Cyanea horrida (hāhā nui)

5-Year Review Summary and Evaluation

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office Honolulu, Hawaiʻi

5-YEAR REVIEW Species reviewed: *Cyanea horrida* (hāhā nui)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	GENERAL INFORMATION	3
1.1	Reviewers:	3
1.2	Methodology used to complete the review:	3
1.3	Background:	3
2.0	REVIEW ANALYSIS	5
2.1	Application of the 1996 Distinct Population Segment (DPS) policy	5
2.2	Recovery Criteria	5
2.3	Updated Information and Current Species Status	8
2.4	Synthesis	16
3.0	RESULTS	17
3.1	Recommended Classification:	17
3.2	New Recovery Priority Number:	17
3.3	Listing and Reclassification Priority Number:	
4.0	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE ACTIONS	18
5.0	REFERENCES	18

5-YEAR REVIEW *Cyanea horrida* (hāhā nui)

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Reviewers:

Jay Nelson, Biologist, Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office (PIFWO) Lauren Weisenberger, Plant Recovery Coordinator, PIFWO Megan Laut, Conservation and Restoration Team Manager, PIFWO

Lead Regional Office:

Interior Region 12, Portland Regional Office

Lead Field Office: Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office

Cooperating Field Office(s): N/A

Cooperating Regional Office(s): N/A

1.2 Methodology used to complete the review:

This review was conducted by staff of the Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), beginning in June 2019. The review was based on the final rule listing this species; the final critical habitat designation; peer reviewed scientific publications; unpublished field observations and species status report by the Service, State of Hawai'i, and other experienced biologists; unpublished survey reports; notes and communications from other qualified biologists; as well as a review of current, available information. The evaluation by Jay Nelson, Biologist, was reviewed by Lauren Weisenberger, Plant Recovery Coordinator, and Megan Laut, Conservation and Restoration Team Manager.

1.3 Background:

1.3.1 FR Notice citation announcing initiation of this review:

[USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2018. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; initiation of 5-year status reviews for 156 species in Oregon, Washington, Hawaii, Palau, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands. Federal Register 88(83): 20088–20092, May 7, 2018.

1.3.2 Listing history:

Original Listing

FR notice: [USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2013. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; determination of endangered status for 38 species on Molokai, Lanai, and Maui; final rule. Department of the Interior, Federal Register 78 (102): 32014–32065, May 28, 2013.

Date listed: May 28, 2013 **Entity listed:** *Cyanea horrida* **Classification:** Endangered

Revised Listing, if applicable FR notice: N/A Date listed: N/A Entity listed: N/A Classification: N/A

1.3.3 Associated rulemakings:

FR notice: [USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2016. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; designation and nondesignation of critical habitat on Molokai, Lanai, Maui, and Kahoolawe; final rule. Department of the Interior, Federal Register 81 (61): 17790–18110, March 30, 2016.

A total of 34,431 acres (13,934 hectares) of critical habitat has been designated on East Maui for this species. Five of the units constitute montane wet forest habitat, with one unit of montane mesic forest, and four units of wet cliff habitat. Of these 10 units, three are occupied by wild individuals (Montane Wet unit 2, Montane Wet unit 4, and Montane Mesic unit 1) and five of the others have high-quality habitat where the species may still be found with additional surveys (Montane Wet unit 1, Montane Wet unit 3, Wet Cliff unit 1, Wet Cliff unit 2, and Wet Cliff unit 3). The remaining two units are unlikely to have wild individuals, but may be able to support reintroductions. Currently, the majority of the wild and planted individuals of *Cyanea horrida* occur in The Nature Conservancy's Waikamoi Preserve, which was excluded from the critical habitat designation (USFWS 2016, pp. 17911-17914).

1.3.4 Review History:

This is the first 5-year review for Cyanea horrida.

1.3.5 Species' Recovery Priority Number at start of this 5-year review: 5

1.3.6 Current Recovery Plan or Outline:

Name of plan or outline: Recovery Outline for the Islands of Maui, Moloka'i, Kaho'olawe, and Lāna'i (Maui Nui) (USFWS 2019, entire).

Date issued: October 2019 **Dates of previous revisions, if applicable:** N/A

2.0 REVIEW ANALYSIS

- 2.1 Application of the 1996 Distinct Population Segment (DPS) policy
 - 2.1.1 Is the species under review a vertebrate? Yes X No
 - 2.1.2 Is the species under review listed as a DPS?
 - 2.1.3 Was the DPS listed prior to 1996?
 - ____Yes ____No

2.1.3.1 Prior to this 5-year review, was the DPS classification reviewed to ensure it meets the 1996 policy standards?

Yes No

2.1.3.2 Does the DPS listing meet the discreteness and significance elements of the 1996 DPS policy?

____Yes ____No

2.1.4 Is there relevant new information for this species regarding the application of the DPS policy?

____Yes ____No

2.2 Recovery Criteria

2.2.1 Does the species have a final, approved recovery plan containing objective, measurable criteria?

- ____Yes __<u>X_</u>No
- 2.2.2 Adequacy of recovery criteria.

2.2.2.1 Do the recovery criteria reflect the best available and most upto date information on the biology of the species and its habitat?

Yes

2.2.2.2 Are all of the 5 listing factors that are relevant to the species addressed in the recovery?



2.2.3 List the recovery criteria as they appear in the recovery plan, and discuss how each criterion has or has not been met, citing information: A synthesis of the threats (Listing Factors A, C, D, and E) affecting this species is presented in section 2.3.2 and Table 2. Maui Nui Listing Factor B (overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes) is not known to be a threat to this species.

The recovery plan is currently being drafted. However, the Hawai'i and Pacific Plants Recovery Coordinating Committee (HPPRCC) has outlined the actions and goals for stages leading towards recovery (2011). These stages are described below.

