Variability of the planktonic cnidarian community at different spatio-temporal scales along the Catalan coast (Northwestern Mediterranean)

Elena Guerrero | Barcelona, 2017 Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya





# Variability of the planktonic cnidarian community at different spatio-temporal scales along the Catalan coast (Northwestern Mediterranean)

Variabilidad de la comunidad de cnidarios planctónicos a diferentes escalas espacio-temporales en la costa catalana (Mediterráneo noroccidental)

### Elena Guerrero

Tesis presentada para la obtención del título de Doctora por la Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya Programa de Doctorado de Ciencias del Mar 2017

### Director de Tesis

Pr. Dr. Josep-Maria Gili i Sardá Dept. de Biologia Marina i Oceanografia Institut de Ciències del Mar (CSIC)

### Co-directora de Tesis

Dra. Ana Mª Sabatés Freijó Dept. Recursos Marins Renovables Institut de Ciències del Mar (CSIC)

### Tutor

Dr. Manuel Espino Infantes Dept. d'Enginyeria Civil i Ambiental Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

"Variability of the planktonic cnidarian community at different spatio-temporal scales along the Catalan coast (Northwestern Mediterranean)"

The author has been supported by a contract within the EU Project VECTORS (FP7 OCEAN-2010, 266445).

External referees: Dr. Agustín Schiariti (CONICET/INIDEP, Argentina) and Dr. Luis Martell (University of Bergen, Norway).

Graphic art: different species of siphonophores and hydromedusae (mixed painting techniques). Original work by A. Estefanía Sánchez-Guerrero Fuentes ©

Editing: Rina Semevalapinzalcielo.

Barcelona, June 2017.

Dedico esta Tesis a tres importantes personas en mi vida que ya marcharon.

> A mi abuela Lola, que siempre quiso estudiar.

A mi padre, Juan, quien me enseñó a bucear e investigar en el Mar desde pequeña. Me transmitió el Amor y el cuidado por el océano.

A Francesc Pagès,

quien me enseñó y me transmitió su pasión por la identificación taxonómica y el estudio de estos transparentes y fascinantes animales.

## PREFACE

"When you feel connected to everything, you also feel responsible for everything. And you cannot turn away. Your destiny is bound with the destinies of others. You must either learn to carry the Universe or be crushed by it. You must grow strong enough to love the world, yet empty enough to sit down at the same table with its worst horrors." Andrew Boyd

"The most unique feature of Earth is the existence of life, and the most extraordinary feature of life is its diversity." Cardinale et al. 2012

"Biodiversity is ultimately essential to human survival in this planet" Bilton, 2014

*"There's so much magnificence near the Ocean"* Song by Miten and Deva Premal

*"¡En qué medida la vida de otras criaturas de este planeta es menos sagrada que la vida humana?"* Ecología y la Bhagavad Gita

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract9
General Introduction and thesis aim11
Chapter 1:
"High spatial heterogeneity of two planktonic cnidarian species related to the
variability of a shelf-slope front at short time scales"
Chapter 2:
"Diversity and mesoscale spatial changes in the planktonic cnidarian community
under extreme warm summer conditions"
Chapter 3:
"Long-term changes of the planktonic cnidarian community in the NW
Mediterranean"
General discussion83
Conclusions
Agradecimientos

# ABSTRACT

#### ABSTRACT

Planktonic cnidarians are those species or their life stages in the phylum Cnidaria that spent their life in the water column and, therefore, are tightly linked to oceanographic dynamics and water mass structures, such as fronts, and environmental gradients. The objective of this thesis is to understand the variability of the planktonic cnidarian community inhabiting the Catalan coast (NW Mediterranean) at different spatio-temporal scales, and their relationships with the hydrography and environmental parameters that characterized the study area. At short time scales (10 days), in spring, important changes in the abundance and spatial distribution of the two most abundant species were closely related to the shifting positions of a density front. The front acted as a barrier limiting offshore displacement of the species. At an interannual scale, changes in the abundance, structure and latitudinal distribution of the community were observed when comparing extreme warm (July 2003) and standard summer conditions (July 2004). The exceptional warm conditions in July 2003 due to a heat wave, lead to a reduction of the total abundance of the community and the latitudinal community ordination was reinforced. At an interdecadal scale, changes in species abundance, community composition and spatial distribution patterns were found during the month of June. Total cnidaria abundance increased and the latitudinal community distribution patterns were reinforced when comparing three representative years (1983, 2004 and 2011) of the climatic and anthropogenic changes occurred during the last three decades in the NW Mediterranean. Temperature was the main environmental factor explaining these changes, representative of the climatic trend from the colder 1980s to the warmer 2010s. Nevertheless, it is likely that others anthropogenic factors, such as overfishing, may have contributed to the observed changes over time. All these results are based on the study of a large and heterogeneous mesoscale area, characterized by different environmental gradients in bathymetry, temperature, salinity and primary production, and by different hydrodynamic structures, as well as on the species level identification of the whole community. The planktonic cnidarian community along the Catalan coast presents a high variability both at temporal and spatial scales, and is tightly related to hydrographic and environmental factors of the area, and influenced by climatic and anthropogenic changes occurred in the last three decades. These results show that it is extremely important to study and monitor planktonic cnidarians (included within gelatinous zooplankton) in mesoscale spatial areas, to robustly assess changes at temporal scales, and at species level, since species spatial changes are sensitive indicators of climate change.

### RESUMEN

Los cnidarios planctónicos son aquellas especies o estadios de vida pertenecientes al fílum Cnidaria que habitan en la columna de agua y, por tanto, están fuertemente vinculados a la dinámica oceanográfica y estructuras hidrodinámicas, como frentes y gradientes ambientales. El objetivo de esta tesis es entender la variabilidad de la comunidad de cnidarios planctónicos que habita la costa catalana (Mediterráneo noroccidental) a diferentes escalas espaciales y temporales y cómo ésta está asociada a la hidrografía y a los parámetros ambientales del área. A corta escala temporal (10 días), durante la primavera, importantes cambios en la abundancia y distribución espacial de las dos especies más abundantes estuvieron fuertemente asociados a la variabilidad en la posición del frente de densidad. El frente actuó como barrera en la distribución de las especies hacia mar abierto. A escala interanual, se observaron cambios en la abundancia, estructura y distribución latitudinal de la comunidad de verano al comparar condiciones extremas de temperatura (julio 2003) y estándares (julio 2004). Las excepcionales temperaturas registradas en Julio 2003, debido a una ola de calor, comportaron una menor abundancia total de la comunidad y un patrón de distribución latitudinal reforzado. A escala interdecadal, se encontraron cambios en la abundancia, composición específica y patrones de distribución de la comunidad, durante el mes junio. La abundancia global de la comunidad aumentó y los patrones de distribución latitudinal se reforzaron al comparar tres años (1983, 2004 and 2011) representativos de cambios climáticos y antropogénicos ocurridos en las últimas tres décadas en el Mediterráneo noroccidental. El principal factor que explicó estos cambios fue la temperatura, representativa de la evolución climática desde los años 80, más frescos, a los años 2010, más cálidos. No obstante, es probable que otros factores antropogénicos como la sobrepesca también hayan podido influir. Todos estos resultados están basados en el estudio de mesoescala de un amplia y heterogénea área caracterizada por diferentes gradientes ambientales en batimetría, temperatura, salinidad y productividad primaria, y distintas estructuras hidrodinámicas, así como en la identificación taxonómica a nivel de especie de la comunidad. La comunidad de cnidarios planctónicos en la costa catalana presenta una gran variabilidad, tanto a nivel temporal como espacial, fuertemente asociada a la hidrografía y a las características ambientales del área, e influenciada por los cambios climáticos y antropogénicos ocurridos en las últimas décadas. Los resultados de esta tesis muestran que es extremadamente importante el estudio y monitoreo de los cnidarios planctónicos (incluidos dentro del zooplancton gelatinoso) en áreas de mesoscala, para valorar de forma robusta cambios a escala temporal, y a nivel de identificación de especie, ya que cambios en la distribución espacial de las especies son sensibles indicadores del cambio climático.

## **GENERAL INTRODUCTION**

### Planktonic cnidarians and their spatio-temporal variability

Planktonic cnidarians are those species or their life stages in the phylum Cnidaria which live in the water column and drift or swim weakly in a body of water. Their life cycles can be either meroplanktonic, with a benthic phase (polyp) fixed to the bottom (or other substrate) and a planktonic phase (medusa) that swims in the water column, or holoplanktonic, with a free-swimming planktonic phase only (Fig. 1) (Bouillon et al., 2004). Planktonic cnidarians are part of the gelatinous zooplankton, a non-taxonomic term that comprises organisms of diverse groups which share the following similarities among their body designs: high water content (> 95%), transparency, and high fragility. In this group are included medusae, siphonophores, ctenophores, planktonic tunicates (salps, pyrosomes and doliolids), radiolarians, planktonic molluscs, chaetognaths, appendicularians and planktonic worms (Haddock, 2004; Condon et al., 2012). Gelatinous zooplankton is ubiquitous, abundant, and diverse; however it is still a poorly understood group of marine organisms (Pugh, 1989; Haddock, 2004; McClatchie et al., 2012). In pelagic communities, gelatinous animals play an important role in food-web dynamics due to their considerable trophic impact and rapid population growth, which sometimes results in seasonal blooms (Graham et al., 2001; Pagès et al., 2001). These animals prey upon and compete with the co-existing plankton, including higher trophic levels such as fish (e.g.: Purcell and Arai, 2001). At the same time, gelatinous animals themselves are preyed upon by large fish, some of commercial importance, and other top predators such as tuna, sharks, swordfish and turtles (Carrassón and Cartes, 2002; Cardona et al., 2012). Moreover, gelatinous organisms can have a large influence on the carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus cycles in the ocean



Figure 1. Diagrams of the planktonic cnidarians life cycles: meroplanktonic type (left) and holoplanktonic type (right). Source: Bouillon et al. 2004.

and on the overall productivity of marine plankton (Pitt *et al.*, 2009). These organisms are also good indicators of water masses and recent mesoscale processes (Russell, 1934; Bigelow and Sears, 1937; Pagès and Gili, 1991). All these factors make the study and monitoring of gelatinous zooplankton an important subject for marine research, and a priority activity for the marine environment.

Planktonic cnidarian distribution and abundance may exhibit high spatial and temporal variability, at different spatio-temporal scales. The spatial distribution of species is determined by geographical latitude and by environmental factors, such as temperature and salinity, and by hydrographical features such as currents and fronts (Pagès and Gili, 1991; Graham *et al.*, 2001; Macpherson, 2002). Temporal changes are influenced mainly by the annual temperature cycle (Gili *et al.*, 1987b; Batistić *et al.*, 2007; Licandro *et al.*, 2012), while climatic variability affects their distribution and abundance over longer time scales (Licandro *et al.*, 2012; Boero *et al.*, 2016).

### The study of planktonic cnidarians

Nowadays, and for the past 100 years, the study of planktonic cnidarians and gelatinous zooplankton have been conducted using plankton nets. The advantages of this methodology are the following: large-scale areas can be covered, the vertical distributions of the taxa can be investigated and the animals can be quantified. However, due to the fragility of these organisms, nets often damage and break them up into pieces, making it difficult or impossible to identify them to species level, and also to quantify them in any way, especially since some species may not be represented in the samples (Pugh, 1989; Haddock, 2004). In the present century, the most sophisticated techniques for studying these beautiful and delicate animals are manned or remotely operated submersibles that allow us to observe them in their natural habitat whilst barely disturbing them. In this way their interactions with the environment and with other organisms can be investigated, as well as their overall behaviour patterns (such as swimming and feeding). However, these methods can only cover a small area, capture few animals, and are also so expensive to employ that their use is usually beyond the reach of most researchers. An ideal method for sampling gelatinous zooplankton would be then to combine these different methods to give complimentary results and obtain a more complete picture of the reality and also to gain an understanding of their roles in the ecosystem (Pugh, 1989; Haddock, 2004). Analysis of planktonic cnidarians sampled with nets is made in the laboratory under a stereomicroscope. This is a time-consuming activity that requires considerable human expertise. Each specimen (and there may be thousands in a single sample) is taxonomically identified to species level, whenever its preservation condition permits, and quantified. Some taxonomically important structures, such as tentacles and statocysts, are typically lost during this type of sampling, as commented upon earlier, sometimes making their identification either difficult or impossible. In the case of siphonophores it is even worst since, in addition, they break up in the different pieces that comprise the colony, losing some of these parts and thus making their identification and quantification more difficult.

### Relevance of species identification and of the study of biodiversity

Taxonomy is the science of identifying, naming, describing and classifying organisms. It is necessary to quantify the diversity or richness of life. Taxonomy is the first basic step in many other disciplines like medicine, forensic science, ecology, evolution, assessment of living resource stocks, protection and management of the environment and creation of nature reserves, amongst others (Noriega *et al.*, 2015). However in the last few decades, despite its necessity, taxonomy is in crisis. It has been excluded from university curricula in many countries, there are fewer and fewer experts worldwide and there is a lack of recognition within the scientific community and a lack of support by governments (Haddock, 2004; Bilton, 2014; Noriega *et al.*, 2015). The lack of taxonomy or deficient taxonomy

seriously compromises the advance of many disciplines, and ecological studies thus generated have little validity beyond 'species X eats species Y' (Haddock, 2004; Noriega *et al.*, 2015). In this thesis taxonomic identification to species level of the whole planktonic cnidarian community has been the basic tool used to understand the structure of the community and how it responds to the changing environment. At species level covariance with climate is often stronger than at broader taxonomic levels because each species has its particular requirements depending on the niche it occupies and, if niche breadth is narrow slight climatic changes can critically alter its population dynamics (Thuiller *et al.*, 2005). Therefore, changes in the community are better-interpreted with a species level approach and moreover, this method gives the opportunity to identify the intrusion of new species.

Biodiversity or "biological diversity" refers to the variety and variability of life on Earth. It measures variation at several levels, from genetic and species to ecosystem level (UNEP, 1992). Studying biodiversity of ecosystems is essential to assess and monitor the impact of environmental changes (natural or anthropogenic). On the other hand, biodiversity is an ecosystem service crucial to human well-being (Diaz and Duffy, 2006). Ecosystem services are the benefits provided by ecosystems to humans that contribute to sustaining life and its quality. Some examples are: food, climate, culture and socio-economics exchanges. Loss of animal and plant species has a direct impact on the functioning of ecosystems, and hence to their ecosystem service delivery capacity (Mooney et al., 2009). Climate change has been shown to have an effect on biodiversity shifts and therefore future research into the inter-linkages between climate change, biodiversity and ecosystem services is deeply needed (Bhattarai, 2017). In this thesis I have studied the biodiversity of the whole planktonic cnidarian community and its variability at short and long-term in order to assess the impact of environmental changes, natural and anthropogenic, including the global climate change. This study provide the planktonic cnidarian biodiversity data as a reference point for knowing how diversity has changed in the last decades in the NW Mediterranean and as the reliable baseline for further studies carried out under the predicted future environmental changes. The diversity of planktonic cnidarians in the Catalan coast, among other factors, is an indicator of the ecosystem health and thus of the capacity of the ecosystem to delivery services.

### Area and periods of study

The study area covered in this work includes the continental shelf and slope of the Catalan coast, in the North-western Mediterranean Sea. This is a broad heterogeneous area with different environmental gradients including: bathymetry, temperature, salinity and primary production. The continental shelf is wide in the south, where the Ebro River flows into the sea, and narrow and abrupt in the mid-north region, where several submarine canyons occur close to the coast. The area is characterized by the presence of the Northern Current flowing south-westwards all along the continental slope (Font *et al.*, 1995). Associated with the current there is a permanent shelf-slope density front defined by strong salinity gradients, which separates low-salinity shelf waters from the more saline open sea waters (Font *et al.*, 1988; Alvarez *et al.*, 1996). In addition, in spring and summer, there is a thermic front, perpendicular to the coast, in the northern area between 41° and 42° N, which separates the cold waters in the north from the warm waters in the south (Sabatés *et al.*, 2009). All these characteristics make the Catalan coast a highly heterogeneous and variable region.

The present study has been focused on the spring and summer months, when planktonic cnidarians show their highest abundance and species richness (Gili *et al.*, 1987b; Batistić *et al.*, 2007; Licandro *et al.*, 2012). Siphonophores constitute the bulk of this community, with the calycophoran *Muggiaea atlantica* Cunningham, 1892 being by far the most abundant and dominant species present. The hydromedusan *Aglaura hemistoma* Péron and Lesueur, 1810, is usually the second most abundant and widespread one. Both species are neritic and epipelagic, accounting for up to 95% of the planktonic

cnidarian community in the area during spring (Gili *et al.*, 1987a, 1987b, 1988). Hydrographically, at this time of the year, the northern Catalan waters experience strong spatial and temporal variability due to large inputs of continental runoff, mainly from the Rhône River in the northern Gulf of Lions (Masó and Tintoré, 1991; Sabatés *et al.*, 2007). These relatively low-salinity waters, advected into the study area by the Northern Current, increase the mesoscale activity of the shelf-slope front and generate oscillations and eddies which increase the shelf-slope exchanges (Alvarez *et al.*, 1996; Flexas *et al.*, 2002).

### Previous studies along the Catalan coast

Along the Catalan coast important and pioneering studies of planktonic cnidarians were carried out in the 1980s. These studies dealt with diversity, abundance and spatial and seasonal distribution of these taxa in the region and the relationships between species abundance and environmental variables (Riera *et al.*, 1986; Gili *et al.*, 1987a, 1987b, 1988). The results of these studies showed that the main factors affecting the distribution, abundance and species richness of the community were distance from the coast (bathymetry) and latitude, the last related to temperature and salinity gradients detected in the area. In addition, they reported that the annual peaks of abundance and species richness take place mainly during the spring and summer months.

### Climate change

The definition of climate change is a change in the weather patterns which last for an extended period of time (i.e., decades to millions of years). It may refer to a change in the average weather conditions and/or to the timed variation of weather around longer-term average conditions (i.e., more or fewer extreme weather events). Climate change is caused by factors such as biotic processes, variations in solar radiation received by the Earth, plate tectonics, and volcanic eruptions. Certain human activities have also been identified as significant causes of recent climate change, often referred to as global warming (America's Climate Choices, 2010). High ocean temperatures due to climate change have been documented at global and local scales, such as in the Mediterranean Sea, (Levitus et al., 2005; IPCC, 2014), as well as an increase in the occurrence of extreme climatic events, like the exceptionally warm summer of 2003 which raised sea surface temperature by 2-3°C in the whole Mediterranean (Grazzini and Viterbo, 2003; Schär et al., 2004; Sparnocchia et al., 2006). There is increasing evidence that these climatic variations significantly affect the geographic distribution, abundance and phenology of marine species (Stenseth et al., 2002; Edwards and Richardson, 2004), including those of gelatinous zooplankton (Purcell, 2005; Brotz et al., 2012; Boero et al., 2016). In the NW Mediterranean, recent long time-series studies have investigated the effect of climate variability on planktonic cnidarians and other gelatinous zooplankton abundance and species composition (Molinero et al., 2005, 2008; García-Comas et al., 2011; Berline et al., 2012; Licandro et al., 2012). Some of these studies have found that an increase in gelatinous zooplankton is related to an increase in water temperature attributable to global warming (Molinero et al., 2005, 2008). Others however, have found that high inter-annual variability occurs without an increasing abundance trend. Nevertheless, important changes in community composition has been observed over the last three decades (García-Comas et al., 2011; Berline et al., 2012; Licandro et al., 2012).

### **THESIS AIM**

The general aim of this thesis is to understand the variability of the planktonic cnidarian community along the Catalan coast, both at spatial and temporal scales, and to find out how environmental parameters affect that variability. Environmental parameters include both the gradient of different factors (such as bathymetry, temperature, salinity and primary production) as well as the presence of hydrographic structures (such as currents, eddies and fronts), which characterize the area. Also, this thesis addresses how that variability is expressed in the community structure, by observing changes in the abundance, spatial distribution and specific composition of the community.

# Chapter 1: "High spatial heterogeneity of two planktonic cnidarian species related to the variability of a shelf-slope front at short time scales".

This chapter is focused on investigating how the variability of hydrodynamic structures determines the mesoscale distribution of planktonic cnidarians. Our approach was to study the coupling between short-term variability in the location of a shelf-slope front and the distributions of *M. atlantica* and *A. hemistoma*, the two most abundant and representative species in the community. To achieve this aim, we analysed the changes in abundance and spatial distribution of both species during three crossfrontal surveys carried out at approximately 10-day intervals during the months of May and June.

# Chapter 2: "Diversity and mesoscale changes in the planktonic cnidarian community under extreme warm summer conditions".

This chapter is focused on analysing how extremely warm conditions, such as those forecast for the future in climatic studies for this region, can affect the cnidarian community. To achieve this goal, we have investigated the abundance, spatial distribution and diversity of the planktonic cnidarian community along the Catalan coast during the extreme warm conditions of the summer 2003 and the standard conditions of the summer 2004. We assessed changes in the cnidarian community between the two years and explored their relationships with the different environmental gradients of the area including bathymetry, temperature, salinity and primary production, and hydrographic structures (currents and eddies). The study of both summers constitute a decisive opportunity to shed light on the potential effects of warmer ocean temperatures upon organisms such as gelatinous zooplankton, and their response to extreme temperature variations in the future.

# Chapter 3: "Long-term changes of the planktonic cnidarian community in the NW Mediterranean"

This chapter is focused on studying changes in the community over the last three decades off the Catalan coast. To achieve this aim we analysed abundance, distribution and species composition of the community during the month of June in the years 1983, 2004 and 2011. These three surveys were carried out in the same area, shared the same sampling methodology and have been analysed by the same group of experts, enabling direct comparison between them and the possibility of determining if long-term changes have indeed already occurred. The trends have been analysed and related to environmental and hydrographic variables. This is an uncommon opportunity to study long-term changes in the gelatinous zooplankton, including a mesoscale spatial dimension.

These studies will contribute to a better understanding of gelatinous zooplankton changes from a

mesoscale spatial viewpoint, both at short and long temporal scales, a kind of study which is rarely carried out in recent times.

### A beyond note

Plankton is essential for life in the oceans, for the survival of human beings and of our planet, as we know it today. It generates more than half (from 70 to 90% depending on the information source) of the atmospheric oxygen necessary for life. It is important to know, value, take care of and protect the Oceans. Marine ecosystems are of huge importance to the biology of the planet because they are among the largest of earth's aquatic ecosystems and play a major role in the overall health of both marine and terrestrial environments (Townsend *et al.*, 2003). Theories indicate that life started in the ocean, so if we care for life we need to care for the Oceans. Could this maybe be the reason why we feel so good near the sea?

This thesis aims to contribute a grain of sand to the knowledge and value of these small animals inhabiting the ocean, and their biodiversity, which is of such huge importance for every person and life on the planet. Their preservation is fundamental to such daily activities as breathing, food, climate and enjoyment.

### REFERENCES

- Alvarez A, Tintoré J, Sabatés A. 1996. Flow modification and shelf-slope exchange induced by a submarine canyon off the northeast Spanish coast. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **101**: 12,043-12,055 DOI: 10.1029/95JC03554
- America's Climate Choices. 2010. *Advancing the Science of Climate Change*. National Academies Press: Washington, D.C. DOI: 10.17226/12782
- Batistić M, Jasprica N, Caric M, Lučić D. 2007. Annual cycle of the gelatinous invertebrate zooplankton of the eastern South Adriatic coast (NE Mediterranean). *Journal of Plankton Research* 29: 671–686 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/fbm048
- Berline L, Siokou-Frangou I, Marasović I, Vidjak O, Fernández de Puelles ML, Mazzocchi MG, Assimakopoulou G, Zervoudaki S, Fonda-Umani S, Conversi A, et al. 2012. Intercomparison of six Mediterranean zooplankton time series. *Progress in Oceanography* 97–100: 76–91 DOI: 10.1016/j. pocean.2011.11.011
- Bhattarai U. 2017. Impacts of climate change on biodiversity and ecosystem services: Direction for future research. Hydro Nepal: Journal of Water, Energy and Environment: 41–48
- Bigelow HB, Sears M. 1937. Siphonophorae (AF and S Host, ed.). Copenhagen.
- Bilton DT. 2014. What's in a name? What have taxonomy and systematics ever done for us? *Journal of Biological Education* **48**: 116–118 DOI: 10.1080/00219266.2014.926653
- Boero F, Brotz L, Gibbons MJ, Piraino S, Zampardi S. 2016. Impacts and effects of ocean warming on jellyfish. In *Explaining Ocean Warming: Causes, Scale, Effects and Consequences*, Laffoley D, , Baxter JM (eds).Gland, Switzerland: UICN.; 213–237.

- Bouillon J, Medel MD, Pagès F, Gili J-M, Boero F, Gravili C. 2004. Fauna of the Mediterranean Hydrozoa. *Scientia Marina* **68**: 438 DOI: 10.3989/scimar.2004.68s25
- Brotz L, Cheung WWL, Kleisner K, Pakhomov E, Pauly D. 2012. Increasing jellyfish populations: Trends in Large Marine Ecosystems. *Hydrobiologia* **690**: 3–20 DOI: 10.1007/s10750-012-1039-7
- Cardona L, Álvarez de Quevedo I, Borrell A, Aguilar A. 2012. Massive consumption of gelatinous plankton by Mediterranean apex predators. *PloS one* 7: e31329 DOI: 10.1371/journal. pone.0031329
- Carrassón M, Cartes J. 2002. Trophic relationships in a Mediterranean deep-sea fish community: partition of food resources, dietary overlap and connections within the benthic boundary layer. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **241**: 41–55 DOI: 10.3354/meps241041
- Condon RH, Graham WM, Duarte CM, Pitt KA, Lucas CH, Haddock SHD, Sutherland KR, Robinson KL, Dawson MN, Decker MB, et al. 2012. Questioning the Rise of Gelatinous Zooplankton in the World's Oceans. *BioScience* **62**: 160–169 DOI: 10.1525/bio.2012.62.2.9
- Diaz S, Duffy E. 2006. Biodiversity and ecosystem services. In Encyclopedia of Earth, Cleveland CJ (ed.). Washington, D.C.: Environmental Information Coalition, National Council for Science and the Environment.
- Edwards M, Richardson AJ. 2004. Impact of climate change on marine pelagic phenology and trophic mismatch. *Nature* **430**: 881–884 DOI: 10.1038/nature02808
- Flexas M, Durrieu de Madron X, Garcia M, Canals M, Arnau P. 2002. Flow variability in the Gulf of Lions during the MATER HFF experiment (March-May 1997). *Journal of Marine Systems* **33**: 197–214
- Font J, Garcia-Ladona E, Gorriz EG. 1995. The seasonality of mesoscale motion in the Northern Current of the western Mediterranean: several years of evidence. *Oceanologica Acta* **18**: 207–219
- Font J, Salat J, Tintoré J. 1988. Permanent features of the circulation in the Catalan Sea. *Oceanologica Acta* **9**: 51–57
- García-Comas C, Stemmann L, Ibanez F, Berline L, Mazzocchi MG, Gasparini S, Picheral M, Gorsky G. 2011. Zooplankton long-term changes in the NW Mediterranean Sea: Decadal periodicity forced by winter hydrographic conditions related to large-scale atmospheric changes? *Journal of Marine Systems* 87: 216–226 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2011.04.003
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Riera T. 1987a. Distribución de las especies más frecuentes de sifonóforos calicóforos en la zona norte del Mediterráneo occidental. *Investigaciones Pesqueras* **51**: 323–338
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Sabatés A, Ros JD. 1988. Small-scale distribution of a cnidarian population in the western Mediterranean. *Journal of Plankton Research* **10**: 385–401
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Vives F. 1987b. Distribution and ecology of a population of planktonic cnidarians in the western Mediterranean. In *Modern Trends in the Systematics, Ecology, and Evolution of Hydroids and Hydromedusae*, Bouillon J, , Boero F, , Cicogna F, , Cornelius PFS (eds).Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK; 157–170.
- Graham WM, Pagès F, Hamner WM. 2001. A physical context for gelatinous zooplankton aggregations: a review. *Hydrobiologia* **451**: 199–212
- Grazzini F, Viterbo P. 2003. Record-breaking warm sea surface temperature of the Mediterranean Sea. *ECMWF Newsletter* **98**: 30–31
- Haddock SHD. 2004. A golden age of gelata: past and future research on planktonic ctenophores and cnidarians. *Hydrobiologia* **530–531**: 549–556 DOI: 10.1007/s10750-004-2653-9
- IPCC. 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (RKP and LAM Core Writing Team, ed.). Geneva, Switzerland. Available at: http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/syr/SYR\_AR5\_FINAL\_full.pdf
- Levitus S, Antonov J, Boyer T. 2005. Warming of the world ocean, 1955–2003. Geophysical Research

Letters 32: L02604 DOI: 10.1029/2004GL021592

- Licandro P, Souissi S, Ibanez F, Carré C. 2012. Long-term variability and environmental preferences of calycophoran siphonophores in the Bay of Villefranche (north-western Mediterranean). *Progress in Oceanography* **97–100**: 152–163 DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2011.11.004
- Macpherson E. 2002. Large-scale species-richness gradients in the Atlantic Ocean. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society* **269**: 1715–20 DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2091
- Masó M, Tintoré J. 1991. Variability of the shelf water off the northeast Spanish coast. *Journal of Marine Systems* 1: 441–450 Available at: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/092479639190008I#
- McClatchie S, Cowen R, Nieto K, Greer AT, Luo JY, Guigand C, Demer D, Griffith D, Rudnick D. 2012. Resolution of fine biological structure including small narcomedusae across a front in the Southern California Bight. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **117**: C04020 DOI: 10.1029/2011JC007565
- Molinero JC, Casini M, Buecher E. 2008. The influence of the Atlantic and regional climate variability on the long-term changes in gelatinous carnivore populations in the northwestern Mediterranean. *Limnology and Oceanography* **53**: 1456–1467 DOI: 10.4319/lo.2008.53.4.1456
- Molinero JC, Ibanez F, Nival P, Buecher E, Souissi S. 2005. North Atlantic climate and northwestern Mediterranean plankton variability. *Limnology and Oceanography* **50**: 1213–1220 DOI: 10.4319/ lo.2005.50.4.1213
- Mooney H, Larigauderie A, Cesario M, Elmquist T, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Lavorel S, Mace GM, Palmer M, Scholes R, Yahara T. 2009. Biodiversity, climate change, and ecosystem services. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 1: 46–54 DOI: 10.1016/j.cosust.2009.07.006
- Noriega JA, Santos AMC, Aranda SC, Calatayud J, de Castro I, Espinoza VR, Hórreo JL, Medina NG, Peláez ML, Hortal J. 2015. ¿Cuál es el alcance de la crisis de la Taxonomía? Conflictos, retos y estrategias para la construcción de una Taxonomía renovada. *Revista Ibero Diversidad Entomológica* **9**: 1–16
- Pagès F, Gili J-M. 1991. Effects of large-scale advective processes on gelatinous zooplankton populations in the northern Benguela ecosystem. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **75**: 205–215
- Pagès F, González HE, Ramón M, Sobarzo M, Gili J-M. 2001. Gelatinous zooplankton assemblages associated with water masses in the Humboldt Current System, and potential predatory impact by Bassia bassensis (Siphonophora: Calycophorae). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **210**: 13–24
- Pitt KA, Welsh DT, Condon RH. 2009. Influence of jellyfish blooms on carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycling and plankton production. *Hydrobiologia* **616**: 133–149 DOI: 10.1007/s10750-008-9584-9
- Pugh PR. 1989. Gelatinous zooplankton-the forgotten fauna. Prog Underwater Sci 14: 67–78
- Purcell JE. 2005. Climate effects on formation of jellyfish and ctenophore blooms: a review. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK* **85**: 461–476 DOI: 10.1017/S0025315405011409
- Purcell JE, Arai MN. 2001. Interactions of pelagic cnidarians and ctenophores with fish: a review. *Hydrobiologia* **451**: 27–44
- Riera T, Gili J-M, Pagès F. 1986. Estudio cuantitativo y estacional de dos poblaciones de cnidarios planctonicos frente a las costas de Barcelona (Med. occ.): ciclos entre 1966-67 y 1982-83. *Misc. Zool.* **10**: 23–32
- Russell FS. 1934. On the occurrence of the siphonophores Muggicea atlantica Cunningham and Muggiæa kochi (Will) in the English Channel. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **19**: 555–559 DOI: 10.1017/S0025315400046622
- Sabatés A, Olivar MP, Salat J, Palomera I, Alemany F. 2007. Physical and biological processes controlling the distribution of fish larvae in the NW Mediterranean. *Progress in Oceanography* **74**: 355–376 DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2007.04.017

Sabatés A, Salat J, Raya V, Emelianov M, Segura-Noguera M. 2009. Spawning environmental conditions

of Sardinella aurita at the northern limit of its distribution range, the western Mediterranean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **385**: 227–236 DOI: 10.3354/meps08058

- Schär C, Vidale PL, Lüthi D, Frei C, Häberli C, Liniger M a, Appenzeller C. 2004. The role of increasing temperature variability in European summer heatwaves. *Nature* **427**: 332–336 DOI: 10.1038/nature02300
- Sparnocchia S, Schiano ME, Picco P, Bozzano R, Cappelletti A. 2006. The anomalous warming of summer 2003 in the surface layer of the Central Ligurian Sea (Western Mediterranean). *Ann. Geophys.* **24**: 443–452
- Stenseth NC, Mysterud A, Ottersen G, Chan K, Lima M. 2002. Ecological Effects of Climate Fluctuations. *Science* 297: 1292–1296
- Thuiller W, Lavorel S, Araujo MB. 2005. Niche properties and geographical extent as predictors of species sensitivity to climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **14**: 347–357 DOI: 10.1111/j.1466-822X.2005.00162.x
- Townsend AR, Howarth RW, Bazzaz FA, Booth MS, Cleveland CC, Collinge SK, Dobson AP, Epstein PR, Holland EA, Keeney DR, et al. 2003. Human health effects of a changing global nitrogen cycle. Fronteiers in Ecology and Emvironment 1: 24–246 DOI: 10.2307/3868011
- UNEP. 1992. Convention on biological diversity. Diversity: 30 DOI: 10.1146/annurev. ento.48.091801.112645

### Chapter 1

High spatial heterogeneity of two planktonic cnidarian species related to the variability of a shelf-slope front at short time scales



Elena Guerrero, Anna Marrodán, Ana Sabatés, Covadonga Orejas & Josep-Maria Gili.

