The genus *Wolffogebia* Sakai, 1982 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea, Upogebiidae) with a new species from Vietnam

Nguyen NGOC-HO

Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Laboratoire de Zoologie (Arthropodes), 61 rue de Buffon, F-75231 Paris cedex 05 (France) nngoc-ho@mnhn.fr

Duong NGOC-DUNG Tran PHI-HUNG

Department of Biology, Laboratory of Zoology, University of Ho-Chi-Minh City (Vietnam)

Ngoc-Ho N., Ngoc-Dung D. & Phi-Hung T. 2001. — The genus *Wolffogebia* Sakai, 1982 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea, Upogebildae) with a new species from Vietnam. *Zoosystema* 23 (1): 101-108.

ABSTRACT

KEY WORDS Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea, Upogebiida, *Wolffogebia*, Indo-Pacific, Vietnam, new species. The genus *Wolffogebia* was established in 1982 by Sakai, and species that have been included in it are discussed. A revised diagnosis is presented. Abundant material from Vietnam belonging to a new species of *Wolffogebia* is described. *Wolffogebia nhatrangensis* n. sp. is compared to its congeners. It differs in having small teeth on the lateral rostral borders, an unarmed distal rostral border and a spinule on the antero-lateral border of the carapace. Also, adult males bear eight to 12 oblique crests on the mesial surface of the pereiopod 1 propodus.

RÉSUMÉ

Le genre Wolffogebia Sakai, 1982 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea, Upogebiidae) avec une espèce nouvelle du Vietnam.

Le genre *Wolffogebia* a été établi par Sakai en 1982 et les espèces qui y ont été incluses sont discutées. Une diagnose révisée est présentée. Un abondant matériel récolté au Vietnam et appartenant à une nouvelle espèce de *Wolffogebia* est décrit. *Wolffogebia nhatrangensis* n. sp. est comparé aux autres espèces du genre. Il diffère par la présence de petites dents aux bords latéraux du rostre alors que le bord distal est inerme, et par la présence d'une spinule au bord antérolatéral de la carapace. D'autre part, les mâles adultes présentent une série de huit à 12 crêtes obliques à la face mésiale du propode des chélipèdes.

MOTS CLÉS

Moris cless Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea, Upogebiidae, Wolffogebia, Indo-Pacifique, Vietnam, espèce nouvelle.

INTRODUCTION

The genus Wolffogebia was established by Sakai (1982: 75) to include four species: Wolffogebia phuketensis Sakai, 1982, W. inermis Sakai, 1982, W. obtifrons Sakai, 1982 and Gebicula exigua Alcock, 1901. In the same work (Sakai 1982: 105, addendum), W. obtifrons was considered as identical to Upogebia giralia Poore & Griffin, 1979 and the latter was assigned to Wolffogebia. Another species, Upogebia kyusyuensis Yokoya, 1933, was later added (Sakai 1987: 305, 306).

The genus was redefined by its author (1993: 109) with three species included: Wolffogebia phuketensis Sakai, 1982, W. inermis Sakai, 1982, W. obtifrons Sakai, 1982.

Ngoc-Ho (1994a: 72, 1994b: 210) discussed the inclusion of Upogebia giralia Poore & Griffin and Gebicula exigua Alcock in Wolffogebia.

In this contribution, the holotype of Wolfogebia obtifrons is re-examined and its synonymy with Upogebia giralia is confirmed. Species that have

to date been included in Wolffogebia are reviewed. A revised diagnosis of the genus based upon examination of new material is presented, and species assigned to the genus are restricted.

Many specimens of upogebiids have recently been collected in Nhatrang, Vietnam, and appear to belong to a new species of Wolffogebia. Description of this species and notes on its ecology are presented.

The new taxon is compared to the two species of Wolffogebia currently placed into this genus, both from the Indo-Pacific.

The measurements given (in mm) in the description are: the carapace length (cl) measured from the tip of the rostrum to the posterior border of the carapace, and the total length (tl) measured from the tip of the rostrum to the posterior border of the telson.

Specimens and appendages figured were stained with a light solution of chlorazol black, sometimes with a drop of lactic acid added.

