# AFLP data support the recognition of a new tuber-bearing Solanum species but are uninformative about its taxonomic relationships 
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#### Abstract

Solanum section Petota, containing the cultivated potato and its wild relatives, is a group of around 200 species. Many of these species are morphologically very variable with unclear boundaries, and the group as a whole appears to be somewhat over-classified. Describing a new species in this group should only be undertaken with caution, and molecular data can be used to test the distinctness of any putative new taxon. AFLP markers have shown the ability to reliably distinguish species in several groups within the genus Solanum. We tested the distinctness of a new tuber-bearing Solanum species using morphological and AFLP data, and tried to establish its affiliation to the series within the section. There was clear support for the species status of the material known as Solanum hannemanii in genebank collections, but the AFLP data were inconclusive about its relationships to the other investigated species. Also, the distinction of the series Tuberosa and Megistacroloba, to which these species belong, was not supported.
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The secondary genepool of the cultivated potato, Solanum tuberosum L., consists of a large number of tuber-bearing species, classified as Solanum sect. Petota Dumort. The number of species was estimated at 232 by Hawkes (1990), and later reduced to 206 by Spooner and Heijmans (2001), and to 188 by Spooner and Salas (2006). Many of these species are morphologically very similar to each other. Correll (1962) cautioned against describing new species in this group before the nature of alreadyrecognized taxa was better understood. Still, new species are discovered now and again, and morphological studies can be supplemented with molecular analysis to assess the distinctness of any putative new taxon. Repeatedly, AFLP data have been shown to reveal the proper amount of heritable variation to enable delimitations of taxa at the species level (Kardolus 1998; Mace et al. 1999a, b; Koopman et al. 2001; Van den Berg et al. 2002; Wong et al. 2002; Lara-Cabrera and Spooner 2004; Dehmer and Hammer 2004).

[^0]In 1972 and 1973, Andrea Clausen and Armando Okada collected material of tuberbearing Solanum species in Argentina. Some of this material was identified as a possible hybrid between S. venturii Hawkes \& Hjerting and S. megistacrolobum Bitter; other collections were designated as $S$. spegazinii Bitter. Later, the collectors recognised that this material might belong to two unknown taxa. The material was entered into genebank collections under the provisional names S. hannemanii and S. hawkesianum, as suggested by these collectors. The latter can on morphological grounds be assigned to the brevicaule/leptophyes/gourlayi alliance, with its narrow leaflets closely resembling accessions of S. gourlayi Hawkes subsp. vidaurrei (Card.) Hawkes \& Hjerting. The former putative new taxon is distinctive in its morphology, and the present study was set up to assess molecular support for this taxon and compare it with putative closely related species, based mainly on a characteristic of their leaf dissection (i.e. the presence of a large terminal leaflet and only few, small lateral leaflets). To investigate the possibility of a hybrid origin for this putative taxon involving species from series Megistacroloba Card. \& Hawkes and Tuberosa (Rydb.) Hawkes, representatives of those series were included. The narrow-leafed species, $S$. infundibuliforme Phil., was chosen as an outgroup. This species was assigned by Hawkes (1990) to the separate series Cuneoalata Hawkes, and is more closely related to members of the brevicaule complex than to the species considered here (Kardolus et al. 1998).

## Materials and methods

Plant material and measurements. Table 1 lists the 37 accessions used in this study, all but two from the CGN (Centre for Genetic Resources, the Netherlands). The species identification of these accessions was checked by us using the descriptions and keys in Hawkes (1990), but we treat Solanum toralapanum Card. \& Hawkes as a subspecies of S. megistacrolobum, following Giannattasio and Spooner (1994). We remained unsure about the identity of accessions
assigned to the very similar species S. okadae Hawkes \& Hjerting and $S$. venturii. From each accession, three plants were grown in the greenhouse, and 40 morphological characters (Table 2) were measured from each plant. Thirty of these characters are quantitative and ten are qualitative, describing 21 vegetative and 19 generative features. There are missing data for three individuals (med 16-2, mga 17-2 and rap 31-3), because these plants did not flower during the observation period. All data were analysed separately, with the OTU (Operational Taxonomic Unit) representing individual plants.

The AFLP analysis used the same material as the morphological analysis. Three genotypes per accession were used except in five cases where only two genotypes per accession were available (Table 1).

