

# **APPENDIX D.1**

## **Biological Resources Technical Report**

---

**BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES TECHNICAL REPORT  
ATHOS RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECT  
RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA**



Prepared for  
Aspen Environmental Group  
5020 Chesebro Rd. Suite 200  
Agoura Hills, CA

Prepared by:  
IRONWOOD CONSULTING INC.  
370 Alabama Street, Suite A  
Redlands, CA 92373

January 2019

# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background .....	1
1.2	Purpose .....	1
1.3	Site Location.....	1
1.4	Project Summary .....	3
<b>2</b>	<b>SITE CHARACTERISTICS</b> .....	<b>11</b>
2.1	Regional Setting.....	11
2.2	Hydrology.....	14
2.3	Soils .....	17
2.4	Sand Transport System.....	17
2.6	Vegetation.....	20
2.6.1	Natural Communities .....	20
2.5.2	Invasive Weeds.....	29
2.5.3	Cacti, Yucca, and Native Trees .....	31
<b>3</b>	<b>DATA COLLECTION METHODS</b> .....	<b>32</b>
3.1	Special Status Species Definition .....	32
3.2	Wildlife Surveys .....	32
3.2.1	Desert Tortoise .....	33
3.2.2	Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard .....	34
3.2.3	Couch’s Spadefoot Toad.....	34
3.2.3	Avian Species .....	34
3.2.4	Special Status Bat Species .....	35
3.2.5	Other Special Status Wildlife Species .....	35
3.3	Special Status Plants.....	35
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS</b> .....	<b>40</b>
4.1	Special Status Wildlife .....	40

4.1.1	<b>Desert Tortoise:</b> <i>ST, FT</i> .....	40
4.1.2	<b>Mojave Fringe-Toed Lizard:</b> <i>SSC, BLMS</i> .....	47
4.1.3	<b>Couch’s Spadefoot Toad:</b> <i>SSC, BLMS</i> .....	48
4.1.4	<b>American Badger:</b> <i>SSC</i> .....	51
4.1.5	<b>Desert Kit Fox:</b> <i>CPF</i> .....	51
4.1.6	<b>Desert Bighorn Sheep</b> <i>BLMS</i> .....	56
4.1.7	<b>Burro Deer:</b> <i>CPGS</i> .....	56
4.1.9	<b>Western Burrowing Owl:</b> <i>SSC, BCC, BLMS</i> .....	61
4.1.10	<b>Golden Eagle:</b> <i>CFP, WL, BCC, BLMS</i> .....	64
4.1.11	<b>Loggerhead Shrike:</b> <i>SSC (nesting), BCC</i> .....	69
4.1.12	<b>Le Conte’s Thrasher:</b> <i>SSC</i> .....	70
4.1.13	<b>California Horned Lark:</b> <i>WL</i> .....	70
4.1.14	<b>Prairie Falcon:</b> <i>WL, BCC</i> .....	70
4.1.15	<b>Gila Woodpecker:</b> <i>CE, BLMS, BCC</i> .....	71
4.1.16	<b>Black-tailed Gnatcatcher:</b> <i>WL</i> .....	71
4.1.17	<b>Sonora Yellow Warbler:</b> <i>SSC, BCC</i> .....	71
4.1.18	<b>Short Eared Owl:</b> <i>SSC</i> .....	72
4.1.19	<b>Ferruginous Hawk:</b> <i>WL, BCC</i> .....	72
4.1.20	<b>Swainson’s Hawk:</b> <i>ST, BBC</i> .....	72
4.1.21	<b>American Peregrine Falcon:</b> <i>FP, BCC</i> .....	73
4.1.22	<b>Vaux’s Swift:</b> <i>SSC</i> .....	73
4.1.23	<b>Mountain Plover:</b> <i>SSC, BCC</i> .....	73
4.1.24	<b>Northern Harrier:</b> <i>SSC</i> .....	73
4.1.25	<b>Yellow-breasted Chat:</b> <i>SSC</i> .....	74
4.1.26	<b>Crissal Thrasher:</b> <i>SSC</i> .....	74
4.1.27	<b>Elf Owl:</b> <i>BLMS, BCC</i> .....	74
4.1.28	<b>Other listed Avian Species</b> .....	75
4.2	<b>Wildlife Movement</b> .....	76
4.3	<b>Special Status Plant Species</b> .....	79

<b>4.3.1</b>	<b>Chaparral sand verbena:</b> <i>BLMS, CRPR 1B.1</i> .....	82
<b>4.3.2</b>	<b>Harwood’s Milkvetch:</b> <i>CRPR 2B.2</i> .....	82
<b>4.3.3</b>	<b>Crucifixion Thorn:</b> <i>CRPR 2B.2</i> .....	82
<b>4.3.4</b>	<b>Abram’s Spurge:</b> <i>CRPR 2B.2</i> .....	83
<b>4.3.5</b>	<b>Ribbed Cryptantha:</b> <i>CRPR 4.3</i> .....	83
<b>4.3.6</b>	<b>Glandular Ditaxis:</b> <i>CRPR 2B.2</i> .....	83
<b>4.3.7</b>	<b>California Ditaxis:</b> <i>CRPR 3.2</i> .....	83
<b>4.3.8</b>	<b>Harwood’s Eriastrum:</b> <i>CRPR 1B.2, BLMS</i> .....	84
<b>4.3.9</b>	<b>Utah Milkvine:</b> <i>CRPR 4.2</i> .....	84
<b>4.3.10</b>	<b>Desert Unicorn Plant:</b> <i>CRPR 4.3</i> .....	84
<b>4.3.11</b>	<b>Jackass Clover:</b> <i>CRPR 2B.2</i> .....	84
<b>4.3.12</b>	<b>Palmer’s Jackass Clover:</b> <i>CRPR 2B.2</i> .....	85
<b>4.3.13</b>	<b>Creosote Bush Rings</b> .....	85
<b>5.0</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	86

**List of Photos**

<b>Photo 1.</b>	Sonoran creosote bush scrub vegetation .....	22
<b>Photo 2.</b>	Desert Dry Wash Woodland vegetation .....	23
<b>Photo 3.</b>	Desert Pavement.....	24
<b>Photo 4.</b>	Recovering Desert Saltbush Scrub .....	25
<b>Photo 5.</b>	Active Agriculture habitat – date palm farm .....	26
<b>Photo 6.</b>	Fallow Agriculture Habitat - abandoned citrus groves .....	26
<b>Photo 7.</b>	Developed/Disturbed land cover .....	27

**List of Tables**

<b>Table 1.</b>	Summary of Project Components.....	3
<b>Table 2.</b>	Adjacent Land Uses.....	11
<b>Table 3.</b>	Regional Rainfall Totals Since 2009 .....	19
<b>Table 4.</b>	Vegetation and Land Cover Acreages by Land Ownership .....	21
<b>Table 5.</b>	Summary of Vegetation Communities.....	27
<b>Table 6.</b>	Survey Personnel and Dates .....	37

<b>Table 7.</b> Desert Tortoise Observations .....	42
<b>Table 8.</b> Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard Observations.....	47
<b>Table 9.</b> Summary of Kit Fox, Badger, and Coyote, Observations.....	53
<b>Table 10.</b> Summary of Burro Deer Observations.....	57
<b>Table 11.</b> Summary of Burrowing Owl Observations .....	63
<b>Table 12.</b> Summary of Regional Golden Eagle Surveys .....	65
<b>Table 13.</b> Sensitive and Noteworthy Avian Observations .....	69
<b>Table 14.</b> <i>Summary of Special Status Plant Observations</i> .....	79

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1.</b> Regional Map .....	12
<b>Figure 2.</b> Project Site Parcel Groups and Gen-tie Segments .....	13
<b>Figure 3.</b> Soils .....	15
<b>Figure 4.</b> Historic Sand Transport .....	16
<b>Figure 5.</b> Vegetation Communities .....	28
<b>Figure 6.</b> Study Area.....	39
<b>Figure 7.</b> Desert Tortoise Predicted Occupancy .....	43
<b>Figure 8.</b> Desert Tortoise Observations .....	44
<b>Figure 9.</b> Desert Tortoise Conservation Areas (TCAs) and Linkages.....	45
<b>Figure 10.</b> Desert Tortoise Local Connectivity .....	46
<b>Figure 11.</b> Mojave Fringe-Toed Lizard Observations.....	49
<b>Figure 12.</b> Potential Couch’s Spadefoot Toad Habitat .....	50
<b>Figure 13.</b> Kit Fox, Badger, and Coyote Observations .....	52
<b>Figure 14.</b> Burro Deer Observations .....	58
<b>Figure 15.</b> Regional Golden Eagle Survey Results.....	67
<b>Figure 16.</b> Sensitive and Noteworthy Avian Observations.....	68
<b>Figure 17.</b> Special Status Plant Species Observations .....	81

## List of Appendices

<b>Appendix A</b>	Potential of Occurrence for Sensitive Wildlife Species
<b>Appendix B</b>	Potential of Occurrence for Sensitive Plant Species
<b>Appendix C</b>	Wildlife Species Observed on Project Site 2017-2018
<b>Appendix D</b>	Plant Species Observed on Project Site 2018

## List of Acronyms

agl	above ground level
amsl	above mean sea level
AC	Alternating Current
ACEC	Area of Critical Environmental Concern
BRTR	Biological Resources Technical Report
BBCS	Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CA-177	California Highway 177
Cal-IPC	California Invasive Plant Council
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CDFA	California Department of Food and Agriculture
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
CNDDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CRPR	California Rare Plant Rank
DFA	Development Focus Area
DRECP	Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan
DC	Direct Current
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
FESA	Federal Endangered Species Act
FWS	Fish and Wildlife Service
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
GPS	Global Positioning System
I-10	Interstate 10
LUPA	Land Use Plan Amendment
NEPA	National Environmental Protection Act
NPS	National Park Service
NECO Plan	Northern and Eastern Colorado Desert Coordinated Management Plan
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
PV	Photovoltaic
SEZ	Solar Energy Zone
TCAs	Tortoise Conservation Areas
USFWS	US Fish and Wildlife Service

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

## **1.1 Background**

In 2017, Intersect Power, LLC proposed the Athos Renewable Energy Project within the Desert Center community of unincorporated Riverside County, California. The proposed Project would consist of solar facilities located on seven non-contiguous groups of private parcels and approximately 11 miles of generation interconnection (gen-tie) transmission line crossing a mixture of privately owned and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) managed lands, connecting to the existing Southern California Edison Red Bluff substation. The Athos Renewable Energy Project is expected to generate 500 megawatts (MW) of renewable energy using photovoltaic (PV) panels. The solar facility and gen-tie are collectively referred to as the Athos Renewable Energy Project (the Project) throughout this report.

## **1.2 Purpose**

This Biological Resources Technical Report (BRTR) provides a description of methods and results of biological resource surveys and investigations conducted in fall of 2017 and spring of 2018 for the Athos Renewable Energy Project.

The primary purpose of this report is to provide biological information that will be used as the foundation for impact assessments pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The discussion included herein may also be used to support consultation between Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) under the Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA), and any necessary incidental take authorization from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) with respect to the California Endangered Species Act (CESA).

## **1.3 Site Location**

The Project site is located in unincorporated Riverside County, California. It consists of approximately 3456.7 acres, including 3262.8 acres of privately-owned land and 193.9 acres of BLM-managed land (acreages were obtained from shapefile data that may result in small discrepancies between different documents for the Project). The site is situated within Chuckwalla Valley near the community of Desert Center, about halfway between the cities of Indio and Blythe (see Figure 1).

The Project site is on three 7.5-Minute U.S. Geological Survey topographic quadrangles: East of Victory Pass, Corn Springs, and Sidewinder Well. The federal lands included within the Project site are located within in the California Desert Conservation Area (CDCA) planning area, and within the southern Desert Tortoise Recovery Unit of the Northern and Eastern Colorado Desert Coordinated Management (NECO) Plan. The Chuckwalla Area of Critical Environmental Concern



(ACEC) is located just south of I-10 and Joshua Tree National Park is located approximately two miles north of the northernmost portion of the Project site.

The federal lands included within the Project site are primarily within the boundaries of the Riverside East Solar Energy Zone (SEZ) identified in the Solar Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) approved by a Record of Decision signed by BLM on October 12, 2012. Additionally, the Project site is within the Chuckwalla Valley ecoregion subarea of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP) area. The DRECP identifies the federal lands in and around the Project site in the Land Use Plan Amendment (LUPA) and Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) as a Development Focus Area (DFA), as approved by a Record of Decision signed by BLM on September 14, 2016.

The portions of the Project site proposed for PV and storage components consist of seven non-contiguous groups of privately-owned parcels. For the purposes of this report, the seven groups of parcels are identified as parcel groups A-G. The gen-tie routes are identified as six segments (gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4) and are located on a combination of privately owned and BLM managed lands (see Figure 2). Additional access areas are also on a combination of private and public lands. A summary of all project components is found in Table 1.

#### Private Land Components

All seven non-contiguous parcel groups for proposed PV and storage are located on privately owned parcels. The northernmost parcel group A, is just northwest of California Highway 177 (CA-177) while the remaining parcel groups (C-G) are located southeast of CA-177 and north of Interstate 10 (I-10). These lands include a combination of disused former agricultural lands (parcel groups A-E, G, 2827 acres total) and native undisturbed habitat (parcel groups D and F, 394.6 acres total).

The proposed gen-tie routes connect each of these groups of parcels and connect group F to Southern California Edison's existing Red Bluff substation. The gen-tie routes that cross privately owned land include gen-tie 1, gen-tie 1A, gen-tie 1B, gen-tie 2C, and gen-tie 3 (see Figures 2 and 3). The habitat on these routes include some previously disturbed habitat.

The proposed solar facilities (A-G) and gen-tie routes (gen-tie 1A, gen-tie 1B, gen-tie 2C, and gen-tie 3) on private components are located outside boundaries of ACECs, BLM wilderness areas, or USFWS designated critical habitat units for desert tortoise.

#### Public Land Components

The proposed gen-tie routes located on BLM managed lands include gen-tie 1A, gen-tie 1C, gen-tie 2A, and gen-tie 2B through gen-tie 4. Vegetation along the gen-tie routes that cross public land is mostly in its natural undisturbed state (see Figures 2 and 3).

The entirety of gen-tie route 4 is located within USFWS designated critical habitat for desert tortoise, and the southernmost portion of that route (portion south of I-10) is also within the Chuckwalla ACEC.

**Table 1.** Summary of Project Components

Solar Facility	Component	Private	Public
	A	X	-
	B	X	-
	C	X	-
	D	X	-
	E	X	-
	F	X	-
	G	X	-
Gen-tie	Component	Private	Public
	Gen-tie 1	X	X
	Gen-tie 1A	X	X
	Gen-tie 2A	-	X
	Gen-tie 2B	X	-
	Gen-tie 3	X	X
Gen-tie 4	-	X	
Additional Access	Component	Private	Public
	Access Road	X	-
	ROW Access	X	X
	Spur Road 1	-	X
Spur Road 2	-	X	

### 1.4 Project Summary

The following summary of the project components, construction methods, schedule, and operation and maintenance activities is based on information provided by Intersect Power.

#### Solar fields

The Project’s PV modules would be manufactured at an offsite location and transported to the Project site. Panels would be arranged in strings with a maximum height of 12 feet. Panel faces would be minimally reflective, dark in color, and highly absorptive.

Panels would be arranged on the site in solar arrays. Spacing between each row would be a minimum of 4 feet. Structures supporting the PV modules would consist of steel piles which would be driven into the soil using pneumatic techniques, such as a hydraulic rock hammer attachment on the boom of a rubber-tired backhoe excavator. The piles typically would be spaced 10 feet apart. The total height of the panel system measured from ground surface would be up to 12 feet. Where excavations are required, the majority would be limited to less than 6 feet in depth, however, some excavations, such as those undertaken for the installation of collector poles and dead-end structures, may reach depths of 20 feet or more.

Each 2-MW PV panel increment would include an inverter-transformer station constructed on a concrete pad or steel skid, and centrally located within the PV arrays. Each inverter-transformer station would contain electrical components and a security camera at the top of an approximately 20-foot pole. An inverter shade structure may also be installed at each one. The shade structure would consist of wood or metal supports and a durable outdoor material shade structure (metal, vinyl, or similar). The shade structure would extend up to 10 feet above the top of the inverter pad.

Underground cables would be installed to convey electricity from the panels, via combiner boxes located throughout the PV arrays, to inverter-transformer stations. From there, the 34.5 kV level collection cables would either be buried underground or installed overhead on wood poles. If the collection system is installed overhead, some of the wood poles could be located at the outside edge of the property line, but a majority of these poles are expected to be located interior to the site. Approximately 300 to 500 wood poles located at 250-foot intervals could be installed across the entire site. The typical height of the poles would be approximately 30 to 50 feet.

Up to four substations would be located within the proposed solar sites. The area of each substation and associated equipment would be approximately 37,500 square feet (150 feet by 250 feet). Substation equipment would be built on concrete pad foundations, and the remaining area would be graveled to a maximum depth of approximately 6 inches. Each substation would be surrounded by an up-to 6-foot high chain link fence topped with one foot of barbed wire.

The Project may use one of the existing homes on the solar facility site as an O&M building, or it may use the septic system of an existing home and build a new O&M building. If a new O&M building is constructed, it would be approximately 3,000 square feet in size and approximately 15 feet at its tallest point.

A fiber optic or other cabling system would be installed for remote monitoring of operation and/or remote control of critical components. It typically would be installed in buried conduit, leading to one or more Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition System (SCADA) system

cabinets located within the Project site. External telecommunications connections could be provided through wireless or hard-wired connections to locally available commercial service providers. The Project's SCADA system would interconnect to this fiber optic network at the Red Bluff Substation, and no additional disturbance associated with telecommunications is anticipated.

The Project could include, at the Applicant's option, a battery or flywheel storage system capable of storing up to 500 MW of electricity. If installed, the storage system would consist of battery or flywheel banks housed in electrical enclosures and buried electrical conduit. The battery system would either be concentrated near the Project substations or dispersed throughout the solar facility sites. Up to 3,000 electrical enclosures measuring approximately 40 feet by 8 feet by 8.5 feet high would be installed on concrete foundations designed for secondary containment. Battery systems are operationally silent, and flywheel systems have a noise rating of 45 dBA.

The Project would include a permanent meteorological (met) data collection system, consisting of approximately 15 met stations, each with multiple weather sensors mounted on a main mast approximately 20 feet tall.

Solar field ingress/egress would be via locked gates located at multiple points. The boundaries of the Project sites would be secured by up-to 6-foot-high chain-link perimeter fences, topped with one foot of three-strand barbed wire, or as dictated by Riverside County specifications. If required, site fencing would also adhere to US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) design guidelines (USFWS, 2009) to exclude desert tortoise from the Project site. The fence would typically be set approximately 100 feet from the edge of the solar panel array.

The Project's on-site roadway system would include perimeter roads, access roads, and internal roads. The perimeter roads and main access roads would be approximately 20 feet wide and constructed to be consistent with facility maintenance requirements and County standards. These roads would be surfaced with gravel, compacted dirt, or another commercially available surface. Internal roads would have permeable surfaces and be approximately 16 feet in width or as otherwise required by County standards. They would be treated to create a durable, dustless surface for use during construction and operation. This would not involve lime treatment but would likely involve surfacing with gravel, compacted native soil, or a dust palliative.

Motion sensitive, directional security lights would provide illumination around the substation areas, inverter clusters, gates, and along perimeter fencing. All lighting would be shielded and directed downward to minimize the potential for glare or spillover onto adjacent properties. No Project structures would necessitate aviation lighting per Federal Aviation Administration Part 77 Obstruction Evaluation Consultation.

Infrared security cameras, motion detectors, or other similar technology would be installed to allow for security monitoring. Such cameras or other equipment would be placed along the perimeter of the facility and/or at the inverters. Security cameras located at the inverters would be posted on poles approximately 20 feet high.

### **Gen-tie Lines**

The project gen-tie lines would be located within a 100-foot-wide right-of-way (ROW), and consist of either monopoles, lattice steel structures, or wooden H-frame poles. For the overhead gen-tie line, structure foundations would be excavated to a depth of 35 feet or more and include concrete supports depending on final engineering (without these foundations, guy-lines would be needed to support the structures). Gen-tie structures would be on average 90 feet tall (as short as 50 feet and as tall as 120 feet to clear another line for a perpendicular crossing). The gen-tie structures would be less than 200 feet tall and would not necessitate aviation lighting per Federal Aviation Administration Part 77 Obstruction Evaluation Consultation. A total of up to 120 gen-tie structures would be built. The gen-tie would include a 3-phase 220 kV conductor, a ground wire, and a telecommunications fiber-optic cable.

### **Access**

Access to the majority of the Project sites would be via Highway 177; Corn Springs Road would be used to access the easternmost group of parcels. Seven new access road segments, totaling approximately ten miles in length, would be constructed for primary and secondary access to the seven groups of Project sites (Groups A-G; see Figure 3). In some cases, access would be via improved existing BLM open routes and agricultural roads, rather than requiring new route construction.

All new and improved access roads would be 24 feet wide with a two-foot-wide shoulder on each side, for a total width of approximately 30 feet, including allowances for side slopes and surface runoff control. Construction of the access road segments would include compacting subsurface soils and placing a four-inch-thick layer of asphalt concrete over a 6-inch-thick layer of compacted aggregate base.

### **Construction**

Construction is anticipated to occur over a 30-month period with multiple construction activities occurring simultaneously. Project construction may be phased. The on-site workforce is expected to reach its peak of approximately 530 individuals with an average construction-related workforce of 320 individuals. An estimated 40 roundtrips per day would be required to deliver materials and equipment to the project site (mainly tractor-trailer trucks and occasional oversize tractor-trailers for large equipment such as cranes). Prior to construction, all contractors, subcontractors, and project personnel would receive Worker Environmental

Awareness Program (WEAP) training to effectively understand and implement the biological commitments in the project description, implement the mitigation measures, comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations, avoid and minimize impacts, and understand the importance of these resources and the purpose and necessity of protecting them. The following species and their habitat would be specifically covered in the WEAP: desert tortoise, burrowing owl, other raptors and migratory birds, American badger, and desert kit fox. Applicable sensitive plant species would also be covered in the WEAP.

Construction would begin with pre-construction surveys, construction of the main access road, security fencing, biological resource exclusion fences where needed, clearing and construction of a laydown yard, site grading and preparation, construction of the O&M building, parking area, and pad mounts for transformers. Construction would continue with the installation of temporary power, construction of on-site roads, construction of the project substation, and assembly and installation of panel blocks and wiring.

Construction equipment would normally operate between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. Monday through Friday for up to a maximum of 8 hours per piece of equipment, daily. Weekend construction work is not expected but may occur on occasion, depending on schedule considerations.

During pre-construction field surveys site boundaries, fence locations, and gen-tie ROW boundaries would be identified and clearly marked with stakes and flagging. All off-road vehicle travel across BLM-administered land would be monitored by qualified biologists, archaeologists, and tribal monitors, as appropriate. A desert tortoise exclusion fence, if required, would be installed per the USFWS guidelines (USFWS 2009). Fence installation would be monitored by qualified biologists, archaeologists, and tribal monitors, as appropriate. Following fence installation, desert tortoise clearance surveys would be conducted according to USFWS 2009 guidelines (USFWS 2009). Mammals and burrowing owls would be passively relocated using one-way doors or using other accepted exclusion methods. Desert tortoise individuals would be moved outside of fenced areas “out of harm’s way” or actively translocated to a pre-selected site pursuant to an approved desert tortoise Translocation Plan to be developed in consultation with USFWS and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW).

Several staging areas would be established within the solar facility site boundaries and security fence for storing materials, construction equipment, and vehicles. On-site pre-assembly of trackers would take place in the staging areas. Grubbing, light grading, and construction of staging areas would be monitored by qualified biologists, archaeologists, and tribal monitors, as appropriate.

Since most of the site has nearly level to gently sloping topography, no mass grading would be required; however, much of the solar facility would be impacted by some form of ground disturbance, either from compaction, micro-grading, or disc-and-roll grading. Some of the parcels where facilities and arrays would be located would require light grubbing for leveling and trenching.

Access road beds would be grubbed, graded, and compacted; however minimal grading is anticipated. The cut and fill would be approximately balanced; minimal import/export would be necessary.

A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) or SWPPP equivalent document would be prepared, approved, and implemented before and during construction. The SWPPP will include Project information and identify best management practices (BMP). The BMPs would include stormwater runoff quality control measures, concrete waste management, stormwater detention, watering for dust control, and construction of perimeter silt fences, as needed.

Underground cables to connect panel strings would be installed using ordinary trenching techniques, which typically includes using a rubber-tired backhoe excavator or trencher. Wire depths would be in accordance with local, State, and Federal requirements, and would likely be buried at a minimum of 18 inches below grade, by excavating a trench approximately 3 to 6 feet wide to accommodate the conduits or direct buried cables. The excavated soil would likely be used to fill the trench and lightly compressed. All cabling excavations would be to a maximum depth of 10 feet.

All electrical inverters and the transformer would be placed on concrete foundation structures or steel skids. The substation areas would be excavated for the transformer equipment and control building foundation and oil containment area. The substation sites would be graded and compacted to an approximately level grade. Concrete pads would be constructed as foundations for substation equipment, and the remaining area would be graveled. Concrete for foundations would be brought to the site from a batching plant in Blythe or would be batched on site as necessary.

Since most of the gen-tie ROW has nearly level to gently sloping topography, no grading would be required for the gen-tie structures; however, some light grubbing may be required to clear vegetation from an approximately 12,500 square-foot area (0.3 acre) where the structure would be erected and selectively in some adjacent work areas, as needed. Structure installation would consist of the following steps:

- Deliver new structure to each structure site;
- Auger new hole using line truck attachment to a depth of up to 35 feet and include concrete supports depending on final engineering;

- Pour concrete foundation;
- Install bottom section by line truck, crane, or helicopter; and
- Install top section(s) by line truck, crane, or helicopter, if required.

Once poles are erected the conductor will be strung from pull and tension sites at the end of the power line interconnection alignment moving from one pole to the next. The average distance is approximately 4,000 feet between pull and tension sites. The line may also be equipped with optical ground wire (OPGW), which would serve as a ground wire and a telecommunication link. Alternately, telecommunications fiber optic cable may be installed in a small trench within the access roads with no new surface disturbance anticipated.

Construction sites would be kept in an orderly condition throughout the construction period by using approved enclosed refuse containers. All refuse and trash would be removed from work sites daily and be disposed of in accordance with BLM requirements. No open burning of construction trash would occur. All vegetation that may interfere with equipment would be trimmed and/or removed using manual non-mechanical means described in the Vegetation Resources Management Plan or treated with an approved herbicide, as necessary.

Following the completion of construction, temporarily disturbed areas on the Project site would be revegetated for the operations phase pursuant to an approved Vegetation Management Plan. Based on the aridity of the project area and the overall low density of vegetation present, it is not likely that vegetation would encroach upon structures so that access or operation would become impaired. However, spread of noxious weeds and other nonnative invasive plant species onto the project sites could create a fire hazard if allowed to become established, and invasive weeds could also become problematic from an ecological perspective. Therefore, weed control activities would be implemented within the project limits according to the Project's Integrated Weed Management Plan.

Weed control activities would include both mechanical and herbicide control methods. Mechanical control activities include chaining, disking, grubbing, and mowing using tractors or other heavy equipment, as necessary. On BLM-administered land (gen-tie component only), herbicide control could involve the use of BLM-approved herbicides to control weeds if manual control methods are not successful. Any potential herbicide use on BLM lands will be subject to BLM review and approval.

### **Operation and Maintenance**

The solar modules would operate during daylight 7 days a week, 365 days a year. Operational activities at the Project site would include:

- Solar module washing;



- Vegetation, weed, and pest management (no pest management would be required on the gen-tie route; no anticoagulant rodenticides would be used anywhere on the project site);
- Security monitoring;
- Responding to automated electronic alerts based on monitored data, including actual versus expected tolerances for system output and other key performance metrics; and
- Communicating with customers, transmission system operators, and other entities involved in facility operations.

Up to 10 permanent staff could be on the site at any one time for O&M activities. Alternatively, approximately 2 permanent staff and 8 Project operators would be located off-site and would be on call to respond to alerts generated by the monitoring equipment at the Project site. Security personnel would be on call to respond to trespasses and other incidents as necessary.

Site maintenance would be largely conducted during daytime hours, typically in the early morning or evening when the plant would be producing the least amount of energy. Maintenance typically would include panel repairs; panel washing; maintenance of electrical equipment; road and fence repairs; and weed management. On-site vegetation would be managed to ensure access to all areas of the site and to screen facilities as needed. Solar modules would be washed as needed (up to four times each year) using light utility vehicles with tow-behind water trailers to maintain optimal electricity production. No chemical cleaners would be used for module washing.

No heavy equipment would be used during normal operation. Routine O&M vehicles would be primarily pickup trucks, flatbed trucks, and water trucks for solar panel washing. Forklifts or loaders may be used for occasional unscheduled maintenance. Large heavy-haul transport equipment may be brought to the solar facility infrequently for equipment repair or replacement.

