by substantial evidence.<sup>8</sup> The default number of construction-related worker trips is calculated by multiplying the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02\_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 14-15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02\_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02\_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> source/caleemod/01 user-39-s-guide2016-3-2 15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> CalEEMod User Guide, *available at:* <u>http://www.caleemod.com/</u>, p. 1, 9.

number of pieces of equipment for all phases by 1.25, with the exception of worker trips required for the building construction and architectural coating phases.<sup>9</sup> Furthermore, the worker trip vehicle class is a 50/25/25 percent mix of light duty autos, light duty truck class 1 and light duty truck class 2, respectively."<sup>10</sup> Finally, the default worker trip length is consistent with the length of the operational home-to-work vehicle trips.<sup>11</sup> The operational home-to-work vehicle trip lengths are:

"[B]ased on the <u>location</u> and <u>urbanization</u> selected on the project characteristic screen. These values were <u>supplied by the air districts or use a default average for the state</u>. Each district (or county) also assigns trip lengths for urban and rural settings" (emphasis added).<sup>12</sup>

Thus, the default worker trip length is based on the location and urbanization level selected by the User when modeling emissions. The below table shows the CalEEMod default rural and urban worker trip lengths by air basin (see excerpt below and Attachment A).<sup>13</sup>

Worker Trip Length by Air Basin				
Air Basin	Rural (miles)	Urban (miles)		
Great Basin Valleys	16.8	10.8		
Lake County	16.8	10.8		
Lake Tahoe	16.8	10.8		
Mojave Desert	16.8	10.8		
Mountain Counties	16.8	10.8		
North Central Coast	17.1	12.3		
North Coast	16.8	10.8		
Northeast Plateau	16.8	10.8		
Sacramento Valley	16.8	10.8		
Salton Sea	14.6	11		
San Diego	16.8	10.8		
San Francisco Bay Area	10.8	10.8		
San Joaquin Valley	16.8	10.8		
South Central Coast	16.8	10.8		
South Coast	19.8	14.7		
Average	16.47	11.17		
Minimum	10.80	10.80		
Maximum	19.80	14.70		
Range	9.00	3.90		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> <u>source/caleemod/01</u> user-39-s-guide2016-3-2 15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at:

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02 appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 15. <sup>11</sup> "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* 

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02 appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at:

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02\_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 21. <sup>13</sup> "Appendix D Default Data Tables." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>source/caleemod/05\_appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4</u>, p. D-84 – D-86.

As demonstrated above, default rural worker trip lengths for air basins in California vary from 10.8- to 19.8miles, with an average of 16.47 miles. Furthermore, default urban worker trip lengths vary from 10.8- to 14.7miles, with an average of 11.17 miles. Thus, while default worker trip lengths vary by location, default urban worker trip lengths tend to be shorter in length. Based on these trends evident in the CalEEMod default worker trip lengths, we can reasonably assume that the efficacy of a local hire requirement is especially dependent upon the urbanization of the project site, as well as the project location.

# Practical Application of a Local Hire Requirement and Associated Impact

To provide an example of the potential impact of a local hire provision on construction-related GHG emissions, we estimated the significance of a local hire provision for the Village South Specific Plan ("Project") located in the City of Claremont ("City"). The Project proposed to construct 1,000 residential units, 100,000-SF of retail space, 45,000-SF of office space, as well as a 50-room hotel, on the 24-acre site. The Project location is classified as Urban and lies within the Los Angeles-South Coast County. As a result, the Project has a default worker trip length of 14.7 miles.<sup>14</sup> In an effort to evaluate the potential for a local hire provision to reduce the Project's construction-related GHG emissions, we prepared an updated model, reducing all worker trip lengths to 10 miles (see Attachment B). Our analysis estimates that if a local hire provision with a 10-mile radius were to be implemented, the GHG emissions associated with Project construction would decrease by approximately 17% (see table below and Attachment C).

Local Hire Provision Net Change							
Without Local Hire Provision							
Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO <sub>2</sub> e)	3,623						
Amortized Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO₂e/year)	120.77						
With Local Hire Provision							
Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e)	3,024						
Amortized Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO <sub>2</sub> e/year)	100.80						
% Decrease in Construction-related GHG Emissions	17%						

As demonstrated above, by implementing a local hire provision requiring 10 mile worker trip lengths, the Project could reduce potential GHG emissions associated with construction worker trips. More broadly, any local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site.

This serves as an example of the potential impacts of local hire requirements on estimated project-level GHG emissions, though it does not indicate that local hire requirements would result in reduced construction-related GHG emission for all projects. As previously described, the significance of a local hire requirement depends on the worker trip length enforced and the default worker trip length for the project's urbanization level and location.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> "Appendix D Default Data Tables." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/05\_appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4</u>, p. D-85.

# Disclaimer

SWAPE has received limited discovery. Additional information may become available in the future; thus, we retain the right to revise or amend this report when additional information becomes available. Our professional services have been performed using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable environmental consultants practicing in this or similar localities at the time of service. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the scope of work, work methodologies and protocols, site conditions, analytical testing results, and findings presented. This report reflects efforts which were limited to information that was reasonably accessible at the time of the work, and may contain informational gaps, inconsistencies, or otherwise be incomplete due to the unavailability or uncertainty of information obtained or provided by third parties.

Sincerely,

MHaran

Matt Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg.

Paul Rosupeld

Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

# Attachment A

Location Type	Location Name	Rural H-W (miles)	Urban H-W (miles)
Air Basin	Great Basin	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	Lake County	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	Lake Tahoe	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	Mojave Desert	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	Mountain	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	North Central	17.1	12.3
Air Basin	North Coast	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	Northeast	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	Sacramento	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	Salton Sea	14.6	11
Air Basin	San Diego	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	San Francisco	10.8	10.8
Air Basin	San Joaquin	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	South Central	16.8	10.8
Air Basin	South Coast	19.8	14.7
Air District	Amador County	16.8	10.8
Air District	Antelope Valley	16.8	10.8
Air District	Bay Area AQMD	10.8	10.8
Air District	Butte County	12.54	12.54
Air District	Calaveras	16.8	10.8
Air District	Colusa County	16.8	10.8
Air District	El Dorado	16.8	10.8
Air District	Feather River	16.8	10.8
Air District	Glenn County	16.8	10.8
Air District	Great Basin	16.8	10.8
Air District	Imperial County	10.2	7.3
Air District	Kern County	16.8	10.8
Air District	Lake County	16.8	10.8
Air District	Lassen County	16.8	10.8
Air District	Mariposa	16.8	10.8
Air District	Mendocino	16.8	10.8
Air District	Modoc County	16.8	10.8
Air District	Mojave Desert	16.8	10.8
Air District	Monterey Bay	16.8	10.8
Air District	North Coast	16.8	10.8
Air District	Northern Sierra	16.8	10.8
Air District	Northern	16.8	10.8
Air District	Placer County	16.8	10.8
Air District	Sacramento	15	10

Air District	San Diego	16.8	10.8
Air District	San Joaquin	16.8	10.8
Air District	San Luis Obispo	13	13
Air District	Santa Barbara	8.3	8.3
Air District	Shasta County	16.8	10.8
Air District	Siskiyou County	16.8	10.8
Air District	South Coast	19.8	14.7
Air District	Tehama County	16.8	10.8
Air District	Tuolumne	16.8	10.8
Air District	Ventura County	16.8	10.8
Air District	Yolo/Solano	15	10
County	Alameda	10.8	10.8
County	Alpine	16.8	10.8
County	Amador	16.8	10.8
County	Butte	12.54	12.54
County	Calaveras	16.8	10.8
County	Colusa	16.8	10.8
County	Contra Costa	10.8	10.8
County	Del Norte	16.8	10.8
County	El Dorado-Lake	16.8	10.8
County	El Dorado-	16.8	10.8
County	Fresno	16.8	10.8
County	Glenn	16.8	10.8
County	Humboldt	16.8	10.8
County	Imperial	10.2	7.3
County	Inyo	16.8	10.8
County	Kern-Mojave	16.8	10.8
County	Kern-San	16.8	10.8
County	Kings	16.8	10.8
County	Lake	16.8	10.8
County	Lassen	16.8	10.8
County	Los Angeles-	16.8	10.8
County	Los Angeles-	19.8	14.7
County	Madera	16.8	10.8
County	Marin	10.8	10.8
County	Mariposa	16.8	10.8
County	Mendocino-	16.8	10.8
County	Mendocino-	16.8	10.8
County	Mendocino-	16.8	10.8
County	Mendocino-	16.8	10.8
County	Merced	16.8	10.8
County	Modoc	16.8	10.8
County	Mono	16.8	10.8
County	Monterey	16.8	10.8
County	Napa	10.8	10.8

County	Nevada	16.8	10.8	
County	Orange	19.8	14.7	
County	Placer-Lake	16.8	10.8	
County	Placer-Mountain	16.8	10.8	
County	Placer-	16.8	10.8	
County	Plumas	16.8	10.8	
County	Riverside-	16.8	10.8	
County	Riverside-	19.8	14.7	
County	<b>Riverside-Salton</b>	14.6	11	
County	<b>Riverside-South</b>	19.8	14.7	
County	Sacramento	15	10	
County	San Benito	16.8	10.8	
County	San Bernardino-	16.8	10.8	
County	San Bernardino-	19.8	14.7	
County	San Diego	16.8	10.8	
County	San Francisco	10.8	10.8	
County	San Joaquin	16.8	10.8	
County	San Luis Obispo	13	13	
County	San Mateo	10.8	10.8	
County	Santa Barbara-	8.3	8.3	
County	Santa Barbara-	8.3	8.3	
County	Santa Clara	10.8	10.8	
County	Santa Cruz	16.8	10.8	
County	Shasta	16.8	10.8	
County	Sierra	16.8	10.8	
County	Siskiyou	16.8	10.8	
County	Solano-	15	10	
County	Solano-San	16.8	10.8	
County	Sonoma-North	16.8	10.8	
County	Sonoma-San	10.8	10.8	
County	Stanislaus	16.8	10.8	
County	Sutter	16.8	10.8	
County	Tehama	16.8	10.8	
County	Trinity	16.8	10.8	
County	Tulare	16.8	10.8	
County	Tuolumne	16.8	10.8	
County	Ventura	16.8	10.8	
County	Yolo	15	10.0	
County	Yuba	16.8	10.8	
Statewide	Statewide	16.8	10.8	
Statewide		10.0	10.0	

Worker Trip Length by Air Basin								
Air Basin	Rural (miles)	Urban (miles)						
Great Basin Valleys	16.8	10.8						
Lake County	16.8	10.8						
Lake Tahoe	16.8	10.8						
Mojave Desert	16.8	10.8						
Mountain Counties	16.8	10.8						
North Central Coast	17.1	12.3						
North Coast	16.8	10.8						
Northeast Plateau	16.8	10.8						
Sacramento Valley	16.8	10.8						
Salton Sea	14.6	11						
San Diego	16.8	10.8						
San Francisco Bay Area	10.8	10.8						
San Joaquin Valley	16.8	10.8						
South Central Coast	16.8	10.8						
South Coast	19.8	14.7						
Average	16.47	11.17						
Mininum	10.80	10.80						
Maximum	19.80	14.70						
Range	9.00	3.90						

Attachment B

Page 1 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# **1.0 Project Characteristics**

# 1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
General Office Building	45.00	1000sqft	1.03	45,000.00	0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	36.00	1000sqft	0.83	36,000.00	0
Hotel	50.00	Room	1.67	72,600.00	0
Quality Restaurant	8.00	1000sqft	0.18	8,000.00	0
Apartments Low Rise	25.00	Dwelling Unit	1.56	25,000.00	72
Apartments Mid Rise	975.00	Dwelling Unit	25.66	975,000.00	2789
Regional Shopping Center	56.00	1000sqft	1.29	56,000.00	0

# **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	33
Climate Zone	9			<b>Operational Year</b>	2028
Utility Company	Southern California Ediso	n			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	702.44	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

# 1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value	
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00	
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00	
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	1.25	0.00	
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	48.75	0.00	
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	7.16	6.17	
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	6.39	3.87	
tblVehicleTrips	cleTrips ST_TR 2.46		1.39	
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	158.37	79.82	
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	3.75	
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	94.36	63.99	
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	49.97	10.74	
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	6.07	6.16	
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.86	4.18	
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	1.05	0.69	
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	131.84	78.27	

tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	3.20		
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	72.16	57.65		
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	25.24	6.39		
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.59	5.83		
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.65	4.13		
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	11.03	6.41		
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	127.15	65.80		
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	3.84		
tblVehicleTrips	VehicleTrips WD_TR 89.95				
tblVehicleTrips	VehicleTrips WD_TR 42.70				
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	1.25	0.00		
tblWoodstoves	bodstoves NumberCatalytic 48.75				
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	1.25	0.00		
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	48.75	0.00		
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00		
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00		
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00		
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00		

# 2.0 Emissions Summary

# 2.1 Overall Construction

# Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	tons/yr										МТ	/yr				
2021	0.1713	1.8242	1.1662	2.4000e- 003	0.4169	0.0817	0.4986	0.1795	0.0754	0.2549	0.0000	213.1969	213.1969	0.0601	0.0000	214.6993
2022	0.6904	4.1142	6.1625	0.0189	1.3058	0.1201	1.4259	0.3460	0.1128	0.4588	0.0000	1,721.682 6	1,721.682 6	0.1294	0.0000	1,724.918 7
2023	0.6148	3.3649	5.6747	0.0178	1.1963	0.0996	1.2959	0.3203	0.0935	0.4138	0.0000	1,627.529 5	1,627.529 5	0.1185	0.0000	1,630.492 5
2024	4.1619	0.1335	0.2810	5.9000e- 004	0.0325	6.4700e- 003	0.0390	8.6300e- 003	6.0400e- 003	0.0147	0.0000	52.9078	52.9078	8.0200e- 003	0.0000	53.1082
Maximum	4.1619	4.1142	6.1625	0.0189	1.3058	0.1201	1.4259	0.3460	0.1128	0.4588	0.0000	1,721.682 6	1,721.682 6	0.1294	0.0000	1,724.918 7

# 2.1 Overall Construction

# Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Year		tons/yr MT/yr																
2021	0.1713	1.8242	1.1662	2.4000e- 003	0.4169	0.0817	0.4986	0.1795	0.0754	0.2549	0.0000	213.1967	213.1967	0.0601	0.0000	214.6991		
2022	0.6904	4.1142	6.1625	0.0189	1.3058	0.1201	1.4259	0.3460	0.1128	0.4588	0.0000	1,721.682 3	1,721.682 3	0.1294	0.0000	1,724.918 3		
2023	0.6148	3.3648	5.6747	0.0178	1.1963	0.0996	1.2959	0.3203	0.0935	0.4138	0.0000	1,627.529 1	1,627.529 1	0.1185	0.0000	1,630.492 1		
2024	4.1619	0.1335	0.2810	5.9000e- 004	0.0325	6.4700e- 003	0.0390	8.6300e- 003	6.0400e- 003	0.0147	0.0000	52.9077	52.9077	8.0200e- 003	0.0000	53.1082		
Maximum	4.1619	4.1142	6.1625	0.0189	1.3058	0.1201	1.4259	0.3460	0.1128	0.4588	0.0000	1,721.682 3	1,721.682 3	0.1294	0.0000	1,724.918 3		
	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e		
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Quarter	Sta	art Date	Enc	d Date	Maxim	um Unmitig	ated ROG +	NOX (tons/	quarter)	Maxi	mum Mitigat	ed ROG + N	OX (tons/qu	iarter)				
1	9-	1-2021	11-3	0-2021			1.4103					1.4103						
2	12-1-2021 2-28-2022			2 12-1-2021		3-2022			1.3613					1.3613				
3	3-	1-2022	5-31	1-2022			1.1985					1.1985						
4	4 6-1-2022		6-1-2022 8-31-2022		1.1921 1.1921						<b>2</b> 1.1921 1.1921				1.1921			
5	9-	1-2022	11-3	0-2022	1.1918 1.1918					1.1918		1.1918						
6	12	-1-2022	2-28	3-2023	1.0774 1.0774													
7	3-	1-2023	5-31	1-2023	1.0320							1.0320						
8	6-	1-2023	8-31	1-2023	1.0260					1.0260								

9	9-1-2023	11-30-2023	1.0265	1.0265
10	12-1-2023	2-29-2024	2.8857	2.8857
11	3-1-2024	5-31-2024	1.6207	1.6207
		Highest	2.8857	2.8857

# 2.2 Overall Operational

# Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Area	5.1437	0.2950	10.3804	1.6700e- 003		0.0714	0.0714		0.0714	0.0714	0.0000	220.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835
Energy	0.1398	1.2312	0.7770	7.6200e- 003		0.0966	0.0966		0.0966	0.0966	0.0000	3,896.073 2	3,896.073 2	0.1303	0.0468	3,913.283 3
Mobile	1.5857	7.9962	19.1834	0.0821	7.7979	0.0580	7.8559	2.0895	0.0539	2.1434	0.0000	7,620.498 6	7,620.498 6	0.3407	0.0000	7,629.016 2
Waste	,					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	207.8079	0.0000	207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354
Water	,					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	29.1632	556.6420	585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567
Total	6.8692	9.5223	30.3407	0.0914	7.7979	0.2260	8.0240	2.0895	0.2219	2.3114	236.9712	12,294.18 07	12,531.15 19	15.7904	0.1260	12,963.47 51

Page 7 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# 2.2 Overall Operational

# Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SC		itive /10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugiti PM2		aust 12.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio-	CO2 NE	Bio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category						tons	s/yr									M.	Г/yr		
Area	5.1437	0.2950	10.38	04 1.67 00	00e- )3		0.0714	0.0714		0.0	714	0.0714	0.0	000 2:	20.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835
Energy	0.1398	1.2312	0.777	0 7.62 00			0.0966	0.0966		0.0	966	0.0966	0.0	000 3,	896.073 2	3,896.073 2	0.1303	0.0468	3,913.283 3
Mobile	1.5857	7.9962	19.18	34 0.0	821 7.7	979	0.0580	7.8559	2.08	95 0.0	539	2.1434	0.0	000 7,	620.498 6	7,620.498 6	0.3407	0.0000	7,629.016 2
Waste	6,						0.0000	0.0000		0.0	000	0.0000	207.	3079	0.0000	207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354
Water	,						0.0000	0.0000		0.0	000	0.0000	29.1	632 5	56.6420	585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567
Total	6.8692	9.5223	30.34	07 0.0	914 7.7	979	0.2260	8.0240	2.08	95 0.2	219	2.3114	236.	9712 12	2,294.18 07	12,531.15 19	15.7904	0.1260	12,963.47 51
	ROG		NOx	со	SO2	Fugit PM			/10 otal	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhau PM2		2.5 otal	Bio- CO	2 NBio-	CO2 Total	CO2 C	H4 N	120 CO26
Percent Reduction	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0 0.	.00 0	.00	0.00	0.0	0 0.	00	0.00	0.0	0 0.0	0 00	.00 0	.00 0.00

# 3.0 Construction Detail

**Construction Phase** 

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	9/1/2021	10/12/2021	5	30	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	10/13/2021	11/9/2021	5	20	
3	Grading	Grading	11/10/2021	1/11/2022	5	45	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	1/12/2022	12/12/2023	5	500	
5	Paving	Paving	12/13/2023	1/30/2024	5	35	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	1/31/2024	3/19/2024	5	35	

#### Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	458.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	801.00	143.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	160.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

# **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0496	0.0000	0.0496	7.5100e- 003	0.0000	7.5100e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0475	0.4716	0.3235	5.8000e- 004		0.0233	0.0233		0.0216	0.0216	0.0000	51.0012	51.0012	0.0144	0.0000	51.3601
Total	0.0475	0.4716	0.3235	5.8000e- 004	0.0496	0.0233	0.0729	7.5100e- 003	0.0216	0.0291	0.0000	51.0012	51.0012	0.0144	0.0000	51.3601

# 3.2 Demolition - 2021

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	1.9300e- 003	0.0634	0.0148	1.8000e- 004	3.9400e- 003	1.9000e- 004	4.1300e- 003	1.0800e- 003	1.8000e- 004	1.2600e- 003	0.0000	17.4566	17.4566	1.2100e- 003	0.0000	17.4869
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	9.7000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	8.5100e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.4700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.4900e- 003	6.5000e- 004	2.0000e- 005	6.7000e- 004	0.0000	2.2251	2.2251	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.2267
Total	2.9000e- 003	0.0641	0.0233	2.0000e- 004	6.4100e- 003	2.1000e- 004	6.6200e- 003	1.7300e- 003	2.0000e- 004	1.9300e- 003	0.0000	19.6816	19.6816	1.2800e- 003	0.0000	19.7136

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0496	0.0000	0.0496	7.5100e- 003	0.0000	7.5100e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0475	0.4716	0.3235	5.8000e- 004		0.0233	0.0233		0.0216	0.0216	0.0000	51.0011	51.0011	0.0144	0.0000	51.3600
Total	0.0475	0.4716	0.3235	5.8000e- 004	0.0496	0.0233	0.0729	7.5100e- 003	0.0216	0.0291	0.0000	51.0011	51.0011	0.0144	0.0000	51.3600

# 3.2 Demolition - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	1.9300e- 003	0.0634	0.0148	1.8000e- 004	3.9400e- 003	1.9000e- 004	4.1300e- 003	1.0800e- 003	1.8000e- 004	1.2600e- 003	0.0000	17.4566	17.4566	1.2100e- 003	0.0000	17.4869
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	9.7000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	8.5100e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.4700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.4900e- 003	6.5000e- 004	2.0000e- 005	6.7000e- 004	0.0000	2.2251	2.2251	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.2267
Total	2.9000e- 003	0.0641	0.0233	2.0000e- 004	6.4100e- 003	2.1000e- 004	6.6200e- 003	1.7300e- 003	2.0000e- 004	1.9300e- 003	0.0000	19.6816	19.6816	1.2800e- 003	0.0000	19.7136

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.1807	0.0000	0.1807	0.0993	0.0000	0.0993	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0389	0.4050	0.2115	3.8000e- 004		0.0204	0.0204		0.0188	0.0188	0.0000	33.4357	33.4357	0.0108	0.0000	33.7061
Total	0.0389	0.4050	0.2115	3.8000e- 004	0.1807	0.0204	0.2011	0.0993	0.0188	0.1181	0.0000	33.4357	33.4357	0.0108	0.0000	33.7061

# 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.7000e- 004	6.0000e- 004	6.8100e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.9700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.9900e- 003	5.2000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.7801	1.7801	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7814
Total	7.7000e- 004	6.0000e- 004	6.8100e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.9700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.9900e- 003	5.2000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.7801	1.7801	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7814

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	∵/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.1807	0.0000	0.1807	0.0993	0.0000	0.0993	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0389	0.4050	0.2115	3.8000e- 004		0.0204	0.0204		0.0188	0.0188	0.0000	33.4357	33.4357	0.0108	0.0000	33.7060
Total	0.0389	0.4050	0.2115	3.8000e- 004	0.1807	0.0204	0.2011	0.0993	0.0188	0.1181	0.0000	33.4357	33.4357	0.0108	0.0000	33.7060

# 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

# Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.7000e- 004	6.0000e- 004	6.8100e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.9700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.9900e- 003	5.2000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.7801	1.7801	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7814
Total	7.7000e- 004	6.0000e- 004	6.8100e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.9700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.9900e- 003	5.2000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.7801	1.7801	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7814

3.4 Grading - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.1741	0.0000	0.1741	0.0693	0.0000	0.0693	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0796	0.8816	0.5867	1.1800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377		0.0347	0.0347	0.0000	103.5405	103.5405	0.0335	0.0000	104.3776
Total	0.0796	0.8816	0.5867	1.1800e- 003	0.1741	0.0377	0.2118	0.0693	0.0347	0.1040	0.0000	103.5405	103.5405	0.0335	0.0000	104.3776

# 3.4 Grading - 2021

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.6400e- 003	1.2700e- 003	0.0144	4.0000e- 005	4.1600e- 003	3.0000e- 005	4.2000e- 003	1.1100e- 003	3.0000e- 005	1.1400e- 003	0.0000	3.7579	3.7579	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	3.7607
Total	1.6400e- 003	1.2700e- 003	0.0144	4.0000e- 005	4.1600e- 003	3.0000e- 005	4.2000e- 003	1.1100e- 003	3.0000e- 005	1.1400e- 003	0.0000	3.7579	3.7579	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	3.7607

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	∵/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.1741	0.0000	0.1741	0.0693	0.0000	0.0693	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0796	0.8816	0.5867	1.1800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377		0.0347	0.0347	0.0000	103.5403	103.5403	0.0335	0.0000	104.3775
Total	0.0796	0.8816	0.5867	1.1800e- 003	0.1741	0.0377	0.2118	0.0693	0.0347	0.1040	0.0000	103.5403	103.5403	0.0335	0.0000	104.3775

Page 16 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# 3.4 Grading - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.6400e- 003	1.2700e- 003	0.0144	4.0000e- 005	4.1600e- 003	3.0000e- 005	4.2000e- 003	1.1100e- 003	3.0000e- 005	1.1400e- 003	0.0000	3.7579	3.7579	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	3.7607
Total	1.6400e- 003	1.2700e- 003	0.0144	4.0000e- 005	4.1600e- 003	3.0000e- 005	4.2000e- 003	1.1100e- 003	3.0000e- 005	1.1400e- 003	0.0000	3.7579	3.7579	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	3.7607

3.4 Grading - 2022

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	∵/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0807	0.0000	0.0807	0.0180	0.0000	0.0180	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0127	0.1360	0.1017	2.2000e- 004		5.7200e- 003	5.7200e- 003		5.2600e- 003	5.2600e- 003	0.0000	19.0871	19.0871	6.1700e- 003	0.0000	19.2414
Total	0.0127	0.1360	0.1017	2.2000e- 004	0.0807	5.7200e- 003	0.0865	0.0180	5.2600e- 003	0.0233	0.0000	19.0871	19.0871	6.1700e- 003	0.0000	19.2414

Page 17 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# 3.4 Grading - 2022

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.8000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	2.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	7.7000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	7.7000e- 004	2.0000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.1000e- 004	0.0000	0.6679	0.6679	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6684
Total	2.8000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	2.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	7.7000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	7.7000e- 004	2.0000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.1000e- 004	0.0000	0.6679	0.6679	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6684

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0807	0.0000	0.0807	0.0180	0.0000	0.0180	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0127	0.1360	0.1017	2.2000e- 004		5.7200e- 003	5.7200e- 003		5.2600e- 003	5.2600e- 003	0.0000	19.0871	19.0871	6.1700e- 003	0.0000	19.2414
Total	0.0127	0.1360	0.1017	2.2000e- 004	0.0807	5.7200e- 003	0.0865	0.0180	5.2600e- 003	0.0233	0.0000	19.0871	19.0871	6.1700e- 003	0.0000	19.2414

Page 18 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# 3.4 Grading - 2022

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr		<u>.</u>					MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.8000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	2.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	7.7000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	7.7000e- 004	2.0000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.1000e- 004	0.0000	0.6679	0.6679	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6684
Total	2.8000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	2.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	7.7000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	7.7000e- 004	2.0000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.1000e- 004	0.0000	0.6679	0.6679	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6684

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
	0.2158	1.9754	2.0700	3.4100e- 003		0.1023	0.1023		0.0963	0.0963	0.0000	293.1324	293.1324	0.0702	0.0000	294.8881
Total	0.2158	1.9754	2.0700	3.4100e- 003		0.1023	0.1023		0.0963	0.0963	0.0000	293.1324	293.1324	0.0702	0.0000	294.8881

# 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0527	1.6961	0.4580	4.5500e- 003	0.1140	3.1800e- 003	0.1171	0.0329	3.0400e- 003	0.0359	0.0000	441.9835	441.9835	0.0264	0.0000	442.6435
Worker	0.4088	0.3066	3.5305	0.0107	1.1103	8.8700e- 003	1.1192	0.2949	8.1700e- 003	0.3031	0.0000	966.8117	966.8117	0.0266	0.0000	967.4773
Total	0.4616	2.0027	3.9885	0.0152	1.2243	0.0121	1.2363	0.3278	0.0112	0.3390	0.0000	1,408.795 2	1,408.795 2	0.0530	0.0000	1,410.120 8

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	0.2158	1.9754	2.0700	3.4100e- 003		0.1023	0.1023		0.0963	0.0963	0.0000	293.1321	293.1321	0.0702	0.0000	294.8877
Total	0.2158	1.9754	2.0700	3.4100e- 003		0.1023	0.1023		0.0963	0.0963	0.0000	293.1321	293.1321	0.0702	0.0000	294.8877

# 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

# Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0527	1.6961	0.4580	4.5500e- 003	0.1140	3.1800e- 003	0.1171	0.0329	3.0400e- 003	0.0359	0.0000	441.9835	441.9835	0.0264	0.0000	442.6435
Worker	0.4088	0.3066	3.5305	0.0107	1.1103	8.8700e- 003	1.1192	0.2949	8.1700e- 003	0.3031	0.0000	966.8117	966.8117	0.0266	0.0000	967.4773
Total	0.4616	2.0027	3.9885	0.0152	1.2243	0.0121	1.2363	0.3278	0.0112	0.3390	0.0000	1,408.795 2	1,408.795 2	0.0530	0.0000	1,410.120 8

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	0.1942	1.7765	2.0061	3.3300e- 003		0.0864	0.0864		0.0813	0.0813	0.0000	286.2789	286.2789	0.0681	0.0000	287.9814
Total	0.1942	1.7765	2.0061	3.3300e- 003		0.0864	0.0864		0.0813	0.0813	0.0000	286.2789	286.2789	0.0681	0.0000	287.9814

# 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr					МТ	/yr				
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0382	1.2511	0.4011	4.3000e- 003	0.1113	1.4600e- 003	0.1127	0.0321	1.4000e- 003	0.0335	0.0000	417.9930	417.9930	0.0228	0.0000	418.5624
Worker	0.3753	0.2708	3.1696	0.0101	1.0840	8.4100e- 003	1.0924	0.2879	7.7400e- 003	0.2957	0.0000	909.3439	909.3439	0.0234	0.0000	909.9291
Total	0.4135	1.5218	3.5707	0.0144	1.1953	9.8700e- 003	1.2051	0.3200	9.1400e- 003	0.3292	0.0000	1,327.336 9	1,327.336 9	0.0462	0.0000	1,328.491 6

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	0.1942	1.7765	2.0061	3.3300e- 003		0.0864	0.0864	1 1 1	0.0813	0.0813	0.0000	286.2785	286.2785	0.0681	0.0000	287.9811
Total	0.1942	1.7765	2.0061	3.3300e- 003		0.0864	0.0864		0.0813	0.0813	0.0000	286.2785	286.2785	0.0681	0.0000	287.9811

# 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

# Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0382	1.2511	0.4011	4.3000e- 003	0.1113	1.4600e- 003	0.1127	0.0321	1.4000e- 003	0.0335	0.0000	417.9930	417.9930	0.0228	0.0000	418.5624
Worker	0.3753	0.2708	3.1696	0.0101	1.0840	8.4100e- 003	1.0924	0.2879	7.7400e- 003	0.2957	0.0000	909.3439	909.3439	0.0234	0.0000	909.9291
Total	0.4135	1.5218	3.5707	0.0144	1.1953	9.8700e- 003	1.2051	0.3200	9.1400e- 003	0.3292	0.0000	1,327.336 9	1,327.336 9	0.0462	0.0000	1,328.491 6

3.6 Paving - 2023

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	6.7100e- 003	0.0663	0.0948	1.5000e- 004		3.3200e- 003	3.3200e- 003		3.0500e- 003	3.0500e- 003	0.0000	13.0175	13.0175	4.2100e- 003	0.0000	13.1227
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	6.7100e- 003	0.0663	0.0948	1.5000e- 004		3.3200e- 003	3.3200e- 003		3.0500e- 003	3.0500e- 003	0.0000	13.0175	13.0175	4.2100e- 003	0.0000	13.1227

# 3.6 Paving - 2023

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	3.7000e- 004	2.7000e- 004	3.1200e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.0700e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.0800e- 003	2.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.8963	0.8963	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.8968
Total	3.7000e- 004	2.7000e- 004	3.1200e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.0700e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.0800e- 003	2.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.8963	0.8963	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.8968

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	7/yr		
Off-Road	6.7100e- 003	0.0663	0.0948	1.5000e- 004		3.3200e- 003	3.3200e- 003		3.0500e- 003	3.0500e- 003	0.0000	13.0175	13.0175	4.2100e- 003	0.0000	13.1227
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	6.7100e- 003	0.0663	0.0948	1.5000e- 004		3.3200e- 003	3.3200e- 003		3.0500e- 003	3.0500e- 003	0.0000	13.0175	13.0175	4.2100e- 003	0.0000	13.1227

# 3.6 Paving - 2023

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	3.7000e- 004	2.7000e- 004	3.1200e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.0700e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.0800e- 003	2.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.8963	0.8963	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.8968
Total	3.7000e- 004	2.7000e- 004	3.1200e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.0700e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.0800e- 003	2.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.8963	0.8963	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.8968

3.6 Paving - 2024

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0109	0.1048	0.1609	2.5000e- 004		5.1500e- 003	5.1500e- 003		4.7400e- 003	4.7400e- 003	0.0000	22.0292	22.0292	7.1200e- 003	0.0000	22.2073
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0109	0.1048	0.1609	2.5000e- 004		5.1500e- 003	5.1500e- 003		4.7400e- 003	4.7400e- 003	0.0000	22.0292	22.0292	7.1200e- 003	0.0000	22.2073

# 3.6 Paving - 2024

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	5.9000e- 004	4.1000e- 004	4.9200e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.8100e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.8200e- 003	4.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	4.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.4697	1.4697	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.4706
Total	5.9000e- 004	4.1000e- 004	4.9200e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.8100e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.8200e- 003	4.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	4.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.4697	1.4697	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.4706

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0109	0.1048	0.1609	2.5000e- 004		5.1500e- 003	5.1500e- 003		4.7400e- 003	4.7400e- 003	0.0000	22.0292	22.0292	7.1200e- 003	0.0000	22.2073
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0109	0.1048	0.1609	2.5000e- 004		5.1500e- 003	5.1500e- 003		4.7400e- 003	4.7400e- 003	0.0000	22.0292	22.0292	7.1200e- 003	0.0000	22.2073

# 3.6 Paving - 2024

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	5.9000e- 004	4.1000e- 004	4.9200e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.8100e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.8200e- 003	4.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	4.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.4697	1.4697	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.4706
Total	5.9000e- 004	4.1000e- 004	4.9200e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.8100e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.8200e- 003	4.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	4.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.4697	1.4697	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.4706

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
, a church counting	4.1372					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
1 .	3.1600e- 003	0.0213	0.0317	5.0000e- 005		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003	0.0000	4.4682	4.4682	2.5000e- 004	0.0000	4.4745
Total	4.1404	0.0213	0.0317	5.0000e- 005		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003	0.0000	4.4682	4.4682	2.5000e- 004	0.0000	4.4745

# 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton				МТ	/yr						
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0101	6.9900e- 003	0.0835	2.8000e- 004	0.0307	2.3000e- 004	0.0309	8.1500e- 003	2.2000e- 004	8.3700e- 003	0.0000	24.9407	24.9407	6.1000e- 004	0.0000	24.9558
Total	0.0101	6.9900e- 003	0.0835	2.8000e- 004	0.0307	2.3000e- 004	0.0309	8.1500e- 003	2.2000e- 004	8.3700e- 003	0.0000	24.9407	24.9407	6.1000e- 004	0.0000	24.9558

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Archit. Coating	4.1372					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	3.1600e- 003	0.0213	0.0317	5.0000e- 005		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003	0.0000	4.4682	4.4682	2.5000e- 004	0.0000	4.4745
Total	4.1404	0.0213	0.0317	5.0000e- 005		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003	0.0000	4.4682	4.4682	2.5000e- 004	0.0000	4.4745

# 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

# Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		tons/yr											MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0101	6.9900e- 003	0.0835	2.8000e- 004	0.0307	2.3000e- 004	0.0309	8.1500e- 003	2.2000e- 004	8.3700e- 003	0.0000	24.9407	24.9407	6.1000e- 004	0.0000	24.9558
Total	0.0101	6.9900e- 003	0.0835	2.8000e- 004	0.0307	2.3000e- 004	0.0309	8.1500e- 003	2.2000e- 004	8.3700e- 003	0.0000	24.9407	24.9407	6.1000e- 004	0.0000	24.9558

# 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Mitigated	1.5857	7.9962	19.1834	0.0821	7.7979	0.0580	7.8559	2.0895	0.0539	2.1434	0.0000	7,620.498 6	7,620.498 6	0.3407	0.0000	7,629.016 2
Unmitigated	1.5857	7.9962	19.1834	0.0821	7.7979	0.0580	7.8559	2.0895	0.0539	2.1434	0.0000	7,620.498 6	7,620.498 6	0.3407	0.0000	7,629.016 2

# 4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Apartments Low Rise	145.75	154.25	154.00	506,227	506,227
Apartments Mid Rise	4,026.75	3,773.25	4075.50	13,660,065	13,660,065
General Office Building	288.45	62.55	31.05	706,812	706,812
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	2,368.80	2,873.52	2817.72	3,413,937	3,413,937
Hotel	192.00	187.50	160.00	445,703	445,703
Quality Restaurant	501.12	511.92	461.20	707,488	707,488
Regional Shopping Center	528.08	601.44	357.84	1,112,221	1,112,221
Total	8,050.95	8,164.43	8,057.31	20,552,452	20,552,452

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %		Trip Purpose %				
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by		
Apartments Low Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3		
Apartments Mid Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3		
General Office Building	16.60	8.40	6.90	33.00	48.00	19.00	77	19	4		
High Turnover (Sit Down	16.60	8.40	6.90	8.50	72.50	19.00	37	20	43		
Hotel	16.60	8.40	6.90	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4		
Quality Restaurant	16.60	8.40	6.90	12.00	69.00	19.00	38	18	44		
Regional Shopping Center	16.60	8.40	6.90	16.30	64.70	19.00	54	35	11		

#### 4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
Apartments Low Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Apartments Mid Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
General Office Building	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Hotel	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Quality Restaurant	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Regional Shopping Center	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821

# 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2,512.646 5	2,512.646 5	0.1037	0.0215	2,521.635 6
Electricity Unmitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2,512.646 5	2,512.646 5	0.1037	0.0215	2,521.635 6
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.1398	1.2312	0.7770	7.6200e- 003	,	0.0966	0.0966	,	0.0966	0.0966	0.0000	1,383.426 7	1,383.426 7	0.0265	0.0254	1,391.647 8
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.1398	1.2312	0.7770	7.6200e- 003		0.0966	0.0966		0.0966	0.0966	0.0000	1,383.426 7	1,383.426 7	0.0265	0.0254	1,391.647 8

#### 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Apartments Low Rise	408494	2.2000e- 003	0.0188	8.0100e- 003	1.2000e- 004		1.5200e- 003	1.5200e- 003		1.5200e- 003	1.5200e- 003	0.0000	21.7988	21.7988	4.2000e- 004	4.0000e- 004	21.9284
Apartments Mid Rise	1.30613e +007	0.0704	0.6018	0.2561	3.8400e- 003		0.0487	0.0487		0.0487	0.0487	0.0000	696.9989	696.9989	0.0134	0.0128	701.1408
General Office Building	468450	2.5300e- 003	0.0230	0.0193	1.4000e- 004		1.7500e- 003	1.7500e- 003		1.7500e- 003	1.7500e- 003	0.0000	24.9983	24.9983	4.8000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	25.1468
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.0448	0.4072	0.3421	2.4400e- 003		0.0310	0.0310		0.0310	0.0310	0.0000	443.3124	443.3124	8.5000e- 003	8.1300e- 003	445.9468
Hotel	1.74095e +006	9.3900e- 003	0.0853	0.0717	5.1000e- 004		6.4900e- 003	6.4900e- 003		6.4900e- 003	6.4900e- 003	0.0000	92.9036	92.9036	1.7800e- 003	1.7000e- 003	93.4557
Quality Restaurant	1.84608e +006	9.9500e- 003	0.0905	0.0760	5.4000e- 004		6.8800e- 003	6.8800e- 003		6.8800e- 003	6.8800e- 003	0.0000	98.5139	98.5139	1.8900e- 003	1.8100e- 003	99.0993
Regional Shopping Center	31040 i	5.0000e- 004	4.5000e- 003	3.7800e- 003	3.0000e- 005		3.4000e- 004	3.4000e- 004		3.4000e- 004	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	4.9009	4.9009	9.0000e- 005	9.0000e- 005	4.9301
Total		0.1398	1.2312	0.7770	7.6200e- 003		0.0966	0.0966		0.0966	0.0966	0.0000	1,383.426 8	1,383.426 8	0.0265	0.0254	1,391.647 8

#### 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

## Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr			-				MT	ſ/yr		
Apartments Low Rise	408494	2.2000e- 003	0.0188	8.0100e- 003	1.2000e- 004		1.5200e- 003	1.5200e- 003		1.5200e- 003	1.5200e- 003	0.0000	21.7988	21.7988	4.2000e- 004	4.0000e- 004	21.9284
Apartments Mid Rise	1.30613e +007	0.0704	0.6018	0.2561	3.8400e- 003		0.0487	0.0487		0.0487	0.0487	0.0000	696.9989	696.9989	0.0134	0.0128	701.1408
General Office Building	468450	2.5300e- 003	0.0230	0.0193	1.4000e- 004		1.7500e- 003	1.7500e- 003		1.7500e- 003	1.7500e- 003	0.0000	24.9983	24.9983	4.8000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	25.1468
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.0448	0.4072	0.3421	2.4400e- 003		0.0310	0.0310		0.0310	0.0310	0.0000	443.3124	443.3124	8.5000e- 003	8.1300e- 003	445.9468
Hotel	1.74095e +006	9.3900e- 003	0.0853	0.0717	5.1000e- 004		6.4900e- 003	6.4900e- 003		6.4900e- 003	6.4900e- 003	0.0000	92.9036	92.9036	1.7800e- 003	1.7000e- 003	93.4557
Quality Restaurant	1.84608e +006	9.9500e- 003	0.0905	0.0760	5.4000e- 004		6.8800e- 003	6.8800e- 003		6.8800e- 003	6.8800e- 003	0.0000	98.5139	98.5139	1.8900e- 003	1.8100e- 003	99.0993
Regional Shopping Center	91840	5.0000e- 004	4.5000e- 003	3.7800e- 003	3.0000e- 005		3.4000e- 004	3.4000e- 004		3.4000e- 004	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	4.9009	4.9009	9.0000e- 005	9.0000e- 005	4.9301
Total		0.1398	1.2312	0.7770	7.6200e- 003		0.0966	0.0966		0.0966	0.0966	0.0000	1,383.426 8	1,383.426 8	0.0265	0.0254	1,391.647 8