### SCIENTIA MARINA (2016) 80, 487-497 High spatial heterogeneity of two planktonic cnidarian species related to the variability of a shelf-slope front at short time scales

Elena Guerrero<sup>1</sup>, Anna Marrodán<sup>1</sup>, Ana Sabatés<sup>1</sup>, Covadonga Orejas<sup>1,2</sup>, Josep-Maria Gili<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Ciències del Mar, CSIC, Pg. Marítim de la Barceloneta 37-49, E-08003 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: eguerrero@icm.csic.es

<sup>2</sup> Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO), Centro Oceanográfico de Baleares, Moll de Ponent s/n, 07015

Palma de Mallorca, Spain

#### ABSTRACT

We investigated the variability in the mesoscale distribution of the siphonophore *Muggiaea atlantica* and the hydromedusa *Aglaura hemistoma* in relation to the rapid spatial oscillations of the shelf-slope front off the Catalan coast (NW Mediterranean). Three extensive surveys were carried out in spring at ten-day intervals. High variability in the position of the front resulted from the advection of low-salinity waters originating in the Gulf of Lions, mainly from the Rhône River runoff. High spatial variability in the distribution of the two species was closely related to the shifting positions of the front. Both species occurred on its inshore side in much higher abundances than on its offshore side, where they were scarce or absent. The front acts as a barrier limiting offshore displacement of these two cnidarians. Statistical analyses showed that bottom depth and salinity, as independent variables, were indicators of the signature and position of the front, explaining most of the variance in the distribution and abundance of the two species.

Keywords: Siphonophorae; Hydromedusae; Muggiaea atlantica; Aglaura hemistoma; mesoscale; NW Mediterranean.

### INTRODUCTION

Shelf-slope fronts separating low-salinity coastal waters from high-salinity open-sea waters are common along continental shelves (Wang et al. 1988, Houghton 1997). Physical and biological coupling in these frontal zones shows strong spatio-temporal variability as a result of hydrographic complexity and the activity of the organisms (Mackas et al. 1985, Sournia 1994). In general, shelf-slope fronts are highly productive due to the accumulation and active growth of microalgae and zooplankters (Sabatés et al. 1989, Fernández et al. 1993, Mann and Lazier 2006). These phenomena determine the distributions and abundance of many groups of zooplankton (e.g. Kahru et al. 1984, Nishikawa et al. 1995, Sabatés and Olivar 1996).

Gelatinous zooplankton are abundant in pelagic communities, playing an important role in food-web dynamics due to their great trophic impacts and rapid population growth, which sometimes results in seasonal blooms (Graham *et al.* 2001, Pagès *et al.* 2001). Appropriately classified as plankton, gelatinous organisms have limited horizontal mobility, so their abundance and distribution patterns depend on hydrodynamic features such as gyres, clines and fronts. However, explicit evidence for this bio-physical coupling is scarce (e.g. Pagès and Gili 1992, Graham *et al.* 2001, Pavez *et al.* 2010).

Off the Catalan coast (NW Mediterranean), the shelf-slope density front is a permanent structure defined by strong salinity gradients, separating low-salinity shelf waters from the more saline waters offshore (Font et al. 1988, Alvarez et al. 1996). It is present in the upper 300 to 400 m of the water column and usually intersects the surface over the 1000 m isobath. Associated with the front is the Northern Current flowing southwestward following the continental slope at 20 to 30 cm s-1 (Font et al. 1995). In spring, northern Catalan coastal waters experience strong spatial and temporal variability due to the large inputs of continental runoff, mainly from the Rhône River in the northern Gulf of Lions (Masó and Tintoré 1991, Sabatés et al. 2007). These relatively lowsalinity waters, advected by the Northern Current along the shelf break, increase the mesoscale activity at the shelf-slope front, generating oscillations and eddies (Alvarez et al. 1996, Flexas et al. 2002). The continental shelf in the study area (Fig. 1) is relatively narrow, with a submarine canyon whose head is close to the coast.

Previous studies in the area have analysed the role of the front and the associated current in primary and secondary production (Estrada 1991, Estrada *et al.* 1999, Alcaraz *et al.* 2007), and in zooplankton and ichthyoplankton distribution (Sabatés *et al.* 1989, Sabatés and Olivar 1996, Sabatés *et al.* 2004). Recently, the importance of the front in the distributions of medusan species forming blooms, such as *Pelagia noctiluca* (Forsskål, 1775), has been reported (Sabatés *et al.* 2010). However, little is known about the influence of the shelf-slope front on the most abundant planktonic cnidarians, especially at short timescales.

The planktonic cnidarian community along the Catalan coast in spring is dominated by a few species. Siphonophorae constitute the bulk of the community, and the calycophoran Muggiaea atlantica Cunningham, 1892 is by far the most abundant and representative species. Among Hydromedusae, Aglaura hemistoma Péron and Lesueur, 1810, is the most abundant and widespread. Both species are neritic and epipelagic, accounting in the area for up to 95% of the planktonic cnidarian community in spring (Gili et al. 1987a, b, 1988). Although these two species are present in the Mediterranean all year around (Bouillon et al. 2004), the highest abundances of M. atlantica in the northwestern basin have been recorded from April to June (Gili et al. 1987b, 1988, Licandro et al. 2012), and peaks of A. hemistoma occur between June and September (Gili et al. 1987b, 1988, Licandro and Ibañez 2000). Both species are particularly abundant in the first 50 m of the water column but can occur down to 200 m (Gili et al. 1987a, b, Batistić et al. 2004). The value of knowing the abundance and distribution patterns of planktonic cnidarians derives from their predation on most other zooplankton (e.g. Biggs 1977, Purcell 1997, Colin et al. 2005), affecting the structure and dynamics of the whole planktonic community.

Our goal was to investigate how the variability of hydrodynamic structures determines the mesoscale distributions of planktonic cnidarians. Our approach was to study the coupling between short-term variability in the location of the shelfslope front and the distributions of *M. atlantica*  and *A. hemistoma*. To achieve this aim, we analysed the changes in abundance and spatial distribution of both species during three cross-frontal surveys carried out at approximately 10-day intervals.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area is located off the northern Catalan coast, NW Mediterranean (Fig. 1). Three oceanographic cruises were carried out from mid-May to late June 1992, at approximately 10-day intervals (13-21 May, 2-9 June and 18-25 June). On each survey, 43-44 stations were sampled for environmental and biological parameters. Stations were located approximately 8.5 km apart, and distributed along seven transects perpendicular to the shoreline, from near the coast to beyond the shelf-slope front. An additional transect in the northernmost part of the area (grey dots in Fig. 1) was conducted for environmental measurements only. Vertical profiles of basic hydrographic variables (temperature, salinity and fluorescence) were obtained at each station using a Mark-III Neil Brown CTD probe equipped with a Sea Tech fluorometer. Maps of the horizontal distribution of each environmental parameter (at 10 m depth) were generated by gvSIG (OADE-2010) and ArcGIS 10.2 software, applying the spline interpolations with a cell size of 200 m (see Fig. 2). The Catalano-Balearic Sea bathymetric chart (2005) was used to represent the bathymetry at 100 m intervals.

Zooplankton samples were collected using a bongo net of 60 cm mouth diameter and 300  $\mu$ m mesh. Oblique hauls were performed, integrating the water column from a maximum depth of 200 m (or 5 m above the bottom in stations shallower than 200 m) to the surface. Samples were preserved immediately after collection in a 5% solution of formaldehyde in seawater buffered with borax. The volume of filtered water was estimated by means of a flowmeter placed in the centre of the net mouth.

In the laboratory, after the cruises took place, the siphonophore *M. atlantica* (polygastric stage) and the hydromedusa *A. hemistoma* were identified and counted under a stereomicroscope. Counts were standardized to number of individuals per 1000 m<sup>3</sup>. Recently, to complete the study with the rest of the cnidarian community, analysis of those same samples were carried out, however we found that the morphological conditions of the individuals had impoverished so much that the taxonomical identifications were not possible. The exceptional oceanographic conditions in which the cruises were performed and the ecological importance of these two species encouraged us to proceed with the study presented here.

#### Statistical analysis

The potential explanatory relationships between species abundance and the environmental variables: surface (10 m depth) salinity, fluorescence and temperature, and bottom depth were tested separately in each surveyed situation by fitting generalized additive models (GAMs), which account for non-linear changes in abundance with the environmental variables by applying other than Gaussian data distributions. The models were fitted with an error distribution from the negative binomial family and a log link function (Zuur et al. 2009), using the "mgcv" package (Wood 2014). To eliminate bias due to varying sampling units (volumes of seawater filtered by the net), we included the log of filtered volume as an offset inside the model (Penston et al. 2008, Zuur et al. 2009, Guerrero et al. 2013). Spatial autocorrelation of samples was checked by plotting the residual of the models in a variogram (Zuur et al. 2009); in all cases no spatial correlation was suggested and spatial independence was assumed.

GAM analyses were performed in two steps (Zarauz *et al.* 2007, Silva *et al.* 2014). First, GAMs were based on single explanatory variables to study the influence of each hydrographic parameter on the species abundance. Later, GAMs of increasing complexity were applied, combining multiple explanatory variables. In the first, we allowed information on collinear variables; in the second, a more realistic situation was modelled in which all the parameters interact as in the environment.

The amount of smoothing was minimized (k=3 to 5) to aid interpretation of the biological trends (Wood 2014). From among single variable-based GAMs, the best-fitting ones were selected based on the un-biased risk estimator (UBRE), the percentage of deviance explained, the smooth confidence region and the spread of the residual in the model validation step (Wood and Augustin 2002, Planque et al. 2007, Zuur et al. 2009, Silva et al. 2014). For multiple variable-based GAMs, collinearity between pairs of variables was evaluated by pairwise scatterplots, Pearson's correlation coefficients (cut-off value [0.5]) and corroboration by the variance inflation factor (Zuur et al. 2009). In early June, salinity and fluorescence were collinear. Since salinity was the variable best representing the front (see Fig. 2), and was also the strongest predictor among the single variable GAMs for that cruise, it was kept. The variables for multivariable GAMs were chosen by a backward-elimination process for the least significant predictor based on the chi-square statistic. Best-fitting combined GAMs where selected based on the UBRE score (the lowest the best), the percentage of deviance explained (the highest the best) and the spread of the residuals in the model validation step (Zuur et al. 2009, Silva et al. 2014).

Differences in species abundance between the two sides of the front, when detected at the surface (in mid-May and early June), were tested for significance in order to know whether the front



Figure 1. Geographical location of the study area off the northern Catalan coast (NW Mediterranean). Black dots represent the grid of sampled stations (hydrographic and biological). Grey dots are the additional northernmost transect of hydrographic stations. Grey lines indicate bathymetry (every 100 m).

*per se* had an influence on the species abundance distribution. To this end, an analysis of variance was performed using generalized linear models (GLM) with the "glm.nb" package (Venables and Ripley 2002), which fit a GLM with a negative binomial distribution. The model was applied with a log link function and an offset for the log of filtered volume in a way similar to that explained for GAMs. To identify the stations located on each side of the front, the geographical position of the front was defined from the maximum difference in salinity between adjacent stations on the same transect and between transects.

All analyses were performed using the free statistical software R, version 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team 2013).

### RESULTS

### Hydrographic conditions

In the first survey in mid-May, the salinity front was over the slope approximately 50 km offshore, running in a northeast to southwest direction. Maximum salinity values (~38.2) were recorded at the most offshore stations. A band of relatively low salinity (37.6-37.7) was observed between the shelf and the open sea, intensifying the salinity and density gradients over the slope. The highest fluorescence values (from 5 to 7 units) were mainly detected offshore in the northeastern area. The lowest temperatures (~14°C) were recorded in the northwestern corner of the grid, and the highest (17°C) were in the south (Fig. 2: A1-C1; Table 1).

In the second survey, ten days later in early June, a completely different spatial layout was found. The front, running parallel to the coast, was over the shelf at about 20 km from the coast, confining waters of low salinity (37.2) inshore and thus causing an intense salinity gradient. The highest fluorescence values (up to 13 units) were restricted to the inshore side of the front, associated with the low-salinity waters, while very low fluorescence values (<2) were measured on the offshore side. Temperature was higher than on the May cruise, showing a gradient from near the coast (17.5°C) towards the open sea (~19°C)

(Fig. 2: A2-C2; Table 1).

In the third survey, in late June, no frontal structure was detected in the upper layers, and the salinity distribution was complex, with patches of low values covering the whole area (Fig. 2: A3). Fluorescence decreased offshore, and the highest values (from 3 to 7 units) appeared to be associated with areas of low salinity. During this survey, high temperatures were detected in coastal waters and offshore (~19.5°C) (Fig. 2: A3-C3, Table 1).

### Spatio-temporal distribution of *Muggiaea at*lantica and Aglaura hemistoma

On all three cruises the mean abundance of *M. atlantica* was higher than that of *A. hemistoma* (Table 2). Abundance values for *M. atlantica* were high during the first two cruises (8640±11580 and 9378±18818 ind. 1000 m–3, respectively) but lower during the last cruise (4008±3794 ind. 1000 m–3). *Aglaura hemistoma* was relatively abundant on the first cruise (1759±343 ind. 1000 m–3) but markedly lower on the two June cruises (128±286 and 478±845 ind. 1000 m–3, respectively). For both species, the lowest frequency of occurrence was observed on the second cruise (86% and 52% for *M. atlantica* and *A. hemistoma*, respectively; Table 2).

High spatial variability in species abundance and distribution was observed over a short time scale (10 days), and in general both species displayed a similar onshore-offshore distribution pattern closely related to the variable location of the shelf-slope front (Fig. 3). In mid-May, M. atlantica and A. hemistoma were widely distributed over the whole study area, the location of the salinity front setting a clear limit for their distributions. Very low densities of M. atlantica were detected on the oceanic side of the front, and A. hemistoma was practically absent (Fig. 3: A1, B1). Higher densities of both species were observed at stations located over the edges of the submarine canyon than at those over the canyon axis (Fig. 3: A1, B1). Single variable-based GAMs revealed bottom depth as the strongest predictor for the spatial distribution of *M. atlantica*, explaining 47% of its variability, with a linear negative



Figure 2. Surface (10 m) salinity, fluorescence and temperature distributions in the mid-May (A1-C1), early June (A2-C2) and late June (A3-C3) cruises off the northern coast of Catalonia (note the additional northernmost transect of hydrographic stations). Grey lines represent the bathymetry (every 100 m).

effect (Fig. 4: A1, Table 3). Depth was the second predictor for *A. hemistoma* (36%), with a negative effect from 500 m outwards (Fig. 5: A1, Table 3). Salinity was the second strongest predictor (41%) for *M. atlantica* and the first for *A. hemistoma* (57%, Table 3), and both species followed the same trend, positive up to ~37.9 (Fig. 4: B1) and ~37.8 (Fig. 5: B1), respectively, and decreasing above those values. Fluorescence was the third predictor for both species, whereas temperature was the least explanatory variable (Figs 4: C1-D1 and 5: C1-D1, Table 3).

In early June the spatial distribution of both species was restricted to a narrow belt over the

shelf, limited offshore by the position of the front (Fig. 3: A2, B2). The abundance of *M. atlantica* was high close to the coast and very low on the open-ocean side of the front. *Aglaura hemistoma* showed the highest densities near to and inside the front, being almost absent on the offshore side of the front. Results of the single variable–based GAMs revealed salinity as the strongest explanatory variable for both the siphonophore (68%) and the hydromedusa (47%), with a marked decrease in abundance above ~37.3 for the former and a generally decreasing trend at higher salinity for the latter. As an exception, an increase was observed at ~37.6, corresponding to one of the

Table 1. – Values of the environmental parameters measured off the northern Catalan coast during the three research cruises. Values include those from the additional northernmost transect of hydrographic stations (see Figs 1 and 2).

	Mid-May				Early Jur	ie		Late Jun	ie
	Min.	Max.	Mean±SD	Min.	Max.	Mean±SD	Min.	Max.	Mean±SD
T (°C)	14.36	17.07	15.32±0.64	17.25	19.37	18.10±0.51	17.25	19.63	18.35±0.51
S	37.24	38.29	37.79±0.28	37.02	38.10	37.67±0.37	36.59	37.99	37.49±0.24
FI	0.001	7.44	2.88±1.71	0.001	13.22	2.41±2.66	0.001	7.78	2.22±1.34
Depth (m)	30	2107	803±732	28	2187	850±712	34	2000	785±673

T, temperature; S, salinity; Fl, fluorescence; Depth, bottom depth; Min., minimum value, Max., maximum value; Mean±SD, mean and standard deviation.

isolines delimiting the salinity front (Figs 4: B2 and 5: B2; Table 3). Fluorescence was the second most important explanatory factor (56%) for *M. atlantica*, showing a positive effect up to ~7 with a plateau at higher values (Fig. 4: C2; Table 3). Temperature was the second most important variable for *A. hemistoma* (36%), with a negative trend in warmer waters (Fig. 5: D2; Table 3). The least significant variables during this period were bottom depth and temperature for *M. atlantica* (25.9% and 25.6%, respectively; Table 3) and bottom depth and fluorescence for *A. hemistoma* (33.0% and 32.8%, respectively; Table 3).

In late June, during the third cruise, as during the first cruise, the distributions of both species again covered a broad area, extending well beyond the shelf break, and higher densities of both species were recorded over the canyon flanks than at stations located over the canyon axis (Fig. 3: A3, B3). The GAMs showed bottom depth as the strongest explanatory variable for both species, explaining 37.4% for the siphonophore, with a negative effect from ~600 m outward (Fig. 4: A3, Table 3), and 31% for the hydromedusa with a linear negative effect (Fig. 5: A3, Table 3). Fluorescence was the second strongest predictor both for *M. atlantica* (36.9%), showing a positive effect up to ~3 (Fig. 4: C3, Table 3) and slightly negative above that, and for A. hemistoma (23%), showing a positive effect up to ~2.5 and slightly negative one at higher values (Fig. 5: A3, Table 3). Salinity was the third factor for both species, while temperature was not significant for the siphonophore and the least explanatory factor for the hydromedusa (Figs 4: B3, C3 and 5: B3, C3, Table 3).

The analyses conducted with the multiple variable-based GAMs revealed an improvement of up to twice the variability explained in comparison with those based on a single variable. Results showed that not all variables included in the analyses significantly contributed to the overall combined models, and the relative importance of the different explanatory variables varied in comparison with the single variable-based analysis, although displaying the same trends. The most significant variable was always coincident with the strongest one obtained with single GAMs (Tables 3 and 4). In mid-May, the best fitting combined model for *M. atlantica* explained 53% of deviance and included two significant variables: bottom depth and temperature. The best fitting combined model for A. hemistoma explained 71% of the distribution and included three significant variables: salinity, bottom depth and fluorescence. In early June, both species shared the same best model: salinity and (marginally significant) bottom depth explaining 70% and 50% for M. atlantica and A. hemistoma, respectively. In late June, bottom depth and fluorescence explained 68% of deviance of *M. atlantica* and for *A.* hemistoma the best model included all variables: bottom depth, salinity, fluorescence and temperature explaining 63% of deviance (Table 4).

Table 2. – Mean abundance (Ind. 1000 m<sup>-3</sup>), range (minimum and maximum abundance values) and % Occurrence (percentage of samples in which the species occur) for *Muggiaea atlantica* and *Aglaura hemistoma* during the three research cruises.

		M. atlantic	a	A. hemistoma				
	Mean±SD	Range	% Occurrence	Mean±SD	Range	% Occurrence		
Mid-May	8640±11580	0 - 51809	98%	1759±3434	0 - 17480	82%		
Early June	9378±18818	0 - 77607	86%	128±286	0 - 1381	52%		
Late June	4008±3794	0 - 13870	98%	478±845	3 - 4013	100%		



Figure 3. Distribution of *Muggiaea atlantica* and *Aglaura hemistoma* overlaid on surface (10 m) salinity in mid-May (A1-B1), early June (A2-B2) and late June (A3-B3). Grey lines represent the bathymetry (every 100 m).

The GLM results showed significantly higher abundances for both *M. atlantica* and *A. hemistoma* on the inshore side of the front than on the offshore side (*M. atlantica*: z-value =-10.67, p<0.001, *A. hemistoma*: z-value =-9.410, p-value<0.001) (Fig. 6).

### DISCUSSION

The temporal scale of the sampling allowed us to identify the short-term spatial variability of the shelf-slope density front and the responses of *M. atlantica* and *A. hemistoma* species to associated environmental changes. The shifting position of the front is characteristic of the spring period off the northern Catalan coast, and results from the advection of low-salinity waters by the Northern Current (Alvarez *et al.* 1996, Masó et al. 1998, Sabatés *et al.* 2007). The low-salinity waters originating in the Gulf of Lions, mainly due to the River Rhône outflow, help strengthen the gradient of the shelf-slope density front. The temporal scale at which the frontal system oscillates has been reported to exert a decisive influence on processes affecting the concentration and dispersal of zooplankton and fish larvae (Sabatés *et al.* 2004). The gelatinous zooplankton followed a similar trend,

Table 3. – Results of the single variable–based GAMs computed for *Muggiaea atlantica* and *Aglaura hemistoma* abundances and each environmental variable [bottom depth (Depth), salinity (S), fluorescence (Fl) and temperature (T)] for the three research cruises. For each single variable model, the degrees of freedom selected (k), the effective degrees of freedom (edf) generated by the model, the UBRE score, the percentage of deviance explained (% Dev.) and P-values, when significant, are given; n.s., not significant. The best predictor for each species on each cruise is in bold font.

			M. atlantica				A. hemistoma					
	Variables	k	edf	UBRE	% Dev.	P-value	k	edf	UBRE	% Dev.	P-value	
	s(Depth)	4	1.0	0.61	47.1	< 0.001	4	2.9	2.15	36.1	< 0.001	
Med Man	s(S)	4	2.8	0.87	40.8	< 0.001	4	2.8	1.15	57.4	< 0.001	
Mid-May	s(Fl)	5	3.8	1.66	14.7	< 0.001	4	2.9	2.85	21.2	< 0.001	
	s(T)	3	1.8	1.78	7.57	< 0.01	3	1.9	3.55	5.3	< 0.001	
	s(Depth)	4	1.6	3.71	25.9	< 0.001	4	2.8	2.11	33.0	< 0.001	
	s(S)	4	2.9	1.15	68.4	< 0.001	5	3.9	1.54	47.1	< 0.001	
Early June	s(FI)	4	2.4	1.91	55.6	< 0.001	4	2.6	2.11	32.8	< 0.001	
	s(T)	5	3.9	3.84	25.6	< 0.001	4	2.9	1.96	36.4	< 0.001	
Late June	s(Depth)	3	1.9	0.62	37.4	< 0.001	4	1.0	0.74	31.2	< 0.001	
	s(S)	3	1.9	1.05	19.2	< 0.001	3	1.9	1.07	19.3	< 0.001	
	s(Fl)	3	0.6	0.63	36.9	< 0.001	4	2.4	0.99	23.4	< 0.001	
	s(T)	3	-	-	-	n.s.	4	1.0	1.25	10.0	< 0.001	

varying in their spatial distributions at short time scales. The two cnidarian species studied are epipelagic, mainly occurring in the surface layer between 0 and 50 m (Gili *et al.* 1987a, b), and holoplanktonic, making them particularly susceptible to surface-water dynamics (Mackie *et al.* 1987, Blackett *et al.* 2014).

The abundance values recorded for both species are in accordance with previous reports in the area during the same season (Gili 1986, Gili *et al.* 1987b, Sabatés *et al.* 2010). The higher mean abundance of *M. atlantica*, compared with *A. hemistoma*, is usual in the NW Mediterranean (Gili *et al.* 1988, Licandro and Ibañez 2000, Sabatés *et al.* 2010), and it has been observed since *M. atlantica* replaced the formerly dominant, congeneric species *Muggiaea kochii* (Will, 1844) (Kršinić and Njire 2001, Batistić *et al.* 2007, Licandro *et al.* 2012). However, the hydromedusa can display peaks of greater abundance at some periods of the year (Gili *et al.* 1987b, Licandro and Ibañez 2000). Both species varied conside-



Figure 4. Results of single variable–based GAMs for *Muggiaea atlantica*, showing the abundance trends of the siphonophore for each variable in each surveyed period (A1-D1, mid-May; A2-D2, early June; A3-D3, late June). Solid lines display the smoothing function according to the GAMs. The area between the dotted lines represents the 95% confidence interval. Short vertical lines on the x-axis indicate the values at which observations were made.



Figure 5. Results of single variable–based GAMs for *Aglaura hemistoma* showing the abundance trends of the hydromedusa for each variable in each surveyed period (A1-D1, mid-May; A2-D2, early June; A3-D3, late June). Solid lines display the smoothing function according to the GAMs. The area between the dotted lines represents the 95% confidence interval. Short vertical lines on the x-axis indicate the values at which observations were made.

rably in their mean abundance between surveys. For M. atlantica the temporal abundance sequence agrees with the seasonal trend previously observed in the NW Mediterranean. The highest values are generally recorded from April to June, significantly decreasing at the end of June and in July (Gili et al. 1987b, 1988, Licandro and Ibañez 2000). The highest abundance of A. hemistoma was observed in May, and it decreased markedly in June. That pattern contrasts with previous observations of the highest seasonal densities for it in June and July, after much lower values in May (Gili et al. 1987b, 1988, Licandro and Ibañez 2000). The inflow of low-salinity waters detected in early June inshore of the front could have negatively affected the abundance of A. hemistoma (Fig. 3: B2, Table 2). However, as this species has been observed inhabiting areas of similar and lower salinity (e.g.: Gili et al. 1988, Nagata et al. 2014), factors other than salinity per se probably affected its abundance.

*Muggiaea atlantica* and *A. hemistoma* displayed high spatio-temporal variability in the brief study period, apparently driven by the rapid onshoreoffshore displacements of the shelf-slope front. Both species occurred predominantly inshore of the front, with significantly higher abundances there than on the offshore side (Figs 3 and 4). Previous studies in the region have also reported the highest concentration of *M. atlantica* and *A.* hemistoma on the inshore side of the front (Sabatés et al. 2010). The front acts as a natural barrier, limiting the distribution seaward of both species; this phenomenon has also been documented for larvae of coastal fish in the study area, with the front preventing their displacement to the open sea (Sabatés and Olivar 1996, Sabatés et al. 2004). In the case of the jellyfish, in addition to the barrier effect of the density front, we must consider that since they are mainly water with the same ionic concentration as the surrounding seawater, they tend to remain in waters of similar salinity (Graham et al. 2001). The accumulation over the shelf, very strong for M. atlantica when the front was close to the coast, could lead to high predation pressure on their planktonic prey; this species is known to be an effective predator, particularly on copepods (Purcell 1982), and when siphonophores are very abundant they can significantly affect planktonic populations (Purcell 1981, Purcell and Kremer 1983). Other dominant jellyfish species in the area, the sipho-

Table 4. – Results of the multiple variable–based GAMs computed for *Muggiaea atlantica* and *Aglaura hemistom*a abundances and the environmental variables [bottom depth (Depth), salinity (S), fluorescence (Fl) and temperature (T)] for the three studied cruises. For each combined model, effective degrees of freedom (edf) generated by the model for each variable, P-values, when significant, for each variable, the UBRE score and the percentage of deviance explained (% Dev.) are given; n.s., not significant; collinear, when that predictor was not taken into account for the model due to collinearity with another included predictor; asterisk (\*) indicates a parameter that was marginally significant (p=0.06), but its inclusion considerably improved the model (Zuur et al. 2009).

	M. atlantica					A. hemistoma				
	Variables	edf	P-value	UBRE	% Dev.	edf	P-value	UBRE	% Dev.	
Mid-May	s(Depth)	1.0	< 0.001	0.51	53.2	2.6	< 0.001	0.77	70.9	
	s(Š) s(El)	-	n.s.			2.9	<0.001			
	s(T)	1.8	<0.01			-	n.s.			
Early June	s(Depth)	1.0	0.06*	1.11	69.7	2.5	0.06*	1.53	49.8	
	s(S) s(FI)	2.9	<0.001 collinear			3.6	<0.001 collinear			
	s(T)	-	n.s.				n.s.			
Late June	s(Depth)	1.7	< 0.001	-0.04	68.2	1.3	< 0.001	0.18	62.7	
	s(S) s(Fl)	1.9	n.s. <0.001			1.9	<0.01 <0.05			
	s(T)	-	n.s.			1.0	< 0.05			

nophores *Lensia subtilis* (Chun, 1886) and *Chelophyes appendiculata* (Eschscholtz, 1829) and the hydromedusae *Rhopalonema velatum* Gegenbaur, 1857 and *Solmundella bitentaculata* (Quoy and Gaimard, 1833), have also been reported to display similar patterns, with maximum abundances on the coastal side of the density front (Sabatés *et al.* 2010). However, oceanic species such as the siphonophore *Lensia conoidea* (Keferstein and Ehlers, 1860) and the scyphomedusa *P. notiluca* were more abundant in the frontal area and offshore (Sabatés *et al.* 2010). Thus, the front seems to exert a barrier effect for both neritic and oceanic species, limiting their offshore and inshore displacement, respectively.

Studies conducted in other geographical areas have also shown the role of fronts shaping the distributions of gelatinous zooplankton. Analogies are found, for instance, in the salinity-driven mesoscale front in the Southern California Bight, where most gelatinous zooplankton organisms were located on the inshore side of the front (Luo et al. 2014). Pavez et al. (2010) also found the highest abundance of gelatinous zooplankton (hydromedusae, siphonophores and ctenophores) at the neritic inshore stations of a density front off central Chile. However, M. atlantica, evenly distributed over the shelf and slope, did not prove to be influenced by the position of the front. By contrast, the seasonal thermohaline front in the southern Benguela Region delimited the distribution of most species to the offshore side of the front (Pagès and Gili 1992). High abundances of *A. hemistoma* and *M. atlantica* were detected over the edge of the continental shelf, offshore of the front, in relation to the intrusion of Agulhas water, whose input increases the gelatinous zoo-plankton density and diversity. In general, the different hydrodynamic variability associated with each frontal system is a key factor explaining the spatial heterogeneity of plankton distribution (Le Fèvre 1986).

The measured environmental parameters appeared to have important effects on the distributions and abundance of the two species. Bottom depth and salinity were the variables most closely related to the distribution patterns (Tables 3 and 4). When the front was located away from the coast, *M. atlantica* and *A. hemistoma* abundances gradually decreased with bottom depth. This trend has already been documented by other studies on the area (Gili *et al.* 1987a, b, 1988) and is in agreement with the neritic character of both species.

Abundances also declined at the higher salinity values (see Figs 3, 4 and 5) characterizing waters on the seaward side of the front. In particular, when the front occurred over the shelf in early June, salinity was the variable most strongly related to the spatial distributions of both species (Tables 3 and 4). Salinity has previously been reported as a determinant factor for the distributions and abundance of gelatinous zooplankton at various locations in the NW Mediterranean (Gili *et al.* 1988, Licandro *et al.* 2012) and the



Figure 6. Boxplot comparing abundances (Ind. /1000 m<sup>3</sup>) of *Muggiaea atlantica* (A) and *Aglaura hemistoma* (B) on the inshore and offshore sides of the shelf-slope front when it was observed in the upper layers of the water column (mid-May and early June). In graph B, the two highest values (17480 and 12079 Ind. /1000 m<sup>3</sup>) were extracted to obtain a better graphical display.

North Atlantic (Blackett *et al.* 2014, Greer *et al.* 2015).

High fluorescence values were clearly associated with the presence of low-salinity waters. These waters come from the Rhône River runoff, advected by the Northern Current along the Catalan coast, and are highly productive at surface; the offshore location of these low-salinity waters is variable due to the horizontal oscillation of the shelf-slope front (Sabatés et al. 2007). Abundance of both species in relation to fluorescence showed different trends (almost opposite) in mid-May to those of the two June cruises (Figs 4 and 5). In mid-May high fluorescence values were located offshore, coinciding with low abundance values for M. atlantica and A. hemistoma. In June high fluorescence values were detected on the inshore side of the front at stations where the two species were particularly abundant (Figs 2 and 3). This suggests that productive waters per se had no direct effect on the abundance of either species, depth being in fact the responsible variable.

No clear trend in the distribution of the species was detected regarding temperature. Although both species showed lower abundance in warmer waters, the narrow temperature variability within each survey and the short seasonal period we covered prevent any temperature pattern or preference from being detected. Overall, our results show the key role of the position of the front, rather than values of the measured environmental parameters per se, as an explanation for the abundance and distributions of M. atlantica and A. hemistoma. In addition, the topography of the area, with the presence of a submarine canyon, seems to have affected the observed distribution patterns. The presence of the Palamós submarine canyon has been reported to modify the circulation in the area, inducing a shelfward deflection on the upstream side of the canyon and an offshore flow on the downstream side (Alvarez et al. 1996, Jordi et al. 2005). In relation to these shelfslope exchanges, high abundances of both species were observed on the canyon edges, particularly when the shelf-slope front intersected the canyon mouth (mid-May and late June surveys).

