Guyana Forestry Commission

Guyana REDD+ Monitoring Reporting & Verification System MRVS)

Year 8 Interim Measures Report

1 January 2018 to 31 December 2018

Version 1

22nd November 2019

DISCLAIMER

The GFC advises that it has made every possible effort to provide the most accurate and complete information in the execution of this assignment.

PREFACE

Guyana has commenced implementation of Years 6-9 (2015- 2019) of the MRVS with continued support from the Government of Norway. This is a successor to MRVS Phase 1 implementation under the climate and forest partnership between the Government of Guyana and the Government of the Kingdom of Norway that was initiated in 2009.

Activities for implementation in Years 6-9 will support the establishment and long-term sustainability of a world-class MRVS as a key component of Guyana's national REDD+ programme. This system will provide the basis for verifiably measuring changes in Guyana's forest cover and resultant carbon emissions from Guyana's forests as an underpinning for results-based REDD+ compensation in the long-term.

It is important that the MRVS is a continuous learning process that is progressively improved. This is particularly relevant as the MRV matures and the trends and drivers of forest change are better understood.

Critically, the results generated from the MRV System have potential applications to a range of functions relating to policy setting and decision-making within the natural resources sector and in particular to forest management. Guyana's MRV System has, over the past five years, generated a wealth of data that can be utilized in improving management of the multiple uses of forests. Within the MRVS Year 6 to 9, the application of this data for decision-making will be tested at several levels and scales.

Reporting will continue to be based on the REDD+ Interim Indicators set out in the Joint Concept Note[1] (JCN) or other reporting framework agreed between Guyana and Norway. As appropriate the intention is to further streamline the REDD+ performance indicators. It also represents advancement of the implementation of the actions outlined in the MRVS Roadmap Phase 2, which also look to mainstream the system. Advancements are expected to be made to move to full reporting on emissions and removals by end of this phase.

In 2009 Guyana developed a framework for a national MRVS. This framework was developed as a "Roadmap[2]" that outlines progressive steps over a 3-year period that would build towards a full MRVS being implemented. The aim of the MRVS is to establish a comprehensive, national system to monitor, report and verify forest carbon emissions resulting from deforestation and forest degradation in Guyana. The first year of the roadmap commencement was 2010 which required several initial reporting activities to commence. These were designed to assist in shaping the next steps planned for the following years. In 2014, a Phase 2 Roadmap[3] was developed for the MRVS. The overall objective of the Roadmap Phase 2 seeks to consolidate and expand capacities for national REDD+ monitoring and MRV. This will support Guyana in meeting the evolving international reporting requirements from the UNFCCC as well as continuing to fulfil additional reporting requirements. It will also support Guyana in further developing forest monitoring as a tool for REDD+ implementation.

The initial steps allowed for a historical assessment of forest cover to be completed, key database integration to be fulfilled and for interim/intermediate indicators of emissions from deforestation and forest degradation to be reported for subsequent periods. To date, eight national assessments have been conducted, including the one outlined in this Report. The first assessment period covered 01 October 2009 to 30 September 2010 (Year 1) and the second (Year 2) covered the period 01 October 2010 to 31 December 2011. The third assessment (Year 3) covered the calendar year of 2012, the fourth assessment (Year 4) covers the calendar year of 2013, and the fifth assessment (Year 5) covers the calendar year of 2014. The sixth assessment (Year 6) covers a 24-month period spanning 2015 and 2016, Year 7 a 12-month period - the calendar year of 2017.This report details the assessment findings for Year 8, covering the calendar year of 2018.

---

[1] http://www.lcds.gov.gy/images/stories/Documents/Joint%20Concept%20Note%20%28JCN%29%202012.pdf

[2] http://www.forestry.gov.gy/Downloads/Guyana_MRV_workshop_report_Nov09.pdf

[3] http://www.forestry.gov.gy/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/Guyanas-MRVS-Roadmap-Phase-2-September-2014.pdf

In tandem with the work summarised in this report, an accompanying and closely connected programme of work will continue to be implemented by Guyana Forestry Commission (GFC), with the assistance of Winrock International (WI) to develop a national forest carbon measurement system and related emission factors. This programme will establish national carbon conversion values, expansion factors, wood density and root/shoot ratios as necessary. Additionally, a detailed assessment of key processes affecting forest carbon, including a summary of key results and capacities as well as a long-term monitoring plan for forest carbon, will be further developed. This aspect of the MRVS work, in tandem with continued work as summarized in this report, will enable a range of areas, including forest degradation to be comprehensively monitored, reported and verified at the national scale.

The GFC has attempted to embrace the broader thrust of the MRVS Phase 2 by looking for new and emerging technical solutions across related MRVS areas, as well as to embrace the requirements of implementing a non-REDD+ payment option for the MRVS. This process started Year 6 of the MRVS.

As the MRVS continues to be developed, the reporting in this period, as was the case in previous years will be based on several agreed REDD+ Interim Indicators. The Report therefore aims to fulfil the requirements of several "Interim Indicators for REDD+ Performance in Guyana" for the period 01 January, 2018 to 31 December, 2018, as identified by the JCN Table 2 These intermediate indicators allow for reporting to take place in the interim, while the full MRVS is under development. Concurrently, Guyana's reporting under the MRVS is moving closer to reporting on emissions by drivers of deforestation and forest degradation. This is a new feature of the Year 8 Report. Additionally, this Report describes the satellite imagery and GIS datasets, and processing of these data. It also provides a summary of the 'Interim Measures' that report on Guyana's progress towards implementation of REDD+.

The methods and results of the assessment for the period 01 January 2018 to 31 December 2018 are subject to independent third-party verification. The verification will be conducted annually for Years 6-9 of the MRVS.

Version 1 of the Report will be released for a 6-week period (22nd November 2019 to 3rd January, 2020) for feedback. Following the period of public review, Version 2 of the report will be released and include all comments made under the public review process and feedback to each comment, including corresponding revisions to the report to address these comments where these apply. This Version is subject to independent third-party verification. The final version of the Report (Version 3) includes all elements of Version 2, and additionally, integrates the findings of the verification process, and is made public via the GFC website.

A summarised version of the Report has also been developed and released for public information.

These Reports are issued by the Guyana Forestry Commission (GFC). Indufor Asia Pacific has provided support and advice as directed by the GFC.

James Singh
....

Mr James Singh
Commissioner of Forests
Guyana Forestry Commission

Contact
E-ail: commissioner@forestry.gov.gy

Guyana Forestry Commission

SUMMARY

In 2017 the Monitoring Reporting and Verification System (MRVS) moved into its second phase in line with tasks set out in the MRVS Road Map. This document outlines the stepwise progression and development of the MRVS for the next four years 2017 to 2020.

The framework for Year 8 is progressively moving away from Interim Indicators towards the reporting of total forest carbon emissions and removals, with a focus on reporting emissions. Originally it was intended that the reference measure as well as the interim performance indicators would only apply while aspects of the MRVS were under development and eventually phased out and replaced by a full forest carbon accounting system as methodologies are further developed. Year 8 places Guyana at this stage.

For reference the ongoing comparison of performance for the area-based interim indicators is against the values reported in the 2009 "Benchmark Map[4]". From that point onwards, the reporting periods are numbed sequentially with year 1 covering 2009 to 2010. This report presents the findings of the eight national assessment which spans a twelve-month period, 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2018.

The purpose of the MRVS is to track at a national-level forest change of deforestation and degradation, by change driver. Deforestation is monitored using a national coverage of satellite imagery. A revised method for accounting for Shifting Cultivation has been developed over 2018 and 2019 and this is reflected in the Year 8 forest cover and emissions reporting. Reporting on timber harvesting and illegal logging has been mainstreamed under full emissions accounting using existing methods. Degradation estimates for mining and infrastructure are computed using new methods developed over the years 2018 and 2019, as a part of moving towards a more comprehensive yet sustainable (in terms of financing and technical implementation) MRVS implementation post-2020. This aspect of sustainability refers to determining estimates for mining and infrastructure degradation that do not necessitate costly high-resolution imagery or aerial surveys to derive these estimates. These improvements provide a robust measure of both deforestation and degradation that aligns with Guyana's desire to pursue a low or no-cost REDD+ implementation option – this is an integral part of the Phase 2 objective whilst moving toward full emissions accounting.

Deforestation for the period between 1 January 2018 and 31 December 2018 is estimated at 9 227 ha. This equates to an annualised deforestation rate of 0.051% which is slightly higher than the change reported in the previous year (0.049%). The 2017 rate was the lowest of all annual periods from 2010 to present. As with previous assessments the GFC's deforestation area has been verified by the Durham University (DU) team using a statistically representative independent sample. The area of deforestation reported by DU closely aligns with the values reported by the GFC (see Appendix 4).

The main deforestation driver for the current forest year reported is mining (sites), which accounts for 75% of the deforestation in this period. The majority (78%) of the deforestation is observed in the State Forest Area. The temporal analysis of forest changes post-1990 indicates that most of the change is clustered around existing road infrastructure and navigable rivers. In Year 8 (2018) the change has continued primarily near the footprint of historical change. The findings of this assessment assist to design REDD+ activities that aim to maintain forest cover while enabling continued sustainable development and improved livelihoods for Guyanese.

A summary of the key reporting measures and main results are outlined in Table S1.

[4] Originally the benchmark map was set at February 2009, but due to the lack of cloud-free data the period was extended to September 2009.

Table S1 (a): MRVS Results 2018 (Year 8)

Measure Ref.	Reporting Measure on Spatial Indicators	Indicator	Reporting Unit	Adopted Reference Measure	Year 8	Difference between Year 7 & Reference Measure
					2018	Difference
1	Deforestation Indicator	Rate of conversion of forest area as compared to the agreed reference level.	*Rate of change (%)/yr*	0.275%	0.051%	0.22%
2	Degradation Indicators	National area of Intact Forest Landscape (IFL). Change in IFL post Year 1, following consideration of exclusion areas.	*ha*	7 604 820	7 603 568 (214 ha loss)	214 ha loss in year 2018

Table S1 (b): MRVS Results 2018 (Year 8)

Driver	Area (ha)	EF (t CO_2/ha)	Emissions (t CO_2/ha)
Deforestation			
Mining	6,936.3	1,045.1	7,249,092
Mining Infrastructure	687.6	1,045.1	718,593
Forestry	355.9	1,045.1	371,931
Infrastructure	67.1	1,045.1	70,176
Agriculture	511.8	1,104.2	565,122
Settlements	7.1	1,045.1	7,452
Fire	661.2	804.2	531,782
Deforestation Total	9,227.1		9,514,149
Degradation			
Timber harvest			1,830,856
Illegal logging			10,682
Mining degradation		8.1	164,523
Degradation Total			2,006,061
TOTAL CO_2 EMISSIONS FOR GUYANA FOR 2018 FROM FOREST SECTOR			**11,520,210**

Reporting on forest carbon removal from REDD+ activities will commence when these activities are initiated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUPPORTING APPENDICES

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In addition to GFC, several agencies and individuals have assisted in providing inputs into the MRVS programme. GFC and Indufor Asia Pacific would like to acknowledge the support of the Ministry of Natural Resources for its strategic guidance.

The continued support and oversight of the members of the MRVS Steering Committee is also acknowledged.

The GFC team would also like to acknowledge the following entities for their support:

- Guyana Geology and Mines Commission for providing location datasets for mining areas.
- Guyana Lands & Surveys Commission for providing spatial data relating to, settlements and agricultural leases.
- Conservation International, Guyana for their role in supporting the implementation of this, as well as other aspects of the Guyana MRVS, and for the exemplary efficiency and expertise in its collaborative role with the GFC.
- WWF for supporting work on CMRV.
- Winrock International for work on the forest carbon monitoring system.
- Other Partners

GLOSSARY

The following terms and abbreviations are used throughout the report.

AA	Accuracy Assessment
AD	Activity Data
BAU	Business as Usual
CMRV	Community Monitoring Reporting and Verification
CRMS	Continuous Resource Monitoring System
DMC	Disaster Monitoring Constellation
EF	Emission Factors
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	European Space Agency
FCMS	Forest Carbon Monitoring System
FCPF	Forest Carbon Partnership Facility
FIRMS	Fire Information for Resource Management System
FRA	Forest Resource Assessment
GFC	Guyana Forestry Commission
GGMC	Guyana Geology and Mines Commission
GIS	Geographic Information System
GLSC	Guyana Lands and Surveys Commission
GOFC GOLD	Global Observation of Forest Cover and Land Dynamics
IFL	Intact Forest Landscape
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
JCN	Joint Concept Note
LCDS	Low Carbon Development Strategy
LULUCF	Land Use Land Use Change and Forestry
MNR	Ministry of Natural Resources
MODIS	Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
MRVS	Monitoring Reporting and Verification System
MSI	Multi Spectral Imager
NFMS	National Forest Monitoring System
PAC	Protected Areas Commission
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
REDD+	Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation Plus
SFA	State Forest Area
SOP	Standard Operating Procedures
UK	United Kingdom
UN REDD	United National Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
UoD	University of Durham
UoG	University of Guyana
VCS	Verified Carbon Standard

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Country Description

The total land area for Guyana is 21.1 million hectares (ha) and spans from 2 to 8° N and 57 to 61° W. Guyana shares common borders with three countries: to the north-west - Venezuela, the south-west - Brazil, and on the east - Suriname.

