

COMPUTER-AIDED STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING (CASE) PROJECT

TECHNICAL REPORT ITL-89-4

CBASIN--STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF SAINT ANTHONY FALLS STILLING BASINS ACCORDING TO CORPS OF ENGINEERS CRITERIA FOR HYDRAULIC STRUCTURES COMPUTER PROGRAM X0098

by

William A. Price

Information Technology Laboratory

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Waterways Experiment Station, Corps of Engineers 3909 Halls Ferry Road Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-6199

and

Edwin S. Alling

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Soil Conservation Service Hyattsville, Maryland



August 1989 Final Report

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

Prepared for DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US Army Corps of Engineers Washington, DC 20314-1000



US-CE-CProperty of the United States Government

NO.ITZ-894 C.3

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT	DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE	and the	-	Form A OMB N Exp. Da	oproved o 0704-0188 ite: Jun 30, 1986
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS	K CONTRACTOR		
Unclassified	A second second	and the second second				Sality Lange
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION	/AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT	R	
	and and the second second	Approved	for public	c releas	se:	of the second second
20. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEE	DULE	distribu	tion unlim:	ited.		States Series
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUM	BER(S)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT N)
		5	ongaine and			
Technical Report ITL-89-4						
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	66. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORC	ANIZATION	J	AN PROPERTY OF
Coo management	(IT applicable)					and the second of
See reverse.	CEWES-IM-DA					
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	a day - a providence of the se	7b. ADDRESS (Ci	ty, State, and Zi	IP Code)		
And the second of the second						
See reverse.						
Ba. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING	86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMEN	TINSTRUMENT	IDENTIFICA	TION NU	MBER
US Army Corps of Engineers	(ii applicable)					
appress (Site Carter and Size and				6.0.6	_	
ac. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	and a set of the set	10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMB	ERS		Turony unit
		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO		ACCESSION NO
Washington, DC 20314-1000						
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)		1				
CBA	SINStructural	Design of Sa	int Anthon	y Falls	Still	ing Basins
According to Corps of Engineers	s Criteria for Hy	draulic Stru	ctures; Co	mputer	Progra	m X0098
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)						
Price William A Alling Ed	win S					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT	COVERED	14 DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Mont	h. Day) 1	5. PAGE	COUNT
Final report FROM	TO	August 19	89		161	
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
Available from National Techn	ical Information	Service, 52	85 Port Roy	val Roa	d Spr	inofield
VA 22161.	rear millingeron	5011100, 52	of fore no.	yar noa	u, opr	ingriciu,
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS	Continue on revers	se if necessary a	ind identify	by block	k number)
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Basins		Soil Conse	rvation	Servi	.ce
	Drainage		Structural	design		
	Hydraulic str	ucture		0		

19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

The computer program CBASIN for stilling basins and its companion program CCHAN for U-Frame channels were obtained from the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) of the US Department of Agriculture for US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) use in obtaining preliminary structural designs of important or unusual structures or complete designs of small, routine structures. These programs were adapted to Corps of Engineers criteria for hydraulic structures, and additional output information on member forces and moments was added.

The SCS program document is included in this report as Appendix A. Information in the main text of this report supplements or supersedes portions of the SCS document.

20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY O	SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS	21 ABSTRACT SECU Unclassifi	JRITY CLASSIFICATION
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIV	DUAL	226 TELEPHONE (In	clude Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR	83 APR edition may be used un All other editions are of	ntil exnausted.	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE
			Unclassified
	US A	RESEARCH L RMY ENGINEER EXPERIMENT VICKSBURG, MI	IBRARY WATERWAYS STATION ISSISSIPPI

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION (Continued).

USAEWES, Information Technology Laboratory and Soil Conservation Service

6c. ADDRESS (Continued)

3909 Halls Ferry Road Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199 and Hyattsville, MD



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

ELECTRONIC COMP						
ELECTRONIC COMP	UTER PROGRAM ABSTI	RACT				
TITLE OF PROGRAM X0098CBASIN-Structural Design of SCS Saint PROGRAM NO.						
Anthony Falls Stilling Basins Accord	ing to CE Criteria		713-P-R0098			
CEVES Information Technology Island						
AUTHOR(S)	DATE PROCRAM COMPLETER	CT ATI	10.05.0000000			
Edwin S Alling	DATE PROGRAM COMPLETED	STATU	IS OF PROGRAM			
George Henson	Jan 1989	FRASE	STAGE			
A. PURPOSE OF PROGRAM	oun 1909					
To perform preliminary or complete structural designs of stilling basins according to Technical Release No. 54, "Structural Design of SAF Stilling Basins," October 1974, of the Soil Conservation Service of the US Department of Agriculture, as modified for Corps of Engineers criteria.						
B. PROGRAM SPECIFICATIONS	No. Service of the second	Constants (1)	11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1			
			and the second second of the			
The program uses working stress ana EM 1110-1-2101, "Working Stresses."	lysis in accordance v	vith Corp	s of Engineers			
C. METHODS						

D. EQUIPMENT DETAILS

CBASIN runs on MS-DOS microcomputers. It does not use graphics. A math coprocessor is not required, but will be used if present.

E. INPUT-OUTPUT

Input is interactive, with full prompting.

Output is to the screen; use <Ctrl><PrtSc> to get a hard copy printout.

F. ADDITIONAL REMARKS

A user's guide is available from the Engineer Computer Program Library, WES, telephone (601) 634-2581. This user's guide includes Soil Conservation Service Technical Release No. 54.

PREFACE

The computer programs CBASIN and CCHAN were obtained by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) from the Soil Conservation Service (SCS), US Department of Agriculture (USDA), for use in preliminary structural designs of important or unusual structures or complete design of routine structures.

The original program was written by Mr. Edwin S. Alling, Engineering Division, SCS, Hyattsville, MD. The program was later adapted to USACE criteria by Mr. Alling and Mr. George Henson, Structures Section, US Army Engineer District, Tulsa.

This project was a task of the U-Frame Basins and Channels Task Group of the Computer-Aided Structural Engineering (CASE) Project. Current membership of the CASE project is as follows:

Mr. Byron Bircher, General Chairman, CEMRK-ED-D Mr. George Henson, Chairman, CESWT-ED-DT Mr. Bill James, now retired from CESWD-ED-TS Mr. Scott Snover, SCS, USDA Mr. Tom Wright, CEMRK-ED-DT Mr. Clifford Ford, CESPL-ED-DB Mr. Donald R. Dressler, CEEC-ED Mr. William A Price, CEWES-IM-DA

Mr. William A. Price, Information Technology Laboratory (ITL), coordinated the work at the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station

(WES) under the supervision of Mr. Paul K. Senter, Assistant Chief, ITL, and Dr. N. Radhakrishnan, Chief, ITL. The text of the report was written by Mr. Price, and Appendix A was written by Mr. Alling.

Acting Commander and Director of WES was LTC Jack R. Stephens, EN. Technical Director was Dr. Robert W. Whalin.

CONTENTS

Page

PREFACE	1
CONVERSION FACTORS, NON-SI TO SI (METRIC) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT	3
PART I: INTRODUCTION	4
General Capabilities Limitations	4 4 4
PART II: DATA INPUT GUIDE	5
General	5
Narrative Input Guide	5
PART III: SPECIAL DISCUSSION OF USACE ADAPTATION	9
Channel Slope Restraint Type "C" Stilling Basin Retaining Wall Stability Concern Flotation Criteria	9 9 9
Froude Number Range	13
PART IV: OUTPUT	14
APPENDIX A: SCS TECHNICAL RELEASE NO. 54, "STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF SAF STILLING BASINS"	A1
APPENDIX B: SAMPLE CBASIN RUN FOR SUMMARY DESIGNS	B1
APPENDIX C: SAMPLE CBASIN RUN FOR DETAILED DESIGN OF TYPE "A" BASIN	C1
APPENDIX D: SAMPLE CBASIN RUN FOR DETAILED DESIGN OF TYPE "B" BASIN	D1
ADDENDIN F. CAMDIE CRACIN DUN FOR DETAILED DECICH OF THE HOU DACIN	FI
ATTENDIA E. SATIFLE CDASIN KON FOR DETAILED DESIGN OF TIPE C BASIN	EI

CONVERSION FACTORS, NON-SI TO SI (METRIC) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Non-SI units of measurement used in this report can be converted to SI (metric) units as follows:

Multiply	Ву	To Obtain
cubic yards per foot	2.508	cubic metres per metre
feet	0.3048	metres
foot-pounds per foot	4.4482	joules per metre
inches	25.4	millimetres
pounds (force) per square foot	47.88026	pascals
pounds (force) per square inch	6894.757	pascals
pounds (mass) per cubic foot	16.01846	kilograms per cubic metre
square inches	6.4516	square metres



CBASIN--STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF SAINT ANTHONY FALLS STILLING BASINS ACCORDING TO CORPS OF ENGINEERS CRITERIA FOR HYDRAULIC STRUCTURES

COMPUTER PROGRAM X0098

PART I: INTRODUCTION

General

1. The computer program CBASIN for stilling basins and its companion program CCHAN for U-Frame channels were obtained from the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) of the US Department of Agriculture for US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) use in obtaining preliminary structural designs of important or unusual structures or complete designs of small, routine structures. These programs were adapted to Corps of Engineers criteria for hydraulic structures, and additional output information on member forces and moments was added.

2. The SCS program document is included in this report as Appendix A. Information in the main text of this report supplements or supersedes portions of the SCS document.

Capabilities

3. This program performs the calculations for structural design of rectangular stilling basins in accordance with Corps of Engineers criteria for working stress design of hydraulic structures. Geometry is in accordance with the Saint Anthony Falls (SAF) stilling basin of the SCS, as illustrated in Figures 1-4 of Appendix A. Loadings are illustrated in Figures 5-9 of Appendix A.

Limitations

4. Program CBASIN (X0098) accepts as input the overall geometry, hydraulic data, and soils parameters; therefore, this information must all be determined before starting the program.

PART II: DATA INPUT GUIDE

General

5. Data are as defined for, and entered into, the original SCS program described in Appendix A--except as described below.

6. Data input was converted from the original SCS program's file input to on-line interactive, as a part of converting from mainframe time-sharing to personal computer hardware. An additional, optional data line was added to incorporate being able to select basic structural analysis parameters to conform to (a) the original SCS values, (b) the Corps of Engineers default values, or (c) any other values. Input prompting messages were expanded to present more recognizable help to the user. The user is led through data entry, line-by-line, as needed.

Narrative Input Guide

7. In response to the prompt line reading

ENTER TWO HEADER LINES, 80 CHAR. MAX EACH LINE,

the user must enter two lines with at least one nonblank character on each line. End each line with a carriage return.

8. Line number three is required. Its values are entered under the prompt reading

ENTER THE FOLLOWING

WIDTH	WALL	LENGTH	WALL	WATER	WATER	DESIGN	DFALTS
BASIN	D.S.	BASIN	U.S.	DEPTH	VELOC	PARAM	0=DEF
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT/SEC)	0,1,2,3	0,1
W	J	LB	N	D1	V1	DESIGN	DFALTS

The values should be separated by one or more blank spaces. Enough blank spaces may be used so that each value is spaced over under its own heading or they may be close together at the left end of the line. Each heading has three parts: an abbreviated description (i.e., "WALL D.S." to mean "wall height above top of downstream floor slab"), the units or a list of permissible values (i.e., "(FT)" or "0,1,2,3"), and the name of the value as used in the description herein and in Appendix A. See Figures 1-11 of Appendix A for more complete descriptions. The variables in line three are defined as follows:

Data Item Name and Definition	Units	Figure
W = Width of SAF basin	ft	1
J = Height of sidewall above	ft	1,11
top of floor slab	6.	1 11
LB = Length of SAF basin	It	1,11
N = Height of sidewalls at upstream end	it	1,11
D1 = Entrance depth of water to basin	ft	7,10
V1 = (Supercritical) entrance velocity of water	ft/sec	pp 11,12
DESIGN		
= 0, three preliminary designs		
performed (See Appendix B)		SCHOOL STREET
= 1, detailed type A (See Appendix C)		1
= 2, detailed type B (See Appendix D)		2
= 3, detailed type C (See Appendix E)		3
DFALTS		
= 0, assign default values, lines four through eight omitted		
= 1. line four required		

9. Line four is used only if DFALTS was entered as 1 in line three. Its variables are entered in the following order, under the prompt headings listing them in the correct order:

DFALT1 DFALT2 DFALT3 DFALT4

where

DFALT1 = 0, use default values for line five = 1, line five must be provided DFALT2 = 0, use default values for line six = 1, line six must be provided DFALT3 = 0, use default values for line seven = 1, line seven must be provided DFALT4 = 0, use default values for line eight = 1, line eight must be provided

10. Line five is used only if DFALT1 was entered as 1 in line four. Its values are entered under the following prompting message:

HEIGHT FILL	TAILWATER	UPLIFT HD	TAILWATER	UPLIFT HD
DOWNSTREAM	LOAD CASE 2	LOAD C 2	LOAD C 1	LOAD C 1
FT	FT	FT	FT	FT
HB	HTW2	HUP2	HTW1	HUP1

6

where

Name and Definition	Units	Appendix A Figure
HB = Earthfill height above top of floor of basin at		California MEL
downstream end of basin (Default = .5J)	ft	5
HTW2 = Tailwater depth load condition 2 (Default = D2)	ft	7
HUP2 = Uplift head load condition 2 (Default = HTW2)	ft	7
HTWl = Tailwater depth above top of floor of basin		
load condition 1 (Default = 0)	ft	6
HUP1 = Uplift head above top of floor of basin for		
load condition 1 (Default = .5 HUP2)	ft	6

11. Line six is used only if DFALT2 was entered as 1 in line four. Its values are entered under the following prompting message:

MAXIMUM	SAFETY	SAFETY	SLOPE PARAM	RATE BATTER
FOOTING	FACTOR	FACTOR	BASIN	INSIDE
PROJECT FT	FLOTATION	SLIDING	INCLINE	SIDEWALL
MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	ZS	BAT

where

Name and Definition	Units	Appendix A Figure
MAXFTG = Maximum acceptable sidewall footing projection (Default = .5W)	ft	
FLOATR = Safety factor against flotation (Default = 1.5) ZS = Slope parameter for inclined portion of basin		
(Default = 3.0)		1.2.3.11

BAT = Rate of batter inside surface of lower sidewall (Default = 3.75) in./ft 11

12. Line seven is used only if DFALT3 was entered as 1 in line four. Its values are entered under the following prompting message:

UNIT WGT	UNIT WGT	LAT SOIL	COEF	DEPTH	WIDTH
MOIST	SATURATED	PRESSURE	FRICTION	TOE	TOE
BACKFILL	BACKFILL	RATIO	SOIL-CONC		
LB/CF	LB/CF		IN	FT	IN
GM	GS	KO	CFSC	HTW	TTW

New of while the set of the set o

where

Name and Definition	Units	Appendix A Figure
<pre>= Unit weight of moist backfill (Default = 120) = Unit weight of saturated backfill (Default = 140)</pre>	pcf pcf	
= Lateral earth pressure ratio (Default = .8)		
<pre>= Coefficient of friction, soil to concrete (Default = .35)</pre>	£ +	1226
= Depth of toe wall below top floor of basin	IL	1,2,3,4
= Thickness of toe wall (Default = 10)	in.	1,2,3,4
	<pre>Name and Definition = Unit weight of moist backfill (Default = 120) = Unit weight of saturated backfill (Default = 140) = Lateral earth pressure ratio (Default = .8) = Coefficient of friction, soil to concrete (Default = .35) = Depth of toe wall below top floor of basin (Default = 4) = Thickness of toe wall (Default = 10)</pre>	Name and DefinitionUnits= Unit weight of moist backfill (Default = 120)pcf= Unit weight of saturated backfill (Default = 140)pcf= Lateral earth pressure ratio (Default = .8)pcf= Coefficient of friction, soil to concrete (Default = .35)ft= Depth of toe wall below top floor of basin (Default = 4)ft= Thickness of toe wall (Default = 10)in.

13. Line eight is used only if DFALT4 was entered as 1 in line four. Its values are entered under the following prompting message:

CONCRETE	RATIO	ALLOWABLE	ALLOWABLE	MINIMUM
ULTIMATE	FC TO	STEEL	NET BEAR	CONCRETE
STRENGTH	F'C	STRESS	PRESSURE	THICKNESS
(PSI)		(PSI)	(PSF)	(IN)
F'C	COESF	FSA	ABP	TMIN

where

Name and Definition (Default is for USACE)	Units	SCS Value
FPC = Concrete ultimate strength (Default = 3000)	psi	4000.0
COESF = Radio fc/f'c (Default = .35)	and the second law	0.4
FSA = Allowable steel stress (Default = 20000)	psi	20000.0
ABP = Allowable net bearing pressure (Default = 2000)	psf	2000.0
TMIN = Minimum concrete thickness (Default = 12)	in.	10.0

14. After all numeric data lines have been entered, and if a detailed design was requested (DESIGN in data line three is not 0), the program will ask the question

IS MOMENT, THRUST, SHEAR REPORT DESIRED? ENTER Y OR N ...

Respond with a <u>capital</u> Y if the report (shown in Appendix C) is desired or with a <u>capital</u> N if it is not desired. Responding with a lower case letter will yield unpredictable results.

PART III: SPECIAL DISCUSSION OF USACE ADAPTATION

Channel Slope Restraint

15. The original SCS basin program had an imposed limit of 1:2 on the bottom slab slope value for the input variable ZS. While this was not a problem for typical basins, it reduced the usefulness of the program for rough estimates for drop structures. The limit was therefore removed and replaced by a warning message when ZS is entered with a value of less than 2.0. The rationale for this limit is shown graphically in Figure 1. The assumed behavior is seen to become invalid as ZS becomes less than a value around 2.0.

Type "C" Stilling Basin Retaining Wall Stability Concern

16. The assumed water head inequalities shown on page A21 of Appendix A are especially important for the side walls of a type "C" basin. When the actual inequality deviates from the assumed relationships, type "C" wall stability is endangered. A test was added to detect this situation and to print a warning and a suggestion for correcting the design. This is illustrated in Figure 2.

Flotation Criterion

17. The original SCS program defined the factor of safety against flotation SF_f as

 $SF_f = \frac{Sum of all forces down}{Sum of all forces up}$

When the program was converted to USACE criteria, in accordance with Engineer Technical Letter (ETL) 1110-2-307, the definition was changed. Figure 3 illustrates the adaptation of ETL 1110-2-307 to stilling basins and transforms the resulting equation to

 $SF_f = \frac{Sum \text{ of all forces down - weight of water}}{Sum \text{ of all forces up - weight of water}}$

9

HYDRAULIC BEHAVIOR

Assumed



Actual - with Steep Channel Slope



Therefore – when ZS < 2, a warning is given and design proceeds using assumed behavior.

Figure 1. Stilling basins, channel slope restraint

STRUCTURAL BEHAVIOR

Assumed

Channel section supported by sloping earth.

Actual - with Steep Channel Slope

市人人になる事は、テキャッチャートになったち



Lateral earth pressures developed.

CAUTION CONCERNING RETAINING WALL **PORTION STABILTY WHEN HUP2 < HTW2**



Figure 2.

11

Type "C" stilling basin



Per ETL 1110-2-307 $SF_{\mp} = \frac{W_{6} + W_{c} + S}{U - W_{9}}$ is here $SF_{\mp} = \frac{W_{s}}{U - W_{9}}$ now, for a typical slice and recognizing need for summing slices: Wg = Wg, + Wg2 + (Wg3 or Wgy) = 2 Wg, + (Wg3 or Wgy)

$$= 2 \cdot \delta_{\omega} \cdot HUP \cdot FTG + (W_{g_{3}} \circ W_{g_{4}})$$
let
$$W_{g}' = \delta_{\omega} \cdot HUP \cdot FTG \cdot 2 \quad and \quad W_{bw} = W_{g_{3}} \circ W_{g_{4}}$$
so
$$W_{g} = W_{g}' + W_{bw}$$
and
$$W_{s} = W_{s_{1}} + W_{s_{2}} + W_{s_{3}}$$
as before
$$W_{s} = W_{s_{1}} + \left\{\delta_{m} \cdot (HB_{s} - HUP) + \delta_{s} \cdot HUP\right\} \cdot FTG \cdot 2 - \delta_{\omega} \cdot HUP \cdot FTE \cdot 2$$

$$= \left[W_{s_{1}} + \left\{\delta_{m} \cdot (HB_{s} - HUP) + \delta_{s} \cdot HUP\right\} \cdot FTG \cdot 2 + W_{bw}\right] - W_{g}' - W_{bw}$$
and
$$U = SDOWN - W_{g}' - W_{bw} = SDOWN - W_{g}$$
so
$$SF_{f} = \frac{SDOWN - W_{g}' - W_{bw}}{SUP - W_{g}' - W_{bw}} = \frac{SDOWN - W_{g}}{SUP - W_{g}'}$$

Figure 3. ETL 1110-2-307 adapted to stilling basins

,

Concrete Cover Over Reinforcement

18. The concrete clear cover over reinforcing steel was programmed in the SCS program as being 2 in.* everywhere except for bottom steel in the bottom slab where it was programmed to be 3 in. The USACE modifications added that it would be 3 in. everywhere if the data item COESF is less than 0.38. (If COESF is greater than or equal to 0.38, then the cover is not changed from the original SCS values.)

Froude Number Range

19. The SCS program restricts the Froude number to a minimum of 3.0. To permit the use of the USACE version for preliminary estimates of a wider range of structures, the forced limit was removed and replaced with a warning that reads "Warning - Froude Number is less than 3.0 - Hydraulic jump is inefficient and jump form is uncertain at Froude numbers between 1.0 and 3.0. Design continues."

 * A table of factors for converting non-SI units of measurement to SI (metric) units is presented in page 3.

PART IV: OUTPUT

20. Output consists of three parts. Each part has its own heading; the first two parts, with headings expanded and rearranged for improved clarity, are as in the original program described in Appendix A. The third part, produced with the "Y" answer described in paragraph 14, is new.

21. The first part is essentially a summary of the input data. It is introduced with the printed line

DESIGN PARAMETERS

22. The second part has two alternate forms, depending on the value of DESIGN in data line three.

23. If DESIGN was given a value of "0" for "preliminary designs," part two will be introduced by the printed line

PRELIMINARY DESIGNS FOLLOW

This is illustrated in Appendix B.

24. If DESIGN was given a value of "1", "2", or "3" for detailed design of types "A", "B", or "C" basins, respectively, part two will be introduced by the printed line

DESIGN OF SPECIFIED TYPE BASIN FOLLOWS

It is ended with the printed line

=== END DETAIL DESIGN === WINGWALL PER TRNOTICE 54-1

This is illustrated in Appendices C, D, and E.

25. Part three, if requested as described in paragraph 14, is introduced by the printed line

MOMENT, THRUST, SHEAR REPORT

It starts with an echo of data lines one and two. This is followed with a message referring to Figures 42, 44, 45, 46, and 47 of Appendix A for illustration of location codes. This is illustrated in Appendix E.

APPENDIX A: SCS TECHNICAL RELEASE NO. 54, "Structural Design of SAF Stilling Basins"

Page numbers at top of pages are as in the original Soil Conservation Service document. Page numbers at bottom of pages in this appendix are for this document.



U. S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service Engineering Division Technical Release No. 54 Design Unit October, 1974

and burn, that with the structure of second at second a second of an and the second states of a second second s second lesses for disease fails all second and a second as a second of a second for second second second second hat a splitting of course for second second and structure at the second second second second second second second both plant is and lost the second s structure are lot obtained and for a second seco

STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF SAF STILLING BASINS

a series we have a make a state of the series were builded by

A3

PREFACE

This technical release continues the effort to produce design aids which can serve to improve the efficiency and quality of design work. The technical release deals with the structural design of SAF stilling basins. TR-50 deals with the structural design of rectangular channels. Taken together, these two technical releases provide a means of obtaining structural designs for essentially all sections of ordinary, straight inlet, chute spillways on earth foundations. This material should be useful to both planning and design engineers since either preliminary or detail designs may be obtained.

A draft of the subject technical release dated July, 1974, was sent to the Engineering and Watershed Planning Unit Design Engineers for their review and comment.

This technical release was prepared by Mr. Edwin S. Alling, Head, Design Unit, Design Branch at Hyattsville, Maryland. He also wrote the computer program.

A4

TECHNICAL RELEASE NUMBER 54

STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF SAF STILLING BASINS

Contents

Introduction

Types of SAF Stilling Basins Type (A)
Type (B) Type (C)
Wingwalls
Loading Conditions Surcharge Load Condition No. 1 Load Condition No. 2 Flotation Requirements Sliding Requirements Sliding forces Momentum considerations Possible modification of load condition No. 2
Design Parameters Primary Parameters Secondary Parameters
Design Criteria
Preliminary Designs Type (A) Sidewall geometry and load variables Sidewall bending Flotation Bearing pressures Floor slab shear Floor slab bending Sliding Momentum changes at break-in-grade
Type (B) Sidewall bending Flotation Bearing pressures Floor slab shear and bending Sliding
Type (C) Pavement slab design Flotation Bearing pressures Sliding Longitudinal shear and bending

i

Retaining wall portions Bearing pressures Base slab shear and bending

Wingwalls Loading conditions Wingwall bending Overturning Sliding Wingwall-to-basin tie

Detail Designs Sidewall steel Floor slab steel Base slab steel Pavement slab steel Wingwall steel

Concrete Volumes Basin Volumes Wingwall Volumes Sidewall stub adjustment Toewall stub adjustment Basin footing adjustment

Computer Designs Input Output Messages Preliminary designs Detail designs Type (A) Type (B) Type (C) Wingwalls

Appendix

Toewall thickness and steel for SAF stilling basins

75

70

Figures

Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure	12345678 910	Type (A) SAF stilling basin Type (B) SAF stilling basin Type (C) SAF stilling basin Wingwall layout Variation in earthfill surface Load condition No. 1 Load condition No. 2 Horizontal components of hydrostatic forces, load condition No. 1 Sliding forces, load condition No. 2 Momentum relations in basin	3456789 1011
Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sidewall dimensions and thicknesses Section and earthfill heights Tailwater depths for load condition No. 2 Considerations for sidewall bending, type (A) basin Thickness at bottom of section, when HEW > HWW Determination of controlling thickness Components of sidewall and slab volumes Components of volume of water in basin Footing pressures and load components for LC #1 or LC #2 Components of uplift for LC #1 or LC #2	18 19 20 21 23 24 24 25 25
Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure	21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Determination of bearing pressures for LC #2 Loads for floor slab shear and bending Considerations for sidewall bending, type (B) basin Some type (B) components Distribution of bearing pressures, type (B) Equivalent beam and loading Division of horizontal force, LC #2 Pavement slab components Determination of pavement slab bearing pressures, LC #1 Shear and moment in pavement slab, LC #2	27 29 31 32 33 35 37 38 39
Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure	31 32 33 33 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	Determination of retaining wall portion bearing pressures . Retaining wall portion lateral force moments, LC #2 Loadings for base slab shear and moment Wingwall design section Wingwall earthfill surfaces and slopes Load conditions for wingwall design Determination of wingwall thickness Wingwall footing projections Wingwall overturning and bearing Longitudinal sliding of wingwall	40 2 3 4 56 7 8 9 50
Figure Figure Figure Figure	41 42 43 44	Wingwall-to-basin tie steel area Sidewall steel layout and point locations Sidewall steel determination Floor slab steel layout and point locations	51 54 55 56

Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure Figure	45 46 47 48 49 50	Base slab steel layout and point locations Pavement slab steel layout and point locations Wingwall steel point locations Wingwall section steel layout Determination of force system at a point in wingwall Determination of force system in wingwall footing
Figure	51	Wingwall volume without adjustments
Figure	52	Corner detail, wingwall-sidewall
Figure	53	Possible basin footing adjustment volumes
Figure	54	Computer output, preliminary designs
Figure	55	Computer output, type (A) detail design
Figure	56	Computer output, type (B) detail design
Figure	57	Computer output, type (C) detail design

Tables

Table 1Secondary parameters and default valuesTable 2Input values per design run



NOMENCLATURE

Not all nomenclature is listed. Hopefully the meaning of any unlisted nomenclature may be ascertained from that shown. Trailing letters U or D are used with some variables. This signifies variables associated with the upstream or downstream portion of type (B) stilling basins.

