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#### Abstract

Hippolyte acuta (Stimpson) is the only species of this genus now reported from the central Pacific. Examination of several specimens indicates that it is identical with the Indo-Malayan $H$. ventricosa H . Milne-Edwards. Two other species, H. edmondsoni n. sp. and H. jarvisensis n. sp., have been newly found in the Hawaiian Islands and the Line Islands, respectively. These three species are described, illustrated, and compared to other known species.


During a short stay at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Hawaii, I examined part of the collection of Decapoda Natantia and found three species of the genus Hippolyte. One is H. acuta (Stimpson) from Oahu, Hawaiian Islands, reported by Rathbun (1906) and Edmondson (1946). The second species, also collected from Oahu, was examined by Edmondson, who provided short manuscript notes on it, but did not describe it. The third species, from Jarvis Island, the Line Islands, is related to these Hawaiian species, but shows some noteworthy morphological differences.

I have now studied all three species and compared them with species from other localities. They are easily distinguishable from one another as well as from other species (Table 1). The Hawaiian Hippolyte acuta shows no apparent differences from the description of $H$. ventricosa H . Milne-Edwards given by Holthuis (1947), and it is here considered synonymous with $H$. ventricosa.

The second species is characterized by a very short, unarmed rostrum, a small frontal spine on the carapace, and a well-developed supraorbital spine. It is named Hippolyte edmondsoni sp. nov., dedicated to the great

[^0]Hawaiian carcinologist, C. H. Edmondson, who first noted it as a distinct species.

The third species, Hippolyte jarvisensis sp. nov., is distinguished by its small size, a single tooth on both upper and lower margins of the rostrum, and a large and broad eyestalk.

The specimens treated herein are deposited at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (вPbM), and two or more duplicates will be deposited in the National Science Museum, Tokyo (NSMT).

## SYSTEMATICS

## Hippolyte edmondsoni sp. nov.

Figures 1-3
type material: Kaaawa, Oahu, Hawaiian Islands, November 1929, C. H. Edmondson leg.: 630 , 4 ovig. 7. 9 spp. (paratypes, BPBM S 3500); 1s^, 1 ovig. $7,1 \mathrm{sp}$. (paratypes, nsmt) ; Waimanalo, Oahu, 20 June 1951: 1 ${ }^{\text {T, }}$, 4 ovig. 9 , 8 spp . (paratypes, врвм S 5712 ); Waimanalo, Oahu, 17 July 1951, with seaweed: 1 ovig. © (holotype, вРвм S 8607); 1 今, 2 ovig. 9 ,, 4 spp . (paratypes, bPbм S 5764 ).
description: Small hippolytid, carapace length of holotype 1.8 mm (Figure 1). Rostrum short, less than half of carapace, reaching to end of eyestalk in females (Figure 2A) and to base of eyestalk in males. Both upper and lower margins devoid of teeth. One small spine of frontal region of carapace in most

## TABLE 1

Distinctive Characters of Three Species of the Central Pacific Hippolyte

| CHARACTERS | H. edmondsoni sp. nov. | H. jarvisensis sp. nov. | H. ventricosa H. Milne-Edwards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total length | $7.7-9.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ in adult males, $9.0-10.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in ovigerous females | $5.2-6.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in adult males, $7.6-8.0$ mm in ovigerous females | $12.5-18.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in adult males, $20.5-22.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in ovigerous females |
| Rostrum | Less than half as long as carapace, not reaching to end of cornea, unarmed on upper and lower margins, but with a small frontal tooth on carapace | 0.7 times as long as carapace, reaching just to end of antennular peduncle in females and to first segment of antennular peduncle in males, with a tooth on basal third of upper margin and on ventral margin near apex | Slightly longer than carapace, with $0-1$ teeth on middle of upper margin and 3-5 teeth along lower margin |
| Supraorbital spine | Large, forming a strong orbital fossa | Large, forming a shallow orbital fossa | Moderate, indistinct orbital fossa |
| Branchiostegal spine | Just inside anterior margin of carapace | Inside anterior margin of carapace, its apex extending slightly beyond anterior margin of carapace | On antennal region of carapace, its apex never exceeding anterior margin of carapace |
| Suborbital angle | Bluntly pointed process | Small knoblike process | Large knoblike process |
| Apical spines of telson | Innermost pair much longer than others | Innermost pair much longer than others | Innermost pair as long as or slightly shorter than the third pair |
| Eye | Cylindrical, cornea as long as stalk | Stalk wider and longer than cornea | Long, cylindrical, stalk longer than cornea |
| Stylocerite | Well developed, extending far beyond level of inner ventral spine | Extending as far forward as level of inner ventral spine | Extending beyond level of inner ventral spine |
| Antennal scale | 2-3 times as long as broad, outer distal spine separated from lamella by notch | 2.2-2.6 times as long as broad, outer distal spine separated from lamella by notch | 3.0-3.5 times as long as broad, outer distal spine attached to lamella |
| Carpus of second pereiopod | Distal segment longest | Proximal and distal segments subequal | Proximal and distal segments subequal |
| Dactylus of third pereiopod | With 8-9(-12) spines on posterior margin | With 7.9 spines on posterior margin | With 13-16 spines on posterior margin |
| Merus of fifth pereiopod | Usually unarmed | Usually unarmed | Usually with outer spine |