Standard defensible space requirements would be maintained surrounding any welding or digging operations. Fire safety and suppression measures, such as smoke detectors and extinguishers, would be installed and available at the O&M facility, per the Riverside County Building and Safety Department's requirements. A Fire Management and Prevention Plan will be prepared and implemented in coordination with the Riverside County Fire Department, BLM Fire, or other emergency response organizations.

## Decommissioning and Repowering

As the facility’s equipment has a useful life of 40 years, at the end of the power purchase agreement’s contract term (typically 10 to 25 years), the power from the facility would be sold to another buyer and/or the Project may be repowered to increase efficiency. If the Athos Renewable Energy Project continues to operate, the long-term operations would be the same as described above. At the end of the project’s useful life, the solar arrays and gen-tie line would be decommissioned and dismantled, according to a Closure, Decommissioning, and Reclamation Plan to be prepared closer to the end of the project’s life.

## 2 SITE CHARACTERISTICS


### 2.1 Regional Setting

The Project site is located in the central portion of Chuckwalla Valley, east of Palm Springs in the Colorado Desert. The elevation of Chuckwalla Valley ranges from less than 400 feet above mean sea level (amsl) at Ford Dry Lake to approximately 1,800 feet amsl west of Desert Center and along the upper portions of the alluvial fans that surround the valley perimeter. The surrounding mountains rise to over 3,000 feet amsl. The topography of the Project site generally slopes downward to the southeast at gradient of less than 1 percent. Ground surface elevations at the Project site itself range from approximately 491 feet amsl in the southeast to 588 feet amsl in the northwest.


Anthropogenic features and land use near the Project site include agricultural, residential, renewable energy, energy transmission, historical military operations and recreational development. Adjacent land uses are summarized in Table 2.




**Table 2.** Adjacent Land Uses

Direction	LAND USES
NORTH	Desert Sunlight Solar Farm, Joshua Tree National Park, fallow agriculture
SOUTH	Chuckwalla ACEC, transmission lines, I-10, Southern California Edison’s Red Bluff substation
EAST	Chuckwalla Valley Raceway, Desert Lily Preserve, active/fallow agriculture, rural residences, existing transmission line, CA-177, historical military
WEST	CA-177, Lake Tamarisk Community, active/fallow agriculture, aquaculture farms, Chuckwalla ACEC



**Ironwood**  
Consulting




-  Athos Solar Project
-  Joshua Tree National Park
-  Desert Tortoise Critical Habitat


**FIGURE 1**

**Regional Location**

**Athos Solar**



Ironwood Consulting



- Solar Farm Parcel
- Gen-Tie Corridor
- Access Road/Easement
- BLM Administered Land
- Private Land

Desert Tortoise Critical Habitat

FIGURE 2

Project Site Parcel Groups and Gen-Tie Segments

Athos Solar


## 2.2 Hydrology

The Project resides within the Colorado River Hydrologic Region (HR). The Colorado River HR covers approximately 13 million acres (20,000 square miles) in southeastern California and is the most arid HR in California with annual precipitation averaging 5.5 inches (DWR 1994). The Project is in the Big Wash, Lower Pinto Wash, and Palen Lake HUC 10 Hydrologic Areas, which flow to closed basins, not connected with the Colorado River. Palen Dry Lake and Ford Dry Lake represent the lowest elevations within the basin.

Desert washes within this region contract and expand dramatically in size due to extreme variations in flows, which can range from high-discharge floods to periods when surface flow is absent. The Project site lies between the alluvial fans emanating from the Eagle Mountains to the west, Chuckwalla Mountains to the south, and Coxcomb Mountains to the north.


The Project is situated in the lower alluvial fan that is characterized by less stabilized soils consisting of finer sand and silt, compared to the upper alluvial fan that supports more stabilized, rocky soils with well-defined channels. The topography the Project site is relatively flat with gradients of less than two percent. Ground surface elevations of the Project site range from approximately 500 feet amsl in the southeast (parcel group G) to 800 feet amsl in the south near the Red Bluff Substation.

Alluvial processes across the majority of the Project site generally flow from southwest to northeast, with the exception of the portion of the Project situated west of CA-177 (parcel group A and gen-tie 1A), which flows from northwest to southeast. Located south of the Project (parcel group F, gen-tie 2B, and gen-tie 3), the I-10 crosses the alluvial fan that emanates from the Chuckwalla Mountains. I-10 and associated wing dikes, which were constructed over 45 years ago, have altered natural surface flows from dozens of meandering small alluvial washes into concentrated discrete channels. Lancaster et al. (2014) noted that changes to drainage patterns resulting from the construction of I-10 translate into downstream hydrological degradation, rendering portions of the alluvial fan less active than under historical conditions. Minor washes located in the hydrological shadow of I-10 were degraded (transporting lower volumes of water and entrained sediment). Major, culverted washes received more surface flow and distribute a higher volume and fine sediment compared to conditions that preceded the construction of I-10. These effects persist under current conditions.



Source: SSURGO 2017

**Ironwood Consulting**




- |                                  |                                    |  |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Solar Facility Boundary          | Playas (s1138)                     | St. Thomas-Rock outcrop (s1125)                  |
| Gen-Tie Corridor                 | Rillito-Gunsight (s1140)           | Tecopa-Rock outcrop-Lithic Torriorthents (s1126) |
| Access/Spur Road                 | Rositas-Carrizo (s1137)            | Vaiva-Quilotosa-Hyder-Cipriano-Cherioni (s1141)  |
| Desert Tortoise Critical Habitat | Rositas-Dune land-Carsitas (s1136) |  |

**FIGURE 3**

**Soils**

**Athos Solar**



Active Eolian Deposits		Potential Eolian Sources	
	Active windblown deposits consisting primarily of sand sheets and coppice dunes superimposed over alluvial deposits.		Stabilized windblown deposits consisting primarily of sand sheets and a small percentage of stabilized dunes.
	Active windblown deposits consisting of dunes and sand sheets >1.5 m in thickness.		Lacustrine deposits of late Pleistocene age. Moderately consolidated silt, very fine sand and clay.
Active Eolian Sources		Other Map Units	
	Alluvial wash deposits consisting of fine to coarse-grained sand and sandy gravel with subordinate fine sand.		Alluvial deposits of Pleistocene age (undifferentiated). Comprised of alluvial fan, alluvial valley, and alluvial terrace.
	Alluvial fan deposits of latest Holocene age. Unconsolidated to slightly consolidated sand and gravel.		Bedrock (undifferentiated). Unit comprised of a variety of Paleozoic sedimentary, Mesozoic granitic, and Tertiary volcanic and sedimentary rocks.
	Alluvial fan deposits of latest Pleistocene and Holocene age. Unconsolidated to slightly consolidated sand and gravel.		Areas within active sand transport / deposition resulting from anthropogenic modification of the land surface. Farms and other land >10 acres.
	Alluvial valley and lacustrine deposits of late Holocene age. Unconsolidated to slightly consolidated sand and gravel.		

Source: Lancaster et al. 2014.

**Ironwood Consulting**

- Solar Facility Boundary
- Gen-Tie Corridor
- Access/Spur Road

**FIGURE 4**  
**Historic Sand Transport**

## 2.3 Soils

Soils mapped on the Project site consist of two general soil types per the United States General Soils Map [Soil Survey Staff 2018]: (1) the Rositas–Dune land–Carsitas map unit and (2) the Vaiva-Quilotosa-Hyder-Cipriano-Cherioni map unit. The Rositas-Dune land-Carsitas map unit is found on the eastern 53 percent of the site and is characterized by soils with a very high sand percentage (greater than 95 percent) and is highly susceptible to wind erosion. The remaining 47 percent of the site was mapped as the Vaiva-Quilotosa-Hyder-Cipriano-Cherioni map unit characterized by soils with high percentage (greater than 65 percent) of sand with moderate susceptibility to wind erosion (Figure 3).

## 2.4 Sand Transport System

The Project site is located within the Chuckwalla Valley, a region of active aeolian (wind-blown) sand migration and deposition. Aeolian processes play a major role in the creation and establishment of sand dune formations and habitat in the Chuckwalla Valley and those within the project area. Aeolian sands (dunes, sand fields, and similar habitats) are important habitats for certain plants and animals, including Mojave fringe-toed lizard (addressed in Section 4).

In conjunction with the DRECP process, the Department of Conservation's California Geological Survey prepared a regional Eolian System Mapping Report for Eastern Riverside County in 2014 (Lancaster et al. 2014; note that eolian and aeolian are alternate spellings of the same word). Lancaster et al. (2014) characterized the majority of the Project as Qyf, which is described as modern alluvial fan deposits consisting of 'unconsolidated to slightly consolidated sand and gravel that is considered an active aeolian source (Figure 4).

### Private Components

Parcel groups C-F are all mapped as Qyf but several of these have been affected by anthropogenic changes, with the exception of parcel groups D and F, which still have native vegetation community cover.

Parcel group A, is categorized as a potential aeolian source, is mapped primarily as Qye/Qal and characterized as active windblown deposits consisting primarily of sand sheets and coppice dunes superimposed over alluvial deposits. A small portion of parcel group A was categorized as being Qw (active eolian source) and is characterized as alluvial wash deposits consisting of fine to coarse-grained sand and sandy gravel with subordinate fine sand. Active eolian sources surrounding parcel group A include areas northwest and southwest of it, but are primarily stabilized windblown deposits.



A northern portion of gen-tie segments 1 and 1A are also categorized as Qw, making that portion an active eolian source, but north and south of that portion are stabilized sand or slightly consolidated sand and gravel.

Parcel group G is considered to have active sand transport that has been affected by anthropogenic modifications, such as agriculture, with some alluvial deposits. Active eolian sources surrounding parcel group G, include areas north and south of the parcel group that contain fine sand and have active windblown deposits.

### Public Components

A majority of the public components of the project site are mapped as Qyf, with the exception of small portions within gen-tie segments 1, 1A, 2B, 3, and 4. These components have portions that are categorized as active eolian sand but have consolidated sand and gravel.

## 2.5 Rainfall

Measurements of precipitation during winter (October through March) and summer (April through September) periods are important in determining the efficacy of both wildlife and special status plant surveys. Data were obtained from the Western Regional Climate Center (WRCC 2018) for the most proximate stations to the Project site: Blythe Airport and Eagle Mountain weather stations (approximately 37 miles and 8 miles from the Project site, respectively). Historical rainfall data from 2009 to 2018 were totaled and averaged (Table 2). Over the period of analysis, the highest winter rainfall occurred in 2010 and highest summer rainfall occurred in 2012. Since 2014, annual winter and summer rainfall has measured less than 50% compared to the peaks in 2010 and 2012. Winter rains prior to the spring 2018 survey were extremely low.

**Table 3.** Regional Rainfall Totals Since 2009

Year	October to March (inches) *	April to September (inches) *
2009	2.4	0.2
2010	4.8	0.1
2011	2.5	1.2
2012	1.0	3.3 <sup>1</sup>
2013	1.5	2.6
2014	0.7	1.2
2015	2.1	1.3
2016	1.5	0.7
2017	3.4	1.1
2018	0.1	0.5

\* Seasonal average of Blythe Airport and Eagle Mountain weather stations

## 2.6 Vegetation

### 2.6.1 Natural Communities

Vegetation communities in the Project area were mapped and classified by Chris Blandford, of Ironwood Consulting, using Holland (1986) and cross-referencing with *A Manual of California Vegetation, 2nd edition* (Sawyer et al. 2009) and the National Vegetation Classification System (NVCS) referenced in the DRECP. Vegetation was mapped by drawing vegetation polygons on aerial images in the field. These field maps were then digitized into GIS shapefiles using ArcGIS (version 10.4) and one-foot pixel aerial imagery on a diagonal flat screen monitor at the office. The smallest mapping unit delineated was approximately 0.10 acres; most mapped vegetation boundaries are accurate to within approximately 10 feet.

The small-scale PDF vegetation map provided with this report was generated from ArcGIS shapefiles; the shapefiles were used to calculate areas of each vegetation type and may be viewed at larger scale for management or analysis purposes, if needed. Any vegetation map is subject to imprecision for several reasons:

- Vegetation types tend to intergrade on the landscape so that there are no true boundaries in the vegetation itself. In these cases, a mapped boundary represents best professional judgment.
- Vegetation types as they are named and described tend to intergrade; that is, a given stand of real-world vegetation may not fit into any named type in the classification scheme used. Thus, a mapped and labeled polygon is given the best name available in the classification, but this name does not imply that the vegetation unambiguously matches its mapped name.
- Vegetation types tend to be patchy. Small patches of one named type are often included within mapped polygons of another type. The size of these patches varies, depending on the minimum mapping units and scale of available aerial imagery.

The majority of the Project site is disused or fallow agricultural land. There are two primary natural vegetation communities (creosote bush scrub and desert dry wash woodland) as well as one distinct natural habitat type (desert pavement) within the gen tie routes and proposed solar fields D and F. Some of the former agricultural lands have partially recovered from previous disturbance and are mapped as recovering creosote bush scrub or salt bush scrub. One vegetation community (desert dry wash woodland) is identified by BLM (NECO Plan 2002) and CDFW (2010) as sensitive due to the association with alluvial processes and would likely be considered California State jurisdictional waters. Natural vegetation communities occur on both private and public components of the Project while the recovering communities and developed areas occur only on private components. Vegetation communities on the Project site are summarized in Tables 4 and 5, and depicted on Figure 5.

**Table 4.** Vegetation and Land Cover Acreages by Land Ownership

Vegetation or Land Cover	ACREAGES			
	Private Components		Public Components	Vegetation Habitat Type Subtotals
	Solar facility	Gen-tie ROW*	Gen-tie ROW *	
<b>Natural vegetation and habitat types</b>				
Sonoran creosote bush scrub	295.9	15.4	106.6	<b>417.9</b>
Desert pavement	7.5	0	16.4	<b>23.9</b>
Desert dry wash woodland	91.2	12.2	58.0	<b>161.4</b>
<i>subtotals</i>	<i>394.6</i>	<i>27.6</i>	<i>181.0</i>	<i>603.2</i>
<b>Recovering vegetation and habitat types</b>				
Recovering creosote bush scrub	289.7	12.0	1.2	<b>302.9</b>
Recovering salt bush scrub	183.3	-	-	<b>183.3</b>
<i>subtotals</i>	<i>473.0</i>	<i>12.0</i>	<i>1.2</i>	<i>486.2</i>
<b>Anthropogenic land use and cover types</b>				
Developed/disturbed	167.9	0.9	3.8	<b>172.6</b>
Active agriculture	151.2	-	-	<b>151.2</b>
Fallow agriculture	2,032.6	0.7	7.9	<b>2,041.2</b>
Open water (agricultural pond)	2.3	-	-	<b>2.3</b>
<i>subtotals</i>	<i>2,354.0</i>	<i>1.6</i>	<i>11.7</i>	<i>2,367.3</i>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,221.6</b>	<b>41.2</b>	<b>193.9</b>	<b>3456.7</b>
<b>SOLAR FACILITY TOTAL</b>				<b>3221.6</b>
<b>GEN-TIE TOTAL</b>				<b>235.1</b>
<b>PRIVATE TOTAL</b>				<b>3262.8</b>
<b>PUBLIC TOTAL</b>				<b>193.9</b>

\*Includes ROW access, access road, and spur roads

### 2.5.1.1 Sonoran Creosote Bush Scrub

Sonoran creosote bush scrub has a State Rarity rank of S5 (CDFW 2018d), being demonstrably secure, and is not designated as a sensitive plant community by BLM. It is synonymous with *Larrea tridentata* -*Ambrosia dumosa* alliance (Sawyer et. al 2009) and *Lower Bajada and Fan Mojavean-Sonoran Desert Scrub* (NVCS). Sonoran creosote bush scrub occurs on well-drained, secondary soils of slopes, fans, and valleys and is the basic creosote bush scrub habitat of the Colorado Desert (Holland 1986). Sonoran creosote bush scrub covers much of the undisturbed portions of the Project site and intergrades with desert dry wash woodland along desert washes. Within the Project site, this community occurs on sandy soils with a shallow clay pan. Dominant plants within this community are creosote bush and white bursage. Other occasional components include indigo bush (*Psoralea argemone*), sweetbush (*Bebbia juncea*), and button brittlebush (*Encelia frutescens*).

There are also areas of recovering creosote bush scrub within the Project site where formerly fallow agricultural areas are recovering back to native vegetation. These areas have recolonized with ruderal species and sparse native vegetation with some evidence of former agricultural use.

Private components within Sonoran creosote bush vegetation include parcel groups D and gen-tie 2A. Those with recovering creosote bush scrub include parcel groups C and E. Public components within Sonoran creosote bush vegetation includes gen-tie segments 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3 and ROW access. There is no recovering creosote bush scrub on the public components.



**Photo 1.** Sonoran creosote bush scrub vegetation

### 2.5.1.2 Desert Dry Wash Woodland

Desert dry wash woodland is a sensitive vegetation community recognized with a rarity rank of S4 (CDFW 2018d). Desert dry wash woodland is characteristic of desert washes, and is likely to be regulated by CDFW as jurisdictional state waters. This community is synonymous with blue palo verde (*Parkinsonia florida*) - ironwood (*Olneya tesota*) (microphyll) woodland alliance (Sawyer et. al 2009) and Sonoran - Coloradan Semi Desert Wash Woodland / Scrub (NVCS). Holland (1986) describes this community as an open to relatively densely covered, drought-deciduous, microphyll (small compound leaves) riparian scrub woodland, often supported by braided wash channels that change following every surface flow event. Within the Project site this vegetation community is dominated by an open tree layer of ironwood, blue palo verde, and smoke tree (*Psoralea argophylla*). The understory is a modified creosote scrub with big galleta grass (*Hilaria rigida*), brittlebush (*Encelia farinosa*), desert lavender (*Condea [=Hyptis emoryi] emoryi*), and occasional Russian thistle (*Salsola tragus*).

On the private components, desert dry wash woodland occurs within parcel groups D, F, and gen-tie segments 1, 1A, and 3. On the public components, desert dry wash woodland occurs within gen-tie segments 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, and 3.



**Photo 2.** Desert Dry Wash Woodland vegetation

### 2.5.1.3 Desert Pavement

The term desert pavement is primarily descriptive of soil and substrate conditions, rather than vegetation. It has a state rarity rank of S4 (CDFW 2018d) and is synonymous to the rigid spineflower-hairy desert sunflower (*Chorizanthe rigida-Geraea canescens*) desert pavement sparsely vegetated alliance (Sawyer et. al 2009). It is sparsely vegetated with an intermittent layer of cryptogamic crust. The ground surface is sandy and gravelly mixed alluvium with various rocks and gravel. The shrub layer of creosote bush is extremely sparse. The herb layer, though sparse within this community on the Project site, is slightly larger than the shrub layer, and is characterized by rigid spine flower and desert sunflower. Desert pavement is often interwoven between areas of creosote bush scrub and desert dry wash woodland where it occurs on the Project. Other occasional plants in the herb layer include annual buckwheat (*Eriogonum* sp.) and brittle spineflower (*Chorizanthe brevicornu*).

On the private components, desert pavement occurs in parcel group F and gen-tie segments 3 and 4. On the public components, desert pavement occurs in gen-tie 2B, gen-tie 3, and gen-tie 4.



**Photo 3.** Desert Pavement

#### 2.5.1.4 Desert Saltbush Scrub (recovering)

Desert saltbush scrub has a state rarity rank of S4 (CDFW 2018d). It is synonymous to an Arizona honey sweet (*Tidestromia oblongifolia*) provisional alliance - saltbushes are less dominant than Arizona honey sweet within this vegetation community on the Project site. It is typically found on alluvial fans, dune aprons, and steep colluvium (CNPS 2009).

This vegetation community is located only on the private component of the Project site at parcel group G and is surrounded by active and fallow agriculture or developed areas. It is recovering from previous agricultural use and has been recolonized by ruderal species and sparse native vegetation.



**Photo 4.** Recovering Desert Saltbush Scrub



#### 2.5.1.4 Agriculture

Agricultural land is not a natural vegetation community described by Holland (1986) or Sawyer et al. (2009). Active and fallow agricultural fields cover a majority of the solar field portions of the Project site (71%). The active agricultural area is an active date palm farm. The fallow agricultural areas consist of abandoned jojoba, citrus, or date palm farms.

On private land, agriculture occurs on parcel groups A, C, B, D, E, and G. On public land, fallow agriculture occurs on the ROW access areas only.



**Photo 5.** Active Agriculture – date palm farm



**Photo 6.** Fallow Agriculture - abandoned citrus groves

### 2.5.1.5 Developed/Disturbed

Developed and disturbed areas consist of abandoned homes, buildings, completely denuded sections of old agricultural fields, or unnamed dirt roads that are in regular use.


Within private components, developed/disturbed areas include parcel groups A, B, C, and G. There are no developed/disturbed areas in public components.




**Photo 7.** Developed/Disturbed land cover

**Table 5.** Summary of Vegetation Communities

Vegetation Community/Land Cover	Private Components		Public Components
	Solar Parcel	Gen-tie	Gen-tie
Sonoran creosote bush scrub	D, F	1, 1A	1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access, spur roads 1 and 2
Desert dry wash woodland	D, F	1, 1A, 3	1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access, spur roads 1 and 2
Desert pavement	F	3	2B, 3, 4, spur roads 1 and 2
Recovering creosote bush scrub	C, E	Access road	-
Recovering salt bush scrub	G	3	-
Fallow Agriculture	A, B, C, D, E, G	2C, ROW access	ROW access
Active Agriculture	G	-	-
Developed/disturbed	A, B, C, G	ROW access	-



Ironwood Consulting



Native Vegetation

- Creosote Bush Scrub
- Desert Dry Wash Woodland
- Desert Pavement
- Recovering Creosote Bush Scrub
- Recovering Salt Bush Scrub

Non-Native Vegetation

- Active Agriculture
- Developed/Disturbed
- Fallow Agriculture

- Athos Solar Project
- Access Road/Right of Way
- Red Bluff Substation

FIGURE 5

Vegetation Communities

### 2.5.2 Invasive Weeds

Invasive weeds are non-native (exotic) plants included on the weed lists of the California Invasive Plant Council (Cal-IPC), or those weeds of special concern identified by the BLM. There are also some weeds designated as “noxious” by California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) or the US Department of Agriculture. Invasive weeds are of concern in wild lands because of their potential to degrade habitat and disrupt the ecological functions (Cal-IPC 2018). The following invasive weeds were identified on the Project site during Ironwood’s field surveys.

#### Sahara Mustard (*Brassica tournefortii*)

Sahara mustard has a highly invasive rating on Cal-IPC (Cal-IPC 2018). It has severe ecological impacts on physical processes, plant and animal communities, and vegetation structure, as well as having reproductive biology and other attributes that are conducive to moderate to high rates of dispersal and establishment (Cal-IPC 2018). Sahara mustard is native to the deserts of North Africa, the Middle East, and the Mediterranean regions of southern Europe (Bossard et al. 2000). Initial establishment of this species in California occurred through the importation of date palms from the Middle East to the Coachella Valley during the early 1900s (Bossard et al. 2000). Sahara mustard currently occurs across Riverside County, as well as all neighboring counties (Cal-IPC 2018). During the field surveys, Sahara mustard was found on the Project site and concentrated in the agricultural and developed/disturbed areas of the Project. One dried individual was detected on gen-tie 3. It was not detected on the native parcel groups.

#### Russian Thistle (*Salsola tragus*)

Russian thistle has a Limited-to-Moderate rating by the Cal-IPC, indicating a species that is invasive but has an ecological impact that is minor on a statewide level, or there was not enough information to justify a higher score. Its reproductive biology and other attributes result in low to moderate rates of invasiveness. Ecological amplitude and distribution are generally limited, but it may be locally persistent and problematic. Russian thistle is listed on the CDFA Noxious Weed List, making it subject to state laws and regulations regarding its spread and pollution of an area (CDFA 2018). Russian thistle is an annual herb that is found in open and disturbed areas in the Mojave Desert and throughout western North America (MacKay 2003). Otherwise known as tumbleweed, it becomes large and round with age, the dried plant breaking off and rolling with the wind to aid in seed dispersal. Native to Eurasia, this plant was likely introduced around the turn of the century. It typically occurs on sandy soils on disturbed sites, cultivated and abandoned fields, and disturbed natural and semi-natural plant communities (CDFA 2018). Russian thistle was found in disturbed areas and agricultural parcels of the project site, but not on the native areas, or the gen-tie.

### Redstem filaree (*Erodium cicutarium*)

Redstem filaree has a limited invasive rating on Cal-IPC (Cal-IPC 2018) and is not listed on the CDFA Noxious Weed List (CDFA 2018). This species is an aggressive annual/biannual of the family Geraniaceae (geranium) family that is very widespread throughout California and is commonly found along roadsides, grasslands, fields, and semi-desert areas. It occurs across both public and private parcels of the project and often carpets large areas, out-competing native grasses and forbs.

### Tamarisk or Saltcedar (*Tamarix ramosissima*)

Tamarisk or saltcedar is a BLM weed species of concern. It is also rated as highly invasive by Cal-IPC and rated B by CDFA, meaning it is a pest of known economic or environmental detriment of limited distribution. Tamarisk or saltcedar was observed in the agriculturally developed areas of the Project site and along the gen-tie line. It was not found in ephemeral washes and drainages on the areas with native vegetation within the project area.

### Mediterranean grass (*Schismus barbatus* and *S. arabicus*)

Mediterranean grass has a limited invasive potential (CAL-IPC 2018) and is not listed by CDFA. It is an annual grass found in both central and southern California, particularly in disturbed areas and deserts, probably introduced at the turn of the century (CDFA 2018). It contributes to increased fire ignition and spread due to accumulation of dry thatch during dry seasons. Wildfire, in turn, contributes to the type-conversion of desert shrubland into annual grassland. These species' reproductive biology and other attributes result in low to moderate rates of invasiveness. Spread may occur from seed dispersal associated with soil disturbance, vegetation cutting, and from vehicle tires and footwear. Increase of these species is most likely to occur in areas where it already exists. Mediterranean grass is prevalent throughout Sonoran creosote bush scrub and agricultural portions of the Project site. BLM and other agencies recognize that because of its widespread distribution, Mediterranean grass is not feasible to eradicate.

### Highway Ice Plant (*Carpobrotus edulis*)

Highway ice plant is considered highly invasive by CAL-IPC with an A-1 listing. It is not listed on the CDFA noxious weed list. Highway ice plant is a mat-forming perennial succulent native to coastal areas of South Africa. It was brought to California in the early 1900s for soil stabilization, was widely promoted as an ornamental plant for home gardens and is still available at some nurseries. It tolerates a range of soil moisture and nutrient conditions and will spread easily to natural areas via mammalian frugivores (D'Antonio 1990). It can suppress the growth of both native seedlings and mature native shrubs. Only a few isolated individuals were observed in the easternmost parcel group G, near the date farm near artificial water

sources. Invasiveness of highway ice plant is low due to the few individuals observed; they can be removed mechanically.

### Mexican Fan Palm (*Washingtonia robusta*)

Mexican fan palm is considered moderately invasive by CAL-IPC with a rating of moderate-alert and not listed on the CDFA noxious weed list. It is a single-trunked palm tree commonly used as a landscape ornamental that has become invasive in riparian areas, orchards and landscaped areas. This palm can create monospecific stands in riparian areas, and dead fronds of the tree can create a fire hazard. Only a few individuals were observed on parcel group G near the date farm where irrigation water is present. It can be easily controlled by removing the individuals and seedlings. Even without control, it is unlikely to spread into surrounding dry desert lands.

### **2.5.3 Cacti, Yucca, and Native Trees**

Native cacti, succulents, and trees are generally not ranked as special status plant species but the harvesting of these native plants is regulated under the California Native Plant Protection Act (Fish and Game Code §§1900-1913) and the California Desert Native Plant Act of 1981 (Food and Agricultural Code § 80001 et. seq.; Fish & Game Code §§1925-1926). Any vegetation to be salvaged and removed from the site (such as cactus or yucca) would be subject to sale at appraised value, according to CFR 43:5420.0-6. If the cacti or yucca is salvaged and/or transplanted offsite, as approved by BLM, then this resource is not subject to sale but remains in BLM ownership. A total of five cactus species were observed within both the private and public components of the Project. These species included:

- silver cholla (*Cylindropuntia echinocarpa*)
- pencil cholla (*C. ramosissima*)
- barrel cactus (*Ferocactus acanthodes*)
- common fishhook cactus (*Mammillaria tetrancistra*)
- beavertail cactus (*Opuntia basilaris*).

Additionally, ocotillo (*Fouquieria splendens* ssp. *splendens*) and five species of native trees were found within the private and public components of the Project site:

- desert ironwood (*Olneya tesota*)
- blue palo verde (*Parkinsonia florida*)
- honey mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*)
- smoke tree (*Psoralethamnus spinosus*)
- catclaw acacia (*Senegalia greggii*)

### **3 DATA COLLECTION METHODS**

#### **3.1 Special Status Species Definition**

Special status species are those that have been afforded special recognition by federal, state, or local resource agencies or organizations, are often of relatively limited distribution, and typically have unique habitat conditions, which also may be in decline. Special status criteria include:

- Officially listed or candidates for listing by California or the federal government as endangered, threatened, or rare;
- Plants or animals which meet the criteria for listing, even if not currently included on any list, as described in Section 15380 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA);
- BLM, USFWS, or U.S. Forest Service Sensitive Species;
- Plants listed in the CNPS Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California (CNPS 2018);
- Wildlife species identified by CDFW as Species of Special Concern (CNDDDB 2018);
- Plants or animals included in the CDFW lists of Special Plants or Special Animals (CNDDDB 2018);
- Protected under other statutes or regulations (e.g., Migratory Bird Treaty Act, Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, etc.)