V

A A A A A A M A M A M A M M A M M A M M A M	<pre>= required reinforcing steel area = area of right section at one end of prismatoid = area of right section at opposite end of prismatoid = area of mid-section of prismatoid = distance from B-line to underside of upstream section; moment arm of wingwall-to-basin tie = required steel area of wingwall-to-basin tie = distance used to define the wingwall footing extension back to the sidewall = horizontal length of basin projected bearing area = rate of batter of inside surface of lower part of sidewall = footing projection at downstream end of wingwall-section at</pre>
b CB CC	<pre>= width of reinforced concrete member = direct compressive force in the floor slab between sidewalls = construction condition, a loading condition investigated in wingwall design</pre>
CF CFSC D	<pre> Ingwall debign E direct compressive force in the footing projection E coefficient of friction, soil to concrete E effective depth of concrete section; diameter of reinforcing bar </pre>
Dl	\equiv entrance depth of water to SAF stilling basin

 \equiv the sequent depth to depth Dl D2 \equiv a sequent depth DS ≡ depth of water in basin; tailwater depth in wingwall design DW DWD = DW - D/12≡ depth of water in basin above section under consideration DZ ≡ eccentricity of VNET E \equiv Froude's number = Vl²/gDl Fı = uniform tangential loading on bottom of pavement slab FBOT = horizontal component of hydrostatic force due to HUPL FHL = horizontal component of hydrostatic force due to HUP2 FH2 \equiv the part of FH2 x W carried by downstream portion of type (B) FH2WD basin FLOATR = safety factor against flotation = force due to horizontal change in momentum FM ≡ momentum force at section of depth Dl FML ≡ momentum force at section of depth HTW2 FM2 FS2 = FH2 + FM FSLIDE = resultant of the horizontal driving forces tending to cause sliding of the basin = horizontal component of hydrostatic force due to HIW1 FT1

FTG FTOP F _{X1} F _{X2} f _c f _s	<pre>= footing projection = uniform tangential loading on top of pavement slab = hydrostatic force due to depth Dl = hydrostatic force due to depth HTW2 = compressive stress in concrete = stress in reinforcing steel</pre>
GB GM GS g HB	<pre>= GS - 62.4 ≡ moist unit weight of earthfill ≡ saturated unit weight of earthfill = 32.2 ft per sec² ≡ earthfill height above top of floor of basin at downstream end of basin</pre>
HBD HBn HBW HBZ HDIFF	<pre>= HEW - D/12 = earthfill height at section n = working value of height of earthfill = height of earthfill above section under consideration = (HEW - HWW) or (HEW - HUW)</pre>
HN HNET	<pre> vertical component of distance N net horizontal force per unit length acting on wingwall design section</pre>
HS HSHV HSN HSW HTMN HTW HTW1	<pre>> vertical projection of inclined floor slab = (HSW - HV) or (J - HV) = height of section n = working value of height of section = tailwater depth at section n for load condition m = depth of toewall below top of floor of basin = tailwater depth above top of floor of basin for load condition No. 1</pre>
HUP	= uplift head above top of floor of basin for load condition

vi

winder compractation

- HUPl ≡ uplift head above top of floor of basin for load condition No. 1
- HUmn \equiv uplift head at section n for load condition m
- HUW = working value of uplift head at section under consideration
- HV ≡ the distance over which the inside surface of the sidewall is vertical
- HVZ = battered height of sidewall above section under consideration
- HW = uplift head above top of wingwall footing at the articulation joint for load condition under investigation
- HWALL \equiv shear at bottom of sidewall at section under investigation HWD = HWW D/12
- HWW = working value of water head on outside of sidewall
- HWZ = height of water head above section under consideration
- HX ≡ depth of water over top of pavement slab at XDN from downstream end
- h = perpendicular distance between right end sections
- ILC = intermediate load condition used in wingwall design
- J \equiv height of sidewall above top of floor of basin
- KO = lateral earth pressure ratio
- LB \equiv length of SAF stilling basin
- LBOT \equiv length of bottom of type (A) basin

LC#1 LEVEL	<pre>= load condition No. l = distance used to locate the wingwall articulation joint with respect to the corner of the sidewall = horizontal component of distance N</pre>	
LS LTOP LTOT M MA MAXFT MB MC MDN MP	<pre>= horizontal projection of inclined floor slab = length of top of sidewall of type (A) basin = overall length of type (B) and (C) basins = bending moment; moment of forces about some moment center = moment of forces about the A-line of type (C) basins G = maximum acceptable sidewall footing projection = moment of forces about the B-line of type (C) basins = bending moment at the center of the floor slab = resultant moment of the forces on the downstream portion about the hinge = bending moment in pavement slab</pre>	
Ms MTIE MUP MZ N NLAT NWALL NZ PALLO PARM	<pre>= equivalent moment, moment about axis at the tension steel = bending moment used to obtain ATIE at wingwall-to-basin tie = resultant moment of the forces on the upstream portion about the hinge = bending moment at section under consideration = height of sidewalls at upstream end section; direct compressive force in sidewall = bearing force between pavement slab and retaining wall portion = direct force of wingwall = direct compressive force at section under consideration W = maximum allowable bearing (contact) pressure = distance between FWALL and vertical face of sidewall</pre>	e
PAVER	≡ average bearing pressure	

- ≡ bearing pressure at section under investigation PB

PBG	≡ bearing pressure at break-in-grade
PBH	≡ bearing pressure at heel of retaining wall portion
PBT	≡ bearing pressure at toe of retaining wall portion
PDH	≡ bearing pressure at heel of downstream end section
PDN	= bearing pressure at downstream end section
PDT	≡ bearing pressure at toe of downstream end section
PDW	\equiv water pressure in basin
PF	= pressure on footing projection
	Leonard our sets and Tard
PFn	\equiv pressure on footing projection at section n
PIONG	= net longitudinal shearing forces assumed carried by sidewalls
PNET	= net uniform loading between sidewalls
PTS	= dead weight of floor slab
PITH	= hearing pressure at heel of upstream end section
PIP	= hearing pressure at upstream end section
गाग	= bearing pressure at the of upstream end section
DITI	= unlift pressure on underside of slab
DUATT	= direct force of sidewall
DV	= hearing pressure on pavement slab at XDN from downstream end
IN	- Dear mig bressure ou bavemente stab at min mente a com our
pcf	≡ pounds per cubic foot

≡ pounds per square foot psf

viii

D+	= temperature and shrinkage steel ratio
ą	= SAF stilling basin discharge
QUANT	= quantity, volume of stilling basin or associated wingwarts
q	≡ unit discharge
S	= maximum allowable spacing of reinforcing steel
SDOWN	= sum of all downward forces acting on a basin or portion
SLIDER	≡ safety factor against sliding
SUP	= sum of all uplift forces acting on a basin or portion
	a section
SZ	= maximum allowable spacing of reinforcing steer at second
	under consideration
T	= required thickness
T'	= required thickness at bottom of section due to 1
T.	= HSHV x BAT
TABn	= required thickness at bottom of section n
TADD	= thickness to be added for cover
TB	= thickness at bottom of sidewall due to batter of inside face
TEB	= thickness at bottom of sidewall are to bacter of TBB
TBV	= thickness at bottom of sidewall exclusive of 100
TM	= required chickness due to bending momento
TPRC	= thickness of navement slab at break-in-grade
TPDI	= thickness of pavement slab at downstream end section
TTPITP	= thickness of pavement slab at upstream end section
TS	= thickness of slab: thickness required for shear
TSB	= thickness required at bottom of sidewall due to required TV
102	at HV
TSBG	≡ slab thickness at break-in-grade
TEDII	≡ slab thickness at downstream end section
TSR	≡ required slab thickness
TSUP	≡ slab thickness at upstream end section
TEV	= thickness required at bottom of sidewall if HSW > HV

- $TT \equiv thickness at top of widewall$
- TTW \equiv thickness of toewall
- TV = thickness of sidewall at HV from top of sidewall
- TVn ≡ required thickness of sidewall at HV from top of sidewall at section n
- TW ≡ working thickness of inclined floor slab at XBG from break-ingrade
- TWF = thickness of wingwall footing
- TWT = thickness of wingwall toewall
- TWW = thickness of wingwall
- TX = thickness of pavement slab at XDN from downstream end
- ULONG = net longitudinal shearing forces assumed carried by floor or base slab
- UX = uplift head on pavement slab at XDN from downstream end
- u = flexural bond stress in concrete
- V ≡ concrete volume; shearing force at section under consideration
- VI = entrance velocity of water to SAF stilling basin
- $V2 = V1 \times D1/HTW2$
- VFTG = basin footing adjustment volume
- VNET = SDOWN SUP

- $VP \equiv shear in pavement slab$
- VRDN ≡ resultant of the vertical forces acting on the downstream portion of type (B) basin
- VRUP = resultant of the vertical forces acting on the upstream portion
 of type (B) basin
- VTOE = toewall stub adjustment volume
- WALL = sidewall stub adjustment volume
- WING ≡ volume of wingwalls exclusive of VFTG; resultant vertical force on wingwall
- VWOAD = wingwall volume without adjustments
- $VZ \equiv$ shear at section under consideration
- v = shearing stress in concrete
- $W \equiv$ width of SAF stilling basin
- WDES ≡ perpendicular distance from sidewall to the point where the outside edge of the wingwall footing intersects the plane of the downstream end section
- WEXT ≡ perpendicular distance from sidewall to the point on the outside edge of the wingwall footing that is in the plane of the articulation joint
- WO = overall width of stilling basin
- WOB ≡ overall width of retaining wall base; overall width of wingwall base
- WPROJ ≡ wingwall projection, the perpendicular distance from the sidewall to the farthest point on the outside edge of the wingwall footing
- WTWT = perpendicular distance from the sidewall to the point of intersection of wingwall toewall and plane of downstream end section
- WWIB = perpendicular distance from the plane of the downstream end section to the point where the wingwall footing extended backward

- would intersect the outer edge of the sidewall
- ≡ toe length of retaining wall base; distance from articulation joint to any vertical section of the wingwall
- XBG ≡ distance from break-in-grade to any vertical section of the inclined floor slab
- XDN ≡ distance from downstream end to any vertical section of the pavement slab or retaining wall portion
 - \equiv width of pavement slab
- YW ≡ height of water on back face of the wingwall at distance X from articulation joint
- Z = distance from moment center to VNET; distance from top of sidewall to section under consideration; distance from outer edge of wingwall footing to section under consideration
 ZH = slope hypotenuse parameter
 ZNS = slope parameter used to define an earthfill slope
 ZNW = a slope parameter used to define an earthfill slope
 ZPS = a slope parameter used to define an earthfill slope
 ZS = slope parameter for inclined portion of stilling basin
 - = 62.4 pcf

X

XP

YB

Y

TECHNICAL RELEASE NUMBER 54

STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF SAF STILLING BASINS

Introduction

This technical release is concerned with the structural design of SAF stilling basins. The hydraulic criteria for the dimensions of SAF outlets were developed by Fred W. Blaisdell, Hydraulic Engineer, ARS, St. Anthony Falls Hydraulic Laboratory. These criteria are presented in National Engineering Handbook, Section 14, "Chute Spillways," written by Paul D. Doubt, formerly Head, Design Unit, SCS, Hyattsville, Maryland.

The material presented herein treats the structural design of rectangular stilling basins having the general layout indicated on Engineering Standard Drawing ES-86, sheet 1, contained in NEH-14. This material does not include hydraulic design which must preceed structural design. It is assumed these structural designs will be obtained from computers although the basic approach is independent of computer usage. Technical Release No. 50, "Design of Rectangular Structural Channels," can be used to obtain preliminary and detail structural designs of chute spillway sections upstream of the stilling basin.

A computer program was written in FORTRAN for IBM 360 equipment to perform these SAF stilling basin designs. The program operates in two modes. It will execute preliminary designs to aid the designer in selecting the type of basin he desires to use in final design. The program will also execute the detail design of specified basins. Concrete thicknesses and distances are determined and steel requirements, in terms of required area and maximum spacing, are evaluated at various locations. Actual steel sizes and layouts are not selected, these are the prerogative of the designer.

This technical release documents the criteria and procedures used in the computer program, explains the input data required to obtain a design, and illustrates computer output for preliminary and detail designs. At the present time designs may be obtained by requests to the

> Head, Design Unit Engineering Division Soil Conservation Service Federal Center Building Hyattsville, Maryland 20782.

Input information which must be provided for each design run, is discussed under the section, "Computer Designs, Input."

Types of SAF Stilling Basins

Three types of SAF stilling basins are treated herein. Each type may be thought of as a structural variation of the SAF outlet shown on ES-86, sheet 1, and each uses the alternate joint detail given in that drawing. All types are assumed symmetrical in both construction and loading about the longitudinal centerline of the basin as well as about the vertical centerline of any transverse cross section. Each basin is designed for the two loading conditions described in the next section, and each must satisfy both flotation (uplift) requirements and sliding requirements. See Figures 1-3 for definition sketches of the three types of basins. These sketches present idealized stilling basins and do not show chute blocks, floor blocks, end sills, fillets on toewalls, upstream floor joint steps, or wingwalls. The wingwalls are omitted from these sketches for clarity and because the wingwall and basin proper are designed to act essentially independently of each other.

Any one of the three types of SAF stilling basin may be most advantageous for a particular set of design conditions. Because of the large number of parameters involved, it is often not readily apparent which type will be best in a given situation.

Type (A)

This type, see Figure 1, most closely approximates the SAF outlet of ES-86. Structurally, the basin is a monolithic unit. The floor slab thicknesses vary uniformly from the downstream end of the basin to the break-in-grade, and from the break-in-grade to the upstream end.



LONGITUDINAL SECTION

Figure 1. Type (A) SAF stilling basin

Type (B) This type, see Figure 2, has a transverse articulated joint at the breakin-grade. Some form of floor joint step is normally used at this joint. The upstream end section is vertical, rather than normal to the plane of the inclined floor slab. The doweled, transverse articulation joint makes the structural behavior of this type of SAF differ from that of type (A).



N

4



Figure 2. Type (B) SAF stilling basin

Type (C)

This type, see Figure 3, has independent retaining wall portions and pavement slab. The pavement slab resists any thrust imposed on it by the retaining wall portions. The most advantageous toe length, X, is determined in the design.



6

Wingwalls

The wingwall is articulated from the basin sidewall. Hence each wall acts as a simple cantilever. The wingwalls with their footings are not included in the stability analyses of the basin proper. Figure 4 gives the wingwall


layout. The level distance locating the articulation joint varies depending on relative values of wingwall and sidewall thicknesses. This distance is discussed subsequently.

Loading Conditions

Two loading conditions are considered in the design of SAF stilling basins. Parameter values should be selected so that these loading conditions reflect extremes of probable conditions. The surface of the earthfill against the sidewall varies linearly from the top of the wall at the



Figure 5. Variation of earthfill surface

upstream end to a height, HB, at the downstream end, see Figure 5.

Surcharge

Surcharge is not included herein as a specific loading. The effects of surcharge can be duplicated to some extent by arbitrarily increasing lateral pressure ratios, unit soil weights, or earthfill heights. Increasing the lateral pressure ratio, KO, is the preferred approach unless the surcharge is applied constantly.

Load Condition No. 1

A linearly from the the the fire wall of the

This is the <u>no flow</u> loading, see Figure 6. It is meant to represent conditions following a rapid lowering of the water surface in the basin before the water table in the earthfill, and associated uplift, have lowered significantly from some higher level. The tailwater depth in the basin is HTW1. The uplift head above the top of the level floor slab and footings is HUP1. This loading should maximize the difference between HUP1 and HTW1.





Figure 6. Load condition No. 1

Load Condition No. 2

This is the <u>full flow</u> loading, see Figure 7. Flow enters the stilling basin at a depth, Dl, and velocity, Vl. These are the hydraulic parameters discussed in NEH-14 on pages 2.193 and following. Although it is admittedly a rough approximation, the water surface in the basin is assumed to vary linearly from the depth, Dl, at the break-in-grade to the tailwater depth, HTW2, at the downstream end. The uplift head above the top of the level floor slab and footings is HUP2. Load condition No. 2 is meant to represent governing conditions when the basin is operating at full flow. Thus this loading should maximize both HTW2 and HUP2. The water surface on the outside of the basin walls is taken as HUP2 for all analyses except sidewall bending. Observe that the following relations must exist between the various water height parameters:

HIW2 ≧ HUP2 ≧ HUP1 ≧ HIW1.





Figure 7. Load condition No. 2

Flotation Requirements

The total weight of the SAF stilling basin plus all downward forces acting on it must exceed the uplift forces by a suitable safety factor under all conditions of loading. Often the most critical case is load condition No. 2. However, with a sufficiently large difference between HUPL and HTWl, load condition No. 1 will control. Hence both load conditions are investigated. The flotation safety factor, FLOATR, is selected by the user. Footing projections are provided, when required, to develop necessary additional downward forces.

Sliding Requirements

The horizontal resisting forces that can be mobilized must exceed the horizontal driving forces acting on the basin in a downstream direction by a suitable safety factor under all conditions of loading. Either load condition can control, hence both are investigated. The sliding safety factor, SLIDER, is selected by the user.

The forces resisting sliding are the frictional resistance between the basin and the foundation, the frictional resistance between the sidewalls and the earthfill, the passive resistance of the channel material downstream of the toewall, and certain hydrostatic pressures discussed below. The frictional force between basin and foundation is assumed to act along the bottom of the level floor slab. The frictional force between the sidewalls and earthfill is neglected as being extremely unreliable. The passive resistance of the channel material downstream of the toewall is neglected since it may be scoured away.

Sliding forces. The horizontal components of hydrostatic forces of concern in load condition No. 1 are shown in Figure 8. Both driving and resisting hydrostatic distributions are shown to cease at the elevation of the top of the floor of the basin. While this is of course untrue, these pressures must reach equilibrium through drains or other seepage,



and will essentially cancel each other below that elevation.

In load condition No. 2, the horizontal force acting on the basin, due to the water in the basin, is shown in Figure 9 as FM. The force, FM, is due to the change in momentum, in a horizontal direction, of the water on the level floor slab or the basin.



Figure 9. Sliding forces, load condition No. 2

Momentum considerations. Figure 10 shows two tailwater conditions with the force FM shown as the horizontal force acting on the water due to



FM=0 HTW2=D2

(A)

FM>0 (B) HTW2<D2

Figure 10. Momentum relations in basin

the basin. In sketch (A) the tailwater is D2, the sequent depth to depth, D1. In sketch (B) the tailwater is HTW2 which is shown as less than D2. From momentum principles, letting V1, D1, and V2, HTW2 be velocity and depth of flow at beginning and end sections respectively,

$$F_{x_1} - F_{x_2} - FM = \frac{1}{g} Q(V2 - V1)$$

or

$$FM = (F_{x_1} + \frac{\gamma}{g} QVI) - (F_{x_2} + \frac{\gamma}{g} QV2)$$

for rectangular channels, and in terms of per foot of width

$$FM = \left(\frac{\gamma Dl^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{g} \neq Vl\right) - \left(\frac{\gamma HTW2}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{g} \neq V2\right)$$

which by substitution can be written

$$FM = FML - FM2$$

where, with depths in ft, velocities in fps

- FM = net force due to horizontal change in momentum, 1bs per ft width of channel
- FMI = momentum force at section of depth, D1, = $(\frac{\gamma D1^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{g} q V1)$, lbs per ft width of channel
- FM2 = momentum force at section of depth, HTW2, = $\left(\frac{\gamma \text{ HTW2}^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{\sigma} \text{ q V2}\right)$, lbs per ft of width of channel

$$= 62.4$$
 lbs per cu ft

= 32.2 ft per sec² g

- = discharge, cfs per ft width of channel a
- $V2 = V1 \times D1/HTW2$

Taking FM = 0 defines the case of a hydraulic jump. The beginning and end depths are sequent depths, the end depth is given by

$$D2 = \frac{1}{2} D1 \left(\sqrt{8F_1 + 1} - 1 \right)$$

where

$$F_1 = Froude's number = Vl^2/gDL$$

When the tailwater is less than D2, as in sketch (B), FM2 is less than FML, that is, FM > 0. Hence the force, FM, acting on the basin tends to push the basin downstream. The depth, DS, is the sequent depth to the depth, HTW2. The water surface profile is roughly that shown.

When the tailwater is more than D2, sketch not shown, FM2 is more than FML, that is FM < 0. Hence the force, FM, acting on the basin tends to push the basin upstream. The jump tends to move upstream since somewhere between the depth, DL, and tailwater depth there is a depth, DS, that is sequent to D1.

However, the sum of FM and the horizontal component of the hydrostatic force due to HUP2, see Figure 9, is of more concern than consideration of the variation of FM alone. Let FH2 be the hydrostatic force and FS2 be the sum force, then in 1bs per ft width of channel

$$FS2 = FH2 + FM.$$

For purposes of study, take the particular case of HUP2 = HTW2, then

$$FS2 = \frac{\gamma \overline{HTW2}^2}{2} + FML - (\frac{\gamma \overline{HTW2}^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{g} \frac{q^2}{HTW2})$$
$$FS2 = FML - \frac{\gamma}{g} \frac{q^2}{HTW2}$$

or

differentiating with respect to HTW2 to find the value of HTW2 making

FS2 a maximum gives

HTW2 = ∞ .

Thus FS2 approaches FML as HTW2 approaches ∞ . The height of the side-walls, J is the control, so that FS2 maximum would occur when HTW2 = HUP2 = J.

In design, the condition resulting in the minimum actual factor of safety against sliding should be checked. This condition probably occurs when HTW2 = D2. For higher tailwaters than D2, the basin becomes essentially full of water so that an increase in FS2 is offset by an increase in frictional resistance due to increased water weight.

Possible modification of load condition No. 2. As explained in the next section, HTW2 and HUP2 are selected by the user. If HUP2 and/or HTW2 are selected greater than the sequent depth, D2, they are reduced during design to D2. This is done for the reasons discussed immediately above, namely, HTW2 = D2 represents a more critical situation than when HUP2 and/or HTW2 are more than D2.

Design Parameters

There are some twenty-two independent parameters involved in the structural design of the aforementioned three types of SAF stilling basins. These parameters are classified as either primary parameters or secondary parameters. Values for primary parameters must be supplied by the user for each design run. Secondary parameters will be assigned default values if values are not supplied by the user. The methods of supplying parameter values are discussed under the section. "Computer Designs."

Primary Parameters

- $W \equiv$ width of SAF stilling basin, in ft
- $J \equiv$ height of basin sidewalls, in ft
- $LB \equiv length of basin, in ft$
- $N \equiv$ height of sidewalls at upstream end section, in ft
- $Dl \equiv entrance depth of water to SAF stilling basin, in ft$
- $VI \equiv$ entrance velocity of water to SAF stilling basin, in fps

Secondary Parameters

The secondary parameters and their default values are listed in Table 1. The user should make an effort to evaluate the secondary parameter values he wishes to use. Use of default values may result in an overly conservative (or unconservative) design. Usage of the various parameters is explained where first encountered. The default value for HTW2 is a function of D2, the sequent depth to depth D1. The value of Froude's number is computed and it, and D2 are output with the parameter values selected for the design run. Table 1. Secondary parameters and default values

	Parameter	Default Value
HTW2 HUP2 HTW1 HUP1	\equiv tailwater depth above top of floor of basin for load condition No. 2, in ft \equiv uplift head above top of floor of basin for load condition No. 2, in ft \equiv tailwater depth above top of floor of basin for load condition No. 1, in ft \equiv uplift head above top of floor of basin for load condition No. 1, in ft	D2 HTW2 O 0.5 HUP2
HB ZS HTW TTW	\equiv earthfill height above top of floor of basin at downstream end of basin, in : \equiv slope parameter of inclined portion of stilling basin \equiv depth of toewall below top of floor of basin, in ft \equiv thickness of toewall, in inches	et 0.5J 3.0 4.0 10.0
GM GS KO BAT	<pre>moist unit weight of earthfill, in pcf saturated unit weight of earthfill, in pcf lateral earth pressure ratio inside sidewall batter, in inches per ft of height</pre>	120. 140. 0.8 0.375
MAXFTG FLOATR SLIDER CFSC	<pre>maximum acceptable footing projection, in ft safety factor against flotation safety factor against sliding coefficient of friction, soil to concrete</pre>	0.5W 1.5 1.0 0.35

14

4.0

Design Criteria

Materials

Class 4000 concrete and intermediate grade steel are assumed.

Working Stress Design

Design of sections is in accordance with working stress methods. The allowable stresses in psi are

Extreme fiber stress in flexure $f_c = 1600$ Shear, V/bD* v = 70Flexural Bond

tension top bars

other tension bars

Steel

in tension

in compression, axially loaded

Minimum Slab Thicknesses

Walls Bottom slabs

Temperature and Shrinkage Steel

The minimum steel ratios are for unexposed faces v = 70 $u = 3.4\sqrt{f_c'/D}$ $u = 4.8\sqrt{f_c'/D}$ $f_s = 20,000$ $f_s = 16,000$

10 inches 11 inches

 $p_{t} = 0.001$

for exposed faces $p_{\pm} = 0.002$

Slabs more than 32 inches thick are taken as 32 inches.

Web Reinforcement

The necessity of providing some type of stirrup or tie in the slab because of bending action is avoided by

- (1) limiting the shear stress, as a measure of diagonal tension, so that web steel is not required, and
- (2) providing sufficient effective depth of sections so that compression steel is not required for bending.

Cover for Reinforcement

Steel cover is everywhere 2 inches except for outside steel in bottom slabs where cover is 3 inches.

*Shear sometimes critical at D from face, sometimes at face, see page 17 of TR-42.

Steel Required by Combined Bending Moment and Direct Force

Required area determined as explained on pages 31 - 34 of TR-42, "Single Cell Rectangular Conduits - Criteria and Procedures for Structural Design."

Spacing Required by Flexural Bond

Spacing determined as explained on page 47 of TR-42.

Spacing of Reinforcement

The maximum permissible spacing of any reinforcement is 18 inches.

A State of the second second second

Preliminary Designs

Trial concrete thicknesses are determined for various critical dimensions, and preliminary concrete volumes are computed, during the preliminary design phase of the structural design of SAF stilling basins. These quantities may be increased during detail design if computations for required steel areas indicate thicknesses are inadequate. Assumptions, criteria, and procedures for the several basin types are discussed below. Transverse strength of the toewall is neglected throughout these computations. Topics applicable to more than one basin type are presented most fully when first encountered.

Type (A)

Preliminary design of type (A) basins proceeds in an orderly manner. First, sidewall geometry, and load variables, are established. Next, required sidewall thicknesses for wall bending are determined. Next, the basin is checked for flotation. Footing projections, FTG, are provided if required. Then, bearing pressures are checked to insure positive pressures within allowable values. Then, floor slab thicknesses are checked for shear and transverse bending. Finally, the basin is checked for sliding. At any stage of design after sidewall thicknesses are determined, thicknesses or footing projections are incremented if found inadequate and the design is recycled accordingly.

Sidewall geometry and load variables. Sidewall dimensions and thicknesses are shown in Figure 11. The inside face of the sidewall is vertical from the top of the sidewall down a distance, HV. This distance is the larger of J/2 or HN. Below the distance, HV, the sidewall is battered for ice protection at the rate of BAT inches per foot. BAT may be set equal to zero if desired. The outside face of the sidewall is a plane surface.

The slope hypotenuse parameter, ZH, is

$$ZH = \sqrt{1 + ZS^2}$$

Thus, with all distances in feet

LN =
$$N/ZH$$

HN = LN x ZS
HS = J - HN
LS = HS x ZS
LBOT = LS + LB
LTOP = LBOT - LN

If LTOP is less than LB, that is, N is too big, a message is given and the design is canceled.





(B) SIDEWALL SECTION

Figure 11. Sidewall dimensions and thicknesses

It is later shown that sidewall thicknesses may be controlled at any of the three sections shown in Figure 12. Hence it is desirable to preestablish various section heights, earthfill heights, tailwater depths, and uplift heads. Section 2 is midway between sections 1 and 3, hence for section heights, in feet

```
HS1 = N \times ZH/ZS
HS3 = J
HS2 = 0.5(HS1 + HS3)
similarly for earthfill heights, in feet
HB1 = HS1
HB3 = HB + (J - HB) \times LB/LTOP
HB2 = 0.5 (HB1 + HB3)
```



Figure 12. Section and earthfill heights

Tailwater depths, in feet, on the various sections can be obtained from Figure 13 for load condition No. 2 as

HT21 = HS1 - (J - HTW2)HT23 = HTW2 HT22 = 0.5(HT21 + HT23) if HT21 < 0 set HT21 = 0 if HT22 < 0 set HT22 = 0

Tailwater depths for load condition No. 1, HT11, HT13, and HT12 can be determined similarly, likewise for uplift heads HU21, HU23, HU22, and HU11, HU13, HU12.



Figure 13. Tailwater depths for load condition No. 2

Sidewall bending. The sidewall is analyzed as a series of cantilever beams of unit width. The thickness at the top of the wall, TT, is set at 10 inches. Either load condition No. 1 (LC #1) or load condition No. 2 (LC #2) can control wall thickness requirements. Because (1) both shear and moment increase exponentially with depth, and (2) HB may range

20

3

3

3

3



Figure 14. Considerations for sidewall bending, type (A) basin

from zero up to J, the following is true. The thickness required at the bottom of any section may be governed by the thickness required by flexure at the bottom of that section or by the thickness required at the bottom of either of the other two sections. Therefore the first step in designing the sidewall is to obtain the thicknesses required at the bottoms of sections 1, 2, and 3.

The thickness at the bottom of the wall at any section is selected as the largest thickness required by: shear for LC #1, moment and direct force for LC #1, shear for LC #2, or moment and direct force for LC #2. Illustrative computations for a section of height, HSW, and a possible loading case follow. See Figure 15 for definition of symbols.



Figure 15. Thickness at bottom of section when HBW > HWW

21

The working values HSW, HBW, HWW, and DW are obtained from HSn, HBn, HUIn or HT2n, and HTIn or DI values as appropriate to the section under investigation.

Let HDIFF = HBW - HWW

For any effective depth, D, in inches

HBD = HBW - D/12HWD = HWW - D/12DWD = DW - D/12

Then the shear, in 1bs per ft, at D from the face for the case shown is: $V = 31.2 \times (HWD^2 - DWD^2) + KO \times GM \times HDIFF \times (0.5 \times HDIFF + HWD)$ $+ 0.5 \times KO \times GB \times HWD^2$

where GB = GS - 62.4 is the buoyant weight of the earthfill, in pcf

SO

$$D = \frac{v}{vb} = \frac{v}{70 \times 12} = \frac{v}{840}$$

An iterative process is required since the assumed D must agree with the computed required D. When the correct value of D is obtained, the thickness, T, at D from the face is

T = D + 2.5

and the thickness at the bottom is

 $TSV = 10 + (T - 10) \times HSW/(HSW - D/12).$

If, for the section under investigation, HV > HSW, the thickness required at the bottom of the section by shear is TSV. However, if HV < HSW, the thickness required at the bottom of the section may be controlled by the thickness required by shear at HV from the top of the section, see sketches (B) and (C) of Figure 15.

Thus if HV < HSW, compute the shear, V, at HV from the top by computations similar to those above. Then

$$D = \frac{V}{840}$$

and

T = D + 2.5 at HV from the top.

So

 $T' = 10 + (T - 10) \times HSW/HV$

 $T'' = HSHV \times BAT$

and

TSB = T' + T''.

The thickness required at the bottom of the section by shear is the larger of TSV or TSB.

The bending moment at the bottom of the sidewall, in ft 1bs per ft, for the case shown is

 $M = 10.4 \times (HWW^3 - DW^3) + 0.5 \times KO \times GM \times HDIFF^2 \times (HDIFF/3 + HWW)$ $+ 0.5 \times KO \times GM \times HDIFF \times HWW^2$ $+ 0.5 \times KO \times GB \times HWW^3/3.$

The direct compressive force due to the sidewall, in 1bs per ft, for a bottom thickness, TSV, is

 $N = 6.25 \times HSW \times (TT + TSV)$

The equivalent moment, M_s, is

 $M_s = M + N \times (0.5 \times TSV - 2.5)/12$

So the required thickness at the bottom for balanced working stresses is

 $TSV = (0.003683 \times M_s)^{1/2} + 2.5$

An iterative process is again required since the assumed TSV must agree with the computed required TSV.

Again, if HV > HSW, then TSV is the thickness required at the bottom of the section by moment. If HV < HSW, compute the moment and direct

force at HV from the top and get T and HV from the top by computations similar to those above. Then

 $T' = 10 + (T - 10) \times HSW/HV$

SO

TSB = T' + T''

and the thickness required at the bottom of the section by moment is the larger of TSV or TSB.

The thickness required at the bottom of the section under investigation for the load condition under investigation is the larger of those obtained from the foregoing computations for shear and moment. Then the thickness required at the bottom of a particular section is the larger of those obtained from LC #1 and LC #2. Let these bottom thicknesses be TAB1, TAB2, and TAB3 as indicated in Figure 16, then for the case shown

TV1 = TT + (TAB1 - TT) x HV/HS1

 $TV2 = TT + (TAB2 - TT - (HS2 - HV) \times BAT) \times HV/HS2$

TV3 = TT + (TAB3 - TT - (HS3 - HV) x BAT) x HV/HS3

so that TV in Figure 11 is the largest of TV1, TV2, or TV3. With TV known, TBV is rounded up to the next integer value from

 $TBV = TT + (TV - TT) \times J/HV$

and TBB is rounded to the nearest integer value from

 $TBB = (J - HV) \times BAT$

SO

TB = TBB + TBV

Thus the sidewall thicknesses are completely defined.

3



Figure 16. Determination of controlling thickness

<u>Flotation</u>. As previously noted, either LC #1 or LC #2 can be critical with regard to flotation. Figures 17 through 20 indicate the various











LC#1



(D) HTW1<HSHV





components of weight and uplift that must be obtained to check flotation requirements. The magnitudes of these components are maintained for subsequent analyses. Figure 17 shows how the sidewalls are partitioned into components depending on relative values of HS1 and HV. Figure 18 shows the partitioning of the water volumes in the basin depending on relative values of tailwater and 'asin dimensions. Figure 19 shows the variation of footing pressures along the stilling basin and how the loads on a footing are partitioned. Footing pressures, PFn, are

computed for both load conditions. The water pressures on any footing are a function of the corresponding head HUP1 or HUP2, this being consistent with the assumption that uplift is a function of HUP1 or HUP2. Figure 20 shows the partitioning of uplift components depending on relative values of the corresponding head HUP1 or HUP2 and basin dimensions.



Figure 19. Footing pressures and load components for LC#1 or LC#2

25



Figure 20. Components of uplift for LC#1 or LC#2

The toewall of Figure 17 is taken at the buoyant weight of concrete to compensate for its lack of consideration in Figure 20.

For each load condition, the sum of all downward forces, SDOWN, and the sum of the uplift forces, SUP, must satisfy the relation

SUP ≥ FLOATR

The initial values of floor slab thicknesses and footing projections are

 $TSUP = TT + (TBV - TT) \times HN/J + 1$

rounded up to the next integer value, and

```
TSBG = TB + 1.
TSDN = TB + 1.
FTG = 0.
```

If the flotation requirement is not satisfied, FTG is set at 1.0. If again flotation is unsatisfied, a series of attempts is begun in which the footing projections and floor slab thicknesses are variously incremented until FTG = MAXFTG and TSBG = TB + 10. If the flotation criteria is still unsatisfied, the design is abandoned, and a cancellation message is given.

<u>Bearing pressures</u>. The distribution of bearing (contact) pressures over the base of the basin depends on the rigidity of the structure, the foundation material characteristics, and the magnitude and location of the resultant vertical force acting on the structure. The pressure distribution is three-dimensional and highly indeterminate. For this reason, no attempt is made herein to apply an elastic analysis to determine bearing pressures such as is done for floor slab bearing in TR-50. In accordance with common practice, the assumption is made that bearing pressures vary linearly along any section parallel to the longitudinal centerline of the outlet, and that these pressures are constant along any section at right angles to the centerline.

The maximum allowable bearing pressure, in psf, is taken as the smaller of

 $PALLOW = 2000 + GB \times (HBl + TSUP/12)$

or

PALLOW = $2000 + GB \times (HB + TSDN/12)$.

