

Artemisia pollen dataset for exploring the potential ecological indicators in deep time

Li-Li Lu^{1,4,★}, Bo-Han Jiao^{1,4,★}, Feng Qin^{2,★}, Gan Xie^{1,★}, Kai-Qing Lu^{1,4}, Jin-Feng Li¹, Bin Sun¹, Min Li¹, David K. Ferguson³, Tian-Gang Gao^{1,4}, Yi-Feng Yao^{1,4}, and Yu-Fei Wang^{1,4}

¹State Key Laboratory of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 20 Nanxincun Xiangshan, Beijing 100093, China

 2 Key Laboratory of Land Surface Pattern and Simulation, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

³Department of Paleontology, University of Vienna, Althanstrasse 14, Vienna 1090, Austria ⁴University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

★ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: Tian-Gang Gao (gaotg@ibcas.ac.cn), Yi-Feng Yao (yaoyf@ibcas.ac.cn), and Yu-Fei Wang (wangyf@ibcas.ac.cn)

> Received: 13 January 2022 - Discussion started: 14 March 2022 Revised: 25 July 2022 - Accepted: 26 July 2022 - Published: 2 September 2022

Abstract. Artemisia, along with Chenopodiaceae, is the dominant component growing in the desert and dry grassland of the Northern Hemisphere. Artemisia pollen with its high productivity, wide distribution, and easy identification is usually regarded as an eco-indicator for assessing aridity and distinguishing grassland from desert vegetation in terms of the pollen relative abundance ratio of Chenopodiaceae/Artemisia (C/A). Nevertheless, divergent opinions on the degree of aridity evaluated by Artemisia pollen have been circulating in the palynological community for a long time. To solve the confusion, we first selected 36 species from nine clades and three outgroups of Artemisia based on the phylogenetic framework, which attempts to cover the maximum range of pollen morphological variation. Then, sampling, experiments, photography, and measurements were taken using standard methods. Here, we present pollen datasets containing 4018 original pollen photographs, 9360 pollen morphological trait measurements, information on 30858 source plant occurrences, and corresponding environmental factors. Hierarchical cluster analysis on pollen morphological traits was carried out to subdivide Artemisia pollen into three types. When plotting the three pollen types of Artemisia onto the global terrestrial biomes, different pollen types of Artemisia were found to have different habitat ranges. These findings change the traditional concept of Artemisia being restricted to arid and semi-arid environments. The data framework that we designed is open and expandable for new pollen data of Artemisia worldwide. In the future, linking pollen morphology with habitat via these pollen datasets will create additional knowledge that will increase the resolution of the ecological environment in the geological past. The Artemisia pollen datasets are freely available at Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6900308; Lu et al., 2022).

1 Introduction

The concept of global change can be considered as any consistent trend in the environment - past, present, or projected - that affects a substantial part of the globe. Consequently, past climates shed light on our future (Tierney et al., 2020). When attempting to reconstruct past global change prior to meteorological records, we need some appropriate biological or abiotic proxies based on long-term, consistently collected data, e.g. leaf wax biomarkers (Bhattacharya et al., 2018), tree-ring data (Moberg et al., 2005), leaf form (Yang et al., 2015), pollen data (Mosbrugger et al., 2005; Guiot and Cramer, 2016; Marsicek et al., 2018), atmospheric carbon dioxide (Zachos et al., 2008; Beerling and Royer, 2011), and isotope records (Zachos et al., 2001; Sánchez-Murillo et al., 2019). Determining a suitable proxy to reconstruct palaeoclimate and palaeoenvironment is a great scientific challenge (Tierney et al., 2020; McClelland et al., 2021).

The pollen of *Artemisia* (*A*), together with that of Chenopodiaceae (C) in arid and semi-arid areas, in the form of the ratio of C/*A* pollen abundance, was applied to distinguish grassland and desert vegetation types and assess the degree of drought in the geological past (El-Moslimany, 1990; Sun et al., 1994; Davies and Fall, 2001; Herzschuh et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2009, 2012; Zhang et al., 2010; Li et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2017; Koutsodendris et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020), because both Chenopodiaceae and *Artemisia* are dominant elements of desert vegetation (Wu, 1980; Vrba, 1980; Tarasov et al., 2021), and the sum of their pollen relative abundances in the surface soil is usually more than 50 % in arid and semi-arid areas (Sun et al., 1994; Lu et al., 2020).

Among them, the pollen of *Artemisia*, with its high productivity, wide spatial and temporal distribution, easy identification, and morphological uniformity under the light microscope (LM), is an essential component and useful bioindicator in pollen-based past vegetation reconstructions and environmental assessments. Some researchers regarded *Artemisia* as an aridity indicator (El-Moslimany, 1990; Yi et al., 2003a, b; Liu et al., 2006; Cai et al., 2019; Cui et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2021), while others suggested that the correlation between the relative abundance of *Artemisia* pollen and humidity was insignificant (Weng et al., 1993; Sun et al., 1996; Koutsodendris et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2021). Consequently, there is an urgent need to evaluate whether different pollen types of *Artemisia* represent distinct habitats.

In the past, *Artemisia* pollen was regarded as very uniform under LM (Wodehouse, 1926; Sing and Joshi, 1969; Ling, 1982; Wang et al., 1995). For instance, following the description and statistics of pollen morphology of 27 species of *Artemisia* in Eurasia under LM, Sing and Joshi (1969) stated that the pollen grains of *Artemisia* are consistent and continuous in morphology. Later, some authors recognized a series of pollen types (Chen, 1987; Jiang et al., 2005; Ghahraman et al., 2007; Shan et al., 2007; Hayat et al., 2009, 2010; Hussain et al., 2019) based on a detailed survey of the pollen micromorphology of different taxa under the scanning electron microscope (SEM).

For example, Chen (1987) described the pollen morphology of 77 *Artemisia* species from China under LM and SEM and divided these pollen grains into six types by using pollen characters, such as the shape and size of the spinules and density of spinules and granules. Type I (sparse spinules with granules among them), type II (dense spinules, no or few granules), type III (sparse spinules, no granules), type IV (dense spinules, well-developed granules), type V (small and sparse spinules, smooth tectum), and type VI (dissimilar spinules with granules among them).

Shan et al. (2007) investigated the pollen morphology of 32 *Artemisia* species from the Loess Plateau of China under LM and SEM and divided these pollen grains into five types according to exine sculpture: type I (dense spinules with swollen bases, small granules), type II (dense spinules, swollen bases almost united), type III (dense spinules with swollen bases and smooth tectum), type IV (sparse small spinules and smooth tectum), and type V (sparse spinules, small granules).

Jiang et al. (2005) observed the pollen morphology of 57 representative plants in seven groups of *Artemisia* under LM and SEM. This pollen can be divided into two types based on exine sculpture: type I (spinules multi-ruminated with flared bases, connecting the mostly densely arranged spinules) and type II (densely or loosely arranged spinules without flared bases, interspace glandular or smooth) with subtypes II-1, II-2, II-3, and II-4 based on the distribution of the spinules.

Ghahraman et al. (2007) studied the pollen morphology of 26 species of the 33 *Artemisia* species in Iran under LM and SEM. Based on exine ornamentation observed under SEM, two types of pollen grains were recognized: type I, exine surface covered with dense acute spinules, and type II, exine surface with few spinules.

Hayat et al. (2009, 2010) carried out a palynological study of 22 *Artemisia* species from Pakistan under LM and SEM. Earlier work demonstrated the phylogenetic associations within *Artemisia* based on a phylogenetic analysis of nine characters (pollen type, pollen shape, spinule arrangement, exine sculpture, spinule base, the length of polar axis, the length of equatorial axis, exine thickness, and colpus width) of pollen grains of *Artemisia*. In the latter work, eight micromorphological characters were identified and pooled by cluster analysis, leading to the recognition of five groups.

Hussain et al. (2019) studied the pollen morphology of 15 *Artemisia* species in the Gilgit–Baltistan region of Pakistan utilizing SEM and divided these species into four groups based on cluster analysis of seven micromorphological characters (pollen type, pollen shape, spinule arrangement, exine sculpture, spinule base, polar length, and equatorial width).

3963



Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of *Artemisia* (modified from Malik et al., 2017). The styles of the strokes that were used to draw the branches indicate the traditional subgeneric classification of *Artemisia* and the yellow spots indicate sampled taxa.

Almost all of the above-mentioned *Artemisia* pollen classifications were designed to solve taxonomic or phylogenetic problems, and only a few were concerned with linking diverse habitats to the different pollen types in *Artemisia*.

Here, we attempt to (1) present abundant pollen photographs of 36 species from nine branches and three outgroups of the genus (ca. 400 species worldwide, see Ling, 1982; Bremer and Humphries, 1993), constrained by the phylogenetic framework of *Artemisia* (Sanz et al., 2008; Malik et al., 2017); (2) describe and measure the morphological traits of these pollen grains; (3) provide a new classification of pollen types and their distribution worldwide, with a key to pollen types in *Artemisia*; and (4) explore the diverse ecological niches of *Artemisia* represented by different pollen types in order to evaluate palaeovegetation and reconstruct palaeoenvironments.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sampling strategy

The 36 pollen samples studied were selected from voucher sheets in the PE herbarium at the Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Fig. 1 and Table B1), covering nine main clades, i.e. subg. *Tridentata*, subg. *Artemisia* (contains Sect. *Artemisia*, Sect. *Abrotanum* I, Sect. *Abrotanum* II, and Sect. *Abrotanum* III), subg. *Pacifica*, subg. *Seriphidium*, subg. *Absinthium*, and subg. *Dracunculus*, constrained by the phylogenetic framework of *Artemisia* (Malik et al., 2017) and three outgroups (Sanz et al., 2008), reflecting the maximum diversity or morphological variation under LM and SEM.

2.2 Data acquisition

Pollen samples were acetolysed by the standard method (Erdtman, 1960) and fixed in glycerine jelly. Standard procedures were followed for LM and SEM (Chen, 1987; Wang et al., 1995). The pollen grains were photographed under LM (Leica DM 4000) at a magnification of ×1000 and SEM (Hitachi S-4800) at an accelerating voltage of 30 kV. The pollen terminology followed the descriptions of Hesse et al. (2009) and Halbritter et al. (2018). The statistical pollen morphological traits under LM (Fig. 2a and b, P: polar length; E: equatorial width; P/E; T: exine thickness; L: pollen length; T/L) of each species were measured using 20 pollen grains. We chose five pollen grains under SEM for each exine ornamentation trait in each species (Fig. 2c-f, D: diameter of spinule base; H: spinule height; D/H; Gs: granule spacing; Ss: Spinule spacing; Gs/Ss; Ps: perforation spacing) and, on average, randomly selected four regions of each pollen grain for measuring, yielding a total of 20 measurements. The mean value (M) and standard deviation (SD) of the pollen grains of each species were measured and calculated in both polar and equatorial views (Appendix A and Table 1).



Figure 2. Graphical illustration of measured pollen morphological traits in *Artemisia* (**a**, **b**: *A. annua*; **c**, **d**: *A. vulgaris*) and outgroups (**e**: *Kaschagaria brachanthemoides*; and **f**: *Ajania pallasiana*). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

The scientific names of selected taxa were standardized according to Plants of the World Online (https://powo. science.kew.org/, last access: 21 November 2021). The specimen sampling coordinates of the corresponding taxa were obtained from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, https://www.gbif.org/, last access: 9 November 2021). Only preserved specimens were filtered for GBIF data given their well-documented geographical information and the availability of specimens as definitive vouchers. The distribution data on observations and cultivated collections provided by GBIF were excluded because they may contain incorrect identification or incorrect geo-referencing (Brummitt et al., 2021). Next, the distribution data were standardized cleaned using R package "CoordinateCleaner" (Zizka et al., 2019); no outliers were found.