Page 34 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## 5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		МТ	7/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	106010	33.7770	1.3900e- 003	2.9000e- 004	33.8978
Apartments Mid Rise	3.94697e +006	1,257.587 9	0.0519	0.0107	1,262.086 9
General Office Building	584550	186.2502	7.6900e- 003	1.5900e- 003	186.9165
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		506.3022	0.0209	4.3200e- 003	508.1135
Hotel	550308	175.3399	7.2400e- 003	1.5000e- 003	175.9672
Quality Restaurant	353120	112.5116	4.6500e- 003	9.6000e- 004	112.9141
Regional Shopping Center	756000	240.8778	9.9400e- 003	2.0600e- 003	241.7395
Total		2,512.646 5	0.1037	0.0215	2,521.635 6

Page 35 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## 5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

## Mitigated

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		МТ	/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	106010	33.7770	1.3900e- 003	2.9000e- 004	33.8978
Apartments Mid Rise	3.94697e +006	1,257.587 9	0.0519	0.0107	1,262.086 9
General Office Building	584550	186.2502	7.6900e- 003	1.5900e- 003	186.9165
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		506.3022	0.0209	4.3200e- 003	508.1135
Hotel	550308	175.3399	7.2400e- 003	1.5000e- 003	175.9672
Quality Restaurant	353120	112.5116	4.6500e- 003	9.6000e- 004	112.9141
Regional Shopping Center	756000	240.8778	9.9400e- 003	2.0600e- 003	241.7395
Total		2,512.646 5	0.1037	0.0215	2,521.635 6

# 6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Page 36 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Mitigated	5.1437	0.2950	10.3804	1.6700e- 003		0.0714	0.0714		0.0714	0.0714	0.0000	220.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835
Unmitigated	5.1437	0.2950	10.3804	1.6700e- 003		0.0714	0.0714		0.0714	0.0714	0.0000	220.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835

## 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### <u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.4137					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	4.3998					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hearth	0.0206	0.1763	0.0750	1.1200e- 003		0.0143	0.0143		0.0143	0.0143	0.0000	204.1166	204.1166	3.9100e- 003	3.7400e- 003	205.3295
Landscaping	0.3096	0.1187	10.3054	5.4000e- 004		0.0572	0.0572		0.0572	0.0572	0.0000	16.8504	16.8504	0.0161	0.0000	17.2540
Total	5.1437	0.2950	10.3804	1.6600e- 003		0.0714	0.0714		0.0714	0.0714	0.0000	220.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835

#### 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.4137		1 1 1		1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	4.3998					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hearth	0.0206	0.1763	0.0750	1.1200e- 003		0.0143	0.0143		0.0143	0.0143	0.0000	204.1166	204.1166	3.9100e- 003	3.7400e- 003	205.3295
Landscaping	0.3096	0.1187	10.3054	5.4000e- 004		0.0572	0.0572		0.0572	0.0572	0.0000	16.8504	16.8504	0.0161	0.0000	17.2540
Total	5.1437	0.2950	10.3804	1.6600e- 003		0.0714	0.0714		0.0714	0.0714	0.0000	220.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835

# 7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Page 38 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		МТ	/yr	
	585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567
- Guine	585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567

Page 39 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 7.2 Water by Land Use

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		MT	/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	1.62885 / 1.02688	10.9095	0.0535	1.3400e- 003	12.6471
Apartments Mid Rise	63.5252 / 40.0485	425.4719	2.0867	0.0523	493.2363
General Office Building	7.99802 / 4.90201	53.0719	0.2627	6.5900e- 003	61.6019
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)			0.3580	8.8200e- 003	62.8482
Hotel	1.26834 / 0.140927		0.0416	1.0300e- 003	7.5079
	2.42827 / 0.154996		0.0796	1.9600e- 003	13.9663
Regional Shopping Center	4.14806 / 2.54236	27.5250	0.1363	3.4200e- 003	31.9490
Total		585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567

Page 40 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 7.2 Water by Land Use

#### Mitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		МТ	/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	1.62885 / 1.02688	10.9095	0.0535	1.3400e- 003	12.6471
Apartments Mid Rise	63.5252 / 40.0485	425.4719	2.0867	0.0523	493.2363
General Office Building	7.99802 / 4.90201	53.0719	0.2627	6.5900e- 003	61.6019
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	10.9272 / 0.697482	51.2702	0.3580	8.8200e- 003	62.8482
Hotel	1.26834 / 0.140927		0.0416	1.0300e- 003	7.5079
	2.42827 / 0.154996		0.0796	1.9600e- 003	13.9663
Regional Shopping Center	4.14806 / 2.54236	27.5250	0.1363	3.4200e- 003	31.9490
Total		585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567

# 8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Page 41 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
		MT	7/yr	
Initigation	207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354
- g	207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354

Page 42 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 8.2 Waste by Land Use

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		МТ	/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	11.5	2.3344	0.1380	0.0000	5.7834
Apartments Mid Rise	448.5	91.0415	5.3804	0.0000	225.5513
General Office Building	41.85	8.4952	0.5021	0.0000	21.0464
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		86.9613	5.1393	0.0000	215.4430
Hotel	27.38	5.5579	0.3285	0.0000	13.7694
Quality Restaurant	7.3	1.4818	0.0876	0.0000	3.6712
Regional Shopping Center	58.8	11.9359	0.7054	0.0000	29.5706
Total		207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354

Page 43 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 8.2 Waste by Land Use

#### Mitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		МТ	/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	11.5	2.3344	0.1380	0.0000	5.7834
Apartments Mid Rise	448.5	91.0415	5.3804	0.0000	225.5513
General Office Building	41.85	8.4952	0.5021	0.0000	21.0464
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		86.9613	5.1393	0.0000	215.4430
Hotel	27.38	5.5579	0.3285	0.0000	13.7694
Quality Restaurant	7.3	1.4818	0.0876	0.0000	3.6712
Regional Shopping Center	58.8	11.9359	0.7054	0.0000	29.5706
Total		207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354

# 9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

## **10.0 Stationary Equipment**

#### Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	-----------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------

Page 44 of 44

## Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### **Boilers**

Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type
User Defined Equipment					
Equipment Type	Number				

# 11.0 Vegetation

# Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

## **1.0 Project Characteristics**

#### 1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
General Office Building	45.00	1000sqft	1.03	45,000.00	0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	36.00	1000sqft	0.83	36,000.00	0
Hotel	50.00	Room	1.67	72,600.00	0
Quality Restaurant	8.00	1000sqft	0.18	8,000.00	0
Apartments Low Rise	25.00	Dwelling Unit	1.56	25,000.00	72
Apartments Mid Rise	975.00	Dwelling Unit	25.66	975,000.00	2789
Regional Shopping Center	56.00	1000sqft	1.29	56,000.00	0

#### **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	33
Climate Zone	9			<b>Operational Year</b>	2028
Utility Company	Southern California Ediso	n			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	702.44	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

#### 1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	1.25	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	48.75	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	7.16	6.17
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	6.39	3.87
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	2.46	1.39
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	158.37	79.82
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	3.75
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	94.36	63.99
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	49.97	10.74
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	6.07	6.16
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.86	4.18
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	1.05	0.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	131.84	78.27

tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	3.20
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	72.16	57.65
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	25.24	6.39
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.59	5.83
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.65	4.13
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	11.03	6.41
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	127.15	65.80
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	3.84
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	89.95	62.64
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	42.70	9.43
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	1.25	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	48.75	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	1.25	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	48.75	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00

# 2.0 Emissions Summary

#### 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

**Unmitigated Construction** 

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Year		lb/day										lb/day					
2021	4.2769	46.4588	31.6840	0.0643	18.2675	2.0461	20.3135	9.9840	1.8824	11.8664	0.0000	6,234.797 4	6,234.797 4	1.9495	0.0000	6,283.535 2	
2022	5.3304	38.8967	49.5629	0.1517	9.8688	1.6366	10.7727	3.6558	1.5057	5.1615	0.0000	15,251.56 74	15,251.56 74	1.9503	0.0000	15,278.52 88	
2023	4.8957	26.3317	46.7567	0.1472	9.8688	0.7794	10.6482	2.6381	0.7322	3.3702	0.0000	14,807.52 69	14,807.52 69	1.0250	0.0000	14,833.15 21	
2024	237.1630	9.5575	15.1043	0.0244	1.7884	0.4698	1.8628	0.4743	0.4322	0.5476	0.0000	2,361.398 9	2,361.398 9	0.7177	0.0000	2,379.342 1	
Maximum	237.1630	46.4588	49.5629	0.1517	18.2675	2.0461	20.3135	9.9840	1.8824	11.8664	0.0000	15,251.56 74	15,251.56 74	1.9503	0.0000	15,278.52 88	

## 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Year		lb/day										lb/day					
2021	4.2769	46.4588	31.6840	0.0643	18.2675	2.0461	20.3135	9.9840	1.8824	11.8664	0.0000	6,234.797 4	6,234.797 4	1.9495	0.0000	6,283.535 2	
2022	5.3304	38.8967	49.5629	0.1517	9.8688	1.6366	10.7727	3.6558	1.5057	5.1615	0.0000	15,251.56 74	15,251.56 74	1.9503	0.0000	15,278.52 88	
2023	4.8957	26.3317	46.7567	0.1472	9.8688	0.7794	10.6482	2.6381	0.7322	3.3702	0.0000	14,807.52 69	14,807.52 69	1.0250	0.0000	14,833.15 20	
2024	237.1630	9.5575	15.1043	0.0244	1.7884	0.4698	1.8628	0.4743	0.4322	0.5476	0.0000	2,361.398 9	2,361.398 9	0.7177	0.0000	2,379.342 1	
Maximum	237.1630	46.4588	49.5629	0.1517	18.2675	2.0461	20.3135	9.9840	1.8824	11.8664	0.0000	15,251.56 74	15,251.56 74	1.9503	0.0000	15,278.52 88	
	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10 Total	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e	

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

#### 2.2 Overall Operational

#### Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
Area	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Energy	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
Mobile	9.8489	45.4304	114.8495	0.4917	45.9592	0.3360	46.2951	12.2950	0.3119	12.6070		50,306.60 34	50,306.60 34	2.1807		50,361.12 08
Total	41.1168	67.2262	207.5497	0.6278	45.9592	2.4626	48.4217	12.2950	2.4385	14.7336	0.0000	76,811.18 16	76,811.18 16	2.8282	0.4832	77,025.87 86

#### Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Area	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Energy	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
Mobile	9.8489	45.4304	114.8495	0.4917	45.9592	0.3360	46.2951	12.2950	0.3119	12.6070		50,306.60 34	50,306.60 34	2.1807		50,361.12 08
Total	41.1168	67.2262	207.5497	0.6278	45.9592	2.4626	48.4217	12.2950	2.4385	14.7336	0.0000	76,811.18 16	76,811.18 16	2.8282	0.4832	77,025.87 86

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

## **3.0 Construction Detail**

#### **Construction Phase**

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	9/1/2021	10/12/2021	5	30	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	10/13/2021	11/9/2021	5	20	
3	Grading	Grading	11/10/2021	1/11/2022	5	45	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	1/12/2022	12/12/2023	5	500	
5	Paving	Paving	12/13/2023	1/30/2024	5	35	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	1/31/2024	3/19/2024	5	35	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	458.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	801.00	143.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	160.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

#### **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

#### Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					3.3074	0.0000	3.3074	0.5008	0.0000	0.5008			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388		1.5513	1.5513		1.4411	1.4411		3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4
Total	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388	3.3074	1.5513	4.8588	0.5008	1.4411	1.9419		3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4

## 3.2 Demolition - 2021

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.1273	4.0952	0.9602	0.0119	0.2669	0.0126	0.2795	0.0732	0.0120	0.0852		1,292.241 3	1,292.241 3	0.0877		1,294.433 7
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0643	0.0442	0.6042	1.7100e- 003	0.1677	1.3500e- 003	0.1690	0.0445	1.2500e- 003	0.0457		170.8155	170.8155	5.0300e- 003		170.9413
Total	0.1916	4.1394	1.5644	0.0136	0.4346	0.0139	0.4485	0.1176	0.0133	0.1309		1,463.056 8	1,463.056 8	0.0927		1,465.375 0

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					3.3074	0.0000	3.3074	0.5008	0.0000	0.5008			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388		1.5513	1.5513		1.4411	1.4411	0.0000	3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4
Total	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388	3.3074	1.5513	4.8588	0.5008	1.4411	1.9419	0.0000	3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.1273	4.0952	0.9602	0.0119	0.2669	0.0126	0.2795	0.0732	0.0120	0.0852		1,292.241 3	1,292.241 3	0.0877		1,294.433 7
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0643	0.0442	0.6042	1.7100e- 003	0.1677	1.3500e- 003	0.1690	0.0445	1.2500e- 003	0.0457		170.8155	170.8155	5.0300e- 003		170.9413
Total	0.1916	4.1394	1.5644	0.0136	0.4346	0.0139	0.4485	0.1176	0.0133	0.1309		1,463.056 8	1,463.056 8	0.0927		1,465.375 0

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380		2.0445	2.0445		1.8809	1.8809		3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3
Total	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380	18.0663	2.0445	20.1107	9.9307	1.8809	11.8116		3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3

#### 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0772	0.0530	0.7250	2.0600e- 003	0.2012	1.6300e- 003	0.2028	0.0534	1.5000e- 003	0.0549		204.9786	204.9786	6.0400e- 003		205.1296
Total	0.0772	0.0530	0.7250	2.0600e- 003	0.2012	1.6300e- 003	0.2028	0.0534	1.5000e- 003	0.0549		204.9786	204.9786	6.0400e- 003		205.1296

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380		2.0445	2.0445		1.8809	1.8809	0.0000	3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3
Total	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380	18.0663	2.0445	20.1107	9.9307	1.8809	11.8116	0.0000	3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3

#### 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0772	0.0530	0.7250	2.0600e- 003	0.2012	1.6300e- 003	0.2028	0.0534	1.5000e- 003	0.0549		204.9786	204.9786	6.0400e- 003		205.1296
Total	0.0772	0.0530	0.7250	2.0600e- 003	0.2012	1.6300e- 003	0.2028	0.0534	1.5000e- 003	0.0549		204.9786	204.9786	6.0400e- 003		205.1296

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620		1.9853	1.9853		1.8265	1.8265		6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4
Total	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620	8.6733	1.9853	10.6587	3.5965	1.8265	5.4230		6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4

## 3.4 Grading - 2021

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0857	0.0589	0.8056	2.2900e- 003	0.2236	1.8100e- 003	0.2254	0.0593	1.6600e- 003	0.0610		227.7540	227.7540	6.7100e- 003		227.9217
Total	0.0857	0.0589	0.8056	2.2900e- 003	0.2236	1.8100e- 003	0.2254	0.0593	1.6600e- 003	0.0610		227.7540	227.7540	6.7100e- 003		227.9217

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620		1.9853	1.9853		1.8265	1.8265	0.0000	6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4
Total	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620	8.6733	1.9853	10.6587	3.5965	1.8265	5.4230	0.0000	6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4

## 3.4 Grading - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0857	0.0589	0.8056	2.2900e- 003	0.2236	1.8100e- 003	0.2254	0.0593	1.6600e- 003	0.0610		227.7540	227.7540	6.7100e- 003		227.9217
Total	0.0857	0.0589	0.8056	2.2900e- 003	0.2236	1.8100e- 003	0.2254	0.0593	1.6600e- 003	0.0610		227.7540	227.7540	6.7100e- 003		227.9217

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/d	day		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041		6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	8.6733	1.6349	10.3082	3.5965	1.5041	5.1006		6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8

## 3.4 Grading - 2022

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0803	0.0532	0.7432	2.2100e- 003	0.2236	1.7500e- 003	0.2253	0.0593	1.6100e- 003	0.0609		219.7425	219.7425	6.0600e- 003		219.8941
Total	0.0803	0.0532	0.7432	2.2100e- 003	0.2236	1.7500e- 003	0.2253	0.0593	1.6100e- 003	0.0609		219.7425	219.7425	6.0600e- 003		219.8941

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041	0.0000	6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	8.6733	1.6349	10.3082	3.5965	1.5041	5.1006	0.0000	6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8

## 3.4 Grading - 2022

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0803	0.0532	0.7432	2.2100e- 003	0.2236	1.7500e- 003	0.2253	0.0593	1.6100e- 003	0.0609		219.7425	219.7425	6.0600e- 003		219.8941
Total	0.0803	0.0532	0.7432	2.2100e- 003	0.2236	1.7500e- 003	0.2253	0.0593	1.6100e- 003	0.0609		219.7425	219.7425	6.0600e- 003		219.8941

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612	-	2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612		2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4079	13.2032	3.4341	0.0364	0.9155	0.0248	0.9404	0.2636	0.0237	0.2873		3,896.548 2	3,896.548 2	0.2236		3,902.138 4
Worker	3.2162	2.1318	29.7654	0.0883	8.9533	0.0701	9.0234	2.3745	0.0646	2.4390		8,800.685 7	8,800.685 7	0.2429		8,806.758 2
Total	3.6242	15.3350	33.1995	0.1247	9.8688	0.0949	9.9637	2.6381	0.0883	2.7263		12,697.23 39	12,697.23 39	0.4665		12,708.89 66

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090	1 1 1	0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4079	13.2032	3.4341	0.0364	0.9155	0.0248	0.9404	0.2636	0.0237	0.2873		3,896.548 2	3,896.548 2	0.2236		3,902.138 4
Worker	3.2162	2.1318	29.7654	0.0883	8.9533	0.0701	9.0234	2.3745	0.0646	2.4390		8,800.685 7	8,800.685 7	0.2429		8,806.758 2
Total	3.6242	15.3350	33.1995	0.1247	9.8688	0.0949	9.9637	2.6381	0.0883	2.7263		12,697.23 39	12,697.23 39	0.4665		12,708.89 66

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997	1 1 1	0.6584	0.6584		2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584		2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e				lb/c	day						
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.3027	10.0181	3.1014	0.0352	0.9156	0.0116	0.9271	0.2636	0.0111	0.2747		3,773.876 2	3,773.876 2	0.1982		3,778.830 0
Worker	3.0203	1.9287	27.4113	0.0851	8.9533	0.0681	9.0214	2.3745	0.0627	2.4372		8,478.440 8	8,478.440 8	0.2190		8,483.916 0
Total	3.3229	11.9468	30.5127	0.1203	9.8688	0.0797	9.9485	2.6381	0.0738	2.7118		12,252.31 70	12,252.31 70	0.4172		12,262.74 60

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Off-Road	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997	- 	0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.3027	10.0181	3.1014	0.0352	0.9156	0.0116	0.9271	0.2636	0.0111	0.2747		3,773.876 2	3,773.876 2	0.1982		3,778.830 0
Worker	3.0203	1.9287	27.4113	0.0851	8.9533	0.0681	9.0214	2.3745	0.0627	2.4372		8,478.440 8	8,478.440 8	0.2190		8,483.916 0
Total	3.3229	11.9468	30.5127	0.1203	9.8688	0.0797	9.9485	2.6381	0.0738	2.7118		12,252.31 70	12,252.31 70	0.4172		12,262.74 60

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Off-Road	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694		2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694		2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6

## 3.6 Paving - 2023

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0566	0.0361	0.5133	1.5900e- 003	0.1677	1.2800e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1700e- 003	0.0456		158.7723	158.7723	4.1000e- 003		158.8748
Total	0.0566	0.0361	0.5133	1.5900e- 003	0.1677	1.2800e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1700e- 003	0.0456		158.7723	158.7723	4.1000e- 003		158.8748

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694	0.0000	2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694	0.0000	2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6

# 3.6 Paving - 2023

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0566	0.0361	0.5133	1.5900e- 003	0.1677	1.2800e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1700e- 003	0.0456		158.7723	158.7723	4.1000e- 003		158.8748
Total	0.0566	0.0361	0.5133	1.5900e- 003	0.1677	1.2800e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1700e- 003	0.0456		158.7723	158.7723	4.1000e- 003		158.8748

3.6 Paving - 2024

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3

# 3.6 Paving - 2024

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0535	0.0329	0.4785	1.5400e- 003	0.1677	1.2600e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1600e- 003	0.0456		153.8517	153.8517	3.7600e- 003		153.9458
Total	0.0535	0.0329	0.4785	1.5400e- 003	0.1677	1.2600e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1600e- 003	0.0456		153.8517	153.8517	3.7600e- 003		153.9458

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3

# 3.6 Paving - 2024

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		<u>.</u>			lb/o	day		<u>.</u>					lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0535	0.0329	0.4785	1.5400e- 003	0.1677	1.2600e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1600e- 003	0.0456		153.8517	153.8517	3.7600e- 003		153.9458
Total	0.0535	0.0329	0.4785	1.5400e- 003	0.1677	1.2600e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1600e- 003	0.0456		153.8517	153.8517	3.7600e- 003		153.9458

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	236.4115					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	236.5923	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

#### 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.5707	0.3513	5.1044	0.0165	1.7884	0.0134	1.8018	0.4743	0.0123	0.4866		1,641.085 2	1,641.085 2	0.0401		1,642.088 6
Total	0.5707	0.3513	5.1044	0.0165	1.7884	0.0134	1.8018	0.4743	0.0123	0.4866		1,641.085 2	1,641.085 2	0.0401		1,642.088 6

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	236.4115					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	236.5923	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

#### 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.5707	0.3513	5.1044	0.0165	1.7884	0.0134	1.8018	0.4743	0.0123	0.4866		1,641.085 2	1,641.085 2	0.0401		1,642.088 6
Total	0.5707	0.3513	5.1044	0.0165	1.7884	0.0134	1.8018	0.4743	0.0123	0.4866		1,641.085 2	1,641.085 2	0.0401		1,642.088 6

## 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	lay							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	9.8489	45.4304	114.8495	0.4917	45.9592	0.3360	46.2951	12.2950	0.3119	12.6070		50,306.60 34	50,306.60 34	2.1807		50,361.12 08
Unmitigated	9.8489	45.4304	114.8495	0.4917	45.9592	0.3360	46.2951	12.2950	0.3119	12.6070		50,306.60 34	50,306.60 34	2.1807	<b></b>	50,361.12 08

#### 4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Apartments Low Rise	145.75	154.25	154.00	506,227	506,227
Apartments Mid Rise	4,026.75	3,773.25	4075.50	13,660,065	13,660,065
General Office Building	288.45	62.55	31.05	706,812	706,812
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	2,368.80	2,873.52	2817.72	3,413,937	3,413,937
Hotel	192.00	187.50	160.00	445,703	445,703
Quality Restaurant	501.12	511.92	461.20	707,488	707,488
Regional Shopping Center	528.08	601.44	357.84	1,112,221	1,112,221
Total	8,050.95	8,164.43	8,057.31	20,552,452	20,552,452

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Apartments Low Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3
Apartments Mid Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3
General Office Building	16.60	8.40	6.90	33.00	48.00	19.00	77	19	4
High Turnover (Sit Down	16.60	8.40	6.90	8.50	72.50	19.00	37	20	43
Hotel	16.60	8.40	6.90	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Quality Restaurant	16.60	8.40	6.90	12.00	69.00	19.00	38	18	44
Regional Shopping Center	16.60	8.40	6.90	16.30	64.70	19.00	54	35	11

#### 4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
Apartments Low Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Apartments Mid Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
General Office Building	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Hotel	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Quality Restaurant	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Regional Shopping Center	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821

# 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
NaturalGas Unmitigated		6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7

#### 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
Apartments Low Rise	1119.16	0.0121	0.1031	0.0439	6.6000e- 004		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		131.6662	131.6662	2.5200e- 003	2.4100e- 003	132.4486
Apartments Mid Rise	35784.3	0.3859	3.2978	1.4033	0.0211		0.2666	0.2666		0.2666	0.2666		4,209.916 4	4,209.916 4	0.0807	0.0772	4,234.933 9
General Office Building	1283.42	0.0138	0.1258	0.1057	7.5000e- 004		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		150.9911	150.9911	2.8900e- 003	2.7700e- 003	151.8884
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.2455	2.2314	1.8743	0.0134		0.1696	0.1696		0.1696	0.1696		2,677.634 2	2,677.634 2	0.0513	0.0491	2,693.546 0
Hotel	4769.72	0.0514	0.4676	0.3928	2.8100e- 003		0.0355	0.0355	,	0.0355	0.0355		561.1436	561.1436	0.0108	0.0103	564.4782
Quality Restaurant	5057.75	0.0545	0.4959	0.4165	2.9800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377	1	0.0377	0.0377		595.0298	595.0298	0.0114	0.0109	598.5658
Regional Shopping Center		2.7100e- 003	0.0247	0.0207	1.5000e- 004		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003	1	1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		29.6019	29.6019	5.7000e- 004	5.4000e- 004	29.7778
Total		0.7660	6.7463	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7

#### 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

## Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Apartments Low Rise	1.11916	0.0121	0.1031	0.0439	6.6000e- 004		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		131.6662	131.6662	2.5200e- 003	2.4100e- 003	132.4486
Apartments Mid Rise	35.7843	0.3859	3.2978	1.4033	0.0211		0.2666	0.2666		0.2666	0.2666		4,209.916 4	4,209.916 4	0.0807	0.0772	4,234.933 9
General Office Building	1.28342	0.0138	0.1258	0.1057	7.5000e- 004		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		150.9911	150.9911	2.8900e- 003	2.7700e- 003	151.8884
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.2455	2.2314	1.8743	0.0134		0.1696	0.1696		0.1696	0.1696		2,677.634 2	2,677.634 2	0.0513	0.0491	2,693.546 0
Hotel	4.76972	0.0514	0.4676	0.3928	2.8100e- 003		0.0355	0.0355		0.0355	0.0355		561.1436	561.1436	0.0108	0.0103	564.4782
Quality Restaurant	5.05775	0.0545	0.4959	0.4165	2.9800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377	,	0.0377	0.0377		595.0298	595.0298	0.0114	0.0109	598.5658
Regional Shopping Center		2.7100e- 003	0.0247	0.0207	1.5000e- 004		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003	,	1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		29.6019	29.6019	5.7000e- 004	5.4000e- 004	29.7778
Total		0.7660	6.7463	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7

# 6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Unmitigated	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

# 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### <u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Architectural Coating	2.2670					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	24.1085					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	1.6500	14.1000	6.0000	0.0900		1.1400	1.1400		1.1400	1.1400	0.0000	18,000.00 00	18,000.00 00	0.3450	0.3300	18,106.96 50
Landscaping	2.4766	0.9496	82.4430	4.3600e- 003		0.4574	0.4574		0.4574	0.4574		148.5950	148.5950	0.1424		152.1542
Total	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

#### 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### **Mitigated**

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/o	day							lb/c	day		
Architectural Coating	2.2670					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	24.1085					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	1.6500	14.1000	6.0000	0.0900		1.1400	1.1400		1.1400	1.1400	0.0000	18,000.00 00	18,000.00 00	0.3450	0.3300	18,106.96 50
Landscaping	2.4766	0.9496	82.4430	4.3600e- 003		0.4574	0.4574		0.4574	0.4574		148.5950	148.5950	0.1424		152.1542
Total	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

# 7.0 Water Detail

#### 7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

## 8.0 Waste Detail

#### 8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

## 9.0 Operational Offroad

# **10.0 Stationary Equipment**

#### Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
<u>Boilers</u>						
Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type	
User Defined Equipment						
Equipment Type	Number					

# Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

## **1.0 Project Characteristics**

#### 1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
General Office Building	45.00	1000sqft	1.03	45,000.00	0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	36.00	1000sqft	0.83	36,000.00	0
Hotel	50.00	Room	1.67	72,600.00	0
Quality Restaurant	8.00	1000sqft	0.18	8,000.00	0
Apartments Low Rise	25.00	Dwelling Unit	1.56	25,000.00	72
Apartments Mid Rise	975.00	Dwelling Unit	25.66	975,000.00	2789
Regional Shopping Center	56.00	1000sqft	1.29	56,000.00	0

#### **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	33
Climate Zone	9			<b>Operational Year</b>	2028
Utility Company	Southern California Ediso	n			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	702.44	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

## 1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	1.25	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	48.75	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	7.16	6.17
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	6.39	3.87
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	2.46	1.39
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	158.37	79.82
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	3.75
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	94.36	63.99
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	49.97	10.74
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	6.07	6.16
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.86	4.18
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	1.05	0.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	131.84	78.27

tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	3.20
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	72.16	57.65
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	25.24	6.39
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.59	5.83
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.65	4.13
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	11.03	6.41
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	127.15	65.80
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	3.84
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	89.95	62.64
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	42.70	9.43
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	1.25	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	48.75	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	1.25	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	48.75	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00

# 2.0 Emissions Summary

#### 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

**Unmitigated Construction** 

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
2021	4.2865	46.4651	31.6150	0.0642	18.2675	2.0461	20.3135	9.9840	1.8824	11.8664	0.0000	6,221.493 7	6,221.493 7	1.9491	0.0000	6,270.221 4
2022	5.7218	38.9024	47.3319	0.1455	9.8688	1.6366	10.7736	3.6558	1.5057	5.1615	0.0000	14,630.30 99	14,630.30 99	1.9499	0.0000	14,657.26 63
2023	5.2705	26.4914	44.5936	0.1413	9.8688	0.7800	10.6488	2.6381	0.7328	3.3708	0.0000	14,210.34 24	14,210.34 24	1.0230	0.0000	14,235.91 60
2024	237.2328	9.5610	15.0611	0.0243	1.7884	0.4698	1.8628	0.4743	0.4322	0.5476	0.0000	2,352.417 8	2,352.417 8	0.7175	0.0000	2,370.355 0
Maximum	237.2328	46.4651	47.3319	0.1455	18.2675	2.0461	20.3135	9.9840	1.8824	11.8664	0.0000	14,630.30 99	14,630.30 99	1.9499	0.0000	14,657.26 63

#### 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/	/day							lb/	day		
2021	4.2865	46.4651	31.6150	0.0642	18.2675	2.0461	20.3135	9.9840	1.8824	11.8664	0.0000	6,221.493 7	6,221.493 7	1.9491	0.0000	6,270.221 4
2022	5.7218	38.9024	47.3319	0.1455	9.8688	1.6366	10.7736	3.6558	1.5057	5.1615	0.0000	14,630.30 99	14,630.30 99	1.9499	0.0000	14,657.26 63
2023	5.2705	26.4914	44.5936	0.1413	9.8688	0.7800	10.6488	2.6381	0.7328	3.3708	0.0000	14,210.34 24	14,210.34 24	1.0230	0.0000	14,235.91 60
2024	237.2328	9.5610	15.0611	0.0243	1.7884	0.4698	1.8628	0.4743	0.4322	0.5476	0.0000	2,352.417 8	2,352.417 8	0.7175	0.0000	2,370.355 0
Maximum	237.2328	46.4651	47.3319	0.1455	18.2675	2.0461	20.3135	9.9840	1.8824	11.8664	0.0000	14,630.30 99	14,630.30 99	1.9499	0.0000	14,657.26 63
	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2 5	Exhaust PM2 5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 2.2 Overall Operational

## Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Area	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Energy	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
Mobile	9.5233	45.9914	110.0422	0.4681	45.9592	0.3373	46.2965	12.2950	0.3132	12.6083		47,917.80 05	47,917.80 05	2.1953		47,972.68 39
Total	40.7912	67.7872	202.7424	0.6043	45.9592	2.4640	48.4231	12.2950	2.4399	14.7349	0.0000	74,422.37 87	74,422.37 87	2.8429	0.4832	74,637.44 17

#### Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Area	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Energy	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
Mobile	9.5233	45.9914	110.0422	0.4681	45.9592	0.3373	46.2965	12.2950	0.3132	12.6083		47,917.80 05	47,917.80 05	2.1953		47,972.68 39
Total	40.7912	67.7872	202.7424	0.6043	45.9592	2.4640	48.4231	12.2950	2.4399	14.7349	0.0000	74,422.37 87	74,422.37 87	2.8429	0.4832	74,637.44 17

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

## **3.0 Construction Detail**

#### **Construction Phase**

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	9/1/2021	10/12/2021	5	30	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	10/13/2021	11/9/2021	5	20	
3	Grading	Grading	11/10/2021	1/11/2022	5	45	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	1/12/2022	12/12/2023	5	500	
5	Paving	Paving	12/13/2023	1/30/2024	5	35	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	1/31/2024	3/19/2024	5	35	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1 1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	458.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	801.00	143.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	160.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

#### **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					3.3074	0.0000	3.3074	0.5008	0.0000	0.5008			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388		1.5513	1.5513		1.4411	1.4411		3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4
Total	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388	3.3074	1.5513	4.8588	0.5008	1.4411	1.9419		3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.1304	4.1454	1.0182	0.0117	0.2669	0.0128	0.2797	0.0732	0.0122	0.0854		1,269.855 5	1,269.855 5	0.0908		1,272.125 2
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0715	0.0489	0.5524	1.6100e- 003	0.1677	1.3500e- 003	0.1690	0.0445	1.2500e- 003	0.0457		160.8377	160.8377	4.7300e- 003		160.9560
Total	0.2019	4.1943	1.5706	0.0133	0.4346	0.0141	0.4487	0.1176	0.0135	0.1311		1,430.693 2	1,430.693 2	0.0955		1,433.081 2

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					3.3074	0.0000	3.3074	0.5008	0.0000	0.5008			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388		1.5513	1.5513		1.4411	1.4411	0.0000	3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4
Total	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388	3.3074	1.5513	4.8588	0.5008	1.4411	1.9419	0.0000	3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.1304	4.1454	1.0182	0.0117	0.2669	0.0128	0.2797	0.0732	0.0122	0.0854		1,269.855 5	1,269.855 5	0.0908		1,272.125 2
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0715	0.0489	0.5524	1.6100e- 003	0.1677	1.3500e- 003	0.1690	0.0445	1.2500e- 003	0.0457		160.8377	160.8377	4.7300e- 003		160.9560
Total	0.2019	4.1943	1.5706	0.0133	0.4346	0.0141	0.4487	0.1176	0.0135	0.1311		1,430.693 2	1,430.693 2	0.0955		1,433.081 2

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380		2.0445	2.0445		1.8809	1.8809		3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3
Total	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380	18.0663	2.0445	20.1107	9.9307	1.8809	11.8116		3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3

#### 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0858	0.0587	0.6629	1.9400e- 003	0.2012	1.6300e- 003	0.2028	0.0534	1.5000e- 003	0.0549		193.0052	193.0052	5.6800e- 003		193.1472
Total	0.0858	0.0587	0.6629	1.9400e- 003	0.2012	1.6300e- 003	0.2028	0.0534	1.5000e- 003	0.0549		193.0052	193.0052	5.6800e- 003		193.1472

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380		2.0445	2.0445		1.8809	1.8809	0.0000	3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3
Total	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380	18.0663	2.0445	20.1107	9.9307	1.8809	11.8116	0.0000	3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3

#### 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0858	0.0587	0.6629	1.9400e- 003	0.2012	1.6300e- 003	0.2028	0.0534	1.5000e- 003	0.0549		193.0052	193.0052	5.6800e- 003		193.1472
Total	0.0858	0.0587	0.6629	1.9400e- 003	0.2012	1.6300e- 003	0.2028	0.0534	1.5000e- 003	0.0549		193.0052	193.0052	5.6800e- 003		193.1472

3.4 Grading - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620		1.9853	1.9853		1.8265	1.8265		6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4
Total	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620	8.6733	1.9853	10.6587	3.5965	1.8265	5.4230		6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4

# 3.4 Grading - 2021

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0954	0.0652	0.7365	2.1500e- 003	0.2236	1.8100e- 003	0.2254	0.0593	1.6600e- 003	0.0610		214.4502	214.4502	6.3100e- 003		214.6080
Total	0.0954	0.0652	0.7365	2.1500e- 003	0.2236	1.8100e- 003	0.2254	0.0593	1.6600e- 003	0.0610		214.4502	214.4502	6.3100e- 003		214.6080

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620		1.9853	1.9853		1.8265	1.8265	0.0000	6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4
Total	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620	8.6733	1.9853	10.6587	3.5965	1.8265	5.4230	0.0000	6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4

# 3.4 Grading - 2021

# Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0954	0.0652	0.7365	2.1500e- 003	0.2236	1.8100e- 003	0.2254	0.0593	1.6600e- 003	0.0610		214.4502	214.4502	6.3100e- 003		214.6080
Total	0.0954	0.0652	0.7365	2.1500e- 003	0.2236	1.8100e- 003	0.2254	0.0593	1.6600e- 003	0.0610		214.4502	214.4502	6.3100e- 003		214.6080

3.4 Grading - 2022

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041		6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	8.6733	1.6349	10.3082	3.5965	1.5041	5.1006		6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8

# 3.4 Grading - 2022

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0896	0.0589	0.6784	2.0800e- 003	0.2236	1.7500e- 003	0.2253	0.0593	1.6100e- 003	0.0609		206.9139	206.9139	5.7000e- 003		207.0563
Total	0.0896	0.0589	0.6784	2.0800e- 003	0.2236	1.7500e- 003	0.2253	0.0593	1.6100e- 003	0.0609		206.9139	206.9139	5.7000e- 003		207.0563

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041	0.0000	6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	8.6733	1.6349	10.3082	3.5965	1.5041	5.1006	0.0000	6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8

Page 17 of 35

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

# 3.4 Grading - 2022

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0896	0.0589	0.6784	2.0800e- 003	0.2236	1.7500e- 003	0.2253	0.0593	1.6100e- 003	0.0609		206.9139	206.9139	5.7000e- 003		207.0563
Total	0.0896	0.0589	0.6784	2.0800e- 003	0.2236	1.7500e- 003	0.2253	0.0593	1.6100e- 003	0.0609		206.9139	206.9139	5.7000e- 003		207.0563

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090	1 1 1	0.7612	0.7612		2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612		2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4284	13.1673	3.8005	0.0354	0.9155	0.0256	0.9412	0.2636	0.0245	0.2881		3,789.075 0	3,789.075 0	0.2381		3,795.028 3
Worker	3.5872	2.3593	27.1680	0.0832	8.9533	0.0701	9.0234	2.3745	0.0646	2.4390		8,286.901 3	8,286.901 3	0.2282		8,292.605 8
Total	4.0156	15.5266	30.9685	0.1186	9.8688	0.0957	9.9645	2.6381	0.0891	2.7271		12,075.97 63	12,075.97 63	0.4663		12,087.63 41

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090	1 1 1	0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4284	13.1673	3.8005	0.0354	0.9155	0.0256	0.9412	0.2636	0.0245	0.2881		3,789.075 0	3,789.075 0	0.2381		3,795.028 3
Worker	3.5872	2.3593	27.1680	0.0832	8.9533	0.0701	9.0234	2.3745	0.0646	2.4390		8,286.901 3	8,286.901 3	0.2282		8,292.605 8
Total	4.0156	15.5266	30.9685	0.1186	9.8688	0.0957	9.9645	2.6381	0.0891	2.7271		12,075.97 63	12,075.97 63	0.4663		12,087.63 41

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997	1 1 1	0.6584	0.6584		2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584		2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.3183	9.9726	3.3771	0.0343	0.9156	0.0122	0.9277	0.2636	0.0116	0.2752		3,671.400 7	3,671.400 7	0.2096		3,676.641 7
Worker	3.3795	2.1338	24.9725	0.0801	8.9533	0.0681	9.0214	2.3745	0.0627	2.4372		7,983.731 8	7,983.731 8	0.2055		7,988.868 3
Total	3.6978	12.1065	28.3496	0.1144	9.8688	0.0803	9.9491	2.6381	0.0743	2.7124		11,655.13 25	11,655.13 25	0.4151		11,665.50 99

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Off-Road	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997	1 1 1	0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.3183	9.9726	3.3771	0.0343	0.9156	0.0122	0.9277	0.2636	0.0116	0.2752		3,671.400 7	3,671.400 7	0.2096		3,676.641 7
Worker	3.3795	2.1338	24.9725	0.0801	8.9533	0.0681	9.0214	2.3745	0.0627	2.4372		7,983.731 8	7,983.731 8	0.2055		7,988.868 3
Total	3.6978	12.1065	28.3496	0.1144	9.8688	0.0803	9.9491	2.6381	0.0743	2.7124		11,655.13 25	11,655.13 25	0.4151		11,665.50 99

3.6 Paving - 2023

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694		2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694		2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6

# 3.6 Paving - 2023

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0633	0.0400	0.4677	1.5000e- 003	0.1677	1.2800e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1700e- 003	0.0456		149.5081	149.5081	3.8500e- 003		149.6043
Total	0.0633	0.0400	0.4677	1.5000e- 003	0.1677	1.2800e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1700e- 003	0.0456		149.5081	149.5081	3.8500e- 003		149.6043

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day									lb/day						
Off-Road	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694	0.0000	2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694	0.0000	2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6

# 3.6 Paving - 2023

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Category	lb/day										lb/day						
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	
Worker	0.0633	0.0400	0.4677	1.5000e- 003	0.1677	1.2800e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1700e- 003	0.0456		149.5081	149.5081	3.8500e- 003		149.6043	
Total	0.0633	0.0400	0.4677	1.5000e- 003	0.1677	1.2800e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1700e- 003	0.0456		149.5081	149.5081	3.8500e- 003		149.6043	

3.6 Paving - 2024

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day									lb/day						
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		,	0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3