Either load condition can control. Bearing pressures over the base must be everywhere compressive and within allowable values.

A possible case of LC #2 is used for illustration, see Figure 21. In the sketch, note that the force due to change in momentum, FM, in 1bs per ft of width is multiplied by W to obtain the total force. The force, FH2 due to HUP2, in 1bs per ft of width, is also multiplied by W to obtain the net hydrostatic driving force. This is done in lieu of multiplying FH2 by the overall width of the basin and then subtracting out the resisting forces acting on the footing projections, etc. If

 $HUP2 > (HS - \frac{TSUP}{12} \cdot \frac{ZS}{ZH}),$

see Figure 20, it is assumed that the upper part of FH2 bears on the stilling basin through the upstream channel section. The resultant of the vertical forces including uplift, VNET, is located by taking moments about the indicated moment center of the horizontal forces and the vertical forces



```
Figure 21. Determination of bearing pressures for IC\#2

previously computed in the flotation analyses. Thus, in lbs

VNET = SDOWN - SUP .

and, in ft

BASEL = LBOT + TSUP/(12 x ZH)

WO = W + 2(TEV/12 + FTG)

Z = M/VNET

E = BASEL/2 - Z

where M is the resultant moment about the moment center in ft lbs.

Then, in psf

PAVER = VNET/(BASEL x WO)

PDN = PAVER(1 + 6E/BASEL)

PUP = PAVER(1 - 6E/BASEL).
```

If either FUP or FDN is negative, an attempt is made to increase the loading on the structure. This is done by incrementing FTG and also TSBG together with the corresponding TSUP or TSDN. If either PUP or PDN exceeds the allowable bearing value, FTG is incremented in an attempt to spread the load. These attempts are continued up to FTG = MAXFTG.

Floor slab shear. Shear will sometimes govern required floor slab thickness. Three cross sections are checked: the downstream end section, the section at the break-in-grade, and the upstream end section. Both load conditions are investigated. For any section and load condition, the shear stress at D from the face of the sidewall is obtained as follows, see Figure 22. Let the net uniform loading between sidewalls be PNET, in psf per ft, then

PNET = PB + PUW - PTS - PDW

and the required thickness, in inches, is

TSR = PNET x 0.5 x W/(840 + PNET /12) + 3.5

where, with respect to the section and load condition under investigation

 $PB \equiv bearing pressure, psf$

PUW = uplift pressure = 62.4(HUW + TS/12), psf

HUW \equiv uplift head above top of slab, ft

TS \equiv slab thickness, inches

PTS \equiv dead weight of slab = 12.5 x TS, psf

PDW = water pressure in basin = $62.4 \times DW$, psf

 $DW \equiv depth of water in basin, ft.$

If the required thickness is greater than the actual thickness at the section, the design is recycled starting at the flotation investigations using the increased thickness and other current slab thicknesses as initial values.

28

Floor slab bending. Transverse bending moment at the center of the floor slab will sometimes govern required floor slab thicknesses. The downstream end section, the break-in-grade section, and the upstream end section are checked for both load conditions. Note that in general, the sum of the vertical forces acting on any section under investigation will not equal zero unless longitudinal shearing forces on each side of the section are taken in account. The distribution of these shearing forces is unknown. They are therefore treated in two ways to determine their maximum probable effect. As shown by Figure 22, they are taken as longitudinal shearing forces, PLONG, carried by the sidewalls. Under this assumption, the moment at the center of the slab for any section and load condition is obtained as follows. Let

- MWALL = moment brought to floor slab by loads acting on sidewall stem, ft lbs per ft
- PWALL = sidewall direct force brought to floor slab, lbs per ft
- PF ≡ pressure on footing projection, psf
- \equiv overall width of basin = W + 2(TBV/12 + FTG), ft WO
- CB ≡ direct compression in floor slab, see pages 56-57, 1bs per ft

5



Figure 22. Loads for floor slab shear and bending

Then, in lbs per ft PLONG = (PB + PUW - PTS)WO/2 - PF x FTG - PDW x W/2 - FWALL So the center moment, MC, in ft lbs per ft, is 29

 $MC = (PB + PUW - PTS)WO^{2}/8 - PF \times FTG(WO/2 - FTG/2) - PDW \times W^{2}/8$ - (PWALL + PLONG)(W/2 + PARM) + MWALL

Alternately, the longitudinal shearing forces are taken as uniformly distributed forces, ULONG, carried by the floor slab. Under this assumption, in psf

ULONG = PLONG/(WO/2)

and

 $MC = (PB + PUW - PTS - ULONG)WO^2/8$

- PF x FTG(WO/2 - FTG/2) - PDW x $W^2/8$

- FWALL (W/2 + PARM) + MWALL

the larger absolute moment governs and the required slab thickness for preliminary design is taken as

 $TM = (0.003683(|MC| + CB(TS/2 - TADD)/12))^{1/2} + TADD$

where TADD = 3.5 if MC is positive, or 2.5 if MC is negative.

If the required thickness exceeds the actual section thickness, the design is recycled as explained for floor slab shear. Sliding. As previously noted, both LC #1 and LC #2 must be checked for adequacy of the basin against sliding. For each load condition, the resultant of the vertical forces including uplift, VNET, and the resultant of the horizontal driving forces, FSLIDE, must satisfy the relation

where the forces VNET and FSLIDE are in 1bs, and CFSC is the coefficient of friction between concrete and soil. For LC #2, see Figure 21

 $FSLIDE = FH2 \times W + FM \times W$

where FM is discussed under the section "Momentum considerations." For LC #1, see Figure 8, take

FSLIDE = FHL x W - FTL x W

where

- FHI ≡ horizontal component of hydrostatic force due to HUPL, lbs per ft width of channel
- FTl = horizontal component of hydrostatic force due to HTWL, lbs per ft width of channel.

Both FH2 and FH1 are multiplied by W to obtain respective net hydrostatic driving forces.

If either load condition sliding requirement is not satisfied, the weight on the structure is increased. This is done by first incrementing FTG up to MAXFTG. If these attempts are unsuccessful, then the floor slab thicknesses are incremented several times. If the sliding criteria is still unsatisfied, the design is abandoned, and a cancellation message is given.

Momentum changes at break-in-grade. The preceding analyses dealing with LC#2 do not include effects of momentum change that take place due to, and in the vicinity of, the break-in-grade. The following discussion pertains to cases of HTW2 ≤ D2. The force due to momentum change may be resolved into horizontal and vertical components. Both components decrease with the slope parameter, ZS. The horizontal component is of opposite sense to the momentum force, FM, discussed on pages 10-12 and hence would reduce the effect of FM. The vertical component acts downward on the basin and hence would reduce the basin weight required for flotation and would reduce the tendency for sliding of the basin. The vertical component would increase foundation bearing pressures. With the possible exception of this last effect in the presence of a weak foundation, it is probably conservative to neglect, that is, not to depend on, the effects of momentum change at the break-in-grade. This is especially so in view of the questionable nature of these forces.

Type (B)

Preliminary design of type (B) basins is similar to that of type (A) with three important differences. These differences are involved with sidewall bending, flotation, and determination of bearing (contact) pressures. They are due to the doweled, transverse articulation joint passing through the basin at the break-in-grade. With the transverse joint, footing projections, floor slab, and sidewall thicknesses are allowed to differ either side of the joint. The footing projections are FTGU and FTGD, and the floor slab thicknesses are TSBGU and TSBGD, upstream and downstream of the break-in-grade. Similarly, the sidewall thicknesses that may differ are TVU, TBVU, TBU and TVD, TBVD, TBD. See Figure 11 for corresponding type (A) thicknesses TV, TBV, and TB.

Type (B) basins have a vertical upstream end section, see Figure 2. Thus, with distances in feet

$$HS = J - N$$
$$LS = HS \times ZS$$
$$LTOT = LS + LB$$

Section heights, earthfill heights, tailwater depths, and uplift heads are obtained from the same expressions used with type (A), except that

$$HSL = N$$

and

 $HB3 = HB + (J - HB) \times LB/LTOT.$

Sidewall bending. Sidewall thicknesses upstream of the transverse joint are determined by the thicknesses required at the bottoms of sections 1, 2, and 3, see Figure 23, as was discussed earlier for type (A) basins.

2

3



Thus, the upstream thicknesses TVU, TBVU, TBB, and TBU are obtained just as previously explained. Sidewall thicknesses downstream of the transverse joint are controlled by the thickness required at the bottom of section 3. Hence the downstream thicknesses TVD, TBVD, TBB, and TBD are

obtained from the procedure used to determine the thickness required at the bottom of a section.

Flotation. The upstream and the downstream portions of type (B) basins must satisfy flotation requirements separately. The various components of weight and uplift needed to make the flotation checks are similar to those for type (A) basins. Figure 24 indicates components of sidewall volumes, slab volumes, and footing pressures and loads.





(C) FOOTING PRESSURES AND LOADS

Figure 24. Some type (B) components

Water volume and uplift components are essentially as shown in Figures 18

Each portion of the basin, for each load condition, must satisfy the re-

SDOWN ≥ FI.OATR

where SDOWN is the sum of all downward forces for the portion and SUP is the sum of the uplift forces for the portion. Initial values for the up-

 $\underline{TSUP} = \underline{TT} + (\underline{TBVU} - \underline{TT}) \times \underline{N/J} + 1$

rounded up to the next integer, and

TSBGU = TBU + 1FTGU = 0.

Initial values for the downstream portion are

TSBGD = TBD + 1. TSDN = TBD + 1. FTGD = 0.

If the flotation requirement is not satisfied for the portion under investigation, the corresponding footing projections and floor slab thicknesses are variously incremented up to MAXFTG and TBU + 10 or TBD + 10. If flotation remains unsatisfied the design is abandoned.

Bearing pressures. As previously noted, the transverse joint at the breakin-grade affects the structural behavior of this type of stilling basin. The precise behavior is admittedly uncertain. However, the doweled joint allows relative longitudinal translation of the two portions of the basin, does not allow relative transverse horizontal or vertical translation, and provides little moment resistance. The joint is therefore idealized as a minge that is capable of transmitting shears and direct bearing between portions, but no moment. Figure 25 shows the resulting distribution of



Figure 25. Distribution of bearing pressures type (B) basin

bearing pressures. There are four unknown pressures PUP, PBGU, PBGD, and PND. By construction, vertical displacements immediately either side of The break-in-grade must be equal. Therefore bearing pressures immediately either side are equal assuming a constant modulus of the foundation. Thus

 $PBG \equiv bearing pressure at the break-in-grade = PBGU = PBGD, psf.$

"The pressure distribution is therefore reduced to three unknowns. These many be evaluated by application of the statical equations



Figure 26 shows the equivalent beam, with its loading, created by these assumptions and definitions.



Figure 26. Equivalent beam and loading

34

The statical equations become

 $PUP(0.5 \times LS^{2} \times 2/3)WOU + PBG(0.5 \times LS^{2} \times 1/3)WOU = MUP$ $PUP(0.5 \times LS)WOU + PBG(0.5 \times LS \times WOU + 0.5 \times LB \times WOD) + PDN(0.5 \times LB)WOD = VRUP + VRDN$ $PBG(0.5 \times LB^{2} \times 1/3)WOD + PDN(0.5 \times LB^{2} \times 2/3)WOD + PDN(0.5 \times LB^{2} \times 2/3)WOD$ = MDN

from which PUP, PBG, and PDN in psf may be determined, noting $WOU \equiv overall width of upstream portion of basin$ = W + 2(TEVU/12 + FTGU), ft $WOD \equiv overall width of downstream portion of basin$

= W + 2(TBVD/12 + FTGD), ft

The horizontal components of the hydrostatic forces of Figures 8 and 9 enter into the evaluation of the moments, MUP and MDN, as does the net momentum force FM x W. The horizontal forces, FH2 x W, FH1 x W, and FT1 x W, as the case may be, are effectively shared in some way by both portions of the basin. It is assumed that these forces are divided between the upstream and downstream portions, by direct bearing between

FH2WD = FH2 x W x VNEID/(VNEIU + VNEID). The forces due to FH1 and FT1 are similarly divided.



Figure 27. Division of horizontal force, LC#2

If either PUP, PBG, or PDN is negative, an attempt is made to increase the loading on the corresponding part of the structure. For example, if PUP is negative, then FTGU, TSBGU, and TSUP are incremented. Similarly, if any pressure exceeds the allowable bearing value, the corresponding footing projection is incremented in an attempt to spread the load.

Floor slab shear and bending. Required floor slab thicknesses will sometimes be governed by shear or bending moment. Cross sections are checked as described for type (A) basins. Four sections are investigated: the downstream end section, a section immediately downstream of the break-ingrade, a section immediately upstream of the break-in-grade, and the upstream end section. If any required thickness exceeds the actual section thickness, the design is recycled accordingly.

Sliding. Investigations into the adequacy of the basin against sliding are treated the same as described for type (A). That is

 $\frac{\text{VNET x CFSC}}{\text{FSLIDE}} \ge \text{SLIDER}$ where, for type (B) basins

VNET = VNETU + VNETD

for the load condition under investigation.

36

Type (C)

Preliminary design of type (C) basins is accomplished in two parts, first the design of the pavement slab and second the design of the retaining wall portion. The pavement slab is designed per unit of width. It is subjected to longitudinal shear and moment and must satisfy flotation, bearing, and sliding requirements. The retaining wall portion includes the design of many trial configurations. The toe length, X, varies from W/2 to 0. * The design having the least volume is taken as best. For a particular value of X, the retaining wall portion is investigated for sidewall bending, flotation, bearing pressures, base slab shear and moment, and sliding. The bearing pressure distribution is three-dimensional and requires special treatment.

<u>Pavement slab design</u>. Loadings and bearing pressures are assumed constant along any section of the pavement slab at right angles to the longitudinal centerline of the basin. Hence no transverse bending exists in the pavement slab. The slab is therefore designed as a longitudinal beam of unit width.

Flotation. -- Flotation analyses are essentially as described earlier. Figure 28 shows the various components of slab volumes, volumes of water on the slab, and uplift volumes required to perform the computations. TPUP, TPBG, and TPDN are each set initially at 11 inohes. They are incremented as necessary to obtain a set of values which satisfy the flotation criteria. TPBG is incremented most quickly, TPDN is incremented next most quickly, and TPUP is incremented least quickly. If the criteria remains unsatisfied after 500 trials, the design is abandoned.

Bearing pressures. -- The analysis of bearing pressures is straightforward. It parallels the procedure described for type (A) basins except on a per foot width basis. A possible case of LC #1 is illustrated in Figure 29. If the resultant vertical force per foot is VNET and the resultant moment about the moment center in ft 1bs per ft is M, and other quantities are as previously defined, then

```
Z = M/VNET
E = LTOT/2 - Z
PAVER = VNET/LTOT
so, in psf
PDN = PAVER (1 + 6E/LTOT)
PUP = PAVER (1 - 6E/LTOT)
```

If either PUP or PDN is negative, corresponding slab thicknesses are incremented and the design is recycled. If either PUP or PDN exceeds the allowable bearing value, the design is abandoned.

Sliding. -- The pavement slab must satisfy $\frac{\text{VNET x CFSC}}{\text{FSLIDE}} \ge \text{SLIDER}$ where, for LC #1

```
FSLIDE = FHL - FT1
```

*Except that X may not exceed 40. ft.



(A) PAVEMENT SLAB THICKNESSES AND VOLUMES



(B) POSSIBLE WATER VOLUMES, LC#1 AND LC#2.



Figure 28. Pavement slab components

and for LC #2

FSLIDE = FH2 + FM



bearing pressures, LC#1

If the criteria is unsatisfied after a trial, then TPUP, TPBG, and TPDN are equally incremented and another attempt is made.

Longitudinal shear and bending. -- The pavement slab thickness at any interior section may be governed by either longitudinal shear or bending moment. The thicknesses required by shear and moment are computed at a series of sections between the downstream end of the pavement slab and the break-in-grade, and at another series of sections between the upstream end and the break-in-grade. If at any section the actual thickness is less than that required for either shear or moment, the slab thicknesses are suitably incremented and the design is recycled. The total number of pavement design trials, due to any cause, is arbitrarily limited to 500.

Figure 30 indicates for LC #2, the load components used to obtain shear, VP, in 1bs per ft, and moment, MP, in ft 1bs per ft at a distance, XDN, in ft from the downstream end of the slab. From the sketch the water depth, HX, in ft, is

HX = HTW2 - (HTW2 - Dl) x XDN/LB and slab thickness, TX, in inches, is TX = TPDN + (TPBG - TPDN) x XDN/LB the uplift head, UX, in ft, is UX = HUP2 + TX/12 and the bearing pressure, PX, in psf is

PX = PDN - (PDN - PUP) x XDN/LTOT.





FBOT

39

For LC #2, the uniform loading, FTOP, in 1bs per ft per ft of width, acting on the floor of the basin is

FTOP = FM/LB

and

FBOT = FH2/LB + FTOP

with these quantities defined, VP and MP are readily determined. The required thicknesses are taken as

TXV = |VP|/840 + 3.5

TXM = $(0.003683 \times |MP|)^{1/2} + 3.5$

These thicknesses are compared with the actual thickness, TX, at the section. Computations for a section between the upstream end and the break-in-grade are similar but somewhat more complex.

Retaining wall portions. The design of the sidewall is the same as type (A) basins. However, the upstream end section is vertical, hence as with type (B) basins

HS = J - N $LS = HS \times ZS$ LTOT = LS + LB



40



also

HSL = N

 $HB3 = HB + (J - HB) \times LB/LTOT.$

The treatments of flotation and sliding, for a particular value of X, are essentially the same as type (A) basins if W is temporarily taken as W = 2X. Flotation provides an initial value for the footing projection, FTG. The footing projection is the heel of the retaining wall base.

Bearing pressures. -- A retaining wall portion of a type (C) stilling basin lacks symmetry of loading and construction, see Figure 31. Therefore bending occurs about both major and minor axes of the horizontal projected bearing area. Bearing pressures are assumed to vary linearly from corner to corner of the bearing area. Thus, if

SDOWN = sum of all downward forces on the portion, 1bs

- \equiv sum of all uplift forces on the portion, lbs SUP
- VNET \equiv resultant of the vertical forces on the portion = SDOWN - SUP, lbs
- MA = resultant moment of the forces on the portion about the A-line, ft lbs
- MB = resultant moment of the forces on the portion about the B-line, ft 1bs

WOB \equiv overall width of portion base = X + TBV/12 + FTG, ft

then in ft

- = MA/VNET ZA
- = LTOT/2 ZA EA
- = MB/VNET ZB

= WOB/2 - ZBEB

and the bearing pressures, in psf, for the load condition under investigation, are given by

PAVER = VNET/(LTOT x WOB)

PDT	=	PAVER	(1	+	6EA/LTOT	+	6EB/WOB)
PDH	=	PAVER	(1	+	6EA/LTOT	-	6EB/WOB)
PUT	=	PAVER	(1	1	6EA/LTOT	+	6EB/WOB)
PUH	=	PAVER	(1	-	6EA/LTOT	-	6EB/WOB)

The moment about the A-line, MA, involves longitudinal horizontal forces and vertical forces as previously discussed for type (A) basins. The moment about the B-line involves transverse horizontal forces and the above vertical forces. The moment of the transverse lateral forces about the B-line requires further consideration. A possible case of LC #2 is used for illustration, see Figure 32. An expression for moment about the B-line, applicable to any section, D, between the downstream end section and the break-in-grade, is written in terms of the working values HBW, HWW, DW, and TSDN. Another moment expression, applicable to any section, U, between the break-in-grade and the upstream end section, is written

in terms of HBW, HWW, DW, TW, and ARM. These moments are summed over the respective distances LB and LS to obtain the total moment due to lateral forces. Several simplifying assumptions are made to obtain these





Figure 32. Retaining wall portion lateral force moments, LC#2

moment expressions. A waterstop between the pavement slab and the retaining wall base is assumed effective at the elevation of the bottom of the base slab. The thickness of the level base slab is taken as TSDN in these computations. The retaining wall portion is assumed to bear against the pavement slab. NLAT, shown on the upstream section, is this direct force. It is the force required for lateral equilibrium of the section. Thus the downstream section working values, for the case shown are
HBW = HB + (HB3 - HB) x XDN/LB HWW = HUP2 DW = HTW2 - (HTW2 - Dl) x XDN/LB. The upstream section working values, for the case shown, are HBW = HB3 - (HB3 - HB1) x XBG/LS HWW = HUP2 - XBG/ZS DW = Dl TW = TSUP + (TSDN - TSUP) x (LS - XBG)/LS ARM = TSDN/12 + XBG/ZS - TW/12

Working values for load condition No. 1 are similar. With the working values known, the moments are readily expressed, the summations made, and the bearing pressures determined.

If any bearing pressure is negative, the corresponding base slab thickness and FTG are incremented. If any bearing pressure exceeds the allowable, FTG is incremented in an attempt to spread the total load.

Base slab shear and bending. -- Required base slab thicknesses will sometimes be governed by shear or bending moment. Three cross sections are checked: the downstream end section, the section at the break-in-grade, and the upstream end section. Both load conditions are investigated.

Figure 33 shows typical loadings. PBH and PBT are the bearing pressures at the heel and toe resulting from the preceding analyses of bearing. The other loadings are as defined for type (A) basins. Shear and moment are computed at the face of the sidewall. Longitudinal shears are treated both ways as previously described. If it is assumed carried by the sidewall, shears and moments at the faces of the sidewall are unaffected by PLONG. If the longitudinal shears are assumed carried by the base slab then



Figure 33. Loadings for base slab shear and moment

ULONG = (PBT + PBH)/2 + PUW - PTS - (PF x FTG + PDW x X - FWALL)/WOB

and the face shear and moment expressions must include ULONG. The assumption leading to the larger required thickness is taken as controlling. If any required thickness exceeds the actual slab thickness, the design is recycled accordingly.



The wingwall is considered to act essentially independently of the basin proper. The wingwall is vertical and of constant thickness. It is articulated from the basin sidewall as shown in the layout drawing of Figure 4. The wingwall footing and toewall are monolithic with the footing and toewall of the basin proper. Design investigations include bending of the wingwall and wingwall footing, overturning, and sliding. Figure 34 shows the wingwall section assumed for design. The toewall below



44

Figure 34. Wingwall design section

the footing is assumed non-existent. The earth line in front of the wingwall is horizontal at the elevation of the bottom of the footing. The earthfill slopes at the back of the wall are defined below.

The sidewall and wingwall are shown as line diagram idealizations in Figure 35. Earthfill surfaces are also shown. The surface of the earthfill against the wingwall varies linearly from HB at the articulation joint to 1.0 ft above the top of the footing at the downstream end of the wingwall. These surfaces give rise to three slope parameters of interest, they are

- ZPS = slope parameter for the earthfill adjacent to the sidewall in the direction parallel to the sidewall
- ZNW = slope parameter for the earthfill adjacent to the wingwall in the direction normal to the wingwall
- ZNS ≡ slope parameter for the earthfill adjacent to the sidewall in the direction normal to the wingwall.

Thus,



PARTIAL ELEVATION

(J-1)//2

Figure 35. Wingwall earthfill surfaces and slopes

The earthfill height, YB, in ft above the top of the footing for any distance, X, in ft from the articulation joint is

YB = HB - X/ZNW.

With the sloping earthfill shown in Figure 34, a brief might be presented for assuming that lateral earth pressures are inclined from the horizontal. That is, they have vertical and horizontal components. This is not done herein. Lateral earth pressures are horizontal and vary directly with KO and distance below the surface. To include a vertical component would be unconservative since it would be a stabilizing force (in overturning and sliding analyses) whose existence and magnitude are uncertain.

Loading conditions. Wingwall design requires the investigation of more than the two load conditions necessary for the design of the basin proper. Critical loading often occurs at an intermediate load condition between LC #1 and LC #2. Furthermore, different load conditions are critical for Therefore, as shown schematically in Figure 36, different functions.



ILC#2 ILC#1 CC LC#1







Figure 36. Load conditions for wingwall design

two intermediate load conditions are created for use in design of wingwalls. These are ILC #1 and ILC #2. The construction condition, CC, with no water either side of the wingwall, is included as still another loading since it will sometimes control a function. For LC #2 the water surface both sides of the wall is HTW2 above the top of the footing. Let

- $HW \equiv$ uplift head above top of footing at the articulation joint, for the load condition under investigation, in ft
- $DW \equiv$ tailwater depth above top of footing for the load condition under investigation, in ft.

Then the water height, YW, in ft on the back face of the wingwall for any distance, X, is

 $YW = HW - (HW - DW) \times X/(J - DW)$

when X < (J - DW). It is

YW = DW

when $X \ge (J - DW)$.

The water depth on the front face of the wall is constant at DW. Water surfaces are assumed horizontal normal to the wingwall.

<u>Wingwall bending</u>. The thickness of the wingwall is determined by shear or moment and direct force at the bottom of the wall at the section adjacent to the articulation joint. All load conditions indicated in Figure 36, except LC #2, are investigated to obtain the maximum required thickness. Load condition No. 2 cannot produce maximum shear or moment at the base of the section. Figure 37 illustrates a possible case for one load condition.



Figure 37. Determination of wingwall thickness

The thickness required by shear is determined directly. The thickness required by moment and direct force is determined by an iterative process. The shear is, in 1bs per ft

 $V = 31.2(HW^2 - DW^2) + 0.5 \times KO \times GB \times HB^2$ so the required thickness for shear is, in inches TS = V/840 + 2.5 The moment is, in ft lbs per ft

 $M = 10.4(HW^3 - DW^3) + 0.5 \times KO \times GB \times HB^3/3.$

The direct force for a thickness, TM, is

 $N = 12.5 \times J \times TM$

so the equivalent moment is

 $M_s = M + N \times (0.5 \times TM - 2.5)/12$

and the required thickness for moment and direct force is, in inches

 $TM = (0.003683 \times M_s)^{1/2} + 2.5$

The computed required TM and the assumed TM must agree.

These computations are repeated for the four load conditions. The wingwall thickness, TWW, is the largest of all of the above required thicknesses.

<u>Overturning</u>. Initial values of wingwall footing projections, BUP and BDN, are determined by considering the wingwall as an independent wall and making it stable against overturning. Required footing projections are determined by analyzing slices of unit width at the four sections indicated by Figure 38. Each slice must be stable by itself. Individual slices are analyzed, rather than the entire wingwall as a unit, because of the complexity of treating general bearing on an unsymmetrical bearing area.



Figure 38. Wingwall footing projections

The required footing projections are found at each of the four sections. Then BUP and BDN are selected from the requirement that footing projections vary linearly between BUP and BDN. If any required projection at sections 2, 3, or 4 exceeds the required projection at section 1, the projection for the entire footing is made constant at the largest required value. Each section is investigated for all load conditions shown in Figure 36 to obtain the maximum required projection at the section. Figure 39 indicates the analysis for a possible case at a particular section and load condition. The distance from the section under consideration to the wingwall articulation joint is X. X is also the distance from the back of the wall to the break in earthfill slopes. Current values of footing thickness, TWF, and footing projection, FTG, are investigated. First trial values are TWF = TWW and FTG = 1. Footing pressures, lateral water and earth pressures, uplift pressures, footing dead load, and wall weight are determined for the current values. Footing pressures vary linearly between the three points: back of the wall, X from the wall, and edge of footing.

With the loads known, moments about the toe and summation of vertical forces locates VNET at Z from the toe. If VNET is located outside the base width, WOB, that is Z is negative, then FTG is incremented for another trial. If VNET is located within the base, within WOB/3 of the toe, then PBH would be negative so again FTG is incremented for another



49



Figure 39. Wingwall overturning and bearing

trial. If VNET is located within the middle third of the base, the section is safe against overturning and the contact bearing pressures, PBT and PBH, are computed in the usual way. If the higher pressure exceeds the allowable value, taken as

 $PALLOW = 2000 + GB \times (YB + TWF/12)$

FTG is again incremented. Each trial recycles the footing design back to the first load condition for the section under investigation.

When bearing pressure requirements are satisfied, footing thickness required for moment is determined. The critical section for moment is at the face of the wingwall. If the required thickness is more than the actual thickness, TWF is incremented and the footing design is recycled starting at the first location, (section 1 of Figure 38) and the first load condition. Analyses have shown that shear seldom controls footing thickness in these wingwalls. Hence the thickness required for shear is only checked, and the design recycled if necessary, in detail design.

<u>Sliding</u>. The basin proper is designed to satisfy longitudinal sliding requirements, by itself. Therefore, no additional sliding force should be brought to the basin by the wingwalls. This means the wingwalls should be adequate themselves to resist sliding in the longitudinal direction of the basin. (Any tendency of the wingwall to slide in a transverse direction, toward the center of the channel, is resisted by the wingwall-to-basin tie discussed in the next section.) Let the resultant horizontal driving force normal to the sidewall be FSLIDE, see Figure 40. This force is obtained by summing, over the length of the sidewall, the net horizontal forces per unit length, HNET, at each of



50



Figure 40. Longitudinal sliding of wingwall

the four sections. HNET is obtained from the indicated horizontal forces, for a particular section and load condition. Thus $FSLIDE = (HNET1/2 + HNET2 + HNET3 + HNET4/2) \times (J - 1)/3.$ Similarly, if WING is the resultant vertical force on the wingwall, and VNET is the resultant per unit length, then

 $VWING = (VNET1/2 + VNET2 + VNET3 + VNET4/2) \times (J - 1)/3.$

The longitudinal component of FSLIDE is FSLIDE/ $\sqrt{2}$. To adequately resist sliding, the wingwall must satisfy the relation

 $\frac{1.4142 \times \text{VWING} \times \text{CFSC}}{\text{FSLIDE}} \cong \text{SLIDER}$ for each load condition of Figure 36.

If the above relation is not satisfied for any load condition, BUP and BDN are incremented equally. The design is recycled to the start of the overturning analysis with the new footing projection values. This is necessary because the wingwall footing thickness, TWF, may require incrementing with the larger footing projections.

<u>Wingwall-to-basin tie</u>. A structural tie is provided between the wingwall footing and the footing and floor slab of the basin proper. This wingwall-to-basin tie prevents rotation of the wingwall about its junction with the basin sidewall and thus effectively prevents any possibility of transverse sliding of the wingwall. The wingwall-to-basin tie is designed for the full moment due to the resultant horizontal force, FSLIDE, of Figure 40. This is admittedly conservative in that it completely neglects any frictional resistance that is developed. Let MTIE be the full moment, in foot lbs, and ARM be the moment arm shown in Figure 41. Then, in inches

ARM = BUP x 12 - 6 - TWW/2





Figure 41. Wingwall-to-basin tie steel area

and the required area of the tie steel, in sq. in., just downstream of the section through the articulation joint is approximately

ATIE =
$$\frac{\text{MTIE x 12}}{20,000 \text{ x ARM}} \times \frac{((J-1)^2 + (BUP - BDN)^2)^{1/2}}{(J-1)}$$

Detail Designs

With the two exceptions of the longitudinal steel in the pavement slab of type (C) stilling basins and the wingwall-to-basin tie steel, detail design is concerned with the determination of requirements for transverse steel. Transverse steel in the pavement slab and longitudinal steel in all other elements need only satisfy temperature and shrinkage (T and S) requirements.

Each detail design begins with the set of trial dimensions obtained in the preliminary design. Thicknesses are incremented, and the design recycled, whenever it is discovered compression steel would otherwise be required to hold bending stresses to allowable working values. A wingwall detail design accompanies the detail design of every basin requested. Required steel area and maximum allowable steel spacing are computed at a sufficient number of points to adequately define the steel requirements of the stilling basin. Steel areas given always satisfy T and S requirements. In the sidewall, the points are the same for each stilling basin type. In the floor slabs of types (A) and (B) basins and in the base slab of type (C) basins, the points are similarly located and numbered so that there is little difficulty in switching thought from one type to another. Schematic steel layouts are shown for sidewalls, floor and base slabs, and wingwalls. The actual steel is selected by the designer once he knows the steel requirements at the various points.

Sidewall steel

Steel areas and spacings are determined for the eleven points defined in Figure 42. Points 1 through 10 are on the inclined steel in the back of the wall. The vertical steel in the front of the wall need only be provided in T and S amounts with the possible exception of steel in the vicinity of point 0, discussed later.

