Impacts of Drug-Use Patterns and Dental Care Access on Caries Severity amongst Patients with Methamphetamine-Use Disorder

RONNI BROWN DDS, MPH

Ronni@DrRonniBrown.com

Presentation Overview

- Background
- Methamphetamine
- Methamphetamine Use Data
- Pharmacologic Effects of Methamphetamine
- Impact of Drug Use Patterns and Dental Care Access on Caries Severity
- Dentistry's Response to the Methamphetamine Epidemic
 - Public Health Professional to the Community
 - Dental Professional to the Patient

1997...





Observations:





Methamphetamine

Methamphetamine

- Central Nervous System Stimulant
- Chemical Composition





Ammonia, Red Phosphorous, Lithium, Pseudoephedrine, Phenyl-2-propanone (P2P)

Methamphetamine

• "High" described as:



Methamphetamine Use Data

GLOBAL NATIONAL IMPACTS

Global Use

34 million people worldwide



#2 illicit drug worldwide

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. World drug report

2019. <u>https://wdr.unodc.org/wdr2019/</u>. Updated 2019-06-26. Accessed 2020-04-30.

Stimulant Use in Past Year, 2007-2018



Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Treatment of Stimulant Use Disorders. (2020). Retrieved from Treatment of Stimulant Use Disorders (samhsa.gov). Accessed 2021-03-12.

National Use

TABLE 1. Methamphetamine use among adults aged ≥18 years by demographic, substance use, and mental health characteristics — United States, 2015–2018

| | Past-year methamphetamine use | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Characteristic | Annual average no. of adults aged ≥18 years (weighted) | Annual average rate per 1,000 adults aged ≥18 years (95% Cl) 59.7 (58.1–61.4) | |
| Overall lifetime use | 14,686,900 | | |
| Overall past-year use | 1,626,200 | 6.6 (6.1–7.1) | |
| Past-year use by demographic characteristic | | | |
| Sex | | | |
| Women | 598,300 | 4.7 (4.2-5.2) | |
| Men | 1,027,900 | 8.7 (7.9–9.5) | |
| Age group (yrs) | | | |
| 18-25 | 320,000 | 9.3 (8.3-10.4) | |
| 26–34 | 431,200 | 11.0 (9.7–12.5) | |
| 35–49 | 507,900 | 8.3 (7.3–9.5) | |
| ≥50 | 367,100 | 3.2 (2.8–3.9) | |
| Race/Ethnicity | | | |
| White, non-Hispanic | 1,180,200 | 7.5 (6.9–8.2) | |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 72,000 | 2.5 (1.8-3.4) | |
| Other, non-Hispanic | 113,000 | 5.6 (4.4-7.2) | |
| Hispanic | 260,900 | 6.7 (5.5–8.1) | |
| Education level | | | |
| Less than high school diploma | 394,600 | 12.4 (10.8–14.3) | |
| High school graduate | 563,300 | 9.2 (8.1–10.4) | |
| Some college or associate's degree | 527,300 | 6.9 (6.1-7.9) | |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 141,000 | 1.8 (1.3–2.5) | |
| Annual household income | | | |
| <\$20,000 | 640,700 | 15.6 (13.8–17.7) | |
| \$20,000-49,999 | 552,000 | 7.6 (6.6-8.6) | |
| \$50,000-74,999 | 169,100 | 4.3 (3.4–5.5) | |
| ≥\$75,000 | 264,300 | 2.9 (2.4–3.4) | |

2018: 1.6 Million Americans aged 18 years-older reported past year use of MA

Source: National Surveys on Drug Use and Health, 2015–2018, using 2010 U.S. Census based population estimates.

Stimulant Use in Past Year, 2018, Within Specific Races



Misuse rates highest amongst American Indian Alaska Native (AI/AN)

Source: National Surveys on Drug Use and Health, 2015–2018, using 2010 U.S. Census based population estimates.

Stimulant Use in Past Year, 2018, Within Age Groups



Age group with highest MA misuse 26-49 years

Source: National Surveys on Drug Use and Health, 2015–2018, using 2010 U.S. Census based population estimates.