All surveys were conducted per DRECP DFA Biological Conservation Management Action (CMA) requirements for each species within the timing recommended. Any modifications are further explained within each individual sensitive species section below.

#### **3.2 Wildlife Surveys**

Full coverage wildlife surveys were conducted during the following periods:

- Fall Surveys October 21 to 26, 2017 (Parcels containing native vegetation, some of which have since been removed from the Project footprint)
- Spring Surveys May 9 to 27, 2018 (disturbed parcels and the gen-tie)
- Fall Surveys October 30-31, 2018 (new gen-tie 1 alignment, new gen-tie 1A, access road, and spur roads 1 and 2)
- Fall Surveys October 14-18, 2019 (gen-tie pull sites)

Wildlife surveys in 2017 through 2019 employed belt transects approximately 10 meters (32.8 feet) apart in order to provide 100 percent (full) coverage within 395.5 acres of native and 478.1 acres of recovering native vegetation within the proposed solar facility. Along the gen-tie

line, spur roads, and access roads, 10-meter belt transects were employed 30 meters on each side of the centerline, resulting in a 60-meter-wide survey corridor. Within the groups of solar facility parcels that contained non-native vegetation (current and fallow agriculture, as well as recovering former agriculture), surveys employed belt transects approximately 20 meters (65.6 feet) apart. A preliminary Project design included parcels and gen-tie routes that are no longer components of the proposed Project, including areas east of parcel group E and west of parcel group F. Initial field surveys covered these areas, and relevant results are included in this BRTR.

Survey crews consisted of experienced wildlife biologists. Surveys were conducted by walking linear transects and visually searching for live individuals or sign of any sensitive species. All holes detected that may be inhabited by sensitive species were carefully inspected for potential occupancy, or sign of recent use as burrows or burrow complexes. Special emphasis was placed on searching around the bases of shrubs and along the banks of shallow washes. Burrows were carefully examined and assigned to the wildlife species that may have inhabited them based on indicator signs within the burrow or near the mouth of the burrow.

During wildlife surveys, biologists recorded all wildlife species observed, regardless of status. Common species were tallied at the end of each transect and recorded throughout each day by each crew. All locational information for special status species observations and sign detected were recorded using a Global Positioning System (GPS) unit and each occurrence was assigned a unique identifier. In addition to recording sign with the GPS unit, standardized paper datasheets were also completed.

### **3.2.1 Desert Tortoise**

Wildlife surveys on the gen-tie routes and on private land parcels with native vegetation conformed to full coverage desert tortoise protocol surveys (USFWS 2010a). Surveys on the disturbed or recovering lands (i.e., current and former agriculture) also conformed to the protocol, except that transects were spaced at 20-meter (65.6 feet) width due to the poor habitat quality.

All tortoise sign [e.g., live tortoises (all age classes), shell/bone/scutes, scats, burrows/pallets, tracks, egg shell fragments, and courtship rings] observed was recorded. The condition of burrows was categorized per the following class designations (USFWS 2009):

1. currently active, with desert tortoise or recent desert tortoise sign;
2. good condition (no evidence of recent use) - definitely desert tortoise;
3. deteriorated condition (including collapsed burrows) - definitely desert tortoise;
4. good condition - possibly desert tortoise; and
5. deteriorated condition (including collapsed burrows) - possibly desert tortoise.



### **3.2.2 Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard**

There is no protocol for surveying Mojave fringe-toed lizards, but during wildlife surveys, special attention was given to the search for live individuals in soft, sandier soils where the potential for the species to occur is high. In areas with a higher density of Mojave fringe-toed lizards observed within close proximity of one another (within 20 meters), groups of lizards were tallied and represented by a single data point on project maps.

### **3.2.3 Couch's Spadefoot Toad**

A reconnaissance level survey for Couch's spadefoot toad was conducted in conjunction with 2018 fall plant reconnaissance surveys searching for areas that may provide suitable habitat for reproduction. Wash areas and drainages within the both the parcel groups and gen-tie were walked with meandering transects. Areas where water may accumulate and retain for at least 2 weeks following heavy rain were recorded as potential Couch's spadefoot toad reproductive habitat.

### **3.2.3 Avian Species**

#### *3.3.3.1 Western Burrowing Owl*

Survey recommendations in both the 1993 California Burrowing Owl Consortium (CBOC) Guidelines and 2012 CDFW Staff Report include baseline data collection and an assessment of site use by burrowing owl. One full-coverage survey was conducted during the breeding season, which is consistent with Phase II of the CBOC 1993 Guidelines and partially consistent with the 2012 CDFW Staff Report. Occupancy of burrowing owl habitat is confirmed at a site when at least one burrowing owl, or its sign at or near a burrow entrance, is observed within the last three years (CDFW 2012; CBOC 1993).

These surveys provided a greater level of coverage than the 30-meter spacing recommended in the 1993 CBOC Guidelines and the 20-meter spacing recommended in the 2012 CDFW Staff Report. All burrows detected during wildlife surveys were assessed for wildlife occupancy, to ensure detection of any special status species, including burrowing owl, that may have occupied a burrow. The 10-20 meter transect spacing also increased the likelihood of flushing live burrowing owls during the survey. All sign of burrowing owl, including individuals, feathers, tracks, white wash, pellets, and suitable burrows were recorded if present.

#### *3.2.3.2 Golden Eagle*

No golden eagles were incidentally observed during wildlife surveys conducted for the Project. Targeted surveys for golden eagles were not performed for the Project due to numerous surveys conducted in the Project vicinity and Chuckwalla Valley within the last ten years. A compilation of survey methodology and results from other projects that have conducted these surveys in the last ten years is provided in the results section of this report.

### 3.2.3.2 Elf Owl and Gila Woodpecker

Wildlife surveys conducted in spring 2018 included presence/absence surveys for elf owl and Gila woodpecker surveys due to potential suitable habitat that may occur within the Project vicinity. Visual and auditory surveys conducted for these two species were focused on the easternmost parcel near the date palm farm where perches, potential nesting trees, and plentiful water from irrigation are present (parcel group G).

Twelve locations were selected for elf owl callback surveys (Figure 7). Approximately 10 minutes were spent at each station at dawn and dusk between May 22-23, 2018. Biologists used smart phones and played elf owl calls from the Sibley Guide bird mobile application (Sibley 2018). Approximately two minutes of calls were played followed by one minute of listening for responses. This procedure was repeated 3-4 times per station and responses were recorded.

### 3.2.4 Special Status Bat Species

Targeted surveys for bats were not conducted and incidental observations of bats or bat roosts were not detected during wildlife surveys. Acoustic bat surveys previously conducted for nearby proposed project, Palen Solar Energy Project, provides supplementary information about bat populations within the project vicinity, further discussed in the section 4.1.8.

### 3.2.5 Other Special Status Wildlife Species

All sign of desert kit fox and American badger was recorded including live or dead individuals, scat, tracks, burrows, and burrow complexes. Activity for each burrow or complex was determined by the freshness of the sign found. If fresh tracks, scratches, or scat were found at a burrow or complex, it was categorized as active. The presence of old scat without tracks would indicate that a burrow or complex was inactive.

## 3.3 Special Status Plants

Focused special status plant surveys were conducted during the following periods:

- April 16-May 27, 2018 – All disturbed parcels
- May 5-9, 2018 - All parcels containing native vegetation, entirety of the gen-tie route, and access roads
- Reconnaissance-level surveys
  - September 9 and October 30 - spot checks for potential plant germination after reported rain within Project vicinity
  - November 19-21, 2018 – pedestrian survey in washes and drainages within parcel groups and gen-tie segments

Survey methodology was consistent with the following guiding documents:

- Guidelines for Conducting and Reporting Botanical Inventories for Federally Listed, Proposed, and Candidate Plants (USFWS 2000)
- Guidelines for Assessing the Effects of Proposed Projects on Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Plants and Natural Communities (CDFG 2009)
- CNPS Botanical Survey Guidelines (CNPS 2001)
- Survey Protocols for Survey and Manage Strategy 2: Vascular Plants (Whiteaker 1998).

Based upon review of the literature, a list of special-status plant species with potential to occur in the vicinity of the proposed project was compiled. Plant taxa were considered to be special-status species if they were classified as one or more of the following:

- Listed, proposed for listing, or candidates for listing as threatened or endangered under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA);
- Listed as threatened or endangered, or candidates for listing under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA);
- Designated by BLM as Sensitive Plants: “all plant species that are currently on List 1B of the CNPS Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California, are BLM sensitive species, along with others that have been designated by the California State Director” (BLM 2009; note that the CNPS Lists are now known as California Rare Plant Ranks, or CRPR);
- Listed as rare under the California Native Plant Protection Act;
- Meet the definition of rare or endangered under CEQA §15380 (b) and (d) (in some cases, these may include CRPR 2, 3, or 4 plant occurrences, which may be regionally significant if the occurrence is located at the periphery of the species' range, or exhibits unusual morphology, or occurs in an unusual habitat/substrate);
- Considered special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, such as the NECO Plan/EIS.

Focused plant surveys performed in spring 2018 included visual coverage across the entire Project site. Surveys employed belt transects approximately 10 meters apart in areas with native vegetation cover, access roads, and the gen-tie routes in order to provide 100 percent coverage in those areas. In areas of former or active agriculture, belt transects were spaced at approximately 20 meters apart. Based on topography and open vegetation structure, the transect spacing was adequate to detect any potential sensitive species, if present, and inventory existing plants.

Only highly-experience botanists conducted plant surveys in areas of native vegetation on the project site (along gen-tie routes, and access roads). Plant surveys conducted in the former or active agricultural portions of the Project were coordinated by an experienced botanist; teams consisted of biologists experienced with plant identification. All surveyors were trained on

diagnostic features and habitat notes of potential sensitive species that may occur (Appendix B). Surveys on the former or active agricultural parcel groups B, C, E, and G were conducted in conjunction with wildlife surveys. A cumulative list of all plant species observed during the surveys is provided in Appendix D.

The value of the 2018 spring plant survey may be limited due to the low winter rainfall during the 2017-2018 season (see Table 3). Regional winter rainfall from the two nearest weather stations showed rainfall averaging at 0.1 inches.


Late season rainfall for fall 2018 plant surveys was also limited. Spot checks occurred after reported rain events within the project vicinity for potential germination and fall blooms, but rain was insufficient to warrant a full focused fall plant survey. In late fall, a reconnaissance-level fall plant survey was conducted within washes, drainages, and areas where water accumulation may occur throughout the private and public components of the site by an experienced botanist to inventory plants occurring in those areas.

In addition to focused spring and fall plant surveys, a GIS desktop search, in high resolution, was conducted to delineate creosote rings that occur within the public components of the Project. This was field verified during fall 2018 reconnaissance plant surveys.


**Table 6. Survey Personnel and Dates**

Personnel	Survey Type	Area Surveyed	Survey Dates
R. Woodard	Habitat assessment	gen-tie	10/21/2017
R. Woodard	Habitat assessment	parcel groups	10/22/2017
R. Woodard, C. Mitchell	Wildlife survey	F	10/23/2017-10/24/2017
R. Woodard, C. Mitchell	Wildlife survey	D	10/25/2017
R. Woodard, C. Mitchell	Wildlife survey	gen-tie	10/26/2017-10/30/2017
K. Hughes, L. Chow	Plant survey	A	4/16/2018-4/20/2018
M. Baker, M. Cloud-Hughes, K. Hughes, C. Rousten	Plant survey	gen-tie	5/7/2018
M. Baker, M. Cloud-Hughes, K. Hughes, C. Rousten	Plant survey	F	5/8/2018-5/9/2018
M. Baker, M. Cloud-Hughes, K. Hughes, C. Rousten	Plant Survey	D	5/9/2018
B. Sandstrom	Wildlife/Plant Survey	C	5/9/2018
B. Sandstrom	Wildlife/Plant Survey	D	5/13/18 & 5/14/18
B. Sandstrom	Wildlife Survey	gen-tie	5/15/2018
R. Woodard, B. Sandstrom, J. Tony	Wildlife/Plant Survey	B	5/16/2018
R. Woodard, J. Tony	Wildlife/Plant Survey	E	5/17, 5/18, 5/19
R. Woodard, J. Tony	Wildlife/Plant Survey	E	5/17/2018

<b>Personnel</b>	<b>Survey Type</b>	<b>Area Surveyed</b>	<b>Survey Dates</b>
R. Woodard, B. Sandstrom, J. Tony	Wildlife Survey	gen-tie	5/20/2018
B. Sandstrom, J. Tony, R. Woodard, M. Rivera	Wildlife/Plant Survey	C	5/21, 5/24, 5/25
B. Sandstrom, J. Tony, R. Woodard, M. Rivera	Wildlife/Plant Survey	G	5/22/2018
B. Sandstrom, J. Tony, R. Woodard, M. Rivera	Wildlife/Plant Survey	G	5/23/2018
B. Sandstrom	Wildlife Survey	Access road, gen-tie	5/23/2018
B. Sandstrom, J. Tony, R. Woodard, M. Rivera, C. Fabry	Wildlife Survey	A	5/26/2018
B. Sandstrom, J. Tony, R. Woodard, M. Rivera, C. Fabry	Wildlife Survey	A	5/26/2018- 5/27/2018
K. Hughes	Fall Bloom Spot Check	Throughout Project site	9/3/2018
R. Woodard, M. Lopez	Wildlife Survey, Fall Bloom Spot Check	gen-tie alignments 1, 1A, access road and spur roads	10/30/2018-10/31/2018
K. Hughes	Reconnaissance Plant Survey/Couch's Spadefoot Habitat	Drainages and washes throughout Project Site	11/19/2018-11/28/2018
M. Baker, L. Chow, E. Thorn, C. Slaughter, J. Yerger	Wildlife/Plant Survey	gen-tie pull sites	10/10/2019-10/19/2019



**Ironwood Consulting**



- ▲ Elf Owl Study Points
- Red Bluff Substation

- ▨ Plant and Wildlife Surveys, 10-meter Transects
- ▨ Plant Surveys 30, Wildlife Surveys 20
- ▨ Gen-Tie Survey, 10-meter Transects
- Pull-site Survey, 10-meter Transects

**FIGURE 6**

**Study Area**

**Athos Solar**

## 4 RESULTS

### 4.1 Special Status Wildlife

Sixty-six special status wildlife species were reviewed for their potential to occur within the Project site and its vicinity using information gathered from regional plans and database records (Appendix A). Several species were determined to have a low probability of occurrence due to the absence of suitable habitat. Special status wildlife species observed within the Project site or with moderate potential to occur based on the presence of suitable habitat are discussed further in this section. A comprehensive list of wildlife species observed during previous surveys is included in Appendix C.

#### 4.1.1 Desert Tortoise: *ST, FT*

##### Background

Desert tortoises (*Gopherus agassizii*) live north and west of the Colorado River in the Mojave Desert of California, Nevada, Arizona, and southwestern Utah, and in the Sonoran (Colorado) Desert in California (USFWS 1990). Desert tortoises inhabit a variety of habitats from flats and slopes dominated by creosote bush – white bursage communities, where a diversity of perennial plants is relatively high, to a variety of habitats in higher elevations. Tortoises are found most often on gentle slopes with sandy-gravel soils. Soils must be appropriately soft for digging burrows, but firm enough so that burrows do not collapse (Anderson et al., 2000). Tortoises typically prefer habitats with abundant annual forbs, grasses and cactus, which constitute its primary food sources. Plant species that have high potential for potassium excretion (high-PEP) may be critical to the diet of desert tortoise (Oftedal 2002; Oftedal et. al 2002).

The Project site is located within the Colorado Desert Recovery Unit for desert tortoises. The highest desert tortoise densities within this recovery unit (Murphy et al. 2007) occur in Chemehuevi and Ward valleys (approximately 60 miles north of the project site), on the Chuckwalla Bench within the Chuckwalla Desert DWMA (closest border is directly south of the Project), and in Joshua Tree National Park (closest border is approximately 2 miles north of the Project).

Desert tortoise habitat on the Project site has low predicted occupancy values (Nussear et al. 2009). These predicted occupancy values do not account for habitat degradation resulting from existing anthropogenic features (Nussear et al. 2009), which would further reduce the occurrence probability in disturbed areas. Predicted desert tortoise occupancy values of 0.3 or above are appropriate for identifying suitable habitat in this low desert region (BLM 2012). Project field survey results are described below. Desert tortoise habitat connectivity is discussed in Section 4.2, Wildlife Movement.

### Private Components

Without considering anthropogenic disturbance, parcel groups A and B and gen-tie 1 have predicted occupancy value of less than 0.3 (Nussear et al. 2009). The remainder of the parcel groups and gen-tie routes range between 0.4 and 0.6. Only parcel groups, D and F, are undisturbed native habitat reflective of the predicted occupancy values (Figure 8).

Surveys detected no live desert tortoises or active tortoise sign within the private components. Within parcel group C, three burrows were detected that were of poor quality and not definitively tortoise. In parcel group F, three tortoise burrows of deteriorated condition were detected.

The agricultural properties (date palm farms) adjacent to the Project's eastern boundary (parcel group G), and the parcel groups near CA-177 (C and B) include a modern irrigation system and ponds. These ponds have likely subsidized wildlife that prey on desert tortoises, including coyotes, feral dogs, and ravens and may have negatively affected the local population of desert tortoises.

Desert tortoise sign observed during wildlife surveys on private components were consistent with the predicted occupancy of the species within the Project vicinity. Desert tortoise occupancy within the Project area is not expected to be high.

### Public Components

Gen-tie routes such as 3 and 4, have undisturbed native vegetation cover, which is reflective of the predicted occupancy values in the Nussear model. The remainder of the gen-tie routes range between 0.4-0.6, with the exception of gen-tie 1A, which ranges 0.0-0.1.


Surveys detected no live desert tortoises. Active desert tortoise sign was detected during the fall 2017 survey west of gen-tie 2B with tracks, scat, and a burrow in good condition. Spring 2018 surveys did not result in detections of any active desert tortoise sign.

Desert tortoise sign observed during wildlife surveys were consistent with the predicted occupancy of the species within the Project vicinity. Desert tortoise occupancy within the Project area is not expected to be high. Survey results for desert tortoise are summarized in Table 7 and Figure 9.




**Table 7. Desert Tortoise Observations**

<b>Project Component</b>	<b>Sign Type</b>	<b>Classification</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Habitat</b>	<b>Date Observed</b>
<b>Private</b>	burrow	class 4	C	recovering Sonoran creosote bush scrub	5/9/2018
	burrow	class 4	C	recovering Sonoran creosote bush scrub	5/9/2018
	burrow	class 4	C	recovering Sonoran creosote bush scrub	5/9/2018
	burrow	class 3	F	Sonoran creosote bush scrub	10/23/2017
	burrow	class 3	F	Sonoran creosote bush scrub	10/24/2017
	burrow	class 3	F	desert dry wash woodland	10/23/2017
<b>Public</b>	tracks, scat	class 1	west of gen-tie 2B	Sonoran creosote bush scrub	10/22/2017
	burrow	class 2	west of gen-tie 2B	Sonoran creosote bush scrub	10/22/2017
	burrow	class 3	west of gen-tie 2B	Sonoran creosote bush scrub	10/22/2017
	burrow	class 4	gen-tie 3	desert dry wash woodland	5/11/2018




Ironwood Consulting




- Solar Facility Boundary
- Gen-Tie Line
- Access Road/Easement
- Red Bluff Substation

Desert Tortoise Predicted Occupancy



**FIGURE 7**  
**Predicted Desert Tortoise Occupancy**

Athos Solar



Note:  
Tortoise habitat model from Nusser, et. al. 2009.

**Ironwood Consulting**

Athos Solar Project  
 Red Bluff Substation  
 Desert Tortoise Burrow, Fall Survey  
 Desert Tortoise Burrow, Spring Survey  
 Tortoise Tracks and Scat


**Tortoise Sign Condition**

- Class 1** - Currently active; with desert tortoise or recent desert tortois sign
- Class 2** - Good condition, definitely desert tortoise, no evidence of recent use
- Class 3** - Deteriorated condition which includes collapsed burrows, definitely desert tortoise
- Class 4** - Good condition; possibly desert tortoise

**Tortoise Habitat Quality**


<span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #0000ff; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> 0	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #ffff00; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> 0.4 - 0.5
<span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #0000ff; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> 0 - 0.1	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #ffa500; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> 0.5 - 0.6
<span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #cccccc; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> 0.1 - 0.2	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #ffa500; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> 0.6 - 0.7
<span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #90ee90; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> 0.2 - 0.3	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #ffa500; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> 0.7 - 0.8
<span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #90ee90; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> 0.3 - 0.4	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #ffa500; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> 0.8 - 0.9

**FIGURE 8**  
**Desert Tortoise Observations**  
**Athos Solar**



Source: Conservation Biology Institute, August 2013 (adapted from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service).


**Ironwood Consulting**



- Aqueduct
- Athos Solar Project
- Red Bluff Substation
- High Priority Habitat
- Linkage
- Tortoise Conservation Area

**FIGURE 9**  
**Desert Tortoise Conservation Areas (TCAs) and Linkages**

Athos Solar



Source: Desert Tortoise TCA Habitat Linkages, Conservation Biology Institute, August 2013 (adapted from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service).  
 Multi-species Linkage from California Energy Commission, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, September 2014. DRAFT Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP) and EIR/EIS.  
 DRECP Plan Area Private Lands, BLM, Aspen, Dudek, CEC, Sep. 2016.  
 DRECP Land Cover/Natural Vegetation, California DFG, 2017.

**Ironwood Consulting**

- I-10 Culvert/Underpass
- Aqueduct
- Athos Solar Project
- Red Bluff Substation
- Land Cover**
- Agriculture
- Developed/Disturbed
- High Priority Habitat,
- High Priority Habitat, Lost or Severely Disturbed Habitat
- Linkage
- Linkage, Lost or Severely Disturbed Habitat
- Tortoise Conservation Area
- Multi-Species Linkage Area

**FIGURE 10**  
**Desert Tortoise**  
**Local Connectivity**  
 Athos Solar

#### 4.1.2 Mojave Fringe-Toed Lizard: SSC, BLMS

The Mojave fringe-toed lizard (*Uma scoparia*) occupies arid, sandy, sparsely vegetated habitats and is associated with creosote scrub throughout much of its range (Jennings and Hayes 1994). It is found within and around aeolian sand habitats in the deserts of Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties in California and La Paz County in Arizona (Hollingsworth and Beaman 1999; Stebbins 1985; Murphy et al. 2006). Within these regions, it occurs at more than 35 sand dune complexes in California and one in Arizona (Jarvis 2009). Nearly all records for this species are associated with present-day and historical drainages and sand dune complexes associated with three major river systems with blow sand: Amargosa River, Mojave River, and Mojave and Colorado Rivers (BLM 2015).

Mojave fringe-toed lizards normally hibernate from November to February, emerging from hibernation sites from March to April. The breeding season is April to July (Mayhew 1965). From May to September, they are active in mornings and late afternoon, but seek cover during the hottest parts of the day. They burrow in the sand for both cover from predators and protection from undesirable temperatures (Stebbins 2003), though they also will seek shelter in rodent burrows.

As this species requires loose, wind-blown sand, its distribution within the survey areas is consistent with the presence of suitable soil conditions. All detections for Mojave fringe-toed lizard were concentrated on the easternmost parcel group G of the Project site, with eight observations where the sand transport system and the DRECP modelling for Mojave fringe-toed lizard overlapped. It is noted that the DRECP habitat model (Figure 12) also includes or surrounds parcel groups A, B, and E in the northwestern part of the Project site, but a combination of former agricultural land use on-site as well as upwind land use conversion off-site has altered sand availability and aeolian sand transport, so these parcel groups no longer provide suitable habitat for Mohave fringe-toed lizard. Results for Mojave fringe-toed lizard observations are summarized in Table 8 and Figure 12.


**Table 8.** Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard Observations

Project Component	# individuals	Location	Vegetation Community	Date Observed
Private	3	G	recovering salt bush scrub	5/22/2018
	1	G	recovering salt bush scrub	5/22/2018
	1	G	recovering salt bush scrub	5/22/2018
	5	G	recovering salt bush scrub	5/22/2018
	4	G	recovering salt bush scrub	5/22/2018
	1	G	recovering salt bush scrub	5/23/2018
	2	G	recovering salt bush scrub	5/23/2018
Public	-	-	-	-

#### **4.1.3 Couch's Spadefoot Toad: SSC, BLMS**


Couch's spadefoot toad (*Scaphiopus couchii*) is often found in shortgrass plains, mesquite savannah, creosote bush desert, thorn forest, and tropical deciduous forest (Mexico) and other areas of low rainfall (Stebbins 2003). It is considered an opportunistic species because it only appears when rainfall forms temporary pools and potholes with water lasting longer than 10-12 days, which are required for breeding, hatching, and metamorphosis. Runoff basins at the base of sand dunes are also sites of reproduction (Mayhew 1965). In California, it is known from the low desert region, especially the Colorado River corridor. It burrows underground or occupies rodent burrows when inactive.

Couch's spadefoot toad was not observed, but suitable breeding habitat may be present within parcel group G of the Project site due to presence of irrigation water which can accumulate to form suitable temporary pools near the active date tree farm. A preliminary reconnaissance survey indicated that there are three areas where water may potentially accumulate for at least two weeks after rainfall on parcel group G that may provide suitable reproductive habitat for the species. The existing pond in Parcel Group G was also noted as well as a ponded area south of parcel group A, within the project vicinity, adjacent to highway 177. Upon inspection, the existing pond and the ponded area did not indicate any tadpole or toad activity. Figure 11 depicts potential Couch's spadefoot habitat.



Source:  
 Mojave fringe-toed lizard species distribution model from Frank Davis and  
 Oliver Soong, Bren School of Environmental Science & Management.  
 University of California, Santa Barbara

**Ironwood**  
 Consulting



 Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard




-  Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard Species Distribution Model
-  Athos Solar Project
-  Red Bluff Substation

**FIGURE 11**

**Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard Observations**

**Athos Solar**





- ▼ Potential Water Accumulation Area
- ☁ Pond
- ▭ Athos Solar Project
- ▭ Red Bluff Substation

**FIGURE 12**  
**Potential Couch's Spadefoot Toad Habitat**

#### **4.1.4 American Badger: SSC**

The American badger is associated with dry open forest, shrub, and grassland communities with an adequate burrowing rodent population and friable soils. Badgers generally are associated with treeless regions, prairies, parklands, and cold desert areas (Zeiner et al. 1990). Badgers inhabit burrows and often prey on small mammals that inhabit burrows, as evidenced by claw marks along the edges of burrows. Suitable habitat exists for American badgers on the Project. One active burrow and two digs with claw marks or tracks were observed in parcel groups A and C. One carcass was also observed, within parcel group D – evidence of a struggle was detected near the carcass, indicating its potential cause of death.



#### **4.1.5 Desert Kit Fox: CPF**

Desert kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis arsipus*) is protected by the California Code of Regulations (Title 14, CCR: §460) and Fish and Game Commission Section 4000 as a fur-bearing mammal. Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, Section 460, stipulates that desert kit fox may not be taken at any time. Desert kit fox is a fossorial mammal that occurs in arid open areas, shrub grassland, and desert ecosystems within the Mojave Desert. Desert kit fox typically occurs in association with its prey base, which includes small rodents, primarily kangaroo rats, rabbits, lizards, insects, and in some cases, immature desert tortoises (Zeiner et al. 1990). Burrow complexes that have multiple entrances provide shelter, escape, cover, and reproduction, but desert kit fox may utilize single burrows for temporary shelter. Litters of one to seven young are typically born in February through April (McGrew 1979).

Desert kit fox burrows, burrow complexes, and scat were observed in parcel groups A, B, C, D, E, and F of the Project site. A total of twenty-six burrows and seven complexes were detected. Of these detections, sixteen burrows and five complexes were considered active. These numbers may change over time since kit fox distribution is dynamic and change under natural conditions due to prey availability and other environmental factors such as the presence of coyotes that are known to prey on kit fox pups. At parcel group G, the date palm farm may subsidize the local coyote population allowing it to flourish more than under natural conditions. The high numbers of coyotes could dissuade desert kit fox from using this area.

A summary of kit fox, coyote and badger observations can be found in Table 9 and Figure 13.