## 3.6 Paving - 2024

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0601	0.0364	0.4354	1.4500e- 003	0.1677	1.2600e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1600e- 003	0.0456		144.8706	144.8706	3.5300e- 003		144.9587
Total	0.0601	0.0364	0.4354	1.4500e- 003	0.1677	1.2600e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1600e- 003	0.0456		144.8706	144.8706	3.5300e- 003		144.9587

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3

## 3.6 Paving - 2024

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0601	0.0364	0.4354	1.4500e- 003	0.1677	1.2600e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1600e- 003	0.0456		144.8706	144.8706	3.5300e- 003		144.9587
Total	0.0601	0.0364	0.4354	1.4500e- 003	0.1677	1.2600e- 003	0.1689	0.0445	1.1600e- 003	0.0456		144.8706	144.8706	3.5300e- 003		144.9587

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	236.4115					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	236.5923	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

#### 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.6406	0.3886	4.6439	0.0155	1.7884	0.0134	1.8018	0.4743	0.0123	0.4866		1,545.286 0	1,545.286 0	0.0376		1,546.226 2
Total	0.6406	0.3886	4.6439	0.0155	1.7884	0.0134	1.8018	0.4743	0.0123	0.4866		1,545.286 0	1,545.286 0	0.0376		1,546.226 2

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	236.4115					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	236.5923	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

#### 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.6406	0.3886	4.6439	0.0155	1.7884	0.0134	1.8018	0.4743	0.0123	0.4866		1,545.286 0	1,545.286 0	0.0376		1,546.226 2
Total	0.6406	0.3886	4.6439	0.0155	1.7884	0.0134	1.8018	0.4743	0.0123	0.4866		1,545.286 0	1,545.286 0	0.0376		1,546.226 2

## 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	9.5233	45.9914	110.0422	0.4681	45.9592	0.3373	46.2965	12.2950	0.3132	12.6083		47,917.80 05	47,917.80 05	2.1953		47,972.68 39
Unmitigated	9.5233	45.9914	110.0422	0.4681	45.9592	0.3373	46.2965	12.2950	0.3132	12.6083		47,917.80 05	47,917.80 05	2.1953		47,972.68 39

#### 4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Apartments Low Rise	145.75	154.25	154.00	506,227	506,227
Apartments Mid Rise	4,026.75	3,773.25	4075.50	13,660,065	13,660,065
General Office Building	288.45	62.55	31.05	706,812	706,812
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	2,368.80	2,873.52	2817.72	3,413,937	3,413,937
Hotel	192.00	187.50	160.00	445,703	445,703
Quality Restaurant	501.12	511.92	461.20	707,488	707,488
Regional Shopping Center	528.08	601.44	357.84	1,112,221	1,112,221
Total	8,050.95	8,164.43	8,057.31	20,552,452	20,552,452

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Apartments Low Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3
Apartments Mid Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3
General Office Building	16.60	8.40	6.90	33.00	48.00	19.00	77	19	4
High Turnover (Sit Down	16.60	8.40	6.90	8.50	72.50	19.00	37	20	43
Hotel	16.60	8.40	6.90	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Quality Restaurant	16.60	8.40	6.90	12.00	69.00	19.00	38	18	44
Regional Shopping Center	16.60	8.40	6.90	16.30	64.70	19.00	54	35	11

#### 4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
Apartments Low Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Apartments Mid Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
General Office Building	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Hotel	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Quality Restaurant	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Regional Shopping Center	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821

## 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7

#### 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

### <u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Apartments Low Rise	1119.16	0.0121	0.1031	0.0439	6.6000e- 004		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		131.6662	131.6662	2.5200e- 003	2.4100e- 003	132.4486
Apartments Mid Rise	35784.3	0.3859	3.2978	1.4033	0.0211		0.2666	0.2666		0.2666	0.2666		4,209.916 4	4,209.916 4	0.0807	0.0772	4,234.933 9
General Office Building	1283.42	0.0138	0.1258	0.1057	7.5000e- 004		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		150.9911	150.9911	2.8900e- 003	2.7700e- 003	151.8884
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.2455	2.2314	1.8743	0.0134		0.1696	0.1696		0.1696	0.1696		2,677.634 2	2,677.634 2	0.0513	0.0491	2,693.546 0
Hotel	4769.72	0.0514	0.4676	0.3928	2.8100e- 003		0.0355	0.0355	,	0.0355	0.0355		561.1436	561.1436	0.0108	0.0103	564.4782
Quality Restaurant	5057.75	0.0545	0.4959	0.4165	2.9800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377	1	0.0377	0.0377		595.0298	595.0298	0.0114	0.0109	598.5658
Regional Shopping Center		2.7100e- 003	0.0247	0.0207	1.5000e- 004		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003	1	1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		29.6019	29.6019	5.7000e- 004	5.4000e- 004	29.7778
Total		0.7660	6.7463	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7

#### 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

### Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Apartments Low Rise	1.11916	0.0121	0.1031	0.0439	6.6000e- 004		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		131.6662	131.6662	2.5200e- 003	2.4100e- 003	132.4486
Apartments Mid Rise	35.7843	0.3859	3.2978	1.4033	0.0211		0.2666	0.2666		0.2666	0.2666		4,209.916 4	4,209.916 4	0.0807	0.0772	4,234.933 9
General Office Building	1.28342	0.0138	0.1258	0.1057	7.5000e- 004		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		150.9911	150.9911	2.8900e- 003	2.7700e- 003	151.8884
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.2455	2.2314	1.8743	0.0134		0.1696	0.1696		0.1696	0.1696		2,677.634 2	2,677.634 2	0.0513	0.0491	2,693.546 0
Hotel	4.76972	0.0514	0.4676	0.3928	2.8100e- 003		0.0355	0.0355		0.0355	0.0355		561.1436	561.1436	0.0108	0.0103	564.4782
Quality Restaurant	5.05775	0.0545	0.4959	0.4165	2.9800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377	,	0.0377	0.0377		595.0298	595.0298	0.0114	0.0109	598.5658
Regional Shopping Center		2.7100e- 003	0.0247	0.0207	1.5000e- 004		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		29.6019	29.6019	5.7000e- 004	5.4000e- 004	29.7778
Total		0.7660	6.7463	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7

## 6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Page 33 of 35

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Unmitigated	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

## 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### <u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Architectural Coating	2.2670					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	24.1085					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	1.6500	14.1000	6.0000	0.0900		1.1400	1.1400		1.1400	1.1400	0.0000	18,000.00 00	18,000.00 00	0.3450	0.3300	18,106.96 50
Landscaping	2.4766	0.9496	82.4430	4.3600e- 003		0.4574	0.4574		0.4574	0.4574		148.5950	148.5950	0.1424		152.1542
Total	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

#### 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### **Mitigated**

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/o	day							lb/c	day		
Architectural Coating	2.2670					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	24.1085					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	1.6500	14.1000	6.0000	0.0900		1.1400	1.1400		1.1400	1.1400	0.0000	18,000.00 00	18,000.00 00	0.3450	0.3300	18,106.96 50
Landscaping	2.4766	0.9496	82.4430	4.3600e- 003		0.4574	0.4574		0.4574	0.4574		148.5950	148.5950	0.1424		152.1542
Total	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

## 7.0 Water Detail

#### 7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

### 8.0 Waste Detail

#### 8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

### 9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type Number Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
---------------------------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	-----------

# **10.0 Stationary Equipment**

#### Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

<b>D</b>						
<u>Boilers</u>						
Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type	
User Defined Equipment						
Equipment Type	Number					

## Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

### **1.0 Project Characteristics**

#### 1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
General Office Building	45.00	1000sqft	1.03	45,000.00	0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	36.00	1000sqft	0.83	36,000.00	0
Hotel	50.00	Room	1.67	72,600.00	0
Quality Restaurant	8.00	1000sqft	0.18	8,000.00	0
Apartments Low Rise	25.00	Dwelling Unit	1.56	25,000.00	72
Apartments Mid Rise	975.00	Dwelling Unit	25.66	975,000.00	2789
Regional Shopping Center	56.00	1000sqft	1.29	56,000.00	0

#### **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	33
Climate Zone	9			<b>Operational Year</b>	2028
Utility Company	Southern California Ediso	n			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	702.44	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

#### **1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data**

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Trips and VMT - Local hire provision

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	1.25	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	48.75	0.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	7.16	6.17
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	6.39	3.87
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	2.46	1.39
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	158.37	79.82

Village South Specific Plan	(Proposed)	) - Los Angeles-South	Coast County, Annual

tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	3.75
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	94.36	63.99
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	49.97	10.74
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	6.07	6.16
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.86	4.18
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	1.05	0.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	131.84	78.27
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	3.20
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	72.16	57.65
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	25.24	6.39
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.59	5.83
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.65	4.13
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	11.03	6.41
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	127.15	65.80
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	3.84
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	89.95	62.64
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	42.70	9.43
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	1.25	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	48.75	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	1.25	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	48.75	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00

# 2.0 Emissions Summary

### 2.1 Overall Construction

### **Unmitigated Construction**

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					tor	ns/yr							МТ	/yr		
2021	0.1704	1.8234	1.1577	2.3800e- 003	0.4141	0.0817	0.4958	0.1788	0.0754	0.2542	0.0000	210.7654	210.7654	0.0600	0.0000	212.2661
2022	0.5865	4.0240	5.1546	0.0155	0.9509	0.1175	1.0683	0.2518	0.1103	0.3621	0.0000	1,418.655 4	1,418.655 4	0.1215	0.0000	1,421.692 5
2023	0.5190	3.2850	4.7678	0.0147	0.8497	0.0971	0.9468	0.2283	0.0912	0.3195	0.0000	1,342.441 2	1,342.441 2	0.1115	0.0000	1,345.229 1
2024	4.1592	0.1313	0.2557	5.0000e- 004	0.0221	6.3900e- 003	0.0285	5.8700e- 003	5.9700e- 003	0.0118	0.0000	44.6355	44.6355	7.8300e- 003	0.0000	44.8311
Maximum	4.1592	4.0240	5.1546	0.0155	0.9509	0.1175	1.0683	0.2518	0.1103	0.3621	0.0000	1,418.655 4	1,418.655 4	0.1215	0.0000	1,421.692 5

## 2.1 Overall Construction

### Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					tor	ns/yr							M	T/yr		
2021	0.1704	1.8234	1.1577	2.3800e- 003	0.4141	0.0817	0.4958	0.1788	0.0754	0.2542	0.0000	210.7651	210.7651	0.0600	0.0000	212.2658
2022	0.5865	4.0240	5.1546	0.0155	0.9509	0.1175	1.0683	0.2518	0.1103	0.3621	0.0000	1,418.655 0	1,418.655 0	0.1215	0.0000	1,421.692 1
2023	0.5190	3.2850	4.7678	0.0147	0.8497	0.0971	0.9468	0.2283	0.0912	0.3195	0.0000	1,342.440 9	1,342.440 9	0.1115	0.0000	1,345.228 7
2024	4.1592	0.1313	0.2557	5.0000e- 004	0.0221	6.3900e- 003	0.0285	5.8700e- 003	5.9700e- 003	0.0118	0.0000	44.6354	44.6354	7.8300e- 003	0.0000	44.8311
Maximum	4.1592	4.0240	5.1546	0.0155	0.9509	0.1175	1.0683	0.2518	0.1103	0.3621	0.0000	1,418.655 0	1,418.655 0	0.1215	0.0000	1,421.692 1
	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Quarter	Sta	art Date	End	d Date	Maxim	um Unmitiga	ated ROG +	NOX (tons/	quarter)	Maxi	mum Mitiga	ted ROG + N	IOX (tons/qu	iarter)		
1	9-	1-2021	11-3	0-2021			1.4091					1.4091				
2	12	-1-2021	2-28	3-2022			1.3329					1.3329				
3	3-	1-2022	5-31	1-2022			1.1499					1.1499				
4	6-	1-2022	8-31	1-2022			1.1457					1.1457				
5	9-	1-2022	11-3	0-2022	1.1415							1.1415				
6	12	-1-2022	2-28	3-2023			1.0278					1.0278				
7	3-	1-2023	5-31	1-2023			0.9868				0.9868					
8	_	1-2023	0.24	-2023			0.9831					0.9831				

9	9-1-2023	11-30-2023	0.9798	0.9798
10	12-1-2023	2-29-2024	2.8757	2.8757
11	3-1-2024	5-31-2024	1.6188	1.6188
		Highest	2.8757	2.8757

#### 2.2 Overall Operational

### Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Area	5.1437	0.2950	10.3804	1.6700e- 003		0.0714	0.0714		0.0714	0.0714	0.0000	220.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835
Energy	0.1398	1.2312	0.7770	7.6200e- 003		0.0966	0.0966		0.0966	0.0966	0.0000	3,896.073 2	3,896.073 2	0.1303	0.0468	3,913.283 3
Mobile	1.5857	7.9962	19.1834	0.0821	7.7979	0.0580	7.8559	2.0895	0.0539	2.1434	0.0000	7,620.498 6	7,620.498 6	0.3407	0.0000	7,629.016 2
Waste	,					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	207.8079	0.0000	207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354
Water	,					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	29.1632	556.6420	585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567
Total	6.8692	9.5223	30.3407	0.0914	7.7979	0.2260	8.0240	2.0895	0.2219	2.3114	236.9712	12,294.18 07	12,531.15 19	15.7904	0.1260	12,963.47 51

Page 7 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 2.2 Overall Operational

### Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	S		igitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugiti PM2		aust 12.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio-	CO2 NB	io- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category						ton	s/yr									М	T/yr		
Area	5.1437	0.2950	10.38	04 1.67 00	00e- )3		0.0714	0.0714		0.0	714	0.0714	0.00	00 22	0.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e 003	- 222.5835
Energy	0.1398	1.2312	0.777	7.62 00			0.0966	0.0966		0.0	966	0.0966	0.00	00 3,8	896.073 2	3,896.073 2	0.1303	0.0468	3,913.283 3
Mobile	1.5857	7.9962	19.18	34 0.0	821 7	.7979	0.0580	7.8559	2.08	95 0.0	539	2.1434	0.00	00 7,6	6 6	7,620.498 6	0.3407	0.0000	7,629.016 2
Waste	F)						0.0000	0.0000		0.0	000	0.0000	207.8	079 O	.0000	207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354
Water	F)						0.0000	0.0000		0.0	000	0.0000	29.1	632 55	6.6420	585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567
Total	6.8692	9.5223	30.34	07 0.0	914 7	.7979	0.2260	8.0240	2.08	95 0.2	219	2.3114	236.9	712 12	,294.18 07	12,531.15 19	15.7904	0.1260	12,963.47 51
	ROG		NOx	со	SO2	Fugi PN			VI10 otal	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhau PM2		l2.5 otal	Bio- CO2	NBio-	CO2 Tota	CO2	CH4	N20 CO2
Percent Reduction	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	00 0.	.00 0	.00	0.00	0.0	0 0.	.00	0.00	0.0	0 0.	00	0.00	0.00 0.0

# 3.0 Construction Detail

**Construction Phase** 

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	9/1/2021	10/12/2021	5	30	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	10/13/2021	11/9/2021	5	20	
3	Grading	Grading	11/10/2021	1/11/2022	5	45	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	1/12/2022	12/12/2023	5	500	
5	Paving	Paving	12/13/2023	1/30/2024	5	35	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	1/31/2024	3/19/2024	5	35	

#### Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	458.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	801.00	143.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	160.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

#### **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0496	0.0000	0.0496	7.5100e- 003	0.0000	7.5100e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0475	0.4716	0.3235	5.8000e- 004		0.0233	0.0233		0.0216	0.0216	0.0000	51.0012	51.0012	0.0144	0.0000	51.3601
Total	0.0475	0.4716	0.3235	5.8000e- 004	0.0496	0.0233	0.0729	7.5100e- 003	0.0216	0.0291	0.0000	51.0012	51.0012	0.0144	0.0000	51.3601

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	1.9300e- 003	0.0634	0.0148	1.8000e- 004	3.9400e- 003	1.9000e- 004	4.1300e- 003	1.0800e- 003	1.8000e- 004	1.2600e- 003	0.0000	17.4566	17.4566	1.2100e- 003	0.0000	17.4869
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.2000e- 004	5.3000e- 004	6.0900e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.6800e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.6900e- 003	4.5000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	1.5281	1.5281	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.5293
Total	2.6500e- 003	0.0639	0.0209	2.0000e- 004	5.6200e- 003	2.0000e- 004	5.8200e- 003	1.5300e- 003	1.9000e- 004	1.7200e- 003	0.0000	18.9847	18.9847	1.2600e- 003	0.0000	19.0161

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0496	0.0000	0.0496	7.5100e- 003	0.0000	7.5100e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0475	0.4716	0.3235	5.8000e- 004		0.0233	0.0233		0.0216	0.0216	0.0000	51.0011	51.0011	0.0144	0.0000	51.3600
Total	0.0475	0.4716	0.3235	5.8000e- 004	0.0496	0.0233	0.0729	7.5100e- 003	0.0216	0.0291	0.0000	51.0011	51.0011	0.0144	0.0000	51.3600

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	1.9300e- 003	0.0634	0.0148	1.8000e- 004	3.9400e- 003	1.9000e- 004	4.1300e- 003	1.0800e- 003	1.8000e- 004	1.2600e- 003	0.0000	17.4566	17.4566	1.2100e- 003	0.0000	17.4869
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.2000e- 004	5.3000e- 004	6.0900e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.6800e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.6900e- 003	4.5000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	1.5281	1.5281	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.5293
Total	2.6500e- 003	0.0639	0.0209	2.0000e- 004	5.6200e- 003	2.0000e- 004	5.8200e- 003	1.5300e- 003	1.9000e- 004	1.7200e- 003	0.0000	18.9847	18.9847	1.2600e- 003	0.0000	19.0161

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.1807	0.0000	0.1807	0.0993	0.0000	0.0993	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0389	0.4050	0.2115	3.8000e- 004		0.0204	0.0204		0.0188	0.0188	0.0000	33.4357	33.4357	0.0108	0.0000	33.7061
Total	0.0389	0.4050	0.2115	3.8000e- 004	0.1807	0.0204	0.2011	0.0993	0.0188	0.1181	0.0000	33.4357	33.4357	0.0108	0.0000	33.7061

#### 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	5.8000e- 004	4.3000e- 004	4.8700e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3500e- 003	3.6000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.2225	1.2225	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2234
Total	5.8000e- 004	4.3000e- 004	4.8700e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3500e- 003	3.6000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.2225	1.2225	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2234

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.1807	0.0000	0.1807	0.0993	0.0000	0.0993	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0389	0.4050	0.2115	3.8000e- 004		0.0204	0.0204		0.0188	0.0188	0.0000	33.4357	33.4357	0.0108	0.0000	33.7060
Total	0.0389	0.4050	0.2115	3.8000e- 004	0.1807	0.0204	0.2011	0.0993	0.0188	0.1181	0.0000	33.4357	33.4357	0.0108	0.0000	33.7060

#### 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	5.8000e- 004	4.3000e- 004	4.8700e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3500e- 003	3.6000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.2225	1.2225	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2234
Total	5.8000e- 004	4.3000e- 004	4.8700e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3500e- 003	3.6000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.2225	1.2225	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2234

3.4 Grading - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.1741	0.0000	0.1741	0.0693	0.0000	0.0693	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0796	0.8816	0.5867	1.1800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377		0.0347	0.0347	0.0000	103.5405	103.5405	0.0335	0.0000	104.3776
Total	0.0796	0.8816	0.5867	1.1800e- 003	0.1741	0.0377	0.2118	0.0693	0.0347	0.1040	0.0000	103.5405	103.5405	0.0335	0.0000	104.3776

## 3.4 Grading - 2021

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.2200e- 003	9.0000e- 004	0.0103	3.0000e- 005	2.8300e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.8600e- 003	7.5000e- 004	2.0000e- 005	7.8000e- 004	0.0000	2.5808	2.5808	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.5828
Total	1.2200e- 003	9.0000e- 004	0.0103	3.0000e- 005	2.8300e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.8600e- 003	7.5000e- 004	2.0000e- 005	7.8000e- 004	0.0000	2.5808	2.5808	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.5828

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.1741	0.0000	0.1741	0.0693	0.0000	0.0693	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0796	0.8816	0.5867	1.1800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377		0.0347	0.0347	0.0000	103.5403	103.5403	0.0335	0.0000	104.3775
Total	0.0796	0.8816	0.5867	1.1800e- 003	0.1741	0.0377	0.2118	0.0693	0.0347	0.1040	0.0000	103.5403	103.5403	0.0335	0.0000	104.3775

Page 16 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## 3.4 Grading - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.2200e- 003	9.0000e- 004	0.0103	3.0000e- 005	2.8300e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.8600e- 003	7.5000e- 004	2.0000e- 005	7.8000e- 004	0.0000	2.5808	2.5808	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.5828
Total	1.2200e- 003	9.0000e- 004	0.0103	3.0000e- 005	2.8300e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.8600e- 003	7.5000e- 004	2.0000e- 005	7.8000e- 004	0.0000	2.5808	2.5808	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.5828

3.4 Grading - 2022

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0807	0.0000	0.0807	0.0180	0.0000	0.0180	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0127	0.1360	0.1017	2.2000e- 004		5.7200e- 003	5.7200e- 003		5.2600e- 003	5.2600e- 003	0.0000	19.0871	19.0871	6.1700e- 003	0.0000	19.2414
Total	0.0127	0.1360	0.1017	2.2000e- 004	0.0807	5.7200e- 003	0.0865	0.0180	5.2600e- 003	0.0233	0.0000	19.0871	19.0871	6.1700e- 003	0.0000	19.2414

Page 17 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## 3.4 Grading - 2022

### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.1000e- 004	1.5000e- 004	1.7400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	5.3000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.4587	0.4587	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.4590
Total	2.1000e- 004	1.5000e- 004	1.7400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	5.3000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.4587	0.4587	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.4590

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0807	0.0000	0.0807	0.0180	0.0000	0.0180	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0127	0.1360	0.1017	2.2000e- 004		5.7200e- 003	5.7200e- 003		5.2600e- 003	5.2600e- 003	0.0000	19.0871	19.0871	6.1700e- 003	0.0000	19.2414
Total	0.0127	0.1360	0.1017	2.2000e- 004	0.0807	5.7200e- 003	0.0865	0.0180	5.2600e- 003	0.0233	0.0000	19.0871	19.0871	6.1700e- 003	0.0000	19.2414

Page 18 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## 3.4 Grading - 2022

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.1000e- 004	1.5000e- 004	1.7400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	5.3000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.4587	0.4587	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.4590
Total	2.1000e- 004	1.5000e- 004	1.7400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	5.3000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.4587	0.4587	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.4590

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
	0.2158	1.9754	2.0700	3.4100e- 003		0.1023	0.1023	1 1 1	0.0963	0.0963	0.0000	293.1324	293.1324	0.0702	0.0000	294.8881
Total	0.2158	1.9754	2.0700	3.4100e- 003		0.1023	0.1023		0.0963	0.0963	0.0000	293.1324	293.1324	0.0702	0.0000	294.8881

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0527	1.6961	0.4580	4.5500e- 003	0.1140	3.1800e- 003	0.1171	0.0329	3.0400e- 003	0.0359	0.0000	441.9835	441.9835	0.0264	0.0000	442.6435
Worker	0.3051	0.2164	2.5233	7.3500e- 003	0.7557	6.2300e- 003	0.7619	0.2007	5.7400e- 003	0.2065	0.0000	663.9936	663.9936	0.0187	0.0000	664.4604
Total	0.3578	1.9125	2.9812	0.0119	0.8696	9.4100e- 003	0.8790	0.2336	8.7800e- 003	0.2424	0.0000	1,105.977 1	1,105.977 1	0.0451	0.0000	1,107.103 9

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	0.2158	1.9754	2.0700	3.4100e- 003		0.1023	0.1023	1 1 1	0.0963	0.0963	0.0000	293.1321	293.1321	0.0702	0.0000	294.8877
Total	0.2158	1.9754	2.0700	3.4100e- 003		0.1023	0.1023		0.0963	0.0963	0.0000	293.1321	293.1321	0.0702	0.0000	294.8877

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0527	1.6961	0.4580	4.5500e- 003	0.1140	3.1800e- 003	0.1171	0.0329	3.0400e- 003	0.0359	0.0000	441.9835	441.9835	0.0264	0.0000	442.6435
Worker	0.3051	0.2164	2.5233	7.3500e- 003	0.7557	6.2300e- 003	0.7619	0.2007	5.7400e- 003	0.2065	0.0000	663.9936	663.9936	0.0187	0.0000	664.4604
Total	0.3578	1.9125	2.9812	0.0119	0.8696	9.4100e- 003	0.8790	0.2336	8.7800e- 003	0.2424	0.0000	1,105.977 1	1,105.977 1	0.0451	0.0000	1,107.103 9

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	0.1942	1.7765	2.0061	3.3300e- 003		0.0864	0.0864		0.0813	0.0813	0.0000	286.2789	286.2789	0.0681	0.0000	287.9814
Total	0.1942	1.7765	2.0061	3.3300e- 003		0.0864	0.0864		0.0813	0.0813	0.0000	286.2789	286.2789	0.0681	0.0000	287.9814

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0382	1.2511	0.4011	4.3000e- 003	0.1113	1.4600e- 003	0.1127	0.0321	1.4000e- 003	0.0335	0.0000	417.9930	417.9930	0.0228	0.0000	418.5624
Worker	0.2795	0.1910	2.2635	6.9100e- 003	0.7377	5.9100e- 003	0.7436	0.1960	5.4500e- 003	0.2014	0.0000	624.5363	624.5363	0.0164	0.0000	624.9466
Total	0.3177	1.4420	2.6646	0.0112	0.8490	7.3700e- 003	0.8564	0.2281	6.8500e- 003	0.2349	0.0000	1,042.529 4	1,042.529 4	0.0392	0.0000	1,043.509 0

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
Off-Road	0.1942	1.7765	2.0061	3.3300e- 003		0.0864	0.0864	1 1 1	0.0813	0.0813	0.0000	286.2785	286.2785	0.0681	0.0000	287.9811
Total	0.1942	1.7765	2.0061	3.3300e- 003		0.0864	0.0864		0.0813	0.0813	0.0000	286.2785	286.2785	0.0681	0.0000	287.9811

#### 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0382	1.2511	0.4011	4.3000e- 003	0.1113	1.4600e- 003	0.1127	0.0321	1.4000e- 003	0.0335	0.0000	417.9930	417.9930	0.0228	0.0000	418.5624
Worker	0.2795	0.1910	2.2635	6.9100e- 003	0.7377	5.9100e- 003	0.7436	0.1960	5.4500e- 003	0.2014	0.0000	624.5363	624.5363	0.0164	0.0000	624.9466
Total	0.3177	1.4420	2.6646	0.0112	0.8490	7.3700e- 003	0.8564	0.2281	6.8500e- 003	0.2349	0.0000	1,042.529 4	1,042.529 4	0.0392	0.0000	1,043.509 0

3.6 Paving - 2023

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	6.7100e- 003	0.0663	0.0948	1.5000e- 004		3.3200e- 003	3.3200e- 003		3.0500e- 003	3.0500e- 003	0.0000	13.0175	13.0175	4.2100e- 003	0.0000	13.1227
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	6.7100e- 003	0.0663	0.0948	1.5000e- 004		3.3200e- 003	3.3200e- 003		3.0500e- 003	3.0500e- 003	0.0000	13.0175	13.0175	4.2100e- 003	0.0000	13.1227

## 3.6 Paving - 2023

### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.8000e- 004	1.9000e- 004	2.2300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	7.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	7.3000e- 004	1.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 004	0.0000	0.6156	0.6156	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6160
Total	2.8000e- 004	1.9000e- 004	2.2300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	7.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	7.3000e- 004	1.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 004	0.0000	0.6156	0.6156	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6160

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	∏/yr		
Off-Road	6.7100e- 003	0.0663	0.0948	1.5000e- 004		3.3200e- 003	3.3200e- 003		3.0500e- 003	3.0500e- 003	0.0000	13.0175	13.0175	4.2100e- 003	0.0000	13.1227
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	6.7100e- 003	0.0663	0.0948	1.5000e- 004		3.3200e- 003	3.3200e- 003		3.0500e- 003	3.0500e- 003	0.0000	13.0175	13.0175	4.2100e- 003	0.0000	13.1227

## 3.6 Paving - 2023

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	'/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.8000e- 004	1.9000e- 004	2.2300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	7.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	7.3000e- 004	1.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 004	0.0000	0.6156	0.6156	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6160
Total	2.8000e- 004	1.9000e- 004	2.2300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	7.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	7.3000e- 004	1.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 004	0.0000	0.6156	0.6156	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6160

3.6 Paving - 2024

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Off-Road	0.0109	0.1048	0.1609	2.5000e- 004		5.1500e- 003	5.1500e- 003		4.7400e- 003	4.7400e- 003	0.0000	22.0292	22.0292	7.1200e- 003	0.0000	22.2073
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0109	0.1048	0.1609	2.5000e- 004		5.1500e- 003	5.1500e- 003		4.7400e- 003	4.7400e- 003	0.0000	22.0292	22.0292	7.1200e- 003	0.0000	22.2073

# 3.6 Paving - 2024

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.4000e- 004	2.9000e- 004	3.5100e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2400e- 003	3.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.0094	1.0094	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0100
Total	4.4000e- 004	2.9000e- 004	3.5100e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2400e- 003	3.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.0094	1.0094	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0100

### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	∵/yr		
Off-Road	0.0109	0.1048	0.1609	2.5000e- 004		5.1500e- 003	5.1500e- 003		4.7400e- 003	4.7400e- 003	0.0000	22.0292	22.0292	7.1200e- 003	0.0000	22.2073
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0109	0.1048	0.1609	2.5000e- 004		5.1500e- 003	5.1500e- 003		4.7400e- 003	4.7400e- 003	0.0000	22.0292	22.0292	7.1200e- 003	0.0000	22.2073

# 3.6 Paving - 2024

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr		<u>.</u>					МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.4000e- 004	2.9000e- 004	3.5100e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2400e- 003	3.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.0094	1.0094	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0100
Total	4.4000e- 004	2.9000e- 004	3.5100e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2400e- 003	3.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.0094	1.0094	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0100

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
, and a country	4.1372					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	3.1600e- 003	0.0213	0.0317	5.0000e- 005		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003	0.0000	4.4682	4.4682	2.5000e- 004	0.0000	4.4745
Total	4.1404	0.0213	0.0317	5.0000e- 005		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003	0.0000	4.4682	4.4682	2.5000e- 004	0.0000	4.4745

### 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.4800e- 003	4.9300e- 003	0.0596	1.9000e- 004	0.0209	1.6000e- 004	0.0211	5.5500e- 003	1.5000e- 004	5.7000e- 003	0.0000	17.1287	17.1287	4.3000e- 004	0.0000	17.1394
Total	7.4800e- 003	4.9300e- 003	0.0596	1.9000e- 004	0.0209	1.6000e- 004	0.0211	5.5500e- 003	1.5000e- 004	5.7000e- 003	0.0000	17.1287	17.1287	4.3000e- 004	0.0000	17.1394

### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	7/yr		
Archit. Coating	4.1372					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	3.1600e- 003	0.0213	0.0317	5.0000e- 005		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003	0.0000	4.4682	4.4682	2.5000e- 004	0.0000	4.4745
Total	4.1404	0.0213	0.0317	5.0000e- 005		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003	0.0000	4.4682	4.4682	2.5000e- 004	0.0000	4.4745

### 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.4800e- 003	4.9300e- 003	0.0596	1.9000e- 004	0.0209	1.6000e- 004	0.0211	5.5500e- 003	1.5000e- 004	5.7000e- 003	0.0000	17.1287	17.1287	4.3000e- 004	0.0000	17.1394
Total	7.4800e- 003	4.9300e- 003	0.0596	1.9000e- 004	0.0209	1.6000e- 004	0.0211	5.5500e- 003	1.5000e- 004	5.7000e- 003	0.0000	17.1287	17.1287	4.3000e- 004	0.0000	17.1394

# 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Mitigated	1.5857	7.9962	19.1834	0.0821	7.7979	0.0580	7.8559	2.0895	0.0539	2.1434	0.0000	7,620.498 6	7,620.498 6	0.3407	0.0000	7,629.016 2
Unmitigated	1.5857	7.9962	19.1834	0.0821	7.7979	0.0580	7.8559	2.0895	0.0539	2.1434	0.0000	7,620.498 6	7,620.498 6	0.3407	0.0000	7,629.016 2

### 4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Apartments Low Rise	145.75	154.25	154.00	506,227	506,227
Apartments Mid Rise	4,026.75	3,773.25	4075.50	13,660,065	13,660,065
General Office Building	288.45	62.55	31.05	706,812	706,812
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	2,368.80	2,873.52	2817.72	3,413,937	3,413,937
Hotel	192.00	187.50	160.00	445,703	445,703
Quality Restaurant	501.12	511.92	461.20	707,488	707,488
Regional Shopping Center	528.08	601.44	357.84	1,112,221	1,112,221
Total	8,050.95	8,164.43	8,057.31	20,552,452	20,552,452

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Apartments Low Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3
Apartments Mid Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3
General Office Building	16.60	8.40	6.90	33.00	48.00	19.00	77	19	4
High Turnover (Sit Down	16.60	8.40	6.90	8.50	72.50	19.00	37	20	43
Hotel	16.60	8.40	6.90	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Quality Restaurant	16.60	8.40	6.90	12.00	69.00	19.00	38	18	44
Regional Shopping Center	16.60	8.40	6.90	16.30	64.70	19.00	54	35	11

#### 4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
Apartments Low Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Apartments Mid Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
General Office Building	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Hotel	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Quality Restaurant	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Regional Shopping Center	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821

# 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2,512.646 5	2,512.646 5	0.1037	0.0215	2,521.635 6
Electricity Unmitigated	n					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2,512.646 5	2,512.646 5	0.1037	0.0215	2,521.635 6
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.1398	1.2312	0.7770	7.6200e- 003		0.0966	0.0966		0.0966	0.0966	0.0000	1,383.426 7	1,383.426 7	0.0265	0.0254	1,391.647 8
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.1398	1.2312	0.7770	7.6200e- 003		0.0966	0.0966		0.0966	0.0966	0.0000	1,383.426 7	1,383.426 7	0.0265	0.0254	1,391.647 8

### 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

# <u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							MT	7/yr		
Apartments Low Rise	408494	2.2000e- 003	0.0188	8.0100e- 003	1.2000e- 004		1.5200e- 003	1.5200e- 003		1.5200e- 003	1.5200e- 003	0.0000	21.7988	21.7988	4.2000e- 004	4.0000e- 004	21.9284
Apartments Mid Rise	1.30613e +007	0.0704	0.6018	0.2561	3.8400e- 003		0.0487	0.0487		0.0487	0.0487	0.0000	696.9989	696.9989	0.0134	0.0128	701.1408
General Office Building	468450	2.5300e- 003	0.0230	0.0193	1.4000e- 004		1.7500e- 003	1.7500e- 003		1.7500e- 003	1.7500e- 003	0.0000	24.9983	24.9983	4.8000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	25.1468
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.0448	0.4072	0.3421	2.4400e- 003		0.0310	0.0310		0.0310	0.0310	0.0000	443.3124	443.3124	8.5000e- 003	8.1300e- 003	445.9468
Hotel	1.74095e +006	9.3900e- 003	0.0853	0.0717	5.1000e- 004		6.4900e- 003	6.4900e- 003		6.4900e- 003	6.4900e- 003	0.0000	92.9036	92.9036	1.7800e- 003	1.7000e- 003	93.4557
Quality Restaurant	1.84608e +006	9.9500e- 003	0.0905	0.0760	5.4000e- 004		6.8800e- 003	6.8800e- 003		6.8800e- 003	6.8800e- 003	0.0000	98.5139	98.5139	1.8900e- 003	1.8100e- 003	99.0993
Regional Shopping Center		5.0000e- 004	4.5000e- 003	3.7800e- 003	3.0000e- 005		3.4000e- 004	3.4000e- 004		3.4000e- 004	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	4.9009	4.9009	9.0000e- 005	9.0000e- 005	4.9301
Total		0.1398	1.2312	0.7770	7.6200e- 003		0.0966	0.0966		0.0966	0.0966	0.0000	1,383.426 8	1,383.426 8	0.0265	0.0254	1,391.647 8

### 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

## Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Apartments Low Rise	408494	2.2000e- 003	0.0188	8.0100e- 003	1.2000e- 004		1.5200e- 003	1.5200e- 003	1 1 1	1.5200e- 003	1.5200e- 003	0.0000	21.7988	21.7988	4.2000e- 004	4.0000e- 004	21.9284
Apartments Mid Rise	1.30613e +007	0.0704	0.6018	0.2561	3.8400e- 003		0.0487	0.0487		0.0487	0.0487	0.0000	696.9989	696.9989	0.0134	0.0128	701.1408
General Office Building	468450	2.5300e- 003	0.0230	0.0193	1.4000e- 004		1.7500e- 003	1.7500e- 003		1.7500e- 003	1.7500e- 003	0.0000	24.9983	24.9983	4.8000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	25.1468
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.0448	0.4072	0.3421	2.4400e- 003		0.0310	0.0310		0.0310	0.0310	0.0000	443.3124	443.3124	8.5000e- 003	8.1300e- 003	445.9468
Hotel	1.74095e +006	9.3900e- 003	0.0853	0.0717	5.1000e- 004	,,,,,,,	6.4900e- 003	6.4900e- 003		6.4900e- 003	6.4900e- 003	0.0000	92.9036	92.9036	1.7800e- 003	1.7000e- 003	93.4557
Quality Restaurant	1.84608e +006	9.9500e- 003	0.0905	0.0760	5.4000e- 004		6.8800e- 003	6.8800e- 003		6.8800e- 003	6.8800e- 003	0.0000	98.5139	98.5139	1.8900e- 003	1.8100e- 003	99.0993
Regional Shopping Center		5.0000e- 004	4.5000e- 003	3.7800e- 003	3.0000e- 005		3.4000e- 004	3.4000e- 004		3.4000e- 004	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	4.9009	4.9009	9.0000e- 005	9.0000e- 005	4.9301
Total		0.1398	1.2312	0.7770	7.6200e- 003		0.0966	0.0966		0.0966	0.0966	0.0000	1,383.426 8	1,383.426 8	0.0265	0.0254	1,391.647 8

Page 34 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## 5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

# <u>Unmitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		МТ	7/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	106010	33.7770	1.3900e- 003	2.9000e- 004	33.8978
Apartments Mid Rise	3.94697e +006	1,257.587 9	0.0519	0.0107	1,262.086 9
General Office Building	584550	186.2502	7.6900e- 003	1.5900e- 003	186.9165
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		506.3022	0.0209	4.3200e- 003	508.1135
Hotel	550308	175.3399	7.2400e- 003	1.5000e- 003	175.9672
Quality Restaurant	353120	112.5116	4.6500e- 003	9.6000e- 004	112.9141
Regional Shopping Center	756000	240.8778	9.9400e- 003	2.0600e- 003	241.7395
Total		2,512.646 5	0.1037	0.0215	2,521.635 6

Page 35 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

### 5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

# Mitigated

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		МТ	/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	106010	33.7770	1.3900e- 003	2.9000e- 004	33.8978
Apartments Mid Rise	3.94697e +006	1,257.587 9	0.0519	0.0107	1,262.086 9
General Office Building	584550	186.2502	7.6900e- 003	1.5900e- 003	186.9165
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		506.3022	0.0209	4.3200e- 003	508.1135
Hotel	550308	175.3399	7.2400e- 003	1.5000e- 003	175.9672
Quality Restaurant	353120	112.5116	4.6500e- 003	9.6000e- 004	112.9141
Regional Shopping Center	756000	240.8778	9.9400e- 003	2.0600e- 003	241.7395
Total		2,512.646 5	0.1037	0.0215	2,521.635 6

# 6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Page 36 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Mitigated	5.1437	0.2950	10.3804	1.6700e- 003		0.0714	0.0714		0.0714	0.0714	0.0000	220.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835
Unmitigated	5.1437	0.2950	10.3804	1.6700e- 003		0.0714	0.0714		0.0714	0.0714	0.0000	220.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835

# 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### <u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.4137					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	4.3998					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hearth	0.0206	0.1763	0.0750	1.1200e- 003		0.0143	0.0143		0.0143	0.0143	0.0000	204.1166	204.1166	3.9100e- 003	3.7400e- 003	205.3295
Landscaping	0.3096	0.1187	10.3054	5.4000e- 004		0.0572	0.0572		0.0572	0.0572	0.0000	16.8504	16.8504	0.0161	0.0000	17.2540
Total	5.1437	0.2950	10.3804	1.6600e- 003		0.0714	0.0714		0.0714	0.0714	0.0000	220.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835

### 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.4137					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	4.3998					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hearth	0.0206	0.1763	0.0750	1.1200e- 003		0.0143	0.0143		0.0143	0.0143	0.0000	204.1166	204.1166	3.9100e- 003	3.7400e- 003	205.3295
Landscaping	0.3096	0.1187	10.3054	5.4000e- 004		0.0572	0.0572		0.0572	0.0572	0.0000	16.8504	16.8504	0.0161	0.0000	17.2540
Total	5.1437	0.2950	10.3804	1.6600e- 003		0.0714	0.0714		0.0714	0.0714	0.0000	220.9670	220.9670	0.0201	3.7400e- 003	222.5835

# 7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Page 38 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		МТ	/yr	
	585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567
- Guine	585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567

Page 39 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

### 7.2 Water by Land Use

# <u>Unmitigated</u>

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		MT	/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	1.62885 / 1.02688	10.9095	0.0535	1.3400e- 003	12.6471
Apartments Mid Rise	63.5252 / 40.0485	425.4719	2.0867	0.0523	493.2363
General Office Building	7.99802 / 4.90201	53.0719	0.2627	6.5900e- 003	61.6019
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)			0.3580	8.8200e- 003	62.8482
Hotel	1.26834 / 0.140927		0.0416	1.0300e- 003	7.5079
	2.42827 / 0.154996		0.0796	1.9600e- 003	13.9663
Regional Shopping Center	4.14806 / 2.54236	27.5250	0.1363	3.4200e- 003	31.9490
Total		585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567

