

Martin & alaksen

364 Dickins de

Checago

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

BIBLE EXAMINER.

NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE, EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

VOL, VI.

PHILADELPHIA, FEBRUARY, 1851.

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER.

and the second se

PUBLISHED MONTHLY,

AT 198 MARSHALL STREET, THIRD DOOR ABOVE BROWN,

PHILADELPHIA, PA.

TERMS.---Single copy, for one year, one dollar; six copies, 85; thitteen copies, 810; ALWATE IN ADVANCE.

CF This paper is subject to newspaper postage only.

D. N. LORD-H. H. DOBNEY.

We informed our readers, three months since, that David N. Lord, of "The Theological and Literary Journal," had announced a "Review of H. H. Dobney's Scripture Doctrine of Future Punishment." We looked for it with deep interest, from the known ability of Mr. Lord, and expected something of unusual value, but have been sadly disappointed. It bears no comparison with Mr. Hinton's in England, which we noticed some eighteen months since. Were it not for Mr. Lord's reputation, we should pass his article, and leave it entirely to Mr. Dobney, if he should be disposed to review it.

To us Mr. Lord has not one new argument; and the general topics he has touched upon have been answered again and again : yet it may be best that we should not pass him in silence. We never endorsed every expression in Mr. Dobney's work, yet, as a whole, it is excellent, and powerfully conclusive. This is evident from the manner in which Mr. Lord has met it. He has only approached some of the outposts, and fancying that he has carried these, he winds up with a solemn warning against Mr. Dohney's "work as one of the most mistaken, and one of the most dangerous." He has left Mr. Dobney's stronghold untouched; and one cannot help thinking he was so much afraid of the "most dangerous work," that he never obtained sight of anything but an outpost or two, and in his fright loft the field. Sure we are, we nover saw a more total failure: and his attempt to frighten men from reading Mr. Dobnoy's work adds nothing to his theological reputation.

Mr. Lord admits, at the outset, that the soul is not "constitutionally imperishable," and he denies that such is the "dogma of the orthodox." But we

will let him speak and the Examinor roply, and thus take the form of a dialogue.

LORD.—" To suppose that the soul is imperishable or indestructible, by virtuo of its nature or the constitution it has received, is to suppose that it is endowed with a self-existing nature, which is a solecism. No created being can have the ground of its being in itself. To imagine the soul to be now self-existent, were to imagine that its nature forms an indisputable proof that it never owed its existence to creation. For, if it now has its being independently of its creator, how can it be shown it had not at any former period?"—p. 396.

EXAMINER.—We thank you for your assent to this truth. Luther Lee maintains, in his work "on the soul," that "it is a simple essence, uncompounded, indivisible and indestructible." If this were so, it must be uncreated, and owes not its existence to a Creator. We are glad, therefore, that you have spoken out distinctly on this point, as the "orthodox," many of them, have endorsed and commended Mr. Lee's work, though you say you "should be surprised to learn that" such a doctrine "is taught in any theological school in this country or in England."

LORD.—"The fact, however, that the soul is not by its nature, or constitutionally, indestructible, is no proof whatever that it is not to exist forever." p. 397.

Exr.—But it is "proof that it" may "not exist forever," for God "is ABLE to destroy" it.

LORD —"Its continuing to exist depends on God's continuing to uphold it. The question whether it is to exist for ever or not, is the question whether or not he designs to uphold it for ever."—p. 397.

Exa.—We are glad you see what the question is, because it may yet lead you to see the truth that "all the wicked will God destroy—they shall be as the fat of lambs; into smoke shall they consume away." On the "inherent immortality of man" what have you to say?

LORD.—Mr. Dobney "has not shed any new light on the subject, and will not find any, we presume, in this country or Great Britain, entitled to be regarded as theologians, who do not entertain essentially the views he advances respecting it."—p. 397.

Exr.—It gives us pleasure to learn there has been such a general conversion of "theologians" in "this country and Great Britain." How long have they held "essentially the views which" Mr. Dobney "advances respecting it?" Surely it cannot be long. It is twenty-five years since we entered the ministry; most of that time we were in the "orthodox" school, and had some little opportunity

to know what "theologians" held on that subject, | and are quite sure if they now hold, as you affirm, "essentially the views" of Mr. Dobney on "inherent immortality" they must have had a conversion within the last twelve or fifteen years. But we let that pass. We hope you are correct in your statement. If so, we shall expect to see a still further advance toward the truth among them.

LORD .- "The ground on which " Mr. Dobney " proceeds is, in fact, equivalent to the assumption that the Most High cannot punish his offending subjects, unless it be done from a mere malignant and cruel delight in their suffering; not in order to the manifestation of his rectitude, the maintenance of his rights, and the support of his government over his other subjects."-p. 399.

Exr.-This is a more "assumption." Let us state the matter in accordance with our interpretation of Mr. Dobney's words, It would stand thus: "The ground on which Mr. Dobney proceeds is, that the Most High, who has sworn, As I lire, I have no pleasure in the DEATH of the wicked,' CANNOT purposely prolong their existence eternally in order to torment them; and that neither the 'manifestation of his rectitude, the maintenance of his rights, nor the support of his government' require such a procedure; while he may truly and justly punish them with death-or a dying out of existencewhich he has affixed as the wages of sin."

Had you taken this view of Mr. Dobney's remarks, which is the true and scriptural view, you would have been saved the greater part of your labor. No sane man over doubted the right and necessity of *punishment* in certain cases; but no man ever lived, whose reason was uncorrupted, but what has often doubted the necessity and the justice of elernal torture. Punishment may be with or without torture. None ought to be so ignorant as not to know that punishment and torment are not necessarily identical. The eternal deprivation of life is as truly an eternal punishment as eternal life in torture. We have so fully argued this point before that we will not stop now to enlarge upon it, but simply say, that all you have said about "everlasting punishment" being " in precise accordance with " sinners' " offences," is beating the air.

LORD .- Mr. Dobney "must prove, in order to maintain his doctrine, that though God may with perfect righteousness and wisdom uphold and punish" [i. c., torture] "them for ever, yet he would display still greater wisdom and goodness in their annihilation."—p. 401.

Exr.-Mr. Dobney has no occasion for any such thing. All he need to prove, and all he attempts, is, that God has spoken in no equivocal terms, that the wicked shall not live for ever. You have not disproved that position; and we are filled with astonishment, that such an advocate as yourself, for a literal interpretation of scripture, should so fatally

have gone astray on testimony so plain as to the end of the wicked.

LORD --- "It is apparent that their extinction might give rise to the most fatal consequences to the rest of the universe."-p. 401.

Exa.-Pray tell us what are those "fatal" things?

LORD.-It would indicate "an inability [in God] to reign over them and rule them forever in re-volt,"-"" it would form an indisputable proof that he was unequal to his station"-"it would be to weaken his sway over the holy, and render it IMPOSSIBLE to them unreservedly to ADORE and TRUST him" !-- p. 402.

ExR.-Truly these are most marvellous assumptions, and though they may be very " apparent" to your mind, you have not given evidence to "prove" them, at all, except additional assumptions are proof. What an idea is this-If God should destroy-or as you say, annihilate-the wicked, it would "weaken his sway over the holy" !! Yea, "Render it impossible to them * * to adore and trust him "!! Such remarks need no comment. If God does what he has said he would do, viz : "destroy all the wicked," will not that be, so far as that point is concerned, a sufficient reason to trust and adore him?

LORD .- " It would be to furnish Satan with an excuse for his rebellion, and enable him to BOAST that he had triumphed over the Almighty, by putting him under the necessity of showing that he is incapable of governing rebellious subjects in a way that is worthy of a perfectly wise and good being, and of demonstrating thereby that he is entitled to the homage he claims; that his law is, therefore, infinitely unjust, and the penalty with which he enforces it unrighteous; and thence that instead of being supremely holy, just, and good, he is im-measurably unholy and malevolent, and that consequently that all his subjects are absolved from allegiance to him."-p. 402.

Exr.-" Great is Diana of the" immortal-soulists! Such assumptions as you have given us are "Satan boast" when all his truly marvellous. armies are "annihilated" !!! What a "triumph"! Satan must have nearly as many expedients to put darkness for light as yourself, if he "boasts" under such a loss. But "The penalty" of God's law is "unrighteous," you say, if he "annihilates" tho wicked !! Another terrible matter ! What if that "penalty" should prove to be "death"-to "be as though they had not been." Obadiah 16. Prove that it is not, if you can: sure we are you have not done it.

This assumption might be turned back upon your own theory, for, according to that, Satan may eternally "boast" that he has unnumbered millions of the creatures of God under his banner who never will pay their Creator homage, and can eternally send up as many curses against God as the redeemed can shout praises, and so have a sort of "opposition line," which certainly would seem to

be some satisfaction to his Satanic majesty. But we forbear pursuing a theory so infinitely absurd.

We will pass over your long paragraph of stupendous fiction, p. 403; tolling us how completely Satan would carry out his designs to "overturn the divine government," in case the wicked are all literally destroyed.

LORD .- "The annihilation of the wicked, because of an inability to uphold and govern them without an exhibition of imperfection, would yield him [Satan] such a victory [overturn the divine government] in the most decisive form."-p. 403.

Exr.—Mr. Dobney does not maintain, as you unjustly insinuate, that God destroys "the wicked bccause of an INABILITY to uphold and govern them;" and the insinuation is unworthy of you. You ought to know, if you have read Dobney's work, that he treats the whole subject on the ground of the evidence of what God has said he will do. Let the question he met truly, and not raise, as you have done, a false issue. Has God said-"The soul that sinneth it shall die?" and is that death cessation of conscious existence? You will find we are not to be bewildered with a cloud of dust-assumptions and insinuations. Stand up like a man, and show from the testimony of God's own word, that the wicked shall Nor " consume, into smoke consume away :" Psa. 37 : 20. Show that God has not said, they shall be "burned up"-so that they shall be consumed "ROOT AND BRANCH :" Mal. 4: 1. Show that the "penalty" of God's law is endless life in unmitigated woe. Till you have done this you cannot satisfy men who think, and do not let a multitude of words carry them away.

LORD.-" In order to a full apprehension of what God does in saving those whom he saves, a full manifestation must be presented of what they would have been, had they been left to perish; and the conservation of the wicked for ever, will be requi-Bite in order to that."- p. 404.

Exa .- How do you know that? You have given us no "Thus sailh the Lord ": it is all assumption.

LORD .- "The possibilities of exemplifying the great facts of their character, and God's right and power, will not be exhausted in a limited period. The developements and process will go on through eternal ages. Thus it will be seen, by such a practical experiment, that they are truly such ene-mies as God represents them."-p. 404.

Exa. -So it seems God is to keep sinners alive eternally and torture them to make the holy and saved believe that he speaks the truth when he "represents them as his enemies!" Marvellous, truly! The holy cannot be satisfied by any representation God can make, that sinners are sinners unless Jehovah exerts his almighty power in holding them in life, and thus perpetuating sin and blasphemy. in order by "a practical experiment" to demonstrate that they are "such enemies as God represents them"! And all this, mark, AFTER the day of death of the body."-p. 405-6.

judgment has passed, and He has pronounced a formal sentence of condemnation upon them. Just about as much force in your argument here, as if a judge after having passed the sentence of death upon a murderer, should add-"the criminal must be kept alive and have a chance to murder more, in order to give a practical experiment, that he is a murderer "! We confess, we are amazed at your position on this subject.

LORD .- By this "practical experiment it will be seen that no measures of punishment are adequate to reform them; but, that in the certain prospect of INTERMINABLE MISERY, they go on deliberately and incorrigibly in alienation and rebellion."-p 404.

Exr.-Will you be honest enough to own that you, and all of your school, maintain that those "measurs of punishment" are not calculated nor intended for reformation ? and that "reform," however thorough it might be, would not entitle them to the favor of God? that their doom is unalterably fixed ? that God neither asks nor expects them to reform? nay-that their "interminable misery" is "certain"! Pray, what inducement to reform ?--None at all. Where, then, is the "practical experiment "? Manifestly no where but in an imagination that is Don Quixotical in the extreme.

LORD .- "There are ample reasons for the belief that their conservation and punishment through an endless existence, are ESSENTIAL to his [God's] rectitude, and INDISPENSABLE to the well-being of his obedient kingdom."-p. 405.

Exr.--If there are "ample reasons" you have utterly failed to make them appear to our mind; and we believe you have equally so to all impartial minds. We must insist upon it, that such wild assumptions dishonor God; and if believed, cannot fail to lead men to abhor him and his government, as far more defective than that of Nero, or any other tyrant that ever outraged human nature. What ! God, who has sworn by himself, "as I live, I have no pleasure in the DEATH of the wicked," find it "essential to his rectitude, and indispensable to the WELL-BEING of his obedient kingdom," to preserve alive eternally, for a "practical experiment" in "misery," souls that he has made ! Perish the blasphemous thought ! Perish, and perish quickly, the soul-destroying INFLUENCE of such blasphemy ! May God, in mercy, forgive those who utter it. We trust they do it "ignorantly in unbelief."

LORD .- "The life promised, and to be bestowed on the saved, is not a bare, unending existence, but an endless life in the body, in such a relationship to God, acceptance and bliss, as Adam would have enjoyed, had he continued in allegiance and obtained instification. It is a perfect deliverance from the curse brought upon himself and his posterity, by his rebellion, and gift of a life in an immortal body, such as would have been the reward of obedience. This is clear from the fact that the death that was inflicted on him as the penalty of his fall, was the

Exa .--- Here is the root of your error. You assume that when God threatened Adam-THE MAN-with death, he only meant the shell-the out-" The body " is side covering-should drop off ! all that dies, you say; but not one particle of evidence have you given from God's word to sustain your assumption. What do you say ?

LORD.-" It was not an extinction of his soul, as that would have been an annihilation of his being, and made a subsequent existence and resurrection impossible."-p. 406.

Exa .-- Here you undertake to tell what the Almighty cannot do. But we let that pass for the present. In this paragraph, you attempt to blind the mind. Just as though a soul could not be placed in a state of utter unconsciousness, without being absolutely "extinct" ! Any schoolboy knows by very many "practical experiments" that he can be entirely unconscious and not be "extinct" nor "annihilated." We retort upon you. If the soul does not die with the body there can be no "subsequent existence," nor "resurrection" of the man. There can be no subsequent existence, because there has been no interruption of the existence of the man. There can be no "resurrection" of the man, because the man has never been dead ; without death first, "resurrection" is impossible."

Lorp.-" Had he [man] been annihilated he could not be recalled into existence."--p. 406.

Exa.-Who has maintained that the death penalty to Adam was annihilation? Surely Mr. Dobney has not; nor have any of us who are with him in his views in America. But our opponents delight in exaggeration, and so fix on an odious term, that sound may cover up the defects of their arguments. Know then, that to be unconscious in death, and to be "annihilated" are two very different things. Because you may not see how the identity is preserved in a state of unconsciousness, shall you sit in judgment on the Almighty, and tell what is "impossible" with him ? We may answer you in the words of our Lord Jesus Christ: "Ye do err, not knowing the Scriptures nor the power of God."

LORD .- " In order to the possibility of a resurrection the soul must survive, and death accordingly be CONFINED TO THE BODY.²⁷-p. 406.

Exr.—Again we retort upon you. You have just said, "had he [the man] been annihilated he could not be recalled into existence." Now, if death be confined to the body," "he" [the man] cannot be "recalled into existence," because "he" was never out of "existence;" and, "he cannot have a resurrection"-that is an im"possibility;" for "he" was never dead. Who gave you authority to affirm that "he"-the man-does not die? and that death is "confined to the body? Where is your "Thus saith the Lord" for this bold assumption?

LORD .- " As all mankind, who die, are to be

brought on them by the fall of the first parent. is a death mercly of the body."-p. 406.

Exa.-Then, the moment Adam died-or rather his body was dead--he must be in a blessed state; for the penalty of sin was the "death of the body merely." The penalty did not touch his soul, according to your assumption. Adam needed no Redeemer except to redeem his "body merely"!

LORD .- "The life, consequently, which as the counterpart of that death, is a life in an immortal body."---p. 406. Exa.--Well, then, "all mankind," you say "are

to be raised to another life." Will they all be raised with this " counterpart-an immortal body ?" You expressly affirm, p. 406, that "the impenitent are to be raised immortal;" though you do so without any authority from the Bible. If so, how are you to escape the conclusion of a universal salvation ?

LORD .- " On the other hand, not to see life, is not to be annihilated any more than the death of the body was annihilation." - p. 406.

ExR .-- You seemingly take pleasure in following Mr. Dobney with the term "annihilation." You define annihilation-"to strike out of existence."-p. 417. You have said the "body" dies. When it is dead it has no existence as a living body; it had once, but it has not now. Then it is "struck out of a living existence," and is annihilated in this sense, you being judge. Then, not to "see life" may be annihilation, for all you can show to the contrary.

LORD.-" It is not to see that life of immortality which is the reward of obedience, but to continue under the curse of sin."-p. 406.

Exa.-" But "the curse of sin" is death, for "the wages of sin is death." Rom. 6: 23.

LORD .--- "He that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life : He that believeth not the Son shall not see life, but the wrath of God abideth on him. As he must continue to subsist in order to its abiding on him, his not seeing life cannot be his annihilation, but his still being debarred from the blessing of obedience and subjected to the penalty of sin." -p. 406.

Exa.-It is truly refreshing, Br. Lord, that you have at last condescended to look at the Bible. We have followed you through eleven pages of your "Review," and believe this is the first time you have quoted a passage of inspiration to sustain your position. Truly this text is as cold water to a thirsty soul; we have travelled after you through a desert, and are really refreshed to find a spring of water at last, but are sorry to find you "fouling it" BO SOON with immortal-soulism. This does not look much like your accustomed valor for literalism. You assume that the sinner must continue to subsist in order to the wrath of God abiding on him. Do the "cities of the plains" continue to subsist ? Where is Sodom and Gomorrah? Does not the aised to another life, the death universally that is wrath of God abide on those devoted cities? Yea,

because wrath abideth on them they are notare "annihilated," if you please. God is his own expositor; and he assures us, Isa. 57: 16, if he should "be always wroth, the spirit would fail before" him, "and the souls" he has "made:" clearly implying, that if the wrath of God abides on any man, without being withdrawn, he must perish, "or cease from existence." But you say, "he must continue to subsist"-and be "subjected to the penalty of sin." The penalty of sin is death: and you might as well tell us that the murderer must continue to live, in order to the wrath of the law abiding on him. If he continue to live, the wrath of the law, or penalty of it, would not be upon him; and of course could not abide on him. But when he is executed, he is dead, and the wrath of the violated law abides on him; but it would not if he could live again. So if the wrath of God abides on any soul of man, he must not only receive the wages of sin, viz., "death," but abide under its dominion, and "not see life ." he lives no more eternally. The text you quote requires this construction, and can have no other without abandoning literalism. What have you to say on the text-"Our Saviour Jesus Christ, who hath abolished death and brought life and immortality to light through the Gospel?" 2 Tim. 1: 9, 10.

LORD — "The death which he abolished is the death of the body. He abolishes that death which was brought on the race of Adam, and that was the death of the body. 'As in Adam all die, so in Christ shall all be made alive.' But that death which all suffer by Adam is the death of the body."—p. 407.

Exr.—That death which all suffer by Adam is the death of THE MAN: it was the man, who was threatened with death, and not man's body merely. According to your theory, Christ redeems not the man from death-he merely redeems "the body;" and the soul "subsists" without any of Christ's work, mediation, or suffering. A small matter truly to be the Redeemer of bodies only, and that after the man proper has been in conscious existence, in some cases, thousands of years without a body ! Truly you narrow down the work of redemption to a very unimportant point. We are agreed in the fact, that it was the death by Adam that Christ abolishes, or abrogates; but that death was the death of the man; and was not "confined to the body," as you have affirmed.

LORD.—" The life and immortality which Christ brought to light by the gospel, is not a life and perpetual existence of the soul • • • but a life and immortality of the body, the antithesis of that death to which the race was subjected by Adam." p. 408.

Exr.-We agree that it is "the antithesis of" the "death by Adam;" but we by no means admit your assumption that it was not "life and perpetual existence."

LORD.—" The term in the passage in question aphtharsian, translated immortality, in fact denotes incorruptibleness simply,—not athanasian, immortality, or deathlessness, which is its literal meaning."—p. 408.

Exa.—We are glad to hear you talk of "literal meaning." Pray will you tell us if an "incorruptible" being is not an immortal being? and if any stronger term could be employed to denote "perpetual existence" than to affirm that being to be "incorruptible?" God is called "the incorruptible God;" Rom. 1: 23. Does that express, or not, "perpetual existence?" That being who is incorruptible must, in the nature of the case possess perpetual existence.

LORD.—" Being cast into a lake of fire, is the symbol of the punishment the impenitent are to suffer after their resurrection; and as they are to be raised immortal, the unquenchableness of the fire and the deathlessness of their worm, indicate that their punishment is never to end ;—not that they are reduced to nothingness, or struck out of being." —p. 409.

Exs.—Here you assume the very point to be proved; viz., that the "impenitent are to be raised immortal." Not one particle of proof have you of this position from Genesis to Revelation: and we challenge you to give us one text that affirms any such thing. You stumble at the very threshhold. Paul affirms—"He that soweth to the flesh, shall of the flesh reap corruption," not immortality; Gal. 6:8: and they shall utterly perish in their own corruption." 2 Peter 2: 12.

LORD.—" Mark 9: 43—48 confutes Mr. Dobney's theory... as the immortality of the worm and the inextinguishableness of the fire show the endlessness of the existence and punishment of the wicked in place of indicating as he assumes their annihilation. His construction exhibits the perpetuity of the instruments of punishment as needless and absurd."—p. 410.

Exr.-"Annihilation" seems to haunt you as it does nearly all our opponents; but we will attend to that point when we come to your definition of the term. You talk of of the " perpetuity of the instruments of punishment as needless and absurd" on Mr. Dobnoy's theory. Mr. D. does not contemplate the "perpetuity of the instruments;" that is an absurdity of your own. You know, or may know that the reference in Mark 9: 43-48, is to the "fire of Gehenns," and that whatever was cast therein was consumed-utterly consumed-either by the fire, or the worm preying upon it. The figure, therefore, has the sense of total destruction. without escape; and it can have no other, unless we give the "absurd" one of perpetuating, or preserving; for which there is no analogy in nature in reason, nor in the word of God. Examine carefully the following texts, and the absurdity of your position will be apparent; 2 Chron. 34: 25. Jer. 7: 20, and 17: 27; Ezk. 20: 47, 48; Isa. 34: 9-12. to be a restoration to existence, and thence regards the second death as another annihilation."-p. 410.

Exa.-"Mr. Dobney holds" no such thing. Ho says-"We have reason to believe that the death threatened to Adam was the death of the entire man, the cessation of all conscious existence, which would have been his doom, had it not been for the MEDIATORIAL work of the second ADAM." Ile does not say, nor intimate, that such is actually the case in the death through Adam; but that such would have been the result but for the mediation of the second Adam. In the first death there is a suspension of life, but not an "annihilation," in the sense you employ that term. The final is not arrived at till after the judgment of men for their personal conduct; then, those who have rejected life are left to the full penalty of the law, which is death; yea, Br. Lord, "annihilated," so that "they shall be as though they had not been."-Obadiah, 16.

LORD.-" A resurrection is not a creation. It is a change of a subject that already existed from one state of being to another."-p. 413.

Exr.---Very true; it is " a change " from " sleeping in the dust of the earth" to a conscious state of existence, which they had not while dead; for, "the dead know not anything" and "there is no knowledge in she'ol"-the state of the dead: hence the necessity of a resurrection : and Paul saith, " If the dead rise not . . then they also that have fallen asleep in Christare perished." See 1 Corth. 15: 16-18, 32. If your theory be true the man is not dead, and the resurrection of the man is impossible; that which is not dead cannot have a resurrection; so your view denies Christ the honor of raising men from the dead, and confers upon him only the amazing glory of raising up carcases which some had put off ten, a hundred, a thousand, or thousands of years since; and without which they have been triumphing in glory! Onewould think it was no great blessing for them to return to earth for "bodies" now.

LORD .- " The punishment foreshown Rev. 14 : 9, 11, is indisputably the last punishment that is to be inflicted on those who are its subjects : for it is to continue for ever and ever. But all the wicked that have died before the resurrection of the wicked will then be raised, and subjected to precisely this kind of punishment."-p. 416.

Exr.-That is, the worshipers of the beast are threatened to be punished "precisely" as any other, and "all" other sinners are! Surely, the formal manner in which judgment is denounced on this class of sinners, totally forbids the construction you put upon it. It is most manifest the punishment is something peculiar, and to take place "on the earth." See the following chapters; particularly the 15th and 16th. The figures employed are nearly of the

LORD,-"Mr. Dobney holds that a resurrection is the Lord is speaking of an infliction of judgment this side "the last"

> LORD.—"Mr. Dobney has nothing left for the support of his doctrine, except the words, destroy, destruction, and others of the like import, used in describing the punishment of the wicked, which he holds are synonymous with annihilation. The terms, however, are not of the same, nor even of a resembling import. To annihilate is to strike out of existence. But to destroy is only to change the mode or condition of existence in such manner as to disqualify, disable, or prevent that which is destroyed, from the condition or end for which it was designed. When for example, a city is said to be destroyed by a siege or an earthquake, the meaning is not that the substances of which it is built are aanihilated, but that they are so changed in condition and relationship, that they are no longer applicable to the uses for which they were erected. There may be wast remains of walls, temples . . . and other structures, that may continue to stand for ages al-most without dilapidation. Yet the city is said to be destroyed, because it is so marred and demolished as to prevent it from being used for the purpose for which it was designed-and such is its meaning . This is the etymological meangenerally. ing also of the corresponding Hebrew, Greek, and Latin verbs. Thus destructo, from which destroy is derived, signifies to pull down, separate into parts, or reduce to disorder and ruin. When applied to corporcal beings individually, it denotes their being marred, mutilated, or disorganized, so as to unfit them for their proper functions as living beings, and usually their being killed, or the separation of the two great constituents of their being, the body and the psuche, the life principle or conscious nature. It does not imply, therefore that they are to be ANNIHILATED, nor does the language admit of such a MEANING. Its import is as remote and diverse from it as disorganization or demolition ap-plied to an organized body is from annihilation. Their everlasting existence is, in truth, an INDISPENSA-BLE condition of their everlasting DESTRUCTION. The last ground on which Mr. Dobney attempts to sustain his doctrine, is thus swept from beneath him."

> -pp. 417, 418. EXAMINER .--- If words could "sweep" it away, and dust could cover up truth, we confess we should think Mr. Dobney's "ground" in danger. But after listening to the report of this last, and heaviest discharge of your "theological" engine; and after waiting a little to let the steam clear away, we march up to the track where Mr. Dobney had taken his stand, and we find not a rail removed, nor a timber jostled from its sure foundation; and none need fear to take the same car and travel the same road that Mr. Dobney was so quietly traveling, when this cxplosion took place from your engine.

In the first place, we will test your assumption that the terms, destroy and annihilate, are not of a "resembling" import; and that "destroy" does "not admit of such a meaning" as "annihilation." It is true, you have a right to give the sense in which you use words; but you are a standard only same character as those in Isa. 34, where evidently for yourself. On the term, "Destroy," Dr. Webstor

in his Dictionary, gives the following, among other definitions: "2. To ruin; to annihilate a thing by demolishing, or burning; as, to destroy a city." Thus, Br. Lord, "a city" may be "annihilated," though there may be "remains." Again Dr. Webster says: "3. To ruin; to bring to naught; to ANNIHILATE; as, to destroy a theory or scheme; to destroy a government," &c. Does this look as though "a government" must have "everlasting existence, to be everlastingly destroyed?" Once more, Dr. Webster says: "5. To kill, to slay, to extirpate; applied to men, or other animals. Ye shall destroy all this people .- Num. 32. All the wicked will God destroy. Psa. 145." "To extirpate," one would think, has a "resembling import," to "annihilate." Finally, Dr. W. says,-"8. In general, to put an end to; to ANNIHILATE," &c.

Such are some of the definitions Dr. Webster gives of the term "destroy." He may not, however, be so great a scholar as yourself; at any rate you may dissent from him, if you choose; but we will now leave Dr. Webster, and look a little into the "Hebrew;" to which you appeal, without, however, giving us a single example, that the "corresponding" word in that language has no "resembling import." Aaron Pick, Professor of Hebrew and Chaldee, from the University of Prague, in his "Bible Students' Concordance," in which the "Literal meaning" of any word in the "Sacred Oracles" can be found by the "English Reader," gives us thirty-eight different, original words, which are rendered destroy, destroyed, destruction, &c. It will be sufficient to " succep from beneath" you, your assumption of no "resembling import" between the terms destroy and annihilation, to show that the literal import of one of those words is annihilation; and that it occurs in prophecies which relate to the final destiny of wicked men. The word to which we refer, is "Tsomath"-literally, says Prof. Pick, "to annihilate." Among other places where it occurs in "Hebrew," is Psa. 18: 40, where "David in Spirit" saith, "Thou hast also given me the necks of mine enemics, that I might destroy-tsomath-annihilate them that hate me." Corresponding with this, our Lord saith, when he shall return, having received the kingdom, he will command-"bring hither those mine enemies, which would not that I should reign over them, and slay them before me." Lk. 19: 27.

Once more: Psa. 101: 8, "I will early destroy tsomath—annihilate ALL the wicked of the land, that I may cut off all wicked doers from the city of the LORD." Here is another prophecy of what Messiah will do. How far the "Hebrew" sustains your assumption of no "resembling import" we submit to those who judge between you and us. Annihilation is a term we have never used, simply becauso

we supposed it was not a scripture term. We are now satisfied it is, and shall not scruple to use it hereafter, and thereby boldly meet our opponents on their own ground; thus our warfare shall be aggressive. The term "immortal soul," is not scriptural; and does not once occur in the English, Greek nor Hebrew in the Bible ; and has no "rcsembling" words except these .- "Ye shall not surely die." But these came from him, who is a "liar, and the father of lies;" and here we leave you and all your adherents, in hope that you may by scrious reflection, prayer to God for a teachable heart, and a further disenthralment, yet come to the truth, and as nobly defend it as you have some other scripture doctrines. Wishing that the God of peace and truth may lead you and ourself into all truth, we now take leave of you, and leave Mr. Dobney to take up the subject if he pleases.

"THE SPIRITUAL PHILOSOPHER."-Its name has been changed to "The Spirit World." The new name is very appropriate. We judge several of the last numbers of the "Philosopher" went into "the spirit world," for they kept out of our sight, and never looked inside our office at all. Perhaps we have too much "material," or "matter," to suit their taste. However, we will be just as civil to them as truth will allow us to be. We shall look into "The Spirit World" when it comes this way. "The Spiritual Philosopher" has proved itself a Philosopher indeed ; for it has brought " The Spirit World" to the gaze of material eyes; yes, to the eyes of the most animal man, who will pay "a dollar;" and, once in a while, to "we" editors by way of "exchange."

TRACTS.—Price by the hundred. Rich man and Lazarus 75 cts. Second Death, by Bishop Whately, 60 cts. Can you Believe? by Moncrieff, 35 cts. Two Thrones, \$1.50. From these prices one-third discount will be made for cash if \$5 worth are taken at one time.

OUR ISRAELITISH ORIGIN, or Lectures on Ancient Israel, by J. Wilson, England. This work has no connection with the others in the foregoing list, but is designed to show that the modern inhabitants of Europe and the people of the United States, are the literal descendants of Jacob, and the lost tribes of Israel. It is deeply interesting and should be read carefully by all. Price 75 cents, bound, or 50 in paper covers.

PREACHING every Lord's day in Hall N.W. corner of Sixth and Callowhill sts., at half past ten in the morning, and at seven o'clock in the exening, by the Editor of the Examiner.

BIBLE EXAMINER.

PHILADELPHIA, FEBRUARY, 1851.

Second Edition.

After the regular edition of the Examiner for February was struck off, we had a call to print 5000 extra. Many of these will go into hands who are not subscribers now; but we hope they will become so at once. See terms, first page.

Those who wish to circulate this number, can have them at the low price of \$1 for 50 copies, if ordered before the edition is exhausted.

We still furnish entire sets of the Examiner for the years '48, '49 and '50. In sheets the price is \$2 for the three years : bound in one volume \$2.25 To paying subscribers, for 1851, 25 cents will be deducted on the bound volume.

ENGLISH CORRESPONDENCE .- The following Letter from Br. Dobney will be read, we doubt not, with interest. We hope it will be followed by many more, and trust it will; not only from him but others also in that country. Our Correspondents may be assured they are none the less welcome to our columns because they may not see all points of doctrine as we do. We may be in error in some things, but feel it will be a privilege to change error for truth at any time; and trust to be always ready to do so when convinced by Scripture argument. We regard immortality, or endless life, only in Jesus Christ, as the great foundation truth of the gospel, around which all other truths cluster, and from In short-That Jesus which they all radiate. Christ, the Son of the living God, is " all and in all" to his followers, for present salvation from sin, and future life, immortality, and endless existence. Any doctrine that detracts from this grand gospel truth we regard as orror, from whatever source it proceeds.

LETTER FROM H. H. DOBNEY.

Maidstone, England, Dec. 4th 1850.

My Dear Sir,-I think it was in Maylast, a copy of the "Bible Examiner" direct from America, was brought to me as I lay on a sick bed. My illness prevented me from at once acknowledging the kindness of the sender, whom I presumed to be yourself, and when I had recovered my health the copy was mislaid, so that I was reluctantly compelled to seem indifferent to my unknown friend. Having recently been favoured with another Number, I avail myself of the first lesiure hour at my disposal, to return you my heartiest thanks, and to express the pleasure which has been occasioned me by much of what is contained in the two numbers of the periodical named.

God and the true interests of man, by the correction of error and the propagation of truth, may God abundantly bless you. And may grace be with all who love our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, whatever peculiarities of theological opinion now unhappily keep them asunder.

It is matter for congratulation that the attention of the American churches is being called to the solemn question which the "Bible Examiner" seems especially established to keep before the public mind. May truth, so much the more, and so much the purer, be the happy result of the discussion.

I know many ministers of Evangelical sentiment, here in England, who more than doubt of the correctness of the common orthodox belief on this momentous subject. And while, no doubt, a vast amount of prejudice still remains, deterring many minds from examining the question, and leading them doggedly to abide, whether or no, by the old dogmas on the subject; yet among the better portion of the Evangelical body I think there is enough of kindness and candor to elicit the acknowledgment that there is, at all events, much to be said in favor of the views which we have suggested. At least, there has been a willingness to confess that the popular belief is not quite so plainly taught in the New Testament, as it used to be taken for granted it was. And many who are unable to adopt our views fully, and who on the whole, perhaps feel compelled to abide pretty nearly where they were, yet cheerfully concede that there is so much of difficulty about it, that there is fairly room for difference of opinion among Christians. This is something. And if the present controversy shall at all aid in diminishing the notion of their necessary infallibility, which all sects, and almost all individual christians so really assume, while they verbally repudiate, there will be much to thank God for. This terrible popery which we all hug-every sect its own pope-ave, and every man, too-how fearfully destructive is it of all that we ought to cherish. I hope those christians who assail the doctrine of eternal torment will be able to do justice to their own views in that spirit of modesty which we can never be at liberty to lay aside.

Perhaps a word or two as to the way in which I was led to renounce the common belief on this matter, may not be wholly unacceptable, since I was the first minister of any evangelical body who openly avowed his dissent; at least, from all I have ever heard, I believe I was the first to put his name to any thing on this side of the controversy. I first settled as Pastor over the Baptist Church at Groat Missenden, Bucks, in the year 1834, and at that time preached all the doctrines In all your endcavors to promote the glory of that are usually recognized as orthodox among us.

And I very well remember delivering two argumentative discourses in defence of the doctrine of the everlasting misery of the lost. I have them by me still. Subsequently, however, I began to ask myself more rigidly, why I held this, and that, and the other tenet, and so went into a long discussion with myself on several matters. Among other things I demanded of myself why I affirmed that the sinner would exist for ever in torment. The usual answers were at hand—but, scrutinizing them as an enquirer *de noro*, who required to be *satisfied* rather than put off, I found much of the ground, on which I had formerly stood so confidently, give way under me.

I was in no haste. Disagreeable as is suspenseunpleasant as it is to have one's judgment in abeyance-to be obliged to say to one's self, "I dont exactly know what to believe on this point"; and however much the awkwardness is increased when the individual stands as a public teacher, and has almost daily to be expounding and enforcing the great truths of religion; yet any thing is better than tamporing with one's own conscience, lessening one's sensitive loyalty to truth, and so possibly helping to maintain error, by a cowardly and unworthy impatience of suspense.

I took to preaching more thoroughly what I did believe, and often found myself dwelling with more satisfaction on the fact, that Christ camo to give life to his people. My views gradually became clearer ; and in proportion as the truth (as I deem it) was better discerned, the trumpet gave forth less and less of an uncertain sound. But I never assailed the popular belief so much as I, among my own people, superseded it by the presentation of the positive that I had slowly come to hold. the truth be exhibited, the error will die. Several years have now elapsed since I first published the "Notes of Seven Lectures on Future Punishment" that I had delivered to my own congregation, and printed at their request. The question is often put to me, "Do you still adhere, after further thought, to the views you have advocated?" And my answer is often something in this strain, when I am not shut up to a categorical roply, by stress of time:

There are but three things possible, only three theories which can be held, viz:

1. Those who die in a state of impenitence will be sooner or later converted to God in the next state, and so be saved:---or,

2. They will continue to exist for ever in a state of alienation, and therefore of misery:--or,

3. They will ccase to be, perish for ever.

I can conceive of no fourth alternative. I do numbers of the Examiner. In the posting of them not limit the Holy One, but I cannot invent another possibility. Of these prodicaments, the first is gratified by your continuing to forward me any

the happiest, that which most commends itself to the heart, and which seems the most worthy of God, and the most honorable to him. But if we are to confine ourselves to the SCRIPTURE ARGUMENT, our own independent opinions are hushed, the moral argument is declined ; and in this case, I feel as confident as a modest man may be-first, that Scripture does not proclaim a salvation hereafter for those who die impenitent here; secondly, that it still less teaches the horrible dogma that Evil shall eternally dishonor God, and appal the saved; and thirdly, that, whatever difficulties encumber the only romaining theory, (and how can even the truth be without its obscuritics in this present twilight ?) the New Testament does seem throughout to teach that immortality is the gift of God, through Christ, to those who believe, and all who are not rendered meet for an inheritance among the Saints in light, will cease to be.

It may appear almost strange to some, if I add, that, after having spent several years in the anxious investigation of this subject, as soon as I had discharged my conscience of the duty of giving the results of my thoughts to the world, I sought to recover my mental elasticity and independence by disencumbering my mind of the whole question, turning my attention to other matters of importance, and ceasing to take any special interest in this one department of enquiry. This I did, lest I should become too one-sided, and see all things through one medium, having perceived how common a thing it is to err in this way. And a second object I had in view was, that, by thus calling off the mind from any undue attention to one point, I might be the better qualified at some future period, if spared, to review once more the whole question, not as a partizan, or advocate, but as an impartial inquirer. I resolved, therefore, not to reply to any attack that might be made on me, and as it was not likely that another edition would be called for, I considered myself to have finished this part of my work ; at least for a long time. Indeed, I could not afford to keep up the war. The sale of my last work-the Edition of 1846-has not paid the expenses. I am still out of pocket by the endeavor to correct the presumed errors of the evangelical church, and must leave to men who have a better purse the honor of following up the effort. It affords me very considerable pleasure, however, to find how earnestly you and your coadjutors are working the question in the New World. May God orown all your endeavors with his most abundant blessing. Accept my heartiest thanks for your kindness in sending me the two numbers of the "Examiner." If the posting of them cost you nothing, I need hardly say that I should feel number that contains anything on the subject. Believe me, my dear sir, yours faithfully,

H. H. Dobney.

" MUSTERIOUS RAPPINGS." [Continued from page 10.]

At length these manifestations commenced at Mr. Sunderland's house, Charlestown, Mass., adjoining Boston. He thus speaks of it:

"I had frequently had manifestations, when alone, since last June, but no audible answers to questions, till each member of my family happened to be present. Then, asking my children to respond, the oldest one did so, audibly, for an hour or so, upon the *cradle*, around which the family circle was formed! As if the precious spirit of our child, having left the cradle for the grave, would come back and announce his presence by a rap, as upon the very place where his fond parents last grazed upon his infantile features, when smitten by the hand of death! The consolation thus afforded us may be imagined; described it cannot be."

We should be truly sorry to deprive him of such "consolation," if we did not believe fidelity to him, to truth, and to God demanded it of us. It is true we have little hope of arresting him in his present course; but we do not despair of saving some from, what we regard, a most fatal deception. In speaking further on the subject of these spirits entering his house, Mr. Sunderland says:

"With other favored names which have appeared in our columns as mediums, we are now permitted to place that of our first-born and beloved daughter, Mrs. Margaretta Cooper. My friends in Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Cincinnati, and Louisville, Ky., will not need to be told who she is, nor reminded of the music she made for her father's audiences in those places in 1848, coming, as we used to say, from the 'spirit world!" The responses we have had through her have been most convincing and satisfactory indeed. How gratifying, how pleasant, how heavenly, to find our own family thus taken possession of, as it were, by the Heavenly Hosts! O, how insignificant is all earthly good, when compared to this privilege! At our table, during meal time, by day and night, thus to be permitted to hold open communion with the Spirit World!"

Thus Mr. Sunderland is highly delighted to entertain these visitors, and is so wrapped up in the enjoyment of their society, that our readers must not be astonished to hear him pray to them, and acknowledge them as Paul acknowledged the God of heaven, as the following address to them will show. It is found in the Philosopher, page 69, and is Mr. S.'s dedication:

"To MY PRIENDS IN HEAVEN: Harmonious Spirits! Now I cease from the external. Now I become conscious of your presence. So peaceful. So pleasant. Come, come to me, the good and the true. My nature expands to receive you. Welcome, thrice welcome, here! I long for your presence. Delight in your society. Become tranquil when you are near. Exult in your love, in which I live, move, and have my being. Now I understand. Your light makes manifest. Now I aspire for good-

ness and truth. Now, to have consciousness of my own true destiny. O, blissful thought. This indeed is Heaven. What though I yet animate an external Form ! Am I not what you are ? Have I not eyes which see without the sun's light ? Ears that hear without earthly sound? Senses, which cannot be satisfied without you? No more animal life without air, than spiritual life without a Spirit World. Becoming one with you, one in essence, one in destiny, I no longer live without you. No more do I lean on a reed that is broken. No more wander in darkness. No more feed on that which cannot satisfy. To be disappointed no more. Yes, well do I know you. In your external form I knew you. We took sweet counsel together. When you threw aside the outward covering, I was with you. Then you left me? No: you, yourself, did not leave me. The magnet leaves not the pole by which it is attracted. Precious friends of my soul! In the depths of my innermost life I bless you. Struggling with the external, the fleeting, my spirit follows hard after yon! Yes, you know what I am, and what I would be. An evenly-balanced, well-governed, intelligent mind. Comprehended in Harmony, which, indeed, is Contentment, Gratitude and Hope, for ever."

If the foregoing is not a set and solemn act of worship, we beg to know what would be? Yes, a set and deliberate act of consecration to these spirits, such only as can, with innocence, be made to the Most High God himself. In the light of prayer and consecration Mr. S. evidently regarded it; for, at the close, in brackets, he says :--

"The above was written Sabbath, September the 8th, 1850, between three and four o'clock, P. M., in my study, Charlestown, Mass. In less than six hours after writing it, I had *audible* responses made to me, in the presence of my family, from the Spirit World."

This was truly a speedy answer to his prayer and dedication of himself to these *new gods*. That he regards them as his gods appears clear from the following language, on the same page:

"Had I ten thousand tongues, it seems as if I could use them all in blessing the Angelic Hosts, who have thus taken possession of my earthly sphere! I now enjoy a HEAVEN far more real than any I had ever been taught to anticipate by the old and discordant Theology, out of which I am now conscious of being so completely redeemed."

Here are sentiments that need no comment. Mr. Sunderland worships "Angelic Hosts"—by which, of course, he means the spirits of dead men, women, and babies: they are his gods, as truly as the "Calf of Samaria" was the god of Israel in the days of Jeroboham and the other idolatrous kings. And he is "redeemed" by these gods, "completely," from "the old Theology." If he had said partially "redeemed" we might not have disputed his assertion; for it is manifest, "the old Theology" that man has an "immortal soul," that does not die with the body, but survives it, is the very life of this angel worship. Yes, this new development from the "Spirit World" is all the fruit of immortal-soulism.

The grand mission of these spirits is "to convince men that they have immortal souls." If the Bible taught that doctrine, their mission would be superfluous: but it does not, and therefore these spirits come to sustain a crumbling theory; and that very theory is the life blood of this delusion. Satan ventures not out in his own character : he would sooner hide in a "serpent" than show his real colors. He therefore takes advantage of the popular belief of immortal-soulism, and the spirit surviving after death, and comes to the adherents of that doctrine with the disguised name of a dear wife, husband, brother, sister, or child; and tells the surviving relatives how much he loves them; and how very concerned he is for their happiness ! O, how cruel it must be to charge these loving spirits with being impostors-lying spirits ! To tell them plainlythey are not of God, but are of the devil, and his works they do. How hard it must be to impeach their good will ! How fearful to say to them-"Get behind me Satan"! How cruel to the immortal spirits of our dear little babies to treat them so !

We have said that this new method of gaining "light" asserts, that all men gain by death. Mr. Sunderland, in the Philosopher, page 71, says:

"We have often heard Spirits asked if they were happy, and, with one exception, the answer has been in the affirmative; but even that one Spirit said he was better off in that workl than he had been in this. So that we may say that all the manifestauons seem to favor the idea, that the next sphere is a better state for all."

This new method of getting "light" is direct in its tendency to usher in the cry of "Peace and Safety," in a manner more perfect than it has ever been made by any previous theory. Such a cry we know, from the testimony of Scripture, is to be made just prior to the second advent of Jesus Christ, to *destroy* the "Man of Sin," and to vindicate the truth of God from all the aspersions cast upon it by lying "spirits," to whatever "sphere" they belong.

We now give a specimen of what the Spiritual Philosopher calls "Gems from the Spirit World." It is as follows:

"How often have I been elevated and delighted with the *ideas* which have been communicated to me, or attendant friends, when holding *audience* with the Spirit World! Sometimes they come from a husband or a wife,—a parent or child, and always so appropriate, so expressive, and satisfactory! And where shall we look for *pcarls* and *diamonds*, if not to the Spirit Sphere? Where for beautiful flowers of the most agreeable fragrance, but in the Spirit Land? Where for music, if not from the source of *Harmony* and *Love*? 'Mother, dear,' says the spirit of the little one, 'I am always near to you!' Says a mother in the Spirit World to her child,—'Dear Emma, I love you!' Another says, 'Ellen, dear! my child, I love you. Be good. I am with you always, and will keep you from harm!' Said a Guardiau

Spirit to me, 'I love you, and will assist you always.' I asked 'Roy' (my first son, now fifteen years in the spirit world) what *idea* he could first remember ! He spelled out, in answer, the word— 'Happiness !' Blessed thought ! An existence begun in a happy sphere, or developed into consciousness of happiness in that sphere, must be happy, and this too, without any mixture of pain. I asked the spirit of my son if he knew what *fear* or pain was, and he answered that he did not."

Several things may be noted in the foregoing extract. First, there is an evident abandonment of the Bible as a source of comfort and instruction. The first part of the extract shows this clearly, though the Bible is not named. Second,-there is a manifest turning away from the Spirit of Godthe true "Comforter"-to seek comfort in the spirits of dead men, women, and children. Third,-the deceptive character of the spirits may be clearly seen: they are "familiar spirits;" the spirits of loved ones; thus throwing the living entircly off their guard, and removing all suspicion of deception. Thus they are to make themselves essential to the happiness of those they address, and lead them away from all reliance on the Bible, or the God of the Bible; and so Jesus Christ, the one Mediator, is to be "crucified afresh," and in due time denounced as an impostor! Let our readers mark well what we have here said; and beware that "the very elect" are not deceived by this "old scrpent," dressed up in the garb of "an angel of light," to make his last demonstration, to deceive the unwary, just prior to his going into "perdition."

These spirits, and their advocates, have an instinctive horror at the idea that any one should believe that there is such a spirit as "SATAN" or the "DEVIL!" No wonder they have. For, once admit it as a *fact* that there is such an existence as the devil, or satan,—evil spirits—and the mask is off-community would be more on their guard; though not then safe so long as they believe, also, that there are spirits of dead men equally conscious as Satan himself. In other words,-no man is safe from these deceptive spirits who believes the soul is naturally immortal and survives the dissolution of the body, in a conscious state. How can such a believer prove that these spirits, now making these manifestations, are not what they profess to be? viz., the spirits of their deceased friends? But, once settle the point that there is no immortality out of Christ, and no future life except by a resurrection, or translation, and these spirits are demonstrated to be "lying spirits;" because, they all claim to be the spirits of men, women, and children who have lived in the flesh. Hence their great anxiety to prove "the soul is immortal;" for this they profess, is their grand mission. No wonder, we repeat it, they are so concerned to establish that point; for upon it turns all their success in this last desperate effort to deceive the world, and prepare men for the personal advent of Satan as an "angel of Light," but truly as the "Man of Sin, who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped;" and who is the immediate forerunner of the Lord's advent from heaven the second time. This topic we shall dwell upon fully before we close what we have to say on this matter.

Mr. Sunderland, in the Philosopher, page 74, in addressing what he calls his "spiritual children," by which he means those who have come under his influence when he was practicing "Pathetism," says:

"I have often said to myself and intimate friends, within the last six months, 'O, this communion with spirits is a better heaven here, than the old theology I once believed and taught promised me, even in the world to come.' With those, therefore, who have been intromitted to the spirit world, it may be truly said, 'old things are passed away,' and many things, if not all, have become new. Indeed, no stories of elysium, nor of the christian millenium, ever equalled the realities which are now daily brought to the test of our external senses. The blind aro made to see, the lame to walk, the deaf to hear, the sick are healed, and to the poor, the gospel is preached."

On the foregoing extract, we remark, first-Mr. Sunderland has evidently exchanged "communion" with God the Father, and His Son Jesus Christ, which he once professed to enjoy, for " communion with spirits," of which he knows nothing except on their own testimony. Second---In this new "communion" he has found "a better heaven hore" than he "once believed and taught * * in the world to come." Third,-" Those who have been intromitted"-that is, introduced, or, have admission-"to the spirit world" have "truly" undergone a change which he compares with the apostle's statement concerning those who are "in Christ." In other words, to be inducted into communion with these spirits is equal, if not superior, to what the christian calls, being in Christ.

Fourth,—"No stories of the *Christian* millennium, ever equalled the realities" which he "now daily" has "brought to" his "external senses." Thus the personal reign of Christ in his glory, with his saints made immortal—the earth restored to its Edon stato—sin and the curse removed, have all faded away before this "*light*," for which Mr. S. so ardently prayed, and said, "no matter how it comes." A fearful prayer 1 and a fearful answer it has been! But he does not stop here.

Fifth,-Nearly all the miracles Christ wrought in confirmation of the gospel of the kingdom of God, are claimed as being wrought by himself through Pathetism, in which he now says, he has been sided by these spirits. At this point Mr. S. ap-

proaches a little nearer to blaspheming *Christ* than at any other. He quotes the words of Jesus, though without giving *credit*, and applies them to the wonders himself has performed in cures by "Pathetism."

Sixth,-"To the poor, the gospel is preached," says Mr. S. But what gospel it is his words show us. It is the "gospel" of "communion with spirits," which, he says, is "better" than that which proclaims "the christian millennium!!" Now, the proclamation of "the christian millennium" is identical with preaching "the kingdom of God." Preaching the kingdom of God was the work of Jesus Christ and his apostles. Mr.S. has "another gospel"-a "better" one, he says; but an apostle has said-" Though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that we have preached, let him be accursed." These spirits now making manifestations, which so delight Mr. S., and others, do "preach another gospel," which their devotees say, is "better" than that preached by Christ and his apostles. Against this blasphemous assumption we raise our warning voice: and let all who value Eternal Life beware of all intercourse with these lying spirits, who are now abroad doing their last work of deception. Let none deceive themselves by the supposition that there is no reality in these manifestations. We believe they are real. On that point we have no controversy with the advocates of this new development: we admit the facts they affirm; but we dony the the character the spirits claim, and that their worshippers contend for. In our mind there is not a doubt they are the embryotic development, or forerunners of the advent of the real ANTICURIST-the "Man of Sin." Whoever, therefore, shuts his eyes to the fact, that there is a real "communion with spirits" now going on, and to become general, may find "that day" has "come upon" him "as a snare," and he may not " be able to escape." We live in a fearful period for these who have had the gospel of Christ and do not heed it. Those who have not received and obeyed it, or who do not "watch," as Christ commanded, are to be taken in a "strong delusion," and "believe a lie, because they received not the love of the truth" of Christ's coming and kingdom. This last deceiving power will be "after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders."

Let us beware, then, that we are not carried away with it on the one hand, nor on the other be so indifferent to it as to be lulled into a worldly security, and thus be as fatally ruined. For those whom Satan cannet rouse to active service in his last great work of deception, he will strive to lull to sleep now: and the words of our soon coming Lord Jesus Christ are to lay on our minds with

power-" What I say unto you, I say unto all, WATCH." [To be Continued.]

" ELECTION."-In our article in reply to Brother Grew, on Acts 13: 48, in the November Examiner, we made a mistake in our reference to a word in chap. 20: 13, which we detected before that num-Ler was sent off to its destination, but too late to correct it. It consists in quoting the word "minding," instead of "appointed," in the text-"We went before to ship, and sailed to Assos, there intending to take in Paul; for so he had appointedouto gar en diatctagmenos-for so he was disposed." Such is the true import of the original word. Of this fact Lowman and Whitby give many examples in their comments on Acts 13: 48, and themselves translate the term-tetagmenoi-" disposed for." "As many as were disposed for eternal life believed."

In the Hebrew of the O. T. there are eleven words, which are translated ordain, ordaineth, and ordained. One of those words, viz.: Orakh—has this definition—"to arrange, to prepare." This word occurs first in Psa. 132: 17. "I have ordained"—prepared, arranged—"a lamp for mine anointed." The next place of its occurrence, is Isa. 38: 33:—"Tophet is ordained"—prepared—"of old." Our authority is, "The Bible Students' Concordance; by Aaron Pick, Professor of Hebrew and Chaldea, from the University of Prague." In this work, Prof. Pick gives us all the Hebrew words of the Old Testament, and their "literal meaning." It is a work of great value to the "English Reader," for whose benefit it is designed.

It will be seen by the quotation we have given above, that our English word "ordained," has the sense in Hebrew of "prepared," or "arranged." Claiming the benefit of this meaning of the word, we bring it forward to help in the explanation of Acts, 13: 48-" As many as were ordained to etcrnal life, believed." Clearly, to our mind, the sense is-"As many as were prepared for eternal life, believed." That is-As many as had given careful and candid attention to the truth, the Apostles had spoken, in their previous discourses, now "believed" the doctrine about "cternal life," which they had heard; for, "faith cometh by hearing." "The Jews" had heard in part, but their "envy" at the attention which the "multitudes" gave to the preaching of "Paul and Barnabas," unfitted them to hear to profit; so they "contradicted and Wasphemed ;" and, hence, were not "ordained"were not prepared by the ministry of the Apostles to receive and believe the glorious truth of "etcrnal life," by and through Jesus Christ. Others were ordained, or prepared, or "disposed," by the teaching of the Apostles, and hence believed.

That such is the true sense of this text, we have no doubt. To refer the language to a decree, or irresistible purpose of God, that those individuals should have eternal life, and to assign that as the reason why they "believed," it seems to us, is, how ever undesigned, to represent God as mocking his creatures, when he says-"As I live, I have no pleasure in the death of the wicked, but that the wicked turn from his way and live;" and adds, "Why will ye die ?" Now, if their "eternal life" depends upon His "ordnining" them to it, in the sense of an absolute decree; and if without such ordination they never will believe, which ordination He refuses to exercise in relation to all who die; then, most manifestly, there is an apparent want of sincerity on the part of God, when he solemnly affirms He has "no pleasure in the death of the wicked." It will not meet the case at all to say-" He has no pleasure in their death in itself considered." He makes no such reservation in Ilis oath in the matter; and it is not fitting that we mortals should make it for Him, and thereby, virtually, charge Him with duplicity, or double dealing.

We say, once more,—No man is ordained to eternal life, in the sense of being set apart for it, by God's act, till he has passed through his trial in this life. "Be thou faithful unto death, and I will give you a crown of life," saith the "Faithful and True Witness." Till then, even Paul found it necessary to keep his body under, and bring it into subjection; "lest that by any means" * * he "should be a cast away." See 1 Cor. 9: 27. Such language is totally, and eternally irreconcilable with the notion that he believed himself, at that time, set apart of God to eternal life, that is, eternally ordained to it.

The act of ordination, Acts 13: 48, is not to be referred to some unknown and eternal act of God; the context forbids it. The minds of those who "believed" had been "prepared" by the words to which they had candidly listened, and being thus prepared they believed. Not one word is said of any decree of God in the matter; it is a simple contrast between candid and uncandid hearers. The latter were not prepared, the former were, and THERE-FORE believed.

Since writing the foregoing we have examined Dr. Adam Clark's comments on this toxt, which we had not read before for years. He says :---

"Whatever tetagmenoi may mean, which is the word translated, ordained, it is neither protetagmenoi, nor proorismenoi, which the Apostle uses, but simply tetagmenoi, which includes no idea of preordination or predestination of any kind." He enquires, --- "What does the word tetagmenoi mean ?" and answers--" The verb tatto, or tasso, signifies to place, set, order, appoint, dispose; hence it has been considered here as implying the disposition or readiness of mind of several persons in the congregation,

such as the religious proselytes mentioned in verse 43, who possessed the reverse of the disposition of those Jews, who spake against those things, contra-dicting and blaspheming, ver. 44.? Headds-" Those who seek for the plain meaning of the word, will find it here; those who wish to make out a sense, not from the Greek word, its use among the best Greek writers, and the obvious sense of the Evangelist, but from their own creed, may continue to puzzle themselves and others, * * having bidden adieu to the true meaning of a passage so very sim-ple, taken in its connexion, that one wonders how it ever came to be misunderstood and misapplied."

We now leave the subject of " Election," repeating what we said in November, viz. :--- "The subject was ably discussed in the last century, by Fletcher, Toplady, and others; and nothing new, scarcely, can be said on the subject." We wish not, therefore, to protract the discussion in our colums; and we should have let our mistake pass, but that a brother said-" for the truths' sake publish the following correction of the criticism on Acts 13: 48 and 20: 13." His "correction" we have not published. The "correction" is our own; and the mistake was seen by us, probably, before he saw the paper containing it; but to save words we might have let it pass without correction; as we then believed, and still believe, we gave the true sense of Acts 13: 48.

THE TRUE SOURCE OF IMMORTALITY.

BY THOMAS READ, NEW YORK. (Continued from page 5.)

IMMORTALITY IS THE PURCHASE OF THE DEATH OF CHRIST.

Having fully shown that all mankind have inherited from Adam a perishing nature, and are all alike subjected to the curse of death as the penalty alike subjected to the curse of death as the penary of transgression, "by reason of him who hath sub-jected the same in hope," for the wages of sin is death, and that the fiat of Jehovah of "Dust thou art and unto dust shalt thou return," "passes upon all men for that all have sinned;" and but for the mercy of God in Christ Jesus, and the introduction of a remarking system, they would all have eternally of a remedial system, they would all have eternally perished : we now proceed to show that the gift of God is cternal life, through Jesus Christ our Lord. And that this life is imparted to us by Christ having redeemed us from the curse of the law, which is death : that is, he hath bought us back again from death, through the promise of a future resurrection to life, by becoming a curse for us; the chastisement of our peace being upon him; that his soul was made an offering for cin; that Satan bruised his heel, by making it necessary for Christ to become subject to death, and Christ having finished the work of redemption, by paying the ran-som price, the penalty of the law, which was death, the entire constitution of the law, which was death, the entire cessation of life and consciousness, Christ was raised again from the dead by the Eternal Spirit, "because he had done no violence, neither was any deceit in his mouth;" therefore, the justice of God made it impossible that he should be holden by death and he second directly be here ("where by death, and he ascended into the heavens, "where | many as thou hast given him.

he ever liveth to make intercession for us;" and God hath begotten us again to a hope of life, by the resurrection of Jesus Christ from the dead, to an inheritance incorruptible, and undefiled, and that fadeth not away, RESERVED IN HEAVEN FOR YOU, ... ready to be revealed in the last time. See I Pet. 1.

Thus hath Christ despoiled Satan of his victory over the righteous; who, having been tried by the malice of Satan, are better disciplined, and better qualified for the enjoyment of everlasting life. While those only have been finally subdued by Satan, who have proved, as well as counted them-selves unworthy of everlasting life. And God having overruled the malice of Satan to subserve his own purposes, in the development of human character; at his second coming, will wrest the right-eous from the dominion of death, and bruise the serpent's head, by destroying both him and his works. O grave, where is thy victory? And as in Adam all die, (through the temptations of Satan,) so in Christ SHALL all be made alive, by a resurrec-tion from the dead. Thus is Christ made the Saviour of all men, redeeming all from death, and especially of those who believe, who will die no more. While those who will not come unto Christ that they might have life, do indeed rise again from the dead, but only to the resurrection of condemnation to the second death.

All this " is now made manifest by the (first) appearing of our Saviour Jesus Christ, who hath abolished death, and hath brought life and immortality to light through the gospel." For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him, should not perish, but have evenlasting life. "Who gave himself a ran-som for all." This immortality is the gift of God, who have his som and is dimension by Christ who hath given his Son, and is dispensed by Christ, through the sacrifice of himself. The gift of God is eternal life, through Jesus Christ our Lord.

Now, obviously, if immortality be the gift of God, dependant upon God's giving his Son, and Christ giving himself, it must be contingent, and if contingent it is NOT inherent, for God might have withheld his Son, or the Son might have withheld the sacrifice of himself, and then the whole race of mankind would have eternally perished in their own corruption. We are now fully prepared for the second question.

Is immortality CONTINGENT, a GIFT which God, through Jesus Christ, bestows upon man subsequently to his birth, and NOT TO BE FULLY REAL-IZED TILL THE RESURRECTION OF THE DEAD?

This we affirm; and that immortality is the gift of God, dispensed by Jesus Christ, is moreover further proved by the following scriptures.

1 John 5: 11 .- And this is the record, that God hath given to us eternal life : and this life IS IN HIS Son. He that hath the Son, HATH LIFE; and he that hath not the Son of God, hath not life.

John 6: 32.-My Father giveth you the true bread from heaven, for the bread of God is he which cometh down from heaven, and giveth LIFE unto the world.

John 10: 27 .- My sheep hear my voice . . and I give unto them eternal life; and they shall never perish.

John 17: 2.-Thou hast given him power over all flesh, that he should give eternal life to as

Immortality is conditional, conferred by promise, to be sought for, and to be chosen, the great object of the Christian's hope, and the crown of his rejoicing.

It would be folly to seek for, and hope for, what we already possess, and to choose that which is not an object of choice.

Rom. 8: 24.—For we are saved by hope: but hope that is seen is not hope: for what a man seeth, why doth he get hope for? But if we hope for that we see not, then do we with patience wAIT for it. That is, we wait "to be delivered from the bondage of corruption," in which we shall be held by the dominion of the grave, "into the glorious liberty of of the children of God." Even we, who "have the first fruits of the Spirit," and are already the children of God, by promise, wAIT "for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of our body."—Rom. 8: 23.

• Thus then the object of our hope, the adoption, and the redemption to eternal life, are things future.

Rom. 2: 7.—To them who by patient continuance in well doing, SEEK FOR glory, and honor, and immortality; God, the rightcous judge WILL render "cternal life."

, I Tim. 6: 12.-Lay hold on eternal life.

Dent. 30: 19.—I have set before thee life and death. blessing and cursing: therefore choose life, that both thou and thy seed may live.

James 1: 12.—Blessed is the man that endureth temptation: for when he is tried, he shall receive the crown of life, which the Lord hath promised to them that love him. See Tit. 3: 7.

Rev. 2: 7.—To him that overcometh will I give to eat of the tree of life, which is in the midst of the Paradise of God. 2: 10.—Be thou faithful unto death and I will give you the crown of life.

John 6: 39.—"This is the Father's will which hath sent me, that of all which he hath given me, I should lose nothing, but should raise it up again at the last day." Clearly implying if they were not raised up, they would be lost.

And this is the will of him that sent me, that every one which seeth the Son, and believeth on him, may have everlasting life: and I will raise him up at the last day. Except ye eat the flesh of the Son of man, and drink his blood, ye have no life in you. Whose eateth my flesh and drinketh my blood, hath eternal life: and I will raise him up at the last day. John 6: 53.

Labor for the meat which endureth unto everlasting life, which the Son of man shall give unto you. John 6: 27.

Mark 10: 17.-What shall I do, that I may inherit eternal life?

Matt. 19: 17.—If thou wilt enter into life, keep the commandments.

Rev. 22: 14.—Blessed are they that do his commandments, that they may have a right to the tree of life.

Suppose an opponent should venture to contradict the plain testimony of the Spirit of truth, and affirm that it is eternal happiness and not eternal life that is to be sought for; let him read the last verse according to the third rule, page 172, Vol. v. Happy are they that do his commandments, that they may have a right to be happy. No, no, those who are qualified for immortality will necessarily be happy, whon God's will is done in the new heavens and the new earth, for in the presence of the King, is fulness of joy.

In all the preceding scriptures, immortality is made a matter of promise, is said to be given conditionally on gospel terms; and is to be sought for, labored lor, chosen, and so forth; all which exhortations are utterly irreconcilable with the ideas of natural immortality, but are irresistibly conclusive, that Jesus Christ is the great dispenser of immortality, by a resurrection of the dead, through the mediatorial system. As infants have been redeemed from death, those who have not personally sinned, will not be condemned at the resurrection of the dead.

In numerous passages, particularly in John 6: 39 40, 53; Luke 18: 30, Jesus Christ connects future life with the resurrection of the dead, in such a manner, as to affirm, that if his people were not raised up at the last day, they would be lost. And in his exposition of Moses, he taught that the dead are raised, by calling God the God of the living. Matt. 22: 31. Now, if the soul could have knowledge apart from the body, God would be the God of Abraham, who is dead, without a resurrection, and consequently a God of the dead, a plain contradiction of the obvious import of Christ's words.

Col. 3: 3.—For ye are dead, and your life is hid with Christ in God. When CHRIST, who is our life, shall appear, THEN shall ye also appear with him in glory.

Paul affirms positively that "If the dead rise not then they also which are fallen asleep in Christ ARE PERIGHED. 1 Cor. 15: 16, 18.

Will an objector take upon himself the responsibility to contradict, and say—No, Paul, the souls of the righteous are conscious and happy without a resurrection? Alas! there is a deal of unbelief where it is but little suspected! If the soul could be happy after death, without a resurrection, how could Paul say—"If in this life only, we have hope in Christ, we are of all men most miserable"? 1 Cor. 15: 19. And again—"If after the manner of men, I have fought with beasts at Ephesus, what advantageth it me, if the dead rise not? let us eat and drink, for to-morrow we die: v. 32.

Here Paul reiterates that, without a resurrection, there is no future existence, and if the dead rise not, it is wisdom to make the most of the present world, for it is all our portion. It is utterly impossible to to reconcile this strong assertion with truth, if Paul believed that there is an intermediate state of conscious happiness, between death and the resurrection.

If death confers upon the soul increased powers, increased knowledge, and increased happiness; what advantage had Enoch and Elijah, who are represented as being especially privileged, the one in being translated, that he should not see death, and the other in going bodily to heaven, in a whirlwind and a chariot of fire? Our modern philosophers, making void the word of God, would have said, it is better that the "immortal souls" of these saints should have gone alone and disembodied to heaven and bliss, rather than to be encumbered with material bodies. But God thought otherwise, and his word declares that death is a penalty, a curse, a punishment, and that it is not the conductor of the soul to glory; which can only be effected by a resurrection from the dead, by him who is emphatically the "RESURRECTION and the LIFE."

We are told of only two worlds, the present and the world to come; and in contrasting the arilictions of the one, with the eternal weight of glory of the other, Paul says, "We look not at the things which are seen, but at the things that are not seen: for the things that are seen are *temporal*; but the things that are not seen are **ETENNAL**." 2 Cor. 4: 18.

Those who affirm that there is an unseen spiritworld of disembodied souls, conscious and happy. to be consistent with this declaration of Paul, must affirm that it is ETERMAL; and consequently, that there is no resurrection, and that Christ will not "set upon the throne of his father David." Into such inconsistencies does a departure from the plain declarations of the scriptures lead us. And comparing his bodies to houses; that is, his present body and his resurrection body, Paul argues of the one, that it is earthly, and shall be dissolved, that the knowledge of the glory of God is given us in earthen vessels, and "knowing that He which raised up the Lord Jesus, shall raise up us also, by Jesus, and shall present us with you for we must all appear before the judgment-seat of Christ; that every one may receive the things IN BODY ;" therefore he carnestly desires to be clothed upon with his cternal house, that mortality might be swallowed up in life. And as God had already given him the earnest of the Spirit, he was willing rather to lay aside his earthly body, not that he might put it off merely, be unclothed: but that he might put on the other, the heavenly body. He was willing to "hide" himself, as it were, " for a little moment," and his life being hid with Christ in God, he knew that when Christ, his life, should appear, that he also should appear with him in glory. And though he had the sentence of death within himself, yet he "trusted in God, which raiseth the dead," for he knew he should rejoice with his Corinthian converts "in the day of the Lord Jesus," and then he should be ever with the Lord. His reasoning here and elsewhere, entirely excludes the possibility of an intermediate state of consciousness. (To be Continued.)

to we continued

"DOBNET ABRIDGED."-We have just published the "Second Part" of "The Scripture Doctrine of Future Punishment, by H. H. Dobney," Baptist Minister, England, in an edition by itself. Our object has been to furnish all that is really essential in the controversy, to enable the reader to decide whether endless torture, or destruction of being, is the true teaching of Scripture in relation to the end of the wicked: and to furnish it so cheap as to place it within the reach of all. The "First Part" of the work, though exceedingly valuable as a theological exposition of the moral government of God, does not go into the argument of the final end of the wicked; and contains very little but what nearly all professed Christians would assent to. The Second Part is the great "Argument" which casts down the Moloch of Christendom and grinds it to powder. Any intelligent mind, we think, will be satisfied of this who may read David N. Lord's Review, in the "Theological Journal" for January. If Mr. Lord cannot make the Moloch of Endless Torments stand on its legs, who can? But he has uttorly failed in his late Review, so we |

judge. He has left the main argument entirely untouched and unharmed. The general truth stands all the stronger for this effort to raise dust to hide the glorious Scripture Towers Mr. Dobney has developed.

The "abridged" work we put up in paper covers, and at the extremely low price of *twenty-five cents* single copy, of about 220 pages, 12 mo. Six copies may be had for one dollar, current money, sent to us free of expense.

Let this work be scattered like leaves over the land. The truth it contains will not return void: and now is the time to send it forth, while Mr. Lord's Review is fresh in mind, and has called attention to the work.

None of the "abridged" work will be bound: all in paper covers, neatly executed.

All orders and remittances must be directed, GEO. STORRS, Philadelphia, Pa.

DOBNEY UNABRIDGED.—Price Reduced.—We have determined to put this work, on "Future Puniskment," hereafter, at *jifly cents*, bound in muslin. The future editions will be published in Philadelphia, instead of New York.

BOOKS AND PAMPHLETS.

We design to keep constantly on hand the following works on the grand gospel truth of "Immortality for man only in Christ," and let a new effort be made to place them in every house in the land.

1. SCRIPTURE DOCTRINE OF FUTURE PUNISHMENT, by *H. H. Dobney*, Baptist Minister, England; 286 pages, 12mo. It is a most luminous defence of the truth that *immortality* is to be possessed only by a union with Christ. Price 50 cents, bound; or 371 in paper covers, suitable to be sent by mail.

2. DIALOGUES ON FUTURE PUNISIMENT, by Rev. Wm. Glen Monerieff, Scotland. A most valuable and convincing work, which ought to be in the hands of every person where the gospel is preached. It is

every person where the gospel is preached. It is a pamphlet of 60 pages, 12mo. Price, 15 cents. 3. THE UNITY OF MAN, OR LIFE AND DEATH REAL-ITIES. A REPLY TO REV. LUTHER LEE, by Anthropos. This is a thorough refutation of Mr. Lee's position of the natural immortality of man's fancied "immortal soul." It contains 122 pages, 18mo., in paper covers. Price 15 cents.

4. SIX SERMONS, by Geo. Storrs: An inquiry, Are the wicked Intmortal? to which is added, a dissertation on the State of the Dead; and prefixed Archbishop Whately's remarks on The Second Death? Thousands of copies have been scattered abroad. The work will speak for itself. It has 128 pages, 18mo., in paper covers. Price 15 cents.

pages, 18mo., in paper covers. Price 15 cents. 5. STORRS' MISCELLANY.—This contains the Six Sermons—Unity of Man—Rich man and Lazarus— Second Death, by Whately—Can you believe? by Moncrieff—The Two Thrones, by Geo. Storrs, and the inquiry, Have the Dead Knowledge? bound in one volume. making 275 pages. Price 42 cents.

6. The IMMATERIALITY OF THE SOLL, by Thomas Read, New York.—This is a Pamphlet of 24 pages, 12mo., in covers. A most complete exposure of the unphilosophical and unscriptural notion that the soul is Immaterial. Price, 4 cents single; or \$4 per hundred.

BIBLE EXAMINER.

NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE, EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

VOL, VI.

PHILADELPHIA, MARCH, 1851.

No. 3.

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER.

PUBLISHED MONTHLY,

AT 198 MARSHALL STREET, THIRD DOOR ABOVE BROWN,

PHILADELPHIA, PA.

TERMS .- Single copy, for one year, one dollar ; six copies, \$5; thirteen copies, 810; ALWAYS IN ADVANCE.

This paper is subject to newspaper postage only.

THE TRUE SOURCE OF IMMORTALITY.

BY THOMAS READ, NEW YORK.

(Continued from page 30.)

We now enter more fully upon the arguments deduced from the Resurrection, showing that IF THERE WERE NO RESURRECTION, THERE WOULD BE NO FUTURE LIFE.

That the second coming of Christ to raise the dead, was the hope of the primitive christians; was waited for, and longed for with intenso solicitude; was the period when the saints expected first to see Christ in person, and to be rewarded; and the con-siderations by which the apostolic injunctions to duty were enforced, is sufficiently obvious.

UPON THE RESURRECTION OF THE BODY.

That the body will be raised again is a glorious truth; but as the phrase "the Resurrection of the Body," cannot be found in the scriptures, and has only been made necessary by the belief of the common theory, we pass on by simply condemning the use of the phrase.

We proceed now to discuss, so far as relates to the questions at issue, what in scripture is emphatically called-

"THE RESURRECTION OF THE DEAD."

In accordance with the too common belief, the Resurrection sinks into a small matter. For if all the saints entered into their happiness at death, what matters it whether in the body or out of the body? And if our souls are so much higher in the grade of being than our bodily organization, why clog our spiritual natures, with the grosser material form, impeding their motions, and diminishing their powers? And which will not, according to the prevalent belief, add to their glory or their happiness. If the common theory were true, it would not be a resurrection of the dead at all; but a reunion of the living soul; containing all the essential characteristics of the man; his moral powers, his knowledge, his emotions; which have never died! with the re-made body. Reunion would the glory that shall there be revealed. When? At seem to be the more appropriate manic offereif the ademption of his body gy hen/ Christ should

man's higher nature never died; man, the whole man, could not be raised again from the dead. Who has not heard the phrase-" the soul and body shall be reunited," used instead of the scriptural phrase, that "the dead shall rise again "? But if immortality be only in Christ Jesus: and if Jesus Christ is the Resurrection and the Life; then is the Resurrection of the Dead the most important doctrine of the Bible, and it assumes that pre-eminence that Jesus Christ and Paul ascribe to it when they make our whole future existence to depend upon it. Without it, the very purpose for which God made the world, and introduced the mediatorial system, to purify unto himself a peculiar people, zealous of good works, and to establish an everlasting king-dom wherein dwelleth righteousness, where Christ would sit upon the throne of his father David, would be entirely frustrated; Christ would have died in vain; the devil would have triumphed; and Death would have gotten a complete victory; establishing a universal and everlasting dominion over the sons of men. But it is not so; Christ has burst the bars of death, risen triumphantly into heaven, and in Christ shall all be made alive, when Christ comes to raise the dead, and judge the world, and to assign to each individual his everlasting portion. Thanks be to God, who giveth us the victory over death, through our Lord Jesus Christ. Death is swallowed up in victory.

This is Paul's opinion, for making the resurrection of Christ a pledge of our resurrection, he says: 1 Cor. 15: 16, 18—⁴⁴ If the dead rise not then they also which are fallen asleep in Christ ARE PERISHED." Their faith was vain, the penalty of sin, which is death, the entire cessation of life and consciousness, has consigned them to everlasting nothingness; they are irretrievably perished. Again he says, "If in this life only we have hope in Christ, we are of all men most miserable. Of what advantage are my sufferings and conflicts . . if the dead rise not ? let us eat and drink, for to-morrow we die."-v. 23.

Therefore because there is a resurrection of the dead be ye steadfast . . . for a smuch as ye know your labor is not in vain in the Lord. v. 58.

Now, if we believe that Paul was inspired when he wrote this, then is there no intermediate state of consciousness, and the soul is not naturally immortal. There is no possibility of evading these conclusions, but by denying the inspiration of the scriptures. Again Paul says-

Rom. 8: 23.—"Waiting for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of our body . . . when we shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption, into the glorious liberty of the children of God." Paul was already a child of God, by faith, but he had to submit to the bondage of corruption in the grave, not his body merely, but himself, therefore he waited for a fuller manifestation of the Sons of God, and resurrection of the dead.

THE SAINTS DID NOT EXPECT THEIR REWARD TILL THE RESURRECTION OF THE DEAD.

Rev. 11: 15, 18 .- The seventh angel sounded, and there were great voices in heaven, saying, The kingdoms of this world are become the kingdoms of our Lord, and of his Christ, and he shall reign for ever and ever . . . And the nations were angry, and thy wrath is come, and the time of the dead, that they should be judged, and that thou should give REWARD unto thy servants the prophets, and to the saints, and them that fear thy name, small and great.

1 Pet. 1: 3, 4, 13.-Blessed be the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, which, according to his abundant mercy, hath begotten us again unto a lively hope, (or hope of life) by the resurrection of Jesus Christ from the dead, to an inheritance incorruptible, and undefiled, and that fadeth not away, reserved in heaven for you, ready to be revealed in the last time. Wherefore gird up the loins of your mind, be sober, and hope to the end for the grace that is to be brought unto you at the revelation of Jesus Christ.

Peter then did not expect the reward till the resurrection of the dead.

Phil. 3: 8, 9, 11 .- I count all things but loss for the excellency of the knowledge of Christ Jesus my Lord : for whom I have suffered the loss of all things . that I may win Christ, and be found in him, having the righteousness which is through the faith of Christ . . if by any means I might attain unto the resurrection of the dead.

Then Paul did not look for his reward until his mortal body should put on immortality. 2 Tim. 4: 8.—I have kept the faith: henceforth

there is laid up for me a crown of rightcousness, which the Lord the righteous judge, shall give me at THAT day : and not to me only but unto all them

that love his appearing. Titus, 2: 12, 13.—We should live soberly.... looking for that blessed hope, and the GLORIOUS AP-PEARING of the great God, and our Saviour Jesus Christ.

These passages all prove that the reward was not to be expected till the Resurrection.

Christ says, "I am the resurrection and the life." Resurrection and life are co-existent, and inseparable. If no resurrection, no future life.

Luke 18: 30.-Those who had forsaken all for God's sake, should receive manifold more in this present time, and in the world to come, life everasting.

1 Cor. 1: 7, 8.—Paul thanks God for the spiritual lifts of his Corinthian brethren, and says they are waiting for the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ," vho would confirm them unto " the end," that they night be blameless in the day of our Lord Jesus Christ.

Again; 1 Thess. 2: 19 .- For what is onr hope, or joy, or crown of rejoicing ? Are not even ye in he presence of our Lord Jesus Christ at HIS COMING ?

If these Thessalonians would be in the presence of Christ before his coming, would Paul have waited ill the coming of Christ before he began to rejoice? Verily, nay.

1 Thess. 3: 12, 13.-The Lord make you . . to abound in love to the end he may restablish them that sleep in Jesus is strikingly manifest, and

quicken, or enliven his mortal body; that is, at the even our Father, at the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, with all his saints.

1 Thess. 5: 23.—"I pray God your whole spirit, and soul. and body, be preserved blameless UNTO THE COMING of our Lord Jesus Christ-"

As at death we end our probationary state, if Paul believed they entered into the promised reward, or any part of it immediately after death, it would have been enough for Paul to have prayed for them to be preserved to that period, as that would have sealed their everlasting destiny.

But as Paul did not believe it, he very consistently prayed that they might be preserved blameless till the resurrection.

1 Thess. 4: 13, 17.-" I would not have you to be ignorant, brethren, concerning them which are asleep, that ye sorrow not, even as others which have no hope. For if we believe that Jesus died and rose again, even so them also which sleep in Jesus will God bring with him. For this we say unto you by the word of the Lord, that we which are alive and remain unto the coming of the Lord shall NOT PREVENT (anticipate) them which are asleep. For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God : and the dead in Christ shall rise first : then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to MEET the Lord in the air : and so shall we ever be with the Lord. Wherefore, comfort one another with these words."

Here Paul, in the most solemn manner, declares by the word of the Lord, by express revelation, that the living at the time of Christ's coming, should arise, should meet Jesus just after the saints whowere asleep had awoke to consciousness, and all at ono time to meet together and continue always with Christ, and then know for the first time, after finishing their course with joy, the eternal flat securing their eternal inheritance.

"Wherefore comfort one another with these words?" By what words? That immediately upon death your souls will go to heaven ? No, no. But comfort the friends of the dead with the assurance that "the dead shall rise again." 1 Pet. 3: 12, 14.—"Seeing then that the

elements shall melt with fervent heat ... what manner of persons ought ye to be in all holy con-versation and godliness, looking for and hastening unto the coming of the day of God, ... be diligent that ye may be found of him in pence, without spot that ye may be found of him in pence, without spot and blameless . . . when the heavens shall be dissolved." Can I make this plainer? I leave it in almost the very words of revelation, only leaving

out the extraneous parts to show the connexion. 1 John, 2: 28.—And now, little children, abide in him that, WHEN he shall appear, we may have confidence, and not be ashamed before him AT HIS COMING.

1 John, 3: 2 .- We know that wHEN he shall appear, we shall be like him; for we shall see him as he is

In all these texts, the time of the rejoicing of the saints is expressly declared to be at the coming of Christ to judge the world. There is not the slightest allusion to an intermediate state of consciousness. All the expressions employed most clearly prove that the apostles believed in no such state of con-scious enjoyment. That the apostles believed that the penalty of death had been fully inflicted upon all

"Many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth." . . . upon whom the fat of Jehovah, of "dust thou art and unto dust shalt thou return," had been literally fulfilled, . . . "shall awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt."-Dan. 12: 2.

1 Cor. 16: 22 .- If any man love not the Lord Jesus Christ, let him be Anathema, Maran-atha. Or, as some read it: let them be accursed at the coming of the Lord.

Our next argument will be founded upon the places from which the dead are summoned to judgment.

FROM WHENCE ARE THE NATIONS GATHERED TO-GETHER AT THE RESURRECTION OF THE DEAD, AND AT THE JUDGMENT WHICH THEN TAKES PLACE ?

Daniel says, many shall awake that sleep in the dust of the earth. But if he had been a believer in the conscious intermediate state, he would have said, many shall come from Paradise and from hell, or he would not have been consistent.

John 5: 28 .-... ' Marvel not at this: for the hour is coming, in the which all that are in the graves, (not in heaven or hell,) shall hear his voice, and shall come forth; they that have done good unto the resurrection of life," &c.

Rev. 20: 13 .-- John says, "The sea gave up the dead which were in IT; and death and hell, (or hades, the grave.) delivered up the dead which were in them; and they were judged every man ac-cording to his works."

Death is here personified, and is represented, as having reigned with undisputed sway over the whole of man, soul and body, but now he is made to deliver up his dominion to Christ : for the last Adam, Christ, is made a life-giving spirit : 1 Corth. 15: 45; and the last enemy, Death, shall be de-stroyed: v. 26. The same may be said of Hades.

According to the common theory, death never had dominion. According to the Bible, death did reign for a time, but was vanquished by the omnipotent voice of Christ, who hath purchased life and immortality by the sacrifice of himself for the sons of men. In this text we find the dead coming from Death, and Hell, or the grave, and the sea, but none from heaven, for the plain reason that none had yet

ascended there, but Christ, the first fruits. Acts 2: 31.—"For David is not yet ascended into the heavens."

Matt. 24: 31 .- And he shall send his angels with a trumpet, and a great voice, and they shall gather together his elect from the four winds, from one end of heaven to the other."

Ez. 37: 9. "Come from the four winds, O breath, and breathe upon these slain, that they may live. . . . And the breath came into them and they lived."

At the creation of man, his breath is represented as being breathed into him by God ; at the resurrection his breath is summoned from the four winds. And as this breath is equivalent to the spirit of the breath of lives, the reanimating spirit of the re-enlivened dust was necessarily unconscious till it stimulated into activity the bodily functions of thought, motion, and feeling.

Ez. 37: 12. I will open your graves, and cause you to come up out of your graves, and bring you into the land of Israel.

THE JUDGMENT.

We now proceed to show that there is but one judgment spoken of in the scriptures, and that is the general judgment; which does not take place immediately at death, but after the resurrection. To suppose the contrary, is to suppose that the judgment of many has transpired, before the persons who are to constitute the judge, and the associate judges, have formed the court, or even had an existence.

John 5: 27. The Father bath given to the Son authority to execute judgment also because he is the Son of man.

Mat. 19: 28. And ye shall set upon twelve thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel.

I Cor. 6: 2. Do you not know that the saints shall judge the world ?

2 Tim 4: 1. Jesus Christ shall judge the quick and dead at his appearing and his kingdom.

These texts show most conclusively that no sentence has yet been passed. Man had forfeited his life by sin, and how could his life be justly restored till the purchase price (the sacrifice of Christ) was paid? Yet the common belief would place David and the patriarchs in heaven. But Peter says, Acts 2: 31, "David is not ascended into the heavens." And Paul, Heb., 11: 13, says, "These all died in faith, not having received the promises, but having seen them AFAR OFF."

The terms used to represent the judgment are in the singular: as that day-the day-the great day -the judgment-the coming of Christ, his second coming, and such like; which terms would lose all their force, if there were an isolated, individual judgment, anticipating that day.

The term. "general judgment" is not found in the scripture, but has been brought into requisition to sustain the common belief.

"The great day" is represented in the scripture as all important; but if there were an individual judgment taking place immediately after death, it would be the first realization of the final award, and would be the awful crisis deciding the eternal destiny of all. This would throw the second judgment into the shade; leaving for it nothing more than to confirm what had already been done; to publish to the universe what was already known, and to reward and punish the body as well as the soul.

According to the prevalent belief, the first judgment must be the most important; and Paul who knew the terrors of the Lord, would have used arguments drawn from this first judgment, as a persuasive to repentance and a motive to faithfulness; yet he has not done this, but has used "the judgment of the Great Day."

Again, the general judgment is represented as overtaking the wicked unawares, "as a thief in the night," and they seem astonished at the results. "When saw we thee sick, &c., and did not minister unto thee." "They will call upon the rocks and the mountains to fall on them to hide them from the judge." But if they had already been suffering, some for a thousand years, they must have been perfectly aware of the future result.

We are to show that there is but one judgment, and that judgment is after the resurrection of the dead.

Heb. 9: 11. "For it is appointed unto men once to die, and after that the judgment."

2 Tim. 4: 1. Jesus Christ shall judge the quick and the dead at his appearing and his kingdom. 2 Thess. 1: 6-8. It is a righteous thing with God

to recompense to you who are troubled, rest with us, when the Lord Jesus shall be revealed from heaven with his mighty angels, in flaming fire taking vengeance on them that know not God, and obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ, who shall be punished with everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord, and from the glory of his power; when he shall come to be glorified in his saints —in that day.

2 Thess, 2: 2. I beseech you brethren, by the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, and by our gathering together unto hun, that ye be not soon shaken in mind.

Luke 14: 14. Invite the poor, "for they cannot recompense thee; for thou shalt be recompensed at the resurrection of the just."

2 Tim. 4: 8. Henceforth there is laid up for me a crown of righteousness, which the Lord the righteous Judge shall give me AT THAT DAY; and not to me only, but unto all them also that love his APPEAR-

Mat. 19: 27, 28. Behold we have forsaken all, and followed thee; what shall we have therefore? Answer. Honor at the judgment. Mark the term "regeneration" in the answer, which implies, to make the subject of it over again, to renew-then the old man was destroyed, body and soul, and had an existence only in Christ, in the same manner as the Jews had an existence in the loins of Abraham. to be re-born by a resurrection from the dust. The terms used in these texts utterly preclude the possibility of a judgment passed on the soul prior to the great day.

We next show that the separation of the righteous and the wicked does not take place till the judgment.

Mat. 25; 32. "Before him shall be gathered all nations; and he (Christ) shall separate them one from another, as a shepherd divideth his sheep from the goals."

Mat. 15: 30. " Let the tares and the wheat grow together unto the harvest; in the time of harvest. I will say to the reapers, gather ye together first the tares, and bind them in bundles to burn them; but gather the wheat into my barn."

This like the former is fatal to the common belief. The prevalent belief supposes that the spirits of

the saints who died before Christ, received the greater part of their reward, eternal life and joy in heaven, before the purchase was completed by the sufferings and death of Christ. And if God could have consistently rewarded these before the atonement was made, he might have dispensed with the atonement altogether: a conclusion which no judicious person will presume to draw. Besides, Christ was the first fruits; the common theory says, those who died before Christ rose, have arisen to heaven, and were there in their essential parts, and consequently, were the first fruits. Which will you believe. the common theory, or Paul ?

1 Cor. 15: 22. "As in Adam all die, even so in Christ SHALL ALL BE made alive." Shall all ; future tense.

The common theory represents these same persons as already judged by Christ, because he is the Son of Man, before he became the Son of Man; which is manifestly impossible.

The common theory maintains that the principal constituents of man's nature, nearly all that truly make him a man-his spirit, soul, mind, and emo-

which caused death-have cluded the penalty of death, and rejoice in conscious life. This is reflecting severely upon the truth and justice of God.

A man has but one identity, consisting of that incomprehensible principle we call LIFE conjoined to a material organization. Identity consists in the union, death in the separation of these parts. That man is a unit, while the common theory supposes that he is two or more. And that these two, or more independent existences, conspiring together to violate the law of God, one only, the body, suffers the whole penalty, while, in the righteous, the other one, or more, escape.

The image of God is impressed on spirit, soul, and body: and though I believe this image is impressed on our moral and our intellectual nature, in knowledge, yet it is more fully impressed on our animal nature, the emotions; true holiness, which is perfect love, has its seat in the heart, the centre of the living blood. Therefore the scriptures, with philosophical accuracy, say, "The LOVE of God is shed abroad in the HEART by the Holy Ghost given unto us." Can we avoid the conclusion, that if that part of our nature, which more emphatically bears the impress of the image of God. suffers corruption, and becomes unconscious, that the less honoured part of our natures will become unconscious too?

From all the foregoing we conclude, that though we cannot comprehend the nature of spirit, but, as the word translated spirit, both in the Hebrew and in the Greek, means wind or breath, which are both material, that the word of God and sound philosophy, favor in man a material origin ; that the term spirit, when applied to God; as, "God is a spirit?" to angels, as, "who maketh his angels spirits, and his ministers a flame of fire ;" to man, as, "who knoweth the spirit of man that goeth up-ward;" to beasts, as, "who knoweth the spirit of the beast that goeth downward;" has a different meaning, which may hereafter be shown. And that the spirit of man, if it have an abstract, or separate existence, is not susceptible of the emotions of love, or hatred, pleasure or pain, because the material organization upon which these emotions are produced, is deranged. And it obviously has no consciousness.

As the fingers of a man's hand playing upon the keys of an organ produces music, and the music cannot be produced by the fingers without the organ; so the spirit, if it have a separate existence, has no separate conscious existence, and cannot produce ideas, action, or emotion, without the bodily organization on which these emotions depend. It is absurd to say that the spirit lives when separated from the living person. "The spirit of man that goeth upward;" and, "Into thy hands I commend my spirit;" seem to mean, that God will preserve it till the day of retribution. Their lives are hid with Christ in God. To assert that the soul has conscious being, while the body is dissolved in the grave, is directly contrary to that express declaration of the word of God, that says, "The soul that sinneth, IT shall die."

That our soul or life, or both, which the translators of our bible have used interchangeably, considering them as synonymous terms, or nearly so, and all that give character to the man, making him good or bad, and upon which our identity depend, exist in, and animate the blood; and we say, a good tions-producing consciousness, knowledge, love, heart, a bad heart, because the heart is the fountain and joy, or grief and pair, the chief culprits in sin of the blood, "and the blood is the life." And that heart, a bad heart, because the heart is the fountain

these principles of our nature, life, soul, and emotions, suffer the extreme penalty of the law, which is death, the entire extinction of consciousness. But as our lives have been redeemed by Christ, there will be a resurrection of the dead, both of the just and the unjust, and every man will then, and not before, receive his reward according to his works.

From these numerous arguments we confidently draw the following conclusions:

1. That the doctrine of the natural immortality of the soul is a sublime absurdity, and is as repugnant to the scripture as to enlightened reason.

2. That the doctrine of the conscious happiness or misery of the separated soul, between death and the resurrection, or the poetical "spirit-land," with all its etherial bodies of light and glory, is a cunningly devised fable, derived from pagan sources, and slightly modified by the Mother of Harlots, and too generally prevalent, because all nations have drank too deeply of the wine of the wrath of her fornication.

3. That immortality can only be obtained as a gift from God through Jesus Christ.

4. That the dead saints will not put on immortality till the Son of God comes in the clouds of heaven to raise the dead, and to change their corrupted bodies into incorruptible or spirit-bodies.

5. That the doctrines of the natural immortality of the soul, and of the intermediate state of consciousness has been fostered by a false and exploded system of philosophy; and encouraged by the pride of the human heart, which arrogates to itself independence of its Maker, immortality of existence, and power to elude the just sentence of the violated law; that they are calculated to rob God, "who only hath immortality," of part of his honor, and part of his truth, and part of his justice, and that the promulgation of them is subversive of the scriptures, and promotive of infidelity. And that as they could not stand the test of a thorough examination; but being smitten by the sword of the Spirit, which is the Word of God, and exposed to the light of the . sun of truth, they must soon vanish away,

> "And like the baseless fabric of a vision, Leave not a wreck behind."

6. That the doctrine of life and immortality, only in Jesus Christ, by a resurrection from the dead, is firmly established, and must exist long as eternal ages roll, and ye cannot overthrow it, lest haply ye be found equal to fight against God.

However humiliating it may be to the pride of men to be shorn of their immortality; yet God called "their name," the name of the race "Adam," red earth, while in a state of innocence; but man soon earned for himself another name, "Enosh," a wretched mortal, which has continued to be the appropriate name of the race since. And now ye boasters of the inherent dignity of human nature. say not that you are degraded to beasts, and instead of condemning your earthly origin, let it be known to you that the most insignificant insect that flutters in the summer's sun, or the vilest reptile that crawls in the slime of the earth, better fulfils the design of God in its creation, and consequently holds a more dignified station in the scale of being, than that man, who, despising the plan of God for his true moral elevation, chooses to degrade himself by grovelling in the dust of sensuality and ignominy, and will not come unto Christ that he may live for ever, of a year; some to extend their lives through sev-But to the better disposed we will say, that the true eral seasons, but cache and all at length, together

dignity of man does not consist in the scope of his faculties, in the immateriality, or the inherent im-mortality of his soul and spirit, but in the *purity* of his moral nature, and that though he shall surely die, body, soul, and spirit, yet we are commanded to "comfort one another with these words," that though thousands of years may intervene, during which time he shall sleep in Jesus, be utterly unconscious, yet this period will appear but a moment, and his flesh may rest in hope that "the dead shall rise again."

Therefore commit the keeping of your souls unto Him as a faithful Creator, who shall change these vile bodies, that they may be fashioned like unto his own glorious body.

Yet notwithstanding man's earthly origin, and that he is made for a little while, a little lower than the angels, when the righteous rise from the dead, they shall be crowned with glory, honor, and immortality, at God's right hand, and shall occupy the most exalted station of any creature in God's intelligent universe.

Therefore, my beloved fellow-mortals, be entreated to come unto Christ that you may live for ever, and "by patient continuance in well doing, SREK FOR glory, honor, and *immortality*;" and "when Christ, who is our LIFE. shall appear, THEN shall ye also appear with him in glory."

"Objections" will next be considered.

LIFE IN CHRIST.

BY EDWARD WHITE, ENGLAND.

We have made several attempts to proceed with our extracts from this work, but have been defeated by the scantiness of our columns. In this number, however, we have resumed our effort, and hope not to be obliged to discontinue it. We shall give large extracts, but do not endorse every sentiment contained in them; and we judge Br. White will have occasion, hereafter, to think differently on some points. But the grand leading truth is most nobly and forcibly expressed and illustrated, viz: "Immortality is the peculiar privilege of the Regenerate": or, "Life in Christ," alone, for Adam's race. The following extract is a continnation of one terminating in the Examiner, page 84, Vol.V.

We now pass on to consider the TRIAL OF ADAM IN THE GARDEN OF EDEN.

Few persons are to be found in the present enlightened age, so uninstructed, as to suppose that the sin of Adam was the procuring cause of death to the animal population of the world. The most cursory and superficial glance at the constitution of nature suffices to convince the observant Christian, that this globe, so far at least as the inferior races are concerned, was originally created a mortal sys-tem. Grass was not "brought forth" to grow, nor flowers and trees to bloom in undying verdure through eternity: but the green herb was intended to wither, and the leaves to fade and "fall away." Thus, likewise, were insects made; some to flutter for a few hours in the breeze and sunshine; some to prolong their existence through the circle of a year; some to extend their lives through sevwith every rank of creatures above them, to return [unto the dust from whence they were taken. Besides, all nature constitutes one vast system of prey; birds, beasts, fishes, and insects, alike finding their appropriate food, to an incalculable extent, in subordinate races; and evincing, from their internal construction and organization, that this was the original intention of God. But a system of prey involves and implics a system of universal mortality; and, be it remembered, this system was framed before the creation of man.

Geology also confirms us in the belief, that such has been the character of this world's population through innumerable ages preceding the estab-lishment of its present condition; the remains of countless animals, both terrestrial and marine, lying imbedded in the ancient rocks, in the "earhest part of the dust of the earth;" and even, in some instances, actually forming their substances through accumulation at the bottom of the former seas.

By these considerations, therefore, we are firmly convinced, that when Adam was placed upon the earth by his Creator, he was introduced into the midst of an already mortal and perishable system. "The things seen were temporal." Vicissitude, suc-cession, generation, and decay, were the grand laws of the world, notwithstanding its order and loveliness, when it emerged for the last time from the bosom of darkness, as the habitation of man. Death reigned, although without its terrors, over the whole sublunary creation. Since, then, Adam was formed from the dust of a mortal world, with an animal frame-work at least remarkably analogous in its structure to those of the animated orders around him, and with a mind not altogether devoid of resemblance to beings with "less understanding," which races themselves displayed a gradation of intelligence; if any presumption may be expressed before proceeding to examine the record, it certainly is not in favor of Man's essential immortality; for on that supposition, he would have been the only exception to the universal rule of mortality; himself, at the same time, not possessing a nature which obviously raised him at once beyond and above the suspicion of possibly sharing the destiny of the world whose air he breathed, however the distinguishing glory of reason and religion might prove and illustrate his supremacy over it.

But what says the record ? "And the Lord God formed man of the dust of the earth, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life, and man became a living soul. And the Lord God planted a garden eastward in Eden; and there he put the man whom he had formed. And out of the ground made the Lord God to grow every tree that is pleasant to the night and good for food; the tree of life also in the midst of the garden, and the tree of the knowledge of good and evil. And the Lord God took the man and put him into the garden of Eden to dress it, and to keep it. And the Lord God com-manded the man, saying, Of every tree in the garden thou mayest freely eat; but of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil thou shalt not eat of it ; for in the day thou eatest thereof, thou shalt surely die."-Gen. ii.

The first observation suggested by the words of the sacred historian, is, that Adam was not formed within the precincts of Paradise; but was created in the territory without, where no tree of life was

garden of Eden, to dress it and to keep it. The circumstance of the formation of Adam in a region where nothing appeared to symbolize the possibility of rising above the level of the universal mortality, seems to point us to the frequently repeated conclusion, that he was not created in any part of his nature an indestructible being.

The tree of knowledge of good and evil, has exercised the curiosity of sacred critics in every age; but the most natural and obvious account of it appears to be, that it was a tree, by touching or refraining from which, our first parents might demonstrate whether they would lead a life of faith in God or not. It would seem to have been conveyed to them that the tasting of this tree would communicate to them, in some occult manner or mysterious sense, that knowledge of good and evil for themselves, which now they were, required to receive upon the authority of God. Simple, therefore, as the elements of the temptation were, all of those great principles were involved in their probation, which have been illustrated in subsequent times in the most momentous trials of their descendants: the claims of Divine Law, the supremacy of the creative will, the obligation to universal obedience as the very essence of piety, and the rule of choice between the inducements of pride, passion or the allurements of falsehood, and the holy commandments of the Supreme.

The tree of life, in the midst of the garden, appears to have been accessible to Adam until the hour of his transgression; for we read that permission was granted to eat of every tree of the garden, with the single exception of the tree of knowledge. The effect of the tree of life seems to have been to repair perpetually the decays of nature, and to prevent the approach of death; so that, since we are given to understand the result of man's "putting forth his hand and taking of it," after his fall, would have been his "living for ever," wo may undoubtedly conclude that Divine Goodness had destined for Adam, had he obeyed the Creator, the magnificent inheritance of IMMORTALITY.

It is scarcely necessary to discuss the disputed questions, wherefore the gift of eternal life was communicated through so extraordinary a medium as a tree in a mortal world; and whether, after a pe-riod of probation, the great ancestor of mankind would have been made "equal to the angels," and translated to heaven ? It is of more importance to seize the leading ideas concerning the nature and the result of his moral probation.

We venture to suppose, then, that from the account furnished by Moses, a plain reader would naturally understand that Adam was not created under a definite sentence of death, as was the case with the remainder of the creation around him, since the boundless prospect of eternal life was open to him on condition of obedience during his trial. In other words, we should rest in the conviction, that the first man was not created absolutely immortal, but was placed on probation, in order to become so. Viewed as he was in himself, we behold a noble being,-the special "offspring" of the Divinity .- endowed with capacities for ruling over and subjugating the surrounding world, and for holding communion with God; but as to his origin; his "foundation was in the dust," and the image of the Creator was wonderfully impressed upon a na-ture but one remove above the "beasts which perfound; and that after wards de was placed in Ithe bish. Bh. His upright form and "human face divine,"

gave token of the presence of a spirit formed for intercourse with Heaven; yet his fect rested on the same vile earth which gave support to all the "creeping things" which it brought forth, and, like the inferior subjects of his dominion, "his breath was in his nostrils."

Thus was Adam placed in Paradise; midway between the angels and the animals, on trial for everlasting life; midway between mortality and immortality; midway between an existence which was as a shadow that declineth, a vapor that vanisheth away; and one, of which it should be beyond the powers even of angelic arithmetic to calculate or describe the duration. With what unutterable interest must the spectators in heaven have awaited the issue ; but how far more important was it to ourselves! When we attempt to conceive of the heights and depths of blessedness which are necessarily associated with the very idea of a life prolonged to eternity in the image of God, of those "rivers of pleasure which are at his right hand for evermore," of that "far more exceeding and eternal weight of glory" which would have been the reward of obedience; and contrast all this with the sudden dishonors of death and corruption, in the "blackness of darkness for ever," with the awful alternative of everlasting destruction, like the brute or the worm, and the endless contempt of the intelligent universe at the remembrance of a name handed down for a "curse and a hissing," what mind can adequately comprehend the fearful and profound significance of the trial of Adam in the garden of Eden? But when, to such reflections on his individual destiny, we add the overwhelming consideration, that in his hand were placed, as it were, countless millions of similar immortalities, which he might gain or lose for his descendants, language shrinks from the endeavor to give utterance to that sense of INFINITE LOSS which is involved in the conception of his failure. To lose immortality for himself, was an event calamitous enough to bewilder the intelligent creation; but to lose it for a world, was an issue so tremendous as to move even the most Righteous Judge to the mysterious condescension of sacrificing his Son for its prevention.

These reflections, however, are founded upon the assumption of that which must be first more parti-cularly investigated, the literal interpretation of the threatening held out to Adam on his admission into Paradise-" In the day thou eatest thereof, thou shalt surely die."

A person who had not previously formed any acquaintance with the commentaries of modern divines would, in all probability, be astonished to understand that the threatening of death was explained, by the New Testament revelation, to sig-nify something different from a literal loss of life, something less than a dissolution and utter destruction of Adams nature as a man. It can scarcely be thought very likely that the terms of the menace would suggest, under all the circumstances, to an ordinary reader of those " common people" for whom the Bible was written, any other idea than that which has been assumed as the true one.-that the offender should endure the penalty of capital punishment, and forfeit the prospect of immortal life for his sin, in the duy when he ate of the forbidden tree. There seems to be nothing in the language employed which could have conveyed to an ordinary reader, one would think noy other conception that the mendous sance tion to have yoder which Adam in that the nunishment for the transgression was imme - Paradise commenced his probation.

diate destruction. There is no intimation of a prolonged existence to be afterwards permitted, cither in time or eternity; for the threatening is brief, direct, decisive; "In the day that thou eatest thercof, thou shalt surely die." Since Adam was not yet immortal, the signification could not be, as is sometimes supposed, that in the day of his sin he should "become mortal," (for that which is not yet immortal, incapable of death, must be mortal already,) and, therefore, nothing remains except to receive the terms in their grammatical sense, "In the day, the very day, of thy transgression, thou shalt be destroyed from the presence of the Lord."

It will probably be admitted, that the sense in which the first man would have understood the threatening of death was the true one; for it would be difficult to reconcile it either with the justice or the mercy of the Almighty, if He were imagined to deliver the sanctions and menaces of His holy law to a newly-created and most feeble being, in enigmas, which were beyond the grasp of his faculties, and whose real meaning surpassed in horror the apprehension of every intellect but the Omniscient. Now it would appear, so far as we are capable of forming a judgment in this case, that unless Adam were inspired with the knowledge of a different signification, he could affix no other interpretation to the word "death," than that to which he was accustomed, when he employed it in relation to the mortal system around him. Life and death must have been opposites to him, as to us: and surely, in the infancy of language, and the awful crisis of a world, we can scarcely imagine that words would be employed in a curious metaphorical sense, altogether opposed to their plain, primary signification. With whatever facility, therefore, the readers of modern times can dismiss the clear notion of death in the employment of the term, and substitute that of misery ever-during, to the exclusion of the idea of destruction, we cannot impute the same extraordinary process of thought to the protoplast, but must conclude that, beyond all reasonable contradiction, he would have understood the threatening to convey the terrific conception of a dissolution of his nature for ever.

And when we add to this, the reflection, that in all probability Adam had no distinct idea of his soul, as capable of a separate existence, apart from his body, but conceived of it as his life, using the same term to express the two different conceptions of breath and spirit, we shall find a still greater difficulty in supposing that he could have been metaphysical enough to conclude that death signified death for his body, and everlasting life in misery for that "understanding which was in his inward parts." But if Adam could not have understood the threatening thus, without some special revelation to enable him to do so, and if that revelation does not appear in the record, it assuredly follows that we have no right to make a gratuitous supposition of its existence, but must interpret the words so as to avoid the otherwise inevitable impeachment of the preventive justice of the Supreme. For if the Chinese government. in a land of but semi-civilization, considers itself obliged to read to the people, periodically, the criminal code, in order that they may know exactly what to expect as its punishments, it would ill become us to impute to the Highest Tribunal in the universe a concealment of the true meaning of that

Yet, notwithstanding the existence of these arguments, and of abundant controversy in their favour, this threatening is metaphorically understood by the churches in modern times. It is alleged by eminent divines, whose names must ever be mentioned with the highest respect, that whether Adam understood the meaning or not, the menace of death conveyed the compound idea of literal dissolution for his body, called temporal death, and of everlasting existence in misery for his disembodied soul. This latter portion of the curse is denominated spiritual death, and is conceived to combine the triple notion of oternal life, moral degradation, and consequent miserv in alienation from the Father of spirits. It was supposed to follow necessarily, as a consequence from the immortality of the soul, which was itself an appointment of God; and in respect to the term "death," by which it was denoted, it is further alleged, that it was "the most eligible to express such an idea in the whole extent of language." Bγ these interpreters the expression, "In the day thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die," is taken to signify, not death in the day of transgression, but only a liability to death of the body at some future time; so that the life of Adam being prolonged, and a race in his own image springing from him, that race is born "by nature children of wrath," which of course, on this theory, may be received as conveying the idea, that they are born liable not only to death of the body, but also to everlasting misery of the soul, or death "in all its senses."

(To be Continued.)

BIBLE EXAMINER. PHILADELPHIA, MARCH, 1851.

BIBLE EXAMINER. " Second Edition," for February .- By special request, we published some thousands extra of the February Examiner. If any wish to scatter them, they can be had without charge; but we solicit donations, from any who are able, to help meet the expense. All communications for these must be made without expense to us.

