Evolutionary Shifts Associated with Substrate Endemism in the Western American Flora

By

Adam Christopher Schneider

A dissertation submitted in partial satisfaction of the

Requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Integrative Biology

in the

Graduate Division

of the

University of California, Berkeley

Committee in charge:

Professor Bruce Baldwin, Chair Professor Brent Mishler Professor Kip Will

Summer 2017

Evolutionary Shifts Associated with Substrate Endemism in the Western American Flora

Copyright © 2017 by Adam Christopher Schneider

Abstract

Evolutionary Shifts Associated with Substrate Endemism in the Western American Flora

by

Adam Christopher Schneider

Doctor of Philosophy in Integrative Biology

University of California, Berkeley

Professor Bruce G. Baldwin, Chair

This study investigated how habitat specialization affects the evolution and ecology of flowering plants. Specifically, a phylogenetic framework was used to investigate how trait evolution, lineage diversification, and biogeography of the western American flora are affected by two forms of substrate endemism: (1) edaphic specialization onto serpentine soils, and (2) host specialization of non-photosynthetic, holoparasitic Orobanchaceae.

Previous studies have noted a correlation between presence on serpentine soils and a suite of morphological and physiological traits, one of which is the tendency of several serpentine-tolerant ecotypes to flower earlier than nearby closely related populations not growing on serpentine. A phylogenetically uncorrected ANOVA supports this hypothesis, developed predominantly through previously published comparisons of conspecific or closely related ecotypes. However, comparisons among three models of trait evolution, as well as phylogenetic independent contrasts across 24 independent clades of plants that include serpentine tolerant species in California and with reasonably resolved phylogenies, revealed no significant affect of flowering time in each of these genera. Taken together, these results suggest eco-evolutionary scale dependence of flowering time, and that flowering time may be an exaptation that facilitates colonization of serpentine habitats.

To better understand the role that different substrates may play in diversification of the western American flora, additional studies were performed on a clade of parasitic plants in the Orobanchaceae endemic to the New World, long recognized as *Orobanche* sections *Gymnocaulis* and *Nothaphyllon*. First, a densely sampled phylogeny from specimens across the native geographic and host ranges of this clade was inferred using ribosomal nuclear DNA, a portion of the low-copy nuclear gene *waxy*, and three plastid regions. Several taxonomic species were found to be either non-monophyletic, or monophyletic but including several strongly supported sub-clades. In each case, these least-inclusive clades showed unique host associations, suggesting that host-switching may be an important driver of diversification. At a deeper phylogenetic scale, the monophyly of New World

broomrapes, coupled with phylogenetic evidence that the Eurasian genus *Diphelypaea* is nested within *Orobanche sensu lato* supports the resurrection of the genus *Aphyllon* to include all New World taxa traditionally recognized as *Orobanche* and diagnosable by a five-toothed calyx.

Finally, comparisons between the ranges of *Aphyllon* species and those of their hosts suggest that host specificity can also constrain biogeographic patterns in parasitic plants. Most strikingly, the closely related species *A. ludovicianum* and *A. chilense* both parasitize species of *Grindelia* in North and South America, respectively. Chronograms for both the tribe Orobancheae (*Aphyllon* and its holoparasitic relatives) and *Grindelia* were constructed using fossil and secondary calibration points, one of which was an inferred horizontal gene transfer event from an ancient leguminous host into the common ancestor of *Orobanche* and *Phelipanche*. These chronograms were used to reconstruct the historic biogeography using a dispersal-extinction-cladogenesis model. The dispersal of host and parasite lineages were both found to be from North America to South America, recapitulating a biogeographical pattern seen in many other lineages of land plants, with the dispersal of the *Aphyllon* lineage parasitic on *Grindelia* taking place somewhat after *Grindelia* began to diversify in South America. From a methodological standpoint, this study also demonstrates the general utility of using horizontal gene transfer events from well-dated clades to calibrate phylogenies in the absence of a fossil record.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Table of Contents	i
Acknowledgements	iii

CHAPTER 1:

Flowering time evolution of the California flora is independent of serpentine endemism

Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Materials and Methods	3
Results	6
Discussion	7
Conclusions	10
Acknowledgements	11
Literature Cited	11
Tables	16
Figures	19

CHAPTER 2:

Host-endemism and cryptic host-specific diversity among New World broomrapes

Abstract	23
Introduction	24
Materials and Methods	25
Results	
Discussion	
Conclusions	
Acknowledgements	
Literature Cited	
Tables	
Figures	

CHAPTER 3:

Resurrection of the genus Aphyllon

Abstract	43
Introduction	44
Materials and Methods	44
Results and Discussion	45
Key to sections of <i>Aphyllon</i>	46
Taxonomic Treatment	46
Acknowledgements	51
Literature Cited	51
Figures	53
Literature Cited Figures	51 53

CHAPTER 4:

Parallel Pleistocene amphitropical disjunctions of a parasitic plant and its host

Abstract	54
Introduction	55
Materials and Methods	56
Results	58
Discussion	59
Conclusions	62
Acknowledgements	63
Literature Cited	63
Tables	66
Figures	68

APPENDICES:

Appendix A	
Appendix B	
Appendix C	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This dissertation would not be possible without the support, encouragement, and guidance of family, friends, mentors, colleagues, teachers, strangers, and funding agencies. To them, much thanks and credit are due.

Thanks to my advisor, Bruce Baldwin, both a master and a student of the California flora, for his unyielding support, guidance, time, and understanding during all stages of my graduate career. Additional thanks to my dissertation and qualifying exam committee members, Brent Mishler, Kip Will, David Ackerly, Alison Colwell, and Rosie Gillespie, who challenged me to understand more deeply, to know more broadly, and to think more creatively. I hope just a little of their brilliance has rubbed off on me.

Alison Colwell deserves additional credit for inoculating my mind and garden with parasitic plants. Much of the research presented here would not be possible without access to her dozens of *Aphyllon* collections and DNA extractions, and her deep knowledge of, curiosity toward, and enthusiasm for these parasitic plants.

Tali Lee is one of my most valued mentors. Since I was a freshman at the University of Wisconsin–Eau Claire, she has nurtured my love and enthusiasm for the natural world, and continues to remind me how to harness this passion to be an excellent teacher and scholar. Thank you also to Jean Pretz, a wise and selfless mentor who has helped me navigate the culture of academia, and who I am lucky to call family.

A lecture by Linda Graham on the evolution of land plants first piqued my interest in plant systematics, but it was Joe Rohrer's dendrology class that truly nourished my interest in botany and taxonomy. Deb Freund brought me to the Galápagos for a summer internship at the Charles Darwin Research Station Herbarium, my first experience working at a natural history museum. Additional thanks due to my science teachers at all levels, particularly Derek Wagler, Debra Weitzel, Denise McCulley, and Erin Parcher-Wartes.

Throughout my graduate tenure, I have been amazed at the talent and kindness of my colleagues in the Department of Integrative Biology, who have shared their knowledge and resources, particularly my labmates Matt Guilliams and Will Freyman, and many others. Thanks also to Bridget Wessa for keeping the molecular labs meticulously organized and maintained, as well as Lydia Smith, who provided me access to the Evolutionary Genomics Lab. Thank you also to the fantastic and dedicated staff at the UC and Jepson Herbaria, whose support in using the collections has been indispensable, especially Andrew Doran, Amy Kasameyer, Kim Kersh, and Ana Penny. Many other people and institutions have provided support for particular aspects of the research and are credited at the end of each chapter.

I am also grateful for the opportunity to teach at UC Berkeley, and those who have supported me in developing my teaching abilities. Linda von Hoene, director of the Graduate Student Instructor Teaching and Resource Center, has developed an impressive array of workshops to improve my pedagogy. Jill Marchant, Katrina Munsterman, and Cameron Bronstein from the Integrative Biology instructional support team have kept the botany labs fully stocked with living and dead specimens.

I have had the privilege of working with five remarkable undergraduates. Harold Chun, Kaitlyn Lee, Amanda Seng, Eddie Tian, and Songyi Xu have been a pleasure to have in the lab, and have contributed greatly to this research.

I am also indebted (hopefully not literally) to the following funding sources: the Larry Heckard Memorial Fund of the Jepson Herbarium, which awarded me my first research grant, as well as the UC Berkeley College of Letters and Science (Leeper Fund) and the Integrative Biology Department, the California Native Plant Society (Doc Burr Grant), and East Bay and Santa Clara Valley Chapters, and the National Science Foundation (DEB-1601504). Thank you also to the taxpayers of California and Wisconsin for their support of public education.

Thanks to all who provided me with a community outside of University of California Berkeley. The saints at Christ Lutheran Church and University Lutheran Chapel have seen me through all the joys and tribulations of the last five years. It has also been a great joy to be a part of the Albany Jazz Band and Albany Community Orchestra.

Finally, my greatest appreciation goes to my mother and father, Denise and Bob Schneider, my brother Lucas, and my extended family. They were the first to introduce me to the outdoors, have nurtured my curiosity, and are a steady source of encouragement, love, guidance, and support wherever the currents of life take me.

And to Nature, my teacher and muse.

CHAPTER 1:

Flowering time evolution of the California flora is independent of serpentine endemism

ABSTRACT

Comparative phylogenetic tests have been only recently applied to the many hypotheses about the role ultramafic (serpentine) soils play in the evolution and ecology of regional floras. An association between serpentine tolerance and early flowering has been observed at microevolutionary scales but not yet tested broadly across angiosperms. I used both hypothesis testing (phylogenetically independent contrasts) and model-selection approaches to compare published data of serpentine tolerance with flowering time using phylogenetic trees representing 24 clades of plants representing 27 genera and 17 families.

A total of 126 independent contrasts revealed no significant difference in flowering times across all clades or within any one clade except for the Thelypodieae (in which flowering time of serpentine-tolerant lineages was later). Similarly, an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model with one optimal flowering time was preferred over a model with separate optima depending on serpentine tolerance in nearly all genera.

A phylogenetically uncorrected ANOVA found that serpentine tolerant species have an earlier distribution of flowering times compared to both endemic and non-tolerant species, and median flowering times of non-tolerant species show a bimodal distribution. Therefore, I conclude that long-term evolutionary responses of flowering time in a lineage that becomes tolerant of serpentine are variable across genera, with no significant overall bias towards earlier or later flowering. However, given that close relatives of serpentinetolerant lineages tend to also flower relatively early, early flowering time may serve as an exaptation that allows species to better colonize serpentine habitats. In combination with previously published ecotype studies, these results illustrate the eco-evolutionary scale dependence of flowering time.

INTRODUCTION

Varied and contrasting edaphic regimes have long been understood as having a major influence on biodiversity and trait evolution at both large and small scales, particularly in plants. Continental-scale phylogenetic turnover and community structuring can be partly explained by soil gradients (Fine and Kembel 2011). At the population level, differences in soil type have been shown to be an ecological filter and a strong isolating mechanism of plant lineages even at short distances (MacNair and Christie 1983, Gardner and MacNair 2000, Moyle et al. 2012). Consequently, edaphic specialization has long been cited as a major factor governing plant distribution, reproductive isolation, and lineage splitting within genera (Humboldt and Bonpland 1805, Wallace 1895, Rajakaruna 2003, Baldwin 2005, Yost et al. 2012).

One of the starkest edaphic regimes is derived from ultramafic rock formations. These soils, broadly referred to as serpentine soils, are characterized by low calcium to magnesium ratios, generally low concentrations of plant essential macronutrients (N, P, K), and high concentrations of heavy metals (e.g. Mg, Fe, Ni). In addition to the harsh chemistry, serpentine soils show elevated soil temperatures and erosion compared to nearby nonserpentine areas (Walker 1954, Kruckeberg 2002). Serpentine soils are also thought to be more xeric (Walker 1954, Kruckeberg 2002, Harrison 2013), though this has been disputed by Raven and Axelrod (1978) and others (Eskelinen and Harrison 2015). In any case, the challenging serpentine-associated conditions for plants have led to distinctive floras on six continents (Kruckeberg 1985, Rajakaruna et al. 2009). In the tropical floras of Cuba and New Caledonia, 27% and 50% of endemic taxa are also endemic to serpentine soils. Among temperate regions, California has the most species-rich serpentine flora. Approximately 10% of California's endemic plant taxa are endemic to serpentine soils although those habitats represent less than 1.5% of the state's surface area (Kruckeberg 1985, Safford et al. 2005). These factors, in combination with a robust history of botanical study, have contributed to the region's prominence as a model system for studying the evolutionary ecology of serpentine floras (Anacker 2011).

Given the intense selective regime imposed by serpentine soils, botanists and ecologists have long sought to identify morphological, physiological, and phenological adaptations that permit colonization of serpentine soils (e.g. Wulff 1943, Kruckeberg 1954, 1985, Wright et al. 2006, O'Dell and Rajakaruna 2011), as well as their genetic basis (e.g. Gailing et al. 2004). Studies in California and elsewhere have found that serpentine plants have increased tolerance to drought and heavy metal accumulation, reduced leaf size, shorter stature, elevated root to shoot ratios, greater tolerance and lower absorption of Mg, lower Ca levels but higher absorption, and earlier flowering phenology (Kruckeberg 1954, Schmitt 1980, Lee et al. 1997; Tyndall and Hull 1999; reviewed by Brady et al. 2005). However, very few of these hypotheses have been tested in a comparative phylogenetic framework. Independently comparing species on and off of serpentine soils does not account for their shared evolutionary history (Felsenstein 1985). Recent efforts have begun to address these deficiencies, especially in regards to macroevolution and diversification in California (e.g. Anacker et al. 2011, Anacker and Harrison 2012). However, more research is required to better understand trait evolution in these systems.

The focus of the present study was to test the hypothesis that the flowering time of plant lineages that invade serpentine soils is consistently earlier compared to non-

serpentine plants. This has been a long-standing claim based mostly on observations of ecotypes that flower earlier on serpentine soils than do their non-serpentine conspecifics (Schmitt 1980, Brady et al. 2005). Serpentine soils are generally rocky and low in clay and organic matter, and therefore may desiccate more rapidly than non-serpentine soils. Accordingly, plants on California serpentines may preempt the earlier onset of summer drought conditions by flowering earlier, with a stronger shift expected among serpentine endemic taxa, which persist only on serpentine, than serpentine tolerant taxa, which are found in both serpentine and non-serpentine habitats. Here, I tested this flowering-time hypothesis using phylogenetically independent contrasts (PICs) and comparisons between three models of flowering-time evolution: (1) a Brownian motion (BM) model representing only genetic drift; (2), an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model, which generalizes the BM model to include the effects of stabilizing selection on a given lineage, and; (3), and a multipleoptimum Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model, sometimes called a Hansen model, in which different trait optima (i.e. selective regimes) are permitted on different branches of the phylogeny. In the context of this study, the third model allowed for different flowering time optima between lineages growing on and off of serpentine soils. Finally, I use non-phylogenetic comparisons among flowering times of California native taxa to see if the ecotype-scale observations of earlier flowering time can be generalized to broad patterns across a regional flora, irrespective of evolutionary history.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clade selection—Clade selection was based on three criteria: (1) at least one taxon of each clade must be endemic to serpentine soils in California, (2), a published molecular phylogeny including both plastid and nuclear sequence data and extensive taxonomic sampling must already exist, and (3) clades must represent independent origins of serpentine tolerance, such that no two sampled clades are sister to, or nested within, each other. Twenty-four clades were found to meet these criteria, including four of the top-five most important and four of the top-five most diverse serpentine genera in California (Safford et al. 2005). The fifth clade, *Hesperolinon*, has unclear taxonomic boundaries and phylogenetic relationships as a result of a recent, rapid radiation, making reliable ancestralstate reconstructions impractical (Schneider et al. 2016). Twenty-two of these clades were used by Anacker et al. (2011) as part of a large analysis of diversification onto serpentine soils. Phylogenies for the remaining clades were published subsequently: the Eriogonoideae (Kempton 2012) and Streptanthoid complex (= Thelypodieae) (Cacho et al. 2014). Tree topologies and branch lengths used from the studies of Anacker et al. (2011) and Kempton (2012) reflect a majority-rule consensus tree, whereas the Thelypodieae phylogeny of Cacho et al. (2014) is the maximum clade credibility tree from a BEAST analysis (see the original studies for full details).

I made several modifications to these phylogenies: Conspecific (or convarietal) terminals resolved as a clade were pruned to a single exemplar per taxonomic species, subspecies, or variety to match the resolution of trait data. Phylogenetic trees were made ultrametric using Sanderson's (2002) semi-parametric penalized likelihood algorithm implemented in the 'ape' package version 3.0-7 (Paradis et al. 2004) of the statistical

program R version 2.15.1 (R Core Team 2012). The smoothing parameter, λ , was selected for each clade using a cross-validation algorithm. Outgroup taxa and ingroup tips for which no flowering time data were available (or in the case of a few Eriogonoideae, flower yearround) were pruned following the rate smoothing but prior to comparative analyses.

Trait data—Serpentine tolerance and serpentine endemism were treated as binary characters. Serpentine endemism was defined as taxa being naturally restricted to ultramafic soils, whereas serpentine tolerance was defined as any persistence on ultramafic soils regardless of where else the plants may be found and therefore also includes all serpentine endemic taxa. Character determinations followed a simplification of the ternary classification of Anacker *et al.* (2011) and Cacho et al. (2014), who relied on a database of serpentine affinity based on peer-reviewed and gray literature, expert assessment and observations, and herbaria records (Safford et al. 2005). Taxa not included in previous phylogenetic analyses were assessed for serpentine tolerance following Safford et al. (2005) and taxon descriptions in the revised *Jepson Manual* (TJM2; Baldwin et al. 2012).

Flowering time was treated as a continuous character by determining the midpoint of the flowering range as described by experts in published floras, similar to Bolmgren et al. (2003). Flowering time onset or conclusion are two other common ways to measure flowering phenology. However flowering time midpoint is probably a more stable measure of phenology than either onset or end of flowering time, which can be affected by population size (CaraDonna et al. 2014), and better reflective of the central tendency in the absence of detailed surveys of field populations or museum specimens, Flowering times of species native or naturalized to California, representing over 91% of study taxa, were taken from TJM2. Most of the remaining flowering time data came from the Flora of North America North of Mexico (FNA; Flora of North America Editorial Committee 1993+), with <1% from other sources such as the Flora of Baja California (Wiggins 1980), Flora of China (Zhengyi et al. 1994+, Brach and Song 2006), SEINnet (www.swbiodiversity.org), or the Lady Bird Johnson Wildflower Center (www.wildflower.org). Taxon nomenclature was standardized throughout. The resolution of flowering time ranges was usually month-tomonth, resulting in semi-monthly (occasionally weekly) resolution of flowering time midpoint. All taxa included in this study were reported to have a unimodal distribution of flowering time.

Acknowledging the limitations inherent in this approach, including the coarseness of time record, and inability to control for latitude or elevation at the population level, flowering ranges from regional floras were used instead of mining herbarium record data for four reasons. First, substantial spatial, temporal, and taxonomic collection biases may exist in collections data, particularly across the geographic scales in this study. Using published floras allows for standardization across taxa, and expert understanding, which may offset some of these biases. Second, in some lineages floral morphology is not taxonomically diagnostic. For example, many Apiaceae are distinguished by fruit characters, so this phenophase may be overrepresented in herbaria collections. Unless the data were filtered, this would bias these records towards (in this example) later flowering. Third, published floras generally report longer flowering-time durations than direct analysis of herbarium data supports, perhaps due to the coarseness of the data, or temporal sampling bias in herbaria collections (Bolmgren et al. 2003, Bolmgren and Lönnberg 2005). Finally, the taxonomic and geographic scope of this study (1205 taxa across North America) is much greater than other studies that have effectively used herbarium records to estimate phenological trends, generally from one or several well-curated herbaria (e.g. Primack et al. 2004, Lavoie and Lechance 2006, Calinger et al. 2013). Though most herbarium records in California have been digitized, records from neighboring states range from very low to moderate (Taylor 2014). However, rapid progress is being made in digitizing collection data, which hopefully will overcome this limitation in the future to the extent that it is not driven by collection bias.

Two species of *Ericameria* included in the analysis are spring-flowering while all other sampled taxa in the genus are fall-flowering; only the fall-flowering species were included. Whether those two species were scored as earlier or later did not qualitatively affect the results of independent contrasts; however, these taxa were pruned from the phylogenies and omitted from the model-testing analyses. Several species in the Eriogonoideae that flower year-round were also removed from analysis.

Trait data is presented in Appendix A and is also available as a .csv file with the published open-access version of this chapter at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.1767/full.

Phylogenetic tests—The effect of serpentine tolerance on flowering time was tested using both PICs and likelihood comparisons between models that account for shifts in flowering time and those that do not. Blomberg's K statistic was also calculated for each genus to assess phylogenetic signal in flowering time at the within-clade level using the R package phytools (v. 0.5-64; Revell 2012). Significance testing was performed using a nonparametric tip randomization (1000 replicates).

Independent contrasts were performed using the 'aot' module in the program Phylocom 4.2 (Webb et al. 2008). This program allows for comparisons between a discrete independent, predictor variable (serpentine tolerance) and a continuous dependent variable (flowering time). Significance testing was done using a Wilcoxon signed-rank test on contrasts from each clade individually, as well as all contrasts together because of low sample sizes in the within-clade analysis, and because when pooled the data are not normally distributed (p< 0.001, Shapiro-Wilk normality test). To test whether lineages with a stronger relationship to serpentine were more likely to have different flowering time, analyses were repeated with taxa scored in two different ways: (1) serpentine endemics versus non-endemics, and (2) serpentine tolerant taxa (which includes all endemics) versus non-serpentine taxa. In both cases, trait values for serpentine tolerance were coded such that a positive contrast represents later flowering of the serpentine tolerant lineage, and a negative contrast represents earlier flowering. Although PICs assume trait evolution follows a BM model, this method has been found to be quite robust to violations of the BM assumption and branch length (Diaz-Uriatre and Garland 1996, Ackerly 2000) and my results from these analyses are consistent with the model-based approach also presented below.

Evolutionary model testing was conducted in R using the OUCH package version 2.8-2 (Butler and King 2004). Log-likelihoods were calculated for three different models of flowering time evolution: a one-parameter BM model, in which trait evolution follows a random walk, a two-parameter OU model with a single evolutionary optimum for flowering time, and a three-parameter OU model that estimates separate optimal flowering times for

lineages on and off of serpentine. Because I was only interested in the shift of mean flowering time and not changes in other parameters caused by edaphic shifts (i.e. for attraction, α , and drift, σ^2), I did not use the more general model of Beaulieu et al. (2012). Ancestral character states of serpentine tolerance were reconstructed using a maximum likelihood analysis implemented in the R package 'picante' (Kembel et al. 2010) using either a symmetric or asymmetric rate matrix depending on the results of a likelihood ratio test. A preliminary study found that the ancestral-state reconstructions were relatively robust to the smoothing parameter (data not shown). The genus *Orthocarpus* was omitted from this analysis because it included only one serpentine tolerant taxon.

Non-phylogenetic comparisons—In order to test the generalizability of the observation that serpentine floras flower earlier than non-serpentine floras, regardless of evolutionary history, nonphylogenetically corrected comparisons of serpentine endemic, tolerant, and non-tolerant species were made using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Bonferroni-corrected Wilcoxan signed-rank tests were used to determine significant differences in average flowering time among soil regimes. All 24 clades used in the phylogenetic analyses were used, but with complete sampling of all species, subspecies, and varieties native to California (i.e. minimally ranked taxa). Taxa not native to California were excluded so that a single source (TJM2) could be used for all flowering time data and to geographically constrain the area of comparison. Two additional genera that show extensive diversity on serpentine but lack suitable phylogenetic data were also included (*Lomatium* (Apiaceae) and *Packera* (Asteraceae)), resulting in a total of 1088 minimally ranked taxa, or 20% of California's native angiosperm flora.

RESULTS

Independent contrasts—A total of 126 independent contrasts of flowering time from 24 clades of angiosperms containing 896 operational taxonomic units were identified. Lineages with serpentine tolerance were found to have flowering times slightly later than their non-serpentine sister lineages ($+0.15 \pm 0.10$ months later, mean \pm SE; Wilcoxon signed-rank test P = 0.04; Fig. 1.1a). However, this difference was driven mostly by a strong shift to later flowering time in serpentine-tolerant lineages within the Thelypodieae ($+0.9 \pm 0.2$ months; P = 0.002), as flowering time shifts in serpentine-tolerant lineages from all remaining clades were not significantly different ($+0.1 \pm 0.1$ months; P=0.16). At 42 nodes the serpentine tolerant lineages flowered earlier than their non-serpentine sister, at 67 nodes the serpentine tolerant lineages flowered later, and at 17 nodes there was no difference. Considered individually, no clade besides Thelypodieae showed a significant difference in flowering time (Table 1.1), although sample sizes (transitions between serpentine and non-serpentine states) were generally low. Two genera (*Layia* and *Orthocarpus*) had only one contrast apiece and therefore significance testing was not possible.

Considering only serpentine endemics, I found a similar stasis in flowering time (Fig. 1.1b). Only 48 contrasts were recovered: 13 in which the serpentine endemic lineage flowers earlier, 27 in which it flowers later, and eight with no difference. Taken together,

serpentine endemics have a non-significantly later flowering time (0.27 ± 0.13 months later; P = 0.067). For most clades, within-group analyses were not possible due to a dearth of transitions to serpentine endemism (<2). One exception was in the Thelypodieae, in which serpentine-endemic lineages flower nearly a month later than their non-endemic sister lineages (+0.86 ± 0.25 months; P= 0.04).

Model comparisons—Of the three models of flowering time evolution tested, the preferred model for 18 of 23 clades was the single-optimum OU model, based on Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) scores (Fig. 1.2, Table 1.2). Using the Schwartz Information Criterion (SIC), which gives a higher penalty for over-parameterization, the single optimum OU model was preferred over the Hansen model in all but three clades. Among those for which the two-optimum Hansen model was preferred over the single-optimum OU model, the direction in flowering-time shift was variable. Only in *Cirsium* and Thelypodieae were the Δ AIC scores greater than 3, but the trait shifts were in opposite directions: Serpentine-tolerant lineages showing an earlier trait optimum in *Cirsium* but later in Thelypodieae (Fig. 1.2). Likewise, when only the two-optimum among serpentine lineages in nine of 23 genera and a shift to later flowering time among serpentine lineages in the remaining 14. The BM model was preferred for *Trichostema, Layia,* and *Arctostaphylos*, though this may be an artifact of limited phylogenetic resolution or small phylogenetic trees. Estimated parameters for each clade are shown in Tables 1.2 and 1.3.

Phylogenetic signal in flowering time— Phylogenetic signal in flowering time among genera, as measured by Blomberg's K statistic was variable, but consistently less than 1, and significantly so for 8 of the 25 genera (Table 1.1). Blomberg's K was not correlated with the number of tips ($R^2 = 0.46$; F=2.179, P = 0.15).

Non-phylogenetic comparisons— Specialization to serpentine soils was found to affect flowering time (one way ANOVA, F=3.28, d.f.= 2, p=0.04). Taxa that are serpentine tolerant but not endemic flower earlier than both endemic taxa (Bonferroni-corrected Wilcoxan signed rank test p<0.02) and non-tolerant taxa (P< 0.01; Fig. 1.3), whereas serpentine endemics flower at the same time as their congeners found off of serpentine. For clade-specific results see Fig. 1.4.

DISCUSSION

Patterns of flowering time evolution—Natural selection can lead to directional evolution (Franks et al. 2007) or stasis (Evans et al. 1989) in flowering time. My results are consistent with selection acting upon flowering time, as evolutionary models that accounted for selection (OU models) were generally supported over non-adaptive Brownian motion models of evolution (Table 1.2). However, I found little to no evidence of selection causing a shift in flowering time of lineages on serpentine soils at the species level and above (Table 1.1, Table 1.2, Fig. 1.1, Fig. 1.2). Depending on the clade, serpentine habitats can select for either earlier *or* later flowering in serpentine tolerant lineages, but

most commonly neither (Table 1.2, Fig. 1.2). Although lineages that are "serpentine tolerant" (i.e. with populations on and off of serpentine soils) flower significantly earlier than both serpentine endemics and species excluded from serpentine, (Fig. 1.3), these differences disappear when comparisons are controlled for shared evolutionary history.

While these data do not support the hypothesis that movement of a lineage onto or off of serpentine results in a unidirectional shift towards earlier or later flowering time in angiosperms, they should not be interpreted as evidence against the effect of edaphic factors on flowering time, just that patterns across scales and across clades are complex, with many processes acting independently, as evidenced by several genera in which a two optimum model is strongly favored (Fig. 1.2) but with opposing shifts. Flowering time is a relatively labile trait, with low phylogenetic signal. Blomberg's K statistic is lower than 1 in all 24 clades except for a single case (Trichostema, Table 1.1), indicating elevated trait evolution relative to what would be expected under a BM model. This is consistent with other regional-level studies of flowering plants (Du et al. 2015). Consequently, a real shift at very fine-scale phytogeographic levels, such as those observed in numerous ecotype studies of serpentine tolerant species such as Gilia capitata, Leptosiphon androsaceus, L. bicolor, the Mimulus guttatus complex, and Collinsia sparsiflora (Schmitt 1980, 1983, Brady et al. 2005; Wright et al. 2006) would not be observed, or evolutionarily relevant, at the deeper phylogenetic levels studied here. If this is the case, then these results are an example of discordance between microevolutionary process and macroevolutionary patterns (Jablonski 2007).

Four alternative explanations consistent with these data are discussed below. While it may be relatively straightforward to test them in particular cases, the large diversity across angiosperms makes it unlikely that a single explanation will apply universally. It remains a difficult task to determine the most important factors involved in the evolution of flowering time on serpentine, and to tease apart their relative effects so extrapolating the trends seen in fine-scale studies should be done with extreme caution.

Countergradient variation—Countergradient variation is a pattern in which genetic influences on phenotype negatively co-vary with environmental effects on phenotype, resulting in minimal change in a given trait value over the gradient (Conover and Schultz 1995). In other words, phenotype shows minimal change because the differences in environmental and genetic contributions to phenotype between two ecotypes counteract each other. This process has been documented in over 60 species including serpentine and non-serpentine ecotypes (Conover et al. 2009). A full factorial study of riparian and serpentine ecotypes of the annual *Helianthus exilis* grown in potting soil and serpentine soil found that although serpentine genotypes took less time to flower compared to riparian genotypes grown in the same soil, both genotypes flowered earlier when grown on riparian soil compared to serpentine soil (Sambatti and Rice 2007). In this case, within a genotype, the environmental factors promoted later flowering when grown on serpentine, but within a phenotype, genetic differences caused earlier flowering of serpentine-adapted races. Curiously, a reverse example of countergradient variation was found in a reciprocal fieldtransplant study of Collinsia sparsiflora: serpentine genotypes flowered significantly later than non-serpentine genotypes when grown together but, regardless of genotype, transplants in serpentine soils flowered earlier (Wright et al. 2006). This finding is consistent with other reports of earlier-flowering serpentine ecotypes or populations (e.g.

Dyer et al. 2010). One key difference between the *H. exilis* and *C. sparsiflora* studies is that plants in the former study were kept well-watered with distilled water throughout the duration of the experiment. The delay in flowering observed by Sambatti and Rice may have resulted from delayed ontogeny due to edaphic factors such as low soil fertility (Walker 1954, Kruckeberg 1985, Cooke 1994). It is unclear the role that countergradient evolution plays at deeper taxonomic levels, but this phenomenon may contribute to the large number of serpentine/non serpentine sister lineages that show no difference in flowering time (Fig. 1.1).

Environmental heterogeneity within serpentine outcrops — This present study is limited to clades of plants important to the California flora and it is important to point out that serpentine soils (and consequently serpentine tolerant species) are not evenly distributed across the landscape. Serpentine soils are most abundant in northern and central montane California, including the Sierra Nevada, Klamath Ranges, and Coast Ranges (Kruckeburg 1985), and at elevations between 300 and 2300 meters (Burge and Salk 2014). However, aside from their parent material serpentine soils and outcrops show great variability, even edaphically— worldwide, 11 of the 12 major soil orders include some ultramafic soil types; (Rajakaruna and Bohm 1999, Jurjavcic et al. 2002, Alexander et al. 2007). Even within California, variation in aspect, topography, and hydrology can provide a number of different environments in a single patch of serpentine (Rajakaruna and Bohm 1999). This variation may be partially responsible for the conflicting information in the literature regarding water availability on serpentine compared to nearby non-serpentine soils, and likely responsible for variability in flowering time response (Raven and Axelrod 1978, Brady et al. 2005, Alexander et al. 2007). While serpentine soils generally have similar water holding capacity compared to non-serpentine soils (Burt et al. 2001), in western North America such soils are usually much rockier compared to other areas. Consequently, they may be better drained, with less soil to hold water per unit volume, factors that may contribute to the bareness of habitats associated with serpentine soils (Alexander et al. 2007, Cacho and Strauss 2014). However, Raven and Axelrod (1978) noted that some intermittent streams persist longer in the dry season in serpentine areas compared to elsewhere, and recent studies have demonstrated greater variance in both soil water capacity and plant cover on serpentine compared to non-serpentine soils (Harrison et al. 2004, Eskelinen and Harrison 2015). This is generally because such streams often have a fracture-driven hydrology rather than the more common pore-driven hydrology (Alexander et al. 2007). In this diversity of habitats it is possible for a clade to invade serpentine but not necessarily encounter a drier habitat. For example, the serpentine tolerant Helianthus exilis and serpentine endemic Cirsium fontinale are restricted to moist seeps that may remain wetter longer than sites in adjacent habitats. Therefore, even accepting the hypothesis that water availability plays a large role in flowering time differences, heterogeneity in hydrological regimes would be expected to result in heterogeneous responses in flower phenology such as those observed in this study.

Biotic factors— Water availability is broadly recognized as a crucial abiotic factor in shaping serpentine soil communities and plant evolution (Kruckeberg 1985, Brady et al. 2005, Anacker and Harrison 2012). However, flowering phenology may respond more strongly to other biotic or abiotic factors in a given system. Increasing attention has been

given to the role of pollinators, herbivores, and other biotic agents in affecting flowering phenology (Elzinga et al. 2007). Biotic interactions may put constraints on flowering time evolution or counteract selection of abiotic conditions (Evans et al. 1989, Pilson 2000, Levin 2006). Other times, biotic and abiotic factors can independently lead to convergence in plant traits. For example, sclerophylly, glaucousness, level of pubescence, decrease in specific leaf area, shrubbiness, and increase in root to shoot biomass ratios are associated with both herbivore resistance and xeric or low-nutrient environments, like serpentine soils (Brady et al. 2005, Alexander et al. 2007). The ways in which interactions between abiotic and biotic factors affect plant phenology in serpentine systems remain poorly studied and it may be that the evolutionary factors that drive plant phenology at deeper phylogenetic timescales studied here differ from those at fine (e.g. ecotypic) scales.

Earlier flowering time: an exaptation?— The difference in the distribution of flowering times between tolerant and both endemic and non-tolerant lineages noted in Fig. 1.3 is not independent of phylogeny. That is, species with earlier flowering are marginally more likely to persist on serpentine, although this is variable by clade. Therefore, instead of serpentine acting as a key selective agent following colonization, an earlier-flowering species may simply be more successful at initially colonizing serpentine. The key drivers of both species and trait composition in an area vary over spatial, temporal, and phylogenetic scales (Swenson et al. 2006, Cavender-Bares et al. 2009), and it is possible that earlier flowering time may have an ecological role and a short-term evolutionary role by isolating divergent populations, but little long-term evolutionary role at deeper time scales. This may explain why the distribution of serpentine endemic flowering times is similar to that of non-tolerant plants. Except in the case of founder-event speciation, a serpentine endemic can be thought of as a serpentine tolerant lineage that has been extirpated from non-serpentine soils (Anacker et al. 2011).

Among the clades studied, flowering times of non-tolerators formed a bimodal distribution (Fig. 1.3), consistent with reported patterns in the eastern United States, the Rocky Mountains, and Japan, (Kochmer and Handel 1986, Aldridge et al. 2011, CaraDonna et al. 2014). It is possible that non-tolerant lineages that show earlier peak flowering are more likely to give rise to serpentine tolerant lineages than those that flower later in the season. This hypothesis is supported by the phylogenetically corrected results, which suggest no difference between serpentine tolerant lineages and their closest relatives (Table 1.1, Fig. 1.1).