Page 40 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

### 7.2 Water by Land Use

### Mitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		МТ	/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	1.62885 / 1.02688	10.9095	0.0535	1.3400e- 003	12.6471
Apartments Mid Rise	63.5252 / 40.0485	425.4719	2.0867	0.0523	493.2363
General Office Building	7.99802 / 4.90201	53.0719	0.2627	6.5900e- 003	61.6019
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	10.9272 / 0.697482	51.2702	0.3580	8.8200e- 003	62.8482
Hotel	1.26834 / 0.140927		0.0416	1.0300e- 003	7.5079
	2.42827 / 0.154996		0.0796	1.9600e- 003	13.9663
Regional Shopping Center	4.14806 / 2.54236	27.5250	0.1363	3.4200e- 003	31.9490
Total		585.8052	3.0183	0.0755	683.7567

# 8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Page 41 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
		МТ	/yr	
Mitigated	207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354
J. J	207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354

Page 42 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

### 8.2 Waste by Land Use

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		МТ	ī/yr	
Apartments Low Rise	11.5	2.3344	0.1380	0.0000	5.7834
Apartments Mid Rise	448.5	91.0415	5.3804	0.0000	225.5513
General Office Building	41.85	8.4952	0.5021	0.0000	21.0464
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		86.9613	5.1393	0.0000	215.4430
Hotel	27.38	5.5579	0.3285	0.0000	13.7694
Quality Restaurant	7.3	1.4818	0.0876	0.0000	3.6712
Regional Shopping Center	58.8	11.9359	0.7054	0.0000	29.5706
Total		207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354

Page 43 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

### 8.2 Waste by Land Use

#### Mitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e						
Land Use	tons		MT/yr								
Apartments Low Rise	11.5	2.3344	0.1380	0.0000	5.7834						
Apartments Mid Rise	448.5	91.0415	5.3804	0.0000	225.5513						
General Office Building	41.85	8.4952	0.5021	0.0000	21.0464						
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		86.9613	5.1393	0.0000	215.4430						
Hotel	27.38	5.5579	0.3285	0.0000	13.7694						
Quality Restaurant	7.3	1.4818	0.0876	0.0000	3.6712						
Regional Shopping Center	58.8	11.9359	0.7054	0.0000	29.5706						
Total		207.8079	12.2811	0.0000	514.8354						

# 9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

# **10.0 Stationary Equipment**

# Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
----------------	------------------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------

Page 44 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

### **Boilers**

Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type
User Defined Equipment					
Equipment Type	Number				

# 11.0 Vegetation

# Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

## **1.0 Project Characteristics**

#### 1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
General Office Building	45.00	1000sqft	1.03	45,000.00	0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	36.00	1000sqft	0.83	36,000.00	0
Hotel	50.00	Room	1.67	72,600.00	0
Quality Restaurant	8.00	1000sqft	0.18	8,000.00	0
Apartments Low Rise	25.00	Dwelling Unit	1.56	25,000.00	72
Apartments Mid Rise	975.00	Dwelling Unit	25.66	975,000.00	2789
Regional Shopping Center	56.00	1000sqft	1.29	56,000.00	0

#### **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	33
Climate Zone	9			<b>Operational Year</b>	2028
Utility Company	Southern California Ediso	n			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	702.44	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

## 1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Trips and VMT - Local hire provision

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	1.25	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	48.75	0.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	7.16	6.17
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	6.39	3.87
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	2.46	1.39
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	158.37	79.82

tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	3.75
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	94.36	63.99
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	49.97	10.74
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	6.07	6.16
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.86	4.18
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	1.05	0.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	131.84	78.27
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	3.20
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	72.16	57.65
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	25.24	6.39
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.59	5.83
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.65	4.13
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	11.03	6.41
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	127.15	65.80
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	3.84
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	89.95	62.64
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	42.70	9.43
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	1.25	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	48.75	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	1.25	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	48.75	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00

# 2.0 Emissions Summary

### 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

**Unmitigated Construction** 

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	lb/day								lb/day							
2021	4.2561	46.4415	31.4494	0.0636	18.2032	2.0456	20.2488	9.9670	1.8820	11.8490	0.0000	6,163.416 6	6,163.416 6	1.9475	0.0000	6,212.103 9
2022	4.5441	38.8811	40.8776	0.1240	8.8255	1.6361	10.4616	3.6369	1.5052	5.1421	0.0000	12,493.44 03	12,493.44 03	1.9485	0.0000	12,518.57 07
2023	4.1534	25.7658	38.7457	0.1206	7.0088	0.7592	7.7679	1.8799	0.7136	2.5935	0.0000	12,150.48 90	12,150.48 90	0.9589	0.0000	12,174.46 15
2024	237.0219	9.5478	14.9642	0.0239	1.2171	0.4694	1.2875	0.3229	0.4319	0.4621	0.0000	2,313.180 8	2,313.180 8	0.7166	0.0000	2,331.095 6
Maximum	237.0219	46.4415	40.8776	0.1240	18.2032	2.0456	20.2488	9.9670	1.8820	11.8490	0.0000	12,493.44 03	12,493.44 03	1.9485	0.0000	12,518.57 07

### 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	lb/day								lb/day							
2021	4.2561	46.4415	31.4494	0.0636	18.2032	2.0456	20.2488	9.9670	1.8820	11.8490	0.0000	6,163.416 6	6,163.416 6	1.9475	0.0000	6,212.103 9
2022	4.5441	38.8811	40.8776	0.1240	8.8255	1.6361	10.4616	3.6369	1.5052	5.1421	0.0000	12,493.44 03	12,493.44 03	1.9485	0.0000	12,518.57 07
2023	4.1534	25.7658	38.7457	0.1206	7.0088	0.7592	7.7679	1.8799	0.7136	2.5935	0.0000	12,150.48 90	12,150.48 90	0.9589	0.0000	12,174.46 15
2024	237.0219	9.5478	14.9642	0.0239	1.2171	0.4694	1.2875	0.3229	0.4319	0.4621	0.0000	2,313.180 8	2,313.180 8	0.7166	0.0000	2,331.095 5
Maximum	237.0219	46.4415	40.8776	0.1240	18.2032	2.0456	20.2488	9.9670	1.8820	11.8490	0.0000	12,493.44 03	12,493.44 03	1.9485	0.0000	12,518.57 07
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### 2.2 Overall Operational

## Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	y Ib/day								lb/day							
Area	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Energy	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
Mobile	9.8489	45.4304	114.8495	0.4917	45.9592	0.3360	46.2951	12.2950	0.3119	12.6070		50,306.60 34	50,306.60 34	2.1807		50,361.12 08
Total	41.1168	67.2262	207.5497	0.6278	45.9592	2.4626	48.4217	12.2950	2.4385	14.7336	0.0000	76,811.18 16	76,811.18 16	2.8282	0.4832	77,025.87 86

#### Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	day		
Area	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Energy	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
Mobile	9.8489	45.4304	114.8495	0.4917	45.9592	0.3360	46.2951	12.2950	0.3119	12.6070		50,306.60 34	50,306.60 34	2.1807		50,361.12 08
Total	41.1168	67.2262	207.5497	0.6278	45.9592	2.4626	48.4217	12.2950	2.4385	14.7336	0.0000	76,811.18 16	76,811.18 16	2.8282	0.4832	77,025.87 86

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# **3.0 Construction Detail**

#### **Construction Phase**

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	9/1/2021	10/12/2021	5	30	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	10/13/2021	11/9/2021	5	20	
3	Grading	Grading	11/10/2021	1/11/2022	5	45	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	1/12/2022	12/12/2023	5	500	
5	Paving	Paving	12/13/2023	1/30/2024	5	35	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	1/31/2024	3/19/2024	5	35	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	458.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	801.00	143.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	160.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

### **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

#### Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					3.3074	0.0000	3.3074	0.5008	0.0000	0.5008			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388		1.5513	1.5513		1.4411	1.4411		3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4
Total	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388	3.3074	1.5513	4.8588	0.5008	1.4411	1.9419		3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4

### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.1273	4.0952	0.9602	0.0119	0.2669	0.0126	0.2795	0.0732	0.0120	0.0852		1,292.241 3	1,292.241 3	0.0877		1,294.433 7
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0487	0.0313	0.4282	1.1800e- 003	0.1141	9.5000e- 004	0.1151	0.0303	8.8000e- 004	0.0311		117.2799	117.2799	3.5200e- 003		117.3678
Total	0.1760	4.1265	1.3884	0.0131	0.3810	0.0135	0.3946	0.1034	0.0129	0.1163		1,409.521 2	1,409.521 2	0.0912		1,411.801 5

### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					3.3074	0.0000	3.3074	0.5008	0.0000	0.5008			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388		1.5513	1.5513		1.4411	1.4411	0.0000	3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4
Total	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388	3.3074	1.5513	4.8588	0.5008	1.4411	1.9419	0.0000	3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4

### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.1273	4.0952	0.9602	0.0119	0.2669	0.0126	0.2795	0.0732	0.0120	0.0852		1,292.241 3	1,292.241 3	0.0877		1,294.433 7
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0487	0.0313	0.4282	1.1800e- 003	0.1141	9.5000e- 004	0.1151	0.0303	8.8000e- 004	0.0311		117.2799	117.2799	3.5200e- 003		117.3678
Total	0.1760	4.1265	1.3884	0.0131	0.3810	0.0135	0.3946	0.1034	0.0129	0.1163		1,409.521 2	1,409.521 2	0.0912		1,411.801 5

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380		2.0445	2.0445		1.8809	1.8809		3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3
Total	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380	18.0663	2.0445	20.1107	9.9307	1.8809	11.8116		3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3

### 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0584	0.0375	0.5139	1.4100e- 003	0.1369	1.1400e- 003	0.1381	0.0363	1.0500e- 003	0.0374		140.7359	140.7359	4.2200e- 003		140.8414
Total	0.0584	0.0375	0.5139	1.4100e- 003	0.1369	1.1400e- 003	0.1381	0.0363	1.0500e- 003	0.0374		140.7359	140.7359	4.2200e- 003		140.8414

### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380		2.0445	2.0445		1.8809	1.8809	0.0000	3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3
Total	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380	18.0663	2.0445	20.1107	9.9307	1.8809	11.8116	0.0000	3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3

### 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0584	0.0375	0.5139	1.4100e- 003	0.1369	1.1400e- 003	0.1381	0.0363	1.0500e- 003	0.0374		140.7359	140.7359	4.2200e- 003		140.8414
Total	0.0584	0.0375	0.5139	1.4100e- 003	0.1369	1.1400e- 003	0.1381	0.0363	1.0500e- 003	0.0374		140.7359	140.7359	4.2200e- 003		140.8414

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620		1.9853	1.9853		1.8265	1.8265		6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4
Total	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620	8.6733	1.9853	10.6587	3.5965	1.8265	5.4230		6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4

# 3.4 Grading - 2021

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0649	0.0417	0.5710	1.5700e- 003	0.1521	1.2700e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1700e- 003	0.0415		156.3732	156.3732	4.6900e- 003		156.4904
Total	0.0649	0.0417	0.5710	1.5700e- 003	0.1521	1.2700e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1700e- 003	0.0415		156.3732	156.3732	4.6900e- 003		156.4904

### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620		1.9853	1.9853		1.8265	1.8265	0.0000	6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4
Total	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620	8.6733	1.9853	10.6587	3.5965	1.8265	5.4230	0.0000	6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4

# 3.4 Grading - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0649	0.0417	0.5710	1.5700e- 003	0.1521	1.2700e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1700e- 003	0.0415		156.3732	156.3732	4.6900e- 003		156.4904
Total	0.0649	0.0417	0.5710	1.5700e- 003	0.1521	1.2700e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1700e- 003	0.0415		156.3732	156.3732	4.6900e- 003		156.4904

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041		6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	8.6733	1.6349	10.3082	3.5965	1.5041	5.1006		6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8

# 3.4 Grading - 2022

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0607	0.0376	0.5263	1.5100e- 003	0.1521	1.2300e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1300e- 003	0.0415		150.8754	150.8754	4.2400e- 003		150.9813
Total	0.0607	0.0376	0.5263	1.5100e- 003	0.1521	1.2300e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1300e- 003	0.0415		150.8754	150.8754	4.2400e- 003		150.9813

### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/d	day		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041	0.0000	6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	8.6733	1.6349	10.3082	3.5965	1.5041	5.1006	0.0000	6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8

# 3.4 Grading - 2022

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0607	0.0376	0.5263	1.5100e- 003	0.1521	1.2300e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1300e- 003	0.0415		150.8754	150.8754	4.2400e- 003		150.9813
Total	0.0607	0.0376	0.5263	1.5100e- 003	0.1521	1.2300e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1300e- 003	0.0415		150.8754	150.8754	4.2400e- 003		150.9813

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/c	lay							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612		2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612		2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2

## 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4079	13.2032	3.4341	0.0364	0.9155	0.0248	0.9404	0.2636	0.0237	0.2873		3,896.548 2	3,896.548 2	0.2236		3,902.138 4
Worker	2.4299	1.5074	21.0801	0.0607	6.0932	0.0493	6.1425	1.6163	0.0454	1.6617		6,042.558 5	6,042.558 5	0.1697		6,046.800 0
Total	2.8378	14.7106	24.5142	0.0971	7.0087	0.0741	7.0828	1.8799	0.0691	1.9490		9,939.106 7	9,939.106 7	0.3933		9,948.938 4

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2

## 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4079	13.2032	3.4341	0.0364	0.9155	0.0248	0.9404	0.2636	0.0237	0.2873		3,896.548 2	3,896.548 2	0.2236		3,902.138 4
Worker	2.4299	1.5074	21.0801	0.0607	6.0932	0.0493	6.1425	1.6163	0.0454	1.6617		6,042.558 5	6,042.558 5	0.1697		6,046.800 0
Total	2.8378	14.7106	24.5142	0.0971	7.0087	0.0741	7.0828	1.8799	0.0691	1.9490		9,939.106 7	9,939.106 7	0.3933		9,948.938 4

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997	1 1 1	0.6584	0.6584		2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584		2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1

## 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.3027	10.0181	3.1014	0.0352	0.9156	0.0116	0.9271	0.2636	0.0111	0.2747		3,773.876 2	3,773.876 2	0.1982		3,778.830 0
Worker	2.2780	1.3628	19.4002	0.0584	6.0932	0.0479	6.1411	1.6163	0.0441	1.6604		5,821.402 8	5,821.402 8	0.1529		5,825.225 4
Total	2.5807	11.3809	22.5017	0.0936	7.0088	0.0595	7.0682	1.8799	0.0552	1.9350		9,595.279 0	9,595.279 0	0.3511		9,604.055 4

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Off-Road	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997	- 	0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1

## 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.3027	10.0181	3.1014	0.0352	0.9156	0.0116	0.9271	0.2636	0.0111	0.2747		3,773.876 2	3,773.876 2	0.1982		3,778.830 0
Worker	2.2780	1.3628	19.4002	0.0584	6.0932	0.0479	6.1411	1.6163	0.0441	1.6604		5,821.402 8	5,821.402 8	0.1529		5,825.225 4
Total	2.5807	11.3809	22.5017	0.0936	7.0088	0.0595	7.0682	1.8799	0.0552	1.9350		9,595.279 0	9,595.279 0	0.3511		9,604.055 4

3.6 Paving - 2023

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Off-Road	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694		2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694		2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6

# 3.6 Paving - 2023

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0427	0.0255	0.3633	1.0900e- 003	0.1141	9.0000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.3000e- 004	0.0311		109.0150	109.0150	2.8600e- 003		109.0866
Total	0.0427	0.0255	0.3633	1.0900e- 003	0.1141	9.0000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.3000e- 004	0.0311		109.0150	109.0150	2.8600e- 003		109.0866

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694	0.0000	2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694	0.0000	2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6

# 3.6 Paving - 2023

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0427	0.0255	0.3633	1.0900e- 003	0.1141	9.0000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.3000e- 004	0.0311		109.0150	109.0150	2.8600e- 003		109.0866
Total	0.0427	0.0255	0.3633	1.0900e- 003	0.1141	9.0000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.3000e- 004	0.0311		109.0150	109.0150	2.8600e- 003		109.0866

3.6 Paving - 2024

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3

# 3.6 Paving - 2024

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0403	0.0233	0.3384	1.0600e- 003	0.1141	8.8000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.1000e- 004	0.0311		105.6336	105.6336	2.6300e- 003		105.6992
Total	0.0403	0.0233	0.3384	1.0600e- 003	0.1141	8.8000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.1000e- 004	0.0311		105.6336	105.6336	2.6300e- 003		105.6992

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3

# 3.6 Paving - 2024

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0403	0.0233	0.3384	1.0600e- 003	0.1141	8.8000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.1000e- 004	0.0311		105.6336	105.6336	2.6300e- 003		105.6992
Total	0.0403	0.0233	0.3384	1.0600e- 003	0.1141	8.8000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.1000e- 004	0.0311		105.6336	105.6336	2.6300e- 003		105.6992

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	236.4115					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	236.5923	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

## 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.4296	0.2481	3.6098	0.0113	1.2171	9.4300e- 003	1.2266	0.3229	8.6800e- 003	0.3315		1,126.758 3	1,126.758 3	0.0280		1,127.458 3
Total	0.4296	0.2481	3.6098	0.0113	1.2171	9.4300e- 003	1.2266	0.3229	8.6800e- 003	0.3315		1,126.758 3	1,126.758 3	0.0280		1,127.458 3

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Archit. Coating	236.4115					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	236.5923	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

## 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.4296	0.2481	3.6098	0.0113	1.2171	9.4300e- 003	1.2266	0.3229	8.6800e- 003	0.3315		1,126.758 3	1,126.758 3	0.0280		1,127.458 3
Total	0.4296	0.2481	3.6098	0.0113	1.2171	9.4300e- 003	1.2266	0.3229	8.6800e- 003	0.3315		1,126.758 3	1,126.758 3	0.0280		1,127.458 3

# 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	9.8489	45.4304	114.8495	0.4917	45.9592	0.3360	46.2951	12.2950	0.3119	12.6070		50,306.60 34	50,306.60 34	2.1807		50,361.12 08
Unmitigated	9.8489	45.4304	114.8495	0.4917	45.9592	0.3360	46.2951	12.2950	0.3119	12.6070		50,306.60 34	50,306.60 34	2.1807		50,361.12 08

## 4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ite	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Apartments Low Rise	145.75	154.25	154.00	506,227	506,227
Apartments Mid Rise	4,026.75	3,773.25	4075.50	13,660,065	13,660,065
General Office Building	288.45	62.55	31.05	706,812	706,812
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	2,368.80	2,873.52	2817.72	3,413,937	3,413,937
Hotel	192.00	187.50	160.00	445,703	445,703
Quality Restaurant	501.12	511.92	461.20	707,488	707,488
Regional Shopping Center	528.08	601.44	357.84	1,112,221	1,112,221
Total	8,050.95	8,164.43	8,057.31	20,552,452	20,552,452

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Apartments Low Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3
Apartments Mid Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3
General Office Building	16.60	8.40	6.90	33.00	48.00	19.00	77	19	4
High Turnover (Sit Down	16.60	8.40	6.90	8.50	72.50	19.00	37	20	43
Hotel	16.60	8.40	6.90	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Quality Restaurant	16.60	8.40	6.90	12.00	69.00	19.00	38	18	44
Regional Shopping Center	16.60	8.40	6.90	16.30	64.70	19.00	54	35	11

#### 4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
Apartments Low Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Apartments Mid Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
General Office Building	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Hotel	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Quality Restaurant	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Regional Shopping Center	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821

# 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7

## 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Apartments Low Rise	1119.16	0.0121	0.1031	0.0439	6.6000e- 004		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		131.6662	131.6662	2.5200e- 003	2.4100e- 003	132.4486
Apartments Mid Rise	35784.3	0.3859	3.2978	1.4033	0.0211		0.2666	0.2666		0.2666	0.2666		4,209.916 4	4,209.916 4	0.0807	0.0772	4,234.933 9
General Office Building	1283.42	0.0138	0.1258	0.1057	7.5000e- 004		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		150.9911	150.9911	2.8900e- 003	2.7700e- 003	151.8884
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.2455	2.2314	1.8743	0.0134		0.1696	0.1696		0.1696	0.1696		2,677.634 2	2,677.634 2	0.0513	0.0491	2,693.546 0
Hotel	4769.72	0.0514	0.4676	0.3928	2.8100e- 003		0.0355	0.0355	,	0.0355	0.0355		561.1436	561.1436	0.0108	0.0103	564.4782
Quality Restaurant	5057.75	0.0545	0.4959	0.4165	2.9800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377	,	0.0377	0.0377		595.0298	595.0298	0.0114	0.0109	598.5658
Regional Shopping Center		2.7100e- 003	0.0247	0.0207	1.5000e- 004		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		29.6019	29.6019	5.7000e- 004	5.4000e- 004	29.7778
Total		0.7660	6.7463	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7

## 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

## Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Apartments Low Rise	1.11916	0.0121	0.1031	0.0439	6.6000e- 004		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		131.6662	131.6662	2.5200e- 003	2.4100e- 003	132.4486
Apartments Mid Rise	35.7843	0.3859	3.2978	1.4033	0.0211		0.2666	0.2666		0.2666	0.2666		4,209.916 4	4,209.916 4	0.0807	0.0772	4,234.933 9
General Office Building	1.28342	0.0138	0.1258	0.1057	7.5000e- 004		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		150.9911	150.9911	2.8900e- 003	2.7700e- 003	151.8884
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.2455	2.2314	1.8743	0.0134		0.1696	0.1696		0.1696	0.1696		2,677.634 2	2,677.634 2	0.0513	0.0491	2,693.546 0
Hotel	4.76972	0.0514	0.4676	0.3928	2.8100e- 003		0.0355	0.0355		0.0355	0.0355		561.1436	561.1436	0.0108	0.0103	564.4782
Quality Restaurant	5.05775	0.0545	0.4959	0.4165	2.9800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377	,	0.0377	0.0377		595.0298	595.0298	0.0114	0.0109	598.5658
Regional Shopping Center		2.7100e- 003	0.0247	0.0207	1.5000e- 004		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003	,	1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		29.6019	29.6019	5.7000e- 004	5.4000e- 004	29.7778
Total		0.7660	6.7463	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7

# 6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Mitigated	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Unmitigated	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

# 6.2 Area by SubCategory

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Architectural Coating	2.2670					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	24.1085					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	1.6500	14.1000	6.0000	0.0900		1.1400	1.1400		1.1400	1.1400	0.0000	18,000.00 00	18,000.00 00	0.3450	0.3300	18,106.96 50
Landscaping	2.4766	0.9496	82.4430	4.3600e- 003		0.4574	0.4574		0.4574	0.4574		148.5950	148.5950	0.1424		152.1542
Total	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

## 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### **Mitigated**

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/o	day							lb/c	day		
Architectural Coating	2.2670					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	24.1085					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	1.6500	14.1000	6.0000	0.0900		1.1400	1.1400		1.1400	1.1400	0.0000	18,000.00 00	18,000.00 00	0.3450	0.3300	18,106.96 50
Landscaping	2.4766	0.9496	82.4430	4.3600e- 003		0.4574	0.4574		0.4574	0.4574		148.5950	148.5950	0.1424		152.1542
Total	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

# 7.0 Water Detail

## 7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

## 8.0 Waste Detail

#### 8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

## 9.0 Operational Offroad

# **10.0 Stationary Equipment**

#### Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
<u>Boilers</u>						
Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type	
User Defined Equipment						
Equipment Type	Number					

# Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

## **1.0 Project Characteristics**

## 1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
General Office Building	45.00	1000sqft	1.03	45,000.00	0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	36.00	1000sqft	0.83	36,000.00	0
Hotel	50.00	Room	1.67	72,600.00	0
Quality Restaurant	8.00	1000sqft	0.18	8,000.00	0
Apartments Low Rise	25.00	Dwelling Unit	1.56	25,000.00	72
Apartments Mid Rise	975.00	Dwelling Unit	25.66	975,000.00	2789
Regional Shopping Center	56.00	1000sqft	1.29	56,000.00	0

# **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	33
Climate Zone	9			<b>Operational Year</b>	2028
Utility Company	Southern California Ediso	n			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	702.44	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

## **1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data**

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Trips and VMT - Local hire provision

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00
tblFireplaces	FireplaceWoodMass	1,019.20	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	1.25	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	48.75	0.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripLength	14.70	10.00
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	7.16	6.17
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	6.39	3.87
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	2.46	1.39
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	158.37	79.82

Village South Specific Plan	(Proposed)	) - Los Angeles-South	Coast County, Winter

tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	3.75
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	94.36	63.99
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	49.97	10.74
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	6.07	6.16
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.86	4.18
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	1.05	0.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	131.84	78.27
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	3.20
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	72.16	57.65
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	25.24	6.39
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.59	5.83
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	6.65	4.13
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	11.03	6.41
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	127.15	65.80
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	3.84
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	89.95	62.64
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	42.70	9.43
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	1.25	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	48.75	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	1.25	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	48.75	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveDayYear	25.00	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00
tblWoodstoves	WoodstoveWoodMass	999.60	0.00

# 2.0 Emissions Summary

## 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

**Unmitigated Construction** 

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
2021	4.2621	46.4460	31.4068	0.0635	18.2032	2.0456	20.2488	9.9670	1.8820	11.8490	0.0000	6,154.337 7	6,154.337 7	1.9472	0.0000	6,203.018 6
2022	4.7966	38.8851	39.6338	0.1195	8.8255	1.6361	10.4616	3.6369	1.5052	5.1421	0.0000	12,035.34 40	12,035.34 40	1.9482	0.0000	12,060.60 13
2023	4.3939	25.8648	37.5031	0.1162	7.0088	0.7598	7.7685	1.8799	0.7142	2.5940	0.0000	11,710.40 80	11,710.40 80	0.9617	0.0000	11,734.44 97
2024	237.0656	9.5503	14.9372	0.0238	1.2171	0.4694	1.2875	0.3229	0.4319	0.4621	0.0000	2,307.051 7	2,307.051 7	0.7164	0.0000	2,324.962 7
Maximum	237.0656	46.4460	39.6338	0.1195	18.2032	2.0456	20.2488	9.9670	1.8820	11.8490	0.0000	12,035.34 40	12,035.34 40	1.9482	0.0000	12,060.60 13

## 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/	′day	•						lb/o	day		
2021	4.2621	46.4460	31.4068	0.0635	18.2032	2.0456	20.2488	9.9670	1.8820	11.8490	0.0000	6,154.337 7	6,154.337 7	1.9472	0.0000	6,203.018 6
2022	4.7966	38.8851	39.6338	0.1195	8.8255	1.6361	10.4616	3.6369	1.5052	5.1421	0.0000	12,035.34 40	12,035.34 40	1.9482	0.0000	12,060.60 13
2023	4.3939	25.8648	37.5031	0.1162	7.0088	0.7598	7.7685	1.8799	0.7142	2.5940	0.0000	11,710.40 80	11,710.40 80	0.9617	0.0000	11,734.44 97
2024	237.0656	9.5503	14.9372	0.0238	1.2171	0.4694	1.2875	0.3229	0.4319	0.4621	0.0000	2,307.051 7	2,307.051 7	0.7164	0.0000	2,324.962 7
Maximum	237.0656	46.4460	39.6338	0.1195	18.2032	2.0456	20.2488	9.9670	1.8820	11.8490	0.0000	12,035.34 40	12,035.34 40	1.9482	0.0000	12,060.60 13
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10 Total	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 2.2 Overall Operational

## Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Area	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Energy	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
Mobile	9.5233	45.9914	110.0422	0.4681	45.9592	0.3373	46.2965	12.2950	0.3132	12.6083		47,917.80 05	47,917.80 05	2.1953		47,972.68 39
Total	40.7912	67.7872	202.7424	0.6043	45.9592	2.4640	48.4231	12.2950	2.4399	14.7349	0.0000	74,422.37 87	74,422.37 87	2.8429	0.4832	74,637.44 17

## Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	Jay		
Area	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Energy	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
Mobile	9.5233	45.9914	110.0422	0.4681	45.9592	0.3373	46.2965	12.2950	0.3132	12.6083		47,917.80 05	47,917.80 05	2.1953		47,972.68 39
Total	40.7912	67.7872	202.7424	0.6043	45.9592	2.4640	48.4231	12.2950	2.4399	14.7349	0.0000	74,422.37 87	74,422.37 87	2.8429	0.4832	74,637.44 17

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# **3.0 Construction Detail**

#### **Construction Phase**

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	9/1/2021	10/12/2021	5	30	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	10/13/2021	11/9/2021	5	20	
3	Grading	Grading	11/10/2021	1/11/2022	5	45	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	1/12/2022	12/12/2023	5	500	
5	Paving	Paving	12/13/2023	1/30/2024	5	35	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	1/31/2024	3/19/2024	5	35	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	458.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	801.00	143.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	160.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

## **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

#### 3.2 Demolition - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					3.3074	0.0000	3.3074	0.5008	0.0000	0.5008			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388		1.5513	1.5513		1.4411	1.4411		3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4
Total	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388	3.3074	1.5513	4.8588	0.5008	1.4411	1.9419		3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4

## 3.2 Demolition - 2021

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.1304	4.1454	1.0182	0.0117	0.2669	0.0128	0.2797	0.0732	0.0122	0.0854		1,269.855 5	1,269.855 5	0.0908		1,272.125 2
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0532	0.0346	0.3963	1.1100e- 003	0.1141	9.5000e- 004	0.1151	0.0303	8.8000e- 004	0.0311		110.4707	110.4707	3.3300e- 003		110.5539
Total	0.1835	4.1800	1.4144	0.0128	0.3810	0.0137	0.3948	0.1034	0.0131	0.1165		1,380.326 2	1,380.326 2	0.0941		1,382.679 1

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					3.3074	0.0000	3.3074	0.5008	0.0000	0.5008			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388		1.5513	1.5513		1.4411	1.4411	0.0000	3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4
Total	3.1651	31.4407	21.5650	0.0388	3.3074	1.5513	4.8588	0.5008	1.4411	1.9419	0.0000	3,747.944 9	3,747.944 9	1.0549		3,774.317 4

## 3.2 Demolition - 2021

### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.1304	4.1454	1.0182	0.0117	0.2669	0.0128	0.2797	0.0732	0.0122	0.0854		1,269.855 5	1,269.855 5	0.0908		1,272.125 2
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0532	0.0346	0.3963	1.1100e- 003	0.1141	9.5000e- 004	0.1151	0.0303	8.8000e- 004	0.0311		110.4707	110.4707	3.3300e- 003		110.5539
Total	0.1835	4.1800	1.4144	0.0128	0.3810	0.0137	0.3948	0.1034	0.0131	0.1165		1,380.326 2	1,380.326 2	0.0941		1,382.679 1

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380		2.0445	2.0445		1.8809	1.8809		3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3
Total	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380	18.0663	2.0445	20.1107	9.9307	1.8809	11.8116		3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3

## 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0638	0.0415	0.4755	1.3300e- 003	0.1369	1.1400e- 003	0.1381	0.0363	1.0500e- 003	0.0374		132.5649	132.5649	3.9900e- 003		132.6646
Total	0.0638	0.0415	0.4755	1.3300e- 003	0.1369	1.1400e- 003	0.1381	0.0363	1.0500e- 003	0.0374		132.5649	132.5649	3.9900e- 003		132.6646

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380		2.0445	2.0445		1.8809	1.8809	0.0000	3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3
Total	3.8882	40.4971	21.1543	0.0380	18.0663	2.0445	20.1107	9.9307	1.8809	11.8116	0.0000	3,685.656 9	3,685.656 9	1.1920		3,715.457 3

## 3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0638	0.0415	0.4755	1.3300e- 003	0.1369	1.1400e- 003	0.1381	0.0363	1.0500e- 003	0.0374		132.5649	132.5649	3.9900e- 003		132.6646
Total	0.0638	0.0415	0.4755	1.3300e- 003	0.1369	1.1400e- 003	0.1381	0.0363	1.0500e- 003	0.0374		132.5649	132.5649	3.9900e- 003		132.6646

3.4 Grading - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620		1.9853	1.9853		1.8265	1.8265		6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4
Total	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620	8.6733	1.9853	10.6587	3.5965	1.8265	5.4230		6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4

# 3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0709	0.0462	0.5284	1.4800e- 003	0.1521	1.2700e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1700e- 003	0.0415		147.2943	147.2943	4.4300e- 003	,	147.4051
Total	0.0709	0.0462	0.5284	1.4800e- 003	0.1521	1.2700e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1700e- 003	0.0415		147.2943	147.2943	4.4300e- 003		147.4051

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620		1.9853	1.9853		1.8265	1.8265	0.0000	6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4
Total	4.1912	46.3998	30.8785	0.0620	8.6733	1.9853	10.6587	3.5965	1.8265	5.4230	0.0000	6,007.043 4	6,007.043 4	1.9428		6,055.613 4

# 3.4 Grading - 2021

# Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0709	0.0462	0.5284	1.4800e- 003	0.1521	1.2700e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1700e- 003	0.0415		147.2943	147.2943	4.4300e- 003		147.4051
Total	0.0709	0.0462	0.5284	1.4800e- 003	0.1521	1.2700e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1700e- 003	0.0415		147.2943	147.2943	4.4300e- 003		147.4051

3.4 Grading - 2022

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041		6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	8.6733	1.6349	10.3082	3.5965	1.5041	5.1006		6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8

# 3.4 Grading - 2022

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category	lb/day											lb/day						
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000		
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000		
Worker	0.0665	0.0416	0.4861	1.4300e- 003	0.1521	1.2300e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1300e- 003	0.0415		142.1207	142.1207	4.0000e- 003		142.2207		
Total	0.0665	0.0416	0.4861	1.4300e- 003	0.1521	1.2300e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1300e- 003	0.0415		142.1207	142.1207	4.0000e- 003		142.2207		

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e				
Category	lb/day												lb/day							
Fugitive Dust					8.6733	0.0000	8.6733	3.5965	0.0000	3.5965			0.0000			0.0000				
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041	0.0000	6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8				
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	8.6733	1.6349	10.3082	3.5965	1.5041	5.1006	0.0000	6,011.410 5	6,011.410 5	1.9442		6,060.015 8				

Page 17 of 35

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

# 3.4 Grading - 2022

### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category	lb/day											lb/day						
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000		
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000		
Worker	0.0665	0.0416	0.4861	1.4300e- 003	0.1521	1.2300e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1300e- 003	0.0415		142.1207	142.1207	4.0000e- 003		142.2207		
Total	0.0665	0.0416	0.4861	1.4300e- 003	0.1521	1.2300e- 003	0.1534	0.0404	1.1300e- 003	0.0415		142.1207	142.1207	4.0000e- 003		142.2207		

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e			
Category	lb/day											lb/day							
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612		2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2			
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612		2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2			

## 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4284	13.1673	3.8005	0.0354	0.9155	0.0256	0.9412	0.2636	0.0245	0.2881		3,789.075 0	3,789.075 0	0.2381		3,795.028 3
Worker	2.6620	1.6677	19.4699	0.0571	6.0932	0.0493	6.1425	1.6163	0.0454	1.6617		5,691.935 4	5,691.935 4	0.1602		5,695.940 8
Total	3.0904	14.8350	23.2704	0.0926	7.0087	0.0749	7.0836	1.8799	0.0699	1.9498		9,481.010 4	9,481.010 4	0.3984		9,490.969 1

## Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090	1 1 1	0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.333 6	2,554.333 6	0.6120		2,569.632 2

## 3.5 Building Construction - 2022

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4284	13.1673	3.8005	0.0354	0.9155	0.0256	0.9412	0.2636	0.0245	0.2881		3,789.075 0	3,789.075 0	0.2381		3,795.028 3
Worker	2.6620	1.6677	19.4699	0.0571	6.0932	0.0493	6.1425	1.6163	0.0454	1.6617		5,691.935 4	5,691.935 4	0.1602		5,695.940 8
Total	3.0904	14.8350	23.2704	0.0926	7.0087	0.0749	7.0836	1.8799	0.0699	1.9498		9,481.010 4	9,481.010 4	0.3984		9,490.969 1

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584		2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584		2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1

## 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.3183	9.9726	3.3771	0.0343	0.9156	0.0122	0.9277	0.2636	0.0116	0.2752		3,671.400 7	3,671.400 7	0.2096		3,676.641 7
Worker	2.5029	1.5073	17.8820	0.0550	6.0932	0.0479	6.1411	1.6163	0.0441	1.6604		5,483.797 4	5,483.797 4	0.1442		5,487.402 0
Total	2.8211	11.4799	21.2591	0.0893	7.0088	0.0601	7.0688	1.8799	0.0557	1.9356		9,155.198 1	9,155.198 1	0.3538		9,164.043 7

## Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Off-Road	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997	- 	0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.209 9	2,555.209 9	0.6079		2,570.406 1

## 3.5 Building Construction - 2023

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.3183	9.9726	3.3771	0.0343	0.9156	0.0122	0.9277	0.2636	0.0116	0.2752		3,671.400 7	3,671.400 7	0.2096		3,676.641 7
Worker	2.5029	1.5073	17.8820	0.0550	6.0932	0.0479	6.1411	1.6163	0.0441	1.6604		5,483.797 4	5,483.797 4	0.1442		5,487.402 0
Total	2.8211	11.4799	21.2591	0.0893	7.0088	0.0601	7.0688	1.8799	0.0557	1.9356		9,155.198 1	9,155.198 1	0.3538		9,164.043 7

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694		2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694		2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6

## 3.6 Paving - 2023

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0469	0.0282	0.3349	1.0300e- 003	0.1141	9.0000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.3000e- 004	0.0311		102.6928	102.6928	2.7000e- 003		102.7603
Total	0.0469	0.0282	0.3349	1.0300e- 003	0.1141	9.0000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.3000e- 004	0.0311		102.6928	102.6928	2.7000e- 003		102.7603

## Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694	0.0000	2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.0327	10.1917	14.5842	0.0228		0.5102	0.5102		0.4694	0.4694	0.0000	2,207.584 1	2,207.584 1	0.7140		2,225.433 6

## 3.6 Paving - 2023

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0469	0.0282	0.3349	1.0300e- 003	0.1141	9.0000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.3000e- 004	0.0311		102.6928	102.6928	2.7000e- 003		102.7603
Total	0.0469	0.0282	0.3349	1.0300e- 003	0.1141	9.0000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.3000e- 004	0.0311		102.6928	102.6928	2.7000e- 003		102.7603

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		,	0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3

## 3.6 Paving - 2024

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0444	0.0257	0.3114	1.0000e- 003	0.1141	8.8000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.1000e- 004	0.0311		99.5045	99.5045	2.4700e- 003		99.5663
Total	0.0444	0.0257	0.3114	1.0000e- 003	0.1141	8.8000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.1000e- 004	0.0311		99.5045	99.5045	2.4700e- 003		99.5663

## Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685		0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547 2	2,207.547 2	0.7140		2,225.396 3

## 3.6 Paving - 2024

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day		<u>.</u>					lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0444	0.0257	0.3114	1.0000e- 003	0.1141	8.8000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.1000e- 004	0.0311		99.5045	99.5045	2.4700e- 003		99.5663
Total	0.0444	0.0257	0.3114	1.0000e- 003	0.1141	8.8000e- 004	0.1150	0.0303	8.1000e- 004	0.0311		99.5045	99.5045	2.4700e- 003		99.5663

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	236.4115					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	236.5923	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

## 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.4734	0.2743	3.3220	0.0107	1.2171	9.4300e- 003	1.2266	0.3229	8.6800e- 003	0.3315		1,061.381 8	1,061.381 8	0.0264		1,062.041 0
Total	0.4734	0.2743	3.3220	0.0107	1.2171	9.4300e- 003	1.2266	0.3229	8.6800e- 003	0.3315		1,061.381 8	1,061.381 8	0.0264		1,062.041 0

## Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Archit. Coating	236.4115					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	236.5923	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e- 003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

## 3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

## Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.4734	0.2743	3.3220	0.0107	1.2171	9.4300e- 003	1.2266	0.3229	8.6800e- 003	0.3315		1,061.381 8	1,061.381 8	0.0264		1,062.041 0
Total	0.4734	0.2743	3.3220	0.0107	1.2171	9.4300e- 003	1.2266	0.3229	8.6800e- 003	0.3315		1,061.381 8	1,061.381 8	0.0264		1,062.041 0

## 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	9.5233	45.9914	110.0422	0.4681	45.9592	0.3373	46.2965	12.2950	0.3132	12.6083		47,917.80 05	47,917.80 05	2.1953		47,972.68 39
Unmitigated	9.5233	45.9914	110.0422	0.4681	45.9592	0.3373	46.2965	12.2950	0.3132	12.6083		47,917.80 05	47,917.80 05	2.1953		47,972.68 39

## 4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ite	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Apartments Low Rise	145.75	154.25	154.00	506,227	506,227
Apartments Mid Rise	4,026.75	3,773.25	4075.50	13,660,065	13,660,065
General Office Building	288.45	62.55	31.05	706,812	706,812
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	2,368.80	2,873.52	2817.72	3,413,937	3,413,937
Hotel	192.00	187.50	160.00	445,703	445,703
Quality Restaurant	501.12	511.92	461.20	707,488	707,488
Regional Shopping Center	528.08	601.44	357.84	1,112,221	1,112,221
Total	8,050.95	8,164.43	8,057.31	20,552,452	20,552,452

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Apartments Low Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3
Apartments Mid Rise	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3
General Office Building	16.60	8.40	6.90	33.00	48.00	19.00	77	19	4
High Turnover (Sit Down	16.60	8.40	6.90	8.50	72.50	19.00	37	20	43
Hotel	16.60	8.40	6.90	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Quality Restaurant	16.60	8.40	6.90	12.00	69.00	19.00	38	18	44
Regional Shopping Center	16.60	8.40	6.90	16.30	64.70	19.00	54	35	11

#### 4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
Apartments Low Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Apartments Mid Rise	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
General Office Building	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Hotel	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Quality Restaurant	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821
Regional Shopping Center	0.543088	0.044216	0.209971	0.116369	0.014033	0.006332	0.021166	0.033577	0.002613	0.001817	0.005285	0.000712	0.000821

## 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.7660	6.7462	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7

## 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Land Use	kBTU/yr		lb/day									lb/day						
Apartments Low Rise	1119.16	0.0121	0.1031	0.0439	6.6000e- 004		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		131.6662	131.6662	2.5200e- 003	2.4100e- 003	132.4486	
Apartments Mid Rise	35784.3	0.3859	3.2978	1.4033	0.0211		0.2666	0.2666		0.2666	0.2666		4,209.916 4	4,209.916 4	0.0807	0.0772	4,234.933 9	
General Office Building	1283.42	0.0138	0.1258	0.1057	7.5000e- 004		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		150.9911	150.9911	2.8900e- 003	2.7700e- 003	151.8884	
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.2455	2.2314	1.8743	0.0134		0.1696	0.1696		0.1696	0.1696		2,677.634 2	2,677.634 2	0.0513	0.0491	2,693.546 0	
Hotel	4769.72	0.0514	0.4676	0.3928	2.8100e- 003		0.0355	0.0355	,	0.0355	0.0355		561.1436	561.1436	0.0108	0.0103	564.4782	
Quality Restaurant	5057.75	0.0545	0.4959	0.4165	2.9800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377	1	0.0377	0.0377		595.0298	595.0298	0.0114	0.0109	598.5658	
Regional Shopping Center		2.7100e- 003	0.0247	0.0207	1.5000e- 004		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003	1	1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		29.6019	29.6019	5.7000e- 004	5.4000e- 004	29.7778	
Total		0.7660	6.7463	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7	

## 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

## Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Land Use	kBTU/yr		lb/day									lb/day						
Apartments Low Rise	1.11916	0.0121	0.1031	0.0439	6.6000e- 004		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		8.3400e- 003	8.3400e- 003		131.6662	131.6662	2.5200e- 003	2.4100e- 003	132.4486	
Apartments Mid Rise	35.7843	0.3859	3.2978	1.4033	0.0211		0.2666	0.2666		0.2666	0.2666		4,209.916 4	4,209.916 4	0.0807	0.0772	4,234.933 9	
General Office Building	1.28342	0.0138	0.1258	0.1057	7.5000e- 004		9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003	       	9.5600e- 003	9.5600e- 003		150.9911	150.9911	2.8900e- 003	2.7700e- 003	151.8884	
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)		0.2455	2.2314	1.8743	0.0134		0.1696	0.1696	, , , , ,	0.1696	0.1696		2,677.634 2	2,677.634 2	0.0513	0.0491	2,693.546 0	
Hotel	4.76972	0.0514	0.4676	0.3928	2.8100e- 003		0.0355	0.0355		0.0355	0.0355		561.1436	561.1436	0.0108	0.0103	564.4782	
Quality Restaurant	5.05775	0.0545	0.4959	0.4165	2.9800e- 003		0.0377	0.0377		0.0377	0.0377		595.0298	595.0298	0.0114	0.0109	598.5658	
Regional Shopping Center		2.7100e- 003	0.0247	0.0207	1.5000e- 004		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		1.8700e- 003	1.8700e- 003		29.6019	29.6019	5.7000e- 004	5.4000e- 004	29.7778	
Total		0.7660	6.7463	4.2573	0.0418		0.5292	0.5292		0.5292	0.5292		8,355.983 2	8,355.983 2	0.1602	0.1532	8,405.638 7	

## 6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Page 33 of 35

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92
Unmitigated	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

## 6.2 Area by SubCategory

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day											lb/c	lay			
Architectural Coating	2.2670					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	24.1085					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	1.6500	14.1000	6.0000	0.0900		1.1400	1.1400		1.1400	1.1400	0.0000	18,000.00 00	18,000.00 00	0.3450	0.3300	18,106.96 50
Landscaping	2.4766	0.9496	82.4430	4.3600e- 003		0.4574	0.4574		0.4574	0.4574		148.5950	148.5950	0.1424		152.1542
Total	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

## 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### **Mitigated**

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day												lb/c	day		
Architectural Coating	2.2670					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	24.1085					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	1.6500	14.1000	6.0000	0.0900		1.1400	1.1400		1.1400	1.1400	0.0000	18,000.00 00	18,000.00 00	0.3450	0.3300	18,106.96 50
Landscaping	2.4766	0.9496	82.4430	4.3600e- 003		0.4574	0.4574		0.4574	0.4574		148.5950	148.5950	0.1424		152.1542
Total	30.5020	15.0496	88.4430	0.0944		1.5974	1.5974		1.5974	1.5974	0.0000	18,148.59 50	18,148.59 50	0.4874	0.3300	18,259.11 92

## 7.0 Water Detail

## 7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

## 8.0 Waste Detail

#### 8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

## 9.0 Operational Offroad

## **10.0 Stationary Equipment**

#### Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
Boilers						
Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type	
User Defined Equipment						
Equipment Type	Number					
11.0 Vegetation		-				

## Attachment C

Local Hire Provision Net Change	
Without Local Hire Provision	
Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e)	3,623
Amortized (MT CO2e/year)	120.77
With Local Hire Provision	
Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e)	3,024
Amortized (MT CO2e/year)	100.80
% Decrease in Construction-related GHG Emissions	17%

# EXHIBIT B



## Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Chemical Fate and Transport & Air Dispersion Modeling

Principal Environmental Chemist

**Risk Assessment & Remediation Specialist** 

## **Education**

Ph.D. Soil Chemistry, University of Washington, 1999. Dissertation on volatile organic compound filtration.M.S. Environmental Science, U.C. Berkeley, 1995. Thesis on organic waste economics.B.A. Environmental Studies, U.C. Santa Barbara, 1991. Thesis on wastewater treatment.

