Appendix A

65% Complete Designs for the Proposed Project



DRAWING LIST

GENERAL

COVER SHEET, LOCATION MAPS, AND DRAWING INDEX SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS G1 G2

CIVIL

- C1 SITE PLAN
- PLAN AND PROFILE STEEL PIPE DETAILS C2 C3 C4
- CIVIL DETAILS

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM TO WATER AGENCY STANDARDS.
- 2. NEW WATER LINES SHALL BE DISINFECTED AND PRESSURE TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS.
- 3. THE LOCATION SHOWN FOR EXISTING UTILITIES IS APPROXIMATE ONLY. CONTRACTOR IS THE LOCATION SHOWN FOR EXISTING UTILITIES IS APPROXIMATE ONLY. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING AND PROTECTING ALL UTILITES IN THE VICINITY OF WORK. REPAIRS TO DAMAGED UTILITIES ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT (USA) 1-800-227-2600, A MINIMUM OF TWO WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO WORK COMMENCEMENT. CONTRACTOR SHALL POTHOLE EXISTING UTILITIES IN ADVANCE OF THE PIPELINE WORK.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING EXISTING TREES, PLANTS, AND SHRUBS. ANY TREE, PLANT, AND/OR SHRUB DAMAGED SHALL BE REPLACED BY THE CONTRACTOR AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 5. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING A PERMIT FROM THE DIMSION OF OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH PRIOR TO TRENCHING EXCAVATION 5 FEET OR MORE IN DEPTH. A COPY OF THE PERMIT SHALL BE AVAILABLE ONSITE AT ALL TIMES.
- 6. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING RECORD DRAWINGS FOR ALL WORK COMPLETED. AS-BUILT DOCUMENTS TO BE PROVIDED AT THE COMPLETION OF WORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS.
- 7. ALL EXISTING SURVEY MONUMENTS AND CONTROL POINTS SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM DAMAGE OR DISTURBANCE THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION. IF EXISTING SURVEY MONUMENTS AND/OR CONTROL POINTS ARE DISTURBED, THEN THE POINTS SHALL BE RE-ESTABLISHED AND MONUMENTS SET BY A LAND SURVEYOR LICENSED IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
- 8. COORDINATE ALL CONSTRUCTION WITH SILVERADO VINEYARDS
- 9. CONTRACTOR'S ATTENTION IS DIRECTED TO TWO GEOTECHNICAL REPORTS PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT; "RUSSIAN RIVER-COTATI INTERTIE PIPELINE AT MARK WEST CREEK CROSSING, ECOTECHNICAL DATA REPORT DATED _____ AND INCLUDED IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AS AN APPENDIX TO THE SPECIFICATIONS, AND "RUSSIAN RIVER-COTATI INTERTIE PIPELINE AT MARK WEST CREEK CROSSING, GEOTECHNICAL INTERPRETIVE REPORT," DATED _____ AND AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT WATER AGENCY OFFICES.

SURVEY CONTROLS

1. *TO BE ADDED NEXT SUBMITTAL*

Sonoma County W	ater Agency
Russian River - Cotati Intertie Pipelin	e at Mark West Creek Crossing
COVER SHEET, LOCATION MA	PS, AND DRAWING INDEX

FILE NAME: 218280_G01.dwg CONTRACT NUMBER:	DRAWING NUMBER: G1	SHEET 1 OF 6



TION DATE, INTERIOR METER/PIEZOMETER * SIZE * SIZE Soluts and C * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		REFERENCE REINFORCING RELAYING, RETURN REQUIRED RETAINING, RETURN REQUIRED RETAINING, RETURN REVISION, REVERSE ROOCHNG ROUGH ROUND RUNNING OFFINING ROUGH OPENING ROUGH ROUND ROUND ROUND ROUND SUPENING ROUGH ROUND ROUND SUPENING ROUGH ROUND SUPENING ROUGH SUPENING ROUGH SUPENING SUP
PER CUBIC FOUL CLASSIFIED SYSTEM ND L TION NTED POLOLAR E GAGE HFLUENT ROPERTY LINE PER LINEAR FOOT PPLENE FREVERSE CURVATURE ICATED ARY	VPI W/O WAS WD WLD WSP WSP WSP WSP XSECT XP XSECT XXS XXS XXS XYH YS	VERTICAL POINT OF TANGENCY WITH- WITHOUT WATER, WEST WASTE ACTVATED SLUDGE WIDTH- WATER LEVEL WELDED WIRE MESH WATERSTOP, WATER SURFACE WELDED STEEL PIPE WEIGHT, WATER TIGHT WELDED WIRE FABRIC EXPLOSION-PROOF EXTRA STRONG CROSS SECTION DOUBLE EXTRA STRONG YARD HYDRANT YAED HYDRANT YELD STRENGTH
ION PPORT PER SQUARE FOOT PER SQUARE INCH PER SQUARE INCH ABSOLUTE PER SQUARE INCH GAGE IOINT OF TANGENCY AC CHLORIDE TAND REPLACE AND SALVAGE ERM	NOTES: 1. THESE ABB CONTRACT 2. LISTING OF ABBREVATIC VARIATIONS MEAN MODI INCLUDED (ETHER REI	REVIATIONS APPLY TO ENTIRE SET OF DRAWINGS. ABBREVIATIONS DOES NOT IMPLY THAT ALL DNS ARE USED IN THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS. DNS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING INCLUDE OF A WORD, FOR EXAMPLE, "MOD" MAY FY OR MODIFICATION, "INC" MAY MEAN DR INCLUDING AND "REINF" MAY MEAN NFORCE OR REINFORCING.
Russian River - Cotati SYMBC	Sonoma County V Intertie Pipelin DLS AND AF	Water Agency ne at Mark West Creek Crossing 3BREVIATIONS

CONTRACT NUMBER: G2 SHEET 2 OF 6





60% SUBMITTAL NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

	NO	DATE	REVISION	BY	<u>r</u>	
	1	04-29-2015	60% DESIGN DRAWINGS		-	
l					REVIEWED :	
l				WATE	R.SALC	EDO
l				COUNT	DRAWN:	and the second
				SONOM	1" = 100'	4/29/2015

Sonoma County Water Agency	
Russian River - Cotati Intertie Pipeline at Mark West Creek Crossing	
SITE PLAN	

FILE NAME: 216260-C01.dwg	DRAWING C1	
CONTRACT NUMBER:	NUMBER: UT	SHEET S OF 0



NOTES:

- GROUNDWATER LEVELS AND WATER LEVELS IN 1. MARK WEST CREEK ARE HIGHLY VARIABLE, CONTRACTOR SHOULD EXPECT TO ENCOUNTER SIGNIFICANT GROUNDWATER DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT IN PLACE ALL VINEYARD PLANTINGS, IRRIGATION SYSTEMS, TRELLIS SYSTEMS, ETC. OUTSIDE SONOMA COUNTY WATER AGENCY RIGHT OF WAY AND TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENTS DURING CONSTRUCTION.

_	-	-	-	-	_		_		_	_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-80
* 3	•				32		•	•8		2			3			32		- 2		2	25		i.	3	i.		
					۰.				-	4			1		•		•	- 2			4			1		×2	
* 1	•	8		1	32	•	•	•5	1.1	3			10			2	2003	- 2	*		*	*		33	3.8	2	
	•	•	•	•	S.,		•	•	·	1	•	•	•	•	•	÷.	1.000		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	70
28.9	10	22			21	1330	1.01	- 47	ĺ.,			1.2					1.000		- 10	121		2.5	101				-70
		2																			Ľ	÷					
i i	2				Ξ.			48	1.2	į		- 62	-		2	Ξ.					- 20	ŭ	÷.	ä.	ŝ.	8	
							•	•2									1993				•	×					
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-60
		8	•	2	-	<u>.</u>		•	-	÷	_			•	-			Ŀ			x.			3			
	•			•	4			12	- 2	4		12		1		۰.	1940		12		4	•			1	8	
* 1	•	3		1	32		•	-93	1.1	2			3			33	1993	- 2		•	2	*		3		3 •	
	•		-	•	÷.	•		-	-	Ť		12	12	Т	1	h	-	-)*	•	•	•	•	50
	ia.				2.3	1410	1.10	1.0	1	q	1	- 143	- 142	f				1			C	Ĵ	10				-50
			÷			÷.	•			1	-			1	-	7	_										
		2 2			20 23			- 50 - 48		1			20	1	1	22			-	-		÷	2	20 23			
										I		~				_						÷					
		~	~		~			~~				ž.	-	_		ž						<u> </u>		~			-40
* 1					39			•	8	B	œ۰	×,	С	o.	-	ŵ					×.						
а (÷	ų,	i.	8	4	•		12	5	ŝ	5.1	N	.5	2	б б	뭡	3 4 0	-2	÷		÷.		4		ŝ.	8	
8.3	•		÷		32		•	•	F	F.	₹.	₽.	'n	ţ	4	호	2000	- 2		•		\sim	e.			3 •	
11	•	9	i.	÷.	3	•	- 23			¢	ᆎ			<	ᆸ	in	1946	- 2	•	2	2		12	12	12	÷.	
_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-		-5	ō	e)	¥	-8	n	لان	Ŧ		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	- 30
а с	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1.1	•			4		•	•		- °		•	-	•	•	•	•		
	÷		38 		3. • 24			- •2 - 66	1			10	10	3	1	3. 20					- 10	÷	1	30. 22	38	3.* 20	
														Ĵ.								÷	Ĵ.		÷.		
	-	-	~					- 54					-	-								~	-	-	~		20
					•			•						•	•			•									20
11	•	2	i.	3	а.			-	- 2		1	12	12	1	8	3	1.0	- 2	÷	2	-		÷	12	1	а.	
8.3	÷	•	÷	. .		100	•	•2		•	i e	÷	i.	÷			3 .	•		•		×	÷	ie.		÷.	
10		9	•	÷.	з.			- 46	- 2		- 14	12	12	1	1	33	970	-	•		-		9	12	12	×.	
	_		-						-	_	_	_		-				-	_	_		-	_		_		-10
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•					•	•	•	· ·		•		•	•				
83					3. * 36	1000			1	-		10	10		1	3.0 20				- 14	- 10		10	34. 23	3. 34	3.* 10	
														į								÷			÷		
-	-	-	~							-		-	-	~						-	-	~	-	-	-		-0
			•				•	-12										•	•		•						
11	•	6	÷	3	3				-	-		4		ŝ.	8	2			÷		•		÷	12	ŝ.	8	
	•	e.	÷				•	•2		•			i.	i.	: •			•		•			e.				
а с	9	9	2	3	34	•	- 23			2	-	12	4	1	3	32		-	-	2	2		0	9	12	82	
									-									-									10
а :	•		4	•	•	•	•		[°	•		- 14	14	1	•	•		[*		•		•		1		< .	
			3	3 * 30	3. * 30	20 0 3		- •12 - 66			1.1	10	10	3	3 8 30	3.0 20					- 10	*	30. 201	30 201	3. 3.	30. 20	
		÷								;				Č.	-				1	Ĵ	÷	÷	Č.				
· · ·			•			~		· •	<u> </u>		•						·**	<u> </u>				•			~	·	20
																		1									-20
												 	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				STM 7+50.66 STM 7+50.66 STM 7+50.66 STM 7+50.66 STM 7+50.67 STM 7	2 1				• •					

	Sonoma County Water Agenc	у						
Russian River - Cotati Intertie Pipeline at Mark West Creek Crossing								
PLAN AND PROFILE								
FILE NAME: 0002.dwg	DRAWING C2	SHEET 4 OF 6						



NOTES:

- 1. DRILL AND TAP 4 HOLES FOR 1/4" NATIONAL PIPE THREAD. AIR AND SOAP TEST JOINT TO 40 PSI. PLUG WELD HOLES AFTER SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF TEST. TAP HOLE MAY BE ON THE INSIDE OR OUTSIDE OF JOINT. PROVIDE SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF TAP HOLES TO TEST ALL PARTS OF BUTT STRAP JOINT.
- 2. PROVIDE ONE-TO-ONE SLOPE CLEARANCE TO FACILITATE FIELD WELDING OF EXTERNAL LAP WELD.
- 3. HOLD BACK FACTORY-APPLIED COATING 4" FROM ALL FIELD WELDED JOINTS. AFTER WELDING, CLEAN AND APPLY JOINT GROUT AS SHOWN.
- 4. PROVIDE TWO HANDHOLES PER BUTTSTRAP JOINT. SEE DETAIL 4 THIS SHEET.



NOTES:

- 1. PROVIDE HANDHOLES WHERE INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS AND AS NEEDED FOR PIPELINE INSTALLATION.
- 2. HOLD BACK FACTORY-APPLIED COATING 4" FROM ALL FIELD-WELDED JOINTS. AFTER WELDING, CLEAN AND APPLY JOINT GROUT AS SHOWN.
- 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL FILL HANDHOLE WITH CEMENT MORTAR PATCH MATERIAL THAT IS COMPATIBLE TO CEMENT MORTAR LINING AS RECOMMENDED BY THE PIPE MANUFACTURER.

4

-03

60% SUBMITTAL

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



WELDED WIRE MORTAR COATING JOINT GROUT SEE NOTES 2 STEEL CYLINDER SPIRALLY WOUND WIRE REINFORCING EMBEDDED IN MIDDLE GROUT BAND PLACED IN FIELD NOM. THIRD OF COATING ×. 02035 • • • j. . 140 . . 4 JOINT GROUT FIELD APPLIED MORTAR LINING 6°

•0 0 4 NOTES: 1. 2. 3.

FILLER BAR

NOTES:

- AT PIPE JOINTS PROVIDE SHOP HOLD BACK OF LINING, COATING OR BOTH LINING AND COATING AS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE FIELD FILLET WELDS AND 1. PLACEMENT OF FILLER BAR.
- SIZE TIED JOINT FIELD FILLET WELDS TO ATTAIN DESIGN THRUST RESTRAINT PER SPECIFICATIONS. 2.
- 3. LAP WELDED JOINTS SHALL BE WELDED BOTH INSIDE AND OUTSIDE.





NOTES:

NO SCALE

1

NO

- PROVIDE COUPLING WHERE NOTED ON DWGS OR WHERE RESTRAINED JOINTS ARE REQUIRED ON STEEL PIPE.
- 2. DESIGN HARNESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AWWA M-11 MANUAL STEEL PIPE.

NOTE: THIS DETAIL WILL BE REVISED BASED ON EXISTING PIPELINE AS-BUILT INFORMATION PRIOR TO 90% SUBMITTAL

CONNECTION TO EXST WSP

PIPE STUD NO. DIA DIA BOLTS

CONTRACT NUMBER

1/4" (TYP.)

FRONT PLATE

(CONTINUOUS RING AROUND

			SONOMA	RCALE : AS NOTED	DATE: 4/29/2015	
			WATER	DRAWN: R.SALCI	EDO	
				REVIEWED :		-
4-29-2015	60% DESIGN DRAWINGS		·			
DATE	REVISION	BY	AGENCY			= ,

5

C2 C3





NOTES:

1. TRENCH WALLS SHALL BE VERTICAL. SLOPING OF TRENCH WALLS IS NOT PERMITTED.







60% SUBMITTAL
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

				SONOMA	AS NOTED	4/29/2015
					DRAWN:	
				WATER	REVIEWED	
					neveneb.	
1	04-29-2015	60% DESIGN DRAWINGS				
NO	DATE	REVISION	BY	AGENCY		

NOTE:

TRENCH DETAIL TO BE FINALIZED AFTER COMPLETION OF ADDITIONAL GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION, PRIOR TO 90% SUBMITTAL

Sonoma County Water Agency
Russian River - Cotati Intertie Pipeline at Mark West Creek Crossing
CIVIL DETAILS

FILE NAME: C4.dwg CONTRACT NUMBER:	DRAWING NUMBER: C4	SHEET 6 OF 6
---------------------------------------	-----------------------	--------------

Appendix B

Riparian Habitat Revegetation Plan

Russian River-Cotati Intertie Pipeline Seismic Hazard Mitigation at the Mark West Creek Crossing Project

Onsite Mitigation and Riparian Habitat Restoration Plan Updated March 2016

I. Executive Summary

The Russian River-Cotati Intertie Pipeline Seismic Hazard Mitigation at the Mark West Creek Crossing Project (pipeline project) will impact 0.39 acres of mixed riparian forest on the north and south banks of Mark West Creek. The mitigation plan detailed herein will restore and enhance this affected area, plus an additional 0.04 acres of nearby riparian habitat along an adjacent section of the Russian River (see Figure 1, attached). The revegetation effort will:

- Be implemented immediately following completion of the pipeline project
- Provide mitigation at a 1.1:1 ratio (area restored: area disturbed) by planting a total of 0.43 acres of riparian habitat (18,780 square feet) with native plant species.
- Install approximately 500 native riparian tree, shrub and understory container plants and apply an erosion control native seed mix.

II. Revegetation Plan Objectives

This plan provides mitigation for impacts incurred to riparian habitat during implementation of the Mark West Creek Crossing Pipeline Project at a 1.1:1 ratio (area restored: area disturbed). Replanting trees within the disturbed area will help to offset the pipeline project's removal of mature canopy specimens. The fast-growing riparian species as well as the sub-canopy shrub and understory grasses and herbaceous perennials installed will help replace the lost carbon sequestration and habitat complexity/function provided by removed vegetation. Finally, the additional 0.04 acres of riparian habitat (on an adjacent section of the Russian River) to be enhanced with supplemental native plantings will provide a "temporal buffer" while the replacement species within the immediate project area establish and mature. Currently, the area identified for supplemental plantings largely lacks mature canopy and sub-canopy species, and is dominated by a mix of ruderal perennial grasses and forbs. This area will benefit from the addition of native tree, shrub and grass species that improve vegetative diversity and structural complexity.

III. Planting Plan and Implementation Strategy

Native trees with a diameter-at-breast-height (DBH) greater than/equal to four inches will be replaced at a 2:1 ratio (trees planted: trees removed). Preconstruction surveys conducted by Water Agency and project consultant staff determined that approximately 37 native trees require removal ahead of project implementation, the species and quantities of which are summarized below in Table 1.1. Replacements will include the tree species extracted as well as a mix of appropriate sub-canopy species (large woody shrubs) to help ensure structural complexity and diversity within the restored habitat area. Canopy and sub-canopy species will be installed throughout the revegetation areas (as shown in Figure 1, attached), on 10-30 foot centers.

Tree		Numbe	r to be Removed	d*
Scientific Name	Common Name	North Bank	South Bank	Total
Fraxinus latifolia	Oregon ash	10	9	19
Quercus lobata	Valley Oak	3	8	11
Salix ssp.	Willow	3	3	6
Umbellularia californica	California bay laurel	0	1	1
Tota	1	16	21	37

 Table 1.1 Native Trees with a DBH greater than/equal to four inches requiring removal for the Mark

 West Creek Crossing Pipeline Project.

*As determined during pre-construction surveys. Water Agency staff will be present during project implementation to confirm the exact number of trees removed and quantities replanted will be adjusted accordingly to ensure a 2:1 replacement ratio (trees planted: trees removed).

Understory (i.e. small shrub, herbaceous perennial and graminoid) species have been selected based on suitability for the project site and reflect those growing within the surrounding riparian habitat. Understory container plantings will be placed strategically in groups on 1-5 foot centers to mimic natural distribution patterns over approximately 20 percent of the total area available for planting. Placement for all plant types will be based on specie wetland/upland affinity and specific site conditions. Plant species and quantities to be installed are detailed below in Table 1.2. In addition to container plantings, all areas of exposed/disturbed soil will be hydroseeded with the native erosion control seed mix indicated in Table 1.3 (below).

	• • •	-		
Scientific Name	Common Name	Size	Quantity to be installed	
Canopy and Sub-Canopy Species				
Baccharis pilularis	Coyote brush	1 gal	5	
Calycanthus occidentalis	Western spicebush	1 gal	5	
Fraxinus latifolia	Oregon ash	5 gal	20	
Physocarpus capitatus	Pacific ninebark	1 gal	10	
Quercus lobata	Valley Oak	5 gal	10	
Salix sp.	Native willow sp.	cuttings	10	
Sambucus mexicana	Blue elderberry	1 gal	10	
Umbellularia californica	California bay laurel	5 gal	5	
Subt	75			
	Understory Species			
Artemisia douglasiana	Mugwort	1 gal	50	
Baccharis douglasii	marsh baccharis	1 gal	25	
Carex barbarae	Santa Barbara sedge	1 gal	110	
Juncus patens	common rush	1 gal	50	
Leymus triticoides	creeping wild rye	1 gal	110	
Rosa californica	California wild rose	1 gal	30	
Rubus ursinus	California blackberry	1 gal	25	

 Table 1.2 Mark West Creek Crossing Pipeline Project mitigation planting palette.