2019...2.0 Million used Meth

Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results from the 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health

September 2020 | **15**

Figure 10. Past Year Illicit Drug Use among People Aged 12 or Older: 2019



Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results from the 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health

Impact of MA Use

Short Term:

- Increased HR
- Hyperthermia
- Anxiety
- Hypersexuality
- Sepsis

Dermatologic

 Infection and injury from Picking at "meth bugs" Chemical burn Injection injury Fire

Dental

- Darkened teeth
- * Carles
- Broken teeth
 Periodontal disease
- Cardiac
- Hypertension
- Tachycardia
- Dilated cardiomyopathy
 Dirated balls
- Dysrhythmia
 Myocardial infarction
- * Aneurysm
- * Aortic dissection
- Acute coronary syndrome
- Infectious
- HIV risk
 Magazitik
- Hepatitis B and C risk
- Sexually transmitted diseases
 Depressed immune function
 - Pulmonary
 - Acute pulmonary edema
 - Pulmonary hypertension
 - Inhalation injury
 Dyspnea
 - Tachypnea

Psychiatric

- Depression
- Violence
- * Impulsivity
- Obsessive behavior
 Compulsive behavior
- * Irritability
- Marsia
- Anxiety
- Paranola
 Hallucinations
- Auditory Visual

Formication ("meth bugs")

Metabolic

- Increased creatinine kinase
- Hyperthermia
- * Metabolic acidosis

Renal

- Rhabdomyolysis
- Acute renal failure
- Dehydration
- Vasoconstriction

Neurologic

- · Stroks
- Hyperreflexia
- Selzure

Long Term:

CV Disease

 Traumatic Lung Injury

Psychosis

Dental Caries

Methamphetamine Abuse: A Perfect Storm of Complications.

Lineberry TW, Bostwick JM. Concise Review for Clinicians. 2006. 81(1): 77-84. DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.4065/81.1.77</u>

MA Related Overdose Deaths, 2018

NUMBER OF OVERDOSE DEATHS, 2018



Multiple Cause of Death 1999-2017 on CDC WONDER Online Database. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/data/statedeaths.html</u>. Updated March 2020. Accessed 2020-09-23.

MA Related Overdose Deaths, Regional Differences, 2019

As of 2019, methamphetamine has surpassed opioids as the leading cause of overdose deaths in many western U.S. states.



SOURCE: NCHS National Vital Statistics System. Mortality files linked with death certificate literal text

Figure 5. Age-adjusted rate of drug overdose deaths involving methamphetamine, by region: 2017

Region 8— CO, MT, ND, SD, UT, and WY

Region 9 — AZ, CA, HI, and NV

Region 10 — AK, ID, OR, and WA

Regional differences in the drugs most frequently involved in drug overdose deaths: United States, 2017. Hedegaard, H. Bastian, BA, Trinidad JP, Spencer M, Warner, M. (2019). National Vital Statistics Report, 68(12), 1-15.

Economic Cost of Meth Use

What Factors Contribute to the Cost of Meth Use?

The table documents the key meth-use cost contributors, providing a best estimate (shaded cells) and upper and lower bounds to account for the uncertainties. As shown, the best estimate of meth-use cost in 2005 is about \$23.4 billion, with the true economic burden somewhere in the range of \$16.2 billion to \$48.3 billion.

| | Cost of Meth Use in the United States in 2005 (millions of dollars) | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----------|--------|-------------|
| Cost Contributors | Lower Bound | Best Est | timate | Upper Bound |
| | n | n | % | n |
| Intangibles/premature death | 12,514 | 16,625 | 71 | 28,549 |
| Crime and criminal justice | 2,578 | 4,210 | 18 | 15,741 |
| Child endangerment | 312 | 905 | 4 | 1,166 |
| Lost productivity | 379 | 687 | 3 | 1,055 |
| Drug treatment | 299 | 546 | 2 | 1,071 |
| Health care | 116 | 351 | 2 | 611 |
| Meth production/hazards | 39 | 61 | < 1 | 89 |
| Total | 16,237 | 23,384 | 100 | 48,281 |

NOTE: Because of rounding, numbers may not sum precisely.

