# OCCASIONAL PAPERS OF THE MUSEUM OF ZOOLOGY

## UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN PRESS

# HENDERSONIA OCCULTA (SAY) IN MICHIGAN; ITS DISTRIBUTION, ECOLOGY, AND GEOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE

### By Henry van der Schalie

DURING the course of a recent expedition<sup>1</sup> into the Upper Peninsula of Michigan for the purpose of collecting land shells, my wife and I were much surprised to find a colony of *Hendersonia occulta* (Say) on the banks of the Bark River, about five and one-half miles southeast of the town of Bark River, Delta County, Michigan. An examination of the previous distribution records reveals not only that this colony provides the first record of occurrence for this species in Michigan, but also that the Bark River station is the most northern locality from which it has ever been taken. This station is located well above forty-five degrees north latitude, whereas the nearest records of Holzinger, who collected *occulta* at Stockton and Winona, Minnesota, and Marston, who found it at Depere on the Fox River in Wisconsin, are below this line of latitude.

The distribution of H. occulta in the Bark River region proved to be decidedly sporadic. Though several stations were established within a radius of several miles around Bark River, not a single specimen of occulta was found. This observation

<sup>1</sup> The expedition was made possible by a grant from the Horace H. Rackham School of Graduate Studies.

is in agreement with the accounts of others who have commented on the extremely local distribution of the species. In order to provide a better picture of the distribution of H. occulta a small outline map has been prepared (Map 1). From the map we may note particularly (1) the unusual width of the range, (2) the wide separation of the colonies, and (3) the close association of each colony with some stream or lake. The latter observation has not been stressed in the past, and it is my belief that this omission has been unfortunate in view of the important role that H. occulta plays in the interpretation of Pleistocene geology. This matter will be discussed more fully later in the article.

The late Professor Shimek defined the ecology of H. occulta as follows (1904: 176):

Invariably the specimens were found in rough, well-wooded territory, in loose leaf-mould, and under and among the fallen leaves, etc., which usually carpet the surface between the smaller plants which are characteristic of such shaded hillsides. In some of these localities the surface was also strewn with scattered fragments of limestone. The shells were invariably found on high grounds which were not subject to overflow.

No mention is made here of the fact that *H. occulta* usually inhabits an area in close proximity to a stream or other body of water. Furthermore, the statement is definitely made that this species is invariably found on high ground not subject to overflow. This, as will be indicated, was not true for the colony under consideration in this paper.

More recently Morrison (1929) reported H. occulta in southwestern Wisconsin where it was found along the banks of the Kickapoo River. According to the data given for the stations in his article it is clearly indicated that occulta was found on wooded slopes in the immediate vicinity of the stream. However, in the zone described as Station VI where there were smaller (p. 44) "ravines with no permanent streams in them," H. occulta was strikingly absent. Morrison mentioned the ecological preference of occulta but failed to notice that in its habitat it requires the proximity of the stream. Furthermore, his conclusion that occulta "is restricted to the region above the high-water mark of the floodplains'' is not substantiated in the present report.

In the Bark River colony, specimens of Hendersonia occulta were found for the most part on the undersides of pieces of the smoother hardwood twigs and logs, which were abundantly scattered over the grass- and fern-covered alluvial soil of the area. The habitat was only partially cleared, and it was obviously used as a pasture. The vegetation consisted of a mixed stand of elm (Ulmus americanus), beech (Fagus grandifolia), and aspens, and there were numerous patches of ostrich fern (Onoclea Struthiopteris), a plant common on alluvial H. occulta was found inhabiting both sides of the soils. stream. The north bank proved to be rather marshy. Though no specimens of occulta were found in the marsh, they were taken from beneath twigs on the only slightly raised drier ground adjoining the marsh. That the habitat is subject to overflow during periods of high water was clearly evident from the masses of debris which were found deposited by the

### TABLE I

# SPECIES COLLECTED WITH H. OCCULTA AT THE BARK RIVER STATION

SI FOIED	NOWDER OF	SPECIME.	ИS
Polygyra albolabris maritima Pilsbry		4	
Polygyra fraterna (Say)		2	
Polygyra profunda (Say)		9	
Strobilops labyrinthica virgo (Pilsbry)		7	
Gastrocopta contracta (Say)		1	
Vertigo ventricosa elatior Sterki		4	
Cochlicopa lubrica (Müller)		1	
Retinella binneyana (Morse)		6	
Euconulus chersinus polygyratus Pilsbry		2	
Zonitoides arboreus (Say)		30	
Agriolimax campestris (Say)		3	
Anguispira alternata (Say)		20	
Gonyodiscus cronkhitei catskillensis (Pilsbry)		17	
Helicodiscus parallelus (Say)		4	
Columella edentula (Draparnaud)		1	
Succinea avara Say		2	
Succinea retusa Lea		2	
Campeloma decisum (Say)	. (drif	t) 1	۱