Scientific Name	Common Name	Size	Quantity to be installed
Symphoricarpos albus laevigatus	Snowberry	1 gal	25
Subtotal		425	
TOTAL PLANTS			500

Table 1.5 Wark west creek crossing ripeline Project mitigation native hydroseed m	Table 1	1.3 Mark Wes	st Creek Crossing	Pipeline Pro	oject mitigation	native hydroseed r	nix.
---	---------	--------------	-------------------	--------------	------------------	--------------------	------

Scientific Name Common Name		Application Rate*
Le Ballister's "Hold Fast Native Blend"		
Bromus carinatus	California Bromegrass	
Elymus glaucus	Blue wild rye	
Vulpia microstachys	Three Weeks Fescue	30lbs/acre
Eschscholzia californica	California poppy	
Lupinus succulentus	Arroyo blue lupine	
Leymus triticoides	Creeping wild ryre	5lbs/acre

*To be applied to all areas of exposed/disturbed soil within the pipeline project area following project completion

IV. Mitigation Monitoring

Annual plant survival monitoring will take place in the fall (September-November) for 5 years following installation to assess revegetation success. Success criteria for canopy and sub-canopy species (trees and shrubs) will be 75 percent survival. Due to the rhizomatous growth habit of some of the native herbaceous perennial and graminoid understory species to be installed, a qualitative success criteria will be applied to capture the degree of survival, spread, and naturalization of these plant types. Replanting and maintenance/watering will occur as needed to achieve the success criteria goals. Annual results including photo-points, survival rates, and overall site characterization descriptions will be reported to appropriate regulatory agencies.



Appendix C

Mitigation Monitoring Plan (MMP)

Appendix C Mitigation Monitoring Plan

In compliance with Section 21081.6 of the California Environmental Quality Act, the Sonoma County Water Agency (Water Agency) has prepared this Mitigation Monitoring Plan (MMP) for the Russian River-Cotati Intertie Pipeline Seismic Hazard Mitigation at the Russian River Crossing Project. All mitigation measures proposed in the Russian River-Cotati Intertie Pipeline Seismic Hazard Mitigation at the Russian River Crossing Project Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/Mitigated Negative Declaration) have been included in the MMP. Each mitigation measure and the method of monitoring or verifying the completion of the measure are described in the MMP.

Various Water Agency departments/staff members responsible for monitoring or verification of project mitigation measures and their general areas of responsibility are as follows:

The **Project Engineer** is responsible for project design.

The **Technical Writing Section** is responsible for preparation of project specifications.

The **Construction Inspection Section** is responsible for enforcement of the provisions of the project specifications during the construction period.

The **Environmental Resources Section** is responsible for preparation of the MMP, for informing the various departments of their mitigation responsibilities, for distribution of the appropriate reporting forms, for maintenance of the Database that tracks the status of mitigation measures, and for logging and evaluating the effectiveness of the mitigation measures. The Environmental Resources Section is also responsible for implementing and monitoring of some of the mitigation measures.

The **Right-of-Way Section** is responsible for coordinating with private property owners for acquisition of property or temporary and/or permanent easements; and for coordinating any issues concerning property rights with property owners.

The **Operations and Maintenance Division** is responsible for implementation of mitigation measures during the operation and maintenance phase of the project.

The **Water Agency's Board of Directors** approves and adopts the MMP and approves the project specifications.

The following is a description of the project's mitigation measures and the required monitoring/verification. Mitigation measure numbers correspond to the numbers presented in the Initial Study Environmental Checklist.

BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Mitigation Measure BIO-1: In-Water Work Period

Work below Ordinary High Water of the Russian River shall be limited to the period from June 15 to September 15 to reduce adverse effects on special-status fish migration. Work conducted within the riparian zone shall be limited to the period from April 15 to October 15.

X Project Engineer	X Technical Writing
X Construction Inspection	Right-of-Way
X Environmental Resources	Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective when the project specifications have included the above provisions and when construction is completed in compliance with the project specifications. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Mitigation Measure BIO-2a: Environmental Awareness Training

Environmental awareness training shall be implemented to inform all construction personnel of their responsibilities regarding sensitive biological resources that may be present within the project area. The training shall comply with the following measures:

- The training shall be developed by a qualified biologist familiar with the sensitive biological resources that are known or have the potential to occur in the area.
- The training shall be completed by all construction personnel before any work occurs at the project sites, including construction equipment and vehicle mobilization. If new personnel are added to the proposed project, the Contractor shall ensure that new personnel receive training before they start working. The Contractor shall document staff training efforts.
- The training shall provide educational information on the special-status species that are known or have potential to occur in the area, how to identify the species, as well as other sensitive biological resources (e.g., sensitive natural communities, federal and state jurisdictional waters). The training shall also review the required mitigation measures to avoid impacts on the sensitive resources, and penalties for noncompliance with biological mitigation requirements.

Project Engineer	X Technical Writing
X Construction Inspection	Right-of-Way
X Environmental Resources	Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective when the project specifications have included the above provisions and when construction is completed in compliance with the project specifications. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Mitigation Measure BIO-2b: Construct and Maintain Wildlife Exclusion Fencing

Prior to the initiation of ground-disturbing activities, exclusion fencing shall be erected along the perimeter of excavation areas. Fencing shall be constructed of woven geotextile fabric and be a minimum of two feet high and buried in the soil a minimum of six inches deep. Exclusion fencing shall be inspected by a designated monitor on a daily basis and maintained throughout the duration of the construction.

Х	Project Engineer	Technical Writing
Х	Construction Inspection	Right-of-Way
Х	Environmental Resources	Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective when exclusion fencing has been installed and target species have been successfully removed from the project site. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Mitigation Measure BIO-3: Avoid or Minimize Impacts on Western Pond Turtle

Preconstruction surveys for western pond turtle shall be conducted by a qualified biologist 48 hours before the start of construction activities where suitable habitat exists (i.e., riparian areas, freshwater emergent wetlands, and adjacent undisturbed uplands). Daily preconstruction surveys of all open trenches shall also be conducted by a trained worker each morning, prior to the start of construction activities within open trenches. A qualified biologist will be on call during the construction and if WPT are found, work in the trenches shall not commence until authorized by the qualified biologist. If western pond turtles or their nests are observed during preconstruction or daily surveys, the following measures shall be implemented.

- Western pond turtles found within the construction area shall be allowed to leave on their own volition or shall be relocated by the qualified biologist out of harm's way to suitable habitat immediately upstream or downstream of the project site. If turtles are moved, the qualified biologist shall possess a valid permit from CDFW authorizing the handling of turtles.
- Although unlikely, if an active WPT nest is identified in the work area during preconstruction surveys, the nest will be avoided to the extent feasible. Avoidance shall consist of a buffer area that protects the nest and direct access to the river for hatchlings dispersing from the nest. The extent of the buffer area will be determined in coordination with CDFW. Buffers will be clearly marked with temporary fencing. Construction will not be allowed to commence in the exclusion area until hatchlings have emerged from the nest or the nest is deemed inactive by a qualified biologist. If nest avoidance is infeasible, eggs will be collected by a qualified biologist. Eggs will be incubated and hatched at a qualified facility, such as Sonoma State University Biology Department or Oakland Zoo. Hatchlings will be released in the project area once construction is complete.

Х	Project Engineer	Technical Writing
---	------------------	-------------------

- _____ Right-of-Way
- XConstruction InspectionRight-of-WayXEnvironmental ResourcesOperations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective when pre-construction surveys have been completed and target species have been successfully removed from the project site. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Mitigation Measure BIO-4: Avoid or Minimize Impacts on Foothill Yellow-legged Frog

Prior to commencing construction, a qualified biologist shall conduct one daytime survey for FYLF and other amphibians. The survey shall be conducted no more than 48 hours preceding the onset of construction. If no FYLF are found within the activity area during the pre-activity survey, the work may proceed.

Daily preconstruction surveys of all open trenches shall also be conducted by a trained worker each morning, prior to the start of construction activities within open trenches. A qualified biologist will be on call during the construction work and if FYLF are found, work in the trenches shall not commence until authorized by the qualified biologist.

If FYLF of any life stage (egg, tadpole, or adult) are found, within the activity area during a preconstruction survey or during project activities, the following measures shall be implemented. FYLF found within the construction area shall be allowed to leave on their own volition or shall be relocated by the qualified biologist out of harm's way to suitable habitat immediately upstream or downstream of the project site. If frogs are moved, the qualified biologist shall possess a valid permit from CDFW authorizing the handling of FYLF.

Х	Project Engineer	Technical Writing
Х	Construction Inspection	Right-of-Way
Х	Environmental Resources	Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective when pre-construction and daily surveys have been completed and target species have been successfully removed from the project site. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Mitigation Measure BIO-5: Pre-construction Nesting Bird Survey and Minimization Measures

The Water Agency shall conduct a pre-construction nesting bird survey within 500 feet of the project footprint. The pre-construction survey shall:

- Be conducted by a qualified biologist no more than one week prior to commencement of construction activities or maintenance that could impact nesting birds. The biologist shall have familiarity with special-status species of the area and experience with conducting nesting bird surveys.
- If no nesting birds are encountered, no further mitigation would be required for at least two weeks, unless additional measures are required by regulatory permit conditions obtained for the proposed project.
- Additional pre-construction surveys, specifically for nesting birds, shall be conducted such that no more than two weeks will have lapsed between the survey and construction or maintenance activities.
- If a nesting bird is encountered, the location shall be documented and avoidance and minimization measures shall be prepared by the qualified Water Agency biologist, or consulting biologist in coordination with the Water Agency, and appropriate resource agencies. A no-work buffer shall be established around active bird nests in coordination with the CDFW. Nests will be monitored weekly during construction activities.

	Х	Project Engineer	 Technical Writing
	Х	Construction Inspection	Right-of-Way
_	Х	Environmental Resources	 Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective when pre-construction surveys have been completed and protection measures have been implemented to protect nests, and/or when disturbance or destruction of nests have been avoided. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Mitigation Measure BIO-6a: Avoid Direct Mortality of Bats Roosting in Trees

Not more than six months prior to the onset of work activities, a qualified bat biologist will survey the project site to identify suitable roost sites. If evidence is observed, or if potential roost sites are present in areas where evidence of bat use might not be detectable (such as a tree cavity), an evening survey and/or nocturnal acoustic survey shall be used to determine if the bat colony is active and to identify the specific location of the bat colony.

To avoid impacts to bats, removal of trees that may serve as potential roost sites shall occur between March 1 and April 15 or between August 31 and October 15, unless a focused survey conducted by a qualified bat biologist determines that no bats are present in tree(s) to be removed. A two-stage tree removal process over two consecutive days shall be implemented for trees that may support colonial roosts (i.e., trees with cavities, crevices, or exfoliating bark) unless a focused survey conducted by a qualified bat biologist determines that no bats are present in tree(s) to be removed. The two-stage tree removal process shall be as follows:

Step 1: Small branches and small limbs containing no cavity, crevice, or exfoliating bark shall be removed with chainsaws under field supervision by a qualified bat biologist.

Step 2: The remainder of the tree shall be removed within the following 48 hours. The disturbance caused by chainsaw noise and vibration, coupled with the physical alteration, would cause colonial bat species to abandon the roost tree after nightly emergence for foraging. Removing the tree the next day would prevent re-habituation and re-occupation of the altered tree.

Х	Project Engineer	Technical Writing	
---	------------------	-------------------	--

XConstruction InspectionRight-of-WayXEnvironmental ResourcesOperations and Maintenance X Environmental Resources

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective when pre-construction surveys have been completed and protection measures have been implemented to protect roost sites, and/or when disturbance or destruction of roost sites have been avoided. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Mitigation Measure BIO-6b: Replace Special-Status Bat Roost Sites

If bat roosts cannot be avoided or it is determined that construction activities or site development may cause roost abandonment, such activities may not commence until roost sites have been replaced. To replace tree roosts, elevated bat houses shall be installed outside of, but near, the construction area. Placement and height will be determined by a qualified bat biologist in consultation with CDFW.

Х	Project Engineer	Technical Writing
Х	Construction Inspection	Right-of-Way
Х	Environmental Resources	Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective when pre-construction surveys have been completed and protection measures have been implemented to replace roost sites, and/or when disturbance or destruction of roost sites have been avoided. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Mitigation Measure BIO-7: Implement a Riparian Habitat Revegetation Plan

Sites where construction activities result in exposed soil will be stabilized to prevent erosion. For each of these sites, the Water Agency will implement a revegetation plan to mitigate the loss of riparian vegetation.

- Plant species selected for revegetation is based upon surveys of riparian habitat along the Russian River upstream and downstream of the project site.
- Planting requirements in the revegetation plan is based upon species composition and density recommendations associated with the overall habitat enhancement design for the project.
- If soil moisture is deficient, new vegetation will be supplied with supplemental water until vegetation is firmly established.
- Revegetation shall be monitored for five years in order to assess survival until 75 percent survival/cover is achieved.
- If invasive plant species colonize the area, action shall be taken to control their spread; options include hand and mechanical removal and replanting with native species.
- The Water Agency will provide annual reports that include photo-points, survival rates, and site summaries that will be submitted to appropriate regulatory agencies.

XProject EngineerXConstruction InspectionXEnvironmental Resources

Technical Writing X Right-of-Way

Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective when the revegetation plan has been designed and implemented. Annual monitoring will terminate 5 years after installation of plants.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Mitigation Measure CUL-1: Stop Work if Historical Resources are Discovered During Project Activities, Evaluate all Identified Historical Resources for Eligibility for Inclusion in the California Register of Historical Resources, and Implement Appropriate Mitigation Measures for Eligible Resources

Prior to initiation of ground-disturbing activities, the Water Agency shall arrange for construction crews to receive training about the kinds of cultural materials that could be present at the project site and the protocols to be followed should any such materials be uncovered during construction. Training shall be conducted by an archaeologist who meets the U.S. Secretary of Interior's professional standards (48 CFR Parts 44738-44739 and Appendix A to 36 CFR 61)⁴.. Training may be required during different phases of construction to educate new construction personnel.

If buried historic remains are encountered, all soil-disturbing work in that area and within 100 feet of the find shall be halted until a qualified archaeologist completes a significance evaluation of the find(s) pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (36CFR60.4). If any of the resources meets the eligibility criteria identified in Public Resources Code § 5024.1 or CEQA § 21083.2(g), mitigation measures shall be developed and implemented in accordance with CEQA Guidelines § 15126.4(b) before construction resumes.

Historic remains expected in the general area commonly include items of ceramic, glass, and metal. Features that might be present include structure remains (e.g., cabins or their foundations) and pits containing historic artifacts.

For resources eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources that would be rendered ineligible by the effects of project construction, additional mitigation measures shall be implemented. Mitigation measures for historic remains may include (but are not limited to): avoidance; incorporation of sites within parks, greenspace, or other open space; capping the site; deeding the site into a permanent conservation easement; or data recovery excavation. Mitigation measures for historic remains shall be developed in consultation with responsible agencies and, as appropriate, interested parties such as Native American tribes. Implementation of the approved mitigation would be required before resuming any construction activities with potential to affect identified eligible resources at the site.

- X Project Engineer X Technical Writing
- X Construction Inspection
- _____ Right-of-Way
- Environmental Resources Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective if the contractor identifies a potential historical resource site and construction is halted at the site until an evaluation of the site's significance can be made. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

⁴ 48 CFR Parts 44738-44739 and Appendix A to 36 CFR 61. Available: http://www.nps.gov/history/locallaw/arch_stnds_9.htm

Mitigation Measure CUL-2: Stop Work if Cultural Resources are Discovered During Project Activities, Evaluate all Identified Cultural Resources for Eligibility for Inclusion in the California Register of Historical Resources, and Implement Appropriate Mitigation Measures for Eligible Resources.

Prior to initiation of ground-disturbing activities, the Water Agency shall arrange for construction crews to receive training about the kinds of archaeological materials that could be present at the project site and the protocols to be followed should any such materials be uncovered during construction. Training shall be conducted by an archaeologist who meets the U.S. Secretary of Interior's professional standards (48 CFR Parts 44738-44739 and Appendix A to 36 CFR 61). Training may be required during different phases of construction to educate new construction personnel.

If any cultural resources are encountered, all soil-disturbing work in that area and within 100 feet of the find shall be halted until a qualified archaeologist who meets the U.S. Secretary of Interior's professional standards (48 CFR Parts 44738-44739 and Appendix A to 36 CFR 61) completes a significance evaluation of the find(s) pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (36CFR60.4). If any of the resources meets the eligibility criteria identified in Public Resources Code § 5024.1 or CEQA § 21083.2(g), mitigation measures shall be developed and implemented in accordance with CEQA Guidelines § 15126.4(b) before construction resumes.

Prehistoric archaeological site indicators expected within the general area include: chipped chert and obsidian tools and tool manufacture waste flakes; grinding and hammering implements resembling fist-sized river-tumbled stones; and locally darkened soil that generally contains abundant archaeological specimens.

For resources or a tribal cultural resource (TCR) eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources that would be rendered ineligible by the effects of project construction, additional mitigation measures shall be implemented. Mitigation measures for archaeological resources may include (but are not limited to): avoidance; incorporation of sites within parks, greenspace, or other open space; capping the site; deeding the site into a permanent conservation easement; or data recovery excavation. Mitigation measures for archaeological resources shall be developed in consultation with responsible agencies and, as appropriate, interested parties such as Native American tribes. Native American consultation is required if an archaeological site is determined to be a TCR. Implementation of the approved mitigation would be required before resuming any construction activities with potential to affect identified eligible resources at the site.

Х	Project Engineer
Λ	I I OJECT LIIGIIICEI

- X Construction Inspection Environmental Resources
- X Technical Writing Right-of-Way

Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective if the contractor identifies a potential cultural resource site and construction is halted at the site until an evaluation of the site's significance can be made. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Mitigation Measure CUL-3: Stop Work if Paleontological Resources are Discovered During Project Activities, Evaluate all Identified Resources for Eligibility for Inclusion in the California Register of Historical Resources, and Implement Appropriate Mitigation Measures for Eligible Resources.

Prior to initiation of ground-disturbing activities, the Water Agency shall arrange for construction crews to receive training about the kinds of paleontological materials that could be present at the project site and the protocols to be followed should any such materials be uncovered during construction. Training shall be conducted by a professional paleontologist meeting the professional standards established by the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (Society of Vertebrate Paleontology 2010). Training may be required during different phases of construction to educate new construction personnel.

Paleontological resources include fossil remains, as well as fossil localities and rock or soil formations that have produced fossil material. Fossils are the remains or traces of prehistoric animals and plants. Fossils are important scientific and educational resources because of their use in (1) documenting the presence and evolutionary history of particular groups of now-extinct organisms; (2) reconstructing the environments in which these organisms lived; and (3) determining the relative ages of the strata in which they occur, as well as the relative ages of the geologic events that resulted in the deposition of the sediments that formed these strata and in their subsequent deformation.

If any items of paleontological interest are encountered, all soil-disturbing work in that area and within 100 feet of the find shall be halted until a qualified paleontologist meeting the professional standards established by the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (Society of Vertebrate Paleontology 2010) evaluates the site.

If it is determined by the qualified paleontologist that the proposed project could damage a unique paleontological resource, as defined in the CEQA Guidelines, mitigation shall be implemented in accordance with PRC§ 21083.2 and § 15126.4 of the CEQA Guidelines. If avoidance is not feasible, the paleontologist shall develop and implement a treatment plan consistent with the methods recommended by the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (SVP 2010). Work shall not be resumed until recommendations received from the qualified paleontologist are implemented.

Х	Project Engineer	X Technical Writing
Х	Construction Inspection	Right-of-Way
	Environmental Resources	Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective if the contractor identifies a potential paleontological resource site and construction is halted at the site until an evaluation of the site's significance can be made. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Mitigation Measure CUL-4: Stop Work if Human Remains are Discovered During Project Activities and Implement Applicable Provisions of the California Health and Safety Code.

If human remains are discovered during the proposed project's construction activities, the requirements of California Health and Human Safety Code § 7050.5 shall be followed. Potentially damaging excavation shall halt in the project site, with a minimum radius of 100 feet, and the County Coroner shall be notified. The Coroner is required to examine all discoveries of human remains within 48 hours of receiving notice of a discovery on private or state lands (California Health and Safety Code § 7050.5[b]). If the Coroner determines that the remains are those of a Native American, he or she must contact NAHC by phone within 24 hours of making that determination (California Health and Safety Code § 7050[c]). Pursuant to the provisions of Public Resources Code § 5097.98, the NAHC shall identify a Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The MLD designated by the NAHC shall have at least 48 hours to inspect the site and propose treatment and disposition of the remains and any associated grave goods. The Water Agency shall work with the MLD to ensure that the remains are removed to a protected location and treated with dignity and respect.