" ALL SOUL."-What is "all soul?" S. B. Loomis, a correspondent of the True Wesleyan, of February 15th, in commending books published by that denomination says :-

"And there is Lee on the Soul. The book itself is all soul; a dead shot on the new, vamped infidelity of this age of new things."

There are a few things in this short sentence we will just notice, inasmuch as the Wesleyan says "HEAR BROTHER LOOMIS." We do "hear him :" and first, his character of the book-"It is ALL SOUL." Of course, in this case, the Wesleyan is good authority in defining "soul." Its definition of it is-"That it is a simple essence, immaterial, uncompounded, and indivisible." "Lee on the Soul," then, is first, "immaterial :" not matter ! Very well, we will not quarrel about that; it may be so. What next? Why, it is "uncompounded:" not put together; it always existed just as it is! Well, that may be so. But the the book is improperly named, take the kingdom, 200 Vorse 22 it is added-

for that which is uncompounded had no beginning -it is not a production of any being. No finite being can produce an uncompounded thing, whatever the Infinite might do. "Uncompounded!" that is, it is nothing. Very well, perhaps " Bro. Loomis" is right. But "the soul is indivisible:" that is-it cannot be separated into parts. We believe, however, that "Lee on the Soul" is divided into several parts, or chapters. If not, "Anthropos" has dissected it, and found it susceptible of being divided. If any doubt this, let them procure "The Unity of Man-A Reply to Luther Lee, by Anthropos," published at our office; price 15 cents. If that does does not convince them that "Lee on the Soul" is not "all soul," it will at least satisfy them that Lee's definition of soul is not correct.

Second .--- "The book is" not only "all soul," but, says "Bro. Loomis," it is "a dead shot on the new, yamped infidelity," &c. Now, as "the book" is an attempt to show that all men are immortal, without any regard to the fact of their being in Christ, in the opinion of "Bro. Loomis" to teach and preach Christ as our life, in whom alone we have immortality, and out of whom all will perish, is "infidelity." He must have strange notions of infidelity. He has probably mistaken the serpent's preaching for the gospel. We affirm, in contradicto the "old serpent," and all his admirers, "tho soul that sinneth, IT shall die."

This truth forms the sum total of what "Bro. Loomis" is pleased to call "new, vamped infidelity." In other words-a dissent from the old serpent is infidelity, in his opinion. Well, "Lee on the Soul is a dead shot on" such "infidelity." It happens, however, that men differ in opinion, and not a few think the "dead shot" killed by rebounding. Be that as it may, we know that what " Bro. Loomis" is pleased to call " new, vamped infidelity" does not show any signs of being "dead," or dying; no, nor of ever having received a wound. So far from it, it never has been in a more lively and flourishing condition than at present; and is likely to live and grow while the truth of God remains, that the sinner "shall surely die."

QUESTION .- Brother Storrs-I see that you and Brother Marsh, as well as many others, quote Daniel 7th, 27, as though the "people of the saints, were the saints." Are the children of Silas Cook, Silas Cook? or, in other words-do they not include the same as Romaus 4th chap., 4th and 5th verses ? SILAS COOK.

"The children of Silas Cook" are not "Silas Cook." Yet, the connection in Daniel seventh indicates, we think, that it is "the saints," themselves, to whom "the kingdom" is given. In verse 18, it is said-" The Saints of the Most High shall "The time came that the saints possessed the kingdom." Then at the 27th verse, as it reads in the Septuagint, it is said—"And the kingdom, and the power, and the greatness of the kings that are under the whole heaven were given to the saints of the Most High," &c. This language corresponds with the 18th and 22nd verses, and seems to be the true sense of the passage. If Bro. Cook thinks otherwise he has a right to do so.

ASLEEP IN JESUS .- Just as our last paper went to press, we were called to the sad office of closing the eyes of one of our beloved brethren, in this city -Henry Reiter. His death was sudden; being in usual health till the last twenty-four hours prior to his decease. We knew nothing of his sickness till called to his house, about one hour before he breathed his last. We stood by and closed his eyes with a melancholy feeling, but in the lively recollection that Jesus has said-"I am the resurrection and the life." His sickness was short, and painful in the extreme; but he expressed himself resigned, and that he had been living for such an hour. He has left a deeply afflicted widow, and a babe. We, as a church, deeply feel his loss. Always in his place, and a leading singer, we cannot but observe his vacant seat. But we bow in submission to the will of God, and wait for that day when our "brother shall rise again." He fell asleep in the full belief of the resurrection at the last day, and that till then he would sleep. Nor is there any other "gloom" in the idea of unconsciousness in death than what God has been pleased should hang about the penalty of the first transgression. Our Creator and Law-giver never designed that death should be pleasant; were it so, it would fail to be a penalty. But our gracious God has bid believers in Jesus to look beyond death to the resurrection : that is our hope, and to be realized only at the return of our Lord from heaven. "Wherefore comfort one another with these words;" not with the vain imagination that our friends have gone to heaven when they die. No; they "sleep in Jesus;" if no resurrection, no hope. But, blessed be God, "If we believe that Jesus died and rose again, even so. also, them that sleep in Jesus will God bring with him " from the dead; for, "the God of peace brought again from the dead our Lord Jesus Christ, that great shepherd of the sheep;" and it is from thence he will bring, in the last day, all the members of Christ's body.

"A HISTORY OF THE SPIRIT RAPPINGS in Cincinnati and other places,"etc.—We have received this pamphlet, on which we had to pay fifteen cents postage; just fifteen "tents" more than "It is "worth?"

And then we had to tax ourself several hours reading to plod through it. The author of the work let out the secret of his superstition in few words, in his "introduction." He says :---

"In the statement that mind, escaped from the body, does communicate with mind yet in the body, there was nothing that conflicted with any theological notions I held, and whenever I witnessed 'manifestations' that I was satisfied were not produced by mortal agencies, I was prepared to believe that they might be 'spiritual manifestations.'"

His "theological notions prepared" him to believe that the Serpent's lies were God's truth; for God has plainly declared "there is no knowledge in she'ol"—the state of the dead: but the Serpent boldly affirms, "Ye shall not surely die"—the "mind escaped from the body," has intelligence, and can "communicate with mind in the body !" We have only room now for two extracts from the work before us. In one communication, recorded page 79, we have the following :—

"The 'manifestations' that had been made, and those which were immediately to follow, with convincing power, were forerunners of that time prophesied in holy writ, as the second coming of Christ. He would be manifested with his holy angels through those eircles of spirits, which shall cause the demonstrations spoken of."

Here is a professed respect for "holy writ," but a blasphemous assumption that Christ is to be manifested "through those circles of spirits" who are making these "demonstrations." That they are " forerunners of that time prophesied in holy writ as the second coming of Christ," we do not doubt ; not, however, because they say so; but because "holy writ" prophecies of a character making "manifestations" with "all power and signs, and lying wonders; and with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish? • • • who "should believe a lie: that they all might be damned who believed not the truth," &c. When Christ actually comes he will destroy this man of sin, now working and making "manifestations;" and with him, all his devotees, for their rejection of the truth of God.

Compare the foregoing extract with the following, found on page 136 of this work. It is among questions to and answers from these spirits "through" whom "the second coming o Christ" is to take place :--

"Question. Do Spirits worship a superior being ? Answer. They all reverence perfection in nature, and acknowledge a superior power, or the great *Positive*, but know nothing about a being that they must both fear and love, as taught by orthodox ereeds of the day."

Here is a plain denial of the "being" of God. Truly "there is no *fear* of God before their eyes," nor "luve" to God either. In the same catalogue of questions and answers is the following :---

: McgangygheGpirittof:/commangbeing ever lost ?

A.—Never. All that once live, always live, and grow better and brighter in the spiritual state."

Here is the "Peace and Safety" ery. And Paul saith—"When they shall say peace and safety, then sudden destruction cometh upon them *** *** and they shall not escape."

We have not time to notice this pamphlet any further now; and the general subject we must let come into our articles on "Mysterious Rappings," which will be continued in the Examiner a while longer, or as long as in our judgment it is necessary to expose this last Satanic "demonstration," and guard our readers against being ensnared in this "mystery of iniquity." Yet we have no safety only by cleaving closely to "the Lord Jehovah, in whom is everlasting strength."

"HERALD OF THE KINCDOM AND AGE TO COME: A Periodical devoted to the Interpretation of the 'Law and Testimony,' and to the Defence of the 'Faith once Delivered to the Saints.' By JOHN THOMAS, M. D., Richmond, Va."—Such is the title-page of a Periodical commenced by Dr. Thomas, since he returned from his long absence to England. Terms, \$2 00 for twelve numbers, containing about the same amount of matter as the Examiner. We presume it will be conducted with ability, though we have not had time thoroughly to examine the first number since its reception.

" Mysterious Rappings."

[Continued from page 10.]

We have long had suspicion that clairvoyance, especially that induced by Pathetism, was the result of connection with "evil spirits." We say evil spirits, because, it does pretend to make developments of spiritual things and of scenes in heaven and elsewhere, which, if real, can be no less than revelation; and as the volume which we, as christians, acknowledge is really a revelation from God winds up with the most awful denunciation on such as "add unto these things" (Rev. 22: 18,) we are satisfied none but "evil spirits" will attempt But clairvoyants and the any such additions. "knocking spirits" are professionally giving higher revelations and more full than the Bible does. Take the following example : it is found in "The Spirit Messenger," page 76; in speaking of "the spirit in its relations to the body," it says:

"When by any natural tendency, or the direct influence of a foreign person, the system of an individual is demagnetized and thrown into an unconscious state; when the subtle fluid which unites the spiritual with the material is so withdrawn that the senses are buried in entire oblivion, and no impression is conversed through them to the organs of the brain, the soul then awakes to an innate con-

sciousness, acts independently of the bodily organization, and lives in a higher world of thought and feeling.²⁷ * * * * "These evidences of the independent action of the soul, have been given to a greater or less extent in all ages; and were the manifestations of this truth in our day and generation, so carefully noted as were the dreams and visions of ancient prophecy, we should soon compile another volume replete with inspiration OF HIGHER IMPORTANCE than is found in pages now deemed so SACRED."

The emphasis on this extract is ours, that the eye of the reader may see at once the spirit of these new developments. It is not merely to supersede the "sacred" Bible, but to give something of "higher importance." That is its aim; and to "exalt itself above all that is called God, or that is worshiped." None but "evil spirits" can do this; and the expression "another volume" is very significant; and shows that Paul was truly inspired of God when he spoke of "another gospel;" and said, "If any man, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that ye have received, let him be ACCURSED."

Mr. Sunderland—in the Spiritual Philosopher, page 58, in his address "To my Spiritual Children," by which, as we have before said, he means those who have come under his influence by Pathetism, or magnetism—makes the acknowledgment and glories in it, that he was aided by invisible agents in the wonderful feats he performed by Pathetism and clairvoyance. After speaking of the benefit he had conferred by the relief or cure of various diseases, he adds:

"Nor is this all, nor, indeed, is it the best, the chief good, you have realized from Pathetism. You are conscious of having had your mind developed into superior degrees of goodness and truth. You are more happy, more contented, more grateful, more hopeful. And, O, how myspirit swelled with emotions of satisfaction, when I was told by a Host of Spirits,' with whom I conversed in Auburn, Aug. 9, 1850, that they had often been present at my lectures in Boston, Philadelphia, New York, Providence, and other places: attracted by the approach of those spirits whom I had pathetised into a state of TRANCE !" * "Those spirits also assured me that they had stood by me, and witnessed the surgical operations I had caused to be performed on my entranced children, in my public lectures on Pathetism! Ycs" • " "they had" • " been present, rejoicing in the assistance they were enabled to afford me, in rendering my patients insensible to pain," &c.

We give this extract to show, what we have long suspected, that some kind of invisible agents were concerned in this matter. Mr. Sunderland thinks these agents are good spirits; we have no doubt they are "evil spirits;" now more fearfully than ever transforming themselves "into angels of light" and as far as possible into angels of love—to doceive men, and thus more easily to captivate, and lead them, to place implicit confidence in their revelations and "mandates." Thus far they have gone, and "prevailed" with our old friend Mr. Sunderland. What they bid him do, he performs! What they prohibit, he avoids! Thus, for example, in the Philosopher, page 89, he says:

"The Spiritual Philosopher was originated in the Spirit World, and is conducted under the direct supervision and advice of 'Hosts of Friends in the first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, and seventh spheres above.' It belongs to the Spirit World, and is the first paper ever published, expressly designed for giving 'Responses' from the 'Higher Spheres of Intelligence.'"

This language is strong-it speaks for itself. Mr. Sunderland is only an agent! The mandates of his employers are to be strictly obeyed; their "DIRECT SUPERVISION" is not an unmeaning thing! His consecration to what he calls "the good and the true" among them-and no others presume to approach him !--- is perfect and entire. No christian could be more entirely consecrated to "God and the Lamb." Henceforth, "in the love" of these spirits he "lives and moves and has his being." See his prayer, which we have recorded. It is true, for a time they may not allow him to think that they are doing anything more than to "advise" him; but that will only tend the more fatally to hide their evil character and designs. It must and it will ultimate-unless he is aroused to a sense of his danger-in his unqualified submission to their mandates. We say not these things in any other spirit than that of kindness and good will to him. We love him still, notwithstanding the awful precipice on the verge of which we see him stand. It is true, we fear he is too far gone for recovery; still we have a lingering hope that his eyes may yet be opened to see "the TRUE Light"--viz; Jesus Christ-which, it is most manifest, he has lost sight of, and beholds no more. O, that God, the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, may, in infinite mercy interpose to call back our old friend, and once a beloved brother in Christ, from the pit to which he is hastening as a bird to a snare. But if he is not arrested, we have hope, and a certainty, that others, who might have been ensnared as he is, will be saved by our effort to unveil this embryotic development of the last and terrible "Anti-Christ"-the REAL "Man of Sin."

(To be continued.

MR. SUNDERLAND'S BELIEF.—The True Wesleyan of Feb. 8th, contained the following item of information :—

"Rev. GEORGE STORRS ON SPIRITUAL KNOCKINGS. —Our old friend, Bro. Storrs, in his Bible Examiner, is reviewing Mr. Sunderland's spiritual knockings. He has made some very just remarks, but in one matter of fact he appears to labor under a mistake. He says of Mr. Sunderland's conversion to the new spiritual theory,

'It will be seen that he was prepared for it by his belief in the natural immortantly theory all conferences

Mr. Sunderland was not a believer in the natural immortality of the soul, or in any other immortality of humanity at the time of his conversion. He did not believe that man possesses a soul. He told us, in our own office, that man has no spiritual element in his composition, and that what we call the mind, is only a function of the brain. When he got converted to a belief in the spiritual knockings he called at our office and told us the result of his visit to the room of the spirits, and we charged home upon him his former denial of the existence of spirits, upon which he confessed that his former declaration was an error, that he was now convinced by the spiritual knockings that man has a soul, which lives after the body is dead."

We give Br. Lee, of the Wesleyan, full credit for accuracy in his statement. We regret, however, that Mr. Sundeland is placed in such a position by it: for it certainly shows that he did not know what he believed. The following words of Mr. S., found in the Examiner for January, page 9, and quoted from Mr. Sunderland's own account of his conversion to "Spirit Knockings," place his views in a different light from his statement to Br. Lee. The words are these:

"My views of the *spirit-world*, since their death," [the death of his two sons, the last of whom died in 1841] "had taught me, indeed, how vastly they must have progressed in that sphere beyond what they could have reached had they remained here."

It was on this testimony, of Mr. S., that we said, in the language quoted from the Examiner by the Wesleyan,—" It will be seen that he [Mr. Sunderland] was prepared for it [conversion to spirit knockings] by his belief in the natural immortality theory."

Our readers can see that our statement was correct if Mr. S.'s public statement was to be depended on. Br. Lee Lee says, his private statement to him was, that he was not a believer in the natural immortality of the soul, or in any other immortality of humanity at the time of his conversion to these "Spiritual Knockings." This certainly places Mr. Sunderland in an unenviable light. Perhaps he can explain. Deeply as he has fallen through the deception of the lying "spirits," we really desire that he may be cleared of the imputation of contradictory statements. We sincerely mourn over Mr. Sunderland's fall and apostacy; and earnestly pray, "if it be possible," that he may yet be brought to see that he is "crucifying the Son of God afresh," and bringing upon himself "swift destruction."

. If Mr. S. did deny the immortality of the soul, as Br. Lee says, he did not do so because he learned it from the scriptures, as he should and might have dono. Had he *thus* learned it, his "conversion to the new spiritual theory" would have been impossible from spirit *knockers*. When our faith stands in the wisdom and truth of God, it is not shaken by lying spirits who "go about seeking whom they may devout." GAWHING WITH SP's belief was on immortality, prior to his turning after these familiar | spirits, it was not a faith founded on the Bible, but on Pathetism : hence he was so easy a victim.

"No immortality-no future life, except by the resurrection-and no endless life out of Christ"-and a firm persuasion of these truths as the teaching of the Bible-is a strong tower against all the assaults of Satan, with his legions of lying spirits, whether they speak, mutter, or knock.

DIALOGUES ON FUTURE PUNISHMENT. BY REV. WM. GLEN MONCRIEFF.

These Dialogues we republished some months since. They are Seven in number, making a Pamphlet of 60 pages, 12mo. Price 15 cents, with the usual discount to those who buy by the quantity. We know it is a work of great value, and ought to be placed in every house in the land.

The following is the Second in the Series.

Rufus.-Since I had the happiness of conversing with you, I have been searching the Scriptural proofs that man is immortal irrespective of character, but no explicit statements that such is the fact can be discovered by me. In the remarkable and correct language of Archbishop Whately of Dublin, "It is certain that the words 'life,' 'eternal life,' immortality,' &c., are always applied to the condition of those, and of those only, who shall, at the last day, be approved as good and faithful servants, who are to enter into the joy of their Lord." But do you not think that an argument in favor of man's unconditional immortality can be drawn from the fact that he is said to have been originally created in the image of God! Gen. i. 26. " And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness.

Persis.-None, unless the Bible has explained the phrase "image of God" to mean immortality. 'Tis mere assumption that it designates man as an immortal being; for where, in all the volume, is it so interpreted? There can be no doubt that God is immortal-He "ALONE hath immortality"—and there can be as little that a creature, endowed with immortality, would so far have been made in his image; but what authority has any person to assert that this is the particular feature of resemblance between man and his Creator marked out by the language in question ? Since God is a pure spirit-independent-self-existent-omnipotent-omniscient ---infallible---irresponsible--unchangeable,--another person may select one or all of the other features in the divine nature, and maintain that man was produced with one or all of them, because he is declared to have been at first formed in the " image of God." Now, why select immortality in preference to any of the rest?

But, again, it is worthy of observation. that even if we were to admit "the image of God," in which man was created, to be immortality, a question arises, did he not lose this image through rebellion ? does he not now need to be created afresh in the image of his Maker? Speaking of the Colossian Christians. Paul affirms they had "Put on the new man, which is renewed in knowledge after the image of Him that created him."-Col. iii. 10. If sired to make one wise, she took of the fruit thereof, by man's creation in Give image of God? we are 1 and did eat, and gave also unto her husband with

to understand his being made immortal, from the text we learn that the Colossians had, through faith in Jesus, re-acquired immortality, which had been forfeited by our first parents in Eden; so that to explain the words "image of God" to represent man as an immortal creature, is just to grant that immortality is conditional, not natural, to our race. *Rufus.*—What do you think the most natural

meaning of the phrase "image of God ?"

Persis .-- I would suggest that the proper answer is holiness; of course involving the creation and possession of the faculties necessary to a rational, accountable agent. Such, as far as I am able to determine, is the sense in which the Almighty used the words at first, " Let us make man in our image." Of course, it is not necessary to create faculties to men now, since they already are endowed with them-they only need to have them repaired and re-balanced; in other words, men need to be restored to holiness through the faith of the gospel. This is the image of God, according to Paul, in the verse quoted from his epistle to the Colossians, "Put on the new man which is renewed by knowledge after the image of him that created him;" to which we may add his words to the Ephesians, chap. iv. 24, "Put on the new man, which after God is created in righteousness and true holiness." To sum up the argument, 'tis plain that if the expression "image of God?' originally intimated that man was immortal, the Holy Spirit, in the passage just quoted, assures us that immortality must be reobtained by us, or else the phrase itself does not prove men to have been created immortal.

Rufus.-In reading the beginning of Genesis, when reflecting on the passage you have just explained, I had my attention called to another verse. "The Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul."-Gen. ii. 7. May I ask you if any dependence could be placed on this declaration, in support of this popular doctrine of man's absolute immortality?

Persis .- No. Rufus, for the all-important word, "immortal," is not found in the verse. Had it read, "man became a living (*immortal*) soul," the ques-tion would not have admitted debate. Should any one lay down the principle that whatever anything becomes, it eternally remains so, I doubt not he might reason logically from this verse in favor of man's unconditional immortality; but I may leave you to judge if his reasoning would be solid and true.

Rufus -- Forbid that I should build my hope of immortality on such a foundation !

Persis .- While we are lingering a while at the commencement of the revelation, will you go with me and contemplate for a few moments longer the extraordinary arrangements and events of Eden?

Rufus .-- With pleasure, I shall attend you in all your movements.

Persis .- Listen, then, to these words :- " And the Lord God commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat : But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die."-Gen. ii. 16, 17. "And when the woman saw that the tree (of the knowledge of good and evil) was good for food, and that it was pleasant to the eye, and a tree to be deher, and he did eat."-Gen. iii. 6. "And the Lord God said, behold, the man is become as one of us, to know good and evil: and now, lest he put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life, and cat, and LIVE FOR EVER: Therefore the Lord God sent him forth from the garden of Eden, to till the ground from whence he was taken. So he drove out the man: and he placed at the east of the garden of Eden, Cherubims, and a flaming sword, which turned every way, to keep the way of the tree of life."-Gen. iii. 22, 23, 24.

A much longer examination than we can at present make of these verses, and of the whole record about the fall, would be necessary to clear up some points which are generally obscured; still a few observations of a plain character may not be altogether useless. And first, then, my Rufus will observe what man in Eden was a candidate for-It was IMMORTALITY ; and I found this on the reason given by Jehovah, why man, after sinning, was debarred from the tree of life,-lest he should eat of it and LIVE FOR EVER. He was not immortal by creation, therefore. On no account would I assert that the living for ever of his soul was connected with the cating of the tree of life, though the fruit of it was likely intended to exert a greater preserving influence on his animal frame than is commonly supposed. "That man," says Archbishop Whately, "was originally created of an immortal nature, and that our first parents would have been exempt from death, but for a change introduced into their nature at the fall, is by some persons taken for granted very hastily. The Scripture account, in Genesis, rather implies the contrary : namely, that they were to be preserved from death, by the continual use of a certain medicine (as it may be called) appointed for that purpose,-the fruit of the tree of life : for we are told that man was driven out of Eden, flest he put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life, and eat, and live for ever." But while it appears to have been designed to preserve his body, it seems also to have been a kind of pledge of that immortality which God held out to him as the splendid reward of continued holiness. To my mind, the following words of Richard Watson are, upon the whole, well based. "The tree of life was a kind of sacrament. As the promise of immortality was given to Adam, every time he ate of this tree by God's appointment, he expressed his faith in God's promise; and God, as often as he ate of it, sealed the promise of immortality to man. In this view, sin excluded man from the tree of life, as he lost his title to immortality." Second, you will also be led to understand the death threatened against disobedience ; it was the opposite of living for ever, that is, ceasing for ever to exist, total extinction of This is the only natural meaning that the being. This is the only natural meaning that the words "in the day thou eatest thereof, thou shalt surely dic," will bear, and hence it receives from me an unhesitating reception. Unquestionably, the threatening of death was directed against the whole man, and not a part of him merely : as a being he was to die-to become extinct-just as he saw the inferior animals around him expire in death: and there is no reason to believe otherwise, than that all his ideas of dying were drawn from these spectacles occurring in the region where he was placed. "When Adam," says Jortin, "was told that if he offended he should die, he could not then understand by death a future punishment after death; but rather an annihilation of his soul, and a dissolu-

tion of his body, and a returning to the same insensibility from which he had been called into being." To interpret the words "thou shalt surely die," as equivalent to this, ' thy body shall return to the dust whence it was taken, and thy soul shall continue to live in endless agony,' appears to me, on mature reflection, exceedingly arbitrary and indefensible. 'Tis a question forced on my judgment, how could Adam understand continued and intensified existence to be signified by the word death, which expresses total cessation of existence ? If death signifies life, then life may signify death ; in a word, language might, on the same principle, mean anything, everything, or nothing, just as its user or expositor pleases. Tell me, does God speak in riddies to men? Does God choose language fitted only to mislead his creatures ? What ! do we not expect human laws and threatenings to be clearly expresed and easily understood; and how much more reasonable to expect, that those which issue from the throne of infinite intelligence and love, should possess these vital characteristies ?

Rufus.— So that you would always interpret Scripture language literally, unless there is good reason to follow a different course?

Persis.-By all means. Assigning metaphorical and allegorical meanings to the plain terms of revelation has done immense damage to the souls of men and to the cause of God; it is high time such a pernicious system of interpretation were exploded for ever. Mr. Dobney gives the following canon of interpretation, and I believe it is valid and rational. -"That the literal sense is to be preferred in all cases in which it does not involve a contradiction of other parts of Scripture, or an absurdity, or any thing derogatory to the character of the Divine Be-ing." Apply this rule to the words "thou shalt ing." Apply this rule to the words "thou shalt surely die," and the meaning will soon present itself to your mind. ' Thou shalt cease to be,' is obviously its simple and grammatical sense; and there can be no doubt that it was the merciful interposition of the mediatorial dispensation that shielded Adam from the execution of the sentence.

Rufus.—Truly, the idea of the complete extinction of his being is a melancholy thought; but it appears to me a much more natural meaning of the threatening than the one I have been accustomed to put upon it. Moreover, 'tis infinitely more like a Being whose name is "love," than to suppose, had mercy not prevented the infliction of the sentence, that he would have sent his erring child to interminable misery.

Persis.—The words of Theophilus of Antioch are striking, and, as they corroborate with the view involved, I shall read them—" But some one may say, Was not man created mortal? By no means? Immortal? Nor say we this. But my opinion is that he was neither mortal nor immortal by nature; for if he had been from the beginning immortal, he had made him a God. Again, on the other hand, if he had made him mortal, God would have seemed to be the author of his death. Therefore, he made him neither mortal nor immortal, as I said before, but capable of both, that he might advance to immortality, and by keeping the divine commandments receive immortality as a reward and become divine; but if, by disobedience to God he should turn to the works of the flesh, he would become unto himself the author of his own death."—

hilation of his soul, and a dissolu-Rufus.—The nature of man's soul, being spiritcal, Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/ could not militate against the idea of its literal destruction or death?

Persis .- By no means; for though we are entirely ignorant of the essence of spirit, as we are, in fact, also of the essence of matter, it would be far wrong to imagine that God could not put it out of being. Whatever exists in the wide universe, has no claim for continued existence on its Creator beyond his pleasure ; and it is a dictate of sound reason, that he can destroy as easily as he can summon into being. If he cannot destroy a grain of sand or an archangel, he is able to create neither. Had it not pleased him, in adorable compassion, to provide a Saviour for man, the second best thing which God could have done, and he always acts according to the dictates of infinite love, guided by infinite wisdom, was to consign him to the non-existence from which he had sprung. "It is tender mercies are over all his works;" and, I doubt not, that saying will be illustrated by his deeds of judgment, as well as by those actions which are of a more pleasing character.

Rufus.—At this moment it occurs to me there is a verse in the 15th chapter of 1st Corinthians, to which you will permit me to request your attention. It is verse 32.—"If after the manner of men I have fought with beasts at Ephesus, what advantageth it me, if the dead rise not ! Let us eat and drink ; for to-morrow we die."

Persis .- Had I not given this passage a careful examination before now, I should have preferred making it the subject of remark on some future occasion ; but as I am not upprepared to deliver my mind regarding it, you will please to consider a few remarks: "What advantageth it mo," or what reward can I expect for preaching the gospel and suffering in the cause of Jesus, Gif the dead rise not ?" as some of the Corinthian church were maintaining (verse 12); "let us eat and drink," let us indulge in any kind of pleasure we choose, "for tomorrow we die :" that is, as I humbly suggest the words mean-dl is done with us, we cease to exist in the universe of God, we are as if we had never been. This appears to me the natural meaning of the terms. They bring before us in a vivid manner the idea, that in Paul's estimation, no resurrection implied not merely no immortality, but no future existence for any extent or duration. In this verse, the word "die" is parallel with the term "perish," in verse 18.-" They also which have fallen asleep in Christ are perished ;" that is, are now totally extinct. Even Dr. M'Knight, who was a minister of the Scotch Established Church, makes the honest acknowledgment that this exposition is at least as probable as the one which supposes the writer to assert that they had gone down to quenchless misery. He says, in paraphrasing verse 18,-" Certainly, also they who have suffered death for believing the resurrection of Christ, are perished; they have lost their existence here for a known falsehood, and shall either have no existence, or a miserable existence hereafter.

Rufus.—Might not the apostle mean this:—Since no resurrection would necessarily prove that Jesus had not risen, and that therefore there was no hope of salvation for Paul, he might live in sin as he pleased, since his final ruin was thus inevitable? This view, permit me to say, deserves consideration.

Persis.—Perhaps the wisest method is to try the two theories—extinction of being, and endless life in suffering—and see which of them harmonizes best with the words of Paul considering him meters a heres, as that many since the fall, had no natural

as a sincere, wise and upright man. On the supposition that he believed in the future literally everlasting misery of the unsaved, would he have used the expression thus-" Let us eat and drink (sin as we please), for to morrow we die,"-earlier or later we must plunge into ceaseless woe. Considering the fact, with which he had every opportunity of being well acquainted, that there are degrees in the suffering, was it not of consequence for him to refrain from sin, so as, at least, to modify the coming judicial agony ? His known sense and wisdom forbid the supposition that he could approve the maxim which he uttered. Was it consistent with the uprightness and benevolence of his heart, that he should, by uttering it, encourage others to become more guilty, and thereby to augment their own and his coming wretchedness? In his situation, even selfishness itself would have hindered him from following such a course of behaviour, as the one which he asserts it would be most natural to pursue were inevitable and eternal misery approaching. The second theory is, that Paul believed that if there was no resurrection, there would be no future state, and hence he said, "Let us eat and drink, for to-morrow we die,"-we shall in a little cease to exist. If he was certainly to become extinct, 'twas of no consequence how he lived; he knew the doom that was advancing, and in it his conduct could pro-duce no change. This, to my mind, is the only theory which makes his language consistent; and therefore I understand him unequivocally to declare that, had there been no resurrection of the dead, his end, and the end of all human beings, was speedy, total, and everlasting cessation of being.

Rufus.—Then, assuredly, the apostle of the Gentiles did not believe in the natural or unconditional immortality of the human soul?

Persis.—He did not ; and 'tis well, you will readily grant, for us to be of the same mind.

LETTERS.

"POPE GREGORY."—FROM DR. S. B. BARLOW. New York, Jan. 1851.

GEO. STORRS :- Dear Sir,-Itake great delight in comparing ancient and modern opinions, doctrines, and practices, and often find the ancients in possession of truths which the modern popular churches discard almost without exception. I will just refer you to one instance. The modern churches, almost, if not quite universally, discard the doctrine of man's loss of his natural immortality by the first apostacy; whereas the early Catholic church certainty held that doctrine undisputed. Quere. Does the Romish church still hold that doctrine ? and if not, when was it dropped ? The good Pope Gregory, in certain instructions, and in resolving of certain doubts respecting doctrinal matters, addressed to the pious St. Augustine, the first Christian Bishop of the British nation, as early as A. D. 597, expressly affirms the doctrine in question, and I believe I may venture to assert, without fear of contradiction, that very few, if any, more learned, pious, and orthodox men than Gregory have ever ascended to St. Peter's chair. It is not uncommon to hear very pious and learned protestant clergymen speak in very high terms of commendation of Pope Gregory, as a most learned, sound, pious and orthodox Bishop. I wonder what they would say if they knew he held such

immortality? The following are some of his words: 1 "For when our first parents sinned in Paradise, they forfeited the immortality which they had received, by the just judgment of God. Because, therefore, Almighty God would not, for their fault, wholly destroy the human race, he both deprived man of immortality for his sin, and, at the same time, of his great goodness, reserved to him the power of propagating his race after him." See Hede's Ecclesiastical History, Book 1, chap. 27, A. D. 597.

Here the doctrine of man's loss of natural immortality is twice clearly affirmed, in only as many consecutive sentences, and I doubt not but some further search in other much neglected ancient works, may discover other plain assertions of the same doctrine. More perhaps, hereafter. In the meantime, wishing you all success in the setting forth of truth, I am your most obedient.

FROM C. M. RICHMOND,

Bro. Richmond is a Baptist Minister, as some of our readers will recollect, who, more than a year ago, embraced the glorious truth of Immortality and Endless Life only in Christ. He then lived in Western New York, but since removed to Indiana. His letter is cheering. Let the Lord be praised.

Peru, Ind., Jan. 24th, 1851.

BR. STORNS :- The impression is sometimes found to exist, that, to labor successfully for the conversion of men, it is essential to teach the doctrine of the endless misery of the finally impenitent. Against this error I wish to bear decided testimony. During a revival last winter, several men were converted to God, who entertained no such notion as unending torment. And one of them, at least, was driven from universalism, by the truth that man has no immortality out of Christ, and then he found himself prepared to lay hold on eternal life. None of them at the time of their conversion, entertained the doctrine of universal salvation. No immortality out of Christ is, indeed, the sword of the spirit, before which Universalism must fall.

I have had the privilege, recently, of laboring in a precious revival, in which more than thirty were hopefully converted to God. The principal labour devolved on myself and a brother, who, with me, be-lieves the wicked will be "burned up." Of course we taught no endless misery. And the expressions -immortal soul-deathless spirit-the soul that never dies, §c., were scarcely lisped by those who professed the common theory. And yet the work rolled on, and for three weeks, sinners were constantly inquiring what they should do to be saved. Twenty-five have been baptised. I wish to add, that the difference of my views, and those who hold to immortal soulism, was not made prominent, as under the circumstance, it would divert attention from the more important work.

THE WORK PROGRESSES.

The following is from a Baptist Minister, whose name we omit by his request, for the present :--

Jan. 4th, 1851.

DEAR BRO. STORRS .-- I am grateful for the Examiner sent me gratis by some unknown friend. The information it gives, from Europe and our own land, brethren of talents, in my own and other churches, on the life and death question, has interested me who hold Annihilation ps://cogge.org/

much. Two years ago my attention was called to this subject, by your Six Sermons, and J. Foster's noted letter, and I have carefully examined it over since-have become convinced that the Bible and reason teaches the extinction of the wicked, after the judgment. I have not, as yet, decidedly preached my views, and am waiting till I can prepare a tract, giving the Bible on the subject, in a way I have not yet seen done. I take this course. because I see no reason to change any other doctrine which I hold as a Baptist, and design to continue my standing in my denomination, or contend for it to the utmost. If labour is taken with me, it will elicit light, and I wish to be fully prepared to give it.

My plan for a tract is, to give the number of times death, destruction, perish, &c., are used in the Bible, in relation to the final doom of the impenitentquote a few from both testaments to show their meaning, and also quote texts from the Old Testament, to show the meaning of the terms and figures used in the New Testament, which seem to indicate eternal wo to the lost-give the number of texts which thus indicate continuance in wo-the number of times life, instead hoppiness is used, with reference to the final state of the righteous.

For instance, Jer. 7: 20, and several other texts, show that "fire not quenched" proves just the reverse of what is generally drawn from this phrase in the New Testament-and shows the object must become extinct.

I find about 160 places where perish, death, &c., refer to the final doom of sinners; and over 200 where *life* is used as above stated.

I shall have but little time to devote to the subject for a month to come, and only give you an outline of my plan, hoping you can aid me by some suggestions as to such a tract. I should not draw off all the texts in which these terms are used, but may quote to them.

I think also of trying to show the reasonableness and beauty of God's plan, in permitting sin in the universe, as seen in annihilating all finally wretched beings-compared with either the plan of eternal wo and sin, to a part, or the plan of saving all fallen races, or all our race.

Rom. 9: 22-4; Eph. 1: 18; 2: 7; and 3: 10, suggest the subject I speak of.

I cannot be convinced of the sleep of the soul, or materialism, and fear that holding this up so prominently, in connection with the second death question, will greatly retard, if not entirely prevent, the establishment of the latter doctrine. Blotting from the universe, sin and wo, is a subject, compared with which, all the other peculiar subjects discussed by Advent Brethren, dwindle to a speck. Pursue Monericff's plan, if we wish to gain ground fast in this doctrine, is my view. It appears to me Dobney only intimates the sleep of the soul, for the purpose of obtaining stronger proof of his great doctrine; and that proof enough exists without it. The sleep of the soul will appear a gloomy doctrine to the mass of Christians. I can now only thus refer to my views. I can see, as yet, no necessary connection between the two doctrines, but perhaps you and others do. I am open to conviction and divine light-am much rejoiced by the light I have obtained.

I wish White's work, on "Life in Christ," could be reprinted in this country. I find some private If you should refer to this in your Examiner, please omit my name. I shall not want for moral courage to prochaim my views when the proper time arrives, and if I cannot be employed in a Baptist church, then I shall travel and lecture, to do away, as much as possible, the gloom spread over the christian world, and the slander offered to our God, by the doctrine of cternal torment.

FROM P. ALLING.

Norwalk, Ohio, Feb. 6, 1851.

BR. STORRS :---

I fully agree with yon in the importance of the life and death question, especially at this time. It has truly come up at the right time, to save the little remnant of the elect from falling victims to the seducing spirits that are now rapidly spreading over the earth in their last great work of gathering or preparing the kings and inhabitants thereof, for the battle of the great day. It does look to me like God's own appointed means of safety; for let a person be fully established in the Bible truth, upon this subject, and he is at once armed and panoplied against their every attack: (that is, I mean so far as the rapping spirits are concerned.)

I have recently read the third and fourth chapters of Paul's Epistle to Timothy, with renewed interest, and from the light I now enjoy, am constrained to believe that amongst the multitudes (or heaps) of teachers that are "ever learning and never able to come to the knowledge of the truth," may be included the popular ministry, who teach the immortal theory, and sustain the foundation of that fable, together with every grade of spiritualizers and spiritualism, down to the rapping spirits, all of whom withstand the truth relative to the speedy coming and kingdom of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, and kindred doctrines, as boldly as Jannes and Jambres (or the magicians) did Moses-"men of corrupt minds, reprobate concerning the faith." But thanks be to God, they will not be permitted to proceed much further; "for their folly shall be made manifest unto all men as theirs also was."-But O their end, their dreadful end! It makes me shudder to contemplate it. Their flocks of deceived ones, alas! alas! the deception will be discovered too tate; their prayers will be unavailing after the master has risen up and shut the door. We must leave them in the hands of the Lord, who will do right, and make his righteous judgments manifest even in their destruction, to which my entire being responds, Amen!

I must dissent from your couclusions relative to the antichrist, or man of sin (2 Thess. 2,) being a personage, and yet to be developed. It is plain to my mind, that the mystery of iniquity began to work in St. Paul's day—continued to work during the existence of Imperial Rome, and was fully developed in Papal Rome, or when Papaey took her seat in the temple of God, &c.; and will remainthere till destroyed by the brightness of Christ's personal coming.

FALLEN ASLEEP.

Portsmouth, Pa., January 29th, 1851.

Brother Storrs, -Our beloved brother, RUDDLPH FISLER, died on the 25th instant, of pleurisy, aged 57 years. He fell asleep in Jesus with the full as surance of soon being waked out of his sleep by the

descent of his long absent Lord, with a shout, and the voice of the arch-angel and trump of God. His faith was, that immortality was to be sought for by faithful continuance in well-doing, and to be put on at the last trump. He bore his affliction with great patience. He was like a lamb in meckness; spoke of his death with much composure; was quite rational to the last. I delivered a discourse at his burial from Job 14: 14.—"If a man die, shall he live again ?" Yours,

N. BUCKINGHAM.

BOOKS.—For a list of our Books, &c., see the Examiner for January and February. Especially we hope our friends will put forth a new effort to scatter the work of Dobuey on Future Punishment. See notice of it in last Examiner. Some are doing well in orders for the *Abridged Edition*. Let it be scattered by thousands. *Price only 25 cents*.

The following is from an unknown person; but accompanied by substantial evidences of good will, viz: \$2 inside the letter, and a gold dollar under the seal so closely hidden that it was purely by accident we discovered it, and not for two weeks after the letter was received. Not the less acceptable on that account. The donor will accept our thanks.—EDITOR.

> For the Bible Examiner. BIBLE TRUTH.

Disburden'd of a theory false and vain-Another atmosphere we seem to breath, Nor is it fancy-but a joyful truth. Immortal-coulism, with all its train, Exchanged for Bible truth, hath passed away, Like a vague dream, when reason is resumed.

Welcome—thrice welcome—cheering dawn of day, Expelling darkness and the midnight gloom, So long, so wide outspread o'er human hearts ! Thy rays unveil the sacred character Of Him whose nature and whose name is Lovg ! Not a vindictive, but a gracious God.

Perfect in all his attributes, as seen Held forth to view, in all his works and ways: Infinite goodness, wisdom, power and love, Lasting, Eternal and Unchangeable.

Alas1 that vain philosophy should shroud Divine arrangements in such mystery! Expose to ridicule the sacred chart, Left as our only guide to endless life! Proclaim aloud, ye heralds of the truth, Heaven's fixed purposes and firm decree is endless life, through Christ alone obtained; And all the wicked must forever dic. Fearless, go on, wherever truth shall lead; Expose the fables palm'd on men for truth; Blind leaders of the blind, e'er long may feel,

Reflect, resolve, investigate, and see Unbroken beauties in the sacred chain,

- As link'd together by unerring hands,-
- As make together by unerring hands,--

Renounce their dogmas, and defend the truth. Your heart responds - so be it Lord. - AMEN.

BIBLE EXAMINER.

NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE, EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

VOL. VI.

PHILADELPHIA, APRIL, 1851.

No. 4.

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER.

PUBLISHED MONTHLY,

AT 198 MARSHALL STREET, THIED DOOR ABOVE BROWN.

PHILADELPHIA, PA.

TERMS .- Single copy, for one year, one dollar ; six copies, \$5; thirteen copics, \$10; ALWAYS IN ADVANCE.

This paper is subject to newspaper postage only.

THE TRUE SOURCE OF IMMORTALITY.

BY THOMAS READ, NEW YORK.

(Concluded from page 37.)

OBJECTIONS CONSIDERED.

Having incontrovertibly established the positions taken: first, That man is NOT naturally immortal ; and secondly, That immortality is a gift obtained through Jesus Christ, and bestowed upon man at the RESURRECTION OF THE DEAD: and having brought forth a mass of clear scripture testimony, intended by the several writers to teach these very propositions: Therefore the pious Christian may plant his feet upon the immutable basis of God's holy word, as upon a rock of unyielding and everlasting adamant, knowing that the testimony of God standeth sure. Remember, too, that the testimony runs through various lines of argument; and many of the texts are directly to the point, plain, and positive; and that no sophistry can possibly twist many of them into an accordance with the opposing theory; and that they cannot be overthrown without inva-lidating the whole truth of God. And, seeing that there is no scripture that asserts that man, or the soul of man is naturally immortal, and that no text asserts that immortality is obtained in any other way than through the redemption there is in Christ Jesus: and that this blessed hope, "to wit, the redemption of our body," will not be accomplished until the resurrection of the dead: therefore, every inference that would appear to contradict the posi-tions laid down, must necessarily be incorrectly drawn, and the truth of the positions taken might safely rest without any reply to any objections that might be raised. But, as some well disposed Christians might suppose that some of these objections have weight, they will be slightly examined.

The candid reader will remember that it is not necessary, for the sake of more firmly establishing the Bible view of immortality, to give the correct interpretation to the texts upon which objections are made, but merely to give such a plausible interpretation, as will make them perfectly agree with God who gave it. the other parts of God's word, and to show that in ce: 18/ Genous 1.43 And the serpent said unto the

tions here laid down, nor sustain the inferences that have been drawn from them. But it is thought that the interpretations given to most of them will commend themselves to the understanding of the reader.

That the reader may see the passages of scripture that have been most relied upon by the advocates of inherent immortality, and consequently opposed to immortality as the gift of God in Christ Jesus, entered into by a resurrection from the dead, the principal passages are placed altogether before him

1. Phil. 1:21-23. For me to live is Christ, and to die is gain. For I am in a strait betwixt two, having a desire to depart, and to be with Christ, which is far better.

2. Matt. 22: 32. 1 am the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob. God is not the God of the dead, but of the living.

3. Luke 23: 43. To-day shalt thou be with me in Paradise.

4. Gen. 2: 7. And the Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul.

5. Luke 23: 46. Father into thy hands I commend my spirit.

6. Acts 7: 59. Lord Jesus receive my spirit.

7. Rev. 6: 9. I saw under the altar the souls of them that were slain for the word of God and the testimony which they held: and they cried with a loud voice, saying, How long, O Lord, holy and true, dost thou not judge and avenge our blood on them that dwell upon the earth? And white robes were given unto every one of them; and it was

said unto them, wait yet a little longer. 8. Rev. 20: 4. I saw the souls of them that were beheaded for the witness of Jesus, and for the word of God, and which had not worshipped the beast, . . and they lived and reigned with &c., Christ a thousand years.

An angel that communicated with John, said,

9. Rev. 19: 10. I am thy fellow-servant, and of thy brethren that have the testimony of Jesus.

10. Rev. 22: 9. I am thy fellow-servant, and of thy brethren the prophets, and of them that keep the sayings of this book.

11. John 11: 26. Whosoever liveth and believeth in me shall never die.

12. 2 Cor. 12: 3. Whether in the body or out of the body I cannot tell.

13. The Parable of the Rich Man and Lazarus.

14. The Transfiguration.

15. Luke 2: 29. Lord, now lettest thou thy ser-

vant depart in peace, according to thy word. 16. Eccl. 3: 21. Who knoweth the spirit of man that goeth upward.

17. Eccl. 12: 7. Then shall the dust return to the earth as it was: and the spirit shall return unto

dead men are alive; or that an essential part of them, the soul, is alive. None of them teach us that the soul is naturally immortal. None of them teach us that when a man dies his soul exists in a conscious state, and either enjoys the glories of heaven and is with Christ, or suffers the pains of hell. None of them teach that the soul is judged separately from the body. And none of them teach us that the soul is a separate entity or person from the body, and can live when separated from it.

Even the speech of the serpent, plain and pointed as it is, does not intimate, that though the body died, the soul should not die: but is in direct opposition to the word of God, In the day that thou eatest thereof, dying, thou shalt die. The soul that sinneth, it shall die. We insist that the serpent meant, that man's bodily organization should not die, should not be decomposed; but in this he lied.

He could have no idea, from the analogy of his own nature, or the nature of any other created being, that the living soul was different from and inde-pendent of the living man. The truth remains uncontradicted, that "the dead know not anything;" and that "there is no work, nor device, nor know-ledge, nor wisdom in sheel," the state of the dead.

THE EXPOSITION OF SUPPOSED CONFLICTING TEXTS.

1. Phil. 1: 20-23. In the 23d verse there is no word corresponding to depart, in the original; the figure used implies the returning of Christ to the port. It has been translated thus: For I have an carnest desire for the returning and being with Christ, which is far better. Then the whole passage will bear this brief paraphrase.

Paul says, these hardships and imprisonment have happened to me for the furtherance of the gospel; for Christ shall be magnified in my body, whether by life or death. For me to live, is to magnify Christ, and to die is gain to the cause of Christ; if I live, I shall be serviceable to the Philippian converts: but if I die for the truth, it will more effectually subserve the gospel of Christ. I am willing at any time to abandon my toils and sufferings, to die for Christ, as I earnestly desire to be with Christ, and as death is but the hiding myself as it were for a little moment; (Isa. 26: 20) the next moment of conscious existence, I shall awake to the glorious appearing of Christ in the clouds of heaven, and receive from his hands my crown of everlasting rejoicing. So I labor, regardless of suffering or death, that I may win Christ; if by any means I may attain unto the resurrection from out the dead.

2. Matt. 22: 32. I am the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob. God is not the God of the dead but of the living.

The only question discussed between Christ and the Sadducees, was the resurrection. The Sadducees could not have been put to silence, if they could have interpreted Christ's words as referring only to an intermediate state. Christ argues that Abraham, Isnac, and Jacob must be raised again, or God, who called himself their God, would be the God of the dead; Christ proves that they live to God only by the promised resurrection. See Rom. 4: 17, and Heb. 11: 16.

3. Luke 23 : 42. He said unto Jesus, Lord remember me when thou comest into thy kingdom. And Jesus said unto him, Verily I say unto thee, to-day shalt thou be with me in paradeseral Conference: M

If Christ gave a direct answer to the question of

None of these texts are intended to teach us that | the penitent thief, then that answer refers to the period, when Christ should establish his kingdom on the earth, and sit upon the throne of his father David and restore paradise, or the third heaven on this earth. The first heavens and earth were destroyed by the flood ; the second heavens and earth are reserved unto fire against the day of judgment and perdition of ungodly men; and the third heavens, or paradise, will be the new heavens and new earth. wherein dwelleth righteousness and where the everlasting kingdom of Christ will be established. The text will admit of this interpretation, by changing the position of the comma, which is no part of inspiration, and which indeed has been done by celebrated critics, without reference to this question. But before any reference can be correctly drawn from this text it must be made to harmonize with the 32d v. Mat. 27: 44; Mark 15: 28, 32; with John's silence, with Isa. 53: 9, 12; Prov. 1: 21-30 and Rom. 2: 6, 7.

And after reconciling these, before it can be made to sustain any reference touching an intermediate state of consciousness, it must first be proved that the thief was actually dead before sunset, or 14 minutes past 6, as that day then ended. I think that it cannot be satisfactorily proved that his legs were broken, which of itself is not sufficient to cause immediate death, till the lighting up of the Sabbath candles about half past 6. It would be dark on the 3rd of April A. D. 29 in lat. 31° 50' at 20 minutes past 7. Then again, his going immediately to paradise, (which has no present existence,) cannot pos-sibly be reconciled with John 20: 17, "Touch me not, for I have not yet ascended to my father," "As Jonas was three days and three nights in the whale; so shall the Son of man be three days and three nights in the heart of the earth." "Thou shalt make his soul an offering for sin." "He hath poured out his soul anto death." "Thou wilt not leave my soul in hades," the state of the dead. "That his soul was not left in hades," and "Christ died, and rose again according to the scriptures." Indeed the authenticity of this text is very doubtful.

4. Gen. 2: 7. Has been already thoroughly examined.

5 and 6. Father, into thy hands I commend my life, and having said this he breathed it out. That is, he expired.

7 and 8. Rev. 6: 9 and 20: 4. John, while he was in the Spirit, had a highly symbolical represen-tation made to him of "things which must be hereafter." John saw the souls under the altar, the very place where the souls, lives, or blood of the martyrs would be poured out. If Abel's blood found a voice, and Jesus' blood finds a voice, does it surprise you that in this symbolical book, the souls, or blood of the saints that were not then born, should find a voice? This voice is to encourage the living advocate for truth to persevere unto death, yea, in spite of it.

9 and 10. These fellow servants might be Enoch and Elijah.

11. John 11: 28. Whosoever liveth, and believeth in me, shall never die.

This text, read with the context, can mean no more than that they shall have immortality. And the reverse is necessarily implied; Whosoever liveth and believeth not in me, shall die, or shall not live for ever. So this text instead of supporting the idea of a conscions intermediate state, proves the doctrine contended for in these pages, that may is moltal.

and can obtain immortality ONLY through faith in | Christ Jesus.

12. 2 Cor. 12: 2, 3. By paradise, Paul means the new heavens and new earth. The first and second heavens and earth will then have been destroyed by water and fire, and the next will be the third heavens. And whether the imagery of paradise was presented to his mind; or whether he was bodily carried away, Paul knew not. If his soul had truly left the body, he would have been dead, and could not have written these words without a resurrection

13. THE PARABLE OF THE RICH MAN AND LAZA-RUS.-Luke 16: 19. This is a parable. We find it in the midst of other parables. The first seven words are exactly like the first seven words of the preceding parable. Then if this be interpreted literally, so must that ; but then we shall have injustice commended by Christ. Who will then dare to interpret it literally? A literal interpretation would prove Professor Bush's theory, that the resurrection has passed already, and likewise the doctrine of purgatory. Understood literally, it cuts up the doc-trine of "the immateriality of the soul," root and branch, for here all is material. Lazarus was carried bodily to Abraham's bosom. Here, too, we have fingers, and eyes, and tongues. We have too au impassable gulf preventing the egress of the rich man to the future judgment seat of Christ. Before Lazarus could convey the wished for information to the earth, he must rise from the dead.

Whitby finds this very parable in the Gamara Babylonicum. We can allow this parable to teach us the folly of choosing the pleasures of this life as our only portion; the danger of riches; and likewise that, if the word of the living God is not sufficient for our conversion, neither should we be persuaded, though one rose from the dead. But we cannot allow it to teach us that dead men are alive; when the bible elsewhere clearly teaches us that "the dead know not anything."

THE TRANSFIGURATION.

14. Mat. 17. This was a vision. V. 9. Jesus charged them, saying, Tell the vision to no man, until the Son of man be risen again from the dead. But what has this to do with the immortality of the soul, or its immateriality. Elijah did not die, and both appeared personally; and if it were not a vision, Moses must have been raised from the dead.

15. Luke 2: 29. "Lord now lettest thou thy servant depart in peace, according to thy word." God had fulfilled his promise, and Simeon was now fully resigned to death. The words do not import that he desired death for its own sake.

16. Ecc. 3: 21. "Who knoweth the spirit of man that goeth upward, and the spirit of the beast that goeth downward to the earth ?" Solomon had just said there was no difference in death between man and beasts; but while living, the spirit or mind of one ranges over the heavens, weighs the planets, and counts the stars: while the spirit of the other is wholly engrossed in earthly things. The Septu-agint translates it: Who knoweth if the spirit of man goeth upward ?

17. Ecc. 12: 7. "Then shall the dust return to the earth as it was : and the spirit shall return unto God who gave it." It may mean, breathed into the expanse of heaven. But the meaning will be best

gather unto himself his spirit and his breath; all flesh shall perish together, and man shall turn again unto dust.

Ps. 104 : 29. Thou takest away their breath, they die, and return to their dust.

Ps. 146: 4. His breath goeth forth, he returneth to his earth; in that very day his thoughts perish.

18. Gen. 3: 4. And the serpent said unto the woman, Ye shall not surely die.

We confess that if this text is to be received as gospel; it would indeed prove the immortality of man; but not the immortality of the soul, nor an intermediate state. But who wishes the devil to teach him theology ? As he is our adversary, it is at least a suspicious circumstance, when he attempts it. May all christians abhor the teaching of the father of lies.

THE NEW DOCTRINE.

It is objected against the views advocated in these pages that they are new. This we deny. They were always the common heritage of the Saints till the church became corrupted by popery about 150 years after Christ. And finally about A. D. 290, their place was usurped by the introduction of the doctrine of Plato, and the profitable doctrine of purgatory. In A. D. 1520 Martin Luther places the doc-trine of the natural immortality of the soul, among the monstrous opinions to be found in "the Roman dunghill of decretals."

To make this matter clear, we give one quotation' from Irenaus, the disciple of Polycarp, who was the scholar of the Apostle John. He thus writes:

"Life is not from ourselves, nor from our nature, but it is given or bestowed according to the grace of God; and therefore he who preserves this gift of life, and returns thanks to him who bestows it. he shall receive 'length of days for ever and ever.' But he who rejects it, and proves unthankful to his Maker for creating him, and will not know him who bestows it, he deprives himself of the gift of duration to all eternity. And therefore the Lord speaks thus of such unthankful persons : 'If you have not been faithful in that which is least, who will commit much to you? intimating thereby unto us, that they who are unthankful to him with respect to this short, transitory life, which is his gift, the effect of his bounty, shall be most justly deprived of length of days in the world to come."

Thus is it every way proved, that Immortality only in Christ Jesus, was the doctrine once delivered to the Saints. And that it is not a new doctrine. but that it can easily be traced back to the writings of Moses.

I have examined all the principal texts, and all the evidences within my reach, that are usually relied upon to prove the stupendous doctrines of the independent conscious existence of the soul, and its natural immortality. And so little to the point are some of the texts and arguments usually brought forward, and so readily answered are all, that if after a careful reading of these pages, any will still cling to them for the purpose of supporting those Christ-dishonoring doctrines we are endeavoring to root out, unless they can answer the arguments herein brought forward, we cannot help considering it as presumptive evidence, that they are not seeking to grow in grace, and in the knowledge of our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ.

perceived by comparing it with the other texts rence. May God bless untail with the knowledge of the Job 34: 14. If God set his heart upon man, if he i truth as it is in Jesus, that the truth may make us

immortality, through his Son Jesus Christ by a resurrection from the dead.

LIFE IN CHRIST. BY EDWARD WHITE, ENGLAND. Continued from page 40.)

It is quite evident to us, and will probably become equally evident to any mind, which devotes but a moment to the attempt to realize the meaning of the phrase "everlasting misery,"--(a phrase which may indeed convey but little to a person armed with a theological determination not to think of it, but which confounds, rather than overwhelms the medilative spirit,)—that such an interpretation of the simple term death, ought not, as it generally is, to be taken for granted. And the allegation of the New Testament authority for it is of little avail;for those terms and passages of the New Testament which are supposed to fix the metaphorical signification of the original curse, have been themselves first interpreted by the rule of a theory founded alone upon these earliest statements of Scripturea theory based on the strongly disputed assumption of the immortality of the soul. And if neither reason nor Scripture permit us to entertain that exalted conception of human dignity, the whole fabric of interpretations, reared afterwards upon it, must fall to the ground.

With a view to a settlement of our minds, upon this important fundamental, let us more particularly observe in reference to the ordinary belief, that the death threatened to Adam included the curse of everlasting existence in misery for his soul :-

I. First, that Moses says not one word on the subject. It is true that much caution is needful in the use of any argument drawn from the silence of an Old Testament writer, and especially in the earlier portions of the Divine revelation. It may fairly beurged, that the second and third chapters of Genesis were the brief statements of "mysteries," which all succeeding revelations were given to develop; and that, therefore the greater regard is due to the inspired commentary of subsequent prophets. Yet, on the other hand, we cannot but observe that the chief outlines of the Paradisiacal history are received by the best divines in their plain, unvarnished sense ;* which circumstance is a strong preliminary argument in favor of so understanding all its parts, and in bar of suggested human additions, whether of poetry or prose, wherever the literal sense is not forbidden by following declarations of Scripture, and does not contradict the general doc-trine of redemption. There is, besides, a great difference between a veiled promise and a veiled threatening. The former may be worthy of Divine justice. The blessing of Christ in the Gospel, might filly be promised under the figurative ex-pression, that "the seed of the woman should bruise the serpent's head;" but the curse of the law, which called for the intervention of redeeming mercy, should surely be expressed in all the length and breadth of its terribleness. Can any good and feeling heart, (and let us remember that the Maker of good and feeling hearts has "much more" feeling himself; Matt. vii. 11,) suppose, that in the original threatening, a term would be employed which

· See Mr. Holden's catin and convictive defence of the orthodox view of the literal sense of the fall.

free ; and bestow upon us all, the precious boon of | must primarily suggest the idea of an infliction, in its literal sense already sufficiently tremendous-"Thou shalt die;"-and yet, that behind that screen there was concealed a deeper meaning, compared with which, even the doom of losing an eternal existence in the image of God, was absolutely as nothing ? Is it credible that He who best knew, and indeed who alone knew, what an eternity of misery involved, and who in after ages sent his prophets to mourn, without any limit to their loud lamentations, over the mere temporal calamities of his people, (see Asaph and Jeremiah,) would, in this first fixing of the conditions of human probation, have failed to denote as clearly the positive infliction of suffering intended, as the privation which transgression required? And again, when the curse had been actually incurred, is it to be believed, that the most perfect silence would be preserved by the Judge on that part of it, which was essentially the curse, after all, and that the whole stress of the divine attention would be directed to that bodily decease which was, when compared with the impending fate of the spirit, but as a grain of sand to the universe, or one point of space to infinity? Gen. iii. 9-19.

II. In addition to the foregoing weighty consideration, the view which it has been demonstrated that Divine revelation takes of the nature of man is opposed to the interpretation before us. It has been pointed out that, according to the uniform representations of the Bible, man is essentially a compound being, consisting of body and soul, presenting his characteristic "image" in the flesh, and not in the spirit. It is this compound nature which the latter dispensations of Heaven regard, and which, therefore, we may fairly presume, the primæval dispen-sation of law must have regarded as well. It follows from this principle, that if death, threatened to the man, involved the conception of everlasting existence in misery, that menace could not have contemplated the spirit alone; for the spirit of man is not MAN. If the holy Ruler of Heaven had intended an endless infliction of suffering upon the man, the curse must, according to this view, have necessarily demanded the associated body to share in the torment. The body would not have been permitted to die a literal death. We are borne out in this declaration by the remarkable fact that when it is intended, in consequence of the abuse of a new system of probation, to punish the wicked of mankind, it is announced that Divine power will raise the bodies of the "unjust" from the grave to undergo the infliction. But since it is admitted by the writers in question, that the original curse contemplated no such eternal infliction of pain upon the body of Adam, but simply the literal dissolution of its frame, we argue that, therefore, it is an unwarrantable imagination that the spirit alone was destined to endure an immortality of suffering; for why should the curse of the law take an immortal effect upon one-half of his nature, when both the promise and the curse of the gospel, or new system of merciful trial for recovery, are directed to the whole of it?

III. Another argument, slightly differing from the last, and apparently fatal to the common hypothesis, arises from the consideration, that the threatening of death ought, in consistency, to be taken either literally or figuratively in relation to the For complex whole of the constitution of Adam. in as it is alleged the most opposite thing to the life of his soul was perfect, perpetual, hopeless mis-

ery,"* because "life signifies happiness," then, in all reason, the most opposite thing to the "happiness or life " of his body, was everlasting torture in hell. But if this is admitted not to have been contemplated in the original threatening, we seem to be warranted in supposing, that since God, who could have immortalised his body for torment, did not do so, but intended its death, He likewise intended a literal and final dissolution of the whole compound nature, as the subject of judgment: for if literal destruction was the most opposite thing to the life of the body, as the history proves, literal dissolution, without any further infliction, was the most opposite thing to the life of the entire humanity. We cautiously abstain from insisting on an absolute destruction of the spirit, as necessarily con-veyed in the threatening of "death;" for, according to the preceding view, that curse was fulfilled in the separation of body and soul. But the curse left it open for God to preserve the spirit alive after death. if he so pleased, since the letter of the law required nothing further than the "killing" of the man (2 Cor. iii.); and this circumstance was taken advantage of afterwards, when God actually did uphold the separate spirit in existence, in consequence of the intervention of the system of mercy. We contend, therefore, that the sentence of the law, not contemplating in itself a resurrection of the man, does not in its spirit contemplate a survivance of the soul but its passing away into the land of forgetfulness, as not being itself singly and alone a subject of jurisdiction to that authority which regarded only the compound humanity. The curse which threatened death to the man, did not threaten afterwards an everlasting survivance in torment to one part of his being.

Undoubtedly, this is an admission, that the curse of the law would have been executed, even if the spirit had survived its infliction; but this is a very different thing from asserting, as do the writers in question, that the curse of the law required such a survivance, and an eternal survivance in misery .-For as it was open to the Supreme Ruler on the one hand, consistently with the letter of the law, to uphold the departed spirit in being, it was according to our representation, open to him, on the other hand, consistently with the spirit of the law, which demanded a final dissolution of man's nature for ever-to deprive it of being; the actual event of the soul's survivance at death, not depending upon the curse of the law, but upon the intention of God as to the introduction or withholding of a system of redemption. The doctrine of Scripture, then, requires us, in brief, to conclude, that the dissolution of the man was the whole curse of the law; and that therefore the spirit of that curse did not contemplate, as it certainly did not demand, an eternal and miserable survivance of the soul; while yet, notwithstanding, the absence of any explicit demand for its literal destruction, admitted of its survivance in Hades, in order to render a resurrection of the man possible, under a scheme of rewards and penalties, arising from a merciful plan of redemption. And when, at the termination of such a second probation, the curse takes a second and aggravated effect on the sinner, the absence of any intention in God to grant a resurrection of the condemned from the second death, may render it conformable to his wisdom and justice "to destroy both soul and body in hell."

IV. The most decisive evidence however, that literal death, a loss of immortal life for the compound man, and without any further infliction upon the soul alone, was the curse of the law, occurs in the argument of the Apostle, in the fifth chapter of the Epistle to the Romans. In that place, summing up the whole of his previous reasonings on the law, he thus concludes, in verses 12-14:-"Wherefore, as by one man sin entered into the world, and DEATH by sin, and so DEATH passed upon all men, for that all have sinned. (For before the law, sin was in the world : but sin is not imputed where there is no law. Nevertheless, DEATH reigned from Adam to Moses, even over them that had not sinned after the similitude of Adam's transgression, who is the figure of him that is to come.") In the verses included in a parenthesis, viz. 13 and 14, it is obviously the apostle's object to show that the statement in the preceding sentence, verse 12, was correct; to wit, that death entered into the world by the offence of one man ;-that by the offence of that one man, all had been constituted sinners, (as he afterwards expresses it,) and rendered liable to He therefore desires to prove that it death. was not the entrance of the Mosaic law which brought death, the penalty of sin, into the world for the first time; since, says he, during the period which elapsed before the giving of the law, from Adam to Moses, men died :- yes, and even those that had not sinned after the similitude of Adam's transgression; by which it is to be apprehended, notwithstanding the objections of some eminent critics, he means infants and young children; for sin, he adds, is not imputed where there is no law. Yet here sin was imputed as is evident from the penalty endured; therefore there must have been some law reigning from Adam to Moses,-a law which consigned personally sinless beings to death, through the imputation of the guilt of their great ancestor.

Now, our argument is as follows :- In the fourteenth verse, when he declares that death reigned from Adam to Moses over the personally innocent, it surely must be admitted that he intends no other death than that which we have described as the one always referred to in Scripture; a dissolution of the compound humanity, without any reference to a future eternal state of suffering for the soul.-Else, we shall find ourselves called upon to receive the astounding and abominable sentiment, that the souls of infants, children, idiots, &c., from Adam to Moses, went to a state of everlasting suffering after their natural death; and that, as is specially pointed out, for no fault of their own. But if this be an intolerable interpretation, repugnant alike to the whole temper of reason and revelation, and to the character of God, it follows, by all the rules of clear writing, that the term death stands for exactly the same idea in the twelfth verse, which introduces the argument. It is inconceivable that the apostle has changed the signification of the same word in the distance between two verses; for if that be the case here, we might on the same principle conclude that when he uses the term faith repeatedly in the course of his reasonings, he as repeatedly changes the meaning of the word, and thus introduces inextricable confusion into the language of the Scripture.* If this observation be admitted as just (and

• See "What was the Fall ?"-the guotation from Edwards, in p. 7.

• If the terms * loss of health? were substituted for

it must be a strange theology which requires the abandonment of this principle of interpretation, in a passage where no variation in the sense of the term is indicated by any of the usual marks of emphasis, or allusion, or explanation) then it inevi-tably follows, that the *death* which Adam brought into the world, as the wages of sin, was not an immortality of the soul, in misery, after natural dissolution ; but that literal dissolution (of the compound nature of body and soul) itself;-a definition which will embrace the cases both of Adam and of his sinless infantile posterity.

From these considerations, then, we cannot but determine that the original threatening, "In the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die," was intended to signify a literal, an immediate, a final dissolution of the nature of Adam as a man; his death, in the literal and ordinary sense of the word, without any reference whatever to the state, or even to the survivance of the spirit beyond.*-Adam was placed in Paradise, a wonderful combination of earth and spirit; allied to the animals and to the angels; on probation, to "see what was in his heart;" whether by obedience and faith he would aspire to rise to the rank of immortals, and "never die;" or whether by disobedience and unbelief, he would forfeit, for himself and his posterity, the possession of that blessed prospect of eternal glory which was visible from the heights of his almost celestial abode in the garden of Eden. This death was the curse of the law; not merely of the Mosaic law, but of that everlasting law under which Adam was created at first, aud of which the thunders of Sinai were only a manifestation. In the language of Paul, "The letter killeth." 2 Cor. iii. 6.

Our beloved brother, CHARLES FITCH, of whom we spoke, last July, in our history of the "Progress" of the views of immortality we entertain, "though dcad yct speaketh." We have determined to place in the Examiner two articles he wrote shortly after he took his "stand" by our "side." The following is the first.

(To be Continued.)

THE END OF THE WICKED.

What is the end of the wicked ?

Phil. 3. 19.—"For many walk of whom I have told you often and now tell you even weeping, that they are the enemies of the cross of Christ; whose END is destruction."

What does destruction mean?

2 Thess. 1: 7 -"And to you who are troubled rest with us, when the Lord Jesus shall be revealed from heaven, with his mighty angels in flaming fire, taking vengeance on them that know not God and

. In this sense the same words are used by the Al-

obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ, who shall be punished with everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord and from the glory of his power."

Where is the presence of the Lord? and where the glory of His power ?

Psalms 139: 7 .- "Whither shall I go from thy Spirit? or whither shall I flee from thy presence? If I ascend up into heaven, thou art there : if I make my bed in hell, behold thou art there; if I take the wings of the morning, and dwell in the uttermost parts of the sea, even there shall thy hand lead me, and thy right hand shall hold me." Then God's presence is in heaven, hell and sea. If, then, the wicked are destroyed from God's presence, they must have an everlasting destruction from heaven, hell and sea.

Col. 1: 16.—"For by Him were all things created that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones or dominions, or principalities or powers; all things were created by Him and for Him, and He is before all things, and by Him all things consist." Now the glory of God's power must be wherever His works are; hence to to be destroyed from the glory of God's power, the wicked must be destroyed from all things in heaven, and from all things in earth, from all things visible, from all things invisible, from thrones, from dominions, from principalities and powers, from all things which by Him consist. When thus destroyed, the wicked must be destroyed out of the universe, and then as we are taught in the 20th chapter of Job; "They which have seen Him shall say, where is he ?" And what answer does the Bible give ?

Psalms 37: 10.—"For yet a little while and the wicked shall not be, yea, thou shall diligently con-sider his place and it shall not be." Prov. 10:25; "As the whirlwind passeth, so is the wicked no more."

But are there not some passages of Scripture which prove that though the wicked are to be destroyed as we are taught above, they will yet continue to exist !

I know of no passages which can be quoted for

Matt. 25: 46.—"And these shall go away into everlasting punishment." Dan. 12: 2 —"And some to shame and everlasting contempt." Rev. 14: 11 .-- " And the smoke of their torment ascendeth up for ever and ever, and they have no rest day nor night." Rev. 19: 3 .- " And her smoke rose up for ever and ever." Rev. 20: 10 .- "And shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever."-Mark 9: 45, 46 .- "To be cast into hell, into the fire that never shall be quenched, where their worm dieth not and the fire is not quenched."

If any passages in the Bible can be brought to prove the endless existence of the wicked it must be these. But what will be the effect of the unquenchable fire upon the wicked ? Rev. 21: 3.--"The fearful and unbelieving, and abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and sorcercrs, and idolaters, and all liars shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone; which is the second death." What will be the effect ?-Matt. 3: 12 .- " He will thoroughly purge His floor and gather His wheat into the garner, but He will burn up the chaff with unquenchable fire." Mal. 4: 1.-" For behold the day cometh that shall burn as an oven; and all the proud, yea, and all that do mighty in threatening AbimelecheraGennaxefice: McDowickedl & shall be stubble grand the day that cometh

death throughout the passage, we should be surprised to learn that those terms were intended to convey their plain and obvious meaning in verse 14; but that in verse 12 they signified a loss of reputation and property, and the transmission of blindness to all his descendants .--Yet this alteration of meaning would be as nothing compared with that supposed in two reputed senses of "death;" dissolution and interminable suffering in hell.

shall burn them up, saith the Lord, that it shall leave them neither root nor branch." Where will the wicked be when they are burned up root and branch with unquenchable fire? God answers the question in Prov. 12: 7 .-- "The wicked are overthrown and are not."

But, "their worm dieth not," and what does that prove ? Isa. 51: 8 .- " For the moth shall eat them up like a garment, and the worm shall eat them like wool."

Then, if their worm dieth not, and their fire is not quenched, we may expect to say of them as in Ps. 73: 19 .- " They are utterly consumed with terrors." What terrors? The worm that never dies, the fire that never shall be quenched.

Now do the terms everlasting and forever and ever applied to the punishment of the wicked prove that they will forever exist ? If these terms always express endless duration, then they do when applied to the wicked; but if, in some instances, they are used to express a limited duration, they may express a limited duration when applied to the wicked; and hence will not prove that the wicked forever exist.

Are there instances then in which these words are used to express limited duration?

Hab. 3: 6; "The everlasting mountains were scattered." Mich. 1: 4; "And the mountains shall be molten under him." Then the everlasting mountains will have an end. Numbers 25: 13; "And he shall have it and his seed after him, the covenant of an everlasting priesthood." That priesthood long since ended. Hence everlasting does not always express endless duration." Jude 7; "Sodom and Gomorrah and the cities about them are set forth for an example, suffering the vengeance of eternal fire." That eternal fire certainly went out when those cities ceased to burn. Hence, eternal does not always express endless duration. It is said in Isa. 34: 9, 10; respecting the land of Idumea, which is Edom, "The streams thereof shall be turned into pitch, and the dust thereof into brimstone, and the land thereof shall become burning pitch; it shall not be quenched night nor day, the smoke thereof shall go up forever : from generation to generation shall it lie waste, none shall pass through it forever and ever." Now in any view which can be taken of the land of Idumea, its flames after all will cease to burn, and its smoke cease to ascend; for though this is doubtless to be at the final conflagration of the world, even the world will cease to burn, for God has promised to make of it a new earth wherein dwelleth righteousness. These terms therefore do not always imply endless duration, and hence, may not when applied to the wicked. I am, therefore, left to the necessity of looking to other portions of the Bible, for the purpose of ascertaining what evidence there is that the wicked will have immortality; and I cannot find a shadow of evidence from any part of God's word. I am told in the Ps. 37: 20, that "the wicked shall perish, and the enemies of the Lord shall be as the fat of lambs; they shall consume; into smoke shall consume away." In the 38th verse I read; "But the transgressors shall be destroyed together; the end of the wicked shall be cut off."

In Ps. 92: 7, I read, "When the wicked spring as the grass, and when all the workers of iniquity do flourish, it is that they shall be destroyed forever;" and now when told that their "end is destruction," that they shall be "utterly consumed in the Bible. God spoke of Abraham, Isaac and

with terrors," and that "yet a little while and they shall not be," that "the day that cometh shall burn them up, root and branch," how can we believe God, while we suppose that the wicked are to have an endless existence. To suppose this, is to believe what Satan told our first parents, "ye shall not surely die."

Adam was made a living soul, but he lost life when he sinned. 2 Tim. 1; 16, Christ has abolished death, and brought life and immortality to light through the gospel. And now, John 3: 36, "He that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life-but he that believeth not on the Son shall not see life, but the wrath of God abideth on him." Those, therefore, "who by patient continuance in well do-ing seek for glory, honor and immortality," are promised "eternal life." See Rom. 2: 7. But Rom. 6: 23, "The wages of sin is death; the gift of God is eternal life, through Jesus Christ our Lord." To say now, that the wages of sin is an endless existence, instead of death, and those who reject Christ live to all eternity, is it to believe the old serpent instead of God. It may be said that the death of the wicked means endless suffering, but this is to confound language. Suffering, however severe, is not death. It is said that man has an immortal soul; this is to belie the Bible, because immortality is promised to those only who seek it through Christ; and besides, God says, the "sour that sinneth, it shall die,' and Christ says, that God "is able to destroy both soul and body in hell." Christ only hath immortality: 1 Tim. 6: 16.

From these scriptures, and others, I am satisfied that to promise the wicked anything but utter destruction, is to say, "ye shall not surely die;" and is infidelity, as truly as to say that the righteous "shall not have eternal life through Christ." It is believed, I know, that men live after they are dead, and know more, unspeakably, than when they are alive, but the Bible says, "the dead know not anything," " his breath goeth forth, he returneth to his earth, in that very day his thoughts perish." Eccl.

9:5. Ps. 146: 4. It is strange indeed what liberties men have learned to take with the word of God. In the statute books of our country, there will be found a law against murder, the penalty of which is death. What would be thought of the man who should maintain that death in this case, meant a system of therefore, says, "the soul that sinneth, it shall die," and "the wages of sin is clearly " and "the wages of sin is death," where has He authorized us to say, that by death, He means a system of interminable torture in the dungeons of hell. The wicked are to "have their part in a lake, that burneth with fire and brimstone, which is the second death." They are to be devoured, they are "overthrown and are not," and "as the whirlwind passeth so is the wicked no more."

Let him who dares disbelieve God on this subject, do it. For myself I have believed the declaration of the old serpent, " ye shall not surely die," long enough.

It may be asked, are not the dead spoken of as though living, in the Bible? Certainly. But the Bible explains itself on that subject, when it tells us that "God quickeneth the dead and calleth those things which be not as though they were." See Rom. 4: 17. Of this there are repeated instances Jacob as though alive, because they were to be raised; so Christ taught the Sadducees in the 20th chap. of Luke. So of Israel in the valley of dry bones, in the 37th chap. of Ezek. So of the rich man and Lazarus. So of the Saints in the Revelations, crying out for vengeance, as did the blood of Abel from the ground. Though they were not; God called them as though they were.

Our Saviour's promise to the thief may be thought another difficulty. But the prayer of the penitent was this: "Lord remember me when thou comest into thy kingdon." Our Lord replied, "Verily I say unto thee, this day; with me thou shalt be in Paradise." I give it precisely as it reads in the original Greek. It was, therefore, an assurance to the dying thief, that when our Lord came into the kingdom, he should be remembered, according to his prayer; and proves nothing against the plain scriptural declaration that "the dead know not anything." CHARLES FITCH.

BIBLE	EXA	MI	ΝE	R.
PHILADELI	PHIA,	APF	RIL,	1851.

"THE SPIRIT MESSENGER," like all other ghosts, scems to dread the light. . It will neither come near our office nor suffer the Bible Examiner to live in its dark abode. We sent the Examiner regularly to the Messenger; but we have been informed that our paper was committed to the flames by the ghost worshippers; that its fate was "to be burned." Very well: "he that doeth evil hateth the light, neither cometh to the light, lest his deeds should be reproved." We convicted the "Spirit Messenger" of blaspheming against the revelation of God; and showed, out of its own mouth, that it was a "Messenger" of Satan. No wonder that it should seek revenge by burning the Examiner; and we doubt not the same spirit would burn the Editor of the Examiner, if it had the power. That it seeks such power we do not question, notwithstanding all its hypocritical professions of "love." We know the "knocking spirits" well; and, by the help of the grace of God, we intend they shall know that those who blaspheme Christ and his truth, shall not go unrebuked while we have a pen to write, and a medium through which to warn our fellow-men that these spirits, wherever located, "are of the Synagogue of Satan."

"THE SOUL AND BODY.—That there is a soul within the body, who can doubt? The eye sees but it cannot remember what has been seen, and the ear hears, but it cannot remember what has been heard. Memory belongs to some other power distinct from the eye and the ear.

"We look upon the bright face of the heavens, and the rich scenery of the earth, and we are stirred with pleasing emotions of beauty and sublimity; but it is not the eye that feels the pleasure of sceing. Again, we listen to the melting strains of

soft music, and feel pleasingly subdued, or we hear glad tidings from afar and feel joyful; but it is not the ear that feels in the one case or the other. Of the truth of these statements every one is conscious; it is the soul within that enjoys the pleasure of both sight and hearing. Close the eyes and stop the ears, and isolate the body from the sense of touch, and still the soul will think and stir within, and feast upon, or torture itself with its own thoughts. If there was no other proof of the existence of the soul, one's own thinking, thinking, everlasting thinking, from which we cannot fly, and which we cannot suspend during one wakeful moment, must be proof enough. If any do not regard it, it must be because they think so much that they do not spend one moment in thinking upon the nature, origin, and seat of their own thoughts."

The foregoing we cut from the True Wesleyan of Feb 22. We notice it, because, it is a brief attempt to show that the soul is a "distinct power from the" body. This is supposed to be proved by assuming that the "memory belongs" not to "the eve" or "the ear." Now, it does really seem to us that we might as well argue that the eye or the ear is the soul, because the fancied soul would have no "rich scenery" to behold. no "soft music" to "melt it, if it were not for "the eye and the ear." What a poor, blind, senseless, unthinking thing this mighty "soul within the body" is, if the body, with its eyes, ears, and other senses, did not give the poor dark, blind, helpless thing something to think about ! Why, it never would have a thought, nor anything to think about, if it were not for the bodily senses communicating information to it.

But, says the Wesleyan, "the soul within enjoys the pleasure of both sight and hearing."

Very well. But it would have no "pleasure" to "enjoy" but for the eye, ear, and other senses of the body.

Not so, says the Wesleyan, "Close the eyes and stop the ears, and isolate the body from the sense of touch, and still the soul will think and stir within, and feast upon, or torture itself with its own thoughts."

But suppose the eyes, ears and other senses of the body, had never been opened, how many thoughts would it have to "feast upon, or torture itself with?" Poor dark, blind concern ! We may safely affirm that all the philosophy in the universe can never prove that this fancied "immortal soul" could, or would ever possess a thought to feast upon or torture itself with, if the despised material body did not first communicate thoughts to it. What "everlasting thinking" "a soul within the body" must perform, if it never had any body to think in, and to supply it with "thoughts!" What demonstration it must be of an immortal "soul within the body," that after the body, with its senses, has supplied it with knowledge it is able to think, when

some of those senses afterwards are "closed !" To make out a case of this kind let the advocates of immortal-soulism prove, that this imaginary "distinct power" did think before it ever had any bodily senses; and that it does and can think when all the senses of the body are totally extinct; or even when they are so far suspended as to produce unconscious. ness while life still remains. Till they can do this, all their fine theories about the nobility and independence of "a soul," fall to the ground like other matters of fancy.

4

The Wesleyan itself admits, at last, that the " soul's everlasting thinking" can be, and is " suspended" when our "wakeful moments" are past or when we are aslccp. That is one step towards the truth, and we hope our brother will follow it up : if he does, we doubt not he will soon find that when the "wakeful moments" of life cease, and the sleep of death sets in, all the "soul's everlasting thinking" is "suspended" till the morning of the resurrection.

REMARKS ON ECCL. ix : 4, 5 .- "For to him that is joined to all the living there is hope; for a living dog is better than a dead lion. For the living know that they shall die: but the dead know not any thing, neither have they any more a reward; for the memory of them is forgotten."

In the controversy on the state of the dead much has been said on this text; yet we doubt if the full force of it has been seen. The perfect ignorance of the dead is clearly the grand idea it is designed to communicate. Mark well the language. "To him that is joined to all the living there is hope," however unimproved and ignorant he may be. If he is alive he may improve, but if he is dead there is no improvement; and he is of no use while dead: hence, "a living dog is better than a dead lion." The most unimproved and ignorant man alive, is of more value than the most intelligent, wise, and powerful man when dead. That dead man might, while living, have been as superior to the uneducated and ignorant survivor as the lion among beasts is superior to a dog; but when dead he is infinitely inferior to him ; "ron the living [however ignorant and lacking in intelligence in other matters] KNOW [have knowledge enough to know] that they shall die:" a truth which any man, though but one remove above an idiot, possesses intelligence enough to "know :" but the dead [are inferior to such persons, as much as "a dead lion" is inferior to "a living dog," because "the dead" know not ANYTHING :" total ignorance is the state of all the dead. No language can more absolutely and unequivocally affirm the entire unconsciousness of the dead, however powerful their intellect might have been while living. This view is fully con- believe it; chap. 5; 1-3: but assured those who

firmed by the tenth verse of the same chapter, where the wise man re-affirms the same truth, that in "sheel"-the state of the dead-"there is no knowledge." Till our opponents can give us one text that with any tolerable clearness affirms that dead men have knowledge, we may safely rest the argument here; not because this is the only positive text that affirms the entire ignorance of the dead, but because this text alone outweighs all the arguments our opposers have ever produced or ever can produce from the Bible.

"Mysterious Rappings."-" The Man of Sin." Or 2 Thess. 2: 1 to 12 considered.

On this topic several of our friends have anticipated us, and expressed their dissent from our view that the "Wicked" of this chapter, or the real "Anti-Christ," is yet to be revealed. They have kindly cautioned us on this topic, and they will accept our thanks for it. We do not intend to state anything dogmatically, but suggest thoughts which any person will be at liberty to approve, reject, call in question, or enlarge upon. We shall endeavor to give the best light we have on the text under consideration, without going into particulars in detail; but, taking a general view of the subject. For some of the thoughts we are indebted to George Duke of Manchester, in his "Finished Mystery, " a work of considerable value.

"The coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, and our gathering together unto him," is the grand theme of the apostle in the text. This subject had been fully opened in the previous epistle. He had told the Thessalonians, chapter 1: 9, 10, that they were "turned to God from idols to serve the living and true God; and to wait for his Son from Heaven." He had said, chap. 2: 19, that such converts were to be his "crown of rejoicing in the presence of our Lord Jesus Christ at his coming." He had expressed his desire that their "hearts" might be "established unblameable in holiness before God, even our Father, at the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ with all his saints"-holy ones. He had fully, chap. 4: 13-18, entered into the subject of the state of the dead, viz : that they were "asleep," and the hope for them, viz : That they should arise from the dead, who were "asleep in Christ," when "the Lord himself shall descend from heavon;" for which, as Christians, they were "waiting." Не had warned them that there would be a cry of "peace and safety" before the event of "the day of the Lord" opening; and informed them, that while that cry was in progress "sudden destruction cometh upon them" who make it, and those who

were Christ's, that whether they were "awake or asleep," at Christ's coming, they "should live together with him;" chap. 5: 10. He had assured them that the place of meeting Christ would be "in the air," chap. 4: 17; and he concludes that Epistle by a wish, or prayer, that they may "be preserved blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ."

During the interval between the first and second epistle, it seems by some means, the Thessalonians had become impressed that the day of the Lord was either then opened or "impending"-hanging immediately over them, to be at once ushered in; and they had, perhaps, been the more ready to conclude so from the troubles they were then enduring. The apostle opens the second epistle with the encouragement that their "rest" from trouble would take place "when the Lord Jesus shall be revealed from heaven;" and that then he would "punish" those who had "troubled" them. After thus recalling to their minds the words of comfort he had drawn from the coming again of Christ, in the previous epistle, he proceeds to the subject which was the occasion of writing this, which was, as already remarked, that the Thessalonians had become impressed with the idea that the day of the Lord had arrived, which caused a shaking in their minds, such as led some wholly to abandon worldly employment, as appears from chap. 3: 6-12. To quiet their minds, and prevent these disorders, Paul shows them, chap. 2, that there were prophecies to be fulfilled which forbid the idea that the day of the Lord was then opened, or impending. The original term translated "at hand," signifies also, impending, present, or has come. We think it most likely it is here used in the latter sense. Paul had said, we shall be "gathered together unto" Christ " in the air." Either by his " word," while preaching among them, or by his "letter" previously written; or by some "spirit" professing to be of God, the Thessalonians, at least a portion of them, thought the day had arrived, or opened. Paul "beseeches" them not to suffer themselves to be moved to such a conclusion "by any means;" and then proceeds to inform them why. He shows them there is to be an "npostacy" such as had not yet occurred; and that was to be succeeded by the revelation of "the man of sin"-"the son of perdition;" that "coming" of the man of sin would be "after the working of Satan, with all power and signs and lying wonders," &c .: that he was to "oppose and exalt himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped," &c. Such a power was to be the immediate forerunner of the advent of Christ, who would "destroy that Wicked," and "punish with everlasting destruction" those who are the subjects of his deceptions.

be Papacy : but we think that view may be erroneous, for two reasons. First-Wicked as Papacy is, and has been, it does not answer the description-" Who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped." Papacy has never proceeded thus far: it has not opposed and exalted itself above the Virgin Mary, whom it has "worshipped," and still worships; as is evident from the acts of the present Pope. Another objection to the application of this prophecy to Papacy is, that the revelation of the man of sin is the sure and certain sign that the day of the Lord is impending, or has come. So that if Papacy is that power we have already been thirteen hundred years in the day of the Lord without knowing it, and the revelation of that power was not the sure sign to the Church that the day of the Lord had come or was hanging over us.

We, therefore, regard papacy as the "falling away"-the apostacy-that was to precedo the revelation of the man of sin, and as preparing the way for him; and this is manifestly the apostle's order of the events. Let us take the words in italics, in verse 3, and transpose them to the close of verse 4; this is done without any violence to the text. We will now read the whole thus :-- "Now we beseech you, brethren, by the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, and by our gathering together unto him [in the air,] that ye be not soon shaken in mind as that the day of Christ is [impending or present.] Let no man deceive you by any means; for except there come a falling away [an apostacy,] first, and [second] that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition; who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, showing himself that he is God, that day [of Christ] shall not come," or be present. "Remember ye not, when I was yet with you, I told you these things? But now ye know what withholdeth [the coming of Christ] that he [Christ] might be revealed in his time."

The Thessalonians were to remember that Christ would be revealed in his time, but that there was at present, and would continue to be, a "withholding" cause, which must bo removed before the advent would occur; and that cause is to be looked for in the place where the saints are to be gathered unto Christ, viz: "in the air." Paul had said, that "the prince of the power of the "air is the spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience;" Eph-2: 2. That same truth he doubtless preached in all the churches; and, of course, to the Thessalonians. The place of the meeting of Christ and his saints must be cleared of him who now holds power, there, before the advent, or coming of Christ, can occur. Hence Paul proceeds to say:—"He who now let-

The man of sin has by many been supposed to-

teth [hindereth the coming of Christ] will let [hinder] until he be taken [or removed] out of the way ;" that is-the prince of the power of the air must be displaced from his present position; which removal will precipitate, or cast him down from the air unto the earth. "And then shall that Wicked be revealed" among men, ultimatelyliterally, personally ; not indeed as Satan, but as an "angel of light"-yea with mighty professions of love till he comes to be "exalted above all that is called God, or that is worshipped," casting down alike the worship of the true God, Papal worship, and all else that is worshipped, that he may be supreme. "Whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders, and with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they received not the love of the truth of [Christ's coming and of our gathering together unto him] that they might be saved. And for this cause [because they rejected the truth of Christ's coming, or personal return from heaven] God shallsend them strong delusion. that they should believe a lie; that they all might be damned who believed not the truth [of Christ's return from heaven to raise his dead saints and change his living ones] but had pleasure in unrighteousness,"

They loved sin-they would not have Christ to reign over them, and they are given up to the reign of Satan-the real man of sin-of whom Papacy was only the forerunner and shadow. If the shadow has been so horrible what will the substance be? Truly may it be said in the language of Rev. 12th : "Wo to the inhabitants of the earth, and of the sea, for the devil is come down unto you, having great wrath, because he knoweth he hath but a short time."

Such we conceive may be the true interpretation of 2 Thess. 2; viz .- That Satan, the prince of the power of the air, just prior to the second coming of Christ, will be hurled down from the air; that then he, who is the real Man of Sin, will work, first as an angel of light, with great professions of love ; and will work signs and wonders, by which multitudes will be deceived, and but few escape; for "if possible" he "shall deceive the very elect." Approaching men cautionsly at first-pretending to be the spirits of their dead relatives, and still loving them most tenderly, thus gaining their confidence, and filling them with a dread of doing any thing against those departed ones who love them so much, till they are completely captivated by him, and have yielded themselves up to him under the false and assumed names of their husband, wife, child, sister, brother, father, mother, or some great man, thus exciting in thom the most intense de- to the thousand three hundred and five and thirty sire actually to see these loved ones, so that days:" days which we may yet see are not of that

when they can endure it, he will really appear to their senses, with various degrees of clearness as they can bear it, till the way is fully prepared, and the community ripe for the delusion, when Satan either personally or in some human being will make his advent, professionally as some great and admired man who is dead, or through some Pope, Priest, or Athiest, whereby all who havo rejected the truth of Christ's coming, and of life only in him, will be deceived, and Christ will be rejected, and every God except this God, 'newly come up.' Then it may come to pass that every man will be put to death that will not do homage to him; yet, praise God, the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, the triumph of the man of sin-the REAL Anti-Christ-will be of short continuance; for, he is to be consumed with the spirit of Christ's mouth, and destroyed with the brightness of our Lord's coming. The conflict will be short, yet terrible to the faint-hearted, while it lasts. Then shall we need to take heed to our Lord's words-"Whosoever will save his life shall lose it; and whosoever will lose his life for my sake shall find it." Yea, then may we need the cry of Rev. 14th-" If any man worship the beast and his image, and receive his mark in his forehead or in his hand, the same shall drink of the wino of the wrath of God," &c. The strongest truths of God's word, both of threatening and of promise, will then be needed to prevent even the saints from apostacy to the man of sin.

We think it possible, though we do not affirm it, that what are now called the "spirit manifestations," or "spirit knockings," are incipient stages of the great delusion and the advent of the real Anti-Christ, shortly to take place. We warn all, therefore-" Go not after them, nor follow them ;" beware that you are not taken in this snare of the devil. It is the last game the devil will hazard in this age; and when the real anti-Christ is developed, whose coming cannot be mistaken by the really watchful, and such as are truly waiting for Christ's return, know that the day of the Lord is opened, and your Blessed are all such as shall last trial has come. endure to " the end of the days."

Then shall "the wise understand" as they have never yet understood; but "none of the wicked shall understand;" but they will go on doing "wickedly" till Christ our "Lord shall be revealed from heaven, in flaming fire, taking vengeance on them that know not God, and that obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ; who shall be punished with everlasting destruction;" but the saints shall then "stand in" their "lot," and "rest" with Christ. They shall know what that word meaneth-"Blessed is he that waiteth and cometh uncertain character that they need to be guessed out; but, which all the wise will clearly understand, and which may be found to be literal days. We conclude on this topic, with the following testimony about Anti-Christ. Dr. Kitto remarks, that it is "the ruling spirit of error-the enemy of the truth of the gospel," &c.; that "is its primary meaning ;"he says, " it is the proper title of Satan." He adds-"Justin Martyr, in his Dialogues with Trypho, describes him as exercising his wrath against christians with special fury in the period immediately preceding the Second Advent. Cyril of Jerusalem represents him as reigning three years and six months preparatory to the entire destruction of his dominion at the second coming of Christ; and says, he will deceive both Jews and Gentiles; the former, by representing himself as the Messiah; the latter by his magical arts and incantations. St. Chrysoston observes, on 2 Thes. 2: that Anti-Christ will not lead men to idolatry, but will rather abolish the worship of false gods, as well as that of the true God, commanding the world to worship himself alone as the only Deity."

We are inclined to the view that the developement of the real Anti-Christ will be Atheism enthroned, perhaps in all the governments of earth, and in particular that the Papal head will take that form. The Prince of the power of the air has tried all forms of religion to cheat and destroy men -paganism, with all its forms of idolatry till they were exhausted in their popularity-then he transformed paganism into Papacy, and has used that till it has become an abhorrence to all reasoning men ; and his last dying effort will be to enthrone Atheism; or a rejection of "all that is called God, or that is worshipped." Papacy hitherto has not answered this description, though it has shadowed it forth and prepared the way for it. The Anti-Christ" is clearly described by John in his First Epistle, chap. 2, verse 22; "He is [the] anti-christ that denieth the Father and the Son." The emphatic "the" is in the original. There are and have been, "many anti-christs;" but there is to be revealed one who is emphatically " the anti-Christ;" and John clearly designates him as a denier of " the Father and the Son." Such has not been, hitherto, the character of Papacy: it may possibly yet assume it, to adapt itself to the spirit of Atheism that is now spreading in Europe with awful power; for the leading revolutionists there are sworn Atheists. But we may say more on that subject hereaftor.

SCRIPTURE EXPOSITIONS :- I PETER IL By THE EDITOR.

[Continued from Vol. V., page 182.]

VERSES 11 and 12 .- Dearly beloved, I beseech you as strangers and pilgrims, abstain from fleshly lusts, which war against the soul; having your conversation honest among the Gentiles : that, whereas they speak against you as evil-doers, they may by your good works, which they shall behold, glorify God in the day of visitation.

" Dearly beloved "-of God ; and by the apostle, because his affections were in sympathy with God, "I beseech"—I entreat, beg, implore—" you, as strangers and pilgrims "—those who consider themselves as having no settled home in this passing state, but are travellers or sojourners, passing to another country; a characteristic claimed by all the saints, in all ages—"abstain "—be far from : that is, keep at a distance—"from fleshly"—bodily, corporeal-" lusts "-desires: the original word seems to be from epi, upon, and thumos, the mind, to set the mind upon; perhaps with the idea also of being absorbed with them; as is the case with those who are under the government of their animal nature. Against such a course of life the apostle solemnly warns those who would attain to immortality. Such things he informs them "war against the soul"-psuchees-life, spirit, mind, affections, person; that is, the course of life he warns them against tends directly to destroy them utterly and forever; " for, if ye live after the flesh. ye shall die; but, if ye through the Spirit do mortify the deeds of the body ye shall *luc.*" Rom. 8: 13. "Having your conversation "-course of life-" honest "virtuous, upright-"among the Gentiles "-those that know not God-" that whereas they speak against you as evil-doers "-mischievous, ill-disagainst you as evil-doers "-mischievous, ill-dis-posed, malicious--"they may by your good works" -course of life, or behavior--" which they shall behold "--or see--"glorify "-honor, praise; ac-knowledge the true excellence of; also, to worship, to adore--" God in the day of visitation "--in-spection, deliverance, punishment. What was exactly in the apostle's mind in this expression we are not prepared to say positively. It may have are not prepared to say positively. It may have reference to the coming judgments on the nation of Jews, now about to take place, as this epistle is supposed to have been written about three years before the siege of Jerusalem by the Romans. This. seems the most probable conclusion, as the visitation was something by which the Gentiles might be led to honor God, or acknowledge his truth. If others think it relates to the revelation of Christ, spoken of in the first chapter, we shall not dispute with them about it. The apostle next gives some specific directions in reference to their conduct 10 various classes of men, in the relations of life.

VERSES 13-17 .-- Submit yourselves 'to every ordinance of man for the Lord's sake ; whether it be to the king, as supreme : or unto governors, as unto them that are sent by him for the punishment of evil-doers, and for the praise of them that do well. For so is the will of God, that with well-doing ye may put to silence the ignorance of foolish men: as free, and not using your liberty for a cloak of maliciousness, but as the servants of God. Honor all men. Love the brotherhood. Fear God. Honor the king.

"Submit yourselves" therefore, [so it reads in the original, the Greek word, oun, having been left un-

MONEY, when carefully mailed to us for the Examiner, is our loss, if it does not arrive safe ; we wish not to have our subscribers think they must pay it again. We have lost, by the mail, more this year than usual. By the non-arrival of the letter containing the money, delay loccurs in sending the Gaperrence: Md Brauslated A tothevery ordinatico '- institution, es-

tablishment-"of man for the Lord's sake." The whole direction has special reference to what was said in the previous verse. That as those who knew not God spake against them as evil doers, t they should so live that the enemies of christianity should be led to honor God ; and "therefore" [a most important word] they should submit to the institutions, governments under which they might live; and do this not because they really owed any allegiance to any of earth's governments-as we shall soon see-but, they were to submit, or arrange themselves to those governments for the Lord's sake, or on account of the Lord, that men might have all occasion for speaking evil of his cause removed, and be led to glorify him. So our Lord said to Peter "the children" were "free" from paying tribute, but at the same time added "Lest we should offend them "-or cause them to stumble, "go thou to the sea, and take the fish that first conteth up, and when thou hast opened his mouth thou shalt find a piece of money, that take and give for thee and me." Here is the principle; submission to the rule of men is not required of the saints because of any right the governments of earth have over them; but God requires it of saints lest men should be stumbled and reject his service if an op-posite course were pursued. We submit to these governments in order to take away all occasion they may have for speaking evil of the cause of God. But submission has a two-fold aspect: we may submit by obeying their ordinances, or by unresistingly suffering the penalty attached to disobedience. It should always be the former when the enactments of men do not interfere with the plain commands of God; when they do thus interfere, our submission is to manifest itself by passively enduring the penalty of their laws: to actively obey men in such a case is to renounce allegiance to God, and cast off his government. But either actively or passively we are, on the Lord's account, to submit to every institution of man; "whether it be unto the king, as [the] supreme [ruler]; or unto governors [subordinate rulers] as unto them that are sent by him [the king-as in the case of Roman Emperors, various governors to Judea and elsewhere] for the punishment of "-or to execute justice upon - "evil doers"—the ill-disposed, malicious, mis-chievous—"and for the praise"—reward, recompense : of course, implying protection-"'of them that do well." The true office of a ruler here is clearly pointed out; and the true position of the saints in relation to that office, viz., submission, as before described : "for so is the will of God, that with well doing yemay put to silence "-take away the occasion of the evil speaking-" the ignorance" -agnosian-the unreasonableness-"of foolish men "-men devoid of understanding, inconsiderate men. "As free"-eleutheroi-free born, inheriting liberty: they were the Lord's free men, and oue allegiance alone to him as their king; and yet, though free—"not using your liberty as a cloak"a pretext-" of maliciousness "-wickedness, mischief-"but [use it] as servants of God"-having all your conduct regulated by a regard to what will tend to the promotion of his honor and the furtherance of his cause, and not seeking a mere selfish gratification under a pretence of enjoying a liberty differing from other men, but—"honor"—esteem, respect—" all men "—whatever their rank or con-

united in affection with—"the brotherhood" those begotten again, the family of Christ, the second Adam. "Fear God. Honor"—esteem. respect—"the king"—the supreme ruler, power, because God requires it.

VERSES 18 and 19.—Servants, be subject to your masters with all fear; not only to the good and gentle, but also to the froward. For this is thank-worthy, if a man for conscience toward God endure grief, suffering wrongfully.

The term translated "servants" here is not the same as that in Eph. 6: 5, there it is douloi ; upon which we have remarked-here the word is oiketai, and signifies "one living in the same house with any one "-it may include all, whether servants in the ordinary sense of that term, or children, friends, or others residing with the head of the household-" he subject "-upotassomenoi-be obedient, be submissive—"to your masters "-despolais-a lord, head of a family. It is evident, to our mind, it is in this sense it is used in the text : for, the apostle is descending in the scale of government : first, that of God ; next, "the king"-then "governors sent by" the king: he then descends to the government of families by the head, or lord, of the family, or honsehold, and requires all-oikctai-dwellers in the household to be subject, obedient, or submissive to the head of the family: without which all would be confusion and strife. God has ordained order throughout all his works; and if men would regard that ordinance, all would be peace, harmony, love, and good will. This subjection to heads of families God requires of all that dwell in them—" with all fear "-lest the order and harmony should be broken-" not only to the good "-agathois-kind, pure, virtuous-" and gentle"-epicikcsin-mild, patient-" but also to the froward "-skolioiscrooked, peevish, hard to please. The character of the head of a family is not the test of obligation to obey: the government of the worst is better than anarchy; and his authority is not to be resisted so long as it does not directly and palpably clash with the authority of God, of which we are not to judge by our feelings or fancies, but by a plain " thus saith the Lord." That the term despotes has come to be used in our day to signify a tyrant, an oppressor, we know; but, we are satisfied, originally, as used by Peter, it had the signification we have given it. If these heads of families are fretful, hard to please, and abusive, those under them should bear it patiently, out of regard for the authority of God, and that his cause may receive no hindrance by their disorderly conduct. In such a course if they "endure grief, suffering wrongfully," God will see that they have their reward, for it is "thankworthy"--charis-worthy, in the sight of God, of reward. Surely, we may well suffer wrong patiently, from the most froward head of a family, when by so doing we please God, and he is engaged to see that our patience shall not go unrewarded : but we must see to it that the sufferings we endure are not deserved by our own evil conduct.

VERSE 20.—For what glory is it, if, when ye be buffeted for your faults, ye shall take it patiently? But if, when ye do well, and suffer for it, ye take it patiently, this is acceptable with God.

differing from other men, but_"honor"_esteem, respect_"all men"_whatever their rank or condition; for, we are call of one damily by Adam afflicted, depressed, maltreated, [of course, none but dition; for, we are call of one damily by Adam afflicted, depressed, maltreated, [of course, none but dition; for, we are call of one damily by Adam afflicted affinity would box or thump those under him; but if this happen! "for your faults "-for your negligence of the path of obedience, or wilful offences, and "ye take it patiently," surely there is no reward for your sufferings in that case-" but if, when ye do well, and suffer for it. ye take it patiently, this is acceptable"-worthy of reward-" with God"-that is God's estimate of such sufferings.

VERSES 21-25 .- For even hereunto were ye called : because Christ also suffered for us, leaving us an example, that ye should follow his steps : who did no sin, neither was guile found in his mouth: who, when he was reviled, reviled not again; when he suffered, he threatened not; but committed himself to him that judgeth righteously : who his own self bare our sins in his own body on the tree, that we, being dead to sins, should live unto righteousness: by whose stripes ye were healed.

"For even hereunto were ye called "-to suffer patiently when ye do well-"because Christ" whose name ye bear-"also suffered for us"-when we were "froward "-" leaving us an example "a pattern, a copy to imitate—"that we should follow his steps "-act like him : who did no sin, neither was guile "-deception, fraud, falsehood-" found in his mouth : who when he was reviled "-railed at, reproached, insulted by opprobrious and abusive language-" reviled not again?"-did not return it-" when he suffered "-from his enemies, even unto death-"the threatened not"-so far from it, that he wept over Jerusalem when he beheld her coming judgments, and prayed for his murderers while expiring upon the cross -" but committed himself to him that judgeth "- forms an estimate of -" righteously "--justly: that is, however false the estimate of men may be, God will form a just estimate; hence, we may safely, as did our Lord, under all injuries, commit ourselves to God and to his decision; for, Jesus "his own self bare our sins "-that is, he suffered for, or on account of, our sins-not for his own ; for he had none-"in his own body "in his own person-" on the tree"-the cross :-without murmuring, impatience, or any spirit of revenge; but, entirely the reverse; thus leaving us a crowning "example "-[see verse 21] "that we"learning from his example of patience and confidence in God in that awful hour, might become of like mind and patience, and thus-"being dead unto sins "-having no more to do with sins than a man would have with the desires that occupy animal men in life; being thus dead unto sins, that we "should live unto righteousness," after the example, or pattern, our blessed Saviour set us. For when self is conquered, subdued, brought into complete subjection to the will of God, both in active obedience and patient suffering, then, truly, do we live unto righteousness, or unto God, and unto our Lord Jesus Christ-"by whose stripes ye were healed" both of the love and practice of sin. His sulferings, patience, and victory over all his sorrows, has left a lesson for us, that if studied, dwelt upon and made the subject of daily meditation, cannot fail to lead us to God and salvation.

"Bare our sins in his own body," &c. Sin is a moral disease, that needs to be removed. Christ united himself with us, became our elder brother, that he might redeem-deliver us from sin. By his union with us, though he knew no sin, he bare our sins that he might cure us of sin. We will illustrate found in the oriental world. this point in this way :- Suppose a perfectly healthy

to have grafted on to, or inserted in his body a diseased member-say, a hand, a foot or an eye : he would actually in that case bear the disease of that member; but, by the overflowing, renovating principle of the life and health in this man, the disease is overcome, and perfect health, strength and vigor restored to the member. Thus Christ bare our sins. We are discased and perishing. Christ placed him-self in connection with us by taking flesh and blood; and if we place ourselves in union with him by the Spirit of God, through faith, then a perfect connection is formed : we partake of Christ's life and health, and he bears our sins, or moral disease, till by the continued action of the Spirit of Life in Christ Jesus we are cured, or made perfectly whole: thus he bears our sins away, and we are made partakers of the divine nature: abiding in Christ we have our fruit unto holiness and the end everlasting life-or immortality, incorruptibility.

VERSE 25 .-- For ye were as sheep going astray ; but are now returned unto the Shepherd and Bishop of your souls.

"For ye were as sheep going astray "-out of the road, seduced, misled, deluded, allured into a false way-" but now are returned "-are brought back, turned about, converted-" unto the Shepherd "poimena-one who tends flocks, a protector, guide, one who cares for others and provides for their wel-fare—" and Bishop"—*episkopon*—overseer, guard-ian—" of your souls"—persons, lives: and here. especially, eternal life. Glorious characteristics of our blessed Saviour. Let us love and obey him.

IMMORTALITY-A FACT.

COMMUNICATED BY P. ALLING. In "Prideaux Connexion," Vol. 1, p 205, we have an account of the first introduction of the doctrine of the immortality of the soul among the Grecian philosophers, (about 500 years B. C.) by Pythagoras, a disciple of Zoroastres, the famous Persian impostor or prophet of the magicians; who, (says Prideaux.) "was the most ancient of any whom we have upon record, of all the heathen nations who taught it."-It appears that he was of Jewish origin, well versed in their religion, as also in the sciences of his day. That in his system he incorporated large portions of the law of Moses, the Psalms, &c., with the magian religion, and claimed Abraham as its father. Many of his ceremonies were similar to those of the Jews. His system was as widely extended and universal as that of Mohamed, and he himself was regarded in like manner as a prophet, who received his communications from the great God of the universe. His account of Creation, the flood, &c. agrees with the account given by Moscs. The great distinguishing characteristics of worship were, they regarded and worshipped fire and light as symbols of purity, perfection, &c., and darkness as its opposite. In the present state good and evil were blended as is light and darkness; but in the resurrection, (which they appeared to believe would be literal,) the virtuous would pass into light ineffable, and the wicked into an unending state of darkness, &c. That that religion continued until supplanted by the Mohammetans, and even unto this day fragments of its worshippers are to be

onAs many of the Jews after the Baby lonish cap-

and were identified with its institutions, we can readily see the manner in which they became tinctured with the heathen dogma of man's natural immortality. We may also understand the manner in which the heathen world generally became acquainted with the Mosaic account of the creation, flood, &c. Prideaux was a believer in the immortal-soul theory; and infers, that Daniel, and other prophets of Israel, before Zoroastres, believed the same; but, as he brings no proof, and as their writings teach nothing of the kind, I must believe his inferences on that point are not sustainable.