The Economic Cost of Methamphetamine Use in the United States, 2005. Nicosia, Nancy,

Rosalie Liccardo Pacula, Beau Kilmer, Russell Lundberg, and James Chiesa.

Pharmacology

The Pharmacologic Effect



"Meth **increases extracellular dopamine levels** by competing with dopamine uptake and increasing reverse transport of dopamine via the transporter"

Methamphetamine Regulation of Firing Activity of Dopamine Neurons. Lin M, Sambo D, Khoshbouei H. J Neurosci 2016 Oct 5;36(40):10376-10391.

The Dopamine Effect

Positive Effects:

- Pleasure
- Self-Esteem
- Sociability



Negative Effects:

- Bruxism
- Sugar consumption
- Hyposalivation

Amphetamine-sensitized rats show sugar-induced hyperactivity (cross-sensitization) and sugar hyperphagia. Avena NM, Hoebel BG. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2003 Feb;74(3):635-9. doi: 10.1016/s0091-3057(02)01050-x. PMID: 12543229.

Pharmacologic-Oral Link

"Total quantity and **buffer capacity** of saliva **significantly less** in MA group than control group"



"Salivary pH decreased by o.6 units after MDMA administration"

Sympathomimetic Effects of Chronic Methamphetamine Abuse on Oral Health: A Cross-Sectional Study.

Rommel N, Rohleder NH, Koerdt S, et al. BMC Oral Health. 2016;16(1):59. Published 2016 May 26. doi:10.1186/s12903-016-0218-8

Usefulness of saliva for measurement of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine and its metabolites: correlation with plasma drug concentrations and effect of salivary pH. Navarro M, Pichini S, Farré M, Ortuño J, Roset PN, Segura J, de la Torre R.. Clin Chem. 2001 Oct;47(10):1788-95. PMID: 11568088.

Research

Observations





Varying degrees of <u>caries severity</u> Varying <u>drug-use patterns</u> Varying <u>access to dental care</u>

Research Question:

What impact does drug-use patterns have on caries severity in patients using MA?



Research Question:

What impact does access to dental care have on caries severity in patients using MA?

Caries Severity?

Research Methodology

• Recruitment:

- 99 inmate/dental patients
- Meth-use status by self-report (59 users/40 non-users)
- Excluded inmate/patients reporting heroin abuse

Data Collection:

- Questionnaire (demographic, drug-use patterns, dental access)
- Clinical exam of caries experience/severity (DMFT)

Research Measures

Caries Severity ----- DMFT Scores

Dental Care Access ------ Insurance status

Research Methodology

- Statistical Analysis:
 - -X² descriptive statistics
 - —Independent t-tests
 - -Multiple linear regression

Sample Characteristics

- 79.8% -Males
- 56.6% -Caucasian
- 32.7 years- Age

- 38.4% -12 years of education
- 61.6% Employed
- 59.2% No dental insurance

No significant difference between users and nonusers

Caries Experience



Users had higher mean DMFT score than non-users; [P=0.02]

Drug-Use Pattern-Duration



Duration of use was a significant drug –use pattern for than those with use less than one year; [P=0.05]

Drug-Use Pattern-Frequency



Frequency of use was not a significant drug-use pattern for DMFT scores

Drug-Use Pattern-Dosage



Dosage was not a significant drug-use pattern for DMFT scores

Drug-Use Pattern-With EtOH



Alcohol co-abuse was not a significant drug-use pattern for DMFT scores, but significant for missing teeth.

Drug-Use Pattern-Route



Oral (smoking) was not a significant drug-use pattern for DMFT scores

Dental Care Access



Insurance status, measure of dental care access, was not significant for DMFT scores, but significant for filled teeth.
Discrepancy...

- Clinical Findings
 - —Smoking (oral exposure) increased caries severity?

- Research Findings
 - —Oral exposure not significant drug-use pattern for increased caries severity?