### TABLE II

# RECENT SPECIMENS OF HENDERSONIA OCCULTA REPORTED

	LOCATION	COLLECTOR	YEAR
1.	Sheboygan, Wisconsin (Lake Michigan)	I. A. Lapham	1859
2.	Lexington, Virginia (James River drainage)	Prof. McDonald	1868
3.	Whitefish Bay, north of Milwaukee, Wisconsin	E. R. Leland	1869
4.	Iowa City, Iowa (Iowa River drainage)	R. E. Call	1882
5.	6 miles north of Iowa City, Iowa (Iowa River drainage)	Pilsbry and Shimek	1880
6.	Eldora, Hardin Co., Iowa (Iowa River drainage)	E. H. King	1878
7.	South Pittsburg, Tennessee (Tennessee River)	R. E. Call	1887
8.	Stockton and Winona, Minnesota (Mississippi River)	J. M. Holzinger	1886
9.	2 miles south of Depere, Wisconsin (Fox River);	G. T. Marston	1886
	also small creek, 3 miles east of Depere, Wisconsin	G. T. Marston	1886
10.	Wildwood and Cayuga, Pennsylvania (Allegheny River)	S. H. Stupekoff	1892
11.	Athens, Illinois (Sangamon River drainage)	H. A. Pilsbry	1897
12.	Decorah, Iowa (Iowa River)	T. E. Savage	1899
13.	Rowan Creek, Cade's Cover, Tennessee	J. H. Ferriss	1900
14.	Pine Hollow Creek, DuBuque Co., Iowa	B. Shimek	1901
15.	Little Turkey Creek, Clayton Co., Iowa	B. Shimek	1901
16.	Kendallville, Winneshiek Co., Iowa	B. Shimek	1901
17.	Plymouth Rock, Winneshiek Co., Iowa (same as 12)	B. Shimek	1901
18.	Decorah, Winneshiek Co., Iowa (same as 12)	B. Shimek	1901
19.	Fort Atkinson, Winneshiek Co., Iowa	B. Shimek	1901
20.	Whitefish Bay, Wisconsin (same as No. 3)	G. H. Chadwick	1902
21.	Kansas River, at Lawrence, Kansas	G. D. Hanna	1908
22.	Floodplain Kickapoo River, near Trout Creek, Wisconsin	J. P. E. Morrison	1928
23.	Wooded banks Red Cedar River, Vinton, Iowa	D. T. Jones	1930
24.	Fort Madison, Lee Co., Iowa (Mississippi River)	T. Van Hyning	
25.	Mount Mitchell, Yancey Co., North Carolina (Nolichucky drainage)	B. Walker	1901
26.	Pigeon Roost, Roan Mountain, Mitchell Co., North Carolina	A. G. Wetherby	
27.	St. Paul, Russell Co., Virginia	C. Goodrich	
28.	Harriman, Roane Co., Tennessee (Emory River)	H. A. Pilsbry	
29.	New Harmony, Posey Co., Indiana (wabash River)	L. E. Daniels	1004
30.	Vincennes, Knox Co., Indiana (wabash River)	L. E. Daniels	1904
٥ <u>۲</u> .	Mount Vernon, Posey Co., Indiana (Onio River)	L. E. Dameis	1904
- <u>5</u> 2.	Notural Duidge Deckhuidge Co. Virginie (James Diver ducinoge)	H. and A. van der Schalle	1938
55. 94	Noan Bood Grook 19 miles southwest of Dulashi Wrthe Go. Vincinia	WI.U.Z.	
ə4.	(Now Divor draina go)	MOZ	
25	(INCW INVEL UTAILLAGE)	M 0 7	
59.	4 miles east of Diackwater, Scott Co., virginia (Onneh River drainage)	м	

Henry van der Schalie

÷



MAP 1. Distribution of colonies of Hendersonia occulta.

stream along its higher banks well above the region from which *occulta* was taken. Though a few specimens were found beneath twigs and logs in the grassy region close to the wooded zone, it was evident that this species showed a decided preference for the more heavily wooded portions of the habitat (Pl. I).