Refer to Figures 14 and 42. Study of sketch (A) of Figure 14 shows that for LC #1 the steel requirement at points 2, 3, 4, or 5 equals or exceeds the steel requirement at any point to the right of, and at the same elevation as, the point under consideration. Observation of sketch (B) of Figure 14 for LC #2 shows that similar statements apply if the water depth, D1, in the basin is neglected for points 2, 3, and 4.

The steel requirements for the vertical steel in the back face of the sidewall are therefore evaluated as follows. The requirements at points 1 through 5 and at corresponding points 6 through 10 at the break-ingrade section are determined. Note that it is often convenient or necessary to alter the wall steel layout at the break-in-grade section. The steel determined for point 3 is adequate between point 3 and point 8. The steel determined for point 8 is adequate between point 8 and the point on the downstream end section at the same elevation as point 8. Corresponding statements are true for similar pairs of points. The steel required at point 5 is reported for purposes of interpolation. Any interpolation should assume straight-line variation between points. For type (B) basins, the thicknesses of the upstream portion are used with points 1 through 5 whereas the thicknesses of the downstream portion are used with points 6 through 10. For types (A) and (C) basins, these thick-



54

TYPE(C)

Figure 42. Sidewall steel layout and point locations

nesses are the same so points 5 and 10 are actually the same point.

Figure 43 illustrates a possible loading situation. It might be LC #1 or LC #2. The section under consideration is located at distance, Z,





from the top of the wall. Shear, VZ, in 1bs per ft; moment, MZ, in ft 1bs per ft; and direct force, NZ, in 1bs per ft are determined for the section and loads. The required steel area for this MZ and NZ may be obtained as explained in TR-42. If the current effective depth is inadequate without using compression steel, the bottom thickness, TBV, and hence TB, is incremented and the wall steel design is begun again. When the effective depth is adequate, the maximum allowable spacing of the steel at this section is given, in inches, by

 $SZ = 10,015 \times (T - 2.5)/VZ$

as explained on page 47 of TR-42.

As noted earlier, the water surface on the outside of the basin sidewalls, for LC #2, is taken conservatively at HTW2 for sidewall bending analyses. However, when HTW2 > HUP2, tension may occur in the steel in the front of the wall at and in the vicinity of point 0 on the assumption the water surface on the outside of the wall is at HUP2. Therefore a steel requirement is reported for point 0 only when HTW2 > HUP2 and tension is computed to exist at this section with

Z = JHBZ = HBHWZ = HUP2DZ = HTW2.

Floor slab steel

Steel areas and spacings are determined at twelve points in the floor slab in each of three sections for type (A) basins and in each of four sections for type (B) basins. Both LC #1 and LC #2 are investigated. The three type (A) basin sections are the downstream end section, the break-in-grade section, and the upstream end section. The four type (B) basin sections are the downstream end section, a section immediately downstream of the break-in-grade, a section immediately upstream of the break-in-grade, and the upstream end section. The toewall is neglected in computing the downstream end section steel requirements. Figure 44 gives the location and numbering of the twelve points in each section.

c			-	-	FTG.	12	FTG/2	TYPE(A)
e 	14	W/4	-		FTG	J/2	FTGU/2	TYPE(B) UPSTREAM PORTION
					FTG	0/2	FTGD/2	DOWNSTREAM PORTION
57 45 33 21	55 43 31 19		53 41 29 17		51 39 27 15	49 37 25 13	47 35 23 11	UPSTREAM END UPSTREAM OF B-I-G DOWNSTREAM OF B-I-G DOWNSTREAM END
22 34	20 32		18 30	16 28		14 26	12 24	DOWNSTREAM END DOWNSTREAM OF B-I-G
46 58	44		42	40		38	36	UPSTREAM OF B-1-G

JO JA JE JU 40 OFSTREAM END

NOTE:

FOR TYPE(A) POINTS 35-46 ARE OMITTED AND POINTS 23-34 ARE AT BREAK-IN-GRADE SECTION.

Figure 44. Floor slab steel layout and point locations

In accordance with previous discussion, see page 26, bearing pressures are assumed constant along any transverse section of the basin. Figure 22 shows loads and pertinent variables involved. For the case shown, the direct compressive force in the footing projections, in 1bs per ft, is

 $CF = (KO \times GM \times HDIFF + (KO \times GB + 62.4)(HUW + TS/24)) \times TS/12$ where HDIFF = HBW - HUW. The horizontal loading on the wall is

 $HWALL = KO \times GM \times HDIFF \times (HDIFF/2 + HUW)$

+ KO x GB x $HUW^2/2$ + 62.4(HUW^2 - DW^2)/2.

Thus the direct compressive force in the floor slab between the sidewalls, in 1bs per ft, is

CB = CF + HWALL.

Shear and moment values at any location are also computed directly by statics. Longitudinal shear is treated both ways described on pages 28 and 29.

With moment and direct force, and shear known at any location, required steel area and maximum steel spacing may be evaluated as explained in TR-42. The effective depth is (TS-3.5) for positive moment, that is, moment producing tension in the bottom of the slab, and (TS-2.5) for negative moment. If the effective depth is inadequate, the slab thickness is incremented and the floor slab steel design is begun again. For negative moment, a check is made to determine if the top steel qualifies as "top bars" with regard to spacing of steel for bond. The spacing of "top bars" is given by $S = 7093 \times (T - 2.5)/VZ$ in accordance with TR-42.

Base slab steel

Steel areas and spacings are determined at twelve points in the retaining wall base in each of three sections for type (C) basins. The sections are the downstream end section, the break-in-grade section, and the upstream end section. Figure 45 gives the location and numbering of the points.



			14			
i en tra				i an	1	
22	20	18	16	14	12	DOWNSTREAM END
34	32	30	28	26	24	BREAK-IN-GRADE
58	56	54 .	52	50	48	UPSTREAM END

Figure 45. Base slab steel layout and point locations

Bearing pressures at the toe and heel of the upstream and downstream end sections are obtained as described for preliminary design of type (C) retaining wall portions. Bearing pressures at the break-in-grade section are obtained by interpolation. Shear and moment values at any location in the toe and heel of any section are computed by statics. Figure 33 shows the vertical loads involved. Direct compressive forces in footing projections and in the toe slab are computed as indicated above for floor slab steel. With the force system at a location known, steel requirements are readily ascertained. Longitudinal shear is again treated both ways. Longitudinal steel areas and spacings are determined at the ten points in the pavement slab shown in Figure 46. Shear and moment values for these locations are obtained during preliminary design at the same time slab thicknesses are checked throughout the slab for longitudinal shear and moment. Both LC #1 and LC #2 are investigated to obtain maximum requirements.



Figure 46. Pavement slab steel layout and point locations

Wingwall steel

Steel areas and spacings are determined at six points in each of three sections in the wingwall. Figure 47 gives the location of the sections and numbering of the points. The sections are adjacent to the articulation joint and at the interior third points of the wingwall span. Figure 48 gives the wingwall section steel layout and locates the six points where steel requirements are evaluated.

There are two lines of principal steel in a wingwall section. These lines are the vertical steel in the back face of the wingwall and the top steel in the wingwall footing. Detailing must ensure that adequate anchorage is provided these two lines at the junction of wingwall and wingwall footing. All other steel in the section is required only in T and S amounts.

Determination of the steel requirements at point a, b, or c in any section necessitates the evaluation of the force system MZ, NZ, and VZ shown in Figure 49 where Z is the distance from the top of the section down to the point in question. Possible cases of DW, YW, and YB are illustrated from which MZ, NZ, and VZ are computed for a particular load condition. At interior sections where X > (J - DW), the water depths, YW and DW, are equal and exceed the height of the section, HSW. All five load conditions of Figure 36 are investigated to determine maximum requirements.





Figure 47. Wingwall steel point locations



60

Figure 48. Wingwall section steel layout

Determination of the steel requirements at point d, e, or f similarly necessitates the evaluation of the force system MZ, NZ, and VZ shown in Figure 50 where Z is the distance from the edge of the footing projection to the point in question. Sketch (A) shows a possible combination of YB, YW, and DW. Sketch (B) shows the resulting loadings and bearing pressures and indicates the summation to obtain MZ and VZ. The direct compressive force, NZ, is obtained as suggested by the next two sketches. Sketch (C) defines the resultant horizontal forces involved. Sketch (D) puts the section in horizontal equilibrium using the resultant horizontal forces and indicates the summation to obtain NZ. All five load conditions of Figure 36 are investigated.

The wingwall footing thickness required for shear is checked during these computations. Maximum shear in the footing can occur at the face of the wall or at some interior location. Shear seldom controls thickness. When it does, the thickness is incremented and the footing steel design is begun again.



Figure 49. Determination of the force system at a point in wingwall

61



Figure 50. Determination of the force system in wingwall footing

A76

Concrete Volumes

Concrete volumes, in cubic yards, are computed for both preliminary and detail designs. The volumes are given in two parts. The first is the volume of the basin proper, exclusive of wingwalls. The second is the volume of the wingwalls including several adjustments. These adjustments account for the mating of (1) sidewall to wingwall, (2) basin and wingwall toewalls, and (3) basin and wingwall footings.

Basin Volumes

The basin volume of each type of SAF stilling basin is readily obtained. Certain assumptions are made to facilitate computing this volume. It is assumed that the sidewalls end abruptly at the downstream end section. It is further assumed that the basin toewall ends abruptly at the outer edges of the sidewall, that is, the toewall does not extend under the basin footing projections.

The basin volume for type (A) outlets is obtained by partitioning the sidewalls and floor slab as shown by Figure 17. Component solids are prismatoids for which the volume in general is

$$V = (A_1 + 4A_m + A_2)h/6$$

where

 $A_1 \equiv \text{area of right section at one end of solid}$ $A_2 \equiv \text{area of right section at other end of solid}$ $A_m \equiv \text{area of mid-section of solid}$ $h \equiv \text{perpendicular distance between right end sections.}$

The basin volume for a type (B) basin is obtained in accordance with the partitioning shown by Figure 24. Similarly, the volume of the retaining wall portion of a type (C) basin is also obtained in accordance with Fig-

wall portion of a type (C) basin is also obtained in accordance with right ure 24, but with floor slab thickness of TSBG at the break-in-grade. The pavement slab volume of a type (C) basin follows from Figure 28, sketch (A). In calculating these volumes, the overall widths of the basin are taken as

WO = W + 2(TBV/12 + FTG)

for type (A), and also for type (C), but with W taken as 2X. Type (B) widths are

WOU = W + 2(TBVU/12 + FTGU)WOD = W + 2(TBVD/12 + FTGD).

It should be noted the basin volumes do not include volumes of chute blocks, floor blocks, end sills, fillets on toewalls, or upstream floor joint steps. It is assumed that chute blocks, floor blocks, and end sills are dimensioned during hydraulic design and that they are subject to some shape and/or size variation. Likewise, fillet size and joint step details are subject to variation depending on designer preference.

Wingwall Volumes

The computation of the wingwall volume with its adjustments is somewhat complicated. Figure 4 shows a typical wingwall layout. First the wing-

wall volume is computed without adjustments. As with the basin proper, certain assumptions are made to facilitate computing this volume. It is assumed that the wingwall and wingwall toewall begin at the articulation joint and extend outward a span of (J-1). It is further assumed that the basin proper is without footing projections. The wingwall volume without adjustments, VWOAD, thus consists of the volumes of '1) the wingwall, (2) the wingwall toewall, and (3) the wingwall footing with its extension back to the basin sidewall. Figure 51 delineates these volumes.





Figure 51. Wingwall volume without adjustments

The adjustments subsequently applied to the wingwall volume depend on the corner detail shown in Figures 4 and 51. The corner detail is shown to larger scale in Figure 52. The thickness of the wingwall toewall, TWT, is the larger of the thickness of the basin toewall, TTW, or the thickness of the wingwall, TWW. The level distance, LEVEL, which locates the articulation joint with respect to the corner of the sidewall, and the distance, BACK, which serves to define the wingwall footing extension back to the sidewall, are given in inches by

LEVEL = TBV / 2

BACK = TWT - LEVEL

when

TBV \leq TWW x $\sqrt{2}$

otherwise

LEVEL = TBV $\times \sqrt{2}$ - TWW BACK = TWT - TWW The former case is illustrated by Figure 51 and the upper sketch of Figure 52. The latter case is illustrated by Figure 4 and the lower sketch of Figure 52.



Sidewall stub adjustment. Instead of the sidewall ending abruptly at the downstream end section, the sidewall makes a 45° turn and ends at the articulation joint as is shown in Figure 52. Thus a volume correction or adjustment is necessary. Adjustments are applied herein to the wingwall volume so that relative volumes of different types of basins may be directly compared with more meaning. The sidewall stub adjustment volume, VWALL, is the difference between the sidewall volumes when the sidewall ends at the articulation joint and when the sidewall ends at the downstream end section.

Toewall stub adjustment. Instead of the basin toewall ending at the outer edges of the sidewall, the basin toewall mates with the wingwall toewall in a 45° turn as is shown in Figure 52. Thus another volume adjustment is required. The toewall stub adjustment volume, VTOE, is the difference between the volume of the basin toewall mated to the wingwall toewall (the toewall taken to the plane of the articulation joint) and the volume of the basin toewall ending at the outer edge of the sidewall.

Basin footing adjustment. Usually the basin proper will have footings. Such footings extend to the downstream end section. Thus an adjustment is necessary that will take account of any wingwall footing that is in space already occupied by the basin footing. Several configurations are possible depending on the relative values of the basin footing projection, FTG, vs the wingwall variables, WTWT, WEXT, WDES, and WPROJ shown in Figure 53. Sketch (A) illustrates these variables and also the distance, WWLB. This is the distance from the plane of the downstream end section upstream to the point where the wingwall footing extended backward would intersect the outer edge of the sidewall. The wingwall variables, in feet, are

• WPROJ = $(BACK/12 + (J - 1) + (BDN - (TWT - TWW)/12))/\sqrt{2}$ $= (BUP + (BACK - (TWT - TWW))/12)/\sqrt{2}$ WEXT $= (\text{TWT x} \sqrt{2} - \text{TBV})/12$ WTWT WWLB = (BUP - (TBV/ $\sqrt{2} - TWW$)/12) $\sqrt{2}$

Sketches (B) through (E) of Figure 53 indicate by shaded area, the basin footing adjustment volume that must be deducted from the wingwall volume without adjustment. Sketch (E) shows a rare but possible case where a volume indicated by the lined area must be added to the wingwall volume without adjustment. The basin footing adjustment volume, VFTG, is computed using the wingwall footing thickness, TWF. Let

VWING = VWOAD + VWALL + VTOE

then, the adjusted wingwall volume is

QUANT = VWING - VFTG.

If for any reason VFTG can not be computed, QUANT is set to zero and a message is given. For example, VFTG is not computed when (WWLB - LB) > FTG. This does not mean the design is unsatisfactory. Rather, it means that some design decision is necessary concerning the layout of the wingwall footing.



Figure 53. Basin-wingwall footing possibilities

Computer Designs

Input

Two lines of alphameric information must preceed all other data in the input for a computer job. A given computer job may include many design runs. From one to five lines of input data are required for each design run. A decign run is made for a particular set of design conditions and takes one of two forms. The first form consists of three preliminary designs, one for each SAF stilling basin type, plus a preliminary design of the wingwalls. The second form consists of the detail design of one of the basin types plus a detail design of the wingwalls.

The input data provided per design run consists essentially of values for the primary design parameters and, if desired, values for the secondary parameters. Table 2 shows the lines that may be provided per run together with the specific design parameters contained on the first and last three lines.

W	J	LB	N	Dl	VI	DESIGN	DFALTS
DFALTL	DFALT2	DFALT3		-	-	-	-
HB	HIW2	HUP2	HIWL	HUPL			Tiel I
MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	ZS	BAT	-	-	-1
GM	GS	КО	CFSC	HTW	TTW	-	T-T

Table 2. Input values per design run

The first line contains the primary parameters, W, J, LB, N, Dl, and Vl and is always required. If DESIGN = 0, the three preliminary designs are performed. If DESIGN = 1, 2, or 3, the detail design of SAF type (A), (B), or (C) is performed. If DFALTS = 0, all secondary parameters are assigned default values and the next four lines must be omitted. If DFALTS > 0, some or all secondary parameters are assigned user values and the next line of input data must be provided.

If DFALT1 = 0, the line of input data starting with HB must be omitted. If DFALT1 > 0, the line of input data containing values of HB through HUP1 must be provided.

If DFALT2 = 0, the line of input data starting with MAXFTG must be omitted. If DFALT2 > 0, the line of input data containing values of MAXFTG through BAT must be provided. Similarly for DFALT3 and the line of input data starting with GM.

Thus the number of lines of data that must be provided per design run will vary depending on whether the default values are acceptable or whether the user wishes to supply certain secondary parameter values. Note that although various lines may be omitted, those supplied must be complete and in the order indicated. The two lines of alphameric data are used to provide information such as site number, watershed, state, date of design, and similar information desired by the requesting office.

Output

The output for each design run, whether preliminary designs or a detail design, repeats the two alphameric lines of input and gives the parameter values used for that run. These parameters are listed and identified at the beginning of the design.

Messages. The execution of a design run is not attempted when the computer recognizes input parameters are unacceptable. When this happens, the output references a message giving the reason the run was not executed. These messages follow.

Message No. 1 The Froude number is less than 3.0

Message No. 2 HTW2 exceeds J

Message No. 3 HUP2 exceeds HTW2

Message No. 4 HUPl exceeds HUP2

Message No. 5 HTWl exceeds HUPl

Message No. 6 HB exceeds J

Message No. 7 N is less than Dl

Message No. 8 HTW2 is less than Dl

```
Message No. 9
LB is less than arbitrary minimum of 2.0 ft
```

Message No. 10 N is more than arbitrary maximum of 0.9J

Message No. 11 HB is less than zero

```
Message No. 12
ZS is less than arbitrary minimum of 2.0
```

Sometimes an executing design can not be completed. This may occur during preliminary design or more rarely during detail design. When this happens, the output contains a short message which identifies the basin or element involved and gives the underlying cause when possible.

Preliminary designs. Preliminary design results are listed in the order type (A), (B), (C) and wingwall, see Figure 54. Output values consist of distances, thicknesses, and concrete volumes. The units are reet, inches, and cubic yards respectively. Items may be identified by reference to various figures:

For type (A) see Figures 1 and 11 For type (B) see Figures 2 and 11 For type (C) see Figures 3, 11, and 28(A) For wingwall see Figure 4.

<u>Detail designs</u>. The output for the detail design of any basin type includes several segments. The output repeats the preliminary design results. The output gives final distance and thickness values (these will often be identical to the preliminary design values). The output includes a listing of steel requirements giving required area and maximum allowable spacing in sq. in. per ft and inches. The output then provides similar detail information for the associated wingwalls.

Type (A). -- See Figure 55 for an output example. See Figures 42 and 44 for the steel locations listed.

Type (B). -- See Figure 56 for an output example. See Figures 42 and 44 for the steel locations listed.

Type (C). -- See Figure 57 for an output example. See Figures 42 and 45 for the retaining wall portion steel locations listed. See Figure 46 for the pavement slab steel locations listed.

Wingwalls. -- Wingwall detail designs are a part of Figures 55, 56, and 57. See Figures 4 and 53 for item identification. See Figures 41, 47, and 48 for the steel locations listed. The required area of the wingwall-to-basin tie is given in sq. inches. LEVEL is in inches, WPROJ and WWLB are in feet, and VWING is in cubic yards.

SPECIAL DESIGN PREPARED BY THE DESIGN UNIT AT HYATTSVILLE, MD. FOR

EXAMPLE SPECIAL DESIGNS FOR STILLING BASIN TECHNICAL RELEASE JOAN FOR ESA------ 8/7/73

7 00

DESIGN PARAMETERS W = 20.00 D1 = 1.75 HTV1= 4.00 HB

J = 18.75	V1 =	50.00	HUP1= 6.00	ZS = 2.50	GS =120.0	FLOATR= 1.25
N = 6.75	FROUDE =	44.37 15.63	HTW2=13.50 HUP2=11.00	HTV= 4.00 TTV=12.00	KO = 0.750 BAT= 0.0	SLIDER= 1.10 CFSC = 0.40

PRELIMINARY DESIGNS FOLLOW

		TYPE (A) S	TILLING	BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES		QUANT=119.43
LTOP=	45.45	LN=	2.51	HN=	6.27	HV=	9.37	
LBOT=	47.96	LS.	31.21	HS=	12.48			
TT=	10.00	TV=	12.50	TBB=	0.0	TBV=	15.00	T8= 15.00
FTG =	3.00	TSUP=	13.00	TSBG=	16.00	TSDN=	16.00	
					ASS	SOCIATED WI	GWALL	QUANT = 27.19
			(A)					

1707	101 44	TITE LD/ .	SITLLING	BASIN -	IRIAL	VALUES		QUANI=	33.ZZ
LTOT-	46.75	LS =	30.00	HS =	12.00	HV=	9.37		
TT-	10.00	TVU=	12.50	TBB=	0.0	TBVU=	15.00	TRU=	15.00
		TVD=	12.50			TBVD=	15.00	TBD=	15.00
FTGU=	4.00	TSUP=	13.00	TSBGU=	22.00				12210-2221
FTGD =	4.75		and the set	TSBGD =	22.00	TSDN=	16.00		
					ASS	SOCIATED WIN	IGHALL	QUANT=	25.70

TYPE (C) STILLING BASIN - TRIAL VALUES QUANT =119,59 LS= 30.00 XP= 0.0 LTOT = 46.75 HV= 9.37 HS= 12.00 X= 10.00 TPUP= 50.00 TPRG= 88.00 TPDH= 69.00 TT= 10.00 TSR= 0.0 TV= 12.50 TRV= 15.00 TR= 15.00 FTG= 3.00 TSUP= 14.00 TSRG= 17.00 TSDN= 16.00 ASSOCIATED WINGWALL QUANT= 27.19

WINGWALL DESIGN TRIAL VALUES TIM= 10.00 TWF= 10.00 BDN= 3.50 BUP= 12.50

CONCERNENCE END PRELIMINARY DESIGNS -----

SAF STILLING BASIN STRUCTURAL DESIGN ELASTIC ANALYSIS AND WORKING STRESS DESIGN ARE USED

SPECIAL DESIGN PREPARED BY THE DESIGN UNIT AT HYATTSVILLE, MD. FOR

EXAMPLE SPECIAL DESIGNS FOR STILLING BASIN TECHNICAL RELEASE JOAN FOR ESA-----8/7/73

	DESIGN PARAMETERS	2					
₩ = 20.00	D1 = 1.00 HTW	1= 2.00	HB = !	5.00 GH =13	20.0	MAXETO	;=10.00
J = 12.00	V1 = 35.00 HUP	1= 4.00	ZS = 1	3.00 GS =14	0.0	FLOAT	1= 1.50
LB= 16.00	FROUDE= 38.04 HTM	2= 8.00	HTV=	4.00 KO =	0.800	SLIDER	= 1.00
N = 4.00	D2 = 8.24 HUP	2= 6.00	TTN=10	0.00 BAT=	0.375	CFSC	= 0.35
	PRELIMINARY DESIG	NS FOLLO	1				
	TYPE (A) STILLING	BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES		QUANT =	63.91
1 TOP= 39.35	LN= 1.26	HN=	3.79	HV=	6.00		
LBOT= 40.62	LS= 24.62	HS=	8.21				
TT= 10.00	TV= 10.00	TBB=	2.00	TBV=	10.00	T8=	12.00
FTG= 1.00	TSUP= 11.00	TSBG=	13.00	TSDN=	13.00		
			AS	SOCIATED WIT	IGWALL	QUANT=	12.66
	TYPE (B) STILLING	BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES		QUANT=	66.83
ITOT= 40.00	LS= 24.00	HS=	8.00	HV=	6.00		
TT= 10.00	TVU= 10.00	TBB=	2.00	TBVU=	10.00	TSU=	12.00
	TVD = 10.00			TBVD =	10.00	TBD=	12.00
FTCU= 1.00	TSUP= 11.00	TSRGU=	14.00				
FTCO		TSBGD=	14.00	TSDN=	13.00		
			ASS	SOCIATED WI	NGWALL	QUANT =	11.46
	TYPE (C) STILLING	BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES		QUANT=	69.29
TOT - 40 00	15= 24.00	HS=	8.00	HV=	6.00		
	XP= 2.00						
A- 3.00	TPUP= 24.00	TPBG =	50.00	TPDN=	37.00		
TT- 10 00	TV = 10.00	TBB=	2.00	TRV=	10.00	TB=	12.00
FTC= 1.00	TSUP= 11.00	TSBG=	13.00	TSDN=	13.00		
FIG= 1.00	1001 11111		AS	SOCIATED WI	IGUALL	QUANT=	12.66
	WHENELL DESIGN -		ALUES				
THII= 10.00	TVF= 10.00	BUP=	8.00	80N=	3,50		
	END	PRELIMIN	ARY DE	SIGNS			

Figure 54. Computer output, preliminary designs

SPECIAL DESIGN PREPARED BY THE DESIGN UNIT AT HYATTSVILLE, MD. FOR

DESIGN PARAMETERS

W =	40.00	01 =	1.00	HTW1= 4.00	HR = 2,67	GM =105.0	MAXETG=	8.00
3 =	12.00	V1 =	45.00	HUP1= 4.00	ZS = 3.00	GS =120.0	FLOATR=	1.20
LR=	10.00	FROUDE=	62.89	HTW2= 9.00	HT1/= 4.00	K0 = 0.667	SLIDER=	1.00
- V	6.00	D2 =	10.73	HUP2= 5.00	TTV=10.00	RAT= 0.250	CFSC =	1.35

DESIGN OF SPECIFIED TYPE SAF FOLLOWS

LTOP= LROT= TT=	27.03 28.92 10.00	TYPE (A) S LN= LS= TV=	TILLING 1.90 18.92 10.00	BASIN - HN= HS= TRB=	TRIAL 5.69 6.31 2.00	VALUES	AV= 6.00 AV= 10.00	QUANT=	86.69
FTG=	1.00	TSIJP=	15.00	TSBG=	18.00	TS	N= 14.00		
TT= FTG=	10.00	TYPE (A) S TV= TSUP=	TILLING 10.00 15.00	BASIN - TRB= TSRG=	DETAIL 2.00 18.00	DESIGN TI TSI	RV= 10.00 DN= 14.00	OUANT= TR=	86.69 12.00
MALL		STEEL REQU	IREMENT	S					
A(1)=	0.24	S(1)	= 18.00			A(6)=	0.24	S(6)	= 18.00
A(2) = A(3) =	0.24	S(2)	= 18.00			$\Lambda(7) = \Lambda(8) =$	0.24	S(8)	= 18.00
A(4)=	0.27	S(4)	= 18.00			A(9)=	0.27	S(9)	= 18.00
A(5)=	0.58	S(5)	= 18.00			$\begin{array}{c} \Lambda(10) = \\ \Lambda(0) = \end{array}$	0.58	S(10) S(0)	= 18.00
BASE		NUNCTOFAN I							
A(11)=	0.17	S(11)	= 18.00			A(17)=	0.45	S(17)	= 18.00
A(12)=	0.17	S(12)	= 18.00			A(18)=	0.17	S(18)	= 18.00
A(15) = A(14) =	0.17	S(14)	= 18.00 = 18.00			A(20)=	1.16	5(20)	= 18.00
A(15)=	0.17	S(15)	= 18.00			A(21)=	1.27	5(21)	= 18.00
A(16)=	0.17	S(16)	= 18.00			A(22)=	1.69	5(22)	= 18.00
A(23)=	0.22	S(23)	= 18.00			A(29)=	0.43	5(29)	= 18.00
A(24)=	0.22	S(24)	= 18.00			A(30)=	0.22	S(30)	= 18.00
A(26)=	0.22	S(26)	= 18.00			A(32)=	0.22	S(32)	= 18.00
A(27)=	0.22	S(27)	= 18.00			A(33)=	2.48	S(33)	= 18.00
A(28)=	0.22	STREAM EN	= 18.00			A(34)=	0.22	5(34)	= 18.00
A(47)=	0.18	\$(47)	= 18.00			A(53)=	0.36	S(53)	= 18.00
A(48) = A(48) =	0.18	S(48)	= 18.00			$\Lambda(54) = \Lambda(55) =$	0.18	S(54)	= 18.00
A(50)=	0.18	S(50)	= 18.00			A(56)=	1.08	S(56)	= 18.00
A(51)=	0.18	S(51)	= 18.00			A(57)=	0.45	S(57)	= 18.00
A(52)=	0.18	5(52)	= 18.00			A(58)=	1.40	5(58)	= 18.00
TIJIJ	10.00	WINGWALL T	ESIGN -	TRIAL V	ALUES	R	N= 1 50		
				DOT	1.50				
T1.0./-	10 00	WINGWALL	ESIGN -	DETAIL	DESIGN			QUANT=	11.60
LEVEL=	7.07	WPROJ=	9.01	WWLR=	10.95	VWIN	G= 12.25		
	а.	STEEL REON	JIREMENT	s					
AREA OF	TIE=	0.17							
A(1)=	0.24	S(1)	= 18.00			A(4)=	0 12	5(4)	= 18 00
A(2)=	0.24	5(2)	= 18.00			A(5)=	0.20	5(5)	= 18.00
A(3) =	0.12		= 18.00			A(6)=	0.18	S(6)	= 18.00
A(7)=	0.24	S(7)	= 18.00			A(10)=	0.12	S(10)	= 18.00
A(8)=	0.24	S(8)	= 18.00			A(11)=	0.12	S(11)	= 18.00
SECTION	AT LC	WER THIRD	POINT			A(12)=	0.12	5(12)	= 18.00
A(13)=	0.24	S(13)	= 18.00			A(16)=	0.12	S(16)	= 18.00
A(14)= A(15)=	0.12	S(14) S(15)	= 18.00			A917) = A(18) =	0.12	S(17)	= 18.00
							9.12	5(10)	10.00
			El	ND DETAIL	L DESIG	IN ====			

Figure 55. Computer output, type (A) detail design

SPECIAL DESIGN PREPARED BY THE DESIGN UNIT AT HYATTSVILLE, HD.

