Importation of fresh edible flowers of Izote (*Yucca guatemalensis* Baker) into the continental United States from Guatemala

A Pathway-Initiated Risk Assessment

Version 1

September 5, 2012

Agency Contact

Center for Plant Health Science and Technology Plant Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Laboratory

United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service Plant Protection and Quarantine 1730 Varsity Drive, Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27606

Executive Summary

The Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prepared this risk assessment document to examine plant pest risks associated with importing fresh flowers of izote (*Yucca guatemalensis* Baker) from Guatemala into the continental United States. We prepared a list of pests associated with izote in Guatemala based on documentation submitted by the Ministry for Agriculture and Livestock and Food of Guatemala, scientific literature, records of intercepted pests at ports-of-entry, and the opinions of experts in the field on izote production.

We identified one pest, the gray pineapple mealybug (*Dysmicoccus neobrevipes* Beardsley), as a quarantine pest that may follow the pathway and be introduced with negative consequences if not mitigated with specific phytosanitary measures. The Pest Risk Potential for *D. neobrevipes* was Medium.

Identification and selection of appropriate sanitary and phytosanitary measures to mitigate risk for pests with particular Pest Risk Potential ratings are undertaken as part of the risk-management phase and is not discussed in this document.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	ii
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Commodity information	1
2. Risk Assessment	2
2.1. Initiating Event: Proposed Action	3
2.2. Assessment of Weed Potential of Yucca guatemalensis	3
2.3. Current Status, Decision History and Pest Interceptions	4
2.4. Pest Categorization—Identification of Pests of Izote in Guatemala	
2.5. Quarantine Pests Likely to Follow the Pathway	
2.6. Consequences of Introduction	
2.7. Likelihood of Introduction	9
2.8. Pest Risk Potential and Conclusion	
3. Literature Cited	11
4. Preparers and Reviewers	14
5. Appendices	
Appendix A. Pests intercepted on Yucca guatemalensis from 1985 to 2004	
Appendix B. Plant species related to families of hosts of Dysmicoccus neobrevipes listed b	
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service considered as endangered or threatened.	16

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

This risk assessment was prepared by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ), Center for Plant Health Science and Technology (CPHST), Plant Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Laboratory (PERAL). Our purpose was to examine pest risks associated with the importation of Izote *Yucca guatemalensis* Baker as fresh fruit from Guatemala into the continental United States. This risk assessment examined the pest risk of commercial quality izote, which undergoes cleaning and washing of sap of each individual fruit as part of standard post-harvest treatment.

Plant pest risks associated with the importation of fresh fruit of Izote from Guatemala into the United States were estimated and assigned the qualitative terms of high, medium or low, in accordance with the template document, *Guidelines for Pathway-Initiated Pest Risk Assessments, Version 5.02* (PPQ, 2000).

Regional and international plant protection organizations such as the North American Plant Protection Organization (NAPPO) and the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) provide standards for conducting pest risk analyses (IPPC, 2009: ISPM #s 11; NAPPO, 2004). The use of biological and phytosanitary terms is consistent with the 'Glossary of Phytosanitary Terms and the Compendium of Phytosanitary Terms' (IPPC, 2009: ISPM #5). The methods used to initiate, conduct, and report this assessment, as well as the use of biological and phytosanitary terms are based on these standards. The IPPC standards describe three stages of pest risk analysis: Stage 1, Initiation; Stage 2, Risk Assessment; and Stage 3, Risk Management. This document satisfies the requirements of IPPC Stages 1 and 2.

1.2. Commodity information

Yucca guatemalensis Baker (Class: Monocotyledonae, Order: Asparagales, Family: Agavaceae) is native to the southwestern United States, Puerto Rico, Mexico, and Guatemala (Bartolomaus et al., 1990; Gardening Australia, 2000; Sanchez de Lorenzo, 2003; USDA and NRCS, 2002). Izote belongs to the *Yucca* genus, which includes approximately 40 species, distributed mainly in the United States, Mexico, Central America, and Cuba (Nuñez, 1994). The synonym *Yucca elephantipes* is commonly cited for this species in several documents and in databases in Guatemala and Central America.

Yucca guatemalensis is known as izote in Guatemala, and is also called spineless or giant yucca. Its leaves are pliable and lack the sharp spines on the tips that are characteristic of most yuccas. The plant may reach a height of 9.1m (30 ft) (USDA and NRCS. 2002). With age the stem becomes rough and thick, and when mature it develops a swollen base and often branches a few feet off the ground. The leaves, which grow in a spiral rosette, are shiny green, reaching 1.2m (4 ft) in length and close to 7.6 cm (3 in) in width, with serrated margins (Bailey and Bailey, 1976; Christman, 2002). Izote grows in arid regions of southern Mexico and Central America.

The inflorescence is an erect panicle 60-70 cm (23.62-27.56 in.) long, with distinct white, fragrant flowers with 1 to 2 (Grayum, 2003; Nuñez, 1994). The stamens are attached right under the ovary. The flowers are white or pale yellow and correspond to a clustered inflorescence. In Guatemala, budding occurs when the plant reaches 4 to 7 years of age. Inflorescences appear at the beginning of the rainy season (April, May) and generally one inflorescence per stem is formed (Bartolomaus et al., 1990; Nuñez, 1994).

The flowers of izote are edible and, in Guatemala, are consumed cooked or stewed with eggs or pickled. The flowers contain calcium, iron, thiamine, niacin, phosphorus, carotene, and ascorbic acid (Nuñez, 1994).



Figure 1. (a) Izote Inflorescence (CEF, 2005). (b) Packed izote flowers (CEF, 2005).