Guyana's 460 km coastline faces the Atlantic on the northern part of the South American continent. The coastal plain is only about 16 km wide but is 459 km long.

It is dissected by 16 major rivers and numerous creeks and canals for irrigation and drainage. The main rivers that drain into the Atlantic Ocean include the Essequibo, Demerara, Berbice, and Corentyne. These rivers have classic wide mouths, mangroves, and longitudinal sand banks so much associated with Amazonia, and mud flows are visible in the ocean from the air.

The geology in the centre of the country is a white sand (*zanderij*) plateau lying over a crystalline plateau penetrated by intrusions of igneous rocks which cause the river rapids and falls.

1.2 Initiation of REDD+ activities in Guyana

On 8 June 2009, Guyana launched its Low Carbon Development Strategy (LCDS). The Strategy outlined Guyana's vision for promoting economic development, while at the same time contributing to combating climate change. The LCDS has two goals:

1. Transform Guyana's economy to deliver greater economic and social development for the people of Guyana by following a low carbon development path; and
2. Provide a model for the world of how climate change can be addressed through low carbon development in developing countries if the international community takes the necessary collective actions, especially relating to REDD+.

As at September 2009 Guyana had approximately 18.5 million ha. Historically, relatively low deforestation rates have been reported for Guyana.

Approximately 87% of Guyana land area is covered by forests, with a low deforestation rate, 0.02% and 0.079% per annum. Deforestation rates typically expand along with economic development, thus prompting the formation of the United Nations Collaborative Programme on Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation in Developing Countries (UN-REDD programme), the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF) and the REDD+ Partnership, among others.

The activities undertaken, as summarised in this Report, are part of the three-phase Road Map developed for Guyana's MRVS. The objective of the initial MRVS Road Map was to undertake comprehensive, consistent, transparent and verifiable assessment of forest area change for the historical period of (about) 1990 to 2009 using several period steps of archived Landsat-type satellite data that meet the criteria of the IPCC Good Practice Guidelines for LULUCF.

A Second Phase MRVS Roadmap was developed following a stakeholder consultation process, the year 5 report was the commencement of the first cycle of the Phase 2 Roadmap covering knowledge and capacity sharing aspects.

1.3 Establishing and Monitoring Changes to Guyana's Forested Area

Land classified as forest follows the definition as outlined in the Marrakech Accords (UNFCCC, 2001). Guyana has elected to classify land as forest if it meets the following criteria:

- Tree cover of minimum 30%
- At a minimum height of 5 m

- Over a minimum area of 1 ha.

In accordance with the JCN, the national forest cover as at 1990 based on this definition is used as a start point. The interim measures are benchmarked against 2009 reported values.

In summary, the MRV monitoring process has involved:

- Determination of the 1990 forest area using medium resolution satellite images (Landsat) by excluding non-forest areas (including existing infrastructure) as at 1990. It should be noted that continual updates have been introduced to improve the non-forest boundary based on improved satellite resolution and repeat observation of the forest fringe.
- From this point forward, accounting for forest to non-forest land use changes that have occurred between 1990 and 2009 using a temporal series of satellite data.
- Establishing the benchmark period (1990-2009) and using 30 September 2009 Benchmark Map as a reference point.
- Comparing annual change post 2009 against the 2009 benchmark values

1.4 MRVS Development & Progress

Several areas have been progressively improved since the inception of the MRV. For the current MRV phase 2017-2020 workplan the following are relevant.

Interoperability of various data streams within Guyana's MRVS

From its inception in 2009, Guyana's MRVs was designed to include data from multiple sources which can be combined in a consistent manner. The stepwise approach allowing time to bridge gaps in capacity allowing the integration of image processing and time series analysis routines. Today, the potential of the data generated through annual mapping of forest change extends well beyond the intended MRV function to include a range of functions relating to policies, decision-making, integration of compliance functions, and more effective management within the natural resources sector.

Recent and evolving developments in the remote sensing environment has opened up many possibilities over the last 4 years, including with a broader range of high-resolution optical satellites, higher revisit rates, and online platforms that support a faster and more efficient use of that data, all possible now at a country level.

As Guyana embarked on the second phase of its MRV System (2015 to 2019), lower cost satellite options were explored in common with many other countries. For deforestation mapping a combination of ESA Sentinel 2 and Landsat imagery provides a sound alternative to RapidEYE, with high enough resolution and sufficient temporal coverage to generate an annual snapshot of forest change. This is the intended approach for the MRVs for 2019-2020. Sentinel's five-day revisit rate will be leveraged to develop a more real time, continuous monitoring system hosted within the MRVs.

A measure of the MRVs robustness, and consistency can be attained by comparing results of national estimates against those calculated from an independent sample-based assessment. Accuracy assessment reports on the accuracy of the forest change area and attaches confidence limits (i.e. +/- x ha). Guyana is fortunate in that the comparison has been a feature of each reporting period since 2010. The results show a close correspondence across all periods when compared to the area of deforestation generated from the wall to wall national map.

To embrace this dynamic, the MRVS has been built to be data agnostic and this has provided a versatile platform that grows, develops and allows improvements as these became necessary for Guyana. Currently, the MRVs which has been created from the interoperability of various satellite data streams has significantly strengthened decision-making processes. Decisions are more informed, responsive, and well-planned leading to better management of extractive activities, protected areas, and planning for development within forests.

Guyana has shown how the evolving needs of its system has been enabled from the interoperability of various data sets and how these have been integrated to provide the decision support and policy platform for forest management in Guyana.

Forest Change Monitoring

As with previous assessments GFC has incorporated publicly available satellite imagery - Sentinel a constellation commissioned by the European Space Agency (ESA). The two Sentinel satellites 2A and 2B alone, enable repeat imaging of the same spatial location every five days at a spatial resolution of 10 m. Combined with the Landsat constellation (L7 and L8) this increases to 6-7 observations per month.

GFC has moved towards the use of open source software and has secured an agreement that allows the use of Google Earth Engine to assist with the processing of remotely sensed data. The forest change detection processes focus on the use of freely available satellite imagery, or in the case of degradation aims to provide robust and efficient estimates via a sampling approach.

Reporting on Forest Carbon Emissions

In tandem the to the forest change monitoring the work on determining forest carbon emission has undergone stepwise improvements over the last 10 years. Winrock International has worked closely with the Guyana Forestry Commission to develop the Forest Carbon Monitoring System and carbon stock values for Guyana's forest, develop emission factors for deforestation and degradation drivers, produce a Forest Reference Emission Level, and estimate annual emissions.

Guyana's REDD+ Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification System (MRVS) enables the country to determine greenhouse gas emissions and removals resulting from deforestation and forest degradation measured against business-as-usual (BAU) emissions. The Forest Carbon Monitoring System (FCMS) is a critical element of the MRVS as it provides the detailed methods needed to establish statistically robust Emission Factors (EFs). EFs are combined with activity data (AD) to estimate carbon emissions due to changes in Guyana's forest cover.

The MRVS and FCMS were initially developed between 2010 and 2011 and have been updated on an annual basis. The original emission factors covered only part of the country (the area at highest threat of forest conversion) and included only limited activities (deforestation and degradation from logging). Additional fieldwork was subsequently conducted allowing for stepwise improvements, with emission factors for deforestation developed for the entire country. The most recent emission factors were developed in 2016[5], and included complete EFs for deforestation, and for degradation from logging, mining and infrastructure degradation. For each, comprehensive uncertainty estimates were derived from a Monte Carlo analysis to ensure inclusion of other error sources.

With the completion of the EF development, Guyana is now ready to move beyond reporting on REDD+ Interim Measures which have in previous assessment focused on activity data, and to full Emissions Reporting.

Build capability of local communities and stakeholders to monitor forests

Over the reporting period, twenty-three (23) indigenous communities across Guyana received Community Monitoring Reporting and Verification (CMRV) training. The sessions sought to enhance CMRV capabilities based on the general needs of the communities.

The key objective of CMRV is the empowering local people to participate in REDD+. CMRV is a means of augmenting the traditional expert-led process of Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification (MRV). The involvement of locals from indigenous communities in the MRV of carbon stocks and other forest-related attributes has many national and community-level benefits. Nationally, it is a cost-effective method and allows REDD+ activities to benefit from the diverse skills and experience of local communities. Communities were selected to represent the administrative regions of Guyana as well as the main drivers of deforestation and forest degradation.

[5] Goslee, K. and S. Brown. 2016. Forest Carbon Monitoring System: Emission Factors and their Uncertainties, Version 3. Submitted by Winrock International to the Guyana Forestry Commission.

List of communities trained in CMRV for 2018

Village Name	Administrative Region
Tapakuma/St. Denny's, Bethany, Mainstay, Mashabo, Capoey	2
Santa Aratak	3
St. Cuthberts	4
Moraikobie	5
Batavia, Karrau	7
Kumu, St. Ignatius, Moco Moco, Shulinab, Toka, Katoka, Rupertee, Woweta, Annai, Central, Surama, Kwatamang	9
Riverview, Muritaro	10

Over the long-term the GFC aims to create a feedback mechanism that will support the data feeding into the MRVS and further qualification of the results generated. This would essentially involve the verification and validation of the data generated by the GFC via a CMRV system. While in return adding integrity to the GFC's mapping process. This approach is similar to the manner in which the GFC has developed and improved the MRVS and associated reporting and monitoring processes. At the community-level it is envisaged that the CMRV would improve strategic planning by providing an improved understanding of land use trends and resource use.

Continuous Resources Monitoring System

The GFC continues to move the MRVS towards more near real-time monitoring. This has been identified as one of the major improvements to the System that would enable wider applications of the MRVS data. The GFC is exploring the use of Sentinel imagery as an option for a Continuous Resources Monitoring System (CRMS). The CRMS concept leverages increased data and cloud processing capacity, utilising a powerful cloud processing engine for computation. Data is also entirely hosted on the cloud.

The CRMS will seek to build on Guyana's MRVS design to provide analysis-ready data that allows alerts, proactive management of natural resources that leads to improved decisions and policies. The layers produced can be integrated into common GIS packages, or via web-enabled dashboards. An important aspect is that the design will be flexible, and development recognises existing functionality of the current GIS-focused MRV. The design concept presented in the figure below, shows the link between satellite imagery, which is held and processed in the cloud and the final output layers which are either held by GFC within the MRV, or hosted on a web-based GIS platform.

Figure 2-1: Design Concept: Continuous Resource Monitoring System



The Sentinel mission provides global coverage of the Earth's land surface every five days making the data a valuable resource for monitoring forest change.

GFC has negotiated with Google Earth Engine to allow for free processing for GFC. GFC has further negotiated access to ESRI's full mapping suite which includes Web-enabled dashboards.

Broader Applications the MRVS Data

With the continuous compilation, analysis and dissemination of MRVS results on a typically annual basis, the GFC envisioned a larger role for this data, in informing national processes such as natural resources policy and management. In Year 8, this resulted in a significant broadening of the application of the MRVS data and products for purposes that are aligned or complementary to national REDD+ objectives and forest policy and management. These broader applications have allowed for a beneficial shift towards the increased use of remote sensing data and scientific reporting to inform forest management, governance and decision-making on natural resource management across forested land.