CONCLUSIONS

Phylogenetically independent contrasts and comparisons among three models of flowering time evolution both suggest that serpentine tolerance is not correlated with a unidirectional shift in flowering time. Comparing the results of phylogenetic methods with non-phylogenetic methods can provide stronger insight into the evolutionary ecology of unique edaphic conditions more than either can alone. Although serpentine tolerant plants show a slightly earlier distribution of flowering times than non-tolerant plants, this pattern is likely a result of ecological filtering, and not post-colonization adaptation. The contrast

between these results and finer-scale ecotype studies suggest scale dependence of the major evolutionary factors (biotic or abiotic) governing flowering time phenology and evolution in this and other systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A version of this chapter was previously published in the open-access journal *Ecosphere* (8:e01767; see <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.1767/full</u>) with support from the Berkeley Research Impact Initiative sponsored by the UC Berkeley Library. Thanks to David Ackerly, Bruce Baldwin, Will Freyman, Matt Guilliams, Susan Harrison, and Brent Mishler for discussion and suggestions at various stages of this research, and several anonymous referees for their evaluation and critiques. Brian Anacker, Ivalú Cacho, and Sharon Strauss graciously provided access to phylogenetic trees from their published studies.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ackerly, D. D. 2000. Taxon sampling, correlated evolution and independent contrasts. *Evolution* 54: 1480–1492.
- Aldridge, G., D. W. Inouye, J. R. K. Forrest, W. A. Barr, and A. J. Miller-Rushing. 2011. Emergence of a mid-season period of low floral resources in a montane meadow ecosystem associated with climate change. *Journal of Ecology* 99: 905–913.
- Alexander, E. B., R. G. Coleman, T. Keeler-Wolf, and S. P. Harrison. 2007. Serpentine geoecology of western North America: geology, soils, and vegetation. Oxford University Press, New York, NY
- Anacker, B. L. 2011. Phylogenetic patterns of endemism and diversity. Pages 49–70 *in* S.P. Harrison and N. Rajakaruna, eds. *Serpentine: The evolution and ecology of a model system.* University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Anacker, B. L., J. B. Whittall, E. E. Goldberg, and S. P. Harrison. 2011. Origin and consequences of serpentine endemism in the California flora. *Evolution* 65: 365–376.
- Anacker, B. L., and S. P. Harrison. 2012. Climate and the evolution of serpentine endemism in California. *Evolutionary Ecology* 26: 1011–1023.
- Baldwin, B. G. 2005. Origin of the serpentine-endemic herb *Layia discoidea* from the widespread *L. glandulosa* (Compositae). *Evolution* 59: 2473–2479.
- Baldwin, B. G., D. H. Goldman, D. J. Keil, R. Patterson, T. J. Rosatti, and D. H. Wilken, eds. 2012. *The Jepson manual: Vascular plants of California ed. 2*. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Beaulieu, J. M., D-C. Jhwueng, C. Boettiger, and B. C. O'Meara. 2012. Modeling stabilizing selection expanding the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model of adaptive evolution. *Evolution*. 66: 2369–2383.

- Bolmgren K, O. Eriksson, H. P. Linder. 2003. Contrasting flowering phenology and species richness in abiotically and biotically pollinated angiosperms. *Evolution* 57: 2001–2013.
- Bolmgren K, and K. Lönnberg. 2005. Herbarium data reveal and association between fleshy fruit type and earlier flowering time. *International Journal of Plant Science* 166: 663–670.
- Brady, K. U., A. R. Kruckeberg, and H. D. Bradshaw Jr. 2005. Evolutionary ecology of plant adaptation to serpentine soils. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics* 36: 243–266.
- Brach, A. R., and H. Song. 2006. eFloras: New directions for online floras exemplified by the Flora of China Project. *Taxon* 55: 188–192.
- Burge, D. O., and C. F. Salk. 2014. Climatic niche shifts in the serpentine soil flora of California. *Journal of Vegetation Science* doi:10.1111/jvs.12144
- Burt, R., M. Fillmore, M. A. Wilson, E. R. Gross, R. W. Langridge, and D. A. Lammers. 2001. Soil properties of selected pedons on ultramafic rocks in Klamath Mountains, Oregon. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 32: 215–2175.
- Butler, M. A., and A. A. King. 2004 Phylogenetic comparative analysis: a modeling approach for adaptive evolution. *American Naturalist* 164: 683–695.
- Cacho, N. I., A. M. Burrell, A. E. Pepper, and S. Y. Strauss. 2014. Novel nuclear markers inform the systematics and the evolution of *Streptanthus* and allies (Thelypodieae, Brassicaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 72: 71–81.
- Cacho, N.I., and Strauss S.Y. 2014. Occupation of bare habitats, an evolutionary precursor to soil specialization in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 111: 15132–15137.
- Calinger K. M., S. Queenborough, P. S. Curtis. 2013. Herbarium specimens reveal the footprint of climate change on flowering trends across north-central North America. *Ecology Letters* 16: 1037–1044.
- CaraDonna P. J., A. M. Iler, and D. W. Inouye. 2014. Shifts in flowering phenology reshape a subalpine plant community. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 111: 4016–4021.
- Cavender-Bares J., K. H. Kozak, P. V. A. Fine, and S. W. Kembel. 2009. The merging of community ecology and phylogenetic biology. *Ecology Letters* 12: 1–23.
- Conover, D. O., and E. T. Schultz. 1995. Phenotypic similarity and the evolutionary significance of countergradient variation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 10: 248–252.
- Conover, D. O., T. A. Duffy, and L. A. Hice. 2009. The covariance between genetic and environmental influences across ecological gradients: reassessing the evolutionary significance of countergradient and cogradient variation. Pages 100–129 *in* C. D. Schlicting, and T. A. Mosseau, eds, *Year in Evolutionary Biology*, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.
- Cooke S. S. 1994. *The edaphic ecology of two western North American composite species.* PhD thesis, University of Washington, Seattle.
- Diaz-Uriarte R. and T. Garland Jr. 1996. Testing hypotheses of correlated evolution using phylogenetically independent contrasts: sensitivity to deviations from Brownian motion. *Systematic Biology* 45: 27–47.

- Du, Y., L. Mao, S. A. Queenborough, R. P. Freckleton, B. Chen, and K. Ma. 2015. Phylogenetic constraints and trait correlates of flowering phenology in the angiosperm flora of China. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 24: 928–938.
- Dyer, A. R., C. S. Brown, E. K. Espeland, J. K., McKay, H. Meimber, and K. J. Rice. The role of adaptive trans-generational plasticity in biological invasions of plants. *Evolutionary Applications* 3: 179–193.
- Elzinga, J.A., A. Atlan, A. Biere, L. Gigord, A. E. Weis, and G. Bernasconi. 2007. Time after time: flowering phenology and biotic interactions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 22: 432–439.
- Eskelinen, A., and S. P. Harrison. 2015. Resource co-limitation governs plant community responses to altered precipitation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 112: 13009–13014.
- Evans E. W., C. C. Smith, and R. P. Gendron. 1989. Timing of reproduction in a prairie legume: seasonal impacts of insects consuming flowers and seeds. *Oecologia* 78: 220–230.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Phylogenies and the comparative method. *American Naturalist* 125: 1–15.
- Fine, P. V. A., and S. W. Kembel. 2011. Phylogenetic structure and phylogenetic turnover across space and edaphic gradients in Amazonian tree communities. *Ecography* 34: 552–565.
- Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. (1993+) *Flora of North America North of Mexico*. 20+ vols. New York and Oxford.
- Franks, S. J., S. Sim, and A. E. Weis. 2007. Evolution of flowering time by an annual plant in response to a climate fluctuation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 104: 1278–1282.
- Gailing O., M. R. Macnair, and K. Bachmann. 2004. QTL mapping for a trade-off between leaf and bud production in a recombinant inbred population of *Microseris douglasii* and *M. bigelovii* (Asteraceae, Lactuceae): a potential preadaptation for the colonization of serpentine soils. *Plant Biology* (Stuttgart) 6: 440–446.
- Gardner, M., M. R. Macnair. 2000. Factors affecting the co-existence of the serpentine endemic *Mimulus nudatus* Curran and its presumed progenitor, *Mimulus guttatus* Fischer ex DC. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 69: 443–459.
- Harrison, S. P. 2013. Plant and animal endemism in California. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Harrison, S. P., E. Damschen, A. Eskelinen, B. Fernandez-Going, and S. Copeland. 2014. Plant communities on infertile soils are resistant to climate change. *Annals of Botany* 116: 1017–1022.
- Jablonski, D. 2007. Scale and hierarchy in macroevolution. *Paleontology* 50:87–109.
- Jurjavcic, N., S. P. Harrison, and A. Wolf. 2002. Abiotic stress, competition, and the distribution of the native annual *Vulpia microstachys* in a mosaic environment. *Oecologia* 130: 555–562.
- Kembel, S.W., C. D. Cowan, M. R. Helmus, W. K. Cornwell, H. Morlon, D. D. Ackerly, S. P. Blomberg, and C. O. Webb. 2010. Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics* 26: 1463–1464.
- Kempton, E. A. 2012. Systematics of Eriogonoideae s.s. (Polygonaceae). *Systematic Botany* 37: 723–737.

Kochmer, J. P., and S. N. Handel. 1986. Constraints and competition in the evolution of flowering phenology. *Ecological Monographs* 56: 303–325.

- Kruckeberg, A. R. 1954. The ecology of serpentine soils: A symposium. III. Plant species in relation to serpentine soils. *Ecology* 35: 267–274.
- Kruckeberg, A. R. 1985. *California serpentines: flora, vegetation, geology, soils, and management problems*. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Kruckeberg, A. R. 2002. *Geology and plant life*. University of Washington Press, Seattle.
- Lavoie C., and D. Lechance. 2006. A new herbarium-based method for reconstructing the phenology of plant species across large areas. *American Journal of Botany* 93: 512–516.
- Lee, W. G., P. Bannister, J. B. Wilson, and A. F. Mark. 1997. Element uptake in an ultramafic flora, Red Mountain, New Zealand. *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Serpentine Ecology* 179–186.
- Levin, D. A. 2006. Flower phenology in relation to adaptive radiation. *Systematic Botany*, 31: 239–246.
- MacNair M. R., and P. Christie. 1983. Reproductive isolation as a pleiotropic effect of copper tolerance in *Mimulus guttatus*. *Heredity* 50: 295–302.
- Moyle, L. C., M. Levin, M. Stanton, and J. Wright. 2012. Hybrid sterility over tens of meters between ecotypes adapted to serpentine and non-serpentine soils. *Evolutionary Biology*, 39: 207–218.
- O'Dell R. E., and N. Rajakaruna. 2011. Intraspecific Variation, Adaptation, and Evolution. Pages 97–138 *in* S. P. Harrison and N. Rajakaruna, eds. *Serpentine: The evolution and Ecology of a model system.* University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20: 289–290.
- Pilson, D. 2000. Herbivory and natural selection on flowering phenology in wild sunflower, *Helianthus annuus. Oecologia* 122: 72–82.
- Primack, D., C. Imbres, R.B. Primack, A. J. Miller-Rushing, P. Del Tredici. 2004. Herbarium specimens demonstrate earlier flowering times in response to warming in Boston. *American Journal of Botany* 91: 1260–1264.
- R Core Team. 2012. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, http://www.R-project.org/.
- Rajakaruna, N. 2003. Edaphic differentiation in *Lasthenia*: a model for studies in evolutionary ecology. *Madroño* 50: 34–40.
- Rajakaruna, N., and B. A. Bohm. 1999. The edaphic factor and patterns of variation in Lasthenia californica (Asteraceae). *American Journal of Botany* 86: 1576–1596.
- Rajakaruna, N., T. B. Harris, and E. B. Alexander. 2009. Serpentine geoecology of Eastern North America: A Review. *Rhodora* 111: 21–108.
- Raven, P.H., and D. I. Axelrod 1978. *Origin and relationships of the California flora*. University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- Revell, L. J. 2012. Phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3: 217–223.
- Safford, H. D., J. H. Viers, and S. P. Harrison. 2005. Serpentine endemism in the California flora: a database of serpentine affinity. *Madroño* 52: 222–257.

- Sambatti, J. B. M., and K. J. Rice. 2007. Functional ecology of ecotypic differentiation in the Californian serpentine sunflower (*Helianthus exilis*). *New Phytologist* 175: 107–119.
- Sanderson M. J. 2002. Estimating absolute rates of molecular evolution and divergence times: a penalized likelihood approach. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 19: 101–109.
- Schmitt, J. 1980. *Pollinator foraging and evolution in flowering plant populations.* PhD thesis, Stanford University, Palo Alto.
- Schmitt, J. 1983. Density-dependent pollinator foraging, flowering phenology, and temporal pollen dispersal patterns in *Linanthus bicolor*. *Evolution* 37: 1247–1257.
- Schneider, A. C., W. A. Freyman, C. M. Guilliams, Y. P. Springer, and B. G. Baldwin. 2016. Pleistocene radiation of the serpentine-adapted genus *Hesperolinon* and other divergence times in Linaceae. *American Journal of Botany* 103: 221–232.
- Swenson, N. G., B. J. Enquist, J. Pither, J. Thompson, and J. K. Zimmerman. 2006. The problem and promise of scale dependency in community phylogenetics. *Ecology* 87: 2418–2424.
- Taylor, D. W. 2014. Large inequalities in herbarium specimen density in the western United States. *Phytoneuron* 2014-53: 1–8.
- Tyndall, R.W., and J. C. Hull 1999. Vegetation, flora, and plant physiological ecology of serpentine barrens of Eastern North America. Pages 67–82 *in* R. C. Anderson, J. S. Fralish, and J. M. Baskin, eds. *Savannas, barrens, and rock outcrop plant communities of North America*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- von Humboldt, A., and A. Bonpland 1805. Essai sur la géographie des plantes. Chez Levrault, Schoell et compagnie, libraries, Paris.
- Walker, R. B. 1954. The ecology of serpentine soils II. Factors affecting plant growth on serpentine. *Ecology* 35: 259–266.
- Wallace, A. R. 1895. The method of organic evolution. *Fortnightly Review* 57: 435–445.
- Webb, C. O., D.D. Ackerly, and S. W. Kembel. 2008. Phylocom: software for the analysis of phylogenetic community structure and character evolution. *Bioinformatics* 24: 2098–2100.
- Wiggins, I. L. 1980. *Flora of Baja California*. Stanford University Press, Palo Alto.
- Wright, J. W., M. L. Stanton, and R. Scherson. 2006. Local adaptation to serpentine and nonserpentine soils in *Collinsia sparsiflora*. *Evolution and Ecology Research* 8: 1–21.
- Wulff, E. V. 1943. *An introduction to historical plant geography* (transl. E. Brissenden). Chronica Botanica, Waltham.
- Yost, J. M., T. Barry, and K. M. Kay. 2012. Edaphic adaptation maintains the coexistence of two cryptic species on serpentine soils. *American Journal of Botany* 99: 890–897.
- Zhengyi, W., P. H. Raven, and H. Deyuan, eds. 1994+. *Flora of China*. 23+ vol. Science Press, Beijing, China, and Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

Table 1.1. Colonization of serpentine has a variable effect on flowering time in 24 clades of angiosperms, based on phylogenetically independent contrasts. For each of 24 clades, the following is shown: Blomberg's K statistic for flowering time and P-value (ns = $p \ge 0.1$), the number of phylogenetically independent contrasts, direction of average difference in flowering time of serpentine tolerant lineages compared to non-serpentine sister lineages, and associated P-values from the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. PICs were calculated using the 'aot' module of Phylocom 4.2. Significance testing was not performed on the serpentine endemic dataset due to a dearth of transitions.

		Blomb	erg's K							
		(Flow	ering	Serpentine tolerant			Serpentine endemic			
		Tin	ne)	VS. 1	vs. non-serpentine			vs. not		
					Flowering			Flowering		
	No.	К	Р	No.	time	D	No.	time		
Liade	0105	0.004	0.001	contrasts	difference	Р	contrasts	difference		
Allium	52	0.394	0.001	9	later	ns	5	later		
Aquilegia	19	0.953	ns	2	later	ns	1	later		
Arctostaphylos	46	0.988	ns	4	later	ns	3	none		
Balsamorhiza	18	0.537	ns	2	later	ns	1	later		
Calochortus	42	0.195	ns	8	later	ns	5	later		
Calycadenia	16	0.721	0.004	3	earlier	ns	2	later		
Ceanothus	52	0.643	0.001	9	later	ns	3	earlier		
Cirsium	46	0.336	0.086	6	later	ns	2	later		
Collinsia	17	0.522	0.074	6	later	ns	1	later		
Fricamoria1	22	0.536	ns	4	earlier	ns	1	earlier		
Encumenta	22	0.724	ns	4	later	ns	1	earlier		
Eriogonoideae	129	0.203	0.001	9	earlier	ns	3	later		
Erythronium	20	0.471	0.076	3	earlier	ns	1	none		
Iris	19	0.666	0.009	4	later	ns	2	earlier		
Layia	10	0.463	ns	1	later	ns	1	later		
Lessingia	24	0.563	0.057	2	later	ns	2	later		
Mimulus s.l.	71	0.163	ns	13	later	ns	1	none		
Navarretia	35	0.327	ns	4	earlier	ns	3	earlier		
Orthocarpus	5	0.729	ns	1	earlier	ns	1	earlier		
Perideridea	16	0.274	ns	4	later	ns	2	later		
Sanicula	14	0.253	ns	4	earlier	ns	1	later		
Sidalcea	40	0.510	0.053	6	earlier	ns	1	earlier		
Thelypodieae	51	0.722	0.019	8	later	0.002	4	later		
Trichostema	11	1.221	0.028	3	later	ns	1	later		
Trifolium	51	0.425	0.001	12	later	ns	1	later		

¹ Two taxa in *Ericameria* have spring flowering times while the rest flower in the fall. Analyses were repeated by coding this as a shift to earlier flowering time (top values) or to a later flowering time (bottom values).

Criterion;	ormation	, Akaike Inf	lihood; AIC), log-likel	n 1); ln(L	r (1.0=Ja	lendar yea	is of the ca	ed in month erion.	im, reporte lation Crite	ie optimu tz Inform	θ, flowering tim SIC, Schwar
160.84	156.98	-76.49	156.71	148.99	-70.49	5.06	6.32	157.75	151.95	-72.98	6.03	Trifolium
26.56	25.77	-10.88	32.88	31.29	-11.65	9.69	8.03	30.85	29.66	-11.83	8.12	Trichostema
170.88	167.01	-81.51	166.65	158.92	-75.46	6.73	5.34	168.64	162.84	-78.42	5.52	Thelypodieae
128.98	125.60	-60.80	128.54	121.78	-56.89	6.49	6.36	124.93	119.86	-56.93	6.39	Sidalcea
47.17	45.89	-20.95	38.88	36.33	-14.16	4.67	5.10	37.48	35.57	-14.78	4.81	Sanicula
51.72	50.17	-23.09	49.51	46.42	-19.21	7.61	6.46	48.85	46.53	-20.27	7.35	Perideridea
105.26	102.15	-49.07	92.37	86.15	-39.07	6.55	6.24	89.81	85.15	-39.57	6.31	Navarretia
266.46	261.94	-128.97	229.12	220.07	106.03	6.02	6.00	224.86	218.07	-106.04	6.00	Mimulus s.l.
70.00	67.64	-31.82	71.22	66.51	-29.25	9.37	8.61	69.27	65.73	-29.87	8.78	Lessingia
44.91	42.82	-19.41	54.06	49.88	-20.94	7.60	5.65	51.10	47.97	-20.98	5.66	Layia
43.29	41.40	-18.70	44.52	40.74	-16.37	5.64	5.60	41.59	38.75	-16.38	5.62	Iris
66.97	64.98	-30.49	68.44	64.46	-28.23	5.22	5.42	65.54	62.55	-28.28	5.33	Erythronium
472.15	466.43	-231.22	449.31	437.87	-214.94	7.35	7.26	444.46	435.88	-214.94	5.22	Eriogonoideae
59.93	57.94	-26.97	60.76	56.78	-24.39	9.61	9.53	57.77	54.78	-24.39	9.53	Ericameria
50.80	49.14	-22.57	51.43	48.09	-20.05	5.86	5.72	48.67	46.17	-20.09	5.78	Collinsia
101.89	98.95	-47.48	93.40	87.54	-39.77	6.83	7.86	95.89	91.50	-42.75	7.61	Cirsium
146.11	142.20	-69.10	151.60	143.79	-67.90	4.69	4.66	147.65	141.79	-67.90	4.67	Ceanothus
26.90	25.35	-10.68	28.94	25.85	-8.93	7.54	7.68	26.26	23.95	-8.97	7.63	Calycadenia
134.85	131.38	-63.69	118.53	111.58	-51.79	6.18	6.33	115.06	109.85	-51.93	6.23	Calochortus
38.89	37.11	-16.55	37.15	33.59	-12.79	5.80	6.10	35.52	32.85	-13.42	6.01	Balsamorhiza
127.46	123.80	-59.90	133.49	126.18	-59.09	3.44	2.91	132.53	127.05	-60.52	3.08	Arctostaphylos
48.08	46.19	-21.10	53.54	49.77	-20.88	7.24	7.26	50.60	47.77	-20.88	7.25	Aquilegia
154.26	150.36	-73.18	156.82	149.01	-70.51	5.87	6.34	153.37	147.51	-70.76	6.09	Allium
SIC	AIC	ln(L)	SIC	AIC	ln(L)	$\theta_{serp.}$	$\theta_{non-serp.}$	SIC	AIC	ln(L)	θ	Clade
n	nian motic	Brow		nsen)	ia OU (Hai	wo optin	Т			imum OU	One Opti	
	v. 2.15.1)	ogram R (atistical pr	of the sta	v. 2.8-2)	ackage (e OUCH pa	d using th	performe	'ses were	ls. Analy	both OU mode
ed over	s preferre	model was	n motion	Brownia	that the	indicate	ed values	Underlin	ed in bold.	ıs is printe	ach genu	criterion for e
ort	tch suppo	odel for ea	rted UU m	st-suppoi	g. The bes	owering	s are fall fi	the genus	led taxa in	her sampl	ise all oti	analysis becau
u irom uie	e omne	meria wei	es of Ericu	ug speci	B-110Me11	vo sprin	Inoder i v	יי נואם) ווטנ			ansen), a	serpenuie (na
7 4+ ~~~ +h ~	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		~~ ~ f Evino			-> >>>	<u>}</u> , 2, 1, 1,				· · · · · · · · ·	L) vertever

optimum, an OU model with separate optima for lineages that can grow on serpentine versus lineages excluded from

evolution on serpentine for each of 23 clades of angiosperms: An Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) model with a single flowering time Table 1.2. Estimated free parameters, log likelihoods, and information criteria of three possible models of flowering time **Table 1.3.** Estimated free parameters for three models of flowering time evolution on serpentine for 23 clades of angiosperms. The three models compared are an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) model with a single flowering time optimum, an OU model with separate optima for lineages growing on and off of serpentine (Hansen), and Brownian motion. Free parameters were estimated separately for each clade using the OUCH package (v. 2.8-2) of the statistical program R (v. 2.15.1).

	One op	timum	Two opt	Brownian	
	0	U	(Hans	motion	
Clade	α	σ^2	α	σ^2	σ^2
Allium	2.41	7.47	2.69	7.84	4.67
Aquilegia	2.83	2.99	2.84	2.99	0.59
Arctostaphylos	2.45	4.00	2.21	3.40	0.84
Balsamorhiza	138.74	72.22	73.00	35.42	0.79
Calochortus	26.14	36.98	28.60	39.97	4.83
Calycadenia	2.96	1.69	2.95	1.68	0.91
Ceanothus	1.39	3.39	1.39	3.38	2.05
Cirsium	6.06	11.10	8.92	12.97	3.78
Collinsia	6.42	8.50	7.45	9.70	1.97
Ericameria	3.29	4.77	3.26	4.73	1.98
Eriogonoideae	5.22	24.00	5.35	24.00	12.33
Erythronium	3.68	8.27	3.76	8.39	3.08
Iris	3.92	2.98	3.95	3.00	1.25
Layia	0.27	1.16	0.27	1.15	0.98
Lessingia	2.98	5.04	3.48	5.34	2.55
Mimulus s.l.	74.81	173.68	73.59	170.86	9.47
Navarretia	10.69	12.05	10.70	11.72	2.01
Perideridea	6.84	13.33	7.50	12.44	5.96
Sanicula	16.83	16.30	258.70	229.13	2.40
Sidalcea	3.48	7.66	3.52	7.72	2.91
Thelypodieae	2.70	7.66	3.53	8.43	3.56
Trichostema	0.55	1.45	0.59	1.44	1.05
Trifolium	2.62	7.81	3.36	8.38	4.03



Fig. 1.1. Distribution of phylogenetically independent contrasts (PICs) of average flowering time for 24 clades of angiosperms in which there was a transition between (**A**) serpentine tolerance and exclusively non-serpentine occurrence (N=126), and (**B**) serpentine endemism and non-endemism (N=48). Positive contrasts represent the number of months later that the tolerant or endemic lineage flowers compared to its non-serpentine or non-endemic sister lineage. Blue solid lines indicate a value of zero; red dashed lines indicate the mean of all contrasts. PICs were calculated using the aot module of Phylocom 4.2.



Serpentine optimum relative to non-serpentine

Fig. 1.2. Difference in estimated optimal flowering times of serpentine-tolerant lineages compared to non-tolerant lineages for 23 clades of angiosperms. Filled circles indicate clades for which a two-optimum model was preferred over a single-optimum OU model using the AIC, and circles are labeled if Δ AIC > 3.



Fig. 1.3. Density plot of median flowering times for 1088 minimal-ranked taxa from 26 distinct clades found in California. Separate curves indicate serpentine endemics (red solid line, n=94), non-endemic serpentine tolerant taxa (green dashed line, n=221), and non-tolerant taxa (blue dotted line, n=773). Asterisk indicates significantly different mean flowering time from other distributions (Bonferroni-corrected Wilcoxan signed rank test, p < 0.02).

Fig. 1.4. Median flowering time density plots for serpentine tolerant (including endemics; blue dashed line) and non-tolerant California serpentine but lack well-resolved phylogenies, are shown. 0.1). Colored bars represent flowering time ranges of each individual species. The genera Orthocarpus and Aquilegia were not plants (red solid line) of all California species in each of 24 clades of angiosperms (p-value from a two sample t-test shown if < included due to low sample sizes. Instead, *Lomatium* (Apiaceae) and *Packera* (Asteraceae), which have extensive diversity on S F W W Month z S D W W Month z NSLWM Month S D W W Month z JMMJ Month ഗ z S L W W L Month z



CHAPTER 2:

Host-endemism and cryptic host-specific diversity among New World broomrapes

ABSTRACT

The broomrapes, *Orobanche s.l.* (Orobanchaceae), are common root-parasites found across Eurasia, Africa, and the Americas. All species native to the western-hemisphere, recognized as *Orobanche* sections *Gymnocaulis* and *Nothaphyllon*, form a clade that has a center of diversity in western North America, but also includes four disjunct species in central and southern South America. The wide ecological distribution coupled with moderate taxonomic diversity make this clade a valuable model system for studying the role, if any, of host switching in driving the diversification of plant parasites.

To infer a detailed phylogeny, two spacer regions of ribosomal nuclear DNA (ITS+ETS), three plastid regions, and one low-copy nuclear gene were sampled from 163 exemplars of *Orobanche* from across the native geographic and host ranges of currently accepted species. Together with comprehensive data on the parasites' native host ranges, associations between phylogenetic lineages and host specificity are tested.

Within the two currently recognized two species of *O*. sect. *Gymnocaulis*, seven strongly supported clades were found. Although regionally sympatric, members of these clades each had unique host associations. Strong support for cryptic host-specific diversity was also found in sect. *Nothaphyllon*, while other taxonomic species were well supported. This phylogenetic evidence supports a strong link between host specificity and host switching and diversification of parasitic plants.

INTRODUCTION

Parasitism is a highly successful life strategy that has evolved independently over sixty times among animals, at least twelve times among angiosperms, and repeatedly in protozoans and prokaryotes (Poulin and Morand 2000, Westwood et al. 2010). While the evolutionary significance of host-parasite associations has long been recognized (Kellogg 1913), the main evolutionary mechanisms involved in the generation and maintenance of such ecological and phylogenetic diversity are still poorly understood, especially among parasitic flowering plants (de Vienne et al. 2013, Joel et al. 2013).

The parasitic broomrapes, *Orobanche* sensu lato (s.l., alternatively circumscribed as the genera *Aphyllon* and *Myzorrhiza* in the New World and *Boulardia*, *Orobanche* sensu stricto (s.s.). and *Phelipanche* in the Old World: Schneeweiss 2013), have attracted significant attention as an important system for understanding the evolutionary consequences of parasitism. This attention is in part a result of their extensive worldwide diversity (at least 170 species; Ulrich et al. 1995), a detailed and well-supported understanding of their placement within the family Orobanchaceae as well as the relationships among major clades (Schneeweiss et al. 2004a, Park et al. 2008, McNeal et al. 2013, Schneeweiss 2013), and the significant economic damage caused by several Eurasian species to major agricultural systems worldwide (Joel et al. 2013).

Despite general interest in this group, relatively little is known about the role of host specificity in broomrape diversification. Understanding host-specificity of parasites is predicated on a comprehensive understanding of lineage boundaries in the host (e.g. Labrousse et al. 2001, Timko et al. 2012) and, more importantly for Orobanche, the parasite. That is, failure to recognize evolutionary diversity in the parasite results in an overestimation of host breadth and may limit the ability to understand the evolutionary processes responsible for speciation in plant parasites. Therefore, it is important to distinguish true host generalists from taxa that comprise several cryptic lineages artificially united on the basis of superficial similarity but distinguished genetically and ecologically. Host specificity to the family or genus level has been cited as a key factor in the differentiation and genetic isolation of three subspecies of the European O. minor (Thorogood et al. 2008, 2009), but this has not been broadly tested across other Orobanche lineages. Several recently described species of *Orobanche* in North America also have unique host preferences in the Asteraceae: Orobanche riparia parasitizes Helianthieae subtribe Ambrosiinae and *O. arizonica* parasitizes *Gutierrezia* spp. However, neither these species concepts nor those of the other American Orobanche species have ever been tested phylogenetically.

Inclusion of western hemisphere *Orobanche* (sections *Gymnocaulis* and *Nothaphyllon*) in phylogenetic studies has been limited to several exemplars included in larger genus- or family- level analyses. These studies, supported by karyological and morphological evidence, have shown that these two sections are sister groups and together are sister to an Old World clade corresponding to *Orobanche* sect. *Trionychon* (Schneeweiss et al. 2004a, Park et al. 2008), sometimes treated as the genus *Phelipanche* (Schneeweiss 2013). This larger clade is supported by a shared base chromosome base number of x = 12 (Heckard and Chuang 1973, Schneeweiss et al. 2004b).

Ecologically, *Orobanche* sections *Gymnocaulis* and *Nothaphyllon* parasitize a wide range of eudicot hosts, but most commonly perennial Asteraceae. Taxonomic diversity is concentrated in the California Floristic Province; however, species can be found across the Americas, as far north as the Alaska Peninsula and the Yukon Territory, east to Newfoundland, and south to central Mexico. Four poorly known species are found in South America. Affinities between South American *Orobanche chilensis* and North American *O. ludoviciana* have long been recognized (Beck 1890), but explicit biogeographic hypotheses for this or other such relationships within the clade have yet to be proposed.

The wide ecological and host diversity among western hemisphere *Orobanche*, as well as its tractable taxonomic diversity make it a valuable model system for understanding the main ecological and evolutionary processes affecting parasite diversification and speciation. Such investigations, however, are requisite for a robust understanding of evolutionary lineages, their host breadths, and their relationships. Specifically our goals were to (1) reconstruct a well-resolved phylogeny of western hemisphere *Orobanche* that could be used to develop a revised, natural taxonomy for the group, (2) evaluate the evolutionary significance of host switching in *Orobanche* sect. *Gymnocaulis* by comprehensively sampling across the geographic and host ranges of each taxon, (3) test the monophyly of longstanding taxa as well as recently described segregates, and (4) infer biogeographical relationships between North American and South American *Orobanche* spp.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Taxon and population sampling— A total of 163 Orobanche populations were sampled either from fresh collected tissue or from herbarium collections: 57 from sect. Gymnocaulis and 106 from sect. Nothaphyllon. This data set includes at least one exemplar of all taxa of Orobanche recognized within the last 75 years except for O. weberbaueri, a poorly known taxon from southern coastal Peru, perhaps known only from the type. Denser population sampling across sect. Gymnocaulis enabled more comprehensive geographic and hostrange sampling in the two currently recognized species of this section, *O. fasciculata* and *O.* uniflora (Fig. 2.1). Identifying the host-breadth for each taxon was challenging, as many collectors note the nearest living plant as the host species without confirming a haustorial connection, resulting in a proliferation of dubious records. Our criteria for accepting a host was that a host taxon must have been independently reported at several populations by more than one collector, or a haustorial connection to an identifiable fragment of host must be present on the herbarium voucher. For molecular phylogenetic analyses, one individual each of *O. gracilis* and *O. hederae* were used as outgroup (Park et al. 2008, McNeal et al. 2013). Sequence data for the waxy locus were not available for these outgroups, so instead two more distantly related outgroup taxa were used, *Castilleja ambigua* and *Triphysaria* versicolor. Specimen vouchers and host information are provided in Appendix B.

DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing— DNA was extracted from dried floral tissue using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California), or using a CTAB protocol (Doyle and Doyle 1987). A total of six regions from the nuclear and plastid genomes were

used to estimate the phylogeny: internal and external transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA (ITS and ETS, respectively), introns 9–11 of the nuclear low-copy gene *waxy*, as well as the plastid trnL-trnF region (comprising the *trnL*_{UAA} intron and the *trnL*_{UAA} *trnF*_{GAA} intergenic spacer) and the *matK* and *rps2* genes. ITS, *matK*, and *rps2* were selected based on their prior use in genus and family-level phylogenetic studies of *Orobanche* (Schneeweiss et al. 2004a, McNeal et al. 2013), and *waxy* for its use in the related hemiparasitic genus *Castilleja* (Tank and Olmstead 2008). The remaining two regions, ETS and trnL-trnF, were selected to provide additional rapidly evolving characters from the nuclear and plastid compartments, respectively. Due to difficulty assessing homology within some species of sect. *Nothaphyllon*, the *waxy* locus was mainly used to assess monophyly of sect. *Nothaphyllon* and to infer relationships within sect. *Gymnocaulis*.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications were performed using AccuPower PCR PreMix kits (Bioneer, Alameda, California) or by generating a master mix of $10 \ \mu L 5 \times$ Promega buffer, $4 \ \mu L$ of $25 \ mM \ MgCl_2$, $1.25 \ \mu L$ of $10 \ mM \ dNTPs$, $1 \ \mu L \ of <math>20 \ \mu M$ of each primer, and $0.25 \ \mu L \ of Go-Taq \ DNA \ Polymerase (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin) diluted to <math>50 \ \mu L$. Complete information about primers, cycling parameters, and amplicon sizes are provided in Table 2.1. PCR products were purified using ExoSAP (USB Products, Cleveland, Ohio), and both DNA strands were sequenced using an ABI 3730 DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). GenBank accession numbers for each sample are provided in Appendix A.

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic reconstruction— Sequences were checked for base-calling errors and assembled into contigs using Geneious v. 6.1.7 (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand). Sequence alignments were generated using the MUSCLE plug-in with default settings. Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) analyses were conducted separately on the concatenated chloroplast DNA matrix (cpDNA), the concatenated ribosomal spacers (nrDNA), and the *waxy* locus using the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al. 2010). The ML analyses were performed with RAxML-HPC2 v.8.2.6 (Stamatakis 2014) using the GTRCAT model with 25 rate categories and 1.000 rapid bootstrap (BS) replicates. The BI analyses were performed using MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (Ronguist et al. 2012). An AIC comparison implemented in jmodeltest2 (Darriba et al. 2002) was used to select a GTR + Γ substitution model (approximated using 4 rate categories). The estimated substitution rates for the nrDNA, cpDNA and *waxy* alignments were then used as priors in the MrBayes analysis. Default settings were used for other priors. Three independent runs of four chains each (one cold, three heated) were sampled every 1,000 generations for 2,500,000 generations. The first 20% of samples were discarded as burn-in. Convergence was assessed in several ways: the average standard deviation of split frequencies was <0.01, the potential scale reduction factor was close to 1.00 for all parameters, and the effective sample sizes (ESS) were >800.

RESULTS

Nuclear ribosomal DNA— Strongly supported clades in the Bayesian ITS/ETS analysis (Figure 2.1) were consistent with those identified by ML (data not shown). *Orobanche* sect.

Gymnocaulis and sect. *Nothaphyllon* were both resolved as monophyletic (Posterior probability (PP) =1.0, bootstrap support (BS)=100) and sister to each other. Within section *Gymnocaulis*, seven major clades were resolved (PP=1.0, BS \geq 80). Under the current classification, three of these together correspond to a paraphyletic *O. fasciculata*. Plants from each of these clades showed unique host preferences: plants in two of these groups parasitize hosts of single genera, *Artemisia* (Asteraceae) and *Galium* (Rubiaceae). The third group of plants form a clade of generalists that parasitize numerous species within *Eriogonum* (Polygonaceae), *Eriophyllum* (Asteraceae), and *Eriodictyon* and *Phacelia* (Hydrophyllaceae). The remaining four clades constituted a monophyletic *O. uniflora* (PP=1.0, BS=100). Three of these clades include parasites specific to hosts in the genera *Antennaria* and *Senecio* (Asteraceae), on members of Saxifragaceae and Crassulaceae (Saxifragales s.s.), and on Apioideae (Apiaceae), respectively. These clades together are currently recognized as *O. uniflora* subsp. *uniflora*. Members of this clade parasitize *Rudbeckia* and several genera of Astereae in the Asteroideae.