Abbreviations

MNHN Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris;

NHML Natural History Museum, London; NMV Museum of Victoria, Melbourne;

NHMW Natural History Museum, Wien;

RMNH Riksmuseum of Natural History, Leiden;

SMF Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt; ZMH

Zoologisches Museum, Hamburg.

SYSTEMATICS

Genus Wolffogebia Sakai, 1982

Wolffogebia Sakai, 1982: 75; 1993: 109. - Ngoc-Ho 1994b: 210.

TYPE SPECIES. — Wolffogebia phuketensis Sakai, 1982, by designation.

DIAGNOSIS (adapted from Sakai 1993 and Ngoc-Ho 1994b). — Rostrum rounded or convex distally, ventral border unarmed. Anterior region of carapace with dense setae and a slight, non-setose median carina; no longitudinal median groove on rostrum. Anterolateral border of carapace unarmed or with minute spine. Antennular and antennal peduncles unarmed. Mandible with no anteromesial tooth. Maxilliped 1 with large epipod, maxilliped 3 without epipod, maxillipeds 2 and 3 with exopods lacking articulated flagellum. Arthrobranchs with single row of large lamellae on each side of rachis. Pereiopod 1 subcheliform. Telson slightly broader than long, posterior border nearly straight or slightly concave medially.

SPECIES INCLUDED. — Wolffogebia phuketensis Sakai, 1982; W. inermis Sakai, 1982; W. nhatrangensis n. sp.

Remarks

The holotype of Wolfogebia obtifrons (ZMH K-30878), an ovigerous female from Port Hedland Australia, was compared to specimens of Upogebia giralia from Queensland, Australia (MNHN Th 1249). As Sakai (1982: 105) previously stated, both lots belong to the same species and share the unusual feature of a pleurobranch on the thoracic segment of pereiopod 5. A minor difference occurs in the shape of the rostrum (see Sakai 1982: fig. 17b; Ngoc-Ho 1994a: fig. 10a) which is more rounded distally in the Queensland material.

Similarities and differences between Upogebia giralia and Wolffogebia species have been discussed in detail (Ngoc-Ho 1994a: 73). Upogebia giralia is similar to Wolffogebia species and especially to the type species, W. phuketensis, in certain aspects, i.e. the absence of a median rostral

groove and possession of the unusual combination of characters: unarmed antero-lateral border of carapace with subcheliform pereiopod 1. Nevertheless, it differs in several important features, especially by having maxillipeds 2 and 3 exopods of usual morphology (terminating in an articulated flagellum) and an extra pleurobranch on pereiopod 5. The latter is at present reported in only six upogebiid species and considered of great evolutionary importance.

In this work, Wolffogebia obtifrons Sakai is regarded as a junior synonym of Upogebia giralia Poore & Griffin and set aside from the genus Wolffogebia. It has also been questioned whether Gebicula exigua Alcock, 1901, a deep-sea species, could be placed within Wolffogebia (Ngoc-Ho 1994b: 210). The holotype, a female captured at 485 m depth, is deposited in the Indian Museum and unavailable for examination at present. Nevertheless, the original figure (Alcock 1901: fig. 4), in lateral view, shows the anterolateral border of the carapace bearing at least two spines and depicts several spines on the lower border of the antenna as well as on pereiopods 1, 2 and 3, which is in contradiction to all other Wolffogebia species. It seems unlikely that Gebicula exigua belongs to *Wolffogebia*, but this cannot be known for certain until its holotype is examined.

Most specimens of *Upogebia kyusyuensis* Yokoya, 1933 are missing and the rest difficult to access (Sakai pers. comm.). Still, considering the original description and figures, Sakai (1993) was probably right to exclude it from *Wolffogebia*. It differs especially by having a median longitudinal groove on the anterior half of the carapace and the rostrum and by the telson having a deeply concave posterior border.

Specimens of *W. phuketensis* from Singapore (MNHN Th 1293) and numerous specimens of *W. inermis* collected from Vietnam in late 1993 and in 1995 were examined. As reported by Ngoc-Ho (1994b), specimens of *W. inermis* agree well with the description and figures of the holotype (Sakai 1982: 81) and also with the Australian female examined by Sakai (1993: 109), except for the morphology of maxillipeds (Sakai 1993: fig. 13A-C). Stained appendages confirm

the presence of a large epipod on maxilliped 1 and no articulated flagellum on the exopod of maxillipeds 2 and 3. The small median spine on the posterior border of the telson (see Sakai 1993: fig. 12C) is present in only a few specimens examined.