In summary, the shelf-slope front was the main factor controlling the abundance and distribution of the two most abundant and representative species of planktonic cnidarians in the NW Mediterranean, the siphonophore M. atlantica and the hydromedusa, A. hemistoma. A high degree of coupling was observed between the short timescale variability of the front's location and the spatio-temporal distributions of the species. The front seemed to act as a barrier preventing their offshore displacement, as was reflected by the fact that the bottom depth and salinity among the analysed variables best explained the distributions and abundances. The strong hydrographic variability associated with shelf-slope fronts largely determines the seasonal and interannual variability of gelatinous zooplankton and their predation impacts on the planktonic community in this region.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank our friend Dr. Francesc Pagès, who passed away on 5 May 2007, for his teaching. This study began with Francesc and the authors have finished it as a tribute to him. Special thanks go to Dr. A. Canepa and S. Soto for their inestimable help with the statistics and GIS, respectively, and to Charlie Miller for the English revision. This study was partially supported by the EU Project VECTORS (FP7 OCEAN-2010, 266445) and the Spanish project FISHJELLY (MAR-CTM2010-18875). This study is a contribution of the Marine Biodiversity Conservation Group (MEDRECOVER) 2014SGR-1297 and the Ecology of Marine Communities Group (2014SGR-1364) at the Institut de Ciències del Mar-CSIC.

### REFERENCES

- Alcaraz M, Calbet A, Estrada M, Marrasé C, Saiz E, Trepat I. 2007. Physical control of zooplankton communities in the Catalan Sea. Progress in Oceanography 74: 294– 312 DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2007.04.003
- Alvarez A, Tintoré J, Sabatés A. 1996. Flow modification and shelf-slope exchange induced by a submarine canyon off the northeast Spanish coast. Journal of Geophysical Research 101: 12,043-12,055 DOI: 10.1029/95JC03554
- Batistić M, Jasprica N, Caric M, Lučić D. 2007. Annual cycle of the gelatinous invertebrate zooplankton of the eastern South Adriatic coast (NE Mediterranean). Journal of Plankton Research 29: 671–686 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/fbm048
- Batistić M, Kršinić F, Jasprica N, Carić M, Vilicic D, Lučić D. 2004. Gelatinous invertebrate zooplankton of the South Adriatic: species composition and vertical distribution. Journal of Plankton Research 26: 459–474 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/ fbh043
- Biggs DC. 1977. Field studies of fishing, feeding, and digestion in siphonophores. Mar. Behav. Physiol. 4: 261–274
- Blackett M, Licandro P, Coombs SH, Lucas

CH. 2014. Long-term variability of the siphonophores *Muggiaea atlantica* and *M. kochi* in the Western English Channel. Progress in Oceanography 128: 1–14 DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2014.07.004

- Bouillon J, Medel MD, Pagès F, Gili J-M,
  Boero F, Gravili C. 2004. Fauna of the
  Mediterranean Hydrozoa. Scientia Marina
  68: 438 DOI: 10.3989/scimar.2004.68s25
- Catalano-Balearic Sea Bathymetric chart. 2005. Available at: www.icm.csic.es/geo/ gma/MCB
- Colin SP, Costello JH, Graham WM, Higgins J. 2005. Omnivory by the small cosmopolitan hydromedusa *Aglaura hemistoma*. Limnology and Oceanography 50: 1264–1268
- Estrada M. 1991. Phytoplankton assemblages across a NW Mediterranean front: changes from winter mixing to spring stratification. In Homage to Ramon Margalef; Or, Why There Such Pleasure in Studying Nature, Ros JD, , Prat N (eds).Oecologia aquatica; 157–185.
- Estrada M, Varela RA, Salat J, Cruzado A, Arias E. 1999. Spatio-temporal variability of the winter phytoplankton distribution across the Catalan and North Balearic fronts (NW Mediterranean). Journal of Plankton Research 21: 1–20
- Fernández E, Cabal J, Acuña JL, Bode A, Botas A, García-Soto C. 1993. Plankton distribution across a slope current-induced front in the southern Bay of Biscay. Journal of Plankton Research 15: 619–641 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/15.6.619
- Le Fèvre J. 1986. Aspects of the biology of frontal systems. Advances in Marine Biology 23: 163–299 DOI: 10.1016/ S0065-2881(08)60109-1
- Flexas M, Durrieu de Madron X, Garcia M, Canals M, Arnau P. 2002. Flow variability in the Gulf of Lions during the MATER HFF experiment (March-May 1997).

Journal of Marine Systems 33: 197–214

- Font J, Garcia-Ladona E, Gorriz EG. 1995. The seasonality of mesoscale motion in the Northern Current of the western Mediterranean: several years of evidence. Oceanologica Acta 18: 207–219
- Font J, Salat J, Tintoré J. 1988. Permanent features of the circulation in the Catalan Sea. Oceanologica Acta 9: 51–57
- Gili J-M. 1986. Estudio sistemático y faunístico de los cnidarios de la Costa Catalana.Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona.
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Riera T. 1987a. Distribución de las especies más frecuentes de sifonóforos calicóforos en la zona norte del Mediterráneo occidental. Investigaciones Pesqueras 51: 323–338
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Sabatés A, Ros JD. 1988. Small-scale distribution of a cnidarian population in the western Mediterranean. Journal of Plankton Research 10: 385–401
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Vives F. 1987b. Distribution and ecology of a population of planktonic cnidarians in the western Mediterranean. In Modern Trends in the Systematics, Ecology, and Evolution of Hydroids and Hydromedusae, Bouillon J, , Boero F, , Cicogna F, , Cornelius PFS (eds).Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK; 157–170.
- Graham WM, Pagès F, Hamner WM. 2001. A physical context for gelatinous zooplankton aggregations: a review. Hydrobiologia 451: 199–212
- Greer AT, Cowen RK, Guigand CM, Hare J a. 2015. Fine-scale planktonic habitat partitioning at a shelf-slope front revealed by a high-resolution imaging system. Journal of Marine Systems 142: 111–125 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.10.008
- Guerrero E, Gili J-M, Rodriguez CS, Araujo EM, Canepa A, Calbet A, Genzano G, Mianzan HW, González RA. 2013. Biodiversity and distribution patterns of

planktonic cnidarians in San Matías Gulf, Patagonia, Argentina. Marine Ecology 34: 71–82 DOI: 10.1111/maec.12027

- Houghton RW. 1997. Lagrangian flow at the foot of a shelfbreak front using a dye tracer injected into the bottom boundary layer. Geophysical Research Letters 24: 2035– 2038 DOI: 10.1029/97GL02000
- Jordi A, Orfila A, Basterretxea G, Tintoré J. 2005. Shelf-slope exchanges by frontal variability in a steep submarine canyon. Progress in Oceanography 66: 120–141 DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2004.07.009
- Kahru M, Elkenl J, Kotta I, Simm M, Vilbaste K. 1984. Plankton distributions and processes across a front in the open Baltic Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 20: 101–111
- Kršinić F, Njire J. 2001. An invasion by *Muggiaea atlantica* Cunningham 1892 in the northern Adriatic Sea in the summer of 1997 and the fate of small copepods. Acta Adriatica 42: 49–59
- Licandro P, Ibañez F. 2000. Changes of zooplankton communities in the Gulf of Tigullio (Ligurian Sea, Western Mediterranean) from 1985 to 1995. Influence of hydroclimatic factors. Journal of Plankton Research 22: 2225–2253 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/22.12.2225
- Licandro P, Souissi S, Ibanez F, Carré C. 2012. Long-term variability and environmental preferences of calycophoran siphonophores in the Bay of Villefranche (north-western Mediterranean). Progress in Oceanography 97–100: 152–163 DOI: 10.1016/j. pocean.2011.11.004
- Luo JY, Grassian B, Tang D, Irisson JO, Greer AT, Guigand CM, McClatchie S, Cowen RK. 2014. Environmental drivers of the fine-scale distribution of a gelatinous zooplankton community across a mesoscale front. Marine Ecology Progress Series 510: 129–149 DOI: 10.3354./mesp10908
- Mackas DL, Denman KL, Abbott MR. 1985. Plankton patchiness: biology in the physical vernacular. Bulletin of Marine Science 37: 652–674
- Mackie GO, Pugh PR, Purcell JE. 1987. Siphonophore Biology. Advances in Marine Biology 24: 97–262 DOI: 10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60074-7
- Mann KH, Lazier JRN. 2006. Dynamics of marine ecosystems: Biological-physical interactions in the oceans. Blackwell Publishing: Boston.
- Masó M, Tintoré J. 1991. Variability of the shelf water off the northeast Spanish coast. Journal of Marine Systems 1: 441–450 Available at: http://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/092479639190008I#
- Nagata RM, Nogueira Júnior M, Brandini FP, Haddad MA. 2014. Spatial and temporal variation of planktonic cnidarian density in subtropical waters of the Southern Brazilian Bight. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 94: 1387–1400 DOI: 10.1017/ S0025315414000617
- Nishikawa J, Tsuda A, Ishigaki T, Terazaki M. 1995. Distribution of euphausiids in the Kuroshio front and warm water tongue with special reference to the surface aggregation of Euphausia pacifica. Journal of Plankton Research 17: 611–629 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/17.3.611
- Pagès F, Gili J-M. 1992. Influence of Agulhas waters on the population structure of planktonic Cnidarians in the southern Benguela Region. Sciencia Marina 56: 109–123
- Pagès F, González HE, Ramón M, Sobarzo M, Gili J-M. 2001. Gelatinous zooplankton assemblages associated with water masses in the Humboldt Current System, and potential predatory impact by *Bassia bassensis* (Siphonophora: Calycophorae). Marine Ecology Progress Series 210: 13–

24

- Pavez MA, Landaeta MF, Castro LR, Schneider W. 2010. Distribution of carnivorous gelatinous zooplankton in the upwelling zone off central Chile (austral spring 2001). Journal of Plankton Research 32: 1051–1065 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/ fbq029
- Penston MJ, Millar CP, Zuur AF, Davies IM. 2008. Spatial and temporal distribution of *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* (Krøyer) larvae in a sea loch containing Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., farms on the north-west coast of Scotland. Journal of fish diseases 31: 361–71 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2761.2008.00915.x
- Péron F, Lesueur CA. 1810. Tableau des caractères génériques et spécifiques de toutes les espèces de méduses connues jusqu'à ce jour. Annales du Muséum national d'histoire naturelle de Paris 14: 325–366
- Planque B, Bellier E, Lazure P. 2007. Modelling potential spawning habitat of sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*) and anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) in the Bay of Biscay. Fisheries Oceanography 16: 16–30 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2419.2006.00411.x
- Primo AL, Marques SC, Falcão J, Crespo D, Pardal MÂ, Azeiteiro UM. 2012.
  Environmental forcing on jellyfish communities in a small temperate estuary.
  Marine environmental research 79: 152–9 DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2012.06.009
- Purcell JE. 1981. Feeding ecology of *Rhizophysa eysenhardti*, a siphonophore predator of fish larvae. Limnology and Oceanography 26: 424–432
- Purcell JE. 1982. Feeding and growth of the siphonophore *Muggiaea atlantica* (Cunningham 1893). J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 62: 39–54
- Purcell JE. 1997. Pelagic cnidarians and ctenophores as predators: selective predation, feeding rates, and effects on prey populations. Annales de l'Institut

océanographique 73: 125–137

- Purcell JE, Kremer P. 1983. Feeding and metabolism of the siphonophore *Sphaeronectes gracilis*. Journal of Plankton Research 5: 95–106 DOI: 10.1093/ plankt/5.1.95
- R Development Core Team. 2013. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. Available at: http://www.r-project.org/
- Sabatés A, Olivar MP. 1996. Variation of larval fish distributions associated with variability in the location of a shelf-slope front. Marine Ecology Progress Series 135: 11–20 DOI: 10.3354/meps135011
- Sabatés A, Gili J-M, Pagès F. 1989. Relationship between zooplankton distribution, geographic characteristics and hydrographic patterns of the Catalan coast. Marine Biology 159: 153–159
- Sabatés A, Pagès F, Atienza D, Fuentes V, Purcell JE, Gili J-M. 2010. Planktonic cnidarian distribution and feeding of *Pelagia noctiluca* in the NW Mediterranean Sea. Hydrobiologia 645: 153–165 DOI: 10.1007/s10750-010-0221-z
- Sabatés A, Salat J, Masó M. 2004. Spatial heterogeneity of fish larvae across a meandering current in the northwestern Mediterranean. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 51: 545– 557 DOI: 10.1016/j.dsr.2003.11.003
- Sabatés A, Salat J, Palomera I, Emelianov M, Fernandez de Puelles ML, Olivar MP. 2007. Advection of anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) larvae along the Catalan continental slope (NW Mediterranean). Fisheries Oceanography 16: 130–141 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2419.2006.00416.x
- Silva T, Gislason A, Licandro P, Marteinsdottir G, Ferreira ASA, Gudmundsson K, Astthorsson OS. 2014. Long-term changes of euphausiids in shelf and oceanic habitats

southwest, south and southeast of Iceland. Journal of Plankton Research 36: 1262– 1278 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/fbu050

- Sournia A. 1994. Pelagic biogeography and fronts. Progress in Oceanography 34: 109– 120 DOI: 10.1016/0079-6611(94)90004-3
- Venables WN, Ripley BD. 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S. Springer: New York. Available at: http://www.stats.ox.ac. uk/pub/MASS4/
- Wang D, Vieira MEC, Salat J, Tintoré J, La Violette PE. 1988. A shelf/slope frontal filament off the northeast Spanish Coast. Journal of Marine Research 46: 321–332 DOI: 10.1357/002224088785113586
- Wood SN. 2014. mgcv: Mixed GAM Computation Vehicle with GCV/AIC/ REML smoothness estimation: 237 Available at: cran.r-project.org
- Wood SN, Augustin NH. 2002. GAMs with integrated model selection using penalized regression splines and applications to environmental modelling. Ecological Modelling 157: 157–177 DOI: 10.1016/ S0304-3800(02)00193-X
- Zarauz L, Irigoien X, Urtizberea A, Gonzalez M. 2007. Mapping plankton distribution in the Bay of Biscay during three consecutive spring surveys. Marine Ecology Progress Series 345: 27–39 DOI: 10.3354/ meps06970
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM. 2009. Mixed effects models and extension in ecology with R. Springer-Verlag: New York. Available at: http:// www.springer.com/life+sciences/ecology/ book/978-0-387-87457-9

## Chapter 2

Diversity and mesoscale spatial changes in the planktonic cnidarian community under extreme warm summer conditions



Elena Guerrero, Josep-Maria Gili, Francesc Maynou and Ana Sabatés.

## Diversity and mesoscale spatial changes in the planktonic cnidarian community under extreme warm summer conditions

Elena Guerrero<sup>1</sup>, Josep-Maria Gili<sup>1</sup>, Francesc Maynou<sup>1</sup> and Ana Sabatés<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Ciències del Mar, CSIC, Pg. Marítim de la Barceloneta 37-49, E-08003 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: eguerrero@icm.csic.es

#### ABSTRACT

We have investigated the abundance, spatial distribution, species richness and community structure of the planktonic cnidarian community off the Catalan coast, NW Mediterranean, during the extreme warm summer conditions of 2003 with respect to the standard 2004 summer. The study covered a broad heterogeneous area with different environmental gradients (bathymetry, temperature, salinity and primary production). During the warm summer, the community was twofold less abundant than during the standard summer, whereas species richness remained nearly the same. The mesoscale spatial distribution of several species varied between both years and the structure of the community changed from a coastal-offshore to a north-south ordination, where the temperature gradient played the main role. Only hydromedusae, as a group, were more abundant during the warm summer. Siphonophores dominated in both years even though the dominant species, *Muggiaea atlantica*, showed one of the most important abundance decreases in the warm year. Our results pointed out that exceptional warm conditions during the summer season would lead a reduction of gelatinous zooplankton abundance as well as changes in their latitudinal distribution and community composition. We stress the importance of spatial mesoscale plankton surveys with detailed taxonomic identification as a critical tool for better understanding marine ecosystem responses to climatic variability.

Key words: Species richness, climate extreme event, Catalan coast, Shannon diversity index.

#### INTRODUCTION

Gelatinous zooplankton is a common component of the pelagic fauna with an important role within the trophic web (Pagès et al., 2001; Robison, 2004). It is ubiquitous, diverse, and one of the most abundant components of the oceanic community (Pugh, 1989; Haddock, 2004; McClatchie et al., 2012). In temperate seas, such as the Mediterranean, gelatinous zooplankton represents an important fraction of all zooplankton in terms of both abundance and species richness (Estrada et al., 1985; Mills et al., 1996; Saiz et al., 2014). Its patterns of distribution, abundance and species richness are tightly linked to oceanographic dynamics and water mass structures, as well as to climate patterns (Graham et al., 2001; García-Comas et al., 2011; Brotz et al., 2012; Licandro et al., 2012). Thus, both mesoscale physical processes, such as currents, fronts and eddies, as well as climate variability, can shape and determine its distribution (Pagès and Gili, 1991; Graham et al., 2001; Boero et al., 2016; Guerrero et al., 2016).

Changes in environmental and climatic

conditions have been shown to affect the composition, abundance and distribution of several groups of zooplankton (Hays et al., 2005; Piontkovski et al., 2011; Saiz et al., 2014), including gelatinous zooplankton (Lynam et al., 2010; Licandro et al., 2012). Climate change has been postulated as one of the global phenomena driving increased jellyfish abundance and blooms (Brotz and Pauly, 2012; Boero et al., 2016), as well as changes in their richness (Batistić et al., 2014; Boero et al., 2016). In temperate regions, gelatinous zooplankton appears to be expanding its distribution and broadening its reproductive periods, thus resulting in larger populations with an attendant significant negative socio-economic impact on maritime tourism in some areas (Boero et al., 2016).

The Mediterranean is a highly seasonal sea (Estrada *et al.*, 1985; Bosc *et al.*, 2004) where the distribution and abundance of gelatinous zooplankton are regulated by the annual temperature cycle (Gili *et al.*, 1987b; Batistić *et al.*, 2007; Licandro *et al.*, 2012). The highest abundance and diversity occurrs in spring and summer (Gili *et al.*, 1987b; Batistić *et al.*, 2007;

Licandro et al., 2012; Saiz et al., 2014). In recent years, high ocean temperatures, due to climate change have been well documented at global and local scale, such as the Mediterranean Sea, (Levitus et al., 2005; IPCC, 2014), as well as an increase in the occurrence of extreme climatic events (Schär et al., 2004). The 2003 summer was exceptionally warm in the European region, where a heat wave from early June to mid-August produced record air temperatures, 3 to 6°C above the seasonal average (Schär et al., 2004). This heat wave raised the sea surface temperature by 2-3°C throughout the whole Mediterranean (Grazzini and Viterbo, 2003; Sparnocchia et al., 2006) an increase which is in line with values close to those foreseen in the future by the climatic trends for the region (Vargas-Yáñez et al., 2010; Maynou et al., 2014). During that same period, extensive mass mortality of rocky benthic macroinvertebrates (mainly gorgonians and sponges) in the entire NW Mediterranean (Garrabou et al., 2009) and increased warm water planktonic species in the Adriatic Sea were documented (Piontkovski et al., 2011). However, the consequences of such an anomaly for marine plankton communities are still poorly understood (Piontkovski et al., 2011; Atienza et al., 2016).

Studies of zooplankton time-series conducted in different areas of the Mediterranean Sea have shown long-term changes in gelatinous and nongelatinous zooplankton in relation to climate variability (Benović et al., 2000; Molinero et al., 2005, 2008; García-Comas et al., 2011; Berline et al., 2012; Licandro et al., 2012; Batistić et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the observed effects show no strongly coherent response across sampling sites (Berline et al., 2012; Saiz et al., 2014). Most of these studies were conducted at few stations, over a limited geographic area, and identified either a few species or broad taxonomic groups. However, latitudinal changes in species distribution reflect the response of ecosystems to rising temperatures (Hughes, 2000; Beaugrand et al., 2002).

The present work aims to provide a spatial dimension to the study of changes in marine zooplanktonic communities under extreme warm summer conditions, whilst also dealing with taxonomic identification of the whole planktonic cnidarian community at species level. The study covered a broad heterogeneous area, the Catalan coast in the NW Mediterranean, with different environmental gradients (bathymetry, temperature, salinity and primary production). We have identified and quantified siphonophores, hydromedusae and scyphomedusae to species level, both under the exceptionally warm summer conditions of 2003, and the standard conditions of 2004 (Sparnocchia *et al.*, 2006; Maynou *et al.*, 2014). The study of both summers constitute an opportunity to shed light on the potential effects of extreme high temperatures upon organisms, such as gelatinous zooplankton, and their response to strong and sudden temperature variations.

The lead hypothesis in this study was that the exceptional warm conditions of the 2003 summer would cause significant changes in the abundance, spatial distribution, species richness, and community structure of the planktonic cnidarians in the studied temperate area. The response of the cnidarian community to this extreme event may provide new insights into the impact of climate change on marine ecosystems during future warming conditions.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study area was located on the Catalan coast in the NW Mediterranean (Fig. 1). Two oceanographic cruises were conducted during the summer period of two consecutive years: 18-25 July 2003 and 21-29 July 2004. In each survey, 66 sampling stations were located on transects perpendicular to the shoreline, over the continental shelf and slope. On each transect, stations were placed 14 km apart while the distance between transects was 18.5 km. Vertical profiles of the basic hydrographic variables (temperature, salinity and fluorescence) were obtained with a Neil Brown Mark III-CTD (WOCE standard) equipped with a Sea Tech fluorometer. The vertical profiles were interpolated to 1 m depth intervals. Water samples for chlorophyll *a* determination were collected at each station with a rosette system at three depths down to 70 m, throughout day and night, in order to calibrate the *in situ* fluorometer (see Sabatés et al., 2009 for the methodological



Figure 1. Geographical location of the study area off the Catalan coast (NW Mediterranean). Black dots represent the grid of sampled stations (n = 66). Grey lines indicate bathymetry (every 100 m).

description).

Circulation in the area was estimated from geostrophic fields obtained from dynamic heights at the stations. The reference level was 600 m and, where the depth of the station was lower, dynamic height was extrapolated using the continuity equation applied to the deepest level of threestation clusters (Hidaka, 1940). Along and across shore geostrophic velocity components at the stations were derived from the stream function obtained by interpolating dynamic heights over the whole area (Stewart, 2008). Given the general NE-SW orientation of the Catalan coast, the velocity components were rotated 45°, such as that U45 is the across shore component of the current velocity, with positive values directed offshore, and V45 is the alongshore component, with positive values towards the SW. Vorticity or curl of the water current velocity at each station was also obtained from the spatial derivative of the stream function (Stewart, 2008).

Zooplankton was sampled with a Bongo net of 60 cm mouth diameter and 300  $\mu$ m mesh size. Hauls were oblique from a maximum depth of 200 m to the surface (or from 5 m above the bottom to the surface at stations shallower than 200 m). The volume of filtered water was estimated by means of a flowmeter placed in the centre of the net mouth. Zooplankton samples were fixed immediately after collection in 5% formaldehyde buffered with sodium tetraborate. In the laboratory, the whole planktonic cnidarian community (hydromedusae, siphonophores and scyphomedusae) was analysed to species level under a stereomicroscope. Aliquots were taken only to quantify the most abundant species (e.g. Muggiaea atlantica and Aglaura hemistoma) where a minimum of 100 individuals were counted (Postel et al., 2000). Calycophoran polygastric stages were counted as the number of complete colonies plus the highest number of either anterior or posterior nectophores. The eudoxid stages (sexual stage) of the species Abylopsis tetragona, Chelophyes appendiculata and Eudoxoides spiralis were identified and counted based on the number of detached bracts and intact eudoxids; this is a relevant piece of information since only their presence represents reproduction and continuity of the species in an area, and its quantitative study is indispensable to estimate the importance of siphonopores in marine food webs (Gamulin and Kršinić, 1993; Grossmann et al., 2014). Nectophores of physonect siphonophores and the calycophoran Hippopodius hippopus were counted and divided by 10, according to Pugh (1984), to estimate the number of sampled colonies. The number of hydromedusae individuals, siphonophore colonies and eudoxids stages were standardized to number of individuals per 1000 m<sup>3</sup> of filtered seawater.

#### Data analysis

For each species and survey the mean abundance values, frequency of occurrence (FO, percentage of stations where a taxon occurred) and the relative abundance (RA, percentage contribution of a taxon to the total mean abundance of individuals) were calculated. For each cruise, the species richness of the cnidarian community was estimated as the total number of species (S), and the diversity was calculated with the Shannon diversity index (H'), in natural logarithm base, for each station.

Significant differences in abundance between both years were tested for the total cnidarians and for the groups Siphonophorae, Hydromedusae and Scyphomedusae by means of an analysis of variance using Generalized Linear Models (GLM) in the free statistical software R, version 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team, 2013). The counts of total cnidarians and Siphonophorae, which followed a Poisson distribution, were analyzed with the function "glm" from the "stats" library (R Core Team). For Hydromedusae and Scyphomedusa, which followed a binomial negative distribution, the "glm.nb" package (Venables and Ripley, 2002) was used with a log link function (Zuur *et al.*, 2009). The log of filtered seawater by the net was included as an offset inside all models to eliminate bias due to varying sampling units (Penston *et al.*, 2003; Zuur *et al.*, 2009; Guerrero *et al.*, 2013).

To assess the existence of variations among different groups of stations based on species composition and their abundance, a cluster analysis was performed using the "vegan" package in the R software (Oksanen et al., 2015). Species with five or less presences and/or FO < 3% in each survey were not included in the analyses. The abundance of species was log-transformed  $(\log (x+1))$ . Clustering of stations was carried out using hierarchical clustering with Bray-Curtis distance and Ward's agglomeration method. The graphical display of the ordered community table was carried out with R routine "coldiss" given in Borcard et al. (2011). Afterwards, a Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) was performed for each survey with the "vegan" package in R software (Oksanen et al., 2015), to explore the multivariate relationships between the same matrixes of species' abundance and the environmental variables. Collinearity between pairs of variables was evaluated by pairwise scatterplots, Pearson's correlation coefficients (cut-off value |0.6|) and corroborated by the variance inflation factor (Zuur et al., 2009). The variables Chlorophyll a (at 5 m depth) and salinity (at 5 m depth) were collinear and salinity was kept for the analyses as this parameter has been previously recognized as important driver in the distribution of gelatinous zooplankton in the area (Gili et al., 1988; Guerrero et al., 2016). Initially, parameters at surface (5 m) and intermediate waters (60 m) were included in the analyses. However, since surface parameters resulted by far the most determinant ones and

the inclusion of the deeper variables implied to eliminate from the analyses the stations which depth was lower than 60 m in depth (about 38% of the stations), the deeper parameters were not included in the final analyses. Thus, the environmental matrix used was composed of 8 explanatory variables: bathymetry (m, depth), time of the sampling (day and night), surface (5 m) temperature (°C) and salinity, integrated chlorophyll *a* concentration ( $\mu g l^{-1}$ ) (from a maximum depth of 100 m to the surface), across shore velocity (cm s<sup>-1</sup>, U45), alongshore velocity (cm s<sup>-1</sup>, V45) and vorticity (10<sup>-6</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Depth was log-transformed (log (x)). All statistical analyses were done using the free-statistical software R, version 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team, 2013). Maps of the horizontal distribution of the environmental parameters, spline interpolation, and species abundance were generated by ArcGIS 10.2 software.

### RESULTS

### Hydrographic conditions

The summer of 2003 was warmer than that of 2004, with sea surface temperatures 2 to 3°C higher in July 2003 than in July 2004. In both years, a substantial difference in temperature was detected between the northern and southern parts of the region, and a marked thermal front of nearly 2 °C, perpendicular to the coastline, was detected around 41° 30' N (Fig. 2). This front separated the coldest waters in the north, with minimum values of 23.13°C in 2003 and 20.15°C in 2004, from the warmer waters in the south, with maximum values of 27.62°C in 2003 and 25.98°C in 2004 (Table 1, Fig. 2).

The surface salinity distribution showed similar average values in both years and was characterized by the presence of low salinity patches on the Ebro River continental shelf in the southern part of the area (Table 1, Fig. 2). In both years, two salinity patches were detected: one close to the coast north of the Ebro delta, and the other near the shelf edge at the southern limit of the sampled area. In July 2004, a water mass of high salinity (38.28) was located offshore in the southern half



Figure 2. Geostrophic current fields at 21 m depth, relative to 600 m, overlaid on surface (5 m) temperature, salinity, chlorophyll *a* concentration and integrated (0-100 m) chlorophyll *a* concentration in July 2003 and 2004, off the Catalan coast.

	July	2003		July 2004				
	Mean ± SD Min.		Max.	Mean ± SD	Min.	Max.		
Depth (m)	289.53 ± 331.64	24.00	1331.00	291.45 ± 326.21	28.00	1322.00		
T (°C)	25.82 ± 1.47	23.13	27.62	24.05 ± 1.56	20.15	25.98		
S	37.82 ± 0.19	37.26	38.09	37.86 ± 0.20	37.16	38.28		
Chl <i>a</i> (mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	$0.16 \pm 0.12$	0.05	0.79	$0.17 \pm 0.16$	0.02	1.14		
Int. Chl a (mg m <sup>-2</sup> )	27.16 ± 11.82	4.39	63.54	25.42 ± 8.18	3.86	41.24		
U45 (cm s <sup>-1</sup> )	$-2.01 \pm 19.74$	-74.19	32.7	$-2.26 \pm 20.9$	-58.18	67.78		
V45 (cm s <sup>-1</sup> )	$-3.65 \pm 10.63$	-30.66	18.91	0.31 ± 13.44	-27.28	39.62		
Vorticity (10 <sup>-6</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	$-0.12 \pm 0.89$	-3.65	2.20	$0.00 \pm 0.93$	-2.19	2.76		

Table 1. Values of the environmental parameters off the Catalan coast during July 2003 and July 2004.

Depth = bottom depth, T = temperature, S = salinity; Chl *a* = chlorophyll *a*, Int. Chl *a* = 0 to 100 m integrated chlorophyll *a*, U45 = across shore component of the current velocity, V45 = alongshore component of the current velocity, SD = standard deviation, Min. = minimum value, Max. = maximum value.

of the area.

Surface chlorophyll *a* showed very low values almost everywhere. There were, however, some patches of relatively high concentration near the Ebro delta (0.79 mg m<sup>-3</sup> in 2003 and 1.14 mg m<sup>-3</sup> in 2004, Table 1) whose position agreed with the low surface salinity patches described. Some other small patches were also observed close to the coast in the vicinity of Barcelona, a highly populated zone, and at secondary river mouths (Fig. 2). Integrated (0-100 m) chlorophyll *a* showed higher values in July 2003 than in 2004 (Table 1), with the lowest values usually located close to the coast, increasing over the shelf and slope (Fig. 2).

In both surveys, the southwest flowing Northern Current was very apparent in the NE of the study area, (>41°30' N), being more intense in July 2003 (Fig. 2). In the central part of the area, two anticyclonic eddies were located in 2003, and a large anticyclonic eddy was detected east of Barcelona in 2004. In both years, complex current patterns were detected in the vicinity of the Ebro delta over the continental shelf, dominated by negative (anticyclonic) vorticity, with well-defined eddies, particularly in 2003.

#### Abundance and species composition

A total of 56 species of planktonic cnidarians were found in the two studied periods, comprising 17 siphonophores, 36 hydromedusae and 3 scyphomedusae. In both summers the number of species of planktonic cnidarians was quite similar, 46 in July 2003 and 44 in July 2004 (Table 2). Nevertheless, the abundance was over twofold higher in the standard summer (total cnidarians: z-value = 102.8, p-value <0.001; Siphonophorae: z-value = 108.0, p-value <0.001, Scyphomedusae: z-value = 4.6, p-value <0.001, Fig. 3, Table 2), except for hydromedusae that was higher in the warm summer (z-value = -3.2, p-value <0.01). The Shannon diversity index (H') was higher in the warm (1.38 ± 0.36) than in the standard summer (0.98 ± 0.57).

Siphonophores were the most abundant cnidarians in both summers, with polygastric stages of M. atlantica and Lensia subtilis constituting the most numerous and widespread species (Table 2). The species community composition was virtually the same in both years, although Agalma elegans was only found in 2004 and a free-swimming siphosome fragment of Apolemia sp. was collected in 2003 (Table 2). The dominant species, M. atlantica, showed one of the most important reductions in abundance in the warm summer, while Muggiaea kochii was more abundant in this warm year (Table 2). The quantified eudoxid stages constituted a relatively high percentage of the total cnidarian community (~10%) and showed a higher abundance in the standard year, with those of C. appendiculata being the most abundant and widespread (Table 2).

Hydromedusae were the only cnidarian group that presented higher abundances in the warm summer, with *A. hemistoma* and *Rhopalonema velatum* being the most common and widespread species. This group showed the most important changes in species composition between summers

Table 2: Mean (± SD) abundance values (Ind·1000 m <sup>-3</sup> ), relative abundance (RA), frequency of occurrence (FO) and abun-
dance range values for all species found during July 2003 and 2004 off the Catalan coast.