Х	Project Engineer	X Technical Writing
Х	Construction Inspection	Right-of-Way
	Environmental Resources	Operations and Maintenance

Monitoring: The mitigation measure will be considered effective if the contractor identifies human remains and construction is halted at the site until an evaluation of the site's significance can be made. Monitoring will terminate upon completion of construction.

Appendix D

Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Estimates

Road Construction Emissions Model, Version 7.1.5.1

Emission Estimates for ->	SCWA-Mark West Pi	ре		Total	Exhaust	Fugitive Dust	Total	Exhaust	Fugitive Dust	
Project Phases (<mark>English Units</mark>)	ROG (lbs/day)	CO (lbs/day)	NOx (lbs/day)	PM10 (Ibs/day)	PM10 (Ibs/day)	PM10 (lbs/day)	PM2.5 (Ibs/day)	PM2.5 (Ibs/day)	PM2.5 (lbs/day)	CO2 (lbs/day)
Grubbing/Land Clearing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grading/Excavation	1.7	10.4	16.2	10.7	0.7	10.0	2.7	0.7	2.1	2,101.0
Drainage/Utilities/Sub-Grade	7.9	37.4	69.3	13.8	3.8	10.0	5.6	3.5	2.1	7,533.2
Paving	2.2	13.4	18.0	1.2	1.2	-	1.1	1.1	-	2,469.4
Maximum (pounds/day)	7.9	37.4	69.3	13.8	3.8	10.0	5.6	3.5	2.1	7,533.2
Total (tons/construction project)	1.6	7.6	13.7	2.7	0.8	2.0	1.1	0.7	0.4	1,526.9
Notes: Project Start Year ->	2016									
Project Length (months) ->	24									
Total Project Area (acres) ->	2									
Maximum Area Disturbed/Day (acres) ->	1									
Total Soil Imported/Exported (yd ³ /day)->	4									
	0	5				-				
	UTTI OF EXTIDUST ATTU	lugitive dust erm	SSIONS SHOWIT IN G			Shown in Column 5	ale life suill of exila	ust and rugilive dust		
Emission Estimates for ->	SCWA-Mark West Pi	pe		Total	Exhaust	Fugitive Dust	Total	Exhaust	Fugitive Dust	
Project Phases (Metric Units)	ROG (kgs/day)	CO (kgs/day)	NOx (kgs/day)	PM10 (kgs/day)	PM10 (kgs/day)	PM10 (kgs/day)	PM2.5 (kgs/day)	PM2.5 (kgs/day)	PM2.5 (kgs/day)	CO2 (kgs/day)
Grubbing/Land Clearing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grading/Excavation	0.8	4.7	7.4	4.9	0.3	4.5	1.2	0.3	0.9	955.0
Drainage/Utilities/Sub-Grade	3.6	17.0	31.5	6.3	1.7	4.5	2.5	1.6	0.9	3,424.2
Paving	1.0	6.1	8.2	0.5	0.5	-	0.5	0.5	-	1,122.5
Maximum (kilograms/day)	3.6	17.0	31.5	6.3	1.7	4.5	2.5	1.6	0.9	3,424.2
Total (megagrams/construction project)	1.4	6.9	12.4	2.5	0.7	1.8	1.0	0.6	0.4	1,385.0
Notes: Project Start Year ->	2016									
Project Length (months) ->	24									I
Total Project Area (hectares) ->	1									I
Maximum Area Disturbed/Day (hectares) ->	0									
Total Soil Imported/Exported (meters ³ /day)->	3									
PM10 and PM2.5 estimates assume 50% control of	fugitive dust from	watering and ass	ociated dust contr	ol measures if a mir	nimum number of w	ater trucks are spec	ified.			
Total PM10 emissions shown in column F are the su	um of exhaust and	fugitive dust emi	ssions shown in c	olumns H and I. Tot	al PM2.5 emissions	shown in Column J	are the sume of exha	aust and fugitive dust	t emissions shown in	columns K and
1		-						-		

Road Construction Emissions Model		Version 7.1.5.1	
Data Entry Worksheet		SACRAM	INTO METROPOLITAN
Note: Required data input sections have a yellow background.			
Optional data input sections have a blue background. Only area	as with a		
yellow or blue background can be modified. Program defaults ha	ave a white background.	ALD	QUALITY
The user is required to enter information in cells C10 through C2	25.	MANAG	EMENT DISTRICT
Input Type			
Project Name	SCWA-Mark West Pipe		
Construction Start Year	2016	Enter a Year between 2009 and 2025 (inclusive)	
Project Type		1 New Road Construction	
	1	2 Road Widening	To begin a new project, click this button to clear
		3 Bridge/Overpass Construction	data previously entered. This button will only
Project Construction Time	24.00	months	loading this spreadsheet.
Predominant Soil/Site Type: Enter 1, 2, or 3		1. Sand Gravel	······································
	1	2. Weathered Rock-Earth	
		3. Blasted Rock	
Project Length	0.15	miles	
Total Project Area	2.40	acres	
Maximum Area Disturbed/Day	1.00	acres	
Water Trucks Used?	1	1. Yes 2. No	
Soil Imported	0.00	yd³/day	
Soil Exported	3.75	yd³/day	
Average Truck Capacity	20	yd ³ (assume 20 if unknown)	

The remaining sections of this sheet contain areas that can be modified by the user, although those modifications are optional.

Note: The program's estimates of construction period phase length can be overridden in cells C34 through C37.

		Program	7				
	User Override of	Calculated					
Construction Periods	Construction Months	Months	2005	%	2006	%	2007
Grubbing/Land Clearing	0.00	2.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Brading/Excavation	4.00	9.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Prainage/Utilities/Sub-Grade	16.00	8.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
aving	4.00	3.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fotals	24.00	24.00	T				

NOTE: soil hauling emissions are included in the Grading/Excavation Construction Period Phase, therefore the Construction Period for Grading/Excavation cannot be zero if hauling is part of the project.

Hauling emission default values can be overridden in cells C45 through C46.

Soil Hauling Emissions	User Override of						
User Input	Soil Hauling Defaults	Default Values					
Miles/round trip	3.60	30					
Round trips/day	0.20	0					
Vehicle miles traveled/day (calculated)			0.72				
Hauling Emissions	ROG	NOx	CO	PM10	PM2.5	CO2	
Emission rate (grams/mile)	0.16	8.25	0.70	0.17	0.10	1679.86	
Emission rate (grams/trip)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Pounds per day	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.66	
Tons per contruction period	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	

Worker commute default values can be overridden in cells C60 through C65.

	User Override of Worker				
Worker Commute Emissions	Commute Default Values	Default Values			
Miles/ one-way trip		20			
One-way trips/day		2			
No. of employees: Grubbing/Land Clearing	10.00	4			
No. of employees: Grading/Excavation	10.00	16			
No. of employees: Drainage/Utilities/Sub-Grade	10.00	14			
No. of employees: Paving	10.00	10			
	ROG	NOx	co	PM10	PM2.5
Emission rate - Grubbing/Land Clearing (grams/mile)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Emission rate - Grading/Excavation (grams/mile)	0.147	0.194	1.744	0.047	0.020
Emission rate - Draining/Utilities/Sub-Grade (gr/mile)	0.140	0.183	1.649	0.047	0.020
Emission rate - Paving (grams/mile)	0.133	0.172	1.555	0.047	0.020
Emission rate - Grubbing/Land Clearing (grams/trip)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Emission rate - Grading/Excavation (grams/trip)	0.505	0.323	4.200	0.004	0.003
Emission rate - Draining/Utilities/Sub-Grade (gr/trip)	0.481	0.305	3.990	0.004	0.003
Emission rate - Paving (grams/trip)	0.457	0.287	3.779	0.004	0.003
Pounds per day - Grubbing/Land Clearing	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Tons per const. Period - Grub/Land Clear	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Pounds per day - Grading/Excavation	0.152	0.185	1.721	0.042	0.018
Tons per const. Period - Grading/Excavation	0.007	0.008	0.076	0.002	0.001
Pounds per day - Drainage/Utilities/Sub-Grade	0.145	0.175	1.629	0.041	0.018
Tons per const. Period - Drain/Util/Sub-Grade	0.025	0.031	0.287	0.007	0.003
Pounds per day - Paving	0.137	0.165	1.537	0.041	0.017
Tons per const. Period - Paving	0.006	0.007	0.068	0.002	0.001
tons per construction period	0.038	0.046	0.430	0.011	0.005

Water truck default values can be overriden in cells C91 through C93 and E91 through E93.

Wator Truck Emissions	User Override of Program Estimate of		User Override of Truck	Default Values			
	Default # Water Trucks	Number of Water Trucks	Miles Traveled/Day	Miles Traveled/Day			
Grubbing/Land Clearing - Exhaust	1.00	1		40			
Grading/Excavation - Exhaust	1.00	1		40			
Drainage/Utilities/Subgrade	1.00	1		40			
	ROG	NOx	со	PM10	PM2.5	CO2	
Emission rate - Grubbing/Land Clearing (grams/mile)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Emission rate - Grading/Excavation (grams/mile)	0.16	8.25	0.70	0.17	0.10	1679.86	
Emission rate - Draining/Utilities/Sub-Grade (gr/mile)	0.15	7.84	0.68	0.16	0.09	1666.21	
Pounds per day - Grubbing/Land Clearing	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Tons per const. Period - Grub/Land Clear	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Pound per day - Grading/Excavation	0.01	0.73	0.06	0.01	0.01	148.00	
Tons per const. Period - Grading/Excavation	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.51	
Pound per day - Drainage/Utilities/Subgrade	0.01	0.69	0.06	0.01	0.01	146.80	
Tons per const. Period - Drainage/Utilities/Subgrade	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.00	25.84	

Fugitive dust default values can be overridden in cells C110 through C112.

Fugitive Dust	User Override of Max	Default	PM10	PM10	PM2.5	PM2.5
i ugitive Dust	Acreage Disturbed/Day	Maximum Acreage/Day	pounds/day	tons/per period	pounds/day	tons/per period
Fugitive Dust - Grubbing/Land Clearing		0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fugitive Dust - Grading/Excavation		1	10.0	1.1	2.1	0.2
Fugitive Dust - Drainage/Utilities/Subgrade		1	10.0	0.9	2.1	0.2

Off-Road Equipment Emissions								
	Default							
Grubbing/Land Clearing	Number of Vehicles		ROG	CO	NOx	PM10	PM2.5	CO2
Override of Default Number of Vehicles	Program-estimate	Туре	pounds/day	pounds/dav	pounds/dav	pounds/dav	pounds/dav	pounds/dav
		Aerial Lifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Air Compressors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Bore/Drill Rigs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Cement and Mortar Mixers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Concrete/Industrial Saws	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Cranes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1	Crawler Tractors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Crushing/Proc. Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1	Excavators	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Forklifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Generator Sets	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Graders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Off-Highway Tractors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Off-Highway Trucks	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Other Construction Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Other General Industrial Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Other Material Handling Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Pavers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Paving Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Plate Compactors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Pressure Washers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Pumps	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Rollers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Rough Terrain Forklifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Rubber Tired Dozers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Rubber Tired Loaders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Scrapers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1	Signal Boards	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Skid Steer Loaders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Surfacing Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Sweepers/Scrubbers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Trenchers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Welders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Grubbing/Land Clearing	pounds per day	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grubbing/Land Clearing	tons per phase	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	Default							
Grading/Excavation	Number of Vehicles		ROG	CO	NOx	PM10	PM2.5	CO2
Override of Default Number of Vehicles	Program-estimate	Туре	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day
		Aerial Lifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Air Compressors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Bore/Drill Rigs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Cement and Mortar Mixers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Concrete/Industrial Saws	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0	Cranes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00	1	Crawler Tractors	0.74	4.47	9.52	0.37	0.34	824.89
		Crushing/Proc. Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00	3	Excavators	0.41	2.79	4.47	0.22	0.20	572.86
		Forklifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Generator Sets	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1	Graders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Off-Highway Tractors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Off-Highway Trucks	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Other Construction Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Other General Industrial Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Other Material Handling Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Pavers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Paving Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Plate Compactors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Pressure Washers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Pumps	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	2	Rollers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Rough Terrain Forklifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Rubber Tired Dozers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1	Rubber Tired Loaders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	2	Scrapers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00	1	Signal Boards	0.36	1.36	1.32	0.10	0.09	157.43
		Skid Steer Loaders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Surfacing Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Sweepers/Scrubbers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	2	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Trenchers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Welders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Grading/Excavation	pounds per day	1.5	8.6	15.3	0.7	0.6	1555.2
	Grading	tons per phase	0.1	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.0	68.4

	Default							
Drainage/Utilities/Subgrade	Number of Vehicles		ROG	CO	NOx	PM10	PM2.5	CO2
Override of Default Number of Vehicles	Program-estimate		pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day
		Aerial Lifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1	Air Compressors	0.66	3.42	4.25	0.35	0.32	507.95
		Bore/Drill Rigs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Cement and Mortar Mixers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Concrete/Industrial Saws	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Cranes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Crawler Tractors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Crushing/Proc. Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00		Excavators	0.40	2.79	4.26	0.21	0.19	572.81
		Forklifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1	Generator Sets	0.49	2.98	3.76	0.26	0.24	487.07
	1	Graders	1.03	3.48	10.01	0.56	0.52	670.13
		Off-Highway Tractors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00		Off-Highway Trucks	0.95	4.29	10.39	0.39	0.36	1417.96
1.00		Other Construction Equipment	0.67	3.60	7.11	0.37	0.34	654.06
		Other General Industrial Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00		Other Material Handling Equipment	0.56	3.17	5.64	0.30	0.28	608.60
		Pavers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Paving Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1	Plate Compactors	0.04	0.21	0.25	0.01	0.01	34.45
		Pressure Washers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1	Pumps	0.42	2.46	3.10	0.22	0.21	396.14
		Rollers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1	Rough Terrain Forklifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00		Rubber Tired Dozers	1.26	4.42	13.38	0.62	0.57	944.17
		Rubber Tired Loaders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	2	Scrapers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1	Signal Boards	0.34	1.35	1.30	0.09	0.08	157.43
		Skid Steer Loaders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Surfacing Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Sweepers/Scrubbers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00	2	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0.35	1.57	3.16	0.24	0.22	335.72
		Trenchers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00		Welders	0.55	1.95	1.78	0.14	0.13	204.74
	Drainage	pounds per day	7.7	35.7	68.4	3.8	3.5	6991.2
	Drainage	tons per phase	1.4	6.3	12.0	0.7	0.6	1230.5

		Default							
Paving		Number of Vehicles		ROG	CO	NOx	PM10	PM2.5	CO2
_	Override of Default Number of Vehicles	Program-estimate	Туре	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day	pounds/day
			Aerial Lifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Air Compressors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Bore/Drill Rigs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.00		Cement and Mortar Mixers	0.07	0.35	0.42	0.02	0.02	57.88
			Concrete/Industrial Saws	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Cranes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Crawler Tractors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Crushing/Proc. Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Excavators	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Forklifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Generator Sets	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Graders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Off-Highway Tractors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Off-Highway Trucks	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Other Construction Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Other General Industrial Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Other Material Handling Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		1	Pavers	0.37	2.84	4.01	0.20	0.18	481.97
		1	Paving Equipment	0.29	2.69	3.18	0.16	0.15	426.45
			Plate Compactors	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Pressure Washers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Pumps	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.00	3	Rollers	0.32	1.51	2.88	0.21	0.19	279.45
			Rough Terrain Forklifts	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Rubber Tired Dozers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Rubber Tired Loaders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Scrapers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		1	Signal Boards	0.32	1.33	1.27	0.08	0.08	157.43
			Skid Steer Loaders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Surfacing Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Sweepers/Scrubbers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		2	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0.67	3.14	6.11	0.46	0.42	671.04
			Trenchers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Welders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Paving	pounds per day	2.0	11.9	17.9	1.1	1.0	2074.2
		Paving	tons per phase	0.1	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	91.3
Total Em	sissions all Phases (tons per construction period	0->		15	7.0	13.5	07	07	1300 2
i Utai Ell	nasions an rinases (tons per construction period			1.0	1.2	13.3	0.7	0.7	1000.2
Equipment default values for horsepower and hours/day can be overridden in cells C289 through C322 and E289 through E322.

0

	Default Values	Default Values
Equipment	Horsepower	Hours/day
Aerial Lifts	63	8
Air Compressors	106	8
Bore/Drill Rigs	206	8
Cement and Mortar Mixers	10	8
Concrete/Industrial Saws	64	8
Cranes	226	8
Crawler Tractors	208	8
Crushing/Proc. Equipment	142	8
Excavators	163	8
Forklifts	89	8
Generator Sets	66	8
Graders	175	8
Off-Highway Tractors	123	8
Off-Highway Trucks	400	8
Other Construction Equipment	172	8
Other General Industrial Equipment	88	8
Other Material Handling Equipment	167	8
Pavers	126	8
Paving Equipment	131	8
Plate Compactors	8	8
Pressure Washers	26	8
Pumps	53	8
Rollers	81	8
Rough Terrain Forklifts	100	8
Rubber Tired Dozers	255	8
Rubber Tired Loaders	200	8
Scrapers	362	8
Signal Boards	20	8
Skid Steer Loaders	65	8
Surfacing Equipment	254	8
Sweepers/Scrubbers	64	8
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	98	8
Trenchers	81	8
Welders	45	8

END OF DATA ENTRY SHEET

Appendix E

Supporting Information Related to Biological Resources



at the Mark West Creek Crossing Project

Scientific Name	Common Name	Federal Status	State	Rare PlantRank	Habitat	Micro Habitat	Potential to Occur in Project Area
Selentine Hume	connonnanc	Status	Status	- lanchaint	Habitat	Foredunes and interdunes with sparse cover.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat and
Abronia umbellata var.						A. umb. breviflora is usually the plant closest	hydrologic conditions; isolated from nearest extant
breviflora	pink sand-verbena	None	None	1B.1	Coastal dunes and coastal strand.	to the ocean. 0-10 m.	occurrence near Two Rock.
					Coastal dupos, coastal bluff scrub, coastal		
					coastal duries, coastal biuli scrub, coastal	Sandy or gravally sail close to resksy often in	
					marinensis which was formerly a state-	nutrient-noor soil with sparse vegetation 5-	None The Project Area lacks suitable babitat and
Aarostis hlasdalei	Blasdale's bent grass	None	None	1B 2	listed Bare taxon: delisted in 2008	150 m	hydrologic conditions
	Diasuale 3 Delle glass	None	None	10.2		150 m.	
Allium peninsulare var.					Cismontane woodland, valley and foothill	Clay soils; often on serpentine. dry hillsides.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat and is
franciscanum	Franciscan onion	None	None	1B.2	grassland.	50-300 m.	outside of extant range.
Alopecurus aequalis var.					Freshwater marshes and swamps, riparian	Wet areas, marshes, and riparian banks with	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat and is
sonomensis	Sonoma alopecurus	Endangered	None	1B.1	scrub.	other wetland species. 5-360 m.	outside of species known range.
Amounta californica was					Dreadlasfed unland forest, shaperral	Openings in forest or woodland or in	Name The Dreiget Area lacks suitable behitst and masis
Amorpha californica var.	Nana falsa indiga	None	Nana	10.2	Broadleated upland forest, chaparral,	openings in forest or woodland or in	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat and mesic
nupensis	Napa laise indigo	None	None	18.2	cismontane woodland.	Often on sementine This is the State-listed	
Arctostaphylos bakeri ssp.					Broadleafed upland forest, chaparral.	Bare taxon, also known as A. bakeri in Title 14.	
bakeri	Baker's manzanita	None	Rare	1B.1	Entire species State-listed Rare.	75-230m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat and soils.
						In serpentine chaparral and Sargent cypress	
Arctostaphylos bakeri ssp.						woodland; typically in canyons and on slopes.	
sublaevis	The Cedars manzanita	None	Rare	1B.2	Chaparral, closed-cone coniferous forest.	185-760 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat and soils.
Arctostaphylos densiflora	Vine Hill manzanita	None	Endangered	1B.1	Chaparral.	Acid marine sand. 50-120 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat and soils.
Arctostaphylos						Highly restricted and mis to red shyplites in	
stunjoralana ssp. decumbens	Pincon Pidgo manzanita	Nono	Nono	10.1	Chaparral	Sonoma County 75,210m	None The Project Area lacks suitable babitat and soils
uecumbens	Nincon Nuge manzanita	None	NUTIE	10.1	Chaparrai.	Sonoma county. 73-310m.	
Blennosperma bakeri	Sonoma sunshine	Endangered	Endangered	1B.1	Vernal pools, valley and foothill grassland.	Vernal pools and swales. 10-110 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat and soils.
					Proadloafed upland forest, chaparral		
					cismontane woodland lower montane		
					coniferous forest, valley and foothill		None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat and is
Brodiaea leptandra	narrow-anthered brodiaea	None	None	1B.2	grassland.	Volcanic substrates. 110-915 m.	outside of species known range.
,					0		
						Usually in marshy swales surrounded by	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat; known
Calamagrostis crassiglumis	Thurber's reed grass	None	None	2B.1	Coastal scrub, freshwater marsh.	grassland or coastal scrub. 10-45m.	occurrences are restricted to coastal locations.
Calashartus raishai	The Coders fairs lanters	None	Nana	10.2	Classed some coniference forest, shappened	On serpentine. Usually on shaded slopes, but	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat; mesic,
Calochortus raichei	The Cedars fairy-fantern	None	None	18.2	closed-cone connerous forest, chaparrai.	also on barrens and talus. 200-490 m.	
Calvstegia purpurata ssp.					Coastal dunes, coastal scrub, coastal bluff		None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat: no
saxicola	coastal bluff morning-glory	None	None	1B.2	scrub, north coast coniferous forest.	10-105 m.	serpentine soils present.
					Bogs and fens, closed-cone coniferous		
					forest, coastal prairie, meadows and		
Commente a l'éta à	and the sector of the sector o			45.2	seeps, freshwater marsh, north coast	Bogs and marshes in a variety of habitats;	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat; suitable
Campanula californica	swamp harebell	None	None	18.2	coniterous forest.	uncommon where it occurs. 1-405 m.	soils are not present.
Carey comosa	bristly sodgo	Nono	Nono	20.1	Marches and swamps	is on a Dolta island 5 1005m	None The Project Area lacks suitable babitat
CUIEX COMOSO	onally seuge	NONE	NULLE	20.1	ואימי אוכי מווע אשמוווףא.	Last known remaining plant died in 1987: was	None. The Froject Area lacks Suitable Habitat.
						known from overgrown freshwater marsh. 60	
Castilleja uliginosa	Pitkin Marsh paintbrush	None	Endangered	1A	Freshwater marsh.	m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.