## **Professional Experience**

Dr. Rosenfeld has over 25 years' experience conducting environmental investigations and risk assessments for evaluating impacts to human health, property, and ecological receptors. His expertise focuses on the fate and transport of environmental contaminants, human health risk, exposure assessment, and ecological restoration. Dr. Rosenfeld has evaluated and modeled emissions from unconventional oil drilling operations, oil spills, landfills, boilers and incinerators, process stacks, storage tanks, confined animal feeding operations, and many other industrial and agricultural sources. His project experience ranges from monitoring and modeling of pollution sources to evaluating impacts of pollution on workers at industrial facilities and residents in surrounding communities.

Dr. Rosenfeld has investigated and designed remediation programs and risk assessments for contaminated sites containing lead, heavy metals, mold, bacteria, particulate matter, petroleum hydrocarbons, chlorinated solvents, pesticides, radioactive waste, dioxins and furans, semi- and volatile organic compounds, PCBs, PAHs, perchlorate, asbestos, per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFOA/PFOS), unusual polymers, fuel oxygenates (MTBE), among other pollutants. Dr. Rosenfeld also has experience evaluating greenhouse gas emissions from various projects and is an expert on the assessment of odors from industrial and agricultural sites, as well as the evaluation of odor nuisance impacts and technologies for abatement of odorous emissions. As a principal scientist at SWAPE, Dr. Rosenfeld directs air dispersion modeling and exposure assessments. He has served as an expert witness and testified about pollution sources causing nuisance and/or personal injury at dozens of sites and has testified as an expert witness on more than ten cases involving exposure to air contaminants from industrial sources.

## **Professional History:**

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE); 2003 to present; Principal and Founding Partner UCLA School of Public Health; 2007 to 2011; Lecturer (Assistant Researcher) UCLA School of Public Health; 2003 to 2006; Adjunct Professor UCLA Environmental Science and Engineering Program; 2002-2004; Doctoral Intern Coordinator UCLA Institute of the Environment, 2001-2002; Research Associate Komex H<sub>2</sub>O Science, 2001 to 2003; Senior Remediation Scientist National Groundwater Association, 2002-2004; Lecturer San Diego State University, 1999-2001; Adjunct Professor Anteon Corp., San Diego, 2000-2001; Remediation Project Manager Ogden (now Amec), San Diego, 2000-2000; Remediation Project Manager Bechtel, San Diego, California, 1999 - 2000; Risk Assessor King County, Seattle, 1996 - 1999; Scientist James River Corp., Washington, 1995-96; Scientist Big Creek Lumber, Davenport, California, 1995; Scientist Plumas Corp., California and USFS, Tahoe 1993-1995; Scientist Peace Corps and World Wildlife Fund, St. Kitts, West Indies, 1991-1993; Scientist

## **Publications:**

Remy, L.L., Clay T., Byers, V., **Rosenfeld P. E.** (2019) Hospital, Health, and Community Burden After Oil Refinery Fires, Richmond, California 2007 and 2012. *Environmental Health*. 18:48

Simons, R.A., Seo, Y. **Rosenfeld**, **P**., (2015) Modeling the Effect of Refinery Emission On Residential Property Value. Journal of Real Estate Research. 27(3):321-342

Chen, J. A, Zapata A. R., Sutherland A. J., Molmen, D.R., Chow, B. S., Wu, L. E., **Rosenfeld, P. E.,** Hesse, R. C., (2012) Sulfur Dioxide and Volatile Organic Compound Exposure To A Community In Texas City Texas Evaluated Using Aermod and Empirical Data. *American Journal of Environmental Science*, 8(6), 622-632.

Rosenfeld, P.E. & Feng, L. (2011). The Risks of Hazardous Waste. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2011). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Agrochemical Industry, Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Gonzalez, J., Feng, L., Sutherland, A., Waller, C., Sok, H., Hesse, R., **Rosenfeld**, **P.** (2010). PCBs and Dioxins/Furans in Attic Dust Collected Near Former PCB Production and Secondary Copper Facilities in Sauget, IL. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*. 113–125.

Feng, L., Wu, C., Tam, L., Sutherland, A.J., Clark, J.J., **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2010). Dioxin and Furan Blood Lipid and Attic Dust Concentrations in Populations Living Near Four Wood Treatment Facilities in the United States. *Journal of Environmental Health*. 73(6), 34-46.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2010). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Wood and Paper Industries. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2009). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Petroleum Industry. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., **Rosenfeld**, P. (2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. *WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment, Air Pollution*, 123 (17), 319-327.

Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2008). A Statistical Analysis Of Attic Dust And Blood Lipid Concentrations Of Tetrachloro-p-Dibenzodioxin (TCDD) Toxicity Equivalency Quotients (TEQ) In Two Populations Near Wood Treatment Facilities. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 002252-002255.

Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2008). Methods For Collect Samples For Assessing Dioxins And Other Environmental Contaminants In Attic Dust: A Review. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 000527-000530.

Hensley, A.R. A. Scott, J. J. J. Clark, **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2007). Attic Dust and Human Blood Samples Collected near a Former Wood Treatment Facility. *Environmental Research*. 105, 194-197.

**Rosenfeld**, **P.E.**, J. J. J. Clark, A. R. Hensley, M. Suffet. (2007). The Use of an Odor Wheel Classification for Evaluation of Human Health Risk Criteria for Compost Facilities. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 345-357.

Rosenfeld, P. E., M. Suffet. (2007). The Anatomy Of Odour Wheels For Odours Of Drinking Water, Wastewater, Compost And The Urban Environment. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 335-344.

Sullivan, P. J. Clark, J.J.J., Agardy, F. J., Rosenfeld, P.E. (2007). *Toxic Legacy, Synthetic Toxins in the Food, Water, and Air in American Cities.* Boston Massachusetts: Elsevier Publishing

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9),171-178.

**Rosenfeld P. E.,** J.J. Clark, I.H. (Mel) Suffet (2004). The Value of An Odor-Quality-Wheel Classification Scheme For The Urban Environment. *Water Environment Federation's Technical Exhibition and Conference (WEFTEC) 2004*. New Orleans, October 2-6, 2004.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet, I.H. (2004). Understanding Odorants Associated With Compost, Biomass Facilities, and the Land Application of Biosolids. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9), 193-199.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash, *Water Science and Technology*, 49(9), 171-178.

**Rosenfeld, P. E.**, Grey, M. A., Sellew, P. (2004). Measurement of Biosolids Odor and Odorant Emissions from Windrows, Static Pile and Biofilter. *Water Environment Research*. 76(4), 310-315.

**Rosenfeld, P.E.,** Grey, M and Suffet, M. (2002). Compost Demonstration Project, Sacramento California Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Integrated Waste Management Board Public Affairs Office*, Publications Clearinghouse (MS–6), Sacramento, CA Publication #442-02-008.

**Rosenfeld**, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (2001). Characterization of odor emissions from three different biosolids. *Water Soil and Air Pollution*. 127(1-4), 173-191.

**Rosenfeld**, **P.E.**, and Henry C. L., (2000). Wood ash control of odor emissions from biosolids application. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 29, 1662-1668.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry and D. Bennett. (2001). Wastewater dewatering polymer affect on biosolids odor emissions and microbial activity. *Water Environment Research*. 73(4), 363-367.

**Rosenfeld**, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (2001). Activated Carbon and Wood Ash Sorption of Wastewater, Compost, and Biosolids Odorants. *Water Environment Research*, 73, 388-393.

**Rosenfeld**, **P.E.**, and Henry C. L., (2001). High carbon wood ash effect on biosolids microbial activity and odor. *Water Environment Research*. 131(1-4), 247-262.

Chollack, T. and **P. Rosenfeld.** (1998). Compost Amendment Handbook For Landscaping. Prepared for and distributed by the City of Redmond, Washington State.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1992). The Mount Liamuiga Crater Trail. Heritage Magazine of St. Kitts, 3(2).

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1993). High School Biogas Project to Prevent Deforestation On St. Kitts. *Biomass Users Network*, 7(1).

**Rosenfeld, P. E.** (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions From Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. Doctoral Thesis. University of Washington College of Forest Resources.

**Rosenfeld, P. E.** (1994). Potential Utilization of Small Diameter Trees on Sierra County Public Land. Masters thesis reprinted by the Sierra County Economic Council. Sierra County, California.

**Rosenfeld**, **P. E.** (1991). How to Build a Small Rural Anaerobic Digester & Uses Of Biogas In The First And Third World. Bachelors Thesis. University of California.

## **Presentations:**

**Rosenfeld**, P.E., Sutherland, A; Hesse, R.; Zapata, A. (October 3-6, 2013). Air dispersion modeling of volatile organic emissions from multiple natural gas wells in Decatur, TX. 44th Western Regional Meeting, American Chemical Society. Lecture conducted from Santa Clara, CA.

Sok, H.L.; Waller, C.C.; Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sutherland, A.J.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; Hesse, R.C.; **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (June 20-23, 2010). Atrazine: A Persistent Pesticide in Urban Drinking Water. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.

Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sok, H.L.; Sutherland, A.J.; Waller, C.C.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; La, M.; Hesse, R.C.; **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (June 20-23, 2010). Bringing Environmental Justice to East St. Louis, Illinois. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.

**Rosenfeld, P.E.** (April 19-23, 2009). Perfluoroctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluoroactane Sulfonate (PFOS) Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. 2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting, Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.

**Rosenfeld, P.E.** (April 19-23, 2009). Cost to Filter Atrazine Contamination from Drinking Water in the United States" Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. *2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting*. Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., **Rosenfeld, P**. (20-22 July, 2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. Brebbia, C.A. and Popov, V., eds., *Air Pollution XVII: Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Modeling, Monitoring and Management of Air Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Tallinn, Estonia.

**Rosenfeld, P. E.** (October 15-18, 2007). Moss Point Community Exposure To Contaminants From A Releasing Facility. *The 23<sup>rd</sup> Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

**Rosenfeld, P. E.** (October 15-18, 2007). The Repeated Trespass of Tritium-Contaminated Water Into A Surrounding Community Form Repeated Waste Spills From A Nuclear Power Plant. *The 23<sup>rd</sup> Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

**Rosenfeld, P. E.** (October 15-18, 2007). Somerville Community Exposure To Contaminants From Wood Treatment Facility Emissions. The 23<sup>rd</sup> Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water. Lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

**Rosenfeld P. E.** (March 2007). Production, Chemical Properties, Toxicology, & Treatment Case Studies of 1,2,3-Trichloropropane (TCP). *The Association for Environmental Health and Sciences (AEHS) Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.

**Rosenfeld P. E.** (March 2007). Blood and Attic Sampling for Dioxin/Furan, PAH, and Metal Exposure in Florala, Alabama. *The AEHS Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.

Hensley A.R., Scott, A., **Rosenfeld P.E.**, Clark, J.J.J. (August 21 – 25, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *The 26th International Symposium on Halogenated Persistent Organic Pollutants – DIOXIN2006*. Lecture conducted from Radisson SAS Scandinavia Hotel in Oslo Norway.

Hensley A.R., Scott, A., **Rosenfeld P.E.**, Clark, J.J.J. (November 4-8, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *APHA 134 Annual Meeting & Exposition*. Lecture conducted from Boston Massachusetts.

**Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D**. (October 24-25, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. Mealey's C8/PFOA. *Science, Risk & Litigation Conference*. Lecture conducted from The Rittenhouse Hotel, Philadelphia, PA.

**Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D**. (September 19, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, *Toxicology and Remediation PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel, Irvine California.

**Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D**. (September 19, 2005). Fate, Transport, Toxicity, And Persistence of 1,2,3-TCP. *PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel in Irvine, California.

**Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D**. (September 26-27, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PDBEs. *Mealey's Groundwater Conference*. Lecture conducted from Ritz Carlton Hotel, Marina Del Ray, California.

**Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D.** (June 7-8, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. *International Society of Environmental Forensics: Focus On Emerging Contaminants*. Lecture conducted from Sheraton Oceanfront Hotel, Virginia Beach, Virginia.

**Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D**. (July 21-22, 2005). Fate Transport, Persistence and Toxicology of PFOA and Related Perfluorochemicals. 2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water And Environmental Law Conference. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.

**Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D**. (July 21-22, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, Toxicology and Remediation. 2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.

**Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.** and James Clark Ph.D. and Rob Hesse R.G. (May 5-6, 2004). Tert-butyl Alcohol Liability and Toxicology, A National Problem and Unquantified Liability. *National Groundwater Association. Environmental Law Conference*. Lecture conducted from Congress Plaza Hotel, Chicago Illinois.

**Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.** (March 2004). Perchlorate Toxicology. *Meeting of the American Groundwater Trust*. Lecture conducted from Phoenix Arizona.

Hagemann, M.F., **Paul Rosenfeld**, **Ph.D.** and Rob Hesse (2004). Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. *Meeting of tribal representatives*. Lecture conducted from Parker, AZ.

**Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.** (April 7, 2004). A National Damage Assessment Model For PCE and Dry Cleaners. *Drycleaner Symposium. California Ground Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Radison Hotel, Sacramento, California.

Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M., (June 2003) Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. Seventh International In Situ And On Site Bioremediation Symposium Battelle Conference Orlando, FL.

**Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.** and James Clark Ph.D. (February 20-21, 2003) Understanding Historical Use, Chemical Properties, Toxicity and Regulatory Guidance of 1,4 Dioxane. *National Groundwater Association. Southwest Focus Conference. Water Supply and Emerging Contaminants.*. Lecture conducted from Hyatt Regency Phoenix Arizona.

**Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.** (February 6-7, 2003). Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *California CUPA Forum*. Lecture conducted from Marriott Hotel, Anaheim California.

**Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.** (October 23, 2002) Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *EPA Underground Storage Tank Roundtable*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.

**Rosenfeld**, **P.E**. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Understanding Odor from Compost, *Wastewater and Industrial Processes. Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.

**Rosenfeld**, **P.E**. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Using High Carbon Wood Ash to Control Compost Odor. *Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.

**Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** and Grey, M. A. (September 22-24, 2002). Biocycle Composting For Coastal Sage Restoration. *Northwest Biosolids Management Association*. Lecture conducted from Vancouver Washington..

**Rosenfeld, P.E.** and Grey, M. A. (November 11-14, 2002). Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Soil Science Society Annual Conference*. Lecture conducted from Indianapolis, Maryland.

**Rosenfeld.** P.E. (September 16, 2000). Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. *Water Environment Federation*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

Rosenfeld. P.E. (October 16, 2000). Wood ash and biofilter control of compost odor. *Biofest*. Lecture conducted from Ocean Shores, California.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (2000). Bioremediation Using Organic Soil Amendments. *California Resource Recovery Association*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. *Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings*. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

**Rosenfeld**, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (1999). An evaluation of ash incorporation with biosolids for odor reduction. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Salt Lake City Utah.

**Rosenfeld**, **P.E.**, C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Comparison of Microbial Activity and Odor Emissions from Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Brown and Caldwell*. Lecture conducted from Seattle Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions from Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. *Biofest.* Lecture conducted from Lake Chelan, Washington.

**Rosenfeld, P.E,** C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. B. Harrison, and R. Dills. (1997). Comparison of Odor Emissions From Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

## **Teaching Experience:**

UCLA Department of Environmental Health (Summer 2003 through 20010) Taught Environmental Health Science 100 to students, including undergrad, medical doctors, public health professionals and nurses. Course focused on the health effects of environmental contaminants.

National Ground Water Association, Successful Remediation Technologies. Custom Course in Sante Fe, New Mexico. May 21, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of fuel contaminants associated with underground storage tanks.

National Ground Water Association; Successful Remediation Technologies Course in Chicago Illinois. April 1, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of contaminants associated with Superfund and RCRA sites.

California Integrated Waste Management Board, April and May, 2001. Alternative Landfill Caps Seminar in San Diego, Ventura, and San Francisco. Focused on both prescriptive and innovative landfill cover design.

UCLA Department of Environmental Engineering, February 5, 2002. Seminar on Successful Remediation Technologies focusing on Groundwater Remediation.

University Of Washington, Soil Science Program, Teaching Assistant for several courses including: Soil Chemistry, Organic Soil Amendments, and Soil Stability.

U.C. Berkeley, Environmental Science Program Teaching Assistant for Environmental Science 10.

## **Academic Grants Awarded:**

California Integrated Waste Management Board. \$41,000 grant awarded to UCLA Institute of the Environment. Goal: To investigate effect of high carbon wood ash on volatile organic emissions from compost. 2001.

Synagro Technologies, Corona California: \$10,000 grant awarded to San Diego State University. Goal: investigate effect of biosolids for restoration and remediation of degraded coastal sage soils. 2000.

King County, Department of Research and Technology, Washington State. \$100,000 grant awarded to University of Washington: Goal: To investigate odor emissions from biosolids application and the effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions. 1998.

Northwest Biosolids Management Association, Washington State. \$20,000 grant awarded to investigate effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions from biosolids. 1997.

James River Corporation, Oregon: \$10,000 grant was awarded to investigate the success of genetically engineered Poplar trees with resistance to round-up. 1996.

United State Forest Service, Tahoe National Forest: \$15,000 grant was awarded to investigating fire ecology of the Tahoe National Forest. 1995.

Kellogg Foundation, Washington D.C. \$500 grant was awarded to construct a large anaerobic digester on St. Kitts in West Indies. 1993

## **Deposition and/or Trial Testimony:**

	*
I C	ited States District Court For The District of New Jersey Duarte et al, <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. United States Metals Refining Company et. al. <i>Defendant</i> . Case No.: 2:17-cv-01624-ES-SCM Rosenfeld Deposition. 6-7-2019
N 1 0	ited States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division M/T Carla Maersk, <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. Conti 168., Schiffahrts-GMBH & Co. Bulker KG MS "Conti Perdido" <i>Defendant</i> . Case No.: 3:15-CV-00106 consolidated with 3:15-CV-00237 Rosenfeld Deposition. 5-9-2019
(	perior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles – Santa Monica Carole-Taddeo-Bates et al., vs. Ifran Khan et al., Defendants Case No.: No. BC615636 Rosenfeld Deposition, 1-26-2019
] (	perior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles – Santa Monica The San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments et al. vs El Adobe Apts. Inc. et al., Defendants Case No.: No. BC646857 Rosenfeld Deposition, 10-6-2018; Trial 3-7-19
H C	States District Court For The District of Colorado Bells et al. Plaintiff vs. The 3M Company et al., Defendants Case: No 1:16-cv-02531-RBJ Rosenfeld Deposition, 3-15-2018 and 4-3-2018
I C	strict Court Of Regan County, Texas, 112 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District Phillip Bales et al., Plaintiff vs. Dow Agrosciences, LLC, et al., Defendants Cause No 1923 Rosenfeld Deposition, 11-17-2017
S (	perior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Contra Costa Simons et al., Plaintiffs vs. Chevron Corporation, et al., Defendants Cause No C12-01481 Rosenfeld Deposition, 11-20-2017
N C	rcuit Court Of The Twentieth Judicial Circuit, St Clair County, Illinois Martha Custer et al., Plaintiff vs. Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Defendants Case No.: No. 0i9-L-2295 Rosenfeld Deposition, 8-23-2017
N (	perior Court of the State of California, For The County of Los Angeles Warrn Gilbert and Penny Gilber, Plaintiff vs. BMW of North America LLC Case No.: LC102019 (c/w BC582154) Rosenfeld Deposition, 8-16-2017, Trail 8-28-2018
H C	rthern District Court of Mississippi, Greenville Division Brenda J. Cooper, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. Meritor Inc., et al., <i>Defendants</i> Case Number: 4:16-cv-52-DMB-JVM Possenfeld Deposition: July 2017

Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2017

In The Superior Court of the State of Washington, County of Snohomish Michael Davis and Julie Davis et al., Plaintiff vs. Cedar Grove Composting Inc., Defendants Case No.: No. 13-2-03987-5 Rosenfeld Deposition, February 2017 Trial. March 2017 In The Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda Charles Spain., Plaintiff vs. Thermo Fisher Scientific, et al., Defendants Case No.: RG14711115 Rosenfeld Deposition, September 2015 In The Iowa District Court In And For Poweshiek County Russell D. Winburn, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Doug Hoksbergen, et al., Defendants Case No.: LALA002187 Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Wapello County Jerry Dovico, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Valley View Sine LLC, et al., Defendants Law No,: LALA105144 - Division A Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Wapello County Doug Pauls, et al., et al., Plaintiffs vs. Richard Warren, et al., Defendants Law No,: LALA105144 - Division A Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Circuit Court of Ohio County, West Virginia Robert Andrews, et al. v. Antero, et al. Civil Action N0. 14-C-30000 Rosenfeld Deposition, June 2015 In The Third Judicial District County of Dona Ana, New Mexico Betty Gonzalez, et al. Plaintiffs vs. Del Oro Dairy, Del Oro Real Estate LLC, Jerry Settles and Deward DeRuyter, Defendants Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Muscatine County Laurie Freeman et. al. Plaintiffs vs. Grain Processing Corporation, Defendant Case No 4980 Rosenfeld Deposition: May 2015 In the Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, in and For Broward County, Florida Walter Hinton, et. al. Plaintiff, vs. City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, a Municipality, Defendant. Case Number CACE07030358 (26) Rosenfeld Deposition: December 2014 In the United States District Court Western District of Oklahoma Tommy McCarty, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Oklahoma City Landfill, LLC d/b/a Southeast Oklahoma City Landfill, et al. Defendants. Case No. 5:12-cv-01152-C Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2014

In the County Court of Dallas County Texas Lisa Parr et al, *Plaintiff*, vs. Aruba et al, *Defendant*. Case Number cc-11-01650-E Rosenfeld Deposition: March and September 2013 Rosenfeld Trial: April 2014

In the Court of Common Pleas of Tuscarawas County Ohio John Michael Abicht, et al., *Plaintiffs*, vs. Republic Services, Inc., et al., *Defendants* Case Number: 2008 CT 10 0741 (Cons. w/ 2009 CV 10 0987) Rosenfeld Deposition: October 2012

 In the United States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division
 Kyle Cannon, Eugene Donovan, Genaro Ramirez, Carol Sassler, and Harvey Walton, each Individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, *Plaintiffs*, vs. BP Products North America, Inc., *Defendant*. Case 3:10-cv-00622
 Rosenfeld Deposition: February 2012
 Rosenfeld Trial: April 2013

In the Circuit Court of Baltimore County Maryland

Philip E. Cvach, II et al., *Plaintiffs* vs. Two Farms, Inc. d/b/a Royal Farms, Defendants Case Number: 03-C-12-012487 OT Rosenfeld Deposition: September 2013

# EXHIBIT C



Technical Consultation, Data Analysis and Litigation Support for the Environment

> 1640 5<sup>th</sup> St., Suite 204 Santa Santa Monica, California 90401 Tel: (949) 887-9013 Email: <u>mhagemann@swape.com</u>

## Matthew F. Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg., QSD, QSP

Geologic and Hydrogeologic Characterization Industrial Stormwater Compliance Investigation and Remediation Strategies Litigation Support and Testifying Expert CEQA Review

#### Education:

M.S. Degree, Geology, California State University Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 1984. B.A. Degree, Geology, Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA, 1982.

#### **Professional Certifications:**

California Professional Geologist California Certified Hydrogeologist Qualified SWPPP Developer and Practitioner

#### **Professional Experience:**

Matt has 25 years of experience in environmental policy, assessment and remediation. He spent nine years with the U.S. EPA in the RCRA and Superfund programs and served as EPA's Senior Science Policy Advisor in the Western Regional Office where he identified emerging threats to groundwater from perchlorate and MTBE. While with EPA, Matt also served as a Senior Hydrogeologist in the oversight of the assessment of seven major military facilities undergoing base closure. He led numerous enforcement actions under provisions of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) while also working with permit holders to improve hydrogeologic characterization and water quality monitoring.

Matt has worked closely with U.S. EPA legal counsel and the technical staff of several states in the application and enforcement of RCRA, Safe Drinking Water Act and Clean Water Act regulations. Matt has trained the technical staff in the States of California, Hawaii, Nevada, Arizona and the Territory of Guam in the conduct of investigations, groundwater fundamentals, and sampling techniques.

Positions Matt has held include:

- Founding Partner, Soil/Water/Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE) (2003 present);
- Geology Instructor, Golden West College, 2010 2014;
- Senior Environmental Analyst, Komex H2O Science, Inc. (2000 -- 2003);

- Executive Director, Orange Coast Watch (2001 2004);
- Senior Science Policy Advisor and Hydrogeologist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1989–1998);
- Hydrogeologist, National Park Service, Water Resources Division (1998 2000);
- Adjunct Faculty Member, San Francisco State University, Department of Geosciences (1993 1998);
- Instructor, College of Marin, Department of Science (1990 1995);
- Geologist, U.S. Forest Service (1986 1998); and
- Geologist, Dames & Moore (1984 1986).

## Senior Regulatory and Litigation Support Analyst:

With SWAPE, Matt's responsibilities have included:

- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of over 100 environmental impact reports since 2003 under CEQA that identify significant issues with regard to hazardous waste, water resources, water quality, air quality, Valley Fever, greenhouse gas emissions, and geologic hazards. Make recommendations for additional mitigation measures to lead agencies at the local and county level to include additional characterization of health risks and implementation of protective measures to reduce worker exposure to hazards from toxins and Valley Fever.
- Stormwater analysis, sampling and best management practice evaluation at industrial facilities.
- Manager of a project to provide technical assistance to a community adjacent to a former Naval shipyard under a grant from the U.S. EPA.
- Technical assistance and litigation support for vapor intrusion concerns.
- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of environmental issues in license applications for large solar power plants before the California Energy Commission.
- Manager of a project to evaluate numerous formerly used military sites in the western U.S.
- Manager of a comprehensive evaluation of potential sources of perchlorate contamination in Southern California drinking water wells.
- Manager and designated expert for litigation support under provisions of Proposition 65 in the review of releases of gasoline to sources drinking water at major refineries and hundreds of gas stations throughout California.
- Expert witness on two cases involving MTBE litigation.
- Expert witness and litigation support on the impact of air toxins and hazards at a school.
- Expert witness in litigation at a former plywood plant.

With Komex H2O Science Inc., Matt's duties included the following:

- Senior author of a report on the extent of perchlorate contamination that was used in testimony by the former U.S. EPA Administrator and General Counsel.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of MTBE use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of perchlorate use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in a study that estimates nationwide costs for MTBE remediation and drinking water treatment, results of which were published in newspapers nationwide and in testimony against provisions of an energy bill that would limit liability for oil companies.
- Research to support litigation to restore drinking water supplies that have been contaminated by MTBE in California and New York.

- Expert witness testimony in a case of oil production-related contamination in Mississippi.
- Lead author for a multi-volume remedial investigation report for an operating school in Los Angeles that met strict regulatory requirements and rigorous deadlines.

• Development of strategic approaches for cleanup of contaminated sites in consultation with clients and regulators.

## **Executive Director:**

As Executive Director with Orange Coast Watch, Matt led efforts to restore water quality at Orange County beaches from multiple sources of contamination including urban runoff and the discharge of wastewater. In reporting to a Board of Directors that included representatives from leading Orange County universities and businesses, Matt prepared issue papers in the areas of treatment and disinfection of wastewater and control of the discharge of grease to sewer systems. Matt actively participated in the development of countywide water quality permits for the control of urban runoff and permits for the discharge of wastewater. Matt worked with other nonprofits to protect and restore water quality, including Surfrider, Natural Resources Defense Council and Orange County CoastKeeper as well as with business institutions including the Orange County Business Council.

## <u>Hydrogeology:</u>

As a Senior Hydrogeologist with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Matt led investigations to characterize and cleanup closing military bases, including Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, Treasure Island Naval Station, Alameda Naval Station, Moffett Field, Mather Army Airfield, and Sacramento Army Depot. Specific activities were as follows:

- Led efforts to model groundwater flow and contaminant transport, ensured adequacy of monitoring networks, and assessed cleanup alternatives for contaminated sediment, soil, and groundwater.
- Initiated a regional program for evaluation of groundwater sampling practices and laboratory analysis at military bases.
- Identified emerging issues, wrote technical guidance, and assisted in policy and regulation development through work on four national U.S. EPA workgroups, including the Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum and the Federal Facilities Forum.

At the request of the State of Hawaii, Matt developed a methodology to determine the vulnerability of groundwater to contamination on the islands of Maui and Oahu. He used analytical models and a GIS to show zones of vulnerability, and the results were adopted and published by the State of Hawaii and County of Maui.

As a hydrogeologist with the EPA Groundwater Protection Section, Matt worked with provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act and NEPA to prevent drinking water contamination. Specific activities included the following:

- Received an EPA Bronze Medal for his contribution to the development of national guidance for the protection of drinking water.
- Managed the Sole Source Aquifer Program and protected the drinking water of two communities through designation under the Safe Drinking Water Act. He prepared geologic reports, conducted public hearings, and responded to public comments from residents who were very concerned about the impact of designation.

• Reviewed a number of Environmental Impact Statements for planned major developments, including large hazardous and solid waste disposal facilities, mine reclamation, and water transfer.

Matt served as a hydrogeologist with the RCRA Hazardous Waste program. Duties were as follows:

- Supervised the hydrogeologic investigation of hazardous waste sites to determine compliance with Subtitle C requirements.
- Reviewed and wrote "part B" permits for the disposal of hazardous waste.
- Conducted RCRA Corrective Action investigations of waste sites and led inspections that formed the basis for significant enforcement actions that were developed in close coordination with U.S. EPA legal counsel.
- Wrote contract specifications and supervised contractor's investigations of waste sites.

With the National Park Service, Matt directed service-wide investigations of contaminant sources to prevent degradation of water quality, including the following tasks:

- Applied pertinent laws and regulations including CERCLA, RCRA, NEPA, NRDA, and the Clean Water Act to control military, mining, and landfill contaminants.
- Conducted watershed-scale investigations of contaminants at parks, including Yellowstone and Olympic National Park.
- Identified high-levels of perchlorate in soil adjacent to a national park in New Mexico and advised park superintendent on appropriate response actions under CERCLA.
- Served as a Park Service representative on the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee, a national workgroup.
- Developed a program to conduct environmental compliance audits of all National Parks while serving on a national workgroup.
- Co-authored two papers on the potential for water contamination from the operation of personal watercraft and snowmobiles, these papers serving as the basis for the development of nation-wide policy on the use of these vehicles in National Parks.
- Contributed to the Federal Multi-Agency Source Water Agreement under the Clean Water Action Plan.

## Policy:

Served senior management as the Senior Science Policy Advisor with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9. Activities included the following:

- Advised the Regional Administrator and senior management on emerging issues such as the potential for the gasoline additive MTBE and ammonium perchlorate to contaminate drinking water supplies.
- Shaped EPA's national response to these threats by serving on workgroups and by contributing to guidance, including the Office of Research and Development publication, Oxygenates in Water: Critical Information and Research Needs.
- Improved the technical training of EPA's scientific and engineering staff.
- Earned an EPA Bronze Medal for representing the region's 300 scientists and engineers in negotiations with the Administrator and senior management to better integrate scientific principles into the policy-making process.
- Established national protocol for the peer review of scientific documents.

# Geology:

With the U.S. Forest Service, Matt led investigations to determine hillslope stability of areas proposed for timber harvest in the central Oregon Coast Range. Specific activities were as follows:

- Mapped geology in the field, and used aerial photographic interpretation and mathematical models to determine slope stability.
- Coordinated his research with community members who were concerned with natural resource protection.
- Characterized the geology of an aquifer that serves as the sole source of drinking water for the city of Medford, Oregon.

As a consultant with Dames and Moore, Matt led geologic investigations of two contaminated sites (later listed on the Superfund NPL) in the Portland, Oregon, area and a large hazardous waste site in eastern Oregon. Duties included the following:

- Supervised year-long effort for soil and groundwater sampling.
- Conducted aquifer tests.
- Investigated active faults beneath sites proposed for hazardous waste disposal.

# <u>Teaching:</u>

From 1990 to 1998, Matt taught at least one course per semester at the community college and university levels:

- At San Francisco State University, held an adjunct faculty position and taught courses in environmental geology, oceanography (lab and lecture), hydrogeology, and groundwater contamination.
- Served as a committee member for graduate and undergraduate students.
- Taught courses in environmental geology and oceanography at the College of Marin.

Matt taught physical geology (lecture and lab and introductory geology at Golden West College in Huntington Beach, California from 2010 to 2014.

# Invited Testimony, Reports, Papers and Presentations:

**Hagemann**, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Presentation to the Public Environmental Law Conference, Eugene, Oregon.

**Hagemann, M.F**., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Invited presentation to U.S. EPA Region 9, San Francisco, California.

**Hagemann**, M.F., 2005. Use of Electronic Databases in Environmental Regulation, Policy Making and Public Participation. Brownfields 2005, Denver, Coloradao.

**Hagemann**, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Nevada and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Las Vegas, NV (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Invited testimony to a California Senate committee hearing on air toxins at schools in Southern California, Los Angeles.

Brown, A., Farrow, J., Gray, A. and **Hagemann, M.**, 2004. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to the Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference, National Groundwater Association.

**Hagemann, M.F.,** 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Arizona and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Phoenix, AZ (served on conference organizing committee).

**Hagemann, M.F.,** 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in the Southwestern U.S. Invited presentation to a special committee meeting of the National Academy of Sciences, Irvine, CA.

**Hagemann**, **M.F**., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a tribal EPA meeting, Pechanga, CA.

**Hagemann**, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a meeting of tribal repesentatives, Parker, AZ.

**Hagemann, M.F**., 2003. Impact of Perchlorate on the Colorado River and Associated Drinking Water Supplies. Invited presentation to the Inter-Tribal Meeting, Torres Martinez Tribe.

**Hagemann**, M.F., 2003. The Emergence of Perchlorate as a Widespread Drinking Water Contaminant. Invited presentation to the U.S. EPA Region 9.

**Hagemann**, M.F., 2003. A Deductive Approach to the Assessment of Perchlorate Contamination. Invited presentation to the California Assembly Natural Resources Committee.

**Hagemann, M.F**., 2003. Perchlorate: A Cold War Legacy in Drinking Water. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

**Hagemann**, M.F., 2002. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

**Hagemann, M.F.**, 2002. A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater and an Estimate of Costs to Address Impacts to Groundwater. Presentation to the annual meeting of the Society of Environmental Journalists.

**Hagemann, M.F**., 2002. An Estimate of the Cost to Address MTBE Contamination in Groundwater (and Who Will Pay). Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

**Hagemann, M.F**., 2002. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to a meeting of the U.S. EPA and State Underground Storage Tank Program managers.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Unpublished report.

**Hagemann, M.F**., 2001. Estimated Cleanup Cost for MTBE in Groundwater Used as Drinking Water. Unpublished report.

**Hagemann, M.F**., 2001. Estimated Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Leaking Underground Storage Tanks. Unpublished report.

**Hagemann, M.F.**, and VanMouwerik, M., 1999. Potential Water Quality Concerns Related to Snowmobile Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

VanMouwerik, M. and **Hagemann**, M.F. 1999, Water Quality Concerns Related to Personal Watercraft Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

**Hagemann, M.F.**, 1999, Is Dilution the Solution to Pollution in National Parks? The George Wright Society Biannual Meeting, Asheville, North Carolina.

**Hagemann, M.F.**, 1997, The Potential for MTBE to Contaminate Groundwater. U.S. EPA Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum Annual Meeting, Las Vegas, Nevada.

**Hagemann, M.F**., and Gill, M., 1996, Impediments to Intrinsic Remediation, Moffett Field Naval Air Station, Conference on Intrinsic Remediation of Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, Salt Lake City.

**Hagemann, M.F**., Fukunaga, G.L., 1996, The Vulnerability of Groundwater to Anthropogenic Contaminants on the Island of Maui, Hawaii. Hawaii Water Works Association Annual Meeting, Maui, October 1996.

**Hagemann, M. F**., Fukanaga, G. L., 1996, Ranking Groundwater Vulnerability in Central Oahu, Hawaii. Proceedings, Geographic Information Systems in Environmental Resources Management, Air and Waste Management Association Publication VIP-61.

**Hagemann, M.F.**, 1994. Groundwater Characterization and Cleanup at Closing Military Bases in California. Proceedings, California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting.

**Hagemann, M.**F. and Sabol, M.A., 1993. Role of the U.S. EPA in the High Plains States Groundwater Recharge Demonstration Program. Proceedings, Sixth Biennial Symposium on the Artificial Recharge of Groundwater.

Hagemann, M.F., 1993. U.S. EPA Policy on the Technical Impracticability of the Cleanup of DNAPLcontaminated Groundwater. California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting. **Hagemann, M.F**., 1992. Dense Nonaqueous Phase Liquid Contamination of Groundwater: An Ounce of Prevention... Proceedings, Association of Engineering Geologists Annual Meeting, v. 35.