Figure 1. Hippolyie edmondsoni n. sp. Holotype, ovigerous female. Scale represents 1.0 mm .
specimens (Figure $2 A, B, D$ ), but two spines in one ovigerous female (Figure $2 C$ ); supraorbital spine well developed, making a strong orbital fossa; antennal spine small and separated from the bluntly pointed suborbital angle; branchiostegal spine articulated and situated just inside anterior margin of carapace (Figure 2D). Some tufts of setae present on carapace and abdomen.

Pleura of all abdominal somites smooth; lateral plate of sixth somite pointed; telson about 1.5 times as long as sixth somite, with two pairs of dorsal spines, which are situated equidistantly; apex with four pairs of spines, innermost pair much longer than the other pairs (Figure 2E).

Eye long, reaching to second segment of antennular peduncle; cornea as long as eyestalk (Figure $2 F$ ). First segment of antennular peduncle with long outer distal and small inner ventral spine; second and third segments subequal in length: outer flagellum
with six or seven basal segments swollen and distal two or three segments slender (Figure $2 G$ ); stylocerite well developed, greatly exceeding inner ventral spine (Figure 2H). Outer flagellum slender and long. Antennal scale reaching beyond antennular peduncle by distal two-fifths and 2.0-3.0 times as long as broad; outer distal spine falling far short of end of lamella; basicerite with outer distal spine (Figure 2I).

Mouthparts similar to the typical form (Figure 3). Third maxilliped reaching to end of antennular peduncle, with long exopod (Figure $3 F$ ). First pereiopod reaching to end of eye, with dense plumose setae on inner margin of proximal four segments (Figure $2 J$ ). Second pereiopod reaching to end of antennular peduncle; chela slightly shorter than carpus; carpus three-segmented, distal segment longest, twice as long as central segment; proximal segment about 1.5 times as long as central segment; merus slightly


Figure 2. Hippolyte edmondsoni n. sp. Paratypes; $A$, ovigerous female, 1.8 mm in carapace length (cl); $B$, young specimen, 0.5 mm in cl : $C$, ovigerous female, 1.5 mm in cl: $D, F-L . N-P$, ovigerous female, 1.9 mm in cl; $E$, ovigerous female, 1.4 mm in cl; $M$, male, 1.3 mm in cl: $Q, R$, male, $1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{cl} ; A$, carapace; $B$, anterior part of body; $C$, rostrum; $D$, anterior part of carapace; $E$, telson; $F$, eye; $G$, antennular peduncle; $H$, first segment of antennular peduncle in ventral view: $I$, antennal scale: $J$, first pereiopod; $K$, second pereiopod; $L$, third pereiopod; $M$, dactylus and propodus of third pereiopod: $N$, dactylus of third pereiopod: $O$, fourth pereiopod: $P$, fifth pereiopod: $Q$, endopod of first pereiopod: $R$, appendices interna and masculina. Scales represent 1.0 mm for $A-P$ and 0.5 mm for $Q$ and $R$.
shorter than carpus: ischium with a faint suture near middle (Figure $2 K$ ). Third pereiopod reaching beyond antennal scale, with six or seven small spines on posterior margin both in females (Figure 2 $2, N$ ) and in adult
males with prehensile pereiopod (Figure $2 M$ ); in the largest male rarely ten or more spines present on dactylus. Propodus about 2.0 times as long as dactylus, with five or seven groups of spines on posterior margin;