		Federal	State	Rare			
Scientific Name	Common Name	Status	Status	PlantRank	Habitat	Micro Habitat	Potential to Occur in Project Area
					Closed-cone coniferous forest, chaparral,	Known from volcanic or serpentine soils, dry	
Ceanothus confusus Ceanothus foliosus var	Rincon Ridge ceanothus	None	None	1B.1	cismontane woodland.	shrubby slopes. 75-1065 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
vineatus	Vine Hill ceanothus	None	None	1B.1	Chaparral.	Sandy, acidic soil in chaparral. 45-305 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Ceanothus purpureus	holly-leaved ceanothus	None	None	1B.2	Chaparral.	Rocky, volcanic slopes. 120-640m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Centromadia parryi ssp.					Coastal prairie, meadows and seeps, coastal salt marsh, valley and foothill		
parryi	pappose tarplant	None	None	1B.2	grassland.	Vernally mesic, often alkaline sites. 2-420m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Chlorogalum							
pomeridianum var. minus	dwarf soaproot	None	None	1B.2	Chaparral.	Serpentine. 305-1000 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Chloropyron maritimum	Point Reyes salty bird's-	None	None	1B 2	Coactal salt marsh	Usually in coastal salt marsh with Salicornia,	None The Project Area lacks suitable babitat
		None	None	10.2			None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Chorizanthe cuspidata var. cuspidata	San Francisco Bay spineflower	None	None	1B.2	Coastal bluff scrub, coastal dunes, coastal prairie, coastal scrub.	closely related to C. pungens. Sandy soil on terraces and slopes. 3-215 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Chorizanthe cuspidata var. villosa	woolly-headed spineflower	None	None	1B 2	Coastal scrub, coastal dunes, coastal prairie	Sandy places pear the beach 3-60 m	None. The Project Area lacks suitable babitat
				40.4			
Chorizanthe valida	Sonoma spineflower	Endangered	Endangered	1B.1	Coastal prairie.	Sandy soil. 10-50 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
					Coastal bluff scrub, broadleaved upland		
Cirsium andrewsii	Franciscan thistle	None	None	1B.2	forest, coastal scrub, coastal prairie.	Sometimes serpentine seeps. 0-150 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Clarkia imbricata	Vine Hill clarkia	Endangered	Endangered	1B.1	Chaparral, valley and foothill grassland.	Acidic, sandy soil. 50-75 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Cordylanthus tenuis ssp. capillaris	Pennell's bird's-beak	Endangered	Rare	1B.2	Closed-cone coniferous forest, chaparral.	In open or disturbed areas on serpentine within forest or chaparral. 60-245 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Cuscuta obtusiflora var.	Doruvian daddar	Nono	Nono	20.2	Marshos and suamos (frashuator)	Freshuster march 15, 290 m	None The Project Area lacks suitable babitat
gianaalosa		None	None	20.2	iviarsnes and swamps (neshwater).	Interdune depressions. Annual parasitic vine	
Cuscuta pacifica var. papillata	Mendocino dodder	None	None	1B.2	Coastal dunes.	observed on Gnaphalium, Silene and Lupinus. 0-50 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
						Only site occurs on NW-facing slope on	
					Broadleafed upland forest, coastal scrub,	decomposed shale. Historically known from	
Delphinium bakeri	Baker's larkspur	Endangered	Endangered	1B.1	grasslands.	grassy areas along fencelines too. 80-305 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Delphinium luteum	golden larkspur	Endangered	Rare	1B.1	Chaparral, coastal prairie, coastal scrub.	North-facing rocky slopes. 0-100 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
					Described and so of successions		
					closed-cone coniferous forest, cismontane	On brushy slopes, mesic sites; mostly in mixed	
Dirca occidentalis	western leatherwood	None	None	1B 2	woodland, north coast coniferous forest, riparian forest, riparian woodland	evergreen & foothill woodland communities. 25-425 m	None. The Project Area lacks suitable babitat
				1012		Vernal lake and pool margins with a variety of	
Downingia pusilla	dwarf downingia	None	None	2B.2	vernal pools.	445 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
	Greene's narrow-leaved					Serpentine and volcanic substrates, generally	
Erigeron greenei	daisy	None	None	1B.2	Chaparral.	in shrubby vegetation. 80-1005 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Erigeron serpentinus	serpentine daisy	None	None	1B.3	Chaparral.	Serpentine seeps. 60-670 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.

				_			
	6	Federal	State	Rare	11-1-21-1		
Scientific Name	Common Name	Status	Status	PlantRank	Habitat	Micro Habitat	Potential to Occur in Project Area
Friogonum cedrorum	The Cedars buckwheat	None	None	1B 3	Closed-cone coniferous forest	slopes 365-550 m	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat
Enogonum ceutorum	The cedars backwheat	None	None	10.5	Coastal dunes, coastal bluff scrub, coastal	More or less a coastal generalist within	
Erysimum concinnum	bluff wallflower	None	None	1B.2	prairie.	coastal habitat types. 0-185 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
					Coastal scrub, valley and foothill	Often on serpentine; various soils reported	
Fritillaria liliacea	fragrant fritillary	None	None	1B.2	grassland, coastal prairie.	though usually clay, in grassland. 3-410m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Gilla capitata ssp.	blue coast gilia	Nono	Nono	1 D 1	Coastal dupos, coastal corrub	2 200 m	None The Project Area lacks suitable babitat
Chumissonis	Dide coast gilla	None	None	1D.1	Coastal dulles, coastal scrub.	3-200 111.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
					Coastal bluff scrub, chaparral, coastal		
Gilia capitata ssp. pacifica	Pacific gilia	None	None	1B.2	prairie, valley and foothill grassland.	5-1330 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Gilia capitata ssp.					Coastal bluff scrub, valley and foothill	Rocky outcrops on the coast, serpentine. 10-	
tomentosa	woolly-headed gilia	None	None	1B.1	grassland.	220 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Cilia millofoliata	طمعلا مبيوط مثانه	None	None	10.2	Coostal dupos	2.20 m	Nexe, The Droject Area lacks witchle behitch
Gina minejonata	uark-eyeu gilla	None	None	1B.2	Coastal duries.	2-30 111.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Hemizonia conaesta ssp.	congested-headed havfield					Grassy valleys and hills, often in fallow fields:	
congesta	tarplant	None	None	1B.2	Valley and foothill grassland.	sometimes along roadsides. 20-560 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Hesperevax sparsiflora var.					Coastal bluff scrub, coastal dunes, coastal		
brevifolia	short-leaved evax	None	None	1B.2	prairie.	Sandy bluffs and flats. 0-215 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
				40.0	Coastal dunes, coastal prairie, coastal	Sandy flats and dunes near coast; in grassland	
Horkelia marinensis	Point Reyes horkelia	None	None	1B.2	scrub.	or scrub plant communities. 5-30m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
					Broadleaved upland forest chaparral		
Horkelia tenuiloba	thin-lobed horkelia	None	None	1B.2	valley and foothill grassland.	Sandy soils: mesic openings, 50-500 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
						Most often in vernal pools and swales. 15-600	
Lasthenia burkei	Burke's goldfields	Endangered	Endangered	1B.1	Vernal pools, meadows and seeps.	m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
					Closed-cone coniferous forest, coastal		
Lasthenia californica ssp.					scrub, meadows and seeps, marshes and		
bakeri	Baker's goldfields	None	None	1B.2	swamps.	Openings. 60-520 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Lastnenia californica ssp. macrantha	noronnial goldfields	Nono	Nono	10.2	coastal bluff scrub, coastal dunes, coastal	5 520 m	None The Project Area lacks suitable babitat
mucrummu	perenniai goluneius	None	NOTE	10.2	scrub.	5-520 111.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
					Valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools,	Vernal pools, swales, low depressions, in open	
Lasthenia conjugens	Contra Costa goldfields	Endangered	None	1B.1	alkaline playas, cismontane woodland.	grassy areas. 1-470 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Laganara limosa	logonoro	Nono	Nono	1 D 1	Vernal nools	In bods of vornal pools 1,880 m	None The Project Area lacks suitable babitat
Legenere innosu	legenere	None	NUTE	10.1		Open to partially shaded grassy slopes. on	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
						volcanics or the periphery of serpentine	
Leptosiphon jepsonii	Jepson's leptosiphon	None	None	1B.2	Chaparral, cismontane woodland.	substrates. 100-500m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Leptosiphon rosaceus	rose leptosiphon	None	None	1B.1	Coastal bluff scrub.	0-100 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
					Constal and a second for the literation		
Lassingia grashnoidog	Crustal Springs lossingia	Nono	Nono	10.2	coastal sage scrup, valley and foothill graceland, circumentane woodland	Grassy slopes on serpentine; sometimes on	None The Project Area lacks suitable babitat
	Ci yatai apriliga lessifigia	None	NUTE	10.2	grassianu, cismontane woouldhu.		None. The Project Area lacks Suitable Habitat.
Lilium pardalinum ssp.					Cismontane woodland, meadows and	Saturated, sandy soils with grasses and	
pitkinense	Pitkin Marsh lily	Endangered	Endangered	1B.1	seeps, freshwater marsh.	shrubs. 35-65 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
						Swales, wet meadows and marshy areas in	
		L	L		Mesic meadows, vernal pools, valley and	valley oak savanna; on poorly drained soils of	
Limnanthes vinculans	Sebastopol meadowfoam	Endangered	Endangered	1B.1	toothill grassland.	clays and sandy loam. 15-305 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.

				_			
Scientific Name	Common Namo	Federal	State	Rare BlantBank	Habitat	Micro Habitat	Potential to Occur in Project Area
Scientific Name	Common Name	Status	Status	PIdIILNdIIK	Habitat	Partially stabilized dunes immediately near	Potential to Occur in Project Area
Lupinus tidestromii	Tidestrom's lupine	Endangered	Endangered	1B.1	Coastal dunes.	the ocean. 0-100 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Microseris paludosa	marsh microseris	None	None	18.2	Closed-cone coniferous forest, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, valley and foothill grassland.	5-300 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Navarretia leucocephala ssp. bakeri	Baker's navarretia	None	None	1B.1	Cismontane woodland, meadows and seeps, vernal pools, valley and foothill grassland, lower montane coniferous forest.	Vernal pools and swales; adobe or alkaline soils. 5-1740 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Navarretia leucocephala ssp. plieantha	many-flowered navarretia	Endangered	Endangered	1B.2	Vernal pools.	Volcanic ash flow vernal pools. 30-950 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Piperia candida	white-flowered rein orchid North Coast semaphore	None	None	1B.2	North coast coniferous forest, lower montane coniferous forest, broadleafed upland forest. Broadleafed upland forest, meadows and	Sometimes on serpentine. Forest duff, mossy banks, rock outcrops & muskeg. 30-1310 m. Wet grassy, usually shady areas, sometimes freshwater marsh; associated with forest	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Pleuropogon hooverianus	grass	None	Threatened	1B.1	seeps, North Coast coniferous forest.	environments. 10-1150 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Polemonium carneum	Oregon polemonium Cunningham Marsh	None	None	2B.2	Coastal prairie, coastal scrub, lower montane coniferous forest.	0-1830 m. Found in permanent, oligotrophic wetlands	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Potentilla uliginosa	cinquetoil	None	None	1A	Freshwater marshes and swamps.	.30-40 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Rhynchospora alba	white beaked-rush	None	None	2B.2	marshes and swamps.	2040 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Rhynchospora californica	California beaked-rush	None	None	1B.1	Bogs and fens, marshes and swamps, lower montane coniferous forest, meadows and seeps.	Freshwater seeps and open marshy areas. 45- 1010 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Rhynchospora capitellata	brownish beaked-rush	None	None	2B.2	Lower montane coniferous forest, meadows and seeps, marshes and swamps, upper montane coniferous forest.	Mesic sites. 45-2000 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat; Project Area outside the species distribution.
Rhynchospora globularis Sidalcea calycosa ssp.	round-headed beaked-rush	None	None	2B.1	Marshes and swamps.	Freshwater marsh. 45-60 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
rhizomata	Point Reyes checkerbloom	None	None	1B.2	Marshes and swamps.	Freshwater marshes near the coast. 3-75 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Sidalcea malviflora ssp. purpurea	purple-stemmed checkerbloom	None	None	1B.2	Broadleafed upland forest, coastal prairie.	15-85 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Streptanthus glandulosus ssp. hoffmanii	Hoffman's bristly jewelflower	None	None	1B.3	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland.	Moist, steep rocky banks, in serpentine and non-serpentine soil. 60-765 m.	Not Expected. The Project Area contains marginal habitat and CNDDB occurrences are restricted to coastal quads.
streptantnus morrisonii ssp. hirtiflorus	Dorr's Cabin jewelflower	None	None	1B.2	forest.	On the serpentine barrens at the head of Austin Creek. 185-820 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Streptanthus morrisonii ssp. morrisonii	Morrison's jewelflower	None	None	1B.2	Chaparral.	Serpentine outcrops in the Austin Creek area.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Thamnolia vermicularis	whiteworm lichen	None	None	2B.1	Chaparral, valley and foothill grassland.	formation sandstone.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.

Scientific Name	Common Name	Federal	State	Rare	Habitat	Micro Habitat	Potential to Occur in Project Area
Scientific Name	Common Name	Status	Status	Flatitikalik	Habitat	Where Habitat	Potential to Occur in Project Area
Trifolium amoonum	showy ransharia slover	Endongorod	None	10.1	Valley and foothill grassland, coastal bluff	Sometimes on serpentine soil, open sunny sites, swales. Most recently cited on roadside	Nana Tha Draiget Arga lacks suitable babitat
Thjohum univenum	showy rancheria clover	Endangered	NUTIE	1D.1	sciub.		None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Trifolium buckwestiorum	Santa Cruz clover	None	None	1B.1	Coastal prairie, broadleafed upland forest, cismontane woodland.	Moist grassland. Gravelly margins. 105-610 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Trifolium hydrophilum	saline clover	None	None	18.2	Marshes and swamps, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools.	Mesic, alkaline sites. 0-300 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Triphysaria floribunda	San Francisco owl's-clover	None	None	18.2	Coastal prairie, coastal scrub, valley and foothill grassland.	On serpentine and nonserpentine substrate (such as at Pt. Reyes). 10-160 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Triquetrella californica	coastal triquetrella	None	None	18.2	Coastal bluff scrub, coastal scrub.	Grows within 30m from the coast in coastal scrub, grasslands and in open gravels on roadsides, hillsides, rocky slopes, and fields. On gravel or thin soil over outcrops. 10-100 m.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Viburnum ellipticum	oval-leaved viburnum	None	None	2B.3	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest.	215-1400 m.	Not Expected. The Project Area contains marginal habitat and is outside of documented ranage.



Scientific Name	Common Name	Federal Status	State Status	Habitat	Micro Habitat	Potential to Occur in Project Area
Invertebrates				•		
Syncaris pacifica	California freshwater shrimp	Endangered	Endangered	Endemic to Marin, Napa, & Sonoma counties. Found in low elevation, low gradient streams where riparian cover is moderate to heavy.	Shallow pools away from main streamflow. Winter: undercut banks w/exposed roots. Summer: leafy branches touching water.	Not Expected. Potentially suitable habitat occurs in Mark West Creek, but this species has never been recovered in surveys for listed salmonids.
Callophrys mossii bayensis	San Bruno elfin butterfly	Endangered	None	Coastal, mountainous areas with grassy ground cover, mainly in the vicinity of San Bruno Mountain, San Mateo County.	Colonies are located on steep, north-facing slopes within the fog belt. Larval host plant is Sedum spathulifolium.	None. The Project Area is outside the species' range.
Speyeria zerene myrtleae	Myrtle's silverspot butterfly	Endangered	None	Restricted to the foggy, coastal dunes/hills of the Point Reyes peninsula; extirpated from coastal San Mateo County.	Larval foodplant thought to be Viola adunca.	None. The Project Area is outside the species' range.
Fish	-					
Eucyclogobius newberryi	tidewater goby	Endangered	Species of Special Concern	Brackish water habitats along the Calif coast from Agua Hedionda Lagoon, San Diego Co. to the mouth of the Smith River.	Found in shallow lagoons and lower stream reaches, they need fairly still but not stagnant water & high oxygen levels.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Hysterocarpus traski pomo	Russian River tule perch	None	Species of Special Concern	Low elevation streams of the Russian River system.	Requires clear, flowing water with abundant cover. They also require deep (> 1 m) pool habitat.	Possible. Species is known to inhabit the portion of the Russian River directly adjacent to the Project Area (SCWA 2012).
Lavinia symmetricus navarroensis	Navarro roach	None	Species of Special Concern	Habitat generalists. Found in warm intermittent streams as well as cold, well-aerated streams.	Not specified	None. The Project Area is outside the subspecies' range.
Lavinia symmetricus parvipinnis	Gualala roach	None	Species of Special Concern	Found only in the Gualala River.	Not specified	None. The Project Area is outside the subspecies' range.
Oncorhynchus kisutch	coho salmon - central California coast ESU	Endangered	Endangered	Federal listing = pops between Punta Gorda & San Lorenzo River. State listing = pops south of Punta Gorda.	Require beds of loose, silt-free, coarse gravel for spawning. Also need cover, cool water & sufficient dissolved oxygen.	Present. Juveniles of this species were recently observed in Mark West Creek (markwestwatershed.org).
Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus	steelhead - central California coast DPS	Threatened	None	From Russian River, south to Soquel Cr & to, but not including, Pajaro River. Also San Francisco & San Pablo Bay basins.	Not specified	Present. Juvenile and adult migrations occur in the spring and fall/winter, respectively.
Oncorhynchus tshawytscha	Chinook Salmon, California Coastal ESU	Threatened	None	Federal listing refers to wild spawned, coastal, spring & fall runs between Redwood Cr, Humboldt Co & Russian River, Sonoma Co	Not specified	Present. This section of Mark West Creek is listed as critical habitat for this species. This species may be present in lower portions of Mark West Creek.
Spirinchus thaleichthys	longfin smelt	Candidate	Threatened	Euryhaline, nektonic & anadromous. Found in open waters of estuaries, mostly in middle or bottom of water column.	Prefer salinities of 15-30 ppt, but can be found in completely freshwater to almost pure seawater.	None. The Project Area is outside the subspecies' range.
Thaleichthys pacificus	eulachon	Threatened	Species of Special Concern	Found in Klamath River, Mad River, Redwood Creek & in small numbers in Smith River & Humboldt Bay tributaries.	Spawn in lower reaches of coastal rivers w/ moderate water velocities & bottom of pea-sized gravel, sand & woody debris	Not Expected. There are reports of the species occurring in the Russian River, but there distribution would likely be limited to areas downstream of the Project Area.
Amphibians and Repti	les					
Actinemys [=Emys] marmorata	western pond turtle	None	Species of Special Concern	A thoroughly aquatic turtle of ponds, marshes, rivers, streams & irrigation ditches, usually with aquatic vegetation, below 6000 ft elevation.	Need basking sites and suitable (sandy banks or grassy open fields) upland habitat up to 0.5 km from water for egg-laying.	Possible. Suitable habitat is present and species is known to occur in the vicinity of the Project Area.
Ambystoma californiense	California tiger salamander	Threatened	Threatened	Central Valley DPS federally listed as threatened. Santa Barbara & Sonoma counties DPS federally listed as endangered.	Need underground refuges, especially ground squirrel burrows, & vernal pools or other seasonal water sources for breeding.	Not Expected. The Project Area lacks suitable breeding and upland habitat.
Rana boylii	foothill yellow-legged frog	None	Species of Special Concern	Partly-shaded, shallow streams & riffles with a rocky substrate in a variety of habitats.	Need at least some cobble-sized substrate for egg-laying. Need at least 15 weeks to attain metamorphosis.	Possible. Suitable breeding habitat is present in nearby streams, but not in Russian River. Non-breeding habitat is present in the Project Area.