5		July 200	03		July 2004					
	Mean ± SD	RA	FO	Range	Mean ± SD	RA	FO	Range		
Total Cnidaria	$1759.03 \pm 1351.17$	100	100	0 - 4155.9	3754.84 ± 3661.98	100	100	0 - 19013.5		
Siphonophorae	$1366.39 \pm 1163.49$	77.68	100	0 - 4155.9	3201.81 ± 2736.17	85.27	100	0 - 9513.6		
Abylopsis tetragona P	$11.95 \pm 20.67$	0.68	60.6	0 - 94.1	$25.37 \pm 42.01$	0.68	66.7	0 - 194.4		
Abylopsis tetragona E	$12.80 \pm 15.66$	0.73	68.2	0 - 73.6	$15.44 \pm 16.60$	0.41	52.0	0 - 71.2		
Chelophyes appendiculata P	$53.17 \pm 65.03$	3.02	81.8	0 - 348 2	4244 + 3065	1 13	97.0	0 - 155.0		
Chelonhyes appendiculata F	$175.00 \pm 266.28$	0.05	83.3	0 - 1394.4	316 15 + 361 36	8.42	08.5	0-1973.9		
Eudovoidas spiralis P	0.83 + 2.57	0.05	12.6	0 136	0.05 ± 0.30*	0.001	1.5	0.32		
Eudoxoidas spiralis F	2.46 + 9.51	0.03	15.0	0 425	0.05 ± 0.59	0.001	1.5	0-5.2		
Eudoxoldes spiralis E	2.40 ± 8.51	0.14	13.4	0-42.5	-	-	-	-		
Lensia conoidea	$5.85 \pm 8.08$	0.55	54.5	0-42.3	$6.70 \pm 10.85$	0.18	50.0	0 - 48.0		
Lensia fowleri	$0.12 \pm 0.58$	0.01	4.5	0-3.4	$0.10 \pm 0.56$	0.003	3.0	0-3.3		
Lensia meteori	3.8/±15.03	0.22	31.8	0 - 118.9	$25.06 \pm 64.15$	0.67	39.4	0-307.3		
Lensia subtilis	$136.74 \pm 135.98$	7.77	95.4	0 - 611.3	$122.05 \pm 118.54$	3.25	92.4	0 - 604.6		
Lensia subtiloides	$0.34 \pm 2.74$	0.02	1.5	0 - 22.2	$0.21 \pm 1.29$	0.01	3.0	0 - 9.7		
Muggiaea atlantica	$865.51 \pm 1002.11$	49.20	95.5	0 - 4155.9	$2610.93 \pm 2709.88$	69.54	100	4.7 - 9513.6		
Muggiaea kochi	$92.78 \pm 209.30$	5.27	89.4	0 - 1241.3	$28.53 \pm 30.98$	0.76	84.8	0 - 138.4		
Sulculeolaria chuni	$0.08 \pm 0.69*$	0.005	1.5	0 - 5.6	$0.04 \pm 0.29*$	0.001	1.5	0 - 2.4		
Hippopodius hippopus	$0.67 \pm 2.12$	0.04	12.1	0 - 10.5	$0.05 \pm 0.38*$	0.001	1.5	0 - 3.1		
Agalma elegans		-			$0.21 \pm 0.83$	0.01	6.1	0 - 4.2		
Halistemma rubrum	$1.50 \pm 2.47$	0.09	34.9	0 - 10.3	$2.84 \pm 5.14$	0.08	42.4	0 - 30.0		
Nanomia bijuga	$1.84 \pm 1.89$	0.10	56.1	0 - 7.4	$5.67 \pm 12.75$	0.15	60.6	0 - 93.2		
Apolemia sp.	$0.04 \pm 0.33^*$	0.002	1.5	0 - 2.6	1.=1	-	-			
Physophora hydrostatica	$0.85 \pm 1.87$	0.05	24.2	0-98	$0.14 \pm 0.62$	0.004	4.5	0-30		
Hydromedusae	388 22 + 378 00	22.07	98.5	0 - 1786 7	221 98 + 329 96	5.91	98.5	0 - 2173 8		
Order Anthosthecata	7 47 + 22 15	1 020	50.0	0 - 169 1	15 70 + 26 04	7.079	83.3	0 - 166 5		
Thempostoma dihalium	0.04 + 0.22*	0.002	1.5	0.26	0.00 ± 0.38	0.002	6.1	0.20		
Custosis en	$0.04 \pm 0.32^{+}$	0.002	1.5	0-2.0	0.09 ± 0.38	0.002	0.1	0-2.0		
Cystaets sp.	$0.03 \pm 0.28^{+}$	0.002	1.5	0-2.5	-	-	-	-		
Amphinema rubrum	$0.17 \pm 0.75$	0.01	0.1	0-4./	$0.19 \pm 1.19$	0.01	3.0	0-9.1		
Merga tergestina	-	-	-	-	$0.39 \pm 1.42$	0.01	9.1	0 - 9.1		
Merga tregoubovii	$0.04 \pm 0.29^*$	0.002	1.5	0 - 2.4	()+)		÷			
Leuckartiara octona	$0.64 \pm 1.61$	0.04	19.7	0 - 9.0	$0.86 \pm 2.12$	0.02	16.7	0 - 9.4		
Neoturris pileata	<u>.</u>	<i></i>			$0.05 \pm 0.38^*$	0.001	1.5	0 - 3.2		
Rhabdoon singulare	*	-	æ	1.5	$0.43 \pm 1.73$	0.01	9.1	0 - 12.5		
Porpita porpita (col.)		×	. H	-e)	$0.28 \pm 0.99$	0.01	7.6	0 - 4.7		
Velella velella (col.)	$6.06 \pm 21.79$	0.34	42.4	0 - 169.1	$12.40 \pm 25.89$	0.33	69.7	0 - 166.5		
Zanclea sp.	-	-	-	-	$0.10 \pm 0.78$	0.003	1.5	0 - 6.3		
Zanclea sessilis	-	-	-		$0.19 \pm 1.20$	0.01	3.0	0 - 9.2		
Codonium proliferum		-	-	-	$0.06 \pm 0.50*$	0.002	1.5	0 - 4.1		
Corvmornha higelowi	$0.09 \pm 0.75$	0.01	15	0-61		-	-	1		
Funhysa flammea	$0.19 \pm 1.24$	0.01	3.0	0-98				-		
Euphysa jumita	$0.19 \pm 0.06$	0.01	61	0-69	$0.11 \pm 0.64$	0.003	3.0	0.42		
Order Lentetheaste	12 26 + 17 40	2 169	667	0 101 2	17 64 + 05 60	7.059	51.5	0 377 3		
Order Leptotnecata	12.20 ± 17.49	0.004	1.5	0 42	17.04 ± 95.00	1.95	51.5	0-3/1.2		
Aequorea forskalea	$0.00 \pm 0.01^{+}$	0.004	1.5	0-4.2	1.5	-	2. 2			
Eirene viridula	$0.03 \pm 0.28^{+}$	0.002	1.5	0-2.3	-	-	-	-		
Eutima gegenbauri	$0.46 \pm 2.70$	0.03	4.5	0 - 20.8	$0.25 \pm 1.62$	0.01	3.0	0 - 12.6		
Eutima gracilis		-	-		$5.76 \pm 46.43$	0.15	3.0	0 - 377.2		
Eutonina scintillans		-	5		$0.19 \pm 1.55^*$	0.01	1.5	0 - 12.6		
Helgicirrha schulzii	$5.89 \pm 7.81$	0.34	56.1	0 - 29.2	$4.68 \pm 7.75$	0.12	42.4	0 - 35.6		
Laodicea undulata	$0.12 \pm 0.74$	0.01	3.0	0 - 5.6	1.41	<u>, 1</u>	(F)	14		
Staurostoma mertensii	$0.04 \pm 0.32^*$	0.002	1.5	0 - 2.6	-					
Earleria araiae	$0.07 \pm 0.39$	0.004	3.0	0 - 2.3	19 <b>-</b> 9	at	1			
Clytia spp.	$0.04 \pm 0.32^*$	0.002	1.5	0 - 2.6	$0.14 \pm 1.17$	0.004	1.5	0 - 9.5		
Gastroblasta raffaelei	$1.28 \pm 4.56$	0.07	10.6	0 - 23.4	120	14	<u>2</u>	12		
Obelia spp.	$4.27 \pm 14.23$	0.24	28.8	0 - 101.3	$6.62 \pm 46.42$	0.18	13.6	0 - 377.2		
Order Narcomedusae	$5.02 \pm 10.88$	1.29°	48.5	0 - 41.2	$2.90 \pm 5.83$	1.31°	33.3	0 - 27.4		
Cunina octonaria	$0.04 \pm 0.31*$	0.002	15	0-25	$0.08 \pm 0.68*$	0.002	15	0-55		
Solmissus albescens	1.17 + 4.91	0.07	91	0-324	0.56 + 2.35	0.01	61	0-128		
Solmundalla hitantaaulata	2 81 + 7 42	0.07	12.0	0-412	$2.36 \pm 5.11$	0.01	27.2	0-12.0		
Order Trechymoduses	363 46 + 360 00	03 630	09 5	0 - 1796 7	185 74 + 317 02	82 70	07.0	0 - 2173 9		
Liniona totembull	6 05 ± 0 26	0.24	62.6	0 22 4	0.91 + 2.26	0.02	15.1	0 120		
Liriope tetraphylla	$0.05 \pm 8.30$	0.34	03.0	0-33.4	$0.81 \pm 2.26$	0.02	15.1	0 - 12.0		
Agiaura hemistoma	234.37 ± 304.59	13.33	97.0	0 - 1786.7	117.55 ± 307.96	3.13	86.4	0-2173.8		
Persa incolorata	25.14 ± 57.17	1.43	57.6	0 - 303.5	3.86 ± 26.15	0.10	12.1	0 - 212.1		
Rhopalonema funerarium	$0.09 \pm 0.75$	0.01	1.5	0 - 6.1	$0.27 \pm 1.68$	0.01	3.0	0 - 12.6		
Rhopalonema velatum	$97.81 \pm 104.15$	5.56	89.4	0 - 413.7	$63.24 \pm 68.94$	1.68	90.9	0 - 283.9		
Scyphomedusae	$4.42 \pm 7.36$	0.25	47.0	0 - 33.3	331.06 ± 2340.13	8.82	72.7	0 - 19013.5		
Atolla sp.	$3.06 \pm 5.93$	0.17	40.9	0 - 33.3	$6.28 \pm 10.52$	0.17	53.0	0 - 63.4		
Nausithoe punctata	$0.02 \pm 0.20^*$	0.001	1.5	0 - 1.6	5 <b>4</b> 5	14	(B)	34		
Pelagia noctiluca	$1.34 \pm 4.60$	0.08	16.7	0-31.2	324.77 ± 2340.99	8.65	27.3	0 - 19013.5		

P = Polygastric stage (asexual), E = Eudoxid stage (sexual). \*Only 1 individual found; °RA relative to Hydromedusae group.



Figure 3. Mean abundance (± SD) differences between July 2003 and 2004 for the total cnidarian abundance, and the Siphonophorae, Hydromedusae and Scyphomedusae groups.

due to the more diverse orders Anthoathecata and Leptothecata, while the less diverse Narcomedusae and Trachymedusae conserved the same species composition (Table 2). In both summers, holoplanktonic hydromedusae (Narco-, Trachymedusae and the neustonic colonies *Porpita porpita* and *Velella velella*) were more abundant than the meroplanktonic species (Anthomedusae and Leptomedusae). The holoplanktonic species were more abundant in the warm summer (375  $\pm$  371 respect to 201  $\pm$  318 ind. 1000 m<sup>-3</sup>) while meroplanktonics incremented their abundance in the standard summer (from 14 $\pm$ 18 to 21 $\pm$ 98 ind. 1000 m<sup>-3</sup>).

Scyphomedusae was the second most abundant group in the standard summer due to the high abundance of *Pelagia noctiluca* ephyrae and juveniles. The abundance of this species was markedly lower in the warm summer (Table 2). With regard to species composition, the only difference between years was the presence of *Nausithoe punctata* (only 1 specimen) in 2003.

# Spatial distribution and relationships with environmental variables

In the warm summer (2003), station groups discriminated by cluster analysis showed a strong north–south separation (Fig. 4). Two groups were located in the northern part of the area (G3 and G5) and two groups in the south (G2 and G4), with a mixing zone between Blanes and Barcelona located south of the thermal front (Fig. 2 and 4). The two groups in the northern area were characterized by high abundances of Persa incolorata and C. appendiculata (polygastric and eudoxid stages). G3 was located over the shelf and had the highest abundance of M. kochii and the exclusive occurrence of Euphysa aurata and Eutia gegenbauri (Fig. 4), while G5 was located over the continental slope and presented the lowest abundances of M. atlantica, A. hemistoma and R. velatum. In the southern area, G4 was located close to the coast and represented the highest abundances of Obelia sp. and a low frequency of *C. appendiculata* (polygastric and eudoxid stages). Over the continental shelf and slope, G2 showed the highest abundance of A. tetragona (polygastric stage) and *P. noctiluca*, and the exclusive presence of E. spiralis and Gastroblasta raffaelei (except for one station in G4). South of the Ebro Delta, onestation group (G1), showed the lowest number of species (Fig. 4).

In the standard summer (2004), however, a stronger coastal-offshore separation was evident (Fig. 4). The groups G1 and G4 extended all along the region, the first close to the coast and the second over the shelf-slope region. The other two groups were found near the coast and over the shelf, one in the north (G5) and the other in the south (G2) (Fig. 4). The coastal groups (G1 and G5) were characterized by the highest abundance of Obelia spp. and M. kochii, while the large group over the slope (G4) was defined by the highest abundance and frequency of occurrence of Lensia conoidea, and the exclusive presences of Lensia meteori (except one station in G5) and Solmissus albescens (Fig. 4). Over the southern continental shelf, group G2 was characterized by the highest abundance and frequency of occurrence of A. tetragona and P. noctiluca. As in the warm summer, a group with few stations (G3) appeared south of the Ebro Delta, with the lowest number of species.



Figure 4. Upper: hierarchical clustering of stations in July 2003 (left panel) and July 2004 (right panel) and heatmap representing the abundance of the species (colour gradation from white to dark red represents from absence to the highest abundance), the five species-groups are indicated in different colour boxes. Lower: spatial distribution of the five station-groups in the study area; colours are in accordance with the hierarchical clustering group colours. Note that the same colour group indicates only a similar spatial distribution in both years, not the species composition or the number of the group.

The relationships between planktonic cnidarian abundance and environmental variables derived from the CCA analyses explained 82% and 78% of the total variance in the warm and the standard summers, respectively. In the warm summer, the first ordination axis accounted for 37% of the constrained variance and was negatively correlated with temperature (-0.85) and positively with salinity (0.76) (Fig. 5 and Table 4). Species associated with this axis were *A. tetragona* (polygastric stage), *P. notiluca, E. spiralis* (polygastric and eudoxid stages) and *G. raffaelei* in the left side of the dispersion diagram, associated with increasing temperature and decreasing salinity (Fig. 5). These species were particularly abundant in the southern part of the study area, characterized by high temperature and low salinity, and very scarce north of the thermal front (Fig. 6). On the other side of the axis, *P. incolorata, M. kochii, E. aurata* and *E. gegenbauri* were related to decreasing temperature and increasing salinity (Fig. 5). These species were mainly distributed north of the thermal front and over the shelf break all along the area (Fig. 6). The second

Table 3: Species richness (S) and Shannon diversity index (H') of the whole planktonic cnidarian community in July 2003 and 2004 off the Catalan coast.

	July 20	03	July 2004			
	Total/Mean $\pm$ SD	Range	Total/Mean $\pm$ SD	Range		
N. species (S)	46	3 - 22	44	1 - 17		
Shannon index (H')	$1.38 \pm 0.36$	0.52 - 2.19	$0.98 \pm 0.57$	0.00 - 1.95		

axis explained 28% of the constrained variance and was positively correlated with depth (0.72) and integrated chlorophyll a (0.56). The species coupling with these environmental conditions were *L. conoidea*, *L. meteori* and *S. albescens*, in the upper-right side of the diagram, and associated with increasing depth and integrated chlorophyll a (Fig. 5). The spatial distribution of these species was limited to the most offshore stations, where the highest and intermediate values of integrated chlorophyll were found (Fig. 2). In the opposite side of the axis, the coastal hydromedusae *Obelia* spp. was related to shallower depths and decreasing integrated chlorophyll a, mainly in the central coastal area (Fig. 6).

In the standard summer, the first ordination axis explained 46% of the constrained variance and was positively correlated with depth (0.86) (Table 4; Fig. 6). As observed in the warm summer, the species associated with increasing depth were *L. conoidea*, *L. meteori* and *S. albescens*, while *Obelia* spp. was associated to shallower depths (Fig. 6). The second axis explained 19% of the constrained variance and was negatively correlated with temperature (-0.68). The species related to warmer waters were *A. tetragona* (polygastric stages), *P. noctiluca* and *Liriope tetraphylla* (Fig. 6) and, as observed in the previous summer, were mainly located in the warm southern part of the area. On the other side of the axis, species such as *P. incolorata* and *Rhabdoon singulare* were associated with colder waters, appearing north of the thermal front (Fig. 6). Species with a weaker relationship with environmental parameters located close to axis origins in CCA plots, such as *M. atlantica, A. hemistoma, L. subtilis, C. appendiculata* and *R. velatum* showed a wide distribution all over the area (Fig. 7).

#### DISCUSSION

In summer 2003, the European region suffered an exceptional heat wave with air temperature records about  $3-6^{\circ}$ C above the seasonal average (Schär *et al.*, 2004). During that period, sea surface temperature values in the NW Mediterranean

Table 4: Summary of the results of the canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) performed with the planktonic cnidarians and the explanatory variables.

	Jul	y 2003	Ju	July 2004			
Total deviance explained (total inercia)	8	32 %		78 %			
Constrained variance explained by axis 1	3	37 %		46 %			
Constrained variance explained by axis 2	2	28 %		18 %			
Axis	1	2	1	2			
Constrained eigenvalues	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.03			
Correlation of environmental variables							
Depth	0.50	0.71	0.86	-0.36			
Temperature (5 m)	-0.85	0.38	-0.54	-0.68			
Salinity (5 m)	0.76	0.04	0.50	-0.14			
Int. Chl a	0.18	0.56	0.02	-0.09			
Day-Night	0.10	-0.25	-0.07	0.39			
U45	-0.44	0.07	-0.21	-0.50			
V45	-0.12	-0.14	0.01	0.09			
Vorticity	-0.25	-0.23	-0.06	0.32			

In **bold** the most significant relationships for each year (>0.55). Depth: bottom depth, Int. Chl *a*: integrated (0-100 m) chlorophyll *a*, U45: across shore component of the current velocity, V45: alongshore component of the current velocity.



Figure 5. Ordination plots of the canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) showing the relationships between the species (in red) and the environmental variables (blue arrows) for July 2003 and 2004. Black dots represent the location of the sampling stations. Depth: bottom depth, Int. Chl *a*: integrated (0-100 m) chlorophyll *a*, U\_45: across shore component of the current velocity, V\_45: alongshore component of the current velocity.

were close to those foreseen in the future by the climatic trends for the region (Vargas-Yáñez *et al.*, 2010), while water temperatures of summer 2004 were within the climatic average for the period 2000–2012 (Maynou *et al.*, 2014). In the Catalan coast, the effects of that extreme heat have been described in planktonic (Maynou *et al.*, 2014; Atienza *et al.*, 2016) and benthic communities (Garrabou *et al.*, 2009). In the present study, the community structure of planktonic cnidarians was significantly impacted by the exceptionally warm summer conditions.

In both summers, siphonophores were the dominant group, as observed in previous studies conducted in the NW Mediterranean (Gili *et al.*,

1988; Sabatés *et al.*, 2010; Andersen *et al.*, 2001). *Muggiaea atlantica* was the most abundant species in both summers showing a marked decrease during the warm one. *Muggiaea atlantica* is the dominant species in the NW Mediterranean since it replaced the formerly dominant, congeneric species *M. kochii* in the 1980s (Cervigón, 1958; Riera *et al.*, 1986; Gili *et al.*, 1988; Licandro *et al.*, 2012). *Muggiaea atlantica* is a cold-temperate species, declining its abundance at temperatures higher than 24°C (Marques *et al.*, 2008; Batistić *et al.*, 2013). *Muggiaea kochii* is a warmtemperate species displaying its seasonal peak of abundance in summer (Riera *et al.*, 1986; Gili *et al.*, 1988; Licandro *et al.*, 2012). The alternation



Figure 6. Spatial distributions of the species *Abylopsis tetragona*, *Persa incolorata*, *Obelia spp.* and *Lensia conoidea* overlaid on surface (5 m) temperature in July 2003 and July 2004. For temperature scale see Fig. 2.

of both species is a well known phenomenon in the English Channel and in other geographic regions (Russell, 1934; Mackie *et al.*, 1987; Blackett *et al.*, 2014). In the present study, this alternation seemed to take place in relation to water temperature changes. Probably, the high temperatures recorded in summer 2003 negatively affected *M. atlantica* population, allowing other species to increase their abundance by taking advantage of the non-used trophic niche.

Among siphonophores, the eudoxid stages of several species were very well represented. Studies dealing with the distribution of the siphonophore eudoxid stages in the Western Mediterranean are very scarce (Cervigón, 1958; Dallot *et al.*, 1988; Sabatés *et al.*, 2010). In the present study, *C. appendiculata* and *A. tetragona* were the most abundant in agreement with the observations of Cervigón (1958) and Dallot *et al.* (1988), respectively. In the warm summer, when both eudoxid species showed lower abundance compared to the standard one, they were absent in the warmer southern area and their distributions were displaced northwards and oceanwards. This suggests that the higher temperatures of 2003 would be less favourable for the sexual reproduction of these species. In addition, during the warm summer low abundance of zooplankton was found in the southern area (Maynou *et al.*, 2014; Atienza *et al.*, 2016) and, according to Purcell (1982), the production of eudoxids decreases when food is scarce. In agreement with these observations, Dallot *et al.* (1988) found a significantly higher abundance of eudoxids in areas of higher food availability.

Contrary to the findings for siphonophores, hydromedusae were more abundant in the warm summer, due to the high densities of holoplanktonic species, such as the trachymedusae *A. hemistoma* and *R. velatum*. These are warmwater species, and very abundant in the western Mediterranean (Gili *et al.*, 1988; Licandro and



Figure 7. Spatial abundance distribution of the widespread species *Muggiaea atlantica* and *Aglaura hemistoma* overlaid on surface (5 m) temperature in July 2003 and July 2004. For temperature scale see Fig. 2.

Ibañez, 2000; Batistić et al., 2007) and Atlantic Ocean (Pagès and Gili, 1991; Hosia et al., 2008; Nogueira Júnior et al., 2014), particularly in the summer months. The increased abundance of both species in the warm summer seems a natural consequence of the high temperatures favouring these warm-water species. Moreover, holoplanktonic species could take advantage of the non-used trophic niche left by M. atlantica more efficiently during the warm summer more than the meroplanktonic species. The dominance of holoplanktonic hydromedusae with respect to meroplanktonic species relates to their different life cycles. Holoplanktonic hydromedusae are always present in the water column, while meroplanktonic hydromedusae have a seasonal presence in the plankton; when the environmental conditions are optimal for the benthic phase (polyp) of these species, it releases the freeswimming medusae for the sexual reproduction (Boero and Bouillon, 1993; Gili et al., 1998). Thus, the different natural characteristics of both groups make holoplanktonic species tolerant to a wider range of temperatures. On the other hand, the lower abundance of meroplanktonic species in the warm summer, could be due to the earlier release of the medusae from the polyp (Boero et al., 2016). It is known that higher temperatures promote faster lifecycles and higher reproductive rates in different jellyfish species (Purcell, 2005; Boero et al., 2016). Moreover, the low abundance of zooplankton prey resource for the polyps found during the warm summer (Maynou et al., 2014; Atienza et al., 2016), could also have a negative effect on the medusae release (Gili et al., 1998). In this context, extreme warm conditions could especially and negatively affect the meroplanktonic species with a short-life medusa stage (Edwards and Richardson, 2004), since their survival depends on narrower temperature environments and sufficient food availability (Hays et al., 2005).

The scyphomedusa *P. noctiluca* ephyrae is one of the most abundant species of the planktonic cnidarians off the Catalan coast during the early summer months (Gili *et al.*, 1988; Sabatés *et al.*, 2010; Tilves *et al.*, 2016). Its abundance was remarkably low during the warm summer (Table

54

2). As the sexual reproduction in this species takes place in late spring and early summer (Canepa *et al.*, 2014), the higher temperatures experienced during the 2003 summer could have triggered the earlier sexual reproduction and, thus, a lower abundance of ephyrae as found during the sampling. On the other hand, the significantly lower abundance of siphonophores during the warm summer could affect the abundance of ephyrae, since it has recently reported that siphonophores are the most abundant and frequent prey of ephyrae in the area (Tilves *et al.*, 2016).

The species' composition and richness found in the two study summers were similar to those observed in previous studies of the area (Gili et al., 1987b, 1988; Sabatés et al., 2010). Although the total cnidarian abundance decreased in the warm summer, its diversity (H') was somewhat higher due to a higher number of meroplanktonic hydromedusae species per station and a much lower abundance of the dominant species, mainly M. atlantica, and also P. noctiluca in the southern area. The holoplanktonic community composition (siphonophores, trachyline medusae, V. velella, P. porpita, and P. noctiluca) remained practically constant during both summers since these species widely distributed and more eurytolerant than the meroplanktonic species; thus they are able to maintain viable populations in changeable environments (Gibbons et al., 2010). However, the meroplanktonic community was more species diverse and its composition changed considerably between the two summers as is to be expected from their life cycles (Arai, 1992; Boero and Bouillon, 1993; Gibbons et al., 2010). There is little information regarding diversity indices of planktonic cnidarian communities in extensive areas. Compared to other regions, our values during both summers are relatively lower than those found in the southern channels of Chile (Palma et al., 2007a, 2007b) but similar to those reported in Redang Island, Malaysia (Nakajima et al., 2013) and the upwelling zone off central Chile (Pavez et al., 2010). Although the species richness (N. of species) found in the Catalan coast was quite high compared to the previously cited areas, the high abundance of *M. atlantica* (up to

70%) here decreased diversity index values.

The spatial distribution patterns of the planktonic cnidarian community differed between cruises. In the standard summer, the community was primarily structured in a coastal-offshore axis defined by the bathymetry and, secondly, by the north-south temperature gradient, in accordance with previous studies of the area (Gili et al., 1988; Sabatés et al., 2010). A coastal-open sea gradient in the community composition has also been described in other geographic regions (e.g.: Palma et al., 2007b; Nagata et al., 2014). However, the conditions of the warm summer inverted the weight of the environmental factors, with latitudinal temperature gradient being the key factor structuring the community on a north-south axis, while the bathymetry played a secondary role.

During both summers the species characterizing the coastal and offshore communities remained the same. The coastal community was defined by Obelia spp. and M. kochii, with both species being very common in coastal areas (Gili et al., 1988; Batistić et al., 2013; Nagata et al., 2014). Obelia spp are filter-feeding species able to feed even on bacteria (Boero et al., 2007) and thus they are able to take advantage of high productive areas and seasons to rapidly increase their populations (Orejas et al., 2000; Guerrero et al., 2013). Muggiaea kochii, in contrast, is one of the few siphonophores inhabiting coastal and estuarine waters (Nagata et al., 2014; D'Ambrosio et al., 2016). The offshore community, distributed all along the shelf-slope region, was defined by L. conoidea, L. meteori and S. albescens. These are mesopelagic species performing extensive diel vertical migrations (Pugh, 1974; Kirkpatrick and Pugh, 1984; Mills and Goy, 1988) and have been previously recorded over the slope area of the Catalan coast (Sabatés et al., 2010).

The northern and southern communities showed important changes in species composition between both situations. In the standard summer, the northern community, related with the coldest and most saline waters, was defined by the presence of *R. singulare* while in the warm summer the species characterizing this group were *E. aurata, C. appendiculata* (poygastric and eudoxid stages) and M. kochii. In both situations, high abundances of P. incolorata were also found in that area. The hydromedusae R. singulare, P. incolorata and E. aurata are mesopelagic and have been previously related to cold and saline waters in the NW Mediterranean (Berhaut, 1969; Gov, 1991; Gili et al, 1988; Buecher and Gibbons, 1999). The siphonophores C. appendiculata and M. kochii, both warm-temperate species (Alvariño, 1971; Bouillon et al., 2004), were mainly located in the north during the warm year probably because the high temperatures of the southern area affected their abundance negatively, either direct or indirectly (low prey resources), their abundance. The southern community, related with warmer and less saline waters, was defined by A. tetragona and P. noctiluca in both years and the appearance in only 2003 of both E. spiralis (poygastric and eudoxid stages) and G. raffaelei. The two first are common and abundant species in the area, already correlated with warm temperatures (Gili et al., 1987a, 1988) The epipelagic siphonophore E. spiralis has been also associated with high temperatures both in the Mediterranean and in the Atlantic Ocean (Gamulin and Kršinić, 1993; Nogueira Júnior et al., 2014). The hydromedusa, G. raffaelei, is an endemic species in the Mediterranean Sea found so far only in the Adriatic, Tyrrhenian and Ligurian Seas (Gravili et al., 2007), with the present study being the first report of this species in the Catalan Sea.

Only during the warm summer, the gradient of the integrated chlorophyll a contributed, to some extent, to the coastal-offshore axis ordination; however, since its distribution was correlated with the bathymetry (see Fig. 2), and non-significantly in the standard summer, we believe that this variable had no direct effect on the spatial distribution of cnidarians. Instead we conclude that bathymetry is the main responsible physical variable. Even though hydrographic structures such as currents and vorticity have been reported to influence the spatial distribution of other zooplankters in the area, such as fish larvae (Sabatés et al., 2013), their effect on the planktonic cnidarians distribution in our study could be masked by depth and temperature gradients.

In summary, bathymetry (coastal-offshore gradient) was the main factor determining the spatial distribution pattern of the planktonic cnidarian community under standard summer conditions. The anomalous high temperatures of summer 2003 strengthened the latitudinal (N-S) community differentiation, with the appearance of some species characteristic of warmer waters (E. spiralis and G. raffaelei), and the northward displacement of certain other species (M. kochii and C. appendiculata). These distributional changes were associated with an important reduction in the abundance of the dominant species (M. atlantica and P. noctiluca) and of the sexual stages of some siphonophores (C. appendiculata). Similar results, including the higher occurrence of warm-water species and a significant reduction in the dominant ones, have been reported in the scarce works which studied the footprints of the 2003 heat anomaly on marine plankton communities (Piontkovski et al., 2011; Atienza et al., 2016).

It is well known that climatic conditions, especially temperature variations, have a decisive influence on gelatinous zooplankton distribution and abundance (Brodeur et al., 1999; Lynam et al., 2010; Brotz et al., 2012). In recent years, studies conducted in different regions have shown that frequent warmer conditions are accompanied by an increased abundance of gelatinous species (e.g. Purcell, 2005; Brodeur et al., 2002; Doyle et al., 2007). Long-term studies carried out in the NW Mediterranean have reported an increase in the abundance of the jellyfish community (Molinero et al., 2005; 2008) while others did not show this increasing trend (García-Comas et al., 2011; Berline et al., 2012; Licandro et al., 2012). Nevertheless, major changes in the community composition and high inter-annual abundance variability have been observed (García-Comas et al., 2011; Berline et al., 2012; Licandro et al., 2012).

Our observations do not agree with the general increasing trend given the important decrease in planktonic cnidarian abundance during the anomalous warm summer. The effect of temperature changes on marine systems is far from being simple and depends on the temporal scale of variability, from sudden changes to trend over time, and the response capacity of the organisms. The summer heat conditions of 2003 constituted an extreme event (Schär et al., 2004) that involved strong and sudden temperature changes, so that its effects on the planktonic community may not be comparable to those caused by the long-term warming trend. In addition, high temperatures may favour an earlier sexual and asexual reproduction of the species (Hays et al., 2005; Boero et al., 2016) thus advancing the timing of their seasonal abundance peak in that year, particularly for cool-temperate species. Finally, we must take into account the reduction of food availability, due to a general decrease in zooplankton during this extreme event (Piontkovski et al., 2011; Maynou et al, 2014; Atienza et al., 2016), which could have negatively affected the planktonic cnidarians.

Our results pointed out that exceptionally warm conditions during the summer season lead to a reduction of gelatinous zooplankton abundance and changes in their latitudinal distribution and community composition. Distributional changes may increase the overlapping of trophic niches among species, thus affecting their survival and persistence. We wish to stress the importance of spatial mesoscale plankton surveys with detailed taxonomic identification (including their different life stages), as a critical tool for tracking changes in the community structure in high diversity regions, such as the Mediterranean, for a better understanding of marine ecosystem responses to climatic variability.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We greatly appreciate the assistance of the R/V García del Cid crew. Special thanks go to Gillian Mapstone and Cinzia Gravili who helped us in confirming the taxonomical identification of some siphonophores and hydromedusae, respectively. This study was partially supported by the EU Project VECTORS (FP7 OCEAN-2010, 266445) and the Spanish project FISHJELLY (MAR-CTM2010-18875). This study is a contribution of the *Biologia de la Conservació en* 

*Ecosistemes Marins* Group (MEDRECOVER; 2014SGR-1297) at the Institut de Ciències del Mar–CSIC.