The corresponding environmental factors including altitude and 19 climate parameters of these coordinates were obtained from WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/, last



Figure 3. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia cana*; (b) *Artemisia tridentata*; and (c) *Artemisia californica*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/54492753, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Jason Headley, b7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/117436654, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Don Rideout). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

access: 15 May 2017) with a spatial resolution of 30 s (\sim 1 km²) in 1970–2000 by Extract MultiValues To Points using ArcGIS 10.2 software in bilinear interpolation.

2.3 Data processing

OriginPro 2021 software was used for hierarchical cluster analysis on *Artemisia* and its outgroup pollen data. The Euclidean distance was calculated after the normalization of the original data, and the Ward method was used for clustering. Five groups were established, and the centre point of each group was calculated according to the sum of distances. Pollen morphological traits for the principal component analysis (PCA) of *Artemisia* and its outgroups were grouped according to the five groups of the cluster analysis. OriginPro 2021 software was used to draw group violin diagrams and boxplots respectively, to run an ANOVA to test for an overall difference between the pollen characters of three pollen types, and to test intraspecific variability in pollen exine ultrastructure characters among three representative species, followed by post hoc tests (Tukey). OriginPro



Figure 4. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia indica*; (b) *Artemisia argyi*; and (c) *Artemisia mongolica*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/66336449, last access: 19 August 2022, by © yangting, b7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/95820686, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Sergey Prokopenko, c7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/163584035, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Nikolay V Dorofeev). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

2021 software was also used to draw group violin diagrams and run a Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA to test for overall differences between the environmental factors of the three pollen types. The images of habitats reproduced in the text are from the websites listed in Table B1.

The global distribution data of the 36 representative species and three pollen types were plotted on the map of terrestrial ecological regions (Olson et al., 2001) using ArcGIS 10.2 software (Figs. 16 and 21).

3 Data description

3.1 *Artemisia* pollen grains and their source plant habitats

Here, we provide detailed data on pollen morphological traits, covering 36 species from nine main clades of *Artemisia* and three outgroups constrained by the phylogenetic framework (Fig. 1, Sanz et al., 2008; Malik et al., 2017) under LM and SEM, and the habitats of their source plants (Figs. 3–14).



Figure 5. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia vulgaris*; (b) *Artemisia selengensis*; and (c) *Artemisia ludoviciana*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/120600448, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Sara Rall, b7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/46352423, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Gularjanz Grigoryi Mihajlovich, c7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/77690333, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Ethan Rose). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

3.2 Statistical pollen morphological trait data of 36 sampled taxa

The mean values of 10 pollen morphological traits of 36 sampled species are listed in Table 1, and these data distribution patterns are shown in boxplots (Fig. 15) in the form of variation (25 %-75 %) and further described in the form of mean value \pm standard deviation (M \pm SD, Appendix A).

3.3 The source plant occurrences

The source plant distributions in global terrestrial biomes of 36 sampled species are shown in Fig. 16. In *Artemisia*, some species have worldwide distributions, such as *A. vulgaris* (Fig. 16-7), *A. absinthium* (Fig. 16-24), and *A. campestris* (Fig. 16-33); a few taxa are limited to East Asia, such as *A. roxburghiana* (Fig. 16-10) and *A. blepharolepis* (Fig. 16-26), while others have narrow and isolated distributions in deserts and xeric shrublands of Central Asia, e.g. *A. kurramensis* (Fig. 16-13) and *A. aralensis* (Fig. 16-16). In out-



Figure 6. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia roxburghiana*; (b) *Artemisia rutifolia*; and (c) *Artemisia chinensis*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 provided by © Bo-Han Jiao, b7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/62207191, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Daba, c7 provided by © Jia-Hao Shen). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

groups of *Artemisia*, *Kaschagaria brachanthemoides* is also confined to deserts and xeric shrublands of Central Asia (Fig. 16-34), while *Ajania pallasiana* lives in forests of East Asia (Fig. 16-35).

4 Potential use of the Artemisia pollen datasets

4.1 The pollen classification of Artemisia

The pollen grains of Anthemideae and Asteraceae under LM could be simply divided into *Artemisia* pollen type (Figs. 3–13 and 14a, Appendix A) with indistinct and short spin-

ules, and *Anthemis* pollen type such as *Chrysanthemum indicum* and *Ajania pallasiana* (Fig. 14b and c, Appendix A) with distinct and long spines on pollen exine ornamentation (Wodehouse, 1926; Stix, 1960; Chen, 1987; Chen and Zhang, 1991; Martín et al., 2001, 2003; Sanz et al., 2008; Blackmore et al., 2009; Vallès et al., 2011). *Artemisia* pollen grains are difficult to separate from those of other related genera with *Artemisia* pollen type such as *Kaschgaria brachanthemoides* (Fig. 14a1 and a2, Appendix A), *Elachanthemum*, *Ajaniopsis, Filifolium*, and *Neopallasia* (Chen and Zhang, 1991) under LM due to their great similarity in pollen exine



Figure 7. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia kurramensis*; (b) *Artemisia compactum*; and (c) *Artemisia maritima*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/133758174, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Andrey Vlasenko, b7 provided by © Chen Chen, c7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/86515371, last access: 19 August 2022, by © torkild). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

ornamentation and colporate patterns (Chen, 1987; Martín et al., 2001, 2003; Vallès et al., 2011). Furthermore, Sing and Joshi (1969) questioned the feasibility of recognizing pollen types under LM in the highly uniform pollen of *Artemisia*. Later, SEM made it possible to subdivide the pollen of *Artemisia* and those of other related genera within the *Artemisia* pollen type using pollen exine ultrastructure characters (Chen, 1987; Chen and Zhang, 1991; Sun and Xu, 1997; Jiang et al., 2005; Ghahraman et al., 2007; Shan et al., 2009, 2010; Hussain et al., 2019).

Hierarchical cluster analysis (Fig. 17a) revealed that the pollen morphological traits (P/E, H, D, D/H, Ss, Gs, Gs/Ss, and Ps) of *Artemisia* and its outgroups were divided into Clade A with perforations and without granules (Fig. 13a5 and a6, b5 and b6, c5 and c6), and Clade B with granules and without perforations (Figs. 3–13a5 and a6, b5 and b6, c5 and c6) on the pollen exine under SEM.

In addition, Clade A, as the outgroup of *Artemisia*, includes *Anthemis* type (*Chrysanthemum indicum* and *Ajania pallasiana*) with prominent spines on pollen exine under LM, and *Kaschgaria* type (*Kaschgaria brachanthemoides*) with



Figure 8. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia aralensis*; (b) *Artemisia annua*; and (c) *Artemisia freyniana*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 cited from https://www.plantarium.ru/lang/en/page/image/id/73063.html, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Виталий Недосейкин, b7 provided by © Chen Chen, c7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 154390279, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Шильников Дмитрий Сергеевич). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

spinules on pollen exine (Figs. 14a and 17a). Clade B comprises three pollen types from three branches of *Artemisia* (Fig. 17a), i.e. SG type (short and wide spinule pollen type, Clade B1), LNS type (long and narrow spinule pollen type, Clade B2-1), and SG type (sparse granule pollen type, Clade B2-2).

Eight pollen morphological traits (P/E, H, D, D/H, Ss, Gs, Gs/Ss, and Ps) were selected for the principal component analysis (PCA) of 36 taxa of *Artemisia* and its outgroups (Fig. 18), and grouped according to the five clades of the

cluster analysis, i.e. the five pollen types (Fig. 17a). The results reveal that *Artemisia* pollen morphology differs significantly from that of the outgroups, and that three *Artemisia* pollen types could be distinguished.

Nine characteristics of *Artemisia* pollen could partially explain the differences between these three pollen types (Fig. 19). P/E (the length of polar axis/the length of equatorial axis) in LNS types (0.93–1.06) are significantly different (ANOVA p < 0.001) from both SWS (0.97–1.12) and SG (0.98–1.14), so could be used to identify the LNS type. D/H



Figure 9. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia stechmanniana*; (b) *Artemisia pontica*; and (c) *Artemisia frigida*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 provided by © Bo-Han Jiao, b7 cited from https://www.inaturalist. org/photos/93438780, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Martin Pražák, c7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/125022240, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Suzanne Dingwell). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

(diameter of spinule base/spinule height) in the SWS type differ significantly (ANOVA p < 0.001) from both LNS and SG types. The variation range of D/H is 1.38–2.23 in the SWS type, 1.07–1.75 in the LNS type, and 0.98–1.66 in the SG type, indicating that the SWS pollen type is distinguished by short and wide spinules. Gs/Ss (granule spacing/spinule spacing) in the SG type was higher than those of the SWS and LNS types (ANOVA p < 0.001), which distinguished the SG type from the other two types. Moreover, the SG type is characterized by sparse granules with the variation range of Gs/Ss spanning 0.37–0.64, while the SWS and LNS types

show much denser granules whose Gs/Ss are mainly below 0.35.

Within the new *Artemisia* pollen classification (Fig. 17a, Key), the SWS type represents a type of pollen with short and wide spinules (D/H > 1.81) and dense granules (Figs. 17a and 19). The LNS type represents a type of pollen with long and narrow spinules (D/H < 1.38) and dense granules (Figs. 17a and 19). The SG type is characterized by sparse granules (Gs/Ss > 0.37) and small, long, and narrow spinules (Figs. 17a and 19).



Figure 10. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia rupestris*; (b) *Artemisia sericea*; and (c) *Artemisia absinthium*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 provided by © Bo-Han Jiao, b7 cited from https://www.inaturalist. org/photos/48033353, last access: 19 August 2022, by © svetlana_katana, c7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/123569286, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Станислав Лебедев). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

4.2 Testing the pollen intraspecific variability within *Artemisia*

Evidence shows that the pollen morphology in *Artemisia* is highly uniform under LM without discrimination (Wodehouse, 1926; Sing and Joshi, 1969; Ling, 1982; Chen, 1987; Wang et al., 1995), which might suggest that statistical analyses of the intraspecific morphological variation of pollen under the LM are limited or meaningless. Right now, the SEM technique has made it possible to subdivide *Artemisia* pollen into different types using pollen exine ultrastructure characters (Chen, 1987; Chen and Zhang, 1991; Sun and Xu, 1997;

Jiang et al., 2005; Ghahraman et al., 2007; Shan et al., 2007; Hayat et al., 2009, 2010; Hussain et al., 2019).

In order to test the intraspecific variability of pollen exine ultrastructure traits, we selected one species respectively from the three pollen types corresponding to the three morphological clades of *Artemisia* pollen, i.e. *Artemisia vulgaris* (SWS type), *Artemisia annua* (LNS type), and *Artemisia maritima* (SG type), and sampled five specimens of each species (Table B2). Six pollen traits, i.e. D, H, D/H, Gs, Ss, and Gs/Ss, were counted and analysed under SEM to test for intraspecific variability of pollen exine ultrastructure traits.



Figure 11. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia abrotanum*; (b) *Artemisia blepharolepis*; and (c) *Artemisia norvegica*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/116106722, last access: 19 August 2022, by © AHADPEM MOCKBHYEB, b7 provided by © Ji-Ye Zheng, c7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/161393521, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Erin Springinotic). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 μm, and in SEM close-up 1 μm.

The test showed that it was feasible to use stable D/H and Gs/Ss for pollen type classification of *Artemisia* because (1) D/H and Gs/Ss were stable within species (Fig. 20 and Table 2) for the pollen classification; and (2) D, H, Gs, and Ss were variable as size values, e.g. these four traits were significantly different within species in both *A. vulgaris* and *A. annua*, while D, H, and Ss were significantly different within species used as pollen exist within species in *A. maritima* (Fig. 20 and Table 2). There was evidence showing that size values such as pollen exise ultrastructure size were often variable within species due to their genetic divergence, various habitats, and different ex-

perimental treatments (Mo et al., 1997; Zhao and Yao, 1999; Zhang and Qian, 2011).