Figure 3. Mouthparts of Hippolyte edmondsoni n. sp. Paratype, ovigerous temale, 1.9 mm in cl; A, mandible; $B$, maxillula; $C$, maxilla; $D$, first maxilliped; $E$, second maxilliped: $F$. third maxilliped. Scales represent 1.0 mm .
carpus with an outer spine on proximal part; merus as long as carpus, with one or two outer spines. Fourth pereiopod reaching just to end of antennal scale (Figure 2O), and fifth pereiopod reaching to end of antennular peduncle (Figure $2 P$ ). Shape and spinulation of these two pereiopods similar to those of third pereiopod; dactyli ending in two apical spines with six or seven spines on posterior margin; carpus of fourth pereiopod with usually one spine but that of fifth pereiopod sometimes devoid of such spine; meri of these two pereiopods usually without outer spine.

Endopod of male first pleopod oval, about half as long as exopod, with six plumose setae on outer margin and five simple setae on inner margin (Figure 2Q). Appendix masculina much shorter than appendix interna, with five apical setae (Figure $2 R$ ). Uropod longer than telson. Well-developed preanal spine present on sixth abdominal sternite in both sexes. Eggs comparatively large and relatively few.
remarks: The present type series is composed of 9 males, 12 ovigerous females, and 22 specimens for which sex is unknown. Most of these type specimens have a spine on the frontal region, with four exceptions, two with two frontal spines and the remaining two are unarmed. Males with prehensile pereiopods apparently do not differ from females and other small specimens in the spinulation of the posterior pereiopods, but the largest male, 1.5 mm in carapace length, has $10-12$ spines on dactyli of the third and fourth pereiopods.
sIZE: The large and perfect ovigerous female is selected as the holotype; 10.3 mm in total length, 1.8 mm in carapace length, and 0.8 mm in rostrum length. Mature males with prehensile pereiopods are $7.7-7.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ in total length, $1.1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in carapace length, and $0.4-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in rostrum length. Ovigerous females are $9.0-10.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in total length, $1.8-1.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ in carapace length, and $0.5-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in rostrum length.


Figure 4. Hippolyte jarvisensis n. sp. Holotype, ovigerous female. Scale represents 1.0 mm .
distribution: The species has been collected from Oahu, Hawaiian Islands only, and is probably found in the littoral zone.

Hippolyte jarvisensis sp. nov.
Figures 4 and 5
type material: Jarvis Islands, the Line Islands, March-April 1935, Itasca Expedition, Toomey, Ahia and Graf leg.: 1 ovig. 우 (holotype, вРвм S 8603); 2今お3, 2 ovig. 9ㅇ,
 $1 \not \subset$ (paratypes, NSMT).

DESCRIPTION: Small shrimp, 1.6 mm in carapace length in the holotype (Figure 4). Rostrum shorter than carapace, reaching just to end of antennular peduncle in females (Figure 5A) and to first segment of antennular peduncle in males; a tooth present on basal third of dorsal margin in all specimens and also a small tooth on ventral margin near apex in most specimens; two small teeth in one female and unarmed in smallest speci-
men. Carapace smooth with a few tufts of plumose setae. Supraorbital spine large, forming a shallow orbital fossa; antennal spine acute but small; branchiostegal spine articulate and situated inside anterior margin of carapace, its apex extending slightly beyond anterior margin of carapace; pterygostomial angle not pointed. Suborbital angle knoblike process (Figure 5B).

Abdomen smooth and strongly geniculated at third somite. Pleura of all somites rounded. Lateral plate of sixth somite pointed. Telson longer than uropod, with two pairs of dorsal spines. Apex with four pairs of spines, of which innermost pair is much longer than the other pairs (Figure $5 C$ ).

Eyestalk much wider than cornea, with a tuft of short setae distally on dorsal side (Figure 5D). Antennal peduncle reaching to rostral apex; first segment long, with an outer distal spine and a small spine on inner ventral margin; second and third segments subequal in length and both much shorter than first (Figure $5 E$ ); stylocerite long,