The applications for MRVS data applied to date are outlined in the summary table below:

Table 2-1: MRVS Applications

Application	Impacts and beneficiaries
Planning for, mapping and monitoring of forest cover change within Protected Areas	*Impacts*: Enhanced capabilities within the PAC for planning, monitoring and measuring forest change by driver within Protected Areas *Beneficiaries*: PAC, Department of Environment
Capacity building, academic research and studies on forest change using GIS and Remote Sensing within the University of Guyana (UoG)	*Impacts:* Scientific research, papers and spatial products produced by the University of Guyana (UoG) that can be used to inform policy, natural resources planning, management and usage, and conservation efforts. *Beneficiaries*: UoG
Managing and protecting the natural environment, specifically regarding activities being undertaken within Guyana's forests	*Impacts*: Informing a compliance monitoring programme for the activities undertaken within the forested areas of Guyana. *Beneficiaries*: EPA, Department of Environment, MNR

Application	Impacts and beneficiaries
Informing planning and decision making for infrastructure development and climate resilience	*Impacts:* National infrastructural development informed by data that helps to plan for and reduce the impact on forests. *Beneficiaries*: Ministry of Communities, Ministry of Public Infrastructure, Guyana Energy Agency
Development of flood early warning systems	*Impacts:* Improved disaster preparedness and response *Beneficiaries*: Hydrometeorological Department, Ministry of Agriculture
Mangrove mapping for Guyana	*Impacts:* Baseline map will allow for monitoring of changes in mangroves along Guyana's coast; to inform policy regarding mangrove protection and replanting. *Beneficiaries*: Ministry of Agriculture, National Agricultural Research and Extension Institute
Informing national policy and reporting on forest at the level of Sustainable Development Goals, International Commitments (Paris Agreement), and Bilateral Agreements	*Impacts:* A data agnostic system built by Guyana with strong local capacities to operate, that serves national and international monitoring requirements, and which inform decision making and policy setting for the natural resources sector in Guyana. *Beneficiaries*: Government of Guyana

2. OVERVIEW OF GUYANA’S LAND CLASSES

There are four main tenure classifications in Guyana, the largest is state forest which is 59% of the total land area, followed by State Lands (20%) Amerindian lands (16%), and Protected Areas (5%). At the commencement of the MRV existing maps of Guyana’s land cover developed in 2001 were evaluated and coalesced to align to the six broad land use categories in accordance with IPCC reporting guideline. A description of the land use categories is provided in Appendix 3. The location of these areas is shown below.

State Forest Area

According to the Forest Act Section 3, Chapter 61:01, the State Forest Area is that area of State Land that is designated as State Forest. This area of State Forest has been gazetted.

State Lands

For purposes of this assessment, State Lands are identified as areas that are not included as part of the State Forest Area that are under the mandate of the State. This category predominantly includes State Lands, with isolated pockets of privately held land, but does not include titled Amerindian villages.

Protected Areas

To date, the four Protected Areas that come under the scope of the Protected Areas Act are: Iwokrama, Shell Beach, Kanuku Mountains and Kaieteur National Park. Altogether these account for a total of 1 141 000 ha designated as Protected Areas.

Titled Amerindian Land

The Amerindian Act 2006 provides for areas that are titled to Amerindian villages. It includes both initial titles as well as extensions that have been granted to these titled areas.

The areas are: State Forest Area (SFA) and State Lands which are calculated from the mapping analysis, is estimated at 14.8 million ha. This excludes Iwokrama, Kaieteur National Park and titled Amerindian Land. Combined, these forested areas make up 3.69 million ha.

Figure 2-1: Guyana’s Land Classes



Distribution of Tenure & by IPCC Land Classes

Table 3-1 shows the area by the adopted IPCC classes, as at the start of Year 8 (2018). The revised forest area in Table 3-1 includes the forest area mapped as deforestation, as part of the Year 8 mapping period. Non-forest classes can shift from one (non-forest) class to another non-forest class.

Table 3-1: Tenure by Adopted IPCC Land Cover Classes

2018 Land Classes	Forest	Non-Forest					
		Grassland	Cropland	Settlements	Wetlands	Other Land	Total
	(Area '000 ha)						
State Forest Area	12 156	195	106	9	123	6	12 595
Titled Amerindian lands *(*including newly titled lands*)	2 485	981	409	46	121	32	4 074
State Lands	2 338	654	282	7	16	8	3 305
Protected Areas*	1 091	24	3	0	20	1	1 139
Total Area	18 070	1 854	800	63	280	47	21 114

3. MONITORING & SPATIAL DATASETS

The process developed aims to enable areas of change (>1 ha) to be tracked spatially through time, by driver (i.e. mining, infrastructure and forestry). The approach adopted seeks to provide a spatial record of temporal land use change across forested land (commensurate to an Approach 3). Mapping is undertaken by a dedicated team located at GFC and all spatial data is stored on the local server at GFC and builds on the archived and manipulated data output from the previous analyses. The server is managed by the IT department at GFC and is routinely backed up and stored off-site.

3.1 Agency Datasets

Several Government agencies that are involved in the management and allocation of land resources in Guyana hold spatial datasets. Since 2010 GFC has coordinated the storage of these datasets for the MRVS. These agencies fall under the responsibility of the Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR). The Ministry has responsibilities for forestry, mining, and land use planning and coordination.

In 2016, activities of environmental compliance and management, protected areas development and management, national parks management and wildlife conservation and protection were reassigned from the Ministry of Natural Resources to a newly established Department of Environment. The Department of Environment falls under the oversight of the Ministry of the Presidency.

Table 4-1: Agency Datasets Provided

	Agency	Role	Data Held
Ministry of Natural Resources	Guyana Forestry Commission (GFC)	Management of forest resources	Resource management related datasets
	Guyana Geology and Mines Commission (GGMC)	Management of mining and mineral resources	Mining concessions, active mining areas
Ministry of the Presidency	Protected Areas Commission	Management of Protected Areas System in Guyana	Spatial representations of all protected areas
	Guyana Lands and Surveys Commission (GL&SC)	Management of land titling and surveying of land	Land tenure, settlement extents and country boundary

Interim datasets have been provided by GFC, GGMC, GL&SC and the PAC. Information is progressively updated as necessary.

3.2 Monitoring Datasets - Satellite Imagery

In keeping with international best practice, the method applied in this assessment utilises a wall-to-wall approach that enables complete, consistent, and transparent monitoring of land use and land use changes over time.

The approach employed allows for land cover change greater than one hectare in size to be tracked through time and attributed by its driver (i.e. mining, shifting agriculture etc.).

The datasets used for the change analysis have evolved over time. Initially the historical change analysis from 1990 to 2009 was conducted using Landsat imagery. From 2010 a combination of DMC and Landsat was used and from 2011 onwards these datasets were primarily superseded with high-resolution images from RapidEye. For 2015 and 2016 (Year 6), a combination of Landsat and Sentinel data have been used.

Table 4-2: Sentinel Coverage 2018

Image Acquisition Month	Number of Satellite Tiles
August	36
September	43
October	23
November	17
December	8
Total	127

Moving forward, data from the Sentinel (2A/2B) multi-spectral imager (MSI) will be the primary dataset for monitoring deforestation, supplemented by Landsat and fire monitoring datasets. Over the 2018 census period, 127 tiles were acquired spanning from August to December.

Degradation is not mapped directly but estimated from a sample of high-resolution aerial imagery (GeoVantage, 4 band multispectral data) and PlanetScope multispectral satellite images.

Overall, the transition to the Sentinel MSI sensor with 10 m pixel size in the visible and near infrared has not had a detrimental impact on the accuracy of the forest monitoring.

3.3 Accuracy Assessment

Historically, the intention of the Accuracy Assessment (AA) has been to provide an assessment of the quality of the GFC's mapping of land cover land use change across Guyana. In 2017 the function of the accuracy assessment changed so use the sample-based approach to provide a statistical estimate of both gross deforestation and degradation. The progressive change of approach meant that GFC no longer needed to map the extent of forest degradation surrounding mining sites – a time-consuming and from Sentinel 2 imagery a difficult process[6].

From 2013 to 2015 and 2017 to 2018, high-resolution imagery has been captured using a Cessna mounted aerial multispectral imaging system. The camera system (Aeroptic, aka GeoVantage) is a flexible unit that can be installed quickly and easily on to various models of light aircraft. The resolution of the images captured over pre-defined samples ranges from about 25 to 60 cm (varied by the altitude of the aircraft at the time of capture), a resolution capable of identifying forest degradation with some certainty. For further details see the Accuracy Assessment report in Appendix 5.

The strategy employed uses the imaging system to capture high-quality image data at sites pre-determined by a two-stage stratified-random sample design that provides good coverage of the strata with high and medium risk of change. Full sample coverage is achieved by including satellite

6

images over areas the stratum with low risk of forest change and over any area where it is not possible to safely operate a small aircraft.

In keeping with previous years, the same sample locations were analysed. The locations of these samples were provided to the aerial survey contractor by the independent accuracy assessment team from Durham University, UK.

In Year 8 (2016-2017), the accuracy assessment involved the collection of 322 sample units randomly selected from three forest strata organised by risk of deforestation. The High Risk and Medium Risk strata was assessed predominantly using Planet (2016) and GeoVantage/Planet (2017) imagery. The Low Risk stratum (where no previous activity has been recorded) was assessed using repeat coverage Sentinel/Landsat imagery. The same approach was used for 2018 except the initial interpretation was carried out by the GFC Mapping Team and checked by the independent accuracy assessment team

4. NATIONAL MAPPING OF DEFORESTATION & DEGRADATION

Guyana's GIS-based monitoring system is designed to map change events in the year of their occurrence and then monitor any changes that occur over that area each year. Where an area (polygon) remains constant, the land use class and change driver are updated to remain consistent with the previous analysis. Where there is a change in the land cover of an area, this is recorded using the appropriate driver. Deforestation is mapped manually using a combination of repeat coverage Landsat and Sentinel 2 images. National estimates of degradation are estimated by repeat interpretation of a series of linear randomly located samples.

The following drivers of land use change are relevant. Drivers can lead to either deforestation or forest degradation.

4.1 Deforestation

Formally, the definition of deforestation is summarised as the long-term or permanent conversion of land from forest use to other non-forest uses (GOFC-GOLD, 2010). An important consideration is that a forested area is only deemed deforested once the cover falls and remains below the elected crown cover threshold (30% for Guyana). In Guyana's context forest areas under sustainable forest management (SFM) that adhere to the forest code of practice are not considered deforested if they regain the elected crown cover threshold.

The anthropogenic change drivers that lead to deforestation include:

2. Forestry (clearance activities such as roads and log landings)
3. Mining (ground excavation associated with small, medium and large-scale mining)
4. Infrastructure such as roads (included are forestry and mining roads)
5. Agricultural conversion
6. Fire (all considered anthropogenic and depending on intensity and frequency can lead to deforestation).
7. Settlements change such as new housing developments.

4.2 Degradation

There is still some debate internationally over the definition of forest degradation. A commonly adopted definition outlined in IPCC (2003) report is:

"*A direct human-induced long-term loss (persisting for X years or more) of at least Y% of forest carbon stocks [and forest values] since time T and not qualifying as deforestation or an elected activity under Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol* ".

The main sources of degradation are identified as:

- Harvesting of timber (reported since 2011 using the Gain Loss Method)
    - Associated with mining sites and road infrastructure.

Image evidence and fieldwork has shown that each of these drivers produce a significantly different type of forest degradation. Forest harvest operations are temporally persistent. Forest degradation surrounding new infrastructure is different in nature. Image evidence suggests that this type of degradation is dependent on the associated deforestation site.

4.3 Land Cover Change Analysis

To facilitate the analysis, Guyana has been divided into a series of regularly spaced grids. The mapping process involves a systematic review of each 24 x 24 km tile, divided into 1 km x 1 km tiles at a resolution of 1:8000.

If cloud is present, then multiple images over that location are reviewed. The process involves a systematic tile-based manual change detection analysis in the GIS.

Each change is attributed with the acquisition date of the pre-and post-change image, driver of change event, and resultant land use class. A set of mapping rules has been established that dictate how each event is classified and recorded in the GIS.

The input process is standardised using a customised GIS tool which provides a series of pre-set selections that are saved as feature classes. The mapping process is divided into mapping and QC. The QC team operates independently to the mapping team and is responsible for reviewing each tile as it is completed.

The following Table 4-3 provides an overview of drivers and associated deforestation or degradation activities that are reported spatially in the GIS as part of the MRVS. Appropriate methods have been established for all activities. Reforestation/Afforestation is the only activity not yet reported in the MRVS. The identification of the driver of specific land-use change depends on the characteristics of the change. Certainty is improved by considering the shape, location and context of the change in combination with its spectral properties.