- Fall 2017**
- American Badger, dig
  - American Badger, tracks, fresh dig with claw marks
  - Kit Fox, active burrow
- Spring 2018**
- American Badger, active burrow
  - American Badger, carcass
  - Canid, inactive burrow
  - Coyote, active burrow
  - Coyote, inactive burrow
  - Kit Fox, active burrow
  - Kit Fox, inactive burrow
  - Kit Fox, inactive burrow, scat
  - Kit Fox, active complex
  - Kit Fox, inactive complex
- Fall 2018**
- American Badger, inactive dig
- Spring 2019**
- American Badger - active burrow
  - Kit Fox - active burrow
  - Kit Fox - inactive burrow
- Fall 2019**
- Kit Fox - inactive burrow



Ironwood Consulting

- Athos Solar Project
- Red Bluff Substation




FIGURE 13

Kit Fox, Badger, and Coyote Observations

**Table 9.** Summary of Kit Fox, Badger, and Coyote Observations

Project Components	Species	Location	SIGN TYPE				Vegetation Community	Date
			Hole Type	Scat	Tracks	Activity		
Private	Kit Fox	A	Burrow	-	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/26/2018
	Kit Fox	A	Burrow	-	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/26/2018
	Kit Fox	A	Burrow	X	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/26/2018
	Kit Fox	A	Burrow	-	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/26/2018
	Kit Fox	A	Burrow	-	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/27/2018
	Kit Fox	A	Burrow	X	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/27/2018
	Kit Fox	A	Burrow	-	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/27/2018
	Kit Fox	A	Burrow	X	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/27/2018
	Kit Fox	A	Burrow	-	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/27/2018
	Kit Fox	A	Burrow	-	-	inactive	fallow agriculture	5/26/2018
	Kit Fox	south of A	Burrow	-	-	inactive	fallow agriculture	5/23/2018
	Kit Fox	C	Burrow	-	-	active	fallow agriculture	5/19/2018
	Kit Fox	C	Burrow	-	-	active	fallow agriculture	5/24/2018
	Kit Fox	C	Burrow	X	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/25/2018
	Kit Fox	C	Burrow Complex	X	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/24/2018
	Kit Fox	C	Burrow Complex	X	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/24/2018
	Kit Fox	C	Burrow complex	X	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/25/2018
	Kit Fox	C	Burrow	X	-	inactive	fallow agriculture	5/25/2018
	Kit Fox	C	Burrow	-	-	inactive	fallow agriculture	5/25/2018
	Kit Fox	D	Burrow	X	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/14/2018

Project Components	Species	Location	SIGN TYPE				Vegetation Community	Date
			Hole Type	Scat	Tracks	Activity		
	Kit Fox	D	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/14/2018
	Kit Fox	D	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/14/2018
	Kit Fox	E	Burrow	-	-	active	fallow agriculture	5/17/2018
	Kit Fox	E	Burrow	-	-	active	fallow agriculture	5/19/2018
	Kit Fox	E	Burrow Complex	-	-	active	fallow agriculture	5/17/2018
	Kit Fox	E	Burrow Complex	-	-	inactive	fallow agriculture	5/17/2018
	Kit Fox	E	Burrow	-	-	inactive	fallow agriculture	5/19/2018
	Kit Fox	F	Burrow	X	X	active	creosote bush scrub	10/25/2017
	Kit Fox	F	Burrow complex	X	X	active	creosote bush scrub	10/25/2017
	Kit Fox	F	Dig	X	X	inactive	creosote bush scrub	10/24/2017
	Badger	A	Burrow	-	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/27/2018
	Badger	C	Carcass	-	-	sign of fight	recovering creosote bush scrub	5/25/2018
	Badger	D	Dig	-	X, fresh, claw marks	active	creosote bush scrub	10/27/2017
	Badger	F	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	10/24/2017
	Badger	gen-tie 1	Dig	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	10/30/2017
	Coyote	A	Burrow	-	X	active	fallow agriculture	5/26/2018
	Coyote	D	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/21/2018
	Coyote	D	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/13/2018
	Coyote	D	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/14/2018
	Coyote	D	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/14/2018

Project Components	Species	Location	SIGN TYPE				Vegetation Community	Date
			Hole Type	Scat	Tracks	Activity		
	Coyote	D	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/14/2018
	Coyote	G	Burrow	-	X	active	recovering salt bush scrub	5/22/2018
	Canid	E	Burrow	-	-	inactive	recovering creosote bush scrub	5/17/2018
	Canid	E	Burrow	-	-	inactive	recovering creosote bush scrub	5/17/2018
Public	Badger	gen-tie 3	Dig	-	-	inactive	desert dry wash woodland	10/31/2018
	Kit Fox	gen-tie 1	Burrow	X	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/9/2018
	Kit Fox	gen-tie 1	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/15/2018
	Kit Fox	gen-tie 1	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	5/15/2018
	Kit Fox	gen-tie 2A	Burrow	-	-	active	creosote bush scrub	5/15/2018
	Kit Fox	gen-tie 3 pull site	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	10/10/2019
	Kit Fox	gen-tie 3 pull site	Burrow	-	-	inactive	creosote bush scrub	10/10/2019

#### **4.1.6 Desert Bighorn Sheep *BLMS***

The desert bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis nelsoni*) is found from the Peninsular and Transverse Ranges through most of the desert mountain ranges of California, Nevada, and northern Arizona to Utah. The Project site is well outside the range of the listed threatened Peninsular bighorn sheep, which was formerly recognized as a subspecies and now considered a distinct vertebrate population segment of the desert bighorn sheep. Essential habitat for bighorn sheep includes steep, rocky slopes of desert mountains, and areas where surface water is available during dry seasons. In the spring, when annual plants are available, bighorn sheep tend to disperse downhill to bajadas and alluvial fans to forage.

Habitat in the desert mountain ranges surrounding the upper Chuckwalla Valley is occupied by Nelson's bighorn sheep, and they occasionally use the valley floor habitat either for foraging (near the lower mountain slopes) or as movement routes among mountain ranges. Due to the project's location on the valley floor near sites with comparable land uses and human activity patterns, the project is not likely to affect bighorn sheep behavior or habitat use to any large extent. No sign or evidence of desert bighorn sheep was found during field surveys but scat is often difficult to distinguish from burro deer. Potential for occurrence is low.

#### **4.1.7 Burro Deer: *CPGS***


Burro deer (*Odocoileus hemionus eremicus*) is a subspecies of mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) that inhabits desert dry wash woodland communities in the Colorado region of the Sonoran Desert near the Colorado River. Some burro deer are year-around residents along the Colorado River, while others are transient and move between mesic and arid desert areas in response to seasonal water and forage availability. During hot summers burro deer concentrate along the Colorado River or the Coachella Canal where water developments have been installed and where microphyll woodland is dense and provides good forage and cover. With late summer thundershowers and cooler temperatures, burro deer move away from the Colorado River and Coachella Canal into larger washes or wash complexes in the foothills and nearby mountains (BLM CDD 2002).

Burro deer scat and tracks were observed at the southern end of gen-tie 4, scat on gen-tie 3, and a group of four live individuals were observed southwest of parcel group G (see Table 10 and Figure 14). The observations of burro deer are all within close proximity to the active date farm where irrigation water is regularly available.


**Table 10.** Summary of Burro Deer Observations

Project Component	Location	SIGN TYPE			Vegetation Community	Date Observed
		scat	tracks	live individual		
Private	date farm adjacent to G	-	-	X (4)	active agriculture	10/26/2017
Public	gen-tie 3	X	-	-	desert dry wash woodland	10/31/2018
	gen-tie 3	X	-	-	creosote bush scrub	10/31/2018
	gen-tie 4	X	X	-	desert dry wash woodland	10/26/2017
	gen-tie 4	X	X	-	desert dry wash woodland	10/26/2017





Ironwood Consulting



- I-10 Culvert/Underpass
  - Multi-Species Linkage Area
  - Athos Solar Project
  - Red Bluff Substation
- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p><b>Fall 2017</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><span style="color: blue;">▲</span> Burro Deer, live individual</li> <li><span style="color: blue;">●</span> Burro Deer, scat and tracks</li> </ul> | <p><b>Fall 2018</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><span style="color: yellow;">●</span> Burro Deer, scat</li> </ul> |
|--|--|

FIGURE 14

Burro Deer Observations

Athos Solar

#### 4.1.8 Special Status Bats

Bat roosts occur in the vicinity of the Project site in the McCoy Mountains, Eagles Nest Mine within the Little Maria Mountains, and Paymaster Mine within the Pinto Mountains (Larry LaPre, BLM, pers. comm.; CEC 2010). No active bat roosts were documented on the Project site during any of the surveys to date. It is not expected that any special status bat species would have a substantial roost on the Project site since habitat features most associated with these species (e.g. rock ledges, cliffs, large tree hollows, mine shafts) do not occur on the Project. However, roosting opportunities for bat species, such as the common canyon bat and California myotis, are available in tree cavities, soil crevices and rock outcroppings within dry desert wash woodland habitat and the active date farm. Additionally, suitable foraging habitat for common and special status bats is found on the Project site, particularly within the desert dry wash woodland (parcel groups D and F) and near the date tree farm (parcel group G) where water is available year-round.

Seven special status bat species may forage on or near the Project site; they are discussed further below. Suitable, but limited, roosting habitat may occur for several of these species within the dry wash woodland habitat, abandoned buildings, and the date tree farm on the Project site. Other special status bat species known from the region typically inhabit rocky sites and would not be expected to use the Project site for roosting.

##### Townsend's Big-Eared Bat: SSC, BLMS

Townsend's big-eared bat (*Corynorhinus townsendii*) roosts in caves, mines, abandoned dwellings, and large basal hollows of large trees (e.g., redwoods). Townsend's big-eared bat occurs from sea level to approximately 9,000 feet elevation within a range of habitats. It typically forages along streams and within woodlands. The Project site may provide roosting areas for Townsend's big eared bat at the abandoned structures in the developed and agricultural areas (parcel groups A, B, C, and G) and within desert dry wash woodland (parcel groups D and F and gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4), although it may be at a lower probability. The Project site may also provide foraging habitat in the areas of desert dry wash woodland (parcel groups D, F and gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4) and artificial water sources by the date tree farms (parcel group G).

##### California Leaf-Nosed Bat: SSC, BLMS

California leaf-nosed bat (*Macrotus californicus*) occurs in the deserts of California, southern Nevada, Arizona and south to northwestern Mexico. In California, it is known from eastern San Bernardino, Riverside, and San Diego counties and all of Imperial County (CEC 2012). California leaf-nosed bat relies on caves and mines for roosting habitat. Foraging habitat typically consists of riparian and desert wash habitats such as those in parcel groups D and F and gen-tie 1, 1A,

2A, 2B, 3, and 4. California leaf-nosed bat may forage within the Project site but it is not expected to roost due to absence of suitable caves and mines.

Pallid Bat: SSC/BLMS

The pallid bat (*Antrozous pallidus*) is a locally common species throughout California, and a year-round resident in most of the range. It occupies a wide variety of habitats at elevations less than 6,000 feet including grasslands, shrublands, woodlands, and forests, and is most common in open, dry habitats with rocky areas for roosting; pallid bat roosts in cliffs, caves, crevices, mines, hollow trees, and various human-made structures (Zeiner 1990). The Project site may provide suitable foraging habitat for pallid bat within the dry wash woodland (parcel groups D and F and gen-tie 1, 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4), date tree farms (parcel group G). Roosting habitat includes those areas as well as abandoned structures in the developed areas of the Project site (parcel groups A, B, C and G). Acoustic bat surveys for Palen Solar Power Project detected pallid bat within the Project vicinity.

Western Mastiff Bat: SSC, BLMS

The western mastiff bat (*Eumops perotis californicus*) is widespread throughout the southwest U.S. and into Mexico. Its distribution in California is widespread, with year-round occurrence data primarily in central and southern California (Zeiner 1990). The western mastiff bat is found in a range of habitats, including coastal, forests, woodland, and desert scrub areas where roosting sites are available (Pierson and Rainey 1998). Roosting habitat typically consists of rocky crevices in canyons and cliffs with vertical or nearly vertical walls. The majority of roost sites are at least two meters above the ground (e.g., on cliff faces) and lacking obstructions. Suitable habitat for foraging occurs on the Project site within parcel groups C, E, D, and F, as well as gen-tie 1, 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4 but roosting habitat is lacking. Western mastiff bat was detected within the vicinity on acoustic bat surveys for Palen Solar Power Project.

Western Yellow Bat: SSC

The western yellow bat (*Lasiurus xanthinus*) is a CDFW Species of Special Concern. It is found in Arizona, New Mexico, Mexico, and year-round in California. It is found in arid regions, in riparian, desert riparian, desert wash and palm oasis habitat. The western yellow bat is insectivorous, and roosts and feeds in palm oases and riparian habitats (Zeiner 1990). Potential roosting habitat exists within the Project site at parcel groups D and F as well as gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4; date tree farms mimic palm oases due to the artificial water sources. Suitable habitat for foraging also occurs on the Project site in the same areas for the western yellow bat. Western yellow bat was detected within the vicinity during acoustic bat surveys for the Palen Solar Power Project.

#### Big Free-Tailed Bat: SSC

The big free-tailed bat (*Nyctinomops macrotis*) is distributed in the southwest U.S., and northern South America, generally from sea level to 8,000 feet in elevation. It is rare in California, prefers rocky terrain, and roosts in tree cavities and man-made structures. It is known to wander in autumn, out of its normal range (Zeiner 1990). Potential roosting and foraging habitat exist for the big free-tailed bats within the abandoned structures (parcel group A, B, C, G), dry wash woodland (parcel groups D and F as well as gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4, and date tree farm (parcel group G) on the Project site. Big free-tailed bat was detected within the Project vicinity through acoustic surveys conducted for Palen Solar Energy Project.

#### Pocketed Free-Tailed Bat: SSC

The pocketed free-tailed bat (*Nyctinomops femorosaccus*) is common in Mexico but less common in western North America, from southern California, central Arizona, southern New Mexico, and western Texas (WBWG 2018). The pocketed free-tailed bat has been documented in Riverside, San Diego, and Imperial counties. Typical habitats include pinyon-juniper woodlands, desert scrub, desert succulent shrub, desert riparian, desert wash, alkali desert scrub, Joshua tree, and palm oasis and roosting habitat typically includes rock crevices associated with granite boulders, cliffs, or rocky canyons at a height suitable for approach and takeoff (CNDDDB 2018). Pocketed free-tailed bats are known to occur in the desert from March through August, when they then migrate out of the area (BLM 2011). Suitable habitat for foraging exists on the Project site on parcel groups D, F, and G, as well as gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4, but roosting habitat is lacking. Call sequences that may have been pocketed free-tailed bat were detected within the Project vicinity during acoustic surveys for Palen Solar Energy Project, but lacked features for definitive confirmation.

#### **4.1.9 Western Burrowing Owl: SSC, BCC, BLMS**

The Western burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia hypugaea*) inhabits arid lands throughout much of the western United States and southern interior of western Canada (Haug et al. 1993). Suitable habitat for western burrowing owl includes open habitat with available burrowing opportunities, including agricultural fields (active and fallow), creosote scrub, desert saltbush, ephemeral washes, and ruderal areas. Burrowing owls depend on other species to dig suitable burrows for use. If those species do not return to an area to dig new burrows or repair collapsed burrows, then burrowing owls would not be able to use those collapsed burrows.

Burrowing owls are unique among the North American owls in that they nest and roost in abandoned burrows, especially those created by ground squirrels, kit fox, desert tortoise, and other wildlife. Burrowing owls have a strong affinity for previously occupied nesting and

wintering sites and will often return to previously used burrows, particularly if they had successful reproduction in previous years (Gervais et al. 2008). The southern California breeding season (defined as the time from pair bonding of adults to fledging of the offspring) generally occurs from February to August, with peak breeding activity from April through July (Haug et al. 1993).

In the Colorado Desert, burrowing owls generally occur at low densities in scattered populations, but they can be found in much higher densities near agricultural lands where rodent and insect prey tend to be more abundant (Gervais et al. 2008). Burrowing owls tend to be opportunistic feeders, and a large portion of their diet consists of beetles, grasshoppers, and other larger arthropods. The consumption of insects increases during the breeding season (Haug et al. 1993). Small mammals, especially mice and voles (*Microtus* and *Peromyscus* spp.) are important food items, and other prey animals include herpetofauna, young cottontail rabbits, bats, and birds such as sparrows and horned larks.

Burrowing owls and their sign were observed at several locations within the Project site. A total of seventeen burrows were observed with burrowing owl sign consisting of white wash, feathers, or pellets. Four live individuals were observed at burrows during the spring 2018 surveys and one live individual was observed at a burrow during the fall 2017 surveys. All live individuals were observed in the southern portion of the Project site with all 2018 observations concentrated on the eastern portion of the Project site on parcel group G (see Figure 15 for locations). Burrowing owls may have been more prevalent in the eastern portion of the site due to the increased prey availability from artificial water sources. No burrowing owl sign was found on the public components of the gen-tie. Table 11 summarizes all the burrowing owl observations from wildlife surveys and Figure 16 summarizes all sensitive avian observations.

**Table 11. Summary of Burrowing Owl Observations**

Project Component	Location	SIGN TYPES					Vegetation Community	Date Observed
		burrow	whitewash	pellets	feather	live individual		
Private	A	X	X	X	-	-	fallow agriculture	5/26/2018
	A	X	X	X	-	-	fallow agriculture	5/27/2018
	A	X	X	-	-	-	fallow agriculture	5/27/2018
	A	X	X	-	-	-	fallow agriculture	5/27/2018
	B	X	X	-	-	-	fallow agriculture	5/16/2018
	B	X	X	X	-	-	fallow agriculture	5/16/2018
	C	X	X	-	-	-	fallow agriculture	5/25/2018
	D	X	X	X	-	-	desert dry wash woodland	10/27/2017
	E	X	X	X	-	-	fallow agriculture	5/19/2018
	F	X	X	X	-	X	creosote bush scrub	10/24/2017
	G	X	X	X	-	-	fallow agriculture	5/22/2018
	G	X	X	X	X	X	fallow agriculture	5/23/2018
	G	X	X	X	-	X	fallow agriculture	5/23/2018
	G	X	X	X	X	X	fallow agriculture	5/23/2018
	G	X	X	X	-	X	fallow agriculture	5/23/2018
G	X	X	X	-	-	fallow agriculture	5/23/2018	
G	X	X	X	-	-	recovering salt bush scrub	5/23/2018	
Public	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

#### **4.1.10 Golden Eagle: CFP, WL, BCC, BLMS**

##### Background

Golden eagles are typically year-round residents throughout most of their western United States range. They breed from late January through August with peak activity March through July (Kochert et al. 2002). Habitat for golden eagles typically includes rolling foothills, mountain areas, and deserts. Golden eagles need open terrain for hunting and prefer grasslands, deserts, savanna, and early successional stages of forest and shrub habitats. Golden eagles primarily prey on rabbits and rodents but will also take other mammals, birds, reptiles, and some carrion (Kochert et al. 2002). They generally nest in rugged, open habitats with canyons and escarpments, often with overhanging ledges and cliffs or large trees used as cover.

Recent data analysis and population modeling suggest the status of the golden eagle population in the western United States is gradually declining towards an equilibrium of about 26,000 individuals, down from an estimated 34,000 in 2009 and 2014 (USFWS 2016). The future population estimate relies on the continuation of current ecological and biological conditions. It was estimated that 3,400 golden eagles die annually from anthropogenic causes in the United States (USFWS 2016) and suggest a level of sustainable take is approximately 2,000 individuals annually. Additional unmitigated mortality will steepen the rate of decline that the golden eagle population is presently undergoing (USFWS 2016).

##### Regional Surveys

Golden eagle surveys have been conducted on a multitude of projects within 10 miles of the Project vicinity. Methods and results for regional golden eagle surveys between the years of 2010-2015 are summarized in Table 12 below.


No live golden eagles were observed within 4 miles of the Project during any of these surveys or during the 2017-2018 wildlife surveys for the Project site. The highest concentration of surveys repeated between 2010-2015 occurred within Project area as shown in Figure 15.

**Table 12. Summary of Regional Golden Eagle Surveys**


Year	Other	Regional Nest Survey	Joshua Tree NP	BLM Raptor-Raven Nest Survey	Desert Sunlight Solar Project	Desert Harvest Solar Project	Genesis Solar Project	Palen Solar Project
2010		Aerial Survey (Wildlife Research Institute)*			*		*	*
		1 active nest in Coxcomb Mtns, 1 active territory in Eagle Mtns						
2011	Aerial Eagle (not nesting) transect survey (West)	Aerial and Ground (BioResource Consultant)	Aerial Survey (Wildlife Research Institute)*			Ground Survey (Bloom Biological Inc.)		
	No eagles in this area	No active eagles in this area	2011 season - 4 territories active (Eagle Mountains - West Central, Eagle Mountains – West Northwest, Hexie Mountains - Central, Little San Bernardino - East), the 2 Eagle Mountain territories were the only productive territories and produced a total of at least 3 young.			No active nests. 1 GOEA sighting		



Year	Other	Regional Nest Survey	Joshua Tree NP	BLM Raptor-Raven Nest Survey	Desert Sunlight Solar Project	Desert Harvest Solar Project	Genesis Solar Project	Palen Solar Project
2012	Aerial Eagle (not nesting) transect survey (West) / Tracking Eagles (Duerr et al)				Ground Survey (Ironwood)			
	No eagles in this area/None tracked in this area				No active nests; 7 GOEA sightings - 6 in Eagle Mtns, 1 in Coxcomb			
2013	Tracking eagles (Duerr et al)			Ground Survey (Corvus Ecological)	Ground Survey (Corvus Ecological)			Air and Ground Survey and Camera traps (Bloom Biological Inc.)
	None tracked in this area			No GOEA nests or sightings	No active nests, 4 GOEA sightings			1 sub-adult at bait station during all 5 weeks; 3rd year flying along cliffs
2014				Ground Survey (Boarman)				Air and Ground Survey (West)
				No GOEA nests or sightings				No eagles observed
2015				Ground Survey (Corvus Ecological)				Ground Survey (West)
				No GOEA nests or sightings				No eagles observed



Ironwood Consulting



**Potential Eagle Nests  
Season Last Surveyed**

- ▲ 2009-2010
- ▲ 2011-2012
- ▲ 2013-2014
- ▲ 2014-2015

- ⬡ Cliff Nests Monitored During BLM Raven Surveys
- ⊗ GOEA Sighting (Date of Sighting)
- Athos Solar Project
- Joshua Tree NP

Athos Solar Project 4-Mile Buffer


**Number of Surveys Conducted**



**FIGURE 15  
Regional Golden Eagle  
Survey Results  
2010-2015**

**Athos Solar**

Adapted from CORVUS, 2018.



**Ironwood Consulting**

- Athos Solar Project
- Red Bluff Substation



**FIGURE 16**  
**Sensitive and Noteworthy Avian Observations**  
**Athos Solar**

**Table 13.** Sensitive and Noteworthy Avian Observations

Project Component	Location	Species	Sign Type	Vegetation Community	Date Observed
Private	A	raven stick nest	stick nest	fallow agriculture	5/26/2018
	A	raven stick nest	stick nest	fallow agriculture	5/26/2018
	C	great horned owl	active nest	fallow agriculture	5/21/2018
	D	loggerhead shrike	live, perching	desert dry wash woodland	10/27/2017
	east of E	loggerhead shrike	live, perching	creosote bush scrub	10/27/2017
	west of E	redtail hawk	active nest	creosote bush scrub	5/14/2018
	G	prairie falcon	live, in flight	recovering salt bush scrub	5/22/2018
	G	Swainson's hawk	live, in flight	active agriculture	5/23/2018
Public	gen-tie 3	Swainson's hawk	live, in flight	creosote bush scrub	5/20/2018
	gen-tie 3 pull site	prairie falcon	live, in flight	creosote bush scrub	10/10/2019

**4.1.11 Loggerhead Shrike: SSC (nesting), BCC**

Loggerhead shrikes (*Lanius ludovicianus*) are small predatory birds that are uncommon residents throughout most of the southern portion of their range, including southern California. In southern California, they are generally much more common in interior desert regions than along the coast (Humple 2008). They can be found within lowland, open habitat types, including creosote scrub and other desert habitats, sage scrub, non-native grasslands, chaparral, riparian, croplands, and areas characterized by open scattered trees and shrubs. Loss of habitat to agriculture, development, and invasive species is a major threat; this species has shown a significant decline in the Sonoran Desert (Humple 2008). Loggerhead shrikes initiate their breeding season in February and may continue with raising a second brood as late as July; they often re-nest if their first nest fails or to raise a second brood (Yosef 1996). In general, loggerhead shrikes prey upon large insects, small birds, amphibians, reptiles, and small rodents over open ground within areas of short vegetation, usually impaling prey on thorns, wire barbs, or sharp twigs to cache for later feeding (Yosef 1996). Suitable habitat for loggerhead shrike is found throughout the Project site. One individual was observed on a parcel with native vegetation on the proposed solar facility site (parcel group D) and another was observed west of parcel group E.

#### **4.1.12 Le Conte's Thrasher: SSC**

In California, Le Conte's thrasher (*Toxostoma lecontei*) is a resident in the San Joaquin Valley and the Mojave and Colorado Deserts (Weigand and Fitton 2008). This pale gray bird occurs in desert flats, washes and alluvial fans with sandy and/or alkaline soil and scattered shrubs. Preferred nest substrate includes thorny shrubs and small desert trees and nesting rarely occurs in monotypic creosote scrub habitat or Sonoran Desert woodlands (Prescott 2005). Breeding activity occurs from January to early June, with a peak from mid-March to mid-April. Le Conte's thrashers forage for food by digging and probing in the soil. They eat arthropods, small lizards and snakes, and seeds and fruit; the bulk of their diet consists of beetles, caterpillars, scorpions, and spiders. Suitable habitat for Le Conte's thrasher is located in the Project site, primarily within desert dry wash woodland (parcel groups D and F, as well as gen-tie segments 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4) and the Sonoran creosote bush scrub (parcel groups C, D, E, and F, as well as gen-tie segments 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4).

#### **4.1.13 California Horned Lark: WL**

The California horned lark (*Eremophila alpestris actia*) is found throughout California except the north coast and is less common in mountainous areas. It prefers open areas that are barren or with short vegetation including deserts, brushy flats, and agricultural areas, and includes creosote scrub. Eggs are laid March to early June, and it frequently lays a second clutch (Zeiner 1990). There are numerous records in western Riverside County (CNDDDB 2018). The Project site contains suitable habitat throughout the Project. It was observed frequently on the Project site, including the gen-tie routes, during the wildlife surveys.

#### **4.1.14 Prairie Falcon: WL, BCC**

The prairie falcon (*Falco mexicanus*) is currently on the CDFW watch list, and a USFWS Bird of Conservation Concern. It inhabits dry environments in the North American west from southern Canada to central Mexico. It is found in open habitat at all elevations up to 3,350 m, but is associated primarily with perennial grasslands, savannahs, rangeland, some agricultural fields, and desert scrub areas. Prairie falcons require cliffs or bluffs for nesting though will sometimes nest in trees, on power line structures, on buildings, or inside caves or stone quarries. Ground squirrels and horned larks are the primary food source, but prairie falcons will also prey on lizards, other small birds, and small rodents (Zeiner 1990).

A prairie falcon was observed in flight at the eastern portion of the Project site (Figure 15). The entire Project site contains suitable foraging habitat for this species, particularly near active agriculture where artificial water draws in more potential prey. The Project site does not contain suitable nesting habitat, although mountains located over 3 miles away may provide nesting habitat.

#### **4.1.15 Gila Woodpecker: CE, BLMS, BCC**

Gila woodpecker is predominantly a permanent resident across its range in areas of southeast California, southern Nevada, central Arizona, extreme southwest New Mexico, and parts of Mexico. The Gila woodpecker is an uncommon to fairly common resident in Southern California along the Colorado River, and locally near Brawley, Imperial County (Garrett and Dunn 1981). Suitable habitats include riparian woodlands, uplands with concentrations of large columnar cacti, old-growth xeric-riparian wash woodlands, and urban or suburban residential areas (Rosenberg et al. 1987; Edwards and Schnell 2000). Gila woodpeckers prefer large patches of woody riparian vegetation for nesting (greater than 49 acres), but they have also been documented in various habitat types, such as desert washes (McCreedy 2008) and residential areas (Mills et al. 1989). They excavate cavity nests in large riparian trees such as cottonwoods. In California, their primary habitat is cottonwood-willow riparian woodland. Where Gila woodpeckers occur in dry desert wash woodlands, they excavate cavity nests in large blue palo verdes (McCreedy 2008). They also may nest in ornamental trees including palms. Availability of suitable nesting trees is a limiting factor in breeding habitat suitability (Grinnell and Miller 1944). Potentially suitable habitat within the Project site is found in desert washes (in palo verde trees large enough for cavity nests) but they would be expected to more readily use palm trees in parcel group G than palo verde or ironwood trees. The probability of this species nesting on the Project site is low to moderate because the site supports only sparse riparian woodland habitat, but the existing date palms on the former agricultural land may be attractive as nesting sites. Where Gila woodpeckers occur, they generally are loud and conspicuous, and readily located by field biologists. No Gila woodpeckers were observed within the Project site during surveys, but a nesting pair feeding young was incidentally observed in a palm tree at the Corn Springs Campground seven miles from the Project, during the spring 2018 survey period.