## REFERENCES

- Alvariño A. 1971. Siphonophores of the Pacific with a review of the world distribution. *Bull. Scripps Inst. Oceanogr.* **16**: 1–432
- Andersen V, Nival P, Caparroy P, Gubanova A. 2001. Zooplankton community during the transition from spring bloom to oligotrophy in the open NW Mediterranean and effects of wind events. 1. Abundance and specific composition. *Journal of Plankton Research* 23: 227–242
- Arai MN. 1992. Active and Passive Factors Affecting Aggregations of Hydromedusae: A review. *Scientia Marina* 56: 99–108
- Atienza D, Sabatés A, Isari S, Saiz E, Calbet A. 2016. Environmental boundaries of marine cladoceran distributions in the NW Mediterranean: Implications for their expansion under global warming. *Journal of Marine Systems* 164: 30–41 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.08.003
- Batistić M, Garić R, Molinero JC. 2014. Interannual variations in Adriatic Sea zooplankton mirror shifts in circulation regimes in the Ionian Sea. *Climate Research* **61**: 231–240 DOI: 10.3354/cr01248
- Batistić M, Jasprica N, Caric M, Lučić D. 2007. Annual cycle of the gelatinous invertebrate zooplankton of the eastern South Adriatic coast (NE Mediterranean). *Journal of Plankton Research* **29**: 671–686 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/ fbm048
- Batistić M, Lučić D, Carić M, Garić R, Licandro P, Jasprica N. 2013. Did the alien calycophoran Muggiaea atlantica outcompete its native congeneric M. kochi in the marine lakes of Mljet Island (Croatia)? *Marine Ecology* 34: 3–13 DOI: 10.1111/maec.12021
- Beaugrand G, Reid PC, Ibañez F, Lindley JA, Edwards M. 2002. Reorganization of North Atlantic Marine Copepod Biodiversity and Climate. *Science* **296**: 1692–1694 DOI: 10.1126/ science.1071329
- Benović A, Lučić D, Onofri V. 2000. Does

change in an Adriatic hydromedusan fauna indicate an early phase of marine ecosystem destruction? *Marine Ecology* **21**: 221–231 DOI: 10.1046/j.1439-0485.2000.00712.x

Berhaut J. 1969. Étude qualitative, quantitative et écologique des Hydroméduses du Golfe de Marseille. *Tethys* 1: 667–708

- Berline L, Siokou-Frangou I, Marasović I, Vidjak O, Fernández de Puelles ML, Mazzocchi MG, Assimakopoulou G, Zervoudaki S, Fonda-Umani S, Conversi A, et al. 2012. Intercomparison of six Mediterranean zooplankton time series. *Progress in Oceanography* **97–100**: 76–91 DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2011.11.011
- Blackett M, Licandro P, Coombs SH, Lucas CH. 2014. Long-term variability of the siphonophores Muggiaea atlantica and M. kochi in the Western English Channel. *Progress in Oceanography* **128**: 1–14 DOI: 10.1016/j. pocean.2014.07.004
- Boero F, Bouillon J. 1993. Zoogeography and life cycle patterns of Mediterranean hydromedusae (Cnidaria). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 48: 239–266 DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1993.tb00890.x
- Boero F, Brotz L, Gibbons MJ, Piraino S, Zampardi S. 2016. Impacts and effects of ocean warming on jellyfish. In *Explaining Ocean Warming: Causes, Scale, Effects and Consequences*, Laffoley D, , Baxter JM (eds).Gland, Switzerland: UICN.; 213–237.
- Boero F, Bucci C, Colucci AMR, Gravili C, Stabili L. 2007. *Obelia* (Cnidaria, Hydrozoa, Campanulariidae): a microphagous, filterfeeding medusa. *Marine Ecology* **28**: 178–183 DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-0485.2007.00164.x
- Borcard D, Gillet F, Legendre P. 2011. *Numerical ecology with R* (R Gentleman, K Hornik, and GG Parmigiani, eds). Springer New York. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4419-7976-6
- Bosc E, Bricaud E, Antoine D. 2004. Seasonal and interannual variability in algal biomass and primary production in the Mediterranean Sea, as derived from 4 years of SeaWiFS observations. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **18**: 1–17 DOI: 10.1029/2003GB002034
- Bouillon J, Medel MD, Pagès F, Gili J-M, Boero F, Gravili C. 2004. Fauna of the Mediterra-

nean Hydrozoa. *Scientia Marina* **68**: 438 DOI: 10.3989/scimar.2004.68s25

- Brodeur RD, Mills CE, Overland JE, Gary EW, Schumacher JD. 1999. Evidence for a substantial increase in gelatinous zooplankton in the Bering Sea, with possible links to climate change. *Fisheries oceanography* **8**: 296–306
- Brodeur RD, Sugisaki H, Hunt GL. 2002. Increases in jellyfish biomass in the Bering Sea: Implications for the ecosystem. *Marine Ecol*ogy Progress Series 233: 89–103 DOI: 10.3354/ meps233089
- Brotz L, Pauly D. 2012. Jellyfish populations in the Mediterranean Sea. *Acta Adriatica* **53**: 211–230
- Brotz L, Cheung WWL, Kleisner K, Pakhomov E, Pauly D. 2012. Increasing jellyfish populations: Trends in Large Marine Ecosystems. *Hydrobiologia* 690: 3–20 DOI: 10.1007/s10750-012-1039-7
- Calvo E, Simó R, Coma R, Ribes M, Pascual J, Sabatés A, Gili J-M, Pelejero C. 2011. Effects of climate change on Mediterranean marine ecosystems: The case of the Catalan Sea. *Climate Research* **50**: 1–29 DOI: 10.3354/cr01040
- Canepa A, Fuentes V, Sabatés A, Piraino S, Boero F, Gili J-M. 2014. Pelagia noctiluca in the Mediterranean Sea. In *Jellyfish Blooms*, Pitt KA, , Lucas CH (eds).Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht; 237–266. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-7015-7
- Cervigón F. 1958. Contribution al estudio de los sifonoforos de las costas de Castellon (Mediterrnaneo Occidental). *Investigaciones Pesqueras* **12**: 21–47
- D'Ambrosio M, Molinero JC, Azeiteiro UM, Pardal MA, Primo AL, Nyitrai D, Marques SC. 2016. Interannual abundance changes of gelatinous carnivore zooplankton unveil climatedriven hydrographic variations in the Iberian Peninsula, Portugal. *Marine Environmental Research* **120**: 103–110 DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.07.012
- Dallot S, Goy J, Carré C. 1988. Peuplements de carnivores planctoniques gélatineux et structures productives en Méditerranée occidentale. *Oceanologica Acta*: 193–209

Doyle TK, Houghton JDR, Buckley SM, Hays

GC, Davenport J. 2007. The broad-scale distribution of five jellyfish species across a temperate coastal environment. *Hydrobiologia* **579**: 29–39 DOI: 10.1007/s10750-006-0362-2

- Durrieu de Madron X, Guieu C, Sempéré R, Conan P, Cossa D, D'Ortenzio F, Estournel C, Gazeau F, Rabouille C, Stemmann L, et al. 2011. Marine ecosystems' responses to climatic and anthropogenic forcings in the Mediterranean. *Progress in Oceanography* **91**: 97–166 DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2011.02.003
- Edwards M, Richardson AJ. 2004. Impact of climate change on marine pelagic phenology and trophic mismatch. *Nature* **430**: 881–884 DOI: 10.1038/nature02808
- Estrada M, Vives F, Alcaraz M. 1985. Life and the productivity of the open sea. In *Western Mediterranean*, Margalef R (ed.).Pergamon Press; 148–197.
- Gamulin T, Kršinić F. 1993. On the occurrence of Calycophorae (Siphonophora) in the southern Adriatic and Tyrrhenian Sea: A comparison of the annual cycles off Dubrovnik and Naples. *Journal of Plankton Research* **15**: 855–865 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/15.7.855
- García-Comas C, Stemmann L, Ibanez F, Berline L, Mazzocchi MG, Gasparini S, Picheral M, Gorsky G. 2011. Zooplankton long-term changes in the NW Mediterranean Sea: Decadal periodicity forced by winter hydrographic conditions related to large-scale atmospheric changes? *Journal of Marine Systems* **87**: 216– 226 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2011.04.003
- Garrabou J, Coma R, Bensoussan N, Bally M, Chevaldonné P, Cigliano M, Diaz D, Harmelin JG, Gambi MC, Kersting DK, et al. 2009. Mass mortality in Northwestern Mediterranean rocky benthic communities: Effects of the 2003 heat wave. *Global Change Biology* **15**: 1090–1103 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01823.x
- Gibbons MJ, Janson LA, Ismail A, Samaai T. 2010. Life cycle strategy, species richness and distribution in marine Hydrozoa (Cnidaria: Medusozoa). *Journal of Biogeography* **37**: 441–448 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02226.x
- Gili J-M, Alvà V, Coma R, Orejas C, Pagès F, Ribes M, Zabala M, Arntz WE, Bouillon J, Boero F, et al. 1998. The impact of small benthic passi-

ve suspension feeders in shallow marine ecosystems: the hydroids as an example. *Zool. Verh. Leiden* **323**: 99–105 Available at: http://www. repository.naturalis.nl/document/149037

- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Riera T. 1987a. Distribución de las especies más frecuentes de sifonóforos calicóforos en la zona norte del Mediterráneo occidental. *Investigaciones Pesqueras* **51**: 323–338
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Sabatés A, Ros JD. 1988. Small-scale distribution of a cnidarian population in the western Mediterranean. *Journal of Plankton Research* **10**: 385–401
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Vives F. 1987b. Distribution and ecology of a population of planktonic cnidarians in the western Mediterranean. In *Modern Trends in the Systematics, Ecology, and Evolution of Hydroids and Hydromedusae*, Bouillon J, , Boero F, , Cicogna F, , Cornelius PFS (eds).Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK; 157–170.
- Goy J. 1991. Hydromedusae of the Mediterranean Sea. *Hydrobiologia* **216–217**: 351–354
- Goy J, Morand P, Etienne M. 1989. Long-term fluctuations of Pelagia noctiluca (Cnidaria, Scyphomedusa) in the western Mediterranean Sea. Prediction by climatic variables. *Deep Sea Research Part A, Oceanographic Research Papers* **36**: 269–279 DOI: 10.1016/0198-0149(89)90138-6
- Graham WM, Pagès F, Hamner WM. 2001. A physical context for gelatinous zooplankton aggregations: a review. *Hydrobiologia* **451**: 199–212
- Gravili C, Bouillon J, D'Elia A, Boero F. 2007. The life cycle of Gastroblasta raffaelei (Cnidaria, Hydrozoa, Leptomedusae, Campanulariidae) and a review of the genus Gastroblasta. *Italian Journal of Zoology* **74**: 395–403 DOI: 10.1080/11250000701650768
- Grazzini F, Viterbo P. 2003. Record-breaking warm sea surface temperature of the Mediterranean Sea. *ECMWF Newsletter* **98**: 30–31
- Grossmann MM, Collins AG, Lindsay DJ. 2014. Description of the eudoxid stages of Lensia havock and Lensia leloupi (Cnidaria: Siphonophora: Calycophorae), with a review of all known Lensia eudoxid bracts. *Systematics and Biodiversity* **12**: 163–180 DOI:

10.1080/14772000.2014.902867

- Guerrero E, Gili J-M, Rodriguez CS, Araujo EM, Canepa A, Calbet A, Genzano G, Mianzan HW, González RA. 2013. Biodiversity and distribution patterns of planktonic cnidarians in San Matías Gulf, Patagonia, Argentina. *Marine Ecology* **34**: 71–82 DOI: 10.1111/maec.12027
- Guerrero E, Marrodán A, Sabatés A, Orejas C, Gili J-M. 2016. High spatial heterogeneity of two planktonic cnidarian species related to the variability of a shelf-slope front at short time scales. *Scientia Marina* **80**: 487–497 DOI: 10.3989/scimar.04452.03A
- Haddock SHD. 2004. A golden age of gelata: past and future research on planktonic ctenophores and cnidarians. *Hydrobiologia* **530–531**: 549–556 DOI: 10.1007/s10750-004-2653-9
- Hays GC, Richardson AJ, Robinson C. 2005. Climate change and marine plankton. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **20**: 337–44 DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2005.03.004
- Hidaka K. 1940. Absolute evaluation of oceanic currents in dynamic calculations. *Proc Imp Acad (Tokyo)* **16**: 391–393
- Hosia A, Stemmann L, Youngbluth MJ. 2008. Distribution of net-collected planktonic cnidarians along the northern Mid-Atlantic Ridge and their associations with the main water masses. *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* **55**: 106–118 DOI: 10.1016/j. dsr2.2007.09.007
- Hughes L. 2000. Biological consequences of global warming: is the signal already. **15**: 56–61
- IPCC. 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (RKP and LAM Core Writing Team, ed.). Geneva, Switzerland. Available at: http://www.ipcc.ch/ pdf/assessment-report/ar5/syr/SYR\_AR5\_FI-NAL\_full.pdf
- Kirkpatrick PA, Pugh PR. 1984. *Siphonophores and Velellids*. Backhuys Publishers.
- Levitus S, Antonov J, Boyer T. 2005. Warming of the world ocean, 1955–2003. *Geophysical Research Letters* **32**: L02604 DOI: 10.1029/2004GL021592
- Licandro P, Ibañez F. 2000. Changes of zoo-

plankton communities in the Gulf of Tigullio (Ligurian Sea, Western Mediterranean) from 1985 to 1995. Influence of hydroclimatic factors. *Journal of Plankton Research* **22**: 2225– 2253 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/22.12.2225

- Licandro P, Souissi S, Ibanez F, Carré C. 2012. Long-term variability and environmental preferences of calycophoran siphonophores in the Bay of Villefranche (north-western Mediterranean). *Progress in Oceanography* **97–100**: 152– 163 DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2011.11.004
- Lynam CP, Attrill MJ, Skogen MD. 2010. Climatic and oceanic influences on the abundance of gelatinous zooplankton in the North Sea. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **90**: 1153–1159 DOI: 10.1017/s0025315409990488
- Mackie GO, Pugh PR, Purcell JE. 1987. Siphonophore Biology. *Advances in Marine Biology* **24**: 97–262 DOI: 10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60074-7
- Marques SC, Azeiteiro UM, Leandro SM, Queiroga H, Primo AL, Martinho F, Viegas I, Pardal MÂ. 2008. Predicting zooplankton response to environmental changes in a temperate estuarine ecosystem. *Marine Biology* **155**: 531–541 DOI: 10.1007/s00227-008-1052-6
- Maynou F, Sabatés A, Salat J. 2014. Clues from the recent past to assess recruitment of Mediterranean small pelagic fishes under sea warming scenarios. *Climatic Change* **126**: 175–188 DOI: 10.1007/s10584-014-1194-0
- McClatchie S, Cowen R, Nieto K, Greer AT, Luo JY, Guigand C, Demer D, Griffith D, Rudnick D. 2012. Resolution of fine biological structure including small narcomedusae across a front in the Southern California Bight. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **117**: C04020 DOI: 10.1029/2011JC007565
- Mills CE, Goy J. 1988. In situ observations of the behavior of mesopelagic Solmissus Narcomedusae (Cnidaria, Hydrozoa). *Bulletin of Marine Science* **43**: 739–751
- Mills CE, Pugh PR, Harbison GR, Haddock SHD. 1996. Medusae, siphonophores and ctenophores of the Alboran Sea, south western Mediterranean. *Scientia Marina* **60**: 145–163

Molinero JC, Casini M, Buecher E. 2008. The in-

fluence of the Atlantic and regional climate variability on the long-term changes in gelatinous carnivore populations in the northwestern Mediterranean. *Limnology and Oceanography* **53**: 1456–1467 DOI: 10.4319/lo.2008.53.4.1456

- Molinero JC, Ibanez F, Nival P, Buecher E, Souissi S. 2005. The North Atlantic climate and the northwestern Mediterranean plankton variability. *Limnology and Oceanography* **50**: 1213– 1220 DOI: 10.4319/lo.2005.50.4.1213
- Nagata RM, Nogueira Júnior M, Brandini FP, Haddad MA. 2014. Spatial and temporal variation of planktonic cnidarian density in subtropical waters of the Southern Brazilian Bight. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **94**: 1387–1400 DOI: 10.1017/S0025315414000617
- Nakajima R, Lindsay DJ, Yoshida T, Othman BHR, Toda T. 2013. Short-term temporal variation in gelatinous zooplankton populations over 48 hours in a coral reef at Redang Island, Malaysia. *Marine Ecology*: n/a-n/a DOI: 10.1111/maec.12069
- Nogueira Júnior M, Brandini FP, Codina JCU. 2014. Distribution of planktonic cnidarians in response to South Atlantic Central Water intrusion in the South Brazilian Bight. *Continental Shelf Research* **89**: 93–102 DOI: 10.1016/j. csr.2014.02.022
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Peter Solymos P, Henry M, Stevens H, et al. 2015. Vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.3–0. Available at: http://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan
- Orejas C, Gili J-M, Alvà V, Arntz W. 2000. Predatory impact of an epiphytic hydrozoan in an upwelling area in the Bay of Coliumo (Dichato, Chile). *Journal of Sea Research* **44**: 209–220 DOI: 10.1016/S1385-1101(00)00057-5
- Pagès F, Gili J-M. 1991. Effects of large-scale advective processes on gelatinous zooplankton populations in the northern Benguela ecosystem. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **75**: 205–215
- Pagès F, González HE, Ramón M, Sobarzo M, Gili J-M. 2001. Gelatinous zooplankton assemblages associated with water masses in the Humboldt Current System, and potential pre-

datory impact by Bassia bassensis (Siphonophora: Calycophorae). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **210**: 13–24

- Palma S, Apablaza P, Silva N. 2007a. Hydromedusae (Cnidaria) of the Chilean southern channels (from the Corcovado Gulf to the Pulluche-Chacabuco Channels). *Scientia Marina* 71: 65–74
- Palma S, Apablaza P, Soto D. 2007b. Diversity and aggregation areas of planktonic cnidarians of the southern channels of Chile (Boca del Guafo to Pulluche Channel). *Investigaciones Marinas* **35**: 71–82
- Pavez MA, Landaeta MF, Castro LR, Schneider W. 2010. Distribution of carnivorous gelatinous zooplankton in the upwelling zone off central Chile (austral spring 2001). *Journal* of *Plankton Research* **32**: 1051–1065 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/fbq029
- Penston MJ, Millar CP, Zuur AF, Davies IM. 2008. Spatial and temporal distribution of Lepeophtheirus salmonis (Krøyer) larvae in a sea loch containing Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., farms on the north-west coast of Scotland. *Journal of fish diseases* **31**: 361–71 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2761.2008.00915.x
- Piontkovski SA, Fonda-umani S, Stefanova K, Kamburska L, De Olazabal A. 2011. An Impact of Atmospheric Anomalies on Zooplankton Communities in the Northern Adriatic and Black Seas. *International Journal of Oceans and Oceanography* **5**: 53–71
- Postel L, Fock H, Hagen W. 2000. Biomass and abundance. In *ICES Zooplankton Methodology Manual*, Harris RP, , Wiebe PH, , Lenz J, , Skjodal HR, , Huntley M (eds).Academic Press: London; 83–192.
- Pugh PR. 1974. The vertical distribution of the siphonophores collected during the Sond Cruise, 1965. *J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K.* **54**: 25–90
- Pugh PR. 1984. The diel migrations and distributions within a mesopelagic community in the North East Atlantic. 7. Siphonophores. *Progress in Oceanography* 13: 461–489 DOI: 10.1016/0079-6611(84)90016-8
- Pugh PR. 1989. Gelatinous zooplankton-the forgotten fauna. *Prog Underwater Sci* 14: 67–78

Purcell JE. 1982. Feeding and growth of the si-

phonophore Muggiaea atlantica (Cunningham 1893). J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. **62**: 39–54

- Purcell JE. 2005. Climate effects on formation of jellyfish and ctenophore blooms: a review. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK* **85**: 461–476 DOI: 10.1017/ S0025315405011409
- R Development Core Team. 2013. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria. Available at: http://www.r-project.org/
- Riera T, Gili J-M, Pagès F. 1986. Estudio cuantitativo y estacional de dos poblaciones de cnidarios planctonicos frente a las costas de Barcelona (Med. occ.): ciclos entre 1966-67 y 1982-83. *Misc. Zool.* **10**: 23–32
- Robison BH. 2004. Deep pelagic biology. *Journal* of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology **300**: 253–272 DOI: 10.1016/j.jembe.2004.01.012
- Russell FS. 1934. On the occurrence of the siphonophores Muggiœa atlantica Cunningham and Muggiæa kochi (Will) in the English Channel. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **19**: 555–559 DOI: 10.1017/ S0025315400046622
- Sabatés A, Pagès F, Atienza D, Fuentes V, Purcell JE, Gili J-M. 2010. Planktonic cnidarian distribution and feeding of Pelagia noctiluca in the NW Mediterranean Sea. *Hydrobiologia* 645: 153–165 DOI: 10.1007/s10750-010-0221-z
- Sabatés A, Salat J, Raya V, Emelianov M. 2013. Role of mesoscale eddies in shaping the spatial distribution of the coexisting Engraulis encrasicolus and Sardinella aurita larvae in the northwestern Mediterranean. *Journal of Marine Systems* **112**: 108–119 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2012.10.002
- Sabatés A, Salat J, Raya V, Emelianov M, Segura-Noguera M. 2009. Spawning environmental conditions of Sardinella aurita at the northern limit of its distribution range, the western Mediterranean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 385: 227–236 DOI: 10.3354/meps08058
- Saiz E, Sabatés A, Gili J-M. 2014. The Zooplankton. In *The Mediterranean Sea: Its History* and Present Challenges., Goffredo S, , Dubinsky Z (eds).Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht; 183–211. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-6704-1

- Schär C, Vidale PL, Lüthi D, Frei C, Häberli C, Liniger M a, Appenzeller C. 2004. The role of increasing temperature variability in European summer heatwaves. *Nature* 427: 332–336 DOI: 10.1038/nature02300
- Sparnocchia S, Schiano ME, Picco P, Bozzano R, Cappelletti A. 2006. The anomalous warming of summer 2003 in the surface layer of the Central Ligurian Sea (Western Mediterranean). *Ann. Geophys.* **24**: 443–452
- Stewart TH. 2008. Introduction to Physical Oceanography. *http://oceanworld.tamu.edu/resources/ocng\_textbook/contents.html*
- Thuiller W, Lavorel S, Araujo MB. 2005. Niche properties and geographical extent as predictors of species sensitivity to climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **14**: 347–357 DOI: 10.1111/j.1466-822X.2005.00162.x
- Tilves U, Fuentes V, Purcell JE, Torrents A, Pascual M, Raya V, Gili J-M, Sabatés A. 2016. Natural diet and predation impacts of Pelagia noctiluca on fish eggs and larvae in the NW Mediterranean. *Journal of Plankton Research* 0: 1–12 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/fbw059
- Vargas-Yáñez M, Moya F, García-Martínez MC, Tel E, Zunino P, Plaza F, Salat J, Pascual J, López-Jurado JL, Serra M. 2010. Climate change in the Western Mediterranean Sea 1900-2008. *Journal of Marine Systems* 82: 171–176 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2010.04.013
- Venables WN, Ripley BD. 2002. *Modern Applied Statistics with S.* Springer: New York. Available at: http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/pub/MASS4/
- Zhang F, Sun S, Jin X, Li C. 2012. Associations of large jellyfish distributions with temperature and salinity in the Yellow Sea and East China Sea. *Hydrobiologia* **690**: 81–96 DOI: 10.1007/ s10750-012-1057-5
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM. 2009. *Mixed effects models and extension in ecology with R*. Springer-Verlag: New York. Available at: http://www.springer.com/ life+sciences/ecology/book/978-0-387-87457-9

# Chapter 3

Long-term changes of the planktonic cnidarian community in the NW Mediterranean



Elena Guerrero, Josep-Maria Gili, Jordi Grinyó, Vanesa Raya and Ana Sabatés.

## Long-term changes of the planktonic cnidarian community in the NW Mediterranean

Elena Guerrero<sup>1</sup>, Josep-Maria Gili<sup>1</sup>, Jordi Grinyó<sup>1</sup>, Vanesa Raya<sup>1</sup> and Ana Sabatés<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Ciències del Mar, CSIC, Pg. Marítim de la Barceloneta 37-49, E-08003 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: eguerrero@icm.csic.es

#### ABSTRACT

In the present work possible long-term changes in the planktonic cnidarian community have been investigated by analysing (1) species and community spatial distribution patterns, (2) variations in abundance and (3) changes in species richness during three mesoscale surveys representative of the climatic and anthropogenic changes occurred during the last three decades (1983, 2004 and 2011) in the NW Mediterranean. These surveys were conducted during summer (June), along the Catalan coast, covering the same area and using the same sampling methodology. An increase in abundance of total Cnidaria was found from 1983 to 2011. The siphonophore *Muggiaea atlantica* and the hydromedusae *Aglaura hemistoma* were the most abundant species, and *Muggiaea kochii* presented the largest abundance increment over time. Temperature was the main environmental parameter that caused significant differences in community composition, abundance and spatial distribution patterns among surveys. However, it is likely that others anthropogenic factors such us overfishing may have contributed to the observed changes over time. Our results suggest that in the current climate change scenario warm-species abundance will be positively favoured and community latitudinal distribution patterns will be reinforced. We consider extremely important to study and monitor gelatinous zooplankton in mesoscale spatial areas to understand not only long-term changes in abundances but also changes in their spatial distributions, since spatial changes are sensitive indicators of climate change.

#### INTRODUCTION

There is increasing evidence that ocean warming is driving changes in the abundance, composition and spatial distribution of gelatinous zooplankton worldwide (Brotz *et al.*, 2012; Boero *et al.*, 2016). Gelatinous zooplankton is a conspicuous component of planktonic communities but still relatively little is known about its role in the marine ecosystem (Pagès *et al.*, 2001; Haddock, 2004). These organisms are highly influenced by oceanographic dynamics, water mass structures, as well as climate variability (Graham *et al.*, 2001; Brotz *et al.*, 2012).

Increments in seawater temperature can lead to enhanced abundance of different gelatinous zooplankton groups, such as planktonic cnidarians (Purcell, 2005; Molinero *et al.*, 2008). Following the general global pattern (Levitus *et al.*, 2005), seawater temperature in the NW Mediterranean has shown an increasing trend over the last decades (Rixen *et al.*, 2005). This warming trend has been particularly evident in the 1980s and at the end of the 1990s (Rixen *et al.*, 2005; Vargas-Yáńez *et al.*, 2005). In the Mediterranean Sea, climate change is undoubtedly affecting the basic biology and ecology of organisms, as well as pelagic ecosystem functioning (e.g.: Conversi *et al.*, 2010; Calvo *et al.*, 2011).

Monitoring long-term changes in the plankton is of great importance as they act as sentinels to identify changes in marine ecosystems (Hays et al., 2005). Luckily, in the Mediterranean several time series, based on one or a few sampling stations, have been carried out since the late 1960s with a high temporal sampling frequency (weekly, monthly) (see Berline et al., 2012), providing a rather extensive knowledge on gelatinous zooplankton population dynamics and trends compared to other areas (Brotz and Pauly, 2012). These time series allowed identification of long-term changes in the abundance and composition of different planktonic cnidarian species (Molinero et al., 2005, 2008; García-Comas et al., 2011; Licandro et al., 2012). Since these series cover a restricted spatial area, in the present work we rise the need for mesoscale spatial zooplankton studies in order to complement the existing knowledge of long-term changes in planktonic cnidarians by embracing a large spatial scale. Spatial changes can rely on both speciesspecific distributional changes or changes in the community distribution patterns. The study and monitoring of these spatial changes are of significant importance since they act as sensitive indicators of climate change (Hughes, 2000).

The study of mesoscale spatial areas, in the range of 100 to 1000 km, provide the opportunity to study population and community change rates in relation to changing physical conditions (Haury *et al.*, 1978). In this sense, studies covering a wide network of stations have revealed changes in patternsof distribution of planktonic communities coupled with the distribution of physical phenomena (Pagès and Gili, 1992; Graham *et al.*, 2001), providing valuable knowledge related to the ecological role of planktonic cnidarians (Pagès *et al.*, 2001). However, under the current climate change scenario changes in planktonic cnidarian distribution patterns have received little attention so far.

Gelatinous zooplankton may benefit from anthropogenic changes such as eutrophication, which can increase small-zooplankton abundance, turbidity and hypoxia, among other conditions that can favour jellyfish over fish (Purcell *et al.*, 2007). In this sense, overfishing has been shown to be an important factor that enhances gelatinous zooplankton populations by reducing their predators and zooplanktivorous fish competitors (Purcell *et al.*, 2007; Lynam *et al.*, 2011).

This study aims to shed light on the longterm evolution of planktonic cnidarians from a mesoscale spatial point of view. For this purpose we have analysed (1) species and community spatial distribution patterns, (2) variations in abundance, (3) changes in species richness, during three surveys representative of the climatic and anthropogenic changes occurred during the last three decades (1983, 2004 and 2011) in the NW Mediterranean. The surveys were carried out during the summer season (June), when high annual abundances of this community are found (Gili *et al.*, 1987; Licandro *et al.*, 2012; Saiz *et al.*, 2014).

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Three mesoscale surveys were carried out along the Catalan Coast (NW Mediterranean) (Fig.

1) during June 1983, June 2004 and June 2011 (referred to herein as 1983, 2004 and 2011). In all surveys the same area was covered and the same sampling methodology applied. Sampling stations were placed along 17 transects conducted perpendicular to the shoreline, from near the coast to the shelf break. On each transect, stations were placed between 14 and 16 km apart, and distance between transects was 18.5 km. The total number of sampled stations on each survey was: 39, 43 and 43, respectively. The data of the 1983 survey was previously published in Gili *et al.*, (1988).

Vertical profiles of the basic hydrographic variables (temperature and salinity) were obtained with a CTD, and water samples for chlorophyll *a* determination were collected at each station at different levels of the water column (see Masó and Duarte, 1989 and Sabatés *et al.*, 2009 for methodological descriptions).

Zooplankton was sampled with Bongo nets of 300  $\mu$ m mesh size. Hauls were oblique from a maximum depth of 200 m to the surface (or from 5 m above the bottom to the surface at stations shallower than 200 m). The volume of filtered water was estimated by means of a flowmeter placed in the centre of the net mouth. Zooplankton samples were fixed immediately after collection in 5% formaldehyde



Figure 1. Geographical location of the study area along the Catalan coast, in the NW Mediterranean. Grey circles, crosses and open circles represent the sampled stations in June 1983, 2004 and 2011 surveys, respectively. Grey lines indicate bathymetry (every 100 m).

buffered with sodium tetraborate. Planktonic cnidarians (hydromedusae, siphonophores and scyphomedusae) were analysed to species level, under a stereomicroscope. Aliquots were taken only to quantify the most abundant species (e.g. Muggiaea atlantica and Aglaura hemistoma), For these species a minimum of 100 individuals were counted in order to calculate the actual number in the sample (Postel et al., 2000). Following Pugh (1984) nectophores of physonectid siphonophores were counted and divided by 10 to estimate the actual number of colonies sampled. The number of hydromedusae individuals and siphonophore colonies were standardized to number of individuals per 1000 m<sup>3</sup> of filtered seawater.

In addition, data on monthly sea surface temperature for the period 1974–2011 were obtained from L'Estartit Meteorological Station, located at the north of the study area (42° 3'N, 3°13'15"E) over a bottom depth of 85 m, and operated by Josep Pascual (http://www. meteoestartit.cat). These data were used to assess the evolution of temperature at annual scale and during the month of June. The mean annual values were plotted against the time-series mean and the June temperature anomalies were computed as deviations from the Junes time-series mean.

## Data analysis

For each species and survey, the mean abundance values, frequency of occurrence (FO, percentage of stations where a taxon occurred) and the relative abundance (RA, percentage contribution of a taxon to the total mean abundance of individuals) were calculated. The species richness of the community was estimated as the total number of species (S) found in each year. Diversity of the whole cnidarian community was calculated using the Shannon diversity index (H'), in natural logarithm base, for each sampled station.

Significant differences in abundance between pairs of years were tested for the total Cnidaria abundance, and for the different groups, Siphonophorae, Hydromedusae and Scyphomedusae with an analysis of variance using Generalized Linear Models (GLM). The counts of total Cnidaria and Siphonophorae, following a Poisson distribution, were analyzed with the function "glm" and those of Hydromedusae and Scyphomedusa, following a binomial negative distribution, with the "glm.nb" package (Venables and Ripley, 2002) and a log link function (Zuur *et al.*, 2009). The log of filtered seawater by the net was included as an offset to eliminate bias due to varying sampling units (Penston *et al.*, 2008; Zuur *et al.*, 2009; Guerrero *et al.*, 2013).

To assess if planktonic cnidarian communities non-metric differed among cruises, а multidimensional scaling ordination analysis (nMDS) of the sampling stations was performed with species abundances log (x+1) transformed, and ordination by a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix, using the r-language function metaMDS available in the "vegan" package (Oksanen et al., 2015). Subsequently, an adonis permutation multivariate analysis of variance and pairwise tests were used to test for significant differences between each cruise's planktonic cnidarian communities. The adonis and pairwise test were performed with the r-language function adonis available in the "vegan" package (Oksanen et al., 2015). To quantify the contribution of the species to the dissimilarity between pairs of cruises a similarity percentages routine (SIMPER) was performed.

A Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) was performed in order to identify which environmental factors most influenced the differences among the planktonic cnidarian communities of each survey. The statistical significance of the axes of the CCA was evaluated using a permutation test with 999 permutations. Additionally, a CCA for each survey was performed to investigate which environmental factor contributed the most to the spatial distribution of the community. For both CCA analyses, the collinearity between pairs of environmental variables was evaluated by pairwise scatterplots and Pearson's correlation coefficients with a cut-off value of |0.5| (Zuur et al., 2009). The variables chlorophyll a and salinity were collinear and salinity was retained for the analyses as this parameter has been previously observed to be one of the most determinant in the distribution of planktonic cnidarians in the area (Gili *et al.*, 1988; Guerrero *et al.*, 2016). The species matrix used in all the statistical analyses was compound by those species with more than five presences (individuals) and/or present in more than 2 stations for the three years. GLMs and nMDS statistical analyses were carried out in the free statistical software R, version 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team, 2013), SIMPER and CCAs were performed in PAST free software (Hammer *et al.*, 2001). Maps of the horizontal distribution of the environmental parameters, using spline interpolation, species abundance and score values for the first axis from CCAs were generated by ArcGIS 10.2 software.

### RESULTS

### **Environmental conditions**

In the Catalan coast, the evolution of the



Figure 2. Surface temperature and salinity in June 1983, 2004 and 2011, along the Catalan coast.



Figure 3. Upper panel: Annual mean sea surface temperature (SST), over 1974-2011. The mean value of the series is shown (black line). Lower panel: SST anomalies for the summer month of June, over 1974-2011. Data from the L'Estartit meteorological station.

annual mean temperature, from 1974 to 2011, showed that the 1980s were characterized by values below the mean while from the end of 1990s values were higher than the mean (Fig. 3). As for the June months, the tendency was similar, with 1983 displaying a negative anomaly, and 2004 and 2011 displaying positive anomalies (Fig.3).

Among the three surveys, sea surface temperature showed the lowest values in 1983 and the highest in 2004, while the intermediate temperature values found 2011 were closer to those of 2004 (Table 1). In 1983, maximum temperatures were recorded close to the coast, in the northernmost part of area, and relatively high values were detected near shore all along the coast and in the southern continental shelf (Fig. 2). During 2004 and 2011 a marked thermal front was located in the northern half of the study area, separating the cold waters in the north from the warmer in the south (Fig. 2). In 2004, the main thermal front was located around 42° 00'N, with a temperature difference of nearly 1 °C, and a secondary surface temperature front was detected off Barcelona. In June 2011, the front was observed off Palamós with a temperature difference of 1 °C (Fig. 2).