FROM C. W. Low. Fredonia, N. Y., January, 1851. Br. Storrs,-I wish to ask you one or two questions.

1. In the 20th of Luke, from the 27th to the 37th verse, what particular or grand fact does the Saviour prove? Is it, that the souls of departed, or dead men are alive in the spirit-world? or, that there will be a resurrection of the dead, -or both? I think it is the latter, viz: a resurrection, and that only. What say you?

2. We are taught by the popular theory of the day, that we have committed to our trust, an immortal soul, to be fitted for the skics. What I wish to know is, if the "*we*" is one being, and mortal, and the soul committed is another being, and immortal? If these things be true, what will be-come of the "us," to whom the soul is committed, if it be lost? Again, I heard a Methodist preacher say, last Sunday evening, that the righteous when they die, go to a place of safety and happiness, called paradise, or Abraham's bosom : there they remain until the judgment; that the wicked, when he died, went down to endless night and wo, and there remained until judgment. Now, Bro. Storrs. if this be true, will there be any in the grave to hear the voice of God? Will there be any asleep words on these three points. If I could write I would try to say more. With these broken thoughts I subscribe myself your friend and brother, looking for the coming of Christ.

We do not see that anything more need be said now, than what Bro. Low has said on the three points. It is only necessary to state the questions, as he has done, to show the absurdity of any other conclusions than those at which he has arrived .-On the first, it is most obvious that the question of the Sadducees, Luke 20th, relates wholly to the resurrection ; and to suppose that our Lord answered them with any other design than to prove that fact, is to suppose that he evaded the question. If it had been true that the Patriarchs were alive at that time, that could not prove the resurrectionthe very point in dispute ; and the Sadducees could not have been silenced, as they were.

A NOBLE STAND.

The following letter from BR. C. M. RICHMOND, is of too much interest to be kept "confidential;" and he must excuse us for making it public. We do it that all who suffer for the truth, may be en-

means, should be moved to extend a helping hand to sustain such true-hearted men, who choose to suffer, rather than betray the Truth. Let none who have this world's good content themselves with barely saying, "The Lord bless such brothren." How much do you want them "blessed ?" "It is more blessed to give than to receive." Do you believe that? We know it. Such men as Br. Richmond, Br. Tate, and others of like spirit, should be sustained, and not left to struggle alone.

We have just received a note from Br. Tate, acknowledging the receipt of \$3, we had the privilege of sending him from Br. Wybell, of St. Louis, in which he says, "I hardly know how to record my gratitude to him, to you, and to our Father in Heaven. From and through you, I have received the means of supporting my family in our solitude. I hope some time to give you substantial proof of my gratitude." This very acknowledgment is pay a thousand fold for the little help we have been the means of sending him the winter past. We hope soon to see Br. Tate and Br. Richmond, doing efficient service in travelling and proclaiming the truth. Let them be helped to do so. Br. Tate's address is Grandville, Kent co., Michigan. Most of our readers will remember his conflict with the New Eng. Conf. of Wesleyans, and his subsequent removal to the West. See Examiner for last year, especially December number.

Br. Richmond, we think, should be sustained, also, in "the west," where help is needed. Br. Battersby, at St. Louis, writes us, "For the love of souls, try and come here." We would gladly go if we saw any way to do so; at present we do not. Let those brethren laboring in the West be sustained. The harvest is great, and the Lord is raising up men there to do the work; but they must have bread for themselves and their families. Ye who have gold and silver, do not let it canker in your hands, when the fields are white to harvest, and the Lord is giving the men who are willing to do the work. Let them be fed. Not from tho mere crumbs that fall from your tables, but from the prosperity that God has given you. "We brought nothing into the world, and can carry nothing out;" but many there are, who could, if they would, feed God's hungry laborers, and so share the reward.

Here is brother Richmond's Letter. Read it; and ask yourself if you have not something to help such men of God, and act out your conviction at once.

BRO. STORRS,-Permit me to sit down and enjoy the privilege of a free and confidential conversation with you, who will, I am sure, appreciate the trials and encouragements, the joys and sorrows, that surround me. I have recently passed through the most/severe trial of mytwhole dife. With the Baptist ('hurch I have been connected

of my attachment to that denomination, and my brethren in the ministry, that I have tried hard, as my views began to change from "orthodoxy" (?) to labor in the cause of my Master without changing my old relations. I was intending to remain in the Baptist ministry during life, though conscious that I could not stand as a very "sound minister." have therefore tried the "say-not-much-about-it" principle; always conforming my preaching to my views of the destruction of the wicked and the sleep of the dead. I wished not to make my views prominent lest I might injure the unity of the church. But blessed be God, He gave me no rest in this ac-commodation system. I felt that I could not do my whole duty without preaching the whole truth. therefore laid the subject before the church, and resigned the pastoral charge. And now, my dear brother, I am free ! I feel that I have taken the right step. It was extremely afflicting to me and my brethren and sisters, to separate myself thus from them. I love them dearly as the children of my Father, with whom I hope to walk the golden streets of the New Jerusalem, but I am willing to make any sacrifice, 1 hope, for the Truth's sake. I never anticipate being again connected with a Baptist church as Pastor. I must not be gagged. I must be free. If there is provision made in this free government for us to worship God as we choose, we ought to avail ourselves of so rich a boon. I am sorrowful and yet rejoicing. I have a few in this place who rally around me—encourage me, and hold me up in this trying day. But most of the professed Christians, Baptists and all others, turn a cold side, and will scarcely call me brother.

Last Saturday I resigned my charge of the church. Monday I commenced a course of lectures, on the " Mortality of the Soul "---- " The unconscious state of the Dead "---- " The reward of the righteous, and the punishment of the wicked at the judgment, and not at death "--- and " Destruction, not endless pain, the penalty of Gol's law." These lectures were well attended, notice of them being given by hand-bills posted up throughout the town. Intense excitement prevails. Every body is talking and reading their Bibles on the subject. The Baptists are grieved to think I take the course I do; and I am truly sorry for them; but how can I help it? I must preach God's word; and the truth will do no harm.-About a dozen in this place believe the truth on the above subjects. Others are thinking, and I have no doubt much good will be the result. I have enlisted and I cannot-wish not to-retreat. I shall, by God's grace, contend for the truth, though the storm may rage with its utmost violence around me and upon me.

It encourages me, that those brothers who are on the truth, are as pious and devoted brethren as any in the place. Their influence is felt. Brother H. who takes the Examiner, is a precious brother of the Baptist Church, and exerts a good influence, both

for vital piety, and in favor of our views. I know not what is before me. I wish "to be, to do, and to suffer" all the will of God. He will direct. I ain poor, and have a family (wife and one child) to support. But if I had the means, I would rejoice in the privilege of spending my life in the blessed cause of preaching God's long neglected truth.

It is in a more plastic, formative state. But I think on some accounts I shall return to New York State .---At present my post-office address is here.

Yours, affectionately, C. M. RICHMOND. Peru, Miami Co., Ind. March 7, 1851.

FROM JAMES BATTERSBY. Saint Louis, Mo. March 2nd, 1851.

BRO. STORRS, - I again write to give you the names of a few more subscribers to your valuable and cheering paper. When I removed from New York city to this state, some eighteen months ago, I knew not of a single believer in the place. I have endeavored cautiously, but firmly, to awaken an interest on the subject of "life and death "-the "near coming of Christ"-the "Kingdom of God," and all the other sublime truths connected so intimately with the one first named. I find that I find that the success of the advent truth depends, in a great measure, upon the correct understanding of the nature of man, and the character of the Bible hope. If it is a truth that the entire man is mortal (and that it is, we receive the concurrent testimony of scripture and reason) then it is obvious that it and give necessary to "shew us the path of life" and give "immortality." The time when we receive this gift at once introduces us to the coming of the Saviour in his kingly power and clothed with regal authority. I do thank God that such a Saviour has been provided; and in embracing the truth that "life and immortality is brought to light" through such a Saviour, I do not rob him of his glory, as does the old theory, nor pluck one laurel from the diadem which encircles his brow. This subject has at length attracted attention: and I sincerely pray that many may yet see and rejoice in the truth. Dr. Whiting, whose acquaintance I have recently made, informed me that your Six Sermons were the means of his conversion, some 6 or 7 years ago; and he rejoiced to know he could have intercourse with you through the medium of your paper. The wife of Br. Yeats, who had for some time resisted this truth, told me, when on a sick bed, the pleasure and glory which filled her heart when she yielded to her convictions of the truth on this subject. "O, Bro. Battersby," says she, "the scripture is a new volume to me; it is as if a curtain had been lifted up, and I now feel it a privilege to read where before I was afraid." Bro. Yeats is at present a member of the Methodist E. Church, and much respected. Yet he has taken a bold stand for the truth which will doubtless subject him to persecution ; but undaunted he perseveres in giving them truth. I know it would do you good to see his zeal, and hear him talk. Hedeclared to me, that he never enjoyed so much of the spirit of christianity as since he became decided in these views.

Are you comming west ? For the love of souls, try and come here. I think you ought to come for awhile.

[Br. Yeats' letter will appear at another time .- ED]

PAWLING, N. Y .- If our friend in that place, who sent us a letter with money for some of the "Six Sermons," under date of "March 8th," will send us his or her name, we will forward the works im; Church of God General Conference: Mcomediatel GA, There/wasgnomame to the letter, and The West is a rich field, over which to sow the we have no knowledge of an individual in that

64

BIBLE EXAMINER.

NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE. EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

VOL. VI.

PHILADELPHIA, MAY, 1851.

NO. 5.

PUBLISHED MONTHLY, At 68 North Eighth Street, between Arch and Cherry, PHILADELPHIA, PA.

TERMS .- Single copy, for one year, \$1; six copies, \$5; thirteen copies, \$10; always in advance.

IMMORTALITY-ETERNAL LIFE.

BY WM. GLEN MONCRIEFF, SCOTLAND ...

"To them who, by patient continuance in well doing, seek for glory, and honor, and immortality, eternal life." Rom. 11. 7.

The reader will please to observe that the passage before us constitutes part of a two-fold exhibition of the awards of the judgment-day, or of the future des-tinies of men, accompanied with a statement of the grounds by which they are to be determined. "God will," says the 6th verse, "render to every man ac-cording to his works." Our subject of consideration refers to the holy and their transcendent destiny. "To them who, by patient continuance in well doing, seek for glory, honor, and immortality, (God will render, v. 6th.) eternal life;" and the following verses record the doom of the finally impenitent and rebellious. "But unto them that are contentious and do not obey the truth, but obey unrightcousness, (God will render) indignation and wrath," &c. By explaining the first, we will with sufficient clearness apprehend the meaning of both, and may we the while yield up our whole being to the teaching of infallible wisdom as it utters in our hearing its salutary lessons. For the sake of perspicuity, we shall divide our subject into two parts:

I. WHAT BLESSINGS ARE TO BE SOUGHT FOR, and

II. HOW THEY ARE TO BE OBTAINED.

I. THE BLESSINGS are glory, honor, and immor-tality," summed up in the two concluding words "eternal life." We even here take upon us the liberty of affirming that they are condensed into a focus by the phrase "eternal life," for that which is to be conferred must have been that which was sought for; so that it cannot be wrong, but on the contrary, most lawful, were we to express the substance and force of the text thus: "To them who, &c., seek for eternal life, God will render eternal life;" as we find it in a parallel verse, "Be thou faithful unto death, and I will give thee the crown of life." This "glory, honor, and immortality," in a word, "eternal life," must be sought for, and sought for in the divinely specified course. We shall give our chief attention to the "immortality," and then shortly glance at the "glory and honor," which are to be sought for also as its accompaniments in the after state.

aphtharsian, literally INCORRUPTION, from the verb the propounders of this view, sincerity, but moral phtheiro, to spoil, corrupt, destroy. Phtharsia is cor-ruption, aphtharsia, of which aphtharsian, the word ask how can there be patient continuance in well under notice, is the accusative case singular—means doing without spiritual integrity and virtue? The without corruption, or incorruption. The word oc- "incorruption" is a state of existence to be gained by Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER. curs in one or other of its forms in the text we are now to quote: "This corruptible must put on incorruption"—aphtharsian—I Cor. xv. 53, and also v. 54 of the same chapter. "Our Saviour Jesus Christ, hath — brought life and immortality (aphtharsian) to light by his gospel"—2 Tim. i, 10. "To an in-heritance incorruptible"—aphtharton—1 Peter i; 4; also, 1 Tim. i, 17; and Rom. i, 23. The proper word for immortality, deathlessness, is athanatos, and these two terms should have invariably been rendered by their proper English symbol, for there is a difference, we suggest, in their import. That which is mortal may not be corruptible, though certainly that which is corruptible in its constitution is mortal. The sternal God, being a pure, unorganized, self-existing spirit, is both immortal and incorruptible; and if there were in the universe as pure creature-spirits

as immortal-soulists can imagine, they might be mortal, that is, the term of their duration in consciousness might be limited, though they would be incorruptible, or incapable of becoming rotten and loathsome like "the earthly house of this tabernacle" in which we reside. In some sense, it is universally allowed that man as he is may be described by both of these terms-mortal and corruptible: the last necessarily, as formerly observed, including the first. In what sense, then, do we "mortal" and "corruptible" beings require to seek for incorruption? Or, what is the immortality or incorruption here spoken of? Let us examine some of the interpretations that we have seen advanced on different occasions, and by a little patience we may hope to discover the truth.

1st. It has been proposed to understand the term as meaning "sincerity," viewing it entirely as a metaphorical use of the Greek word aphtharsian. Of this usage we have unquestionably one example in Titus, ii. 7, "in doctrine showing uncorruptness, gravity, sincerity"—aphtharsian. We find not the smallest reason to object to the rendering in Titus, for the term in that passage is employed figuratively, in other words, to designate a good state of mind; but its being used this way in one place, is surely no warrant for understanding it always in a figurative mode. Without a doubt it may be used figuratively and literally: it may denote the constitution of a being, or the state of mind and heart in him. In what manner is it employed in the verse engaging our attention? Now it is a fatal objection to the term as a figure in the text, that by so regarding it, we are compelled to confound an end with the means; it is by sincerity and other excellence that the "incorruption" is to be sought for. Can there be "patient continuance in *well doing*" without sincerity? The idea is preposterous and absurd in the extreme. Men are therefore not commanded to seek for future sincerity, but by present, sincere and persevering welldoing, to endeavor after the "incorruption," or incorruptible resurrected being.

2d. Another interpretation is similar to the above, The Greek word here translated "immortality," is but generalizes the moral excellence. It is not, say present moral incorruptness, and none but those who | that the word immortality is used adjectively here; cherish and manifest this character will teach the and I feel bound to retain it as our English version prize.

But, it may be said, if it is not incorruption in the sense of a holy moral state of being, may it not be a final confirmation in such a state? To us it is sufficient to reply, that the text does not speak of confirmation in incorruption, but of incorruption itself, and we desire to have as holy an abhorrence of the custom of adding to God's words, as of taking from already reprobated, and which will be condemned by them. Moreover, the idea of confirmation in holiness, generally understood, is in itself, to our minds, as unphilosophical as it is unscriptural. That any free moral agent (and how could an agent be moral and unfrec?) can be so placed in the universe as to make it an impossibility for him to sin, is in our eyes the climax of absurdity: indeed, if he could not sin, there would be no virtue, no moral excellence and larged by our apocryphal addition, but to be honloveliness in his submission to the divine requirements. We firmly believe that sinning will be abso-lutely unknown in the "new earth," wherein rightcousness shall alone flourish in the richest luxuriance: but transgression will be absent only in the sense that the individuals will voluntarily obey the statutes of their King, and continue of choice to do so. This is all the perpetuation that we can imagine, and it is quite different from the common notion about sainted compulsory confirmation in rightcousness: the twin-sister, by the way, of forced and not moral and voluntary conversions in time.

3d. Others view the word aphtharsian as adjectively qualifying the two preceding nouns "glory and honor," and read the verse in this manner, "who by patient continuance in well doing seek for immortal glory and honor," &c. And here we cannot adopt a better plan than to quote a few judicious sentences bearing exactly on this point, from the pen of our esteemed friend, Mr. Dobney:

"I still feel compelled to adhere to our English version of this text, which represents the righteous, by patient continuance in well doing, seeking for glory and honor and immortality, notwithstanding the Reviewer, with whom it is true are most expositors, says: The position of the word immortality, at the close of the sentence, requires it to be regarded, after the Greek idiom, as an adjective; so giving to the whole phrase the idea of immortal glory and honor.' Now, I respectfully ask, what is the Greek idiom that requires this rendering? That the figure hendiadys is often used, I most cheerfully concede. But surely no one will affirm that when two or more nouns come together, the last must be construed adjectively; for how many texts occur to the mind in a moment which refuse submission to such a rule. Take, for instance, the phrase in v. 10 of the same chapter: 'But glory, honor, and peace to every man that worketh good;' no man renders it 'peaceful glory and honor;' and v. 4, 'Despiseth thou the riches of his goodness and forbearance, and long suffering?" 'I am the resurrection and the life,' does not mean 'I am the living resurrection. So 'Christ is made unto us wisdom, righteousness, and sanctification and redemption; where, as I have already shown, is a beautiful gradation and rise in the thought suggested, till we come to the last magnificent result. 'He that prophesieth, speaketh unto men to edification and comfort.' 'There shall be weeping, wailing and gnashing of teeth,' &c., &c.

make immortality contingent, it appears to me a corruption, it is raised in incorruption." 1 Cor. XV. somewhat arbitrary thing for expositors to affirm 43; Comp. v. 48 and 57, 58.

gives it, which represents immortality as a blessing to be sought for."-Future Punishment, p. 215, Lon. edition.

4th. Others would enforce upon us the idea that the "immortality" here spoken of is immortal happiness. We observe that this interpretation is guilty of adding to God's word, a course which we have every pious man who would reflect for a moment on the nature of the offence and its pernicious tendencies. In all truth if one shall add happiness to the "immortal," I know no reason why another might not prefer his addition, and append to the adjective, lawlessness, epicureanism, or anything that might suit his taste. The Bible was given us not to be enestly interpreted, like any other document, for our But again, it is plain this guidance and salvation. interpretation is based on the popular notion of man's natural immortality, which is no where inculcated in the book of inspiration. We never saw one passage that made the slightest approach to such a dogma; and we can point to hundreds that give a flat contradiction to the reigning notion. This is the language of inspiration-"The soul that sinneth, it shall die;" "All the wicked will God destroy:" these and all such authoritative announcements concerning the doom of the unholy settle the question in the clearest manner. Like many others, we once believed the pagan notion of human immortality, and had all the prejudice of early and theological training to contend with in our inquiry after the truth as to man's condition and prospects; nevertheless, a victory was gained by us, and we view man as a candidate for immortal being, and not already an heir to it.

Another exposition we have become ac-5th. quainted with is bodily incorruption, associated, however, in the teaching of its advancers with the doctrine of the soul's immortality. Now this is an approximation to what we esteem to be the truth, and we are always glad when we see our friends and brethren making an approach to the correct view of the great question on which we so widely differ from them. But let us examine this interpretation for a few moments. One thing we request the reader to observe, which necessarily results from the view in question is, that if corporeal incorruptibility must be sought for, none but the righteous will attain to it, either by a resurrection or transformation at the coming of our Lord. If so, the wicked will be raised corruptible, and therefore subject to corporeal dissolution, for that which is corruptible is mortal, a corruptible immortal thing being a contradiction in Confessedly, then, the wicked will again be terms. disembodied, even supposing their spirits may survive that event, as they are imagined to survive the first dissolution of their corruptible dwelling, and live in a separate state till the hour of resurrection. In some literal sense, then, they will die "the second death," and that they will survive it, as disembodied souls, is an assertion that would need to be established by solid scriptural arguments before it could be admitted by a considerable thinker. In harmony with the inferential truth now manifested, it is worthy of observation, that the scriptures represent the "Now, since reason cannot prove man immortal, rightcous alone as coming forth from the tomb with and since the general tenor of scripture seems to incorruptible bodies; as, for instance, "it is sown in

maintain that the souls of the resurrected corporenlly traordinary cases the Almighty has even rendered corruptible wicked are destined to ceaseless being? With the question as to the possibility of consciousness surviving death, as is generally conceived to be ample of the three Hebrews who were east into the the case, we intend not on this occasion to intermed- hery furnace and came forth uninjured; and it matdle; it is amply sufficient for our present aim to take ters nothing whether we regard the miracle wrought the popular opinion that our nature is compound, upon them, or in the suspension of the destroying and argue with its mointainers the point of immor-tality as a property of the soul. Well, then, how At last we see the righteous are to gain an incorrupcan it be proven that the souls of the wicked are to exist forever? Have they immortal souls? Again, shows us how the life can be eternal, without a mi-we press on the notice of opposing parties, and we racle, always, however, leaving them to be depenaffectionately entreat them to consider the fact that dent on the Almighty-sustaining arm, but to endure the natural immortality of the soul is never taught by the laws of their being forever, as we by the in the Bible, and that immortality in no sense is ever laws of our present constitution only endure for a ascribed to the wicked. "The soul that sinneth, it, time, and in some cases that of the briefest dura-shall die." "Fear not them which kill the body, but tion. are not able to kill the soul, but rather fear him who is able to destroy (and will destroy) both soul and shall we also appear with him in glory. It doth not body in hell." "Behold all they that are incensed yet appear what we shall be, but we know that when shall be as nothing, and they that strive with thee see him as he is." "Who shall be like him, for we shall shall be as nothing, and they that strive with thee see him as he is." "Who shall change our vile body shall perish." Ezek. xviii. 4; Matt. x, 28; Isa. xli. that it may be fashioned like unto his glorious body, 11, 12. Of course the argument would be even more according to the work whereby he is able to subdue powerful, unanswerable as it is, could it be proven all things unto himself." Such predictive announcethat man is not a compound being, having a soul ca- ments cast a blaze of light on the future events in the pable of disembodied existence, and that therefore history of the victorious saints, and may well impart death necessarily concludes his experience and con-to the living rightcous a joy and gratitude exceeding sciousness entirely. On this inquiry, however, as the utterance of angel's tongue. "Christ is our previously stated, we do not at present enter, though life," and he will infuse the quenchless vitality into we may suggest for the reader's consideration such his people when he summons them from the dust; things as these—the very idea of death, the fact that and as he became like us when "the Word was made death is spoken of as a sleep, and more particularly flesh," in the fullness of time, we shall thus eventusuch inspired statements as "the dead praise not the ally become like him, now that he is glorified, and Lord," "the dead know not anything." Ps. 115: 17; beyond the dominion of death. Now we have the Ecc. 9: 5 and 6 vs.

6th. Need we ask now what is the correct expo-sition of the word "incorruption?" It is that immortal existence in the future world, which Jesus ration. 1 Cor. xv. 35-46. has brought to light by his gospel, and which will order of progression in the universe; and it is infinbe granted to all those who rightly seek for it here. ite mercy in God that invites our race to ascend from Our present being is frail and decaying, and at the the lower to the higher through the new and mediatermination of our period of duration on earth, death torial arrangement established in Jesus, after, in cousigns us to the grave, and that which was cor- Eden, the original plan prescribed by Heaven was ruptible, wastes and dissolves in the dust. Dust we voluntarily despised and frustrated by the represenare and return to it again. Life closes for the time, and at the resurrection we shall awake either cor- vi. 50; Rom. vi. 23. ruptible or incorruptible-deathless or to die. "Flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God." Strictly speaking, no life can be designated corrup- assigned to the heirs of the everlasting kingdom. tible, since the life, the vital force, though it may What these are can at present be but dimly under-expire, cannot surely rot and dissipate like a car- stood, for they are subjects of revelation rather gen-case. But life may be in a corruptible or in an in- eral and cloudy—though we admit the clouds are excorruptible being, and of course the constitution of cessively brilliant-than of specific, clearly intelligithe creature will determine the possible duration of ble announcement. A few passages may be adduced the life. An animal being is constitutionally cor-ruptible, and therefore will die; but even an incor-ruptible being is not necessarily immortal. He might Thou shalt be ruler over teu cities—To him that die, if that should be the will of God, for what he overcometh will I grant to sit with me in my throne, makes he can as easily destroy. All that we can even as I also overcame and am set down with my makes he, can as easily destroy. All that we can even as I also overcame and am set down with my determine is that the incorruptible and therefore un-animal constitution is freed from subjection to all white for they are worthy," &c., &c. those influences which tend to reduce an organized animal being to destruction. Eternal life could only glory, honor, and incorruption, God will reuder exist in beings organized as we are by a perpetual "eternal life," a phrase which here expresses immormiracle counteracting the inherent tendencies to de- tality and all its associated grandeur and blessedcay, and the outward forces that co-operate with and ness. invigorate these tendencies; and the revelating in ceaseless duration of conscious being, but as they regard to the future state of the righteous shows us who are to be continued in existence perpetually are clearly that in their promised incorruptibility, there the holy ones, and as they are to dwell in the midst shall be no decaying tendencies such as those with of all that can delight the intellect and ravish the which we are familiar, hence no perpetual miracle to heart, we can conclude nothing but that the idea of

But further, on what ground could any interpreter prolong their being will be demanded. In some exfor the time fleshly organization as enduring as if it had been immortal and incorruptible, as in the ex-

"When Christ who is OUR LIFE shall appear, then animal being, and, if worthy, we enter at last in the higher condition of creature existence, that is, the the spiritual, the climax of dependent being and du-Apparently such is the tative of the human family. Gen. ii. 4, 14, 22; John

This incorruptibility of existence is not merely to be sought for, but also the glories and honors to be

To those, then, who, in the appointed way, seek for Radically, no doubt, it simply expresses

"eternal life." ness of joy, at thy right hand there are pleasures for evermore," &c., &c. What a scene opens up to the eye of Faith as she looks forward to the appearing of the Reducmer! What splendid visions the new heavens and the new earth, to be tenanted by the blessed! What a contrast to the lot of the condemned, and the blackness of darkness with its unbroken reign!

We now proceed to consider THE MEANS where-11. by the glorious immortality is to be obtained-"-By patient continuance in well doing."

"Well doing" includes a right state of heart and conduct towards God and men; having the mind in us that was in Jesus Christ: denying ourselves unto ungodliness and worldly lusts, and living soberly, rightcously and godly. It may be summed up thus: it is a lowly aud continuous imitation of the Son of Philosophically speaking, it is to act through God. life under the guidance of a heaven-enlightened intellect; and impelled in our conduct by veneration, benevolence and justice, keeping our appetites and inferior sentiments under the dominion of these moral attributes of our nature. In this manner the of awakened sinners. God pities, compassionates holy religion teaches us to behave ourselves before every guilty child of man; and He loves the righteous our Father in heaven, and in our transactions with our brethren of mankind. "Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart-and thy neighbor as thyself." But Christianity not merely inculcates this course of procedure as the only mode of life befitting us as responsible agents, and as the only one that can receive the Divine approbation, but it furnishes most powerful motives and supports to such conduct, and it is chiefly to these that we would for a little solicit the reader's attention. It is here that much of the popular Christian teaching fails, and on no subject could a lack of information be more discouraging and deleterious. Men require not so much at this day to have the will of God explained to them as to be enlightened concerning the fountains of divine strength and stimulus provided for their gracious use. It is moral health and vital force that is needed, and the momentous inquiry arises, how are they to be obtained?

And here suffer us to make one remark of a general nature, that as the cure required for men is a moral one, only moral remedies are to be sought for. All physical influences to renew men are, in our judgment, no where promised in the Bible, no where in that volume ever said to be needed, or at any time employed for the moral regeneration of the sons of men. Indeed, if they were necessary, it seems to us impossible for any human being in his senses, to blame himself or his neighbor for being without them, and all that they are supposed to be capable of effecting. What influence but a moral one can act on privilege to behold the compassion for each of us al-mind? How can a moral agent be enlightened and ready in existence there, where it has lived from the swayed and bettered, except by motive, argument and persuasion, addressed to his understanding, con-science and heart. "My Spirit;" said the Lord to Noah, the antedeluvian preacher of righteousness, "My Spirit shall not always strive with men." Was love induced Him to send His "only begotten Son," this a physical exercise of omnipotence on the minds to bear each of our sins "in his own body on the of the opposing sinners of that time? What! Al- tree," that through Him we might live forever-mighty strength needing to string with the tree." mighty strength needing to strive with absolute "Herein is love, not that we loved God, but that Ho weakness! Is not the very idea of Omnipotence loved us, and sent this Son to be the propitiation for having to strive to accomplish any physical result a our sins." 1 John iv. 10. Think of the gift-how

blessedness and glory are embraced in the words contradiction in terms? But Jehovah's Spirit was Other passages speak clearly what striving with these sinners, and the very language in we regard as thus presented impliedly, and delight- the verse we are remarking upon, demonstrates that ful are the announcements to which we refer. They the result was not to be gained by an operation of are such as these: "Now we see through a glass physical power at all. He strove with them by the darkly, but then face to face"—"Then shall I know arguments of love and warning, and the end he had even us I am known"—"In thy presence there is ful- before him was to incline them from the road of sin and destruction. And still is He striving with human sinners, and especially with those who are under the sound of His gospel, which he has pronounced to be "the power of God UNTO SALVATION TO EVERY ONE THAT BELIEVETH." It is moral power that is pro-vided and employed, and it is vain to dream of conversion and sanctification from any other agency whatever: this doctrine alone harmonizes with sound views of what a moral agent is, and alone leaves each of us to feel himself culpable should he continue under the dominion of sin.

And now let us contemplate some of the quickening and sustaining moral forces that God employs with us, having a natural and delightful tendency to incline us to persevere in well doing in hope of the kingdom that is incorruptible and superlatively glorious.

1. The Bible reveals the love of God for every sin-Continually ought the Divine love to be exhibner. ited in its two-fold aspects-the love of compassion and the love of approbation; and unless this is done a most withering blast will be swept across the hearts because to a certain extent they reflect His moral likeness. There is immense compassion in the heart of God for each human being viewed as a sinner; and we have it gloriously manifest in John iii. 16: "God so loved the world (the sinful world of mankind) that he gave His only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life." Here, then, is love in God for us prior to any love in us for llim; and every man is urged to believe this, or to admit, as a truth, with all its cheering and consoling influence, into his heart. We have often met troubled inquirers who were on the brink of despair because they were ignorant of the Divine love for their souls, and imagined that they had to endeavor to kindle compassion toward them in the bosom of the Almighty, and were striving in various ways, such as by tears and prayers and penitence, to accomplish this end. No wonder, then, they were miserable, for the text we have just quoted from John, convinces us that the labor was in vain, and in fact, though they were unconscious of it, exceedingly dishonoring to Him that sitteth upon the threne. "We love him because he first loved us," 1 John iv. 19, is the language of New Testament saints, and of all scripturally enlightened piety. It is the fire in the hearth that warms us, not we that kindle and warm the burning coals. We do not require to awaken for ourselves love in the bosom of our Heavenly Parent; indeed, how could we effect any such result? It is, however, our unspeakable first of days. O! most wonderful and transporting consideration! My sinful brother! behold thy God, My sinful brother! behold thy God, and learn that he loves thee now, just as thou art, This vast with an infinite and most enduring pity. Think of the gift-how

precious! how needful! how free! and no more doubt | mation plain to every understanding, and the reader Heaven's unborn pity for you, though the vilest of the vile and the guiltiest of the guilty!

2d. The Bible reveals the love of Jesus for every sinner. "He tasted death for every man," and being a man, I say "He loved me and gave Himself for me," and we entreat every sinful brother man immediately to adopt the same language with reference to himself. Not many days ago, we were conversing with a woman who had for long years been unhappy because she did not know if Jesus had any love for her; and very wretched she had often been. What was more astounding to us was the fact that she believed He had died for her, and yet she had never risen, and had never been taught by her minister-to whom she had frequently opened up her case-to ascend to the simple and self-evident conclusion that Jesus must have loved her, else He never would have first the kingdom of God and his righteousness, and become a sacrifice on Calvary for her sins, that she all these things shall be added unto you." Matt. vi. might be saved. By a little conversation we enabled 33. her to discover that by the very fact of His dying for her, she was authorized to take up the exclamation of Paul and to sny, "The Son of God loved me and gave himself for me." The view she obtained of a Saviour who had loved her and who was loving her still, dissipated her terrors, and, like the Ethiopian cunuch, she went from us on her way rejoicing. How soothing and morally powerful are the most scriptu-ral words of Luther—"The Son of God loved me and gave himself for me. It was not I then that first loved the Son of God, and delivered myself for him. Who is that 'me?' Even I, wretched and damnable sinner, so dearly beloved by the Son of God, who gave himself for me." For Christ, when he cometh, is nothing else but joy and sweetness to a trembling and broken heart, as here Paul witnesseth, who setteth him out with this most sweet and comfortable title when he saith "which loved me and gave himself for me." Read therefore with great vehemency these words "me" and "for me," and so inwardly practise with thyself, that thou with a sure faith mayest conceive and point this "me" in thy heart, and apply it unto thyself, not doubting but that thou fight and lay hold of eternal life? art of the number of those to whom this "me" belongeth."-On Galatians, ii. 20 v.

3d. The Bible reveals that every sinner may now have forgiveness of all his sins, and know that they are remitted. Jesus, "who was wounded for our transgressions," has been exalted to give repentance unto Israel and the remission of sins. Jehovah now waits to be gracious to all who approach Him in the name of His Son Jesus. Consider for a moment this text "if we confess our sins he is faithful and just to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us from all un-righteousness:" 1 John i. 9. What could be more encouraging and blissful to one who knows that he has sinned against his Maker? In the name of Jesus, seek for mercy and it shall be granted. "We have redemption through his blood, and the forgiveness of ourselves to them fully and at once, and we shall find sins." And how, some one may inquire, am I to a strength and a happiness with which the Christless know when God has pardoned my offences? We answer, fulfill the condition and take God at his word. "Ask and ye shall receive;" supplicate pardon in the creation; we hear the singing of birds, and can see name of Jesus, and when you have done so, believe the opening buds and flowers. The winter is over that your request is bestowed. In no other way have you any warrant to expect the assurance of mercy, day, coming up from dispensing his bounty in more or to be sure that you have found it; and is not the southern climes, are augmenting their energy, and promise of God enough-a solid and immovable basis the beauties and blessings of nature are evolving and for you to stand upon and to rejoice? Some trust preparing already on every hand. Fair and apt em-in dreams and impressions, and look for voices and blem of his moral condition on whom the God of signs of diverse order, but these are cheating phan- boundless, exhaustless love radiates his warming, toms, and can only mock the suppliant and dishonor quickening, beautifying rays through the great Me-your God. "Ask and ye shall receive," is an inti- diator and Revealer. Come, then, within the full

is invited to prove the faithfulness of his God, and to honor Him by resting in His all-sufficient, written testimony. Having found mercy through the blood of the Lamb, the pardoned suppliant rejoices-his very being dilates with happiness-"Blessed is the man whose iniquity is forgiven, whose sin is covered." Possessing this mercy, the woman, who had been a sinner of no ordinary cast, "loved much," and in lowly gratitude washed the Saviour's feet with her tears, and wiped them with the hairs of her head; having the same precious boon, you will feel an impulse to honor the Redeemer and to run in the way of his precepts. The Lord "taketh pleasure in them that hope in His mercy."

4th. The Bible reveals God as pledged to provide for the temporal necessities of his people. "Seek ye "He will withhold no good thing from them that walk uprightly." Ps. 84, 4 v. In serving God in a world like this, and in keeping a conscience void of offence, a man may often have occasion to risk his temporal resources, yea, as many have done, to resign them without any earthly prospect of providing for his necessities, and for those of others dependent on him. We have known individuals relinquish a business which their conscience, enlightened by scripture, condemned; we have known others testify for truth, and suffer in consequence reduction from competence to what is commonly called poverty, and yet they were sustained in their trials, and provided for by a promise-keeping God. Thus He animated them to a "patient continuance in well doing," when temptations were strong to give up their integrity and loyalty to truth and beaven. They were not put to shame, and, confiding in God, what could disappoint their bible-warranted expectations? He is faithful that promised: "heaven and earth may fail, but Jehovah's word cannot." Backed by the divine promises, who may not be strong? Who may not set his face like a flint? Who may not fight the good

5th. The hope of incorruptible being and celestial glory in the new Jerusalem is a powerful motive to continue in well doing. In a little the wicked shall not be-they will be consigned to the depths of perpetual oblivion; but the redeemed ones shall live and reign through eternal ages, beholding the unveiled wonders of Deity, and drinking at the fountains of perennial happiness. A little while and these trials will be over; a little while and the crown will be gained, the shadows will be fled, and then purest light and purest love!

By these and kindred moral and spiritual influences, the God of infinite love is now inclining men to enter on the path of holiness, and to hurry along it, strangers and pilgrims in the earth. Let us yield warm sun of spring is shining sweetly on the face of and gone, and the kindly influences of the stars of

"eternal life." ful are the announcements to which we refer. They the result was not to be gained by an operation of ness of joy, at thy right hand there are pleasures for Xc., Xc. What a scene opens up to the evermore, eve of Faith as she looks forward to the appearing of the Reducmer! What splendid visions the new heavens and the new earth, to be tenanted by the blessed! What a contrast to the lot of the condemned, and the blackness of darkness with its unbroken reign!

Ĥ. We now proceed to consider THE MEANS whereby the glorious immortality is to be obtained-"By patient continuance in well doing.

"Well doing" includes a right state of heart and conduct towards God and men; having the mind in us that was in Jesus Christ: denying ourselves unto ungodliness and worldly lusts, and living soberly, rightcously and godly. It may be summed up thus: it is a lowly and continuous imitation of the Son of Philosophically speaking, it is to act through God. life under the guidance of a heaven-enlightened intellect; and impelled in our conduct by veneration, benevolence and justice, keeping our appetites and inferior sentiments under the dominion of these moral attributes of our nature. In this manner the holy religion teaches us to behave ourselves before our Father in heaven, and in our transactions with our brethren of mankind. "Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart-and thy neighbor as thyself." But Christianity not merely inculcates this course of procedure as the only mode of life befitting us as responsible agents, and as the only one that can receive the Divine approbation, but it furnishes most powerful motives and supports to such conduct, and it is chiefly to these that we would for a little solicit the reader's attention. It is here that much of the popular Christian teaching fails, and on no subject could a lack of information be more discouraging and deleterious. Men require not so much at this day to have the will of God explained to them as to be enlightened concerning the fountains of divine strength and stimulus provided for their gracious use. It is moral health and vital force that is needed, and the momentous inquiry arises, how are they to be obtained?

And here suffer us to make one remark of a general nature, that as the cure required for men is a moral one, only moral remedies are to be sought for. it, exceedingly dishonoring to Him that sitteth upon All physical influences to renew men are, in our the threne. "We love him because he first loved judgment, no where promised in the Bible, no where in that volume ever said to be needed, or at any time employed for the moral regeneration of the sons of men. Indeed, if they were necessary, it seems to us impossible for any human being in his senses, to blame himself or his neighbor for being without them, and all that they are supposed to be capable of effecting. What influence but a moral one can act on mind? How can a moral agent be enlightened and ready in existence there, where it has lived from the swayed and bettered, except by motive, argument first of days. O! most wonderful and transporting and persuasion, addressed to his understanding, con- consideration! My sinful brother! behold thy God, science and heart. "My Spirit;" said the Lord to Noah, the antedeluvian preacher of righteousness, "My Spirit shall not always strive with men." Was love induced Him to send His "only begotten Son, this a physical exercise of omnipotence on the minds to bear each of our sins "in his own body on the of the opposing sinners of that time? What! Al- tree," that through Him we might live forover. mighty strength needing to strive with absolute "Ilerein is love, not that we loved God, but that Ile weakness! Is not the very idea of Omnipotence having to strive to accomplish any physical result a our sins." I John iv. 10. Think of the gift-how

blessedness and glory are embraced in the words, contradiction in terms? But Jehovah's Spirit was Other passages speak clearly what striving with these sinners, and the very language in we regard as thus presented impliedly, and delight- the verse we are remarking upon, demonstrates that are such as these: "Now we see through a glass physical power at all. He strove with them by the darkly, but then face to face"—"Then shall I know arguments of love and warning, and the end he had even as I am known"—"In thy presence there is fuland destruction. And still is He striving with human sinners, and especially with those who are under the sound of His gospel, which he has pronounced to be "the power of God unto salvation to every one THAT BELIEVETH." It is moral power that is provided and employed, and it is vain to dream of conversion and sanctification from any other agency whatever: this doctrino alone harmonizes with sound views of what a moral agent is, and alone leaves each of us to feel himself culpable should he continue under the dominion of sin.

> And now let us contemplate some of the quickening and sustaining moral forces that God employs with us, having a natural and delightful tendency to incline us to persevere in well doing in hope of the kingdom that is incorruptible and superlatively glorious.

1. The Bible reveals the love of God for every sinner. Continually ought the Divine love to be exhibited in its two-fold aspects-the love of compassion and the love of approbation; and unless this is done a most withering blast will be swept across the hearts of awakened sinners. God pities, compassionates every guilty child of man; and He loves the righteous because to a certain extent they reflect His moral likeness. There is immense compassion in the heart of God for each human being viewed as a sinner; and we have it gloriously manifest in John iii. 16: "God so loved the world (the sinful world of mankind) that he gave Ilis only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life." Here, then, is love in God for us prior to any love in us for Him; and every man is urged to believe this, or to admit, as a truth, with all its cheering and consoling influence, into his heart. We have often met troubled inquirers who were on the brink of despair because they were ignorant of the Divine love for their souls, and imagined that they had to endeavor to kindle compassion toward them in the bosom of the Almighty, and were striving in various ways, such as by tears and prayers and penitence, to accomplish this end. No wonder, then, they were miserable, for the text we have just quoted from John, convinces us that the labor was in vain, and in fact, though they were unconscious of us," I John iv. 19, is the language of New Testament saints, and of all scripturally enlightened piety. It is the fire in the hearth that warms us, not we that kindle and warm the burning coals. We do not require to awaken for ourselves love in the bosom of our Heavenly Parent; indeed, how could we effect any such result? It is, however, our unspeakable privilege to behold the compassion for each of us al-My sinful brother! behold thy God, and learn that he loves thee now, just as thou art, with an infinite and most enduring pity. This vast

precious! how needful! how free! and no more doubt | mation plain to every understanding, and the reader Heaven's unborn pity for you, though the vilest of is invited to prove the faithfulness of his God, and to the vile and the guiltiest of the guilty!

2d. The Bible reveals the love of Jesus for every aner. "He tasted death for every man," and being sinner. a man, I say "He loved me and gave Himself for me," and we entreat every sinful brother man immediately to adopt the same language with reference to himself. Not many days ago, we were conversing with a woman who had for long years been unhappy because she did not know if Jesus had any love for her; and very wretched she had often been. What was more astounding to us was the fact that she believed He had died for her, and yet she had never risen, and had never been taught by her minister-to whom she had frequently opened up her case-to ascend to the simple and self-evident conclusion that for the temporal necessities of his people. "Seek ye Jesus must have loved her, else He never would have first the kingdom of God and his righteousness, and become a sacrifice on Calvary for her sins, that she all these things shall be added unto you." Matt. vi. might be saved. By a little conversation we enabled 33. "He will withhold no good thing from them that her to discover that by the very fact of His dying for her, she was authorized to take up the exclamation of Paul and to say, "The Son of God loved me and gave himself for me." The view sho obtained of a Saviour who had loved her and who was loving her still, dissipated her terrors, and, like the Ethiopian for his necessities, and for those of others dependent cunuch, she went from us on her way rejoicing. How soothing and morally powerful are the most scriptural words of Luther-"The Son of God loved me and gave himself for me. It was not I then that first loved the Son of God, and delivered myself for him. Who is that 'me?' Even I, wretched and damnable sinner, so dearly beloved by the Son of God, who gave himself for me." For Christ, when he cometh, is nothing else but joy and sweetness to a trembling and broken heart, as here Paul witnesseth, who setteth him out with this most sweet and comfortable to shame, and, confiding in God, what could disaptitle when he saith "which loved me and gave himself for me." Read therefore with great vehemency faithful that promised: "heaven and earth may fail, these words "me" and "for me," and so inwardly but Jehovah's word cannot." Backed by the divine practise with thyself, that thou with a sure faith promises, who may not be strong? Who may not set may est conceive and point this "me" in thy heart, his face like a fint? Who may not fight the good and apply it unto thyself, not doubting but that *thou* fight and hay hold of eternal life? art of the number of those to whom this "me" belongeth."-On Galatians, ii. 20 v.

3d. The Bible reveals that every sinner may now have forgiveness of all his sins, and know that they not be-they will be consigned to the depths of perare remitted. Jesus, "who was wounded for our transgressions," has been exalted to give repentance unto Israel and the remission of sins. Jehovah now waits to be gracious to all who approach Him in the name of His Son Jesus. Consider for a moment this text "if we confess our sins he is faithful and just to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us from all un-righteousness:" 1 John i. 9. What could be more en-By these and kindre couraging and blissful to one who knows that he has sinned against his Maker? In the name of Jesus, seck for mercy and it shall be granted. "We have redemption through his blood, and the forgiveness of sins." And how, some one may inquire, am I to know when God has pardoned my offences? We answer, fulfill the condition and take God at his word. "Ask and ye shall receive;" supplicate pardon in the "Ask and ye shall receive;" supplicate pardon in the creation; we hear the singing of birds, and can see name of Jesus, and when you have done so, believe the opening buds and flowers. The winter is over that your request is bestowed. In no other way have you any warrant to expect the assurance of mercy, day, coming up from dispensing his bounty in more or to be sure that you have found it; and is not the southern climes, are augmenting their energy, and promise of God enough-a solid and immovable basis the beauties and blessings of nature are evolving and for you to stand upon and to rejoice? Some trust preparing already on every hand. Fair and apt em-in dreams and impressions, and look for voices and blem of his moral condition on whom the God of signs of diverse order, but these are cheating phan- boundless, exhaustless love radiates his warming, toms, and can only mock the suppliant and dishonor quickening, beautifying rays through the great Meyour God. "Ask and yo shall receive," is an inti- diator and Revealer. Come, then, within the full

honor Him by resting in His all-sufficient, written testimony. Having found mercy through the blood of the Lamb, the pardoned suppliant rejoices-his very being dilates with happiness-"Blessed is the man whose iniquity is forgiven, whose sin is covered." Possessing this mercy, the woman, who had been a sinner of no ordinary cast, "loved much," and in lowly gratitude washed the Saviour's feet with her tears, and wiped them with the hairs of her head; having the same precious boon, you will feel an impulse to honor the Redeemer and to run in the way of his precepts. The Lord "taketh pleasure in them that hope in His mercy.'

4th. The Bible reveals God as pledged to provide "He will withhold no good thing from them that walk uprightly." Ps. 84, 4 v. In serving God in a world like this, and in keeping a conscience void of offence, a man may often have occasion to risk his temporal resources, yea, as many have done, to resign them without any earthly prospect of providing on him. We have known individuals relinquish a business which their conscience, enlightened by scripture, condemned; we have known others testify for truth, and suffer in consequence reduction from competence to what is commonly called poverty, and yet they were sustained in their trials, and provided for by a promise-keeping God. Thus He animated them to a "patient continuance in well doing," when temptations were strong to give up their integrity and loyalty to truth and heaven. They were not put point their bible-warranted expectations? He is

5th. The hope of incorruptible being and celestial glory in the new Jerusalem is a powerful motive to continue in well doing. In a little the wicked shall petual oblivion; but the redeemed ones shall live and reign through eternal ages, beholding the unveiled wonders of Deity, and drinking at the fountains of perennial happiness. A little while and these trials will be over; a little while and the crown will be gained, the shadows will be fied, and then purest

By these and kindred moral and spiritual influences, the God of infinite love is now inclining men to enter on the path of holiness, and to hurry along it, strangers and pilgrims in the earth. Let us yield ourselves to them fully and at once, and we shall find a strength and a happiness with which the Christless are necessarily unacquainted. As we write, the warm sun of spring is shining sweetly on the face of and gone, and the kindly influences of the stars of

stream of the love-influence, and you will have a, to your moral nature. This hiding of God, which is so common in our day, is blasting the world, and paralyzing the church, and ought to be deplored in Divine compassion for men, and the destiny he wishes suffering of the wicked. them to climb to through faith, suffering and obedience, ought to be proclaimed with a voice of thunslumbering, deluded tribes of man wake from their fearfulness hath surprised the hypocrites. insensibility to the perception in Him of all that can ravish and invigorate and fortify a helpless creature. Love is Power, and "God is Love."

LIFE IN CHRIST.

BY EDWARD WHITE, ENGLAND.

[Continued from page 54.]

TESTIMONY OF THE OLD TESTAMENT ON THE PUNISH-MENT OF THE WICKED.

It has already been observed, that if the scripture doctrine on the human constitution be, that God created man with an imperishable spirit, it is probable, all things considered, that so grand a fundamental truth would present itself throughout the bible in perpetual allusions, and frequently in open declarations, just as the primitive rocks of the world are widely protruded through the over-lying strata.

And if it were reasonable to anticipate such allusions and declarations in one part of Holy Scripture rather than in another, it surely would be in that earlier series of revelations, which were evidently sent into the world to prepare it for the reception of Christianity. For, as we find a complicated and elaborate machinery set on foot in order to accustom the minds of men to the ideas of priesthood and sacrifice, so we might expect to discover an equally labored attempt to bring forth into strong relief that cherib, the effect of which was to consume the peopreliminary doctrine of Immortality, on which, it is asserted, the whole revelation is founded.

A candid reader, however, must assuredly admit, that the Old Testament Scriptures are strikingly destitute of any such abstract doctrine of human immortality; so much so, indeed, as to have led some persons to assert, that it was the gospel revelation which first brought the fact of man's eternity into public notice; and some others, such as Warburton, and the ancient Sadducees, to doubt the existence therein of any hope of a resurrection or life, even for the pious. This remarkable silence in the Old Testament, we have already assumed to be a solid argument against the truth of the common doctrines, and of the consequences which necessarily flow from them: but let us now further inquire, whether the statements of the Old Testament writings do not rather agree with the theory which has been propounded for examination: whether they do not studiously hold forth final and eternal destruction of the wicked, in opposition to the notion of their everlasting existence. The circumstance, that we have found these writings so explicit in the matter of everlasting rewards, should prepare us to expect an equal clearness in their representations upon retribution.

of the doctrine of the immortality of the soul of all them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, men, admits that "the Old Testament has nothing so and come forth to the resurrection of a literal des-

clear and express upon this subject as the New," (inblessed consciousness of strength and fire imparted tending, doubtless, "nothing so clear as he imagined he could discover in the New;" but, as less critical writers are not equally frank upon this important point, it is necessary to examine two or three texts, tears and sighs and groans. The truth about the which are alleged to indicate the eternal life and

The words of Isaiah, ch. xxxiii. 14, are presumed to refer to the everlasting existence of the wicked in der, and yet in tones winning as an angel's, till the the fires of hell. "The sinners in Zion are afraid; Who among us shall dwell with the devouring fire? who among us shall dwell with everlasting burnings?"

With a view to ascertain the true meaning of the words of the prophet, we may mention that Dr. Boothroyd translates the passage thus: "The sinners in Zion are filled with dread. Terror hath soized the profligate. Who among us can abide consuming fire? who among us can abide perpetual burnings?" careful attention to the context will show, that the chapter whence these words are taken refers to the desolating invasion of Sennacherib, in the age of Hezekiah and the prophet Isaiah, sent as a punish-ment for the sins of the people. On this point the the commentators are agreed. Viewed in this connection, the words of the text appear to have not even the most remote reference to future punishment in the other world; but were intended o represent the exclamation of the sinners of Zion, who rightly feared that the "continual confingrations" of war, the devastations of fire and sword which the invader caused, would terminate in their destruction; for who, said they, can dwell in these perpetual burnings? In the 13th verse the Lord thus addresses them: "Now will I arise, now will I lift up myself, now will I be exalted. Ye conceive chaff, and bring forth stubble, and my spirit like fire shall consume you. And the people shall be burned as lime: as thorns cut up they shall be consumed in the fire." Then follows the text, "Who among us shall abide consuming fire? who among us shall abide perpetual burnings?" And it is quite obvious, that these perpetual burnings are ths same with those mentioned above:-but they were the flames of war kindled at God's command by Sennaple utterly, as thorns are burnt up in a limekiln.

The other passage sometimes adduced with a similar view, is found in Daniel xii. 2: "And many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake; some to everlasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt." From this last expression it is argued, that the wicked will awake to an everlasting sense and consciousness of the evenlasting contempt. That this, however, is an unreasonable inference, will appear from the reflection that since the other class, the blessed, arise to everlasting life; these may be considered as arising to undergo the infliction of eternal death. A still more formidable obstaclo to the conclusion proposed is, however, presented by the circumstance that the prophet Isaiah, two hundred years previously, had employed the same term (deraion, contempt, ch. 1xvi. verse 24, thero translated, abhorring,) when speaking of the fate of the wicked, but nost pointedly had referred to them as reduced to carcases, (phagarim, translated dead corpses in 2 Kings xix. 37,) their "worm dying not," Ϊf and their funeral fires still smouldering on. If therefore, Isaiah uses the word "contempt" or "abhorring" in reference to lifeless carcases, it may be, Dr. Horberry, one of the most strenuous advocates that Daniel, also, intended to signify, that many of the doctrine of the immeriality of the

truction, becoming thereafter the putrescent objects loss of life; and there is an uniform remarkable abof disgust to the universe.

With the exception of the two passages just examined, (of which, one does not really refer to future punishment, and the other, when properly understood, is found to harmonize with the interpretation proposed,) it is admitted that the entire mass of Old Jesus,) that they contained no intimations eve Testament phraseology has nothing very clear and eternal reward for the servants of the Most High. Express in favour of the doctrine of the immortality Now we must be permitted to express the of the wicked, but is of a character which might exceedingly well denote the idea of their literal destruction.

It is nevertheless to be observed, that, from the most ancient times, it seems to have been understood that there would be a day of judgment for trans-gressors; a prospect which must necessarily have been associated with the idea of the resurrection of the unjust. The prophecy of Enoch, the seventh from Adam, recorded by the Apostle Jude, contains clear intimations, that God left not himself without witness in relation to this awful subject, in the earliest ages. Two passages likewise already noticed, (not to mention any others,) plainly declare that the wicked shall come forth, to endure the divine vengeauce, from their graves. Isainh tells us, that the "carth shall cast out the Rephaim," the wicked; and Daniel, in the passage just commented upon, says, that they "shall awake from the dust of the earth to shame and everlasting contempt."

The doctrine of the resurrection of the wicked, however, as in the New Testament, so in the Old, is almost lost amidst those thick and black clouds of the wicked; and being composed in the lofty style of divine anger, which menace them with destruction, the orientals, we might anticipate amplification in excision and death. The language of every writer in the detail, and a copious vocabulary of language, succession points to the same conclusion.

Jesus Christ for understanding the promise of *life* speech to compress infinite ideas into tame and inad-made to the obedient servants of God, by the great equate expressions, with a Spartan sententiousness, Legislator, as pointing on to life eternal; for when but rather to exalt and to magnify inferior thoughts the young Ruler inquired what good thing he should by an excessive and unrestrained employement of exdo to have eternal life, the Saviour referred him to the Law, with its promise of life to the genuine Israclite. But this decision obliges us to conclude, that the threatenings of the Law are to be understood likewise with a reference to the greater penalties of in the tremendous inflations of the Koran and not in the future world. Amongst these threatenings, that the brief declarations of this most ancient composiwhich most frequently occurs is-that the offender tion. He who could speak so eloquently of the wees shall be cut off. It is this threatening, moreover, which is appended, as a sanction of obedience, to the promise of a "Prophet like unto Moses"-a promise quoted by Peter as having received its fulfilment in following, however, are examples of the threatenings Jesus. Acts iii. 23: "A prophet shall the Lord your God raise up unto you of your brethren, like unto me; him shall yo hear in all things whasotever ho shall say unto you. And it shall come to pass, that every soul, which will not hear that prophet, shall be destroyed from amongst the people." Now, if this threatening be to be understood in the sense of everlasting torments in this place, it must assuredly signify the same thing wherever it is used, (otherwise, a most arbitrary principle of interpretation will supervene,) and then the consequence will follow, that eating leaven is threatened with the infliction of interminable agonies in hell; for the same menace occurs, appended to the injunction against that offence. (Exod. xii. 15.) But if it be felt that, in this in-stance, such a sense cannot warrantably be affixed to light into darkness, and chased out of the world." the words, but that they must be understood of literal death, by lapidation or by burning, we are obliged to man was placed upon the earth, that the triumphing suppose, that such likewise must be the signification of the wicked is short, and the joy of the hypocrite of the threatening of karat, or excision, wherever it but for a moment? Though his excellency mount up appears. The ordinary menaces of the Mosaic law are to the heavens, and his head reach unto the clouds;

stinence from any expression which would seem to promise a "lengthening of days" to the enemies of God. This is so much the case, that less careful readers of these carliest writings have even determined (contrary to the declarations of the Lord Jesus,) that they contained no intimations even of an

Now we must be permitted to express the conviction, that it is unspeakably strange and mysterious, if men of all ages, and therefore the Jews, to whom Moses wrote, have possessed immortal souls, and have been on a probation for the alternative of existence forever, either in blessedness or in agony, that no single intimation should appear in the Pen-tateuch, which contains *the gospel* preached unto Abraham, of such a constitution of things. Especially is it mysterious, if the law of Moses was, as the Apostle declares, a repetition, or re-entrance, of God's eternal law in its immutable principles, and if the curse of that eternal law was, as is asserted by most, everlasting misery in hell, that not one individual term can be found in the long, and varied, and awfully eloquent catalogue of Mosaic denunciations, capable of bearing any other signification than a literal destruction of humanity in the case of the wicked.

2. An equally striking silence upon the endless duration of the lives of theungodly characterizes the Book of Job. This most ancient poem contains frequent and animated references to the punishment of pervading those portions which describe the doom of 1. Mosrs. We have the authority of the Lord transgressors. For it is not the genius of oriental aggeration and metaphor. And, ysurel, if such a conception as that of everlasting existence in misery were intended to be conveyed in the style of Eastern poetry, it would find its natural and appropriate vchicle of a mortal, would certainly have risen even above the gloomy sublimity of the style of .Eschylus, when contemplating the sufferings of an Immortal. The held out, in the book of Job, to the enemies of God:

Chap. xviii .- "The light of the wicked shall be put out, and the spark of his fire shall not shine. His strength shall be hunger-bitten, and destruction shall be ready at his side. It shall devour the strength of his skin: even the first-boru of death shall devour his strength. His confidence shall be rooted out of his tabernaclo, and it shall bring him to the king of terrors. It shall dwell in his tabernacle, because it is none of his: brimstone shall be scattered upon his habitation. His roots shall be dried up beneath, and above shall his branch be cut off. llis remembrance shall perish from the earth, and he shall have no name in the street. He shall be driven from

Chap. xx .- "Knowest thou not this of old, since couched in these terms, death, destruction, cutting off, yot shall he perish forever like his own dung: they

which have seen him shall say, Where is he? He (See Matt. xiii. 50. shall fly away as a dream, and shall not be found: yea, he shall be chased away as a vision of the night. The eye also which saw him shall see him no more; neither shall his place any more behold him."

Chap. xxi.-"How oft is the candle of the wicked put out? and how off cometh their destruction upon them? His eyes shall see his destruction, and he shall drink of the wrath of the Almighty."

3. The Book of Psalms may be supposed to represent the popular belief during the best instructed ages of the Jewish commonwealth. The menaces of vengeance to the ungodly found in this collection of sacred songs, are as follows:

Psalm i .- "The ungodly are not so: they are like The Lord the chaff, which the wind driveth away. knoweth the way of the rightcous: but the way of habit the earth." the ungodly shall perish."

Psalm ii.—"Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel. Kiss the Son, lest he be angry, and he perish from the way."

Psalm ix .- "Thou hast rebuked the heathen, thou hast destroyed the wicked: thou hast put out their name forever and ever. The wicked shall be turned into sheel, (the state of death,) and all the nations that forget God."

Psalm xi.-"Upon the wicked he shall rain snares (or destructions,) fire and brimstone, and an horrible tempest: this shall be the portion of their cup."

Psalm xxxiv .--- "The face of the Lord is against them that do evil, to cut off the remembrance of them from the earth. Evil shall slay the wicked: and they that hate the righteous shall be desolate."

Psalm xxxv .- "Let destruction come upon them unawares; and let his net that he hath hid catch himself: into that very destruction let him fall."

Psalm xxxvii .--- "Fret not thyself because of evildocrs, neither be thou envious at the workers of iniquity For they shall soon be cut down like the grass, and wither like the green herb. For evil-doers shall be cut off: but those that wait upon the Lord, they shall inherit the earth. (See Matt. v. 5.) For yet a little while, and the wicked shall not be: yea, thou shalt diligently consider his place, and it shall not be. The wicked shall perish, and the enemies of the Lord shall be as the fat of lambs; they shall consume; into smoke shall they consume away. For such as be blessed of God shall inherit the earth; but they that be cursed of him shall be cut off. I have seen the wicked in great power, and spreading himself like the green bay tree. Yet he passed away, and, lo, he was not: yea, I sought him, but he could not be found. Mark the perfect man, and behold the upright: for the end of that man is peace. the transgressors shall be destroyed together: the end of the wicked shall be cut off.'

Psalm xlix .--- "Man that is in honor, and understandeth not, is like the beasts that perish."

Psalm xcii .- "O Lord, how great are thy works! and thy thoughts are very deep. A brutish man knoweth not; neither doth a fool understand this. When the wicked spring as the grass, and all the workers of iniquity do flourish; it is that they may be destroyed forerer. (Lehishamadam, the word used in Gen. xxxiv. 30. Levit. xxvi. 30. Numb. xxxiii. 52. Deut. forerer. i. 27.) For, lo, thy enemics, O Lord, for, lo, thy enemies shall perish, all the workers of iniquity shall be scattered."

Psalm exii .- "The horn of the righteous shall be exalted with honor. The wicked shall see it and be PREACHING every Lord's day, by the Editor of the Examiner, grieved: he shall grash with his tech and melt areas? grieved; he shall gnash with his teeth and melt away." hill streets.

"There shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth.")

The wisdom of Solomon dictated expressions on this subject, in exact conformity with the declarations of David.

Prov. x. 24 .- "The fear of the wicked, it shall come upon him: but the desire of the rightcous shall be granted. As the whirlwind passeth, so is the wicked no more: but the righteous hath an everlasting foundation. The fear of the Lord prolongeth days: but the years of the wicked shall be shortened. The hope of the righteous shall be gladness: but the expectation of the wicked shall perish. The way of the Lord is strength to the upright: but destruction shall be to the workers of iniquity. The righteous shall never be removed: but the wicked shall not in-

Prov. xiii. 13 .- "Whose despiseth the word shall be destroyed: but he that feareth the commandmant shall be rewarded. The law of the wise is a fountain of life, to depart from the snares of death."

Prov. xiv. 12 .- "There is a way which seemeth right unto a man; but the end thereof are the ways of death."

Prov. xv. 24 .- "The way of life is above (an upward road) to the wise to depart from Sheol (the state of death) beneath."

Prov. xxi. 16 .- "The man that wandereth out of the way of understanding shall remain in the congregation of the dead." Rephaim-Heb.

After the above copious citations, it is unnecessary to do more than refer to the general style in which the holy Prophets denounce God's judgments to the ungodly. Their words are uniformly to the effect, that the sinner shall be destroyed, shall be consumed, shall die, perish, or be slain.

The 18th chapter of Ezekiel's prophecies contains a fair example of the prophetical mode of address on this subject. "Behold, all souls are mine; as the soul of the father, so the soul of the son is mine. soul that sinneth, it shall die. Have I any pleasure that the wicked should die? saith the Lord God, and not that he should return from his ways and live?" "For when the wicked man turneth away from his wickedness which he hath committed, and doeth that which is lawful and right, he shall save his soul alive; because he considereth, he shall surely live, he shall not die. For I have no pleasure in the death of him that dieth, saith the Lord God; wherefore turn yourselves, and live ye!"

The last threatening in the Old Testament (Malachi iv.) may likewise be adduced as an average representation of the whole current of phraseology in the Prophets, and may serve to indicate the degree to which God's ancient messengers encouraged the wicked to reckon upon an everlasting existence. "For, behold, the day cometh, that shall burn as an oven; and the proud, and all that do wickedly, shall be as stubble: and the day that cometh shall burn them up, that it shall leave them neither root nor branch.'

TO BE CONTINUED.] -0

THE SECOND Number of the Christian Truth-Seeker will be issued about the middle of this month. For the terms, see last page of the Examiner. The Truth-Seeker is a neat folio of four pages, five columns to a page. Two or three columns will be appropriated to advertisements, sometimes. Will our friends do what they can for its circulation. We doubt not it will be found an interesting paper.

-0-

BIBLE EXAMINER.

PHILADELPHIA, MAY, 1851.

BIBLE EXAMINER.—Our friends will see that we have much increased the amount of matter in the Examiner, by using smaller type; though smaller, it is a better type than previously used. We think our patrons will be satisfied that we intend they shall have the worth of the money they pay for the paper. Will they try to increase its circulation? No subscription received for a less time than one volume.

POSTAGE ON THE BIBLE EXAMINER, under the new Postage Law, to take effect in July next:

Under 50 m	iles, f	or 8	mos.,	11	cts, c	r	5 ci	ts. pr.	year.
Over 50 mile	s and	unde	er 300,	2į	("	10	46	• ••
Over 300	66	44	1000,	3Į		"	15	**	**
Over 1000	"	**	2000,	5		"	20	""	**
Over 2000	"	**	4000,	6 <u>1</u>		• •	25	**	**

It will be seen that to our subscribers, under 1000 miles, the postage is less than by the old law; whilst over 1000 and under 2000 miles, it is increased *two* cents in the year. This increase of postage will affect only a few of them, and to such the diminished postage on their remittance to the Examiner, from *ten* cents to *three*, will still make them a saving from the present rates. On the Examiner the subscribers will, after July 1st, have to pay the foregoing rates at their we respective Post Offices, three months in advance.

Postage on letters any distance, where we have subscribers, under the new law, will be *three* cents if *pre-paid*, or *five* if left for us to pay. Economy, therefore, suggests the pre-payment in all cases; but use Post Office *stamps* always, when you can get them. Where this is not done it sometimes happens that by the carelessness of Post Offices, or otherwise, letters are not *stamped paid* when actually pre-paid, and we are compelled to pay again. We have no doubt a number of such cases have occurred.

REMOVAL.—Since our last we have removed our residence and office from 198 Marshall street to 68 North Eighth street. By this removal we are situated where our friends, who visit this city, can easily call on us, and we shall be happy to see them.

•

WM. GLEN MONCRIEFF, Scotland, has furnished an excellent article for the Examiner, founded on Rom. 2: 7. Let not its length deter any, but let it be carefully read by all; it will richly repay you for the labor. He will please accept our thanks for it, and we hope he will continue his favors. In his private note, accompanying the articlo, he says:—"I would have written you sooner, but in November last, my right foot was amputated above the ankle joint, and for a while I could do little." He adds, "My health is greatly improved, and I hope ore long to be able to walk and work abroad in the great cause. I can now

preach three times every Lord's day, and good is doing, and the Life doctrine spreading, and spreading savingly. I have thus, in many ways, reason to bless God for his loving kindness, sparing and restoring mercy. The Examiner comes duly, and I am grateful to you for your kindness."

Those in Scotland who wish to subscribe for the Bible Examiner, are informed that the subscription price in British coin, per annum, is four shillings and sixpence, and we have to *pre-pay* the postage on the papers, which is a fraction over one shilling more per annum. The money can be remitted to us by a draft on Browns & Bowen, Philadelphia; or, on Browns & Brothers, New York. We can still furnish the Examiner from the commencement of 1848, if desired. Brother Moncrieff is fully authorised to act as agent for us.

We are sorry to learn of his affliction in the loss of a foot, but are glad to hear that he is doing well and likely to do efficient service in Scotland for the truth of God. May the Lord make him indeed a polished shaft in His glorious work and service.

FROM H. H. DOBNEY.—The following letter from Bro. Dobney is one of deep interest to us; and though probably designed as a *private* communication, we cannot refrain from giving it a place in the Examiner, as we know everything from England and Scotland, from those whose hoarts are alive to the great truth of "Life and Immortality only in Christ," is full of interest to the readers of our paper. We hope our friends on the other side of the Atlantic will favor us often with communications for our columns. We are happy to give them a place.

MAIDSTONE, England, Feb. 25, 1851.

My dear Brother :--- I have many things to thank you for, and had need mention them seriatim. First of all, there is now lying before me your very welcome and interesting letter of the 9th ultimo. With that came a copy of the New York "Theological and Literary Journal," for which I was the more indebted, as I had in vain tried to procure it in London, and so should not have seen it but for your kindness. And now comes the February No. of the "Bible Examiner," which of course is full of interest to me. I have also to thank you for offering to send me the numbers in which you reviewed Mr. Hinton's volume in reply to some four or five of us; and shall anticipate the pleasure of receiving them. And still, again, I have to acknowledge your courtesy in placing the columns of the Examiner at my disposal, for a review of Mr. Lord's article on my book. For all this, and more, I fear I must remain your debtor, and now tender you my very best thanks, not as, even in part, payment, but only as an acknowledgment of the obligation under which you laid me, and which it is by no

Mr. Lord's review is naturally the chief thing to

notice. But somewhat about reviews in general, | first, if you will. At the commencement of the controversy. I used to look forward to the reviews of my book with the feelings of one anxious for truth, and quite ready to admit that the subject might receive greater elucidation from other thoughtful Christians than he had been capable of giving it. Only He who searches the heart can know the deep solicitude I felt, before publishing at all, not to be a means of error, and so of injury, to other minds. ī think I could rather have suffered anything than be the agent in turning one individual out of the way of truth; and I should shrink from intimating any of the more marked forms which my intensity of feeling assumed in the solitude in which my book was written. But, unable to discover any flaw in the reasoning, and the more I pondered it myself, or submitted it to the consideration of others, becoming increasingly convinced that, whatever might ultimately be discovered to be the truth, the dogma of never-ending miscry, as taught by most evangelical Christians, had no adequate support in either scripture or reason. I committed the results of my inquiry to the Lord, with many prayers that if my "argument" were not in harmony with the truth, He, in llis good providence, would cause it to be speedily and completely confuted. I think I should rejoice as much as any one in seeing the truth on this solemn subject brought out so unmistakably as that the point might be considered settled once for all, for all candid and honest disciples of our common Master. Surely it is the truth alone that can benefit; and we should be not only knaves but fools if we were to continue to hug a doctrine, after misgivings had been reasonably excited, because we had publicly committed ourselves to its advocacy. Why, what is maintenance of our self-consistency (as the world reckons consistency) in comparison with the dear pleasure of following truth wherever it may lead? In this spirit, then, I used to watch for the reviews of my little work-enger for "conviction" if wrong-and willing to be even scourged back into the way of truth, if I had unwittingly abandoned it. But, alas for Christian candor and truthfulness!-for the most part, misrepresentation and malignant abuse constituted the staple of the earlier notices. The lately deceased Dr. Pye Smith (a man whom it would be simple impertinence in me to praise) wrote to Dr. Morrison, the editor of the Evangelical Magazine, remonstrating with him on the kind of notice he had given in his pages of my first publication, entitled "Notes of Lectures," and the venerable divine, writing to me soon afterwards on the subject, enclosed the "Evangelical" editor's award, which was indeed painfully indicative of the bitterness and haughtiness which are sometimes found in those who make pretensions of universal concern for evangeli-

self and Mr. White, Dr. Morrison, after a good deal of angry contemptuousness, says, "These mushroom divines must be put down." Sic! From the Eclectic Review I received the courteous notice which might have been expected, and replied to the strictures in the work you have, "Scripture Doctrine," &c. But my friend Mr. White subsequently received but scurrilous treatment in the same periodical, his reviewer being Dr. Redford, of Worcester, who was not without personal feeling towards him, and who was not ashamed, as an anonymous critic, to vent his private anger in a public effusion of concern for the interests of truth! In the "Evangelical," also, Mr. White was treated with as much bitter disingenuousness as is ever met with in the angry strifes of the world. The writer was understood to be the late Dr. Pavne, of Exeter.

The late Dr. Hamilton was engaged to deliver the "Congregational Lectures, one year, on "Future Rewards and Punishment." It was well understood why the subject was fixed on; but while the Dr. was delivering the course that was to set the question at rest which we had raised, he was here and there dropping the remark that he had not read what we had to say on the subject! A little before that, one of the editors of the "Patriot" newspaper, the organ of the Congregationalists, made a sort of apology to me for not having reviewed my book, frankly telling me they did not dare; for that, having recently noticed rather favorably Mr. White's volume, they had received several angry communications from men of eminence in the Independent body-among whom this very Dr. Hamilton! But the Dr. was a jovial gentleman, whose good-fellowship was not affected by the dogmas he taught. The editor of the Baptist Magazine, my predecessor in the pastoral here, has for many years held our views, but prides himself on great editorial propriety. and so has never noticed the book in our own periodical!

The treatment we received from some of the confessedly ablest men of the Evangelical body to which we ourselves were well known to belong, served very greatly to confirm me in the opinion I had avowed. For I reasonably thought the argument advanced had been subjected to the severest scrutiny, and while, here and there, a weak place or two had been discovered, and an occasionally unfortunate mode of conveying the intended meaning had been pounced upon and eagerly made the most of, the general argument itself seemed to me to remain untouched. Dr. Vaughan, editor of the British Quarterly Review, a Christian, a scholar, and a gentleman, gave an elaborate critique, in which he did himself the justice to disavow any sympathy with the spirit in which our views had been for the most part met, and argued the matter calmly and worthily. But whether it were from an undue bias or from any other unduly interfering influence, the review of the British Quarcal piety. A phrase must suffice; speaking of my- terly also appeared to me to avoid touching the core

of our argument. Of course, this may be mere pre-{written yet,) the interest felt in the review would judice on my part, though I am not aware of the exstence of so disturbing an element.

I have allowed my pen to run on thus partly because it may be not without interest to you, and partly because it will lead you perhaps to anticipate that I feel no inclination to attempt a formal reply to my New York reviewer. Generally, it is not for an author to engage in the duello with his critics. He and they are both before the public, and I for one am not ambitious to have the last word; while I greatly deprecate the idea of an important controversy becoming disfigured by personalities.

Shall you consider me unduly apathetic to my own good name if I state that, for a long time, that name. as bandled to and fro in this discussion, is no more to me than a convenient Algebraic or other symbol? To my eye it stands just as the name Philalethes, or Theron, or any other assumed by an unknown author, and which had come to signify the doctrine maintained. Indeed, I can scarcely withhold the confession (at which you will smile) that so thoroughly have I succeeded in putting off my printed self from my present identity, that, if it would answer any good end, my present should go to buffet with my former self; and the amusing idea has somehow got into my head of even writing an antagonistic review myself of "Dobney on Future Punishment," for the sake of more thoroughly testing the "argument" than as yet it appears to me to have been tested. Of course, my friend will not so far misapprend this remark, as to consider me as, even in thought, trifling with the sacredness of truth. I make it merely to illustrate the greater concern I feel for the bringing out of the simple truth, than for any merely personal reputation.

Certainly the article in the New York Journal disappointed me, after the notice you had given of the eminent ability of the writer; although, in one respect, it was satisfactory to see that the reviewer did not in reality grapple with the argument as I have put it; but, (doubtless) unconsciously to himself, misrepresented before confuting it. I have hesitated to say as much as this, and have half a mind (you see I am not writing a formal letter to you, but merely chatting with a friend,) to draw the pen across it now it is written. However, as it simply states how the article appears to me, I say, with the ancient, though the name and circumstance are not happy, "O gegrapha, gegrapha."-["What I have written, I have written."-ED. Ex.]

I have made several notes on the articlo in question; but, over and above the uninteresting and oven disagreeable task of trying to point out wherein you fancy a respondent has failed to do justice to your statements, and misapprehended your meaning, and regard, renounce himself. Self is the god of all ungiven fallacious replies to your argument, by the regenerate men. Selfish purposes, objects, ends and time a paper from me could reach you, (for it is not aims, control all their thoughts, words and actions.

probably have subsided. Your own notice of the article will suffice; and the issue, in a cheap form, of the second part of my volume, will constitute as good a reply as is needed, perhaps. Had I contemplated your publishing another edition, I think I might have been induced to propose that I should have carefully revised the argument, in which case it might have been possible advantageously to notice the stronger point of my respected Reviewer's critique. But. as I have said, I feel an almost invincible repugnance to personal controversy, and am very strongly disposed to let the question as already argued stand or fall by its own merits.

Had I not taken up nearly all my space, I would have suggested a few thoughts on another phase of the doctrine we cherish, and a fundamentally important one, viz: The Spiritual Life Itself, which all genuine disciples of Christ, without distinction, do derive from him, and which does indeed make them "new creatures," with the blessed instincts of immortality gloriously and increasingly asserting themselves within the soul. But I may hope for another opportunity of touching on this most important matter.

I need not say how happy I shall be to hear from you again. Your letter was exceedingly grateful to me, I do assure you. And wishing you every blessing, I am. Very faithfully, yours,

H. H. DOBNEY.

While we fully conour in the idea that the circulation of the work reviewed by Mr. Lord is a good reply to that review, we know it would be very satisfactory to many of our readers to have a direct reply from Mr. Dobney, if it is not taxing him too much to furnish it. Till then, however, let "Dobney Abridged," be widely circulated.

We shall be much gratified to receive, also, an article, or articles, on the topic of "The Spiritual Life Itself, which all genuine disciples of Christ draw from him," &c. Will Br. Dobney furnish such at his earliest convenience?-ED. Ex.

THOUGHTS ON LUKE IX. 23 to 26 .- We propose to give a brief exposition of these words of our Lord. We shall do it by showing the force of each principal word as it occurs in the original langunge.

V. 23 .- "If any man"-tis-any one-"will"thelei-desire to, or determine to-"come after me" -elthein opiso-to come behind; that is, to be a follower of, a disciple of; in other words, to be a learnor or scholar of Christ. This is the grand charactoristic of a real Christian. But to do this, "any one" must-"will"-determine to become such, "Lot him deny himself"-aparnesastho-disown, disand ruling passion, however apparently benevolent they may seem to be. Self-case, self-honor, selfenjoyment and gratification rules them in all things. The requirements of God have no binding authority with them. They obey if it is convenient, popular, or will tend to their selfish ends; not, however, because God requires it of them.

his scholar, that he disown, disregard, renounce himself; because a man cannot learn of Christ and be acting on those selfish principles of which we have spoken. The spirit of this world, or of the men of this world, is in direct hostility to the self-sacrificing spirit of Jesus, whose heart ever overflowed with concern for the honor of God, abhorence of sin, and carnest effort, at any sacrifice, to deliver men from sin, or from dishonoring God, and from death. In this effort he encountered, withstood, and rebuked wicked men in high places as well as in low, whether in ecclesiastical bodies or in private life. This kept him constantly exposed to reproach and suffering, and at length brought upon him the hate of those whom he rebuked to that degree that they put him to the most shameful death. Here was renunciation of self; and if any one determine or desire to be a follower or scholar of Christ, let him make up his mind to renounce himself, so far as honor from men is concerned; and when told that "to join the church is the way to be respectable," be assured, such a church cannot be a church of Christ. Self-renunciation is an unalterable condition of discipleship of Christ. "Let him deny himself, and take up"-arato -bear, lift, raise, carry-"his cross"-stauron, a figurative expression, borrowed from the fact that criminals, to be put to death, were compelled to carry the wood or cross on which they were to be crucified. It signifies that he who determines to be a Christian must be ready to undergo the severest trials or sufferings-to expose himself to any danger, when called to it, for Christ and his cause: this is to be done "daily"-emeran-every day: i. e. constantly, continually; to be the constant habit of mind, and not He is merely the effect of short-lived excitement. to do this, and "follow"-akoloutheito-imitate Christ.

V. 24 .- "Whosoever will"-determines to-"save" -sosai-preserve safe and unharmed-"his life"now, in this present time: he who will not suffer with Jesus, if need be, even to death, but determines to preserve his life by abandoning Christ, his service, or truth-"shall lose it"-shall lose that life which Christ designs for his followers, viz: "eternal ltfc." The original word for "lose it" is, apolesci, from apo and alluo, to kill, to destroy: they shall be destroyed, perish: not have life. "But whoseever will"-determines to-"lose his life"-to be killed, if need be; Examiner. He gave us the following note, written just to count himself, or present life, of no value in com- as we were about parting. It will be read with interest-

Self-aggrandisement, in some form, is the presiding parison of Christ, his cause, and his truth: "for my sake"-eneken-on account of Christ-"the same shall save"-preserve it safe: that is, his life will be sure to him; for it is in Christ, and "when Christ who is our life shall appear, then shall "they also appear with him in glory;" even tho' they may have died in shame, as did their Lord.

V. 25 .- "What is a man advantaged"-of what Jesus requires, if any one determines to become service is it-"if he gain"-acquire-"the whole world, and lose"-apolesas, perish, be destroyed-"himself:" or lose his being-his existence: "or be cast away;" too vile for preservation.

> V. 26 .- "For whosoever shall be ashamed of me" -shall shrink from owning Christ from fear of reproach, suffering, or death-"and of my words"logous-sayings, testimony, or doctrine-"of him shall the Son of man be ashamed"-refuse to own as his disciple or follower-"when he shall come"-for he will come again-not to suffer and to be put to shame, but-"in his own glory"-the true and proper glory of King Messiah, to rule on his own throne, and over all the earth-"and in his Father's" glory, with that glory with which his Father has invested him, and which he has enjoyed on his Father's throne with all power in heaven and in earth. In that glory will he come the second time. How unlike his first appearing. To have him ashamed of us-to disown us then, how much more terrible than anything we can suffer in this present state, even if called now to suffer a like death to what Jesus did.

> Let us, then, take heed to every word spoken in the language under consideration; and so lay it to heart that it may influence all our tempers, thoughts, words, and acts. Christ will soon return in that glory he here speaks of. Joyful day to those who have suffered with him, for him, and for his truth; then shall such be owned of him and enter on life eternal, and death never more have dominion over them: they shall "be glorified together" with Christ their Lord and head.

THE CONVENTION of the friends of Christian liberty, held in New York city on the 23d and 24th ult., was fully attended, and a spirit of uninterrupted harmony prevailed. Great was the consolation we experienced at that Convention, and it was fully demonstrated that the friends of Christ, holding different views on many points, could meet together in unity, even the unity of love and forbearance. Let the Lord have all the glory. We met numbers there whom we had never seen before, and united our heart with theirs in praise to our common Lord and Master, whose return to give life and immortality to his followers we believe is at hand.

Unexpectedly, we met at the Convention, Brother Richmond, whose "noble stand" we noticed in the last

BRO. STORRS:-Since I last wrote you, that which | ness. Fight the good fight of faith, lay hold on eterhas befallen others for the truth sake; has come upon The Church of which I was a member, called a me. council of Ministers and others, and without giving me the least notice of their movements, and in my absence, excluded me from their fellowship. A few days after, the Clerk of the Church handed me the following letter:

"PERU, Ia., April 15, 1851.

"At a meeting of the Peru Baptist Church, convened on the 2d day of April, 1851, Eld. C. M. Richmond, with two other members, were excluded in consequence of sentiments entertained by them not deemed orthodox by the church, to wit:

1. Man has not an immortal soul.

2. The dead are unconscious.

3. Endless pain is not the punishment for the violation of God's law.

4. The wicked do not receive their punishment, nor the righteous their reward immediately after death.

A council of ministering brethren and others was held previous to the action of the church, who recommended a withdrawal of the hand of fellowship from all who held to the above views. The Christian walk and conversation of Eld. Richmond, while Pastor of the Church, so far as is known in this community, is exemplary and without blemish.

H. J. SHIRK, Church Clerk."

Thus I have been weighed in the balance and found wanting. Not, however, the balance of God's Truth, but Baptist Orthodoxy. "But none of these things move me." My way is clear. I must contend for the truth at any sacrifice. In my journey to this place, I found some excellent brethren in the ministry who are examining the subject of life only in Christ, and I hear of others who are inquiring, "What shall the end be of them who obey not the gospel." The good work of Truth is everywhere prevailing. I have no P. O. address at present. You shall hear from me again soon. C. M. RICHMOND.

IMMORTALITY. BY CHARLES FITCH.

Who has immortality?

1 Tim. 6: 18, 16-"I give thee charge in the sight of God, who quickeneth all things, and before Christ Jesus, who before Pontius Pilate witnessed a good confession, that thou keep this commandment without spot, unrebukable, until the appearing of our Lord Jesus Christ, which, in his times, he shall show, the blessed and only Potentate, King of Kings; and Lord of Lords, who only hath immortality."

What commandment was Timothy thus charged to keep?

It was to flee the love of money, "follow after righteousness, godliness, faith, love, patience, meek- 4th, 5th and 6th chapters of Leviticus: "If a soul sin;"

nal life." See vs. 6 to 13.

Now, since it is true that Christ only, hath immortality, it cannot be true that men are immortal? I know it is assumed that while the bodies of men are mortal, their souls are immortal; but if this were so, then the Bible instead of saving that Christ only hath immortality, would have read that all mankind have immortality.

But that men have not immortality is perfectly manifest from what God said to Adam after he had sinned-"Dust thou art, and unto dust thou shalt return."

But it will, perhaps, be said that Adam was immortal notwithstanding what God declared. But that is mere assumption. Why did not God say, an immortal spirit thou art and thou canst never die? Obviously the reason why God did not say it was because it was not the fact. "Thou art dust, and unto dust shalt thou return." To say then that man is an immortal being, when God says he is dust, and shall return to dust, is to be wiser than God.

Besides, we learn from Gen. 8: 22, 24, that God drove man out of the garden of Eden, and placed at the east of the garden cherubims and a flaming sword turning every way, to keep the tree of life, lest man should take of it and cat, and live forever.

Man, therefore, lost immortality by the fall. In Gen. 5, 8, we read that Adam begat in his own likeness. As he was dust, his posterity were dust. Such is the Divine testimony. Ps. 78: 39-"for he remembered that they were but flesh, a wind that passeth away and cometh not again." Ps. 103: 14: "For he knoweth our frame, He remembereth that we are dust." Eccl. 3: 20-"All are of the dust, and all turn to dust again." I know it will be said in contradiction to this plain Bible testimony, that though man's body is dust, he has an immortal soul. But such a declaration as that cannot be found in the Bible. The word soul sometimes means life, and is applied to other existences as well as man. Thus when we read in the first chapter of Genesis of "every moving creature that hath life;" it is translated in the margin, "every creeping creature that hath soul." We read in the 12th chapter of Genesis, that when Abraham went down into Egypt, fearing that he might be slain on account of his wife, he said to her, "say thou art my sister, and my soul shall live because of thee." That is, my life shall be spared. In Isa. 29: 8, wo read, "It shall even be as when a hungry man dreameth, and behold he cateth, but he awaketh and his soul is empty." In Rev. 16: 3, we read, "that the second angel poured out his vial upon the sea; and it became as the blood of a dead man, and every living soul died in the sea." That is, everything that had life.

The word soul is also used to mean a person. See soul die," "if a soul touch any nuclean thing." Thus the word soul means the life, the person, the being, But immortal soul is a term the Bible never uses. The word immortal is not found in the Bible but once, and that is in 1 Tim. 1: 17, "Now unto the King eternal. immortal, invisible; the only wise God, be honor and glory forever and ever. Amen." It is, therefore, a matter of absolute certainty that the Bible ascribes immortality to God and Jesus Christ only, and that man being dust, and returning to dust, does not possess immortality.

Besides, the gospel teaches man how to gain immortality, and this is positive proof that he does not now possess it. It would be strange indeed to instruct a man how to gain what he already possessed. I will, therefore, show from the scriptures of truth how immortality is to be gained.

But I shall first remark that there are two Greek words in the original of the New Testament, which are translated immortality. One is the word Athanasia; this is used in 1 Timothy 6: 16, ascribing immortality to Christ, "who only hath immortality." It is used again in 1 Cor. 15: 53: "And this mortal must put on immortality." Again, in the next verse, "So when this mortal shall have put on immortality, then shall be brought to pass the saying that is written, death is swallowed up in victory." Immortality therefore is to be put on in the resurrection, and it is therefore idle to say that any man is immortal until that time at least. The word Athanasia expressive of the immortality of Christ, is used in these three instances only in the New Testament. There is another word which is also translated immortality in some instances, and in some instances incorruption.

It is used in 2 Tim. 1: 10; "But is now made manifest by the appearing of our Saviour Jesus Christ, who hath abolished death and brought life and immortality to light through the gospel." The Greek word here used is Aphtharsia, and is defined immortality, incorruptibility. It is used in Romans 2: 7: "To them who by a patient continuance in well doing seek for glory, honor and immortality, eternal life."

Immortality is, therefore, brought to light through the gospel, and is to be conferred on those who, through faith in the gospel, seek it by patient continuance in well doing. Immortality will, therefore, never be the portion of the wicked. The same Greek word is used in the following instances in the 15th chapter of 1 Cor. 42 verse: "It is sown in corruption, it is raised in incorruption." Aphtharsia. 50th vs: "Now this I say, brethren that flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God, neither doth corruption inherit incorruption." Corruptible man, then, is not immortal. 53d verse-"For this corruptible must put on incorruption, (Aphtharsia,) and this mortal must put on immortality, (Athanasia.) So

"if a soul swear;" "if a so I commit trespass;" "if a [(Aphtharsia,) and this mortal shall have put on immortality, (Athanasia,) then shall be brought to pass the saying which is written, Death is swallowed up in victory. Man, then, has no immortality till he puts it on in the resurrection. To say so is to turn infidels, because it is denying the plain testimony of God. The word Aphtharsia is used but once more in the New Testament. Ephesians 6: 24: "Grace be with all them who love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity," or, with incorruption.

> But will all mankind put on immortality in the resurrection? Let the Bible answer.

> Gallatians 6: 7-8-"Be not deceived; God is not mocked; whatsoever a man soweth that shall he also reap. For he that soweth to the flesh, shall of the flesh reap connurrios." Greek, Pithoran; defined thus: corruption, contagion, pestilence, mortality. Such is the harvest the wicked must reap. "But he that soweth to the spirit, shall of the spirit reap life everlasting." As surely, therefore, as the rightcous reap eternal life, the wicked will reap mortality and not immortality-Luke 20: 35-36; "But they which shall be accounted worthy to obtain that world and THE RESURRECTION FROM THE DEAD, neither marry nor are given in marriage; neither can they die any more, for they are equal unto the angels; and are the children of God, being children of the resurrection." There will be those, therefore, who will attain to a resurrection, after which they cannot die any more."

Will there be those who can die more?"

Revelation 20: 6-"Blessed and holy is he that hath part in the first resurrection, on such the second death hath no power." There is, therefore, a second death; how will it be executed? Revelation 21: 8-"But the fearful, and the unbelieving, and the abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and sorcerers, and idolaters, and all liars shall have their part in the lake that burneth with fire and brimstone. which is the SECOND DEATH." We learn, therefore, from the 20th and 21st chapters of the Revelation, that there is a first and second resurrection, between which a thousand years are to intervene. That those who have part in the first resurrection are blessed and holy, and not exposed to the second death. Or, as our Saviour said, they that are accounted worthy to attain that world and the resurrection from the dead cannot die any more. But we also learn that those who have no part in the first resurrection live not until the thousand years are finished, and arethen devoured. They have their part in the second death; and Peter tells us that "as natural brute beasts made to be taken and destroyed," they "shall utterly perish in their own corruption." 2 Peter 2: Hence, they "reap corruption," and not im-12. mortality.

I can now understand the secret of Paul's great when this corruptible shall have put on incorruption, lanxiety to attain to the resurrection from the dead.

In the 3d chapter of the Epistle to the Philippians, 7th verse, he writes thus, after having spoken of all the words of our Saviour, John 6: 33, "For the bread his advantages as a Jew and a Pharisee: "But what of God is he which cometh down from heaven and things were gain to me, those I counted loss for giveth LIFE to the world." 40; "And this is the will Christ; yea, doubtless, and I count all things but of him that sent me, that every one which seeth the loss for the excellency of the knowledge of Christ Son and believeth on him, may have everlasting life, Jesus my Lord; for whom I have suffered the loss of and I will raise him up at the last day." all things, and do count them but dung that I may win Christ, and be found in him, not having mine mortality but in Christ-and to gain it, you are to seek own righteousness which is of the law, but that it through faith in him, and by patient continuance in which is of the faith of Christ, even the righteousness which is of God by faith, that I may know him, and the power of his resurrection, and the fellowship of his sufferings, being made conformable unto his more plainly taught in the Bible than this, that there death, if by any means I might attain unto the re-lis no immortality for the wicked. The terms eversurrection from the dead."

Through sufferings and death, therefore, Paul would follow Christ, if by any means he might attain to the first resurrection, and thus escape the second "Not (said he) as though I had already atdeath. tained, either were already perfect." Paul knew that "the Captain of his Salvation was made perfect shall be utterly consumed with terrors." Sinner. if through sufferings." about in his body the dying of the Lord Jesus; and fill up in his flesh what remained of the sufferings of Christ, for his body's sake, which is the church." Like his master, therefore, Paul was made perfect through sufferings, and died at last a martyr for Christ, and will attain to the first resurrection-inasmuch as Christ cannot fail to fulfil to him the promise. "he that loseth his life for my sake shall keep it unto life eternal."

But I wish to show still more definitely, the way by which immortality is to be gained.

Christ said to Martha-John 11: 25-"I am the resurrection and the life. He that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he live; and whosoever liveth and believe in me shall never die."

All therefore who die in the faith will be raised when "the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout and with the voice of the arch-angel, and with the trump of God, and the dead in Christ shall rise first." Then also those at that time living and believing in Christ shall in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, be changed to immortality and caught up to meet the Lord, and such will never die, as Christ said. We therefore read respecting Christ, John 1: 4, "In Him was LIFE, and the life was the light of men," John 8: 14; "And as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so must the Son of Man be lifted up, that whosoever believeth in him, should not perish, but have everlasting life. God so loved the world that he gave his only begotten ever I did before in my life. Son, that whoseever believeth in him, should not | I am thankful that I not only rejoice in the truth, but perish, but have everlasting life." Hence, all who my wife is also sot free, together with several of our believe not in Christ for life, must perish, must suffer other friends. O! I feel to rejoice in the truth, and the second death. See 20th and 21st chapters of Rev- | so far as we can, we will endeavor to set the same elation.

How exceedingly great and precious, therefore, are

Remember, therefore, reader, that you have no imwell doing. All others will reap corruption in the second death, and in the lake of fire and brimstone be "utterly consumed with terror." There is no truth lasting, eternal, &c., applied to the duration of their suffering, show that as long as they exist, they will exist to suffer; but since the Bible, aside from these terms, proves that they have no immortality, we come to the full conclusion that "the wages of sin is death" -that "all the wicked will God destroy." that they He would, therefore, "bear you will escape that doom, make haste to Christ.

FROM JOHN W. YEATS. SAINT LOUIS, Mo., March 2, 1851.

Bro. Storrs .-- I have been a member of the Methodist Church since April 1844, and of course a believer in the immortality of the soul as held by them. When I became acquainted with Br. Battersby, about eighteen months ago, I was in connection with the Methodist Protestant Church, and as our numbers were small, he soon became quite intimate with all of us, particularly with myself and other members of my family. When he first spoke of the subject of immortality through Jesus Christ alone, and death to all who rejected the Saviour, I was much surprised, as it was a subject I had never heard of before. O! how strange it is that one who had read the Bible entirely through a number of times, should not have discovered the truth when it is so plainly set forth; but so it was. However, when Br. Battersby first spoke to me on the subject, it made a deep impression on my mind. I took up the word of God and began to search, asking the guidance of that Being who giveth to all, who seek, liberally. The result has been, after a prayerful investigation, that the glorious truth of Life through Christ alone, has made me free; and this night I can testify that my views of religion and the purposes of God concerning man are bright and clear; and, so far as I am concerned, I feel the sanctifying For power of the truth of God to a greater extent than Praise the Lord. truth before others. We have endeavored to procure subscribers to your excellent paper, and will continue alone. Let God be magniged for the gift of his Son to our efforts to that end, believing that if the people had bestow life upon men; and let all haste to this only the truth presented to them, divested of creed and source of hope and life. party, many would be brought to see it as it is in Christ. And now, brother, if it should turn out that you visit the West, come to St. Louis, if you can, where you would receive a welcome from a few who. are waiting for the glorious appearing of the Son of God.

FROM R. R. YORK.

Bro. Storrs:---I love to read the Examiner, for I do believe you have the truth in regard to the subject of "Life and Death," and it is encouraging to find any of sufficient courage and grace to speak out the whole truth in these times of peril when so many have "turned away their ears from the truth unto fables." O! what harmony is seen in the Bible when we look at its teachings in their true common sense light.

May the Lord help you to speak out and live out that faith that takes God at his word, and goes against "all lying spirits," whether they "speak, knock, or rap."

Allow me to suggest a question which I proposed to a Baptist not long since. It may be used by you to advantage, perhaps.

If infants go to heaven at death, or are in a state of consciousness, and continue to increase in knowledge till the resurrection, how can it be possible for their infantile bodies to be suited to minds or spirits that have been expanding for ages, and of courso must be far beyond the greatest intellect that ever lived on the earth?

The above is the substance of what I wish to present. The form of it may be objectionable. If it is worth anything, use it, as I have never seen or heard this question presented before that I know of.

Your brother, looking for eternal life at the coming of Christ.

Yarmouth, Me., 1851.

BR. J. B. Cook spent the last Lord's day in April with us in Philadelphia, and preached much to the edification and comfort of many. We rejoiced in this opportunity to hold intercourse with him, and hear him preach the good word of God. May the Lord abundantly furnish him to every good word and work.

Laborers are much needed at this time to procfaim the scripture doctrine of Life and Death, and the coming again, from heaven, our Lord Jesus Christ, to give Life and Immortality to his followers. Certain we are, that a greater and more effectual door was never opened to preach these grand gespel truths than now; and the work is triumphing gloriously. Ministers of different denominations, we learn, are investigating the doctrine of Immortality only through Christ; and we know that if they investigate with an impartial mind, they cannot fail to see that there is no eternal life nor immortality except through and by Jefus Christ

BR. WM. ONGLEY'S Post Office address is Clarkson Centre, Monroe Co., New York. Br. Ongley is informed that "Aaron Ellis" has never sent us the manuscript for his pamphlet noticed in the Advent Watchman some time since; nor do we know as it will be possible for us to issue it if he should, as we have no funds to do it with.

BOUND BOOKS cannot be sent by mail, under the present Post Office Law. We have had several calls for "STORRS' MISCELLANY," to be sent thus. Br. McBride is informed that we have sent the one he ordered by Br. Marsh, who kindly took charge of it. Others who have ordered it, will please tell us how to send.

"THE MILLENNIAL HARP, a new collection of Scriptural Hymns, original and selected, for Social and Family Worship, adapted to the Wants of all Christians: By Marsh & Pinney, Rochester, N. Y." Price 621 cents.

We have just received a copy of this work from the Publishers, but have not had time to examine its merits, yet presume it is good. It is a 12mo. of 500 pages, and contains 581 hymns, arranged under appropriate heads, with an index of subjects.

"THE AGE TO COME, OR Glorious Restitution of all things spoken by the mouth of all the holy Prophets since the world began. By Joseph Marsh, Rochester. New York."

This work contains 128 pp., 18mo; price 121c. Without endorsing every expression in it, we think it is a work well calculated to spread scriptural knowledge on the subject of which it treats.

For sale by the Author, and at the Bible Examiner Office, Philadelphia.

> 0 THE

Christian truth-seeker AND MISCELLANY,

IS PUBLISHED THE MIDDLE OF EACH MONTH, AT No. 08 North Eighth Street,

PHILADELPHIA.

GEOBGE STORRS, Editor and Publisher.

Its object is expressed in its name, and by its motto, which is "PLEDGED TO NO SECTNOR PARTY, BUTFREE FOR ALL." TERMS-PAYMENT IN ADVANCE.

Single Copy of 12 Numbers, 50c.; Five Oopies, \$2; Thirteen Copies, \$5.

To Canada subscribers, the amount of postage must be added, as we are obliged to pre-pay it on all papers sent there.

All letters must be post-paid, and addressed GEORGE STORRS,

PHILADELPHIA, PA.

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

EXAMINER. BIBLE

NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE, EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

▼0L. ▼1.

PHILADELPHIA, JUNE, 1851.

NO. 6.

A.

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER.

PUBLISHED MONTHLY, At 68 North Eighth Street, between Arch and Cherry,

PHILADELPHIA, PA. TERMS .- Single copy, for one year, \$1; six copies, \$5; thirteen copies, \$10; always in advance.

LIFE IN CHRIST.

BY EDWARD WHITE, ENGLAND.

[Continued from page 72.] It may be worth while to hazard a few observations, with a view to ascertain whether our theory of interpretation will not furnish us with a clue to the understanding of the remarkable phenomenon of the rise of the sects of the Pharisees and Sadducees, in the last ages of the Jewish Commonwealth.*

Their respective tenets are familiar to every reader of the New Testament. The Plurisees professed the doctrine of the immortality of the soul. "They believe" (says Josephus, who was himself a Pharisee, Antiq. xvii. i. 4) "that souls have an immortal vigor in them." Consequently upon this doctrine, they held that the righteous enjoy everlasting rewards, and that the wicked will be detained in an everlasting prison, consciously suffering the ven-geance of God to all eternity. Some doubt has been raised as to their belief in the resurrection of the wicked; and a few critics have supposed that their notions of the resurrection of the righteous were tinged with the wide-spread oriental theory of transmigration; but Paul's declaration ("a Pharisee and the son of a Pharisce") that they did "allow a resurrection both of the just and unjust," (Acts xxiv. 15,) must be admitted as a formidable objection to both of these surmises.

The opinions of the Sadducces are equally well known. "They take away," says Josephus, "the belief of the immortal duration of the soul, and pun-ishments and rewards in Hades." "They deny," says Luke, 'that there is any resurrection,' chap. xx. "They say," he repeats in Acts xxiii. 8, "that there is no resurrection, neither angel, nor spirit."

Now, a candid observer, who surveys the miraculous history of Judaism, will, we think, readily confess, that some difficulty arises in the inquiry as to the origination of such a sect as the Sadducees. It does not seem to be an adequate solution, to allege that their vicious lives led them to the adoption of materialist opinions; for, although there is much reason to think badly of their moral character, it should not be forgotten that the Pharisces, who zealously maintained a much more scriptural theology, were at least their equals in practical wickedness, since they are ever coupled together by Jesus Christ as "scrpents, and a generation of vipers, who could not escape the damnation of hell." The fact, also, that the Sadducees were able to possess themselves of the chief seats in the Sanhedrim, and even of the

* The rise of the Pharisees is usually placed a hundred years later than that of the Sadducces; but there is little doubt that Pharisaism (however it originated) was the embodiment of opinions at least as old as the Captivity, if not still more ancient.

supreme dignity of the high-priesthood, as in the instance of Caiaphas, indicates a certain degree of strength in their argumentation and intelligence, which could not be put down either by the learned scorn of the Pharisees, nor by the plain com-mon sense of the populace; and which could scarcely have been anticipated if a clear, uninterrupted, and universal tradition, had sustained all the points in the creed of their opponents. The assertion of Josephus that "they were able to persuade none but the rich," requires the impartial reader to recollect, not only that these were likely to be amongst the bestinformed men in the nation, but also, that Josephus himself was both a priest and a Pharisee. The politic division of the "multitude," also, by the Apostle, on the very points wherein the two parties differed, may show that, although "one part," and that prob-ably much the strongest, were attached to the belief of a resurrection, another "part," and that not to be despised, were determined and violent Sadducees. It is not doubted that the bulk of the nation were followers and admirers of the Pharisees: but the spectacle of a considerable section of the learned men of the council steadfastly denying the main articles of the Pharisaical tenets, and of course, by a Jewish instinct, appealing to antiquity in their defence, must have created much hesitation and doubt in the minds of the uninstructed, on many of the disputed points; leading them perhaps generally to follow the faith of Gamaliel, and yet inspiring them with an earnest desire for the teaching of "Messias, who should tell them all things."

It is not too much, then, confidently to suppose, that the Sadducees had at least some plausible reasons for their opposition to the more powerful party; and that although the latter both cherished and pro-fessed by far the most important portion of the Truth, the former also maintained some ideas sufficiently compassed about with evidence, to support their existence as a party, even amidst the intelligence of the age of Simcon, Onkelos, and Gamaliel.

We venture, therefore, to conjecture, in accordance with preceding statements, that the Sadducees correctly determined against the Pharisaical doctrine of the immortality of the soul, as unwarranted by the national Scriptures; and that this denial was the strength of their argument in attacking their adversaries. They saw, clearly enough, that the Old Testament nowhere inculcates either the abstract doctrine of human immortality, or that of the everlast-ing existence of the wicked; (but teaches that man has been driven out from the tree of Life, and that the wicked shall die;) and then, mistaking the honest disproof of these doctrines for the disproof of a future existence for the rightcous, from a false supposition that the two must stand or fall togetherthey did as much violence to the numerous texts which set forth the promise of "eternal inheritance" to the people of God, in order to make their theory complete, as the Pharisees did to those which declare the destruction and death of the wicked, in order to bear out their scheme of universal immortality.

Thus the whole truth was divided between the two parties. The Sadducees had the Scriptures on their they ran into the extremes of materialism, and de have been expected from Him who brought life and nied both the resurrection of life, and of judgment. immortality to light through the Gospel. On the other hand, the Pharisees were right in thinking that in the Scriptures they had eternal refutation of the Pharissical tenet might have been life," and in vigorously maintaining the doctrine of anticipated from Jesus, had it been untrue, we re-future retribution. It was this great truth which ply, that He could not teach in the language of our gave them a desirable and inevitable sway over the metaphysics; and, that the absence of the doctrine minds of the multitude, for man's soul points to the of human immortality from his discourses, viewed Future as naturally as the needle to the pole. they were altogether in the wrong in their notion of the satisfaction that can be reasonably desired. man's natural immortality, coupled as it probably was with some dreams as to the pre-existence of souls, learned from the Chaldees and Egyptians, and of Scripture in which the bestowment of Life is demore recently from the Oriental, Pythagorean, and clared to be the grand object of the constitution of Platonic philosophies:* and they were equally mis- Christ's mysterious person; and of his death and taken in representing the punishment of the wicked resurrection. "God so loved the world as to give as consisting in an everlasting endurance of tor- his only-begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in ment.

two contending factions is in complete accordance shall never perish; nether shall any man pluck them with the preceding attempt to explain their mutual out of my hand." "He that hath the Son hath life: relations to each other and to the Truth. When and he that hath not the Son hath not life." called upon to choose between an association with one or the other, the apostles undoubtedly preferred observe, that this great distinguishing title of THE consorting with the Pharisces; since they held the LIFE-GIVER harmonizes, in a very remarkable mangrand fundamental verity of future retribution; but ner, with the doctrine, that in Adam the world lost it is exceedingly remarkable that our Lord (who its prospect of immortality. The result of being after his ascension thus directed their conduct) did driven out from the Tree of life to Adam, was not not himself show more favor to the one sect than to merely unhappiness, but physical dissolution; and the other in the days of his flesh. He repeatedly therefore it seems to be only carrying out the analwarns his disciples against the "doctrine" of the ogy, if we suppose that the life which the Redeemer Pharisees; -- as though he would choose his followers bestows is the opposite of the physical death which to receive all the elements of truth afresh from his trangression brought in. If mankind already poslips, as though the truth which they taught were still adulterated with a large proportion of error.

But that which most strikingly confirms the preceding view is the fact that when summoned to refute the Sadducean maxim of "no future life, neither angel, nor spirit," he did not ground his argument, as the Pharisees would have done, or a modern divine, upon the immortality of the soul; he did not suggest to them the natural consequences of that doctrine in respect to the wicked; but he fetched his overwhelming reply in favor of a future eternal existence, from a consideration of what was involved ating, recovering, and redeeming the entire dissolved in the relation of a God-a relationship borne exclusively as an act of peculiar grace to Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, and their spiritual children, and involving a resurrection of their bodies. He thus, on a most important occasion, purposely omitted to teach the doctrine of the universal immortality of souls, and the consequences that flow from it, in a manner which seems to mark his reprodution of the tenet.

And again, when beleaguered by the followers of the Pharisees, who held in high repute this grand to its literal sense, when he introduces the statement representation concerning human nature, he shocked

side when they declared that man has lost the pros-their philosophy and their pride at once, by declapect of eternal life; that the soul alone is not a man; | ring that "unless they ate his flesh and drank his and that the Bible did not teach the soul's inimor-|blood, they had no life in themselves." "Ye search tality; and they were also in harmony with it, when the Scriptures," he said, "for in them ye think ye they held that death was the penalty of transgression. have eternal life; and they are they which testify of But they grievously "erred, not knowing the Scrip- me; yet ye will not come unto me that ye might have tures," when, after the fashion of violent partisans, life." John v. These were the words which might

> If it be objected, that a more abstract and decided But in connexion with the declaration above, affords all

I. It would be endless to enumerate the passages him should not perish, but should have everlasting The method of our Saviour's arguments with the life." "I give unto my sheep eternal life, and they

> Now we trust it will not be thought too much to sessed, through the Divine constitution, the attribute of everlasting life, if every man were by nature immortal, it cannot be admitted in the proper sense of the term, that the Messiah was the Prince of life and the author of immortality. His title, as the Life of Men, must be understood as being applicable to him only in a metaphorical sense, as the giver of happiness, without any reference whatever to eternal life properly so called. But when we recollect that the gospel itself is ever represented not merely as an instrument for operating on mind, but for regenerhuman nature, (see Rom. viii. 23,) we seem obliged to refer this appellation of Christ to his character as the restorer of that eternal life to the world which consists in the everlasting existence and conjunction of body and soul.