Current information is lacking for many Hawaiian plant species on the status of the species and their habitats, life span, breeding systems, genetics, and propagule storage options. The following downlisting and delisting criteria for plants have therefore been adopted from the revised recovery objective guidelines developed by the HPPRCC (2011). Many of the Hawaiian plant species are at very low numbers, so the Service also developed criteria for avoiding imminent extinction and an interim stage before downlisting, based on the recommendations of the HPPRCC, to assist in tracking progress toward the ultimate goal of recovery. These criteria are assessed on a species-by-species basis, especially as additional information becomes available.

In general, long-lived perennials are those taxa either known or believed to have life spans greater than 10 years; short-lived perennials are those known or believed to have life spans greater than one year but less than 10 years; and annuals are those known or believed to have life spans less than or equal to one year. When it is unknown whether a species is long- or short-lived, the Service has erred on the side of caution and considered the species short-lived. This will be revised as more is learned about the life histories of these species. Narrow extant range and broad contiguous range are recognized as not needing different numbers of individuals or populations, but that the populations will be distributed more narrowly or more broadly, respectively, across the landscape. Obligate outcrossers are those species that either have male and female flowers on separate plants or otherwise require cross-pollination to fertilize seeds, and therefore require equal numbers of individuals contributing to reproduction as males and females, doubling the number of mature individuals. Species that reproduce vegetatively may reproduce sexually only on occasion, resulting in the majority of the genetic variation being between populations, therefore requiring additional

populations. Species that have a tendency to fluctuate in number from year to year require a larger number of mature individuals on average to allow for decline in years of extreme habitat conditions and recuperation in numbers in years of more normal conditions.

Preventing Extinction

Stabilizing (interim), downlisting, and delisting objectives have been updated according to the draft revised recovery objective guidelines developed by the HPPRCC (2011). The HPPRCC identifies an additional initial objective, the Preventing Extinction Stage, in addition to the Interim Stabilization, Delisting, and Downlisting objectives. Furthermore, life history traits such as breeding system, population size fluctuation or decline, and reproduction type (sexual or vegetative), have been included in the calculation of goals for the number of populations and reproducing individuals for each stage. The goals for each stage remain grouped by life span defined as annual, short-lived perennial (fewer than 10 years), or long-lived perennial.

Cyanea horrida is a short-lived perennial shrub. To prevent extinction, which is the first milestone in recovering the species, the taxon must be managed to control threats (e.g., fenced) and have 50 individuals (or the total number of individuals if fewer than 50 exist) from each of three populations represented in *ex situ* (secured off-site, such as a nursery or seed bank) collections. In addition, a minimum of three populations should be documented on Maui where they now occur or occurred historically. Each of these populations must be naturally reproducing (i.e., viable seeds, seedlings, saplings), with a minimum of 50 mature individuals per population.

This recovery objective has not been met (see Table 1).

Interim Stage

To meet the interim stage of recovery of *Cyanea horrida*, 300 mature individuals are needed in each of three populations and all major threats must be controlled around the populations designated for recovery at this stage. There should also be demonstrated regeneration of seedlings and growth to at least sapling stage for woody species and documented replacement regeneration within each of the target populations. The populations must be adequately represented in an *ex situ* collection as defined in the Center for Plant Conservation's guidelines (Guerrant et al. 2004, entire) that is secured and well-managed. Adequate monitoring must be in place and conducted to assess individual plant survival, population trends, trends of major limiting factors, and response of major limiting factors to management.

This recovery objective has not been met (see Table 1).

Downlisting Criteria

In addition to achieving 5 to 10 populations with 500 mature individuals per population and all of the goals of the interim stage, all target populations must be stable, secure, and naturally reproducing for a minimum of 10 years. Speciesspecific management actions are not ruled out. Downlisting should not be considered until an adequate population viability analysis (PVA) has been conducted to assess needed numbers more accurately based on current management and monitoring data collected at regular intervals determined by demographic parameters of the species, although they should only be one of the factors used in making a decision to downlist. Information necessary for the PVA that should be available through monitoring (ideally annually) includes major limiting factors, breeding system, population structure and density, and proven management methods for major threats.

This recovery objective has not been met (see Table 1).

Delisting Criteria

In addition to achieving 5 to 10 populations with 500 mature individuals per population and all of the goals of the interim and downlisting stages, all target populations must be stable, secure, naturally reproducing, and within secure and viable habitats for a minimum of 20 years. Species-specific management actions must no longer be necessary, but ecosystem-wide management actions are not ruled out if there are long-term agreements in place to continue management. These numbers are initial targets, but may be revised upward as additional information is available, including adequate PVAs for individual species based on current management and monitoring data collected at regular intervals determined by demographic parameters of the species, although they should only be one of the factors used in making a decision to delist. Genetic analyses should be conducted to ensure that adequate genetic representation is present within and among populations compared to the initial variation assessed in the interim stage. Numbers need to be considered on a species-by-species basis.

This recovery objective has not been met (see Table 1).

2.3 Updated Information and Current Species Status

2.3.1 Biology and Habitat

2.3.1.1 New information on the species' biology and life history:

Cyanea horrida is a palm-like tree endemic to East Maui. This member of the bellflower family (Campanulaceae) grows from 3 to 12 ft (1 to 4 m) tall with pinnately parted or divided leaves 8 to 14 inches (in) [20 to 37 centimeters (cm)] long and 4 to 8 in (10 to 19 cm) wide. Both the leaves and stems are covered in short spikes (muricate). The inflorescences are 5 to 8 flowered, colored blackish-purple or greenish-white suffused with purple and covered densely in fine hairs (pubescent) or short spikes

(muricate). Berries are yellow and have persistent calyx lobes. It appears to be most closely related to two other Maui endemics, *Cyanea aculeatiflora* and *Cyanea macrostegia*, and hybrids with the latter have been documented (Wagner et al. 1990, p. 453).