2. Risk Assessment

In this risk assessment, the first five Risk Elements considered are combined to form an assessment of the risk associated with the Consequences of Introduction. Six Sub-Elements are evaluated and combined for value that estimate the risk associated with the Likelihood of Introduction. Together, the Consequences of Introduction and the Likelihood of Introduction values form an assessment of the Pest Risk Potential.

2.1. Initiating Event: Proposed Action

This commodity-based, pathway-initiated assessment is in response to a request made by the Ministry for Agriculture, Livestock, and Food of Guatemala (dated March 28, 2011) to the USDA for authorization to allow the importation of izote. In this case, the importation into the continental United States of izote flowers, grown in Guatemala, is a potential pathway for the introduction of plant pests.

2.2. Assessment of Weed Potential of Yucca guatemalensis

The results of the screening for the potential of izote as a weed did not prompt a weed-initiated risk assessment (Table 1).

Table 1. Assessment of weed potential of Yucca guatemalensis.

Scientific name and author: Yucca guatemalensis Baker

Plant family: Agavaceae

Synonyms: Yucca elephantipes Regel; Y. gigantea Baker; Y. ghiesbreghtii Baker; Y. lenneana Baker; Y. mazelii Hort. ex Watson; Y. mooreana Hort. Peacock ex Baker; Y. roezlii Hort. ex Baker. (Etter and Kristen, 1997-2004; FACTOPIA, 2005; Moller, 1998-2003). Common names: Bayoneta, Bluestem yucca, Elephant yucca, Flor de itabo, Hitavo, Izote, Palmito, Soft-Tip Yucca, Spineless yucca (CABI, 2012 Gilman and Watson, 1994; Randall et al., 2002; Grayum, 2003; World Agroforestry Centre, 2005)

Phase 1:

This plant is native to the southwest United States (Bartolomaus et al., 1990). This species reportedly occurs in Belize, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Guatemala, Mexico, Nicaragua, and the United States (GDIF, 2005).

Phase 2:

- <u>NO</u> Geographical Atlas of World Weeds (Holm et al., 1991a).
- NO The World's Worst Weeds (Holm et al., 1991b).
- <u>NO</u> Report of the Technical Committee to Evaluate Noxious Weeds; Exotic Weeds for the Federal Noxious Weed Act (APHIS, 2000).
- NO Economically Important Foreign Weeds (Reed, 1977).
- NO Weed Science Society of America, 2005.
- NO AGRICOLA (AGRIS/CARIS, 2005).
- NO Alien Plant Invaders of Natural Areas (Swearingen, 2003).

Other literature and database search indicating weediness:

- NO World weeds: natural histories and distributions (Holm et al., 1997).
- NO World Economic Plants (Wiersema and León, 1999).
- NO Florida's Invasive Species List, Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council (FLEPPC, 2003).
- NO CABI, 2012 Crop Protection Compendium. Search on Weed.

Phase 3:

A weed-initiated risk assessment is not initiated for *Yucca guatemalensis* because there is no evidence of invasive behavior by this plant within the literature and due to the fact that the responses to the above were negative.

2.3. Current Status, Decision History and Pest Interceptions

Currently, the exportation of fresh flowers of izote for consumption into the United States from Guatemala is not permitted (USDA, 2005).

A number of pests have been intercepted on izote entering the United States, but most were not identified to species level (see Appendix A).

2.4. Pest Categorization—Identification of Pests of Izote in Guatemala

In Table 2, we present information on the geographic distribution, host associations, and regulatory data for izote from Guatemala. We listed (1) the presence of pests in Guatemala relative to their presence within the United States, (2) the generally affected plant part or parts, (3) the quarantine status of the pest in the United States, (4) whether or not the pest is likely to follow the pathway into the United States on izote, and (5) pertinent citations for either the distribution or the biology of the pest. Many organisms are not sources of phytosanitary risk on izote from Guatemala because they do not satisfy the definition of a quarantine pest. A quarantine pest is defined as "A pest of potential economic importance to the area endangered thereby and not yet present there, or present but not widely distributed and being officially controlled" (IPPC, 2009: ISPM No. 5).

Pest Scientific Name and Taxonomy	Geographic distribution ¹		Follow pathway	References
ARTHROPODA			Ŵ	
Insecta				
Coleoptera: Curculionida	ae			
Scyphophorus acupunctatus Gyllenhal	GU, US	R, S No	No	Berry, 1959; CABI, 2012; Nearctica, 1998
Hemiptera: Diaspididae				
Chrysomphalus dictyospermi (Mogan)	GU, US	F, L, S, Sh No	No	Ben-Dov et al., 2005; CABI, 2012
Hemiptera: Pseudococcio	lae			
Dysmicoccus neobrevipes Beardsley	GU, US (FL)	F, Fl, L, R Yes	Yes	Ben-Dov et al., 2005; Kessing and Mau, 1992; OIRSA, 2005; Williams and Granara, 1992

Table 2. Pests associated with Yucca guatemalensis and present in Guatemala.

¹Geographic Distribution: CA = Central America, SV = El Salvador, US = United States.

² Plant Part Affected: Branch= B, Flower= Fl, Fruit= F, Leaf= L, Root= R, Seeds= Se, Seedling= Sd, Shoot= Sh, Stem= S, Tuber= T.