The new taxon, *Wolffogebia nhatrangensis* n. sp. can be distinguished from known species of *Wolf-fogebia* by having a minute spine on the anterolateral border of the carapace, which is present in all specimens examined. The diagnosis of the genus is amended to accommodate this feature.

Wolffogebia nhatrangensis n. sp.

TYPE MATERIAL. — Holotype, Vietnam, Binh An, Nhatrang, about 20-30 cm in mud, near a shrimp pond, Tran-Phi-Hung & Duong-Ngoc-Dung coll., 24.XI.1999, 1 $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$ cl 10 mm, tl 27.5 mm (MNHN Th 1385). Paratypes, 1 $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$ cl 9.5 mm, tl 26.5 mm (MNHN Th 1386); 2 $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$, 1 ovigerous $\stackrel{\circ}{\varphi}$ cl 7-10 mm, tl 21.5-29 mm (MNHN Th 1387); 17 $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$ cl 7-9 mm, tl 20-25 mm; 15 $\stackrel{\circ}{\varphi}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\varphi}$ (1 ovig.) cl 6.5-7.5 mm, tl 20-23.5 mm (MNHN Th 1388); 2 $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$, 2 $\stackrel{\circ}{\varphi}$ (NHML 2000.1656-1659); 2 $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$, 2 $\stackrel{\circ}{\varphi}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\varphi}$ (NHMU 19457); 2 $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}$, 2 $\stackrel{\circ}{\varphi}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\varphi}$ (SMF 25785).

TYPE LOCALITY. — Nhatrang, Vietnam.

ETYMOLOGY. — The species is named after its type locality.

OTHER MATERIAL EXAMINED. — **Binh An**. Nhatrang, Vietnam, about 20-30 cm in mud, near a shrimp pond, Tran-Phi-Hung & Duong-Ngoc-Dung coll., 24.XI.1999, 23 \Im \Im cl 5-8.5 mm (MNHN Th 1389); 18 \Im cl 6-9 mm; 14 \Im cl 6.5-7 mm (MNHN Th 1390); 6.II.2000, 5 \Im \Im , 1 ovigerous \Im cl 7.5-9 mm, 91 juveniles cl 4-6 mm (MNHN Th 1394).

Mouth of Lô River. Nhatrang, Pham thi Du coll., 7.X.1998, 2 ♂ ♂ cl 6.5 and 7 mm (MNHN Th 1391).

DIAGNOSIS. — Rostrum semicircular distally, with three to four small round tubercles on each lateral border, distal border unarmed; eight to nine very small tubercles on lateral ridges of gastric region. Slight median carina on anterior region of carapace extending distally to almost entire rostrum; anterolateral border of carapace with minute spine. Telson slightly broader than long, posterior border straight. Pereiopod 1 subcheliform: merus with upper subdistal spine; carpus with upper mesial subdistal and lower distal spine; propodus, with upper proximal spine and eight to twelve fine oblique crests on lower anterior half of mesial surface, crests distinct in large males, faint or absent in younger males, absent in females; fixed finger with small proximal round tooth on cutting edge. Dactylus with two to three small round teeth over mesial proximal half in large males. Pereiopods 2 and 3 with lower proximal spine on merus. Exopod of uropods about as long as telson.

Description

Rostrum (Fig. 1A) semicircular distally, about 1.5 time as long as broad at base, with three to four small rounded tubercles on each lateral border, distal border unarmed; lateral ridges of gastric region with eight to nine very small tubercles, the two or three proximal of which hardly visible. Anterior region of carapace with slight broad non-setose median carina extending to nearly tip of rostrum; anterolateral border of carapace (Fig. 1B) with minute spine. Tergites of abdominal segments 3 and 4 (Fig. 2A) bearing dense setae on lateral distal border. Telson (Fig. 1C) approximately 1.2 time as broad as long, lateral borders slightly convex, distal border straight, a faint longitudinal groove medially.