Little to no research has been done on Cyanea horrida to understand the individual requirements for this species in the wild. The tube-shaped flowers point to bird-pollination (Cory et al. 2015, pp. 255-256), most likely from the endemic Hawaiian honeycreepers (Drepandidae) or the now extinct 'o'o (Mohoidae). Currently, the most likely extant pollinator is the 'i'iwi (Drepanis coccinea), with secondary pollination likely from the Hawaii 'amakihi (Chlorodrepanis virens) (Aslan et al. 2013, p. 480). The fruits of C. horrida were likely dispersed by birds as well (Givnish et al. 2009, p. 416). The now extinct frugivores, the 'o'ū (Psittirostra *psittacea*) and the oloma'o (*Myadestes lanaienis*), were probably the primary dispersers in the past. Currently, seed dispersion is likely facilitated by two non-native species: the Japanese white-eye (Zosterops japonicus) and the red-billed leothrix (Leiothrix lutea). Dispersal in Cyanea species was always likely limited due to its reliance on forestdependent birds (Givnish et al. 2009, p. 408). Since the breeding system of Cvanea horrida is unclear, we used the general study conducted by Sakai et al. (1995, p. 2524) to make inferences for this species. Sakai et al. studied the colonists of the flora of the Hawaiian Islands to determine the breeding system of a colonist's lineage, the assumed breeding system of a colonist, the breeding system of the current species, the presumed pollinator of the colonists, and the presumed dispersal method. According to Sakai et al., the breeding system of the colonist's lineage was monomorphic, the breeding system of the colonist was hermaphroditic. and the breeding system of the current species in the Clermontia / Cyanea / Delissea / Rollandia genera was hermaphroditic. The presumed pollinator of the colonists were birds and the presumed original longdistance dispersal method was internally by birds. Based on the results of this study, the breeding system for C. horrida is hermaphroditic thus flowers have both functional male and female reproductive organs. From other research it is likely that C. horrida is similar to many other species of *Cyanea* in being facultative autogamous, a sexual species that is able to reproduce without cross-pollination (Cory et al. 2015, p. 258). We have some information about how long the species' seeds remain viable, as seeds of C. horrida have been germinated by the Olinda Rare Plant Facility (ORPF) and plants from these seeds have been translocated to the wild. Some life history information is currently unknown, including information on plant growth stages, longevity, and the length of time it takes to flower.

2.3.1.2 Abundance, population trends (e.g. increasing, decreasing, stable), demographic features (e.g., age structure, sex ratio, family size, birth rate, age at mortality, mortality rate, etc.), or demographic trends:

Currently the species is found primarily along riparian corridors, but it is not known whether that was the historic condition or consequence of introduced feral pig (*Sus scofra*) rooting disturbing areas with richer soil. It is likely the spikes along the stems and leaves were a defense mechanism for a now extinct radiation of native moa nalo (flightless geese in the genus *Thambetochen* and *Ptaiochen*) (Givnish et al. 1994, p. 2810; James and Burney 1997, p. 279). Population declined historically to current levels likely due to lack of recruitment and loss of adults.

Cyanea horrida is known from five populations across the northern and eastern slopes of Haleakalā, with most of the wild population restricted to the northwestern portion of the volcano west of Ko'olau Gap in the Waikamoi Preserve and total population size is approximately 63 wild individuals. Additional individuals may still exist in the extremely rugged and remote areas of East Maui where this species lives, including some individuals noted in the past that have not been visited in over 20 years (H. Oppenheimer pers. comm. 2019). The majority of the current population occurs in mesic and wet forests, as well as on wet cliffs, in areas with moisture regimes classified as moist mesic or moderately wet, though a few individuals may be found in areas more appropriately called very wet (Price et al. 2012, p. 9). Almost all individuals are found in upper elevation areas where the mean annual temperature is below 55° F (13° C) (Giambelluca et al. 2013, p. 313). All current known locations are above 5,000 ft (1,560 m) in elevation. The species has been propagated ex situ and translocated in three areas on northeast Haleakalā - Waikamoi, Hanawī, and Koʻolau Gap (PEPP 2013, p. 19).

2.3.1.3 Genetics, genetic variation, or trends in genetic variation (e.g., loss of genetic variation, genetic drift, inbreeding, etc.): $N\!/\!A$

2.3.1.4 Taxonomic classification or changes in nomenclature: N/A

2.3.1.5 Spatial distribution, trends in spatial distribution (e.g. increasingly fragmented, increased numbers of corridors, etc.), or historic range (e.g. corrections to the historical range, change in distribution of the species' within its historic range, etc.): See section 2.3.1.2 above for spatial distribution of the species.

2.3.1.6 Habitat or ecosystem conditions (e.g., amount, distribution, and suitability of the habitat or ecosystem):

Cyanea horrida occurs within Hawai'i wet and mesic forest habitat as described by Clark et al. (2019, entire) and Lowe et al. (2019, entire).

Most of the populations of *Cyanea horrida* are found in *Metrosideros polymorpha* ('ōhia) forests, with some also found in forest co-dominated by koa (*Acacia koa*). Other common associated species include the trees *Cheirodendron trigynum* ('ōlapa), *Ilex anomala* (kāwa'u), *Coprosma* spp. (pilo), *Myrsine lessertiana* (kōlea), *Kadua* spp. (manono), and *Melicope* spp. (alani). These areas also usually have a strongly-developed subcanopy and ground layers, dominated by ferns, *Broussaissia arguta* (kanawao), other lobeliads (*Cyanea* spp., *Clermontia* spp.), *Leptecophylla tameiameiae* (pūkiawe), *Vaccinium* spp. ('ōhelo), *Peperomia* spp. ('ala'ala wai nui), and a variety of sedges (*Carex* spp., *Machaerina* spp.) (NTBG 2019, entire).