Key to three pollen types of *Artemisia* and two pollen types of its outgroups

- 1. Pollen exine with perforations and without granules under SEM 2
- Pollen exine with granules and without perforations under SEM 3



Figure 12. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia tanacetifolia*; (b) *Artemisia tournefortiana*; and (c) *Artemisia dracunculus*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/78902853, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Alexander Dubynin, b7 provided by © Chen Chen, c7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/76312868, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Anatoly Mikhaltsov). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

- 2. Distinct and long spines on pollen exine, with $H > 3 \,\mu m$ Anthemis type
- 2. Indistinct and short spinules on pollen exine, with $H < 1 \, \mu m$ Kaschgaria type
- Pollen exine with sparse granules and Gs/Ss ≥ 0.37 under SEM SG type
- 3. Pollen exine with dense granules and Gs/Ss \leq 0.31 under SEM 4

- 4. Pollen exine with D/H < 1.38 under SEM LNS type
- 4. Pollen exine with $D/H \ge 1.38$ under SEM SWS type

4.3 The ecological implications of Artemisia pollen types

Plotting the distribution data of 33 species from nine main branches of *Artemisia* constrained by the phylogenetic framework (Fig. 1) onto the global terrestrial biomes (Fig. 21), we noticed that the genus is widely distributed from



Figure 13. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Artemisia japonica*; (b) *Artemisia capillaris*; and (c) *Artemisia campestris*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/44507659, last access: 19 August 2022, by © 陳達智, b7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/60639286, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Cheng-Tao Lin, c7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/113822257, last access: 19 August 2022, by © pedrosanz-anapri). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

forest to grassland, desert, and saline habitats (Figs. 16, 17b, and 21). Furthermore, different species of *Artemisia* with SWS pollen type (Fig. 21a) and LNS type (Fig. 21b) have a rather wide distribution with severely overlapping ranges, while those with SG type (Fig. 21c) have narrow and isolated distributions.

The ecological implications of *Artemisia* pollen types mentioned above fall into four categories. (i) *Artemisia* with the SG pollen type all belong to the subg. *Seriphidium*, which generally grows in dry habitats ranging from grassland desert to desert and coastal saline–alkaline environments, with their distribution largely limited to Eurasia and growing at low altitude (Figs. 17b, 21c, and 22). (ii) The habitats of *Artemisia* with LNS pollen type have a global distribution and occur in forest, grassland and desert, and even coastal areas (Figs. 17b, 21b, and 22), with the highest mean annual temperature (MAT). Hence, the LNS pollen type is a generalist. (iii) *Artemisia* with SWS pollen type include Sect. *Artemisia*, and its habitats range from forest to desert, although most of the taxa are confined to humid environments from forest to grassland with a global distribution and the highest mean annual precipitation (MAP, Figs. 17b, 21c, and 22). (iv) If the



Figure 14. Pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants. (a) *Kaschgaria brachanthemoides*; (b) *Ajania pallasiana*; and (c) *Chrysanthemum indicum*. Pollen grains in equatorial view under LM (a1, b1, c1) and SEM (a3, a5, b3, b5, c3, c5), in polar view under LM (a2, b2, c2) and SEM (a4, a6, b4, b6, c4, c6), along with the habitats of their source plants (a7 provided by © Chen Chen, b7 cited from https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/162408714, last access: 19 August 2022, by © Игорь Поспелов, c7 provided by © Bo-Han Jiao). Scale bar in LM and SEM overview 10 µm, and in SEM close-up 1 µm.

SWS pollen type and the SG pollen type appear together, the range of vegetation types could be reduced to grassland desert and desert through niche coexistence (Fig. 17b).

In addition, we noticed that *Kaschgaria brachanthemoides* as an outgroup of *Artemisia* lives in dry mountain valleys or dry riverbeds of northwestern China (Toksun) and Kaza-khstan, with highly characteristic pollen (Fig. 14a), narrow habitats (Fig. 17b), and regional distribution (Fig. 16-34), and has the potential to indicate some specific habitats.

5 Data availability

Pollen datasets (Table 3) including pollen photographs under LM and SEM, statistical data of pollen morphological traits, and their source plant distribution for each species are available at Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6900308; Lu et al., 2022).

Table 1. F	Pollen morpholo	gical traits o	f 36 selected	species (P: p	polar length;	E: equatorial	width; T : exine	e thickness;	L: pollen l	ength; D:
diameter o	of spinule base;	H: spinule he	eight; Gs: grar	nule spacing;	Ss: spinule	spacing; Ps: p	erforation space	ng).		

No.	Species	P	E	P/E	T	L	T/L	D	H	D/H	Gs	Ss	Gs/Ss	Ps
		(µm)	(µm)		(µm)	(µm)		(µm)	(µm)		(µm)	(µm)		(µm)
1	Artemisia cana	23.46	24.5	0.96	3.91	24.58	0.16	0.58	0.46	1.28	0.33	1.60	0.21	0
2	Artemisia tridentata	21.36	20.69	1.04	3.55	22.35	0.16	0.76	0.60	1.30	0.24	1.12	0.22	0
3	Artemisia californica	18.94	19.13	0.99	2.70	18.85	0.14	0.75	0.71	1.08	0.24	1.45	0.17	0
4	Artemisia indica	23.47	23.81	0.99	3.50	23.31	0.15	0.76	0.39	2.04	0.28	1.21	0.24	0
5	Artemisia argyi	21.8	21.67	1.01	3.55	22.24	0.16	0.64	0.38	1.71	0.22	0.90	0.26	0
6	Artemisia mongolica	21.05	20.42	1.03	3.29	19.78	0.17	0.62	0.41	1.54	0.19	0.91	0.22	0
7	Artemisia vulgaris	19.72	19.29	1.03	2.92	18.94	0.16	0.69	0.34	2.13	0.29	1.55	0.20	0
8	Artemisia selengensis	20.67	19.68	1.06	3.72	20.8	0.18	0.67	0.38	1.76	0.22	1.05	0.22	0
9	Artemisia ludoviciana	21.65	20.82	1.04	3.71	20.94	0.18	0.70	0.37	1.94	0.2	1.23	0.16	0
10	Artemisia roxburghiana	23.88	23.69	1.01	3.78	21.81	0.17	0.76	0.39	1.96	0.28	0.79	0.36	0
11	Artemisia rutifolia	22.22	22.7	0.98	3.53	24.93	0.14	0.31	0.26	1.2	0.21	1.27	0.17	0
12	Artemisia chinensis	21.53	22.75	0.95	2.97	23.71	0.13	0.70	0.55	1.29	0.27	0.91	0.31	0
13	Artemisia kurramensis	19.71	19.35	1.02	3.30	19.44	0.17	0.38	0.27	1.41	0.23	1.25	0.19	0
14	Artemisia compactum	22.33	21.97	1.02	2.97	21.67	0.14	0.41	0.28	1.50	0.51	0.92	0.56	0
15	Artemisia maritima	26.24	23.09	1.14	3.54	24.42	0.14	0.28	0.23	1.30	0.53	1.08	0.50	0
16	Artemisia aralensis	22.32	21.91	1.02	3.16	22.76	0.14	0.25	0.22	1.16	0.50	1.09	0.46	0
17	Artemisia annua	19.71	19.45	1.02	3.45	19.2	0.18	0.45	0.39	1.18	0.27	1.29	0.21	0
18	Artemisia freyniana	23.39	21.3	1.10	3.17	21.29	0.15	0.56	0.40	1.40	0.2	1.15	0.18	0
19	Artemisia stechmanniana	26.31	25.16	1.05	3.97	23.45	0.17	0.37	0.35	1.07	0.19	1.40	0.14	0
20	Artemisia pontica	20.64	19.62	1.05	3.01	19.75	0.15	0.6	0.37	1.63	0.17	1.32	0.13	0
21	Artemisia frigida	25.11	24.9	1.01	4.61	24.83	0.19	0.46	0.32	1.44	0.31	1.3	0.24	0
22	Artemisia rupestris	24.45	22.92	1.07	3.18	21.96	0.14	0.55	0.33	1.68	0.25	0.91	0.28	0
23	Artemisia sericea	26.31	27.9	0.94	3.75	26.89	0.14	0.89	0.54	1.71	0.28	1.74	0.16	0
24	Artemisia absinthium	22.79	20.84	1.09	3.39	19.92	0.17	0.59	0.40	1.52	0.18	1.11	0.16	0
25	Artemisia abrotanum	24.47	23.73	1.03	3.15	18.82	0.17	0.72	0.51	1.44	0.22	1.41	0.16	0
26	Artemisia blepharolepis	18.96	19.26	0.99	3.15	18.82	0.17	0.69	0.44	1.64	0.37	1.68	0.23	0
27	Artemisia norvegica	24.51	22.11	1.11	3.48	22.61	0.15	0.67	0.43	1.66	0.19	1.56	0.12	0
28	Artemisia tanacetifolia	28.38	27.75	1.03	3.46	27.63	0.13	0.71	0.32	2.23	0.30	1.08	0.29	0
29	Artemisia tournefortiana	20.76	20.43	1.02	3.33	20.03	0.17	0.73	0.42	1.81	0.26	1.25	0.22	0
30	Artemisia dracunculus	22.89	22.87	1.00	2.82	21.91	0.13	0.68	0.45	1.56	0.31	0.92	0.34	0
31	Artemisia japonica	20.18	21.23	0.95	4.24	21.02	0.2	0.57	0.32	1.8	0.26	1.26	0.21	0
32	Artemisia capillaris	19.53	19.64	1.00	3.54	19.18	0.18	0.51	0.36	1.44	0.26	1.27	0.21	0
33	Artemisia campestris	21.69	21.26	1.02	3.68	21.21	0.17	0.57	0.38	1.53	0.41	1.23	0.34	0
34	Kaschagaria brachanthemoides	23.26	22.09	1.06	3.93	21.01	0.19	0.55	0.44	1.25	0	1.75	0	0.47
35	Ajania pallasiana	35.16	35.92	0.98	10.23	38.31	0.27	4.41	3.47	1.29	0	7.84	0	0.39
36	Chrysanthemum indicum	33.54	34.42	0.98	8.65	34.82	0.25	2.94	3.59	0.82	0	7.11	0	0.37

Table 2. The results of ANOVA for intraspecific variability in pollen exine ultrastructure characters among three representative species.

Pollen exine	SWS type	LNS type	SG type
ultrastructure characters	Artemisia vulgaris	Artemisia annua	Artemisia maritima
$D (\mu m)$ $H (\mu m)$ D/H $Gs (\mu m)$ Gs/Ss	significant	significant	significant
	significant	significant	significant
	non-significant	non-significant	non-significant
	significant	significant	significant
	significant	significant	significant
	non-significant	non-significant	non-significant

6 Summary

To cover the maximum range of *Artemisia* pollen morphological variation, we provide a pollen dataset of 36 species from nine clades and three outgroups of *Artemisia* constrained by the phylogenetic framework, containing high-quality pollen photographs under LM and SEM, statistical data of pollen morphological traits together with their source plant distribution, and corresponding environmental factors. Here, we attempt to decipher the underlying causes of the long-standing disagreement in the palynological community on the correla-



Figure 15. Boxplots of 36 sampled taxa showing the variations in pollen morphological traits. 1. Artemisia cana; 2. Artemisia tridentata; 3. Artemisia californica; 4. Artemisia indica; 5. Artemisia argyi; 6. Artemisia mongolica; 7. Artemisia vulgaris; 8. Artemisia selengensis; 9. Artemisia ludoviciana; 10. Artemisia roxburghiana; 11. Artemisia rutifolia; 12. Artemisia chinensis; 13. Artemisia kurramensis; 14. Artemisia compactum; 15. Artemisia maritima; 16. Artemisia aralensis; 17. Artemisia annua; 18. Artemisia freyniana; 19. Artemisia stechmanniana; 20. Artemisia pontica; 21. Artemisia frigida; 22. Artemisia rupestris; 23. Artemisia sericea; 24. Artemisia absinthium; 25. Artemisia abrotanum; 26. Artemisia blepharolepis; 27. Artemisia norvegica; 28. Artemisia tanacetifolia; 29. Artemisia tournefortiana; 30. Artemisia dracunculus; 31. Artemisia japonica; 32. Artemisia capillaris; 33. Artemisia campestris; 34. Kaschagaria brachanthemoides; 35. Ajania pallasiana; 36. Chrysanthemum indicum.

tion between *Artemisia* pollen and aridity by recognizing the different ecological implications of *Artemisia* pollen types.