#### **4.1.16 Black-tailed Gnatcatcher: WL**

Black-tailed gnatcatchers (*Polioptila melanura*) are permanent residents from southeastern California and Arizona to southern Texas and northern Mexico. They are found in arid scrublands, desert brush, and dry washes amongst creosote bush, ocotillo, mesquite, paloverdes, and cactus. They live in pairs all year-round, defend their territory, and forage for small insects amongst low shrubs and trees. The Project site contains suitable foraging and potential nesting habitat for this species in the components with native vegetation such as parcel groups C, D, E, and F as well as gen-tie segments 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4. One individual was observed during the fall 2017 survey within parcel group F.

#### **4.1.17 Sonora Yellow Warbler: SSC, BCC**

The Sonora yellow warbler (*Setophaga petechia sonorana*) occurs principally as a migrant and summer resident from late March through early October, and breeds from April to late July (Dunn and Garrett 1997). The Sonora yellow warbler breeds only along the lower Colorado

River in California, and from southern Arizona and southwest New Mexico to north-central Mexico and possibly the Colorado River Delta. It arrives to breed on the lower Colorado River in early April and nests mainly from mid-May through July (Rosenberg et al. 1991). It generally occupies riparian shrubs and trees close to water. Its diet includes ants, bees, wasps, caterpillars, beetles, true bugs, flies, and spiders (Beal 1907, Shuford 2008). The Project site contains suitable foraging habitat (during migration) in the dry wash woodland (parcel groups D, and F as well as gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4) but no suitable nesting habitat is present onsite.

#### **4.1.18 Short Eared Owl: SSC**

The short-eared owl (*Asio flammeus*) is a widespread winter migrant in central and western California, and generally present from September through April. It is an uncommon winter migrant in southern California. Habitat requirements include grasslands, prairies, dunes, meadows, irrigated lands, and wetlands. Short-eared owls generally require dense vegetation for roosting and nesting (Shuford 2008). The active and fallow agricultural areas that contain palm groves are not dense enough for short-eared owl due to the sparse growth of the palm leaves. The Project site does not provide suitable nesting habitat, although short-eared owls may be found on the site incidentally during migration or foraging in irrigated areas such as parcel group G or gen-tie 3 near the active date farm.

#### **4.1.19 Ferruginous Hawk: WL, BCC**

The ferruginous hawk (*Buteo regalis*) is an uncommon winter resident and migrant at lower elevations and open grasslands in the Central Valley and Coast Ranges, and a fairly common winter resident of grasslands and agricultural areas in southwestern California (Garrett and Dunn 1981). There are no breeding records from California. This species frequents open grasslands, sagebrush flats, and desert scrub. Prey items include lagomorphs, small mammals, reptiles and amphibians (Zeiner 1990). The project site provides potential wintering, migration, and foraging habitat throughout the native vegetation areas in parcel groups D, and F as well as gen-tie segments 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4. The site is outside the Ferruginous hawk's breeding range and is not expected in the area during nesting season.

#### **4.1.20 Swainson's Hawk: ST, BBC**

Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*) breeds in open habitats throughout much of the western United States and Canada, and in northern Mexico. In California, breeding populations of Swainson's hawks occur in desert, shrub and grasslands, and agricultural habitats with tree rows; however, most of the state's breeding sites are in the Great Basin and Central Valley (Woodbridge 1998). The only desert breeding occurrences are in the Antelope Valley, well northwest of the Project site. These birds favor open habitats for foraging, and are near-exclusive insectivores as adults, but may also forage on small mammals and reptiles. The project site provides potential migration habitat but is well outside the nesting range. An

immature Swainson's hawk was incidentally observed flying over the project site on two occasions during the spring 2018 surveys (parcel group G and gen-tie 3) and was likely a migrant since the nearest nesting area for Swainson's hawk is in Antelope Valley. It may be found throughout the project site during migration.

#### **4.1.21 American Peregrine Falcon: FP, BCC**

The American peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus anatum*) is distributed worldwide. Peregrine falcons were formerly listed under CESA and ESA, but have been delisted under both Acts. In California, range is primarily central to northern California, with wintering habitat located in southern California. Migrants occur along the coast and in the western Sierra Nevada in spring and fall. It breeds mostly in woodland, forest, and coastal habitats, and favors open landscapes with cliffs as nest sites. They are found irregularly in the southern desert region, generally during migratory and winter seasons. They nested historically in desert mountain ranges near the Colorado River (Rosenberg et al. 1991; Patten et al. 2003) and may be re-occupying this historical part of their nesting range as their populations recover. Their diet consists primarily of birds and bats (Zeiner 1990). Waterfowl and shorebirds make up a large proportion of their prey, and nest sites are often within foraging range of large water bodies. Suitable migratory or foraging habitat is present throughout the Project site but the site lacks suitable nesting habitat.

#### **4.1.22 Vaux's Swift: SSC**

Vaux's swift (*Chaetura vauxi*) is a summer resident of northern California and a fairly common migrant throughout most of the state in spring and fall. It roosts in hollow trees and snags, and often in large flocks. Vaux's swifts feed exclusively on flying insects (Shuford 2008). The entire project site provides suitable habitat during migration for foraging, but there is no suitable nesting habitat on the project site.

#### **4.1.23 Mountain Plover: SSC, BCC**

Mountain plover (*Charadrius montanus*) is found in semi-arid plains, grasslands, and plateaus. It uses open grasslands, plowed fields with little vegetation, and open sagebrush areas. Winter habitats include desert flats, and plowed fields. Mountain plovers are insectivores, feeding primarily on large ground-dwelling insects, including grasshoppers, beetles, and crickets (Shuford 2008). Its distribution was modeled as occurring in the Chuckwalla Valley (CEC 2014a). The entire project site provides suitable habitat during migration but is unlikely to support suitable nesting habitat.

#### **4.1.24 Northern Harrier: SSC**

Northern harrier (*Circus cyaneus*) inhabits most of California at various times of the year, found up to 3000 m elevation. Northern harriers frequent meadows, grasslands, open rangelands, desert sinks, fresh and saltwater emergent wetlands. They are a widespread winter resident



and migrant in suitable habitat. They primarily feed on small mammals, birds, frogs, small reptiles, crustaceans, and insects (Zeiner 1990). There is suitable foraging throughout the Project site, and no suitable nesting habitat on the Project site. One individual was observed flying over the Project site during fall 2017 surveys.

#### **4.1.25 Yellow-breasted Chat: SSC**

The yellow-breasted chat (*Icteria virens*) is an uncommon summer resident and migrant in coastal California, in foothills of the Sierra Nevada, and within the Colorado Desert. Breeding occurrences closest to the Project are known from the Salton Sea and Colorado River. In southern California, yellow-breasted chats breed locally on the coast, and very locally inland (Garrett and Dunn 1981). During migration, they may be found in lower elevations of mountains in riparian habitat (McCaskie et al. 1979; Shuford 1990). The yellow-breasted chat may be found on the Project site during migration likely on desert dry wash woodland areas (parcel groups D and F as well as gen-tie segments 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4), but suitable nesting habitat is not present.

#### **4.1.26 Crissal Thrasher: SSC**

Crissal thrasher (*Toxostoma crissale*) is a year-round resident of southeastern deserts, occupying dense shrubs in desert riparian and desert wash habitats, including mesquite, ironwood, and acacia. It primarily forages on the ground, feeding on invertebrates, berries, and seeds (Bent 1948; Shuford 2008). The project site provides limited but suitable nesting and foraging habitat primarily associated with dry wash woodlands (parcel groups D and F as well as gen-tie segments 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4). No crissal thrashers were observed onsite during surveys.

#### **4.1.27 Elf Owl: BLMS, BCC**

Elf owl (*Micrathene whitneyi*) is found in lowland habitats that provide cover and good nesting cavities. It is most common farther east and north, in deserts with many tall saguaro cactus or large mesquites, and in canyons in the foothills, especially around sycamores or large oaks. The project site is near the western margin of its geographic range; the nearest nesting occurrence is near Corn Springs (Garret and Dunn 1981). Elf owls are more common and widely distributed outside of California and probably have never been common in California due to limited geographic range and generally marginal habitat. The elf owl is migratory, spending winters in Mexico and southward. It arrives in California by March, and its breeding period extends from April to mid-July (Gould 1987).

The elf owl is a secondary cavity nester (it nests in cavities of trees and cacti, generally in disused woodpecker nests). Its nesting habitat is closely correlated with nesting habitat of woodpeckers, including Gila woodpecker (Hardy et al. 1999; Johnsgard 2002). Gila woodpeckers sometimes nest in blue palo verde and palms, and elf owls have been documented nesting in

blue palo verde near Wiley's Well, east of the project site, by Robert McKernan (Director, San Bernardino County Museum; SBCM 2012a). The palm groves (parcel group G) and desert wash woodland habitat (parcel groups D and F as well as gen-tie segments 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, and 4) on the site may provide suitable (albeit probably marginal) habitat for nesting elf owls.

#### **4.1.28 Other listed Avian Species**

No suitable breeding or wintering habitat for the avian species below occur within or near the Project area. These state or federal listed bird species have been recorded at other utility-scale solar energy facilities. There is a moderate potential for them to pass within the Project vicinity during migration periods, but there is no suitable nesting or foraging habitat on the site for these species.

##### Yuma Ridgway's Rail: ST, CFP, FE

Yuma Ridgway's rail (*Rallus obsoletus yumanensis*), formerly known as Yuma clapper rail (*Rallus longirostris yumanensis*), nests in freshwater marshes. It is found along the lower Colorado River southward to its terminus at the Sea of Cortez, along the Gila River drainage in Arizona, at Lake Mead (and the Overton Arm) and its local tributaries, along the Virgin River in Nevada and Utah, and at the Salton Sea/Imperial Valley areas of California (CEC et. al 2014; USFWS 2014). It is believed that most Ridgway's rails do not migrate (USFWS 2014). The extent of dispersal or migration between the populations is not well known (USFWS 2009d); however, outlier records across the desert show that some level of movement occurs (CNDDDB 2018). Outlier observations have been documented at Harper Dry Lake, East Cronese Dry Lake, and Desert Center, all at a great distance from known breeding areas (CNDDDB 2018).

##### Southwestern Willow Flycatcher: SE, FE

Southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*) breeds in dense riparian habitats in the southwestern United States, and winters in southern Mexico, Central America, and northern South America (USFWS 2002). The willow flycatcher species is comprised of several recognized subspecies, including the southwestern willow flycatcher, which is the only subspecies that nests in the region. The closest known breeding habitat to the Project site is approximately 35 miles away along the Colorado River and adjacent to the Salton Sea (CNDDDB 2018). Recent studies indicate that southwestern willow flycatchers do not migrate over the area of the desert where the Athos project site is located (BLM 2017). However, other willow flycatcher subspecies (not listed as threatened or endangered) may pass through the area during migration. There is no suitable breeding habitat on the Project site, and the site appears to be outside the southwestern willow flycatcher's migratory routes.

Yellow billed cuckoo: SE, FT, BCC, BLMS

Western yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus occidentalis*) breeds in expansive riparian areas in portions of California, Nevada, Arizona, and New Mexico. The closest known breeding habitat is located approximately 35 miles away along the Colorado River (CNDDDB 2018). During migration, western yellow-billed cuckoos migrate across the desert and use shrubland habitats, but there have been no documented sightings of western yellow-billed cuckoo within the Development Focus Areas (DFAs) identified in the DRECP LUPA (USFWS 2016). No suitable nesting habitat is present on the Athos project site, although it is possible that western yellow-billed cuckoo could occur on the site briefly during migration season.

Least Bell's Vireo: SE, FE

Least Bell's vireo (*Vireo bellii pusillus*) breeds in riparian habitats in southern California and portions of northern Baja California, Mexico and winters in southern Baja California, Mexico (USFWS 1998). Its numbers and distribution have probably increased since its listing, although it remains absent from large parts of its former range (USFWS 2016). The closest known breeding habitat to the Athos site is to the northwest in the Big Morongo Canyon (USFWS 2016). Least Bell's vireos are also uncommon breeders at the Anza-Borrego Desert State Park, located approximately 70 miles southwest (USFWS 2016). The subspecies Arizona Bell's vireo (*V. b. arizonae*) is not ESA-listed, but is State-listed in California as endangered, and occurs along the lower Colorado River, approximately 35 miles east of the Project site.

Although there is little information on its migration behavior (USFWS 2016), least Bell's vireo likely migrates through the Colorado Desert. It is presumed that it may use riparian habitat and possibly upland scrub habitat during migration (USFWS 2016). No suitable nesting habitat is present on the Athos project site, although least Bell's vireo could occur on the site briefly, during migration season.

## **4.2 Wildlife Movement**

For many wildlife species, movement among habitat areas is a part of regular activities and may be needed for long-term population sustainability. Land use changes can impact wildlife movement across the landscape, leading to habitat fragmentation and population isolation. Habitat fragmentation results when habitat converted to other uses separates or isolates the remaining habitat areas. The result of fragmentation is (1) less habitat availability, and (2) less opportunity for wildlife to make use of the remaining habitat, due to its physical isolation. Habitat areas may be isolated from one another by distance across unfavorable habitat, or by linear barriers such as roadways or aqueducts. Barriers may be impassable for some species (e.g., a wide busy road, for a slow-moving animal) or may be only minor interruptions to

movement (such as a narrow, lightly travelled road). Fragmentation and subsequent population isolation can affect wildlife populations by limiting dispersal and genetic exchange, limiting movement within the home-ranges for wide-ranging species, and limiting the opportunity for populations to occupy new habitat in response to the effects of climate change. Fragmentation also increases habitat “edge” (i.e., habitat adjacent to other land uses), leading to increased exposure to invasive species, human disturbance (vehicles, trash dumping, etc.), and an overall reduction of biodiversity and alteration or degradation of ecological processes.

Accessibility between habitat areas (i.e., “connectivity”) is important to long-term genetic diversity and demography of wildlife populations. In the short term, connectivity may also be important to individual animals’ ability to occupy their home ranges, if their ranges extend across a potential movement barrier. These considerations apply to greater or lesser extent to all plants and animals. Plant populations “move” over the course of generations via pollen and seed dispersal; most birds and insects travel and disperse via flight; terrestrial species, including small mammals, reptiles, arid land amphibians, and non-flying invertebrates, disperse across land. Therefore, landscape barriers and impediments are more important considerations for movement of terrestrial species. These considerations are especially important for rare species and wide-ranging mammals, which both tend to exist in lower population densities.

In developed landscapes where remnant habitat exists as partially isolated patches surrounded by other land uses, planning for wildlife movement generally focuses on “wildlife corridors” to provide animals with access routes between habitat patches. In largely undeveloped areas, including the Chuckwalla Valley, wildlife habitat is available in extensive open space areas throughout much of the region, but specific barriers may impede or prevent movement. In these landscapes, wildlife movement planning focuses on specific sites where animals can cross linear barriers (e.g., wash crossings beneath Interstate 10), and on broader linkage areas that may support stable, long-term populations of target species and allow demographic movement and genetic exchange among populations in distant habitats (e.g., surrounding mountains).

The California Desert Connectivity Project provides a comprehensive and detailed habitat connectivity analysis for the California deserts (Penrod et al., 2012). The Connectivity Project identified a Desert Linkage Network to maintain habitat for movement between landscape blocks. The landscape blocks identified in the project vicinity are the Palen–McCoy Mountains to the northeast and the Chocolate Mountains to the southwest. Broad habitat linkages connect these landscape blocks. The CDCA Plan, as amended by the DRECP, designates specific areas within the mapped habitat linkage for multiple species habitat connectivity (see Figures 9, 10, and 14). Parcel Group F is partially located within the habitat linkage area identified in the DRECP.

In the Chuckwalla Valley, the biologically important functions of large mammal movement are the long-term demographic and genetic effects of occasional animal movement among mountain ranges and other large habitat areas. Animals such as desert bighorn sheep may travel across the valley infrequently, to reach other subpopulations in surrounding mountains. In contrast to large animal movement, desert tortoises and other less-mobile animals may live out their entire lives within a linkage area between larger habitat blocks; for these species, movement among surrounding habitat areas may take place over the course of several generations.

Movement opportunity varies for each species, depending on motility and behavioral constraints, as well as landscape impediments. For many terrestrial wildlife species, movement across the Chuckwalla Valley, including movement to and from the project site, or across the site, is limited by anthropogenic barriers or land uses. The I-10 freeway, located south of the project site, is a significant obstruction to movement by terrestrial wildlife. Some species, such as coyote, may learn to cross the freeway safely. However, the freeway presents an impassable or high-risk barrier to north-south movement for most terrestrial species. Other linear features, such as smaller paved and unpaved roads and transmission lines have only minimal effects on wildlife movement.

On the 32-mile stretch of I 10 between the Desert Center and Wiley Wells Road exits there are 24 crossings that provide safe access under the freeway (CEC, 2010). Other than these crossings, the freeway is a nearly complete barrier to north-south terrestrial wildlife movement in the Chuckwalla Valley. A survey of potential tortoise accessibility across the I-10 investigated these 24 crossings (oriented approximately in a north-south direction) for suitability for large mammals, small mammals, and reptiles (CEC, 2010). The survey found that fencing was often missing or in disrepair, was not tethered to the underpasses, and does not function to funnel wildlife under the interstate. The study concluded the underpasses provide connectivity and safe movement corridors between habitat areas to the north and south of the I-10, but the fencing does not prevent animals from accessing I-10. Wildlife species and sign detected at the undercrossings included lizards, rodents, rabbit, roadrunner, ground squirrel, fox, coyote, bobcat, and burro deer. Additionally, the CDFW has documented burro deer using an I-10 undercrossing several miles east of the Athos site.

### 4.3 Special Status Plant Species

Forty-one special status plant species were reviewed for their potential to occur within the Project site and its vicinity based on regional plans and database records (Appendix B). The probability of occurrence is defined as follows:


- Present: Species was observed at the time of the survey
- High: Both a historical record exists of the species within the project site or its immediate vicinity (approximately 5 miles) and the habitat requirements associated with the species occur within the project site.
- Moderate: Either a historical record exists of the species within the immediate vicinity of the project site (approximately 5 miles) or the habitat requirements associated with the species occur within the project site.
- Low: No records exist of the species occurring within the project site or its immediate vicinity and/or habitats needed to support the species are of poor quality.
- Minimal: Species was not observed during focused surveys conducted at an appropriate time for identification of the species, or species is restricted to habitats that do not occur within the project site

Special status species detected within the Project site or have moderate potential to occur based on the presence of suitable habitat are discussed further in this section. Figure 17 and Table 14 summarize special status plant observations during plant surveys.

**Table 14.** Summary of Special Status Plant Observations



Project Components	Species	Sign Type	Location	Vegetation Community	Date
Private	Crucifixion Thorn	live shrubs (4)	D	creosote bush scrub	10/29/2017
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant in fruit (2)	south of A	creosote bush scrub	10/21/2017
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant in fruit (2)	south of A	creosote bush scrub	10/21/2017
	Desert Unicorn Plant	dry Plant w/ fruit	B	fallow agriculture	5/16/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	dry plants with fruit (2)	B	fallow agriculture	5/16/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	dry plants with fruit (2)	E	fallow agriculture	5/19/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	dry plant with fruit	C	fallow agriculture	5/21/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	dry plant with fruit	C	fallow agriculture	5/25/2018

Project Components	Species	Sign Type	Location	Vegetation Community	Date
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	F	creosote bush scrub	11/21/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	gen-tie 1	desert dry wash woodland	10/31/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	gen-tie 1	desert dry wash woodland	10/31/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	gen-tie 1	desert dry wash woodland	10/31/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	gen-tie 1	desert dry wash woodland	10/31/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	gen-tie 1	desert dry wash woodland	11/19/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	gen-tie 1	creosote bush scrub	10/31/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	gen-tie 1A	desert dry wash woodland	10/31/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	gen-tie 1A	desert dry wash woodland	10/31/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	gen-tie 1A	desert dry wash woodland	10/31/2018
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	gen-tie 1A	desert dry wash woodland	10/31/2018
<b>Public</b>	Crucifixion Thorn	live shrubs with seeds (2)	gen-tie 2A	creosote bush scrub	10/27/2017
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant in fruit	east of gen-tie 1	desert dry wash woodland	10/21/2017
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	east of gen-tie 1	desert dry wash woodland	10/21/2017
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant	east of gen-tie 1	desert dry wash woodland	10/21/2017
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant (2)	east of gen-tie 1	desert dry wash woodland	10/21/2017
	Desert Unicorn Plant	live plant in fruit	east of gen-tie 1	creosote bush scrub	10/21/2017





See Detail Inset


**Fall 2017**

-  *Castella emoryi*, Crucifixion Thorn
-  *Proboscidea altheaefolia*, Desert Unicorn Plant


**Spring 2018**

-  *Castella emoryi*, Crucifixion Thorn
-  *Proboscidea altheaefolia*, Desert Unicorn Plant

**Fall 2018**

-  *Proboscidea altheaefolia*, Desert Unicorn Plant

**Ironwood Consulting**



-  Athos Solar Project
-  Red Bluff Substation

**FIGURE 17**

**Special Status Plant Species Observations**

**Athos Solar**



#### **4.3.1 Chaparral sand verbena: BLMS, CRPR 1B.1**

Chaparral sand verbena (*Abronia villosa* var. *aurita*) has 238 records within several counties in southern California, many of which are in Riverside County. Its distribution and identification are unclear in published reference works, including Spellenberg (2002), CNPS (2018) and CNDDDB (CDFW 2018). It was added to the CNPS Inventory based on recommendations by Andrew C. Sanders of the UC Riverside Herbarium. The primary conservation concern is for chaparral sand-verbena occurrences in western Riverside County and other locations outside the desert (see Roberts et al. 2004). These western plants appear to be distinct from the very common desert sand verbena, *Abronia villosa* var. *villosa*. Plants in the low desert often match the characteristics of the western Riverside County populations, but they are not regionally rare. There is one record that is very close to the Project site, on the Palen sand dunes in the vicinity of the Desert Lily Sanctuary, located in 2012. Suitable sandy habitat occurs on the eastern extent of the Project site for the species (parcel group G). It is not expected on the former agricultural lands on the Project site. No sand verbena species, including chaparral sand verbena were observed during spring plant surveys, possibly due to the extremely low winter rainfall.

#### **4.3.2 Harwood's Milkvetch: CRPR 2B.2**

Harwood's milkvetch (*Astragalus insularis* var. *harwoodii*) has historic and recent collections that include Ogilby Road in Imperial County and three locales west of Blythe, the Pinto Basin, and Chuckwalla Basin in Riverside County. Harwood's milkvetch has also been reported from Baja California, Sonora Mexico, and portions of Yuma County. Its primary habitat is windblown sand. There are several CNDDDB records for this species within the Project vicinity (CNDDDB 2018). Many new occurrences were documented in Chuckwalla Valley and the Palo Verde mesa during surveys for the Blythe Solar Power Project, the Genesis Solar Energy Project, McCoy Solar Energy Project, and Palen Solar Power Project study areas. The Consortium of California Herbaria (CCH) lists 107 occurrences within California (CCH 2018).

There is suitable habitat for Harwood's milkvetch in undisturbed or disturbed windblown sand habitats of the Project site, particularly in Parcel Group G and some of the gen-tie routes. It is not expected on the former agricultural lands. It was not observed during plant surveys, possibly due to the extremely low winter rainfall.

#### **4.3.3 Crucifixion Thorn: CRPR 2B.2**

Crucifixion thorn (*Castela emoryi*) has 177 records occurring within California. In Riverside County, several records are near or within Desert Center, including Desert Sunlight Solar Farm just northwest of the Project (CCH 2018). There is suitable habitat for Crucifixion thorn within wash areas of the Project site on Parcel Groups D and F. It was observed at two locations in Parcel Group F where a total of six live individuals were recorded (Figure 17). It is a large conspicuous shrub and can be located and identified at any time of year, even in a year of poor

rainfall. It was not observed elsewhere on the Project site, and no additional occurrences are expected.

#### **4.3.4 Abram's Spurge: CRPR 2B.2**

Abram's spurge (*Chamaesyce abramsiana* [=*Euphorbia abramsiana*]) occurs in saline scrub flats, playas, and along inlets and floodplains of playas. There are 137 records in California within Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino and San Diego counties. The records within Chuckwalla Valley closest to the Project site were near Palen Dry Lake and Pinto Basin. Marginally suitable habitat may be present within the Project site in saltbush scrub at parcel group G. Abram's spurge was not observed within the project area since it is a fall blooming plant and dries too quickly for identification in the spring. It is unlikely to occur on the Project site.

#### **4.3.5 Ribbed Cryptantha: CRPR 4.3**

Ribbed cryptantha (*Cryptantha costata*) has 279 records from several locations throughout Riverside, Imperial, San Diego, and Imperial counties (CCH 2018). It occurs in windblown sand habitats. A large local population of ribbed cryptantha was observed just east of the proposed Palen Solar Power Project. Suitable habitat for ribbed cryptantha occurs at the Project site within Parcel Group G and possibly on some of the gen-tie routes. Ribbed cryptantha was not observed during plant surveys possibly due to extremely low winter rainfall.

#### **4.3.6 Glandular Ditaxis: CRPR 2B.2**

Glandular ditaxis (*Ditaxis claryana*) is an annual or short-lived perennial that blooms in the fall following the start or rainy season. There are 49 occurrences in the Consortium of California Herbaria (CCH 2018) and there is one record within Desert Center and another near Corn Springs, south of I-10 (CNDDDB 2018). Suitable habitat does occur within the Project site. Glandular ditaxis was not observed during spring plant surveys. If the species does occur within the Project site, then fall plant surveys may yield more accurate results for the species.

#### **4.3.7 California Ditaxis: CRPR 3.2**

California ditaxis (*Ditaxis serrata* var. *californica*) has a CRPR of 3.2 and a NatureServe rank of G3G4/S2 S, which indicates more information is needed about the status of this species. California ditaxis may be a glabrous variety of the common *Ditaxis neomexicana* (CEC 2010). It occupies Sonoran Desert scrub vegetation and prefers sandy washes and alluvial fans of the foothills and lower desert slopes, from 100 to 3,000 feet amsl. It is known from San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, San Diego, and Sonora, Mexico (CNPS 2018). There are 45 records of this species in California, primarily from Riverside County (CCH 2018). Suitable habitat appears to be present in Parcel Groups D and F and along some of the gen-tie lines. It was not found during field surveys, possibly because of the poor 2017-2018 rainfall.

#### **4.3.8 Harwood's Eriastrum: CRPR 1B.2, BLMS**

Harwood's eriastrum (*Eriastrum harwoodii*), also commonly known as Harwood's woollystar, has a CRPR of 1B.2, has a NatureServe rank of G2/S2 and is a BLM sensitive species. It is a spring annual, typically found in dunes associated with the margins around dry lakes such as Dale, Cadiz, and Soda lakes (CNPS 2018). Reports of this species are known from San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, San Diego, and Sonora, Mexico (CNPS 2018). There are 118 records of this species in California (CCH 2018). It has been observed within partially stabilized dunes at nearby project sites. Harwood's eriastrum was not observed on the Athos Project site during spring 2018 surveys, possibly due to the poor 2017-2018 rainfall. There is suitable habitat in the sandy areas of parcel group G and on gen-tie route 3.

#### **4.3.9 Utah Milkvine: CRPR 4.2**

Utah milkvine (*Cynanchum utahense* [= *Funastrum utahense*]) has 149 records from the Consortium of California Herbaria database primarily from San Bernardino and San Diego counties, but there are also several records in Riverside county. There is one record of this species north of Desert Center and another record just southwest of Palen Lake. There is suitable habitat for this twining perennial in the sandy soils of the eastern extent of the Project and slightly more gravelly soils within the creosote bush scrub in the west. Utah milkvine was not observed during spring 2018 surveys, possibly due to the poor 2017-2018 rainfall.

#### **4.3.10 Desert Unicorn Plant: CRPR 4.3**

Desert unicorn plant (*Proboscidea althaeifolia*) has limited distribution but is not very threatened in California. It is a low-growing, perennial species that occurs in sandy washes within Sonoran desert scrub vegetation in San Bernardino, Imperial, Riverside, and San Diego counties of California. There are 36 records in Riverside County, several of which are from the Chuckwalla Mountains and Desert Center area (CCH 2018). It is a late-season bloomer (May to August) but has large and distinctive seed pods that can be detected during the spring season and fleshy root structure that can remain dormant in dry years (BLM 2011). Suitable habitat occurs within the Project site; it was observed on the gen-tie and the solar farm parcels.

#### **4.3.11 Jackass Clover: CRPR 2B.2**

Jackass clover (*Wislizenia refracta* ssp. *refracta*) is commonly associated with sandy washes, roadsides, or alkaline flats. There are 28 occurrences in the Consortium of California Herbaria most of which are located in San Bernardino County near Twentynine Palms, with only one record in Riverside County east of Indio (CCH 2018). Jackass clover was also documented at several locations from the northern to southern end of Palen Lake in dune habitats during a detailed vegetation mapping and classification project conducted by CNPS Vegetation Program for BLM (Evens & Hartman 2007). Jackass clover is found in sandy washes, roadsides, or alkaline flats. Suitable habitat is present in small patches on the Project site within parcel groups D, F,

and G and some of the gen-tie routes. Jackass clover was not observed during spring 2018 plant surveys, possibly due to the poor 2017-2018 rainfall.