2.3.2 Five-Factor Analysis (threats, conservation measures, and regulatory mechanisms)

2.3.2.1 Present or threatened destruction, modification or curtailment of its habitat or range (Factor A):

Ungulate destruction and degradation of habitat—Habitat destruction and modification by introduced feral goats (*Capra hircus*) and pigs (*Sus scofra*) is a threat to *Cyanea horrida*. These introduced ungulates are highly destructive to the native vegetation by eating young trees and young shoots of plants before they can become established, contribute to erosion by creating trails that damage native vegetative cover through substrate destabilization and creation of gullies that alter hydrology, and by dislodging stones from ledges that can cause rockfalls and landslides damaging or destroying vegetation below (Cuddihy and Stone 1990, pp. 25–26, 63–64). These activities promote the invasion of nonnative plants that outcompete this species for space, water, light and nutrients. Additionally, these ungulates may consume *C. horrida* when foraging for food, and directly damage roots and seedlings of *C. horrida*.

Established ecosystem-altering invasive plant modification and degradation of habitat—Habitat destruction and degradation and competition by invasive nonnative plant species are threats to *Cyanea horrida*. Invasive nonnative plant species are responsible for modifying the availability of light; altering soil-water regimes; modifying nutrient cycling; altering the fire regime affecting native plant communities; and ultimately, converting native-dominated plant communities to nonnative plant communities (Cuddihy and Stone 1990, p. 74; D'Antonio and Vitousek 1992, p. 73; Vitousek et al. 1997, p. 6).

Flooding, landslides, rockfalls, and treefalls destruction or degradation of habitat—Flooding, landslides, rockfalls, and treefalls destabilize substrates, damage and destroy individual plants, and alter hydrological patterns, which result in changes to native plant and animal communities (Clark et al. 2019, p. 11). Due to the steep topography of much of the

areas on Maui where *Cyanea horrida* remain, erosion and disturbance caused by heavy rain and flooding, has the potential to negatively affect this species. For those species that occur in small numbers in highly restricted geographic areas, such events have the potential to eradicate all individuals of a population, or even all populations of a species, resulting in extinction. Monitoring data suggest *C. horrida* faces threats from landslides, falling rocks, and treefalls, as the species is found in landscape settings susceptible to these events (e.g., steep slopes, cliffs and stream banks) (USFWS 2013, p. 32060).

Climate change loss or degradation of habitat, including hurricanes-"Climate" refers to the mean and variability of different types of weather conditions over time, with 30 years being a typical period for such measurements, although shorter or longer periods also may be used (IPCC 2007, p. 78). The term "climate change" refers to a change in the mean or variability of one or more measures of climate (e.g., temperature or precipitation) that persists for an extended period, typically decades or longer, whether the change is due to natural variability, human activity, or both (IPCC 2007, p. 78). Various types of changes in climate can have direct or indirect effects on species. These effects may be positive, neutral, or negative and they may change over time, depending on the species and other relevant considerations, such as the effects of interactions of climate with other variables (e.g., habitat fragmentation) (IPCC 2007, pp. 8–14, 18-19; Clark et al. 2019, p. 11). Fortini et al. (2013) conducted a landscape-based assessment of climate change vulnerability for native plants of Hawai'i using high resolution climate change projections. Climate change vulnerability is defined as the relative inability of a species to display the possible responses necessary for persistence under climate change. The assessment concluded that Cyanea horrida is vulnerable to the impacts of climate change with a vulnerability score of 0.506 (on a scale of 0 being not vulnerable to 1 being extremely vulnerable to climate change) (Fortini et al. 2013, p. 71).

In the main Hawaiian Islands, predicted changes associated with increases in temperature include a shift in vegetation zones upslope, shift in species' ranges, changes in mean precipitation with unpredictable effects on local environments, increased occurrence of drought cycles, and increases in the intensity and number of hurricanes (Loope and Giambelluca 1998, pp. 514-515; Nelson et al. 2019, p. 7). The warming atmosphere is creating increase in tropical storm frequency and intensity (e.g., tropical storms and hurricanes), and altered precipitation patterns that contribute to regional increases in floods, heat waves, drought, and wildfires that also displace species and alter or destroy natural ecosystems (USFWS 2013, p. 32047; Clark et al. 2019, p. 11). Data on precipitation in Hawai'i show a steady and significant decline of about 15 percent over the last two decades of the 20th century (Chu and Chen 2005, pp. 4881-4900; Diaz et al. 2005, pp. 13). Downscaling of global climate models further indicates that wet-season (winter) precipitation will decrease by 5 percent to 10 percent, while dryseason (summer) precipitation will increase by about 5 percent (Timm and Diaz 2009, pp. 4261-4280). These data are supported by a steady decline in stream flow beginning in the early 1940s (Oki 2004, p. 1). Altered seasonal moisture regimes can have negative impacts on plant growth cycles and overall negative impacts on natural ecosystems (US-GCRP 2009, p. 79). *Cyanea horrida* is vulnerable to drought (USFWS 2013, pp. 32048 and 32052).