Antennular and antennal peduncles (Fig. 2B, C) unarmed. Maxillipeds 1-3 (Fig. 2D-F) as figured. Arthrobranchs with single row of large lamellae on each side of rachis.

Pereiopod 1 in large males (cl > 7-7.5 mm) (Fig. 1D, E), stouter than in younger males and females. Ischium with spinule on proximal lower border. Merus with upper subdistal spine and sometimes lower proximal spinule. Carpus with large upper mesial subdistal spine and slightly smaller lower distal spine. Propodus about twice as long as broad, with upper proximal spine, and mesially a series of 10 to 12 fine oblique crests on lower anterior half, the longest near fixed finger, decreasing in size both distally and proximally; fixed finger subdistal, about half as long as dactylus, a proximal round tooth on cutting edge. Dactylus with corneous tip and two small triangular teeth near proximal and distal third of cutting edge; mesial surface with two or three round teeth over proximal half.

Pereiopod 1 in younger males (Fig. 3C) with propodus about three times as long as broad. Among the males examined, 14 specimens (cl 5-7.5 mm) bear no crest on mesial surface of propodus; in nine others (cl 6.5-8.5 mm), crests are shorter, fewer (6-8) than in large males and sometimes hardly visible. No round teeth on proximal mesial surface of dactylus.

Pereiopod 1 in females (Fig. 3A, B) slender, propodus about four times as long as broad, narrowing distally, fixed finger small, dactylus approximately five times as long, both unarmed. Pereiopod 2 (Fig. 3D) with lower proximal spine on merus and sometimes upper distal spinule on carpus.

Pereiopod 3 (Fig. 3E) with lower proximal spinule on merus.

Pereiopod 4 unarmed. Pereiopod 5 subcheliform. Two ovigerous females bearing large eggs of 1-1.4 mm in diameter.

Uropod (Fig. 1C) basipod unarmed; exopod triangular, about as long as telson, endopod approximately trapezoidal, both unarmed, posterior border slightly convex.

ECOLOGY

The material examined in this work was obtained on an intertidal sandy mudflat, near a shrimp pond. The first sample, collected by digging randomly on November 24, 1999, included 112 specimens, 72 males, 40 females (2 ovigerous), with a sex-ratio of approximately two males, one female. Most specimens were adults with a carapace length exceeding 6.5 mm.

Another sample was collected on February 6, 2000 at the same mudflat, also by digging from a surface area of 1/16 m², to a depth of 30 cm. Specimens were taken at three levels: near the surface, at 20 cm and at 30 cm depth. In all, 122 individuals were captured, most of which were juveniles, of cl 4-6 mm. Only three males, of cl 7.5-8.5 mm, were obtained near the surface; two males, of cl 8 and 9 mm, and 1 ovigerous female, of cl 9 mm, were found at 30 cm depth. Specimens were most abundant at the intermediate level (20 cm depth), with 61 juveniles but no adults. Water salinity was 18‰ at high tide on the day of sampling.

Specimens of this species can also be collected in smaller number in surrounding areas with a sandy muddy substrate. They are used as fishing bait.




Fig. 1. — Wolffogebia nhatrangensis n. sp.; A, B-E, holotype, δ tl 27.5 mm (MNHN Th 1385); A, B, anterior part of carapace in lateral and dorsal view respectively; C, paratype, δ tl 29 mm (MNHN Th 1387) telson and uropods; D, pereiopod 1 in lateral view; E, distal part of pereiopod 1 in mesial view. Scale bar: 1 mm.

ZOOSYSTEMA • 2001 • 23 (1)

105




Fig. 2. — Wolffogebia nhatrangensis n. sp.; **A**, paratype, ovig. ♀ tl 24 mm (MNHN Th 1387), lateral view; **B-F**, paratype, ♂ tl 26.5 mm (MNHN Th 1386); **B**, **C**, antennule and antenna; **D**, **E**, **F**, maxillipeds 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Scale bars: A-C, F, 1 mm; D, E, 0.5 mm.




