Analysis of *Plantago* species variants for novel functional and *in-vitro* fermentation properties

Migelhewage Dinithi Tharuka Jayampathi Silva

BSc. (Hons)

80 • 03

A thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide in fulfilment of the requirements for

the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

The University of Adelaide Faculty of Sciences School of Agriculture, Food and Wine



September 2022

ii

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint award of this degree.

I acknowledge that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Migelhewage Dinithi Tharuka Jayampathi Silva

09.09.2022

Acknowledgements

This thesis is dedicated to my Amma (mum) and Thaththa (dad). You are the reason for who I am today and for everything I'll ever be. ''මගේ රත්තරත් අම්මා තාත්තා මෙන්ම තම දූ දරුවන්ගේ ජීවිත එලිය කිරීම සඳහා ලේ කිරි කඳුළු හෙලන සියලුම ශී ලාංකික මව් පියවරුන් වෙත උපහාරයක්

හා ලේ කට කපුව පොලන සයලුම යු ලාංකක මට පයවටැන වෙත උපහාටය ලෙස මෙම පරීක්ෂණ නිබන්දනය පුදමි."

First and foremost, I would like to offer my deepest heartfelt gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. Rachel Burton, and Dr. Gleb Yakubov. Your continuous guidance, support, assistance, and kindness during my candidature has been beyond helpful. I'm very fortunate to have gotten the opportunity to receive the supervision of such distinguished scientists like you. Thank you for your mentorship and for not letting me down during my hardships. You've helped me to grow not only as a researcher but also as a person.

I acknowledge the financial support of the Beacon of Enlightenment, PhD scholarship of The University of Adelaide.

I'd like to thank all RABLAB members who worked with me throughout my PhD and made it a friendly and inclusive environment. Particularly, Aaron Phillips, Jakob Schulz, Ali Gill, Ghazwan Karem, Tate Hancox, Jacqui Barsby, Amy Doan, Dr Ben Keiller, Kylie Neumann, Pooja Vashist, Dr Andrea Matros, Dr Renee Philips and Dr Carolyn Schultz for making it enjoyable to come to the lab. Special thanks to Sandy Khor for technical support and guidance but also, I'd like to thank Sandy, James, Lina Herliana and Rachel for constantly having my back and for checking up on me, especially during my hard times. Special thanks are offered to Dr James Cowley for the continuous support, advice, feedback, and guidance throughout my thesis preparation and for the informative scientific discussions.

My most sincere gratitude to the members of the Gidley group, Centre of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Queensland Alliance of Agriculture and Food Innovation, University of Queensland, for welcoming me into their research group as a visiting PhD student. Particularly, Professor Mike Gidley, Dr Bernadine Flanagan and Dr Barbara Williams for sharing their most valuable knowledge with me, for helping me develop many skills in a new area of research, for guiding me and also for taking care of me. I'd like to thank PhD students Alex, Hong, Sera, Cindy, Lenny and Madan, for their great teamwork and for being friendly and supportive.

Special thanks to all the staff of the Department of Food Science and Technology, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka and my 2011/2012 batchmates. My most sincere appreciation and gratitude to Prof. Chamila Jayasinghe, Dean, Faculty of Livestock, Fisheries and Nutrition for your guidance, support and mentoring since the year 2015. I acknowledge the higher education system of Sri Lanka and The Wayamba University of Sri Lanka for providing free education to complete my B. Sc. (Honours) in Food Science and Nutrition which led me to pursue a doctoral degree.

A special shoutout goes to my friends Karan, Isurika, Achini, Yasas, Indranath, Kynan, Michael and Lahiru for checking up on me, supporting me, cheering me up, for all the catch-ups, calls, and messages and being there for me when I was lonely or needed a kind word.

To my ever-loving family, Kumara Jayampathi (Thaththa), Priyanthi Senadeera (Amma), Nipun Jayampathi (Ayya), Hiruni Jayampathi (Baba), Kasun Ranasinghe (Jonty), Haritha Damunupola and Piumi Fonseka, I am incredibly blessed to have you as my family. You've believed in me and encouraged me to become better and stronger every day. Leaving my home, and my family and moving across countries to start a new life in Australia all by myself has been the most difficult thing I've ever done. There hasn't been a single day that has gone by without me wishing that you were here with me. Thank you for all the unconditional love you've given me, for sharing my smiles and tears and for being my strength throughout life. "Amme thaththe" thank you for giving me the very best of everything, you are the biggest blessing in my life, and I owe you everything. I promise I'll try to make you proud every day. I am also incredibly grateful to my extended family including the Damunopola family for all the support they have shown me. For everyone who supported me by any means, offered me a helping hand, a word of advice, brought a smile to my face, or shared a kind word throughout my PhD journey, I thank you from the bottom of my heart.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 – General Introduction	1	
Thesis introduction	2	
Thesis structure	3	
Chapter 2 – Literature Review	11	
Hydrocolloids in the food industry	12	
Hydrocolloids as gluten replacers	15	
Fermentation	17	
Psyllium	23	
Conclusion	30	
Chapter 3 – Plantago ovata mutants display differences in mucilage structure, composition, and		
functionality	65	
Introduction	68	
Materials and methods	70	
Results and Discussion	79	
Conclusions	104	
Chapter 4 – The effect of fractionated psyllium (<i>Plantago ovata</i>) husk and whole seed flour on		
rice flour and starch cooking properties	153	
Abstract	157	
Introduction	158	
Methods	165	
Results and discussion	165	
Conclusions	178	

Chapter 5 – Fermentation of diverse <i>Plantago</i> seed-derived substrates	201
Introduction	204
Materials and methods	207
Results and discussion	211
Conclusions	220
Chapter 6 - Summary and future directions	251
Appendix I – Sample details for mutant <i>Plantago</i> fermentation in Chapter 3	264
Appendix II - Sample details for diverse <i>Plantago</i> fermentation in Chapter 5	269
Appendix III - Career and Research Skills Training	273
Appendix IV - Candidature milestones	275

List of Figure and Tables (in order of appearance in each chapter)

Chapter 1 – General Introduction

Figure 1-1, **page 7** - Schematic overview of the research questions that structured the thesis and its relevant research chapters

Figure 1-2, page 8 - Schematic overview of the thesis structure

Chapter 2 – Literature Review

Table 2-1, page 31 - Applications of hydrocolloids in food systems

Figure 2-1, page 32 - Gelling of hydrocolloids by cross-linking

Figure 2-2, page 33 - Formation of gluten network trapping air bubbles in wheat flour dough

Figure 2-3, page 34 - Classification of dietary fibre

Figure 2-4, page 35 - The effects of high and low-fibre diets on gut health

Figure 2-5, page 36 - Structure of major SCFA produced by gastrointestinal fermentation

Table 2-2, page 37 - Examples of major genera of human gut flora and their metabolic end products

Figure 2-6, page 38 - Substrates, end products of protein fermentation and their physiological effects

Figure 2-7, page 39 - Changes in the microbial composition at phylum level during the *in vitro* fermentation of bacterial cellulose, arabinoxylan, a mix of arabinoxylan and mixed linkage glucans and mixed linkage glucans using pig faecal inoculum

Figure 2-8, page 40 - Structural variations of polysaccharides leading to differences in microbial utilization

 Table 2-3, page 41 - In vitro batch fermentation performance of various dietary fibres using human faecal inocula

Figure 2-9, page 42 - a) Summary of fermentation of common non-digestible carbohydrates, fermentation products and major physiological effects b) Production of SCFA in the gut and the absorption and modulation of physiological processes of the host

Table 2-4, page 43 - Biological effects of major SCFA produced by gastrointestinal fermentation

Figure 2-10, page 44 - The anticarcinogenic activity of SCFA

Table 2-5, page 45 - Traditional medicinal uses of P. ovata in different countries

Table 2-6, page 46 - Pharmacological activities of P. ovata

Chapter 3 – *Plantago ovata* mutants display differences in mucilage structure, composition, and functionality

Table 3-1, page 107 - Screening results for 25 P. ovata mutants and WT

Figure 3-1, page 109 - Plant phenotypes observed for 12 *P. ovata* mutant lines in comparison to the wild type *P. ovata* grown in the same growth conditions.

Figure 3-2, page 111 - Agronomic traits of 12 P. ovata mutant lines.

Figure 3-3, page 112 - Expanded mucilage structure of 6 *P. ovata* mutants and wild type.

Figure 3-4, page 114 - Mucilage yields of 6 *P. ovata* mutant lines.

Figure 3-5, page 115 - Monosaccharide composition of three mucilage fractions CWE, HWE and IAE of *P. ovata* mutants.

Figure 3-6. page 118 - Water absorption capacity and starch swelling capacity of six selected *P. ovata* mutant seeds.

Figure 3-7, page 119 - Change of RVA profile of rice flour with the addition of 1% *P.ovata* mutant husk.

Table 3-2, page 120- Pasting properties of rice flour upon addition of *P. ovata* mutant husk.

Figure 3-8, page 121 - Change of RVA profile of rice starch with the addition of 1% *P.ovata* mutant husk.

Table 3-3, page 122 - Pasting properties of rice starch upon addition of *P. ovata* mutant husk.

 Table 3-4, page 123 - Correlations of the monosaccharide composition of mutant mucilage fractions and rice flour pasting properties.

 Table 3-5, page 124 - Correlations of the monosaccharide composition of mutant mucilage fractions and rice starch pasting properties.

Figure 3-9, page 125 - Emulsion properties of mucilage from six selected *P. ovata* mutants

 Table 3-6, page 126 - Correlations of the monosaccharide composition of *P. ovata* mutant mucilage fractions and functional properties

Table 3-7, page 127 - End-products from in vitro fermentation of mutant P. ovata substrates

Figure 3-10, page 128 - SCFA production in time, of mutant *P. ovata*

Figure 3-11, page 131 - NH₃ changes of *P. ovata*

 Table 3-8, page 132 - Correlations between the monosaccharide composition and fermentation

 products of mutant *P. ovata*

Supplementary Table 3-1, page 133 - Monosaccharide analysis of fractions extracted from *P. ovata* mutant seed husk

Supplementary Table 3-2, page 134 - End products of fermentation of all P. ovata substrates

Chapter 4 – The effect of fractionated psyllium (*Plantago ovata*) husk and whole seed flour on rice flour and starch cooking properties

Table 4-1, page 180 - Psyllium husk and WSF fractions

Table 4-2, page 181 - Ingredients for gluten-free bread making

Table 4-3, page 182 - Monosaccharide analysis of fractions extracted from *Povata* seed husk and WSF

Figure 4-1, page 183 - Pasting properties of rice flour upon addition of fractions extracted

Figure 4-2, page 184 - Pasting properties of rice flour upon addition of fractions extracted from *P. ovata* WSF.

Figure 4-3, page 185 - Pasting properties of rice starch upon addition of fractions extracted from *P. ovata* husk.

Figure 4-4, page 186 - Pasting properties of rice starch upon addition of fractions extracted from *P. ovat*a WSF.

Figure 4-5, page 187 - Change of RVA profile of rice flour with the addition of 1% *P. ovata* husk, 4% *P. ovata* WSF or 1% husk and WSF mucilage fractions

Figure 4-6, page 188 - Change of RVA profile of rice starch with the addition of 1% *P. ovata* husk, 4% *P. ovata* WSF or 1% husk and WSF mucilage fractions

Figure 4-7, page 189 - Preliminary study on the baking performance of rice flour-based gluten-free breads with *P. ovata* husk and WSF and their derived fractions used as hydrocolloid replacements

Figure 4-8, page 190 - A simplified model summarising the hypothesised interactions and subsequent effects of psyllium husk and WSF and their derived fractions.

Supplementary figure 4-1, page 192 - Appearance of dried mucilage fractions of *P. ovata* husk and *P. ovata* WSF

Chapter 5 – Fermentation of diverse *Plantago* seed derived substrates

Figure 5-1, page 223 - Preparation of Plantago substrates for fermentation

Table 5-1, page 224 - Gas production kinetics of fermentation of *Plantago* WSF.

Figure 5-2, page 225 - Representative cumulative gas profiles during in-vitro fermentation of Plantago

Table 5-2, page 226 - End-products from in vitro fermentation of Whole Plantago substrates only.

Figure 5-3, **page 227** – SCFA production of *Plantago;* Total SCFA, acetate, propionate, butyrate, branched chain SCFA. Data are expressed as mean standard deviation.

Figure 5-4, page 229 – a)NH₃ production of *Plantago*. b)pH change of *Plantago*.

 Table 5-3, page 230– Mean compositions of *Plantago* WSF and mean fermentation parameters at 48h in-vitro fermentation.

 Table 5-4, page 231 - Gas production kinetics of fermentation of Plantago ovata.

Table 5-5, page 232 - End-products from in vitro fermentation of Whole Plantago ovata.

Figure 5-5, page 233 -In vitro fermentation of *Plantago ovata* fractions: Gas production, SCFA concentrations, SCFA%, NH3 and pH variation with time.

Supplementary table 5-1, page 234 - Sources for all *Plantago* species used in this study.

Supplementary figure 5-1, page 235 - Maximum likelihood tree of nuclear ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions from the *Plantago* accessions studied.

Supplementary table 5-2, page 236 - Husk and seed recovery from whole *P. ovata* seed milling.

Supplementary table 5-3, page 237 – Correlations between fermentation products of *Plantago*.

Supplementary table 5-4, page 238 – Correlations of fermentation products with composition of native *Plantago*.

Supplementary table 5-5, page 240 – Correlations of composition of native Plantago.

Supplementary table 5-6, page 241 – End products of fermentation of all substrates.

Supplementary table 5-7, page 244 - End products of fermentation of POV, POV-H and POV-S. Values are mean and standard deviation for 0 h, 10 h, 24 h and 48 h.

CHAPTER 1

Thesis Introduction

Chapter 1 - Thesis Introduction

Thesis introduction

Food manufacturing and nutraceuticals such as fibre supplements are extensive and ever-growing global industries where research and development are being constantly carried out to manufacture products with better quality, better sensory perception, and improved nutritional and health benefits. Hydrocolloids, which are hydrophilic long-chain polymers (polysaccharides and/or proteins) of various origins, both natural and artificial, are used in the food and fibre industry. Psyllium is one such hydrocolloid derived from the mucilaginous polysaccharides of *Plantago ovata* seeds and is used widely in the food industry, including as a fibre supplement due to its strong water-holding ability. Although there are many mucilageproducing members in the Plantago genus, P. ovata is the only species currently used commercially in the food and fibre industry as a source of mucilage. Despite the high demand, there are many constraints to obtaining a consistent supply of psyllium with good quality as the species is difficult to breed commercially and there is a little genetic variation to improve production for food functionality purposes. Furthermore, although P. ovata mucilage provides good faecal bulking and laxative properties, it is poorly fermentable thereby providing lesser fermentation-related health benefits and potentially making it a lessthan-ideal fibre source. However, there may be novel sources of *Plantago* mucilage that can improve these constraints, such as variation generated through induced mutation, through processes such as fractionation, or found naturally, such as in other members of the *Plantago* genus that may be used as alternatives to P. ovata with similar or improved uses in food and fibre.

These alternative options raise questions about the possibility of novel or improved sources of mucilage from different species. Do the differences in mutant mucilage polysaccharide structure and composition caused by gamma irradiation *P. ovata* seeds provide better forms of psyllium for use as food hydrocolloids? Does whole mucilage of *P. ovata* provide the best functionality, or could fractionation of mucilage be used to tailor the properties of food? Could alterations to polysaccharide structure by mutation give rise to more fermentable mucilage with superior performance in faecal bulking as well as providing good amounts of beneficial compounds produced by fermentation in the gut? Are there better

fermentable species within Australian native *Plantago* that can be exploited for use in food and fibre applications? These research questions, that have shaped this thesis, are summarized in Figure 1-1.

Thesis structure/abstract

This thesis comprises six chapters: a general thesis introduction (Chapter 1), a literature review (Chapter 2), a conventional thesis chapter (Chapter 3), an unpublished research manuscript (Chapter 4) and a conventional thesis chapter (Chapter 5) with a final general discussion, including ideas for further research (Chapter 6). A schematic overview of the thesis structure is presented in Figure 1-2.

Chapter 3 is a conventional research chapter describing the screening process to identify potentially useful P. ovata mutants, with subsequent investigations into the differences in mucilage structure, composition, and food-related functionality. Dr Jana Phan (PhD submitted 2018) observed differences in the structure and composition of *P. ovata* mutants and studied mucilage development using a gammairradiated P. ovata mutant population developed in a previous project aiming to identify xylan synthase genes. Chao Ma and Dr James Cowley in their honours research work studied compositional and structural differences in mucilage polysaccharides of a selection of P. ovata mutants and their underlying gene mutations. Lina Herliana (PhD thesis submitted 2022) used P. ovata mutants to study seed and capsule development to identify improved forms of psyllium and identified a candidate mutant with increased mucilage production. Here in Chapter 3 of this thesis P. ovata mutants were studied to find out if differences in their mucilage properties caused by mutation can be exploited to identify novel forms of psyllium for use in food and fermentation applications. Mutants were screened to identify those with differences in mucilage composition and arrangement using monosaccharide analysis and microscopy followed by a study of functional properties including water absorption, influence on starch swelling, emulsification properties, foaming properties and alteration of the pasting properties of rice starch and rice flour when combined with a mucilage fraction. Although mutants displayed significant differences in mucilage composition, their functionality in altering pasting properties was only subtle when compared to the wild type. There is some literature available on the fermentation of *P. ovata* which shows that it is a

Chapter 1 - Thesis Introduction

poorly fermentable substrate, although it serves as a good stool-bulking agent in human fibre applications. In this study, the husk of four selected mutants and wild-type *P. ovata* and husk fraction material produced by the removal of the cold-water extractable fraction of the husk was tested *in vitro* to investigate if they can provide better fermentation and related benefits by increased production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) using mixed human faecal inoculum. Static *in vitro* fermentation and the changes in SCFA and automatic gas reading system was used to monitor gas production and the changes in SCFA and ammonia and polysaccharides were studied throughout the course of fermentation using spectrophotometry and liquid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). It was found that there was a higher production of SCFA by the whole husk, in comparison to the cold-water fraction removed husk, however, mutant *P. ovata* only displayed subtle differences in fermentability when compared to the wild type.

Chapter 4 is an unpublished research manuscript where the influence of *P. ovata* seed fractions on starch pasting was investigated. Although there is published research available profiling fractions of *P. ovata* mucilage and its rheological properties, no work has been done to determine the functionality of such mucilage fractions in starch-based foods. In this study, changes to the pasting properties and preliminary baking performance of gluten-free rice flour formulations were studied after the addition of aqueous fractions of *P. ovata* whole-seed flour and husk. Different fractions led to distinct changes in the pasting profiles of rice flour and rice starch and altered the baking performance of model gluten-free bread. It was found that native forms of *P. ovata* seed (whole seed flour and husk) had better functionality than fractionated mucilage.

There is very limited research available on the composition and fermentation of native *Plantago* species. In his PhD, Dr James Cowley (submitted 2020) studied the seed composition and food-related functionality of diverse Australian native *Plantago* species. The work described in Chapter 5 complements this work by investigating differences in the fermentability of whole seed flour of nine naturalised and Australian native *Plantago* species, as well as the differences in the fermentability of the husk, de-husked seed and whole seed flour of the commercially used species *P. ovata*, using the same experimental

methods for *in vitro* fermentation as described in Chapter 3. Significant differences were found in the fermentability among the species, and the factions of *P. ovata* although all of them were poorly fermented overall.

The final chapter, Chapter 6, is a summary and general discussion of the findings of this research work and suggests future research directions.

Covid impact

The research work in this thesis was significantly affected by the Covid-19 pandemic and the impact of this is described here.

The PhD project started under the joint agreement PhD programme between the University of Adelaide, Australia (home university) and the University of Nottingham, UK (host university), where the candidate is expected to spend a minimum of 18-month study period at each institution for the joint award of the degree. The project was planned in a manner so that the candidate would spend the first 18 months at the University of Adelaide, the next 18 months in Nottingham and the final 12 months in Adelaide. The candidate's relocation to Nottingham was continuously delayed during the period of December 2019-December 2020 due to the high number of Covid-19 in the UK, and travel restrictions. Also, the candidate holds a foreign passport which did not allow the candidate to return to Australia once departed to the UK until travel restrictions were lifted in March 2022. The candidate had to withdraw from the joint PhD programme and the University of Nottingham due to the inability of meeting the award conditions and travel restrictions and became a candidate of the University of Adelaide only.

The PhD project was focused on studying the structure-functionality traits of *Plantago ovata* mucilage in food systems, including high-temperature rapid visco analysis, using the equipment and resources available at the University of Nottingham. However, the inability to relocate necessitated changing the focus of the project. This led to having to seek alternative paths to complete the project within Australia itself. Therefore, in February 2020 the candidate relocated to Queensland to collaborate with a group at

the University of Queensland where the research was focussed on *in-vitro* fermentation. However, during this period access to equipment like NMR and consumable deliveries were affected by Covid as well as the floods in Queensland. The scheduling of the *in-vitro* fermentation was affected by the Covid social distancing requirements and lockdowns as experiments require a team of 8-10 personnel to be working in close quarters.

Apart from the impact on the research project and candidature, the candidate's health was impacted by Covid-19. In September 2021, the candidate was hospitalized after displaying severe chest pain due to side effects of the second dose of the Pfizer Comirnaty vaccine and had to refrain from obtaining the booster vaccination. In January 2022, the candidate contracted Covid for the first time. In late May 2022, the candidate contracted Covid affecting the health and well-being of the candidate in the latter part of the PhD project.

Other unavoidable circumstances

The *Plantago ovata* mutant fermentation experiment described in Chapter 3 of this thesis was scheduled to run for 72 hours over 25th-28th May 2021, after much training, and preparation of reagents and substrates over a long period of time. A few hours after the inoculation of all samples and starting of the fermentation experiment Queensland was affected by a widespread power outage caused by a fire and explosion at Callide power station at Biloela, Queensland. The automatic gas reading systems and the incubators did not have supplementary power throughout this period. The automatic gas reading system had to be reset leading to a loss of all the gas data from the point of inoculation to the point when the equipment resetting occurred when the power was restored. The build-up of gas within the fermentation bottles affected the fermentation activity, retarding the growth of the bacteria during this period, and the recovered gas data was also affected rendering them unusable. Usable data is included in Chapter 3 but the power cut severely affected data quality and subsequent usefulness for supporting research outcomes.



Figure 1-1. Schematic overview of the research questions that structured the thesis and their relevant research chapters

Chapter 1	 Thesis introduction Context of the study Structure of the thesis 	
Chapter 2 (Literature review Hydrocolloids in the food industry Gastrointestinal fermentation Psyllium – uses, need for improvement, novel forms 	
Chapter 3	 Mucilage structure-functionality of <i>P. ovata</i> mutants Identifying <i>P. ovata</i> with different mucilage characteristics through screening Investigating the structure and composition of mutants Studying the food-related functional properties of mutants Studying the fermentability of mutant mucilage over a 72h period using in vitro fermentation with human faecal inoculum Investigation of the production of SCFA, NH3, and gas at timed intervals 	Conventional research chapter
Chapter 4	 Pasting properties of <i>P. ovata</i> mucilage fractions Investigating the composition of <i>P. ovata</i> husk and whole seed flour mucilage fractions Influence of fractions to altering the pasting properties of rice flour/starch Preliminary investigation of the influence of fractions on gluten-free doughs 	Publication format Target journal: Food Hydrocolloids
Chapter 5	 In vitro fermentation of diverse Plantago seed-derived substrates Studying the fermentability of native Plantago over a 48h period using <i>in vitro</i> fermentation with human faecal inoculum Investigation of the production of SCFA, NH₃, and gas at timed intervals 	Conventional research chapter
Chapter 6	General DiscussionSummaryFuture directions	
Appendix	 Sample details of mutant <i>P. ovata</i> fermentation Sample details of diverse <i>Plantago</i> material fermentation Career and Research Skills Training Candidature milestones 	

Figure 1-2. Schematic overview of the thesis structure.

References

Cowley, James (2016), 'Analysis of *Plantago* mucilage mutants', School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, Bachelor of Science (Honours) thesis, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia.

Cowley, James (2020), 'Exploiting natural variation in Plantago seed composition for food and human health applications', School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, PhD thesis, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia.

Herliana, Lina (2022), 'Global analysis of genes involved capsule development and seed mucilage polysaccharide production in *Plantago ovata*', School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, PhD thesis, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia.

Ma, Chao (2008), School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, Bachelor of Science (Honours) thesis, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia.

Chapter 1 - Thesis Introduction

CHAPTER 2

Literature review

Hydrocolloids in the food industry

Hydrocolloids, comprised of hydrophilic proteins and polysaccharides, either in pure or mixed form, are widely used in the food industry to develop stability, texture and beneficial appearance in processed foods. They are long chain polymers that form gels/viscous dispersions when mixed with water. The presence of many hydroxyl groups makes them hydrophilic but their properties are intermediate between a suspension and a true solution, thus exhibiting properties of colloids, so they are called "hydrocolloids" (Saha and Bhattacharya, 2010). Hydrocolloids modify the rheology of foods by changing the mechanical solid properties and flow behaviour, thus affecting viscosity, texture and sensory properties and promoting properties such as thickness, sliminess, creaminess and smoothness of food (Malone *et al.*, 2003).

Hydrocolloids can be considered some of the most widely used ingredients in the food industry which are used in numerous food products such as jams, jellies, ice cream, candies, cakes, gelled desserts, salad dressing, sauces, toppings and soups (Milani and Maleki , 2012). They are used for many purposes including as stabilizers, fat replacers, gelling agents, whipping agents, clouding agents, flocculating agents and emulsifiers. They are also applied as agents for inhibition of crystallization, to form edible films and as flavour encapsulates (Li and Nie, 2016). In the food industry, biopolymers are widely used as food additives to improve stability, texture and appearance and to change the rheological properties of food (Malone *et al.*, 2003). Table 2-1 summarizes some applications of hydrocolloids in foods.

When hydrocolloids are found in higher concentrations in solutions they interact with each other more often leading to an increase in the viscosity (Milani and Maleki, 2012) by forming an interactive entangled network (Graessley, 1973). The degree of viscosification depends on various factors such as temperature, pH, type of polymer and its concentration (Burey *et al.*, 2008). They are used to give thickness and texture to products such as sauces, gravies and desserts (Saha and Bhattacharya, 2010).

At sufficient concentration and conditions, long chain polymers form viscous gels in water and modify the solid properties and flow behaviour of foods (Milani and Maleki , 2012). Gel formation in most polymers occurs through cross linking forming a 3D network where junction zones are formed by aggregating of

interchain linkages. There are affected by various factors like the presence of ions in the medium, temperature and the hydrocolloid structure (Burey *et al.*, 2008) (Figure 2-1). Gelling is an attractive quality, as it can impart a soft but solid texture to foods which can be exploited for various applications in the food industry such as structuring and strengthening of food, texturizing, and as controlled release agents (Burey *et al.*, 2008). Food items such as jams and jellies get their desirable texture from the use of gelling agents such as pectin and gelatin (Saha and Bhattacharya, 2010).

Hydrocolloids are able to provide emulsification properties in mixtures due to their viscosifying nature by reducing the coalescence of dispersed droplets and slowing down phase separation (Wang *et al.*, 2011). Solid hydrocolloid particles can also stabilize mixtures by the formation of pickering emulsions (Murray, 2019). Cui *et al.*, (2021) defines a pickering emulsion as, "emulsions that are stabilized by an adsorbed layer of solid particles at the emulsion drop surface."

Stabilization of food items like ice cream is another application of hydrocolloids. The formation of ice crystals due to separation of water can cause a negative impact on the organoleptic properties of frozen food including their flavour and texture (Ghosh and Coupland, 2008). Hydrocolloids are used to immobilize the water which prevents them from separating and forming ice crystals thereby maintaining the creamy smooth texture which is desirable in frozen dairy desserts (Regand and Goff, 2003).

Emulsion food systems such as salad dressings, ice cream and mayonnaise acquire desirable rheological and organoleptic properties from the presence of integral fat (Chung *et al.*, 2013). However, concerns about the negative health effects of fats have increased the demand for reduced fat products (Hoefkens *et al.*, 2011, Weiss *et al.*, 2010). Thus, there is an increased demand for fat replacers and fat substitutes in the food industry, to impart similar properties to fat reduced products as found in full fat products (Chung *et al.*, 2013). "A fat replacer is an ingredient which may or may not provide nutritional value and replaces some or all functions of fat in a particular food system, while fat substitutes provide no energy and will be a replacement for all the functions of fat in a food" (Li and Nie, 2016). Fat replacement is an important application of food hydrocolloids, as reduction of dietary fat has become a driving dietary goal of many

Chapter 2 - Literature Review

consumers. Although consumers prefer food with minimal fat and calories, they also want the food to taste good, which has created a significant challenge to the food manufacturers (Yang et al., 2006). In lower fat products, the oils and fat may be replaced by "structured water" which provides better eating qualities for reduced fat products (Milani and Maleki , 2012). Carbohydrate based fat replacers have displayed greater potential due to their multi-functional properties and they significantly improve the qualities of reduced fat products (Lim et al., 2010). This is important because fat provides many functional properties to food, which affect the processing of products and their sensory acceptability and these functions have to be accounted for when reducing the fat content of products (Yang et al., 2006). Hydrocolloids are used widely as fat replacers; for example xanthan gum as a thickener in Italian salad dressings; xanthan and guar gum as fat replacers in reduced fat mayonnaise; inulin in frozen desserts as a fat replacer with a fatty mouth feel and freeze thaw-stability (Milani and Maleki, 2012). Examples of hydrocolloid fat replacers include pectin, okra gum, guar gum, carrageenan, xanthan gum, sodium alginate, inulin, locust bean gum and gum tragacanth (Aziznia et al., 2008). According to Chung et al., (2013), some hydrocolloids, apart from being fat replacers, also increase the flavour intensity of fat-reduced emulsions by increasing the time that non-polar flavour molecules are retained in the food system. The fat content, and type of fat replacer used, significantly affects the functional properties of ice cream such as melting rates, viscosity, hardness and also the sensory properties (Karaca et al., 2009). The largest number of fat replacers used in ice cream are carbohydrate based. These hydrocolloids possess functional properties such as gelling, thickening and emulsifying which provides flow properties and mouth feel similar to that of fat globules in an aqueous system. Use of natural gums as fat replacers in ice cream provides many benefits due to their low cost, availability and lack of toxicity (Javidi et al., 2016).

Use in gluten-free products is another application of hydrocolloids in food (Anton and Artfield, 2008), which is gaining much interest due to the negative health effects on coeliac disease patients, by gluten, the structure-forming protein found in wheat. However, production of high quality starch-based baked products is quite challenging using gluten-free flour and there are growing applications of the inclusion of

hydrocolloids for improvement of structure, reduction of dehydration and crumb hardening in gluten-free foods (Gallagher *et al.*, 2004; Gómez *et al.*, 2007; Guarda *et al.*, 2004).

Hydrocolloids as gluten replacers

Importance of gluten in the food industry

Globally, bread is one of the most widely eaten food products, traditionally prepared using wheat flour. Wheat grains contain gluten, a structure-forming protein which when hydrated forms an extensible dough that has good gas holding capacity during the proofing process (Gallagher *et al.*, 2004) (Figure 2-2). This viscoelastic 3-D structure consists of a network of gluten which entraps granules of starch and traps gas bubbles, which then rise in the oven and form an solid structure which is porous (Hu *et al.*, 2021). Successfully-proofed breads have desirable volume, crumb structure, elastic properties, texture and appearance (Gallagher *et al.*, 2003) However, gluten causes health problems for celiac disease patients and an increasing number of non-celiac people with varying levels of gluten sensitivity are now choosing to actively avoid gluten. As the incidence of gluten intolerance and avoidance is increasing there is a rising demand for gluten-free foods. However the major challenge associated with these products is reproducing the structure of bread provided by gluten (Anton and Artfield, 2008).

Current methods of gluten replacement

Hydrocolloids, which include a large number of polysaccharides, have been tested for mimicking the structure of gluten (Anton and Artfield, 2008). Replacing gluten in bread is a significant technological challenge for the production of many high quality starch-based foods (Gallagher *et al.*, 2004). Researchers have used various gums, dietary fibres and enzymes to develop gluten-free formulations, including hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC), guar gum and carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) (Demirkesen *et al.*, 2010). Hydrocolloid addition has been used to give structure, prevent staling and extend the shelf life of gluten-free products by entrapping moisture within the crumb due to the high water holding capacity of the hydrocolloids, thereby preventing the drying out of crumb which causes a hard texture (Gómez *et al.*, 2007). HPMC, guar gum, locust bean gum and carrageenan are some of the most effective gum and

hydrocolloid agents for gluten replacement which are quite costly ingredients. Gums and hydrocolloids improve the appearance and texture of gluten-free products by improving dough consistency, gas retention and extension of the shelf life (Mariotti *et al.*, 2009).

Problems associated with gluten-free products

The removal of gluten creates many technical problems for a baker, and as a result most gluten-free products on the market tend to have poor mouthfeel, flavour, and overall quality. Thus food scientists are interested in looking for alternatives to gluten that mimic some or all of its technological functions in gluten-free products (Gallagher *et al.*, 2003). As suggested by Houben *et al.*, (2012) more investigation is necessary to provide celiac patients with high-quality gluten-free products. Also, there is an increasing demand for gluten-free products by non-celiac patients, which may hasten the research and development in this area, which in turn may lead to the reduction of market prices of gluten-free products. The global gluten free food market has been reported to be \$5.72 billion in 2021 and is predicated to grow at a cumulative annual growth rate of 8.29% to reach a market size of \$9.99 billion in 2028 (Fortune business insights, 2022).

A study by Lee *et al.* (2007) identified that gluten-free food in the USA was not readily available and is more expensive than its gluten containing counterparts. With the increasing rates of celiac diseases, it is important to consider the economic burden that a gluten-free diet might create for gluten-sensitive persons. It is suggested that the higher cost, reduced nutrition, and lower availability may impose financial barriers that could have a strong negative effect on the quality of life of celiac patients in the USA. A study in the UK by Singh and Whelan, (2011) have also described similar findings, where a limited availability of gluten-free food, especially in smaller food businesses such as budget supermarkets and corner shops compared to larger super markets, was identified. Thus, research making gluten-free foods more accessible and affordable would support increased diet compliance and decrease the risk of negative effects of celiac disease.

Fermentation

Dietary fibre and gastrointestinal fermentation

Codex Alimentarius (2009) defines dietary fibre as "carbohydrate (CHO) polymers with ten or more monomeric units , which are not hydrolysed by the endogenous enzymes in the small intestine (SI) of humans and belong to the following categories: Edible CHO polymers naturally occurring in the food as consumed, CHO polymers, obtained from food raw material by physical, enzymatic, or chemical means or synthetic CHO polymers." European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2009) defines dietary fibre as "Non-digestible carbohydrates plus lignin, including all carbohydrate components occurring in foods that are non-digestible in the human small intestine and pass into the large intestine."

Ha, Jarvis and Mann, (2000) defines dietary fibre as "any dietary component that reaches the colon without being absorbed in a healthy human gut." And classified them based on site of action; upper or lower gut and then their chemical nature, origin and the possibility of being utilized by microorganisms (Figure 2-3). Jiménez-Escrig & Sánchez-Muniz, (2000) state that "Dietary fibre is an overall description of mainly carbohydrate polymers derived from or contained by (usually) edible plants, (ranging from DP >3 to >10) which are neither absorbed within the small intestine, nor hydrolysable by mammalian digestive enzymes in the small intestine".

The lack of fermentable fibre due to a diet poor in fibre leads to utilization of mucins from the host (Desai *et al.*, 2016) as well as protein, both endogenous as well those from the diet (Cummings and Macfarlane, 1991) which leads to negative health outcomes. Human studies have shown various unfavourable outcomes of diets which are rich in protein and poor in carbohydrates, such as reduction of SCFA production, particularly butyrate (Duncan *et al.*, 2007) along with the increase in the production of toxic compounds such as indoles, sulphides, amines and branched chain fatty acids which may lead to increased risk of chronic illnesses (Windey, de Preter and Verbeke, 2012). Epidemiological evidence suggests that low dietary fibre diets, which are rich in salt, sugar and fats can lead to conditions such as obesity (Feskens, Sluik and Du, 2014), cancer (Chajès and Romieu, 2014), diabetes mellitus (Bailén *et*

al., 2020) and cardiovascular issues (Kochar, Gaziano and Djoussé, 2011; Buttriss and Stokes, 2008). Figure 2-4 compares how a low fibre and high fibre diet leads to different outcomes in the gut.

Fermentation can be considered as one of the primary functions of the colon or large intestine where carbohydrates are broken down by anaerobic bacteria into SCFAs mainly as acetate, propionate and butyrate (Figure 2-5), gas and other metabolic products like organic acids such as lactate and formate (Table 2-2). Acetate, propionate and butyrate comprise 90-95% of total SCFA but lesser amounts of hexanoate, valerate and branched chain amino acids (BCFA) such as isovalerate and isobutyrate (Mortensen and Clausen, 1996) are found. BCFA are mainly produced during breakdown of protein. In addition, protein fermentation also produces toxic metabolites such as ammonia, thiols and indoles (Macfarlane and Macfarlane, 2012). The nitrogenous substrates involved in fermentation, their fermentation end products and physiological effects of end products, are depicted in Figure 2-6. Most of the SCFAs are absorbed by colonocytes and metabolized by different tissues. Butyrate acts as the main source of energy for colonocytes. Only 5-10% of SCFA will be excreted along with faeces (Wu *et al.*, 2019).

Due to the numerous benefits of SCFAs, there is interest in studying the changes in microorganism profiles, extents, and rates of fermentation of different dietary fibres using various methods; *in vivo*, cell models, *ex vivo*, animal, and human studies. Human and animal trials provide the advantage of complex physiological relevance, however they can be difficult to conduct due to ethical and financial reasons. *In vitro* methods provide the advantages of being less complex, fewer ethical issues, the possibility of sampling over extended time periods, more control and the flexibility to study specific substrates and the metabolites that are produced during fermentation. However, *in vitro* methods might not effectively estimate the interactions between the gut of the host and resident microflora (Jonathan *et al.*, 2012).

Yet, *in vitro* fermentation is a great tool for initial assessments of fermentation characteristics of various substrates which maybe then be tested *in vivo*. In an *in vitro* fermentation study using pig faecal inoculum it was found that soluble arabinoxylans from wheat flour and beta glucans from barley of high, medium

and low viscosities showed similar gas profiles and fermentation end products in spite of the differences in their structure as well as viscosities. However, insoluble arabinoxylan fermented much more slowly while insoluble bacterial cellulose showed very little fermentability (Williams et al., 2011). In another in vitro study purified arabinoxylans and mixed linkage glucans, as well as their mixture in similar proportions to wheat cell walls, were compared to actual wheat cell walls. It was found that the intact wheat cell walls fermented much slower in comparison the individual polysaccharides as well as the mix, with slower gas and SCFA production. This shows that not only the composition of polysaccharides in a substrate but also the architecture of their cell walls leads to a difference in their fermentability (Lu et al., 2020). In another attempt to study the same substrates by Lu et al., (2021) it was found that in the in vitro fermentation of arabinoxylan, mixed linkage glucan, and wheat cell walls the microbial population was similar at the genus level although these substrates produced different amounts of SCFA. Bacterial cellulose however in comparison showed a difference in the microbial population (Figure 2-7). During a study where the fermentability of bread, tortilla and noodles produced from wheat flour with different amylose contents was determined by in vitro fermentation, in opposition to the initial hypothesis, it was found that the form of food had a greater impact on the gas kinetics, SCFA production and the shifts in the microbial population compared to the amylose content (Bui et al., 2021).

Factors affecting fermentation

Various attributes of dietary fibres affect the fermentation rate in the colon, SCFA profile produced, and shifts in bacterial populations (Gidley, 2013). These attributes include molecular sizes, arrangements, linkage compositions of polysaccharides, monosaccharide composition and arrangement of sugars in the polysaccharide chains (Hamaker and Tuncil, 2014; Shi *et al.*, 2017) (Figure 2-8). The arrangement of various polysaccharides in supramolecular matrices such as plant cell walls also have an effect on their functionality in the gut and on their prebiotic potential (Grundy *et al.*, 2016). Soluble and insoluble is a major classification used for dietary fibres. However, their solubility does not ensure fermentability since soluble fibres display a range of fermentabilities, although many display prebiotic effects for *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* (Flint and Bayer, 2008). Soluble low molecular weight carbohydrates, like

Chapter 2 - Literature Review

oligosaccharides such as galactooligosaccharides, lactulose and fructooligosaccharides are used as prebiotics as they do not increase the viscosity of the medium but they are rapidly and completely fermented in animal models like mice (Gibson *et al.*, 1995; Cani *et al.*, 2007; Gibson, 2004; Gibson *et al.*, 2004). The β -fructofuranoside enzyme possessed by *Bifidobacteria* makes fructooligosaccharides easily utilizable by them (Imamura, Hisamitsu and Kobashi, 1994) . Mixed linkage β -glucans are also soluble polysaccharides mainly from cereal grains (Kumar *et al.*, 2012) which are well fermented by the microorganisms in the gut (Williams *et al.*, 2011). Pectin, which is a viscous soluble polysaccharide, is well fermented in *in vitro* fermentation (Bauer *et al.*, 2010). Soluble arabinoxylans are found in various grains like wheat and as heteroxylans in *Plantago* (Kumar *et al.*, 2012). Arabinoxylan from wheat is very viscous and is well fermented during *in vitro* fermentation using pig inoculum (Williams *et al.*, 2019). However, unlike oligosaccharides that are completely fermented, some polysaccharides like beta glucan, inulin and arabinoxylan are partially fermented at a level of only about 10% (Williams *et al.*, 2011).

Species across the *Plantago* genus, typified by the common fibre psyllium from *Plantago ovata*, contain heteroxylans, which are considered to have a high water affinity and are very viscous (Phan *et al.*, 2020; Cowley and Burton, 2021; Cowley *et al.*, 2020; Cowley, 2020; Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, 2021). However in spite of its high solubility psyllium from *P. ovata* is very poorly fermented (Marteau *et al.*, 1994; Marlett and Fischer, 2002; Marlett and Fischer, 2003). Similarly in the category of insoluble fibre there are both fermentable and non-fermentable materials. During an *in vitro* fermentation of the soluble and insoluble components of refined flour using pig faecal inoculum it was found that they displayed similar fermentation behaviour (Comino, Williams and Gidley, 2018). Resistant starch is an insoluble dietary fibre that is slowly fermented (Warren *et al.*, 2018). In an *in vitro* fermentation study it was found that insoluble fibres in the vascular tissues are resistant to fermentation (Low *et al.*, 2015). Therefore fermentation performance of dietary fibre may not merely be classified based on their solubility (Gidley, 2013).

The chemical structure of polysaccharides is considered as a rate limiting step in fermentation. Ferulic acid crosslinked arabinoxylans are fermented slower than non-crosslinked arabinoxylans although both produced the same amount of SCFA at the end. The crosslinking increased the complexity and viscosity

of the ferulic acid crosslinked arabinoxylan. The gelled nature reduced the access of the xylanolytic enzymes and decreased their activity (Hopkin et al., 2003). Side chains also affect the fermentation of polysaccharides. Side chains prevented enzymatic hydrolysis of arabinoxylan compared to linear chains due to impeded access to the backbone (Yang et al., 2013). Further, the fermentation rate is affected by linkage type (Rumpagaporn et al., 2015). Mikkelsen, Gidley and Williams, (2011) observed much slower fermentation of bacterial cellulose in comparison to mixed linkage glucans. Both these substrates have a similar monosaccharide composition but with different linkages which led to differences in their fermentability. In partially-hydrolysed pectin the degree of methylation has a larger impact on the rate of fermentation than the degree of polymerization (Gulfi, Arrigoni and Amado, 2005). The crystalline type and degree of crystallinity affected the rate of fermentation in retrograded starch (Goñi et al., 2000; Plongbunjong et al., 2017). Bacterial cellulose with higher crystallinity was found to be less fermentable with less SCFA production, which shows physical form is also important for fermentation (Mikkelsen, Gidley and Williams, 2011). Not only does the polysaccharide structure affect fermentation rate but it also affects the types and amounts of SCFA produced. Fermentation of aldehyde sugars such as glucose, mannose and xylose mainly produce butyrate and acetate while fermentation of ketone sugars like fructose and arabinose mainly produce propionates (Hu, Nie, Li, Fu, et al., 2013). Butyrate was increased when fermenting beta glucan, inulin and guar gum (Yang et al., 2013), xylan (Bach Knudsen, 2015), type 3 resistant starch (Plongbunjong et al., 2017) and type 4 resistant starch (Pryde et al., 2002). Arabinoxylan showed higher propionate concentrations (Yang et al., 2013). During in vitro fermentation with human faecal inoculum, both chemical and physical structure affected the SCFA ratios (Salvador et al., 1993). Table 2-4 shows fermentation rates of various polysaccharides by human faecal inoculums.

Products of fermentation and their effects

Gut microflora use various metabolic pathways and reactions to produce SCFA by fermenting dietary fibre. About 90-95% of these SCFA consists of acetate, propionate and butyrate which are usually found approximately as 60%, 25% and 15% respectively (Tan *et al.*, 2014). The SCFA produced during the gastrointestinal fermentation are absorbed quickly with only 5%-10% in the faecal excretion (Cook and

Sellin, 1998) (Figure 2-9). The SCFA are absorbed and then metabolized at three sites mainly; butyrate is used in the colonic epithelium for energy production, 50-70% of acetate is absorbed by the liver and butyrate remaining after colonic metabolization along with propionate is also used for gluconeogenesis in the liver. The third major site is muscle cells where energy is produced by oxidizing the remaining acetate (Hijova and Chmelarova, 2007). About 70% of the requirement of calories by herbivorous ruminants as well as 10% of the energy requirement of omnivores, including humans, comes from SCFA (Bergman, 1990) (Table 2-3.). Functions of SCFAs in the colon include; being the main energy source for colonocytes, inhibition of pathogenic microorganisms, maintenance of the integrity of the gut barrier, improvement of mineral absorption, and reduction of pH (Topping and Clifton, 2001). Lower pH values in the presence of SCFA have been shown to kill pathogens such as E. coli and Salmonella (Cherrington et al., 1991). Propionate is used in the suppression of cholesterol synthesis and gluconeogenesis (Al-Lahham et al., 2010). In addition to the regulation of fat and cholesterol synthesis by propionate it also increases satiety and triggers secretion of glucagon thereby reducing the gain of body weight (Maljaars et al., 2008; Canfora, Jocken and Blaak, 2015). SCFAs improve overall health as shown in Figure 2-9. Butyrate mainly acts as an energy source for colonocytes providing about 60-70% of their total energy needs (Alonso and Guarner, 2013). It also regulates apoptosis pathways thereby preventing cancers in the colon, improves gut barrier function, and reduces the translocation of bacteria.

Intestinal immunity is maintained by the cells of the epithelia, lymphocytes, phagocytic white blood cells, mucous, tight junctions and cytokine mediators. The epithelium of the gut is the first line of defence due to its tight junctions, mucus production and production of antimicrobials (Correa-Oliveira *et al.*, 2016). SCFA affects this intestinal barrier in different ways such as; being the main source of energy, improving the barrier function of the membrane by enhancing the protein expression in epithelial cell junctions (Chambers *et al.*, 2018), enhancing mucin production by the inhibition of histone deacetylase (HDAC) enzymes and increasing the gene expression (Kawamura *et al.*, 2009), reduction of damage to the DNA in epithelial cells by HDAC inhibition (van der Beek *et al.*, 2017) and enhancing the antimicrobial activity of macrophages (Schulthess *et al.*, 2019). SCFA are also shown to have a role in the prevention of

colorectal cancers (Nilsson *et al.*, 2003) through various actions such as; induction of apoptosis of cancer cells (Hinnebusch *et al.*, 2002), increasing autophagy of cancer cells (Donohoe *et al.*, 2011), promotion of growth of a healthy epithelium by energy provision (Wong *et al.*, 2006), and reducing tumour growth by increasing the expression of G-protein coupled receptors (GPR) 41 and 43 and induction of expression of tumour suppressive proteins (Tang *et al.*, 2011c.; Jan *et al.*, 2002) (Figure 2-10).

Psyllium

Plantago genus

There are over 250 species which belong to the Plantaginaceae family (Samuelsen, 2000), including *Plantago psyllium*, *Plantago major*, *Plantago ovata* and *Plantago coronopus* (Haddadian, *et al.*,2014), that have been used in the treatment of problems associated with the digestive system, respiratory system, reproductive system, cancer, skin infections, or can offer pain relief (Samuelsen, 2000, Chiang *et al.*, 2003) as part of herbal medicine for a long time.

The use of *Plantago* for the following conditions has been approved (WHO, 1999, 2007).

- Reduction of the rise in blood sugar after a meal
- Treatment of high blood cholesterol
- Reduction of risk of coronary heart disease
- Laxative (for treatment of constipation caused by pregnancy, diverticulosis, irritable bowel syndrome)
- Stool softener for haemorrhoid patients

Plantago ovata for food and health

The husk of *P. ovata* is a natural source of concentrated, partially soluble fibre which is dispersible in water (Cowley *et al.*, 2020). Both husk and seeds are well accepted bulk laxatives which are considered safe for consumption (Sola *et al.*, 2007). Psyllium, which is the outer layer milled off the mature dry seed of *P. ovata*, is safe to be added into a diabetic diet and has been shown to display glycaemic, lipid and hypertension control in experimental rat models (Galisteo *et al.*, 2005). Many of the health promoting

Chapter 2 - Literature Review

effects such as regulation of the bowels and reduction of cholesterol by psyllium in rats and guinea pig models have been related to its strong gelling ability (Fang, 2000; Romero *et al.*, 2002; Marlett and Fischer, 2003; Marlett and Fischer, 2002). It is considered a good source of dietary fibre for improving general colonic health and function, as shown using rat models (Alabaster, Tang and Shivapurkar, 1996; Nakamura *et al.*, 2004). Indeed the consumption of psyllium husk has been associated with an increase in short chain fatty acid (SCFA) production in the colon, especially butyrate and acetate as shown by Fernández-Bañares *et al.*, (1999) although it is only partially fermentable. The health promoting effects of psyllium are partly a result of the gelling properties and water holding capacity. *P. ovata* mucilage is rich in polysaccharides, the majority being heteroxylan (Phan et al., 2016, Tucker *et al.*, 2017), which may be used in the food industry as a hydrocolloid agent. *P. ovata* has been used in traditional medicine to relieve disorders of the gastrointestinal system (Table 2-5) and it has been used in various pharmacological studies in both human and animal models (Table 2-6).

There is limited published research on the application of psyllium mucilage as a fat replacer. A study done by Aghdaei *et al.*, (2012) replaced 50% of the oil content in mayonnaise with psyllium mucilage, producing acceptable sensory scores for colour, mouthfeel, taste, appearance and overall acceptability. However, compared to the full fat control, the mayonnaise containing psyllium mucilage had lower scores for texture which reduced its sensory quality. Thus, an improved psyllium mucilage from mutant lines could provide better texture and improve all other sensory and rheological characteristics of reduced fat products such as ice cream and mayonnaise. The use of *P. ovata* as a fat replacer may give additional advantages as it is a concentrated source of soluble dietary fibre (Sola *et al.*, 2007) providing additional health benefits including slowing the absorption of the remaining fat content in the product. In spite of being rich in soluble fibre wild type *P. ovata* is not well fermented in the gut to produce health promoting short chain fatty acids as shown by *in vivo* studies (McRorie, 2013, Kaur *et al.*, 2011, Gibson *et al.*, 1990).

The use of psyllium in gluten replacement

Several studies have used psyllium husk for the replacement of gluten in gluten-free products. The earliest study by Haque and Morris, (1994) showed that psyllium enhances the loaf volume of gluten-free bread
due to its weak gel behaviour and water absorbing capacity, which stabilizes gas cells in the dough and prevents the loss of gas from the dough during proofing. Zandonadi *et al.*, (2009) produced gluten-free bread with psyllium which displayed scores of 93% and 100% for overall acceptability and taste respectively. Cappa *et al.*, (2013) states that addition of psyllium increased the physical properties of dough due to its film-like structure formed during kneading of the dough. High fibre ingredients such as psyllium enhance the crumb softness by retention of moisture and increasing the perception of crumb moistness due to its water holding capacity. Thus, it has a good anti-staling effect on gluten-free bread. Celiac patients generally have a low intake of fibre, thus addition of psyllium could improve the nutritional value of products, as psyllium is known to have a very high level of soluble dietary fibre (70%) which may help with glycaemic control issues in coeliac patients (Krupa-Kozak and Lange, 2019).

Fermentation of Plantago sp.

The human consumption of mucilage from *P. ovata* showed an increase in propionic and acetic acids. The majority of the mucilage reached the caecum intact in a highly polymerized form, which was rich in xylose and arabinose, and it promoted a higher faecal bulk. It was found to be quite resistant to fermentation and faecal bulking was caused by presence of the intact polysaccharide (Marteau *et al.*, 1994). Onset of gas production during fermentation was delayed for psyllium compared to wheat bran, with a lower total gas production (Gunn *et al.*, 2020). Psyllium feeding in rats increased stool bulk, faecal pH was reduced, and SCFA output increased and about 50% of the psyllium was excreted after partial fermentation (Edwards *et al.*, 1992). *In vitro* fermentation using faecal microorganisms as well as single strains is widely used for determining relationships between gut flora and polysaccharides. During the fermentation of polysaccharides these microorganisms produce short chain fatty acids and gases and reduce the pH, therefore these parameters are used for the evaluation of fermentation (Oliphant and Allen-Vercoe, 2019). Psyllium gel was fractionated into A (alkali insoluble), B (gel forming comprising 55%) and C (viscous soluble fraction <15%). Fraction A was not fermentable. B was poorly fermented and increases stool bulk and C was rapidly and almost completely fermented during a 72 h fermentation (Martett and Fischer, 2002). *P. asiatica* fermentation increased total SCFA, propionic, acetic, and n-butyric

acids (Hu *et al.*, 2013b). Propionic acid was primarily produced from fermentation of xylose and arabinose while n-butyric acid resulted mainly from glucuronic acid and xylose. The pH was reduced. Xylosidase, arabinofuranosidase, xylosidase and glucuronosidase activity were increased. Consumption of total carbohydrate, arabinose, xylose, and glucuronic acid were 47.2%, 53.2%, 76.4% respectively and it was suggested that carbohydrate composition affects SCFA production (Hu *et al.*, 2013b). Also, feeding *P. asiatica* to mice reduced the pH in the colon and increased production of SCFA (Hu *et al.*, 2012). *Bifidobacteria* were only able to ferment psyllium after simulated digestion of psyllium which is speculated to be caused by changes that occur during gastric transit which may lead to the fractionation of psyllium making the prebiotic fraction of the mucilage available for fermentation by the *Bifidobacteria*. However, they were not able to metabolize it in undigested form (Elli *et al.*, 2008).

Based on all this data, *Plantago* polysaccharides are known to be slowly fermented and difficult to ferment both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Gunn *et al.*, 2020; Marteau *et al.*, 1994), thus overall considered to be a lowfermenting fibre (Gunn *et al.*, 2020).Various treatments have been used to increase the fermentation of psyllium, such as ball milling, enzymatic hydrolysis, ultrasound and microwaving, which lead to reductions of viscosity or molecular weight, which thereby makes the polysaccharides more easily fermentable to produce more SCFA and perform better as a prebiotic (Hu, Nie, Li, Fu, *et al.*, 2013; Hu *et al.*, 2018.; Pollet *et al.*, 2012).

The need for improved forms of psyllium

In spite of the improvement of quality of gluten-free products by the addition of psyllium there is space for further improvements. Mancebo *et al.*, (2015) states that psyllium fibre is less commonly used in gluten replacement which is usually substituted by other hydrocolloids despite these often being much more expensive. However, novel forms of psyllium may be able to be used alone, thus making it a much more convenient and cost-effective gluten replacer in the food industry.

Increasing the psyllium content in bread led to an increase in hardness due to reduction in the specific volume. This had to be adjusted by increasing the water content of the dough (Mancebo *et al.*, 2015).

Similar observations were described by (Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, 2013), where there was an excessive hardness in the bread with psyllium where a sufficient amount of water was not added. Further, the study by Zandonadi *et al.*, (2009) states that although the gluten-free bread had 100% acceptance based on taste, 3.3% rejected the products due to its texture. Identifying new forms of psyllium with different mucilage composition to the wild type may be able to improve the texture of gluten-free bread compared to the wild type.

On the other hand, although wild type psyllium is a good source of soluble fibre, it is very poorly fermented *in vivo* as highlighted by McRorie, (2013) and Kaur *et al.*, (2011). Psyllium is widely used in the food industry and as a laxative so there is interest in improving both the fermentability and prebiotic activity of *Plantago* mucilage. Identification of novel forms psyllium that are better fermented than the wild type may provide additional benfits by improving the gut health through fermentation and increased production of short chain fatty acids.