Figure 5. Hippolyte jarvisensis n, sp. Paratypes; $A$, ovigerous female, 1.5 mm in $\mathrm{cl} ; B, D-P, R-T$, ovigerous female, 1.5 mm in cl; $C$, male, 0.9 mm in cl; $Q, U, V$, male 1.1 mm in $\mathrm{cl} ; A$, carapace; $B$, anterior part of carapace; $C$, telson; $D$, eye; $E$, antennular peduncle; $F$, first segment of antennular peduncle; $G$, antennal scale; $H$, mandible; $I$, maxillula; $J$, maxilla; $K$, first maxilliped; $L$, second maxilliped; $M$, third maxilliped; $N$, first pereiopod; $O$, second pereiopod; $P$, third pereiopod: $Q$, dactylus and propodus of third pereiopod; $R$, dactylus of third pereiopod; $S$, fourth pereiopod; $T$, fifth pereiopod; $U$, endopod of first pleopod; $V$, appendices interna and masculina. Scales represent 1.0 mm for $A-T$ and 0.5 mm for $U$ and $V$.
reaching just to inner ventral spine (Figure $5 F$ ); outer flagellum with about six swollen proximal segments and about four slender segments; inner flagellum more slender and longer than outer flagellum. Antennal scale reaching beyond rostral apex by distal third and 2.2-2.6 times as long as broad; outer distal spine much exceeded by anterior
lamella; basicerite with a small outer distal spine (Figure $5 G$ ).

Mouthparts similar to those of H. edmondsoni (Figure $5 \mathrm{H}-L$ ). Third maxillipeds reaching just to rostral apex, with slender exopod (Figure $5 M$ ). First pereiopod short, not reaching end of antennular peduncle, with long plumose setae on inner margins of
proximal segments; inner margin of merus not convex (Figure $5 N$ ). Second pereiopod reaching beyond rostral apex by chela; carpus three-segmented, proximal and distal segments subequal in length and both about twice as long as central segment; ischium slightly shorter than merus, with a faint suture near middle (Figure 5O). Third pereiopod long, reaching beyond rostral apex by distal two segments (Figure $5 P$ ). Dactylus with seven to eight spines on posterior margin in females and eight to nine spines in males, the distal spine longer than the other spines though the second spine is stouter than the distal (Figure $5 R$ ); propodus 2.4 times as long as dactylus with five spines on posterior margin in females and six to seven spines in males (Figure 5Q); carpus usually with one outer spine on proximal part; merus as long as propodus with none to two outer spines on distal half. The following two pereiopods similar in shape to the third pereiopod, but their length decreasing in order, especially in meri; fourth pereiopod reaching beyond rostral apex by dactylus and fifth pereiopod, reaching just to rostral apex; carpus of fourth pereiopod usually with a single outer spine (Figure $5 S$ ), but that of fifth pereiopod with or without such spine (Figure 5T). Meri of posterior two pereiopods usually devoid of outer spines.

Endopod of first pleopod shorter than exopod but similar in shape to exopod in females; less than half length of exopod in males; oval in outline with several simple setae on inner margin and plumose setae on outer margin (Figure 5U). Appendix masculina of male second pleopod bearing six long setae on tip (Figure 5V).

Distinct preanal spine present on sixth abdominal sternite in both sexes. Eggs comparatively large and relatively few in number.
remarks: The present species is based upon a single lot of ten specimens. Males are more slender and smaller than females and have a more slender rostrum with weaker spines. The upper tooth on the rostrum is constantly present even in small specimens, but the occurrence of a lower tooth is rather
variable. The spinulation of prehensile pereiopods in males apparently does not differ from that of females.
sIze: The largest and perfect ovigerous female is selected as the holotype; 8.0 mm in total length, 1.6 mm in carapace length, and 1.1 mm in rostrum length. Mature males are $5.2-6.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in total length, $0.9-1.1$ mm in carapace length, and $0.8-0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ in rostrum length. Ovigerous females are 7.6 8.0 mm in total length, $1.5-1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ in carapace length, and 1.1 mm in rostrum length.
distribution: The species is only known from the type locality, Jarvis Island, the Line Islands, central Pacific, and seems to be found in the littoral zone.

## Hippolyte ventricosa H . Milne-Edwards

Figure 6
Hippolyte acuta Rathbun 1906, p. 912, pl. 24, fig. 3.

Hippolyte acuta Edmondson 1946, p. 252, fig. 153c.