Table 3-1: Summary of Activities & Drivers Captured in the GIS

Activity	Driver	Criteria	Ancillary Info Available	Spatially Mapped	End Land Use Class
Forestry	SFM Infrastructure	Fall inside state forest area and is a registered concession Roads > 10m	Annual harvest plans, GIS extent of concession, previously mapped layers, Satellite imagery	No. Volumetric measure used Yes	Degraded forest by type Settlements
Settlements	Settlements	Areas of new human settlement	Population data, image evidence.	Yes	Settlements
Mining	Infrastructure Deforestation	Roads >10 m Deforestation sites > 1 ha	Existing road network, Satellite imagery Dredge sites, GIS extent of mining concessions, previously mapped layers, Satellite imagery	Yes Yes	Settlements Bareland
	Degradation	Assess any area >0.5 ha within 100 m buffer around deforestation event &– road or new infrastructure -revisit sites post 2011to assess change	Existing infrastructure incl. deforestation sites post 2011, Satellite imagery	Area estimated using a sample-based method	Degraded forest by type
Agriculture	Deforestation	Deforestation sites > 1 ha	Registered agricultural leases, Satellite imagery	Yes	Bareland or crop land
Fire	Deforestation Degradation	Deforestation sites > 1 ha Degraded forest sites	FIRMs fire points, spatial trends from preceding periods, Satellite imagery	Yes Area estimated using a sample-based method	Bareland or crop land Degraded forest by type
Infrastructure	Deforestation Degradation	Roads >10 m Assess any area >0.5 ha within 100 m buffer around deforestation event – road or new infrastructure - revisit sites post 2011 to assess change	Existing road network Satellite imagery Existing deforestation sites, Satellite imagery	Yes Area estimated using a sample-based method	Settlements Degraded forest by type
Shifting Agriculture	Degradation	Assess historical patterns	Proximity to rural populations, water sources and Satellite imagery	Area estimated using a sample-based method	Degraded forest by type
Reforestation/ Afforestation	Reforestation Afforestation	Monitor abandoned deforestation sites Monitor historical non-forest areas	Historical land use change, Satellite images Satellite imagery	Pending Pending	Reforestation Forest or land cover by type Afforestation by land cover class.

Previous assessments and specific projects show that the spatial distribution of change in Guyana follows a pattern and is clustered around existing access routes (GFC Year 1 & 2; 2010, 11; Watt & von Veh, 2009 & von Veh & Watt 2010).

Potentially there is some overlap between drivers as the exact cause of the forest change can be difficult to determine. This is particularly relevant when deciding on the driver of road construction when mining and forestry areas use the same access routes.

Supplementary GIS layers are also included in the decision-making process to reduce this uncertainty. The decision-based rules are outlined in the mapping guidance documentation, or Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). This documentation, held at GFC, provides a comprehensive overview of the mapping process and rules. The following example provides an overview of the detail captured in the GIS. Evident are temporal changes in forest cover due to a range of forest change drivers.

Figure 4-2: Example of Forest Change Mapping



4.4 Land Use Changes Not (Spatially) Recorded in the MRVS

There are several land cover changes that are not reported spatially in the MRVS at this stage. For completeness the general extent of these areas is mapped to ensure that they are not accounted for as measured land use change – these are listed as follow:

Forest Harvest

Forest harvest activities are accounted by using extraction records. Large concessionaires are required to submit annual plans to GFC that show intended harvesting activities. All blocks require approval before harvesting may commence. This information is recorded in the GIS by GFC and as practical are tracked using satellite imagery.

On the satellite imagery forestry activities within the State Forest Area are often first identified by the appearance of roading and the degradation caused by surrounding selective harvest areas.

These areas are delineated as a single polygon around the spatial extent of the impacted area (degradation because of forest harvest). Following this, a land use class of degraded forest by the forest type is assigned.

Natural Events

Natural events are considered non-anthropogenic change, so do not contribute to deforestation or degradation figures. These changes are typically non-uniform in shape and have no evidence of anthropogenic activity nearby. While these are not recorded in the MRVS, they are mapped in the GIS. These areas are attributed with a land class of degraded forest by forest type or bareland as appropriate.

5. FOREST CHANGE

The results presented, summarise the Year 8 period (1 January 2018 to 31 December 2018) forest change from deforestation and forest degradation impacts.

In terms of background the change for each period has been calculated by progressively subtracting the deforestation for each period from the forest cover as at 1990.

The forest cover estimated as at 1990 (18.47 million ha) was determined using manual interpretation of historical aerial photography and satellite images. This area was determined during the first national assessment (GFC 2010) and verified independently by Durham University (DU 2010 and 2011).

Overtime, the forest area has been updated after review of higher resolution satellite images. The outcome has been that the forest/non-forest boundaries are improved, but also the forest area changed - in particular at two points in time 2012 and 2014. In 2018, the forest area was revised to remove areas of historic shifting cultivation, as further study lead to the conclusion that these areas should be considered as non-forest.

Table 6-1 summarises for the entire country the total change and change expressed as a percentage of forest remaining. The forest area at the start of Year 8 is 18.07 million ha.

Table 6-1: National Area Deforested 1990 to 2018

Reporting Period	Year	Years	Satellite Image Resolution	Forest Area	Annualised Change	
				('000 ha)		(%)
Initial forest area 1990	1990		30 m	18 473.39		
Benchmark (Sept 2009)	2009	19.75	30 m	18 398.48	74.92	0.021
Year 1 (Sept 2010)	2010	1	30 m	18 388.19	10.28	0.056
Year 2	2011	1.25	30 m & 5 m	18 378.30	9.88	0.054
Year 3	2012	1	5 m	*18 487.88	14.65	0.079
Year 4	2013	1	5 m	18 475.14	12.73	0.068
Year 5	2014	1	5 m	*18 470.57	11.98	0.065
Year 6	2015-16	2	10 m & 30 m	18 452.16	9.20	0.050
Year 7	2017	1	10 m & 30 m	18 442.96	8.85	0.048
Year 8	2018	1	10 m & 30 m	*18 070.08	9.22	0.051

*Continual forest area updates based on remapping, using higher resolution 5 m resolution imagery and removal of shifting cultivation areas.

Overall, Guyana's deforestation rate is low when compared to the rest of South America. FAO's 2015 Forest Resource Assessment (FRA) indicated that annual forest loss for the continent is around -0.43%/yr[7].

The following figure shows the annualised deforestation trends for all change periods. The trend shows that deforestation rates increased from the 1990 level, and in parallel with gold price increases peaked in 2012 (0.079%). Post 2012 the rate of change fell and in recent years fluctuated between 0.048 to 0.068%.

[7] Change rate based on 14 countries and territories – Guyana values not included in the report. Source http://www.fao.org/3/a-i4793e.pdf

Figure 6-1: Annual Rate of Deforestation by Period from 1990 to 2018



5.1 Forest Change by Driver - Deforestation

Forest change caused by deforested is divided and assessed by driver. For this assessment degradation estimates use a sample-based approach.

Table 6-2 provides a breakdown by forest change drivers

The temporal analysis provides a useful insight into deforestation trends relative to 1990. A more meaningful comparison is provided if the rates of change are divided by driver and annualised. In general, the following trends by driver are observed:

- In this reporting period, mining remains the largest contributor to deforestation, at 7 624ha. The area of deforestation also includes roads used to access mining sites and areas of degradation that have been converted to deforestation. This includes roads that lead direct to mining sites.
- Forestry related change has remained relatively stable is around 356 ha. Forest roads, as in the case of earlier assessments, are attributed to a forestry driver rather than attributing this change to Infrastructure.
- Agricultural developments causing deforestation peaked at Year 5, with an increase to 817 ha. Over past two reporting periods it has been less than 500 ha rates akin to Years 3 and 4. This figure has remained relatively stable at 512 ha in the Year 8 reporting period.
- Deforestation from fire has increased slightly to around 661 ha. This represents a slight increase from the 500-ha reported in Year 7.

Table 6-2: Annualised Rate of Forest Change by Period & Driver from 1990 to 2018

Reference Period	Change Period	Change Period	Annualised Rate of Change by Driver						Annual Rate of Change (ha)
			Forestry	Agriculture	Mining	Infrastructure	Fire	Settlements	
		(Years)	Annual Area (ha)						
Historic	1990-00	10	609	203	1 084	59	171	-	2 127
	2001-05	5	1 684	570	4 288	261	47	-	6 850
	2006-09	4.8	1 007	378	2 658	41	-	-	4 084
	2009-10	1	294	513	9 384	64	32	-	10 287
MRV Phase I	2010-11	1.25	186	41	7 340	298	46	-	7 912
	2012	1	240	440	13 664	127	184	-	14 655
	2013	1	330	424	11 518	342	96	23	12 733
	2014	1	204	817	10 191	141	259	71	11 975
MRV Phase II	2015-16	2	313	379	6 782	217	1 509	8	9 208
	2017	1	227	477	7 442	195	502	7	8 851
	2018	**1**	**356**	**512**	**7 624**	**67**	**661**	**7**	**9 227**

5.2 Deforestation Patterns

The temporal analysis of deforestation by reporting periods is presented in Figure 6-2. The map, which presents change from all drivers, shows that most of the change is clustered[8] and that new areas tend to be developed near existing activities. Most MRV phase II deforestation activities occur close to or inside the footprint of historical change areas in the north and west of the country.

Figure 6-2: Forest Change by Reference Period



The distribution pattern also shows that areas of increased activity tend to be clustered around the existing road infrastructure and navigable rivers as both provide accessibility. Historically very little change has been observed beyond central Guyana. This trend continues, with only small areas of change observed in this region.

[8]For the purposes of display the areas of deforestation have been buffered to make them more visible.

5.3 Forest Change Across Land Classes

The following table provides a summary by change driver and land class for the 2018 assessment.

Table 6-3: 2018 Area Change by Driver & Land Class

Land Class	Area Change by Driver & Land Class						Total Change	Proportion of Total
	Forestry	Agriculture	Mining	Infrastructure	Fire	Settlements		
	Area (ha)							%
State Forest Area	324	123	6665	11	41	4	7168	78%
Titled Amerindian lands *(*including newly titled lands*)	15	46	485	8	323	0	877	8%
State Lands	3	342	467	47	296	3	1158	13%
Protected Areas*	14	0	10	0	0	0	24	0%
Total	**356**	**511**	**7627**	**66**	**660**	**7**	**9227**	**100%**
Change from previous period (%)	56%	7.1%	2.5%	-66.1%	31.5%	0%	4.2%	

Trends by driver for the reporting year are follow and are supported by the driver map presented in Figure 6-3.

Mining

As with the previous year's most of the deforestation activity occurs in the State Forest Area (SFA). Mining activities are consolidated in the centre of Guyana. The area mined has increased by 2.5% from the previous assessment, but still sits well below the 2012 value which marked a point where the gold price was the highest since 1980. Post-2012 the price has declined to around USD1200/ounce. This combined with limited accessibility has gradually reduced the area mined.

Forestry

Most forestry activities are located inside the SFA. During this period, all deforestation events are associated with forestry harvest operations. The main causes of forest clearance include road and log market construction. The reported value 356 ha is an increase when compared to the previous year.

Under the existing interim measures, forest harvesting is reported in terms of carbon removal (tCO_2) rather than spatially. However, overall activity at the harvest block level (each 100 ha in size) across concessions is monitored.

Infrastructure

Infrastructure developments (66 ha) contributes a small area with the level change relatively stable between reporting periods. The area of clearance is in a similar location. The main change is related to road construction activities and tends to be near townships. Figure 6-3 shows the distribution of infrastructure developments. There have been a few new hinterland roads constructed to enhance access to villages.

Agricultural Development

Agricultural developments lead to 511 ha deforestation, which is slight increase (7.1%) on the previous period. The main areas of development are located close to Georgetown and the north-eastern regions of Guyana. Development tends to be near river networks.

Biomass Burning - Fire

Fire events have increased slightly compared to the previous year (502 ha) with an area of 660 ha mapped. Spatially, they follow historic trends, where events occur in the white sand forest area surrounding Linden and extends towards the eastern border of Guyana.

It is possible that burning events may be a precursor to agricultural development or related to other clearance activities. Fire has also been observed in the non-forest savannah areas to the south of the country. Figure 6-3 shows the distribution of fires resulting in deforestation.

The large fire events are tied to a prolonged dry spell and are most commonly observed on the drier sand and grassland areas. Although Guyana has seen an increase in forest fires in 2018, it is not a large increase as seen in neighbouring countries.

The following map shows the temporal and spatial distribution of deforestation by driver (mining, forestry and agricultural and biomass burning) for 2018 reporting period. Mining dominates the map as it is the largest single driver of change

Figure 6-3: Spatial Distribution of Forest Change Drivers (2018)



5.4 Forest Degradation

Reporting on forest harvest continues to be done using the gain loss method and this is presented in section 6. This method has been applied in this manner from Phase 1.

The methodology for reporting mining and infrastructure degradation has evolved since the inception of the MRVS. Improvement in the process have been introduced in a stepwise manner and through recognition of advances in imaging technologies (spatial and temporal) and estimation processes.

Four refinements have been made:

1. The default approach outlined in the Norway/Guyana JCN stipulated that in the absence of an alternative approach that a 500 m buffer be drawn around deforested areas. This simplistic method returned a degradation estimate of 92 413 ha in year 1.
2. This was refined and replaced using an approach based on interpretation of high-resolution 5 m spatial resolution imagery, with the estimate reducing to 5 467 ha in year 2. The same approach was retained for years 3-5 where the monitoring focussed on the area surrounding deforested sites.