Populations of the remaining American Orobanche species, representing sect. *Nothaphyllon*, were generally resolved in one of eight major clades (PP > 0.95, BS > 90): (1) A clade of populations from the western United States parasitic on Artemisia previously determined as one of three taxa: *O. parishii* subsp. *parishii*, *O. ludoviciana*, or *O. corymbosa*; (2) a taxonomically and ecologically diverse clade, the *O. californica* complex, which included O. californica and O. vallicola, as well as the remainder of O. parishii and O. *corymbosa* populations; (3) *O. pinorum*; (4) *O. tarapacana*; (5) the *Orobanche ludoviciana* complex, including *O. multiflora*, *O riparia*, *O. chilensis*, *O. tacnaensis*, *O arizonica*, the remainder of *O. ludoviciana*, and a collection from Hidalgo, Mexico (Yatskievych 85-215) that does match the morphology of any described species; (6) *O. valida*; (7) *O. cooperi* and O. dugesii; (8) O. bulbosa. Clades 6–8, found predominantly in southwestern North America, constituted a monophyletic group (PP=0.95, BS=77) that was sister to the rest of the section (clades 1–5). Resolution at the subspecific level of the paraphyletic *O. californica* was variable. For example, populations of subsp. *californica* along the central California coast parasitizing *Grindelia stricta* and those in far northern California and Washington parasitizing *Grindelia integrifolia* were resolved in separate strongly supported sub-clades within the O. californica complex (clade 2, above). Other subspecies, such as subsp. grandis and subsp. *condensa*, formed a polytomy. The polyploid *O. parishii* subsp. *brachyloba* was nested within one of three separate clades of *O. parishii* subsp. parishii.

Plastid DNA— Strongly supported clades from the Bayesian analysis of three plastid regions (Fig. 2.2) were consistent with those identified by ML (data not shown). *Orobanche* sect. *Gymnocaulis* was resolved as monophyletic (PP=1.0, BS=100). Within sect. *Gymnocaulis*, six host-specific clades were resolved, congruent with the nrDNA results. Three of these were sub-clades of the monophyletic *O. uniflora* (PP=0.99, BS=97): a clade of plants parasitizing *Antennaria* and *Senecio* (PP=1.0, BS=93) and a less supported clade of plants parasitizing Apioideae (Apiaceae), Saxifragaceae and Crassulaceae (PP=0.71, BS=88), together corresponding to subsp. *occidentalis* (PP=1.0, BS=100) and sister to a clade of plants that parasitize several genera of Asteroideae corresponding to subsp. *uniflora* (PP=1, BS=100). *Orobanche fasciculata* was found to be paraphyletic: A strongly supported clade parasitizing *Artemisia* (PP=1.0, BS=100) was resolved sister to *O. uniflora*.

The remaining two clades of *O. fasciculata* were resolved as sister groups, one strongly supported and parasitizing *Galium* spp. in California and Oregon (PP=1.0, BS=100), and the other weakly supported and parasitizing a variety of distantly related core eudicot genera (PP= 0.65, BS <50).

Deep relationships within *Orobanche* sect. *Nothaphyllon* were generally wellresolved, albeit with variable support at the species and subspecies level. Populations of *O. bulbosa* formed a clade (PP=1.0, BS= 96) that was sister to the remainder of the section, which in turn was comprised of two well-supported subclades (PP=1.0, BS >95). The first included strongly supported clades corresponding to single taxa that diverged from the remainder of the subclade in succession: *O. valida* (PP=1.0, BS=100), *O. parishii* (PP=1.0, BS=100), and finally *O. tarapacana* (PP= 0.94, BS=72), which was sister to a clade of *O. cooperi, O. dugesii*, and one accession of *O. corymbosa* (PP=0.98, BS=68). The second wellsupported subclade included the only sampled population of *O. pinorum* sister to the *O. californica* and *O. ludoviciana* complexes. Relationships within this subclade were poorly resolved, except for strong support of *O. riparia* + *O. arizonica*, *O. vallicola*, a clade of *O. californica* subsp. *californica* parasitic on *Eriophyllum staechadifolium*, and *O. chilensis* + several populations from central North America (PP=1.0, BS > 97).

waxy locus— Orobanche sect. Gymnocaulis and sect. Nothaphyllon were each resolved as monophyletic (PP=0.99, BS >75). Within sect. *Gymnocaulis*, five host-specific clades were resolved with strong support (PP > 0.92, BS > 73), congruent with both nrDNA and cpDNA results. These included a clade of plants parasitizing several genera in the Asteroideae corresponding to *O. uniflora* subsp. *uniflora*, as well as two clades together corresponding *O. uniflora* subsp. *occidentalis*— the first, which was comprised of plants parasitizing Saxifragaceae and Crassulaceae (Saxifragales s.s), and another that included a subclade of parasites on Antennaria and Senecio (Asteraceae) united in a moderately supported polytomy with several populations that parasitize Apioideae (PP= 0.89, BS= 0.74). The remaining two strongly supported clades include plants currently recognized as *O*. fasciculata: one was sister to *O. uniflora* and parasitizes *Artemisia*; the other parasitizes *Galium* and was sister to the remaining populations of *O. fasciculata*, which formed a third, weakly supported clade (PP=0.74, BS=67) including parasites on a variety of core eudicot hosts. In contrast to Orobanche sect. Gymnocaulis, infraspecific sampling density and phylogenetic resolution within *O.* sect. *Nothaphyllon* was limited, although conspecific populations of *O. valida*, *O. californica* subsp. *californica*, and *O. cooperi* as well as *O. chilensis* + *O. multiflora* were each resolved as monophyletic (PP > 0.94, BS > 90).

Tree files were uploaded to Open Tree of Life (http://www.opentreeoflife.org), study ID ot_732.

DISCUSSION

Host specificity and speciation— Among extant western hemisphere *Orobanche*, there exist many previously unrecognized, strongly supported host-specific lineages in both sect. *Gymnocaulis* and sect. *Nothaphyllon* that are strongly supported by both plastid and nuclear
DNA sequences (Figs. 2.1–2.3). This cryptic diversity has two complementary implications—one evolutionary, the other ecological. First, biodiversity within western hemisphere *Orobanche* is substantially richer than recognized by current taxonomy, perhaps because extensive reduction of structural characters in these parasites has limited the potential for morphological diagnosis of recently diverged evolutionary lineages. Second, the host breadth of each evolutionary lineage is narrower than previously assumed, although some lineages with wide host ranges are still present (e.g., O. fasciculata p.p.). Host-specificity in plant parasites has been correlated to various life history and other host traits such as weediness or perenniality (Schneweeis 2007). Host-switching has been cited as a driver of speciation of numerous parasites across the tree of life (Ricklefs et al. 2004, deVienne et al. 2013), including other lineages of parasitic plants (Norton and Carpenter 1998, Norton and Lange 1999, Bolin et al. 2011), as well as within the genus Orobanche (Thorogood et al. 2009). The evidence strongly supports this hypothesis. The abundance of host-specific clades found here suggests that host-switching may be an even more important driver of evolutionary divergence in parasitic plants than previously recognized.

Although some *Orobanche* taxa are specific to a single host species, most parasitize several closely related species that are unique and sometimes phylogenetically distant from the hosts of their nearest relatives. In many ways, *Orobanche* spp. occupy an ecological middle-ground between species like *Epifagus virginiana* (Orobanchaceae), which can only grow on *Fagus grandifolia*, and true generalists such as dodders (*Cuscuta* spp., Convolvulaceae) in which a single individual may parasitize numerous distantly related hosts (Press and Graves 1995). Therefore, it is unlikely that host-parasite co-speciation plays an appreciable role in driving diversification in western hemisphere *Orobanche* in contrast to some plant-animal, animal-animal, or prokaryote-animal host-parasite systems (de Vienne et al. 2013). Instead, that the more common mode—host switching followed by physiological specialization and divergence—appears to be dominant in this system.

Specialization and evolutionary divergence (cladogenesis) following host-switching is an expected outcome given the complex challenges of host detection, host invasion, and evasion or neutralization of host defenses, which may occur pre- or post-attachment. Preattachment host defenses may include reduced germination stimulants (i.e. strigolactones, Cameron et al. 2006, Xie et al. 2010), increased germination inhibitory compounds (Fernández-Aparicio et al. 2011), chemical inhibition of haustorial development (Pérez-de-Luque et al. 2005a, b), or structural fortifications to serve as a mechanical barrier to invasion. Potential hosts can repel parasitic plants following attachment using a variety of mechanisms that disrupt the flow of nutrients or block vessel elements (Goldwasser et al. 1999, 2000, Pérez-de-Luque et al. 2005a), initiate programmed cell death (Gurney et al. 2006), increase lignification and suberization of cell walls (Labrousse et al. 2001, Pérez-de-Luque et al. 2008), or elicit chemical defense through increased peroxidases or the transfer of toxins from the host to the parasite (Gurney et al. 2003). These multiple layers of incompatibility must be overcome for a successful invasion of the host, and provide the physiological basis for host specificity in parasitic Orobanchaceae (Yoder 1997, Yoshida and Shirasu 2009, Thorogood and Hiscock 2010). Consequently, distantly related hosts with more divergent physiologies likely require different invasion strategies. Various suites of host-specific traits may therefore represent different adaptive peaks for an Orobanche lineage.

Drès and Mallet (2002) cite a number of insect-plant systems to show how the formation of host-specific races may eventually lead to sympatric speciation of parasites through outbreeding depression, even in the presence of gene flow. The generalist clade of *O. fasciculata* shows poorly supported phylogenetic substructure and may provide the opportunity to explore this hypothesis in a plant-parasite system. Among the other hostspecific clades of *O.* sect. *Gymnocaulis*, sympatric speciation following this model may already have occurred. The strong support for these clades by all three loci (nrDNA, cpDNA, and *waxy*) suggest minimal, if any, continued gene flow among these lineages, even between geographically neighboring populations. Isolation by host may also be reinforced by autogamy or apomixis, which is common in New World Orobanche species in contrast to more variable mating systems among species of Eurasian Orobanche and predominance of outcrossing among other lineages of parasitic angiosperms (Musselman et al. 1982, Jones 1989, Bellot and Renner 2013). Autogamy has been identified as the predominant mating system in *O. pinorum* with occasional outcrossing by bees (Ellis et al. 1999), is common among O. fasciculata parasitizing Artemisia (Reuter 1986), and has been anecdotally reported in O. uniflora subsp. occidentalis and O. bulbosa (K. L. Chambers 2952, OSC198410; Butterwick 5434 & Parfitt, ASU, JEPS; Schneider 1032, JEPS (Parfitt and Butterwick 1981)). Some populations of Orobanche uniflora subsp. uniflora are obligatorily parthenogenic, while other populations show a "wholly different...reproductive process" (Jenson 1951). As discussed previously, gene flow between different host-races is expected to be detrimental if parent taxa are adapted to separate hosts, since a hybrid may be adapted to neither of them.

Geographic differentiation may play a subordinate role in lineage diversification, and may be restricted to cases where sister clades parasitize closely related hosts, such as between the subspecies of *O. valida*, which both parasitize *Garrya*. Much more commonly, ranges are at least partially overlapping and closely related parasite lineages differing in their hosts can co-occur on a regional or even local scale. This is particularly well pronounced in sect. *Gymnocaulis*, discussed in detail below.

Cryptic diversity in section Gymnocaulis—Cryptic lineages are found in both sections of New World *Orobanche* (e.g., a polyphyletic *O. parishii* subsp. *parishii* [Fig. 2.1]), but most extensively in *O.* sect. *Gymnocaulis*, in which over twice as many host-specific clades exist than commonly recognized taxa. Moreover, these cryptically distinct clades are often subtended by long stem branches relative to clades that represent different recognized species in sect. *Nothaphyllon.* This disparity, which is robust to the gene region(s) used (Figs. 2.1–2.3) may be due to more extensive reduction of morphological and thus diagnostic features in sect. *Gymnocaulis*, as well as more limited systematic and taxonomic study of this section (Achey 1933, Watson 1975) relative to sect. *Nothaphyllon* (Munz 1930, Collins 1973, Heckard 1973, Heckard and Chuang 1975, Collins and Yatskievich 2015). Similar levels of cryptic diversity may be found in other holoparasitic lineages, particular endoparasites like *Cytinus* (Cytinaceae) that show even more extensive morphological reduction than *Orobanche* and a more intimate host-parasite relationship (De Vega et al. 2008).

Each clade of *Orobanche* sect. *Gymnocaulis* shows at least partial range overlap with its sister group, with generally increasing overlap with decreasing phylogenetic distance (Fig. 2.4). The clade of *O. fasciculata* parasitic on *Galium* is entirely included within the

range of its sister group, which is a generalist clade parasitic on various eudicot hosts. The clade of *O. fasciculata* parasitic on *Artemisia* grows coarsely sympatrically (i.e. sympatric at regional scales) with both subspecies of its sister group, *O. uniflora*. These subspecies, *O.* subsp. *uniflora* and *O.* subsp. *occidentalis*, once thought to be allopatric, are now known to co-occur based on a recent floristic discovery in southern British Columbia and subsequent reinterpretation of historic herbarium records. Most strikingly, the three closely related clades resolved within *O. uniflora* subsp. *occidentalis*, which parasitize species in the Asteraceae, Apiaceae, and Saxifragaceae plus Crassulaceae, respectively, share nearly entirely overlapping ranges at both coarse continental and local scales. For example, populations of all three clades can be found in Yosemite National Park and the adjacent Sierra National Forest.

Relationships in section Nothaphyllon— Host-specific species in sect. *Nothaphyllon* are also well-supported, including the recently described *O. arizonica, O. riparia,* and a clade currently recognized as *O. californica* subsp. *californica* that parasitzes *Eriophyllum stachaedifolium* on the central California coast, which is currently being described by the second author and George Yatskievych. Most other clades have distinct host associations, generally with perennial Asteraceae, but usually not specific to the species level (Fig. 2.1).

Most of the taxonomic diversity in *O*. sect. *Nothaphyllon* is concentrated in a large clade supported by nrDNA and cpDNA, which is composed of two subclades supported by nrDNA (Fig. 2.1) and morphological analysis (Heckard 1973, Collins 1973). The first subclade corresponds to the *O. californica* complex, which includes *O. californica* and its subspecies, *O. parishii*, *O. corymbosa*, and *O. vallicola*. The second clade represents the *O. ludoviciana* complex, which includes *O. ludoviciana* (except for populations parasitizing *Artemisia*), *O. multiflora*, the recently described *O. arizonica*, *O. riparia*, the disjunct South American species *O. chilensis* and *O. tacnaensis*, and a collection from Hidalgo, Mexico that does not fit the description of any described taxon (Yatskievych 85-215, ARIZ).

Several earlier-diverging lineages native to western North America are also strongly supported as monophyletic by both nrDNA and cpDNA, including *O. valida*, *O. bulbosa* and the recently revised O. cooperi + O. dugesii complex (Figs. 2.1, 2.2; Collins and Yatskievych 2015). However, relationships among these lineages are unclear: O. bulbosa is either resolved as sister to the rest of the section (nrDNA, Fig. 2.1) or as a grade with O. bulbosa diverging earliest (cpDNA, Fig. 2.2). The conflict among gene partitions is in most cases likely explained by incomplete lineage sorting, but in other cases may be a result of reticulate evolution. For example, based on its phylogenetic placement in two separate clades (Fig. 2.1), and morphological and host affinities (Artemisia, especially A. tridentata), O. corymbosa may represent a hybrid between O. californica and O. ludoviciana, both of which in part also parasitize Artemisia. In certain other cases, polyploidy may be a driver of speciation. Heckard and Chuang (1975) published detailed chromosome counts for most species. The octoploid *O. parishii* subsp. *brachyloba* forms a clade nested within *O. parishii* subsp. parishii (Fig. 2.1), its likely tetraploid progenitor (ploidy assignment based on chromosome base number of x = 12; for a more detailed discussion see Schneeweiss et al. 2004b), or if an allopolyploid, one of two parental lineages. Octoploid lineages have also been reported in O. cooperi and O. corymbosa subsp. corymbosa (but not O. ludoviciana). A full discussion of the systematics and taxonomy of these and other individual species is needed, but is beyond the scope of this study.

Repeated dispersal to South America—The nrDNA phylogeny is evidence supporting the longstanding hypothesis that *O. chilensis* is closely related to *O. ludoviciana* and *O. multiflora* (Beck 1890), thereby contributing to the broadly recognized pattern amphitropical disjunction between the Great Plains of North America and northern Chile/southern Peru (Wen and Ickert-Bond 2009). Of the two other sampled *Orobanche* species from South America, *O. tacnaensis* was resolved with *O. chilensis*, but the two samples of *O. tarapacana* Phil. formed a separate, earlier-diverging lineage resulting from north-to-south dispersal. Phylogenetic placement of *O. tarapacana* is uncertain due to conflict between the nrDNA and cpDNA trees; *O. tarapacana* is sister to either the *O. ludoviciana* complex, the *O. cooperi* complex, or perhaps a hybrid between the two (Figs. 2.1, 2.2). The historical biogeography of these species and their hosts is investigated more thoroughly in Chapter 4.

CONCLUSIONS

Parasitic Orobanchaceae provides an exciting opportunity, and is becoming a model system for understanding plant parasitism at various levels of biological organization and scale (Joel et al. 2013, McNeal et al. 2013, Wicke et al. 2013, Yang et al. 2015). These results emphasize the importance of substrate endemism in the form of host specificity and host-switching as a driver of evolutionary divergence in obligate plant parasites. At least twice as many host-specific lineages exist in *O.* sect. *Gymnocaulis* compared to recognized taxa, and denser sampling in other clades such as *O.* sect. *Nothaphyllon* is likely to uncover more. This robust understanding of fine-scale evolutionary relationships provides the necessary phylogenetic framework to develop a more natural classification for this group, and understand genetic, ecological, functional, and life-history consequences of host-parasite associations more broadly.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A version of this chapter was previously published as a co-authored article with Alison Colwell, Gerald Schneeweiss and Bruce Baldwin in *Annals of Botany* (Cryptic host-specific diversity among western hemisphere broomrapes (Orobanche s.l., Orobanchaceae); 118: 1101–1111) and used with permission of the coauthors and Oxford University Press. Thanks to the curatorial staff at the following herbaria for providing access to specimens and preparing loans: ACAD, ALA, ARIZ, CAS, CHSC, CONC, JEPS, MO, MT, NY, RSA, SD, SGO, UBC, UC, V, WIS, WS, WTU. Aditional thanks to Rafael Casanova for assistance in procuring tissue samples of *Orobanche chilensis* and *O. tarapacana*, Dave Tank for advice on using the *waxy* locus, Harold Chun and Amanda Seng for laboratory assistance, Turner Collins for fruitful discussion, and Jakub Těšitel and one anonymous reviewer for their comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. Funding was provided by grants from the Lawrence R. Heckard Endowment Fund of the Jepson Herbarium, the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Doc Burr Grant, and the CNPS Santa Clara Valley Chapter.

LITERATURE CITED

- Achey, D. M. 1933. A revision of the section *Gymnocaulis* of the genus *Orobanche. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club.* 60: 441–451.
- Beardsley, P.M., and R. G. Olmstead. 2002. Redefining Phrymaceae: The placement of *Mimulus*, tribe Mimuleae, and *Phryma. American Journal of Botany* 87: 1093–1102.
- Beck, G. 1890. *Monographe der Gattung Orobanche. Biblitoheca Botanica* 19. Cassel: Theoder Fischer.
- Bellot, S., and S. S. Renner. 2013. Pollination and mating systems of Apodanthaceae and the distribution of reproductive traits in parasitic angiosperms. *American Journal of Botany* 100: 1083–1094.
- Bolin, J.F., E. Maass, and L. J. Mussellman LJ. 2011. A new species of *Hydnora* (Hydnoraceae) from South Africa. *Systematic Botany* 36: 255–260.
- Cameron, D. C., A. M. Coats, and W. E. Seel. 2006. Differential resistance among host and non-host species underlies the variable success of the hemiparasitic plant *Rhinanthus minor. Annals of Botany* 98: 1289–1299.
- Collins, L.T. 1973. Systematics of *Orobanche* sect. *Myzorrhiza*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin Milwaukee.
- Collins, L. T., and G. Yatskievych. 2015. *Orobanche arizonica* sp. nov. and nomenclatural changes in *Orobanche cooperi*. *Phytoneuron* 2015-48: 1–19.
- Darriba D., G. L. Taboada, R. Doallo, and D. Posada. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computer. *Nature Methods* 9: 772.
- dePamphilis, C.W., N. D. Young, and A. D. Wolfe. 1997. Evolution of plastid gene *rps2* in a lineage of hemiparasitic and holoparasitic plants: Many losses of photosynthesis and complex patterns of rate variation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 94: 7367–7372.
- Doyle, J. J., and J. L. Doyle. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin of the Botanical Society of America* 19: 11–15.
- Drès, M., and J. Mallet. 2002. Host races in plant-feeding insects and their importance in sympatric speciation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London B Biological Sciences* 357: 471–492.
- Ellis, M.W., R. J. Taylor, and R. J. Harrod. 1999. The reproductive biology and host specificity of *Orobanche pinorum* Geyer (Orobanchaceae). *Madroño* 46:7–12.
- Fernández-Aparicio, M., K. Yoneyama, and D. Rubiales. 2011. The role of strigolactones in host specificity of *Orobanche* and *Phelipanche* seed germination. *Seed Science Research* 21: 55–61.
- Goldwasser, Y., J. Hershenhorn, D. Plakhine, Y. Kleifeld, and B. Rubin 1999. Biochemical factors involved in vetch resistance to *Orobanche aegyptiaca. Physiolgical and Molecular Plant Pathology* 54: 87–96.
- Goldwasser Y, D. Plakhine, Y. Kleifeld, E. Zamski, and B. Rubin. 2000. The differential susceptibility of vetch (*Vicia* spp.) to *Orobanche aegyptiaca*: anatomical studies. *Annals of Botany* 85: 257–262.
- Gurney, A.L., D. Grimanelli, F. Kanampiu, D. Hoisington, J. D. Scholes, and M. C. Press. 2003.

Novel sources of resistance to *Striga hermonthica* in *Tripsacum dactyloides,* a wild relative of maize. *New Phytologist* 160: 557–568.

- Gurney, A.L., J. Slate, M. C. Press, and J. D. Scholes. 2006. A novel form of resistance in rice to the angiosperm parasite *Striga hermonthica*. *New Phytologist* 169: 199–208.
- Heckard, L.R. 1973. A taxonomic re-interpretation of the *Orobanche californica* complex. *Madroño* 22: 41–70.
- Heckard, L.R. and T. I. Chuang. 1975. Chromosome numbers and polyploidy in *Orobanche* (Orobanchaceae). *Brittonia* 27: 179–186.
- Jenson, H.W. 1951. The normal and parthenogenic forms of *Orobanche uniflora* in the eastern United States. *Cellule* 54: 135–142.
- Joel, D.M., J. Gressel, and L. J. Musselman LJ, eds. 2013. *Parasitic Orobanchaceae*. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany.
- Jones M. 1989. Studies into the pollination of *Orobanche* species in the British Isles; *Progress in Orobanche Research Proceedings*. Tubingen, pp. 6–17.
- Kellogg, V.L. 1913. Distribution and species-forming of ecto-parasites. *American Naturalist* 47: 129–158.
- Labrousse, P., M. C. Arnaud, H. Serieys, A. Bervillé, and P. Thalouarn. 2001. Several mechanisms are involved in resistance of *Helianthus* to *Orobanche cunana* Wallr. *Annals of Botany* 88:859-868.
- McNeal, J. R., J. R. Bennett, A. D. Wolfe, and S. Mathews. 2013. Phylogeny and origins of holoparasitism in Orobanchaceae. *American Journal of Botany* 100: 971–983.
- Miller, M. A., W. Pfeiffer, and T. Schwartz. **2010.** Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In: *Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), 14 Nov. 2010, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA*.
- Munz, P. A. 1930. The North American species of *Orobanche* section *Myzorrhiza*. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 57: 611–624.
- Musselman, L. J., C. Parker, and N. Dixon. 1982. Notes on autogamy and flower structure in agronomically important species of *Striga* (Scrophulariaceae) and *Orobanche* (Orobanchaceae). *Beiträge zur Biologie der Pflanzen* 5: 329-343.
- Norton, D. A., and M. A. Carpenter. 1998. Mistletoes as parasites: host specificity and speciation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 13: 101–104.
- Norton D. A., and P. J. De Lange. 1999. Host specificity in parasitic mistletoes (Loranthaceae) in New Zealand. *Functional Ecology* 13: 552–559.
- Parfitt B. D., and M. Butterwick. 1981. Noteworthy collections: *Orobanche uniflora* L. subsp. *occidentalis*. Madroño 28: 37–38.
- Park J-M., J-F. Manen J-F, A. E. L. Colwell, and G. M. Schneeweiss. 2008. A plastid gene phylogeny of the non-photosynthetic parasitic *Orobanche* (Orobanchaceae) and related genera. *Journal of Plant Research* 121: 365–376.
- Pérez-de-Luque, A., J. Jorrín, J. I Cubero, D. Rubiales D. 2005a. *Orobanche crenata* resistance and avoidance in pea (*Pisum* spp.) operate at different developmental stages of the parasite. *Weed Research* 45: 379–387.
- Pérez-de-Luque, A., D. Rubiales, J. I. Cubero, M. C. Press, J. Scholes, K. Yoneyama, Y.
 Takeuchi, D. Plakhine, and D. M. Joel. 2005b. Interaction between *Orobanche crenata* and its host legumes: unsuccessful haustorial penetration and necrosis of the developing parasite. *Annals of Botany* 95: 935–942.
- Pérez-de-Luque, A., M. T. Moreno, D. Rubiales. 2008. Host plant resistance against

broomrapes (*Orobanche* spp.): defence reactions and mechanisms of resistance. *Annals of Applied Biology* 152: 131–141.

- Poulin, R, and S. Morand. 2000. The diversity of parasites. *Quarterly Review of Biology* 75: 277–293.
- Press, M., and J. Graves, eds. 1995. *Parasitic plants.* Chapman & Hall, London, UK.
- Reuter B. C. 1986. The habitat, reproductive ecology, and host relations of *Orobanche fasciculata* Nutt. (Orobanchaceae) in Wisconsin. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 113: 110–117.
- Ricklefs R. E., S. M. Fallon, and E. Bermingham. 2004. Evolutionary relationships, cospeciation, and host switching in avian malaria parasites. *Systematic Biology* 53: 111–119.
- Ronquist F., M. Teslenko, P. van der Mark, D. L. Ayres, A. Darling, S. Höhna, B. Larget, L. Liu, M. A. Suchard, and J. P. Huelsenbeck. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* 61: 539–542.
- Schneeweiss, G. M. 2007. Correlated evolution of life history and host range in the nonphotosynthetic parasitic flowering plants *Orobanche* and *Phelipanche* (Orobanchaceae). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 20: 471–478.
- Schneeweiss, G. M. 2013. Phylogenetic relationships and evolutionary trends in Orobanchaceae. In: Joel DM, Gressel J, Mussleman LJ, eds. *Parasitic Orobanchaceae*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 243–265.
- Schneeweiss, G. M., A. E. L. Colwell, J-M. Park, C-G. Jang, and T. F. Stuessy. 2004a. Phylogeny of holoparasistic *Orobanche* (Orobanchaceae) inferred from nuclear ITS sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 30: 465–478.
- Schneeweiss, G. M., T. Palomeque, A. E. L. Colwell, and H. Weiss-Schneeweiss. 2004b. Chromosome numbers and karyotype evolution in holoparasitic *Orobanche* (Orobanchaceae) and related genera. *American Journal of Botany* **91**: 439–448.
- Shaw, J., E. B. Lickey, J. T. Beck, S. B. Farmer, W. Liu, J. Miller, K. C. Siripun, C. T. Winder, E. E. Schilling, and R. L. Small. 2005. The tortoise and the hare II: Relative utility of 21 noncoding chloroplast DNA sequences for phylogenetic analysis. *American Journal of Botany* 92: 142–166.
- Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogeneies. *Bioinformatics* 30: 1312–1313.
- Steele, K. P., and R. Vilgalys. 1994. Phylogenetic analyses of Polemoniaceae using nucleotide sequences of the plastid gene *mat*K. *Systematic Botany* 19: 126–142.
- Taberlet, P., L. Gielly, G. Pautou, and J. Bouvet. 1991. Universal primers for amplification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA. *Plant Molecular Biology* 17: 1105–1109.
- Tank, D. C., and R. C. Olmstead. 2008. From annuals to perennials: phylogeny of subtribe Castillejinae (Orobanchaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 95: 608–625.
- Tank, D. C., and R. C. Olmstead. 2009. The evolutionary origin of a second radiation of annual *Castilleja* (Orobanchaceae) species in South America: the role of long distane dispersal and allopolyploidy. *American Journal of Botany* 96: 1907–1921.
- Thorogood C. J., and S. J. Hiscock. 2010. Compatibility interactions at the cellular level provide the basis for host specificity in the parasitic plant *Orobanche*. *New Phytologist* 186: 571–575.

- Thorogood, C.J., F. J. Rumsey, S. A. Harris, and J. Hiscock. 2008. Host-driven divergence in the parasitic plant *O. minor*. *Molecular Ecology* 17: 4289–4303.
- Thorogood, C. J., F. J. Rumsey, and S. J. Hiscock 2009. Host-specific races in the holoparasitic angiosperm *Orobanche minor*: Implications for speciation in parasitic plants. *Annals of Botany* 103: 1005–1014.
- Timko, M. P., K. Huang K, and K. E. Lis. 2012. Host resistance and parasite virulence in *Striga*–Host plant interactions: A shifting balance of power. *Weed Science* 60:307–315.
- Uhlich, H., J. Pusch, and K. J. Barthel. 1995. *Die Sommerwurzarten Europas*. Westarp Wissenschaften, Magdeburg, Germany.
- Watson, K.C., 1975. Systematics of *Orobanche* section *Gymnocaulis* (Orobanchaceae). MA thesis, California State University Chico.
- Wen, J., and S. M. Ickert-Bond. 2009. Evolution of the Madrean-Tethyan disjunctions and the North American amphitropical disjunctions in plants. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* 47: 331–348.
- Westwood, J.H., J. I. Yoder, M. P. Timko, and C. W. dePamphilis. **2010.** The evolution of parasitism in plants. *Trends in Plant Science* 15: 227–235.
- Wicke, S., K. F. Müller, C. W. de Pamphilis, D. Quandt, N. J. Wickett, Y. Zhang, S. S. Renner, and G. M. Schneeweiss. 2013. Mechanisms of functional and physical genome reduction in photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic parasitic plants in the broomrape family. *The Plant Cell* 25: 3711–3725.
- Yoder, J. 1997. A species-specific recognition system directs haustorium development in the parasitic plant *Tryphysaria* (Scrophulariaceae). Planta 202: 407–413.
- Xie, X., K. Yoneyama, and T. Kisugi. 2010. The strigolactone story. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 48: 93–117.
- Yang, Z., E. K. Wafula, L. A. Honaas, H. Zhang, M. Das, M. Fernandez-Aparicio, K. Huang, P. C. G. Bandaranayake, B. Wu, J. P. Der, C. R. Clarke, P. E. Ralph, L. Landherr, N. S. Altman, M. P. Timko, J. I. Yoder, J. H. Westwood, C. W. dePamphilis. 2015. Comparative transcriptome analyses reveal core parasitism genes and suggest gene duplication and repurposing as sources of structural novelty. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32: 767–790.
- Yoshida, S., and K. Shirasu. 2009. Multiple layers of incompatibility to the parasitic witchweed, *Striga hermonthica. New Phytologist* 183: 180–189.

trnL- trnF 7: spacer	rps2	<i>matK</i> 3' intron 68	<i>waxy</i> (introns 58 9-11)	ETS	ITS	Gene region a	,
10-810 bp	675 bp	30-760 bp	35-630 bp	430 bp	590 bp	Approx. mplicon length	1
trnL 'c': CGA AAT CGG TAG ACG CTA CG trnF 'F: ATT TGA ACT GGT GAC ACG AG	rps2_2F: AAA TGG AAT CCT AAA ATG GC rps2_18F: GGR KAR AAA TGA CAA GAA GAT ATT GG rps2_661R: ACC CTC ACA AAT GCG AAT ACC AA	matK 8: CTT CGA CTT TCT TGT GCT matK_psbA5'R: AAC CAT CCA ATG TAA AGA CGG TTT	waxy_9F-ORO: GAT GCT AAG CCW TTG TTG A waxy_11R: CCA TRT GGA ASC CAG TRT A	ETS_B: ATA GAG CGC GTG AGT GGT G ETS_seq: (C) TGG CAG GAT CAA CCA GGT A	AB_101: TGG TCC CGT GAA GTG TTC G AB_102: CCG GTT CGC TG CCG TAA C	Primer sequences (5' – 3')	
Taberlet et al. 1991 Taberlet et al. 1991	<i>(this dissertation)</i> dePamphilis et al. 1997 dePamphilis et al. 1997	Steele and Vilgalys, 1994 Shaw et al. 2005	<i>(this dissertation)</i> Tank and Olmstead, 2009	Beardsley and Olmstead, 2002 (this dissertation)	Schneeweiss et al. 2004a Schneeweiss et al. 2004a	Reference	
94°C, 5min; 40x (92°C, 1min; 51.5°C, 1min; 72°C, 1min); 72°C, 5 min.	94°C, 2min 30s; 35x (94°C, 1min; 50°C, 1min; 72°C, 1min); 72°C, 7min.	94°C, 5min; 40x (92°C, 1min; 51°C, 40s; 72°C, 1min); 72°C, 10min.	92°C, 5min; 40x (94°C, 45s; 53.5°C, 45s; 72°C, 1min); 72°C, 5min.	96°C, 2 min; 35x (94°C, 30s; 56°C, 30s; 72°C, 45s); 72°C, 3 min.	94°C, 4min; 35x (95°C, 1 min; 48°C, 1 min; 72°C, 1 min); 72°C, 10min.	Thermocycling parameters	

approximate lengths of complete ingroup sequences, PCR primers (5'–3'), and thermocycling parameters. Two different forward primers for *rps*2 were used. Table 2.1. Molecular regions used in the phylogenetic analyses of Orobanche sections Gymnocaulis and Nothaphyllon,



Figure 2.1. Bayesian inference majority-rule consensus tree of 162 *Orobanche* populations inferred from nrDNA (ITS+ETS). Tip labels include the collection number followed by the herbarium accession number, if available. Posterior probabilities > 0.9 are shown in bold for nodes with > 70% maximum likelihood bootstrap support and in italics if BS < 70%. The internal branches leading to section *Gymnocaulis* and section *Gymnocaulis* have been shortened by a factor of ½. Host associations to the genus or higher taxonomic level are indicated in blue (Asteraceae) or green (other). Informally named clades in purple. Outgroup taxa are not shown. Photographs, from top to bottom: *O. fasciculata* parasitizing *Eriodicyton* sp. (Schneider 606); *O. cooperi* parasitizing *Hymenoclea salsola* (Schneider 415); *O. vallicola* parasitizing *Sambucus mexicana* (Schneider 316); *O. corymbosa* parasitizing *Artemisia tridentata* (Colwell 14-26).



Figure 2.2. Bayesian inference majority-rule consensus tree of 86 *Orobanche* populations inferred from three concatenated cpDNA regions (*matK*, *rps2*, and trnL-trnF region). Tip labels consist of the taxon name (if not included in a sidebar), the collection number, and the herbarium accession numbers if available. Posterior probabilities > 0.9 are shown in bold for nodes with > 70% maximum likelihood bootstrap support and in italics if BS < 70%. Some host associations at the genus or higher taxonomic level are indicated in blue (Asteraceae) or green (other). For all others see Figure 2.1 or Appendix B. Informally named clades in purple. Outgroups not shown.



Figure 2.3. Bayesian inference majority-rule consensus tree of 47 *Orobanche* populations inferred from the *waxy* locus (introns 9–11). Tip labels consist of the taxon name (if not included in a sidebar), the collection number, and the herbarium accession numbers if available. Posterior probabilities > 0.8 are shown. All labeled nodes have ML bootstrap scores \geq 74%. Host associations to the genus or higher taxonomic level for clades sect. *Gymnocaulis* are indicated in blue (Asteraceae) or green (other). For host associations of sect. *Nothaphyllon* see Figure 2.1 or Appendix A. Outgroups not shown.



Figure 2.4. Range map of host-specific clades of *Orobanche* sect. *Gymnocaulis*. Colored circles represent individuals sampled in the phylogeny (Figs. 2.1–2.3). Colored lines show approximate range of each clade. Further study is needed to determine the range of each of the three host-specific lineages of *O. uniflora* subsp. *occidentalis*, which in this figure are treated as one unit. Range maps should be considered tentative, particularly in northern Canada and west-central United States, pending a thorough taxonomic and phytogeographical study.

CHAPTER 3:

Resurrection of the genus *Aphyllon*

ABSTRACT

Phylogenetic studies support a monophyletic clade of New World broomrapes (*Orobanche* sects. *Gymnocaulis* and *Nothaphyllon*) sister to the Old World genus *Phelipanche*. Therefore, I place the New World taxa in the genus *Aphyllon*, propose 21 new combinations, and provide a list of currently accepted taxa.