Tropical cyclone frequency and intensity are projected to change as a result of climate change over the next 100 to 200 years (Vecchi and Soden 2007, entire; Emanuel et al. 2008, entire; Yu et al. 2010, entire). In the central Pacific, modeling projects an increase of up to two additional tropical cyclones per year in the main Hawaiian Islands by 2100 (Murakami et al. 2013, pp. 749-750). Hurricanes destroy native vegetation and the habitat of *Cyanea horrida* by opening the canopy and thus modifying the availability of light, and creating disturbed areas conducive to invasion by nonnative pest species. Gaps in the canopy also allow for the establishment of nonnative plants, which may be present as plants or as seeds incapable of growing under shaded conditions (Harrington et al. 1997, pp. 539–540). *Cyanea horrida* persists in populations only on the north and east slopes of Haleakalā on east Maui and hurricane landfall in this area potentially could lead to serious damage to remaining populations of this species.

2.3.2.2 Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes (Factor B):

Not a threat.

2.3.2.3 Disease or predation (Factor C):

Herbivory and predation by slugs and rats—Predation by introduced slugs (*Derocerus* sp. and possibly *D. laeve*) is a potential threat to this species. Slugs impact individuals of *Cyanea* spp. through mechanical damage, destruction of plant parts, and direct mortality (Joe and Daehler 2008, p. 252). Rats impact native plants by eating seeds, flowers, leaves, roots, and other plant parts (Atkinson and Atkinson 2000, p. 23), and can negatively impact regeneration. Plants with fleshy fruits such as *C. horrida* are particularly susceptible to rat predation (Cuddihy and Stone 1990, pp. 67–69). Although rats have not been observed to consume the fruits and seeds of *C. horrida* directly they are likely threat to this species.

2.3.2.4 Inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms (Factor D):

Existing Federal, State, or local laws, treaties, or regulations do not adequately address threats to this species (USFWS 2013, p. 32014).

2.3.2.5 Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence (Factor E):

Reduced viability due to low numbers—Small, isolated populations often exhibit reduced levels of genetic variability, which diminishes the species' capacity to adapt and respond to environmental changes, thereby lessening the probability of long-term persistence (Barrett and Kohn 1991, p. 4; Newman and Pilson 1997, p. 361). The problems associated with small population size and vulnerability to random demographic fluctuations or natural catastrophes are further magnified by synergistic interactions with other threats, such as anthropogenic impacts like habitat loss from human development or predation by nonnative species. Very small plant populations of outcrossing species may experience reduced reproductive vigor due to ineffective pollination or inbreeding depression. There are only approximately 63 *Cyanea horrida* distributed among 5 wild populations making the species vulnerable to reduced viability due to low numbers of individual plants, reduced reproductive vigor, and other effects associated with small population size in the wild.

Loss of native pollinators and seed dispersal agents—*Cyanea* and other lobelioids coevolved with nectarivorous Hawaiian honeycreepers (Drepanididae) and honeyeaters (Meliphagidae) that served as plant pollinators, and native thrushes (*Mvadestes*) and other frugivorous birds, such 'O'u (Psittirostra pittacea), that served as seed dispersers (Lunau 2004, p. 210; Banko and Banko 2009, pp. 30-31). Since human colonization of Hawai'i, nectarivorous and frugivorous birds and native plant species that depend on them for pollination and seed dispersal have undergone wide-scale declines and extinctions, including extinction on Maui of all native frugivorous birds and many species of native nectarivorous birds (Banko and Banko 2009, pp. 30-36; Cory et al. 2015, p. 255). For the most part avian malaria (*Plasmodium relictum*), which is transmitted in Hawai'i by the mosquito species (*Culex quinquefaciatus*), has restricted remaining Hawaiian honeycreepers to higher elevations where average temperatures are too cold for mosquitoes to persist (LaPointe et al. 2012, p. 216-217). Loss of native forest birds that aided in pollination and seed dispersal likely was contributing factor to the decline historically of Cvanea horrida at middle and lower elevations. Fortini et al. 2015 (pp. 7-10) predict by mid-century with continued increasing temperatures that remaining native bird-pollinators of C. horrida will survive only at high elevations, overlapping only high elevation populations of *C. horrida* (Fortini et al. 2015, pp. 7-10).

Current Management Actions:

- Surveys and monitoring—The Plant Extinction Prevention Program (PEPP) regularly monitors wild and translocated populations of *Cyanea horrida* (PEPP 2018, p. 21; PEPP 2017, pp. 92-94).
- Ungulate monitoring and control—All populations of *Cyanea horrida* are currently within fenced and ungulate free units.
- Ecosystem-altering invasive nonnative plant control—PEPP conducts nonnative plant control at some wild and translocated populations of *Cyanea horrida* (PEPP 2017, pp. 92-94; PEPP 2018, p. 21).
- Captive propagation for genetic storage and reintroduction—Lyon Arboretum's seed storage inventory reports over 109,000 seeds in storage from Waikamoi, Hanawī, Pu'u Luau, and Honomanū of *Cyanea horrida* at the Lyon Arboretum seed laboratory (LASBI 2020, entire), and the Lyon Micropropagation Laboratory reports 306 explants of *Cyanea horrida* from three population areas (LAMPI 2020, pp. 28-29). There are 69 potted plants at Olinda Rare Plant Facility (ORPF) from founders from 3 population areas (ORPF 2019, p. 5).
- Reintroduction and translocation—Translocation has been conducted in three main areas: Waikamoi, Hanawī, and Koʻolau Gap. To date, at least 375 individuals that were planted still survive (H. Oppenheimer pers. comm. 2019), though it is unknown whether they have contributed to the viability of the species through recruitment (USFWS unpubl. data 2019; HALE 2016, p. 1; HALE 2017, p. 1; HALE 2018, p. 1).
- Other management actions—*Cyanea horrida* likely benefited from rodent control for bird conservation that occurred previously in Hanawī Natural Area Reserve and The Nature Conservancy's Waikamoi Preserve (Malcolm et al. 2008, entire; Berthold et al. 2017, entire).