Pest Scientific Name and Taxonomy	Geographic distribution ¹	Plant part affected ²	Quaran- tine pest	Follow pathway	References
Nipaecoccus nipae (Maskell)	GU, US	F, L, S, Sh	No	No	Ben-Dov et al., 2005; CABI, 2012; Howard et al., 2001; Williams and Granara, 1992
Pseudococcus landoi ³ (Balachowsky)	GU	F	Yes	No	Ben-Dov et al., 2005; PestID 2012
BACTERIA					A
<i>Erwinia</i> spp. Enterobacteriales	GU	S, Sd	Yes	No	Bonilla and Merino, 1988; Clark, 1990; FUSADES, 2005
FUNGI ⁴					
<i>Alternaria alternata</i> (Fr.:Fr.) Keissl Syn: <i>A. tenuis</i> Nees (Mitosporic fungi: Hyphomycetes)	GU, US	L	No	No	Escobar, 2003; Farr et al., 1989; Villalobos and Cárdenas, 2002
<i>Alternaria</i> sp. (Mitosporic fungi: Hyphomycetes)	GU, US	L, Sd,F	Yes	Yes	Acuña and Rivera, 1976; Farr et al., 1989; McGuire and Crandall, 1967; Padilla and Palma, 1985
Apodothina pringlei (Peck) Syn: Sphaerodothis pringlei (Peck) Thiss & Syd (Loculoascomycetes: Dothideales)	GU, US	L	No	No	Farr et al., 1989; Wellman, 1977
<i>Curvularia</i> sp. (Mitosporic fungi: Hyphomycetes)	GU	L	Yes	No	Acuña and Rivera, 1976; Alfieri et al., 1994; Escobar, 2003; Bonilla and Merino, 1988; Farr et al., 1989; Villalobos and Cárdenas, 2002
<i>Cytosporina</i> sp. (Mitosporic fungi: Coelomycetes)	GU	L	Yes	No	Anonymous, undated; Farr et al., 1989; Villalobos and Cárdenas, 2002

³ The evidence for yucca being a host is ambiguous. Only Ben Dov et al. (2005) mention yucca as a host, while Williams and Granara (1992) and Ben-Dov (1994) do not, and their descriptions consistently state that the primary host is banana (*Musa* sp). In addition, the only evidence that the mealybug may convey on flowers is from PestID (2012), but the plant part descriptions are ambiguous, are found on only one species from one inspector, and are equally likely to be result of data entry error as a description of the area where the mealybugs were found. Due to the high likelihood of data error and the lack of consistent evidence, we do not analyze this insect.

⁴ Fungal taxonomic classification as in Farr et al. (1989).

Pest Scientific Name and Taxonomy	Geographic distribution ¹	Plant part affected ²	Quaran- tine pest	Follow pathway	References
<i>Fusarium</i> sp. (Mitosporic fungi: Hyphomycetes)	GU	L, S, Sd	Yes	Yes	Clark et al., 1988; Farr et al., 1989; Farr et al., 2005; Padilla and Palma, 1985; González et al., 2001
Glomerella cingulata (Stoneman) Spauld. & H. Screnk. (Anamorph: <i>Colletotrichum</i> gloesporoides (Penz.) Penz.& Sacc. In Penz) (Pyrenomycetes: Phyllachorales)	GU, US	Fl, L	No	Yes	Acuña and Rivera, 1976; Farr et al., 1989; McGuire and Crandall, 1967; Rodríguez, 2003; Villalobos and Cárdenas, 2002; Wellman, 1977
Sclerotium rolfsii Sacc. (Mitosporic fungi: Agonomycetes)	GU, US	R	No	No	Acuña and Rivera, 1976; Alfieri et al., 1994; Farr et al., 1989; FUSADES, 2005; Villalobos and Cárdenas, 2002
NEMATODA ⁵					
Helicotylenchus spp. (Hoplolaimidae)	GU	R	Yes	No	Fernández et al., 2002; Ferris, 2001; Padilla and Palma, 1985
Meloidogyne spp. (Heteroderidae)	GU	R	Yes	No	CABI, 2006; Fernández et al., 2002; Ferris, 2001; Padilla and Palma, 1985; González et al., 2001
Rotylenchulus reniformis Linford & Oliveira (Hoplolaimidae)	GU, US	R	No	No	CABI, 2006; Ferreira and Boley, 1991; Ferris, 2001
Pratylenchus spp. (Pratylenchidae)	GU	R	Yes	No	Fernández et al., 2002; Ferris, 2001; Padilla and Palma, 1985
VIRUS					
Yucca baciliform badnavirus	GU	L	Yes	No	Brunt, 1996 onwards

 $[\]overline{}^{5}$ Nematode taxonomic classification as in Ferris (2001).

2.5. Quarantine Pests Likely to Follow the Pathway

We found one quarantine pest, *Dysmicoccus neobrevipes*, that is likely to follow the pathway (i.e., be included in commercial shipments of izote flowers from Guatemala). We found no reports of this insect on izote flowers in the worldwide literature, but we analyzed this insect in detail because it is reported on *Yucca elephantipes*, and because biological information about this insect indicated it was associated with flowers of other species.

In addition, we found the following pests that were only identified to the genus level: *Erwinia* sp., *Alternaria* sp., *Curvularia* sp., *Cytosporina* sp. *Fusarium* sp., *Meloidogyne* spp., and *Pratylenchus* spp. Lack of species identification may indicate limited taxonomic knowledge about that group, inappropriate life stage for full identification, or poor quality of the specimen. This lack of biological information on any given insect or pathogen should not be equated with low risk. By necessity, pest risk assessments focus on those organisms for which biological information is available and for which effective mitigation procedures may be developed (IPPC, 2009: ISPM No. 11). Agricultural inspectors at the port-of-entry will continue to take action against pests not identified to the species level if other species in the same genus are quarantine pests. Furthermore, if pests identified to only higher taxa are intercepted in the future, the USDA may re-evaluate their risk. Should any of these pests be intercepted in shipments of izote flowers, quarantine action will be taken and additional risk analyses will be conducted.