   In tandem, from Year 3 onwards a process for independent verification was included. This involved checking the accuracy of the forest degradation mapping by the GFC teams by randomly sampling areas of change. This process provided a statistical estimate of both gross deforestation and forest degradation.
3. In year 6 (covering the 24 months of 2015 and 2016) the existing "wall to wall" degradation method outlined in step 2 was replaced with the sample-based statistical estimation approach.
4. In year 8, (2018), in a move to embrace the objective of the MRVS Phase 2 to create a more cost sustainable system, a refined approach was developed to report on mining and infrastructure degradation. This approach was developed using the findings of two studies;

   - A Technical Paper produced by Winrock International (2019), titled "Mining Degradation in Guyana", which built on conclusions of earlier work presented in Brown et al (2015)
   - Brown, S., A. R. J. Mahmood, and K. Goslee., (2015). "Degradation around mined areas: Methods and data analyses for estimating emission factors". Submitted by Winrock International to the Guyana Forestry Commission.

   These studies lead to the conclusion that mining in Guyana, predominantly for gold and bauxite, is the dominant driver of deforestation. Overall it is responsible for 71% of deforestation greenhouse gas emissions and 57% of total forest greenhouse gas emissions (in 2016).

   Application of these studies indicates that emissions associated with mining forest degradation are small (much smaller than estimated in the MOU with Norway) and thus do not warrant high ongoing measurement costs. However, in keeping with Guyana's desire for completeness in its reporting, the emissions from forest degradation associated with mining are reported. The improved methodology instituted in 2018, uses the approach recommended in Brown et al. (2015) and calculate a 100 m buffer around all areas of mining deforestation and apply the emission factor of 8 t CO_2/ha (2.2 t C/ha). For areas under 1 hectare that are likely moving to full deforestation will be recorded once they reach this size threshold.

   Facultatively this required estimating an immediate degradation emission for all new mines, and for mines where expansion has occurred the buffer area should be calculated with and without the most recent expansion and the forest degradation emissions calculated only on the expanded area. This approach is highly conservative as it assumes there is zero regrowth which is very unlikely.

6. EMISSIONS REPORTING AND ACTIVITY DATA

On 9 November 2009 Guyana and Norway agreed on a framework that establishes the pathway of REDD+ implementation. Under this framework several forest-based interim measures have been established.

In 2015, a revised Joint Concept Note (JCN) under the Guyana/Norway Agreement was issued and replaced the JCN of 2012. The revised JCN updated the progress in key areas of work including on the MRVS. REDD+ Interim Indicators and reporting requirements, as had been outlined in the 2009 JCN, were maintained.

The intention is that these interim measures will be phased out as the MRVS is established[9].

The basis for comparison of most of the interim measures is the 30 September 2009 benchmark map[10]. The first reporting period (Year 1) is set from 1 Oct 2009 to 30 Sept 2010.

A summary of the key reporting measures and brief description for these interim measures are outlined in Table 6-5. The calculations to determine the rate of deforestation (ref. measure 1) are reported in Section 7.

Outputs and results are provided for the Intact Forest Landscape (ref. measure 2) and forest management indicators (ref. measure 3 and 4) are outlined in this section.

Whilst reporting continues on Interim Indicators as originally agreed to under the Guyana Norway Agreement Framework, in keeping with the commitment to move to full emissions reporting, for the first time, in this Year 8 report, a complete emissions reporting table for all drivers of deforestation and forest degradation impacts has been presented.

[9] The participants agree that these indicators will evolve as more scientific and methodological certainty is gathered concerning the means of verification for each indicator, in particular the capability of the MRV system at different stages of development.

[10] Originally the benchmark map was set at February 2009, but due to the lack of cloud-free data the period was extended to Sept 2010.

Table 6-5 (a): MRVS Results 2018 (Year 8)

Measure Ref.	Reporting Measure on Spatial Indicators	Indicator	Reporting Unit	Adopted Reference Measure	Year 8	Difference between Year 7 & Reference Measure
					2018	Difference
1	Deforestation Indicator	Rate of conversion of forest area as compared to the agreed reference level.	*Rate of change (%)/yr*	0.275%	0.051%	0.22%
2	Degradation Indicators	National area of Intact Forest Landscape (IFL). Change in IFL post Year 1, following consideration of exclusion areas.	*ha*	7 604 820	7 603 568 (214 ha loss)	214 ha loss in year 2018

Table 6-5 (b): MRVS Results 2018 (Year 8)

Driver	Area (ha)	EF (t CO_2/ha)	Emissions (t CO_2/ha)
Deforestation			
Mining	6,936.3	1,045.1	7,249,092
Mining Infrastructure	687.6	1,045.1	718,593
Forestry	355.9	1,045.1	371,931
Infrastructure	67.1	1,045.1	70,176
Agriculture	511.8	1,104.2	565,122
Settlements	7.1	1,045.1	7,452
Fire	661.2	804.2	531,782
Deforestation Total	9,227.1		9,514,149
Degradation			
Timber harvest			1,830,856
Illegal logging			10,682
Mining degradation		8.1	164,523
Degradation Total			2,006,061
TOTAL CO_2 EMISSIONS FOR GUYANA FOR 2018 FROM FOREST SECTOR			**11,520,210**

6.1 Gross Deforestation

Emissions from the loss of forests are identified as among the largest per unit emissions from terrestrial carbon loss in tropical forests. Above ground biomass and below ground biomass combined represent approximately 82% in Above Ground Biomass and Below Ground Biomass including dead wood, litter, and soil to 30 cm which account for the remaining percent[11]. Several key performance indicators and definitions have been developed as follows.

- Comparison of the conversion rate of forest area as compared to agreed reference level as set out in the JCN.
- Forest area as defined by Guyana in accordance with Marrakesh Accords.
- Conversion of natural forest to tree plantations shall count as deforestation with full loss of carbon.
- Forest area converted to new infrastructure, including logging roads, shall count as deforestation with full carbon loss.

6.2 Intact Forest Landscape

The interim measure provided to monitor degradation is based on the definition of Intact Forest Landscapes (IFL).

"*IFL is defined as a territory within today's global extent of forest cover which contains forest and non-forest ecosystems minimally influenced by human economic activity, with an area of at least 500 km^2 (50 000 ha) and a minimal width of 10 km (measured as the diameter of a circle that is entirely inscribed within the boundaries of the territory)*".

The reason for this indicator stems from the concept that degradation of intact forest through human activities will produce a net loss of carbon and is often the precursor to further processes causing long-term decreases in carbon stocks.

Furthermore, preserving intact forests will contribute to the protection of biodiversity. The extent of Intact Forest was determined at the end of September 2010. It is a requirement that the total area of intact forest must remain constant from this date. In determining the IFL, only those areas that meet the forest definition are included.

Within the areas that qualify as IFL, the following rules (first 4 bullets are elimination criteria) are defined:

- Settlements (including a buffer zone of 1 km).
- Infrastructure used for transportation between settlements or for industrial development of natural resources, including roads (except unpaved trails), railways, navigable waterways (including seashore), pipelines, and power transmission lines (including in all cases a buffer zone of 1 km on either side).
- Agriculture and timber production used for local use.
- Industrial activities during the last 30-70 years, such as logging, mining, oil and gas exploration and extraction, peat extraction, etc.

Areas with evidence of low-intensity and old disturbances are treated as subject to "background" influence and are eligible for inclusion in an IFL. Sources of background influence include local shifting cultivation activities, diffuse grazing by domestic animals, low-intensity village-based selective logging, and hunting.

[11] Results derived from field study conducted in Guyana as part of the Forest Carbon Monitoring System.

6.3 IFL Data Sources & Methods

The following provides a description of process and datasets used to generate the IFL. The datasets used were available as at 2010. Since the generation of the reference IFL layer GFC has continued to improve the quality of the base datasets and moved to high-resolution countrywide coverage. This has enabled continuous monitoring of forest change (deforestation and degradation) at a national level. It is proposed that the IFL be replaced in the near term to reflect these improvements.

The areas excluded from IFL are:

Settlements

The population of Guyana is approximately 782 000, of which 90% reside on the narrow coastal strip (approximately 10% of the total land area of Guyana). Guyana's coastal strip ranges from 10 to 40 miles (16 to 64 km) in width.

Settlement extents were provided by GL&SC for six municipalities. In addition, the Bureau of Statistics provided 2002 census data for settlements with population >1000 people. The approximate extent of these settlements was determined from satellite imagery. The national Gazetteer which provides a spatial location of settlements was used to identify the remaining settlements. Included are Amerindian titled areas that were digitised as at 2009.

Infrastructure, Mining & Navigable Rivers

Infrastructure used for transport was identified using satellite images and assisted by GPS tracks. Infrastructure associated with SFM is not subtracted from the IFL unless it connects settlements. Only those roads that can be mapped from medium resolution satellite imagery or those leading to settlements have been included.

Historical and current mining areas and the associated infrastructure from 1990 to 30 September 2009 are subtracted from the IFL. These areas have been mapped from medium resolution satellite imagery

Navigable waterways and seashore are as defined from medium resolution images and 1995-96 radar imagery. Only those rivers identified from satellite imagery (~30 m width) have been included in the analysis. All of the rivers mapped in Year 1 are considered navigable.

Permanent Agriculture & Forest Production

Areas of permanent agriculture as identified from satellite imagery and supported by available agricultural leases are digitised from paper maps by GL&SC. Forest production areas under SFM are held by GFC and are available in a GIS format. These areas are excluded from the IFL.

Industrial-scale Exploitation of Resources

Industrial-scale exploitation of timber (clear-felling with no natural regeneration), peat extraction and oil exploration are not practiced in Guyana in the period under review.

Background Sources

Background sources such as shifting cultivation. Shifting cultivation areas have been defined from medium resolution satellite imagery.

6.4 Calculation of the Year 8 Intact Forest Landscape

In accordance with the interim indicators the total area of intact forest must remain constant from the benchmark date (30 September 2009) onwards. Any change in area shall be accounted for as deforestation with full loss of carbon. The intention of the IFL is to allow a user to determine whether a specific activity falls within or outside an IFL with a margin of error of less than 1 km.

For this report the same benchmark IFL area was used. The analysis identified 214 ha of deforestation in IFL areas.

When the Intact Forest Landscape was established in Guyana the total area was estimated at 7.60 million ha. The map below identifies the deforestation that has occurred inside the IFL since Year 2. The change to the 2009 IFL have been increased in size to improve the visualisation

Figure 6-4: Intact Forest Landscape Map



6.5 Improved Methodology for Mining and Infrastructure Degradation

Mining in Guyana, predominantly for gold and bauxite, is the dominant driver of deforestation and is responsible for 71% of deforestation greenhouse gas emissions and 57% of total forest greenhouse gas emissions (in 2016). It is a reasonable expectation therefore that forests surrounding mining sites are damaged and the resulting forest degradation emissions have the potential to be significant. Analysis of remote sensing data has shown that there is some forest degradation associated with mining activity in Guyana (GFC and Indufor 2012[12]).

The original Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Governments of Norway and Guyana specified that the area of 500 m buffers around annual deforestation from mining be reported. In addition, they specified that 50% reduction of the carbon stock in these buffers would occur due to degradation.

Field work has shown that degradation from mining in Guyana is concentrated in a limited area around active deforestation from mining (GFC and Indufor 2012 and Brown et al 2015[13]). Winrock and the GFC[14]) concluded that given the low relative annual emissions from forest degradation associated with mining that a simplified approach using buffer areas around mining deforestation should be used. The field work and analyses of Brown et al (2015) determined that applying an emission factor to a 100 m buffer around each individual polygon of deforestation due to mining is an appropriate and conservative approach. This analysis is conducted in ArcMap 10.7 using Guyana's yearly forest change dataset.

The original dataset is multipart, such that one attribute of loss defined by date of observation and driver contained multiple polygons of various sizes. To conduct the analysis, the multipart dataset must be split to a single part such that each attribute was associated with a single polygon. Polygons with an area of 1 ha or greater, the driver of mining, and the relevant year are selected for further analysis. Using the buffer coverage tool, a 100m buffer is defined using the 'no dissolve feature'. This preserves the associated attribute information and creates overlapping polygons. The 'erase tool' is then used to remove any areas of loss from the specified year that overlaps the 100 m buffers. The assumption here as supported by field measurements (transects) is that the forested area within 100 m of mining is within the degradation zone unless it is lost to another driver, such as road creation.

The dataset is then spatially dissolved so that the degradation zones of adjacent loss polygons are merged. The area of the dissolved polygon represents the total area of a 100 m degradation buffer around deforestation parcels due to mining, excluding all polygons of loss due to other drivers.

This area is the activity data for degradation from mining activity. The emission factor of 8 t CO_2/ha (2.2 t C/ha), derived from the field work described in Brown et al, (2015), is then applied to the activity data to produce the estimate of emissions from mining degradation across Guyana. This also means that for areas under 1 hectare that are likely moving to full deforestation by mining, these areas will be recorded when it reaches this state.