This dataset should work well for identifying and classifying *Artemisia* pollen from Neogene and Quaternary sediments. While *Artemisia* pollen grains are uniform in morphology under LM, different types can be recognized under SEM. So, the single-grain technique for picking out fossil pollen grains and photographing the same grains under LM and SEM should provide valuable insights in the diversity of fossil *Artemisia* (Ferguson et al., 2007; Grímsson et al., 2011, 2012; Halbritter et al., 2018). Furthermore, those *Artemisia* pollen grains could then be compared with the rich photographs from this dataset, and together with the key provided here, possibly attributed to one of the three *Artemisia* pollen types, which in turn may provide a link to the different habitat ranges.

However, the application of this dataset probably may not work well for the Palaeogene, as (1) *Artemisia* might have originated in the Palaeocene, although there is no evidence for a specific location or time interval of its origin (e.g. Ling, 1982; Wang, 2004; Miao et al., 2011); (2) both the lack of macrofossils of *Artemisia* and the strong pollen similarity between *Artemisia* and its closely related taxa under LM might lead to confusion and more uncertainty in tracing the origin of *Artemisia*. On the other hand, the present dataset provides a potential morphological tool to distinguish *Artemisia* pollen grains from those of its related taxa at the SEM level



Tropical and Subropical Grasslands, Savannas, and Shrublands Deserts and Xeric Shrublands Mangroves Lakes Rock and Ice

Figure 16. The global distribution maps of 36 sampled taxa in terrestrial biomes (modified from Olson et al., 2001). 1. Artemisia cana; 2. Artemisia tridentata; 3. Artemisia californica; 4. Artemisia indica; 5. Artemisia argyi; 6. Artemisia mongolica; 7. Artemisia vulgaris; 8. Artemisia selengensis; 9. Artemisia ludoviciana; 10. Artemisia roxburghiana; 11. Artemisia rutifolia; 12. Artemisia chinensis; 13. Artemisia kurramensis; 14. Artemisia compactum; 15. Artemisia maritima; 16. Artemisia aralensis; 17. Artemisia annua; 18. Artemisia freyniana; 19. Artemisia stechmanniana; 20. Artemisia pontica; 21. Artemisia frigida; 22. Artemisia rupestris; 23. Artemisia sericea; 24. Artemisia absinthium; 25. Artemisia abrotanum; 26. Artemisia blepharolepis; 27. Artemisia norvegica; 28. Artemisia tanacetifolia; 29. Artemisia tournefortiana; 30. Artemisia dracunculus; 31. Artemisia japonica; 32. Artemisia capillaris; 33. Artemisia campestris; 34. Kaschagaria brachanthemoides; 35. Ajania pallasiana; 36. Chrysanthemum indicum.

Fal	ole	93.	Artemisia	pollen	datasets	in thi	is study.
------------	-----	-----	-----------	--------	----------	--------	-----------

Data type	Data format	Data acquisition	Data accessibility
The phylogenetic framework of <i>Artemisia</i> pollen sampling.	.png	Literature survey (modified from Malik et al., 2017).	This article
A voucher specimen list of 36 representative species.	.doc	Pollen samples were obtained from PE herbarium at the Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.	
12 illustrations of pollen grains and the habitats of their source plants.	.png	Habitat photos from online sources (Appendix Table B1).	
4018 original pollen photographs (3205 under LM, 813 under SEM).	.jpg	Pollen samples were acetolysed by the standard method and fixed in glycerine jelly. The pollen grains were photographed under LM and SEM using standard procedures.	Zenodo (https://doi.org/ 10.5281/zen- odo.6900308; Lu et al., 2022)
9360 pollen morphological trait measurements of 36 representative species.	.xlsx	Statistical data of pollen morphological traits were measured by standard methods.	
1800 pollen morphological trait measurements for testing the pollen intraspecific variability within <i>Artemisia</i> .	.xlsx	Statistical data of pollen morphological traits were measured by standard methods.	
30 858 source plant occurrence information, and corresponding environmental factors including altitude and 19 climate parameters.	.xlsx	Their source plant distribution coordinates were obtained from GBIF (https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.596xd9, GBIF.org, 2021). The corresponding environmental factors of these coordinates were obtained from WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/, last access: 15 May 2017) with a spatial resolution of 30 s between 1970–2000	

and may shed light on the origin of this genus in the Palaeogene.

Moreover, these pollen photographs also have potential and the possibility to be used for deep learning research. We are attempting to automatically identify pollen images using pollen assemblages from the eastern Central Asian desert as an example with deep convolutional neural network (DCNN) of artificial intelligence. Pollen images of the many species of *Artemisia* provided here, and the increasing number of intraspecific replications in the future, will all serve for projected image identification research.

Finally and most importantly, the *Artemisia* pollen dataset as designed is open and expandable for new pollen data from *Artemisia* worldwide in order to better serve the global environment assessment and refined reconstruction of vegetation in the geological past as a basis or blueprint for other overarching statistical analyses on pollen morphology.



Figure 17. Hierarchical cluster analysis, showing the dendrogram for pollen types from *Artemisia* and outgroups (**a**), and the habitat ranges of 36 representative species (**b**, Tutin et al., 1976; Zhang, 2007; Ling et al., 2011).



Figure 18. Principal component analysis of 36 taxa of Artemisia and its outgroups.



Figure 19. Violin diagrams of three pollen types from *Artemisia*, showing the variations (M±SD) in nine pollen characters (P: length of polar axis; *E*: length of equatorial axis; *D*: diameter of spinule base; *H*: spinule height; Gs: granule spacing; Ss: spinule spacing; Ps: perforation spacing). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.001).



Figure 20. Boxplots of intraspecific pollen exine ultrastructure characters from three species of *Artemisia*, showing the variations (M±SD) in six pollen characters (*D*: diameter of spinule base; *H*: spinule height; Gs: granule spacing; Ss: spinule spacing; Ps: perforation spacing). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (* $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$, *** p < 0.001).



Figure 21. The global distribution pattern of three *Artemisia* pollen types in terrestrial biomes (modified from Olson et al., 2001). (a) SG type; (b) LNS type; and (c) SWS type. Fourteen terrestrial biomes: 01. tropical and subtropical moist broadleaf forests; 02. tropical and subtropical dry broadleaf forests; 03. tropical and subtropical coniferous forests; 04. temperate broadleaf and mixed forests; 05. temperate coniferous forests; 06. boreal forests/taiga; 07. flooded grasslands and savannas; 08. montane grasslands and shrublands; 09. tundra; 10: Mediterranean forests, woodlands, and shrub; 11. tropical and subtropical grasslands, savannas, and shrublands; 13. deserts and xeric shrublands; 14. mangroves; 15. lakes; 16. rock and ice.



Figure 22. Violin diagrams of three pollen types from *Artemisia*, showing the variations (25%-75%) in MAT, MAP, and altitude. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.001).

Appendix A

Pollen morphological descriptions of 36 representative species from nine clades of *Artemisia* and three outgroups.

Pollen morphology of *Artemisia*: pollen grains oblate, spherical, or ellipsoidal; apertures tricolporate; almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view; the exine near the colpi gradually thinned; the exine has an obvious double structure of inner and outer layers where the outer is thicker than the inner under LM; and the exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate, and granule (SEM).

1. Artemisia cana (Table 1, Figs. 3a and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal or oblate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. Polar length $(P) = 23.46 \pm 1.76 \,\mu\text{m}$ (M±SD), equatorial width $(E) = 24.50 \pm 2.13 \,\mu\text{m}$ (M±SD), $P/E = 0.96 \pm 0.04$ (M±SD), exine thickness $(T) = 3.91 \pm 0.36 \,\mu\text{m}$ (M±SD), and pollen length $(L) = 24.58 \pm 1.24 \,\mu\text{m}$ (M±SD), $T/L = 0.16 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, diameter of spinule base $(D) = 0.58 \pm 0.13 \,\mu\text{m}$ (M±SD), $D/H = 1.28 \pm 0.38$ (M±SD), granule spacing (Gs) = $0.33 \pm 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}$ (M±SD), and spinule spacing $(Ss) = 1.60 \pm 0.22 \,\mu\text{m}$ (M±SD), Gs/Ss = 0.21 ± 0.06 (M±SD).

Habitat: grasslands, gravel soils, mountain meadows, and stream banks; wet mountain meadows, stream banks, and rocky areas with late-lying snows.

2. Artemisia tridentata (Table 1, Figs. 3b and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 21.36 \pm 1.54 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 20.69 \pm 1.85 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 1.04 \pm 0.07$, $T = 3.55 \pm 0.41 \,\mu\text{m}$, $L = 22.35 \pm 1.90 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.16 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.76 \pm 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.60 \pm 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 1.30 \pm 0.23$, Gs = $0.24 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.12 \pm 0.22 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.22 ± 0.08 .

Habitat: mountains, grasslands, and meadows of western North America. Arid and semi-arid, desert, or semidesert areas of the growing shrub or semi-shrub environment.

3. Artemisia californica (Table 1, Figs. 3c and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal or oblate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 18.94 \pm 1.30 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 19.13 \pm 1.43 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 0.99 \pm 0.08$, $T = 2.70 \pm$

0.16 µm, $L = 18.85 \pm 1.12$ µm, $T/L = 0.14 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.75 \pm 0.11$ µm, $H = 0.71 \pm 0.10$ µm, $D/H = 1.08 \pm 0.20$, Gs = 0.24 ± 0.05 µm, Ss = 1.45 ± 0.23 µm, Gs/Ss = 0.17 ± 0.05 .

Habitat: coastal scrub and dry foothills.

4. Artemisia indica (Table 1, Figs. 4a and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal or oblate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 23.47 \pm 1.39 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 23.81 \pm$ $0.86 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 0.99 \pm 0.06$, $T = 3.50 \pm 0.27 \,\mu\text{m}$, L = $23.31 \pm 0.61 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.15 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.76 \pm 0.10 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.39 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 2.04 \pm 0.53$, Gs = $0.28 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.21 \pm$ $0.24 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.24 ± 0.07 .

Habitat: roadsides, forest margins, slopes, and shrublands; low elevations to 2000 m.

5. Artemisia argyi (Table 1, Figs. 4b and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 21.80 \pm 1.00 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 21.67 \pm 1.27 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.01 \pm 0.08, T = 3.55 \pm 0.40 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 22.24 \pm 1.13 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.16 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.64 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.38 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.71 \pm 0.23, \text{Gs} = 0.22 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 0.90 \pm 0.17 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.26 \pm 0.09.$

Habitat: waste places, roadsides, slopes, hills, steppes, and forest steppes; low elevations to 1500 m.

6. Artemisia mongolica (Table 1, Figs. 4c and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 21.05 \pm 0.82 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 20.42 \pm 1.01 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.03 \pm 0.05, T = 3.29 \pm 0.19 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 19.78 \pm 0.99 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.17 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.62 \pm 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.41 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.54 \pm 0.25, \text{Gs} = 0.19 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 0.91 \pm 0.14 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.22 \pm 0.08$.

Habitat: slopes, shrublands, riverbanks, lakeshores, roadsides, steppes, forest steppes, and dry valleys; low elevations to 2000 m.

7. Artemisia vulgaris (Table 1, Figs. 5a and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi

gradually thinned. $P = 19.72 \pm 1.25 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 19.29 \pm 1.82 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 1.03 \pm 0.08$, $T = 2.92 \pm 0.23 \,\mu\text{m}$, $L = 18.94 \pm 1.09 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.16 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.69 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.34 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 2.13 \pm 0.52$, Gs = $0.29 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.55 \pm 0.32 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.20 ± 0.07 .