Novel forms of Plantago mucilage

Various approaches have been used to create genetic variation in *P. ovata*, including selective breeding. However, improvement of psyllium quality, as well as yield, has been found to be long and difficult (Sarkar *et al.*, 2015). Mayuri and Niharika varieties were developed through mutagenesis (Lal *et al.*, 1998, 2006) but Kumar (2015) states that they did not have much increase in the yield advantage. Other than mutagenesis, induced polyploidy has also been used to produce variation in psyllium. Although these polyploidic plants displayed desirable characters such as increased number of heads, weight and volume of seeds, after several generations there was a reduction of germination rate and yield (Vala, Fougat and Jadeja, 2011; Zadoo and Farooqi, 1977). Since *P.ovata* is the most commercially important and wellstudied Tucker *et al.*, (2017) produced gamma irradiated mutants of *P. ovata* and novel genes have been identified using them through genetic analysis (Tucker *et al.*, 2017; Phan *et al.*, 2016). James Cowley (Honours thesis, 2016) observed differences in *P. ovata* mutant mucilage using immunolabelling whilst Lina Herliana (PhD thesis, 2022) identified the high mucilage yielding mutant "*raya*".

Members of *Plantago* species such as *P. ovata*, *P. media*, *P. major*, *P. lanceolata*, *P.asiatica* have been found to be rich in bioactive compounds (Fons, Gargadennec and Rapior, 2008; Zhao *et al.*, 2014; Gonçalves and Romano, 2016; Navarrete *et al.*, 2016; Grubešić *et al.*, 2005). The major component of *Plantago* mucilage is heteroxylan (Fischer *et al.*, 2004; Samuelsen, 2000; Guo *et al.*, 2008) as shown by monosaccharide and linkage data. However, Phan *et al.*, (2016) who studied *P. ovata*, *P. major*, *P. debilis*, *P. coronopus*, *P. varia*, *P. cunninghamii* and *P. lanceolata* observed differences during oligosaccharide mapping that shows that the substitution of polysaccharide backbones vary between the species. Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, (2021) studied 12 different species of *Plantago* and observed differences in mucilage yield, heteroxylan and pectin composition which may be useful in the industry. The whole seed flour from *Plantago* species was found to be rich in mannans, fats, protein and sugars. Cowley (2020) observed significant differences in the functionality of whole seed flour from different species of *Plantago* with varied rapid visco analysis (RVA) profiles and baking performance in gluten-free rice flour bread.

Several attempts have been made to fractionate *P. ovata* and then studying fraction composition and behaviour. Differences were observed in functional properties and heteroxylan substitution of these fractions (Ren *et al.*, 2020; Yu *et al.*, 2017; Zhou *et al.*, 2020; Guo *et al.*, 2008; Yu *et al.*, 2019; Yu *et al.*, 2019). According to Yu *et al.*, (2017) when in contact with water *P.ovata* produces a viscoelastic layer of mucilage around the seed which is arranged in three layers as L1 (cold water fraction), L2 (hot water fraction) and L3 (alkali soluble fraction). Although the fractions displayed similarities in the average molecular weights they differ in branching, molecular volumes and conformation. The L1 was a highly soluble fraction rich in galacturonic acids. L2 and L3 had similar compositions but L2 had a more open conformation and was more susceptible to hydrolysation by enzymes. Guo *et al.*, (2008) fractionated psyllium into three parts WE, AES 0.5 and AED 0.5. WE was water soluble, AES 0.5 was alkali extracted and water soluble while AEG 0.5 was alkali extracted and water insoluble. These fraction, and it had a strong gelling capacity while WE made a weaker gel. Hot water, cold water and intense extraction resistant fractions produced by a sequential mucilage extraction by Cowley *et al.*, (2020) showed

differences in monosaccharide ratios and arabinose to xylose rations (A/X). Ren *et al.*, (2020) extracted psyllium at different temperatures of 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100°C and then separated them into three groups: F1 = F20, F2 = F40+ F60, and F3 = F80+ F100 + dust. F1 was cold soluble, F2 was heat soluble and F3 was heat insoluble where F1 had the smallest A/X ratio and F3 the highest. Therefore, the higher temperature fractions are more heavily substituted than the lower temperature extracted fractions. F2 fractions displayed the highest gelation ability driven by hydrogen bonding between the side chains. According to Yu *et al.*, (2019) the highly branched nature of the more substituted fractions inhibit the interactions between the main chain of the polysaccharide molecules and lead to more hydrogen bonding. Therefore, differences in the hydrogen bonding, differences in the composition of the polysaccharides in the solubility, gel strength, melting and rheological properties of the various fractions. The differences in the functionality between the weak gels and the strong fractions maybe useful for novel applications in food and fibre such as altering the viscosity to different degrees and even imparting different textural properties in comparison to psyllium husk. Chapter 2 - Literature Review

Conclusion

Plantago ovata mucilage has been used in the food industry as a hydrocolloid and is the only commercially produced species of *Plantago* mucilage. It has been found to be less fermentable but will provide laxative and faecal bulking benefits. At present there are no varied types of psyllium and all current applications in food and fibre are based on standard rheological, water holding and other properties of psyllium derived from the husk of *P. ovata*. The lack of variability of this material limits its applications due to its properties being unsuitable for alteration of the functionality of various products. The utility of only one material provides very little room for novelty. New sources of psyllium may provide alternatives to the common psyllium with differences in their functionality potentially tailored to produce food products with additional desirable properties based on the requirements of each product. There is a possibility that variations in functionality in foods or fermentability, and the related health benefits this endows, can be found in *P. ovata* mutants, in temperature dependent mucilage fractions of *P. ovata* or in materials extracted from other members of the *Plantago* genus, all of which are yet to be explored.

Figures

Table 2-1. Applications of h	ydrocolloids in food s	ystems (Adapted fro	om Saha and Bhattacharya	a, 2010)
			1	, ,

Application	Hydrocolloid agent	Examples
Thickening	Xanthan	Soups, gravies, ketchup, desserts, toppings, filling,
agent		instant beverages
	Carboxymethyl cellulose	Ketchup, fruit pie fillings, gravies, salad dressings
	Methyl cellulose,	Cake batters, whipped toppings, beverages, salad
	hydroxypropyl methyl	dressings
	cellulose	
	Gum Arabic	Soft drinks, fruit juice-based beverages
	Guar gum, locust bean	Ice cream, ketchup, fruit juice, cake batter, pudding
	gum, tara gum	powder
	Konjac manan	Noodles, jelly desserts
	Gum tragacanth	Salad dressings, bakery emulsions, fruit beverages,
		sauces
Gelling	Modified starch	Dairy desserts
agent	Agar	Bakery products, jellies
	Kappa-carrageenan	Tofu, milk shakes, pudding
	Low methoxy pectin	Jams, jellies, glazes, dairy desserts
	High methoxy pectin	Jams, jellies
	Gellan gum	Water-based flavoured jellies
	Alginate	Cold prepared bakery creams, restructured foods such as
		processed meat
	Methyl and	Whipped toppings, beverages, salad dressings, cake
	hydroxypropyl methyl	batters
	cellulose	



Figure 2 -1. Gelling of hydrocolloids by cross linking. T= temperature, M+ =cations (Adapted from Burey *et al.*, 2008)



Figure 2-2. Formation of gluten network trapping air bubbles in wheat flour dough (Adapted from Yano, 2019)



Figure 2-3. Classification of dietary fibre (Adapted from Ha, Jarvis and Mann, 2000)



Figure 2-4. The effects of high and low fibre diets on gut health (Adapted from Makki et al., 2018).



Figure 2-5. Structure of major SCFA produced by gastrointestinal fermentation (Adapted from Al-Lahham *et al.*, 2010).

Phylum	Family	Genus	Substrates	End products
Actinobacteria	Bifidobacteriaceae	Bifidobacterium	Dietary carbohydrates Human milk oligosaccharides (HMO) Mucin	Acetate Ethanol Formate Lactate
Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidaceae	Bacteroides	Dietary carbohydrates HMO Mucin Proteins Succinate	1,2- Propanediol Carbon dioxide Hydrogen Acetate Ethanol Formate Lactate Succinate
Firmicutes	Clostridaceae	<i>Clostridium</i> (Clostridium cluster I)	Ethanol Propionate Lactate Proteins	1,2- Propanediol Carbon dioxide Hydrogen Acetate Ethanol Formate Lactate Succinate Butyrate Valerate
	Lactobacillaceae	Lactobacillus	1,2-Propanediol Saccharides	Acetate Ethanol Formate Lactate Propionate Propanol
	Streptococcaceae	Streptococcus	Mucin Saccharides	Acetate Ethanol Formate Lactate
Proteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae	Escherichia	Proteins Saccharides	1,2- Propanediol 2,3-Butanediol Carbon dioxide Hydrogen Acetate Ethanol Formate Lactate Succinate

 Table 2-2. Examples of major genera of human gut flora and their metabolic end products (Adapted from Oliphant and Allen-Vercoe, 2019)



Figure 2-6. Substrates, end products of protein fermentation and their physiological effects (Adapted from Macfarlane and Macfarlane, 2012).



Figure 2-7. Changes in the microbial composition at phylum level during the *in vitro* fermentation of bacterial cellulose (BC), arabinoxylan (AX), a mix of arabinoxylan and mixed linkage glucans (mix) and mixed linkage glucans (MLG) using pig faecal inoculum (Adapted from Lu *et al.*, 2021)



Figure 2-8. Structural variations of polysaccharides leading to differences in microbial utilization (Adapted from Hamaker and Tuncil, 2014)

 Table 2-3. In vitro batch fermentation performance of various dietary fibres using human faecal inocula (Adapted from Wang et al., 2019)

Dietany fibre	Formentation	SCEA malar
	rennentation	sora molar
Peyllium huek	Limited	
r symum nusk	Linited	Propionate1
Psvllium seed	Limited	Acetate ↑
		Butyrate↑
Resistant starch type 2	Slow	Acetate ↑
(native starch granules)		Butyrate ['] ↑
Resistant starch type 3	Slow	Acetate ↑
(retrograded starches)		Butyrate ↑
Resistant starch type 4	Slow	Acetate ↑
(chemically modified		Butyrate ↑
starches)		
Xyloglucan	Fast	Propionate ↑
		Butyrate ↑
Inulin	Fast	Acetate ↑
		Butyrate ↑
Pectin	Fast	Acetate ↑
		Propionate ↑
		Butyrate ↑
Guargum	Foot	Propionato I
Guar guin	Fasi	
		Rutvrate ↑
		Dutylate
Glucomannan	Slow	Propionate ↑
		Acetate ↑
		Butyrate 1
		y
Beta-glucan	Fast	Acetate ↑
-		Butyrate ↑
Cellulose	Very limited	Acetate ↑
		Butyrate ↑
Fructo-oligosaccharides	Fast	Acetate ↑
		Butyrate ↑
Galacto-oligosaccharides	⊦ast	Acetate ↑
		Butyrate ↑
		Propionate †

.



Dietary fibres and non-digestible carbohydrate (polysaccharides)



b)



Figure 2-9. a) Summary of fermentation of common non digestible carbohydrates, fermentation products and major physiological effects b) Production of SCFA in the gut and the absorption and modulation of physiological processes of the host (Adapted from Kumar, Rani and Datt, 2020).

 Table 2-4. Biological effects of major SCFA produced by gastrointestinal fermentation (Adapted from Hugenholtz *et al.*, 2013 with data sourced from Fava *et al.*, 2009; Wong *et al.*, 2006; Round and Mazmanian, 2009)

Acetate	Propionate	Butyrate	Action
1	1		Energy source (brain, heart, muscle)
		1	Providing energy to colonocytes
1	1	1	Reduction of gut pH
1	1	1	Reduction of the absorption ammonia in gut epithelium
1	1	1	Decrease in the growth of gut pathogens
		↑	Inhibition of proliferation and induction of apoptosis of cancerous cells in the gastrointestinal system
1	1		Lipid metabolism
		1	Increased satiety through leptin production



Figure 2-10. The anticarcinogenic activity of SCFA (Adapted from Fattahi, Heidari and Khosroushahi, 2020).

Country	Method of use	Medicinal use		
Thailand	Hot water extract of dry husk	Demulcent (relief from inflammation and irritation)		
		Treatment of diarrhoea		
Spain	Leaf infusion	Treatment of common cold		
India	Decoction of dry seeds	Treatment of diarrhoea		
		Demulcent		
	Dry seeds	Treatment of constipation Relief from gastric complaints		
Iran	Water extract of dry seeds	Emollient effects (soothing the skin)		
		Diuretic (promote urination)		
	Seeds with coconut juice	Treatment of diarrhoea		
	Dry seeds	Relieving indigestion caused by bile secretion abnormalities		
		Bulk laxative		
		Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis		
	Seed coat	Treatment of gout		
	Dry seed extracted with acetic acid	Relieving urinary tract inflammation		
	Dry seed infusion			

Table 2-5. Traditional medicinal uses of *P.ovata* in different countries (Adapted from Ross, 2005).

Pharmacological activity	Reference			
Protective effect on intestinal mucosa	(Sahagún <i>et al.</i> , 2015)			
Improvement of glycaemic control	(Gibb <i>et al.</i> , 2015)			
Anti-ulcer	(Bagheri <i>et al.</i> , 2018)			
Protective effect on liver	(Bagheri <i>et al.</i> , 2018)			
Hypercholesteroleamic effect	(Yadav <i>et al.</i> , 2016)			
Prebiotic effect	(Yadav <i>et al.,</i> 2016)			
Reduction of Low-density lipoprotein	(Solà <i>et al</i> ., 2010)			
cholesterol	(Romero <i>et al.</i> , 2002)			
Anti-inflammatory activity in colon	(Rodríguez-Cabezas et al.,			
	2003)			
Increased SCFA production	(Rodríguez-Cabezas et al.,			
	2003)			
Obesity prevention	(Galisteo <i>et al.,</i> 2005)			
Hypertension prevention	(Galisteo <i>et al.</i> , 2005)			
Maintenance of remission in ulcerative	(Fernández-Bañares et al.,			
colitis	1999)			

Table 2-6.	Pharmacological	activities of P.	ovata mucilage ((Adapted from	1 Ross, 20)05).
------------	-----------------	------------------	------------------	---------------	------------	-------

References

A. Regand and H.D. Goff (2003) 'Structure and ice recrystallization in frozen stabilized ice cream model systems', *Foof hydrocolloids*, 17, pp. 95–102.

Al-Lahham, S. H. *et al.* (2010) 'Biological effects of propionic acid in humans; metabolism, potential applications and underlying mechanisms', *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids*, 1801(11), pp. 1175–1183. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2010.07.007.

Alabaster, O., Tang, Z. and Shivapurkar, N. (1996) 'Dietary fiber and the chemopreventive modelation of colon carcinogenesis', *Mutation Research - Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*, 350(1), pp. 185–197. doi: 10.1016/0027-5107(95)00114-X.

Alonso, V. R. and Guarner, F. (2013) 'Linking the gut microbiota to human health', *British Journal of Nutrition*, 109(SUPPL. 2), pp. 21–26. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512005235.

Amiri Aghdaei, S. S. *et al.* (2014) 'Application of Isfarzeh seed (Plantago ovate L.) mucilage as a fat mimetic in mayonnaise', *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 51(10), pp. 2748–2754. doi: 10.1007/s13197-012-0796-7.

Anton, A. A. and Artfield, S. D. (2008) 'Hydrocolloids in gluten-free breads: A review', *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 59(1), pp. 11–23. doi: 10.1080/09637480701625630.

Aziznia, S. *et al.* (2008) 'Whey Protein Concentrate and Gum Tragacanth as Fat Replacers in Nonfat Yogurt: Chemical, Physical, and Microstructural Properties', *Journal of Dairy Science*, 91(7), pp. 2545–2552. doi: 10.3168/jds.2007-0875.

Bach Knudsen, K. E. (2015) 'Microbial degradation of whole-grain complex carbohydrates and impact on short-chain fatty acids and health', *Advances in Nutrition*, 6(2), pp. 206–213. doi: 10.3945/an.114.007450.

Bagheri, S. M. *et al.* (2018) 'Antiulcer and hepatoprotective effects of aqueous extract of Plantago ovata seed on indomethacin-ulcerated rats', *Biomedical Journal*, 41(1), pp. 41–45. doi:

10.1016/j.bj.2018.01.001.

Bailén, M. *et al.* (2020) 'Microbiota Features Associated With a High-Fat/Low-Fiber Diet in Healthy Adults', *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 7(December), pp. 1–13. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2020.583608.

Bauer, E. *et al.* (2010) 'In vitro fermentation of various carbohydrate-rich feed ingredients combined with chyme from pigs', *Archives of Animal Nutrition*, 64(5), pp. 394–411. doi: 10.1080/1745039X.2010.504607.

van der Beek, C. M. *et al.* (2017) 'Role of short-chain fatty acids in colonic inflammation, carcinogenesis, and mucosal protection and healing', *Nutrition Reviews*, 75(4), pp. 286–305. doi: 10.1093/nutrit/nuw067.

Bergman, E. N. (1990) 'Energy contributions of volatile fatty acids from the gastrointestinal tract in various species', *Physiological Reviews*, 70(2), pp. 567–590. doi: 10.1152/physrev.1990.70.2.567.

Bui, A. T. *et al.* (2021) 'Wheat-based food form has a greater effect than amylose content on fermentation outcomes and microbial community shifts in an in vitro fermentation model', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 114(September 2020), p. 106560. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.106560.

Burey, P. *et al.* (2008) 'Hydrocolloid gel particles: Formation, characterization, and application', *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 48(5), pp. 361–377. doi: 10.1080/10408390701347801.

Buttriss, J. L. and Stokes, C. S. (2008) 'Dietary fibre and health: An overview', *Nutrition Bulletin*, 33(3), pp. 186–200. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-3010.2008.00705.x.

Canfora, E. E., Jocken, J. W. and Blaak, E. E. (2015) 'Short-chain fatty acids in control of body weight and insulin sensitivity', *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 11(10), pp. 577–591. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2015.128.

Cani, P. D. *et al.* (2007) 'Selective increases of bifidobacteria in gut microflora improve high-fat-dietinduced diabetes in mice through a mechanism associated with endotoxaemia', *Diabetologia*, 50(11), pp. 2374–2383. doi: 10.1007/s00125-007-0791-0.

Cappa, C., Lucisano, M. and Mariotti, M. (2013) 'Influence of Psyllium, sugar beet fibre and water on 48

gluten-free dough properties and bread quality', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 98(2), pp. 1657–1666. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.007.

Chajès, V. and Romieu, I. (2014) 'Nutrition and breast cancer', *Maturitas*, 77(1), pp. 7–11. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2013.10.004.

Chambers, E. S. *et al.* (2018) 'Role of Gut Microbiota-Generated Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Metabolic and Cardiovascular Health', *Current Nutrition Reports*, 7(4), pp. 198–206. doi: 10.1007/s13668-018-0248-8.

Chiang, L. C. *et al.* (2003) 'Immunomodulatory activities of flavonoids, monoterpenoids, triterpenoids, iridoid glycosides and phenolic compounds of Plantago species', *Planta Medica*, 69(7), pp. 600–604. doi: 10.1055/s-2003-41113.

Chung, C., Degner, B. and McClements, D. J. (2013) 'Designing reduced-fat food emulsions: Locust bean gum-fat droplet interactions', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 32(2), pp. 263–270. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.01.008.

Comino, P., Williams, B. A. and Gidley, M. J. (2018) 'In vitro fermentation gas kinetics and end-products of soluble and insoluble cereal flour dietary fibres are similar', *Food and Function*, 9(2), pp. 898–905. doi: 10.1039/c7fo01724c.

Cook, S. I. and Sellin, J. H. (1998) 'Review article : short chain fatty acids in health and disease', (December 1997), pp. 499–507.

Cowley, J. (2020) 'Exploiting natural variation in Plantago seed composition for food and human health applications', (October).

Cowley, J. M. *et al.* (2020) 'A small-scale fractionation pipeline for rapid analysis of seed mucilage characteristics', *Plant Methods*, 16(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s13007-020-00569-6.

Cowley, J. M. and Burton, R. A. (2021) 'The goo-d stuff: Plantago as a myxospermous model with modern

utility', New Phytologist, 229(4), pp. 1917–1923. doi: 10.1111/nph.17095.

Cowley, J. M., O'Donovan, L. A. and Burton, R. A. (2021) 'The composition of Australian Plantago seeds highlights their potential as nutritionally-rich functional food ingredients', *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), pp. 1– 16. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-92114-1.

Cui, F. *et al.* (2021) 'Polysaccharide-based Pickering emulsions: Formation, stabilization and applications', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 119(April), p. 106812. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106812.

Cummings, J. H. and Macfarlane, G. T. (1991) 'The control and consequences of bacterial fermentation in the human colon', *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 70(6), pp. 443–459. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.1991.tb02739.x.

Demirkesen, I. *et al.* (2010) 'Rheological properties of gluten-free bread formulations', *Journal of Food Engineering*, 96(2), pp. 295–303. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2009.08.004.

Desai, M. S. *et al.* (2016) 'A Dietary Fiber-Deprived Gut Microbiota Degrades the Colonic Mucus Barrier and Enhances Pathogen Susceptibility', *Cell*, 167(5), pp. 1339-1353.e21. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.043.

Donohoe, D. R. *et al.* (2011) 'The microbiome and butyrate regulate energy metabolism and autophagy in the mammalian colon', *Cell Metabolism*, 13(5), pp. 517–526. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.02.018.

Duncan, S. H. *et al.* (2007) 'Reduced dietary intake of carbohydrates by obese subjects results in decreased concentrations of butyrate and butyrate-producing bacteria in feces', *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 73(4), pp. 1073–1078. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02340-06.

Elli, M. *et al.* (2008) 'Evaluation of prebiotic potential of refined psyllium (Plantago ovata) fiber in healthy women.', *Journal of clinical gastroenterology*, 42 Suppl 3(September), pp. 174–176. doi: 10.1097/mcg.0b013e31817f183a.

Fang, C. (2000) 'Dietary psyllium reverses hypercholesterolemic effect of trans fatty acids in rats', *Nutrition Research*, 20(5), pp. 695–705. doi: 10.1016/S0271-5317(00)00159-7.

Fattahi, Y., Heidari, H. R. and Khosroushahi, A. Y. (2020) 'Review of short-chain fatty acids effects on the immune system and cancer', *Food Bioscience*, 38(November), p. 100793. doi: 10.1016/j.fbio.2020.100793.

Fernández-Bañares, F. *et al.* (1999) 'Randomized clinical trial of Plantago ovata seeds (Dietary fiber) as compared with mesalamine in maintaining remission in ulcerative colitis', *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 94(2), pp. 427–433. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9270(98)00753-9.

Feskens, E. J. M., Sluik, D. and Du, H. (2014) 'The Association Between Diet and Obesity in Specific European Cohorts: DiOGenes and EPIC-PANACEA', *Current Obesity Reports*, 3(1), pp. 67–78. doi: 10.1007/s13679-013-0089-0.

Fischer, M. H. *et al.* (2004) 'The gel-forming polysaccharide of psyllium husk (Plantago ovata Forsk)', *Carbohydrate Research*, 339(11), pp. 2009–2017. doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2004.05.023.

Flint, H. J. and Bayer, E. A. (2008) 'Plant cell wall breakdown by anaerobic microorganisms from the mammalian digestive tract', *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1125, pp. 280–288. doi: 10.1196/annals.1419.022.

Fons, F., Gargadennec, A. and Rapior, S. (2008) 'Culture of Plantago species as bioactive components resources: A 20-year review and recent applications', *Acta Botanica Gallica*, 155(2), pp. 277–300. doi: 10.1080/12538078.2008.10516109.

Galisteo, M. *et al.* (2005) 'A Diet Supplemented with Husks of Plantago ovata Reduces the Development of Endothelial Dysfunction, Hypertension, and Obesity by Affecting Adiponectin and TNF-α in Obese Zucker Rats', *The Journal of Nutrition*, 135(10), pp. 2399–2404. doi: 10.1093/jn/135.10.2399.

Gallagher, E., Gormley, T. R. and Arendt, E. K. (2003) 'Crust and crumb characteristics of gluten free breads', *Journal of Food Engineering*, 56(2–3), pp. 153–161. doi: 10.1016/S0260-8774(02)00244-3.

Gallagher, E., Gormley, T. R. and Arendt, E. K. (2004) 'Recent advances in the formulation of gluten-free

cereal-based products', *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 15(3–4), pp. 143–152. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2003.09.012.

Ghosh, S. and Coupland, J. N. (2008) 'Factors affecting the freeze-thaw stability of emulsions', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 22(1), pp. 105–111. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2007.04.013.

Gibb, R. D. *et al.* (2015) 'Psyllium fiber improves glycemic control proportional to loss of glycemic control : a meta-analysis of data in euglycemic subjects , patients at risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus , and patients being treated for type 2 diabetes mellitus 1', *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 102(September), pp. 1604–1614. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.115.106989.1.

Gibson, G. R. et al. (1995) 'Oligofructose and Inulin', Gastroenterology, pp. 975–982.

Gibson, G. R. *et al.* (2004) 'Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: updating the concept of prebiotics', *Nutrition Research Reviews*, 17(2), pp. 259–275. doi: 10.1079/nrr200479.

Gibson, G. R. (2004) 'From probiotics to prebiotics and a healthy digestive system', *Journal of Food Science*, 69(5), pp. 141–143. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.2004.tb10724.x.

Gibson, G. R., Macfarlane, S. and Cummings, J. H. (1990) 'The fermentability of polysaccharides by mixed human faecal bacteria in relation to their suitability as bulk-forming laxatives', *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 11(5), pp. 251–254. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.1990.tb00174.x.

Gidley, M. J. (2013) 'Hydrocolloids in the digestive tract and related health implications', *Current Opinion in Colloid and Interface Science*, 18(4), pp. 371–378. doi: 10.1016/j.cocis.2013.04.003.

Gómez, M. *et al.* (2007) 'Functionality of different hydrocolloids on the quality and shelf-life of yellow layer cakes', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 21(2), pp. 167–173. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2006.03.012.

Gonçalves, S. and Romano, A. (2016) 'The medicinal potential of plants from the genus Plantago (Plantaginaceae)', *Industrial Crops and Products*, 83, pp. 213–226. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.12.038.

Goñi, I. et al. (2000) 'In vitro fermentation of different types of a-amylase resistant corn starches',

European Food Research and Technology, 211(5), pp. 316–321. doi: 10.1007/s002170000166.

Graessley, W. W. (1973) 'Entanglement Concept in Polymer Rheology.', *Adv Polym Sci, Fortschr Hochpolym - Forsch*, 16. doi: 10.1007/bfb0031037.

Grubešić, R. J. *et al.* (2005) 'Spectrophotometric method for polyphenols analysis: Prevalidation and application on Plantago L. species', *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 39(3–4), pp. 837–842. doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2005.05.004.

Grundy, M. M. L. *et al.* (2016) 'Re-evaluation of the mechanisms of dietary fibre and implications for macronutrient bioaccessibility, digestion and postprandial metabolism', *British Journal of Nutrition*, 116(5), pp. 816–833. doi: 10.1017/S0007114516002610.

Guarda, A. *et al.* (2004) 'Different hydrocolloids as bread improvers and antistaling agents', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 18(2), pp. 241–247. doi: 10.1016/S0268-005X(03)00080-8.

Gulfi, M., Arrigoni, E. and Amadò, R. (2005) 'Influence of structure on in vitro fermentability of commercial pectins and partially hydrolysed pectin preparations', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 59(2), pp. 247–255. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2004.09.018.

Gunn, D. *et al.* (2020) 'Contrasting effects of viscous and particulate fibers on colonic fermentation in vitro and in vivo, and their impact on intestinal water studied by MRI in a randomized trial', *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 112(3), pp. 595–602. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqaa173.

Guo, Q. *et al.* (2008) 'Fractionation and physicochemical characterization of psyllium gum', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 73(1), pp. 35–43. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.11.001.

Ha, M. A., Jarvis, M. C. and Mann, J. I. (2000) 'A definition for dietary fibre', *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 54(12), pp. 861–864. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601109.

Haddadian, Kazhal, Haddadian, Katayoon and Zahmatkash, M. (2014) 'A review of plantago plant', *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 13(4), pp. 681–685. doi: 10.1016/S0005-2736(98)00261-2.

Hamaker, B. R. and Tuncil, Y. E. (2014) 'A perspective on the complexity of dietary fiber structures and their potential effect on the gut microbiota', *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 426(23), pp. 3838–3850. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2014.07.028.

Haque, A. and Morris, E. R. (1994) 'Combined use of ispaghula and HPMC to replace or augment gluten in breadmaking', *Food Research International*, 27(4), pp. 379–393. doi: 10.1016/0963-9969(94)90194-5.

Hijova, E. and Chmelarova, A. (2007) 'Short chain fatty acids and intestinal microflora', *ratisl Lek Listy*, 108(8), pp. 354–358.

Hinnebusch, B. F. *et al.* (2002) 'The effects of short-chain fatty acids on human colon cancer cell phenotype are associated with histone hyperacetylation', *Journal of Nutrition*, 132(5), pp. 1012–1017. doi: 10.1093/jn/132.5.1012.

Hoefkens, C., Verbeke, W. and Van Camp, J. (2011) 'European consumers' perceived importance of qualifying and disqualifying nutrients in food choices', *Food Quality and Preference*, 22(6), pp. 550–558. doi: 10.1016/j.foodqual.2011.03.002.

Houben, A., Höchstötter, A. and Becker, T. (2012) 'Possibilities to increase the quality in gluten-free bread production: An overview', *European Food Research and Technology*, 235(2), pp. 195–208. doi: 10.1007/s00217-012-1720-0.

Hu, J. L. *et al.* (2012) 'Polysaccharide from seeds of plantago asiatica L. increases short-chain fatty acid production and fecal moisture along with lowering pH in mouse colon', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60(46), pp. 11525–11532. doi: 10.1021/jf302169u.

Hu, J. L., Nie, S. P., Li, C. and Xie, M. Y. (2013) 'Invitro fermentation of polysaccharide from the seeds of Plantago asiatica L. by human fecal microbiota', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 33(2), pp. 384–392. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.04.006.

Hu, J. L., Nie, S. P., Li, C., Fu, Z. H., et al. (2013) 'Microbial short-chain fatty acid production and

extracellular enzymes activities during in vitro fermentation of polysaccharides from the seeds of Plantago asiatica L. treated with microwave irradiation', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 61(25), pp. 6092–6101. doi: 10.1021/jf401877j.

Hu, J. L. *et al.* (2018) 'Ultrasonic irradiation induces degradation and improves prebiotic properties of polysaccharide from seeds of Plantago asiatica L. during in vitro fermentation by human fecal microbiota', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 76, pp. 60–66. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.06.009.

Hu, X. *et al.* (2021) 'An extensive review: How starch and gluten impact dough machinability and resultant bread qualities', *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 0(0), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2021.1969535.

Hugenholtz, F. *et al.* (2013) 'Modulation of the microbial fermentation in the gut by fermentable carbohydrates', *Bioactive Carbohydrates and Dietary Fibre*, 2(2), pp. 133–142. doi: 10.1016/j.bcdf.2013.09.008.

Imamura L, H. H. and K. K. (1994) 'Purification and Characterization of β-Fructofuranosidase from Bifidobacterium infantis', *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 17(5), pp. 596–602. Available at: http://www.mendeley.com/research/geology-volcanic-history-eruptive-style-yakedake-volcano-group-central-japan/.

Jan, G. *et al.* (2002) 'Propionibacteria induce apoptosis of colorectal carcinoma cells via short-chain fatty acids acting on mitochondria', *Cell Death and Differentiation*, 9(2), pp. 179–188. doi: 10.1038/sj/cdd/4400935.

Javidi, F. *et al.* (2016) 'The influence of basil seed gum, guar gum and their blend on the rheological, physical and sensory properties of low fat ice cream', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 52, pp. 625–633. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2015.08.006.

Jiménez-Escrig A & Sánchez-Muniz F (2000) 'Dietary fibre from edible seaweeds: Chemical structure, physicochemical properties and effects on cholesterol metabolism', *Nutrition Research*, 20(4), pp. 585–

898.

Jonathan, M. C. *et al.* (2012) 'In vitro fermentation of 12 dietary fibres by faecal inoculum from pigs and humans', *Food Chemistry*, 133(3), pp. 889–897. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.01.110.

Karaca, O. B. *et al.* (2009) 'The functional, rheological and sensory characteristics of ice creams with various fat replacers', *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 62(1), pp. 93–99. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0307.2008.00456.x.

Kaur, A. *et al.* (2011) 'In VitroBatch Fecal Fermentation Comparison of Gas and Short-Chain Fatty Acid Production Using "Slowly Fermentable" Dietary Fibers', *Journal of Food Science*, 76(5), pp. 137–142. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2011.02172.x.

Kawamura, T. *et al.* (2009) 'Inhibitory effects of short-chain fatty acids on matrix metalloproteinase secretion from human colonic subepithelial myofibroblasts', *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, 54(2), pp. 238–245. doi: 10.1007/s10620-008-0348-1.

Kochar, J., Gaziano, J. M. and Djoussé, L. (2011) 'Dietary factors and the risk of coronary heart disease', *Aging and Disease*, 2(2), pp. 149–157.

Krupa-Kozak, U. and Lange, E. (2019) 'The Gluten-Free Diet and Glycaemic Index in the Management of Coeliac Disease Associated with Type 1 Diabetes', *Food Reviews International*, 35(6), pp. 587–608. doi: 10.1080/87559129.2019.1584902.

Kumar, J., Rani, K. and Datt, C. (2020) 'Molecular link between dietary fibre, gut microbiota and health', *Molecular Biology Reports*, 47(8), pp. 6229–6237. doi: 10.1007/s11033-020-05611-3.

Kumar, V. *et al.* (2012) 'Dietary Roles of Non-Starch Polysachharides in Human Nutrition: A Review', *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 52(10), pp. 899–935. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2010.512671.

Lal, R. K. et al. (2006) 'Plantago ovata Plant named "Mayuri", Control Engineering, 53(9).

Lee, A. R. *et al.* (2007) 'Economic burden of a gluten-free diet', pp. 423–430. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-277X.2007.00763.x.

Li, J. M. and Nie, S. P. (2016) 'The functional and nutritional aspects of hydrocolloids in foods', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 53(2016), pp. 46–61. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2015.01.035.

Lim, J., Inglett, G. E. and Lee, S. (2010) 'Response to Consumer Demand for Reduced-Fat Foods; Multi-Functional Fat Replacers', *Japan Journal of Food Engineering*, 11(4), pp. 147–152. doi: 10.11301/jsfe.11.147.

Low, D. Y. *et al.* (2015) 'In vitro fermentation of chewed mango and banana: Particle size, starch and vascular fibre effects', *Food and Function*, 6(8), pp. 2464–2474. doi: 10.1039/c5fo00363f.

Lu, S. *et al.* (2020) 'Cell wall architecture as well as chemical composition determines fermentation of wheat cell walls by a faecal inoculum', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 107(April), p. 105858. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105858.

Lu, S. *et al.* (2021) 'Wheat cell walls and constituent polysaccharides induce similar microbiota profiles upon: In vitro fermentation despite different short chain fatty acid end-product levels', *Food and Function*, 12(3), pp. 1135–1146. doi: 10.1039/d0fo02509g.

Makki, K. *et al.* (2018) 'The Impact of Dietary Fiber on Gut Microbiota in Host Health and Disease', *Cell Host and Microbe*, 23(6), pp. 705–715. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2018.05.012.

Maljaars, P. W. J. *et al.* (2008) 'lleal brake: A sensible food target for appetite control. A review', *Physiology and Behavior*, 95(3), pp. 271–281. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2008.07.018.

Malone, M. E., Appelqvist, I. A. M. and Norton, I. T. (2003) 'Oral behaviour of food hydrocolloids and emulsions. Part 1. Lubrication and deposition considerations', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 17(6), pp. 763–773. doi: 10.1016/S0268-005X(03)00097-3.

Mancebo, C. M. et al. (2015) 'Optimisation of rheological properties of gluten-free doughs with HPMC,

psyllium and different levels of water', *Journal of Cereal Science*, 61, pp. 8–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2014.10.005.

Mariotti, M. *et al.* (2009) 'The role of corn starch, amaranth flour, pea isolate, and Psyllium flour on the rheological properties and the ultrastructure of gluten-free doughs', *Food Research International*, 42(8), pp. 963–975. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2009.04.017.

Marlett, J. A. and Fischer, M. H. (2002) 'A poorly fermented gel from psyllium seed husk increases excreta moisture and bile acid excretion in rats', *Journal of Nutrition*, 132(9), pp. 2638–2643. doi: 10.1093/jn/132.9.2638.

Marlett, J. A. and Fischer, M. H. (2003) 'The active fraction of psyllium seed husk', *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 62(1), pp. 207–209. doi: 10.1079/pns2002201.

Marteau, P. *et al.* (1994) 'Digestibility and bulking effect of ispaghula husks in healthy humans', *Gut*, 35(12), pp. 1747–1752. doi: 10.1136/gut.35.12.1747.

McRorie, J. (2013) 'Clinical Data Support that Psyllium Is Not Fermented in the Gut', *The American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 108(9), pp. 1541–1541. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2013.211.

Mikkelsen, D., Gidley, M. J. and Williams, B. A. (2011) 'In vitro fermentation of bacterial cellulose composites as model dietary fibers', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(8), pp. 4025–4032. doi: 10.1021/jf104855e.

Milani M. and Maleki G. (2012) 'Hydrocolloids in Food Industry, Food Industrial Processes - Methods and Equipment, Dr. Benjamin Valdez (Ed.)', in *Intech open*, p. 64. doi: 10.5772/32009.

Mortensen, P. B. and Clausen, M. R. (1996) 'Short-chain fatty acids in the human colon: Relation to gastrointestinal health and disease', *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, Supplement*, 31(216), pp. 132–148. doi: 10.3109/00365529609094568.

Murray, B. S. (2019) 'Pickering emulsions for food and drinks', *Current Opinion in Food Science*, 27, pp.

57-63. doi: 10.1016/j.cofs.2019.05.004.

Nakamura, Y. *et al.* (2004) 'Psyllium extracts decreased neoplastic phenotypes induced by the Ha-Ras oncogene transfected into a rat liver oval cell line', *Cancer Letters*, 203(1), pp. 13–24. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2003.08.022.

Navarrete, S. *et al.* (2016) 'Bioactive compounds, aucubin and acteoside, in plantain (Plantago lanceolata L.) and their effect on in vitro rumen fermentation', *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 222, pp. 158–167. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.10.008.

Nilsson, N. E. *et al.* (2003) 'Identification of a free fatty acid receptor, FFA2R, expressed on leukocytes and activated by short-chain fatty acids', *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 303(4), pp. 1047–1052. doi: 10.1016/S0006-291X(03)00488-1.

Oliphant, K. and Allen-Vercoe, E. (2019) 'Macronutrient metabolism by the human gut microbiome: Major fermentation by-products and their impact on host health', *Microbiome*, 7(1), pp. 1–15. doi: 10.1186/s40168-019-0704-8.

Phan, J. L., Tucker, M. R., Khor, S. F., Shirley, N. J., *et al.* (2016) 'Differences in glycosyltransferase family 61 accompany variation in seed coat mucilage composition in Plantago spp.', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67(22), pp. 6481–6495. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw424.

Phan, J. L., Tucker, M. R., Khor, S. F., Shirley, N., *et al.* (2016) 'Differences in glycosyltransferase family 61 accompany variation in seed coat mucilage composition in Plantago spp.', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67(22), pp. 6481–6495. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw424.

Phan, J. L. *et al.* (2020) 'The novel features of Plantago ovata seed mucilage accumulation, storage and release', *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-68685-w.

Pollet, A. *et al.* (2012) 'In vitro fermentation of arabinoxylan oligosaccharides and low molecular mass arabinoxylans with different structural properties from wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) bran and psyllium

(Plantago ovata Forsk) seed husk', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60(4), pp. 946–954. doi: 10.1021/jf203820j.

Pryde, S. E. *et al.* (2002) 'The microbiology of butyrate formation in the human colon', *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 217(2), pp. 133–139. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1097(02)01106-0.

Ren, Y. *et al.* (2020) 'Temperature fractionation, physicochemical and rheological analysis of psyllium seed husk heteroxylan', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 104(August 2019), p. 105737. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105737.

Rodríguez-Cabezas, M. E. *et al.* (2003) 'Intestinal anti-inflammatory activity of dietary fiber (Plantago ovata seeds) in HLA-B27 transgenic rats', *Clinical Nutrition*, 22(5), pp. 463–471. doi: 10.1016/S0261-5614(03)00045-1.

Romero, A. L. *et al.* (2002) 'The seeds from Plantago ovata lower plasma lipids by altering hepatic and bile acid metabolism in guinea pigs.', *The Journal of nutrition*, 132(6), pp. 1194–1198.

Ross, I. (2005) Medicinal Plants of the World, Volume 3. doi: 10.1007/978-1-59259-887-8.

Rumpagaporn, P. *et al.* (2015) 'Structural features of soluble cereal arabinoxylan fibers associated with a slow rate of in vitro fermentation by human fecal microbiota', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 130, pp. 191–197. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.04.041.

Saha, D. and Bhattacharya, S. (2010) 'Hydrocolloids as thickening and gelling agents in food: A critical review', *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 47(6), pp. 587–597. doi: 10.1007/s13197-010-0162-6.

Sahagún, A. M. *et al.* (2015) 'Study of the protective effect on intestinal mucosa of the hydrosoluble fiber Plantago ovata husk', *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 15(1), pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1186/s12906-015-0827-9.

Salvador, V. *et al.* (1993) 'Sugar composition of dietary fibre and short-chain fatty acid production during in vitro fermentation by human bacteria ', *British Journal of Nutrition*, 70(1), pp. 189–197. doi:
10.1079/bjn19930116.

Samuelsen, A. B. (2000) 'The traditional uses, chemical constituents and biological activities of Plantago major L. A review', *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 71(1–2), pp. 1–21. doi: 10.1016/S0378-8741(00)00212-9.

Sarkar, S. *et al.* (2015) 'Inter and intra-specific genetic differentiation for agro-economical traits in Plantago germplasm', *Industrial Crops and Products*, 74, pp. 183–191. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.05.009.

Schulthess, J. *et al.* (2019) 'The Short Chain Fatty Acid Butyrate Imprints an Antimicrobial Program in Macrophages', *Immunity*, 50(2), pp. 432-445.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.12.018.

Shi, X. D. *et al.* (2017) 'Polysaccharide from leaf skin of Aloe barbadensis Miller: Part I. Extraction, fractionation, physicochemical properties and structural characterization', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 73, pp. 176–183. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.06.039.

Singh, J. and Whelan, K. (2011) 'Limited availability and higher cost of gluten-free foods', *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, 24(5), pp. 479–486. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-277X.2011.01160.x.

Sola, R. *et al.* (2007) 'Effects of soluble fiber (Plantago ovata husk) on plasma lipids, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins in men with ischemic heart disease', *American Journal of Clinical nutrition*, 85(August), pp. 1157–1163.

Solà, R. *et al.* (2010) 'Soluble fibre (Plantago ovata husk) reduces plasma low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, insulin, oxidised LDL and systolic blood pressure in hypercholesterolaemic patients: A randomised trial', *Atherosclerosis*, 211(2), pp. 630–637. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2010.03.010.

Tan, J. et al. (2014) The Role of Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Health and Disease. 1st edn, Advances in *Immunology*. 1st edn. Elsevier Inc. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-800100-4.00003-9.

Topping, D. L. and Clifton, P. M. (2001) 'Short-chain fatty acids and human colonic function: Roles of

resistant starch and nonstarch polysaccharides', *Physiological Reviews*, 81(3), pp. 1031–1064. doi: 10.1152/physrev.2001.81.3.1031.

Tucker, M. R. *et al.* (2017a) 'Dissecting the genetic basis for seed coat mucilage heteroxylan biosynthesis in plantago ovata using gamma irradiation and infrared spectroscopy', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8(March), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00326.

Tucker, M. R. *et al.* (2017b) 'Dissecting the Genetic Basis for Seed Coat Mucilage Heteroxylan Biosynthesis in Plantago ovata Using Gamma Irradiation and Infrared Spectroscopy', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8(March), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00326.

Vala, A. G., Fougat, R. S. and Jadeja, G. C. (2011) 'Genetic diversity of plantago ovata forsk. through rapd markers', *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 2(4), pp. 592–596.

Wang, B. *et al.* (2011) 'Effect of gum Arabic on stability of oil-in-water emulsion stabilized by flaxseed and soybean protein', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 86(1), pp. 343–351. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.04.059.

Wang, M. *et al.* (2019) 'In vitro colonic fermentation of dietary fibers: Fermentation rate, short-chain fatty acid production and changes in microbiota', *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 88(November 2018), pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2019.03.005.

Warren, F. J. *et al.* (2018) 'Food Starch Structure Impacts Gut Microbiome Composition', *mSphere*, 3(3). doi: 10.1128/msphere.00086-18.

Weiss, J. *et al.* (2010) 'Advances in ingredient and processing systems for meat and meat products', *Meat Science*, 86(1), pp. 196–213. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.05.008.

Williams, B. A. *et al.* (2011) 'In vitro fermentation kinetics and end-products of cereal arabinoxylans and (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans by porcine faeces', *Journal of Cereal Science*, 53(1), pp. 53–58. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2010.09.003.

Williams, B. A. et al. (2019) "Dietary fibre": Moving beyond the "soluble/insoluble" classification for

monogastric nutrition, with an emphasis on humans and pigs', *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 10(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s40104-019-0350-9.

Windey, K., de Preter, V. and Verbeke, K. (2012) 'Relevance of protein fermentation to gut health', *Molecular Nutrition and Food Research*, 56(1), pp. 184–196. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201100542.

World Health Organization (1999) 'WHO monographs on selected medicinal plants', *Essential Medicines* and Health Products Information Portal, 1, pp. 183–194.

World Health Organization (2007) 'Selected Medicinal Plants Vol. 3', *World Health*, 3(March), p. 390. doi: 10.8.2007.

Wu, T. *et al.* (2019) 'Effect of milling methods on the properties of rice flour and gluten-free rice bread', *Lwt*, 108(December 2018), pp. 137–144. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2019.03.050.

Yadav, N. *et al.* (2016) 'Hypocholesterolaemic and prebiotic effect of partially hydrolysed psyllium husk supplemented yoghurt', *Journal of Functional Foods*, 24, pp. 351–358. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2016.04.028.

Yang, J. *et al.* (2013) 'Invitro characterization of the impact of selected dietary fibers on fecal microbiota composition and short chain fatty acid production', *Anaerobe*, 23, pp. 74–81. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.06.012.

Yang, S. h. *et al.* (2006) 'Fat Replacers – Review', *Journal of Agroalimentary Processes and Technologies*, XII(2), pp. 433–442. doi: 10.1016/S0254-0584(00)00400-4.

Yano, H. (2019) 'Recent practical researches in the development of gluten-free breads', *npj Science of Food*, 3(1). doi: 10.1038/s41538-019-0040-1.

Yu, L. *et al.* (2017) 'Multi-layer mucilage of Plantago ovata seeds: Rheological differences arise from variations in arabinoxylan side chains', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 165, pp. 132–141. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.02.038.

Yu, L. et al. (2019) 'Multi-scale assembly of hydrogels formed by highly branched arabinoxylans from

Plantago ovata seed mucilage studied by USANS/SANS and rheology', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 207(December 2018), pp. 333–342. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.11.098.

Zandonadi, R. P., Botelho, R. B. A. and Araújo, W. M. C. (2009) 'Psyllium as a Substitute for Gluten in Bread', *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109(10), pp. 1781–1784. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2009.07.032.

Zhao, H. *et al.* (2014) 'Purification, characterization and immunomodulatory effects of Plantago depressa polysaccharides', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 112, pp. 63–72. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.05.069.

Zhou, P. *et al.* (2020) 'Comparative study between cold and hot water extracted polysaccharides from Plantago ovata seed husk by using rheological methods', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 101(October 2019), p. 105465. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.105465.

Zielinski, G. *et al.* (2013) 'Dietary fiber methods in Codex Alimentarius: Current status and ongoing discussions', *Cereal Foods World*, 58(3), pp. 148–152. doi: 10.1094/CFW-58-3-0401.

CHAPTER 3

Plantago ovata mutants display differences in mucilage structure, composition and functionality

Abbreviations

- CWE Cold water extractable
- HWE Hot water extractable
- IAE Intense agitation extractable
- WAC Water absorption capacity
- RVA Rapid Visco Analyzer
- RR Ruthenium red
- LED Light emitting diode
- RP-HPLC Reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography
- SSP Starch swelling power
- POV Plantago ovata
- WT Wild type
- PCR Polymerase chain reaction
- SCFA Short chain fatty acids
- DF Dietary fibre
- HCI Hydrochloric Acid
- NH₃ Ammonia
- Sig. P-value
- NMR Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

Brchpn	Branched-chain proportion (compared with total SCFA)
Brch	Total Branched-chain fatty acids
M1	P. ovata wild type
M2	Mutant 671-6
M3	Mutant 671-7
M4	Mutant 673-1
M5	Mutant 668-10
M(n)-W	Whole husk of M (1-5)
M(n)-F	Cold water fraction removed husk of M (1-5)

Introduction

Hydrocolloids used in the food industry are derived from various sources; algal such as carrageenan, alginic acid and agar-agar (Ruiter and Rudolph, 1997), animal like gelatin and chitin (Islam, Bhuiyan and Islam, 2017), microbial including xanthan and gellan gum (Shih, 2008) and plant such as gum arabic and inulin (Williams and Philip, 2003). Plant-derived hydrocolloids include chemically isolated gums such as guar gum, plant exudates like gum-arabic (Izydorczyk, Cui and Wang, 2005) and gums derived from seed mucilage (Phan and Burton, 2018). Seed mucilage is a polysaccharide complex released from the seed surfaces of some plant species when wetted with water (Phan and Burton, 2018). Seed mucilage is easily extractable using physical methods which offers advantages over many other common gums that require higher intensity extractions for isolation including the use of chemicals.

Psyllium gum is derived from the milled husk of *Plantago ovata* and is the most widely used seed mucilage-based hydrocolloid (Khaliq *et al.*, 2015). *P. ovata* is native to west Asia and the Mediterranean (Kumar, 2015) where it is known as isabgol or ispaghula and often called blonde psyllium commercially (Wiesner, 2013). Mature *P. ovata* seeds are milled to separate the polysaccharide-containing husk from the inner seed tissues (Cowley and Burton, 2021). The husk swells greatly upon contact with water to make a mucilage (Bahrani, 1991; Van Craeyveld *et al.*, 2009), and is used as a dietary fibre supplement to promote laxation (Marlett and Fischer, 2003; Marlett and Fischer, 2002) and therefore as a preventative treatment for diseases such as diabetes, irritable bowel syndrome, high cholesterol, obesity and constipation (Ziai *et al.*, 2005; Van Craeyveld *et al.*, 2009; Mehmood *et al.*, 2011; Wiesner, 2013; Thorburn *et al.*, 2015; Biosci *et al.*, 2015). It is increasingly being used as a thickener and stabilizer in the food industry (Milani and Maleki, 2012), as a fat substitute (Aghdaei *et al.*, 2012; Ladjevardi *et al.*, 2015), and in gluten free food as a gluten alternative (Zandonadi, Botelho and Araújo, 2009; Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, 2013; Mariotti *et al.*, 2009).

Apart from minor components such as cellulose and pectin, psyllium is rich in xylan-type polysaccharides with beta-1,4-linked xylose backbones and dense sidechain substitutions, and is also

known as heteroxylan (Phan *et al.*, 2016; Yu *et al.*, 2017). In spite of xylan being a common cell wall component of plants, the heteroxylan of psyllium is distinct due to its unusual linkages of beta-1,3-linked xylose and di and tri-saccharide side chains (Phan & Burton, 2018). In general, polysaccharides undergo gelation by forming backbone-backbone junction zones, however psyllium mucilage is highly branched prohibiting such interactions, and as such is thought to instead form physical gels through side chain interactions (Yu *et al.*, 2017; Yu *et al.*, 2018; Ren *et al.*, 2020a; Ren *et al.*, 2020b). The highly complex polysaccharide structure of psyllium heteroxylan confers unique technofunctional properties which makes it useful as a hydrocolloid. This unique and highly complex structure of *Plantago* heteroxylan is clearly integral to mucilage properties, both *in situ* and as a hydrocolloid ingredient. However, its unique properties mean that it is not ideal for all uses and thus sources of psyllium husk with different functionalities would potentially broaden its applicability and start building a useful hydrocolloid toolbox for manipulating food properties. It has also been reported to be poorly fermentable, providing mainly a bulking action on faeces (Marteau *et al.*, 1994; Edwards *et al.*, 1992).

Plantago ovata has inherently little genetic variation and natural variation in mucilage properties to delve into is also limited. Recently, a mutant population of *P. ovata* was developed by Tucker *et al.*, (2017) using gamma irradiation to facilitate studying the genetic aspects of this complex mucilage-producing plant. Many of these mutations affected one or more of the dozens of genes involved in seed mucilage synthesis leading to a range of changes in mucilage properties, from dramatic to subtle. Work by Phan (2018) and Cowley (2016) has characterised some *P. ovata* mucilage mutants with a variety of mucilage phenotypes. Mutations may lead to structural changes in the heteroxylan as well as the composition and arrangement of other polysaccharides in psyllium which may potentially provide mucilage with altered or improved functional and fermentation properties. In this study, *P. ovata* mutant lines from the population described in Tucker *et al.* (2017) were screened for differences in mucilage structure, functionality and fermentability, which may be exploited for creating novel properties in food and as a fibre supplement.

Materials and Methods

Materials

An M3 population of *P. ovata* mutants which were mutagenized using a Co60 gamma source at 300 Gy, at the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO, Sydney, Australia) by Tucker et al. (Tucker *et al.*, 2017a) was used for the study. The mutant lines had been grown through to the M3 generation but no backcrossing had been performed. Therefore, each mutant line contains multiple genetic changes but in the context of this screen, dominant mutations that have persisted through to the M3 generation were sought.

Primary screening of M3 mutants

Ruthenium red screening

Mature seeds were individually placed in 96 well plates and covered with 0.2 mL 0.01% ruthenium red solution at room temperature (w/v) (ProSciTech, C075, Australia) and allowed to imbibe for 20 minutes. Screening was done by observing differences using the naked eye by placing the plates on a LED plate and comparing mutant seeds to *P.ovata* wild type. A group of 350 M3 mutant lines were observed with 12 biological replicates for each line and 25 lines were picked for further study.

Secondary screening of M3 mutants

Ruthenium red microscopy

Mature mutant *P.ovata* seeds were placed individually on microscope slides and allowed to imbibe in 0.01% ruthenium red solution at room temperature (w/v) (ProSciTech, C075, Australia) for ten minutes and observed under a Zeiss Stemi 2000-C dissecting microscope attached to an AxioCam ERc 5s camera (Phan et al., 2016) at 1X magnification. Microscopy was used to examine 25 lines selected from the primary screening with at least four biological replicates each.

Water absorption capacity (WAC)

Water absorption capacity (WAC) was determined following Cowley *et al.*, (2020) with slight modifications. Twenty seeds were weighed into 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes and 1 mL deionized water was added. The tubes were vortexed briefly to submerge the seeds, after which they were allowed to stand for 45 minutes without being disturbed. A 1000 μ L pipette was used to remove the unabsorbed water. The tubes were reweighed. Five technical replicates were measured for each line. WAC was calculated using the following equation.

Water absorption capacity g/g =Initial weight of water added – weight of unabsorbed water

Initial weight of seeds

WAC of 25 lines selected from the primary screening was determined with at least three biological replicates each.

Plant growth

M3 Seeds were germinated on filter paper at room temperature and then transferred to jiffy pots for seedlings to grow until day 10. The seedlings were transplanted in coco-peat soil mixture in 2.5 litre citrus pots placed on deep plastic trays at a glasshouse in The Plant Accelerator, University of Adelaide, Australia under LED-generated light at day/night temperatures of 22 °C/18 °C from December to March. At least 12 plants were grown for each line. Watering was done indirectly by adding water to the trays holding the pots. Phenotypic data such as plant photographs, number of heads per plant and yield of seeds per plant were collected.

Microscopy of mutants

Calcofluor white imaging

Staining with 0.01% Calcofluor white (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to detect cellulose by adapting the method by Phan *et al.* (2016) by replacing ruthenium red with calcofluor white with imaging as described

for the secondary screening section. Images were obtained both at 1X and 5X magnification and processed using Zeiss® Zen software.

Whole mount immunolabelling

Distribution of various polysaccharides in the mucilage was visualized using whole mount immunolabelling using primary antibodies CCRC-M5110, CCRC-M129 (pectin) and CCRC-M110 (xylan) and the carbohydrate binding domain CBM3a (cellulose) and secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 555 goat anti-mouse IgG following Phan *et al.* (2016) and Tucker *et al.* (2017). Images were taken using a Zeiss® Axio Imager M2, attached to a Zeiss® AxioCam MR R3 camera at 5x and 10x magnifications. At least four biological replicates were imaged for each mutant line. Images were processed using ZEN 2012 software (Zeiss, Germany).

Biochemical properties of mutants

Sequential mucilage extraction

Mucilage was extracted from mutants following the protocol by Cowley *et al.*, (2020). Three mucilage fractions were collected, using cold water (CWE) at 25 °C , hot water (HWE) at 65 °C plus an intense agitation extractable (IAE) fraction using a tissue disruptor-type mixer mill (Retsch MM400, Germany). The extracts were freeze dried (Labconco Freezone 6, USA) to a constant weight.

Monosaccharide analysis

Monosaccharide profiles were determined using reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) of 1-phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazoline (PMP) derivatives as per Cowley *et al.* (2020). Each fraction was redissolved at 1 mg/mL in Milli-Q water and digested in acid for 3 hours following Phan *et al.* (2016). Standard curves were used to calculate concentrations of arabinose, fructose, galactose, galacturonic acid, glucose, glucuronic acid, mannose, ribose, rhamnose and xylose (Sigma Aldrich, USA).