			Federal				
	Scientific Name	Common Name	Status	State Status	Habitat	Micro Habitat	Potential to Occur in Project Area
ſ							
							Not Expected. The Project Area lacks suitable
							breeding. Non-breeding habitat is present, but
				Species of	Lowlands & foothills in or near permanent sources of deep water	Requires 11-20 weeks of permanent water for larval	there are no records of the species in the
	Rana draytonii	California red-legged frog	Threatened	Special Concern	with dense, shrubby or emergent riparian vegetation.	development. must have access to estivation habitat.	vicinity of the Project Area.

		Federal				
Scientific Name	Common Name	Status	State Status	Habitat	Micro Habitat	Potential to Occur in Project Area
Birds				L	•	
			Species of			Not Expected. The Project Area lacks suitable
			Special Concern,	Highly colonial species, most numerous in Central Valley &	Requires open water, protected nesting substrate, & foraging	breeding habitat. Non-breeding individuals
Agelaius tricolor	tricolored blackbird	None	State Candidate	vicinity. Largely endemic to California.	area with insect prey within a few km of the colony.	could occur.
			Species of	Open, dry annual or perennial grasslands, deserts & scrublands	Subterranean nester, dependent upon burrowing mammals, most	
Athene cunicularia	burrowing owl	None	Special Concern	characterized by low-growing vegetation.	notably, the California ground squirrel.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
						Possible The Project Area provides potentially
				Breeds in grasslands with with scattered trees, juniper-sage flats.		suitable breeding habitat and the species has
				riparian areas, savannahs, & agricultural or ranch lands with	Requires adjacent suitable foraging areas such as grasslands, or	been observed in the vicinty during the
Buteo swainsoni ¹	Swainson's hawk	None	Threatened	groves or lines of trees.	alfalfa or grain fields supporting rodent populations.	breeding season (ebird.org 2015).
Brachyramphus					Nests in old-growth redwood-dominated forests, up to six miles	
marmoratus	Marbled Murrelet	Threatened	Endangered	Lower montane coniferous forest, Oldgrowth Redwood	inland, often in Douglas-fir.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Charadrius alexandrinus			Species of			
nivosus	western snowy plover	Inreatened	Special Concern	Sandy beaches, sait pond levees & shores of large alkali lakes.	Needs sandy, gravelly or triable soils for nesting.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
						marginally suitable breeding habitat. No
Coccyzus americanus	western yellow-billed			Riparian forest nester, along the broad, lower flood-bottoms of	Nests in riparian jungles of willow, often mixed with	recent observation of the species in the vicinty
occidentalis	, cuckoo	Threatened	Endangered	larger river systems.	cottonwoods, w/ lower story of blackberry, nettles, or wild grape.	of the Project Area.
			Species of	Coastal belt of Santa Cruz & Monterey Co; central & southern	Breeds in small colonies on cliffs behind or adjacent to waterfalls	
Cypseloides niger	black swift	None	Special Concern	Sierra Nevada; San Bernardino & San Jacinto Mountains.	in deep canyons and sea-bluffs above the surf; forages widely	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
				Rolling foothills and valley margins with scattered oaks & river	Open grasslands, meadows, or marshes for foraging close to	Possible. The Project Area provides potentially
Elanus leucurus	white-tailed kite	None	Fully Protected	bottomlands or marshes next to deciduous woodland.	isolated, dense-topped trees for nesting and perching.	suitable breeding habitat.
Fortener la simbata	to the discuttion	News	Species of	Open-ocean bird; nests along the coast on islands, islets, or	Requires sod or earth into which the birds can burrow, on island	Name The Design Annual selection with the helicity
Fratercula cirrnata	tufted puffin	None	Special Concern	(rarely) mainland cliffs.	clifts or grassy island slopes.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
						Possible. The Project Area provides potentially
						suitable breeding habitat and the species has
			Species of	Summer resident; inhabits riparian thickets of willow & other	Nests in low, dense riparian, consisting of willow, blackberry, wild	been observed in the vicinty during the
Icteria virens ¹	yellow-breasted chat	None	Special Concern	brushy tangles near watercourses.	grape; forages and nests within 10 ft of ground.	breeding season (ebird.org 2015).
				Colonial nester; nests primarily in riparian and other lowland	Requires vertical banks/cliffs with fine-textured/sandy soils near	
Riparia riparia	bank swallow	None	Threatened	habitats west of the desert.	streams, rivers, lakes, ocean to dig nesting hole.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
	1		Species of	in montane shrubbery in open conifer forests in Coscodos and	thickets, and in other rinarian plants including cottonwoods	Possible. The Project Area provides potentially
Setonhaga petechia 1	vellow warbler	None	Special Concern	Sierra Nevada	sycamores ash and alders	suitable breeding babitat
		None	Special concern		sycamores, asi, and alders.	suitable breeding habitat.
			Candidate	Old-growth forests or mixed stands of old-growth & mature	High, multistory canopy dominated by big trees, many trees	
Strix occidentalis caurina	Northern Spotted Owl	Threatened	Threatened	trees. Occasionally in younger forests w/patches of big trees.	w/cavities or broken tops, woody debris & space under canopy.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.

		Federal				
Scientific Name	Common Name	Status	State Status	Habitat	Micro Habitat	Potential to Occur in Project Area
Mammals						
Antrozous pallidus	pallid bat	None	Species of Special Concern	Deserts, grasslands, shrublands, woodlands & forests. Most common in open, dry habitats with rocky areas for roosting.	Roosts must protect bats from high temperatures. Very sensitive to disturbance of roosting sites.	Possible . Some trees in the Project Area may provide sutiable roost sites.
Arborimus pomo	Sonoma tree vole	None	Species of Special Concern	North coast fog belt from Oregon border to Sonoma Co. In Douglas-fir, redwood & montane hardwood-conifer forests.	Feeds almost exclusively on Douglas-fir needles. Will occasionaly take needles of grand fir, hemlock or spruce.	None. The Project Area lacks suitable habitat.
Corynorhinus townsendii	Townsend's big-eared bat	None	Candidate Threatened	Throughout California in a wide variety of habitats. Most common in mesic sites.	Roosts in the open, hanging from walls & ceilings. Roosting sites limiting. extremely sensitive to human disturbance.	Not expected. The Project Area lacks typcial habitat components.
Lasiurus blossevillii	western red bat	None	Species of Special Concern	Roosts primarily in trees, 2-40 ft above ground, from sea level up through mixed conifer forests.	Prefers habitat edges & mosaics with trees that are protected from above & open below with open areas for foraging.	Possible. Some trees in the Project Area may provide sutiable roost sites.
Taxidea taxus	American badger	None	Species of Special Concern	Most abundant in drier open stages of most shrub, forest, and herbaceous habitats, with friable soils.	Needs sufficient food, friable soils & open, uncultivated ground. Preys on burrowing rodents. Digs burrows.	Not expected. The Project Area lacks typical habitat components.

1. Species was not included in CNDDB query but was added to list of species known to occur in the vicinity of the project area based on other resoruces (eBird.org 2015).

Plant Species Observed in the Project Area (August 31, 2015)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Nativity	Family	Agriculture	Disturbed/D eveloped	Ruderal Field	Seasonally Flooded Depressions	Riparian Corridor
Acer negundo	box elder	Native	Sapindaceae				х	х
Artemisia douglasiana	mugwort	Native	Asteraceae				х	х
Arundo donax	giant reed	Exotic	Poaceae					х
Atriplex prostrata	fat hen	Exotic	Chenopodiaceae				х	
Avena sp.	wild oat	Exotic	Poaceae	х	х	х		
Baccharis pilularis	coyote brush	Native	Asteraceae		х			
Brassica nigra	black mustard	Exotic	Brassicaceae					х
Bromus carinatus	California brome	Native	Poaceae	х				
Carduus pycnocephalus	italian thistle	Exotic	Asteraceae			х		
Centaurea solstitialis	yellow star thistle	Exotic	Asteraceae			х		
Cichorium intybus	chicory	Exotic	Asteraceae	х				
Cirsium vulgare	bull thistle	Exotic	Asteraceae					х
Conium maculatum	poisen hemlock	Exotic	Apiaceae		х	х		х
Convolvulus arvensis	field bindweed	Exotic	Convolvulaceae	х	х	х	х	
Cornus sericea ssp. sericea	American dogwood	Native	Cornaceae					x
Crypsis schoenoides	swamp grass	Exotic	Poaceae				х	
Cynodon dactylon	Bermuda grass	Exotic	Poaceae	х	х		х	
Cyperus eragrostis	tall flatsedge	Native	Cyperaceae				х	
Deschampsia elongata	slender hairgrass	Native	Poaceae					х
Elymus triticoides	beardless wildrye	Native	Poaceae				х	х
Erigeron bonariensis	flax-leaved horseweed	Exotic	Asteraceae				х	х
Festuca perennis	Italian ryegrass	Exotic	Poaceae		х	х	х	х
Foeniculum vulgare	fennel	Exotic	Apiaceae				х	
Galium aparine	bedstraw	Native	Rubiaceae					х
Hedera helix	English ivy	Exotic	Araliaceae					х
Helminthotheca echioides	bristly ox-tongue	Exotic	Asteraceae	x	х	х		
Hirschfeldia incana	wild mustard	Exotic	Brassicaceae	x	х		х	
Hordeum brachyantherum	meadow barley	Native	Poaceae				x	
Hordeum sp.	barley	Exotic	Poaceae	x			х	
Juglans hindsii	California black walnu	Native	Juglandaceae					х

Plant Species Observed in the Project Area (August 31, 2015)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Nativity	Family	Agriculture	Disturbed/D eveloped	Ruderal Field	Seasonally Flooded Depressions	Riparian Corridor
Juncus bufonius	toad rush	Native	Juncaceae				х	
Juncus patens	common rush	Native	Juncaceae					х
Kickxia elatine	fluellin	Exotic	Plantaginaceae	x	х		х	
Lactuca saligna	willowleaf lettuce	Exotic	Asteraceae					х
Lactuca serriola	prickly wild lettuce	Exotic	Asteraceae		х		х	х
Lepidium latifolium	broadleaf pepperwee	Exotic	Brassicaceae				х	
Lotus corniculatus	bird's-foot trefoil	Exotic	FABACEAE			х		
Malus domestica	Gravenstein apple	Exotic	Rosaceae		х			
Malva sp.	-	Exotic	Malvaceae	x				
Melilotus albus	white sweetclover	Exotic	Fabaceae					х
Mentha pulegium	pennyroyal	Exotic	Lamiaceae			х		
Phyla nodiflora	turkey tangle fogfriut	Native	Verbenaceae				х	
Plantago lanceolata	English plantain	Exotic	Plantaginaceae	x	х			
Polygonum aviculare ssp. depressum	prostrate knotweed	Exotic	Polygonaceae	x	x		x	
Polypogon monspeliensis	rabbit's foot grass	Exotic	Poaceae				х	х
Populus fremontii	Fremont's cottonwoo	Native	Salicaceae					х
Pseudognaphalium	lersey cudweed	Exotic	Asteraceae				×	
	coast live oak	Native	Fagaceae		v		~	
Quercus lobata	vallev oak	Native	Fagaceae		~			x
Ranhanus sativus	wild radish	Exotic	Brassicaceae					x
Rosa so	rose	EXOTIC	Rosaceae					x
Rubus armeniacus	Himalayan blackberry	Exotic	Rosaceae				x	x
Rubus ursinus	California blackberry	Native	Rosaceae				x	x
Rumex conglomeratus	clustered dock	Exotic	Polygonaceae				~	x
Rumex crispus	curly dock	Exotic	Polygonaceae				x	~
Rumex pulcher	fiddle dock	Exotic	Polygonaceae				x	
Salix exigua	sandbar willow	Native	Salicaceae				х	х
Salix laevigata	red willow	Native	Salicaceae			1		х
Salix lasiandara var. lasiandra	Pacific willow	Native	Salicaceae				x	x

Plant Species Observed in the Project Area (August 31, 2015)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Nativity	Family	Agriculture	Disturbed/D eveloped	Ruderal Field	Seasonally Flooded Depressions	Riparian Corridor
Solidago sp.	goldenrod	Native	Asteraceae				х	
Sonchus asper	spiny sowthistle	Exotic	Asteraceae				х	
Spergularia sp.	sand spurrey	-	Caryophyllaceae				х	
Stachys ajugoides	hedge nettle	Native	Lamiaceae					х
Stipa miliaceae	smilo grass	Exotic	Poaceae					х
Taraxacum officinale	dandilion	Exotic	Asteraceae	х	х			
Torilils arvensis	field hedge parsley	Exotic	Apiaceae					х
Toxicodendron diversilobur	poisen oak	Native	Anacardiaceae					х
Urtica dioica ssp. gracilis	stinging nettle	Native	Urticaceae					х
Vinca major	greater periwinkle	Exotic	Apocynaceae					х
Vitis sp.	wild grape	-	Vitaceae	x				х
Xanthium strumarium	rough cocklebur	Native	Asteraceae				x	





Query Criteria:

Quad is (Bodega Head (3812331) or Camp Meeker (3812248) or Cazadero (3812351) or Duncans Mills (3812341) or Guerneville (3812258) or Healdsburg (3812257) or Sebastopol (3812247) or Two Rock (3812237) or Valley Ford (3812238))

Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Abronia umbellata var. breviflora	PDNYC010N4	None	None	G4G5T2	S1	1B.1
pink sand-verbena						
Agelaius tricolor	ABPBXB0020	None	None	G2G3	S1S2	SSC
tricolored blackbird						
Agrostis blasdalei	PMPOA04060	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
Blasdale's bent grass						
Allium peninsulare var. franciscanum Franciscan onion	PMLIL021R1	None	None	G5T1	S1	1B.2
Alopecurus aequalis var. sonomensis	PMPOA07012	Endangered	None	G5T1	S1	1B.1
Sonoma alopecurus						
Ambystoma californiense	AAAAA01180	Threatened	Threatened	G2G3	S2S3	SSC
California tiger salamander						
Amorpha californica var. napensis	PDFAB08012	None	None	G4T2	S2	1B.2
Napa false indigo						
Andrena blennospermatis	IIHYM35030	None	None	G2	S2	
Blennosperma vernal pool andrenid bee						
Antrozous pallidus	AMACC10010	None	None	G5	S3	SSC
		Nono	Nono	Ga	63	88C
Sonoma tree vole	AMAI 123030	None	NONE	65	33	330
Arctostanhvlos bakeri ssp. bakeri	PDFRI04221	None	Rare	G2T1	S1	1B 1
Baker's manzanita		Nono	Raio	0211	01	10.1
Arctostaphylos bakeri ssp. sublaevis	PDER104222	None	Rare	G2T2	S2	1B.2
The Cedars manzanita						
Arctostaphylos densiflora	PDERI040C0	None	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1
Vine Hill manzanita						
Arctostaphylos stanfordiana ssp. decumbens	PDERI041G4	None	None	G3T1	S1	1B.1
Rincon Ridge manzanita						
Ardea herodias	ABNGA04010	None	None	G5	S4	
great blue heron						
Athene cunicularia	ABNSB10010	None	None	G4	S3	SSC
burrowing owl						
Blennosperma bakeri	PDAST1A010	Endangered	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1
Sonoma sunshine						
Bombus caliginosus	IIHYM24380	None	None	G4?	S1S2	
obscure bumble bee						
Bombus occidentalis	IIHYM24250	None	None	G2G3	S1	
western bumble bee						





Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Brodiaea leptandra	PMLIL0C022	None	None	G3?	S3?	1B.2
narrow-anthered brodiaea						
Calamagrostis crassiglumis	PMPOA17070	None	None	G3Q	S2?	2B.1
Thurber's reed grass						
Callophrys mossii bayensis	IILEPE2202	Endangered	None	G4T1	S1	
San Bruno elfin butterfly						
Calochortus raichei	PMLIL0D1L0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
The Cedars fairy-lantern						
Calystegia purpurata ssp. saxicola	PDCON040D2	None	None	G4T2T3	S2S3	1B.2
coastal bluff morning-glory						
Campanula californica	PDCAM02060	None	None	G3	S3	1B.2
swamp harebell						
Carex comosa	PMCYP032Y0	None	None	G5	S2	2B.1
bristly sedge						
Castilleja uliginosa	PDSCR0D380	None	Endangered	GXQ	SX	1A
Pitkin Marsh paintbrush						
Ceanothus confusus	PDRHA04220	None	None	G1	S1	1B.1
Rincon Ridge ceanothus						
Ceanothus foliosus var. vineatus	PDRHA040D6	None	None	G3T1	S1	1B.1
Vine Hill ceanothus						
Ceanothus purpureus	PDRHA04160	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
holly-leaved ceanothus						
Centromadia parryi ssp. parryi	PDAST4R0P2	None	None	G3T2	S2	1B.2
pappose tarplant						
Cerorhinca monocerata	ABNNN11010	None	None	G5	S3	WL
		-		0.070	0.0	
Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus	ABNNB03031	Inreatened	None	G313	S2	SSC
		Neze	Neze	057070	0000	40.0
dwarf soaproot	PINILILUG042	none	none	G51213	5253	10.2
Chloropyron maritimum scp. palustro		Nono	Nono	C42T2	60	1B 2
Point Reves salty bird's-beak	FD3CR030C3	None	NONE	04:12	52	ID.2
Chorizanthe cuspidata var. cuspidata	PDPGN04081	None	None	G2T1	S1	1B 2
San Francisco Bay spineflower		None	None	0211	01	10.2
Chorizanthe cuspidata var. villosa	PDPGN04082	None	None	G2T2	S2	1B 2
woolly-headed spineflower		Hono	Hono	0212	02	10.2
Chorizanthe valida	PDPGN040V0	Endangered	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1
Sonoma spineflower		J J J J J J J J J J	<u>j</u>	_	-	
Cirsium andrewsii	PDAST2E050	None	None	G3	S3	1B.2
Franciscan thistle						
Clarkia imbricata	PDONA050K0	Endangered	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1
Vine Hill clarkia		2	č			





Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Coastal and Valley Freshwater Marsh	CTT52410CA	None	None	G3	S2.1	
Coastal and Valley Freshwater Marsh						
Coastal Brackish Marsh	CTT52200CA	None	None	G2	S2.1	
Coastal Brackish Marsh						
Coastal Terrace Prairie	CTT41100CA	None	None	G2	S2.1	
Coastal Terrace Prairie						
Coccyzus americanus occidentalis western yellow-billed cuckoo	ABNRB02022	Threatened	Endangered	G5T2T3	S1	
Coelus globosus globose dune beetle	IICOL4A010	None	None	G1G2	S1S2	
Cordylanthus tenuis ssp. capillaris Pennell's bird's-beak	PDSCR0J0S2	Endangered	Rare	G4G5T1	S1	1B.2
Corynorhinus townsendii Townsend's big-eared bat	AMACC08010	None	Candidate Threatened	G3G4	S2	SSC
<i>Cuscuta obtusiflora var. glandulosa</i> Peruvian dodder	PDCUS01111	None	None	G5T4T5	SH	2B.2
<i>Cuscuta pacifica var. papillata</i> Mendocino dodder	PDCUS011A2	None	None	G5T1	S1	1B.2
Cypseloides niger black swift	ABNUA01010	None	None	G4	S2	SSC
Danaus plexippus pop. 1 monarch - California overwintering population	IILEPP2012	None	None	G4T2T3	S2S3	
Delphinium bakeri	PDRAN0B050	Endangered	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1
Baker's larkspur						
Delphinium luteum	PDRAN0B0Z0	Endangered	Rare	G1	S1	1B.1
golden larkspur						
Dicamptodon ensatus California giant salamander	AAAAH01020	None	None	G3	S2S3	
Dirca occidentalis western leatherwood	PDTHY03010	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
<i>Downingia pusilla</i> dwarf downingia	PDCAM060C0	None	None	GU	S2	2B.2
<i>Dubiraphia giulianii</i> Giuliani's dubiraphian riffle beetle	IICOL5A020	None	None	G1G3	S1S3	
Elanus leucurus	ABNKC06010	None	None	G5	S3S4	FP
white-tailed kite						
Emys marmorata	ARAAD02030	None	None	G3G4	S3	SSC
western pond turtle						
Erigeron greenei Greene's narrow-leaved daisy	PDAST3M5G0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
Erigeron serpentinus serpentine daisy	PDAST3M5M0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.3





Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Eriogonum cedrorum	PDPGN087A0	None	None	G1	S1	1B.3
The Cedars buckwheat						
Erysimum concinnum	PDBRA160E3	None	None	G3	S3	1B.2
bluff wallflower						
Eucyclogobius newberryi	AFCQN04010	Endangered	None	G3	S3	SSC
tidewater goby						
Fissidens pauperculus	NBMUS2W0U0	None	None	G3?	S2	1B.2
minute pocket moss						
Fratercula cirrhata	ABNNN12010	None	None	G5	S1S2	SSC
tufted puffin						
Fritillaria liliacea	PMLIL0V0C0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
fragrant fritillary						
Gilia capitata ssp. chamissonis	PDPLM040B3	None	None	G5T2	S2	1B.1
blue coast gilia						
Gilia capitata ssp. pacifica	PDPLM040B6	None	None	G5T3T4	S2	1B.2
Pacific gilia						
Gilia capitata ssp. tomentosa	PDPLM040B9	None	None	G5T2	S2	1B.1
woolly-headed gilia						
Gilia millefoliata	PDPLM04130	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
dark-eyed gilla						
Hemizonia congesta ssp. congesta	PDAST4R065	None	None	G5T1T2	S1S2	1B.2
				0.170	00	
Hesperevax sparsifiora var. brevitolia	PDASTE5011	None	None	G413	S2	1B.2
		Neze	Neze	63	<u>60</u>	40.0
Point Reves borkelia	PDROSUWUBU	none	None	G2	52	ID.Z
		None	None	G2	S 2	1B 2
thin-lobed horkelia	1 BIGGGGWGEG	None	None	02	02	10.2
Hysterocarpus traski pomo	AFCQK02011	None	None	G5T4	S4	SSC
Russian River tule perch						
Kopsiopsis hookeri	PDORO01010	None	None	G4G5	S1S2	2B.3
small groundcone						
Lasiurus blossevillii	AMACC05060	None	None	G5	S3	SSC
western red bat						
Lasiurus cinereus	AMACC05030	None	None	G5	S4	
hoary bat						
Lasthenia burkei	PDAST5L010	Endangered	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1
Burke's goldfields						
Lasthenia californica ssp. bakeri	PDAST5L0C4	None	None	G3TH	SH	1B.2
Baker's goldfields						
Lasthenia californica ssp. macrantha	PDAST5L0C5	None	None	G3T2	S2	1B.2
perennial goldfields						





Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Lasthenia conjugens	PDAST5L040	Endangered	None	G1	S1	1B.1
Contra Costa goldfields						
Lavinia symmetricus navarroensis	AFCJB19023	None	None	G4T1T2	S1S2	SSC
Navarro roach						
Lavinia symmetricus parvipinnis	AFCJB19025	None	None	G4T1T2	S1S2	SSC
Gualala roach						
Legenere limosa	PDCAM0C010	None	None	G2	S2	1B.1
legenere						
Leptosiphon jepsonii	PDPLM09140	None	None	G3	S3	1B.2
Jepson's leptosiphon						
Leptosiphon rosaceus	PDPLM09180	None	None	G1	S1	1B.1
rose leptosiphon						
Lessingia arachnoidea	PDAST5S0C0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
Crystal Springs lessingia						
Lichnanthe ursina	IICOL67020	None	None	G2	S2	
bumblebee scarab beetle						
Lilium pardalinum ssp. pitkinense	PMLIL1A0H3	Endangered	Endangered	G5T1	S1	1B.1
Pitkin Marsh lily						
Limnanthes vinculans	PDLIM02090	Endangered	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1
Sebastopol meadowfoam						
Linderiella occidentalis	ICBRA06010	None	None	G2G3	S2S3	
California linderiella						
Lupinus tidestromii	PDFAB2B3Y0	Endangered	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1
Tidestrom's lupine						
Microseris paludosa	PDAST6E0D0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
marsh microseris						
Myotis evotis	AMACC01070	None	None	G5	S3	
long-eared myotis				<u>.</u>	00	
Myotis thysanodes	AMACC01090	None	None	G4	\$3	
		Ness	News	0.470	00	
Navarretia leucocepnala ssp. bakeri	PDPLM0C0E1	None	None	G412	52	1B.1
Navarratia lauggaphala can plicantha		Endongorod	Endongorod	C 4T1	C1	1P 0
many-flowered payarretia	PDPLIMOCOES	Endangered	Endangered	G411	51	ID.Z
Northern Coastal Salt Marsh	CTT52110CA	Nono	Nono	C3	6 2 2	
Northern Coastal Salt Marsh	CTISZTIUCA	none	None	63	33.2	
Northern Hardnan Vernal Pool	CTT44110CA	None	None	G3	S3 1	
Northern Hardpan Vernal Pool	011441100A	None	None	03	00.1	
Northern Vernal Pool	CTT44100CA	None	None	G2	S2 1	
Northern Vernal Pool				02	Q2.1	
Oncorhvnchus kisutch	AFCHA02034	Endangered	Endangered	G4	S2?	
coho salmon - central California coast ESU				-	-	





Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus	AFCHA0209G	Threatened	None	G5T2T3Q	S2S3	
steelhead - central California coast DPS						
Pandion haliaetus	ABNKC01010	None	None	G5	S4	WL
osprey						
Piperia candida	PMORC1X050	None	None	G3	S3	1B.2
white-flowered rein orchid						
Pleuropogon hooverianus	PMPOA4Y070	None	Threatened	G2	S2	1B.1
North Coast semaphore grass						
Polemonium carneum	PDPLM0E050	None	None	G3G4	S2	2B.2
Oregon polemonium						
Polygonum marinense	PDPGN0L1C0	None	None	G2Q	S2	3.1
Marin knotweed						
Potentilla uliginosa	PDROS1B4A0	None	None	GH	SH	1A
Cunningham Marsh cinquefoil						
Rana boylii	AAABH01050	None	None	G3	S3	SSC
foothill yellow-legged frog						
Rana draytonii	AAABH01022	Threatened	None	G2G3	S2S3	SSC
California red-legged frog						
Rhynchospora alba	PMCYP0N010	None	None	G5	S2	2B.2
white beaked-rush						
Rhynchospora californica	PMCYP0N060	None	None	G1	S1	1B.1
California beaked-rush						
Rhynchospora capitellata	PMCYP0N080	None	None	G5	S1	2B.2
brownish beaked-rush				•		
Rhynchospora globularis	PMCYP0N0W0	None	None	G4	S1	2B.1
		News	There is a set	05	00	
Riparia riparia	ABPA008010	None	Inreatened	G5	52	
		None	Nono	CETO	60	40.0
Point Reves checkerbloom	PDIVIALITUTZ	None	None	G312	52	10.2
		None	None	G5T1	S 1	1B 2
purple-stemmed checkerbloom	TOWALTOTE	None	None	0311	51	10.2
Sneveria zerene myrtleae		Endangered	None	G5T1	S1	
Myrtle's silverspot butterfly		Endurigered	None	0011	01	
Spirinchus thaleichthys	AFCHB03010	Candidate	Threatened	G5	S1	SSC
longfin smelt		Canalaato	medicined	00		000
Streptanthus glandulosus ssp. hoffmanii	PDBRA2G0J4	None	None	G4T2	S2	1B.3
Hoffman's bristly jewelflower				-	-	-
Streptanthus morrisonii ssp. hirtiflorus	PDBRA2G0S2	None	None	G2T1	S1	1B.2
Dorr's Cabin jewelflower	-					
Streptanthus morrisonii ssp. morrisonii	PDBRA2G0S3	None	None	G2T2	S2	1B.2
Morrison's jewelflower						





			_			Rare Plant Rank/CDFV
Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	SSC or FP
Syncaris pacifica	ICMAL27010	Endangered	Endangered	G1	S1	
Taxidea taxus	AMAJF04010	None	None	G5	S3	SSC
American badger						
Thaleichthys pacificus	AFCHB04010	Threatened	None	G5	S3	
eulachon						
Thamnolia vermicularis	NLTES43860	None	None	G3G5	S1	2B.1
whiteworm lichen						
Trifolium amoenum	PDFAB40040	Endangered	None	G1	S1	1B.1
two-fork clover						
Trifolium buckwestiorum	PDFAB402W0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.1
Santa Cruz clover						
Trifolium hydrophilum	PDFAB400R5	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
saline clover						
Triphysaria floribunda	PDSCR2T010	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
San Francisco owl's-clover						
Triquetrella californica	NBMUS7S010	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
coastal triquetrella						
Tryonia imitator	IMGASJ7040	None	None	G2	S2	
mimic tryonia (=California brackishwater snail)						
Usnea longissima	NLLEC5P420	None	None	G4	S4	4.2
Methuselah's beard lichen						
Vespericola marinensis	IMGASA4140	None	None	G2	S2	
Marin hesperian						
Viburnum ellipticum	PDCPR07080	None	None	G4G5	S3?	2B.3
oval-leaved viburnum						

Record Count: 137

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

Russian River-Cotati Intertie Pipeline Seismic Hazard Mitigation at the Mark West Crossing Project

IPaC Trust Resources Report

Generated Warch 18, 2016 AM MDT, IPaC v3.0.0

This report is for informational purposes only and should not be used for planning or analyzing project level impacts. For project reviews that require U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service review or concurrence, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list from the Regulatory Documents page.



IPaC - Information for Planning and Conservation (<u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/</u>): A project planning tool to help streamline the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service environmental review process.

Table of Contents

IPaC Trust Resources Report	<u>1</u>
Project Description	<u>1</u>
Endangered Species	2
Migratory Birds	<u>6</u>
Refuges & Hatcheries	8
Wetlands	<u>9</u>

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service IPaC Trust Resources Report



NAME

Russian River-Cotati Intertie Pipeline Seismic Hazard Mitigation at the Mark West Crossing Project

LOCATION

Sonoma County, California

IPAC LINK

https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/project/ GTTBC-SBF4V-DC7IA-YIYAF-I4LA6M



U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Contact Information

Trust resources in this location are managed by:

Sacramento Fish And Wildlife Office

Federal Building 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825-1846 (916) 414-6600

Endangered Species

Proposed, candidate, threatened, and endangered species are managed by the <u>Endangered Species Program</u> of the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.

This USFWS trust resource report is for informational purposes only and should not be used for planning or analyzing project level impacts.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list from the Regulatory Documents section.

<u>Section 7</u> of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency.

A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can only be obtained by requesting an official species list either from the Regulatory Documents section in IPaC or from the local field office directly.

The list of species below are those that may occur or could potentially be affected by activities in this location:

Amphibians

California Red-legged Frog Rana draytonii

Threatened

CRITICAL HABITAT There is **final** critical habitat designated for this species. https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=D02D

Birds

Marbled Murrelet Brachyramphus marmoratus	Threatened
CRITICAL HABITAT	
There is final critical habitat designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B08C	
Northern Spotted Owl Strix occidentalis caurina	Threatened
CRITICAL HABITAT	
There is final critical habitat designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B08B	
Yellow-billed Cuckoo Coccyzus americanus	Threatened
CRITICAL HABITAT	
There is proposed critical habitat designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B06R	
Crustaceans	
California Freshwater Shrimp Syncaris pacifica	Endangered
CRITICAL HABITAT	
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=K01W	
Fishes	
Steelhead Oncorhynchus (=Salmo) mykiss	Threatened
CRITICAL HABITAT	
There is final critical habitat designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=E08D	

Flowering Plants

Baker's Larkspur Delphinium bakeri	Endangered
CRITICAL HABITAT	
There is final critical habitat designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=Q0LZ	
Pennell's Bird's-beak Cordylanthus tenuis ssp. capillaris	Endangered
CRITICAL HABITAT No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=Q2O8	
Sebastopol Meadowfoam Limnanthes vinculans	Endangered
CRITICAL HABITAT	
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=Q1Y1	
Showy Indian Clover Trifolium amoenum	Endangered
CRITICAL HABITAT	
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=Q238	
Sonoma Alopecurus Alopecurus aequalis var. sonomensis	Endangered
CRITICAL HABITAT	
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=Q01F	
Yellow Larkspur Delphinium luteum	Endangered
CRITICAL HABITAT	
There is final critical habitat designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=Q0M2	
Insects	
Myrtle's Silverspot Butterfly Speyeria zerene myrtleae	Endangered
CRITICAL HABITAT	
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=I00N	
San Bruno Elfin Butterfly Callophrys mossii bayensis	Endangered
CRITICAL HABITAT	
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=I00Q	

Critical Habitats

This location overlaps all or part of the critical habitat for the following species:

Chinook Salmon Critical Habitat Final designated

https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=E06D#crithab

Steelhead Critical Habitat Final designated

https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=E08D#crithab

Migratory Birds

Birds are protected by the <u>Migratory Bird Treaty Act</u> and the <u>Bald and Golden Eagle</u> <u>Protection Act</u>.

Any activity that results in the take of migratory birds or eagles is prohibited unless authorized by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.^[1] There are no provisions for allowing the take of migratory birds that are unintentionally killed or injured.

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in the take of migratory birds is responsible for complying with the appropriate regulations and implementing appropriate conservation measures.

1. 50 C.F.R. Sec. 10.12 and 16 U.S.C. Sec. 668(a)

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Birds of Conservation Concern <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/managed-species/</u> <u>birds-of-conservation-concern.php</u>
- Conservation measures for birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/project-assessment-tools-and-guidance/</u> <u>conservation-measures.php</u>
- Year-round bird occurrence data <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/project-assessment-tools-and-guidance/</u> <u>akn-histogram-tools.php</u>

The following species of migratory birds could potentially be affected by activities in this location:

Allen's Hummingbird Selasphorus sasin	Bird of conservation concern
Season: Breeding	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0LI	
Bald Eagle Haliaeetus leucocephalus	Bird of conservation concern
Year-round	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B008	
Bell's Sparrow Amphispiza belli	Bird of conservation concern
Year-round	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0HE	
Burrowing Owl Athene cunicularia	Bird of conservation concern
Year-round	
https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0NC	

Fox Sparrow Passerella iliaca Season: Wintering	Bird of conservation concern
Lesser Yellowlegs Tringa flavipes Season: Wintering https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0MD	Bird of conservation concern
Lewis's Woodpecker Melanerpes lewis Season: Wintering https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0HQ	Bird of conservation concern
Long-billed Curlew Numenius americanus Season: Wintering https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B06S	Bird of conservation concern
Nuttall's Woodpecker Picoides nuttallii Year-round https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0HT	Bird of conservation concern
Oak Titmouse Baeolophus inornatus Year-round https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0MJ	Bird of conservation concern
Olive-sided Flycatcher Contopus cooperi Season: Breeding https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0AN	Bird of conservation concern
Peregrine Falcon Falco peregrinus Year-round https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0FU	Bird of conservation concern
Rufous-crowned Sparrow Aimophila ruficeps Year-round https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0MX	Bird of conservation concern
Short-billed Dowitcher Limnodromus griseus Season: Wintering https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0JK	Bird of conservation concern
Short-eared Owl Asio flammeus Season: Wintering https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0HD	Bird of conservation concern
Western Grebe aechmophorus occidentalis Year-round https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0EA	Bird of conservation concern
Yellow Warbler dendroica petechia ssp. brewsteri Season: Breeding https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0EN	Bird of conservation concern

Wildlife refuges and fish hatcheries

There are no refuges or fish hatcheries in this location

Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army</u> <u>Corps of Engineers District</u>.

DATA LIMITATIONS

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

DATA EXCLUSIONS

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

DATA PRECAUTIONS

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies. Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

This location overlaps all or part of the following wetlands:

Riverine <u>R2UBH</u>

60.0 acres

A full description for each wetland code can be found at the National Wetlands Inventory website: <u>http://107.20.228.18/decoders/wetlands.aspx</u>
Appendix F

Noise Impact Calculations

Noise Calculations for the Russian River-Co	tati Intertie Pipeline	e Seismic Hazard N	litigation at the	Table NOI APPX-2: Sum	nary Table of Distance	s to Project Site and
Mark West Crossing Project				Corresponding Noise Le	vels	
				Distance (feet) from Middle of Nearest Project Area to	Noise level (dBA) from Project Construction	Noise Level Equation: Leq = EL50-20*log(D/50); where EL50 =
Construction Equipment 1 (Sonic Pile Driver)	9	6 dBA at 50 feet		Sensitive Receptors	Activities	Ltotal at 50 feet
Construction Equipment 2 (Scraper)	8	9 dBA at 50 feet		50	96.8	
				100	90.8	
Combined Noise at 50 feet (Ltotal at 50 feet)	96.	8 dBA		250	82.8	
Ltotal=10 log(10^L1/10+10^L2/10)				445	77.8	Mirabel Campers
				500	76.8	
Table NOI APPX-1: Noise Threshold Limits and	Distances from Project	t Site to those Limit	S	597	75.3	Nearest Residence
Noise Threshold	Threshold Level (dBA)	Distance to Threshold from Middle of Project Site (feet)		650	74.5	
Daytime Limit (permanent noise sources) -						
Sonoma County General Plan	5	5 6,144		750	73.3	
Nighttime Limit (permanent noise sources) -						
Sonoma County General Plan	4	5 19,430		1000	70.8	
Daytime Limit (construction sources) -Federal						
Transportation Administration (FTA 2006)	9	0 109		1500	67.2	
		_		1750	65.9	
Table NOI APPX-3: Nearest Sensitive Receptors	and Distances from			2000	64.7	
Middle of Work Area				2500	62.8	
Sensitive Receptor	Distance (feet)			3000	61.2	
Mirabel Park Campers (from middle of work						
area)	44	5		5000	56.8	
Nearest Permanent Residence (from middle of						

Table NOI APPX- 4: Vibration Source Levels fo	r Construction Equipme	ent (FTA 2006)
	PPV at 25 feet	LV25
Pile Driver (sonic) (typical levels)	0.17	93
Vibratory roller	0.21	L 94

597

work area)

Table NOI APPX-5: Vibration Calculations with Equations for		
Loudest Equipment (Vibratory Roller)		
	Distance to Threshold	
	from Middle of Project	
Threshold	Site (feet)	
Building Threshold (PPV)=PPVref *		
(25/d)^1.5	36	
Human Annoyance Threshold (Lvd)=Lvref-		
30log(D/25)	158	
where PPVref = 0.12 and Lvref = 70		

Vibration Calculations for Other Vibration-Causing Equipment:

Use of a Sonic Pile Driver (typical):	
PPV=PPVref * (25/d)^1.5	32 feet
Lvd=Lvref-30log(D/25)	214 feet

Table NOI APPX- 6: Vibration Levels at Nearest Receptor for Construction Equipment (FTA 200

	LV25	LV445 (Mirabel)
Pile Driver (sonic)		
(typical levels)	93	55
Vibratory roller	94	56
Large bulldozer	87	49
Loaded trucks	86	48
Jackhammer	79	41
Small bulldozer	58	20

Appendix G

Cultural Resources Report

A Cultural Resources Survey for the Mark West Creek Crossing Project, Forestville, Sonoma County, California

Rachel Hennessy

Thomas M. Origer, M.A. Registered Professional Archaeologist (#10333)

September 11, 2015



A Cultural Resources Survey for the Mark West Creek Crossing Project, Forestville, Sonoma County, California

Prepared by:

Rachel Hennessy

Thomas M. Origer, M.A. Registered Professional Archaeologist (#10333)

> Tom Origer & Associates Post Office Box 1531 Rohnert Park, California 94927 (707) 584-8200

> > Prepared for:

Connie Barton Sonoma County Water Agency 404 Aviation Boulevard Santa Rosa, California 95403

September 11, 2015

ABSTRACT

Tom Origer & Associates conducted a cultural resources survey for the Mark West Creek Crossing Project near Forestville, Sonoma County, California. The project consists of replacing the water pipes on the north and south sides of the Mark West Creek. The project is located approximately 2,300 feet east of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Road. Survey included augering on the north and south sides of the creek. The survey was requested by Connie Barton of the Sonoma County Water Agency.