Linear Regression Analysis

- Built models $y_i = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_{i_1} + \theta_2 x_{i_2} + \dots + \theta_p x_{i_p} + \epsilon$
- DV= DMFT (caries severity)
- Potential predictor IV's= Route of use (oral, non-oral), Age, Duration
- Predictor variables: Oral Route [P < 0.001] and age [P < 0.001]
- Excluded: Non-oral Route and duration as predictor variables [P = 0.70]

Conclusions

• Drug-use patterns impact caries severity:

- Duration of use
- -Oral route of administration

> 10 years

Smoking

Questions

Implications

• How do we respond to the:

- Increased use of MA in this country?
- Effects of MA in the oral cavity?
- Impact of MA drug-use patterns on caries severity?



Population Implications:

Department of Health and Human Services





10 year nationwide objectives (355)

To improve health/well-being of Americans



Leading Health Indicators: Small subset of high-priority HP2030 objectives that address major causes of death and disease in the United States.

Assist in prioritization of resources at national, state, local levels to improve the health and well-being of all people

Healthy People 2030-LHI

LHI- SU-o3 Reduce drug overdose deaths.

HP2030 Baseline 2018:
20.7 drug overdose deaths per 100,000 population

 HP2030 Target:
 20.7 drug overdose deaths per 100,000 population (maintain baseline)



- Economic stability
- Education access and quality
- Health care access and quality
- **Neighborhood** and built environment
- **Social** and community context

Social Determinants

Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) Preventing early trauma to improve adult health.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) | VitalSigns | CDC. Updated 2019-11-05. Accessed 2021-01-28



61% of adults:1 or more ACEs

16% of adults:4 or more ACEs

Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs)

Social Determinants

Each ACE increases likelihood of early initiation illicit drug use by 2-4 fold by a child.

Childhood abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction and the risk of illicit drug use: the adverse childhood experiences study. Dube SR, Felitti VJ, Dong M, Chapman DP, Giles WH, Anda RF. Pediatrics. 2003 Mar;111(3):564-72. doi: 10.1542/peds.111.3.564. PMID: 12612237



Objective SODH-5 reduce proportion of children with a parent or guardian who has served time in jail

• HP2030 Baseline 2016-2017:

7.7% of children aged 17 years and under who experienced a parent or guardian serving time in jail

• HP2030 Target:

5.2% of children aged 17 years and under who experienced a parent or guardian serving time in jail (**32% improvement**)

Objective SU-15 reduce proportion of people who had drug use disorder in the past year

• HP2030 Baseline 2018:

3.0% of persons aged 12 years and over had illicit drug use disorder

• HP2030 Target:

2.7% of persons aged 12 years and over who have an illicit drug use disorder (**minimal statistical significance**)

Objective OH-3 reduce proportion of adults with untreated dental decay (OH 3.1-aged 35-44)

• HP2030 Baseline 1999-2004:

27.8% of persons aged 35-44 had untreated decay in at least one permanent tooth

• HP2030 Target:

25% of persons aged 35-44 with untreated decay in at least one permanent tooth (10% improvement)

Surgeon General's Report on Oral Health, 2020

- Identifies addiction as one of the top priorities
- Section 5: Substance Use Disorders, the Opioid Epidemic, High-Risk Behaviors, and Mental Health



New Surgeon General's Report on Oral Health | National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (nih.gov). Accessed 03/05/2021.

Clinical Implications-Professional Response

Professional Training

Develop a dental workforce competent in addressing MA-use disorders



Substance use and dependence education in predoctoral dental curricula: results of a survey of U.S. and Canadian dental schools. Huggett KN, Westerman GH, Barone EJ, Lofgreen AS. J Dent Educ. 2011 Aug;75(8):1003-9. PMID: 21828293; PMCID: PMC3348623.

Professional Training

In 2006, the American Dental Education Association (ADEA) recommended that, by graduation, dental students be able to demonstrate knowledge of the clinical presentation ... of substance abuse, including "alcohol, tobacco, drugs, and related oral conditions, e.g., 'meth mouth'.



Substance use and dependence education in predoctoral dental curricula: results of a survey of U.S. and Canadian dental schools. Huggett KN, Westerman GH, Barone EJ, Lofgreen AS. J Dent Educ. 2011 Aug;75(8):1003-9. PMID: 21828293; PMCID: PMC3348623.