Fig. 3. – Wolffogebia nhatrangensis n. sp.; **A**, paratype, ovig. \mathcal{Q} tl 24 mm, pereiopod 1 in lateral view; **B**, paratype, ovig. \mathcal{Q} tl 24 mm, distal part of pereiopod 1 in mesial view; **C**, paratype, \mathcal{S} tl 21.5 mm, distal part of pereiopod 1 in mesial view; **D**, **E**, paratype, \mathcal{S} tl 29 mm (MNHN Th 1387) pereiopod 2 and 3 respectively. Scale bars: 1 mm.

ZOOSYSTEMA • 2001 • 23 (1)

107

TABLE I. - Distinguishing characters between Wolffogebia phuketensis Sakai, W. inermis Sakai and W. nhatrangensis n. sp.

| Characters | W. phuketensis | W. inermis | W. nhatrangensis |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Rostrum | about as long as eyes | overreaching eyes | overreaching eyes |
| lateral border | with round teeth | unarmed | with small teeth |
| distal border | with round teeth | unarmed | unarmed |
| Lateral ridges of gastric region | with round teeth | unarmed | with small teeth or denticles |
| Antero-lat. border of carapace | unarmed | unarmed | with spinule |
| Pereopod 1 | | | |
| meral lower spine | absent | 1, proximal | absent |
| carpal mesial distal spines | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| propodus upper border | unarmed | 1 subdistal spine | 1 proximal spine |
| propodus mesial surface | unarmed | unarmed | oblique crests in males |
| Pereiopod 2 | | | |
| meral upper subdist. spine | small | large | absent |
| carpal upper subdist. spine | absent | large | absent |
| Exopod of uropod | as long as telson | longer than telson | as long as telson |

DISCUSSION

The three known species of *Wolffogebia* are of small to medium size (average tl 25-35 mm). They all live in mangrove or nearby areas and in mud. Among them, *W. phuketensis* is particular in that it burrows frequently into the sides of the mud mound excavated by the mud lobster *Thalassina anomala* (Ng & Kang 1988).

Besides characteristics listed in the diagnosis, the three *Wolffogebia* species share setae on the lateral distal border of abdominal segments 3 and 4 tergites (Fig. 2A). This is unusual among the Upogebiidae; these setae are denser in the new taxon than in other species. Otherwise, the three exhibit distinct specific features, and the examination of the rostrum alone, for instance, would be sufficient to separate them. Their main distinguishing characters are listed in Table I.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the Institute of Oceanography, Nhatrang and Mrs Pham-thi-Du for the facilities and help provided during our stay. We also thank Gary Poore, Museum of Victoria and Peter Dworschak, Natural History Museum Wien, for critically reading the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- ALCOCK A. 1901. A Descriptive Catalogue of the Indian Deep-Sea Decapoda Macrura and Anomala in the Indian Museum. Being a Revised Account of the Deep-Sea Species collected by the Royal Indian Marine Survey Ship Investigator. [s.n.], Calcutta, IV + 286 p., 3 pls.
- NG P. K. L. & KANG N. 1988. *Thalassina*: the Mud Lobster. *Nature Malaysiana* 13 (4): 28-31.
- NGOC-HO N. 1994a. Some Callianassidae and Upogebiidae from Australia with description of four new species (Crustacea Decapoda, Thalassinidea). *Memoirs of the Museum of Victoria* 54: 51-78, figs 1-12.
- NGOC-HO N. 1994b. Notes on some Indo-Pacific species of Upogebiidae with descriptions of four new species (Crustacea: Thalassinidea). *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 35 (1): 193-216, figs 1-12.
- SAKAI K. 1982. Revision of Upogebiidae (Decapoda, Thalassinidea) in the Indo-West Pacific Region. *Researches on Crustacea*, Tokyo, special number 1: 1-106, figs 1-20, pls A-G.
- SAKAI K. 1987. Two new Thalassinidea (Crustacea: Decapoda) from Japan, with biogeographical distribution of the Japanese Thalassinidea. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 41 (2): 296-308, figs 1-3.
- SAKAI K. 1993. On a collection of Upogebiidae (Crustacea, Thalassinidea) from the Northern Territory Museum, Australia, with the description of two new species. *The Beagle* 10 (1): 87-114, figs 1-14.

Submitted on 3 April 2000; accepted on 4 July 2000.