EXAMPLE SPECIAL DESIGNS FOR STILLING BASIN TECHNICAL RELEASE

DESIGN OF SPECIFIED TYPE SAF FOLLOWS

	1.2 00	TYPE (B) ST	ILLING	BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES		OFANT=	150.36
LIUT-	42.00	LS= 1	8.00	HS=	6.00	HV=	14.00		
TI-	10.00	TVU= 1	6.30	TBB=	2.00	TBVU=	19.00	TRU-	21.00
		TVD= 1	4.90			TRVD=	17.00	TBD=	19.00
FTGU=	3.50	TSUP = 1	8.00	TSRGU=	22.00			11 21	
FTGD=	4.75			TSBGD=	20.00	TSDN=	20.00		

		TYPE (B)	STILLING	BASIN -	DETAIL	DESIGN		QUANT=1	50.36
11.	10.00	TVU=	16.30	TBB=	2.00	TBVU=	19.00	TRU=	21.00
10000 10000 A	-	TVD=	14.90			TBVD=	17.00	TBD=	19.00
FTGU=	3.50	TSUP=	18.00	TSBGU=	22.00				
FTGD=	4.75			TSBGD-	20.00	TSDN=	20.00		

STEEL REQUIREMENTS

$\Lambda(1) = 0.24$			
	S(1)= 18.00	A(6)= 0.24	S(6)= 18.00
A(2) = 0.30	S(2)= 18.00	A(7)= 0.30	S(7)= 18.00
A(3)= 2.16	S(3)= 14.00	A(8)= 0.76	S(8)= 18.00
A(4)= 2.27	S(4)= 13.85	A(9)= 1.61	S(9)= 16.36
A(5)= 2.47	S(5)= 13.54	A(10)= 2.82	S(10)= 12.07
BASE			
SECTION AT DOWNS	TREAM END		
A(11)= 0 24	S(11)= 18 00	A(17)- 0 69	s(17) = 18 00
A(12)= 0.24	c(12) = 10.00	A(10)- 0.2b	c(19) - 18 00
A(13)= 0.24	5(12)- 18.00	A(18)= 0.24	5(10)- 18.00
A(1) - 0.24	5(1)- 10.00	A(19)- 0.48	5(19)- 10.00
A(14)= 0.24	5(14)= 18.00	A(20)= 0.24	5(20)= 18.00
A(15)= 0.24	S(15)= 18.00	A(21) = 0.73	S(21)= 18.00
A(16)= 0.24	S(16)= 18.00	A(22)= 0.24	S(22)= 18.00
SECTION AT DOWNS	TREAM SIDE OF BREAK-IN-GRAD	E	a statula instal and
A(23)= 0.24	S(23) = 18.00	A(29)= 0.48	S(29)= 18.00
A(24) = 0.24	S(24)= 18.00	A(30)= 1.90	S(30)= 18.00
A(25)= 0.24	S(25)= 18.00	A(31)= 0.48	S(31)= 18.00
A(26)= 0.24	S(26)= 18.00	A(32)= 0.78	5(32)= 18.00
A(27)= 0.45	S(27) = 18.00	A(33)= 0.48	S(33)= 18.00
A(28)= 0.24	S(28)= 18.00	A(34)= 0.41	S(34)= 18.00
SECTION AT UPSTR	FAM SIDE OF BREAK-IN-GRADE		
A(35)= 0.26	c(35)= 18 00	A(41)= 0.53	S(41)= 18.00
A(36)= 0.26	c/36)= 18 00	A(1.2)= 1.92	5(42)= 18.00
A(30)- 0.20	5(30)- 18.00	A(42)- 1.52	5(43)= 18 00
A(3/)= 0.20	5(37)= 18.00	A(4) - 0.07	s(4))= 18 00
A(38)= 0.26	S(38)= 18.00	A(44)= 0.97	5(44)- 18.00
A(39)= 0.26	S(39)= 18.00	A(45)= 0.55	5(45)= 18.00
A(40)= 0.26	S(40)= 18.00	A(46)= 0.64	5(46)= 18.00
SECTION AT UPSTRI	EAM END		
A(47)= 0.22	S(47) = 18.00	A(53)= 0.43	S(53)= 18.00
A(48)= 0 22	S(48)= 18 00	A(54)= 1.45	\$(54)= 18.00
A(49)= 0.22	S(49) = 18.00	A(55) = 0.43	S(55) = 18:00
A(50) = 0.22	S(50) = 18.00	A(56)= 0.56	S(56)= 18.00
A(51)= 0.23	S(51)= 18 00	A(57)= 0.43	S(57)= 18.00
	3132/ 20.00		
A(52)= 0.22	s(52)= 18 00	A(58) = 0.28	S(58)= 18.00
A(52)= 0.22	S(52)= 18,00	A(58)= 0.28	S(58)= 18.00
A(52)= 0.22	S(52)= 18.00	A(58)= 0.28	S(58)= 18.00
A(52)= 0.22	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES	A(58)= 0.28	S(58)= 18.00
A(52)= 0.22 NIN TWW= 12.00	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0	A(58)= 0.28	S(58)= 18.00
A(52)= 0.22 TWN= 12.00	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0	A(58)= 0.28	S(58)= 18.00
A(52)= 0.22 WING TWW= 12.00	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIG	A(58)= 0.28 0 RDN= 5.75	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01
A(52)= 0.22 TWW= 12.00 WING TWW= 12.00	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0	A(58)= 0.28 RDN= 5.75 RDN= 5.75 RDN= 5.75	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01
A(52)= 0.22 NIN TWN= 12.00 WIN TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 VPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2	A(58)= 0.28 RDN= 6.75 RDN= 6.75 N RDN= 6.75 1 VWING= 52.84	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01
A(52)= 0.22 TWW= 12.00 TWW= 12.00 WING LEVEL= 12.04	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2	A(58)= 0.28 RDN= 5.75 RDN= 5.75 N RDN= 5.75 1 VWING= 52.84	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01
A(52)= 0.22 TWW= 12.00 TWW= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STEE	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS	A(58)= 0.28 RDN= 5.75 RDN= 6.75 N 0 RDN= 5.75 1 VWING= 52.84	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01
A(52) = 0.22 TWN= 12.00 TWN= 12.00 WING LEVEL= 12.04 STER AREA OF TIE= 3.5	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24	A(58)= 0.28 RDN= 6.75 RDN= 6.75 N RDN= 6.75 VWING= 52.84	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01
A(52) = 0.22 TWN= 12.00 TWN= 12.00 WING LEVEL= 12.04 STER AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICO	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT	A(58)= 0.28 RDN= 6.75 RDN= 6.75 N RDN= 6.75 1 VWING= 52.84	S(58)= 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 WING TWW= 12.00 WING TWW= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STEN AREA OF TIE= 3.2 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.22	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1)= 18.00	A(58)= 0.28 RDN= 5.75 RDN= 5.75 N RDN= 5.75 VWING= 52.84 A(4)= 0.58	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01 S(4)= 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STER AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.16	S(52) = 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1) = 18.00 S(2) = 18.00	A(58)= 0.28 RDN= 6.75 N RDN= 6.75 VWING= 52.84 A(4)= 0.58 A(5)= 1.75	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01 S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STER AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 PROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1)= 18.00 S(2)= 18.00 S(3)= 17.99	A(58)= 0.28 RDN= 6.75 N RDN= 6.75 VWING= 52.84 A(4)= 0.58 A(5)= 1.75 A(6)= 2.39	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01 S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STEE AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 COULTER AT UNDER	S(52) = 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 PROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1) = 18.00 S(2) = 18.00 S(3) = 17.99 THIPD POINT	A(58)= 0.28 RDN= 6.75 N RDN= 6.75 VWING= 52.84 A(4)= 0.58 A(5)= 1.75 A(6)= 2.39	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01 S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STEN AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER	S(52) = 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1) = 18.00 S(2) = 18.00 S(3) = 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7) = 18.00	A(58) = 0.28 RDN= 6.75 N RDN= 6.75 VWING= 52.84 A(4) = 0.58 A(5) = 1.75 A(6) = 2.39 A(10) = 0.34	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01 S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00 S(10)= 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STER AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29	S(52) = 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1) = 18.00 S(2) = 18.00 S(3) = 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7) = 18.00 S(7) = 18.00	A(58) = 0.28 RDN = 6.75 N RDN = 6.75 VWING = 52.84 A(4) = 0.58 A(5) = 1.75 A(6) = 2.39 A(10) = 0.34 A(11) = 1.11	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01 S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00 S(10)= 18.00 S(11)= 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STER AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29 A(8) = 0.14	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 PROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1)= 18.00 S(2)= 18.00 S(3)= 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7)= 18.00 S(8)= 18.00 S(8)= 18.00 S(8)= 18.00	A(58) = 0.28 RDN= 6.75 N RDN= 6.75 N N A(4) = 0.58 A(5) = 1.75 A(6) = 2.39 A(10) = 0.34 A(11) = 1.11 A(12) = 1.58	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01 S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00 S(10)= 18.00 S(11)= 18.00 S(12)= 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWW= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STEN AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29 A(8) = 0.14 A(9) = 0.35	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 PROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 FL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1)= 18.00 S(2)= 18.00 S(3)= 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7)= 18.00 S(8)= 18.00 S(9)= 18.00 S(9)= 18.00	A(58) = 0.28 $RDN = 6.75$ $RDN = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $VWING = 52.84$ $A(4) = 0.58$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(5) = 2.39$ $A(10) = 0.34$ $A(11) = 1.11$ $A(12) = 1.58$	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01 S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00 S(10)= 18.00 S(11)= 18.00 S(12)= 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWW= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STEN AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29 A(8) = 0.14 A(9) = 0.35 SECTION AT LOWER	S(52) = 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1) = 18.00 S(2) = 18.00 S(3) = 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7) = 18.00 S(8) = 18.00 S(9) = 18.00 THIRD POINT	A(58) = 0.28 $RDN = 6.75$ $RDN = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $VVING = 52.84$ $A(4) = 0.58$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(5) = 2.39$ $A(10) = 0.34$ $A(11) = 1.11$ $A(12) = 1.58$ $A(16) = 0.10$	S(58) = 18.00 QUANT = 44.01 S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(15) = 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STEN AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29 A(8) = 0.14 A(9) = 0.35 SECTION AT LOWER A(13) = 0.29	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1)= 18.00 S(2)= 18.00 S(3)= 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7)= 18.00 S(8)= 18.00 S(9)= 18.00 THIRD POINT S(13)= 18.00	A(58) = 0.28 $RDN = 6.75$ $RDN = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $VWING = 52.84$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(6) = 2.39$ $A(10) = 0.34$ $A(11) = 1.11$ $A(12) = 1.58$ $A(16) = 0.19$	S(58)= 18.00 QUANT= 44.01 S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00 S(10)= 18.00 S(11)= 18.00 S(12)= 18.00 S(16)= 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STER AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29 A(8) = 0.14 A(9) = 0.35 SECTION AT LOWER A(13) = 0.29 A(14) = 0.14	S(52) = 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIG TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 PROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1) = 18.00 S(2) = 18.00 S(3) = 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7) = 18.00 S(8) = 18.00 S(9) = 18.00 S(9) = 18.00 S(14) = 18.00 S(14) = 18.00 S(14) = 18.00	A(58) = 0.28 RDN= 6.75 N RDN= 6.75 N N A(4) = 0.58 A(5) = 1.75 A(5) = 2.39 A(10) = 0.34 A(11) = 1.11 A(12) = 1.58 A(16) = 0.19 A(17) = 0.51	S(58) = 18.00 QUANT = 44.01 S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWW= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STEE AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29 A(8) = 0.14 A(9) = 0.35 SECTION AT LOWER A(13) = 0.29 A(14) = 0.14 A(15) = 0.14	S(52) = 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIG TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 PROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 FL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1) = 18.00 S(2) = 18.00 S(3) = 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7) = 18.00 S(8) = 18.00 S(9) = 18.00 S(9) = 18.00 S(13) = 18.00 S(14) = 18.00 S(15) = 18.00 S(15) = 18.00	A(58) = 0.28 $RDN = 6.75$ $RDN = 6.75$ $NO RDN = 6.75$ $VWING = 52.84$ $A(4) = 0.58$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(6) = 2.39$ $A(10) = 0.34$ $A(11) = 1.11$ $A(12) = 1.58$ $A(16) = 0.19$ $A(16) = 0.19$ $A(18) = 0.75$	S(58) = 18.00 QUANT = 44.01 S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STEN AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29 A(3) = 0.14 A(9) = 0.35 SECTION AT LOWER A(13) = 0.29 A(14) = 0.14 A(15) = 0.14	S(52) = 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 PROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 FL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1) = 18.00 S(2) = 18.00 S(3) = 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7) = 18.00 S(8) = 18.00 S(9) = 18.00 S(9) = 18.00 S(13) = 18.00 S(14) = 18.00 S(15) = 18.00 S(15) = 18.00 S(15) = 18.00	A(58) = 0.28 $RDN = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $VWING = 52.84$ $A(4) = 0.58$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(5) = 2.39$ $A(10) = 0.34$ $A(11) = 1.11$ $A(12) = 1.58$ $A(16) = 0.19$ $A(16) = 0.19$ $A(18) = 0.75$	S(58) = 18.00 QUANT = 44.01 S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STER AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29 A(3) = 0.14 A(9) = 0.35 SECTION AT LOWER A(13) = 0.29 A(14) = 0.14 A(15) = 0.14 A(15) = 0.14	S(52) = 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLR= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1) = 18.00 S(2) = 18.00 S(3) = 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7) = 18.00 S(8) = 18.00 S(9) = 18.00 S(9) = 18.00 S(13) = 18.00 S(14) = 18.00 S(15) = 18.00 S(15	A(58) = 0.28 $RDN = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $VWING = 52.84$ $A(4) = 0.58$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(6) = 2.39$ $A(10) = 0.34$ $A(11) = 1.11$ $A(12) = 1.58$ $A(16) = 0.19$ $A(16) = 0.19$ $A(17) = 0.51$ $A(18) = 0.75$	S(58) = 18.00 QUANT = 44.01 S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STER AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29 A(8) = 0.14 A(9) = 0.35 SECTION AT LOWER A(13) = 0.29 A(14) = 0.14 A(15) = 0.14 A(15) = 0.14	S(52) = 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIG TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 PROJ= 18.21 WWLR= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1) = 18.00 S(2) = 18.00 S(3) = 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7) = 18.00 S(8) = 18.00 S(9) = 18.00 S(14) = 18.00 S(15) = 18	A(58) = 0.28 $RDN = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $VWING = 52.84$ $A(4) = 0.58$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(6) = 2.39$ $A(10) = 0.34$ $A(11) = 1.11$ $A(12) = 1.58$ $A(16) = 0.19$ $A(16) = 0.19$ $A(18) = 0.75$ $IGN = 0.19$	S(58) = 18.00 QUANT = 44.01 S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 A(52) = 0.22 WING TWN= 12.00 LEVEL= 12.04 STEN AREA OF TIE= 3.3 SECTION AT ARTICU A(1) = 0.29 A(2) = 0.14 A(3) = 1.17 SECTION AT UPPER A(7) = 0.29 A(3) = 0.14 A(9) = 0.35 SECTION AT LOWER A(13) = 0.29 A(14) = 0.14 A(15) = 0.14 A(15) = 0.14	S(52)= 18.00 GWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 GWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN TWF= 16.00 RUP= 15.0 IPROJ= 18.21 WWLB= 21.2 EL REQUIREMENTS 24 JLATION JOINT S(1)= 18.00 S(2)= 18.00 S(3)= 17.99 THIRD POINT S(7)= 18.00 S(9)= 18.00 S(9)= 18.00 S(9)= 18.00 S(14)= 18.00 S(15)=	A(58) = 0.28 $RDN = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $N = 6.75$ $VWING = 52.84$ $A(4) = 0.58$ $A(5) = 1.75$ $A(5) = 2.39$ $A(10) = 0.34$ $A(11) = 1.11$ $A(12) = 1.58$ $A(16) = 0.19$ $A(16) = 0.19$ $A(17) = 0.51$ $A(18) = 0.75$	S(58) = 18.00 QUANT = 44.01 S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00

Figure 56. Computer output, type (B) detail design

SPECIAL DESIGN PREPARED BY THE DESIGN UNIT AT HYATTSVILLE, MD.

-

DESIGN PARAMETERS

₩ = 32.00	D1 = 2	.00 HTW1 = 0.0	HB = 8.00	GM =120.0	MAXFTG=16.00
J = 18.00	V1 = 36	.00 HUP1 = 0.0	ZS = 3.00	GS =140.0	FLOATR= 1.50
LB = 16.00	FROUDE = 20	.12 HTW2=11.73	HTV= 4.00	RAT 0 375	CESC = 0.35
N = 6.00	DZ = 11	./3 HUP2= /.00	114-10.00	DAI - 0.3/3	UF30 - 4.72

DESIGN OF SPECIFIED TYPE SAF FOLLOWS

		TYPE (C) STILLING	BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES		QUANT=131.51
LTOT -	52.00	LS= 36.00	HS=	12.00	HV=	9.00	
X =	12.00	XP= 8.00					
	-7757767631879	TPUP= 14.00	TPBG-	20.00	TPDN=	17.00	
TT-	10.00	TV= 10.50	TBB=	3.00	TBV =	11.00	TB= 14.00
FTG=	0.0	TSUP= 12.00	TSBG=	15.00	TSDN=	15.00	
		TYPE (C) STILLING	BASIN -	DETAIL	DESIGN		QUANT=133.59
χ=	12.00	XP= 8.00					
	STOPPONTOCIONI	TPUP= 14.00	TPBG=	20.00	TPDN=	17.00	CARGON INNER GOOD
TT-	10.00	TV= 10.50	TRB=	3.00	TBV=	11.00	TB= 14.00
FTG=	0.0	TSUP= 12.00	TSBG=	16.00	TSDN =	15.00	

STEEL REQUIREMENTS

WALL			C/ C)- 10 00
A(1)= 0.24 S	(1)= 18.00	A(6)= 0.24	5(5)= 18.00
A(2)= 0.24 S	(2)= 18.00	A(7)= 0.24	5(9)= 18.00
A(3)= 0.26 S	(3) = 18.00	A(8)= 0.25	5(0)= 18.00
A(4) 0.74 S		A(10)= 1.75	S(10)= 14 44
A(5)= 1.70 5	1 37- 14.44	A(0)= 0 h5	S(0)= 18 00
BACE		A(0/- 0.40	51 0/- 10.00
SECTION AT DOWNSTDE	AM END		
A(11)= 0.0	(11)= 18 00	A(17)= 0.41	S(17)= 18.00
A(12)= 0.0 S	(12) = 18.00	A(18)= 0.84	S(18)= 18.00
A(13)= 0.0 S	(13) = 18 00	A(19)= 0.35	S(19)= 18.00
A(14)= 0.0 S	(14)= 18.00	A(20)= 0.18	S(20)= 18.00
A(15)= 0.0 S	(15) = 18.00	A(21)= 0.36	S(21)= 18.00
A(16)= 0.0 S	(16) = 18.00	A(22)= 0.18	S(22)= 18.00
SECTION AT BREAK-IN	-GRADE		
A(23)= 0.0 S	(23)= 18.00	A(29)= 0.38	S(29)= 18.00
A(24)= 0.0 S	(24)= 18.00	A(30)= 1.64	S(30)= 18.00
A(25)= 0.0 S	(25)= 18.00	A(31)= 0.38	S(31)= 18.00
A(26)= 0.0 S	(26)= 18.00	A(32)= 0.26	S(32)= 18.00
A(27)= 0.0 S	(27)= 18.00	A(33)= 0.38	S(33)= 18.00
A(28)= 0.0 S	(28)= 18.00	A(34)= 0.19	S(34)= 18.00
SECTION AT UPSTREAM	END		
A(47)= 0.0 S	(47)= 18.00	A(53)= 0,29	S(53)= 18.00
A(48)= 0.0 S	(48)= 18.00	A(54)= 0.56	S(54)= 18.00
A(49)= 0.0 S	(49)= 18.00	A(55)= 0.29	S(55)= 18.00
A(50)= 0.0 S	(50)= 18.00	A(56)= 0.14	S(56)= 18.00
A(51)= 0.0 S	(51)= 18.00	A(57)= 0.29	S(57)= 18.00
A(52)= 0.0 S	(52)= 18.00	A(58)= 0.14	S(58)= 18.00
PAVEMENT SLAB			
A(59)= 0.41 S	(59)= 18.00	A(60)= 0.20	S(60)= 18.00
A(61)= 0.44 S	(61) = 18.00	A(62)= 0.22	5(62)= 18.00
A(65) U.86 5	(65)= 18.00	A(64)= 0.24	5(64)= 18.00
A(0) U.58 5		A(66)= 0.20	5(66)= 18.00
A(0/)- 0.34 3	(8/)= 18.00	A(68)= 0.17	5(08)- 14.00
HINCHA	IL DESIGN - TOTAL VALUES		
TWU = 10.00 T	WE= 11 00 RUP= 10 50	80N= 5.00	
		5	
WINGUA	LL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN		OUANT = 27.92
TWW= 10.00 T	WF= 11.00 BUP= 10.50	BDN = 6.00	
LEVEL= 7.78 WPR	0J= 16.39 WVLB= 15.11	VILING= 27.92	
STEEL	REQUIREMENTS		
AREA OF TIE= 1.99			
SECTION AT ARTICULA	TION JOINT		
A(1)= 0.24 S	(1)= 18.00	A(4)= 0.39	S(4)= 18.00
A(2)= 0.12 S	(2)= 18.00	A(5)= 1.15	S(5)= 18.00
A(3)= 0.66 S	(3)= 18.00	A(6)= 1.52	S(6)= 18.00
SECTION AT UPPER TH	IRD POINT		00000 000 000
A(#)= 0.24 S	1 18.00	A(10)= 0.25	S(10)= 18.00
A(9)= 0.12 S	(0)- 10 00	A(11)= 0.78	S(11)= 18.00
	(8) = 18.00		S[17]= 18 00
SECTION AT LONED TH	(8)= 18.00 (9)= 18.00	A(12)= 1.08	51117 10.00
A(13) 0 2h	(8)= 18.00 (9)= 18.00 (IRD POINT (13)= 18.00	A(12)= 1.08	6(16)- 10.00
SECTION AT LOWER TH A(13)= 0.24 S A(14)= 0.12 S	(8)= 18.00 (9)= 18.00 HRD POINT (13)= 18.00	A(12)= 1.08 A(15)= 0.13	S(16) = 18.00
SECTION AT LOWER TH A(13)= 0.24 S A(14)= 0.12 S A(15)= 0.12 S	(8)= 18.00 (9)= 18.00 (IRD POINT (13)= 18.00 (14)= 18.00	A(12) = 1.08 A(16) = 0.13 A(17) = 0.35 A(18) = 0.50	S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00
SECTION AT LOWER TH A(13)= 0.24 S A(14)= 0.12 S A(15)= 0.12 S	(8)= 18.00 (9)= 18.00 (IRD POINT (13)= 18.00 (14)= 18.00 (15)= 18.00	A(12) = 1.08 A(15) = 0.13 A(17) = 0.35 A(18) = 0.50	S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00
SECTION AT LOWER TH A(13)= 0.24 S A(14)= 0.12 S A(15)= 0.12 S	(8)= 18.00 (9)= 18.00 (RD POINT (13)= 18.00 (14)= 18.00 (15)= 18.00 (15)= 18.00	A(12) = 1.08 A(15) = 0.13 A(17) = 0.35 A(18) = 0.50	S(16)= 18.00 S(17)= 18.00 S(18)= 18.00
SECTION AT LOWER TH A(13)= 0.24 S A(14)= 0.12 S A(15)= 0.12 S	(8)= 18.00 (9)= 18.00 (IRD POINT (13)= 18.00 (14)= 18.00 (15)= 18.00 (15)= 18.00	A(12) = 1.08 A(15) = 0.13 A(17) = 0.35 A(18) = 0.50	S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00
SECTION AT LOWER TH A(13)= 0.24 S A(14)= 0.12 S A(15)= 0.12 S	(8)= 18.00 (9)= 18.00 (1RD POINT (13)= 18.00 (14)= 18.00 (15)= 18.00 (15)= 18.00	A(12) = 1.08 A(15) = 0.13 A(17) = 0.35 A(18) = 0.50	S(16)= 18.00 S(17)= 18.00 S(18)= 18.00
SECTION AT LOWER TH A(13)= 0.24 S A(14)= 0.12 S A(15)= 0.12 S	(8)= 18.00 (9)= 18.00 (IRD POINT (13)= 18.00 (14)= 18.00 (15)= 18.00 END DETAIL DESIG	A(12) = 1.08 A(15) = 0.13 A(17) = 0.35 A(18) = 0.50	S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00
SECTION AT LOWER TH A(13)= 0.24 S A(14)= 0.12 S A(15)= 0.12 S	(8)= 18.00 (9)= 18.00 (1RD POINT (13)= 18.00 (14)= 18.00 (15)= 18.00	A(12) = 1.08 A(15) = 0.13 A(17) = 0.35 A(18) = 0.50	S(16)= 18.00 S(17)= 18.00 S(18)= 18.00

Figure 57. Computer output, type (C) detail design

Appendix

Toewall Thickness and Steel for SAF Stilling Basins

The thickness of the basin toewall, TTW, and the depth of the toewall, HTW, are secondary parameters whose default values are 10.0 inches and 4.0 feet, respectively. Occasionally it may be desirable to increase one or both of these values. The vertical steel in the front face of the toewall must satisfy T and S requirements. It must also be able to resist the cantilever bending that might be induced by passive resistance of the channel material downstream of the toewall.



The thickness, TTW, and required vertical steel may be determined from the following analysis. Let

 $KP \equiv passive lateral earth pressure ratio$

DIF = HTW - TSDN/12, in ft

 $V \equiv$ shear at face of support, in lbs per ft

 $M \equiv moment at face of support, in ft lbs per ft$

other nomenclature as previously defined.

Assume passive earth pressure against the downstream side of the toewall and zero earth pressure against the upstream side. Neglect water pressures on both sides and use moist unit soil weight. Then

 $V = KP \times GM \times DIF \times (TSDN/12 + DIF/2)$ M = KP x GM x DIF x (TSDN/24 + DIF/3) x DIF

The minimum thicknesses, in inches, for shear and moment are

TS = V/840. + 2.5TM = $(0.003683 \times M)^{1/2} + 2.5$

With TTW selected, the maximum steel spacing and minimum steel areas are

 $S = 10015 \times (TTW - 2.5)/V \le 18.$

 $A \approx 0.0006 \times M/(0.88 \times (TTW - 2.5)) \ge 0.024 \times TTW$

at the critical section.

.

On taking, KP = 2.0 and GM = 120. pcf, analyses show that TTW = 10.0 inches provides adequate strength for all $HTW \leq 6.0$ ft. However, for the higher DIF values, required steel becomes excessive. An alternative approach is to select TTW so that steel required for T and S also satisfies strength requirements. The accompanying table accomplishes this by listing the minimum TTW for various combinations of HTW and TSDN.

Minimum TTW for which T & S steel also satisfies strength requirements							
TSDN inches		HTW, feet					
	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.5	6.0
10	10	10	12	14	16	20	22
16	10	10	10	14	16	18	20
20	10	10	10	12	14	16	20
24	10	10	10	12	14	16	18
28	10	10	10	10	12	14	18
32	10	10	10	10	12	14	16
36		10	10	10	10	12	14
40		10	10	10	10	10	14
44			10	10	10	10	12
48				10	10	10	10
KP = 2.0 GM = 120.pcf			20.pcf	A for T & S = $0.024 \times TTW$			



United States Department of Agriculture

Soil Conservation Service

P.O. Box 2890 Washington, D.C. 20013

September 14, 1981

TECHNICAL RELEASE NOTICE 54-1

The principal purpose of this technical release notice is to update the wingwall design procedure contained in TR-54 by removing a previously existing conservative approximation.

The wingwall design model treats the wingwall toewall as non-existent, reference TR-54, page 44. However, the procedure for determining the required internal strength of the wingwall heel slab has been approximate, on the conservative side. This approximation was felt justified on recognition that the toewall might actually bring some bending moment to the heel slab. Thus the approximation provided an allowance for the effects of toewall loading. The allowance increased with increasing slope of backfill behind the wingwall, beginning at zero for horizontal slopes and becoming excessive for steep slopes.

With extensions to the area of application of the model, steep slopes are more commonly encountered. Hence the approximation in the moment summation for determining required internal strength of the wingwall heel slab tends to be too conservative and is no longer desirable. The approximation is now removed. The computations conform to the assumed wingwall design model, that is, an L-shaped wall retaining various combinations of backfill slope.

If a designer feels it desirable to include additional moment strength in the heel slab to resist toewall loading, that strength must be added overtly. Conditions which might occur over the life of the structure would need consideration.

Pages 49/50, 51/-, 59/60, and 61/62 should be removed from current copies of TR-54 and the enclosed four sheets should be inserted.

Cloubasing

NEIL F. BOGNER Acting Director of Engineering

Enclosure

The Soil Conservation Service is an agency of the Department of Agriculture

A91

WO-AS-1 10-79



Figure 39. Wingwall overturning and bearing

trial. If VNET is located within the middle third of the base, the section is safe against overturning and the contact bearing pressures, PBT and PBH, are computed in the usual way. If the higher pressure exceeds the allowable value, taken as

 $PALLOW = 2000 + GB \times (YB + TWF/12)$

FTG is again incremented. Each trial recycles the footing design back to the first load condition for the section under investigation.

When bearing pressure requirements are satisfied, footing thickness required for moment is determined. If the required thickness is more than the actual thickness, TWF is incremented and the footing design is recycled starting at the first location, (section 1 of Figure 38) and the first load condition. Analyses have shown that shear seldom controls footing thickness in these wingwalls. Hence the thickness required for shear is

(TR Notice 54-1, September 1981)

A92

only checked, and the design recycled if necessary, in detail design.

<u>Sliding</u>. The basin proper is designed to satisfy longitudinal sliding requirements, by itself. Therefore, no additional sliding force should be brought to the basin by the wingwalls. This means the wingwalls should be adequate themselves to resist sliding in the longitudinal direction of the basin. (Any tendency of the wingwall to slide in a transverse direction, toward the center of the channel, is resisted by the wingwall-to-basin tie discussed in the next section.) Let the resultant horizontal driving force normal to the sidewall be FSLIDE, see Figure 40. This force is obtained by summing, over the length of the sidewall, the net horizontal forces per unit length, HNET, at each of





Figure 40. Longitudinal sliding of wingwall

the four sections. HNET is obtained from the indicated horizontal
forces, for a particular section and load condition. Thus
FSLIDE = (HNET1/2 + HNET2 + HNET3 + HNET4/2) x (J - 1)/3.
Similarly, if VWING is the resultant vertical force on the wingwall,
and VNET is the resultant per unit length, then
VWING = (VNET1/2 + VNET2 + VNET3 + VNET4/2) x (J - 1)/3.
The longitudinal component of FSLIDE is FSLIDE/ $\sqrt{2}$. To adequately resist sliding, the wingwall must satisfy the relation

 $\frac{1.4142 \times \text{VWING} \times \text{CFSC}}{\text{FSLIDE}} \ge \text{SLIDER}$ for each load condition of Figure 36.

If the above relation is not satisfied for any load condition, BUP and BDN are incremented equally. The design is recycled to the start of the overturning analysis with the new footing projection values. This is necessary because the wingwall footing thickness, TWF, may require incrementing with the larger footing projections.

<u>Wingwall-to-basin tie</u>. A structural tie is provided between the wingwall footing and the footing and floor slab of the basin proper. This wingwall-to-basin tie prevents rotation of the wingwall about its junction with the basin sidewall and thus effectively prevents any possibility of transverse sliding of the wingwall. The wingwall-to-basin tie is designed for the full moment due to the resultant horizontal force, FSLIDE, of Figure 40. This is admittedly conservative in that it completely neglects any frictional resistance that is developed. Let MTIE be the full moment, in foot lbs, and ARM be the moment arm shown in Figure 41. Then, in inches

 $ARM = BUP \ge 12 - 6 + TWW/2$





Figure 41. Wingwall-to-basin tie steel area

and the required area of the tie steel, in sq. in., just downstream of the section through the articulation joint is approximately

$$ATIE = \frac{MTIE \times 12}{20,000 \times ARM} \times \frac{((J-1)^2 + (BUP - BDN)^2)^{1/2}}{(J-1)}$$

(TR Notice 54-1, September 1981)

only in T and S amounts.

Determination of the steel requirements at point a, b, or c in any section necessitates the evaluation of the force system MZ, NZ, and VZ shown in Figure 49 where Z is the distance from the top of the section down to the point in question. Possible cases of DW, YW, and YB are illustrated from which MZ, NZ, and VZ are computed for a particular load condition. At interior sections where X > (J - DW), the water depths, YW and DW, are equal and exceed the height of the section, HSW. All five load conditions of Figure 36 are investigated to determine maximum requirements.





Figure 48. Wingwall section steel layout

Determination of the steel requirements at point, d, e, or f similarly necessitates the evaluation of the force system MZ, NZ, and VZ shown in Figure 50 where Z is the distance from the edge of the footing projection to the point Sketch (A) shows a possible combination of YB, YW, and DW. in question. Sketch (B) shows the resulting loadings and bearing pressures and indicates the summation to obtain MZ and VZ. The moment, MZ, includes the difference in the moments due to the two resultant horizontal forces, H1 and HZ, shown. However, this difference is not taken greater than that which would just produce zero footing pressure on the top end of the heel. HZ is the resultant horizontal force on the vertical plane at distance Z. HZ is due to the material above the top of the footing. The moment due to the frictional force assumed acting a the bottom of the footing in sketch (D), is conservatively neglected in the summation as being too uncertain. The direct compressive force, NZ, is obtained as suggested by sketches (C) and (D). Sketch (C) defines the resultant horizontal forces involved. Sketch (D) puts the section in horizontal equilibrium using the resultant horizontal forces and indicates the summation to obtain NZ. All five load conditions of Figure 36 are investigated. The critical section for moment in the heel can occur at the face of the wingwall or at an interior location. Arbitrarily, the steel requirement at point f is not taken less than that at e.

The wingwall footing thickness required for shear is checked during these computations. Maximum shear in the footing can occur at the face of the wall or at some interior location. Shear seldom controls thickness. When it does, the thickness is incremented and the footing steel design is begun again.