Date	No. wild individuals	No. outplanted	Preventing Extinction Criteria identified by HPPRCC	Preventing Extinction Criteria Completed?
2013 (listing)	Approx. 44	> 50	All threats managed in all 3 populations	No
			Complete genetic storage	No
			3 populations with 150 mature individuals each	No
2016 (critical Approx. 44 > 50 habitat)		All threats managed in all 3 populations	No	
			Complete genetic storage	No

Table 1. Status and trends of *Cyanea horrida* from listing through 5-year review.

			3 populations with 150 mature individuals each	No
2020 (5-year review)	Approx. 75, additional individuals found since time of listing and critical habitat designation	Approx. 375	All threats managed in all 3 populations	Partial, populations are fenced
			Complete genetic storage	Partial, around 50% complete
			3 populations with 50 mature individuals each	No

Table 2. Th	reats to Cvanea	horrida and	ongoing con	servation efforts.

Threat	Listing Factor	Current Status	Conservation/Management Efforts
Ungulate degradation of habitat	A	Ongoing	All populations fenced
Established ecosystem- altering invasive plant modification and degradation of habitat	A	Ongoing	Partial, nonnative plant management at some sites
Landslides and treefalls	А	Ongoing	None
Climate change degradation or loss of habitat, including hurricanes	A	Ongoing	None
Slug herbivory	С	Ongoing	None
Rat predation and herbivory	С	Ongoing	Partial, in the past traps set at some sites
Reduced viability due to low numbers	E	Ongoing	Partial, seed and cuttings collections, propagation, and reintroduction efforts
Loss of pollinators and dispersal agents	E	Ongoing	None

2.4 Synthesis

There are 5 wild populations consisting of approximately 63 individual plants of *Cyanea horrida* and three translocated populations totaling approximately 375 outplants. All populations are fenced, however translocated plants are not known to be self-reproducing (limited to no recruitment; no regneration). *Cyanea horrida* is vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. Species wild populations have

declined dramatically over the last few decades, likely primarily from damage caused by feral ungulates and loss of native pollinators and seed dispersers. Populatin monitoring, fruit collection, propagation and outplanting are ongoing. Populations are protected from ungulates, but other threats have limited to no controls in place.

Preventing extinction, interim stabilization, downlisting, and delisting objectives are provided in HPPRCC's Revised Recovery Objective Guidelines (2011). To prevent extinction, which is the first step in recovering the species, the taxon must be managed to control threats (e.g., fenced) and have 50 individuals (or the total number of individuals if fewer than 50 exist) from each of three populations represented in an *ex situ* (at other than the plant's natural location, such as a nursery or arboretum) collection. In addition, a minimum of three populations should be documented on Maui where they now occur or occurred historically and each of these populations must be naturally reproducing (i.e., viable seeds, seedlings, or saplings) with a minimum of 50 mature, reproducing individuals per population.

The preventing extinction goals for this species have not been met. There are only approximately 63 wild individuals total, no wild populations with 50 reproducing individuals, and limited to no recruitment and no regeneration at outplantings, genetic representation is incomplete (Table 1), and not all threats are being sufficiently managed throughout the range of the species (Table 2). Therefore, *Cyanea horrida* meets the definition of endangered as it remains in danger of extinction throughout its range.

3.0 RESULTS

- **3.1 Recommended Classification:**
 - Downlist to Threatened

 Uplist to Endangered

 Delist

 _____Extinction

 _____Recovery

 ____Original data for classification in error

 X___No change is needed
- 3.2 New Recovery Priority Number:

Brief Rationale:

3.3 Listing and Reclassification Priority Number:

Reclassification (from Threatened to Endangered) Priority Number:_____ Reclassification (from Endangered to Threatened) Priority Number:_____ Delisting (regardless of current classification) Priority Number:_____

Brief Rationale:

4.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE ACTIONS**

- Surveys and inventories—Continue to conduct surveys for *Cyanea horrida* in historical locations and potentially suitable habitat.
- Ungulate monitoring and control—Continue to construct and maintain fenced exclosures to protect individuals from the negative impacts of feral ungulates.
- Invasive plant monitoring and control—Continue to control established ecosystem-altering nonnative invasive plant species and those that compete with *C. horrida*.
- Climate change adaptation strategy—Research suitability of habitat in the future due to the impacts of climate change.
- Rat and slug predation and herbivory—Continue to implement effective control methods for rats, and develop and implement effective control methods for slugs.
- Captive propagation for genetic storage and reintroduction—Continue to collect seeds and cuttings for storage and propagation efforts for maintenance of genetic stock.
- Reintroduction and translocation—Increase numbers of populations and individuals in suitable habitat to build resiliency and redundancy and reduce the impacts of climate change and low numbers.
- Alliance and partnership development—Continue to contribute to planning and implementation of ecosystem-level restoration and management to benefit this taxon.

5.0 **REFERENCES**

- Aslan, C. E., E. S. Zavaleta, B. Tershy, D. Croll, and R. H. Robichaux. 2013. Imperfect replacement of native species by non-native species as pollinators of endemic Hawaiian plants. Conservation Biology 28:478-488.
- Atkinson, I. A. E. and T. J. Atkinson. 2000. Land vertebrates as invasive species on islands served by the South Pacific regional environmental programme. *In* Invasive Species in the Pacific: a Technical Review and Draft Regional Strategy, South Pacific Regional environment programme, Samoa. Pp. 19-84.
- Banko, W. E. and P. C. Banko. 2009. Historic decline and extinction. *In* Conservation Biology of Hawaiian Forest Birds, T. K. Pratt, C. T. Atkinson, P. C. Banko, J. D. Jacobi, and B. L. Woodworth (eds.), Yale University Press, New Haven, CT. Pp. 25–58.
- Barrett, S. C. H. and J. R. Kohn. 1991. Genetic and evolutionary consequences of small population size in plants–implications for conservation. *In* Genetics and Conservation of Rare Plants, D. A. Falk and K. E. Holsinger (eds.), Oxford University Press, New York and Oxford, Pp. 3–30.