In the three cruises, the most notable feature of the horizontal surface salinity distributions was the presence of low salinity patches (<37.4) in the southern part of the area in the vicinity of the Ebro River mouth (Fig. 2). All along the area, and near the coast, salinity was generally lower than over the shelf break. In 1983, a surface plume of freshwater coming from the Rhone River was detected in the northernmost part of the area reaching values of 29.9 (Table 1; Fig. 2), while below 20 m salinity values were normal for the area, ranging between 37.1 and 38.0 (Masó and Tintoré, 1991).

#### Species composition and abundance

In the three surveys a total of 77 species of planktonic cnidarians were found, comprising 19 siphonophores, 55 hydromedusae and 3 scyphomedusae. In the first two years, the number of species was similar, 35 and 38 respectively, while in 2011 the highest number of species, 59, was recorded (Table 2; Fig. 4). Nonetheless, the Shannon diversity index (H') remained constant over time (Table 3; Fig 4).

An increase in abundance of total Cnidaria was found from 1983 to 2011 (Fig. 5; Table 4). This tendency was observed for siphonophores and hydromedusae groups, but not for scyphomedusae the abundance of which did not vary over time (Fig. 5; Table 4). The siphonophore *M. atlantica* and the hydromedusan *A. hemistoma* were the most abundant species during the three cruises

Table 1. Values of the environmental parameters in the Catalan coast during the June surveys of 1983, 2004 and 2011.

	June	1983			June 2004	June 2011			
	Mean ± SD	Min.	Max.	Mean ± SD	Min.	Max.	Mean ± SD	Min.	Max.
Depth (m)	90 ± 77	15	300	107 ± 99	21	540	108 ± 112	27	685
T (°C)	$20.13 \pm 0.84$	18.06	21.77	$22.50 \pm 1.05$	20.48	23.79	21.90 ± 1.09	19.51	24.14
S	36.49 ± 1.86	29.85	37.84	37.55 ± 0.20	37.17	38.14	37.78 ± 0.12	37.34	37.99
Chl a ( $\mu$ g l <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.09 \pm 0.09$	0.01	0.34	$0.23 \pm 0.15$	0.05	0.80	$0.08 \pm 0.04$	0.03	0.25

Depth = bottom depth, T = temperature, S = salinity; Chl a = chlorophyll a, SD = standard deviation, Min. = minimum value, Max. = maximum value.



Figure 4. Upper panel: species richness (total number of species; N. Spp) and diversity (Shannon Index in the secondary axis; I. Shannon) for the total cnidarian community in each survey, June 1983, 2004 and 2011. Lower panel: Number of species for the different cnidarian groups, Siphonophorae, Hydromedusae and Scyphomedusa in each survey, June 1983, 2004 and 2011.

(Table 2), which together accounted from 81% to 89% of the total cnidarian abundance (Table 2). The calycophoran siphonophore Muggiaea kochii presented the most important abundance increase over time; it was barely found in 1983, while its abundance showed a further fortyfold increase in 2004 and a following threefold increase in 2011, being widely distributed over the studied area during the last two surveys (Table 2; Fig. 7). The species composition for siphonophores and scyphomedusae remained similar over time. However, hydromedusae showed major changes in species composition due to differences in the represented species of the orders Anthoathecata and Leptothecata. The lowest number of species in these two orders occurred during 1983 and 2004. However, in 1983 and 2011 more species of these orders where shared than in 1983 and 2004 (Table 2).

#### Differences among years

The nMDS analysis showed that samples from each survey were grouped separately. 1983 and 2011 stations were placed closer to each other, on the negative side of the first dimension, while 2004 stations were mostly located on the positive side (Fig. 6). Stations of 1983 were mainly characterized by Lizzia blondina and Podocorynoides minuta; 2004 stations by Lensia subtilis, Rhopalonema velatum and Persa incolorata; and 2011 stations by Podocoryna carnea, Obelia spp. and Halistemma rubrum (Fig. 6). Other species such as Pelagia notiluca were mainly found in 1983 and 2011 while M. atlantica and A. hemistoma were common in all the three surveys (Fig. 8). Adonis permutation multivariate analysis of variance and subsequent pairwise tests revealed that the communities identified in each cruise were significantly different (p < 0.001) from each other.

The SIMPER analysis showed the highest average dissimilarity in species composition between 1983 and 2004 (Table 5). The number of species contributing up to 90% of the similarity ranged between 15 and 20 (Table 5). The three species that made the major difference between 1983 and 2004 were *L. subtilis, R. velatum* and *M. kochii.* Looking at their abundance and spatial distribution, these three species were much more abundant and widely spread during 2004 than



Figure 5. Upper panel: mean abundance (N. Inds 1000 m-3) (+ SD) for total Cnidaria, Siphonophorae, Hydromedusae and Scyphomedusae in each survey, June 1983, 2004 and 2011. Lower panel: mean abundance (N. Inds 1000 m-3) (+ SD) of the calycophoran siphonophore *M. kochii* in each survey, June 1983, 2004 and 2011.

Table 2: Mean (± SD) abundance values (Ind 1000 m<sup>-3</sup>), relative abundance (RA), frequency of occurrence (FO) and range abundance values for all species found during the June surveys of 1983, 2004 and 2011 along the Catalan coast.

None A.S.         PAL         PO         Real         None A.S.         PO         Real         None A.S.         PO         Policy         None A.S.         PO         Policy         None A.S.         PO         Policy         None A.S.         PO         PO </th <th>r</th> <th colspan="3">June 1983</th> <th>Ê.</th> <th>June 20</th> <th></th> <th colspan="4">June 2011</th>	r	June 1983			Ê.	June 20		June 2011					
Teal Cubran         702.84.8 eV/AS         100        100	2	Mean + SD	RA	FO	Range	Mean + SD	RA	FO	Range	Mean + SD	RA.	FO	Range
Substance         Substance <t< th=""><th>Total Caidaria</th><th>7723 65 + 6347 65</th><th>100</th><th>100</th><th>120 - 21875</th><th>9045 81 + 5615 91</th><th>100</th><th>100</th><th>223 . 21578</th><th>14300 47 + 12809 86</th><th>100</th><th>100</th><th>330 - 59181</th></t<>	Total Caidaria	7723 65 + 6347 65	100	100	120 - 21875	9045 81 + 5615 91	100	100	223 . 21578	14300 47 + 12809 86	100	100	330 - 59181
Interface Solution         0.51 1, 2.3         0.00         0.2         0.00         0.51 1, 2.3         0.00         4.1         0.1           Chapter integrade         0.51 1, 2.3         0.00         0.51         0.2         0.50         0.51         0.50         0.50         0.51         0.50         0.50         0.51         0.50         0.50         0.51         0.50         0.50         0.51         0.50         0.51         0.50         0.50         0.51         0.53         0.55         0.	Sinhononhorne	2062 78 + 3877 00	54.86	100	80.15917	7400 32 + 4047 52	82.00	100	177 . 10730	\$207.08 ± 7750.01	58.10	100	255 - 30511
Obleme         Operation of the second s	Siphonophorae	0 51 + 2 20	0.01	2.6	0 20	/499.52 = 494/.52	65,00	100	1//- 19230	0.52 + 2.42	0.004	100	255-50511
Objective company         Dist A 11/2         Dist A 11/2 <thdist 11="" 2<="" a="" th=""></thdist>	Abytopsis eschscholtzi	0.51 ± 3.20	0,01	2.0	0-20	100.00 - 100.00		00.7	0.000	0.53 ± 2.42	0.004	4.7	0-12
Conductor spreadmaint         Disk 1, 12         Disk 1, 14         Disk 1, 14 <thdisk 1,="" 14<="" th="">         Disk 1, 14         &lt;</thdisk>	Abylopsis tetragona	68.97 ± 60.08	0.95	87.2	0 - 250	$136.80 \pm 152.73$	1.51	90.7	0 - 582	25.42 ± 25.88	0.16	93.0	0 - 101
Advances         Delta 2,79         Delta 7         P. 10         Delta 1,79         Delta 7         Delta 1,79         Delta 7,70         Delta 2,70	Chelophyes appendiculata	$15.38 \pm 21.62$	0.21	53.9	0 - 90	$48,85 \pm 47.97$	0.54	88.4	0 - 190	$121.85 \pm 102.73$	0,85	93.0	0 - 475
Lenic conside         46 92 - 90.25         6.85         4.50         9.412         1.10         1.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         1.20         0.10         0.	Eudoxoides spiralis	$0.77 \pm 2.70$	0.01	7.7	0 - 10	$0.06 \pm 0.37^*$	0.001	2.3	0 - 2		+		•
Lenis forder!         -         <	Lensia conoidea	46.92 ± 90.82	0.65	43.6	0 - 420	$46.23 \pm 84.16$	0.51	62.8	0 - 442	$1.19 \pm 4.24$	0.01	9.3	0 - 23
Lens and ord         -        -         - <th< td=""><td>Lensia fowleri</td><td></td><td>÷</td><td>24 - L</td><td></td><td><math>0.14 \pm 0.64</math></td><td>0.002</td><td>4.7</td><td>0-3</td><td>Si</td><td>•</td><td>1</td><td>÷:</td></th<>	Lensia fowleri		÷	24 - L		$0.14 \pm 0.64$	0.002	4.7	0-3	Si	•	1	÷:
Intens adultim         1.54. 4.32         0.16         16.0         0.520         23.4.4.2.825         3.13         100         19.10         16.3.7.24         0.12         0.13         0.13         0.13         0.13         0.13         0.13         0.13         0.13         0.13         0.13         0.13         0.13         0.14         0.16	Lensia meteori	*				$0.43 \pm 2.85$	0.005	2.33	0 - 19				
Lenix methods         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<	Lensia subtilis	$11.54 \pm 43.32$	0.16	18.0	0 - 260	$283.44 \pm 239.55$	3.13	100	19 - 1203	$16.53 \pm 27.42$	0.12	37.2	0 - 104
Integrate antenna         1972:56 + 1923.1         1407         9         9         9 + 1970         69.76         92.48         92.4         67.75         25.86 + 25.20         25.8         100         62.107         100         101 <th< td=""><td>Lensia subtilaides</td><td></td><td></td><td>1000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>4 69 + 23 01</td><td>0.03</td><td>93</td><td>0 - 148</td></th<>	Lensia subtilaides			1000						4 69 + 23 01	0.03	93	0 - 148
Indext         134         134         134         134         133         134<	Munaiana atlantica	3072 56 + 3028 31	54.00	0.1.0	0 - 15770	6867 46 + 4034 27	75 02	100	52 . 18722	7836.03 + 7653.52	54.80	100	60 . 29758
Additional in the second sec	Mugginen hanhi	154+5.97	0.02	77	0.30	94 62 + 125 20	0.04	05.4	0.705	2050.05 2 7055.52	1 60	91.4	0.1104
	Sul data data data data data data data dat	1.34 3.3.07	0.02	1.1	0-30	04.02 # 155.59	0.94	95.4	0 - 193	223.06 # 236.77	0.001	01.4	0 4
Improvability         U17 = 1.30         U01         2.6         0 = 70         1 = 1	Sulcineolaria chum					0.18 ± 0.85	0.002	4,7	0-5	0.08 ± 0.53*	0.001	4.3	0-4
Adalam degam         1179 ± 6.53         0.16         150         0.90         0.42         0.3         -	Hippopodius hippopus	0.77 ± 4.80	0.01	2.0	0 - 30	*	10 m	*			(*)	•	•
Agaba and and         2.56 ± 10.01         0.91 ± 20         0100         1.000         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00        <	Agalma elegans	11.79 ± 36.55	0.16	18.0	0 - 190	$0.15 \pm 0.67$	0.002	4.7	0-3				•
Indicense arthroam         132 of 3 83.3         0.44         15.0         0.45         3.7 + 2.32         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.52         0.50         0.55         0.51         0.51         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.51         0.51         0.55 <th0.55< th=""></th0.55<>	Agalma okeni	2.56 ± 16.01	0.04	2,6	0 - 100	·		· ·	at an	Automatica			No. and the
Marray enhance         1.54 + 0.61         0.02         2.6         -        -         -         -	Halistemma rubrum	$32.05 \pm 88.83$	0.44	18.0	0 - 450	$3.97 \pm 5.23$	0.04	55.8	0 - 27	73.32 ± 83.94	0.51	95.4	0 - 486
Name         ispace         ispace <td>Marrus orthocanna</td> <td><math>1.54 \pm 9.61</math></td> <td>0.02</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>0 - 60</td> <td>A second second</td> <td>*</td> <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>*</td> <td>1</td> <td>+3</td> <td>*\</td>	Marrus orthocanna	$1.54 \pm 9.61$	0.02	2.6	0 - 60	A second second	*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*	1	+3	*\
Physeneiron bysenetric         i.e.         i.e	Nanomia bijuga					$26.52 \pm 39.18$	0.29	90,7	0 - 226	$4.68 \pm 30.66$	0.03	2.3	0 - 201
Internetional         231.949-3/952         J.M.B         PAG         0.12         10.19 <td>Physophora hydrostatica</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td><math>0.49 \pm 1.32</math></td> <td>0.01</td> <td>14.0</td> <td>0-6</td> <td>-</td> <td>. 4.</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Physophora hydrostatica	1		-		$0.49 \pm 1.32$	0.01	14.0	0-6	-	. 4.	-	-
Order         Start         Start <th< td=""><td>Hydromedusae</td><td>2519.49 ± 3075.62</td><td>34.88</td><td>94.9</td><td>0 - 12193</td><td>1464.46 ± 1503.41</td><td>16.19</td><td>100</td><td>20 - 5957</td><td>5213.09 ± 6249.03</td><td>36.45</td><td>100</td><td>15 - 28670</td></th<>	Hydromedusae	2519.49 ± 3075.62	34.88	94.9	0 - 12193	1464.46 ± 1503.41	16.19	100	20 - 5957	5213.09 ± 6249.03	36.45	100	15 - 28670
Image interval         Image interval <thimage interval<="" th="">         Image in</thimage>	Order Anthoathecata	585 27 + 1593 62	23,230	61.5	0 - 7538	22.07 + 28.48	1.510	83.7	0 - 150	690.52 + 1570.49	13.250	83.7	0 - 8855
Deck         Deck <thdeck< th="">         Deck         Deck         <thd< td=""><td>Rounaimillia of muscus</td><td>-</td><td>auriaur</td><td>one</td><td>0 1000</td><td>0.12 + 0.78*</td><td>0.001</td><td>2.3</td><td>0-5</td><td>of the an appropriate</td><td>10.000</td><td>GOTT</td><td>4 0000</td></thd<></thdeck<>	Rounaimillia of muscus	-	auriaur	one	0 1000	0.12 + 0.78*	0.001	2.3	0-5	of the an appropriate	10.000	GOTT	4 0000
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $	Faallikasing Gasindata	0.26 + 1.608	0.004	26	0.10	Vila - Vil0"	0.001	- and		-			-
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $	Roeuxerina jasciculaia	620 27 + 1/21 10	7.46	2.0	0 7570	0.62 + 1.00	0.01	0.7	0.10	360 33 - 713 13	1.01	24.0	0. 2602
Immonial         i<         i<<         i<	Lizzia bionalina	339.23 ± 1024.49	7.40	38.2	0 - /550	0.53 ± 1.90	0.01	9.3	0-10	239.22 ± /12.13	1.81	34.9	0 - 3392
	Thamnostoma dibalium					1. C				$0.60 \pm 3.03$	0.004	4.7	0 - 19
Packacerysic correa         1.79 = 8.54         0.02         5.1         090         -         -         -         402.000 = 144.00         2.81         2.50         0.         83855           Packacerysicide atimita         50.20 = 215.29         0.70         1.28         01300         -         -         -         1.431 ± 01.37         0.10         0.45         0.5799           Methodenting         -         -         -         1.431 ± 01.37         0.10         0.45         0.5799           Methodenting         -         -         -         -         -         0.5         0.32 ± 1.50         0.01         0.10         0.11         0.10         0.12         0.11 <td>Eucodonium brownei</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td>1.</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.07 ± 0.45*</td> <td>0.0005</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>0-3</td>	Eucodonium brownei	2	-	1.		-				0.07 ± 0.45*	0.0005	2.3	0-3
Packaceynolic simina         14.36 ± 72.1         0.70         1.20         0.1300         0.0         0.0         1.315         0.00         0.00         1.316           Deconcervicio simina         0.52 ± 15.29         0.00         1.30         0.1300         2.0         1.430 ± 91.311         0.01         7.0         0.117           Individual direnta         0.51 ± 2.23         0.01         5.1         0.130         0.110         2.0         0.121         0.001         7.0         0.024 ± 1.58         0.002         4.7         0.5         0.141 ± 0.007         2.3         0.41           Margin regularization braveni         -         -         -         0.024 ± 1.22         0.000         4.7         0.75         0.161 ± 0.57         0.001         2.3         0.42           Lendearitaria braveni         -         -         -         0.025 ± 0.55         0.001         2.3         0.72         0.01         7.3         0.40         5.8 ± 7.30         0.66         51.2         0.90 ± 2.02         0.001         8.3         0.8 ± 8.3         0.73         0.62 ± 0.35         0.011         2.3         0.71           Lendearitaria bravenitar         -         -         -         0.01         2.3         0.71	Podocoryna carnea	$1.79 \pm 8.54$	0.02	5.1	0 - 50		1	3		402.20 ± 1443.00	2,81	25.6	0 - 8855
Prodecomposities animation         50.26 ± 21.29         0.70         1.28         0 + 1.30         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         0.21 ± 1.31         0.01         0.10         0.17         -         0.31 ± 1.53         0.01         0.10         0.17         -         0.31 ± 1.53         0.01         0.10         0.07         2.3         0.10         0.01         2.3         0.10         0.01         2.3         0.10         0.02         2.3         0.10         0.02         2.3         0.10         0.01         2.3         0.23	Podocorynoides minima	14.36 ± 72.14	0.20	7.7	0 - 440			+	*	7.74 ± 48.01	0.05	7.0	0-315
Index productions on         0.1         2.2.3         0.01         5.0         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.12         0.12         0.10         0.17         0.10         0.07         0.23         0.12         0.10         0.07         0.05         0.10         0.05         0.10         0.10         0.05         0.10         0.10         0.05         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.05         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.05         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10         1.00         0.10 </td <td>Podocorvnoides minuta</td> <td>50.26 ± 215.29</td> <td>0.70</td> <td>12.8</td> <td>0 - 1300</td> <td>14 C</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><math>14.93 \pm 91.37</math></td> <td>0.10</td> <td>4.65</td> <td>0 - 599</td>	Podocorvnoides minuta	50.26 ± 215.29	0.70	12.8	0 - 1300	14 C	1			$14.93 \pm 91.37$	0.10	4.65	0 - 599
	Hydractinia sn.			-	-					$0.82 \pm 3.31$	0.01	7.0	0 - 17
	Annhinema dinema	0.51+2.23	0.01	51	0.10	28			14	0.24 + 1.58*	0.002	23	0.10
	Amphinama enheum	Min's manage	0101			018+088	0.002	47	0.5	Dist in Link	0.002		0 10
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Amphinema ruorum					0,10 = 0,00	0.004	9.7	0.43	010+0678	0.0007	2.2	0.4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Amphinema turtida			•			0.002	4.7	0.7	0.10 ± 0.07*	0,0007	2.3	0-4
	Merga tregoubovii	<u>21</u>	<u>ن</u>			0.26 ± 1.22	0.003	:4;7	0 - 7	0.05 ± 4.28	0,005	2.3	0 - 28
	Leuckartiara brownei	•				•				0.16 ± 1.05*	0,001	2.3	0-7
	Leuckartiara nobilis	-			August	$0.05 \pm 0.35^{*}$	0.001	2.3	0 - 2	0.08 ± 0.53*	0.001	2.3	0-3
Rhathase actignation         ·<	Leuckartiara octona	$0.77 \pm 2.70$	0.01	7.7	0 - 10	$5.08 \pm 7.30$	0.06	51.2	0 - 29	$0.90 \pm 2.02$	0.01	18.6	0 - 8
Bhabhoan singulare         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<         ·<	Rhathkea octopunctata	÷	÷	14 L	3 - C		S	¥	* .	$0.17 \pm 1.10^{*}$	0.001	2.3	0-7
	Rhabdoon singulare					$0.06 \pm 0.36$	0.001	2.3	0 - 2		+		
	Ectopleura dumortierii			4						$0.17 \pm 1.10^{*}$	0.001	2.3	0+7
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Hybocodon prolifer	0.26 ± 1.60*	0.004	2.6	0 - 10	121	2	4	2	$0.17 \pm 1.09$	0.001	2.3	0+7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Valalla valalla (col.)	0.40 3 1.00	9,004	4.0	0	15 16 + 31 44	0.17	62.8	0 - 148	0.00 ± 0.57#	0.001	23	0-4
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Zanalas an		10			15,10 = 51,44	0.17	04.0	0-140	0.07 = 0.57	0.001	3.2	0.7
$ \begin{array}{c} Labrica 82x1ih \\ coloning prolifering \\ coloning prolifering \\ coloning prolifering \\ corverse p. \\ corver$	Zanciea sp.					.*			-	0.10 ± 1.03	0.001	2.3	0.7
	Zanciea sessilis		12	-	-		-		-	0.07 ± 0.46*	0.0005	2.3	0-3
$ \begin{array}{c} Corpure pp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $	Codonium proliferum	-	-			( <del>•</del> )	-	*	*	0.24 ± 1.57	0.002	2.3	0 - 10
$ \begin{array}{c} Carymorpha annulata & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.17 \pm 1.09 & 0.001 & 2.3 & 0.7 \\ Corymorpha [siglewit] & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.01 \pm 0.55^{\circ} & 0.0005 & 2.3 & 03 \\ \hline Carymorpha [siglewit] & - & - & - & - & - & 0.01 \pm 0.55^{\circ} & 0.0005 & 2.3 & 03 \\ \hline Carymorpha mutants & - & - & - & - & - & 0.01 \pm 0.55^{\circ} & 0.0005 & 2.3 & 018 \\ \hline Carymorpha mutants & - & - & - & - & - & 0.01 \pm 0.55^{\circ} & 0.0005 & 2.3 & 018 \\ \hline Carymorpha mutants & - & - & - & - & - & 0.01 \pm 0.55^{\circ} & 0.0005 & 2.3 & 03 \\ \hline Euphysa murata & 0.26 \pm 1.60^{\circ} & 0.048^{\circ} & 46.2 & 0.150 & 28.42 \pm 9.39 & 1.94^{\circ} & 79.1 & 0.167 & 149.21 \pm 473.68 & 2.86^{\circ} & 86.1 & 03063 \\ \hline Carymorpha mutants & - & - & - & 0.023 \pm 1.18 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 07 \\ \hline Eutima gracells & - & - & - & 0.09 \pm 0.62^{\circ} & 0.001 & 2.3 & 04 & 0.12 \pm 0.78^{\circ} & 0.001 & 2.3 & 05 \\ \hline Eutima gracells & - & - & - & 0.09 \pm 0.62^{\circ} & 0.001 & 2.3 & 04 & 0.12 \pm 0.78^{\circ} & 0.001 & 2.3 & 05 \\ \hline Helgicierha schizli & 0.20 \pm 1.60 & 0.004 & 2.6 & 010 & 1.25 \pm 2.0.17 & 0.14 & 58.1 & 0.87 & 0.001 & 2.3 & 04 \\ \hline Helgicierha schizli & 0.26 \pm 1.60^{\circ} & 0.004 & 2.6 & 010 & - & - & - & 0.046 \pm 2.43 & 0.003 & 4.7 & 016 \\ \hline Helgicierha schizli & 0.26 \pm 1.60^{\circ} & 0.004 & 2.6 & 010 & - & - & - & 0.012 \pm 0.78^{\circ} & 0.001 & 2.3 & 04 \\ \hline Carlinea schizli & 0.26 \pm 1.60^{\circ} & 0.004 & 2.6 & 010 & - & - & - & 0.30 \pm 1.94 & 0.002 & 2.3 & 013 \\ \hline Neotame luculana & - & - & - & - & 0.13 \pm 0.85^{\circ} & 0.001 & 2.3 & 06 & - & - & - \\ \hline Carlinea schizli & - & - & - & - & 0.30 \pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 0.37 \\ \hline Harderia sp. & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30 \pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 0.37 \\ \hline Harderia sp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30 \pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 0.37 \\ \hline Harderia sp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30 \pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 0.30 \\ \hline Harderia sp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30 \pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 0.30 \\ \hline Dride sp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30 \pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 0.30 \\ \hline Dride sp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30 \pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 0.37 \\ \hline Dride sp. & - &$	Coryne sp.		10			*				0.18 ± 1.19*	0.001	2.3	0-8
$ \begin{array}{c} Corymorpha bigelowi & \cdot & 0.07\pm 0.45^{\circ} & 0.0005 & 2.3 & 03 \\ Corymorpha nutants & - & - & - & - & 0.41\pm 2.71 & 0.003 & 2.3 & 018 \\ Corymorpha nutants & - & - & - & - & - & 0.41\pm 2.71 & 0.003 & 2.3 & 018 \\ Expression nutants & 0.26\pm 1.60^{\circ} & 0.004 & 2.6 & 010 & 0.62\pm 1.85 & 0.01 & 11.6 & 09 & 0.84\pm 2.34 & 0.01 & 16.3 & 012 \\ Corymorpha nutants & 2.82\pm 6.80 & 0.04 & 18.0 & 0.30 & - & - & - & - & 0.23\pm 1.18 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 076 \\ Eutima gegenbari & 2.82\pm 6.86 & 0.04 & 18.0 & 0.30 & - & - & - & - & 0.23\pm 1.18 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 076 \\ Eutima gegenbari & - & - & - & 0.09\pm 0.62^{\ast} & 0.001 & 2.3 & 010 & - & - & - \\ Eutima gegenbari & - & - & - & - & - & 0.46\pm 2.33 & 0.001 & 2.3 & 055 \\ Eutima gegenbari & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.46\pm 2.43 & 0.003 & 4.7 & 016 \\ Helgicitrha schulzii & 0.26\pm 1.60 & 0.004 & 2.6 & 010 & 12.50\pm 20.17 & 0.14 & 58.1 & 087 & 0.54\pm 3.56 & 0.004 & 2.3 & 023 \\ Neatima hucultana & 0.26\pm 1.60^{\circ} & 0.004 & 2.6 & 010 & 12.50\pm 20.17 & 0.14 & 58.1 & 087 & 0.54\pm 3.56 & 0.004 & 2.3 & 023 \\ Neatima hucultana & 0.26\pm 1.60^{\circ} & 0.004 & 2.6 & 010 & - & - & - & - & 0.10\pm 0.67^{\circ} & 0.001 & 2.3 & 04 \\ Laonelleca undulata & - & - & - & - & 0.13\pm 0.85^{\circ} & 0.001 & 2.3 & 06 & - & - & - \\ Laonelleca undulata & - & - & - & - & 0.012\pm 0.77 & 0.014 & 4.7 & 037 \\ Eutresia gp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30\pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 07 \\ Laonelleca labosa & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30\pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 07 \\ Eutresia gp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30\pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 09 \\ Mitrocomella brownel & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30\pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 07 \\ Eutresia gp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30\pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 07 \\ Eutresia gp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30\pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 07 \\ Eutresia gp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30\pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 09 \\ Mitrocomella brownel & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 0.30\pm 1.51 & 0.002 & 4.7 & 037 \\ Eutresia gp. & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $	Corymorpha annulata					•			-	$0.17 \pm 1.09$	0.001	2.3	0 - 7
$ \begin{array}{c} Corymorpha forheait \\ corymorpha for$	Corymorpha bigelowi		(e)	(A)	-			*		$0.07 \pm 0.45^{*}$	0.0005	2.3	0-3
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Corymorpha forbesii	-					-		-	$0.41 \pm 2.71$	0.003	2,3	0 - 18
	Corymorpha nutants		Q	<u>.</u>			10 C			0.07 ± 0.45*	0.0005	2.3	0-3
	Eunhysa aurata	$0.26 \pm 1.60^{\circ}$	0.004	2.6	0 - 10	$0.62 \pm 1.85$	0.01	11.6	0-9	$0.84 \pm 2.34$	0.01	16.3	0-12
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Order Leptothecata	12 18 + 26 90	0.480	46.2	0 - 150	28 42 + 39 39	1.040	79.1	0 - 167	149 21 + 473 68	2.869	86.1	0 - 3063
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Firene viridula	2 82 + 6 86	0.04	18.0	0 - 30					$0.23 \pm 1.18$	0.002	47	0 - 7
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Entime anomhauri	2.02 2 0.00	0.04	10.0	0-30	0.00 + 0.628	0.001	2.2	0.4	0.12 + 0.788	0.001	7.7	0.5
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Patient gegenouuri		-	-		0.07 ± 0.02	0.001	2.2	0-4	0.14 ± 0.70	0.001	613	0-2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Latina gracins	-			-	0.24 = 1.55*	0.003	2.3	0-10	0.16 + 0.12	0.002	1.2	0.16
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	neigicirria cari	0.00 - 1.00	-	-	- 10	10 40 1 00 10	-	-	0.07	0.40 = 2.43	0.003	4.1	0-10
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	neigicirrha schulzii	0.20 ± 1.00	0.004	2.0	0-10	12.50 ± 20.17	0.14	58.1	0-8/	0.54 ± 3.50	0,004	2.3	0-23
$ \begin{array}{c cluster asp$	Neotima lucullana	0.20 ± 1.60*	0.004	2.6	0 - 10	*		-	+	0.10 ± 0.67*	0.001	2.3	0+4
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Guillea sp.	×	× .	-	24	$0.13 \pm 0.85^{\circ}$	0.001	2.3	0-6	-	•	2	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Laodicea undulata		-		•	*			*	$0.30 \pm 1.94$	0.002	2.3	0 - 13
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Lovenella clausa		-	14 U	-a/	(e)	-	<b>1</b>	-	$0.99 \pm 5.74$	0.01	4.7	0-37
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Earleria sp.	*			(H)	*	-	*		0.30 ± 1.51	0.002	4.7	0-9
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mitrocomella brownei			14 S		•			4	$0.67 \pm 3.26$	0.005	7.0	0-21
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Tiaropsidium mediterraneum	2	3 <b>2</b> 1	Q		0.11 ± 0.73	0.001	2.3	0-5	$0.07 \pm 0.46^{*}$	0.0005	2.3	0-3
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Chytia hemisphaerica	231 ± 9.86	0.03	10.3	0 - 60					5.24±7.83	0.04	46.5	0-37
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Chylia spp		-		-	$3.44 \pm 6.69$	0.04	34.0	0-31	047 + 3 07	0.003	23	0+20
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Obalia son	7 44 + 75 62	0.10	18.0	0 - 150	11.91 + 22.26	0.13	52.5	0 - 107	139 71 + 472 65	0.003	58 1	0 - 3063
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Oberta spp.	7,44 ± 25,02	0.10	10.0	0-150	11.91 = 22.30	1.520	33.5	0-10/	139.71 = 472.03	0,98	20.1	0 - 3003
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Order Narcomedusae	5.44 ± 10.99	0.13	40.5	0.400	44.25 ± 31.00	1,54	14.1	0-130	25.27 ± 52.01	0.45	07.4	0+135
	Cunina sp.	*		+	+	+	*	+	÷.	$0.12 \pm 0.78$ *	0.001	2.3	0-5
Solmaris flavescens         0.26 ± 1.60°         0.004         2.6         0 - 10         -	Solmissus albescens	0.26 ± 1.60*	0.004	2.6	0 - 10	+	*	+	•	2.28 ± 9.05	0.02	9.3	0 - 52
Solmaris         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         0.10±0.68*         0.007         2.3         0.4           Solmundella bitentaculata         3.08±11.51         0.04         15.4         0-70         22.25±31.06         0.25         72.1         0-130         22.85±32.22         0.16         65.1         0-135           Order Trachymedusae         1918.81±2247.85         76.16°         92.3         0-10723         1391.72±1469.64         95.03°         100         20 = 5724         4350.09±590.97         83.5°         100         7         28459           Liriope tetraphylla         -         -         -         2.86±5.83         0.03         34.9         0-31         -         -         -         -         4         4541.79±5898.75         30.6         100         4-28448           Persa incolorata         1893.33±2240.77         26.21         92.3         0-10690         1159.16±1445.41         12.81         97.7         0.5605         4341.79±5898.75         30.6         100         4-28448           Persa incolorata         37.95±127.34         0.53         18.0         0-730         105.32±372.36         1.16         65.1         0-133         -         -	Solmaris flavescens	0.26 ± 1.60*	0.004	2.6	0 - 10	10 C	×	*	18	9	( e	+	•
Soltmadella bitentaculata         3.08 ± 11.51         0.04         15.4         0 - 70         22.25 ± 31.06         0.25         72.1         0 - 130         22.85 ± 32.22         0.16         65.1         0 - 135           Order Trachymedusae         1918.81 ± 2247.85         76.16         92.3         0 - 10723         1391.72 ± 1469.64         95.03°         100         20 - 5724         4350.09 ± 5901.97         83.5°         100         7 - 28459           Liriope tetraphylla         -         -         -         2.86 ± 5.83         0.03         34.9         0 - 31         -	Solmaris solmaris									$0.10 \pm 0.68^*$	0.0007	2.3	0-4
Order Trackymedusae         1918.81 ± 2247.85         76.16°         92.3         0 - 10723         1391.72 ± 1469.64         95.03°         100         20 - 5724         4350.09 ± 5901.97         83.5°         100         7 - 28459           Liriope tetraphylla         -         -         -         2.86 ± 5.83         0.03         34.9         0 - 31         -	Solmundella bitentaculata	3.08 ± 11.51	0.04	15.4	0 - 70	$22.25 \pm 31.06$	0.25	72.1	0 - 130	22.85 ± 32.22	0.16	65.1	0 - 135
Liriope tetraphylla         -         -         -         2.86 ± 5.83         0.03         34.9         0 - 31         -	Order Trachymedusae	1918.81 ± 2247.85	76.16°	92.3	0 - 10723	1391.72 ± 1469.64	95.03°	100	20 - 5724	4350.09 ± 5901.97	83.5°	100	7 - 28459
	Liriope tetraphylla		+	+	-	$2.86 \pm 5.83$	0.03	34.9	0-31		+	+	
Dersa incolorata         37.95 ± 127.34         0.53         18.0         0 - 730         105.32 ± 372.36         1.16         65.1         0 - 2058         0.52 ± 2.09         0.004         9.3         0 - 13           Rhapalanema funerarium         -         -         -         4.87 ± 20.60         0.05         20.9         0 - 133         -	Aolaura hemistoma	1893 33 + 2240 77	26.21	92.3	0 - 10690	1159 16 ± 1445 41	12.81	97.7	0 - 5605	4341.79 + 5898.75	30.36	100	4 - 28448
Open memory         Discretion         Discretion <thdiscretion< th="">         Discretion         Discret</thdiscretion<>	Persa incolorata	37.95 + 127.34	0.53	18.0	0 - 730	105 32 + 372 36	1.16	651	0 - 2058	0.52 + 2.09	0.004	93	0.13
Napatonena junerariani	Phanalanama formation	and a failed	0.00	10,0	0 - 120	4 87 + 20 40	0.05	20.0	0-132	0.00 ± 4.03	0.004	11.5	0.15
	niopaionema junerarium	3.33 . 3.33	0.02	36.6	0.40	110 61 1 00 06	1.05	20.9	0 - 133	3 30 - 0 00	0.05	67.4	0.00
Knopatonema veutuum         3.35 ± 1.37         0.03         25.0         0 + 40         119.51 ± 99.96         1.32         90.7         0 - 400         7.78 ± 8.08         0.05         67.4         0 - 29	Rnopatonema velatum	3.33 ± 7.37	0.05	25.6	0-40	119.51 ± 99.96	1.32	90.7	0-400	7.78±8.08	0.05	07.4	0-29
Scypnomedusae 741.39 ± 2030.57 10.26 43.6 0 - 8961 82.03 ± 257.87 0.91 53.5 0 - 1340 779.40 ± 2975.35 5.45 69.8 0 - 18783	Scyphomedusae	741.39 ± 2030.57	10.26	43.6	0 - 8961	82.03 ± 257.87	0.91	53.5	0 - 1340	779.40 ± 2975.35	5.45	69.8	0 - 18783
Atotta sp	Atolla sp.				•	4.22 ± 7.94	0.05	34.9	0 - 42		*	+	•
	Discomedusa lobata	0.26 ± 1.60*	0.004	2.6	0 - 10		÷	÷		$0.07 \pm 0.45^{*}$	0.0005	2.3	0 - 3
Discomedusa lobata 0.26 ± 1.60* 0.004 2.6 0 - 10 0.07 ± 0.45* 0.0005 2.3 0 - 3	Pelagia noctiluca	745.13 ± 2041.28	10.32	43.6	0 - 8970	77.81 ± 255.78	0.86	41.9	0 - 1327	779.33 ± 2975.37	5.45	69.8	0 - 18783
Discompanya labata 0.26+1.60* 0.004 2.6 0.10 0.07+0.15* 0.0005 2.2 0.2	D-1-1-	745 12 1 2041 20	10.22	42.6	0.0070	77.01 / 3// 70	0.95	11.0	0 1227	770 22 : 2075 27	5.0000	60.0	0 10701
Disconceduse lobata         0.26 ± 1.60*         0.004         2.6         0 · 10         -         -         -         0.07 ± 0.45*         0.0005         2.3         0 · 3           Pelanda mozillura         725 ± 1.61*         0.132         43 €         0 · 8070         77 81 ± 555 %         0.86         10         -         -         -         0.07 ± 0.45*         0.0005         2.3         0 · 3	- sugar mermana	1.1.1.1.1.1.2.0.1.2.0	1 Trote	40.0	1		0.00	1.3102	1.1.1.1.061	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	0.00	0.740	9-30102

\*Only 1 individual found; °RA relative to Hydromedusae group.