#### **4.3.12 Palmer's Jackass Clover: CRPR 2B.2**

Palmer's jackass clover (*Wislizenia refracta* ssp. *palmeri*) has 15 occurrences in the Consortium of California Herbaria with at least three records near Desert Center (CCH 2018). It typically occupies sandy washes, within Sonoran desert scrub vegetation. Suitable habitat is present in small patches of the Project site within Parcel Groups D, F, and G and some of the gen-tie routes. Palmer's jackass clover was not observed during spring 2018 plant surveys, possibly due to the poor 2017-2018 rainfall.

#### **4.3.13 Creosote Bush Rings**

No creosote bush rings were detected on public or private components of the Project through a desktop GIS analysis. These negative results for creosote bush rings were field verified in the fall of 2018.

## 5 REFERENCES

- AECOM. 2009. Palen Solar Power Project Biological Technical Report. Riverside County, California. Submitted to Solar Millennium, LLC, Berkeley, California, and Chevron Energy.
- AECOM. 2010. Fall Botanical Surveys. Palen Solar Power Project. CEC Docket No. 09-AFC-7. TN 58879. May 17, 2010.
- Andersen, M. C., J. M. Watts, J. E. Freilich, S. R. Yool, G. I. Wakefield, J. F. McCauley and P. B. Fahnestock. 2000. Regression-tree modeling of desert tortoise habitat in the central Mojave Desert. *Ecological Applications* 10(3): 890-900.
- Andre, Silverman, pers. comm. 2010. Cited in CEC Revised Staff Assessment, Palen Solar Project, Part 2.
- Averill-Murray, Roy C., C. Darst, N. Strout, and M. Wong. 2013. Conserving Population Linkages for The Mojave Desert Tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*). *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* 8(1):1-15. Published: 30 April 2013.
- Baldwin, B.G., D.H. Goldman, D.J. Keil, R. Patterson, T.J. Rosatti, and D.H. Wilken, editors. 2012. The Jepson manual: vascular plants of California, second edition. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Barrett, S. L. 1990. Home range and habitat of the desert tortoise (*Xerobates agassizii*) in the Picacho mountains of Arizona. *Herpetologica* 46(2): 202-206.
- Beal, F. E. L. 1907. Birds of California in relation to the fruit industry, part 1. U.S. Dept. Agri. Biol. Surv. Bull. 30.
- Bent, A. C. 1948. Life histories of North American nuthatches, wrens, thrashers, and their allies. U.S. Natl. Mus. Bull. 195. 475pp.
- Berry, K.H. 1986. Desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*) relocation: Implications of social behavior and movements. *Herpetological* 42:113-125.
- Bitter, Dave. 2010. Investigator's Annual Report, Joshua Tree National Park. Golden Eagle Survey Findings. JOTR 00157.
- Bitter, Dave. 2011. Investigator's Annual Report, Joshua Tree National Park. Golden Eagle Survey Findings. JOTR-00157.
- Bleich, V. C., J. D. Wehausen, R. R. Ramey II, and J. L. Rechel. 1996. Metapopulation theory and mountain sheep: implications for conservation. Pages 353-373 in D. R. McCullough, editor. *Metapopulations and wildlife conservation*. Island Press, Washington D.C., USA.
- Bloom Biological, Inc. (BBI). 2013a. (TN 200010) Palen Solar Electric Generating Facility Spring 2013 Avian Survey Results. Prepared for Palen Solar Holdings, Inc., Oakland, California. Prepared by BBI, Lake Forest, California. July 2013.
- Bloom Biological, Inc. (BBI). 2013b. Palen Solar Electric Generating Facility Summer 2013 Avian Survey Results. Prepared for Palen Solar Holdings, Inc., Oakland, California. Prepared by BBI, Lake Forest, California. August 2013.

- Bloom Biological, Inc. (BBI). 2013c. Palen Solar Electric Generating System 2013 Golden Eagle Nesting Survey Results. Prepared for Palen Solar Holdings, Inc., Oakland, California. Prepared by BBI, Lake Forest, California. October 2013.
- Bloom Biological, Inc. (BBI). 2013d. Palen Solar Electric Generating System Winter 2013 Golden Eagle Survey Results. Prepared for Palen Solar Holdings, Inc., Oakland, California. Prepared by BBI, Lake Forest, California. March 2013.
- Bloom Biological, Inc. (BBI). 2013e. (TN 70242) PSEGS Winter 2013 Golden Eagle Survey Results. Prepared for BrightSource Energy, Inc. March 2013.
- Bureau of Land Management California Desert District (BLM CDD) and California Department of Fish and Game Inland, Desert, and Eastern Sierra Region. 2002. Proposed Northern and Eastern Colorado Desert Coordinated Management Plan and Final EIS, July 2002.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2010b. Special Status Animals in California, Including BLM Designated Sensitive Species.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2011. Plan Amendment and Final EIS for the Palen Solar Power Project. Palm Springs -South Coast Field Office. May 2011.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2012. Results of 2012 Golden Eagle Nesting Surveys of the California Desert and Northern California Districts. Prepared by BioResource Consultants, November 2012.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2013. Golden Eagle Nest Occurrences, DRECP. Dataset in Databasin.org . <https://databasin.org/datasets/9e7e4a80961f468887322ddd8e840777>. Last accessed September 2018
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2013. Draft Supplemental EIS for the Palen Solar Electric Generating System. Palm Springs -South Coast Field Office. July 2013.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2016. Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan: Land Use Plan Amendment and Final Environmental Impact Statement. Prepared by U.S. Bureau of Land Management in partnership with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California Energy Commission, and California Department of Fish and Wildlife. BLM/CA/PL-2016/03+1793+8321. October 2015.
- Bossard, C.C. J.M Randall and M.C. Hoshovsky, 2000. Invasive Plants of California's Wildlands. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.
- Brown, P. E., and W. E. Rainey. 2014. Bat Habitat Assessment for Palen Solar Electric Generation System. Conducted for Alice E. Karl and Associates. Conducted by Brown-Berry Biological Consulting. May 17, 2014.
- California Burrowing Owl Consortium (CBOC). 1993. Burrowing Owl Survey Protocol and Mitigation Guidelines. Prepared by the California Burrowing Owl Consortium. April 1993.
- California Invasive Plant Council (Cal-IPC). 2018. California Invasive Plant Inventory Database. Available at: <<http://www.cal-ipc.org/paf>> (Accessed July 2018).
- California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA). 2018. Amend Section 4500. Noxious Weed Species. [http://www.cdfa.ca.gov/plant/ipc/encycloweedia/encycloweedia\\_hp.htm](http://www.cdfa.ca.gov/plant/ipc/encycloweedia/encycloweedia_hp.htm). (Accessed July 2018).

- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 2000. Guidelines for Assessing the Effects of Proposed Projects on Rare, Threatened and Endangered Plants and Natural Communities.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. (CDFW). 2012. Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation. March 7.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. (CDFW). 2018a. Natural Diversity Database. July 2018. Special Animals List. Periodic publication. 51 pp.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. (CDFW). 2018b. A Status Review of Townsend's Big-Eared Bat (*Corynorhinus townsendii*) in California.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. (CDFW). 2018c. Natural Diversity Database Special Vascular Plants, Bryophytes, and Lichens List. Quarterly Publication. 73 pp. July 2018
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. (CDFW). 2018d. California Sensitive Natural Communities. <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/VegCAMP/Natural-Communities>. October 2018
- California Energy Commission (CEC). 2010. RSA (Revised Staff Assessment). Palen Solar Project, Part 2. September 2010. (TN 58497)
- California Energy Commission (CEC), California Energy Commission, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2014a. Draft Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP) and Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement. July 2018 [www.drecp.org](http://www.drecp.org).
- California Energy Commission (CEC), California Energy Commission, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2014b. Draft Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP) and Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement. Appendix Q, Baseline Biological Report.
- California Energy Commission (CEC), California Energy Commission, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2014c. Draft Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP) and Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement. Appendix B, Species Profiles.
- California Energy Commission (CEC), California Energy Commission, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2014d. Draft Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP) and Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement. Volume III Section 7, Environmental Setting/Affected Environment, Biological Resources.
- California Geological Survey. 2015. Comments and Additional Information for Incorporation into the Draft Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP) and Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS). February 5, 2015.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2007. Vegetation Survey and Classification for the Northern & Eastern Colorado Desert Coordinated Management Plan (NECO).
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2018. CNPS Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants, Riverside County. Available at: < <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org/> > Accessed July 2018

- California Natural Diversity Data Base (CNDDB). 2018. Aztec Mines, Corn Spring, East of Aztec Mines, East of Victory Pass, Ford Dry Lake, Palen Lake, Palen Mountains, Pilot Mountain, and Sidewinder Well 7.5 minute USGS quadrangles.
- Consortium of California Herbaria (CCH). 2018. Search results for taxa from CNPS Inventory in Riverside County, retrieved July 2, 2018. Available at: <http://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/consortium/>
- Davis, F. and Soong, O. 2013. Mojave fringe-toed lizard - Species Distribution Model, DRECP. Bren School of Environmental Science & Management. University of California, Santa Barbara Conservation Biology Institute. Jun 25, 2013 (modified Dec 3, 2013).
- D'Antonio, C.M. 1990a. Invasion of coastal plant communities in California by the introduced iceplant, *Carpobrotus edulis* (Aizoaceae). Ph.D dissertation, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA .
- Desert Research Institute (DRI) 2013. Geomorphic Assessment of Sand Transport for the Modified Project (Palen Solar Electric Generating System). Draft Final Report. Prepared for Aspen Environmental Group. Prepared by Dr. N. Lancaster and Dr. T. Bullard, Division of Earth and Ecosystem Sciences and Dr. J. Gillies Division of Atmospheric Sciences. July 23, 2013.
- Duda, J. J., A. J. Krzysik and J. E. Freilich (1999). "Effects of drought on desert tortoise movement and activity." *Journal of Wildlife Management* 63(4): 1181-1192.
- Dunn, J. L., and Garrett, K. L. 1997. *A Field Guide to Warblers of North America*. Houghton Mifflin, Boston.
- EDAW AECOM. 2009. Palen Solar Power Project Burrowing Owl Technical Report. July 2009.
- EDAW AECOM and Bloom Biological, Inc. (BBI). 2009. Palen Solar Power Project Avian Point Count Survey Technical Report. Prepared for Solar Millennium, LLC and Chevron Energy Solutions. August 2009.
- Edwards, H.H., and G.D. Schnell. 2000. Gila Woodpecker (*Melanerpes uropygialis*). *The Birds of North America Online* (A. Poole, Ed.). Ithaca, New York: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Accessed April 28, 2011. <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/532>.
- Epps, C. W., P. J. Palsboll, J. D. Wehausen, R. R. Ramey II, and D. R. McCullough. 2005. Highways block gene flow and cause rapid decline in genetic diversity of desert bighorn sheep. *Ecology Letters* 8: 1029-1038.
- Evens, JM and S.L. Hartman. 2007. Vegetation Survey and Classification for the Northern and Eastern Colorado Desert Coordinated Management Plan (NECO). California Native Plant Society. Sacramento, CA.
- Galati and Blek, LLP. 2010. Applicant's ground-based and field-verified delineation of desert dry wash woodland
- Garrett, K., and J. Dunn. 1981. *Birds of Southern California: Status and Distribution*. Los Angeles, California: Los Angeles Audubon Society.
- Gervais, J. A., D. K. Rosenberg, and L. A. Comrack. 2008. Burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*). Pages 218-226 in *California bird species of special concern: a ranked assessment of species, subspecies, and distinct populations of birds of immediate conservation concern in California* (W. D. Shuford and



- T. Gardali, editors). Western Field ornithologists and California Department of Fish and Game, Studies of Western Birds 1: 1-450.
- Hagerty, B. E., K. E. Nussear, T. C. Esque and C. R. Tracy. 2011. Making molehills out of mountains: landscape genetics of the Mojave desert tortoise. *Landscape Ecology* 26(2): 267-280.
- Harless, M. L., A. D. Walde, D. K. Delaney, L. L. Pater and W. K. Hayes. 2009. Home range, spatial overlap, and burrow use of the desert tortoise in the West Mojave desert. *Copeia*(2): 378-389.
- Haug, E.A., B.A. Millsap, and M.S. Martell. 1993. Burrowing Owl (*Speotyto cunicularia*). In A. Poole and F. Gill, eds. The Birds of North America, No. 61. The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.
- Hollingsworth, B. D., and K. R. Beaman. 1999. Mojave Fringe-Toed Lizards (*Uma scoparia*). Species Accounts – West Mojave Plan [www.blm.gov/ca/pdfs/cdd\\_pdfs/fringe1.PDF](http://www.blm.gov/ca/pdfs/cdd_pdfs/fringe1.PDF)
- Holland, R.F. 1986. Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California. The Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Wildlife, State of California.
- Humple, D. 2008. Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*). In. Shuford, W. D., and Gardali, T., eds. California Bird Species of Special Concern: A Ranked Assessment of Species, Subspecies, and Distinct Populations of Birds of Immediate Conservation Concern in California. Studies of Western Birds 1. Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, California, and California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.
- Jarvis, J. M. 2009. The Natural History of the Mojave Fringe-Toed Lizard *Uma scoparia*: The Northern Lineage, Amargosa River, CA. Master's Thesis. California State University, Fullerton.
- Jennings, M. R. and M. P. Hayes. 1994. Amphibian and reptile species of special concern in California. California Department of Fish and Game. Rancho Cordova 255 p.
- Jepson, Willis Linn, and J. C. Hickman. 1993. The Jepson manual: higher plants of California. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Jones, L.C., and R.E. Lovich. 2009. Lizards of the American Southwest. Tucson, Arizona: Rio Nuevo Publishers. September 30, 2009.
- Karl, A. 2013a. Summary of Spring Wildlife and Plant Surveys. Letter report to California Energy Commission dated May 16, 2013.
- Kenney, M. 2010. Geomorphic Evaluation of Potentially Affected Aeolian Sand Migration Regions for Reconfigured Alternatives 2 and 3 Associated with the Wind Fence, Palen Solar Power Project (PSPP), Chuckwalla Valley, Riverside County, CA. July 20, 2010.
- Kochert, M.N., K. Steenhof, C.L. McIntyre, and E.H. Craig. 2002. Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*). In The Birds of North America, No. 684, edited by A. Poole and F. Gill. The Birds of North America, Inc. Philadelphia.
- Lancaster, J. T., Bedrossian, T. L., and Holland, P., 2014, Eolian System Mapping for the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, California Geological Survey, 54p., 4 plates (multiple map scales).

- Levenstein, K., A. Chatfield, W. Erickson, and K. Bay. 2014. Fall 2013 Avian Field Surveys for the Palen Solar Electric Generating System, Riverside County, California. Prepared for Palen Solar Holdings, LLC. February 13, 2014.
- Levenstein, K. and C. Nations. 2013. Fall 2013 Nocturnal Migration Surveys for the Palen Solar Electric Generating System, Riverside County, California. Final Report. Prepared for Palen Solar Holdings, LLC. Prepared by Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc. (WEST), Cheyenne, Wyoming.
- MacKay, P. 2003. Mojave Desert wildflowers: a field guide to wildflowers, trees, and shrubs of the Mojave Desert, including the Mojave National Preserve, Death Valley National Park, and Joshua Tree National Park. A Falcon Guide. Guilford, CT: Falcon. 338 p. 65313.
- Mayhew, W. W. 1965. Adaptations of the amphibian, *Scaphiopus couchii* to desert conditions. American Midland Naturalist: pp 95-109.
- Mayhew, W. W. 1965. Reproduction in the sand-dwelling lizard *Uma inornata*. Herpetologica 21, pp. 39-55.
- McCaskie, G., P. De Benedictis, R. Erickson, and J. Morlan. 1979. Birds of northern California, an annotated field list. 2nd ed. Golden Gate Audubon Soc., Berkeley. 84pp.
- McCreedy, C. 2008. Gila Woodpecker (*Melanerpes uropygialis*). The Desert Bird Conservation Plan. California Partners in Flight. Accessed April 28, 2011.  
<http://www.prbo.org/calpif/htmldocs/desert.html>.
- McGrew, J.C. 1979. *Vulpes macrotis*. Mammalian Species 123:1–6.
- Mills, S.G., J.B. Dunning, Jr., and J.M. Bates. 1989. Effects of Urbanization on Breeding Bird Community Structure in Southwestern Desert Habitats. The Condor 91:416–428.
- Muhs, D.R., Reynolds, R.R., Been, J., Skipp, G., 2003. Eolian sand transport pathways in the southwestern United States: importance of the Colorado River and local sources. Quaternary International, 104, 3-18.
- Munz, Philip A, and David D. Keck, 1973. A California flora and supplement. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Murphy, R. W., K.H. Berry, T. Edwards, A.M. McLuckie. 2007. A Genetic Assessment of the Recovery Units for the Mojave Population of the Desert Tortoise, *Gopherus agassizii*. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 6(2):229-251.
- Murphy, R. W., T. L. Trepanier, and D. J. Morafka. 2006. Conservation genetics, evolution and distinct population segments of the Mojave fringe-toed lizard, *Uma scoparia*. Journal of Arid Environments 67:226–247.
- Nussear, K.E., Esque, T.C., Inman, R.D., Gass, Leila, Thomas, K.A., Wallace, C.S.A., Blainey, J.B., Miller, D.M., and Webb, R.H. 2009. Modeling habitat of the desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*) in the Mojave and parts of the Sonoran Deserts of California, Nevada, Utah, and Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2009-1102, 18 p.
- O'Connor, M. P., L. C. Zimmerman, D. E. Ruby, S. J. Bulova and J. R. Spotila. 1994. Home range size and movements by desert tortoises, *Gopherus agassizii*, in the eastern Mojave Desert. Herpetological Monographs 8: 60-71.

- Oftedal OT. 2002. Nutritional ecology of the desert tortoise in the Mohave and Sonoran deserts, Chapter 9. In Van Devender TR, editor. *The Sonoran Desert Tortoise: Natural History, Biology, and Conservation*. Tucson: The University of Arizona Press and Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum, pp. 194–241.
- Oftedal OT, Hillard S, Morafka DJ. 2002. Selective spring foraging by juvenile desert tortoises (*Gopherus agassizii*) in the Mojave Desert: evidence of an adaptive nutritional strategy. *Chelonian Conservation Biology* 4: 341–352.
- Pagel, J.E., D.M. Whittington, and G.T. Allen. 2010. Interim Golden Eagle inventory and monitoring protocols; and other recommendations. Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- Palen Solar Holdings, LLC. 2013. Final Sand Transport Study for the Palen Solar Electric Generating System. Prepared by Fred L. Nials, Geoarchaeological Consultant to Centerline. July 23, 2013.
- Peterson, C. C. 1996. Ecological energetics of the desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*): Effects of rainfall and drought. *Ecology* 77(6): 1831-1844.
- Philip Williams and Associates (PWA). 2010. Geomorphic Assessment and Sand Transport Impacts Analysis of the Palen Solar Power Project, Appendix C Biology Report. August 2010.
- Pierson, E.D., and W.E. Rainey. 1998. Western mastiff bat, *Eumops perotis*. In *Terrestrial Mammal Species of Special Concern in California*, edited by B.C. Bolster. [www.dfg.ca.gov/wildlife/nongame/ssc/docs/mammal/species/17.pdf](http://www.dfg.ca.gov/wildlife/nongame/ssc/docs/mammal/species/17.pdf).
- Potter, C. and J. Weigand, 2016. Analysis of Desert Sand Dune Migration Patterns from Landsat Image Time Series for the Southern California Desert. *Journal of Remote Sensing & GIS*. May 16, 2016.
- Prescott, B.G. 2005. Le Conte's Thrasher Species Account, West Mojave Plan, Bureau of Land Management. Final environmental impact report and statement for the West Mojave plan: a habitat conservation plan and California desert conservation area plan amendment. Moreno Valley (CA): U.S. Dept. of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, California Desert District.
- Rosenberg, K.V., S.B. Terill, and G.H. Rosenberg. 1987. Value of Suburban Habitats to Desert Riparian Birds. *Wilson Bulletin* 99(4):642–654.
- Rosenberg, K. V., Ohmart, R. D., Hunter, W. C., and Anderson, B. W. 1991. *Birds of the Lower Colorado River Valley*. Univ. Ariz. Press, Tucson
- Rostal, D. C., V. A. Lance, J. S. Grumbles and A. C. Alberts. 1994. Seasonal reproductive cycle of the desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*) in the eastern Mojave Desert. *Herpetological Monographs* 0(8): 72-82.
- Sawyer, J.O., Jr. and T. Keeler-Wolf. 1995. *A Manual of California Vegetation*. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, California.
- Sawyer, J.O., Jr., T. Keeler-Wolf, and J. M. Evans. 2009. *A Manual of California Vegetation*. Second edition. California Native Plant Society Press, Sacramento, CA.
- Shuford, W. D., and Gardali, T., editors. 2008. *California Bird Species of Special Concern: A ranked assessment of species, subspecies, and distinct populations of birds of immediate conservation*

- concern in California. Studies of Western Birds 1. Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, California, and California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.
- Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online at <https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/>. Accessed July 2018
- Solar Millennium. 2010a. Spring Survey Protocols, Biological Resources. Palen Solar Power Project. CEC Docket No. 09-AFC-7. April 22 2010.
- Solar Millennium. 2010b. Wildlife Movement and Desert Tortoise Habitat Connectivity. Palen Solar Power Project. CEC Docket No. 09-AFC-7. May 17, 2010.
- Solar Millennium. 2010c. (TN 58454). Palen Solar Power Project golden Eagle Survey Results. Dated September 13, 2010.
- Solar Millennium. 2010d. (TN 58106). Palen Solar Power Project Application for Certification Responses to CEC Information Requests Reconfigured Alternatives 2 and 3 Biological Resources 09-AFC-7. Dated July 21, 2010.
- Stebbins, R. C. 2003. Western reptiles and amphibians. Houghton Mifflin Company, New York, New York. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed.
- Tetrattech and A. E. Karl. 2011. Biological Resources Technical Report, McCoy Solar Energy Project, Riverside County, CA.
- Turner, F. B., D. C. Weaver and J. C. Rorabaugh. 1984. Effects of reduction in windblown sand on the abundance of the fringe-toed lizard (*Uma inornata*) in the Coachella Valley, California. *Copeia* (2): 370-378.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1992. Field Survey Protocol for Any Federal Action that May Occur Within the Range of the Desert Tortoise.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1990. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants: Determination of threatened status for the Mojave population of the desert tortoise. USFWS. Ventura, CA. 50 CFR Part 17.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1994. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants: proposed determination of critical habitat for the Mojave population of the desert tortoise. 17: 45748-45768.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service(USFWS). 2009. Desert Tortoise (Mojave Population) Field Manual: (*Gopherus agassizii*). Region 8, Sacramento, California.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2010a. Revised pre-project survey protocols for the desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*).
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2010b. Mojave Population of the Desert Tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*) 5- Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Desert Tortoise Recovery Office. Reno, Nevada. September 30, 2010.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2010c. Preparing for any action that may occur within the range of the Mojave desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*). U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2011. Revised recovery plan for the Mojave population of the desert tortoise (*Gopherus*

- agassizii*). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Southwest Region, Sacramento, California. 222 pp.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2012. Biological Opinion for the K Road Moapa Solar Project, Moapa River Indian Reservation, Clark County, Nevada. File No. 84320-2011-F-0430 & 1-5-05-FW-536, Tier 5. March 7, 2012.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2016. Bald and Golden Eagles: Population demographics and estimation of sustainable take in the United States, 2016 update. Division of Migratory Bird Management, Washington D.C., USA.
- Wehausen, J. D. 2005. Nutrient predictability, birthing seasons, and lamb recruitment for desert bighorn sheep. Pages 37-50 in J. Goerrissen and J. M. Andre, editors. Proceedings of the Sweeney Granite Mountains Desert Research Center 1978-2003: a quarter century of research and teaching. University of California, Riverside, USA.
- Weigand, J. and S. Fitton. 2008. Le Conte's Thrasher (*Toxostoma lecontei*). In The Draft Desert Bird Conservation Plan: a strategy for reversing the decline of desert-associated birds in California. California Partners in Flight: <http://www.prbo.org/calpif/htmldocs/desert.html>
- WEST, Inc. 2016. Draft Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy for the Palen Solar Photovoltaic Project. October 24, 2016.
- Western Bat Working Group (WBWG). 2018. Species Account and status designations. <http://wbwg.org/western-bat-species/>. Accessed August 2018.
- Western Regional Climate Center. 2016. Blythe airport and Eagle Mountain. <http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/>. Accessed July 15, 2016.
- Whiteaker, L.; Henderson, J.; Holmes, R.; Hoover, L.; Leshner, R.; Lippert, J.; Olson, E.; Potash, L.; Seevers J.; Stein M.; Wogen N. 1998. Survey Protocol for Survey and Manage Strategy 2 Vascular Plants. V 2.0.
- Woodbridge, B. 1998. Swainson's Hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*). In The Riparian Bird Conservation Plan: a strategy for reversing the decline of riparian-associated birds in California. California Partners in Flight. [http://www.prbo.org/calpif/htmldocs/riparian\\_v-2.html](http://www.prbo.org/calpif/htmldocs/riparian_v-2.html)
- Yosef, R. 1996. Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*). In: The Birds of North America, No. 231 (A. Poole and F. Gill [eds.]). The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.
- Zeiner, D.C., W.F. Laudenslayer, Jr., K.E. Mayer, and M. White, eds. 1988-1990. California's Wildlife. Vol. I-III. California Depart. of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California, in California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, California Interagency Wildlife Task Group.
- Zimbelman, JR; Williams, SH; Tchakerian VP. 1995. Sand transport paths in the Mojave Desert, southwestern United States. In: Tchakerian, VP, ed. Desert Aeolian processes. London: Chapman and Hall: pp 101-129.