Food related functional properties of mutants

Starch swelling power (SSP)

Starch swelling power was determined using the method by Liu, Eskin and Cui, (2003) with modifications. Starch mucilage dispersions were made by mixing a 1000 µL volume of 1.25% rice starch solution (S7260, Sigma Aldrich) with a 1000 µL volume of 0.1% (w/v) mucilage solution in a 2 mL microcentrifuge tube with heating for 10 minutes on a thermomixer at 99 °C and 700 rpm. A starch-water suspension was included as a control. The sample was cooled on ice for 5 minutes and centrifuged at 11200 g at 5 °C for 15 minutes and the supernatant was removed. Swelling power was determined as the ratio of weight of the wet sediment in comparison to the initial weight of dry starch. Each mutant's swelling power was determined at least in triplicate. Four biological replicates with at least three technical replicates were analysed for each mutant line.

SSP = Initial weight of starch mucilage suspension - weight of supernatant removed

Dry weight of starch

Rapid visco analysis (RVA)

Pasting properties of rice flour-mutant husk (Rice flour, Doves Farm, United kingdom) and rice starchmutant husk (S7260, Sigma Aldrich) mixtures were determined by Rapid Visco-Analysis using an RVA Super 4 (Newport Scientific, Australia) for standard temperature pasting (<100 °C) based on Díaz-Calderón *et al.*, (2018) with modifications. Suspensions were prepared in the RVA canister with 2.5g rice flour/rice starch and 25mg of *P.ovata* mutant husk (1% w/w) and 24 g of deionized water. The suspension was held at 25 °C for 2 min before heating to 95 °C (standard temperature) at 12 °C/min, holding at 95 °C for 2.5 min before cooling to 25 °C at 12 °C/min, with a final 2 minute holding period. During the first minute, the suspension was homogenised at 960 RPM, followed by constant stirring at 160 RPM for the rest of the test. The pasting temperature (temperature at which highest viscosity is observed), peak viscosity (highest viscosity during the run), trough viscosity (lowest viscosity during holding at highest temperature), breakdown viscosity (difference between peak and trough viscosity), final viscosity (viscosity at the end of run) and setback viscosity (difference between final and trough viscosity) were collected in triplicate.

Emulsification properties

The emulsification properties (Emulsion capacity=EC and Emulsion Stability=ES) of mucilage was determined by modifying the methods by (Sciarini *et al.*, 2009) as follows. For determination of EC, 1 mL mucilage suspensions of concentrations 0.1% (w/v) was mixed with 1 mL commercial canola oil (Crisco brand, Australia) in 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes (Eppendorf, Germany). The mixture was homogenized on a MM400 mixer mill (Retsch, Germany at 30 Hz for 1 minute. Then the suspensions were centrifuged (Eppendorf 5424, Germany) at 11200 RCF for 10 minutes and allowed to stand for 30 and 60 minutes. Where E_H and T_H are the height of the emulsion volume and the total height of the suspension respectively, the emulsion capacity was calculated as follows. It was assumed that the centrifuge tube had a uniform internal diameter therefore the height of the liquid column would be proportional to the volume. All measurements were made with at least six replicates.

$$EC = \left(\frac{EH}{TH}\right) 100$$

The ES at high temperature was determined by heating the emulsions on a thermomixer (Eppendorf ThermoMixer® Comfort, Germany) at 80 °C for 30 minutes followed by centrifuging (Eppendorf 5424, Germany) at 11200 RCF for 10 minutes. Where F_{EH} and I_{EH} are final emulsion height and initial emulsion height respectively, emulsion stability was calculated as follows.

$$ES = \left(\frac{FEH}{IEH}\right) 100$$

Foaming properties

The foaming properties (Foaming capacity=FC and Foam Stability=FS) of mucilage was determined by modifying the methods by (Sciarini *et al.*, 2009) as follows. For the determination of FC 2 mL suspensions of 0.1% (w/v) (1 mg/mL) were added to labelled 10 mL centrifuge tubes. The initial height

of the liquid column (H_1) was measured. The tubes were vortexed at top speed in a horizontal position with the tip end touching the vortex mixer for 1 minute. Following this the height of liquid column was measured (H_2) . Foam capacity was calculated as follows. It was assumed that the centrifuge tube had a uniform internal diameter therefore the height of the liquid column would be proportional to the volume. All measurements were made of at least six replicates.

$$FC = \left(\frac{H2 - H1}{H1}\right) 100$$

The height of the liquid column was measured after 30 minutes (H3) and the foam stability was calculated as follows.

$$FC = \left(\frac{H3 - H1}{H1}\right) 100$$

In vitro fermentation of P. ovata mutants

Substrate Preparation

Plantago ovata husk was separated from the whole seeds by milling for 30 s in a spice grinder (BCG200, Breville, Australia), followed by stirring in a strainer to separate the husk from the seed. The husk samples from each line M1, M2, M3, M4 and M5 were placed in 50 mL centrifuge tubes with 80% (v/v) ethanol at a 1:5 ratio of husk: ethanol (w/v). The husk/ethanol mixtures were kept on a preheated magnetic stirrer and stirred at 70 °C for 30 minutes to remove any free sugars. The tubes were centrifuged at 1200xg for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was air dried. The dried pellet was ground to a fine powder using a spice grinder and used as substrate M(n)-W. A part of the husk material, with free sugars removed, was air dried followed by cold water extraction by modifying the methods by Cowley *et al.*, (2020). Husk was mixed with milliQ water at a 1:300 (w/w) ratio in beakers placed on a magnetic stirrer for 1.5 h at 25°C. The mixture was transferred into 50 mL centrifuge tubes followed by centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 2 minutes. The supernatant was very carefully removed using a 1000 µL laboratory pipette without disturbing the pellet and the dense

mucilage attached to the husk. The pellet was then freeze dried and ground into a fine powder using a spice grinder and used as substrate M(n)-F.

Dry matter of substrates

For each substrate the dry matter was determined in triplicate, by calculating the percentage of the difference of initial weight and final weight of 0.5 g of each substrate oven dried at 105 °C until a constant weight was reached.

In vitro fermentation

The *in vitro* fermentation was carried out using the methods of Williams *et al.*, (2005) as modified by Lu *et al.*, (2020). Briefly 0.2 g of substrate was accurately weighed into 60 mL serum bottles in duplicate for each time removal (0 h, 4 h, 10 h, 24 h, 48 h and five replicates each for the 72 h endpoint) for incubation with the human faecal inoculum at 37 °C. Anaerobic media was prepared using the methods described by Lowe *et al.*, (1985), and modified by Williams *et al.*, (2005). The gas volume produced and the headspace pressure was directly recorded using an AGRS (automated gas recording system-AGRS-III designed by the College of Animal Science and Technology, China Agricultural University) (Yang *et al.*, 2014) (refer to Appendix 1 for substrate details for each time removal).

Faeces were collected with approval from the Human Research Ethics Approval Committee of the University of Queensland (Approval Number 2017/HE000502), from six donors of age 18+, on an unrestricted diet, self-reported to be free from gastrointestinal illnesses with no antibiotics taken within three months of the collection date. The faeces were pooled and homogenized in a ratio of 1:5 with a 0.9% saline solution, pre-warmed to 37 °C, followed by filtration using four layers of muslin cloth. Each serum bottle was injected with 2.5 mL of inoculum under a steady stream of CO₂ to maintain anaerobic conditions and incubated at 37 °C. At each removal time bottles taken from the incubator were plunged into an ice-water bath for 20 minutes to retard to microbial activity, after which post-fermentation sampling was carried out.

Post fermentation analyses

Timed removals were made at 4 h, 10 h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h. At each time point (3 x 1 mL) of the liquid at the top of the bottle was sampled for SCFA and NH₃ determination. SCFA samples were stabilized using a protein precipitant and NH₃ samples were stabilized using 0.2 M HCl followed by freezing at -20 °C until analysis. The remaining content of bottles were manually swirled and transferred to a 50 mL tube for pH measurement.

NH₃ analysis

Samples collected for NH₃ analysis post-fermentation were stabilized using 0.2 M HCl, leading to formation of NH4⁺ ions. The quantification was carried out following a modified protocol of Baethgen and Alley as reported by Yao *et al.*, (2022). The absorbance reading was recorded at 650 nm using a UV/visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800, Japan) following the reaction of ammonium with sodium nitroprusside and sodium salicylate in a weak alkaline buffer.

SCFA analysis

Samples collected for SCFA analysis were stabilized using a protein precipitant solution. The sample was centrifuged to remove any particles. From the supernatant 700 μ L was added to 100 μ L, (0.2 mg/mL) Trimethylsilyl propanoic acid sodium salt (TSP) solution in D₂O, present as an internal standard, followed by performing 1 H NMR on a Bruker 700 MHz spectrometer with a 5 mm TCl cryoprobe operating at 298 K. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded using a standard excitation sculpting pulse program with an 11 s relaxation delay for 64 scans. Seven SCFAs including propionic, n-valeric, n-butyrate, acetate, iso-valeric and iso-butyric were identified and quantified according to published values (Yao *et al.*, 2022). Data was processed using TopSpin 3.6.3 software.

Statistical analysis

Statistical differences in functional properties between mutant lines, the control and WT were determined by independent Student's *t*-test in Microsoft Excel.

Pearson correlation analyses were carried out for the mean values of fermentation parameters; acetate, propionate, butyrate, branched SCFA, NH₃, pH at 72 h and mean monosaccharide composition values using SPSS 27 (IBM SPSS Statistics, 2021) software. A P-value of less than 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

Results and Discussion

Screening techniques highlight differences in seed mucilage of P. ovata mutants

For the primary screening of *P. ovata* mutant seeds for mucilage differences, a simple technique of imbibing whole mature seeds in 0.01% ruthenium red stain was used. Once the seeds were placed in the 96 well PCR plate and the stain added, the extrusion of mucilage began immediately, staining the mucilage a pink colour. Twelve replicates were assessed using the naked eye for each of the 350 mutant lines screened and observations were recorded for differences in mucilage colour intensity, size of extruded mucilage and number of replicates displaying the same phenotype as a fraction n/12 (data not shown). Following this preliminary screening 25 mutant lines showing prominent visual differences in RR screening were selected for a secondary screening. Ruthenium red is a stereoselective stain that binds to acidic polysaccharides in the mucilage, allowing for observation of detailed mucilage structures and subsequent diagnostic screening of mucilage mutants (Tucker *et al.*, 2017b; Voiniciuc *et al.*, 2015; Cowley *et al.*, 2020). Comparing mutants using the naked eye against *P. ovata* wild type provides a quicker way to screen mucilage differences of many mutant lines against WT within a shorter period.

The 25 mutant lines selected for further investigation from the primary screening were put through a secondary screening process (Table 3-1). They were observed under the light microscope after imbibing with 0.01% RR stain to provide a clear view of the structure of the mucilage (Table 3-1B). The 25 mutants chosen for further analysis displayed differences in mucilage extrusion patterns to WT, ranging from subtle differences like 665-10 to others displaying more significant differences such as 671-10 (Table 3-1B). Between the 25 mutant lines it was observed that some lines were more intensely stained pink, like 870-3 and 871-8 while others appeared less intensely stained compared to WT like 841-8 and 681-4 (Table 3-1B). Differences were observed in area of mucilage extruded with some extruding a larger envelope such as 870-3 and 839-2 and others a smaller area like 881-2 and 671-11 (Table 3-1B). For some lines only a few seeds n/12 displayed a different phenotype to WT, like 836-2, while others displayed a different phenotype to WT, like 836-2, while others and 12/12 for a different mucilage phenotype from WT, including 681-4 and 671-11 (Table 3-1A).

The WT seed was observed to have a dark red brown colour, with a cloud-like mucilage extruded around the seed (Table 3-1B WT commercial). The innermost layer L1 was stained a dark pink colour. This was followed by a colourless layer L2 and then a pink-stained outer layer L3. The interface between L2 and L3 was stained an intense pink. The L1 was the thinnest and L3 was the thickest (Table 3-1B). Certain mutants displayed no such layers but instead had only a tight pink ring around the seed such as 881-2 and 881-7 (Table 3-1B). Others showed staining in similar layers to WT but with characteristic patterns within each layer of extruded mucilage such as 642-6, 870-3 and 871-8 (Table 3-1B). Some displayed weak mucilage which easily dispersed and became disorganized with a simple nudge of the PCR plate like 836-10 (Table 3-1B). Cowley (Honours thesis, 2016) also observed differences in the layers, patterns and compactness of the mucilage of different lines of *P. ovata* mutants produced by Tucker et al., (2017). Similar to the observations made of the *P.ovata* mutants in this study. Cowley et al., (2020), also observed differences in the size of extrusion of mucilage envelope and the pattern and intensity of staining among different mutant lines produced by Tucker et al., (2017) which were different from that of WT. The results of the ruthenium red imagining suggests that gamma irradiation of *P. ovata* has given rise to changes in the arrangement of acidic polysaccharides in the mucilage as layers around the seed. The differences in the intensity could be due to the variation of the amount of acidic polysaccharides in the mucilage of each mutant. These differences were explored further in the 12 mutant lines selected from the secondary screening process.

Water absorption capacity (WAC) was determined by comparing weights of dry seeds to weights when they were completely swollen. While some mutants displayed very similar WAC to WT, like 665-10, some displayed significantly higher values, like 836-10, or lower WAC such as 671-10 (Table 3-1C). WAC indicates the amount of water that can be held per unit of mutant *P. ovata* seed. The differences among mutants suggests that mutation has led to structural changes in the polysaccharides which has led to a variation of the affinity of the polysaccharides in the mucilage to water and its binding ability, a trait that was also explored further.

Based on results of RR microscopy (Table 3-1) and WAC, 12 mutant lines which showed largest differences from WT were selected for further investigation. Two pairs of sister lines 671-6 and 671-7 and 841-8 and 841-10 which showed differences in phenotype were among the 12 selected lines. As large amounts of seed were required for further studies, the M3 mutant seeds were grown to produce the M4 generation and this provided an opportunity to phenotype the plants.

Growth phenotypes of selected P.ovata mutants

Plantago ovata WT and the 12 selected mutant lines were grown in a glasshouse under identical conditions. Some differences were observed in plant phenotypes (Figure 3-1), with the most significant plant phenotypes described here. Mutant 671-6 displayed higher vegetative growth compared to WT with broader, longer, and more numerous leaves. However, a smaller number of flowering heads were produced by this line. Mutant 671-7 had smaller plants with very few heads (Figure 3-1). While other plants had heads oriented upwards the heads of these plants drooped down. All other lines flowered at a similar time to WT but 671-7 reached maturity very slowly and bloomed two weeks later. Plants of lines 681-3, 842-11 and 836-10 were more compact (Figure 3-1) and for 681-3, two out of 19 plants grown were male sterile, producing no anthers. Additional growth phenotypes were seen where 841-10 had few heads (Figure 3-1) and 673-1 had very bright green leaves which were broader than all other plants (Figure 3-1).

Mutants displayed differences in average number of heads per plant, length of head and yield of seeds as shown in Figure 3-2. Mutant 841-8 and WT had similar numbers of heads (Figure 3-2A), but all other 11 lines had significantly lower number of heads (p<0.05) per plant, with 671-6, 671-7, and 841-10 being the lowest (Figure 3-2A).

Line 841-10 showed a large variation in the lengths of heads on the same plant although the mean length of heads was not significantly different (P>0.05) from WT (Figure 3-2B). All mutants except 841-10 and 870-3 had significant differences in the length of heads compared to WT (P<0.05) (Figure 3-B).

Particularly lines 671-6, 836-10 and 841-8, which had much shorter heads compared to WT (Figure 3-2B).

All plants displayed significantly lower yield compared to WT (P<0.05) (Figure 3-2C). Mutants 671-6 and 671-7 had the lowest compared to WT (Figure 3-2C). Not only did the mutants have low yield per plant compared to WT, but they also had lower seed yield per head (Figure 3-2D) except for 870-3 and 836-10. While WT had about 105 mg of seeds per head on average, the yield per head of 671-6 and 671-7 was less than half of this (Figure 3-2C).

Phan (PhD thesis, 2018) also observed differences in plant growth for the mutant hik. These plants displayed reduction in vegetative growth with stunting, reduced number and size of seed heads, low yield, low viability of seeds and even male sterility such as seen for 681-3. Variation in plant growth characteristic of the *P.ovata* mutants in this study suggests that gamma irradiation has not only affected genes that control the characteristics of mucilage from seeds but has induced pleiotropic effects by affecting other genes in the same background. Stearns, (2010) defines pleiotropy as "the phenomenon in which a single locus affects two or more apparently unrelated phenotypic traits and is often identified as a single mutation that affects two or more wild-type traits." In some cases, gamma irradiation can cause loss of large chromosomal sections and there is usually a background level of lethality (Vizir and Mulligan, 1999). Here, lines were selected on the basis of dominant mutations which have persisted through to the M3 and M4 generations. Therefore, even if a mutant displays desirable mucilage characteristics the reduction of yield and plant viability might have a major negative impact on downstream use of such material directly for commercial purposes. This could be rectified using multiple rounds of backcrossing to the WT followed by selection to remove deleterious background effects and make selected lines economically viable. This is a routine approach in any mutation breeding strategy (Begna, 2022) and would be one of the next steps following the work presented in this thesis to identify promising lines for further development.

Microscopy reveals differences in seed mucilage distribution in *P.ovata* mutants

During the screening process using ruthenium red, differences in seed mucilage architecture between the mutants and WT were detected (Table 3-1). To visualise the arrangement of mucilage around the *P. ovata* seeds and the distribution of key mucilage components, light microscopy, fluorescent microscopy and immunolabelling were used (Figure 3-3). Only six of the mutants displayed significant visible differences from WT and so they were selected for further investigation.

A solution of 0.01% ruthenium red was used to imbibe whole seeds that were then observed under the light microscope. RR binds to acidic polysaccharides like pectin and stains a bright pink colour (Figure 3-3, ruthenium red). In *P.ovata* mutants and WT the mucilage extruded in a cloud-like pattern around the seed. For the *P.ovata* WT immediately next to the seed coat there was a lightly stained layer L1, followed by a colourless area L2 and then a pink stained area wider than other layers, L3. In L3, there were areas of darker and lighter shades of pink. The interface of L2 and L3 stained intensely pink. visible as a scalloped dark pink border between L2 and L3 (Figure 3-3, WT-ruthenium red). In 671-6 this layered structure observed in WT was disorganized. Although there was still a colourless L2 layer it was narrower, and no scalloped dark line was present. L3 was very patchy with specks of dark pink all over. Parts of the mucilage of outer layer L3 appeared to be loose and swirling out into the excess stain around the seed, (Figure 3-3, 671-6-ruthenium red), and a gentle nudge of the microscope slide was sufficient to disperse the mucilage and destroy all structure. Mutant line 671-7 did have a clear L2 layer, but the scalloped line was not very prominent. However, the L3 layer was highly stained in a few pink "ruffle-like" layers (Figure 3-3, 671-7-ruthenium red). For line 681-3 a wide colourless L2 was observed with a very prominent scalloped interface. However, the L3 layer was narrower and appeared condensed and disorganized (Figure 3-3, 681-30-ruthenium red). Line 669-9 displayed a wide L2 layer followed by "ruffle like" layers in L3 (Figure 3-3, 669-90-ruthenium red), whereas 673-1 had an unstained L2 layer and a scalloped interface (Figure 3-3, 673-1-ruthenium red). However, instead of a tight pink line the pink colour seemed to be intense at the edge of the scalloping, gradually fading from pink to colourless closer to the seed. The pattern of labelling in L3 was also different from the WT. In

668-10 there was a wide L2 layer, however no scalloped interface between L2 and L3 was present and L3 appeared as a condensed narrow ring which was stained darker with rough edges (Figure 3-3, 668-10-ruthenium red).

Similar to this study, three layers, a cloud like layer, a middle layer and an inner unstained layer had been previously observed in the ruthenium red staining of WT *P. ovata* with the middle layer containing hexagonal platelets (Tucker *et al.*, 2017b). Phan (PhD thesis, 2016) also observed these three layers and differences in the expansion rate, mucilage arrangement and staining of the mutant 'hik'. Cowley *et al.*, (2020) also showed differences in the ruthenium red staining patterns of *P. ovata* mutants. Phan *et al.*, (2016) studied the ruthenium red staining of diverse *Plantago* species and stated that differences in the staining indicated altered mucilage composition. In this study there were differences observed in the intensity of staining, expansion of the mucilage, arrangement of layers, as well as characteristic patterns of staining which suggests that not only is there is a difference in the amount of acid polysaccharides but there is also a difference in their arrangement as layers around the seed. It is possible that the acidic polysaccharides in the mutants are also structurally different from that of the WT.

Calcofluor white (CW) binds to beta-glucans that contain both 1;3 and 1;4 linkages, such as xyloglucan, callose and cellulose (Harrington and Hageage, 2003). It produces a bluish-white fluorescence in the presence of violet or ultra violet light (Harrington and Hageage, 2003). Whole seeds stained with CW were observed under the fluorescent microscope (Figure 3-3, calcofluor white). In WT the staining began just at the edge of the seed, however the intensity was lower closer to the seed with the highest intensity observed in the middle layer and then decreasing in the outermost layer (Figure 3-3, calcofluor white). The staining in the middle layer was more regular and sharper than the other layers and showed a highly organized "scale-like" pattern (Figure 3-3, WT-calcofluor white). For line 671-6 the inner and outer layers were lightly stained, and the middle was much brighter. However, the scale-like pattern was not observed. Mucilage appeared highly disorganized and patchy staining was observed (Figure 3-3, 671-6-calcofluor white). For line 671-7 no regular pattern was observed, and staining was very light (Figure 3-3, 671-7-calcofluor white) as also for 681-3 (Figure 3-3, 681-3-calcofluor white), however, the

edges were organized in a manner similar to petals around the centre of a sunflower. The individual petal-like areas had a patchy staining pattern (Figure 3-3, 681-3-calcofluor white). For line 669-9 (Figure 3-3, 669-9-calcofluor white), this sunflower like pattern was observed and each petal had scale-like areas inside. For line 673-1 (Figure 3-3, 673-1-calcofluor white), the sunflower-like pattern of mucilage edges was highly prominent, with a scale-like pattern inside which was intensely stained and organized very regularly. Clear unstained areas were observed between the large sunflower petal-like stained areas. Line 668-10 (Figure 3-3, 668-10-calcofluor white) also displayed scale-like patterns but with much smaller scales and towards the mucilage edge it became more disorganized and lightly stained.

CBM3a is a probe that recognizes several polysaccharides including xyloglucan and particularly crystalline domains on cellulose (Phan *et al.*, 2016). CBM3a was used to label cellulose using whole mount immunolabelling (Figure 3-3, CBM3a). In WT the area close to the seed was less intensely labelled followed by fine rays directed outwards from the seed. The outer area was more organized and appeared as a clear line made of "test tube-like" structures arranged contiguously (Figure 3-3, WT-CBM3a). The lines 671-6, 671-7, 668-10 and 681-3 (Figure 3-3 CBM3a) displayed rays directed to the outside away from the seed, but with no other distinctive arrangement differences. Line 669-9 displayed a very distinct arrangement like a beehive (Figure 3-3, 669-9-CBM3a). Line 673-1 displayed a well labelled tadpole-like pattern with clear outlines (Figure 3-3, 673-1-CBM3a). Similarly, Phan *et al.*, (2016) observed differences in the labelling patterns and intensity using CBM3a among different *Plantago* species.

The staining patterns for CW and CBM3a suggests that there is less cellulose in the mucilage layer closest to the seed but more towards the outer areas of the seed mucilage. This means that there is a difference in the types and arrangement of polysaccharides in the mucilage layer close to the seed when compared to the outer layer of mucilage. Similarly Phan *et al.*, (2016) observed differences in glucan staining of *Plantago* species, with *P. debilis*, *P. coronopus* and *P. major* showing more intense

staining away from the seed coat. The differences in the staining intensity and patterns between mutants with CW and CBM3a suggest variations in amount and distribution of cellulose within the mucilage. For example, 671-7 (Figure 3-3, 6717-CBM3a and CW) with less intense overall staining may indicate lower levels of cellulosic polysaccharides compared to more intensely stained 673-1 (Figure 3-3, 6717-CBM3a and CW). The differences in the patterns of staining indicates differences in the manner in which cellulose is arranged in the mucilage.

CCRC M110, raised against *Phormium tenax* xylan, and found to bind strongly to *P. ovata* WT mucilage (Phan, PhD thesis 2018), was used for whole mount immunolabelling (Figure 3-3, CCRC M110). In WT a wide area was labelled with a "sea anemone" like pattern (Figure 3-3, WT-CCRC M110). In line 671-6 there was labelling around the seed, but no discrete patterns were observed, other than a fluorescent halo around the seed (Figure 3-3, 671-6-CCRC M110). For line 671-7 a "flame-like" pattern was observed leading out from the seed towards the edge of the mucilage (Figure 3-3, 671-7-CCRC M110). For lines 673-1, 668-10, 681-3 and 669-9 (Figure 3-3, CCRC M110) again the sea anemone-like labelling was observed. However, in lines 673-1 and 668-10 (Figure 3-3, 673-1, 668-10-CCRC M110) these structures seemed to be smaller, more compact and regularly arranged compared to the WT. The differences in the staining patterns of CCRC M110 indicates differences in the deposition of heteroxylan in the mucilage, but the nature of these remain unknown. All mutants and WT were stained with CCRC M110 which indicates the presence of heteroxylan epitopes in all of them. Except for lines 671-6 and 671-7 other mutants in the study along with WT showed a similar "sea anemone" like pattern (Figure 3-3, CCRC M1110) indicating the heteroxylan in the mucilage is arranged in a regular manner with lobular structures, where outer edges of the lobes are more intensely stained, possibly due to higher amount of heteroxylan or due to the lobular structure giving more opportunity for effective binding of the antibodies. The difference in the staining of 671-6 and 671-7 indicate that these sister lines have lost the characteristic arrangement of heteroxylan around the seed or even that the antibodies are unable to penetrate the mucilage structure which seems to be less organized in comparison to the WT. Similarly Tucker et al., (2017) also observed differences in the labelling patterns of CCRC M110 for P. ovata mutants including differences in the compactness. Phan *et al* (2016) and Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, (2021) also observed sea anemone-like labelling in *P.ovata* with the LM11 antibody raised against wheat arabinoxylan and further noticed differences in xylan distribution among other *Plantago* species. The mutations in the lines studied here seem to have changed the spatial arrangement of the mucilage heteroxylan similar to the observations of Tucker *et al.*, (2017).

CCRC M129, raised against citrus pectin, was also used for whole mount immunolabelling (Figure 3-3, CCRC M129). The staining was not as intense as the labelling for xylan. A regular pattern was however observed in WT which appeared like "tadpoles"" swimming away from the seed (Figure 3-3, WT-CCRC M129). Similar to xylan labelling, pectin labelling was also highly disorganized with no patterns in line 671-6 (Figure 3-3, 671-6-CCRC M129). In lines 671-7 and 681-3 (Figure 3-3, 671-7, 681-3-CCRC M129) pectin was visualized as spokes radiating from the seed. In line 669-9 (Figure 3-3, 669-9-CCRC M129) there was an unstained area around the seed bordered by a narrow, stained area with a tadpolelike pattern. Mutants 673-1 and 668-10 (Figure 3-3, 673-1, 668-10-CCRC M129) also displayed a thick layer with a tadpole-like pattern, however the latter had an unstained ring around the seed. Although not quantitative, the lower intensity of the CCRC M129 labelling compared to CCRC M110 indicates presence of lesser quantities of pectin in the mucilage of *P. ovata* and its mutants used in this study. The labelling is lighter closer to the seed surface but darker in the outer layer of the mucilage indicating that the pectin present in the mucilage is concentrated in the outer layers of the mucilage. The weaker labelling such as for 669-9 (Figure 3-3, 669-9-CCRC 129) indicates a lower pectin content in the mucilage compared to the WT. Pectin is a viscosifying polysaccharide with gel forming properties (Gulfi, Arrigoni and Amadò, 2005) therefore the change in levels of pectin can give rise to differences in the rheological properties of the mucilage. Also pectin is a well fermented dietary fibre (Williams et al., 2011) and changes in the amount as well as the deposition pattern in the seed mucilage may have an effect on their accessibility to microorganisms as well as fermentability during gastrointestinal fermentation.

These microscopy results indicate that the mutants show different arrangement of mucilage polysaccharides such as pectin, xylans and cellulose around the seed compared to the WT. The differences in the intensity of staining for each type of polysaccharide indicates differences in the amount of the particular polysaccharide within the mucilage, although these are not quantitative and so are only indicative. The differences observed in the staining between layers shows that there is variability in the composition of the L1, L2 and L3. The characteristic patterns of staining within layers of mucilage observed in mutants shows that not only has mutation changed the amount of polysaccharide and where it is present but it has also changed how it is organized within the structure of the mucilage. The normal deposition and arrangement of polysaccharides within the mucilage matrix has been shown to be just as critical at determining mucilage properties as composition in species like Arabidopsis (Sola, Dean and Haughn, 2019), although there is still much to be learnt about interactions between the polysaccharide components in the mucilage of most myxospermous species. However, although unknown in a physical sense, the differences in the composition, structure and arrangement of the various polysaccharides within the layers of mucilage may affect their properties and their applications in food and fibre, such as water affinity, interactions with other materials such as starch, viscosity, ease of extraction etc. This provides an opportunity to obtain novel properties in the mucilage compared to the WT which may be useful for creating variation in the applications of psyllium.

Mutants exhibit differences in mucilage yields and composition

Variation was observed in the yield of different mucilage fractions from the mutants (Figure 3-4A). Compared to the WT, lines 671-6 and 671-7 had significantly higher (P<0.05) total mucilage yield per gram of seed (Figure 3-4A). Lines 671-6 and 671-7 also yielded a significantly bigger CWE fraction compared to WT (P<0.05). The IAE fraction was significantly lower for 671-6 (P<0.05) compared to WT, making the mucilage yields of 671-6 and 671-7 stand out from that of all the other mutants and WT (Figure 3-4A).

When comparing the amounts of each mucilage fraction as part of the whole mucilage yield (Figure 3-4B), the ratios from mutants including 671-6, 671-7 and 673-1 were significantly different from WT (P<0.05) (Figure 3-4B). Lines 671-6 and 671-7 had significantly higher CWE% as a proportion of the total mucilage (TM). Line 673-1 had a significantly higher HWE% as part of the TM. However, the IAE% of the TM was the most different for lines 668-10, 671-6, 671-7 and 673-1, all being significantly lower than WT (P<0.05) (Figure 3-4B).

Cowley *et al.*, (2020) compared the mucilage fractions of six mutants to the WT and showed differences in the percentages of mucilage fractions from the WT. Similar to this study the IAE% was most reduced in the mutants in comparison to the WT suggesting a less robust mucilage envelope in these mutants that is less resistant to extraction.

Previous experiments clearly show that the six mutants analysed here show the presence of different polysaccharides such as pectin and xylan, differences in the mucilage structure and arrangement around the seed and variation in total mucilage yield as well as the amounts of mucilage fractions extracted under different temperatures. These data indicate there could be composition differences in the polysaccharides contained in the mucilage of these mutants. To further characterize the mucilage polysaccharides, monosaccharide analysis was carried out for PMP-derivatives of acid hydrolysed mucilage fractions for each mutant using RP-HPLC.

The mucilage from each mutant and the WT was extracted in three fractions CWE, HWE and IAE followed by determining the monosaccharide composition of each (Figure 3-5). It was observed that the monosaccharide composition of each fraction was different for each mutant and differences were observed between mutants as well as between mutants and WT.

Rhamnose, galacturonic acid, arabinose and xylose were the most common monosaccharides in all mucilage fractions of all mutants and WT (Figure 3-5, Supplementary Table 3-1). Fucose, glucose, ribose and mannose were not detected in the samples (Supplementary Table 3-1). A considerable amount of rhamnose and galacturonic acid were found in the CWE of all mutants and WT as shown in

(Figure 3-5 CWE), likely to be derived from pectin, as previously indicated using the ruthenium red staining as well as immunolabelling with the CCRC M129 antibody. All six mutants showed significant differences (p<0.05) for all four monosaccharides when compared to the WT.

Lines 671-6 and 671-7 had a significantly lower rhamnose content compared to the WT. Galacturonic acid was significantly higher than WT in 673-1 while it was lower than WT in all other mutants. Both arabinose and xylose as well as X+A in CWE were significantly higher in line 671-6 while they were significantly lower in 668-10, 669-9 and 681-3 (Figure 3-5 CWE). The A/X ratios of CWE of all mutants was significantly different from that of WT (P<0.05) (Figure 3-5 CWE, Supplementary Table 3-1) with line 671-6 having the highest A/X ratio (Figure 3-5, CWE-A/X) for CWE, indicating that it has more heavily substituted heteroxylan than the WT.

The rhamnose content of the HWE was significantly different in 668-10, 669-9 and 673-1 (Figure 3-5 HWE, Supplementary Table 3-1). Lines 668-10, 669-9, 671-6 and 681-3 all had a significantly lower galacturonic acid content than WT (P<0.05). The X+A was significantly higher for line 668-10 compared to the WT (P<0.05). The A/X ratio of the HWE was significantly lower in the lines 668-10, 669-9 and 673-compared to the WT (Figure 3-5 HWE) indicating that they are less substituted.

The IAE fraction of lines 669-9 and 673-1 contained significantly higher levels of rhamnose than the WT (Figure 3-5 IAE, Supplementary Table 3-1). Lines 671-6 had the highest galacturonic acid content in IAE out of all the lines. The X+A of all mutants except 681-3 was significantly different to that of WT (P<0.05) (Figure 3-5 IAE) with line 671-6 having a much higher X+A than WT. The A/X ratios of all mutants were significantly different from that of WT (P<0.05), with lines 669-9 and 673-1 lower and lines 668-10, 671-6, 671-6 and 681-3 higher, indicating that the substitution levels of IAE of all mutants are different to that of the WT (Figure 3-5 IAE, Supplementary Table 3-1).

The results of the monosaccharide analysis of mucilage fractions from sequential mucilage extraction shows that monosaccharide profiles of mutants are different to that of WT, 671-6 being the most different. The A/X of the CWE and IAE of all mutants had more drastic changes compared to the A/X

ratio of the HWE (Supplementary Table 3-1) which suggests that mutations have affected the polysaccharides in the CWE and IAE to a larger degree, with varied substitution patterns compared to those of WT.

It should be noted that the total weight of the monosaccharides did not add up to the initial input (Supplementary Table 3-1). There were some unknown peaks observed during the RP-HPLC analysis which may be other components such as proteins and phenolic compounds. Also, it should be taken into consideration that some mass loss is routinely observed in monosaccharide quantification using this method. Phan *et al.* (2016) observed differences in the monosaccharide compositions of whole mucilage extractions from various *Plantago* species. Guo *et al.*, (2008), Ren *et al.*, (2020), Yu *et al.*, (2018) also observed differences in the monosaccharide profiles and the A/X ratios of *P.ovata*. Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, (2021) highlighted that among the *Plantago* genus there are not only differences in the amount of CWE, HWE and IAE fractions but also some differences in the monosaccharide composition of mucilage fractions of each species studied.

Similarly, there is variation between the percentages and composition of each fraction of the mucilage of the *P.ovata* mutants studied here as shown in (Figure 3-5). The differences between the A/X ratios and other monosaccharide contents means that there may be differences in the length and composition of the main polysaccharide chains as well as changes in the degree of decoration of the main chain by side chains. It was found that all mutants had an increasing A/X correlating to IAE>HWE>CWE (Supplementary Table 3-1). Yu *et al.*, (2017) who fractionated the mucilage from *P. ovata* also observed an increase in the A/X ratios with increasing difficulty in extraction, similar to the mutants and WT in this study. This shows that the IAE fraction is more heavily substituted than the CWE fractions. It is noteworthy that the line 671-6 which had the highest A/X in IAE (Figure 3-5 IAE) also had the lowest IAE yield (Figure 3-5A) as well the IAE% of the TM. Therefore, it can be hypothesized that the IAE fraction of 671-6 is much more heavily substituted than the WT and adheres closely to the seed making it more resistant to extraction. However, more sophisticated structural analyses like linkage analysis or

NMR, plus potentially atomic force microscopy *in situ*, amongst others, would be needed to confirm these results. The changes in A/X ratio may also lead to differences in the viscosity, rheological properties and solubility in water at different temperatures (Guo *et al.*, 2008; Ren *et al.*, 2020; Yu *et al.*, 2018) which in turn would be a major factor that affects the applications and functionality of these materials in food systems.

Mutant mucilage shows different functional properties

Water absorption capacity (WAC) was determined by comparing the dry weight of seeds with the swollen weight (Cowley *et al.*, 2022). The WAC was significantly higher (P<0.05) in lines 669-9, 673-1 and 681-3 (Figure 3-6A). The total mucilage yields, including CWE, HWE and IAE of lines 669-9, 673-1 and 681-3, were not significantly different from the WT (Figure 3-4A) therefore the higher WAC is not due to higher mucilage content in the seed. Therefore, it can be hypothesized that the mucilage in these lines have a higher water affinity and are capable of binding more water per unit weight of mucilage. Higher WAC could make them potentially better candidates for the most common use of psyllium as a faecal bulker (McRorie *et al.*, 2021), with a smaller amount of mutant psyllium to get the same faecal bulking effects as the WT. It is also possible that the lines with higher WAC have a more robust mucilage network compared to the WT and this has been associated with thriving of seeds in adverse environmental conditions (Witztum, 1978; Gutterman & Shem-Tov, 1996). Therefore, it is possible that lines 669-9, 673-1 and 681-3 could have better germination success and ability to prevail under unfavourable conditions such as salinity, drought or higher temperatures.

Starch swelling power was determined by comparing the dry weight of starch in comparison to the swollen weight of starch granules in the presence of mucilage (Liu, Eskin and Cui, 2003). All mutants had a significantly lower starch swelling power (P<0.05) compared to WT-2019 grown under identical conditions (Figure 3-6B). The SSP of the control was not significantly different to the starch hydrocolloid mixes made with mucilage from lines 669-9, 671-6, 671-6 and 671-7. However, the presence of 673-1 and 681-3 mucilage led to a reduction in the SSP (Figure 3-6B). When the WAC and SSP were

correlated to each other a weak negative correlation was found between them (Pearson R= -0.495, sig=0.0213). The WT 2019 increased the SSP significantly to that of the control (P<0.05), while lines 673-1 and 681-3 led to a significant reduction of the SSP when compared to the control (P<0.05). Therefore, it can be hypothesized that the interactions between starch-mucilage, starch-starch, starch-water, mucilage-water could be different between the WT-2019 and the mutants 673-1 and 681-3. Ren, Linter and Foster, (2021) stated that in the presence of mucilage there may be a competition for water and volume between the starch and psyllium polysaccharides. The weak negative correlation between WAC and SSP could mean that the higher swelling capacity due to a high-water affinity of the mucilage of 673-1 and 681-3 could be reducing the available water for the starch granule swelling thereby causing competition for water among them, leading a reduction of the SSP. The differences in the WAC and SSP could be related to the differences in the composition of the mucilage. Changes in the monosaccharide profile, A/X ratios and percentages of different mucilage fractions would affect their solubility in water as well as their interaction with other molecules such as starch.

A study where the SSP of a blend of wheat and potato starch was determined in the presence of different concentrations of HPMC, gum arabic, pectin and konjac glucomannan, found no significant differences in the SSP of hydrocolloid-starch blends as compared to the control (Varela, Navarro and Yamul, 2016). In a different study using rice starch and hydrocolloid mixtures, guar gum, gum arabic and xanthan decreased the swelling power of rice starch at a 0.05% concentration while gellan gum increased the swelling power (Song *et al.*, 2006). It is suggested that at the lower concentration of hydrocolloids the increase in the viscosity in the continuous phase due to the presence of hydrocolloids inhibits the penetration of water into the starch granules leading to reduction in SSP. At higher concentrations of hydrocolloids or in highly viscous material like gellan gum the excessive viscosity leads to an increased sedimentation volume, thereby giving an increased SSP value (Song *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, it appears that the type of starch, viscosity, type of hydrocolloid as well as their concentrations have an impact on the SSP. Here, differences in the SSP of the mutants and WT (Figure 3-6B) indicate that they interact differently with starch. In order to further investigate the effects of

mutant mucilage on rice starch and rice flour pasting behaviour, rapid visco analysis (RVA) was carried out.

Mutant mucilage leads to changes in pasting properties of rice flour and rice starch

Rapid visco analysis was carried out to investigate if the addition of mutant mucilage could change the pasting properties of rice flour and rice starch as compared to WT (Figure 3-7, 3-8, Table 3-2, 3-3). Husk fractions at a level of 1% were mixed with rice flour or rice starch for this analysis.

The addition of *P.ovata* mucilage from all mutants and WT led to a slight but significant (p<0.05) reduction of pasting temperature of rice flour-mucilage blends compared to the rice flour control without any hydrocolloid addition (Table 3-2) ranging from 1.4 °C to 2.45 °C. However, there were no significant differences in the pasting temperatures among the WT and mutants.

Pasting temperature is the temperature at which highest viscosity is observed in the gelatinization curve. During this process the starch granules absorb water and swell, finally rupturing to release amylose and viscosifying the medium (Sandhu, Singh and Malhi, 2007). At the gelatinization point the leached amylose and low molecular weight amylopectin form a continuous phase which is dispersed with swollen starch granules. During gelatinization in the presence of polysaccharides like psyllium mucilage a phase separation occurs where there is a continuous phase rich in hydrocolloids and a dispersed phase rich in amylose and amylopectin (Alloncle and Doublier, 1991). In the presence of hydrocolloids the gelatinization of starch occurs in **wat**er deficient conditions because the water holding ability of hydrocolloid is high and/or the water is lower (Sikora, Kowalski and Tomasik, 2008). The leached amylose and amount of hydrocolloids added, the pasting temperature and other pasting properties can be altered (Shi and BeMiller, 2002). During the initial stages of starch swelling small amounts of amylose could be leached into the medium which will interact with the hydrocolloid, hastening the pasting (Diaz-Calderón et al., 2018). Similar interactions of the polysaccharides in the *P. ovata* mutant and WT

mucilage with the starch granules in the rice flour hydrocolloid blend has led to a reduction in the pasting temperature.

Peak viscosity is the highest viscosity reached during gelatinization. Peak viscosity was increased in mucilage-rice flour blends in comparison to the control sample (Figure 3-7, Table 3-2). The peak viscosity of line 673-1 was significantly lower than the WT (P<0.05) however all other mutants showed a similar peak viscosity to that of WT. Similar to these findings, Mancebo *et al.*, (2015) and Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, (2013) also found an increase in peak viscosity with the addition of psyllium into rice flour pastes, caused by the high water binding ability of strongly hydrophilic psyllium polysaccharides. The increased viscosity is caused due to interactions such as starch-starch, hydrocolloid-hydrocolloid (homotypic) and starch-hydrocolloid (heterotypic) (Alloncle and Doublier, 1991; Sullo and Foster, 2010; Christianson *et al.*, 1981). All mutant and *P. ovata* WT mucilage used in this study were viscous in nature with water binding ability and similar interactions with starch granules and mucilage polysaccharides therefore led to a significantly higher peak viscosity. That is, the change in peak viscosities of mutant and WT mixtures are likely to be due to similar homotypic and heterotypic interactions even though there are differences in their monosaccharide composition and A/X ratios. The way the complexity of heteroxylan influences how it behaves in such starch based mixtures is an area for further study.

Once the highest viscosity is reached, the viscosity then drops due the effect of shear stress and this is called the trough viscosity. The trough viscosity of all rice flour-mucilage combinations was higher compared to the control however the mutants and the WT has no significant differences between them (Table 3-2). Breakdown viscosity is the difference between the peak viscosity and trough viscosity. The breakdown viscosity of all mucilage-rice flour blends was higher than the control (Table 3-2, Figure 3-7). However, the breakdown viscosity was also similar in all mutants and WT except for line 671-6, which had a significantly lower breakdown viscosity. The water absorbed by starch granules during

gelatinization leads to melting of the crystalline region, causing rapid entry of water into the granule causing rapid swelling before the granules eventually rupture and disintegrate. This reduction in occupied volume after the granules disintegrate thereby leads to a drop in viscosity during the holding phase. The lowest point reached during this phase is the trough viscosity (Batey, 2007; Alloncle, 1989). Trough and breakdown viscosities are affected by various factors; starch paste composition, force used for shearing, type of starch and temperature (Schirmer *et al.*, 2013; Copeland *et al.*, 2009). The reduction of water available for granule swelling due to the high-water affinity of hydrocolloids increases the shear force exerted in the starch granules during this phase, thereby increasing the breakdown in the presence of hydrocolloids (Satrapai and Suphantharika, 2007). The mucilage polysaccharides of the *P. ovata* WT and mutants used in the study have high water holding capacity which reduces the water available for the starch granules in the rice flour paste thereby increasing the shear forces leading to higher trough and breakdown viscosities.

Final viscosity is the viscosity at the end of the cooling period of RVA. All mucilage additions increased the final viscosity in mucilage-rice flour pastes compared to the control (Table 3-2, Figure 3-7) however again there were no significant differences between the WT and mutants (P>0.05). Setback viscosity is the difference between the final viscosity and trough viscosity (Zhou *et al.*, 2007). All mucilage additions increased the setback viscosity compared to the control (Table 3-2, Figure 3-7). However, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) of the setback between the mutants and WT (Table 3-2, Figure 3-7). The end holding period at high temperature is followed by a cooling period at which stage retrogradation occurs by realigning of amylose and amylopectin to form crystalline structures (Batey, 2007; Kaur *et al.*, 2007). During the cooling of the rice starch paste it forms a gel with polymer complexes with the amylose and low molecular weight amylopectin extruded from the starch granules during gelatinization and this gelation is modified by the addition of hydrocolloids, thereby increasing the viscosity of systems with added hydrocolloids (Collar, 2003). Similarly, addition of mucilage led to an increase of both final and setback viscosity. Increases of final and setback viscosity were also observed with addition of
psyllium husk to rice starch by Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, (2013). The mucilage further strengthens the network formation of amylose and amylopectin thereby forming a stronger gel with higher viscosity.

Similar to the observations for mucilage-rice flour pastes the mucilage-rice starch also had lower pasting temperatures compared to the control sample (Figure 3-8, Table 3-3). The peak viscosity and trough viscosity were significantly higher in the rice starch pastes with added mucilage, with line 671-6 showing the highest viscosity. The breakdown viscosity was significantly higher for line 671-7 when compared with WT (Table 3-3). The final viscosities of mutants and WT were not significantly different from each other (P>0.05). The setback viscosity was significantly higher for line 671-7 compared to the WT (Table 3-3).

All mucilage additions modified the pasting curves of rice flour and rice starch (Figure 3-7, 3-8, Table 3-2, 3-3) compared to their respective control samples. Although there were differences in the pasting temperatures and viscosities, the shape of the pasting curve only showed subtle differences between that for WT or for the mutants, for both rice flour and rice starch (Figure 3-7, 3-8).

In all curves of rice flour paste with added mucilage a second peak was observed after the peak viscosity (Figure 3-7). This is known as the "atypical peak" and will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 4. Mutant lines 671-7 and 668-10 had rice flour pasting profiles very similar to WT. For 681-3, 673-1 and 669-9 the atypical peak was observed in rice flour pastes (Figure 3-7) but it was of a lower intensity compared to WT and other mutants. For line 671-6, the gelatinization curve was shifted slightly to the right, that is gelatinization was delayed slightly compared to WT (Figure 3-7). Also, the atypical peak was weaker than WT although still detectable. In WT the highest viscosity or the final viscosity is reached gradually towards the end of the 2.5 min RVA run, however for 671-6 this occurred earlier, with a steep increase in viscosity followed by a plateau giving a different RVA profile from WT as shown in Figure 3-7. This plateau was also observed in the pasting of rice starch in the presence of 671-6. Mutant Line 671-6 displays the highest deviation of pasting profiles for both rice starch and rice starch pasting from that of WT (Figure 3-7, 3-8) and is therefore the most prominently different from the WT.

The pasting parameters of rice flour pastes were correlated to the mucilage monosaccharide composition (Table 3-4). The pasting temperature was positively correlated with galacturonic acid content of the CWE while it was negatively correlated with the rhamnose content of the IAE fraction.

Similar correlations were also carried out for rice starch pastes (Table 3-5). A higher number of significant correlations were observed between the pasting properties of rice starch and the mucilage monosaccharides, possibly due to the purer nature of the rice starch in comparison to the rice flour (Table 3-5). The breakdown viscosity as well the setback viscosity of rice starch was negatively correlated with the A/X ratio. The increase in the branching of the heteroxylan has reduced the gelling of the starch paste. The gel network is formed by the interaction of hydrocolloids with the amylose and amylopectin, however with the increasing A/X of the CWE it is possible that the heteroxylan from the mucilage self-associates rather than strengthening the network of amylose and amylopectin. The results of correlations show that the monosaccharide composition of the mucilage affected the pasting properties although overall the modification of the pasting curves is subtle when compared with the WT. The trough viscosity of rice starch was positively correlated to the arabinose, xylose and X+A of the IAE fraction. Higher X+A indicates a higher arabinoxylan content in the IAE fraction. Line 671-6, which had the highest X+A in the IAE fraction, also produced the highest trough viscosity in rice starch pastes. This could mean that higher heteroxylan content in the IAE fraction reduces the shear thinning thereby maintaining a higher trough viscosity.

The monosaccharide content of mutant line 671-6 differed from other mutants, having a higher X+A as well as A/X ratios in the CWE and IAE fractions. The different RVA profile for both rice starch and rice flour during the last stage of the gelatinization compared to the WT and the other mutants could be due to this difference in the polysaccharide composition and structure in CWE and IAE, which alters how it forms homotypic and heterotypic interactions. Although the other mutant lines also displayed differences in the monosaccharide profiles to that of the WT it appears that these differences are not strong enough to create large modifications to the pasting profiles in both rice flour and rice starch.

Emulsification and foaming properties

The emulsion capacity (EC) of mucilage was studied as shown in Figure 3-9A, B. All mucilage increased the EC at 30 min compared to the control. Lines 669-9, 673-1 and 681-3 had significantly lower (P<0.05) EC at 30 mins, although the differences were subtle (Figure 3-9A). At 60 mins EC was similar in all mutants and WT except for line 671-6 (Figure 3-9B) which had a lower EC. The emulsion stability (ES) at 30 mins was significantly higher than the control but not significantly different from the WT (Figure 3-9C) while the control separated completely into oil and water with an ES of zero. This shows that the mucilage from *P. ovata* WT and mutants studied here are capable of maintaining emulsions, although none of the mutants performed better in comparison to the WT (Figure 3-9, A, B, C). Arniri Aghdaei *et al.*, (2012) used psyllium as a fat mimetic in low fat mayonnaise with sensory acceptability correlating to flavour and texture. The findings of emulsification properties in the our study also suggest that psyllium can be used for maintaining emulsions with stability under heat treatments - a property which can be exploited in the food industry.

The foaming capacity (FC) was significantly higher than WT for line 671-6 (Figure 3-9D). Lines 668-10 and 669-9 had zero FC. Although some foaming was seen for the other four mutant extracts and the WT, it disappeared quickly and all had a foam stability of zero. Therefore, neither the WT nor the mutants appear to be suitable for applications of foaming.

The functional properties WAC, SSP, EC 30, EC 60, ES 30 and FC were correlated to the monosaccharide composition of mutants (Table 3-6). No significant correlations were found between the monosaccharide composition and the WAC, SSP and EC 30. The EC 60 was negatively corelated to the arabinose, xylose and X+A of the IAE fraction. The ES30 was negatively correlated to the arabinose and A/X of the CWE and arabinose and X+A of the IAE, while positively correlated to rhamnose. The FC was positively corelated with the xylose, arabinose and X+A of the CWE and the IAE (Table 3-6). A negative correlation was found between ES30 and FC (R= -0.821, Sig= 0.024). Given that arabinose and xylose are the main components of heteroxylan, these correlations show that the higher

heteroxylan in mucilage is associated with the formation of unstable foams while reducing the ability of maintaining stable emulsions. Line 671-6, which had a significantly higher X+A in the CWE and HWE (Supplementary Table 3-1) with the highest A/X ratio in CWE (Figure 3-5, CWE), was the only mutant to show a lower emulsion capacity at 60 mins in comparison to the WT (Figure 3-9B) but there was a large standard deviation in the ES at 30 minutes (Figure 3-9C). Therefore, it can be hypothesized that increasing amounts of heteroxylan in CWE and IAE and the higher degree of substituted (A/X) polysaccharides in the CWE in the psyllium reduces its ability to maintain stable emulsions. It is possible that highly branched heteroxylan in the CWE form self-associations leading to the reduction of stability of the emulsion.

In vitro fermentation of P. ovata mutants

Wild type *P.ovata* (M1) and four mutants 671-6 (M2), 671-7 (M3), 673-1 (M4) and 668-10 (M5) were chosen for *in vitro* fermentation trials using a human faecal inoculum. The fermentation was set up and run with the aim to provide data on gas, SCFA and NH₃ amounts and changes in the pH were measured. However, although gas data recording was carried out for this study, the gas kinetics will not be reported or discussed in this section due to data loss caused by a state-wide power cut, as described in Chapter 1. The end products of fermentation of the substrates will be reported in this section.

Table 3-7 and Figures 3-10 and 3-11 show end products of the *in vitro* fermentation. When comparing the end products of M(n)-W and M(n)-F together for the five different lines, all lines produced similar amounts of acetate (P>0.05). M2 yielded the highest propionate but it was not significantly different from M1 and M3 (Table 3-7). M1 produced the highest butyrate, however it was not significantly different from M2, M3 or M4 (P>0.05). The highest amount of branched chain SCFA, as well as highest branched proportion, was produced by M3, which was significantly higher than M1, M2, M4 and M5 (P<0.05). The highest total SCFA was produced by M2, however, it was similar to M1, M3 and M4. All lines produced similar amounts of NH₃ (P>0.05) (Table 3-7 and Figure 3-11). M2, which yielded the highest total SCFA, also produced a significantly lower pH than the other substrates (P<0.05) (Table 3-7). When comparing

the M(n)-W and M(n)-F it was found that M(n)-W yielded higher acetate, propionate, butyrate, and total SCFA amounts as well as NH₃. The branched chain SCFA and pH were similar for all M(n)-W and M(n)-F (Table 3-7).

The total SCFA production for M1-W, M4-W and M5-W continued to increase until 72 h. Total SCFA production plateaued for M2-W at 48 h and M3-W at 24 h (Figure 3-10). Similar trends were observed for acetate and propionate also.

Samples M1-F, M3-F, M4-F and M5-F continued to produce total SCFA until 72 h although M2-F plateaued at 48 h. For M2-F, M3-F and M5-F (Figure 3-10) the total SCFA production slowed down between 12 h – 24 h, followed by an increase from 24 h onwards. For all substrates Acetate>Propionate>Butyrate>Branched SCFA. There was higher production of NH₃ by the M(n)-W than the M(n)-F during the course of fermentation which is observed very clearly in M1 (Figure 3-10) however at the end point, both M(n)-W and the M(n)-F were similar in M2, M3, M4 and M5. For all M(n)-W the NH₃ increased until 24 h and then reduced past this point. M2-F, M4-F and M5-F showed a similar trend of NH₃ production, with an increase from 0h to 4 h, a decrease from 4 h to 12 h, an increase from 12 h to 24 h, a decrease from 24 h and another increase from 48 to 72 h (Figure 3-`10).

The higher production of total SCFA in M(n)–W compared to M (n)-F means there was access to more fermentable substrates to facilitate the production of SCFA. The removal of the CWE fraction from the M(n)-F prior to the experiment led to a reduction in fermentable carbohydrates. As shown in Supplementary Table 3-1 the A/X ratio of the CWE fraction of all the lines was lower in comparison to their HWE and IAE fractions, which means the polysaccharides are less complex in their branching. It can be hypothesized that the less complex branched polysaccharides in the CWE are more accessible to the bacteria for fermentation, leading to a higher production of SCFA. Th greater fermentability of the CWE could also be due to the differences in the sugar composition and higher amounts of pectic polysaccharides in the CWE. Marlett and Fischer, (2002) who fermented fractionated psyllium gel into A (alkali insoluble), B (gel forming consists of 55%) and C (viscous soluble fraction <15%) found A

unfermentable, B poorly fermentable and C rapidly and almost completely fermentable by 72 h. The viscous soluble fraction is similar to the CWE in this study and the removal of this more fermentable fraction led to the reduction of total SCFA similar to the study reported by Marlett and Fischer, (2002).

The plateauing of M2-W and M3-W while M1-W, M4-W and M5-W continued to produce SCFA suggests that there are differences in the polysaccharide composition in each of the five lines. There are some polysaccharides which very slowly ferment producing SCFA until 72 h and some others which ferment and exhaust by 24 h or by 48 h. The lines used in this study display differences in their monosaccharide composition and A/X ratios (Supplementary Table 3-1) which are hypothesized to translate as differences in length of polysaccharides, type and number of branches etc. as discussed earlier. The differences in fermentability of the five lines, even though they are quite subtle, strengthens the idea that the polysaccharides in the mucilage of the WT *P. ovata* and mutant *P. ovata* are structurally different, and thus differentially accessible to the microbial population.