Hippolyte ventricosa Holthuis 1947, pp. 16 and 55, figs. 7-9.
material examined: Kaaawa, Oahu, Hawaiian Islands, 5 February 1927, C. H. Edmondson leg. : 1̂今, 5 ovig. 9¢, 1 (врвм S 2633); November 1927, C. H. Edmondson


DESCRIPTION: Rostrum nearly straight and slightly longer than carapace in most specimens, with $0-1$ tooth on middle of upper margin and 3-5 teeth along lower margin. Carapace smooth, with some tufts of short plumose setae. Supraorbital and antennal spine present; branchiostegal spine articulate and placed on antennal region of carapace, with its apex not exceeding anterior margin of carapace (Figure 6A); suborbital angle a knoblike process. Pterygostomial angle rounded (Figure $6 B$ ).

Abdomen smooth and strongly geniculated at third somite. Some tufts of plumose setae present on second to fourth somites. Pleura of all somites rounded. Lateral plate of sixth somite acutely pointed. Telson


Figure 6. Hippolyte ventricosa H . Milne-Edwards: $A$, ovigerous female, 3.8 mm in $\mathrm{cl} ; B, E-G$, ovigerous female, 3.6 mm in cl; $C$, ovigerous female, 3.4 mm in cl; $D, H-K, M, N$, ovigerous female, 3.6 mm in $\mathrm{cl} ; L, O, P$, male, 1.9 mm in $\mathrm{cl} ; A$, carapace and rostrum; $B$, suborbital region of carapace; $C$, telson; $D$, eye; $E$, antennular peduncle: $F$, first segment of antennular peduncle; $G$, antennal scale; $H$, first pereiopod; $I$, second pereiopod; $J$, third pereiopod: $K$, dactylus of third pereiopod; $L$, dactylus and propodus of third pereiopod; $M$, fourth pereiopod; $N$, fifth pereiopod: $O$, endopod of first pleopod; $P$, appendices interna and masculina. Scales represent 1.0 mm .
slightly shorter than uropod, with two pairs of dorsal spines situated equidistantly; apex with four pairs of spines (Figure 6C).
Eye cylindrical and elongate; cornea shorter than stalk (Figure 6D). Antennular peduncle not reaching to rostral apex; first segment long, with stout outer distal spine and small spine on inner ventral margin (Figure $6 E$ ); stylocerite long, much exceeding inner ventral spine. Second and third segments much shorter than first (Figure $6 F$ ). Outer flagellum bearing about ten swollen proximal segments and about five slender distal segments. Inner flagellum slender and longer than outer. Antennal scale reaching just to or slightly beyond apex of rostrum and $3.0-3.5$ times as long as broad; outer distal spine falling considerably short of end of scale; basicerite with a distinct outer distal spine (Figure $6 G$ ).

Mouthparts similar to those of $H$. edmondsoni and $H$. jarvisensis. Third maxilliped reaching to end of antennular peduncle, with slender exopod. First pereiopod short, not reaching end of antennular peduncle; chela oval in outline; merus slightly longer than carpus, distinctly convex in proximal part of inner margin; long simple setae present along inner margin of merus, ischium, and basis (Figure $6 H$ ). Second pereiopod longer than first, reaching just to or beyond end of antennular peduncle; carpus three-segmented, proximal and distal segments subequal in length and both about twice as long as central segment. Ischium slightly shorter than merus, with a faint suture at middle (Figure 6I). Third pereiopod long, reaching with dactylus beyond antennal scale (Figure $6 J$ ). Dactylus with about $13-16$ spines, of which apical three or four spines are smaller than the following two or three (Figure $6 K$, $L$ ); propodus 2.5 times as long as dactylus with seven or eight (rarely six) outer spines; carpus always with a single outer spine on proximal part; merus longer than propodus, with two to three outer spines. Fourth pereiopod reaching nearly to rostral apex and fifth pereiopod reaching to antennular peduncle only. Dactyli and propodi of these two posterior pereiopods similar in shape and spinulation to those of third, with their
length reduced posteriorly; carpus of fourth pereiopod with a single outer spine without exception and merus with one to four spines (Figure $6 M$ ); both carpus and merus of fifth pereiopod generally with a single spine only, but without spines in some specimens (Figure 6 N ).

Endopod of first pleopod shorter than exopod, similar in shape to exopod in females; in males less than half length of exopod and oval in shape with several simple setae on inner margin and plumose setae on outer margin (Figure 60 ). Second pleopod similar in shape in both sexes; an appendix masculina with about ten long simple setae on tip present in males (Figure 6P).
remarks: Several specimens of this species deposited in the Bernice P. Bishop Museum were examined but excluded from consideration in the present paper. Two lots of specimens ( $50^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}, 5$ ovig. $7 t, 3 \not 9 f$ ) are treated herein.