> That this, the plain and natural signification of the term, was really intended by the Spirit of God, appears further from the connexion in which it first occurs. The Apostle John fixes the meaning of life -"'in him was life"-by declaring that "all things were made by him," clearly with the view of inti-mating, not that he was the fountain of happiness merely, or of holiness-but of existence; that with him was the fountain of life. The terms likewise repeatedly used in contrast with the life-giving grace of Christ Jesus, add fresh force to the conviction that literal life, vital being, is intended. "The thief cometh not, but for to steal, and to kill, and to destroy; I am come that they might have life, and that they might have it more abundantly." (John x. 10.)

^{*} The dispersion of the Jews amongst the Asiatic and European countries after the Captivity, must have laid open to them all the philosophical systems in the world : from which they would nat, urally select, as the national intellect advanced, those doctrines which while they flattered the pride of man, could be in any manner reconciled with their own religion. Let the Platonism of Philo stand as one example on the Greeian side, of a tendency, which operated with equal force on the Oriental. See Burton on the Heresics, and Bravan's Irena-us.

And again, -- "That he that believeth in Him should | was the formal cause of the necessity for the Renot perish, but should have everlasting life."

II. We pass on to consider the work of Christ, or the DEATH of the great Deliverer as the mode of redemotion.

It has been a question with divines, whether Christ bore the original curse of the law; whether he suffered a pain and misery of the same sort, and of equal weight, with that threatened to Adam in the day of much as the sins of the descendants of Adam have his creation, "Thou shalt surely die;" or whether incurred for them a second and more terrible punhe bore a different punishment which in consideration of His Divinity was accepted as a sufficient expiation. Baxter decides in favor of the former hy-pothesis; that he did suffer "a pain and misery of the same sort, and of equal weight with that threat- sinless man, he could not, as the representative of the ened to Adam;" and perhaps we shall see reason to acquiesce in his judgment. The words of the Apostle, indeed, seem to be capable of no other interpretation. Gal. iii. 14: "Christ hath redeemed us from the curse of the law, being made a curse for us; as it manity;-a curse which took no legal account of the is written, Cursed is every one that hangeth on a tree." The construction of this sentence, and the quotation of one of the curses of that "written" law, under law required, since "without sheddling of blood there whose sentence we lay, (the Law of Moses, viewed as a repetition of God's eternal law of morals,) appear to render it indubitable, that Christ did bear the curse of the law to which we are liable. For if LIFE OF THE WORLD." the curse of the law in virtue of which we are, "by nature, children of wrath," were everlasting misery, there would be a strange disproportion between the two parts of the Apostle's statement. "Christ hath redeemed us from the curse of the law, everlasting misery, being made a curse for us; -not however the curse of the law, but only a curse, and that a very different one, written in the law-that of hanging on a tree." Thus it would seem, that there are two for the blood, or the life either of the sinner or his distinct curses of the law-everlasting suffering, and death by hanging on a tree; and that, although the the curse under which we lay was, according to this as originally intended, apart from the intervention of theory, the former, the curse which Christ bore, was mercy, or as under a system of recovery, as men the latter, which, notwithstanding, availed to deliver us from both.

But now, to look for a moment at the facts;-Christ died for our sins, according to the Scriptures. He laid down his life (psuche) for his sheep. John x. 15. He did not endure everlasting misery either of body or soul; but he was, as a man, destroyed. "The rulers sought to destroy Jesus." Matt. xxvii. 20. He suffered a dissolution of his compound nature of body, soul, and spirit. He defines his own death by comparing it to the death of a grain of wheat, (John xii. 24,) thus conveying the idea of and of goats, and the ashes of a heifer, sprinkling disintegration of the parts of his nature. That this death was the penalty due to sin seems to be pluinly how much more shall the blood of Christ, who assorted by the prophet Isaiah: "He hath borne our through the (an) Eternal Spirit offered himself withsins, and carried our sorrows: the chastiscement of out spot to God, purge your conscience from dead our peace was upon him, and with his stripes we are works to serve the living God?" Heb. ix. 13. The our peace was upon him, and with his stripes we are healed. He poured out his soul unto death."

It is not, of course, necessary to suppose that the Saviour endured an amount of suffering equal to that collectively deserved by the whole race of mankind; for he was a propitiation for, and representative of, that race, regarded as one individual-the first sinful changed the character of the curse of the law, in the Adam. Neither need we suppose that the sufferings case of Jesus, from everlasting misery, into literal of the Redeemer were aggravated by the sins of the death. It will, therefore, be sufficient to receive the world, viewed separately from the first corrupting plain representation, that the Man Christ Jesus ensin of Adam; since all subsequent transgressions an of Adam; since an subsequent transgressions have been but the development of the evil principle then introduced;—yet, inasmuch as the blood of Jesus Christ is effectual to the pardon of all the sins of mankind, it must be understood that they were reckoned as being seminally contained in that one offence which brought death upon Adam, and which

deemer's sacrifice-a sacrifice indispensable for the expiation of the paradisaical rebellion alone, but sufficient in its power of atonement to expiate the sins of all the world besides. "The free gift," says the apostle, "is of many offences unto justification." Hence it is, it may be presumed, that Jesus is said to have "delivered us from the wrath to come:" inasisliment at the resurrection-of damnation; and the Redeemer delivers us both from the death which the sin of Adam brought in, and from that future wrath which we have deserved ourselves! As a personally race, be called upon to undergo any other than the original sentence.

The curse of the law which Christ bore, then, was literal death; a dissolution of the compound husubsequent destiny of the component elements of his nature. It was the shedding of his blood which the is no remission." But the blood of the sacrifice, according to the Mosaic law, was the "LIFE thereof," and it was his "blood" which Jesus "gave for the

The circumstances of suffering attending the execution of the curse might vary, according to the requirements of justice or the will of God. It might be a sudden-or a violent-or a lingering-or a prolonged tormenting destruction. It might be by disease, or by age, or by sorrow, or by the cross, or by fire :- none of these matters being defined in the threatening: the general demand of the law being substitute, but the determination of the mode in which the sentence should be carried out, (whether now die, or as in the case of a propitiatory sacrifice, or as in the awful destiny of the finally impenitent,) resting alone with that Sovereign Wisdom, which consults the honor of the law, and the welfare of the universe, and reigns in the administration of the moral government of God, the supreme interpreter of the details of the divine decrees.

That it was the mysterious union of an "Eternal Spirit" with the humanity which imparted its atoning efficacy to the "blood of the Lamb," the Scrip-tures plainly declare. "For if the blood of bulls the unclean, sanctifieth to the purifying of the flesh, Divine Word stood forth upon the earth as High Priest of creation, presenting "his flesh" as a sinoffering.

It does not, however, appear to be anywhere stated in Scripture, that the indwelling of the Divinity dured the true and real curse of the law, and that painful death which he did really undergo. be asserted, that it was the presence of the Godhead Redeemer by "bearing our sins in his own body to the within which dispensed with the infliction of endless tree" obtain the right to promise dying sinners, pains, through the substitution of an infinite majesty | "This day shalt thou be with me in Paradise;" and, for the infinite misery of a finite being; there is no as the sin of the first man brought forth the thorns reason wherefore we should not reply, that on the of the curse, so did the Lord from Heaven wear same principle the divine nature of Jesus might have those thorns for a crown, and the curse removed. imparted an infinite value to any one of those stripes which he bore before the hour of his crucifixion, and mortality to light, through the gospel?" The apthus have rendered that fatal death unnecessary. But the most serious objection to this statement is, that it represents the Majesty of Deity as the substitute and the sufferer; in opposition to those declarations which set forth the humanity as the expintory sacrifice. This irreverent conclusion alone immortality, and in favor of the theology which atmight urge us to escape as rapidly as possible from such calculations, and to find rest for our souls in the simple doctrine that the Lord Jesus bore the curse of the law, in its original sense, death, the shedding his pledge of our everlasting existence in glory. with the Divinity.

Thus do we derive an additional argument in favor of the former determination, that the death threatened to Adam was literal dissolution, without any further reference to a state of misery for the soul. The fact that Christ bore this death, did thus lay down his life as a man, did thus shed his blood for our redemption, is a convincing proof that death in the Bible signifies literal death, and that life signifies literal life: since it was not his "happiness," much less his "holiness" which the Saviour "laid down for his sheep," but his existence as a man composed of body and soul. There is no evidence whatever that he endured some commutation of the penalty denounced; there is no evidence that the criminal laws of the universe admit of such commutation in the case of federal redemption; there is no evi- clement is it in their very foundation, that they canefficacy to the human endurance of "the curse of the fall. and therefore we feel quite at liberty to delaw;" cide, that the death threatened to Adam was the minds of many may be shocked at anything like a death which Jesus underwent when he "frustrated him that had the power of death, and gave to them I shall prove, both from reason and the word of God, who all their lifetime were in bondage through fear of death" the hope of a resurrection to everlasting life.

This view of the life-giving death of Jesus Christ our Lord sets the doctrine of salvation by his blood, in a remarkably beautiful and striking light. The "sprinkling of his blood" is the pardon of sin; the bestowment of freedom from "condemnation" by that law whose sentence is death. "The blood is the life thereof;" therefore the "drinking of his blood" is the drinking in of the element of eternal life: "for his flesh is meat indeed, and his blood is drink indeed." We are by nature destitute of immortality, and under sentence of destruction; butin Him, through the "blood of the cross," we have reconciliation and resurrection. Since "sin and death" are inseparably united, forgiveness is as inseparably united with immortality. The death of the Lord, Jesus being always placed in opposition to the impending death of man, we cannot suppose that the term has different significations in the two cases: and since the loss of "a right to the tree of life" in Adam was followed by "a return to the dust whence the Lord is the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, he was taken," it is impossible to avoid the conclusion, that He at whose death the veil of the *Holicst* dead, those ancient patriarchs named, who were (the type of *Paradise*) was rent asunder, has pro-cured for us a literal and not a metaphorical partici-that he was their God, proved their resurrection. pation of immortality. Thus, (if the parallel be not But if their souls were then in conscious existence, funciful,) as the first Adam by a tree brought death was he not their God even without their resurrec-

If it into the world and loss of Eden, so did the Divine "He hath abolished death, and brought life and implication of these statements to the interpretation of the symbolic rite of the "Lord's Supper," will be very obvious to the reader. The views here de-fended will lead us to regard that ordinance as a standing testimony against the doctrine of natural tributes the eternal life of the saved exclusively to the "blood" of the Lamb that was slain. And when we take bread as Christ's "memorial," we receive of his blood, the laying down of his life; that an in-finite value was imparted to the offering by his union will give for the life of the world." Who would not respond to the invitation, "Take it and divide it among yourselves!"

[To be continued.]

immortality of the soul

The doctrine of the immortality of the soul of man, in a separate state of being from the body, lies at the foundation of the various systems of Theology that, at the present day, are taught in the schools, and in the nominally Christian church. This assertion needs no proof; as no one who is in the least acquainted with the nature of the subject and with the facts in the case, will presume to deny it. Indeed, so closely is this doctrine interwoven with the different creeds of the church, and so essential an dence for aught else than that his Godhead gave not be separated, and with it they must stand or

> But notwithstanding this is so, and therefore the denial of this their darling and fundamental dogma, that the sentiment or doctrine that the soul of man survives the body at death, and continues to exist in a conscious state of happiness or misery is utterly false and of mischievous tendency.

> "Infidelity!" cries the sectarian bigot, "he denies a future state of reward and punishment." Well. before I have done, I will show that the very fact that the immortality of the soul is regarded as indispensably necessary to a future state of conscious being, proves the doctrine to be mischievous in its effect, inasmuch as it bases all hope of eternal life and blessedness upon a false foundation.

> The gospel that was preached by Christ and his apostles does not make the hope of the Christian to depend, for its consummation, upon death and the soul's immortality, but upon the resurrection of the dead. In Luke xx. 37, 38, our Lord says, "Now that the dead are raised, even Moses showed at the bush, when he called the Lord the God of Abraham, the God of Isnac, and the God of Jacob. For he is not a God of the dead, but of the living." Here we are taught by him who cannot lie, that inasmuch as and yet he is the God of the living, and not of the

tion? Most certainly. But as without this resurrec- , that sleep in Jesus will God bring with him." tion he could not be their God, it follows that they were not then in conscious existence.

Again, in John vi. 39, Christ declares, "And this is the Father's will who hath sent me, that of all which he hath given me I should lose nothing, but should raise it up again at the last day." And in Luke xiv. 14, he says, "thou shalt be recompensed at the resurrection of the just." Thus taught our Lord Jesus Christ, and whoever teaches a contrary doctrine is antichrist. The apostles also, in all their judged ! teachings, made the second coming of our Lord Jesus Christ and the resurrection of the just, the grand theme-the only blessed hope. To the Athenian philosophers Paul seemed a setter forth of strange gods, because he preached unto them Jesus and the resurrection: and in the fifteenth chapter of his first epistle to the Corinthians, he declares plainly that if the dead rise not, then they that had fallen asleep in Christ were perished. But if the soul be immortal, how does it follow that the sleeping saints were perished, even though the dead should not rise? Certainly they are not perished if in a state of consciousness and bliss. Therefore the only hope for the child of God is the coming of the Lord and the resurrection: and whoever teaches that the soul of the believer enters into a state of heavenly blessedness at death, preaches another gospel.

Thus we see that the theology of the schools and of the professing church, and the teachings of Christ and his apostles, are directly opposed to each other. The gospel of Christ bases the hope of the church upon the sure foundation of Jesus and the resurrection, while scholastic theology places it upon the fable of the immortality of the soul. And as the teaching of this other gospel is calculated to make the true hope of the gospel regarded as of no consequence, or at most of but secondary importance, and to lessen in the mind the value and importance of the true foundation or object of the believer's faith and hope, its natural and necessary tendency and results are evil and evil only. Therefore the doctrine is false. Let then the gospel taught by Christ and his apostles stand in all its original purity and glory; while the false and pernicious gospel of a fancied heaven at death, "beyond the bounds of time and space," (!) falls to the ground.

But it is said that if the human soul be not immortal, man is no better than a beast. To this I answer that there is just as much superiority in a living man above a living beast, as there is a greater machinery; complete in all its parts, he needed only degree of the likeness of God, and no more. The the motive power to put this perfect mechanism in mental faculties of man are superior to those of the brute: but this does not prove his immortality any more than the superiority of the mind of the dog to that of the snail proves the immortality of the dog. But as it regards a dead man, it is true that he is no better than a beast, And to this agree the word of God. See Eccl. iii. 19, "For that which befulleth whole fabric called man is, therefore, "of the earth. the sons of men befalleth beasts; oven one thing befalleth them: as the one dieth, so dieth the other, yea, they have all one breath, so that a man hath no pre-eminence above a beast." Again, Eccl. ix. 5, "For the living know that they shall die: but the dead know not anything, neither have they any more a reward; for the memory of them is forgot-ten." Thus by the word of God it is proved that a dead man is no better than a dead beast; and that without the resurrection there is no reward after rise again to eternal life and glory, God is not be a living soul. Then everything appertaining to " ashamed to be called their God. "For if we believe man is resolved into its original condition and elethat Jesus died and rose again, even so them also ment. The body returns to dust, the spirit or prin-

Even so, means in the same manuer. There is no other wav.

How false and foolish are the notions of those who imagine that, to the Christian,

"Death is the gate to endless joy, And dying is but going home."

How absurd and ridiculously horrible the description given by Watts, of a soul descending to its place of eternal torment, after ascending to heaven to be

"Then swift and dreadful she descends Down to the flery coast, Among abominable fiends, Herself a frighted ghost !"

Such is the trash that has been palmed upon the people in the name of Christianity. Thus have even the sheep of Christ's fold been led astray and fed with spiritual poison. And, as a consequence, how often have weeping friends stood around the bed of of the dying, and, as soon as the last agony and the last gasp were over, have exclaimed, "Ife knows more than all of us now:" whereas the word of God positively declares, "The dead know not anything." Nothing is more clearly taught in the holy scriptures than the entire unconsciousness of the dead; and that a future state of existence depends, not in part, but altogether, upon the resurrection.

It is asked if the scripture does not say that at death the spirit returns to God who gave it? It does, but what is the spirit? Certain it is, the spirit is not the soul: for Paul declares, in Heb. iv. 12, that the word of God divides asunder soul and spirit. And in 1 Thess. v. 23, he says, "I pray God your whole spirit and soul and body be preserved blameless." So then, if the spirit be immortal, that does not prove the immortality of the soul any more than of the body. The Hebrew word is ruach, the Greek pnuema. Both are rendered by translation, air, wind, breath, or spirit; and, as applied to man, mean the principle or power of life. In Gen. ii. 7, we read, "The Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life, and man became a living soul." Surely this does not teach us that man was made in two distinct parts, and then joined together. Nor does it teach that a part only of man was formed of the dust. It was man-the whole man; though until the principle or power of life was imparted from God, he was not a living man. As a beautiful and glorious piece of action, thus constituting him a moving, sentient, reflecting, acting being,-in short, a living soul. Such he became when the life-giving God breathed into his nostrils the ruach or spirit of life. And when the dust returns to dust, that principle or power is withdrawn, and thus returns to God. The earthy," and became a living soul by having the principle of life imparted from God, by means of the breath inhaled from the surrounding atmosphere. The life in the blood, which is kept in action by the breath, and from which life, motion, and the power of thought, are imparted to the brain and nervous system and to all the various organs of the human frame, thus producing all the phenomena of life, both mental and physical. And it is this alone that constitutes man a living soul. Consequently, when death. But inasmuch as the sleeping saints shall the breath or spirit of life is withdrawn, he ceases to soul, that is, minul life, cannot exist without the present to the eyes of the world, not merely Christ presence and life-giving power of the spirit, it is extinguished, like the flame of a candle. Therefore, if there be no resurrection, there is no future life .--Selected.

-0-The Danger and Remedy.

We have had put into our hands a volume of Tracts published in England in 1847, written "by a Clergyman," and entitled the "The Last Vials," being a series of "Essays upon the subject of the Second Advent."

There are many things in these essays that are exceedingly interesting. We give an extract from one. After the author had travelled over much of his ground in relation to present and coming events, and taken a view of "the nature of the Millenial" Kingdom," he thus speaks:

"We are fully convinced that nothing but the doctrine of the Second Advent can restore the Church to its former life and vigour as a Christian assembly, or can afford a principle of firm contrasted opposition to the heresies and blasphemics of the present age. We are surrounded by heresy, infidelity, and iniquity, in every form; Popery is extending through-out Europe, and regaining its long-lost power over the kings of the earth, under the able management of the most accomplished Pope who has appeared since the memorable pontificates of Leo X, or of Sixtus V. Within our own Protestant Church, Tractarianism is daily circulating its poison, unperceived even by its own converts-drawing its venom from the foul sepulchnes of the apostate fathers of the middle ages. It is true that it is but a feeble thing, and a reptile-yet a viper near at hand is more dan-gerous than a *lion* in the Vatican. Atheim is increasing over Europe, and forming an alliance with Popery. And, to destroy every hope of improvement, even education is corrupted through all its systems. The education of the rich and the learned is carrying out science to its utmost perfection, and trusting to that perfection, it scorns the interference of a God-it boasts of its own ability to restore the world, and says to the Almighty, "Depart from us! we desire not the knowledge of thy ways!" The education of the poor consists in a cold and lifeless repetition of catechism and creeds, too often got up for public exhibition, but too seldom impressed upon the heart; and when the poorer classes aspire to learning and science, they succeed in obtaining precisely enough to make them vain and self-confident, enough to make them doubtful upon all subjects, but too little to make them decided upon any. Proud, self-confident science-cold and formal religion-shallow and showy education, are the characteristics of the age, and in this state of the world what must be and immortal body, such as the eye has never looked our only resource? It must be the preaching of the upon, in which the feeblest and most deformed saint Gospel, you will reply. Yes, but in order that the Gospel may be an antagonist to these evils, it must have the qualities and characters of an antagonist. You cannot remove cold, except by introducing heat; you cannot conquer an enemy fully armed, while you are unarmed yourself. Every antagonist must possess opposing qualities to those which he contends with: and therefore it is not sufficient merely that the repeated evidence of God's word, and you will the Gospel should be preached partially, but that it then have taken hold upon the heart-you will preshould be preached in its full extent; it is not a Gos- sent to them one real world, as in opposition to ano-

ciple of life returns to God who gave it, and as the las well as some truth. And for this purpose we must crucified, in the form of a servant, but Christ glorified, in the majesty of a king! This will be the Gospel in its full extent, and the most effectual opponent of every vice and heresy of the age. Let us now consider how fully the doctrine of the Second Advent possesses the qualities of an antagonist. Look around you and observe what are the prevailing heresies and vices of the world: St. Paul has foretold you what you are to expect in these latter times -"In the last days perilous times shall come. Men shall be lovers of pleasure, more than lovers of God. Having the form of godliness, but denying the power thereof." (2 Tim. iii.) St. Paul says nothing of war or violence; all is calm and prosperous; men are lovers of pleasure-they have the form of godliness; they are ever learning the truth-and yet these pacific times are pronounced by the Apostle to be days of peril. So it is at present, all is peaceful and prosperous-men are ever learning-they have the form of godliness-they pursue pleasure-and gold, the purchaser of pleasure, to the ends of the earth; the world is all awake with the activity and energy of feverish and surfeited prosperity, but it is an un-healthy and dangerous state, and it exactly agrees with the predictions of St. Paul. A deep corruption of the moral system, and yet outwardly calm, prosperous, and decorous. How cutting is the quiet sarcasm of St. Paul, when he speaks of the "form of godliness," and of men ever learning, and yet connects these promising qualities with the most perilous times.

This shews what dangers may surround us while everything appears prosperous and correct; It shews that peace may be as perilous as war; and in a word it describes the present age, in which men have the form without the power of godliness, and in which they love pleasure (and money) far more than God. Now what is the fit remedy for this condition of the world? Not mere spirituality-not sickly sentiment, or religious novel-writing; spirituality alone can never be the fit antagonist of worldliness; you might as well take a beautiful picture and make it the antagonist of an armed man; or take the picture of a river and apply it to extinguish a real fire. Spirituality is in the mind and heart, but sensuality and worldliness are in the blood, and in the reality of life: one can never be directly opposed against the other. But take the kingdom and presence of Christ-speak to men of a new world-a celestial city-an all-powerful government, that will control and humanize all nations-that will baffle and supersede all the policy of kings and statesmen; tell them of a cabinet in which the Son of God shall preside, and of which all his saints shall be ministers. One that never can be deceived, and never can deceive; which cannot be broken up by faction, or terrified by the clamors of a sordid mob; which shall govern forever, with irresistible wisdom and power; tell them of a glorified shall appear before the world more nobly formed than Adam at his creation; tell them that in this godlike form they shall live with the King of kings, in the heavenly city, and reign over the nations; and shew them from Scripture, that all this is certain as a fact, and that it is drawing near to us in time. Preach to men in such language, and confirm it by pel that we require but the Gospel - the whale truth there you will find them lovers of pleasure, and there-

fore lovers of the present world. You will offer them tion, and he has provided against this, by giving us still higher pleasures in a still better world-lasting pleasures in place of perishing-pleasures in security, instead of pleasures that are dangerous-reality that is eternal, in place of reality that is tempo- Saints in glorified bodies-the vast armies of the ral. And thus you will present to them a full equivalent for whatever they give up; pleasure for God-the renewed earth-the Lord and his Saints pleasure, reality for reality. This is true an-tagonism; this is opposing the force of God's world to the force of Satan's world; throwing the weight of the new earth into one scale, to counteract the forth with the Lamb-the tremendous conflict of the weight of the old world in the other. Satan being Second Advent day-the glorious kingdom which that armed with a sword hardened in the furnace of man's passions, you are providing for his attack by hardening your own sword in the furnace of those same passions, kindled by fire from heaven. This is true antagonism, for it is opposing reality to reality.

consists in this knowledge of human nature, in the Saints shall stand alive upon the earth, and then application of this principle of reality. Man must have some object which his mind can estimate, and which his senses can comprehend; he must have succession of grand images, which fill the mind and some sociability in his devotion and in his faith; raise it above all the grossness of this life. And it something which approaches to his own level, and will equally affect the heart, because every true be-with which he can dare to be familiar. Popery has liever has a promise of beholding it—"When Christ, long since penetrated this grand secret of our nature, and she has given us a false reality, in order to sat-pear with him in glory! isfy our wants. The body of Christ is brought down from heaven, to be present with her humblest suppliants in her humblest churches. Her Priests and a pure and heavenly subject for contemplation, with-Pontiffs are the representatives of God upon the outstraining their comprehension beyond its strength. earth. Her ancient churches are peopled with the It makes heavenly things easy and familiar, by bringspirits of the dead, and every solemn aisle of her ing them down to be transacted upon the earth. Such cathedrals is watched over by some departed saint, who still mingles with its services, and keeps guard the Second Advent, which, strong in its simplicity over its congregations. dead is thrown, like a gloomy veil, around her brilliant ceremonies, to heighten their effect by con-The shadows upon her venerable cloisters, trast. are the shadows of departed saints, and wherever you may turn, in the woods or in the wilderness, she heresy, provide himself with this two-edged swordaccompanies you with her patron saints, and with the Christ crucified, and Christ glorified. Let him draw soft presence of the Virgin Mary; bringing you into together all whom he can influence, to meet and to continual association with beings of your own nature, who can hear your prayers, attend upon your left to restore the Church, the last remaining weasteps, and take a friendly interest in all your hopes pon to vanquish Heresy; and if they meet, with and agitations. All this is false reality, indeed, but prayer for divine direction, they shall be guided into it is *decterous*. It takes hold upon man, by the strongest fibres of his nature, his love for familiar associations, and for populous solitude. The solitude of a Romish devotee is never solitary, it is peopled with the illustrious dead. The cell of the Roman monk is never naked, its walls are curtained with the shadows of the awful tenants of the grave. What a skilfully-devised fable is here! What a mixture of religion and romance-of light and shadeof beauty and terror. The Italians were masters of the art of *painting* long before they proved it upon canvass. Their whole religion is a picture of exqui-site coloring, as well as masterly design; but after continually endeavoring to find out some new contriall, it is nothing but a picture, it must fade at last. vance to promote the glory of God, and the great The Tractarians are daubing their British canvass ends of his own existence and that of his fellow-men. The Tractarians are daubing their British canvass ends of his own existence and that of his fellow-men. with clumsy designs, and vulgar coloring, in an awk- Should not such a determination as this exist in the wark attempt to imitate the genius of Italy. But heart of every Christian, and particularly every min-they never can succeed; the English nation is not ister of the Gospel? If the men of the world excrgifted with Italian taste.

that the wisdom of God has provided a remedy for ests, should not those who belong to the kingdom of these deceptions, and a religion adapted to man's heaven do the same thing in reference to the spiritual heart. In the very book which foretells to us the welfare of mankind? For what purpose are the rise of Popery, God has given us an antidote to its faculties of skill and contrivance given us, but to use attractions. He foresees the rise of a *fulse* but *pic*-them to the best advantage in doing the work of the *turesque* religion, just fitted to mislead our imagina-Lord, "whose we are and whom we serve?"

a true and holy religion, yet one which is the perfection of pictured beauty. The Lord reigning as a man over the earth-the lustre of the heavenly city-the Antichristian world-the great battle of Almighty governing the nations, like an heavenly cabinet; the peace and prosperity of a thousand years beneath this august aristocracy-the armics of heaven riding victory shall establish.

What is there in Popery, or in romance, so grand and so attractive to the heart? And if we add to this, that every true believer shall have a part in this kingdom-if we fancy to ourselves that wondrous The great secret of Popery, and of Tractarianism, day in which the grave shall open, and the departed the grave shall close again upon the ungodly, and shut them in for a thousand years-we shall have a who is our life, shall appear, then shall we also ap-

> Thus this doctrine seizes upon the minds of the most worldly and the most sensual, and gives them is the wisdom of God, the excellence and power of The awful presence of the and grandeur, can hold on its way unchecked, and can triumph alike over Italian wiles and Anglo-Catholic treachery.

Let every Christian, and every minister who de-sires to be fully armed against every attack of confer on this subject; it is the last remedy which is ALL TRUTH, and realize in themselves that memorable promise-"Then they that feared the Lord, spake often one to another; and the Lord hearkened and heard it, and a book cf remembrance was written before him, for them that feared the Lord, and that thought upon his name." Mal. iii.

CONTRIVING TO DO GOOD .- When Jonathan Edwards was a young man, he formed a series of resolutions embodying the principles upon which he intended to act and govern his future conduct and course of life. One of these resolutions was, to be cise their powers of invention in devising new and Need we repeat what has been remarked so often efficient methods of advancing their temporal inter-

BIBLE EXAMINER.

PIHLADELPHIA, JUNE, 1851.

SFIRIT RAFFERS.—We cut the following from La Roy Sunderland's "Spirit World." We give it as an item showing that what we have before said, relative to the "Spirit Knockers," is true: that their *real object* is to bring the Bible and the God of the Bible into contempt, and thus "exalt themselves above all that is called God."

"'Authority.'—Whose words are these, 'Ye shall not eat anything that dicth of itself; thou shall give it to the stranger that is in thy gate, and SELL IT TO AN ALEN that HE MAT EAT IT; for thou art a holy people unto the Lord thy God.'—Deut. 14: 21.' Were a spirit or an angel to 'spell out' a doctrine like the above, what holy horror would be excited in a certain class of minds we could name.''—Spirit World, May 10th.

We are truly pained to see one who in former years reverenced the Bible, thus descending to the very lowest infidel slang in order to keep in countenance the "lying spirits" to which he is now giving heed! A superficial mind may be staggered by such a text as Mr. Sunderland has quoted above; but how an intelligent mind, such as we have always supposed Mr. Sunderland possessed, could stumble at the words quoted from Douteronomy it is not easy to see. Does he not see and know, that for the Legislature of Massachusetts to set itself to enact that the inhabitants of that State "shall not eat anything that dieth of itself," would be regarded as a work of supererogation and folly? Why? Simply, because no such law is needed-no one in that enlightened community has such a perverted appetite as needs thus to be restrained by the enactments of law. Such a law now passed in Massachusetts or any other State, would demonstrate that the custom of eating such food exists, or that the Legislators were fools.

The law given by Moses is conclusive evidence that the practice was common for persons to eat, in those days, things which were unclean, and animals that died a natural death. This being the custom of the times, the taste of the people, and their relish for such meat, led them to use it for food: and the same propensity was in Israel as in others in this matter; hence the necessity of positive law to prevent a people-whom God designed to teach self-denial-from polluting themselves with the customs and practices of the nations around them, who regarded not God nor his authority. "Eat" such meat those nations would, but God prohibited Israel from doing it, and assigns the reason, viz: "For thou art a holy [i. c. a separate] people unto the Lord thy God." But if others, not of Israel, choose to "eat anything that dieth of itself," you may "give it to" them or "SELL it to an alien," seeing they will cat it, and acknowledge not my right to legislate for them.

The sum of the matter is this: God would have, in the midst of the surrounding idelatry that universally prevailed in those times, a people who should be subject to his authority, and separated from the unlicensed self-indulgence which characterised that period of the world's history. To that people he gave the law in question, among others of like character, all tending to promote purity: but other pcople who chose to walk in their own ways, he gave up to their own perverted choice: they did eat that which died of itself, and preferred it, most likely. Thus no wrong, nor even impropriety, was committed in giving or selling them the meat in question. To take occasion from the ignorance or thoughtlessness of men, in these days, to slur the Bible and its Author, from such a text, is evidence of great blindness or great and inexcusable wickedness. We sincorely pray that whichever it may be, in Mr. Sunderland, God may lead him once more to "the feet of Jesus," and that he may become "clothed and in his right mind."

We conclude these remarks with the following from "Dr. Chalmers on Infidelity:"

"The truth of Christianity," says Dr. Chalmers, "is neither more nor less than the truth of certain facts that have been handed down to us by the testimony of reporters. Let the historical evidences on which it rests be made to pass in review, and become the subject of sober, inductive examination; let the question be decided by a patient and a fair inquiry; let the enemies of our faith show the world that their infidelity rests on higher grounds than a stale invective against the jugglery of priests, or the pertinence of a flippant witticism; let them bring along with them the spirit of cool and candid reflection, an anxiety after truth, and a ready submission to evidence. How little do they think, as they strut along in the pride of their infidel philosophy, how little of the spirit and temper of true philosophy is in them -of the humble, cautious spirit which Bacon taught and on which Newton rests the immortality of his genius!

"There is a puppyism in infidelity for which I have no patience. I thought that now-a-days both gentlemen and philosophers would have been ashamed of it! At the commencement of the last century one had some credit in sporting the language of unbelief and infidelity-for they were supported by the countenance of Shaftesbury and Bolingbroke, who, in addition to their being peers of the realm, had a sufficient acquaintance with their mother tongue. But infidelity, like every other fashion, has had its day; and since the masterly and triumphant defences of our English divines, it has been generally abandoned by the superior and more enlightened classes of society, and, to use the words of an Oxford Professor, 'is now rarely to be heard but in the language of * blackguards.'

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

"I revere Christianity, not because it is the reli- is the only armor needed to do the work. In the use gion of my fathers-I revere it, not because it is the established religion of my country-I revere it, not because it brings to me the emoluments of office; but I revere it because it is built on the solid foundation of impregnable argument; because it has improved the world by the lessons of an ennobling morality, and because, by the animating prospects it holds out, it alleviates the sorrows of our final departure hence, and cheers the gloomy desolation of the grave."

WHAT DOKS IT MEAN?-Thomas J. Harris, of Harrisburg, Pa., writes us-I observe in the German Reformed Messenger, dated April 9th, 1851, the following article:-

"The Doctrine of Annihilation .--- We learn from the Lutheran Standard, that several of the ministers of the Lutheran Synod of Indiana have broached the doctrine of the 'Final destruction or annihilation of the wicked.' For these views they were not only sustained and tolerated by the Synod, 'but some who differ from them * * * are condemned and excommunicated for their opposition to these views, by that body. One of them, a Mr. Budisill, declares that the view as held by him, is found in the Augsburg Confession. At the same time, however, he declares himself adverse to any confession, except the Bible-a very convenient trap door for casting out any doctrine that may not suit the individual tastes of men, whilst they are not honest enough to leave a church, whose doctrines they do not heartily believe.

Is it really true, that a "Lutheran Synod" believes and acts as seems to be indicated in the foregoing article? That Lutherans should renounce and repudiate the doctrine of the natural immortality of the soul is what we should expect; for Luther early pronounced it one of the "Roman dung-hill decreetals;" but that they should "condemn and excommunicate some who differ from them for their opposition," is not creditable either to Lutheranism or Christianity, provided opponents act with a Christian spirit. No man who believes the Bible, and whose acts and spirit correspond with its holy requirements, is to be proscribed from Christian fellowship because he differs from his brethren in opinion as to what is the sense of Scriptural expressions. We think the Lutheran Standard must have been misinformed as to the treatment the opposers met with: at least we hope the statement is incorrect.

The article, however, shows that the old doctrine of immortal soulism and endless torture is finding its grave; and we hope it will soon go down into sheel, and never find a resurrection. It has been permitted to dishonor God, defame his character, and produce infidelity long enough: but let it die a legitimato death. That is-let the Sword of the Spirit-the word of God-slay it, and not church excommunications, or persecution in any form. The truth of God ference to the resurrection of the wicked, but the re-

of that may we all learn of Him who spake as never man spake, and whose word was with power.

1 CORINTHIANS XV. 22 .- Baltimore, 21st May, 1851 .- FRIEND STORRS:- 1 have repeatedly thought of calling your attention to the 22d verse of the 15th chapter of Corinthians; the meaning and force of which has, in my opinion, been greatly impaired, if not entirely lost by regarding the last clause of it as intended to prove the resurrection of all, when to my mind it evidently applies only to those in Christ. I do not mean to question the resurrection of the just and unjust, but I do not believe it was the intention of the Apostle to teach it in this place. His purpose was to show that those in Christ were as sure of life as those in Adam were of death. I would therefore read it: "As all in Adam die, so all in Christ shall be made alive." You may have noticed this in some of your writings, which have not come under my observation, but having seen it used in what I deem an erroneous manner, I thought it well to call your attention to it; for, to me, it is a forcible illustration of the great doctrine that there is no eternal life but in Christ Jesus. Yours, truly,

WM. P. LEMMON.

Our friend Lemmon is most likely correct in his suggestion. Certain it is, that the resurrection of the wicked is not the subject of this chapter. It is the resurrection of those who have "fallen asleep in Christ" that Paul is speaking of throughout the chapter. The resurrection of the wicked is no part of his argument. He is speaking of those in Christ in distinction from "all" other "men:" see verse 19. He first shows the certainty of Christ's resurrection from many and infallible witnesses. This point settled, it follows inevitably that all the member's of Christ's body will also come up from the dead, their union with Christ, or being in him, as certainly drawing after it life-eternal life-as their union with Adam the first inevitably draws after it death, or dissolution. Hence he says-"For since [or, by means of] man [viz: Adam the first] came death, [upon all who were in kim, viz: all men] by man [Adam the second, or Christ, shall come,] also [or in like manner] the resurrection of the dead [who have "fallen asleep in Christ:" verse 18;] for [gar-for example] as in Adam [by being in union with Adam] all die, so [in like manner, those] in Christ shall all be made alive."

This 22d verse is purely explanatory of the preceding; and as the preceding is entirely an argument relating to the resurrection of Christ and those who have "fallen asleep in him," we have no right to construe the Apostle's words to include the whole human family. It was not the Apostle's method, in any of his epistles to illustrate any subject by a reverse. In 1 Thess. 4: 14, he speaks of the same subject thus: "If we believe that Jesus died and rose again, even so them also that sleep in Jesus will God bring with him." Where did God bring Jesus from? Paul answers, Heb. 13: 20, "Now the God of peace, that brought again from the dead our Lord Jesus Christ," &c.

The subject then is clear God brought up Christ from the dead, and will just as certain bring up all that sleep in him. It is no more certain that we all die by being in Adam than it is that all who are in Christ will be made alive by that union, and die no more-"death" will have "no more dominion over" them, than it hath over the head of the body-viz: Christ. As "he dieth no more," so his members will die no more. How important and precious is Christ; and how indispensible is union with him to secure a resurrection to life eternal.-Ep. Ex.

THE TRUE SOURCE OF LIFE. BY THE EDITOR.

TEXTS .- For as the Father hath life in himself, so both he given to the Son to have life in himself. He that hath the Son, hath life; and he that hath not the Son of God, hath not life. And so it is written, the first man Adam was made a living soul, the last Adam was made a quickening spirit. Howbeit, that was not first which is spiritual, but that which is natural; and afterward that which is spiritual. The first man is of the earth, earthy; the second man is the Lord from heaven. As is the earthy, such are they also that are earthy; and as is the heavenly, such are they also that are heavenly. And as we have borne the image of the earthy, we shall also bear the image of the heavenly. Now this I say, brethren, that flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God; neither doth corruption inherit incorruption .-- John 5: 26; 1 John 5: 12; and 1 Corinth. 15: 45-50.

The following points present themselves from these portions of Scripture, viz: Unoriginated, Independent and Endless Life resides in God the Father alone .- By the gift of the Father, Endless Life resides in Christ .- Adam had not in himself, nor have any of his posterity by generation, or birth, endless life .- Endless life, for man, is in Christ alone .- This life can only be perfected by a resurrection or translation.

I. UNOBIGINATED, INDEPENDENT ENDLESS LIFE BE-FIDES IN GOD THE FATHER ALONE. Such life must be located somewhere. In the nature of the case we can go no higher to find its source. God appeals to this attribute on solemn occasions, or to confirm his word. "Truly as I live, all the earth shall be filled with the glory of the Lord." Numb. 14: 21. "Say unto them as I live, saith the Lord God, I have no pleasure in the death of the wicked," &c. Here then we have the highest source of life appealed to; and of necessity it must be unoriginated and independent.

II. BY THE GIFT OF THE FATHER, ENDLESS LIFE RESIDES IN CHRIST.

This, the first text affirms, "As the Father hath life in himself, so hath he given to the Son to have life in himself." "It pleased the Father that in him should all fulness dwell:" Col. 1: 19. This fulness of life was not complete till his resurrection: then "death hath no more dominion over him:" Rom. 6: 9. "Whom God hath raised up, [from the dead] having loosed the pains of death, because it was not possible that he should be holden of it:" Acts 2: 24. The anointed Jesus was begotten, or generated of Mary by the Holy Spirit of God; and by the same Spirit was he "the first born from the dead:" Col. 1: 18, and 1 Pet. 3: 18; and now "ever liveth," and hath "the power of an Endless Life." Heb. 7: 16.

III. ADAM HAD NOT IN HIMSELF, NOR HAVE ANY OF HIS POSTERITY, BY GENERATION, ENDLESS LIFE.

The threatening of death is proof of this point. To threaten a being with death who had endless life; or, which is the same thing, had immortality, would show a lack of wisdom which we dare not attribute to the Lord our God.

Exclusion from the tree of life "lest man should take and eat thereof and live forever" is proof positive that he had not endless life in himself. On this point we have the plain and positive testimony of Paul that our proposition is true. We will now examine that testimony as presented in 1 Corth. 15: 45 to 50. In the 44th verse he says, "There is a natural"-psuchikon-an animal "body," or person; so the term "body" often signifies: and in that sense this apostle uses it in other places. Chap. 5: 8, he says, "I verily as absent in body," &c., that is, personally absent. Again Heb. 10: 10, he says: "We are sanctified through the offering of the body of Jesus Christ once for all." Surely our Saviour offered something more than merely a body; for he "poured out his soul to death"-he "gave himself for our sins." Gal. 1: 4. To return. The apostle affirms there is an animal person, and there is a spiritual body, or person. And that he is speaking of the entire person, and not of the body merely, is evident from the following part of his argument; for he adds, "And so it is written, the first man Adam was made a living soul." Here is the proof that there is a natural body, or animal person. He appeals "to the law and the testimony," and that records that the first man was made an animal man-a living person: not an immortal soul-he says no such thing-that would have destroyed his argument, which is to prove the The first man, or Adam, was liable to corruption. phrase "living soul," which Paul quotes direct from Genesis 2: 7, never can prove that man had an immortal soul, any more than the same language can prove that all the fowls, fishes, cattle and creeping things have such souls; for the same is said of them, Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, hilds: man shap, 2:7. The

original in each of the three cases is nephesh hayahliving soul; which expression puts the fishes, fowls, cattle and creeping things and man all on a level as to any natural immortality: one was just as much so as the other, and no more, in himself. The apostle's appeal, therefore, to this account of man's creation, shows that he regarded man, of himself, by creation, as a mere animal man; and this he positively asserts in what follows: for he proceeds to say, "That was not first which is spiritual, but that which is natural," or animal. Here the apostle does clearly affirm that the first man Adam was not spiritual, but that he was an animal. Surely here is no indication of an immortal soul or a spiritual nature, as some maintain, but the reverse. Here we are perfectly aware immortal soulists will be filled with horror, and cry out-"What! man a mere animal?" Yes, gentlemen, you who would-be-"gods," hear it; you are by virtue of your descent from Adam but mere animals; though the "father of lies" has tried ever since he tempted mother Eve to make men think they are gods-or are immortal. "Ye shall not surely die' has ever been his motto: but Paul declares they are natural persons-animal men; and he affirms that to be the testimony of God by Moses. But let us hear him further. "The first man is of the carth, EARTHY." Surely this is a fatal blow to those proud hearts who talk of their "spiritual, and immortal nature." Hold! says Paul, "the first man is of the earth, earthy," and "as is the earthy," [natural, or animal] "such are they also that are earthy:" or such as have their descent from Adam. As a stream naturally can rise no higher than the fountain, so all born of Adam, the first man, are of earthly origin, or are only animals, tending back to the earth again like all other animals. A mortifying reflection, truly: and proud man, fancying himself a god, and claiming that he has a soul that is a "simple essence, uncompounded, immaterial, indestructible, and immortal," will of course make war on the apostle just so soon as he sees clearly the drift of his argument. It is truly humiliating, after one has taken the scat of God, and claimed affinity to him in immortality, to be made to know that all this self-exaltation is from the father of lies; and that man is by creation, or generation, only an animal, and destitute of a spiritual nature-that at best he is only the highest order of animals, with a capacity for the development of moral qualities and the reception of a spiritual nature through another medium, which we shall soon con-The animal man, though his intellect may sider. be developed so as to soar among the stars and tell their magnitude and revolutions, and to search out the secret things hidden in the depths of the earth, yet is after all but an animal, and will "perish forever like his own dung," [see Job 20: 7.] unless he comes to another source than himself for immor- and God provided another medium to bring out a tality; for, adds the apostle, "flesh and blood" [i. e. spiritual nature, or spiritual man: that is, He pro-Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

man by natural descent] "cannot inherit the kingdom of God;" and for this plain reason-that kingdom is everlasting, and man by creation or generation has no principle of perpetual existence in him, and hence must gain it from some other source or cease to be in the universe of God like any other mere animal.

To this may be added the fact that man's dominion was over the animals; which imports, that at his creation he was himself an animal, only of the highest order; otherwise there seems an inappropriateness in the language of scripture and in the design expressed in creation. "God said let us make man * * and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth:" Gen. 1: 26: Compare this with Ps. 8: 4-8: "What is man, that thou art mindful of him? and the son of man, that thou visitest him? For thou hast made him a little lower than the angels, and hast crowned him with with glory and honor. Thou madest him to have dominion over the works of thy hands; thou hast put all things under his feet. All sheep and oxen, yea, and the beasts of the field; the fowl of the air, and the fish of the sea, and and whatsoever passeth through the paths of the sea." All goes to show that man was in his proper sphere as ruler over other animals; and that was his standing till such times as further developments could be made of him, or some other qualities should be added by trial, or otherwise, which should fit him for a higher sphere than that of a mere animal.

Further, that man was a mere animal, though of the highest order, and capable of developments which the subjected animals were not, is evident from his trial. That was the trial of an animal-it related to food-to eating. He was placed in Eden, and bid regale himself, or gratify his nature, by eating freely of all the fruits of the garden save one. Of that one he was not to eat; that prohibition related to him as an animal, and was evidently designed to bring out in man, or produce in him, a quality which he had not by creation, because such a quality cannot be created-it is not an entity, nor anything that can be created; it is an attribute, and must be induced by some cause whereby there is trial. Man, at his creation, was capable of no higher trial than that of an animal; so the test was, to eat, or not to eat: that was the test, and such as was suited to man as one put in dominion over other animals, By this test, if obedient, he might advance in the scale of being, and approach to the development of a spiritual nature, which previously he had not. ln the trial he failed, and fell under complete subjection to the animal nature with which he was created:

or, because those to be delivered "are partakers of Adam, and head of the spiritual creation. that we by taking hold on his spiritual nature, by ment of spiritual senses. faith, might have developed in us a spiritual nature and thus attain unto holiness, immortality, endless life. This leads us to say-

IV. ENDLESS LIFE, FOR MAN, 18 IN CHRIST ALONE. Thus John speaks in our text, 1 John 5: 12, "He that hath the Son hath life, he that hath not the Son of God, hatk not life." In the previous verse he affirms this is the record, [that we are called to "believe," viz:] "that God hath given unto us eternal life, and this life is in his Son:" and he declares that the rejection of this record is to make "God a liar." Yet, alas! how many professed Christians, evenignorantly we hope-do deny, in fact, the truth of this record, and claim that they have endless life, or, which is the same, immortality without regard to Christ.

Let us now examine the apostle's argument as to how the spiritual man is produced. He informs us it is by the second Adam. "The last Adam," says he, "was made a quickening spirit"-or life-giving spirit. "That was NOT first which is spiritual, but that which is natural," or animal; "and AFTERWARD that which is spiritual:" * * "the second man is the Lord from heaven," or of heavenly origin. As the first was from the earth, earthy-a mere animal, and not spiritual: so the second is from heaven, begotten by the Spirit of God; so that in him dwelt divine or spiritual life, the principle and source of immortality and endless life. Now we begin to see the need we have of Christ; and the value of him to a perishing world. Whatever intellectual or, so-called, "moral" qualities may be manifested by any descendant of Adam the first, there is no approach to a spiritual nature, nor to immortality and endless life, till a union is formed with the second Adam, who is of heavenly origin: out of Christ there is nothing but corruption and death-extinction of all sense and consciousness, or to perish like the beasts: but in Christ is life and immortality. Refuse and reject him, you perish, utterly, totally, and forever. Come to him-be united to him as the branch to the vine-live upon him by faith, and you are a "new creature"-a "spiritual nature" is developed-the man is born of the Spirit; and now has spiritual senses, which he had not before: he now sees spiritual objects: knows and loves God, which he did not before, however much his intellect might have been convinced there is a God. "The natural"-psuchikos Christ"-or those who by "faith" are united to

vided a "second Adam," whose origin was not of the | neither can he know them, because they are spiritually dust of the ground, but heavenly; though united to discerned;" and that discernment he has not, and canand become one with "flesh and blood;" for "the not have till he has a spiritual nature imparted, which Word-Legos-was made fiesh and dwelt among us;" | can only be done by a union with Christ, the second Hence, flesh and blood, he also himself likewise took part of the first thing required by the gospel is faith in the same:" thus taking hold on our animal nature, Christ, in order to the new birth, and the develop-The highest attainments in what are denominated moral qualities cannot produce this development; nor bring a soul of man out of his mere animal condition, or save him from perishing, unless he is united to the fountain of endless life that God has opened in his Son. A union with Christ or endless death must be the portion of all that proceed from Adam who was of the earth, earthy. United to Christ, and abiding in him, as the branch abides in the vine, we shall not only have a spiritual nature-or be "partakers of the divine nature"but our "vile body" will ultimately be "changed;" for, "as we have borne the image of the earthy, we shall also bear the image of the heavenly," saith "We"-Who? All men? No. Who then Paul. does Paul mean by "We?" He tells us verse 50, "brethren," and verse 58, "my beloved brethren." This leads us to say-

> V. THIS ENDLESS LIFE CAN ONLY BE PERFECTED BY A RESURRECTION OR TRANSLATION.

The apostle had clearly stated this fact in the previous part of this chapter, when he said, "If the dead rise not, then is not Christ raised; and, of course, there is no fountain of endless life; and "then they also which are fallen asleep in Christ are perished." All future life turns on the reality of the resurrection. Without that all are lost-all are perished who have died; and "we who have hope in Christ are of all men most miscrable;" because, now we suffer, and deny ourselves such pleasures as mere animal men delight in; and doing all this in hope of a future and endless life, we are losors if there is no resurrection of the dead. Yes, saith the apostle, "if the dead rise not, let us eat and drink" enjoy ourselves as animals-"for to-morrow we die," and that ends all our hope. But he affirms the dead will rise, and the living in Christ be changed. "Behold, I show you a mystery"-a secret-"We shall not all sleep"-or die-"but we shall all be changed, in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, at the last trump: for the trumpet shall sound, and tho dead shall be raised incorruptible, and we shall be changed." "We." Who? All men? No. Tho apostle has clearly distinguished between those of whom he speaks and other men, verse 19, "If in this life only we have hope in Christ we are of all men most miserable." Thus we see the apostle means by "we" a specific class of men, viz: those "in -the animal "man receiveth not the things of the Christ. Such, if dead, will be raised incorruptible; Spirit of God; for they are foolishness unto him: 'or, if living, will be changed to incorruption: "for

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

he adds, "this corruptible must put on incorruption. | "how can they know?" The spirits that visit Anand this mortal must put on immortality." This is drew Jackson Davis are either very ignorant or very the only way immortality is to be received, or that great liars if they give wrong names to themselves. endless life can be perfected; and "so," the apostle | Which is it? friend S. We are satisfied it is the latcontinues, "when this corruptible shall have put on | ter. But then it was the "Revelations" of this same incorruption, and this mortal shall have put on immortality, then shall be brought to pass the saving that is written, Death is swallowed up of victory." Then is the work perfected, and eternal life is entered upon; for they cannot "die any more," but are glorified in their head, "Christ, who is our life."

INFERENCES. 1. Out of Christ men will utterly perish, like the beasts. Having no higher nature than that derived from the first Adam, they are of the earth, carthy-not heavenly-not spiritual-not immortal-no endless life.

2. Those who do have victory over death-who are delivered from its sting and from its victory-attain this triumph "through our Lord Jesus Christ;" and give "thanks to God, which giveth us the victory."

3. We who have believed in Christ, and have hope towards God for such a deliverance and salvation. have abundant cause to be steadfast and immoveable, always abounding in the work of the Lord, forasmuch as we know our labor is not in vain in the Lord: "the end is life eternal" to all such as "have their fruit unto holiness." Let us endure as seeing him who is is invisible, for "we shall reap in due time, if we faint not."

"NOMENCLATORY."-Under this head Mr. Sunderland, in the last number of his "Spirit World," seems to take Andrew Jackson Davis-"one of the most susceptible mesmeric subjects in the world," as a writer in that paper affirms-to task for dealing in the names of the spirits with which he communicates; and Mr. S. says, that for "about one year" he himself has "never once mentioned the names of any of the angels or spirits by whom" he has "been visited."

The facts about it seem to be these. The "Mesmeric" Davis talks, as he claims, with "St. John, St. Paul, Solon, Swedenborg, Dr. Franklin," and other great characters, and so claims to be "THE ONLY AUTHORIZED" expounder of these spirit matsers. We judge this must be rather galling to our old friend Sunderland. And no wonder; to think an ignorant, proud, mesmeric, Jack-with-a-lantern, who has been under the "sectarian schooling of Animal Magnetism, as practised for five years, by Universalist clergymen, on Andrew Jackson Davis," as saith an editorial correspondent in the same paper; no wonder, we say, that Mr. Sunderland should begin to see that such impudent pretenders were no longer the oracles he has heretofore thought Davis to be.

name amount to? Mortals cannot know whether the passage by the first line to our destined place. I set name be appropriate or not. How can they know?" down my valise deliberately to talk with my brother, That is a home-thrust, friend Sunderland.

Andrew Jackson Davis, if we have not misunderstood Mr. Sunderland, that first gave his mind that bias by which he was led to doubt, or discredit the Bible, and thus was prepared to follow these "lying spirits," which at first approached him as his little "sons," who died when babies. But latterly he does not give the "names" of those "angels or spirits" that approach him; and his mind seems to be revolting from the disgusting "Revelations" of that Mesmeric tool that Satan has employed to preach "peace and safety." We are glad that Mr. S. seems to be becoming sensible that some of those communicating spirits are very great fools or liars. For he asks "llow can they [Davis and others] know their names?"-the names of the spirits that approach them. If they do not know their own names, they are fools, for La Roy Sunderland's dead babies knew their names, it seems, when they communicated with him: and if babics know their names, there could be no adult so ignorant as not to know his name, unless he was a fool or on insane person. If the spirits did know their own names and yet assumed the names of others, they were liars. We are not of those who believe that all this "spirit knocking" business is "toeology" or "kneeology." We have seen no reason yet to call in question but that there is a real development of supernatural power; and we have no doubt it is a Satanic development-a "strong delusion," to which many will be given up "to believe a lie," and thus perish because they have gone after "familiar spirits," and thus departed from the Living God.

We do most sincerely hope and pray that our old friend Sunderland may yet have his eyes fully opened to the devilish character of this spirit-knocking manifestation, and employ his powerful mind and pen in resisting and exposing its soul-destroying work; and not slide off from it into Swedenborgianism, to which he seems now to be tending. Better come back to the Bible than to follow that ignus fatuus; for Swedenborg, however sincre and honest even, was as great a fanatic as Davis himself, and not a whit more consistent.

o

TAKE CARE TO GET ON THE RIGHT BOAT .--- On leaving Philadelphia, we came to the wharf whence the boat was to convey the passengers en route to our great commercial metropolis. We passed over the wharf, and took our stand with a feeling of satisfaction, on a large steamboat. We felt that, having "Names!" says Mr. Sunderland, "What does the hastened, we had arrived in time, and were sure of a Yes, and congratulate myself on being "ready," and in time. picion. York?" said 1 to a passing porter. vevance that was wanted!

flections. "too late."

self-complacently take a stand, saying "we are ready-we are in time and safe!" But no. They are only on some miserable wharf-boat! It comes in the way, but it cannot convey them a foot on their journey! They stop at "the baptism of John," and come short of the Christ who alone can convey them to glory, in the capitol of His Kingdom. Lord help us all to keep awake and watchful. There are many mere wharf-boats. They may lie in our route, but if we watch we shall pass them all and get on the right boat. Soon it will sail for the capitol of God's everlasting Kingdom. **J.** В. Соок.

FROM M. BATCHELOR. Pownal, Vt., May 5th, 1851.

BRO. STORRS:-I preached at Potter Hill, Rensselaer Co., N. Y., yesterday, on Eternal Life, in the forenoon, and the establishment of the Kingdom of Jesus on Earth, in the afternoon. I had a good hearing with a hearty invitation to visit them again. If I had a thousand tongues, I could employ them all in the promulgation of this glorious truth; and there are people that would hear, but not among the sects. No. God's people are scattered, and I long for the appearance of the Chief Shepherd to gather the scattered flock. I am as ever yours, in hope of life at the appearance of Jesus.

FROM DR. JOHN FONDY.

Albany, N. Y., April, 1851.

BRO. STORRS:-I sond you \$3, \$2 of which is to be applied for the Examiner, the remaining \$1 to pay for your new paper. I am glad to see that you are pushing on the car of truth and not relaxing, but rather increasing your efforts to meet and overcome with the word of God the errors and delusions of the present day. I have read your views about Antichrist and Satan. You' remember my view about the abomination of desolation was that it was to be the leader of the Gog and Magog host who was to go up against the Jews, to whom this character referredand I considered the head of that host to be the Em-Tubal.

Soon, however, as our attention was still East and pleasant land. (That the abomination of awake to our journey, we saw enough to excite sus- desolation is Russia or the chief Prince of Mescheck "Is this the boat that that leaves for New and Tubal, a comparison of 38 Ezek., 11th Dan., and "No sir! This 2 Thess. 2 chap., proves-they all come to the same way if you please." I followed and found that the end.) Now Russia is pushing in just these direcfirst boat was only used for passing over to the con- tions. She is advancing south towards Turkey; she is moving East-Circassia acts yet as a strange bar-"Ah," said I, "we must watch and take care to rier-and why? Because God's time is not yet come. get on the right boat!" We hurried on to the boat When it does, then he will move rapidly in all these then just ready to leave. This excited profitable re-idirections. The Emperor of Russia aims too at re-A little longer, and we should have been ligious eminence-to be the head of a widely extended system of religion. Now, Bro. Storrs, may Many imagine themselves much in carnest as to not Satan possess this Emperor so that he will (desreligion. They seem to hasten, reach a point, and pot as he already is, and then much more so) claim divine honors? I throw this out as a suggestion. I thank God for the belief which I have in the doctrine of the unconscious state of the dead-it saves me perfectly from all delusions founded on the belief of the error that men are conscious after deaththankful also am I that he has made you an instrument in the work of enlightening so many, myself among the rest, on this and other points connected with the word of God.

> Yours affectionately, in the hope of eternal life through Jesus Christ.

.0 FROM THOMAS L. STANTON.

North Yarmouth, Me.

BRO. STORRS:-I am a man 30 years of age; when I was a lad of 18, I saw myself a sinner, and fled to Christ for salvation, and after a little time found the Saviour to the joy of my heart. I was filled with love, and loved every Christian of every name, and was astonished afterward to behold the jarring and contentions among themselves.

Years rolled on; and in my meditations I discovered things which I could find none to answer. I wondered when I heard that the millions of poor benighted heathen were to be kept suffering eternal I wondered how the devil and all his works pains. were to be destroyed, and yet he hold eternal sway over more than nine-tenths of men whom God had made.

I wondered when I heard preachers preach, and saints call it the gospel, when it seemed to me that they made out that the great and glorious Being was possessed of attributes worse than poor frail man,

I also wondered that ministers and others should go so much beyond the Bible, and seem to glory in it, in holding up the eternal distress of the wicked. I have seen them, in the onset, hang out so many frightful pictures that sinners would not believe or receive what compassionate truths they did utter. I say, all these things, and many more I could mention, came upon me like an armed man, and I reperor of Russia, the chief prince of Mesheck and sisted them from time to time, thinking they came This prince was to push towards the South from an evil source. As to going into that great

94

delusion called Universalism, I could not; for I saw; but great good to proclaim it. it was a great contradiction of the bible and reason. I had not even heard whether there was any doctrine of the destruction of the wicked, only what was in the bible; and that our folks spiritualized all away, and so kept along year after year until at length I happened to hear an old Englishman, who was a dyer, at work in my employ, and he had been at work in the State of Connecticut, speak of it, and had by some means obtained a copy of your six sermons. He lent them to me-I read them, and wondered I wandered in the fog all my life. Things opened to my mind so suddenly, not only the things you treated of, but others in that train. Well, my wife was a pious Methodist, as well as my old friend, the dyer, and so we had (or thought so) to converse and study to ourselves for fear of being called heretics, and being turned out of the Synagogue. However, we lent the book to one of our churchmen, an influential man, (confidentially, of course,) and after reading it, much to our surprise, he was stronger I was at that time clerk of the than ourselves. Maine Western Christian Conference; and at its yearly sitting, this question was called up in reference to one of our preachers holding the doctrine of "Annihilation," as it was called by them. Between the Sessions, at noon, I sought the brother and found him firm in the faith, and a very able advocate of it too; but he is kept back, and hid from view, as thousands are because it is unpopular; but, to their praise he it spoken, they did not deal with him for his particular faith, although it differed from theirs. In the meantime I took good care to keep myself out of sight in regard to this thing. But as I often go to Boston on business, I fell in with some of the like faith there, and obtained a copy of Dobney's work, &c. This gave me a new start; and I then determined to subscribe for your paper, but still found in around us almost every hour. O! may we be ready me an unwillingness to let it be known that I held such views. So I have neglected for months until now. I now feel determined fully to satisfy myself about this faith; and if it be the truth, to bear witness to it, if all my brethren and sisters around me despise me for the same.

One thing I used to dread. I somehow thought that if I embraced the doctrine of the destruction of the wicked, I should lose the vital religion out of my soul; but in this, I am happy to think, I was mistaken. I think it will have a tendency to make one love that good and benevolent Being who witholds eternal life from those whom it would make miserable.

Again, I used to think that this doctrine would do for a Christian to entertain, but he must keep it to himself, (as I have done,) and not tell it to sinners, as it would wrap thom in greater scourity in their region. Several have lately embraced it in Bowdoinsins; but I begin to see that this is a mistake of ham, where I have been preaching for a few weeks mine, and that if this is the truth, it can do no harm, past. What first led them to look at the subject was

But as I wish to be fully satisfied, I have written to you for more light, and hope to get it in due time.

FROM ISBAEL ALDEN.

Bath, Steuben Co., N. Y., April 27th, 1851.

BRO. STORRS:-I feel as though I would be glad to tell you how much I value your excellent paper, coming as it does ladened with rich food for the mind (not immortal) grasping after truth. Let me have the truth, although I have to suffer in person or character in the estimation of the world and persecuting church. I should be glad to send you something to help you in publishing this precious truth of immortality through Christ, but my brother, I have to wade through deep waters. I have six in my family to support with the labor of my hands, which thank the Lord I am enabled to do and find a little time with the help of your invaluable paper to prepare to give meat in due season to the household of faith. Jesus was despised and rejected of men-a man of sorrow and acquainted with grief, but he overcame, and we have the assurance that in due time we shall reap it if we faint not; then courage, brother, the rapping devils are all around us here. The wide-spreading deception is working the ruin of thousands. But we are not ignorant of the device of the devil-letus beware of the snares, that that day do not overtake us as a thief. I see a great deal of truth in your article on 2 Thess. 2-the revelation of the Man of Sin. Surely the rapping spirits have exalted themselves already above all that is called God or worshipped-setting aside the word of truth, assuming to present communications of a "higher character" than any that have ever preceded the present developements.

The signs thicken-the evidences accumulate and waiting; may the Lord help us to be diligent, that we may be found of him in peace, without spot and blamcless before Him at His coming. I feel that the grand crisis is near; the morn of Zion's glory will soon dawn on the long dark night of death's dominion, and we shall behold Him, the Star of Israel's hope-the nucleus of all perfection-the grand centre from which radiates every ray of light, glory and consolation to the stricken flock. O! the crown, the crown that is incorruptible: 'tis yours-'tis mine. Onward, onward, then 'tis rictory or DEATH.

Yours, waiting for immortality.

FROM GEO. W. BROWN. Richmond, Me., April, 1851.

BRO. STORRS:-The doctrine of no immortality out of Jesus Christ seems to be gaining ground in this the Examiner, which was sent to Charles Dinsmore, | who had been a believer for several years. Every opportunity he had he would throw in objections against the doctrine of immortal-soulism; and occasionally would get them to read an Examiner which led them at last to inquire, "Are these things so?" The more they investigated the more they were convinced that life and immortality are brought to light through the gospel, and given only to those "who by patient continuance and well doing, seek for" it. have had meetings in that vicinity occasionally for a year past; but there has not appeared to be much interest until four or five weeks ago, when we commenced a protracted meeting. Then the interest in-creased very fast. Several backsliders were recreased very fast. claimed, and there was a general revival among those that professed to love God. One man, a professed Universalist, has also embraced the truth. It appears he was converted when quite young, and afterwards seeing the doctrine of eternal torments was inconsistent with the character of God, and supposing that or Universalism must be true, he embraced the latter: but when he was shown from the Bible that God would destroy all the wicked, root and branch, he concluded that must be true, embraced it, and confessed he was a pilgrim and stranger on the earth, looking for eternal life when Jesus comes. I am satisfied the only thing that will keep the honest from embracing this truth is to keep them from look-ing at it. Hence the cry is raised, "Annihilation," "Infidelity," &c., to trighten people and keep them from examining the Bible to see whether these things are so: but, thank God, we are in the time of the end, and knowledge is increasing and will increase until the Lord comes.

Yours, in hope of eternal life.

FROM R. T. HARMAN.

A UNION CONFERENCE will be held at Nankin, Michigan, Juno 27, and continue over the Lord's day following. Br. Joseph Marsh, from Rochester, New York, is expected to be present, and a number of preachers from different parts of Michigan. The place of meeting is about five miles from Wayne Depot, and four from Plymouth Village. It is hoped there will be a general rallying of the friends; and that they will come prepared to help forward the work.

THE CURISTIAN TRUTH-SEEKER, No. 2, has been sent to all the subscribers for the Bible Examiner in the United States, who have paid for the latter paper for 1851, and to a few who have not. We have done this with the hope-that they will either become paying subscribers to the new paper, or induce some of their neighbors to do so. But if they do not choose to do either, they need not return it, as we shall make no charge to such persons for the two numbers sent and shall not send them again. Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Expecting soon to hail the Prince of Peace, And shall we shrink from duty? Shun the cross! Lowe will be active—bent on doing good! Such is the God-like character of Love; Oh! that the spark might soon become a flame! April 13, 1851.

"CHRISTIAN PSALMOPY: or *Psalms and Hymns* for the use of all Christians." This is the title of a small Hymn Book, containing 205 select and choice Psalms and Hymns purged of sectarianism, intended to help Christians to sing with the understanding as well as in the spirit. The price hereafter, will be, in paper covers, 124 cents, or 12 copies for one dollar; bound in plain morocco 20 cents, with one-third off to those who buy to sell again; in plain gilt, 25 cents, or five copies for \$1; gilt extra, 374 cents, or three copies for \$1. The name of the purchaser will be put on the cover in gold leaf for \$124 cents, if desired; but this will be in all cases an extra charge.

JOHN ADAMS was at one time called upon by some one to contribute to foreign missions, when he abruptly answered, "I have nothing to give for that purpose, but there are here in this vicinity, six ministers, not one of whom will preach in each other's pulpit. Now I will contribute as much, and more than any one else, to *civilize* these clergymen."

TRUTH.—"The doctrine of the 'literal destruction' of the wicked is but an old doctrine revived."—An Independent Bishop.

Exactly; it is as old as the Bible, where it has lain obscured but too long by the rubbish of heathen "philosophy, falsely so called."—Dr. Lee's (British) Truth Seeker.

-0

The following was communicated by an unknown hand, accompanied by substantial evidence of a determination to help us, viz: with \$5. The donor will please accept our thanks.—EDITOR.

ACROSTIC Hark!-what friendly voice is that I hear? Enduring accents! Tis the theme of Love! What kind appeals-what admonitions too! How well designed, to search and prove the heart. Our fair exterior-will nought avail; Love makes the heart its residence, and moves Our deepest sympathies for others' woes-Visits the widow and the fatherless-Extends to the afflicted kind relief. The poor, the outcast, the despised, oppressed, Hardly can fail in such to meet a friend: Governed by principles which love inspires-Our joy will be in making others bles't Doeth selfish ends-our private actions sway. Look deep within! if we love God, we love Our Brother too-renouncing self; if not-Vain are our gifts, our knowledge, faith or hope! Empty'd of self-with love imbued-how sweet, To do and say-as Jesus did and taught; His sojourn here hath mark'd the path in which He fain would have us go-tracing his steps; In all our words and actions prove our love Sincere, made pure and like our glorious Head. Behold! His day makes haste! 'tis at the door! Rejoice and be exceeding glad. He comes-Our elder brother-friend! and comes to reign. Taught by the signs he kindly gave-we know His day already hath begun to dawn; Expecting soon to hail the Prince of Peace, Rejoice in hope of everlasting life. And shall we shrink from duty? Shun the cross? Love will be active-bent on doing good ! Such is the God-like character of Love; April 18, 1851.

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

BIBLE EXAMINER.

NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE, EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

VOL. VI.

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER. |sin-evidently proceeds upon the previous assump-

PUBLISHED MONTHLY,

At 68 North Eighth Street, between Arch and Cherry, PHILADELPHIA, PA.

thirteen copies, \$10; always in advance.

LIFE IN CHRIST.

BY EDWARD WHITE, ENGLAND.

[Continued from page \$4.]

an infinite punishment; and that the ordinary representation as to the metaphorical signification of the death threatened to Adam, and by him entailed upon his posterity, tends to the aggrandizement of the benefits of Christ's intervention and salvation, much more than the view which would exhibit Him as the Restorer of immortal life and glory to a world which had lost them.

Now, in reply, we reverently submit, in the first place, that the suffering which Jesus bore was not infinite; it was a certain definite amount of evil which he graciously endured-"a cup" which his Father gave him, not an ocean of woe: and the indwelling of the Godhead rendered that suffering represent the curse of the law, any more than the effectual as a propitiation. If the punishment of sin must needs be infinite, it follows that the Lord Jesus did not bear the curse of the law. But we have already seen that he did: therefore a finite punishment is commensurate with the "exceeding sinfulness of sin.'

Secondly, we presume to suggest, that the Christian theologian is not called to construct a scheme of redomption which he may pronounce to be glorious; but to ascertain from the inspired authority exactly what the facts of that case are, on which the divine system of mercy is founded. As far back as the days of Job, there were to be found persons guilty of the officious temerity in religious discourse, which led them to exalt some one characteristic of the Deity, at the expense of the rest, under a false supposition of its being the "darling attribute;" and to be, the principle founded upon that assumption is even to advance so far as to "speak wickedly for also untenable. There appears to be not the slight-God, and to tell a lie to gratify him." Perhaps many est evidence for the doctrine that immortal existothers, bosides the worst of mankind, arc in a simi- ence in misery was the curse of the law, as we have lar danger in more receut times: and surely when recently attempted further to demonstrate by the we reflect upon "our ignorance of the Divine Ma- argument derivable from the dcath of the Saviour; jesty, which is so profound, as to render such daring and therefore all representations based upon such a presumption equally profine in relation to every one doctrine must be utterly vain. Thus our first reply of the attributes of God," we shall discover an addi- to the objection that the views contended for dimintional reason for confining our speculations within ish the glory of Christ, is to the following effect: that the strict limits prescribed by the written word, the true glory of Christ may be best ascertained by "Add thou not unto his words, lest thou be found a investigating the nature of the facts upon which the liar.

pends upon the circumstance of mankind being by enco in misery to have been the curse of the law un-nature subject to everlasting misery, through Adam's der which Adam and his posterity came, the declara-

tion of that circumstance as a truth. But where is the evidence of this assumption? It appears to consist solely in the assertion that man was created with an immortal soul, and that the death threatened to Adam involved and implied an eternity of misery. TERMS .- Single copy, for one year, \$1; six copies, \$6; We have seen, however, in contradiction to tills theory, that the Scripture is destitute even of a single declaration of man's natural immortality; that, on the contrary, the name conferred upon Adam in the day of his creation was intended explicitly to forbid the conception that he took rank, as to his essential duration, above the surrounding animal population of the world;-we have seen that the It is alleged that the view here given of the work populated of Redemption, diminishes the glory of Christ, and the value of his "precious blood," and the "exceed-ing sinfulness of sin." In other words, it is assumed in the divine stonement implies that sin deserves is the divine stonement implies that sin deserves sense than that in which he had seen "death pass upon" the inferior races around him, and that therefore there is a prima facie improbability against the theory which would interpret the term by the idea of everlasting existence in misery:-we have seen, that the sacred writer, in narrating the fact that the curse was incurred, offers not one word indicative of his belief, or of Divine authority for the belief, in this, which must have been, if it were true, by far the most tremendous element of the calamity :-- we have seen that the scriptural account of the constitution of man is opposed to the doctrine which would blessing of the gospel, as directed to the spirit apart from the body; and that so far from regarding the soul as a separate subject of punishment, the curso did not even contemplate its survivance at all:-and lastly, we have seen that the apostolic argument upon the entrance of death, is couched in such phrascology as to oblige us to receive the literal acceptation of that term as the true signification of the threatening---if we would avoid the otherwise inevi-table blasphemy (shall we say?) of the proposition, that the sin of Adam was followed up by the infliction of interminable suffering upon the spirits of infants who died during the period that clapsed from Adam to Moses.

If, then, the assumption on which the objection. before us rests be untenable, as it seems assuredly scheme of Redemption is based; and that since those The notion that the value of Christ's sacrifice de- facts do not warrant us in declaring eternal exist-

NO. 7

tion that Christ's mediation has for its object the res-, the personally innocent infants who have died from toration of the hope of immortality to the world is the beginning of the world. ted to attest the value of his blood.

But some there are, who modify the foregoing proposition contended against;-holding that, although Adam incurred for himself death of the hody, and eternal misery of the soul, he incurred only death of the body for his posterity; while they, through their own corrupt nature, exhibiting itself in actual sins, incur everlasting misery for themselves; - and that justice and mercy, have been condemned to endure thus the glory of Christ shines forth as before, as the the unutterable penalty under sentence of which Saviour of men from interminable suffering in hell. | they are supposed to be born. But, in answer, we venture to object, in the first place, that here, as in the former case, the immorfality of the soul is taken for granted, in the instance of Adam, and requires to be proved. Secondly, it scens to be a very arbitrary mode of dealing with scripture to declare that Death "in both its senses," or both of its reputed significations, descended npon of its own concession as to one signification of the Adam for his sin, and yet that death in only one of term. Or, taking the other branch of the dilemma, its senses descended upon his posterity; - and this, if the glory of Christ depends upon the fact, that he notwithstanding the Apostle's declaration that "death saves men from death in its complex acceptation of passed upon all men," in a sentence where he gives mortality and everlasting misery of the soul-that not the least indication of any difference in the penalties incurred and endured by Adam and his descendants. Rom. v. 12-14. Surely, if the term Death stands for two such distinct, opposite, awfully (we might say infinitely) different ideas, as destruction of the body, and endless existence of the spirit in "tribulation and anguish," we might have expected that the sacred penman would have afforded some faint intimation, at least, of the transition from one idea to the other. But he contents himself with declaring that "by sin death entered into the world through one offence;"-and then proceeds to point out the fact that death reigned over even the personally inn ocent from Adam to Moses. This looks very much, we think, as if Paul knew but one definition of death.

Admitting, however, for a moment the two signifieations of the word, who does not perceive that those who hold with the duplicate meaning lay themselves open to the following inquiry:-If, on your own showing, death, as it reigned from Adam to Moses, signifies literal death, common dissolution, decease; why may not death signify, as we assert, exactly the same thing in the instance of Adam himself? and, by a parity of reasoning, in the cases of those who have sinned after the similitude of Adam's transgression? For there is precisely the same amount of evidence to prove that death, from Adam, to Moses, signified or involved an immortality of suffering for the soul, as there is to show that it portended that destiny, in the case of Adam himself:and if you admit, through a horror of the otherwise inevitable conclusion, that death, as it reigned over infants, was only "temporal death," are you not driven into the additional conclusion, that death signified exactly the same thing, and nothing else, for the father of mankind, the original offender?

In other words, there appears to be no admissible argument for limiting, in any way, the signification of death as it reigned over infants from the Fall to the era of Moses; and, therefore, the theory which defines this term to signify immortality of the soul in suffering in any case, must consent to endure the the curse in the instance of Adam, or the unwelcome in Adam, than that which declares that He came to and burdensome doctrine of the descent of the curse deliver us from an infinite penalty, incurred through

And it will not suffice the most consistent with his honor and the most fit- to assert, in order to diminish this odium, that the salvation of Christ is available for all such innocent infants, since, in the first place, that bold declaration is nowhere very explicitly set forth in the scripture; and secondly, the apology leaves the grand difficulty unremoved, since the astounding position will remain, that, had not Christ died to redeem them, they might, in perfect consistency with God's

> To sum up these statements:-the present objection founds altogether upon the unproved assumption of human immortality; - and secondly, it proceeds upon an arbitrary principle of interpretation which lays the whole theory of a complex death open to a fatal overthrow, through a counter-employment glory can only be ascribed to him (consistently with the fair rules of interpretation,) through a previous representation as to the results of the fall upon the personally innocent, which is apparently felt and acknowledged to be, by these objectors, (though not by the former class,) an outrage upon the moral character of God.

> But, indeed, it matters little which form of the preceding objection is adopted:-since, although it may appear, on a superficial view of the case, less injurious to Divine goodness to assert that men are born into the world liable only to "temporal death" for Adam's sin, than to declare that they are born liable to everlasting misery for it;-a closer inspection of the theory will tend somewhat to dispel the illusion, and to reveal features nearly equally forbidding. For the same divines who decline to represent the awful inheritance of everlasting misery of the soul, as descending upon the race for the transgression of Adam, do yet maintain that his descendants are born with a corruption of nature which infallibly leads them to the commission of crimes deserving that everlasting consignment to misery. So that in point of fact, Adam did transmit to his poeterity, if not the formal inheritance of that destiny, yet a nature that contained a principle of evil which rendered it ultimately inevitable. Between this theory, therefere, and the ordinary (might we not say the more consistent) on , which openly maintains the transmission of the curse of immortal despair, there seems to be but little to choose.

> And now, further, in reply to them both, and in reply to the statement that such views set forth the glory of Christ, and the value of his sacrifice; measuring the value of that sacrifice not by the infinite blessedness procured, but by the infinity of suffering supposed to be incurred by Adam for himself and his posterity: we proceed to advance the directly contradictory position, that the views now contended for glorify Christ's salvation the most.

For we need not be afraid to assert, that, by how much grace is more glorious than justice, by EO much more does that view of Christ's work glorify Him, which represents it as the restoration, by free gift, of immortal life to the world which had lost it not only of "temporal death," but of everlasting no fault of our own. Lot any man consider, even in misery for the soul, to be inflicted on every one of the most transient exercise of reflection, the proposi-

tion, that the fall of Adam brought upon himself, for, ral ties. "one offence," an inconceivable eternity of suffering, and brought the same penalty upon us, whether by mere imputation, or by the inevitable consequence of a corrupt nature transmitted to us, or by the unavoidable possession of immortality,-and say whether the provision of some such scheme as the gospel does not appear to be imperatively demanded by rigid equity. Yes; had it pleased the Almighty to bring such a race into existence under the circumstances supposed, the bestowment of redemption upon the victims of the unheard of calamity would assume an aspect of bare and simple rightcousness: and the withholding of such a system of salvation would have been (if we may for one moment reluctantly utter the dreadful hypothesis,) to lay the Divine government open to the darkest suspicions of all minds constituted like our own. For aught that we can see, the universe might have built an altar "to the unknown God;"-since, although no creature should have dared to charge wrong upon the Author and Fountain of Law, would it not have been forever impossible intelligently to reconcile the commandments and the proceedings of the Omnipotent? Ĭſ He, who kindles the flames of hell in defence of that LAW which is fulfilled in love, should have thus deliberately brought an entire race into an immortal existence of misery from which there was no escape, either through free-will or redemption, surely it is not presumptuous to declare that those voices must have been struck dumb which proclaim that "the whole earth is full of his glory." Whatever, therefore, might have been the expense at which the deliverance must have been effected, so far as we are capable of employing the moral judgment which God has repeatedly appealed to in scripture as a correct rule of decision, the deliverance of them was demanded by that common justice, the absence of which is the very definition of the character of a tyrannical despot. Grace could have had but a small share in the work of human salvation.

Yet is it an undoubted fact, that the Apostles represent the Gospel of God as displaying "the riches of his forbearance;" as illustrating "the glory of his grace;"---and hence we conclude that the sin of Adam could not have procured, at least for his posterity, the consequences usually alleged. Those views of the fall, and of redemption, for which we have argued, do, however, answer to the whole "length and breadth, and depth and height" of the apostolic declarations. If man was not created immortal; if Adam lost the prospect of immortality and glory both for himself and his descendants; if the "redemption which is in Christ Jesus" be regarded as the free gift of rightcousness and of life eternal to a world which has forfeited all claim upon them, or upon the divine goodness forever;-then, indeed, the Gospel of Christ appears before us in an effulgence of majestic grace, fitted to supply a theme of endless wonder and adoration, at once to the race whom he saves, and to the whole intelligent universe. Under this view, the person of the Saviour becomes radiant with "a light above the brightness of the sun," as the Author and Giver of immortality, and attracts towards Himself the boundless unbroken tide of human affection through time and eternity. Under this view, it is also the glory of God which appears in the face of Christ Jesus; and we are not summoned to render homage to the Son for rescuing us from an original constitution of things, which invests the character of the Father in the blackest mystery and gloom. Under this view, the believer finds himself to be at-

He is Christ's-not by salvation from an cternal slavery, but by re-creation. He is indebted to llim not merely for pardon, but for existence itself; not merely for pence, but for the possibility of being at all, Under this view the Church is indeed the bride of the Lamb; the offspring of his own vitality; the Eve of the second Adam; "a member of his body, of his flesh, and of his bones;" and we discern a fresh argument for "yielding ourselves unto Him as those who are alive from the dead," and for glorifying God with our bodies, and our spirits, which are his. "We thus judge, that if one died for all, then were all dead: and that he died for all, that they which live should not henceforth live unto themselves, but unto him which died for them and arose again." The language of the Christian will be, "I am crucified with Christ, nevertheless I live; yet not I, but Christ liveth in me. And the life which I now live in the flesh, I live by the faith of the Son of God, who loved me and gave himself for me. I do not frustrate the grace of God," either by an exaggerated representation of the original curse, which would convert that grace into justice, or by an attempt to gain rightcousness through the works of the law.

Thus, with the Bible, would we endeavor to demonstrate that mankind, by nature, is allied, in degradation and mortality, to the lower orders of creation, and thus would we exalt the person of the Divine Redeemer as ALL IN ALL, and LIFE ETERNAL, to the "son of man which is a worm."

[To be continued.]

The Future State.

BY REV. REGINALD COURTENAY, A. M.

During 1849, we gave a number of extracts from the writings of Mr. Courtenay, on the "Intermediate State," which we know were read with much interest. We have concluded to resume our extracts from this author. Those we are now to make are more of a philosophical character, and will go to show that the future existence of man, in happiness or misery, is not discoverable by human reason, but is discoverable only by revelation. Thus we shall be led to see the immense value of revelation, and the folly of most ministers who leave revelation to waste their strength in mere philosophical labor, to prove man immortal: all such labor is descending from their high calling to meet Sanballat, Geshem, and other mockers of the religion of the Bible "in the plain of Ono," where they are sure to have "mischief" in-If the Bible does not reveal flicted on themselves. a future state and its nature, in vain shall we search for it in philosophical arguments; for the world by wisdom knew not God, and never can learn whether there be a future state at all, much less what is its nature. This we trust will be made apparent by the extracts we shall give from this English work by Courtenay:

PHYSICAL EVIDENCES OF A FUTURE LIFE. On the Dependence of the Human Mind on the Body and on Sleep.

der this view, the believer finds himself to be attached to his gracious Redeemer by closer than moand will experience no diminution, at least, of its chiefly upon the two following grounds. which cannot possibly owe its existence to any ar- bility, and which even death will not destroy. dissolution or decay, or indeed of any change whatever.

The object of this first, and of several following chapters is, to show that granting the immateriality essential to the exercise of any of the mental functions; and in the latter part of the book it is argued that even if consciousness, and the subject in which it resides, be indivisible, and be indestructible, consciousness is nevertheless capable of complete suspenand after, death.

most successful of all vindicators of the reasonablethe body* do not of themselves destroy our capacity for thought and action, we may reasonably expect to ish, when man returns to his earth." retain it through and after death. That death does not destroy them would certainly be a "sufficient deed matters of universal experience, which very reason" for supposing them to survive. facts have been brought forward, by Butler, and by other writers, with a view to show that death does observed, is universally, under every form and in produce corporeal activity, so also is body to proevery modification, inert and insensate; no commixture of elements, however subtle, no organization, rather say, the human mind, as at present constihowever complicated, can impart to it motion or life. tuted, is no less insensate than matter. Sensation is These are derived from the soul, the body being an attribute, (let the shrinking of the tentacles of merely the means by which we perceive and act upon the polype when touched be the illustration and proof external things. How then, it is argued, can the dis- of this) is an attribute of mind AND matter, residing solution of these elements, or the breaking up of in neither alone. And in like manner, as a vast this structure, destroy or impair the soul? Now not number of facts go to prove, is mental activity, gento call in question, in this place, the justice of the assumption, that man-mind and body-is nothing beyond an aggregation of passive particles, and an indivisible soul; it can only be replied that our inability to explain how the body can influence the soul at the time of death must not be permitted to create any doubt that such an influence is possible, unless we are also entitled to doubt that the mind and body reciprocally influence one another during life, because ment continued from external influences, for any we are unable to give any account of the mode by which that influence is maintained. The language of some writers is such, indeed, as to go far towards denying this connexion and influence, at least that of body on mind, altogether. Dr. Butler calls con-| least, in this inactivity; and, as if withdrawn from sciousness one and indivisible, and argues that it is the body, cease not only from controling the movetherefore indissoluble, imperishable, unchangeable: and further says that the subject in which consciousness resides, the mind, must be so too. Is then the mind, the whole mind, *unchangeable?* This it seems would naturally follow.

And Lord Brougham speaks of the mind, as continuing the same, from youth to old age, amidst all impossible for us to discover with certainty. the changes of the body, "without shadow of turn- one who pleases may assert, that the mind is as acing."

powers, after the dissolution of the body which en- | of soul, some consciousness of consciousness, which resues on death, has been maintained, without any aid mains the same during all the waking hours at least, from Scripture, or from any moral considerations, of a man's life. And there may be, beyond this, some Its inde-| faculty of consciousness, which remains the same dupendence of the body; as being an immaterial thing, ring the profoundest sleep, the most utter insensi-But rangement or structure of material senseless parti- however this be, it is certain that the mind does poscles; -- and its essentially indestructible nature: as sess and exert powers at one time, which it does not being one and indivisible, and therefore incapablo of possess, or (which is practically just the same thing) cannot exert, at another; and is, in a perfectly intelligible sense, the most changeable thing we know. And are we to reject all that is changeable; and having thus reduced the mind as it were to the skelof the soul, a connection with the body, such as we cton of its former self, call man an immortal being? know to exist during life, however incomprehensible Such an existence would be of no value; it would be the nature of the connection may be, as apparently a virtual death. Unless not merely the faculty of consciousness, but all or a considerable portion of the active and ever varying energies of the mind, remain entire and unimpaired after the dissolution of the body, nothing remains worth contending for. And the question now to be considered is, whether sion, and probably will be completely suspended by, there is, or is not, so close a connexion between mind and body, as that the latter is essential, if not to the In reference to the first point Bishop Butler, the bare existence of mind in the abstract, at least to the ost successful of all vindicators of the reasonable- exercise of the mental functions. The practically imness of the Gospel dispensation, has argued, and portant question is, not whether any capacity for justly enough, that if death and the dissolution of thought, but whether any thoughts, will survive the death of the body; or whether they will not "all per-

There are many facts, familiarly known, and in-And many strongly show, that the mind, however distinct it may be in nature from the body, closely sympathizes, and co-operates with it: and further, that this conot in any way destroy this capacity. Matter, it is operation is mutual;-that as mind is necessary to duce mental activity. Mind by itself, or we should erally, inseparable from corporeal.

> A stunning blow on the head renders the mind as inert and insensate, (and as unconscious too, notwithstanding the indivisibility of consciousness) as the weapon that inflicted the injury.

After certain intervals of action and excitement, our bodies imperatively require rest; of which they cannot be deprived either by sickness, or by exciteconsiderable time, without suffering great and sometimes permament injury. And it seems that the re-pose is incomplete, and the body imperfectly recruited, unless the mind partake, in some degree at ments of the limbs, but from all sympathy with all consciousness of whatever may affect the organs of sense. How far the other powers of the mind, such as memory, imagination, reason, and others, partake in the common lethargy of the body and of the mental powers of perception and voluntary motion, it is Any Now there may be some inmost essence tive as ever, although we have no recollection of our But this want of sleeping thoughts, our dreams. recollection affords at least a presumption, cither that the impressions made during sleep are extremely feeble, or that no ideas whatever pass through the brain during the greater part of our sleeping hours.

[.] These are not the same thing; but one the cause of the other; as will be hereafter further considered. This has been generally overlooked : and has led to much and serious misrep-. resentation.

For when we are awake, the distinctness of our re- | to account for the whole of what appears a night's collections is very nearly in proportion to the force and depth of the original impressions; and beyond this, seems to depend on no other cause than the an instant of time; and there can be no reason why degree of exclusive attention with which they have been entertained. Now when our bodies are awake, and we are experiencing fresh sensations almost every instant, our attention, it would seem, must be proves this almost to demonstration. An uneasy more distracted than during sleep. How is it, then, that we do not recollect? If the mind is so independent of the body, as some persons would believe, what is there in the transfer from a waking to a sleeping state of body, or the reverse, that should interrupt the current of our recollections in a greater degree, that when one exchanges rest for activity, or activity for rest, without waking or falling that we can only recall one or two when our sleep is asleep?

But we do sometimes remember our sleeping thoughts, our dreams. And they are, in general, as faint, as evanescent, and as confused as the images which float before our eyes when we close them in composing ourselves to rest: and are so easily banished by the most trivial waking thoughts as these images are by the admission even of faint light.* Moreover, it appears that dreams never take place during deep sleep; but only when the body is disturbed; is, like the mind, in a state between sleeping and waking, and acts, like the mind, feebly, irregularly, and involuntarily. Lord Brougham has mentioned in his Discourse on Natural Theology several curious facts-and Abercrombie, in his work on the Intellectual Powers, gives some similar instances, | ing the facts above alluded to, of persons being awato show that an exceedingly short space of our sleeping time is occupied by dreams, even when to the dreamer himself many hours, or even days, seem to have clapsed. In many cases a sudden sound, or some painful sensation, both rouses the sleeper to his full consciousness, and before awaking him, suggests a long train of ideas; which must all, from the nature of the case, have passed through the mind in the space of a few seconds only.⁺ "There seems every reason to conclude from these facts," he adds, "that we only dream during the instant of transition into and out of sleep. That instant is quite enough

If, immediately on waking in a dark room, from a dream which presented any vivid scene to the eye of the imagination, the attention be turned to the spectre before the eyes, particular spots and streaks will be seen, corresponding with the most prominent objects just before observed in the dream. It is sourcely possible to avoid concluding, that dreams are owing to an excitement of the nerves of sensation, though of a very faint kind, during imperfect sleep-and that in all montal operations in which objects of sense are recollected, there is an action of the nerves, similar to that by which a knowledge of these objects was originally transmitted to the mind.

† Discourse on Natural Theology, Parti. Sec. v. But does it not still remain to be established, whether in fact it is not the dream suggested by the sound or sensation, not the sound or sensation itself, which, after the interval perhaps of several minutes, awakes the sleeper !

dream. It is quite certain we remember no more than ought, according to these experiments, to fill we should only recollect this one portion, if we had The fact that we never dream dreamt much more. so much as when our rest is frequently broken, and restless night passed in bed is always a night studded full with dreams. If it be said we always or generally dream when asleep, but only recollect a portion of our dream, then the question arises, why we recollect a dream each time we fall asleep, or are awakened, and no more? If we can recall twenty dreams in a night of interrupted sleep, how is it The length of time occupied by the continued? dream we recollect is the only reason that can be given for our forgetting the rest; but this reason fuils, if, each time we are roused, we remember separate dreams."

If, then, we are absolutely without thoughts, during life, except when the communication between the mind and the body is, more or less perfectly, kept up, may we not conclude that death, which interrupts that communication much more completely, destroys the power of thought? It may be said that this conclusion is opposed by the fact, that the velocity of thought is greater in dreams, when the body is only partially awake. But this velocity has certainly been much overestimated; and notwithstandkened by the very same sensation, commencing very shortly before they were fully awakened, that suggested a dream, it is highly probable that thought is on these occasions not more rapid than when the mind is in a state of excitement during our wakening hours. This it is thought the following observations will render very evident.

Any one who will consider the nature of the impression produced upon his mind, by merely reading or hearing, in any real or fictitious narrative, of a lapse of time, or even by barely imagining such a lapse, must be aware that the mind is capable of transferring itself from one period to another, and getting a general notion that a long interval has had place between them, without there actually passing through the mind the thousandth part of the number of ideas which would successively occupy it if that space of time were actually lived over. It is very true that we measure time, as it passes, by the continuous flow of ideas through the mind, and that if the rapidity of thought be really capable of an indefinite increase, it is perfectly possible that a single hour might be, to the individual, practically equivalent to all the re-maining hours of his life put together. but in recollecting or imagining a lapse of time, we do not revive in our minds the whole current of ideas, but think only of a few of the more prominent; or even without any aid from them, think only of the general impression which the passage of the current has left, or would leave, on our minds. Any one who is fully awake can, in a few moments, without any extraordinary exertion of thought, imagine himself, or anyother person, to be in a considerable number of positions in the world in succession. Any one familiar with the original description of them, could probably think over Shakspeare's Seven Ages of Man in less than as many seconds. Now if these occurred to him in a dream, he would,-it is almost invariably the case-imagine that he himself was the subject that acted or suffered, and passing naturally-for all things, however strange, in dreams seem perfectly

^{*}If, when the eyes are closed in a dark room, and the mind is calm, any faint streaks of light, specks, or figures seem to float upon the dark stream, these may be made to assume the shape of almost any object, especially of a brilliant one, to which the thoughts are steadily directed. After becoming distinctly visible for a few seconds, the object will generally pass off into another nearly similar : and when the eyes are familiar with the mode in which the transformations most naturally succeed one another, the figure may be chauged, step by step, into nearly any other desired. Thus an arrow may be transformed into a fish, the fish into a leaf.

natural-from one age to another, would, if he were much probability made to appear that merely physito awake immediately on concluding the series, imagine he had just lived a life completely through,"

We pass on now to the consideration of another class of facts, which furnish ground for a still stronger argument: and which show that the mind sympathises with the body in cases, which if not in ordinary language the same, are very nearly identical with death. In the case of what is commonly called a fainting fit, the powers of the body are partially suspended by a stupor which sometimes, --- as in consequence, for example, of a great loss of blood from a wound,-terminates in death: and the power of the mind, as far as this can be decided from the total want of recollection at least, on the part of the sufferer, of any ideas during the state of corporeal stupor, are totally suspended. It is true that this is not always the case: but these exceptions are never found, except where the functions of the brain are unimpeded. In very many instances of mortal injury, whether produced by accident or disease, the gloom of Hades, attributing to them there an existvital powers may be seen gradually to sink, and attacks of total insensibility, mental and bodily, succeed one another more and more frequently, until at length the pulse ceases to beat, and the breath to ebb and flow. Is this cessation a symptom of increased energy of the mental functions, which just before were torpid, dormant, paralysed? The notion is really preposterous. Religious persons have indeed some reason to indulge a belief, that at this oritical moment the liberated spirit of one whom they loved or revered wings its flight to heaven, and "wantons in endless being:" for there are many passages of Scripture which countenance such a belief; and to those who hang in sorrow over the couch of the departed it affords a consolation, to which nothing can be added but the hope that, after a few short years, they may rejoin those whom they have lost. And the same consolutory belief may be traced, here and there, in all ages and nations, but the most barbarous, among the priests and the common people, the poets and the philosophers; and for this belief there have been many different motives, some of which will be hereafter considered.* But from such of the phenomena of death as have just been alluded to, if these alone be considered, no other conjecture can be formed, but that opposite and more gloomy one, which also is most consonant with the dark forebodings natural to man, when oppressed by the sense of recent calamity, that DEATH IS A DREAMLESS SLEEP, a state in which ANIMATION IS WHOLLY SUS-PENDED? The universal voice of mankind, (confirmed moreover, though this is to anticipate, by the declarations of Scripture) in according to the brutes no future state, has sanctioned this conclusion. For in the death of the lower animals we witness exactly the same decay of intelligence as in the death of man;-the phenomena differ in no respect whatever. The universal persuasion, therefore, of the mortality of all the inferior animals, shows that considerations of another kind have induced men to make a distinction in favor of their own species. It is thus with

cal considerations are incapable of furnishing any hope of the survival of life and consciousness; which seem to be so closely inherent in, though forming no part of, the bodily frame, as to cease from all activity when that frame can no longer sympathize and cooperate with them.

Men have expected an immortality which they have denied to the brutes; but not because "mind has an inherent essential indestructibility," or because "consciousness is one and indivisible." There is scarcely any people to be found on earth in whose creed are not evident indications of an original correspondence with that favored race, to whom were committed the oracles of God: scarcely any people whom tradition has not taught, or rather admonished, to expect an existence beyond the grave. And some have been threatened by conscience with a retribution in another world; or Hope has pictured to them a relief from the pressure of distress; or Affection has tempted them to follow the departed into the ence at least as substantial as that of their imagined dwelling-place; or the manifold motives which conduce to polytheism have peopled the universe at first with beings of human attributes merely; then also with spirits of earthly origin. But still the minds of men continually recur to the belief, that when man returns to his earth, all his thoughts will perish; that there is no knowledge or understanding in the grave to which he hastens. And it can never be shown that this conclusion is adverse to Scripture, which assures men of a resurrection of the body, when life and consciousness are restored, at the time of the final award. "As the waters fail from the sea, and the flood decayeth and drieth up; so man licth down and riseth not; till the heavens be no more they shall not awake, nor be raised out of their sleep." -"We shall not all sleep, but we shall all be changed, in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, at the last trump."

true source of immortality.

It will be recollected by our readers that Br. Thos. Read, of New York, furnished a number of articles, on the above topic, which were coucluded in April. He has revised those articles and extended his remarks over twice the ground as before, and much of the new matter is of the most interesting character; many new topics being introduced and ably discussed. He has loaned us his manuscript and we have tak en the liberty to give an extract from one of the new topics. It relates to the question, "What is the image of God?" as the fact that man was made in that image is supposed by many to be proof that man is immortal. Br. Read presents various views of the subject, and considers some of the difficulties of each. In the following remarks he presents the view that "God is a personal being," &c. He says:-

There are some who believe that God is a personal being, having locality and form, and that man was made in the form and likeness of God; even as the son of Adam was begotten in the image and likeness of Adam.

"Of the King of the ages, incorruptible, invisible, the only God," we desire to speak with the utmost who-to recognise the important historical testimony of St. Paul, reverence. We know no more of him, or of his nature, than he has been pleased to reveal; "but things

^{*} It should be kept in mind, that a real and practical difference exists, between a lively and a lifeless faith, equally in false religion and in the true. It is not enough that a people should possess certain traditionary or speculative doctrines concerning the departed; nothing short of a lively and operative faith in these doctrines (a thing surely not more common in heathen than in Christian lands) can raise men to the enviable condition of those "sorrow not, even as the rest, who have no hope."

that are revealed belong to us and to our children.", dent outbeaming of the essential glory of God, and As we would not willingly propagate error, so nei- the character or impression of his SUBSTANCE." ther would we countenance errors, even those of long standing. And as there are those who believe that God is a person, we shall bring forward some of the ⁴being in the form of God, took upon himself the scriptures they adduce, leaving the reader to judge form of a servant, and was made in the *likeness* of whether they establish the personality of God.

It is said that God is immaterial, that is, not consisting of matter of any kind. This we regard as equal to saying that God is nothing. We believe his Father. In 2 Cor. 4: 4; and Col. 1: 15, we read that every being in the universe is formed of some that Christ is the image of God, and is an image of thing. But as no scripture teaches the immateriality of God, and as it is contrary to reason, we are bound to reject it. Incorruptibility, aphtharsian, is compounded of aph, without, and phtharsia, corruption, meaning without liability to decay, is ascribed to God in I Tim. 1: 17, and in Rom. 1: 23, and it is the very term applied to the spiritua'-resurrectionand material bodies of the glorified saints, and is placed in strong contrast in Rom. 1: 23, and 1 Cor. 15, with the present corruptible bodies or persons we now possess. Incorruptibility, therefore, being ascribed to God, seems to have reference to the spirit, or other material of his nature, and is a term that, so far from indicating immateriality, is utterly inconsistent with it: and seems to have exclusive reference to the quality of substance. Spirit, oxygen, and electricity, are incorruptible substances. Spirit Deut. 4: 15, "Ye saw no mauner of similitude on the is certainly material.

It is said that "God is a Spirit." John 4: 24, reads in the translation from Griesbach, "God is spirit, and they that worship him must worship him *in* spirit and in truth." The *a* is not in the original, and we think it has been improperly added. We conceive Christ in this place to mean that God is an fore thee, and I will proclaim the name of the Lord intelligent being, and must be worshipped with intelligence and sincerity. "God is light," and "God is love." Light we regard as figurative, implying unlimited intelligence; and love we believe to be the ruling principle of his moral nature. If the material of God's nature be spirit, it may be spirit in form. Spirit is matter, and it is incorruptible, a word that is inapplicable to anything that is not composed of cleft of the rock; and will cover thee with my hand while matter. God is incorruptible, and he is immortal, I pass by: and I will take away my hand, and thou because he is incorruptible. Man is corruptible, and man is necessarily mortal, because he is corruptible. Incorruptibility implies a substance capable of everlasting life-undecaying. But the same God who creates incorruptible beings, can certainly destroy them. The immortality of every incorruptible being long sufferings and abundant in goodness and truth, is dependent upon the will of God. "As the *living* keeping mercy for thousands, forgiving iniquity and Father hath sent me, and I live by the Father, so he that eateth me, even he [will] live by me." John G: 57. No being has independent immortality except the Father. "God only hath immortality."

God maketh his angels spirits. Are they not all ministering spirits? We know that angels are personal beings, having locality and form, and they are termed spirits. When the saints are raised from the dust with incorruptible bodies, they will then "be equal to the angels;" and this incorruptibility of nature, which they, for the first time, assume at the resurrection, makes them immortal by the will of God in Christ Jeaus.

That God has substance, materiality, may not only be gathered from the word incorruptible, and the word spirit, but is plainly stated in lieb. 1: 3. God saw also the Lord sitting upon a throne, high and lifted has spoken to us by a Son, "who being the bright-ness of his glory, and the express image of his person." the scraphim. * * Then said I, woe is me! * * King's translation. "Who being the splendor of his for mine cyes have seen the King, the Lord of Hosts. "Who being a ray of his substance." Vulgate. The heavens were opened to Erskiel, and he saw "Who being a ray of his glory, and an *image of his* visions of God. 1: 26, "And above the firmament substance." Griesbach. "Who being the resplen- that was over their heads was the likeness of a

Dr. Clark. That God has form and shape seems to appear by the expressions used in Phil. 2: 5, Christ, men." Now, it appears that in the same manner as Jesus the Christ was in the form, likeness and shape of men, so he was in the form, likeness and shape of God. In John 14: 9, Christ declares, he that hath seen me hath seen the Father. He that hath seen Christ hath seen a perfect resemblance of the Father. There is a resemblance more or less perfect existing between the father and the son among men; the son developing the same predominating characteristics and external form displayed by the parents; and it is perfectly reasonable to suppose that the analogy would be preserved between God the Father and his Son Jesus Christ, who is declared to be the express image of his Father's person. "He that hath seen me hath seen the Father," are the words of Jesus Christ, and he is God's representative in all things.

That God has a personal form, a shape, and a certain locality, where he more particularly reveals his presence, appears probable from many scriptures. day that the Lord spake unto you in Horeb." Moses seems to imply that there was a similitude. But we see not how a different construction can be put upon Ex. 33: 18, than that God is a personal being. Moses said to the Lord, "I beseech thee show me thy glory And he said, I will make all my goodness pass bebefore thee, and will be gracious to whom I will be gracious and will shew mercy on whom I will show mercy. And he said, Thou canst not see my face; for there shall no man see me and live. And the LORD said, Behold, there is a place by me, and thou shalt stand upon a rock: and it shall come to pass, while my glory passeth by, that I will put thee in a shalt see my back parts: but my face shall not be seen. * * And the LORD descended in the clouds, and

stood with him there, and proclaimed the name of the Lord. And the Lord passed by before him, and proclaimed, The Lord, The Lord, merciful and gracious, keeping mercy for thousands, forgiving iniquity and transgression and sin, and that will by no means clear [the guilty.]" Then it appears that God has a visible form, though invisible now; a face and form that will be revealed to the saints when they shall become immortal. Manoah understood God to be a personal being. Judges 13: 22. Daniel in vision saw the Ancient of days, and describes his personal appearance. 7: 9. "I beheld till the thrones were cast down, and the Ancient of days did sit, whose garment was white as snow, and the hair of his head like the pure wool; his throne was like the flery fame, and his wheels as burning fire." Before this august Being was brought the Son of man to receive a glorious and everlasting kingdom. 22 v. Ancient of days came with the saints. Isa. 6: 1,

throne, as the appearance of a sapphire stone: and vid. ddressing the God of providence, says, "Deliver upon the likeness of the throne was the likeness 15 my soul from the wicked, which have their portion in the appearance of a man above upon it. And I saw this life." "As for me, I will behold thy face in rightas the color of amber, as the appearance of fire cousness. I shall be satisfied when I awake in thy round about within it, from the appearance of his likeness." Ps. 17: 15. David expected his portion loins even upward; and from the appearance of his at the resurrection, when he would see God. loins even downward, I saw as it were the appear-phrases in the parables, Mat. 21: 48, and 22: 11, ance of fire, and it had brightness round about. As "When the Lord of the vineyard cometh." "And the appearance of the bow that is in the cloud in the when the King came in to see the guests," seem to day of rain, so was the appearance of the brightness imply that God himself will be revealed. The Lord, round about. This was the appearance of the like- and King, are here distinguished from the Son as a ness of the glory of the Lord. And when I saw it, separate person. I fell upon my face, and I heard a voice of one that spake. * * Thus saith the Lord God." Supposing this a vision, yet it was a vision of something that that then we may say, "Behold, the tabernacle of did exist, and indicates a locality where God dwells, and a personal shape.

The narration in Job seems to be utterly irreconcilable with the idea that God is equally present in this commandment without spot, unrebukable, until every place; but favors the opinion of his personality and locality; and that he conducts his providential arrangements by "the angels of his who do his plea-sure, hearkening unto the voice of his words;" and till Christ comes, permits evil angels to try men, that their true characters may be developed. We quote from Fry's translation, Job 1: 6, "And it was lasting. Amen." 1 Tim. 6: 14. We leave out the the day when the Sons of the Elohim came to present words "who is" added to the King's version, and add themselves before Jehovah; and the adversary came also among them. And Jehovah said unto the adversary went out from the presence of Jehovah." The very same words are repeated in the next chapter.

It seems that there were certain specified regular days when the Sons of the Elohim gave an account of their proceedings, and appeared before Jehovah to receive their respective commissions. This enables us to understand the nature of the spirit that in which man was created, may mean external rewas employed, as represented in 1 Kings, 22: 19. Micniah said, "Hear thou therefore the word of the Lord: I saw the Lord sitting on his throne, and all the host of heaven standing by him on his right hand and on his left. And the Lord said, Who shall persunde Ahab, that he may go up and fall at Ramoth- image, in the image of God created he him." "Who-Gilead? And one said on this manner, and another said on that manner. And there came forth a spirit and stood before the Lord, and said, I will persuade The image of God then was retained after man had him. And the Lord said unto him, Wherewith? And sinned. I Cor. 11: 7, "For a man indeed ought not he said, I will go forth, and I will be a lying spirit in to cover his head, forasmuch as he is the image and the mouth of all his prophets. And he said thou shalt persuade him, and prevail also: go forth and do so." It is a fearful thing to contemplate that *the devil is a* These are objections to this theory, and let them *personal being*, and is not yet bound, that he should be candidly examined. Prov. 15: 3, "The eyes of not deceive the nations; and he may have a commission to those who receive not the truth in the love of it, and have pleasure in unrightcousness, to send the Lord, and not a God afar off? Can any hide upon them strong delusions, that they should believe himself in secret places that I shall not see him? a lie and be damned. But we proceed. Mat. 18: 10: "Look that ye despise not one of these little the Lord?" Ps. 139: 7, "Whither shall I go from ones, for I say unto you; that their angels in heaven thy spirit? or whither shall I flee from thy presence? do always behold the face of my Father who is in heaven." They, like Gabriel, may be a part of the bed in hell, behold. thou art there. If I take the seven presence spirits of God. Luke 1: 19, "I am wings of the morning, and dwell in the uttermost Gabriel that stand in the presence of God." Mat. 5: | parts of the sea, even there shall thy hand lead me, 8, "Blessed are the pure in heart, for they shall see God." and holiness, without which no man shall see the shineth as the day. The darkness and the light are Lord." Job 19: 26, "And though after my skin both alike to thee." These are beautiful passages,

The

It really does appear that when Christ comes, another person will be manifested, even the Father, and God is with men, and he will dwell with them, and they shall be his people, and God himself shall be with them, and be their God.'i Rev. 21: 3. "Keep the appearing of our Lord Jesus Christ, which in his times he shall shew, the blessed and only Potentate, the King of Kings, and Lord of Lords; who only hath immortality, dwelling in the light which no man can approach unto: whom no man hath seen, nor can see [and live;] to whom be honor and power ever-"and live," to harmonize with the other passages. "We should live soberly, righteously and godly in versary, whence comest thou? And the adversary this present world, looking for that blessed hope and answered Jehovah, and said, from going round the the glorious appearing of the great God, and our earth and journeying thereon. V. 12. And the ad- Saviour Jesus Christ." Tit. 2: 12. God is now invisible; then, it appears, he will be visible. Jesus said, "If a man love me, he will keep my words: and my Father will love him, and we will come unto him and make our abode with him." John 14: 23.