(TR Notice 54-1, September 1981)

A96





Figure 49. Determination of the force system at a point in wingwall





(A)



(B)

Figure 50. Determination of the force system in wingwall footing

A98

(C)

(D)

ALPHAMERIC INFORMATION

SAF (TR-54)

EXAMPLE SPECIAL	DESIGN	FOR STILLING BASINS
JOAN FOR ESA		MARCH 15, 1983

W 20.	J 18.75	LB 16.75	N 6.75	D1 1.75	v1 50.	DESIGN O.	DFALTS /.
DFALT1 /.	DFALT2 /.	DFALT3 /.					
EB	HIW2	HUP2	HTWL	HUP1		Sec. 1	
7.	13.5	11.	4.	6.			
MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	ZS	BAT	13.73.78 - P	Line Line and	OF DEST. 1
10.	1.25	1.1	2.5	0.			
GM	GS	KO	CFSC	ETW	TIW		
110.	120.	0.75	0.4	4.	12.	120	105

W 20.	12.	LB 16.	n 4.	D1 /.	v1 35.	DESIGN (),	DFALTS /.
DFALT1 /.	DFALT2 O.	DFALT3 O.					LC D. TA
B 5.	HTW2 8.	HUP2 6.	HTWI 2.	HUPI 4.			
MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	ZS	BAT			
GM	GS	KO	CFSC	HIW	TTW		

ALPHAMERIC INFORMATION

W	J 12	LB	N	Dl /	v1 45.	DESIGN	DFALTS	
40.	12.	10.	0.	1.			Longe Land	
DFALT1	DFALT2	DFALT3	1.3.78.2		and the party of the	Same and	- There are a	
1.	1.	1.					2	
EB	HITW2	HUP2	HTWL	HUPL		SATE	E EE	
2.67	9.	5.	4.	4.		135		
MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	ZS	BAT		- AD.TE	OTTON .	
8.	1.2	1.	3.	0.25		125	301	
GM	GS	KO	CFSC	HIW	TTW	12	19450	
105	120.	0.667	0.35	4.	10.	12C.	211	

•

SAF (TR-54)

W	J	LB	N	Dl	Vl	DESIGN	DFALTS
20.	20.	24.	14.	2.	36.	2.	1.
DFALTL	DFALT2	DFALT3			CUP ST	122	
1.	0.	0.					
EB	HIW2	HUP2	HTWL	HUPL			
10.	11.73	11.73	0.	5.86			R.C.
MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	ZS	BAT			
GM	GS	KO	CFSC	HIW	TTW		

ALPHANERIC INFORMATION

SAF (TR-54)

200000	A Destruction		Pre 23	The second second	and the same	15.5 2.3Xa	C -HERTWOOK
			These of	a darte			
		an ar and	Summer and and	Maarin lar			
W	J	LB	N	DL	Vl	DESIGN	DFALTS
34.	18.	16.	6.	2.	36.	3.	1.
DFALTI	DFALT2	DFALT3	State a los	The second second		1 10 10	
1.	0.	0.	BOAR CHI	100 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 82 14 1	alities	State Sec.
HB	HIW2	HUP2	HTWL	HUP1			
8.	/1.73	7.	0.	0.		· Frenk	
MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	ZS	BAT	12.11		
			ATTRONE T				
GM	GS	KO	CFSC	HIW	TTW		
		4.			27.161 mil		** ***

Take Liesen is the 175 of the

CARE NOT STREET AND ANTES - TAINS FARTER - TAINS

W	J	LB	N	Dl	VI	UFSIGN	DFALTS
DFALTL	DFALT2	DFALT3			*******	a states a	
HB	HILM5	HUP2	HTWL	HUPL			
MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	ZS	BAT			
GM	GS	KO	CFSC	HTW	TTW		

A101

EXECUTION DATE: 03/18/83 REVISION DATE: 09/01/82

SAF STILLING BASIN STRUCTURAL DESIGN ELASTIC ANALYSIS AND WORKING STRESS DESIGN ARE USED

SPECIAL DESIGN PREPARED BY DESIGN UNIT, ENGINEERING, LANHAM, MARYLAND FOR

EXAMPLE SAMPLE DESIGN FOR STILLING BASINS JOAN FOR ESA ----- MARCH 15, 1983

DESIGN PARAMETERS

 W = 20.00
 D1
 = 1.75
 HTW1= 4.00
 HB = 7.00
 GM =110.0
 MAXFTG=10.00

 J = 18.75
 V1
 = 50.00
 HUP1= 6.00
 ZS = 2.50
 GS =120.0
 FLOATR= 1.25

 LB= 16.75
 FROUDE= 44.37
 HTW2=13.50
 HTW= 4.00
 KO = 0.750
 SLIDER= 1.10

 N = 6.75
 D2
 = 15.63
 HUP2=11.00
 TTW=12.03
 BAT= 0.0
 CFSC = 0.40

PRELIMINARY DESIGNS FOLLOW

		TYPE (A) STILLIN	G BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES	QUANT=	119.43
LTOP=	45.45	LN= 2.51	HN=	6.27	HV= 9.38		
LBOT=	47.96	LS= 31.21	HS=	12.48			
TT=	10.00	TV= 12.50	TBB=	0.0	TSV= 15.00	=6T	15.00
FTG=	3.00	TSUP= 13.00	TSBG=	16.00	TSDN= 16.00		
				ASS	SOCIATED WINGWALL	QUANT=	27.19
		TYPE (B) STILLIN	G BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES	QUANT=	135.22
LTOT=	46.75	LS= 30.00	HS=	12.00	HV= 9.38		
TT=	10.00	TVU= 12.50	T88=	0.0	TBVU= 15.00	TBU=	15.00
		TVD= 12.50			TBVD= 15.00	TBD=	15.00
FTGU=	4.00	TSUP= 13.00	TSBGU=	22.00			
FTGD=	4.75		TSBGD=	22.00	TSDN= 16.00		
				ACC	COCTATED UTNOUALL	CHANT-	36 70

QUANT= 119.59

ASSUCIAILU WINGHALL GUANI- 20.10

TYPE (C) STILLING BASIN - TRIAL VALUES

LTOT=	46.75	LS=	30.00	HS=	12.00	HV=	9.38		
. X=	10.00	XP=	0.0						
		TPUP=	50.00	TPBG=	88.00	TPDN=	69.00		
TT=	10.00	T V =	12.50	TBB=	0.0	TBV=	15.00	TE=	15.00
FTG=	3.00	TSUP=	14.00	TSBG=	17.00	TSDN=	16.00		
					ASSO	CIATED WIN	GWALL	QUANT=	27.19

EXECUTION DATE: 03/18/83 REVISION DATE: 09/01/82

SAF STILLING BASIN STRUCTURAL DESIGN ELASTIC ANALYSIS AND WORKING STRESS DESIGN ARE USED

20.	18.75	JOAN FOR E	AMPLE DESIG	SN FDR STI	LLING BAS RCH 15, 1	INS 983	1
1.	1.	1.	6.15	1.75	50.	0.	1.
7.	13.5	11.0	S. S. PERMAN	15000 7300	and the state of the	100 C	
10.	1.25	1.1	9.	6.	and the second	S - 10 - 10 -	
110.	120.	0.75	2.5	0.			12.13
20.	12.	16	0.4	4.	12.	10.00	6 8 4 5 K
1.	0.	10.	4.	1.	35.	0.	1.
5.	8.	0.	- 0 - 1 Lth - 1	Die of the Contraction	A Carl and the	And States States	
40-	12-	10	2.	4.	1 2 2 2 2 2		199.300
1.	1.	10.	6.	1.	45.	1.	1.
2.67	9.	1.					
8.	1.2	1.	4.0	4.	Service and the	1 1204	100 - 100
105.	120.	0.667	0.75	0.25			
20.	60.	24	0.35	4.	10.	1 6 4 M . 1 2 M	
1.	6.	0.	14.	2.	36.	2.	p .
10.	11.73	11.73	0		1.10		Terre Ca
32.	18.	16.	0.	2.86	1. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
1.	6.		D.	2.	36.	3.	p.
8.	11.73	7.	0		1828 1449	22. J. 1. 1. 8. 8. 9	
	Drag China a		0.	0.			
0	60	2	DU .		55	60 61	050
	1	2. 1 1 1 1 1 1			AZAN ACT		
							A SHELL

A103

SPECIAL DESIGN PREPARED BY DESIGN UNIT, ENGINEERING, LANHAM, MARYLAND FOR

EXAMPLE SAMPLE DESIGN FOR STILLING BASINS JOAN FOR ESA ----- MARCH 15, 1983

DESIGN PARAMETERS

¥ =	20.00	01 =	1.00	HTW1= 2.00	HB = 5.00	GM = 120.0	MAXFTG=10.00
J =	12.00	V1 =	35.00	HUP1= 4.00	ZS = 3.00	GS = 140.0	FLOATR= 1.50
LE=	16.00	FROUDE =	38.04	HTW2= 8.00	HTW= 4.00	KO = 0.800	SLIDER= 1.00
N =	4.00	D2 =	8.24	HUP2= 6.00	TTW=10.00	BAT= 0.375	CFSC = 0.35

PRELIMINARY DESIGNS FOLLOW

		TYPE (A) STILLING	BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES	QUANT=	63.91
I TOPE	39.35	LN= 1.26	HN=	3.79	HV= 6.00		
L BOT=	40.62	LS= 24.62	HS=	8.21			
TT=	10.00	TV = 10.00	TBB=	2.00	T3V= 10.00	TB=	12.00
FTG=	1.00	TSUP= 11.00	TSBG=	13.00	TSDN= 13.00		
				ASS	SOCIATED WINGWALL	QUANT=	12.66
		TYPE (3) STILLING	BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES	QUANT=	66.83
LTOT=	40.00	LS= 24.00	HS=	8.00	HV= 6.00	The state of the s	
TT=	10.00	TVU= 10.00	TBB=	2.00	TBVU= 10.00	TBU=	12.00
		TVD= 10.00			TEVD= 10.00	TBD=	12.00
FTGU=	1.00	TSUP= 11.00	TS3GU=	14.00			
FTGD=	3.00		TSBGD=	14.00	TSDN= 13.00		
				ASS	SOCIATED WINGWALL	QUANT=	11.46
		TYPE (C) STILLING	EASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES	QUANT=	62.70
LTOT=	40.00	LS= 24.00	HS=	8.00	HV= 6.CU		
x =	10.00	XP= 0.0					
		TPUP= 24.00	TPBG=	50.00	TPDN= 37.00		
TT=	10.00	TV= 10.00	TBB=	2.00	TBV= 10.00	TB=	12.00
FTG=	1.00	TSUP= 11.00	TSBG=	13.00	TSDN= 13.00		
				ASS	SOCIATED WINGWALL	QUANT=	12.66
		WINGWALL DESIGN -	TRIAL V	ALUES			
TUNE	10 00	TUE- 10.00	BUP	8.00	BDN= 3.50		

EXECUTION DATE: 03/18/83 REVISION DATE: 09/01/82

SAF STILLING BASIN STRUCTURAL DESIGN ELASTIC ANALYSIS AND WORKING STRESS DESIGN ARE USED

SPECIAL DESIGN PREPARED BY DESIGN UNIT, ENGINEERING, LANHAM, MARYLAND FOR

EXAMPLE SAMPLE DESIGN FOR STILLING BASINS JOAN FOR ESA ----- MARCH 15, 1983

SAF-2

DESIGN PARAMETERS

₩ = 40	.00 D1	Ξ	1.00	HTW1=	4.00	HB = 2.67	GM	=105.0	MAXFTG=	8.00
J = 12	.00 V1	=	45.00	HUP1=	4.00	ZS = 3.00	GS	=120.0	FLOATR=	1.20
LB= 10	.00 FROUD	E =	62.89	HTW2=	9.00	HTW= 4.00	KO	= 0.667	SLIDER=	1.00
N = 6	.00 D2	Ξ	10.73	HUP2=	5.00	TTW=10.00	BAT	= 0.250	CFSC =	0.35

DESIGN OF SPECIFIED TYPE SAF FOLLOWS

1 100-	OT OT	(A) STILLING	BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES		GUANT=	86.79
LIUP=	27.03	LN= 1.90	HN=	5.69	HV	= 6-00	doni i -	0
LB01=	28.92	LS= 18.92	HS=	6.31		0.00		
11=	10.00	TV= 10.00	TBB=	2.00	TRV	= 10.00	TR-	12 00
FTG=	1.00	TSUP= 15.00	TSBG=	18-00	TSDA	1= 14 00	15-	12.00
					1301	- 14.00		
	TYPE	(A) STILLING	BASIN -	DETAIL	DESIGN		CHANT-	01 70
TT=	10.00	TV= 10.00	TRA-	2 00	. CLOIGN		GUANT=	86.19
FTG=	1.00	TSUP= 15.00	TSBC-	10 00	TBV	= 10.00	19=	12.00
		10000	1300-	10.00	1203	1= 14.00		
	STEE	L REQUIREMENTS						
WALL		- ALGOINENENTS						
A(1)=	0-24	\$1 11- 10 00						
A(2)=	0.24	51 11- 18.00			A(6)=	3.24	S(6)	= 18.00
AL 31-	0.24	51 21= 18.00			A(7)=	0.24	S(7)	= 18.00
AL 31-	0.07	5(3)= 18.00			=(8)A	0.24	S(8)	= 18.00
A(4)-	0.27	S(4)= 18.00			A(9)=	0.27	S(9)	= 18.00
A(5)=	0.58	S(5)= 18.00			A(10)=	0.58	S(10)	= 18.00
					A(C)=	0.49	S(0)	= 18.00
BASE								
SECTION	AT DOWNST	REAM END						
A(11)=	0.17	S(11) = 18.00			A(17)=	C.45	S(17)	= 18.00
A(12)=	0.17	S(12)= 18.00			A(18)=	0.17	S(18)	= 18.00
A(13)=	0.17	S(13)= 18.00			A(19)=	0.95	S(19)	= 18.00
A(14)=	0.17	S(14) = 18.00			A(20)=	1.16	\$(20)	= 18.00
A(15)=	0.17	S(15) = 18.00			A(21)=	1.27	\$ (21)	= 18.00
A(16)=	0.17	S(16)= 18.00			A(22)-	1 (0	5(22)	- 14 00
SECTION	AT PPEAK	TN-CRADE			A1221-	1.67	51221	- 10.00
SECTION	A DALAN-	CLORADE				0 4 7		- 10 00
A1231-	0.22	5(25) - 18.00			A(29)=	0.43	5(29)	- 18.00
A(24)=	0.22	5(24)= 18.00			A(30)=	0.22	5(30)	= 18.00
A(25)=	0.22	S(25)= 18.00			A(31)=	1.79	S(31)	= 18.00
A(26)=	0.22	S(26) = 18.00			A(32)=	0.22	S(32)	= 18.00
A(27)=	0.22	S(27) = 18.00			A(33)=	2.48	S(33)	= 18.09
A(28)=	0.22	S(28) = 18.00			A(34)=	0.22	S(34)	= 18.00
SECTION	AT UPSTRE	AM END						
A(47)=	0.18	S(47)= 18.00			A(53)=	0.36	S(53)	= 18.00
A(48)=	0.18	S(48)= 18.00			A(54)=	0.18	S(54)	= 18.60
A(49)=	0.18	S(49)= 18.00			A(55)=	3.36	S(55)	= 18.00
A(50)=	0.18	S(50)= 18.00			A (56) =	1.08	S(56)	= 18.00
A(51)=	0-18	S(51) = 18.00			A(57)=	0.45	S(57)	= 18.00
A(52)=	0-18	\$(52)= 18.00			A(58)=	1.40	S(58)	= 18.00
		0.007 20000						
	UTHO	WALL DESTEN -	TRIAL VA	LUES				
T	10 00	THE- 10.00	BUP=	7.50	801	v= 1.50		
1 1 1 1 1 -	10.00	1#r- 10000	001-					
		HALL DESTON -	DETAIL D	FSIGN			GUANT=	11.60
1 2	WING	WALL DESIGN -	DETAIL U	7 50	HDH	1 = 1.50	GOATT	
TWW=	10.00	TWF= 10.00	BUP-	10 05	VUTNI	- 12.25		
LEVEL=	7.07 W	PROJ= 9.01	AAFR-	10.95	AMTIAL	3- 12.023		
	STEE	L REQUIREMENTS	5					
AREA OF	TIE= 0.1	5						
SECTION	AT ARTICU	LATION JOINT						
A(1)=	0.24	S(1)= 18.00			A(4) =	0.12	S(4)	= 18.00
A(2)=	0.24	S(2)= 18.00			A(5)=	0.13	S(5)	= 18.00
A(3)=	0-12	S(3)= 18.00			A(6)=	3.13	S(6)	= 18.00
SECTION	AT HPPER	THIRD POINT						
SECTION	0 24	S(7)= 18-00			A(10)=	0.12	S(10)	= 18.00
A(7)=	0.24	S(8)= 18.00			A(11)=	0.12	S(11)	= 18.00
A(8)=	0.24	S(P)= 19.00			A(12)=	0.12	S(12)	= 18.00
A(9)=	0.12	TUIDD DOINT						
SECTION	AT LOWER	THIRD PUINT			A(16)=	0-12	S(16)	= 18.00
A(13)=	0.24	S(13)= 18.00	SA =-	- 7	A(17)=	0-12	\$(17)	= 18.00
A(14)=	0.12	S(14) = 18.00	0	-	A(19)-	0.12	\$(18)	= 18-00
4(15)=	0-12	S(15) = 18.00			H1101-		01201	

A105

WINGWALL PER TRNOTICE 54-1

EXECUTION DATE: 03/18/83 REVISION DATE: 09/01/82

> SAF STILLING BASIN STRUCTURAL DESIGN ELASTIC ANALYSIS AND WORKING STRESS DESIGN ARE USED

SPECIAL DESIGN PREPARED BY DESIGN UNIT. ENGINEERING. LANHAM. MARYLAND FOR

EXAMPLE SAMPLE DESIGN FOR STILLING BASINS JOAN FOR ESA ----- MARCH 15, 1983

DESIGN PARAMETERS

¥ =	20.00	D1 =	2.00	HTW1= 0.0	H5 =10.00	GM =120.0	MAXFTG=10.00
J =	20.00	V1 =	36.00	HUP1= 5.86	ZS = 3.00	GS =140.0	FLOATR= 1.50
LE=	24.00	FROUDE =	20.12	HTW2=11.73	HTW= 4.00	KO = 0.800	SLIDER= 1.00
N =	14.00	D2 =	11.73	HUP2=11.73	TTW=10.00	BAT= 0.375	CFSC = 0.35

DESIGN OF SPECIFIED TYPE SAF FOLLOWS

		TYPE (B) STILLING	BASIN -	TRIAL	VALUES		QUANT=	150.36
LTOT=	42.00	LS= 18.00	HS=	6.00	HV =	14.00		
TT=	10.00	TVU= 16.30	TBB=	2.00	TBVU=	19.00	TBU=	21.00
		TVD= 14.90			TBVD=	17.00	TBD=	19.00
FTGU=	3.50	TSUP= 18.00	TSBGU=	22.00				
FTGD=	4.75		TSBGD=	20.00	TSDN=	20.00		
		TYPE (B) STILLING	BASIN -	DETAIL	DESIGN		QUANT=	150.36
TT=	10.00	TVU= 16.30	TBB=	2.00	TBVU=	19.00	TBU=	21.00
		TVD= 14.90			TBVD=	17.00	TB D=	19.00
FTGU=	3.50	TSUP= 18.00	TSEGU=	22.00				
FTGD=	4.75		TSBGD=	20.00	TSDN=	20.00		

	STEEL	L REQUIREMENTS					
WALL							
A(1)=	0.24	S(1)= 18.00		A(6)=	0.24	S(6)=	18.00
A(2)=	0.30	S(2)= 18.00		A(7)=	0.30	S(7)=	18.00
A(3)=	2.16	S(3)= 14.00		A(8)=	0.76	S(8)=	18.00
A(4)=	2.27	S(4)= 13.85		A(9)=	1.61	S(9)=	16.36
A(5)=	2.47	S(5)= 13.54		A(10)=	2.82	S(10)=	12.07
BASE							
SECTION	AT DOWNSTI	REAM END					
A(11)=	0.24	S(11) = 18.00		A(17)=	0.48	S(17)=	18.00
A(12)=	0.24	S(12)= 18.00		A(18)=	0.24	S(18)=	18.00
A(13)=	0.24	S(13) = 18.00		A(19)=	0.48	S(19)=	18.00
A(14)=	0.24	S(14) = 18.00		A(20)=	0.24	\$(20)=	18.00
A(15)=	0.24	S(15)= 18.00		A(21)=	0.73	S(21)=	18.00
A(16)=	0.24	S(16)= 18.00		A(22)=	0.24	S(22)=	18.00
SECTION	AT DOWNST	REAM SIDE OF BI	REAK-IN-GRADE				
A(23)=	0.24	S(23)= 18.00		A(29)=	0.48	S(29)=	18.00
A(24)=	0.24	S(24) = 18.00		A(30)=	1.90	S(30)=	18.00
A(25)=	0.24	S(25)= 18.00		A(31)=	0.48	S(31)=	19.00
A(26)=	0.24	S(26)= 18.00		A(32)=	0.78	S(32)=	18.00
A(27)=	0.45	S(27)= 18.00	SAI=-A	A(33)=	0.48	S(33)=	18.00
A(28)=	0.24	S(28) = 18.00		A(34)=	0.41	S(34)=	18.00
SECTION	AT UPSTRE	AM SIDE OF BREA	AK-IN-GRADE				
A(35)=	0.26	S(35)= 18.00		A(41)=	0.53	S(41)=	18.00
A(36)=	0.26	S(36) = 18.00		A(42)=	1.92	S(42)=	18.00

A(37) = 0.26 $S(37) = 18.00$		
A(38)= 0.26 S(38)= 18.00	A(43)= 0.53	S(43) = 18.00
A(39) = 0.26 S(39) = 18 00	A(44)= 0.97	S(44)= 18.00
A(40)= 0.26 S(40)= 10.00	A(45)= 0.53	S(45)= 18.00
SECTION AT UPSTREAM END	A(46)= C.64	S(46) = 18.00
A(47) = 0.22 $S(47) = 10.00$	A CONTRACTOR OF A	
A(48) = 0.22 S(49) = 10.00	A(53)= 0.43	S(53)= 18.00
A(49)= 0.22 S(48)= 10.00	A(54)= 1.45	S(54)= 18.00
A(50) = 0.22 $S(50) = 18.00$	A(55)= 0.43	S(55)= 18.00
A(51)= 0-23 S(50)= 18.00	A(56)= 0.56	S(56) = 18.00
A(52) = 0.22 $S(51) = 18.00$	A(57)= 0.43	S(57)= 18.00
5(52)= 18.00	A(58)= 0.28	S(58) = 18.00
VERTICAL SHEAR AT DREAM THE SHEAR		and the second second
SHEAR FORCE FOR LARAK-IN-GRADE ARTICULATION	JOINT	
SHEAR FORCE FOR LC NO.1. LBS.= 4.8647E+03		
SHEAR FURLE FOR LC NO.2. L95.= -4.7794E+03		
WINGWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES		
TWW= 12.00 TWF= 12.00 BUP= 14.50	20N= 7.00	
WINGWALL DESIGN - DETAIL DESIGN		GUANT= 37.86
TWW= 12.00 TWF= 12.00 BUP= 14.50	5DN= 7.00	
LEVEL= 12.04 WPROJ= 18.38 WWLE= 20.50	VWING= 44.24	
STEEL REQUIREMENTS		
AREA OF TIE= 2.89		
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT		
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34	S(4)= 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTSAREA OF TIE= 2.89SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINTA(1)= 0.29A(2)= 0.14S(2)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34 A(5) = 1.47	S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99	A(4) = 0.34 A(5) = 1.47 A(6) = 1.93	S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT	A(4) = 0.34 A(5) = 1.47 A(6) = 1.93	S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT A(7)= 0.29 S(7)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34 A(5) = 1.47 A(6) = 1.93 A(10) = 0.22	S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00 S(10)= 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT A(7)= 0.29 S(7)= 18.00 A(8)= 0.14 S(8)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34 A(5) = 1.47 A(6) = 1.93 A(10) = 0.22 A(11) = 0.68	S(4)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00 S(10)= 18.00 S(11)= 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT A(7)= 0.29 S(7)= 18.00 A(8)= 0.14 S(8)= 18.00 A(9)= 0.35 S(9)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34 A(5) = 1.47 A(6) = 1.93 A(10) = 0.22 A(11) = 0.68 A(12) = 0.79	S(4) = 19.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT A(7)= 0.29 S(7)= 18.00 A(8)= 0.14 S(8)= 18.00 A(9)= 0.35 S(9)= 18.00 SECTION AT LOWER THIRD POINT	A(4) = 0.34 A(5) = 1.47 A(6) = 1.93 A(10) = 0.22 A(11) = 0.68 A(12) = 0.79	S(4)= 19.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00 S(10)= 18.00 S(11)= 18.00 S(12)= 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT A(7)= 0.29 S(7)= 18.00 A(8)= 0.14 S(8)= 18.00 A(9)= 0.35 S(9)= 18.00 SECTION AT LOWER THIRD POINT A(13)= 0.29 S(13)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34 $A(5) = 1.47$ $A(6) = 1.93$ $A(10) = 0.22$ $A(11) = 0.68$ $A(12) = 0.79$ $A(16) = 0.14$	S(4) = 19.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT A(7)= 0.29 S(7)= 18.00 A(8)= 0.14 S(8)= 18.00 A(9)= 0.35 S(9)= 18.00 SECTION AT LOWER THIRD POINT A(13)= 0.29 S(13)= 18.00 A(14)= 0.14 S(14)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34 $A(5) = 1.47$ $A(6) = 1.93$ $A(10) = 0.22$ $A(11) = 0.68$ $A(12) = 0.79$ $A(16) = 0.14$ $A(17) = 0.19$	S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT A(7)= 0.29 S(7)= 18.00 A(8)= 0.14 S(8)= 18.00 A(9)= 0.35 S(9)= 18.00 SECTION AT LOWER THIRD POINT A(13)= 0.29 S(13)= 18.00 A(14)= 0.14 S(14)= 18.00 A(15)= 0.14 S(15)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34 $A(5) = 1.47$ $A(6) = 1.93$ $A(10) = 0.22$ $A(11) = 0.68$ $A(12) = 0.79$ $A(16) = 0.14$ $A(17) = 0.19$ $A(18) = 0.25$	S(4) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT A(7)= 0.29 S(7)= 18.00 A(8)= 0.14 S(8)= 18.00 A(9)= 0.35 S(9)= 18.00 SECTION AT LOWER THIRD POINT A(13)= 0.29 S(13)= 18.00 A(14)= 0.14 S(14)= 18.00 A(14)= 0.14 S(15)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34 $A(5) = 1.47$ $A(6) = 1.93$ $A(10) = 0.22$ $A(11) = 0.68$ $A(12) = 0.79$ $A(16) = 0.14$ $A(17) = 0.19$ $A(18) = 0.25$	S(4)= 19.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(5)= 18.00 S(6)= 18.00 S(10)= 18.00 S(11)= 18.00 S(12)= 18.00 S(12)= 18.00 S(16)= 18.00 S(17)= 18.00 S(18)= 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF TIE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT A(7)= 0.29 S(7)= 18.00 A(8)= 0.14 S(8)= 18.00 A(9)= 0.35 S(9)= 18.00 SECTION AT LOWER THIRD POINT A(13)= 0.29 S(13)= 18.00 A(14)= 0.14 S(14)= 18.00 A(14)= 0.14 S(15)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34 $A(5) = 1.47$ $A(6) = 1.93$ $A(10) = 0.22$ $A(11) = 0.68$ $A(12) = 0.79$ $A(16) = 0.14$ $A(17) = 0.19$ $A(18) = 0.25$	S(4) = 19.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(16) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00
STEEL REQUIREMENTS AREA OF THE= 2.89 SECTION AT ARTICULATION JOINT A(1)= 0.29 S(1)= 18.00 A(2)= 0.14 S(2)= 18.00 A(3)= 1.17 S(3)= 17.99 SECTION AT UPPER THIRD POINT A(7)= 0.29 S(7)= 18.00 A(8)= 0.14 S(8)= 18.00 A(9)= 0.35 S(9)= 18.00 SECTION AT LOWER THIRD POINT A(13)= 0.29 S(13)= 18.00 A(14)= 0.14 S(14)= 18.00 A(15)= 0.14 S(15)= 18.00 A(15)= 0.14 S(15)= 18.00	A(4) = 0.34 $A(5) = 1.47$ $A(6) = 1.93$ $A(10) = 0.22$ $A(11) = 0.68$ $A(12) = 0.79$ $A(16) = 0.14$ $A(17) = 0.19$ $A(18) = 0.25$	S(4) = 19.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(5) = 18.00 S(6) = 18.00 S(10) = 18.00 S(11) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(12) = 18.00 S(17) = 18.00 S(18) = 18.00

EXECUTION DATE: 03/18/83 REVISION DATE: 09/01/82

SAF STILLING BASIN STRUCTURAL DESIGN ELASTIC ANALYSIS AND WORKING STRESS DESIGN ARE USED

SPECIAL DESIGN PREPARED BY DESIGN UNIT, ENGINEERING, LANHAM, MARYLAND FOR

EXAMPLE SAMPLE DESIGN FOR STILLING BASINS JOAN FOR ESA ----- MARCH 15, 1983

5A1=-5

		DESIGN	PARAME	TERS										
¥ =	32-00	D1 =	2.00	HTW1=	0.0	HB	=	8.	00	GM	=1	20.0	MAXFTG=	16.00
1 =	18.00	V1 =	36.00	HUP1=	0.0	ZS	=	3.	00	GS	=1	40.0	FLOATR =	1.50
I B=	16.00	FROUDE =	20.12	HTW2=1	1.73	HTW	=	4.	00	KO	=	0.800	SLIDER=	1.00
N =	6.00	D2 =	11.73	HUP2=	7.00	TTW	= 1	.0.	00	BAI	= 1	0.375	CFSC =	0.35