NH₃ is a toxic metabolite which is produced as a result of fermentation of protein, including that contained in dead bacteria, which is detrimental to health (Macfarlane and Macfarlane, 2012). The increase of NH₃ until 24 h in M(n)-W suggests a lack of fermentable carbohydrates, leading the bacteria to metabolize other sources. The decrease in NH₃ that follows could be due to adaptation of the microorganisms to the substrate which then leads to availability of carbohydrates for metabolization which reduces the metabolization of proteins. The increasing and decreasing trend in the NH₃ production of the M(n)-F suggests that there is a lack of fermentable carbohydrates in the periods where NH₃ increases, followed by an adaptation which reduces the NH₃ and the cycle then repeats itself. This is also an indication of the presence of different types of polysaccharides with structural variation, not all of which the microbes can access immediately to ferment, amongst *P. ovata* and the mutant lines studied here.

The fermentation end products were correlated with the monosaccharide composition, A+X and A/X of the of CWE, HWE and IAE of the lines used for the study (Table 3-8). A strong positive correlation

(R=0.957, sig=0.011) (Table 3-8) was observed between the arabinose content of the CWE and butyrate production. However, this does not agree with (Hu *et al.*, 2013) who states that fermentation of aldehydes mainly produce butyrate while fermentation of ketose sugars mainly produces acetate and propionate. A strong positive correlation was observed between the A/X ratio of the HWE and NH₃ (R=0.904, sig=0.035). The suggests that as the A/X ratio increases, the degree of branching increases, making the polysaccharides more difficult to ferment. Fractions with a high A/X ratio therefore contain less fermentable carbohydrates available in the medium leading to increases in protein fermentation and producing more NH₃. A strong positive correlation was observed between galacturonic acid in IAE and propionate levels (R=0.912, sig=0.031) (Table 3-8) suggesting the utilization of galacturonic acid as a substrate by the bacteria to produce propionate.

Plantago ovata, which is the source of commercially used psyllium, is quite poorly fermentable both *in vitro and in vivo* and mainly suitable for faecal bulking (Marteau *et al.*, 1994; Gunn *et al.*, 2020). Edwards *et al.*, (1992) found that about 50% of psyllium consumed by rats is excreted after partial fermentation. Under *in vitro* conditions Bifidobacteria were only able to ferment psyllium after simulated digestion (Elli *et al.*, 2008). The mutants (M2, M3, M4 and M5) fermented here showed very subtle differences in their SCFA production when compared with the WT *P. ovata* (M1) so it appears that these mutants are also poorly fermentable, although there are differences in the monosaccharide composition (Supplementary Table 3-1) and arrangement of different polysaccharides (Figure (3-3) in the mutants.

Conclusions and future directions

In this study mucilage from *P. ovata* mutants produced by gamma irradiation has been studied and compared to the wild type with the aim of identifying material which displays different properties and that may be exploited for applications in food and as fibre. Growing the mutant lines in the glasshouse showed that there are pleiotropic effects not just on mucilage characteristics but also on plant growth, seed viability and yield. This would have a direct impact on economic viability and would have to be considered if mutant *P. ovata* is to be grown for commercial purposes. Genetic studies are not included in the scope of this study, however desirable mutations could be identified by sequencing that would allow the development of markers for breeding, and in this way background mutations, not associated with the superior property, could be removed by backcrossing and phenotypic selection.

Microscopy methods using stains and immunolabelling with polysaccharide-specific antibodies were used to study mucilage around the seeds, which showed that the mutants exhibit differences in the arrangement and interactions of various polysaccharides such as pectin, cellulose and xylan in the mucilage and possibly have different amounts of them present too. This was further investigated by mucilage extraction using a fractionation pipeline which gave rise to varying mucilage yield as well percentages of fractions soluble at different temperatures. Monosaccharide analysis confirms that there are differences in the A/X ratios and monosaccharide composition of these various fractions. However, the main component of all mucilage is heteroxylan and it is this component which dictates behaviour in the various assays and in the fermentation experiments. There are differences in the main chains as well as the branching patterns in the mucilage from different mutants but microscopy and monosaccharide analysis provide only an indication of this. For more detailed analyses, and a clearer definition of the actual physical differences methods such as linkage analysis (Pettolino *et al.*, 2012) or Polysaccharide Analysis using Carbohydrate gel Electrophoresis (PACE) (Mortimer, 2017) is suggested.

Variations in the mucilage types are highlighted by their different water absorption capacity and effects on starch swelling capacity. Neither mutants nor WT formed stable foams but they were capable of forming stable emulsions, although there were only subtle differences between them. Investigation of pasting properties showed that both mutants and WT alter the pasting profiles of rice flour and rice starch. However, the differences of the pasting profiles are not very distinct between WT and the mutants. This may suggest that although there is some structural alteration in the mutants due to mutations the effect is not strong enough to impart large differences in starch gel systems in comparison the wild type of psyllium. This in turn would not make them good candidates for application for further studies in starch-based food systems such as gluten free breads. However, the changes in the mucilage may be utilized for other purposes such as in fibre supplements, especially due to the higher WAC.

Mutant *P. ovata* display subtle differences in fermentability to the wild type but overall remain poorly fermentable. Mutant *P. ovata* maybe used for faecal bulking related uses similar to *P. ovata* wild type, and it appears that mutation may not be a suitable method for improving the fermentability. However, experiments using a larger number of mutant lines would have to be conducted to confirm this and the hypothesis that a visual phenotype translates to differences in physical properties may not be an accurate selection method. It is possible, but unlikely, that key chemical changes needed to improve foaming, emulsification and fermentability would not be visibly obvious. However, it is clear that the highly substituted nature of the heteroxylan remains the main driver of behaviour in aqueous systems and as such, major rather than more minor subtle changes are likely to be required to cause significant downstream alterations in psyllium properties.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Beacon of Enlightenment PhD Scholarship and The University of Adelaide. The guidance and support received from Dr. Barbara Williams and Dr. Bernadine Flanagan and the invaluable assistance of PhD students; Alex Bui, Hong Yao, Sera Jacob, Cindy Bermudez and Hai-Viet Tran for the fermentation experiments of the Gidley group at the Centre of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Queensland Alliance of Agriculture, University of Queensland is highly appreciated.

Mutant number	A)RR screening results	B) RR image	C) Water absorption capacity (% w/w)	Mutant number	A)RR screening results	B) RR image	C) Water absorption capacity (% w/w)
WT commercial		•	36.67±0.58	671-10	12/12 mutant	۲	21.33±0.58
642-6	8/12 mutant		46.67±0.58	671-11	12/12 mutant	•	27.33±0.58
665-10	12/12 mutant		37.67±0.58	673-1*	11/12 mutant	۲	34.67±0.58
668-10*	12/12 mutant	5	54.33±0.58	681-3*	12/12 mutant	-	52.67±0.58
*6-699	12/12 mutant		56.33±0.58	681-4	12/12 mutant		52.67±0.58
671-6*	12/12 mutant	0	24.67±0.58	836-2	4 /12 mutant	-	42.67±0.58
671-7*	12/11 mutant		38.67±0.58	836-10*	8/12 mutant	Õ	64.00±0

Table 3-1. Screening results for 25 P. ovata mutants and WT.

Figures

Mutant number	A)RR screening results	B) RR image	C) Water absorption capacity (% w/w)	Mutant number	A)RR screening results	B) RR image	C) Water absorption capacity (% w/w)
838-10	9/12 mutant		64.67±0.58	858-4	7/12 mutant	Ø	53.67±0.58
839-2	7/12 mutant		60.00±0	870-3*	12/12 mutant		33.33±1.15
840-9	5/12 mutant	Ø	52.67±0.58	881-2	6/12 mutant	·@;	25.00±1.00
341-8*	10/12 mutant		30.00±0	881-7	12/12 mutant	° O	NA
341-10*	12/12 mutant	0	25.33±0.58	871-8	9/12 mutant	Ø	52.67±1.15
842-11*	11/12 mutant	0	55.00±1.00	882-9*	12/12 mutant	Ø	NA

phenotype observed using the naked eye. B) Representative mucilage phenotypes observed for 25 selected P. ovata M3 mutant lines in ruthenium stain using a dissecting microscope to observe the staining pattern, mucilage distribution and size of the mucilage envelope. Images A) Shows the number of mutants (n) observed across 12 biological replicates. The remaining number (12-n)/12 is the number with a WT are under 1.25X magnification. Scale is 0.5mm. C) Water absorption capacity (WAC). Values are mean and standard deviation of at least three replicates. The 12 mutant lines selected from the secondary screening are indicated by * .









10 15 (mo) sbead fo dfgnads (cm) ß





0 100 50



biological replicates. D. Length of seed heads (cm). Length of seed head is the total distance of inflorescence with seed excluding the stalk. Values are mean and standard deviation of at least ten heads for at least 12 plants per mutant line. Means values which are Figure 3-2. Agronomic traits of 12 P. ovata mutant lines. A. Seed yield (g) per plant. Values are mean and standard deviation of at heads for at least 12 plants per mutant line. C. Heads per mutant plant. Values are mean and standard deviation of at least 12 east 12 biological replicates. B. Seed yield on individual heads of each plant. Values are mean and standard deviation of at least ten significantly different to the WT at 95% confidence are indicated with * (p<0.05).





Figure 3-3. Expanded mucilage structure of six P. ovata mutants and wild type. Ruthenium red (dark pink) stains acidic polysaccharides at M110, CCRC M129, and the carbohydrate binding module CBM3a have been used for immunolabelling xylan, pectin and cellulose in the the mucilage periphery. Scale = 1 mm. Calcofluor white stains cellulose in the outer layers of mucilage. Scale =200 µm. Antibodies CCRC inner mucilage layers. The seed is counterstained with propidium iodide (red/yellow). Scale = 200 µm



Figure 3-4. Mucilage yields of six *P. ovata* mutant lines. **A.** yield of each mucilage fraction and total mucilage (TM) yield as a share of whole seed weight. Values significantly different from WT are indicated by letters (P<0.05) **B.** Percentages of each mucilage fraction from total mucilage extracted. Values are rounded off to nearest integer. CWE = cold water extractable mucilage fraction (blue); HWE = hot water extractable mucilage fraction (red); IAE = cold water extractable mucilage fraction (green).Values significantly different from WT are indicated by letters (P<0.05).









116



arabinose/xylose ratio. A+X is the sum of arabinose and xylose. All values are mean and SD of at least three biological replicates with two

technical replicates. Values significantly different from WT are indicated by * (P<0.05).

117



Figure 3-6. A. Water absorption capacity of seeds from six selected P. ovata mutants. Values significantly different from WT are indicated by * (P<0.05). Values are mean and standard deviation of at least five replicates. B. Starch swelling power of P. ovata mutants calculated as the weight of swollen starch in the presence of mucilage in comparison to the dry weight of starch. Values are mean and standard deviation of at least three replicates. Values significantly different from WT are indicated by * (P<0.05). Values significantly different from control are indicated by ^X (P>0.05)





~
S
-
<u> </u>
Ē
, D
F
<u> </u>
a,
Э
Š
0
<u>۰</u>
ч.
Ť
0
0
÷
G
õ
g
~
5
ă
5
Ľ
5
ō
Ŧ
d)
ö
-E
4
0
ŝ
Ğ
È;
5
×
×
2
Q
D
Ĕ
Ē
<u>io</u>
g
<u>а</u>
-:
Ņ
ė
e
р
, a
-

Pasting parameter	668-10	6-699	671-6	671-7	673-1	681-3	WT-GH	Control
Pasting Temperature (oC)	87.63±1.22	87.78±0.62	88.12±0.58	88.03±0.60	88.33±0.38	87.55±1.70	88.60±0.26	90.00±0.48*
Peak Viscosity (cP)	2730±252	2685±52	2648±49	2762±45	2595±45*	2670±43	2861±106	2129±57*
Trough Viscosity (cP)	2494±142	2462 1 33	2524±57	2492±43	2444±53	2456±18	2509±22	2056±51*
Breakdown Viscosity (cP)	236±116	223±23	124±10*	270±9	151±16	214±25	353±84	73±9*
Final Viscosity (cP)	5301±275	5316±115	5274±207	5320±274	5117±273	5229±178	5373±151	4412±79*
Setback <u>Viscosity (cP)</u> Values are means ±	2807±133 SD. Values which	2854±82 are significantly d	2749±172 ifferent from WT are ir	2828±233 ddicated by * (p<0.05)	2673±221	2774±161	2864±173	2356±100*
עמותביז מוביווובמוויז ד	יושווא א מומכם איווטוו	are argumoanay a		low ord by provide				



husk.
mutant
ovata
σ.
Ę
addition o
uodn
starch
of rice
properties
Pasting
~
Ц.
~
Ĩ
Tak

Pasting								
parameter	668-10	669-9	671-6	671-7	673-1	681-3	WT-GH	Control
Pasting								
Temperature								
(oC)	87.15±0.78	87.18±0.11	85.92±1.84	87.53±0.28	87.12±0.03	86.98±1.65	88.05±0.64	89.57±0.06
Peak Viscosity								
(cP)	1633±232	1454±11	1606±32*	1475±35*	1478±19	1477±27	1495±46	1637±27*
Trough Viscosity								
(cP)	1228±72	681±4	1247±20	1042 ± 32*	1054±29	1057±55	1120±33	1323±37*
Breakdown								
Viscosity (cP)	405±72	432±4	359±20	433 ± 32*	424 ± 29	420±55	374±33	314±32
Final Viscosity								
(cP)	2971 ± 22	2942 ± 2	2955±22	2983 ± 21	2933 ± 41	2965 ± 35	2956±45	2736±216
Setback Viscosity								
(cP)	1492±51	1488 ± 9	1349±11	1508±43*	1455±27	1488 ± 32	1461 ± 53	1313 ± 84
Values are means ± SD.	Values which are	significantly diffe	erent from WT are	indicated by with	ו * (p<0.05)			

Table 3-4. Correlations of monosaccharide composition of mutant mucilage fractions and rice flour pasting properties.

					Rice flo	our pasting		
			Pasting T	Pasting V	Trough V	Break down V	Final V	Set back V
	Rhamnose	Pearson R Sig.						
	Galacturonic							
	acid	Pearson R	.803*					
		Sig.	0.03					
	Xylose	Pearson R						
	_	Sig.						
	Arabinose	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	X+A	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	A/X	Pearson R						
CWE		Sig.						
	Rhamnose	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	Galacturonic							
	acid	Pearson R						
	Y.I	Sig.						
	Xylose	Pearson K						
	Arabinoso	Boomon D						
	Arabinose	Sig						
	Y+A	Dearson D						
	X-1	Sin						
	A/X	Pearson R						
HWE		Sig						
	Rhamnose	Pearson R			867*			
		Sig.			0.011			
	Galacturonic	U						
	acid	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	Xylose	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	Arabinose	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	X+A	Pearson R						
IAE	4.07	Sig.						
	AVX	Pearson R						
		Sig.						

Significant positive correlations are indicated in green. Significant negative correlations are indicated in pink (P<0.05)

Table 3-5. Correlations of monosaccharide composition of mutant mucilage fractions and rice starch pasting properties.

					Rice st	arch pasting		
			Pasting	Pasting	Trough		Final	Set back
			T	v	v	Break down V	v	v
	Rhamnose	Pearson R	.786*					.755*
		Sig.	0.036					0.05
	Galacturonic							
	acid	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	Xylose	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	Arabinose	Pearson R						812*
		Sig.						0.027
	X+A	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	A/X	Pearson R				764*		875**
CWE		Sig.				0.045		0.01
	Rhamnose	Pearson R				781*		
		Sig.				0.038		
	Galacturonic							
	acid	Pearson R	.815*					
		Sig.	0.025					
	Xylose	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	Arabinose	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	X+A	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	A/X	Pearson R						
HWE		Sig.						
	Rhamnose	Pearson R				.900**		.935**
		Sig.				0.006		0.002
	Galacturonic	•						
	acid	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	Xylose	Pearson R			.780*			
		Sig.			0.039			
	Arabinose	Pearson R	798*		.772*			779*
		Sig.	0.032		0.042			0.039
	X+A	Pearson R			.787*			
IAE		Sig.			0.036			
	A/X	Pearson R						
		Sig.						

Significant positive correlations are indicated in green. Significant negative correlations are indicated in pink (P<0.05)



Figure 3-9. A. Emulsion capacity of mucilage from six selected *P. ovata* mutants at 30 minutes. **B.** Emulsion capacity of mucilage from six selected *P. ovata* mutants at 60 minutes. **C.** Emulsion stability of mucilage from six selected *P. ovata* mutants at 30 minutes. **D.** Foaming capacity of mucilage from six selected *P. ovata* mutants at 30 minutes. The values are mean and standard deviation of at least six replicates. Values significantly different from WT are indicated by * (P<0.05). Values significantly different from control are indicated by \times (P<0.05)

Table 3-6. Correlations of monosaccharide composition of mutant mucilage fractions and functional properties.

					Functio	onal proper	ties	
			WAC	SSP	EC30	EC60	ES30	FC
	Rhamnose	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	Galacturonic							
	acid	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	Xylose	Pearson R						.811*
		Sig.					70.44	0.02/
	Arabinose	Pearson R					/64*	.898**
	- X A	Sig.					0.046	0.006
	X+A	Pearson K						.8291
	A.V	Sig.					004*	0.021
OWE	AVA	Pearson R					021	
CWE	Dhampasa	Doarson D					0.025	
	Kildillillose	Sig						
	Galacturonic	oiy.						
	onionionio	Pearson R						
	aoiu	Sig						
	Xvlose	Pearson R						
	71,000	Sia						
	Arabinose	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	X+A	Pearson R						
		Sig.						
	A/X	Pearson R						
HWE		Sig.						
	Rhamnose	Pearson R					.859*	
		Sig.					0.013	
	Galacturonic							
	acid	Pearson R						
	<u></u>	Sig.				7574		70.04
	Xylose	Pearson R				/5/^		./86^
		Sig.				0.049		0.036
	Arabinose	Pearson R				805*	811*	.784*
		Sig.				0.029	0.027	0.037
	X+A	Pearson R				779*	771*	.792*
IAE		Sig.				0.039	0.042	0.034
	A/X	Pearson R						
		Sig						

Sig. Significant positive correlations are indicated in green. Significant negative correlations are indicated in pink (P<0.05)

	Acetate	Propionate	Butvrate	Branched-Chain	Total	AcTot	PrTot	BuTot	BrChPon	۴N	님
Plantago		-	mmoles/ g DM				%		-	mmoles/ g DM	-
M1	3.3ª	1.8ab	0.83ª	0.41 ^b	6.4ªb	53.008b	27.106ª	12.756ª	0.071 ^{bc}	2.17ª	6.41 ^{bc}
M2	3.6ª	1.9ª	0.76ab	0.44b	6.7ª	53.305b	27.831ª	11.344 ^b	0.075⊳	2.31ª	6.39°
M3	3.4ª	1.8ab	0.75ab	0.48ª	6.5ªb	53.120⊳	27.213ª	11.355 ^b	0.083ª	2.15ª	6.43 ^{ab}
M4	3.5ª	1.7b	∎0.80ª	0.42 ^b	6.4ªb	55.217ª	25.687b	12.578ª	0.065	2.08ª	6.44ªb
M5	3.3ª	1.7b	0.68b	0.43 ^b	6.1 ^b	55.559ª	25.730⊳	11.046 ^b	₀220°0	2.15ª	6.46ª
MSD	0.27	0.19	0.0889	0.043	0.49	1.1007	1.1756	0.9648	0.0064	0.291	0.039
Prob. Plantago	0.0689	0.0021	0.0001	0.0003	0.0215	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.2458	<.0001
Fraction											
M	3.7ª	1.9ª	¤0.79ª	0.44ª	6.8ª	54.806ª	26.633ª	11.448 ^b	0.071b	2.34ª	6.42ª
LL.	3.2 ^b	1.7b	0.75 ^b	0.43ª	6.0b	53.272 ^b	26.806ª	12.168ª	0.078ª	2.01b	6.43ª
DSM	0.12	0.08	0.04	0.020	0.22	0.4967	0.5305	0.4354	0.0029	0.1315	0.017
Prob. Fraction	<.0001	<.0001	0.054	0.2331	<.0001	<.0001	0.4856	0.0017	<.0001	<.0001	0.4477
Removal											
0	0.6f	0.2f	0.10e	0.12e	1.0 ^r	56.382 ^b	23.140°	9.286°	0.112ª	1.32°	6.42ªb
4	1.7e	0.7e	0.35d	0.18 ^d	2.9e	58.004ª	24.031∘	11.936 ^b	0.090b	1.98 ^b	6.46ª
10	2.6d	1.2 ^d	0.68°	0.44°	4.9	52.775°	24.489°	13.743ª	P090.0	2.13 ^b	6.43ªb
24	3.5°	1.8°	0.87b	0.49b	6.7c	52.459°	26.744 ^b	13.209ª	0.076°	3.02ª	6.41b
48	4.6b	2.6b	d96.0	0.56ª	8.6983	53.003c	29.339ª	11.206 ^b	0.065d	2.21b	6.45ab
72	5.1ª	2.8ª	1.12ª	0.59ª	9.6159ª	53.032°	29.111ª	11.677b	0.062 ^d	2.25b	6.41b
MSD	0.32	0.23	0.107	0.052	0.5989	1.3342	1.425	1.1695	0.0078	0.353	0.047
Prob. Removal	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.0052
Prob. Plantago x	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.0017	<.0001
FX* Removal											
a,b,c,d Superscripts which (differ within the	e same column a	re significantly dit	fferent from each oth	er (P < 0.05)						

Table 3-7. End-products from *in vitro* fermentation of mutant *P. ovata* substrates







Figure 3-10. SCFA production in time, of mutant P. ovata; Total SCFA, acetate, propionate, butyrate, branched chain SCFA. Data are expressed as means while the error bars indicate standard deviation.





Table 3-8. Correlations between the monosaccharide composition and fermentation products of mutant P. ovata .

		CWE- Arabinose	HWE- A/X	IAE – Galacturonic acid
Acetate	Pearson R			
	Sig.			
Propionate	Pearson R			.912*
	Sig.			0.031
Butyrate	Pearson R	.957*		
	Sig.	0.011		
Total SCFA	Pearson R			
	Sig.			
Branched	Pearson R			
	Sig.			
NH3	Pearson R		.904*	
	Sig.		0.035	
Hd	Pearson R			
	Sig.			

2 ≥ P ĥ

is highlighted in green and negative in pink. * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
:			י 	
•	204000	2010		
-		SUDDE		

Supplementary Table 3-1. Monosaccharide analysis of fractions extracted from *P. ovata* mutant seed husk. Values are mean \pm standard deviation in % mol/mol. nd= not detected. Values which are significantly different from WT are indicated by with *(p<0.05)

A/X Unaccounted		* 0.207* 60.2		* 0.206* 58.6	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6 * 0.207* 47.5	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.189* 27.1	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.214* 57.8	 C206* 0.206* 0.234* 0.234* 29.6 0.207* 47.5 0.207* 27.1 0.189* 27.1 0.214* 57.8 0.210* 35.4 	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 58.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.210* 35.4	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 58.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.210* 35.4	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.210* 35.4 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.243* 30.1	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.207* 27.1 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.210* 35.4 * 0.210* 35.4 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.251 34.1	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.210* 35.4 * 0.243* 35.4 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.240* 30.1 * 0.251 34.1 * 0.257 28.9	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.189* 27.1 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.210* 35.4 * 0.240* 35.4 * 0.243* 20.1 * 0.240* 30.1 * 0.251 34.1 * 0.253* 30.4	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.210* 35.4 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.240* 30.1 * 0.257 28.9 * 0.257 28.9 * 0.233* 30.4	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.207* 27.1 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.210* 35.4 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.251 34.1 * 0.251 32.9 * 0.255 28.9 * 0.255 29.1 * 0.256 22.4	* 0.206* 58.6 * 0.234* 29.6 * 0.207* 47.5 * 0.214* 27.1 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.214* 57.8 * 0.210* 35.4 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.243* 30.1 * 0.251 34.1 * 0.257 28.9 * 0.251 29.1 * 0.256 22.4	• 0.206* 58.6 • 0.234* 58.6 • 0.234* 29.6 • 0.207* 47.5 • 0.189* 27.1 • 0.189* 27.1 • 0.189* 27.1 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.210* 35.4 • 0.240* 35.4 • 0.240* 30.1 • 0.251 34.1 • 0.257 28.9 • 0.251 30.4 • 0.255 28.9 • 0.256 22.4 • 0.256 22.4 • 0.364* 26.4	• 0.206* 58.6 • 0.234* 58.6 • 0.234* 29.6 • 0.207* 47.5 • 0.207* 47.5 • 0.201* 27.1 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.210* 35.4 • 0.240* 36.1 • 0.240* 30.1 • 0.240* 30.1 • 0.257 28.9 • 0.251 28.9 • 0.251 29.1 • 0.256 28.9 • 0.256 28.9 • 0.256 28.9 • 0.256 28.4 • 0.256 29.1 • 0.256 22.4 • 0.364* 26.4 • 0.316* 45.4	• 0.206* 58.6 • 0.234* 58.6 • 0.234* 29.6 • 0.207* 47.5 • 0.207* 27.1 • 0.207* 27.1 • 0.189* 27.1 • 0.189* 27.1 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.210* 35.4 • 0.240* 30.1 • 0.240* 30.1 • 0.257 28.9 • 0.251 28.9 • 0.255 28.9 • 0.256 28.9 • 0.256 28.9 • 0.256 28.4 • 0.256 22.4 • 0.364* 26.4 • 0.316* 45.4 • 0.316* 45.4 • 0.400* 12.3	• 0.206* 58.6 • 0.234* 29.6 • 0.207* 47.5 • 0.207* 27.1 • 0.207* 27.1 • 0.207* 27.1 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.210* 35.4 • 0.243* 30.1 • 0.243* 30.1 • 0.243* 30.1 • 0.257 30.1 • 0.257 28.9 • 0.256 22.4 • 0.256 22.4 • 0.256 22.4 • 0.256 22.4 • 0.256 22.4 • 0.316* 45.4 • 0.316* 45.4 • 0.357* 28.4	• 0.206* 58.6 • 0.234* 29.6 • 0.234* 29.6 • 0.207* 47.5 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.214* 57.8 • 0.210* 35.4 • 0.243* 30.1 • 0.243* 30.1 • 0.251 34.1 • 0.255 28.9 • 0.364* 26.4 • 0.364* 26.4 • 0.365* 28.8 • 0.305* 28.8	0.206* 58.6 0.234* 58.6 0.234* 29.6 0.207* 47.5 0.207* 47.5 0.207* 47.5 0.206* 57.8 0.207* 47.5 0.207* 47.5 0.207* 47.5 0.207* 47.5 0.214* 57.8 0.210* 35.4 0.210* 35.4 0.240* 30.1 0.240* 30.1 0.240* 30.1 0.257 28.9 0.256 22.4 0.256 22.4 0.256 22.4 0.256 22.4 0.256 22.4 0.364* 26.4 0.316* 45.4 0.316* 0.364 0.357* 28.4 0.305* 28.6 0.305* 28.6
		27.4±0.6* 0.207*	31.3±0.9* 0.206*		51.7±2.1* 0.234*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 41.4±1.4* 0.207*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210* 72.3±3.7 0.243*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.240*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 11.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210* 72.3±3.7 0.240* 53.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.257	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.257 50.1±1.3* 0.257	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 11.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 17.3±2.1* 0.210* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.257 50.1±1.3* 0.257 50.1±1.3* 0.257	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 11.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 17.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.251 50.1±1.3* 0.251 56.9±2.0 0.256	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 11.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.251 50.1±1.3* 0.251 55.2±4.1* 0.251 66.9±2.0 0.256	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 11.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.257 50.1±1.3* 0.257 56.9±2.0 0.256 66.9±2.0 0.256	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 11.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.243* 60.1±4.1 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.257 50.1±1.3* 0.256 66.9±2.0 0.256 56.9±2.0 0.256 77.3±1.9* 0.364*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 11.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 17.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.243* 60.1±4.1 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.256 66.9±2.0 0.256 66.9±2.0 0.256 66.9±2.0 0.256 47.3±1.9* 0.364* 2.6±4.43* 0.400*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 11.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.207* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 17.3±2.1* 0.214* 77.3±3.7 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.251 50.1±1.3* 0.251 55.2±4.1* 0.256 66.9±2.0 0.256 56.4±43* 0.364* 47.3±1.9* 0.316* 2.6±4.43* 0.357* 57.9±5.5* 0.357*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 11.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 53.4±1.7* 0.243* 53.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 52.1±2.8* 0.251 56.1±1.3* 0.251 66.9±2.0 0.256 66.9±2.0 0.364* 47.3±1.9* 0.316* 2.6±4.43* 0.400* 57.9±5.5* 0.357* 52.4±5.7* 0.305*	31.7±2.1* 0.234* 11.4±1.4* 0.207* 32.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 33.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 50.1±1.3* 0.251 50.1±1.3* 0.251 56.9±2.0 0.255 56.7±0.7* 0.364* 47.3±1.9* 0.316* 56.4±5.7* 0.305* 57.9±5.5* 0.305* 64.4±4.6 0.371*
27.4±0.6* 0.207*	27.4±0.6* 0.207*		31.3±0.9* 0.206*	61.7±2.1* 0.234*		41.4±1.4* 0.207*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.210* 72.3±3.7 0.243*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 63.4±1.7* 0.240*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.240* 63.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 63.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 62.1±2.8* 0.257	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.210* 60.1±4.1 0.243* 60.1±4.1 0.257 60.1±1.3* 0.257	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 63.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 62.1±2.8* 0.257 60.1±1.3* 0.233* 65.2±4.1* 0.233*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.240* 63.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.257 65.2±4.1* 0.257 65.2±4.1* 0.253* 66.9±2.0 0.256	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.240* 63.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.257 60.1±4.1 0.257 60.1±4.1 0.257 60.1±4.1 0.257 60.1±4.13* 0.257 60.1±4.14 0.257 60.1±4.13* 0.257 60.1±4.13* 0.257 60.1±4.10 0.257 60.1±4.10 0.257 60.1±4.10 0.257 60.1±4.10 0.257 60.1±4.10 0.257 60.1±4.10 0.256	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 63.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 62.1±2.8* 0.257 60.1±1.3* 0.251 66.9±2.0 0.256 66.9±2.0 0.256	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.213* 60.1±4.1 0.243* 60.1±4.17* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 62.1±2.8* 0.257 60.1±4.1* 0.251 60.1±4.1* 0.251 60.1±4.1* 0.251 60.1±1.3* 0.251 60.1±1.1* 0.251 60.1±1.1* 0.251 60.1±1.1* 0.251 60.1±1.1* 0.251 60.1±1.3* 0.251 60.1±1.3* 0.251 60.1±1.3* 0.251 60.1±1.1* 0.251 60.1±1.1* 0.256 60.2±0.7* 0.364*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.240* 63.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 60.1±4.1 0.251 60.1±1.3* 0.251 60.1±1.3* 0.251 60.1±1.3* 0.251 65.2±4.1* 0.256 66.9±2.0 0.256 65.2±4.1* 0.256 65.2±4.1* 0.256 82.6±4.43* 0.316*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.240* 63.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 60.1±4.1 0.251 60.1±4.13* 0.251 60.1±4.13* 0.251 65.2±4.1* 0.256 66.9±2.0 0.256 82.6±4.43* 0.364* 82.6±4.43* 0.357*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 72.3±3.7 0.243* 63.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 60.1±4.1 0.251 60.1±4.1* 0.251 60.1±4.18* 0.251 60.1±4.18* 0.251 60.1±4.18* 0.251 60.1±4.18* 0.251 65.2±4.18* 0.264* 66.9±2.0 0.256 82.6±4.43* 0.364* 82.6±4.43* 0.306* 67.9±5.5* 0.335*	41.4±1.4* 0.207* 52.1±3.4* 0.189* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 32.0±1.4* 0.214* 47.3±2.1* 0.214* 63.4±1.7* 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.240* 60.1±4.1 0.251 60.1±4.1 0.251 60.1±4.1* 0.251 60.1±1.3* 0.251 60.1±1.3* 0.251 60.1±1.3* 0.256 60.1±1.3* 0.256 61.4±1.8 0.265 65.2±4.1* 0.266 66.9±2.0 0.364* 82.6±4.43* 0.400* 67.9±5.5* 0.305* 64.4±4.6 0.377*
* 27.4±0.6* * 31.3±0.9*	* 27.4±0.6* * 31.3±0.9*	31.3±0.9		* 61.7±2.1*	* 41.4+1.4*		* 52.1±3.4*	* 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4*	* 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1*	* 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1*	* 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1* 6 72.3±3.7	* 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1* 6 72.3±3.7	* 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1* 6 72.3±3.7 9 60.1±4.1	* 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1* 6 72.3±3.7 6 63.4±1.7* 9 60.1±4.1	* 52.1±3.4* * 52.1±3.4* * 47.3±2.1* 6 72.3±3.7 6 72.3±3.7 6 63.4±1.7* 6 62.1±2.8* * 60.1±1.3*	 * 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1* 6 72.3±3.7 60.1±4.17* 60.1±4.1 60.1±1.3* 60.1±1.3* 65.2±4.1* 	* 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1* 6 72.3±3.7 6 72.3±3.7 6 63.4±1.7* 9 60.1±4.1 6 62.1±2.8* 8 65.2±4.1* 4 66.9±2.0	 * 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1* 6 72.3±3.7 6 63.4±1.7* 6 60.1±4.1 6 60.1±4.13* 6 65.2±4.1* 6 65.2±4.1* 	* 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1* * 63.4±1.7* 60.1±4.1 * 60.1±1.3* 8 65.2±4.1* 4 66.9±2.0	 * 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1* 6 72.3±3.7 6 3.4±1.7* 6 6.1±4.1 6 6.1±4.1 8 65.2±4.1* 6 6.1±1.3* 6 6.1±1.3* 6 6.1±1.3* 6 6.1±1.3* 	 * 52.1±3.4* * 32.0±1.4* * 47.3±2.1* 6 72.3±3.7 6 72.3±3.7 6 3.4±1.7* 6 63.4±1.7* 6 60.1±4.1 6 60.1±4.1 6 60.1±1.3* 6 6.9±2.0 6 6.9±2.0 * 66.7±0.7* * 66.7±0.7* 	 \$52.1±3.4* \$2.1±3.4* \$2.0±1.4* \$2.0±1.4* \$6.3.4±1.7* \$6.3.4±1.7* \$6.3.4±1.7* \$6.3.4±1.7* \$6.1±2.8* \$6.1±4.1 \$6.2±4.1* \$6.9±5.5* 	 \$52.1±3.4* \$2.0±1.4* \$2.0±1.4* \$2.0±1.4* \$6.3.4±1.7* \$6.3.4±1.7* \$6.1±2.8* \$6.0.1±4.1* \$6.0.1±4.1* \$6.0±5.5* \$6.0±5.5* 	 \$52.1±3.4* \$2.0±1.4* \$2.0±1.4* \$2.0±1.4* \$6.0.1±4.1 \$6.0.1±4.1 \$6.0.1±4.1 \$6.0.1±1.3* \$6.0.1±1.3* \$6.0±2.0 \$6.0±2.0 \$6.0±2.0 \$6.0±2.0 \$6.0±4.45 \$6.0±5.5* \$6.4±5.7*
8±0.4* 27.2 3±0.2* 31.5 .7±0.4* 61.1	8±0.4* 27.4 3±0.2* 31.3 7±0.4* 61.1	.7±0.2* 31.3 .7±0.4* 61.7	.7±0.4* 61.7		.3±0.4* 41.4	× C · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.20 .01	.6±0.3* 32.0	3±0.5 5±0.5 6±0.3* 32.0 2±0.4* 47.3	3±0.5 5±0.3 6±0.3* 32.0 .2±0.4* 47.0	3±0.5 5±1.5 5±1. 6±0.3* 32.0 2±0.4* 47.5 4.1±0.6 72	3±0.5 5±1.5 5±1.6 6±0.3* 32.0 2±0.4* 47.3 1.1±0.6 72 .3±0.6* 63.4	3±0.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 32.0 5±1.5 32.0 5±1.5 15 15 15 15 15 12 1±1.5 12 1±1.5 5\pm1.5	3±0.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 32.0 5±1.4 47.5 32.0 5±1.4 47.5 32.0 5±1.5 5\pm1.5 5\pm1.5 5\pm1.5 5\pm1.5 5\pm1.5 5\pm1.5 5\pm1.5 5\pm1.5 5±1.5 5\pm1.5 5\pm1.	3±0.3 3±1.5 32.0 6±0.3* 32.0 1.1±0.6 72 3±0.6* 63.4 7±0.8* 62 4±0.3* 60	3±0.3 3±1.5 3±1.5 3±1.5 3±1.5 3±1.5 3±1.6 47.3 32.0 3±1.6 72 3±1.6 72 3±1.6 63.4 47.3 7±1.0 8 60.7 3±1.0 8 5	3±0.3 3±1.5 3±1.5 3±1.5 3±1.5 3±1.5 3±1.6 47.5 2±0.4* 47.5 2.1±0.6* 63.4 47.5 2.1±0.9 60 53.4 ±10.3* 60.5 3.1±0.8* 65.2\$ 3.1±0.8* 65.2\$ 3	3±0.3 ² 3±1.3 ² 3±1.3 ² 5±1.4 ³ 5±1.4 ³ 32.0 2±0.4 ³ 47.5 2±0.6 ⁴ 63.4 2.1±0.6 ⁵ 63.4 1±0.8 ³ 60.5 3.1±0.8 ³ 60.5 3.1±0.8 65.5 3.6±0.4 66	3±0.5 ⁷ 3±1.5 ⁷ 3±1.5 ⁷ 32.0 6±0.3 [*] 6±0.3 [*] 32.0 2±0.4 [*] 47.3 2.1±0.6 [*] 63.4 7±0.8 [*] 62.7 4±0.3 [*] 60.7 3.1±0.8 [*] 62.5 3.1±0.8 [*] 65.1 3.6±0.4 66.1 3.6±0.2 [*] 66.1	3±0.5 ⁷ 3±1.5 ⁷ 3±2.6 6±0.3 [*] 6±0.3 [*] 32.0 2±0.4 [*] 47.3 2±0.6 [*] 63.4 7±0.8 [*] 62.7 4±0.3 [*] 60.7 8.1±0.8 [*] 60.7 8.6±0.4 66 8.6±0.4 66 4±0.6 [*] 47.5	3±0.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.4 47.3 2±1.6 6±1.3 3±1.6 65.3 2±1.2 60.2 1±1.0 1±1.0	3±0.5 ³ 3±1.5 ³ 3±1.5 ³ 5±1.3 ⁴ 6±0.3 ⁴ 6±0.3 ⁴ 61.1±0.6 7.2 3±0.6 ⁴ 63.2 1±0.9 60.2 1±0.08 65.2 1±0.08 65.2 1±0.08 65.2 31±0.28 65.2 66.1 2±0.68 82.65 65.2 6±0.8 ⁴ 82.65 65.2 65.2 65.2 65.2 65.2 65.2 65.2	3±0.5 ⁷ 5±1.5 ⁷ 5±1.5 ⁷ 5±1.5 ⁴ 6±0.3 ⁸ 5±0.4 ⁸ 47.3 2±0.4 ⁸ 47.3 2±0.6 ⁸ 63.4 4±0.3 ⁸ 65.4 3.1±0.8 65.5 3.1±0.8 65.5 3.1±0.8 65.5 8±1.2 ⁸ 66.1 6±0.8 ⁸ 82.6 4.6±1.2 67.5 4.6±1.2 62.4	3±0.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.5 5±1.4 47.3 2±1.6 6±1.3 3±1.6 65.3 4±1.0 6 60.1 1±1.0 6 60.1 1±1.0 8 65.1 1±1.0 8 65.1 1±1.0 8 65.1 1±1.0 8 65.1 1±1.2 55.1 1±1.2
4.8±0 5.3±0 11.7±0	4.8±0 5.3±0 11.7±0	5.3±0	11.7±0		* 7.3±0	8.3+0		5.6±0	5.6±0	5.6±0	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 14.1±(5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 14.1±(12.3±0	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 14.1±(12.3±0 12.1±(5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 14.1±(12.3±0 12.1±(12.1±(12.7±0	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 8.2±0 12.1±(12.1±(12.1±(11.4±0	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 14.1±(12.3±0 12.1±(11.4±0 13.1±(13.1±(5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 8.2±0 12.1±1 12.1±1 12.1±1 12.1±1 13.1±1 13.1±1 13.0±1	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 8.2±0 12.1±(12.1±(12.1±(13.1)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 8.2±0 12.1±(12.1±(12.1±(11.4±0 13.6±(13.6±(17.8±0	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 8.2±0 12.1±(12.1±(12.1±(11.4±0 13.1±(13.1±(11.4±0 13.6±(11.4±0	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 8.2±0 12.1±1 12.1±1 12.1±1 12.1±1 13.1±1 13.1±1 13.1±1 13.1±1 13.1±1 11.4±0 13.6±0 23.6±0	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 8.2±1 12.1±(12.1±(12.1±(11.4±0 13.6±(11.4±0 11.4±0 11.4±0 11.4±0 11.4±0 11.4±0 11.4±0 11.4±0 11.4±0	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 8.2±0 12.1±(12.1±1 11.4±0 13.6±(13.6±(11.4±0 13.6±(11.4±0	5.6±0 5.6±0 8.2±0 8.2±0 12.1±(1)
22.7±0.6* 25.7±0.2* 50.0±1.7*	22.7±0.6* 25.7±0.2* 50.0±1.7*	25.7±0.2* 50.0±1.7*	50.0±1.7*		34.3±1.2*	43.8±3.0*	****	20.4±1.2	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7*	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7*	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2*	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1*	20.4±1.2" 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2	20.4±1.2″ 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1*	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1*	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1* 48.8±1.0* 52.1±3.4*	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1* 48.8±1.0* 52.1±3.4* 53.3±1.6	20.4±1.2" 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1* 48.8±1.0* 52.1±3.4* 53.3±1.6	20.4±1.2" 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1* 48.8±1.0* 52.1±3.4* 53.3±1.6 48.9±0.5*	20.4±1.2" 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1* 48.8±1.0* 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 36.0±1.3*	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1* 48.8±1.0* 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.5 53.1±3.5*	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1* 48.0±3.2 52.1±3.4* 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.1±4.3* 50.1±4.3*	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1* 48.8±1.0* 52.1±3.4* 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.1±3.5* 59.1±4.3* 50.1±4.3*	20.4±1.2° 39.1±1.7* 58.1±3.2* 51.1±1.1* 48.0±3.2 49.4±2.1* 48.8±1.0* 52.1±3.4* 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.3±1.6 53.1±3.5* 50.1±4.3* 47.0±3.5
0.6* 22.7 0.2* 25.7 0.4* 50.0	0.6* 22.7 0.2* 25.7 0.4* 50.0 0.6* 34.3	0.2* 25.1 0.4* 50.0 0.6* 34.3	0.4* 50.0 0.6* 34.3	0.6* 34.3		0.3* 43.8	-03* 26.4		0.9* 39.	0.9* 39.	0.9* 58. 39. 4	0.9* 39. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20	±0.1 48. 51. 48. 48. 48. 48. 48. 51. 51. 44. 51. 51. 51. 51. 51. 51. 51. 51. 51. 51	0.09* 39.7 0.05* 58. 0.4* 51.7 ±0.1 48. ±0.2 49.4	0.09* 39.2 0.5* 58.2 ±0.1 48.5 7.0 48.6	0.09* 39.2 0.5* 58.2 10.1 488. 7.0 48.8 10.1 52.2	0.09* 0.09*	0.09* 0.09*	0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.04*	0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.00* 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* 0.02*	0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.09* 0.00* 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* 0.01* 0.02* 0.02* 0.02* 0.02* 0.03* 0.02* 0.03*	0.03* 55. 53. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50	0.03* 55.25 0.05* 58.2 0.05* 58.2 0.05* 58.2 0.05* 58.2 0.01* 48.8 0.1* 48.8 0.03* 59.5 0.0* 50.2 0.0* 50.2 0.0	0.03* 50.2************************************
7.1±0.6* 5.4±0.2* 6.3±0.4* 7.3±0.6*	7.1±0.6* 5.4±0.2* 6.3±0.4* 7.3±0.6*	5.4±0.2* 6.3±0.4* 7.3±0.6*	6.3±0.4* 7.3±0.6*	7.3±0.6*	*00.00	12.0±0.3	5.2±0.3*	****	10.9±0.9 [*]	10.9±0.9*	10.9±0.9* 5.3±0.5*	10.9±0.9 5.3±0.5* 4.2±0.4*	10.9±0.9* 5.3±0.5* 4.2±0.4* 3.2±0.1	10.9±0.9* 5.3±0.5* 4.2±0.4* 3.2±0.1 6.4±0.2	10.9±0.9 [*] 5.3±0.5 [*] 4.2±0.4 [*] 3.2±0.1 6.4±0.2 7.0	10.9±0.9 ⁺ 5.3±0.5 [*] 4.2±0.4 [*] 3.2±0.1 6.4±0.2 7.0 7.0	10.9±0.9 [±] 5.3±0.5 [*] 4.2±0.4 [*] 3.2±0.14 [*] 6.4±0.2 7.0 3.3±0.1 7.8±0.7	10.9±0.9 [*] 5.3±0.5 [*] 4.2±0.4 [*] 3.2±0.1 6.4±0.2 7.0 3.3±0.1 7.8±0.7	10.9±0.9 ⁻¹ 5.3±0.5 ⁺ 4.2±0.4 [*] 3.2±0.14 [*] 6.4±0.2 7.0 3.3±0.1 7.0 3.3±0.1 [*]	10.9±0.9 [*] 5.3±0.5 [*] 4.2±0.4 [*] 3.2±0.14 [*] 5.3±0.14 [*] 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0	10.9±0.9 [*] 5.3±0.5 [*] 4.2±0.4 [*] 3.2±0.1 [*] 7.0 7.0 7.8±0.7 7.8±0.7 7.8±0.7 7.8±0.2 [*] 5.0±0.3 [*]	10.9±0.9 ⁴ 5.3±0.5 ⁴ 4.2±0.4 ⁴ 3.2±0.1 ⁴ 5.3±0.1 ¹ 7.0 3.3±0.1 ¹ 7.0±0.2 ⁴ 5.0±0.2 ⁴ 0.0 ⁵	10.9±0.9 ⁴ 5.3±0.5 ⁴ 4.2±0.4 ⁴ 3.3±0.14 ⁸ 7.0 3.3±0.1 7.0 3.3±0.2 ⁴ 5.0±0.3 ⁴ 3.3±0.2 ⁴ 3.3±0.2 ⁴	10.9±0.9 [*] 5.3±0.5 [*] 4.2±0.4 [*] 3.2±0.14 [*] 5.3±0.14 [*] 7.0 3.3±0.14 [*] 5.0±0.3 [*] 3.3±0.2 [*] 3.3±0.2 [*] 3.5±0.3 [*] 3.5±0.3 [*]
rraction 6.3±0.3* 1.9±0.4* 2.4±0.1*	5.3±0.3* 1.9±0.4* 2.4±0.1* 2.4±0.1*	9±0.4* 2.4±0.1* 6 : 0.2*	2.4±0.1*	*0 0 - 0 0	0.0±0.0	i.3±0.7*	.0±0.3*	**	.4±0.4	.4±∪.4° action	.4±∪.4° action .4±0.1*	0.4±0.4° action 2.4±0.1* 2.2*	.4±0.4* action 2.4±0.1* 2.6±0.1	0.4±0.4* action 2.2* 2.6±0.1 2.5±0.2	0.4±0.4* action 2.4±0.1* 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.2 2.5±0.2	0.4±0.4* action 2.2* 2.6±0.1 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.2 2.4±0.1* 2.4±0.4	0.4±0.4* action 2.4±0.1* 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.2 2.4±0.4 2.4±0.4 2.8±0.2	0.4±0.4* action 2.2* 2.6±0.1 2.5±0.2 2.5±0.2 2.4±0.1* 2.8±0.2 2.8±0.2 AE) fraction	0.4±0.4* action 2.2* 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.2 2.4±0.1* 2.4±0.4 2.8±0.2 AE) fraction	0.4±0.4* action 2.4±0.1* 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.2 2.4±0.1* 2.4±0.1* 2.8±0.2 AE) fraction 3.4±0.3*	0.4±0.4 [°] action 2.4±0.1* 2.2± 2.6±0.1 2.5±0.2 2.4±0.4 2.8±0.2 2.8±0.2 AE) fraction 8.4±0.3* 0.0*	0.4±0.4* action 2.2* 2.6±0.1 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.2 2.8±0.2 2.8±0.2 2.8±0.2 4E) fraction 1.5±0.3* 0.0* 3.6±0.3	0.4±0.4* action 2.2* 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.1 2.4±0.1* 2.4±0.1* 2.4±0.1* 2.8±0.2 4E) fraction 0.0* 0.0* 5.5±0.4 5.5±0.3	0.4±0.4° action 2.2* 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.1 2.5±0.1 2.8±0.2 2.8±0.2 2.8±0.2 2.8±0.3 1.5±0.3* 0.0* 3.6±0.3 5.5±0.4 5.5±0.4
(СWE) ITA 5.3- 4.9- 2.4- 2.4-	5.3- 4.9- 2.4-	2.4	2.4		3.6-	8.3-	5.0-	6.4-	1.0	(HWE) frac	(HWE) frac 2.4-	(HWE) frac 2.4-	(HWE) frac 2.4: 2.6	(HWE) frac 2.4- 2.6- 2.6 2.6	(HWE) frac 2.4- 2.6 2.6 2.5 2.6 2.5	(HWE) frac 2.4- 2.6 2.5 2.4- 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4:	(HWE) frac 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4:	(HWE) frac (HWE) 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4:	(HWE) frac (HWE) 2.4: 2.5 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4:	(HWE) frac (HWE) 2.4: 2.5 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4: 2.4:	(HWE) frac 2.41 2.42 2.42 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45	(HWE) frac 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4	(HWE) frac 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4	(HWE) frac 2.5 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4
e mucilage										mucilage (mucilage (mucilage (mucilage (mucilage (mucilage (mucilage (mucilage (mucilage (action muc	mucilage (action muc	mucilage (action muc	mucilage (action muc	mucilage (action muc	mucilage (action muc	action muc
extractable	pu		pu	р	pu	pu	pu		pu	nd xtractable	nd xtractable nd	nd xtractable nd nd	nd xtractable nd nd	nd xtractable nd nd nd	nd xtractable nd nd nd nd	xtractable nd nd nd nd nd	xtractable nd nd nd nd nd nd	xtractable xtractable nd nd nd nd itation extr	nd xtractable nd nd nd nd ftation extr nd	nd xtractable nd nd nd ftation extr nd	nd xtractable nd nd nd tation extra nd nd	xtractable xtractable nd nd nd itation extr nd nd nd nd	nd xtractable nd nd nd ftation extra nd nd nd nd nd	nd xtractable nd nd nd ftation extra nd nd nd nd nd
Id water	:	3-10	6-6	1-6	71-7	73-1	81-3		L	/T ot water e	/T lot water e 68-10	/T lot water e: 68-10 69-9	√T lot water e 68-10 69-9 71-6	/Т lot water e: 68-10 69-9 71-6 71-7	/Т lot water e: 68-10 69-9 71-7 71-7 73-1	/Т ot water e: 68-10 69-9 71-7 71-7 73-1 81-3	/Т lot water e 68-10 68-10 71-6 71-7 73-1 73-1 73-1 73-1 73-1 73-1	/T 68-10 68-10 68-10 71-7 71-7 73-1 81-3 β1-3 frense Agi	/Т 68-10 68-10 69-9 69-9 71-7 73-1 73-1 81-3 81-3 68-10 68-10	√Т 68-10 68-10 69-9 71-7 71-7 73-1 73-1 81-3 81-3 MT MT 68-10 69-9	/T 68-10 68-10 69-9 69-9 71-7 73-1 73-1 73-1 73-1 73-1 68-10 68-10 68-10 68-9 68-9	/T 68-10 68-10 68-10 71-7 73-1 71-6 81-3 11-3 68-10 68-10 69-9 69-9 71-6 71-7	/Т 68-10 68-10 68-10 68-10 71-7 73-1 81-3 81-3 68-10 68-10 68-9 68-9 53-1 73-1	/Т 68-10 68-10 68-10 69-9 73-1 73-1 73-1 68-10 69-9 69-9 63-10 71-7 73-1 81-3

Supplementary Table 3-2. End products of fermentation of all *P. ovata* substrates. Values are mean and standard deviation for 0 h, 4 h, 10 h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h.