- Berthold, L., C. Warren, H. Mounce, and A. Cohan. 2017. Predator control in The Nature Conservancy's Waikamoi Preserve. Presentation at the Hawaii Conservation Conference, Honolulu, HI. Available at: https://mauiforestbirds.org/wpcontent/uploads/2018/09/HCC_Predator-control-in-The-Nature-Conservancy%E2%80%99s-Waikamoi-Preserve 20170710 FINAL.pdf
- Chu, P.-S. and H. Chen. 2005. Interannual and interdecadal rainfall variations in the Hawaiian Islands. Journal of Climate 18:4796–4813.
- Clark, M., M. K. Reeves, F. Amidon, and S. E. Miller. 2019. Hawaii Islands wet forest. Editors: M. I. Goldstein and D. A. DellaSala, Encyclopedia of the World's Biomes, Elsevier, 2020. Available online at: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.11920-7. 18 pp.
- Cory, C., R. Pender, and C. Eugene Jones. 2015. Can ornithophilous Hawaiian Lobeliads produce seeds in the absence of pollinators? A test using *Clermontia kakeana* and *Cyanea angustifolia* (Campanulaceae). Pacific Science 69:255-261.
- Cuddihy, L.W. and C. P. Stone. 1990. Alteration of native Hawaiian vegetation: effects of humans, their activities and introductions, Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii, Honolulu. 138 pp.
- D'Antonio, C. M. and P. M. Vitousek. 1992. Biological invasions by exotic grasses, the grass/fire cycle and global change. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 23:63–88.
- Diaz, H. F., P.-S. Chu, and J. K. Eischeid. 2005. Rainfall changes in Hawaii during the last century. 16th Conference on Climate Variability and Change. 3 pp. + abstract.
- Emanuel, K., R. Sundararajan, and J. Williams. 2008. Hurricanes and global warming. American Meteorological Society:March. Pp. 347–367.
- Fortini, L., J. Price, J. Jacobi, A. Vorsino, J. Burgett, K. Brinck, F. Amidon, S. Miller, S. Gon II, G. Koob, and E. Paxton. 2013. A landscape-based assessment of climate change vulnerability for all native Hawaiian plants. Technical report HCSU-044. Hawai'i Cooperative Studies Unit, University of Hawai'i at Hilo, Hawai'i. 134 pp.
- Fortini, L. B., A. E. Vorsino, F. A. Amidon, E. H. Paxton, J. D. Jacobi. 2015. Large-Scale Range Collapse of Hawaiian Forest Birds under Climate Change and the Need for 21st Century Conservation Options. Plos One: DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0140389. 22 pp.
- Giambelluca, T. W., Q. Chen, A. G. Frazier, J. P. Price, Y.-L. Chen, P.-S. Chu, J. K. Eischeid, and D. M. Delparte, 2013. Online Rainfall Atlas of Hawai'i. Bulletin of

the American Meteorological Society 94:313-316. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00228.1.

- Givnish, T. J., K. C. Millam, A. R. Mast, T. B. Paterson, T. J. Theim, A. L. Hipp, J. M. Henss, J. F. Smith, K. R. Wood, and K. J. Sytsma. 2009. Origin, adaptive radiation and diversification of the Hawaiian lobeliads (Asterales: Campanulaceae). Proceedings of the Royal Society B 276:407-416.
- Givnish, T. J., K. J. Sytsma, J. F. Smith, and W. J. Hahn. 1994. Thorn-like prickles and heterophylly in *Cyanea*: adaptations to extinct avian browsers on Hawaii? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 91:2810-2814.
- Guerrant, E.O., K. Havens, and M. Maunder. 2004. *Ex Situ* Plant Conservation: Supporting Species Survival in the Wild. Island Press, Washington, D.C. 504 pp.
- [HALE] Haleakalā National Park. 2016. Annual Report for Threatened and Endangered Species Permit TE014497-14. Haleakala National Park Resource Management Vegetation Management. 6 pp.
- [HALE] Haleakalā National Park. 2017. Annual Report for Threatened and Endangered Species Permit TE014497-15. Haleakala National Park Resource Management Vegetation Management. 13 pp.
- [HALE] Haleakalā National Park. 2017. Annual Report for Threatened and Endangered Species Permit TE014497-15. Haleakala National Park Resource Management Vegetation Management. 7 pp.
- Harrington, R. A., J. H. Fownes, P. G. Scowcroft, and C. S. Vann. 1997. Impact of hurricane Iniki on native Hawaiian *Acacia koa* forests: damage and two-year recovery. Journal of Tropical Ecology 13:539–558.
- [HPPRCC] Hawai'i and Pacific Plants Recovery Coordinating Committee. 2011. Revised recovery objective guidelines. 8 pp.
- [IPCC] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2007. Fourth assessment report: climate change 2007, synthesis report, summary for policymakers. Available online at: http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/syr/ar4_syr_spm.pdf, accessed 31 JAN 2008. 22 pp.
- James, H. F. and D. A. Burney. 1997. The diet and ecology of Hawaii's extinct flightless waterfowl: evidence from coprolites. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 62:279-297.
- Joe, S. M. and C. Daehler. 2008. Invasive slugs as under-appreciated obstacles to rare plant restoration: evidence from the Hawaiian Islands. Biological Invasions 10:245–255.