These scriptures indicate the personality of God. Now, if God has form; then the image and likeness semblance, which implies similarity of mental and moral organization. This allowed, and we can easily understand the following scriptures.

"And God said, Let us make man in our image, ofter our likeness. So God created man in his own so sheddeth man's blood, by man shall his blood be be shed; for in the image of God made he man." glory of God; but the woman is the glory of the man." Men "are made after the similitude of God."

the Lord are in every place, beholding the evil and the good." Jer. 23: 23, "Am I a God at hand, saith snith the Lord? Do not I fill heaven and earth, saith If I ascend into heaven, thou art there; if I make my and thy right hand shall hold me. * * * Yea. Heb. 12: 14, "Follow peace with all men, the darkness hideth not from thee; but the night worms destroy this body, yet in my fiesh shall I see and what do they mean? That all things are alike God." Perhaps some would translate this, "I shall present to God; coming within his perfect knowledge, see God of my flesh. I shall see Christ who has be- and being completely under his control; and not that

BIBLE EXAMINER.

PHILADELPHIA, JULY, 1851.

FROM EDWARD WHITE, AUTHOR OF "LIFE IN CHRIST."-It gives us much pleasure to be able to present our readers with the following letter from Bro. White: and we do not know that it is much abatement to our satisfaction that he expresses a dissent from us on the state of the dead. We are fully agreed that eternal life and immortality are pleases me to think that I had some hand in stimuonly in and through our glorious Lord Jesus Christ. While we regard that life as only actually conferred upon any by and at the resurrection, he regards it as inseparably connected with present regeneration, so that the Christian is in a state of consciousness immediately after death. His view in this respect is no bar in our mind to the most perfect fellowship and brotherly communion: nor have we ever felt to withhold Christian communion and sympathy from any Christians who disagree with us on the question, so long as they express their dissent in the same kind the unconsciousness of the soul after death made an spirit that Bro. White has his on the state of the essential article of faith among you, and thrust forth dead. Our columns are open to any of our friends into first rate prominence. The criticism on which on the other side of the Atlantic for a full presentation of their views on that question; and they may be assured we will endeavor to treat them wherein they may differ from us with Christian respect. Unless we are greatly mistaken, we are willing to be convinced of any error we may have fallen into. We know that truth alone can sanctify and make us free. If Bro. White has a suspicion that we are past being convinced of error in relation to the state of the dead, because, as an "editor" we have "committed" ourself, we cannot blame him for that suspicion, because such is usually the case with persons in that position; nevertheless, we trust for that grace whereby we may be able to show that it is not a committal to any doctrine that makes us adhere thereto, but a sincere belief of its truth and importance.

HEREFORD, England, May 21, 1851. To the Editor of the Bible Examiner:

My dear Sir:-The arrival of another Bible Examiner reminds me that I onght before this to have acknowledged your kindness in regularly sending mo copies of your little serial. It has been very pleasant, I assure you, to receive them as tokens of sympathy from across the water, and none who are interested in the doctrine of Life in Christ can fail to be gratified at learning that it has so vigorous an advocate in the States, and is making progress among American scriptural character of this theory, but venture to Christians. Above all, it is satisfactory to hear, as I think that it is a considerable hindrance to the relately had an opportunity of doing, that Bro. Storrs (ception of our main doctrines, by those who are not is a man valuing truth mainly from its tendency to Millenaniaus-for it is undoubled that it is your beproduce heavenly goodness, and not battling away lief of Christ's speedy advent which permits you to over a theological idea merely for glory or for vic- look forward to your unconsciousness in such cool tory.

on that indwelling of the Spirit of life, with his fruits, without which all our speculations on immortality will be in vain. "The world passeth away and the lust thereof; but he that doeth the will of God abideth forever." For us to live may it be Christ, and then to die will be gain.

The communications from my friends, Moncrieff and Dobney, in the present Examiner, I have read with interest. The letter of the latter recalls storms and struggles which we are beginning to forget. It lating Brother Dobney to his reply to the Electic Review, which you know as his work on Punishment; especially as it has turned out that his thoughts have had so wide a circulation among you. I rejoice in the honor thus put upon him, for a more noblespirited, unselfish man I never met with.

If I may venture one or two remarks on the Bible Examiner, in the most submissive spirit, confiding in your forbearance, you will confer a favor upon me. It is with continual sorrow of heart, then, that I see this your doctrine is based, is regarded by many, myself among the number, as one-sided and a little reckless-and although holding most firmly the main doctrine on Life, we hold that it is revealed with nearly equal clearness, that at least under the Christian dispensation some souls are conscious in the interval. We observe that you do not grapple with all the evidence. For example, you do not quote what I have said about it in "Life in Christ;" and I suspect that this is one principal reason why there is but little chance of that unfortunate volume ever getting as a whole before the eyes of your people. Now, you may be right, or I may be: but it seems most undesirable to exalt either doctrine concerning the state of the soul to a level with the main argument, especially since many of us who differ from yon on that doctrine, agree with you on the far more important matter of the premillenial advent of Christ. At least I do most heartily-though I fear my dear friend at Maidstone continues sceptical about it. Then again: Mr. Dobney, so far as I recollect, by no means commits himself to your theory of unconsciousness, but only shews how much may be said on both sides, thus evincing that it is a point on which we may differ, though maintaining other greater truths in common. I not only do not believe in the May we all, dear Brother, increasingly blood: and the great majority of Christians are bitlearn to dwell upon the practical side of truth, and 'terly opposed to these prophetic truths. You say

that you think I shall see reason to believe differ- tions to all to whom you have been kind enough to ently some day. I would return the conjecture, dear introduce my name,

Believe mo very faithfully yours,

EDWARD WHITE.

brother, did I not know that it is a very rare thing for editors who have committed themselves on any EDITORIAL NOTE .- The "Volume" by Br. White, question to change their minds. Meantime I can from which we are giving extracts in the Examiner, only throw myself on your candor when I say that we should be glad to see published entire in this the whole tone in which this question is discussed country, and think it would do immense good if it has been very unsatisfactory to me. Most thocould be put into the hands of the Congregational roughly do I agree with the Baptist brother, whose and Presbyterian ministers here. Yet we have no letter your recently printed, that the doctrine of unmeans of publishing it; if we had, it should be done. consciousness should be left an open question, and is We are compelled to content ourself with giving not at all necessary to the belief of Life in Christ extracts.

Now, having discharged my conscience, permit me to express my humble admiration at the straightforward fearlessness, gravity, sincerity, with which you have hitherto conducted this controversy. The first enthusiasm of the matter has long since vanished from my mind, but all that I have read, seen, and heard, convinces me that we are doing a work. for which millions will bless God in eternity. We are illustrating the Divine attributes, in the divine method, and presenting a morally intelligible God to the hearts of the people-a God whom it is possible to love, admire and adore in the plain and literal sense of those words. We are showing that with 11im there is awful justice and infinite love: and that in such a manner that every moral being can appreciate it. We are unveiling the inner glory of the Bible to the understanding of the saints, as it has long been revealed to their hearts. We are teaching a theology which it is possible to believe most heartily for all mankind, instead of teaching doctrines respecting the heathen world, which have driven myriads to tho very verge of infidelity. We are showing the people a new glory in the Incarnation of the Life and Logos of God, and a new splendor and force in the doctrine of the indwelling of the life-giving, regenerating Spirit in the sons of God. We wield the most potent weapons against infidelity and Sociaianism, and take the sword of the devil-infinite terror-out of the hand of Romanism. Then let us go on: preaching a real, a tremendous, a fiery tormenting pedition to the unconverted-an everlasting life in glory for all who are born again. No one has yet seen any practical bad results of these doctrines-and you and I have already seen many blessed ones. How pious men can read, for example, the sixth chapter of John's gospel, and resist this holy light, it is difficult to imagine-did we not know that most pious men have an exceeding suspicion of any doctrines which will assuredly expose them to the loss of their though none were positive. This was a new trial, to good name in a world that is inflated with infinite arrogance in the faith of its natural immortality. "How can ye believe which receive honor one of did not long shrink from lifting this cross also; and another?"

health and highest usefulness, and fraternal saluta- | an open avowal of their conviction of the literal death

WM. GLEN MONCHIEFF will please accept our thanks for the copy of his republication of Henry Grew's work on the "Intermediate State." We sent Br. Moncrieff the work of Bro. Grew several months since, and we were truly glad to find that he had caused it to be reprinted in Scotland with "notes" and an "Appendix," making an addition of more matter thau the original pamphlet contained; so that it makes a neat, closely printed pamphlet of 46 pages, 12mo. This pamphlet was received the next day after the foregoing letter from Bro. White, and after we had written our prefatory and concluding remarks to that letter. We supposed that Bro. Moncrieff still retained the view that the dead are conscious; but we loved him none the less on that account; nor do we Bro. White. We believed them both sincere inquirers after truth, and had little doubt they would be led to see, sooner or later, that the "resurrection of the dead" is incompatible with the idea of the consciousness of dead men.

It was several years after we had become interested in the truth of no immortality out of Christ, before we had even a suspicion that the dead were "in silence" and had "no knowledge." Nor did we begin to see this point till after we had preached and printed the first edition of our "Six Sermons" on the "Inquiry, Are the Wicked Immortal?" And when the conviction first fastened upon us that we might have to take another step in so unpopular a doctrine as that we had begun to preach, it filled us with a spirit of recoiling; but when we saw that the Scriptures did testify that "the dead praise not the Lord," and that "in sheel there is no knowledge," with many other declarations of similar import, we bowed to what, it seemed clear to us, was positive testimony, while the opposite theory was only sustained by inferences; some of which, however, were plausible, take upon us an additional reproach, yet fully believing that our Lord and Master called us to it, wo we have never soen an hour that we regretted having With earnest good wishes, dear brother, for your done so, though it has caused some to go back from

principles.

that awaits the wicked: yea, some who were disposed [all the information we can as to its operation on the to favor us, while we stopped at our first position, became our most bitter persecutors.

Bro. White misapprehends us if he supposes that we make "the unconsciousness of the soul after death AN ESSENTIAL article of faith among" us. truly sorry if anything has appeared in the Examiner that can be construed to such a conclusion. We disavow any such intention, so far as we are concerned; while at the same time we most sincerely believe that if there is no resurrection, there is no future life. Others may differ from us, on this point, without affecting our Christian fellowship for them, provided they cheerfully grant us the same right to differ with them: in other words, we are perfectly willing to leave it "an open question," on which all shall be at full liberty to hold and express their conviction of what is truth without being suspected of a want of sincerity, honesty and christian candor. Here, if we understand Bro. White, he is willing to meet us; and he will forgive us if we again express the conviction that he will yet see the state of the dead to be that of unconscious sleep; but we cannot blame him for desiring that we may see the subject in the same light he does; and, if we know our own heart, we have no hostility to a conversion to his view only that which arises from our conception of what the Scriptures teach on the subject; yet he may We have found ourself be right and we wrong. wrong sometimes, and may yet do so again; still, on this point it seems to us we must have very near the truth, if not exactly. We hope he will write us articles for the Examiner, and feel himself at perfect liberty to take his own course in relation to the matter he will furnish. In our extracts from his work, we have used our judgment in selecting such portions as we thought would most interest our readers.

We commenced a notice of the republished work by Bro. Moncrieff, but have been led into the strain of remarks preceding by the circumstance of his communication so soon following Br. White's letter, and the unexpected tidings, which now, for the first time, reach us, of Br. Moncrieff's conviction that the dead are truly unconscious. Hereafter we shall give some or all his "notes" in the Examiner.

The work is for sale by Ward & Co., 27 Paternoster Row, London; James Keep, 32 Nicolson st., Edinburg: and James Smith, 32 Nelson street, Glasgow; and we presume by Moncrieff, Musselburg, Scotland. Price 6d. Bro. Moncrieff's reply to Rev. J. Kirk's pamphlet, entitled "The Warning, or the Future Punishment of the Impenitent considered," we presume is for sale at the places above named. That work we hope will be widely circulated. Price eight pence.

day of this month, and we wish to give our readers | correct hereafter.

Examiner and our other publications. There are some things not very clear in its bearings; but we will give the best light we have.

The Bible Examiner is now subject to the follow-We are ing rates of postage:

> 1. Any distance under 50 miles, one cent and a quarter for three months, i. e., for three numbers; to be paid by the subscriber in advance.

> 2. Any distance over 50 miles and under 300. (no cents and a half for three months.

> 3. Over 300 miles and under 1000, three cents and three quarters for three months.

> 4. Over 1000 miles and under 2000, five cents for three months.

> 5. Over 2000 and under 4000 miles, six cents and one quarter for three months.

6. Over 4000 miles, seven cents and one half.

The postage is the same on the "Christian Truth-Seeker," issued from our office.

The most vexatious part of the new law is that which relates to books and pamphlets, requiring the pre-payment of the postage on them in all cases, if we understand the Postmaster General's instructions. Under the head of "Rates of Postage to be charged upon all transient Newspapers and every other description of printed matter, except Newspapers and Periodicals published at intervals not exceeding three months, and sent from the office of publication actual to and bona fide subscribers," after stating the rates it is added-

.41. On every transient newspaper, unscaled circular, handbill, periodical, magazine, book, and every description of printed matter, the above rates must, in all cases, be pre-paid, according to the weight."

"2. Whenever any printed matter on which the postage is required to be pre-paid, shall, through the inattention of the Postmaster, or otherwise, be sent without pre-payment, the same shall be charged with double the above rates."

Surely all this is imposing enough to make we publishers of books, &c., take care how we use the mail to send them abroad. We are therefore compelled to say, that we can send neither books nor pamphlets to any one by mail except the person ordering them remit us, in addition to the price of the work, the full amount of the postage on the work to its destination. This can be done by purchasing Post Office stamps at their own Post Office, and remitting them to us in the letter containing the order for the works desired. To enable our friends to do so, we give them a list of our books and pamphlets; with the amount of postage to be pre-paid on each, on the last page of the Examiner. The rates of postage there set down after the notice of each work is as near accurate as we are able to give this month. THE NEW POSTAGE LAW went into effect the first | If we find ourself in error on any of them we shall

The rates of postage on letters weighing half an | and safety." The author of the tract says:ounce and under, if pre-paid, for any distance not each additional half ounce another rate is charged, or double, and so on, in all cases.

On our papers sent to Canada, we have to pre-pay boast of the progress of civilization. the postage, which is now 15 cents per year, instead of 18, as under the old law. On letters to and from sender to pre-pay the postage or not.

Letters to and from Great Britain and Ireland, 24 cents for each half ounce or under. Pre-payment optional. We have no space to give the rates for other countries, but they remain the same, we believe, as under the old law.

THE CHRISTIAN TRUTH-SEEKER AND MISCELLANT. -We have issued three numbers of that paper. Hereafter, no advertisement, unless it be a short notice, will appear in it. It now contains a larger amount of matter, for the price, than most other papers; and is executed in a style that will compare with any other. It is true that we have filled a considerable portion of the columns with selected matter; but we think it is none the less valuable on that account. Much of it is matter to which our readers could not have access from other sources, or without labor and expense not at their command. Besides, we often find that the selected matter gives quite as much satisfaction as the original articles, and we have occasionally noticed that papers which have been strong in favor of original articles have copied our selected matter. There is often most interesting matter in foreign or scarce works, which our readers are not likely to see, unless some one is at the expense and labor to bring it out; and he may have to travel through much rubbish to cull out valuable and interesting items. This work it is the business of an editor to perform, as well as write editorial, and edit the articles of his correspondents, which is sometimes no small task, truly.

SIGNS OF ANTICURIST .- In our last, we gave an extract from a volume of tracts published in England in 1847. In this we give another, relating to the dangerous characters of the Literature and Education These topics have been the of the present times. subject of many painful apprehensions to us for several years past; and we commend the following remarks to the candid and solemn consideration of all; especially to parents and youth. "The Antichrist" will ere long be developed, we are confident. Who shall escape his horrid power? Surely those will not who sleep in the notion that there is no danger! or.

•••

"The most fearful and ominous sign of the apover 3000 miles, is three cents: If not pec-paid, fire proach of Antichrist, is to be seen in the Protestant cents. Any distance over 3000 miles, six cents. To Literature of the day. Here even Popery itself seems and from Canada, for any distance not exceeding to be outdone. The world is deluged with novels 2000 miles, ten cents; over 3000, juteen cents. On and periodicals, from the hands of professing Protestants, many of whom make it their avowed object to advance the welfare of mankind, and all of whom Yet when we examine these writers more accurately, we shall find them preparing the public mind for the reception of Canada, under the new law, it is optional with the all the subtleties of Antichrist. The Tractarians are circulating their feeble but showy volumes, written purposely to attract and captivate the young and the romantic; casting some of the gorgeous light of the Roman Church over the ceremonies and institutions of Protestantism; throwing all spiritual things into the back-ground of their picture, and bringing forward the priest, the baptismal font, and the decorated altar, as the central group; throwing upon them all their light-giving them their warmest coloringand fixing the eyes of the rising generation upon external rites and trivial ardinances, for the purpose of withdrawing them from spiritual objects. This has always been one of the artifices of an Antichristian Church; and if we look to general literature, we shall find it calling forth all the passions and energies of the Antichristian confederacy. One class of writers have taken up the horrible and ghastly school of the French romance, as their favorite model. Such men as Ainsworth and Bulwer, with a great deal of popular cleverness, but no real genius, have borrowed the manner of Parisian authors, and, like all other imitators, they have done still worse than the original inventors. A frightful medley of felons, assassins, profligates, and madmen, crowd their pages-their scenes and characters are worked up to an intensity of force, as if human life were a perpetual fever, and atrocity the only occupation of great men. This felon literature-this heroism of the gallows, seems the grand object of all their exhibitions; carried out by means of situations in which no man was ever placed-sufferings such as were never enduredand villanics which in real life occupied an age, raked together in the fictitious history of an hour. Such vile literature as this must harden and brutalize every reader. It makes atrocity become a necessary excitement, and thut prepares the hearts of thousands for welcoming whatever horror or confusion may be poured forth upon the world.

Another class of writers have adopted the school of burlesque and ridicule. With them, everything human, and some things divine, are the groundwork of some jest, or some sportive sarcasm; nothing can occur amongst men, not even war und famine, but it gives rise to some humorous scene, or is made the subject of some lively caricature. The effect of this under the cry, now becoming so universal, of "peace is equally pernicious, though in an opposite way-

108

for. as the atrocious school" hardens the heart to | rial paradise to some, and a theatre of scientific vanitinto universal indifference. Each is equally a sign envied man is he who, by some clever scheme, or of the debasement of literature. and are now compelled to revel upon the dregs. And wealth. And the young are educated, and the old each of them is equally a cause as well as a sign of are hardened into one monotonous sensation (for pasapproaching evil. For let the great Antichristian sion is too honorable a word,) and that sensation is confederacy be once formed with an Antichrist at its head-let the world be once broken up by revolution and confusion, and where shall we find the multitudes who now take delight in these publications? Can we doubt where? The disciples of the "atrocious school" will join the movement with delight, for they will then hope to see actually, in real life those terrible deeds, and those tempestuous characters, which they have so long read of, and admired, in the pages of romance. The disciples of the "school of burlesque" will join the same movement as a laughable diversion, as offering an exercise for their wit. Or they will stand carclessly aside to satirize all parties, and allow themselves to be crushed into perdition, while they think that all is but a jest ! The Protestant, as well as the Popish world, is filled with multitudes of such persons-they are increasing as our literature is spread abroad; and every one of such is a fit proselyte ready made for Antichrist.

"The last subject at present is that of general Protestant Education. It may be thought that the universal rage for education at the present day, especially in Protestant countries, must, by degrees, convert the world, But if so, we fully believe that it will only convert and prepare the world for the reign of Antichrist. The character of Antichrist is not that of a madman like Alexander, or a tyrant like Nero, but it is that of a Substitute for God. The very essence of the Antichristian confederacy is the substitution of human wisdom and human virtue, in place of divine, as the means of producing the greatest happiness and of renovating the world. It is the general movement of the world, in a state of selfadoration and self-dependence, towards imaginary and impossible perfection. It is this which will give birth to the Antichristian confederacy; and it is this very movement which, at the present day, forms the pride and glory of all our liberal publications. And can our system of Protestant education counteract and defeat this movement? On the contrary, it will hasten it onward ! The whole object of our general education is power and worldly prosperity. Every branch of art and science-every faculty of the human mind-all the progress of society is trained in the one direction-to increase the power of man in the outward material world-to acquire wealth-to columns, on various bible subjects, ought indeed to promote commercial enterprize-to perfect the refinements of luxury---to ward off the pains and hard- word of Truth and Life. I have the volumes for ships of life, and to make the earth a sensual mate- 1849 and 50, with half a dozen of the Six Sermons

deeds of blood, so the "school of burlesque" hardens ity and display to others. The most admired and It proves that we some happy invention, can acquire a name in the have drained off the old racy wine of the intellect, scientific world, or secure the largest amount of -material prosperity, and the deification of the human intellect. Can such education restore the world to God? And our religious education is not to be trusted. 7 Enter a parochial school, and what do you find? Catechisms learned by rote-texts of Scripture repeated as a task-mechanical exactnessspiritual neglect-quickness and good memory rewarded and admired-military regularity enforced. as if our spiritual enemies were an army of soldiers. to be conquered by superior factics, and by military discipline! But the education of the heart utterly forgotten-the mighty tide of the passions left to roll on beneath, until the foundations of society are undermined, while the surface looks secure and stable. The education of the heart (we repeat) is unknown! and children are converted into machines, which are either dull or clastic, according to their metal, but nine-tenths of which are unconscious of spiritual life. Some, indeed, will be converted, * * * but the great majority are only hardened and made insolent by this mechanical education.

> "We must now conclude: and as the substance of the last two numbers, we leave it to the consideration of every reader, whether the world can be regenerated and converted even by Protestantism itself, while the Protestant Church has been already corrupted and converted by the world. To us it appears evident, that the present movement of mankind is almost universally towards one point-the selfperfection of man, and the substitution of the human intellect for God. And this must quickly end in the dethronement of the Deity, and the establishment of Antichrist, until the Day of Vengeance shall have arrived."

FROM FREDERICK WRIGHT. Spencerville, Canada West, 1851.

BR. STORRS:-Were it for no other reason than to obey the imperative commands of gratitude, I feel constrained to address you, but at this time of destitution of sound and perfect knowledge, and the great lack of oral instruction we experience, so far as pertains to our eternal welfare, I feel doubly incited to acknowledge the depth of my indebtedness to you for the monthly visits of the Examiner. The clear, and I may say, the practical view given in its be highly prized and well studied by all who love the

could get him to acknowledge was, "it might be so," to happen to the world;" what that "great thing" the coming of Christ." On the subject of "Life and Death," however, there has been more success. After reading the "Six Sermons," he requested a longer house. Give God the praise, brother. is his oldest fortress, to be man's belief in inherent immortality. Not that it is a strong castle in itself, but it has far extended outflanking bulwarks, that bar the approach of man to reach the truth as it is in Christ, and in Him only, almost in every direction. Oh ! how I long for the time when it shall be razed to the foundation, and the ploughshare of God's immutable truth shall turn up its lowest stones, and lay bare to every eye the false and shifting sands on which it was built. As for me, my mind is made up. I have tried the height, the length, the breadth and depth of human philosophy, and I have proved it vain to satisfy the longings of the soul thereby.

I'd rather have one shred of Bible Truth,

Than ponderous tomes of man's scholastic lore.

One, "Thus saith the Lord," has more weight on my mind than the thousand volumes I have read; nay, more than the combined millions that have been published since printing first began. Mayst thou be guided unto all Truth, and have the heart bestowed upon thee, to give it broadcast to the world,

Heedless of its scorn, and fearless of its hate.

The sim, the end of the true Christian's labor, in those days of deep and soul-enticing delusion, should not be so much to gain converts over to any sect, or particular class or code of opinions, as to open the to the rightcous exclusively, for he does mention eyes blinded by prejudice and education, and con- | both righteous and wicked. In 22d v. he says, "For vert the individual from the damning power of error as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be to the life-giving, soul-animating influence of truth. | made alive." If the "all" in the last part of the This spirit seems, indeed, to pervade the pages of verse refers to the rightcous only, then the "all" in the Examiner; and for this do I thank God from the the first part does also, and the rightcous only die depths of my heart. Apropos, to the object of in- in Adam, while the wicked do not die at all in Adam, quiry. I would be glad to see the few remarks in but are immortal. If all do not die in Adam, there the April number, on the subject of the Papal power was no necessity for Christ's tasting death for every not being that "wicked one," followed up. I have man, and he says, John 5: 28, 29, "All shall hear

in sheets, abroad, doing the good and sacred work of vent brethren too, need more light on this subject. evangelists: and I trust not without effect, owing to ! An Advent brother, in whose judgment I have much the blessing of heaven. One instance out of many, confidence, to whom I wrote on the subject, express-A Pre-byterian friend, with whom I have been in ing the surmise that he would perhaps doubt the friendly controversy on the subject of the speedy sanity of my conclusions, not only writes to me in advent of Christ, for the last year and more, and concurrence, but he also had been looking at the have found to be a "regular hard head," the most I same subject, at the same time, through the same glass, (the Bible,) and had arrived at the same point. and thit was certain there was some great thing going | How strange that three individuals, wide apart, and holding no communication on the subject, should was I could never get him to say, only "it was not thus be engaged in the same study at the same time. I am very diffident of myself, not possessing near as much knowledge of the "Good Book" as of the "schools." I shall wait with patient anxiety, if you time; and on re-reading them, candidly and freely will allow me the phrase, to hear and learn someacknowledged his conviction of the truth of what was thing more on the important point. I say important, taught in them, and expressed his sorrow that he inasmuch as the revelation of that "wicked one" should so long have allowed himself to be led blind- immediately precedes the coming of the King of fold by others, while he had the word of God in his Glory. The midnight darkness before the dawn! Truth is a The depth of wickedness before the fulness of pumighty weapon to the pulling down of the strong- |rity! The highest acme of impious daring before the holds of Satan: and I do believe his strongest, as it revelation of the Lord of Lords and King of Kings! Mysticism and folly may do their most, but to the believer in the Bible-

> Thanks be to God! The way is plain! The crooked paths are straight! While proudly Faith's uplifted haud Points to Life's open Gate1 Through Jesus Christ we enter in Unto the promised rest, And all our toils and griefs forget, While pillowed on his breast.

Trusting solely on the mercy of God and merits of Jesus, I hope we may be permitted to congratulate each other on an abundant entrance therein, and remain thy brother in the love of truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

FROM THOMAS J. HARRIS.

Harrisburg, June 11th, 1851.

BRO. STORRS:-The brethren here and myself are pleased with the Examiner, and generally agree with your expositions of scripture. I, however, sometimes disagree with you. In the last Examiner, you say that Paul is arguing in the 15th of 1 Cor. about the resurrection of the rightcous exclusively, and says nothing of the wicked. I think he is contending for a resurrection without confining his argument this some time back believed the world, and my Ad- his voice and come forth;" and Paul says, Acts 24:

15, there shall be a resurrection of the just and un-|will advance that sum, we will refund it from the just; but, 1 Cor. 15: 23, every man in his own order; first sales of the work, and proceed to get it out im-Christ the first fruits; afterward, they that are Christ's mediately. In the meantime, let us hear from all, at his coming-and if Paul does not tell us I Cor. 15, who wish it published, to know how many copies you when the wicked will be raised, John dees, Rev. 20: will take and pay for when it is ready for delivery. 3-5, 1000 years, and a little season after the righteous. If all the human family are raised from death through Christ, it does not follow they will all have eternal life.

In the May number of the Examiner, some person (I have lost the paper) from England says that some lished in March, omitting his name, now informs us of the daughters of the old mother quote Isa. 33: 14, to prove the wicked will have eternal life in misery. He says it does not refer to the punishment of the wicked at all. I think if he will examine and read some time preaching here; as there are many Bapfrom the 14th to the 17th verse, he will see it is as tists, as well as others, who will be specially glad to strong a text as we have in the scriptures to prove that the wicked will not have eternal life in misery, sick. or cannot dwell with everlasting burnings. God says "Who among us shall dwell with everlasting burnings?" The question is answered in the 15th and 16th verses, and it is the righteous that can do it. We have an example. When Nebuchadnezzar cast the three Hebrews into the fiery furnace, the fire devoured the wicked persons that threw them in, while the three Hebrews were not hurt, neither was the smell of fire upon them. Dan. 3: 27, &c. As Christ is coming in flaming fire, 2 Thess. 1: 8; Isa. 66: 15, 16, the fire may be all around us, but his people will not be hurt.

NOTE BY THE EDITOR .--- If Bro.' Harris supposes our remarks on 1 Cor. 15, were intended to deny the resurrection of the wicked, he misapprehends us en-The resurrection is the main subject of that tirely. chapter, and especially the resurrection of Christ and those who "have fallen asleep in Christ." Certainly, the apostle is not basing any argument on the resurrection of the wicked, if he refers to it at all, which we do not think he does in that chapter. If Br. Harris thinks otherwise, he has the same right to their order. his opinion we have to ours, and we have no fault to find with him for it.

"TRUE SOURCE OF IMMORTALITY, by Thomas Read, New York."-The articles which have been published in the Examiner within the last year and a half, under this caption, have been revised by the more valuable, and can be obtained at one-half the author, and much enlarged under the various topics, price, We have examined both, and would rather as well as many new points inserted, making double have Pick's work at the same price. For a critical the amount of rending. We think of publishing the examination of the original, to one acquainted with work in pamphlet, but cannot do it without aid, as the Hebrew, the first named work would be valuable. we have no funds, nor has Bro. Read. It will make | We can get either work imported, if the cash is sent a pamphlet of from 100 to 150 pages, 12mo., accord- us. ing to the size of type used. We think of using the same as that with which the Examiner is now printcash before commencing. If any friend or friends scatter it.

The price will not exceed 25 cents, retail; and the first edition, at wholesale, shall be put at the actual cost only.

THE "BAPTIST MINISTER," whose letter we pubthat "the demand for light on Destruction, &c., increases fast." We expect him in this city in a few weeks, and hope he will come prepared to spend see and hear him. We are sorry to learn he has been

ROBERT HARPER.-We have not seen A. Campbell's articles on the "Spiritual Universe;" his paper never visits us; it is doubtless too spiritual to be seen by such matter-of-fact eyes as we possess; and from what you say, we judge the articles ars a mass of heathen lore.

"THE SEVERAL ADDRESSES of the Rochester Conference, the New York Convention. and the Hartford Conference, published by order of the Boston Conference." They are put up in a neat 12mo. pamphlet of 16 pages, with paper covers; and sold at the . extreme low price of \$1,50 per hundred copies. This pamphlet ought to have a wide circulation. While we may not adopt every sentiment found in it, we think as a whole it is called for at this time, and sets forth truly the grounds of christian fellowship and christian liberty.

Those who wish to scatter it, should address Dr. David Crary, Hartford, Conn., and send the cash with

To JOSEPH EATON .- The Hebrew and English Concordance, or "Englishman's Hebrew Concerdance," costs about \$20, imported. We are decidedly of the opinion that for an English scholar, "The Bible Student's Concordance, by Prof. Pick," is much

"TRUE SOURCE OF LIFE, by George Storrs."-A ed; in that case the first thousand copies will cost new Tract. See last page of the Examiner for this about \$150, and we must have near that amount in month. If it is judged useful by the friends, let them

COF We design to keep constantly on hand the following Works on the grand gospel truth of "Im-mortality for Man only in Christ," and let a new effort be made to place them in every house in the land.

1. "Scripture Doctrine of Future Punishment, by II. H. Dobney," Baptist Minister; an argument in two parts. This is a reprint of an English work of great power, showing the righteous only will live forever, and that the punishment of the wicked is destruction-death. It contains 286 pages, 12mo. Price reduced to 50 cents, bound; 371 cents in paper covers.

Postage, on bound volume, under 500 miles, 14 cents; over 500 and under 1500, 28 cents; over 1500 and under 2500, 42 cents. In paper covers, under 500 miles, 9 cents: over 500 and under 1500. 18 cents: over 1500 and under 2500, 27 cents, over 2500 and under 3500, 36 cents.

2. "Dolney Abridged."-We have published the Second Part of the Scripture Doctrine of Future Punishment, in an edition by itself. Our object has been to furnish all that is really essential in the controversy, to enable the reader to decide whether endless tirely dependent upon his organization for all his torture, or destruction of being, is the true teaching of mental and moral powers. By Thomas Read, New Scripture in relation to the end of the wicked: and to York." This work shows that the notion of the imof all. The abridged work we put at the extremely low price of 25 cents single copy, of about 220 pages, 12mo.

Postage, under 500 miles, 6 cents; over 500 and under 1500, 12 conts; over 1500 and under 2500, 18 cents; over 2500 and under 3500, 24 cts.

3. "Dialogues on Future Punishment, by Rev. W. Glen Monerieff," Scotland. A most valuable and designed to show that the modern inhabitants of Euconvincing work, which ought to be in the hands of rope, and the people of the United States, are the every person where the gospel is preached. It is a literal descendints of Jacob, and of the lost tribes of familier examination of the question of Immortality Israel. Price 75 cents bound, or 50 cents in paper conducted in a spirit that cannot fail to interest. It covers. is a pamphlet of 60 pages, 12mo. Price 15 cts.

Postage, under 500 miles, 3 cents; over 500 and under 1500, 6 cents; over 1500 and under 2500, 9 cts;

over 2500 and under 3500, 12 cts. 4. "The Unity of Man, or Life and Death Realities. A Reply to Rev. Luther Lee, by Anthropos." This is a thorough refutation of Mr. Lee's position of the natural immortality of man. It contains 122 pages,

 18mo., in paper covers. Price 15 cents.
Postage same as on "Dialogues," by Moncrieff.
5. "Siz Sermons, by Geo. Storrs. An inquiry, Are the Wicked Immortal?" To which is added a dis-Archibishop Whately's remarks on "The Second Death." It has 128 pages, 12mo. Price 15 cents in paper covers.

Postage supposed to be the same as on "Dialogues,"

by Monerieff, but may possibly be two cents higher. 6. "Can you Believe?" by W. Glen Monerieff," Scotland. A tract of four pages. It is well calculated to arrest attention and lead men to see the absurdity of the notion of immortality in sin and suffering. Price 39 cents per hundred.

7. "Scroud Death, by Archbishop Whately:" to whit a in add at "Opinion: of Eminent May" & a a to of cigai pages. It is of grat vent, Star w be placed in the hands of persons was, perloyes, would read nothing else on the immortality question. Price 60 cents per hundred.

8. "The Rich Man and Lazarus." A tracts of 12 pages, the same as published in connection with the Siz Scemons. 18mo. Price 75 cents per hundred. 9. "The Two Thrones, or The Throne of God and

EDDRG, PARTPHLETS, TRAGTS, &c. | the Throne of Christ." This tract goes to show the present position of Christ, and what it will be in the age to come. He is now on the throne of God in heaven; he will be, in the future age, on the "throne of David in Jerusalem," reigning, with his saints made immortal, over all the earth. Text, Rev. iii. 21. It has 20 pages, 12mo. Price 2 cents.

10. "True Source of Life, by Geo. Storrs." A new tract of 12 pages, 18mo. Same matter that appeared in the Examiner for June. Price \$1 per hundred.

Tracts should not be ordered by mail unless near an ounce be sent for; as any fractional part of an ounce will be charged as if a full one; and the postnge is 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 cents per ounce, according to the distances already named. We judge it will take about 40 pages to make one ounce.

11. "'Storrs' Miscellany." This contains the foregoing Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9, bound in one volume. Price 42 cents.

Postage, under 500 miles, 9 cents; over 500 and under 1500, 18 cents; over 1500 and under 2500, 27 cents, &c.

12. "The Immateriality of the Soul, or Man ento furnish it so cheap as to place it within the reach materiality of the soul is contrary both to philosophy and scripture, and is sustained by neither. It is a neat pamphlet of 24 pages, 12mo., with covers. Price 7 cents.

Postage 1, 2, 3, 4. or 5 cents, according to distance, weighing only one ounce.

13. "Our Israelitish Origin, or Lectures on Ancient Israel, by J. Wilson," England. This work is

Postage the same as on "Scripture Doctrine of Future Punishment." See No. 1.

14. "Christian Psalmody, or Psalms and Hymns for the use of all Christians." The price, in paper covers, 121 cents, or 12 copies for one dollar; bound in plain morocco 20 cents, with one-third off to those who buy to sell again; in plain gilt gilt, 25 cents, 5 copies for \$1; gilt extra, 37! cents, or three copies for \$1.

Postage, bound, same as "Dialogues" by Mon-criefi. See No. 3. In paper covers, 2, 4, 6, or 8 cents, according to the distance.

On all the foregoing works, except the last, 1-third discount will be allowed to those who buy to sell again; but in all cases the purchaser must add to the price the amount of postage, if ordered sent by Mail.

15. "Bible Examiner, Extra." This contains. Archbishop Whately's remark on the 'Second Death,' a history of the Doctrine of an Immortal Soul-our "Six Sermons" on the inquiry-Are the Wicked Immortal? With some other matters. The Extra is 16 pages quarto, and sold at the extremely low price of \$2 per hundred. It contains more matter for the price than any other work we have, and the price does but barely pay the cost of printing. No discount allowed on this work.

Postage. 2; 4, 6; 8; or 10 cents, according to dis-tance. The postageou this work is greatly increased. by the new law.

BET All orders, remittances, Sc., must be addressed

> GEORGE STORRS, Philadelphia, Pa.

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

EXAMINER. BIBLE

NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE, EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

VOL. VI.

PHILADELPHIA, AUGUST, 1851.

NO. 8.

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER.; living souls formed by the Creator. That the infe-

PUBLISHED MONTHLY,

At 68 North Eighth Street, between Arch and Cherry, PHILADELPHIA, PA.

TERMS.—Single copy, for one year, \$1; six copies, \$5; thirteen copies, \$10; always in advance.

State of the dead.

BY BEV. WM. GLEN MONCRIEFF, SCOTLAND.

This brother has republished, in England and Scotland, Henry Grew's pamphlet on "The Intermediate State," or state of the dead prior to the resurrection, to which he has appended "notes" and added an "appendix," all of much interest. We present our readers with the principal notes, to be followed by the appendix. The first note is a prefatory one, as follows:-

"The question as to the state of the dead has long occupied my own thoughts, and has frequently been proposed to me by others, and the following short treatise is issued because it seems to be fitted to help God's people to arrive at a scriptural understanding of the matter. Let it be used as a human hclp to the comprehension of a deeply interesting subject, and the wish of the pious author, and of the editor, will be gained.

"The editor wishes it to be understood that he does not endorse all that is suid in the author's pages, the treatise on the general question is the best, among the smaller works he has seen on the subject, and he considers it worthy of a careful perusal. Here and there a very few sentences have been omitted in the text to save space, but they were not essential to the argument. A few notes have been added to the text, and these are signed with the editor's initials. The reader's attention is also requested to the "Appendix" at the close of the work.

"Musselburgh, April, 1851."

After the text Gen. 2: 7, quoted by Bro. Grew, Bro. Moncrieff appends the following note:

"If man, after receiving the breath of life, be-came "a living soul," he must have been a soul before he became alive, just as a watch is a watch before it is wound up and made to go; - wind it up and it is a going watch. That which God made of "dust" (Gen. 2: 7, "God formed man of the dust of the ground,") was sout, and all that it needed to "breathed" not soul into man, but "the breath of life," and man,—the soul man, the organized being it was the life of her—a soul. 1 Kings 17: 21, 22, made of dust, became, on receiving the life-breath, a living soul. Such is the account of the soul man, delivered by Him who made man at first. In being a soul, man does not differ from the other animals in the world, for they are souls as much as he is; "Thou wilt not leave my soul in hell; neither wilt the difference between them consists in the position thou suffer thine holy one to see corruption." The in the scale of creation which he has above the other word "hell" here (Heb. sheel) significs the state of

rior creatures are souls as well as man can be easily shown. Here is the proof: Gen. 1: 21, "God created great whales and every living creature (llebrew, "living soul,") that moveth." Verse 24, "Let the earth bring forth the living creature," (Hebrew, "living soul.") The same Hebrew word (nephesh) translated creature in these texts, is the identical term used in the original translated "soul" in the expression "man became a living soul," Geu. 2: 7. If man was a soul before he got the breath of life, it only made him a soul alive; and, hence, we see at once that when he loses his breath, ceases to breathe, and, therefore, to live, he must be a *dead soul*. Hence we read, Num. 9: 6, "defiled by the dead body of a man"-Hebrew, the dead soul (nephesh) of a man: the "dead soul" of a man is then just a dead man. Num. 6: 6, "He shall come at no dead body," (nephesh, llebrew dead soul.) See Cruden under the word "soul." In harmony with this we find, souly smitten with the sword, Josh. 10: 30, 32, 39, and 11: 11; —a soul redeemed from the grave, Ps. 30, 3, and 49: 15; —a soul delivered from death, or, a man spared in the land of the living, Ps. 56: 13;-we read also of a soul going to the grave, Ps. 89: 48;—of the blood of souls, Jer. 2: 34;—and of souls dying, "the soul that sinneth it shall die," Ezek. 18: 4.

"It is justice to the English reader to inform him, that the word translated "life," in the phrase "breath of life," is in the Hebrew plural, "breath of lives" (ruach chayim.) But in this there is no pecu-liarity in man, for the inferior creatures, the other souls made by God, received also "the breath of lives." For instance, Gen. 6: 17, "Behold I, even I, do bring a flood of waters upon the earth to des-troy all flesh, wherein is the breath of life," (lleb. "lives," ruach chayim.") Gen. 7: 15, "And they went in unto Noah into the ark, two and two of all fiesh, wherein is the breath of life, (Heb. "lives," ruach chayim.) Solomon says men and animals have all one breath, $(\tau uach.)$ Eccles. 3: 19. It appears to me that men, fishes, and other living animal creatures, are said to have the breath "of lives," because they have a portion of that breath which sustains so many different lives on this globe. They have all one breath, (ruach;) but it is the breath that quickens and supports the lives of many and very diversified living souls."

After the texts Gen. 35: 18; 1 Kings 17: 21, 22, and Psa. 16: 10, he inserts the following:-

"Let this child's soul come into him again," i. e. let his life (called soul because it animated the soul) come into him again, or let him live again by beginning again to breathe; see verse 17. Ps. 16: 10,

the dead, sometimes translated grave in the Old Tes-, fore them? device, nor knowledge, nor wisdom in the grave, (Bisheel, i. e, bi, in-sheel, the state of the dead,) whither then goest." To be in sheel is to be in the state of the dead, where the dead lie. "My soul" in the first part of the verse is paralleled and explained by thy "holy one" in the second: so that the verse part of our Lord's teaching, (Luke 16: 20-31,) it 'is thy holy one in the state of the dead; neither wilt actually done, because we find this very parable in thou suffer thine holy one, or my soul, in a word, me to see corruption. Christ's soul then was that Sheringham, in his preface to his Joma.' which could see corruption. or become corrupt, but ver, it should be considered that our Lord represents which God here promises should not see corruption. The verse then is simply a promise that, though hell. Sheel is the state of the dead, as formerly Christ should die, he would have a very early resurrection, a resurrection before corruption commenced. 22, on to the end of verse 34.

On the text Matt. 22: 31, 32, we have this note:

"Luke says our Lord concluded his argument thus, 'He is not the God of the dead, but of the living; for quickly raised. In Sheol, or Hades, 'there is nei-all live unto ham," 20. 38. Well then, let it be ther work nor device,' &c. Eccles. 9: 10. If Hades, observed that the subject in dispute was the resurrection, which the Sadduces utterly denied. Our Lord affirmed there was a resurrection and proved not meet all the demands of the narrative, which is it by the quotation from the Old Testament, concerning God being the God of Abraham, and Isaac, and Jacob. Let us take the common idea, that man has a spirit which lives and thinks when separated from the body, and then ask what proof would the existence of such a spirit, after death, be of a resur-rection? We can see no force in it. The Sadduces might have replied, suppose we grant the spirits of Abraham and Isaac are now living in a disembodied cut off, (Rom. 11.) and subjected to trials so severe, bodied, they may so continue to live on forever. And yet our Lord's argument was so successful that its power estonished the multitude who heard the debate. Matt. 22: 33. If the living of these men depended on a future resurrection, the argument was clearly unanswerable, and it seems to be so, living body and a living soul are the same thing; and only on that supposition. "God is not the God of of course, that a living body is a living man, and so the dead,"-of utterly and perpetually defunct is a living soul. It is the property and privilege of beings. But how, it may be asked, can it be true of a living soul to think, reason, and to own itself; so Abraham, Istae, and Jacob, that they "all live unto" God?" Two explanations may be submitted for the render's consideration. 1st. As the Saviour does not say they now live with God, but that they live "unto him," it may mean, they are living with respeet to him, or he views them as living when he says he is still their God. Or, 2d. They may be said all to "live unto him" to imitate the certainty that they will yet awake to live forever, just as we find the Bible calling that dead which is not actually dead, but doomed to die, and certainly to die, as in these texts, 'So death passed upon all men.' Rom. 5: 12, 15. 'If Christ be in you, the body is dead.' Rom. 8: 10. 'Ye are dead, but your life is hid with Christ in God.' Col. 3: 4."

On 1 Thess. 4: 13-17, he appends these remarks:

"It should be remarked that, in the passage referred to, death is called a 'sleep,' as in other places. Do men think when they are sound asleep? Again, it is said the saints living at Christ's coming will not prevent' or go before the resurrected holy ones, to in the meantime, the carnest of the Spirit; and, at meet the Lord and enjoy his glory. 1 Thess. 4: 13, 17. If the spirits of these dead saints are now with we shall then be spiritualized, (1 Cor. 15: 45, 48, Jesus, seeing him face to face, have they not gone [50,) by being perfectly born of the Spirit. 6. Therebefore those living on earth? and yet the apostle fore we are always bold in serving Christ, knowing says the living, when Jesus comes, will not go be- that whilst we are mortal, we are absent from the Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

The passage teaches that all are to tament, as in Eccles. 9: 10, "There is no work, nor meet him at once: so it seems a fair question-bow can dead saints be seeing him now?"

> In his note on the case of the rich man and Lazarus, he remarks:-

"Whitby, the Commentator, says, regarding this may be read thus,-Thou wilt not leave my soul, or only a parable, and not a real history of what was the Gemara Babylonicum, whence it is cited by Mr. Moreothe rich man as in Hades, not in Gehanna, the firementioned, and Hades, the Greek synomym for Sheel, imports the same thing. The prophecy in Compare John 2: 18, 19, 21; John 11: 39; Acts 2: Ps. 16; 10, 'Thou wilt not leave my soul (or me) in Sheol,' is, by the inspired Peter, expressed thus, 'Thou wilt not leave my soul in hell,' (Iladou,) i. c. in the condition of the dead, exposed to corruption, which would have taken place had Jesus not been or Sheol, is such a state, how could the rich man there be actually suffering, and speaking? Does it surely a parable, or fictitious story, employed by our Lord to teach some great general truth-we say, does it not answer all the demands of the parable, to understand Lazarus as representing the poor despised Gentiles: about to be taken into favor with God, as it were, lifted to Abraham's bosom; and the rich man to tipify the Jews, long rich in privileges, but mighty abusers of them, about to be judicially state, that is no evidence of the resurrection of their bodies, for, if their spirits are living though discu-tering in Hades, had that been possible, as some then fering in Hades, had that been possible, as some then appear to have imagined?"

His note on 2 Corth. 5: 1-10, is as follows:---

"This passage will perhaps be even a little plainer, if it is recollected that, according to Gen. 2: 7, a that the expression 'our earthy house' is just ourselves as we are, that is, ourselves mortal and corruptible. The 'our' is not the language of a being dwelling in an earthly house, but the language of a mortal man speaking of himself. The following seems to be a fair representation of the apostle's ideas: Verse 1, 'For we know that if we mortal believers were to die we shall be raised immortal. 2. For, as we are, we groan, earnestly desiring to be now immortalized and thereby freed from our pains. 3. Since being so immortalized we shall not be found naked, or in a state of death. 4. For we, as we are, groan, being burdened with cares, and, though anxious to be freed from them, we have no love for death, having a strong desire to be immortalized now, as the living saints will be when tho Lord comes; we wish that mortality might be swallowed up of life, as would be the case if we mortal men were to be now immortalized. 5. Now he that hath wrought us for this immortality, which we expect at the resurrection, is God, who hath given us, the last day, we expect to receive the full promise; Lord, though not always to be so. 7. For we walk, dise.' This appears to be the simple meaning of our by faith, not by sight. 8. We are thus bold I say, even as we are, but, nevertheless, wishing rather to be immortalized and thereby to be with Jesus. 9 Wherefore we labor, that, whether present with him or absent from him, we may be accepted by him."

After Bro. Grew's remarks on Phil. 1: 23, the following note is appended;-

"One word more on this passage-Phil, 1: 23. It is questionable if Paul, when he said 'for me to die is gain,' meant gain to himself or to Jesus. He does not say which. If it was gain to himself, then he intimated that, by dying, he would cease from his troubles and earn a great reward at the resurrection of the just. If it was gain to Christ, then it was the gain that would flow to Christ's gospel from his perseverance unto death, and his martyrdom. In either way the expression 'to die is gain' can get an excellent meaning, and a scriptural one, too, though the first is, perhaps, the most natural interpretation. The succeeding verses may be paraphrased thus:-For if I live in the flesh, or continue alive as I am, this is the fruit of my labor: Christ shall be magnified, as I have already said, (v. 20,) and what I shall choose in my toil-worn and persecuted condition, I do not well know, for I am in a strait betwixt the two, that is, between living and dying, since I am not anxious for either of them, and yet one of them for I have a wish in my mind, if I only could get it mane imposed upon us by the Spirit of Inspiration, I must choose; I am not anxious for either of them, granted, and it is this, to be immortalized and to be with Jesus; this is far better than living in these toils and sufferings—it is also far better than to die, for, in that case, I will not behold Jesus till the resurrection morning. However, it would not be pro-fitable for you were 1 to die, or were my wish for immortalization to be graciously conceded to me by my Master, so then I will remain with you a while longer as I have done.' Paul does not assert a wish to depart by death to be with Christ. He desired, obviously, to depart in a way similar to Enoch and Elijah, by being immortalized at once, or, as he expresses the same idea in 2 Cor. 5: 4, by having 'mortality swallowed up of life,' as will be the case with the living saints when the Lord descends from heaven."

On the case of the "penitent thief," Luke 23: 43, is this note:-

"It will be observed that the thief asked something future, not something which he believed could be got by him on the day when he presented his petition to our Lord. He said, 'Lord, remembered me when thou comest into thy kingdom,' and thereby expressed his belief that the Saviour had a kingdom to come to, not a kingdom to go to. He will come to his kingdom when he sits down on his own throne, which is the throne of David; at present he sits on iv. 18. his Father's throne in heaven, till the predicted time for entering his kingdom and sitting down on his own throne has arrived. Now the thief asked to be remembered then, and our Lord gave him a promise that he would be with him in paradise, or in the paradisaical state, when the earth shall be a new earth, and the heavens a new heavens. Let us recollect the also with the idea of happiness. But, that it ever loses its prover awful position of the dying thief, and how much he radical meaning of existence has yet to be proved, and of course the needed in his agony a word of consolation and hope. burden of proof lies with the opposite party. Such the Redeemer could not deny him. He would not leave him in suspense. He, therefore, answered his request at once-on the day when it was presented, and thereby did more than even the suppliant expected,-'I say unto thee to-day, (or I today say unto thee) thou shalt be with me in para-

Lord, and it quite harmonizes with all the other scriptural teaching."

In our next we shall give extracts from his "Additional Remarks," which follow his notes.

LIFE IN CHRIST.

BY EDWARD WHITE, ENGLAND.

[Continued from page 99.]

Br. White next proceeds to consider the "grounds and reasons for REGENERATION, or the new birth." After having shown that "the loss of the Divine image in the character"-or "the moral corruption of our nature"-is "one principal reason why we must be born again," he proceeds to say:-

It seems to be taught with equal clearness in the divine revelation, though but little remarked in modern times, that our mortality, or loss of the prospect of immortality, through the fall, is another principal ground for the necessity of the new birth. Being born under the curse of the law, DEATH for sin, we "must be born again," in order to inherit the everlasting kingdom of God.

A striking confirmation of this statement (as has been already noticed,) is afforded by the remarkable THE DEAD:-signifying those who are under sentence of death; as when God said to Abimelech, "Thou art but a dead man." Gen. xx. 7. In every passage where this appellation is employed, it is indeed usually understood to convey nothing more than the idea of a state of moral alienation from God: without any reference to the prospect of existence or non-existence, of life or destruction. It is sometimes attempted to be explained by the unscrip-tural* phrase, "dead to God," which is intended to

* The words LIFE and DEATH, with their derivatives, appear to be employed in Scripture to denote the following ideas:-

LIFE stands for the idea of

1. Vital Eristence, as distinct from the death or dissolution of vegetable or animal structures; and as opposed to the insensibility of material things. Also, it signifies ETERNAL LIFE.

2. Activity of morement or agency; as, "living waters," "the word of God is quick (living) and powerful;" "quicken theu me in thy way."

3. Happy existence: not happiness alone, but happy life. "In thy favor is Life." "Wisdom is a tree of Life." "Now I live if ye stand fast in the Lord."

4. "Te be alive in the spirit" is to be living a spiritual life in opposition to that carnal one of which Paul says, "If ye live after the flesh, ye shall die." So also, we find "alive unto God." Rom. vi. 11, and, "the life of God," or godly life." Eph.

But LIFE never seems to stand for holiness alone, or a state of union with God, as is commonly supposed. This appears to be a purely gratuitous assertion; on which rests the whole fabric of the opinions which we are venturing to reject. At the same time, it is readily granted that the term Life is properly associated in the case of the righteous with the idea of heliness, as it is

DEATH, on the other hand, stands for-

1. The loss or destruction of vegetable or animal life. "A dead tree," "a dead lion," "a dead body."

2. The state of condemnation to such literal death. "Thou art but a dead man." Gen. xx. 7.

3. Danger of Death. "Thanks be to Ged who hath delivered Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

life, an absence of spiritual religion; so that the "all" by nature was literal also. This is made still state of moral corruption above described is under-state of moral corruption above described is under-stated to be the only thing denoted whenever man-kind is spoken of as "dead in trespasses and sins." him that died for them and rose again." Whence it Now, although it is undeniable that whenever the race of man is represented as "dead," there is a strong collateral reference to their sinfulness, misery, and alienation from the blessed God, it seems both natural and necessary to conclude, from an examination of the evidence, that this term is employed with a special allusion to the originally denounced punishment of sin, the curse of the law,-to that death which, as we have already seen, Adam incurred, a total and final cessation of the being of the passages:-Rom. vi. 13: "Yield yourselves unto humanity. Let the passages in which the word occurs be adduced in their order:-

Matt. viii. 22: "Let The Dead bury their dead." Here the corpses (nekrous) which "the dead" were 1, "Thou hast a name that thou livest and art dead," to bury, point strongly to a literal interpretation: i. c. "Let those without the spirit of life bury the defunct. Let those who are as good as corpses bury corpses;" (neckroi, nekrous.) If The Dead signified here only those who are devoid of religion, the parallel went no further than a play upon the words: affords the strongest confirmation to the foregoing but, interpreted in the sense suggested, the language interpretations. He thus writes: 1 Pet. i. 23: of the Saviour appears instinct with deep and awful significance.

Eph. ii. 1-5: "And you hath he quickened (to you hath he given life) who were dead in trespasses and sins" (nekrous, corpses.) "But God who is rich in ereth, and the flower thereof falleth away: But the word merey, for his great love wherewith he hath loved of the Lord endureth forever. And this is the word us, even when we were dead in sins, (nekrous,) hath quickened us (given us life,) together with Christ, vious that in the twenty-fourth verse the apostle is and raised us up, and made us sit together in hea-venly places."

Here, the death from which the Ephesians were delivered was one from which they were delivered when Christ was raised from the tomb, and one from which they were delivered "together with him." But this could not be a "moral death" of wicked-ness, since "in him was no sin." It could be only literal death, the opposite to which was "life in the ages to come" after a "resurrection." This life, the lasting nature of the divine word: "But the word of Ephesians could participate by union with Christ as the Lord endureth forever." And it seems equally their head, in the gift of immortality.

2 Cor. v. 14:-"If one died (apethanen) for all, then were all dead, (ara of pantes apethanon) and that he died for all, that they which live should not henceforth live unto themselves, but unto him which died surely must include the entire nature of man. It is for them, and rose again." Here, the word dead scarcely possible to conceive a stronger mode of demust signify the same thing in the two clauses, "if one died for all," and, "then were all dead;" else, the adverb then is a mockery of the forms of reasoning. But the death which Christ died was a literal and not a figurative or "spiritual" one. Hence, the

us from so great a death." So also, "destruction of the flesh," in 1 Cor. v. 5, is used for a mortal disease.

4. To be "dead to" anything, signifies to cease to be, or to live in certain relationships to it. "Dead to the world,"-"to sin,"-"to the Law." But the phrase "dead to God," never occurs.

anything, either literally, or in the sense of its activity and grass withereth, the flower thereof falleth away, the prover; as when it is said, "Nabal's heart became dead within pomp of worldliness will die, but the word of the Lord him."

7. The expression, Spiritual death, and the distinction between temporal and eternal death, are alike unknown to the Holy Scriptures. They are, we venture to think, the necessary crea-

signify a departure from the "life of God," or a godly, death which is declared to have been the state of appears that the life which they obtained by the death of the Redeemer was not essentially holiness or happiness in itself, but a life which is to be stamped with those characters through a subsequent "We thus judge, voluntary dedication of it to God. that they which live, should not henceforth live unto themselves."

In the same sense, therefore, we feel obliged to believe that the term is employed in the remaining God, as those that are alive from the dead, (ck nekron zontas.) 1 Tim. v. 6: "She that liveth in pleasure is dead while she liveth." (Zosa tethneke.) Rev. iii. (nekros ei.) 1 John iii. 14: "We know that we have passed from death unto life, because we love the brethren. He that loveth not his brother, abideth in death."

The language of the Apostle Peter, however, "Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the word of God which liveth and abideth forever; 24, Fon all flesh is as grass, and all the glory of man is as the flower of the field; the grass withwhich by the gospel is preached unto you." It is obgiving a reason wherefore (dioti, for) we must be born again of the incorruptible seed of the word, which liveth and abideth forever. Because, says he, all flesh is as grass, and all the glory of man is as the flower of the grass. The grass withereth, and the flower thereof falleth away. It is evident therefore, that he regarded man's mortality and evanescence as a ground for the necessity of regeneration, and he resolves the new birth into a participation of the everclear, that the Apostle does not refer alone to the body when he declares that "all flesh is grass;" for he adds, that all the glory of man is as the flower of the glass; it withereth; it falleth away; and this Here, the word dead scarcely possible to conceive a stronger mode of declaring that the whole present constitution of huhumanity is born of "corruptible" or perishable seed.

Under the ordinary view, which restricts the necessity for regeneration to the single circumstance of a corruption in the character of an immortal soul, this passage will afford but a feeble sense. · Being born again, renewed in the image of God's holiness, not of perishable but of imperishable seed, by the word of God which liveth and abideth forever. For all flesh is as grass, the body is mortal, and all tho 5. To martify, or put to death, signifies to destroy the life of glory of man is as the flower of the grass. The endureth forever." If the nature of man be already U. It has never been shown that death stands for a state of immortal, the Apostle's studious reference to the misery, or of sinfulness, apart from the idea of that literal destruc-tion, or death, which is the "wages of sin." immortalizing power of the truth, seems altogether incongruous; whereas viewed in the light thrown upon it by the suggested interpretation the passage acquires a grand and perspicuous signification.

In full accordance with the preceding doctrine is tions of an erronous theory. Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org our Lord's declaration to the Jews. John vi. 47:---

"Verily I say unto you, he that believeth on me hath cign Almighty Spirit lends His divine assistance and everlasting life. I am that Bread of Life. Your fa-touches the heart of man, this spell is broken; senthers did eat manna in the wilderness, and are dead: suality loses its attractions, and the mind asserts its This is the bread that came down from heaven, that right to command. How often have we beheld the n man might cat thereof and not die. I am the liv- countenance once bearing but too evident a testi-ing bread which came down from heaven, if any man mony to the brutal slumber of the inhabitant within, eat of this bread he shall live forever. And the brightening into divine animation, and lighted up bread which I will give is my flesh, which I will give with the intelligence of the awakening soul:—the for the life of the world. The Jews therefore strove delighted wonder of the emancipated victim, at the among themselves, saying, How can this man give world of Truth presented to his gaze, resembling us his flesh to eat? Then Jesus said unto them, that which might follow the healing of the blind Verily, Verily I say unto you, except ye cat the flesh man, for the first time rejoicing in the spectacle of and drink the blood of the Son of Man, ye have no the heavenly hosts. And, even when the restraints life in you," (en cautois,) in yourselves. These ob- and influences of a refined education may have servations may enable us to attach their full mean- checked the grosser outbreaks of pollution, how ing to our Lord's declaration to Nicodemus, John iii. marked the difference between this accidental and is: "That which is born of the flesh, is flesh;"—is of constrained repression of the lower nature, and that a corruptible and perishable, as well as of an animal nature. "Marvel not that I say unto thee, Ye must sults from the union of the "carthly man" with the be born again."

Thus does the testimony of Scripture lead us to the conclusion, that the necessity for regeneration is founded upon the complex fact of man's moral de-pravity and mortality of nature. This fact is designated by the Apostle Paul, "the law of sin and death; and it is also felicitously expressed in one word, which conveys both ideas, Corruption; "the bondage of corruption." Hence, by a distinct argument, we derive a confirmation of the foregoing reasonings concerning the fall of man and the scheme of redemption.

II. The way is now prepared for an inquiry into the nature and results of the great change of Regeneration: and the previous reasonings have, in some measure, anticipated the statement that it consists in a renewal of the divine likeness in the character, and, consequently upon that, in a restoration to the hope of Immortality or everlasting life. 1. The world at large, as we have seen, presents

the awful spectacle of universal sinfulness and misery. Everyhuman spirit is disorganized: the balance of its faculties is disturbed; and "peace, the A servile initiation of the opinions of the former gen-central feeling of all happiness," has passed away. eration, ("Baalim which their fathers taught them.") The image of God which shone upon the countenance of Adam in Paradise, has been exchanged for combine to increase at once the force and the danthe likeness of the beasts which were subjected to his | ger of the prejudices thus received. The same spirit sway, or worse, for the likeness of "devilish" malig- commonly pervades teachers and the taught. In the The understanding undernity and wickedness. stands no more; the memory will not remember the precepts of the Almighty, the heart goeth after its the accumulated weight of the belief of many centucovetousness; selfishness has become the guiding ries and generations, is exhibited in a fierce defiance principle of humanity; and habit has riveted its oppressive chains. It behaves us briefly to describe the moral process through which this degraded nature is prepared for "the inheritance of the saints in light;" for "without holiness no man shall see the Lord."

reclamation of the spirit from the thraldom of the in Europe we daily hear certain ancient "delusions" animal nature is the earliest sign and consequence of defended, the one half of which offer violence to Regeneration. When the glad tidings of salvation approach the sinner, they find him "the servant of to every moral sentiment of his heart: defended by corruption:" the lowest propensities of the flesh as scorn, by falsehood, by vehement contumctious reserting a fearful predominance over the energies of proaches, by every weapon which can serve to illus-the inner man. The faculties of his soul arc dead- trate how small a share profound and calm convicened through vicious indulgence, and Sinful Plea- | tion has in all the demonstration. For he who knows sure is drawing him as with an irresistible spell the intricacy of that labyrinth in which mankind is along the fatal pathway of perdition. The light of conscience may indeed afford his unwilling eyes oc- best appreciate the difficulties of the earnest inquicasional tremendous glances at the issue of his rer, will be the first to show how gentle heavenly course; yet the dreadful glare, which flashes for a wisdom is, and will most readily perceive how little moment over its termination, imparts no salutary storms of indignant zeal are able to reclaim the wan-power to resist the fascinations of the sorceress who dering or maintain the right. Such, however, unis leading him to destruction. But when the Sover- happily, is the usual method in which the diversified

and influences of a refined education may have Eternal Spirit! This is the first result of that ineffable influence which expels the "law of sin" from the character, and stamps the lovely image of the divine spirituality upon the regenerate soul.

Resemblance to the divine VERACITY AND RIGHTcousness is imparted by the same holy power. The bridling of the animal passions permits the intellectual part to develop its capacities, hitherto blunted and stupified by carnal vices:-and the intellect thus enfranchised turns by a supernatural instinct towards Truth as its proper and natural object. By nature, an utter carelessness as to the most important subjects reigns in the heart of man. Any opinions, that may be presented first, are esteemed good enough to be the guide of life; -whether they are wise or foolish, they are alike received and entertained without examination, as part of the inheritance, if only they are sanctioned by parental example and the authority of antiquity. Any scruples or difficulties which understanding or conscience may in early life suggest, are speedily silenced and exterminated by the dictates of interest, of fear, of shame, of sympathy. joined with the ever degenerating tendency of error, one, as amongst the Brahmins, a superstitious dread of impugning the sentiments which are sanctioned by of any adversaries who may arise; in a boundless arrogance of denunciation, which clothes itself, perhaps, in the swelling importance of a pagan "ortho-doxy," without the least respect to its own personal "Without holiness no man shall see the Lord." insignificance, or to the solidity and value of the ob-"The wisdom that is from above is first FURE." The jections that may be adduced. Thus is it, also, that every dictate of man's understanding, the other half, born, and the steepness of the ways of truth, will

of which that crowd is composed.

The entrance of heavenly grace, however, gradually produces a sublime revolution. the religious teacher the dishonesty is, generally speak- to be maintained, in labors to be undergone, and in ing, cast away. Truth everywhere, Truth always, and Truth at all hazards, becomes the object of his soul. He learns of God to disdain equally the cowardly submission which conceals solemn convictions, or adopts a creed against which his mind revolts; and the diabolical pride which would impose a creed on others irrespective of their consciences. He learns to dread that awful day when the righteous God shall light up the bosoms of assembled mankind, and display the secrets of all hearts before the creation: when no capacities for metaphysical equivocation will avail to cover with excuses the frightful guilt even length of days for ever and ever," Ps. 21: 4. of him who has withheld the whole counsel of God, or interposed the clouds of his philosophy, between the souls of men and God's intelligible justice, wisdom, and love; or who has confused by his speculations the natural dictates of "the law written on the shall be satisfied, " * * because he hath poured heart." And in the case of the multitude, the practi- out his soul unto death." Isa. 53: 10. cal change induced by regeneration is equally conspicuous. Before, the understanding yielded an to Christ. This was the 'travail of his soul' theneasy assent to every proposition in religion, alike uninterested in them all: now the faculties of the soul turn upon the poles of sincerity and truth. The mind which formerly found its "chief joy" in fictions of the imagination, or in the falsehoods which palliate "the exceeding sinfulness of sin," now humbly advances towards the Father of Lights, and carries on a solenin communion with the Author of Life, prophetically promised that he would prolong Christ's as the great Reality denoted by all the types and days, he 'raised him from the dead,' and then Christ shadows of the material universe around. The re- being made perfect by being invested with an incorshadows of the material universe around. The re-generate man, when "perfect," passes at once from words to thoughts, from symbols to the things signified. He submits to the evidence which leads him to the dictates of divine authority, and to nothing less. The spirit of transparent integrity animates and pervades his soul. He turns aside from the wilderness, wherefore God also hath highly exalted him, and of the world, from the dreary waste of barren formality and heartless custom; and, "putting off his shoes Christ has been begotten from the grave, so we "in from his feet," contemplates for himself "that the regeneration' shall be begotten from the grave, great sight," that Finning Fire which burns without and then will Christ 'see his seed' and 'be satisfied; support, THE SELF-EXISTENT WISDOM, POWER, GOOD- and then will he say, Behold I and the children whom NESS OF JEHOVAN:-and when, returning from the thou hast given me,' who are the incorruptible saints, resplendent vision, his countenance is illuminated by the transforming radiance, as it were "the face of an angel," the worst of worldly men will frequently confess the uncarthly dignity of a religion which commences in the ardent love of Truth, and leads to vi- surrection and the life." tal intercourse with its Original.

dence of their heavenly birth by that magnanimous be the Son of God with power. And if Christ died, rebility of disposition and demeanor which becomes and rose again, even so, those that sleep in Jesus,

tabries of opinion are upheld, whether in heathen | the "offspring of God." An open, fearless counteor professedly Christian lands; and we need not be nance, a graceful mingling of humility and conscious surprised that the inevitable result, in the minds of greatness, a divine harmonious conjunction of mildthe multitude, is to breed a carelessness as to intel-lectual integrity, through a dread of the overwhelm-ing punishment which avenges independent inquiry. Truth itself comes to be held in the spirit of false- the world's heroes, with their petty arts of tyranny hood; not because of its evidence, but because it is and fraud. An elevation of mind which regards orthodox; not from conviction, but from deference with unconcern the frowns of the whole human race, to a universal opinion. Articles are hypocritically and which aspires to an unchangeable abode amongst processed which, when brought in close contact with those things which are unseen and eternal, at once the common understanding, array against themselves arms the regenerate man against the opposition of the whole force of its determinations: and each man earth and hell, and teaches him to place his happi-submits his soul to be wielded by the vulgar crowd, loss above the regions which littleness can reach or although, perhaps, if inclined to pride, he may hold malice disturb. The true Christian is a soldier, and in contempt and detestation nearly every individual his life is a difficult campaign. Every day bringsits circumstances of trial, in advantages to be foregone, in sacrifices to be offered, in sufferings to be endured, In the case of in scorn and hatred to be encountered, in principles triumphs to be achieved. It is a conflict in which unaided nature fails, but in which, "strengthened with all might by the Spirit in his inner man," the genuine believer is made "more than a conquerer through Him which hath loved him."

"He asked life of thee, and thou gavest it him, "WHEN THOU shalt make his soul and offering for sin, he shall see his seed, he shall prolong his days, and the pleasure of the Lord shall prosper in his hand. He shall see of the travail of his soul, and

We suppose that the whole of the 21st psalm refers the 'strong crying and tears.' He asked LIFE of God and a numerous progeny, or as the Vulgate reads, 'a long-lived seed,' which of course he could not have asked if he or they were already immortal. When God had given his Son, and had made his soul an offering for sin, God had no living Son, who was the Lord's anointed One, in existence. But having being made perfect by being invested with an incorruptible and glorified body, God declared of him, 'Thou art my Son; this day (the day of his resurrec-tion) have I begotten thee' from the grave. Acts 18: 33. And 'because Christ humbled himself and became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross; given him a name which is above every name.' As for they are the children of God, 'being the children of the resurrection.' And as Christ will then have given 'eternal life to as many as God has given him, they will become the children of him who is the 're-

This solves all the difficulties in Reb. 5: 7. If This grand renovation necessarily includes the Christ ceased to live, and became utterly uncon-impartation of *dignity and courage*. "The sons and scious, then 'Christ died according to the scriptures, daughters of the Lord Almighty" display the evi-Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

(are unconscious in the grave,) shall God bring with some will still sny, was it not the body of Jesus him'-at his second coming. It is therefore evident Christ that was once offered? Yes, verily; but in that Jesus was not raised from the dead by any inherent, or adhering, or separated principle, or power, belonging exclusively to him in his humiliation; he ing out his soul anto death. So CHRIST (the whole being entirely under the dominion of death; but by the omnipotent energy of the Eternal Father, and and unto them that look for him shall be appear the was declared to be the Son of God with power. This second time without [a sin offering] unto salvation." same Jesus Christ will come in the clouds of heaven The scriptures do not inform us that God gave only to raise the dead to life and consciousness.

else he could not have died for us, and thereby made nesses. an atonement for our sins. But was not his soul im-mortal? No, emphatically No; for his soul was himself, his life, the very thing that was poured out unto death, and which made the sacrifice available. We have proved that Christ died, nevertheless we it from me, but I hay it down of myself. I have must reiterate, 'God only hath immortality.' 'As the LIVING FATHER hath sent me, and I live by the Father, even so, he that eateth me, even he shall live by me.' 'He asked life of thee: and thou gavest it spirit.' These passages will bear this explanation. him, even length of days for ever and ever.' •As the Father hath life in himself, so hath he given to the Son to have life in himself.' 'I am he that liveth and was dead; and behold; I am alive for evermore. Amen; and have the keys of death and the grave.'

Although Christ was not immortal in his own nature, he has become the first fruits of immortality; the first begotten of the dead; he is the first man that has arisen from the dead with a spiritual, or did not lay down his life. incorruptible, and therefore an immortal body. For Christ being raised from the dead, dicth no moredeath hath no more dominion over him.

The doctrine of the non-immortality of Christ prior to his crucifixion, and his submission to the entire deprivation of life for a period, and his resurrection again to life, not by his own inherent power, but by the power of God, is the very foundation of without than with the body, (we write it reverently the gospel. Paul contrasts the faith by which a man in the thorough conviction that it was not so.) if an is saved with the rightcousness that comes by the inanimate body that did not constitute the whole law. Rom. 10: 5. We quote from Griesbach, 'For man-Christ Jesus-was given merely, it was no Moses writeth of the righteousness which is of the law. The man that docth those things shall live by them.' But alas! no man complies with these conditions. But the righteousness which is of faith speaketh thus, 'Say not in thy heart; Who shall ascend into heaven? That is, to bring Christ down; or who shall descend into the bottomless pit? That is, to dead. bring back Christ from the dead. But what saith it? The word is nigh to thee, in thy mouth, and in thy heart; that is the word of faith, which we preach, that if thou shalt confess with thy mouth that Jesus is Lord, and shalt believe in thy heart that God raised Evans, in England, a short time ago. I am happy him from the dead, thou wilt be saved. For with the heart man believeth unto righteousness, and that truth you have labored so hard to promulgate, with the mouth he confesseth unto salvation. For i. e. "No Immortality out of Christ." She says she the scripture saith, 'Whosoever believeth on him is amazed when reading all the Epistles, that she shall not be ashamed."

It becomes, then, a serious question, Can any person that believes Jesus always to have been immortal, and that his body only died, while his soul remained conscious, and that he, on the morning of the resurrection, again re-united bimself or his soul or both the leader and the led must fall into the ditch. his spirit to his dead body by his own inherent power, that 'he burst the bars of death,' we say can such a man believe in his heart that God raised Christ from the dead. Paul says, 1 Cor. 15: 2, that we are saved Peradventure, I might be an instrument in the hand by holding these fundamental truths of the gospel; of our God of saving at least one of our fellow-morthat Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures, which assert that his soul was made an offering for dition. sin, his soul was poured out unto death; that he was buried, and that he rose from the dead the third day. Alas! how few believe that Christ truly died.

Yes, verily; but in offering the body he offered himself, his life, the Holy One; he could not offer his body without pourof Christ) was once offered to bear the sins of many; a human body, but that God gave his Son. This But was not Christ immortal? Most certainly not, Jesus hath God raised up, whereof we all are wit-

But some will still object and refer to John 10: 18. ,I lay down my life for the sheep. * * * Therefore doth my Father love me, because I lay down my life, that I might take it again. No man taketh power to lay it down, and I have power to take it again. This commandment have I received of my Father.' 'Father, into thy hands I commend my I voluntarily submit to death; to lay down my life for the sake of the sheep. And I can confidently commend my spirit or life to the care of my Father, for he has given me power to live again: therefore, he will not leave my soul in Sheol; but will raise me from the dead. The implied objection of the opponent that Jesus must have been conscious to have resumed his own life, is equal to saying that Jesus

Merely the laying down of a human body, which Christ had assumed only for a short period, and which was the accompaniment of toil and sorrow, and the continual cause of anxiety, and which, it is assumed, is only a clog to full spiritual development. as the soul when separated has more knowledge and more happiness, and has more enlarged capacities great gift after all-a small price for the redemption of a world-a slender foundation for our faith and hopes to rest upon. Upon this supposition it would not be true that God gave his Son to die for our sins; for his body without his soul was not his Son. Neither would it be true that God raised him from the

FROM THOMAS BIRCH.

Hartford, Conn., June 1, 1851. BR. STORRS:-I received a letter from my aunt to inform you that she is rejoicing in the belief of could be so stupid as not to understand better; but that she is like many more, and can give no other reason than that she has been taught by her wouldbe spiritual guides. Oh! are they not blind leaders of the blind? It is distressing to me to think that As we see so many of our fellow-creatures walking in heathenish darkness, how it becomes those of us who have the light of divine truth to let it shine. tals from stumbling headlong into the ditch of per-

1 am glad you have sent me your new paper, the Truth-Secker. Will you please continue it to my But address.

BIBLE EXAMINER.

PHILADELPHIA, AUGUST, 1851.

"Aans to Comp."-- If we speak of "The Aye to Come," some persons appear to think we have coined the third age. This was marked with its peculiaria new phrase, and wonder we cannot keep to scriptu- ties. It commenced with Noah's advent from the ral expressions. We think such people aremuch too Ark, and, like the previous age, was marked in its sensitive. If the expression "ages to come" is a progress with almost a total apostacy of Noah's posscriptural one, it certainly cannot be unscriptural to terity. God had said, however, that he would no speak of one of those ages in particular; for if there more "smite everything living as he had done." are ages to come, there must be one that is nearer | Another method was now to be tried to keep alive a than the others, and that one is "the age to come." | seed in the carth that should acknowledge and wor-The Apostle Paul tells us of some things that were ship the true and living God, and Abraham was which from ages and from generations, but are now called to go out from his countrymen and kindred to past: see Col. 1: 26. life which God promised before the world [pro chro- the non aionion-before the ages] began." Tit. 1: 2. Again, he says, God has "made us sit together in heavenly places in Christ Jesus, that in the ages to come he might show the exceeding riches of his grace in his kindness towards us through Christ Jesus." Eph. 2: 6-7. Once more he saith "Unto Him be glory in the church by Christ Jesus throughout all ages, world without end;" or, as McKnight translates it, "throughout the endless succession of ages." Eph. 3: 21.

In all this there is surely good and scriptural ground for speaking of "the age to come," and the language of the apostle wakes up our thoughts to speak of "the ages." In the sense in which Paul uses this term, we understand periods of time in which some peculiar work was done, or manifestation was made.

The history of this world has been divided into periods, or ages; each of which has had its peculiarities and epoch. They have had a marked beginning and termination. We design to notice in the first place, briefly, the ages past.

1. THE ADAMIC AGE .- This was from the creation to the fall. The length of this age we have no means of ascertaining. That it occupied some little space of time is clear. We are not to suppose that Adam fell the first day of his creation, there must have been some time for all the developments to be made that are there recorded. Some have supposed that sixteen years elapsed; but though that seems little time enough, yet it is wholly conjectural. Whether little or much time is taken up in that period, it was an age, and the age of the trial of our first parents, and their expulsion from the Garden of Eden.

2. THE ANTEDILUVIAN AGE .- This commenced with the expulsion from Eden. It was an age which resulted in a total apostacy from God except one family. This age covered a period variously estimated from fifteen hundred to two thousand years. God during all this period was striving with men by lusts, and refused the cross of Christ. The love of

his spirit, which they resisted, hardening themselves in wickedness till wrath came upon them and swept them all away with a flood; there that age terminated

3. FROM NOAH TO ABRAHAM may be denominated made manifest." So there have been ages in the a strange land, and he went out at God's command, Ile speaks also of "eternal not knowing whither he went. This brings us to

> 4th, OR PATRIARCHAL AGE .--- The commencement of this age was marked by the call and departure of Abraham from Chaldea to go into Canaan, and is filled up with the most interesting incidents, viz: the covenants with Abraham-the birth and offering up of Isaac-the birth of Jacob, with the many and thrilling scenes recorded of these Patriarchs in the history of their lives and death, the multiplication of their posterity and their ultimate bondage in Egypt. Great and glorious developments were made during this age, which serve as a key to unlock all the succeeding ones to the present time and coming age. This age is marked in its termination by the advent of Abraham's posterity, through Jacob, from their bondage from Egypt and settlement in Palestine; and covered a period of five hundred years or more.

5. THE MOSAIC AGE .- This commenced with the deliverance of Israel out of Egypt, and embraces all the interesting events recorded in the Old Testament, relative to that people and the surrounding nations, pointing forward to "ages to come," both of humiliation and glory to that people and their Messiah. That age continued till the first advent of Messiah and the overthrow of the Jewish or Mosaic polity at the destruction of Jerusalem, and was filled up with most interesting developments, or manifestations of God's truth, power, and faithfulness.

6. THE GOSPEL AGE-The ministration of the spirit and calling of the Gentiles .- This commenced with the personal ministry of Messiah-was more fully opened on the day of Penticost, but not perfectly developed till Paul became the minister to the Gentiles. It has been an age, emphatically, of contest between the flesh and the Spirit; or between the animal propensities of men and the Spirit waking in the mind, or in the affections. In this age many have been scaled by the Spirit and have overcome the world, the flesh, and the devil, but the vast majority of men, as in the provious ages, have walked after their own the praise of men; the thirst for wealth, fame, power, 1 ment, and making the hope of eternal life a hope full or sensual enjoyment, has carried captive the largest of glory, and needing no endless torments to make well grounded hope of this world, or its inhabitants, away and perished forever, and all surviving creaefforts of men in the flesh, i. c., by corruptible and dying men, whatever stations they may occupy, is vain. All such efforts have proved a failure; and trine, but we have not time or space now to go more unless a new age or dispensation is opened, the largely into the subject. world fails of being regenerated-Satan is triumphant, and prophecy fails. So we understand the matter. But we have no doubt another age is to succeed this; or, that there is an "age to come," in which God's great purpose in creating the world and testing men under such a variety of dispensations, or ages, shall be fully developed, and Messiah's personal reign and glory, with his people who bore the cross, in previous ages, shall demonstrate that there is a power that can control men and cause them to be subject to God, to the everlasting confusion and discomfiture of Satan and all his fleshly-minded fol-lowers. The present age, then, will end or termi-nate with the return of Messiah to this earth to take Again, page 275, he says: "The object of salt on the throne of David, to reign and execute judgment and justice in the earth. This brings us to the

7. Age of Messiah's Personal Reign; its commencement is the seventh great epoch in this world's history, and is "the age to come;" being still future, though we are so near to it that its light dawns upon us, and the watchful will not fuil to see its approach.

of the Gentiles treading under foot Jerusalem-the seen nor heard of it. We have since procured and overthrow of the present earthly monarchies-the return of God's favor to Palestine and Jerusalemthe gathering of the outcasts of Israel-the personal advent of Messiah as King of kings and Lord of that this pliable character says is a quotation from lords—the assumption by him of the throne of Israel or David's throne, and his dominion over the earth, together with the resurrection and immortalization of all them that are his, who have suffered with him or for his words in any of the previous ages.

It embraces, in its progress, the destruction of all incorrigible enemies, and the subjugation of all nations to him and his government; it terminates by a revolt among the inhabitants of the earth, through a new effort of the Satanic power, resulting in their being destroyed by fire from heaven-the final judgment being executed on all God's enemies, and the nearly every point in it, on Pneumatologist's side, full regeneration of the earth, so that it shall be has been met and refuted, in our judgment, in the said, "Behold, I make all things new," and "it is Bible Examiner, in the past volumes; in particular done." At that point we are brought to the borders in the Examiner for 1850, page 77-80, and 97-101, of another age, which is a perfect one, when com- and in the article on "Demonology," in the January pared with any of the preceding, but is only one in number of the present year. Some points which a chain, or "endless succession of ages;" each of Paeu. says none of the writers on the other side from which, doubtless, will exceed the one going before it him, have ever noticed, we have met more than in glory and glorious developments; thus opening to once, as he may find if he will examine the back volthe view an endless prospect of inexhaustible enjoy-"umes of our paper. On the subject of "Demons,"

part of men and drowned them in perdition; and all it more blissful. All the wicked will have passed ever being recovered from Satan's dominion by the tures in heaven, in earth, and in all God's dominions will be filled with joy and unending delight.

Such we conceive to be the true scripture doc-

"PNEUMATOLOGIST."-FROM THOMAS SMITH.

Br. Storrs .--- I perceive that Br. J. Litch, of your city of "Brotherly Love," in his "Pneumatologist" for May, has a long dialogue between "Materialist" and himself; and in the second part of the same number has the dialogue continued between "Des-tructionist" and "Pneumatologist." He, of course, as was intended, has mastered, in a most triumphant manner, his opponent, who has acknowledged his error and renounced the same. This is as it should be, when men find themselves in an error, for error can do us no good, but much hurt. You will flesh is to preserve it from putrefaction, and this same office fire shall fill in the case of sinners in Gehenna. The idea is terrible." Horrible indeed! yet if truth we must receive it. Thus, "salt preserves meat—so fire preserves the sinner in the fire forever." So thinks Br. J. Litch.

Yours in hope of Eternal Life. Wellfleet, Mass., July 11th, 1851.

REMARKS BY THE EDITOB .- When Br. Smith's letter was received, we had no knowledge of the May This age opens with the termination of the times number of the Pneumatologist, as we had neither read it. "Materialist" in the dialogue would have been much more appropriately named "Pliable," after Bunyan's character of that name. Very little writers on the side we advocate; but some weak disputant's private discourse, very likely, is the basis of most that "Pliable" says.

We think so far as "Pliable" has a real existence, we know who he is; and a man of no more stability than he possesses we should expect would talk as he does in that dialogue with the help of a little coloring; for Pneu. seldom quotes the exact words of his opponents, though they may be the words of "Pliable," for we shall call him by that name. We let the first dialogue pass with the single remark, that first estate are "reserved in everlasting chains under darkness unto the judgment of the great day." So, Pneu. snys, "those angels are confined!" How literal! We wish he knew how to be so on other plain and positive texts that overthrow his theory. But, no, "they mean something else!" But the fallen angels are "chained"-"confined;" and "the Bible,?" he says, "teaches no plurality of devils or Satans. Diabolos and Satanus are always in the singular number. But he has a multitude of angels."

Here are some curious admissions or assertions. We have answered the notion of only "one Satan." See Examiner for Jan., p. 12. But one or many, how came he loose! The fallen angels are all "in chains"-"confined," Pneu. says. Is Satan or Diabolos a fallen angel? If so, how, we ask again, came he loose? for Peter assures us that he "goeth about seeking whom he may devour." And if he is not "confined" in the sense Pneu, asserts of the "angels who kept not their first estate," how can ho prove any of those angels are so confined as he wished to make Pliable believe? That mind must be pliable indeed that cannot see through so thin a web as Pneu, uses on this point. As to the "multitude of Satan's angels," what help are they to him if confined as literally as Pneu. affirms? Surely they cannot, in that case, "go about:" and then what follows? Why, one of these fallen angels, viz: Diabolos, has broke loose, or God has let him loose-so that his chains were not everlasting, literally-and now he "goeth about," and yet is omnipresent; for all Christians are exhorted to be vigilant, "because your adversary the devil (Diabolos) goeth about," &c. We might press this point, but we judge we have said enough to show that poor Pliable had some disease on his vision so quietly to succumb to such an argument.

We now leave these small matters to notice the criticism on the Greek term Asbestos, Mark 9: 43-48. "The fire is not quenched." Pneu. says (page 274) "Asbestos, instead of being unquenchable, is unconsumable!" Again, page 275, he says on Mark 9: 45, "Eis ten Gehenna, eis to pur to asbeston-into Gehenna, into the fire asbestos, which would be fire unconsumable."

This is imposing, truly; and it has one advantage, it is an independent criticism so far as we have any knowledge. It may be borrowed, but we think not. We are sure it is not borrowed from the English lan-

page 66-69, Pneu. has some remarks that perhaps; examined; and as Pneu. gives us no authority, wo should be noticed, not because of their weight, but conclude it his own private interpretation. Paul saith. because "Pliable" and himself are so completely en- Heb. 12: 29, "Our God is a consuming fire"-pur veloped in the mists raised by a play on words. katanaliskon, from kata, intens., ana, up, and leicho, Pneu, quotes from Peter and Jude to show that the to lick. To lick up, to devour, to consume. Asbestos, "knocking spirits" cannot be the "fallen angels," Mark 9: 43-48, is from a, negative, and sbennumi, to because Jude says the angels that kept not their extinguish, unquenchable, inextinguishable; and has no such meaning as unconsumable; and the term unconsumable does not occur in the Greek Testament, that we can find; but consumo, devour, etc., do. Till Pneu., therefore, gives us some authority besides his own private one, we shall quietly go on, even though a dozen Pliables confoss their mistakes.

> If the reader wish to see more fully the impossibility of making the Greek term unconsummable instead of unquenchable, let him turn to Ezek. 20: 47, 48; Jer. 7: 20; and Jer. 17: 27, in which places the Septuagint has sbesthesetai; there he will see the same form of expression as in Mark 9, and be able to judge for himself whether it means unconsumable fire. The very idea of an unconsumable fire is a contradiction; but no such idea is found in the Bible. Fire may burn without consuming through a special interposition of God; but an unquenchable fire is always used to denote a consuming fire.

> To argue that because "salt preserves flesh," therefore being "salted with fire preserves the sinner," when the clear testimony of scripture contradicts the idea of preservation, looks like being hard pressed. For if that argument is worth anything at this point, it proves equally that the righteous aro preserved by fire as well as the wicked, for the Saviour adds, "Salt is good: but if the salt have lost its saltness, wherewith will ye season it? Have salt in yourselves." So this fire-salt is "good" and the disciples are exhorted to have it "in themselves," and "every one shall be salted with fire." The truth is, the salt in this text is not the "fire of Gehenna"-"the unquenchable fire"-which consumed whatever was cast therein. There are two kinds of fire spoken of in the scripture; one, the fire of Gehenna, which consumes, and into which all sinners will be cast; and the fire of God's love, concerning which the Psalmist said, "While I mused the fire burned;" this preserves, and is the true salt which preserves from putrefaction or corruption; but the other, the unquenchable fire, destroys, cats up.

The remainder of the dialogue with "Destructionist," alias Pliable, we regard as fully answered by our Six Sermons and other works that have been published on the subject, and we leave those works to do battle with all the mighty arguments which made Pliable so easy a conquest. The truth is, Pliable had placed himself in such circumstances that he courted a conversion to the old theory, and only wished a decent apology to go back where he might fare better with certain men than he had guage, nor from any Greek Lexicon that we have while laboring for the grand truth of the gospel, viz:

"Life only through Christ." We speak advisedly; vice they so solemnly initiate themselves, should we know well who Pliable is, and "verily he has his reward." ear," when he has sealed up their hearts against God

"MYSTERIOUS RAPPINGS."-PROGRESS.-Mr. Sunderland says, in his paper, p. 185:--

"We know that spirits or angels write letters and sentences. They not only do this by moving human hands, but they do it without human hands. *This* we know to be the fact, It has been done in our family many, very many times."

We do not call in question Mr. Sunderland's veracity at all in the matter; we doubt not he states the truth Again he saith, same page:—

"That it is *possible* for angels to make articulate sounds, so as to evolve words to the external ear, is certain, as it has been done in our family and elsewhere."

Here again we accord to him truthfulness; but we regret he had not appealed to the Bible, which shows that angels did appear to men and converse with them in a most familiar manner in olden times. They may have appeared to and talked with Mr. Sunderland or his "family;" we shall not question We think they would act much more consistent it. to "evolve words," distinctly, "to the external ear" than to be thumping floors, tables, &c. But of course their worshippers are not at first prepared for words plainly uttered. We say worshippers, for it is as perfectly manifest to our mind that the devotees to this "spiritual development" are "worshippers of angels," as it is that the sincere Christian is a worshipper of the living and true God. In this matter is that saying of our Lord true, that "the children of this world are in their generation wiser than the children of light." Only think! A company of spirit worshippers assemble together, form their circle, sit in silence, striving to be perfectly resigned to the will of the spirits, and waiting in this manner for hours to get responses, or to have communications! The most devoted Christian can hardly be induced, under any circumstances, to humble himself and wait in this manner. We cannot help thinking when we hear of these circles thus waiting, of the language of Satan to our Lord, "If thou wilt fall down and worship me, all shall be thine." This patient "worshipping of angels, intruding into those things which he hath not seen," was practised in Paul's time; and such, he says, practised "a voluntary humility," but were "vainly puffed up by his fleshly mind:" Col. 2: 18. It was not spirituality that led to this course, but want of it; it was the flesh, that instrument of Satan; it is a departing from God and becoming "subject to ordinances after the commandments and doctrines of men." We have no hesitation in saying that all the circles of which we are speaking, are circles of devil worshippers; and it is

write to them, or "evolve words to" their "external ear," when he has sealed up their hearts against God and his Christ. It is very true Satan seldom ever appears as Satan to those whom he dupes. Why should he? He knew better than to do so the very first time he ever practised his arts on the human race; he took then an unsuspected position, and hid himself in the scrpent, a beast, not then unlovely as now, but the most wise of "any beast of the field." His object then, professionally, was to make man wiser-give him more knowledge or light-and make him happier than his Creator had done. Thus man was seduced from perfect confidence in God his maker to rely upon a quicker process for knowledge than Jehovah saw good for him. So now, "fall down and worship me" is the language of the spirit-rappers, "and all shall be thine"—all the knowledge of the "spheres above" you! Mistaken devotees! Their ways are ways of death! We write not now for their benefit, though we sincerely pity them, but if by any means we may save some unsuspecting souls from the awful pit to which they are hastening, who go after or follow this satunic development, thinking it may be "an angel of light."

First. It is settled in our mind that there is "no knowledge in sheel," the state of the dead; for "the dead praise not the Lord"-"in sheel who shall give Thee thanks?" Eccl. 9: 10; Psa. 115: 17; and 6: 5. This point being settled, these communications are not from any of the human race who once lived in the fiesh. Then, second. Who are they? They are not angels of God, or good angels, because they do LIE; if in no other particular they do so in affirming they are the spirits of dead men, and advancing in knowledge, in plain contradiction to the Spirit of God in the Bibie. There is then only one alternative, either to maintain that the whole manifestation is sleight of hand practised by some secret art, or it is the work of the devil. We are satisfied it is the latter; in other words, that though there may be deception connected with some of the professed manifestations, there is really a working out of Satanie power, that some, having rejected God's truth, may believe a lie and perish.

But who is to blame for this deception coming on man? We unhesitatingly answer, those who teach man has an immortal soul that survives death, and is more knowing than while in the body. These have laid the foundation, and supplied the materials for this Satanic development. We give the following specimen of the effects of this immortal soul theory, showing how it makes void the resurrection and converts God's "judgment of condemnation" into a theme of thanksgiving. We cut it from an orthodox paper. Here it is just as it is headed in that paper: Consoling Idea of Death.—"I congratulate you and

po wonder if their lord and master, into whose ser-'myself," wrote John Foster to a friend, "that life is

idea, this delightful morning star, indicating that the immortality and etcanal life dwelling in them? luminary of eternity is going to rise, life would to my view darken into midnight melancholy. Oh? the expectation of living here, and living thus, always, would be indeed a prospect of overwhelming despair. But thanks to that decree that dooms us to , die-thanks to that gospel which opens the vision of an endless life, and thanks, above all, to that Saviour friend who has promised to conduct all the faithful through the sacred trance of death into scenes of everlasting delight."

Where has the Saviour promised to conduct any man "through the sacred trance of death into scenes of everlasting delight?" He that believeth in Christ he has promised "I will raise HIM up at the last day." It is a monstrous perversion of God's truth to use it as is done in this "consoling idea of death." Praising God for "dooming us to die!" What blasphemy this. Death was a penalty-a fearful penalty; but the serpent preached "Ye shall not surely die"-you are immortal; and this idea substantially passes for truth among Christians, and they are now reaping the fruits of such teaching in the "rapping spirits," which bids fair to sweep many professors of religion down the whirlpool to perdition.

FROM A. L. SWEET. Mount Sterling, Ill., June 23, 1851.

BRO. STORRS:-I send you one dollar for the Bible Examiner, beginning with this year. I am remarkably well pleased with it, because you take the bible just as it reads, without any alteration or amendments. I would be glad if about one half or twothirds of it were devoted to other great truths of the bible that have been equally neglected or twisted. The life and death question has become old. After one becomes convinced, they want something else to keep them interested; something on the practical duties of Christians, &c. The great question that Luther called the "keystone to Popery" can still be kept rolling. I was glad to see your Truth-Seeker. We need something in this State like it. Our periodicals are quite averse to truth, unless it suit orthodoxy. I became convinced from reading the Bible that a Christian ought not to be connected in any way with government-that he ought not to fight under any circumstances, and that he ought not to be "unequally yoked together with unbelievers" in any society of human origin. I contended that we were pilgrims and strangers on the earth-that our King was now an exile, and until he returned we should continue to be so. I sent a communication to our Baptist paper in this State, on the subject; he did not notice it. I suppose he saw at a glance that it was contrary to *Baptist usage*, and probably thought, as many others do, that religion should keep pace with everything clse. Bro. Penkake and myself wrote to Bro. Himes to put forth something on the subject. He replied he did not view these things as we did, and thought best to confine his paper to the one subject-Christ coming. Query.-What good does it do to tell people the Lord is coming and not tell them how to escape it? Since you have taken a stand against the notion of "double entity." Should you not, when speaking of yourself, use I

passing fast away. What a superlatively grand and believe there are two of you. Is it proper to call any consoling idea is that of death! Without this radiant one reverend, unless it can be shown that they have think not.

> REMARKS BY THE EDITOR .- We did use the singular pronoun in the Examiner editorially up to 1848, and then concluded to use the plural singular, if such an expression is allowable; by which is meant that the singular person is represented by a pronoun in the plural form; it does not deceive any one, as it is understood by all, and Br. Sweet never thinks the editor is two because this form is used, as is manifest from his letter. One word in defence of this form. First. It looks less like egotism than "I," which often disgusts. Second. It is not unscriptural for a writer to use the plural form. Paul did it. See Gal. 1: 8: 1 Cor. 9: 11, 12, and other places. It is true that he usually employs the singular formbut one clear use of the plural in speaking of himself establishes the fact that there is no wrong in using that form, and hence it becomes a mere matter of taste or choice.

As to the use of the term "Rev.", that may be sinful or otherwise, according to the intention or motive of him who uses it. Formerly we rejected it altogether, and now do not wish to see it connected with George Storrs, but we do sometimes use it to avoid circumlocution in speaking of others. Some write "Minister of the Gospel;" that is all we mean when we employ "Rev." It stands for that, and nothing more, with us, and so it is generally understood by all men. If others mean any more by it we are not aware of it, and they must be answerable for the sense they give it. If it were prohibited in the Bible, that would be a sufficient reason for aban. doning it wholly. The term "reverend" occurs but once in the Bible, viz: Psa. 111: 9, "Holy and reverend is His name." The original word, Nouro, hero literally signifies "fearful, terrible." In this sense it cannot be applied to any man without sinning. We are not to regard any creature in this light, whether good or bad. We use the term as Br. Sweet does the term "Elder," which he wrote on the envelope of his letter to us. He might have been mistaken in the fact of our Eldership; still, it expressed, we presume, his meaning, viz: that "Geo. Storrs, Philadelphia, Pa.," is a "Minister of the Gospel." "Elder" is a scriptural term, but is never there used in the form he used it. It is never said Elder Paul, Elder Peter, &c. But it does not therefore follow it is wrong, much less sinful, to use it in the form he has. We have thought that this pressing points not plainly settled by Bible language would only serve as an excuse, to some men, for not believing the important truths which are contrary to their traditions. They conclude as we have no clear testimony on such points as those we have just noticed that other points, however clearly proved by instead of we? I think you should, unless you still scripture testimony, are equally unimportant, be-Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

is false reasoning, yet traditionists are always ready iner, and we expect it will make about one hundred to "catch at straws" to save themselves and their and seventy-five pages. sinking cause.

One other point in Br. Sweet's letter we will just note-his desire to have a large part of the Examiner devoted to "other great neglected truths of the Bible." It has been our object first to present the "Life and Death" question; because we believe the errors on that subject lie at the root of all other corruptions of Christianity and Bible truth. If we can establish the truth, that there is nothing but deathextinction of being-by the first Adam, and that life and immortality can only be gained by Jesus Christ, the second Adam, and that out of him there is no endless life or conscious existence, then we have planted a battery-not carnal, but spiritual-that will demolish the whole superstructure built on the declaration "Ye shall not surely die." Naturally following this work is, "If any man be in Christ he is a new creature," and receiving life divine from this new source, he must bring forth fruit that is Christ- Let it now be reprinted in the cheap form proposed, like, otherwise his pretence of being in Christ is false and vain. A man can no more be in Christand not be laboring to be like Christ in meekness, patience, long-suffering, love and obedience than a branch can live that does not partake of the sap of the vine.

0

"LIFE IN CHRIST, by Edward White," England .-We suggested in our last that we should be glad to see this work republished entire in this country, but that we had no funds to do it. We hoped there might be a response from those who are able to help. There can be no doubt it would do immense goodespecially among the Congregationalists and Presbyterians. Br. White, as we understand it, is a Con-In approaching different gregational Minister. Christians, we must bear in mind that there exists a prejudice in most minds against views coming from other denominations; and views differing from those they have been in the habit of receiving as true, hardly gain any attention unless they are from one of their own sect. The fact that Dobney is a Baptist has given his work great influence among the Baptists of this country; and the work of White would have a strong influence with many Congregationalists and Presbyterians. It ought then to be republished immediately. We propose to do it, provided we can find encouragement. One thousand copies can be published, 12mo., and put up in paper covers, we think, for about \$200, so that they shall not cost exceeding 25 cents per copy. If 2000 copies are printed, the cost of the whole, in paper covers, will not probably exceed \$350, or less than 25 cents per copy. In this estimate we have allowed nothing for mistake her calling, and the entire genius of Chrisuse of type, nor counted anything for our labor in tianity, who, from a fancied sanctity, which she supattending to the work. The type we should use is poses she possesses by her religion, instead of living

cause advocated by the same persons. Though that the same that we now employ in printing the Exam-

If the friends of truth wish to do a good work among their fellow-Christians of the denominations mentioned, as well as among others, here is a chance to employ some of their funds. Just say at once, for how many copies you will be responsible-and pay for them when the work is ready to deliver, that we may know if we shall go forward with it. We pledge ourself to take 200 copies, whether one or two thousand are printed.

If any other person can or will get up the work, in the style we propose, at a less price, we shall be glad, and will take the 200 copies we have pledged; but we wish to see it done right. If any one should wish the work bound, they will say so. The additional cost for binding will depend upon the number ordered; of that we can say more hereafter.

The copy of this work which we imported from England cost us two dollars and twenty-five cents. and scatter them, if need be, gratuitously.

> SCRIPTURE EXPOSITION. BY THE EDITOR.

[Continued from page 62.]

Likewise, ye wives, be in subjection to your own husbands, that if any obey not the word, they also may without the word be won by the conversation of the wives; while they behold your chaste conversation coupled with fear .-- 1 PETER 111. v. 1 and 2.

The apostle here proceeds with the theme of the previous chapter, viz: order, subjection, in the various relations of life; that the harmony which God has established might be manifested throughout the family, or household of Christ, and appear in all its beauty, so that God may be honored and unbelievers won over to Christ by the holy, mild, kind, and submissive spirit begotten by the gospel of the kingdom. Therefore, says Peter, "yo wives, be in subjection to your own husbands"-even those who are not yet partakers with you in the salvation of Christ-"that if any obey not the word"-that disobedience of their husbands does not release them from the obligation of subjection-"they also may without the word be won"-to the hopes and privileges of the religion of Christ-"by the conversation"-anastrophecs-mode of life, conduct, deportment-"of the wives, while they behold your chasto"-agneenpure, modest, innocent, blameless-"conversation" -manner of life-"coupled with fear"-probably of offending God, which led them to watch and walk in their present mode of life. How much does that wife

him. She knows not what manner of spirit she is as your labor for the one or the other. of. If her husband is an unbeliever, she will, by such a course, harden him in sin, and be justly chargeable with his ruin. Had she obeyed God in this matter, she might, by a persevering continuance in well doing, in due time, have won her husband to the Lord; or, if unsuccessful, would by such a course have attained a higher state of holiness, and hence a higher state of happiness and glory.

Whose adorning, let it not be that outward adorning of plaiting the hair, and of wearing of gold, or of putting on of apparel; but let it be the hidden man of the heart, in that which is not corruptible, even the ornament of a meek and quiet spirit, which is in the sight of God of great price .-VERSES 3 and 4.

"Whose adorning"-kosmos-dress, ornament, embellishment-let it not be that outward"-exothen -outside, external-"of plaiting"---emplokees---a knotting, twisting, braiding --- "the hair, and of wearing of gold, or of putting on of apparel"-imation--i. c. the upper garment---garments for show---for ornaments; elsewhere, called "costly array." Somo think if their dress is only plain, as they call it, that is enough; while they wear the most expensive clothing. But, says one, "we are commanded to be in subjection to our husbands, and my husband insists upon my wearing these things." Very well-then wear them as your cross! But, take care you do not put them on to please and gratify yourself. If your husband will insist upon it, obey, and let him answer for it; in that case it is his sin, not yours. But if you love it, do not deceive yourself with the vain excuse that it is to please your husband. Quite likely in nincteen cases out of twenty, if the wife were to tell her husband that she really preferred modest and less costly apparel, he would not only acquiesce in it, but love her the better for it; therefore, let your adorning be 'the hidden man'-anthropos-metaphorically it signifies the disposition of the mind, mode of feeling, thinking, and acting--- "of the heart"kardias-the desires, affections, purpose of mind. As if he had said, "let your adorning be those secret dispositions, desires and affections of the new mind you have, as those begotten again, and which specially pertain to the new man, that is to be fully developed at the appearing of Jesus Christ, which consists "in that which is not corruptible;" this is that 'mcek'-pracos-mild, gentle, kind, benevolent, humane--- "and quiet spirit"-pneumatos-temper, disposition of mind produced by the truth and Spirit of God-----which is in the sight of God of great price" -poluteles-of great value, highly esteemed. Men may highly esteem you for outside adorning, but their esteem is corruptible like themselves; God will highly esteem you for the inward adorning; he is

as God has directed, by the apoftle, sets herself at | corruptibility and glory if you choose to please him variance with her husband, and refufes subjection to rather than men; verily you will have your reward

> For after this manner in the old time the holy women also, who trusted in God, adorned themselves. being in subjection unto their own husbands; even as Sara obeyed Abraham, calling him lord, whoso daughters ye are as long as ye do well, and are not afraid with any amazement.-Venses 5 and 6.

> "After this manner"-that described in the previous verse-"in the old time"-formerly-"holy women also, who trusted"-elpizousai-who hoped, confided--- "in God"---sought their happiness in conformity to the will of God, relying on him for substantial enjoyment and an incorruptible inheritance --- "adorned themselves, being in subjection" or subordination "unto their own husbands: even as Sara obeyed"-submitted to--"Abraham, calling him lord"----kurion----prince, ruler, master----"whose daughters ye are-tekna---a figure of speech, i. e. whom you resemble as a daughter, a mother, including the idea of moral likeness--- 'as long as ye do well, and are not afraid with any amazement'----that is are not affrighted with any fear, but always trusting in God in the most hopeless hour, for so did Sara and other holy women.

> Likewise, ye husbands, dwell with them according to knowledge, giving honor unto the wife, as unto the weaker vessel, and as being heirs together of the grace of life; that your prayers be not hindered.---VERSE 7.

The obligation is not all on one side. 'Likewise, ye husbands dwell with'--- live with--'them according to'---or on account of -- 'knowledge'---that knowledge which had been brought unto them by the gospel, of life incorruptible, and of which they had formerly been ignorant. But having received knowledge by the gospel, they were to obey that gospel and not put away their wives, as the Jews did, by reason of the hardness of their hearts, and as the heathen did who lived only for present gratification. The knowledge brought unto us makes plain God's purpose and design that men should live, or dwell, cohabit, with their wives---not put them away nor leave them, but--- 'giving honor unto the wife'---for, to her, i. c. to woman belongs the honor of giving birth to him by whom life and immortality are brought to light; it is 'the seed of the woman' that brings salvation to man; give her the honor that is her due, and that God hasseen fit to bestow upon her in that respect; and give it to her 'as unto the weaker' --- asthenestero ---more feeble, delicate; with the idea of more subject to injury; more frail---- 'vessel'---skeuei---instrument, utensil for containing anything --- 'and as being heirs together of the grace of life'---partakers of the hopes and looking for the same glorious destiny-'that your prayers be not hindered'-ekkoptesthairendered ineffectual. The mutual discharge of the various duties enjoined in the different relations of incorruptible, and will make you sharers in his in-¹life are essential to Christian character, if these are Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

wilfully neglected, we pray in vain-our prayers are rendered ineffectual. The secret of so many complaints that persons do not know as their prayers are heard is here solved; they neglect duty to each other, and God will not answer them.