DESIGN OF SPECIFIED TYPE SAF FOLLOWS

A107

	TYPE	ICA STT	I THE P	ASTN -	TRTAL	VALUE	S		GUANT	= 1	31.51
	ITPE	(1) 511	LLING C	ASIN	TO DO		HV	0.0.0			
LTOT=	52.00	LS= 35	.00	HS=	12.00		D.A.				
X = 1	12.00	XP= 8	. 00								
		DIID= 14	_ 0.0	TPRG=	20.00		TPDN	= 17.00			
		FUF- 14		TOD-	7 00		TRV	= 11.00	TP	= 1	4.00
TT=	10.00	TV= 10	• 50	188-	5.00		TODA	- 15 00			and canal
FTG=	0.0 1	SUP= 12	.00	TSBG=	15.00		ISDN	= 15.00			
	TYPE	ICA CTT	I THE D	ACTN -	DETAIL	DEST	GN		QUANT	= 1	33.59
	TYPE	(() 511	LLING D	ASIN -	ULIAIL						
X =	12.00	XP= 8	• 0 0								
		PUP = 14	.00	TPBG=	20.00		TPDN	= 17.00			
	10 00	TV- 10	50	TRB=	3-00		TBV	= 11.00	TB	= 1	4.00
11=	10.00	14- 10	• 5 5	100-			TOON	- 15 00			
FTG=	0.0 1	SUP = 12	.00	TSBG=	10.00		12014	- 15.50			
	CTEEL	PEQUITE	EMENTS								
	SILLI	- ACOUTA	LILAIS								
WALL								~ ~ /	~ /	11-	10 00
A(1)=	0.24	S(1)=	18.00			AC E)=	0.24	51	61-	10.00
11 21-	0.24	= (2) 2	18-00			A(7)=	C.24	S(7)=	18.00
M1 21-	0.24	S. 21-	10.00			A.C. 8	1=	1.25	SI	8)=	18.00
A(3)=	0.26	5(5)=	18.00				1	0.10		01-	19 00
A(4)=	0.74	S(4)=	18.00			AC 9) =	0.18	51	21-	10.00
A(5)=	1.76	S(5)=	14.44			A(10)=	1.75	S(1	(C) =	14.44
A. 07-						A ()) =	0.46	SC	0)=	18.00
							10				
PASE											
SECTION	AT DOWNSTR	REAM END									
A(11)=	0.0	\$(11)=	18-00			A(17) =	0.36	SI	7)=	18.00
At 117-		01101-	10.00			1118	1=	1.20	5(1	8)=	18-00
A(12)=	0.0	5(12)=	18.00			A 1 10	/-	1.20			10.00
A(13)=	0.0	S(13)=	18.00			A(19)=	0.36	5(1	.9)=	18.00
A(14)=	0.0	5(14)=	18.00			A(20)=	0.26	S(2	2)=	18.00
	0.0	C(15)-	10 00			A121	1=	0.36	512	1)=	18.00
A(15)=	0.0	2(12)-	10.00			ALCI			0.0		10 00
A(16)=	0.0	S(16)=	18.00			A (22)=	0.18	212	21-	10.00
SECTION	AT BREAK-	IN-GRADE									
11231=	0.0	\$(23)=	18.00			A(29	1=	0.38	SCA	(9) =	18.00
ALCOI-	0.0	51257-	10.00			4170	· -	1 70	C17	- (0)	19.00
A(24)=	0.0	5(24)=	18.00			ALSU	1-	1.70	310	- • 0	10.00
A(25)=	0.0	S(25)=	18.00			A(31)=	0.38	5(3	(1) =	18.00
A(26)=	0.0	S(26) =	18.00			A(32) =	0.28	S(3	52)=	18.00
A (07) -	0 0	C1271-	10 00			1133	1=	0.38	512	3)=	18-00
A(21)-	Ueu	51211-	10000			ALUU	-				10.00
A(28)=	0.0	S(28)=	18.00			A(34) =	0.19	513	(4)=	18.00
SECTION	AT UPSTREA	AM END									
1 (47) -	0.0	\$1471-	18.00			4(53	1=	0-29	SIF	3) =	18.00
	0.0	31477-	10.00			A		0 00	015	41-	19 00
A(48)=	0.0	5(48)=	18.00			A134)-	u.oc	510	- , +	10.00
A(49)=	0.0	S(49)=	18.00			A(55) =	0.29	SCS	55)=	18.00
4(50)=	0.0	S(50)=	19-00			A (56) =	0.24	SIS	6)=	18.00
	0 0	C (E 1) -	10 00			A 157		0 00	515	71-	18 00
A(01)=	0.0	2(21)-	14.00			ALSI	1-	0.25	54.		10.00
A(52)=	0.0	S(52)=	18.00			A(58) =	0.14	SCS	(8)=	18.00
PAVEMEN	I SLAB										
A/591-	0.41	51591-	19.00			A (6 D	1-	0.20	516	=	19-00
A1377-	0.41	51377-	10.00			ALOC	1-	0.20			10.00
A(51)=	0.44	5(61)=	18.00			A(62) =	0.22	568	2)=	18.00
A(63)=	0.86	S(63)=	18.00			A (64) =	0.24	SIE	54)=	18.00
A(65)=	0.58	\$(65)=	18-00			A 666) =	0-20	SLA	(6) =	18.00
A	0.34	01171-	10.00					0 17			10.00
A(6/)=	0.34	5(6/)=	18.00			A168		0.11	216	-180	18.00
	UINGH	JALL DES	TGN - T	RIAL V.	ALUES						
T111- 1		TUE- 10	2011	DUD-	10 50		DDN	- (20			
1 W W - 1		IWF- 10	• • • •	BUP-	10.00		DUN	- 0.00			
	WING	ALL DES	IGN - D	FTAIL I	DESIGN				GUANT	=	26.70
TUU- 1	0 00	TUE - 10	0.0	BUD-	10 50		POM	- (00			
1		1WF- 10		SUP-	10.00	1.11	DUN	- couu			
LE VEL =	7.78 WF	PROJ = 16	• 39	WWLB=	15.11	V	MING	= 26.70			
	STEEL	REQUITE	EMENTS								
10.51	TTC- I TC	. ALGOIN	21121415								
AREA OF	TIE= 1.79	7									
SECTION	AT ARTICUL	ATION J	DINT								
A(1)=	0-24	S(1)=	18.00			AL A) =	0.25	SI	4)=	18-00
A.C. 01-	0.10	C/ 01-	10 00					0.01		5.1	10.00
A(2)=	0.12	51 21=	10.00			AL S)=	0.91	51	5)=	18.00
A(3)=	0.66	S(3)=	18.00	SA1-	1	A(6) =	1.15	SC	6)=	18.00
SECTION	AT UPPER 1	HIRD PO	INT	5101-	-0						
A(7) =	0-24	51 71-	18.00			A(10	1-	0-15	C / 1	01-	19 00
A(7)=	0.24	S(7)=	18.00			A(10) =	0.15	S(1	()=	18.00

SECTION	AT LOWER	S(9)= 18.00 THIRD POINT	A(12)=	0.50	S(12)=	18.00
A(13)=	0.24	S(13)= 18.00	A(16)=	0.12	S(16)=	18.00
A(14)=	0.12	S(14)= 18.00	A(17)=	0.12	S(17)=	18.00
A(15)=	0.12	S(15)= 18.00	A(18)=	0.16	S(18)=	18.00

UINGUALL OF TONOTION -----

WINGWALL PER TRNOTICE 54-1

A109

- E

APPENDIX B: SAMPLE CBASIN RUN FOR SUMMARY DESIGNS

ENTER TWO HEADER LINES, 80 CHAR. MAX. EACH LINE EXAMPLE SPECIAL DESIGN FOR STILLING BASIN from U.S.D.A. S.C.S. TR-54 -- Appendix A of USACE X0098 User Guide ENTER THE FOLLOWING

T) (FT) LB .75 16.75	(FT) (N D 6.75 1.	FT) (FT/SEC 1 V1 75 50.0	PARAM 0=DEF 0,1,2,3 0,1 DESIGN DFALTS 0 1	
FALT2 DFAL 1 1	T3 DFALT	.4		
L TAILWATE LOAD CASE FT HTW2 13.5	R UPLIFT 2 LOAD C FT HUP2 11.0	HD TAILW 2 LOAD FT HTW1 4.0	ATER UPLIFT HD C 1 LOAD C 1 FT HUP1 6.0	,
SAFETY FACTOR FLOATION	SAFETY FACTOR SLIDING	SLOPE PAR BASIN INCLINE	AM RATE BATTER INSIDE SIDEWALL	
FLOATR 1.25	SLIDER 1.1	ZS 2.5	BAT 0.0	
UNIT WGT SATURATED BACKFILL	LAT SOIL PRESSURE RATIO	COEF FRICTION SOIL-CONC	DEPTH WIDTH TOE TOE	
LB/CF GS 120.0	KO 0.75	CFSC 0.4	FT IN HTW TTW 4.0 12.0	
RATIO FC TO F'C COESF	ALLOWAB STEEL STRESS (PSI) FSA 20000.0	LE ALLOWAE NET BEA PRESSUR (PSF) ABP 2000.	LE MINIMUM R CONCRETE E THICKNESS (IN) TMIN 0 10.0	
	T) (FT) LB .75 16.75 FALT2 DFAL 1 1 L TAILWATE LOAD CASE FT HTW2 13.5 SAFETY FACTOR FLOATION FLOATR 1.25 UNIT WGT SATURATED BACKFILL LB/CF GS 120.0 RATIO FC TO F'C COESF 0.4	T) (FT) (FT) (LB N D .75 16.75 6.75 1. FALT2 DFALT3 DFALT 1 1 1 L TAILWATER UPLIFT LOAD CASE 2 LOAD C FT FT HTW2 HUP2 13.5 11.0 SAFETY SAFETY FACTOR FACTOR FLOATR SLIDER 1.25 1.1 UNIT WGT LAT SOIL SATURATED PRESSURE BACKFILL RATIO LB/CF GS K0 120.0 0.75 RATIO ALLOWABI FC TO STEEL F'C STRESS (PSI) COESF FSA 0.4 20000.0	T) (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT/SEC LB N D1 V1 .75 16.75 6.75 1.75 50.0 FALT2 DFALT3 DFALT4 1 1 1 L TAILWATER UPLIFT HD TAILWA LOAD CASE 2 LOAD C 2 LOAD C FT FT FT HTW2 HUP2 HTW1 13.5 11.0 4.0 SAFETY SAFETY SLOPE PARA FACTOR FACTOR BASIN FLOATR SLIDER ZS 1.25 1.1 2.5 UNIT WGT LAT SOIL COEF SATURATED PRESSURE FRICTION BACKFILL RATIO SOIL-CONC LB/CF GS KO CFSC 120.0 0.75 0.4 RATIO ALLOWABLE ALLOWAE FC TO STEEL NET BEA F'C STRESS PRESSUR (PSI) (PSF) COESF FSA ABP 0.4 20000.0 2000.	T) (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT/SEC) 0,1,2,3 0,1 LB N D1 V1 DESIGN DFALTS .75 16.75 6.75 1.75 50.0 0 1 FALT2 DFALT3 DFALT4 1 1 1 L TAILWATER UPLIFT HD TAILWATER UPLIFT HE LOAD CASE 2 LOAD C 2 LOAD C 1 LOAD C 1 FT FT FT FT FT HTW2 HUP2 HTW1 HUP1 13.5 11.0 4.0 6.0 SAFETY SAFETY SLOPE PARAM RATE BATTER FACTOR FACTOR BASIN INSIDE FLOATR SLIDER ZS BAT 1.25 0.0 UNIT WGT LAT SOIL COEF DEPTH WIDTH SATURATED PRESSURE FRICTION TOE TOE BACKFILL RATIO SOIL-CONC LB/CF K0 CFSC HTW TTW 120.0 0.75 0.4 4.0 12.0 RATIO ALLOWABLE ALLOWABLE MINIMUM FC TO STEEL NET BEAR CONCRETE FY SAFETY SAFETY IN THE CONCRETE FY IN THIN (T) (PSF) (IN) COESF FSA ABP TMIN

CBASIN CORPS OF ENGINEERS, CASE PROJECT MODIFIED SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE PROGRAM - STILLING BASIN

EXAMPLE SPECIAL DESIGN FOR STILLING BASIN from U.S.D.A. S.C.S. TR-54 -- Appendix A of USACE X0098 User Guide

DESIGN PARAMETERS

WIDTH	WALL	LENGTH	WALL	WATER	WATER
BASIN	D.S.	BASIN	U.S.	DEPTH	VELOC
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT/SEC)
W	J	LB	N	D1	V1
20.00	18./5.1	16.75	6.70	1.75	50.00
FROUDE	WATER D2	TAILWTR	UPLIFT	TAILWTR	UPLIFT LC - 2
FROUDE	D2	HTW1	HUP1	HTW2	HUP2
44.37	15.63	4.00	6.00	13.50	11.00
HGT FILL	SLOPE	DEPTH	THICKNES	WGT MOIS	T WGT SAT
D.STREAM	FLOOR	TOE WALL	TOE WALL	FILL	FILL
(FT)	RATIO	(FT)	(IN)	(LB/CF)	(LB/CF)
HB	ZS	HTW	TTW	GM	GS
7.00	2.50	4.00	12.00	110.00	120.00
LAT SOIL	BATTER	MAXIMUM	SAFETY	SAFETY	COEF
PRESSURE	INSIDE	FOOTING	FACTOR	FACTOR	FRICTION
RATIO	WALL	PROJECT	FLOATION	SLIDING	SOIL-CONC
(RATIO)	(RATIO)	(FT)	(RATIO)	(RATIO)	(RATIO)
KO	BAT	MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	CFSC
.75	.00	10.00	1.25	1.10	.40
ONCRETE LTIMATE TRENGTH (PSI) F'C	RATIO FC TO F'C COESF	ALLOU STE STREI (PS) FSi	WABLE A EEL N NGTH P I) A	LLOWABLE ET BEAR RESSURE (PSF) ABP	MINIMUM CONCRETE THICKNESS (IN) TMIN

FRELIMINARY DESIGNS FOLLOW

TYPE (A) STILLING BASIN - TRIAL VALUES

QUANT= 119.43

LGT TOP SIDEWALL (FT) LTOP 45.45	HORZ PROJ U.S. WALL (FT) LN 2.51	VERT COMP OF DIST N ((FT) HN 6, 27	DIST WALL VERT INSIDE (FT) HV 9 38		
40.40	2.01	0.27	7.30		
LGT BOT BASIN (FT) LBOT	HORIZ FROJ INCL SLAB (FT) LS	VERT PROJ INCL SLAB (FT) HS			
47.96	31.21	12.48			
THICK WALL AT TOP (FT) TT 10.00	THICK WALL AT HV (IN) TV 12.50	THICKNESS OF WALL BATTER (IN) TBB .00	THICK BOT WALL NO BAT (IN) TBV 15.00	THICK AT BOTTOM SIDEWALL (IN) TB 15.00	
FTG PROJECT (FT) FTG 3.00	SLAB THICK U.S. END (IN) TSUP 13.00	SLAB THICK BREAK IN GRADE (IN) TSBG 16.00		LAB THICK D.S. END (IN) TSDN 16.00	27.10

TYPE (B)	STILLING BA	SIN - TRIAL	VALUES	QUANT=	132.76
OVERALL BAS LGT (FT) LTOT 46.75	HORIZ PROJ SLAB (FT) LS 30.00	VERT PROJ INCL SLAB (FT) HS 12.00	DIST WALL VERT INSIDE (FT) HV 9.38		
THICK WALL AT TOP (FT) TT 10.00	THICK WALL AT HV-U (IN) TVU 12.50	THICKNESS OF WALL BATTER (IN) TBB .00	THICK BOT WALL NO BAT-U (IN) TBVU 15.00	THICK AT BOTTOM S.WALL-U (IN) TBU 15.00	
THICK OF SIDEWALL AT (IN) TVD 12.50	HV-D	THICK BOT WALL NO BAT- (IN) TBVD 15.00	-D BOT	HICK AT SIDEWALL-D (IN) TBD 15.00	
U.S. FT PROJECT (FT) FTGU 4.00	6	SLAB THICK U.S. END (IN) TSUP 13.00	SLA U.S. BF	AB THICK REAK GRADE (IN) TSBGU 22.00	
D.S. FTG PROJECT (FT) FTGD 3.50	SLAB THICH BREAK GRA (IN) TSBGD 22.00	< D.S. ADE AS	SLAB THICK D.S. END (IN) TSDN 16.00 SOCIATED WING	WALL QUANT=	26.74
TYPE (C)	STILLING B	ASIN - TRIAL	VALUES	QUANT=	122.31
OVERALL BAS LGT (FT) LTOT 46.75	HORIZ PROJ SLAB (FT) LS 30.00	VERT PROJ INCL SLAB (FT) HS 12.00	DIST WALL VERT INSIDE (FT) HV 9.38		

TOE LENGTH WALL BASE X 10.00	WIDTH OF PAVEMENT SL XP .00	AB			
THICK PAVE U.S. END (IN) TPUP 56.00	THICK PAVE B.IN GRADE (IN) TPBG 84.00	THICK PAN D.S. END (IN) . TPDN 70.00	νE		
THICK WALL AT TOP (FT) TT 10.00	THICK WALL AT HV (IN) TV 12.50	THICKNESS OF WALL BATTER (IN) TBB .00	THICK BOT WALL NO BAT (IN) TBV 15.00	THICK AT BOTTOM SIDEWALL (IN) TB 15.00	
FTG PROJECT (FT) FTG 3.00	SLAB THICK U.S. END, (IN) TSUP 14.00	SLAB THIO BREAK IN GO (IN) TSBG 18.00 ASSO	CK RADE CIATED WING	SLAB THICK D.S. END (IN) TSDN 17.00 WALL QUANT=	27.19

WINGWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES

THICK OF	THICK OF	FTG PROJ AT	FTG PROJ AT
WINGWALL	WWALL FTG	U.S. END	D.S. END
IN	IN	FT	FT
TWW	TWF	BUP	BDN
10.00	10.00	12.50	3.50

WINGWALL PER TR NOTICE 54-1

APPENDIX C: SAMPLE CBASIN RUN FOR DETAILED DESIGN OF TYPE "A" BASIN

ENTER TWO HEADER LINES, 80 CHAR. MAX. EACH LINE Example design from Appendix A Detailed design of type "A" basin ENTER THE FOLLOWING

WIDTH WA BASIN D. (FT) (F W J 40.0 12	LL LENGTH S. BASIN (FT) (FT) LB 2.0 10.0	WALL WA U.S. DE (FT) (N D 6.0 1	TER WATER PTH VELOC FT) (FT/SE 1 V1 .0 45.0	DESIGN PARAM C) 0,1,2 DESIGN 1	N DFALTS 0=DEF ,3 0,1 N DFALTS 1	
DFALT1 I	DFALT2 DFAL	T3 DFALT	4			
HEIGHT FIL DOWNSTREAM FT HB 2.67	LL TAILWATE 1 LOAD CASE FT HTW2 9.0	R UPLIFT 2 LOAD C FT HUP2 5.0	HD TAILW 2 LOAD FT HTW1 4.0	ATER C 1	UPLIFT HD LOAD C 1 FT HUP1 4.0	
MAXIMUM FOOTING PROJECT FT	SAFETY FACTOR FLOATION	SAFETY FACTOR SLIDING	SLOPE PAR BASIN INCLINE	AM RAT	E BATTER ISIDE EWALL	
MAXFTG 8.0	FLOATR 1.2	SLIDER 1.0	ZS 3.0	E	AT .25	
UNIT WGT MOIST BACKFILL	UNIT WGT SATURATED BACKFILL	LAT SOIL PRESSURE RATIO	COEF FRICTION SOIL-CONC	DEPTH	WIDTH TOE	
LB/CF GM 105.0	LB/CF GS 120.0	KD 0.667	CFSC 0.35	HTW 4.0	TTW 10.0	
CONCRETE ULTIMATE STRENGTH (PSI) F'C 4000.0	RATIO FC TO F'C COESF 0.4	ALLOWAB STEEL STRESS (PSI) FSA 20000.0	LE ALLOWA NET BE PRESSU (PSF) ABP 2000.	BLE M AR C RE TH	INIMUM DNCRETE ICKNESS (IN) TMIN 0.0	

IS MOMENT, THRUST, SHEAR REPORT DESIRED ? Enter either Y or N

MTV WILL BE DUTPUT

Y

CBASIN

CORPS OF ENGINEERS, CASE PROJECT MODIFIED SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE PROGRAM - STILLING BASIN

Example design from Appendix A Detailed design of type "A" basin

DESIGN PARAMETERS

WIDTH	WALL	LENGTH	WALL	WATER	WATER
BASIN	D.S.	BASIN	U.S.	DEPTH	VELOC
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT/SEC)
W	J	LB	N	D1	V1
40.00	12.00	10.00	6.00	1.00	45.00
FROUDE	WATER	TAILWTR	UPLIF	T TAILWTF	R UPLIFT
	D2	LC - 1	LC -	1 LC - 2	LC - 2
	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)
62.89	10.73	4.00	4.00	9.00	5.00
HGT FILL	SLOPE	DEPTH	THICKNES	S WGT MOIS	ST WGT SAT
D.STREAM	FLOOR	TOE WALL	TOE WAL	L FILL	FILL
(FT)	RATIO	(FT)	(IN)	(LB/CF)	(LB/CF)
HB	ZS	HTW	TTW	GM	GS
2.67	3.00	4.00	10.00	105.00	120.00
LAT SOIL	BATTER	MAXIMUM	SAFETY	SAFETY	COEF
PRESSURE	INSIDE	FOOTING	FACTOR	FACTOR	FRICTION
RATIO	WALL	PROJECT	FLOATIO	N SLIDING	SOIL-CONC
(RATIO)	(RATIO)	(FT)	(RATIO	(RATIO)	(RATIO)
KO	BAT	MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	CFSC
.67	.25	8.00	1.20	1.00	.35
ONCRETE LTIMATE TRENGTH (PSI) F'C	RATIO FC TO F'C COESF	ALLOU STE STREM (PS) FS(NABLE EEL NGTH I) A	ALLOWABLE NET BEAR PRESSURE (PSF) ABP	MINIMUM CONCRETE THICKNESS (IN) TMIN
4000.00	. 4	0 20000	0.00	2000.00	10.00

DESIGN OF SPECIFIED TYPE BASIN FOLLOWS

34

TYPE (A) STILLING BASIN - TRIAL VALUES

QUANT= 87.36

LGT TOP	HORZ PROJ	VERT COMP	DIST WALL	NUMBER OF
SIDEWALL	U.S. WALL	OF DIST N	VERT INSIDE	
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	
LTOP	LN	HN	HV	
27.03	1.90	5.69	6.00	
LGT BOT BASIN (FT) LBOT 28.92	HORIZ PROJ INCL SLAB (FT) LS 18.92	VERT FROJ INCL SLAB (FT) HS 6.31		
THICK	THICK	THICKNESS	THICK	THICK AT
WALL AT	WALL AT	OF WALL	BOT WALL	BOTTOM
TOP	HV	BATTER	NO BAT	SIDEWALL
(FT)	(IN)	(IN)	(IN)	(IN)
TT	TV	TBB	TBV	TB
10.00	10.00	2.00	10.00	12.00
FTG	SLAB THICK	SLAB THICK	E I	LAB THICK
ROJECT	U.S. END	BREAK IN GRAD		D.S. END
(FT)	(IN)	(IN)		(IN)
FTG	TSUP	TSBG		TSDN
1.00	15.00	18.00		15.00

WALL AT HV (IN) TV 10.00	DF WALL BATTER (IN) TBB 2.00	BOT WALL NO BAT (IN) TBV	BOTTOM SIDEWALL (IN) TB	
HV (IN) TV 10.00	BATTER (IN) TBB 2.00	NO BAT (IN) TBV	SIDEWALL (IN) TB	
(IN) TV 10.00	(IN) TBB 2.00	(IN) TBV	(IN) TB	
TV 10.00	TBB 2.00	TBV	TB	
10.00	2.00	10 00		
		10.00	12.00	
SLAB THICK	SLAB THICK	9	LAB THICK	
U.S. END	BREAK IN GRADE		D.S. END	
(IN)	(IN)		(IN)	
TSUP	TSBG		TSDN	
15.00	18.00		15.00	
IREMENTS				
	SLAB THICK U.S. END (IN) TSUP 15.00 IREMENTS	SLAB THICK SLAB THICK U.S. END BREAK IN GRADE (IN) (IN) TSUP TSBG 15.00 18.00 HREMENTS	SLAB THICK SLAB THICK SURVEY SURVEY SUP TO S	SLAB THICK SLAB THICK SLAB THICK U.S. END BREAK IN GRADE D.S. END (IN) (IN) (IN) (IN) TSUP TSBG TSDN 15.00 18.00 15.00 IIREMENTS

HEIGHT	AREA STEEL	MAXIMUM	
ABOVE BASE	REQUIRED	SPACING	
FT	IN**2	IN	
5.69	.24	18.00	
2.85	.24	18.00	
.00	.24	18.00	
ON SLOPE			
.00	.27	18.00	
BREAK IN GRADEUPS	TREAM SIDE		
.00	.58	18.00	
BREAK IN GRADEDOW	NSTREAM SIDE		
12.00	.24	18.00	
9.15	.24	18.00	
6.31	.24	18.00	
3.15	.27	18.00	
.00	.58	18.00	
INSIDE FACE OF DOWN	STREAM END		
.00	. 49	18.00	

BASE

WALL

SECTION AT DOWNSTREAM END

DIST	AREA REQD	MAX	AREA REQD	MAX	
FROM WALL	TOP FACE	SPACING	BOT FACE	SPACING	
FT	IN**2	IN	IN**2	IN	
1 00	. 18	18.00	.18	18.00	
50	.18	18.00	.18	18.00	
	.18	18.00	.18	18.00	
.00	42	18.00	.18	18.00	
10.00	83	18.00	1.07	18.00	
20.00	1 11	18.00	1.56	18.00	
CECTION AT	BREAK-IN-GRA	DE			
SECTION HI	22	18.00	.22	18.00	
1.00	- 22	18.00	.22	18.00	
.00	22 .	18.00	.22	18.00	
.00	43	18.00	. 22	18.00	
.00	1 01	18.00	. 22	18.00	
10.00	2.50	18,00	. 22	18.00	
20.00	UDOTDEAM END	10100			
SECTION AT	TIPSINEHI LISE	18.00	.18	18.00	
1.00	. 10	18.00	.18	18.00	
.50	.10	18.00	.18	18.00	
.00	.18	19.00	. 18	18.00	
,00	. 36	18.00	1.10	18.00	
10.00	.36	18.00	1.43	18.00	
20.00	. 45	10.00		and the second se	

WINGWALL DESIGN - TRIAL VALUES

THICK OF WINGWALL IN TWW	THICK OF WWALL FTG IN TWF	FTG PROJ AT U.S. END FT BUP 7.50	FTG PROJ AT D.S. END FT BDN 1.50	
WINGWALL D	ESIGN - DETA	IL DESIGN	QUANT= 11.60	
THICK OF WINGWALL IN TWW 10.00	THICK OF WWALL FTG IN TWF 10.00	FTG PROJ AT U.S. END FT BUP 7.50	FTG PROJ AT D.S. END FT BDN 1.50	
DIST TO JT WINGWALL FT LEVEL 7.07	WINGWALL FTG PROJ FT WPROJ 9.01	WINGWALL FTG PERP FT WWLB 10.95	VOL OF WALL WITHOUT FTG ADJUST CY VWING 12.25	
STEEL REQU AREA OF TIE= .1 SECTION AT ARTICU	IREMENTS 6 LATION JOINT			
HEIGHT ABOVE BASE FT	AREA STEEL REQUIRED IN**2	MAXIMUM SPACING IN		
8.00 4.00 .00	.24 .24 .12	18.00 18.00 18.00		
FROM WALL FT 5.00	REQUIRED IN**2	SPACING IN 18,00		
2.50 .00 SECTION AT UPPER	.13 .13 THIRD POINT	18.00 18.00		
HEIGHT ABOVE BASE FT	AREA STEEL REQUIRED IN**2	MAXIMUM SPACING IN		
2.78 .00	.24 .24 .12	18.00 18.00 18.00		
FROM WALL FT 3.67	REQUIRED IN**2	SPACING IN 18.00		
1.83 .00 SECTION AT LOWER	.12 .12 THIRD POINT	18.00 18.00		
HEIGHT ABOVE BASE FT	AREA STEEL REQUIRED IN**2	MAXIMUM SPACING IN		
1.56 .00 DISTANCE	. 24 . 12 . 12 AREA STEEL	18.00 18.00 18.00 MAXIMUM		
FROM WALL FT 2.33	REQUIRED IN**2	SPACING IN 18.00		
1.17	.12	18.00		

WINGWALL PER TRNOTICE 54-1

MOMENT, THRUST, SHEAR REPORT

Example design from Appendix A Detailed design of type "A" basin

TYPE (A) STILLING BASIN

MOMENT, THRUST, SHEAR RESULTANTS AT STEEL DETERMINATION SECTIONS CONSULT FIGS. 42,44,45,46,&47 OF REFERENCE DOCUMENT FOR LOCATIONS. TABULATED MOMENT CAUSES TENSION IN STEEL AT INDICATED LOCATION. DIRECT COMPRESSION IS POSITIVE, DIRECT TENSION IS NEGATIVE.

_OCATION	LOAD	EFFECTIVE	BENDING	DIRECT	SHEAR
NUMBER	CONDITION	DEPTH	MOMENT	I HRUSI	I BS/FT
		IN	FI-LB5/FI	LB5/FI	LBS/11
STREWALL					
1	1	.00	0.	0.	0.
2	ī	7.50	369.	395.	350.
3	1	7.61	2953.	791.	1401.
4	1	8.55	2805.	1166.	1335.
5	ī	9.50	2341.	1575.	1060.
6	1	.00	0.	0.	0.
7	1	7.50	0.	395.	0.
8	1	7.61	1.	791.	751
9	1	8.55	405.	1166.	300.
10	1	9.50	2341.	1575.	1080.
1	2	.00	0.	0.	751
2	2	7,50	369.	373.	1571.
3	2	7.61	3141.	1144	1941.
4	2	8.55	4014.	1575	3216.
5	2	9.50	9041.	13/3.	0.
6	2	.00	0.	395.	0.
7	2	7.50	707	791.	349.
8	2	7.61	2441	1166.	1392.
9	2	8.55	2001.	1575.	3216.
10	2	9.00	0.	0.	0.
0	1	7.50	6160.	1575.	1610.
0	2	7.30	0.00.		
DACE					
BHOL					0
11	1	12.50	0.	519.	0.
12	1	.00	0.	0.	42
13	1	12.50	11.	517.	-2.
14	1	.00	0.	E10	84.
15	1	12.50	42.	517.	0.
16	1	.00	0.	454	2042.
17	1	12.50	1039.	0.	0.
18	1	.00		456.	1029.
19	1	12.50	10137.	0.	0.
20	1	.00	01004	656.	0.
21	1	12.50	21200.	0.	0.
22	1	.00	ŏ.	597.	0.
11	2	12.50	·.	0.	0.
12	2	.00	8.	597.	32.
13	2	12.50	0.	0.	· 0.
14	2	.00	32.	597.	64.
15	2	12.50	0.	0.	0.
16	2	.00	7576.	-1013.	919.
17	2	12.50	0.	0.	0.
18	2	.00	0.	0.	0.
19	2	11 50	18040.	-1013.	1596.
20	2	11.00	0.	0.	0.
21	2	11.50	26018.	-1013.	0.
22	2	11.00			

C7

23	1	15.50	0.	941.	0.
24	1	.00	0. 44	941	185
26	1	.00	0.	0.	0.
27	1	15.50	185.	941.	370.
28	1	.00	0.	0.	0.
29	1	.00	0.	0.	0.
30	AND ALL TRADITION	14.50	1825.	2001.	1653.
32		10.00	0.	2001.	0.
33	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15.50	14757.	2001.	0.
34	1	.00	0.	0.	0.
23	2	15.50	0.	987.	0.
24	2	.00	0.	0.	0.
25	2	15.50	07.	787.	278.
27	2	15.50	278.	987.	556.
28	2	.00	0.	0.	0.
29	2	.00	0.	0.	0.
30	2	14.50	2887.	2654.	1979.
31	2	15.50	43064.	2654.	2987.
<u>२</u> २ उर	2	15 50	58000	2454	0.
34	2	.00	0.	-0.	
	2.2.				
47	1	12.50	0.	584.	0.
48	1	.00	0.	0.	0.
49	1	12.50	79.	584.	315.
51	1	12.50	315	584	630
52	1	.00	0.	0.	0.
53	1	.00	0.	<i>o</i> .	o.
54	1	11.50	2970.	2009.	688.
55	1	12.50	6693.	2009.	630.
57	1	.00	0.	2008	0.
58	1	.00	7040. O.	2007.	0.
47	2	12.50	ó.	584.	Ö.
48	2	.00	0.	0.	0.
49	2	12.50	88.	584.	354.
51	2	.00	0.	0.	0.
52	2	.00	334.	084.	708.
53	2	.00	0.	o.	0.
54	2	11.50	40.39.	1978.	2123.
55	2	.00	0.	0.	· · · · ·
56	2	11.50	19960.	1978.	1061.
58	2	.00	0.	0.	0.
	-	11.00	20207.	1770.	0.
	"				
		C8			

WINGWALL

1	1	7.50	0.	500.	0.
4	1	7.50	0.	1000.	0.
2	1	7.50	122.	1500.	137.
4	1	7.50	344.	319.	490.
5	1	7.50	1246.	237.	260.
6	1	7.50	722.	155.	692.
7	1	7.50	0.	347.	0.
8	1	7.50	0.	694.	0.
9	1	7.50	60.	1042.	86.
10	1	7.50	206.	290.	260.
11	1	7.50	577.	236.	131.
12	1	7.50	372.	183.	378.
13	1	7.50	0.	194.	0.
14	1	7.50	0.	389.	0.
15	1	7.50	24.	583.	47.
16	1	7.50	56.	278.	107.
17	1	7.50	159.	246.	74.
18	1	7.50	146.	215.	97.
1	2	7.50	0.	500.	0.
2	2	7.50	0.	1000.	0.
3	2	7.50	122.	1500.	137.
4	. 2	7.50	358.	567.	390.
5	2	7.50	1041.	487.	212.
6	2	7.50	624.	407.	534.
7	2	7.50	0.	382.	0.
8	2	7.50	0.	729.	0.
9	2	7.50	60.	1076.	86.
10	2	7.50	140.	550.	205.
11	2	7.50	434.	496.	118.
12	2	7.50	320.	443.	250.
13	2	7.50	0.	420.	0.
14	2	7.50	0.	614.	0.
15	2	7.50	24.	809.	47.
16	2	7.50	51.	538.	99.
17	2	7.50	147.	506.	72.
18	2	7.50	140.	475.	82.