Habitat: roadsides, slopes, canyons, forest margins, forest steppes, and subalpine steppes; 1500–3800 m.

8. Artemisia selengensis (Table 1, Figs. 5b and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 20.67 \pm 1.57 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 19.68 \pm 1.94 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 1.06 \pm 0.09$, $T = 3.72 \pm 0.72 \,\mu\text{m}$, $L = 20.80 \pm 2.21 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.18 \pm 0.03$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.67 \pm 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.38 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 1.76 \pm 0.27$, Gs = $0.22 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.05 \pm 0.15 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.22 ± 0.07 .

Habitat: riverbanks, lakeshores, humid areas, meadows, slopes, and roadsides.

9. Artemisia ludoviciana (Table 1, Figs. 5c and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 21.65 \pm 1.02 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 20.82 \pm 1.10 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.04 \pm 0.08, T = 3.71 \pm 0.28 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 20.94 \pm 1.13 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.18 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.70 \pm 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.37 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.94 \pm 0.31, \text{Gs} = 0.20 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.23 \pm 0.13 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.16 \pm 0.04.$

Habitat: disturbed roadsides, open meadows, and rocky slopes.

10. Artemisia roxburghiana (Table 1, Figs. 6a and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 23.88 \pm 2.04 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 23.69 \pm 2.00 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.01 \pm 0.06, T = 3.78 \pm 0.39 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 21.81 \pm 1.05 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.17 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.76 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.39 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.96 \pm 0.37, \text{Gs} = 0.28 \pm 0.11 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 0.79 \pm 0.11 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.36 \pm 0.14.$

Habitat: roadsides, slopes, dry canyons, grasslands, waste areas, and terraces; 700–3900 m.

11. Artemisia rutifolia (Table 1, Figs. 6b and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal or oblate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 22.22 \pm 1.10 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 22.70 \pm 1.37 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 0.98 \pm 0.05$, $T = 3.53 \pm 0.37 \,\mu\text{m}$, $L = 24.93 \pm 1.05 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.14 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.31 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.26 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 1.20 \pm 0.18$, Gs = $0.21 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.27 \pm 0.19 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.17 ± 0.04 .

Habitat: hills, dry river valleys, basins, steppes, semideserts, and stony desert; 1300–5000 m.

12. Artemisia chinensis (Table 1, Figs. 6c and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal or oblate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 21.53 \pm 1.95 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 22.75 \pm 2.00 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 0.95 \pm 0.05$, $T = 2.97 \pm 0.40 \,\mu\text{m}$, $L = 23.71 \pm 2.30 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.13 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.70 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.55 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 1.29 \pm 0.19$, Gs $= 0.27 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss $= 0.91 \pm 0.17 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss $= 0.31 \pm 0.09$.

Habitat: littoral plants found on raised coral outcrops.

13. Artemisia kurramensis (Table 1, Figs. 7a and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 19.71 \pm 1.28 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 19.35 \pm 1.02 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.02 \pm 0.05, T = 3.30 \pm 0.38 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 19.44 \pm 0.92 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.17 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.38 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.27 \pm 0.03 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.41 \pm 0.21$, Gs = $0.23 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.25 \pm 0.21 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.19 ± 0.06 .

Habitat: foothills, mountain slopes, dry graveyards, and field borders with sparse vegetation on gravelly, fine to coarse sandy-clay soils.

14. Artemisia compactum (Table 1, Figs. 7b and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 22.33 \pm 1.81 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 21.97 \pm 1.23 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.02 \pm 0.06, T = 2.97 \pm 0.43 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 21.67 \pm 0.87 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.14 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.41 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.28 \pm 0.03 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.50 \pm 0.33, \text{Gs} = 0.51 \pm 0.12 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 0.92 \pm 0.12 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.56 \pm 0.12.$

Habitat: rocky slopes and semi-deserts, from low elevations to sub-alpine areas.

15. Artemisia maritima (Table 1, Figs. 7c and 15).

Pollen grains prolate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. P = $26.24 \pm 1.61 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 23.09 \pm 1.43 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.14 \pm$ $0.06, T = 3.54 \pm 0.44 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 24.42 \pm 1.51 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L =$ 0.14 ± 0.02 . The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.28 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m},$ $H = 0.23 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.30 \pm 0.34$, Gs = $0.53 \pm$ $0.12 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.08 \pm 0.12 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.50 \pm 0.13$.

Habitat: saltmarsh, dry and calcareous hillsides, seashores, and dry saline or alkaline soils.

16. Artemisia aralensis (Table 1, Figs. 8a and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 22.32 \pm 1.72 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 21.91 \pm 1.63 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 1.02 \pm 0.06$, $T = 3.16 \pm 0.36 \,\mu\text{m}$, $L = 22.76 \pm 1.45 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.14 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.25 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.22 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 1.16 \pm 0.28$, Gs = $0.50 \pm 0.13 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.09 \pm 0.18 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.46 ± 0.14 .

Habitat: clayey, sandy loam, and solonetzic soils.

17. Artemisia annua (Table 1, Figs. 8b and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 19.71 \pm 0.84 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 19.45 \pm 1.32 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 1.02 \pm 0.07$, $T = 3.45 \pm 0.25 \,\mu\text{m}$, $L = 19.20 \pm 0.92 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.18 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.45 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.39 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 1.18 \pm 0.25$, Gs = $0.27 \pm 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.29 \pm 0.16 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.21 ± 0.08 .

Habitat: hills, waysides, wastelands, outer forest margins, steppes, forest steppes, dry flood lands, terraces, semidesert steppes, rocky slopes, roadsides, and saline soils; 2000–3700 m.

18. Artemisia freyniana (Table 1, Figs. 8c and 15).

Pollen grains prolate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. P = $23.39 \pm 1.21 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 21.30 \pm 1.07 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.10 \pm$ $0.04, T = 3.17 \pm 0.26 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 21.29 \pm 0.95 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L =$ 0.15 ± 0.01 . The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.56 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m},$ $H = 0.40 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.40 \pm 0.15, \text{Gs} = 0.20 \pm$ $0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.15 \pm 0.15 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.18 \pm 0.05.$

Habitat: steppes, slopes, dry river valleys, riverbanks, and outer forest margins.

19. Artemisia stechmanniana (Table 1, Figs. 9a and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 26.31 \pm 1.48 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 25.16 \pm 1.22 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.05 \pm 0.07, T = 3.97 \pm 0.60 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 23.45 \pm 1.38 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.17 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.37 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.35 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.07 \pm 0.25, \text{Gs} = 0.19 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.40 \pm 0.24 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.14 \pm 0.04.$

Habitat: hillsides, roadsides, shrubland, and foreststeppe areas, and often becoming the dominant species or main associated species of plant communities in some areas of mountainous sunny slopes.

20. Artemisia pontica (Table 1, Figs. 9b and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 20.64 \pm 1.54 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 19.62 \pm 1.59 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.05 \pm 0.07, T = 3.01 \pm 0.39 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 19.75 \pm 0.84 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.15 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.60 \pm 0.11 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.37 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.63 \pm 0.37, \text{Gs} = 0.17 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.32 \pm 0.27 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.13 \pm 0.04.$

Habitat: rocky slopes, dry valleys, steppes, and hills; low to middle elevations.

21. Artemisia frigida (Table 1, Figs. 9c and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 25.11 \pm 1.75 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 24.90 \pm 1.48 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 1.01 \pm 0.07$, $T = 4.61 \pm 0.74 \,\mu\text{m}$, $L = 24.83 \pm 1.27 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.19 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.46 \pm 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.32 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 1.44 \pm 0.26$, Gs = $0.31 \pm 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.30 \pm 0.18 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.24 ± 0.06 .

Habitat: steppes, sub-alpine meadows, dry hillsides, stable dunes, and dry waste areas; 1000–4000 m.

22. Artemisia rupestris (Table 1, Figs. 10a and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 24.45 \pm 1.41 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 22.92 \pm 1.40 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.07 \pm 0.08, T = 3.18 \pm 0.40 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 21.96 \pm 1.15 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.14 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.55 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.33 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}$,

 $D/H = 1.68 \pm 0.28$, Gs = $0.25 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $0.91 \pm 0.11 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.28 ± 0.09 .

Habitat: dry hills, desert or semidesert steppes, grassy marshlands, dry river valleys, riverbeds, scrub, and forest margins.

23. Artemisia sericea (Table 1, Figs. 10b and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal or oblate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 26.31 \pm 1.31 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 27.90 \pm$ $1.67 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 0.94 \pm 0.03$, $T = 3.75 \pm 0.32 \,\mu\text{m}$, L = $26.89 \pm 2.12 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.14 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.89 \pm 0.09 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.54 \pm 0.10 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 1.71 \pm 0.36$, Gs = $0.28 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.74 \pm$ $0.31 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.16 ± 0.05 .

Habitat: forest margins, hills, steppes, canyons, and waste areas.

24. Artemisia absinthium (Table 1, Figs. 10c and 15).

Pollen grains prolate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. P = $22.79 \pm 1.22 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 20.84 \pm 1.11 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.09 \pm$ $0.05, T = 3.39 \pm 0.31 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 19.92 \pm 1.74 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L =$ 0.17 ± 0.01 . The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.59 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m},$ $H = 0.40 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.52 \pm 0.25, \text{Gs} = 0.18 \pm$ $0.04 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.11 \pm 0.15 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.16 \pm 0.04.$

Habitat: hillsides, steppes, scrub, forest margins, and often in locally moist situations; 1100–1500 m.

25. Artemisia abrotanum (Table 1, Figs. 11a and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 24.47 \pm 1.56 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 23.73 \pm 1.65 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.03 \pm 0.07, T = 3.15 \pm 0.28 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 18.82 \pm 0.81 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.17 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.72 \pm 0.10 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.51 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.44 \pm 0.25, \text{Gs} = 0.22 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.41 \pm 0.19 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.16 \pm 0.04.$

Habitat: the wasteland of western, southern, central, and southern Europe.

26. Artemisia blepharolepis (Table 1, Figs. 11b and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 18.96 \pm 0.98 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 19.26 \pm 0.99 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 0.99 \pm 0.05, T = 3.15 \pm 0.28 \,\mu\text{m}, L =$

18.82 \pm 0.81 µm, $T/L = 0.17 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.69 \pm 0.09$ µm, $H = 0.44 \pm 0.07$ µm, $D/H = 1.64 \pm 0.44$, Gs = 0.37 ± 0.18 µm, Ss = $1.68 \pm$ 0.20 µm, Gs/Ss = 0.23 ± 0.14 .

Habitat: low-altitude areas of dry slopes, grasslands, steppes, waste areas, roadsides, and dunes near riverbanks.

27. Artemisia norvegica (Table 1, Figs. 11c and 15).

Pollen grains prolate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. P = $24.51 \pm 1.40 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 22.11 \pm 1.05 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.11 \pm$ $0.06, T = 3.48 \pm 0.39 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 22.61 \pm 1.31 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L =$ 0.15 ± 0.01 . The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.67 \pm 0.08 \,\mu\text{m},$ $H = 0.43 \pm 0.11 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.66 \pm 0.51$, Gs = $0.19 \pm$ $0.03 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.56 \pm 0.24 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.12 \pm 0.03$.

Habitat: bare stony ground, Racomitrium heath, bouldery crests of solifluction terraces, and sometimes hollows between rocks.

28. Artemisia tanacetifolia (Table 1, Figs. 12a and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 28.38 \pm 0.90 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 27.75 \pm 1.70 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.03 \pm 0.06, T = 3.46 \pm 0.47 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 27.63 \pm 1.06 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.13 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.71 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.32 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 2.23 \pm 0.40, \text{Gs} = 0.30 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.08 \pm 0.16 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.29 \pm 0.07.$

Habitat: middle and low-altitude areas of forest grasslands, grasslands, meadows, forest edges, open forests, salty grasslands, grass slopes, and brushwood.