_ ^

MISREPRESENTATION CORRECTED .- In a work issued from Boston, Mass., at No. 8 Chardon street, -prepared and published by Sylvester Bliss, Elder Apollos Hale, and others-we find ourself noticed on page 252. Speaking of persons who attended the Union Conference, in New York, in April last, they say:---

"George Storrs, who renounced Mr. Miller's views more than six years since."

Then in a note they add as follows:

"He said two years since: 'It is true that we were drawn into Mr. Miller's theory for a time, but renounced all his peculiarities more than four years ago; and some of them more than five years since; and have had no connection with his peculiar views for more than four years past; the leaders in that system are among our opposers.'-Examiner, May, 1849. Yet he was one of the leaders in this mixed company."

"The following were the 'peculiar' views of Mr. Miller, which Mr. Storrs boasts of having repudiated, and the repudiator of which is now found in the arms of Marsh, Cook & Co .:

"1. The regeneration of this earth by fire, and its restoration to its Eden beauty.

"2. The personal advent of Christ at the commencement of the millenium.

+3. His judgment of the quick and the dead at his appearing and kingdom.

4. His reign on the earth over the nations of the redeemed.

"5. The resurrection of those who sleep in Jesus, and the change of the living saints, at the advent.

"6. The destruction of the living wicked from the earth at that event, and their confinement under chains of darkness till the second resurrection.

"7. Their resurrection and judgment, at the end of the millenium, and consignment to everlasting punishment.

*8. The bestowment of immortality, (in the scriptural, and not the secular use of this word,) through Christ, at the resurrection. "9. The new earth the eternal residence of the

redeemed.

"10. The termination of the prophetic periods about 1842.

"Neither of the above views was original with Mr. Miller; nor can one more than another of them be said to have been 'peculiar' to him; for his belief of them all (excepting the last) was equally strong. Mr. Storrs cannot say that the last of the above list was the 'peculiar' view he repudiated, for Mr. Miller always admitted that he might be mistaken in that.

This extract is proof of the fact that the leaders in the peculiarities of Mr. Miller are among our opposers, as we said two years ago; and we are sorry now to add that they are reckless in a degree and to an extent that must fill every honest mind with disgust, who knows the facts. If we believed their state-

sion, we would not speak of them as we now do; but we are forbidden to believe any such thing. It bears on the face of it the evidence of design to stigmatise us wilfully. Read over again what they affirm were Mr. Miller's peculiar views 'which Mr. Storrs boasts of having repudiated.' Now turn to the Bible Examiner for July, 1849, and read our own explanation of what I, 'George Storrs,' understood to be Mr. Miller's peculiarities. In reference to this very statement, which Bliss, Hale and others quote from the Examiner of May previous, I there say:-

"Whatever the church or the world may understand by Millerism, I understand it to have three PE-CULIARITIES, and nothing more, viz: definite time for the advent, and that time not extending beyond A. D. 1847. That view I gave up in the winter of '44 and '45; and time has since demonstrated that I was right in so doing. The two other peculiarities of Millerism I gave up, one in the month of February, '44, and the other in June, '45. The three may be summed up thus: 1. Definite time for the advent, not to go beyond '47. 2. No return of the literal postcrity of Jacob to the land wherein their fathers have dwelt. 3. The earth all to be melted at the time of the advent, and none of its inhabitants left remaining. These three points constitute the whole of what I call Millerism, or the peculiarities of Mr. Miller. The second personal advent of Christ-that advent pre-millenial-nigh, even at the door-the kingdom of God on earth, or the earth the inheritance of the saints-the earth to be renewed-Paradise restored, and all those kindred doctrines relating to the kingdom of God, are no part nor parcel of Millerism."

Such was the statement of 'George Storrs,' which was not unknown to Bliss, Hale & Co., when they made their statement concerning me. Let the reader again compare theirs with mine made in 1849; and bear in mind that Bliss and Hale had that Examiner in the office from which has just issued their statement, and see if there is any apology can be made for men occupying their public position-and with the facts before them --- for making the unchristian and ungentlemanly charge they have against 'George Storrs.' Mark well what they say, after having stated ten particulars which they affirm 'Mr. Storrs boasts of having repudiated,' they add, 'Neither of the above [ten] views was original with Mr. Miller.' So say I, George Storrs, except it be the 6th; and therefore not one of the others was embraced in Mr. Miller's peculiarities repudiated by me at the periods spoken of, nor was the 6th in the form they have stated; nor do I now, nor have I for the last seven years, dissented from the general view of the other nine particulars they have named. At any rate, none of them are the points repudiated at the periods named. Why do they entirely omit two of the particulars we did renounce and insert nine that we did not? Is this just? Is it honest? It certainly is not christian.

We may also add, that in the Examiner of Nov. ment concerning us was the result of misapprehen- 1847, we said: 'Mr. Miller's theory, so far as pecuceeded to state what they were: and they will be found to be the same as above quoted by us from the regularly during '47 and '49 to the office where Bliss and Hale were engaged, and from whence has issued the work professing to be 'HIMES' DEFENCE.' If its statements generally are as reckless and untrue as that concerning 'George Storrs,' they are unworthy of credit.

Of the ten particular views which they say 'Geo. Storrs boasts of having repudiated,' we would say, briefly, the first we never renounced; but we do dissent from Mr. Miller as to the time of that event. On the second, we have never wavered; we believe and preach it still. The third we fully believe and preach. The fourth we believe, and on it have never wavered. The fifth we fully believe and have done so for the last eight years. From the sixth we dissent, but not without the qualifying word all. Mr. Miller believed that every living soul would be destroyed from the earth at the time of the advent, except the saints; we do not believe it; but we do believe there will be a great cutting off of wicked men; though still there will be "LEFT of the nations." As to the seventh we have never expressed a sentiment to the contrary. The eighth is expressed in a form to suit Jesuits. We teach immortality in the scriptural sense is through Christ alone, and bestowed at the resurrection. The ninth we have maintained unwaveringly; but as to the time the new earth will appear, that is another matter entirely. As to the tenth, we never renounced the idea that we were in the vicinity of the termination of the prophetic period, but believe that some of them may be extended to 1866-7. Mr. Miller never, to our knowledge, 'admitted that he might be mistaken' above four years; and the leaders in his theory of definite time for the second advent thus announced their view in March, 1814, in their organ paper. They said-

"There are none of the prophetic periods, as we understand them, extending beyond the Jewish year 1843. The above we shall ever maintain as the immutable truth of the word of God, and therefore, till our Lord comes, we shall ever look for his return as the next event in historical prophecy."

After the "Jewish year" 1844 passed away, one of these same leaders, supposed to be Elder Apollos Hale, but no doubt in connection with Bliss & Co., in the Advent Herald office, stretched out the periods to the "end of 1847." And among other matters, said----

"Those who are brought to view as subjects of the wrath of God at the Second Advent, are those who reject the testimony of God on the time of that event." -Harmony of Prophetic Chronology, &c.

Yet now they have the audacity to change the form of expression to that found in their 10th partic- person as I should be ashamed to use in his preular; because to have stated the whole truth would sence."

liar to himself, has but three parts.' We then pro- have been to condemn themselves instead of 'George Storrs.'

This effort to blast our character and destroy our Examiner for July, 1849. The Examiner was sont influence is not the first that has issued from the same quarter, which has been borne in silence; and it gives us pain to feel that duty now calls us to rebuke openly these who have sinned in this matter. We have long time holden our peace while a stream of slander has been poured over the land concerning us from men who, if their professions could be relied upon, are as truly the representatives of Jesus Christ as the Pope is of St. Peter. But God will yet judge between us.

> One word in relation to George Storrs being "one of the leaders" in the Convention in New York last April. It is a mistake entirely. We did not even sign the call for that Convention. We attended it, but took no very active part in it; we declined being on any of the Committees-we took very little part in any of the discussions, and our name we believe appears not in the minutes of the Convention except a simple notice that we preached one ovening We left before the Convention closed, and previous to the termination of the discussions. Truly this does not look like being one of the leaders-even if leaders there were.

POSTAGE .- Our friends, in ordering books, pamphlets, tracts, &c.; sent by mail, should remember that we are obliged to pre-pay the postage, and wo cannot send any works in that way unless the amount of postage is remitted us in addition to the price of the works ordered; at the same time, they will see, in point of expense, it costs them no more to remit the postage to us than if they had it to pay when they receive the works. It is only the trouble and risk of sending it by mail. We prefer they should send us the money for works ordered instead of post office stamps, as some have done; yet where there is a fraction of a dollar to be sent they may send stamps . for it. For the amount of postage to be pre-paid on any of our books, &c., see the last page of the Examiner for July. They should specially preserve that page, as we do not wish to fill our papers by republishing that matter.

In the "Truth-Seeker," No. 4, our compositor, by mistake, placed the rate of postage on the Bible Examiner Extra under Bible Examiner.

WISE MAXIMS .- The following brief maxims were adopted by the late Rev. Dr. Heugh, and if generally observed would revolutionize the whole social community; the sources of bitterness would be dried

up, and peace take the place of envy and strife: "Let me have the following maxims always in mind, for the regulation of my conduct: -Never to praise myselfi and never to speak evil of, or detract from any other individual. Better not to speak at all, than speak to slander and calumniate. Resolve, therefore, never to use such language of any

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

BIBLE EXAMINER.

NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE, EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

VOL. VI.

PHILADELPHIA, SEPTEMBER, 1851.

NO. 9-

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER. | Acts 18: 26, "Men and brethron, children of the

PUBLISHED MONTHLY,

At 68 North Eighth Street, between Arch and Cherry, PHILADELPHIA, PA.

TERMS .- Single copy, for one year, \$1; six copies, \$5; thirteen copies, \$10; always in advance.

CHRIST'S Death RESURand RECTION.

BY THOMAS READ, NEW YORK.

[Continued from page 119.]

The prophecy concerning Christ in the 16th psalm requires a thorough exposition.

Verse 8: "I have set the Lord always before me; because he is at my right hand. I shall not be moved. Therefore my heart is glad, and my glory rejoiceth. My flesh also shall rest in hope. For thou will not leave my soul in sheel, (the state of death,) neither will thou suffer thy Holy One to see corruption. Thou wilt show me the path of life; in thy presence there is fullness of joy; at thy right hand there are pleasures for evermore." Here is Peter's comment translated from Griesbach:

Acts 2: 22, "Men of Israel hear these words: Jesus the Nazarene, a man from God, marked out among you by mighty works, and wonders, and signs, which God worked through him in the midst of you, as ye yourselves also know; HIM, being delivered up by the fixed counsel and foreknowledge of God, ye have taken, and by wicked hands nailed up and slain. And God hath raised him up, having loosed the pains of death, because it was not possible that he should be held by it. For David saith of him, I foresaw the Lord always before my face; for he is on my right hand, that I may not be moved; therefore my heart rejoiced, and my tongue was glad, and moreover, my flesh will dwell in hope; because thou wilt not leave my soul in hell, nor suffer thy HoLY ONE to see corruption. Thou hast made known to me the ways of life; thou wilt make me full of joy with thy countenance. Men and brethren, let me speak with freedom to you of the patriarch David, for HE is both dead and buried, and his tomb is with us unto this day. Therefore being a prophet, and knowing that God had sworn to him with an oath, that of the fruit of his loins there should one sit upon HIS throne, he by foresight spake of the resurrection of Christ, that HE was not LEFT in hell, nor did his flesh see corruption. Tuis Jesus hath God raised up, whereof we are all witnesses. Therefore being exalted to the right hand of God, and having received from the Father the promise of the Holy Spirit, he hath poured out the same, which ye see and hear. For David is not gone up to heaven; but he himself saith, The Lord said unto my Lord, sit thou on my right hand, UNTIL I make thine enemies a footstool for thy feet. Therefore let all the house of Israel assuredly know, that If Christ had not been raised from death, his soul God hath made THIS JESUS, whom ye have crucified, both Lord and Christ.'

stock of Abraham, and whoever among you fear God, to you is the word of this salvation sent. For they that dwell in Jerusalem, and their rulers, not knowing him, and condemning him, have fulfilled the voices of the prophets, which are read every sabbath. And finding no cause of *death*, they desired Pilate that he should be slain. And when they had fulfilled all that was written of him, they took him down from the tree, and laid HIM in a tomb. But God raised HIM from the dead. And HE was seen many days by those that came up with him from Galilee to Jerusalem, who are now his witnesses unto the people. And we declare unto you good tidings, the promise which was made to the fathers; that God bath fulfilled the same unto us their children, in raising up Jesus; as it is also written in the first psalm; Thou art my son; THIS DAY have I begotten thee. And that he raised him from the dead, no more to return to corruption, he thus said, I will give unto you the sure mercies of David. Wherefore he saith also in another psalm, Thou wilt not suffer thine Holy One to see corruption. For David, after he had in his own generation served the will of God, rested, and was laid with his fathers, and SAW connurrios. But he whom God raised saw no corrup-tion. Be it known unto you, therefore, men and brethren, that through IIIM is preached unto you the forgiveness of sins, and by him every one that be-lieveth is justified from all things, from which ye could not be justified by the law of Moses. Beware therefore lest that come upon you, which is spoken of in the prophets; Bchold, ye despisers, and wonder, and hide yourselves; for I work a work in your days, a work which ye will not believe, though one explain it unto you."

Before remarking upon these important scriptures, we refar our readers to the definition of the Hebrew word *sheel*, translated into Greek by the word hades, which words mean primarily the grave, but generally the state of death. We first cull out those two important lines that are so often repeated: "For thou wilt not leave my soul in shcol, neither wilt thou suffer thy Holy One to see corruption."

This is one of those beautiful parallelisms that so frequently occur in the holy scriptures, the last line being a perfect echo of the sentiments of the former. and both lines expressing the same thing in different language. To exhibit the more plainly the simi-larity of meaning in two parts, Dr. Eadie, in his Biblical Cyclopedia, translates thus: "For thou wilt not abandon ME to sheol, "Nor permit thy Holy One to see corruption."

The words "my soul" are merely an emphatic mode to express myself, and each line most clearly represents the entire cessation of the life of Christ. The first line, "For theu wilt not leave my soul in hell," Peter explains as referring to the resurrection of Christ, that "ne was not left in hell." This is the true translation and is justified by Dr. Clark. would have been left in the grave, in a state of death; yea, his soul would have seen corruption. As both Now attend to Paul's commentary from Griesbach: these lines express exactly the same thing; to have

left the soul of Christ in the grave was to suffer it to ("that his soul was not left in hell, nor did he see see corruption; and to suffer the Holy One, the iden- corruption." And we testify that God hath raised tical Christ, to see corruption, was to leave the soul Christ from the dead, "now no more to return to of Christ in the grave, in the state of death. A corruption;" for his corruptible nature has put on of Christ in the grave, in the state of death. similar form of expression occurs in Psalm 30: 3, incorruption. "O Lord, thou hast brought up my soul from the grave; thou hast kept me alive, that I should not go down to the pit." And, "Let my soul die the death of the righteous." "Let my soul die with the Philistines." And in more than one hundred other places, as a concordance will show.

"Thou wilt show to me the path of life." The way to everlasting life is by a resurrection with an incorruptible body; therefore he says, God will not suffer his Holy One to see corruption; and by rising from shall have an end, thus saith the Lord God, Remove the dead with an incorruptible body, Christ, "who has destroyed death, and hath illustrated life and the same; exalt him that is low, and abase him that incorruptibility." 2 Tim. 1: 10. Paul's remark, Acts 13: 34, "Now no more to return to corruption," is equal to saying that Christ was mortal till his resurrection, but now he has an immortal body.

The careful and candid reader will readily perceive that the unity of person, both of David and of Christ, is consistently maintained by all the three speakers. That David, his soul, and his body, are one person; and that Christ, his soul, his body, and the Holy One, constitute but one person, and all these singly represent the Christ. The living and the dead body, and the living and the dead soul, are equally liable to corruption, and the pronouns denoting identity are applied promiscuously and inter- day of his resurrection, God begat him from the dead changeably to all. If the soul of Christ had been left iu sheol, Christ himself would have been left Rom. 1: 4. And he has now become the first born, there; and there would be no living Christ, nor living soul of Christ in existence. His soul was not left in hell; he was not left in hell; the holy One saw no corruption; he saw no corruption. There is no difference in the meaning of these four expressions. "David has not ascended into the heavens." Why? Because David is in the tomb corrupted. Jesus was "both dead and buried;" but as us has not seen cor-ruption, he has risen. Jesus is alive. Why? Because he has "shown himself alive by many infallible proofs" to many witnesses. Necessary inference .--- If Jesus had not shown himself in a visible scious; or if Christ, or the soul of Christ was alive and tangible manner, there would have been no proof that Jesus, or the soul of Jesus was alive. Because "David himself saw corruption," he cannot have overturned. Their argument is, as David is not yet "ascended into the heavens." David is therefore raised, he remains in the tomb, and therefore could yet in the tomb; and if dust and corruption are con- not have ascended into heaven; but as Christ has scious, so may David be; but not without.

But we will more closely follow the arguments of both the Apostles. They assert that David could ther. There would have been an entire end of the not have spoken these words of himself, for he was both dead and buried, and therefore the soul of Da-vid, or David himself, had seen corruption, of the truth of which fact, the men of Israel might easily be convinced by examining the "tomb of the kings," where they would find David in a state of corruption; and hence neither David, nor the soul of David, which they regarded as one, could by any possibility have "ascended into the heavens," because he was dead in the tomb. It is evident therefore that David his reasoning we can find, which the reader can spake not these words of himself; but of some other compare with the King's translation, or with the person. And as God had sworn that he would raise original. 1 Cor. 15: 1. up Christ to sit upon his (David's) throne, which was "to endure to all generations;" and as Christ must be incorruptible to sit upon an everlasting throne, and as Christ must have a mortal and corruptible and in the firm belief of which you now continue. nature to be subject to death for our sins, therefore (2.) By your reception of which you are put into

We moreover testify that God has fulfilled the promise made unto the fathers, 'in raising up Jesus," not yet indeed to sit upon the throne of his Father David, which is now thrown down; but he is exalted to his Father's throne until his enemies, that "would not that he should reign over them," shall be made his footstool. For of the last incumbent of David's throne, Ezekiel says, 21: 25, "Thou profane, wicked prince of Israel, whose day is come, when iniquity is high. I will overturn, overturn, overturn it; and it shall be no more, UNTIL he come whose right it is; and I will give it him." When Christ comes again, he will reign upon his own throne, the throne of his father David, for ever and ever. "To him that overcometh will I give to set with me on my throne, even as I also overcame, and am (Now) setd own with my Father in his throne." Rev. 3: 21.

And we furthermore declare that David prophcsied of the resurrection of Christ in these words: "Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee." While Christ was in the tomb, God had no living Son, no living Christ, in existence. But this day, the and declared him to be the Son of God with power. with an incorruptible body, "of many brethren."

Both the apostles have taken especial pains to convince us of certain facts. 1. That David, soul and body, is truly dead; but they refer to this as a clearly demonstrated fact, not merely to convince us of this, which, in these days, there was none to dispute; but to convince us that David spake of Christ. 2. That God had fulfilled these prophesics in raising up Christ. These were the facts that caused the conversion of "about three thousand souls."

If David, or the soul of David, was alive and conand conscious, while his body remained in the tomb; then the arguments of the apostles are completely been raised, the prophecy has been fulfilled that spake of his exaltation to the right hand of his Favery being of Christ, if he were not raised, and there will be an entire end of David; yea, he has utterly perished, if he is not raised again from the dead. The apostles argue that if there should be no resurrection, there would be no future life after death, which is the doctrine of these pages.

And this same argument Paul uses with the Corinthians, in his reasoning upon the death and resurrection of Christ. We give the best translation of

I Cor. 15. (1.) I publish again the joyful news which I once proclaimed among you, my Christian brethren, which you then received with transport, while in this nature, he could not inherit his ever-lasting throne, for these reasons David must have spoken these words of the *"resurrection of Christ;"* as some would insinuate, your belief of these truths

fundamental truths, then, which I published among language. Paul's argument is utterly incompatible you, and of which I was convinced myself upon the with anything like consciousness in denth, or in an best evidence, were the following: That, agreeably intermediate state. If the dead, or the souls of the to the productions of the prophets, the Messiah suffered dead, are in any degree conscious, then his argudeath, to rescue us from our vices. (4.) That he was deposited in a tomb, and that he was raised from it on the third day, agreeably to the scripture predictions. (5.) That after his resurrection, he was seen by Peter, then by the twelve apostles. (8.) And last of all, as to an untimely abortive birth, he exhibited himself to me. (12.) Now, since we all unnimously find an exact counterpart in the original, yet we agree in proclaiming to the world the resurrection of think the sense is fairly given, excepting perhaps in Christ, what foundation have certain persons among you for asserting that the resurrection of dead persons is an absurd impossibility? (13.) For 1F the resurrection of dead persons is a thing absolutely impossible, it will follow that Christ was never raised cribers and commentators, to save their creeds; befrom the grave. (14.) Consequently, if Christ was cause, standing as it does here, it strikes a death never actually raised from the grave, our preaching blow to inherent immortality, and fully establishes the Christian religion is absurd, and your belief of it the truth advocated in these pages. The address to is absurd. (15.) And the result is, that we all of us the christian brethren, the pronouns, we and you, have been publicly attesting a pious falschood, when and the whole scope of the argument scems confined in the most solemn manner we declare to the world to the resurrection of Christ, and the resurrection that God, raised Christ from the dead; a fact, however, that never happened; if it be true that the re- any notice of the retribution of the wicked. But if surrection of dead men is, in the nature of things, any prefer the King's version, which makes this absolutely an absurd impossibility. (16.) For if verse only teach the resurrection of all men, and not the resurrection of dead persons is an absurdity, it the resurrection of the rightcous to immortality, we will follow that Christ was never raised at all. (17.) Consequently, Christ was never actually reanimated, your belief of Christianity is absurd and fruitless, and the weight of all your former crimes again devolves upon you. (18.) And it will follow also, that these 18th or 32d verse, either of which fully establishes Christians, who have died in the belief of their principles, arc totally lost out of creation. (19.) If all Bible, those who would learn of the Master only, are the hopes Christianity really taught us to entertain, generally in the minority; they need assurance to be were circumscribed within the narrow circle of this vain made doubly sure, and for their sakes, we shall add life, we Christians are the most wretched of all mankind! (20.) But Christ was actually raised from who have taken refuge in the teachings of Christ, the tomb, and has become the FIRST FRUITS of a glo-and have strong consolation, and a well-grounded rious harvest of the sleeping dead. (21.) For since hope of scripture immortality; while so many, by by one man, death was introduced, so by another their tenacious attachment to the traditions of men (22.) man was introduced a resurrection from death. For as by Adam, all the human race were subjected the veil that obscures their perception of the glorious to MORTALITY, so by Christ Jesus shall all the pious truths of the gospel. dead be entitled to IMMORTALITY. (23.) But every one in this restitution is raised in a regular gradation, Christ was the first that rose from death to im- did not extend to the entire Christ; but from a state mortality; and the next will be the virtuous Chris-tians at his glorious advent. (26.) The last foe he shall dethrone and annihilate is death. (29.) Otherwise, if when we sleep in death, we are never to be reanimated, how forlorn must be their hope, who were baptized in the firm assurance of a glorious resurrection from the dead! and upon these principles, why are persons baptized at all into the belief of the future restoration of the dead saints to life and incorruptibility? (30.) And upon this scheme, how absurd is it also for us to expose ourselves to dangers every hour! (31.) I solemnly declare, and can pledge all my glorious hopes in our Lord Jesus Christ, upon the truth of my declaration, that I am daily in the jaws of doath. (32.) Since, humanly speaking, I fought with brute creatures at Ephesus; what extreme folly was I guilty of to encounter this danger, if the resurrection of the saints to immortality be a fable! If indeed the dead do not rise again, let us traverse a circle of every sensual pleasure to-day, for to-morrow will put an entire period to all our joys.

is absurd and visionary. (3.) Among the first and surrection of the dead, then there is no meaning in dead, are in any degree conscious, then his arguments are not true. But he speaks of the dead as being truly dead, and argues, if there be no resurrection, they have perished, and there is a complete extinction of their being.

As the translation here given is fuller than in the king's version, there may be some words that do not the 22d verse, which although it expresses a glorious truth, it may not be that truth that was intended to be taught in this place. And it is quite as likely that it may have been obscured by transof the saints, to the exclusion, in this chapter, of can well spare a disputed text, seeing our arguments are founded upon hundreds of plain texts that none can gainsay; and indeed we might safely rest the whole argument upon a proper exposition of the our position. But as the lovers of the truths of the line upon line of argument, that they may stand firm contained in their conflicting creeds, are darkening

That Christ truly died, and that God as truly raised him, not from a state of partial death, that of complete cessation of conscious being; and that through him as a risen Saviour, man may obtain the forgiveness of his sins, and a resurrection from the dead, with an incorruptible and therefore an immortal body, are the fundamental truths upon which Christianity is based. Take away these and the whole superstructure crumbles into dust. For however great may be the promises of God, respecting the glory to be revealed—as without these man can have no future existence-he cannot possibly enjoy them! But those who believe in the natural immortality of the soul, and that the resurrection has reference only to the body, and not to the soul or person, certainly do not believe the above fundamental truths of the gospel! Will the reader lay this to heart.

Thus the manner of the death of Cbrist, the great sacrifice for the sin of the world, like every great doctrine of Christianity, conspires to prove the Mon-TALITY of the WHOLE MAN.

-0-

TRACTS.-We intend to add several to our list soon. If Paul does not predicate the present life of Christ Among them Bishop Newton on Endless Torments. and the future life of believers entirely upon the re- Shall we be helped in the work? It is no time to sleep.

IS MAN IMMORTAL!

BY J. B. FRISBIE.

Bro. Storrs:-The following is the substance of an article just returned by Mr. Sanford to me. Mr. S. is an Universalist editor. He and myself have been discussing the immortality question for some time past, and the excuse for returning this article very day his thoughts perish. Ps. 146: 4; 103: 22; is, that the editor is away from home: Isa. 63: 16. For that which befalleth the sons of is, that the editor is away from home:

I. I will now call your attention to the creation, death and resurrection of man, with answers to your objections:

"And God said, let us make man in our image, after our likeness. And the Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living 12: 7. If man has a spirit that leaves him at death, soul." Gen. 1: 26; 2: 7, and Job 33: 4.

which was made in the image of God, and that was these belong to the body of man, and not to his formed of the material dust of the ground. It was spirit, which would be to give up the question of an not his soul or spirit, nor his breath that was the intelligent spirit. image, but man.

2. If the breath of life was the immortality, then ing objections to the view that man's soul and spirit every beast, fowl and creeping thing has it. "And sleep in death. all flesh died that moved upon the earth, both of fowl, and of cattle, and of beasts, and of every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth, and every man: all in whose nostrils was the breath of life." Gen. 7: 21, 22. They have all one breath. Eccl. 3: 19.

3. We understand it was man who became a living soul, and not the breath of life So, when man is alive, he is a living soul, and when dead he is a be not, as though they were. Rom. 4: 17. Or as dead soul. Num. 9: 6, and 6: 6. Original. If a Mark 12: 23-25, in the resurrection, when they shall living soul is immortality in man, then beasts, &c., rise. For when they shall rise, &c. Now these living soul is immortality in man, then beasts, &c., rise. For when they shall rise, &c. Now these have it. And to every beast of the earth, and to were dead and were not yet raised. Therefore this every fowl of the air, and to every thing that creepeth upon the earth wherein there is a living soul. Gen. 1: 30. Margin. And every living soul died in the sea. Rev. 16: 3. The sea cannot drown an immortal soul. Howbeit, that was not first which is spiritual, but that which is natural. The first man is of the earth, earthy. 1 Cor. 15: 46-47. Thus in vain we search for immortality in man's creation, but the reverse. Gen. 3: 22.

II. By the disobedience of Adam came literal death upon all. And the Lord God commanded the man saying, in the day thou eatest thereof, dying thou shalt die. Gen. 2: 16-17. We follow the man-quest Jesus to receive his spirit or life with the exginal reading in this, believing it most correct. We understand in that very day the law in Adam's nature was changed; so he commenced that day dying Col. 3: 4. Some, to misrepresent and make a burand he did die. All the days that Adam lived were lesque of our views, try to make us say, Lord Jesus, 930 years, and he died. Gen. 5:5. Therefore we receive my air or wind. But this idea is not more die daily and continually.

It is an admitted fact that the infant pulse beats dead and alive at the same time. about 130. In manhood about 70. When in old age only about 50 pulsations in a minute. Carpenter's Physiology, page 493. So dying we shall die; and this dying nature we have all inherited from Adam; so death passed upon all men, for that all have sin-ned (in Adam.) Rom. 5: 12; 1 Cor. 15: 22. The penalty of this law could not have been spiritual death, for that would make the transgression the taility, then death is swallowed up in victory. 1 Cor-punishment. Not only so, but it would be to con- 15: 52, 54, the same. tradict the sentence of the great Judge of all. Hear him tell what death it was: And unto Adam he said, it be by life, or by death. For to me life is (to magbecause thou hast eaten of the tree of which I com- nify) Christ, and to die is gain (to Christ's cause.) runded thee, saying, thou shalt not eat of it. In the What I shall choose I know not, for I am in a strait

turn unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken; for dust thou art and unto dust shalt thoureturn. Gen. 3: 17-19. This is one literal death, and not two, the only death passed at that time upon Adam. Rom. 5: 12; 1 Cor. 15: 21; Heb. 9: 27. When he gather unto himself his Spirit and his breath, man shall turn again unto dust. Job 34: 14-15, His sons come to honor, and he knoweth it not. Job 14: 10-22. Man's breath goeth forth, he returneth to his earth; in that men befalleth the beasts-they have all one breath or spirit—all are of the dust, and all turn to dust again. Eccl. 3: 19-20. The dead know not anything-also, their love, and their hatred, and their energy is now perished. Eccl. 9: 5-6. Then shall energy is now perished. Eccl. 9: 5-6. the dust return to the earth as it was; and the spirit or breath shall return unto God who gave it. Eccl. it has neither thoughts, love, hatred, envy or know-1. I would have you to observe that it was man ledge, for all perish in death, unless it is contended

111. There are a few, at the first thought, seem-

1. The spirit (or breath) shall return to God who gave it. God giveth to all life and breath, and all things. Acts 17: 25. This can be no objection, when it is understood that spirit is breath.

2. Now that the dead are raised-for all live unto him. Luke 20: 37-38. Now they all live unto him in the same sense that they are raised. God, who quickeneth the dead, and calleth those things which can form no objection to our belief.

3. Lord, remember me, when thou comest into thy kingdom. Verily I say unto thee, to-day shalt thou be with me in Paradise. Jesus said to Mary three days afterwards, Touch me not; for I am not yet ascended to my Father. John 20: 17. Bear in mind the thief's request and his Lord's answer, and place the comma after to-day, and every difficulty will vanish at once. Some say it was his soul, but that wants proof.

4. Stephen said, Lord Jesus, receive my spirit, pectation that when Christ, who is his life, shall appear, then shall he also appear with him in glory. ridiculous than the supposition that a man is both

5. For in this we groan, earnestly desiring to be clothed upon with our house, which is from heaven, that mortality might be swallowed up of life-absent from the (mortal) body, present with the Lord, when mortality is swallowed up of life, at the judg-The ment. 2 Cor. 15: 1-10. When the dead shall be raised, and this mortal shall have put on immor-

6. Christ shall be magnified in my body, whether sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread till thou re- between two, having an earnest desire to the return,

and to be with Christ, which is far better than to life. live or die. In this I have followed the literal rendering of the Greek. Phil. 1: 20, 23. See the parable in Luke 12: 36, referred to in a Greek Polyglot, where the same word is translated *return*. With this agrees Phil. 3: 11, 20, 21. In order that by any means I may attain to the resurrection from among the dead. New translation. For our conversation is in heaven, from whence also we look for the Saviour, the Lord Jesus Christ, who shall change our vile bodics, &c. If the old translation is right, it makes Paul contradict himself in this epistle, viz: if it is understood that Paul is in heaven with Christ, and on earth looking for him. Thus we find by a close examination of scripture that for the disobedience of Adam, his whole posterity are levelled even with the dust, and there is not a doubt on our part but this would have been their end if Christ, the second Adam, the Lord from heaven, had not come into our world and suffered the penalty of the law of the first Adam, which was literal death of the whole man; not to redeem from dying, but to give a resur-rection. 1 Cor. 15: 17, 18, 32; Ps. 49: 12. Now Now unless a man is wofully blinded by the mist of human invention, it appears that he must see the great necessity of Christ's death.

IV. By the obedience of Christ, there is to be a resurrection of the dead. Therefore doth my Father love me, because I lay down my life, that I may take it again. This commandment have I received of my Father. John 10: 17, 18; and 15: 10. Christ became obedient unto death, even the death of the alive) your mortal bodies by his Spirit that dwelleth cross. Phil. 2: 8; Luke 24: 26; Rom. 5: 14, 21. Dis-obedience and obedience. For since by man (Adam) came death, by man (Christ Jesus) came also the resurrection of the dead. 1 Cor. 15: 21; 2 Tim. 1: ening (or life-giving) spirit. 1 Cor. 15: 45. The and we are to live by Christ. Joh. 5: 57. son quickeneth whom he will. John 5: 21. He that catch me, even he shall live by the Tather. 10. And so it is written, the first man Adam was cateth me, even he shall live by me. John 6: 57. V. Immortality, viz: Eternal Life only in Christ,

to be given in the resurrection to those who seek for it.

1. Lord of Lords, who only hath immortality. This contrast is not between man in his Tim. 6: 16. resurrected immortal state, nor angels who cannot die, but between the immortal God and mortal man. Rom. 1: 23. Original. O Lord, thou art our God; 2 Chron. let not mortal man prevail against thee. 14: 11. Margin. Shall mortal man be more just than God? Job 4: 17. I find that mortal man is contrasted with the immortal God; but nowhere in the Bible do I find man called immortal, either soul or body.

2. Jesus Christ, who hath abolished death, (in the resurrection,") and hath brought life and immortality to light through the gospel. 2 Tim. 1: 10. In him was life, and the life was the light of men. John 1: 4. Since by man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead. 1 Cor. 15: 21. Christ hath abolished the death brought on us by Adam, and hath brought life and immortality to light through the gospel in the resurrection of the dead.

3. God, who will render to every man according to his deeds. To them who by patient continuance In well doing, seek for glory, and honor, and immor-is a text commonly supposed to favor the idea of a tality, eternal life. Rom. 2: 6, 7. Good Master, quickening and rational spirit in man, capable of which endureth unto everlasting life. Job. 6: 27. confess that the longer we have examined it, we find Fight the good fight of faith, lay hold on eternal the less ground for the common notion. Let the

1 Tim. 6: 12. In this we understand the Apostlo to say immortality is eternal life.

4. The question is asked, how can he put on immortality at the resurrection of the body, if he has none?

Ans. This is the record that God hath given to us eternal life; and this life (or immortality) is in his Son. 1 John 5: 11. When Christ, who is our life, (immortality,) shall appear, then shall ye also ap-pear with him in glory. Col. 3: 4. Every one which believeth on him may have everlasting life; and I will raise him up at the last day. John 6: 40. With this agrees, I know that he shall rise again in the resurrection at the last day. Jesus said unto her, I am the resurrection and the life. John 11: 24, 25. I understand Jesus to say that he is the life of the resurrection. If so, it cannot be an immortal spirit of our own in no way. The last Adam was made a quickening spirit—the dead shall be raised incorruptible—and this mortal shall put on immortality. But thanks be to God who giveth us the victory, through our Lord Jesus Christ. 1 Cor. 15: 45, 52, 54, 57. If this plain, positive proof fails to convince from what source we shall have immortality, then God's word must fail to convince any man of error. But still we have more. But the gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord. Rom. 6: 28. If eternal life is the gift of God through Christ, then most certainly we were never born with it. But if the Spirit of Him (God) that raised up Jesus from the dead-shall also quicken (or make in you. Rom. 8: 11. Now is it not a fair conclu-sion if this refers to Christ's resurrection, it does also to ours. And if it is the Spirit of God that is the life of the resurrection through Christ, where then is this immortal soul of our own to come from?

now present. As being the spiritual life of the Christian here. Let us see. He that hath the Son, hath life. 1 John 5: 12. Now just as we have the Son, so we have life. Well, how do we have the Son? Let Paul answer. That Christ may dwell in your hearts by faith. Eph. 3: 17. Again, Christ in you the hope of glory. Col. 1: 27. Once more— Again, Christ 3 Ile that beheveth on the Son, hath everlasting life. John 3: 36. How? In belief. This is life eternal that they might know the only true God and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent. John 17: 3. How do we have and know Jesus Christ his Son? By faith, in hope. This is all the knowledge we can have Therefore we have immortality, eternal life now. by faith and hope. Amen.

Sylvan, Mich., 1851.

STATE OF THE DEAD. "ADDITIONAL REMARKS."

BY REV. WM. GLEN MONCRIEFF, SCOTLAND.

I. Eccles. iii. 21.-"Who knoweth the spirit of a man that goeth upward, and the spirit of the beast (of cattle) that goeth downward to the earth?" This what good thing shall I do that I may have eternal surviving, in consciousness, the dissolution of the life? If thou wilt enter into life, keep tho com-mandments. Mat. 19: 16, 77. Labor for that meat ing to understand the meaning of this text, and must tion:-

1. Solomon does not say this spirit (ruach) in spirit (mach) of cattle going downwards at death.

2. Even suppose Solomon does mean that man's it continues to think after disembodiment. We must, therefore, consult other scriptures for information as to man's after-death state, and David says most un-

3. In the verses immediately preceding the one before us, we read: 18. "I said in mine heart concerning the estate of the sons of men, that God might manifest them, and that they might see that they that, at death, the spirit "returns to God who gave themselves are beasts. 19. For that which befalleth it," the writer exclaims, "vanity of vanities, all is the sons of men befalleth beasts; even one thing befulleth them: as the one dieth, so dieth the other; a moment, that, if Solomon had believed that the yea, they have all one breath (ruach;) so that a man hath no pre-eminence above a beast: for all is vanity. 20. All go unto one place; all are of the dust, and all turn to dust again. 21. The word (ruach) think he would. On the supposition that all was breath,' is the same word rendered 'spirit' in the if he had never been, that his thoughts had utterly 21st, when the writer is speaking of men and cattle, perished, the exclamation is most natural and inand there appears to be no reason why our transla-structive. We have no doubt that there is here an tors should not have rendered the same word, which allusion to the creation of man, when God breathed occurs twice in the 21st verse, by the same English into him the breath of life and man became living word 'breath,' as they did in verse 19. Solomon soul. says, men and cattle have one breath, and about rally represents man's spirit (ruach) or breath as that breath, common to both, he points out some returning at death to the Creator from whom it distinction in verse 21, by saying that of man goes came. And though it is not said, might not the same upward, or, as it is in the margin, is ascending, but thing have been affirmed as correctly of the beasts, he does not tell us when; and that of the cattle, and of all other living souls? Does not their breath which goes downward, but he does not tell us when; and concerning the breath of man which goeth upward, or is ascending, he says, who knoweth it? and man? he expresses the same ignorance concerning the their creation seems to have been this, they were at breath of cattle that descends to the ground. Now once summoned into being as living souls; whereas comes the question, what does this ascending of the the soul of man, or the soul man, was formed perone and descending of the other most likely refer to? | feetly first, and, after remaining a little while un-We suggest that he describes something that takes living, the Creator animated him by breathing into place during the life of men and of cattle, and not his nostrils the breath of life. Gen. 2: 7. after death; and that the whole matter is simply

this-man's breath, in consequence of his erect position, goes upward from his nostrils toward the sky, while that of the cattle, in consequence of the drooping of their heads, is, in out-breathing, sent from scriptural view of the condition af the expired; still, their nostrils downwards toward the ground. fact is quite apparent, especially in a frosty morning, and few have failed to observe it, as well as Solomon. Now regarding this breath common to men and cattle, but differently exhaled in consequence of teaches the unconsciousness of the dead, by direct their different formation, the observer, Solomon, expresses the then existing ignorance. Who knoweth the breath of the one and the breath of the other, or who knows what this breath common to all is? Modern chemistry has told us what this breath is com- Divine declaration to us that he had been resurposed of, both when it enters and leaves the lungs, rected. but no chemistry, no research of science, will ever likely explain how the atmosphere, by entering the the revelations as to a final judgment become more lungs, does sustain a soul in living being.

Does not this interpretation much better harmonize with the humble view given in this chapter, of man as he is, than that one which sees an immense the announcements about the awful world-ussize, the earth?

reader take the following remarks into considera-ishall the dust return to the earth as it was, and the spirit (runch) shall return unto God who gave it." Eccl. 12: 7. Even suppose we take the common man goeth upward at death, in contrast with the idea about man's spirit, which Solomon says returns to God at death, it becomes us to inquire what does the Bible say about its condition then? Here Solospirit goeth upward at death, he does not assert that mon makes no affirmation that it continues still to think, and the Psalmist, as already stated, asserts that, at the very day of man's death, "his thoughts perish." Ps. 146: 4. If the spirit of man, as many qualifiedly, "Ilis breath (his spirit—ruch, a form of hold, must think after death in going again to God, rt a 'h,) goeth forth, he returneth to his earth; in that did it think in coming from him to enter man's very day his thoughts perish." Ps. 146: 4. body, which it leaves at the hour of death? Did any person ever tell us those thoughts which it must have had before embodiment?

Let it be noticed that, immediately after stating vanity!" Verse 8. Is it reasonable to suppose, for spirit, on leaving the body, gathered immense information, yea, even continued in consciousness, he would have uttered such an exclamation? I cannot over for the time, that the man was, as Job says, as Having this in his view, Solomon most natucame. And though it is not said, might not the same when they die, return to God? Did He not give them their breath at first as surely as he gave it to The only difference between the mode of once summoned into being as living souls; whereas

III. A difficulty may be found with regard to the sleep of the dead, in the fact that Moses was present with Elijah at our Lord's transfiguration. Now no difficulty ought to be overlooked in arriving at a This we think, the difficulty here may be more in appear-norn- ance than in reality. May not Moses have been raised from the dead sometime previous to the transaffirmations such as these, "the dead know not anything," and that, at the hour of dissolution, the "thoughts perish," &c.; there is no alternative but to regard the presence of Moses on the mount as a

IV. On the supposition of the sleep of the dead, intelligible and consistent; nay, there is reason to to conclude that not a little of the incomprehensibility, and that all of the apparent inconsistency, in line of distinction between men and cattle, in the have been occasioned by a departure from what mere fact that, at sometime, the breath or spirit of seems to be the inspired teaching about the dead as the one ascends, and that of the other goes towards unconscious. Were good men to depart to heaven and bad men to hell at death, as is popularly be-II. Neither can any information as to the after-lieved, it is difficult to understand what would be death state of man be drawn from the text, "Then the use of a judgment day, such as is very often

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

mentioned in the Bible—a day when all are to ap-1 of in the first quotation, are represented as saying pear for trial. It surely is not in harmony with the "How long, O Lord," &c.; and as having "white ordinary perceptions of what is wise and just, first robes given unto every one of them," &c. What are to remore men to heaven and afterwards determine we to make of this? The imagery is bold, but simby public judgment if they ought to have been there; |ilar language about dead men is discovered in other and first to send men to perdition and afterward sit parts of the Bible. For example, Gen. 4: 10, "The in judgment to determine if they ought to have been voice of thy brother's blood crieth unto me from the consigned to that region. There must be trial first ground." When blood can be spoken of as crying, and then reward or punishment: the Bible speaks of is it to be wondered at that slain souls should be rep-the trial—the universal judgment-day, however, as resented as uttering exclamations? In Isaiah, chop. still future. he will judge THE works in rightcousness by that is "no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wis-man whom he hath ordained," &c. Acts 17: 31. dom," exhibited as stirred up, (verse 3,) to address "We must all appear before the judgment seat of "the King of Babylon," (verse 4,) whose "pomp was Christ: that every one may receive the things done brought down to the grave," and over whom the in his body, according to that he hath done, whether worm was spread, (verse 11,) in the most humiliat-it be good or bad. 2 Cor. 5: 10. From Heb. 9: 27, ing and withering language, "Art thou become weak we learn that the judgment is after death. "It is as we, art thou become like unto us?" verse 10. If appointed unto men once to die, but after this the the reader will take the trouble to peruse the chapjudgment:" but, at what period it takes place poste- ter from verse 9 to verse 20, we feel considerable rior to death, whether immediately or at some time after dissolution, the passage does not inform us. On this point we arc, however, fully instructed in 2 Tim. 4: 1, "I charge thee therefore before God, and the Lord Jesus Christ, who shall judge the quick and the dead, at HIS APPEARING and his kingdom." Consider, also, Rov. 20: 12, 13.

V. Two passages in the Revelations have sometimes been employed to support the popular notion regarding the consciousness of the dead: they are these-1st, Chap. 6: 9, 11, "And when he had opened the fifth seal. I saw under the altar the souls of them that were slain for the word of God," &c.; "And they cried with a loud voice, saying, How speakable words, which it was not lawful (margin, long, O Lord," &c.; "And white robes were given unto every one of them," &c.; 2d, chap. 20: 4, "And I saw the souls of them that were beheaded for the 1. It will be observed that the apostle is speak-witness of Jesus * * * and they lived and reigned ing of visions and revelations," verses I and 7, so with Christ a thousand years."

The reader will be kind enough to remark, 1. that these two passages are drawn from one of the most highly figurative books in the Bible: a book of heard sounds like the voice of mighty waters, buthe such a nature that it would be contrary to all the rules of sound interpretation were one to attempt to he was "in the spirit;" they were not realities he establish any doctrine or article of faith, like the beheld, for even some of the agents who performed common opinion about the state of the dead, by quotations from its pages; and, more especially, to establish a doctrine which, like the common notion referred to, is apparently denied in other and plainer portions of the divine word, as has already been shown in this treatise.

2. The phrase "the souls of them," in both passages is simply a Hebrew idiom expressing the men themselves, one common through the whole scriptures, and frequently illustrated in this work. For a moment suppose the three words "the souls of" removed from both passages, and the idea of John is left as perfect as when they are retained. In the first passage he says, "I saw under the altar them that were slain;" that is, he beheld in his vision of the mode in which he was "caught up;" he says it was futurity a number of saints who had been slaughtered for the truth. He saw them under "the altar," not a representation of any altar in heaven, we apprehend, but under the Altar of burnt-offering in the Jowish Temple, to intimate that their death was a sacrifice well received, and acceptable in the sight of to understand a temporary personal translation to God. In the second passage he testifies, "I saw them that were beheaded," &c. In accordance with this view we read in the second passage that "they" "the souls of them," that is, the men themselves, became alive, Christ," &c. they "LIVED and reigned with

"God hath appointed a day, in which 14, we find the mighty dead in Sheol, where there assurance that the apparent difficulty, found in the verses from the Revelation depicting dead souls as speaking, will speedily vanish from his mind.

VI. A few remarks may perhaps be required on the verses at the commencement of the 12th chapter of 2 Cor. "I will come to visions and revelations of the Lord. I knew a man in Christ about fourteen years ago, (whether in the body, I cannot tell; or whether out of the body, I cannot tell: God kuoweth;) such an one caught up to the third heaven. And I knew such a man, (whether in the body, or out of the body I cannot tell: God knoweth;) how that he was caught up into paradise, and heard unpossible) for a man to utter." We solicit attention to the following thoughts.

that there is no absolute necessity for supposing they were realities he saw and heard on this occasion. John in his vision saw heaven opened, and saw heaven opened and listened to the sounds when some of the parts, and uttered the exclamations and groans, or anthems, were not yet in existence. 2. Though there is no absolute need for suppos-

ing that what Paul saw and heard were realities, but mercly representations of them, the account of his revelations hero given has such an air of reality about it, that any explanation which would admit reality seems to be most suited to the whole spirit and impression of the record. It seems to have been the third, or highest heaven, that he actually saw, and words spoken there that he actually heard. He was in some way caught up, or, more literally, carried away, and witnessed realities.

3. Be it also noted that Paul was uncertain as to one of two ways, but in which of them God only knew. Ho seems to express, however, a certainty

that he was caught up, and beheld realities. 4. The first of the two ways is this—"in the body." By being caught up in the body he appears the scene of the revelations he obtained. Philip was by the Spirit miraculously "caught away" from the presence of the Eunuch, and there seems to be no reason to believe that he could not as easily have been wafted to paradise as to Azotus. Acts S: 40. In this way Paul seems to suppose it possible he was

8. But the slain souls, or the dend men spoken exalted to the third heavens, and witnessed its reali-

whether out of the body." The Greek (ektos) here and evolving most singular capabilities in man, we translated "out of" is, in 1 Cor. 6: 18, rendered are surely taught that, through some supernatural "without"—Flee fornication. Every sin that a man energy, which, to some extent, may be illustrated docth is without (ektos) the body; but he that commits (observe, we only say illustrated) by Mesmeric influfornication sinneth against his body." When Paul here speaks of "every sin," he must be understood, as Barnes remarks, to be referring to sins in general; in other words, the apostle is here instituting require to know a great deal more than science has a comparison between sins in general and the par-jet disclosed ere he could rationally declare our hy-ticular sin, "fornication," about which he is expos-pothesis inadmissable—there remains the language tulating with the Corinthians. And whatever be the of the prophets to fall back upon, such as that quoted meaning of sin "without the body," it must be obvious it does not mean sin committed by a man when disembodied. Let the reader reflect on the multitude of sins that are done mentally, that is, in thought, desire, and purpose; let him also reflect on its enfeebling and life-destroying tendency, about which the apostle is discoursing, and he will easily reach the truth popularly expressed in this text, death, that he might witness what was never inabout which the nature of the subject forbids us to enlarge. Well, then, if Paul could speak of man sinning "without the body," when they really sinned in the body, is it not possible for him to have meant, when he speaks of being caught up to heaven "without the body," that he was mentally caught up, he being all the while in the body?

6. Language of a similar kind, when "visions and revelations" were being granted to other prophets, is found in holy scripture. For instance, Ezek. 11: 24, "Afterwards the spirit took me up, and brought mo in a vision by the Spirit of God into Chaldea, to them of the captivity." Rev. 17: 3, "So he carried me away in the spirit into the wilderness: and I saw a woman sit upon a scarlet colored beast," &c. These were carrying away of the prophets, not "in the body," or by personal translation, but "with-out the body," that is, mentally, or in thought. Now, though all will admit that, however Paul was in Paradise, the Spirit caught him up there-the narrative under examination, perhaps, requires even more than such a lifting up as Ezekiel and John had on these occasions. We are inclined to think, as already mentioned, it was not a vision of realities be saw, but the realities themselves; at any rate such a view seems to be very like the import of what he writes. How then could he be caught up "out of," or rather without "the body?" How could he witness the realities without a corporeal translation to the locality specified? We are disposed to think that, without a translation of his person, his powers and faculties might be so wrought upon by Divine energy, that he really would behold paradise though not with his eyes, he really would hear, though not with his ears, words spoken there. not an impossibility with God. There seem to be some very wonderful powers in man, capable of being evolved by Mesmerism, for example, and if by a human influence, or by the exercise of the human will, a patient can be so mysteriously influenced; as some undeniably have been-as, for instance, to see unknown objects and persons at a considerable distance, when all his outward senses were completely locked up by the overpowering influence brought to hear on him—how can any one assert that, were whole body (person—being) should be cast into greater power brought to bear on a human being, he hell," (Gehenna,) Matt. 5: 20. "The light of the could not see far into the universe; and, to all intents body (of the man) is the eye," 6: 22. "Thy whole and purposes, be in new regions, though not per-body (thou, or the whole man (shall bo full of dark-sonally translated to the unknown localities? If ness." 6: 23. "Through the offering of the body of the offering of the body of the offering of the body of the sonally translated to the unknown localities? feeble man can do the one, may not God Almighty Jesus," that is, by the offering of Jesus, Heb. 10:10. be able to effect the other? Paul does not tell us "The tongue defileth the whole body," [the whole

ties with his eyes, and heard its language with his how he was "caught up without the body," if such ears. So far all is plain. 5. The other supposition is thus expressed, "or search is now casting light on human capacities, was the manner of his exaltation; and, when re-When Paul ence, the thing we have supposed may be done; and, perhaps, was the very thing that, in Paul's case, was performed. Should this be rejected-and one would above from Ezckiel and John. And if these prophets were "caught up" and "carried away" in the spirit, in the same way Paul may have been: without requiring us to adopt the popular, and extreme, and somewhat horrible supposition that God disembodied Paul's spirit, even admitting that such a thing was possible, and so really for a while put his servant to tended for any person's use but his own-which could surely have been declared to him in vision at least, by his being caught up in spirit like Ezekiel, and which method would have gained the end nearly as well. However, we prefer our own hypothesis, and will keep our mind open to light, as we recommend our readers to do also.

VII. The doctrine of the entire cessation of being at death till a resurrection, would relieve us from the disagreeable necessity of supposing that Jesus called back the spirit of Lazarus from heaven, to enter again into its clayey and mortal tabernacle. Every thinking person must have felt much difficulty in the narrative on such a supposition; but, if death ends for the time the whole man, if living being has entirely paused at death, the necessity of such a violent conjecture, to which the narrative assuredly gives no countenance, is removed and the sublimity of the miracle is heightened. Jesus spake, and the dead man, the dead soul Lazarus revived! It was an act of Divine power, and of unmingled benevolence

VIII. It may be mentioned, as a fact not unworthy of notice, that even the phrase "the resurrection of the body," so common in the religious in-struction of our day, is not in all the Bible. The scriptures speak about the resurrection in this manner: "Thy dead men shall live," "the resurrection of the dead"-"touching the resurrection of the dead"-"the hope and resurrection of the dead," &c. Paul speaks, no doubt, of "the adoption, to wit, the redemption of our body," Rom. 8:28, but it is questionable if here, and in similar instances, "body" is not used for self, and, if such be the case, This is surely he means the redemption of ourselves, or simply our redemption from the grave to live immortally with Jesus. Examples can easily be found in which the term "body" expresses the whole of man, whatever view may be taken of him, whether a compound of soul and spirit or wholly soul. For instance-"a body (entire humanity) hast thou prepared me:" Heb. 10: 5. "My substance" or, according to the margin, "my body (or I) was not hid from thee, when I was made in secret," Ps. 139: 5. "That thy

"Present your bodies [yourman,] James 3: 6. sclves] a living sacrifice," Rom. 12: 1, &c. It is, then, clearly a scriptural mode of speech to import entire man by the word body, and we suggest that it is highly probable that such an expression as "the redemption of the body" just signifies our final and complete redemption by the glorious resurrection, about which the apostles and the early christians delighted to speak so much. The salvation, redemption, and adoption of believers is not completed at once; a part is effected now, a part at the resur-rection, when the great work of Divine love and power will be consummated. 1 Peter 1: 4-9. Even regeneration appears to be represented as progressive, or rather, as having two stages, a new birth now by the influence of gospel truth; and a new birth by the resurrection to immortality when the Lord comes. Hence we read "Neither can they die any more: for they are equal unto the angels; and are the children of God, being the children of the resurrection." Luko 20: 36. And "Verily I say unto you, that ye which have followed me, in the regeneration when the Son of man shall sit in the throne of his glory, ye also [in the regeneration] shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel. Matt. 19: 28.

IX. Should the reader see cause, from the Bible, to admit that the dead "sleep," or are unconscious till the resurrection, he will discover very clearly that "Purgatory" and the intercession of saints in heaven, &c., maintained by the Romanists, are fabrications and delusions. If the dead are conscious after death, it is difficult to see how a very satisfactory demonstration that these are errors and absurdities can be furnished.

X. The reader should not be hindered from admitting and humbly confessing, if he find scripture warrant, that death is a state of unconsciousness, by the bug-bear cry "Infidelity!" That death to all men, good and bad, is an "eternal sleep" is the opinion of, at least, many infidels, and, in maintaining this they contradict God, who says by his Son, "the hour is coming, in which all that are in the graves shall hear his voice, and shall come forth; they that have done good unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done evil, unto the resurrection of damnation." John 5: 28, 29. Many christians in America, and not a few in Great Britain, now believe that the dead sleep, and "know not anything," till the resurrection; and the only question for the reader to determine is this, does the Bible teach that doctrine or no? It neither makes a man a christian, to believe the dead are conscious nor unconscious, and this ought to be prominently kept in mind: but if our God declares they are unconscious, it is the duty of every follower of Jesus to believe it, and, by admitting the truth, to cease from holding what in-spiration denies. "To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them." Isa. 8: 20. Such is the Divine rule to guide us in this and every religious matter, and the reader is affectionately entreated to follow it honestly and faithfully, as he shall answer to God at the great day of judgment.

THE TENT MEETING, at Buffalo, N. Y., commencing Sopt. 2d, wo design to attend. The CHRISTIAN TRUTH-SEEKER, *fifth* number, will be issued as soon after our return as circumstances will allow.

"LIFE IN CHRIST."-Br. Blain has pledged for *fifty* copies of this work on our proposal in the last Examiner. Who next will help? Lot us know.

BIBLE EXAMINER.

PHILADELPHIA, SEPTEMBER, 1851.

ELDER JACOB BLAIN is the Baptist Minister whose letters we published in the Examiner some time since, withholding his name. He has long been a Minister of the Gospel of the Baptist denomination, and for some time past a city missionary for Buffalo, N. Y. He has now come out fully and openly in defence of the doctrine of "Endless Life and Immortality only through Christ." Br. Dobney, in England, will be glad to know that this is but a part of the fruit of the circulation of his work on "Future Punishment" in the United States. Br. Blain will not come out alone; and the work has but just begun in this country; although ten years have now passed since we publicly avowed our belief, and nine since we preached the "Six Sermons," and many ministers and thousands of private christians have come into these views, yet there seems to be dawning a new era in the work; and it is likely to spread in greater power than it has ever done before: the battle is waxing warmer, the truth constantly advancing, and the weakness of our opposers is daily more apparent as they attempt to ward off the impending fate of natural immortality. It is a doomed doctrine; though we have no expectation that it will die without a desperate struggle: yet, trusting in God and the power of His truth, as we do who have set our hands to overthrow this "Diana" of Christendom, and "Moloch" of Protestantism, we doubt not it will die to rise no more. May the God of truth and love speed on the work.

"FIRST PRINCIPLES."—Two brethren have written us saying that "some months since the Bible Examiner was put into" their hands, and that they "have read them some of late;" and though much disinclined at first to consider the things therein presented—regarding them as heresy—yet now are convinced that we "are correct on the higher principles," but wish us to give a "Discourse in the Examiner upon first principles"—and ask if they are not "faith, repentance, and baptism for the remission of sins?" and conclude by saying, "give us the scripture upon this subject and then we can have a fair chance to try you to the bottom."

If these dear brethren expressed any doubt as to what are the first principles, and were fearful that they might miss them, we should feel bound to respond to their call: but it seems they wish an expression of our views not for the help of any who may be seeking to know what they must do to be saved, but that they may "have a fair chance to try "the editor of the Examiner to the bottom." It is to test us, it seems. We have no objections to being tested fully by any man or body of men to their satof any man's judgment. He that judgeth us is the However, as one of these brethren has now Lord. subscribed for the Examiner, he will have a chance to learn what we regard as first principles: particularly if he will get the back volumes of the present series, i. e. for '48, '49 and '50, which we will send him in sheets for two dollars. It would seem unnecessary for us to go over the ground in a discourse simply for them to try us, as they can find, probabiy, all the information we should give them by the past volumes of the Examiner.

We will briefly state what we regard as first principles. By Adam all men are mortal, dying, corruptible creatures, and have no immortality or endless life in them; so that by generation, or birth, no man has any hope or prospect beyond this present life. Therefore, unless some other medium is provided of God, all Adam's race would perish and cease to be, or "be as though they had not been;" Obd. 16. While thus situated, God so loved the world, or race of Adam, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him might not perish, but have everlasting life. He gave him to be "the resurrection and the life," without which no soul of Adam's race would ever have seen a future life. Here are first principles; and without understanding these distinctly and clearly, the vision of every man is beelouded, his mind more or less bewildered, and he will be very likely to substitute something else for Christ, and think more of it and talk more about it than of Him who came into the world that men might live through him. But men have personally sinned, therefore we call upon them in the language of Peter, Acts 3: 19, saying, "Repent"-change your opinions or mind concerning Christ and the work he is to accomplish for you-[for so the original signifies]-"and be converted"-or turned about-face the other way. They were following the first Adam, and going to death and corruption; but by turning about, following the second Adam, and being united to him by faith, they became "partakers of the divine nature," which is in Christ, and are thus in the way to incorruption, immortality, eternal life; and their sins are "blotted out," to be remembered no more; thus will "times of refreshing come from the presence of the Lord:" literally, a breathing timeto recover one's breath: in other words, the resurrection, when all who have the Spirit that was in Christ, by which God raised him up from the dead, will be made alive-made to breathe again, and die no more. And this will be when "God shall send Jesus Christ ÷ whom the heavens" will retain "until the times of the restitution." &c.

But brethren may think we are on the higher principles again. Well, be it so. Paul was for foron to those before, "not laying again the foundation there is probation to some of the human family after

isfaction; but it is a small thing with us to be judged of repentance from dead works, and of faith towards God; of the doctrines of baptisms," &c. We shall do well not always to remain babes, or children, but grow "up unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ," that we may be of "full age."

> THE SECOND ADVENT WATCHMAN has come to hand, since our last issue, in a new dress, much enlarged. It is now edited by W. S. Campbell and J. Turner, and is published weekly, at Hartford, Conn., by Dr. David Crary, Publishing Agent, at \$1 for 26 numbers.

On the question of Life and Death, it is in general agreement with the Bible Examiner. On the Millenial age and the Restoration of Israel, the junior editor differs entirely from us; holding that the "thousand years" are past; and that the advent, now at hand, brings the entire regeneration of the earth, with none to be left remaining but those made immortal. In this respect he acts consistently as carrving out Mr. Miller's theory. Mr. Miller maintained this earth was all to be melted by fire, and every soul of man to be cut off except the saintsthe new earth immediately appear, and the immortal saints with Christ alone inhabit the new earth during the thousand years to follow; at the end of which the wicked dead were to be raised-out of the new earth, of course-and be then cast into the lake of fire. Br. Turner seeing the absurdity of such a theory, and yet maintaining the entire renewal of the earth at the time of the advent, took the ground that the resurrection of the wicked would follow, immediately, that of the rightcous, prior to the burning of the world and its renewal. To make this view a harmony it was necessary that the thousand years should be in the past, and so he dates that period from the overthrow of Pagan Rome and terminates it about the time of the reformation, if we understand him.

His theory is much more consistent than Mr. Miller's; and those who still hold to Mr. Miller's view of the world being burned and all renewed at the time of the advent, and no probation to any soul of man after, oppose Br. Turner with a poor grace, in our opinion. We respect his opinions, without being in the least moved by his arguments. In our own mind wo have not a doubt he is in error; but not one for which he should be disfellowshipped or reviled. We cannot adopt his interpretations; but we can love him and treat him as a brother.

Were we to believe none will be left in the flesh during a protracted period following the advent, in a state of trial under some constitution of Messiah's personal reign, then we should at once adopt the spiritual reign theory, and boldly assert it impossible that the advent can occur for many years to come. getting or leaving the things behind, and reaching One of two things is true, to our mind, viz: either the second advent of Christ and the change of his the Tabernacle, where Moses and the Elders transsaints, or the advent is far off in the future. Here we acted all public matters. It is the tent which was are at present immovably fixed. The reasou is simply this: there are events in prophecy-and many of 10. 3d. Sikkoth, the name of an idol; literally, a them too-which we are satisfied have never had a fulfillment, nor are they conditional, and which can only have their accomplishment among men in the flesh; and no system of spiritualism nor professed literalism that we have ever seen, has caused our mind to waver for one moment in this matter since we became settled in it in 1844 and 1845; but we have not thought best to occupy much of the Examiner with these points, as its primary object was, and is still, to illustrate and defend the great foundation truth of "Life and Immortality only by and through our Lord Jesus Christ." And while we do not pledge ourself to silence on any subject, we shall not lose sight of the special object of the Bible Examiner. "The Christian Truth-Sceker" has been started by us as a free medium for all parties on any Bible topic; and if sustained will remain such.

"THE TABERNACLE OF DAVID."-If we understand Br. Turner, in the Advent Watchman of August 6th, he makes the Tabernacle of David "the gospel economy." His words are, "To look for the tabernacle of David yet to be set up, is to look for the gospel economy in a coming dispensation." This is the conclusion of an argument in which he says some very true things; but his "conclusion" from the true things he wrote does not follow at all, in our judgment. The tabernacle of David to be set up, James expressly tells us, Acts 15: 16, is that which had previously "fallen down," and was in "ruins!" Now the tabernacle in heaven, of which Br. T. speaks very true things, never had fallen down, nor was it in ruins, and therefore needed no restoring from its ruins, nor setting up; or if it had fallen down, it was never the tabernacle of David, for he had not ascended to hoaven in Peter's time: see Acts 2: 84. This then cannot be the tabernacle James speaks of. Neither can it be the "gospel economy," for that had not fallen down nor fallen into ruins, either in the apostle's day, nor at any previous period. Whatever the tabernacle of David is, it is clear to us Br. Turner has missed finding it in his argument. Wo may not agree with those who think it is the literal house of David; though the term tabernacle is used in scripture, frequently, to signify a literal house; but usually, in such cases, includes the family dwolling init: see Jeb 5: 24; 18: 6, 14, 15, and various other texts.

The original words, in Hebrew, translated tabernacle, are defined by Prof. Pick as follows, viz: | little-connected with it: see John 7: 83, and 12: 35. "1st. Mishkon, the Tabernacle which God commanded Moses to make. Ex. 25: 8, 9, as a pattern nos: and when expressed as in Acts 3: 21, signifies a for the Temple. 2d. Ouhel, a tent, Tabernacle of protracted period, without dofining its length, but the Congregation. It is also the tent attached to implying a long time: besides the term "achri,"

covered with the pillar of the cloud. Numb. 12: 5. protector. 4th. Sokkoth, an awning, a shelter from the heat."-Bible Student's Concordance.

139

The most natural construction, it seems to us, to put upon the phrase, the tabernacle of David, is, the house of David, i. e. the royal house of David, including his throne and kingdom. This throne was originally in Jerusalem, on Mount Zion, and embraced the kingdom of Israel, east of the Mediterranean sea. That kingdom was overturned, [see Ezek. 21: 25-27,] and its throne cast DOWN to the ground; compare Ezek 21: 27, with Psa. 89: 44, where, speaking of David's throne, prophetically, it is said "Thou hast made his glory to cease, and cast his throne down to the ground." Thus the throne was to "fall down" and be in "ruins," and the kingdom to be "overturned," and thus remain "till he come whose right it is," then God said he would "give it to him:" of course to "build again the ruins thereof, and set it up," as James saith; and to this agree the words of Isa. 9: 7, where the prophet, speaking of Messiah, saith-"Of the increase of his government and peace -no end, upon the throne of David, and upon his kingdom, to order [Heb. koon-to set firm, to establish-Prof. Pick: to re-establish it-Septuagint] and to establish [Sep. support] it with judgment and justice from henceforth even forever. The zeal of the Lord of hosts will perform this."

Here then is a building again and a setting up the tabernacle of David that is fallen down; such a setting up and building as has never yet takan place; and is, we have no doubt, with present light, the thing that James speaks of, Acts 15, which was future when he spoke, though the time was unknown to the apostles; as Jesus had told them, Acts 1: 7, it was not for them to know the times and seasons which the Father had put in his own power for the restoring "the kingdom again to Israel;" which restoration includes the setting up again the royal house-throne and kingdom-of David. Such we are confident is the true meaning of the phraseology under consideration.

TIMES OF RESTITUTION .- "Times," Acts 8; 21, is chronon, marking a succession of events; and not kairos, a point of time, a fixed, definite period, as in the 19th verse. See Acts 17: 30. Chronon includes necessarily protracted time and often long time: see Matt. 25: 19, and Luke 8: 27, and 20: 9; John 5: 6. Sometimes chronos has the qualifying term mikron-This last expression shows that there is a Long chrotranslated "until" in the text, gives the plural form to chronos making it chronon, and hence utterly forbidding a short period. The times of restitution therefore is a protracted period. It commences with the heavens unveiling Christ on his return to earth, and terminates with making all things new; Rev. 21: 5-6; and it is done.

THE ADVENT HABBINGER,-We would once more call attention to the Advent Harbinger, published at Rochester, N. Y., by Joseph Marsh. It is in harmony with the Examiner on the Life and Death question; and has, within the past two years, espoused essentially the same view of the character of the age to follow the advent. It had previously maintained the same view as Mr. Miller on the last named topic. Its change of views has given great offence to certain infallibles, and the most untiring efforts have been made to crush it and its editor; but he has nobly stood his ground, and advanced in the conflict. We hope those who have the means will help to sustain him, and become subscribers to his paper. It is a large quarto of eight pages, published weekly at \$1 for 26 numbers. Br. Marsh has also published a pamphlet, of 128 pages, 12mo., setting forth his views of "The Age to Come," &c., which we hope may have a wide circulation. Price 121 cents single copy, \$1,121 per dozen, or \$9 per hundred. Orders for it should be addressed to him at Rochester, New York. We have a few copies on hand.

POSTAGE .- Let all keep in mind that if they order books, pamphlets, or any other printed matterexcept regular papers, and those to bona fide subscribers-that the postage has to be pre-paid by us; and hence to secure works sent by mail, you must add to your remittance the amount to be pre-paid. None need expect their orders answered who do not comply in this matter. Also, when persons write us on their own business it would seem reasonable that they should pre-pay their postage. Is it right to make us pay five cents, besides our trouble, to change the direction of a subscriber's paper, when it might have been done for three?

SCRIPTURE EXPOSITION.

BY THE EDITOR.

[Continued from page 127.]

Finally, he ye all of one mind, having compassion one of another: love as brethren, be pitiful, be courteous. Not rendering evil for evil, or railing for railing; but contrariwise, blessing: knowing that ye are thereunto called, that ye should inherit a blessing .- 1 PETER III. 8 and 9.

mind"-omophrones-be united, unanimous, like mon persist in doing evil their professions and prayminded: specially relating to that spirit of order and 'ers will be disregarded of the Lord, and only increase

subjection of which he had previously spoken: without that there can be no unity, but rather division-"having compassion one of another"-sumpatheissympathising one with another. Here the sympathy of one member of the body for each and all the other members is hinted at as illustrating the state of mind each member of Christ should have for his fellow member in all things, and graciously growing out of the one mind they were exhorted to. "Love as brethren"-philadelphoi-exercise and exhibit that mutual affection to each other which your relation to Christ demands: hence-"be pitiful"-tenderhearted-"be courteous"-friendly-minded, affectionate-"not rendering evil for evil"-not giving back evil for evil-"nor railing for railing"-reproaching, opprobrious and abusive language: --- "but contrariwise blessing"-on the other hand bestow blessings-strive to make happy. The evil actor, who uses abusive language and reproaches others, does so because he is himself unhappy; do not add to his unhappiness by acting as he does, but by an opposite course strive to lead him to repentance and reformation: "knowing that ye are thereunto called, that ye should inherit a blessing:"-by pursuing the course pointed out, whatever is the result on the offender you will find a blessing to yourselves: for so hath God appointed, that no effort to do good or be good shall go unrewarded.

For he that will love life, and see good days, let him refrain his tongue from evil, and his lips that they speak no guile. Let him eschew evil, and do good; let him seek peace, and ensue it. -10 and 11.

"For he that will love life"-even an endless life-"and see"-enjoy, possess-"good days"-even the days of an eternity to come, full of goodness flowing from the inexhaustible fountain of goodness-"let him refrain"-prohibit, cause to cease, desist, leave off-"his tongue from evil"-malediction, shut out, exclude, keep back-"evil, and do good:" as he shuts out evil let him bring in good---that which is profitable, fertilizing-"let him seek peace"concord, unity-"and ensue it"-pursue it, follow, adhere to it.

For the eyes of the Lord are over the rightcous, and his cars are open to their prayers: but the face of the Lord is against them that do evil -12.

"The eyes of the Lord are over the rightcous"the character previously described. The Lord has a special regard and care for such; so that he is represented as constantly looking after their interest and welfare--- "and his cars open to their prayers"--supplications. The Lord is always mindful of their wants and necessities-"but the face of the Lord is against"-[an expression denoting opposition and "Finally"-telos-at length-"be ye all of one resistance to]-"them that do evil." So long as

their guilt." Prophets, of Jesus Christ, and the Apostles; and he who heeds it not will pray in vain, and in vain seek admission into the incorruptible inheritance-the Lord's face is against him.

And who is he that will barm you, if ye be followers of that which is good?-13.

It might be objected, if we possess the meek, quiet, submissive, unrevengeful spirit enjoined, we shall be the subjects of injury from evil-minded, malicious persons who are disposed to do us hurt. To this the apostle replies by asking a question, which imports the strongest assurance of protection that could be given. As much as to say, it is impossible that any real harm or injury can befall you: you are in God's hands, and he will see to it, if you follow his directions, that you receive no real or lasting injury, but he will cause all the malice of your enemies to be overruled for your greater good and advancement. The case of Joseph, sold in Egypt-the case of Daniel and the three Hebrews, may illustrate this truth: but, especially, the case of our once suffering Lord Jesus, now exalted, and soon to have the "kingdom of this world."

But, and if ye suffer for righteousness' sake, happy are ye: and be not afraid of their terror, neither be troubled: But sanctify the Lord God in your hearts: and be ready always to give an answer to every man that asketh you a reason of the hope that is in you, with meekness and fear; Having a good conscience: that whereas they speak evil of you, as of evil-doers, they may be ashamed that falsely accuse your good conversation in Christ.-14, 15 and 16.

"If ye suffer for righteousness' sake"-for pursu--blessed-"are ye:" because, if we suffer with Christ-for attachment to him and his manner of life-we shall be glorified and reign with him. "And be not afraid"-do not frighten yourselves, nor be frightened by-"their terror"-their fearful, terrible exhibitions of malice and wickedness towards you-"neither be troubled"-agitated, disturbed, disquieted, perplexed: commit all into the hands of God, and rest in him after the pattern of your once suffering Lord, and all will be well: blessed rest. "But sanctify"-set apart, consecrate-"the Lord God in your hearts"-minds: that is, honor God by reposing unshakon confidence and trust in him whatever your circumstances or trials may be: "and be ready always to give an answer to every man that asketh you, a reason"-an account, a cause--- "of the hope"-the trust and confidence-"that is in you"-that you have in exercise: which, of course, relates to the incorruptible inheritance and that preparation needful to prepare us for it: do this when kindness-"and fear," lest you should say anything that might dishonor God and prove injurious to men. "Having a good conscience"-holding, or keeping a

"Cease to do evil," is the voice of mind conscious of good-the clear conviction of well doing:--- "that whereas they speak evil of you"--speak against you, calumniate, slander you-"as evil doers"-working harm, injury--"they may be ashamed"-confounded, disconcerted-"that falsely accuse your good conversation"-your good course of life, conduct, or deportment -- "in Christ;" or for Christ. The Christian lives in Christ, and for Christ; and all his mode of life should evidence this; showing that to pattern after Christ, or to be Christ-like, is that for which he lives.

> For it is better, if the will of God be so, that ye suffer for well-doing, than for evil doing. For Christ also hath once suffered for sins, the just for the unjust, that he might bring us to God, being put to death in the flesh, but quickened by the Spirit. -17 and 18.

> The apostle here states that Christians, if they must suffer, had better suffer for faithful adherence to God and righteousness than for evil doing; and again reverts to the "example" of Christ, and says, "For"-oti-because-"Christ also hath once suffered"-that is, during his whole public life, even until death --- "for" --- peri--- from, through, or by---"sins"---i. e. wickedness of men---"the just"---dikaios --- being perfect, blameless, innocent, one approved of God--- "for"-uper--on account of, or by---"the unjust." The apostle's argument is this: If it is God's pleasure that we should suffer by an association with wicked men, it is better that we do so in consequence of right action than wrong; that we may honor-God and his cause after the example of Jesus our Lord and head; "because Christ also suffered from the wickedness of men, though he was blameless, and he suffered even unto death by their sins, or injustice, and he did this---"that he might" -by his example of patience, and manifestation of love to his enemies show the greatness of his Father's love, and thereby---- "bring us to God"--- that we might be reconciled to God, and receive his mercy unto life everlasting: and, so great was the love of Christ, that he suffered oven to the --- "being put to death in the flesh;"---of course really died. having come in the flesh, he actually died in it---not merely escaped out of it, but died in it. But the glorious reward of his thus honoring God by patient suffering from sinners, that he might win them to God, he was --- "quickened" --- made alive --- "by the Spirit" of God: for, God raised him up from the dead. From this example let Christians learn to suffer patiently, as Christ also---in like manner---has suffored, that they may lead men to glorify their Father in heaven.

> By which also he went and preached unto the spirits in prison; Which sometimes were disobedient, when once the long-suffering of God waited in the days of Noah, while the ark was preparing, wherein few, that is, eight souls, were saved by water .---19 and 20.

"By which [spirit] also he [God, who raised up

Christ from the dead] went and preached unto the custody: or as the Syriac version renders it, in scpulchro, i. c. in the grave? Those to whom the spirit of God acted as a reprover in the days of Noah, [see Gen. 6: 3,] and who were "disobedient," are now in prison, in guard, in the grave; and lay there without any principle of eternal life connected with them, because they quenched that spirit which had now raised up Christ from the dead, and which dwelling in men will quicken them to immortality and endless life as truly as it did Christ: so that if it is the will of God that we suffer even unto death, as Christ did, for well doing, we have nothing to fear; while those who injure us, dying without that Spirit in them, will as certainly perish as the sinners in the days of Noah. God's spirit strove with them, while the ark was preparing, through Noah, a preacher of rightcousness; and did so with long-suffering; but they did not profit by it, and were swept away, and are shut up in the grave, where there is no hope, for, there is no work, nor knowledge, nor wisdom in the grave: see Eccles. 9: 10. Let all those who resist God's spirit remember this. Noah and his family "were saved," or preserved alive --- "by"--- dia--through, or during the time of the "water," i. e. the flood-by obeying that Spirit, by means of the ark which bore them above the destroying waters.

The like figure whereunto even baptism doth also now save us, (not the putting away of the filth . the flesh, but the answer of a good conscience toward God) by the resurrection of Jesus Christ: Who is gone into heaven, and is on the right hand of God; angels and authorities and powers being made subject unto him.-21 and 22.

"The like figure"-or, in like manner, corresponding to this --- "baptism" of the Spirit; for, that is the reference---the Spirit raised up Christ---the reception of that Spirit preserved Noah and his family---the rejection of that Spirit brought a flood of water on the spirits now in prison, by which they were destroyed. That spirit received-baptised into the body of Christ by it; for, "by one Spirit we are all baptised into one body," [1 Corth. 12: 13,] as Noah and his family by one Spirit were all brought into the ark-a type, or figure of Christ-thus baptised, we are now saved, or preserved to an eterual life, of which we have the assurance--- "by the resurrection of Jesus Christ from the dead" by that Spirit.

"Who is gone into heaven"-as our fore-runner, and as the evidence and pledge of our partaking in his glory, if we are partakers of the same Spirit that raised him up from the dead, which glory is, that he "is on the right hand of God, angels and authorities and powers being made subject unto him"---even all power in heaven and earth given unto him, so that he can accomplish whatsoever he will for his church, his body.

Or, we may understand the apostle as simply asspirits in prison"-phulake --- in guard, watch, in serting the fact, that the baptism of the Spirit, by which we are saved, i. e. preserved, was, and is the result of Jesus Christ's resurrection from the dead, and ascension into heaven: and the last verses may be thus paraphrased: "The Spirit of God, by which Jesus was was raised from the dead, was that which strove with men prior to the flood, and which led Noah and his family into the ark, wherein they were preserved during the time of the water, or flood, being upon the earth; and in like manner the Spirit now poured out upon us, doth preserve us, by leading us into Christ as Noah was led into the ark, which baptism of the Spirit is by-dia--through the resurrection of Jesus Christ; who has gone into heaven and is on the right hand of God, as I told my hearers on the day of Penticost, when I said, This Jesus hath God raised up whereof we all are witnesses; and being by the right hand of God exalted, and having received of the Father the promise of the Holy Spirit he hath shed forth this which ye now see and hear."

> Such, it appears to us, is the plain and unsophisticated meaning of the apostle. Noah was not saved, i. e. preserved, by water; but he was preserved through the time of the water, or flood, by heeding and being led by the Spirit into the ark; while the rest of the world by resisting that Spirit were destroyed by water, being swept away by the flood. Our salvation or destruction, in like manner, turns on the reception or rejection of that Spirit.

> INQUIRIES BY A HEARER.-Sunday Afternoon.---Mr. Storrs :--- Having listened to your discourse of this morning, in which you stated that man or Adam was created merely a natural man, earthly, animal, &c., it seemed to me that if that be a fact, how can we reconcile it with the statement in Gen. 1: 26, which reads thus, "And God said, let us make man in our image, after our likeness," &c. and 27th verse, "So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he them," &c.?

> Now, if man was made in the image of God, are we to conclude that God, who inhabits eternity, the omnipresent God, has such a form as the natural man? and that in that sense man is created in his image? This seems to me not to be the true meaningof the text. I rather incline to the belief that man was made in the image of God in relation to the purity and holiness of God, and that he did not become earthly, &c., until after his fall.

> I think you also stated in the early part of your discourse, that our Saviour was not immortal, else he could not have died; and afterwards I understood you to say that he was created spiritual, and not as other men.

> REPLY TO HEARER .- One of two things is true, in our opinion, man was created in the image of God

relative to some one attribute, or else in relation to form. God has many attributes, such as omnipotence, omnipresent, omniscience, unchangeability, eternity, immortality, independence, implying dominion, with all his moral perfections in absolute perfection. Now, if we cannot say that man was created in the image of God in all these respects, there must be a reason for selecting some one or more of them as that in which the image consisted; and the text must be the guide in our choice; only one thing is expressed, viz: dominion, i. c. man was to be independent in all his acts; not to be interfered with by any other creature. This was necessary to bring out moral perfection, as there can be no moral perfection where independence of other creatures does not exist. All other creatures were therefore made subject to the creature man, and hence moral manifestations were not expected of them. Man was independent of all other creatures, and had dominion over them; herein consisted the likeness and image of God.

Ĭ,

In our mind there is only one other alternative, and that is, that God is possessed of *a form*; after the likeness and image of which the animal man was created. We do not find anything in the Bible necessarily opposed to this view, but some things favor it. God's Spirit fills heaven and earth; see Psa. I30: 7-9. But that God has a *personal form*, many texts of scripture clearly intimate. See Ex. 33: 23; 1 Kg. 22: 19; Isa. 6: 1; Dan. 7: 9; Acts 7: 55, 56; Heb. 1-3.

On the second difficulty of a "flearer," a spiritual nature is not necessarily immortal; necessary immortality belongs to God alone. A spiritual nature may have also various degrees of perfection. Christ united the spiritual and animal natures in his person: see Heb. 2: 9–18; also, see John 1: 14. He did thus unite the two natures that he might raise man to a spiritual nature, which, when perfected, ends in immortality—endless life. When this is done, then has mortality put on immortality, or "mortality is swallowed up of life."

ELDER BLAIN.—After our notice of this brother, in another column, was in type, he arrived in this city. He has shown us his letter of exclusion from the Washington street Baptist Church, Buffalo, N. Y., on account of holding the doctrine, and preaching it, that the punishment of the wicked is extinction of being, and not endless torture. He asked of that church a letter of dismission and recommendation to sister churches, but in view of the fact that he had recently confessed himself a convert to the doctrine above named, a letter was refused.

At a subsequent meeting, Br. Blain avowed his fixed determination to maintain and publish the doctrine he had embraced. In consequence, the church resolved to withdraw the hand of fellowship from him.

Elder Blain was a City Missionary in Buffalo, and held connection with the aforesaid church with an unblemished character, except his present faith on the end of the wicked. He still adheres to Baptist doctrines and usages, and wishes to be known as a Baptist; but is determined to enjoy his Christian liberty to read and publish the testimony of God, as he understands it. We are glad that he has been enabled, through the mercy of God, to make the choice "to obey God rather than man." He will now devote himself entirely to the work of proclaiming "Life and Immortality through Jesus Christ alone;" and will travel and preach where the door shall be open for him. He is near sixty years old, has been many years a Baptist minister, and has an extensive acquaintance in various parts of the country. He designs removing his residence from Buffalo, but is not yet settled on any particular place. May the blessing of the great Head of the church attend his labors in this city and wherever he shall proclaim the truth.

The following article from an English work was handed us by Br. Blain:

"EXTRACTS FROM 'ESSAYS IN ECCLESIASTICAL BIO-GRAPHY.' By the Right Hon. Sir James Stephens, K. C. B."—These essays originally appeared as articles in the Edinburgh Review, and the following extract is made from the author's 'Epilogue,' now first published, in which he avows, without reserve, orginiof theyhich had been 'rather suggested or as-

"The doctrine of the eternity of the future retribution forms no necessary substratum of any other Christian doctrine. If it could be completely disproved, its disappearance would not dissolve, nor apparently impair, the strength of any other part of that mighty fabric. Every argument, every narrative, every expostulation, every warning in the Bible, would be as complete and intelligible, if not as emphatical, without it as with it. The same thing cannot be said of any other of the main truths revealed in the Holy Scriptures. Each of them is an integral part of the system to which it belongs. Is it then, probable, that a doctrine, which, if true, infinitely outweighs, in importance all the rest of the articles of our creeds, should have been propounded as a mere isolated truth standing in no necessary connection with the rest? Is it not far more probable, that there is an error in that construction of our Saviour's words, which would render him the promulgator of it?

"The angel who descended from heaven and proclaimed to the shepherds the incarnation of the Redeemer, announced himself as the herald 'of good tidings of great joy which should be to all people." But if it be indeed true, that He who was thus made incarnate, proclaimed an eternity of unutterable woe to the vast majority of those, who, from generation churches, how shall we reconcile the angelic annonncement with this awful proclamation? The Gospel is, indeed, intelligence of blessedness, to 'the few who are chosen;' but the same Gospel is, on the popular hypothesis, not less intelligence of wretchedness, surpassing imagination, to 'the many who are called.' Is not, therefore, the accuracy of that hypothesis involved in much improbability?

"The Bible teaches us, that Christ came into the world to bruise the scrpent's head, to destroy the works of the devil, and to establish the kingdom of God: and Christ himself declared that 'He saw Satan like lightning fall from Heaven.' Is it reasonable to accept any construction of the other words of Christ, which would seem to ascribe to the Spirit of Evil an eternal triumph over the Spirit of Good, in the persons of the vast majority of the race whom he lived and died to redeem?

"In our present life, trouble, pain, and sorrow, are indeed, thickly sown. But they exist among us as anomalics, not as laws-as the medicinal and remedial provisions which the Creative wisdom has infused into this economy of things, not as the ultimate end contemplated by that wisdom. In this world, 'nothing terminates on evil;' although, in this world, evil so unhappily abounds.

"Throughout the Holy Scriptures, a constant ap-Father has graciously condescended everywhere to vital influence on his own heart?" point out to us the sacred harmony between His law as revealed by prophets and evangelists, and His law as written by himself on our hearts; and from that harmony we are taught to draw the best and highest proof of the inspiration of those sacred writings. Deeply conscious with what profound reverence it behooves us to apply that test of truth to any opinion deduced by the Church at large from Holy Scripture, we may yet venture to inquire whether it could be successfully applied in the case under consideration? If the words ascribed to our Saviour are not inexorably bound down to the construction they usually receive, by the absolutely inflexible force of the text and of the context, is it not most reasonable to adopt some other construction, to which our own natural sense of justice and equity can respond as clearly as it responds to all the rest of the inspired. canou?

heart that, in the judgment of some, the infliction read. Let it be sent off by thousands. and announcement of no penalty less than that of cents single; \$8 per hundred.

to generation, throng our streets, our marts and our | eternal misery would be sufficient to turn it aside from present sinfulness. But does the dread of that terrific penalty really stem the headlong current of iniquity? Is it really productive of any corresponding alarm? Does it produce an alarm equal to that which would have been excited by the announcement of a penalty of infinitely less amount, but definite and intelligible? Does the world-does the Church -do her ministers-do her saints-really believe this part of the language of our Redeemer in that sense in which they familiarly interpret it? Is any human mind so constituted as to bear the incumbent weight of so fearful a probability of evil so uttorly beyond the reach of exaggeration? Is the texture of any human body vigorous enough to sustain the throes of so agonizing an anticipation? What means the whole course and system of life which is hourly passing before our eyes, and through which we are ourselves passing? Why have our preachers time to engage in study, to harmonize the periods of their sermons, to give heed to our wretched ecclesiastical disputes, to devote one superflous instant to food, to repose, or to occupy themselves with any other thing than the proclamation of the horrors of the approaching calamity, and the only way of escape from it? Let any honest man fairly propose to himself, and Do not, therefore, all fairly answer the question, whether the unutterable the analogies of the Divine government raise a strong disparity between his actual interest in all the fripresumption against that interpretation of our Sa- volities of life, and his professed belief in an eternity viour's discourse, which represents him as forct 'ic of woe, impending probably over himself, but cering a future economy of things, in whichence . - formuly over the vast majority of the human race, does remedial but penal, not transient but eternal, is to be not convict him of professing to believe more than he the doom of the vast majority of the children of Adam? | actually believes? And, if so, is there not some reason to doubt whether he has not erred in attributing peal is made to those moral sentiments, which God to his Saviour a meaning, for which, after all, he himself has implanted in our nature. Our heavenly cannot find any real place in his own mind, or any

> Note by the Editor.-The writer of the foregoing article does not tell us what he thinks will be the end of the wicked; and most likely had never examined the question of their literal destruction. He has, however, reasoned very conclusively against the notion of endless torture.

> "TRUTH AGAINST FICTION."-Such is the title of a pamphlet we have just issued of 84 pages, 12mo. It contains the last three chapters of H. H. Dobney's "Scripture Doctrine of Future Punishment;" in which there is an "Examination of particular texts" supposed to establish the common notion of endless misery, and the popular objections to the doctrine of the destruction of the wicked are "considered."

We have published this pamphlet by the special request of Br. Blain, and under the conviction that he is right in his judgment that it is well calculated to "So invelerate is the corruption of the human meet the inquiries of those who have little time to Price 12d

BIBLE EXAMINER.

NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE, EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

VOL. VI.

PHILADELPHIA, OCTOBER, 1851.

NO. 10

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER. | ratio in which the stimulus increases the action of

PUBLISHED MONTHLY, At No. 52 Vine Street, below Second. PHILADELPHIA, PA. TERMS .- Single copy, for one year, \$1; six copies, \$5; thirteen copies, \$10; always in advance,

THE FUTURE STATE.

BY REV. REGINALD COURTENAY, A. N.

[Continued from page 102.]

The Dependence of the Mind upon the Bodg.

There are many persons who, notwithstanding the authority of numerous passages of Scripture, such as those quoted in the last chapter, are averse to the supposition of a total sleep after death. The import lectual manifestations, to our sentiments, and to our of the biblical texts which seem to promise a state of passions."* consciousness will be considered in the third part of this work: our only concern at present is with the physical reasons which may be advanced in support material causes which, as we know, destroy sensa-of such a view. "It is true," it may be said, "that tion, and the power of voluntary motion, would not we are cut off from the *external* world by the loss of affect *them*; and reason, and imagination, and whatthe organs of sense, but the faculties of memory, hope, imagination, reason, may continue as active as before. Our senses tic us down to things immediately around us; but these faculties are able to transport us in a moment into the regions of the fu-ture and the unseen, and endow us even with a kind capable of internal action, as it is of perceiving exof creative power; they burst the bounds of time and space, by which all corporeal, all terrestrial things are limited, as if in anticipation of a season of still greater energy, when they shall be hampered no more by this cumbrous clog of clay."

The dignity of the facultics for which this extensive freedom is claimed may, very naturally, prevent suspicion in the minds of uninformed persons, that they, as well as the bodily senses, require a to an experimental test. "The organs of the mind functions. accumulated by modern researches on this subject is can be observed, in an unlimited number of in-so great, and the conclusion drawn from them so de-|stances."[†] The truth of the general theory, which so great, and the conclusion drawn from them so decisive, that it may now be unhesitatingly declared, even of the most exalted flights of the human mind, that a brain is as indispensable to them, as nerves are to enable the fingers to feel. "In animals, where little mental power exists, there is a proportionate absence of cerebral organization; and in man, where such mental powers are found in the highest degree, the cerebral organization is the most elaborate. Again, when in man the whole brain has become torpid from disease, an alteration takes place, and he is reduced in point of intellect to a level with the lowest animals; he is capable of taking his food, but all other volutary action is lost, in proportion as the mentioned by Dr. Abereromble. In most of these cases, it should disease prevails. Now what is the case when the boobserved, the disease has attacked only one side of the head; brain is excited to an unusual state of activity? We | and as the organs on each side correspond exactly one to the find a corresponding alteration, that is, an increased activity, in the mental manifestations. In the ordi-disease should inpair the activity of mind in a greater, if in so nary uso of fermented liquors, until, from their great a degree, as the loss of one eye or car impairs the faculty being taken to excess, torpor is superinduced by a of seeing or hearing. the operation of the mind, the sen-timents, and the passions, are quickened in the same Objects. By George Coombe.

the brain." * * * "The intellectual powers and feelings are never completely restored, if the inflammatory action has remained unsubdued until the organization of the brain and its membranes has be-come permanently injured." * * * "It is quite clear that every other part of the body may be dis-eased or even totally destroyed; and still, if the brain continue to be healthy, the mental manifesta-tions will remain unaffected. May we not then from these instances fairly conclude that there is a necessary connexion between the mental manifestations and the state of the brain, and that, at all events, in these extremes, cases of complete torpor, and excited action, the injurious alteration which results, is to be traced to the state of the brain?" "We know that the assistance of the brain is necessary to our intel-

And further, if the superior powers of the mind were independent of the bodily organization, those ever faculties are more excellent than the rest, would remain in full vigor, while the body was in a state of stupor, or in convulsions.

In confirmation of this view that the brain is the ternal things without the bodily senses, it may be fearlessly alleged, that each part of the brain is the organ of a separate faculty of the mind; and that no faculty exists which has not its appropriate organ. These faculties have been distinguished; and the brain marked out with such precision, as to enable any one who pleases, with practice and patient at-tention, to bring the truth of the phrenological theory material mechanism for the performance of their can be seen and felt, and their size estimated-and But nevertheless, the number of facts the mental manifestations also that accompany them

> * Ellis on Insaulty, page 18, &c. According to Dr. John Abercromble, however, there are circumstances in which the brain has been extensively diseased, "without the phenomena of mind being impaired in any sensible degree." This holds true both in regard to the destruction of each individual part of the brainand likewise to the extent to which the cerebral mass may be diseased or destroyed. "A man mentioned by Dr. Terriar, who died of an affection of the brain, retained all his faculties entire till the very moment of death, which was sudden; on examining his head, the whole right hemisphere, that is, one-half of his brain, was found destroyed by suppuration." Several other instances, which it is not worth while here to give in detail, are

is all that need here be insisted on, is confirmed not | ness ceases-and arguing from analogy we suppose merely by scattered and miscellaneous instances, but in well ascertained and striking classes of instances. The mental characteristic of the principal races and nations of mankind, and of the sexes, and of infancy, youth, manhood, and old age, are well known, and the agreement between the development or deficiency of particular faculties and of particular parts of the brain is found to subsist, upon so extensive a scale, as to preclude the possibility of doubt as to the general fact, that the brain is the organ of the mind. No faculties, not even those which impel us to discover and adore a Supreme Being, or recognise the force of moral obligations, can in this world dispense with the aid of a material mechanism.* Immeasurably exalted as we are, by the possession of these powers, above the brutes, we yet do not appear to have made any approach, physically speaking, to an exemption from the general law of mortality.

If it is reasonable to conclude, that after death we shall no longer feel, or hear, or see, because those material organs are destroyed, or impeded in some essential function, by which we felt and heard and saw, it is also reasonable to conclude that we shall cease to think, when the organs of thought are rendered

not go so far as to assert, that nothing beyond mere but it certainly is not inert. All organized matter particles of matter survives the dissolution of the body. For though we be incapable of thought or feeling, an immaterial principle which once anima-ted the body, and by which, or rather with which, we thought and felt, may still continue to exist. But it does assert that, at death, a suspension, a total suspension of every faculty of the mind ensues.

Such a suspension of the mental energies sometimes takes place without the dissolution of the body; in consequence of some severe bodily injury or other impediment to the corporeal functions; and ceases when the impediment is removed. "A man was pressed on board one of his majesty's ships early in the late revolutionary war. While on board this vessel, in the Mediterranean, he received a fall from the yard arm, and when he was picked up, was found to be insensible." So he continued for a long fact most persons in health have a practical proof, space of time, utterly incapable of motion, except of the lips and tongue when he wanted food. Above thirteen months afterwards he was trepanned, and gradually, in the space of four or five days, re-covered his senses. "For a period of thirteen months and some days," says Sir A. Cooper, "his mind had remained in a state of perfect oblivion; he had during the interval of sleep, though we had no condrank, as it were, the cup of Lethe; he had suffered a COMPLETE DEATH as far as regarded his mental, and almost all his bodily powers; but by removing a small portion of bone with the saw, he was at once restored to all the functions of his mind, and almost all the powers of his body." It is to no purpose to inquire what becomes of the immaterial part during the interval of torpor; or attempt to decide whether it ceases to be, or merely ceases to act. All conscious-

• I think it is now too late to deny all foundation to the phre. nological system. But as it is a system based upon induction and of such a nature that very material errors of observation may be committed, without in the least invalidating the general principles, it may be unsafe to assert positively, of any particular organ, that its procise position and functions are ascertained. But those of the organs of Veneration and Conscientiousness are not considered by phrenologists as comparatively ill ascertained. If the suggestion of Dr. Mayo, in his "Pathology of the Human Mind," pp. 55, 56, should prove true, phrenology will gain both years had since clapsed."-Archbishop Whately. in simplicity of principles and practical certainty. A want of attention to this distinction between

that the same thing happens when we die; and it matters but little whether any living powers, or thinking principles survive, if consciousness be gone. We may believe, if we will, that something survives the dissolution of the body, which is capable of being put into new relations with matter, of inhabiting and using a new or a renovated body; or of being made to think and feel, and perceive external objects, and even act upon them, without any body whatever. But that it actually will be put into new relations with matter; or that it will be endued with powers, (which now it certainly does not possess,) of perceiving or acting on external things, or performing any process of thought, without bodily organs, we have no reason whatever to expect, unless upon moral er religious grounds.

The force of this conclusion will be more clearly seen, if the nature of the mutual dependency of the mind and body be attentively considered. Neither of the two, so far as we can discover, is capable of acting by itself. Matter, as has been already observed, is, by itself, inert and insensate; and so also is mind, except when matter, in peculiar forms, and in states in a great measure incomprehensible to us, is co-opincapable of action. It is very possible that the real nature and force of the expressions, "mind and matter," and "mind and this conclusion may be misapprehended. It does body," as equivalent. The body may be insensate, has a certain inherent activity. Upon the ink in the glass before the writer, a greenish mould will appear, after a short exposure to the air; possessing in itself a power of growth and motion, apparently as much its own, as independent of the Creator, as are the minds of the bighest earthly creatures, who in Him live, and move, and have their being. Nor is the human body more inert itself than is the human mind, or the mould upon the ink.

A stunning blow on the head, as has been before observed, will render the human mind as inert and insensate as the weapon that inflicted the injury. It would seem, then, that a thinking principle, or sentient principle, or living power may continue to exist, without the continuance of thought or sensation, or any kind of mental life, or consciousness. Of this every night of their lives. Language does not admit of a stronger expression, to indicate a total cessation of consciousness, than dreamless sleep. From such a sleep we wake, as often as it pleases the Creator, and our mental powers come back to us-whence we know not. We suppose that they existed in some mode "Sleep, or however a swoon," argues sciousness. Dr. Butler, in his Analogy of Religion, "shows us not only that these powers exist when they are not exercised, as the passive power of motion does in inanimate matter; but shows also that they exist when there is no present capacity of exercising them; or that the capacities of exercising them for the present, as well as the actual exercise of them, may be suspended, and yet the powers themselves remain undestroyed." "There have been instances of madness and apoplexy, in which all the ordinary operations of the mind having been completely suspended for several years, the patients, on the recovery of their senses, have been found totally unconscious of the whole interval, and distinctly remembering and speaking of, as having happened the day before, events which occurred before the injuries; so that they could hardly be brought to believe that whole

writers into error, in arguing on physical grounds for the immortality of the soul. "Whatever other effects death may have," observes Dr. Brown, "it is at least evident that when it has taken place, the our continuing to exist in the like state of reflection, bodily organs moulder away, by the influence of a which we do now." * * * "Our daily expe-decomposition more or less rapid. What was once to our eyes a human being, is a human being no assistance that we know of, from those bodies which more; and where the organization is as if it had never been, every feeling and thought, if states of mere organs, must be also as if they had never been. The most interesting of all questions, therefore, with respect to our hopes of immortality, is whether thought be a state of the mere organs which decay thus evidently before our eyes, or a state of something which our senses, that are confined to the mere organs, cannot reach; of something which, as it is beyond the reach of our senses, may therefore subsist as the days of Bishop Butler. The indispensable ne-well, when every thing which comes under our cessity to our present powers of reflection of a *brain*, senses, exist in any one state, as in any other state." And in a preceding passage the same great meta-physician says, "the belief of the immateriality of the sentient and thinking principle destroys the only analogy on which the supposition of the limitation of such as sleep, a swoon, or a stunning blow—that it a vitation of our protection of the limitation of such as sleep, a swoon, or a stunning blow—that

our senses cannot reach, and which survives the dis- clusion. It has since been demonstrated, that any solution of the body, of what value are our hopes of cause which, though it may not deprive a man of his immortality, if the action of the sentient and thinking principle be suspended; if, though the mental powers of reflection as immediately and effectually, powers remain undestroyed, there be no "capacity as a disease of the retina will sometimes destroy of exercising them?" A swoon can in a moment de- the power of sight. It is impossible not to conclude, of exercising them?" A swoon can in a moment de-prive us of this capacity; sleep appears to produce therefore, that our bodies are just as necessary to us, the same effect; and every analogy leads us to supwhen we sleep the sleep of death. For the purposes of this latter state after death, Butler never argues: of the argument of Bishop Butler, in his chapter of although it is evident that sensation is not altogether a Future Life, it was sufficient to show that we have a corporeal and material function, but that there no reason to conclude, from the dissolution of the body, that the principle by which we think and feel truction of which, at death, he would be the first is necessarily destroyed. By proving that it may, person to deny that there is any proof. and probably does subsist, all prejudice, all a priori objections are removed, to the probability of that future life, a belief in which natural religion dictates, and which is positively declared by revelation. In carrying his argument beyond this point, Butler has relied too much on a supposed independency of the higher powers of the mind on the body. "Human creatures," he says, "exist at present in two states of life and perception, greatly different from each other; each of which has its own peculiar laws, and first Adam, holiness and everlasting life, of body and its own peculiar enjoyments and sufferings. When soul, in paradise. It is, however, especially to be its own peculiar enjoyments and sufferings. any of our senses are affected, or appetites gratified with the objects of them, we may be said to exist, or live, in a state of sensation. When none of our senses are affected, or appetites gratified, and yet we per-ceive, and reason, and act, we may be said to exist, or live, in a state of reflection. Now it is by no means *certain*, that any thing which is dissolved by death is in any way necessary to the living being, in this its state of reflection, after ideas are gained. For though, from our present constitution and condition of being, our external organs of sense are necessary for conveying in ideas to our reflecting powers, as carriages and levers and scaffolds are in architecture; yet, when these ideas are brought in, we are capable of reflecting in the most intense degree, as of enjoying the greatest pleasure, and feeling the greatest is bidden with Christ in God. When Christ who is pain, without any assistance from our senses; and our Life shall appear, then shall ye also appear with without any at all, which we know of, from that body which will be dissolved by death." * * * Hence the resurrection of Christ was contemplated

mental powers and actual consciousness, or at least "There appears so little connexion between our bo-an under estimate of its importance, has led many dily powers of sensation, and our present powers of dily powers of sensation, and our present powers of reflection, that there is no reason to conclude that death, which destroys the former, does so much as suspend the exercise of the latter, or interrupt assistance that we know of, from those bodies which will be dissolved by death; and our finding often, that the exercise of them is so lively to the last;these things afford a sensible apprehension that death may not perhaps be so much as a discontinuance of the exercise of these powers, nor of the enjoyments and sufferings which it implies."

Many of the physical facts which prove the dependence of our powers of reflection upon "that body which will be dissolved by death" were unknown in its existence to the period of our mortal life could destroys sensation, does equally destroy reflection; be founded." tending as these facts certainly do, to imply a close But though thought be a state of something which dependency, might have led him to a different conanimal powers, renders his brain torpid, destroys his when we "live in a state of reflection," as when we "live in a state of sensation." For the continuance must be some sentient principle besides, of the des-

LIFEIN CHRIST.

BY REV. EDWARD WHITE, ENGLAND.

"CHRIST IS MADE UNTO US REDEMPTION." To redeem is to recover, or gain back again; and therefore the scriptural term "redemption" comprehends the recovery of all that we have lost in the soul, in paradisc. It is, however, especially to be observed, that in accordance with the doctrine which represents man as essentially a compound being, having his characteristic 'image' in the body, the inspired writers uniformly pass over in comparative silence the happy condition of the separate spirits of the faithful, and dwell upon the resurrection as the true hope of the believer, the genuine and ultimate re-demption of humanity. Whether the Apostles aim to demption of humanity. Whether the Apostles aim to comfort the afflicted Christian, or to stimulate the slothful, or to warn the unruly, or to strike terror into the ungodly, they derive their chief motives from the fact of our Lord's second coming in glory, and the resurrection which is so immediately con-nected with it. "Ye are dead," says Paul, "and your life (the life eternal of the compound humanity)

Psalm ii. 7: Acts xiii. 33.

Hence the Resurrection is denominated the Adoption, or manifestation of sonship; "waiting for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of the body." Rom. viii. 23.

Hence also the resurrection is called the regeneration, the completion of the new birth unto holy and everlasting life. "Ye which have followed me, in the regeneration, when the Son of Man shall sit upon the throne of his glory, ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel." Matt xix. 28.

Such is the Apostle's hope:-"That I may know him, and the power of his resurrection, and the fellowship of his sufferings, being made conformable unto his denth, if by any means I might attain unto the resurrection of the dead." Such, too, his prayer for Such. too, his prayer for the Ephesians, that they might "know what was the hope of their calling, and what the exceeding greatness of his power to usward who believe, according to the working of his nighty power, which he wrought in Christ when he raised him from the dead, and set him at his own right hand in the heavenly places." Phil. iii. 10. Eph. i. 18.

The irresistible force of Christ's resurrection to immortal life is represented as bursting the bands of death, and carrying along with him to the heavenly regions the ransomed victims of Satan's mortal tyranny. Then only will his work be completed, when the Lord himself shall be revealed from heaven, rending those heavens with his triumphant shout of "Death is swallowed up in victory!"

That hour will surely come! The creation groans for its approach .- "Even So, Come, Lord Jesus." is the Church's responsive prayer. For then will the gates of a fairer Paradise be opened than that which Adam lost, and a sublimer Eden unfold its brilliant landscapes to the enraptured gaze of God's rejoicing family. The ransomed of the Lord shall return and come to Zion with songs, and everlasting joy upon their heads; they shall obtain joy and gladness, and sorrow

and sighing shall flee away. Isa. xxxv. 10. We shall then bear the "image of the heavenly," and a "spiritual body" shall minister to a spiritual mind. This vile tabernacle of earth shall be exchanged for "a house not made with hands, eternal in the heavens." Then shall an indestructible and boundless life intensely burn in every countenance and move in every limb ! The eye shall be opened to a keener insight, and to a wider survey of the immeasurable universe, and lighten with the fires of an undying intelligence! The ear shall be attuned for loftier harmonies, and strengthened to endure the reverberations of the music of celestial song! Then shall the voice pour forth the powerful languages of angels, in tones that cannot weary, and with an eloquence that never fails! Then shall the feeble sufferer, whom Satan bound for years on earth, leap as an hart, spring forward or "fly swiftly" with resistless force, and with a spirit which no exertions can fatigue! Then shall the glory of the Lord our God be upon us in majestic lineaments, which retain their freshness through eternal ages, and in features of awful and immortal beauty, liable to no decay: "They shall drink of the River of thy Pleasures; for at thy right hand there is fulness of joy, and pleasure for evermore!"