% of Schools Reporting Curriculum Coverage

Substance use and dependence education in predoctoral dental curricula: results of a survey of U.S. and Canadian dental schools. Huggett KN, Westerman GH, Barone EJ, Lofgreen AS. J Dent Educ. 2011 Aug;75(8):1003-9. PMID: 21828293; PMCID: PMC3348623.

Table 4

Amount of time and instructional methods used for other substance use and dependence (e.g., methamphetamine, marijuana, cocaine, inhalants) education in U.S. and Canadian dental schools across four years of the curriculum, as reported by survey respondents

| | | First Year | Second Year | Third Year | Fourth Year |
|--|---------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Number of Schools Addressing These Topics, by Year | | 22 | 18 | 23 | 16 |
| Amount of Time, in Contact Hours | Mean | 2.03 | 3.08 | 2.56 | 2.45 |
| | Median | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | SD | 2.58 | 3.75 | 3.99 | 1.3 |
| | Minimum | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Maximum | 10 | 12 | 18 | 4 |
| Instructional Methods | | | | | |
| Lecture | n | 18 | 15 | 17 | 10 |
| | % | 82% | 83% | 74% | 63% |
| Small group | n | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| | % | 23% | 11% | 13% | 19% |
| Instruction in school-based clinic | n | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| | % | 9% | 0 | 17% | 19% |
| Community-based extramural setting | n | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | % | 5% | 11% | 9% | 13% |
| Independent study, not online | n | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

More schools reported lecture instruction than other methods including small-group instruction, instruction in school-based clinic, and community-based extramural settings.

Total mean contact hours; 4.33, Year 1, Alcohol 3.11, Year 1, Tobacco 1.38, Year 1, Rx Drugs 2.03, Year 1, Other Drugs

Substance use and dependence education in predoctoral dental curricula: results of a survey of U.S. and Canadian dental schools. Huggett KN, Westerman GH, Barone EJ, Lofgreen AS. J Dent Educ. 2011 Aug;75(8):1003-9. PMID: 21828293; PMCID: PMC3348623.

Clinical Implications-Professional Response

<u>D</u>ETECT, <u>D</u>ISCUSS, <u>S</u>ELECT TREATMENT

<u>Detect MA disorders</u>

Health History Form: "Do you have a history of drug use"?

> If so, what type: □ Alcohol □ Marijuana □ Methamphetamine □ Cocaine □ Heroin



<u>D</u>etect MA disorders

Duration of use: "How long have you used meth?"

Route of use: "Do you primarily smoke, snort or inject?"

Clinical Implications

Motivational Interviewing

Counseling approach developed by clinical psychologists William R Miller, PhD, and Stephen Rollnick, PhD.



Evolved from experience in the treatment of problem drinkers

THERAPIST'S BEHAVIORS

CLIENT'S BEHAVIORS

- Genuineness
- Warmth
- Empathy

Change in problematic behavior

Judgmental

Confrontational

Failure to change problematic behavior

Foxcroft DR, Coombes L, Wood S, Allen D, Almeida Santimano NM, Moreira MT. Motivational interviewing for the prevention of alcohol misuse in young adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;7(7):CD007025. Published 2016 Jul 18. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007025.pub4.

4 Tenets of Motivational Interviewing

- **1**. Express Empathy
- 2. Develop Discrepancy
- 3. Roll with Resistance
- **4**. Support Self-Efficacy

Foxcroft DR, Coombes L, Wood S, Allen D, Almeida Santimano NM, Moreira MT. Motivational interviewing for the prevention of alcohol misuse in young adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;7(7):CD007025. Published 2016 Jul 18. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007025.pub4

• **Empathy:** "I understand that your meth use began after you got divorced. That must have been a very stressful time in your life "

Develop Discrepancy:

"I understand your goal of having a beautiful smile. How does your methamphetamine use fit into this goal? "



• Roll with Resistance: "It sounds like you have thought a lot about the challenges of stopping your MA use. How can you address some of these challenges? "

 Self-Efficacy: "I have some thoughts about a plan of treatment for you and would like for you to share with me what you can do for maximum treatment success."