2.6. Consequences of Introduction

We rated the potential consequences of introduction for *D. neobrevipes* using five Risk Elements: Climate-Host Interaction, Host Range, Dispersal Potential, Economic Impact, and Environmental Impact. For each Risk Element, pest is assigned a rating of Low (1 point), Medium (2 points), or High (3 points). We then calculated a cumulative risk rating by adding up all of the Risk Element values. The ratings for *D. neobrevipes* for the five risk elements are shown below (Table 3).

Dysmicoccus neobrevipes Beardsley

2.6.1. Climate-Host Interaction

Dysmicoccus neobrevipes is widespread in the following tropical countries: the Bahamas, Barbados, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico, Panama, Peru, Puerto Rico, Suriname, Trinidad and Tobago, and the Virgin Islands (in Central and South America) (Ben-Dov et al., 2005; Williams and Granara, 1992). In the Asian Pacific basin, this species is reported in American Samoa, Fiji, Guam, the Hawaiian Islands, Kiribati, the Marshall Islands, the Northern Mariana Islands, and Western Samoa. In East Asian countries, it is reported in India, China, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Vietnam, and within the Palearctic region, it is reported in Sicily (Ben-Dov et al., 2005; CABI, 2006; Kessing and Mau, 1992). Some of the aforementioned regions are located at latitudes corresponding to plant hardiness zones 8 to 11 of the continental United States (USDA-ARS, 2003). Thus, this species may become established within these areas due to suitable environmental conditions. Furthermore, within the United States, in Florida, Louisiana, Missouri, Arkansas, Colorado, New Mexico, and Tennessee, among others, there are cultivated areas of different species that can be hosts of this pest such as Ananas comosus, Citrus aurantifolia, Citrus limon, Citrus sinensis, Lycopersicum sculentum, Musa sp., and Zea mays (Ben-Dov et al., 2005; USDA and NRCS, 2002). Consequently, we rated this element Medium.

2.6.2. Host Range

The main host for *D. neobrevipes* is pineapple (*Ananas comosus*) (Ben-Dov et al., 2005; CABI, 2006). Nonetheless, several authors report this pest on more than 45 species and 18 genera of plants (DAFF, 2004; Kessing and Mau, 1992; Williams and Granara, 1992). On the other hand, Ben-Dov et al. (2005) reports this insect on 44 different plant families, 9 genera, and 67 species, which include *Aglaonema treubii*, *Allium cepa*, *Annona muricata*, *A. reticulata*, *A. squamosa*, *Citrus aurantifolia*, *C. limon*, *C. sinensis*, *Guettarda speciosa*, *Lycopersicon esculentum*, *Mangifera indica*, *Musa paradisiaca*, *Nephelium lappaceum*, *Plumeria acuminata*, *Samanea saman*, *Solanum melongena*, *Tectona grandis*, *Vigna sesquipedalis*, and *Zea mays*. Many of these species are widely cultivated in the United States (USDA and NRCS, 2002). Consequently, the risk rating for Host Range is High.

2.6.3. Dispersal Potential

The immature stage of this species may move for a short period of time, no more than one day, but may disperse several yards to other plants via wind or phoresy by other insects, such as the three ant species, *Iridomyrmex humilis*, *Solenopsis germinata* var. *rufa*, and *Pheidole megacephala* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) (DAFF, 2004; Kessing and Mau, 1992). More than 1,300 interceptions of this pest have been reported in PestID from 40 different countries. We rated Dispersal Potential Low.

2.6.4. Economic Impact

If *D. neobrevipes* enters the United States it is likely to cause yield loss to crops such as *Ananas comosus*, *Citrus aurantifolia*, *C. limon*, *C. sinensis*, *Lycopersicum sculentum*, *Musa* sp., and *Zea mays*, which are present at least in Florida, Louisiana, Missouri, Arkansas, Colorado, New Mexico, and Tennessee (USDA and NRCS, 2002). We rated Economic Impact Medium.

2.6.5. Environmental Impact

Dysmicoccus neobrevipes is found on 44 plant families. Of these, the following families contain species listed as threatened or endangered: Agavaceae, Annonaceae, Anacardiaceae, Apocynaceae, Cucurbitaceae, Liliaceae, Orchidaceae, Polygonaceae, Sterculiaceae, and Verbenaceae (USFWS, 2005). These families are found within the continental United States in areas suitable for this insect's development. Species belonging to these families are considered to be threatened and endangered in the continental United States, i.e., *Agave arizonica* and *Nolina brittoniana* from the Agavaceae family (see Appendix B) (USFWS, 2005).

The establishment of this pest would likely lead to the implementation of chemical and biological control programs in order to control its populations, as well as the populations of the ants that protect it (examples of controls where it was introduced). The risk rating for Environmental Impact is High.

Pest	Risk elements					Cumulative
	Climate-Host Interaction			Economic Impact	Environmental Impact	Risk Rating
Dysmicoccus neobrevipes	Med (2)	High (3)	Low (1)	Med (2)	High (3)	Medium (11)

Table 3. Risk rating for Consequences of Introduction.

2.7. Likelihood of Introduction

The Likelihood of Introduction is based upon two separate components. First, we estimate the amount of commodity likely to be imported (Sub-Element #1). The rating for the quantity annually imported is based on the amount reported by the country of the proposed export and is converted into standard units of 40-foot-long shipping containers. Second, we estimate pest opportunity using five biological features (Sub-Elements #2-6). Details of the rating criteria are explained in PPQ (2000). These ratings are summarized below (Table 4).