Stop - Program terminated.

APPENDIX D: SAMPLE CBASIN RUN FOR DETAILED DESIGN OF TYPE "B" BASIN

ENTER TWO HEADER LINES, 80 CHAR. MAX. EACH LINE Example design from Appendix A Detailed design of type "B" basin ENTER THE FOLLOWING

WIDTH	WALL	LENGTH	WALL	WATER	WATER	DESIGN	DFALTS
BASIN	D.S.	BASIN	U.S.	DEPTH	VELOC	PARAM	O=DEF
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT/SEC)	0.1.2.3	0,1
W	J	LB	N	D1	V1	DESIGN	DFALTS
20.0	20.0	24.0	14.0	2.0	36.0	2	1
DFALT1	DFAL	T2 DFAL	T3 DF	ALT4			
1	0	0		1			
HEIGHT	FILL	TAILWATE	R UPL	IFT HD	TAILWAT	ER UP	LIFT HD
DOWNSTR	EAM	LOAD CASE	2 LOA	DC2	LOAD C	1 LO	AD C 1
FT		FT	F	Т	FT		FT
HB		HTW2	HU	P2	HTW1	н	UF1
10.0		11.73	11	.73	0.0	5	.86
CONCRET	E	RATIO	ALLOW	ABLE	ALLOWABLE	MINI	MUM
ULTIMAT	E	FC TO	STE	EL	NET BEAR	CONC	RETE
STRENGT	Ή	F'C	STRE	SS	PRESSURE	THICK	NESS
(PSI)			(PSI	;)	(PSF)	(IN	1)
F'C		COESF	FSA	1	ABP	TMI	N
4000.0)	0.4	200	0.00	2000.0	10.	0

IS MOMENT, THRUST, SHEAR REPORT DESIRED ? Enter either Y or N

N

MTV WILL NOT BE OUTPUT

DESCRIPTION OF THE REAL PROPERTY AND IN ACCOUNTS

CBASIN CORPS OF ENGINEERS, CASE PROJECT MODIFIED SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE PROGRAM - STILLING BASIN

Example design from Appendix A Detailed design of type "B" basin

DESIGN PARAMETERS

WIDTH	WALL	LENGTH	WALL	WATER	WATER	
BASIN	D.S.	BASIN	U.S.	DEPTH	VELOC	
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT/SEC)	
W	J	LB	N	D1	V1	
20.00	20.00	24.00	14.00	2.00	36.00	
FROUDE	WATER D2 (ET)	TAILWTR LC - 1	UPLIFT LC - 1	TAILWTR	UPLIFT LC - 2	
FROUDE	D2	HTW1	HUP1	HTW2	HUP2	
20.12	11.73	.00	5.86	11.73	11.73	
HGT FILL	SLOPE	DEPTH	THICKNES	WGT MOIS	T WGT SAT	
D.STREAM	FLOOR	TOE WALL	TOE WALL	FILL	FILL	
(FT)	RATIO	(FT)	(IN)	(LB/CF)	(LB/CF)	
HB	ZS	HTW	TTW	GM	GS	
10.00	3.00	4.00	10.00	120.00	140.00	
LAT SOIL	BATTER	MAXIMUM	SAFETY	SAFETY	COEF	
PRESSURE	INSIDE	FOOTING	FACTOR	FACTOR	FRICTION	
RATIO	WALL	PROJECT	FLOATION	SLIDING	SOIL-CONC	
(RATIO)	(RATIO)	(FT)	(RATIO)	(RATIO)	(RATIO)	
KO	BAT	MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	CFSC	
.80	.38	10.00	1.50	1.00	.35	
CONCRETE ULTIMATE STRENGTH (PSI) F'C 4000.00	RATIO FC TO F'C COESF	ALLOU STE STREM (PS) FS4	ABLE AL EEL NI NGTH P L) A	LLOWABLE ET BEAR RESSURE (PSF) ABP 2000.00	MINIMUM CONCRETE THICKNESS (IN) TMIN 10.00	

DESIGN OF SPECIFIED TYPE BASIN FOLLOWS

TYPE (B)	STILLING BAS	BIN - TRIAL	VALUES	QUANT= 141.10	
OVERALL BAS LGT (FT) LTOT 42.00	HORIZ PROJ SLAB (FT) LS 18.00	VERT PROJ INCL SLAB (FT) HS 6.00	DIST WALL VERT INSIDE (FT) HV 14.00		
THICK WALL AT TOP (FT) TT 10.00	THICK WALL AT HV-U (IN) TVU 16.30	THICKNESS OF WALL BATTER (IN) TBB 2.00	THICK BOT WALL ND BAT-U (IN) TBVU 19.00	THICK AT BOTTOM S.WALL-U (IN) TBU 21.00	
THICK OF SIDEWALL AT (IN) TVD 14.90	HV-D	THICK BOT WALL NO BAT (IN) TBVD 17.00	-D BOT	THICK AT T SIDEWALL-D (IN) TBD 19.00	
U.S. FT PROJECT (FT) FTGU 3.00	G	SLAB THICK U.S. END (IN) TSUP 18.00	SLA U.S. BA	AB THICK REAK GRADE (IN) TSBGU 22.00	
D.S. FTG PROJECT (FT) FTGD 2.00	SLAB THICK BREAK GRA (IN) TSBGD 20.00	D.S.	SLAB THICK D.S. END (IN) TSDN 20.00		

<page-footer><page-footer>

TYPE (B)	STILLING BASIN	- DETAIL	DESIGN	QUANT=	142.10
THICK WALL AT TOP (FT) TT 10.00	THICK T WALL AT HV-U (IN) TVU 16.30	HICKNESS OF WALL BATTER (IN) TBB 2.00	THICK BOT WALL NO BAT-U (IN) TBVU 19.00	THICK AT BOTTOM S.WALL-U (IN) TBU 21.00	
THICK OF SIDEWALL AT (IN) TVD 14.90	T HV-D WAL	HICK BOT L NO BAT-D (IN) TBVD 17.00	BO	THICK AT T SIDEWALL-I (IN) TBD 19.00	
U.S. FTG PROJECT (FT) FTGU 3.00	S SL	AB THICK J.S. END (IN) TSUP 18.00	SL U.S. BI	AB THICK REAK GRADE (IN) TSBGU 22.00	
D.S. FTG PROJECT (FT) FTGD 2.00	SLAB THICK D. BREAK GRADE (IN) TSBGD 21.00	5.	SLAB THICK D.S. END (IN) TSDN 20.00		
STEEL REG WALLUPSTREAM END HEIGHT ABOVE BASE FT 14.00 7.00 .00	QUIREMENTS AREA S REQUI IN** .24 .30 2.16	STEEL IRED	MAXIMUM SPACING IN 18.00 18.00 13.91		
BREAK IN GRADE	-UPSTREAM SIDE		13.76		

	.00	2.47	13.45
BREAK	IN GRADEDOM	WNSTREAM SIDE	
	20.00	.24	18.00
	13.00	. 30	18.00
	6.00	.76	18.00
	3.00	1.61	16.26
	.00	2.82	12.00

BASESECTION	AT DOWNSTREAM EI	ND			
DIST	AREA REOD	MAX	AREA REDD	MAX	
FROMWAL	L, TOP FACE	SPACING	BOT FACE	SPACING	
FT	IN**2	IN	IN**2	IN	
2.00	.24	18.00	.24	18.00	
1.00	.24	18.00	.24	18.00	
.00	.24	18.00	.24	18.00	
.00	. 48	18.00	. 37	18.00	
5.00	. 48	18.00	.24	18.00	
10.00	.48	18.00	.24	18.00	
SECTION	AT DOWNSTREAM S	IDE OF BREA	AK-IN-GRADE		
2.00	. 25	18.00	. 25	18.00	
1.00	.25	18.00	.25	18.00	
.00	.25	18.00	.25	18.00	
.00	.50	18.00	2.39	18.00	
5.00	.50	18.00	1.59	18.00	
10.00	.50	18.00	1.31	18.00	
SECTION	AT UPSTREAM SID	E OF BREAK	-IN-GRADE		
3.00	.26	18.00	.26	18.00	
1.50	. 26	18.00	.26	18.00	
.00	.26	18.00	.26	18.00	
.00	.53	18.00	2.02	18.00	
5.00	.53	18.00	1.28	18.00	
10.00	.53	18.00	1.02	18.00	
SECTION	AT UPSTREAM ENI	D			
3.00	.22	18.00	.22	18.00	
1.50	.22	18.00	.22	18.00	
.00	.22	18.00	.22	18.00	
.00	. 43	18.00	1.56	18.00	
5.00	.43	18.00	. 69	18.00	
10.00	. 43	18.00	. 41	18.00	
VERTICAL SHEA	AR AT BREAK-IN-G	RADE ARTICL	LATION JOINT		
SHEAR FORCE	FOR LC NO.1. LB	$S_{*} = -1.013$	SE+04 L	C NO.2, LBS.	= -1.9788E+04
	WINGWALL DESIGN	- TRIAL VA	ALUES		
71			FROJ AT	FTG PRO	DJ AT
11		I ETG II.	S. END	D.S.	END
W	Thi T	N N	FT	F	FT
		F	BUP	I	BDN
	I WW I W				

IN TWW 12.00	TWF 12.00	BUP 14.50	BDN 7.00
WINGWALL	DESIGN - DETA	AIL DESIGN	QUANT= 41.35
THICK OF	THICK OF	FTG PROJ AT	FTG PROJ AT
WINGWALL	WWALL FTG	U.S. END	D.S. END
IN	IN	FT	FT
TWW	TWF	BUP	BDN
12.00	12,00	14.50	7.00
DIST TO JT	WINGWALL	WINGWALL	VOL OF WALL WITHOUT
WINGWALL	FTG PROJ	FTG PERP	FTG ADJUST
FT	FT	FT	CY
LEVEL	WPROJ	WWLB	VWING
12.04	18.38	20.50	44.24

STEEL REQ	UIREMENTS	
AREA OF TIE= 2.1	88	
SECTION AT ARTIC	ULATION JOINT	
HEIGHT	AREA STEEL	MAXIM
ABOVE BASE	REQUIRED	SPACI
FT	IN**2	IN
13.33	. 29	18.
6.67	.14	18.
.00	1.17	17.
DISTANCE	AREA STEEL	MAXIM
FROM WALL	REQUIRED	SPACI
FT	IN**2	IN
9.67	.34	18.
4.83	1.47	18.
.00	1.93	18.
SECTION AT UPPER	THIRD FOINT	
HEIGHT	AREA STEEL	MAXIM
ABOVE BASE	REQUIRED	SPACI
FT	IN**2	IN
9.11	.29	18.
4.56	.14	18.
.00	.35	18.
DISTANCE	AREA STEEL	MAXIM
FROM WALL	REQUIRED	SPACI
FT	IN**2	IN
8.00	.22	18.
4.00	. 68	18.
.00	. 79	18.
SECTION AT LOWER	THIRD POINT	
HEIGHT	AREA STEEL	MAXIM
ABOVE BASE	REQUIRED	SPACI
FT	IN**2	IN
4.89	.29	18.
2.44	.14	18.
.00	.14	18.
DISTANCE	AREA STEEL	MAXIM
FROM WALL	REQUIRED	SPACI
FT	IN**2	IN
6.33	. 14	18.

IUM NG 00 00 87 UM NG 00 00 00 NG 00 00 NG 00 00 NG 00 00 IUM NG 8.00

.00	.25			18.00	
		END	DETAIL	DESIGN	WINGWALL PER TRNOTICE 54-1

Stop - Program terminated.

.
APPENDIX E: SAMPLE CBASIN RUN FOR DETAILED DESIGN OF TYPE "C" BASIN

THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH.

**	*****	******	**	*******	**
*	CORPS	PROGRAM	*	X0098	*
×	MICRO	VERSION	*	89/02/01	*
*1	*****	******	+*+	******	**

ENTER TWO HEADER LINES, 80 CHAR. MAX. EACH LINE Example design from Appendix A Detailed design of type "C" basin ENTER THE FOLLOWING

WIDTH WALL BASIN D.S. (FT) (FT) W J 32.0 18.0	LENGTH BASIN (FT) LB 16.0	WALL WATER U.S. DEPTH (FT) (FT) N D1 6.0 2.0	WATER I VELOC F (FT/SEC) (V1 1 36.0	DESIGN DFALTS PARAM 0=DEF 0,1,2,3 0,1 DESIGN DFALTS 3 1
DFALTI DFA	ALT2 DEALT	3 DFALT4		
1 (0 0	1		
HEIGHT FILL	TAILWATER	UPLIFT HD	TAILWATE	R UPLIFT HD
DOWNSTREAM	LOAD CASE	2 LOAD C 2	LOAD C 1	LOAD C 1
FT	FT	FT	FT	FT
HB	HTW2	HUP2	HTW1	HUP1
8.0	11.73	7.0	0.0	0.0
CONCRETE	RATID	ALLOWABLE	ALLOWABLE	MINIMUM
ULTIMATE	FC TO	STEEL	NET BEAR	CONCRETE
STRENGTH	F'C	STRESS	PRESSURE	THICKNESS
(PSI)		(PSI)	(PSF)	(IN)
F'C	COESF	FSA	ABP	TMIN
4000.0	0.4	20000.0	2000.0	10.0

IS MOMENT, THRUST, SHEAR REPORT DESIRED ? Enter either Y or N

.

Ν

MTV WILL NOT BE OUTPUT

CBASIN

CORPS OF ENGINEERS, CASE PROJECT MODIFIED SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE PROGRAM - STILLING BASIN

Example design from Appendix A Detailed design of type "C" basin

DESIGN PARAMETERS

WIDTH BASIN (FT)	WALL D.S. (FT)	LENGTH BASIN (FT)	WALL U.S. (FT)	WATER DEPTH (FT)	WATER VELOC (FT/SEC)	
W	J	LB	N	D1	V1	
32.00	18.00	16.00	6.00	2.00	36.00	
FROUDE	WATER	TAILWTR	UPLIFT	TAILWT	UPLIFT	
	(FT)	(FT)	LC - 1 (FT)	(FT)	(FT)	
FROUDE	D2	HTW1	HUP1	HTW2	HUP2	
20.12	11.73	.00	.00	11.73	7.00	
HGT FILL	SLOPE	DEPTH	THICKNES	WGT MOIS	T WGT SAT	
D.STREAM	FLOOR	TOE WALL	TOE WALL	FILL	FILL	
(FT)	RATIO	(FT)	(IN)	(LB/CF)	(LB/CF)	
HB	ZS	HTW	TTW	GM	GS	
8.00	3.00	4.00	10.00	120.00	140.00	
LAT SOIL	BATTER	MAXIMUM	SAFETY	SAFETY	COEF	
PRESSURE	INSIDE	FOOTING	FACTOR	FACTOR	FRICTION	
RATIO	WALL	PROJECT	FLOATION	SLIDING	SOIL-CONC	
(RATIO)	(RATIO)	(FT)	(RATIO)	(RATID)	(RATID)	
ко	BAT	MAXFTG	FLOATR	SLIDER	CFSC	
.80	.38	16.00	1.50	1.00	.35	
CONCRETE	RATIO	ALLO	WABLE AL	LOWABLE	MINIMUM	
JLTIMATE	FC TO	ST	EEL NE	ET BEAR	CONCRETE	
STRENGTH	F'C	STRE	NGTH PI	RESSURE	THICKNESS	
(PSI)		(PS	I)	(PSF)	(IN)	
F'C	COESF	FS	A	ABP	TMIN	
4000.00	. 4	10 2000	0.00 2	2000.00	10.00	

DESIGN OF SPECIFIED TYPE BASIN FOLLOWS

TYPE (C) S	STILLING BASIN	- TRIAL	VALUES	QUANT= 125.44
OVERALL BAS LGT (FT) LTOT 52.00	HORIZ V PROJ SLAB I (FT) LS 36.00	VERT PROJ NCL SLAB (FT) HS 12.00	DIST WALL VERT INSIDE (FT) HV 9.00	
TOE LENGTH WALL BASE X 12.00	WIDTH OF PAVEMENT SL XP 8.00	AB		
THICK PAVE U.S. END (IN) TPUP 11.00	THICK PAVE B.IN GRADE (IN) TPBG 14.00	THICK P D.S. EN (IN) TPDN 12.00	AVE D	
THICK WALL AT TOP (FT) TT 10.00	THICK WALL AT HV (IN) TV 10.50	THICKNESS OF WALL BATTER (IN) TBB 3.00	THICK BOT WALL NO BAT (IN) TBV 11.00	THICK AT BOTTOM SIDEWALL (IN) TB 14.00
FTG PROJECT (FT) FTG .00	SLAB THICK U.S. END, (IN) TSUP 12.00	SLAB TH BREAK IN (IN) TSBG 15.00	IICK GRADE	SLAB THICK D.S. END (IN) TSDN 15.00
TYPE (C)	STILLING BASI	N - DETAIL	DESIGN	QUANT= 127.52
TOE LENGTH WALL BASE X 12.00	WIDTH OF PAVEMENT SI XP 8.00	LAB		

THICK PAUE THICK PAUE THICK PAUE

THILDS, CHYL	LITTOR LITTO	TTTA WIS TTTY	hee.	
U.S. END	B. IN GRADE	D.S. END		
(IN)	(IN)	(IN)		
TPUP	TPBG	TPDN		
11.00	14.00	12.00		
THICK	THICK	THICKNESS	THICK	THICK AT
WALL AT	WALL AT	OF WALL	BOT WALL	BOTTOM
TOP	HV	BATTER	NO BAT	SIDEWALL
(FT)	(IN) .	(IN)	(IN)	(IN)
TT	TV	TBB	TBV	TB
10.00	10.50	3.00	11.00	14.00
FTG	SLAB THICK	SLAB THIC	ж	SLAB THICK
PROJECT	U.S. END.	BREAK IN GR	RADE	D.S. END
(FT)	(IN)	(IN)		(IN)
FTG	TSUP	TSBG		TSDN
.00	12.00	16.00		15.00

STEE	L REQUIREMENT	rs		
WALLUPSIREAM EN	D		MAYTMU	м
ADOUE	DACE	AREA SIEEL	CRACIN	C Linearity
HBUVE	T	TNERO	TN	The second second
4 O		24	18.00	
8.0	0	. 24	18.00	
5.0	0	. 24	18.00	
ON SLOPE	•	. 20	10.00	
ON SLOPE	0	74	18.00	
BREAK IN GR		M SIDE	10.00	
DICERIC IN ON		1 76	14.35	
BREAK IN GR	ADEDOWNSTR	FAM SIDE	14.00	
18.0	0	.74	18.00	
15.0	õ	.24	18,00	
12.0	õ	.25	18.00	
6.0	õ	.18	18.00	
.0	õ	1.76	14.35	
INSIDE FACE	OF DOWNSTRE	AM END		
	0	.46	18.00	
BASESECTION AT	DOWNSTREAM F	NDTOF TO	CENTER	
DIST	AREA REDD	MAX	AREA REOD	MAX
FROM WALL	TOP FACE	SPACING	BOT FACE	SPACING
FT	IN**2	TN	IN**2	IN
.00	.00	18.00	.00	18.00
.00	.00	18.00	.00	18.00
.00	.00	18.00	.00	18.00
.00	.36	18.00	1.20	18.00
6.00	.36	18.00	. 76	18.00
12.00	.36	18.00	. 18	18.00
SECTION AT	BREAK-IN-GRA	DE		
.00	.00	18.00	.00	18.00
.00	.00	18.00	.00	18.00
.00	.00	18.00	.00	18.00
.00	.38	18.00	1.70	18.00
6.00	.38	18.00	.28	18.00
12.00	.38	18.00	.19	18.00
SECTION AT	UPSTREAM END			
.00	.00	18.00	.00	18.00
.00	.00	18.00	.00	18.00
.00	.00	18.00	.00	18.00
.00	. 29	18.00	.88	18.00
6.00	.29	18.00	. 24	18.00
12.00	.29	18.00	.14	18.00
PAVEMENT SLAB				
LOCATION	AREA REQD	MAX	AREA REQD	MAX
U.S. OF TOE	TOP FACE	SPACING	BOT FACE	SPACING
FT	IN**2	IN	IN**2	IN
.00	. 29	18.00	.14	18.00
8.00	. 64	18.00	.16	18.00
16.00	1.36	18.00	.17	18.00
34.00	.84	18.00	.15	18.00
52.00	.26	18.00	.13	18.00
WING	WALL DESIGN	- TRIAL VAL	UES	

THICK OF	THICK OF	FTG PROJ AT	FTG PROJ AT
WINGWALL	WWALL FTG	U.S. END	D.S. END
IN	IN	FT	FT
TWW	TWF	BUP	BDN
10.00	10.00	10.50	6.00

WINGWALL	DESIGN - DETA	IL DESIGN	OLIONIT-	74 70
THICK OF WINGWALL IN	THICK OF WWALL FTG	FTG PROJ AT U.S. END	FTG PROJ AT D.S. END	20.70
ТШШ	IN	FT	FT	
10,00	10 OC	BUP	BDN	
	10.00	10.50	6.00	
DIST TO JT	MINGHALL	LITHOUGH .		
WINGWALL	ETG PROJ	WINGWALL FTO DEDD	VOL OF WALL WITHOUT	
FT	FT	FIG PERP	FTG ADJUST	
LEVEL	WPROJ		CY	
7.78	16.39	15 11	VWING	
		13.11	26.70	
STEEL REG	UIREMENTS			
AREA OF TIE= 1.	79			
SECTION AT ARTIC	ULATION JOINT			
HEIGHT	AREA STEEL	MAXIMUM		
ABOVE BASE	REQUIRED	SPACING		
FT	IN**2	IN		
12.00	.24	18.00		
6.00	.12	18.00		
.00	. 66	18.00		
DISTANCE	AREA SIEEL	MAXIMUM		
FROM WALL	REQUIRED	SPACING		
FT	IN**2	IN		
7.00	. 25	18.00		
3.50	. 91	18.00		
.00	1.15	18.00		
SECTION AT UPPER	THIRD FOINT			
HEIGHT	AREA STEEL	MAXIMUM		
ABUVE BASE	REQUIRED	SPACING		
FI	IN**2	IN		
8.22	.24	18.00		
4.11	.12	18.00		
.00	.20	18.00		
DISTANCE	AREA STEEL	MAXIMUM		
FRUM WALL	REQUIRED	SPACING		
	IN**2	IN		
6.00	.15	18.00		
3.00	. 42	18.00		

3.00	. 42	18.00
.00	.50	18.00
SECTION AT LOWER	THIRD POINT	
HEIGHT	AREA STEEL	MAXIMUM
ABOVE BASE	REQUIRED	SPACING
FT	IN**2	IN
4.44	.24	18.00
2.22	.12	18.00
.00	.12	18.00
DISTANCE	AREA STEEL	MAXIMUM
FROM WALL	REQUIRED	SPACING
FT	IN**2	IN
5.00	.12	18.00
2.50	.12	18.00
.00	.16	18.00

============= END DETAIL DESIGN

WINGWALL PER TRNOTICE 54-1

HUP2 IS GIVEN AS 7.00, THIS IS LESS THAN HTW2 GIVEN AS 11.73. IT MAY BE APPROPRIATE TO CONSIDER AN ADDITIONAL TYPE (C) DESIGN WITH HUP2 = HTW2.

********** Stop - Program terminated.

WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION REPORTS PUBLISHED UNDER THE COMPUTER-AIDED STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING (CASE) PROJECT

Title Date Technical Report K-78-1 List of Computer Programs for Computer-Aided Structural Engineering Feb 1978 User's Guide: Computer Program with Interactive Graphics for Instruction Report O-79-2 Mar 1979 Analysis of Plane Frame Structures (CFRAME) Survey of Bridge-Oriented Design Software Technical Report K-80-1 Jan 1980 Technical Report K-80-2 Evaluation of Computer Programs for the Design/Analysis of Jan 1980 Highway and Railway Bridges Instruction Report K-80-1 User's Guide: Computer Program for Design/Review of Curvi-Feb 1980 linear Conduits/Culverts (CURCON) A Three-Dimensional Finite Element Data Edit Program Instruction Report K-80-3 Mar 1980 Instruction Report K-80-4 A Three-Dimensional Stability Analysis/Design Program (3DSAD) Report 1: General Geometry Module Jun 1980 Report 3: General Analysis Module (CGAM) Jun 1982 Report 4: Special-Purpose Modules for Dams (CDAMS) Aug 1983 Instruction Report K-80-6 Basic User's Guide: Computer Program for Design and Analysis Dec 1980 of Inverted-T Retaining Walls and Floodwalls (TWDA) User's Reference Manual: Computer Program for Design and Instruction Report K-80-7 Dec 1980 Analysis of Inverted-T Retaining Walls and Floodwalls (TWDA) Documentation of Finite Element Analyses Technical Report K-80-4 Report 1: Longview Outlet Works Conduit Dec 1980 Report 2: Anchored Wall Monolith, Bay Springs Lock Dec 1980 Dec 1980 Basic Pile Group Behavior Technical Report K-80-5 User's Guide: Computer Program for Design and Analysis of Sheet Instruction Report K-81-2 Pile Walls by Classical Methods (CSHTWAL) Report 1: Computational Processes Feb 1981

Deport 2: Interactive Graphics Options Mar 19

	Report 2: Interactive Graphics Options	Mar 1981
Instruction Report K-81-3	Validation Report: Computer Program for Design and Analysis of Inverted-T Retaining Walls and Floodwalls (TWDA)	Feb 1981
Instruction Report K-81-4	User's Guide: Computer Program for Design and Analysis of Cast-in-Place Tunnel Linings (NEWTUN)	Mar 1981
Instruction Report K-81-6	User's Guide: Computer Program for Optimum Nonlinear Dynamic Design of Reinforced Concrete Slabs Under Blast Loading (CBARCS)	Mar 1981
Instruction Report K-81-7	User's Guide: Computer Program for Design or Investigation of Orthogonal Culverts (CORTCUL)	Mar 1981
Instruction Report K-81-9	User's Guide: Computer Program for Three-Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems (CTABS80)	Aug 1981
Technical Report K-81-2	Theoretical Basis for CTABS80: A Computer Program for Three-Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems	Sep 1981
Instruction Report K-82-6	User's Guide: Computer Program for Analysis of Beam-Column Structures with Nonlinear Supports (CBEAMC)	Jun 1982
Instruction Report K-82-7	User's Guide: Computer Program for Bearing Capacity Analysis of Shallow Foundations (CBEAR)	Jun 1982

(Continued)

WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION REPORTS PUBLISHED UNDER THE COMPUTER-AIDED STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING (CASE) PROJECT

(Continued)

	Title	Date
Instruction Report K-83-1	User's Guide: Computer Program With Interactive Graphics for Analysis of Plane Frame Structures (CFRAME)	Jan 1983
Instruction Report K-83-2	User's Guide: Computer Program for Generation of Engineering Geometry (SKETCH)	Jun 1983
Instruction Report K-83-5	User's Guide: Computer Program to Calculate Shear, Moment, and Thrust (CSMT) from Stress Results of a Two-Dimensional Finite Element Analysis	Jul 1983
Technical Report K-83-1	Basic Pile Group Behavior	Sep 1983
Technical Report K-83-3	Reference Manual: Computer Graphics Program for Generation of Engineering Geometry (SKETCH)	Sep 1983
Technical Report K-83-4	Case Study of Six Major General-Purpose Finite Element Programs	Oct 1983
Instruction Report K-84-2	User's Guide: Computer Program for Optimum Dynamic Design of Nonlinear Metal Plates Under Blast Loading (CSDOOR)	Jan 1984
Instruction Report K-84-7	User's Guide: Computer Program for Determining Induced Stresses and Consolidation Settlements (CSETT)	Aug 1984
Instruction Report K-84-8	Seepage Analysis of Confined Flow Problems by the Method of Fragments (CFRAG)	Sep 1984
Instruction Report K-84-11	User's Guide for Computer Program CGFAG, Concrete General Flexure Analysis with Graphics	Sep 1984
Technical Report K-84-3	Computer-Aided Drafting and Design for Corps Structural Engineers	Oct 1984
Technical Report ATC-86-5	Decision Logic Table Formulation of ACI 318-77, Building Code	Jun 1986

	Requirements for Reinforced Concrete for Automated Con- straint Processing, Volumes I and II	
Technical Report ITL-87-2	A Case Committee Study of Finite Element Analysis of Concrete Flat Slabs	Jan 1987
Instruction Report ITL-87-1	User's Guide: Computer Program for Two-Dimensional Analysis of U-Frame Structures (CUFRAM)	Apr 1987
Instruction Report ITL-87-2	User's Guide: For Concrete Strength Investigation and Design (CASTR) in Accordance with ACI 318-83	May 1987
Technical Report ITL-87-6	Finite-Element Method Package for Solving Steady-State Seepage Problems	May 1987
Instruction Report ITL-87-3	User's Guide: A Three Dimensional Stability Analysis/Design Program (3DSAD), Report 1, Revision 1: General Geometry Module	Jun 1987
Instruction Report ITL-87-4	User's Guide: 2-D Frame Analysis Link Program (LINK2D)	Jun 1987
Technical Report ITL-87-4	Finite Element Studies of a Horizontally Framed Miter Gate Report 1: Initial and Refined Finite Element Models (Phases A, B, and C), Volumes I and II Report 2: Simplified Frame Model (Phase D) Report 3: Alternate Configuration Miter Gate Finite Element Studies—Open Section	Aug 1987
	Report 4: Alternate Configuration Miter Gate Finite Element Studies—Closed Sections	

(Continued)

WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION REPORTS PUBLISHED UNDER THE COMPUTER-AIDED STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING (CASE) PROJECT

(Concluded)

	Title	Date
Technical Report ITL-87-4	Finite Element Studies of a Horizontally Framed Miter Gate Report 5: Alternate Configuration Miter Gate Finite Element Studies—Additional Closed Sections Report 6: Elastic Buckling of Girders in Horizontally Framed Miter Gates Report 7: Application and Summary	Aug 1987
Instruction Report GL-87-1	User's Guide: UTEXAS2 Slope-Stability Package; Volume I, User's Manual	Aug 1987
Instruction Report ITL-87-5	Sliding Stability of Concrete Structures (CSLIDE)	Oct 1987
Instruction Report ITL-87-6	Criteria Specifications for and Validation of a Computer Program for the Design or Investigation of Horizontally Framed Miter Gates (CMITER)	Dec 1987
Technical Report ITL-87-8	Procedure for Static Analysis of Gravity Dams Using the Finite Element Method — Phase Ia	Jan 1988
Instruction Report ITL-88-1	User's Guide: Computer Program for Analysis of Planar Grid Structures (CGRID)	Feb 1988
Technical Report ITL-88-1	Development of Design Formulas for Ribbed Mat Foundations on Expansive Soils	Apr 1988
Technical Report ITL-88-2	User's Guide: Pile Group Graphics Display (CPGG) Post- processor to CPGA Program	Apr 1988
Instruction Report ITL-88-2	User's Guide for Design and Investigation of Horizontally Framed Miter Gates (CMITER)	Jun 1988
Instruction Report ITL-88-4	User's Guide for Revised Computer Program to Calculate Shear, Moment, and Thrust (CSMT)	Sep 1988
Instruction Report GL-87-1	User's Guide: UTEXAS2 Slope-Stability Package; Volume II, Theory	Feb 1989
Technical Report ITL-89-3	User's Guide: Pile Group Analysis (CPGA) Computer Group	Jul 1989
Technical Report ITL-89-4	CBASINStructural Design of Saint Anthony Falls Stilling Basins According to Corps of Engineers Criteria for Hydraulic Structures; Computer Program X0098	Aug 1989