- [LAMPI] Lyon Arboretum Micropropatation Inventory. 2019. Running inventory summary by taxon active. 125 pp.
- LaPointe, D. A., C. T. Atkinson, and M. D. Samuel. 2012. Ecology and conservation biology of avian malaria. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1249:211-226.
- [LASBI] Lyon Arboretum Seed Bank Inventory. 2020. Excel data sheet. 25 pp.
- Loope, L. and T. W. Giambelluca. 1998. Vulnerability of island tropical montane cloud forests to climate change, with special reference to east Maui, Hawaii. Climate Change 39:503–517.
- Lowe, S., D. L. Ball, M. K. Reeves, F. Amidon, and S. E. Miller. 2019. Hawaii: mesic forest. Editors: M. I. Goldstein and D. A. DellaSala, Encyclopedia of the World's Biomes, Elsevier, 2020. Available online at: DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.11930-X. 27 pp.
- Lunau, K. 2004. Adaptive radiation and coevolution—pollination biology case studies. Organism Diversity & Evolution 4:207-224.
- [Lyon] Lyon Arboretum. 2018. Lyon Seed Lab. Excel spreadsheet research and genetic storage report. 4 pp.
- Malcolm, T. R., K. J. Swinnerton, J. J. Groombridge, B. D. Sparklin, C. N. Brosius, J. P. Vetter, and J. T. Foster. 2008. Ground-based rodent control in a remote Hawaiian rainforest on Maui. Pacific Conservation Biology 14:206-214.
- Murakami, H., B. Wang, T. Li, and A. Kitoh. 2013. Projected increase in tropical cyclones near Hawaii. Nature Climate Change 3:749-754.
- Nelson, J. T., M. K. Reeves, F. Amidon, and S. E. Miller. 2019. Hawaii wet grassland and shrubland. Editors: M. I. Goldstein and D. A. DellaSala, Encyclopedia of the World's Biomes, Elsevier, 2020. Available online at: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.11962-1. 23 pp.
- Newman, D. and D. Pilson. 1997. Increased probability of extinction due to decreased genetic effective population size: experimental populations of *Clarkia pulchella*. Evolution 51:354–362.
- [NTBG] National Tropical Botanical Garden. 2019. National Tropical Botanical Garden Herbarium. https://ntbg.org/database/herbarium. Accessed August 5, 2019.
- Oki, D. S. 2004. Trends in streamflow characteristics at long-term gauging stations, Hawaii. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report, Fact Sheet

2004-3104.

[ORPF] Olinda Rare Plant Facility. 2019. Excel data spreadsheet. 99 pp.

- [PEPP] Plant Extinction Prevention Program. 2017. FY 2017 Annual Report (October 1, 2016 – September 30, 2017). 235 pp.
- [PEPP] Plant Extinction Prevention Program. 2018. Annual Report. Fiscal Year 2018, Interim Performance Report (October 1, 2017 - September 30, 2018). 49 pp.
- Price, J. P., J. D. Jacobi, S. M. Gon III, D. Matsuwaki, L. Mehrhoff, W. Wagner, M. Lucas, and B. Rowe. 2012. Mapping plant species ranges in the Hawaiian Islands
 Developing a methodology and associated GIS layers: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2012-1192, 34 p., 1 appendix (species table), 1,158 maps, available at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2012/1192/
- Sakai, A., W. Wagner, D. Ferguson, and D. Herbst. 1995. Origins of dioecy in the Hawaiian flora. Ecology 76(8):2517–2529.
- Timm, O. and H. F. Diaz. 2009. Synoptic-statistical approach to regional downscaling of IPCC twenty-first century climate projections: seasonal rainfall over the Hawaiian Islands. Journal of Climate 22:4261–4280.
- [USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2013. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; determination of endangered status for 38 species on Molokai, Lanai, and Maui; final rule. Department of the Interior, Federal Register 78 (102):32014– 32065, May 28, 2013.
- [USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2016. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation and Nondesignation of Critical Habitat on Molokai, Lanai, Maui, and Kahoolawe for 135 Species; Final Rule. Federal Register 81:17790-18110.
- [USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2018. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; initiation of 5-year status reviews for 156 species in Oregon, Washington, Hawaii, Palau, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands. Federal Register 88(83): 20088–20092, May 7, 2018.
- [USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2019. Recovery Outline for the Islands of Maui, Moloka'i, Kaho'olawe, and Lāna'i (Maui Nui.). October, 2019. 29 pp. + maps.
- [US-GCRP] U.S. Global Change Research Program. 2009. Global climate change impacts in the United States. T. R. Karl, J. M. Melillo, and T. C. Peterson (editors). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 188 pp.

- Vecchi, G. A. and B. J. Soden. 2007. Global warming and the weakening of the tropical circulation. Journal of Climate 20:4316–4340.
- Vitousek, P. M., C. M. D'Antonio, L. L. Loope, M. Rejmanek, and R. Westerbrooks. 1997. Introduced species: a significant component of human-caused global change. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 21:1–16.
- Yu, J., Y. Wang, and K. Hamilton. 2010. Response to tropical cyclone potential intensity to a global warming scenario in the IPCC AR4 CGCMs. Journal of Climate 23: 1354–1373.

U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE 5-YEAR REVIEW of *Cyanea horrida* (holokea)

Current Classification: Endangered

Recommendation resulting from the 5-Year Review:

Downlist to Threatened Uplist to Endangered Delist X_No change needed

Appropriate Listing/Reclassification Priority Number, if applicable:

Review Conducted By:

Jay Nelson, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, PIFWO Lauren Weisenberger, Plant Recovery Coordinator, PIFWO Megan Laut, Conservation and Restoration Team Manager, PIFWO

FIELD OFFICE APPROVAL:

for

Field Supervisor, Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office