2.7.1. Quantity of commodity annually imported

Currently, izote flower production in Guatemala is small-scale because, in the majority of the cases, this plant is grown as a live barrier against erosion (Clark et al., 1988). Izote plants are mainly harvested as cane for ornamental use to export to different countries (Cubías, 1992; Nuñez, 1994).

Although we found no specific information about the quantity of izote flowers that would be exported annual, both the commodity and the market suggest that the quantity would be small. Thus, the quantity of commodity initially exported annually is expected be Low; exports are unlikely to be greater than ten 40-foot-long containers per year.

2.7.2. Survival of post-harvest treatment

Many elements of post-harvest processing described above may be highly effective against surface feeders, including mealybugs. For example, washing and drying would likely reduce the presence of *D. neobrevipes* on flowers of izote, but no research confirms this. Since no standard post-harvest treatments have been described for this product, the likelihood of these pests surviving is High.

2.7.3. Survive shipment

We have no information on the shipping conditions for izote from Guatemala, but *D. neobrevipes* seems likely to survive the shipment period, based on the fact that live *D. neobrevipes* have been intercepted more than 1,300 times on shipments of different products (PestID, 2012). The risk associated with this pest surviving during shipment is High.

2.7.4. Not detected at the port-of-entry

Dysmicoccus neobrevipes adults are 0.15 cm (1/17 in) in length and 0.01 cm (1/25 in) in width. They are brown to grayish-orange, taking a grayish appearance in combination with the waxy exudate that covers them (Kessing and Mau, 1992). The larvae are likely to be seen as they move. Coloration differences between the pests and the izote flowers should facilitate their detection at ports-of-entry. This pest has been intercepted by inspectors (see above) on several products. The risk of not detecting this pest at the port-of-entry is Medium.

2.7.5. Imported or moved to an area environmentally suitable for survival

The main markets for this product are located in the southwestern United States (California and Texas) (Batres et al., 2001). Because the demand for the product is so specific, we do not anticipate that it will be sold outside of these areas. This pest requires tropical and sub-tropical climates and considering destination markets within the area suitable for survival, the probability of it being moved to an area suitable for its survival is Medium(2).

2.7.6. Come into contact with host material suitable for reproduction

Dysmicoccus neobrevipes has many hosts available for reproduction (Ben-Dov et al., 2005; DAFF, 2004). Despite that, the pest has a limited inherent ability to move long distances. The mobile stage (crawlers) move for no more than one day, and only over short distances on the same plant or to neighboring plants (DAFF, 2004; Kessing and Mau, 1992). Izote flower importations from Guatemala are expected to occur only from May to October, when izote budding occurs in Guatemala (MAG, 2011). The rating for the risk that D. neobrevipes will find host material suitable for reproduction is Low.

The likelihood that a particular pest will be introduced is reflected in the value for the Cumulative Risk Rating. The Cumulative Risk Rating is Medium (11 points) for Dysmicoccus neobrevipes (Table 4).

x Rating fo r	r Likelihood	of Introduc	ction			
Sub-Eleme	ent					Cumulative
Quantity Imported Annually	Survive Post- Harvest	Survive Shipment	Verseinen Auf	Suitable	Contact with Host Material	Risk Rating ^a
	Treatment		Entry			
Low (1)	High (3)	High (3)	Med (2)	Med (2)	Low (1)	Medium (12)
	Sub-Eleme Quantity Imported	Sub-ElementQuantitySurviveImportedPost-AnnuallyHarvestTreatment	Sub-ElementQuantitySurviveImportedPost-AnnuallyHarvestTreatment	Sub-ElementQuantitySurviveSurviveNotImportedPost-ShipmentDetectedAnnuallyHarvestat Port ofTreatmentEntry	Sub-ElementQuantitySurviveSurviveNotMoved toImportedPost- HarvestShipmentDetected at Port ofSuitable Habitat Entry	Quantity Imported AnnuallySurvive Post- Harvest TreatmentSurvive Survive Shipment at Port of EntryMoved to Suitable HabitatContact with Host Material

^a Low is 6-9 points, Medium is 10-14 points, and High is 15-18 points.

2.8. Pest Risk Potential and Conclusion

Pest Risk Potential is the sum of the Consequences of Introduction and the Likelihood of Introduction values (Table 5). Pest Risk Potential is a baseline estimate of the risks associated with importation of the commodity in the absence of mitigation measures. Dysmicoccus neobrevipes has a Medium Pest Risk Potential.

Identification and selection of appropriate phytosanitary measures to mitigate the risk from pests with particular Pest Risk Potential ratings is undertaken as part of the risk management phase within APHIS and is not finalized in this document. The appropriate risk management strategy for a particular pest depends on the risk posed by that pest. APHIS risk management programs are risk-based and dependent upon the availability of appropriate mitigation methods.

Table 5. Pest Risk Potential

Introduction I	Introduction	
Dysmicoccus neobrevipes Medium (11) M	Medium (12)	Medium (23)

Low is 11-18 points; Medium is 19-26 points and High is 27-33 points.