## APPENDIX A

### Potential for Special Status Wildlife Species to Occur Athos Renewable Energy Project

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>AMPHIBIAN and REPTILES</b>				
<p><b>Couch's spadefoot toad</b></p> <p><i>Scaphiopus couchii</i></p>	<p>Occurs along desert washes, desert riparian, palm oasis, desert succulent shrub, and desert scrub habitats. Also found in cultivated cropland areas. Breeds in temporary pools within rocky streambeds, washes, agricultural fields, in road depressions railroad tracks, and cattle tanks. Pools of water must persist 7 to 8 days to facilitate eggs hatching and larvae transformation</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: SSC</p> <p>BLM sensitive</p>	<p>low to moderate</p> <p>not observed</p> <p>potentially occur on G</p>	<p>low to moderate</p> <p>not observed</p> <p>potentially occur on gen-tie 3</p>
<p><b>Agassiz's desert tortoise</b></p> <p><i>Gopherus agassizii</i></p>	<p>higher populations in creosote bush communities with friable soils for burrow construction, with extensive annual blooms, but found in almost every desert habitat</p>	<p>Federal: FT</p> <p>State: ST</p> <p>State: ST</p>	<p>low to moderate</p> <p>live individual not observed, burrows observed on C, F, and west of F;</p> <p>potentially occur on D, F, gen-tie 3</p>	<p>low to moderate</p> <p>live individual not observed, burrows observed on gen-tie 3</p> <p>potentially occur on gen-tie 2A, 2B, 3, 4,</p>
<p><b>Mojave fringe-toed lizard</b></p> <p><i>Uma scoparia</i></p>	<p>Restricted to fine, loose, wind-blown deposits in sand dunes, dry lakebeds, riverbanks, desert washes, sparse alkali scrub and desert shrub habitats</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: SSC</p> <p>BLM sensitive</p>	<p><b>Present</b></p> <p>observed on G</p>	<p>low to moderate</p> <p>potentially occur on gen-tie 1, 1A or gen-tie 3</p>

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>MAMMALS</b>				
<b>Burro deer</b>  <i>Odocoileus hemionus eremicus</i>	<p>Occur in early to intermediate successional stages of most forest, woodland, and brush habitats. Prefer a mosaic of various-aged vegetation that provides woody cover, meadow and shrubby openings, and free water</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: CPGS</p>	<p>high</p> <p>live individual observed south of G</p> <p>potentially occur on D, F, G, or gen-tie 3</p>	<p>high</p> <p>live individual observed south of gen-tie 3, and scat/tracks observed on gen-tie 4</p> <p>potentially occur on gen-tie 2A, 2B, 3, 4</p>
<b>Desert bighorn sheep</b>  <i>Ovis canadensis nelsoni</i>	<p>Habitats used include alpine dwarf-shrub, low sage, sagebrush, bitterbrush, pinyon-juniper, palm oasis, desert riparian, desert succulent shrub, desert scrub, subalpine conifer, perennial grassland, montane chaparral, montane riparian (DeForge 1980, Monson and Sumner 1980, Wehausen 1980). Use rocky, steep terrain for escape and bedding. Remain near rugged terrain while feeding in open habitat</p>	<p>Federal: BLMS</p> <p>State: CFP</p>	<p>low - unsuitable habitat</p> <p>not observed</p>	<p>low - unsuitable habitat</p> <p>not observed</p>
<b>Yuma mountain lion</b>  <i>Puma concolor browni</i>	<p>Primarily inhabit the low mountains and extensive wash systems in and around Chuckwalla Bench, Chuckwalla Mountains, Chocolate Mountains, Picacho Mountains, Milpitas Wash, Vinagre Wash, and other washes in that area. Mountain lions typically occur in habitat areas with extensive, well-developed riparian or shrubby vegetation interspersed with irregular terrain, rocky outcrops, and community edges. Restricted to the southern Colorado Desert from Joshua Tree National Park south and east to the Colorado River.</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: SSC</p>	<p>low to moderate</p> <p>not observed</p> <p>potentially occur on D, F, and gen-tie 3</p>	<p>low to moderate</p> <p>not observed</p> <p>potentially occur on gen-tie 3, 4</p>

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>American badger</b>  <i>Taxidea taxus</i>	Suitable habitat for badgers is characterized by herbaceous, shrub, and open stages of most habitats with dry, friable soils.	Federal: None  State: SSC	<b>Present</b>  carcass observed in C, dig and burrow observed in A, D, F  potentially occur throughout site	high  not observed  potentially occur throughout gen-tie
<b>Desert kit fox</b>  <i>Vulpes macrotis arsipus</i>	Lives in annual grasslands or grassy open vegetation dominated by scattered brush, shrubs, and scrub. Cover provided by occur. Active dens/complexes with sign observed. dens they dig in open, level areas with loose- textured, sandy and loamy soils.	Federal: None  State: CPF	high  burrows and complexes observed in A, C, D, E, F  potentially occur throughout Project site	high  not observed  potentially occur throughout Project site
<b>BATS</b>				
<b>Pallid bat</b>  <i>Antrozous pallidus</i>	Inhabit low elevation (less than 6,000 feet) rocky, arid deserts and canyon lands. Typical roosting habitat is not shrub/steppe grasslands. Day and night roosts include crevices in rocky outcrops and cliffs, however, roosting opportunities may exist outside caves, mines, trees with exfoliating bark, and various human structures (WBWG, 2005)	Federal: None  State: SSC  BLM sensitive	foraging moderate, roosting low, not observed  potentially forage in D, F, G, gen-tie 1A, 3  potentially roost in A, B, C, D, F, G, gen-tie 1A, 3	foraging moderate, roosting low, not observed  potentially forage in gen-tie 1A,1C, 2A, 2B, 3, 4  potentially roost in gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4
<b>Townsend's big-eared bat</b>  <i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i>	Habitat associations include coniferous forests, deserts, native prairies, riparian communities, active agricultural areas, and coastal habitat types. Foraging associations include edge habitats along streams, adjacent to and within a variety of wooded habitats.	Federal: None  State: SSC  BLM sensitive	foraging moderate, roosting low-moderate, not observed  potentially forage in D, F, gen-tie 1A, 3  potentially roost in A, B, C, D, F, G, gen-tie 1A, 3	foraging moderate, roosting low-moderate, not observed  potentially forage in gen-tie 1, 1A,, 2A, 2B, 3, 4  potentially roost in gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4



SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Big brown bat</b>  <i>Eptesicus fuscus</i>	widespread and abundant species has been recorded in virtually every North American vegetation type. Uncommon in hot desert habitats, and is absent only from the highest alpine meadows and talus slopes. Vagrant individuals may be seen in any habitat. Uses buildings and other human-made structures for roosting to such an extent that natural roosting habits are under documented	Federal: None  State: none	low not observed  distant from nearest records	low not observed  distant from nearest records
<b>Spotted bat</b>  <i>Euderma maculatum</i>	Arid, low desert habitats to high elevation conifer forests and prominent rock features appear to be a necessary feature for roosting	Federal: None State: SSC BLM sensitive	low not observed distant from nearest records	low not observed distant from nearest records
<b>Western mastiff bat</b>  <i>Eumops perotis</i>	Variety of habitats, from desert scrub to chaparral to oak woodland and into the ponderosa pine belt and high elevation meadows of mixed conifer forests	Federal: None State: SSC BLM sensitive	foraging moderate, roosting low, not observed potentially forage in C, E, D, F, and gen-tie 1A, 3 lacks roosting	foraging moderate, roosting low, not observed potentially forage in gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4 lacks roosting
<b>Hoary bat</b>  <i>Lasiurus cinereus</i>	Highly associated with forested habitats. Usually are located at the edge of a clearing, although more unusual roosting sites have been reported in caves, beneath rock ledges, woodpecker holes, squirrel nests, building sides, and in dried palm fronds on palm trees.	Federal: None  State: None	foraging moderate, roosting low not observed	foraging moderate, roosting low not observed
<b>Western yellow bat</b>  <i>Lasiurus xanthinus</i>	Recorded below 600 m (2000 ft) in valley foothill riparian, desert riparian, desert wash. This species occurs year-round in California.	Federal: None  State: SSC	foraging and roosting moderate, not observed potentially forage or roost in D, F, gen-tie 1A, 3	foraging and roosting moderate, not observed potentially forage or roost in gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<p><b>California leaf-nosed bat</b></p> <p><i>Macrotus californicus</i></p>	<p>species depends on either caves or mines for roosting habitat. All major maternity, mating, and overwintering sites are in mines or caves (BLM CDD, 2002). California leaf-nosed bat forage almost exclusively among desert wash vegetation within 10 km of their roost (WBWG, 2005)</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: SSC</p> <p>BLM sensitive</p>	<p>foraging moderate, roosting low not observed</p> <p>potentially forage in D, F, gen-tie 1A, 3</p>	<p>foraging moderate, roosting low not observed</p> <p>potentially forage in gen-tie 1, 1A,2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access</p>
<p><b>Arizona myotis</b></p> <p><i>Myotis occultus</i></p>	<p>Commonly known from conifer forests from 6,000 to 9,000 feet in elevation, although maternity roosts are known from much lower elevations including areas along the Colorado River in California.</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: SSC</p>	<p>low not observed</p> <p>distant from nearest records</p>	<p>low not observed</p> <p>distant from nearest records</p>
<p><b>Cave myotis</b></p> <p><i>Myotis velifer</i></p>	<p>Found primarily at lower elevations of the arid southwest in areas dominated by creosote bush, palo verde, and cactus. This species is a "cave dweller" and caves are the main roosts although this species may also use mines, buildings, and bridges for roosts</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: SSC</p> <p>BLM sensitive</p>	<p>low not observed</p> <p>distant from nearest records</p>	<p>low not observed</p> <p>distant from nearest records</p>
<p><b>Yuma myotis</b></p> <p><i>Myotis yumanensis</i></p>	<p>Associated with permanent sources of water, typically rivers and streams, feeding primarily on aquatic emergent insects. Also use tinajas (small pools in bedrock) in the arid west. Occurs in a variety of habitats including riparian, arid scrublands and deserts, and forests. Roosts in bridges, buildings, cliff crevices, caves, mines, and trees.</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: None</p> <p>BLM sensitive</p>	<p>low not observed</p> <p>distant from nearest records</p>	<p>low not observed</p> <p>distant from nearest records</p>

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Pocketed free-tailed bat</b>  <i>Nyctinomops femorosaccus</i>	Known to occur in the desert from Mar-Aug, when they then migrate out of the area. In California, found primarily in creosote bush and chaparral habitats in proximity to granite boulders, cliffs, or rocky canyons.	Federal: None  State: SSC	low not observed  distant from nearest records	low not observed  distant from nearest records
<b>Big free-tailed bat</b>  <i>Nyctinomops macrotis</i>	Found generally sea level to 8,000 feet in elevation. This species occurs in desert shrub, . It roosts mostly in the crevices of rocks although may roost in buildings, caves, and tree cavities	Federal: None  State: SSC	foraging moderate, roosting low not observed  potentially forage D, F, G, gen-tie 1A, 3	foraging moderate, roosting low not observed  potentially forage in gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access
<b>BIRDS</b>				
<b>Golden eagle</b>  <b>(Nesting and wintering)</b>  <i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>	Typically rolling foothills, mountain areas, sage- juniper flats, desert. Nests on cliffs of all heights and in large trees in open areas. Rugged, open habitats with canyons and escarpments used most frequently for nesting.	Federal: BCC  State: CFP, WL  BLM sensitive	Nesting/Wintering - minimal  Foraging - Low	Nesting/Wintering - minimal  Foraging - Low
<b>Short-eared owl (Nesting)</b>  <i>Asio flammeus</i>	Year-round residents in N. California and other parts of CA during wintering. Require open country that supports small mammal that also provides adequate vegetation to provide cover for nests includes salt- and freshwater marshes, irrigated alfalfa or grain fields, and ungrazed grasslands and old pastures.	Federal: None  State: SSC	migration -moderate, nesting - low, not observed  potentially occur on G	migration-moderate, nesting - low, not observed  potentially occur near gen-tie 3
<b>Western burrowing owl</b>  <i>Athene cunicularia hypugaea</i>	A yearlong resident of open, dry grassland and desert habitats. Uses rodent or other burrows for roosting and nesting cover. In the Colorado Desert, generally occur at low densities in scattered populations	Federal: BCC  State: SSC  BLM sensitive	high - nesting, foraging  observed live at G, sign at A, B, C, D, E, F, G  potentially occur throughout Project	high - nesting, foraging  not observed  potentially occur throughout Project

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Redhead (Nesting)</b>  <i>Aythya americana</i>	During breeding season may be found along e Colorado River and Salton Sea. Breeds locally in the Central Valley, coastal Southern California, eastern Kern County, and the Salton. Nests in fresh emergent wetland bordering open water.	Federal: None  State: SSC	low not observed  distant from nearest records	low not observed  distant from nearest records
<b>Ferruginous hawk (Wintering)</b>  <i>Buteo regalis</i>	Most common in grassland and agricultural areas in the southwest. Found in open terrain from grasslands to deserts, and are usually associated with concentrations of small mammals.	Federal: BCC  State: WL	wintering/migration moderate, nesting low, not observed  potentially forage in D, F, gen-tie 1A, 3	wintering/migration moderate, nesting low, not observed  potentially forage in gen-tie 1, 1A,2A, 2B, 3, and 4, ROW access
<b>Swainson's hawk</b>  <i>Buteo swainsoni</i>	Require large areas of open landscape for foraging, including grasslands and agricultural lands that provide low-growing vegetation for hunting and high rodent prey populations. Typically nest in large native trees such as valley oak, cottonwood, walnut, willow, and occasionally in nonnative trees within riparian woodlands, roadside trees, trees along field borders, isolated trees, small groves, and on the edges of remnant oak woodlands	Federal: BCC  State: ST	migration moderate, nesting - low, observed at G  potentially forage throughout Project	migration high, nesting – Low, observed at gen-tie 3  potentially forage throughout Project
<b>Costa's hummingbird (Nesting)</b>  <i>Calypte costae</i>	Primary habitats are desert wash, edges of desert riparian and valley foothill riparian	Federal: BCC  State: None	foraging, nesting - moderate not observed  potentially forage or nest in D, F, G gen-tie 1A, 3	foraging, nesting - moderate not observed  potentially forage or nest in gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Vaux's swift (Nesting)</b>  <i>Chaetura vauxi</i>	Not known to breed in Riverside or Southern California. They prefer to nest in the hollows inside of large old conifer trees, especially snags, which are entirely lacking from the Project site.	Federal: None  State: SSC	migration high, nesting - low, not observed  potentially migrate throughout Project	migration high, nesting – low not observed  potentially migrate throughout Project
<b>Mountain plover (Wintering)</b>  <i>Charadrius montanus</i>	habitat includes short-grass prairie or their equivalents, and in southern California deserts are associated primarily with agricultural areas	Federal: BCC  State: SSC  BLM sensitive	wintering moderate, nesting low, not observed  potentially forage in A, B, C, E, G	wintering moderate, nesting low, not observed  potentially forage in gen-tie 1C
<b>Black tern</b>  <i>Chlidonias niger</i>	restricted to freshwater habitats while breeding, can be fairly common on bays, salt ponds, river mouths, and pelagic waters in spring and fall migration (Grinnell and Miller 1944, Cogswell, 1977)	Federal: None  State: SSC	wintering and nesting low  not observed  uncommon migrant	wintering and nesting low  not observed  uncommon migrant
<b>Northern harrier (Nesting)</b>  <i>Circus cyaneus</i>	Does not commonly breed in desert regions of California, where suitable habitat is limited, but winters broadly throughout California in areas with suitable habitat. Northern harriers forage in open habitats including deserts, pasturelands, grasslands, and old fields.	Federal: None  State: SSC	wintering/migration high, nesting low observed flying over Project  potentially forage throughout Project	wintering/migration high, nesting low observed flying over Project  potentially forage throughout Project
<b>Western yellow-billed cuckoo</b>  <i>Coccyzus americanus occidentalis</i>	Breeds along the major river valleys in southern and western New Mexico, and central and southern Arizona. In California, the western yellow-billed cuckoo's breeding distribution is now thought to be restricted to isolated sites in the Sacramento, Amargosa, Kern, Santa Ana, and Colorado River valleys.	Federal: FT, BCC  State: SE  BLM sensitive	migration and nesting low  not observed  uncommon migrant	migration and nesting low  not observed  uncommon migrant

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Gilded flicker</b>  <i>Colaptes chrysoides</i>	Stands of giant cactus, Joshua tree, and riparian groves of cottonwoods and tree willows in warm desert lowlands and foothills. Nests primarily in cactus, but also will use cottonwoods and willows of riparian woodlands. May be nearly extinct in California.	Federal: BCC  State: SE  BLM sensitive	low  not observed  distant from nearest records	low  not observed  distant from nearest records
<b>Black swift (Nesting)</b>  <i>Cypseloides niger</i>	Nests in moist crevice or cave on sea cliffs r above the surf, or on cliffs behind, or adjacent to, waterfalls in deep canyons. Forages widely over many habitats.	Federal: BCC  State: SSC	migration and nesting low  not observed  uncommon migrant	migration and nesting low  not observed  uncommon migrant
<b>Willow flycatcher (Nesting)</b>  <i>Empidonax traillii</i>  <b>Southwestern willow flycatcher</b>  <i>E. t. extimus</i>	Most often occurs in broad, open river valleys or large mountain meadows with lush growth of shrubby willows (Serena 1982). Common spring (mid-May to early June) and fall (mid- August to early September) migrant at lower elevations, primarily in riparian habitats throughout the state exclusive of the North Coast.	Federal: None  State: SE  Federal: FE  State: SE	nesting and wintering low  uncommon migrant  not observed  nesting/winter - low  uncommon migrant	nesting and wintering low  uncommon migrant  not observed  nesting/wintering low  uncommon migrant
<b>California horned lark</b>  <i>Eremophila alpestris actia</i>	A common to abundant resident in a variety of open habitats, usually where trees and large shrubs are absent. Found from grasslands along the coast and deserts near sea level to alpine dwarf-shrub habitat above tree line. In winter, flocks in desert lowlands and other areas augmented by winter visitants, many migrating from outside the state (Garrett and Dunn 1981).	Federal: None  State: WL	high observed  potentially occur throughout Project site	high observed  potentially occur throughout Project site

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Prairie falcon (Nesting)</b>  <i>Falco mexicanus</i>	<p>Occurs in annual grasslands to alpine meadows, but associated primarily with perennial grasslands, savannahs, rangeland, some agricultural fields, and desert scrub. Typically nests at cliffs and bluffs</p>	<p>Federal: BCC  State: WL</p>	<p>foraging high, nesting low  observed  potentially occur foraging throughout Project site</p>	<p>foraging high, nesting low  observed  potentially occur foraging throughout Project site</p>
<b>American peregrine falcon (Nesting)</b>  <i>Falco peregrinus anatum</i>	<p>Rare in the arid southeast, occur and are suspected to breed in the lower Colorado River Valley. Peregrine falcons require open habitat for foraging, and prefer breeding sites near water. Nesting habitat includes cliffs, steep banks, dunes, mounds, and some human-made structures</p>	<p>Federal: BCC  State: CFP</p>	<p>foraging moderate, nesting low not observed  potentially forage throughout Project</p>	<p>foraging moderate, nesting low not observed  potentially forage throughout Project</p>
<b>Sandhill crane (Wintering)</b>  <i>Grus canadensis</i>	<p>Breeds in open wetland habitats surrounded by shrubs or trees. They nest in marshes, bogs, wet meadows, prairies, burned-over aspen stands, and other moist habitats, preferring those with standing water. Outside of known wintering grounds, extremely rare except during migration over much of interior California.</p>	<p>Federal: None  State: SSC</p>	<p>migration moderate, nesting low observed flying over Project  migration - throughout Project, but no suitable foraging</p>	<p>migration moderate, nesting low observed flying over Project  migration - throughout Project, but no suitable foraging</p>
<b>Yellow-breasted chat (Nesting)</b>  <i>Icteria virens</i>	<p>This species occupies shrubby riparian habitat with an open canopy, and will nest in non- native species, including tamarisk.</p>	<p>Federal: None  State: SSC</p>	<p>migration moderate, nesting low not observed  potentially occur foraging during migration in D, F, gen-tie 1, 1A, 3</p>	<p>migration moderate, nesting low not observed  potentially occur foraging during migration on gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access</p>
<b>Loggerhead shrike (Nesting)</b>  <i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>	<p>Open habitats with scattered shrubs, trees, posts, fences, utility lines, or other perches. Highest density occurs in open-canopied valley foothill hardwood, valley foothill hardwood- conifer, valley foothill riparian, pinyon-juniper, juniper, desert riparian, and Joshua tree habitats</p>	<p>Federal: BCC  State: SSC</p>	<p>nesting - high, foraging high observed at E  potentially occur throughout Project site</p>	<p>nesting- high, foraging - high not observed  potentially occur throughout Project site</p>

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Gila woodpecker</b>  <i>Melanerpes uropygialis</i>	In California, this species is found primarily along the Colorado River and in small numbers in Imperial County. In southeastern California, Gila woodpeckers formerly were associated with desert washes extending up to 1 mile from the Colorado River; however, their range may be expanding	Federal: BCC  State: SE  BLM sensitive	foraging, nesting - low to moderate, not observed  potentially occur foraging or nesting on D, F, G gen-tie 1A, 3	foraging, nesting - low to moderate, not observed  potentially occur foraging or nesting on gen-tie 1,1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4
<b>Elf owl</b>  <i>Micrathene whitneyi</i>	A very rarely seen spring and summer resident of the Colorado River Valley. Nests in desert riparian habitat with cottonwood, sycamore, willow or mesquite; absent from desert riparian habitat dominated by saltcedar	Federal: BCC  State: SE  BLM sensitive	foraging, nesting - low to moderate not observed  potentially occur foraging or nesting on D, F, G gen-tie 1, 1A, 3	foraging, nesting - low to moderate, not observed  potentially occur foraging or nesting on gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access
<b>Long-billed curlew (Nesting)</b>  <i>Numenius americanus</i>	Preferred breeding and winter habitats include large coastal estuaries, upland herbaceous areas, and croplands. On estuaries, feeding occurs mostly on intertidal mudflats.	Federal: BCC  State: WL	migration moderate, nesting low not observed  migration throughout Project site, no suitable foraging	migration moderate, nesting low not observed  migration throughout Project site, no suitable foraging
<b>Lucy's warbler (Nesting)</b>  <i>Oreothlypis luciae</i>	An uncommon to common, summer resident and breeder along the Colorado River, common locally in a few other desert areas, and rare near Salton Sea. It occurs in desert typical nesting habitat, mesquite wash and desert riparian habitats, may use abandoned verdin nests	Federal: BCC  State: SSC  BLM sensitive	foraging, nesting moderate, not observed  potentially occur foraging or nesting on D, F, gen-tie 1, 1A, 3	foraging, nesting moderate, not observed  potentially occur foraging or nesting on gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access
<b>American white pelican (Nesting colony)</b>  <i>Pelecanus erythrorhynchos</i>	Common spring and fall migrant at Salton Sea and Colorado River. Migrant flocks pass overhead almost any month, but mainly in spring and fall throughout the state, especially in southern California (Cogswell 1977, McCaskie et al. 1979, Garrett and Dunn 1981)	Federal: None  State: SSC	migration moderate, nesting/wintering low not observed  migration throughout Project site, no suitable foraging	migration moderate, nesting/wintering low not observed  migration throughout Project site, no suitable foraging



SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Black-tailed gnatcatcher</b>  <i>Polioptila melanura</i>	<p>A year-round resident in southwestern U.S. and central and northern Mexico, in California, is found in the southeast desert wash habitat from Palm Springs and Joshua Tree National Park south, and along the Colorado River. It is now rare in eastern Mojave Desert north to the Amargosa River, Inyo County. This species nests primarily in wooded desert wash habitat, but also occurs in creosote scrub habitat during the non-breeding season.</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: WL</p>	<p>foraging, nesting high observed</p> <p>potentially occur on B, C, D, E, F, gen-tie 1, 1A, 3</p>	<p>foraging, nesting high observed</p> <p>potentially occur on gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access</p>
<b>Vesper sparrow</b>  <i>Poocetes gramineus</i>	<p>Fairly common locally in southern deserts in the winter and during migration. Occupies grasslands, croplands, and open brush lands.</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: SSC</p>	<p>migration moderate, nesting low not observed</p> <p>migration throughout Project site, no suitable wintering or nesting habitat</p>	<p>migration moderate, nesting low not observed</p> <p>migration throughout Project site, no suitable wintering or nesting habitat</p>
<b>Purple martin</b>  <i>Progne subis</i>	<p>The historical breeding range of the purple martin includes southern California, though populations have shrunk dramatically and neither includes the Colorado Desert. Habitat requirements include adequate nest sites and availability of large aerial insects, and therefore are most abundant near wetlands and other water sources.</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: SSC</p>	<p>migration moderate, nesting low not observed</p> <p>migration throughout Project site, no suitable wintering or nesting habitat</p>	<p>migration moderate, nesting low not observed</p> <p>migration throughout Project site, no suitable wintering or nesting habitat</p>
<b>Vermilion flycatcher (Nesting)</b>  <i>Pyrocephalus rubinus</i>	<p>They are usually found near water in arid scrub, farmlands, parks, golf courses, desert, savanna, cultivated lands, and riparian woodlands; nesting substrate includes cottonwood, willow, and mesquite.</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: SSC</p>	<p>wintering, nesting low not observed</p> <p>migration throughout Project site</p>	<p>wintering, nesting low not observed</p> <p>migration throughout Project site</p>

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<p><b>Ridgway's (Yuma) clapper rail</b></p> <p><i>Rallus obsoletus yumanensis</i></p>	<p>Occurs in inland areas in the southwestern United States. This subspecies is partially migratory, with many birds wintering in brackish marshes along the Gulf of California. Some remain on their breeding grounds throughout the year; for example, the Salton Sea (south) Christmas Bird Count frequently records this species in the fresh-water marshes in and around the Imperial Wildlife Area (Wister Unit). Nesting and foraging habitat occurs only along the Lower Colorado River (from Topock Marsh southward) and around the Salton Sea</p>	<p>Federal: FE</p> <p>State: ST, CFP</p>	<p>wintering, nesting low not observed</p> <p>rare, migrants only</p>	<p>wintering, nesting low not observed</p> <p>rare, migrants only</p>
<p><b>Bank swallow (Nesting)</b></p> <p><i>Riparia riparia</i></p>	<p>A neotropical migrant found primarily in riparian and other lowland habitats in California west of the deserts during the spring-fall period. Uses holes dug in cliffs and river banks for cover. Will also roost on logs, shoreline vegetation, and telephone wires.</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: ST</p> <p>BLM sensitive</p>	<p>wintering, nesting low, migration moderate</p> <p>not observed</p> <p>migration throughout Project site</p>	<p>wintering, nesting low, migration moderate</p> <p>not observed</p> <p>migration throughout Project site</p>
<p><b>Sonora Yellow warbler (Nesting)</b></p> <p><i>Setophaga petechia sonorana</i></p>	<p>In southeastern California, this species is known only from the lower Colorado River Valley from the middle of San Bernardino County through Riverside and Imperial Counties. This species commonly uses wet, deciduous thickets for breeding, and seeks a variety of wooded, scrubby habitats in winter</p>	<p>Federal: BCC</p> <p>State: SSC</p>	<p>nesting low, migration moderate</p> <p>migration throughout Project site</p>	<p>nesting low, migration moderate</p> <p>migration throughout Project site</p>

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Lawrence's goldfinch (Nesting)</b>  <i>Spinus lawrencei</i>	Highly erratic and localized in occurrence. Rather common along western edge of southern deserts. Breeds in open oak or other arid woodland and chaparral, near water. Typical habitats in southern California include desert riparian, palm oasis, pinyon-juniper, and lower montane habitats.	Federal: BCC  State: none	wintering, nesting low, migration moderate  migration throughout Project site	wintering, nesting low, migration moderate  migration throughout Project site
<b>Bendire's thrasher</b>  <i>Toxostoma bendirei</i>	Favors open grassland, shrubland, or woodland with scattered shrubs, primarily in areas that contain large cholla, Joshua tree, Spanish bayonet, Mojave yucca, palo verde, mesquite, catclaw, desert-thorn, or agave.	Federal: BCC  State: SSC  BLM sensitive	foraging moderate, nesting low  potentially occur on D, F, gen-tie 1, 1A, 3	foraging moderate, nesting low  potentially occur on gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access
<b>Crissal thrasher</b>  <i>Toxostoma crissale</i>	This species prefers habitats characterized by dense, low scrubby vegetation, which, at lower elevations, includes desert and foothill scrub and riparian brush.	Federal: None  State: SSC	wintering, nesting low, migration moderate  potentially occur throughout Project site	wintering, nesting low, migration moderate  potentially occur throughout Project site
<b>Le Conte's thrasher</b>  <i>Toxostoma lecontei</i>	Occurs primarily in open desert wash, desert scrub, alkali desert scrub, and desert succulent shrub habitats; also occurs in Joshua tree habitat with scattered shrubs.	Federal: None  State: SSC	High  potentially occur on C, D, E, F, G, and gen-tie 1, 1A, 3	High  potentially occur on gen-tie 1, 1A, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, ROW access

SPECIES	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	CONSERVATION STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
			PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<p><b>Arizona Bell's vireo</b></p> <p><i>Vireo bellii arizonae</i></p> <p><b>Least Bell's vireo</b></p> <p><i>V. b. pusillus</i></p>	<p>Subspecies <i>V. b. pusillus</i> (endemic to California and Baja California - state and federally listed) and <i>V. b arizonae</i> are state listed. Bell's vireo is a rare, local, summer resident below about 600 m (2000 ft) in willows and other low, dense valley foothill riparian habitat and lower portions of canyons mostly in San Benito and Monterey Co.; in coastal southern California from Santa Barbara Co. south; and along the western edge of the deserts in desert riparian habitat.</p>	<p>Federal: BCC</p> <p>State: SE BLM sensitive</p> <p>Federal: FE</p> <p>State: SE</p>	<p>wintering and nesting low, migration moderate</p> <p>migration throughout Project</p> <p>wintering and nesting low, migration moderate</p> <p>migration throughout Project</p>	<p>wintering and nesting low, migration moderate</p> <p>migration throughout Project</p> <p>wintering and nesting low, migration moderate</p> <p>migration throughout Project</p>
<p><b>Yellow-headed blackbird (Nesting)</b></p> <p><i>Xanthocephalus xanthocephalus</i></p>	<p>Nests in fresh emergent wetland with dense vegetation and deep water, often along borders of lakes or ponds. Forages in emergent wetland and moist, open areas, especially cropland and muddy shores of lacustrine habitat. Occurs as a migrant and local breeder in deserts</p>	<p>Federal: None</p> <p>State: SSC</p>	<p>wintering and nesting low, migration moderate</p> <p>migration throughout Project</p>	<p>wintering and nesting low, migration moderate</p> <p>migration throughout Project</p>

Conservation Status

Federal FE = Federally listed endangered: species in danger of extinction throughout a significant portion of its range

FT = Federally listed, threatened: species likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future

FCT = Proposed for federal listing as a threatened species

BCC = Fish and Wildlife Service: Birds of Conservation Concern:

State    SSC = State Species of Special Concern  
          CFP = California Fully Protected  
          SE = State listed as endangered  
          ST = State listed as threatened  
          WL = State watch list  
          CPF = California Protected Furbearing Mammal  
          CPGS = California Protected Game Species

Bureau of Land Management  
          BLMS = BLM Sensitive

\*\* Species not detected during previous surveys may have the potential to occur on the Project site in the future.