29. Artemisia tournefortiana (Table 1, Figs. 12b and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 20.76 \pm 0.98 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 20.43 \pm 0.83 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.02 \pm 0.06, T = 3.33 \pm 0.19 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 20.03 \pm 0.79 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.17 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.73 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.42 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.81 \pm 0.33, \text{Gs} = 0.26 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.25 \pm 0.20 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.22 \pm 0.08.$

Habitat: widely distributed on hills, terraces, dry flood lands, waste fields, steppes, open forests, and semi-marshlands.

30. Artemisia dracunculus (Table 1, Figs. 12c and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 22.89 \pm 1.24 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 22.87 \pm 1.32 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.00 \pm 0.05, T = 2.82 \pm 0.52 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 21.91 \pm 1.35 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.13 \pm 0.03$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.68 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.45 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.56 \pm 0.31, \text{Gs} = 0.31 \pm 0.10 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 0.92 \pm 0.15 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.34 \pm 0.11.$

Habitat: dry slopes, steppes, semidesert steppes, forest steppes, forest margins, waste areas, roadsides, terraces, subalpine meadows, meadow steppes, dry river valleys, rocky slopes, and saline–alkaline soils; 500–3800 m.

31. Artemisia japonica (Table 1, Figs. 13a and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal or oblate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 20.18 \pm 1.28 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 21.23 \pm 1.26 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 0.95 \pm 0.05, T = 4.24 \pm 0.49 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 21.02 \pm 1.14 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.20 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.57 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.32 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.80 \pm 0.24$, Gs = $0.26 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.26 \pm 0.16 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0.21 \pm 0.04$.

Habitat: forest margins, waste areas, shrublands, hills, slopes, and roadsides. Low elevations to 3300 m.

32. Artemisia capillaris (Table 1, Figs. 13b and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal or oblate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 19.53 \pm 1.09 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 19.64 \pm$ $1.62 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 1.00 \pm 0.08$, $T = 3.54 \pm 0.34 \,\mu\text{m}$, L = $19.18 \pm 0.97 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.18 \pm 0.01$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.51 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 0.36 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 1.44 \pm 0.30$, Gs = $0.26 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{m}$, Ss = $1.27 \pm$ $0.16 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0.21 ± 0.05 .

Habitat: humid slopes, hills, terraces, roadsides, and riverbanks; 100–2700 m.

33. Artemisia campestris (Table 1, Figs. 13c and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 21.69 \pm 0.85 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 21.26 \pm 0.89 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.02 \pm 0.07, T = 3.68 \pm 0.33 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 21.21 \pm 0.89 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.17 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.57 \pm 0.09 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.38 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}$,

 $D/H = 1.53 \pm 0.23$, Gs = 0.41 ± 0.09 µm, Ss = 1.23 ± 0.19 µm, Gs/Ss = 0.34 ± 0.08.

Habitat: steppes, waste areas, rocky slopes, and dune margins; 300–3100 m.

34. *Kaschgaria brachanthemoides* (Table 1, Figs. 14a and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 23.26 \pm 1.44 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 22.09 \pm 1.18 \,\mu\text{m}, P/E = 1.06 \pm 0.08, T = 3.93 \pm 0.44 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 21.01 \pm 1.28 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.19 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation is psilate (LM), spinulate (SEM). Under SEM, $D = 0.55 \pm 0.07 \,\mu\text{m}, H = 0.44 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.25 \pm 0.20, \text{Gs} = 0 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} = 1.75 \pm 0.20 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0$, perforations spacing (Ps) = $0.47 \pm 0.14 \,\mu\text{m}$.

Habitat: dry mountain valleys, and old dry riverbeds; 1000–1500 m.

35. Ajania pallasiana (Table 1, Figs. 14b and 15).

Pollen grains spheroidal. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 35.16 \pm 2.68 \,\mu\text{m}, E = 35.92 \pm 3.31 \,\mu\text{m},$ $P/E = 0.98 \pm 0.03, T = 10.23 \pm 0.85 \,\mu\text{m}, L = 38.31 \pm$ $2.06 \,\mu\text{m}, T/L = 0.27 \pm 0.03 \,\mu\text{m}$. The exine ornamentation spinose. Under SEM, $D = 4.41 \pm 0.35 \,\mu\text{m}, H =$ $3.47 \pm 0.38 \,\mu\text{m}, D/H = 1.29 \pm 0.21, \text{Gs} = 0 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Ss} =$ $7.84 \pm 1.25 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{Gs/Ss} = 0, \text{Ps} = 0.39 \pm 0.12 \,\mu\text{m}.$

Habitat: thickets and mountain slopes; 200-2900 m.

36. Chrysanthemum indicum (Table 1, Figs. 14c and 15).

Pollen grains prolate or spheroidal or oblate. Almost circular in equatorial view and trilobate circular in polar view. Apertures tricolporate. The exine near the colpi gradually thinned. $P = 33.54 \pm 1.71 \,\mu\text{m}$, $E = 34.42 \pm 2.46 \,\mu\text{m}$, $P/E = 0.98 \pm 0.08$, $T = 8.65 \pm 0.89 \,\mu\text{m}$, $L = 34.82 \pm 1.65 \,\mu\text{m}$, $T/L = 0.25 \pm 0.02$. The exine ornamentation spinose. Under SEM, $D = 2.94 \pm 0.33 \,\mu\text{m}$, $H = 3.59 \pm 0.29 \,\mu\text{m}$, $D/H = 0.82 \pm 0.10$, $\text{Gs} = 0 \,\mu\text{m}$, $\text{Ss} = 7.11 \pm 0.76 \,\mu\text{m}$, Gs/Ss = 0, $\text{Ps} = 0.37 \pm 0.13 \,\mu\text{m}$.

Habitat: grasslands on mountain slopes, thickets, wet places by rivers, fields, roadsides, saline places by seashores, and under shrubs; 100–2900 m.

Appendix B

Biot of the vouener opeennen in t B fieldarian, inditate of Botani, ennese i feadeni, of beleneest
--

Subgenus	Species	Specimen barcodes	Coll. no.	Habitat photograph sources
Subg. Tridentata	Artemisia cana	PE 01668975	H.Mozingo 79–97	© Jason Headley https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 54492753*
	Artemisia tridentata	PE 01917565	Debreczy-Racz-Biro s.n.	© Matt Berger https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 117436654*
	Artemisia californica	PE 01668942	Lewis S.Rose 69107	© Don Rideout https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 108921528*
Subg. Artemisia, Sect. Artemisia	Artemisia indica	PE 00444597	Tian-Lun Dai 104336	© yangting https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 66336449*
	Artemisia argyi	PE 00420930	K.M.Liou 9276	© Sergey Prokopenko https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 95820686*
	Artemisia mongolica	PE 00445665	Cheng-Yuan Yang and Zu-Gui Li 36466a	© Nikolay V. Dorofeev https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 163584035*
	Artemisia vulgaris	PE 01669703	P.Frost-Olsen 1833	© Sara Rall https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 120600448*
	Artemisia selengensis	PE 00479106	Ming-Gang Li et al. 486	© Gularjanz Grigoryi Mihajlovich https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 46352423*
	Artemisia ludoviciana	PE 01669278	W.Hess 2405	© Ethan Rose https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 77690333*
	Artemisia roxburghiana Artemisia rutifolia	PE 00478222 PE 00478427	Xingan collection team 70 Ke Guo 12528	© Bo-Han Jiao © Daba https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 62207191*
Subg. Pacifica	Artemisia chinensis	PE 01565620	Y.Tateishi J.Murata.Y.Endo et al. 15202	© Jia-Hao Shen
Subg. Seriphidium	Artemisia kurramensis	PE 01669178	M.Togasi 1672	© Andrey Vlasenko https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 133758174*
	Artemisia compactum	PE 00457459	Hexi team 313	© Chen Chen
	Artemisia maritima	no. 1338063	s.n.	© torkild https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 86515371*
	Artemisia aralensis	no. 202006	s.n.	© Виталий Недосейкин https://www.plantarium.ru/lang/en/ page/image/id/73063.html*
	Artemisia annua	PE 01197344	Wen-Hong Jin-Tian, Kai-Yong Lang, Ge Yang 328	© Chen Chen

Note: in the absence of habitat photographs of two species, habitat photographs of species with which they have close phylogenetic relationships and similar habitats were used in this study instead, i.e. the habitat photograph of *Kaschagaria komarovii* was used instead of *Kaschagaria brachanthemoides*, and the habitat photograph of *Artemisia taurica* for *Artemisia kurramensis*. * Last access: 19 August 2022

Table B1. Continued.

Subgenus	Species	Specimen barcodes	Coll. no.	Habitat photograph sources
Subg. Artemisia, Sect. Abrotanum I	Artemisia freyniana	PE 01669030	S.Kharkevich 753	© Шильников Дмитрий Сергеевич
				https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/
	Artemisia stechmanniana	PE 00478480	Shen-E Liu, Dai Yun Eu et al. 4715	© Bo-Han Jiao
	Artemisia pontica	PE 01589110	Gy.Szollat and K.Dobolyi s.n.	© Martin Pražák https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 93438780*
Subg. Absinthium	Artemisia frigida	PE 00444197	Ren-Chang Qin 0913	© Suzanne Dingwell https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 125022240*
	Artemisia rupestris	PE 00478380	Anonymous 948	© Bo-Han Jiao
	Artemisia sericea	PE 01669585	N.Maltzev 3175	© svetlana_katana https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 48033353*
	Artemisia absinthium	PE 01668816	G.Bujorean s.n.	© Станислав Лебедев https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 123569286*
Subg. Artemisia, Sect. Abrotanum II	Artemisia abrotanum	PE 01668792	T.Leonova s.n.	© Андрей Москвичев https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 116106722*
	Artemisia blepharolepis	PE 00421006	Kun-Jun Fu 7252	© Ji-Ye Zheng
Subg. Artemisia, Sect. Abrotanum III	Artemisia norvegica	PE 01669339	J.Haug s.n.	© Erin Springinotic https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 161393521*
	Artemisia tanacetifolia	PE 00479744	T.P.Wang W.3379	© Alexander Dubynin https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 78902853*
	Artemisia tournefortiana	PE 00479786	Ren-Chang Qin 2266	© Chen Chen
Subg. Dracunculus	Artemisia dracunculus	PE 00421462	Shen-E Liu et al. 8084	© Anatoly Mikhaltsov https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 76312868*
	Artemisia japonica	PE 00444874	Qianbei team 2850	©陳達智 https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 44507659*
	Artemisia capillaris	PE 00421156	Han-Chen Wang 4078	© Cheng-Tao Lin https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 60639286*
	Artemisia campestris	PE 00421097	T.N.Liou L.1008	© pedrosanz-anapri https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 113822257*
Outgroups	Kaschagaria brachanthemoides	PE 01577564	Yun-Wen Tian 22158	© Chen Chen
	Ajania pallasiana	PE 00420032	Guang-Zheng Wang 497	© Игорь Поспелов https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/ 162408714*
	Chrysanthemum indicum	PE 01258852	Anonymous 221	© Bo-Han Jiao

Note: in the absence of habitat photographs of two species, habitat photographs of species with which they have close phylogenetic relationships and similar habitats were used in this study instead, i.e. the habitat photograph of *Kaschagaria komarovii* was used instead of *Kaschagaria brachanthemoides*, and the habitat photograph of *Artemisia taurica* for *Artemisia kurramensis*. * Last access: 19 August 2022

Table B2. List of the voucher specimens in PE Herbarium, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Sample no. 0 was the specimen for cluster analysis. Sample nos. 1–4 were used in testing intraspecific variability in pollen exine ultrastructure characters among three representative species.