3. Literature Cited

- Acuña, E, and S. Rivera. 1976. Plagas y Enfermedades Identificadas durante 1976. Centro Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria -CENTA-.
- AGRIS/CARIS. 2005. FAO. AGRIS Database, 1975-2003. Search terms: *Yucca elephantipes* and Weed. http://www.fao.org/agris. Last accessed April 8, 2005.
- Alfieri, S.A. Jr., K. R. Langdon, J.W. Kimbrough, N. E. El-Gholl, and C. Wehlburg. 1994. Diseases and Disorders of Plants in Florida. Division of Plant Industry. Bulletin 14, FL.
- Anonymous, undated; Cultivo comercial del Izote.
- APHIS. 2000. FNWA, Federal Noxious Weed Act. APHIS http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ppq/weeds
- Bartolomaus, A., A. De La Rosa, J. Santos, L. Acero, and W. Moosbrugger. 1990. El Manto de la Tierra. Flora de los Andes. Corporación Autónoma Regional de las Cuencas de los Ríos Bogotá, Ubaté y Suárez. CAR. GTZ. KFW. 332 p.
- Batres, P., H. Jensen, and G. Brester. 2001. Salvadoran Consumption of ethnic foods in the United States. Working Paper 01-WP 289. Center for Agriculture and Rural Development. Iowa State University.
- Bailey, L. H., and E. Z. Bailey. 1976. Hortus Third. Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc. New York. 1290 pp.
- Ben-Dov, Y., D. Miller, and and G. Gibson. 1994. A systematic catalogue of the mealybugs of the world (Insecta: Homoptera: Coccoidea: Pseudococcidae and Putoidae) with data on geographical distribution, host plants, biology and economic importance. CABI. Andover, UK.
- Ben-Dov, Y., D. Miller, and and G. Gibson. 2005. ScaleNet, Scales on a Host, Natural Enemies and Associates of a Scale Query. http://www.sel.barc.usda.gov/scalenet/scalenet.htm
- Berry, P. 1959. Entomología Económica de Guatemala. Servicio Cooperativo Agrícola Salvadoreño Americano. Ministerio de Agricultura y Ganadería Santa Tecla. Guatemala. C. A. 255 p.
- Bonilla, P., and F. Merino, F. 1988. Incidencia y severidad de las principales enfermedades del Maíz (*Zea mays*) en le zona costera de Guatemala. In: Memorias de Resúmenes del II Seminario Nacional de Manejo Integrado de Plagas. CENTA – CATIE. Proyecto Manejo Integrado de Plagas. San Salvador, Guatemala. 5 al 7 de Julio de 1988.
- Brunt, A. A., K. Crabtree, M. J. Dallwitz, A. J. Gibbs, L. Watson, and E. J. Zurcher (eds.). 1996 onwards. Plant Viruses Online: Descriptions and Lists from the VIDE Database. University of Idaho Environmental, Biotechnology Institute. Last accessed http://image.fs.uidaho.edu/vide/.
- CABI. 2012. Crop Protection Compendium. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau International (CABI), Wallingford, UK.
- CEF. 2005. Center for Phytosanitary Excellence (CEF), Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), United States Department of Agriculture. Bogota, Colombia.
- Christman, S. 2002. *Yucca elephantipes*. Floridata. Market Place. Consulted in April 2005. http://www.floridata.com/ref/Y/yucc_ela.cfm
- Clark, A., R. Guevara, E. Vallejo, and R. Alvarenga. 1988. Cultivo y Proceso del Izote para Guatemala. MICE, OEA, CENTA. San Salvador, Guatemala. 18 pp.

- Clark, A. 1990. Manual sobre el cultivo y exportación de Izote (*Yucca guatemalensis*). Dirección de Promoción de Exportaciones e Inversiones. Proyecto MEC OEA. Fomento a la Exportación de Plantas Ornamentales, Follajes y Flores.
- Cubías, J. 1992. El Izote. Cultivo no tradicional de exportación. In: La Prensa Gráfica. Suplemento Campo. Jueves 22 de Octubre de 1992.
- DAFF. 2004. Mangosteen fruit from Thailand Final Import Risk Analysis Report. Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF). http://www.daff.gov.au/corporate_docs/publications/pdf/market_access/biosecurity/plant/mgsteen_final_ira.pdf
- Escobar, J. C. 2003. Manejo Integrado de Plagas de Cultivos Hortícolas. Manual del Capacitador. Ministerio de Agricultura y Ganadería MAG.; Centro Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria y Forestal CENTA; Proyecto CENTA – FAO. San Salvador, Guatemala.
- Etter, J., and M. Kristen. 1997-2004. Yucca elephantipes Regel. http://www.globetrotters.ch Last accessed April 18, 2005.
- FACTOPIA, 2005. Yucca. Consulted April 2005. http://www.factopia.com/gardening-vol4/yucca.htm
- Farr, D. F., G. F. Bills, G. P. Chamuris, and A. Y. Rossman. 1989. 2^d Ed. Fungi on Plants and Plant Products in the United States. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN.
- Farr, D. F., A. Y. Rossman, M. E. Palm, and E. B. McCray. 2005. Fungal Databases, Systematic Botany & Mycology Laboratory, ARS, USDA. Retrieved January 25, 2005, from http://nt.ars-grin.gov/fungaldatabases/
- Ferreira, S., and R. Boley.1991. *Rotylenchulus reniformis*. University of Hawaii at Manoa. In: Crop Knowledge Master. http://www.extento.hawaii.edu/kbase/crop/Type/r_renif.htm
- Ferris, H. 2001. The Nematode-Plant Expert Information System NEMAPLEX. The Virtual Encyclopedia on Soil and Plant Nematodes. University of California, Department of Nematology. http://plpnemweb.ucdavis.edu/nemaplex/Uppermnus/Classifmnu.htm
- Fernández, O., F. Perlaza, and A. Quesada. 2002. Nemátodos Asociados a los Cultivos Agrícolas de Costa Rica. Ministerio De Agricultura y Ganadería MAG-.
- FLEPPC. 2003. List of Florida's Invasive Species. Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council (FLEPPC). http://www.fleppc.org/03list.htm
- FUSADES. 2005. Fundación Salvadoreňa para el Desarrollo Económico y Social (FUSADES) [Salvadorian Foundation for Economic and Social Development]. http://www.civilsociety.oas.org/accredited%20organizations/FUSADES/FUSADES%20-%20Eng.doc Accessed August 8, 2007.
- Gardening Australia. 2000. Plant Diary: *Yucca elephantipes*. http://www.abc.net.au/gardening/stories/s115396.htm#
- GDIF. 2005. Annual Report. Global Diversity Information Facility (GDIF). http://circa.gbif.net/irc/DownLoad/k4e_AQJ_muGDI3glkSskDDjYv4G88vM/v/ar2005.pdf (Accessed August 8, 2007).
- Gilman, E., and D. Watson. 1994. *Yucca elephantipes*. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. University of Florida. http://hort.ifas.ufl.edu/trees/YUCELEA.pdf
- González, O., F. Bautista, and A. Hernandez. 2001. Combate integrado de Plagas, Enfermedades, nemátodos y malezas del Cafeto. Fundación Salvadoreña para Investigaciones del Café PROCAFE-, San Salvador, Guatemala.
- Grayum, M. 2003. AGAVACEAE. Manual de Plantas de Costa Rica. Missouri Botanical Garden. Consulted 19 de Abril de 2005. http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/treat/agavac.shtml#Yucca