## APPENDIX B

### Potential for Special Status Plant Species to Occur Athos Renewable Energy Project

PLANT SPECIES	FORM; HABITAT; DISTRIBUTION (COUNTIES)	CONSERVATION STATUS	ELEVATION (meters)	BLOOMING PERIOD	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
					PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Chaparral sand verbena</b> <i>Abronia villosa var. aurita</i>	Annual herb; sandy – chaparral, coastal scrub, desert dunes; Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Ventura	Federal: none CRPR: 1B.1  BLM sensitive	75 - 1600	Jan-Sep	moderate not observed potentially occur on parcel group A or D	moderate not observed potentially occur on gen-tie 1A or 3
<b>Angel trumpets</b> <i>Acleisanthes longiflora</i>	Perennial herb; Sonoran desert scrub (carbonate); known in CA only from one occurrence in the Maria Mountains	Federal: none  CRPR: 2B.3	90 - 95	May	Low – distant from known records  not observed	low - distant from known records  not observed
<b>Desert sand parsley</b> <i>Ammoselinum giganteum/ Spermolepis gigantea</i>	Annual herb; Sonoran Desert scrub, Riverside- known in CA only from Hayfields Dry Lake	Federal: none  CRPR: 2B.1	~152	Mar-Apr	low - distant from known records  not observed	low – distant from known records  not observed
<b>Small-flowered androstrophium</b> <i>Androstrophium breviflorum</i>	perennial bulbiferous herb; desert dunes, Mojavean desert scrub (bajada); San Bernardino, Riverside, Inyo	Federal: none  CRPR: 2B.2	220 - 800	Mar-Apr	low - distant from known records  not observed	low – distant from known records  Not observed
<b>Harwood’s milkvetch</b> <i>Astragalus insularis var. harwoodii</i>	Annual herb; sandy or gravelly - desert dunes, Mojavean Desert scrub; Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Inyo	Federal: none  CRPR: 2B.2	0-710	Jan-May	Moderate not observed potentially occur on G	Moderate not observed potentially occur on gen-tie 1A or 3

PLANT SPECIES	FORM; HABITAT; DISTRIBUTION (COUNTIES)	CONSERVATION STATUS	ELEVATION (meters)	BLOOMING PERIOD	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
					PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Coachella Valley milkvetch</b> <i>Astragalus lentiginosus</i> var. <i>coachellae</i>	Annual/perennial herb; Desert dunes -Sonoran desert scrub (sandy); Riverside Coachella Valley Preserve System	Federal: FE CRPR: 1B.2 BLM sensitive	40-655	Feb-May	low - distant from known records not observed	low - distant from known records not observed
<b>California ayenia</b> <i>Ayenia compacta</i>	Perennial herb; Mojavean desert scrub Sonoran desert scrub; Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.3	150-1095	Mar-Apr	low - distant from known records not observed	low - distant from known records not observed
<b>Pink fairy duster</b> <i>Calliandra eriophylla</i>	perennial deciduous shrub Sonoran Desert scrub (sandy or rocky); Imperial, Riverside, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.3	120 - 1500	Jan-Mar	minimal not observed	minimal not observed
<b>Sand evening-primrose</b> <i>Chylisimia arenaria</i> [= <i>Camissonia arenaria</i> ]	annual / perennial herb; Sonoran Desert scrub (sandy or rocky); Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.2	70-915	Nov-May	low - distant from known records not observed	low- distant from known records not observed
<b>Crucifixion thorn</b> <i>Castela emoryi</i>	perennial deciduous shrub; gravelly -Mojavean desert scrub, Playas, Sonoran Desert scrub, Imperial, Inyo, Riverside, San Bernardino	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.2	90-725	Apr-Oct	<b>Present</b> observed at D	moderate not observed
<b>Abram's spurge</b> <i>Chamaesyce abramsiana</i>	Annual herb; sandy - Mojavean desert scrub, Sonoran Desert scrub, Imperial, San Bernardino, San Diego, Riverside	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.2	5-1310	Aug-Nov	Moderate Not observed potentially occur on C, D, E, F, or gen-tie 1A, 3	Moderate Not observed potentially occur on gen-tie 1A, 1C, 2A, 2C, 3, 4

PLANT SPECIES	FORM; HABITAT; DISTRIBUTION (COUNTIES)	CONSERVATION STATUS	ELEVATION (meters)	BLOOMING PERIOD	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
					PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Arizona spurge</b> <i>Chamaesyce arizonica</i>	Perennial herb; Sonoran Desert scrub (sandy); Imperial, Riverside, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.3	50-300	Mar-Apr	low - distant from known records Not observed	low – distant from known records Not observed
<b>Flat-seeded spurge</b> <i>Chamaesyce platysperma</i>	Annual herb; Desert dunes - Sonoran Desert scrub (sandy); Imperial Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 1B.2 BLM sensitive	65-100	Feb-Sep	low - distant from known records not observed	low - distant from known records not observed
<b>Las Animas colubrina</b> <i>Colubrina californica</i>	Perennial deciduous shrub; Mojavean desert scrub, Sonoran desert scrub Imperial; Riverside, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.3	10-1000	Apr-Jun	minimal not observed	minimal not observed
<b>Spiny abrojo</b> <i>Condalia globosa</i> var. <i>pubescens</i>	Perennial deciduous shrub, Sonoran desert scrub, Imperial, Riverside, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 4.2	85-1000	Mar-Nov	minimal not observed	minimal not observed
<b>Foxtail cactus</b> <i>Coryphantha alversonii</i>	perennial stem succulent; sandy or rocky, usually granitic - Mojavean desert scrub, Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Riverside, Imperial	Federal: none CRPR: 4.3	75-1525	Apr-Jun	minimal not observed	minimal not observed
<b>Ribbed cryptantha</b> <i>Cryptantha costata</i>	annual herb; sandy - Desert dunes, Mojavean desert scrub, Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Inyo, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 4.3	-560	Feb-May	moderate not observed potentially occur on A, C, D, E, F, G, or gen-tie 1A, 3	moderate not observed potentially occur on gen-tie 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, 4
<b>Winged cryptantha</b> <i>Cryptantha holoptera</i>	Annual herb; Mojavean desert scrub - Sonoran desert scrub Imperial, Inyo, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 4.3	100-1690	Mar-Apr	low - distant from known records Not observed	low - distant from known records Not observed



PLANT SPECIES	FORM; HABITAT; DISTRIBUTION (COUNTIES)	CONSERVATION STATUS	ELEVATION (meters)	BLOOMING PERIOD	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
					PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Wiggins' cholla</b> <i>Cylindropuntia wigginsii</i> [= <i>Opuntia wigginsii</i> ]	Perennial stem succulent. Sonoran desert scrub (sandy) Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 3.3	30-885	Mar	minimal not observed	minimal not observed
<b>Utah milkvine</b> <i>Cynanchum utahense</i> (syn=[= <i>Funastrum utahense</i> ])	Perennial herb; sandy or gravelly - Mojavean desert scrub, Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 4.2	100-1435	Mar-Oct	moderate not observed potentially occur on A, C, D, E, F, G, or gen-tie 1A, 3	moderate no observed potentially occur on gen-tie 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, 4
<b>Glandular ditaxis</b> <i>Ditaxis claryana</i>	perennial herb; sandy; Mojavean desert scrub; Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Riverside, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.2	0-465	Oct-Mar	moderate not observed potentially occur on A, C, D, E, F, G, or gen-tie 1A, 3	moderate not observed potentially occur on gen-tie 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, 4
<b>California ditaxis</b> <i>Ditaxis serrata</i> var. <i>californica</i>	Perennial herb; Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 3.2	30-1000	Mar-Dec	moderate not observed potentially occur on A, C, D, E, F, G, or gen-tie 1A, 3	moderate not observed potentially occur on gen-tie 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, 4
<b>Cottontop cactus</b> <i>Echinocactus polycephalus</i> var. <i>polycephalus</i>	Perennial stem succulent. Rocky hills, silt valleys; Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Inyo, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: CBR	<1400	Mar-Aug	minimal not observed	minimal not observed
<b>Harwood's Eriastrum</b> <i>Eriastrum harwoodii</i>	annual herb; Desert dunes; Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 1B.2  BLM sensitive	125-915	Mar-Jun	moderate not observed potentially occur on A, G, or gen-tie 1A, 3	moderate not observed potentially occur on gen-tie 1A, 3

PLANT SPECIES	FORM; HABITAT; DISTRIBUTION (COUNTIES)	CONSERVATION STATUS	ELEVATION (meters)	BLOOMING PERIOD	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
					PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>California satintail</b> <i>Imperata brevifolia</i>	perennial rhizomatous herb; Chaparral, Coastal scrub, Mojavean desert scrub, Meadows and seeps (often alkali), Riparian scrub; Butte, Fresno, Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Lake, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, Tehama, Tulare, Ventura	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.1	0-1215	Sep-May	minimal not observed	minimal not observed
<b>Pink velvet mallow</b> <i>Horsfordia alata</i>	Perennial shrub; Sonoran desert scrub (rocky); Imperial, Riverside	Federal: none CRPR: 4.3	100-500	Feb-Dec	minimal not observed	minimal not observed
<b>Bitter hymenoxys</b> <i>Hymenoxys odorata</i>	Annual herb sandy; Riparian scrub, Sonoran desert scrub; San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.1	45-150	Feb-Nov	low - distant from known records Not observed	low - distant from known records Not observed
<b>Spearleaf</b> <i>Matelea parvifolia</i>	Perennial herb; rocky - Mojavean desert scrub, Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.3	440-1095	Mar-May	low - distant from known records not observed	low - distant from known records not observed
<b>Argus blazing star</b> <i>Mentzelia puberula</i>	Perennial herb; sandy or rocky - Mojavean desert scrub Sonoran desert scrub, Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.2	90-1280	Mar-May	low - unsuitable habitat not observed	low - unsuitable habitat not observed
<b>Slender cotton-heads</b> <i>Nemacaulis denudata</i> var. <i>gracilis</i>	Annual herb; coastal dunes, desert dunes, Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.2	-450	Mar-May	low - distant from known records not observed	low - distant from known records not observed

PLANT SPECIES	FORM; HABITAT; DISTRIBUTION (COUNTIES)	CONSERVATION STATUS	ELEVATION (meters)	BLOOMING PERIOD	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
					PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Lobed cherry</b> <i>Physalis lobata</i>	Perennial herb; Mojavean desert scrub (decomposed granitic), Playas; San Bernardino	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.3	500-800	May-Jan	Low- habitat not observed	Low – habitat not observed
<b>Desert portulaca</b> <i>Portulaca halimoides</i>	Annual herb; Joshua tree woodland (sandy, San Bernardino, Riverside)	Federal: none CRPR: 4.2	1000-2000	Sep	low - unsuitable elevation not observed	low - unsuitable elevation not observed
<b>Desert unicorn plant</b> <i>Proboscidea althaeifolia</i>	Perennial herb; gently sloping sandy flats and washes, sometimes roadsides, Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 4.3	85-1000	May-Oct	<b>Present</b>  Observed at A, B, C and gen-tie 1A	<b>Present</b>  Observed at gen-tie 1A
<b>Orocopia sage</b> <i>Salvia greatae</i>	Perennial evergreen shrub; Mojavean desert scrub, Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino	Federal: none CRPR: 1B.3  BLM sensitive	-865	Mar-Apr	minimal not observed	minimal not observed
<b>Desert spikemoss</b> <i>Selaginella eremophila</i>	Perennial rhizomatous herb; chaparral, Sonoran desert scrub (gravelly or rocky); Imperial, Riverside, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.2	200-1295	May-Jul	minimal not observed	minimal not observed
<b>Cove's cassia</b> <i>Senna covesii</i>	Perennial herb; dry, sandy desert washes and slopes, Sonoran desert scrub; Imperial, Riverside, Kern, San Bernardino, San Diego	Federal: none CRPR: 2B.2	225-1295	Mar-Aug	low – unsuitable elevation not observed	low-unsuitable elevation not observed

PLANT SPECIES	FORM; HABITAT; DISTRIBUTION (COUNTIES)	CONSERVATION STATUS	ELEVATION (meters)	BLOOMING PERIOD	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON PROJECT SITE	
					PRIVATE	PUBLIC
<b>Mesquite nest straw</b> <i>Stylocline sonorensis</i>	Annual herb; Sonoran desert scrub (sandy) Known in CA from only a single collection (1930) at Hayfields Dry Lake Possibly extirpated after 1930 by development	Federal: none  CRPR: 2A	+/- 400	Apr	low - distant from known records  not observed	low - distant from known records  not observed
<b>Dwarf germander</b> <i>Teucrium cubense ssp. depressum</i>	Annual herb; desert dunes, playas margins; Sonoran desert scrub, Imperial, Riverside	Federal: none  CRPR: 2B.2	45-400	Mar-Nov	low - distant from known records  not observed	low - distant from known records  not observed
<b>Jackass clover</b> <i>Wislizenia refracta ssp. refracta</i>	Annual herb; desert dunes, Mojavean desert scrub, playas, Sonoran desert scrub, Riverside, San Bernardino	Federal: none  CRPR: 2B.2	600-800	Apr-Nov	moderate  not observed potentially occur on A, C, D, E, F, G, or gen-tie 1A, 3	moderate  not observed potentially occur on gen-tie 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, 4
<b>Palmer's jackass clover</b> <i>Wislizenia refracta ssp. Palmeri</i>	perennial deciduous shrub; Chenopod scrub, Desert dunes, Sonoran desert scrub, Sonoran thorn woodland, Riverside, San Diego	Federal: none  CRPR: 2B.2	0-300	Jan-Dec	moderate  not observed potentially occur on A, C, D, E, F, G, or gen-tie 1A, 3	moderate  not observed potentially occur on gen-tie 1A, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3, 4
<b>"Palen Lake atriplex"</b> <i>Atriplex sp. nov. J. Andre (Atriplex canescens ssp.)</i>	Perennial shrub; Saline habitats, playa margins of Palen Dry Lake; Riverside	Federal: none CRPR: none BLM sensitive	<160	May-Jun	minimal  not observed	minimal  not observed

Federal FE = Federally listed endangered: species in danger of extinction throughout a significant portion of its range  
 FT = Federally listed, threatened: species likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future

California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR)

CRPR 1A = Presumed extirpated in California and either rare or extinct elsewhere

CRPR 1B = Rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere

CRPR 2A = Presumed extirpated in California but more common elsewhere

CRPR 2B = Rare, threatened, or endangered in California but more common elsewhere

CRPR 3 = Plants which need more information

CRPR 4 = Limited distribution – a watch list

CBR = Considered, But Rejected

.1 = Seriously endangered in California (high degree/immediacy of threat; over 80% of occurrences threatened)

.2 = Fairly endangered in California (moderate degree/immediacy of threat; 20%-80% of occurrences threatened)

.3 = Not very endangered in California (low degree/immediacy of threats or no current threats known; <20% of occurrences threatened or no current threats known)

#### Bureau of Land Management

BLM Sensitive = BLM Manual §6840 defines sensitive species as those species that are (1) under status review by the FWS/NMFS; or (2) whose numbers are declining so rapidly that Federal listing may become necessary, or (3) with typically small and widely dispersed populations; or (4) those inhabiting ecological refugia or other specialized or unique habitats. BLM, 2001

## APPENDIX C

### Athos Renewable Energy Project Wildlife Species Observed Fall 2017-Spring 2018

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
<b>Mammals</b>	
antelope ground squirrel	<i>Ammospermophilus leucurus</i>
round tail ground squirrel	<i>Xerospermophilus tereticaudus</i>
black tailed jackrabbit	<i>Lepus californicus</i>
desert kit fox	<i>Vulpes macrotis</i>
coyote	<i>Canis latrans</i>
burro deer	<i>Odocoileus hemionus</i>
<b>Reptiles</b>	
sidewinder rattlesnake	<i>Crotalus cerastes</i>
desert iguana	<i>Dipsosaurus dorsalis</i>
side blotched lizard	<i>Uta stansburiana</i>
western whiptail lizard	<i>Aspidoscelis tigris</i>
zebra-tailed Lizard	<i>Calisaurus draconoides</i>
<b>Birds</b>	
American kestrel	<i>Falco sparverius</i>
Anna's hummingbird	<i>Calypte anna</i>
ash-throated flycatcher	<i>Myiarchus cinerascens</i>
barn owl	<i>Tyto alba</i>
black phoebe	<i>Sayornis nigricans</i>
black tailed gnatcatcher	<i>Polioptila melanura</i>
black throated sparrow	<i>Amphispiza bilineata</i>
black-headed grosbeak	<i>Pheucticus melanocephalus</i>
black-necked stilt	<i>Himantopus mexicanus</i>
black-tailed gnatcatcher	<i>Polioptila melanura</i>
blue grey gnatcatcher	<i>Polioptila caerulea</i>
brown headed cowbird	<i>Molothrus ater</i>
burrowing owl	<i>Athene cunicularia</i>
cactus wren	<i>Campylorhynchus brunneicapillus</i>
common poorwill	<i>Phalaenoptilus nuttallii</i>
common raven	<i>Corvus corax</i>
common yellowthroat	<i>(Geothlypis trichas)</i>
Cooper's hawk	<i>Accipiter cooperii</i>
Eurasian collared-dove	<i>Streptopelia decaocto</i>
European starling	<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>
Gambel's quail	<i>Callipepla gambelii</i>

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
greater roadrunner	<i>Geococcyx californianus</i>
greater yellowlegs	<i>Tringa melanoleuca</i>
great-tailed grackle	<i>Quiscalus mexicanus</i>
hooded oriole	<i>Icterus cucullatus</i>
horned lark	<i>Eremophila alpestris</i>
house finch	<i>Carpodacus menicanus</i>
killdeer	<i>Charadrius vociferus</i>
ladder-backed woodpecker	<i>Picoides scalaris</i>
lesser nighthawk	<i>Chordeiles acutipennis</i>
Lincoln's sparrow	<i>Melospiza lincolni</i>
loggerhead shrike	<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>
MacGillivray's warbler	<i>Geothlypis tolmiei</i>
mourning dove	<i>Zenaida macroura</i>
northern flicker	<i>Colaptes auratus</i>
northern harrier	<i>Circus cyaneus</i>
pacific-slope flycatcher	<i>Empidonax difficilis</i>
prairie falcon	<i>Falco mexicanus</i>
red railed hawk	<i>Buteo jamaicensis</i>
red-necked phalarope	<i>Phalaropus lobatus</i>
red-winged blackbird	<i>Agelaius phoeniceus</i>
ruby crowned kinglet	<i>Regulus calendula</i>
ruddy duck	<i>Oxyura jamaicensis</i>
sandhill crane	<i>Antigone canadensis</i>
Say's phoebe	<i>Sayornis saya</i>
spotted sandpiper	<i>Actitis macularius</i>
Swainson's hawk	<i>Buteo swainsoni</i>
Townsend's warbler	<i>Setophaga townsendi</i>
turkey vulture	<i>Cathartes aura</i>
verdin	<i>Auriparus flaviceps</i>
warbling vireo	<i>Vireo gilvus</i>
western kingbird	<i>Tyrannus verticalis</i>
western meadowlark	<i>Sturnella neglecta</i>
western tanager	<i>Piranga ludoviciana</i>
western wood-pewee	<i>Contopus sordidulus</i>
white-faced ibis	<i>Plegadis chihi</i>
white-winged dove	<i>Zenaida asiatica</i>
willow flycatcher	<i>Empidonax traillii</i>
Wilson's phalarope	<i>Phalaropus tricolor</i>
Wilson's warbler	<i>Cardellina pusilla</i>
yellow-rumped (Audubon's)warbler	<i>Setophaga coronata</i>
yellow Warbler	<i>Setophaga petechia</i>

## APPENDIX D

### Athos Renewable Energy Project Plant List, Spring and Fall 2018

SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GENUS	SOLAR FARM	GEN-TIE
<i>Abronia villosa</i>	sand verbena	Nyctaginaceae	X	
<i>Achyronychia cooperi</i>	onyx flower	Caryophyllaceae	X	X
<i>Allionia incarnata</i>	windmills	Nyctaginaceae	X	
<i>Ambrosia dumosa</i>	white bursage	Asteraceae	X	X
<i>Ambrosia salsola</i>	cheesebush	Asteraceae	X	X
<i>Amaranthus fimbriatus</i>	fringed amaranth	Amaranthaceae	X	
<i>Amsinckia tessellata</i>	devil's lettuce	Boraginaceae	X	
<i>Aristida</i> sp.	three-awn	Poaceae	X	
* <i>Antennaria</i> sp.	pussy toes	Asteraceae	X	
<i>Asclepias erosa</i>	desert milkweed	Apocynaceae	X	
<i>Asclepias subulata</i>	skeleton milkweed	Apocynaceae	X	
<i>Atriplex polycarpa</i>	allscale saltbush	Chenopodiaceae	X	X
<i>Baileya</i> sp.	desert marigold	Asteraceae	X	
<i>Bebbia juncea</i> var. <i>aspera</i>	rush sweetbush	Asteraceae	X	
<i>Boerhavia</i> sp.	slender spiderling	Nyctaginaceae	X	
<i>Bouteloua</i> sp.	six-weeks gramma	Poaceae	X	
<i>Brandegea bigelovii</i>	desert starvine	Cucurbitaceae	X	
* <i>Brassica tournefortii</i>	Sahara mustard	Brassicaceae	X	X
* <i>Carpobotus edulis</i>	highway ice plant	Aizoaceae	X	
<b><i>Castela emoryi</i></b>	<b>Crucifixion thorn</b>	Simaroubaceae	X	
<i>Caulanthus lasiophyllus</i>	California mustard	Brassicaceae	X	
<i>Chaenactis carphoclinia</i>	pebble pincushion	Asteraceae	X	
<i>Chaenactis fremontii</i>	Fremont's pincushion	Asteraceae	X	X
<i>Chaenactis</i> sp.	pincushion	Asteraceae	X	X
<i>Chorizanthe brevicornu</i>	brittle spineflower	Polygonaceae	X	X
<i>Chorizanthe rigida</i>	spiny herb	Polygonaceae	X	
<i>Chylismia brevipes</i> ssp. <i>Brevipes</i>	golden suncup	Onagraceae	X	X
<i>Chylismia claviformis</i>	browneyes	Onagraceae	X	
<i>Croton californicus</i>	California croton	Euphorbaceae	X	
<i>Cryptantha angustifolia</i>	narrow leaved cryptantha	Boraginaceae	X	X



SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GENUS	SOLAR FARM	GEN-TIE
<i>Cryptantha maritima</i>	Guadalupe cryptantha	Boraginaceae	X	
<i>Cryptantha micrantha</i>	redroot cryptantha	Boraginaceae	X	
<i>Cryptantha</i> sp.	cryptantha	Boraginaceae	X	
<i>Cucurbita palmata</i>	coyote melon	Cucurbitaceae	X	
<i>Cylindropuntia echinocarpa</i>	silver cholla	Cactaceae	X	X
<i>Cylindropuntia ramosissima</i>	pencil cholla	Cactaceae	X	
<i>Dalea mollis</i>	hairy prairie clover	Fabaceae	X	
<i>Dalea mollissima</i>	silky dalea	Fabaceae	X	X
<i>Datura discolor</i>	jimson weed	Solanaceae	X	X
<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	salt grass	Poaceae	X	
<i>Ditaxis lanceolata</i>	narrowleaf ditaxis	Euphorbaceae	X	
<i>Ditaxis neomexicana</i>	New Mexico ditaxis	Euphorbaceae	X	
<i>Encelia farinosa</i>	brittlebush	Asteraceae	X	X
<i>Encelia frutescens</i>	button brittlebush	Asteraceae	X	
<i>Erigeron bonariensis</i> [= <i>Conyza bonariensis</i> ]	flax-leaved horseweed	Asteraceae	X	
<i>Eremalche rotundifolia</i>	desert fivespot	Malvaceae	X	
<i>Eremothera boothii</i> ssp. <i>condensata</i>	Booth's suncup	Onagraceae	X	
* <i>Erodium cicutarium</i>	red stem filaree	Geraniaceae	X	X
<i>Eriogonum reniforme</i>	kidney leaf buckwheat	Polygonaceae	X	
<i>Eriogonum trichopes</i>	little desert buckwheat	Polygonaceae	X	
<i>Eriogonum</i> sp.	annual buckwheat	Polygonaceae	X	
<i>Euphorbia polycarpa</i>	smallseed sandmat	Euphorbaceae	X	
<i>Fagonia laevis</i>	California fagonia	Zygophyllaceae	X	
<i>Ferocactus acanthodes</i>	barrel cactus	Cactaceae	X	
<i>Ferocactus cylindraceus</i> var. <i>cylindraceus</i>	barrel cactus	Cactaceae	X	
<i>Fouquieria splendens</i>	ocotillo	Fouquieriaceae	X	X
<i>Geraea canescens</i>	desert sunflower	Asteraceae	X	X
<i>Heliotropium curassavicum</i>	Chinese parsley	Boraginaceae	X	
<i>Hesperocallis undulata</i>	desert lily	Liliaceae	X	
<i>Hibiscus denudatus</i>	paleface	Malvaceae	X	
<i>Hilaria rigida</i>	big galleta grass	Poaceae	X	X
<i>Hyptis emoryi</i>	desert lavender	Lamiaceae	X	
<i>Justicia californica</i>	chuparosa	Acanthaceae	X	
<i>Kallstroemia californica</i>	California caltrop	Zygophyllaceae	X	

SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GENUS	SOLAR FARM	GEN-TIE
<i>Krameria bicolor</i>	white rhatany	Krameriaceae	X	X
<i>Larrea tridentata</i>	creosote bush	Zygophyllaceae	X	X
<i>Lepidium lasiocarpum</i>	pepperweed	Brassicaceae	X	
<i>Lupinus sp.</i>	Lupine	Fabaceae	X	
<i>Lycium andersonii</i>	Anderson's desert thorn	Solanaceae	X	
<i>Malacothrix glabrata</i>	desert dandelion	Asteraceae	X	X
<i>Mammillaria tetrancistra</i>	fishhook cactus	Cactaceae	X	
<i>Marina parryi</i>	Parry's false prairie clover	Fabaceae	X	
<i>Mentzelia albicaulis</i>	white stemmed stickleaf	Loasaceae	X	
<i>Mentzelia involucrata</i>	whitebract blazingstar	Loasaceae	X	
<i>Nicotiana obtusifolia</i>	desert tobacco	Solanaceae	X	
<i>Oenothera caespitosa</i>	fragrant evening primrose	Onagraceae	X	X
<i>Oenothera deltoides</i> ssp. <i>deltoides</i>	birdcage desert primrose	Onagraceae	X	
<i>Olneya tesota</i>	desert ironwood	Fabaceae	X	X
<i>Opuntia basilaris</i>	prickly pear cactus	Cactaceae	X	
<i>Orobanche cooperi</i>	desert broomrape	Orobanchaceae	X	
<i>Palafoxia arida</i> var. <i>arida</i>	Spanish needles	Asteraceae	X	X
<i>Parkinsonia florida</i>	blue palo verde	Fabaceae	X	X
<i>Pectis papposa</i> var. <i>papposa</i>	chinch weed	Asteraceae	X	
<i>Perityle emoryi</i>	Emory's rockdaisy	Asteraceae	X	
<i>Petalonyx thurberi</i>	sandpaper plant	Loasaceae	X	
<i>Petunia axillaris</i>	large white petunia	Solanaceae	X	
<i>Phacelia crenulata</i>	purplestem phacelia	Boraginaceae	X	
<i>Phacelia sp.</i>	annual phacelia	Boraginaceae	X	
<i>Phacelia distans</i>	common phacelia	Boraginaceae	X	
<i>*Phoenix dactylifera</i>	date palm	Areaceae	X	
<i>Physalis crassifolia</i>	ground cherry	Solanaceae	X	
<i>Plantago ovata</i>	wooly plantain	Plantaginaceae	X	
<b><i>Proboscidea althaeifolia</i></b>	<b>Desert Unicorn plant</b>	Martyniaceae	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>
<i>Peucephyllum schottii</i>	desert pine	Asteraceae	X	
<i>Prosopis glandulosa</i>	honey mesquite	Fabaceae	x	X
<i>Psathyrotes ramosissima</i>	turtleback	Asteraceae	X	
<i>Psorothamnus emoryi</i>	indigo bush	Fabaceae	X	X
<i>Psorothamnus schottii</i>	Schott's indigo bush	Fabaceae	X	

SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GENUS	SOLAR FARM	GEN-TIE
<i>Psorothamnus spinosus</i>	smoke tree	Fabaceae	X	X
* <i>Saccharum</i> sp.	Sugar cane	Poaceae	X	
* <i>Salsola tragus</i>	Russian thistle	Chenopodiaceae	X	
* <i>Schismus arabicus</i>	Mediterranean grass	Poaceae	x	
<i>Senegalia greggii</i>	catclaw acacia	Fabaceae	X	
<i>Simmondsia chinensis</i>	Jojoba	Simmonsiaceae	X	
<i>Sphaeralcea ambigua</i>	desert globemallow	Malvaceae	X	
<i>Stillingia</i> sp.	Mojave toothleaf	Euphorbaceae	X	
* <i>Tamarix</i> sp.	tamarisk	Tamariaceae	X	
<i>Tidestromia suffruticosa</i> var. <i>oblongifolia</i>	Arizona honeysweet	Amaranthaceae	X	X
<i>Tiquilia plicata</i>	fanleaf crinklemat	Boraginaceae	X	
<i>Washingtonia filifera</i>	California fan palm	Arecaceae	X	X
* <i>Washingtonia robusta</i>	Mexican fan palm	Arecaceae	X	
* <i>Antennaria</i> sp.	pussytoes	Asteraceae	X	
* <i>Carpobotus edulis</i>	highway ice plant	Aizoaceae	X	

\*= non-native plant

**BOLD** = sensitive plant species