Sample no.	SWS type Artemisia vulgaris			LNS type Artemisia annua	SG type Artemisia maritima		
	Specimen barcodes	Coll. no.	Specimen barcodes	Coll. no.	Specimen no.	Coll. no.	
0	PE 01669703	P.Frost-Olsen 1833	PE 01197344	Wen-Hong Jin-Tian, Kai-Yong Lang, Ge Yang 328	no. 1338063	s.n.	
1	PE 00532417	75-1521	PE 00420433	Xue-Zhong Lang 328	no. 209452	G. Belloteau 25 September 1912	
2	PE 00492025	K.M.Liou L.6601	PE 00420647	T.N.Liou & C.Wang 731	no. 209446	s.n.	
3	PE 00492038	P.C.Hoch, Jia-Rui Chen 86077	PE 00420660	Da-Shun Wang 856	s.n.	Hanelt Schultze-Motel 446	
4	PE 00492029	Hong-Bin Cui, You-Run Lin, Zhen-Dai Xia 80-290	PE 00420664	Lei,C.I. 858	s.n.	O. Nordstedt 9 October 1901	

Author contributions. YFW, YFY, and TGG conceived the ideas; LLL, BHJ, KQL, and BS collected the literature; LLL extracted and compiled the data, LLL, FQ, and BHJ made the statistical analysis; GX and ML collected pictures; LLL, KQL, and BS drew the figures and tables; LLL, YFW, YFY, JFL, FQ, and GX wrote the first draft of this article; DKF corrected the various versions of the article; and all authors contributed substantially to revisions.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Acknowledgements. We thank Jian Yang, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for his kind help in drafting graphics. We appreciate Chen Chen from Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ji-Ye Zheng from No. 1 Middle School of Jiyang Shandong, Jia-Hao Shen from Institute of Botany, Jiangsu Province and Chinese Academy of Sciences (Nanjing Botanical Garden Mem. Sun Yat-Sen), and Виталий Недосейкин from Almaty, Kazakhstan, for their enthusiastic assistance in providing habitat photographs. **Financial support.** This research was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant no. XDB26000000), National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 31970233, 32070240, 31870179, 31570204, 42077416, 32000174 and 42077423), the Chinese Academy of Sciences President's International Fellowship Initiative (grant no. 2018VBA0016), the Sino-Africa Joint Research Center (grant no. SAJC201614), Key Project at Central Government Level: the ability establishment of sustainable use for valuable Chinese medicine resources (grant no. 201017G1001), and the International Partnership Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant no. 151853KYSB20190027).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Alessio Rovere and reviewed by Angela Bruch and one anonymous referee.

References

- Beerling, D. J. and Royer, D. L.: Convergent Cenozoic CO₂ history, Nat. Geosci., 4, 418–420, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1186, 2011.
- Bhattacharya, T., Tierney, J. E., Addison, J. A., and Murray, J. W.: Ice-sheet modulation of deglacial North American monsoon intensification, Nat. Geosci., 11, 848–852, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0220-7, 2018.
- Blackmore, S., Wortley, A. H., Skvarla, J. J., and Robinson, H.: Evolution of pollen in Compositae, in: Systematics, Evolution and Biogeography of the Compositae, edited by: Funk, V. A., Susanna, A., Stuessy, T. F., and Bayer, R. J., International As-

sociation of Plant Taxonomy, Vienna, 102–130, ISBN 978-3-9501754-3-1 2009.

- Bremer, K. and Humphries, C. J.: Generic monograph of the Asteraceae-Anthemideae, Bull. Nat. Hist. Mus., 23, 71–177, https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/19562 (last access: 19 August 2022), 1993.
- Brummitt, N., Araujo, A. C., and Harris, T.: Areas of plant diversity What do we know?, Plants People Planet, 3, 33–44, https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10110, 2021.
- Cai, M., Ye, P., Yang, X., and Li, C.: Vegetation and climate change in the Hetao Basin (Northern China) during the last interglacial-glacial cycle, J. Asian Earth Sci., 171, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2018.11.024, 2019.
- Cao, X., Tian, F., Li, K., Ni, J., Yu, X., Liu, L., and Wang, N.: Lake surface sediment pollen dataset for the alpine meadow vegetation type from the eastern Tibetan Plateau and its potential in past climate reconstructions, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 3525–3537, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-3525-2021, 2021.
- Chen, S. B.: Study on the pollen morphology of the Chinese genus Artemisia L. and the relationship with its allies, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, http: //ir.ibcas.ac.cn/handle/2S10CLM1/12089 (last access: 19 August 2022), 1987 (in Chinese).
- Chen, S. B. and Zhang, J. T.: A Study on pollen morphology of some Chinese Genera in tribe Anthemideae, Acta Phytotaxon. Sin., 29, 246–251, 1991 (in Chinese).
- Cui, Q. Y., Zhao, Y., Qin, F., Liang, C., Li, Q., and Geng, R. W.: Characteristics of the modern pollen assemblages from different vegetation zones in Northeast China: Implications for pollenbased climate reconstruction, Sci. China Earth Sci., 62, 1564– 1577, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-018-9386-9, 2019.
- Davies, C. P. and Fall, P. L.: Modern pollen precipitation from an elevational transect in central Jordan and its relationship to vegetation, J. Biogeogr., 28, 1195–1210, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2001.00630.x, 2001.
- El-Moslimany, A. P.: Ecological significance of common nonarboreal pollen: examples from drylands of the Middle East, Rev. Palaeobot. Palyno., 64, 343–350, https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-6667(90)90150-h, 1990.
- Erdtman, G.: The acetolysis method, a revised descriptions, Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift, 54, 561–564, 1960.
- Ferguson, D. K., Zetter, R., and Paudayal, K. N.: The need for the SEM in palaeopalynology, C. R. Palevol, 6, 423–430, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crpv.2007.09.018, 2007.
- GBIF.org: GBIF Occurrence Download, https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.596xd9, last access: 9 November 2021.
- Ghahraman, A., Nourbakhsh, N., Mehdi, G. K., and Atar, F.: Pollen morphology of *Artemisia* L. (Asteraceae) in Iran, Iran. J. Bot., 13, 21–29, 2007.
- Grímsson, F., Zetter, R., and Hofmann, C.: Lythrum and Peplis from the Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic of North America and Eurasia: new evidence suggesting early diversification within the Lythraceae, Am. J. Bot., 98, 1801–1815, https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1100204, 2011.
- Grímsson, F., Zetter, R., and Leng, Q.: Diverse fossil Onagraceae pollen from a Miocene palynoflora of north-east China: early steps in resolving the phytogeographic history of the family,

Plant Syst. Evol., 298, 671–687, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-011-0578-0, 2012.

- Guiot, J. and Cramer, W.: Climate change: The 2015 Paris Agreement thresholds and Mediterranean basin ecosystems, Science, 354, 465–468, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah5015, 2016.
- Halbritter, H., Silvia, U., Grímsson, F., Weber, M., Zetter, R., Hesse, M., Buchner, R., Svojtka, M., and Frosch-Radivo, A.: Illustrated pollen terminology, Springer Open, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71365-6, 2018.
- Hayat, M. Q., Ashraf, M., Khan, M. A., Yasmin, G., Shaheen, N., and Jabeen, S.: Phylogenetic analysis of *Artemisia* L. (Asteraceae) based on micromorphological traits of pollen grains, Afr. J. Biotechnol., 8, 6561–6568, https://doi.org/10.1556/AMicr.56.2009.4.11, 2009.
- Hayat, M. Q., Ashraf, M., Khan, M. A., Yasmin, G., and Jabeen, S.: Palynological study of the genus *Artemisia* (Asteraceae) and its systematic implications, Pak. J. Bot., 42, 751–763, https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-23-4-0522, 2010.
- Herzschuh, U., Tarasov, P., Wünnemann, B., and Kai, H.: Holocene vegetation and climate of the Alashan Plateau, NW China, reconstructed from pollen data, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 211, 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2004.04.001, 2004.
- Hesse, M., Buchner, R., Froschradivo, A., Halbritter, H., Ulrich, S., Weber, M., and Zetter, R.: Pollen Terminology: An illustrated handbook, Springer, New York, https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcp289, 2009.
- Hussain, A., Potter, D., Hayat, M. Q., Sahreen, S., and Bokhari, S. A. I.: Pollen morphology and its systematic implication on some species of *Artemisia* L. from Gilgit-Baltistan Pakistan, Bangl. J. Plant Taxon., 26, 157–168, https://doi.org/10.3329/bjpt.v26i2.44576, 2019.
- Jiang, L., Q., W., Ye, L. Z., and R., and Ling, Y. R.: Pollen morphology of *Artemisia* L. and its systematic significance, Wuhan Univ. J. Nat. Sci., 10, 448–454, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02830685, 2005.
- Jinxia, C., Xuefa, S., Yanguang, L., Shuqing, Q., Shixiong, Y., Shijuan, Y., Huahua, L., Jianyong, L., Xiaoyan, L., and Chaoxin, L.: Holocene vegetation dynamics in response to climate change and hydrological processes in the Bohai region, Clim. Past, 16, 2509–2531, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-16-2509-2020, 2020.
- Koutsodendris, A., Allstadt, F. J., Kern, O. A., Kousis, I., Schwarz, F., Vannacci, M., Woutersen, A., Appel, E., Berke, M. A., Fang, X. M., Friedrich, O., Hoorn, C., Salzmann, U., and Pross, J.: Late Pliocene vegetation turnover on the NE Tibetan Plateau (Central Asia) triggered by early Northern Hemisphere glaciation, Global Planet. Change, 180, 117–125, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2019.06.001, 2019.
- Li, F., Sun, J., Zhao, Y., Guo, X., Zhao, W., and Zhang, K.: Ecological significance of common pollen ratios: A review, Front. Earth Sci.-PRC, 4, 253–258, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11707-010-0112-7, 2010.
- Li, X. L., Hao, Q. Z., Wei, M. J., Andreev, A. A., Wang, J. P., Tian, Y. Y., Li, X. L., Cai, M. T., Hu, J. M., and Shi, W.: Phased uplift of the northeastern Tibetan Plateau inferred from a pollen record from Yinchuan Basin, northwestern China, Sci. Rep.-UK, 7, 10, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16915-z, 2017.
- Ling, Y. R.: On the system of the genus *Artemisia* Linn. and the relationship with allies, Bulletin of Botanical Research, 2, 1–60, 1982 (in Chinese).

- Ling, Y. R., Humphries, C. J., and Gilbert, M. G.: Artemisia L., in: Flora of China Volume 20–21 (Asteraceae), edited by: Wu, Z. Y., Raven, P. H., and Hong, D. Y., Science Press, Beijing & Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, 678–739, ISBN 9781935641094, 2011.
- Liu, H. Y., Wang, Y., Tian, Y. H., Zhu, J. L., and Wang, H. Y.: Climatic and anthropogenic control of surface pollen assemblages in East Asian steppes, Rev. Palaeobot. Palyno., 138, 281–289, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2006.01.008, 2006.
- Lu, K. Q., Qin, F., Li, Y., Xie, G., Li, J. F., Cui, Y. M., Ferguson, D. K., Yao, Y. F., Wang, G. H., and Wang, Y. F.: A new approach to interpret vegetation and ecosystem changes through time by establishing a correlation between surface pollen and vegetation types in the eastern central Asian desert, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 551, 12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2020.109762, 2020.
- Lu, L. L, Jiao, B. H., Qin, F., Xie, G., Lu, K. Q., Li, J. F., Sun, B., Li, M., Ferguson, D. K., Gao, T. G., Yao, Y. F., and Wang, Y. F.: *Artemisia* pollen dataset for exploring the potential ecological indicators in deep time, Zenodo [data set], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6900308, 2022.
- Ma, Q. F., Zhu, L. P., Wang, J. B., Ju, J. T., Lu, X. M., Wang, Y., Guo, Y., Yang, R. M., Kasper, T., Haberzettl, T., and Tang, L. Y.: *Artemisia*/Chenopodiaceae ratio from surface lake sediments on the central and western Tibetan Plateau and its application, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 479, 138– 145, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.05.002, 2017.
- Malik, S., Vitales, D., Hayat, M. Q., Korobkov, A. A., Garnatje, T., and Valles, J.: Phylogeny and biogeography of *Artemisia* subgenus *Seriphidium* (Asteraceae: Anthemideae), Taxon, 66, 934– 952, https://doi.org/10.12705/664.8, 2017.
- Marsicek, J., Shuman, B. N., Bartlein, P. J., Shafer, S. L., and Brewer, S.: Reconciling divergent trends and millennial variations in Holocene temperatures, Nature, 554, 92–96, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25464, 2018.
- Martín, J., Torrell, M., and Valles, J.: Palynological features as a systematic marker in *Artemisia* L. and related genera (Asteraceae, Anthemideae), Plant Biol., 3, 372–378, https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2001-16462, 2001.
- Martín, J., Torrell, M., Korobkov, A. A., and Valles, J.: Palynological features as a systematic marker in *Artemisia* L. and related genera (Asteraceae, Anthemideae) – II: Implications for subtribe Artemisiinae delimitation, Plant Biol., 5, 85–93, https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2001-16462, 2003.
- McClelland, H. L. O., Halevy, I., Wolf-Gladrow, D. A., Evans, D., and Bradley, A. S.: Statistical uncertainty in paleoclimate proxy reconstructions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, e2021GL092773, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL092773, 2021.
- Miao, Y. F., Meng, Q. Q., Fang, X. M., Yan, X. L., Wu, F. L., and Song, C. H.: Origin and development of *Artemisia* (Asteraceae) in Asia and its implications for the uplift history of the Tibetan Plateau: A review, Quatern. Int., 236, 3–12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2010.08.014, 2011.
- Mo, R. G., Bai, X. L., Ma, Y. Q., and Cao, R.: On the intraspecific variations of pollen morphology and pollen geography of a relic species-*Helianthemum songaricum* Schrenk, Acta Bot. Bor-Occid. Sin., 17, 528–532, 1997 (in Chinese).
- Moberg, A., Sonechkin, D. M., Holmgren, K., Datsenko, N. M., and Karlen, W.: Highly variable Northern Hemisphere temperatures