AFLP protocol. Nuclear DNA was extracted from nitrogen-frozen young leaves and sprouts with the CTAB-method (Bernatzky and Tanksley 1986). The AFLP protocol follows the one described in Kardolus et al. (1998) with some small modifications: the dilution of the ligation mixture was 10 -fold; the dilution of the first PCR product was 50 -fold; and a 0.35 mm sequence gel system (GIBCO BRL, Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) was used. Two primer combinations were used: $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{AAC} / \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{CAC}$ (E32/M48) and $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ACA} / \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{CAC}(\mathrm{E} 35 / \mathrm{M} 48)$.

## Data analysis

Morphology. Each morphological character was analysed for its mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum and significance by one-way analysis of variance in JMP (SAS Institute Inc. 1995). Cluster Analysis and Principal Components Analysis were performed with NTSYS-PC, version 2.11T (Rohlf 2000). The procedures SIMINT and SAHN within NTSYS were used. With SIMINT, similarity matrices were generated by using Euclidian Distance (EUCLID), Squared Euclidian Distance (EUCLIDSQ), Manhattan Distance (MANHAT), Average Taxonomic Distance (DIST) and Product-Moment Correlation (CORR). Clustering was performed by using the Unweighted Pair-Group Method (UPGMA), Weighted Pair-Group Method (WPGMA), Single Linkage (SINGLE) and Complete Linkage (COMPLETE) in SAHN.

Table 1. Solanum material examined in this study

| Code | Species | Series ${ }^{1}$ | Source ${ }^{2}$ | Collector | Origin | Latitude | Longitude | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{3} \text { \# GT } \\ & \text { AFLP } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| han6 | S. hannemanii | ? | C17854 | OKA 4374 | Arg-Jujuy | $23^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| han7 | S. hannemanii | ? | C17855 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OKA } 4407 \times \\ & 4372 \end{aligned}$ | Arg-Jujuy | - | - | 3 |
| han8 | S. hannemanii | ? | C17856 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OKA } 4407 \times \\ 4481 \end{gathered}$ | Argentina | - | - | 3 |
| han9 | S. hannemanii | ? | C17858 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OKA } 4481 \times \\ & 4374 \end{aligned}$ | Argentina | - | - | 3 |
| han10 | S. hannemanii | ? | C17996 | OKA 4372 | Arg-Jujuy | $23^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| han11 | S. hannemanii | ? | C17997 | OKA 4383A | Arg-Jujuy | $23^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| han12 | S. hannemanii | ? | C18001 | OKA 4407 | Arg-Jujuy | $23^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| ifd13 | S. infundibuliforme Phil. | CUN | C17857 | OKA 4457B | Arg-Jujuy | $23^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| ifd14 | S. infundibuliforme | CUN | C17940 | OKA 4451 | Arg-Jujuy | $23^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| ast1 | S. astleyi Hawkes \& Hjerting | MEG | C18207 | HAM 203 | Bol-Potosi | $19^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| ast2 | S. astleyi | MEG | C18211 | HAM 208 | Bol-Potosi | $19^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 |
| ast3 | S. astleyi | MEG | C18212 | HAM 209 | Bol-Potosi | $19^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| blv4 | S. boliviense Dunal | MEG | C17680 | ALN 006 | - | - | - | 3 |
| blv5 | S. boliviense | MEG | C18208 | HAM 204 | Bol-Potosi | $19^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 |
| mga17 | S. megistacrolobum Bitter subsp. megistacrolobum | MEG | C17726 | PEH 0366 | Arg-Jujuy | - | - |  |
| mga19 | subsp. megistacrolobum | MEG | C18184 | HAM 072 | Bolivia | $19^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| mga20 | subsp. megistacrolobum | MEG | C17727 | ROR 0150 | Bol-Chuq | - | - | 3 |
| mga21 | subsp. megistacrolobum | MEG | C17985 | OKA 3989 | Arg-Jujuy | $22^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $66^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| tor37 | S. megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum (Card. \& Hawkes) R.B. Giannattasio \& D.M. Spooner | MEG | C18141 | VSAL 118 | Bolivia | $16^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $67^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| tor38 | S. megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum | MEG | C18147 | VSLC 138 | Bol-Coch | $17^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $66^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 |
| tor39 | S. megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum | MEG | C18145 | VSAL 134 | Bolivia | $17^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 |
| rap29 | S. raphanifolium Cárdenas \& Hawkes | MEG | C17834 | ROR 0776B | Peru | - | - | 3 |
| rap30 | S. raphanifolium | MEG | C18089 | HVHL 5421 | Peru | $13^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $72^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| rap31 | S. raphanifolium | MEG | C18320 | OCH S-58 | Peru | - | - | 3 |
| sct32 | S. sanctae-rosae Hawkes | MEG | B18315 | EBS $2691{ }^{4}$ | - | - | - | 3 |
| sct33 | S. sanctae-rosae | MEG | C18090 | НОНН 6084 | Arg-Tuc | $26^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| sct34 | S. sanctae-rosae | MEG | C18092 | НОНН 6092 | Arg-Tuc | $26^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| sct35 | S. sanctae-rosae | MEG | C18091 | HOHH 6087 | Argentina | $26^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 |
| sgr36 | S. sogarandinum Ochoa | MEG | C17601 | OCH 1440 | Peru | $8^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $78^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| med15 | S. medians Bitter | TUBw | C18043 | HAM 2489 | Peru | - | - | 3 |
| med16 | S. medians | TUBw | C18307 | VIL 211 | Peru | $11^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $77^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| mcd22 | S. microdontum Bitter | TUBw | C17596 | OKA 4478 | Arg-Salta | $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $64^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| mcd 23 | S. microdontum | TUBw | C17597 | OKA 4820 | Arg-Salta | $25^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| mcd24 | S. microdontum | TUBw | C18200 | HAM177 | Bolivia | $21^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $64^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| oka25 | S. okadae Hawkes \& Hjerting | TUBw | C18109 | HOHH 6033 | Arg-Salta | $25^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $65^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| oka26 | S. okadae | TUBw | B27158 | VSA 176 | Bolivia | $17^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $67^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| vnt40 | S. venturii Hawkes \& Hjerting | TUBw | C17755 | WAC $3257^{4}$ | Arg-Tuc (Tafi) | - | - | 3 |