Clinical Implications

<u>Select Treatment</u>

Successful management of dental caries requires:

Assessment of caries risk for future reoccurrence of dental caries

Risk level used to **determine personalized caries management** approach for each patient

Featherstone JDB, Alston PA, Chafee BW, Rechmann P. Caries management by risk assessment (CAMBRA)*: an update for use in clinical practice for patients aged 6 through adult. J Calif Dent Assoc. 2019;47(1):15-24. PMID: 29355423.

<u>Select Treatment</u>

Drug Use Patterns that Increase Risk for Caries:

 ✓ Use of MA
 ✓ Duration of use
 ✓ Oral Route of Administration

And:

- ✓ Infrequent oral hygiene
- ✓ Sweetened diets
- ✓ Co-abuse with xerostomic drugs

Brown RB, Morisky DE, Silverstein SJ. Meth mouth severity in response to drug-use patterns and dental access in methamphetamine users. J Calif Dent. 2013; 41(6): 421-428.

<u>Select Treatment</u>

Treatment based upon Caries Risk Assessment

Assess Behavioral (include drug-use patterns) and Clinical Risk factors



Goal: Decrease risk by chemical, behavioral & surgical interventions
 Does not replace clinical judgement

Select Treatment-Behavioral

High Risk Indicators:

✓ Current Meth Use
 ✓ 10+ Years of Use
 ✓ Co-abuse with other illicit drugs with hypo-salivatory effects
 ✓ Rx for medications with hypo-salivatory effects
 ✓ Infrequent brushing
 ✓ Frequent in-between meal snacking
 ✓ Infrequent dental visits

Select Treatment-Clinical

High Risk Indicators:

√ Heavy visible plaque
 √ White spot lesions
 √ Teeth with pulpal involvement
<u>Select Treatment</u>

| Home Strategies: | Low | Medium | High |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Recovery/Sobriety Referrals | □ Yes | | |
| Fluoride Toothpaste | □ 1,000-1,500 ppm | □ 5,000 ppm | 🗆 5,000 ppm |
| Oral Rinses | □ Alcohol Free | □ Alcohol Free □ 0.05% NaF rinse □ Bicarbonate rinse | Alcohol Free 0.05% NaF rinse Bicarbonate rinse |
| Fluoride Trays | □ No | □ No | |
| Dietary Instructions | Reduce snacking | Reduce snacking Xylitol mints/gum | Reduce snacking Xylitol mints/gum |
| Salivary Substitutes | 🗆 No | | |

For High Risk Patients:

At Home Interventions

 √ High [F] Dentifrices and Rinses
 √ Bicarbonate mouth rinse
 √ Dietary counseling
 √ Use of xylitol mints/gum
 √ Salivary substitutes
 √ Recovery/Sobriety Support 800-662-HELP

<u>Select Treatment</u>

| Office Strategies: | Low | Medium | High |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| OHI and Plaque Monitoring | □ Yes | □ Yes | □ Yes |
| Topical Fluoride | □ Every 6 months | Every 3-4 months SDF every 6-12 months | Every 3-4 months SDF every 6-12 months |
| Pre-procedural Rinses | Chlorhexidine | Chlorhexidine | Chlorhexidine |
| Recall Visits Hygiene Visits | □ 1/year □ 2-4/year | □ 2/year □ 2-4/year | □ 2/year □ 2-4/year |
| Treatment of Advanced Caries | □ N/A | Extract non- restorable teeth RCT if favorable | Extract non- restorable teeth Extract teeth with pulpal involvement |
| Restorations | □ Direct □ Indirect | 🗆 Direct (GI) | 🗆 Direct (GI) |
| Tooth Replacement | Simple and Complex Prosthesis | Simple Prosthesis | □ Simple Prothesis |

For High Risk Patients:

In-Office Strategies

√ Topical Fluoride Varnish
 √ Increased recall/hygiene visits
 √ Re-mineralizing restorative
 materials
 √ Direct Restorations

Since 2020...

isolate 1D - 19social distance flatten the curv onav quarantine lockdown home curfew wash hand tay virus self-isolate shelter in place negativ

Since 2020...



Now...



What Else Needs to be Done?



Thank You!







Ronni Brown DDS, MPH



Ronni@DrRonniBrown.com





Ronni@DrRonniBrown.com