_	Std Dev	0.0070 7107	0.0212 1320	0.0212 1320	0.0141 4214	0.0282 8427	0.1522 1695	0.0424 2641	0.0141 4214	0.0424 2641	0.0353	0.0070 7107	0.0353 5534	0.0141 4214	0.0141 4214
ą	Mean	6.3650 0000	6.4250 0000	6.3950	6.3700 0000	6.3900	6.4620 0000	6.4000 0000	6.3900 0000	6.3700 0000	6.3750 0000	6.3850 0000	6.4300 0000	6.4100 0000	6.3900 0000
5	td Dev	0.0068 7450	0.1868 4915	0.2780 6664	0.2892 8397	0.1469 5535	0.2334 7400	0.0793 1251	0.2868 5454	0.2275 2332	0.0282 8841	0.4089 7252	0.3458 6757	0.0639 2900	0.1218 1669
NH3DI	Mean S	7422	3842	2.4177 4240	4130	1902	6151	13797	2952 5845	2.6918 3566	8.7231 8019	2.7375 5960	2815	4427	3370
	d Dev	0021	.0257 5778	0827	.0169 2619	1293	.0348 1 8426	1071	0224	0825	.0126 4804	0058 2 6183	1.1016 8269	10019 5798	.0233 5375
Brch	Mean St	7122	.1776 C 3234	.4319 C	.4733 C 0466	.4901 C	.5227 C	0709	.1629 C	.3044 C 7843	.4848 C 1345	.5410 C	15959 C	.1073 C	.1683 C 3326
F	d Dev	.0091 0 5309	.0126 0 1423	.0042 0 4740	.0016 0 1353	.0062 0 4160	.0039 0 0756	.0045 0 8261	.0083 0 1352	.0095 0 8430	.0048 0 7017	.0023 0 2094	.0057 0 9293	.0015 0 7423	.0058 0 3345
BrChPpi	Mean St	.1221 0 5849	.0780 0 7848	.1015 0 9492	.0789 0 4973	.0617 0 2871	.0576 0 4894	.1027 0 0276	.0498 0 0241	.0607 0 5161	.0728 0 7413	.0582 0 1607	.0554 0 7050	.1172 0 7476	.0655 0 6154
	d Dev	.4613 0 9422	.0049 0 2793	.0256 0 4694	.2216 0 4471	.4822 0 2884	.8463 0 4280	.3713 0 2389	0777	.5128 0 9762	.5725 0 7159	.3507 0 8240	.0535 0 7232	.1673 0 1784	.3890 0 1282
BuTot	Mean St	.8698 0 234	2.807 0 2229	4.989 0 4445	3.983 1 1633	4.713 1 5952	2.351 1 0823	.7141 0 785	4.622 0 4222	5.927 0 8075	4.762 1 6448	2.494 0 0593	1.828 1 5013	.1789 0 176	.8534 0 708
	d Dev	.4101 9 9212	.5680 1 8102	.1736 1 9464	.3141 1 7265	.3258 1 8581	.8156 1 2743	1517 6 7127	1.1628 1 8960	.4635 1 5695	4066	.7719 1 3205	.1336 1 1360	.0254 6 9644	.0320 7 8910
PrTot	Mean St	1.866 0 8131	3.230 2 8215	6.153 0 0763	8.205 1 4221	0.133 1 3470	1.251 0 6197	5.430 0 3159	4.042 0 9456	9.749 2 6607	6.152 2 1703	9.149 1 7052	9.735 1 3694	4.967 1 5597	7.667 1 4153
	d Dev	0.0437 2 2314	3017 2 3050).6240 2 8171).0688 2 2505	1.1839 3 5451	3172 3 7234).6778 2 1345	1.9523 2 7053	1.0180 1 2430	1515 2 8596	8906 2 2095	6017 2 6212	3502 2 3698	2085
AcTot	Mean St	6.047 0 5144	6.154 1 1073	8.697 0 9877	9.916 0 4415	8.980 2 1865	0.632 1 4039	7.585 0 2296	6.354 0 3908	8.247 2 3708	1.797 1 7718	2.534 1 6283	2.889 1 0791	7.126 1 0464	7.922 1 9596
_	d Dev	.0371 5 7254	.0381 5 5482	.0773 4 4913	1.3369 4 8505	.8034 4 6499	.7341 5 9063	5556	2797	2028 5 9977	.6195 5 4612	.4715 5 7396	.8666 5 8792	1092	0.1282 5 6593
TotDN	Mean St	10751 C	2781 C	1.2496 C 559	565 5	7.9802 C	0924 0	080	1.2734 C 418	1.9958 C	532 0.532).3028 C	0.692 C 3415	.9151 C	2.5618 C
-	td Dev	0.0086 2964	0.0047 7431	0.0126 4 8411	0.0261 5 5866	0475	0.2379 9 4935	0.0040 (6856	3622 3	0.0066 4 9387	0.1964 (0622	5156 5	0.0676 1 8343	0379 (0.0001 0738
ButDN	Mean S	0.1062 (0329	0.2917 (6433	0.6370 (0973	0.8367 (1559	1.1801 (4220	1.1311 (1389	0.0619 (8343	0.4786 (2194	0.7952 (0947	0.9900 (4959	1.1631 (3306	1.2588 (2134	0.0565 (5132	0.2009 (4502
Σ	td Dev	0.0125 3872	0.0673 6792	0.0276 1060	0.1738 7813	0.3479 2043	0.2514 0530	0.0010 2930	0.0111 8126	0.0830 0366	0.0127 3022	0.3023 0294	0.2382 4194	0.0104 8634	0.0619 2849
PropD	Mean S	0.2351 8200	0.5297 1840	1.1114 8293	1.6941 0425	2.4100 5507	2.8419 2021	0.2346 9117	0.7870 5164	0.9841 6469	1.7383 2279	2.7159 3686	3.1761 8202	0.2285 1834	0.7094 6089
W	Std Dev	0.0213 0439	0.0082 2962	0.0111 4615	0.1723 3939	0.2192 5274	0.2691 2984	0.0007 5300	0.0448 8517	0.2190 0130	0.3977 6163	0.0718 5764	0.5708 0011	0.0098 3718	0.0428 7630
AcetD	Mean	0.6026 1508	1.2790 1561	2.0692 5556	2.9943 3198	3.8999 8739	4.5966 3713	0.5314 2631	1.8448 4402	2.9120 0016	3.4602 6736	4.8827 6979	5.6614 2223	0.5227 7089	1.4831 1552
z		2	2	2	2	2	ŝ	2	2	2	2	2	5	2	2
Level	remo val	0	4	10	24	48	72	0	4	10	24	48	72	0	4
el cv	žď	ц	L.	ш	ш	L.	LL.	3	3	3	3	3	3	щ	L.
Level	Plant ago	M1	M2	M2											

Level	or Plant ago	M2	M2	M2	M2	M2	M2	M2	M2	M2	M2	M3	M3	M3	M3	M3
lev ol	žď	LL.	L.	L	ш	8	8	8	8	8	8	ш	ш	ш	ш	u.
Level	remo val	10	24	48	72	0	4	10	24	48	72	0	4	10	24	48
z	Ň	2 2.37 28	2 2.86 15	2 4.56 59	5 4.57 16	2 0.60 94	2 1.91 80	2 3.25 67	2 4.85 46	2 6.35 95	5 5.17 45	2 0.65 12	2 1.67 87	2 2.25 64	2 2.62 93	2 4.00 67
AcetDM	ean Std De	799 0.117 326 322	530 0.060 540 236(386 0.108 907 062	785 0.247. 598 421(007. 109 327(133 0.047. 121 911	981 0.001 715 510	500 0.115 552 928;	338 0.199 550 7111	726 0.872 [.] 543 630 [.]	506 0.019. 268 3884	720 0.165 765 405	375 0.096 135 548	211 0.000 331 786	090 0.040 709 760
Pro	v Mean	6 1.3200 1 9675	9 1.5408 6 3724	4 2.8077 3 4749	5 2.7242 0 9339	1 0.2630 0 3958	5 0.9146 3 9770	5 1.8361 0 1571	9 2.5634 2 3898	8 3.5074 3 7179	7 2.5146 5 3713	4 0.2783 4 4310	3 0.6585 4 9277	3 1.2880 9 4337	2 1.3151 9 9928	4 2.0893 3 2375
MDM	Std Dev	0.0781 4074	0.0733 1350	0.0372 5522	0.3032 8865	0.0102 2790	0.0677 1259	0.0134 6919	0.0606 9442	0.2113 8182	0.6497 3605	0.0178 1894	0.1704 4836	0.0211 9472	0.0001 3412	0.0048 5712
Butl	Mean	0.7461 2165	0.7119 9830	1.2133 3848	0.9854 2907	0.1400 4291	0.5157 4470	0.7955 1612	1.1536 8104	0.6898 0381	1.1054 0072	0.1179 8082	0.4327 9533	0.6715 6346	0.8572 3806	0.8895 3674
MQ	Std Dev	0.0088 5564	0.0753 9791	0.0047 8255	0.2289 7943	0.0119 9937	0.0200 2413	0.0664	0.0561 1426	0.0471 4124	0.1915 1640	0.0145 7913	0.0277 1257	0.1447 3763	0.0006 5404	0.0663 8068
TotC	Mean	4.9586 349	5.5902 037	9.1735 361	8.8371 538	1.1824 645	3.5798 182	6.3694 137	9.1074 155	11.209 0612	9.3379 201	1.2347 896	2.9627 808	4.7016 417	5.2124 696	7.5536 209
W	Std Dev	0.2034 2924	0.1157 4400	0.1267 3229	0.5661 7040	0.0253 5953	0.1144 0149	0.0933 0563	0.2453 0743	0.4352 3258	1.6492 4949	0.0907 1460	0.3724 4953	0.2220 8492	0.0005 9134	0.0939 0438
AcTo	Mean	47.987 3554	51.237 1321	50.017 2241	51.850 1184	51.511 9625	53.455 1425	51.786 7088	53.255 9848	56.961 3109	55.473 4696	52.774 7578	56.532 3480	47.595 8247	50.286 9759	53.062 3034
x	Std Dev	0.4035 7676	2.1506 8310	0.4907 4054	1.5126 9677	1.7079 4971	0.3788 5587	0.7342 7345	0.1608 3666	0.4286 0905	2.4297 2804	2.3028 6763	1.5260 6399	0.1988 2966	0.0003 5836	0.1238 0554
PrTo	Mean	26.612 2510	27.555 4977	30.607 1515	30.800 9523	22.240 8701	25.534 3275	28.828 6201	28.147 9582	31.278 3633	26.810 1698	22.549 5881	22.041 4291	27.436 8644	25.231 7883	27.662 4342
ŧ	Std Dev	0.4840 7752	0.7409 3306	0.0167 2119	2.4869 1070	0.3879 7944	1.0754 9976	0.2108 4412	0.0917 3418	0.6713 1575	3.7661 1509	0.2135 4485	2.9821 6987	1.7467 9111	0.0002 8945	0.4081 9313
BuTo	Mean S	15.063 2559	12.725 3007	13.228 1304	11.154 3030	11.835 1481	14.405 4263	12.483 3323	12.663 7870	6.1504 552	11.871 9902	9.6240 729	14.664 8218	14.226 7602	16.445 9094	11.771 7430
÷	td Dev	0.7965	1.0852	0.2348 8066	2.4854 3209	0.7609	0.0990 0.0244	0.8596 3244	0.2750 4018	0.1817 4	0.9867 1 2713	1.8877 3645	0.9081 4980	2.4064 3892	0.0106	0.7324 5008
BrchP	Mean S	0.1033 7138	0.0848 2069	0.0614 7494	0.0619 4626	0.1441 2019	0.0660 5104	0.0690 1339	0.0593 2270	0.0560 9871	0.0584 4370	0.1505 1581	0.0676 1401	0.1074 0551	0.0803 5326	0.0750 3519
u	td Dev	0.0000	0.0032 (4474	0.0023 (9139	0.0054 (0.0055 (9014	0.0079 (5646	0.0008 (5485	0.0002 (2469	0.0042 (4457	0.0065 (3618	0.0440 (4149	0.0054 (7956	0.0046 (0818	0.0001 (0034	0.0020 (
Brch	Mean S	0.5124 (8827	0.4743 1 5274	0.5637 9105	0.5489 1433	0.1704 8789	0.2359 9558	0.4396	0.5402 4892	0.6278 9015	0.5452 3682	0.1878 5296	0.1993	0.5044 1 7051	0.4188 3891	0.5666 I
	td Dev	0.0165	0.0279	0.0141	0.0773 3628	0.0102	0.0209	0.0118 8424	0.0125	0.0231	0.1058	0.0680 3595	0.0089	0.0021 8713	0.0004	0.0080
NH3D	Mean S	1.4342 0527	2.5962 4087	1.5742 9419	2.5147 5940	1.1459 5299	2.2052	2.4817 8170	3.1452 2741	3.3130 2411	2.6437 9216	1.3722 4294	1.9988	1.8565 3334	3.3229 1448	2.6228 1683
Σ	td Dev	0.0635 5309	0.3824 3983	0.0841 7606	0.4169 9066	0.0848 4719	0.2158 2633	0.1902 9139	0.2629 4524	0.0951 4918	0.3285 8944	0.1846 6902	0.1201 0544	0.0901 1629	0.3151 7294	1.2677 0917
Hd	Mean	6.3300	6.3250 0000	6.6150 0000	6.3540 0000	6.3550	6.3800	6.3650	6.4200 0000	6.3900 0000	6.3680	6.4250 0000	6.5050	6.5300	6.4300 0000	6.4400 0000
	td Dev	0.0282 8427	0.0070 7107	0.0070 7107	0.0364 6917	0.0070 7107	0.0141 4214	0.0070 7107	0.0565 6854	0.0282 8427	0.0216 7948	0.0212 1320	0.0070 7107	0.0141 4214	0.0141 4214	0.0565 6854

Level of	Plant ago	M3	M3	M3	M3	M3	M3	M4								
e le	žď	8	3	3	8	8	3	ш	LL.	ш	ш	ш	L.	8	3	8
Level of	remo val	0	4	10	24	48	72	0	4	10	24	48	72	0	4	10
z		2 0	2 2	2 2	2	2	5	2 0	2 1	2 2	2 3	2 4	Ś	2 0	2 1	2 2
AcetD!	Mean S	0.5625 9197	2.1209 4339	2.9988 9783	5.0262 8821	5.2059 4668	4.9793 6559	0.5417 4302	1.5003 6122	2.5926 5019	3.9518 2008	4.5518 2581	5.1677 1627	0.6094 8599	1.7806 9597	2.4711 4030
Ā	std Dev	0.0068 1727	0.1983 6255	0.0605 3069	0.7083 4437	0.1828 3495	0.3097 8132	0.0110 0906	0.1009 4361	0.4385 0597	0.0660 3781	0.1475 1543	0.4233 1165	0.1013 6745	0.0120 2002	0.1994 8165
Propl	Mean	0.2535 9885	0.9035 0965	1.5897 1941	2.7425 9749	3.0217 8476	2.7671 8234	0.1985 7126	0.6523 2731	1.2576 1690	2.1613 4918	2.3419 5500	2.4783 4842	0.2200 7130	0.6903 6127	0.8715 2456
W	Std Dev	0.0042 0061	0.1132 1284	0.0343 5544	0.8190 0177	0.3297 8798	0.2218 0070	0.0034 2702	0.0643 4499	0.3816 9412	0.0502 8369	0.0009 8617	0.2311 4341	0.0460 7291	0.0641 5053	0.0218 1708
ButD	Mean	0.0701 8153	0.2403 9872	0.7467 1528	1.0334 0199	1.0550 9701	1.1103 7055	0.0865 1797	0.2522 4759	0.6979 0572	0.9839 6648	0.9856 2181	1.3277 9373	0.1223 7568	0.4522 7709	0.8668 4108
W	Std Dev	0.0089 0362	0.0114 5494	0.0082 6638	0.0858 3631	0.0211 7332	0.0254 4376	0.0255 8920	0.0554 4298	0.0317 4020	0.0556 0559	0.0020 4160	0.1781 4339	0.0090 8797	0.0139 2908	0.1497 1639
TotL	Mean	1.0452 330	3.4576 034	5.8200 069	9.5395 489	9.9289 634	9.4593 068	0.8678 089	2.5832 443	4.9081 388	7.5122 920	8.4382 092	9.6105 017	1.0088 135	3.0955 800	4.6801 689
WC	Std Dev	0.0604 1345	0.3280 8951	0.0610 7917	1.6717 6023	0.4567 9117	0.5216 3133	0.0056 0032	0.0974 8124	0.8537 8830	0.0537 6136	0.1604 0972	0.8006 6722	0.1741 6969	0.0371 5094	0.0236 9405
AcT	Mean	53.895 7250	61.345 4176	51.524 7730	52.849 8555	52.445 0679	52.624 9694	62.431 9307	58.048 1026	52.845 9716	52.602 9201	53.936 1603	53.792 4763	60.449 6443	57.530 2958	52.811 7040
ot	Std Dev	2.4628 9527	0.0840 2726	0.4993 0848	1.8363 3941	0.5713 5363	0.5603 6684	1.6715 0242	1.7171 2753	0.2584 8401	0.5026 1307	0.7228 6178	1.3302 4190	0.3883 2839	1.0787 3365	4.5296 4165
Prī	Mean	24.291 3832	26.093 2185	27.313 1408	28.434 1101	30.389 7975	29.231 2587	22.883 6634	25.223 2118	25.329 9339	28.773 9674	27.759 2978	25.791 9492	21.744 6956	22.290 6859	18.620 7065
ot	Std Dev	1.0021 3546	0.7983 4844	0.3036 5605	3.6023 8865	1.9233 6356	1.1387 3869	0.5425 8194	1.5390 3722	3.3705 2629	0.8752 7173	0.5393 8918	1.2077 9978	0.8128 6054	1.8048 0961	0.3718 8996
Bul	Mean	6.7010 137	6.9999 879	12.830 1055	10.921 6831	10.642 6249	11.766 8248	9.9603 960	9.8122 412	14.494 9093	13.095 7728	11.682 3428	13.786 3432	12.235 2389	14.614 1676	18.513 7167
ot	Std Dev	0.4645 1926	0.9955 2098	0.0073 8593	1.0141 7845	0.7028 7186	0.7061 9800	2.8844 3558	2.5165 2842	3.1681 2638	0.6464 7547	0.1978 8580	1.0822 0951	1.2115 3286	0.6253 5547	3.1052 2422
Brch	Mean	0.1511 1878	0.0556 1376	0.0833 1981	0.0779 4351	0.0652 2510	0.0637 6947	0.0472 4010	0.0691 6444	0.0732 9185	0.0552 7340	0.0662 2199	0.0662 9231	0.0557 0421	0.0556 4851	0.1005 3873
hpn	Std Dev	0.0300 0511	0.0028 1200	0.0081 0350	0.0075 1871	0.0064 9138	0.0059 9897	0.0067 0351	0.0073 9636	0.0005 6084	0.0027 3817	0.0001 4413	0.0042 9835	0.0078 7001	0.0010 0720	0.0105 2527
Bri	Mean	0.1588 6070	0.1927 5162	0.4846 7438	0.7372 6120	0.6461 3499	0.6023 8831	0.0409 7661	0.1783 0815	0.3599 6600	0.4151 5629	0.5588 0657	0.6366 4326	0.0568 8052	0.1722 4570	0.4706 6291
£	Std Dev	0.0404 9194	0.0279 6907	0.0420 7335	0.0585 7778	0.0346 5843	0.0574 6082	0.0055 5281	0.0123 6438	0.0653 2841	0.0175 9834	0.0118 3887	0.0616 0064	0.0176 4135	0.0010 5049	0.0516 4223
NH3	Mean	1.5424 2949	2.2012 1048	2.6205 8630	2.8698 3992	2.2877 0012	2.0570 4758	1.0795 8508	1.2230 9934	2.0904 4465	2.8464 0282	2.5076 1405	2.2508 3346	1.4781 3246	2.1006 9613	1.3662 4974
MQ	Std Dev	0.0391 5221	0.1842 5921	0.0685 3655	0.0509 5274	0.1807 8985	0.2759 3516	0.5197 9598	0.2009 8528	0.2060 9635	0.0608 1617	0.8186 7270	0.8838 4950	0.0761 9968	0.1294 8285	0.1650 0632
đ	Mean	6.4350 0000	6.4300 0000	6.3750 0000	6.4050 0000	6.3700 0000	6.4060 0000	6.3450 0000	6.4200 0000	6.4000 0000	6.3700 0000	6.4150 0000	6.3680 0000	6.5250 0000	6.5350	6.4850 0000
-	Std Dev	0.0070 7107	0.0141 4214	0.0070 7107	0.0494 9747	0.0707 1068	0.0219 0890	0.0919 2388	0.0141 4214	0.0141 4214	0000	0.0777 8175	0.0766 1593	0.0777 8175	0.0070 7107	0.0919 2388

Chapter 3 - Structure	, composition and functionality	of P. ovata mutants

Level of	or Plant ago	M4	M4	M5											
el ev	ž of t	8	8	ш	ш	ш	ш	ш	ш.	×	8	8	×	8	3
Level	remo val	48	72	0	4	10	24	48	72	0	4	10	24	48	72
z		2	4	2 (5	5	2	2	5	2 (5	5	2	2	ŝ
Acet	Mean	4.7106 2167	5.5697 0255	0.5762 6035	1.7668 1583	2.5996 2527	2.3658 4536	3.4492 8477	5.1913 7463	0.5558 9158	1.4290 7298	2.4822 1043	3.2606 1670	4.4810 1422	5.4211 0104
MO	Std Dev	0.3578 0206	0.5000 0932	0.0182 0589	0.0476 7343	0.0792 7845	0.1427 3063	0.2240 1071	0.5130 9039	0.0691 1694	0.1659 9435	0.1799 9444	0.1250 8050	0.0857 2145	0.1728 5170
Prop	Mean	2.4883 4080	3.0862 7524	0.2363 8892	0.7596 2404	0.9644 8612	0.9280 8761	1.8213 6868	2.8281 4636	0.2221 1339	0.4326 1626	0.9949 9041	1.5919 5408	2.4345 9885	3.0003 0548
MO	Std Dev	0.0570 5698	0.3107 6770	0.0278 5436	0.0069 5926	0.0949 6937	0.0091 9189	0.1516 3926	0.3057 8574	0.0034 2718	0.0120 6846	0.0523 3946	0.0733 6161	0.2565 3672	0.1089 3129
But	Mean	0.9638 4675	1.0585 2639	0.1289 0865	0.4849 3883	0.4502 1490	0.5409 3315	0.6649 4147	1.1495 1548	0.0720 8888	0.1806 6122	0.3821 8411	0.7831 5876	0.8234 3168	1.1525 5028
MQ	Std Dev	0.1231 7786	0.2407 3773	0.0003 4964	0.0051 5641	0.1278 1983	0.0896 6583	0.0375 7907	0.1320 2661	0.0097 5918	0.0393 0700	0.0328 7263	0.0634 9590	0.0872 8158	0.0453 7099
Tot	Mean	8.7421 096	10.350 3078	1.0367 603	3.2295 492	4.5162 760	4.2557 196	6.3946 645	9.8042 581	0.9843 979	2.0988 507	4.2446 159	6.1430 129	8.2596 308	10.174 8874
(DM	Std Dev	0.6224 0245	0.9498 5144	0.0265 2359	0.0743 3882	0.3726 5095	0.2087 7207	0.2801 1247	0.9063 8251	0.0810 0378	0.1717 4554	0.4292 9236	0.0106 4504	0.4360 2535	0.2205 0329
Ac	Mean	53.875 1124	53.819 2793	55.623 4622	54.705 3192	57.685 2081	55.576 7440	53.915 0441	52.950 2909	56.372 1860	67.992 4098	58.564 1192	53.076 7764	54.300 2597	53.277 6637
Tot	Std Dev	0.2571 6946	0.5515 5128	3.1790 5979	0.2169 3879	3.0043 7851	0.6274 3587	1.1413 8827	1.8020 6903	2.3825 0582	2.3451 1288	1.6825 2801	1.9441 6738	1.8286 7066	1.1790 2253
Pr	Mean	28.512 8784	29.823 6926	22.773 8172	23.524 8070	21.341 6795	21.828 9749	28.457 9962	28.850 8864	22.654 3987	20.657 6898	23.499 0650	25.915 9481	29.434 9139	29.490 9732
Tot	Std Dev	1.3773 3202	1.5560 4772	2.1040 4737	0.3260 1475	0.3418 6121	0.8548 7108	1.1247 6373	1.7701 6565	2.2123 2683	1.1153 8204	1.1435 7177	1.2391 3743	1.5520 4314	1.0228 1093
Bu	Mean	11.003 0620	10.217 5263	12.437 4339	15.017 8226	9.8856 083	12.674 3036	10.421 2484	11.741 8304	7.3070 942	8.5596 598	9.0108 964	12.749 6875	9.9553 317	11.324 0803
Tot	Std Dev	0.6256 4450	2.0696 5505	0.2844 6482	0.1860 2181	2.0145 1409	1.4851 8762	1.0441 5617	1.0963 3750	0.3901 0260	1.1723 6393	0.1368 9009	1.0557 2159	0.5311 8370	0.2082 4380
BrC	Mean	0.0660 8947	0.0613 9502	0.0916 5287	0.0675 2051	0.1108 7504	0.091 779	0.0720 5711	0.0645 6992	0.1366 6321	0.0279 0241	0.0892 5919	0.0825 7588	0.0630 9495	0.0590 7283
hPpn	Std Dev	0.0049 4518	0.0043 9453	0.0135 9477	0.0029 5098	0.0064 8003	0.0125 7752	0.0122 1996	0.0037 4646	0.0056 0282	0.0240 2095	0.0296 2990	0.0035 0692	0.0025 4556	0.0021
æ	Mean	0.5793 0036	0.6358 0366	0.0952 0234	0.2181 7050	0.5019 4968	0.4208 5351	0.4590 6958	0.6352 2167	0.1343 0406	0.0565 0024	0.3852 3094	0.5072 8336	0.5205 8601	0.6009 3065
rch	Std Dev	0.0843 6556	0.0809	0.0165 2548	0.0145 4972	0.0705	0.0328	0.0579 5844	0.0905	0.0055 5484	0.0456	0.1640 8583	0.0224 2206	0.0064	0.0207 8309
HN	Mean	2.1962 4586	2.2822 5684	1.1841 2175	1.8244 2468	1.7360 1693	3.0035 7369	1.4516 6801	2.3462 1923	1.2220	2.0395 2488	2.6697 7025	3.3500 6983	1.9289 8254	2.3856 0990
3DM	Std Dev	0.0479 8709	0.1278 7710	0.0811 0241	0.0625 8793	0.7444 8553	0.0188 7099	0.4508 3392	0.8372 7494	0.4989 9243	0.1248 5349	0.1721 2833	0.1264 8904	0.5975 0972	0.3463 3968
-	Mean	6.5300	6.5025 0000	6.5450 0000	6.6800 0000	6.6000 0000	6.4550 0000	6.4600 0000	6.3880 0000	6.3750 0000	6.4000 0000	6.4050 0000	6.4250 0000	6.4900 0000	6.4080 0000
Ŧ	Std Dev	0.0848 5281	0.0250 0000	0.0212 1320	0.1414 2136	0.0282 8427	0.0070 7107	0.0989 9495	0.0178 8854	0.0353 5534	0.0707 1068	0.0353 5534	0.0636 3961	0.0707 1068	0.0303 3150

References

Alloncle, M., Lefebvre, J., Llamas, G. and Doublier, J.L., (1989) 'A rheological characterization of cereal starch-galactomannan mixtures'. *Cereal Chemistry*, 66(2), pp.90-93Alloncle, M. and Doublier, J. L. (1991) 'Viscoelastic properties of maize starch/hydrocolloid pastes and gels', *Topics in Catalysis*, 5(5), pp. 455–467. doi: 10.1016/S0268-005X(09)80104-5.

Amiri Aghdaei, S. S. *et al.* (2014) 'Application of Isfarzeh seed (Plantago ovate L.) mucilage as a fat mimetic in mayonnaise', *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 51(10), pp. 2748–2754. doi: 10.1007/s13197-012-0796-7.

Begna, T. (2022) 'Breeding Strategies for Improvement of Drought Tolerant in Crops', 18(3), pp. 177– 184. doi: 10.5829/idosi.wjas.2022.177.184.

Biosci, I. J. *et al.* (2015) 'Evaluation of Polysaccharides isolated from Psyllium husk and their potential for designing controlled release floats of levoflaxacin', *International Journal of Biosciences (IJB)*, 6(12), pp. 90–107. doi: 10.12692/ijb/6.12.90-107.

Cappa, C., Lucisano, M. and Mariotti, M. (2013) 'Influence of Psyllium, sugar beet fibre and water on gluten-free dough properties and bread quality', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 98(2), pp. 1657–1666. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.007.

Christianson, D. *et al.* (1981) 'Gelatinization of wheat starch as modified by xanthan gum, guar gum, and cellulose gum', *Cereal chemistry*, 58(6), pp. 513–517.

Collar, C. (2003) 'Significance of viscosity profile of pasted and gelled formulated wheat doughs on bread staling', *European Food Research and Technology*, 216(6), pp. 505–513. doi: 10.1007/s00217-003-0686-3.

Copeland, L. *et al.* (2009) 'Form and functionality of starch', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 23(6), pp. 1527–1534. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2008.09.016.

Cowley, J. M. *et al.* (2020) 'A small-scale fractionation pipeline for rapid analysis of seed mucilage characteristics', *Plant Methods*, 16(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s13007-020-00569-6.

Cowley, J. M. *et al.* (2022) 'Rain events at maturity severely impact the seed quality of psyllium (Plantago ovata Forssk.)', *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, (April), pp. 567–581. doi: 10.1111/jac.12603.

Cowley, J. M. and Burton, R. A. (2021) 'The goo-d stuff: Plantago as a myxospermous model with modern utility', *New Phytologist*, 229(4), pp. 1917–1923. doi: 10.1111/nph.17095.

Cowley, J. M., O'Donovan, L. A. and Burton, R. A. (2021) 'The composition of Australian Plantago seeds highlights their potential as nutritionally-rich functional food ingredients', *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), pp. 1–16. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-92114-1.

Díaz-Calderón, P. *et al.* (2018) 'Changes in gelatinisation and pasting properties of various starches (wheat, maize and waxy maize) by the addition of bacterial cellulose fibrils', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 80, pp. 274–280. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.02.023.

Elli, M. *et al.* (2008) 'Evaluation of prebiotic potential of refined psyllium (Plantago ovata) fiber in healthy women.', *Journal of clinical gastroenterology*, 42 Suppl 3(September), pp. 174–176. doi: 10.1097/mcg.0b013e31817f183a.

Gulfi, M., Arrigoni, E. and Amadò, R. (2005) 'Influence of structure on in vitro fermentability of commercial pectins and partially hydrolysed pectin preparations', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 59(2), pp. 247–255. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2004.09.018.

Gunn, D. *et al.* (2020) 'Contrasting effects of viscous and particulate fibers on colonic fermentation in vitro and in vivo, and their impact on intestinal water studied by MRI in a randomized trial', *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 112(3), pp. 595–602. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqaa173.

Guo, Q. et al. (2008) 'Fractionation and physicochemical characterization of psyllium gum',

Carbohydrate Polymers, 73(1), pp. 35–43. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.11.001.

Harrington, B. J. and Hageage, G. J. (2003) 'Calcofluor White: A Review of its Uses and Applications in Clinical Mycology and Parasitology', *Laboratory Medicine*, 34(5), pp. 361–367. doi: 10.1309/eph2tdt8335gh0r3.

Hu, J. L. *et al.* (2013) 'Invitro fermentation of polysaccharide from the seeds of Plantago asiatica L. by human fecal microbiota', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 33(2), pp. 384–392. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.04.006.

Islam, S., Bhuiyan, M. A. R. and Islam, M. N. (2017) 'Chitin and Chitosan: Structure, Properties and Applications in Biomedical Engineering', *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, 25(3), pp. 854–866. doi: 10.1007/s10924-016-0865-5.

Kaur, L. *et al.* (2007) 'Physico-chemical, rheological and structural properties of fractionated potato starches', *Journal of Food Engineering*, 82(3), pp. 383–394. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.02.059.

Khaliq, R. *et al.* (2015) 'Industrial Application Of Psyllium: An Overview', *ACTA Universitatis Cibiniensis*, 67(1), pp. 210–214. doi: 10.1515/aucts-2015-0092.

Liu, H., Eskin, N. A. M. and Cui, S. W. (2003) 'Interaction of wheat and rice starches with yellow mustard mucilage', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 17(6), pp. 863–869. doi: 10.1016/S0268-005X(03)00107-3.

Lowe, S. E. *et al.* (1985) 'Growth of anaerobic rumen fungi on defined and semi-defined media lacking rumen fluid', *Journal of General Microbiology*, 131(9), pp. 2225–2229. doi: 10.1099/00221287-131-9-2225.

Lu, S. *et al.* (2020) 'Cell wall architecture as well as chemical composition determines fermentation of wheat cell walls by a faecal inoculum', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 107(April), p. 105858. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105858.

Mancebo, C. M. et al. (2015) 'Optimisation of rheological properties of gluten-free doughs with HPMC,

psyllium and different levels of water', *Journal of Cereal Science*, 61, pp. 8–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2014.10.005.

Mariotti, M. *et al.* (2009) 'The role of corn starch, amaranth flour, pea isolate, and Psyllium flour on the rheological properties and the ultrastructure of gluten-free doughs', *Food Research International*, 42(8), pp. 963–975. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2009.04.017.

Marlett, J. A. and Fischer, M. H. (2002) 'A poorly fermented gel from psyllium seed husk increases excreta moisture and bile acid excretion in rats', *Journal of Nutrition*, 132(9), pp. 2638–2643. doi: 10.1093/jn/132.9.2638.

Marlett, J. A. and Fischer, M. H. (2003) 'The active fraction of psyllium seed husk', *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 62(1), pp. 207–209. doi: 10.1079/pns2002201.

Marteau, P. *et al.* (1994) 'Digestibility and bulking effect of ispaghula husks in healthy humans', *Gut*, 35(12), pp. 1747–1752. doi: 10.1136/gut.35.12.1747.

McRorie, J. W. *et al.* (2021) 'Psyllium: The gel-forming nonfermented isolated fiber that delivers multiple fiber-related health benefits', *Nutrition Today*, 56(4), pp. 169–182. doi: 10.1097/NT.000000000000489.

Milani M. and Maleki G. (2012) 'Hydrocolloids in Food Industry, Food Industrial Processes - Methods and Equipment, Dr. Benjamin Valdez (Ed.)', in *Intech open*, p. 64. doi: 10.5772/32009.

Mortimer, J. C. (2017) Structural analysis of cell wall polysaccharides using PACE, Methods in Molecular Biology. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-6722-3_16.

Pettolino, F. A. *et al.* (2012) 'Determining the polysaccharide composition of plant cell walls', *Nature Protocols*, 7(9), pp. 1590–1607. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2012.081.

Phan, J. L. *et al.* (2016) 'Differences in glycosyltransferase family 61 accompany variation in seed coat mucilage composition in Plantago spp.', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67(22), pp. 6481–6495. doi:

10.1093/jxb/erw424.

Ren, Y., Linter, B. R., *et al.* (2020) 'A comprehensive investigation of gluten free bread dough rheology, proving and baking performance and bread qualities by response surface design and principal component analysis', *Food and Function*, 11(6), pp. 5333–5345. doi: 10.1039/d0fo00115e.

Ren, Y., Yakubov, G. E., *et al.* (2020) 'Temperature fractionation, physicochemical and rheological analysis of psyllium seed husk heteroxylan', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 104(February), p. 105737. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105737.

Ren, Y., Linter, B. R. and Foster, T. J. (2021) 'Effects of psyllium seed husk powder, methylcellulose, pregelatinised starch, and cold water swelling starch on the production of gluten free crackers', *Food and Function*, 12(17), pp. 7773–7786. doi: 10.1039/d0fo03377d.

Sandhu, K. S., Singh, N. and Malhi, N. S. (2007) 'Some properties of corn grains and their flours I: Physicochemical, functional and chapati-making properties of flours', *Food Chemistry*, 101(3), pp. 938–946. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.02.040.

Satrapai, S. and Suphantharika, M. (2007) 'Influence of spent brewer's yeast β-glucan on gelatinization and retrogradation of rice starch', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 67(4), pp. 500–510. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2006.06.028.

Schirmer, M. *et al.* (2013) 'Physicochemical and morphological characterization of different starches with variable amylose/amylopectin ratio', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 32(1), pp. 52–63. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2012.11.032.

Sciarini, L. S. *et al.* (2009) 'Chemical composition and functional properties of Gleditsia triacanthos gum', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 23(2), pp. 306–313. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2008.02.011.

Shi, X. and BeMiller, J. N. (2002) 'Effects of food gums on viscosities of starch suspensions during pasting', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 50(1), pp. 7–18. doi: 10.1016/S0144-8617(01)00369-1.

Sikora, M., Kowalski, S. and Tomasik, P. (2008) 'Binary hydrocolloids from starches and xanthan gum', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 22(5), pp. 943–952. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2007.05.007.

Song, J. Y. *et al.* (2006) 'Pasting properties of non-waxy rice starch-hydrocolloid mixtures', *Starch/Staerke*, 58(5), pp. 223–230. doi: 10.1002/star.200500459.

Stearns, F. W. (2010) 'One hundred years of pleiotropy: A retrospective', *Genetics*, 186(3), pp. 767–773. doi: 10.1534/genetics.110.122549.

Sullo, A. and Foster, T. J. (2010) 'Characterisation of Starch / Cellulose blends', *Annual Transactions of the Nordic Rheology*, 18, pp. 1–7.

Tucker, M. R. *et al.* (2017a) 'Dissecting the genetic basis for seed coat mucilage heteroxylan biosynthesis in plantago ovata using gamma irradiation and infrared spectroscopy', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8(March), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00326.

Tucker, M. R. *et al.* (2017b) 'Dissecting the Genetic Basis for Seed Coat Mucilage Heteroxylan Biosynthesis in Plantago ovata Using Gamma Irradiation and Infrared Spectroscopy', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8(March), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00326.

Varela, M. S., Navarro, A. S. and Yamul, D. K. (2016) 'Effect of hydrocolloids on the properties of wheat/potato starch mixtures', *Starch/Staerke*, 68(7–8), pp. 753–761. doi: 10.1002/star.201400257.

Vizir, I. Y. and Mulligan, B. J. (1999) 'Genetics of gamma-irradiation-induced mutations in Arabidopsis thaliana: Large chromosomal deletions can be rescued through the fertilization of diploid eggs', *Journal of Heredity*, 90(3), pp. 412–417. doi: 10.1093/jhered/90.3.412.

Voiniciuc, C. *et al.* (2015) 'Starting to gel: How arabidopsis seed coat epidermal cells produce specialized secondary cell walls', *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 16(2), pp. 3452–3473. doi: 10.3390/ijms16023452.

Williams, B. A. *et al.* (2005) 'An in vitro batch culture method to assess potential fermentability of feed ingredients for monogastric diets', *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 123-124 Pa, pp. 445–462. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2005.04.031.

Williams, B. A. *et al.* (2011) 'In vitro fermentation kinetics and end-products of cereal arabinoxylans and (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans by porcine faeces', *Journal of Cereal Science*, 53(1), pp. 53–58. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2010.09.003.

Yang, H. J. *et al.* (2014) 'Effect of melamine on in vitro rumen microbial growth, methane production and fermentation of Chinese wild rye hay and maize meal in binary mixtures', *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 152(4), pp. 686–696. doi: 10.1017/S0021859613000725.

Yao, H. *et al.* (2022) 'Absolute abundance values reveal microbial shifts and co-occurrence patterns during gut microbiota fermentation of dietary fibres in vitro', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 127(December 2021), p. 107422. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.107422.

Yu, L. *et al.* (2017) 'Multi-layer mucilage of Plantago ovata seeds: Rheological differences arise from variations in arabinoxylan side chains', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 165, pp. 132–141. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.02.038.

Yu, L. *et al.* (2018) 'Rheological and structural properties of complex arabinoxylans from Plantago ovata seed mucilage under non-gelled conditions', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 193(March), pp. 179–188. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.03.096.

Zandonadi, R. P., Botelho, R. B. A. and Araújo, W. M. C. (2009) 'Psyllium as a Substitute for Gluten in Bread', *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109(10), pp. 1781–1784. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2009.07.032.

Zhou, Z. *et al.* (2007) 'Effect of the addition of fatty acids on rice starch properties', *Food Research International*, 40(2), pp. 209–214. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2006.10.006.

Alloncle, M. and Doublier, J. L. (1991) 'Viscoelastic properties of maize starch/hydrocolloid pastes and gels', *Topics in Catalysis*, 5(5), pp. 455–467. doi: 10.1016/S0268-005X(09)80104-5.

Amiri Aghdaei, S. S. *et al.* (2014) 'Application of Isfarzeh seed (Plantago ovate L.) mucilage as a fat mimetic in mayonnaise', *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 51(10), pp. 2748–2754. doi: 10.1007/s13197-012-0796-7.

Begna, T. (2022) 'Breeding Strategies for Improvement of Drought Tolerant in Crops', 18(3), pp. 177– 184. doi: 10.5829/idosi.wjas.2022.177.184.

Biosci, I. J. *et al.* (2015) 'Evaluation of Polysaccharides isolated from Psyllium husk and their potential for designing controlled release floats of levoflaxacin', *International Journal of Biosciences (IJB)*, 6(12), pp. 90–107. doi: 10.12692/ijb/6.12.90-107.

Cappa, C., Lucisano, M. and Mariotti, M. (2013) 'Influence of Psyllium, sugar beet fibre and water on gluten-free dough properties and bread quality', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 98(2), pp. 1657–1666. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.007.

Christianson, D. *et al.* (1981) 'Gelatinization of wheat starch as modified by xanthan gum, guar gum, and cellulose gum', *Cereal chemistry*, 58(6), pp. 513–517.

Collar, C. (2003) 'Significance of viscosity profile of pasted and gelled formulated wheat doughs on bread staling', *European Food Research and Technology*, 216(6), pp. 505–513. doi: 10.1007/s00217-003-0686-3.

Copeland, L. *et al.* (2009) 'Form and functionality of starch', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 23(6), pp. 1527–1534. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2008.09.016.

Cowley, J. M. *et al.* (2020) 'A small-scale fractionation pipeline for rapid analysis of seed mucilage characteristics', *Plant Methods*, 16(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s13007-020-00569-6.

Cowley, J. M. et al. (2022) 'Rain events at maturity severely impact the seed quality of psyllium

(Plantago ovata Forssk.)', *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, (April), pp. 567–581. doi: 10.1111/jac.12603.

Cowley, J. M. and Burton, R. A. (2021) 'The goo-d stuff: Plantago as a myxospermous model with modern utility', *New Phytologist*, 229(4), pp. 1917–1923. doi: 10.1111/nph.17095.

Cowley, J. M., O'Donovan, L. A. and Burton, R. A. (2021) 'The composition of Australian Plantago seeds highlights their potential as nutritionally-rich functional food ingredients', *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), pp. 1–16. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-92114-1.

Díaz-Calderón, P. *et al.* (2018) 'Changes in gelatinisation and pasting properties of various starches (wheat, maize and waxy maize) by the addition of bacterial cellulose fibrils', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 80, pp. 274–280. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.02.023.

Elli, M. *et al.* (2008) 'Evaluation of prebiotic potential of refined psyllium (Plantago ovata) fiber in healthy women.', *Journal of clinical gastroenterology*, 42 Suppl 3(September), pp. 174–176. doi: 10.1097/mcg.0b013e31817f183a.

Gulfi, M., Arrigoni, E. and Amadò, R. (2005) 'Influence of structure on in vitro fermentability of commercial pectins and partially hydrolysed pectin preparations', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 59(2), pp. 247–255. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2004.09.018.

Gunn, D. *et al.* (2020) 'Contrasting effects of viscous and particulate fibers on colonic fermentation in vitro and in vivo, and their impact on intestinal water studied by MRI in a randomized trial', *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 112(3), pp. 595–602. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqaa173.

Guo, Q. *et al.* (2008) 'Fractionation and physicochemical characterization of psyllium gum', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 73(1), pp. 35–43. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.11.001.

Harrington, B. J. and Hageage, G. J. (2003) 'Calcofluor White: A Review of its Uses and Applications in Clinical Mycology and Parasitology', *Laboratory Medicine*, 34(5), pp. 361–367. doi:

10.1309/eph2tdt8335gh0r3.

Hu, J. L. *et al.* (2013) 'Invitro fermentation of polysaccharide from the seeds of Plantago asiatica L. by human fecal microbiota', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 33(2), pp. 384–392. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.04.006.

Islam, S., Bhuiyan, M. A. R. and Islam, M. N. (2017) 'Chitin and Chitosan: Structure, Properties and Applications in Biomedical Engineering', *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, 25(3), pp. 854–866. doi: 10.1007/s10924-016-0865-5.

Kaur, L. *et al.* (2007) 'Physico-chemical, rheological and structural properties of fractionated potato starches', *Journal of Food Engineering*, 82(3), pp. 383–394. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.02.059.

Khaliq, R. *et al.* (2015) 'Industrial Application Of Psyllium: An Overview', *ACTA Universitatis Cibiniensis*, 67(1), pp. 210–214. doi: 10.1515/aucts-2015-0092.

Liu, H., Eskin, N. A. M. and Cui, S. W. (2003) 'Interaction of wheat and rice starches with yellow mustard mucilage', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 17(6), pp. 863–869. doi: 10.1016/S0268-005X(03)00107-3.

Lowe, S. E. *et al.* (1985) 'Growth of anaerobic rumen fungi on defined and semi-defined media lacking rumen fluid', *Journal of General Microbiology*, 131(9), pp. 2225–2229. doi: 10.1099/00221287-131-9-2225.

Lu, S. *et al.* (2020) 'Cell wall architecture as well as chemical composition determines fermentation of wheat cell walls by a faecal inoculum', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 107(April), p. 105858. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105858.

Mancebo, C. M. *et al.* (2015) 'Optimisation of rheological properties of gluten-free doughs with HPMC, psyllium and different levels of water', *Journal of Cereal Science*, 61, pp. 8–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2014.10.005.

Mariotti, M. *et al.* (2009) 'The role of corn starch, amaranth flour, pea isolate, and Psyllium flour on the rheological properties and the ultrastructure of gluten-free doughs', *Food Research International*, 42(8),

pp. 963–975. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2009.04.017.

Marlett, J. A. and Fischer, M. H. (2002) 'A poorly fermented gel from psyllium seed husk increases excreta moisture and bile acid excretion in rats', *Journal of Nutrition*, 132(9), pp. 2638–2643. doi: 10.1093/jn/132.9.2638.

Marlett, J. A. and Fischer, M. H. (2003) 'The active fraction of psyllium seed husk', *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 62(1), pp. 207–209. doi: 10.1079/pns2002201.

Marteau, P. *et al.* (1994) 'Digestibility and bulking effect of ispaghula husks in healthy humans', *Gut*, 35(12), pp. 1747–1752. doi: 10.1136/gut.35.12.1747.

McRorie, J. W. *et al.* (2021) 'Psyllium: The gel-forming nonfermented isolated fiber that delivers multiple fiber-related health benefits', *Nutrition Today*, 56(4), pp. 169–182. doi: 10.1097/NT.00000000000489.

Milani M. and Maleki G. (2012) 'Hydrocolloids in Food Industry, Food Industrial Processes - Methods and Equipment, Dr. Benjamin Valdez (Ed.)', in *Intech open*, p. 64. doi: 10.5772/32009.

Mortimer, J. C. (2017) *Structural analysis of cell wall polysaccharides using PACE*, *Methods in Molecular Biology*. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-6722-3_16.

Pettolino, F. A. *et al.* (2012) 'Determining the polysaccharide composition of plant cell walls', *Nature Protocols*, 7(9), pp. 1590–1607. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2012.081.

Phan, J. L. *et al.* (2016) 'Differences in glycosyltransferase family 61 accompany variation in seed coat mucilage composition in Plantago spp.', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67(22), pp. 6481–6495. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw424.

Ren, Y., Linter, B. R., *et al.* (2020) 'A comprehensive investigation of gluten free bread dough rheology, proving and baking performance and bread qualities by response surface design and principal component analysis', *Food and Function*, 11(6), pp. 5333–5345. doi: 10.1039/d0fo00115e.

Ren, Y., Yakubov, G. E., et al. (2020) 'Temperature fractionation, physicochemical and rheological 148

analysis of psyllium seed husk heteroxylan', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 104(February), p. 105737. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105737.

Ren, Y., Linter, B. R. and Foster, T. J. (2021) 'Effects of psyllium seed husk powder, methylcellulose, pregelatinised starch, and cold water swelling starch on the production of gluten free crackers', *Food and Function*, 12(17), pp. 7773–7786. doi: 10.1039/d0fo03377d.

Sandhu, K. S., Singh, N. and Malhi, N. S. (2007) 'Some properties of corn grains and their flours I: Physicochemical, functional and chapati-making properties of flours', *Food Chemistry*, 101(3), pp. 938–946. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.02.040.

Satrapai, S. and Suphantharika, M. (2007) 'Influence of spent brewer's yeast β-glucan on gelatinization and retrogradation of rice starch', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 67(4), pp. 500–510. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2006.06.028.

Schirmer, M. *et al.* (2013) 'Physicochemical and morphological characterization of different starches with variable amylose/amylopectin ratio', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 32(1), pp. 52–63. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2012.11.032.

Sciarini, L. S. *et al.* (2009) 'Chemical composition and functional properties of Gleditsia triacanthos gum', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 23(2), pp. 306–313. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2008.02.011.

Shi, X. and BeMiller, J. N. (2002) 'Effects of food gums on viscosities of starch suspensions during pasting', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 50(1), pp. 7–18. doi: 10.1016/S0144-8617(01)00369-1.

Sikora, M., Kowalski, S. and Tomasik, P. (2008) 'Binary hydrocolloids from starches and xanthan gum', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 22(5), pp. 943–952. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2007.05.007.

Song, J. Y. *et al.* (2006) 'Pasting properties of non-waxy rice starch-hydrocolloid mixtures', *Starch/Staerke*, 58(5), pp. 223–230. doi: 10.1002/star.200500459.

Stearns, F. W. (2010) 'One hundred years of pleiotropy: A retrospective', Genetics, 186(3), pp. 767-

773. doi: 10.1534/genetics.110.122549.

Sullo, A. and Foster, T. J. (2010) 'Characterisation of Starch / Cellulose blends', *Annual Transactions of the Nordic Rheology*, 18, pp. 1–7.

Tucker, M. R. *et al.* (2017a) 'Dissecting the genetic basis for seed coat mucilage heteroxylan biosynthesis in plantago ovata using gamma irradiation and infrared spectroscopy', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8(March), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00326.

Tucker, M. R. *et al.* (2017b) 'Dissecting the Genetic Basis for Seed Coat Mucilage Heteroxylan Biosynthesis in Plantago ovata Using Gamma Irradiation and Infrared Spectroscopy', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8(March), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00326.

Varela, M. S., Navarro, A. S. and Yamul, D. K. (2016) 'Effect of hydrocolloids on the properties of wheat/potato starch mixtures', *Starch/Staerke*, 68(7–8), pp. 753–761. doi: 10.1002/star.201400257.

Vizir, I. Y. and Mulligan, B. J. (1999) 'Genetics of gamma-irradiation-induced mutations in Arabidopsis thaliana: Large chromosomal deletions can be rescued through the fertilization of diploid eggs', *Journal of Heredity*, 90(3), pp. 412–417. doi: 10.1093/jhered/90.3.412.

Voiniciuc, C. *et al.* (2015) 'Starting to gel: How arabidopsis seed coat epidermal cells produce specialized secondary cell walls', *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 16(2), pp. 3452–3473. doi: 10.3390/ijms16023452.

Williams, B. A. *et al.* (2005) 'An in vitro batch culture method to assess potential fermentability of feed ingredients for monogastric diets', *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 123-124 Pa, pp. 445–462. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2005.04.031.

Williams, B. A. *et al.* (2011) 'In vitro fermentation kinetics and end-products of cereal arabinoxylans and (1,3;1,4)-β-glucans by porcine faeces', *Journal of Cereal Science*, 53(1), pp. 53–58. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2010.09.003.

Yang, H. J. *et al.* (2014) 'Effect of melamine on in vitro rumen microbial growth, methane production and fermentation of Chinese wild rye hay and maize meal in binary mixtures', *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 152(4), pp. 686–696. doi: 10.1017/S0021859613000725.

Yao, H. *et al.* (2022) 'Absolute abundance values reveal microbial shifts and co-occurrence patterns during gut microbiota fermentation of dietary fibres in vitro', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 127(December 2021), p. 107422. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.107422.

Yu, L. *et al.* (2017) 'Multi-layer mucilage of Plantago ovata seeds: Rheological differences arise from variations in arabinoxylan side chains', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 165, pp. 132–141. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.02.038.

Yu, L. *et al.* (2018) 'Rheological and structural properties of complex arabinoxylans from Plantago ovata seed mucilage under non-gelled conditions', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 193(March), pp. 179–188. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.03.096.

Zandonadi, R. P., Botelho, R. B. A. and Araújo, W. M. C. (2009) 'Psyllium as a Substitute for Gluten in Bread', *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109(10), pp. 1781–1784. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2009.07.032.

Zhou, Z. *et al.* (2007) 'Effect of the addition of fatty acids on rice starch properties', *Food Research International*, 40(2), pp. 209–214. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2006.10.006.

Chapter 3 - Structure, composition and functionality of P. ovata mutants

CHAPTER 4

The effect of fractionated psyllium (Plantago ovata) husk and whole

seed flour on rice flour and starch cooking properties

Statement of Authorship

Title of Paper	The effect of fractionated ps on rice flour and starch coo	yllium (<i>Plantago ovata</i>) husk and whole seed flour king properties
Publication Status	Published	Accepted for Publication
	Submitted for Publication	Unpublished and Unsubmitted work written in manuscript style
Publication Details	Tharuka Jayampathi, Jame Foster & Rachel Burton	s Cowley, Dayna Jeffers, Gleb Yakubov, Timothy

Principal Author

Name of Principal Author (Candidate)	Tharuka Jayampathi								
Contribution to the Paper	Conceived the study, performed experiments, analysed, and interpreted data, wrote the manuscript								
Overall percentage (%)	75%								
Certification:	This paper reports on original research I conducted during the period of my Higher Degree by Research candidature and is not subject to any obligations or contractual agreements with a third party that would constrain its inclusion in this thesis. I am the primary author of this paper.								
Signature	Date 12.07.2022								

Co-Author Contributions

By signing the Statement of Authorship, each author certifies that:

- i. the candidate's stated contribution to the publication is accurate (as detailed above).
- ii. permission is granted for the candidate in include the publication in the thesis; and
- iii. the sum of all co-author contributions is equal to 100% less the candidate's stated contribution.

Name of Co-Author	James Cowley		
Contribution to the Paper	Contributed to interpretation of data and writing t	he manuso	pript
Signature		Date	9/8/22

Name of Co-Author	Dayna Jeffers		
Contribution to the Paper	Conceived the study, performed preliminary bak manuscript	ing experir	nent, and contributed to writing the
Signature		Date	26/8/22

Name of Co. Author	Clab Valuebarr		
Name of Co-Author	Gleb Takubov		
Contribution to the Paper	Conceived the study, supervised and contributed	to writing	the manuscript
Signature		Date	23/08/2022
Name of Co-Author	Tim Foster		
Contribution to the Paper	Conceived the study, supervised and contributed	to writing	the manuscript
Signature		Date	18/08/2022
Name of Co-Author	Rachel A. Burton		
Contribution to the Paper	Conceived the study, supervised and contributed	d to writing	the manuscript
Signature		Date	09/08/22

The effect of fractionated psyllium (*Plantago ovata*) husk and whole seed flour on rice flour and starch cooking properties

Tharuka Jayampathi¹, James M. Cowley¹, Dayna Jeffers², Gleb Yakubov², Tim Foster² & Rachel A. Burton¹

¹School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, University of Adelaide, Waite Campus, Urrbrae, SA, Australia

²School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough, Leicestershire, UK

Abstract (250 Words)

Wetted seeds of many plant species release viscous polysaccharide-rich gels called mucilage which are emerging as important food hydrocolloid ingredients. Plantago ovata seed mucilage has excellent properties as a food hydrocolloid but narrow plant genetic variation means that variability in technofunctionality is limited and innovative strategies for producing additives with tailored outcomes are needed. Here, we produced three fractions, namely cold water soluble, hot water soluble and residue, from P. ovata husk and whole seed flour (WSF) and assessed their influence on starch cooking using rapid-visco analysis (RVA) and gluten-free (GF) baking. The addition of husk, WSF or each derived fraction individually produced wide differences in RVA profiles of rice flour or rice starch combinations. Unfractionated husk and WSF reduced the pasting temperature and overall paste viscosity and produced high-quality GF bread. More soluble fractions contributed to starch paste retrogradation rather than enhancing hot paste viscosity but improved the texture and guality of GF bread. Comparatively, residue fractions significantly reduced pasting temperatures and increased paste viscosity in RVA tests and produced denser GF bread than controls. This was attributed to strong selfassociation within the fractions leading to phase separation from starch in the combined mixtures. Importantly, the function of each fraction was weaker than the corresponding whole unfractionated material suggesting that native assembly of the husk/WSF particles is necessary to provide maximum functionality in GF bread production. Thus, a balance between network formation, water binding and microstructural effects is likely to be key to effective GF bread production using P. ovata.

Introduction

Fractionation of polysaccharide mixtures obtained from various sources found in nature has the potential to provide polysaccharides with different structures, functionality and rheology useful as additives to tailor food product properties. Researchers have fractionated a number of such polysaccharide mixtures, producing a range of different products. Shi *et al.* (2017) fractionated polysaccharides from aloe vera leaf skin resulting in products with various structures and compositions and suggested their application as a low-cost gelling agent alternative in medicinal products and foods such as aloe jelly and drinks. Mandalari *et al.* (2005) produced arabinoxylan fractions from wheat bran and brewers' spent grain with different branching, solubilities and substitutions for food and non-food uses, according to their differing gelling behaviours. Wang *et al.* (2021) fractionated barley water-soluble fibre with different viscosities and gelling abilities and suggested the potential application of these fractions to tailor the properties of beverages and other liquid products and for use as thickeners and stabilizers.

Psyllium husk is used not only as a source of natural dietary fibre but is also widely employed in the pharmaceutical and food industries with major applications as a stabilizer in gluten-free bread (Ren, Linter, *et al.*, 2020). It is derived by milling the outer polysaccharide coating off commercially grown *Plantago ovata* seeds. Unmilled *P. ovata* seeds display at least three mucilage layers (L1, L2 and L3) when imbibed in water, producing a viscoelastic shell surrounding the seeds that allows water retention (Yu *et al.*, 2017). Xylose and arabinose are found in abundance within the seed mucilage, based on observations made by Fischer *et al.* (2004) and Marlett and Fischer (2003) who first determined that *P. ovata* mucilage polysaccharides are formed of arabinose-xylose branches bonded to a xylose backbone structure that they termed arabinoxylans, although this typically better describes cereal xylans. More accurately, *Plantago* mucilage polysaccharides are classed as heteroxylans where rhamnose and galacturonic acid are also found within the mucilage, as part of the substitutions, as outlined in the studies by Yu *et al.* (2017) and Cowley *et al.* (2020). Mannose, glucose and galactose are present in

both the mucilage and seed tissues and are classified as fermentable sugars. Yu et al. (2017) performed fractionation based on mucilage density combined with temperature and alkaline extraction, producing the three sequential fractions: cold water (CW), hot water (HW) and alkaline soluble (KOH). The CW fraction, which is found in layer L1, is highly water soluble due partly to being rich in galacturonic acid residues. It was found that the average molecular weights of the polysaccharides were quite similar in all three fractions, however their hydrodynamic shapes seem to differ which was attributed to heavier branching, a smaller molecular volume through self-association and the presence of compact conformations in alkaline solutions. Although KOH fractions had a very similar composition to HW fractions and were both neutral, the HW fraction had a comparatively more open conformation and displayed differences in susceptibility to hydrolytic enzymes. Therefore, the distribution of side chains and atypical linkages in the main chain may give rise to the observed rheological differences between the HW and KOH fractions. Ren et al. (2020) performed temperature-dependent fractionation to reveal structural trends impacting solubilisation temperatures, extracting polymers from P. ovata seed husk (psyllium husk) at 20, 40, 60, 80, 100 °C. Of the temperature-separated fractions obtained, F20 had the highest yield after the residue was fractionated further into F40, F60, F80, F100 and dust (heat insoluble), where L1 = F20, L2 = F40 + F60, L3 = F80 + F100 + dust. Ren et al. (2020) noted that this cold soluble fraction (F20) had the lowest ratio of arabinose to xylose (A:X) at 0.298. In general, this would mean that for every xylose comprising the backbone, there are 0.298 arabinose side chain additions but for *P. ovata* this is complicated by the presence of xylose side chain additions, so A:X can only be used as a proxy or estimate for branching level. It was concluded that higher temperature fractions had greater A:X values and were thus more heavily substituted. It was observed that higher temperature-soluble fractions exerted more hydrogen bonding between side chains. The gelation mechanism of psyllium is very distinct from other polysaccharides and is mainly caused by hydrogen bonding and 'interlacing' between side chains rather than backbone-backbone junctions which are prevented from forming due to very high decoration of the backbone by side chains (Yu et al., 2019). The distinct differences in the gel strength, rheology and melting properties of these comb-like

heteroxylan fractions could be caused by differences in hydrogen bonding capacity of xylopyranose and the arabinofuranose units, variation in carbohydrate sequences, xylose/arabinose arrangement of side chains and variation in substitution motifs (Yu et al., 2018). Guo et al. (2008) divided psyllium mucilage into three fractions, namely a water extractable fraction WE, one alkali extracted fraction AES 0.5 which was also water soluble and AEG 0.5 which was water insoluble and constituted the majority of the mucilage. All fractions consisted primarily of xylose and arabinose but with key structural differences. WE and AEG 0.5 displayed $1 \rightarrow 4$ and $1 \rightarrow 3$ linkages in the main chain and the side chains connected by O-3 and O-2 linkages, while AES 0.5 had $1 \rightarrow 3$ linkages and side chains in the O-3 position. WE was found to be more branched with more complicated side chains while AES 0.5 was the simplest structurally. The three fractions displayed different gelling capacities. Fraction AEG formed a weak gel when in contact with water, and while its rheological properties were not compared with other fractions in the study, was deemed responsible for the gelling properties of psyllium husk. In addition, this gel fraction was found to have no sharp melting point or display thermal hysteresis. Guo et al. (2009) and Yu et al. (2017) made similar observations, showing that the CW fraction (comparable to Guo's WE fraction) had liquid-like behaviour (tan $\delta > 1$) while the KOH fraction (comparable to Guo's AEG fraction) exhibited strong solid/gel-like behaviour (tan $\delta < 1$). They also suggested that psyllium forms a 'physical gel' through sidechain interlacing rather than a chemical one where backbone junction zones are formed.