${ }^{1}$ Series abbreviations according to Hawkes (1990)
${ }^{2}$ B Braunschweig Genetic Resources Collection; C Center for Genetic Resources, the Netherlands
${ }^{3}$ Number of genoypes studied with AFLP
${ }^{4}$ Donor number, no collector number available

AFLP. The AFLP fragments were scored as present (1) or absent (0); band-intensity differences were not scored. Lane matching and fragment scoring were performed automatically on digital images of the autoradiograms. For
scoring the E35/M48 primer combination, the program Phoretix 1D advanced Version 4.00 (Phoretix International, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK) was used, producing 146 bands. The program AFLP Quantar ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Pro 1.0 (Key Gene

Table 2. Morphological characters and states used in the measurements and data analysis

40. style: straight (0), curved (1)

Products, Wageningen, The Netherlands) was used to analyze the primer combination E32/ M48, producing 66 bands. The latter primer combination produced no bands for one accession of S. boliviense Dunal (C18208) and one of $S$. hannemanii (C17858). All but the
faintest bands were scored; where necessary, scores were corrected manually.

Phenetic analyses were performed by using the program NTSYS-PC, version 2.11T (Rohlf 2000). By using the procedure SIMQUAL, similarities between OTUs were calculated with

Jaccard's similarity coefficient. The UPGMA clustering algorithm was used and the cophenetic correlation coefficient was calculated by using the procedures COPH and MXCOMP. Neigh-bour-Joining trees were generated with PAUP 4.0 (Swofford 2002). Trees were calculated for each primer combination separately as well as for the combined dataset.

Cladistic analyses were performed using PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). A Jackknife analysis ( 10,000 reps) of the dataset resulting from the combined primer combinations was performed, and heuristical searches were run with 1000 random sequence taxon additions with TBR swapping.

Finally, Bayesian analyses were performed with MrBayes 3.1 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003); the resulting trees were visualized with TreeView 1.6.6 (Page 1996).

## Results

Morphology. All the morphological characters showed significant differences between at least some pairs of OTUs in the one-way analysis of variance.