# **Other Experience:**

Selected as subject matter expert for the California Professional Geologist licensing examination, 2009-2011.

Attachment #7: IS/MND Comment Letter – County of Los Angeles Fire Department (7/1/2022)



# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES **FIRE DEPARTMENT**

**1320 NORTH EASTERN AVENUE** LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90063-3294 (323) 881-2401 www.fire.lacounty.gov

"Proud Protectors of Life, Property, and the Environment"

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

HILDA L. SOLIS FIRST DISTRICT

HOLLY J. MITCHELL SECOND DISTRICT

> SHEILA KUEHL THIRD DISTRICT

JANICE HAHN FOURTH DISTRICT

**KATHRYN BARGER** FIFTH DISTRICT

DARYL L. OSBY FIRE CHIEF FORESTER & FIRE WARDEN

July 1,2022

Vince Velasco, Associate Planner City of Santa Fe Springs Planning Department 11710 E. Telegraph Road Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670

Dear Mr. Velasco:

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION. "THE 12118 BLOOMFIELD AVENUE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT" PROPOSES TO DEMOLISH THE 5 EXISTING BUILDINGS AND PARKING LOT CURRENTLY OCCUPIED WITH A **COMMERCIAL FENCE CONTRACTOR AND REDEVELOP THE 5.16-ACRE PROJECT** SITE WITH A NEW TWO-UNIT WAREHOUSE BUILDING TOTALING 109,570 SQUARE FEET WITH 16 LOADING DOCKS, CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS, FFER2022006940

The Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration has been reviewed by the Planning Division, Land Development Unit, Forestry Division, and Health Hazardous Materials Division of the County of Los Angeles Fire Department.

The following are their comments:

#### PLANNING DIVISION:

The subject property is entirely within the City of Santa Fe Springs, which is not a part of the emergency response area of the Los Angeles County Fire Department (also known as the Consolidated Fire Protection District of Los Angeles County). Therefore, this project does not appear to have any impact on the emergency responsibilities of this Department.

For any questions regarding this response, please contact Kien Chin, Planning Analyst, at (323) 881-2404 or Kien.Chin@fire.lacounty.gov.

AGOURA HILLS ARTESIA AZUSA BALDWIN PARK BELL BELL GARDENS BELLFLOWER BRADBURY CALABASAS

CARSON CERRITOS CLAREMONT COMMERCE COVINA CUDAHY DIAMOND BAR DUARTE

EL MONTE GARDENA GLENDORA HAWAIIAN GARDENS HAWTHORNE HERMOSA BEACH HIDDEN HILLS HUNTINGTON PARK INDUSTRY

SERVING THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND THE CITIES OF: INGLEWOOD IRWINDALE LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE I A HABRA LA MIRADA LA PUENTE LAKEWOOD LANCASTER

LAWNDALE LOMITA LYNWOOD MALIBU MAYWOOD NORWALK PALMDALE PARAMOUNT

PALOS VERDES ESTATES

PICO RIVERA POMONA RANCHO PALOS VERDES ROLLING HILLS **ROLLING HILLS ESTATES** ROSEMEAD SAN DIMAS SANTA CLARITA

SIGNAL HILL SOUTH EL MONTE SOUTH GATE TEMPLE CITY VERNON WAI NUT WEST HOLLYWOOD WESTLAKE VILLAGE WHITTIER

Vince Velasco, Associate Planner July 1, 2022 Page 2

#### LAND DEVELOPMENT UNIT:

This project is located entirely in the City of Santa Fe Springs therefore, the City of Santa Fe Springs Fire Department has the jurisdiction concerning this project and will be setting conditions.

This project is in close proximity to the jurisdictional area of Los Angeles County Fire Department; however, this project is unlikely to have an impact that necessitates a comment concerning general requirements from the Land Development Unit of the Los Angeles County Fire Department.

For any questions regarding the report, please contact FPEA Claudia Soiza at (323) 890-4243 or <u>claudia.soiza@fire.lacounty.gov</u>

#### FORESTRY DIVISION - OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS:

The statutory responsibilities of the County of Los Angeles Fire Department, Forestry Division include erosion control, watershed management, rare and endangered species, brush clearance, vegetation management, fuel modification for Fire Hazard Severity Zones, archeological and cultural resources, and the County Oak Tree Ordinance.

For any questions regarding this response, please contact Forestry Assistant, Nicholas Alegria at (818) 890-5719.

#### HEALTH HAZARDOUS MATERIALS DIVISION:

The Health Hazardous Materials Division of the Los Angeles County Fire Department has no jurisdiction in the City of Santa Fe Springs.

Please contact HHMD Hazardous Materials Specialist III, Jennifer Levenson at (323) 890-4114 or Jennifer Levenson@fire.lacounty.gov if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Router C.

RONALD M. DURBIN, CHIEF, FORESTRY DIVISION PREVENTION SERVICES BUREAU

RMD:pg

Attachment #8: IS/MND – Response to Comments

# Response to Comments on the Public Review Draft MND

This memo contains responses to the comments that the City of Santa Fe Springs (Lead Agency) received on the Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the 12118 Bloomfield Avenue Development Project during the public review period, which began June 8, 2022 and closed June 28, 2022 (SCH No. 2022060167). This document has been prepared in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as amended (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.) and the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15000 et seq.) and represents the independent judgment of the Lead Agency. This document and the circulated IS/MND together comprise the Final MND.

The following public comments were submitted to the City of Santa Fe Springs during the public review period:

- 1. Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts, Received June 21, 2022 (2 pages)
- 2. Mitchell M. Tsai, Attorney at Law, on behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters, Received June 28, 2022 (265 pages)
- 3. County of Los Angeles Fire Department, Received July 1, 2022 (2 pages)

The public comments and responses to comments are included in the public record and are available to the Lead Agency decision-makers for their review and consideration prior to making their decision. Pursuant to CEQA Statute Section 21155.2(b)(5), none of the comments provide substantial evidence that the Project will have significant environmental effects which would require preparation of an Environmental Impact Report. None of this new material indicates that the Project will result in a significant environmental impact or an increase in a less than significant impact previously disclosed in the 12118 Bloomfield Avenue MND.

This Response to Comments includes minor revisions to the Public Review Draft MND based upon: (1) clarifications required to prepare a response to a specific comment; and/or (2) typographical errors. These revisions do not alter any impact significance conclusions as disclosed in the MND. Changes made to the MND are identified here in strikeout text to indicate deletions and in <u>underlined</u> text to signify additions. These revisions are also outlined in Chapter 3, MND Errata. Revisions to the Public Review Draft MND.

Although CEQA Statute Section 21155 does not require a Lead Agency to prepare written responses to comments received, the City of Santa Fe Springs has elected to prepare the following written responses with the intent of conducting a comprehensive and meaningful evaluation of the proposed Project. The number designations in the responses are correlated to the bracketed and identified portions of each comment letter.

#### **RESPONSE TO COMMENT LETTER 1: Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts**

**Response to Comment 1.1:** This comment introduces the comment letter from the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts (Districts). This comment informs that the Project is located within the jurisdictional boundaries of the Districts and has inquiries regarding the Project. The comment does not contain any information requiring changes to the MND. No further response is warranted.

**Response to Comment 1.2**: This comment states that the wastewater from the Project would discharge into an existing sewer line that is not maintained by the Districts, located within Bloomfield Avenue, which would then convey to the Districts' Bloomfield Avenue Trunk Sewer, located in Imperial Highway, south of the Project. The trunk sewer has a capacity of 2.6 million gallons per day (mgd). This comment provides information regarding existing conditions and does not relate to any environmental impacts. No further response is required.

**Response to Comment 1.3:** This comment states that the Project is expected to increase the average wastewater flow by 2,136 gallons per day as calculated from the Districts' average wastewater generation factors (provided as a link: <u>Table 1. Loadings for Each Class of Land Use</u>). The average flow was calculated for a total of 107,146 square feet of warehouse use and a total of 5,604 square feet of office use. Per conversation with Mandy Huffman from the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts on June 30, 2022, the warehouse and office use totals were calculated incorrectly in the comment letter and the correct totals, as calculated from the square footages in the MND, are 99,265 square feet of industrial use and 10,305 square feet of office use, which includes the mezzanine. Using the wastewater generation factors and subtracting the 66,536 square feet of existing industrial use, the increase in daily flow would total 2,879 gallons per day. Per comment 1.2, the trunk sewer that would serve the Project has a capacity of 2.6 mgd. The increase in wastewater generation from the site would result in 0.11% of the total capacity of the trunk sewer and would not result in any significant impacts to the Districts' sewer. Chapter 3, *MND Errata*, reflects the correct increase in wastewater flow and impacts would be less than significant. No further response is warranted.

**Response to Comment 1.4:** This comment provides information regarding the Los Coyotes Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) which would treat wastewater from the Project. The reclamation plant has additional capacity of 14.4 mgd per the comment letter and the Project is anticipated to result in an increase of 2,879 gallons per day. Therefore, the Los Coyotes Water Reclamation Plant can service the proposed Project. The WRP's ability to adequately serve the Project is described in section 3.9.19 threshold c. Refer to Chapter 3, *MND Errata*, which has been revised to describe the Los Coyotes WRP's ability to service the Project. As such, no further response is required.

**Response to Comment 1.5:** This comment states that the Project may be required to pay a fee to the Districts' Sewerage System as allowed by the California Health and Safety Code. The Project would pay any required connection fee and therefore, would comply with comment 2.5. Therefore, no further response is warranted.

**Response to Comment 1.6:** This comment discusses the Districts' requirement of the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA) and its relationship to the regional growth forecast adopted by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). Additionally, all expansions of Districts' facilities must be in accordance with SCAG regional growth forecasts for the related county. As stated in response to comments 1.3 and 1.4, the Project would result in a nominal increase in average daily flow and therefore, the designated reclamation plan – the Los Coyotes Water Reclamation Plant, is able to adequately serve the Project site and no significant impacts would occur. No further response is warranted.

### RESPONSE TO COMMENT LETTER 2: Mitchell M. Tsai, Attorney at Law

**Response to Comment 2.1:** This comment introduces the comment letter, and that the commenter is writing on behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters ("SWRCC"). This comment provides background on the SWRCC and Project and introduces the comment letter. Additionally, the comment requests the City notify the firm of any and all notices related to the proposed Project. The firm and SWRCC will be notified regarding any CEQA actions and public hearings related to the Project. The comment does not contain any information requiring changes to the MND. No further response is warranted.

**Response to Comment 2.2**: This comment states that the City should require the applicant to provide additional community benefits such as requiring local hire to build the Project. The comment further states that the use of local hire serves to reduce length of trips, decrease greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, decrease air pollutants, decrease vehicle miles travelled (VMT), and provides localized economic benefits. As discussed in the MND in Section 3.9.3, *Air Quality*, emissions of air pollutants from construction would be less than the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) thresholds. As discussed in Section 3.9.8, *Greenhouse Gas Emissions*, of the MND, GHG emissions from construction and operation would be less than the SCAQMD thresholds. As discussed in Section 3.9.17, *Transportation*, the proposed Project would result in 182 fewer net daily PCE trips. As such, no mitigation related to air quality, GHG emissions, or VMT would be required, and impacts would be less than significant. Therefore, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4, subd. (a)(4)(A)–(B), there is no nexus between mitigation requiring local hire and impacts from the Project on air quality, GHG emissions, and VMT. Comment 2.2 does not provide evidence of significant impacts that require the preparation of an EIR or that would require substantial changes to the SCEA. Comment 2.2 will be forwarded to all decision-making bodies to inform their decision on the Project. No further response is warranted.

**Response to Comment 2.3:** This comment states that the City should require the Project to be built to standards exceeding the current 2019 California Green Building Code. As discussed in Response to Comment 2.2, impacts related to air quality and GHG emissions from the proposed Project would be less than significant. Additionally, as discussed in Section 3.9.6, *Energy*, the Project would not result in inefficient, wasteful, or unnecessary consumption of energy and impacts related to energy usage would be less than significant. Furthermore, the Project would comply with the 2019 California Green Building Code and include multiple additional measures to reduce energy usage, such as energy efficient lighting. Therefore, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4, subd. (a)(4)(A)–(B), there is no nexus between mitigation requiring the Project to exceed 2019 Green Building Code standards and impacts from the Project on air quality, energy, and GHG emissions. Comment 2.3 does not provide evidence of significant impacts that require the preparation of an EIR or that would require substantial changes to the MND. Comment 2.3 will be forwarded to all decision-making bodies to inform their decision on the Project. No further response is warranted.

**Response to Comment 2.4:** This comment provides an introduction to the commenter's attached comment letter from SWAPE and states that the attachment provides a draft technical report explaining the significance of worker trips required for construction of land use development projects with respect to the estimation of GHG emissions. The comment states that the report will also discuss the potential for local hire requirements to reduce the length of worker trips, and consequently, reduced or mitigate the potential GHG impacts. This comment is introductory in nature and does not provide comments regarding the analysis within the MND. As such, no further response is required.

**Response to Comment 2.5:** This comment provides technical information on default trip lengths within CalEEMod and how to calculate and justify trip lengths. Comment 2.5 does not provide project-specific evidence of significant impacts that require the preparation of an EIR or that would require changes to the Public Review MND. Comment 2.5 and this response will be forwarded to all decision-making bodies to inform their decision on the Project. No further response is warranted.

Response to Comment 2.6: This comment provides a summary of an example on the potential impact of local hire provisions on construction related GHG emissions utilizing the Village South Specific Plan in the City of Claremont. The comment states that for the example, the Project reduced the default trip length of 14.7 miles to 10 miles, which resulted in a decrease of GHG emissions by approximately 17%. As shown on page 9 of the CalEEMod Emissions Model Outputs attachment to Appendix A, Air Quality, Energy, and Greenhouse Gas Impact Analysis, to the MND, the trip length utilized for the proposed Project's GHG analysis was 14.7 miles. Using this trip length, GHG emissions resulting from construction and operation of the proposed Project would be less than significant, as stated on page 63 of the MND. As such, not only does the MND provide a conservative analysis of potential GHG emissions resulting from construction of the proposed Project, that conservative analysis would result in emissions below the threshold set by the SCAQMD. Additionally, as detailed in Response to Comment 2.3, above, since GHG impacts would be less than significant from Project construction, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4, subd. (a)(4)(A)–(B), there is no nexus between requiring local hire provisions and impacts from the proposed Project. Comment 2.6 does not provide evidence of significant impacts that require the preparation of an EIR or that would require changes to the Public Review MND. Comment 2.6 and this response will be forwarded to all decisionmaking bodies to inform their decision on the Project. No further response is warranted.

#### **RESPONSE TO COMMENT LETTER 3: County of Los Angeles Fire Department**

**Response to Comment Letter 3:** The comment is informational in nature regarding where the City and County have jurisdiction, respectively. The letter does not raise environmental concerns. No revisions to the MND or response to comment are necessary.

Attachment #9: Support Letter – Southern California District Council of Laborers (6/24/2022)



JON P. PRECIADO Business Manager

PETER SANTILLAN Secretary-Treasurer

SERGIO RASCÓN President

#### AFFILIATED LOCALS SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

BURBANK LOCAL 345

HOLLYWOOD LOCAL 724

LONG BEACH LOCAL 1309

LOS ANGELES LOCAL 300

ORANGE COUNTY LOCAL 652

> POMONA LOCAL 1414

RIVERSIDE AND IMPERIAL COUNTIES LOCAL 1184

SAN BERNARDINO, INYO, AND MONO COUNTIES LOCAL 783

> SAN DIEGO LOCAL 89

VENTURA LOCAL 585

KERN, SAN LUIS OBISPO, AND ANTA BARBARA COUNTIES LOCAL 220

> ARIZONA PHOENIX, AZ LOCAL 1184

NEW MEXICO ALBUQUERQUE, NM LOCAL 16

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DISTRICT COUNCIL OF LABORERS 123 Parkview Dr., Suite 300 Covina, CA 91724 Phone (626) 350-6900 Fax (626) 350-7583 www.scdcl.org

Thanks I swin 198

# LIUNA SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DISTRICT COUNCIL OF LABORERS Feel the Power

June 24, 2022

Wayne Morrell, Director Community Development Department City of Santa Fe Springs 11710 E. Telegraph Road Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 waynemorrell@santafesprings.org Janet Martinez, City Clerk City of Santa Fe Springs 11710 E. Telegraph Road Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 cityclerk@santafesprings.org

Vince Velasco, City Planner Community Development Department City of Santa Fe Springs 11710 E. Telegraph Road Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 VinceVelasco@santafesprings.org

#### RE: **<u>STRONG SUPPORT</u>** for 12118 BLOOMFIELD WAREHOUSE (DPA 989)

Dear Mr. Morrell, Ms. Martinez and Mr. Velasco:

On behalf of our over 32,800 members and ten affiliated local unions throughout Southern California, I write to relay our strong support for the project referenced above.

Rexford Industrial has committed to contracting with one of our signatory general contractors for this project and the project will provide good paying, family sustaining wages and provide first rate benefits to our members who will build this project. Additionally, many of our members live in Santa Fe Springs and the surrounding cities in Los Angeles county and would enjoy nothing more than being able to work locally and spend locally, as well as being able to spend more time at home after work rather than spending that time commuting to points unknown for work outside of Los Angeles County.

Mr. Wayne Morrell, Ms. Janet Martinez & Mr. Victor Velasco June 24, 202 Page 2

For all the reasons stated above, we ask that the City of Santa Fe Springs approve this project before them. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DISTRICT COUNCIL OF LABORERS

0

Jon P. Preciado Business Manager

cc: Carlos Serra, Rexford Industrial (<u>cserra@rexfordindustrial.com</u>) Bill Quisenberry, LCOF (<u>billq@lcof.net</u>)

# Attachment #10: Resolution 212-2022 a. Exhibit A – Conditions of Approval

# CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS RESOLUTION NO. 212-2022

# A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS REGARDING DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPROVAL CASE NO. 989

WHEREAS, a request was filed for Development Plan Approval Case No. 989 to allow the construction of a new ±109,570 sq. ft. concrete tilt-up industrial building and related improvements; and

WHEREAS, the subject property is located on the east side of Bloomfield Avenue, with Accessor's Parcel Number of 8026-019-022, as shown in the latest rolls of the Los Angeles County Office of the Assessor; and

WHEREAS, the property owner is Rexford Industrial – 12118 Bloomfield, LLC, 11620 Wilshire Boulevard 10<sup>th</sup> Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90025; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Development Plan Approval Case No. 989 is considered a project as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Article 20, Section 15378(a); and

WHEREAS, based on the information received from the applicant and staff's assessment, it was found and determined that the proposed project will not have a significant adverse effect on the environmental following mitigation; therefore, the City caused to be prepared and proposed to adopt an Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) for the proposed project; and

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Fe Springs Planning and Development Department on June 30, 2022 published a legal notice in the *Whitter Daily News*, a local paper of general circulation, indicating the date and time of the public hearing, and also mailed said public hearing notice on June 30, 2022 to each property owner within a 500 foot radius of the project site in accordance with state law; and

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Fe Springs Planning Commission has considered the application, the written and oral staff report, the General Plan and zoning of the subject property, the testimony, written comments, or other materials presented at the Planning Commission Meeting on July 11, 2022 concerning Development Plan Approval Case No. 989.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it RESOLVED that the PLANNING COMMISSION of the CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS does hereby RESOLVE, DETERMINE and ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

#### SECTION I. ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION

The proposed development is considered a project under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and as a result, the project is subject to the City's environmental review process. The environmental analysis provided in the Initial Study, including related technical studies, indicated that the proposed project will not result in any significant adverse immitigable impacts to the environment, therefore, the City required the preparation and adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the proposed Project. The MND, prepared by EPD Solutions, Inc., reflects the independent judgment of the City of Santa Fe Springs, and the City's environmental consultant, Blodgett/Baylosis Environmental Planning.

The Initial Study determined that the proposed project is not expected to have any significant adverse environmental impacts. The following findings can be made regarding the Mandatory Findings of Significance set forth in Section 15065 of the CEQA Guidelines based on the results of this Initial Study:

- The proposed project *will not* have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment.
- The proposed project *will not* have the potential to achieve short-term goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals.
- The proposed project *will not* have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable, when considering planned or proposed development in the immediate vicinity.
- The proposed project *will not* have environmental effects that will adversely affect humans, either directly or indirectly.

In addition, pursuant to Section 21081(a) of the Public Resources Code, findings must be adopted by the decision-maker coincidental to the approval of a Mitigated Negative Declaration, which relates to the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program. These findings shall be incorporated as part of the decision-maker's findings of fact, in response to AB-3180 and in compliance with the requirements of the Public Resources Code. In accordance with the requirements of Section 21081(a) and 21081.6 of the Public Resources Code, the City of Santa Fe Springs can make the following additional findings:

- A mitigation reporting or monitoring program will be required.
- Site plans and/or building plans, submitted for approval by the responsible monitoring agency, shall include the required standard conditions.
- An accountable enforcement agency or monitoring agency shall be identified for the mitigation measures adopted as part of the decision-maker's final determination.

A number of mitigation measures have been recommended as a means to reduce or eliminate potential adverse environmental impacts to insignificant levels. AB-3180 requires that a monitoring and reporting program be adopted for the recommended mitigation measures.

# SECTION II. DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPROVAL FINDINGS

Pursuant to Section 155.739 of the City of Santa Fe Springs Zoning Ordinance, the Planning Commission has made the following findings:

# (A) <u>That the proposed development is in conformance with the overall objectives of this</u> <u>chapter (Chapter 155: Zoning)</u>.

The proposed project is located within the M-2-BP, Heavy Manufacturing – Buffer Parking, Zone. Pursuant to Section 155.240 of the Zoning Ordinance, "The purpose of the M-2 Zone is to preserve the lands of the city appropriate for heavy industrial uses, to protect these lands from intrusion by dwellings and inharmonious commercial uses, to promote uniform and orderly industrial development, to create and protect property values, to foster an efficient, wholesome and aesthetically pleasant industrial district, to attract and encourage the location of desirable industrial plants, to provide an industrial environment which will be conducive to good employee relations and pride on the part of all citizens of the community and to provide proper safeguards and appropriate transition for surrounding land uses."

The proposed project is consistent with the purpose of the M-2 Zone in the following manner:

- 1. The land is appropriate for industrial uses based on its zoning, M-2, Heavy Manufacturing and its General Plan Land Use designation of Industrial.
- 2. The proposed project will result in a new concrete tilt-up speculative industrial building; therefore, the land is being maintained for industrial uses.
- 3. The project involves the construction of a new attractive industrial building on a site that is currently developed with five buildings that total approximately 59,180 sq. ft., constructed periodically from 1955 to 1975. The assessed value of the property will significantly improve after the project, thus leading to an increase in property values for both the subject property and neighboring properties.
- 4. The new industrial building offers new construction with modern amenities (i.e. greater ceiling height, energy efficient, etc.) that will help to attract local industrial businesses to either locate or otherwise remain in Santa Fe Springs.

### (B) <u>That the architectural design of the proposed structures is such that it will enhance</u> the general appearance of the area and be in harmony with the intent of this chapter.

The applicant is proposing to construct a new concrete-tilt up speculative industrial building on the subject site. The new concrete tilt-up industrial building has been designed with variation in the provided setback, height, color, and materials used. The result is an attractive project with a contemporary building that is comparable to other high-quality office/industrial projects here in Santa Fe Springs.

(C) <u>That the proposed structures be considered on the basis of their suitability for their intended purpose and on the appropriate use of materials and on the principles of proportion and harmony of the various elements of the buildings or structures.</u>

The proposed building is well-designed and should be highly suitable for a variety of office, manufacturing and/or warehouse-type users. The design of the new concrete tilt-up industrial building provides quality architectural design, as demonstrated by glazing, pop-outs, and variations in height, materials, and color. These architectural design elements break up the mass of the building, and present an attractive, distinctive façade to visitors. At this time, the proposed building does not have a particular tenant and the tenant is considered speculative. As designed, the new two-unit building is suitable for their intended industrial users, and the distinctive design of the building represents the architectural principles of proportion and harmony.

(D) <u>That consideration be given to landscaping, fencing and other elements of the</u> proposed development to ensure that the entire development is in harmony with the objectives of this chapter.

Extensive consideration has been given to numerous elements of the proposed project to achieve harmony with the City's Zoning Ordinance. The majority of the landscaping will be provided along Bloomfield Avenue for maximum aesthetic value. Additionally, the truck wells and dock doors have been strategically placed so that they will not be directly visible from the public right-of-way. Nevertheless, a 14' high concrete screen wall with a 10' high sliding gate will be provided to screen activities within the truck yard area. And lastly, the proposed trash enclosures have been strategically placed where they are not visible or easily accessible by the public, and where they have least impact on adjacent properties.

(E) <u>That it is not the intent of this subchapter to require any particular style or type of</u> <u>architecture other than that necessary to harmonize with the general area.</u>

As stated previously, the proposed building is contemporary in design. The architect used glazing, pop-outs, height variations, materials, and color. The style and architecture of the proposed building is consistent with other high-quality buildings that were recently constructed throughout the City.

(F) <u>That it is not the intent of this subchapter to interfere with architectural design except</u> to the extent necessary to achieve the overall objectives of this chapter.

Pursuant to Section 155.736 of the Zoning Ordinance "The purpose of the development plan approval is to assure compliance with the provisions of this chapter and to give proper attention to the siting of new structures or additions or alterations to existing structures, particularly in regard to unsightly and undesirable appearance, which would have an adverse effect on surrounding properties and the community in general." As a result, the Planning Commission believes that proper attention has

been given to the location, size, and overall design of the proposed building and related improvements.

(G) As a means of encouraging residential development projects to incorporate units affordable to extremely low income households and consistent with the city's housing element, the city will waive Planning Department entitlement fees for projects with a minimum of 10% extremely low income units. For purposes of this section, extremely lowincome households are households whose income does not exceed the extremely low-income limits applicable to Los Angeles County, as published and periodically updated by the state's Department of Housing and Community Development pursuant Cal. Health and Safety Code § 50106.

The Planning Commission finds that the proposed project is not a residential development; therefore, the requirements pertaining to low income units do not apply.

# SECTION IV. PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

The Planning Commission hereby adopts Resolution No. 212-2022 to approve and adopt the proposed Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (IS/MND and MMRP); and also approve Development Plan Approval Case No. 989 to allow the construction of a new  $\pm 109,570$  sq. ft. concrete tiltup industrial building and related improvements for the subject property located at 12118 Bloomfield Avenue, subject to conditions attached hereto as Exhibit A.

ADOPTED and APPROVED this 11th day of July, 2022 BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS.

Gabriel Jimenez, Chairperson

ATTEST:

Teresa Cavallo, Planning Secretary

# EXHIBIT A – CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL <u>Development Plan Approval Case No. 989</u> <u>12118 Bloomfield Avenue</u> <u>APN: 8026-019-022</u>

### ENGINEERING / PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT: (Contact: Robert Garcia 562-868-0511 x7545)

### STREETS

- 1. That applicant shall construct Full street improvements per the City standards in accordance with plans prepared by the applicant and/or developer and approved by the City Engineer. Street to be improved shall include the street frontage to the centerline on Bloomfield Avenue. The Applicant and/ or Developer shall cause for construction, the removal of the existing 4" (0.33') pavement and replace with new 4" (0.33') of Fiber Reinforced Asphalt Concrete Pavement, half width, from easterly edge of gutter to centerline of street, for a total width of 38'. Re-pavement shall be along property frontage, approximately 310 linear feet, for a total of 11,780 square feet.
- 2. The applicant shall remove and replace the existing three (3) most middle driveway approaches on Bloomfield Avenue and replace with new sidewalk/ curb & gutter per City standard plan R-7 and R-12 (see condition below). The applicant shall remove and replace the existing most northerly and southerly driveway approaches and replace per City standard plan R-6.4B on Bloomfield Ave.
- 3. That the applicant shall design and construct a 5-foot wide meandering sidewalk and dedicate an easement along the Bloomfield Ave street frontage. If applicable, the dedicated easement shall be shown on the Parcel/Tract Map. Furthermore, said meandering sidewalk shall be shown on both the civil and landscape plans.
- 4. All oil wells, pipelines, tanks, and related lines within the public right-of-way shall be removed from the right-of-way unless otherwise approved by the City Engineer.
- 5. That adequate "on-site" parking shall be provided per City requirements, and all streets abutting the development shall be posted "No Stopping Any Time." The City will install the offsite signs and the applicant shall pay \$600 to install (3) new signs.
- 6. The applicant shall reimburse the City for the actual cost for the installation, replacement or modification of street name signs, traffic control signs, striping

and pavement markings required in conjunction with the development. The City will complete the work.

7. That common driveways shall not be allowed unless approved by the City Engineer. Proposed driveways shall be located to clear existing fire hydrants, street lights, water meters, etc.

# **CITY UTILITIES**

- 8. Storm drains, catch basins, connector pipes, retention basin and appurtenances built for this project shall be constructed in accordance with City specifications in Bloomfield Ave. Storm drain plans shall be approved by the City Engineer.
- 9. Fire hydrants shall be installed as required by the Fire Department. Existing public fire hydrants adjacent to the site, if any, shall be upgraded if required by the City Engineer. That the applicant shall pay to the City the entire cost of design, engineering, installation and inspection of Fire hydrants.
- 10. That sanitary sewers shall be constructed in accordance with City specifications to serve the subject development. The plans for the sanitary sewers shall be approved by the City Engineer and LA County Sanitation District. A sewer study (including a sewer flow test) shall be submitted along with the sanitary sewer plans.
- 11. All buildings shall be connected to the sanitary sewers.
- 12. That the fire sprinkler plans, which show the proposed double-check valve detector assembly location, shall have a stamp approval from the Planning Department and Public Works Department prior to the Fire Department's review for approval. Disinfection, pressure and bacteriological testing on the line between the street and detector assembly shall be performed in the presence of personnel from the City Water Department. The valve on the water main line shall be operated only by the City and only upon the City's approval of the test results.
- 13. That the applicant shall obtain a Storm Drain Connection Permit for any connection to the storm drain system.
- 14. The applicant shall have an overall site utility master plan prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer showing proposed locations of all public water mains, reclaimed water mains, sanitary sewers and storm drains. This plan shall be approved by the City Engineer prior to the preparation of any construction plans for the aforementioned improvements.

# TRAFFIC

15. That all points of access to the proposed development shall be reviewed and approved by the City Engineer. Left turns may be prohibited as designated by the City Engineer.

# FEES

- 16. That the applicant shall comply with Congestion Management Program (CMP) requirements and provide mitigation of trips generated by the development. The applicant and/or developer will receive credit for the demolition of any buildings that formerly occupied the site. For new developments, the applicant and/or developer cannot meet the mitigation requirements, the applicant and/or developer shall pay a mitigation fee of <u>\$5,988.58</u> determined by the City Engineer for off-site transportation improvements.
- 17. That the applicant shall comply with all requirements of the County Sanitation District, make application for and pay the sewer maintenance fee.
- 18. That the applicant shall pay the water trunkline connection fee of \$3,700 per acre upon application for water service connection or if utilizing any existing water service.

### MISCELLANEOUS

- 19. That a grading plan shall be submitted for drainage approval to the City Engineer. The applicant shall pay drainage review fees in conjunction with this submittal. A professional civil engineer registered in the State of California shall prepare the grading plan.
- 20. That a hydrology study shall be submitted to the City and reviewed by the City Engineer for approval. The study shall be prepared by a Professional Civil Engineer.
- 21. That upon completion of public improvements constructed by developers, the developer's civil engineer shall submit mylar record drawings and an electronic file (AutoCAD Version 2019 or higher) to the office of the City Engineer.
- 22. That the applicant shall comply with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program and shall require the general contractor to implement storm water/urban runoff pollution prevention controls and Best Management Practices (BMPs) on all construction sites in accordance with the current MS4 Permit. The applicant will also be required to submit a Certification for the project and will be required to prepare a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

# DEPARTMENT OF FIRE: ENVIRONMENTAL PREVENTION: (Contact: Eric Scott 562.868.0511 x 3812

- 23. That prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall comply with the applicable conditions below and **obtain notification in writing** from the Santa Fe Springs Department of Fire-Rescue Environmental Protection Division (EPD) that all applicable conditions have been met:
  - a. At a minimum, the applicant must conduct an All Appropriate Inquiries (AAI) Investigation (formerly called a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment) in accordance with ASTM Standard E1527-05. The applicant shall provide the EPD with a copy of the AAI investigation report for review and approval. If the AAI investigation identifies a release, or potential release at the site, the applicant must comply with part b.
  - b. An environmental site assessment may be required based on the information presented in the AAI investigation report. The environmental site assessment report must be reviewed and approved by the EPD in writing. Should the report indicate that contaminate levels exceed recognized regulatory screening levels, remedial action will be required. A remedial action work plan must be approved by the authorized oversight agency before implementation. Once remedial action is complete, a final remedial action report must be submitted and approved by the oversight agency.
  - c. Soil Management Plan & Report. A Soils Management Plan (SMP) which addresses site monitoring and a contingency plan for addressing previously unidentified contamination discovered during site development activities may be required. If required, the SMP shall be submitted to the EPD for review and approval before grading activities begin. Once grading is complete, a SMP report must be submitted to the EPD for final written approval. Building plans will not be approved until the SMP report has been approved by the EPD in writing.
- 24. <u>Permits and approvals.</u> That the applicant shall, at its own expense, secure or cause to be secured any and all permits or other approvals which may be required by the City and any other governmental agency prior to conducting environmental assessment or remediation on the property. Permits shall be secured prior to beginning work related to the permitted activity.
- 25. That all abandoned pipelines, tanks and related facilities shall be removed unless approved by the City Engineer and Fire Chief. Appropriate permits for such work shall be secured before abandonment work begins.
- 26. That the applicant shall comply with all Federal, State and local requirements and regulations included, but not limited to, the Santa Fe Springs City Municipal Code, California Fire Code, Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) programs,

the Air Quality Management District's Rules and Regulations and all other applicable codes and regulations.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF FIRE - RESCUE (FIRE PREVENTION DIVISION):** (Contact: Kevin Yang 562.868.0511 x 3818)

- 27. That the applicant shall comply with the requirements of Section 117.131 of the Santa Fe Springs Municipal Code, Requirement for a Soil Gas Study, in accordance with Ordinance No. 955, prior to issuance of building permits.
- 28. That interior gates or fences are not permitted across required Department of Fire-Rescue access roadways unless otherwise granted prior approval by the City Department of Fire-Rescue.
- 29. That the standard aisle width for onsite emergency vehicle maneuvering shall be 26 feet with a minimum clear height of 13 feet 6 inches. Internal driveways shall have a turning radius of not less than 52 feet. The final location and design of this 26 feet shall be subject to the approval of the City's Fire Chief as established by the California Fire Code. A request to provide emergency vehicle aisle width less than 26 feet shall be considered upon the installation/provision of mitigation improvements approved by the City's Fire Chief.
- 30. That Knox boxes are required on all new construction. All entry gates shall also be equipped with Knox boxes or Knox key switches for power-activated gates.
- 31. That signs and markings required by the Department of Fire-Rescue shall be installed along the required Department of Fire-Rescue access roadways.

# POLICE SERVICES DEPARTMENT: (Contact: Lou Collazo at 562.409.1850 x 3335)

- 32. That the applicant shall submit and obtain approval of a proposed lighting (photometric) plan for the property from the City's Department of Police Services. The photometric plan shall be designed to provide adequate lighting (minimum of 1 foot candle power) throughout the subject property. Further, all exterior lighting shall be designed/installed in such a manner that light and glare are not transmitted onto adjoining properties in such concentration/quantity as to create a hardship to adjoining property owners or a public nuisance. The photometric plans shall be submitted to the designated contact person from the Department of Police Services no later than sixty (60) day from the date of approval by the Planning Commission. PDF formatted plans are acceptable and shall be emailed to luiscollazo@santafesprings.org.
- 33. That the applicant shall provide an emergency phone number and a contact person of the person or persons involved in the supervision of the construction to the Department of Police Services. The name, telephone number, fax number

and e-mail address of that person shall be provided to the Department of Police Services (Attn: Lou Collazo) no later than 60 days from the date of approval by the Planning Commission. Emergency information shall allow emergency service to reach the applicant or their representative any time, 24 hours a day. Information will be submitted to the emergency dispatch operators serving Police and Fire agencies.

- 34. That in order to facilitate the removal of unauthorized vehicles parked on the property (after construction of the building is completed), the applicant shall post, in plain view and at each entry to the property, a sign not less than 17" wide by 22" long. The sign shall prohibit the public parking of unauthorized vehicles and indicate that unauthorized vehicles will be removed at the owner's expense and also contain the California Vehicle Code that permits this action. The sign shall also contain the telephone number of the local law enforcement agency (Police Services Center (562) 409-1850). The lettering within the sign shall not be less than one inch in height. The applicant shall contact the Police Services Center for an inspection no later than 30 days after the project has been completed and prior to the occupancy permit being issued.
- 35. That all tenants occupying the premises are to be notified that all respective work shall be conducted inside at all times including, but not limited to, all loading and unloading of trucks and trailers. Items and/or merchandise shall not be left out awaiting loading. The tenant shall obtain prior approvals from the Planning, Building, and Fire Departments for outdoor storage and/or activities.
- 36. That trucks are not to back-in from the street or block street traffic at any time; drivers are subject to citations.
- 37. That handicap access stalls shall not be blocked at anytime by storage, trailers or other means.
- 38. That parked trailers shall not block stairwells and shall have installed ballards at a minimum distance of 3'-0" from the bottom first step.
- 39. That off-street parking areas shall not be reduced or encroached upon at any time.
- 40. That the proposed buildings, including any lighting, fences, walls, cabinets, and poles shall be maintained in good repair, free from trash, debris, litter and graffiti and other forms of vandalism. Any damage from any cause shall be repaired within 72 hours of occurrence, weather permitting, to minimize occurrences of dangerous conditions or visual blight. Paint utilized in covering graffiti shall be a color that matches, as closely possible, the color of the existing and/or adjacent surfaces.

- 41. That during the construction phase of the proposed project, the contractor shall provide the address number for the site at the entry gate to identify the location and direct emergency responders in case of an emergency. The identification numbers may be painted on wood boards and fastened to the temporary construction fence. The boards may be removed after each building has been identified with their individual permanent number address. DO NOT PAINT THE NUMBERS ON THE BUILDING.
- 42. That it shall be the responsibility of the job-supervisor to maintain the job site in a clean and ordely manner. Dirt, dust, and debris that has migrated to the street or neighboring properties shall be immediately cleaned. Porte-potties, or equal, shall not be visible from the public street and maintained on a regular basis.
- 43. That all construction debris shall placed in trash/recycle bins at the end of every work day and shall not be left out visible from public view.
- 44. That the property owner and/or lease agent shall notify any potential tenants they are mandated to comply with the ambient noise requirements as required by Santa Fe Springs Zoning Code Section 155.424.
- 45. That the property owner and/or lease agent shall notify any potential tenants that the parking areas and their respective aisle shall not be reduced or encroached upon with outdoor storage. The tenant shall obtain prior approvals from the Planning, Building, and Fire Departments for outdoor storage and/or activities.
- 46. That all parking stalls and/or deignated parking areas shall be constantaly available to all employees during their business hours. Parking Stalls shall not be sectioned off for reserved or preferred parking. Temporary reduction of parking stalls for building construction or material, repairs is permitted.
- 47. That trees along the northern part of the property shall be properly pruned to avoid overgrowth onto the adjoining property.

### WASTE MANAGEMENT: (Contact: Maribel Garcia 562.868.0511 x7509)

- 48. The applicant shall comply with Section 50.51 of the Municipal Code which prohibits any business or residents from contracting any solid waste disposal company that does not hold a current permit from the City.
- 49. All projects are subject to the requirements of Chapter 50 to reuse or recycle 75% of the project waste. Contact the Environmental Consultant, MuniEnviornmental at (562) 432-3700.
- 50. The applicant shall comply with Public Resource Code, Section 42900 et seq. (California Solid Waste Reuse and Recycling Access Act of 1991) as amended,

which requires each development project to provide adequate storage area for the collection/storage and removal of recyclable and green waste materials.

#### PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT: (Contact: Vince Velasco 562.868.0511 x7353)

- 51. This approval shall allow the applicant to construct, operate, and maintain a new ±109,570 sq. ft. concrete tilt-up industrial building on the subject property located at 12118 Bloomfield Avenue (APN: 8026-019-022).
- 52. The applicant shall comply with the City's "Heritage Artwork in Public Places Program" in conformance with City Ordinance No. 1054.
- 53. The subject property is located within the "Methane Zone". As a result the applicant shall therefore indicate the subject property is located within the Methane Zone on the first page of the building construction plans as well as the MEPs that are submitted to the County. Said indication shall be clearly painted with a minimum front size of 20 point.
- 54. To prevent the travel of combustible methane gas into any structure, all slab or foundation penetrations, including plumbing, communication, and electrical penetrations, must be sealed with an appropriate material per the recommendation of the methane study.
- 55. The Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, which was prepared for the proposed project and adopted by the Planning Commission upon completion of the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration, shall be made part of the conditions of approval for the subject development on the subject property. The Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program is listed as an attachment to this staff report.
- 56. The applicant shall be responsible for implementing mitigation measures pursuant to the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program and provide all necessary documentation. Planning Department staff will verify compliance prior to the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy. *Mitigations that require on-going monitoring shall be reported to the Planning Department every six (6) months.*
- 57. Prior to the issuance of Building Permits, the applicant shall obtain an Office Trailer Permit for any use of mobile office trailers during the construction process.
- 58. During construction, the following information shall be made available on a sign posted at the main entrance(s) to the site:
  - a. Name of the development/project.
  - b. Name of the development company.
  - c. Address or Address range for the subject site.