INTRODUCTION

Phylogenetic analysis of broomrapes and related holoparasites using nuclear DNA have found that the small eastern Mediterranean genus *Diphelypaea* Nicolson. is nested within *Orobanche* sensu lato (s.l.) as circumscribed by Beck (1890) (Schneeweiss et al. 2004a). Morphological and cytological differences between groups of taxa within *Orobanche* s.l. have led some botanists to adopt a narrow generic circumscription. In this taxonomic concept, *Orobanche* sensu stricto is limited to Old World species that lack bracteoles and have a base chromosome number of x = 19, a calyx divided to the base, and generally unbranched stems (Holub 1977, 1990). Other Old World broomrapes are treated as *Phelipanche* Pomel or the monotypic genus *Boulardia* F.W. Schultz (syn: *O.* sect. *Trionychon* Wallr. and *O. latisquama* (F.W. Schultz) Batt., respectively; Joel 2009, Schneweiss 2013).

Broomrape species native to the New World constitute two well-supported clades that together form a clade sister to *Phelipanche* (see Chapter 2). Taxonomically, these clades have been recognized as two separate genera *Aphyllon* (= *Orobanche* sect. *Gymnocaulis* Nutt.) and *Myzorrhiza* Phil. (= *O.* sect. *Nothaphyllon* (A. Gray) Heckard) by Holub (1977, 1990) and others (Schneweiss 2013), or more rarely, together as *Aphyllon* s.I (Gray 1876). However, neither of these generic taxonomies has been widely adopted among American botanists, in part because of the lack of available names for many taxa. Providing evidence to support the treatment of all New World broomrapes as *Aphyllon* and a providing list of recognized species (with homotypic synonyms) is the purpose of this chapter. New combinations are made where appropriate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to compare molecular branch lengths of major clades of *Orobanche* s.l, a maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram of *Aphyllon* and related holoparasites was inferred from 3 nuclear DNA loci (ITS, phytochrome A, and phytochrome B). All sequences were downloaded from Genbank, aligned, and concatenated into a supermatrix using SUMAC (Freyman 2015). The ML phylogeny was estimated using RAxML (Stamatakis 2014) with a GTR+ Γ nucleotide substitution model and 1000 rapid bootstrapping replicates.

Information about type specimens, basionyms, and synonomy of these new combinations was gathered by examining protologues and images of type specimens using major databases, including Tropicos (http://www.tropicos.org), JSTOR Global Plants (http://plants.jstor.org), and the International Plant Names Index (http://www.ipni.org). Types for all North American taxa and *O. weberbaueri* Mattf. have been designated by previous authors and are presented here. For three of the four South American taxa, typification would require more careful efforts beyond the scope of this study. No repository is given in the protologue for two syntypes of *Orobanche tacnaensis* Mattf. (Woitschach 71 and Meyen s.n.). The current existence of these specimens could not be verified, although a photograph of the Woitscach 71 (possibly from a specimen at B) is

available at F. No specimens are cited by Rodolfo Phillipi in the protologues of the two taxa that he described.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Molecular phylogenetic analyses have consistently supported a sister-group relationship between two strongly supported two American clades, representing *O*. sect. *Gymnocaulis* and *O*. sect. *Nothaphyllon* (McNeal et al. 2013, Fig. 2.1–2.3, Fig. 3.1). This relationship is supported by biogeography and synapomorphies such as a calyx with five fully developed lobes and a base chromosome number of x = 12, with polyploidy in most taxa (Heckard and Chuang 1975, Schneeweiss et al. 2004b). Holub (1977, 1991) has proposed treating the American broomrapes as two genera rather than one, though this was likely due to his erroneous belief based on vegetative morphology that *Orobanche* sect. *Nothaphyllon* is most closely related to *Phelipanche* and that *O*. sect. *Gymnocaulis* is allied to *O*. sect. *Orobanche* (Holub 1977).

The genus *Aphyllon* was described by Mitchell (1769), although it was not until nearly 80 years later that Asa Gray made a combination for *A. uniflorum* A. Gray. This species was the only broomrape included in his *Manual of the Botany of the Northern United States* (1848), though in the second edition (1856), Gray added two more species. Gray limited his generic concept for *Aphyllon* to taxa assignable to *O.* sect. *Gymnocaulis*, instead recognizing *O. ludoviciana* Nutt. in *Phelipaea* Tourn. ex. Desf. However, after a study of the Californian flora, Gray amended his generic concept for *Aphyllon* to include two sections, *Aphyllon* and *Nothaphyllon*, together containing all taxa native to the New World (Gray, 1876). Though expanded from Gray's initial circumscription, it was appropriate given Michell's original diagnosis of *Aphyllon* as having a five-toothed calyx ("semiquinquefidum"), a synapomorphy of New World broomrapes. A generation later, Rydberg (1906) proposed elevating Gray's *Aphyllon* sect. *Nothaphyllon* to genus rank on the basis of differences in habit and placentation using the available name *Myzorrhiza* Phil. However, the broader generic concept of *Orobanche* used by Beck (1890) has prevailed, particularly among American botanists.

Due to the biogeographical, morphological, cytological, and phylogenetic affinities of the New World broomrapes, I recommend treating them in a single genus, *Aphyllon*, composed of sections *Aphyllon* (=0. sect. *Gymnocaulis*) and *Nothaphyllon* (=0. sect. *Nothaphyllon*). Below, I present a key to sections and a list of recognized taxa in *Aphyllon*, proposing new combinations as necessary. Combinations are made at the most recently treated rank for the taxon in *Orobanche*, with the exception of *Orobanche uniflora* subsp. *occidentale* Greene, which is recognized at species rank under the available name *Aphyllon purpureum* (A. Heller) Holub due to its unique hosts, long molecular branch lengths, and recent discovery of sympatric populations of *A. purpureum* and *A. uniflorum* in southwestern British Columbia (Figs. 2.1–2.4). The treatment of *Aphyllon* sect. *Aphyllon* should be considered tentative; further taxonomic study is underway which will result in the recognition of several additional taxa.

KEY TO SECTIONS OF APHYLLON

1. Bracteoles subtending the calyx absent; pedicels much longer than flower (2-8x length); stems subterranean or rising to about ground level.......*Aphyllon* sect. *Aphyllon* (syn.: *O.* sect. *Gymnocaulis*)

1' Bracteoles subtending the calyx 2; pedicels equal to or shorter than flower, occasionally 2x length; stems usually rising above ground level.......*Aphyllon* sect. *Nothaphyllon* (syn.: *O.* sect. *Nothaphyllon*)

TAXONOMIC TREATMENT

Aphyllon Mitch., Diss. Brevis. Princ. Bot. 43. 1769.

Loxanthes Raf. Neogenyton 3. 1825. [TYPE—*Loxanthes fasciculatus* (Nutt.) Raf.] *Anoplanthus* Endl., *nom. superfl.*, Gen. Pl. [Endlicher] pt. 10: 727. 1839. *Thalesia* Raf. ex Britton, *nom. superfl.*, Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 5: 298. 1894. TYPE—*Aphyllon uniflorum* (L.) Torr. & A. Gray, Manual 290. 1848.

Description

Herb, annual or rarely perennial, achlorophyllous, holoparasitic. Stems fleshy. Leaves reduced to scale-like bracts. Inflorescences terminal racemes, spikes, corymbs, or panicles. Calyx 5-toothcd. Corolla sympetalous, bilabiate to regular, tubular and often curved. Style long, stigma crateriform and peltate, or bilamellar. Fruit loculicidal capsules. About 22 species: 18 in North America, 4 in South America.

Aphyllon sect. Aphyllon

Orobanche sect. Gymnocaulis Nutt., Gen. N. Amer. Pl. [Nuttall]. 2: 59. 1818.

Description

Stems subterranean or rising to about ground level. Pedicels long and slender, much longer than flower. Bracteoles subtending the calyx absent.

Species

Aphyllon fasciculatum (Nutt.) Torr & A. Gray, Manual (ed. 2) 281. 1848.

Orobanche fasciculata Nutt., Gen. N. Amer. Pl. 2: 59. 1818. Phelipaea fasciculata (Nutt.) Spreng., Syst. Veg. [Sprengel] 2: 818. 1825. Loxanthes fasciculatus (Nutt.) Raf., Neogenyt. 3. 1825. Anoplon fasciculatum (Nutt.) G. Don., Gen. Hist. 4: 633. 1838. Anoplanthus fasciculatus (Nutt.) Walp., Repert. Bot. Syst. 3: 480. 1844. Thalesia fasciculata (Nutt.) Britton, Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 5: 298. 1894. TYPE— USA: "Missouri", ca. 1811, *Nuttal s.n.*, (holotype, PH)

Aphyllon purpureum (A. Heller) Holub, Preslia 70: 100. 1998.

Thalesia purpurea A. Heller, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 24: 313. 1896.

Orobanche porphyrantha Beck, Pflanzenr. 96[IV,261]: 49. 1930.

Orobanche uniflora var. purpurea (A. Heller) Achey, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 60: 445. 1933.

TYPE— USA: Idaho: Nez Perce Co.: near mouth of the Potlatch, 20 May 1896, *Heller 3099.* (no holotype designated; isotypes, CAS, DAO, K, MIN, MO, MSC, NDG, PH, US)

Aphyllon uniflorum (L.) Torr & A. Gray, Manual (Gray) 290. 1848

Orobanche uniflora L., Sp. Pl. 2: 633. 1753. Anoplanthus uniflorus (L.) Endl., Gen. Pl. [Endlicher] 727. 1839. Thalesia uniflora (L.) Britton, Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 5: 298. 1894. TYPE LOCALITY— USA: Virginia. (lectotype, Clayton 387, BM)

Aphyllon sect. Nothaphyllon A. Gray, Bot. California [W.H. Brewer] 1: 584. 1876
 Myzorrhiza Phil., Linnea 29: 36. 1858. [TYPE— Myzorrhiza chilensis Phil.]
 Orobanche sect. Myzorrhiza Beck, Bibliotheca Botanica 4(19): 78. 1890.
 Orobanche sect. Nothaphyllon (A. Gray) Heckard, Madroño 22: 41. 1973
 TYPE— Aphyllon californicum (Cham. & Schltdl.) A. Gray, lectotype designated by Heckard, Madroño 22: 41. 1973.

Description

Stems clearly rising above ground. Pedicels equal to or shorter than flower. Bracteoles subtending the calyx 1 or 2.

Species

Aphyllon arizonicum (L.T. Collins) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche arizonica L.T. Collins, *Phytoneuron* 2015–48: 16, f. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6A, 7. 2015. TYPE— USA: Arizona, Coconino Co.: near Tuba City, 1539 m, 27 September 1935, *Kearney & Peebles 12867* (holotype, ARIZ; isotype, US)

Aphyllon californicum (Cham. & Schltdl.) A. Gray, Bot. California 1: 584. 1876.

Orobanche californica Cham. & Schltdl., Linnea 3: 134–136. 1828. Phelypaea californica (Cam. & Schltdl.) G. Don, Gen. Hist. 4: 632. 1838. Myzorrhiza californica (Cam. & Schltdl.) Rydb., Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 36: 696. 1909.

Aphyllon californicum subsp. condensum (Heckard) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche californica subsp. condensa Heckard, Madroño 22: 59–60, f. 1I-L, 5. 1973. TYPE— USA: California: San Luis Obispo Co.: Yaro Creek, 25 May 1955, Bacigalupi, Ferris & Robbins 5242 (holotype, JEPS; isotypes, NY, RSA, US, WTU)

Aphyllon californicum subsp. feudgei (Munz) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

- Orobanche grayana var. feudgei Munz, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 57: 616–617, pl. 38, f. 8. 1930.
- Orobanche californica subsp. feudgei (Munz) Heckard, Madroño 22: 62. 1973.
- TYPE— USA: California: San Bernardino Co.: Baldwin Lake, 2 June 1924, *Munz 8177* (holotype, POM)

Aphyllon californicum subsp. grande (Heckard) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

- *Orobanche californica* subsp. *grandis* Heckard, *Madroño* 22: 60–62, f. 1P-R, 3A, 4E, 5. 1973.
- TYPE— USA: California: Santa Barbara Co.: dunes at Surf, 22 July 1954, *H. M. Pollard* (holotype, UC; isotype, CAS)

Aphyllon californicum subsp. grayanum (Beck) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche grayana Beck, Biblioth. Bot. 4: 79. 1890. Myzorrhiza grayana (Beck) Rydb., Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 36: 695. 1909. Orobanche californica subsp. grayana (Munz) Heckard, Madroño 22: 54. 1973. TYPE— USA: Oregon: banks of the Columbia River, 1825, Douglas s.n. (lectotype, K)

Aphyllon californicum subsp. jepsonii (Munz) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche grayana var. jepsonii Munz, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 57: 617, pl. 38, f. 10. 1930.
Orobanche californica subsp. jepsonii (Munz) Heckard, Madroño 22: 57. 1973.
TYPE— USA: California: Colusa Co.: Princeton, October 1905, H. P. Chandler s.n. (holotype: POM, isotype: UC)

Aphyllon chilense (Phil.) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Myzorrhiza chilensis Phil., *Linnea* 29: 36–37. 1857. *Orobanche chilensis* (Phil.) Beck, *Biblioth. Bot.* 4: 82–83. 1890. TYPE— unknown.

Aphyllon cooperi A. Gray, Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts 20: 307. 1885.

Orobanche ludoviciana var. cooperi (A. Gray) Beck, Biblioth. Bot. 4(Heft 19): 81. 1890
Orobanche cooperi (A. Gray) A. Heller, Cat. N. Amer. Pl. 7. 1898.
Myzorrhiza cooperi (A. Gray) Rydb. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 36: 695. 1909.
TYPE LOCALITY— USA: Arizona: Fort Mojave (lectotype designated by Munz, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 57: 620-21, Cooper s.n. in 1860-61, GH)

Aphyllon cooperi subsp. latilobum (Munz) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

- Orobanche ludoviciana var. latiloba Munz, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 57: 621–622, pl. 39, f. 18. 1930.
- *Orobanche cooperi* subsp. *latiloba* (Munz) L.T. Collins, *Phytoneuron* 2015–48: 15. 2015. TYPE— USA: California: Riverside Co.: Colorado Desert, 22 April 1922, *Munz & Keck 4960* (holotype: POM, isotype, US)

Aphyllon cooperi subsp. palmeri (Munz) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche multicaulis var. palmeri Munz, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 57: 613, pl. 38, f. 2. 1930. Orobanche cooperi subsp. palmeri (Munz) L.T. Collins, Phytoneuron 2015–48: 16. 2015. TYPE— Mexico, Durango, April-November 1896, *Palmer 7* (holotype: GH, isotypes, MO, UC)

Aphyllon corymbosum (Rydb.) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

- Myzorrhiza corymbosa Rydb., Bull Torrey Bot. Club 36: 696. 1909.
 Orobanche corymbosa (Rydb.) Ferris, Contr. Dudley Herb. 5: 99. 1958.
 TYPE— USA: Reynold's Creek, 2 July 1892, Isabel Mulford s.n. (holotype, NY; isotype, MO)
- Aphyllon corymbosum subsp. mutabile (Heckard) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.
 Orobanche corymbosa subsp. mutabilis Heckard, Canad. J. Bot. 56: 187–188. 1978.
 TYPE— USA: Washington: Grant Co.: O'Sullivan Dam, 11 July 1950, S. W. Harris 97 (holotype, WS)
- Aphyllon dugesii S. Watson, Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts 18: 132. 1883.
 Orobanche dugesii (S. Watson) Munz, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 57: 613, t. 38, f. 3. 1931.
 TYPE— Mexico: Gueanajatao, Dugès s.n. (holotype, GH)
- Aphyllon ludovicianum (Nutt) A. Gray. Bot. California [W.H.Brewer] 1. 585.
 Orobanche ludoviciana Nutt. Gen. N. Amer. Pl. 2: 58–59. 1818.
 Phelypaea ludoviciana (Nutt) Walp. Repert. Bot. Syst. 3: 461. 1844.
 Myzorrhiza ludoviciana (Nutt) Rydb. Fl. S.E. U.S 1338. 1903.
 TYPE— USA: Ft. Mandan, 1810-1811, Nuttall s.n. (holotype, PH)
- Aphyllon multiflorum (Nutt) A. Gray. Bot. California [W.H.Brewer] 1. 585.
 Orobanche multiflora Nutt., J. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia, ser. 2 1: 179. 1848.
 TYPE— USA: Rio Grande, 1845, Gambel s.n. (neotype designated by White & Holmes, Sida 19: 623, USA: Texas: Jim Wells Co., 19 April 1944, Lundell & Lundell 12809, LL; isoneotype, LL)
- Aphyllon parishii (Jeps.) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.
 Orobanche californica var. parishii Jeps. Man. Fl. Pl. Calif. 952. 1925.
 Orobanche parishii (Jeps.) Heckard. Madroño 22: 66. 1973.
 TYPE— USA: California: San Bernardino Co.: Bear Valley, 1894, S. B. Parish s.n.
 (holotype, JEPS)
- Aphyllon parishii subsp. brachylobum (Heckard) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.
 Orobanche parishii subsp. brachyloba Heckard, Madroño 22: 68–70, 2J, 3N, 5. 1973.
 TYPE— USA: California: Ventura Co.: Dutch Harbor, San Nicolas Island, 23 April 1966,
 Raven & Thompson 20794 (holotype, JEPS; isotypes, MO, RSA, SBBG)
- Aphyllon pinorum (Geyer ex Hook.) A. Gray, Bot. California 1: 585. 1876.
 Orobanche pinorum Geyer ex Hook., Hooker's J. Bot. Kew Gard. 3:297–298. 1851.
 TYPE— USA: Idaho/Washington border, Geyer 445 (holotype, K)

Aphyllon riparium (L.T. Collins) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche riparia L.T. Collins, *J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas* 3: 7–10, f. 1A-B, 2. 2009. TYPE— USA: Indiana, Gibson Co.: Griffin, 16 August 1931, *Deam 50941* (holotype, IND; isotypes, A, F, GH, IND, MINN, WIS)

Aphyllon robbinsii (Heckard ex Colwell & Yatsk.) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche robbinsii Heckard ex Colwell & Yatsk., *Phytoneuron* 2016-58: 2. 2016. TYPE— USA: California: San Francisco Co.: Lands End, 13 August 1956], *Deam 50941* (holotype, IND; isotypes, A, F, GH, IND, MINN, WIS)

Aphyllon tacnaense (Mattf.) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche tacnaensis Mattf., Notizbl. Bot Gart. Berlin-Dahlem 8: 185–186. 1922. SYNTYPES—: Peru: Tacna, 1890, Woitschach 71 (photograph of type: F); Peru: Tacna, 1833, F. J. F. Meyen s.n.

Aphyllon tarapacanum (Phil.) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche tarapacana Phil., Anales Mus. Nac. Santiago de Chile 1891: 69. 1891.

Aphyllon tuberosum (A. Gray) A. Gray, Bot. California 1: 585. 1876.

Phelypaea tuberosa A. Gray, Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts 7: 371. 1868.

Orobanche bulbosa Beck, Biblioth. Bot. 4: 83–84. 1890.

TYPE— USA: California: Monterey Co: Gavilan Mountains, 1860-1862, *Brewer 743* (holotype, GH; isotype K)

Aphyllon validum (Jeps.) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche valida Jeps., Madroño 1: 255-256. 1929.
Orobanche ludoviciana var. valida (Jeps.) Munz, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 57: 621. 1930.
TYPE— USA: California: Rock Creek, San Gabriel Mountains, 2 June 1923, F. W. Peirson 7937 (holotype: JEPS, isotype: RSA)

Aphyllon validum subsp. howellii (Heckard & L.T Collins) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche valida subsp. *howellii* Heckard & L.T Collins, *Madroño* 29: 98-100, f. 1A-E. 1982.

TYPE— USA: California: Mendocino Co.: Impassable Rock, 14 July 1951, *Donald V. Hemphill s.n.* (holotype: UC)

Aphyllon vallicolum (Jeps.) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche comosa var. vallicola Jeps., Man. Fl. Pl. Calif. 952. 1925.
Orobanche vallicola (Jeps.) Heckard, Madroño 22: 64. 1973.
TYPE— USA: California: Santa Clara Co.: Coyote, 14 October 1914, W. L. Jepson 6196 (holotype: JEPS, isotypes: GH, MO)

Aphyllon weberbaueri (Mattf.) A.C. Schneid. comb. nov.

Orobanche weberbaueri Mattf., Notizbl. Bot Gart. Berlin-Dahlem 8: 185. 1922.

TYPE— Peru: Camaná: Areuipa, Hafen Chala, 26 November 1915, *A. Weberbauer 7185.* (isotypes: GH, US)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A version of this chapter was previously published in the open-access journal *Phytokeys* (75: 107–118; see https://phytokeys.pensoft.net/articles.php?id=10473) with support from the Berkeley Research Impact Initiative sponsored by the UC Berkeley Library. Thanks to Bruce Baldwin, Alison Colwell, Gerald Schneeweiss, John Strother, and George Yatskievych for reviewing earlier versions of this article and for nomenclatorial advice.

LITERATURE CITED

- Beck, G. 1890. *Monographe der Gattung Orobanche. Bibliotheca Botanica* 19. Theoder Fischer, Kassel, Germany.
- Freyman, W. A. 2015. SUMAC: software for constructing phylogenetic supermatrices and assessing partially decisive taxon coverage. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics* 11: 263–266.
- Gray, A. 1848. Manual of the botany of the northern United States. James Munroe and Co., Boston, Massachusetts.
- Gray, A. 1856. Manual of the botany of the northern United States, ed 2. George P. Putnam and Co., New York, New York.
- Gray, A. 1876. *Aphyllon.* In Brewer, W.H., and S. Watson. *Geological Survey of California Botany* vol 1. pp 584–585.
- Heckard, L. R., and T. I. Chuang. 1975. Chromosome numbers and polyploidy in *Orobanche* (Orobanchaceae). *Brittonia* 27: 179–186.
- Holub, J. 1977. New names in Phanaerogamae 6. *Folia Geobotanica et Phytotaxonmica* 12: 417–432.
- Holub, J. 1990. Some taxonomic and nomenclatural changes within *Orobanche* s.l. (Orobanchaceae). *Preslia* 62: 193–198.
- Joel, D. M. 2009. The new nomenclature of *Orobanche* and *Phelipanche*. *Weed Research* 49: 6–7.
- McNeal, J.R., J. R. Bennett, A. D. Wolfe, and S. Mathews. 2013. Phylogeny and origins of holoparasitism in Orobanchaceae. *American Journal of Botany* 100: 971–983.
- Mitchell, J. 1769. Dissertatio brevis de principiis botanicorum et zoologorum. W. Schwartzkopf.
- Rydberg, P. A. 1906. Studies on the Rocky Mountain Flora XVI. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 33:137–162.
- Rydberg, P. A. 1909. Studies on the Rocky Mountain Flora XX. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 36:675–720.
- Schneeweiss, G. M. 2013. Phylogenetic relationships and evolutionary trends in Orobanchaceae. In: Joel, D. M., J. Gressel, and L. J. Mussleman, eds. *Parasitic Orobanchaceae*, pp. 243–265. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg.
- Schneeweiss, G. M., A. E. L. Colwell, J-M. Park, C-G Jang, and T. F. Stuessy. 2004a. Phylogeny of holoparasistic *Orobanche* (Orobanchaceae) inferred from nuclear ITS sequences.

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 30: 465–478.
Schneeweiss, G. M., T. Palomeque, A. E. L. Colwell, and H. Weiss-Schneeweiss. 2004b. Chromosome numbers and karyotype evolution in holoparasitic Orobanche (Orobanchaceae) and related genera. American Journal of Botany 91: 439–448.
Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogeneies. Bioinformatics 30: 1312–1313.



Figure 3.1. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram of *Aphyllon* and related holoparasite species inferred from 3 nuclear DNA loci (ITS, phytochrome A, and phytochrome B). Bootstrap scores of at least 70 % shown. Due to space constraints, several clades have been collapsed into triangles indicating the total recognized taxonomic diversity in that clade. For a more detailed and thorough study of phylogenetic relationships within *Aphyllon*, see Figures 2.1–2.3).

CHAPTER 4:

Parallel Pleistocene amphitropical disjunctions of a parasitic plant and its host

ABSTRACT

As shown in Chapter 2, closely related *Aphyllon* species in both North and South America are parasitic on *Grindelia*. However, the timing of these patterns and the processes to explain them are unknown. Therefore chronograms for the Orobanchaceae and *Grindelia* and their relatives were constructed using fossil and secondary calibration points, one of which was based on the inferred timing of horizontal gene transfer from a papilionoid legume into the common ancestor of *Orobanche* + *Phelipanche*. Elevated molecular evolution in the Orobanchaceae has hindered efforts to determine reliable divergence time estimates in the absence of a fossil record, however using a horizontal gene transfer event as a secondary calibration overcomes this limitation. These chronograms were used to reconstruct the biogeography of *Aphyllon*, *Grindelia*, and relatives using a DEC+J model implemented in RevBayes.

I infer two amphitropical dispersals of *Aphyllon* from North America to South America, with only a single dispersal in *Grindelia*. The dispersal of the *Aphyllon* lineage that is parasitic on *Grindelia* (0.41 Ma) took place somewhat after *Grindelia* began to diversify in South America (0.93 Ma). Although *Grindelia* and *Aphyllon* both dispersed to South America recently, *Grindelia* appears to have diversified more extensively post-colonization More broadly, recent Pleistocene glaciations probably have also contributed to patterns of diversity and biogeography of temperate northern hemisphere Orobancheae. In summary, parallel host-parasite amphitropical disjunctions in *Grindelia* and *Aphyllon* illustrate one means by which ecological specialization may result in non-independent patterns of diversity in distantly related lineages. This study also demonstrates the utility of using horizontal gene transfer events from well-dated clades to calibrate parasite phylogenies in the absence of a fossil record.

INTRODUCTION

Interactions between biogeography and ecology, that is, the interrelationship between species distributions and interspecific interactions, may strongly influence patterns of biodiversity (Sexton et al. 2009, Wiens 2011). Holoparasites, like other organisms that engage in obligate antagonistic or symbiotic interactions, require the presence of other species to complete their lifecycles. In such cases, strong links between biogeography, ecology, and perhaps also diversification are expected (Vanhove et al. 2016).

In chapter 2 I showed that all South American *Aphyllon* are phylogenetically nested within the temperate North American *A. ludovicianum* complex, but do not form a single monophyletic group. In one of these instances, a South American clade composed of *A. chilense* and *A. tacnaense* was found to be most closely related to two species native to the central United States, *A. ludovicianum* and *A. multiflorum*. Together, these four species are nested within the "*A. ludovicianum* complex", a clade that also includes two other North American taxa and the third sampled South American species, *A. tarapacanum* (Fig 2.1). This entire clade of parasites, like most species in *Aphyllon* sect. *Nothaphyllon*, parasitize perennial Asteraceae.

Within the *Aphyllon ludovicianum* complex, strong phylogenetic affinities exist between the hosts of North American and South American parasites. For example, species in the New World genus *Baccharis* have been reported as hosts for *A. ludovicianum* (e.g. *B. salicina* Torr. & A. Gray, *Collins* 1323 WIS), and for several collections of South American *Aphyllon* (*B. polyantha* Kunth; *Vargas* 3161, MO; *Vargas* 12880, US), as well as occasional hosts of several other *Aphyllon* species. Similarly, *A. tarapacanum* has been reported on South American *Franseria* Cav. (e.g. Hutchison & Wright 7189; UC, US). Nuclear ribosomal and plastid DNA support different phylogenetic placements of *A. tarapacanum* (Fig. 2.1– 2.3), but in either case, close North American relatives parasitize other genera in the Ambrosiinae (Asteraceae). Specifically, *A. riparium* (supported by nrDNA evidence as a close relative) primarily parasitizes *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L. and *Xanthium strumarium* L. (Collins et al. 2009), and *A. cooperi* (cpDNA) commonly parasitizes *Ambrosia dumosa*.

Most striking, however, is the *Aphyllon-Grindelia* Willd. host-parasite relationship: The primary hosts of *A. chilensis* are *G. chiloensis* (Cornel.) Cabrera and *G. ventanensis* Adr. Bartoli & Tortosa (Burkart, 1942), and its presumed closest North American relative, *A. ludovicianum*, commonly parasitizes *G. squarrosa* (Pursh) Dunal. *Grindelia* is also a host of the more distantly related *A. californicum* subsp. *californicum*.

The genus *Grindelia* (Asteraceae, Astereae), like *Aphyllon*, is restricted to the New World and has an amphitropical disjunction. Fine-scale taxonomic limits are somewhat controversial, with 25 to 50 recognized species native to North America and 26 recognized species native to South America (Bartoli and Tortosa 1999, Moore et al. 2012). This distribution appears to be the result of a single amphitropical disjunction event in *Grindelia*, with subsequent diversification in both North America and South America (Moore et al. 2012), although neither the timing of the dispersal and subsequent diversification nor the direction of dispersal were resolved in that study.

This current study was motivated by a desire to test this apparent case of parallel host-parasite American amphitropical disjunction and infer the relative timing of colonization. Specifically, the goals of this study are to (1) infer divergence times of major

clades within *Grindelia* as well as *Aphyllon* and its holoparasitic close relatives in the Orobanchaceae, (2) test the hypothesis of two independent colonizations of South America by North American *Aphyllon*, and (3) compare the timing of these colonizations with the historical biogeography of *Grindelia*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Orobanchaceae phylogeny — SUMAC version 1 (Freyman 2015) was used to download all Orobanchaceae and Paulowniaceae sequences from GenBank release 204 and to assemble a four-gene supermatrix (external transcribed spacer (ETS) and internal transcribed spacer region (ITS) of the nuclear ribosomal DNA, and low-copy nuclear genes phytochrome A and phytochrome B). Sampling within major clades outside the tribe Orobancheae (sensu McNeal et al. 2013, i.e., the clade of holoparasites that contains *Aphyllon*) was arbitrarily reduced to decrease computational time. Additional ETS and ITS sequences for both subspecies of *Aphyllon epigalium*, *A. purpureum*, and the undescribed clade of *A. fasciculatum* that parasitizes hosts other than species in the genus *Artemisia* L. were added manually for a total alignment of 160 minimum-ranked terminals. Final sequence alignments were generated using MAFFT v7.123b (Katoh and Standley 2013) and trimmed to form an aligned matrix of 5569 basepairs. GenBank accession numbers for sequences used in constructing the Orobanchaceae supermatrix are presented in Appendix C.

A chronogram of Orobanchaceae (including Rehmanniaceae) + Paulowniaceae was estimated using an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock model and a GTR+ Γ substitution model for each gene partition, implemented in RevBayes v. 1.0.4 (Höhna et al. 2014). Rate variation across sites was modeled under a gamma distribution approximated by four discrete rate categories. The constant rate birth-death-sampling tree prior (Stadler 2009) was used with the probability of sampling species at the present (ρ) set to 0.08. ρ was calculated by dividing the number of extant species sampled in the supermatrix (160) by the estimated total number of species in Orobanchaceae (~2000), though sampling density was substantially higher within the Orobancheae than in other lineages. Branch rates were drawn from a lognormal prior with the mean and standard deviation given exponentially distributed hyperpriors with rate parameters of 0.25. Tree topology was fixed to the result of a maximum likelihood analysis performed on the same partitioned 4-gene dataset using RAxML v. 8.2.9 (Stamatakis 2014), which was generally consistent with topologies inferred using more thorough studies (McNeal et al. 2013; Fig. 2.1-2.3).

The Paulowniaceae + Orobanchaceae root age was calibrated based on the stem age of Paulowniaceae reported by Magallón et al. (2015) as part of a 5-gene, 792-taxon study of angiosperms with 137 node calibrations. To model the uncertainty in root age, the root node was given a uniform calibration density with a range of 57.02–28.08 Ma, representing the 95% HPD reported by Magallón et al. (2015), who used an uncorrelated lognormal Bayesian analysis run in BEAST.

Divergence times within Orobanchaceae were estimated using two independent stochastic nodes (Fig. 4.1). The calibration used to date the crown node of *Orobanche* s.l. (including the genera *Aphyllon, Diphelypaea* D.H. Nicolson, and *Phelipanche* Pomel) was based on a dated tree of the *albumin1* gene, which originated in parasitic Orobanchaceae

through horizontal gene transfer from a papilionoid legume host approximately 16–11 Ma, before the divergence of *Orobanche* and *Phelipanche* (95% HPD: 16–6 Ma; Zhang et al. 2013). This node was modeled using a normal prior with a mean of 11 Ma and a standard deviation of 2.55. The other calibration was based on *Cistanche* Hoffmanns. ex Link pollen from a Neogene formation in Sebkha el Mellah, Algeria (Beucher 1971). Mueller (1981) reported the fossil as Pliocene, so the fossil age was conservatively fixed at 2.5 Ma, which represents the end of that epoch. Because the Miocene-Pliocene boundary is not well defined in this formation (see Beucher 1971, pp. 295, 398-399), the fossil could also be substantially earlier. The crown age of *Cistanche was treated* as a stochastic node with the waiting time to fossilization modeled by a lognormal prior with a mean of 10 and a standard deviation of 0.5. The waiting time to fossilization represents the time between the node being calibrated and the fossilization of a particular specimen in that lineage, as the probability of sampling the actual common ancestor are vanishingly small. In summary, the sampled prior ages had a mean of 12.5 Ma, and 95% were between 6.2 Ma and 29 Ma, reflecting the large uncertainty associated with this fossil date.

Four independent replicates of a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) were run for 5000 iterations as a pre-burnin to tune the proposals, then sampled every two iterations for 10,000 iterations. Each iteration consisted of 467 moves randomly scheduled from 369 different moves. The first 1000 samples of each run were discarded as burnin. Convergence was assessed using Tracer v. 1.6.0.

Grindelia *phylogeny* — The ITS and ETS alignment from Moore et al. (2012) was used for the analysis, with Genbank sequences from four additional outgroup taxa added and aligned by eye to allow for secondary time calibration: *Eurybia divaricata* (L.) G. L. Nesom (ITS: AY772423.1, ETS: AY772437), *E. radulina* (A. Gray) G. L. Nesom (ITS: EU200208.1, ETS: EU196489.1), *Symphyotrichum adnatum* (Nutt.) G. L. Nesom (ITS: JQ360444.1, ETS: JQ360214.1), and *S. drummondii* (Lindl.) G. L. Nesom var. *texanum* (E. S. Burgess) G. L. Nesom (ITS: U97603.1, ETS: AF516069.1). A backbone constraint tree was constructed in RAxML v 7.3.0 with the GTRGAMMA model of sequence evolution and made ultrametric using the chronos command in the 'ape' package (Paradis et al. 2004) in R (R Core Team 2016).

We gave the root age of the tree (the divergence between *Eurybia* (Cass.) Cass. and the remaining species) a uniform probability from 9.0–3.0 Ma (Fig. 4.2). This is the estimated divergence time between *Eurybia* and *Symphyotrichum* Nees plus *Grindelia* in the fossil-calibrated phylogeny of the Asteraceae reconstructed by Huang et al. (2016). No fossil or geological calibration points exist for internal nodes of the *Grindelia* phylogeny.

RevBayes v. 1.0.3 was used to produce a time-calibrated phylogeny, as described above for the Orobanchaceae. It was run for 10,000 iterations and sampled every 2 iterations, with a pre-burn-in of 5000 iterations and ρ set to 0.20. Each iteration consisted of 285 moves randomly scheduled from 297 different moves.

Inferring historical biogeography— Ancestral geographic ranges were inferred using a DEC+J model implemented in RevBayes, which allows for sympatric speciation, allopatric speciation, and jump dispersal events. These reconstructions were performed across the time-calibrated maximum clade credibility (MCC) trees inferred above. The Orobanchaceae tree was pruned to include only members of the clade Orobancheae (*Aphyllon* and

holoparasitic relatives) to prevent bias due to undersampling.

For the Orobancheae analysis, six non-overlapping biogeographic regions were used: (A) The California Floristic Province (CFP), (B) Western North America (except the CFP) to the Mississippi River basin and Hudson Bay, including Mexico and Central America, (C) Eastern North America, (D) Eurasia and Northern Africa, (E) Australia, and (F) South America (Fig. 4.3). Four independent MCMC replicates were run for 500 iterations as a preburnin to tune the proposals, then sampled every 10 iterations for 2,500 iterations, with the first 20% of samples discarded as burn-in. Each iteration consisted of three moves randomly scheduled from 11 different moves.

The *Grindelia* analysis used the same five regions delimited by Moore et al. (2012): (A) North America west of the Cascade-Sierra Nevada axis, (B) North America between the Cascade-Sierra Nevada axis and the crest of the Rocky Mountains, (C) North America east of the crest of the Rocky Mountains, (D) South America west of the crest of the Andes, and (E) South America east of the crest of the Andes (Fig. 4.4). Four independent MCMC replicates were run for 500 iterations as a pre-burnin to tune the proposals, then sampled every 10 iterations for 2,000 iterations. Each iteration consisted of five moves randomly scheduled from 12 different moves. The first 500 samples of each run were discarded as burnin.