Males are smaller and more slender than females and their rostra and rostral spines are more slender than those of females. Rostral spinulation is $1 / 5$ in five females, including ovigerous specimens; $1 / 4$ and $1 / 3$ in two males; $0 / 5$ in one ovigerous female; and $0 / 4$ in one male. The remaining two specimens have broken rostra. The fourth and fifth pereiopods are longer in males than in females. Spinulation of the last three pereiopods is variable. The prehensile pereiopods in males bear more numerous spines on the dactyli and propodi than are found in females, but the number of spines on carpi and meri is similar in males and females.

SIze: Mature males are $13.0-18.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in total length, $1.8-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in carapace length, and $2.5-3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in rostrum length. Ovigerou: females are $20.0-22.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in total length, $3.4-3.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in carapace length, and $3.7-$ 4.2 mm in rostrum length.
distribution: The species is found throughout the Indo-West-Pacific region (Holthuis 1947), from South Africa through the Indian Ocean and from Malay-Australian waters to the Hawaiian Islands.

## DISCUSSION

Six species of Hippolyte are reported from the Indo-West-Pacific region (Barnard 1950, Holthuis 1947): H. acuta (Stimpson), H. caradina Holthuis, H. commensalis Kemp, H. kraussiana (Stimpson), H. proteus (Paulson), and $H$. ventricosa H . Milne-Edwards. Five additional species have been recently erected or designated (Chace 1951, 1972; Nouvel 1953; Yaldwyn 1971; Zariquiey Alvarez 1953), but all are found outside the Indo-West-Pacific area and no species is known to cross a zoogeographic boundary. The distinguishing features of the three central Pacific species are given in Table 1.

The specimens of Hippolyte from Oahu identified by Rathbun (1906) and Edmondson (1946) as H. acuta (then the only known representative of the genus in the central Pacific) have features that coincide with the characters of $H$. ventricosa as redescribed by Holthuis (1947). Among these distinguishing characters are the length of the apex of the branchiostegal spine, which does not exceed the anterior margin of the carapace: the stout outer distal spine on the first segment of the antennular peduncle; and the numerous spines on the dactyli of the last three pereiopods. Although Gurney (1927) noted that the original description of $H$. ventricosa H . Milne-Edwards (1837) was "too incomplete to permit sure identification," the Hawaiian specimens are referred to the species in view of Holthuis' (1947) clarification.

There is also a problem concerning the description of Virbius acutus Stimpson (1860) in that it is not sufficiently detailed to permit certain identification of that species. Until specimens from southern Japan, the type locality of Hippolyte acuta, are examined, that species cannot be determined as synonymous with $H$. ventricosa.

Hippolyte jarvisensis, with the outer distal spine on the first segment of the antennular peduncle, apparently differs from H. kraussiana and $H$. proteus, which are entirely devoid of such a spine. The dactyli of the last three pereiopods of $H$. jarvisensis indicate that it may not have a commensal habit as
does $H$. commensalis but is perhaps epifaunitic on seaweeds or sea grass. The rostral shape and spinulation are also different from those of the commensal species and from those of the Australian species, H. caradina, which bears a spine on the postrostral region just above the orbit. Although animals of $H$. jarvisensis resemble those of $H$. ventricosa, the animals are less than half the size of those of the latter species. Holthuis (1947) showed a wide range of sizes in Indo-Malayan H. ventricosa, but these size differences were not separable into distinct taxa.

The second new species, Hippolyte edmondsoni, is characterized by its very short, unarmed rostrum and small gastric spine on the carapace. All the known species except for the Mediterranean H. leptocerus, have a long rostrum that is slender or triangular in dorsal view and overreaches the cornea. In $H$. leptocerus there is a very short rostrum "que no alcanza el extremo del globo ocular" (Zariquiey Alvarez 1968). Other features in $H$. edmondsoni similar to those in H. leptocerus are the dactyli of the last three pereiopods and the lack of a tooth on the lower margin of the rostrum. However, H. leptocerus has one to three (usually two) spines on the postrostral region of the carapace in females, but none in males; H. edmondsoni has a single frontal spine in both males and females. In the original description of Virbius leptocerus Heller (1863), the first and third segments of the carpus of the second pereiopod are subequal in length, but the figure shows the distal segment to be longer. In H. edmondsoni, the distal segment is longer than either the proximal or central segments.
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