- d. 24-hour telephone number where someone can leave a message on a particular complaint (dust, noise, odor, etc.)
- 59. The applicant shall implement a dust control program for air quality control. The program shall ensure that a water vehicle for dust control operations is kept readily available at all times during construction. The developer shall provide the City Engineer and Building Official with the name, telephone number and e-mail address of the person directly responsible for dust control and operation of the vehicle.
- 60. Secure fencing around the construction site with locking gates and appropriate lighting shall be installed during construction to prevent trespassing and theft.
- 61. It shall be unlawful for any person to operate equipment or perform any outside construction or repair work on buildings, structures, or projects, other than emergency work, between 7:00 p.m. on one day and 7:00 a.m. of the following day, if such maintenance activity produces noise above the ambient levels as identified in the City's Zoning Ordinance.
- 62. The applicant shall be responsible for reviewing and/or providing copies of the required conditions of approval to his/her architect, engineer, contractor, tenants, etc. Additionally, the conditions of approval contained herein shall be made part of the construction drawings for the proposed development. <u>Construction drawings shall not be accepted for Plan Check without the conditions of approval incorporated into the construction drawings.</u>
- 63. The applicant shall submit Mechanical plans that include a roof plan that shows the location of all roof mounted equipment. All roof-mounted mechanical equipment and/or duct work which projects above the roof or roof parapet of the proposed development and is visible from adjacent property or a public street shall be screened by an enclosure which is consistent with the architecture of the building and approved by the Director of Planning or designee.
  - a. To illustrate the visibility of equipment and/or duct work, the following shall be submitted along with the Mechanical Plans:
    - i. A roof plan showing the location of all roof-mounted equipment;
    - ii. Elevations of all existing and proposed mechanical equipment; and
    - iii. A line-of-sight drawing or a building cross-section drawing which shows the roof-mounted equipment and its relation to the roof and parapet lines.

<u>NOTE</u>: line-of sight drawing and/or building cross section must be scaled.

64. The applicant agrees and understands that any existing overhead utilities within the development shall be placed underground.

- 65. Applicant shall provide for appropriate cable television systems and for communication systems, including but not limited to, telephone and internet services to the subject property. The applicant is responsible for complying with this requirements and shall make necessary arrangements with each of the serving utilities, including licensed cable television operators and other video service providers for the installation of these facilities.
- 66. All fences, walls, gates and similar improvements for the proposed development shall be subject to the prior approval of the Fire Department and the Department of Planning and Development.
- 67. Sufficient number of approved outdoor trash enclosures shall be provided for the development subject to the approval of the Director of Planning or designee *(Calculations are subject to change).* All outdoor trash enclosures shall provide a solid roof cover. (Please see L.A. County Department Public Works handout).
- 68. Approved suite numbers/letters or address numbers shall be placed on the proposed building in such a position as to be plainly visible and legible from the street fronting the property. Said numbers shall contrast with their background. The size recommendation shall be 12" minimum. Approved suite numbers/letters or address numbers shall be placed on the proposed building in such a position as to be plainly visible and legible from the street fronting the property.
- 69. All street-facing roof drains shall be provided along the interior walls and not along the exterior of the building.
- 70. The proposed development shall be constructed of quality material and any material shall be replaced when and if the material becomes deteriorated, warped, discolored or rusted.
- 71. The Department of Planning and Development requires that the double-check detector assembly be placed as far back from the property line as practical, screened by shrubs or other materials, and painted forest green. All shrubs shall be planted a minimum distance of two (2) feet surrounding the detector assembly; <u>however, the area in front of the OS and Y valves shall not be screened.</u> The screening shall also only be applicable to the double-check detector assembly and <u>shall not</u> include the fire department connector (FDC). Notwithstanding, the Fire Marshall shall have discretionary authority to require the FDC to be located a minimum distance from the double-check detector assembly. The bottom of the valve shut off wheel shall be located a maximum of two (2) feet above ground.
- 72. That all Reduced Pressure Backflow preventer shall be installed in a backflow prevention cage on a concrete pad. The backflow preventer shall be painted "forest green." Please see All-Spec Enclosure Inc., stainless steel tubular backflow preventer. The enclosure shall be lockable, weather resistant and

vandal proof. The location shall be near the water meter in the landscape area. Note: See Public Works Backflow Prevention Enclosure standard W-20.

73. The applicant shall submit for approval a detailed landscape and automatic irrigation plan pursuant to the Landscaping Guidelines of the City. Said landscape plan shall indicate the location and type of all plant materials, existing and proposed, shrubs designed to fully screen the interior yard and parking areas from public view, and minimum 24" box trees along the street frontage. Said plans shall be consistent with AB 1881 (Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance).

NOTE: Staff shall not approve the landscaping and irrigation plan without first reviewing and approving the civil drawings, specifically as it pertains to the landscaping and irrigation plan (i.e., location and size of riprap, bio-swales, areas of infiltration trenches, etc.)

- 74. The landscaped areas shall be provided with a suitable, fixed, permanent and automatically controlled method for watering and sprinkling of plants. This operating sprinkler system shall consist of an electrical time clock, control valves, and piped water lines terminating in an appropriate number of sprinklers to insure proper watering periods and to provide water for all plants within the landscaped area. Sprinklers used to satisfy the requirements of this section shall be spaced to assure complete coverage of all landscaped areas. Said plan shall be consistent with AB 1881 (Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance).
- 75. Upon completion of the landscaping improvements, said landscaped areas shall be maintained in a neat, clean, orderly and healthful condition. This is meant to include proper pruning, mowing of lawns, weeding, and removal of litter, fertilizing, and replacement of plants when necessary and the regular watering of all plantings.
- 76. The applicant shall submit a lighting program that is integrated into the overall site, landscape design and building design. Lighting shall be used to highlight prominent building features such as entries and other focal points. Up-lighting should also be used as a way to enhance the texture of plants and structures, to create a sense of height in a landscape design.
- 77. Transformers shall not be located within the front yard setback area. The location of the transformer(s) shall be subject to the prior approval of the Director of Planning or designee. The electrical transformer shall be screened with shrubs consistent with Southern California Edison's Guidelines which requires three foot clearance on sides and back of the equipment, and eight foot clearance in front of the equipment. Additionally, the landscaping irrigation system shall be installed so that they do not spray on equipment. (A copy of the Guideline is available at the Planning Department.)

- 78. The applicant or future owner shall be responsible for continually ensuring that future tenants do not allow commercial vehicles, trucks and/or truck tractors to queue on Bloomfield Avenue, use Bloomfield Avenue as a staging area, or to back-up onto the street from the subject property.
- 79. No portion of the required off-street parking and driveway areas shall be used for outdoor storage of any type or for special-event activities, unless prior written approval is obtained from the Director of Planning, Director of Police Services and the Fire Marshall.
- 80. That all parking areas shall be striped in accordance with the proposed site plan, as submitted by the applicant and on file with this case. Unless the overall office area exceeds 15% of the building area, a minimum of 170 parking stalls shall be provided and continually maintained on-site at all times.
- 81. All parking stalls shall be legibly marked on the pavement. Additionally, all compact spaces shall be further identified by having the words "Compact" or comparable wording legibly written on the pavement, wheel stop or on a clearly visible sign.
- 82. The applicant shall provide a bulletin board, display case, or kiosk to display transportation information where the greatest number of employees are likely to see it. Information shall include, but is not limited to:
  - 1. Current maps, routes and schedules for public transit routes serving the site; and
  - 2. Telephone numbers for referrals on transportation information including numbers for the regional ridesharing agency and local transit operators; and
  - 3. Ridesharing promotional material supplied by commuter-oriented organizations; and
  - 4. Bicycle route and facility information, including regional/local bicycle maps and bicycle safety information; and
  - 5. A listing of facilities available for carpoolers, vanpoolers, bicyclists, transit riders and pedestrians at the site. This is required to both meet the requirements of Section 155.502 (D) of the Zoning Ordinance and also a goal identified within the City's General Plan Circulation Element.
- 83. There shall be a safe and convenient zone in which carpool/vanpool vehicles may deliver or board their passengers. Additionally, there shall be sidewalks or other designated pathways following direct and safe routes from external pedestrian circulation system to each building in the development and safe and convenience access from the external circulation system to bicycle parking facilities on-site. This is required to both meet the requirements of Section 155.502 (D) of the Zoning Ordinance and also a goal identified within the City's General Plan Circulation Element.

- 84. The Department of Planning and Development shall first review and approve all sign proposals for the development. The sign proposal (plan) shall include a site plan, building elevation on which the sign will be located, size, style and color of the proposed sign. All drawings shall be properly dimensioned and drawn to scale. All signs shall be installed in accordance with the sign standards of the City's Zoning Ordinance and the Sign Guidelines of the City.
- 85. Prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall comply with the following conditions to the satisfaction of the City of Santa Fe Springs:
  - a. Covenants.
    - The applicant shall provide a written covenant to the Planning 1. Department that, except as applicant may have otherwise disclosed to the City, Commission, Planning Commission or their in writing, applicant has investigated employees. the environmental condition of the property and does not know, or have reasonable cause to believe, that (a) any crude oil, hazardous substances or hazardous wastes, as defined in state and federal law, have been released, as that term is defined in 42 U.S.C. Section 9601 (22), on, under or about the Property, or that (b) any material has been discharged on, under or about the Property that could affect the quality of ground or surface water on the Property within the meaning of the California Porter-Cologne Water Quality Act, as amended, Water Code Section 13000, et seq
    - 2. The applicant shall provide a written covenant to the City that, based on reasonable investigation and inquiry, to the best of the applicant's knowledge, it does not know or have reasonable cause to believe that it is in violation of any notification, remediation or other requirements of any federal, state or local agency having jurisdiction concerning the environmental conditions of the Property.
  - b. The applicant understands and agrees that it is the responsibility of the applicant to investigate and remedy, pursuant to applicable federal, state and local law, any and all contamination on or under any land or structure affected by this approval and issuance of related building permits. The City, Commission, Planning Commission or their employees, by this approval and by issuing related building permits, in no way warrants that said land or structures are free from contamination or health hazards.
  - c. The applicant understands and agrees that any representations, actions or approvals by the City, Commission, Planning Commission or their employees do not indicate any representation that regulatory permits, approvals or requirements of any other federal, state or local agency have been obtained or satisfied by the applicant and, therefore, the City, Commission, Planning Commission or their employees do not


release or waive any obligations the applicant may have to obtain all necessary regulatory permits and comply with all other federal, state or other local agency regulatory requirements. The applicant, not the City, Commission, Planning Commission or their employees will be responsible for any and all penalties, liabilities, response costs and expenses arising from any failure of the applicant to comply with such regulatory requirements.

- 86. The applicant shall require and verify that all contractors and sub-contractors have successfully obtained a Business License with the City of Santa Fe Springs prior to beginning any work associated with the subject project. A business application completed license may be online at https://santafesprings.hdlgov.com. A late fee and penalty will be assessed to any contractor or sub-contractor that fails to obtain a Business License and a Building Permit final or Certificate of Occupancy will not be issued until all fees and penalties are paid in full. For answers to questions or inquiries surrounding the business license process, please call (562) 264-5219 to speak to a customer service representative.
- 87. Prior to occupancy of the property/building, the applicant, and/or their tenant(s), shall obtain a valid business license (AKA Business Operation Tax Certificate), and submit a Statement of Intended Use. Both forms, and other required accompanying forms, may be obtained on the City's website (https://santafesprings.hdlgov.com/).
- 88. The development shall be built substantially in accordance with the plot plan, floor plan, and elevations submitted by the applicant and on file with the case. Any modification shall be subject to the review and approval of the Director of Planning or his/her designee.
- 89. The final site plan, floor plan and elevations of the proposed development and all other appurtenant improvements, textures and color schemes shall be subject to the final approval of the Director of Planning.
- 90. That prior to the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy, the applicant shall provide certification from the Landscape Architect of record that the plant installation on the Site are in accordance with the approval planting and irrigation plan.
- 91. The applicant understands and agrees that if any term or condition of this approval is determined in whole or in part to be invalid or unenforceable, such determination shall not affect the validity or enforceability of any other term or condition contained herein.
- 92. The applicant understands and agrees that this approval is subject to modification or revocation as set forth in the Santa Fe Springs Municipal Code.

Grounds for modification or revocation include, but are not limited to, Applicant's failure to comply with any condition of approval contained herein.

- 93. The applicant understands and agrees that if changes to the original plans (submitted and on file with the subject case) are required during construction, revised plans shall be provided to the Planning Department for review and approval prior to the implementation of such changes. Please note that certain changes may also require approvals from other departments.
- 94. All other requirements of the City's Zoning Ordinance, Building Code, Property Maintenance Ordinance, State and City Fire Code and all other applicable County, State and Federal regulations and codes shall be complied with.
- 95. Unless otherwise specified in the action granting Development Plan Approval, said approval which has not been utilized within a period of 12 consecutive months from the effective date shall become null and void. Also the abandonment or nonuse of a development plan approval and any privileges granted thereunder shall become null and void. However, an extension of time may be granted by Commission or Council action.
- The applicant shall indemnify, protect, defend, and hold harmless, the City, 96. and/or any of its officials, officers, employees, agents, departments, agencies, and instrumentalities thereof, from any and all claims, demands, law suits, writs of mandamus, and other actions and proceedings (whether legal, equitable, declaratory, administrative or adjudicatory in nature), and alternative dispute resolutions procedures (including, but not limited to arbitrations, mediations, and other such procedures), (collectively "Actions"), brought against the City, and/or any of its officials, officers, employees, agents, departments, agencies, and instrumentalities thereof, that challenge, attack, or seek to modify, set aside, void, or annul, the any action of, or any permit or approval issued by, the City and/or any of its officials, officers, employees, agents, departments, agencies, and instrumentalities thereof (including actions approved by the voters of the City), for or concerning the project, whether such Actions are brought under the California Environmental Quality Act, the Planning and Zoning Law, the Subdivisions Map Act, Code of Civil Procedure Section 1085 or 1094.5, or any other state, federal, or local statute, law, ordinance, rule, regulation, or any decision of a court of competent jurisdiction. In addition, the applicant shall reimburse the City, its officials, officers, employees, agents, departments, agencies, for any Court costs and attorney's fees which the City, its agents, officers, or employees may be required by a court to pay as a result of such action. It is expressly agreed that the City shall have the right to approve, which approval will not be unreasonably withheld, the legal counsel providing the City's defense, and that applicant shall reimburse City for any costs and expenses directly and necessarily incurred by the City in the course of the defense. City shall promptly notify the applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and shall cooperate fully in the defense thereof.

Attachment #11: Full Set of Proposed Plans



1 SITE PLAN 1" = 30'-0"

### SHEET INDEX SHEET No. SHEET NAME A1-0P SITE PLAN A2-1P A3-1P A5-1P FLOOR PLAN ELEVATIONS CONCEPT PERSPECTIVE VIEW

## APPLICANT

RGA OFFICE OF ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN 15231 ALTON PARKWAY SUITE 100 IRVINE, CA 92618 PH: (949) 341-0920 CONTACT: MIKE GILL / CAROLINA PAZ EMAIL: mike@rga-architects.com - carolina@rga-architects.com

## OWNER

REXFORD INDUSTRIAL REALTY 11620 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 10TH LOS ANGELES, CA 90024 PH: (424) 285-6474 CONTACT: RJ RIEVES EMAIL: rrieves@rexfordindustrial.com

6 COMPACT STALLS 7' - 6" 8' - 6" 121' - 6" 14 <u>6</u> <u>10</u> <u>38' - 0"</u> 77' - 0" UNIT #02 46,112 SF +2,424 SF MEZZ 26' - 0" RAILROAD 32'-0' CLR  $\langle 1 \rangle$ -70' - 0" HAMMER HEAD 19' - 0"

### GENERAL NOTES

1. EXISTING STRUCTURES ONSITE TO BE REMOVED.

2. CONCEPTUAL MONUMENT SIGN LOCATIONS ARE PROVIDED ONLY. NO SIGNS ARE PROPOSED WITH THIS APPLICATION PACKAGE.


3. ALL PROPOSED NEW ON-SITE UTILITY SERVICES SHALL BE UNDERGROUNDED.

4. DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED PER CITY STANDARD PLAN.

5. STATE OF CALIFORNIA "GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NPDES PERMITS AND WDID NUMBERS MUST BE OBTAINED PRIOR TO PERMIT.

6. PROVIDE 1 FOOTCANDLE MIN. THROUGH-OUT THE DEVELOPMENT AND SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE POLICE SERVICES DEPARTMENT.

### VICINITY MAP



## PROJECT DATA

ASSESSORS PARCEL NUMBER:	
ZONE:	M-2-BP
SITE AREA:	224,770 SF / 5.16 AC
FOOTPRINT AREA: FIRST FLOOR OFFICE: SECOND FLOOR AREA TOTAL BUILDING AREA:	104,869 SF (*) 5,604 SF 4,701 SF 109,570 SF
(*) SEE BLDG CODE ANALYSIS.	
COVERAGE: F.A.R.:	47% 49%
PARKING REQUIRED - NO MORE THAN 15% OF OFFICE BUILDOUT IS ANTICIPATED (15,730 SF)	
PROPOSED OFFICE BUILDOUT (10%)	10,305 SF
0 - 40,000 SF (1/500 SF) 40,001 SF - 100,000 SF (1/750 SF - MULTI-TENANT) 100,001 SF - 200,000 SF (1/1,000 SF) 200,001 SF AND ABOVE (1/2,000 SF) TOTAL STALLS	80 STALLS 80 STALLS 10 STALLS 00 STALLS 170 STALLS
PARKING PROVIDED: ACCESSIBLE STALLS STANDARDS STALLS COMPACT STALLS (25% MAX) TOTAL STALLS	6 STALLS 122 STALLS 42 STALLS 170 STALLS
NUMBER OF EV SPACES REQUIRED: PER TABLES 5.106.5.2 / 5.106.5.3.3	21 STALLS 18 EVCS
TERTABLE 0.100.0.27 0.100.0.0.0	3 CV
NUMBER OF ACCESSIBLE EVCS PER TABLE 11B-228.3.2.1	
NUMBER OF ACCESSIBLE EVCS	3 CV 1 VAN ACCESSIBLE
NUMBER OF ACCESSIBLE EVCS PER TABLE 11B-228.3.2.1 BICYCLE PARKING STALLS 5% OF MOTORIZED VEHICLES (CAL GREEN) SHORT TERM LONG TERM	3 CV 1 VAN ACCESSIBLE 1 ACCESSIBLE 9 STALLS 9 STALLS
NUMBER OF ACCESSIBLE EVCS PER TABLE 11B-228.3.2.1 BICYCLE PARKING STALLS 5% OF MOTORIZED VEHICLES (CAL GREEN) SHORT TERM LONG TERM TOTAL BICYCLE STALLS PROVIDE LANDSCAPE REQUIRED: FRONTAGE 236' X 25 LF 6% OF PARKING AREA) = 25,954 SF X .06	3 CV 1 VAN ACCESSIBLE 1 ACCESSIBLE 9 STALLS 9 STALLS 18 STALLS 6,663 SF 1,558 SF
NUMBER OF ACCESSIBLE EVCS PER TABLE 11B-228.3.2.1 BICYCLE PARKING STALLS 5% OF MOTORIZED VEHICLES (CAL GREEN) SHORT TERM LONG TERM TOTAL BICYCLE STALLS PROVIDE LANDSCAPE REQUIRED: FRONTAGE 236' X 25 LF 6% OF PARKING AREA) = 25,954 SF X .06 TOTAL LANDSCAPE REQUIRED: LANDSCAPE PROVIDED: FRONTAGE PARKING LANDSCAPE	3 CV 1 VAN ACCESSIBLE 1 ACCESSIBLE 9 STALLS 9 STALLS 18 STALLS 6,663 SF 1,558 SF 8,221 SF 6,663 SF 9,851 SF
NUMBER OF ACCESSIBLE EVCS PER TABLE 11B-228.3.2.1 BICYCLE PARKING STALLS 5% OF MOTORIZED VEHICLES (CAL GREEN) SHORT TERM LONG TERM TOTAL BICYCLE STALLS PROVIDE LANDSCAPE REQUIRED: FRONTAGE 236' X 25 LF 6% OF PARKING AREA) = 25,954 SF X .06 TOTAL LANDSCAPE REQUIRED: LANDSCAPE PROVIDED FRONTAGE PARKING LANDSCAPE TOTAL LANDSCAPE PROVIDED TRAILER PARKING REQUIRED: 1 TRAILER (12' X 53') PER 4 DOCK LOADING DRS FOR BUILDINGS	3 CV 1 VAN ACCESSIBLE 1 ACCESSIBLE 9 STALLS 9 STALLS 18 STALLS 6,663 SF 1,558 SF 8,221 SF 6,663 SF 9,851 SF 16,514 SF
NUMBER OF ACCESSIBLE EVCS PER TABLE 11B-228.3.2.1 BICYCLE PARKING STALLS 5% OF MOTORIZED VEHICLES (CAL GREEN) SHORT TERM LONG TERM TOTAL BICYCLE STALLS PROVIDE LANDSCAPE REQUIRED: FRONTAGE 236' X 25 LF 6% OF PARKING AREA) = 25,954 SF X .06 TOTAL LANDSCAPE REQUIRED: LANDSCAPE PROVIDED: FRONTAGE PARKING LANDSCAPE TOTAL LANDSCAPE PROVIDED TRAILER PARKING REQUIRED: 1 TRAILER PARKING REQUIRED: 1 TRAILER (12' X 53') PER 4 DOCK LOADING DRS FOR BUILDINGS OVER 100,000 SF (11 DOORS PROVIDED)	3 CV 1 VAN ACCESSIBLE 1 ACCESSIBLE 9 STALLS 9 STALLS 9 STALLS 18 STALLS 6,663 SF 1,558 SF 8,221 SF 6,663 SF 9,851 SF 16,514 SF 16,514 SF
NUMBER OF ACCESSIBLE EVCS PER TABLE 11B-228.3.2.1 BICYCLE PARKING STALLS 5% OF MOTORIZED VEHICLES (CAL GREEN) SHORT TERM LONG TERM TOTAL BICYCLE STALLS PROVIDE LANDSCAPE REQUIRED: FRONTAGE 236' X 25 LF 6% OF PARKING AREA) = 25,954 SF X .06 TOTAL LANDSCAPE REQUIRED: LANDSCAPE PROVIDED: FRONTAGE PARKING LANDSCAPE REQUIRED: 1 TRAILER PARKING REQUIRED: 1 TRAILER (12' X 53') PER 4 DOCK LOADING DRS FOR BUILDINGS OVER 100,000 SF (11 DOORS PROVIDED) TRAILER PARKING PROVIDED: TRAILER PARKING PROVIDED: TRAILER PARKING PROVIDED:	3 CV 1 VAN ACCESSIBLE 1 ACCESSIBLE 9 STALLS 9 STALLS 9 STALLS 18 STALLS 6,663 SF 1,558 SF 8,221 SF 6,663 SF 9,851 SF 16,514 SF 16,514 SF 4 TRAILERS 4 TRAILERS 200 SF

## **BUILDING CODE ANALYSIS**

	UNIT #1	UNIT #2
CONSTRUCTION TYPE	III-B	III-B
OCCUPANCY	S1 / B / F1	S1 / B / F1
SPRINKLERS	YES - ESFR	YES - ESFR
ALLOWABLE BLDG HEIGHT TABLE 504.3 - 75' MAX.	45'	45'
ALLOWABLE NUMBER OF STORIES TABLE 504.4 - 3 STORIES	1 STORY	1 STORY
ALLOWABLE BUILDING AREA TABLE 506.2 - 70,000 SF	58,757 SF	46,112 SF
SEPARATION REQUIRMENTS TABLE 508.4	NON REQUIRED	NON REQUIRED

\* UNITS PROVIDED SEPARATED BY A 3HR FIRE WALL

### KEYNOTES 👁

1. NEW TYPE III B CONCRETE TILT UP BUILDING.

2. PROPOSED CURB CUT AND DRIVE WAY APPROACH. PROVIDE 40'-0" MIN. ENHACED PAVING AT SITE ENTRANCE.

- 3. ACCESSIBLE PATH OF TRAVEL FROM ROW.
- 4. PRIMARY BUILDING ENTRANCE.
- 5. 7.5' X 19' COMPACT PARKING STALL.
- 6. 8.5' X 19' PARKING STALL.
- 7. 9' X 18' ACCESSIBLE PARKING STALL.
- 8. FUTURE EVCS.
- 9. LANDSCAPE AREA SEE LANDSCAPE PLAN.
- 10. TRASH ENCLOSURE.
- 11. 26'-0 FIRE ACCESS LANE.
- 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT REQUIRED HAMMER HEAD.
- 13. PROPERTY LINE.
- 14. EXISTING PUE REFER TO CIVIL DWGS.
- 15. 8'-0" PROPOSED TUBE STEEL FENCE.
- 16. MONUMENT SIGN LOCATION TBD
- 17. 10'-0" BI PARTING SLIDING GATE. PROVIDE KNOX BOX FOR FIRE DEPARTMENT ACCESS.
- 18. TRANSFORMER PAD.
- 19. BIKE RACK LOCATION.
- 20. DOUBLE CHECK DETECTOR VALVE.
- 21. ON SITE FIRE HYDRANT.
- 22. DETENTION AREA PER CIVIL.
- 23. ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT LOCATED IN THE ELECTRCICAL ROOM.
- 24. 12' X 53' TRAILER PARKING POSSITIONS PER CITY STANDARDS.
- 25. 14'-0" PAINTED CONCRETE TILT UP SCREEM WALL



A1-0P

SITE PLAN

COPYRIGHT RGA, OFFICE OF ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN SHEET TITLE:

OWNER PROJECT NO: DRAWN BY: C.P. CHEK'D BY: M.G.

PL 1	01/19/2022	PLANNING COMMENTS
PL 0	10/18/2021	PLANNING SUBMITTAL
MARK	DATE	DESCRIPTION
RGA PROJEC	CT NO:	20172-00

### REXFORD INDUSTRIAL REALTY 11620 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 10th LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024 ATTENTION: RJ RIEVES



OWNER

### **BLOOMFIELD AVENUE** 12118 BLOOMFIELD AVENUE SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA

PROJECT NAME

PROFESSIONAL SEALS

CONSULTANT


15231 Alton Parkway, Suite 100

RGA

Irvine, CA 92618

T 949-341-0920 FX 949-341-0922

Office of Architectural Design





## FLOOR PLAN

OWNER PROJECT NO:	-
DRAWN BY:	C.P.
CHEK'D BY:	M.G.
COPYRIGHT RGA, OFFICE OF ARCHITECTURAL DESI	GN
SHEET TITLE:	

PL 1	01/19/2022	PLANNING COMMENTS	
PL 0	10/18/2021	PLANNING SUBMITTAL	
MARK	DATE	DESCRIPTION	
RGA PROJE	ECT NO:	20172-00	
OWNER PR	OJECT NO:	-	
		0.0	

### REXFORD INDUSTRIAL REALTY 11620 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 10th LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024 ATTENTION: RJ RIEVES



OWNER

# **BLOOMFIELD AVENUE** 12118 BLOOMFIELD AVENUE SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA

PROJECT NAME

PROFESSIONAL SEALS


CONSULTANT


15231 Alton Parkway, Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92618



RGA

T 949-341-0920 FX 949-341-0922





1. FIELD
2. ACCE
3. ACCE
4. ACCE
5. ACCE
6. GLAZ
7. FORM
9 ACM (



ELEVATIONS

SHEET TITLE:

01/19/2022 PLANNING COMMENTS PL 1 PL 0 10/18/2021 PLANNING SUBMITTAL MARK DATE DESCRIPTION RGA PROJECT NO: 20172-00 OWNER PROJECT NO: -C.P. DRAWN BY: CHEK'D BY: M.G. COPYRIGHT RGA, OFFICE OF ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

### REXFORD INDUSTRIAL REALTY 11620 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 10th LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024 ATTENTION: RJ RIEVES



OWNER

### **BLOOMFIELD AVENUE** 12118 BLOOMFIELD AVENUE SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA

PROJECT NAME


PROFESSIONAL SEALS


Irvine, CA 92618 T 949-341-0920 FX 949-341-0922 CONSULTANT

15231 Alton Parkway, Suite 100

Office of Architectural Design

RGA

















CONCEPT PERSPECTIVE VIEW

M.G. COPYRIGHT RGA, OFFICE OF ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN SHEET TITLE:

CHEK'D BY:

DRAWN BY:

C.P.

PL 101/19/2022PLANNING COMMENTSPL 010/18/2021PLANNING SUBMITTALMARKDATEDESCRIPTION RGA PROJECT NO: 20172-00 OWNER PROJECT NO:

## REXFORD INDUSTRIAL REALTY 11620 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 10th LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024 ATTENTION: RJ RIEVES



OWNER

**BLOOMFIELD AVENUE** 12118 BLOOMFIELD AVENUE SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA

PROJECT NAME

PROFESSIONAL SEALS


CONSULTANT

RGA

Office of Architectural Design

15231 Alton Parkway, Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92618

T 949-341-0920 FX 949-341-0922





Planning Commission Meeting

#### CONSENT ITEM

#### Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 8

Compliance review report for Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 8 to allow the continued operation and maintenance of an alcohol beverage sales use for off-site consumption involving JR Liquor Store located at 13418 Imperial Highway in the M-2, Heavy Manufacturing Zone, within the Consolidated Redevelopment Project Area. (Mr. Medhat Beshay, Owner)

#### RECOMMENDATION

That the Planning Commission, based on Staff's compliance review report, find that the subject use is in compliance with all of the conditions of approval and request that this matter be brought back before July 11, 2027, for another compliance review report. The Planning Commission shall note that this matter may be brought back to the Commission at any time should the Applicant violate any conditions of approval or any City Codes, or should there be a need to modify, add, or remove a condition of approval.

#### BACKGROUND

The subject liquor store existed at its current location before the City incorporated. The liquor store establishment has gone through several ownership changes. The present owner is Mr. Medhat Beshay who purchased the liquor store in the beginning of 2022; Mr. Beshay continues to use "JR Liquor Store" as the name of the establishment.

At their respective meetings of July 10, and July 13, 2000, the Planning Commission and the City Council approved Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit (ASCUP) Case No. 8 to allow the continued operation and maintenance of an alcoholic beverage sale use for offsite consumption. While the liquor store has maintained a valid ABC License, the request and approval of ASCUP Case No. 8 was granted to comply with Section 155.628 of the City Code.

This matter is before the Planning Commission because the initial approval required a review of this alcohol sales use activity to determine if the business, along with the beverage use, is being conducted in compliance with the conditions of approval and all applicable laws.

#### CALLS FOR SERVICE

Since the time the new owner took possession of the establishment, Police Records indicate that the restaurant has not had any calls for service relating to the sale of alcoholic beverages.

#### COMPLIANCE REVIEW REPORT

Staff conducted an on-site inspection of the Applicant's operation and the site to ensure compliance with the conditions of approval as set forth in the initial approval of this Permit.

After conducting said inspection and obtaining inquiries from the neighboring businesses, Staff found that the establishment has not had a negative impact on any of the adjoining uses. Furthermore, the use is currently being maintained and operated in full compliance with all of the City's Zoning Regulations, and with the Conditions of Approval. Staff also checked with the Alcohol Beverage Control (ABC) and found that the establishment is in full compliance with all of the ABC regulations as they pertain to a Type 21 License (Off-Sale General). It should be noted that a copy of the conditions of approval were made available to Mr. Beshay when he came in to obtain a new business license for the premises.

Considering that the Applicant continues to comply with all of the initial conditions of approval, Staff believes that changes to the conditions of approval are not warranted at this time. Based on their findings, Staff recommends another compliance review within five years, no later than July 11, 2027.

### **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

Staff did not see a reason to modify or add any conditions to the existing list of conditions; Condition No. 18 has been modified to reflect the new compliance date.

- 1. That "Customer Parking Only, Unauthorized Vehicles will be Towed Away" signs shall continue to be maintained along the on-site parking area.
- 2. That the Applicant shall continue to comply with the Zoning Regulations in respect to not exceeding 25% coverage of the window area of the premises.
- 3. That the Applicant shall continue to provide and maintain adequate on-site parking as required by Zoning Regulations.
- 4. That the sale of alcoholic beverages shall be permitted only during business hours or as indicated by the Alcoholic Beverage Control.
- 5. That the Type 21 Alcoholic Beverage Control license allowing offsite sale of general sales of beer, wine and liquor shall be restricted to the sale for consumption of alcoholic beverages off the subject site only.
- 6. That it shall be the responsibility of the ownership and/or its employees to assure that no alcoholic beverages purchased on the subject site shall be consumed on the subject site or any adjacent property within the applicant's control.

Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 8


- 7. That the Applicant and/or his employees shall be responsible for maintaining control of litter on the subject property.
- 8. That the Applicant and/or his employees shall not allow any person who is obviously intoxicated or under the influence of any drug to enter, be at, or remain upon the licensed premises as set forth in the State Business and Professions Code.
- 9. That the Applicant and/or his employees shall not sell, furnish or give any alcohol to any habitual drunkard or to any obviously-intoxicated person, as set forth in Section 25602 (a) of the State Business and Professions Code.
- 10. That the Applicant shall not have upon the subject premises any alcoholic beverage(s) other than the alcoholic beverage(s) which the licensee is authorized to sell under the licensee's license as set forth in Section 25607 (a) of the State Business and Professions Code.
- 11. That the Applicant and/or his employees shall not sell, furnish or give any alcoholic beverage to any person under 21 years of age as set forth in Section 25658 (a) of the State Business and Professions Code.
- 12. That the Applicant and/or his employees shall not permit any person under 18 years of age to sell alcoholic beverages.
- 13. That there will be a corporate officer or manager on the licensed premises during all public business hours that will be responsible for alcohol sales activities.
- 14. That the Applicant and/or his employees shall not allow any person to loiter on the subject premises, shall report all such instances to the Whittier Police Department and shall continue to maintain signs prohibiting loitering.
- 15. That the owner, corporate officers and managers shall cooperate fully with all city officials, and law enforcement personnel, and shall not obstruct or impede their entrance into the licensed premises while in the course of their official duties.
- 16. That a copy of these conditions be maintained with a copy of the City Business License and Fire Department Permits in a place conspicuous to all employees of the location.

Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 8

- 17. That in the event the owner(s) intend to sell, lease or sublease the subject business operation or transfer the subject Permit to another owner/applicant or licensee, the Director of Police Services shall be notified in writing of said intention not less than (60) days prior to signing of the agreement to sell lease or sublease.
- 18. That this Permit shall be subject to a compliance review in five years, no later than July 11, 2027, to determine if the alcoholic beverage activity is still operating in strict compliance with the original conditions of approval. At which time the Applicant may request an extension of the privileges granted herein, provided that the use has been continuously maintained in strict compliance with these conditions of approval.
- 19. That all other applicable requirements of the City Zoning Ordinance, Uniform Building Code, Uniform Fire Code, the determinations of the City and Fire Marshall, and all other applicable regulations shall be strictly complied with.
- 20. It is hereby declared to be the intent that if any provision of this permit is violated or held to be invalid, or if any law, statute or ordinance is violated, the Permit shall be subject to the revocation process.

Dino Torres Director of Police Services

Attachment(s): 1. Location Map



### CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS

Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 8 JR Liquor Store 13418 Imperial Hwy.

Date of Report: June 6, 2022



Planning Commission Meeting

### CONSENT ITEM Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 16

Compliance review report for Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 16 to allow the continued operation and maintenance of an alcohol beverage sales use for on-site consumption involving La Rubia Restaurant located at 14060 Gannet St. in the M-2, Heavy Manufacturing Zone. (Nancy Olvera, Owner)

#### RECOMMENDATION

That the Planning Commission, based on Staff's compliance review report, find that the subject use is in compliance with all of the conditions of approval and request that this matter be brought back before July 11, 2027, for another compliance review report. The Planning Commission shall note that this matter may be brought back to the Commission at any time should the Applicant violate any conditions of approval or any City Codes, or should there be a need to modify, add, or remove a condition of approval.

### BACKGROUND

The restaurant establishment occupies a suite within a business office development built in 1973 and located on a 3-acre parcel at the southeast corner of Bonavista Avenue and Gannet Street. City records could not identify when the restaurant establishment was constructed at the subject site.

The Planning Commission and City Council initially approved Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit (ASCUP) Case No. 16 at their respective meetings of July 24 and 27, 2006. Accordingly, La Rubia Restaurant maintains a Type 41 License with the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC).

Several ownership transfers have occurred during the lifetime of the establishment. Nancy Olvera has maintained ownership of the establishment since 2012. The restaurant provides a full service Mexican cuisine with a sit down dining experience; adult customers have the option of buying bottled beer for on-site consumption. The restaurant is open daily throughout the week.

This matter is before the Planning Commission because the initial approval required a review of this matter to determine if the business, along with the beverage use, is being conducted in compliance with the conditions of approval and all applicable laws.

#### CALLS FOR SERVICE

Police Records indicated that the restaurant has not had any calls for service as a direct result of the alcohol sales or the storage of alcoholic beverages.

#### COMPLIANCE REVIEW REPORT

As it is customary on all compliance reviews, staff conducted an on-site inspection of the Applicant's operation and the surrounding site to ensure compliance with the conditions of approval as set forth in the initial approval of this Permit.

After conducting said inspection, Staff found that the restaurant establishment and the sale of alcoholic beverages has not had a negative impact on any of the neighboring uses, which consist mostly of small service providers, and a large trucking operation across the street. The restaurant has minimal shared parking with the other tenant of the business park, but this has not caused a problem considering that majority of its customers walk to the location.

The restaurant use, along with the alcohol sales activity, is currently being maintained and operated in full compliance with all of the City's Zoning Regulations, and with the Conditions of Approval. Staff also checked with the Alcohol Beverage Control (ABC) and found that the establishment is in full compliance with all of the ABC regulations as they pertain to the issued Type 41 License (On-Sale Beer and Wine – Eating Place).

Considering this favorable track record, and the fact that the Applicant has complied with all of the initial conditions of approval, Staff believes that changes to the conditions of approval are not warranted at this time. Based on their findings, Staff recommends another compliance review within five years, no later than July 11, 2027.

#### CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Staff did not see a reason to modify or add any conditions to the existing list of conditions; Condition No. 16 has been modified to reflect the new compliance date.

- 1. That the Type 41 Alcoholic Beverage Control license allowing onsite consumption of beer and wine in connection with a bonafide eating establishment shall be restricted to the sale for consumption of alcoholic beverages on the subject site only; the use shall not sell alcoholic beverages for transport and\or consumption off the subject premises.
- 2. That the Applicant and/or his employees shall not allow any person who is intoxicated or under the influence of any drug, to enter, be at, or remain upon the licensed premises, as set forth in the State Business and Professions Code.
- 3. That the Applicant and/or his employees shall not sell, furnish or give any alcoholic beverage to any person under 21 years of age, as set forth in Section 25658 (a) of the State Business and Professions Code.
- 4. That the Applicant and/or his employees shall not permit any person under 18 years of age to sell alcoholic beverages.
- 5. That solicitation of drinks is prohibited; that is, an employee of the licensed premises Report Submitted By: L. Collazo Department of Police Services Date of Report: June 6, 2022

shall not solicit alcoholic drinks from customers. Refer to Section 303 of the California Penal Code and Section 25657 of the Business and Professions Code.

- 6. That it shall be the responsibility of the owner and/or his employees to ensure that all alcoholic beverages purchased on the subject site shall be consumed within the business establishment.
- 7. That the Applicant and/or his employees shall not allow any person to loiter on the subject premises, shall report all such instances to the Whittier Police Department and shall post signs, as approved by the Department of Police Services, prohibiting loitering.
- 8. That a maximum occupancy placard shall be maintained posted over each doorway. This occupancy limitation shall not be violated at any time.
- 9. That streamers, banners, pennants, whirling devices or similar objects that wave, float, fly, rotate or move in the breeze shall be prohibited unless approved by the Director of Planning and Development.
- 10. That there shall be no live entertainment, amplified music or dancing permitted on the premises at any time.
- 11. That there shall be no pool tables or coin-operated games maintained upon the premises at any time.
- 12. That there shall be no bar or lounge area upon the licensed premises maintained for the primary purpose of alcohol sales or consumption of alcoholic beverages directly to patrons for consumption.
- 13. That in the event the owner(s) intends to sell, lease or sublease the subject business operation or transfer the subject permit to another owner/applicant or licensee, the Director of Police Services shall be notified in writing of said intention not less than 60 (sixty) days prior to signing of the agreement to sell, lease or sublease.
- 14. That a copy of these conditions be posted and maintained with a copy of the City Business License and Fire Department permits, in a place conspicuous to all employees of the location.
- 15. That failure to comply with the foregoing conditions of approval shall be cause for procedures to suspend and/or revoke this permit.


Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 16

- 16. That this Permit shall be subject to a compliance review in five years, no later than July 11, 2027, to determine if the alcoholic beverage activity is still operating in strict compliance with the original conditions of approval. At which time the Applicant may request an extension of the privileges granted herein, provided that the use has been continuously maintained in strict compliance with these conditions of approval.
- 17. That all other applicable requirements of the City Zoning Ordinance, Uniform Fire Code, Uniform Building Code, and the determinations of the City and State Fire Marshall, and all other applicable regulations shall be strictly complied with.
- 18. It is hereby declared to the intent that if any provision of this permit is violated or held to be invalid, or if any law, statute, or ordinance is violated the permit shall be void and the privileges granted hereunder shall lapse.

Dino Torres Director of Police Services

Attachment: Location Map

### LOCATION MAP



### CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS

Alcohol Sales Conditional Use Permit Case No. 16 La Rubia Restaurant 14060 Gannet St

### City of Santa Fe Springs

Planning Commission Meeting

#### CONSENT ITEM

#### Conditional Use Permit Case No. 480-5

A request for a time extension of Conditional Use Permit (CUP) Case No. 480 to allow the continued operation and maintenance of a mobile office trailer outdoor storage facility and related improvements on the 11.69-acre property located at 11811 Greenstone Avenue, within the M-2, Heavy Manufacturing zone. (Williams Scotsman Inc.)

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- Find and determine that granting a two (2) year time extension of Conditional Use Permit Case No. 480, will not be detrimental to persons or properties in the surrounding area or to the City in general, and will be in conformance with the overall purpose and objective of the Zoning Ordinance and consistent with the goals, policies, and programs of the City's General Plan; and
- Approve a two (2) year time extension of Conditional Use Permit Case No. 480 (until July 11, 2024), subject to the conditions of approval as contained within the staff report.