Figure 6. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination plot. Species abundance was log (x+1) transformed and analysis performed on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix. Red, green and blue dots symbolize sample stations of June 1983, 2004 and 2011, respectively. A stress estimate of 0.21 was obtained. The most abundant and representative species of each survey are indicated in the plot.

during 1983 (Table 2; Fig. 7). Lensia subtilis (Fig. 7) and R. velatum (not shown) followed a similar spatial distribution. The species that contributed the most to the dissimilarities between 2004 and 2011 were L. subtilis and R. velatum, and P. noctiluca. The two first were more abundant and widespread during 2004 than during 2011, while P. noctiluca was much more abundant during 2011 (Table 2; Fig. 7). Dissimilarities between the first and the last cruise mainly derived from *M. kochii*, the physonectid H. rubrum and P. noctiluca. The two first were found more abundantly and frequently in 2011 (Table 2; Fig. 7, only M. kochii shown). The scyphomedusa P. noctiluca presented similar abundance values in both years (Table 2); however it was more widespread in 2011. Furhermore, in 2011, its highest densities were over the shelf and shelf edge areas in contrast with 1983 when were observed close to the coast.

# Relationships between the community and the environmental factors

In the CCA analysis combining all years the first ordination axis was strongly and negatively correlated with temperature (-0.70) and accounted for the 63% of the constrained variance (Table 6). Stations of 2004 were grouped on the negative side of the axis, showing their correlation with higher temperatures. 1983 and 2011 stations were on the positive side of the axis, with those of 1983 especially related to cooler temperatures (Fig. 9). The second ordination axis was positively correlated with depth (0.42) accounting for the 37% of the constrained variance (Table 6). Samples of 1983 and 2011 were spread over both sides of the axis while those of 2004 were grouped mostly on the positive side (Fig. 9). This distribution shows that the communities found at the different stations of 1983 in particular, and also of 2011, were related to certain depth ranges while the communities of 2004 were distributed more independently of the bathymetry. Salinity was barely related to the first axis (-0.22) (Table 6). The permutation test indicated high significance (p < 0.001) of the two first ordination axes. These results show that temperature was the main environmental factor influencing the differences among years.

Results of the CCAs performed for each year demonstrated that the weight of the environmental factors affecting the distribution of the community differed amongst years. In 1983, depth was the main factor, while in 2004 and 2011 it was temperature (Table 6). In addition, a reduced influence of the depth factor was observed over time. In all cases, axis 1 was revealed as significant by the permutation test. The spatial distribution of the score values for each sampled station for the first axis clearly showed how the community in 1983 was ordinated in relation to the coast-offshore axis, while during 2004 and 2011 this pattern was much less clear and a north-south ordination was noticeable, especially in 2004 (Fig. 10).

### DISCUSSION

In the present study the spatio-temporal variability in the planktonic cnidarian community was investigated during three different summer (June) surveys, conducted in the last three decades (1983, 2004 and 2011) over a mesoscale spatial area along the Catalan coast. The results show changes in the community composition as well as an increment in their abundance over time. Among the different environmental variables considered in this study, water temperature was the variable that contributed the most to these differences (Fig. 9). Temperature has been suggested as a key factor driving long-term changes in zooplankton communities in several areas worldwide (Richardson, 2008; Suikkanen *et al.*, 2013). Over the last decades, seawater temperature in the NW Mediterranean has shown an increasing trend (Rixen *et al.*, 2005), following the general global pattern (Levitus *et al.*, 2005). This warming trend has been particularly evident since the 1980s and at the end of the 1990s (Rixen *et al.*, 2005; Vargas-Yáñez *et al.*, 2005). The temperature increase in the Catalan coast over the last two decades is well documented (Calvo *et al.*, 2011). As observed in the present study, Sabatés *et al.* (2012) identified two marked temperature shifts, the first one in the early 1980s and a second around 1997.



Figure 7. Spatial distribution of the species *L. subtilis* and *M. kochii* overlaid on surface temperature for each survey, from top to down: June 1983, 2004 and 2011. For temperature scale see Fig. 2.

Table 3: Species richness (S) and Shannon diversity index (H') of the planktonic cnidarian community in the June surveys of 1983, 2004 and 2011 along the Catalan coast.

	June 198.	3	June	2004	June 2011	
	Total/Mean $\pm$ SD	Range	Total/Mean $\pm$ SD	Range	Total/Mean $\pm$ SD	Range
N. species (S)	35	2 - 12	38	5 - 21	59	6 - 16
Shannon index (H')	$0.96 \pm 0.31$	0.2 - 1.6	$0.91 \pm 0.44$	0.11 - 1.82	$0.96 \pm 0.35$	0.12 - 1.64

cnidarian The planktonic communities identified during each survey significantly differed from one another and were clearly segregated by temperature (Fig. 6 and 9; Table 6). The siphonophore L. subtilis and the hydromedusae R. velatum, both considered warm water species (Mayer, 1910; Alvariño, 1971), characterized the community of 2004 (Fig. 5). This would agree with the fact that 2004 presented the warmest temperatures (Figs. 2 and 3) and the highest positive anomalies, of the three studied periods (Fig. 3). The community in 1983 was influenced by the coldest temperatures, in 2011 by intermediate temperatures, and both were segregated by depth (Fig. 9). The small and coastal hydromedusae species L. blondina and P. minuta (Schuchert, 2007) characterized the community in 1983 (Fig. 5). The community in 2011 was defined by other small and coastal hydromedusae species, P. carnea and Obelia spp. (Schuchert, 2008). Although the aforementioned species were among the most abundant during each corresponding survey (Table 2), the siphonophore M. atlantica and the hydromedusae A. hemistoma, were the dominant species during all surveys (Table 2). The dominance of these two species in the NW Mediterranean is a phenomenon observed since the early 1980s, when both species outcompeted the previously dominant species, M. kochii and L. blondina (Riera et al., 1986; Gili et al., 1988; Licandro et al., 2012). Their dominance over time suggest that both species can tolerate wide environmental ranges.

During early 1980s the calycophoran siphonophore *M. kochii* showed an abrupt

abundance decline in the NW Mediterranean (Riera et al., 1986; Licandro et al., 2012). However, we have observed a marked and progressive increase in the abundance of this species, which has become widely distributed over the studied area during the last two surveys (Table 2; Fig. 8). This siphonophore is considered a warm-temperate species (Alvariño, 1971) and could therefore it could benefit from the observed increase in water temperature (Fig. 3). In different areas of the world an alternate pattern in the abundance of *M. kochii* and its congeneric M. atlantica has been observed (Russell, 1934; Mackie et al., 1987; Blackett et al., 2014). Surprisingly, in the present study, both species abundances increased simultaneously (Table 2; Fig. 7 and 8).

In the Western Mediterranean, long-term series conducted at historic stations (e.g. Villefranche and Naples) over the last three decades, have shown that rises in water temperature are associated with changes in the abundance of planktonic cnidarians (Molinero et al., 2005, 2008; García-Comas et al., 2011; Berline et al., 2012; Licandro et al., 2012). Molinero et al. (2005, 2008) (studied period: 1966-1993), studying some target species (2 siphonophores and 3 hydromedusae), showed a rise in jellyfish abundance related to increments in water temperature during the 1980s and early 1990s. Nevertheless, Licandro et al. (2012) and García-Comas et al. (2011) García-Comas et al (2011) (studied periods; 1974-1999 and 1974-2003, respectively) found that the siphonophore and medusae community stock did not increased from the late 1980s despite sea warming increase.

Table 4. Results of the analyses of variance using Generalized Linear Models (GLM) for the total Cnidaria abundance and the different groups Siphonophorae, Hydromedusae and Scyphomedusae between pairs of years. n. s. = not significant.

	1983 - 2004		2004	- 2011	1983 - 2011		
	z-value	p-value	z-value	p-value	z-value	p-value	
Total Cnidaria	47.8	< 0.001	87.6	< 0.001	106.6	< 0.001	
Siphonophorae	72.5	< 0.001	22.5	< 0.001	86.6	< 0.001	
Hydromedusae	-2.1	< 0.05	4.9	< 0.001	2.5	= 0.01	
Scyphomedusae	-3.3	< 0.001	3.9	< 0.001	0.08	n.s.	

In our study, which considered a mesoscale spatial area, the total abundance of planktonic cnidarians increased significantly and progressively over time (Fig. 3 and Table 4) with water temperature being the main environmental factor, among the studied parameters, driving these changes (Fig. 5 and Table 6). Salinity gradients have been associated with changes in planktonic cnidarian abundance and community composition in other studies (Purcell *et al.*, 2007; Licandro *et al.*, 2012). However, in our study this does not seem to be the case, since this factor was found to barely affect the abundance and community composition (Fig. 9 and Table 6) despite the observed salinity differences among the surveys (Fig. 2 and Table 1).

Although no significant differences in species diversity (H') were found among the three surveys,



Figure 8. Spatial distribution of the dominant species *Muggiaea atlantica* and *Aglaura hemistoma* overlaid on surface temperature for each survey, from top to down: June 1983, 2004 and 2011. For temperature scale see Fig. 2.



Figure 9. Ordination plot of the canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) showing the relationships between the sampling stations (red for 1983, green for 2004 and blue for 2011) and the environmental variables (arrows).

in 2011 species richness increased considerably (Table 3 and Figure 4). This increment derived from the presence of a higher number of Anthoathecata and Leptothecata hydromedusae, species that are characterized by having a benthic stage (polyp) in their life cycle. It is known that higher water temperatures promote faster lifecycles and higher reproductive rates (Purcell et al., 2007; Boero et al., 2016). The sea warming trend recorded during the studied period (Fig. 3) could help to explain this result; higher temperatures would trigger the release of high number of medusae individuals from the polyp probably favouring earlier asexual reproduction (Boero et al., 2016), although it was not observed in 2004.

Changes in the spatial distribution pattern of the community observed among the surveys were based on the different weight of the environmental factors (Table 6). In the coldest 1983 the community showed a clear coastoffshore ordination pattern while in the warmer 2004 and 2011 a north-south pattern was noticeable (Fig. 10). This north-south pattern has also been observed in the area during exceptional warm summer conditions (Guerrero *et al*, in prep), which could indicate that under increasing temperature scenarios a latitudinal ordination pattern will become more evident.

The changes in the cnidarian community along

the Catalan coast observed in the present study could also derive from a decrease in abundance of predators present. It is well known that many species of fish consume gelatinous zooplankton (Arai, 1988; Purcell and Arai, 2001). In the NW Mediterranean large deep-sea fish have been showed to positively select siphonophores as prey (Carrassón and Cartes, 2002), while other species of commercial interest, such as bluefin tuna or swordfish, are gelatinous plankton consumers (Cardona et al., 2012). On the Catalan coast an important decrease on fisheries catch has been documented from the late 1990s onwards as a possible consequence of the pelagic stocks decrease (Lleonart and Bas, 2012). Thus, a decrease in fish populations may lead to a lower predation pressure on gelatinous zooplankton and lower competition for food resources (Bakun and Weeks, 2006; Tilves et al., 2016), favouring an increase in numbers of gelatinous zooplankters. Additionally, gelatinous zooplankton and fish interact as competitors wich each other (Brodeur et al., 1999; Purcell et al., 2007), because both are consumers of zooplankton. Studies related with long-term variation of zooplankton in the Western Mediterranean (Ribera d'Alcalà et al., 2004; Fernández De Puelles and Molinero, 2008) suggest that the total biomass of zooplankton has



Figure 10. Spatial distributions of the score values of each sampled station for the first axis resulted from the CCA analyses for each survey. Blue dots represent negative score values, red dots positive.

Table 5: Species contribution as average dissimilarities (Av. Dis.) to the overall average dissimilarity between each pair of years indicated by the SIMPER analysis. Species accounting to the first 90% of the dissimilarity are shown in decreasing order of percentage contribution. The three higher average dissimilarities (one year vs other) for each species are in bold.

1983 - 2004				2004 - 2	011		1983 - 2011				
Overall average dissimilarity: 54 %				46%			49%				
Taxon	Av. Dis.	Contrib. %	Cum. %	Taxon	Av. Dis.	Contrib. %	Cum. %	Taxon	Av. Dis.	Contrib. %	Cum %
L. subtilis	5.591	10.34	10.34	L. subtilis	3.995	8.681	8.681	M kochi	5.029	10.24	10.24
R. velatum	4.178	7.727	18.07	R. velatum	2.852	6.199	14.88	H. rubrum	4.335	8.829	19.07
M. kochi	4.157	7.689	25.76	P. noctiluca	2.82	6.129	21.01	P. noctiluca	4.236	8.628	27.7
P. noctiluca	3.078	5.693	31.45	H. rubrum	2.695	5.857	26.87	C. appendiculata	3.671	7.477	35.18
N. bijuga	3.011	5.569	37.02	A. hemistoma	2.564	5.572	32.44	L. blondina	3.505	7.139	42.32
A. hemistoma	2.926	5.411	42.43	N. bijuga	2.558	5.56	38	A. hemistoma	3.299	6.72	49.04
P. incolorata	2.771	5.124	47.55	Obelia spp.	2.234	4.855	42.85	Obelia spp.	2.885	5.876	54.91
L. conoidea	2.752	5.09	52.64	L. conoidea	2.214	4.812	47.67	M. atlantica	2.703	5.505	60.42
C. appendiculata	2.564	4.742	57.38	M. kochi	2.197	4.774	52.44	S. bitentaculata	2.471	5.033	65.45
L. blondina	2.397	4.434	61.82	P. incolorata	2.166	4.707	57.15	L. conoidea	2.36	4.807	70.26
A. tetragona	2.378	4.399	66.22	A. tetragona	2.022	4.395	61.54	A. tetragona	2.321	4.727	74.98
S. bitentaculata	2.278	4.212	70.43	S. bitentaculata	1.858	4.037	65.58	L. subtilis	2.032	4.138	79.12
M. atlantica	2.226	4.118	74.55	C. appendiculata	1.742	3.786	69.37	R. velatum	1.918	3.907	83.03
V. velella	1.881	3.479	78.03	L. blondina	1.738	3.777	73.14	P. carnea	1.718	3.5	86.53
H. rubrum	1.779	3.29	81.32	V. velella	1.59	3.456	76.6	C. hemisphaerica	1.527	3.11	89.64
Obelia spp.	1.742	3.221	84.54	M. atlantica	1.573	3.42	80.02				
H. schulzei	1.704	3.152	87.69	H. schulzei	1.464	3.181	83.2				
L. octona	1.342	2.482	90.17	P. carnea	1.236	2.686	85.89				
				L. octona	1.118	2.429	88.32				
				C. hemisphaerica	1.094	2.377	90.69				

Table 6. Summary of the relationships between the environmental variables and axis 1 resulted from the Canonical Correspondence Analyses (CCA) performed for all surveys combined and for each June survey (1983, 2004 and 2011). The most significant factor in each case is in bold. Cons. var. explained = constrained variance explained.

	Axis 1						
	CCA combined	CCA 1983	CCA 2004	CCA 2011			
Cons. var. explained	63 %	63%	76%	78%			
Depth	0.11	-0.61	0.56	0.46			
Temperature	-0.70	0.49	-0.67	-0.55			
Salinity	-0.22	-0.34	0.55	0.29			

not decreased in the last decades, and this fact that could also favour an increase of carnivorous gelatinous zooplankton due to the unvarying availability food.

The observed increase in planktonic cnidarians might be a response to both the climate and the anthropogenic changes occurred during the last decades in the NW Mediterranean. This could imply a difficulties for the recovery of certain pelagic fish stocks competing for the same food (Purcell and Arai, 2001; Brodeur et al., 2008), but also a higher availability of gelatinous prey for other fish and vertebrates (Carrassón and Cartes, 2002; Cardona et al., 2012). Based on the results of the present study, it would appear that in the current climate change scenario warmspecies abundance will be positively favoured and community latitudinal distribution patterns will be reinforced. For all these conclusions, we strongly recommend the study and monitoring of mesoscale spatial areas in order to understand not only long-term gelatinous zooplankton abundance changes but also how these changes are revealed in their spatial distributions.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We greatly appreciate the assistance of the R/V García del Cid crew during the three surveys. This study was partially supported by the EU Project VECTORS (FP7 OCEAN-2010, 266445) and the Spanish project FISHJELLY (MAR-CTM2010-18875). This study is a contribution of the Biologia de la Conservació en Ecosistemes Marins Group (MEDRECOVER; 2014SGR-1297) at the Institut de Ciències del Mar–CSIC.

#### REFERENCES

- Alvariño A. 1971. Siphonophores of the Pacific with a review of the world distribution. Bull. Scripps Inst. Oceanogr. 16: 1–432
- Arai MN. 1988. Interactions of fish and pelagic coelenterates. Canadian Journal of Zoology 66: 1913–1927 DOI: 10.1139/z88-280
- Bakun A, Weeks SJ. 2006. Adverse feedback sequences in exploited marine systems: are deliberate interruptive actions warranted? Fish and Fisheries 7:316–333 DOI:10.1111/j.1467-2979.2006.00229.x
- Berline L, Siokou-Frangou I, Marasović I, Vidjak
  O, Fernández de Puelles ML, Mazzocchi MG,
  Assimakopoulou G, Zervoudaki S, Fonda-UmaniS, ConversiA, etal. 2012. Intercomparison
  of six Mediterranean zooplankton time series.
  Progress in Oceanography 97–100: 76–91
  DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2011.11.011
- Blackett M, Licandro P, Coombs SH, Lucas CH. 2014. Long-term variability of the siphonophores *Muggiaea atlantica* and *M. kochi* in the Western English Channel. Progress in Oceanography 128: 1–14 DOI: 10.1016/j. pocean.2014.07.004
- Boero F, Bouillon J. 1993. Zoogeography and life cycle patterns of Mediterranean hydromedusae (Cnidaria). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 48: 239–266 DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1993.tb00890.x
- Boero F, Brotz L, Gibbons MJ, Piraino S, Zampardi S. 2016. Impacts and effects of ocean warming on jellyfish. In Explaining Ocean Warming: Causes, Scale, Effects and Consequences, Laffoley D, , Baxter JM (eds). Gland, Switzerland: UICN.; 213–237.
- Brodeur RD, Mills CE, Overland JE, Gary EW, Schumacher JD. 1999. Evidence for a substantial increase in gelatinous zooplankton in the Bering Sea, with possible links to climate change. Fisheries oceanography 8: 296–306
- Brodeur RD, Suchman CL, Reese DC, Miller TW, Daly EA. 2008. Spatial overlap and trophic interactions between pelagic fish and large jellyfish in the northern California Current. Marine Biology 154: 649–659 DOI: 10.1007/s00227-008-0958-3
- Brotz L, Pauly D. 2012. Jellyfish populations in the Mediterranean Sea. Acta Adriatica 53:

211-230

- Brotz L, Cheung WWL, Kleisner K, Pakhomov E, Pauly D. 2012. Increasing jellyfish populations: Trends in Large Marine Ecosystems. Hydrobiologia 690: 3–20 DOI: 10.1007/ s10750-012-1039-7
- Calvo E, Simó R, Coma R, Ribes M, Pascual J, Sabatés A, Gili J-M, Pelejero C. 2011. Effects of climate change on Mediterranean marine ecosystems: The case of the Catalan Sea. Climate Research 50: 1–29 DOI: 10.3354/ cr01040
- Cardona L, Álvarez de Quevedo I, Borrell A, Aguilar A. 2012. Massive consumption of gelatinous plankton by Mediterranean apex predators. PloS one 7: e31329 DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0031329
- Carrassón M, Cartes J. 2002. Trophic relationships in a Mediterranean deep-sea fish community: partition of food resources, dietary overlap and connections within the benthic boundary layer. Marine Ecology Progress Series 241: 41–55 DOI: 10.3354/meps241041
- Conversi A, Umani SF, Peluso T, Molinero JC, Santojanni A, Edwards M. 2010. The mediterranean sea regime shift at the end of the 1980s, and intriguing parallelisms with other european basins. PLoS ONE 5 DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0010633
- Fernández De Puelles ML, Molinero JC. 2008. Decadal changes in hydrographic and ecological time-series in the Balearic Sea (western Mediterranean), identifying links between climate and zooplankton. ICES Journal of Marine Science 65: 311–317 DOI: 10.1093/ icesjms/fsn017
- García-Comas C, Stemmann L, Ibanez F, Berline L, Mazzocchi MG, Gasparini S, Picheral M, Gorsky G. 2011. Zooplankton long-term changes in the NW Mediterranean Sea: Decadal periodicity forced by winter hydrographic conditions related to large-scale atmospheric changes? Journal of Marine Systems 87: 216– 226 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2011.04.003
- Gili J-M, Alvà V, Coma R, Orejas C, Pagès F, Ribes M, Zabala M, Arntz WE, Bouillon J, Boero F, et al. 1998. The impact of small benthic passive suspension feeders in shallow

marine ecosystems: the hydroids as an example. Zool. Verh. Leiden 323: 99–105 Available at: http://www.repository.naturalis. nl/document/149037

- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Sabatés A, Ros JD. 1988. Smallscale distribution of a cnidarian population in the western Mediterranean. Journal of Plankton Research 10: 385–401
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Vives F. 1987. Distribution and ecology of a population of planktonic cnidarians in the western Mediterranean. In Modern Trends in the Systematics, Ecology, and Evolution of Hydroids and Hydromedusae, Bouillon J, , Boero F, , Cicogna F, , Cornelius PFS (eds).Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK; 157–170.
- Graham WM, Pagès F, Hamner WM. 2001. A physical context for gelatinous zooplankton aggregations: a review. Hydrobiologia 451: 199–212
- Guerrero E, Gili J-M, Rodriguez CS, Araujo EM, Canepa A, Calbet A, Genzano G, Mianzan HW, González RA. 2013. Biodiversity and distribution patterns of planktonic cnidarians in San Matías Gulf, Patagonia, Argentina. Marine Ecology 34: 71–82 DOI: 10.1111/ maec.12027
- Guerrero E, Marrodán A, Sabatés A, Orejas C, Gili J-M. 2016. High spatial heterogeneity of two planktonic cnidarian species related to the variability of a shelf-slope front at short time scales. Scientia Marina 80: 487–497 DOI: 10.3989/scimar.04452.03A
- Haddock SHD. 2004. A golden age of gelata: past and future research on planktonic ctenophores and cnidarians. Hydrobiologia 530–531: 549– 556 DOI: 10.1007/s10750-004-2653-9
- Haury LR, McGowan JA, Wiebe PH. 1978. Patterns and processes in the time-space scales of plankton distributions. In Spatial Pattern in Plankton Communities, Steele JH (ed.).Plenum Press; 277–328.
- Hays GC, Richardson AJ, Robinson C. 2005. Climate change and marine plankton. Trends in ecology & evolution 20: 337–44 DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2005.03.004
- Hughes L. 2000. Biological consequences of global warming: is the signal already. 15: 56-

61

- Levitus S, Antonov J, Boyer T. 2005. Warming of the world ocean, 1955–2003. Geophysical Research Letters 32: L02604 DOI: 10.1029/2004GL021592
- Licandro P, Souissi S, Ibanez F, Carré C. 2012. Long-term variability and environmental preferences of calycophoran siphonophores in the Bay of Villefranche (north-western Mediterranean). Progress in Oceanography 97–100: 152–163 DOI: 10.1016/j. pocean.2011.11.004
- Lleonart J, Bas C. 2012. Present i futur de la pesca. Treb. Soc. Cat. Biol. 63: 199–213 DOI: 10.2436/20.1501.02.119
- Lynam CP, Lilley MKS, Bastian T, Doyle TK, Beggs SE, Hays GC. 2011. Have jellyfish in the Irish Sea benefited from climate change and overfishing? Global Change Biology 17: 767–782 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02352.x
- Mackie GO, Pugh PR, Purcell JE. 1987. Siphonophore Biology. Advances in Marine Biology 24: 97–262 DOI: 10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60074-7
- Masó M, Duarte CM. 1989. The spatial and temporal structure of hydrographic and phytoplankton biomass heterogeneity alons the Catalan coast (NW Mediterranean). Journal of Marine Research 47: 813–827
- Masó M, Tintoré J. 1991. Variability of the shelf water off the northeast Spanish coast. Journal of Marine Systems 1: 441–450 Available at: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/092479639190008I#
- Mayer AG. 1910. Medusae of the world. Vol II. The hydromedusae. Carnegie Institution: Washington.
- Molinero JC, Casini M, Buecher E. 2008. The influence of the Atlantic and regional climate variability on the long-term changes in gelatinous carnivore populations in the northwestern Mediterranean. Limnology and Oceanography 53: 1456–1467 DOI: 10.4319/ lo.2008.53.4.1456
- Molinero JC, Ibanez F, Nival P, Buecher E, Souissi S. 2005. North Atlantic climate and northwestern Mediterranean plankton

variability. Limnology and Oceanography 50: 1213–1220 DOI: 10.4319/lo.2005.50.4.1213

- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Peter Solymos P, Henry M, Stevens H, et al. 2015. Vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.3–0. Available at: http://cran.rproject.org/package=vegan
- Pagès F, Gili J-M. 1992. Influence of Agulhas waters on the population structure of planktonic Cnidarians in the southern Benguela Region. Sciencia Marina 56: 109–123
- Pagès F, González HE, Ramón M, Sobarzo M, Gili J-M. 2001. Gelatinous zooplankton assemblages associated with water masses in the Humboldt Current System, and potential predatory impact by Bassia bassensis (Siphonophora: Calycophorae). Marine Ecology Progress Series 210: 13–24
- Penston MJ, Millar CP, Zuur AF, Davies IM. 2008. Spatial and temporal distribution of Lepeophtheirus salmonis (Krøyer) larvae in a sea loch containing Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., farms on the north-west coast of Scotland. Journal of fish diseases 31: 361–71 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2761.2008.00915.x
- Postel L, Fock H, Hagen W. 2000. Biomass and abundance. In ICES Zooplankton Methodology Manual, Harris RP, , Wiebe PH, , Lenz J, , Skjodal HR, , Huntley M (eds). Academic Press: London; 83–192.
- Pugh PR. 1984. The diel migrations and distributions within a mesopelagic community in the North East Atlantic. 7. Siphonophores.
  Progress in Oceanography 13: 461–489 DOI: 10.1016/0079-6611(84)90016-8
- Purcell JE. 2005. Climate effects on formation of jellyfish and ctenophore blooms: a review. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK 85: 461–476 DOI: 10.1017/ S0025315405011409
- Purcell JE, Arai MN. 2001. Interactions of pelagic cnidarians and ctenophores with fish: a review. Hydrobiologia 451: 27–44
- Purcell JE, Uye S, Lo W-T. 2007. Anthropogenic causes of jellyfish blooms and their direct consequences for humans: a review. Marine Ecology Progress Series 350: 153–174 DOI:

10.3354/meps07093

- R Development Core Team. 2013. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. Available at: http://www.r-project.org/
- Ribera d'Alcalà M, Conversano F, Corato F, Licandro P, Mangoni O. 2004. Seasonal patterns in plankton communities in a pluriannual time series at a coastal Mediterranean site (Gulf of Naples): an attempt to discern recurrences and trends. Scientia Marina 68: 65–83 DOI: 10.3989/scimar.2004.68s165
- Richardson AJ. 2008. In hot water: Zooplankton and climate change. ICES Journal of Marine Science 65: 279–295 DOI: 10.1093/icesjms/ fsn028
- Riera T, Gili J-M, Pagès F. 1986. Estudio cuantitativo y estacional de dos poblaciones de cnidarios planctonicos frente a las costas de Barcelona (Med. occ.): ciclos entre 1966-67 y 1982-83. Misc. Zool. 10: 23–32
- Rixen M, Beckers J-M, Levitus S, Antonov J, Boyer T, Maillard C, Fichaud M, Balopoulos E, Iona S, Dooley H, et al. 2005. The western Mediterrenean Deep Water: A proxy for climate change. Geophysical Research Letters 32: 4 DOI: 10.1029/2005GL022702
- Russell FS. 1934. On the occurrence of the siphonophores Muggiœa atlantica Cunningham and Muggiæa kochi (Will) in the English Channel. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 19: 555–559 DOI: 10.1017/S0025315400046622
- Sabatés A, Martin P, Raya V. 2012. Changes in life-history traits in relation to climate change: bluefish (Pomatomus saltatrix) in the northwestern Mediterranean. ICES Journal of Marine Science 69: 1000–1009 DOI: 10.1093/ icesjms/fss053
- Sabatés A, Salat J, Raya V, Emelianov M, Segura-Noguera M. 2009. Spawning environmental conditions of Sardinella aurita at the northern limit of its distribution range, the western Mediterranean. Marine Ecology Progress Series 385: 227–236 DOI: 10.3354/meps08058
- Saiz E, Sabatés A, Gili J-M. 2014. The Zooplankton. In The Mediterranean Sea: Its History and Present Challenges., Goffredo

S, , Dubinsky Z (eds).Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht; 183–211. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-6704-1

- Schuchert P. 2007. The European athecate hydroids and their medusae (Hydrozoa, Cnidaria): Filifera Part 2. Revue Suisse de Zoologie 114: 195–396
- Schuchert P. 2008. The European athecate hydroids and their medusae (Hydrozoa, Cnidaria): Filifera Part 3. Revue Suisse De Zoologie 115: 221–302 Available at: http:// www.biodiversitylibrary.org/part/80426 [Accessed 4 June 2013]
- Suikkanen S, Pulina S, Engström-Öst J, Lehtiniemi M, Lehtinen S, Brutemark A. 2013. Climate Change and Eutrophication Induced Shifts in Northern Summer Plankton Communities. PLoS ONE 8: 1–10 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0066475
- Tilves U, Fuentes V, Purcell JE, Torrents A, Pascual M, Raya V, Gili J-M, Sabatés A. 2016. Natural diet and predation impacts of Pelagia noctiluca on fish eggs and larvae in the NW Mediterranean. Journal of Plankton Research 0: 1–12 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/fbw059
- Vargas-Yáñez M, Salat J, Luz Fernández de Puelles M, López-Jurado JL, Pascual J, Ramírez T, Cortés D, Franco I. 2005. Trends and time variability in the northern continental shelf of the western Mediterranean. Journal of Geophysical Research 110: 1–18 DOI: 10.1029/2004JC002799
- Venables WN, Ripley BD. 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S. Springer: New York. Available at: http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/pub/MASS4/
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM. 2009. Mixed effects models and extension in ecology with R. Springer-Verlag: New York. Available at: http://www.springer. com/life+sciences/ecology/book/978-0-387-87457-9

# **GENERAL DISCUSSION**

### The study of a mesoscale spatial area

Plankton is patchy on a wide variety of space and time scales. As it is difficult to observe the pelagic realm, simply because we cannot see into the ocean, we must depend on sampling to obtain an impression of the scales of pattern in this habitat (Haury *et al.*, 1978). In the range of 100 to 1000 km, the mesoscale provides the opportunity to study rates of change in populations and communities related to environmental conditions (Haury *et al.*, 1978). In this sense, studies covering a wide network of stations have revealed changes in patterns of distribution of planktonic communities coupled with the distribution of physical processes and structures (Pagès and Gili, 1992; Graham *et al.*, 2001), and have provided valuable knowledge related to the ecological role of planktonic cnidarians at regions such as the Humboldt current system or the Benguela upwelling system, some of the most productive marine regions in the world's ocean (Pagès and Gili, 1991; Pagès *et al.*, 2001). The Catalan coast, in the NW Mediterranean, is a large (ca. 350 km long) and highly heterogeneous area characterized by different hydrodynamic structures, such as fronts, and different environmental gradients: bathymetry, temperature, salinity and primary production. Therefore, to understand the spatio-temporal variability of planktonic cnidarians and their bio-physical coupling spatial mesoscale approaches are particularly desirable.