reconstructed from low- and high-resolution proxy data, Nature, 433, 613–617, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03265, 2005.

- Mosbrugger, V., Utescher, T., and Dilcher, D. L.: Cenozoic continental climatic evolution of Central Europe, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 14964–14969, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0505267102, 2005.
- Olson, D. M., Dinerstein, E., Wikramanayake, E. D., Burgess, N. D., Powell, G. V. N., Underwood, E. C., D'Amico, J. A., Itoua, I., Strand, H. E., Morrison, J. C., Loucks, C. J., Allnutt, T. F., Ricketts, T. H., Kura, Y., Lamoreux, J. F., Wettengel, W. W., Hedao, P., and Kassem, K. R.: Terrestrial ecoregions of the worlds: A new map of life on Earth, Bioscience, 51, 933–938, https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0933:teotwa]2.0.co;2, 2001.
- Sánchez-Murillo, R., Durán-Quesada, A. M., Esquivel-Hernández, G., Rojas-Cantillano, D., and Cobb, K. M.: Deciphering key processes controlling rainfall isotopic variability during extreme tropical cyclones, Nat. Commun., 10, 4321, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12062-3, 2019.
- Sanz, M., Vilatersana, R., Hidalgo, O., Garcia-Jacas, N., Susanna, A., Schneeweiss, G. M., and Vallès, J.: Molecular phylogeny and evolution of floral characters of *Artemisia* and allies (Anthemideae, Asteraceae): Evidence from nrDNA ETS and ITS sequences, Taxon, 57, 66–78, https://doi.org/10.2307/25065949, 2008.
- Shan, B. Q., He, X. L., and Chen, Y. S.: Pollen Morphology of *Artemisia* in the Loess Plateau, Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica, 27, 1373–1379, 2007 (in Chinese).
- Sing, G. and Joshi, R. D.: Pollen morphology of some Eurasian species of *Artemisia*, Grana Palynologica, 9, 50–62, https://doi.org/10.1080/00173136909436424, 1969.
- Stix, E.: Pollenmorphologische Untersuchungen an Compositen, Grana, 2, 41–104, https://doi.org/10.1080/00173136009429443, 1960.
- Sun, J. T. and Xu, Y. T.: Pollen morphology and its taxonomic significance of *Artemisia* Linn. from Shandong, Journal of Shandong Normal University, 12, 186–190, 1997 (in Chinese).
- Sun, X. J., Du, N. Q., Weng, C. Y., Lin, R. F., and Wei, K. Q.: Pale-ovegetation and paleoenvironment of Manasi Lake, Xinjiang, N. W. China during the last 14 000 years, Quaternary Sciences, 14, 239–248, 1994 (in Chinese).
- Sun, X. J., Wang, F. Y., and Song, C. Q.: Pollen-climate response surfaces of selected taxa from northern China, Sci. China Ser. D-Earth Sci., 39, 486–493, 1996.
- Tarasov, P. E., Cheddadi, R., Guiot, J., Bottema, S., Peyron, O., Belmonte, J., Ruiz-Sanchez, V., Saadi, F., and Brewer, S.: A method to determine warm and cool steppe biomes from pollen data; application to the Mediterranean and Kazakhstan regions, J. Quaternary Sci., 13, 335–344, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1417(199807/08)13:4<335::AID-JQS375>3.0.CO;2-A, 1998.
- Tierney, J. E., Poulsen, C. J., Montanez, I. P., Bhattacharya, T., Feng, R., Ford, H. L., Honisch, B., Inglis, G. N., Petersen, S. V., Sagoo, N., Tabor, C. R., Thirumalai, K., Zhu, J., Burls, N. J., Foster, G. L., Godderis, Y., Huber, B. T., Ivany, L. C., Turner, S. K., Lunt, D. J., McElwain, J. C., Mills, B. J. W., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Ridgwell, A., and Zhang, Y. G.: Past climates inform our future, Science, 370, eaay3701, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay3701, 2020.

- Tutin, T. G., Persson, K., and Gutermann, W.: Artemisia L., in: Flora Europaea Vol. 4, edited by: Tutin, T. G., Heywood, V. H., Burges, N. A., Moore, D. M., Valentine, D. H., Walters, S. M., and Webb, D. A., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 178–186, ISBN 978-0521087179, 1976.
- Vallès, J., Garcia, S., Hidalgo, O., Martín, J., and Garnatje, T.: Biology, Genome Evolution, Biotechnological issues and research including applied perspectives in *Artemisia* (Asteraceae), Adv. Bot. Res., 60, 349–419, 2011.
- Vrba, E. S.: Evolution, species and fossils-how does life evolve?, S. Afr. J. Sci., 76, 61–84, 1980.
- Wang, F. X., Qian, N. F., Zhang, Y. L., and Yang, H. Q.: Pollen morphology of Chinese plants, 2nd edn., Science Press, Beijing, ISBN 7-03-003635-2, 1995 (in Chinese).
- Wang, W. M.: On the origin and development of Artemisia (Asteraceae) in the geological past, Bot. J. Linn. Soc., 145, 331–336, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2004.00287.x, 2004.
- Wang, Y., Wang, W., Liu, L. N., Jiang, Y. J., Niu, Z. M., Ma, Y. Z., He, J., and Mensing, S. A.: Reliability of the *Artemisia*/Chenopodiaceae pollen ratio in differentiating vegetation and reflecting moisture in arid and semi-arid China, Holocene, 30, 858–864, https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683620902219, 2020.
- Weng, C. Y., Sun, X. J., and Chen, Y. S.: Numerical characteristics of pollen assemblages of surface samples from the West Kunlun mountains, Acta Bot. Sin., 35, 69–79, 1993 (in Chinese).
- Wodehouse, R. P.: Pollen Grain Morphology in the Classification of the Anthemideae, B. Torrey Bot. Club, 53, 479–485, https://doi.org/10.2307/2480028, 1926.
- Wu, F. L., Fang, X. M., and Miao, Y. F.: Aridification history of the West Kunlun Mountains since the mid-Pleistocene based on sporopollen and microcharcoal records, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 547, 109680, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2020.109680, 2020.
- Wu, Z. Y. (Eds.): Chinese Vegetation, Science Press, Beijing, China, ISBN 7-03-002422-2, 1980 (in Chinese).
- Xu, Q. H., Li, Y. C., Yang, X. L., and Zheng, Z. H.: Quantitative relationship between pollen and vegetation in northern China, Sci. China Ser. D-Earth Sci., 50, 582–599, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-007-2044-y, 2007.
- Yang, J., Spicer, R. A., Spicer, T. E. V., Arens, N. C., Jacques, F. M. B., Su, T., Kennedy, E. M., Herman, A. B., Steart, D. C., Srivastava, G., Mehrotra, R. C., Valdes, P. J., Mehrotra, N. C., Zhou, Z. K., and Lai, J. S.: Leaf form-climate relationships on the global stage: an ensemble of characters, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 24, 1113–1125, https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12334, 2015.
- Yi, S., Saito, Y., Oshima, H., Zhou, Y. Q., and Wei, H. L.: Holocene environmental history inferred from pollen assemblages in the Huanghe (Yellow River) delta, China: climatic change and human impact, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 22, 609–628, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-3791(02)00086-0, 2003a.

- Yi, S., Saito, Y., Zhao, Q. H., and Wang, P. X.: Vegetation and climate changes in the Changjiang (Yangtze River) Delta, China, during the past 13 000 years inferred from pollen records, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 22, 1501–1519, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-3791(03)00080-5, 2003b.
- Zachos, J., Pagani, M., Sloan, L., Thomas, E., and Billups, K.: Trends, rhythms, and aberrations in global climate 65 Ma to present, Science, 292, 686–693, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059412, 2001.
- Zachos, J. C., Dickens, G. R., and Zeebe, R. E.: An early Cenozoic perspective on greenhouse warming and carbon-cycle dynamics, Nature, 451, 279–283, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06588, 2008.
- Zhang, X. S.: Vegetation map of China and its geographic pattern: Illustration of the vegetation map of the People's Republic of China (1:1000000), Geological Press, Beijing, ISBN 978-7-116-04513-2, 2007 (in Chinese).
- Zhang, Y., Kong, Z. C., Wang, G. H., and Ni, J.: Anthropogenic and climatic impacts on surface pollen assemblages along a precipitation gradient in north-eastern China, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 19, 621–631, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00534.x, 2010.
- Zhang, Y. N. and Qian, C.: SEM observation on pollen morphology of lily species, Acta Pratac. Sin., 20, 111–118, 2011 (in Chinese).
- Zhao, X. L. and Yao, C. H.: Pollen Morphlogy differences among Osmanthus fragrans cultivars, J. Hubei Minzu Univ. (Nat. Sci. Ed.), 17, 16–20, 1999 (in Chinese).
- Zhao, Y., Xu, Q. H., Huang, X. Z., Guo, X. L., and Tao, S. C.: Differences of modern pollen assemblages from lake sediments and surface soils in arid and semi-arid China and their significance for pollen-based quantitative climate reconstruction, Rev. Palaeobot. Palyno., 156, 519–524, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2009.05.001, 2009.
- Zhao, Y., Liu, H. Y., Li, F. R., Huang, X. Z., Sun, J. H., Zhao, W. W., Herzschuh, U., and Tang, Y.: Application and limitations of the *Artemisia*/Chenopodiaceae pollen ratio in arid and semi-arid China, Holocene, 22, 1385–1392, https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683612449762, 2012.
- Zhao, Y. T., Miao, Y. F., Fang, Y. M., Li, Y., Lei, Y., Chen, X. M., Dong, W. M., and An, C. B.: Investigation of factors affecting surface pollen assemblages in the Balikun Basin, central Asia: Implications for palaeoenvironmental reconstructions, Ecol. Indic., 123, 107332, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.107332, 2021.
- Zizka, A., Silvestro, D., Andermann, T., Azevedo, J., Ritter, C. D., Edler, D., Farooq, H., Herdean, A., Ariza, M., Scharn, R., Svantesson, S., Wengstrom, N., Zizka, V., and Antonelli, A.: CoordinateCleaner: Standardized cleaning of occurrence records from biological collection databases, Methods Ecol. Evol., 10, 744–751, https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13152, 2019.