Cowley *et al.* (2020) sequentially extracted fractions from *P. ovata* seed using cold water, hot water and physical force and used microscopy to demonstrate how the mucilage layers were removed from around the seed at each extraction step. Based on observations from the fractionation and microscopy work, it is hypothesized that the *Plantago* mucilage forms a 3D network which reinforces the layered structure of the mucilage and imbues it with at least some of the properties which are advantageous to mucilaginous seeds (Cowley and Burton, 2021). The water affinity of seed mucilage aids in maintaining hydration during germination as well as modulating seed dispersal (Kreitschitz, Kovalev and Gorb, 2015; Pan, Girvin and LoPresti, 2022) and influencing the interactions between the seed and soil (Yang *et al.*, 2012;

Teixeira et al., 2019; Pan et al., 2021). Strong mucilage envelopes around seeds have been shown to greatly increase the chances of seedlings establishing in harsh environmental conditions (Witztum, 1978; Gutterman & Shem-Tov, 1996; Teixeira et al., 2019), particularly those in arid areas and influenced by unstable weather patterns (Huang et al., 2008; Cowley and Burton, 2021). Adaptive differences have been found among *Plantago* populations where increased stresses related to water deficiency led to higher seed mucilage contents (Teixeira et al., 2019). Yu et al. (2017) suggests that the cold water soluble arabinoxylan fraction of psyllium drives an eruption of mucilage from the seed upon contact with water and undergoes gelation to form a viscoelastic shell which leads to retention of water molecules in close proximity to the seed itself. This model was corroborated by Phan et al. (2020). Therefore, the differences between the structure of the arabinoxylans in the different layers leads to formation of mucilage gel layers which may act as a water reservoir to provide a protective effect under environmental stresses such as heat, drought and salinity. However, although it has been hypothesized that the orchestration of the different mucilage layers is important for biological function in a plant growth context, it is unknown how important the layer organization is to the functionality and behaviour of Plantago mucilage in food systems. Therefore, in this study P. ovata husk, and for the first time, whole seed flour, were temperature fractionated, and the technofunctional influence of their addition to rice flour and starch was studied using rapid visco analysis (RVA) and baking experiments.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Plantago ovata whole seed flour (WSF) was produced and milled as per Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton (2021). Psyllium husk powder (VITACEL®) was obtained from JRS (J.Rettenmaier & Söhne Group, Rosenberg, Germany). Food grade psyllium was purchased from BuyWholeFoodsOnline.co.uk, originating in India. Caster sugar, salt and sunflower oil were purchased from Sainsbury's, UK. DCL instant dried yeast and Gluten-free Rice Flour were both donated by Elizabeth Starr, University of Nottingham. Rice flour was purchased from Doves Farms (United Kingdom). Rice starch (S7260) for rapid visco analysis (RVA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany).

Fractionation of Psyllium WSF and husk

A 0.5 g amount of WSF or husk was added to 100 ml RO water in a 300 ml glass beaker and placed in a water bath. Using overhead stirrers rotating at 300 rpm, the material was mixed at 20 °C for 1 hour in order to solubilise all available cold-soluble fractions. Solutions were divided amongst 50 ml centrifugation bottles and spun for 20 minutes at 2999 RCF at 20 °C, using a Rotina 380R centrifuge.

After centrifugation, the supernatant (F1 -cold soluble) and pellet were separated. The pellet was redispersed in RO water and the extraction was repeated with a mixing temperature 60 °C whilst all other parameters remained the same. The supernatant was decanted (F2– heat soluble), and pellet (F3– heat insoluble) was separated. All fractions were decanted into aluminium trays and placed in the drying oven at 105 °C for a minimum of 24 hours (Table 4-1).

Each sample was weighed prior to and post-drying to calculate dry weight and percentage yields of cold soluble, heat soluble and heat insoluble fractions.

Fractionation was scaled up to extract sufficient weights of each fraction for RVA, monosaccharide analysis and bread making.

Monosaccharide analysis

Monosaccharide analysis of WSF and husk fractions was carried out using reversed-phase HPLC of 1phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazoline (PMP) derivatives according to Comino *et al.* (2013) on a 1260LC (Agilent, USA) with modifications as follows. Solutions at 10% acetonitrile in 40mM ammonium acetate (pH 6.8) (A) and (B) 70% Acetonitrile were used as eluents with a total run time of 11.5 minutes at a constant flow rate of 0.8 ml/min in the following gradients: 92% A and 8% B for 9.3 minutes, 83% A and 17% B for 0.7 minutes, 100% B for 1.5 minutes.

Preparation of rice flour/Plantago flour suspensions

Twenty-five milligrams (1% addition) of husk and fractions or 100 mg (4% addition) of WSF was blended with 2.5 g of rice flour or rice starch in an RVA canister. Twenty-four grams of deionised water was added to the mixture and homogenised briefly by vigorous stirring. Control samples lacking *P. ovata* WSF, husk or fractions were prepared using the same protocol.

Measurement of pasting properties

Pasting properties of rice flour-WSF/husk/fractions and rice starch- blends WSF/husk/fractions were determined by Rapid Visco-Analysis using an RVA Super 4 (Newport Scientific, Australia) for standard temperature pasting (<100 °C) based on Diaz-Calderon *et al.* (2018) with modifications similar to Cowley (2020). Suspensions were prepared as above in the RVA canister, held at 25°C for 2 min before heating to 95 °C (standard temperature) at 12°C/min, holding at 95°C for 2.5 min before cooling to 25 °C at 12 °C/min, where they were held for a final 2-minute period. During the first minute, the suspension was homogenised at 960 RPM, followed by constant stirring at 160 RPM for the rest of the test.

The following pasting parameters were extracted in triplicate: pasting temperature, peak viscosity, trough viscosity, breakdown viscosity, final viscosity, and setback viscosity.

Preliminary baking performance of Plantago ovata husk and flour fractions

The impact of the addition of *P. ovata* husk, WSF, and fractions on the baking quality of gluten-free breads was assessed following a procedure and formulations based on Ren et al. (2020) (Table 4-2). Water and oil were added to the dry ingredients and mixed in a multi-speed tilt-head stand mixer (Chef Premier, Kenwood Ltd, UK) with a silicone-edged creaming beater (AT501, Kenwood Ltd) for 7 minutes at a constant speed. With exact mass recorded, 230–240 g of dough was transferred to a medium-sized disposable aluminium tray lined with sunflower oil and rice starch. Loaves were proofed for 85 minutes at 30 °C before baking at 230 °C for 40 minutes in a deck oven (Compacta, Tom Chandley, UK). Loaves were cooled for 1 hr before measurements were taken.

Loaves were cut into 20 mm-thick slices and a mid-slice loaf height and median crumb brightness were measured from a representative central slice using a C-Cell Bread Imaging System (Calibre Control International Ltd., UK). Texture profile analysis (TPA) was performed on a representative slice using a texture analyser (TA.HD plus, Stable Micro Systems, UK) fitted with a 3mm indentation sphere. The hardness and springiness of the crumb was extracted using the standard TPA macro. Crumb moisture content was determined from a sample of crumb after drying at 105 °C for 24 hours.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical differences in pasting properties between samples and the control and fractions and their unfractionated counterparts were determined by independent Student's *t*-test in Microsoft Excel.

Results / Discussion

Physical properties of *P. ovata* husk and WSF fractions

The fractionation of *P. ovata* husk and whole seed flour (WSF) was carried out to yield three fractions F1, F2 and F3. The physical appearance of these dried fractions is shown in Supplementary Figure 4-1. The starting material of psyllium husk was off-white to light brown in colour. When stirred at 20 °C and then centrifuged the resulting supernatant was slightly viscous, free of particles and completely clear with a slight yellowish hue. The HF1 (F1 from husk) fraction dried to form a pale yellowish-brown glossy film that could easily be peeled from the container it was dried in. The WF2 (F2 from WSF) fraction, originally extracted at 60 °C, was very viscous with a jelly-like consistency, transparent, particle free and almost colourless. Once it was dried it formed a film which was glossy but lighter in colour than HF1. HF2 (F2 from husk) had the lowest yield out of the three fractions. The pellet remaining after extracting HF3 (F3 from husk) was dense and gel-like containing large amounts of suspended insoluble particles giving it a brown colour. Once dried this was dull brown with a rough texture. HF3 was the largest of the three fractions. Of all the dried materials HF1 was darker in colour since it was extracted first and therefore contained a larger amount of pigments that changed colour during high-temperature drying (Supplementary Figure 4-1). Cowley et al. (2022) states that non-husk tissues of P. ovata seeds contain colour-changing pigments, which may affect organoleptic properties when applied in food systems. The darker colour of HF2 was due to pigments extracted from low levels of contaminant nonhusk tissues in the husk sample while that of HF3 was primarily due to presence of the insoluble particles.

The fractionation of *P. ovata* WSF was carried out as for husk fractionation. The WF1 separated from the supernatant was much less viscous compared to the HF1 fraction but clear and free of particles. It also appeared browner in colour while in solution. During the drying process the colour changed to black so the final material was glossy dark black and film-like. HF2 when in solution was more viscous than HF1 but less viscous compared to the jelly-like consistency of HF3 (Supplementary Figure 4-1). It dried

into a light brown glossy film. The WF1 fraction was much more pigmented as it was extracted first and thus contained more concentrated pigments. The subsequent fractions did not contain so much pigment. WF3 was the largest of the fractions, similar to HF3. However, it was darker and had a sandy, rough texture. It didn't contain insoluble particles suspended in a thick gel as for HF3, as it had a less gel-like property with more grainy insoluble material. WF3 appeared to have the least polysaccharide material and highest insoluble particulate material out of all fractions. Therefore, when applied in similar quantities to the other fractions to pasting of rice flour and rice starch experiments, they may show different properties which will be discussed in the context of rapid visco analysis.

Monosaccharide composition of Plantago ovata WSF and husk fractions

Monosaccharide analysis of the fractionated husk and whole seed flour of P. ovata revealed that xylose and arabinose are the major components of each mucilage fraction as shown in Table 4-3, similar to previous findings (Cowley et al., 2020; Phan et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2008). Guo et al. (2008) suggests that there is a variable monosaccharide composition between the fractions which gives rise to different structural features, although the abundance of xylose and arabinose in all fractions suggest a similar main structure of heteroxylan, where a xylose backbone is heavily substituted by arabinose. Significant amounts of rhamnose and galacturonic acid were found in the fractions extracted first in cold water (HF1 and WF1) of both husk and WSF, which indicates the presence of pectin (Table 4-1) similar to reports by Cowley et al. (2020) and Phan et al. (2016). Both second fractions (HF2 and WF2) had lower amounts of galacturonic acid and none was present in HF3 or WF3. Guo et al. (2008) made similar observations regarding uronic acid content in WE, which is water soluble and the AES0.5 fraction which dissolves in 0.5 M NaOH, but none was present in AEG0.5 which is insoluble in water and 0.5 M NaOH. Interestingly the A:X ratio varied significantly, rising with the extraction intensity required to yield the husk fractions, with the lowest A:X for the highly soluble HF1 extracted in cold water and the highest for HF3 which is a residual fraction with low solubility in hot or cold water. The same trend was observed for WSF fractions although A:X was higher in WSF fractions overall

compared to corresponding husk fractions. Yu *et al.* (2017) observed increasing A:X in cold water, hot water, and KOH fractions whilst Ren *et al.* (2020) reported increasing A:X in higher temperatureextracted fractions. Many arabinoxylans from cereals with simple structures have increased solubility with a higher A:X, since side chains containing arabinose interfere with the packing of xylan backbones (Mandalari *et al.*, 2005). By contrast, Ren *et al.* (2020) suggests that psyllium mucilage fractions are less extractable with increasing A:X and suggests the solubility of psyllium is dependent on factors other than changes in backbone packing. A:X increases correlated with rising resistance to extraction were also observed by Guo *et al.* (2008), Yu *et al.* (2017) and Cowley *et al.* (2020). The higher A:X of WSF fractions in comparison (Table 4-1) to its husk fraction counterpart may suggest that the WSF fractions are less soluble in comparison to each respective husk fraction. The increase of A:X indicates more complex branching in the difficult-to-extract fractions and also those fractions likely have stronger water-binding properties. Due to the variation in A:X across fractions, average A:X in the sum of fractions is still lower than the HF3 and WF3 fractions. These differences may impart different functionalities of the fractions and the way that they affect starch cooking properties.

Pasting properties of rice starch and rice flour blended with Plantago WSF and husk fractions

Pasting Temperature

Heating of rice flour-water suspensions leads to pasting, embodied by a sudden increase in viscosity, and mimics the cooking process in starch-based foods. The starch granules absorb water, swell and finally rupture, leaching out amylose to produce a viscous paste (Sandhu, Singh and Malhi, 2007; Batey, 2007) which has a continuous phase of dissolved starch molecules and a dispersed phase comprising granule fragments and swollen intact starch granules (Bemiller, 2011). The temperature at which the viscosity increases is the pasting temperature and precedes the gelatinization temperature; the viscosity usually increases after the starch granules are completely gelatinized. Peak time is when the starch paste reaches its peak viscosity (Zhou *et al.*, 2007) and peak temperature is when the starch granules reach maximum swelling (Batey 2007; Balet *et al.*, 2019). In the absence of hydrocolloids, the

swelling process is controlled by the starch micellar networks, orchestrated by the interaction of amylose and amylopectin molecules (Rosell, Yokoyama and Shoemaker, 2011; Rojas, Rosell and Barber, 1999). During gelatinization, a continuous phase is formed by the leached amylose and low molecular weight amylopectin which is dispersed amongst swollen starch granules. Alternatively, in the presence of hydrocolloids a phase separation is seen where a hydrocolloid-rich continuous phase is isolated within amylose and amylopectin rich domains (Alloncle et al., 1989). Sikora, Kowalski and Tomasik (2008) concluded that gelation of starch in composite pastes proceeds under conditions of water deficiency, particularly when the starch/hydrocolloids are present in higher concentrations and/or lower water is found in the medium. During gelatinization the leached amylose and low molecular weight amylopectin interact with the hydrocolloids present in the continuous phase resulting in the alteration of pasting depending on the type and concentration of hydrocolloid (Shi and BeMiller, 2002). These interactions can be synergistic and result in composites with superior properties to the individual components alone. which is advantageous in food formulation scenarios. There is limited literature available on the effect of psyllium addition to the pasting properties of rice flour (Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, 2013; Mancebo et al., 2015; Cowley, 2020). Here, the addition of unfractionated husk or HF3 caused a significant reduction of pasting temperature in comparison to the control (p<0.05) (Figure 4-1). Cowley (2020) also observed a reduction of pasting temperatures of rice starch and rice flour upon addition of unfractionated Plantago WSF. HF1 and HF2-augmented mixtures however did not show a significant difference from control (p>0.05). The performance of fractions HF1 and HF2 were significantly different from the unfractionated husk, while HF3 was similar to husk. Of the three fractions, HF3 displayed the largest degree of pasting temperature reduction, possibly due to its higher water binding ability as related to its higher A:X (Table 4-1). WF1 and WF2 exhibited different attributes compared to unfractionated WSF (P<0.05) as shown in Figure 4-2). WF3 showed a similar reduction of pasting temperature to WSF, which was much higher than WF2 and WF1. Like WF2, HF2 did not produce a significant difference from the control (p>0.05). In rice starch pastes the presence of HF1, HF3 or whole husk all changed the pasting temperature significantly from the control (p<0.05) and to the same extent
(Figure 4-3). WSF fractions performed differently to WSF (P<0.05) as shown in Figure 4-4. The highest reduction of the pasting temperature was observed in WSF-rice starch blends where the addition of 4% WSF conferred the greatest reduction of pasting temperature at >5°C, probably due to the less complex nature of rice starch in comparison to rice flour, as shown in Figure 4-4. However, F1 and F2 fractions provided weaker reductions in pasting temperatures (Figure 4-5 and 4-6) in comparison to unfractionated husk, WSF, HF3 and WF3, which suggests that the polysaccharides responsible for the reduction of pasting temperature in the WSF and husk are concentrated in the F3 fraction.

Mancebo et al. (2015) also noticed a reduction of pasting temperatures at lower addition rates of psyllium into rice flour pastes. Similar observations were made by Ren, Linter and Foster, (2021) who suggests that this may be due to water and volume competition between starch and psyllium hydrocolloids. The presence of xanthan gum has been shown to enhance the initial stages of granule swelling due to higher viscosity in the suspension (Christianson et al., 1981; Sullo and Foster, 2010). Similarly, the high water affinity of psyllium polysaccharides may increase the viscosity of the continuous phase of dispersed hydrocolloids, hastening the initial stages of starch granule swelling. Díaz-Calderón et al., (2018) concludes that small quantities of amylose leached during early stages of starch granule swelling could interact with hydrocolloids thereby enhancing the pasting. Such an interaction is hypothesised to occur in mixtures of native corn starch and xanthan gum hydrocolloids Zhang et al. (2018). Polysaccharides from some of the psyllium mucilage fractions seem to show a similar interaction with leached amylose thereby hastening the pasting. Cowley et al. (2020) hypothesised that the hastening of pasting onset upon WSF addition from different *Plantago* species was at least partly a result of amylose interactions with heteroxylan. Such interactions in the presence of such high levels of backbone substitution (which typically prohibit strong heterotypic associations) were hypothesised to be a result of helical associations from regularly substituted domains which allow conformations where backbone is available for interaction in the presence of high substitution.

Peak Viscosity

Addition of WSF and husk had a large impact on the peak viscosity of rice flour with a >30% increase compared to the control (Figure 4-1 and 4-2). HF1, HF2 and HF3 performed significantly differently to unfractionated husk added to a rice flour paste (P<0.05) as shown in Figure 4-1 but HF1 and HF2 did not show a significant difference from the control (P>0.05). HF3 and unfractionated husk displayed the highest peak viscosity changes in rice flour paste. Similarly, WF1, WF2 and WF3 imparted significant differences in peak viscosity as compared to unfractionated WSF, shown in Figure 4-2. While WF1 and WF2 showed no significant difference from the control, WF3 rice flour pastes showed the highest peak viscosity out of the three WSF fractions. For husk fractions and rice starch pastes, whole husk and HF3 had a similar viscosity (P<0.05), as demonstrated in Figure 4-3. HF3 conferred a higher peak viscosity than HF1. Peak viscosity of rice starch pastes supplemented with WF1 and WF3 (Figure 4-4) were significantly different when WSF was added. Again, the addition of WF3 gave rise to a higher peak viscosity in rice starch pastes than WF1. The F1 and F2 fractions with lower branching and water affinity seem to have little or no effect on starch breakdown during the pasting stage. However, the F3 fraction with higher branching and containing abundant particulate matter could be self-associating, leading to a phase separation and water-reduced conditions in the starch phase, encouraging starchstarch homotypic interactions and thereby increasing the viscosity in the medium during the cooking stage.

For rice starch pastes, the inclusion of WSF, husk or HF3 prompted an increase in viscosity >10% (Figure 4-3 and 4-4), but when WF3 was added the viscosity increase was less. Fractions F1 and F2 did not cause any considerable increase in the viscosity of both rice starch and flour pastes. This suggests that F3 fractions possess stronger water binding abilities compared to F1 and F2 and that most of the water binding and viscosifying effects of WSF and husk is imparted by the polysaccharides found in the F3 fraction. F3 fractions have higher solubilisation temperatures and Ren *et al.*, (2020b) found these fractions to have higher A:X ratios with greater degrees of substitution, thus creating the

comb-like structure. The viscosity peak of samples containing F3 was sharper than F1 or F2 mixtures, suggesting an exponential increase of junction zones, possibly due to a different fold structure which would affect binary gel formation. F2 fractions produced the lowest final viscosity value, with a significant difference between them and their F3 counterparts. Ren *et al.* (2020b) proposed that F2 displays a twisted ribbon structure as opposed to the F3 fractions which undergo a coil to helix transformation. Given that F3 fractions comprise the majority of the WSF and HUSK materials, (70.22% and 64.66%, respectively) these results indicate that the behaviours in mixtures are driven mainly by the F3 fractions, indicating that they are mostly responsible for the viscosifying ability of psyllium.

Mancebo et al. (2015) observed a slight increase in peak viscosity with low level additions of unfractionated psyllium husk to rice flour. Similarly, Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, (2013) observed an increase in peak viscosity when psyllium was added to gluten free dough also containing sugar beet fibre. These increases are due to the strong hydrophilic nature of the polysaccharides in psyllium which impart a high-water binding ability (Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, 2013; Mancebo et al., 2015). Alloncle and Doublier, (1991) described hydrocolloid-starch blends as a system where the starch granules are dispersed in a continuous phase of hydrocolloid solution. Therefore, peak viscosity could be affected by changes in each phase as well as the interactions between them. These sort of systems containing strong water-binding hydrocolloids could thicken the starch suspension and increase the forces affecting starch granules, thereby hastening the onset of pasting viscosity as well as increasing the final peak viscosity (Christianson et al., 1981). Sullo and Foster, (2010) state that pasting properties of starch hydrocolloid blends not only depend on the viscosity and behaviour of each component but also to the interactions between the components. The whole husk, WSF, HF3 and WF3 display the largest increases in peak viscosity. The A/X ratios of these are higher than the F1 and F2 fractions (Table 4-1), which suggests they have stronger water binding properties. Therefore, the increased peak viscosity could be due to the increased effective concentration of starch granules due to the high-water affinity of psyllium mucilage fractions promoting starch-starch interactions as well as interactions between the starch granules and the mucilage polysaccharides.

Trough and Breakdown Viscosity

When starch pastes in the RVA are maintained at the highest temperature (holding period) the viscosity reduces due to thixotropy leading to a trough in viscosity. At the pasting temperature, the starch granules absorb a lot of water which then leads to melting of the crystalline regions of the starch granule, promoting rapid entry of water into the granule and rapid swelling before the rupture and disintegration of granules, reducing the volume occupied by the granules and thereby decreasing the viscosity during the holding period (Batey, 2007).

The breakdown viscosity is the difference between the peak viscosity and the trough viscosity which is the lowest viscosity reached during the holding stage. Addition of unfractionated husk and HF3 into rice flour pastes led to a significant increase in trough viscosity (P<0.05) while HF2 addition was similar to the control as shown in Figure 4-1. Trough viscosities resulting from adding WF1, WF2 and WF3 to rice flour were significantly different from that of the unfractionated WSF (Figure 4-2). WF1 and WF2 did not increase trough viscosity compared to the control, and although WF3 promoted some increase, it was less than the change caused by the addition of unfractionated WSF. HF3 and unfractionated husk caused a similar increase of trough viscosity in rice starch mixtures (P>0.05) as shown in Figure 4-3. WF1 and both 4% WSF and 1% husk-rice flour blends maintained a trough viscosity over 20% higher than the control, also much higher than the F1 and F2 fractions derived from both WSF and husk (Figure 4-1 and 4-2). WF3 and HF3 fractions promoted the higher viscosity compared to other fractions. WF3 and HF3 had a similar effect to their unfractionated counterparts on trough viscosity (Figure 4-1, 4-2 and 4-5). WF3 showed a significantly different effect on trough viscosity when compared to unfractionated WSF in rice starch pastes as shown in Figure 4-4. Here again, WF3 increased the trough viscosity but to a lesser degree compared to unfractionated WSF while HF3 showed a similar performance to unfractionated husk. The lower viscosifying ability of WF3 could be due to the higher content of insoluble particulate matter present in the fraction which proportionally lowers the percentage of polysaccharides. Husk, WSF and HF3 promote the highest resistance to disintegration of the starch

network. Similarly, husk, WSF and HF3 maintained a higher viscosity in rice starch mucilage blends, with HF3 responsible for significantly higher viscosity than the others (Figure 4-3, 4-4 and 4-6). F1 and F2 fractions had no significant effect on the trough viscosity of both rice flour and rice starch pastes.

Unfractionated husk at a 1% addition level displayed a higher breakdown viscosity than 4% WSF in both rice flour and rice starch (Figure 4-5 and 4-6). The breakdown viscosity of both WF3 and HF3 were much higher than the F1 and F2 fractions in rice flour pastes but lower than their whole mucilage counterparts (Figure 4-1 and 4-2). In rice starch pastes, HF1 and HF3 did not show a significant difference from husk (P>0.05) or from the control (Figure 4-3). WSF, WF1 and WF3 addition did not change the breakdown viscosity significantly from the control (Figure 4-4). Trough and breakdown viscosity can be affected by various factors such as shear force, composition of the starch paste, type of starch used, and temperature gradients (Schirmer, Jekle and Becker, 2015; Copeland *et al.*, 2009). Satrapai and Suphantharika, (2007) concluded that hydrocolloids reduce water availability for granule swelling and increase shear force exerted on swollen granules, increasing breakdown.

Final and Setback Viscosity

Setback viscosity is determined by the difference between the peak viscosity and the final viscosity (Zhou *et al.*, 2007). A cooling stage follows the holding period of the RVA during which the starch granules cool down leading to an increase in viscosity and retrogradation then occurs (Batey, 2007). During this stage the starch paste forms a more crystalline structure due to the realignment of amylose and amylopectin. Kaur *et al.* (2007) states that during the cooling period, the viscosity actually increases, indicating the tendency of amylose in the hot starch paste to reassociate, driven by the reduction in the temperature and entropy. Sandhu, Singh and Malhi, (2007) suggests that a lower tendency of starch retrogradation is indicated by lower setback viscosities. The addition of 4% WSF and 1% husk to rice flour increased the final viscosity by about 20% from the rice flour control (Figure 4-2). HF1, HF2, HF3, WF1, WF2 and WF3 fractions led to an increase in the final viscosity in comparison to the control. However, husk fractions gave a much higher increase in final viscosity compared to the

WSF fractions. In contrast, in rice starch blends, addition of fractions did not significantly change the final viscosity (Figure 4-4).

Addition of fractions increased the setback viscosity of all rice flour and some rice starch mucilage blends (husk, HF1 and WF1) (Figure 4-1, 4-2, 4-3 and 4-4). However, addition of HF1 and HF2 led to a higher setback than unfractionated husk and HF3 in rice flour. WF1 and WF2 also increased the setback viscosity but to a lower degree than WSF. In rice starch pastes HF1 gave rise to higher setbacks than whole mucilage and other fractions. Although the F1 and F2 fractions had a lesser impact compared to F3 throughout the cooking stage, of all the starch-mucilage blend combinations they seem to have had a greater impact on the gelling of the starch matrix after cooking and the viscoelastic properties of the cooked starch, compared to F3. Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, (2013) also observed an increase in the setback viscosity with the addition of psyllium husk. It has been reported that increased concentrations of complex polysaccharides interacting with amylose leached during the starch pasting (Yuris et al., 2017) and the interaction between amyloses as well as between amylose and hydrocolloids, can lead to increased gelation of starch-hydrocolloid blends (Huang et al., 2007). Ptaszek and Grzesik, (2007) suggests that temperature dependent phase separation occurs in starch hydrocolloid blends to increase the gelation. The increased final viscosities and setback viscosities observed in both rice flour and rice starch with the addition of WSF, husk, and fractions F1, F2 and F3 could therefore be due to synergistic effects of hydrocolloid-amylose networks, amylose-amylose networks as well as temperature dependent phase separation.

Atypical peak during breakdown in P. ovata

An atypical peak was observed (~85 °C) in blends of rice flour with WSF, husk, HF3 and WF3 (Figure 4-5). The peak was a similar magnitude where WSF and husk were added whilst HF3 and WF3 fractions caused a smaller peak. However, HF3 developed a much stronger atypical peak than WF3. This peak was not observed in rice starch blends with husk, WSF or any of the fractions (Figure 4-6). F1 and F2 fractions did not cause this peak with either rice flour or rice starch (Figure 4-5 and 4-6). There is limited literature available on the pasting properties of *P. ovata*-starch blends (Habilla *et al.*, 2011; Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, 2013; Mancebo *et al.*, 2015; Cowley, 2020) with even fewer presenting the RVA profiles. RVA profiles from (Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, 2013) displayed similar atypical peaks in psyllium rice starch blends, although its origin was not explained. A similar secondary peak was also observed in pasting profiles of rice flour psyllium blends at approximately 85 °C (Ren, Linter, *et al.*, 2020; Ren, Linter and Foster, 2021) who suggest that this peak maybe due to the formation of weak gel particles of psyllium or temporary interactions of them with amylose. They observed an increase in the intensity of this peak with addition of increased amounts of psyllium, suggesting a correlation. Cowley (2020) also observed a similar atypical peak in *P. ovata* WSF and rice flour blends which was not observed for WSF of other species of *Plantago*, suggesting a unique transitory complex forms between polysaccharides of *P. ovata* and amylose at this temperature. Observations from this study suggests that polysaccharides which are concentrated in the F3 fractions of husk or WSF are the mucilage component responsible for this atypical peak, as it was observed in husk, WSF and F3 fractions but not in the F1, and F2 fractions extracted at lower temperatures.

Baking performance

To complement data about how different *P. ovata* husk and WSF fractions influence starch cooking behaviour, we performed a preliminary assessment of their influence on the properties of rice flour-based gluten-free (GF) breads (Figure 4-7).

When made with no added hydrocolloids, the control GF bread batter/dough was too fluid to retain proofing gases and thus was unable to rise effectively, producing a flat loaf (Figure 4-7A) with a low mid-slice height (Figure 4-7B). To improve the quality of this GF bread formulation, *P. ovata* husk, WSF, and the three isolated fractions thereof, were added individually to the formulation at the 4% level. The addition of husk and WSF increased the mid-slice height of the GF breads, as did the F1 and F2 fractions from both WSF and husk (Figure 4-7B). The increase in mid-slice height corresponded with the trapping of CO₂ bubbles during proofing which produced a concomitant reduction in loaf hardness

(Figure 4-7D) and increase in loaf springiness (Figure 4-7E). It was noted that F3 derived from both husk and WSF had drastically different influences on bread properties compared to the other fractions. WF3 produced a loaf with similar mid-slice height to the control, while HF3 reduced mid-slice height by c. 15% compared to the control (Figure 4-7B). These denser loaves had increased hardness (Figure 4-7D; HF3, +68%; WF3, +4.1%) and reduced springiness (Figure 4-7E; HF3, -14%; WF3, -2.5%) compared to controls. When considering all formulations, a larger mid-slice height logically positively correlated with crumb moisture and springiness and was negatively correlated with crumb hardness (Figure 4-7F). It was also observed that the addition of husk, WSF, and their fractions lead to a crumb darkening (Figure 4-7G), with husk and its derived fractions causing relatively small changes, and WSF and its fractions causing more substantial and varied changes. Most notably, F1 WSF darkened the crumb by c. 74%, around 50% more than WSF, WF2 and WF3. As the fractionation was performed sequentially, the compound(s) causing the significant colour change were likely concentrated and extracted during F1 production leaving less to go into F2 and F3. Cowley et al. (2022) reported colourchanging pigments to be a significant hallmark of environmentally damaged seeds, and the leaching of said pigments from below the mucilage layer is considered a significantly problematic factor in starchbased foods due to pH-dependent colour changes. These pigments are soluble in ethanol (Cowley et al. 2022) and it is possible that they can be removed or isolated during fractionation processes to circumvent this issue if the properties of a specific fraction are desired.

In these supplemented GF breads, the polysaccharides in each fraction may interact synergistically. While several fractions (HF1, HF2, WF1 and WF2) produced acceptable GF loaves, no fraction was equal to the performance of the corresponding unfractionated material. There are few comparable studies in the literature (comparison of temperature fractionated polysaccharides with starting material) but one study comparing dietary fibre fractions from rice bran similarly found that the effect of each isolated fraction was not equal to the whole unfractionated material (Phimolsiripol, Mukprasirt and Schoenlechner, 2012). F1 and F2 fractions are rich in polysaccharides, isolated by centrifugation, and do not contain solid insoluble particles, while F3 fractions contain the remnant insoluble components

from the unfractionated material. We previously speculated that anchoring of mucilage polysaccharides to the seed surface, and the macromolecular assembly this provides, are important to *Plantago* mucilage functionality (Cowley and Burton, 2021), so perhaps the polysaccharides in F1 and F2 synergistically anchor to the insoluble particles found in F3 in the unfractionated state. F3 fractions also contain the most highly branched heteroxylans (Cowley *et al.* 2021; Yu *et al.* 2017; Ren *et al.* 2020) which may positively affect mucilage-seed attachment in the native state but too strongly self-associate when other fractions are removed, leading to poor performance of the F3 fractions. Given that the concentration of polysaccharide in F3 fractions is lower than other fractions due to the higher amounts of insoluble material in HF3 being lower compared to WF3, its more severe impact on bread quality makes the self-association hypothesis more likely. We therefore hypothesise that the native, layered assembly of the mucilage particles (in both husk and WSF), including insoluble structures, is integral to their in-food functionality, particularly in breadmaking. The fractionation process likely disrupts this assembly, negatively influencing the properties of the mixture.

Conclusions and Future Directions

In this study, the varied influences of *P. ovata* husk and WSF, and their three temperature-isolated fractions, F1, F2 and F3, were studied to unravel the influence of mucilage heteroxylan structure on starch cooking properties. We show that the separation of mucilage polysaccharides based on temperature solubility leads to isolation of polysaccharide components with different functionalities from the native state. In pasting experiments, F1 and F2 fractions showed a weaker effect compared to F3 on peak temperature, peak viscosity, trough viscosity and breakdown viscosity. However, although the performance of HF3 and WF3 was lower than their unfractionated counterparts, they were still much better than their respective F1 and F2 fractions. Likewise, in preliminary breadmaking tests, no fraction produced breads with quality as high as their whole unfractionated counterpart. Therefore, it is hypothesised that the native assembly of psyllium mucilage particles is necessary to provide maximum functionality. F1 and F2 fractions likely do not phase separate from starch during cooking which leads to synergistic gel formation during cooling and thus superior breadmaking performance compared to F3 fractions, which might self-associate too strongly (Figure 4-8). F3 fractions are therefore thought to play a more dominant role in the viscosifying ability of psyllium, which is tempered in unfractionated form by inter-fraction interactions (Figure 4-8).

A theme here is that the data show the influence of each fraction derived from both husk and WSF is weaker than the effect of adding unfractionated husk or WSF. Future experiments could be aimed at more discrete temperature fractionation and purification (such as that performed by Ren *et al.* 2020) to further assess the influence of heteroxylan structure on starch-based food production. It would also be informative to recombine these fractions (and those used in this study) at native ratios to further understand whether the synergistic interactions seen here are a result of native macromolecular assembly, which could be more firmly linked to their resultant properties and effects. This could provide a capability to predict the usefulness of naturally derived hydrocolloids on food cooking quality more accurately. We also suggest studying the changes to the rheology of the bread dough due to the

addition of mucilage fractions and the effect of different hydrations on the batters for baking bread. Carrying out sensory evaluations of the baked bread would also help to establish the consumer acceptability of baked bread with various mucilage fractions.

The work presented here illustrates the varied effect of each fraction on gluten-free breadmaking and starch pasting as a useful step in providing a toolbox of materials for more selectively manipulating product quality than simply adding unfractionated material alone.

Figures

Table 4-1. Psyllium husk and WSF fractions

	WSF	HUSK
Cold Soluble	WF1	HF1
Heat Soluble	WF2	HF2
Heat Insoluble	WF3	HF3

Table 4-2. Ingredients for gluten-free bread making

Ingredient	Amount
Rice Flour	100 g
Caster Sugar	5 g
Salt	2 g
Yeast	1.5 g
Water	120 g
Sunflower Oil	5 g
Plantago flour fraction	0 g or 4 g (control or 4% addition,
Ingredient	Amount

A/X		0.183	0.210	0.265	0.215	0.230	0.236	0.294	0.241	
X + A	<	49±1.4	60.7±3.4	39.7±0.8	49.8	24.4±0.8	36.1±0.4	7.3±0.3	22.0	
Δra	B	7.6±0.3	10.5±0.6	8.3±0.2	8.8	4.5±0.2	6.9±0.1	1.7±0.1	4.4	
XvI	- vy	41.4±1.2	50.2±2.8	31.3±0.7	41.0	19.8±0.7	29.2±0.3	5.6±0.3	18.2	
D-GalAc		6.7±0.6	4.6±0.1	pu	3.7	4.5±0.2	3.6±0.1	pu	2.7	
D-Rha		4.7±0.3	2.4±0.2	pu	2.4	2.3±0.0	pu	pu	0.8	I. nd= not detected
D-Man		2.8±0.2	pu	pu	6.0	pu	pu	pu	pu	deviation in %mol/mo
		HF1	HF2	HF3	Total	WF1	WF2	WF3	Total	Values are mean ± standar

Table 4-3. Monosaccharide analysis of fractions extracted from P.ovata seed husk and whole seed flour.



Figure 4-1. Pasting properties of rice flour (RF) upon addition of fractions extracted from *P. ovat*a husk. Values are means \pm SD. Values for fractions that are significantly different from unfractionated sample are indicated by an x (p<0.05). Samples that are significantly different from control sample are indicated by an * (p<0.05).



Figure 4-2. Pasting properties of rice flour (RF) upon addition of fractions extracted from *P. ovat*a WSF. Values are means \pm SD. Values for fractions that are significantly different from unfractionated sample are indicated by an x (p<0.05). Samples that are significantly different from control sample are indicated by an * (p<0.05).



Figure 4-3. Pasting properties of rice starch (RS) upon addition of fractions extracted from *P. ovata* husk. Values are means \pm SD. Values are means \pm SD. HF2 data not available due to insufficient fraction sample. Values for fractions that are significantly different from unfractionated samples are indicated by an x (p<0.05). Samples that are significantly different from control samples are indicated by an * (p<0.05).



Figure 4-4. Pasting properties of rice starch (RS) upon addition of fractions extracted from *P. ovata* WSF. WF2 data not available due to insufficient fraction sample. Values for fractions that are significantly different from unfractionated samples are indicated by an x (p<0.05). Samples that are significantly different from control samples are indicated by an * (p<0.05).



Figure 4-5. Change of RVA profile of rice flour with the addition of 1% *P. ovata* husk, 4% *P. ovata* WSF or 1% husk and WSF mucilage fractions



Figure 4-6. Change of RVA profile of rice starch with the addition of 1% P. ovata husk, 4% P. ovata

WSF or 1% husk and WSF mucilage fractions







Figure 4-8. A simplified model summarising the hypothesised interactions and subsequent effects of psyllium husk and WSF and their derived fractions on starch cooking. Yellow and blue backgrounds represent simplified starch and psyllium phases, respectively, and the abruptness of gradient changes correspond to hypothesised phase separation

Supplementary figures



WF1

WF2

WF3



Supplementary Figure 4-1. Appearance of dried mucilage fractions of *P. ovata* husk and *P. ovata* WSF

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to Shi Fang Khor for technical support in monosaccharide analysis. TJ

acknowledges the financial support of the Beacon of Enlightenment PhD scholarship.

References

Alloncle, M., Lefebvre, J., Llamas, G. and Doublier, J.L., 1989. A rheological characterization of cereal starch-galactomannan mixtures. *Cereal Chemistry*, *66*(2), pp.90-93

Alloncle, M. and Doublier, J. L. (1991) 'Viscoelastic properties of maize starch/hydrocolloid pastes and gels', *Topics in Catalysis*, 5(5), pp. 455–467. doi: 10.1016/S0268-005X(09)80104-5.

Balet, S. *et al.* (2019) 'Rapid Visco Analyser (RVA) as a Tool for Measuring Starch-Related Physiochemical Properties in Cereals: a Review', *Food Analytical Methods*, 12(10), pp. 2344–2360. doi: 10.1007/s12161-019-01581-w.

Batey I.L. (2007) 'Interpretation of RVA curves,' G.B. Crosbie, A.S. Ross (Eds.), *The RVA handbook*, AACC International, St. Paul, MN, USA (2007), pp. 19-30

Bemiller, J. N. (2011) 'Pasting, paste, and gel properties of starch-hydrocolloid combinations', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 86(2), pp. 386–423. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.05.064.

Cappa, C., Lucisano, M. and Mariotti, M. (2013) 'Influence of Psyllium, sugar beet fibre and water on gluten-free dough properties and bread quality', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 98(2), pp. 1657–1666. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.007.

Christianson, D. *et al.* (1981) 'Gelatinization of wheat starch as modified by xanthan gum, guar gum, and cellulose gum', *Cereal chemistry*, 58(6), pp. 513–517.

Copeland, L. *et al.* (2009) 'Form and functionality of starch', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 23(6), pp. 1527–1534. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2008.09.016.

Cowley, J. (2020) 'Exploiting natural variation in Plantago seed composition for food and human health applications', (October).

Cowley, J. M. *et al.* (2020) 'A small-scale fractionation pipeline for rapid analysis of seed mucilage characteristics', *Plant Methods*, 16(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s13007-020-00569-6.

Cowley, J. M. et al. (2022) 'Rain events at maturity severely impact the seed quality of psyllium (Plantago ovata Forssk.)', Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, (April), pp. 567–581. doi:

10.1111/jac.12603.

Cowley, J. M. and Burton, R. A. (2021) 'The goo-d stuff: Plantago as a myxospermous model with modern utility', *New Phytologist*, 229(4), pp. 1917–1923. doi: 10.1111/nph.17095.

Díaz-Calderón, P. *et al.* (2018) 'Changes in gelatinisation and pasting properties of various starches (wheat, maize and waxy maize) by the addition of bacterial cellulose fibrils', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 80, pp. 274–280. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.02.023.

Fischer, M. H. *et al.* (2004) 'The gel-forming polysaccharide of psyllium husk (Plantago ovata Forsk)', *Carbohydrate Research*, 339(11), pp. 2009–2017. doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2004.05.023.

Guo, Q. *et al.* (2008a) 'Fractionation and physicochemical characterization of psyllium gum', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 73(1), pp. 35–43. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.11.001.

Guo, Q. *et al.* (2009) 'Microstructure and rheological properties of psyllium polysaccharide gel', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 23(6), pp. 1542–1547. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2008.10.012.

Gutterman, Y., & Shem-Tov, S. (1996). Structure and function of the mucilaginous seed coats of *Plantago coronopus* inhabiting the Negev desert of Israel, *Israel Journal of Plant Sciences*, 44(2-3), 125-133.

Habilla, C. *et al.* (2011) 'The properties of jelly candy made of acid-thinned starch supplemented with konjac glucomannan or psyllium husk powder', *International Food Research Journal*, 18(1), pp. 213–220.

Huang, M. *et al.* (2007) 'Characters of rice starch gel modified by gellan, carrageenan, and glucomannan: A texture profile analysis study', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 69(3), pp. 411–418. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2006.12.025.

Huang, Z. *et al.* (2008) 'Possible role of pectin-containing mucilage and dew in repairing embryo DNA of seeds adapted to desert conditions', *Annals of Botany*, 101(2), pp. 277–283. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcm089.

Kaur, L. *et al.* (2007) 'Physico-chemical, rheological and structural properties of fractionated potato starches', *Journal of Food Engineering*, 82(3), pp. 383–394. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.02.059.

Kreitschitz, A., Kovalev, A. and Gorb, S. N. (2015) 'Slipping vs sticking: Water-dependent adhesive and frictional properties of Linum usitatissimum L. seed mucilaginous envelope and its biological significance', *Acta Biomaterialia*, 17, pp. 152–159. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2015.01.042.

Mancebo, C. M. *et al.* (2015) 'Optimisation of rheological properties of gluten-free doughs with HPMC, psyllium and different levels of water', *Journal of Cereal Science*, 61, pp. 8–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2014.10.005.

Mandalari, G. *et al.* (2005) 'Fractionation and characterisation of arabinoxylans from brewers' spent grain and wheat bran', *Journal of Cereal Science*, 42(2), pp. 205–212. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2005.03.001. Marlett, J. A. and Fischer, M. H. (2003) 'The active fraction of psyllium seed husk', *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 62(1), pp. 207–209. doi: 10.1079/pns2002201.

Pan, V. S. *et al.* (2021) 'Mucilage binding to ground protects seeds of many plants from harvester ants:
A functional investigation', *Functional Ecology*, 35(11), pp. 2448–2460. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.13881.
Pan, V. S., Girvin, C. and LoPresti, E. F. (2022) 'Anchorage by seed mucilage prevents seed
dislodgement in high surface flow: a mechanistic investigation', *Annals of Botany*, (2006), pp. 817–829.
doi: 10.1093/aob/mcac045.

Phan, J. L. *et al.* (2016) 'Differences in glycosyltransferase family 61 accompany variation in seed coat mucilage composition in Plantago spp.', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67(22), pp. 6481–6495. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw424.

Phan, J. L. *et al.* (2020) 'The novel features of Plantago ovata seed mucilage accumulation, storage and release', *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-68685-w.

Phimolsiripol, Y., Mukprasirt, A. and Schoenlechner, R. (2012) 'Quality improvement of rice-based gluten-free bread using different dietary fibre fractions of rice bran', *Journal of Cereal Science*, 56(2), pp. 389–395. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2012.06.001.

Ptaszek, P. and Grzesik, M. (2007) 'Viscoelastic properties of maize starch and guar gum gels', *Journal of Food Engineering*, 82(2), pp. 227–237. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.02.013.

Ren, Y., Linter, B. R., *et al.* (2020) 'A comprehensive investigation of gluten free bread dough rheology, proving and baking performance and bread qualities by response surface design and principal component analysis', *Food and Function*, 11(6), pp. 5333–5345. doi: 10.1039/d0fo00115e.

Ren, Y., Yakubov, G. E., *et al.* (2020a) 'Temperature fractionation, physicochemical and rheological analysis of psyllium seed husk heteroxylan', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 104(February), p. 105737. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105737.

Ren, Y., Linter, B. R. and Foster, T. J. (2021) 'Effects of psyllium seed husk powder, methylcellulose, pregelatinised starch, and cold water swelling starch on the production of gluten free crackers', *Food and Function*, 12(17), pp. 7773–7786. doi: 10.1039/d0fo03377d.

Rojas, J. A., Rosell, C. M. and Barber, C. B. De (1999) 'Pasting properties of different wheat flourhydrocolloid systems', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 13(June 1998), pp. 27–33.

Rosell, C. M., Yokoyama, W. and Shoemaker, C. (2011) 'Rheology of different hydrocolloids-rice starch blends. Effect of successive heating-cooling cycles', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 84(1), pp. 373–382. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.11.047.

Sandhu, K. S., Singh, N. and Malhi, N. S. (2007) 'Some properties of corn grains and their flours I: Physicochemical, functional and chapati-making properties of flours', *Food Chemistry*, 101(3), pp. 938–946. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.02.040.

Satrapai, S. and Suphantharika, M. (2007) 'Influence of spent brewer's yeast β-glucan on gelatinization and retrogradation of rice starch', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 67(4), pp. 500–510. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2006.06.028.

Schirmer, M., Jekle, M. and Becker, T. (2015) 'Starch gelatinization and its complexity for analysis', *Starch/Staerke*, 67(1–2), pp. 30–41. doi: 10.1002/star.201400071.

Shi, X. and BeMiller, J. N. (2002) 'Effects of food gums on viscosities of starch suspensions during pasting', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 50(1), pp. 7–18. doi: 10.1016/S0144-8617(01)00369-1. Shi, X. D. *et al.* (2017) 'Polysaccharide from leaf skin of Aloe barbadensis Miller: Part I. Extraction, fractionation, physicochemical properties and structural characterization', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 73, pp.

176–183. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.06.039.

Sikora, M., Kowalski, S. and Tomasik, P. (2008) 'Binary hydrocolloids from starches and xanthan gum', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 22(5), pp. 943–952. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2007.05.007.

Sullo, A. and Foster, T. J. (2010) 'Characterisation of Starch / Cellulose blends', *Annual Transactions of the Nordic Rheology*, 18, pp. 1–7.

Teixeira, P. J. P. *et al.* (2019) 'Beyond pathogens: microbiota interactions with the plant immune system', *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 49(September), pp. 7–17. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2019.08.003. Wang, Y. X. *et al.* (2021) 'Fractionation, physicochemical and structural characterization of polysaccharides from barley water-soluble fiber', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 113(July 2020), p. 106539. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.106539.

Witztum, A., 1978. Mucilaginous plate cells in the nutlet epidermis of *Coleus blumei* Benth.(Labiatae). *Botanical Gazette*, *139*(4), pp.430-435.

Yang, X. *et al.* (2012) 'More than just a coating: Ecological importance, taxonomic occurrence and phylogenetic relationships of seed coat mucilage', *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 14(6), pp. 434–442. doi: 10.1016/j.ppees.2012.09.002.

Yu, L. *et al.* (2017) 'Multi-layer mucilage of Plantago ovata seeds: Rheological differences arise from variations in arabinoxylan side chains', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 165, pp. 132–141. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.02.038.

Yu, L. *et al.* (2018) 'Rheological and structural properties of complex arabinoxylans from Plantago ovata seed mucilage under non-gelled conditions', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 193(March), pp. 179–188. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.03.096.

Yu, L. *et al.* (2019) 'Multi-scale assembly of hydrogels formed by highly branched arabinoxylans from Plantago ovata seed mucilage studied by USANS/SANS and rheology', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 207(December 2018), pp. 333–342. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.11.098.

Yuris, A. *et al.* (2017) 'Understanding the interaction between wheat starch and Mesona chinensis polysaccharide', *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 84, pp. 212–221. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.05.066.

Zhang, Y. *et al.* (2018) 'Comparative study on the interaction between native corn starch and different hydrocolloids during gelatinization', *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 116, pp. 136–143. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.05.011.

Zhou, Z. *et al.* (2007) 'Effect of the addition of fatty acids on rice starch properties', *Food Research International*, 40(2), pp. 209–214. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2006.10.006.

Chapter 4 - The effect of the psyllium fractions on starch cooking properties

CHAPTER 5

In vitro Fermentation of diverse Plantago seed-derived substrates

Abbreviations

- SCFA Short chain fatty acids
- WSF Whole seed flour
- DF Dietary fibre
- POV Plantago ovata whole seed flour
- POV-H Plantago ovata husk
- POV-S Plantago ovata de-husked seed
- Pbel Plantago bellidiodes
- Pvar Plantago varia
- Ptur Plantago turrifera
- Pcun Plantago cunninghamii
- Pdeb Plantago debilis
- Pmaj Plantago major
- DMCV Dry Matter Cumulative Volume
- HCI Hydrochloric Acid
- NH₃ Ammonia
- NMR Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
- Brchpn Branched-chain proportion (compared with total SCFA)
- Brch Total Branched-chain fatty acids

R_{max} Maximum Fermentation Rate

 $TR_{max} \qquad \ \ Time \ at \ which \ R_{max} \ is \ reached$

Sig. P-value

Introduction

Myxospermy is a process by which seeds of various plant species produce a gel-like layer of mucilage around them when wetted with water (Phan *et al.*, 2020). Psyllium gum, derived from *Plantago ovata* husk, is the one of the most widely used materials from myxospermous species in food (Madgulkar, Rao and Warrier, 2021), due to its viscoelastic properties (Haque and Morris, 1994; Cappa, Lucisano and Mariotti, 2013; Mancebo *et al.*, 2015; Amiri Aghdaei *et al.*, 2012; Fratelli *et al.*, 2018). Due to its high water-holding capacity psyllium husk also serves as a popular dietary fibre supplement which increases stool bulk due to its high water holding capacity and blocks the reabsorption of water in the colon and facilitates their easier passing due to its slippery nature and it is thus used in the treatment of constipation and diarrhoea (Hussain *et al.*, 2016; Washington *et al.*, 1998; Quitadamo *et al.*, 2012).

Psyllium husk is a popular fibre supplement, which increases faecal bulk, but it is quite resistant to fermentation in the human gastrointestinal tract (Marteau *et al.*, 1994; McRorie, 2013; Kaur *et al.*, 2011). This low fermentability, which avoids gas and bloating, makes it a good candidate for fibre supplementation but limits the additional health benefits bestowed by the production of short chain fatty acids (SCFA). In a study where rats were fed with a basal diet supplemented with increasing amounts of psyllium it was found to be partially fermented and about 50% excreted in a highly polymerized form, with a decrease in faecal pH, and an increase in stool bulk (Edwards *et al.*, 1992). Although there was no significant increase in the SCFA concentration in the faeces, the total SCFA output was increased with the increased faecal weight. While the faecal pH of rats fed with the basal diet was 7.59, this reduced to 7.09 and 6.69 with supplementation levels of 15g/kg and 50 g/kg respectively (Edwards *et al.*, 1992). Psyllium husk also displays a delayed onset of gas production compared with other common fibre sources such as wheat bran (Gunn *et al.*, 2020). Marlett and Fischer (2002) attempted fermentation of fractionated psyllium husk, where it was found that the alkali insoluble fraction was rapidly and almost completely fermented within a 72-h fermentation period. Psyllium husk in its undigested form
was unable to be fermented by *Bifidobacteria*, but was more fermentable after simulated digestion (Elli *et al.*, 2008). Successful attempts have also been made to increase the fermentation and SCFA production of psyllium husk using ultra-sound, microwave, enzymatic hydrolysis and ball-milling (Hu *et al.*, 2013; Hu *et al.*, 2018; Pollet *et al.*, 2012).

Overall then, in its unmodified form, psyllium husk derived from *Plantago ovata* is clearly poorly fermented, but there may be other members of the *Plantago* genus which can provide different fermentation properties based on their husk polysaccharide profiles. Phan et al., (2016) found differences in the structure and composition of mucilage from seven diverse *Plantago* species, showing that while all were rich in heteroxylan, there were wide differences in its backbone substitution levels between species. Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, (2021) studied the composition of the whole seed flour of twelve different species of Plantago that grow in Australia and found differences in the composition of mucilage as well as in the quantities of mucilage, free sugars, oligosaccharides, protein content, lipid profiles, and mannans in the endosperm. (Leng-Peschlow, 1991) found whole P. ovata seeds to be more fermentable than just the husk in a rat feeding trial, producing an increase in faecal butyrate concentrations, which suggests that there may be fermentable components within the seeds of Plantago ovata that are not present when the husk is isolated. Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, (2021) found significant quantities of simple sugars and oligosaccharides including planteose, a galactosylsucrose oligosaccharide (Xing et al., 2017) that were identified for the first time in the Plantago species by Wattiez and Hanz (1943) but never quantified. Planteose is made up of glucose, galactose and fructose, containing two non-reducing ends with glucopyranose on one end and galactopyranose on the other, which may be fermentable residues. However, to date, there is no research available which examines the in vitro or in vivo fermentation of planteose, the microorganisms involved nor any fermentation end-products.

Although there are a large number of species of *Plantago* around the world that are used as folk fibre remedies, the literature available on the fermentation of species other than *P. ovata* is limited to *P. asiatica* (Hu *et al.*, 2012; Hu *et al.*, 2018; Hu *et al.*, 2013a; Hu *et al.*, 2013b). There are a number of

Plantago species that are native or naturalized to Australia which are adapted to the climate and therefore with the potential to be produced on a large scale in Australia as a crop. According to the findings of Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, (2021) the whole seed flours of native Plantago are nutritious, containing good ratios of omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids and protein, along with heteroxylan-rich mucilage with excellent water holding capacity that may prove useful as a stool softener similar to commercial psyllium husk. Extracts of some species such as P. turrifera also display good viscoelastic properties in gluten-free food products such as bread (Cowley, 2020). The compositional differences, including variation in the structural features of mucilage of the Plantago species identified by Phan et al (2016), and the differences in the components of the endosperm included in the whole seed flour of *Plantago* studied by Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, (2021), could potentially impart different fermentation characteristics for species of native Plantago. Also, the inclusion of endosperm components along with the husk of P. ovata may alter or improve the fermentability over the use of husk alone from P. ovata. However, no studies have been conducted to study the fermentability of the seeds of *Plantago* species other than that of *P. ovata* and *P. asiatica*. Therefore, in this study the fermentability of nine species of Plantago from Australia and Plantago ovata whole seed flour and fractions was explored.

Materials and Methods

Substrate Preparation

Whole seeds of various species *Plantago bellidiodes* (Pbel), *Plantago varia* (Pvar), *Plantago turriferra* (Ptur), *Plantago cunninghamii* (Pcun), *Plantago debilis* (Pdeb), *Plantago major* (Pmaj) and WOT (an accession of *P. turrifera*), DEW (an accession of *Plantago drummondii*) and LOX (*Plantago* sp., a distinct but unidentified species) were obtained from various sources as mentioned in Supplementary Table 1. The whole seeds were milled to flour using a MM400 mixer mill (Retsch, Germany) (Figure 5-1A).

For preparation of *Plantago ovata* fractions, husk (POV-H) and non-husk tissues (POV-S) were separated by bench-top milling and sieving. Three replicates of 10 g of *P. ovata* whole seeds were milled for 30 s in a spice grinder (BCG200, Breville, Australia). Milled seeds were placed into a fine stainless-steel strainer and the finely powdered husk fraction was separated from the unmilled non-husk tissues by stirring in the strainer for 2 min, changing stirring direction every 20 s. The non-husk tissues were then milled to flour using a MM400 mixer mill (Retsch, Germany). The recovery of husk and seed was recorded (Supplementary Table 2) as an average of 27.5% husk and 72.5% seed. POV was prepared by reconstituting the husk and seed powder in the ratio of 27.5:72.5 (Figure 5-1B). This composite has a similar composition to WSF of *P. ovata* but has been milled separately and recombined to avoid differences caused due to variation in husk and seed amounts between seed batches.