RESULTS

Biogeography and divergence times in Orobanchaceae—Diversification of extant Orobanchaceae was relatively recent, with the family originating approximately 30 Ma, the origin of parasitism evolving shortly thereafter in the late Oligocene, and all but the earliest diverging lineages arising in the Neogene (Table 4.1, Fig. 4.1). Of the three clades of holoparasites in Orobanchaceae, the most species-rich, the tribe Orobancheae (sensu McNeal, 2013), is also the oldest, with a mean stem age of 26.2 Ma (95% HPD interval of 22.7–31.0 Ma) and a mean crown age of 16.8 Ma (14.2–19.8 Ma), and arose from a widespread ancestor in the Northern Hemisphere (Eurasia/Northern Africa + Western North America + Eastern North America, PP = 0.37, Table 4.1).

Most early diversification of Orobancheae took place in Eurasia/Northern Africa (Fig. 4.3) with origination (stem ages) of the genera *Boulardia* F. W. Schultz, *Diphelypaea*, *Orobanche* s.s., *Phelipanche, Cistanche*, and *Mannagettaea* Harry Sm. all between 9 and 15 Ma, although crown ages for *Phelipanche* and the clade of *Orobanche* that excludes *O. anatolica* and *O. colorata* are much more recent (*Phelipanche:* 3.1 Ma, 95% HPD of 2.2–4.0 Ma; *Orobanche* 3.5 Ma, 2.6–4.4 Ma). The genus *Aphyllon* represents a single colonization from Eurasia/Northern Africa 7.1 Ma (5.6–8.7 Ma) into either the CFP (PP = 0.30) or elsewhere in western North America (PP = 0.30), with only recent, repeated colonizations of eastern North America. Other species of Orobancheae in eastern North America, in the genera *Conopholis* Wallr. and *Epifagus* Nutt., also arrived there from range expansion of a common western North American ancestor, albeit much earlier, in the Pliocene or late Miocene (Fig. 4.3).

Other *Aphyllon* lineages moved into the CFP from western North America and diversified in situ, such as the clade that includes *A. vallicolum, A. robbinsii, A. parishii* subsp. *parishii*, and all subspecies of *A. californicum* except subsp. *grayanum* (PP= 1.0; mean crown age 0.78 Ma [95% HPD 0.54–1.04 Ma]). Another example is the most recent common

ancestor of *A. tuberosum* and *A. validum* (PP=0.83; mean crown age 2.6 Ma [1.9-3.3 Ma]), which diversified in the CFP as well as dispersing back out to form the lineage that became *A. cooperi* and *A. dugesii* in the deserts of western North America (PP = 0.73, Fig. 4.3).

We infer three amphitropical disjunctions caused by recent long distance dispersal events: two temperate American disjunctions in *Aphyllon*, and a third, of the rare Australian native *Orobanche cernua* var. *australiana* from a Northern Hemisphere Eurasian (or northern African) ancestor no earlier than 0.48 Ma (mean age 0.2 Ma; 95% HPD: 0.004–0.48 Ma, biogeography PP= 0.76). The two American amphitropical disjunctions resulted in two separate South American lineages from western North American ancestors. The first dispersal occurred ca. 1.4 Ma (0.97–1.8 Ma, PP = 0.71) and resulted in modern-day *A. tarapacanum*. The second occurred ca. 0.41 Ma (0.19-0.64, PP = 0.67) and resulted in the lineage that subsequently diversified into *A. tacnaense* and *A. chilense*.

Biogeography and divergence times of Grindelia— *Grindelia* appears to have arisen very recently, with a crown age, which is also the basal split between North American and South American *Grindelia*, of approximately 1.40 Ma (95% HPD: 0.71–2.43 Ma; Table 4.1, Fig. 4.2). *Grindelia* was reconstructed, with high probability (PP = 0.92; Fig. 4.4), as having arisen in North America, east of the Continental Divide. The genus reached eastern South America sometime before the first branching event in the South American clade at ca. 0.93 Ma (95% HPD: 0.41–1.36 Ma; biogeography PP = 1.0). The diversification in North America began at approximately the same time, ca. 1.18 Ma (95% HPD: 0.57–2.04 Ma) in eastern North America, with a subsequent dispersal to western North America at ca. 0.63 Ma (95% HPD: 0.26–1.17 Ma) and from there to the Pacific states at ca. 0.18 Ma (95% HPD: 0.06–0.31 Ma) and subsequently to California at ca. 0.16 Ma (95% HPD: 0.04–0.25 Ma).

DISCUSSION

Parallel American amphitropical disjunctions— The difficulty of a successful long distance dispersal event is particularly acute for holoparasites or other extreme specialists, whose propagules must traverse a long distance and then also make contact with a host plant. The probability of successful colonization is therefore the product of the probabilities of both dispersal and establishment of the parasite, and the probabilities of prior dispersal and establishment of suitable hosts. Nonetheless, there is strong support for two independent dispersals of *Aphyllon* from western temperate North America to temperate South America within the last 1.8 million years (Table 4.1, Fig. 4.3). The more recent case was slightly preceded by the North to South American dispersal and subsequent diversification of *Grindelia* less than 2.5 Ma, a common host of South American *A. chilense* and closely related North American *Aphyllon* (Table 4.1, Fig. 4.4).

The timing and direction of dispersal in both clades is consistent with the timing and direction of many other reported temperate amphitropical American disjuncts (Wen and Ickert-Bond, 2009). In this case, assuming the parasite was unable to exploit any other hosts, the lag time between host and parasite colonization may reflect the time necessary for the *Grindelia* to establish in South America so that it would have a sufficient range, or perhaps more importantly density (Tsai and Manos 2010), to support the establishment of *Aphyllon*.

Recent biogeographic studies of parasitic plants have generally not considered the biogeography of potential hosts (e.g. Wolfe et al. 2005; Bendikshy et al. 2010). One reason may be that the phylogenetic breadth of their host associations due to frequent host switching at deeper phylogenetic levels, or host generalism in the case of many hemiparasites, does not provide a biogeographic constraint. However, the geographically and temporally parallel amphitropical disjunctions in *Aphyllon* and *Grindelia* illustrate a link between the ecology and biogeography of parasites and their host.

Historical biogeography of Orobancheae— Although holoparasitism likely emerged in the Miocene (Fig. 4.1), the origination of most extant diversity in the Orobancheae appears to have taken place in the last several million years, with the stem age of several large clades, most notably *Orobanche* s.s. (excluding *O. anatolica* and *O. colorata*) and *Phelipanche*, 2–3 times older than the crown age (Fig. 4.3). One process that may result in this "stemminess" is a high extinction rate, with internal nodes clustered near the present representing lineages that have not yet had time to go extinct. However, differentiating a constant high background extinction rate from variable or shifting diversification rates in the absence of a fossil record can be difficult (Nee 1994, Rabosky 2010).

Nonetheless, a high background extinction rate in the Orobancheae is an attractive hypothesis given the extreme specialization of holoparasitic Orobancheae to their hosts. Ecological specialization has been noted as an important contributor to extinction risk in many systems, although susceptibility to extinction and evolutionary trajectories more broadly may also depend on type of specialization (host-parasite, plant-pollinator, fundamental niche of plants etc., Munday 2004, Jiguet et al. 2007, Colles et al. 2009, Eskildsen et al. 2015).

In addition to intrinsic risk of extinction due to specialization, the dynamic climate of the Quaternary may also have played a role in the relative paucity of long-branch terminal taxa in Orobanche, Phelipanche, and to a lesser extent Aphyllon. Since the beginning of the Pleistocene, a series of five major ice sheet advances and retreats have swept across North America (Balco and Rovey 2010), resulting in alternating episodes of range contraction into refugia, followed by expansion or migration during interglacial periods (Shafer et al. 2010). These changes could have contributed to increased extinction rates by reducing population size or disrupting host-parasite associations. Contemporaneous glaciations in South America may have limited available habitat for colonization of hosts or parasites. On the other hand, the glacial/interglacial cycles may have also promoted speciation, as new opportunities for host-switching arose due to changes in plant assemblages, followed by reproductive isolation. Such host-switching may have led to the divergence of the genus Kopsiopsis (Beck) Beck, which became isolated in California and the Pacific Northwest following a host-shift to Ericaceae (Fig. 4.3). The remainder of this New World lineage, that is, the genera *Epifagus, Conopholis,* and Boschniakia C.A. Mey. ex Bong. have been present in eastern North America since the late Miocene or Pliocene, likely retreating into southeastern North America during the Pleistocene glaciations (Tsai and Manos 2010, Rodrigues and Stefanovic 2016).

Such environmental changes undoubtedly shaped the ranges of extant species, perhaps most obviously *Aphyllon uniflorum*. This species is primarily found in eastern North America, but also on the Alaska Peninsula and in far southwestern British Columbia, a vicariant pattern perhaps explained by recent glaciation. Historical species ranges, such as those inferred from population genetic analyses or fossil pollen records, are not taken into account in the ancestral state reconstructions performed here.

Other eastern North American *Aphyllon* species such as *A. fasciculatum and A. riparium* are also nested in the phylogeny, with western North America as the inferred origin of *Aphyllon*, which is also where extant taxonomic diversity is highest. Within western North America, I infer relatively frequent dispersal into and out of the CFP, in addition to recent *in situ* diversification in both the CFP and the rest of western North America (Fig. 4.3). This frequency of dispersal likely contributes to our difficulty in inferring the historical biogeography at these earlier nodes, or in being able to distinguish among alternative biogeographic hypothesis of California origins, western North American origins outside of California, or widespread ranges in both regions, in spite of the Sierra Nevada crest being a relatively strong biogeographical barrier today. One other important limitation in these analyses is uncertainty in tree topology. Incongruence between trees inferred using plastid and nuclear data at some deeper nodes (Fig. 2.1, Fig. 2.2) likely point to a more complicated biogeographical history of *Aphyllon* in western North America than presented here.

Though the biogeographical patterns of species in Eurasia and Africa are not the main focus of this study, these regions contain the majority of extant taxonomic and phylogenetic diversity within Orobancheae, particularly in the genera *Orobanche*, *Phelipanche*, and to a lesser extent *Cistanche*. As in North America, cooling and drying during the Pliocene followed by glacial/interglacial cycles likely greatly affected the diversification and extant ranges of the Orobancheae. Two centers of extant *Orobanche* and *Phelipanche* diversity, the Mediterranean and Caucasus, have been hypothesized as refugia for both plant and animal lineages during Pleistocene ice ages (Taberlet et al. 1998, Huwitt et al. 1999), although other processes may also have contributed to the high diversity in those regions (Feliner 2011). A more precise study of historical biogeography of Old World Orobancheae, with additional sampling of Eurasian taxa, would shed additional light on the range of the common holoparasitic ancestor and, by extension, how this lineage dispersed across the Northern Hemisphere.

Historical Biogeography of Grindelia—Our analyses indicate that *Grindelia* diversified extremely recently in both North America and South America, more so than many of the other plants with which it co-occurs. For example, the estimated diversification time of the Californian species (that is, the species that are sister to *G. integrifolia* DC.) is 0.16 Ma, which is much more recent than almost all of the other groups that have significant ecological diversity in the CFP (Baldwin 2014). Similarly, compared to many other North American - South American disjuncts that show extensive diversification on the continent to which they dispersed, *Grindelia* arrived in South America through a long distance dispersal event much more recently, around 1.4 Ma (Figure 4, Wen and Ickert-Bond 2009). In contrast, other recent disjuncts, such as *Aphyllon*, have only one or two described species on the continent to which they dispersed.

Our finding of recent diversification for North American *Grindelia* is consistent with several lines of evidence from previous studies. First, North American species that have been tested are capable of hybridization with one another (although some species are separated by chromosomal rearrangements and only produce sterile hybrids; Dunford 1964, 1986). Second, many taxonomic species sampled in phylogenetic analyses are

resolved as paraphyletic, which a combination of incomplete lineage sorting and recent hybridization (Moore et al. 2012), or taxonomic over-splitting. Third, there are some taxonomic difficulties, especially in the North American species, likely due to ecological diversification, and potential reproductive isolation in the field occurring more rapidly than strong morphological differentiation (Strother and Wetter 2006, Moore et al. 2014).

Despite these independent lines of evidence for recent diversification, it is possible that better calibrations in future analyses could change our age estimates. The only available calibration for this *Grindelia* phylogeny was a secondary calibration of the root node, based on a larger fossil-calibrated phylogeny of the Asteraceae as a whole (Huang et al. 2016). These authors used several fossil calibration points, but these calibration points were also all from relatively deep in the tree. Thus, it is possible that our 95% HPD intervals do not reflect the full uncertainty in the dating of these nodes, in which case the *Grindelia* may have been in South America for a longer period before establishment of *Aphyllon*.

Inferring divergence times by horizontal gene transfer— From a methodological standpoint, this study illustrates the utility of using horizontal gene transfer events as secondary calibration points to infer chronograms. The lack of fossils in the Orobanchaceae has required previous studies to rely on molecular-clock methods to infer divergence times (Wolfe et al. 2005). However, parasitic plants, which include nearly all members of the Orobanchceae, have accelerated rates of molecular evolution in the nuclear, plastid, and mitochondrial genomes (Bromham et al. 2013). Therefore, a molecular clock calibrated using a nonparasitic lineage should be systematically biased toward earlier parasite divergence times. It is difficult to meaningfully compare our findings with those of Wolfe et al. (2015) in that regard since the understanding of phylogenetic relationships at the generic level has changed and I used the more current Orobanchaceae + Paulownianceae root age estimate of Magallón et al. (2014). Further work to develop evolutionary models that explicitly incorporate horizontal transfer events could be used to more rigorously test these ideas in Orobanchaceae and other lineages of gene parasitic plants, nine of which have documented host-to-parasite horizontal gene transfers (Davis and Xi 2015).

CONCLUSIONS

Together, the patterns and timing of diversification in *Aphyllon* and *Grindelia* illustrate the role that host-parasite ecology can play in shaping biogeographical patterns. Out of three amphitropical disjunctions in the Orobancheae (one in Old World Orobanche, and two temperate American disjunctions in Aphyllon), the phylogenetic and host relationships are most Although *Aphyllon* and *Grindelia* appear to have taken different evolutionary trajectories following dispersal to South America (with only four described South American taxa in *Aphyllon* following two dispersal events, and *Grindelia* diversifying into 26 taxa following a single dispersal), the timing of their dispersals to South America are relatively congruent, with the dispersal of *Grindelia* occurring only slightly before the dispersal of *Aphyllon*. In the Northern Hemisphere, recent Pleistocene glaciations probably have also contributed to diversity and biogeography of temperate Orobancheae. Given the

preponderance of host switches that have occurred in *Aphyllon*, it is uncertain if the existence of *Grindelia* directly enabled this lineage of *Aphyllon* to successfully colonize South America, but host availability should not be ignored in the context of parasite biogeography.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A version of this chapter has been submitted for publication as a co-authored article with Abby Moore, and used with permission. Thanks to Will Freyman for computational assistance, Mike Simpson and Matt Guilliams for organizing the symposium that inspired this contribution, and Bruce Baldwin, Alison Colwell, Brent Mishler, and Carl Rothfels for critical comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Baldwin, B.G. 2014. Origins of plant diversity in the California Floristic Province. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 45: 347–369.
- Bartoli, A. and R.D. Tortosa. 1999. Revisión de las especies sudamericanas de *Grindelia* (Asteraceae: Astereae). *Kurtziana* 27: 327–359.
- Beucher, F. 1971. Étude palynologique de formations néogènes et quaternaires au Sahara nord-occidental. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Paris.
- Bromham L, P. F. Cowman, and R. Lanfear. 2013. Parasitic plants have increased rates of evolution across all three genomes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 13:126.
- Burkart, A. 1942. Las orobancáceas, especialmente Orobanche chilensis y su distribución geográfica en la República Argentina. *Darwiniana* 4: 303–310.
- Colles, A., L.H. Liow, and A. Prinzing. 2009. Are specialists at risk under environmental change? Neoecological, paleoecological and phylogenetic approaches. *Ecology Letters* 12: 849–863.
- Collins, L. T., A. E. L. Colwell, and G. Yatskievych. 2009. *Orobanche riparia* (Orobanchaceae): A new species from the American Midwest. *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas* 3: 3–11.
- Davis C. C. and Z. Xi. 2015. Horizontal gene transfer in parasitic plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 26: 14–19.
- Dunford, M.P. 1964. A cytogenetic analysis of certain polyploids in *Grindelia* (Compositae). *American Journal of Botany* 51: 49–56.
- Dunford, M.P. 1986. Chromosome relationships of diploid species of *Grindelia* (Compositae) from Colorado, New Mexico, and adjacent areas. *American Journal of Botany* 73: 297–303.
- Eskildsen, A., L. G. Carvalheiro, W. D. Kissling, J. C. Biesmeijer, O. Schweiger, and T. T. Hoye. Ecological specialization matters: long-term trends in butterfly species richness and assemblage composition depend on multiple functional traits. *Diversity and Distributions* 21: 792–802.

Feliner, G. N. 2011. Southern European glacial refugia: A tale of tales. *Taxon* 60: 365–372

- Freyman, W. A. 2015. SUMAC: Software for constructing phylogenetic supermatrices and assessing partially decisive taxon coverage. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics* 2015(11).
- Hewitt, G. M. 1999. Post-glacial recolonization of European Biota. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 68: 87–112.
- Höhna, S., T. A. Heath, B. Boussau, M. J. Landis, F. Ronquist, and J. P. Huelsenbeck. 2014. Probabilistic graphical model representation in phylogenetics. *Systematic Biology* 63: 753–771.
- Huang, C-H., C. Zhang, M. Liu, Y. Hu, T. Gao, J. Qi, and H. Ma. 2016. Multiple polyploidization events across Asteraceae with two nested events in the early history revealed by nuclear phylogenomics. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33: 2820–2835.
- Jiguet, F, A-S. Gadot, R. Julliard, S. E. Newson, and D. Couvet. 2007. Climate envelope, life history traits and the resilience of birds facing global change. *Global Change Biology* 13: 1672–1684.
- Katoh, K. and D.M. Standley. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30: 772–780.
- Magallón, S., S. Gómez-Acevedo, L. L. Sánchez-Reyes, and T. Hernández. A metacalibrated time-tree documents the early rise of plant phylogenetic diversity. *New Phytologist* 207: 437–453.
- Moore, A. J., A. Bartoli, R.D. Tortosa, and B. G. Baldwin. 2012. Phylogeny, biogeography, and chromosome evolution of the amphitropical genus *Grindelia* inferred from nuclear ribosomal and chloroplast sequence data. *Taxon* 61: 211–230.
- Moore, A. J., W. L. Moore, and B. G. Baldwin. 2014. Genetic and ecotypic differentiation in a Californian plant polyploid complex (*Grindelia*, Asteraceae). *PLoS ONE* 9: e95656.
- Muller, J. 1981. Fossil pollen records of extant angiosperms. *The Botanical Review* 47: 1–142.
- Munday, P.L. 2004. Habitat loss, resource specialization, and extinction on coral reefs. *Global Change Biology* 10: 1642–1647.
- Nee, S., E. C. Holmes, R. M. May, and P. H. Harvey. 1994. Extinction rates can be estimated from molecular phylogenies. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B*. 344: 77–82.
- Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20: 289–290.
- R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- Rabosky, D. 2010. Extinction rates should not be estimated from molecular phylogenies. *Evolution* 64: 1816–1824.
- Rodrigues A, and S. Stefanvoic. 2016. Present day genetic structure of the holoparasite *Conopholis americana* (Orobanchaceae) in eastern North America and the location of its refugia during the last glacial cycle. *International Journal of Plant Science* 177: 132–144.
- Sexton, J. P., P. J. McIntyre, A. L. Angert, and K. J. Rice. 2009. Evolution and ecology of species range limits. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 40: 415–436.
- Shafer, A. B. A., C. A. Cunningham, S. D. Côté, and D. W. Coltman. 2010. Of glaciers and refugia: A decade of study sheds new light on the phylogeography of northwestern
North America. *Molecular Ecology* 19: 4589–4621.

- Stadler, T. 2009. On incomplete sampling under birth–death models and connections to the sampling–based coalescent. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 261: 58–66.
- Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML Version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Strother, J. L. and M. A. Wetter. 2006. *Grindelia*. Pages 424–436 in Flora of North America Editorial Committee [eds.], *Flora of North America North of Mexico* vol. 20. Oxford University Press, New York and Oxford.
- Taberlet P., L. Fumagalli, A.-G. Wust-Saucy, and J.-F. Cosson. 1998. Comparative phylogeography and postglacial colonization routes in Europe. *Molecular Ecology* 7: 453-464.
- Tsai, Y-H. E., and P. Manos. 2010. Host density drives the postglacial migration of the tree parasite, *Epifagus virginiana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy Sciences USA* 107: 17035–17040.
- Vanhove , M. P. M., P. I Hablützel, A. Pariselle, A Šimková, T. Huyse, and J. A. M. Raeymaekers. 2016. Cichlids: A host of opportunities for evolutionary parasitology. *Trends in Parasitology* 32: 820–832
- Wen, J. and S. M. Ickert-Bond. 2009. Madrean-Tethyan and amphitropical disjunctions in plants. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* 47: 331–348.
- Wiens, J. J. 2011. The niche, biogeography, and species interactions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*. 366: 2336–2350.
- Wolfe, A. D., C. P. Randle, L. Liu, and K. E. Steiner. 2005. Phylogeny and biogeography of Orobanchaceae. *Folia Geobotanica* 40: 115–134.
- Zhang Y., M. Fernandez-Aparicio, E. K. Wafula, M. Das, Y. Jiao, N. J. Wickett, L. A. Honaas, P.E. Ralph, M. F. Wojciechowski, M. P. Timko, J. I. Yoder, J.H. Westwood, and C. W. dePamphilis. 2013. Evolution of a horizontally acquired legume gene albumin 1, in the parasitic plant *Phelipanche aegyptiaca* and related species. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 13: 48.

Table 4.1. Divergence times with credible intervals (95% highest probability density [HPD]) and inferred historical biogeography of selected well–supported clades within Orobanchaceae and *Grindelia*. For a map of biogeographical regions, see Fig. 4.3 (Orobancheae) or Fig. 4.4 (*Grindelia*).

Clade	Crown	Age (Ma)	Biogeograp	ohy
	Mean	95% HPD	Region	Posterior Probability
Orobanchaceae (incl. Rehmanniaceae)	29.8	25.9-35.2	-	-
Parasitic Orobanchaceae	27.3	23.5-32.0	-	-
Holoparasitic Orobancheae	16.8	14.2-19.8	Eurasia/ N. Africa + Western North America + Eastern North America	0.37
			Eurasia/North Africa + Eastern North America	0.26
Orobanche s.l. (incl. Diphelypaea)	13.9	11.7-16.4	Eurasia/ N. Africa	0.54
Aphyllon + Phelipanche	10.5	8.5-12.5	Eurasia/ N. Africa	0.41
Aphyllon	7.1	5.6-8.7	California Floristic Province	0.30
			Western North America	0.30
			California Floristic Province + Western North America	0.10
Aphyllon sect. Aphyllon	4.41	3.35-5.54	Western North America	0.33
			California Floristic Province + Western North America	0.33
Aphyllon sect. Nothaphyllon	2.79	2.05-3.61	California Floristic Province + Western North America	0.58
			California Floristic Province	0.29
<i>A. ludovicianum</i> complex ¹	1.39	0.97-1.82	Western North America	0.71
A. chilense + A. tacnaense + A. ludovicianum + A. multiflorum	0.41	0.19-0.64	Western North America	0.67

A. chilense + A. tacnaense	0.13	0.03-0.27	South America	1
Grindelia	1.40	0.71-2.43	Eastern North America	0.92
North American Grindelia	1.18	0.57-2.04	Eastern North America	0.98
Western N. American Grindelia	0.63	0.26-1.17	Western North America	1
Pacific Coast Grindelia	0.18	0.06-0.31	Pacific Coast	1
California Grindelia ²	0.16	0.04-0.25	Pacific Coast	1
S. American Grindelia	0.93	0.41-1.36	Eastern South America	1

¹ The A. ludovicianum complex comprises A. chilense, A. tacnaense, A. ludovicianum, A. multiflorum, A. sp. nov., A. arizonicum, A. riparium, and A. tarapacanum (see Fig. 2.1).
² California Grindelia is the sister group to G. integrifolia.



Figure 4.1. Bayesian Inference chronogram (maximum likelihood topology) of Orobanchaceae. Blue bars represent 95% HPD confidence intervals of node ages. Green bars represent priors on the root age (uniform), crown *Cistanche* (lognormal), and the most recent common ancestor of *Orobanche* and *Phelipanche* (normal).



Figure 4.2. Bayesian Inference chronogram (maximum likelihood topology) of *Grindelia* and relatives (Asteraceae), reconstructed using RevBayes. Blue bars represent 95% HPD confidence intervals of node ages. The green bars represent the uniform prior on the root age.



Figure 4.3. Bayesian Inference chronogram (maximum likelihood topology) of Orobancheae biogeography, reconstructed using a DEC+J model implemented in RevBayes. Colored circles at tips represent the biogeographical range of each taxon. Circles on each node represent the reconstructed ancestral area of the most recent common ancestor of the two daughter lineages, while circles on either side of the node show the reconstructed areas immediately following cladogenesis. Each color represents a different biogeographical region or combination of regions as indicated by the map and legend in the upper left. The blue dashed line represents the southernmost extent of *Aphyllon* in North America.



Figure 4.4. Bayesian Inference chronogram (maximum likelihood topology) of *Grindelia* and relatives showing biogeography, reconstructed using a DEC+J model implemented in RevBayes. Colored circles on each node represent the reconstructed ancestral area of the most recent common ancestor of the two daughter lineages, while circles on either side of the node show the reconstructed areas immediately following cladogenesis. The map indicates which colors indicate which regions, with multiple-region states indicated by colored circles under the map.

Appendix A

Flowering time and serpentine tolerance trait data for 1205 minimum-ranked taxa in the study presented in Chapter 1

Column	Description
Clade	The study clade including the sample
Genus	Genus
species_infraspecies	Species epithet followed by infraspecific epithet (subspecies or variety) if applicable.
Serp. tolerance	Categorizes the taxon into one of three groups based on the serpentine affinity score of Safford et al. (2005) or the flora treatment in the Jepson Manual ed. 2. E = serpentine endemic (Safford score > 4.5), T = tolerant but not endemic (Safford score between 0 and 4.5), and N = non-tolerant
Safford Index	Serpentine affinity on a scale of 1.0 to 6.25 representing increasing endemism, as determined by Safford et al. (2005). Taxa with no entry here were not included in Safford's database and are assumed to be not serpentine tolerant, unless otherwise specified in the Jepson Manual ed. 2. (Baldwin <i>et al.</i> 2012)
Onset	flowering onset, as reported by the regional flora
Ending	flowering ending, as reported by the regional flora
Midpoint	flowering time midpoint, by Julian Day (Jan 1 = 1, Feb. 1 = 32, etc.) or by month (Jan 1 = 1.0, Feb. 1 = 2.0, etc.)
CA native	Is this taxon native to California? Based on the Jepson Manual ed. 2 (Baldwin <i>et al.</i> 2012).
Phylogeny	Did the available phylogenies include this taxon?

LITERATURE CITED

Baldwin, B. G., D. H. Goldman, D. J. Keil, R. Patterson, T. J. Rosatti, and D. H. Wilken, editors. 2012. *The Jepson manual: Vascular plants of California.* Second edition. University of California Press, Berkeley, California.

Safford, H. D., J. H. Viers, and S. P. Harrison. 2005. Serpentine endemism in the California flora: a database of serpentine affinity. *Madroño* 52: 222–257.

Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Clade		
Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Genus		
geyeri	fimbriatum_purdyi	fimbriatum_mohavense	fimbriatum_fimbriatum	falcifolium	drummondii	diclamydeum	diabolense	denticulatum	crispum	cratericola	cernuum	canadense_canadense	campanulatum	burlewii	brevistylum	bolanderi_peninsulare	bolanderi_mirabile	bolanderi_bolanderi	bisceptrum	atrorubens_cristatum	atrorubens_atrorubens	anceps	amplectens	acuminatum	abramsii	species_infraspecies		
N	гл	N	Т	Т	N	Т	ы	N	Т	Т	N	N	Т	N	N	Т	Т	Т	N	N	N	N	Т	Т	N	ance	toler-	Serp.
	5.4			4.2			6		1.3	2.6							2.3	1.1					2.3	1.5		Index	Safford	
May	April	April	April	April	March	May	April	April	March	March	July	April	Мау	April	June	May	Мау	May	Мау	May	Мау	April	April	April	Мау	Onset		
September	June	May	June	June	June	July	June	July	June	June	October	July	August	July	August	August	July	August	July	June	June	May	July	July	July	Ending		
197	136	121	136	136	121	167	136	151.5	121	121	243	151.5	182	151.5	197	182	167	182	167	152	152	121	151.5	151.5	167	(day)		Midp
7.5	5.5	თ	5.5	5.5	ы	6.5	5.5	6	თ	ഗ	9	6	7	6	7.5	7	6.5	7	6.5	6	6	ഗ	6	6	6.5	(mo)		oint
no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	native	CA	
yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	geny	Phylo-	

Flowering time

Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium
A llimm	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium
	punctum	praecox	platycaule	peninsulare_peninsulare	peninsulare_franciscanum	parvum	parryi	parishii	paniculatum_paniculatum	obtusum_obtusum	obtusum_conspicuum	nevadense	munzii	monticola	membranaceum	marvinii	lemmonii	lacunosum_micranthum	lacunosum_lacunosum	lacunosum_kernense	lacunosum_davidsiae	jepsonii	hyalinum	howellii_howellii	howellii_clokeyi	howelii_sanbenitense	hoffmanii	hickmanii	haematochiton	goodingii
IJ	Z	Z	z	Z	T	Т	Z	Z	z	Z	Т	Z	Z	Z	Т	Z	N	T	Т	Z	Z	н	Т	Т	Z	Z	н	Z	Z	Z
л					1.8						Ц				1.3			4.3	3.8			5.4					6.1			
liine	May	March	May	April	May	April	May	April	June	May	May	April	April	May	May	March	May	April	April	April	April	May	March	March	May	April	June	March	March	June
Inlv	June	Мау	June	May	June	June	July	May	July	June	June	June	May	July	June	April	June	Мау	Мау	Мау	Мау	July	Мау	April	June	Мау	July	Мау	May	September
182	152	106	152	121	152	136	167	121	-30	152	152	136	121	167	152	91	152	121	121	121	121	167	106	91	152	121	182	106	106	212.5
		д	6	ഗ	6	5	6.5	ы	0	6	6	5.5	ы	6.5	9	4	6	ы	ഗ	ഗ	ы	6.5	4.5	4	6	ы	7	4.5	4.5	8
7	6	ъ.	0,	•		01																								
7 ves	6 yes	l.5 yes	b yes	yes	yes	5 yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no

Arctostaphyl	Arctostaphyl	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium
os Arctostaphylos	os Arctostaphylos	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Aquilegia	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium	Allium
auriculata	andersonii	vulgaris	shockleyi	saximontana	pubescens	laramiensis	jonesii	formosa	flavescens	eximia	elegantula	coerulea_ochroleuca	coerulea_coerulea	coerulea_alpina	canadensis	brevistyla	yosemitense	validum	unifolium	tuolumnense	triquetrum	tribracteatum	tolmei_tolmei	stellatum	siskiyouense	shevockii	sharsmithiae	serra
Z	Z	N	N	Z	N	N	N	Т	N	ы	N	N	Z	N	N	N	N	N	Т	ы	N	N	N	N	Т	N	ы	Т
										4.2									1	6.2					2.8		5.1	2.6
February	January	May	May	July	July	June	June	April	June	Мау	May	late June	mid-June	June	March	June	May	June	May	March	March	March	April	July	April	June	April	April
March	March	July	August	August	August	July	July	September	August	October	July	August	early September	August	June	August	June	August	June	May	April	May	July	October	June	July	May	May
61	45.5	166.5	182	212.5	212.5	182	182	182	197.5	212.5	166.5	207.5	212.5	197.5	120.5	197.5	152	197	152	106	91	106	151.5	244	136	182	121	121
ω	2.5	6.5	7	8	8	7	7	7	7.5	8	6.5	7.75	8	7.5	თ	7.5	6	7.5	6	4.5	4	4.5	6	9	5.5	7	ы	ы
у€	У¢	nc	ye	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes
Š	S	0	S		••																							
yes yes	es yes) yes	s yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	bakeri halari cuhlaavie	л Т	ר מ ס.ע	February	April Anril	76 76	ы л. С.	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	canescens_canescens	Ν		January	May	76	3.5 3.5	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	canescens_sonomensis	Т	2.5	March	May	105.5	4.5	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	catalinae	N		October	February	16	1.5	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	columbiana	Т		March	May	105.5	4.5	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	confertiflora	Z		February	March	61	ω	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	crustacea_crinita	N		February	April	76	3.5	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	crustacea_crustacea	Z		February	April	76	3.5	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	crustacea_eastwoodiana	N		February	April	76	3.5	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	crustacea_insulicola	N		February	April	76	3.5	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	crustacea_rosei	Z		February	April	76	3.5	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	crustacea_subcordata	N		February	April	76	3.5	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	cruzensis	Z		January	March	45.5	2.5	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	densiflora	N		March	April	90	4	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	edmundsii	Z		November	December	-30	0	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	franciscana	ы	6.2	January	April	60.5	ω	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	gabilanensis	Z		February	April	76	3.5	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	glandulosa_adamsii	N		January	April	60.5	ω	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	glandulosa_crassifolia	N		October	February	60.5	ω	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	glandulosa_cushingiana	Z		January	April	60.5	ω	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	glandulosa_gabrielensis	Z		January	April	60.5	ω	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	glandulosa_glandulosa	Z		January	April	60.5	ω	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	glandulosa_howellii	Z		January	April	60.5	ω	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	glandulosa_leucophylla	Z		January	April	60.5	ω	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	glandulosa_mollis	N		January	April	60.5	ω	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	glauca	Т		October	March	32	2	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	glutinosa	N		January	March	45.5	2.5	yes	no
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	hispidula	ы	4.5	March	April	90	4	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	hookeri_hearstiorum	N		February	April	76	3.5	yes	yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	hookeri_hookeri	N		February	April	76	3.5	yes	yes

Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos .	Arctostaphylos .	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos .	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos .	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos .	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos .	Arctostaphylos .	Arctostaphylos					
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos
otayensis	osoensis	ohloneana	obispoensis	nummularia_nummularia	nummularia_mendocinoensis	nortensis	nissenana	nevadensis_nevadensis	nevadensis_knightii	myrtifolia	morroensis	montereyensis	montaraensis	montanta_ravenii	montana_montana	mewukka_truei	mewukka_mewkka	manzanita_wieslanderi	manzanita_roofii	manzanita_manzanita	manzanita_laevigata	manzanita_glaucesens	manzanita_elegans	malloryi	luciana	klamathensis	insularis	imbricata	hooveri	hookeri_ravenii
N	Z	Z	ы	z	z	Т	Z	Z	N	Z	Z	Z	Z	н	T	z	z	N	z	Т	z	z	z	Z	N	Т	z	N	Z	Г
			5.7			2.8								6.2	4.9											3.9				6.2
January	October	February	February	March	March	March	February	May	May	January	January	January	January	February	February	March	March	February	February	February	February	February	February	February	January	May	January	January	February	February
March	February	March	March	May	May	May	March	July	July	February	March	March	March	April	April	April	April	May	May	May	May	May	May	April	March	July	March	March	April	April
45.5	16	61	61	105.5	105.5	105.5	61	166.5	166.5	32	45.5	45.5	45.5	76	76	90	90	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	76	45.5	166.5	45.5	45.5	76	76
2.5	1.5	ω	ω	4.5	4.5	4.5	ω	6.5	6.5	2	2.5	2.5	2.5	3.5	3.5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3.5	2.5	6.5	2.5	2.5	3.5	3.5
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes

Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos	pacifica pajaroensis pallida parryana_desertica parryana_tumescens patula	\dashv z z z z z z		January October January January March March	March February March May April June	45.5 16 45.5 45.5 105.5 90 136	5.4.5 4.5 5.5	yes yes yes yes yes
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	patula patula	-		April	June	136	5.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	pechoensis	Z		January	March	45.5	2.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	pilosula	Z		October	March	32	2	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	pringlei_drupacea	Z		February	April	76	3.5 3.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	pumila	N		February	April	76	ω 3.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	pungens	Т		February	March	61	ω	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	purissima	N		January	March	45.5	2.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	rainbowensis	Z		January	February	32	2	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	refugioensis	N		October	February	16	1.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	regismonana	Z		January	March	45.5	2.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	rudis	N		October	February	Ц	1	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	sensitiva	Z		January	April	60.5	ω	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	silvicola	Z		February	March	61	ω	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	stanfordiana_decumbens	Z		February	April	76	3.5 3.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	stanfordiana_raichei	Т	2.6	February	April	76	3.5 3.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	stanfordiana_stanfordiana	Z		February	April	76	3.5 3.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	tomentosa_bracteosa	Z		October	March	32	2	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	tomentosa_daciticola	Z		October	March	32	2	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	tomentosa_hebeclada	N		October	March	32	2	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	tomentosa_tomentosa	Т		October	March	32	2	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	uva_ursi	Т		January	June	91	4	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	virgata	Z		October	February	16	1.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	viridissima	Z		January	March	45.5	2.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	viscida_mariposa	Z		February	April	76	3.5	
Arctostaphylos	Arctostaphylos	viscida_pulchella	ы	ы	February	April	76	3.5	

Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus		Calochortus Calochortus	Balsamorhiza Balsamorhiza	Arctostaphylos Arctostaphylos																			
coeruleus		clavatus_recurvifolius	clavatus_pallidus	clavatus_gracilis	clavatus_clavatus	clavatus_avius	catalinae	bruneaunis	argillosus	amoenus	amabilis	albus_rubellus	albus_albus	serrata	sericea	sagittata	rosea	macrolepis_platylepis	macrolepis_macrolepis	incana	hookeri_neglecta	hookeri_lanata	hookeri_lagocephala	hookeri_hispidula	hookeri_hirsuta	deltoidea	careyana	viscida_viscida
	Z	н	Т	Z	Т	Z	N	Z	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Z	н	N	N	Z	Т	Z	N	Т	N	N	N	T	Z	T
					4.5										6.2				2.5									2.2
	May	May	April	May	April	May	March	May	April	April	April	April	April	April	May	May	April	March	March	May	May	April	May	May	May	April	(March-) May	February
	June	July	July	June	June	July	May	August	June	June	June	June	June	June	June	August	May	July	July	June (- July)	July	June	July	July	July	July	June (- July)	April
וככם	151	166.5	151.5	151	136	166.5	105.5	182	136	136	136	136	136	136	151	182	121	136	136	159	166.5	136	166.5	166.5	166.5	151.5	143	76
ת ת	6	6.5	6	6	5.5	6.5	4.5	7	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	6	7	თ	5.5	5.5	6.25	6.5	5.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6	5.75	3.5 3.5
	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes
nn	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes							

Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	dunnii elegans excavatus fimbriatus flexuosus greenei invenustus kennedyi_kennedyi kennedyi_munzii leichtlinii leichtlinii s	+ 2 $+$ 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 ¹ .5 2	June May July July June May April June June	June July August August July August June June August	166.5 166.5 121 212.5 121 182 182 182 136 136 197.5 197.5	「	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes yes yes
Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	leichtlinii longebarbatus_longebarbatu s luteus macrocarpus	Y Y Y		June June April Iune	August August June August	197.5 197.5 136 197.5	7.5 7.5 5 5	yes yes yes	yes yes yes
Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus	macrocarpus minimus	z z		June May	August August	197.5 182	7.5 7	yes yes	yes yes
Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus	monanthus monophyllus	ΤZ		June April	June May	166.5 121	5 6.5	yes yes	no yes
Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus	nudus obispoensis	ЕŢ	2.1 5.4	May May	July June	166.5 151	6.5	yes yes	yes yes
Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus	palmeri_munzii palmeri_palmeri	zz		June May	June July	166.5 166.5	6.5 6.5	yes yes	no yes
Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	persistens plummerae pulchellus	нин		June May April	July July June	182 166.5 136	6.5 5.5	yes yes	yes yes
Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	pulchellus raichei simulans solendens	HZEM	6.2	April May May	June August July Iuly	136 182 166.5	6.5 л 5 л 5	yes yes yes	yes no
Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus Calochortus	splendens striatus superbus	ЧZЧ		May April May	July June July	166.5 136 166.5	6.5 5.5	yes yes yes	yes yes
Calochortus Calochortus	Calochortus Calochortus	syntrophus tiburonensis	ΕZ	6.1	May May	June June	151 151	66	yes yes	no yes

Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Ceanothus Ce	Calycadenia Cal	Calochortus Cal	Calochortus Cal	Calochortus Cal	Calochortus Cal	Calochortus Cal	Calochortus Cal	Calochortus Cal	Calochortus Cal	Calochortus Cal									
anothus	anothus	anothus	anothus	anothus	anothus	anothus	anothus	anothus	anothus	anothus	anothus	ycadenia	ochortus	ochortus	ochortus	ochortus	ochortus	ochortus	ochortus	ochortus	ochortus									
divergens	dentatus	cyaneus	cuneatus_ramulosus	cuneatus_fascicularis	cuneatus_cuneatus	crassifolius_planus	crassifolius_crassifolius	cordulatus	confusus	arcuatus	arboreus	villosa	truncata	spicata	pauciflora	oppositifolia	multiglandulosa	mollis	micrantha	hooveri	fremontii	westonii	weedii_weedii	weedii_vestus	weedii_intermedius	vestae	venustus	uniflorus	umbellatus	tolmiei
Т	Z	Z	Z	N	Т	Z	T	Т	Т	N	Z	Z	T	Z	н	Т	Т	N	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Т	Z	Т	T	Т	Т	T
2					1.5				1.3				2.1		5.3	2.6	3.1							1				1.7	2.9	
February	March	April	February	February	February	January	January	May	February	April	February	May	May	May	April	April	May	May	June	June	April	May	May	July	June	May	May	April	March	April
April	June	June	May	May	May	April	April	July	April	June	May	September	October	September	September	July	October	September	October	September	October	June	August	August	July	July	July	June	May	July
76	120.5	136	91.5	91.5	91.5	60.5	60.5	166.5	76	136	91.5	197	212.5	197	182	151.5	212.5	197	228	212.5	197.5	151	182	212.5	182	166.5	166.5	136	105.5	151.5
		6 1	4	4	4	З	ω	6.5	3.5	5.5	4	7.5	8	7.5	7	6	8	7.5	8.5	8	7.5	6	7	8	7	6.5	6.5	5.5	4.5	6
3.5 5	თ	51	-																											
3.5 yes	5 yes	5.5 yes	ł yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus
Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus
parvifolius	parryi	papillosus	palmeri	otayensis	ophiochilus	oliganthus_sorediatus	oliganthus_orcuttii	oliganthus_oliganthus	megacarpus_megacarpus	megacarpus_insularis	masonii	maritimus	leucodermis	lemmonii	jepsonii	integerrimus	incanus	impressus_nipomensis	impressus_impressus	herbaceous	hearstiorum	gloriosus_porrectus	gloriosus_gloriosus	gloriosus_exaltatus	fresnensis	foliosus_vineatus	foliosus_medius	foliosus_foliosus	ferrisae	diversifolius
Z	N	Т	N	N	N	N	Z	Z	N	N	Т	N	Т	Т	ы	Т	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Т	Т	N	ы	N
		1.5									3.3				6												4		6.1	
May	April	March	February	January	March	January	February	October	October	February	March	February	April	April	March	May	April	February	February	March	March	March	March	March	May	March	March	March	January	April
July	May	May	June	April	April	May	June	June	March	March	May	May	June	May	April	July	June	April	April	August	April	May	May	May	June	June	June	June	May	June
166.5	121	105.5	106.5	60.5	90	76	106.5	76	32	61	105.5	91.5	136	121	90	166.5	136	76	76	151.5	90	105.5	105.5	105.5	151	120.5	120.5	120.5	76	136
6.5	σ	4.5	4.5	ω	4	3.5	4.5	3.5	2	З	4.5	4	5.5	თ	4	6.5	5.5	3.5	3.5	6	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	6	თ	ы	თ	3.5	5.5
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
У	У	У	ye	n	у	У	n	n	ує	у€	уе	уе	уе	уе	уе	уе	уе	n	ye	уе	уе	ye	уе	ye	уе	уе	уе	n	уе	ye

Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus
Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Cirsium	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus	Ceanothus
fontinale_campylon	edule	eatonii	douglasii_douglasii	douglasii_breweri	discolor	cymosum_cymosum	cymosum_canovirens	brevistylum	arvense	arizonicum_tenuisectum	arizonicum_arizonicum	andrewsii	andersonii	vestitus	verrocosus	velutinus	tomentosus	thyrsiflorus_thyrsiflorus	thyrsiflorus_griseus	spinosus	sonomensis	sanguineus	roderickii	rigidus	purpureus	pumilus	prostratus_prostratus	prostratus_occidentalis	pinetorum	perplexans
ы	N	N	N	Т	N	Т	N	N	N	N	N	Т	Т	N	N	N	Т	Т	N	N	Т	N	Т	N	N	ы	Т	N	Z	N
5.9				з		ω						1.7									1.3		1.7			5.7				
•																														
March	June	July	June	June	June	April	June	March	June	July	July	May	July	March	January	April	February	March	February	January	March	April	April	March	February	April	April	April	May	March
March October	June October	July September	June August	June September	June October	April July	June July	March August	June September	July November	July August	May September	July September	March May	January April	April July	February May	March June	February June	January May	March April	April June	April June	March May	February April	April June	April June	April May	May June	March May
March October 182	June October 227.5	July September 227.5	June August 197.5	June September 212.5	June October 228	April July 151.5	June July 182	March August 151.5	June September 212.5	July November 258	July August 212.5	May September 197	July September 227.5	March May 105.5	January April 60.5	April July 151.5	February May 91.5	March June 120.5	February June 106.5	January May 76	March April 90	April June 136	April June 136	March May 105.5	February April 76	April June 136	April June 136	April May 121	May June 151	March May 105.5
March October 182 7	June October 227.5 8.5	July September 227.5 8.5	June August 197.5 7.5	June September 212.5 8	June October 228 8.5	April July 151.5 6	June July 182 7	March August 151.5 6	June September 212.5 8	July November 258 9.5	July August 212.5 8	May September 197 7.5	July September 227.5 8.5	March May 105.5 4.5	January April 60.5 3	April July 151.5 6	February May 91.5 4	March June 120.5 5	February June 106.5 4.5	January May 76 3.5	March April 90 4	April June 136 5.5	April June 136 5.5	March May 105.5 4.5	February April 76 3.5	April June 136 5.5	April June 136 5.5	April May 121 5	May June 151 6	March May 105.5 4.5
March October 182 7 yes	June October 227.5 8.5 no	July September 227.5 8.5 no	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	June September 212.5 8 yes	June October 228 8.5 no	April July 151.5 6 yes	June July 182 7 yes	March August 151.5 6 yes	June September 212.5 8 no	July November 258 9.5 yes	July August 212.5 8 yes	May September 197 7.5 yes	July September 227.5 8.5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	January April 60.5 3 yes	April July 151.5 6 yes	February May 91.5 4 yes	March June 120.5 5 yes	February June 106.5 4.5 yes	January May 76 3.5 yes	March April 90 4 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	February April 76 3.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	April May 121 5 yes	May June 151 6 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes

Cirsium Cirsium Cirsium Cirsium Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium	5	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium	Cirsium Cirsium
VIIIgare	scariosum_scarioum	scariosum_robustum	scariosum_loncholepis	scariosum_congdonii	scariosum_citrinum	scariosum_americanum	rydbergii	rhothophilum	remotifolium_rivulare	remotifolium_remotifolium	remotifolium_odontolepis	quercetorum	praeteriens	pitcheri	palustre	occidentale_venustum	occidentale_occidentale	occidentale_lucianum	occidentale_coulteri	occidentale_compactum	occidentale_candidissimum	occidentale_californicum	neomexicanum	muticum	mohavense	hydrophilum_vaseyi	hydrophilum_hydrophilum	fontinale_obispoense	fontinale_fontinale
Z	Т	Ν	Ν	Z	Ν	Z	Ν	Z	Ν	Z	Т	Т	Ν	N	Ν	T	Ţ	Т	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Z	т	Ν	ГТ	LT.
											1															6.1		6.1	6.1
Mav	May	June	April	June	May	June	May	April	May	May	June	April	June	May	July	May	March	April	March	February	April	April	April	July	July	June	June	April	May
()ctoher	July	July	September	August	September	August	September	August	August	August	September	August	July	September	August	July	July	July	June	July	September	July	May	September	October	September	September	October	August
212.5	166.5	182	182	197.5	197	197.5	197	167	182	182	212.5	167	182	197	212.5	166.5	136	151.5	120.5	122	182	151.5	121	227.5	243	212.5	212.5	197.5	182
x	6.5	7	7	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	6.5	7	7	8	6.5	7	7.5	8	6.5	ა ა	6	თ	თ	7	6	თ	8.5	9	8	8	7.5	7
	ų	У	уе	уе	ye	ye	nc	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
no	res	Se	õ	Ň	S	s	•	01	0,	•,	•	•,	•,			••							••			••			

Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia
Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia	Collinsia
cuneata_macrocephala	cuneata_cuneata	cooperi	brachylepis	bloomeri	arborescens	albida	violacea	torreyi_wrightii	torreyi_torreyi	torreyi_latifolia	torreyi_brevicarinata	tinctoria	sparsiflora_sparsiflora	sparsiflora_collina	rattanii	parviflora	parryi	multicolor	linearis	heterophylla_heterophylla	heterophylla_austromontana	greenei	grandiflora	corymbosa	concolor	childii	callosa	bartsiifolia	antonina
Z	Z	Z	z	Z	Ţ	Z	z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Т	Т	Z	z	Т	N	Т	Т	Т	Z	н	Z	Z	Ν	N	Ν	N	Z
					1.3								1.7					1.1				5.2							
September	September	March	September	July	August	August		Мау	May	June	Мау	May	Marc	Mar	Ma	Mar	Арі	Mar	Ma	Marc	May	Apri	Apri	Apri	April	(April-) May	April	March	March
Nov													h	ch	ıу	ch		ch	Y	h	7			1					
ember	November	June	December	October	November	November		August	August	August	July	August	h May	ch April	ıy August	ch July	ril May (- June)	ch May	y July	th June	/ August	ll August	ll July	l June	June	July	June	June	April
ember 289	November 289	June 120.5	December 304.5	October 243	November 273.5	November 273.5	105.5	August 182	August 182	August 197.5	July 166.5	August 182	h May 105.5	ch April 90	iy August 182	ch July 136	ril May (- June) 128	ch May 105.5	y July 166.5	h June 120.5	/ August 182	ll August 167	il July 151.5	l June 136	June 136	July 143	June 136	June 120.5	April 90
ember 289 10.5	November 289 10.5	June 120.5 5	December 304.5 11	October 243 9	November 273.5 10	November 273.5 10	105.5 4.5	August 182 7	August 182 7	August 197.5 7.5	July 166.5 6.5	August 182 7	h May 105.5 4.5	ch April 90 4	ıy August 182 7	ch July 136 5.5	ril May (- 128 5.25 June) 128	ch May 105.5 4.5	y July 166.5 6.5	h June 120.5 5	/ August 182 7	il August 167 6.5	il July 151.5 6	l June 136 5.5	June 136 5.5	July 143 5.75	June 136 5.5	June 120.5 5	April 90 4
ember 289 10.5 yes	November 289 10.5 yes	June 120.5 5 yes	December 304.5 11 yes	October 243 9 yes	November 273.5 10 yes	November 273.5 10 yes	105.5 4.5 no	August 182 7 yes	August 182 7 yes	August 197.5 7.5 yes	July 166.5 6.5 yes	August 182 7 yes	h May 105.5 4.5 yes	ch April 90 4 yes	ıy August 182 7 yes	ch July 136 5.5 yes	nl May (- 128 5.25 yes June)	ch May 105.5 4.5 yes	y July 166.5 6.5 yes	h June 120.5 5 yes	7 August 182 7 yes	il August 167 6.5 yes	il July 151.5 6 yes	l June 136 5.5 yes	June 136 5.5 yes	July 143 5.75 yes	June 136 5.5 yes	June 120.5 5 yes	April 90 4 yes

Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria
Chorizanthe	Centrostegia	Aristocapsa	Acanthoscypus	Acanthoscypus	Acanthoscypus	Acanthoscypus	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria	Ericameria
angustifolia	thurberi	insignis	parishii_parishii	parishii_goodmaniana	parishii_cienegensis	parishii_abamsii	teretifolia	suffruticosa	parryi_vulcanica	parryi_nevadensis	parryi_monocephala	parryi_latior	parryi_imula	parryi_aspera	parishii	paniculata	palmeri_palmeri	palmeri_pachylepis	ophitidis	nauseosa	nana	linearifolia	laricifolia	greenei	gilmanii	fasciculata	ericoides	discoidea_linearis	discoidea_discoidea	cuneata_spathulata
N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	ы	T	N	T	N	T	N	N	N	N	N	Z
																			5.5					2						
April	March	May	June	May	June	June	September	July	July	July	July	July	July	July	July	June	September	August	July	August	July	March	September	July	August	July	September	July	July	September
July	July	June	October	September	September	August	November	September	September	September	September	September	September	September	October	December	November	December	August	October	November	May	October	September	September	October	November	September	September	November
151.5	136	151	228	197	212.5	197.5	289	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	243	258.5	289	289	212.5	258.5	258	105.5	274	227.5	243	243	289	227.5	227.5	289
6	5.5	6	8.5	7.5	8	7.5	10.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	9	9.5	10.5	10.5	8	9.5	9.5	4.5	10	8.5	9	9	10.5	8.5	8.5	10.5
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no

6	151.5	July	April		Ν	spinosa	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
197		September	May		Z	robusta_robusta	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
151.5		July	April		Z	robusta_hartwegii	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
106.5		June	February		Z	rigida	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
151.5		July	April		Z	pungens_pungens	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
151.5		July	April		Z	pungens_hartwegiana	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
136		June	April		Z	procumbens	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
136		June	April		Z	polygonoides_polygonoides	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
136		June	April		Z	polygonoides_longispina	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
151		June	Мау		Z	parryi_parryi	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
.36	<u>ц</u>	June	April		Z	parryi_fernandina	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
82	Ц	August	May	4.9	Ħ	palmeri	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
5.5 5	10	May	March		Z	orcuttiana	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
6.5	16	July	Мау		Z	obovata	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
1.5	15	July	April		Z	membranacea	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
82	1	August	May		Z	leptotheca	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
0.5	12	May	April		N	inequalis	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
6	7	April	February		N	flava	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
36	1	July	March		Z	fimbriata_laciniata	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
36	1	July	March		Z	fimbriata_fimbriata	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
1.5	15	July	April		Z	douglasii	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
1.5	15	July	April		Z	diffusa	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
82	1	August	Мау		Z	cuspidata_villosa	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
1.5	15	July	April		Z	cuspidata_cuspidata	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
1.5	9	May	February		N	corrugata	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
97	1	September	May		Z	clevelandii	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
36	Ц	July	March	5.4	н	breweri	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
1.5	15	July	April		Z	brevicornu_spathulata	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
22		July	February		Z	brevicornu_brevicornu	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
97		September	May		Z	biloba_immemora	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
82		August	May		Z	biloba_biloba	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae

no	8	212.5	September	June		Z	brevicaule_brevicaule	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
У	8.5	228	October	June		Z	breedlovei_shevockii	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
у	8	212.5	September	June		N	breedlovei_breedlovei	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
у€	8	212.5	November	April		N	brachyanthum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
ye	6	151	June	May		N	bifurcatum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
n	6	151.5	July	April		N	bicolor	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
nc	8	212.5	September	June		N	batemanii	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
уе	8.5	228	October	June		N	baileyi_praebens	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
ye	8	212.5	October	May		N	baileyi_baileyi	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
ye	7	182	October	March	3.1	T	argillosum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
nc	8.5	228	October	June		N	arcuatum_arcuatum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
yes	7.5	197.5	October	April		Z	arborescens	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
yes	8	212.5	September	June		N	apricum_prostratum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
yes	8.5	228	October	June		Z	apricum_apricum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
yes	8.5	227.5	November	May		N	apiculatum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
no	8	212.5	November	April		Z	annuum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
ye	8.5	227.5	September	July		N	ampullaceum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
no	8	212.5	September	June		N	ammophilum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
yes	8.5	227.5	September	July	6.1	н	alpinum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
no	8.5	228	October	June		N	alatum_alatum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
no	6.5	166.5	July	May		N	acaule	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
yes	6	151	June	May		N	leptoceras	Dodecahema	Eriogonoideae
yes	8.5	228	October	June		N	eurekensis	Dedeckera	Eriogonoideae
yes	6	151.5	July	April		N	xanti_xanti	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
yes	5.5	136	June	April		N	xanti_leucotheca	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
yes	5.5	136	June	April		Z	wheeleri	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
yes	6.5	167	August	April		N	watsonii	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
yes	7.5	197	September	May		N	ventricosa	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
yes	7.5	197.5	August	June		N	valida	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
yes	6	151.5	July	April	2.7	Т	uniaristata	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae
yes	6	151.5	July	April		N	staticoides	Chorizanthe	Eriogonoideae

ωα	212.5 212.5	September October	June May		ZZ	eremicum esmeraldense_esmeraldense	Eriogonum Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae Eriogonoideae
12.5	N	September	June		z	eremicola	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
258		November	July		N	elongatum_elongatum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
227.5	N	November	May		Ν	elegans	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
12.5	N	September	June	3.3	Т	elatum_villosum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
12.5	Ν	October	May		Ν	elatum_elatum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
12.5	2	September	June		z	effusum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
197		September	May		z	eastwoodianum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
36		June	April		N	douglasii_meridionale	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
12.5	21	September	June	3.2	T	diclinum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
28	2	October	June		z	deflexum_nevadense	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
43	2	October	July		Ν	deflexum_baratum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
97	1	September	May		Z	davidsonii	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
2.5	21	October	May	З	T	dasyanthemum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
1.5	15	July	April		z	crocatum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
57	10	August	April	З	T	covilleanum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
5	24	October	July		Ν	corymbosum_corymbosum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
Ω	24	October	July		Z	correllii	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
2	18	August	May		N	contortum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
36	1	June	April		Ν	contiguum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
7.5	22	September	July	5.1	т	congdonii_congdonii	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
1.5	15	July	April	1.7	T	compositum_compositum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
5.5	16	July	May		N	clavellatum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
2.5	21	October	May		Ν	cithariforme_cithariforme	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
2.5	21	October	May		N	cithariforme_agninum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
7.5	19	October	April		z	cernuum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
2.5	21	October	May		N	cedrorum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
82	ь	August	May		Ν	callistum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
1.5	15	July	April		z	caespitosum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae
12.5	2	September	June		Z	butterworthianum	Eriogonum	Eriogonoideae

Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	inerme_hispidulum	z z		May	September	197 103	7.7 7.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	intrafractum	Z		May	October	212.5	ω ·	yes	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	kelloggii	ы		May	August	182	7	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	kennedyi_alpigenum	Ν		July	August	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	kennedyi_austromontanum	Ν		June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	kennedyi_kennedyi	Ν		April	July	151.5	6	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	kennedyi_pinicola	N		May	June	151	6	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	kennedyi_purpusii	Z		May	July	166.5	6.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	lachnogynum	Z		July	October	243	9	no	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	latens	Z		June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	leptocladon_leptocladon	Z		June	October	228	8.5	no	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	libertini	ы	6.1	June	August	197	7.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	lobbii	Z		June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	longifolium	Z		May	October	212.5	8	no	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	luteolum_caninum	ы	5.5	May	October	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	luteolum_luteolum	Т	3.8	July	November	258	9.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	luteolum_pedunculatum	ы		June	October	228	8.5	yes	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	luteolum_saltuarium	N		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	maculatum	N		April	November	212.5	8	yes	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	maifolium_cupulatum	Z		June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	marifolium_marifolium	N		June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	mensicola	N		July	October	243	9	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	micothecum_schoolcraftii	Z		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	microthecum_alpinum	N		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	microthecum_ambiguum	N		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	microthecum_corymbosoides	N		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	microthecum_johnstonii	N		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	microthecum_lacus-ursi	N		July	August	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	microthecum_lapidicola	Ν		June	October	228	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	microthecum_laxiflorum	N		June	October	228	8.5	yes	no

	Fringonoidage Fringonum ovalifolium o	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum ord	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum ochrocephalum um	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nutans_1	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum numm	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_w	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_sca	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_re	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_pu	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_ps	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_pau	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_pa	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_oble	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_1	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_m	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_ir	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_de	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_de	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nudum_au	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum norto	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum nervul	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum neall	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum multiflorum	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum multiflorum_1	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum mol	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum moles	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum mohav	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum microthecum	Eriogonoideae Eriogonum microthecum_ț
	caelestinum	dii	n_ochrocephal n	nutans	ulare	vestonii	apigerum	egirivum	ıbiflorum	sychicola	uciflorum	aralinum	ongifolium	nudum	nurinum	ndictum	eductum	ecurrens	riculatum	onii	osum	leyi	_riograndis	multiflorum	lle	stum	vense	n_simpsonii	panamintense
	Z	z	Z	z	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Z	Ν	Т	Ν	Ν	Т	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	ы	Ν	Ν	Ν	z	Ν	Ν	Ν	Z
													2			1.5					6.2								
1	July	March	May	May	July	May	July	August	June	June	June	June	May	June	May	May	June	July	May	May	May	June	August	August	March	May	May	June	July
	August	July	June	September	October	September	September	November	October	October	October	October	October	September	October	October	September	October	October	August	October	September	November	November	July	September	September	October	October
101	212.5	136	151	197	243	197	227.5	273.5	228	228	228	228	212.5	212.5	212.5	212.5	212.5	243	212.5	182	212.5	212.5	273.5	273.5	137.5	197	197	228	244
1	8	5.5	6	7.5	9	7.5	8.5	10	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	7	8	8	10	10	5.5	7.5	7.5	8.5	9
	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes
i	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no

Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogo	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi	Eriogonoideae Eriogoi													
num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num	num									
siskiyouense	shockleyi_shockleyi	saxatile	rupinum	roseum	rosense	rixfordii	reniforme	racemosum	pyrolifolium_pyrolifolium	pyrolifolium_coryphaeum	pusillum	prociduum	prattenianum_prattenianum	prattenianum_avium	polypodum	plumatella	pharnaceoides_pharnaceoide s	pendulum	pelinophilum	pauciflorum	parishii	panamintense	palmerianum	ovalifolium_vineum	ovalifolium_purpureum	ovalifolium_ovalifolium	ovalifolium_nivale	ovalifolium_monarchense	ovalifolium_eximium
ы	Z	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	Ţ	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Z	Z	ГTJ	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	Z	N	Ν	Ν	N	Z
5.4																		6.2											
July	May	May	July	May	July	June	February	June	July	June	February	May	May	July	July	April	July	July	May	May	June	May	March	May	April	April	June	June	June
September	August	October	September	November	September	December	August	October	September	September	August	July	July	August	September	October	November	September	July	September	October	October	October	June	August	August	September	August	September
227.5	182	212.5	227.5	227.5	227.5	258.5	137.5	228	227.5	212.5	137.5	166.5	166.5	212.5	227.5	197.5	258	227.5	166.5	197	228	212.5	182	151	167	167	212.5	197.5	212.5
8.5	7	8	8.5	8.5	8.5	9.5	5.5	8.5	8.5	8	5.5	6.5	6.5	8	8.5	7.5	9.5	8.5	6.5	7.5	8.5	8	7	6	6.5	6.5	8	7.5	8
yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes							

Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	spathulatum	Z		July	October	243	9	no	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	spectabile	Z		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	spergulinum_pratense	Z		July	August	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	spergulinum_reddingianum	Z		June	September	212.5	8	yes	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	spergulinum_spergulinum	Z		June	September	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	sphaerocephalum_halimioide s	Z		May	July	166.5	6.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	sphaerocephalum_sphaeroce phalum	Ζ		May	July	166.5	6.5	yes	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	strictum_anserinum	N		May	August	182	7	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	strictum_greenei	ы	5.9	June	September	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	strictum_proliferum	г	6	June	September	212.5	8	yes	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	temblorense	N		May	September	197	7.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	tenellum_ramossissimum	N		April	December	228	8.5	no	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	ternatum	ы	6.2	June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	thornei	N		May	July	166.5	6.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	tomentosum	Z		May	October	212.5	8	no	yes
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	tripodum	ы	5.3	May	July	166.5	6.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	truncatum	Z		April	August	167	6.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	twisselmannii	N		June	September	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_ahartii	N		June	September	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_argus	Т	ω	June	September	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_bahiiforme	Ч	3.5	July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_canifolium	z		June	September	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_chlorothamnus	Z		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_covillei	N		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_dichrocephalum	Z		June	September	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_dumosum	N		June	September	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_furcosum	Z		June	September	212.5	8	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_glaberrimum	N		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_goodmannii	Ţ	3.3	May	September	197	7.5	yes	no
Eriogonoideae	Eriogonum	umbellatum_humistratum	Ţ	4.5	June	September	212.5	8	yes	no

Eriogonoideae]	Eriogonoideae J	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae	Eriogonoideae
Johanneshowellia	Johanneshowellia	Hollisteria	Goodmania	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Eriogonum
puberula	crateriorum	lanata	luteola	zionis_coccineum	wrightii_wrightii	wrightii_trachygonum	wrightii_subscaposum	wrightii_olanchense	wrightii_nodosum	wrightii_membranaceum	watsonii	viridescens	vimineum	villiflorum	vestitum	ursinum_ursinum	ursinum_erubescens	umbellatum_versicolor	umbellatum_torreyanum	umbellatum_subaridum	umbellatum_speciosum	umbellatum_smallianum	umbellatum_polyanthum	umbellatum_nevadense	umbellatum_nelsoniorum	umbellatum_munzii	umbellatum_modocense	umbellatum_minus	umbellatum_lautum	umbellatum_juniporinum
z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	Z	z	z	T	z	z	T	z	Z	z	Z	z	Z	z	Z	T	z	z	Z	z	Z
													1			1.1					4.2									
May	May	March	April	July	July	July	June	July	August	July	May	April	May	April	March	May	June	June	July	June	June	July	June	June	July	June	June	July	July	June
September	September	July	August	September	October	October	September	August	February	October	September	November	September	July	November	September	September	September	September	October	September	September	September	September	September	September	September	September	September	October
197	197	136	167	227.5	243	243	212.5	212.5	321	243	197	212.5	197	151.5	197	197	212.5	212.5	227.5	228	212.5	227.5	212.5	212.5	227.5	212.5	212.5	227.5	227.5	228
7	_	ы	6.5	8.5	9	9	8	8	11.5	9	7.5	8	7.5	6	7.5	7.5	8	8	8.5	8.5	8	8.5	8	8	8.5	8	8	8.5	8.5	8.5
ы	7.5	л																												
.5 yes	7.5 no	5 yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

Eriogonoideae	Lastariaea	coriacea	z z		February	June	106.5	^ 4.5	yes	yes																				
Eriogonoideae	Mucronea	denudata_gracilis	Z :		January	May	76	3.5 5	yes	no																				
Eriogonoideae	Mucronea	perfoliata	Z		March	July	137.5	5.5	yes	yes																				
Eriogonoideae	Nemacaulis	denudata_denudata	z		March	August	152	6	yes	yes																				
Eriogonoideae	Oxytheca	dendroidea_dendroidea	N		June	October	228	8.5	yes	yes																				
Eriogonoideae	Oxytheca	perfoliata	Z		April	August	167	6.5	yes	yes																				
Eriogonoideae	Oxytheca	watsonii	Z		June	October	228	8.5	yes	yes																				
Eriogonoideae	Sidotheca	caryophylloides	Z		June	September	212.5	8	yes	yes																				
Eriogonoideae	Sidotheca	emarginata	Z		February	August	137.5	5.5	yes	no																				
Eriogonoideae	Sidotheca	trilobata	Z		April	September	182	7	yes	yes																				
Eriogonoideae	Stenogonum	salsuginosum	Z		April	September	182	7	no	yes																				
Eriogonoideae	Systenotheca	vortreidei	T	3.1	May	July	166.5	6.5	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	albidum	z		February	April	76	3.5	no	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	americanum	z		April	April	105.5	4.5	no	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	californicum	Т	2.7	March	April	90	4	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	citrinum_citrinum	Т	4.3	March	May	105.5	4.5	yes	no																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	citrinum_roderickii	Т	4.7	March	June	120.5	ഗ	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	elegans	Z		Мау	June	151	6	no	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	grandiflorum_candidum	Z		April	June	136	ა ა	no	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	grandiflorum_grandiflorum	Т		April	July	151.5	6	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	helenae	н	4.5	March	May	105.5	4.5	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	hendersonii	Т	2.5	April	July	151.5	6	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	klamathense	Т		April	July	151.5	6	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	mesochoreum	Z		March	May	105.5	4.5	no	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	montanum	Z		June	August	197.5	7.5	no	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	multiscapoideum	Т	ω	March	May	105.5	4.5	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	oregonum	Z		March	May	105.5	4.5	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	pluriflorum	Z		May	July	166.5	6.5	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	purpurascens	Т	1	May	August	182	7	yes	yes																				
Erythronium	Erythronium	pusaterii	Z		May	July	166.5	6.5	yes	no																				
Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Erythronium	Erythronium	Erythronium	
------------	----------------	-----------	-----------	------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------	--------	--------	---------------	-------------	-------------	------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------------	---------------------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	-------------	------------------
Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Iris	Erythronium	Erythronium	Erythronium	ELYCIII OIIIUIII
glandulosa	gaillardioides	fremontii	discoidea	chrysanthemoides	carnosa	thompsonii	tenuissima_purdyiformis	tenuissima	tenax_tenax	tenax_klamathensis	tenax_gormanii	purdyi	munzii	missouriensis	macrosiphon	longipetala	innominata	hartwegii_pinetorum	hartwegii_hartwegii	hartwegii_columbiana	hartwegii_australis	forrestii	fernaldii	douglasiana	chrysophylla	bracteata	tuolumnense	taylorii	revolutum	quinanitense
N	N	N	ы	N	N	Т	N	Т	N	N	N	Т	N	N	N	N	ы	N	N	N	N	N	N	Т	N	ы	Т	Т	Z	Ν
			6.1				1.5								1.1		5.8									5.8	2.5			
February	March	February	April	March	April	April	April	May	June	May	May	April	April	May	March	March	May	May	May	May	May	May	April	May	May	May	March	March	March	мау
July	August	May	June	June	July	Мау	May	Мау	August	Мау	Мау	Мау	April	July	May	June	June	May	June	Мау	June	June	April	July	June	May	June	May	July	May
122	151.5	91.5	136	120.5	151.5	121	121	136	197.5	136	136	121	105.5	166.5	105.5	120.5	151	136	151	136	151	151	105.5	166.5	151	136	120.5	105.5	136	136
л	6	4	5.5	ы	9	ы	ы	5.5	7.5	5.5	5.5	ы	4.5	6.5	4.5	σ	6	5.5	9	5.5	6	6	4.5	6.5	6	5.5	б	4.5	5.5	ა. ა
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no

Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia
Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Lessingia	Corethrogyne	Benitoa	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia	Layia
ciliolatum	caruifolium_denticulatum	caruifolium_caruifolium	canbyi	californicum	bicolor_leptocarpum	virgata	tenuis	ramulosa	nemaclada	nana	micradenia_micradenia	micradenia_glabrata	leptoclada	hololeuca	glandulifera_tomentosa	glandulifera_peirsonii	glandulifera_glandulifera	germanorum	arachnoidea	filaginifolia	occidentalis	septentrionalis	platyglossa	pentachaeta_pentachaeta	pentachaeta_albida	munzii	leucopappa	jonesii	hieracioides	heterotricha
н	z	N	Z	N	Z	Z	Z	н	Т	N	ы	ы	Т	Т	Z	N	N	N	ы	Т	Т	Т	Z	Т	Z	Z	Z	Т	Z	Z
6								5.4	2		5.3	5.1		2.5					6.2	1.3	4.1	3.2						3.5		
Ju																														
ne	April	March	April	April	April	June	May	July	July	June	July	August	July	June	August	May	Мау	June	July	July	June	April	February	March	March	March	March	March	April	April
ne July	April May	March May	April May	April June	April May	June October	May July	July October	July October	June October	July October	August October	July October	June October	August November	May September	May October	June November	July October	July November	June November	April June	February July	March June	March May	March April	March April	March May	April July	April June
ne July 182	April May 121	March May 105.5	April May 121	April June 136	April May 121	June October 228	May July 166.5	July October 243	July October 243	June October 228	July October 243	August October 258.5	July October 243	June October 228	August November 273.5	May September 197	May October 212.5	June November 243	July October 243	July November 258	June November 243	April June 136	February July 122	March June 120.5	March May 105.5	March April 90	March April 90	March May 105.5	April July 151.5	April June 136
ne July 182 7	April May 121 5	March May 105.5 4.5	April May 121 5	April June 136 5.5	April May 121 5	June October 228 8.5	May July 166.5 6.5	July October 243 9	July October 243 9	June October 228 8.5	July October 243 9	August October 258.5 9.5	July October 243 9	June October 228 8.5	August November 273.5 10	May September 197 7.5	May October 212.5 8	June November 243 9	July October 243 9	July November 258 9.5	June November 243 9	April June 136 5.5	February July 122 5	March June 120.5 5	March May 105.5 4.5	March April 90 4	March April 90 4	March May 105.5 4.5	April July 151.5 6	April June 136 5.5
ne July 182 7 yes	April May 121 5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	April May 121 5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	April May 121 5 yes	June October 228 8.5 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	July October 243 9 yes	July October 243 9 yes	June October 228 8.5 yes	July October 243 9 yes	August October 258.5 9.5 yes	July October 243 9 yes	June October 228 8.5 yes	August November 273.5 10 yes	May September 197 7.5 yes	May October 212.5 8 yes	June November 243 9 yes	July October 243 9 yes	July November 258 9.5 yes	June November 243 9 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	February July 122 5 yes	March June 120.5 5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	March April 90 4 yes	March April 90 4 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	April July 151.5 6 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes

Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium
Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium
ravenii	plummerae	piperi	peckianum	parvifolium	parryi	observatorium	nudicaule	nevadense_parishii	nevadense_nevadense	mohavense	martindalei	marginatum_purpureium	marginatum_marginatum	macrocarpum	lucidum	insulare	howellii	hooveri	hendersonii	hallii	grayi	foeniculaceum_macdougalii	foeniculaceum_inyoense	foeniculaceum_fimbriatum	engelmannii	dissectum_multifidum	dissectum_dissectum	dasycarpum_tomentosum	dasycarpum_dasycarpum	congdonii
z	z	Z	Z	T	Z	Т	z	z	Z	z	z	н	н	Т	z	Z	T	н	z	Z	z	z	Z	Z	н	Z	Z	Z	Т	н
						1.4						ы	ы	2.7			6.1	5.9							5.8				3.6	6.2
April	May	March	May	February	May	March	April	April	April	April	May	March	March	April	April	February	May	April	March	May	May	May	June	April	June	April	May	March	March	March
June	June	May	May	May	June	May	June	July	July	May	June	May	May	June	May	April	June	May	June	August	June	June	July	June	August	July	July	May	June	June
136	151	105.5	136	91.5	151	105.5	136	151.5	151.5	121	151	105.5	105.5	136	121	76	151	121	120.5	182	151	151	182	136	197.5	151.5	166.5	105.5	120.5	120.5
			л	~	~	4.	5.0	6	6	л	6	4.5	4.5	5.5	л	3.5	6	ы	л	7	6	6	7	თ .თ	7.5	6	6.5	4.5	ы	ы
5.5 5	6	4.5	с, С	4	0,	л	01																							
5.5 yes	6 yes	4.5 yes	5.5 yes	4 yes	5 yes	5 yes	5 yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium
Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Mimulus	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium	Lomatium
dentatus	cusickii	constrictus	congdonii	clevelandii	cardinalis	brewerii	brevipes	breviflorus	bolanderi	bigelovii_cuspidatus	bigelovii_bigelovii	bicolor	aurantiacus_puniceus	aurantiacus_parviflorus	aurantiacus_grandiflorus	aurantiacus_aurantiacus	aurantiacus_aridus	angustatus	androsaceus	alsinoides	vaginatum	utriculatum	triternatum_triternatum	triternatum_macrocarpum	tracyi	torreyi	stebbinsii	shevockii	rigidum	repostum
N	Z	N	Т	N	Z	N	Z	Z	Z	N	Z	Т	Z	N	Z	Т	N	N	Z	N	Т	Т	Т	N	ы	N	Z	N	Z	T
																						1.7	2.8		6.1					3.2
May	May	May	March	April	May	June	April	May	April	February	February	April	March	March	March	March	March	March	March	March	April	February	April	April	May	May	March	April	April	April
August	August	August	May	June	September	August	July	June	July	June	June	June	June	June	June	June	June	June	June	June	May	May	July	July	June	August	May	May	May	May
182	182	182	105.5	136	197	197.5	151.5	151	151.5	106.5	106.5	136	120.5	120.5	120.5	120.5	120.5	120.5	120.5	120.5	121	91.5	151.5	151.5	151	182	105.5	121	121	121
7	7	7	4.5	5.5	7.5	7.5	6	6	6	4.5	4.5	5.5	σ	ы	σ	ы	თ	თ	σ	ы	σ	4	6	6	6	7	4.5	თ	თ	ഗ
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no

July 197 7.5 yesnoJuly 182 7yesyesJuly 166.5 6.5 yesyesJune 120.5 6 yesyesJune 121.5 6 yesyesJune 121.5 6 yesyesJune 121.5 6 yesyesMay 105.5 4.5 yesyesMay 121.5 6 yesyesMay 121.5 6 yesyesMay 121.5 6 yesyesJune 136 5.5 yesyesAugust 197.5 7.5 yesyesAugust 197.5 7.5 yesyesJune 120.5 5 yesyesJune 136 5.5 yesyesJune 136 5.5 yesyesJune 197.5 7.5 yesyesAugust 197.5 7.5 yesyesJune 120.5 5 yesyesJune 120.5 5 yesyesJune 120.5 5 yesyesJune 120.5 5 yesyesJune 120.5 </th <th>Mimulus norrisi N Marc Mimulus nudatus E 5.6 May Mimulus palmeri N Marc</th> <th>us Mimulus norrisi N March us Mimulus nudatus E 5.6 May</th> <th>mulus Mimulus norrisi N March</th> <th></th> <th>/imulus Mimulus nanus_nanus T May /</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus nanus_mephiticus N May /</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus nanus_jepsonii N May ,</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus moschatus N June 4</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus montiodes N March</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus mohavensis N April</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus lewisii N June 4</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus leptaleus N June /</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus layneae T 2.9 May /</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus latifolius N March</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus latidens N April</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus laciniatus N May /</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus kelloggii T March</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus johnstonii N May /</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus inconspicuus N April</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus guttatus T March /</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus gracilipes N April</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus glaucescens T 3.8 March</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus glabratus T June 4</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus fremontii_vandenbergensis N May</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus fremontii_fremontii N March</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus floribundus T April</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus filicaulis N May</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus exiguus N June</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus evanescens N July</th> <th>Mimulus Mimulus douglasii T 2.7 February</th>	Mimulus norrisi N Marc Mimulus nudatus E 5.6 May Mimulus palmeri N Marc	us Mimulus norrisi N March us Mimulus nudatus E 5.6 May	mulus Mimulus norrisi N March		/imulus Mimulus nanus_nanus T May /	Mimulus Mimulus nanus_mephiticus N May /	Mimulus Mimulus nanus_jepsonii N May ,	Mimulus Mimulus moschatus N June 4	Mimulus Mimulus montiodes N March	Mimulus Mimulus mohavensis N April	Mimulus Mimulus lewisii N June 4	Mimulus Mimulus leptaleus N June /	Mimulus Mimulus layneae T 2.9 May /	Mimulus Mimulus latifolius N March	Mimulus Mimulus latidens N April	Mimulus Mimulus laciniatus N May /	Mimulus Mimulus kelloggii T March	Mimulus Mimulus johnstonii N May /	Mimulus Mimulus inconspicuus N April	Mimulus Mimulus guttatus T March /	Mimulus Mimulus gracilipes N April	Mimulus Mimulus glaucescens T 3.8 March	Mimulus Mimulus glabratus T June 4	Mimulus Mimulus fremontii_vandenbergensis N May	Mimulus Mimulus fremontii_fremontii N March	Mimulus Mimulus floribundus T April	Mimulus Mimulus filicaulis N May	Mimulus Mimulus exiguus N June	Mimulus Mimulus evanescens N July	Mimulus Mimulus douglasii T 2.7 February
N july july july july 197 7.5 yes no N June july july 182 7 yes yes N May july 182 7 yes yes N March June 120.5 6.5 yes yes N March June 120.5 5 yes yes N March Mune 120.5 5 yes yes T 3.8 March May 101.5 4.5 yes yes N April May 102.5 5 yes yes N March August 151.5 6 yes yes N March June 120.5 5 yes yes N March June 120.5 5 yes yes N March June August 197.5	norrisi nudatus palmeri	norrisi nudatus	norrisi		nanus nanus	1anus_mephiticus	nanus_jepsonii	moschatus	montiodes	mohavensis	lewisii	leptaleus	layneae	latifolius	latidens	laciniatus	kelloggii	johnstonii	inconspicuus	guttatus	gracilipes	glaucescens	glabratus	ontii_vandenbergensis	emontii_fremontii	floribundus	filicaulis	exiguus	evanescens	douglasii
julyjulyjuly1977.5yesnojunejuly1827yesyesApriljuly165.56.5yesyesMarchjune120.55yesyesMarchMay197.57.5yesyesAprilMay101.56.5yesyesAprilMay121.56yesyesAprilMay121.56yesyesMarchAugust151.56yesyesMarchAugust1827yesyesMarchJune1365.5yesyesMarchJune1365.5yesyesMarchJune1327yesyesMarchJune1365.5yesyesMarchJune1365.5yesyesJuneAugust197.57.5yesyesJuneAugust197.57.5yesyesJuneMayJune120.55yesyesJuneAugust197.57.5yesyesyesJuneAugust197.57.5yesyesyesJuneAugust197.57.5yesyesyesJuneAugust1827yesyesyesJuneAugust1827yesyesyesMay	: :	z	ы	Z	Т	Z	Z	Z	z	Z	Z	Z	Т	z	Z	z	Т	z	Z	Т	z	Т	Т	Z	z	Т	Z	Z	Z	Τ
JulyJulyJuly1977.5yesnoJuneJuly1827yesyesMaryJuly166.56.5yesyesMarchJune120.55yesyesMarchMay109.515.54.5yesyesMarchMay105.54.5yesyesMarchMay121.56yesyesMarchMay121.56yesyesMarchJune136.55.5yesyesMarchJune120.55yesyesMarchJune120.55yesyesMarchJune120.55yesyesMarchJune120.55yesyesMarchJune120.55yesyesMarchJune120.55yesyesJuneAugust1827yesyesJuneAugust197.57.5yesyesJuneAugust197.57.5yesyesJuneAugust197.57.5yesyesJuneAugust197.57.5yesyesJuneAugust197.57.5yesyesJuneAugust1827yesyesJuneAugust197.55yesyesMayAugust1827yes <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>5.6</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>2.9</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>3.8</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>2.7</th></t<>			5.6										2.9									3.8								2.7
july1977.5yesnojuly1827yesyesjuly166.56.5yesyesjune120.55yesyesjune121.56yesyesJune151.56yesyesMay105.54.5yesyesJune120.55yesyesMay1215yesyesJune1365.5yesyesJune1365.5yesyesJune120.55yesyesJune120.55yesyesJune120.55yesyesJune120.55yesyesJune120.55yesyesJune120.57.5yesyesJune120.57.5yesyesJune121.55yesyesJune120.57.5yesyesJune120.57.5yesyesJune120.57.5yesyesJune120.57.5yesyesJune120.57.5yesyesJune120.57.5yesyesJune120.55yesyesJune1827yesyesJune1827yesyesJune195.54.5yesyes<		March	May	March	Мау	Мау	May	June	March	April	June	June	May	March	April	Мау	March	Мау	April	March	April	March	June	Мау	March	April	May	June	July	February
197 7.5 yesno 182 7 yesyes 166.5 6.5 yesyes 151.5 6 yesyes 120.5 5 yesyes 121.5 $6.$ yesyes $182.$ $7.$ yesyes 197.5 7.5 yesyes $182.$ 7 yesyes $182.$ $6.$ yesyes $182.$ <					ł	А	А	Aı	Ţ	7	Αu	Au	A			4		А		Aı			А	J	Ţ	_				F
7.5yesno7yesyesyes6.5yesyesyes6.6yesyesyes6.7.5yesyesyes <td></td> <td>June</td> <td>June</td> <td>May</td> <td>August</td> <td>ugust</td> <td>ugust</td> <td>ugust</td> <td>une</td> <td>Лау</td> <td>ıgust</td> <td>ıgust</td> <td>ugust</td> <td>April</td> <td>June</td> <td>August</td> <td>June</td> <td>ugust</td> <td>June</td> <td>ugust</td> <td>May</td> <td>May</td> <td>ugust</td> <td>une</td> <td>une</td> <td>ſuly</td> <td>July</td> <td>July</td> <td>July</td> <td>April</td>		June	June	May	August	ugust	ugust	ugust	une	Лау	ıgust	ıgust	ugust	April	June	August	June	ugust	June	ugust	May	May	ugust	une	une	ſuly	July	July	July	April
yesnoyes		June 120.5	June 151	May 105.5	August 182	ugust 182	ugust 182	ugust 197.5	une 120.5	Лау 121	ıgust 197.5	ugust 197.5	ugust 182	April 90	June 136	August 182	June 120.5	ugust 182	June 136	ugust 151.5	May 121	May 105.5	ugust 197.5	une 151	une 120.5	July 151.5	July 166.5	July 182	July 197	April 76
no yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes		June 120.5 5	June 151 6	May 105.5 4.5	August 182 7	ugust 182 7	ugust 182 7	ugust 197.5 7.5	une 120.5 5	May 121 5	ıgust 197.5 7.5	ugust 197.5 7.5	ugust 182 7	April 90 4	June 136 5.5	August 182 7	June 120.5 5	ugust 182 7	June 136 5.5	ugust 151.5 6	May 121 5	May 105.5 4.5	ugust 197.5 7.5	une 151 6	une 120.5 5	July 151.5 6	July 166.5 6.5	July 182 7	July 197 7.5	April 76 3.5
		June 120.5 5 yes	June 151 6 yes	May 105.5 4.5 yes	August 182 7 yes	ugust 182 7 yes	ugust 182 7 yes	ugust 197.5 7.5 yes	une 120.5 5 yes	4ay 121 5 yes	ıgust 197.5 7.5 yes	197.5 7.5 yes	ugust 182 7 yes	April 90 4 yes	June 136 5.5 yes	August 182 7 yes	June 120.5 5 yes	ugust 182 7 yes	June 136 5.5 yes	ugust 151.5 6 yes	May 121 5 yes	May 105.5 4.5 yes	ugust 197.5 7.5 yes	une 151 6 yes	une 120.5 5 yes	July 151.5 6 yes	July 166.5 6.5 yes	July 182 7 yes	July 197 7.5 yes	April 76 3.5 yes

Mimulus	Mimulus	pictus	z Z		March	May	105.5	ч. 4. л л . л	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	, primuloides_linearifolius	Т	4	July	August	212.5	8	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	primuloides_primuloides	Ν		June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	no
Mimulus	Mimulus	pulchellus	N		April	July	151.5	6	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	pulsiferae	Z		April	June	136	л 5	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	purpureus	Z		June	July	182	7	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	pygmaeus	Z		May	June	151	6	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	rattanii	Z		April	July	151.5	6	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	ringens	Z		April	June	136	ა ა	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	rubellus	Z		April	June	136	ა ა	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	rupicola	Z		February	June	106.5	4.5	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	shevockii	Z		April	May	121	ы	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	suksdorfii	Z		May	August	182	7	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	tilingii	Z		July	September	227.5	8.5	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	torreyi	Т		May	August	182	7	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	traskiae	Z		March	April	90	4	yes	no
Mimulus	Mimulus	tricolor	Z		March	June	120.5	ы	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	viscidus_compactus	Z		April	July	151.5	6	yes	no
Mimulus	Mimulus	viscidus_viscidus	Z		April	July	151.5	6	yes	yes
Mimulus	Mimulus	whitneyi	Z		May	September	197	7.5	yes	yes
Navarretia	Navarretia	atractyloides	Т		May	July	166.5	6.5	yes	yes
Navarretia	Navarretia	breweri	Т		June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	yes
Navarretia	Navarretia	capillaris	Z		June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	no
Navarretia	Navarretia	cotulifolia	Z		May	June	151	6	yes	no
Navarretia	Navarretia	divaricata_divaricata	Z		June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	no
Navarretia	Navarretia	divaricata_vividior	Z		June	August	197.5	7.5	yes	yes
Navarretia	Navarretia	eriocephala	Z		May	June	151	6	yes	yes
Navarretia	Navarretia	filicaulis	Т		June	July	182	7	yes	yes
Navarretia	Navarretia	fossalis	Z		April	June	136	5.5	yes	yes
Navarretia	Navarretia	gowenii	Т		May	June	151	6	yes	no

Navarretia sinistra_pinnatisecta T June August 197.5 7.5 y Navarretia sinistra_sinistra N June August 197.5 7.5 y	Navarretia sinistra_pinnatisecta T June August 197.5 7.5 y		a Navarretia setiloba T April July 151.5 6 y	a Navarrella rosulata E o May July 100.3 0.3)	a Navarratia roculata F 6 May Iuly 1665 65 r	a Navarretia pubescens T 2 May July 166.5 6.5 y	a Navarretia prostrata N April July 151.5 6 y	a Navarretia prolifera_prolifera N May June 151 6 y	a Navarretia prolifera_lutea N May July 166.5 6.5 y	a Navarretia peninsularis N June August 197.5 7.5 y	ia Navarretia ojaiensis N May July 166.5 6.5 y	ia Navarretia nigelliformis_radians N May July 166.5 6.5 y	ia Navarretia nigelliformis_nigelliformis N April June 136 5.5 y	ia Navarretia myersii_myersii N May May 136 5.5 y	ia Navarretia myersii_deminuta N April May 121 5 y	ia Navarretia mitracarpa E 5.9 May July 166.5 6.5 j	ia Navarretia mellita N May July 166.5 6.5 y	ia Navarretia leucocephala_plieantha N May June 151 6 y	ia Navarretia leucocephala_pauciflora N May June 151 6 y	ia Navarretia leucocephala_minima N June August 197.5 7.5 y	ia Navarretia leucocephala_leucocephala N April May 121 5 y	ia Navarretia leucocephala_bakeri N April July 151.5 6 y	ia Navarretia jepsonii E 5.6 April June 136 5.5 y	ia Navarretia intertexta_propinqua N June August 197.5 7.5 y	ia Navarretia intertexta_intertexta N May July 166.5 6.5 y	ia Navarretia heterodoxa T 2.8 May June 151 6 y	ia Navarretia heterandra N May June 151 6 y	ia Navarretia hamata_parviloba N April June 136 5.5 y	ia Navarretia hamata_leptantha N April June 136 5.5 y	ia Navarretia hamata_hamata N April June 136 5.5 y
7.5 7.5	7.5 7.5	7.5 7.5	1.5	1 0.0 0.0	עע	5.5 6.5	l.5 6	1 6	5.5 6.5	7.5 7.5	5.5 6.5	5.5 6.5	6 5.5	6 5.5	<u>1</u> л	5.5 6.5	5.5 6.5	1 6	1 6	7.5 7.5	л 1 5	l.5 6	6 5.5	7.5 7.5	5.5 6.5	1 6	1 6	6 5.5	6 5.5	0.0 0.0
l	7.5 yu	7.5 yı	yı	y. c.o	, т	6.5 ус	6 у	6 у	6.5 уч	7.5 yu	6.5 уч	6.5 уч	5.5 yu	5.5 ye	5 ye	6.5 n	6.5 ус	6 у	6 у	7.5 yı	5 ус	6 у	5.5 ye	7.5 yu	6.5 уч	6 у	6 у	5.5 ye	5.5 yu	
Ve	yes	yes	yes	yes	Ύρος	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	

Perideridia	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Navarretia	Navarretia
Perideridia	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Packera	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Orthocarpus	Navarretia	Navarretia
americana	werneriifolia	subnuda	streptanthifolia_streptanthif olia	pseudaurea	pauciflora	multilobata	macounii	layneae	ionophylla	indecora	hesperia	greenei	ganderi	eurycephala_lewisrosei	eurycephala_eurycephala	clevelandii	cana	breweri	bolanderi	bernardina	pachystachyus	luteus	imbricatus	cuspidatus_cuspidatus	cuspidatus_cryptanthus	cuspidatus_copelandii	bracteosus	viscidula	tagetina
Z	z	z	Z	z	z	z	н	н	z	z	z	н	z	н	Т	гı	z	z	z	z	н	z	z	z	z	z	Z	z	Z
							5.1	4.9				5.3		5.8	3.8	5.8													
Ap																													
ril	July	June	May	June	July	Мау	Мау	April	June	June	April	May	April	April	May	May	June	April	Мау	Мау	Мау	July	June	June	June	June	June	June	April
ril July	July August	June September	May August	June July	July August	May July	May July	April June	June August	June August	April June	May July	April May	April June	May June	May August	June August	April June	May July	May July	May June	July August	June August	June August	June August	June August	June August	June July	April June
ril July 151.5	July August 212.5	June September 212.5	May August 182	June July 182	July August 212.5	May July 166.5	May July 166.5	April June 136	June August 197.5	June August 197.5	April June 136	May July 166.5	April May 121	April June 136	May June 151	May August 182	June August 197.5	April June 136	May July 166.5	May July 166.5	May June 151	July August 212.5	June August 197.5	June July 182	April June 136				
ril July 151.5 6	July August 212.5 8	June September 212.5 8	May August 182 7	June July 182 7	July August 212.5 8	May July 166.5 6.5	May July 166.5 6.5	April June 136 5.5	June August 197.5 7.5	June August 197.5 7.5	April June 136 5.5	May July 166.5 6.5	April May 121 5	April June 136 5.5	May June 151 6	May August 182 7	June August 197.5 7.5	April June 136 5.5	May July 166.5 6.5	May July 166.5 6.5	May June 151 6	July August 212.5 8	June August 197.5 7.5	June July 182 7	April June 136 5.5				
ril July 151.5 6 no	July August 212.5 8 yes	June September 212.5 8 yes	May August 182 7 yes	June July 182 7 yes	July August 212.5 8 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	April May 121 5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	May June 151 6 yes	May August 182 7 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	May June 151 6 yes	July August 212.5 8 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	June July 182 7 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes				

Sidalcea	Sidalcea	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia
Sidalcea	Sidalcea	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Sanicula	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia	Perideridia
asprella_nana	asprella_asprella	tuberosa	tracyi	saxatilis	peckiana	maritima	laciniata	hoffmannii	graveolens	crassicaulis	canadensis	bipinnatifida	bipinnata	arguta	arctopoides	pringlei	parishii_parishii	parishii_latifolia	oregana	leptocarpa	lemmonii	kelloggii	howellii	gairdneri_gairdneri	gairdneri_borealis	erythrorhiza	californica	bolanderi_involucrata	bolanderi_bolanderi	bacigalupii
Т	Т	Т	Т	N	н	Z	Т	Т	Z	Т	N	Т	Т	Т	Z	Т	N	N	Т	н	Т	Τ	N	N	Т	Т	Z	Т	Т	ы
			2.1		5.3			1.8				1.8				3.7			1.7	5.6		2.1								4.6
June	Мау	March	Marc	Ma	Ma	Ap	Mar	Mai	Mai	Ma	Μ	Ma	А	М	Fel	Þ		_	J	Ju	Ţ	Ţ	ļ	Ju	Ju		Ap	Jui	Jui	Jun
		-	'n	У	зy	ril	.ch	rch	rch	rch	ay	urch	pril	arch	oruary	April	June	lune	uly	ne	uly	uly	uly	ne	ine		oril	ne	ıe	e
August	June	July	h May	y June	ау Мау	ril May	ch May	ch May	ch May	rch May	ay July	irch May	pril May	arch April	oruary May	April June	June August	June August	uly August	ne August	uly August	uly August	uly August	ne July	ine August		oril May	ne August	1e August	e August
August 197.5	June 151	ı July 136	h May 105.5	y June 151	ay May 136	ril May 121	ch May 105.5	ch May 105.5	ch May 105.5	rch May 105.5	ay July 166.5	rch May 105.5	pril May 121	arch April 90	oruary May 91.5	April June 136	June August 197.5	June August 197.5	uly August 212.5	ne August 197.5	uly August 212.5	uly August 212.5	uly August 212.5	ne July 182	ine August 197.5	228	oril May 121	ne August 197.5	1e August 197.5	e August 197.5
August 197.5 7.5	June 151 6	ı July 136 5.5	h May 105.5 4.5	y June 151 6	ay May 136 5.5	ril May 121 5	ch May 105.5 4.5	rch May 105.5 4.5	rch May 105.5 4.5	rch May 105.5 4.5	ay July 166.5 6.5	urch May 105.5 4.5	pril May 121 5	arch April 90 4	oruary May 91.5 4	April June 136 5.5	June August 197.5 7.5	June August 197.5 7.5	uly August 212.5 8	ne August 197.5 7.5	uly August 212.5 8	uly August 212.5 8	uly August 212.5 8	ne July 182 7	ine August 197.5 7.5	228 8.5	oril May 121 5	ne August 197.5 7.5	1e August 197.5 7.5	e August 197.5 7.5
August 197.5 7.5 yes	June 151 6 yes	ı July 136 5.5 yes	h May 105.5 4.5 yes:	y June 151 6 yes	ay May 136 5.5 yes	ril May 121 5 yes	ch May 105.5 4.5 yes	rch May 105.5 4.5 yes	rch May 105.5 4.5 yes	rch May 105.5 4.5 yes	ay July 166.5 6.5 no	urch May 105.5 4.5 yes	pril May 121 5 yes	arch April 90 4 yes	oruary May 91.5 4 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	fune August 197.5 7.5 yes	uly August 212.5 8 yes	ne August 197.5 7.5 yes	uly August 212.5 8 yes	uly August 212.5 8 yes	uly August 212.5 8 yes	ne July 182 7 yes	ine August 197.5 7.5 yes	228 8.5 no	oril May 121 5 yes	ne August 197.5 7.5 yes	1e August 197.5 7.5 yes	e August 197.5 7.5 yes

Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea	Sidalcea Sidalcea
pedata	oregana_valida	oregana_spicata	oregana_oregana	oregana_hydrophila	oregana_eximia	neomexicana	multifida	malviflora_rostrata	malviflora_purpurea	malviflora_patula	malviflora_malviflora	malviflora_laciniata	malviflora_dolosa	malviflora_californica	malachroides	keckii	hirsuta	hickmanii_viridis	hickmanii_pillsburiensis	hickmanii_parishii	hickmanii_napensis	hickmanii_anomala	hartwegii	glaucescens	gigantea	elegans	diploscypha	covillei	celata	calycosa
N	N	N	Z	N	Z	N	Z	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Τ	N	гı	N	N	N	гı	Т	Т	N	Т	Т	N	Т	N
																ω		6.3				5.6	1.6				2.6			
Мау																														
	June	June	May	July	June	April	Мау	April	May	May	March	March	June	March	April	April	April	Мау	July	June	May	May	April	June	July	June	April	May	May	March
August	June September	June August	May September	July September	June August	April June	May July	April May	May June	May August	March July	March June	June July	March June	April August	April May	April June	May June	July July	June August	May May	May June	April June	June August	July September	June July	April May	May June	May June	March July
August 182	June September 212.5	June August 197.5	May September 197	July September 227.5	June August 197.5	April June 136	May July 166.5	April May 121	May June 151	May August 182	March July 136	March June 120.5	June July 182	March June 120.5	April August 167	April May 121	April June 136	May June 151	July July 197	June August 197.5	May May 136	May June 151	April June 136	June August 197.5	July September 227.5	June July 182	April May 121	May June 151	May June 151	March July 136
August 182 7	June September 212.5 8	June August 197.5 7.5	May September 197 7.5	July September 227.5 8.5	June August 197.5 7.5	April June 136 5.5	May July 166.5 6.5	April May 121 5	May June 151 6	May August 182 7	March July 136 5.5	March June 120.5 5	June July 182 7	March June 120.5 5	April August 167 6.5	April May 121 5	April June 136 5.5	May June 151 6	July July 197 7.5	June August 197.5 7.5	May May 136 5.5	May June 151 6	April June 136 5.5	June August 197.5 7.5	July September 227.5 8.5	June July 182 7	April May 121 5	May June 151 6	May June 151 6	March July 136 5.5
August 182 7 yes	June September 212.5 8 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	May September 197 7.5 yes	July September 227.5 8.5 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	April May 121 5 yes	May June 151 6 yes	May August 182 7 yes	March July 136 5.5 yes	March June 120.5 5 yes	June July 182 7 yes	March June 120.5 5 yes	April August 167 6.5 yes	April May 121 5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	May June 151 6 yes	July July 197 7.5 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	May May 136 5.5 yes	May June 151 6 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	July September 227.5 8.5 yes	June July 182 7 yes	April May 121 5 yes	May June 151 6 yes	May June 151 6 yes	March July 136 5.5 yes

Thelypodieae Strep	Thelypodieae Strept	Thelypodieae Sta	Thelypodieae Sta	Thelypodieae Sta	Thelypodieae Siba	Thelypodieae Caul	Sidalcea Sid	Sidalcea Sic																						
otanthus	otanthus	otanthus	otanthus	otanthus	tanthella	ınleya	ınleya	ınleya	aropsis	lanthus	falcea	lalcea	lalcea	lalcea	lalcea	talcea														
brachiatus	bernardinus	batrachopus	barbiger	barbatus	longirostris	viridiflora	pinnata_pinnata	elata	hammittii	simulans	pilosus	major	lasiophylla	inflatus	heterophyllus	hallii	glaucus	flavescens	crassicaulis	coulteri	cooperi	californicus	anceps	amplexicaulis	stipularis	sparsifolia	setosa	robusta	reptans	ranunculacea
н	Z	н	н	н	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	T	Z	Z	Z	Z	Т	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Т	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z
5.6		6.1	6	5.6														2.3												
June	June	May	May	June	March	May	April	May	March	March	March	May	March	March	March	April	April	March	April	March	March	February	March	April	June	March	June	April	June	June
July	August	June	August	August	June	July	September	July	April	June	July	July	June	May	May	May	June	May	July	July	April	April	May	August	August	June	July	June	August	August
182	197.5	151	182	197.5	120.5	166.5	182	166.5	90	120.5	136	166.5	120.5	105.5	105.5	121	136	105.5	151.5	136	90	76	105.5	167	197.5	120.5	182	136	197.5	197.5
7	7.5	6	7	7.5	ы	6.5	7	6.5	4	ы	5.5	6.5	σ	4.5	4.5	ы	5.5	4.5	6	5.5	4	3.5	4.5	6.5	7.5	ы	7	5.5	7.5	7.5
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no

Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae
Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus
oliganthus	oblanceolatus	morrisonii	maculatus	longisiliquus	insignis_lyonii	insignis_insignis	hyacinthoides	howellii	hispidus	hesperidis	gracilis	glandulosus_sonomensis	glandulosus_secundus	glandulosus_pulchellus	glandulosus_niger	glandulosus_hoffmanii	glandulosus_glandulosus	glandulosus_albidus	fenestratus	farnsworthianus	drepanoides	diversifolius	cutleri	cordatus_piutensis	cordatus_cordatus	carinatus	campestris	callistus	breweri	bracteatus
Z	Z	н	Z	N	Т	Т	Z	н	Z	ਸ	Z	н	Т	н	н	Т	Т	ਸ	Z	N	н	N	Z	N	Z	N	Z	Z	н	Z
		6.1			3.3	4		6.1		6.1			3.5	4.9	6.1	З	1.9	5.3											5.7	
June	June	May	April	May	April	March	Мау	June	March	May	June	Мау	April	May	May	May	April	April	May	May	May	April	February	June	April	February	May	April	Мау	April
August	July	September	May	July	May	May	June	July	June	July	September	July	June	June	July	July	July	July	June	June	July	July	April	July	July	April	June	Мау	July	June
197.5	182	197	121	166.5	121	105.5	151	182	120.5	166.5	212.5	166.5	136	151	166.5	166.5	151.5	151.5	151	151	166.5	151.5	76	182	151.5	76	151	121	166.5	136
7.5	7	7.5	ы	6.5	ы	4.5	6	7	ы	6.5	8	6.5	5.5	6	6.5	6.5	6	6	6	6	6.5	6	3.5	7	6	3.5	6	ы	6.5	5.5
yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no
no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes

Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae	Thelypodieae
Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Thysanocarpus	Thysanocarpus	Thysanocarpus	Thysanocarpus	Thysanocarpus	Thysanocarpus	Thelypodium	Thelypodium	Thelypodium	Thelypodium	Thelypodium	Thelypodium	Thelypodium	Thelypodium	Thelypodium	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus	Streptanthus
ovatum	oblongum	micranthum	laxum	lanceolatum	lanatum	austromontanum_compactu m	austromontanum_austromon tanum	arizonicum	radians	laciniatus_rigidus	laciniatus_laciniatus	laciniatus_hitchcockii	curvipes	conchuliferus	stenopetalum	milleflorum	laciniatum	integrifolium_complanatum	integrifolium_affine	howellii_howellii	flexuosum	crispum	brachycarpum	vimineus	vernalis	tortuosus	sparsiflorus (=platycarpus)	polygaloides	platycarpus
z	N	z	Т	T	N	N	Z	z	z	z	N	z	z	z	z	Z	Z	z	Z	z	z	z	Т	T	н	Т	z	н	Z
			4																				3.3			1.4		5.7	
July	June	July	June	June	April	July	July	July	March	February	March	March	February	March	May	April	April	June	June	May	April	June	April	May	March	April	March	May	March
July October	June September	July September	June October	June November	April July	July August	July October	July October	March April	February May	March May	March May	February June	March April	May August	April August	April August	June August	June October	May July	April June	June August	April August	May July	March May	April September	March April	May July	March April
July October 243	June September 212.5	July September 227.5	June October 228	June November 243	April July 151.5	July August 212.5	July October 243	July October 243	March April 90	February May 91.5	March May 105.5	March May 105.5	February June 106.5	March April 90	May August 182	April August 167	April August 167	June August 197.5	June October 228	May July 166.5	April June 136	June August 197.5	April August 167	May July 166.5	March May 105.5	April September 182	March April 90	May July 166.5	March April 90
July October 243 9	June September 212.5 8	July September 227.5 8.5	June October 228 8.5	June November 243 9	April July 151.5 6	July August 212.5 8	July October 243 9	July October 243 9	March April 90 4	February May 91.5 4	March May 105.5 4.5	March May 105.5 4.5	February June 106.5 4.5	March April 90 4	May August 182 7	April August 167 6.5	April August 167 6.5	June August 197.5 7.5	June October 228 8.5	May July 166.5 6.5	April June 136 5.5	June August 197.5 7.5	April August 167 6.5	May July 166.5 6.5	March May 105.5 4.5	April September 182 7	March April 90 4	May July 166.5 6.5	March April 90 4
July October 243 9 yes	June September 212.5 8 yes	July September 227.5 8.5 yes	June October 228 8.5 yes	June November 243 9 yes	April July 151.5 6 yes	July August 212.5 8 yes	July October 243 9 yes	July October 243 9 no	March April 90 4 yes	February May 91.5 4 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	February June 106.5 4.5 yes	March April 90 4 yes	May August 182 7 yes	April August 167 6.5 yes	April August 167 6.5 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	June October 228 8.5 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	April August 167 6.5 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	April September 182 7 yes	March April 90 4 no	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	March April 90 4 no

Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema
Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema	Trichostema
gracilentum	glomeratum	fucatum	fragiferum	eriocephalum_eriocephalum	dubium	dichotomum	depauperatum_truncatum	depauperatum_depauperatu m	depauperatum_amplectens	cyathiferum	ciliolatum	campestre	buckwestiorum	breweri	bolanderi	bifidum_decipiens	bifidum_bifidum	beckwithii	barbigerum	arvense	angustifolium	andersonii_beatleyae	andersonii_andersonii	amoenum	albopurpureum	simulatum	ruygtii	rubisepalum	parishii
T	z	Т	z	T	T	T	N	Т	Z	z	Z	z	z	z	Z	Z	Т	z	Z	z	z	z	Z	z	T	z	Z	н	Z
1		1.3																						1.3				5.4	
March	March	April	May	May	April	April	April	March	April	May	March	April	May	May	June	April	April	May	April	July	April	May	May	April	March	July	June	July	March
March June	March May	April June	May August	May August	April July	April June	April June	March May	April June	May August	March June	April May	May June	May August	June July	April June	April June	May August	April June	July July	April May	May August	May August	April June	March June	July September	June October	July September	March July
March June 120.5	March May 105.5	April June 136	May August 182	May August 182	April July 151.5	April June 136	April June 136	March May 105.5	April June 136	May August 182	March June 120.5	April May 121	May June 151	May August 182	June July 182	April June 136	April June 136	May August 182	April June 136	July July 197	April May 121	May August 182	May August 182	April June 136	March June 120.5	July September 227.5	June October 228	July September 227.5	March July 136
March June 120.5 5	March May 105.5 4.5	April June 136 5.5	May August 182 7	May August 182 7	April July 151.5 6	April June 136 5.5	April June 136 5.5	March May 105.5 4.5	April June 136 5.5	May August 182 7	March June 120.5 5	April May 121 5	May June 151 6	May August 182 7	June July 182 7	April June 136 5.5	April June 136 5.5	May August 182 7	April June 136 5.5	July July 197 7.5	April May 121 5	May August 182 7	May August 182 7	April June 136 5.5	March June 120.5 5	July September 227.5 8.5	June October 228 8.5	July September 227.5 8.5	March July 136 5.5
March June 120.5 5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 no	April June 136 5.5 yes	May August 182 7 no	May August 182 7 yes	April July 151.5 6 no	April June 136 5.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	May August 182 7 yes	March June 120.5 5 yes	April May 121 5 no	May June 151 6 yes	May August 182 7 yes	June July 182 7 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	May August 182 7 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	July July 197 7.5 no	April May 121 5 no	May August 182 7 yes	May August 182 7 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	March June 120.5 5 yes	July September 227.5 8.5 yes	June October 228 8.5 yes	July September 227.5 8.5 yes	March July 136 5.5 yes

Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium
Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium
productum	productum	polyodon	palmeri	olivaceum	oliganthum	obtusiflorum	monanthum_tenerum	monanthum_parvum	monanthum_monanthum	monanthum_grantianum	microdon	microcephalum	macrocephalum	macraei	longipes_shastense	longipes_oreganum	longipes_multipedunculatum	longipes_hansenii	longipes_elmeri	longipes_atrorubens	lemmonii	kingii_dedeckerae	jokerstii	incarnatum	hydrophilum	hybridum	howellii	hirtum	gymnocarpon	grayi
Z	Т	Z	Z	Z	Т	Z	Z	N	Z	Z	Т	Т	Z	Z	Z	Т	Z	Z	ы	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	N	Z	Т	Z	Z
												1.4				4			5.3											
June	June	April	March	April	March	April	June	June	June	June	March	April	April	March	June	June	June	June	June	June	May	Мау	March	May	April	Мау	July	April	Мау	April
June August	June August	April June	March May	April May	March June	April July	June August	June August	June August	June August	March June	April August	April May	March May	June September	June August	June September	June September	June September	June September	May July	May July	March May	May August	April June	May October	July August	April May	May June	April June
June August 197.5	June August 197.5	April June 136	March May 105.5	April May 121	March June 120.5	April July 151.5	June August 197.5	June August 197.5	June August 197.5	June August 197.5	March June 120.5	April August 167	April May 121	March May 105.5	June September 212.5	June August 197.5	June September 212.5	June September 212.5	June September 212.5	June September 212.5	May July 166.5	May July 166.5	March May 105.5	May August 182	April June 136	May October 212.5	July August 212.5	April May 121	May June 151	April June 136
June August 197.5 7.5	June August 197.5 7.5	April June 136 5.5	March May 105.5 4.5	April May 121 5	March June 120.5 5	April July 151.5 6	June August 197.5 7.5	March June 120.5 5	April August 167 6.5	April May 121 5	March May 105.5 4.5	June September 212.5 8	June August 197.5 7.5	June September 212.5 8	May July 166.5 6.5	May July 166.5 6.5	March May 105.5 4.5	May August 182 7	April June 136 5.5	May October 212.5 8	July August 212.5 8	April May 121 5	May June 151 6	April June 136 5.5						
June August 197.5 7.5 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	April May 121 5 yes	March June 120.5 5 yes	April July 151.5 6 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	March June 120.5 5 yes	April August 167 6.5 yes	April May 121 5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	June September 212.5 8 yes	June August 197.5 7.5 yes	June September 212.5 8 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	May July 166.5 6.5 yes	March May 105.5 4.5 yes	May August 182 7 no	April June 136 5.5 yes	May October 212.5 8 no	July August 212.5 8 yes	April May 121 5 no	May June 151 6 yes	April June 136 5.5 yes						

Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium
Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium	Trifolium
wormskioldii	willdenovii	variegatum_variegaum	variegatum_major	variegatum_geminiflorum	trichocalyx	subterraneum_yanninicum	subterraneum_subterrane	subterraneum_brachycalyx	siskiyouense	reflexum
N	Т	N	N	N	N	Т	Т	Т	N	N
	1.3									
May	March	April	April	April	April	March	March	March	June	June
October	June	June	July	July	June	April	April	April	July	July
212.5	120.5	136	151.5	151.5	136	90	90	90	182	182
8	ы	5.5	6	6	5.5	4	4	4	7	7
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no
yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes

Appendix B

Vouchers, host associations, and Genbank Accession numbers for 163 Orobanche populations and outgroup taxa sampled for the phylogenetic analysis in Chapter 2.