But "all these joys of the blessed," (says Jeremy Taylor, in a passage characterised by his usual quaint sublimity, and which, notwithstanding its length, we either are or have been, or possibly can be, are con-shall venture to cite in conclusion,) "both in soul tained: whatever else is great or delightful in the and body, which are innumerable, have their source world, together with all the pleasure and perfections Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

as the era of his public adoption as the Son of God, and original from that unspeakable joy of the clear vision of Gop. And how can the joy be less which springs from such a Cause, who gives himself to be possessed by man. Therefore not without great mystery it is said, 'Enter into the joy of thy Lord.' Ĭt is not said, simply, into joy; but to determine the greatness of it, it was his own joy, that joy by which he himself becomes happy. We are to consider that there is nothing in this world which hath not for its end some manner of perfection. And that those things which are capable of reason and knowledge. have, in that perfection, a particular joy and com-placency; which joy is lesser or greater according as that end is more or less perfect. Since therefore the divine perfection is infinitely greater than that of all the creatures, the joy of God which is in himself (for he hath no end or perfection distinct from himself) is infinitely greater than that of all things besides. This joy out of his infinite goodness He hath been pleased to make the holy angels and blessed souls partakers of, communicating unto the just his own felicity. Therefore the joy of saints, which is that of the beautiful vision, wherein consists the joy and happiness of God, must needs be infinite and ineffable; and all the happiness and contents of this world in respect of it are bitter as aloes and wormwood. By how much a delectable object is more nearly and straitly united to the faculty, by so much greater is the joy and delight which it produces: therefore God, who is the most excellent and delightful object, being in the bentifical vision united to the soul with the most intimate union that can be in a pure creature, must necessarily cause a most inexplicable joy, incomparably greater than all the joys, real or imaginable, which can be produced by the creatures now existent or possible. For as the Divine Perfection encloseth within itself all the perfections of things created, possible or imaginable, so the joy which it causeth in the souls of the blessed, must be infinitely greater than all other joys which either have or can be caused by the creature. If there were in the world a man as wise as an angel, we should all desire to see him, as the queen of Sheba did Solomon; but if to this wisdom were joined the strength of Samson, the victories of Maccabæus, the affability of David, the friendliness of Jonathan, the liberality of the Emperor Titus, and to all this, the beauty and comeliness of Absalom; who would not love and desire to live and converse with this admi-Why, then, do we not love the sight rable person? of God, in whom all those perfections and graces are infinitely united, and which we ourselves, if we serve him, are to enjoy, as if they were our own?

"O how great and delightful a theatre shall it be to see God as he is, with all his infinite perfections. and the perfections of all creatures, which are eminently contained in the Deity! How admirable were that spectacle, where were represented all that are, or have been, pleasant or admirable in the world! If one were placed where he might behold the seven wonders of the world, the sumptuous banquets made by Ahasuerus, the rare shows exhibited by the Rcmans, the wealth of Crossus, the Assyrian and Roman monarchs, and all these jointly together, who would not be transported with joy and wonder at so admirable a sight? But more happy was he upon whom all these were bestowed, together with a thousand years of life, wherein to enjoy them. Yet all these were nothing in respect of the beatifical vision of God, in whom these and all other perfections that either are or have been, or possibly can be, are con-

that all the men of the world have obtained or shall position. Israel was in bondage to the Gentile naobtain to the world's end; all the wisdom of Solomon, all the sciences of Plato and Aristotle, or all the strength of Aristomenes or Milo, all the beauty of Paris and Adonis; if they should give all these to one person, it would have no comparison, and would seem a loathsome thing, being compared only to the delight which will be enjoyed in seeing God to all eternity: because in Ilim will be seen a theatre of death, and resurrection, they fixed only upon his bliss and greatness, wherein is comprised as in one, the greatness of all creatures. In Ilim will be found eign powers. This view was common to the disciples all the riches of gold, the delightfulness of the meadows, the brightness of the sun, the pleasantness of music, the beauty of the heavens, the majesty and lustre of the stars, the contentedness of all the filled their hearts; and that sorrow was not dissipa-senses, and all that can be either admired or en- ted by the *report* that he was risen from the dead, joyed.

"Let us therefore rejoice who are Christians, unto whom so great blessings are promised; let us rejoice that heaven was made for us, and let this hope banish all sadness from our hearts: let those grieve and be melancholy, who have no hope of heaven, and not implicit belief in what the prophets had said, in its we, unto whom Christ hath promised the blessedness of His glory. Let this hope comfort us, this joy refresh us; and let us now begin to enjoy that here, which we are ever hereafter to possess; for hope is an anticipation of joy: upon this we ought to place all our thoughts, turning our eyes from all the goods and delights of earth. From hence I will shut up the windows of the senses; the things of the world seeming unto me unworthy to be looked upon, after the contemplation of the heavenly, in which hope I only will rejoice."

And now unto Him who is able to keep us from falling, and to present us faultless before the presence of his glory with exceeding joy, be praise and dominion for evermore. Amen.

-0-TRUE LITERALISM. BY THE EDITOR.

"Then said he unto them, O fools and slow of heart to believe all that the prophets have spoken."-LUKE 24: 25.

This text as translated has a harshness that does not appear in the original. The idea of fools in the scuse now understood is not there. The Greek word pounded unto them in all the scriptures the things anocetoi signifies unvoise, and is so translated Rom. 1: concerning himself." That was a wonderful ser-There seems, however, a providence in its being 14. translated as it is in our text; for, we know of no folly that equals that of discrediting the words of mon, the same evening at Jerusalem in the midst of God by the prophets; and they are discredited when all his disciples, thus: "And he said unto them, not received according to their most obvious import. Those words may be accommodated to other events, but in doing so must not be withdrawn from their plain and obvious meaning.

The words of the text were spoken by our Lord Jesus Christ to two of his followers after his resurrection, and while on their way to Emmaus the evening after that event. They were walking and sad. Jesus drew near and went with them, but their eyes were holden that they did not know him. He inquired the cause of their sorrow, and they expressed astonishment that he should be ignorant of the events which so deeply afflicted themselves. They then state the fact of the crucifixion of Jesus of Nazareth, and add, "We trusted that it had been he which should have redeemed Israel." In this expression there could have been no such idea of redeeming as that the things which the prophets spoke concerning is now usually attached to the term redeem, but it Messiah at his first advent had a fulfilment agreeing signifies to liberate, to deliver Israel. To understand with the principle we are contending for, i. e. the ob-then their words, we must place ourselves in their vious literal one, we shall now proceed to inquire

tions, or to the rulers of those nations. Israel's throne and kingdom had centuries previously been overturned, and Israel subjected to a foreign rule. But Israel had been promised a Deliverer-the Messiah. When Jesus appeared, the nation were in expectation of their Messiah; but overlooking certain prophecies which spoke plainly of his sufferings, reign and their deliverance from this bondage to forand the nation generally. Hence when Jesus was condemned by the Jews and put to death, the hope of his followers was laid in the grave, and sadness but their perplexity seemed to be increased. All this arose from want of a clear understanding of and faith in the things which the prophets had spoken. That which seemed to them so exceedingly improbable, or impossible, arose from lack of faith, or an most literal sense. This unbelief was the result of an inveterate prejudice against the idea of a literal death of Messiah, as is evident from the fact that when our Lord announced to his disciples that he would "be killed and raised again the third day," Peter rebuked him, saying, "Be it far from thee, Lord: this shall not be unto thee." Matt. 16: 22. They could not credit it that he meant to be understood according to the literal import of the words. The disciples, in this respect, were no more unbelieving than many now are with respect to many of the prophecies still on record, and clearly unfulfilled. unless some spiritual interpretation of them can be given, which, in our apprehension, is as wide of truth as reality is from fancy. Yet we do not condemn those who err in these matters: they may be as sincere and love Jesus as well as the sorrowful and stricken disciples did when Jesus rebuked them as in our text. They are unwise in their departure from the plain and obvious sense of the prophets, and slow of heart to believe that which shocks their prejudices or previous opinions.

Jesus goes on to say, "Ought not Christ to have suffered these things, and to enter into his glory? And beginning at Moses and all the prophets, he exmon, and it made the hearts of these sorrowful disciples burn within them. Jesus concludes that ser-These are the words which I spake unto you, while I was yet with you, that all things must be fulfilled which were written in the law of Moses, and in the prophets, and in the psalms, concerning me. Then opened he their understanding, that they might understand the scriptures. And said unto them, thus it is written, and thus it behoved Christ to suffer, and to rise from the dead the third day." Luke 24: 44-46. Thus forever settling the principle that the prophets are to have that interpretation which their language most plainly and obviously imports. Not to adhere to this principle is to launch forth into the wide sea of speculation where thousands have already been wrecked, and wasted their strength in fruitless efforts to save their sinking vessel.

As there is no longer a controversy as to the fact

relative to other subjects of prophecy relating to tened; thou has covered him with shame." Messiah and his reign. We may find men are as throne was cast down several hundred years pr slow to believe the prophets now as at the first advent. The prophecies now to be considered are those which obviously have not yet had their full accomplishment relating to Messiah, as-

KING-HIS THRONE-THE PLACE OF THAT THRONE -HIS KINGDOM-HIS DOMINION-HIS ASSOCIATES-His SUBJECTS, &c., though they may not all be considered in this article.

PSA. 132: 11, "The Lord hath sworn in truth unto David—he will not turn from it—Of the fruit of thy body will I set upon thy throne." It is David's seed, then, that is to possess that throne which David occupied; and that never was in heaven above: for there David never had a throne; and Peter tells us, Acts 2: 31, "David is not ascended into the heavens." The place is distinctly stated in the Psa. hefore us, for it is added, "The Lord hath chosen Zion-he of Hosts will perform this." hath desired it for his habitation. This is my rest forever-here will I dwell, for I have desired it." He then proceeds to say what he will do there. "I will abundantly bless her provision-I will satisfy her to possess it, when it is again set up, Gabriel expoor with bread. I will clothe her priests with salvation; and her saints shall shout aloud for joy"instead of groaning in themselves as under the gospel conomy. See Rom. 8: 23. It is then added— "There [in Zion] will I make the horn [power] of David to bud." [lleb. tsomakh—to grow forth to d." [IIeb. tsomakh-to grow forth, to "I have ordained [Heb. orakh-prespring up.] "I have ordained [Heb. orakh—pre-pared] a lamp [Heb. nair—a light] for mine an-ointed." Messiah was to be God's "prepared light." over "spiritual Israel" merely, but over the houseof Accordingly, at his first advent, Simeon thus speaks Jacob. No chance is given here for equivocation. of him: "A light to lighten the Gentiles and the glory of the people Israel." Luke 2: 32. Here is a throne to heaven, and make the people over whom clear New Testament testimony in which the dis- the dominion was to be exercised merely a spiritual tinction is kept up between those benefitted of the or immortal people, Gabriel varies the form of ex-Gentiles and Israel: the latter are not a seed from among the Gentiles, but are those, many of whom fined spiritualiser is totally excluded from transferwere to fall and rise again; Luke 2: 34; so that here ring the promise to another than the literal posterity is no ground for seeking any other than the most of Jacob: and such spiritualisers, to be consistent, o'vious sense. Mossiah then is yet to become "the should insist upon it, that David's throne means hea glory" of that people. This will be done by setting ven on high: but as all the prophets forbid that, and up that throne which had been overturned and res-toring the kingdom. That throne had been cast down as the prophets had said. In the 89th Psalm the earth, why not also admit that Jacob's posterity is a very peculiar prophecy relative to this matter. "I have found David my servant * Т will set his hand in the sea, and his right hand in the rivers. He shall cry unto me, Thou art my Father, of the Psalms "concerning" Christ, and which, so far as fulfiled, has been literal. "I ascend to my Futher and your Father, to my God and your God." Father and your Father, to my God and your God." of some of Jacob's posterity will not be continued John 20: 17. And his Father and God was the "Rock eternally, or without end. If mere assertion would of his salvation." When he poured out his soul unto prove that point, then it may be made out; for men, death, death would have held its prey, but God his Father raised him up from the dead, and thus became the rock of his salvation.

The prophecy proceeds—"I will make him my first born, higher than the kings of the earth. * * His seed [the children which God hath given me; see a reverse change will be morally impossible, we do Heb. 2: 13,] will I make to endure forever, [and not doubt; but that a period will ever arrive when therefore, they shall be made immortal, as well as no more of the race of Adam will be in a state of trial the first born] and his throne as the days of heaven. is what has never been demonstrated from scripture, * His seed shall endure forever, [hence incorruptible and immortal] and his throne as the sun however, that will not be the fact; we only say, it before me." But before it would be thus established it would be subverted and remain for a long time phots. But further, in reply to the objection under trodden under foot of Gentile governments; hence it is contained in the same Pashn, v. 44, "There hast made his glory to cease, and cast his throne down to nature of the subject. The whole of a dispensation the ground. The days of his youth hast thou shor- is called everlasting. The priesthood of Aaron was

That throne was cast down several hundred years prior to the first advent, and in the days of its youth: the throne is left desolate for a long period, not yet ended; but nevertheless God had said, "Once have I sworn by my holiness that I will not lie unto David:" verse 35; therefore that throne must be rc-established and Messiah must sit thereon.

In confirmation of this fact, let us examine the prophet Isaiah, chap. 9: 7. Speaking of the child born—the Prince of Peace—the prophet saith, "Of the increase of his government and peace there shall be no end," [where?] "upon THE THRONE of David, and upon his kingdom to order it," [Heb. koon, lit-erally, to set firm, to establish it. Prof. Pick, "to reestablish it"-Septuagint,] "and to establish it" [to support it-Sept.] "with judgment and with justice from henceforth even forever. The zeal of the Lord

Here is a full confirmation of the fact that the throne of David is to be re-established, and be overturned no more: and that Jesus is the person who is pressly affirms, Luke 1: 32, 33, when he spake of the child to be born of Mary. He said, "He shall be great, and shall be called the Son of the Highest; and the Lord God shall give unto him the throne of his Father David. And he shall reign over the house of Jacob forever; and of his kingdom there shall be no end." Luke 1: 32, 33. Here the heir of the pression from Israel to Jacob; so that the most reof Jacob: and such spiritualisers, to be consistent, they are compelled to admit that David's throne was on Mount Zion, in Jerusalem, in Palestine, and on in the flesh are to constitute the citizens of the territorial kingdom under Messiah when he shall sit on that throne? If they object that this reign over the house of Jacob is forever, and therefore they cannot pairot-like, have talked about "the end of probation, just as they have talked about "the immortal soul" till they really think it is heresy to doubt the fable. That a period will arrive to each individual at which they will become confirmed in sin or holiness, so that nor do wo think it ever can be; we do not affirm,

an everlasting priesthood; but it has passed away, or East:" leaving no room for a doubt that his words another dispensation has been opened which brought are to be understood in their most obvious sense. it to an end. So the reign over the house of Jacob "Ilis feet shall stand in that day upon the Mount of in the flesh forever is and must be a truth, yet it may be a truth relating to a dispensation as distinct shall cleave in the midst, * * * and the from the one which has preceded it or that may fol- Lord my God shall come, and all the saints [holy low after it, as either of them are distinct from the ones] with thee." The whole to be accomplished **Mosaic** dispensation.

the house of Jacob, the prophet Jeremiah shall now manner of his birth, or his coming into Jerusalem all that the prophets have spoken," we think the con-troversy must be ended. In Jer. 3: 12 and onward, a fulfilment as their obvious sense imports; yet after the ten tribes had been absent from the land strange as they were, they were fulfilled in that more than a hundred years—carried away into the manner; and we are truly sorry for the slowness of north—the Prophet is bid "go and proclaim these heart that some good men manifest "to believe all words towards the north, and say, Return, back- the prophets have spoken." sliding Israel, saith the Lord * acknowledge thine iniquity * * * for I am married unto you: and I will take you one of a city and two of a family, and I will bring you to Zion:" "** [where David's throne is to be, as it once was.] multiplied and increased in the land in those days, saith the Lord, * * * at that time shall they call Jerusalem THE THRONE of the Lord; and all the nations shall be gathered unto it, to the name of the Jerusalem, which cannot be spiritualized, nor per-Lord; to Jerusalem, neither shall they walk any more verted from their obvious sense except by such as after the imaginations of their evil heart:" [i. e., in are "slow of heart to believe all that the prophets idolatry, and backsliding from the Lord.] Now have spoken." Then follows the fact that there will mark what follows: "In those days, [when they be "left of all the nations," who will be required to shall call Jerusalem the throne of the Lord,] the house of Judah shall walk with the house of Israel, [the backsliding house that God said he was married threatened with plagues, if disobedient, showing to,] and they shall come TOGETHER out of the land of they are persons still on trial, or in probation. To the north to the land that I have given for an inheri- say these left are the immortal, is an unwarrantable tance unto your fathers."

be mistaken as to the fact of a future return of backsliding Israel and treacherous Judah [see verses 7 | left are the wicked, who are now to be destroyed, is and 8] to the land of Jacob, at a time which has to charge God foolishly. Such persons say, God calls never yet come, than the texts can be mistaken them to come up to keep the feast of tabernacks, which affirm immortality through Christ to them who believe. Any attempt to set aside such texts under the pretence that they had a fulfilment at the return of Judah and Benjamin from Babylon; or that they arc only conditional, and may not come to pass, or that they are to have their fulfilment in the spiritual seed alone, only shows us how justly and pertinently the words of our Lord, "O unwise and slow of heart to believe all that the prophets have spoken"-may be applied to all such persons. Their unbelief in our view, is precisely of the character of that rebuked by our Lord. We sincerely hope it may prove true that such as merit this rebuke may spirit. as soon be convinced of their error as were the disciples: our love for them makes us desire that they may be. We feel not like reviling them: we have been in time past in the same unbelief.

We now pass to a brief consideration of Zech. 14. results in the taking of the city, &c., "Then shall the Lord go forth and fight against those nations as his letters, a book rolled out on the floor. when he fought in the day of battle." This descrip- book is that?" said the merchant. "It is t tion cannot answer to any past taking of Jerusalem: certainly not at its destruction by the Romans, for God fought against the city and for its captors. That riously into the merchant's face, and replied, "I the prophet is careful to make certain, by introducing mediately engaged his services, and in due time he the Mount of Olives and giving its geographical sit- became a partner in the firm, one of the most re-uation to Jorusalem. It is "before Jorusalem on the spectable in the city.

just as literally as the prophecies were which related But that we do not mistake as to such a reign over to the place where Messiah should be born, or the

Another fact in this chapter shows that the time is * for I am yet future and the events to be understood according to their most obvious sense. "The Lord shall be king over all the earth: in that day shall there be one Lord, and his name one:" verse 9. No such * And it shall come to pass when ye be time has ever yet arrived: no such reign has over yet taken place.

The prophet then describes the plagues with which the Lord will visit those who have fought against come "up to worship the King, the Lord of Hosts, and keep the feast of tabernacles;" and these left are nce unto your fathers." This text is but one of a class which can no more with plagues! "O, unwise and slow of heart to believe all the prophets have spoken." To say, the though he has determined to cut them all off. lf they come, according to this view, they are never-theless to be cut off, and if they refuse, it is the same! What mockery is this! We understand the words on the principle advocated in this article, according to their plain and obvious sense, and leave others, who are slow of heart to believe, to depart from it if they will. We hesitate not to say, as our conviction, it is only an apology for not believing what God has said, because we cannot see how the thing can be done; and thus think of God as if he were like ourselves. Let us beware of such a

THE BEST RECOMMENDATION .- A youth seeking employment went to one of our large cities, and on inquiring at a certain counting-room if they wished a clerk, was told that they did not. On mentioning That chapter opens with a statement that God would the recommendations he had, one of which was from gather all nations against Jerusalem to battle, which a highly respectable citizen, the merchant desired to see them. In turning over his carpet-bag to find his letters, a book rolled out on the floor. "What book is that?" said the merchant. "It is the Bible, sir." was the reply. "And what are you going to do with that book in New York?" The lad looked sethis is a correct view is further evident from what promised my mother I would read it every day, and I follows, and that it is the literal city of Jerusalem shall do it," and burst into tears. The merchant im-

-0

BIBLE EXAMINER.

PHILADELPHIA, OCTOBER, 1851.

BEAUTIES OF IMMONTAL-SOULISM.—The following inscription is taken from a marble slab in the graveyard at Pittsfield, N. Y.

"Death is the crown of life. Were death denied, poor man would live in vain. Death wounds to cure. We fall—we rise to reign—spring from our fetters, fasten in the skies. Life makes the soul dependant on the dust—Death gives her wings to mount above the spheres. Death gives us more than was in Eden lost. This King of Terror is the Prince of Peace."

Such blasphemy as this is worthy of the source from whence it emanates. The notion of an immortal soul in man begat and nourishes this robbery of God and his Christ. If "death is the crown of life," who puts it on our head? Answer-"The Devil;" for he "had the power of death." Heb. 2: 14. And as Christ is to "destroy death and him who has the power of it," (Heb. 2: 14,) it follows that Christ is to destroy "the crown of life!" But Jesus saith, "I will give thee a crown of life." But this immortalsoul theory saith, "Were death denied, poor man would live in vain!" Did Enoch and Elijah "live in vain" who were "translated that they should not see death?" Did they miss "the crown of life?" Will all such as "are alive, and remain unto the coming of the Lord," who are then to be "changed in a moment" to "immortality," and so not die, miss "the crown of life?" Have they lived "in vain?" Strange havoc does this theory make of the truth of God. But death is made the great Physician-"Death wounds to cure!" Death then is the healer, and the devil brings the medicine! Here is robbery of Christ and blasphemy against him! Next, "life" is blasphemed. How so? "Life makes the soul dependant on the dust-death gives her wings to mount above the spheres." Thus life is condemned and death glorified!

Moses saith, "I set before you life and death choose life, that thou mayst live." But Moses, didst thou not know life makes the soul dependant on the dust, while death gives it wings? Surely, Moses, death is by far the most desirable!

The next point in the blasphemous inscription is, that "Death gives us more than was in Eden lost." Now, God gave Eden, with life and all its joys and pleasures; but informed man that he should lose it all, as a punishment, if he sinned. But Satan, (alias immortal-soulism,) true to his original text—"thou shalt not surely die"—still affirms the soul gains by sin; and that the death threatened brings more to man than he lost in Eden! Thus death was a blessing, and exclusion from the tree of life a reward to be coveted, as death is to give more than was in Eden lost!

But the blasphemy stops not here. It puts death in the place of Christ, and openly steals the peculiar title of the Son of God. Death, saith immortal-soulism, "is the *Prince of Peace!*" Truly, this blasphemous railer could go no higher. Christ came to "destroy death"—i. e., to destroy the Prince of Peace! The last enemy to be destroyed is death, (1 Corth. 15: 26,) but then, according to this blasphemy, the Prince of Peace is destroyed!

If such doctrine is not putting darkness for light, and calling light darknoss-if it is not calling evil good and good evil, then we may defy language to tell us what is. But it is the natural and legitimate fruit of the doctrine of a natural immortal soul in man. The resurrection is of no use-is a fable-and would be a positive evil according to the sentiments here commented on. Yes, seconding to this theory, the work of Christ, to raise the dead and give life again, is all an evil work; and the whole scheme of redemption, or deliverance from death and corruption, is a work utterly uscless and permicious. Alas, for a theory which contemns God-deifies death, and exalts the devil, who has the power of it. Truly, immortal-soulism stands out in its genuine character as a robber of God and his Christ-as the betrayer and murderer of the Son of God, and showing its paternity-i. e., that it is of its father the devil. The only testimony in favor of the natural immortality of man, in the Bible, is in Gen. 8: 4, "Ye shall not surely die." If that testimony is good and true, then is that theory true, and the writing on the marble slab at Pittsfield is true, and all the blasphemous assumptions therein contained must stand as truth against all the claims of Jesus Christ and redemption by him.

Our heart sickens within us whenever we contemplate the fatal and destroying delusion of immortalsoulism, and trace out its legitimate consequences. We believe many sincere souls are entangled in its meshes, and fear to allow themselves to think of the possibility that they may be in error. Time-honored error has more charms to them than care-worn, slighted and contemned truth. They fear to look truth in the face, lest they may be deceived by her. We can sympathise with them in some degree; but hope they will yet see that, to receive honor of men is the way to shut out truth and faith from their hearts. "How can yo believe that receive honor one of another?" said the beloved Saviour; and it is just as solemn a truth now as in the day he uttered it.

-0-

NORTHWESTERN TOUR.—We left home the first day of September, to attend the Tent Meeting in the city of Buffalo, N. Y., distant about five hundred and fifty miles, but a distance almost annihilated by steam and railroads. For the first time we travelled from the city of New York by the Hudson River Railroad to Albany. This road is a grand work and a most

delightful route, being alongside the noble river from | what is now necessary for most professed christians which it takes it name, sometimes crossing large bays connected with the river, making one feel that he is in the midst of waters, then rushing through the rocks, which in many places bound the shores, and not unfrequently passing through a tunnel, which makes us desire less darkness, and glad to see the light again. This is an easy route to Albany. We passed over it in about six hours, near one hundred and fifty miles. Twenty-five of the road was unfinished, and that distance was by steamboat. When the road is completed, which is now done, or nearly so, the whole route will be run in little over four hours; less time than is now occupied by the railroad between this city and New York, a distance of only about ninety miles. Besides, while our railroad to New York makes us pay \$3 for ninety miles, the Hudson River road demands only one dollar and nincty cents for almost twice the distance.

From Albany we travelled up the Mohawk river and valley; a very pleasant route for the most part. Passing Utica, Syracuse, Auburn, and Geneva, principal places on the way, we arrived at the city of Rochester, where we stopped with Br. Jos. Marsh for the night. With him we had an agreeable interview, as well as with several others of like precious faith of Life and Immortality through Jesus Christ alone. The next day we arrived at Buffalo. The Tent had been erected by Br. J. C. Bywater, the minister who accompanies it, and has charge of its concerns. Br. J. B. Cook was preaching to a good audience when we arrived, and the prospect was encouraging for a hearing on the great truths of the gospel of the Son of God. The first Sabbath we had three discourses; one by Br. Bywater, and two by myself. Multitudes were out to hear, and good attention was manifest, while some "strange things," doubtless, were brought to their ears on the way of life and immortality.

We are not of the number who think they must wear swaddling clothes, or continue babes in Christ: we believe in a growth in knowledge, without which there is no growth in grace. This may account for the state of stupor and death which is apparent with a very large portion of Christendom. They have bound themselves in chains of brass and fetters of iron—creeds and human traditions—and are quite sure there is no such thing as advance into new truths from the Bible. But as man must have advanced in some direction, many, even professors of religion, have left the suro word of God to seek light from other sources; and not a few seek unto those who have familiar spirits. The Saviour once said, "I have many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now:" their traditional prejudices would have been too much shocked had they known at once all that was necessary to perfect them in the things new, and without spot or rent. It has been, I judge, of the kingdom. minds must undergo was little more thorough than 'has filled its favorable position in that beautiful por-

before they fully understand the gospel of the kingdom of God, and life through Jesus our Lord, so have the pure truths of the Bible been perverted by that mother of harlots and her little less corrupted daughters.

Those who set themselves to the work of advancing in the knowledge of Scripture truth may expect opposition of all sorts and from nearly all sources, but especially from lukewarm professors of religion, who are too much taken up with worldly pursuits, and looking for honor one of another, to trouble themsolves with earnest, sincere, and persevering investigation of the testimony of Jesus-the Scriptures of Truth.

We mourn that these things are so, and yet we know that prophecy has clearly indicated that such would be the state of Christendom in the last days. But we are not therefore to fold our hands and put forth no effort to arouse men from this dreadful and fatal slumber. Those who have truth are under greater obligation to put forth exertion, if by any means they may rescue some from ignorance and death.

During the week after the first Sabbath, at Buffalo, we had preaching every day till Friday, when the weather suddenly changed from extreme heat and calmness to a storm of thunder, lightning, wind and rain; the first blast of which prostrated our large and beautiful canvass tent, and the rain poured through it in torrents. As the tent must be in Rochester Monday morning, it was thought best not to rear it up again at Buffalo; but a Hall was rented in which we proclaimed Life and Immortality through Christ alone, Saturday evening, and three times Our meeting concluded Sabbath day and evening. in a spirit which we think will prove a blessing to many. An interest was awakened in many minds on the questions presented at this meeting by Br. Cook, Marsh, Bywater, and myself.

At Rochester, N. Y .- For the want of time to write an account of this meeting, and because the following, furnished by Bro. J. B. Cook for the Advent Harbinger, is better than anything we can furnish, we give it to our readers this month.

"The great tent, under the care of Bros. Bywater and Ongley, was pitched for public worship on Washington square, September 20. The place was most convenient. The tent was commodious. It will accommodate a large congregation; and the remark of some pious ladies of good taste which I heard at our first tent meeting this year seems fully justified: 'It looks so neat, clean and appropriate that we could but wish the privilege of assembling in it at all times when the weather is favorable.' The tent was then But the entire revolution their well cared for, and well preserved; consequently, it

tion of our city admirably well. canvass to the wind. The hot, dry and dusty streets became chilly, wet and muddy.

"Notwithstanding the chilly north-eastern rains, and the piercing winds that came blowing fresh from the lakes, the congregation has been large. The tent has been nearly filled at times. Several clergymen and distinguished citizens made their appearance in the audience; and it seems certain that not more than half or two-thirds of such congregations could have been gathered in any other place that could have been secured by us. We praise the good Lord for this opportunity of holding 'forth the Word of Life' to so many. Every sermon save one, during a wet afternoon, was listened to by a very considerable congregation.

"The grand themes of conversation and of public discourse, were the fundamental doctrines of Holy Scripture. The minds of the preachers were not circumscribed to the teachings of any 'father,' how honored soever, he may have been: they felt free to give utterance to any and all revealed truth that came within the range of their minds while exploring the vast fields of prophetic truth.

"The First and Second Advents occupied their revealed prominence. The manner of the fulfilment of prophecy at the first advent was made to illustrate the expected and impending fulfilments at the second. The grand and glorious doctrine of the Lord's coming pervaded all our services. But it was not held forth as an abstract or creed-bound idea. It was presented with its associated objects and intentions. Thus we find it revealed by prophets and apostles, and by the great teacher, Messiah himself. Thus the best and most gifted and honored of Christ's ministers preached the Second Advent for ages, after the apostles had finished their testimony. Thus the brightest luminaries of God's church now proclaim the coming of our Melchizedek King. See the Noels, Bickersteths, and Bonars, and McNeals, and Wolfs, Tyngs, and Newtons, and Lords, and all the more honored students of prophecy in this and other lands. Every leading pulsation of the heart of the church since the ascension of Christ has been produced, regulated, and limited more or less, by this mighty truth, in its divinely connected form. True, there has been a diversity in the verbal drapery of these lofty themes; but the essential features are the same. The Lord is coming for the accomplishment of his revealed plan. The heavens retain him 'until the times of Restitution of all things, spoken by the mouth of all the Holy prophets, since the world began.' Acts iii. 21.

"The Bible, the blessed word, was thus made the storchouse whence their thoughts were directly drawn. The preachers treated the truth of God as mediator of both the Old and New Testaments is God's servants; and not as if they followed some employed with reference to the end-the grand pur-

But the weather | pugnacious human leader, who would lash them into changed during the night after the tent displayed its | harness again or drive them off, whenever they did. not yield to the guidance of his rein. They treated God's word as if they had to account to God.

> "The impression made on the mind of the congregation seemed deep; and notwithstanding the rain and cold, the multitude evidently heard with an abiding conviction that 'the truth' was preached. They seemed to see and feel that as the state of the world demanded the 'Appearing' of our divinely appointed King; so also, the condition of each individual believing man, demands the same interposition in order to attain a future life. As the earth cannot govern itself, nor restore itself from under the curse, so mortal corruptible man has no restorative element of immortality or incorruptibility in himself. If the Lord never comes, 'the Restitution' even of believing man to happiness can never be accomplished. But with the popular theory, the dead ara all in 'Paradise' or 'perdition' at death, therefore the Advent is not essential to their future life-not necessary to their attainment of Paradise, if worthy, nor to their judgment to hell, if not counted worthy. The popular philosophical theory of judgment to. heaven or hell, at death, is intelligible, though unscriptural. But the two-fold absurdity of preaching a future judgment and resurrection, while both are virtually and really (though covertly) placed in the past, was brought forth against light. If the rightcous are judged and raised to a Paradisaic condition as soon as they die, then no one can see or feel the necessity of a Second Advent or interposition of any kind to do the like over again. 'As for God, his work is perfect.' There is one natural life, one formation of character, and one death-'AFTER THIS the Judgment.' This judgment is essential to the bringing of the saints to our Lord's 'right hand,' as revealed. Mat. xxv. It is essential to the destruction of the wicked. 2 Thess. i. 7-9. The 'trumpet' gave a certain sound. All were made to feel that man was mortal, and that none will ever attain immortality in any sense but on the gospel plan. That is by 'Jesus and the Resurrection.'

> "There were thirteen sermons delivered-three by Bro. Bywater, and ten by Bro. Storrs. These ministers were seldom, if ever, in a happier mood of mind, to present 'the truth as it is in Jesus.' The great fundamental doctrine of Holy Scripture is not the first advent by itself; nor the second. Both advents are for the purpose revealed. They are a means to an end, and that end is distinctly foretold. Man's creation and original position, his fall and subjection to death, his sanctification and final salvation, by the mediation of Messiah, the promised seed, is the grand and all-comprehending theme of Holy Scripture. The mediator is not the end of God's plan. The

pose contemplated in the mediation. The meeting has done us good. It has done good to many others, as we judge from both words and acts. Praise ye May the divine blesssng attend our the Lord. brethren through their further appointments and testimonial of our gratitude for his labors here, and labors."

At Honeoye, N. Y .- From Rochester, we went southeast about 30 miles to Honeoye, where the tent was pitched on a most delightful spot, overlooking Honeoye Flats and Lake, with a wide prospect of beautiful "hill country" around us in all directions. A Tent Meeting was held in this place last year, and the doctrine of Immortality and Endless Life through Jesus Christ alone was proclaimed for the first time, by Brethren Bywater and Wendell, who travelled with the Tent together at that time. The seed which they sowed had sprung up, and much good was the result: not only were numbers, at the time, led to confess the truth, but the word of truth grew during the year. Among the number who embraced the truth after that Tent meeting, was Br. Abraham Pennell and family. He was formerly a preacher in the Protestant Methodist Connection, but had for several years past been occupying the ground of reform, the Bible the only creed, and its authority supreme. In this position the doctrine of no immortality out of Christ found him, and came upon his ears like "turning the world upside down." He nevertheless saw that it was Bible truth, and his principles compelled him, unpopular as it seemed likely to make him, to confess the truth and openly and fearlessly to defend it. At his house we made it our home during our meeting there, and seldom ever felt more at home when abroad, and left with regret. The whole body of believers at Honeoye, so far as we could discover, appear to be animated with primitive simplicity and hospitality, which makes a stranger feel that he is welcome among them.

Our meeting held eight days. The first four, the weather was wet and unfavorable, yet there was a good attendance, and a constant increase of interest to the end. The last evening, the congregation was larger than at any previous time, and a deep solemnity pervaded all. Truly, God was with us; blessed be his name. We never saw before so clearly the importance and utility of showing the people plainly that nothing but corruption and death is inherited from the first Adam, and that Life eternal and Immortality are only to be secured by a union with the second Adam; and our heart rejoiced in the blessed privilege of proclaiming these truths to those who were seeking light. Some, we know, were led for the first time in their life to see the value of life eternal, and resolved to seek it now. We felt as if we could "thank God and take courage:" yea, it seemed as if God rewarded us abundantly for all the shame and reproach we have ever borne for our had ever attended; and our interviews with Br. Cook advocacy of these truths.

When we were about leaving, we received the following note signed by over twenty Sisters, with a token of their good-will:

"Will Brother Storrs please to accept this slight our esteem for himself, and love for the cause of his Master."

Their names we should be pleased to give if we did not think they would rather we should not. Accompanying this was the following most comforting and encouraging poetic effusion:

TO BROTHER STORRS.

Much in sorrow, oft in woe, Onward, brother, onward go; Fight the fight, though warm the strife, Steep with tears the bread of life.

Onward, brother, onward go, Lead in war, and face the fee; Little now doth yet remain, Though dark has been the long campaign.

Shrink not, brother, do not yield-Do not quit the battle-field; Lo! your Captain is in sight-Fight, dear brother, over fight.

Soon the victory will be won, Then the Lord will say, "Well done. Triumph in thy Master's joy, Reign with me beneath the sky."

Honeoye, Oct. 8th, 1851.

T. R. W.

We could not help feeling deeply under all these things, and our "heart" seemed softened into 'flesh;" so that we could scarce refrain from tears. May God abundantly reward these dear Sisters in the day of his coming. We had endeavored to water others, and truly our own heart was watered; thus the promise of God was fulfilled, and we had a fresh token of the truth and fuithfulness of our covenant-keeping God, in the mercy shed on our heart through Christour life. Oft shall we look to this event of life with gratitude, we trust, to God for the mercy so abundantly bestowed from His overflowing goodness upon us and those kind ones at Honeoye; and may they and we meet in that day when our Lord shall return in his kingdom and glory. In order to this may the Lord enable us each patiently to continue in well doing, in humble reliance on his mercy, truth, grace, and Spirit, which he will shed on us abundantly through Jesus Christ our Saviour, High Priest and Lord.

We preached eleven times at Honeoye, and were much worn down with that and preaching eleven. times at Rochester the week previous; but we were anxious to talk all that God might enable us to do. and now are much encouraged to work for our blessed Lord and Master till he shall return.

We will here add, that our meeting at Rochester was one of the most comforting and profitable we and family, Br. Marsh and family, and others, were

has been much afflicted for a long time. May the Lord sustain her and Br. Marsh in this severe trial. Trials in various forms await us all in our warfare in this state, but the sovereign remedy for sickness and death will soon come, and "our vile bodies" will be changed and fashioned like to Christ's "glorious body." Happy day-glorious hour to "those who love his appearing." Our afflictions are a part of the means God employs to lead us more carnestly to desire the return of our long absent Lord; though of themselves they would not produce this result; nor would a wish for Christ's return originating in a desire only to be delivered from suffering and sorrow, be evidence of a state of heart in sympathy with our To suffer the will of God is as important for Lord. us as enjoyment in any other way; and it is only by suffering, or trial in some form, that we come to know ourselves, and obtain that maturity of grace which shall fit us to reign with Christ. Not one drop of sorrow or suffering will God mingle in our cup more than he designs for our good, or more than shall result in our greater glory, if we do not prevent it by our impatience in our trials. Let us learn, then, quietly and patiently to do and suffer all the will of God, and trust in him at all times. Soon will the warfare be past-the sufferings ended-tears wiped away-death destroyed, and an eternal life open on our vision, with an unclouded sky, and glorious society, whose hearts will beat in unison, and no rufile of sorrow or discord will ever more be 8: 26. known.

Oh! how quicting these considerations-how full of comforts-how mighty to sustain us. God sometimes gives us now to suffer with Christ, as well as to believe on his name. Let us not refuse the gift, and then we shall in due time be partners in our Lord's glory.

At Rushville, N. Y .- From Honeove we went to Rushville, about twenty-five miles, where our Tent was pitched in the village, pleasantly located. Our meeting commenced on Friday evening, Oct. 16th. Bros. Bywater and Wendell opened the services to a good and attentive audience. Br. J. B. Cook, from Rochester, and ourself, arrived Saturday afternoon. In the evening, the Tent was well filled, and Br. Cook addressed the people in an interesting discourse with manifest good effect. Sabbath morning, we opened with a discourse on first principles, and the literal interpretation of God's statements of facts, promises, and threatenings. We endeavored especially to show that those who speak not according to this word, viz: "the law and the testimony," give evidence that they have "no light in them." We trust questions examined had never before been presented we made it manifest that the noor of mysticism and in the place, and they foll upon their cars as life fancy, in the interpretation of scripture, lay in the from the dead. The cold, formal, monotonous, and assumption that in the account of man's creation monstrous theology which has long been worn out

exceedingly interesting and profitable. The sickness, more is included or intended than what is expressed. of Sister Marsh seemed the only drawback. She The account is simple and plain. To assume that God made an immortal soul and placed it in man at his creation is to be wise above what is written, as no intimation of such a transaction is given by Moses. Assuming this point has led the mystical, or "orthodox," interpreters to assume also that more is intended or included in the threatening of death than what is expressed: thus unwittingly charging the Creator with deception-threatening death while he intended misery, or an endless life in torment. Such is the natural result of not speaking according to the plain and obvious import of "this word." We showed from "the law and the testimony" that the phrase "surely die" always and everywhere in the Bible has the meaning, and only that, of a literal death, or dissolution of man; and is never employed to signify a spiritual or moral death, or an endless life in misery-that to this fact there is not a solitary exception in the Bible, unless Gen. 2: 17 be an exception. If that is an exception, our opponents are bound to prove it so, and not assume it. That it is not an exception we showed from the Creator's own explanation when he called Adam to an account and rehearsed his doom--- "dust THOU art and unto DUST shalt THOU return." This is God's own definition of the phrase, "thou shalt surely dic." And as every other part of the "law and testimony" is in agreement with this explanation of the original threatening, we are bound to say that those who teach otherwise do so because "there is no light in them." Isa.

> In the afternoon, Br. Cook occupied the time in a very interesting discourse on Heb. 9: 27-28. The second appearing of our Lord Jesus Christ, its blessed results to those who "look for him," and its benefits to this world were as largely dwelt upon as could be in one hour and an half. The assembly were manifestly instructed and interested.

In the evening, we spoke again on the subject of Immortality, taking up the arguments of our opponents, and showing that their attempted proof that man has an immortal soul by creation, or generation, is contrary to reason, and absurd, as well contradicted by the testimony of the law and the prophets, and wholly without one positive text in the Bible for its support. The deepest attention was manifest throughout our discourse, and the most intense interest seemed waked up to hear more on this subject. Never have we seen more perfect order in any place of worship than was observed during the The place was litservices of this day in the Tent. erally thronged: not less, we judge, than twelve hundred, and perhaps more, were in attendance. The

with use and age, began to be dissipated, and the | as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, light of life through Jesus Christ alone began to shine upon those who had "set in darkness, and in the region and shadow of death." We trust God gave, and will continue to give efficacy to his own truth, and make it a savour of life unto life to many who heard.

We have room only to add, this month, that the interest of this meeting kept up till the end, except it was interrupted the last day by a heavy rain. Much good we believe will be the result of that convocation.

ARE THE WICKED IMMORTAL! TESTIMONY OF PAUL-OR, A SEVENTH SERMON.

BY THE EDITOR.

If wicked men are immortal, we shall expect to find that doctrine clearly stated by such a writer and preacher as "Paul" the "Apostle of Jesus Christ." In other words, if the punishment of impenitent sinuers is endless life in misery, Paul cannot be supposed to overlook it, who had constantly to preach to sinners of the worst class, and often speaks of Now, if it should appear that Paul their doom. never once gives countenance to the doctrine of the immortality of the wicked, or their conscious being in endless suffering, then it must be evident he did not believe that doctrine. Our object at this time is to examine fully what Paul did say and teach on this question; and not a text shall be omitted where he touches the subject.

In Acts 13: 40, 41, Paul utters a strong word of caution to his hearers on the danger of despising the gospel. Does he say, "Behold, ye despisers and wonder and" sink to endless misery? No. What then? "Perish." This phrase does not mean preserve, under any form or circumstances, but "to decay," "to die," "to censo to have existence," "to be destroyed." Again, at verse 45, the Jews are found "contradicting and blaspheming," showing an awful state of wickedness. If Paul is a faithful servant of Jesus Christ, we shall expect him to state in the strongest and most emphatic terms the danger of such wicked conduct: but we find not a word that gives countenance to the notion that these wicked men were immortal, and would be tormented eternally. Just the reverse of this is clearly expressed: "Seeing ye put the word of God from you, and judge yourselves unworthy of everlasting life, lo, we turn to the Gentiles." What can be plainer and more forcibly expressed? It was "everlasting life" they forfeited by their sins; and that is the highest penalty of God's law, or Paul was wanting in faithfulness.

Tho next place where we find the apostle speaking on this subject is Rom. 1: 29-32. Let us first attend to the description he gives of the wickedness of those LASTING LIFE." He then adds, "For the wages of of whom he speaks. He says, v. 28-81, "And even 'sin is" everlasting life in undescribable and unutter"

God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient; being filled with all unrightcousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers, backbiters, haters of God, despiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents, without understanding, covenant-breakers, without natural affection. implacable, unmerciful."

Can a blacker catalogue of sins be furnished than this? Surely if any men deserve unending being in undescribable torments these do. Let us hear what further the apostle has to say concerning them: "Who knowing the judgment of God, that they who commit such things are worthy" of endless torments in hell fire! Is that what they "are worthy" of, Paul? "No, I did not say any such thing." Well, what did you say? "I said they are worthy of DEATH." Is that all, Paul? Those who profess to be your "regular successors" tell us such wicked men are immortal, and cannot die, but must live eternally in misery. However, we believe you, and think those who claim to be your "successors" may not have sufficiently heeded the apostolic injunction to "beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy and vain deceit; after the traditions of men, after the rudiments of the [pagan] world, and not after Christ."

We now follow the apostle into Rom. 2. After showing that God's judgment of men will be impartial, both on the Jew and Gentile, he gives us to understand who will have "immortality, eternal life," viz: those "who seek for" it, by a "patient continuance in well doing:" while the opposite character will have "indignation and wrath:" and that this will be the case with all who have sinned "without law," or "in the law;" so, that "In the day when God shall judge the secrets of men by Jesus Christ," they shall "perish." In this chapter, then, the apostle gives no countenance to the theory that wicked men are immortal, or that any man can have immortality unless he "seck for" it: all others shall experience the "wrath" which they have "treasured up," under which they shall "perish" in the day of judgment. To "perish" and have "immortality, eternal life," are put in contrast by the apostle.

We next look at Rom. 6: 21-28, "What fruit had ye then in those things whereof ye are now ashamed? For the end of those things is "endless torments." Have we read Paul right? Does he talk thus? Let us look again. "For the end of those things is death." Truly! Is that all! Modern divines say it is "endless misery"-Paul says it is "death." Which shall we believe? Paul continues, "But now, being made free from sin, and become servants to God, ye have your fruit unto holiness, and the END EVER-

able torments! Is that right? Did he say so? death is eternal: the other lives, and his life is everlasting. Thus far Paul is clear of the heresy of endless life in misery.

Rom. 8: 13, the apostle says, "If ye live after the flesh, ye shall die; but if ye through the Spirit do mortify the deeds of the body, ye shall live." How perfeetly plain. It needs no learned perverters of God's truth to make common sense men understand it. So sure as one lives, the other will die: and just as certain as life implies consciousness, death implies unconsciousness. "To be, or not to be," depends on the character men form here. If they have been made free from sin and had their fruit unto holiness, they live by the gift of God, eternally. If destitute of this character they die, and thus reap the wages for which they labored.

Rom. 9: 22; the apostle inquires, "What if God, willing to show his wrath, and to make his power known, endured with much long-suffering the vessels of wrath fitted to destruction?" What, Paul! Are you coming out a "Destructionist?" Beware how you favor that class of men, "for" we "hate" them, as Ahab did Micaiah. 2 Chron. 18: 7.

Again, Paul says, Rom. 14: 15, "Destroy not him with thy meat for whom Christ died:" and verse 20, "For meat destroy not the work of God." Now, "that is provoking Paul: we called you, as Balak did Balaam, to curse our enemies, and behold thou hast blessed them altogether." "But, come I pray thee unto another place—and curse me them from thence." Very well, answers Paul, we will go to 1 Corth. 1: 18: "For the preaching of the cross is to them that" are to be endlessly tormented "foolishness." Will not the endless misery theorists cry out now, as did Ahab king of Israel to Micaiah, when he said with the false prophets, "Go ye up to battle, and prosper;" &c.; and the king said, "How many times shall I adjure thee that thou say nothing but the truth to me in the name of the Lord?" Very well-if truth is what you want, then I, Paul, say-"The preaching of the cross is to them that perish foolishness." Well, have you anything more to say? Yes, "If any man defile the temple of God, him shall God destroy:" 1 Corth. 3: 17. More destruction! Yes-"and through thy on your side! But, stop one moment: we have made knowledge shall the weak brother perish, for whom a mistake. We began with Paul, but the railroad Christ died:" 1 Corth. 8: 11. And, "if the dead rise track has got so badly worn by much travel that we

He | not-then they also that have fallen asleep in Christ ought to say so, if modern theology is true. Let us are perished:" 1 Corth. 15: 16-18. Worse and worse take off the old sectarian spectacles and look at this -truly Paul, you only prophecy evil of we immortal text again. What did you say, Paul? I said "the soulists: for, you not only teach the wicked are to be wages of sin is death." You did; did you? Well, we destroyed, but that the saints who die are perished thought so; but, your words have been so often "tor- if there is no resurrection, and if so they cannot be mented" to make them speak "endless misery," we conscious now! But we are not satisfied yet, Paul; so did not know but we might be mistaken, and that please come with us to another place, it may be we death meant life. "No," cries the apostle, "The shall make out these Destructionists heretics from gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our there. We turn to 2 Corth. 2: 15-16, "For we are Lord." Thus Paul has a perfect contrast-Death to unto God a sweet savour of Christ, in them that are the sinner-Life to the saint. One dics, and his saved, and in them that" are preserved in endless miscry! Have we read you right, Paul? "No-I did not say any such thing." What did you say? "In them that perish." But, don't that mean preserve? No, for "to the one we are the savour of death unto DEATH; and to the other the savour of Life unto LIFR." But, Paul, by such testimony do you not corrupt the word of God? "No-we are not as many who corrupt the word of God; but as of sincerity, but as of God, in the sight of God speak we in Christ:" v. 17.

> Alas for immortal-soulists-take Paul to what place they will, he is stubbornly set in giving no countenance to their Pagan fable. Let them, however, try him to their heart's contont, and, Balak like, drag him to "another place." Gal. 6: 8, What do you see now, Paul? "He that soweth to his ficsh shall of the fiesh reap corruption, [not immortality,] but he that soweth to the spirit, shall of the spirit reap life everlasting." Phil. 1: 28, "And in nothing terrified by your adversaries: which is to them an evident token of perdition, but to you of salvation, and that of God." Also, chap. 8: 19: "Whose END 18 DESTRUCTION." 1 Thes. 5: 3, "Sudden destruction cometh upon them, and they shall not escape." "Shall not escape" what? "Destruction." But they would escape it if eternally preserved. Now Paul do let us try you once more: come to "another place." Speak now, we pray thee, so as to confirm we immortal-soulists this once, for we cannot bear to think we and our fathers have been in error, and that we are not gods. 2 Thes. 1: 9, "Who shall be punished with everlasting" preservation in undescribable agonies, where "the presence of God in his vengeance scatters darkness and woe through the dreary regions of misery; for God is present, himself, in hell to see to the punishment of these rebels; his indignation kindles, and his incensed fury feeds the flame of their torment, while his powerful presence and operation maintains their being,-and rendering all their powers most acutely sensible; thus setting the keenest edge upon their pain, and making it cut most intolerably deep." Now, immortal-soulists, shout and clap your hands, for you see Paul is fairly and fully

158

run off, and took Dr. Benson's track in his "Ser- | existing, through the constitution of grace, between mons on Future Misery." Badly as we are off the of Paul, we must get back again. We start anew then: "Who shall be punished with everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord and from the glory of his power," &c. After all, Paul differs from Benson and his immortal soul condjutors considerably.

Again, the apostle, in speaking of the man of sin, chap. 2: 10, says his working is "with all deceivableness of unrightoousness in them that perish, because they received not the love of the truth that they might be saved: and for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie; that they all might be damned [condemned] who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrightcousness." Then, Heb. 6: 8, he says, "That which beareth thorns and briars is rejected, and is nigh unto cursing; whose end is to be burned;" not preserved; for John the Baptist declares, Mat. 3: 12, that the "chaff," same as "thorns and briars," shall be "burned up with unquenchable fire:" no preservation, but utter destruction. Let us hear Paul once more, Heb. 10: 26-27, "For if we sin wilfully after that we have received the knowledge of the truth, there remaineth no more sacrifice for sins, but a certain fearful looking for of judgment and fiery indignation, which shall devour the adversaries." "Devour," which signifies "to cat up, to consume, to annihilate." "But we are not of them who draw back unto perdition," [destruction,] v. 39.

Thus closes up the testimony of Paul. We have given every word that he has spoken on the doom of the wicked, so far as recorded in the Bible. And where is one solitary expression that gives countenance to theory of the immortal-soulist? Again we ask-Where? Paul a sustainer of the God-dishonoring theory shadowed forth in the words of Benson, quoted above, which is the doctrine of all who, like Benson, believe in endless misery! No-never. Paul was not manufactured in the Pagan and Papal mill of immortal-soulism. Paganism is the father cause, and Papacy the mother cause of that worse than diabolical fable of endless torture to any being in the universe. Well did Bishop Newton say "It is impossible for any creature to live in endless torments." And again he said, "God is love; and he would rather not have given life, than render that life a torment and curse to all eternity." Whatever Bishop Newton might think or say, a greater has said, even the eternal Jehovah himself, "The soul that sinneth it shall die:" Ezek. 18: 4, 20. Also, by the Spirit of God, the Psalmist says, "But the wicked shall perish, and the enemies of the Lord shall be as the fat of lambs: they shall consume; into smoke shall they consume away." Psa. 37: 20.

the Saviour and his people.

It is sometimes represented in scripture by the strongest expressions that human language can admit, and even compared to the union between the Father and the Son. Thus: "Holy Father, keep through thine own name those whom thou hast given me, that that they may be one as we are one: That they all may be one, as Thou, Father, art in me and I in thee, that they also may be one in us. That they may be one even as we are one: I in them, and thou in me, that they may be made perfect in one." John xvii. 11-23.

This union is sometimes represented in Scripture by lively metaphors and resemblances. It is compared to the union of a vine and its branches. Thus, "Abide in me and I in you. As the branch cannot bear fruit of itself, except it abide in the vine, no more can yc, except yc abide in me. I am the Vine, ye are the Branches. He that abideth in me, and I in him, the same bringeth forth much fruit: for without me ye can no nothing." John xv. 4.

It is compared to the union of our meat and drink with our bodies. Thus, "Ile that eateth me, ceen he shall live by me. Ile that eateth my flesh, and drinkch my blood, dwelleth in me and I in him." John vi. 56.

It is frequently compared to the union of the body with the head. Thus, "But speaking the truth in love, grow up in all things into Him who is the Head, even Christ: from whom the whole body, fitly joined together and compacted by that which every joint supplieth, according to the effectual working in the measure of every part, maketh increase of the body to the edifyng of itself in love." Eph. iv. 15.

It is sometimes compared to the conjugal union. Thus, "For the husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the Church. For we are members of his body, of his flesh, and of his bones." Eph. v. 23. "Wherefore my brethren, ye also are become dead tr the law by the body of Christ, that ye should be married to another, even to Him who is raised from the dead, that ye should bring forth fruit unto God." Rom.

vii. 4. It is likewise compared to the union of a building, whereof Christ is considered as the foundation or chief corner-stone. Thus, "To whom coming as unto a living stone, ye also, as living stones, are built up a spiritual house, an holy pricethood, to offer up spiritual sacrifices, acceptable to God, through Jesus Christ." 1 Pet. ii. 4-6.

It is sometimes represented in scripture by an identity or sameness of spirit. Thus, "He that is joined to the Lord is one spirit." 1 Cor. vi. 17.

It is sometimes represented by an identity of body. Thus, "For as the body is one, and hath many members, and all the members of that one body, being many, are one body; so also is Christ. Now ye are the body of Christ, and members in particular." 1 Cor. xii. 27. are one body; so also is Christ. Such is the tenor of the inspired language upon the closeness of the relation which subsists between the exalted Redeemer and Regenerate Souls .- Edw. White.

THE ADVENT HARBINGER, published by Eld. Jo-seph Marsh, Rochester, N. Y., is an incependent and ably conducted paper, worthy the patronage of those who do not wish to be slaves to traditions, and who wish to advance in divine knowledge. It is a free medium for the exchange of thought. It is a large quarto of eight pages, published weekly at \$1 per volume of twenty-six numbers, or \$2 per year. We

AN EXTRACT.—Let us establish the fact, by ad- will act as agent for said paper, and forward names ducing the testimony of the Bible upon the identity and money.

AT HOME.—After an absence of more than seven weeks, we are once more at home, and hope our subscribers will excuse us that the Examiner for October has been delayed to this late period; it was unavoidable. The November number we intend shall be issued about the middle of that month.

The account we have given of our late tour and labor is doubtless enough, perhaps more than enough, to satisfy our readers on that subject. We have been much exposed by wet weather some of the time, and have preached more than forty times, yet the Lord has preserved us, and brought us to our family again in peace: blessed be his holy name.

Our friends whose letters and orders have not received attention, must bear with us a little, though the delay may cause them some inconvenience: we will do the best we can, and as soon as possible.

A DISCUSSION.—On our arrival home, we found an oral discussion in progress between Josiah Litch, of this city, and Thomas Read, of New York. We suppose Mr. Litch or his friends will give a '*Report*' of the debate: if they do so, we shall expose the proceedings which led to it, and the manner of conducting it, which will not be creditable to them, in our opinion.

BIBLE EXAMINER.—Our readers will remember our terms are, *payment in advance*. The next volume commences in January, and by taking a little forethought, you can just as well meet our terms then as to delay. Besides, we wish not to publish more papers than are likely to be wanted, and we cannot take subscriptions for *less time* than *one entire volume*. Let us hear from you immediately on the subject.

AN OFFER.—The present volume of the Examiner is drawing to a close, and we have some two hundred sets on hand for the year, and as many more for last year. Any person who will send us *tuco dollars*, shall receive perfect sets for the years 1850, 1851, and 1852. If they choose, 1849 instead of 1850, they may have it: though we can furnish only about forty sets for '49. We make this offer because we wish these papers put incirculation, and because we much need the money, and are embarrassed for the want of it. Will our friends help up in this matter?

THE CHRISTIAN TRUTH SEEKER.—It has been impossible to issue that paper regularly, as we intended. The lack of funds is the cause. We have expended more money on the four numbers published than we have received. We intend the subscribers shall not suffer loss in the end, but we must crave their patience.

REMOVAL .-- We have removed our residence and office to No. 52 Vinc Street, below Second, where we

AT HOME.—After an absence of more than seven shall be glad to see our friends, and all who wish for eeks, we are once more at home, and hope our sub- such information as we are able to communicate.

THE CHURCH OF GOD. with which the editor of this paper is connected in this city, has taken Franklin Hall, N. Sixth Street, below Arch, for their place of public worship. Meetings held every Lord's day at the usual hours.

BR. AARON ELLIS is informed that we received his manuscript while at Buffalo; and since our return home that part also which he wishes to be inserted in place of some other matter. The pressure of labor upon us has prevented our giving the work yet an examination so as to express an opinion of it, or the probability of our being able to publish it. We have several works on hand which ought to be published, but we have no funds to do it, and those who have seem not ready to furnish the means. We intend to follow the leadings of Providence in all these matters.

THIS NUMBER of the Examiner contains the substance of two sermons preached by us in Rochester, N. Y., at the Tent meeting; and we shall, if we can find time and room, give others hereafter. Nearly all the matter for this paper was in type when we arrived at home, much of it having been forwarded to our printer during our absence. This is the apology for so large a share being occupied from our own pen.

ACROSTICS.

BY JOSEPH LOVESEE.

TO THE BIBLE EXAMINER.

Bold messenger of sacred truth, I love to think—to sing of thee, Born of God's Word, thou dos't impart Light to an ign'rant child like me, Enlivening all my soul.

Earth may combine her ancient lore, Nerxes may boast his pomp and power, And poets join in sweetest strains, May sing of grove, plain, hill and flower, I still will sing of thee, though weak, Ne'er straying from the path I've took; Each page unfolds truth new and old, Rais'd from the depths of God's own Book.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE EXAMINER.

Go forward in the work of Love, Eternal wisdom guards thy stand, On that broad platform—Sin is Death— Rises salvation. Justly plaun'd: God owns the truth; its power, its might, Egyptian darkness turns to light.

Standing on earth when Christ appears, To hear the welcome, Come, yo blest Of God my Father, enter in, Rich into joys of heavenly rest— Rest from the toils of worldly care— Such then shall be thy happy share.



NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE, EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

VOL. VI.

PHILADELPHIA, NOVEMBER, 1851.

NO. 11

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER. a disadvantageous position on two grounds:

PUBLISHED MONTHLY. At No. 52 Vine Street, below Second. PHILADELPHIA, PA. TERMS .- Single copy, for one year, \$1; six copies, \$5; thirteen copies, \$10; always in advance.

ELDER JOHN TATE'S DEFENCE.

this Minister of the Gospel was arraigned before the "New England Wesleyan Conference," on the charge views. Ladmit and maintain that all men have a of preaching "Unchristian Doctrine" last year. Br. Tate promised to furnish us with the "Defence" he made at that time. It has been delayed till now. Though late, we doubt not it will be read with interest:

Mr. President:-In making my defence, I wish to call the attention of Conference to two points:

1. Is my Doctrine Unchristian Doctrine?

2. If I am in error, are my errors of so destructive a nature as to require and justify my expulsion?

I. IS MY DOCTRINE UNCHRISTIAN DOCTRINE?

1. We cannot determine the question by a reference to the articles of Faith in the Discipline. We have no article respecting the immortality of the the Divine existence. God has existed from eter-soul. So far, then, if we keep within the limit of hity; He must, therefore, exist necessarily and in-the creed. I am free from inst cause of censure or dependently. Having thus existed from eternity, he the creed, I am free from just cause of censure or expulsion. The 20th article may be supposed to have a bearing on the second specification.* Now, this I fully believe and faithfully preach, as I shall show soon. It is not, therefore, for denying any article in the Discipline of the Wesleyan Methodist Connexion that 1 am arraigned before you to-day. So far as the creed has any bearing on the points introduced in the specifications, so far I believe it to the very letter.

I am not charged with teaching un-Wesleyan doctrine, but Unchristian doctrine. But how shall we decide what is unchristian doctrine? Will you make the general opinions of the Christian world the standard in this case? Then your standard is mutable and fallible and false. You yourselves would not like to be weighed in such balances. In former days, general opinion denounced the best men of earth as heretics. The church doomed their bodies to the stake, and their souls to hell. Surely you will not subject me to the pain and shame of excoumunicaheretics. tion on the ground of general opinion.

There is but one way to determine whether my doctrine be, or be not, unchristian doctrine-i. c., an impartial appeal to the Bible. By that standard let and being. I maintain that the ground of all finite me be tested—in the balances of Revelation let me be weighed. If my accuser can demonstrate the be weighed. If my accuser can demonstrate the the nature of the creature, but in the mannet creater common doctrine to be true, and mine to be false, by tor. The great creating cause of existence. And were the great scriptural evidence, it will then be time to inquire supporting cause of existence. And were the great into the magnitude of my error, and determine my supporting cause to suspend his preserving power in CARE AS you must find the being that here a supporting cause to suspend his preserving power in case as you may think proper.

1 usk the members of the Conference to listen and

* See Examiner for December, 1850.

1st. Nearly all the members of Conference are fixed and decided in the belief of the popular doctrine. 2nd. Probably many have never given the subject a pa-tient and thorough investigation. I will, however. venture to hope that you will judge me with as much candor and impartiality as the nature of the case, and the fixed state of your opinions, will admit.

ELDER JOHN TATE'S DEFENCE. I am charged with propagating unchristian doc-It will be recollected by some of our readers that trine, 1st., in publicly denying that man has an immortal nature.

> nature which can exist forever, and that some men have a nature which will exist forever. What I deay is, that man is immortal in and of himself. In other words, 1 maintain that everlasting conscious existence will not result solely and exclusively from the nature of man. I hold that such souls as will exist forever, will be immortal, not in consequence of the nature they possess, but in consequence of the sustaining and supporting power of God. In proof of this I urge the following considerations. 1. God only hath immortality. 1 Tim. 6: 16. He only in and of his own nature, independently of all other beings, will live forever. The name Jehovah-1 am that 1 am-is expressive of a fact respecting the nature of must necessarily exist to all eternity. Now, this is what I call natural immortality. Natural immortality involves the idea of eternal and immutable existence, and as there is but one such being in the universe, that one is the only being who has natural immortality. The ground of man's immortality is not in the nature of man, but in the will of God.

2. Derived existence must forever be dependent existence. Of him, and to him, and through him. are all things. In him we live, and move, and have our being.

I think every member of the Conference will ad-mit the proposition just stated. If there are in theology any self-evident propositions, this is one-that communicated existence can never become independent self-existence. But the doctrine that the soul is immortal in its own nature flatly contradicts this self-evident truth. It represents the soul as having the ground of its existence in itself, and thus it rashly claims for a creature of yesterday one of the infinite and incommunicable attributes of the Godhead.

For my part, I am satisfied with the scriptural doctrine, that in God we have our life and motion existence, whether animal or intellectual, is not in the nature of the creature, but in the infinite Crearelation to any finite being, that being would perish out of conscious existence, and be no more. In his judge as candidly as possible. I feel that I occupy hand is the soul of every living thing, and the breath of all mankind.

I am sustained in these views by three distin-

guished Methodist writers. Dr. Clarke, in his note ing power of all finite existence. My accuser may on Acts 17: 28, ("For in Him we live and move and talk about the natural immortality of the soul, and have our being,") says: "He is the very source of whether he attaches any clear ideas to the words I our existence; the principle of life comes from Him; the principle of motion also comes from him. * without Ilim we are nothing. We are, i. c., we continue to be; because of His continued present, allpervading and supporting energy. There is a remarkable saying in Synopsis Sohar, p. 104: 'The any theory respecting the mode of future existence holy blessed God never does evil to any man. He as far as possible, but incidental references to that only withdraws His gracious presence from him, and point cannot well be avoided. To the question prothen he necessarily perisheth.' This is philosophical and correct."

Speaking of the souls of men and brutes, Rev. R. Watson says: "It does not, however, follow that they are immortal because they are immaterial. The truth is, that God only bath independent immortality, be find nothing in the history that conflicts with the idea cause He only is self-existent, and neither human that Adam had a compound nature. The twofold nor brute souls are of *necessity* immortal. God hath nature of man is not positively asserted, nor is it given this privilege to man, not by a necessity of positively nor by implication denied. 1 am led by nature, which would be incompatible with dependence, but by His own will and the continuance of lis supporting power." [See Institutes, vol. I. page least intimation of the idea of immateriality. 179.

Again he says: "The philosophical difficulties which have presented themselves to this opinion, | Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and appear chiefly to have arisen from supposing that breathed into his nostrils the breath of life, and man consciousness is an essential attribute of spirit; and became a living soul." Here man is formed of the the soul is naturally immortal; the former of which dust of the ground. The earth or the dust of the cannot be proved, while the latter is contradicted by scripture, which makes our immortality a gift dependent on the will of the Giver." Institutes, p. 363.

Rev. Luther Lee often speaks of the natural immortality of the soul-of the soul being immortal in its own nature, and maintains he has proved this point. And yet he appears to me to overturn his and nervous system, could not arouse itself into acown doctrine. Let me place two of Mr. Lee's statements together. In the ninth article of his book on the soul, he says: "The soul is immortal in its own it can think and carry on its multifarious operations. nature, having no tendency in itself to annihilation." And in the same article he also says: "If God should had formed of the dust of the ground. He breathed annihilate the human soul, it would require a simple the vital air into his nostrils-inflated his lungswithdrawal of that Almighty Power which He put set his heart in motion, and by these means the man forth when he created it, and which not only sus-tains every human soul, but the universe of both matter and mind." Now these two propositions appear to me to contradict each other. If, as Mr. Lee man, but the man into whom the breath was breathaffirms, the soul would be annihilated were the supporting power of God withdrawn from it, then the inherent lendency of the soul must be to cease from Here you will expect me to notice the common existence. It requires the exercise of omnipotence opinion that what was breathed into Adam was imevery moment to keep the soul from passing away into nonentity. And yet, in direct opposition to himself, he says: "The soul is immortal in its own nature, having no tendency in itself to annihilation." A more direct contradiction than this is not conceivable by the human mind.

With Mr. Lee I maintain that the exercise of the Divine power is essential to the continued existence of the soul. His admission that the soul would cease to exist if God were to leave it to itself, necessarily involves the idea that the inherent tendency of the soul is not to exist forever, but to die, perish, and be but it also means breath-the principle of animal no more. I think, then, on the ground of Mr. Lee's life. It primarily means breath, and this appears to statement, I am authorized to pronounce the doctrine of the natural immortality of the soul a fiction and a delusion.

Were it necessary, I could multiply such testimonies to any extent. However strongly men may assert that the soul is immortal in its own nature, they the breath of life, and man became a living soul." believe and teach otherwise. In every theological Gen. 6: 17, "And behold I, even I, do bring a flood system the Infinite is the creating cause and support- of waters upon the carth, to destrow all flesh, where-

cannot tell: but I feel confident that a little thinking would bring him to the conclusion that immortality Without Him we not only can do nothing, but is not inherent in the nature of man, but can only be secured to man by the conservation of God.

I now pass on to inquire, Will all men live forever? I wish to consider this question apart from posed I say, No. I will state my reasons for so answering the question.

I have been led to this by a somewhat careful study of the history of Adam. There are several points in that history which require notice. 1. I other scriptures to believe and maintain the duality of man. But I cannot find here nor elsewhere the The man-not the mere house of clay in which the man resided-originated from dust. Gen. 2: 7, "And the ground is a compound of many different kinds of matter, some of them of a most subtle, ethereal nature. Of these different elements God formed the man. But as yet there was no motion, no animation, no intelligence. The mind locked up in an inanimate structure, connected with a lifeless brain tivity, nor awake into a state of consciousness. The mind requires *living* instruments, by means of which Hence God quickened into life the man which he who was before inanimate-the man into whom was breathed the breath of life, now became a living soul. It was not the breath which was breathed into the ed, that became a living soul. So says the Book, and so I believe.

material soul. I will do so. That opinion seems to me to be a mere assumption-a fiction of the imagination. I discard that notion for the following reasons:-

1st. I cannot find the least intimation in the Bible of the existence of such a thing as an immaterial soul. The notion of immateriality is not once suggested in the Book.

2. I cannot find that the words "breath of life" any where in the scriptures denote the human soul. I know the original term sometimes significs spirit, me the most natural meaning of the word wherever we find the phrase breath of life. I will quote all the texts in which the words breath of life are found. Gen. 2: 7, "And the Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

thing that is in the earth shall die." Gen. 7: 15, "And they went in unto Noah into the ark, two and unconsciousness; and that, too, without the art two of all flesh, wherein is the breath of life." Verse lation of the substance of which it is composed. 22, "All in whose nostrils was the breath of life, of all that was in the dry land died."

From these passages we see that the lower animals in common with man had the breath of life. But if the breathing into Adam's nostrils the breath of life denotes the communication of an immaterial die, is here used in a figurative sense. Surely in a and immortal soul, then the fact that brutes had the case in which the everlasting destiny of man is conbreath of life must imply that they also must have possessed immaterial and immortal souls. How the figures of speech. In the utterance of a plain factwords breath of life can be explained to mean in the in the announcement of law and of penalty-we case of brutes, natural life-life as connected with and dependant upon breathing, and then be explained in relation to man, to mean an immaterial commandments. The notion that God announced and immortal soul, is more than I can comprehend. the penalty in figurative language is far from being Evidently, to my mind, the principle of natural life creditable to the Law-giver. 2. It is contrary to all is meant both in the case of man and beasts. Other our ideas of the rectitude of God, to suppose that he is meant both in the case of man and beasts. Other our ideas of the rectitude of God, to suppose that he scriptures sanction this view. Ps. 104: 29, 'Thou would threaten man with a certain evil in case of scriptures sanction this view. hidest thy face, they are troubled; thou takest away their breath, they die, and return to their dust." Ps. 146: 4: "His breath goeth forth, he returneth to could play such a part must be sadly deficient either his earth, in that very day his thoughts perish." Is. 2: 22, "Cease ye from man whose breath is in his nostrils, for wherein is he to be accounted of."

3. Of Adam we read that God "breathed into his nostrils the breath of life." Of men, and fowl, and cattle, and every creeping thing, we read, "all in whose nostrils was the breath of life." Now, if the breath of life meant the air we breath, I can assign a very good reason why it should be breathed into Adam's nostrils, and why it should be in the nostrils of men and beasts. But if you insist that the breath of life was an immaterial soul, I ask what reason can you assign why an immaterial soul was breathed into Adam's nostrils? How do you account for the fact, that the immaterial souls of men and beasts reside in their nostrils? Suppose, in speaking of the destruction of a company of men, I should say, they all that horses like grass, or that the earth revolves perished—all in whose nostrils were immortal and immaterial souls! Would not that sound rather immaterial souls! strange to you? And yet your "deathless spirits"your immortal souls, as ye call them, are, according to the interpretation I oppose, all located in your nostrils! Surely, then, ye ought to take good care of your noses.

I cannot then believe that the breath of life which was breathed into Adam was an immaterial soul, or any other kind of soul. Adam's soul and body had been produced before, but they had not been quickened into conscious animated existence. Adam's His soul nature existed, but Adam did not live! could not act except by miracle, before it was acted upon; or in other words, before it could experience for the plan of redemption. Apart from the mercisensation. But when the breath of life was breathed ful intervention of God, the sinner would have ut-into his body, his soul could be affected by external terly perished and been no more. And such were objects-it could feel sensation. Self-consciousness would be the result, and from that point the soul and fifty years ago, who was of course uninfluenced might march onward in the acquisition of higher by the modern advocacy of the doctrine of everlastknowledge and in the development of its various ing destruction. powers.

respecting the nature of Adam and the breath of life, life, he meant the natural life, and not the immortal certain conclusions follow. If my arguments are of the soul. I answer: 1st. Supposing the soul of

is not essentially conscious and active, but can exist in a totally dormant condition. 2d. That the human then you are bound to prove your assertion. soul is dependant upon the living physical organiza- will move a step farther, and suppose that the threattion for its acquirement of self-consciousness. And ened penalty did affect the disembodied soul of Adam.

in is the breath of life, from under heaven, and every | 3d. That as the soul has once existed in a state of unconsciousness, so it may be reduced to a state of unconsciousness; and that, too, without the annihi-

I pass on to another point in the history of Adam. I refer to the penalty threatened against transgression-"In the day thou catest thereof, dying, thou shalt die." On this I remark, 1. That there is no reason to believe that the Hebrew verb muth, i. c., to cerned, God would use plain language, and not should expect words to be used in their plain and common signification, just such as we find in the ten transgression, and then inflict a punishment a thousand million times greater. The Law-giver who in intellect or conscience. But God could act no such part. He is a God of truth and without iniquity, just and right is he. 3. What then did God mean when He said dying thou shalt die? Gesenius defines muth as follows: 1. To die, spoken of the death of men or animals; both natural, Gen. 5: 8, and also violent, Deut. 13: 10. 2. To perish, to be destroyed, of a state, or people. Amos 2: 2.

Such is the meaning of the word. To die, thou shalt die, shalt surely die, are words of frequent occurrence in the Hebrew scriptures, and I confidently affirm that they never relate to endless suffering in the future state for sin. I challenge my accuser to put his finger on one passage in which the verb muth signifies endless existence or endless misery. It no more means eternal life in torment than it means round the sun.

Dr. Clarke's note on the text is in perfect harmony with the definition of Gesenius. He says, "Literally a death thou shalt die, or dying thou shalt die. From that moment thou shalt become mortal, and shalt continue in a dying state till thou die. This we find literally accomplished; every moment of his life, man may be considered as dying, till soul and body are separated. Other meanings have been given of this passage, but they are in general either fanciful or incorrect."

I hold then that the penalty of the law was not immortality in misery, but literal and absolute death. That death would have been eternal had it not been the opinions of John Locke more than one hundred

But it will be objected to me that so far as the From the arguments which I have just advanced Law-giver literally threatened the termination of false, refute them; if you cannot refute them, then take the conclusions with them. These conclusions are: 1st. That the human soul shalt die" either had a direct reference to his soul in its disembodied state or it had not. If you say it had, But I

Adam's soul? Do you require me (on pain of excomforever? In my judgment life and death are not only different, but they are the very opposites of each other. Whether or not the penalty had reference to the disembodied soul, the evil threatened was death. The moral right of the Law-giver to execute the threatened penalty is unquestioned, but I deny the right of God to punish the soul with indescribable agonies forever, after having declared that it should joyment nor suffering. Such, I think, is a fair dedie. I cannot believe that when God said "dying thou shalt die, he meant thou shalt live forever in sin and sorrow; nor can I believe that he had a right to torment the sinful soul forever after threatening against it the penalty of death. Shall not the Judge of all the earth do right? But, 2d. I deny that the human soul is the subject of conscious life in its naked state of unembodiment. Before I refer to scripture testimony on this point, I wish to quote some statements from the writings of distinguished Iramaterialists which have a bearing on the subject.

We have before seen that Mr. Watson says, "That the notion that consciousness is an essential attri-bute of spirit cannot be proved." The contrary notion is true, according to my mental experience, for I am fully satisfied that my soul has been unconscious, thousands of times since it began to exist. 'Mr. Isaac Taylor, in his Physical Theology of Another Life, p. 28, says: "There is reason to conjecture so on. It is written, God is spirit; angels are spirits; but the Infinite Spirit can be more than a latent essence or inert power, until compacted by some sort into a corporeal state, may be in fact not a degrada- the truth of God, and he may have done so, as he is tion to mind, but the very means of its quickeningand the necessary medicine of every specific and pro- and the breath of the Almighty giveth to them all ductive exercise of its faculties." Now you will bear understanding. Great men are not always wise; neiin mind that Isaac Taylor is a strong defender of the ther do the aged always understand judgment. The immateriality and immortality of the soul. And yet spirit of God hath made me, as well as you, and the he teaches in the extracts, 1st. That the union of mind with matter was necessary to its quickeningthat without such union it were a latent essence or inert power. 2d. That the continued connection of the mind with matter, is necessary to the exercise of its faculties.

Of course, then, what he says plainly implies that the naked soul, in a state of unemboliment, would be unconscious and alike incapable of joy or suffering

I will now call John Wesley to the stand, so that yo may hear his testimony. In his sermon on the Fall of Man, speaking of the body, he says: "It very frequently hinders the soul in its operations, and at best serves it very imperfectly. Yet the soul cannot dispense with its service, imperfect as it is, for an spirit that animates man. The first time the word embodied spirit cannot form one thought but by the mediation of its bodily organs. For thinking is not, as many suppose, the act of a pure spirit, but the act of a spirit connected with a body, and playing upon lated in the Vulgate: "And God said, my spirit shall a set of material keys. It cannot possibly therefore make any better music than the nature and state of asserts, "thinking is not the act of a pure spirit"-it, as he says, "an embodied spirit cannot form one thought but by the mediation of its bodily organs"- if I take from him my "spirit of the breath of lives," its instruments allow it." Now, if, as Mr. Wesley

Now keeping in mind the infinite rectitude of the Law 1 "if, when the organs are out of tune, the music must giver, the question is how, now did the penalty affect of necessity be imperfect"-then I ask how can the soul think with no organs at all? If thinking is not munication) to believe that the words dying thou shalt the act of a pure spirit, then when the spirit is sevdie,' meant thou shalt never die, but thou shalt live ered from its connection with the material organization, it must be utterly incapable of thought. Thus Mr. Wesley leads me to the same conclusion as Mr. Taylor, i. c., that the embodiment of mind is essentially necessary to the exercise of its faculties. Or the conclusion may be logically stated thus:-No embodiment of the mind, no thinking; and no thinking, no consciousness; and no consciousness, no enduction from the premises laid down by Mr. Wesley.

[To be continued.] -0-

The nature of man's spirit. BY THOMAS READ, NEW YORK.

Some may affirm that there is a spirit in man distinct from the soul, or animal life, and that this spirit is intelligent and independent of the body, and is naturally immortal.

We think that it cannot be proved from the scriptures, and we are sure that it cannot from any other source, that man has a spirit apart from his temper, disposition, principles, and mental faculties, and the "spirit of lives," or breath. Man has the spirit of meckness, the spirit of fear, the spirit of love, and (perhaps a stronger term might be used) that none and man has spirit, not a spirit. The reader's mind probably reverts at once to the language of Elihu. "There is a spirit in man." But upon a closer exof restraint. The union with matter, or the coining amination, allowing that Elihu spoke conformably to not, like Job's other friends, expressly condemned, its birth into the world of knowledge and action." it will be found that that passage may be thus para-On p. 23, he says, "The animal body is not itself the phrased. Job 32: 6. Elihu said, "I am young and life, nor is it the cause of life, nor again is the spir- ye are very old; wherefore I feared to show my opinitual body the life, nor the cause of it; but the one ion. I said multitude of years should teach wisdom. as well as the other are the instruments of the mind, BUT I perceive there is a spirit of wisdom in all men; understanding. Great men are not always wise; neibreath of the Almighty hath also given me life. Wherefore hearken to me, and I shall teach you wisdom."

> We think the careful render will readily perceive that it is a spirit of wisdom of which Elihu speake, and that he has no idea of a spirit apart from the spirit of life, or breath. For he says, Job 34: 14. "If God set his heart upon man, if he gather unto himself his [God's] spirit and his [God's] breath, all flesh shall perish together, and MAN shall turn again into dust." Here he evidently refers to the "spirit of the breath of lives," and when this breath or spirit is taken away, the man, the whole man, returns to his dust.

> But let us more closely examine the nature of the occurs in the Bible, as indicating the spirit of man, is in Gen. 6: 3, where in the King's translation, the idea is completely lost; but we find it plainly transnot remain in man forever, because he is flesh, yet his days shall be one hundred and twenty years." Which may be thus paraphrased: And God said, my

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

or his breath, he will return to his dust; yet my pa-1 fore me, and the souls [neshomouth] the breath of tience shall wait one hundred and twenty years, during which time he shall continue to breathe my spirit, until the ark be prepared to save pious Noah and his family. And thus the long-suffering of God from thee?" Psa. 76, "The spirit of Princes shall waited, that the preaching of Noah might bring some be cut off." Prov. 20: 27, "The spirit of man is the to repentance; but when Noah was enclosed in the candle of the Lord, searching all the inward parts." ark, God took away his spirit of the breath of lives from all the creatures upon the earth, and they all perished. As this meaning of the Vulgate is sanctioned by the Papal church, which makes the immortality of the spirit the elementary principle of her creed; and she the mother has left it as a legacy to her daughters; and as it perfectly harmonizes with man shall turn again unto dust." the passages below, and makes a consistent sense, and as the King's translation does not make a clear sense, neither will all the orthodox allow that the as it was: and the spirit shall return unto God who Holy Spirit operates physically upon wicked men, we may fairly presume that the Vulgate is a fair version of the original, at least till the critics shall furnish us with something better.

to man is in the 17th v., where the same phrase is used in reference to all beasts as well as men. - 44] do bring a flood of waters upon the earth, to destroy all flesh wherein is the" [runch chayim] spirit of die; but the dead know not anything, neither have lices. Next time in 7: 15, "And they went in unto Neah into the ark, two and two of all flesh, wherein memory of them is forgoiten. Also, their love, and is the" [ruach chayim, spirit of lives.] The phrase is again found in a more emphatic form in the 21st have they (present tense) any more a portion forever v., "And all flesh died that moved upon the earth, both of fowl, and of cattle, and of beast, and of every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth, and every man; all in whose nostrils was the [neshemet runch chayim, breath of the spirit of lives.] of all that was in the dry land, died. And every living sub-stance was destroyed." If the soul or spirit was a cisely identical. And that the spirit of man, and the living substance, it was destroyed or blotted out. In spirit of all other creatures, are precisely identical. all these passages, there is an evident allusion to the That the words spirit and breath are used internephemet chayim, breath of lives, that inflated the nostrils of Adam, and gave him life; which, with the addition of the word ruach, spirit, is here made the common property of all living creatures, the universal principle of all animal life. It was of this spirit, or breath, of which the pious Job speaks, 27: 3, "All the while my breath is in me; and the spirit of God is in my nostrils; my lips shall not speak wicked-ness." The first and second clauses of this verse are a perfect parallelism, and both alike emphatically express as long as I live. As long as he had this spirit he lived; when God resumed this spirit, he died. In Num. 16: 22, and 27: 16, Moses ad-dresses God as the "God of the spirits of all flesh." "In God's hand is the soul [or life] of every living thing, and the breath of all mankind." Job. 12: 10. another parallelism, "If God set his heart upon man, if he gather unto himself his [God's] spirit and his breath, all flesh shall perish together, and man shall turn again unto dust." Job 34: 14. In Ezek. 37th c., "Come from the four winds, O breath, and breathe upon these slain that they may live. And the breath came into them and they lived." This breath or spirit is in the 14th v. called the Spirit of God. Thus we see that man's breath, or spirit, is called the breath of God, and the Spirit of God; because it the phrase 'deathless breath' would be quite as apis in God's hand, and under his control, and if God gathers this breath or spirit to himself, the man or the beast dies; if God reorganize the body, and cannot have life of itself, neither in nor out of the put his spirit or his breath into man again, man man. Our spirit, mind, and disposition are all parts lives.

will I be always wroth; for the spirit should fail be- cannot be IMMORTAL. [To be continued.]

God, which I have made."

Job 26: 4, "To whom hast thou uttered words? and whose spirit [neshomoh, breath of God,] came The word translated spirit is neshomoh, the breath of God. The universal pabulum of all animal life. The spirit of man, then, is the breath of God.

Job 34: 14, "If God set his heart upon man, if he gather unto himself his [God's] spirit, and his [God's] breath, all flesh shall perish together, and

Eccl. 12: 5, "Man goeth to his long home * * (and) 7th v., Then shall the dust return to the earth gave it." Vanity of vanities, saith the preacher; all is vanity. Is the "deathless spirit" vanity?

Ps. 146: 4, "Ilis breath or spirit goeth forth, he returneth to his earth; in that very day his thoughts The second time the word spirit occurs in relation perish." Thoughts, [eshtounouth] his purposes, his man is in the 17th v., where the same phrase is resolutions, the word is nearly allied to raayounouth, desires, pursuits, purposes.

Ecc. 9: 5, "For the living know that they shall their hatred, and their envy is now perished; neither in anything that is done under the sun.'

I hope the reader will pay particular attention to what follows:

Now as the words ruach in Hebrew, and pneuma in changably. That the same original word ruach, or pneuma, is in one version translated spirit, in another breath, in another wind. We conclude, therefore, that the spirit of man is the universal principle of all animal life, existing in the atmosphere, inhaled by and pervading every living creature. Man imbibes from the atmosphere positive electricity, which by exertion, fatigue, and cold is continually converted into negative, and as this electricity is used to produce the phenomena of life, there may be a very close connection between the spirit of man and the electricity inhaled from the air. On this at present we forbear to expatiate, preferring to rest our arguments on God's word. Let the reader remember that, as there can be no manifestation of life without the spirit, the word 'spirit' is used in a secondary sense for life, mind, temper, disposition, principles, intellectual faculties, and in a few cases for the soul of man, or person. But this spirit must not be confounded with the soul, as is too generally done. By comparison of this article with what has been said of the 'breath of lives,' and other quotations that might be adduced, there is the strongest confirmation that the spirit and the breath both in man and beast are identical. Thus it appears that propriate, and quite as true, as 'deathless spirit.' For though the spirit is the source of active life, it of our animal nature, and when uninfluenced by a Isa. 57: 15-16, "Thus saith the high and mighty spirit EXTRINSIC and divine, wre altogether pro-One, &c., For I will not contend forever, neither nounced FLESHLY and CORRUPT, and consequently will be already with the state of the second se

FROM LEWIS BUTLER.

Phelpstown, Mich., 1851. BR. STORRS:-I like the Examiner well. Better condenan them. Nay, of the doctrine of the Destruction of the Wicked, God convinced me of the truth of it ten years ago, on this wise; I was contemplating John the Baptist's words in relation to our Lord, as found in Luke 3: 17-designing to preach from them the next Sabbath. The text naturally divided itself into the following head:

1. The floor, which I understand to represent the earth.

wicked.

The carth is to be cleansed, the wheat gathered into his granary, the chaff to be burned up! I was struck with surprise! Then it was to be with unquenchable fire. But what difference to me how tations he induces his slave to return: but when he long the fire burns, seeing the chaff is to be burned up in it! Suffice to say, that after a careful and prayerful investigation of the subject for three months, I became thoroughly convinced of the truth of the longer be held in bondage, but should be free. I ask doctrine in question. I then gave publicity to my views: but 1 soon quailed before the mighty persecution and opposition which I had to encounter. I frained from speaking the truth on that subject for about six years. You may imagine what embarrassment I must have labored under-what leanness of soul I must have had! But, about three years since, having received help from the Lord, I commenced to proclaim the truth as far as I had learned it. And though violently opposed, there have many embraced the truth here; and the Examiners and sermons you sent me, (all of which have been scattered abroad,) have done much to inform and to embolden them in the proclamation of the truth.

The Unconscious State of the Dead, though suggested to my mind by a few texts in the old Testament, which I did not profess to understand, I had not embraced until the Examiner came to hand, or about that time. Nor have I embraced only one-half the doctrine now. For, though I believe man to be a unit, and that the penalty attached to Adam's transgression included the death of the whole man, hence in death there was no remembrance of God; and that their love, envy and thoughts perish-that the dead know not anything; yet, I believe that this state of things was only applicable to man prior to the Saviour's advent; and perhaps only thought to be so then. For the Apostle tells us that Christ abolished death and brought life and immortality to light. Now, what death was it that he abolished? Certainly not the second death, for there never would have been such a death if the Saviour had not come; nor yet is it the death of the body-for the decree that has gone forth, "From dust thou art, and to dust shalt thou return," will be literally fulfilled until the Lord comes. It must then be the spirit death, or death of the spirit. Again, what life was it that he brought to light? Certainly not a future, or resurrected life; for the Old Tostament Saints believed in and wrote of that. It could be none other, then, than the continuance of the present life or immortality; at least I cannot conceive of any other. And this life he did bring to light by his gospel, as recorded by St. John, 6: 48 to 58. Our Lord here tells them that their fathers who cat manna in the the mind in exercise without organization, is to set

that he would give them should not die, but should live forever, for they had eternal life. Now, it appears plain to me that the death that he would exfor the liberal spirit which it breathes than for the empt them from was the death that had passed upon doctrines which it advocates; though I by no means their fathers, and that was a spirit-death, as well as a literal one.

I have introduced the Apostle's testimony that Christabolished death and brought life and immortality to light by the gospel, and our Lord's declaration that he that believed in him should not die, but have eternal life. I understand you and your coadjutors to apply these texts to the second death.

Now, though I have tried to understand the scriptures in this way, (for I do not wish to be alone in 2. The wheat, which implied the saints. 3. The chaff, which I understand to mean the bids such an application. Let us suppose a case. A slaveholder overtakes one of his fugitives in a land of freedom. He promises him that if he will return with him, he will emancipate him, or tells him that the State has abolished slavery. By these represengets to the land of slavery, heis made to understand that these promises and declarations had reference to the day of his death-that then he should no if the slave would not have just cause to complain of fraud and deception being practised upon him? And yet, it seems to me that he who had haid hold upon closed my mouth and locked up my lips, and re- the hope set before him by the Apostle in the language referred to, will have a just reason to complain if he is referred to the second death for the fulfilment of that language. But let us try another case. A criminal is examined before a court, and is condemned to be hung, but in a legal manner receives the assurance from the Governor of the State that he shall not die-shall never die. But in opposition to the language of his reprieve, he is brought to the scaffold-the preparations for the execution are going on; the criminal remonstrates, but is now told that the language of the reprieve must be interpreted so as to apply to the second death -Would not the use of all language forbid such an interpretation? And would not the sympathies of all be enlisted in behalf of him whose feelings were thus trifled with and insulted? But yet, I cannot see but that the case of the believer in Christ is equally sympathetical, and will be trifled with in like manner, if the rightcous ever die. But you will doubtless inquire if the facts in the case can harmonize with the position I took, that man was created a unit, or without a separate, independent soul, or an immortal part?

Though man was created without a soul, yet he was created with capacities to acquire one, and this acquired soul may be preserved after the body is dissolved. This may be illustrated by a simple plant of vegetation. When it springs forth, it is a unit, but it soon sends forth a blossom, and then fruit, which ripens, and is capable of being plucked and preserved, though the plant be utterly destroyed which produced it.

Now, though the irrevocable decree which has gone forth, "Dust thou art and to dust shalt thou return," consigns man to dissolution, yet the soul or mind may be preserved in a conscious state. But you will probably refer me to man's anntomical constitution as an objection to this view, and tell me that organization is essentially necessary, that the functions of the mind be in exercise. To this I reply, that organization was a prerequisite to the exercise of the mind; but to say that God cannot keep wilderness are dead, (literally-not the second bounds to his power. But again, in the resurrection death.) But that whosever eateth of the bread God will change the organization, doubtless; and so Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/ may he at death make a temporary change. so that | lege of those believers "who are alive and remain" the mind may be kept conscious till the resurrec- unto the return of our Lord, "at the last day;" such tion.

REMARKS BY THE EDITOR .--- While we cannot agree with Br. Butler in his views, we feel no disposition to restrict him in expressing them. In respect to the apostolic expression, that our Saviour "hath abolished death," &c., the original term signifies to render null and void, to abrogate, to vanquish. This our Lord did in his own person by an actual resurrection from the dead; thus giving us an example, a pattern, of the manner in which death is to be abrogated, or vanquished, in the case of his people. Christ's promise of life to his followers, four times repeated in John 6th, is, "I will raise HIM up at the last day." "Him" means that man; not that man's body, merely; but the man himself. The apostle then, in the expression-"hath abolished death"can be understood, we think, in no other sense than that Christ had personally, for himself, vanquished death, and thus obtained the right to deliver his people from death; but that deliverance the apostle himself did not expect till the resurrection, 'at the last trump;" for then, he saith, "shall be brought to pass the saying that is written. Death is swallowed up in victory." 1 Cor. 15: 54. Till then, death is not abolished or vanquished, so far as the followers of Christ are concerned; nor has he over promised it should be: so we believe. If this is so, then Br. Butler's illustrations all fail to meet the case; for Christ's promises of life to his followers are all fulfilled to the letter, and not hypocritically, as in the supposed cases of the slaveholder, and the hangman. The "second death" is never abolished. Christ did not die to abolish that; but he did die to abolish that death which comes by Adam; not by preventing men from dying, but by a resurrection from it; and superadding to all that follow him, immortality, or a life that has no end.

As to what "the power of God" can do, there need be no words. The simple question is, what has he said he will do? Christ has never promised his disciples any reward till the resurrection: "Thou shalt be recompensed at the resurrection of the just," are his words. Luke 14: 14.

If the "righteous never die," then the righteous never can have a resurrection; for the very idea of a resurrection is that of a previous death. The expression of our Lord, John 11: 26, "Shall never die," must be understood in harmony with his other testimony. He had said, in the same chapter, "Lazarus is dead:" but though dead, it was not in the absolute sense; to be dead in that sense is to be dead past a resurrection-it is an eternal cessation of being. In that sense the followers of Christ shall never die. Any other view of this text is unsatisfactory and contradictory. Such we believe is the true sense of the necessary to have everlasting life to enjoy it. passage, unless it was intended to express the privi-

will, indeed, "nover die."

FROM JOHN PERKINS.

South Braintree, Mass., July 14, 1851. BR. STORRS:-I am glad to see prominent on the pages of the Examiner, the truths that "the wages of sin is death," and also the dead have no knowledge. These truths, which I think are clearly demonstrated both from the scriptures and true philosophy, through the blessing of God, I found serviccable in preserving me from some dreadful fanaticism which spread rapidly among us about the time of the end; I mean the 10th day and 7th month. I think I have read the Examiner from the first-I can say also with much satisfaction, and I hope with some profit.

I have confidence also in its editor, that he is not so given up to any theory or doctrine that he is unwilling to have it tested, and where he finds error, renounce it; and this fact gives me confidence, for I would rather see the Examiner "perish" than see it striving to receive honor of men, whoever they may be. My chief desire is, in regard to the Examiner, that Bro. Storrs may receive abundant consolation from God, whilst from pure motives and out of a sincere heart, he endeavors to publish truth.

I have recently met with a severe affliction, in the loss of a dear little boy, by drowning, on account of which my heart and also his mother's has been softened and filled with anguish. We are told afflictions spring not out of the dust. It is, however, a great consolation to know that while God knows how to sever those tender ties which he has implanted in our breasts, he does not afflict willingly, but for our good. How consoling the thought, "God is Love," and that all things work together for good to those that love him. My desire is to submit to Him, and profit by all His providences.

Yours in love.

FROM JAMES BATTERSBY. Saint Louis, Aug. 24, 1851.

BRO. STORRS .- Out of the last twelve weeks I have been nine from home. I have now returned sooner than I would have done, had I not have fallen sick at Galena, Ill. I now write you from a sick room, where I have been confined the last three or four days. I am now, however, recovering. I have given away a great many books, and it is astonishing, in all parts where I go, I find some one who has heard of "Storrs' Six Sermons." I met recently in my travels with an intelligent Physician of Savannah, 111., an obscure town on the waters of the Upper Mississippi. He has lived there ten or twelve years; yet he received and read a copy of your sermons eight or ten years since, and is a believer.

Yours in hope.

FROM DAVID CHASE.

Bro. Storrs .--- It is fully settled in my mind that all God's words are truth, and all the positive declarations like so many cardinal points, that show us plainly what course to make. First --- A kingdom represented by the stone, and all the subjects of it must resemble it by an unbending adherence to all God's truth. They must not be mixing or blending with other kingdoms, but come out and be separate, &c. God's kingdom is an everlasting one, therefore it is

Yours in Love.

BIBLE EXAMINER.

PHILADELPHIA, NOVEMBER, 1851.

title of a Summon by Prof. Charles G. Finney, of attempt to prove it from the Bible. He might tor-Oberlin Institute, Ohio. This discourse, we under | ture that book to make it testify to such an assumpstand, substantially, has been preached by the Pro- tion, but he can never make that volume of truth fessor in England and in various other places. There is much in it to commend, but much also that is unsupported by proof, and has no better foundation in Gen. 3: 4, "Ye shall not surely die." If the witthan funcy. There is an effort of the imagination to ness is credible, then we admit the proof of man's picture the korribleness of hell which might well natural immortality, or the immortality of man's make its author wish his representation were only "poetry." It proves, however, to be bad "poetry," and worse logic, because drawn from assumed premises.

At the opening of his discourse, he seems aware that unless his audience will allow him to assume the most important point of all, he will labor in vainhence he says:-

"Whenever ministers enter the pulpit to preach, this more or less; all must do it if they would preach with any effectiveness to the heart; and it is right that they should. This is true not of the gospel miuister only, but of every teacher. Every teacher assumes that his pupils exist; and that they know this truth; also that he exists himself."

The Professor's illustration of assumptions is certainly unfortunate. No "teacher assumes that his pupils exist," or "that he exists himself." It is no assumption at all-it is demonstration, to all his senses, that both he and his pupils exist. Could the Professor demonstrate in like manner that men have immortal souls, he would not need to assume it, or ask his hearers to take it for "granted." The Prof. next says:-

"This congregation professes to be Christian, and I may therefore assume that at least nominally it is I shall not therefore address you as a heathen 50. people, or as atheists, or even Universalists."

This is an apology for not stopping to examine his foundation. He does not calculate his assumptions are to be questioned. But as his sermon was to be "reported" and published to the world, he might have done service to the cause of true religion to have given the proof that his premises were sound, and not have confined his thoughts to the few then before him. Some "heathen, atheist, or Universalist" might have been benefitted by the testimony brought out on so important a subject as natural immortality, if true. If any man can show it to be truth, we doubt not the Professor is the man; and we should like to see his giant mind grasp the subject; but instead of that he very coolly proceeds as follows:-

all Christians; for example, that the soul is immortal. tion-O what an eternity is this for the sinner-lost This is admitted so generally, that I shall assume forever! O look upon that sinner after he has passed that you all admit it. You admit it to be true of along through millions of ages of his unceasing pro-

both the righteous and the wicked. You admit that the Bible teaches this, and I shall not therefore attempt to prove it."

This is truly cool. Happy for him that he did not "THE LOSS WHEN A SOUL IS LOST."-Such is the "attempt" the proof. Especially, that he did not affirm any such doctrine. The only positive testimony to his asssumption found in the whole Bible is soul, is proved. But before "admitting" this testimony, we must assume that both God and his Christ are untrue: for God testifies, "The soul that sinneth IT shall die." Ezek. 18: 4, 20; and Christ Jesus our Lord testifies of the witness, Gen. 3: 4, "When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own; for he is a liar, and the father of it." John 8: 44. We do not "assume" that his testimony is false-we prove it; and from the mouth of God and his Christ. Let they always take many things for granted. All do Prof. Finney prove as conclusively that the soul is immortal, and he shall never have occasion to insinuate again that we are "heathen, or atheists" because we de not believe in the dogma of an immortal soul in man.

> The Prof. having assumed his premises, and assumed also that all whom he addressed "admitted" them without controversy, proceeds in his description of the "eternal progression" of the soul in knowledge and capacity for enjoyment or suffering. So far as this relates to the righteous, on whom God will bestow immortality at the resurrection we do not know but he is right, and incline to that view: we see not how it can be otherwise; and yet we should prefer that he would give us some plain "thus saith the Lord" rather than trust it wholly to human testimony, as he has done. When, however, he turns from the righteous to contemplate "the progress of the wicked," the proof that they possess immortality is lacking, and hence he launches into the regions of fancy without chart or compass, and no wonder he should wish it were all "poetry." We give our readers the following specimen of his flight. He says:-

"Now see also the progress of the wicked. They too are moving onward. The law of progress cannot be arrested by any amount of sinning. Onward still their minds are progressing: more and capacious for knowledge and of course for sin and suffering. And O! What then! What follows from these established laws of the human mind and of human existence! Let your reflections trace out the fearful results which accrue from these laws of eternal progression. When we get into the midst of these things, the mind becomes exhausted and overpow-"There are certain great truths admitted by almost ered; it sinks down and cries out with crushing emoand suffering. Hear him. He says, Hell knew but little of sin and suffering when I came here, compared with what I suffer now! They all then sinned and suffered but little, even taken in the vast aggregate, compared with what I sin and suffer in my own single being now! Alas, I seem to have all hell in my own bosom! I sin and suffer enough with my vastly augmented powers to make an awful hell even if these agonies were equally distributed among myriads of my fellow beings. How awful! Sin, misery and ruin enough to make one awful hell, locked up in the agonized bosom of a single sinner. If this were only poetry, I should be glad, but all is true, and so much more is true that no language can express it; no modes of computation and no forms of estimate can reach its appalling magnitude. So much is true that to see the thousandth part of it must set your soul all on fire!"

Truly, this is poetic enough, and purely fiction, without any other basis than fancy. If the assumed premises were proved, then the conclusion might be true: but as that is not done, faith can never receive the conclusion-credulity only receives it for truth, and that credulity is nourished by superstition.

We will give one more flight of the Professor's fancy. He adds:-

"Take any sinner here-any young man or woman from this congregation. Follow him onward from this hour through a life of sinning, a death of darkness and horror, and then onward still as he rolls in the agonics of the second death and moves onward age after age in the unceasing progress of a human mind expanding its intelligence, learning more and more of the God the sinner hates, and only hating Him forever the more, and only making himself the more immeasurably wretched by sinning with bitterer hate, and suffering with still enlarged capacities as the eternal years roll on! O young man! you will one day be able to say-All that hell knew of suffering before I came here is nothing compared with what I now suffer! All is nothing to the aggregate of my sins and of my sufferings. And all I now endure is only a beginning. My miseries have only This soul of mine has only begun to know began. how to suffer the real sufferings of the damned. Its keen sensitiveness to agony has only begun to develope itself. Yet at some period in the flow of those endless years of progression in sorrow, each one will say-If all the universe at the moment of my death, had taxed their minds to the utmost to conceive the guilt and miseries that wring my heart, they could not even have begun to reach the appalling estimate! Would to God this were only poetry! Alas, that it should be among the best established truths in the universe of realities! Young man, there is no axiom in mathematics more true than this.'

If all this is so "true," and is the revealed will of God, the Professor is himself a probable subject for the horrors he describes; for he manifests an irreconciliation to God's purpose that such should be the doom of the sinner, in the solemn wish that it "were only poetry."

The Professor, after saying some good things on the "danger of losing the soul," makes the following remark:

"If all these countless dangers were seen in their

gress in knowledge and in growing capacities for sin [their bearings upon the loss of a soul, methinks it would rouse all mankind into excitement almost to madness in securing the salvation of their souls. How could they refrain from crying out in the very streets and within the very walls of their bedchambers-What shall I do to be saved from such a hell!"

"Such a hell !" Yes, such a presentation of hell, or of the purpose of God as to men who die unpardoned, is well calculated to drive "into excitement" quite "to madness" if it was only believed; but the Professor gives evidence that neither himself nor the "orthodox" generally really believe a word he has said of "such a hell." Hear him! He says:-

"The entire orthodox world admit the truths upon which we started, and admit substantially those other truths which are necessarily connected with them. Now it is most astounding that these truths should be dropped out of mind-their bearings forgotten, and all their relations be overlooked as if they had no value, as if they were indeed only fictions and not facts. They are forgotten by parents, so that few indeed think of the bearings of these truths upon their children's well-being for eternity; they are forgotten by husbands and by wives, so that in these relations of life little is said, little felt, little done, for each other's salvation. In fact these great truths have come to be less regarded than almost any one of the ten thousand things of this world. The least of these worldly matters is practically treated as of more value than the soul. Must there not be a strange delirium upon the human mind?"

Here is a confession which it would seem might be enough to open the Professor's eyes to the fact, that while "the orthodox world" profess to believe there is "such a hell," their profession is mere hypocricy! They have no faith in it-it is "only fiction, and not fact." Truly, there is "a strange delirium upon" his own mind to proclaim such "fiction" with the means before him, in the Bible, for proving its falsity. And then, out of his own mouth he is convicted of unbelief in the existence of "such a hell;" for he says:---

"If all these countless dangers were seen in their real magnitude, and especially if they were seen in their bearings upon the loss of a soul, methinks it would rouse all mankind into excitement almost to madness in securing the salvation of their souls. How could they refrain from crying out in the very streets and within the very walls of their bedchambers-What shall I do to be saved from such a hell?"

Now, is Prof. Finney "aroused into excitement almost to madness?" And does he "cry out in the very streets" to save these "immortal souls" from "such a hell?" Is he not bound to love his neighbor as himself? And if men should be thus aroused with excitement almost to madness, and cry out in the streets to know what they must do to be saved from such a hell, is he not bound to show the same excitement for others, and cry out to them in the very streets to escape from such a hell? Let Prof. Finney satisfy the community practically that he believes what he preaches about "such a hell," and then we doubt not his preaching will be more effectual to real magnitude and especially of they were seen in arouse others as he intimates he desires they should be. Till then, we leave his hell among "poetry and fiction."

The sermon which has occasioned these remarks we never saw till at Honcoye recently, where we found it in the "Oberlin Evangelist," at the house of our friend and brother, Abraham Pennell, who wrote to the Evangelist, making some inquiries of its editor inamediately after its appearance last July. That letter received no attention, and Br. Pennell has consented to allow us to insert it in the Bible Examiner:--

ABRAHAM PENNELL'S LETTER.

Mr. Editor:—I have read a sermon in the Evangelist of the 2d of July, preached by Professor Finney, and reported by the editor, the title of which is, "The Loss when a Soul is Lost." On this sermon I wish to say a few words. And I do not do so by way of complaint or fault-finding, neither do I wish at present to controvert the main doctrines of the sermon, or even to review them. What I do desire, and greatly desire, is, that the assumed doctrine of the immortality of the soul should be proved, and proved by at least one positive declaration of scripture. If this cannot be done, the assumption goes for just what it is worth; which is just as much as any other baseless assumption is good for, without any sanction or support from the scriptures.

The assumption that the soul is immortal lays the foundation for another, which is, that the mind in its capacities both of intellect and sensibility, will be "always increasing." And when these assumptions are made or admitted, the conclusion which is drawn from the premises in the sermon inevitably follow. Then we have the increased happiness of the rightcous, and augmented misery of the wicked to all eternity.

But if these assumptions are false, then the conclusions are; for the conclusion cannot be any better than the premises. Now, I am among many who doubt the truthfulness both of the premises and the conclusions, for the very simple and plain reason that I cannot find any such doctrines in the Bible. And Mr. Finney himself seems to regret that the Bible justifies his premises; for he twice repeats his wish that this was "only poetry." But, he adds, "alas! that it should be among the best established truths in the universe of realities."

I presume Mr. Finney will not blame me for believing all this is poetry, if he does not see proper to prove, as before requested, that it is true. Truth is what we want—is what we need—is what the world needs. Heathen dogmas have long enough prevailed. Christian theology has long enough been mutilated and corrupted. The Bible has long enough been misconstrued and falsely interpreted. Oh! that we could see its beauties and glories—see that immortality and eternal life are the gift of God through Jesus Christ, and not by Adam. But I am forgetting myself. I only want the proof of the assumptions in the sermon, or else say the Bible does not teach the doctrine, only ns it is inferred, and for that inference the inspired writers are not responsible.

I hope if you or Mr. Finney should attempt the desired proof, it will be published in the Evangelist, as I want to see the proof mude as public as the sermon.

Honeoye, N. Y., July 24, 1851.

Yours in love of the truth, A. PENNELL.

THE DEBATE .--- In the late debate between Josiah Litch and Thomas Read, in this city, there was an evident attempt to evade the direct question at issue, and to prevent Br. Read from proceeding in a direct course of argument. The old theme was harped upon, by Mr. Litch, of the "blasphemy" of the idea that "God, the maker and upholder of all things, was three days in a state of unconsciousness!" He knows that none of his opponents hold any such sentiments; yet he introduces it, with all the eloquence and pathos he is master of, to excite the prejudices of a part of the audience against our views. Br. Read very handsomely rebuked him, by informing him that "God gave His Son to die:" and that "the Apostle Paul lays it down as a first principle to be believed, that Christ died:" 1 Cor. 15: 2, 3; and that by the belief of it we "are saved," &c.

The true question at issue was, "Is extinction of being or endless torment the wages of sin?" Mr. Litch attempted to sustain the latter; and as he led in the debate, he took what course he chose in starting; the first point of which was, to establish the idea that man is three-fold-that is, in addition to the man formed of the dust of the ground, God formed a soul and a spirit in the dust man; and thus, as he openly avowed, instead of man being a unit, he was mado up of "three men." To sustain this position, he brought forward Zech. 12: 1. "The Lord * * * formeth the spirit of man within him." On this text hung the strength of his entire argument. To prove from such a text that there is a distinct entity, or real being, formed in man, so constituted as to make a man proper, was the Herculean task he undertook. He might as well undertake to prove four men as three; for the Apostle saith to the Galatians, chap. 4: 19, "I travail in birth again until Christ be formed in you." Does Mr. Litch suppose that Christ is actually formed [created] in each believer? If, so he will have more Christ's than "three;" yea, as many as there are believers; so that his Christ instead of being "one Lord Jesus Christ" would be 'legion.' The manifest sense of the Apostle is, that the mind, disposition, temper, &c., of Christ should be formed in them.