Wheat bran was included in the experiment as a positive control, while serum bottles containing only medium and inoculum but no substrate, were included as a negative control.

Dry matter of substrates

The dry matter of each substrate was determined by calculating the percentage of the difference of initial weight and final weight of 0.5g of each substrate oven dried at 105 °C until a constant weight is reached. Dry matter was determined in triplicate for each substrate.

In vitro fermentation

The *in vitro* fermentation technique of Williams et al (2005) was used, as modified by Lu *et al.*, (2020).

In brief: 0.25g of substrate was weighed accurately and recorded, and placed into 60 mL serum bottles with duplicates for each time removal 0h, 10h, 24 h, and five replicates each for the 48 h endpoint for incubation with the human faecal inoculum at 37 °C. An amount of 38 mL of semi-defined, sterile, anaerobic medium was added, prepared as originally described by Lowe *et al.*, (1985), and modified by Williams et al (2005). Cumulative gas production was measured using an AGRS (automated gas recording system-AGRS-III designed College of Animal Science and Technology, China Agricultural University) to directly record the headspace pressure and volume (Yang *et al.*, 2014)(refer Appendix 2 for substrate details for each time removal).

The mixed human faecal inoculum was prepared by pooling faces from seven human volunteers, collected with approval from the Human Research Ethics Approval Committee of the University of Queensland (Approval Number 2017/HE000502). Each donor was self-reported free from gastrointestinal illnesses, age 18+, on an unrestricted diet, and had not taken antibiotics within the three months prior to sample collection. The faeces were pooled and homogenized with 0.9% saline pre-warmed to 37 °C at a dilution ratio of 1:5, followed by filtration with four layers of muslin cloth. To each serum bottle with substrate and medium 2.5 mL of the inoculum was injected and incubated at 37 °C. All inoculation procedures were conducted under a steady stream of CO₂ to maintain anaerobicity, unless otherwise stated. At each time removal the bottles were removed from the incubator and plunged into

an ice-water bath for 20 minutes to retard the microbial activity. Following this the post-fermentation sampling was carried out.

Post fermentation analyses

During the fermentation process, timed removals were done at 0 h, 10 h, 24 h and 48 h. At each removal sampling (3 x 1 mL) from the liquid at the top of the fermentation bottle was made for determination of NH₃ and SCFA. Samples separated for NH₃ analysis were stabilized using 0.2 M HCL, while SCFA samples were stabilized using a protein precipitant (20% metaphosphoric acid and 0.24%4-methylvaleric acid in Milli-Q water) followed by freezing at -20 C until analysis. The remaining contents of the bottle was mixed by manual swirling and transferred to a 50 mL tube for pH measurement and then frozen to be used for polysaccharide analysis.

NH₃ analysis

Samples collected for NH₃ analysis post-fermentation were stabilized using 0.2 M HCl, leading to formation of NH4⁺ ions. Quantification followed a modified protocol of Baethgen and Alley as reported by Yao *et al.*, (2022). The absorbance reading was recorded at 650 nm using a UV/visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800, Japan) following the reaction of ammonium with sodium nitroprusside and sodium salicylate in a weak alkaline buffer.

SCFA analysis

Samples collected for SCFA analysis were stabilized using a protein precipitant solution. The sample was centrifuged to remove any particles. From the supernatant 700 μ L was added to 100 μ L, (0.2 mg/mL) Trimethylsilyl propanoic acid sodium salt (TSP) solution in D₂O, present as an internal standard, followed by performing 1 H NMR on a Bruker 700 MHz spectrometer with a 5 mm TCl cryoprobe operating at 298 K. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded using a standard excitation sculpting pulse program with an 11 s relaxation delay for 64scans. Seven SCFAs including propionic, n-valeric, n-butyrate,

acetate, iso-valeric and iso-butyric were identified and quantified according to published values . Data was processed using TopSpin 3.6.3 software.

Statistical analysis

All end-product data of fermentation are reported as units per gram of dry matter of starting substrates. The cumulative gas production data was fitted to the monophasic model as described by Groot *et al.*, (1996) as follows:

$$G = (A / (1 + (C/t)^B))$$
 Equation 1

Where G is the cumulative gas production (mls) at time t (h); A is the asymptotic gas production; B is the switching characteristic of the curve; and C is the time at which half of the asymptotic values has been reached.

In addition, R_{Max} the maximum rate of gas production (mls/h) and T_{RMax} , the time at which it occurs, were calculated according to the following equations reported previously (Williams et al 2005)

$$R_{Max} = (A \times (C^B) \times B \times (T_{Rmax} - B - 1)) / ((1 + (C^B) \times T_{Rmax} - B)^2)$$

 $T_{RMax} = C x (((B-1) / (B+1))^{1/B})$

The SAS NLIN software was used for curve-fitting. One-way ANOVA was used for significance analyses with Tukey's mean separation using SAS GLM (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA, 9.4 Edition, 2016). A P-value of less than 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

Correlation analysis was carried out for the mean values of fermentation parameters; total DMCV, acetate, propionate, butyrate, branched SCFA, NH₃, pH at 48 h and mean composition values using SPSS 27 (IBM SPSS Statistics, 2021) software.

Results and discussion

Fermentation kinetics of Plantago whole seed flour

Values for the cumulative gas production kinetics from the fermentation of *Plantago* WSF are shown in Table 5-1. The representative fermentation profiles are depicted in Figure 5-2. The fermentation of the Plantago WSF, Pdeb yielded the highest cumulative volume of gas per gram of dry matter (DMCV) (48.1 ml g⁻¹ DM), which was not significantly different (P>0.05) to DEW, LOX and Pbel but significantly higher than the other five WSF substrates. Pmaj fermentation yielded the lowest DMCV (29.5 ml g⁻¹ DM), however it was not significantly different from Ptur, Pvar and WOT. Ptur and WOT, which are different accessions of the species Plantago turrifera didn't show any significant difference in their DMCV (Table 5-1). Pbel displayed the fastest R_{max} value of 9.3 mL/h, though it was not significantly different (P>0.05) from DEW, Pdeb, and Pvar. WOT showed the slowest R_{max}, although it was not significantly different from LOX, Pcun, Pmaj and Ptur. Ptur took the longest time to reach R_{max} (TR_{max}). meaning it is more slowly fermented. However, it was not significantly different (P>0.05) from DEW, LOX, Pbel, Pcun, Pdeb and WOT. Although Pmaj produced the least DMCV, it also had the earliest TR_{max} (3.4h) (Table 5-1), which means it was fermented faster than the other substrates although it produced a lesser amount of gas, suggesting a small quantity of rapidly fermentable substrate was present. However, the TR_{max} of Pmaj was not significantly different from DEW, Pbel, Pcun, Pdeb, Pvar and WOT, suggesting that they all reached R_{max} at a similar time, having a similar lag phase while the rates of gas production were different.

The representative *in vitro* fermentation gas profiles of the *Plantago* WSF are presented in Figure 5-2, which shows that all substrates exhibit minor bi-phasic characteristics with a small initial lag. Similar bi-phasic gas profiles have been observed in the *in vitro* fermentation of insoluble dietary fibre extracted from fruits and vegetables such as banana and celery (Widaningrum *et al.*, 2020).

Compared with wheat bran (the positive control) the onset of the rapid phase of fermentation appeared to be delayed by between 2 and 4 hours (Figure 5-2) which means that all the *Plantago* samples are

fermented more slowly compared to the wheat bran, although ultimately, they produced comparable final gas volumes. (Gunn et al., 2020) observed a similar delay in the onset of fermentation of psyllium compared to wheat bran. This suggests that the human faecal bacteria required a certain amount of time to adapt and adhere to the more complex substrate structure. Potentially the insoluble solid particles from the endosperm could be enveloped by the seed mucilage, which has a high water affinity and could thus form guite a viscous barrier. All the fermentation profiles showed a similar shape (Figure 5-2) although there were differences in the DMCV, R_{max} and TR_{max}, which suggests that there may be a small amount of substrate which is more accessible or more rapidly fermentable. Once this rapidly fermentable fraction is utilized by the bacteria, the remaining substrate would need to be colonized by the bacteria, after which fermentation can continue of those fraction/s which are more resistant to fermentation. For all the *Plantago* substrates, this initial phase was uniformly low in gas production, and it was the second phase where the majority of the gas production occurred until a plateau was reached. Differences in substrate composition may at least in part, be an explanation for differences in fermentabilities of the Plantago WSF. The WSF generally comprises a viscous gel within which particles are dispersed. These particles have varying compositions of protein, lipids and oligosaccharides. (Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, 2021).

End-products of fermented Plantago WSF

When bacteria metabolize fermentable carbohydrates they predominantly produce short chain fatty acids such as acetate, propionate and butyrate and other carboxylic acids including lactic acid (Wong *et al.*, 2006; Tan *et al.*, 2014) all of which are known to promote gastrointestinal health (Yang and Zhao, 2021; Martin-Gallausiaux *et al.*, 2021). Acetate is the main SCFA produced through the fermentation of carbohydrates such as xylans and pectin (Macfarlane and Macfarlane, 2006) and can found in venous blood (Wong *et al.*, 2006) following absorption across the gut wall. Propionate is produced mainly through the fermentation of carbohydrates but also some other substrates such as protein (Al-Lahham *et al.*, 2010). Some of this is absorbed into the hepatic portal vein and passed to the liver (Tan *et al.*, 2010).

2014) where about 90% of it is metabolized by hepatocytes for processes including gluconeogenesis (Wong *et al.*, 2006).

The production of these three common SCFA, as well as branched-chain SCFA which are products of protein fermentation, are depicted in Table 5-2 and Figure 5-3. The fermentation of Pvar resulted in the highest amount of total SCFA (Table 5-2), which was significantly higher (P<0.05) than all other WSF. Although Pdeb had the highest DMCV of all the WSF samples (Table 5-1), its SCFA yield was significantly lower than Pvar. Pearson correlation analysis was carried out between the DMCV and the other fermentation parameters; total SCFA, acetate, propionate, butyrate, branched chain SCFA, NH₃ and pH at 48 h (Supplementary Table 3). The DMCV at 48 h did not display any significant correlation with the total end products at 48. Pvar produced a lower amount of gas yet in comparison to Pdeb, yielded the most SCFA. Therefore, although a WSF might have higher DMCV due to higher fermentability, it does not necessarily mean that it would yield higher amounts of health promoting SCFA compounds. However, Pmaj which had the lowest DMCV (Table 5-1), also yielded the lowest SCFA of all the samples (Table 5-2).

Pvar had the highest production of acetate which was significantly higher than all the other WSF (Table 5-2). When considering SCFA as a percentage of the total, the percentages were in the same decreasing order of acetate, propionate, butyrate and branched SCFA for all substrates. Pvar and Pbel yielded the highest amounts of propionate, while Pmaj and Pvar yielded the highest amounts of branched chain SCFA which are products of protein fermentation (Williams *et al.*, 2019). Pvar had the highest production of total SCFA as well as acetate, however it also produced a large amount of branched SCFA. Pvar has a protein content of 26.01%, which is the highest level of all experimental substrates used here (Table 5-3). The poor gas production, low total SCFA yield, the higher branched chain SCFA (both as a concentration of branched chain SCFA as well as branched chain SCFA.

Total SCFA, acetate, propionate, butyrate and branched-chain SCFA increased over the course of fermentation and did not reach a plateau even at 48 h for Ptur, Pbel, Pcun, Pdeb, WOT, DEW, and LOX (Figure 5-3) which all showed very similar patterns of SCFA production over time. For Pvar there was more SCFA production in the first 24 h but from 24–48 h production slowed for all SCFA including acetate, propionate and butyrate, and appeared to begin plateauing. Interestingly, Pmaj, the lowest gas-producing and lowest SCFA producer, displayed a different pattern, where the total SCFA plateaued between 10h to 24 h, followed by an increase in SCFA production between 24 h to 48 h. For most samples the branched SCFA was least amongst all SCFA amounts, however for Pmaj, at 48 h the yield of branched chain SCFA was slightly higher than butyrate, confirming its poor fermentability. The gas production for all substrates reached a plateau before 24 h (Figure 5-2). Despite this, the SCFA production still continued to increase for all samples (Figure 5-3).

The pH change of the substrates did not show any significant difference (P>0.05) between the substrates at the end point although there was a decrease in pH over the course of fermentation which acts as an indicator of the fermentation (Table 5-2 and Figure 5-4b). Marteau *et al.*, (1994) also observed a reduction in the faecal pH during dietary supplementation of psyllium in rats.

From 0 h to 10 h there was an increase in the production of NH₃ in all nine substrates as shown in Figure 5-4a. During 10h to 24 h, the NH₃ production plateaued in Ptur, Pvar, WOT, Pcun, Pmaj, Pdeb and LOX, followed by an increase from 24 h to 48 h However, for DEW, the NH₃ production increased in the first 10h followed by a plateau until 48h. Pbel on the other hand, showed increasing NH₃ production until 24 h, after which a plateau was reached. The increase in NH₃ from 0 h to 10 h could indicate the lack of easily fermentable carbohydrates and also that the bacteria in the inoculum take time to adapt to the substrate which appeared as a viscous, gel-like substrate settled at the bottom of the fermentation vessel without mixing with the medium. The lack of fermentable carbohydrates could cause the bacteria to ferment protein materials such a dead bacteria, protein found in the inoculum, or any peptides and amino acids within the medium. In this case, the substrates had a significant protein content ranging from 13.80% to 26.01% (w/w) (Table 5-3). Correlation analysis showed a positive

correlation (Pearson r = 0.801, sig = 0.03) (Supplementary Table 4) between the protein content and ammonia production. Although Pvar yielded the highest SCFA of all substrates, it also had the highest protein content which led to it showing the highest NH₃ production (Table 5-2 and Figure 5-4a.) Plateauing of NH₃ production in most substrates between 10 h to 24 h could mean that the bacteria were adapted to the environment and began metabolizing any fermentable carbohydrates in the medium. The increase in NH₃ production after 24 h could mean that the fermentable carbohydrate material was depleted and the difficulty of utilizing the remaining complex polysaccharides stimulated a return to protein fermentation.

Fermentation of proteins within the medium leads to their breakdown into amino acids which are further converted to branched chain SCFA, ammonia, amines, phenols and indoles, especially when the bacteria involved in fermentation lack access to fermentable carbohydrates in the medium (Rowland *et al.*, 2018). Increased protein fermentation is considered harmful to the health of the gastrointestinal tract (Williams, Vertegen and Taminga, 2001) as products of protein fermentation such as amines, indoles, sulphides, thiols and phenols are potentially toxic (Rowland *et al.*, 2018; Macfarlane and Macfarlane, 2012). Excessive amounts of toxic metabolites from protein fermentation have been associated with gastrointestinal diseases such as colon cancers, bowel diseases and Crohn's disease (Bingham 1998; Bingham 1999; Liu *et al.*, 2015). However, psyllium is usually consumed in small quantities as a hydrocolloid component in processed food or as a fibre supplement which is unlikely to create a negative health impact due to protein fermentation. Also, the samples used in this study are not predigested which led to a higher protein availability in the medium. However, protein digestion in the upper GI tract before *in vivo* fermentation or pre-digestion prior to *in vitro* fermentation would reduce the amount of protein from the WSF in the medium thereby reducing the protein fermentation.

Comparison of *P. ovata* husk, seed and whole seed flour

The native *Plantago* species used in this experiment have seeds which are much smaller than *Plantago ovata*, the source of psyllium husk which is widely used in food and fibre. Milling into flour is therefore

more convenient on a whole seed basis since extraction of a husk fraction is a lot more laborious (Cowley, 2020; Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, 2021). Therefore, use of the native *Plantago* species involves the fermentation of the mucilage fraction as well as the endosperm components such as protein, fats, sugars etc *(Table 5-3). This made it impossible determine the fermentability of the seed and husk components separately. In a rat feeding trial, psyllium husk supplementation led to an increase in the stool weight, SCFA output and a decrease of the faecal pH. It was partially fermented and about 50% of the consumed psyllium husk was excreted (Edwards *et al.*, 1992). Psyllium husk mucilage consists of mucilage fractions which are considered rapidly fermentable, poorly fermentable and non-fermentable (Marlett and Fischer, 2002; Marlett and Fischer, 2003). Psyllium husk was also unable to be metabolized by *Bifidobacteria* in its native form, but could be partially metabolized after simulated gastric digestion (Elli *et al.*, 2008).

In this experiment a preliminary attempt was made to study fermentation of the easily-separable husk (containing mucilage) and seed (endosperm and embryo) of *P. ovata* in comparison with the WSF. Table 4 and Figure 5 shows the fermentation kinetics of the *P. ovata* WSF (POV) and the husk and seed fractions abbreviated as POV-H and POV-S, respectively. The DMCV (Table 5-4) of POV-S was the highest and was significantly higher (P<0.05) than POV-H. A DMCV value was calculated by using the DMCV values of POV-H and POV-S considering their relative proportion present in POV. The calculated DMCV (47.6 mL/g DM) was similar to the actual DMCV of POV (47.7 mL/ g DM). The R_{max} was comparable in all substrates. The TR_{max} occurred significantly earlier for POV-H compared to POV-S. The TR_{max} of POV was not significantly different from the POV-S. The onset of gas production of all three substrates was slower in comparison to wheat bran (Figure 5-5). Gunn *et al.*, (2020) made a similar observation with husk, but our findings show that the seed of POV (POV-S) is also slowly fermented compared to wheat bran. Both POV-H and POV showed a similar gas profile, but POV-H showed a different profile with a clear biphasic profile. The fermentation end-products of POV and fractions are shown in Table 5-5 and Figure 5-5. There was no significant difference between substrate (Figure 5-5a,b) for total SCFA and acetate concentrations (P>0.05). However, the POV-H produced

more propionate compared to POV-S and POV-H (P<0.05) with POV-H>POV>POV (Table 5-5 and Figure 5-5a). Similarly, psyllium husk supplementation increased the SCFA in faeces as well as the molar proportions of acetate and propionate during a human psyllium husk feeding trial (Edwards *et al.*,1992).

The production of butyric acid was significantly different between all three substrates where the highest butyrate production was in POV-S with POV-S>POV>POV-H (Table 5-5 and Figure 5-5a). Fermentation of POV-S produced the highest branched SCFA concentration which was significantly higher than the other two substrates (P<0.05). The variation of propionate/total SCFA% and the butyrate/total SCFA%, also showed a similar pattern to the propionate and butyrate respectively as shown in Figure 5-5b. This suggests that the husk/mucilage components promoted the production of propionate, while the seed endosperm/embryo contents promoted the production of butyrate. In a 4-week feeding trial of rats with P. ovata seeds (comparable to the POV substrate used here) or husk (comparable to the POV-H substrate used here), there was an increase in faecal bulk and metabolic effects, and an increase in the total acetate production in the faeces and caecal contents of the rats, suggesting that the seeds are partly fermentable. However, it was not able to differentiate between the effects of the husk and seeds as the endosperm/embryo was not separated from the husk and tested (Leng-Peschlow, 1991). A similar observation was made in a randomized human pre-clinical feeding trial. Fernández-Bañares et al., (1999) observed an increase in the butyrate production upon feeding of P. ovata seeds which matched the observation here of high butyrate production from the seed. The POV-S which includes the seed endosperm which contains the vast majority of seed protein, produced the highest NH₃ (Table 5-5). This agreed with the positive correlation of protein content and NH₃ production observed in the native Plantago WSF (Supplementary Table 4). At the end of fermentation, the pH of the three substrates were not significantly different (P>0.05).

Correlations between *Plantago* composition and fermentation parameters

Means of compositional data of the native *Plantago* WSF (Pmaj, Ptur, Pcun, Pdeb, Pbel, Pvar and Pov) were obtained from Cowley (2021), and monosaccharide data for WOT, DEW and LOX determined in this study are shown in Table 5-3. The mucilage characteristics, proximate composition, free sugars and oligosaccharides for WOT, DEW and LOX couldn't be obtained during the period of this project due to time and equipment constraints. The available compositional data were correlated with the fermentation parameters as shown in Supplementary Table 4. A strong positive correlation (Pearson r = 0.801, sig = (0.03) was observed between NH₃ and protein. A higher NH₃ production was observed for Pvar, the native WSF with the highest protein content and also a higher NH₃ production was seen in the proteinrich POV and POV-S fraction fermentations, as discussed earlier. Strong negative correlations were observed between the fat content and total SCFA (r = -0.759, sig. = 0.048) and acetate (r= -0.797, sig. =0.032). As acetate is usually the largest component of total SCFA, the fact that both of these parameters were correlated to one compositional parameter is justified. The DF content was negatively correlated to the branched SCFA. The increased DF suggests the presence of more fermentable carbohydrates, which would reduce the protein fermentation, resulting in lower concentrations of branched SCFA. Arabinose was positively correlated to the total SCFA, acetate and propionate, which could mean that arabinose side chains in the mucilage heteroxylan are being cleaved and metabolised to produce SCFA, particularly acetate and propionate. The relationship between propionate and arabinose is interesting because during the fermentation of *P. ovata* substrates POV, POV-H and POV-S (Table 5-5 and Figure 5-5), the POV-S containing less arabinose than the POV-H, produced the lowest amount of propionate, while the POV-H, which is rich in heteroxylan with high amounts of arabinose and xylose, produced higher amounts of propionate.

Table 4 and Figure 5 shows the fermentation kinetics of the *P. ovata* (POV) WSF and husk and seed fractions abbreviated as POV-H and POV-S, respectively. The DMCV (Table 5-4) of POV-S was the highest, being significantly higher (P<0.05) than POV-H but not significantly different (P>0.05) to the POV. However, the R_{max} was similar in all substrates. The TR_{max} was lowest for the POV-H but it was 218

not significantly different (P>0.05) from POV. The TR_{max} of whole POV was not significantly different from the POV-S. The onset of gas production of all three substrates was slower in comparison to wheat bran (Figure 5-5). Planteose is an oligosaccharide found in the *Plantago* WSF which showed a strong correlation with total SCFA, acetate and butyrate concentrations. Planteose is mainly found in the endosperm of the *Plantago* seeds, and this could be the reason for the higher production of butyrate by POV-S compared to the POV-H (Figure 5-5 and Table 5-5). Planteose is an oligosaccharide which was first identified in the *Plantago* species by Wattiez and Hans, (1943) and later identified in 35 species of Plantago (Gorenflat and Bourdu, 1962). It has also been found in plants such as sesame, tobacco, fermented cocoa beans (Hatanaka, 1959) and in the mucilage of chia seeds (Xing et al., 2017). It has been found to be broken down by OmAGAL2 from the weed Orobanche minor during the germination process to release hexoses (Okazawa et al., 2022). Raffinose is a similar oligosaccharide made of the same components, however while raffinose has galactose attached to the glucose residue in sucrose, planteose has the galactose forming a glycosidic linkage with the fructose end of sucrose (Daude et al., 2012). It is a galactosyl-sucrose oligosaccharide consisting of glucose, fructose and galactose, with two non-reducing ends with glucopyranose on one end and galactopyranose on the other (Xing et al., 2017). However, there is no research available on the fermentation of planteose, including the microorganisms involved, or the fermentation products. This study is the first to report on the fermentability of planteose within *Plantago*. Planteose content of the WSF was positively correlated to the content of raffinose and the galactose in the monosaccharides as shown in Table 5-5. Galactose is a sugar component of planteose (Xing et al., 2017).

Conclusions and future directions

Fermentation of different *P. ovata* substrates and native *Plantago* WSF led to the production of SCFA, however the 10 species of *Plantago* used in this study were not overall very well fermented, similar to previously published reports. It appears that the seed component promotes the production of butyrate and acetate, while the heteroxylan-rich mucilage promotes the production of propionate and acetate. The composition of the whole seed flour had a significant impact on their fermentability. An increasing fat content was correlated with a reduction of SCFA production, a higher protein content was associated with an increase in ammonia production, and a higher DF content (mainly rhamnose and mannose contents) led to lower branched-chain SCFA. The poorly studied oligosaccharide planteose was found to be a likely fermentation candidate with amount correlating strongly with the production of linear SCFAs. It can be concluded that similar to *P. ovata*, the native *Plantago* species studied here are also poorly fermentable, and some, like *Plantago major*, are even less fermentable than *P. ovata* itself.

The complex nature of the heteroxylan and the high degree of branching may be limiting bacterial activity. However, there were certain limitations in this experiment. The compositional data for DEW, LOX and WOT could not be obtained within the duration of this project. It is suggested that a compositional analysis of the aforementioned species should be carried out in order to make this study publishable. Another suggestion would be the fermentation of pure planteose to study its degree of fermentation, the bacteria involved in the fermentation and the fermentation end-products. *P. ovata* husk and seed showed differences in their gas production and fermentation end-products so similar studies could be carried out using mucilage extracted from the native *Plantago* and de-mucilaged seeds to confirm if the observations of *P. ovata* fractions is relevant to other species of *Plantago*. In future studies *Plantago* species grown under the same conditions could be used to eliminate any growth and environmental effects leading to differences between the fermentability of substrates. The results of this study suggest that the whole *P. ovata* seed and the de-husked seed are more fermentable than the husk alone. Therefore, it is possible that fermentation of whole *P. ovata* seed may lead to better

gastrointestinal benefits *in vivo*, with the faecal bulking action of the husk combined with the added benefit of improved fermentability, compared to consuming psyllium husk alone. However, it would require *in vivo* studies to confirm any improved gastrointestinal outcomes. The fermentation of native and naturalised *Plantago* from Australia has shown them to be of limited fermentability , similar to *P. ovata*, although they have high water holding capacity. Therefore, they may be good candidates for use in the food and fibre industry, similar to the current uses of psyllium husk. In their powdered form they could be used as dietary fibre supplements, targeted at providing bulking effects, or for increasing the ease of faecal elimination. Native *Plantago* WSF could also be included in high fibre formulated food products. In conclusion, we suggest Australian native *Plantago* whole seed flours, which are already adapted to the climatic conditions and can be grown in Australia in food and fibre uses, as substitutes for imported psyllium husk.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Beacon of Enlightenment PhD Scholarship and The University of Adelaide. The guidance and support received from Dr. Barbara Williams and Dr. Bernadine Flanagan and the invaluable assistance of PhD students; Alex Bui, Hong Yao, Sera Jacob, Cindy Bermudez and Hai-Viet Tran for the fermentation experiments of the Gidley group at the Centre of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Queensland Alliance of Agriculture, University of Queensland is highly appreciated. The assistance of Dr James Cowley for the milling of whole seed flour and monosaccharide analysis of DEW, LOX and WOT is acknowledged.



Figure 5-1. Preparation of Plantago substrates for fermentation. a) Preparation of Plantago WSF

b) Preparation of POV-H, POV-S, and POV.

Substrate	Cumvol (mL)	DMCV (mL/g DM)	A1(mL/g DM)	B1	C1 (h)	TRmax (h)	Rmax (mL/h)
DEW	9.5abc	41.1ab	44.8ªb	3.8ª	5.4bcde	4.7abc	8.5ab
ГОХ	8.8abcd	39.0ab	40.1bc	3.1a	6.9a	5.5ab	5.1c
Pbel	9.8ab	42.0ab	44.3ªb	4.0a	5.1dec	4.4abc	9.3ª
Pcun	8.3bod	36.6bc	36.5bc	3.5ª	6.3abc	5.2ab	5.6°
Pdeb	10.9a	48.1a	53.1ª	3.3ª	5.7abcd	4.7abc	8.5ab
Pmaj	6.84	29.5c	31.3c	3.3ª	4.2e	3.4c	6.7 ^{bc}
Ptur	7.5dc	33.0bc	33.6c	3.9ª	6.8ab	5.8ª	5.2c
Pvar	7.9bdc	35.6bc	35.3bc	4.4a	4.5de	4.1bc	9.0ab
WOT	7.6dc	34.8bc	35.2bc	3.1a	6.3abc	4.8abc	4.9c
Prob.	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.0849	<.0001	0.0004	<.0001
USD	2.18	9.39	9.76	1.59	1.47	1.60	2.42
b,o,d,e Superscripts which di	ffer within the same colu	imn are significantly diffe	erent from each other (F	o < 0.05).			

Table 5-1. Gas production kinetics of fermentation of Plantago WSF.

A is the asymptotic gas production (mL/ g DM), B is the switching characteristic of curve, C is the time at which half of the asymptote has been reached (T1/2), Rmax is the maximum fermentation rate and TRmax is the time at which Rmax was reached. MSD is the Minimum Significant difference.



Figure 5-2. Representative cumulative gas profiles during in-vitro fermentation of Plantago

			(-	-			LOO			=
	c	Acetic (mmoles/a	Propionic (mmoles/a	Butyric (mmoles/a	Brancned- Chain	l otal (mmoles/q		% of tot	al SCFA		NH3 (mmoles/a	Цd
		DM)	DM)	ĎM)	(mmoles/g DM)	(MQ	Brchpn	Acetate	Propionate	Butyrate	DM)	
Substrate												
DEW	7	2.6 ^b	0.8bc	0.39bc	0.29b	4.1bc	0.069b	64.4ª	20.0 ^{ab}	8.7 ^b	1.9c	6.73ª
LOX	1	2.5b	0.7c	0.46 ^{ab}	0.29b	4.0bc	0.077b	63.7 ^{ab}	18.6dc	10.1 ^{ab}	2.0bc	6.72ª
Pbel	7	2.7 ^b	0.9ª	0.45 ^{abc}	0.32 ^b	4.4b	0.075 ^b	61.6°	20.9ª	10.0ab	2.0bc	6.68ª
Pcun	1	2.5 ^b	0.8bc	0.502ª	0.30b	4.1 bc	0.077b	61.6c	19.2bcd	11.5ª	2.1 ^{bc}	6.70ª
Pdeb	7	2.6b	0.8bc	0.50 ^{ab}	0.31b	4.2 ^b	0.078b	62.1bc	19.2 ^{bcd}	10.8ª	1.9°	6.67ª
Pmaj	1	2.3 ^b	P.6d	0.34 ∘	0.38ª	3.7c	0.103ª	63.1 ^{abc}	16.9e	9.8ªb	1.8∝	6.57ª
Ptur	7	2.6 ^b	0.8 ^b	0.44 ^{abc}	0.29 ^b	4.1 ^{bc}	0.072 ^b	62.7 ^{abc}	19.8 ^{abc}	10.3 ^{ab}	2.4ªb	6.72ª
Pvar	1	3.1ª	1.0a	0.48 ^{ab}	0.38ª	4.9ª	0.080 ^b	63.5ab	19.7 ^{abc}	8.8 ^b	2.6ª	6.70ª
WOT	1	2.6b	0.8bc	0.49 ^{ab}	0.31b	4.1bc	0.078b	63.8ab	18.0 ^{de}	10.4ªb	2.0bc	6.73ª
MSD		0.34	0.09	0.113	0.058	0.50	0.0115	1.84	1.29	1.83	0.49	0.181
Prob.		<.0001	<.0001	0.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.0001	<.0001	0.1464
Removal		<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.0006
Sub x Removal		0.0383	<.0001	0.0630	0.0019	0.0117	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.2632	0.0181
a,b,c,d Superscri	pts whi	ch differ within th	he same column	are significantly	different from ea	ach other (P < 0	.05).					

Table 5-2. End-products from in vitro fermentation of Whole Plantago substrates only.

Re









Figure 5-4. a) NH₃ production of *Plantago*. Data are expressed as mean standard deviation. b) pH changes of Plantago. Data are expressed as the mean, and the error bars show the standard deviation.

Table 5-3. Mean composition of Plantago WSF and mean fermentation parameters at 48 h in vitro fermentation.

		Pmaj ^b	Pturb	• XOJ	Pcunb	WOT•	DEW	Pdeb	Pbel ^b	Pvaré	Pov ^b
Fermentation parameters	Total SCFA (mmol/g DM) at 48h	4.99	5.52	5.58	5.68	5.78	5.81	5.85	6.01	6.36	6.5
	DMCV (mL/g DM) at 48h	29.538	32.929	41.18	36.611	34.44	41.58	48.094	41.963	34.584	47.677
	NH3 (mmol/g DM) at 48h	2.24	2.95	2.26	2.53	2.52	2.03	2.42	2.37	3.12	1.5
3	Rhamnose	0.7	1.88	1.92	3.19	2.18	2.04	2.09	2.45	1.55	1.22
	Mannose	11.84	18.67	16.58	15.35	14.27	13.77	18.18	12.02	11.16	12.67
	Galacturonic acid	0.56	1.19	0.75	1.15	1.58	1.53	0.76	1.49	0.99	1.08
Monosaccharides	Glucose	5.98	3.01	2.34	2.83	3.7	4.42	3.97	2.74	3.67	2.35
composition	Galactose	2.17	1.92	2.26	1.5	3.19	3.09	3.06	2.22	3.12	3.4
	Xylose	8.85	14.05	6.54	16.15	11.44	7.66	8.23	13.02	9.93	18.83
	Arabinose	3.44	7.64	5.42	8.32	8.02	10.97	6.11	6.87	6.93	11.86
	AX	0.39	0.54	0.83	0.52	0.70	1.43	0.74	0.63	0.7	0.63
Mucilage characters	Water absorption capacity% (per gram of whole seed)	12.77	22.2	NA	12.38	NA	NA	6.33	20.38	6.58	13.08
2	Mucilage yield%(per gram of whole seed)	14.62	18	NA	19.52	NA	NA	14.61	17.19	13.96	23.84
5	Carbohydrates as simple sugars%	3.28	2.63	NA	2.98	NA	NA	2.67	2.63	3.31	2.91
Proximate composition	Dietary fibre%	34.19	48.36		48.49			42.39	40.8	37.34	51.41
	Protein%	16.36	18.03		19.53			13.8	20.43	26.01	11.42
	Fat%	12.7	11.51		13.14			12.9	12.07	9.11	7.08
	Glucose	3.34	0.5	NA	0.4	NA	NA	0.1	0.19	0.49	0.11
Exon errorse and	Fructose	0.27	0.01	NA	1.43	NA	NA	0	0.04	0.05	0.9
Free sugars and	Sucrose	11.14	7.93	NA	6.14	NA	NA	5.55	29	7.24	3.02
oligosacchanges	Planteose	17.95	21.22	NA	16.71	NA	NA	20.97	22.08	25.2	25.65
(Buu/Brl)	Raffinose	0.08	0.08	NA	0.04	NA	NA	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.17
	Stachyose	0.004	0.011	NA	0.26	NA	NA	0.003	0.001	0.021	0.016
The increasing colour	r intensity of DMCV (pink)	, NH ₃ (blue) and	total SCFA (gree	en) indicate	e increasing mea	n values f	or each pa	rrameter. a=this	study, b=Cowley	(2021), NA = data	i not available

	Cumvol (mL)	DMCV (mL/g DM)	A1 (mL/g DM)	B1	C1 (h)	TRmax (h)	Rmax (mL/h)
Fraction							
POV-H	8.9 ^b	38.1 ^b	45.8ª	1.1 ^b	8.6ª	0.5 ^b	5.1ª
POV-S	11.50ª	51.2ª	55.2ª	2.2ª	8.1ª	4.5ª	5.4ª
POV	11.5ª	47.7ª	54.7ª	1.7 ^{ab}	10.9ª	3.3 ^{ab}	4.8ª
Prob.	0.014	0.011	0.256	0.028	0.451	0.029	0.697
MSD	2.05	9.43	15.70	0.94	6.41	3.11	2.31

Table 5-4. Gas production kinetics of fermentation of fractionated Plantago ovata.

a,b,c,d,e Superscripts which differ within the same column are significantly different from each other (P < 0.05).

A is the asymptotic gas production (mL/ g DM), B is the switching characteristic of curve, C is the time at which half of the asymptote has been reached (T1/2), Rmax is the maximum fermentation rate and TRmax is the time at which Rmax was reached. MSD is the Minimum Significant difference.

Table 5-5. End-products from in vitro fermentation of Whole Plantago ovata.

	Acetic (mmoles/g	Propionic (mmoles/g	Butyric (mmoles/g	Branched- Chain	Total (mmoles/g		% of total SC	FA		NH3 (mmoles/g	Н
Fraction	DM)	DM)	DM)	(mmoles/g DM)	DM)	Brchpn	Acetate	Propionate	Butyrate	DM)	
H-VO4	2.8ª	1.2ª	0.3c	0.28	4.5ª	0 [.] 068 ^b	62.3ª	24.6ª	6.3°	1.5 ^b	6.63ª
POV-S	2.8ª	0 [.] 9Þ	0.7a	0.38ª	4.8ª	0.080ª	59.9a	19.5°	12.6ª	2.1ª	6.64ª
POV	2.7a	1.0 ^b	0.5b	0.32b	4.5 ^a	0.079a	61.0ª	20.9b	10.1b	1.6 ^b	6.66a
MSD	0.24	0.09	0.06	0.0263	0.33	0.0071	1.49	1.31	0.94	0.33	0.06
Prob. Fraction Removal	0.7230	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.0536	0.0003	0.0019	<.0001	<.0001	0.0005	0.6229
0	0.5d	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.7d	0.094ª	64.7ª	19.4b	6.4b	1.4b	6.71ª
10	2.0c	0.6°	0.2	0.3c	3.1c	0.083	64.6ª	20.2b	6 <u>.</u> 9	2.2ª	6.59b
24	3.3b	1.1b	d <u>0.</u> 6b	0.45	5.30	0 [.] 067°	61.7b	20.6b	11.0ª	1.6 ^b	6.79a
48	3.8ª	1.5ª	0.0a	0.4ª	6.5ª	0.069c	58.0c	23.5ª	11.6ª	1.7b	6.58ª
MSD	0.33	0.13	0.08	0.04	0.45	0.01	2.06	1.81	1.30	0.46	0.09
Prob. Removal	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.0009	<.0001
Prob. Sub x Removal	0.0205	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.0360	<.0001	0.0007	<.0001	<.0001	0.1709	0.4274
a,b,c,d Superscript:	s which differ wi	thin the same c	olumn are signi	ificantly different	from each other (F	o < 0.05).					

Chapter 5 - In vitro fermentation of diverse Plantago





Figure 5-5. *In vitro* fermentation of *Plantago ovata* fractions: Gas production, SCFA concentrations, SCFA%, NH₃ and pH variation with time. Data are expressed as mean standard deviation.

Supplementary Figures

Accession Name	Species Identity		Origin	Seedbank	Voucher Number	ITS Genbank Accession No.
Pov	P. ovata	Ρ	Austral Herbs, NSW, Australia	-	JMC006	MW139238
Pmaj	P. major	Ρ	Organics Australia, Australia	-	JMC005	MW139237
Pcun	P. cunninghamii	R	St. George, Queensland, Australia	-	JMC002	MW139233
Ptur	P. turriferra	С	Loxton, South Australia, Australia	-	JMC007	MW139241
Pdeb	P. debilis	R	Charlwood, Queensland, Australia	-	JMC003	MW139234
Pbel	P. bellidioides	S	Petal Point, Tasmania, Australia	TSCC	0008349-a	MW139231
Pvar	P. varia	R	Aranda Bushland, Australian Capital Territory, Australia	-	JMC008	MW139242
WOT	P. turriferra	С	Hattah, Victoria, Australia	-	JMC012	-
LOX	P. sp.	С	Loxton, South Australia, Australia	-	JMC011	-
DEW	P. drummondii	С	Red Cliffs, Victoria, Australia	-	JMC013	-

Supplementary Table 5-1. Sources for all Plantago species used in this study.

Single letters denote material origin: P, purchased; C, private land collected; R, collected and donated by researcher; S, seedbank withdrawal.



Supplementary Figure 5-1. Maximum likelihood tree of nuclear ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions from the *Plantago* accessions studied here. Scale = 0.01 substitutions per site. Purple = native to central Asia, Red = native to western Asia, Blue = native to Australia.

Supplementary Table 5-2. Husk (POV-H) and seed (POV-S) recovery from whole P. ovata seed milling.

From 10g					%				
	Husk (g)	Seed (g)	Sum (g)	Loss (g)		Husk (POV- H)	Seed (POV- S)	Recovery	Loss
R1	2.85	7.06	9.91	0.09	R1	28.5	70.6	99.1	0.9
R2	2.9	7.03	9.93	0.07	R2	29.0	70.3	99.3	0.7
R3	2.43	7.45	9.88	0.12	R3	24.3	74.5	98.8	1.2
AVG	2.73	7.18	9.91	0.093333	AVG	27.3	71.8	99.1	0.9
SD	0.26	0.23	0.03	0.025166	SD	2.6	2.3	0.3	0.3

Three replicates of 10g were used for milling, husk and seed percentages were calculated.

			Total	AUBIAIE/ total	Propionate/	bulyrale/ total	branched/ total	Brancned chain			
	Propionate	Butyrate	SCFA	SCFA%	total SCFA%	SCFA%	SCFA%	SCFA	NH3	Hd	DMCV
~	.781** 0.008		.934** 0								
1**	-		.925** 0	703* 0.023	.934** 0					782** 0.008	
		1	.645* 0.044	757*		.896** 0				654*	
0 4*	.925**	.645* 0.044	-	10.0	.737* 0.015					642*	
1	703* 0.023	757* 0.011		~	714* 0.02					.680*	
	.934** 0		.737* 0.015	714* 0.02	~					860** 0.001	
		**896*. 0				~					
							-	.890** 0.001		.780** 0.008	
							.890** 0.001	-			
	782** 0.008	654* 0.04	642* 0.046	.680* 0.03	860** 0.001		.780** 0.008			-	

Supplementary Table 5-3. Correlations between fermentation products of Plantago.

237

			DMCV (gas)	Total SCFA	Acetate	Butvrate	Propionate	Ammonia	Branched chain SCFA
	Mannose	Pearson r	2				-		720*
		P-value							0.019
	Rhamnose	Pearson r							660*
		P-value							0.038
	Galacturonic	Pearson r							
		P-value							
	Glucose	Pearson r				713*	647*		
		P-value				0.021	0.043		
	Galactose	Pearson r							
		P-value							
	Xylose	Pearson r							
		P-value							
	Arabinose	Pearson r		.644*	.633*		.643*		
		P-value		0.044	0.049		0.045		
Monosaccharide	A/X	Pearson r							
composition		P-value							

Supplementary Table 5-4. Correlations of fermentation products with composition of native Plantago.

Muclage yield p-value Pearsont p-value Incligep/84 Pearsont Incligep/84 Pearsont Muclage/84 Pearsont Muclage/84 Pearsont Valet absorption Pearsont Valet absorption Pearsont Carbohydrate Pearsont Protein Protein Protein Pearsont Protein Protein Protein				DMCV (gas)	Total SCFA	Acetate	Butyrate	Propionate	Ammonia	Branched chain SCFA
Image Contention Image		Mucilage yield	Pearson r P-value							
Muclage capacity eradius Poalle Person Poalle Carbohydrate Person 2 Protein Person 3375 Protein Person 337 Berson 337 3385 Proteine Person 337 Proteine Person 337 Proteine Person 337 Proteine Person 337 Proteine Person 1600 Proteine Proteine 1773 Proteine Proteine 1600 Proteine Proteine 1600 Proteine Proteine 1773 Proteine Proteine 1773 Prot		(CWE/total mucilage)%	Pearson r							
Water absorption capacity Factor Water absorption Provine Reson Parson Carbohydrate Person Protein Person Protein Person Protein Person Deary title Person Protein Person Deary title Person Deary title Person Deary title Person Deary title Person Cuctose Person Provinate composition 0.047 Deary title Person Succes Person Product Person Product Person Succes Person Product 0.047 Succes Person Product 0.047 Model 0.035 Succes Person Product 0.047 Product 0.047 Product 0.047 Product 0.047 Product 0.047			P-value							
Carbohvdrate Pearson t	Mucilage	Water absorption capacity	Pearson r P-value							
Potein Person 801* Fat Parson 7.75* 7.79* 0.03 Detay fibre Parson 7.75* 7.77* 0.04 0.03 Detay fibre Parson 7.75* 7.77* 0.016 0.03 0.03 Detay fibre Parson 7.76* 0.047 0.03		Carbohydrate	Pearson r P-value							
Fat Fatson r 759* 797* Detary fibre P-value 0.048 0.032 7 Detary fibre Pearson r 7 7 7 Bleary fibre Pearson r 7 7 0.01 Bleary fibre Pearson r 7 7 0.01 Bleary fibre Pearson r 7 0.01 0.01 0.01 Fuctose Pearson r 73* 73* 0.016 0.019 0.01 Sucrose Pearson r 773* 0.591 902* .765* 765* Buetose P-value 0.02 0.042 0.026 0.045 765* 765* Pearson r 773* 0.591 0.006 0.045 765* 765* 765* Pearson r 773* 0.591 0.006 0.045 765* 765* 765* 765* 765* 765* 765* 765* 765* 765* 765* 765* <td></td> <td>Protein</td> <td>Pearson r P-value</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>.801* 0.03</td> <td></td>		Protein	Pearson r P-value						.801* 0.03	
Proximate composition Dietary fibre Person r 7 0.0 Rucose Person r 760* 0.047 0.016 0.019 0.0 Ructose Person r 760* 0.047 0.016 0.019 0.019 0.0 Ructose Person r 760* 0.016 0.019 0.019 0.019 0.019 0.019 0.019 0.019 0.019 0.015 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Fat</td><td>Pearson r P-value</td><td></td><td>759* 0.048</td><td>797* 0.032</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Fat	Pearson r P-value		759* 0.048	797* 0.032				
Clucose Pearson r 760* 849* .838* P-value P-value 0.047 0.016 0.019 Fuctose Pearson r .833* 773* -0.591 0.016 Sucrose Pearson r .833* 773* -0.591 .902** .765* Panteose Pearson r .833* .773* 0.691 .902** .765* Panteose Pearson r .833* .785* 0.045 0.045 Raffinose Pearson r .768* 0.045 0.045 Stachyose Pearson r .768* .768* Stachyose Pearson r .768* .768*	Proximate composition	Dietary fibre	Pearson r P-value							767* 0.044
Fructose Pearson r P-value P-value Sucrose P-value P-value 0.023 .773* B-value 0.025 0.065 P-value 0.025 0.055 P-value 0.019 0.065 P-value 0.010 0.065 P-value 0.010 0.042 P-value P-value 0.045 P-value P-value 0.045 P-value 0.019 0.066 P-value P-value 0.044 P-value P-value 0.014 P-value P-value 0.014 P-value P-value 0.014 P-value P-value 0.014 P-value P-value P-value P-value <td></td> <td>Glucose</td> <td>Pearson r P-value</td> <td></td> <td>760* 0.047</td> <td></td> <td>849* 0.016</td> <td>838* 0.019</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Glucose	Pearson r P-value		760* 0.047		849* 0.016	838* 0.019		
Bucrose Pearson r 833* 773* 0.591 902** .765* P-value 0.02 0.042 0.162 0.06 0.045 Panteose Pearson r .837* .855* .768* Panteose Pearson r .0019 0.008 0.045 P-value P-value 0.019 0.008 0.044 Raffinose Pearson r .768* .768* P-value Pearson r .0019 0.008 0.044 Stachyose Pearson r .768* .768* Free sugar profile Pearson r		Fructose	Pearson r P-value							
Planteose Pearson r .837* .885** .768* P-value 0.019 0.008 0.044 Raffinose Pearson r P-value P-value Stachyose Pearson r Free sugar profile P-value		Sucrose	Pearson r P-value	833* 0.02	773* 0.042	-0.591 0.162	902** 0.006	765* 0.045		
Raffinose Pearson r P-value Stachyose Pearson r Free sugar profile P-value		Planteose	Pearson r P-value		.837* 0.019	.885** 0.008	.768* 0.044			
Stachyose Pearson r Free sugar profile P-value		Raffinose	Pearson r P-value							
	Free sugar profile	Stachyose	Pearson r P-value							

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). Significant negative correlations are highlighted in red. Significant positive correlations are highlighted in green.

Supplementary Table 5-5. Correlations of composition of native Plantago.

			Galacturonic acid	Glucose	Galactose	Xylose	Arabinose	Mucilage yield%	CWE/total mucilage	Fat	Dietary fibre	Free Glucose	free sucrose	Planteose	Raffinose
	Galacturonic acid	R. Sig.	1												
	Glucose	Sig.		۲							799* 0.031	**090. 0.007	.825* 0.022		
	Galactose	щ			٣									.819*	.939**
		Sig.												0.024	0.002
	Xylose	R Sig.				٢		.965** 0	.872* 0.011		.855* 0.014				
Monosaccharide	Arabinose	ъ					-	.884**	-960*		.861*				
composition		ŝ						0.008	0.001		0.013				
	Mucilage yield%	R. Sig.				.965** 0	.884** 0.008	٢	.889** 0.008		.859* 0.013				
										•					
Mucilage vield%	CWE/total mucilage	R is				.872* 0.011	.960** 0.001	889** 0.008	-	.823* 0.023					
2	Fat	۲							823*	-				874*	
		c. Sig							0.023					U.U	
Proximate composition	Dietary fibre	R Sig.		799* 0.031		.855* 0.014	.861* 0.013	.859* 0.013			-				
	Free Glucose	Ч		**068.								t	.817*		
		Sig.		0.007									0.025		
	free sucrose	Ч		.825*								.817* 0.025	-		
		50		770.0						•		0.040			
	Planteose	щ			.819*					.874*				-	.857*
		Sig.			0.024					0.01					0.014
Free sugars and	Raffinose	щ			.939** 0.002									.857* 0.014	-
-		50			2000						2				:

oingos oingos - 0002 - 0002 - 0002 - 0002 - 0002 - 0002 - 0002 - 0002 - 0004 - 0014 -
Supplementary Table 5-6. End products of fermentation of *Plantago* WSF substrates. Values are mean and standard deviation for 0 h, 10 h, 24 h and 48 h.

10	010	2	Acat	WU	Dron	MO	Jing	M	Tott	MC	1-10	ţ	1-0	t	T.a	t	BrCht	aul	0.a		NH2D	2	10	
of el	of	:	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std																
sub Sub	val																							
N D	0	7	0.49/60 048	0.01682 773	0.14804 309	0.00493	2/620.0 262	0.00649 973	0./3194 533	0.04568	68.0440 771	257	20.2443 716	0.58942 358	5.46689 47	1.22922 524	0.06244 657	0.03766 601	785	231	370	0.06241 (422	000	0.042
Ν	10	5	1.99928 165	0.20527 764	0.66400 565	0.09458 691	0.24885 175	0.07044 323	3.14358 368	0.35780 763	63.6394 098	0.71348 604	21.0879 321	0.60862 645	7.83943 27	1.34856 096	0.07433 225	0.01243 701	0.23144	0.01250 2 015	2.09598 (625	0.17021 (575	6.82500	0.035
Ν	24	2	2.64062 116	0.27846 616	0.82767 064	0.12247 509	0.40655 027	0.05093 493	4.13431 797	0.46096 730	63.8924 327	0.38838 476	19.9785 544	0.73483 678	9.82594 52	0.13643 210	0.06303 068	0.00482 884	0.25947 589	0.00909 2	2.04664 (624	0.02382 (074	6.87500	1.1
Ν	48	5	3.69126 953	0.34821 157	1.12856 095	0.06852 361	0.57119 962	0.19900 711	5.81311 609	0.30175 767	63.4485 127	4.10415 996	19.4120 374	0.51098 298	9.86246 89	3.44366 786	0.07276 981	0.00423 722	0.42208 599	0.01051 2 638	2.02635 (479	0.71022 (011	6.63400 000	0.0391
°× ₽	0	2	0.49324 974	0.02863 746	0.15054 700	0.01126 853	0.04154 763	0.00754 781	0.76172 654	0.05625 624	64.7920 469	1.02557 991	19.7631 875	0.01975 831	5.43262 81	0.58966 214	0.10012 138	0.00416 159	0.07638	0.00880 1 245	L.03893 (636	0.37147 (600	6.73500 000	0.0212 32
≤×	10	2	1.96012 149	0.13925 787	0.55929 416	0.04929 943	0.20581 399	0.01326 029	2.91171 211	0.22087 164	67.3308 382	0.32478 664	19.1994 500	0.23674 345	7.07155 96	0.08101 007	0.06398 152	0.00169	0.18648	0.01905 2405	2.10758 (334	0.15155 (310	6.80500 000	0.0212 32
≤×	24	2	2.87863 245	0.13212 485	0.81560 988	0.02956 091	0.53124 702	0.01523 079	4.56047 768	0.17841 856	63.1129 197	0.42801 835	17.8853 161	0.05152 551	11.6513 173	0.12185 845	0.07350 447	0.00254 634	0.33498	0.00150 2 202	2.06784 (311	0.32328 (6.82000 000	0.0141 21
≤×	48	5	3.45921 591	0.13261 464	1.01651 008	0.04548 603	0.69596 208	0.04420 424	5.58061 178	0.19171 996	61.9825 478	0.76186 691	18.2106 523	0.26585 549	12.4750 656	0.75221 564	0.07331 734	0.00245 562	0.40892 371	0.01204 2 026	2.26247 (654	0.18219 (000	0.0531 77
el B	0	2	0.59047 326	0.00812 498	0.17016 455	0.00847 243	0.09015	0.00345 445	0.93979 345	0.00916 065	62.8288 812	0.25212 422	18.1030 566	0.72506 047	9.59139 66	0.27408 343	0.09476 666	0.01251 268	0.08900 377	0.01089 121	1.19059 (907	0.31359 (341	6.70000	0.0282
el B	10	2	2.39136 023	0.16120 380	0.70271 567	0.05927 518	0.27163 035	0.01575 486	3.59737 082	0.26318 703	66.4892 416	0.38325 957	19.5261 280	0.21918 633	7.55500 05	0.11477 609	0.06429 630	0.00278 849	0.23166	0.02695 1 320	1.47636 (584	0.17552 (710	000	0.0282
el B	24	2	2.83549 133	0.01669 617	0.95546 092	0.00867	0.49594 937	0.02275 310	4.58822 130	0.00467 274	61.7995 758	0.42682 982	20.8243 189	0.21029 108	10.8089 391	0.48489 431	0.06567 166	0.00152 227	0.30131	0.00729 2 136	2.46409 (342	0.12309 (310	6.83000	0.000
el B	48	5	3.55254 441	0.14852 531	1.35506 541	0.04929 602	0.64783 523	0.04481 248	6.01151 578	0.23305 791	59.0919 417	0.51640 900	22.5429 076	0.07654 726	10.7689 426	0.42593 687	0.07596 208	0.00360 940	0.45607 074	0.01177 2 221	2.37494 (783	0.71563 (626	6.60200	0.0216
2 5	0	2	0.54442 432	0.02872 547	0.16082 621	0.01152 585	0.08776 366	0.00165 631	0.87282 992	0.05165 969	62.3864 946	0.40136 105	18.4190 189	0.23035 863	10.0670 873	0.40607 186	0.09127 399	0.00577 074	0.07981 573	0.00975 (206	0.82938 699	0.12901 (551	6.76000	0.0282
2 5	10	2	1.93676 433	0.27790 907	0.56277 384	0.01353 180	0.20895 836	0.03561 223	2.94999 987	0.40073 477	65.6186 042	0.50686 446	19.2232 892	2.15263 071	7.06654 06	0.24725 932	0.08091 566	0.01398 507	0.24150 334	0.07368 2	2.11130 (933	0.01361 (031	6.78000	0000
25	24	2	2.76419 945	0.11522 020	0.80463 573	0.02269 502	0.55932 690	0.04571 965	4.47368 632	0.20242 444	61.7929 469	0.22048 545	17.9929 085	0.30683 914	12.4922 625	0.45672 079	0.07721 882	0.00070 604	0.34552 424	0.01878 2 957	2.11896 (715	0.07492 (696	6.79500 000	0.049 7

0.04324 350 Std Dev 0.03082 207 0.02121 320 0.02828 427 0.04949 0.02828 427 0.05656 854 0.98287 843 0.02880 972 0.24041 631 0.01414 214 0.00894 427 0.02828 427 0.04949 747 0.00707 107 747 0.00707 107 0.04868 핍 Mean 6.60000 000 6.53000 000 6.15500 000 00006.9 000 6.78000 000 6.59600 000 6.74500 000 6.79500 000 000 6.59200 6.69600 000 5500 000 000 00 79500 00 000 6.62200 00 6 0.44479 134 0.13250 207 0.00442 856 0.43008 591 Std Dev 0.38654 418 0.00511 421 0.02244 554 0.14887 087 0.39786 0.06827 584 0.03887 004 0.14899 127 0.07071 068 0.00869 7093 543 0.11312 936 585 0.31882 717 NH3DM Ξ 2.53362 982 1.43834 581 0.69110 388 1.83437 360 1.76615 452 1.22452 169 2.59646 094 2.26671 637 2.94898 741 1.21048 230 2.70283 019 Mean 42046 098 2.24115 2.56932 16353 169 861 L52617 287 281 3.11565 0.00314 827 0.00280 200 0.00761 073 0.00400 249 0.01757 286 0.04660 343 0.05923 0.06178 0.04508 700 Std Dev 0.01495 670 0.04949 0.20142 964 0.06888 737 0.00764 483 0.04698 769 018 688 0.01759 936 0.01853 531 Brch Mean 0.39424 806 0.08024 586 0.23126 453 0.31271 823 0.42891 184 0.10359 411 0.27542 056 0.32930 588 0.07649 905 0.26147 874 0.35261 335 0.35431 338 0.09318 478 0.34245 283 0.46114 028 331 0.55518 0.47285 0.00290 751 0.00535 0.00183 842 00937 323 0.00520 374 0.02518 560 0.01059 700 0.00402 630 0.00879 381 0.00269 011 0.00248 614 0.00262 635 0.01055 761 0.00363 0.00933 Std Dev 0.00409 0.00887 608 BrChPpn Mean 0.06958 945 0.10731 908 0.06848 057 0.07170 750 0.07347 904 0.07909 378 0.09443 127 0.08162 164 0.06399 465 0.09196 608 0.08608 976 0.07563 724 1425 652 0.11101 486 848 0.07479 613 0.07445 0.08233 5 2.00424 455 0.27890 899 1.68281 115 0.68284 219 1.41911 258 3.06299 570 0.47838 283 0.39710 350 Std Dev 1.04208 764 0.23223 022 .4826 055 0.48976 0.39942 0.18776 439 7932 139 7852 244 422 0.20792 BuTot Ξ Mean 7.65316 24 6.88348 05 10.3816 131 11.5875 425 6.83596 36 10.2455 212 13.3852 649 7.35471 4513 788 12.6355 486 9.54386 9 10.8127 284 6.66921 12 10.9576 961 4.70594 02 6 8457 462 10.6508 12.4 0.46986 094 0.06330 373 0.11980 746 0.10816 756 1.27666 917 1.04325 660 0.19504 631 0.01901 698 2.94094 802 0.09813 201 0.08926 441 Std Dev 0.21838 440 679 0.89674 0.30711 0.10809 0.91417 761 0.57980 641 641 PrTot Mean 18.9530 349 18.2307 459 17.0887 331 17.5278 293 2830 775 16.3328 490 16.5219 19.0880 869 0481 766)266 156 1053 322 052 4135 478 1201 473 5281 712 5562 070 4606 793 20.3018 19.4 21.1 18.5 61 19.4 8 Std Dev 1.23256 728 L.49510 699 0.04121 644 1.27461 104 0.78700 857 0.48028 066 0.29943 041 0.20750 730 1.45817 1.91323 021 ..56418 955 0.68745 199 0.61073 669 L.02304 548 0.81626 127 0.07993 210 961 0.60790 AcTot Mean 61.8447 579 62.7300 756 6302 997 .5457 454 .8742 922 265 .4972 782 137 1471 254 7797 346 8334 672 62.8327 478 6833 923 5226 919 .5692 805 9988 530 61.6017 22 ю́ 10 5 10 8 5 5 4 0.04976 167 0.02534 307 1.24736 690 0.14006 521 0.16143 381 Std Dev 0.30213 258 0.04961 154 0.12552 379 0.19090 0.62273 628 0.76766 0.38942 222 222 5628 880 089 049 603 0.28515 0.75417 0.23283 0.44464 TotDM Mean 68073 849 0.74840 362 3.37775 025 189 5.85262 818 0.90610 298 3.47382 480 0.94069 439 3.16322 335 4.70312 772 3.98018 868 3.32091 294 1.98892 5.51507 981 0.99348 937 6.10445 796 570 6.35998 Std Dev 0.03280 385 0.01864 0.00648 762 0.08161 0.04661 789 0.00185 884 0.00591 269 0.07361 211 0.01786 0.02849 438 0.03102 024 0.09094 526 0.21890 739 0.02019 140 0.00800 498 0.02131 863 136 300 530 0.03378 ButDM Mean 75828 0.05554 0.25852 445 0.11447 229 0.33426 892 0.21153 222 0.54622 060 0.62189 596 0.27169 811 0.23907 077 0.10270 0.04711 0.67743 0.54776 793 0.75178 582 0.47517 105 857 861 0.62455 945 0.00743 611 0.01156 959 0.07369 831 0.05137 041 Std Dev 0.07853 865 0.07417 363 0.00556 856 0.02384 764 .7851 356 0.03298 604 0.11976 284 0.04192 212 0.07117 788 0.02801 744 0.12192 516 0.13272 674 0.04263 PropDM 0.64020 193 0.82556 660 0.16551 132 0.61432 094 1.18925 759 Mean 13956 907 3677 704 0.80314 17219 633 0.56657 330 0.56099 533 0.89779 632 0.18388 434 0.77830 189 932 .6406 666 300 1.29058 Ξ 0.03450 647 0.23782 775 0.01030 494 0.09685 531 0.79381 159 0.07093 995 0.35279 800 0.13741 887 Std Dev 0.24016 397 0.08333 0345 216 6446 249 0.25296 594 0.28380 0.02037 0.52487 741 396 0.21043 854 621 AcetDM 2.24775 934 0.52396 991 3.39163 747 0.66930 163 2.58773 585 lean 39276 017 2.09634 281 2.07589 2.90649 3.82950 38864 020 7584 £79973 13300 145 745 3.91910 060 2.74406 540 417 669 0.59597 545 738 z ŝ 2 2 s 2 2 2 L. Level of remo val 8 10 24 48 10 24 48 10 24 8 2 24 48 0 0 0 eel of sub PM aj e a 6 g e a 6 9 PM aj PM aj PM aj 25 L s F P F P Z Z Ы Z ₽ Z P F h

0.02121 320 0.00000 0.02828 427 0.02949 576 Std Dev 265 펍 .80500 000 6.87000 6.64200 000 Mean 75000 00 0.06430 006 Std Dev 0.01148 409 0.14195 483 0.21607 239 053 NH3DM 1.00748 082 2.01174 877 1.80418 899 2.51571 247 Mean 669 0.02779 2... 0.01561 415 0.00491 057 0.00711 832 Std Dev 759 Brch 0.07980 420 0.21003 0.39355 0.40098 716 Mean 833 Std Dev 0.01078 854 0.00563 852 0.00037 479 0.00199 600 739 BrChPpn Mean 1.09664 942 0.07411 515 0.08578 840 0.06936 848 508 0.64617 659 0.23507 017 1.40286 065 0.03654 395 283 Std Dev BuTot 8.58321 32 10.3599 162 13.2598 136 Mean 083 399 42 16 0.23563 2.00217 742 0.05318 033 0.16100 122 Std Dev 126 13.6207 2. 431 PrTot Mean 18.6567 578 18.9862 284 849 4179 533 6 1.07829 324 1.16316 875 0.12720 286 0.54477 220 Std Dev 754 AcTot Mean .0031 102 62.4044 861 60.8171 102 3845 283 984 20 .99 Std Dev 0.06981 942 0.14977 063 0.06293 459 0.26399 064 103 TotDM Mean 0.82181 115 2.83954 652 4.58732 501 5.77600 588 716 0.00536 275 0.05269 001 0.00819 636 0.01838 703 Std Dev 830 ButDM 0.24477 487 0.76467 612 Mean 0.04046 581 0.47525 453 424 0.01162 0.03645 289 0.01418 111 0.04755 213 Std Dev 339 PropDM 15949 665 0.38526 800 0.85586 285 1.09651 893 Mean 775 0.13844 407 0.03343 880 0.18934 391 Std Dev 0.03722 145 667 AcetDM 0.54204 449 1.99947 247 2.86265 657 3.51382 367 Mean 684 z 2 7 7 ŝ Level of remo val 10 0 24 48 Lev of sub OT 0 >10 >10 >10

Supplementary Table 5-7. End products of fermentation of POV, POV-H and POV-S. Values are mean and standard deviation for 0 h, 10 h, 24 h and 48 h.