Several species in Table I help to establish Hendersonia occulta as a form occupying a habitat found not far from streams or lakes. Chief among these species are Vertigo ventricosa elatior, Columella edentula, and Cochlicopa lubrica. The examination of data for the sixty-five stations established in the course of the land shell collecting in Gogebic and Delta counties proved that these three snails were found largely associated with such conditions. The presence of Succinea retusa and of the drift specimen of Campeloma decisum also clearly indicates that occulta occurs near an aquatic environment. Furthermore, several of the above species were credited by Shimek as common on timbered alluvial flats but uncommon in loess deposits (1913: 505):

It is also noticeable that such species as Zonitoides nitidus and Succinea retusa, of low grounds, and Helicodiscus parallelus, Vitrea hammonis, Bifidaria armifera, B. contracta, B. pentodon, Zonitoides arboreus, and Z. minusculus, which are common on timbered alluvial flats and which occur so frequently in alluvium and modern river drift, are relatively rare in terrestrial deposits like loesses.

Shimek was aware that Helicina occulta and Pomatiopsis lapidaria had been classed by Call as belonging to the aquatic assemblages, for he stated (1931: 40) that "they have the operculum of our aquatic prosobranchs"; nevertheless he insisted that these species were not associated with aquatic conditions. I do not wish to raise the much-debated question as to whether or not *P. lapidaria* is an aquatic or a land shell. That problem is one to be settled by experimentation. I maintain, however, that the habitat of *lapidaria* is usually not far from water. *H. occulta* is ecologically similar to *lapidaria*, though it evidently inhabits a somewhat higher position above the water line. Unfortunately, life-history studies have not been carried out for either of these unusual operculate snails,

### Hendersonia occulta in Michigan

although the evidence at hand would indicate that the proximity of a body of water may prove essential in events involving the propagation of both species.

Hendersonia occulta is unique in both its distribution and ecology. Though most of the species of the Helicina group are tropical or subtropical in distribution, occulta ranges far north in the temperate zone (Map 1). Before the ecology and distribution of *occulta* were known, its presence in the loess was considered an indication that the climate during the time of loess deposition was warmer than at present. A better understanding of the distribution and ecology of the species gives no indication that the climate then was much different from that of the present. Moreover, H. occulta differs from most of the New World species of the *Helicina* group in the restriction of its distribution ecologically to the proximity of streams In 1935 I collected five species of this group in and lakes. Guatemala, and none of them followed the drainage patterns in its ecology and distribution; nor does the only other member of this group in the United States, Oligyra orbiculata (Say), follow the drainages in its distribution.

Since *H. occulta* has been considered a key fossil by Shimek and other Pleistocene geologists in their evaluation of conditions during the time of loess deposition, the factors of its ecology and distribution are significant geologically. Shimek, in this connection, stated (1904: 180):

It does not therefore follow that all loess was deposited in woods, but the habits of H. occulta, and other species of like habits, which are associated with it in many loess deposits, indicate that such deposits were formed under forest conditions. Since many of these deposits are found in what is now open prairie, it follows that groves such as dot the eastern part of Iowa were formerly more generally distributed over the loessbearing area.

This statement is based on an incomplete knowledge of the ecology of H. occulta and consequently is but partially true. The prairie areas where occulta is now found in loess deposits must not only have been forested, but they must also have been dissected by a stream or other body of water. I am of the

opinion that when this second condition is taken into consideration a far more accurate picture will be obtained of the conditions which must have existed at the time of loess deposition. The aeolian origin of the loess is not questioned here, but the fact is emphasized that where H. occulta is found the deposit must have formed near the banks of some river or lake.

### SUMMARY -

1. Hendersonia occulta is reported for the first time in Michigan. 2. The Bark River colony is the most northern hitherto reported. 3. H. occulta is confined to stream courses and lakes in its ecology and distribution. This distributional restriction may be connected with factors in its life history. 4. The present ecology and distribution of occulta are significant in the reconstruction of conditions during the time of loess deposition.

#### REFERENCES

BAKER, H. B.

1922 Notes on the Radula of the Helicinidae. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 74: 29-67.

MORRISON, J. P. E.

1929 On the Occurrence of *Hendersonia* in Crawford County, Wisconsin. Nautilus, 43: 41-45.

#### SHIMEK, B.

1904 Helicina occulta Say. Proc. Davenport Acad. Sci., 9: 173-80.

1906 Additional Note on Helicina occulta. Journ. Geol., 13: 232-37.

- 1913 The Significance of Pleistocene Mollusks. Science (n.s.), 37: 501-9.
- 1931 Ecological Conditions During Loess-Deposition. Univ. Iowa Studies, 14: 38-54.

#### PLATE I

The habitat of *Hendersonia* (*Helicina*) occulta on the south bank of Bark River.