- Holm, L. G., D. L. Plucknett, J. V. Pancho and J. P. Herberger. 1991a. The World's Worst Weeds: distribution and biology .University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu
- Holm, L. G., D. L. Plucknett, J. V. Pancho and J. P. Herberger. 1991b. A Geographical Atlas of World Weeds. John Wiley and Sons.New York
- Holm, L. G., J. Doll, E. Holm, J. V. Pancho, and Herberger, J. 1997. World Weeds: Natural Histories and Distributions. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Howard, F. W., D. Moore, R. M. Giblin-Davis, and R. G. Abad. 2001. Insects on Palms. CABI.
- IPPC. 2009. International Standards For Phytosanitary Measures, 1 to 29 (2009 edition). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC), Rome, Italy. 434 pp.
- Kessing, J., and R. Mau. 1992. *Dysmicoccus neobrevipes* (Beardsley). Gray Pineapple Mealybug. Crop Knowledge Master. Retrieved 26 April de 2005.

http://www.extento.hawaii.edu/kbase/crop/Type/d_neobre.htm

- MAG. 2011. Tecnical information of Chufle, Chipile, Izote, y Pacaya. Official communication Ing Jaime Sosa Jefe de División de Departmento de Certificaciones Guatemala City, Guatemala, 18 de Marzo de 2011. Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, and Food of Guatemala (MAG).
- McGuire, J., and B. Crandall. 1967. Survey of insect pest and plant diseases of selected food crops of Mexico, Central America a Panama. International Agricultural Development Service. USA. 157 p.
- Moller, B. 1998-2003. Yucca Names. Consultada 19 de abril de 2005. http://www.bennyskaktus.dk/Y_names_UK.htm
- Nearctica. 1998. Nomina Insecta Nearctica. A check List of the Insects of North America. http://www.nearctica.com/nomina
- Nuñez Retana, G. 1994. Evaluación de cinco sustratos en la propagación del Izote (*Yucca guatemalensis*) utilizando la hoja y su yema auxiliar. Tesis, Universidad de San Salvador. Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas. San Salvador, Mayo, 1994.
- OIRSA, 2005. Plagas importantes en el cultivo de piña. Retrieved April 26, 2005. http://www.oirsa.org/Publicaciones/VIFINEX/Manuales/Manuales-1999/Manual-07/VIIplagas.htm
- Padilla, F.A. and M. Palma. 1985. Diagnóstico parasitológico preliminar de los principales cultivos de Guatemala. MIP/CENTA/CATIE/ES-Octubre/002 23p.
- PestID. 2012. Pest Identification Database (PestID). United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Plant Protection and Quarantine.
- PPQ. 2000. Guidelines for pathway-initiated pest risk assessments (Version 5.02). United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ), Riverdale, MD. 30 pp.
- Randall, R. P., R. G. Richardson, and F. J. Richardson. 2002. A Global Compendium of Weeds. Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, MO.905 pp.
- Reed, C. F. 1977. Economically Important Foreign Weeds, Potential problems in the United States. US Government Printing Office. Agriculture Handbook No. 498.
- Rodríguez, M. 2003. Cultivo del Aguacate. Guía Técnica. Centro Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria y Forestal -CENTA-.
- Sanchez de Lorenzo. 2003. Las plantas del género Yucca cultivadas en España. Retrieved April 19, 2005. http://www.arbolesornamentales.com/Yucca.htm
- Swearingen, J. 2003. Alien Plant Invaders of Natural Areas. National Park Service. Web site: http://www.nps.gov/plants/alien/list/all.htm