The approach requires estimating an immediate degradation emission for all new mines, and for mines where expansion has occurred the buffer area is calculated with and without the most recent expansion and the forest degradation emissions calculated only on the expanded area. This approach should be seen as highly conservative as it assumes there is zero regrowth which is very unlikely.

---

[12] GFC and Indufor 2012. Guyana REDD+ Monitoring, Reporting and Verification System (MRVS): Year 2 Interim Measures Report, Version 3. Available from: http://www.forestry.gov.gy/Downloads/

[13] Brown, S., A. R. J. Mahmood, and K. Goslee. 2015. Degradation around mined areas: Methods and data analyses for estimating emission factors. Submitted by Winrock International to Guyana Forestry Commission.

[14] Winrock International. 2019. Recommendations Paper: Mining Degradation in Guyana. Submitted by Winrock International to the Guyana Forestry Commission.

6.6 Forest Management

Forest management includes selective logging activities in natural or semi-natural forests.

The intention of this measure is to ensure sustainable management of forest with net zero emissions or positive carbon balance in the long term. The requirement is that areas under SFM be rigorously monitored and activities documented such as harvest estimates. The following information is documented by the GFC and available for review for the period 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2018, with the annualised total presented:

- Production by forest concession
- Total production.

The reporting requirements include data on extracted timber volumes post 2008 and are available for verification. These are compared against the mean volume from 2003-2008. Any increase in extracted volume above the 2003-2008 mean is accounted for as an increase in carbon emissions. This is unless otherwise documented using the Gain Loss or stock difference methods as described by the IPCC for forests remaining forests. In addition to harvested volume, a default expansion factor shall be used to account for losses due to harvesting i.e. collateral damage. This is unless it can be shown this is already accounted for in the recorded extracted volume.

Production volumes are recorded on declaration/removal permits, issued by the GFC to forest concession and private property holders. Upon declaration, the harvested produce is verified, permits collected and checked and sent to the GFC's Head Office, followed by data input into the central database. The permits include details on the product, species, volume, log tracking tags number used, removal and transportation information, and in the case of large timber concessions, more specific information on the location of the harvesting. Production reports are generated by various categories including total volume, submitted to various groups of stakeholders and used in national reporting. Details on the main processes are provided below:

Monitoring of Extracted Volume: Monitoring in the forest sector is coordinated and executed by the GFC and occurs at four main levels: forest concession monitoring, monitoring through the transportation network, monitoring of sawmills and lumberyards, and monitoring ports of export.

For forest harvesting and transport, monitoring is done at station level, at concession level and supplemented by random monitoring by the GFC's Internal Audit Unit and supervisory staff. At all active large concessions, resident forest officers perform the function of ensuring that all monitoring and legality procedures are strictly complied with. In instances of breach, an investigation is conducted and, based on the outcome, action is instituted according to GFC's standard procedures for illegal actions and procedural breaches.

Prior to harvesting, all forest concessions must be in possession of valid removal permit forms. Permit numbers are unique to operators and are issued along with unique log tracking tags. Production volumes are declared at designated GFC offices with checks made to verify legality of origin and completion of relevant documents, including removal permit, production register and log tracking. Removal permits require that operators declare: date of removal, type of product, species, volume, destination, vehicle type, vehicle number, name of driver/captain, tags, diameter of forest product (in case of logs) and other relevant information. This is one of the initial control mechanisms that is in place whereby monitoring is done for proper documentation and also on the declared produce, etc. Control and quality checks are also undertaken at another level once entered in the centralised database for production. Removal permits, and log tracking tags are only valid for a certain period and audit for use beyond that time is also an important part of the QA/QC checks conducted by the GFC. The unique identity of each tag and permit by operator also allows QA/QC to be conducted for individual operators' use. Thus, checks are allowed across time, by operator and by produce being declared.

In the case of large forest concessions, only approved blocks (100 ha) in Annual Plans are allowed to be harvested in a given year. Harvesting outside of those blocks, even if these areas are within the legally issued concessions, is not permitted. As such, this forms part of the QA/QC process for large concessions (Timber Sales Agreements and Wood Cutting Leases). As one prerequisite for approval of Annual Plans, forest inventory information at the pre-harvest level must be submitted, accompanied by details regarding the proposed operations for that 12-month period, such as maps, plans for road establishment, skid trail alignment etc. The QA/QC process that is executed at this initial stage requires the application of the guidelines for Annual Plans which must be complied with prior to any such approval being granted. A new addition to the monitoring mechanism has been the use of bar code scanners that allow for more real-time tracking of legality of origin of forest produce.

In the case of Amerindian lands and private property, the documentary procedures outlined above regarding the removal permitting and log tracking, are only required if the produce is being moved outside the boundaries of the area. From this point onwards, the procedures that apply to State Forest concessions, apply to this produce as well.

Data Collection: Following receipt of removal permits and production registers, monthly submissions are made to GFC's Head Office for data entry. There is a dedicated unit in the GFC's Management Information System section that is responsible for performing the function of data collection, recording, and quality control. Data is entered in SQL databases custom designed for production totals. This database has built in programmatic QA/QC controls that allow automatic validation and red flagging of tags being used by unauthorised operators, or permits being incorrectly, incompletely or otherwise misused, and cross-checking of basic entry issues including levels of production conversion rates, etc.

As a second stage of QA/QC all entries are validated, and the validated data is then secured in a storage area in the database. There are security features at several levels of the database operations including a read/write only function for authorised users, and change tracking of production information by staff, as well as others. At the end of every month, data is posted to the archives and a separate unit of the GFC is responsible for cross-checking volume totals by species, concession and by period, and preparing the necessary report for external consumption.

Forest Products included in MRVS Report: in tabulating the declared volumes for forest management, the following primary products that are extracted from the forest were:

- Logs
- Lumber (chainsawn lumber)
- Roundwood (piles, poles, posts, spars)
- Splitwood (shingles, staves)
- Fuelwood (charcoal, firewood)

Logging Damage – Default Factor

In 2011 progress was made in developing a methodology and finalising factors to assess Collateral Damage in a Technical Report developed by Winrock International for the GFC: *Collateral Damage and Wood Products from Logging Practices in Guyana,* December 2011.

The objective of the report is to examine how emission factors were developed that relate total biomass damaged (collateral damage) and thus carbon emissions, to the volume of timber extracted. This relationship will allow the estimation of the total emissions generated by selective logging for different concession sizes across the entirety of Guyana. The following field data have been collected with which the emission factors have been developed:

1. Measurements in a sample of logging gaps to collect data on the extracted timber biomass and carbon in the timber tree and the incidental carbon damage to surrounding trees.
2. Estimating the carbon impact caused by the logging operations such as skid trails. Although selective logging clears forest for roads and decks, their emissions will be estimated through the stock-change method based on estimates of area deforested by logging infrastructure determined in the land cover change monitoring.

Accounting for the impact of selective logging on carbon stocks involves the estimation of a number of different components:

- Biomass removed in the commercial tree felled – emission.
- Incidental dead wood created as a result of tree felling – emission.
- Damage from logging skid trails – emission.
- Carbon stored in wood products from extracted timber by product class – removal.
- Regrowth resulting from gaps created by tree felling - removal.

The **emissions** from selective logging are expressed in equation form as follows:

Emissions, t CO_2/yr = {[Vol x WD x CF x (1-LTP)] + [Vol x LDF] + [Lng x LIF]}*3.67 (Eq. 1)

Where:

Vol = volume of timber over bark extracted (m^3)

WD = wood density (t/m^3)

CF = carbon fraction

LTP = proportion of extracted wood in long term products still in use after 100 yr (dimensionless)

LDF = logging damage factor—dead biomass left behind in gap from felled tree and incidental damage (t C/m^3 extracted)

Lng = total length of skid trails constructed to extract Vol (km)

LIF = logging infrastructure factor—dead biomass caused by construction of infrastructure (t C/km of skid trail to extract the Vol)

3.67 = conversion factor for t carbon to t carbon dioxide

<u>Wood in long term products</u>

Not all the carbon in harvested timber gets emitted to the atmosphere because a proportion of the wood removed may be stored in long term wood products. Total carbon stored permanently into wood products can be estimated as follows.

$$C_{WP} = C*(1-WW)*(1-SLF)*(1-OF) \qquad \text{(Eq. 2)}$$ [15]

Where:

$C_{WP:}$ = Carbon stock in long-term wood products pool (stock remaining in wood products after 100 years and assumed to be permanent); t C ha^{-1}

C = Mean stock of extracted biomass carbon by class of wood product; t C ha^{-1}

WW = Wood waste. The fraction immediately emitted through mill inefficiency by class of wood product

SLF = Fraction of wood products with a short life that will be emitted to the atmosphere within 5 years of timber harvest by class of wood product

OF = Fraction of wood products that will be emitted to the atmosphere between 5 and 100 years of timber harvest by class of wood product

[15] This is directly from the VCS (Verified Carbon Standard) approved methodology for wood products –6CP-W Wood Products November 2010

The methodology presented here is a module in an approved (double verified) set of modules for REDD projects posted on the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) set of methodologies. The reported difference between the annual mean for the period 2003-2008 and the assessment year of 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2018, presented an an annualised total, is shown in the table below. For this period t CO_2 has reduced by 1,830,856t CO_2.

Table 6-6: Interim Indicator on Forest Management

Period	Description	Volume (t CO_2)
1 January 2018 – 31 December 2018	t CO_2 emissions arising from timber harvesting	1,830,856
2003-2008 (annual average)	t CO_2 emissions arising from timber harvesting	3 386 778
Difference (t CO_2)		**1,555,922**

6.7 Illegal Logging

Areas and processes of illegal logging must be monitored and documented as far as practicable. Monitoring and estimation of such areas is recommended to be done by assessing the volumes of illegally harvested wood. In the absence of hard data, a default factor of 15% (as compared to the legally harvested volume) is required to be used. It is stated in the Joint Concept Note that this factor can be adjusted upwards and downwards pending documentation on illegally harvested volumes, inter alia from Independent Forest Monitoring. Additionally, medium resolution satellite imagery can be used for detecting human infrastructure and targeted sampling of high-resolution satellite images for selected sites.

In the historic reporting, the default level of 15% of harvested production of 705 347 m^3 corresponding to 411 856 t CO_2, is used in the absence of a complete database of illegal activities being in place at that time. This level includes provision for collateral damage arising from logging activities. Production volumes are recorded in custom designed databases which are updated monthly by the GFC, subject to internal verification, and are backed up and stored monthly offsite.

The rate of illegal logging for the assessment Year 8, 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2018, is informed by a custom designed database that is updated monthly, and subject to routine internal audits. This database records infractions of illegal logging in Guyana in all areas. This level for the reporting period 401,174 t CO_2, less than the historic period level.

Table 6-7 Interim Indicator on Illegal Logging

Period	Description	Volume (t CO_2)
1 January 2018 – 31 December 2018	t CO_2 emissions arising from illegal logging	10,682
2003-2008 (annual average)	t CO_2 emissions arising from illegal logging	411 856
Difference (t CO_2)		**401,174**

Reporting on illegal logging activities is done via the GFC's 36 forest stations located strategically countrywide, as well as by field, monitoring and audit teams, through the execution of both routine and random monitoring exercises. The determination of illegal logging activities is made by the application of standard GFC procedures. The infractions are recorded, verified and audited at several levels. All infractions are summarised in the illegal logging database and result in a total volume being reported as illegal logging for any defined time period.

Explanatory Note 1

The following steps are taken in the computation of gross emissions from forest management activities:

Step 1: Compile background data to inform computations

Compile annual production of forest products

Compile annual area under harvest of various categories of Operators taking into consideration blocks under harvest by large concessions, small forest concessions areas, and titled Amerindian Areas involved in forestry activities.

Compute Yield in cubic meters per hectares by dividing the harvest level by the area size.

Step 2: Computing impact of incidental impact and collateral damage emanating from logging activities. Factors derived from data collected from 121 Logging Plots.

Compute total skid trails constructed during the assessment period.

Applying a logging damage factor of 0.95 t C/m3, and a logging infrastructure factor of 32.84 t C/km, derive total gross carbon emission impact from collateral damage and logging infrastructure by:

(Area under harvest in hectares **X** Average Yield per ha in cubic meters) **X** Logging Damage Factor of 0.95 t C/m3)

X (length of skid trails of that year in km **X** logging infrastructure factor of 32.84 t C/km)

Step 2 results in t C of collateral damage and infrastructure impacts from forest harvest, which then multiplied by 3.67 as the multiplier of t C to CO2, is the total CO2 emanating from forest management activities resulting from collateral damage and forest infrastructure.

Step 3: Computing the actual impact of extracted wood including provision for storage in long term wood products. Long term wood products storage computation based on Winjum et al 1998.