This study included archival research at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University (NWIC File No. 15-0322), examination of the library and files of Tom Origer & Associates, contact with Native American communities, and field inspection of the project location. Documentation pertaining to this study is on file at the offices of Tom Origer & Associates (File No. 15-073).

Confidentiality Statement: This report contains information regarding locations of archaeological resources. These resources are vulnerable to vandalism, and are protected by law. To safeguard these resources, this report should not be circulated publicly.

Synopsis

Project:	Mark West Creek Crossing
Location:	2,300 feet east of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Road, Forestville,
	Sonoma County, California.
Quadrangles:	Camp Meeker 7.5' series
Study Type:	Intensive Survey
Scope:	Intensive survey plus augering
Finds:	None

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	.i .i
INTRODUCTION	1
REGULATORY CONTEXT Resource Definitions Significance Criteria	1 1 2
PROJECT SETTING	4
Study Area Location and Description	4
Cultural Setting	4
Native American Contact	6
Archival Study Procedures	6
Archival Study Findings	6
Field Survey Procedures	7
Field Survey Findings	7
RECOMMENDATIONS	7
SUMMARY	8
MATERIALS CONSULTED	9

FIGURES

Figure 1.	Project vicinity	.2
Figure 2.	Study location	.5

INTRODUCTION

This report describes a cultural resources survey conducted for the Mark West Creek Crossing Project near Forestville, Sonoma County, California. The study area is in west-central Sonoma County, about 2,300 feet east of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Road (Figure 1). The study was requested by Connie Barton of the Sonoma County Water Agency. This project includes the replacement of a portion of the Russian River - Cotati Intertie maintained by the Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA).

This project is subject to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Documentation pertaining to this study is on file at Tom Origer & Associates (File No. 15-073).

REGULATORY CONTEXT

When a project might affect a cultural resource, the project proponent is required to conduct an assessment to determine whether the effect may be one that is significant. Consequently, it is necessary to determine the importance of resources that could be affected. Because this project will have potential permitting from both state and federal agencies, Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the California Environmental Quality Act will apply to the work.

Under Section 106, when a federal agency is involved in an undertaking, it must take into account the effects of the undertaking on historic properties (36CFR Part 800). Compliance with Section 106 requires that agencies make an effort to identify historic properties that might be affected by a project, and gather information to evaluate their eligibility for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places (National Register). Pursuant to Section 106, the goals of this study were to: 1) identify all historic resources within the project area; 2) offer a preliminary evaluation of the significance of the indentified resources; 3) determine resource vulnerability to adverse impacts that could arise from project activities; and 4) offer recommendations designed to protect historic resource values, as warranted.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that cultural resources be considered during the environmental review process. This is achieved by an inventory of resources within a study area and by assessing the potential that cultural resources could be affected by development. This cultural resources survey was designed to satisfy environmental issues specified in the CEQA and its guidelines (Title 14 CCR §15064.5) by: (1) identifying all cultural resources within the project area; (2) offering a preliminary significance evaluation of the identified cultural resources; (3) assessing resource vulnerability to effects that could arise from project activities; and (4) offering suggestions designed to protect resource integrity, as warranted.

Resource Definitions

Cultural resources are classified by the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) as sites, buildings, structures, objects and districts, and each is described by OHP (1995) as follows.

Site. A site is the location of a significant event, a prehistoric or historic occupation or activity, or a building or structure, whether standing, ruined, or vanished, where the location itself possesses historic, cultural, or archaeological value regardless of the value of any existing structure.



Figure 1. Project vicinity (adapted from the 1970 Santa Rosa 1:250,000-scale USGS map).

Building. A building, such as a house, barn, church, hotel, or similar construction, is created principally to shelter any form of human activity. "Building" may also be used to refer to a historically and functionally related unit, such as a courthouse and jail, or a house and barn.

Structure. The term "structure" is used to distinguish from buildings those functional constructions made usually for purposes other than creating human shelter.

Object. The term "object" is used to distinguish from buildings and structures those constructions that are primarily artistic in nature or are relatively small in scale and simply constructed. Although it may be, by nature or design, movable, an object is associated with a specific setting or environment.

District. A district possesses a significant concentration, linkage, or continuity of sites, buildings, structures, or objects united historically or aesthetically by plan or physical development.

Significance Criteria

Under Section 106, the importance of a historic resource is evaluated in terms of National Register criteria put forth in 36CFR60, as follows:

The quality of significance is present in properties that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association, and:

A. That are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or

- B. That are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or
- C. That embody the distinct characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or
- D. That have yielded or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Under CEQA, the importance of a resource is measured in terms of criteria for inclusion on the California Register of Historical Resources (Title 14 CCR, §4852(a)) as listed below. A resource may be important if it meets any one of the criteria below, or if it is already listed on the California Register of Historical Resources or a local register of historical resources.

An important historical resource is one which:

- 1. Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of local or regional history, or the cultural heritage of California or the United States.
- 2. Is associated with the lives of persons important to local, California, or national history.
- 3. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region or method of construction, or represents the work of a master or possesses high artistic values.
- 4. Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important to the pre-history or history of the local area, California, or the nation.

In addition to meeting one or more of the above criteria, eligibility for the California Register requires that a resource retains sufficient integrity to convey a sense of its significance or importance. Seven elements are considered key in considering a property's integrity: location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.

As part of the determination made pursuant to Section 21080.1 of the CEQA, the lead agency shall determine whether the project may have a significant effect on unique archaeological resources. If the lead agency determines that the project may have a significant effect on unique archaeological resources, the environmental impact report shall address the issue of those resources.

A "unique archaeological resource" consists of an archaeological artifact, object, or site about which it can be clearly demonstrated that, without merely adding to the current body of knowledge, there is a high probability that it meets any of the following criteria:

- 1. Contains information needed to answer important scientific research questions and that there is a demonstrable public interest in that information.
- 2. Has a special and particular quality such as being the oldest of its type or the best available example of its type.

3. Is directly associated with a scientifically recognized important prehistoric or historic event or person.

The California Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) suggests that all resources over 45 years old be recorded for inclusion in the OHP filing system (OHP 1995:2), although professional judgment is urged in determining whether a resource warrants documentation.

PROJECT SETTING

Study Area Location and Description

The study area is located approximately 2,300 feet east of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Road near the community of Forestville, as shown on the Camp Meeker, California, 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle (Figure 2). The project consists of replacing pipelines and installing other various supporting components on both sides of Mark West Creek.

Soil in the project area belongs to the Yolo series which is described as overwash, with 0% to 5% slope (Miller 1972:Sheet 72). This soil is commonly found near the Russian River. In an uncultivated state, it supports the growth of forbs, shrubs, wild berry vines, and scattered oak trees. During cultivation, it is used for the growth of orchards, vineyards, row crops, and pastures (Miller 1972:87, 88).

Cultural Setting

Archaeological evidence indicates that human occupation of California began at least 13,000 years ago (Erlandson *et al.* 2007:59). Early occupants appear to have had an economy based largely on hunting, with limited exchange, and social structures based on the extended family unit. Later, milling technology and an inferred acorn economy were introduced. This diversification of economy appears to be coeval with the development of sedentism and population growth and expansion. Sociopolitical complexity and status distinctions based on wealth are also observable in the archaeological record, as evidenced by an increased range and distribution of trade goods (e.g., shell beads, obsidian tool stone), which are possible indicators of both status and increasingly complex exchange systems.

At the time of European settlement, the study area was situated in an area controlled by the Southern Pomo (Barrett 1908; McLendon and Oswalt 1978). The Southern Pomo were hunter-gatherers who lived in rich environments that allowed for dense populations with complex social structures (Barrett 1908; Kroeber 1925). They settled in large, permanent villages about which were distributed seasonal camps and task-specific sites. Primary village sites were occupied continually throughout the year and other sites were visited in order to procure particular resources that were especially abundant or available only during certain seasons. Sites often were situated near fresh water sources and in ecotones where plant life and animal life were diverse and abundant. For more information about the Pomo see Bean and Theodoratus (1978), Kniffen (1939), and Stewart (1943).



Figure 2. Study location (adapted from the Camp Meeker, Guerneville, Healdsburg, and Sebastopol 7.5' USGS maps dated 1971, 1973, 1980, and 1980, respectively).

STUDY PROCEDURES AND FINDINGS

Native American Contact

A letter was sent to the State of California's Native American Heritage Commission seeking information from their sacred lands files and the names of Native American individuals and groups that would be appropriate to contact regarding this project. Letters were also sent to the local Native American groups (see Appendix A).

Archival Study Procedures

Archival research included examination of the library and project files at Tom Origer & Associates, and a review (NWIC File No. 15-0322) of the archaeological site base maps and records, survey reports, and other materials on file at the Northwest Information Center (NWIC), Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park. Sources of information included but were not limited to the current listings of properties on the National Register of Historic Places (National Register), California Historical Landmarks, California Register of Historical Resources (California Register), and California Points of Historical Interest, as listed in the Office of Historic Preservation's *Historic Property Directory* (OHP 2012).

In addition, ethnographic literature that describes appropriate Native American groups, county histories, and other primary and secondary sources were reviewed. Sources reviewed are listed in the "Materials Consulted" section of this report.

Historical maps were examined to gain insight into the nature and extent of historical development in the general vicinity, and especially within the study area. Maps reviewed ranged from hand-drawn maps of the 1800s (e.g., General Land Office, county maps and atlases) to topographic maps issued by the United States Geological Survey and the United States Army Corps of Engineers during the 20th century.

Archival Study Findings

Review of the NWIC base maps found that the study area had not been surveyed previously. Review found that three previous surveys are within a 1/2 mile of the study area; however, none of those found cultural resources are in settings similar to the study area (Beard and Quinn 2001; Origer 1994; Loyd 1993).

According to Barrett (1908:222), at least three villages were nearby; however, none are within two miles of the project area.

Cooper's sawmill was built in 1834 on Mark West Creek approximately 1/3 mile east of its confluence with the Russian River, and it washed away in a flood during the winter of 1840/41 (Department of Parks and Recreation 1976:77). The placement of the mill 1/3 mile upstream from the Mark West Creek/Russian River confluence is problematic. Old maps show the confluence being from 1/2 mile to 3/4 mile downstream of the current confluence location. Maps suggest that the Russian River course has changed during historic times to a more southerly position where it may have taken over the downstream portion of Mark West Creek. That being the case, Cooper's sawmill would have been situated approximately 1/2 mile downstream of the project site near Mirabel Park (see Department of Parks and Recreation 1976:77).

Review of historical maps found that there were no other known or suspected historical buildings or features in the study area (GLO 1864; Thompson 1877, USACE 1929; USGS 1942, 1954).

Field Survey Procedures

A field survey was completed by the authors on September 3, 2015. The entire study area was examined intensively by walking in transects less than 10 meters apart. Ground visibility was very good. One auger boring was made on each side of Mark West Creek to examine subsurface soils. Auger borings extended down to 120 centimeters.

Field Survey Findings

No cultural resources were found during the field survey.

RECOMMENDATIONS

No cultural resources were found during the survey. However, because there is a remote possibility that Cooper's Sawmill could once have stood on the banks of Mark West Creek near the current project area, we recommend that ground disturbing activities be carefully watched for evidence of the sawmill. Evidence could include buried beams, boards, and/or metal objects that may have been associated with the sawmill.

Accidental Discovery

There is the possibility that buried archaeological deposits could be present, and accidental discovery could occur. There is the slight possibility that buried archaeological materials could be found. If buried materials are encountered, all soil disturbing work should be halted at the location of any discovery until a qualified archaeologist completes a significance evaluation of the find(s) pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (36CFR60.4). Prehistoric archaeological site indicators expected within the general area include: chipped chert and obsidian tools and tool manufacture waste flakes; grinding and hammering implements that look like fist-size river tumbled stones; and for some rare sites, locally darkened soil that generally contains abundant archaeological specimens. Historic remains expected in the general area commonly include items of ceramic, glass, and metal. Features that might be present include structure remains (e.g., cabins or their foundations) and pits containing historic artifacts.

In keeping with the CEQA guidelines, if archaeological remains are uncovered (see above), work at the place of discovery should be halted immediately until a qualified archaeologist can evaluate the finds (§15064.5 [f]).

The following actions are promulgated in Public Resources Code 5097.98 and Health and Human Safety Code 7050.5, and pertain to the discovery of human remains. If human remains are encountered, excavation or disturbance of the location must be halted in the vicinity of the find, and the county coroner contacted. If the coroner determines the remains are Native American, the coroner will contact the Native American Heritage Commission. The Native American Heritage Commission will identify the person or persons believed to be most likely descended from the deceased Native

American. The most likely descendent makes recommendations regarding the treatment of the remains with appropriate dignity.

SUMMARY

Tom Origer & Associates conducted a cultural resources survey for the Mark West Creek Crossing Project near Forestville, Sonoma County, California. The survey was requested by Connie Barton of the Sonoma County Water Agency. The project is located approximately 2,300 feet east of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Road.

No archaeological resources were found during the survey. We recommend that during earthdisturbing activities one pays close attention to possible features associated with Cooper's Sawmill.

MATERIALS CONSULTED

Barrett, S.

1908 *The Ethno-Geography of the Pomo and Neighboring Indians.* University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology Vol. 6, No. 1. University of California Press, Berkeley.

Bean, L. and D. Theodoratus

1978 Western Pomo and Northeast Pomo. In *California*, edited by R. Heizer, pp. 289-305, Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8, W. Sturtevant, general editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Beard, V.

1997 *Cultural Resources Study of a 41-AcreParcel at 6030 Anderson Road, Forestville, Sonoma County, California.* Document S-20249 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.

Beard, V. and J. Quinn

2001 Negative Historic Property Survey Report for the Wohler Road at Mark West Creek Bridge Replacement Project, Sonoma County, California. Document S-23966 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.

Bowers, A.

1867 Map of Sonoma County, California. 2nd edition. A. Bowers.

Department of Parks and Recreation

1976 *California Inventory of Historic Resources*. Department of Parks and Recreation, California State Park System. Sacramento.

Erlandson, J., T. Rick, T. Jones, and J. Porcasi

2007 One if by Land Two if by Sea: Who Were the First Californians. In *California Prehistory*, T. Jones and K. Klaar editors (pp 53-62). AltaMira Press. Lanham, MD.

Fredrickson, D.

1984 The North Coastal Region. In *California Archaeology*, edited by M. Moratto. Academic Press, San Francisco.

General Land Office

1857 Plat of the Molinos Rancho. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.

Weeks, K. and A. Grimmer

1995 The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring & Reconstructing Historic Buildings. National Park Service, Washington, D.C.

Hoover, M., H. Rensch, E. Rensch, W. Abeloe

1966 *Historic Spots in California.* 3rd edition. Stanford University Press. Stanford.

Hoover, M., H. Rensch, E. Rensch, W. Abeloe, and D. Kyle

1990 Historic Spots in California. 4th edition, Stanford University Press. Stanford.

King, R.

- 1973 Archaeological Site Survey Record for CA-SON-708. Document on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.
- King, T., R. King, and B. Goerke
- 1973 Archaeological Site Survey Record for CA-SON-709. Document on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.

Kniffen, F.

1939 *Pomo Geography.* University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 36. Berkeley.

Kroeber, A.

1925 *Handbook of the Indians of California.* Bureau of American Ethnology, Bulletin 78, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Kuhn, S.

1979 Archaeological Survey of the Batchelder Minor Subdivision (MS 7039), Sonoma County, California. Document S-1846 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.

Loyd, J.

1993 An Archaeological Survey for the River Road at Mirabel Road Signal Installation Project, Sonoma County, California. Document 93-03 on file at Tom Origer and Associates, Santa Rosa, California.

McLendon, S. and R. Oswalt

1978 Pomo: Introduction. In *California*, edited by R. Heizer, pp. 274-288. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8, W. Sturtevant, general editor. Smithsonian Institu-tion, Washington, D.C.

Meighan, C.

1955 Archaeology of the North Coast Ranges, California. Reports of the University of California Archaeological Survey No. 30. University of California, Berkeley.

Miller, V.

1972 *Soil Survey of Sonoma County, California.* U.S. Department of Agriculture in co-operation with the University of California Agricultural Experiment Station.

Moratto, M.

1984 California Archaeology. Academic Press, San Francisco.

Origer, T.

1994 *A Cultural Resources Survey for Kirkland Vineyard, Napa County, California.* Document 94-01 on file at Tom Origer & Associates, Santa Rosa, California.

Office of Historic Preservation

1995 Instructions for Recording Historic Resources. Office of Historic Preservation, Sacramento.

2004 *Historic Property Directory*. Office of Historic Preservation, Sacramento.

Reynolds, W. and T. Proctor

1898 Illustrated Atlas of Sonoma County, California. Reynolds and Proctor, Santa Rosa.

State of California Department of Parks and Recreation

1976 California Inventory of Historic Resources. Department of Parks and Recreation, Sacramento.

Stewart, O.

1943 *Notes on Pomo Ethnogeography.* University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology Vol. 40, No. 2. University of California Press, Berkeley.

Thompson, T.H. & Co.

1877 Historical Atlas Map of Sonoma County, California. T.H. Thompson & Co., Oakland.

United States Army Corps of Engineers

1922 Sebastopol, California 15' map. Geological Survey, Washington D.C.

United States Geological Survey

1915 Sebastopol, California 15' map. Geological Survey, Washington D. C.

- 1942 Sebastopol, California 15' map. Geological Survey, Washington D. C.
- 1954 Camp Meeker, California 7.5' map. Geological Survey, Washington D. C.

APPENDIX A

Native American Contact

Native American Contact Efforts for the Mark West Creek Crossing Project, Forestville, Sonoma County, California

Organization	Letters	Results
Native American Heritage Commission	08/21/15	No comments have been received as of the date of this report.
 Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria Greg Sarris Buffy McQuillen Peter Nelson 	08/21/15	No comments have been received as of the date of this report.
Suki Waters	08/21/15	No comments have been received as of the date of this report.
 <u>Stewarts Point Rancheria</u> Reno Keoni Franklin Lorin Smith 	08/21/15	No comments have been received as of the date of this report.

Sacred Lands File & Native American Contacts List Request

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

1550 Harbor Blvd., Suite 100 West Sacramento, CA 95691 (916) 373-3710 (916) 373-5471 – Fax nahc@nahc.ca.gov

Information Below is Required for a Sacred Lands File Search

Project: Mark West Creek Crossing County: Sonoma County

USGS Quadrangles

Name: Camp Meeker Township T8N Range R9W Section(s) 32 MDBM

Date: August 21, 2015 Company/Firm/Agency: Tom Origer & Associates Contact Person: Rachel Hennessy

 Address: PO Box 1531

 City: Rohnert Park
 Zip: 94927

 Phone: (707) 584-8200
 Fax: (707) 584-8300

 Email: rachel@origer.com

Project Description:

The Mark West Creek Crossing Project is located 1,200 feet northeast of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Avenue. The project consists of replacing underground pipe connections and installing supporting components on the north and south sides of the Russian River. This project is proposed by the Sonoma County Water Agency.



Archaeology / Historical Research

August 21, 2015

Greg Sarris Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria 6400 Redwcod Drive, Suite 300 Rohnert Park, CA 94928

Re: Mark West Creck Crossing Project. Sonoma County, California

Dear Mr. Sarris:

I write to notify you of a proposed Sonema County Water Agency Project, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The Mark West Creek Crossing Project is located 1,200 feet northeast of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Avenue. The project consists of replacing underground pipe connections and installing supporting components on the nerth and south sides of the Russian River.

Enclosed is a portion of the Camp Meeker. California 7.5* USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,

Rachel Hennessy Associate

P.O. Box 1531, Rohnert Park, California 94927 🔹 www.origer.com Phone (707) 584-8200

Archaeology / Historical Research

August 21, 2015

Buffy McQuillen Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria 6400 Redwood Drive, Suite 300 Rohnert Park, CA 94928

Re: Mark West Creek Crossing Project. Sonoma County, California

Dear Buffy McQuillen:

I write to notify you of a proposed Sonoma County Water Agency Project, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The Mark West Creek Crossing Project is located 1,200 feet northeast of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Avenue. The project consists of replacing underground pipe connections and installing supporting components on the north and south sides of the Russian River.