The text Zech. 12: 1, has as rational an exposition, without resorting to the the preposterous assumption that another man was formed within man, in addition to the man of whose creation the Mosaic account is clear and distinct; and which gives no countenance to the idea of a *trinity* in man.

The term translated spirit, Zech. 12: 1, is ruahh, or more properly rooakh. In this last reading we follow Prof. Pick. If Josiah will turn to Prov. 29: 11, he will find the same word translated "mind:" "A fool utter ethall his mind, rooakh, but a wise man keepeth it till afterwards." Does a fool utter—yolso, bring out—all his "third person" when he speaks? Again, God saith, Hab. 1: 11, of the Chaldean—

170

Chaldean's "third person" change?

We might multiply instances of a similar use of the term upon which he relies to prove that the rooakh in man is a real being; but there are sufficient to show how desperate is the case he has in hand. God formed man an intelligent being, with mind-a mind of an higher order than other animals. To assume that that mind-rooakh-is a distinct entity, and so constituted as to make a third man, which may live and act altogether independent of the other "two" men, or only in connection with the second, or soul man, is preposterous in the highest degree, in our judgment. Not one particle of evidence has he in the Mosaic account of man's creation to give weight to his assumption; and the fact that he has to go down more than a thousand years later than Moses, and fix upon an isolated text, having an incidental expression, which may seem, at first view, to countenance his position, but in reality does not, shows how vain is the attempt to make out his "three men," or his man trinity-"a trinity with a vengeance," as Br. Read remarked in reply. Having attempted to prove from Zech. 12: 1, that the rooakh in man is a distinct entity, or being, he resorts to 1 Peter 4: 5-6, for proof that the spirit exists separate from the body. His argument stands thus:-

"The spirits of men do retain their identity and consciousness after death. Peter says, referring to sinners, 1 Pet. iv. 5-6, 'Who shall give account to him who is ready to judge the quick and the dead. For this cause was the gospel preached to them that are dead, that they might be judged according to men in the flesh, but live according to God in the spirit.' The doctrine of this text is, that those who are dead, had the gospel preached to them during their day of probation, and are thus, although now dead, the subjects of judgment or trial. That although dead as men, they live according to, or in the same manner as, God in spirit. In that spiritual form, they will be judged in the same manner as men in the flesh. We regard this as an incontrovertible evidence of the existence in consciousness, of the spirits of men after death."

His reliance upon this text, to prove the consciousness of the spirits of dead men, shows how difficult it is to prove the theory he advocates: a text confessedly, by nearly all commentators, of difficult explication, but of all doubtful interpretations, his is one of the most so. If it be the true one, it follows that men to whom the gospel has not been preached will not "live in the same manner as God in spirit," that is, in a separate state from the body: for, the apostle says, "For this cause was the gospel preached to them that are dead, that they * * * might nities" must have come to an end; and that he must live according to God in the spirit." If that means take one or other horn of the dilemma, viz: the devil that they might live in the same manner as God in and all wicked men were destroyed out of being, or spirit, i. c. in a separato state of consciousness, then, else converted. Did Josiah meet this home-thrust? if the gospel had not been preached to them, they No, he was silent; and the next evening tried to shift would not so live; and, of course, that spiritual ex- the subject back to the intermediate state, where he istence is not and was not in themselves, but is an had figured the two first evenings; so he started off

"Then shall his mind-rooakh-change." Did the effect from a "cause," viz: "the gospel;" and so they who have not had the gospel preached to them will not so live whatever others do; and this is "incontrovertible evidence" that "the spirits of men after death" are not "in consciousness" from any inherent principle, as his theory maintains. The text from Peter, then, is clearly against him. The Roman Catholics claim this text to prove their purgatory; and the Restorationists to prove their theory; and either of them have quite as good claim to it as he has to prove his; all three are in error, and their claims without foundation. As to the real meaning of the text we may speak at another time; it is sufficient now that it is shown not to warrant the construction he puts upon it. That construction contradicts the plain and positive testimony of the Bible, viz: "In death there is no remembrance of thee; in sheel who shall give thee thanks." Psa. 6: 5, "The dead praise not the Lord." Psa. 115: 17. "There is no knowledge in sheel," the state of the dead. Eccl. 9: 10. "The dead know not anything." Eccl. 9: 5. "Sheel cannot praise thee, * * * they that go down to the pit cannot hope for the truth." Isa. 38: 18.

> Here is positive testimony, all of which, and much more, condemns Mr. Litch's assumption of the meaning of 1 Peter 4: 5-6.

> Mr. Litch continued to keep off from the main question till the third evening of the debate. He then plunged, the first dash, into the book of Revelation, and seized the devil to begin with. It was a master-stroke of policy; and he expatiated with great pathos on the "eternity of eternities," which he said the devil had got to be tormented. "For ever and ever -aionas ton aionon," he said, means "eternity of eternities." After having exhausted his pathetic vocabulary on the devil, he fell back on Rev. 14, and presented the worshippers of the beast in "eternity of eternities of torment," and went off in a blaze of solemn warning to those who taught otherwise.

> Br. Read, however, with one single quotation, annihilated all the "eternity of eternities" that his opponent had manufactured, and put him to silence. The text was from Mr. Litch's own chosen book, Rev. 5: 13, "And every creature which is in heaven, and on the carth, and under the earth, and such as are in tho sea, and all that are in them, heard I, saying, Blessing, and honor, and glory, and power, be unto him that sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb, for ever and ever." He charged home upon his opponent that his "eternity of eter-

Read from the true issue was a failure: and though was completed the wrath of God." his first fifteen minutes ended while he was in the to resume it, he was thrown off his track and did not get balanced again for the evening, and was manifestly in a labor where his strength had become weakness. Among other remarkable exploits he attempted to tell what the soul is; and his opponent must have pitied him in the embarrassment he manifested. The soul "is not matter," and "it is not exactly immaterial;" and he tried to get out some idea of it by various motions of his head and arms, and doubtless gave as definite a notion of a soul as he or any of like faith can do; which is just none at all, except with those who take the shaking of one's head and arms for sense and reason.

"ETERNITY OF ETERNITIES" is the period of the torment of the wicked, saith Josiah Litch; and in proof of this position brings forward Rev. 14: 9-11. a text which an intelligent man should blush to produce in proof of the punishment of wicked men at ways, and pour out the vials of the wrath of God all, beyond judgments indicted in this present life. [where] UPON THE EARTH:" not in hell, nor the moon, In order to make this text available to our opponents, they must prove three things. First-That it and poured out his vial upon the earth," Well, what is spoken of ALL wicked men. Second-That it relates to their punishment beyond this life. And, Third-That the term "for ever and ever" is used in its primary and absolute sense of endless. Neither of these points have they ever proved, and never can. It is not enough for a man to get up and swing his arms and shake his head and affirm all these points: let them be proved. We say again, it never has been done and never can be.

men?

We answer, no. It is a specified class, viz; "If any man worship the beast and his image, and receive his mark in his forehead, or in his hand." This is the class spoken of and threatened; and it comes almost infinitely short of embracing all the wicked. The beast and his image (see chap. 13: 17) is not in existence till a late period of the Christian era. The dynasty of rulers symbolised by this beast and his image are of late origin, if yet in existence; hence it is impossible that more than a small portion of the race of Adam can come under the threatening of ch. 14. This fact alone shows the absurdity of our opposers quoting it in support of their theory, which is, that all wicked men will be involved in endless torment.

2. Does the judgment threatened in Rev. 14: 9-11, relate to wicked men beyond this life?

another sign in heaven * *

with "necromancy" for the fourth and last night of having the seven last plagues; for in them is filled the debate. But this time his attempt to decoy Br. up the wrath of God." The original is, "In them

Mark well, these plagues are the last on somebody; midst of his necromancy argument, and he promised and they are to have a completion; hence it is impossible that they can be eternal, or endless. Now observe, verses 7 and 8, it is said, "One of the four vital beings gave unto the seven angels seven golden vials full of the wrath of God." &c. "And the temple was filled with smoke from the glory of God, and from his power; and no man was able to enter into the temple, till the seven plagues of the seven angels were fulfilled," or completed.

> Let it be distinctly noted, these plagues are THE LAST, and that they COMPLETE the wrath of God on the power to be visited; and also that NO MAN can enter into the temple of God till they are COMPLETED. Now what follows-If these plagues, or any part of them, fall on the wicked spoken of in chap. 14: 9-11, then either no man ever can enter the temple of God. or the wrath spoken of will have been completed, or finished. Now listen-"I heard a great voice out of the temple, saying to the seven angels, Go your nor any other fancy location. "And the first went happened? And there fell a noisome and grievous sore upon the men which had THE MARK OF THE DEAST. and upon them which worshipped his image."

Here is the commencement of the exact fulfillment of the threatening in chap. 14. There we find the threatening; here the wrath in a course of accomplishment, and it has not missed the persons threatened. These plagues are all to fall on the earth: chap. 16: 1; they are the "filling up of the wrath of God"-1. Is this language used in reference to all wicked and they are "the last:" and till they are filled up and completed, no man can enter the temple of God: then what becomes of "the eternity of eternities" of their torment? It has passed away, like other fancies of mere theorists.

> As we have shown that the threatened wrath in Rev. 14: 9-11, is to be "upon the earth," and that it must have a completion, or no man can ever enter the "temple in heaven," it is unnecessary to spend time to prove that the term, forever and ever, in the text, is used, as often elsewhere, to signify no more than a protracted period, without defining its exact length. We might greatly extend our remarks on this subject; but we trust we have said enough to convince all candid inquirers, and more would not avail with bigots, and dealers in mere assumptions. Let the reader examine the fourth and fifth plagues.

ETERNAL PUNISHMENT, Destruction and not End-We unhesitatingly say no. The following chapters | less Torture .- In the late debate, Josiah Litch insisprove this. The 15th chapter opens thus-"I saw ted that everlasting destruction is not extinction of * seven angels | being: for those "punished with everlasting destruc-

Church of God General Conference: McDonough, GA, https://coggc.org/

tion," of whom Paul speaks, 2 Thess. 1, are destroyed saith the Maker of man; and we judge He knew as AT Christ's second advent, and must therefore have a resurrection at the end of the thousand years; and hence could not have become extinct when destroyed so he reasons.

Here, as usual with our opponents, is assumption enough to satisfy mere theorists, but it falls far short of convincing a thinking man. Mr. Litch affirms the last verses of Matt. 25 relate to the final judgment, and that the words, "There shall go away into everlasting punishment," relate to the final doom of the wicked, and mean endless torment.

Now, whatever scene is described Matt. 25, and whatever time is there spoken of, the same, in both respects, Paul speaks of 2 Thess. 1. If one is at the coming of Christ, so is the other. If the wicked are to have a resurrection in the one case, so are they in the other. If the punishment is not final in one case, then it is not in the other. Let the chapters be compared togother. They are both laid in one scene. "When the Son of Man shall come in his giory and all the holy angels with him." Matt. 25: 31. "When the Lord Jesus shall be revealed from heaven with his mighty angels." 2 Thess. 1: 7. Is here any mistake? The scene is one, and the same; and no cunning can separate them. "These shall go away into everlasting punishment." Matt. 25: 46. "Who shall be punished with everlasting destruction." 2 Thess. 1: 9. Now, Mr. Sophistry, separate the time and the events, if you can. Deny that one is final and you deny that the other is final! Say, there is a resurrection after the punishment in the one case and it follows that there is in the other; and so proves the punishment Matt. 25: 46 is not the final one ! And then what becomes of your endless torment, which you attempt to fix on the language of that text? Vanished, like all other funcies of immortal-soulists.

DEATH DEFINED by Josiah Litch .- He said, in the debate, "Death is the separation of the soul and spirit from the body." That is, according to his theory, one man dies and two live separated from the dead one. Then the penalty of the divino law in Adam's case, and in all who die by Adam's sin, is fully inflicted the moment the union of the trinity in man is dissolved. Hence as two of the mon do not cease to live, and have passed through the penalty of the law, that law has no further claim on them, and so cannot inflict any further pain or penalty unless they sin in their new state, and under some law not made known to us. No wonder the "spirit-rappers" testify all mon gain by dying! However, as wo are satisfied the "spirit-rappers" are great liars, so we are equally satisfied that Josiah's definition of death is unscriptural and untrue. We choose to adhere to God's own definition, which is, that the man is dust, "dust thou art, and unto DUST shalt thou return," touched by Josiah Litch."

well as those who would be "wise above what is written." Nothing short of dissolving the man could be death; and that dissolution must be to his original elements; and so God declares it should be; and so we believe it is. But, saith Josiah, if that is so, "a resurrection is impossible." Just so thought the Sadducces; and our Lord rebuked them for their ignorance of 'the Scriptures,' and 'the power of God.'

A SYNOPSIS .- After the foregoing articles, on points taken up in the late debate, were in the hands of our compositor, we had handed us the following synopsis, by one who heard the whole debate:

"In the discussion between Josiah Litch and Thos. Read, the question was, 'Do the Scriptures teach that the wicked will have an eternal conscious existence in torment?" Mr. Litch's chief effort seemed to be to endeavor to establish the separate identity of both soul and spirit, particularly of the spirit, and that both can and do exist in a conscious state, while the man, in his body, is dead; and hence, death, destruction, ceasing to be, &c., must be interpreted differently from their plain and obvious imports, and the wicked, having immortal souls and spirits, would live forever in torment. He dwelt particularly upon Eccl. 12: 7, Zech. 12: 1, 1 Cor. 6: 17, 1 Pet. 4: 6, and Rev. 14: 11; and adduced the laws against Necromancy and Demonology, and the recent 'Spiritual Manifestations, as proofs positive that man is conscious in death.

"On the other hand, Thomas Read proved that man is a unit-that he is a soul, not has a soul, and that man has no abstract soul or spirit that can possibly be conscious apart from himself or his body. He proved that man, as to his whole being, in the original scriptures, was generally called odom, a man of dust, or cnoush, mortal-that men were called anosheem, mortals, without any qualification, more than two hundred times. He proved that the soul was declared to be mortal, dying, and dead, several times. That the spirit of man is a part of the universal principle of all animal life, in the hands of God, and when it is "resumed by God," "returns to God," "is blown out," "put out," "cut off," &c.; then the man necessarily returns to his dust and is unconscious. In other words, though the spirit of man is the cause of life, yet it has no life in itself, and the words mortal and immortal, or living, or dying, are never applied to it. Therefore, all those numerous expressions, implying the utter extermination of the wicked, must be understood in their plain and obvious import, as implying the utter extinction of their being. Thomas Read likewise put forth several propositions, either of which, being true, proved that the wicked would not have an eternal conscious existence, all of which were left un-

SCRIPTURE EXPOSITION.

BY THE EDITOR.

Forasmuch then as Christ hath suffered for us in the flesh, arm yourselves likewise with the same mind: for he that hath suffered in the flesh, hath ceased from sin. That he no longer should live the rest of his time in the flesh to the lusts of men, but to the will of God.-1 PETER, IV., 1 & 2.

Here is a conclusion the Apostle comes to, from what he had previously taught in chapters two and three, which teaching was, that Christians should carefully avoid evil doing, and practice well doing; and if they suffer let it be for well doing; and in the manner and spirit that Christ suffered; carefully co- in the spirit:" that is, live spiritually. We parapying after his "example." As Christ suffered for us-on our account-while in the flesh-that he in sins, and some have been quickened, or made might overcome evil with good, and bring us to reconciliation to God; "arm yourselves"-equip yourselves--"likewise with the same mind"-ennoianidea, thought, purpose, intention. "For"-otiseeing that, or because-"he that hath suffered-pathon-having undergone or suffered the last extremity-"in the flesh"-in the corruptible state, and having learned of Christ how to suffer-"hath ceased"-pepautai-left off, refrained-"from sin"amartias-sinful propensity; "that"-so that, or the rest"-remainder-"of his time in the flesh"this mortal state--- "to the lusts of men"--- or, after the irregular or violent desires of men-"but to the will of God"-or, the purpose of God: that is, after the "example" of Christ.

- For the time past of our life may suffice us to have wrought the will of the Gentiles, when we walked in lasciviousness, lusts, excess of wine, revellings, banquetings, and abominable idolatries. Wherein they think it strange that ye run not with them to the same excess of riot, speaking evil of you: Who shall give account to him that is ready to judge the quick and the dead .--- 3, 4 & 5.
- "For"-truly-"the time past of our life may suffice"-has been sufficient-"to have wrought"-porformed-"the will"-purpose, desire-"of the Gentiles, when we walked in"-went after-"lasciviousness"-injurious behaviour-"lusts"-irregular desires-"excess of wine"-heated with wine-"revellings"-nightly revellings with songs-"banquetings"-drinking about, or "drinking round"-"and abominable idolatrics, wherein they"-who still practice such things-"think it strange"-surprising-"that ye run not"-not at all-"with them to the same excess of riot"-dissoluteness-"speaking evil of you"-blaspheming you, i. e., speaking it is especially applicable to us who live in the end of against you-"who shall give account to him that is the Gentile age; when fearful destruction is to fall ready"-in readiness-"to judge"-to separatelast expression may rolate simply to the moral state, our God and his Christ, now even at the door: so that

to judge," seems to imply something to be done not thousands of years after, but soon, and the following verses may confirm this view.

For, for this cause was the gospel preached also to them that are dead, that they might be judged according to men in the flesh, but live according to God in the spirit .-- 6.

Wherefore on this account "was the gospel"-the good news of life-"proclaimed also to them that are dead"-literally, or morally; probably the latter-"that they might be judged"-separated; or led to see what their state is-- "according to men in the flesh"-or, fleshly men; that is, men living after the flesh, or animal nature-"but live according to God phrase this subject thus: "Some men are still dead alive to God: the proclamation of life was made to those that are dead in sins, that by it they might learn their true state while living after the flesh, and so be lead to God that they might partake of spiritual life."

But the end of all things is at hand: be ye therefore sober, and watch unto prayer .--- 7.

The "all things" to which Peter refers, it is not, perhaps, so easy to decide. The expression is not an absolute one; though, if applied to the Jewish institutions and polity, we shall not be at a loss to give it a very absolute application. And, with present light, we cannot but tlrink that is what was in the Apostle's mind. He was the Apostle of the circumcission, or to the Jews: many of them had been converted to the faith of Jesus, and were now "strangers scattered abroad," and narrowly watched by their enemies, as the preceding chapters show. The time was drawing nigh when the whole civil and ecclesiastical polity of the Jewish nation was to be uprooted, their city destroyed, and they scattered among all nations. These events actually took place in a very few years after this Epistle was written. As such tremendous events were nigh "at hand," and the prophecy of them was well known and proclaimed wherever the gospel was preached, it was important to exhort and charge Jewish converts, in particular, that they should live in reference to those events, both that they might escape those judgments, and that such as had hitherto neglected the gospel might be led to acknowledge Jesus as indeed the prophet of God when the complete and entire overthrow of "all things" pertaining to them should so exactly be fulfilled before their eyes, as Christ had declared. Though that, we believe, is the true application of this verse, upon Gentile powers and governments preparatory to "the quick"-the living"-"and the dead." This the kingdoms of this world becoming the kingdom of as it is said, "You hath he quickened who were dead the language of the verse sounds in our cars with in trespasses and sins." The expression, "is ready greater power than in the days of the Apostle; for, if God did fully accomplish all that he threatened | dying and never die; and God burning a part of himagainst Judah and Jerusalem, shall he not be as self in hell to all eternity! No, no, that which betrue to his word against Gentile nations who have longs to God returns to God, and man goes back corrupted the gospel, and whose rulers have not where he was before he was created. Ob. 16th v. ruled in the fear of God? The prophecy is equally clear that the Gentile nations at the end of the time of saints, it will keep men from looking at the desof the dispersion of the Jews, and prior to the reign truction of the sinner. What have we to do with of Christ, are to be visited with terrible judgments, that? Is it true? If so, it is my duty to preach it, such as would break up all the long-established gov-| and leave the result with the Author of truth. What ernments and cause men's hearts to fail them with is the chaff to the wheat, saith the Lord. We used fear. At this point of time we are living; and the to be told not to preach the destruction of the wickend of all these time-honored institutions, that have ed, for they will not be alarmed at mere death-as grown grey in oppressing men civilly and ecclesiastically, is at hand. Let us therefore "be sober"-in a sound mind; sober-minded-"and watch"-be vigilant-"unto prayer"-seeking aid, direction, support, and comfort from God: without which, in these perilous times we shall be ensnared and perish.

And above all things have fervent charity among yourselves; for charity covereth the multitude of sins. Use hospitality one to another without grudging. As every man hath received the gift, even so minister the same one to another, as good stewards of the manifold grace of God.-8, 9 & 10.

Fervent charity, or love, is above, or before all things, the most important among Christians; it is not only the mark of a disciple of Christ, but "the charity shall cover" --- i. e., prevent, cause not to exist ---- "the multitude of sins." He then exhorts, "Use hospitality"---kindness to strangers---"one to another without grudging"---murmuring, grumbling, complaint. "As every man hath received the gift" --- charisma --- free gift, or benefit, whether temporal or spiritual----so let him minister"---distribute-----the same one to another, as good stewards"-one to whom anything is entrusted for the benefit of others, a manager, an agent --- "of the manifold grace"--many favors-- "of God."

FROM MATTHEW BATCHELOR.

Pownal, Vt.

Bro. Storrs:-I cannot see how we can separate the doctrine of the destruction of the wicked from the unconsciousness of the saints until the resurrection. To me they are united. If man is a living soul, when he dies, the man, the whole man, is dead, and ceases to praise the Lord. He must have a resurrection in order to live and praise the Lord, according to Isaiah 38: 18-19; and Psalm 6: 5.

Again, according to Paul's statement, 1 Cor. 15th, if there is no resurrection, they that have fallen sure us that the righteous shall have LIFE-will put asleep in Christ are perished: which could not be if on Immortality -- be made incorruptible, at the comall the intellectual part of man was already in the ing or our Lord Jesus Christ, the bestower of such presence of God, where there is fulness of joy. Then unspeakable blessings. No wonder that the Apostle as the first death is death in all its parts, the Spirit Peter burst forth in such strains of rapture as this: that animated the man, the whole man, having re- "Blessed be the God and Father of our Lord Jesus turned to God who gave it, man returns to dust as he Christ, who according to his abundant mercy, has was. Here wo learn what the second death is. It is not of Jesus Christ from the dead to an inheritance," &c.

But some say, if we preach the unconscious state though making out God to be a retaliatory being would lead man to repentance, forcing eternal existence upon man, and torturing it to all eternity, for no other end than to show (as some say) the glory of his justice and power; and yet, with emphasis, say God is Love! Who could believe the two if they dared to look at it as it is. God is Love; and God in his love will let the sinner die if he will not have life through God's lovely plan. A sense of this will load men to repent. I am yours in love for all the truth.

FROM EDWARD T. WELCH.

Occan Port, N. .J

As I was seeking for an Examiner this evening, my hand fell on a pamphlet. When I opened it, I found it to be J. Litch's Pneumatologist, in which on page 283 of the May number, the writer endeavors to prove the spirit of Man immortal; yea, he affirmed to his combatant in the Dialogue that he has proved the same. His proof, as he quoted, is found in St. Peter's 1st Epistle, 3: 3, 4. "Whose adorning," &c.

If the text proves the immortality of the soul, that spirit must be an ornament to adorn; and such must be a meek and quiet one, otherwise it would not be of value in the sight of God. If this ornament is an immortal spirit, there are two things to be considered. 1. That few are ornamented with an immortal spirit. 2. It would prove conclusively that man does not naturally possess immortality! This is truly a queer way to prove that man's spirit is immortal. It is, howover, as good as is usually brought by the adherents of that theory. I have not time further to consider the above quotation, but suggest it for your consideration.

There is one blessed promise, yea, many that as-Psa. 140: 4; Eccl. 12: 7; Job 84: 14-15. begotten us again to a lively hope by the resurrection Let us hold on; and when the Lord comes, we will and love is not always to be maligned by it. enter on the heavenly rest, and receive the gracious reward which the Lord hath promised to them who By the hundred \$2.50. continue faithful in his service."

Br. Monerieff will accept our thanks for his interest in the Examiner.

ILLNESS .- Since our last paper was sent out, we have been unable to do much by reason of affliction. After our seven weeks absence and labor, we came home needing rest, instead of which, for two weeks, we had incessant toil in preaching, attending the debate, furnishing matter for the Examiner, reading proof, mailing papers, and all our domestic affairs, our family having removed in our absence, making us additional labor for a time. Altogether, we were well nigh prostrated, and had to desist entirely from all exertion, finding our strength weakness, brought on by excess of labor and care,

"BIBLE TRUTH."-The poetic effusion under this caption, in the Examiner for March last, was sent us without a signature; we said "from an unknown person!" We had no suspicion it was an Acrostic: nor did we see it till our attention was called to it in our late tour; when, sure enough, there was the name of one of our most constant hearers. The lines are so good we will let our readers turn back and read them again, and they will see who is the author, his residence, and the time when written.

BOUND EXAMINERS .- The next Examiner completes the present volume. We shall then have the numbers for 1850 and 1851 bound in one volume. The price will be \$1.50. To paying subscribers for 1852, we will put it at \$1.25.

.....

We can still furnish a few bound for '48 and '49 in one volume. Price for that, in all cases, \$1,50.

Those who wish for these works should send us their orders soon, and inform us how they will have them sent, or whether we shall lay them aside till called for. This we cannot promise to do unless they are paid for

a new edition of this work, containing the Six Ser- Hall, N. Sixth street, below Arch, for their place of mons, in quarto form, with Bishop Whately on the public worship. Meetings held every Lord's day at "Second Death." We have removed the History of the usual hours.

SCOTLAND .- In a note from Bro. Moncrieff, send-| Immortal-Soulism, and introduced a page of new ing us six subscribers to the Examiner, he says:- matter, a considerable portion of which is an article "It gives me pleasure to tell you that my health is on Bishop Newton's view of the 'Final State of Man,' greatly restored. I am able to walk now, and find in which he strongly favors Restorationism from the walking becoming easier to me every week. Much false notion that the soul is immortal, and he cannot reason have I to praise the Lord. As far I can believe in *endless misery*. These efforts of such minds judge, the cause of LIFE and LOVE advances. I hear as Newton's show that the notion of elernal torture is of good done by our works often. And when souls destined to take its place among the superstitions of are brought to love (iod, and to regard Jesus as the the barbarous ages. We rejoice that such blasphemy resurrection and the life, we ought to shout for joy. is likely to have an end, and that the God of justice

Price of the new edition, five cents single copy.

BIBLE EXAMINER.-Our readers will remember our terms are, payment in advance. The next volume commences in January, and by taking a little forethought, you can just as well meet our terms then as to delay, Besides, we wish not to publish more papers than are likely to be wanted, and we cannot take subscriptions for less time than one entire volume. Let us hear from you immediately on the subject.

-0-

AN OFFER.-The present volume of the Exeminer is drawing to a close, and we have some two hundred sets on hand for the year, and as many more for last year. Any person who will send us two dollars, shall receive perfect sets for the years 1850, 1851, and 1852. If they choose 1849 instead of 1850, they may have it: though we can furnish only about forty sets for '49. We make this offer because we wish these papers put in circulation, and because we much need the money, and are embarrassed for the want of it. Will our friends help us in this matter?

STORES' MISCELLANY .- We have added to this work "The True Source of Life," and shall hereafter sell it at 50 cents: which is as low as it can be afforded. It now contains 140 pages neatly bound in muslin.

THE ADVENT HARBINGER, published by Eld. Joseph Marsh, Rochester, N. Y., is an independent and ably conducted paper, worthy the patronage of those who do not wish to be slaves to traditions, and who wish to advance in divine knowledge. It is a free medium for the exchange of thought. It is a large quarto of eight pages, published weekly at \$1 per volume of twenty-six numbers, or \$2 per year. We will act as agent for said paper, and forward names and money.

THE CHURCH OF GOD, with which the editor of this "BIBLE EXAMINER-EXTRA."-We have published paper is connected in this city, has taken Franklin

BIBLE EXAMINER.

NO IMMORTALITY, NOR ENDLESS LIFE, EXCEPT THROUGH JESUS CHRIST ALONE.

VOL. VI.

PHILADELPHIA, DECEMBER, 1851.

NO. 12.

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER.

PUBLISHED MONTHLY, At No. 52 Vine Street, below Second. PHILADELPHIA, PA. TERMS.—Single copy, for ono year, \$1; six copies, \$5;

thirden copies, \$10; always in advance.

ENDLESS MISERY.

We copy the following from "The Life and Correspondence of John Foster," Baptist Minister, England. We give it place in the Examiner, not because we endorse all that he says, but to show the struggle of a powerful and pious mind to extricate itself from the horrible doctrine of Eternal Misery. Had he not held the corrupting doctrine of the natural immortality of the soul, he would not have been led to lean so strong to the doctrine of Restorationism: but endless misery he could not believe. He confesses ho has "not directed much thought to" the doctrine of the "literal interpretation of the threatened destruction" of the wicked. Had he done so, he might have been saved much labor in arriving at the truth on the end of the wicked.

The editor of the work, J. E. Ryland, in the Preface says:--

"On one point only of dogmatic theology, Mr. Foster dissented from the religious community with which he was most intimately connected. Allusions to this subject (the Duration of Future Punishment) occur in two or three passages of his early correspondence; but it is discussed at some length in a letter to a young minister, written in 1841, (vol. ii., p. 262). Without offering an opinion on "the moral argument," which to a mind of so high an order carried irresistible force, or inquiring what exceptions may be taken to those views of mankind and the present life to which it may appear that that argument owes much of its cogency-and while those who differ from him, and not a few, probably, who would assent to his views, may regret that the statements of scripture are not more fully discussed--it may be permitted, in justice to his memory, to remark, that in Mr. Foster's mind, as is evident from his other writings, this belief was associated with the holiest views of the Divine being, and with a most elevated standard of moral excellence; nor among those who deem him mistaken on this subject, could any one bo found who would more earnestly deprecate that a theological speculation should occupy the thoughts to the neglect of practical, personal piety."

Here follows the letter spoken of. Let it be read and pondered well. We commend it especially to all Baptists and Baptist Ministers: and we rejoice to know that many of that denomination have their minds exercised with serious reflections like Mr. Fostor:

TO A YOUNG CLERGYMAN.

In answer to one in which he stated his inquiries and difficulties on the subject of the elernity of future punishments.

SEPTEMBER 24, 1841.

Dear Sir:—If you could have been apprised how much less research I have made into what has been written on the subject of your letter than you appear to have done, you would have had little expectation of assistance in deciding your judgment. I have perhaps been too content to let an opinion (or impression) admitted in early life dispense with protracted inquiry and various reading. The general, not very far short of universal, judgment of divines in affirmation of the doctrine of eternal punishment must be acknowledged a weighty consideration. It is a very fair question, is it likely that so many thousands of able, learned, benevolent, and picous men should all have been in error? And the language of scripture is formidably strong; so strong that it must be an argument of extreme cogency that would authorize a limited interpretation.

Nevertheless, I acknowledge myself not convinced of the orthodox doctrine. If asked why not, I should have little to say in the way of criticism, of implications found or sought in what may be called incidental expressions of scripture, or of the passages dubiously cited in favor of final, universal restitution. It is the moral argument, as it may be named, that presses irresistibly on my mind—that which comes in the stupendous idea of eternity.

It appears to me that the teachers and believers of the orthodox doctrine hardly ever make an earnest, strenuous effort to form a conception of eternity; or rather a conception somewhat of the nature of **a** faint, incipient approximation. Because it is confessedly beyond the compass of thought it is suffered to go without an attempt at thinking of it. They ut-ter the term in the easy currency of language; have a vague and transitory idea of something obscurely vast, and do not labor to place and detain the mind in intense protracted contemplation, seeking all expedients for expanding and aggravating the awful import of such a word. Though every mode of illustration is feeble and impotent, one would surely think there would be an insuppressible impulse to send forth the thoughts to the utmost possible reach into the immensity-when it is an immensity into which our own most essential interests are infinitely extended. Truly it is very strange that even religious minds can keep so quietly aloof from the amazing, the overwhelming contemplation of what they have the destiny and the near prospect of entering upon.

Expedients of illustration of what eternity is not, supply the best attainable means of assisting remotely toward a glimmering apprehension of what it is. All that is within human capacity is to imagine the vastest measures of time, and to look to the termination of these as only touching the mere commencement of eternity.

For example: it has been suggested to imagine the number of particles, atoms, contained in this globe, and suppose them one by one annihilated, each in a ages, it is all the same as against infinite duration.

Extend the thought of such a process to our whole mundane system, and finally to the whole material as goodness in his moral creatures, that, in adoring universle: it is still the same. Or, imagine a series of numerical figures, in close order, extended to a line of such length that it would encircle the globe, be confounded, while contemplating him bringing, of like the equator-or that would run along with the his own sovereign will, a race of creatures into exisearth's orbit round the sun-or with the outermost tence, in such a condition that they certainly will planet, Uranus-or that it would draw a circle of and must---must, by their nature and circumstances, which the radius should be from the earth or sun to go wrong, and be miserable unless prevented by es-Sirius-or that should encompass the entire material pecial grace, which is the privilege of only a small universe, which, as being material, cannot be infin- proportion of them, and at the same time affixing on ite. The most stupendous of these measure of *time* their delinquency a doom of which it is infinitely bewould have an end; and would, when completed, be yond the highest archangel's faculty to apprehend a still nothing to elernity.

Now think of an infliction of misery protracted through such a period, and at the end of it being apply to the measures of the divine government, the only commencing-not one smallest step nearer a rules indispensable to the equity of human adminisconclusion:-the case just the same if that sum of tration. Yet we may advert to the principle in hufigures were multiplied by itself. And then think of man legislation, that the man tempted to crime man-his nature, his situation, the circumstances of should, as far as is possible without actual expehis brief sojourn and trial on earth. Far be it from rience, be apprised of the nature and measure of the us to make light of the demerit of sin, and to remon-penal consequence. It should be something the strate with the supreme Judge against a severe chas- main force of which can be placed in intelligible optisement, of whatever moral nature we may regard *position*, so to speak, to the temptation. If it be the infliction to be. But still, what is man? He something totally out of the scope of his faculties to comes into the world with a nature fatally corrupt, apprehend, to realize to his mind, that threatened and powerfully tending to actual evil. He comes something is unknown, has not its appropriate fitness among a crowd of temptations adapted to his innate to deter him. There is, or may be, in it what would evil propensities. Ile grows up (incomparably the be of mighty force to deter him if he could have a greater proportion of the race) in great ignorance; competent notion of it; but his necessary ignorance his judgment weak, and under numberless beguile precludes from him that salutary force. Is he not ments into error; while his passions and appetites thus taken at a fearful disadvantage? As a motive are strong; his conscience unequally matched against to deter him, the threatened penalty can only be in their power:-in the majority of men, but feebly and the proportion to his (in the present case) narrow rudely constituted. The influence of whatever good instructions he may receive is counteracted by a combination of opposite influences almost constantly the Onniscient. Might we not imagine the reflecacting on him. He is essentially and inevitably un- tion of one of the condemned delinquents suffering and to be powerfully acted on by what is invisible on, and still interminably on, through a thousand or and future. In addition to all which, there is the a million of ages, to be expressed in some such man-intervention and activity of the great tempter and ner as this:—Oh! if it had been possible for me to tion on him of what we denominate grace. Is it not would have been enough to strike me dead with tertrine of scripture-is there not irresistible evidence lent recoil. from a view of the actual condition of the human world-that no man can become good, in the Chris- ite evil, that is, of infinite demerit, as an offence tian sense, can become fit for a holy and happy place against an infinite Being; and that since a finite hereafter, but by this operation ab extra? But this creature cannot suffer infinitely in measure, he must is arbitrary and discriminative on the part of the in duration. But surely, in all reason, the limited sovereign Agent, and independent of the will of man. and in the present instance diminutive nature of the And how awfully evident is it, that this indispensa- criminal must be an essential part of the case for ble operation takes place only on a comparatively judgment. Every act must, for one of its proporsmall proportion of the collective race!

sinful course; in which he does often what, notwith- agent should be capable of being aware of the magstanding his ignorance and ill-disciplined judgment nitude (the amount, if we might use such a word) of and conscience, he knows to be wrong, and neglects the offence he commits, by being capable of some-what he knows to be his duty; and consequently, for thing like an adequate conception of the being against a greater or less measure of guilt, widely different in whom it is committed. A perverse child committing different offenders, deserves punishment. But end-an offence against a great monarch, of whose dig-less punishment! hopeless misery, through a dura-tion to which the enormous terms above imagined, sion, would not be punished in the same manner as tion to which the enormous terms above imagined, sion, would not be purished in the same manner as will be absolutely nothing! I acknowledge my ina-ian offender of high endowments and responsibility, bility (I would say it reverently) to admit this belief, and fully aware of the dignity of the personage together with a belief in the divine goodness—the belief that "God is love," that his tender mercies tised; the other might as justly be condemned to are over all his works. Goodness, benevolence, 'death. In the present case, the offender does or may

thousand years, till all were gone; but just as well charity, as ascribed in supreme perfection to him, say a million, or a million of millions of years or cannot mean a quality foreign to all human conceptions of goodness; it must be something analogous in principle to what himself has defined and required the divine goodness, we may not be worshipping an "unknown God." But if so, how would all our ideas proportion of them, and at the same time affixing on thousandth part of the horrer.

It must be in deep humility that we venture to destroyer. In short, his condition is such that there conceive but the most diminutive part of the weight is no hope of him, but from a direct, special opera- and horror of this doom, every temptation to sin so? Are we not convinced-is it not the plain doc- ror; I should have shrunk from it with the most vio-

A common argument has been that sin is an infintions, be measured by the nature and condition of Now this creature, thus constituted and circum- the agent. And it would seem that one principle in stanced, passes a few fleeting years on earth, a short that rule of proportion should be, that the offending

know that the Being offended against is of awful ma- | unfit for a spiritual, heavenly, and happy kingdom jesty; and therefore the offence is one of great aggravation, and he will justly be punished with great The thoughtful spirit has a question silently sugseverity; but, by his extremely contracted and feeble gested to it of far more emphatic import than that of faculties, as the lowest in the scale of strictly ra- him who exclaimed, "Hast thou made all men in tional and accountable creatures in the whole creation, he is infinitely incapable of any adequate conception of the greatness of the Being offended against. [tor, the only light that shines through this dark econ-He is, then, according to the argument, obnoxious to a punishment not in any proportion to his own nature, but alone to that infinity of the supreme nature, which is to him infinitely unconceivable and unknown.

If an evil act of a human being may be of infinite demerit, why may not a good one be of infinite excellence or merit as having also a reference to the infinite Being? Is it not plain that every act of a finite nature must have, in all senses, the finite quality of that nature-cannot, therefore, be of infinite demerit?

Can we-I would say with reverence-can we realize it as possible that a lost soul, after countless millions of ages, and in prospect of an interminable succession of such enormous periods, can be made to have the conviction, absolute and perfect, that all this is a just, an equitable infliction, and from a Power as good as he is just, for a few short sinful years on earth-years and sins presumed to be retained most vividly in memory, and everlastingly growing clearer, vaster, and more terrible to retro-spective view in their magnitude of infinite evilevery stupendous period of duration, by which they have actually been left at a distance, seeming to bring them, in contrariety to all laws of memory, nearer and ever nearer to view, by the continually aggravated experience of their consequences?

Yes, those twenty, forty, seventy years, growing up to infinity of horror in the review, in proportion tion. The limitation is often, indeed, plainly marked to the distance which the condemned spirit recedes from them,-all eternity not sufficing to reveal fully what those years contained .- millions of ages for each single evil thought or word!

But it is usually alleged that there will be an endless continuance of sinning, with probably an endless aggravation, and therefore the punishment must be endless. Is not this like an admission of disproportion between the punishment and the original cause of its infliction? But suppose the case to be so-that is to say, that the punishment is not a retribution simply for the guilt of the momentary existence on earth, but a continued punishment of the continued, ever-aggravated guilt in the eternal state; the allegation is of no avail in vindication of the doctrine; because the first consignment to the drendful state necessitates a continuance of the criminality; the doctrine teaching that it is of the essence, and is an awful aggravation, of the original consignment, that it dooms the condemned to maintain the criminal spirit unchanged forever. The doom to sin as well as to suffer, and according to the argument, to sin in order to suffer, is inflicted as the punishment of the sin committed in the mortal state. Virtually, therefore, the eternal punishment is the punishment of the sins of time.

Under the light (or the darkness) of this doctrine, how inconceivably mysterious and awful is the aspect of the whole economy of this human world! The immensely greater number of the race hitherto, through all ages and regions, passing a short life under no illuminating, transforming influence of their Scripture language? In auswer, I ask, how do ue Creator; ninety-nine in a hundred of them perhaps construct our words and sentences to express it in an

elsewhere; and all destined to everlasting misery. vain?"

Even the dispensation of redemption by the Mediaomy, how profoundly mysterious in its slow progress, as yet, in its uncorrupted purity, and saving efficacy. What proportion of the earth's inhabitants efficacy. are, at this hour, the subjects of its vital agency? It was not the divine volition that the success should be greater,-that a greater number should be saved by it,—or most certainly, most necessarily, the efficacy would have been greater. But in thus withholding from so large a proportion of mankind even the knowledge, and from so vast a majority in the nominally Christian nations the divine application, indispensable to the efficacy of the Christian dispensation, could it be that the divine purpose was to consign so many of his creatures, existing under such fearful circumstances, to the doom of eternal misery? Does the belief consist with any conception we can form of infinite goodness combined with infinite power?

But, after all this, we have to meet the grave question, What say the Scriptures! There is a force in their expressions at which we well may tremble. On no allowable interpretation do they signify less than a very protracted duration and formidable se-verity. But I hope it is not presumptuous to take advantage of the fact, that the terms everlasting, cternal, for ever, original or translated, are often employed in the Bible, as well as other writings, under great and various limitations of import; and are thus withdrawn from the predicament of necessarily and absolutely meaning a strictly endless duraby the nature of the subject. In other instances the words are used with a figurative indefiniteness, which leaves the limitation to be made by some general rule of reason and proportion. They are designed to magnify, to aggravate, rather than to define. My resource in the present case, then, is simply thisthat since the terms do not necessarily and absolutely signify an interminable duration,---and since there is in the present instance to be pleaded, for admitting a limited interpretation, a reason in the moral estimate of things, of stupendous, of infinite urgency, involving our conceptions overwhelmed in darkness and horror if it be rejected, I therefore conclude that a limited interpretation is authorized. Perhaps there is some pertinence in a suggestion which I recollect to have seen in some old and nearly unknown book in favor of universal restitution;-that the great difference of degrees of future punishment, so plainly stated in Scripture, affords an argument against its perpetuity; since, if the demerit be infinite, there can be no place for a scale of degrees, apportioning a minor infliction to some offenders: -every one should be punished up to the utmost that his nature can sustain; and the same reason of equity there may be for a limited measure, there may con-sistently be for a limited duration. The assignment of an unlimited duration would seem an abandonment of the principle of the discriminating rule observed in the adjustment of degrees.

If it be asked, how could the doctrine have been more plainly and positively asserted than it is in the having never even received any authenticated mes- absolute manner, so as to reave no post-sage from heaven, passing off the world in a state derstanding the language in a different, equivocal or

questionable sense? And may we not think that if so [transcendently dreadful a doctrine had been meant to me a miserably fallacious way of talking, which to be stamped as in burning characters on our faith, affects to dissuade from dwelling on such terrifying there would have been such forms of proposition, of representations. They have said, These terrors circumlocution if necessary, as would have rendered tend only to harden the mind; approach the thoughtall doubt or question a mere palpable absurdity?

Some intelligent and devout inquirers, unable to admit the terrific doctrine, and yet pressed by the strength of the scripture language, have had recourse to a literal interpretation of the threatened destruction, the eternal death, as signifying annihilation of existence, after a more or less protracted penal infliction. Even this would be a prodigious relief: but it and addressed to them, is plainly in a tone of menace is an admission that the terms in question do mean and alarm. Strange if it had been otherwise, when something final, in an absolute sense. I have not directed much thought to this point; the grand object of interest being a negation of the perpetuity of misery. I have not been anxious for any satisfaction fear that men are actually awakened to fice from beyond *that*; though certainly one would wish to in-dulge the hope, founded on the divine attribute of known of such awakenings. Dr. Watts, all mild and infinite benevelence, that there will be a period aminble as he was, and delighted to dwell on the somewhere in the endless futurity, when all God's congenial topics, says deliberately, that of all the sinning creatures will be restored by him to rectitude and happiness.

It often surprises me that the fearful doctrine sits, if I may so express it, so easy on the minds of the religious and benevolent believers of it. Surrounded immediately by the multitude of fellow-mortals, and manner of the divine process of conversion. looking abroad on the present, and back on the past state of the race, and regarding them, as to the immense majority, as subjects of so direful destination, how can they have any calm enjoyment of life, how can they be cordially cheerful, how can they escape the incessant haunting of dismal ideas, darkening the economy in which their lot is cast? I remember suggesting to one of them such an image as this:suppose that so many of the great surrounding population as he could not, even in a judgment of charity, believe to be Christians, that is, to be in a safe state for hereafter,-suppose the case to be that he knew so many were all doomed to suffer, by penal infliction, a death by torture, in the most protracted yet they make no concealment of it in private comagony, with what feelings would he look on the popvlous city, the swarming country, or even a crowded, mixed congregation? But what an infinitesimal trifle that would be in comparison with what he does | ter careless, irreligious minds, of the terrible docbelieve in looking on these multitudes. How, then, can they bear the sight of the living world around thought themselves the less required to propound them?

As to religious teachers, if the tremendous doctrine be true, surely it ought to be almost continually proclaimed as with the blast of a trumpet, inculcated and reiterated, with ardent passion, in every possible form of terrible illustration; no remission of on the mitigated doctrine to encourage themselves the alarm to thoughtless spirits. What! believe in their impenitence. But this is only the same perthem in such unconceivably dreadful peril, and not multiply and aggravate the terrors to frighten them out of their stupor; deploring still, that all the horrifying representations in the power of thought and language to make, are immeasurably below the real urgency of the subject; and almost wishing that some phenomenon of sight or sound might break in to make the impression that no words can make. If we saw a fellow-mortal stepping heedlessly or daringly on the utmost verge of some dreadful precipice or gulf, a humane spectator would raise and continue a shout, a scream, to prevent him. How, then, can it comport with the duty of preachers to satisfy themselves with brief, occasional references to this awful topic, when the most prolonged thundering alarm is but the note of an infant, a bird, or an insect, in proportion to the horrible urgency of dies and ministrations, the case?

There has been, in some quarters, what appears less beings rather, and almost exclusively, with the milder suasives, the gentle language of love. I cannot, of course, mean to say, that this also is not to be one of the expedients and of frequent application. But I do say, that to make this the main resource is not in consistency with the spirit of the bible, in which the larger proportion of what is said of sinners a righteous Governor was speaking to a depraved, rebellious race. Also it is matter of fact and experience, that it is very far oftener by impressions on congenial topics, says deliberately, that of all the persons to whom his ministry had been efficacious, only one had received the first effectual impressions from the gentle and attractive aspects of religion; all the rest from the awful and alarming ones-the appeals to fear. And this is all but universally the

A number (not large, but of great piety and intelligence) of ministers within my acquaintance, several now dead, have been disbelievers of the doctrine in question; at the same time not feeling themselves imperatively called upon to make a public disavowal; content with employing in their ministrations strong general terms in denouncing the doom of the impenitent sinners. For one thing, a consideration of the unreasonable imputations and unmeasured suspicions apt to be cast on any publicly declared partial defection from rigid orthodoxy, has made them think they should better consult their usefulness by not giving a prominence to this dissentient point; while munications, and in answer to serious inquiries. When, besides, they have considered how strangely defective and feeble is the efficacy, to alarm and detrine itself notionally admitted by them, they have one that so greatly qualifies the blackness of the prospect. They could not be unaware of the grievous truth of what is so strongly insisted on as an argument by the defenders of the tenet-that thoughtless and wicked men would be sure to seize verse and fatal use that they may make of the doctrine of grace and mercy through Jesus Christ. they will so abuse the truth we cannot help it. But methinks even this fact tells against the doctrine in question. If the very nature of man, as created, every individual, by the sovereign Power, be in such desperato disorder, that there is no possibility of conversion and salvation except in the instances where that Power interposes with a special and redeeming efficacy, how can we conceive that the main proportion of the race thus morally impotent (that is, really and absolutely impotent) will be eternally punished for the inevitable result of this moral impotence? But this I have said be-

fore. With all good wishes for the success of your stu-

I am, dear sir, yours truly.

180

Elder John Tate's Defence. [Continued from page 164.]

Before I refer to the Scriptures to ascertain what they teach respecting the dead, I wish to say that I think they distinctly affirm the consciousness of some in the intermediate state. I say of some, not all. It seems to me that some highly favored sons of heaven have been embodied in celestial structures, and by means of that embodiment they live in a state of consciousness. But this is an exception to the general rule. The great majority of the dead are in my judgment without thought and consciousness. I know of no passage in the Bible which explicitly states or fairly implies the consciousness of all the dead. am not disposed on this or any other point to draw a sweeping universal conclusion from particular premises. As I view the subject I can see a delightful harmony in the revelations of God respecting the separate state. But the two doctrines, that ALL the dead are conscious, and that NONE of the dead are conscious, appears to me equally to mistake the meaning of some portions of the Bible. The advocates of both these doctrines find it difficult to make the scriptures support their favorite notions. While I admit that some of the departed are conscious, I maintain that others are not. This last is the part which I have to prove. Ps. 6: 5, "For in death there is no remembrance of thee; in sheel who shall give thee thanks?" Sheel is defined by Gesenius as follows-"Orcus, the under world, a vast subterranean place, full of thick darkness, in which are con-gregated the shades of the dead." Gesenius does not refer it at all to the grave. He limits the word entirely to the receptacle of departed shades. Now according to the Panlmist, there is in sheel no ascriptions of praise and thanksgiving to God. It is a world of thick darkness and of silence, where no light is seen, no sound heard. But how different the representations of our modern theology. We sing,

> "And let this feeble body fail, And let it faint or die; My soul shall quit this mournful vale, And soar to worlds on high: Shall join the disembodied saints, And find its long sought rest; That only bliss for which it pants, In the Redeemer's breast."

The poetry is beautiful I allow; but the sentiment does not harmonize with the language of David, 'For in death there is no remembrance of thee; in sheel natural immortality of the soul, and its consciousness who shall give thee thanks?' Job, in the depth of in the intermediate state. This is positively assert-his affliction, in the bitterness of his soul, desired to ed in the text, "The dead know not anything; * * die and be hidden in sheol. His description of sheol is as follows, 10: 21, 22, "Before I go whence I shall perished;" and in the 10th verse he repeats the same not return, even to the land of darkness and the sentiment, "For there is no work, nor device, nor shadow of death, a land of darkness, as darkness knowledge, nor wisdom, in sheol, whither thou goest." itself; and of the shadow of death, without any order, and where the light is as darkness." Dr. Clarke on this passage says: "What do we know of the state of separate spirits? What do we know of the spirit-ual world? How do souls exist separate from their respective bodies? Of what are they capable, and what is their employment? Who can answer these questions? Perhaps nothing can be said much bat questions? Perhaps nothing can be said much better of the state, than is here said, a land of obscurity like darkness.

Again he says on the same passage and in reference to the same place and state of darkness, "It is the state of the dead. The place of separate spirits. It is out of time, out of probation, beyond change or mutability. It is on the confines of eternity."

These statements are explicit. Dr. Clarke, you

see, was as really a heretic in relation to the state of departed spirits as I am, if tested by your opinions. Nor is this the only instance in which his heretical ideas are developed, as I shall soon show.

But to return to Job. Such was his wretched condition, that he desired to die and enter into sheel. 14: 12-15. Here we see that Job wished to depart from life and find a resting place in the dark deeps of sheel. He expected that for a time the wrath of God would rest upon a guilty world, during which period no special favor would be shown to the rightcous dead. But he indulged a good hope and confidence of a final deliverance from the land of forgetfulness. He prayed that at the end of the indignation, God would remember him. He inquired, "If a man die shall he live again?" And firmly believing that he would be at last quickened into life, he looks forward with pleasure to the period of his resuscitation, "All the days of my appointed time will I wait till my change come. Thou shalt call and I will answer thee; thou wilt have a desire to the work of thy hands." God will call his soul from sheel, and his body from the grave, and then for himself in his own flesh he shall see his Redcemer. Such were the views of Job respecting the state of the dead, and hence his only hope of future conscious existence was by a resurrection from the dead. I will next refer to the testimony of Solomon, Eccl. 9: 4-6.

It is very common for writers and preachers against Universalism to say to the advocates of that system, "Supposing there be a state of future retri-bution, how could that fact be more explicitly and appropriately asserted than in the language of the Bible?" And so I might say in reference to the state of the dead—if the dead are unconscious, how could that fact be more positively and appropriately affirmed than in the language of Solomon! There is a contrast in the text, and the common theory makes it a contrast between an immortal soul in this life and a dead body in the grave. According to this interpretation, it is the dead body that does not know anything—a wonderful discovery, truly. But whoever carefully reads the passage will see that the contrast is between men in life and men in death; between men in a state of knowledge, and men in a state of total ignorance; between men in a state of enjoyment, and men incapable of enjoyment. Hewlett says, in reference to the passage, "It is the remark of one who knew not of immortality." I would say, it is the remark of one who knew nothing of the their love and their hatred and their envy are knowledge, nor wisdom, in shcol, whither thou goest." Sheol, you will bear in mind, is the place of disemtivity

And do not you, brethren, sometimes affirm the same doctrine in your devotions? Often do you sing---

> "The living know that they must die, But all the dead forgetten lie; Their memory and their sense are gone, Alike unknowing and unknown."

been the subject of sensation, memory and intelli- praygence. But these are attributes of the mind. Of the mind you affirm, after it has gone away into sheel, that it is "alike unknowing and nnknown."

Again, I have heard thousands of Methodists and others sing as follows:

> "And am I born to die, To lay this body down ? And must my trembling spirit fly Into a world unknown? A land of deepest shade, Unploreed by human thought; The dreary regions of the dead, Where all things are forgot.'

Will you tell me these last lines refer to the grave? What, then, I ask, is there of unfathomable mystery about a hole dug in the earth, two feet wide, six feet life of the soul. The notion that the soul is natulong, and six feet deep? Is such a cavity as that rally immortal finds no countenance in the testimo-"unpierced by human thought?" And then again nies which I have quoted; on the contrary that noare all things forgotten in the grave? If so, what is it that forgets? Is it the body or the soul? If it interment, and if you say it is the soul that forgets, then plainly you bury body and soul in the grave. No. No. The grave is not meant. The land of deepest shade, unpierced by human thought, &c., &c., can be none other than sheel, the under world where Wesley said, and Methodists have sung-

"The dreary regions of the dead,

Where ALL things are FORGOT."

Hezekiah's views of the state of the dead were in perfect unison with those of Job and David and Solomon. He was one of the most pious and intelligent kings that ever reigned over Judah. (See 2 Kings 18: 1--6.) When recovered from a serious sickness, he recorded the divine goodness manifested in his deliverance. Said he, 1s. 38: 17--19, "Behold for peace I had great bitterness; but thou hast in love to my soul delivered it from the pit of corruption; for thou hast cast all my sins behind thy back. For the grave cannot praise thee, (Heb. sheol cannot praise thee;) death cannot celebrate thee; they that go down into the pit cannot hope for thy truth. living, the living, he shall praise thee, as I do this day; the father to the children shall make known thy truth."

It is impossible to suppose that the theology of Hezekiah would differ essentially from that of David and Solomon and Isaiah. He was a devout student, and was well instructed in the things of God. Now, if he believed in the consciousness and happiness of departed saints, why this dark picture of the state of the dead? The pious now, in view of death, sing-

> "Fill'd with delight, my raptur'd soul Would here no longer stay: Though Jordan's waves around me roll, Fearless I'd launch away."

And after the man is dead, you go and commit his body the grave. You gaze for the last time on the inanimate dust and you sing,

> "With solemn delight I survey, The corpse when the spirit is fled: In love with the beautiful clay. And longing to lie in its stead."

If Hezekiah believed as you do, why this almost isfied when I awake with thy likeness." infinite difference of anticipation and expression? "That only bliss for which you pant" you expect to natural immortality of the soul, nor did he teach, as

Such language must refer to something which had | receive as soon as you are dead. Hence it is you

"Come, Lord of Hosts, the waves divide,

And land us all in Heavon."

But the Jewish King could see no such glory in the immediate future. He saw before him a pit of corruption—a dark and dreary sheel, where no hope was indulged, no song of praise ascended up to God. On the contrary, He said, "The living, the living, he shall praise thee as I do this day; the father to the children shall make known thy truth."

These testimonies are sufficient for my present purpose. I shall endeavor to show hereafter that on this point the New Testament is in harmony with the old. I now return to the objection, i. e., That so far as God literally threatened Adam with the termination of life, he meant natural life, not the immortal tion and these testimonies cannot stand together. And I think I have shown that I am supported in my is the body, then the body remembered prior to its interpretation by the statements of Dr. Clarke-the premises laid down by Mr. Wesley, and Mr. Isaac Taylor, and by your sacred songs. I am compelled to believe that immortality is a privilege as Mr. Watson represents it. When, therefore, God announced the penalty, "Dying thou shalt die," it affected Adam are congregated the shades of the dead. And of in his entire being. Had it not been for the scheme that mysterious state and place of existence, Mr. of redemption, Adam would have utterly perished in body and soul; he would have become a thing of naught, and had no more place as an intelligent being in the universe of God.

I will now pass on to another consideration, though it is intimately connected with the argument just advanced. If the soul be immortal in its own nature-if it experience inconceivable joy or exquisite agony in the separate state, how is it these facts are not distinctly affirmed in the Old Testament? Our Discipline says, "The Old Testament is not contrary to the New, for both in the Old and New Testaments everlasting life is offered to mankind through Christ, who is the only Mediator between God and Man. "Wherefore they are not to be heard who feign that the old fathers did look only for transitory promi-The ses." Now I ask, where do you find the promises made to the old fathers of consciousness and happiness in the separate state? Are there any such promises in the Pentateuch, in Joshua, in Judges, the two Books of Samuel, in Esther or Ruth? I have not been able to find them; if there, they are wrapt up within the folds of dim obscurity. But the holy light of heaven's truth shone brighter and more beautiful as the ages passed away. Go, then, to David, and ask the ground of his hope and rejoicing. Was it that he would soon die and go away into sheel? No. He did not rejoice as you do because he was going into it, but that he would be finally taken out of it. Speaking of the wicked and then of himself, he says, Ps. 49: 14-15. "Like sheep they are laid in the grave; death shall feed on them, and the upright shall have dominion over them in the morning, and their beauty shall consume in the grave from their dwelling. But God shall redeem grave from their dwelling. But God shall redeem my soul from the power of the grave, for he shall receive me." Here David looks for his joy and victory, not in the intermediate state, but in the resurrection. So he does in the 17th Ps. "As for me, I will behold thy face in righteousness, I shall be sat-

Solomon in Ecclesiastes gives no intimation of the

some have believed, the eternal sleep of Man. He the sons of Adam, that in consequence of his erect traces the living man into the darkness and silence of shool, but does not leave him there forever. He declares that "God shall bring every work into judgmont, with every secret thing, whether it be good or whether it be evil." Now, the two great events of a resurrection and judgment, are almost uniformly in scripture placed in close proximity to each other. Solomon then teaches the revival of the consciousness and intelligence of man by a resurrection from the dead. Eccl. 12: 7, "Then shall the dust return to the earth as it was, and the spirit shall return unto God who gave it." These words were urged against me. I remark-

1. It would be difficult to prove that the spirit alluded to means the rational soul.

2. Admitting that the rational soul is intended, its consciousness in the separate state is neither affirmed nor implied. God is in sheel, and to go to God in the sense of the passage, is nothing more than to go into sheel, where the dead cannot give thanks to God, but where all things are forgotten. Thus David says, Ps. 139: 8, "If I ascend up into heaven thou art there: if I make my bed in sheel, behold thou art there." Whenever, then, a human soul goes to the under world, it goes to God, for God is there. And that world is

> "A land of deepest shade, Unpierced by human thought, The dreary regions of the dead, Where all things are forgot."

Such are the general representations of the Old Testament respecting the state of the dead and their future resuscitation. But if these ancient saints believed as you do about an immortal soul, why did they not talk about an immortal soul? If they believed that "death was the gate to endless joy," why did they speak of it as the gate to silence, darkness and unconsciousness? If they believed in the natural immortality of the soul, and in bliss or pain surpassing thought immediately after death, why did they not urge these notions just as you do to deter from sin and stimulate to righteousness? They urged no such inducements. They never speak of an immortal soul. They refer us to the time when the dead should be raised as the time of their re-Then not before did the Hebrew ward and glory. Prophets expect to be quickened into a conscious existence, which should go on increasing in light and power and beauty to all eternity.

So far, then, as the old revelation extends, I claim to be orthodox, and therefore not deserving excommunication at your hands.

The nature of man's spirit.

BY THOMAS READ, NEW YORK.

[Continued from p. 165.]

A few texts will require a more particular exposition. Eccl. 3: 18, "I said in my heart concerning the estate of the sons of men that God might manifest them, and that they may see that they them-selves are like the beasts. For that which befalleth the sons of men, befalleth beasts; even one thing befulleth them: as the one dieth, so dieth the other; yea, they have all ONE [ruach, spirit, or] breath; so that a man hath No pre-eminence above a beast; for all is vanity. (All are subject to decay.) All go to one nor does it belong to him in any sense whatever. It place, all arc of the dust, and all turn to dust again."

any difference between the ruach, spirit or breath, of common stock of all living creatures. If God were

position, ascendeth upwards, or the runch, spirit or breath, of the beasts that in consequence of the downward position of his head, descends the earth?" Here it is emphatically asserted that the kind of life is precisely the same in man and beast, that the

kind of ruach, spirit or breath, is the same in both. In the manner of their death they are precisely alike; and that they, the whole of them, alike return to the earth. At the same time, there is a vast difference between the aspiring nature af the one, owing to his superior organization, and his more ex-alted faculties, and the grovelling nature of the other. This language is so explicit, and so utterly irreconcilable with the notion of any separate intelligence that continues to live, while the man himself is dead, and is all consigned to the earth but his breath, that we might almost suppose that it was recorded purposely to refute, and for ever confound, any that should suppose that the essential man lived, while his body was entirely decomposed. The Vulgate reads a little stronger. "I said in

my heart concerning the sons of men, that God would prove them, and show them to be like beasts. Therefore, the death of man and of beasts is one; and the condition of them both is equal; as man dieth, so they also die; all things breathe alike; and man hath No-THING MORE than beast; all things are subject to vanity, and all things go to one place; of earth they were made, and into earth they return TOGETHER. Who knoweth if the spirit of the children of Adam ascend upward, and if the spirit of the beast descend downward? In Eccl. 12: 5-7, it is said, "Because man goeth to his long home, and the mourners go about the streets * * then shall the dust return to the earth as it was, and the (ruach) spirit shall return unto God who gave it. Vanity of vanities; all is vanity." Rom. 8: 20, "Man was made subject to vanity;" that is, to decay, to death and destruction. The words of Solomon can mean no more than that God gathers to himself the spirit of man which is the source of active life; or, resumes again "the spirit of the breath of lives," and then necessarily man, the whole man, returns to his elementary dust. If the death of man exalted him immediately to a higher state of life, how could the Preacher pronounce the death of man vanity? The same meaning is expres-sed in other words in Ps. 104: 25, "Thou takest away their breath, they die, and return to their dust." And again, Job 34: 14, "If [God] set his heart upon man, if he gather unto himself his spirit and his breath, (that is, God's spirit or God's breath,) all flesh shall perish together, and man shall turn again unto dust." And yet again in Ps. 146: 4, "Ilis breath goeth forth, HE returneth to his earth; in that very day his thoughts perish."

How weak must be that cause, and how reckless that person, that shall persist in their attempts to make Solomon in the 12th chapter, contradict Solomon in the 3d and 9th chapter. Does Eccl. 12: 7, sustain the monstrous proposition, that the spirit is an independent living entity, immortal in its own nature, existing without any organization, and that it has more knowledge, when separated from the man, than the compound man himself? Especially when the same writer expressly declares "that the dead know not anything," and in the immediate connection declares man to be vanity? When the spirit is separated from the man, it forms no part of the man, is the breath of the Almighty which gave him life for We paraphrase the remainder: "Who knoweth a time, but which God has gathered again into the not be the same identical spirit or breath; but spirit quoted as warranting the idea that the spirit is a of the same kind, from the general stock. For proof separate entity from the man, and has been seen in refer to Ezek. 37: 5, 6, 9, 14; for God would put his a disengaged form. But in both places the Greek spirit, brought from the four winds, into him, and he word is phantasma, and should have been translated would live. In Rev. 11: 11, after the two witnesses a phantom, or a delusive appearance, an apparition. had finished their testimony, and were slain, and re-mained unburied three days and a half, the spirit of life from God entered into them again, and they lived. This figurative relation is, of course, founded the Spirit," based upon a misunderstanding of this upon the literal. But again, as the word *ruach* oc- passage. God communicates with his creatures in a upon the literal. But again, as the word ruach occurs in the original Hebrew in almost every place where the word breath is found; it should have been laws of their physical organization. The testimony uniformly translated when indicating the spirit of of the Spirit is always in words. "Thou didst teslife. We might consistently translate Eccl. 12: 7, "Then shall the dust return to the earth as it was, and the (ruach) breath shall return to God who gave it." Still again, as Solomon declares that men and beasts have all the same (runch) spirit, and that they all go to the same place, according to the argument of our opponents, the spirits of beasts, and the spirits of all men, bad as well as good, go to God; and that the spirits of the beasts, as well as the spirits of the wicked, are alive, while they are dead, and happy and rejoicing with the righteous in the pre-And this, notwithstanding Solomon sence of God! himself declares that they were all made of dust, and that they all returned to dust together, that there beast. And again, "For the living know that they must die; (they were wiser in Solomon's days than the dead know not anything," (and not more than they knew while living. There love, envy and ha-tred are now perished. And again, "There is no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wisdom, in the man proper, which we have found to be his breath, grave, whither THOU goest." Eccl. 9: 5, 10. Where or principle of active life, and the mind or disposithe man himself is, there is no knowledge, and he goes

If the expression should appear in this work that the "spirit dies," we mean merely to oppose the idea, when the man dies, that any part of him sur-vives. When the spirit is "yielded up," "breathed out," or "expired," without being inspired, the man himself is necessarily dead.

In Acts 7: 59, Stephen said, "Lord Jesus, receive my spirit." It is thought by some, that this lan-guage favors the idea that the spirit is a separate conscious intelligence. We regard this language as implying nothing more than that he commended himself, or his life, to God; for after this, it is said of him, that he fell asleep. As the word rendered receive, also means accept, we may understand it as equivalent to the expression, "Lord Jesus, accept me." The words of our Saviour admit of a similar explanation: "Into thy hands I commend my spirit." Zech. 12: 1, has been quoted to sustain the popular fore the bible and reason declare, that man's love, view: "The Lord, which stretcheth forth the hea-vens, and layeth the foundation of the earth, and formeth the spirit of man within him, will make Jerusalem a cup of trembling." But it asserts most clearly that the spirit of man was not him, or the man, being within him. We consider that the first three clauses are highly figurative representations, that God created all things, and especially the living man, and man cannot evade his judgment. That God created the heavens, the earth, and man, or the life of man that is within him.

When the disciples saw Jesus walking on the sen, they were troubled, saying, "It is a spirit." The stead of or, they may have '49, '60 and 52 for the \$2 so Apostles were also terrified at the sudden appearance of Jesus after his resurrection, and supposed long as we have any of '40 in sheets.

to put his spirit or breath into him again, it would that they had seen a spirit. These places have been Some suppose that the idea, that the spirit is a separate intelligence, may be gathered from Rom. 8: 16. Volumes have been written on the "The Witness of rational manner, and always in accordance with the tify against them by thy spirit, by the hands of thy prophets." Nch. 9: 30. The figure in Rom. is the taking of a slave and making him a son. We will venture a paraphrase: Ye have not received of God the spirit or disposition of a slave, who, under the bondage of fear, cries, Master, Master, how shall we act to avoid thy chastisement? But yo have received the spirit of adoption, the spirit, or disposition of a child, and now with filial confidence and affection, ye cry, Abba, Father, thou hast graciously adopted us into thy family, and made us thy heirs, and joint heirs with Jesus Christ, thy Son, who has now become our elder Brother; we therefore now gratefully inquire how we may best manifest our lovwas no difference in death between the man and the ing obedience to thee: and this identical or self-same spirit of adoption, or filial disposition, this confidence must die; (they were wiser in Solomon's days than in thy fatherly care over us, which has so com-now, for now they say the spirit lives forever;) but pletely dissipated all fears for the future; this spirit witnesseth with our spirits or understandings that we are truly the children of God.

The scriptures distinguish between the spirit of tion, which is sometimes called the spirit. The mind to the grave, and not to heaven till he rises from the is declared to be carnal. Rom. 8: 7, "The carnal grave. mind is enmity against God." 6th v. "The thinking (phroneema) of the flesh is death; but the thinking of the spirit is life and peace. Because the thinking of the flesh is enmity against God." Gal. 5: 19, "The works of the flesh are idolatry, witchcraft, hatred, variance, emulations, wrath, strife, seditions, heresics, envyings, murders, drunkenness, revellings, and such like. All these are the works of the mind or spirit; and all such are declared to be carnal, and therefore the offspring of the faculties that inhere in the flesh. The rational and christian use of the same faculties are declared to be the works of the spirit, and these too belong to the same mind. The organs of sight and hearing are organs by which the mind perceives, and are therefore organs of the mind; the organs of thought, affections and reason are equally organs of the mind. At death, all these organs are destroyed, and therehatred, envy, memory, ah! and his very thoughts, are then perished. Eccl: 9: 5, "So then, the spirit, or mind, is a part of our animal nature, and when uninfluenced by a spirit EXTRINSIC and divine, is altogether FLESULY, COBBUPT, and material; and consequently cannot be immortal."

[To be continued.] -0-

To OUR "OFFER" of the Examiner for '50, '51 and '52, for \$2, we will now add, if any choose '49 instead of '51, they may have it. Some have '51 al-

BIBLE EXAMINER.

PIIILADELPIILA, DECEMBER, 1851.

BIBLE EXAMINER .- The present number completes the sixth volume of the Examiner; and we desire to acknowledge the mercy of God and his good hand that has upheld us. That we have given satisfaction to all we do not suppose. We have endeavored to follow what seemed to us to be the path of duty. We have kept constantly in mind our avowed object in publishing the Examiner, viz: mainly to discuss the subject of Immortality through Christ alone, and spread abroad information on that subject. To some it has, doubtless, seemed too exclusively occupied with that topic. Others may have felt dissatisfaction when we have on any occasion departed from that one point. We are always sorry to grieve any, but cannot depart from our conviction of duty to please them. The paper will still be devoted to the object for which it was started, and we shall be glad of the co-operation and aid of all such as are disposed to help us in our course. We shall be sorry to take leave of any of our readers: those, however, who choose to withdraw the sustaining hand, we hope may be better fed and nourished from some other source. If we have wronged any of them, we have not intended it, and hope they will forgive us. We bid them an affectionate farewell.

As to the pecuniary affairs of the Examiner, we have been somewhat unfortunate this year, so that we have not realized a dollar for all our personal labor and toil in publishing it; still, we are not disheartened. Though our terms are payment in advance, in all cases, we have never refused to send the paper when the subscriber has intimated that he wanted credit for a short time. In most cases of this character, however, we have not been paid to this hour; and about one-ninth part of those to whom we send the Examiner have paid us nothing this year. In addition to this number, one in twenty-five receive the paper gratis. Some who promised to pay us this year made the same promise last, and have now received the paper two years without paying anything, or making even an apology for not doing it. If they desire us to forgive them the debt, we shall do so cheerfully, much as we need the money to sustain ourself and family. We do not preach for a salary, and have not asked those among whom we have labored for the last ten years, to do anything for us; and those to whom we have ministered in this city will bear us witness that we have never asked them for a cent, nor said to them, You do not help enough; yet the amount received the year past, from this source, has been less than \$200. This statement is not made by way of complaint. "The poor ye have always with you," said the Saviour; and "to the poor the gospel is preached."

We have said thus much simply to induce our friends abroad, and in this city, not only to continue their subscription to the Examiner, but to make an immediate effort to increase the number of paying subscribers. We shall adhere to our terms of payment in advance, in all cases, except where we send gratis; which cannot be to many, unless our paying list is much increased. None need have any apprehensions that the Examiner will not be published through 1852; if life and health are preserved, and the Lord will, it will not be discontinued, even if we have to work nights to earn money to pay the printer.

Shall we have an immediate response?

"THE OFFER" to send the Examiner for the years '50, '51, and '52, for \$2, cannot apply to persons out of the United States, unless they add the amount we are compelled to pre-pay for postage.

ANOTHER OFFER.—Any person sending us \$10 by January, shall have *fifteen* copies of the Examiner for 1852, if sent to one address. This offer will not apply after January, nor to any out of the United States, unless the postage is added.

"THE SPIRIT WORLD" DISAPPEARED. —We used to see it pretty regularly; but of late it has entirely disappeared. What has become of it? Can our old friend Sunderland tell us? Now, do let us look into it occasionally, even if we do comment a little; we do so only in love. We have continued to send the Examiner directed to the Spirit World, and hope it arrives safe. What say friend Sunderland, does it find an open door, or is there a shut-door? We pause and listen! Shall we hear a rap?



A SERMON. BY THE EDITOR.

Preached in Philadelphia, Sunday, Nov. 9th, 1851.

TEXT.—"Be ready always to give an answer to every man that asketh you a reason of the hope that is in you with meekness and reverence."—1 PETER 111 15.

The exercise of *hope* is common to man. It is well understood to be made up of *desire* and *expectation*. Neither of these *alone* constitute hope. The first without the last would be *despair*; and the last without the first would be *acersion*. The two must be combined to form hope. The principle is well defined in the minds of men in relation to the ordinary affairs of life; and the man who should tell us he hoped to possess ten thousand dollars on the morrow, we would conclude had not only a desire for that sum, but a *reason* for his expectation; and if he had none, or no good reason for it, we should not hesitate to say he is a fanatic or a fool. Why should we expect less in matters of religion? Men say they hope to be saved, they hope to go to heaven when they die, &c.; | this matter, we turn to John 6th. In this chapter, that is, they desire and expect to go to heaven when they die.

Now, we ask such, a reason of the hope that is in them? A good reason must be based first, on a promise of God. If there is no promise of such a remove at death, then the expectation of it is without foundation, and the exercise of mind is presumption, and not the gospel hope. The promise of such a remove at death must not be a matter of mere inference or conjecture; it must have a "Thus saith the Lord." God does not leave his creatures to mere conjecture, or the traditions of men, in matters which relate to blessings he designs for them; he gives the most plain and positive assurances or promises. Thus the Apostle speaks, Heb. 6: 17, "Wherein God, willing more abundantly to show unto the heirs of promise the immutability of his counsel, confirmed it by an oath: that by two immutable things, in which it was impossible for God to lic, we might have a strong consolation, who have fled for refuge to lay hold upon the hope set before us."

Here we see, for the existence and stability of hope, God does not leave us without a certain and definite promise. Hence if we have a hope of entering heaven at death, we shall be able to fix on a clear promise of God to that effect; else we have no wellgrounded expectation of such an event, and our hope is baseless. Where is such a promise? With meekness produce it, and let us have the reason of such a hope. We do not ask you for the traditions of men on the subject, but for a Bible promise. Will you give it? You are bound by the gospel to do it, if you can. Can you produce such a promise? If so, where is it? We wait for an answer. But, alas, we wait in vain! No such promise is found in the Bible. The notion stands in the wisdom and traditions of men, not in the truth and power of God. If we are correct, then the hope of going to heaven at death is not | the true hope-The Resurrection. a "good hope;" there is no gospel reason for it: it is a fancy-yea, it is presumption.

The gospel hope, then, is quite another matter from the hope of a large part of the professedly Christian church. The gospel hope is that of Eternal Life THROUGH and BY a Resurrection from the dead, and not of an entrance into heaven when we die. For this hope we have clear promises in the Bible.

What are the promises? We will give you a few examples. Luke 14: 15. The Saviour had commanded concerning feasts not to call the rich, &c., lest a recompense be made thee; but call the poor, &c., and "thou shalt be blessed; for they cannot recompense thee; for thou shalt be recompensed [when you die? No, but] AT the RESURRECTION of the just." Here is a clear promise of the time when the reward throne of his glory." It is not till his return from of well doing is to be bestowed; and it is as wide of heaven; his promise to Peter and the other Apostles the common notion as the resurrection day differs was not of heaven in an intermediate period, but from the day of death.

four times our Lord states the time when, and the means by which, his followers are to receive their reward; and we ask, if it looks like a promise of going to heaven at death? See verses 39, 40, 44, and 54. "This is the Father's will, which hath sent me, that of all which he hath given me I should LOSE nothing, but should RAISE IT UP at the last day." Here is no intimation of going to heaven at death; but there is a clear intimation that without a resurrection from the dead, Christ's follower would be lost. Yet, as it is the Father's will that they shall not be lost, he has given to his Son power and authority to raise them from the dead at a stated point of time, viz: "at the last day." In the next verse he is still more definite as to what he raises them up for. "This is the will of him that sent me, that every one which seeth the Son, and believeth on him, may have EVER-LASTING LIFE: and I will raise him up at the last day." Does Jesus say, I will re unite his soul and body again in the last day? No. "I will raise him up." What does him signify? Is it his body? Him is, that man; not that man's body morely. He is raised up, and is at the last day, and for the purpose of giving him that which the Father hath willed, viz: Everlasting Life.

That our Lord's followers thus understood the matter is evident in the discourse of Martha with him, John 11th: "Lord, if thou hadst been here, my brother had not died," said Martha, verse 21. "Jesus said unto her, [thy brother has gone to heaven? No, but] thy brother shall rise again." "Martha said unto him, I know that he shall rise again in the resurrection AT THE LAST DAY." Such was her faith, and such her hope; and such is the hope of the gospel. Remember, Jesus had declared "Lazarus is dead." But he does not flatter with a fallacious hope that he had gone to heaven, but he does comfort with

Another case in point is the question of Peter, Matt. 19: 27, "Peter said unto him, Behold, we have forsaken all, and followed thee; what shall we have therefore?" Here is a plain question about the reward to be hoped for. Does our Lord say, Ye shall go to heaven when ye die? No such thing. How unlike the theology of this age is his answer. Mark it well. "Verily I say unto you, that ye which have followed me, in the regeneration when the Son of MAN SHALL SIT IN THE THRONE OF DIS GLORY, ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel." From Matt. 25: 31, we learn when Christ will sit in the throne of his glory. "When the Son of Man shall come in his glory, and all the holy angels with him, THEN shall he sit upon the That we do not mistake in looked down to the time of his return from heaven. This point is clear; but we shall have occasion to | hands; that is to say, not of this building; neither by insist upon it more fully as we proceed.

We have glanced at some of the promises, and see that none of them look like an assurance of a reward prior to the resurrection. We will now examine the type and see if that is not as clearly against the idea of any man entering into heaven till Christ returns. To understand this part of the subject the type and antitype are to be taken in connection. We shall hence notice the law of the holy of holics, and the high priest's entrance therein, with Paul's remarks on the subject in Hebrews.

In Leviticus 16th we have the law referred to, which relates to the offering of the high priest, first for himself and then for the people. In the holy of holies was the mercy seat and the Shekinal glory, or symbol of the presence of God. It was there the blood of the slain victim was to be carried by the high priest and sprinkled upon the mercy-seat and before the mercy-seat, to make an atonement. Now mark verse 17, "There shall be no man in the tabernacle of the congregation when he [the high priest] goeth in to make an atonement in the holy place, UNTIL HE COME OUT," &c. So sacredly was the holy of holies guarded by the law that even the two hundred and fifty Levites, connected with Korah, claiming that "all the congregation were holy," when they approached the door of the tabernacle, to intrude into the holy place, there came out a fire from the Lord and consumed them: see Numbers 16th. The people of Israel generally were prohibited, on pain of death, coming nigh the tabernacle: see Numbers 18: 22. But the main point to which we call attention is the fact, no man was permitted to enter the holy of holics while the high priest was therein, nor until he came out. Now if we find this is truly a type, we may learn that it is no small sin to attempt to enter heaven before Christ the High Priest comes out. We now turn to Heb. 8: 1, "We have a high priest, who is set on the right hand of the throne of the Majesty in the heavens; a minister of the sanctuary-agionholy-and of the true tabernacle, which the Lord pitched, and not man. For every high priest is ordained to offer gifts and sacrifices: wherefore it is of necessity that this man have samewhat also to offer. For if he were on earth he should not be a priest, seeing that there are priests that offer gifts according to the law: who serve unto the example and shadow of heavenly things, as Moses was admonished of God when he was about to make the tabernacle: for See, saith he, "that thou make all things according to the PATTERN showed thee in the mount."

Here we learn the fact that the Mosaic tabernacle was but the rype of the true; and we may also learn that the Aaronic high priesthood was a type of that firm and strengthen it. of Jesus; for, saith Paul, ch. 9: 11-12, "Christ being come a high priest of good things to come, by a pel hope. Acts 28: 6, "Of the hope and resurrection greater and more perfect tabernacle not made with of the dead I am called in question." Then surely

the blood of goats and calves, but by his own blood he entered in once into the HOLY PLACE, having obtained eternal redomption." He adds, verses 23, 24, after having spoken of the Aaronic offerings, "It was therefore necessary that the patterns of things in the heavens should be purified with these; but the heavenly things themselves with better sacrifices than these. For Christ is not entered into the holy places made with hands, which are the figures of the true; but INTO HEAVEN ITSELF, now to appear in the presence of God for us."

Thus have we a clear statement of facts, and find the antitype of the entrance of the Aaronic high priest into the holy of holies. Christ has entered the true holy of holies, even heaven itself; and no man is to be permitted to enter there till he comes out: the attempt of itself is sin; though it may be it has been the sin of ignorance; of that God only is judge. We fear it is the result, in many, of wilful ignorance. Christ has gone into the holy of holies; are we to seek to enter there? If we do, it is at our peril. What shall we do? Do as did the people of Israel when their high priest was in the holy place. They waited without, watching and praying till he came out. Thus Paul, in closing Heb. 9th, says:-"Christ was once offered to bear the sins of manyand unto them that look for him shall he appear the second time without sin unto salvation."

This is a clear reference to the work of the high priest under the law. With the blood of the offering for sin he went into the holy place, and sprinkled it upon and before the mercy-seat, while the people prayed, confessed their sins, and waited, looking for the high priest to come out. So Christ has gone into the true holy place, even heaven itself, and there appears in the presence of God with his own blood; and to those who acknowledge him as their high priest, confess their sins, watch and pray, and look for him to come out, he will appear in due time, from heaven, for their salvation.

How blasphemous and presumptuous to attempt to enter the holy place, heaven, while our High Priest is there. May Christian men be made aware of such presumption, and cease to talk and act in such an unscriptural manner. They may flatter themselves that it is very innocent to teach and talk about going to heaven at death; yet we venture the affirmation, that it is not only sinful to do so, but tends to subvert the gospel hope, by substituting another and entirely different hope; and hence is "another gospel" than that which Christ and his Apostles preached. This

we are uware is a heavy charge; yet we believe we have fully sustained it, but shall now proceed to con-

Let us look at Paul's language relating to the gos-

pel hope; unless he was called in question for something he did not preach. But let us see, Acts 26: 6-8, he says, "Now I stand and am judged for the hope of THE PROMISE made of God unto our Fathers: unto which our twelve tribes, instantly serving, day and night, hope to come. For which hope's sake, King Agrippa, I am accused of the Jews. Why should it be thought a thing incredible with you, that God should raise the dead?" Here again is seen the burden and hope of Apostolic preaching. It is a future life, by a resurrection from the dead. He adds, verses 22 and 23, "Having obtained help of versation is in heaven; FROM WHENCE also we look for and great, saying none other things than those which the prophets and Moses did say should come: that Christ should suffer, and that he should be the first that should RISE FROM THE DEAD," &c. Not only is it the Apostolic burden and hope, the resurrection, but he affirms the same things were the theme of the Prophets and Moses: the hope is one. Not an intimation thus far of the notion of entering the holy of holics-heaven-at death, or at all: it is the hope of the resurrection. He has shown us that was "the hope of Israel," as well as the hope of the gospel; and chap. 28: 20, in his bondage at Rome, he saith, "For the hope of Israel I am bound with this chain."

But we will now see whether Paul does not with equal clearness state the hope of the gospel in his Epistles. I Corth. 15: 12-19, "Now if Christ be preached that he rose from the dead, how say some among you that there is no resurrection of the dead? But if there is no resurrection of the dead, then is Christ not risen * * * then is your faith vain * * 4 then they also which are fallen asleep in Christ are perished," i. e., they are lost out of being-there is no hope for them; for, "If in this life only we have hope in Christ, we are of all men most miserable." That the Apostle has reference to the hope of a future life by a resurrection is clear from what he saith at verse 32, "If after the manner of men I have fought with beasts at Ephesus, [exposing my life] what advantageth it me IF THE DEAD BISE NOT?" Plainly affirming he had no hope of going to heaven at death, and that he had acted a foolish part in hazarding his life at Ephesus, if there is no resurrection. Such a course would be folly indeed; rather "Let us eat and drink," if there is no resurrection, "for to-morrow we die," and there is no hope beyond that. Such is the Apostle's conclusion if there is to be no resurrection of the dead. But this reasoning is both absurd and false, if he could or would go to heaven at death.

But again, Paul saith, Rom. 8: 23, after speaking of the groaning creation, "And not only it, but ourselves also, which have the first fruits of the Spirit, even we ourselves groan within ourselves, waiting

he preached the resurrection of the dead as the gos- | [to go to heaven at death? No, but] for the adoption, to wit, THE REDEMPTION OF OUR BODY:" not its dissolution by death. He adds, "For we are saved by hope; but hope that is seen is not hope: for what a man seeth, why doth he yet hope for? But if we hope for that we see not, then do we with patience wait for it." And what does the Apostle affirm he and the Christians of his time were "waiting for?" Answer, "The redemption of our body," that is, for the coming of Christ from heaven and the resurrection. This will be clearly seen by comparing what he saith here with Phil. 3: 20, 21, "Our con-God, I continue unto this day witnessing to both small the Saviour, the Lord Jesus Christ, who shall change our vile body, that it may be fashioned like unto his glorious body," &c. Here is no ground for mistake or doubt. Paul was not expecting to go to heaven at death, nor at all; but he was looking for Christ to come from heaven-not when his vile body should go to corruption, but when the time should arrive for it to be fashioned like to Christ's glorious body, which is not till the resurrection. This is further confirmed by his language, in the same chapter, where he tells us how he labored and suffered, "If by any means I might attain unto the resurrection of the deads" verse 11. How unlike is all this to the common idea of an entrance into heaven at death. The hope of Paul is thus distinctly stated; and it is the gospel hope, and demonstrates that modern christians are as ignorant of what that hope is as the pagans themselves. In fact, the theology of these days has substituted an immortal soul for or instead of Christ; and hence a hope of going to heaven at death instead of a future life by a resurrection from the dead, at the last day, as Christ has promised. A fatal mistake this, by which Christ is robbed and dishonored; while DEATH is crowned 'Prince of Peace,' and as the door into heaven! Christ, however, declares himself to be THE DOOR, and affirms that those who climb up any other way are thieves and robbers. He is "the resurrection and the life;" without him, and without that resurrection which he has promised at the last day, there is no gospel hope of a future life or immortality. Let men beware how they attempt to approach the holy place into which Jesus our High Priest has entered. That is no part of the work of a Christian even to attempt an entrance into heaven at death, or any other period. Paul states again, 1 Thess. 1: 9, 10, what the work is that we have to do: "For they themselves show what manner of entering in we had unto you, and how ye turned to God from idols to serve the living and true God; and to WAIT for his Son FROM heaven, whom he raised from the dead," &c. Here the work of a Christian is distinctly stated: and it is no part of his business to be looking, expecting, or hoping to go to heaven at death. Let this be remembered.

To the Colossians Paul saith, "When Christ, who

is our life, shall appear, then shall ye also appear favor—"that is to be brought unto you at" [death? with him in glory:" not at death; but when Christ returns "from heaven;" it is then, and not till then, that he will appear in glory. [death?] we see to what the gospel hope has reference, and when it is to be realized: not at death, but at the re-

Once more. Paul, in writing to Titus, states clearly what is the gospel hope, and what is the work and duty of Christians in relation to it. Titus 2: 11, 13. Among the things which the grace of God teacheth is, "denying ungodliness and worldly lusts"-to "live soberly * * * in this present world"aioni, age, or time-"looking for that blessed hope, and"-kai, even-"the glorious appearing of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ." Here we see what the gospel hope is. It is the return of Christ in his giory, at which time he will raise the saints who are dead and change the living ones, as Paul clearly states, 1 Thess. 4: 16, "For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first," &c.; also, 1 Corth. 15: 51, "We shall not all sleep, but we shall all be changed in a moment * * * at the last trump; for the trumpet shall sound, and the dead shall be raised incorruptible, and we shall be changed," &c.

Thus Paul's testimony is uniform. It is the hope of eternal life, by a resurrection from the dead, at the return of our Lord Jesus Christ in his glory. If no resurrection, no hope: if no return of Christ in glory. no resurrection; then, all who have died are perished out of being, and will live no more forever. In all this there is nothing to sustain the fable of going to heaven at death. No-men must wait till our High Priest comes out of the holy place where he has entered; even out of heaven itself. If he never comes out, our hope is vain, and we perish.

We will now see if other Apostles are in agreement with Paul on this subject. 1 Peter 1: 3, "Blessed be the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, which, according to his abundant mercy hath begotten us again unto a lively hope," or, a hope of life, an immortal life-"by the resurrection of Jesus Christ from the dead." Here we see the resurrection and the life subsequent to it; and dependent upon it, is the gospel hope. Following that, is "An inheritance incorruptible, and undefiled, and that fadeth not away, reserved in heaven for you"-in the hands of him whom God raised up from the dead, and who, as our High Priest, has entered heaven, or the holy place. In his hands is the inheritance reserved, "ready to be revealed in the last time:" in the hope of which Peter saith, "Ye greatly rejoice, though now for a season, if need bo, yo are in heaviness * * * that the trial of your faith * * might be found unto praise, and honor and glory at the appearing of Jesus Christ:" i. e., when he shall come out of the holy place, or from heaven. Peter adds, v. 13, "Wherefore gird up the loins of your mind, be sober, and hope to the end for the grace"-

favor—"that is to be brought unto you at" [death? No, but at] "the revelation of Jesus Christ." Thus we see to what the gospel hope has reference, and when it is to be realized: not at death, but at the return of Christ from heaven. So again Peter expresses this matter clearly, in Acts 3: 20, where he saith, God "shall send Jesus Christ * * whom the heavens must receive, [or retain] until the times of restitution of all things which God hath spoken," &c. Thus, turn which way we will, the great truth, that the gospel hope is "the return of Christ from heaven and the resurrection of the dead," meets us in full view. Alas, that men should turn of their eyes from it to deify death, and steal a march to heaven by means of the King of Terrors!

Let us turn to one more witness on this subject. 1 John 3: 2, 3, "Beloved, now are we the sons of God, and it doth not yet appear what we shall be: but we know that when" [we die? No, but when] "he shall appear, we shall be like him; for we shall see him as he is." Surely, we shall not be like him at death; for he has his resurrection and glorious body. Death, then, is not the point of time John speaks of. No-it is when he shall appear-when he comes out of the holy of holies, "from heaven:" that is the gospel hope; and John adds, "Every man that hath THIS HOPE in him purifieth himself, even as he is pure;" that is the effect of this hope; its tendency is to promote holiness. Such is the character and influence of the hope of the gospel. Let all remember this truth, and lay it to heart. Men professing the hope of the gospel, who are not made Christlike by it, have reason to call in question the reality of their hope. If we hold the hope, we have been speaking of in theory, the greater will be our guilt if we do not let it have its practical result on our hearts and lives. Again, we repeat the apostolic affirmation-"Every man that hath this hope in him purifieth himself EVEN as he"-Christ-"is pure." No other standard will answer. How much reason have we to bewail our past short-comings. Let us haste to God, through our High Priest, while he is yet in the holy of holies, for that mercy which shall blot out our past sins, and enable us for time to come to walk as Christ also walked: that when he shall appear-come out of the holy place-wo may meet him with joy, and receive the crown of life.

STORRS' MISCELLANX.—We have added to this work "The True Source of Life," and shall hereafter sell it at 50 cents; which is as low as it can be afforded. It now contains over 280 pages neatly bound in muslin. The statement of its number of pages in the last Examinor, was an error.

OUR SUBSCRIBERS, who have paid for the Examiner the year now closed, and have failed to receive any number, if they will inform us free of expense, we will forward the missing ones without charge.

FROM II. L. HASTINGS.

Plymouth, Mass.

BR. STORDS:---We have got the stirring stick into this old stagnant pool. We have large congregations. Sundays a crowd, and Sunday nights a perfect jam long before meeting time. Then a great many can't get in the house. Ministers preach on the State of the Dead-Church-members cry Infidelity! Heresy! &c., &c. God speed the right! Amen! Some have been baptised and some converted, &c.

1 almost envied you your visit to Honeoye. "O! I long to be there." That is about the best spot I ever saw on this old world.

"ASBESTOS"-UNQUENCHABLE.

MATT. III. 12 .- "But he will burn up the chaff with unquenchable fire."

This is spoken of Christ by John the Baptist. By referring to the Saviour's discourse, recorded in Mark ix., we learn that it is in hell, or Gchenna, that wicked men were to be cast, "where their worm dieth not and the fire is not quenched." This is one of the most fearful denunciations recorded in the book of God. The unbelievers shall be consumed, burnt up in Gehenna, by unquenchable fire. It has been argued, or rather inferred, from this passage, that the torment of the wicked must necessarily be eternal in its duration. To this it may be replied that instances may be produced, as Ezek. 20: 47, where the expression indicates not that the things to be destroyed shall be forever preserved, but that nothing would deliver from the fierceness of the flame, and consequently everything submitted to its action would be consumed.

That this is the idea conveyed by the phrase "unquenchable fire," I will now proceed to demonstrate. The Greek words found in Matt. 3: 12, rendered "unquenchable fire," are puri asbesto.

Eusebus, who is supposed to have been born at Cesarma, A. D. 267, and of which city he became Bishop in 315, in his history of the Christian Church, which has gained for him the title of the Father of Ecclesiastical History, gives an account of the martyrdom of such of of the saints as had suffered death for the gospel's sake, In his history, book vi., ch. 41, he gives an account of those who were martyred at Alexandria. He speaks of them as follows: "The first of these was Julian, a man afflicted with the gout, neither able to walk nor stand, who, with two others that carried him, was arraigned. Of these, the one immediately denied, but the other, named Cronion, surnamed Eunus, and the aged Julian himself, having confessed the Lord, was carried on camels throughout the city-a very large one as you know-and in this elevation were scourged, and finally consumed in an immense fire, (puri asbesto.) After these, Epimachus and Alexander, who had continued for a long time in prison, enduring innumer-' of the man of sin is to be a sure sign that the day of

able suffering from the scourges and scrapers, were also destroyed in an immense fire," (puri asbesto.)

Here, then, we have the same phrase that is used in the bible, used by a learned and eloquent Christian Bishop only about three hundred years after Christ; and no reader can be at loss to understand its import in the connection. No one can fail to see that the expression "unquenchable fire" affords no support to the common theory of eternal tormentbut instead of that it is used to denote a fire that utterly consumes whatever is submitted to its action. If the expression puri asbesto proves that the wicked will be tormented eternally, then Eusebus taught that the martyrs had been tormented eternally. If Eusebus taught that the martyrs were burned to ashes, then John the Baptist and Jesus Christ declared that the wicked men should be thus burned in Ge-cuna. H. L. H.

•0• "THE MAN OF SIN."

BR. STORRS:-I have been perusing your excellent paper with much profit, and knowing that to err is common with all men, therefore I trust you will not be offended with me if I should differ with you on some points. I have reference to an article written by yourself, in vol. 6, page 57, of the Examiner, headed "Mysterious Rappings"-"The Man of Sin," or 2 Thess. 2: 1 to 12 considered. This subject is one of vast importance-therefore a right understanding of it is very essential. I am persuaded that the man of sin here spoken of is not the devil, but is the Papacy. You object to its being the Papacy because you think it does not answer the description given by Paul where he said, "Ile (the man of sin) would exalt himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped. I would ask, did he not go bcyond, or exalt his power or himself above what God or the Virgin Mary, or any other being ever did, when he declared he had power to forgive sins in advance, (that is, before committed,) and that, too, for gold and silver? When God has declared that without the shedding of blood there is no remission of sins; and this remission comes only through faith in his (Christ's) name. I think he has by far outstripped all that is called God, or that is worshipped; and therefore fills perfectly the description given by Paul; and Daniel's description, I think, agrees with Paul's, as given in the 7th chapter, where he speaks of the little horn that should speak great words against the Most High, (or contrary to the teaching of the Most High,) and I suppose no one doubts that the little horn represents the Papacy. Mark-Paul said this man of sin was to consume, and finally be destroyed. Daniel said they s ould take away his dominion, to consume and finally destroy it, showing the consuming process in both cases.

Another objection, you say, is, that the revelation

the Lord was impending, or had come. I think it is prayer. The congregation assembled, and waited one of the signs given, but not the last one, or the one that was immediately to go before Christ's coming. Your mistake here arises from your not observing the difference between the man of sin and the working of Satan, which are two distinct powers, or manifestations. You say the coming of the man of sin is after the working of Satan. This is a great mistake, I think. Look again at the 8th and 9th verses in connection; and remember that it would be folly to talk of the coming of Satan, for he has not left his kingdom, or gone from this ungodly world since he told the Lord he was going to and fro in the earth, (mark that,) and walking up and down in it. Job 1: 7. I have nowhere seen any account of his going away, or any evidence from the actions of mankind that he was gone; therefore I do not look for his coming, for that would imply that he had left or gone away. But Christ is gone, and is coming again, (praise the Lord,) and his coming is to be after the working of Satan; and Paul said he (Christ) should destroy the man of sin by the brightness of his coming, whose coming (referring back to what he had just said of Christ's coming,) is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders, and with all deceivableness of unrightcousness in them that perish, because they received not the love of the truth that they might be saved. And for this cause, God shall send them strong delusions, that they should believe a lie, that they might be damned who had pleasure in unrighteousness. We need not a philosopher to point us to this working of Satan; for all that have been acquainted with the Rapping Spirits, have seen this power or working of Satan, whether they believe it or not. May the Lord help his dear children to arouse themselves and see to it; that the devil does not deceive them by his devices; but let us watch and be sober, knowing that our adversary the devil goeth about as a roaring Sabbath, and not even then unless there is to be lion, seeking whom he may devour, whom resist good preaching. steadfast unto the end. Thus we see we are brought to the last of a series of events that is to transpire before Christ comes. May God keep us by his power safe from all harm is my desire and prayer. Now I have given you my views on this all-important subject. Pardon me if I have erred in judgment, for it is the truth I seek above all else. URIAL FINN.

Geneva, N. Y., Nov., 1851.

GOD'S HOUSE OF WORSHIP.

The worship of God in his house has constituted a part of what he has required of mankind in all ages. This is one of the ends for which the Sabbath was portant and clearly enjoined upon us by our core-instituted. We are not to forsake the assembling of nant rows, for many of us have pledged ourselves to ourselves together, as the manner of some is. But attend both the occasional and stated meetings of the the state of mind which we are to possess in the different churches and societies to which we belong. house of religious worship is more especially the Even the impenitent should not excuse themselves point now before us.

he was about to preach, he went into his closet for all else, for it is infinitely more important for all.

till they became impatient, and sent a messenger after him. He went in a very still manner to the study door, and heard Whitfield's voice in prayer in nearly the following language:

O Lord, grant me more of thy Holy Spirit. I cannot preach, blessed God, without more of thy Spirit. The messenger interrupted him in his devotions, but was told by Mr. Whitfield that he could not go without more of God in his soul. He returned to the congregation, saying that Mr. Whitfield would be there soon. Presently Whitfield entered the door with his face shining as did Moses' when he came down from the Mount. And oh, with what power he preached! The whole house was shaken by God's almighty power. And this I apprehend was the great secret of his success in the ministry-God was with him.

Now, if every minister and all the people were to resort to the house of God from earnest, humble, closet devotions, what displays of God's grace would be witnessed in his temple every time they might meet.

But is it not true with reference to some, both saints and sinners, that they do not habitually attend religious worship in God's house. Sometimes a little cold or heat, or drowsiness, or rain, will keep people away from the house of God. If these things were to keep them from their ordinary business on a week day, then there would be some manner of excuse for them, but as they do not, no excuse of this kind can be offered: Some likewise are in the habit of entering God's house after the service has commenced, a custom by no means justifiable, and what is the consequence? Why, such lose, perhaps, the most important part of the public worship of God, viz: the reading the Scriptures, which are able to make us wise unto eternal life.

But this is not all. They disturb the minister and the congregation: for it is seldom that a man enters Ged's house of worship after the services have commenced, without his being particularly noticed by most of the people present, and some must turn their bodies half around, or at least their heads, to gratify their curiosity.

But I have reference not merely to the Sabbath and meetings for preaching, but to prayer and other meetings during the week. Many think it too much of a task to attend religious meetings, except on the

The prayer meeting properly sustained is the life of Christian society. And yet how many say, when a meeting of this kind is appointed, it is nothing but a prayer meeting—that is all. But that is enough. And those who have not a relish for the prayer and conference meetings, are greatly deficient in vital piety, and discourage their minister and brethren who habitually attend these meetings. The Jews evidently spent about half their time in external religious devotions. And, though they were exceed-ingly deficient in spirituality, yet no nation ever prospered more, in a pecuniary sense, than did the Jews. The monthly covenant meeting, the preparatory lecture, the class meeting, and meetings for fasting and prayer, occasionally, are all highly imfrom attending those meetings under ordinary circum-It is said of Whitfield, that on one occasion, when stances. Because religion should be attended to above

A

٨

A

A

B

B

B

n

C

D

Ð

מו

D

D

D

Е

E

E

E

I

L

L

I

1

1

DOONS PREPHRETS TRACTS, &c. FOR SALE AT BIBLE EXAMINER OFFICE.

The Postage on all these works we have to prepay when sent by mail. That amount must be added to the price by all who order them sent in that way. The postage is one cent for each ounce, or a fraction of an ounce, for any distance under 500 miles: over 500 and under 1500, two cents: over 1500 and under 2500, three cents. We affix the weight to each work, that all may see what the postage is, and send accordingly;

1. "Seripture Dectrine of Future FUNISHMENT, by II. II. Dobney," Baptist Minister; an argument in two parts. This is a work of great power, showing the Rightcous only will live Forever. 256 pages, 12mo. Price 371/2 cts in paper covers. Weight 9 oz.

2. "DOBNEY ABRIDGED."-Or, the Second Part of the Scripture Doctrine of Future Punishment, in an edition by itself. It contains all that is really essential in the controversy, to ena- D ble the reader to decide whether endless torture, or destruction of being, is the true teaching of Scripture. We put it at the extremely low price of 25 cents. Weight S oz.

3. "DIALOGUES ON FUTURE PUNISHMENT, by Rev. Wm. Olen Moncrieff," Scotland. A pamphlet of 60 pages, 12mo. It is a familiar examination of the question of Immortality conducted in a spirit that cannot fail to interest. Price 15 cents. Weight 3 oz.

4. "THE UNITY OF MAN, OR LIFE AND DEATH REALITIES. A Re- E ply to Rev. Luther Lee, by Anthropos." This is a thorough re- F futation of Mr. Lee's position of the natural immortality of man- F Price 15 cents. Weight 3 oz.

5. "Six SERMONS, by George Storrs. An inquiry, Are the G Wicked Immortal?" To which is added a dissertation on the I "State of the Dead ;" and prefized Archbishop Whately's re- I marks on "The Second Death." Price 15 cts. Weight 3 oz.

6. "CAN YOU BELIEVE? By Wm. Glen Monerieff," Scotland. A tract of four pages, showing the absurdity of the notion of I immortality in sin and suffering. Price 30 cents per hundred. I 7. "SECOND DEATH, by Archbishop Whately." A Tract of 8

pages. Price 60 cents per hundred.

8. "THE RICH MAN AND LAZARUS." A Tract of 12 pages. Price K 75 cents per 190.

9. "THE TWO THEONES, Or, The Throne of God and the Throne of Christ. By George Storrs." This tract goes to show the present position of Christ, and what it will be in the Age to come. Price 2 cents.

10. "TRUE SOURCE OF LIFE, by George Storrs." A Tract of 12 pages. Price \$1 per 160.

Of the Tracts it takes about 40 pages to make one ounce.

11. STORRS' MISCELLANY." New edition. It contains the foregoing Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, & 10, bound in Ivol. Price 50c. Wi. 90z.

12. "THE IMMATERIALITY OF THE SOUL, or, Man entirely dependant upon his organization for all his mental and moral powers: By Thomas Read, New York." Price 7 ets. Wt. 1 oz.

13. "OUR ISEAELITISH ORIGIN, or Lectures on Ancient Israel, by J. Wilson," England. This work is designed to show that the modern inhabitants of Europe, and the people of the United States, are the literal descendants of Jacob, and of the lost tribes of Israel. Price 75 cents bound, or 50 in paper covers. Weight, bound, 14 oz.; in paper, 9 oz.

14. "TRUTH AGAINST FICTION," or the three last chapters of Scripture Doctrine of Future Punishment. Price 12c. Wt. 2 oz. 1 On all the foregoing works, one-third discount will be allowed

to those who buy to sell again; but in all cases the purchaser snust add to the price the amount of postage, if ordered by mail.

15. "BIBLE EXAMINER, EXTRA." New Edition. It contains Bishop Whately's remarks on "Second Death"-"Endless Misery," by Bishop Newton-Our "Six Sermons," &c. 16 pages, quarto, and sold at the extreme low price of \$2.50 per 100, or 5 cents single copy. Weight 2 oz.

16. "BIBLE EXAMINER" for '48 and '49, bound in one volume. Price \$1.50. No discount.

17. "BIBLE EXAMINER" for '50 & 51, bound in 1 vol. Price \$1.50. 25 cts. off to paying subscuibers for '52, if ordered soon. All orders must be addressed GEO. STORRS, Philada, Pa.

1	NDEX	то	V	JL.	VI.		
			-		_		40
ll Soul, - «leep in Jesus		:			:	:	41
crostics,	· ·	2		-			96, 160
ges to Come-	Editor,	-		-	-	-	120
sbestos—Unqu			•	•	•	•	190
ible Truth,	-	-	•	•	•	-	43
ooks—Catalog		-	-	-	•	-	112
lain, Eld. Jac		•	-	•	-	•	137,143 152
cauties of Imr brist Died—R		usm,			-	1	118,129
emonology,		-			- ÷	-	10,120
obney's Lette	15.	-	-				24,73
ialogues-Mo		•	•	•	•	-	41
anger and Re	medy-Eng	glish T	racts	,	•	•	86
obate—Litch i			-	•	•	•	170
eath Defined 1		,	•	•	•	•	173
ebato—Synop lociion	sis of,	-	•	-	•	•	173 29
lection, nd of the Wicl	- kal_C Eit	- ch			:		64
xtracts, &c., b			B.,		•		143
ternity of Ete		-	-		•	-	172
ternal Punish		-	•	-	-	-	172
indices Misery	-John Fo	ster, E	ngla	nd,	-	-	177
uture State-			•	•	-	-	09,145
irst Principle	-Editor,		•	-	•	•	137
ospel Hope,	- Wanshin	-			· ·	•	185 191
iod's House of Iistory of Spir			α,	•	•		41
mmortality		•	÷.			1.0	62
	ornal Life-	-Moner	ieff.		•	-	65
mmortality		-	•	-	•	-	77
mmortality of	the Soul-	-Selecto	d,	•	•	-	81
s Man Immor		ie,	•	•	•	•	132
nquiries by a		-	•	•	· . ·		142
mmortality			1	. É.		1.5-	. 166 5
lingdom of Go ord against D		•			-	:	17
life in Christ-		· ·	-		- 37.62.70	0.81.95	115,147
ors When a S		4			•		165
lysterious Ra		` •		•	-	8,26,4	2,57,123
lisrepresentat			•	•	•	-	127
lan's Spirit—		-Read,		•	•	-	164,183
an of Sin-F			•	•	•	-	100
Noble Stand— Nomenclatory		mona,					63 93
Pope Gregory,	-	-					46
Postage Law,							107,112
Pneumatologi	st—T. Smlt	h and	Ediu	or, -	•		121
Paul's Testino			•	•	•	-	157
Remarks on E			•	•	•	•	57
	lh. 15: 22,	:	-	•	•	1.1	89
Saviour's Pron Sunderland's J		-Mone	criel	, -	•	•	14 43
Soul or Body-				•••		•	4-3 56
Scripture Exp				12		60.12	,140,174
Spirit Rappers		-					58
Signs of Antic		-	•	•			103
State of the De			-	•		106	,113,133
frue Source of			ad,	.•		1,30,3	3,49,102
Thoughts on L			-		- C	1.1	75
Frue Source of False Care to a			*	•	•	•	90 03
Take Care to g Tabernacie of .				-	:		93 139
limes of Resti			•				135
Frue Literalis					•		149
four to the N	orthwest-	Editor,		•	•		153
lato's, Eld. Jo		œ,	•	•	•	-	161.181
Work Progres	-	• •	٠.		•	•	47
What Does it		-	•			•	89
White's Lotto:	• •	-	•	•	•	•	105