Phenograms were constructed by using different similarity measures and various clustering methods. Values for the cophenetic correlation coefficients of the phenograms resulting from the various combinations of similarity measures and clustering methods were compared. These values ranged between 0.72178 (CORR / UPGMA) and 0.85467 (DIST / UPGMA). In the phenogram with the highest cophenetic correlation coefficient (Fig. 1) all or most of the individuals of the following species grouped together: S. astleyi Hawkes \& Hjerting, S. boliviense, S. infundibuliforme, S. sanctae-rosae Hawkes, S. medians Bitter, S. raphanifolium Cardenas \& Hawkes, S. microdontum Bitter and $S$. venturii. Also, representatives of the putative species $S$. hannemanii cluster together, with one exception. Accessions or individuals of $S$. megistacrolobum subsp. megistacrolobum, S. megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum (Card. \& Hawkes) R.B. Giannattasio \& D.M. Spooner, S. sogarandinum Ochoa and
S. okadae show less than perfect clustering. Branch lengths in the phenogram (Fig. 1) show that there is a substantial amount of variation within all species.

In the Principal Components Analysis (not shown), the first three principal components accounted for $29.8,12.6$ and $9.7 \%$ of the variation, respectively, for a total of $52 \%$. The characters with the highest loadings on these first three components are all dimensions of the leaf and its leaflets. The scatterplots of the first three principal components do not show much differentiation, except for all of the representatives of $S$. microdontum, which are separated from a large cloud of points containing the other species. Within that cloud, the representatives of each species are not intermixed but do form subgroups.

## AFLP

Phenetic analysis. The UPGMA tree (not shown) of the combined data set of the two primer combinations (cophenetic correlation coefficient $[$ UPGMA, J] $=0.96222$ ) shows perfect clustering of the accessions in their species, with the exception of the two accessions of S. okadae, which are separated by $S$. venturii, and the accessions of S. astleyi and S. boliviense which are intermixed. A number of subgroups can be distinguished. The putative new species S. hannemanii is most similar to $S$. microdontum; S. raphanifolium clusters with S. medians; and S. infundibuliforme is linked to an astleyi/ boliviense group. Finally, S. megistacrolobum subsp. megistacrolobum, S. megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum and S. sanctae-rosae Hawkes form a group, with $S$. megistacrolobum subsp. megistacrolobum closer to $S$. sanctae-rosae than to S. megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum.

The Neighbour-Joining tree from PAUP (not shown) with $S$. infundibuliforme as outgroup shows the same groups but places $S$. sogarandinum close to the megistacrolobum /toralapa-num/sanctae-rosae cluster.

Cladistic analysis. The Jackknife analysis (not shown) supports many but not all of the 13 taxa included in this study. The grouping of all


Fig. 1. UPGMA tree based on morphological characters


Fig. 2. Maximum Parsimony $50 \%$ majority rule consensus tree based on AFLPs
individuals of the species(names) $S$. hannemanii, S. medians, S. raphanifolium, S. venturii, and S. sogarandinum receive $100 \%$ support, that of $S$. microdontum slightly less ( $95 \%$ ), but S. megistacrolobum subsp. megistacrolobum, S. megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum, and S. sanctae-rosae are less well supported (69-72\% for the individual taxa, $65 \%$ for this group), while accessions of $S$. astleyi and S. boliviense are intermixed, and the two accessions of S. okadae are separated from each other. Furthermore, the Jackknife tree is inconclusive with respect to the interrelationships of the species: in the ingroup, all species except $S$. astleyi / $S$. boliviense are in one group with $94 \%$ support, but their relationships to each other are completely unresolved.

The topology of the cladograms resulting from the heuristic searches largely conform with each other. Figure 2 gives a Maximum Parsimony majority-rule consensus tree. After the outgroup, $S$. infundibuliforme, the first clade to branch off consists of S. astleyi and S. boliviense (not separated from each other but mixed), then a group consisting of $S$. medians and S. raphanifolium, a group consisting of $S$. hannemanii and S. microdontum with one of the $S$. okadae accessions and $S$. sogarandinum, and, finally a clade consisting of 2 subgroups: 1) the remaining S. okadae accession and S. venturii and 2) the megistacrolobum/toralapanum/sanctae-rosae cluster, with S. megistacrolobum subsp. megistacrolobum closer to $S$. sanctae-rosae than to S.megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum, identical to the results of the phenetic analysis.