ē	Lev	z	Acetl	DM	Prop	DM	ButD	M	TotDi	2	AcTo	t	PrTo	st	BuTc	ot	BrChP	ud	BrC	_	NH3D	M	Hd	
	el rem ova		Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	0	2 0).53768 562	0.02310 929	0.16275 631	0.01022 342	0.07907 270	0.01579 (173	0.84065 (742	0.04575 (519	53.9800 C	0.73334 1 506	19.3561 731	0.16260 729	9.36881 12	1.36857 297	0.07294 924	0.00797	0.06114 279	0.00336 925	1.51154 330	0.02157 (018	5.75500 (000	0.03535 534
	10	2 1	1.60837 435	0.03099 209	0.51680 777	0.03085 548	0.15046 332	0.00630	2.50327 (760	0.11308 (754	54.2883 1 750	1.66621 2 750	20.6384 622	0.30024 450	6.01109 61	0.01963 708	0.09062 067	0.01385 610	0.22763	0.04493 374	2.13667 207	0.02521 (754	5.59500 (000	0.00707 107
	24	2 3	1.61885 430	0.52686 660	1.25965 594	0.17686 444	0.34590 468	0.04654	5.62423 (994	0.42432 (099	54.1731 4 407	1.52623 2 817	22.5797 966	4.84821 520	6.13649 63	0.36453 394	0.07110 566	0.00042	0.39982	0.02777 : 812	1.16079 052	0.17462 (861	5.79500 (000	0.10606 602
	48	5 3	.80374 599	0.33809 532	1.84056 848	0.15081 761	0.33034 869	0.03526	6.31995 (511	0.52824 (549	50.1649 C 032	0.38256 2 697	29.1286 206	0.34908 703	5.22532 18	0.31556 959	0.05481 154	0.00373 934	0.34529	0.02028 835	1.40819 424	0.39025 (844	5.54000 (000	0.11335 784
	0	2 0	0.50070	0.00473 116	0.14831 432	0.00157 705	0.03010 892	0.00157 (705	0.74380 (187	0.00788 (527	57.3234 1 966	1.34979 1 472	19.9422 745	0.42344 008	4.04707 96	0.16912 171	0.08687 149	0.01604	0.06467	0.01261 643	1.43184 647	0.13878 (5.70000 (000	0.04242 641
	10	2 2	34274 995	0.08423 636	0.72944 014	0.00371 369	0.27457 197	0.00621 543	3.64536 (879	0.05633 (918	54.2562 1 903	1.31769 2 644	20.0116 533	0.20740 585	7.53165 85	0.05410 040	0.08200 398	0.01164 391	0.29860	0.03782 631	2.34123 254	0.10899 (803	5.54000 (000	0.09899 495
	24	2 3	1.22820 427	0.20027 299	1.00579 800	0.07160 943	0.82086 128	0.01018 849	5.42151 (896	0.32281 5 567	59.5398 C 460	0.14883 1 338	18.5455 116	0.21657 413	15.1620 819	0.71487 508	0.06752 560	0.00349 468	0.36665	0.04074 477	2.13582 806	0.20384 (106	5.77000 (000	0.01414 214
	48	eri La	1.67428 145	0.20404 937	1.28720 036	0.04411 376	1.13383 456	0.02600	6.64000 (801	0.26768 687	55.3085 C 073	1.91038 1 950	19.3906 995	0.27065 870	17.0886 810	0.47656 211	0.08212 112	0.00419 002	0.54469	0.02075 1 165	2.23046 963	0.49003 690	000 (0.01140 175
	0	2 0	0.41339 482	0.02255 862	0.12451 101	0.00268 061	0.03857 599	0.00523 (0.65693 (560	0.01717 (847	52.9043 1 627	1.78900 1 621	18.9544 508	0.08760 014	5.88455 17	0.95151 871	0.12256 635	0.00749 887	0.08045 379	0.00282	1.18516 476	0.04069 (5.68000 (0.02828 427
	10	2 1	1.98312 208	0.00861 143	0.60927 872	0.01422 018	0.21485 924	0.00926 980	3.03973 (666	0.00949 (875	55.2406 C 915	0.48716 2 342	20.0431 666	0.40517 751	7.06886 14	0.32704 328	0.07647 281	0.00409 029	0.23247 662	0.01315 981	2.20580	0.19199 (419	5.63000 (0.01414 214
	24	2 2	1.98242 935	0.05366 373	1.01094 743	0.01857 792	0.57046 762	0.01062	4.86720 (534	0.06464 (083	51.2740 C 947	0.28878 2 262	20.7698 905	0.10585 313	11.7231 228	0.37389 273	0.06232 892	0.00020 743	0.30336	0.00301 939	1.53333 650	0.19822 (554	5.81500 (000	0.02121 320
	48	5	1.80602 186	0.17051 262	1.43535 794	0.08055 763	0.81148 227	0.11799	6.50490 (339	0.25295	58.5068 1 556	1.17646 997	22.0793 620	1.26816 225	12.4628 354	1.66246 273	0.06950 947	0.00356 182	0.45204 133	0.02739 891	1.49823 504	0.25871 (904	000	0.01788 854

References

Al-Lahham, S. H. *et al.* (2010) 'Biological effects of propionic acid in humans; metabolism, potential applications and underlying mechanisms', *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids*, 1801(11), pp. 1175–1183. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2010.07.007.

Amiri Aghdaei, S. S. *et al.* (2012) 'Application of Isfarzeh seed (Plantago ovate L.) mucilage as a fat mimetic in mayonnaise', *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 51(10), pp. 2748–2754. doi: 10.1007/s13197-012-0796-7.

Asano, I. *et al.* (2003) 'In vitro digestibility and fermentation of mannooligosaccharides from coffee mannan', *Food Science and Technology Research*, 9(1), pp. 62–66. doi: 10.3136/fstr.9.62.

Cappa, C., Lucisano, M. and Mariotti, M. (2013) 'Influence of Psyllium, sugar beet fibre and water on gluten-free dough properties and bread quality', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 98(2), pp. 1657–1666. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.007.

Cowley, J. (2020) 'Exploiting natural variation in Plantago seed composition for food and human health applications', (October).

Cowley, J. M., O'Donovan, L. A. and Burton, R. A. (2021) 'The composition of Australian Plantago seeds highlights their potential as nutritionally-rich functional food ingredients', *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), pp. 1–16. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-92114-1.

Elli, M. *et al.* (2008) 'Evaluation of prebiotic potential of refined psyllium (Plantago ovata) fiber in healthy women.', *Journal of clinical gastroenterology*, 42 Suppl 3(September), pp. 174–176. doi: 10.1097/mcg.0b013e31817f183a.

Fernández-Bañares, F. *et al.* (1999) 'Randomized clinical trial of Plantago ovata seeds (Dietary fiber) as compared with mesalamine in maintaining remission in ulcerative colitis', *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 94(2), pp. 427–433. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9270(98)00753-9.

Fratelli, C. *et al.* (2018) 'Modelling the effects of psyllium and water in gluten-free bread: An approach to improve the bread quality and glycemic response', *Journal of Functional Foods*, 42(October 2017), pp. 339–345. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2018.01.015.

Groot, J. C. J. *et al.* (1996) 'Multiphasic analysis of gas production kinetics for in vitro fermentation of ruminant feeds', *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 64(1), pp. 77–89. doi: 10.1016/S0377-8401(96)01012-7.

Gunn, D. *et al.* (2020) 'Contrasting effects of viscous and particulate fibers on colonic fermentation in vitro and in vivo, and their impact on intestinal water studied by MRI in a randomized trial', *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 112(3), pp. 595–602. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/ngaa173.

Haque, A. and Morris, E. R. (1994) 'Combined use of ispaghula and HPMC to replace or augment gluten in breadmaking', *Food Research International*, 27(4), pp. 379–393. doi: 10.1016/0963-9969(94)90194-5.

Hatanaka, S. ichi (1959) 'Oligosaccharides in the seeds of Sesamum indicum L', *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 82(1), pp. 188–194. doi: 10.1016/0003-9861(59)90103-1.

Hu, J. L. *et al.* (2012) 'Polysaccharide from seeds of plantago asiatica L. increases short-chain fatty acid production and fecal moisture along with lowering pH in mouse colon', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60(46), pp. 11525–11532. doi: 10.1021/jf302169u.

Hu, J. L., Nie, S. P., Min, F. F., *et al.* (2013) 'Artificial simulated saliva, gastric and intestinal digestion of polysaccharide from the seeds of Plantago asiatica L.', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 92(2), pp. 1143–1150. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.10.072.

Hu, J. L., Nie, S. P., Li, C., *et al.* (2013) 'Microbial short-chain fatty acid production and extracellular enzymes activities during in vitro fermentation of polysaccharides from the seeds of Plantago asiatica L. treated with microwave irradiation', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 61(25), pp. 6092–6101. doi: 10.1021/jf401877j.

Hu, J. L. *et al.* (2018) 'Ultrasonic irradiation induces degradation and improves prebiotic properties of polysaccharide from seeds of Plantago asiatica L. during in vitro fermentation by human fecal microbiota', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 76, pp. 60–66. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.06.009.

Hussain, M. A. *et al.* (2016) 'Psyllium arabinoxylan: A versatile biomaterial for potential medicinal and pharmaceutical applications', *Polymer Reviews*, 56(1), pp. 1–30. doi: 10.1080/15583724.2015.1078351.

Kaur, A. *et al.* (2011) 'In VitroBatch Fecal Fermentation Comparison of Gas and Short-Chain Fatty Acid Production Using "Slowly Fermentable" Dietary Fibers', *Journal of Food Science*, 76(5), pp. 137–142. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2011.02172.x.

Leng-Peschlow, E. (1991) ' Plantago ovata seeds as dietary fibre supplement: physiological and metabolic effects in rats ', *British Journal of Nutrition*, 66(2), pp. 331–349. doi: 10.1079/bjn19910035.

Lowe, S. E. *et al.* (1985) 'Growth of anaerobic rumen fungi on defined and semi-defined media lacking rumen fluid', *Journal of General Microbiology*, 131(9), pp. 2225–2229. doi: 10.1099/00221287-131-9-2225.

Lu, S. *et al.* (2020) 'Cell wall architecture as well as chemical composition determines fermentation of wheat cell walls by a faecal inoculum', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 107(April), p. 105858. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105858.

Madgulkar, A. R., Rao, M. R. P. and Warrier, D. (2021) 'Polysaccharides', *Polysaccharides*, pp. 1–17. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-03751-6.

Mancebo, C. M. *et al.* (2015) 'Optimisation of rheological properties of gluten-free doughs with HPMC, psyllium and different levels of water', *Journal of Cereal Science*, 61, pp. 8–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2014.10.005.

Marlett, J. A. and Fischer, M. H. (2002) 'A poorly fermented gel from psyllium seed husk increases excreta moisture and bile acid excretion in rats', *Journal of Nutrition*, 132(9), pp. 2638–2643. doi:

10.1093/jn/132.9.2638.

Marlett, J. A. and Fischer, M. H. (2003) 'The active fraction of psyllium seed husk', *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 62(1), pp. 207–209. doi: 10.1079/pns2002201.

Marteau, P. *et al.* (1994) 'Digestibility and bulking effect of ispaghula husks in healthy humans', *Gut*, 35(12), pp. 1747–1752. doi: 10.1136/gut.35.12.1747.

Martin-Gallausiaux, C. *et al.* (2021) 'Conference on diet and digestive disease symposium 2: Sensing and signalling of the gut environment: Scfa: Mechanisms and functional importance in the gut', *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 80(1), pp. 37–49. doi: 10.1017/S0029665120006916.

McRorie, J. (2013) 'Clinical Data Support that Psyllium Is Not Fermented in the Gut', *The American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 108(9), pp. 1541–1541. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2013.211.

Okazawa, A. *et al.* (2022) 'Involvement of α-galactosidase OmAGAL2 in planteose hydrolysis during seed germination of Orobanche minor', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 73(7), pp. 1992–2004. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erab527.

Peterbauer, T. and Richter, A. (2001) 'Biochemistry and physiology of raffinose family oligosaccharides and galactosyl cyclitols in seeds', *Seed Science Research*, 11(February 2007), pp. 185–197. doi: 10.1079/SSR200175.

Phan, J. L. *et al.* (2016) 'Differences in glycosyltransferase family 61 accompany variation in seed coat mucilage composition in Plantago spp.', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67(22), pp. 6481–6495. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw424.

Phan, J. L. *et al.* (2020) 'The novel features of Plantago ovata seed mucilage accumulation, storage and release', *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-68685-w.

Pollet, A. *et al.* (2012) 'In vitro fermentation of arabinoxylan oligosaccharides and low molecular mass arabinoxylans with different structural properties from wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) bran and psyllium

(Plantago ovata Forsk) seed husk', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60(4), pp. 946–954. doi: 10.1021/jf203820j.

Quitadamo, P. *et al.* (2012) 'A randomized, prospective, comparison study of a mixture of acacia fiber, psyllium fiber, and fructose vs polyethylene glycol 3350 with electrolytes for the treatment of chronic functional constipation in childhood', *Journal of Pediatrics*, 161(4), pp. 710-715.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.04.043.

Tan, J. et al. (2014) The Role of Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Health and Disease. 1st edn, Advances in *Immunology*. 1st edn. Elsevier Inc. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-800100-4.00003-9.

Washington, N. *et al.* (1998) 'Moderation of lactulose-induced diarrhea by psyllium: Effects on motility and fermentation', *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 67(2), pp. 317–321. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/67.2.237.

Wattiez, M. N., & Hans, M. (1943) 'Considerations sur un holoside extrait dessemences de 'Plantago major, L.' et de 'Plantago ovata, Forsk' (Plantago isphagula,Roxb.)', *Bulletin de l Academie Royale de Medecine de Belgique*, 8, 386–396.

Widaningrum *et al.* (2020) 'Fruit and vegetable insoluble dietary fibre in vitro fermentation characteristics depend on cell wall type', *Bioactive Carbohydrates and Dietary Fibre*, 23(April), p. 100223. doi: 10.1016/j.bcdf.2020.100223.

Williams, B. A. *et al.* (2019) "Dietary fibre": Moving beyond the "soluble/insoluble" classification for monogastric nutrition, with an emphasis on humans and pigs', *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 10(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s40104-019-0350-9.

Xing, X. *et al.* (2017) 'Isolation and structural elucidation by 2D NMR of planteose, a major oligosaccharide in the mucilage of chia (Salvia hispanica L.) seeds', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 175, pp. 231–240. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.07.059.

Yang, P. and Zhao, J. (2021) 'Variations on gut health and energy metabolism in pigs and humans by intake of different dietary fibers', *Food Science and Nutrition*, 9(8), pp. 4639–4654. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.2421.

Yao, H. *et al.* (2022) 'Absolute abundance values reveal microbial shifts and co-occurrence patterns during gut microbiota fermentation of dietary fibres in vitro', *Food Hydrocolloids*, 127(December 2021), p. 107422. doi: 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.107422.

CHAPTER 6

Summary and future directions

Chapter 6 - Conclusions and future directions

Thesis summary

Research presented in this thesis has explored the possibility of identifying *Plantago* variants, both as mutants and as alternative species, that may provide a toolbox for improving or altering the functionality of seed mucilage in food and fibre applications compared to commercial psyllium from *P. ovata*.

P. ovata is a myxospermous species yielding psyllium which is widely used as a dietary fibre due to its high water holding capacity and is used in the food industry as a hydrocolloid in products such as gluten free bread. However, there is minimal natural variation in *P. ovata* which limits its use for creating novel organoleptic properties such as mouthfeel and texture. Also, in spite of its wide use as a dietary fibre supplement, its applications are limited to faecal bulking, as it is very poorly fermented, thereby limiting its production of gastrointestinal health promoting compounds such as short chain fatty acids (SCFA).

In Chapter 3, we investigated a group of *P. ovata* mutants produced by gamma irradiation, to identify mutant lines with differences in mucilage monosaccharide composition, water absorption and mucilage arrangement and studied some of their functional properties which are useful in food and fibre applications. Mutant lines were found to have differences in mucilage architecture such as expansion and layering, the robustness of the mucilage envelope and differences in the amounts and nature of polysaccharides present, as reflected by the monosaccharide composition and the A/X ratios which indicate likely differences in branching and structure. Water absorption and swelling capacity revealed differences in the water affinity and interaction of mutant mucilage with starch. Although stable emulsions were formed mutants did not show improved performance to wild type *P. ovata*. Further investigation of the interactions of starch-mucilage systems through rapid visco analysis showed very subtle differences of mutants in the pasting characteristics as distinct from the wild type *P. ovata*. Although the mucilage from the selected mutants appeared to have differences in composition, these changes were not strong enough to create large enough effects to be exploited in food applications such as gluten free bread, however, the water absorption capacity of mutants would potentially be useful in dietary fibre applications.

gluten free baking among various species of Plantago. This suggests that the natural variation seems to be greater than induced mutations and possibly more useful for exploitation in food-related uses. It also shows that many different factors rather than mutations in a limited number of genes can influence the technical behaviour of psyllium. *In vitro* fermentations revealed that mutant *P. ovata* only showed very subtle differences in fermentability to the wild type with overall poor fermentability as a consistent feature across all lines. The removal of the cold-water extractable fraction of mucilage reduced the fermentability of the mucilage further, thereby making whole mucilage a better option as a fibre source. The results of food related functionality tests as well as *in vitro* fermentations indicate that the *P. ovata* mutants studied here may not be useful for tailoring food and fibre related functional properties.

In Chapter 4, we described the effect of *P. ovata* whole seed flour or the husk divided into three fractions; cold water (F1), hot water (F2) and residue (F3) on the pasting properties of rice starch and rice flour and their effects when used in gluten free (GF) baking. The alteration of pasting profiles was most prominent for unfractionated husk, WSF, HF3 and WF3. The addition of whole husk and WSF, as well as fractionated husk and WSF, led to changes in the pasting properties. Baking experiments revealed that unfractionated husk and WSF produced high quality GF bread while the addition of other fractions produced poorer qualities in comparison. The F1 and F2 fractions produced better quality than the F3 fractions in GF bread in spite of the minimal changes to pasting profiles, which shows that the majority of the viscosification properties are due to the F3 component of mucilage. F3 had a higher A/X ratio, indicating that the detrimental effects in bread could possibly be due to the self-association of highly branched heteroxylan and phase separation. This demonstrates that *P. ovata* husk or WSF retaining its native mucilage layering ability is important for the production of high-quality GF products, while loss of this natural mucilage arrangement leads to detrimental effects on quality. Therefore, *P. ovata* mucilage fractionation does not appear to be a promising tool for better quality GF products and it is recommended to use the whole mucilage of *P. ovata* for this application.

In Chapter 5, we assessed the *in vitro* fermentability of whole seed flour (WSF) of ten species of *Plantago* including the commercially used *P. ovata* and other Australian native and naturalized species. Although 253

the fermentation led to the production of gas and SCFA, all species were fermented slowly and poorly and some, like *Plantago major*, were found to be even less fermentable than other species studied. Previous studies have shown that the Australian native and naturalized Plantago species possess good water holding capacity with differences in their composition, as well as their effects on starch pasting and GF baking. However, the results of fermentation revealed that in spite of the interspecific variation of the composition of the WSF they are all highly complex in nature making them poorly fermentable. The low fermentability of psyllium from P. ovata, in spite of being a viscous soluble fibre, makes it suitable as a bulking fibre supplement for retaining its water-holding capacity throughout the colon. The slowly fermentable species of *Plantago* may also be suitable candidates for fibre applications similar to commercial psyllium. We have also found that planteose, an oligosaccharide first identified in *Plantago* species, is fermentable. Investigation of the differences in the fermentability of the husk, whole seed and de-husked seed of P. ovata revealed that the de-husked seed, which is usually a waste product in commercial psyllium production, is more fermentable than the husk and therefore consuming the WSF of P. ovata as a fibre supplement, rather than just the husk alone, could reduce wastage, as well as provide better gastrointestinal health effects. In spite of the poor fermentability of Australian native and naturalized Plantago species, similar to the commercially used P. ovata they are all materials with high water holding capacity. Therefore, we suggest that Australian native and naturalized Plantago WSF adapted to the Australian climatic conditions, could possibly be produced commercially as an alternative for imported psyllium from *P. ovata*.

In summary, we have (1) Demonstrated that mutant *P. ovata* may not be suitable as a tool for significantly altering starch pasting and fermentation properties (Chapter 3) (2) Whole mucilage of *P. ovata* is more fermentable than mucilage with the cold water fraction removed (Chapter 3) (3) Native structure of *P. ovata* gives rise to better quality in GF bread than fractionated mucilage (Chapter 4) (4) Demonstrated that Australian native and naturalized *Plantago* species are poorly fermentable (Chapter 5) (5) Shown that the oligosaccharide planteose is fermentable (Chapter 5) (6) Consumption of whole *P. ovata* may provide better gastrointestinal benefits and also reduces wastage compared to consumption of husk only

(Chapter 5) (7) Australian native and naturalized *Plantago* could provide an alternative fibre supplement

to commercial psyllium (Chapter 5).

Limitations and future directions

1 – Polysaccharide structural characterization

We recognize that the non-structural nature of the analyses in the work presented in this thesis is a significant limitation. For the mutant *P. ovata* lines, differences were observed in their functionality tests, microscopy and monosaccharide analyses (Chapter 3). However, monosaccharide profiles only show the molar ratios of various monosaccharides and allow an estimation of the degree of substitution using the A/X ratio. Therefore, the true compositional differences in the polysaccharides such as the amount of each type of polysaccharide, length of polysaccharide chains, arrangement of branches on the main polysaccharide chain etc. are not known. Better insights into polysaccharide structure-functionality can be made using the structural characterisation of key polysaccharides in the mucilage but this is technically challenging, particularly for multiple fractions for which gathering sufficient material for analysis is difficult. We, therefore, suggest using methods such as Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) techniques or Polysaccharide Analysis using Carbohydrate Electrophoresis (PACE) (Mortimer, 2017) for studying the structure as these require smaller amounts of material. However, the utility of PACE in particular is defined by the range of enzymes – xylanases in this case – which are currently available for the digestion of the heteroxylan. The range is limited at the moment as the enzymes are often not able to cleave the backbone due to steric hindrance by the sidechains. The more heavily substituted the backbone, the more reduced the access. Phan et al., (2016) digested the mucilage from several species of Plantago using GH10 endoxylanase. This was able to release oligosaccharides from P. debilis, P. lanceolata and P. cunninghamii which have less substituted heteroxylan, however, this method was unable to release oligosaccharides from P. varia, P. major, P. ovata and P. coronopus with higher substitution due to steric hindrance. This method will be more powerful once a bigger selection of xylanases become available potentially from *Plantago* species themselves.

Although the mutants studied in Chapter 3 did not appear to be promising for use in GF and emulsion systems, the high water absorption capacity may be a useful property in dietary fibre supplements.

Structural characterization of the polysaccharides may help identify the changes from the wild type *P. ovata* which improves the water holding capacity of mutant mucilage.

Similarly in Chapter 4, although we have observed differences in the monosaccharide composition and the behaviour of the fractions of husk and WSF in rice flour and rice starch pasting, the structural differences in the polysaccharides present in each fraction are not known. Discrete temperature fractionation and structural characterization of fractions of husk and WSF could provide an opportunity to better understand their functionality and to confirm the differences in their native structure that leads to their poorer effects in GF baking compared to unfractionated materials.

2 - In vitro fermentations of fractionated mucilage of P ovata

The *in vitro* fermentation experiment in Chapter 3 was affected by a major state-wide power cut as described in Chapter 1, which led to a loss of the gas production data and possible effects on the fermentation, potentially affecting the quality of the data recovered. However, overall, it is still clear that there were only very subtle differences in the fermentability of the mutants when compared to the WT, so it is not recommended to repeat the same experiment to obtain gas data. However, in the removal of coldwater extractable fractions we have observed a reduction of the fermentability possibly due to the removal of soluble mucilage components such as oligosaccharides, heteroxylan with lower substitution and pectin. Also, the ethanolic extraction performed prior to the cold water extraction removed the simple sugars which further reduced the fermentable carbohydrates present in the substrate used for in vitro fermentation. Structural characterization of the mucilage in cold water (CWE), hot water (HWE) and intense agitation extraction (IAE) fractions of *P. ovata* wild type mucilage, followed by in vitro fermentation of the whole mucilage, individual fractions and a reconstituted mucilage prepared by mixing individual fractions in the ratios they are naturally found could provide interesting insights on how the solubility, structural differences in the mucilage fractions, as well as how the native arrangement of mucilage in P. ovata affects its fermentability. However again the complete structural characterization may not be achieved as methods like PACE for structural analysis of polysaccharides (Mortimer, 2017) may not be

effective due to the difficulty of digesting the heteroxylan using xylanases similar to Phan *et al.*, (2016) who observed greater difficulty in forming oligosaccharides by digestion with the increasing substitution. As shown in the monosaccharides analysis in Chapter 4 the A/X ratios of IAE>HWE>CWE indicating an increasing substitution level with the increased difficulty in mucilage fractionation. Therefore, complete structural characterisation may not be achieved in more substituted fractions like IAE.

Ding *et al.*,(2015) attempted *in vitro* fermentation of fractionated flax seed mucilage. However unlike the sequential mucilage extraction (Cowley *et al.*, 2020) which separates the mucilage into three fractions CWE, HWE and IAE, Ding *et al.*,(2015) used rhamnogalacturonans, arabinoxylans, arabinan-rich rhamnogalacturonans and xyloglucans from flax seed mucilage isolated on separate ion exchange columns, fractionations and dialysis in order to relate structure to fermentability. However, the sequential mucilage extracts prepared here as per Cowley *et al.*, (2020) would include a mixture of different components rather than just a single type of polysaccharide. For example, the CWE may contain simple sugars, oligosaccharides, pectin and less substituted heteroxylan, therefore making understanding of the structure-function relations more difficult.

3 – Safety of *Plantago* whole seed flour consumption

Previous research has indicated that species of Australian native and naturalized *Plantago* are likely to be nutritious but the *in vitro* fermentation work we have carried out has shown that they are poorly fermentable similar to *P. ovata* (Chapter 5) and may be more useful for faecal bulking applications. However, the nutritional, microbiological and toxicological safety of consumption of whole seed flour of *Plantago* needs to be determined as per Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code (Standard 1.1.1 and 1.5.1 – Novel foods). This would involve characterizing anti nutritional compounds, natural toxicants, as well as using animal feeding trials before they can be used as an alternative to commercial psyllium.

4 - Composition of Plantago WSF

Out of the ten species of *Plantago* examined here, compositional data is available for seven of them from the previous work of Dr. James Cowley, which has been used for correlation analysis between the composition and the fermentation end products. However, the accessions WOT, LOX and DEW used in this study do not have any compositional data available. Obtaining this data would allow more complete comparisons of composition to fermentability. The compositional data were not available during the period of this project but further research is being undertaken by others at the University of Adelaide to obtain the data to support publication.

The *Plantago* genus has over 400 species (Bala and Gupta, 2011) and it is possible there may be more fermentable species within the genus other than ten species studied in Chapter 5, which are yet to be explored. Hu *et al.*, (2012) conducted a mouse feeding trail with 0.4 g purified polysaccharides extracted from defatted *P. asiatica* seeds per kilogram of body weight and found an increase in the SCFA production, increase in faecal moisture and a reduction in the colonic pH. The control group however was provided with distilled water in a similar quantity making it quite difficult to know how the fermentability of *P. asiatica* compares to substrates of known fermentability like fructooligosaccharides.

Hu *et al.*, (2013) showed that microwave irradiation of *P. asiatica* polysaccharides led to the reduction of average molecular weight, particle size and apparent viscosity with a significant increase in the SCFA production. It is possible that microwave irradiation treatments could enhance the fermentability of the commercially used psyllium from *P. ovata* as well as the other members of the *Plantago* genus including the species studied in Chapter 5.

5 – In vitro fermentation of Planteose

Planteose is an oligosaccharide that is present in the endosperm of the *Plantago* species fermented in Chapter 5. Xing *et al.*, (2017) has carried out structural characterization of planteose from another mucilaginous seed, chia. However, there is no literature available on the fermentability of planteose from

Chapter 6 - Conclusions and future directions

any source, although the correlations between the composition of *Plantago* and the end products of fermentation have indicated that planteose is indeed a fermentable oligosaccharide. We suggest the extracting and purifying of planteose and *in vitro* fermentation to determine its fermentability in pure form, coupled to analyses of gas production and fermentation end products. Although the oligosaccharide planteose is found in the *Plantago* species, it is found in the endosperm which is rich in other materials such as mannans, lipids, sugars, and protein (Cowley, O'Donovan and Burton, 2021). However, in Salvia hispanica, commonly known as chia, the planteose is found in abundantly in the seed mucilage (Xing et al., 2017). Therefore, chia seeds are recommended as a source for the extraction of planteose. Xing et al., (2017) have shown that solid-phase extraction (SPE) can be used for the extraction of planteose in pure form which can be used as a standard for methods like NMR spectroscopy. Although the SPE method can be used to extract pure planteose it only produces small quantities of planteose, although the starting material was only 40 mg of dry mucilage from chia. As mentioned in Chapters 3 and 5, we used 2 g – 2.5 g substrate in each fermentation bottle so in order to set up an *in vitro* fermentation of planteose, with time removals and sufficient replicates in order to study the gas. SCFA and NH₃ production over time would require large quantities of pure planteose. This would involve numerous extraction cycles which would require resources including time, equipment, labour and capital making it a difficult task. However, if sufficient substrate can be prepared a continued collaboration with the University of Queensland could be pursued to study the fermentability of purified planteose in the future.

References

Bala, S. and Gupta, R. C. (2011) 'Chromosomal diversity in some species of Plantago (plantaginaceae) from North India', *International Journal of Botany*, 7(1), pp. 82–89. doi: 10.3923/ijb.2011.82.89.

Cowley, J. M. *et al.* (2020) 'A small-scale fractionation pipeline for rapid analysis of seed mucilage characteristics', *Plant Methods*, 16(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s13007-020-00569-6.

Cowley, J. M., O'Donovan, L. A. and Burton, R. A. (2021) 'The composition of Australian Plantago seeds highlights their potential as nutritionally-rich functional food ingredients', *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), pp. 1– 16. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-92114-1.

Ding, H. H. *et al.* (2015) 'Short-chain fatty acid profiles from flaxseed dietary fibres after in vitro fermentation of pig colonic digesta: Structure-function relationship', *Bioactive Carbohydrates and Dietary Fibre*, 6(2), pp. 62–68. doi: 10.1016/j.bcdf.2015.09.006.

Hu, J. L. *et al.* (2012) 'Polysaccharide from seeds of plantago asiatica L. increases short-chain fatty acid production and fecal moisture along with lowering pH in mouse colon', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60(46), pp. 11525–11532. doi: 10.1021/jf302169u.

Hu, J. L. *et al.* (2013) 'Microbial short-chain fatty acid production and extracellular enzymes activities during in vitro fermentation of polysaccharides from the seeds of Plantago asiatica L. treated with microwave irradiation', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 61(25), pp. 6092–6101. doi: 10.1021/jf401877j.

Mortimer, J. C. (2017) *Structural analysis of cell wall polysaccharides using PACE, Methods in Molecular Biology.* doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-6722-3_16.

Phan, J. L. *et al.* (2016) 'Differences in glycosyltransferase family 61 accompany variation in seed coat mucilage composition in Plantago spp.', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67(22), pp. 6481–6495. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw424.

Xing, X. *et al.* (2017) 'Isolation and structural elucidation by 2D NMR of planteose, a major oligosaccharide in the mucilage of chia (Salvia hispanica L.) seeds', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 175, pp. 231–240. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.07.059. APPENDICES

Appendix 1

Sample details for in vitro fermentation of mutant P. ovata

Table 1. Substrates codes for various *P. ovata* mutant substrates

Substrate			
code	Material	Treatment 1	Treatment 2
	P.ovata		
M1_W	WT	Free sugars removed by ethanolic extraction	Whole
	P.ovata		
M1_F	WT	Free sugars removed by ethanolic extraction	Cold water fraction removed
M2_W	671-6	Free sugars removed by ethanolic extraction	Whole
M2_F	671-6	Free sugars removed by ethanolic extraction	Cold water fraction removed
M3_W	671-7	Free sugars removed by ethanolic extraction	Whole
M3_F	671-7	Free sugars removed by ethanolic extraction	Cold water fraction removed
M4_W	673-1	Free sugars removed by ethanolic extraction	Whole
M4_F	673-1	Free sugars removed by ethanolic extraction	Cold water fraction removed
M5_W	668-10	Free sugars removed by ethanolic extraction	Whole
M5_F	668-10	Free sugars removed by ethanolic extraction	Cold water fraction removed

				Initial	
				weight	Dry matter
SubNo	Substrate	Replicate	(h)	(g)	weight (g)
1	M1_W	Α	72	0.2035	0.1765
1	M1_W	В	72	0.2038	0.1767
1	M1_W	С	72	0.2026	0.1757
1	M1_W	D	72	0.2018	0.1750
1	M1_W	E	72	0.2026	0.1757
1	M1_W	Α	48	0.2019	0.1751
1	M1_W	В	48	0.2013	0.1746
1	M1_W	Α	24	0.2069	0.1794
1	M1_W	В	24	0.2022	0.1753
1	M1_W	Α	10	0.2083	0.1806
1	M1_W	В	10	0.2015	0.1747
1	M1_W	Α	4	0.2058	0.1785
1	M1_W	В	4	0.2024	0.1755
1	M1_W	Α	0	0.2014	0.1746
1	M1_W	В	0	0.2064	0.1790
2	M1_F	Α	72	0.2015	0.1976
2	M1_F	В	72	0.2022	0.1983
2	M1_F	С	72	0.2013	0.1974
2	M1_F	D	72	0.2009	0.1970
2	M1_F	E	72	0.2024	0.1985
2	M1_F	Α	48	0.2041	0.2001
2	M1_F	В	48	0.2031	0.1991
2	M1_F	Α	24	0.2015	0.1976
2	M1_F	В	24	0.2015	0.1976
2	M1_F	Α	10	0.2004	0.1965
2	M1_F	В	10	0.2016	0.1977
2	M1_F	Α	4	0.2038	0.1998
2	M1_F	В	4	0.2036	0.1996
2	M1_F	Α	0	0.2015	0.1976
2	M1_F	В	0	0.2031	0.1991
		_			
3	M2_W	Α	72	0.2030	0.1777
3	M2_W	В	72	0.2027	0.1774
3	M2_W	С	72	0.2029	0.1776
3	M2_W	D	72	0.2038	0.1784
3	M2_W	E	72	0.2018	0.1766
3	M2_W	Α	48	0.2025	0.1772
3	M2_W	В	48	0.2013	0.1762
3	M2_W	А	24	0.2013	0.1762
3	M2_W	В	24	0.2016	0.1764
3	M2_W	А	10	0.2018	0.1766
3	M2_W	В	10	0.2028	0.1775

Table 2. Substrate weights for each time removal

Appendices

 3 M2_W 3 M2_W 3 M2_W 3 M2_W 	A B A B	4 4 0 0	0.2021 0.2018 0.2018 0.2017	0.1769 0.1766 0.1766 0.1765
 4 M2_F 	A B C D E A B A B A B A B A B	72 72 72 72 72 48 48 48 24 24 10 10 10 4 4 0 0	0.2003 0.2011 0.2011 0.2008 0.2006 0.2032 0.2012 0.2038 0.2019 0.2010 0.2019 0.2010 0.2019 0.2005 0.2027 0.2033 0.2024	0.1839 0.1847 0.1847 0.1844 0.1842 0.1866 0.1848 0.1871 0.1854 0.1854 0.1854 0.1854 0.1861 0.1867 0.1859
 5 M3_W 	A B C D E A B A B A B A B A B	72 72 72 72 72 48 48 48 24 24 10 10 10 4 4 0 0	0.2013 0.2030 0.2014 0.2025 0.2011 0.2007 0.2022 0.2008 0.2019 0.2045 0.2010 0.2010 0.2012 0.2031 0.2014 0.2006	0.1749 0.1764 0.1750 0.1760 0.1748 0.1744 0.1757 0.1745 0.1755 0.1777 0.1747 0.1747 0.1740 0.1765 0.1750 0.1743
6 M3_F 6 M3_F	A B C D E A B A B A B A	72 72 72 72 72 48 48 48 24 24 10 10 10 4	0.2012 0.2019 0.2008 0.2013 0.2011 0.2016 0.2016 0.2004 0.2008 0.2016 0.2019 0.2020	0.1874 0.1881 0.1870 0.1875 0.1873 0.1878 0.1878 0.1878 0.1867 0.1870 0.1878 0.1881 0.1882

6	M3_F	В	4	0.2033	0.1894
6	M3_F	А	0	0.2015	0.1877
6	M3_F	В	0	0.2015	0.1877
7		^	70	0 2025	0 17/2
/ 7	1V14_VV	A	12	0.2025	0.1743
1	1V14_VV	В	12	0.2004	0.1724
/	M4_W	C	72	0.2019	0.1/3/
[M4_W	D	/2	0.2010	0.1/30
7	M4_W	E	72	0.2057	0.1770
7	M4_W	A	48	0.2029	0.1746
7	M4_W	В	48	0.2025	0.1743
7	M4_W	А	24	0.2025	0.1743
7	M4_W	В	24	0.2033	0.1749
7	M4_W	Α	10	0.2049	0.1763
7	M4_W	В	10	0.2039	0.1755
7	M4_W	А	4	0.2010	0.1730
7	M4_W	В	4	0.2022	0.1740
7	M4_W	А	0	0.2026	0.1743
7	M4_W	В	0	0.2017	0.1736
8	M4_F	А	72	0.2016	0.1953
8	M4_F	В	72	0.2010	0.1947
8	M4_F	С	72	0.2043	0.1979
8	M4_F	D	72	0.2019	0.1956
8	M4 F	Е	72	0.2013	0.1950
8	M4 F	А	48	0.2019	0.1956
8	M4 F	В	48	0.2016	0.1953
8	M4 F	Ā	24	0 2017	0 1954
8	M4 F	B	24	0 2034	0 1971
8	M4 F	Ā	10	0.2011	0 1948
8	M4 F	R	10	0.2011	0 1946
8	M4 F	Δ	4	0.2000	0.1040
8	M/ F	B	т Л	0.2042	0.1070
Q Q		^		0.2041	0.1377
Q Q			0	0.2070	0.2011
0	IVI4_F	D	0	0.2030	0.1975
9	M5 W	А	72	0.2014	0.1748
9	M5_W	B	72	0 2013	0 1747
9	M5_W	Ċ	72	0 2034	0 1765
g	M5 W	D	72	0 2006	0 1741
ğ	M5_W	F	72	0.2000	0.1744
a	M5 W	Δ	/2	0.2010	0.1744
0	M5 \//	R	-10 /10	0.2010	0.1750
9 0		Δ	40 01	0.2017	0.1750
9 0			24 07	0.2017	0.1750
3	M5 M	D A	24 40	0.2021	0.1759
9		A	10	0.2010	0.1/50
9		N N	IU A	0.2043	0.1//3
9		A	4	0.2010	0.1/44
9	M5_W	В	4	0.2044	0.1774

Appendices

9	M5_W	А	0	0.2007	0.1742
9	M5_W	В	0	0.2052	0.1781
10	M5_F	А	72	0.2006	0.1893
10	M5_F	В	72	0.2017	0.1903
10	M5_F	С	72	0.2008	0.1895
10	M5_F	D	72	0.2011	0.1897
10	M5_F	Е	72	0.2017	0.1903
10	M5_F	А	48	0.2023	0.1909
10	M5_F	В	48	0.2027	0.1913
10	M5_F	А	24	0.2028	0.1914
10	M5_F	В	24	0.2042	0.1927
10	M5_F	А	10	0.2026	0.1912
10	M5_F	В	10	0.2023	0.1909
10	M5_F	А	4	0.2017	0.1903
10	M5_F	В	4	0.2015	0.1901
10	M5_F	А	0	0.2046	0.1931
10	M5_F	В	0	0.2019	0.1905
11	Bl_Fae	А	72	0.0000	0.0000
11	Bl_Fae	В	72	0.0000	0.0000
11	BI_Fae	А	0	0.0000	0.0000
11	Bl_Fae	В	0	0.0000	0.0000

Appendix 2

Table 1. Substrates codes for various Plantago substrates

Substrate code	Material
POV-H	<i>P.ovata</i> husk
POV-S	P.ovata de-husked seed
POV	P.ovata whole seed flour
Pmaj	Plantago major
Pdeb	Plantago debilis
Pbel	Platago belidoides
Ptur	Plantago turrifera
Pcun	Plantago cunninghamii
Pvar	Plantago varia
WOT	WOT
DEW	DEW
LOX	LOX
WBR	Wheat bran

Table 2. Substrate weights for each time removal

				Initial	
SubNo	Substrate	Replicate	(h)	weight (g)	Dry matter weight (g)
1	POV-H	A	48	0.2534	0.2340
1	POV-H	В	48	0.2534	0.2340
1	POV-H	С	48	0.2453	0.2265
1	POV-H	D	48	0.2540	0.2345
1	POV-H	Е	48	0.2497	0.2306
1	POV-H	Α	24	0.2520	0.2327
1	POV-H	В	24	0.2842	0.2624
1	POV-H	Α	10	0.2585	0.2387
1	POV-H	В	10	0.2615	0.2415
1	POV-H	Α	0	0.2126	0.1963
1	POV-H	В	0	0.2219	0.2049
2	POV-S	Α	48	0.2505	0.2316
2	POV-S	В	48	0.2403	0.2222
2	POV-S	С	48	0.2410	0.2228
2	POV-S	D	48	0.2511	0.2322
2	POV-S	Е	48	0.2368	0.2189
2	POV-S	Α	24	0.2505	0.2316
2	POV-S	В	24	0.2629	0.2431
2	POV-S	Α	10	0.2445	0.2260
2	POV-S	В	10	0.2618	0.2420
2	POV-S	Α	0	0.2085	0.1928
2	POV-S	В	0	0.2085	0.1928
3	POV	Α	48	0.2460	0.2280
3	POV	В	48	0.2553	0.2366
3	POV	С	48	0.2426	0.2249
3	POV	D	48	0.2510	0.2326
3	POV	Е	48	0.2509	0.2325
3	POV	Α	24	0.2415	0.2238
3	POV	В	24	0.2464	0.2284
3	POV	Α	10	0.2495	0.2312
3	POV	В	10	0.2640	0.2447
3	POV	Α	0	0.2195	0.2034
3	POV	В	0	0.2129	0.1973
4	Pmaj	Α	48	0.2507	0.2317
4	Pmaj	В	48	0.2519	0.2328
4	Pmaj	С	48	0.2530	0.2338
4	Pmaj	D	48	0.2427	0.2243
4	Pmaj	E	48	0.2426	0.2242
4	Pmaj	Α	24	0.2440	0.2255
4	Pmaj	В	24	0.2397	0.2215
4	Pmaj	Α	10	0.2429	0.2245
4	Pmaj	В	10	0.2456	0.2270
4	Pmaj	А	0	0.2049	0.1894

Pmaj	В	0	0.2179	0.2014
Pdeb	А	48	0.2427	0.2271
Pdeb	В	48	0.2397	0.2243
Pdeb	С	48	0.2431	0.2275
Pdeb	D	48	0.2442	0.2286
Pdeb	Е	48	0.2468	0.2310
Pdeb	Ā	24	0.2458	0.2301
Pdeb	В	24	0.2494	0.2334
Pdeb	A	10	0 2445	0 2288
Pdeb	В	10	0.2442	0.2286
Pdeb	A	0	0 2061	0 1929
Pdeb	B	0	0 2005	0 1877
Pbel	A	48	0 2541	0 2378
Pbel	B	48	0 2482	0 2323
Phel	C	48	0 2423	0 2267
Phel	D	48	0.2425	0 2269
Phel	F	48	0 2530	0 2368
Phel	Δ	24	0.2451	0 2294
Phel	B	24	0.2459	0 2301
Phel	A	10	0.2437	0.2281
Phel	R	10	0.2399	0 2245
Phel	Δ	0	0.2035	0.1904
Phel	R	0	0.2000	0.1004
Ptur	Δ	48	0.2045	0.1312
Ptur	R	-0 /8	0.2410	0.2205
Ptur	C	-0 /8	0.2430	0.2000
Ptur	D D	-0 /8	0.2475	0.2231
Dtur	F	-0 /8	0.2457	0.2214
Dtur	Δ	-0 2/	0.2473	0.2201
Dtur	R	24	0.2437	0.2311
Dtur	Δ	2 4 10	0.2473	0.2203
Ptur	R	10	0.2420	0.2247
Ptur	Δ	0	0.2324	0.2330
Ptur		0	0.2042	0.1030
Poun		0 19	0.2020	0.1075
Poun	A D	40 10	0.2370	0.2210
Poun		40 10	0.2400	0.2209
Poun		40 10	0.2409	0.2245
Poun		40 10	0.2422	0.2257
Poun		40 04	0.2401	0.2312
Poun	A D	24	0.2417	0.2252
Poun		24 10	0.2410	0.2255
Poun	A D	10	0.2401	0.2293
Pcun	B	10	0.2403	0.2314
PCUII Doub	A D	0	0.2075	0.1934
		U 10	0.2023	0.100/
Pvar	A	4ŏ	0.2440	0.22/4
rvar	В	4ŏ	0.2443	0.2212

Pvar

Pvar

С

D

0.2516

0.2443

0.2340

0.2272

9	Pvar	Е	48	0.2411	0.2242
9	Pvar	А	24	0.2473	0.2300
9	Pvar	В	24	0.2451	0.2279
9	Pvar	А	10	0.2451	0.2279
9	Pvar	В	10	0.2451	0.2279
9	Pvar	А	0	0.2112	0.1964
9	Pvar	В	0	0.2033	0.1891
10	WOT	А	48	0.2320	0.2141
10	WOT	В	48	0.2333	0.2153
10	WOT	Ċ	48	0.2354	0.2173
10	WOT	D	48	0.2378	0.2195
10	WOT	Е	48	0.2372	0.2189
10	WOT	А	24	0.2373	0.2190
10	WOT	В	24	0.2302	0.2125
10	WOT	А	10	0.2346	0.2165
10	WOT	В	10	0.2335	0.2155
10	WOT	Ā	0	0.2000	0.1846
10	WOT	В	0	0.2032	0.1876
11	DEW	А	48	0.2473	0.2299
11	DEW	В	48	0.2572	0.2391
11	DEW	С	48	0.2493	0.2318
11	DEW	D	48	0.2467	0.2293
11	DEW	Е	48	0.2424	0.2253
11	DEW	А	24	0.2540	0.2361
11	DEW	В	24	0.2559	0.2379
11	DEW	А	10	0.2493	0.2318
11	DEW	В	10	0.2579	0.2398
11	DEW	А	0	0.2106	0.1958
11	DEW	В	0	0.2400	0.2231
12	LOX	А	48	0.2486	0.2311
12	LOX	В	48	0.2506	0.2322
12	LOX	С	48	0.2421	0.2243
12	LOX	D	48	0.2416	0.2239
12	LOX	Е	48	0.2442	0.2263
12	LOX	А	24	0.2487	0.2305
12	LOX	В	24	0.2568	0.2380
12	LOX	А	10	0.2575	0.2386
12	LOX	В	10	0.2437	0.2258
12	LOX	А	0	0.2050	0.1900
12	LOX	В	0	0.2079	0.1926
13	WBR	А	48	0.2645	0.2376
13	WBR	В	48	0.2591	0.2327
14	BL	А	48	0.0000	0.0000
14	BL	В	48	0.0000	0.0000
14	BL	А	0	0.0000	0.0000
14	BL	В	0	0.0000	0.0000

Appendix 3 - Career and Research Skills Training (CaRST)

What is Career and Research Skills Training (CaRST)?

Career and Research Skills Training (CaRST) is a specialised training and development program for Higher Degree by Research (HDR) students at the University of Adelaide.

CaRST comprises the 'Development Component of the Structured Program' and student participation extends from enrolment to thesis submission. HDRs are required to complete a minimum number of CaRST hours by thesis submission: 120 hours for PhDs and 60 hours for MPhils. Activities must also be distributed across all four domains identified in the Researcher Development Framework from Vitae.

CaRST completion certificate

THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE	
This is to certify that	
Miss Migelhewage Silv	va
has completed	
120 hours	
of Career and Research Skills Training	
Dr Monica Kerr, Date	2022
Director, Career and Research Skills Training	
adelaide.edu.au CRICOS Provider Number 001254	seek LIGHT

Appendix 4 – Candidature milestones

Date	Milestone
February 2018	Contacted Prof. Rachel Burton via email
	Skype interview with Prof. Rachel Burton
April 2018	Accepted offer for PhD admission for the University of Adelaide-University of
	Nottingham PhD program
	Offered and accepted Beacon of Enlightenment PhD scholarship from the
	University of Adelaide
June 2018	Accepted offer for PhD admission from University of Nottingham, UK
July 2018	Started PhD
September 2018	Completed Integrated Bridging Programme -Research
	Appeared in a promotional video for IBPR (iCaRST) for the University
	website
	Participated in Postgraduate Research Symposium, Waite Campus
October 2018	Attended University of Nottingham-University of Adelaide Joint PhD
	conference at University of Nottingham, Malaysia Campus
Dec 2018	Completed the "Core Component of Structured Program
February 2019	Participated in 2019, Australian Institute of Food Science and Technology,
	Summer School
September 2019	Completed "Major Review of Progress for Doctoral Programs"
	Presented at Postgraduate Research Symposium, Waite Campus
October 2019	Completed annual review of progress
September 2020	Participated in Postgraduate Research Symposium, Waite Campus
October 2020	Completed annual review of progress

December 2020	Withdrew from University of Adelaide-University of Nottingham joint PhD
	programme, due to COVID travel restrictions
January 2021	Relocated to Brisbane, Queensland
February 2022	Started working with Prof. Mike Gidley's team at the Centre of Nutrition and
	Food Sciences, Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation,
	University of Queensland
April 2021	Attended Centre the Centre of Nutrition and Food Sciences, All-day research
	meeting at the University of Queensland
August 2021	Participated in the workshop on Indigenous Plant Foods for Nutrition and
	Health by ARC industrial transformation centre for uniquely Australian foods,
	CNAFS, QAAFI
October 2021	Completed annual review of progress
November 2021	Participated in CSIRO The Food Structure, Digestion and Health
	(FSDH) Online Conference by Riddet Institute, New Zealand
April 2022	Completed pre-submission review
September 2022	Submitted thesis to Adelaide graduate Centre