- USDA and NRCS. 2002. The PLANTS Database, Version 3.5 National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). http://plants.usda.gov
- USDA-ARS. 2003. USDA-ARS Plant Hardiness Zone Map. USDA miscellaneous publication 1475, issued January 1990. United States Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) http://www.usna.usda.gov/Hardzone/ushzmap.html (Accessed October 19, 2007).
- USDA. 2005. Nonpropagative Manual. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). www.aphis.usda.gov/ppq/manuals
- USFWS. 2005 Threatened and Endangered Species System (TESS). United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
- Villalobos Calderón, J., and F. Cárdenas. 2002. Lista de Enfermedades de los Cultivos Agrícolas de Costa Rica. Ministerio de Agricultura y Ganadería. Servicio Fitosanitario del Estado Diagnóstico Fitosanitario.
- WSSA. 2005. Weed List. Weed Science Society of America (WSSA). http://www.wssa.net/weednames/common.asp
- Wellman, F. L. 1977. Dictionary of Tropical American Crops and Their Diseases. The Scarecrow Press, Inc. Metuchen, N. J. & London.
- Wiersema, J., and B. León. 1999. World economic plants. CRC Press, Washington, D.C.
- Williams, D. and M. Granara. 1992. Mealybugs of Central and South America. CABI. Wallingford. UK.
- World Agroforestry Centre. 2005. Botanic Nomenclature. *Yucca elephantipes*. http://www.worldagroforestry.org/Sites/TreeDBS/Botanic/SpeciesInfo.cfm?SpID=8840

4. Preparers and Reviewers

Preparers:

John Rogers, USDA APHIS PPQ-CPHST, Raleigh, North Carolina Helena Moreno Beltran, CEF Colombia Luis Ernesto Forero, USDA APHIS PPQ-CPHST, Bogota, Colombia

Reviewer:

Marina Zlotina, USDA APHIS PPQ-CPHST, Raleigh, North Carolina

5. Appendices

Appendix A. Pests intercepted on Yucca guatemalensis from 1985 to 2004	
(PestID, 2009).	

Pest	Origin	Plant part affected	Interceptions (no.)
Amphiacusta sp.	Honduras	Plant	1
Anomala sp.	Honduras	Plant	1
Anthostomella sp.	Dominican Republic	Stem	1
Anurogryllus sp.	Honduras	Plant	1
Bagnalliella sp.	Costa Rica, Honduras	Cutting, Plant	11
Batrachedra sp.	Mexico	Cutting	1
Cicadellidae, species of	Costa Rica	Cutting, Plant	4
Cladosporium sp.	Costa Rica	Cutting	1
Coccidae, species of	Costa Rica	Cutting	1
Diaprepes abbreviatus	Honduras	Plant	1
Discochora yuccae	Costa Rica	Plant	1
Dyscinetus sp.	Honduras	Cutting	1
Dysmicoccus neobrevipes	Dominican Republic	Cutting, Plant	2
Elateridae, species of	Honduras	Cutting	1
Gryllidae, species of	Honduras	Cutting	1
Gryllus sp.	Honduras	Cutting, Plant	3
Hepialidae, species of	Honduras	Cutting	1
Heteroptera, species of	Costa Rica	Cutting	1
Insecta, species of	Costa Rica, Honduras	Cutting, Plant	5
Lepidoptera, species of	Honduras	Cutting	2
Luzara rufipennis	Honduras	Plant	1
Montezumina sp.	Guatemala	Leaf	1
Noctuidae, species of	Costa Rica	Plant	1
Orthoptera, species of	Costa Rica	Cutting	1
Pentatomidae, species of	Honduras	Cutting	1
Phlaeothripidae, species of	Honduras	Cutting, Plant	3
Phoma sp.	Costa Rica	Cutting	2
Phyllophaga sp.	Honduras	Cutting, Plant	2
Phyllosticta sp.	Costa Rica	Plant	1
Phyllosticta yuccae	Costa Rica, Guatemala,	Cutting, Plant	51
	Honduras,		
Prodoxus sp.	Mexico	Cutting, Stem	4
Scolytidae, species of	Guatemala	Stem	1
Scythrididae, species of	Mexico	Cutting	1
Tettigoniidae, species of	Costa Rica, Guatemala, Honduras	Cutting, Plant, Stem	8

Appendix B. Plant species related to families of hosts of *Dysmicoccus neobrevipes* listed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service considered as endangered or threatened.

AGAVACEAE Agave arizonica Nolina brittoniana

ANNONACEAE Asimina tetramera

ANACARDIACEAE Deeringothamnus rugelii Rhus michauxii

APOCYNACEAE Amsonia kearneyana Cycladenia jonesii Pteralyxia kauaiensis

CUCURBITACEAE Cucurbita okeechobeensis ssp. okeechobeensis

LILIACEAE

Allium munzii Brodiaea filifolia Brodiaea pallida Calochortus tiburonensis Chlorogalum purpureum Erythronium propullans Fritillaria gentneri Harperocallis flava Helonias bullata Lilium occidentale Lilium pardalinum . Trillium persistens Trillium reliquum

ORQUIDIACEAE

Isotria medeoloides Spiranthes parksii Spiranthes delitescens Platanthera praeclara Platanthera leucophaea Piperia yadonii

POLYGONACEAE Chorizanthe howellii

Chorizanthe orcuttiana Chorizanthe pungens var. hartwegiana Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens Chorizanthe robusta (incl. vars. robusta and hartwegii) *Chorizanthe valida* Dodecahema leptoceras *Eriogonum apricum* (incl. var. *prostratum*) Eriogonum gypsophilum Eriogonum kennedyi var. austromontanum Eriogonum longifolium var. gnaphalifolium Eriogonum ovalifolium var. vineum Eriogonum ovalifolium var. williamsiae Eriogonum pelinophilum Oxytheca parishii var. goodmaniana Polygonella basiramia Polygonella myriophylla Polygonum hickmanii

STERCULIACEAE Ayenia limitaris Fremontodendron Fremontodendron californicum ssp. decumbens Fremontodendron mexicanum

VERBENACEAE Nesogenes rotensis Verbena californica