Bayesian analysis. In the results of the Bayesian analyses (Fig. 3), the same clusters of species representatives are retrieved with posterior probability scores between 81 and $100 \%$, grouping S. infundibuliforme with S. astleyi and S. boliviense ( $88 \%$ ), and including a megistacrolo-bum/toralapanum/sanctae-rosae cluster (93\%), but now with $S$. megistacrolobum subsp. megistacrolobum closest to S. megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum. However, the results of these analyses are also inconclusive about most of the interrelationships among the various groups, as is especially evident in the unrooted tree shown (Fig. 3).

## Discussion

The group of tuber-bearing Solanum species is probably somewhat over-classified (Spooner and Van den Berg 1992), even with the 188 species currently recognized by Spooner and Salas (2006). Many species are morphologically extremely similar and difficult to distinguish, even by experts (Spooner et al. 2003). Under these circumstances, the description of a new species can only be undertaken exercising sufficient caution. However, one can test the status of taxa based on levels of support from molecular data. The AFLP marker system has been shown to provide resolution at the species level in studies of Solanum sect. Petota, usually displaying clustering of individual plants in their genebank accessions and of accessions in species (Kardolus 1998; Kardolus et al. 1998; Van den Berg et al. 2001, 2002; Lara-Cabrera and Spooner 2004).

There is clear support in the AFLP data for the recognition of $S$. hannemanii at the species level, since a cluster of all representatives of this putative species was retrieved in all analyses. Consistently, this cluster is placed closest to the cluster of S. microdontum accessions. This close relationship between $S$. hannemanii and S. microdontum is not apparent from the morphological data, where $S$. microdontum is relatively more distant and $S$. hannemanii more closely resembles the $S$. megistacrolobum-like species.

In the present study, both morphological data and the AFLP results indicate the presence of a clear hierarchical structure, with representatives of most species clustering coherently. Exceptions are the intermixing of S. astleyi and S. boliviense, the uncertainty of the placement of various accessions of S. okadae and S. venturii, and the unexpectedly close relationship between $S$. megistacrolobum subsp. megistacrolobum and $S$. sanctae-rosae, with S. megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum sister to both (but this is contradicted by the Bayesian results).

Interestingly, earlier studies using RAPD data to evaluate relationships between $S$. astleyi and $S$. boliviense (Spooner et al. 1997) and between S. megistacrolobum subsp. megistacrolobum and S. megistacrolobum subsp. toralapanum (Giann-


Fig. 3. Bayesian unrooted tree based on AFLPs
attasio and Spooner 1994) highlighted the uncertainties about the species status of these taxa, concluding that they might be best recognized as subspecies or even varieties. The present AFLP results confirm the close affinity or conspecificity of $S$. astleyi and $S$. boliviense and indicate the need to re-investigate the status of $S$. sanctaerosae, and the identity and boundaries of S. okadae and $S$. venturii. The uncertain placement of the latter species could also be due to problems with the identity of the genebank
material, since we experienced difficulties in distinguishing these species by using the keys in Hawkes (1990). Although the status of the other investigated species seems clear, the AFLP data do not provide enough information on interrelationships among them, irrespective of the method of analysis (phenetic, cladistic, or Bayesian). Neither is there any convincing evidence in support of the hypothesis that $S$. hannemanii was the result of hybridisation between species from series Tuberosa and Megistacroloba, as was
suggested from its morphology by the original collectors.

All of the investigated species (except the outgroup $S$. infundibuliforme) have been classified into two series: S. medians, S. microdontum, S. okadae and $S$. venturii in series Tuberosa and S. megistacrolobum, S. sanctae-rosae, S. raphanifolium, S. sogarandinum, S. astleyi and S. boliviense in series Megistacroloba (Hawkes 1990). In contrast to this, Correll (1962) considered S. boliviense to belong to series Tuberosa. The present results do not support the distinction of these two series, as illustrated by the close relationship between S. medians and S. raphanifolium, and it might be better to recognize informal species groups instead of formal series, following the practice of Whalen (1984), Knapp (2000) and Spooner et al. (2004) in Solanum taxonomy.
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