### **Relevant species**

The three studies encompassing the present thesis were carried out during spring and summer months, when planktonic cnidarians show their highest annual abundance and species richness (Gili *et al.*, 1987b; 1988; Licandro *et al.*, 2012) and the Catalan coast experiences strong spatial and temporal hydrodynamic variability (Masó and Tintoré, 1991).

In all the analysed surveys, the most abundant species were the siphonophore *Muggiaea atlantica* and the hydromedusae *Aglaura hemistoma*. They constituted up to 90 % of the total community abundance and were widely distributed all over the area. The dominance of these two species, at least in summer months, occurred also under extreme warm conditions (Chapter 2) and over the last three decades (Chapter 3), which suggests that they may be tolerant to wide environmental ranges and able to exploit resources more efficiently than other species. Although different species show different spatial distributions and relationships with the environmental conditions (Chapter 2), these two dominant species constitute the bulk of the spring and summer community and thus can be considered as representative of the whole planktonic cnidarian community abundance and spatio-temporal variability (Chapter 1).

Other abundant species integrating the community were the warm-water species *Lensia subtilis* and *Rhopalonema velatum*. The siphonophore *L. subtilis* was the third most abundant species during July months (Chapter 2) and in the relatively warm June of 2004 (Chapter 3). The trachymedusa *R. velatum*, was also among the most abundant species in July months (Chapter 2) and in the warm June of 2004 (Chapter 3). During these mentioned warm months, both species were widely distributed

over the area, however, in the coldest June 1983 and temperate 2011 their abundances were much lower and their distribution more restricted (Chapter 3). The results suggest that these warm-water species could be utilized as a good indicator of seawater temperature increase.

The siphonophore Muggiaea kochii seems to have experienced an increasing trend of abundance from 1983 to 2011 (Chapter 3). This is particularly relevant given the decreasing appearance of this species in the NW Mediterranean since the middle 1980s, when it was replaced by its congeneric M. atlantica (Riera et al., 1986; Licandro et al., 2012). Recently, a 10-years time series study in an Atlantic estuarine ecosystem also reported an abundance increase of *M. kochii* in the later years (D'Ambrosio et al., 2016). However, in the English Channel decreasing abundances have been recorded in recent years (Blackett et al., 2015). Despite this species seeming to show different trends in different areas, it would be of great interest to study and follow this increasing tendency of M. kochii to become more abundant in the NW Mediterranean and other temperate regions. Another interesting piece of evidence is the simultaneous increasing trend of abundance in both congeneric species, M. kochii and *M. atlantica*, despite their usual pattern of alternation of abundance (Russell, 1934; Blackett et al., 2014). If we calculate the abundance ratio M. atlantica/M. kochii, it is possible to see a decreasing ratio in favour of *M. kochii* over time. These ratio values were: 2000 for June 1983, 80 for June 2004 and 35 for June 2011. These results indicate that even though the summer abundance of both species increased over time, M. kochii experienced a higher increasing rate than M. atlantica, suggesting that the warm-temperate species M. kochii may be favoured by the sea warming conditions when compared with the cold-temperate *M. atlantica* under the current climate change scenario. Attention should be paid to abundance trends and rates between both congeneric species in different temperate places worldwide as an indicator of warming oceans. The abundance ratio between the two species could be suggested as a good index of gelatinous zooplankton response to temperature changes in a particular area.

It is worth mentioning that sexual stages (eudoxids) of siphonophores (mainly *Chelophyes appendiculata* and *Abylopsis tetragona*) represented an important fraction of the total community abundance (up to 11%) and were widely distributed over the area (Chapter 2). This data indicates that the Catalan coast is a favourable breeding area for temperate siphonophore species and could represent a population source for other Mediterranean areas.

### Diversity of planktonic cnidarians

High species richness was found in the unpublished surveys studied in the present thesis where the whole community was analysed: July 2003 and 2004, and June 2004 and 2011, representing a total of 218 samples. A total of 80 species: 18 siphonophores, 58 hydromedusae, and 4 scyphomedusae were found. This result increases the list of planktonic cnidarian species registered for the Catalan coast by 30 species (Riera et al., 1986; Gili et al., 1987a, 1987b, 1988, 2000): 1 siphonophore, 17 anthomedusae, 8 leptomedusae, 2 narcomedusae, 1 trachymedusa and 1 scyphomedusa taken into account only the identifications reached to species level. There are some individual identifications that needs further investigation to define the species (e.g. *Earleria* sp., *Gillia* sp., *Zanclea* sp.). Among these species, the Mediterranean endemic leptomedusa, Gastroblasta raffaelei, had been found so far in the Adriatic, Tyrrhenian and Ligurian Seas (Gravili et al., 2007), the present study being the first report from the Catalan Sea. The rest of species are well known members of the Hydrozoan fauna of the Mediterranean (Bouillon et al., 2004). The higher number of antho- and leptomedusae found in this thesis are probably corretaled with the broad summer period analysed, with the higher temperatures thus favouring the development of meroplanktonic species not previously found (Gili et al., 1988; Boero et al., 2016). On the other hand, siphonophores, narco-, trachy- and scyphomedusae species have holoplanktonic life cycles being well represented in open-ocean areas (Gibbons et al., 2010). The



Figure 1. Spatial distribution of *Obelia* spp. and *L. conoidea* along the Catalan coast in relation to the bathymetry, in July 2004. Different size dots represent the abundance, grey lines the bathymetry (every 100 m).

increase in abundance of these species may respond to 1) the broader spatial area analysed towards offshore (during July 2003 and 2004) and 2) their transport to the area depending on the strength and direction of the main currents (Font *et al.*, 1995). In this context the results of this thesis represent an update on the diversity of the planktonic cnidarian community in the NW Mediterranean since the 1980s.

### Spatio-temporal variability of the community



In this thesis, the spatial variability of the planktonic cnidarian community along the Catalan

36.59 36.86 37.15 37.43 37.72 37.99 38.29

Figure 2. Spatial distribution of *M. atlantica* and *A. hemistoma* in relation to the shelf-slope front in the northern Catalan coast in mid-May 1992. Different size dots represent the abundance, colour gradient represents the surface salinity distribution and grey lines the bathymetry (every 100 m).

coast has been studied at different temporal scales; at 10 days intervals (Chapter 1), between two consecutive years (Chapter 2), and in three years during the last three decades (Chaper 3). In this discution section spatial and temporal variability of the community is commented upon separately in order to understand the importance of each approach and lastly the spatio-temporal variability coupled with the environmental factors.

### A. Spatial variability

The spatial variability of the studied species was determined and shaped by physical factors such as bathymetry and salinity and thermal fronts.

### A1. Bathymetry

The bathymetry was revealed as a key factor determining the spatial distribution of many species and of the community. It is, probably, the main factor which on occasions can be masked by other processes, such the inshore displacement of the shelf-slope front (Chapter 1) or under extreme warm conditions (Chapter 2), when salinity and temperature, respectively, each had the relevant role. Some species were particularly influenced by shallower depths and their distributions were mainly restricted to the coast, like the hydromedusae *Obelia* spp. Others were influenced by greater depths and distributed over the shelf edge and slope, and over the submarine canyons, like the siphonophore *Lensia conoidea* (Fig. 1). The life cycle of species is associated to bathymetry. Many of the species found near the coast have meroplanktonic life cycles while offshore species have mainly holoplanktonic life cycles (Gibbons *et al.*, 2010).

### A2. Hydrographic structures: fronts

A2.1. The salinity shelf-slope front. During spring large inputs of continental waters coming mainly from the Rhône River, in the northern Gulf of Lions, appear in the north part of the study area causing high mesoscale activity of the shelf-slope density front (Masó and Tintoré, 1991; Sabatés *et al.*, 2004). In this context the two most abundant and representative species of the cnidarian



Figure 3. Spatial distribution of *A. tetragona* and *P. incolorata* in relation to the latitudinal temperature gradient and the thermal front, in July 2003. Different size dots represent the abundance and colour gradient represents the surface temperature distribution.



Figure 4. Changing spatial distribution of *M. atlantica* in relation to the shelf-slope front position in the northern Catalan coast in mid-May and early June 1992. Different size dots represent the abundance, colour gradient represents the surface salinity distribution and grey lines the bathymetry (every 100 m).

community presented a marked spatial variability delimited by the position of the shelf-slope front (Chapter 1). The species occurred on the inshore side of the front in significantly higher abundances than on the offshore side, where they were scarce or absent (Fig. 2). This front has been reported as a concentration area of shelf and offshore species in relation to the productivity of the area (Gili *et al.*, 1988; Sabatés *et al.*, 2010).

<u>A2.2. The thermal front</u>. During spring and summer a seasonal thermal front is formed across the shelf between 41 and 42°N, which separates cold waters in the north from warm waters in the south (López-García *et al.*, 1994). This latitudinal temperature gradient influenced the latitudinal distribution



Figure 5. Spatial distribution of *C. appendiculta* in relation to the latitudinal temperature gradient and the thermal front, in July 2003 and 2004. Different size dots represents the abundance and colour gradient represents the surface temperature distribution.



Figure 6. Changes in the spatial distribution of *M. kochii* along the Catalan coast in June 1983, 2004 and 2011. Different size dots represent the abundance, colour gradient represents the surface temperature distribution and grey lines the bathymetry (every 100 m).

of certain species such as the siphonophore *A. tetragona*, with its highest abundances south of the front in warm waters, and the hydromedusa *Persa incolorata*, with its highest abundances concentrated north of the front in cold waters (Fig. 3).

# B. Temporal variability.B1. Short-term scale: 10 days.

High variability in the spatial distribution of the two most abundant species was observed at ten days interval associated with high variability in the location of the shelf-slope density front (Chapter 1). Their distributions were strongly modified by the changing position of the density front; from a wide distribution (mid-May) to one restricted to a narrow belt over the shelf 10 days later (early June). The front moved from a position over the slope, at approximately 50 km offshore, to one over the shelf, at about 20 km from the coast (Fig. 4; *M. atlantica* as example).

### B2. Interannual scale: 1 year.

High interannual variability between two consecutive years was observed in some species distributions such as *C. appendiculata* and *M. kochii* (Chapter 2). They were homogenously distributed along the area under standard summer conditions (July 2004) while under extreme warm summer conditions (July 2004) while under extreme warm summer conditions (July 2003), their distributions were displaced northwards. They were absent or in low abundance in the southern shelf area while high abundances were detected towards the north (Fig. 5; *C. appendiculata* as an example).

### B3. Interdecadal scale: 3 decades

Interdecadal spatial variability was also observed in species like *M. kochii* (Chapter 3). This species was very scarce and localized in a few stations in June 1983, widely distributed all along the coast in June 2004, and highly abundant all along the area in June 2011. As commented previously, increasing temperatures in the last decades have probably favoured the abundance of this warmtemperate species, enabling it to occupy a wider area in laster years compared to the colder 1980s (Fig. 6). All these results and examples demonstrate the high degree of bio-physical coupling between planktonic cnidarians and mesoscale hydrographic structures and environmental gradients. In addition, they illustrate the high spatial variability over very short distances (two adjacent stations) and at short time intervals (10 days). Therefore, in order to study long-term abundance trends and changing rates of planktonic cnidarians in highly heterogeneous areas, large areas should be covered. Our studies contribute to and confirm the relevance of a mesoscale approach for more robust comparisons when conducting long-term studies of gelatinous zooplankton.

### Temperature as important factor driving interannual and long-term changes

Water temperature has been described as one of the most important factors determining abundance and distribution of gelatinous zooplankton, at both seasonal and long-term scales (Buecher and Gibbons, 2000; Purcell et al., 2007; Boero et al., 2016). The results obtained in this thesis agree with this fact and show the importance of temperature as a key factor driving interannual (Chapter 2) and long-term (Chapter 3) changes in the planktonic cnidarian community along the Catalan coast. During the extreme warm event of July 2003 (Chapter 2), temperatures were close to those foreseen in the future by predicted climatic trends for the Western Mediterranean (Vargas-Yáñez et al., 2010; Maynou et al., 2014). Exceptionally high temperatures negatively affected the community and low abundance of planktonic cnidarians was found when compared with the standard summer conditions of 2004. On the other hand, at interdecadal scale (Chapter 3) the total summer abundance of cnidarians increased over time in relation to increasing seawater temperature. The difference between these two situations lies on the temporal scale of the environmental process in the frame of our studies. In the interannual comparison, the summer heat conditions of 2003 constituted an extreme event (Schär et al., 2004) that involved strong and sudden temperature changes, while the interdecadal study considers a long-term temperature increasing trend. Sudden changes in temperature can lead to dramatic consequences for the survival of certain species (Garrabou et al., 2009; Rivetti et al., 2014); however, long-term temperature changes may alternatively allow the adaptation of species or their spatial relocation. The lower abundance found during the extreme warm summer conditions of July 2003 may be caused by several reasons: 1) a direct negative effect of high temperatures on the survival of organisms; 2) earlier sexual and asexual reproduction of the species, thus advancing the timing of their seasonal abundance peak; and 3) the reduction of food availability due to the general decrease of zooplankton during this extreme event (Maynou et al., 2014; Atienza et al., 2016). On the other hand, the increasing abundances observed at the interdecadal scale comparison may be due to: 1) major abundances of warm-water species; 2) reduction of predators and zooplanktivorous competitors such as fish (Purcell and Arai, 2001; Lleonart and Bas, 2012) and 3) the maintenance of food availability over time (Fernández De Puelles and Molinero, 2008; García-Comas et al., 2011). Therefore, the effect of extreme warm conditions on planktonic cnidarian communities should be interpreted with caution. Taking the risk of forecasting for future trends in the abundance of gelatinous zooplankton, the effects of extreme warm conditions, representing an extreme future situation of a long-term trend, could have a drastic impact on planktonic communities and thus could change the current general trends of abundance.

### REFERENCES

Atienza D, Sabatés A, Isari S, Saiz E, Calbet A. 2016. Environmental boundaries of marine cladoceran distributions in the NW Mediterranean: Implications for their expansion under global warming. *Journal of Marine Systems* **164**: 30–41 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.08.003

Batistić M, Jasprica N, Caric M, Lučić D. 2007. Annual cycle of the gelatinous invertebrate

zooplankton of the eastern South Adriatic coast (NE Mediterranean). *Journal of Plankton Research* **29**: 671–686 DOI: 10.1093/plankt/fbm048

- Blackett M, Licandro P, Coombs SH, Lucas CH. 2014. Long-term variability of the siphonophores Muggiaea atlantica and M. kochi in the Western English Channel. *Progress in Oceanography* 128: 1–14 DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2014.07.004
- Blackett M, Lucas C, Harmer R, Licandro P. 2015. Population ecology of Muggiaea atlantica (Cnidaria, Siphonophora) in the Western English Channel. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **535**: 129–144 DOI: 10.3354/meps11423
- Boero F, Bouillon J. 1993. Zoogeography and life cycle patterns of Mediterranean hydromedusae (Cnidaria). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **48**: 239–266 DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1993. tb00890.x
- Boero F, Brotz L, Gibbons MJ, Piraino S, Zampardi S. 2016. Impacts and effects of ocean warming on jellyfish. In *Explaining Ocean Warming: Causes, Scale, Effects and Consequences*, Laffoley D, , Baxter JM (eds).Gland, Switzerland: UICN.; 213–237.
- Bouillon J, Medel MD, Pagès F, Gili J-M, Boero F, Gravili C. 2004. Fauna of the Mediterranean Hydrozoa. *Scientia Marina* **68**: 438 DOI: 10.3989/scimar.2004.68s25
- Buecher E, Gibbons MJ. 2000. Interannual variation in the composition of the assemblages of medusae and ctenophores in St Helena Bay, Southern Benguela Ecosystem. *Scientia Marina* 64: 123–134
- D'Ambrosio M, Molinero JC, Azeiteiro UM, Pardal MA, Primo AL, Nyitrai D, Marques SC. 2016. Interannual abundance changes of gelatinous carnivore zooplankton unveil climate-driven hydrographic variations in the Iberian Peninsula, Portugal. *Marine Environmental Research* **120**: 103–110 DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.07.012
- Fernández De Puelles ML, Molinero JC. 2008. Decadal changes in hydrographic and ecological time-series in the Balearic Sea (western Mediterranean), identifying links between climate and zooplankton. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **65**: 311–317 DOI: 10.1093/icesjms/fsn017
- Font J, Garcia-Ladona E, Gorriz EG. 1995. The seasonality of mesoscale motion in the Northern Current of the western Mediterranean: several years of evidence. *Oceanologica Acta* **18**: 207–219
- García-Comas C, Stemmann L, Ibanez F, Berline L, Mazzocchi MG, Gasparini S, Picheral M, Gorsky G. 2011. Zooplankton long-term changes in the NW Mediterranean Sea: Decadal periodicity forced by winter hydrographic conditions related to large-scale atmospheric changes? *Journal of Marine Systems* 87: 216–226 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2011.04.003
- Garrabou J, Coma R, Bensoussan N, Bally M, Chevaldonné P, Cigliano M, Diaz D, Harmelin JG, Gambi MC, Kersting DK, et al. 2009. Mass mortality in Northwestern Mediterranean rocky benthic communities: Effects of the 2003 heat wave. *Global Change Biology* **15**: 1090–1103 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01823.x
- Gibbons MJ, Janson LA, Ismail A, Samaai T. 2010. Life cycle strategy, species richness and distribution in marine Hydrozoa (Cnidaria: Medusozoa). *Journal of Biogeography* **37**: 441–448 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02226.x
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Bouillon J, Palanques A, Puig P, Heussner S, Calafat A, Canals M. 2000. A multidisciplinary approach to the understanding of hydromedusan populations inhabiting Mediterranean submarine canyons. *Deep Sea Research Part I Oceanographic Research Papers* **47**: 1513–1533
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Sabatés A, Ros JD. 1988. Small-scale distribution of a cnidarian population in the western Mediterranean. *Journal of Plankton Research* **10**: 385–401
- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Vives F. 1987. Distribution and ecology of a population of planktonic cnidarians in the western Mediterranean. In *Modern Trends in the Systematics, Ecology, and Evolution of Hydroids and Hydromedusae*, Bouillon J, , Boero F, , Cicogna F, , Cornelius PFS (eds).Oxford University

Press: Oxford, UK; 157–170.

- Gili J-M, Pagès F, Vives F. 1987b. Distribution and ecology of a population of planktonic cnidarians in the western Mediterranean. In *Modern Trends in the Systematics, Ecology, and Evolution of Hydroids and Hydromedusae,* Bouillon J, , Boero F, , Cicogna F, , Cornelius PFS (eds).Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK; 157–170.
- Graham WM, Pagès F, Hamner WM. 2001. A physical context for gelatinous zooplankton aggregations: a review. *Hydrobiologia* **451**: 199–212
- Gravili C, Bouillon J, D'Elia A, Boero F. 2007. The life cycle of Gastroblasta raffaelei (Cnidaria, Hydrozoa, Leptomedusae, Campanulariidae) and a review of the genus Gastroblasta. *Italian Journal of Zoology* **74**: 395–403 DOI: 10.1080/11250000701650768
- Haury LR, McGowan JA, Wiebe PH. 1978. Patterns and processes in the time-space scales of plankton distributions. In *Spatial Pattern in Plankton Communities*, Steele JH (ed.).Plenum Press; 277–328.
- Licandro P, Souissi S, Ibanez F, Carré C. 2012. Long-term variability and environmental preferences of calycophoran siphonophores in the Bay of Villefranche (north-western Mediterranean). *Progress in Oceanography* **97–100**: 152–163 DOI: 10.1016/j.pocean.2011.11.004
- Lleonart J, Bas C. 2012. Present i futur de la pesca. *Treb. Soc. Cat. Biol.* **63**: 199–213 DOI: 10.2436/20.1501.02.119
- López-García MJ, Millot C, Font J, García-Ladona E. 1994. Surface circulation variability in the Balearic Basin. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **99**: 3285–3296
- Masó M, Tintoré J. 1991. Variability of the shelf water off the northeast Spanish coast. *Journal of Marine Systems* 1: 441–450 Available at: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/092479639190008I#
- Maynou F, Sabatés A, Salat J. 2014. Clues from the recent past to assess recruitment of Mediterranean small pelagic fishes under sea warming scenarios. *Climatic Change* **126**: 175–188 DOI: 10.1007/ s10584-014-1194-0
- Pagès F, Gili J-M. 1991. Effects of large-scale advective processes on gelatinous zooplankton populations in the northern Benguela ecosystem. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **75**: 205–215
- Pagès F, Gili J-M. 1992. Influence of Agulhas waters on the population structure of planktonic Cnidarians in the southern Benguela Region. *Sciencia Marina* **56**: 109–123
- Pagès F, González HE, Ramón M, Sobarzo M, Gili J-M. 2001. Gelatinous zooplankton assemblages associated with water masses in the Humboldt Current System, and potential predatory impact by Bassia bassensis (Siphonophora: Calycophorae). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **210**: 13–24
- Purcell JE, Arai MN. 2001. Interactions of pelagic cnidarians and ctenophores with fish: a review. *Hydrobiologia* **451**: 27–44
- Purcell JE, Uye S, Lo W-T. 2007. Anthropogenic causes of jellyfish blooms and their direct consequences for humans: a review. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **350**: 153–174 DOI: 10.3354/meps07093
- Riera T, Gili J-M, Pagès F. 1986. Estudio cuantitativo y estacional de dos poblaciones de cnidarios planctonicos frente a las costas de Barcelona (Med. occ.): ciclos entre 1966-67 y 1982-83. *Misc. Zool.* **10**: 23–32
- Rivetti I, Fraschetti S, Lionello P, Zambianchi E, Boero F. 2014. Global warming and mass mortalities of benthic invertebrates in the Mediterranean Sea. *PLoS ONE* **9**: 1–22 DOI: 10.1371/journal. pone.0115655
- Russell FS. 1934. On the occurrence of the siphonophores *Muggiæa atlantica* Cunningham and *Muggiæa kochi* (Will) in the English Channel. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **19**: 555–559 DOI: 10.1017/S0025315400046622
- Sabatés A, Pagès F, Atienza D, Fuentes V, Purcell JE, Gili J-M. 2010. Planktonic cnidarian distribution and feeding of *Pelagia noctiluca* in the NW Mediterranean Sea. *Hydrobiologia* **645**: 153–165 DOI: 10.1007/s10750-010-0221-z

- Sabatés A, Salat J, Masó M. 2004. Spatial heterogeneity of fish larvae across a meandering current in the northwestern Mediterranean. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* **51**: 545–557 DOI: 10.1016/j.dsr.2003.11.003
- Sabatés A, Salat J, Raya V, Emelianov M. 2013. Role of mesoscale eddies in shaping the spatial distribution of the coexisting Engraulis encrasicolus and Sardinella aurita larvae in the northwestern Mediterranean. *Journal of Marine Systems* **112**: 108–119 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2012.10.002
- Schär C, Vidale PL, Lüthi D, Frei C, Häberli C, Liniger M a, Appenzeller C. 2004. The role of increasing temperature variability in European summer heatwaves. *Nature* **427**: 332–336 DOI: 10.1038/nature02300
- Vargas-Yáñez M, Moya F, García-Martínez MC, Tel E, Zunino P, Plaza F, Salat J, Pascual J, López-Jurado JL, Serra M. 2010. Climate change in the Western Mediterranean Sea 1900-2008. *Journal* of Marine Systems 82: 171–176 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2010.04.013

# CONCLUSIONS

## **GENERAL CONCLUSIONS**

The main conclusions derived from the studies encompassing the present PhD thesis are the following:

# Chapter 1:

- 1. Short-term spatial variability in the distribution of the two most abundant planktonic cnidarian species was closely related to the spatio-temporal variability in the location of a shelf-slope density front.
- 2. The front acted as a barrier limiting the offshore displacement of the studied species.
- 3. The main environmental factors explaining the distribution of the species were bathymetry and salinity as indicators of the signature and position of the shelf-slope front.

# Chapter 2:

- 4. Under the extreme warm summer conditions of July 2003 the total cnidaria abundance was twofold lower than in the standard summer conditions of July 2004; some species showed a northward displacement and the presence of warm-water species was detected in the warm southern area.
- 5. Under the extreme warm summer conditions of July 2003, the structure of the community changed from a coastal-offshore to a north-south ordination, where the temperature gradient, instead of bathymetry, played the main role.
- 6. The reduction of total cnidaria abundance under extreme warm summer conditions could be caused by different reasons: 1) a direct negative effect of high temperatures on the organism survival, 2) an earlier development of their annual abundance peaks, and 3) a reduction of food availability.
- 7. The effect of extreme warm conditions on planktonic cnidarian communities should be interpreted with caution and would not be comparable to those caused by the long-term warming trend.

## Chapter 3:

- 8. Taking into account a mesoscale spatial coverage and during three representative years (1983, 2004 and 2011) of climatic and anthropogenic changes occurred during the last three decades in the NW Mediterranean, long-term changes in the summer abundance, composition and distribution patterns of the planktonic cnidarian have been detected in relation to the temperature increase trend.
- 9. During the three years studied, total cnidaria abundance showed a significant increase over time, warmer conditions favoured warm-water species abundance and the spatial distribution of the community changed from a coastal-offshore pattern to a more evident north-south one.
- 10. The long-term increasing abundance of total cnidaria observed in this study may be due to several reasons: 1) enhanced abundance of warm-water species, 2) reduction of fish predators and

zooplanktivorous competitors, and 3) stable food availability over time.

11. The study and monitoring of mesoscale spatial areas is essential to robustly assess long-term changes in abundance and spatial distribution of gelatinous zooplankton in the current climate change scenario.

# AGRADECIMIENTOS -ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Esta tesis ha sido realizada gracias a la ayuda y apoyo de mucha gente. Hay muchos tipos de agradecimientos cuando se realiza un trabajo de esta envergadura y duración. Agradecimientos económicos, por la guía, el apoyo, las técnicas aprendidas, los ánimos.

Primero de todo agradecer a mis directores de tesis Josep-Maria Gili y Ana Sabatés, sin los cuales este trabajo no hubiera sido posible; la guía, el apoyo y todo el aprendizaje en estos años. Gracias. Gracias Josep-Maria por las buenas charlas, ideas, ratos de lupa y momentos compartidos. Gracias Ana por la paciencia y las buenas correcciones. Gracias Francesc Pagès por todo lo que me enseñaste sobre este invisible y gelatinoso mundo, gracias a lo cual, hoy estoy aquí. Gracias por tu pasión. Gracias a Manuel por ser mi tutor.

Gracias al proyecto Europeo VECTORS, que financió gran parte de esta tesis.

Gracias al Institut de Ciènces del Mar (CSIC) y todoas y cada unoa de loas que lo conforman. A todo el personal técnico y de administración, gracias a los cuales las cosas de base funcionan y sin los cuales no podríamos hacer lo que hacemos. A Conchita, Nuria, Rosa, Eva, Jose Mª, Natalia, Maribel, y muchos otroas. A mis compañeroas de despacho con vistas al mar, por las ayudas, ánimos y escuchas intercambiadas, Ana Mari, Ariadna, Mely, Carlos, Pablo, Denise. Y otros despachos por los que he ido pasando a lo largo de las obras en el ICM, Lucía, Marina, Isabel, Pablo, Caterina, Paula, Maria, Estela, Fran, Néstor, Ida, Carolina, Manu, Dani, gracias a vosotroas todo se pasa mucho mejor. Gracias a la Coral del Mar, por os buenos momentos compartidos. A Joan Soto Ángel por ser compañero en este camino.

Gracias a la UPC y en especial a Genoveva y Rosa por la gran ayuda en todos los papeleos de la Uni, atender tan amablemente las llamadas de teléfono y mails con todas nuestras dudas.

A mis compañeras de laboratorio de lupas, por las interminables horas, Vanesa, Alba, Balbina y muchos otroas que han ido pasando. Gracias a Sara por tu siempre predispuesta ayuda en temas de SIG. Gracias Natalia, por tu buen hacer en la biblioteca de este centro, gracias a lo cual obtenemos mucha de la información bibliográfica necesaria en estos trabajos. Gracias a Francesc Maynou, por la gran ayuda en temas estadísticos.

A mis compañeroas de grupos de trabajo, tanto del grupo Medusas como Bentos. Gracias a Verónica Fuentes y su grupo, por el apoyo y todas las oportunidades brindadas, a Mely y sus cubomedusas, a Maria ("sense accent") y sus salpas, a Mar, a Antonio Canepa infinitas gracias por tu estadística y tu R y las buenas charlas, a Raül, a Miriam y su ZAE, a Macarena, Laura, Uxue, Alejandro, Giacomo, Gastón, Agnès. A los bentónicos Carlos, Jordi, Stefano, Rebeca, Andrea, Nuria, Martina, Guillem, Andreu, Maria, Patri, Marc, Janire, ... A Susana, Bego, Dacha, aquí o allí, gracias. Especiales gracias a Jordi, que ha sido como un ángel de la guarda (catalán ;)) desde que llegué a Barcelona. Gràcies Jordi.

A Anna Marrodán, a Mónica Martínez, por los meses que compartimos en el mundo gelatinoso.

A la tripulación del BO García del Cid, gracias a la cual todas las muestras y datos de esta tesis fueron posibles. Gracias también por los momentos compartidos a bordo. Siempre se obtienen grandes aprendizajes y experiencias estando sobre la mar.

A Albert Calbet, que siempre que he tenido una duda ha tenido tiempo para ayudarme.

Special thanks to Gill for welcoming me at the Natural History Museum, in London. Thank you for your invaluable teaching about siphonophore taxonomical identification, our sharing and friendship.

Thanks to the Hydrozoan Society and all the people integrating it. Thank you for keeping alive this scientific philosophy.

Especiales gracias a Fran, Sara, Natalia, Carmen, Paula y Geni. El equipo "comedor", más otras muchas e importantes cosas. Gracias de corazón chicoas.

Paula, amigui, Gracias. Gracias por re-encontrarnos, iluminarnos y reflejarnos mutuamente. Gracias al laboratorio de histología.

Fran, gracias hermano.

Mire, gracias por nuestras sanadoras charlas en la cercanía o en la distancia. Gracias por estar ahí, siempre.

Meri, compañera de piso todos estos años y amiga. Cuántas cosas hemos vivido! y cómo ha ido cambiando el panorama con el paso de los años, je, je. Gracias por toda tu ayuda y apoyo, en lo físico (económico) y lo inmaterial. Gracias por tu presencia y tu palabra. Gracias Laia, Sharon.

Eva, gracias por acompañarme en este camino y en este crecimiento de ser yo misma (sin más).

Gracias a Amma, Thich Nhat Hanh y Tulku Lobsang. Gracias por el Amor y la Luz.

Gracias a mi madre, por estar siempre ahí y ser parte de mí y yo de ti. Gracias. Por enseñarme a ser yo misma y creer en mí. Anica, hermana, gracias por tantas cosas que me has aportado, de aquí y del más allá, esto es una aventura sin fin. Gracias por caminar juntas. Eres una gran maestra para mí. Gracias Pierre, es un gran honor y regalo tenerte en la familia. Téo, Maël y Luna, me faltan las palabras, sois hermosas lucecitas, inmensos regalos de esta vida y grandes Maestroas en mi vida. A toda mi familia materna y paterna, sin vosotroas esto no sería posible. Gracias, gracias, gracias. Gracias papá, estés donde estés.

A mis amigos y amigas de toda la vida, Emi, Carlota, Elena, Iván, María, Olga,... Gracias por estar siempre ahí y vuestro apoyo incondicional.

A mis amigos y amigas de Barcelona (y alrededores). Sonia, gracias por tu infinito apoyo y amor, y por compartir tu paraíso particular, Raúl, gracias por estar ahí y tu visión desde fuera, Karel, gracias por tus palabras y los buenos vinos compartidos, Ricky, gracias por tus arreglos de bici, tu confianza en mí y en mis pies, gracias por el barefoot, thank you Binod for your care and your music. Laia, Xavier, Marisol qué bueno haberos encontrado en el camino. Paquita, gracias. Hariavtar, Rafael, por reencontrarme al proceso. Laura, Inés, Luneras, Jose, Olga, Laura, Alicia, Ignasi, Aurea.

Especiales gracias a Barcelona, esta increíble, inagotable, acogedora, abierta, inspiradora y especial ciudad, a los catalanes y catalanas por ser como son i al "meu barri del Poblenou". Gràcies de tot cor.

Gracias a Rina por el genial montaje y diseño de esta tesis. Gracias a mi madre por los maravillosos dibujos hechos con tanto cariño y detalle para presentar esta tesis. Gracias.

Tanta gente que ha formado parte de este proceso. Nombrar nombres siempre tiene el riesgo de olvidarte de alguien. A todoas loas que me han acompañado en este proceso GRACIAS.