Compute total gross emissions emanating from wood extracted by:

(Area under harvest in hectares **X** Average Yield per ha in cubic meters)

X (Average carbon storage value per cubic meters of 0.4 t C/m3) – (Carbon Stored in Long Term Wood Products computed by method proposed in Winjum et al 1998)

Step 3 results in the computation of total gross emissions taking account of wood stored in Long Term Wood Products and is converted to CO2 by multiplying the above product by 3.67.

Explanatory Note 2

The following steps are taken in the computation of the total emissions from illegal logging activities:

Step 1: Compile background data to inform computations

Compile annual illegal logging timber volume

Compile annual area under harvest of various categories that may have been subject to illegal logging.

Compute Yield in cubic meters per hectares by dividing the illegal logging production by the area size

Step 2: Computing impact of collateral damage emanating from illegal logging activities. Factors derived from data collected from 121 Logging Plots.

Applying a logging damage factor of 0.95 t C/m3, derive total gross carbon emission impact from collateral damage by:

(Area under harvest in hectares **X** Average Yield per ha in cubic meters) **X** Logging Damage Factor of 0.95 t C/m3)

Step 2 results in t C of collateral damage from illegal logging activities, which then multiplied by 3.67 as the multiplier of t C to CO_2, is the total CO_2 emanating from illegal logging activities resulting from collateral damage.

Step 3: Computing the actual impact of extracted wood including provision for storage in long term wood products. Long term wood products storage computation based on Winjum et al 1998.

Compute total gross emissions emanating from wood extracted by:

(Area under harvest in hectares **X** Average Yield per ha in cubic meters)

X (Average carbon storage value per cubic meters of 0.4 t C/m3) – (Carbon Stored in Long Term Wood Products computed by method proposed in Winjum et al 1998)

Step 3 results in the computation of total gross emissions taking account of wood stored in Long Term Wood Products and is converted to CO_2 by multiplying the above product by 3.67.

Step 4: Computing the total CO_2 emissions from total illegal logging

Results of Step 2 + Results of Step 3

6.8 Forest Fires

The FIRMS fire point data from MODIS was used to identify potential fire locations. In addition, a systematic review of all fire points was undertaken to validate the presence of fire and establish the extent using Sentinel imagery. This is an accepted approach that is documented in the GOFC-GOLD sourcebook.

The initial approach used to set a reference level was to calculate the area burnt for the 1990 to September 2009 period. Over this 19-year period a total of 33 700 ha of forest was identified as degraded by burning[16]. This equated to a mean annual area of 1 700 ha. The mean area burnt was accepted as a suitable Interim Measures benchmark against which all subsequent change could be compared. In this reporting period the area deforested by forest fires is 661ha.

Overall, fire is an immaterial change driver in Guyana with almost all fires occurring within non-forest/grassland landscapes as shown.

Figure 6-5: Non Forest Area & FIRMS Fire Data 2018



The main non-forest areas are in the south along the Brazilian border and closer to Georgetown on the coastal fringe.

Improved methodology for treatment of Shifting Cultivation

Shifting cultivation is a common agricultural system in tropical forest regions whereby a cycle exists of land being cleared of forest then cultivated temporarily before being abandoned and allowed to revert to natural vegetation. After a period with forest cover the land is cleared again for cultivation, restarting the cycle. This cycling enables continuous cultivation, even when the soil in one area has been exhausted, with fallow periods allowing soil to recover some level of fertility.

[16] This does not include areas deforested because of fire events. This has been recorded as deforestation. The .El Niño weather pattern is known to have occurred during this period.

The critical initial determination must be made on whether shifting cultivation represents a forest or an agricultural land use. Arguments can be made in both directions given the details of the shifting cultivation cycle:

- The land use could be viewed as forest because, for the majority of time, it has tree cover and any deforestation is only a temporary unstocking;
- The land use could be viewed as agriculture. The area is deforested and then moves into an agricultural system. This system does include trees, but those trees are never able to return to a forested condition because the cycle involves regular clearing.

Guyana's forest definition is as follows:

Land exceeding 1 hectare with trees exceeding 5m in height and 30% crown cover but not classified as agriculture, infrastructure, or settlements.

The relevant part of the forest definition is "not classified as agriculture". While the fallow part of a shifting cultivation cycle is not itself agriculture, the entire cycle is. The system is agricultural, and the fallow period only exists to allow ongoing production of agricultural commodities. The direct consequence of Guyana's forest definition is that shifting cultivation should be considered agriculture and therefore:

- The first conversion of natural forest to shifting cultivation is **deforestation**. This is termed pioneer shifting cultivation in Guyana's NFMS;
- Any increases or decreases in length of the fallow cycle or the associated carbon stocks of the fallow cycle are within the **agricultural land use** and therefore not relevant to REDD+.

This approach provides multiple advantages to measurement, reporting and verification in Guyana. Namely:

1. Removed risk of incorrectly inflated deforestation numbers where an artificially high emission factor is used when a shifting cultivation parcel is re-cleared but is mistaken for natural forest;
2. Removed complexity of having to track fallow parcels and determine whether stocks are increasing or decreasing as a result of the fallow cycle, lengthening cycles due to soil degradation, shortening cycles due to land pressures, or parcels being abandoned back to forest;
3. Removed risk of double and triple counting deforestation where the same pixels are repeatedly cleared.

Based on remote sensing analyses over the last 20 years, zones of shifting cultivation will be identified and shifting cultivation parcels will be categorized as non-forest and subsequently not included in the National Forest Monitoring System (NFMS). For this reason, the forest/non forest layer has been revised for Year 8 with this taken account of.

For the zone surrounding existing shifting cultivation, the NFMS will include identification on an annual basis of pixels transitioning from natural forest to shifting cultivation – termed pioneer shifting cultivation. These pixels will be given a greenhouse gas emission and subsequently will be categorized as non-forest. Based on this assessment Winrock recommended that GFC adopts an average long-term shifting cultivation carbon stock of 6.1 ± 0.1 t C/ha. This has been applied in the reporting for year 2018.

7. REFERENCES

COP 7 29/10 - 9/11 2001 MARRAKESH, MOROCCO MARRAKESH ACCORDS REPORT (www.unfccc.int/cop7) FAO Forest Resource Assessment, 2010 http://foris.fao.org/static/data/fra2010/FRA2010_Report_1oct2010.pdf

GOFC-GOLD. 2008. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation and degradation in developing countries: a sourcebook of methods and procedures for monitoring, measuring and reporting, GOFC-GOLD Report version COP 13-2. GOFC-GOLD Project Office, Natural Resources Canada, Alberta, Canada.

GOFC-GOLD Sourcebook 2010. A sourcebook of methods and procedures for monitoring and reporting anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions and removals caused by deforestation, gains and losses of carbon stocks in forests remaining forests, and forestation GOFC-GOLD. Report version COP16-1, (GOFC-GOLD Project Office, Natural Resource Canada, Alberta, Canada).

Herold, M., Woodcock, C.E., di Gregorio, A., Mayaux, P., Belward, A.S., Latham, J., and Schmullius, C.C., 2006. A joint initiative for harmonisation and validation of land cover datasets, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 44(7):1719-1727.

IPCC Report on Definitions and Methodological Options to Inventory Emissions from 15 Direct Human-induced Degradation of Forests and Devegetation of Other Vegetation Types, 2003 (http://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/publications_and_data_reports.htm#2)

Khorram, S., (ed.), 1999. Accuracy assessment of remote sensing-derived change detection. Monograph, American Society of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS): Bethesda: Maryland, 64p.

Powell, R.L., Matzke, N., de Souza Jr., C., Clarke, M., Numata, I., Hess, L.L. and Roberts, D.A. 2004. Sources of error in accuracy assessment of thematic land-cover maps in the Brazilian Amazon, Remote Sensing of Environment 90, 221-234.

von Veh M.W., Watt P.J, 2010. LUCAS Mapping Harvesting and Deforestation 2008-2009 Contract Report 38A12635. New Zealand Ministry for the Environment.

Watt, P. J., von Veh, M.W. 2009. Guyana Forestry Commission/ITTO Supporting Forest Law Enforcement Using Remote Sensing and Information Systems. Contract Report 38A09905. Guyana Forestry Commission.

Appendix 1

Year 8 Satellite Image Catalogue

All new imagery that is available has been added to the existing archive at GFC. The following table describes the naming conventions and column headings for the image catalogue as below. This archive is dynamic and will be continually added to over time.

Image Naming Conventions

Landsat Image Stack Name	Image name in the following format: Satellite (2-3), Path (4), Row (1-3) _ Image Date (YYMMDD)_Image Provider (1)_Processing level (1-2)
Sentinel Image Stack Name	Image name in the following format: datatake sensing start time_data take sensing stop time_tile ID
Acquisition Month	The month when image was taken
Mapping Stream	The mapping analysis that the imagery is for.
Data Provider	The name of the data provider/source of data
Satellite Instrument	The satellite or instrument of origin

Summary of 2018 Satellite Images

Images	Satellite/Instrument	Data Provider	Resolution(m)	Acquisition Year	Acquisition Month
20180802T143751_20180802T143747_T20NRP.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180804T142849_20180804T142850_T21NTH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180804T142849_20180804T142850_T21NTJ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180804T142849_20180804T142850_T21NUH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180806T142041_20180806T142037_T21NVC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180806T142041_20180806T142037_T21NVD.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180806T142041_20180806T142037_T21NVE.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180806T142041_20180806T142037_T21NVF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180807T143739_20180807T143756_T20NRP.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180809T142751_20180809T143005_T20NRJ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180809T142751_20180809T143005_T21NTG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180811T142029_20180811T142032_T21NTB.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180811T142029_20180811T142032_T21NUB.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180811T142029_20180811T142032_T21NVH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180814T142849_20180814T142928_T20NRL.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180814T142849_20180814T142928_T21NTF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180814T142849_20180814T142928_T21NTG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180814T142849_20180814T142928_T21NUG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180819T142751_20180819T142752_T21NUD.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180821T142029_20180821T142058_T21NTC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180821T142029_20180821T142058_T21NUC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180824T142849_20180824T142845_T20NQP.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180824T142849_20180824T142845_T20NRH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August

20180824T142849_20180824T142845_T20NRJ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180824T142849_20180824T142845_T20NRK.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180824T142849_20180824T142845_T20NRM.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180824T142849_20180824T142845_T21NTG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180829T142751_20180829T143001_T21NUJ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180831T142029_20180831T142027_T21NVB.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	August
20180908T142751_20180908T142750_T20NQN.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180908T142751_20180908T142750_T21NUG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180908T142751_20180908T143047_T20NQN.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180908T142751_20180908T143047_T20NRN.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180908T142751_20180908T143047_T21NUH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180910T142029_20180910T142028_T21NUG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180910T142029_20180910T142028_T21NUH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180918T142751_20180918T143027_T20NQM.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180918T142751_20180918T143027_T20NRG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180918T142751_20180918T143027_T20NRH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180918T142751_20180918T143027_T20NRK.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180918T142751_20180918T143027_T20NRL.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180918T142751_20180918T143027_T20NRM.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180918T142751_20180918T143027_T21NTE.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180918T142751_20180918T143027_T21NTF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180923T142749_20180923T142746_T20NRG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180923T142749_20180923T142746_T21NTH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180923T142749_20180923T142746_T21NTJ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180923T142749_20180923T142746_T21NUJ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180930T142029_20180930T142031_T21NTD.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180930T142029_20180930T142031_T21NUB.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180930T142029_20180930T142031_T21NUE.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180930T142029_20180930T142031_T21NUF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180930T142029_20180930T142031_T21NVB.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180930T142029_20180930T142031_T21NVC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180930T142029_20180930T142031_T21NVD.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180930T142029_20180930T142031_T21NVE.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180930T142029_20180930T142031_T21NVF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20180930T142029_20180930T142031_T21NVG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20160901T143752_20160901T143746_T20NPM.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20160921T143742_20160921T143741_T20NPN.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20160921T143742_20160921T194028_T20NPN.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20160928T142752_20160928T142947_T21PTK.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September

20160928T142752_20160928T192805_T21PTK.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	September
20181001T143741_20181001T143743_T20NPN.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181001T143741_20181001T143743_T20NQL.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181001T143741_20181001T143743_T20NQM.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181001T143741_20181001T143743_T20NRP.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181003T142749_20181003T142747_T20PRQ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181003T142749_20181003T143015_T20NQP.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181003T142749_20181003T143015_T21NUG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T20NQM.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T20NQN.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T20NQP.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T20NRG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T20NRH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T20NRJ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T20NRK.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T20NRL.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T20NRM.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T20NRN.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T21NTH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T21NUD.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T21NUE.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181008T142751_20181008T142749_T21NUF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NTB.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NTC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NTD.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NUC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NUD.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NUE.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NUF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NUG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NUH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NVE.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NVF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NVG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181010T142039_20181010T142033_T21NVH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181013T142749_20181013T142749_T21NTE.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181013T142749_20181013T142749_T21NTG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181013T142749_20181013T142749_T21NUF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181015T142031_20181015T142034_T21NTB.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October