#### BACKGROUND

In according with Section 155.243 (J)(18) of City's Zoning Regulations, open storage yards are required to obtain a Conditional Use Permit prior to commencement of such activities. Section 155.639 further restricts the location of open storage yards to properties that do not front onto a freeway or on a major or secondary highway. Additionally, such uses are limited to an area of one acre in size. However, exception to the location and size limitations is available "on property composed of filled land which cannot be used for other industrial uses." It should be noted that the subject property is a former landfill.

Respectively, on June 24, 1991 and June 27, 1991, the Planning Commission and Redevelopment Agency approved the original Conditional Use Permit (CUP 480) to allow the operation and maintenance of a mobile office trailer outdoor storage facility and related improvements on the subject 11.69-acre property. The applicant has been granted three (3) CUP time extensions since the original approval. The last time extension, granted on August 13, 2012, is set to expire in August 13, 2022. It should be noted that the subject property is currently vacant because the property owner, Greenstone Investments – 11811, LLC, is completing necessary maintenance work relating to long-term subsidence and continued landfill gas generation. In fact, a comprehensive site improvement program has been prepared to ensure the subsidence and landfill gas issues are properly addressed. The business operator, Williams Scotsman Inc. is therefore seeking a two (2) year extension of the subject CUP to keep it valid and allow the property owner to complete said maintenance work. The improvements related to the maintenance work will consist of the following:

- 1. Landfill Cap Repair/Reconstruction and Drainage Improvements. This will include grading the site to repair 2-4 feet of subsidence, which causes detrimental ponding on the property. Importation of up to 5 feet of fill and recontouring the site will be conducted. In addition, surface water drainage will be enhanced to accommodate Low-Impact-Design (LID) development parameters, as required by the city and the County.
- 2. Landfill Gas Collection and Treatment System. A field investigation in 2017 indicated that landfill gas was present at the site. In this regard, the Owner has decided to install ad landfill gas system that will control the possible migration of the landfill gas off the site. This system consists of three major components:
  - a. <u>Extraction wells, monitoring probes and underground piping</u>

     The installation of several extraction wells connected to a central collection system via underground piping is proposed. The control of gas will be monitored using perimeter probes in the ground that initially will be sampled at least monthly.
  - <u>Landfill gas condensate collection system</u> The byproduct of the gas collection is liquid condensate that accumulates in the piping. As this condensate maybe contaminated state regulations requires collection and proper disposal of the liquid.
  - c. <u>Landfill gas treatment system –</u> The gas collected in the extraction wells will be transported to a central treatment facility on site. Here the gas will be appropriately treated per SCAQMD and County of LA requirements for discharge. The treatment system will be operating 24/7 and monitored weekly to ensure proper operations.
- 3. **Paving and Lighting**. Currently, less than half the site is paved. The subject maintenance work, as per the improvement program, includes completely paving the entire site with the understanding that reuse of the site will remain as currently utilized by WillScot. Paving will help with overall dust control, preventing water infiltrating into the underlying wastes, and minimize and erosion/sediment issues. For safety purposes, the site will also have enhanced area lighting throughout the site.

It should be noted that all proposed site maintenance work will be required to obtain City approval and approval of all required governing agencies.

#### Page 3 of 9

#### ZONING CODE REQUIREMENT

As mentioned previously, pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 155.243 (J)(18) of the City's Zoning Ordinance, open storage yards are required to obtain a Conditional Use Permit prior to commencement of such activities. Section 155.639 further restricts the location of open storage yards to properties that do not front onto a freeway or on a major or secondary highway.

**City of Santa Fe Springs – Zoning Ordinance** Section 155.243 – CONDITIONAL USES (J)(18)

The following uses shall be permitted in the M-2 Zone only after a valid conditional use permit has first been issued:

(J)(18) Open storage yards except those otherwise listed as a principal or a conditional use.

**City of Santa Fe Springs – Zoning Ordinance** Section 155.639 – OPEN STORAGE YARD

A conditional use permit shall be required for the establishment of any open storage yard except that a conditional use permit shall not be granted where the premises fronts on a freeway or on a major or secondary highway or where the premises exceeds an area of one acre or where such uses would be incompatible with or have an adverse effect on existing or proposed development in the adjacent area however, a conditional use permit may otherwise be issued for open storage yards on property composed of filled land which cannot be better utilized for other industrial uses.

#### **STAFF CONSIDERATIONS**

To keep the CUP entitlement valid while the property owner is conducting the listed improvements above, staff is recommending that the Planning Commission grant a two (2) year time extension, until July 11, 2024, subject to the conditions of approval listed within this staff report. If for some reason the applicant requires additional time at the end of two (2) year, they will need submit a subsequent time extension request along with an updated justification statement.

#### **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

NOTE: Changes to existing conditions are provided as a strike-through or bold.

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT: (Contact: Jimmy Wong 562.868.0511 x 7451)

1. That the Applicant shall continue to maintain the landscaping and

Report Submitted By: Jimmy Wong Planning and Development Department

	automatic irrigation system in proper order and replace any dead or withered foliage with new landscape material in a timely manner.
2.	That the subject site shall be maintained in accordance with the site plan on file with this case.
3.	That the subject site shall not be used for the parking or storage of any other vehicles or equipment not related with the subject mobile office trailer use.
4.	That the parking and storage of mobile office trailers shall be maintained in a neat and orderly manner with sufficient distance to allow emergency personnel to access the site.
5.	That the Applicant shall not lease or sub-lease any portion of the yard for any purpose.
6.	That the applicant shall be responsible for the clean-up of any tracking of dirt, debris, or trash on Greenstone Avenue as a result of the operation and activities of the use.
7.	That trailers, equipment or other related material shall not be stacked where it is visible from the street.
8.	That the Applicant shall implement and maintain all systems and methods to prevent storm water pollution and maintain compliance with Chapter 52 of the Santa Fe Springs City Code.
9.	That the Applicant and/or his employees shall discourage any stopping or parking of trucks on the street or adjacent streets at all times.
10.	That the Applicant shall comply with all Building Codes, Fire Codes, Zoning Code, and all applicable local laws.
11.	That this Permit shall not be effective for any purpose until the applicant has filed with the City of Santa Fe Springs an affidavit stating that the Applicant is aware of and accepts all the conditions of this Permit.

12. That Conditional Use Permit Case No. 480-5 shall be subject to a compliance review in ten (10) years two (2) years, on or before August 13, 2022 July 11, 2024, to ensure that the use is still operating in strict compliance with the attached conditions of approval.

Wayne M. Morrell Director of Planning

Attachments:


- 1. Aerial Photograph
- 2. CUP Compliance Review Request Letter

### **Aerial Photograph**



## CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS





and data outlets and plumbing facilities in some circumstances. These units are transported by truck, either lowered (if fitted with axles and hitches) or mounted on flat-bed trailers. More specific information regarding the construction specifications of the modular fleet or VAPs items that will be operating out of this location can be provided upon request.

Rental and leasing of these units are our core business. Over 90% of new leases are on our standard lease agreements, pre-negotiated master lease or national account agreements. The initial lease periods vary; however, they are typically fairly short but when factoring in the month-to-month renewals of these assets our actual average lease duration reaches 30 months.

#### Description of Site Activities:

Our local branch located at **11811** Greenstone Avenue, Santa Fe Springs, California 90670 will serve as an outside storage facility for modular buildings while they await to fill our customer's needs and orders. This site has been used for this purpose for over 20 years. The average lease duration for our modular units is 30 months which enables us to keep as few units as possible at this property. This property will also serve as a receiving facility for units as they return from our customers possession. On average, we see four to six modular buildings return per business day to this facility. There is an average of five to seven modular deliveries per business day from this facility. However, this may fluctuate per seasonal and market demands. In addition, we employ more than 34 employees preparing and maintaining the units on-site on a daily basis. Office, sales, and administration staff are also onsite for a total staff of approximately 65.

Prior to modular buildings being delivered to our customers, light construction and janitorial work is completed on these units to ensure the units meet our strict quality control guidelines, as well as to build the units to our customers wants and needs. These scopes of work include, but are not limited to light carpentry, minor electrical and plumbing work, some roofing activities and thorough cleaning and janitorial services that always place safety at the forefront of our mission.

In addition to the aforementioned activities that will need to take place, we also will have some warehousing needs to store our Value-Added Products while they deliver and return with our modular buildings. These warehouse needs will be satisfied by utilizing our own modular units that are customized to properly serve our needs. These modular units are currently onsite. They will be temporarily relocated offsite while the landfill improvements are being constructed and will be returned after the improvements are completed. All of our VAPs items will be stored indoors except the Aluminum Handicap Ramps, Decking, and Access Steps. These items are specifically designed to be stored in an outdoor environment and are made of high-quality aluminum materials. Attached to this letter is the proposed new site plan that shows spaces for 165 modular units. We also enclosed plans and elevations that show the front office FLEX units proposed for our site. Driveways will remain at current locations for continued access and egress. Also, areas along the south and north perimeter, as well as along Greenstone Ave., will be dedicated to future LID and stormwater control requirements. A privacy fence is planned to be installed behind the parking lot.

#### Hours/Days of Operation:

Our primary operating hours at this location are determined by several factors, such as current demand and each internal department's functions. The Main Administrative Office hours will strictly be Monday through Friday beginning 7:30am and closing at 4:30pm. We will also have additional employees

2 Page

Date of Report: July 7, 2022

In addition to these Santa Fe Springs based employees, we will also have truck drivers that will begin their shifts out of this location between the hours of 12:00 am and 6:00 am, depending on their scheduled runs for the day. In concert with these drivers, we also will have Field Service Technicians and Set Up Technicians that will begin their days at this location between the hours of 4:30am and 6:30am. These drivers and technicians will only spend limited time at this property, while the majority of their time will be spent in the field for our entire operational market serving our clients.

In summary, there may be some activity on site from 12 am to 4:30 pm Monday to Friday, but the majority of staff of 65 will be on-site from 6:30 am to 4:30 pm.

#### Number of Employees:

Working out of this location will be an estimated 65 Full Time Hourly and Salaried Employees. This number will consist of 16 Administrative, Sales, and Management staff members along with 34 Service Technicians that service our modular buildings and the accompanying functions that make up our delivery and return processes. Another 15 Employees will be field based team members that will primarily be working outside of this facility for the majority of their workdays.

If you have any questions regarding the information provided above or in the attached documents, please contact us or our local representative Mr. Javier Weckmann at (909) 967-2504.

Sincerely,

Andrew Wright Director of Real Estate Williams Scotsman Inc. (610) 232-0832, x20832

Leenan Sinken

Branch Operations Leader Williams Scotsman Inc. (562) 903 9200, x44101

Keenan Lindsev

cc: Jimmy Wong, Associate Planner, Santa Fe Springs, CA

#### Attachments:

- 1. CUP 480-4
- Site Plan
- Flex Building Plan
- Property Owner's Statement

3 Page



#### Planning Commission Meeting

### CONSENT ITEM

#### Conditional Use Permit Case No. 641-4 & Zone Variance Case No. 68-4

A request for a time extension of Conditional Use Permit (CUP) Case No. 641 to allow the establishment, operation and maintenance of an open storage yard use for RV storage; and Zone Variance (ZV) Case No. 68 to allow a deviation from the provision of Section 155.451 (frontage on a public street) and Section 155.639 (exceed 1-acre maximum) on the 7.49-acre property generally located between the Interstate-605 Freeway to the east and the San Gabriel River to the west (APN: 8177-029-811), in the M-2, Heavy Manufacturing, Zone. (Premier RV Storage SFS, LLC)

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Find and determine that granting a two (2) year time extension of Conditional Use Permit Case No. 641 and Zone Variance Case No. 68, will not be detrimental to persons or properties in the surrounding area or to the City in general, and will be in conformance with the overall purpose and objective of the Zoning Regulations and consistent with the goals, policies and program of the City's General Plan.
- Approve a two (2) year time extension of Conditional Use Permit Case No. 641 and Zone Variance Case No. 68, until July 11, 2024, subject to the original conditions of approval as contained within this staff report.

#### **BACKGROUND/ DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST**

The subject 6.49-acre property, commonly referred to as the "Balloon Track" because of its balloon-like shape, is generally located between the Interstate-605 Freeway to the east; the San Gabriel River to the west; and Rivera Road and the Southern Pacific Railroad (SPRR) to the north and south respectively. The property is zoned M-2, Heavy Manufacturing, with a General Plan land use designation of "Industrial".

In according with Section 155.243 (J)(18) of City's Zoning Regulations, open storage yards are required to obtain a Conditional Use Permit prior to commencement of such activities.

**City of Santa Fe Springs – Zoning Ordinance** Section 155.243 (J)(18)

The following uses shall be permitted in the M-2 zone only after a valid conditional use permit has first been issued:

(J)(18) Open Storage yards except those otherwise listed as a principal or conditional use.

On June 9, 2008, the Planning Commission initially approved CUP Case No. 641 and

Report Submitted By: Cuong Nguyen Planning and Development Department ZC Case No. 68 to allow the establishment, operation and maintenance of an open storage yard use for RV storage and deviations from Sections 155.451 and 155.639, respectively. It should be noted that said entitlements were previously extended by the Planning Commission on: June 22, 2009, for an additional three (3) years; July 23, 2012 for an additional five (5) years; and July 10, 2017 for an additional five years.

Requirement for Time Extension

Section 155.721 of the City's Zoning Regulations specifies that a conditional which has not been utilized within 12 months, shall become null and void (see Code Section below).

**City of Santa Fe Springs – Zoning Ordinance** Section 155.745 – Expiration

Unless otherwise specified in the action granting a conditional use permit, said approval which has not been utilized within a period of 12 consecutive months from the effective date shall be null and void. Also the abandonment or nonuse of a conditional use permit for a period of 12 consecutive months shall terminate said conditional use permit and any privileges granted thereunder shall become null and void. However, an extension of time may be granted by Commission or Council action.

Section 155.682 of the City's Zoning Regulations specifies that a zone variance, which has not been utilized within 12 months, shall become null and void (see Code Section below).

**City of Santa Fe Springs – Zoning Ordinance** Section 155.682 – Expiration

Unless otherwise specified in the action granting a variance, said variance which has not been utilized within 12 months of the effective date, shall become null and void. Also the abandonment or nonuse of a variance for a period of 12 consecutive months shall terminate said variance and any privileges granted thereunder shall become null and void. However, an extension of time may be granted by Commission or Council action.

Both Code Sections, however, provides that an extension of time may be granted by Commission or Council action.

#### STAFF CONSIDERATIONS

Date of Report: July 7, 2022

According to the applicant, they have diligently continued to pursue an end user that can utilize the CUP. Unfortunately, due to the volatility of the economy and changes in the RV storage business, it has been difficult to find a lessee.

It should be noted that, as the applicant continues to deal with the changing business landscape due to Covid-19 and other changes in consumer sentiment, they have also been exploring other potential uses that may be more viable for the subject site. As such, the applicant is seeking another time extension of both DPA 641 and ZV 68 to allow their team additional time to either find an end user for the subject RV storage use or, otherwise, find another more viable use for the subject site. Providing the applicant with another time extension will keep the subject entitlements valid and thus help facilitate the eventual development of the underutilized subject site.

Staff is recommending that the Planning Commission grant a two (2) year time extension, until July 11, 2024, subject to the original conditions of approval listed within this staff report. If for some reason the applicant requires additional time at the end of two (2) years, they will need to submit a subsequent time extension request along with an updated justification statement.

#### CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

#### ENGINEERING/PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT: (Contact: Noe Negrete 562.868-0511 x7611)

- 1. That the owner shall execute an affidavit agreeing to the addition of a cost-ofliving adjustment to the existing Street Light Assessment District. Annual adjustments shall be based on the Consumer Price Index for Los Angeles County and will not exceed 3% per year.
- 2. That the owner must show how he intends to drain the site and the methods that will be utilized to treat and infiltrate runoff on the site. One method of indicating how this will be done is by the preparation of a grading/drainage plan and hydrology study that includes flows, elevations, drainage appurtenances, and runoff treatment and infiltration devices. Also, the developer must indicate what provisions will be made to handle storm conditions when the runoff exceeds the rate of infiltration.
- 3. That Storm drains, catch basins, connector pipes, retention basin and appurtenances built for this project shall be constructed in accordance with City specifications. Storm drain plans shall be approved by the City Engineer.
- 4. That the owner/developer shall make arrangements with San Gabriel Valley Water Company, for water service and fire service/hydrants and shall pay all

costs therefore. Fire hydrants shall be installed at the owner/developer's cost as required by the Fire Department.

- 5. That sanitary sewers shall be constructed in accordance with City specifications to serve the subject development. The plans for the sanitary sewers shall be approved by the City Engineer. A sewer study shall be submitted along with the sanitary sewer plans.
- 6. That the fire sprinkler plans, which show the proposed double-check valve detector assembly location, shall have a stamp approval from the Planning Department and Public Works Department prior to the Fire Department's review for approval.
- 7. That the owner/developer shall obtain a Storm Drain Connection Permit for any connection to the storm drain system.
- 8. That the owner shall comply with Congestion Management Program (CMP) requirements and provide mitigation of trips generated by the development. The owner and/or developer will receive credit for the demolition of any buildings that formerly occupied the site. For new developments, if the owner and/or developer cannot meet the mitigation requirements, the owner and/or developer shall pay a mitigation fee to be determined by the City Engineer for off-site transportation improvements.
- 9. That the owner/developer shall comply with all requirements of the County Sanitation District, make application for and pay the sewer maintenance fee.
- 10. That a grading plan shall be submitted for drainage approval to the City Engineer. The owner shall pay drainage review fees in conjunction with this submittal. A professional civil engineer registered in the State of California shall prepare the grading plan.
- 11. That a hydrology study shall be submitted to the City if requested by the City Engineer. The study shall be prepared by a Professional Civil Engineer.
- 12. That upon completion of public improvements constructed by developers, the developer's civil engineer shall submit Mylar record drawings and AutoCAD 2000 drawing files to the office of the City Engineer.
- 13. That the owner/developer shall comply with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program and shall require the general contractor to implement storm water/urban runoff pollution prevention controls and Best Management Practices (BMPs) on all construction sites in accordance with

Chapter 52 of the City Code. The owner/developer will also be required to submit a Certification for the project and may be required to prepare a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Projects over five acres in size will be required to file a Notice of Intent (NOI) with the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). The owner/developer can obtain the current application packet by contacting the SWRCB, Division of Water Quality, at (916) 657-1977 downloading forms their or by the from website at http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/stormwtr/construction.html. The project shall also conform to Ordinance 915 regarding the requirements for the submittal of a Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan ("SUSMP"). The SUSMP includes a requirement to implement Post Construction BMPs to mitigate (infiltrate or treat) the first 3/4" of runoff from all storm events and to control peak-flow discharges. Unless exempted by the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, a Covenant and Restriction ensuring the provisions of the approved SWPPP shall also be required.

14. That the owner and/or developer shall install Portland cement concrete or asphaltic concrete pavement drive approach satisfactory to the City Engineer for the entire width of the driveways for a minimum distance of 50 feet from the back of the driveway location installed by the developer.

#### DEPARTMENT OF FIRE - RESCUE (FIRE PREVENTION DIVISION) (Contact: Kevin Yang 562.868-0511 x3818)

- 15. That all buildings over 5,000 sq ft shall be protected by an approved automatic sprinkler system per Section 93.11 of the Santa Fe Springs Municipal Code.
- 16. That the office/manager building shall be protected by an approved automatic fire sprinkler system.
- 17. That the owner shall comply with the requirements of Section 117.131 of the Santa Fe Springs Municipal Code, Requirement for a Soil Gas Study, in accordance with Ordinance No. 955, prior to issuance of building permits.
- 18. That interior gates or fences are not permitted across required Fire Department access roadways unless otherwise granted prior approval by the City Fire Department.
- 19. That on-site fire hydrants are required by the Fire Department, a minimum flow must be provided at 2,500 gpm with 1,500 gpm flowing from the most remote hydrant.

- 20. That the standard aisle width for onsite emergency vehicle maneuvering shall be 26 feet with a minimum clear height of 13 feet 6 inches. Internal driveways shall have a turning radius of not less than 52 feet. The final location and design of this 26 feet shall be subject to the approval of the City's Fire Chief as established by the Uniform Fire Code. A request to provide emergency vehicle aisle width less than 26 feet shall be considered upon the installation/provision of mitigation improvements approved by the City's Fire Chief.
- 21. That prior to submitting plans to the Building Division or Planning Commission, a preliminary site plan shall be approved by the Fire Department for required access roadways and on-site fire hydrant locations. The site plan shall be drawn at a scale between 20 to 40 feet per inch. Include on plan all entrance gates that will be installed.
- 22. That Knox boxes are required on all new construction. All entry gates shall also be equipped with Knox boxes or Knox key switches for power-activated gates.
- 23. That signs and markings required by the Fire Department shall be installed along the required Fire Department access roadways.

#### DEPARTMENT OF FIRE - RESCUE (ENVIRONMENTAL DIVISION) (Contact: Eric Scott 562.868-0511 x3812)

- 24. That prior to issuance of building permits, the owner/developer shall comply with the applicable conditions below and obtain written certification from the Santa Fe Springs Fire Department that all applicable conditions have been met:
  - a. Phase I Environmental Audit. Owner/developer has retained a qualified, certified and licensed environmental consultant to perform a Phase I Site Assessment and any soils, geologic, hydrogeologic, engineering and other tests necessary in order to determine if any crude oil, hazardous substances or hazardous wastes, as defined in state or federal law, have been released on, under or about the Property. The owner/developer shall provide the Santa Fe Springs Fire Department with copies of the Phase I Site Assessment and any other assessment information for review and approval. If the site assessment identifies a release, or potential release at the site, the owner/developer must comply with part b.
  - b. Phase II Site Assessment/Remedial Action Plan and Report. A Phase II Site Assessment, prepared in accordance with the City's Soil Assessment and Remediation Guidelines for Commercial/Industrial Sites, may be required based on the information presented in the Phase I Site

Assessment. The Phase II report must be reviewed and approved by the Santa Fe Springs Fire Department Environmental Protection Division (EPD). Should the Phase II Site Assessment indicate that contaminate levels exceed the City's remediation standards or other regulatory agency guidelines, remedial action will be required. A remedial action workplan must be approved by the EPD and/or another authorized oversight agency before implementation. Once remedial action is complete, a final remedial action report must be submitted and approved.

- c. Soil Management Plan & Report. A Soils Management Plan (SMP) which addresses site monitoring and soil remediation during site development activities may be required. If required, the SMP shall be submitted to the Santa Fe Springs Fire Department for review and approval before grading activities begin. Once grading is complete, a SMP report must be submitted to the Fire Department for final approval. Building plans will not be approved until the SMP report has been approved by the Environmental Protection Division.
- 25. <u>Permits and approvals</u>. That the owner/developer shall, at its own expense, secure or cause to be secured any and all permits or other approvals which may be required by the City and any other governmental agency having jurisdiction as to the environmental condition of the Property. Permits shall be secured prior to beginning work related to the permitted activity.
- 26. That all abandoned pipelines, tanks and related facilities shall be removed unless approved by the City Engineer and Fire Chief. Appropriate permits for such work shall be secured before abandonment work begins.
- 27. That the owner/developer shall comply with all Federal, State and local requirements and regulations included, but not limited to, the Santa Fe Springs City Municipal Code, Uniform Building Code, Uniform Fire Code, Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) programs, the Air Quality Management District's Rules and Regulations and all other applicable codes and regulations.
- 28. That the owner/operator shall submit plumbing plans to the Santa Fe Springs Fire Department and, if necessary, obtain an Industrial Wastewater Discharge Permit Application for generating, storing, treating or discharging any industrial wastewater to the sanitary sewer.

#### POLICE SERVICES DEPARTMENT:

#### (Contact: Dino Torres 562.409-1850 x3329 or Lou Collazo at x3335)

- 29. That the owner/developer shall submit and obtain approval of a proposed lighting (photometric) and security plan for the property from the Police Services Department of the City. The photometric plan shall be designed to provide adequate lighting (minimum of 2-foot candlepower) throughout the subject property. Further, all exterior lighting shall be designed/installed in such a manner that light and glare are not transmitted onto adjoining properties in such concentration/quantity as to create a hardship to adjoining property owners or a public nuisance.
- 30. That a tubular/steel fence, a minimum of 8-feet tall, shall be provided at the perimeter of the property. Said fence shall be subject to the approval of the Director of Police Services.
- 31. That the owner/developer shall provide an emergency phone number and a contact person to the Department of Police Services and the Fire Department. The name, telephone number, fax number and e-mail address of that person shall be provided to the Director of Police Services and the Fire Chief no later than 60 days from the date of approval by the Planning Commission. Emergency information shall allow emergency service to reach the applicant or their representative any time, 24 hours a day. The emergency contact information shall be updated annually at the beginning of each year.
- 32. That only currently licensed and operable recreational vehicles, boats, and trailers shall be stored on the property. At no time shall the property be used for the storage of unlicensed, abandoned, wrecked, dismantled or inoperative vehicle or part thereof.
- 33. That the applicant shall install new digital cameras throughout the property and also along the perimeter of all buildings. The digital cameras shall be capable of recording the perimeter of the property and be able to record during the night and day. The manager's building shall possess equipment capable of monitoring all cameras.
- 34. That the pick-up and drop-off of recreational vehicles, boats, trailers, etc., shall be limited to the following hours: Monday through Sundays between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 8: 00 p.m.
- 35. That the proposed buildings, including any lighting, fences, walls, cabinets, and poles shall be maintained in good repair, free from trash, debris, litter and graffiti and other forms of vandalism. Any damage from any cause shall be repaired within 72 hours of occurrence, weather permitting, to minimize occurrences of

dangerous conditions or visual blight. Paint utilized in covering graffiti shall be a color that matches, as closely possible, the color of the existing and/or adjacent surfaces.

- 36. That ingress to and egress from the site shall be via automatic gates that equipped with a keypad system with a unique code. The 24-hour access code shall be provided to the Director of Police Services so that police personnel can enter the property when patrolling and responding to calls of service.
- 37. That a "state of the art" security system shall be installed to detect intrusion throughout the site.
- 38. That the owner/developer shall install a warning system in the Manager's building that would inform the manager when the entry gate has been opened.
- 39. That bollards or some other form of protective safety devise shall be installed at the end of each parking space to prevent vehicles from damaging the perimeter fence.

#### PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT: (Contact: Wayne M. Morrell 562.868-0511 x7362)

- 40. That the Mitigation Monitoring Program (State Clearinghouse No. 2006071108 (SCH No. 2006071108) shall be made part of the conditions of approval for Conditional Use Permit Case No. 641and Zone Variance Case No. 68. The Mitigation Monitoring Program is listed in the Appendices as Appendix I.
- 41. That if the Department of Planning and Development requires that the doublecheck detector assembly be screened by shrubs or any other materials, the screening shall only be applicable to the double-check detector assembly and shall not include the fire department connector (FDC). Screening is prohibited in front of the wheels of the valves. Notwithstanding, the Fire Marshall shall have discretionary authority to require the FDC to be located a minimum distance from the double-check detector assembly.
- 42. That all projects over \$50,000 are subject to the requirements of Ordinance No. 914 to reuse or recycle 75% of the project waste. Contact the Recycling Coordinator, Anita Jimenez at (562) 868-0511 x7361.
- 43. That the owner/developer shall comply with Public Resource Code, Section 42900 et seq. (California Solid Waste Reuse and Recycling Access Act of 1991) as amended, which requires each development project to provide adequate

	storage area for the collection/storage and removal of recyclable and green waste materials.
44.	That the owner/developer shall comply with the City's "Heritage Artwork in Public Places Program" in conformance with City Ordinance No. 909.
45.	That all roof-mounted mechanical equipment and/or duct work which projects above the roof or roof parapet of the proposed development and is visible from adjacent property or a public street at ground level shall be screened by an enclosure which is consistent with the architecture of the building and approved by the Director of Planning and Development. Contractor shall provide a line-of- sight drawing showing that the equipment will not be visible at a height of 6 feet from a distance of 300 feet.
46.	That the owner/developer shall submit for approval a detailed landscape and automatic irrigation plan pursuant to the Landscaping Guidelines of the City. Said landscape plan shall indicate the location and type of all plant materials to be used.
47.	That the entire site shall be paved. Such paving shall consist of suitable base material, topped with hard, durable, plant-mix asphaltic paving or Portland cement. The surface shall be graded and drained so as to dispose of all surface water.
48.	That the owner/developer shall make arrangements with San Gabriel Valley Water Company, (818) 448-6183, for water service and fire service/hydrants and shall pay all costs thereof.
49.	That a minimum of ten (10) parking spaces shall be provided for customers, visitors and employees. The on-site parking shall not be used reduced or encroached upon in any manner.
50.	That as stipulated by the Public Utilities Commission, the owner/developer shall install new Private Crossing signs and Stop signs at all private at-grade crossings. The placement of the signs shall be pursuant to Commission General Order 75-D, Section 7.3 and Section 7.4.
51.	That as stipulated by the Public Utilities Commission, the owner/developer shall place and maintain stop lines at both approaches. Pursuant to the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD) section 8B.21 (Guidance): The Stop Line should be a transverse line at a right angle to the traveled way at a point where a vehicle is to stop or as near to the point as

possible. The stop line should be placed approximately 2.4m (8 feet) in advance of the gate (if present), but no closer than 4.6m (15 feet) from the nearest rail.

- 52. That as stipulated by the Public Utilities Commission, the owner/developer shall install "DO NOT STOP ON TRACKS" signs (defined as R8-8 in the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD) at both approaches to the crossing.
- 53. That as stipulated by the Public Utilities Commission, the owner/developer shall reduce the crossing approach grades. The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Policy on Geometric Design of Highway and Streets (p. 733) recommends the following: **Vertical Alignment**: The crossing should be at the same plane as the top of the rails for a distance of .6m (2 feet) outside the rails. The surface of the highway should also not be more than 75mm (3 inches) higher or lower than the top of the nearest rail at a point 9m (30 feet) from the rail unless track super elevation makes a different level appropriate....
- 54. That conditions No. 50, 51, 52 and 53, by the Public Utilities Commission are contingent on train traffic remaining at two movements per night after facility operating hours. Should train operations change, the Commission's Rail Engineering Section (RCES) shall be contacted to re-evaluate the two private crossings.
- 55. That the owner shall provide written documentation indicating that the facility operation hours shall be limited to the periods during which no train traffic is present. According to letters by Union Pacific Railroad, (See attachment) two trains per day pass through the crossing between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. and that they do not plan to block the existing and proposed crossings for any great length of time. Furthermore, if a train had to park at the location, it could be cut as not to block the crossing.
- 56. That the letters mentioned in conditions #55 shall be recorded with the County Registrar-Recorder office.
- 57. That the owner shall install signage, at the entry and throughout the property, stating that two trains per day pass through the crossing between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. and as a result, access, including emergency access, to and from the site may not be possible during these hours. Said signage shall also include the DOTC # of each crossing and the toll free number (888-877-7267) for Union Pacific's Response Management Communication Center (RMCC). In an emergency situation whereby access to and from the site is blocked by a train, callers shall be instructed to call the RMCC, identify the

crossings and state the nature of the emergency. The RMCC will then contact the train to have the train speed-up to cross the tracks or have the train brokenup at the "knuckle" to allow access.

- 58. That emergency call boxes shall be installed at the entry and throughout the property with the DOTC # of each railroad crossing and the toll free number (888-877-7267) for Union Pacific's Response Management Communication Center (RMCC). Instructions regarding contacting the RMCC shall be posted within the call boxes.
- 59. That each rental contract shall include wording stating that two trains per day pass through the crossing between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. and as a result, access, including emergency access, to and from the site may not be possible during these hours. The rental contract shall also include the toll free number (888-877-7267) for Union Pacific's Response Management Communication Center (RMCC) and instructions regarding contacting the RMCC in the event a train blocks access to or from the site.
- 60. That posted in the manager's office shall be the DOTC # of each crossing and the toll free number (888-877-7267) for Union Pacific's Response Management Communication Center (RMCC). All personnel shall be instructed in the procedure for contacting the RMCC in the event a train blocks access to or from the site.
- 61. That the crossings though the adjacent mini-warehouse property shall remain locked at all times and will only be used by emergency vehicles.
- 62. That the owner shall provide written documentation indicating that he has received permission from the owner of the mini-warehouse property to allow access to the emergency vehicle only crossing. The path to the crossing shall remain unobstructed at all times.
- 63. That **prior** to submitting plans to the Building Division or Planning Commission, the owner/developer shall submit to the Department of Planning and Development a preliminary site plan that is approved by the Public Utility Commission's Rail Engineering Section.
- 64. That prior to <u>any</u> construction activities a jurisdictional delineation by a licensed biologist shall be conducted to determine if any area(s) of the site is considered a wetland. If determined to be a wetland, mitigation at a ratio of 3:1 or preservation of the area(s) shall be required. Prior to any mitigation measures, authorization from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Regional Water Quality Control Board, and the California Department of Fish and Game is required.

Concurrence from the Corps and the California Department of Fish and Game shall be required as part of Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act, and Section 1600 et seq. of the California Department of Fish and Game code.

- 65. That a nest survey shall be required **prior** to tree removal to avoid take of protected birds that may be actively nesting in the trees. Under California Fish and Game code, an active bird nest cannot be disturbed. If nests with eggs or young are found, an avoidance buffer of 250 to 500 feet may be required by California Department of Fish and Game.
- 66. That a pre-construction survey shall be required to determine if discing or mowing of the site has created suitable habitat for the burrowing owl. If the owl is found to be present, consultation with California Department of Fish and Game is required.
- 67. That if the development requires the installation of transformers, the owner/developer shall submit, for approval, the electrical plans that show the location of all proposed transformer(s).
- 68. That all fences, walls, gates and similar improvements for the proposed development shall be subject to the approval of the Fire Department and the Department of Planning and Development.
- 69. That the Department of Planning and Development shall first review and approve all sign proposals for the development. The sign proposal (Plan) shall include a site plan, building elevation on which the sign will be located, size, style and color of the proposed sign. All drawings shall be properly dimensioned and drawn to scale on 24" x 26" maximum-size paper. All signs shall be installed in accordance with the sign standards of the Zoning Ordinance and the Sign Guidelines of the City. Pole Signs and A-Frame signs are prohibited.
- 70. That a sufficient number of approved outdoor trash enclosures shall be provided for the development subject to the approval of the Director of Planning and Development.
- 71. That the railroad tracks shall not be blocked at any time. Signs and markings as required by the Public Utilities Commission shall be installed as shown on the site plan on file for this case.
- 72. That approved suite numbers/letters or address numbers shall be placed on the proposed building in such a position as to be plainly visible and legible from the street fronting the property. Said numbers shall contrast with their background. The size recommendation shall be 12" minimum.

- 73. That prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall comply with the following conditions to the satisfaction of the City of Santa Fe Springs:
  - a. Covenants.
    - 1. Owner/developer shall provide a written covenant to the Planning Department that, except as may be revealed by the environmental remediation described above and except as applicant may have otherwise disclosed to the City, Commission, Planning Commission or their employees, in writing, applicant has investigated the environmental condition of the property and does not know, or have reasonable cause to believe, that (a) any crude oil, hazardous substances or hazardous wastes, as defined in state and federal law, have been released, as that term is defined in 42 U.S.C. Section 9601 (22), on, under or about the Property, or that (b) any material has been discharged on, under or about the Property that could affect the quality of ground or surface water on the Property within the meaning of the California Porter-Cologne Water Quality Act, as amended, Water Code Section 13000, et seq
    - 2. Owner/developer shall provide a written covenant to the City that, based on reasonable investigation and inquiry, to the best of owner/developer knowledge, it does not know or have reasonable cause to believe that it is in violation of any notification, remediation or other requirements of any federal, state or local agency having jurisdiction concerning the environmental conditions of the Property.
  - b. Owner/developer understands and agrees that it is the responsibility of the applicant to investigate and remedy, pursuant to applicable federal, state and local law, any and all contamination on or under any land or structure affected by this approval and issuance of related building permits. The City, Commission, Planning Commission or their employees, by this approval and by issuing related building permits, in no way warrants that said land or structures are free from contamination or health hazards.
  - c. Owner/developer understands and agrees that any representations, actions or approvals by the City, Commission, Planning Commission or their employees do not indicate any representation that regulatory permits, approvals or requirements of any other federal, state or local agency have been obtained or satisfied by the applicant and, therefore, the City, Commission, Planning Commission or their employees do not release or

waive any obligations the applicant may have to obtain all necessary regulatory permits and comply with all other federal, state or other local agency regulatory requirements. Applicant, not the City, Commission, Planning Commission or their employees will be responsible for any and all penalties, liabilities, response costs and expenses arising from any failure of the applicant to comply with such regulatory requirements.

- 74. That prior to occupancy, the owner/operator shall submit a business license application to the Planning and Finance Departments for consideration of a Business Operations Tax Certificate (BOTC). A Statement of Intended Use form shall also be submitted to the Building and Fire Department for their approval.
- 75. That the owner/developer shall be responsible for reviewing and/or providing copies of the required conditions of approval to his/her architect, engineer, contractor, tenants, etc. The conditions of approval contained herein, shall be made part of the construction drawings for the proposed development. Plans shall not be accepted for plan check unless without the conditions of approval as stated.
- 76. That the owner/developer understands that current City Codes prohibit metal buildings; consequently, the two (2) storage buildings that are proposed to be constructed of "Stucco-Tek Metal panel" may not be allowed. An "alternative" type of construction maybe required.
- 77. That the final plot plan, floor plan and elevations of the proposed development and all other appurtenant improvements, textures and color schemes shall be subject to the final approval of the Director of Planning and Development.
- 78. That the development shall otherwise be built substantially in accordance with the plot plan, floor plan, and elevations submitted by the owner and on file with the case. Any modification, addition, color change, texture change, or design change, shall be subject to the prior written approval of the Director of Planning and Development.
- 79. That all other requirements of the City's Zoning Ordinance, Building Code, Property Maintenance Ordinance, State and City Fire Code and all other applicable County, State and Federal regulations shall be complied with.
- 80. That CUP 641 and ZV 68, shall be extended for an additional five (5)two (2) years until July 10, 2022July 11, 2024. If said entitlements have not been utilized by July 10, 2022July 11, 2024, any privileges granted herein, shall become null and void. An extension of time, however, may be granted by Commission or Council action. (revised)

- 81. That Conditional Use Permit Case No. 641 and Zone Variance Case No. 68 shall not be effective for any purpose until the owner/developer has filed with the City of Santa Fe Springs an affidavit stating he/she is aware of and accepts all of the required conditions of approval. **(completed)**
- 82. That the owner, Premier RV Storage SFS, LLC. agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City of Santa Fe Springs, its agents, officers and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul an approval of the City or any of its councils, commissions, committees or boards concerning <u>Conditional Use Permit Case No. 641 and Zone Variance Case No. 68</u>, when action is brought within the time period provided for in the City's Zoning Ordinance, Section 155.865. Should the City, its agents, officers or employees receive notice of any such claim, action or proceeding, the City shall promptly notify the owner/developer of such claim, action, or proceeding, and shall cooperate fully in the defense thereof.
- 83. That the City initially intended that the owner construct a grade separation between the roadway and the UPRR rail crossing, due primarily to concerns that a train might prevent emergency vehicles from obtaining access to the property. The owner has determined that due to several factors, including a low water table, encroachment into the vertical clearance of Edison's power line, not possessing ownership of the adjacent property and not possessing the minimum required length to construct an overpass is not feasible. As a result, the City will not impose the grade separation condition. In return for the City's removal of such intended condition, the owner, on behalf of itself and all successors in interest, hereby agrees to defend, indemnify and hold harmless City, its officers, employees and agents, against any and all claims, suits, losses, damages or expenses of any kind, including reasonable attorneys' fees and litigation expenses, which arise from or are related to, whether directly or indirectly, access issues pertaining to the railroad or the failure to construct such grade separation.
- 84. That the site shall be used only for the storage of recreational vehicles, boats and trailers and shall not be used for other uses, including that of a mini-warehouse facility.

That it is hereby declared to be the intent that if any provision of this Approval is 85. violated or held to be invalid, or if any law, statute or ordinance is violated, this Approval shall be void and the privileges granted hereunder shall lapse.

Wayne M. Mor Wayne M. Morrell

Director of Planning

Attachments:

1. Aerial Photograph 2. Time Extension Request dated May 24, 2022 **Aerial Photograph** 





**CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS** 

?

	Premier RV Storage SFS, LLC 2445 W. Whittier Blvd., Suite 202 Montebello, CA 90640
May	24, 2022
Dire City 1171	ne M. Morrell ctor of Planning of Santa Fe Springs 10 Telegraph Road a Fe Springs, CA 90670
Re:	Request To Approve Time Extension for Conditional Use Permit Case No. 641-2 and Zone Variance Case No. 68 For An Additional Five (5) Years
Dear	Mr. Morrell:
exter addit	writing to request that the Santa Fe Springs Planning Commission approve a time nsion for Conditional Use Permit Case No. 641-2 and Zone Variance Case No. 68 for an tional five (5) years, beyond its current expiration date of July 10, 2022, to establish an storage yard use for RV storage.
Unfo has b this u effor dowr	the last five years, we have diligently searched for an end user that can utilize the CUP. rtunately, due to the volatility of the economy and changes in the RV storage business, it been difficult to find a lessee. We have, nonetheless, continued to market the property fo use. We also have been hampered due to the time and expense that we had to devote to ts related to the recent proposed City General Plan Amendment, which would have booned our property to Light Industrial and hampered our ability to use the property for it ided use.
chan recep flexib are g	e continue to deal with the changing business landscape due to COVID-19 and other ges in consumer sentiment, we are also exploring other potential uses that the City may be stive to having us pursue. At the same time, we seek to keep the maximum level of sility in case an RV storage user reaches out and we are able to come to an agreement. We rateful for the City's continued cooperation and look forward to establishing a use that the and the community will be proud of.
Than me a	k you for your time and attention on this matter. If you have any questions, please contact (323) 303-9565.
0	it is a second s