Host associations in plain text were confirmed based on a haustorial connection or otherwise designated as the host by the collector. Host taxa printed in italics are inferred based on lists of co-occurring plants reported by the collector.

Colwell 04-	Colwell 02-54	Colwell 02-51	Colwell 02-49	Colwell 02-48	Schneider 936	Hammond 10858	Colwell 99-01		July-2009J Colwell 03-08	Colwell (5-	Collins 1541	Homgren 7066	Halse 897	Halse 4905	Collection #	
JEPS 126148		WTU 351389	WTU 351400	WTU 351388	JEPS 122909	ASU 60715	WTU 344744		JEPS 126150		WIS 282497	ΝY	ARIZ 187291	WTU 333630	Accession #	
0. californica	O. californica subsp. californica	0. californica	O. californica subsp. californica	O. californica subsp. californica	0. bulbosa	0. bulbosa	0. bulbosa		0. bulbosa		0. bulbosa	0. arizonica	0. arizonica	Castilleja ambigua	Taxon	
Eriophyllum	Eriophyllum staechadifolium	Grindelia stricta	Grindelia stricta	Grindelia stricta	Adenostoma fasciculatum		Adenostoma fasciculatum	fasciculatum	rasciculatum Adenostoma	Adenostoma					Host	
KX161259	KX161258	KX161155	KX161154	KX161153	KX161152	KX161151	KX161149		KX161148	KX161147	KX161150		KX161146		ITS	
KX161101	KX161100	KX161007	KX161006	KX161005	KX161004	KX161003	KX161001		KX161000	KX160999	KX161002		KX160998		ETS	
	KX160872		KX160831	KX160830	KX160828				KX160827	KX160826		KX160825	KX160824		matK	
	KX160996		KX160927	KX160926	KX160924				KX160923			KX160922	KX160921		rps2	
	KX161377		KX161305	KX161306	KX161301				KX161300	KX161299			KX161298		spacer	trnL-trnF
	KX160896				KX160874			1	117					FJ939224	waxy	

Colwell 99-75 WTU 344745 Colwell (18- Sept-2006) JEPS 126164 Colwell 00-44 WTU 344754 Colwell 02-52 WTU 351391	Colwell 99-75 WTU 344745 Colwell (18- Sept-2006) JEPS 126164 Colwell 00-44 WTU 344754	Colwell 99-75 WTU 344745 Colwell (18- JEPS 126164 Sept-2006)	Colwell 99-75 WTU 344745 Colwell (18- JEPS 126164	Colwell 99-75 WTU 344745	Colwell 99-75 WTU 344745			Colwell 99-02 WTU 344758		June-2006) Colwell 05-33 JEPS 126147	Colwell (8- JEPS 126158	Inne-2006)	Colwell (18- JEPS 126157		Taylor 18568 JEPS 100408		Taylor 18566 JEPS 100409		Taylor 18565 JEPS 100410		Schneider 293 JEPS	Colwell 99-77 WTU 344846	RIO	Colwell 97-CA- WTU 344755	WA-DPSP	Colwell 96- WTU		314 Colwell 15-01 JEPS 126152	Colwell 04-	313	Colwell 04- JEPS 126160	196
Subsp. reuuger O. californica subsp. grandis O. californica subsp. grandis O. californica	Subsp. reudget O. californica Subsp. grandis O. californica	subsp. reudger O. californica subsp. grandis	0. californica	subsp. reudger	and have found and	0. californica	subsp. feudgei	0. californica	subsp. feudgei	subsp. feudgei O. californica	0. californica	suhen feudgei	0. californica	subsp. condensa	0. californica	subsp. condensa	0. californica	subsp. condensa	0. californica	subsp. californica	subsp. californica O. californica	0. californica	suhen californica	0. californica	subsp. californica	0. californica	subsp. californica	subsp. californica O. californica	0. californica	subsp. californica	0. californica	subsp. californica
Lessingia filaginifolia		Lessingia filaginifolia	and Isocoma menziesii	Lessingia filaginifolia						Artemisia tridentata	Artemisia tridentata			minor	Heterotheca villosa var.	minor	Heterotheca villosa var.	minor	Heterotheca villosa var.	staechadifolium	Eriophyllum	Grindelia integrifolia	C	Grindelia integrifolia	c	Grindelia integrifolia	staechadifolium	Eriophyllum	Grindelia stricta	staechadifolium	Eriophyllum	staechadifolium
	KX161170	KX161169		KX161168		KX161166		KX161165		KX161164	KX161167		KX161163		KX161162		KX161161		KX161160		KX161262	KX161159		KX161158		KX161157		KX161261	KX161156		KX161260	
	KX161020	KX161019		KX161022		KX161016		KX161015			KX161018		KX161017		KX161014		KX161013		KX161012		KX161104	KX161011		KX161010		KX161009		KX161103	KX161008		KX161102	
	KX160836							KX160834					KX160835						KX160833		KX160873	KX160832		KX160829				KX160871				
	KX160932							KX160930					KX160931						KX160929		KX160997	KX160928		KX160925								
	KX161309												KX161308						KX161307		KX161378	KX161304		KX161303				KX161376				
																					KX160920											

Holmgren 1402 Leidolf 2385 Collins 2035	Colwell 99-08	421 Colwell 14-26	212 Colwell 06-	Colwell 05-	95-1215 Collins 2027	SN Van Devender	Colwell 97-CA-	Colwell 02-06	Colwell 01-01	Vilagran 8616	Rosas 3327	Long 2240	Garcia 3877	104 Gowen s.n.	Colwell 01-	(=Colwell 05-	Allen s.n.	259 Colwell 14-25	Colwell 05-	Colwell 03-57
WTU 224934 WTU 344762 MO 6012141	WTU 344753	JEPS 126165	JEPS 126163	JEPS 126139	UC2046163	ARIZ 321887	WTU 344843	WTU 351385	WTU 344743	SGO 142749	CONC	UC 2046156	SGO 154435	JEPS 126142	WTU 351396		JEPS 126146	М	JEPS 126145	WTU
0. corymbosa 0. corymbosa 0. corymbosa subsp. mutabilis	O. corymbosa	0. corymbosa	0. corymbosa	palmeri O. corymbosa	0. cooperi subsp.	0. cooperi	0. cooperi	0. cooperi	0. cooperi	0. chilensis	0. chilensis	0. chilensis	subsp. jepsonii 0. chilensis	subsp. jepsonii O. californica	O. californica	subsp. jepsonii	subsp. grayana O. californica	subsp. grayana O. californica	0. californica	0. californica subsp. grayana
Artemisia sp. Artemisia sp.	Artemisia tridentata	Artemisia tridentata			Viguiera stenoloba		Ambrosia dumosa	Encelia farinosa	Hymenoclea salsola			Grindelia ventanensis			Artemisia tridentata			Euthamia occidentalis	Erigeron sp.	Eucephalus breweri
KX161175 KX161193 KX161194	KX161192	KX161191	KX161190	KX161189	KX161187	KX161188	KX161184	KX161186	KX161185	KX161183	KX161182	KX161181	KX161179	KX161178	KX161176		KX161177	KX161174	KX161173	KX161172
KX161026 KX161043 KX161044	KX161042	KX161041	KX161040	KX161039	KX161037	KX161038	KX161034	KX161036	KX161035	KX161033	KX161032	KX161031	KX161030	KX161029	KX161027		KX161028	KX161025	KX161024	KX161023
						KX160846		KX160845	KX160844	KX160843	KX160842	KX160841	KX160840					KX160838		KX160837
						KX160942		KX160941	KX160940	KX160939	KX160938	KX160937	KX160936					KX160934		KX160933
	KX161321	KX161320				KX161318		KX161317	KX161319	KX161316	KX161315	KX161314	KX161313		KX161311			KX161302		KX161310
							11'	KX160879	KX160878		KX160877	KX160876	KX160875							

Korrow CHSC 12931 O. fa Egger 1295 WTU 0. fa Halse 908 ARIZ 187532 0. fa Harvey s.n. UC 0. fa Howell 51680 CAS 641193 0. fa Keith s.n. WIS 282501 0. fa Lackschewitz WTU 272369 0. fa Merner s.n. WIS 282500 0. fa	Cox 188 CHSC 12931 0. fa Egger 1295 WTU 0. fa Halse 908 ARIZ 187532 0. fa Harvey s.n. UC 0. fa Howell 51680 CAS 641193 0. fa Keith s.n. WIS 282501 0. fa Lackschewitz WTU 272369 0. fa	Cox 188 CHSC 12931 0. fa Egger 1295 WTU 0. fa Halse 908 ARIZ 187532 0. fa Harvey s.n. UC 0. fa Howell 51680 CAS 641193 0. fa Keith s.n. WIS 282501 0. fa Lackschewitz WTU 272369 0. fa	Cox 188 CHSC 12931 O. fa Egger 1295 WTU O. fa Halse 908 ARIZ 187532 O. fa Harvey s.n. UC O. fa Howell 51680 CAS 641193 O. fa Keith s.n. WIS 282501 O. fa	Cox 188 CHSC 12931 0. fa Egger 1295 WTU 0. fa Halse 908 ARIZ 187532 0. fa Harvey s.n. UC 0. fa Howell 51680 CAS 641193 0. fa	Cox 188 CHSC 12931 O. fa Egger 1295 WTU O. fa Halse 908 ARIZ 187532 O. fa Harvey s.n. UC O. fa	Cox 188 CHSC 12931 O. fa Egger 1295 WTU O. fa Halse 908 ARIZ 187532 O. fa	Cox 188 CHSC 12931 O. fa Egger 1295 WTU O. fa	Cox 188 CHSC 12931 0. fa		Colwell 99-CA- WTU 344759 0. fa	CO-TFS	107 Colwell 95- WTU 344763 0. fa	Colwell 10- YM O. fa	Colwell 07-53 YM O. fa	Colwell 04-83 YM 118109 0. fa	Colwell 04-54 YM 117945 O. fa	Colwell 04-03 YM 118118 0. fa	Colwell 02-44 YELLO 0. fa	Colwell 02-09 WTU 351387 0. fa	Colwell 01-95 WTU 351381 0. fa	Boyd 9673 RSA 599655 0. fa	Bohrer 1684 ARIZ 189922 0. fa	Bell 159 SD 136414 O. fa	Batten 78-279 ALA v85763 0. fa	Banks 689 SD 137701 O. fa	Ahart 3393 CHSC 44286 0. fa	Santana 5977 WIS 282502 0.
sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata	sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata	sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata	sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata	sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata sciculata	sciculata sciculata sciculata	sciculata sciculata	sciculata	ociculara	etelinin	sciculata		sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	sciculata	lugesii
Artemisia sp. Artemisia dracunculus	Artemisia sp. Artemisia dracunculus	<i>Artemisia sp.</i> Artemisia dracunculus	Artemisia sp.		Phacelia egena	Galium oreganum	Artemisia tridentata		Galium sp.				Galium bolanderi	Galium sp.	subsp. subscaposum Galium aparine	Eriogonum wrightii	Ericameria arborescens	Eriogonum flavum	Eriogonum compositum	Phacelia hastata	Eriogonum fasciculatum	Artemisia pacifica	Galium sp.	Artemisia frigida	Galium andersonii	Eriophyllum lanatum	
KX161218			KX161217	KX161216	KX161215	KX161214	KX161213	KX161212	KX161211	KX161196		KX161205	KX161204	KX161203	KX161202	KX161201	KX161200	KX161199	KX161198	KX161197		KX161210	KX161209	KX161208	KX161207	KX161206	KX161195
NVTOTO02	KA19191063		KX161061	KX161060	KX161059	KX161058	KX161057	KX161056				KX161051	KX161050	KX161049	KX161048	KX161047	KX161046				KX161055	KX161054	(>200 bp)	KX161053	KX161052		KX161045
								KX160852	KX160854	KX160848									KX160850	KX160849							KX160847
				KX160951		KX160991		KX160949	KX160995	KX160944				KX160992					KX160946	KX160945			KX160994	KX160950	KX160993	KX160948	KX160943
						KX161372		KX161331	KX161375	KX161326		KX161323	KX161370	KX161371					KX161330	KX161327		KX161325	KX161374	KX161324	KX161373		KX161322
				KX160893	KX160891	KX160918	KX160890	KX160889	KX160919	KX160880	12	20	KX160917	KX160883					KX160882	KX160881		KX160888	KX160887	KX160884	KX160886		

	s.n. Colwell 03-53	Sproul & Wolf	Colwell (7-	Colwell (4- May-2006)	Smith 2903	Collins and Heil 2034	Collins 2031	Collins 2030	Collins 2026	Collins 2025	Collins 2024	Colwell 01-93	Dueholm 1164	114 Colwell 95-	113 Colwell 01-	Colwell 01-	Collins 2033	Collins 1533	Schneider 124		Schneeweiss 7	Yatskievych 82-196
	JEPS 126149			JEPS 126159	MO 3646410	MO	MO 6012144	MO 6012145	UC 2046172	MO 5876462	MO 5990497	WTU 344844	WIS 10644	WTU 344764	WTU 351399	WTU 351402	UC 2046173	SIM	Sanchez- Pedraja 12213 JEPS 121581	herb.	ΜM	ARIZ 236136
parishii	O. parishii subsp.	0. parishii subsp.	0. parishii subsp.	0. parishii subsp.	0. multiflora	0. multiflora	0. multiflora	0. multiflora	0. multiflora	0. multiflora	subsp. arenosa 0. multiflora	O. ludoviciana	0. ludoviciana	0. ludoviciana	0. ludoviciana	0. ludoviciana	0. ludoviciana	0. ludoviciana	0. hederae	0. gracilis	0. gracilis	0. fasciculata
microcephala	Gutieriezia		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Isocoma menziesii var		Hetertheca villosa	Gutierrezia sp.	Gutierrezia sarothrae	Varilla texana	Varilla texana	Hetertheca latifolia	villosa Chrysopsis villosa	Chrysopsis villosa var.	Artemisia sp.		Chrysopsis villosa	Ambrosia	Grindelia squarrosa	Hedera helix		Eriastrum densifolium Chamaecytisus sp.	Eriodictyon angustifolium &
	KX161244	KX161242	KX161243	KX161241	KX161236	KX161235	KX161234	KX161233	KX161232	KX161231	KX161230	KX161226	KX161228	KX161225	KX161224	KX161223	KX161227	KX161180	KX161222	EU655600		KX161221
	KX161085	KX161083	KX161084	KX161082	KX161078	KX161077	KX161076	KX161075	KX161074	KX161073	KX161072	KX161068		KX161067	KX161066	KX161065	KX161070	KX161069				(>200 bp)
	KX160862	KX160860	KX160861				KX160859	KX160858			KX160857				KX160855		KX160839				HG803179	
	KX160961	KX160959	KX160960				KX160958	KX160957			KX160956	KX160954			KX160953		KX160935		KX160952		HG8031	
	KX161341	KX161339					KX161338	KX161337			KX161336	KX161334			KX161333		KX161312				79	KX161328
											KX160895 121											KX160894

85-215 Alfaro 3461	Yatskyevich		91-195	Yatskievych	Harrington	Collins 2032	Collins 1622		Collins 1620	Egger 804	107	Colwell 01-	Collins 1528	Taylor 18333		Taylor 18332	Stoughton 583	Hamilton s.n.	Colwell 99-76	Colwell 07-72	Colwell 07-25	Colwell 05-	Colwell 05- مراجع
MO 2637419	ARIZ 264197	CS 42888		MO 3907149	CS 46066	MO 6012143	UC 2046176		MO 4903216	WTU 332502		WTII 352202	WIS 282503	WTU 351383		WTU 351384	RSA 767875	JEPS 126153	WTU 344749	JEPS 126137	JEPS 126141	JEPS 126143	JEPS 126144
0. tacnaensis	0. sp. nov.	0. riparia		0. riparia	0. riparia	0. riparia	0. riparia		0. riparia	0. pinorum	0. piiloi uili	0 ninoriim	parıshii O. pinorum	O. parishii subsp.	parishii	parıshıı O. parishii subsp.	O. parishii subsp.	0. parishii subsp.	0. parishii subsp.	0. parishii subsp.	0. parishii subsp.	O. parishii subsp.	0. parishii subsp.
	Zaluzania triloba	pennsylvanicum Xanthium sp.	Xanthium	Ambrosia trifida and		Dicoria brandegi	Ambrosia trifida	and Ambrosia artemisiifolia	Xanthium strumarium		11010413643 41360101	Haladiscus discolar	microcephala Holodiscus discolor	Gutierrezia	nauseosus subsp.	Chrysothamnus		Artemisia dracunculus		Iva axillaris	Lessingia filaginifolia	Iva axillaris	Artemisia dracunculus
KX161263	KX161229	KX161256		KX161252	KX161257	KX161255	KX161254		KX161253	KX161251	NAT01743	KX161249	(>200 bp)	KX161247		KX161248		KX161246	KX161240	KX161239	KX161237	KX161238	KX161245
KX161105	KX161071	KX161098		KX161094	KX161099	KX161097	KX161096		KX161095	KX161093	ΝΛΙΟΙΟΊΙ	KX161091		KX161089		KX161090	KX161088	KX161087	KX161081	KX161080	KX161079		KX161086
	KX160856	KX160865							KX160864														
	KX160955	KX160964							KX160963														
KX161345	KX161335	KX161344							KX161343														
KX160897										12	2												

Thorne 4794	Polster s.n.	Oswald 5615	4307	18630 Lackschewitz	Hitchcock	Heckard 3286			Fiely 91		Duthie s.n.	WA-IC		Colwell 14-11		Colwell 07-58	Colwell 04-63	Chisaki 661		Ahart 9846		Ahart 7986	Ahart 1984		Ahart 10765	Ricardi 3326	Bobadilla s.n.
NY	V93858	UC 1609174		Nλ	WS 185460	JEPS 70862			WS 285758		WIS 282512	W1U 344845		JEPS 126167		YM216905	YM118104	WIS 282509		CHSC 82361		LHCL 73728	CAS 853689		CHSC 87344	CONC 19273	CONC 164753
O. uniflora subsp. occidentalis	0. uniflora subsp. occidentalis	O. uniflora subsp. occidentalis	occidentalis	occidentalis 0. uniflora subsp.	0. uniflora subsp.	0. uniflora subsp. occidentalis		occidentalis	0. uniflora subsp.	occidentalis	0. uniflora subsp.	O. unifiora subsp.	occidentalis	O. uniflora subsp.	occidentalis	occidentalis O. uniflora subsp.	occidentalis O. uniflora subsp.	0. uniflora subsp.	occidentalis	0. uniflora subsp.	occidentalis	O uniflora suben	0. uniflora subsp.	occidentalis	0. uniflora subsp.	0. tarapacana	0. tarapacana
Antennaria rosea		Antennaria rosea	Suksdorfia	Saxifraga spp. and	Lomatium sp.	Saxifraga californica	Eriogonum heracleoides	macrocarpum and	Lomatium			Litnophragma buibifera	T :	Osmorrhiza brachypoda		Senecio aronicoides	Sedum obtusatum	Asteraceae sp.		Perideridea sp.	ז כו ועכו ועכמ אכווספטו	Subsp. IIIvuluci ata Daridaridan kalloggij	Perideridea bolanderi		Senecio aronicoides		
KX161279	KX161278	KX161277		KX161276					KX161275		KX161274	KX101205		KX161268		KX161267	KX161266	KX161273		KX161272	IVATOTE/ T	KV161971	KX161270		KX161269		KX161264
KX161124	KX161123	KX161122		KX161121	KX161108	KX161120			KX161119		KX161118	KX101109	17074 / 4 4 0 00	KX161112		KX161111	KX161110	KX161117		KX161116	IVATO TT O	KV161115	KX161114		KX161113	KX161107	KX161106
																											KX160866
	KX160967	KX160968							KX160977			KX1009/1	17074 / 00074	KX160972		KX160969	KX160974	KX160982		KX160975	KX100775	KA190023	KX160976		KX160970	KX160966	KX160965
	KX161355	KX161356							KX161352			KX161347	11/1/10/10	KX161349		KX161353	KX161348				INVEOTO TO TO	KA1722U	KX161351		KX161354	KX161346	
	KX160905	KX160906							KX160907	12	23	KX160901	17774 / 00004				KX160902			KX160904		KA19003			KX160899	KX160898	

				KX161142	KX161294	Sambucus mexicana	0. vallicola	WTU 351393	Colwell 01-
	KX161368	KX160989	KX160869	KX161141	KX161293	Sambucus mexicana	0. vallicola	JEPS 126162	Colwell (01- Aug-2006)
							valida		1867
	KX161366			KX161140		Garrya flavescens	0. valida subsp.	RSA 641370	Mistretta
						Cercocarpus betuloides	valida		1866
				KX161139		Garrya flavescens and	0. valida subsp.	RSA 641369	Mistretta
KX160916	KX161367	KX160988	KX160868	KX161138	KX161292		O. valida subsp. valida	WTU 344847	Colwell 99-73
							howellii		
	KX161365	KX160987		KX161137		Garrya sp.	howellii O. valida subsp.	JEPS 110567	Ruygt 1823
KX160915	KX161364	KX160986	KX160867	KX161136	KX161291		uniflora O. valida subsp.	WTU 351386	Colwell 02-04
KX160911	KX161363			KX161135	KX161290	Solidago sp.	uniflora O. uniflora subsp.	MO 6010393	Wood s.n.
124	KX161359	KX160978		KX161133	KX161289	Solidago multiradiata	uniflora 0. uniflora subsp.	ALA v122774	Talbot 169
				KX161132	KX161288	Solidago sp.	uniflora 0. uniflora subsp.	UC 2046154	Sheviak 7072
					KX161287		0. uniflora subsp.	V 101353	Melburn s.n.
				KX161134		Senecio pseudoaureus	0. uniflora subsp.	WIS 282511	Marie-Victorin 27906
KX160910	KX161357	KX160983		KX161131	KX161286	Solidago lepida	0. uniflora subsp. uniflora	UBC 234660	Lomer 7268
KX160909	KX161360	KX160980		KX161130	KX161285	Solidago lepida	0. uniflora subsp. uniflora	ALA v156078	Lipkin 04-324
						o ogannoo	uniflora		
KX160012	KX161358	KX160984		KX161120	KX161284	Colidado en	uniflora		Kurwiak 76
KX160914				KX161128	KX161283		unifiora O. uniflora subsp.	WIS 282510	94-15 Henson 1499
					AY209297		uniflora 0. uniflora subsp.	PENN	dePamphilis
KX160913	KX161362	KX160985		KX161127	KX161282		unifiora O. uniflora subsp.	WIS 282508	нау 86-94 Cochrane 255
KX160908		KX160979		KX161126	KX161281		0. uniflora subsp.	MT 26938	Bouchard and
KX160900	KX161361	KX160981		KX161125	KX161280	Solidago canadensis	O. uniflora subsp.	MIN	Anderson

	Egger 1259	Prothero s.n.	Colwell 02-57	Colwell 01- 116	106
	WTU	JEPS 126154	WTU 351404	WTU 351394	
versicolor subsp. versicolor	Triphysaria	0. vallicola	0. vallicola	0. vallicola	
			Sambucus mexicana	Sambucus mexicana	
		KX161297	KX161296	KX161295	
		KX161145	KX161144	KX161143	
				KX160870	
				KX160990	
				KX161369	
	FJ939226				

Appendix C

GenBank accession numbers for DNA sequences used in the Orobanchaceae phylogenetic analysis

Gene Region Taxon ETS ITS PhvA PhyB Agalinis purpurea EU827858.1 AM233922.1 KC542198.1 _ Aphyllon arizonicum KX160998 KX161146 Aphyllon californicum subsp. californicum KX161005 KX161153 AM233989.1 KC542244.1 Aphyllon californicum subsp. condensum KX161012 KX161160 _ Aphyllon californicum subsp. feudgei KX161015 KX161164 _ Aphyllon californicum subsp. grande KX161019 KX161169 _ Aphyllon californicum subsp. grayanum KX161024 KX161173 _ Aphyllon californicum subsp. jepsonii KX161028 KX161177 Aphyllon chilense KX161031 EU600371.1 _ Aphyllon cooperi subsp. cooperi KX161036 KX161186 Aphyllon cooperi subsp. palmeri KX161037 KX161187 Aphyllon corymbosum KX161041 KX161191 AM233990.1 KC542245.1 Aphyllon dugesii KX161045 KX161195 Aphyllon epigalium subsp. epigalium KX161049 KX161211 _ _ Aphyllon epigalium subsp. notocalifornicum KX161052 KX161207 Aphyllon fasciculatum (Artemesia host) KX161053 KX161208 AM233992.1 KC542248.1 Aphyllon fasciculatum (Eriogonum host) KX161064 KX161220 Aphyllon ludovicianum KX161070 KX161227 KC542191.1 KC542246.1 Aphyllon multiflorum KX161072 KX161230 _ _ Aphyllon parishii subsp. brachylobum KX161083 KX161242 _ Aphyllon parishii subsp. parishii KX161085 KX161244 _ Aphyllon pinorum AY209292.1 AM233994.1 KC542247.1 KX161091 Aphyllon purpureum KX161110 KX161266 _ Aphyllon riparium KX161095 KX161253 _ _ Aphyllon robbinsii KX161104 KX161262 Aphyllon sp nov. KX161071.1 KX161229.1 _ Aphyllon tacnaensis KX161105 KX161263 Aphyllon tarapacanum KX161107 KX161264 _ Aphyllon tuberosum KX161000 KX161148 Aphyllon uniflorum KX161125 KX161280 _ Aphyllon validum subsp. howellii KX161136 KX161291 _ Aphyllon validum subsp. validum KX161138 KX161292 Aphyllon vallicolum KX161143 KX161295 _

Regions with missing data are marked with a dash (-).

Bellardia trixago	-	JF900513.1	AM233930.1	KC542203.1
Boschniakia himalaica	-	AY911212.1	KC542187.1	KC542204.1
Boschniakia rossica	-	AY911214.1	AM233932.1	KC542206.1
Castilleja exserta	EF103614.1	EF103688.1	AM233939.1	KC542212.1
Castilleja miniata	EF103642.1	EF103712.1	AM233941.1	KC542214.1
Castilleja rubicundula	EF103610.1	EF103685.1	AM233942.1	KC542215.1
Castilleja sulphurea	AF478977.1	AF478944.1	AM233945.1	KC542290
Cistanche deserticola	-	AB217873.1	_	_
Cistanche phelypaea subsp. lutea	-	AY209300.1	_	_
Cistanche salsa	-	AB217872.1	_	_
Cistanche tubulosa	-	AB217871.1	_	_
Cistanche violacea	-	AY209304.1	_	_
Conopholis alpina	-	KC480324.1	AM233949.1	KC542216.1
Conopholis americana	-	AY209289.1	AM233950.1	KC542217.1
Cordylanthus ramosus	EF103662.1	EF103725.1	AM233951.1	_
Diphelypaea coccinea	-	AY209284.1	_	_
Diphelypaea tournefortii	-	AY209285.1	_	_
Epifagus virginiana	-	AY209290.1	AM233954.1	KC542221.1
Euphrasia pectinata	-	AY596811.1	_	_
Harveya capensis	-	AF120224.1	_	_
Hyobanche atropurpurea	-	AF120213.1	AM233964.1	KC542230.1
Hyobanche sanguinea	-	AF120220.1	AM233967.1	_
Kopsiopsis hookeri	-	AY209291.1	AM233931.1	KC542205.1
Kopsiopsis strobilacea	-	AY911215.1	AM233933.1	KC542207.1
Lamourouxia rhinanthifolia	-	-	AM233969.1	KC542293.1
Lathraea squamaria	-	AM503877.2	AM233973.1	KC542234.1
Lindenbergia indica	-	KC480288.1	AM233976.1	-
Lindenbergia muraria	-	KC480289.1	AM233977.1	KC542235.1
Lindenbergia philippensis	-	AY911231.1	AM233978.1	KC542236.1
Mannagettaea hummelii	-	KC480355.1	KC542190.1	_
Melampyrum arvense	-	AM503874.2	AM233919.1	KC542237.1
Melampyrum carstiense	-	EU259252.1	AM233979.1	KC542238.1
Monochasma sheareri	-	KC480363.1	AM233985.1	KC542241.1
Nesogenes africanus	-	-	AM233986.1	_
Orobanche alba	-	AY209245.1	-	_
Orobanche amethystea	-	AY209274.1	-	-
Orobanche anatolica	-	AY209283.1	-	-
Orobanche artemisiae-campestris	-	AY209264.1	-	-
Orobanche austrohispanica	-	AY209241.1	-	-
Orobanche ballotae	-	AY960729.1	-	-
Orobanche bartlingii	_	AY209260.1	_	-
Orobanche caryophyllacea	-	AY209237.1	-	-
Orobanche cernua var. australiana	-	AY209230.1	_	_

Orobanche coerulescens	-	AY209235.1	-	-
Orobanche colorata	_	AY209281.1	_	-
Orobanche crenata	_	AY209266.1	_	-
Orobanche crinita	-	AY209244.1	_	-
Orobanche densiflora	_	AY209243.1	AM233991.1	-
Orobanche elatior	-	AY209275.1	_	-
Orobanche flava	-	AY209254.1	_	-
Orobanche foetida	-	EU655603.1	_	-
Orobanche gracilis	-	AY209238.1	AM233993.1	-
Orobanche grenieri	-	AY960728.1	_	-
Orobanche grossheimii	-	AY209277.1	-	-
Orobanche haenseleri	-	AY209253.1	-	-
Orobanche hederae	-	AY209273.1	-	-
Orobanche krylowii	-	KF359500.1	-	-
Orobanche lucorum	-	AY209251.1	-	-
Orobanche lutea	-	AY209279.1	-	-
Orobanche lycoctoni	-	AY960724.1	-	-
Orobanche macrolepis	-	AY209288.1	-	-
Orobanche minor	-	AF437315.1	AY348568.1	KC542249.1
Orobanche owerinii	-	AY209265.1	-	-
Orobanche ozanonis	-	AY960723.1	-	-
Orobanche pancicii	-	JN796923.1	-	-
Orobanche picridis	-	AY209269.1	-	-
Orobanche pubescens	-	AY209268.1	-	-
Orobanche pycnostachya	-	AY881143.1	-	-
Orobanche raddeana	-	AY209257.1	AM233995.1	KC542250.1
Orobanche rapum-genistae	-	AY209280.1	-	-
Orobanche reticulata	-	AY209256.1	-	-
Orobanche ritro	-	EU655617.1	-	-
Orobanche salviae	-	AY209252.1	-	-
Orobanche santolinae	-	EU655604.1	-	-
Orobanche sintenisii	-	AY209276.1	-	-
Orobanche teucrii	-	AY209236.1	-	-
Orobanche transcaucasica	-	AY209261.1	-	-
Orthocarpus bracteosus	EF103666.1	AY911243.1	AM233997.1	KC542251.1
Orthocarpus tenuifolius	EF103671.1	EF103734.1	AM233998.1	KC542252.1
Orthocarpus tolmiei subsp. tolmiei	-	EF103726.1	-	-
Parentucellia viscosa	KM408188.1	KC480377.1	-	-
Paulownia fortunei	-	KJ563196.1	-	_
Paulownia tomentosa	AF478974.1	AF478941.1	AM234001.1	KC542195.1
Pedicularis canadensis	-	HG424091.1	-	-
Pedicularis ingens	-	HG424135.1	-	-
Pedicularis tuberosa	-	AY949661.1	AM234008.1	KC542261.1

Phelipanche aegyptiaca	-	KC811152.1	-	-
Phelipanche arenaria	-	AY960733.1	-	-
Phelipanche bohemica	-	AY960734.1	-	-
Phelipanche bungeana	-	AY209330.1	-	-
Phelipanche caesia	-	AY209351.1	-	-
Phelipanche coelestis	-	AY209329.1	-	-
Phelipanche georgii-reuteri	-	AY960746.1	-	-
Phelipanche gratiosa	-	EU581773.1	-	-
Phelipanche iberica	-	AY960742.1	-	-
Phelipanche inexspectata	-	AY960739.1	-	-
Phelipanche lavandulacea	-	EU581718.1	-	-
Phelipanche mutelii	-	AY960735.1	-	-
Phelipanche nana	-	KM925016.1	-	-
Phelipanche nowackiana	-	AY209352.1	-	-
Phelipanche oxyloba	-	AY209319.1	-	-
Phelipanche portoilicitana	-	AY960743.1	-	-
Phelipanche pulchella	-	AY960741.1	-	-
Phelipanche purpurea	-	EU581717.1	-	-
Phelipanche ramosa	-	EU581794.1	AM233996.1	-
Phelipanche rosmarina	-	EU581735.1	-	-
Phelipanche tunetana	-	AY209324.1	-	-
Rehmannia chingii	-	DQ069313.1	-	-
Rehmannia elata	-	DQ069315.1	-	-
Rehmannia henryi	-	DQ272447.1	-	-
Rehmannia piasezkii	-	DQ069316.1	-	-
Rehmannia solanifolia	-	DQ069314.1	-	-
Rhinanthus freynii	-	GU445319.1	AM234013.1	KC542266.1
Rhinanthus glacialis	-	FJ790041.1	AM234014.1	KC542267.1
Rhinanthus minor	-	FJ790040.1	AM234015.1	KC542268.1
Schwalbea americana	-	AY911252.1	AM234017.1	KC542270.1
Seymeria pectinata	-	AY911253.1	-	-
Siphonostegia chinensis	-	JN133286.1	AM234020.1	KC542271.1
Siphonostegia laeta	-	JN381014.1	-	KC542272.1
Striga asiatica	-	EU253604.1	AM234024.1	-
Striga bilabiata	-	AY911255.1	AM234025.1	-
Striga elegans	-	AY911256.1	KC542193.1	KC542276.1
Striga gesnerioides	-	AY911257.1	AM234026.1	KC542275.1
Tozzia alpina	-	-	AM234031.1	KC542278.1
Triphysaria pusilla	AF478976.1	AF478943.1	-	-
Xylocalyx asper	-	KC480411.1	AM234033.1	KC542279.1
Xylocalyx carterae	-	KC480412.1	AM234036.1	-