Enclosed is a portion of the Camp Mecker, California 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,

Rachel Hennessy Associate

P.O. Box 1581, Rohbert Park, California 94927 🔸 www.origer.com Phone (707) 584-6200

Archaeology / Historical Research

August 21, 2015

Peter Nelson Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria 6400 Redwcod Drive, Suite 300 Rohnert Park, CA 94928

Re: Mark West Creek Crossing Project. Sonoma County, California

Dear Mr. Nelson:

I write to notify you of a proposed Sonoma County Water Agency Project, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The Mark West Creck Crossing Project is located 1,200 feet northeast of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Avenue. The project consists of replacing underground pipe connections and installing supporting components on the north and south sides of the Russian River.

Enclosed is a portion of the Camp Meeker, California 7.5° USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,

Rachel Hennessy Associate

Archaeo ogy / Historical Research

August 21, 2015

Suki Waters P.O. Box 53 Jenner, California 95450

Re: Mark West Creek Crossing Project, Sonoma County, California

Dear Suki Waters:

I write to notify you of a proposed Sonoma County Water Agency Project, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The Mark West Creek Crossing Project is located 1.200 feet northeast of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Avenue. The project consists of replacing underground pipe connections and installing supporting components on the north and south sides of the Russian River.

Enclosed is a portion of the Camp Meeker, California 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,

0 Rachel Hennessy Associate

P.O. Box 1531, Rohnert Park, California 94927 🔸 www.origer.com Phone (707) 584-8200

Archaeology / Historical Research

August 21, 2015

Reno Keoni Franklin Tribal Chairman Stewarts Point Rancheria 1420 Guerneville Road, Suite 1 Santa Rosa, Ca 95403

Re: Mark West Creek Crossing Project, Sonoma County, California

Dear Mr. Franklin:

I write to notify you of a proposed Sonoma County Water Agency Project, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The Mark West Creek Crossing Project is located 1,200 feet northeast of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Avenue. The project consists of replacing underground pipe connections and installing supporting components on the north and south sides of the Russian River.

Enclosed is a portion of the Camp Meeker, California 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,

Rachel Hennessy Associate

P.O. Box 1531, Rohnert Park, California 94927 🔸 www.origer.com Phone (707) 584-8200

Archaeology / Historical Research

August 21, 2015

Lorin Smith Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Stewarts Point Rancheria 1420 Guerneville Road, Suite 1 Santa Rosa, Ca 95403

Re: Mark West Creek Crossing Project. Sonoma County, California

Dear Lorin Smith:

I write to notify you of a proposed Sonoma County Water Agency Project, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The Mark West Creck Crossing Project is located 1,200 feet northeast of the intersection of River Road and Mirabel Avenue. The project consists of replacing underground pipe connections and installing supporting components on the north and south sides of the Russian River.

Enclosed is a portion of the Camp Meeker, California 7.5* USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely. **Rachel Hennessy** Associate

P.O. Box 1551, Rohnert Park, California 94927 • www.origer.com Phone (707) 584-8200

Appendix H

Notice of Preparation of Initial Study



Notice of Preparation

of

Initial Study

August 27, 2015

Russian River-Cotati Intertie Pipeline Seismic Hazard Mitigation at the Mark West Creek Crossing Project

TO:	State Clearinghouse	Lead Agency:	Sonoma County Water Agency
	Responsible and Trustee Agencies		404 Aviation Blvd.
	Interested Agencies and Parties		Santa Rosa, CA 95403

The Sonoma County Water Agency (Water Agency) is preparing an Initial Study for the Mark West Creek Water Transmission Pipeline Seismic Hazard Mitigation Project (proposed project). An Initial Study is a preliminary analysis of a project's potential environmental impacts used to determine whether a Negative Declaration or an Environmental Impact Report will be prepared. It is a public document that analyzes the potential environmental effects related to construction, operation, and maintenance of a project and describes ways to reduce or avoid possible environmental impacts.

The Initial Study for the proposed project will be prepared in accordance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the State CEQA Guidelines, and the Water Agency's *Procedures for the Implementation of CEQA*. The Water Agency will be the Lead Agency pursuant to CEQA, and will consider all comments received in response to this Notice of Preparation (NOP), including comments from responsible and trustee agencies, property owners, and interested parties, regarding the scope and content of the information to be included in the Initial Study. This NOP describes the proposed project that will be analyzed in the Initial Study and identifies the issue areas that will be studied during the environmental review. Agencies and interested members of the public are invited to provide input on the scope of the environmental analysis to be evaluated.

SONOMA COUNTY WATER AGENCY

The Water Agency is a special district created by the California Legislature and operates under the direction of a Board of Directors, composed of the members of the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors. The Water Agency's powers and duties, as authorized by the California Legislature, include the production and supply of surface water and groundwater for beneficial uses, control of floodwaters, generation of electricity, providing of recreational facilities in connection with the Water Agency's facilities, and the treatment and disposal of wastewater.

PROJECT BACKGROUND

The Water Agency owns, operates, and maintains a 48-inch diameter steel water supply pipeline (referred to as the Russian River-Cotati Intertie) that crosses the southern and eastern aqueduct transmission lines and crosses the Russian River in Sonoma County. The Russian River-Cotati Intertie provides essential water service to 600,000 residents and

businesses within the Water Agency's service area in portions of Sonoma and Marin counties. The pipeline conveys water from collector wells near the Russian River to customers in the Water Agency's service area. Constructed in 1975 through open-cut trenching methods, the pipeline is buried at a relatively shallow depth (approximately 7 feet below ground surface) across the Mark West Creek channel and stream banks, and crosses seismically unstable terrain.

In 2002, the U.S. Geological Survey-led Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities determined the probability of a major earthquake in the San Francisco Bay Area in the next 25 years is 62%, with a 27% chance that one will occur on the Rodger's Creek/Hayward Fault (USGS 2003). To identify and reduce potential adverse effects of an earthquake in their service area, the Water Agency prepared a Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (LHMP) (approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) January 23, 2008). The LHMP identifies the Russian River-Cotati Intertie crossing of the Mark West Creek as vulnerable to potential ground deformation, liquefaction, and lateral spread resulting from strong ground shaking in the soil at or below the elevation of the pipeline. The LHMP states that pipeline failure from an earthquake would isolate the Mirabel collector wells from the Russian River-Cotati Intertie Pipeline. As a result, water supplies would be limited for residence(s) and businesses in the Water Agency's service area.

PROJECT NEED AND OBJECTIVES

The proposed project is needed to address seismic concerns related to reliable delivery of water to the Water Agency's service area and prevent the loss of an essential water service due to a moderate or severe earthquake along the Rodger's Creek/Hayward Fault.

Objectives of the proposed project are to:

- maintain safe and reliable water service to the entire population within Water Agency's service area (over 600,000 people and businesses);
- maintain support for firefighting capability; and
- avoid economic losses to local businesses as a result of pipeline rupture.

PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The proposed project is located approximately 350 feet north of the intersection of River Road and Trenton Road near the community of Forestville in unincorporated Sonoma County (see Figure 1). The Project site encompasses the banks and upland areas on both sides of the Mark West Creek channel, approximately 0.2 mile downstream (west) from Wohler Road Bridge.

The proposed project would abandon and replace a section of the Russian River Cotati Intertie as it crosses through Mark West Creek, which is a tributary to the Russian River.

It is anticipated that the Russian River-Cotati Intertie would be temporarily out of service to connect the new pipeline. The Water Agency would provide advanced notification of water service interruptions (at least 24 hours) to affected water users in the service area. Service interruptions would not last more than 48 hours, and are not anticipated to occur more than two times throughout Project construction.
ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED IN THE INITIAL STUDY

In accordance with CEQA, the Initial Study would address the potential environmental impacts, either individually or cumulatively, associated with the construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed project. Specific areas of analysis may include: Aesthetics, Agricultural and Forest Resources, Air Quality, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Geology and Soils, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, Hydrology and Water Quality, Land Use and Planning, Mineral Resources, Noise, Population and Housing, Public Services, Recreation, Transportation and Traffic, and Public Utilities and Service Systems. Where feasible, mitigation measures will be proposed to avoid or reduce impacts. Other areas of analysis may be added based on input from the public and public agencies during the NOP review period. Decision-makers, responsible and trustee agencies under CEQA, and interested persons and parties will also have an opportunity to comment on the applicable CEQA document, as determined by the Initial Study (EIR or Negative Declaration) after it is published and circulated for public review.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD FOR THIS NOTICE OF PREPARATION

The public comment period will close at 5:00 p.m. on Monday, September 28, 2015, which is 32 days after the date of publication. Please include a name, address, and telephone number of a contact person for all future correspondence on this subject. Please send comments to:

Sonoma County Water Agency Attn: Connie Barton 404 Aviation Boulevard Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Comments may also be submitted electronically to: connie.barton@scwa.ca.gov

Documents or files related to the proposed project are available for review online at www.sonomacountywater.org or at the Water Agency's administrative office located at 404 Aviation Boulevard, Santa Rosa, California, 95403. The NOP will also be available for review at the county library in Forestville.

If you have any questions regarding this Notice of Preparation, or if you wish to update information on our mailing list, please contact Connie Barton at 707-547-1905 or connie.barton@scwa.ca.gov.



Figure 1. Project Location and Vicinity



STATE OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE *of* PLANNING AND RESEARCH STATE CLEARINGHOUSE AND PLANNING UNIT



EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Governor

Notice of Preparation

September 1, 2015

To: Reviewing Agencies

Re: Mark West Creek Crossing SCH# 2015092001

Attached for your review and comment is the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the Mark West Creek Crossing draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

Responsible agencies must transmit their comments on the scope and content of the NOP, focusing on specific information related to their own statutory responsibility, <u>within 30 days of receipt of the NOP from the Lead</u> <u>Agency</u>. This is a courtesy notice provided by the State Clearinghouse with a reminder for you to comment in a timely manner. We encourage other agencies to also respond to this notice and express their concerns early in the environmental review process.

Please direct your comments to:

Connie Barton Sonoma County Water Agency 404 Aviation Blvd. Santa Rosa, CA 95403

with a copy to the State Clearinghouse in the Office of Planning and Research. Please refer to the SCH number noted above in all correspondence concerning this project.

If you have any questions about the environmental document review process, please call the State Clearinghouse at (916) 445-0613.

an Mugan Sincerely,

Scott Aforgan Director, State Clearinghouse

Attachments

cc: Lead Agency

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

SEP - 4 2015

SONOMA COUNTY WATER AGENCY

To: Barton

Proj/Russian River-Cotati Intertie Seismic Hazard Mitigation (Mark West Creek Crossing) 60-64-7 #P4

1400 10th Street P.O. Box 3044 Sacramento, California 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613 FAX (916) 323-3018 www.opr.ca.gov

Document Details Report State Clearinghouse Data Base

				•		
<i>сс</i> µ#	2015092001					
Project Title	Mark West Creek Crossing					
Froject mie	ancy Sonoma County Water Agency					
		<u></u>				
Туре	NOP Notice of Preparation					
Description	The proposed project would abandor	and replace a section of	the Russian River Cotati Intertie as it			
-	crosses through Mark West Creek, which is a tributary to the Russian River. It is anticipated that the Russian River-Cotati Intertie would be temporarily out of service to connect the					
	new pipeline. The Water Agency would provide advanced notification of water service interruptions (at					
	least 24 hours, and are not anticipated to occur more than two times throughout Project construction					
Lead Ageno	cy Contact					
Name	Connie Barton					
Agency	Sonoma County Water Agency					
Phone	707-547-1905	Fax	< compared with the second sec			
email						
Address	404 Aviation Blvd.					
City .	Santa Rosa	State CA	<i>Zip</i> 95403			
Project Loc	ation					
County	Sonoma					
City	Santa Rosa					
Region	Sana (tosa					
Cross Streets	River Road and Wohler Road					
lat/long	38° 29' 33" N / 122° 53' 13" W					
Parcel No						
Township	Range	Section	Base			
Proximity to):					
Highways	101					
Airports						
Railways						
Waterways	Mark West Creek					
Schools						
Land Use						
vois at loouse	Agricultural Lands Air Quality Arabaa	elegia Uistoria, Dialogiaal				
10/201 135425	Aynoutural Lanu, All Quality, Aronacologic-Alstoric, Diological Resources, Geologic/Seistific, Noise;					
1	Public Services, water Quality, water	Supply				
Reviewing	Resources Agency: Department of Bo	pating and Waterways' De	partment of Parks and Recreation.			
Agencies	Department of Water Resources: Department of Fish and Wildlife. Region 3: Native American Heritage					
Ageneids	Commission; California Highway Patrol; Caltrans, District 4; State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Financial Assistance: State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking Water:					
	State vvaler Resources Control Board, Division of vvater Rights; Department of Loxic Substances					
	Control; Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 1; Air Resources Board					
				·		
ate Received	09/01/2015 Start of Review 09	9/01/2015 End of	Review 09/30/2015			

	•		Print Form Appendix C
Notice of Completior	. & Environmental Dc	ocument Transmittal	20150920
Mail to: State Clearinghouse, For Hand Delivery/Street Add	P.O. Box 3044, Sacramento, <i>Iress:</i> 1400 Tenth Street, Sacr	CA 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613 amento, CA 95814	SCH #
Project Title: Mark West Cre	ek Crossing		
Lead Agency: Sonoma Count	v Water Agency	Contact Person	: Connie Barton
Mailing Address: 404 Aviation	Blvd.	Phone: 707-5	47-1905
City: Santa Rosa		Zip: 95403 County: Sonc	oma
Project Location: County: So	noma	City/Nearest Community: Forest	
Cross Streets: River Road and	Wohler Road		Zip Code: <u>95436</u>
Longitude/Latitude (degrees, mir	utes and seconds): <u>38 ° 29</u>	_′ <u>33_</u> ″N/ <u>122_°53_′13_</u> ″V	W Total Acres: 1.30 Acres
Assessor's Parcel No.:		Section: Twp.:	Range: Base:
Within 2 Miles: State Hwy #:	101	Waterways: Mark West Creek	
Airports:		Railways:	Schools:
CEQA: X NOP [Early Cons [Neg Dec (Mit Neg Dec (Draft EIR Supplement/Subsequent EII Prior SCH No.) SEP Other:	NEPA: DI OT	her: Doint Document Final Document Other:
	ISTATE CLE		
General Plan Update General Plan Amendment General Plan Element Community Plan	Specific Plan Master Plan Planned Unit Developme: Site Plan	Rezone Prezone Use Permit Land Division (Subdivision	Annexation Redevelopment Coastal Permit n, etc.) Other:
Development Type:			
Residential: Units	Acres	Transportation. Type	
Commercial:Sa ft	Acres Employees	Mining Mineral	
Industrial: Sq.ft.	Acres Employees	Power: Type	MW
Educational:		Waste Treatment: Type	MGD
Recreational:		Hazardous Waste:Type	
_ Water Facilities: Type	MGD	X Other: Hazard Mitigation	Project
roject Issues Discussed in	Document:		<u>_</u>
Aesthetic/Visual	🗍 Fiscal	Recreation/Parks	Vegetation
	Flood Plain/Flooding	Schools/Universities	Water Quality
Agricultural Land		Septic Systems	X Water Supply/Groundwater
Agricultural Land	Porest Land/Fire Hazard		= 11,5
Agricultural Land Air Quality Archeological/Historical	Geologic/Seismic	Sewer Capacity	Wetland/Riparian
Agricultural Land Air Quality Archeological/Historical Biological Resources	Geologic/Seismic	Sewer Capacity	Wetland/Riparian
Agricultural Land Air Quality Archeological/Historical Biological Resources Coastal Zone	Forest Land/Fire Hazard Geologic/Seismic Minerals Noise	Sewer Capacity Soil Erosion/Compaction/Grac Solid Waste	U Wetland/Riparian ding Growth Inducement Land Use
Agricultural Land Air Quality Archeological/Historical Biological Resources Coastal Zone Drainage/Absorption	Forest Land/Fire Hazard Geologic/Seismic Minerals Noise Population/Housing Balance	Sewer Capacity Soil Erosion/Compaction/Grac Solid Waste Toxic/Hazardous	Wetland/Riparian ding Growth Inducement Land Use Cumulative Effects

Project Description: (please use a separate page if necessary)

The proposed project would abandon and replace a section of the Russian River Cotati Intertie as it crosses through Mark West Creek, which is a tributary to the Russian River.

It is anticipated that the Russian River-Cotati Intertie would be temporarily out of service to connect the new pipeline. The Water Agency would provide advanced notification of water service interruptions (at least 24 hours) to affected water users in the service area. Service interruptions would not last more than 48 hours, and are not anticipated to occur more than two times throughout Project construction.

NOP Distribution List



Dept of Parks & Recreation Environmental Stewardship Section

California Department of Resources, Recycling & Recoverv Sue O'Learv

S.F. Bay Conservation & Dev't. Comm. Steve McAdam

Dept. of Water Resources Resources Agency Nadell Gayou

Fish and Game

Depart, of Fish & Wildlife Scott Flint **Environmental Services** Division

Fish & Wildlife Region 1 Curt Babcock

- Fish & Wildlife Region 1E Laurie Harnsberger Fish & Wildlife Region 2
- Jeff Drongesen Fish & Wildlife Region 3
 - Charles Armor

Fish & Wildlife Region 4 Julie Vance

Fish & Wildlife Region 5 Leslie Newton-Reed Habitat Conservation Program

Fish & Wildlife Region 6 Tiffany Ellis Habitat Conservation Program .

Fish & Wildlife Region 6 I/M Heidi Calvert Invo/Mono, Habitat Conservation Program

Dept. of Fish & Wildlife M George Isaac Marine Region

Other Departments

Food & Agriculture Sandra Schubert Dept. of Food and Aariculture

Depart, of General Services Public School Construction

Dept. of General Services Anna Garbeff Environmental Services Section

Delta Stewardship Council Kevan Samsam

Housing & Comm. Dev. CEQA Coordinator Housing Policy Division

Independent Commissions, Boards

Delta Protection Commission Michael Machado

County: SONAMA	Q2
OES (Office of Emergency Services) Marcia Scully	Caltrans, District 8 Mark Roberts
Native American Heritage	Gayle Rosander
Debbie Treadway	Caltrans, District 10
Public Utilities Commission Supervisor	Caltrans, District 11 Jacob Armstrong
Santa Monica Bay	Caltrans, District 12

Maureen El Harake

Air Resources Board

All Other Projects

Board

Board

Board

Board

Control

Regulation

CEQA Coordinator

Phil Crader

Karen Larsen

Certification Unit

轥

龖

Cathi Slaminski

Transportation Projects

Industrial/Energy Projects

Nesamani Kalandivur

State Water Resources Control

Division of Financial Assistance

State Water Resources Control

State Water Resources Control

Student Intern, 401 Water Quality

State Water Resouces Control

Mike Tollstrup

Regional Programs Unit

Division of Drinking Water

Division of Water Quality

Division of Water Rights

CEQA Tracking Center

Department of Pesticide

Dept. of Toxic Substances

Cal EPA

Restoration Guangyu Wang

State Lands Commission Jennifer Deleona

Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) Cherry Jacques

Cal State Transportation Agency CalSTA

> Caltrans - Division of Aeronautics Philip Crimmins

Caltrans - Planning HQ LD-IGR Terri Pencovic

California Highway Patrol Suzann Ikeuchi Office of Special Projects

Dept. of Transportation

Caltrans, District 1 Rex Jackman

Caltrans, District 2 Marcelino Gonzalez

Caltrans, District 3 Eric Federicks - South Susan Zanchi - North

Caltrans, District 4 Patricia Maurice

Caltrans, District 5 Larry Newland

Caltrans, District 6 Michael Navarro

Caltrans, District 7 Dianna Watson

scн# 2015092001

Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)

> RWQCB 1 Cathleen Hudson North Coast Region (1) RWQCB 2 Environmental Document Coordinator San Francisco Bay Region (2) RWQCB 3 Central Coast Region (3) RWQCB 4 Teresa Rodgers Los Angeles Region (4) RWQCB 5S Central Valley Region (5) 1 Stores RWOCB 5F Central Valley Region (5) Fresno Branch Office RWOCB 5R Central Valley Region (5) Redding Branch Office RWQCB 6 Lahontan Region (6) RWQCB 6V Lahontan Region (6) Victorville Branch Office RWQCB 7 Colorado River Basin Region (7) RWQCB 8 Santa Ana Region (8) RWQCB 9 San Diego Region (9)

Other		
	·	

Conservancy