20181015T142031_20181015T142034_T21NTC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181015T142031_20181015T142034_T21NTD.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181015T142031_20181015T142034_T21NUB.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181015T142031_20181015T142034_T21NUC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181015T142031_20181015T142034_T21NUD.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181015T142031_20181015T142034_T21NVB.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181015T142031_20181015T142034_T21NVC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181015T142031_20181015T142034_T21NVD.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181018T142751_20181018T142749_T21NUE.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181025T142031_20181025T142034_T21NVH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20181025T142031_20181025T142034_T21NVG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20161005T142032_20161005T142037_T21NWC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20161005T142032_20161005T192453_T21NWC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	October
20161101T141042_20161101T191557_T21NWC.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	November
20161110T143752_20161110T143750_T20NPM.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	November
20161110T143752_20161110T181731_T20NPM.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	November
20161217T142852_20161217T142848_T21PTK.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181202T142749_20181202T142748_T20PRQ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181202T142749_20181202T142748_T21NTE.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181202T142749_20181202T142748_T21NTF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181202T142749_20181202T142748_T21NUF.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181202T142749_20181202T142748_T21NUG.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181202T142749_20181202T142748_T21NUH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181210T143741_20181210T143743_T20NPN.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181210T143741_20181210T143743_T20NQL.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181215T143749_20181215T143746_T20NQL.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181217T142741_20181217T142744_T21NUJ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181222T142749_20181222T142748_T20NRN.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181222T142749_20181222T142748_T20PRQ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181222T142749_20181222T142748_T21NTH.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
20181222T142749_20181222T142748_T21NTJ.tif	Sentinel	ESA	10	2018	December
L8_P233R55_180816_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	August
L8_P231R58_180818_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	August
L8_P231R59_180818_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	August
L8_P233R56_180816_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	August
L8_P231R57_180818_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	August
L8_P230R57_180811_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	August
L8P230R58_180927_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8_P232R56_180910_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September

L8_P232R56_180926_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8_P231R57_180919_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8_P231R58_180919_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8_P230R57_180912_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8P231R55_180919_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8_P230R59_180927_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8_P229R58_180921_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8P232R55_180910_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8P231R56_180919_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8P230R58_180928_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	September
L8_P230R57_181014_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P230R59_181009_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P231R57_181021_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P231R58_181005_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P231R59_181005_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P231R59_181021_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P230R59_181030_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P233R55_181003_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8P229R58_181023_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8P230R58_181014_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8P232R55_181028_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P229R58_181023_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P230R56_181014_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P233R56_181003_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P230R56_181030_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P229R59_181007_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P229R59_181023_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8P231R56_181005_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8P231R55_181005_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8P231R56_181021_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8P232R54_181028_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	October
L8_P232R57_181115_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	November
L8_P232R57_181127_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	November
L8P229R58_181108_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	November
L8_P229R59_181108_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	November
L8P232R54_181113_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	November
L8P231R55_181122_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	November
L8P232R54_181215_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	December
L8P232R55_181215_U.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	December

L8_P230R56_181201_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	December
L8_P232R56_181215_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	December
L8_P232R57_181227_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	December
L8_P233R55_181222_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	December
L8_P233R56_181206_U_O.tif	Landsat 8 DCM	USGS Glovis	30	2018	December
001_139680_C_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.60	2018	October
001_139680_C_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.61	2018	October
001_139680_d_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.62	2018	October
001_139680_d_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.63	2018	October
002_139732_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.64	2018	October
002_139732_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.65	2018	October
003_139733_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.66	2018	October
003_139733_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.67	2018	October
004_139731_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.68	2018	October
004_139731_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.69	2018	October
005_139681_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.70	2018	October
005_139681_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.71	2018	October
006_139682_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.72	2018	October
006_139682_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.73	2018	October
007_139683_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.74	2018	October
007_139683_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.75	2018	October
008_139684_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.76	2018	October
008_139684_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.77	2018	October
009_139686_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.78	2018	October
009_139686_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.79	2018	October
010_139691_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.80	2018	October
010_139691_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.81	2018	October
011_139692_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.82	2018	October
011_139692_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.83	2018	October
012_139736_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.84	2018	October
012_139736_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.85	2018	October
013_139735_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.86	2018	October
013_139735_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.87	2018	October
014_139734_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.88	2018	October
014_139734_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.89	2018	October
015_139694_C_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.90	2018	October
015_139694_C_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.91	2018	October
016_139693_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.92	2018	October
016_139693_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.93	2018	October

017_139685_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.94	2018	October
017_139685_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.95	2018	October
018_139737_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.96	2018	October
018_139737_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.97	2018	October
019_139687_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.98	2018	October
019_139687_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.99	2018	October
020_139689_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.100	2018	October
020_139689_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.101	2018	October
021_139688_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.102	2018	October
021_139688_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.103	2018	October
022_139738_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.104	2018	October
022_139738_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.105	2018	October
023_139690_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.106	2018	October
023_139690_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.107	2018	October
024_139703_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.108	2018	October
024_139703_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.109	2018	October
025_139704_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.110	2018	October
025_139704_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.111	2018	October
025_139704_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.112	2018	October
025_139704_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.113	2018	October
026_139706_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.114	2018	October
026_139706_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.115	2018	October
027_139739_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.116	2018	October
027_139739_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.117	2018	October
028_139705_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.118	2018	October
028_139705_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.119	2018	October
029_139741_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.120	2018	October
029_139741_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.121	2018	October
030_139707_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.122	2018	October
030_139707_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.123	2018	October
031_139708_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.124	2018	October
031_139708_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.125	2018	October
032_139740_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.126	2018	October
032_139740_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.127	2018	October
033_139695_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.128	2018	October
033_139695_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.129	2018	October
033_139695_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.130	2018	October
033_139695_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.131	2018	October
034_139699_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.132	2018	October

034_139699_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.133	2018	October
035_139700_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.134	2018	October
035_139700_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.135	2018	October
036_139701_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.136	2018	October
036_139701_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.137	2018	October
037_139702_C_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.138	2018	October
037_139702_C_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.139	2018	October
038_139742_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.140	2018	October
038_139742_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.141	2018	October
039_139698_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.142	2018	October
039_139698_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.143	2018	October
040_139696_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.144	2018	October
040_139696_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.145	2018	October
041_139697_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.146	2018	October
041_139697_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.147	2018	October
042_139709_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.148	2018	October
042_139709_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.149	2018	October
043_139710_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.150	2018	October
043_139710_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.151	2018	October
044_139743_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.152	2018	October
044_139743_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.153	2018	October
045_139745_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.154	2018	October
045_139745_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.155	2018	October
046_139711_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.156	2018	October
046_139711_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.157	2018	October
047_139751_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.158	2018	October
047_139751_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.159	2018	October
048_139749_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.160	2018	October
048_139749_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.161	2018	October
049_139744_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.162	2018	October
049_139744_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.163	2018	October
050_139746_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.164	2018	October
050_139746_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.165	2018	October
051_139719_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.166	2018	October
051_139719_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.167	2018	October
052_139720_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.168	2018	October
052_139720_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.169	2018	October
053_139723_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.170	2018	October
053_139723_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.171	2018	October

054_139724_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.172	2018	October
054_139724_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.173	2018	October
055_139725_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.174	2018	October
055_139725_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.175	2018	October
056_139722_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.176	2018	October
056_139722_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.177	2018	October
057_139721_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.178	2018	October
057_139721_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.179	2018	October
058_139728_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.180	2018	October
058_139728_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.181	2018	October
059_139726_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.182	2018	October
059_139726_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.183	2018	October
060_139727_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.184	2018	October
060_139727_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.185	2018	October
061_139730_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.186	2018	October
061_139730_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.187	2018	October
062_139752_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.188	2018	October
062_139752_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.189	2018	October
063_139729_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.190	2018	October
063_139729_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.191	2018	October
064_139748_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.192	2018	October
064_139748_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.193	2018	October
065_139747_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.194	2018	October
065_139747_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.195	2018	October
066_139712_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.196	2018	October
066_139712_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.197	2018	October
067_139713_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.198	2018	October
067_139713_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.199	2018	October
068_139717_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.200	2018	October
068_139717_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.201	2018	October
069_139718_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.202	2018	October
069_139718_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.203	2018	October
070_139753_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.204	2018	October
070_139753_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.205	2018	October
071_139750_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.206	2018	October
071_139750_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.207	2018	October
072_139716_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.208	2018	October
072_139716_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.209	2018	October
073_139714_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.210	2018	October

073_139714_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.211	2018	October
074_139715_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.212	2018	October
074_139715_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.213	2018	October
076_139755_B_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.214	2018	October
076_139755_B_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.215	2018	October
077_139758_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.216	2018	October
077_139758_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.217	2018	October
078_139757_A_RGBMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.218	2018	October
078_139757_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.219	2018	October
079_139756_A_NIRMosaic.jgw	Aerial Imaging Camera System	GeoVantage	0.25-0.220	2018	October
20181002_134642_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134643_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134644_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135222_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141504_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135121_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135122_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135243_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135244_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141333_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141334_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135051_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135144_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135145_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135106_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_141303_1_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141502_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135239_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135240_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135239_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135223_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141601_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141602_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141513_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141514_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135434_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135001_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135210_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135211_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181007_135503_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141700_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135622_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141653_1_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141653_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141531_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141518_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141606_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141518_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141533_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141534_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141520_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141521_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141520_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141521_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135453_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135454_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141538_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141539_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135612_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141655_0f33_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141656_0f33_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_170058_0f02_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135431_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141716_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_170053_0f02_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134744_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134804_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134805_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134647_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_140818_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_134434_0e2f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140554_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134848_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141720_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141724_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141725_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135617_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135618_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181007_141554_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135308_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135309_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135303_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135304_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134801_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134803_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_140829_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134838_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134931_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134932_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134956_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134957_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_165109_0f06_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134919_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_142357_0f3c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140358_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135511_1015_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135244_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135245_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135716_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135715_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135508_1004_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141331_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135451_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135550_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135549_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135712_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141754_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135148_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135249_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135250_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141720_1051_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135149_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135150_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135313_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135312_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141612_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135336_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181025_135342_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135343_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141508_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135114_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135130_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141721_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141722_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135140_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135240_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135141_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141615_1_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135316_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135333_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135305_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134631_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134632_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134659_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134646_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134647_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134630_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134647_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134643_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140752_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134951_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135023_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135024_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134930_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134950_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135047_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135109_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135106_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135107_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134916_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141231_0f4a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141232_0f4a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134908_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141151_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141151_1_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134851_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181009_134834_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134840_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134841_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134944_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135507_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135508_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141655_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135421_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135422_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141649_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135514_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135515_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135436_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135437_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141603_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141515_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135332_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135333_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135209_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141245_0f4a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135329_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135330_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135351_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135352_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135326_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134953_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135322_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135324_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135702_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_141933_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135433_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135434_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141813_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141814_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135535_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141718_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141719_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135734_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_141942_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181003_141943_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135837_0f51_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141824_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135747_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135836_0f51_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135733_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_141944_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141550_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141551_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140031_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140032_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_142106_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141859_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141900_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134557_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141230_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141728_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141731_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135852_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135853_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135912_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135430_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_140008_1004_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_140045_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141930_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_140007_1004_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_140003_1004_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_140005_1004_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135325_0f51_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141247_1_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141200_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141753_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141412_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135557_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135548_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135040_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135107_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141049_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134553_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181007_135141_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134555_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135116_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135310_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135305_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135306_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135311_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135312_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135313_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141340_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135309_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141339_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141750_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135725_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135258_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135232_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135233_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135250_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135251_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135230_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135231_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135421_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135423_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135424_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135431_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141358_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141345_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141344_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135436_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135437_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141721_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134954_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134955_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141228_1_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141229_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135158_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135316_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135317_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135313_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181011_135314_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135615_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135614_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135616_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135604_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135605_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135620_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135619_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141745_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141746_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141744_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135843_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135844_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141741_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141742_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135727_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141747_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135320_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135322_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135317_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135318_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135257_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135427_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135434_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141355_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135429_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135438_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141343_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141421_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135441_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141552_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135447_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135615_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135616_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141555_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141556_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141557_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135624_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135625_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181007_141717_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135623_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135611_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135402_0f51_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135323_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135324_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135320_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135329_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134956_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134957_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141438_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141537_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141401_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135455_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135457_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135614_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141653_0f33_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141654_0f33_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141524_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135008_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134946_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141333_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141334_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134947_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141332_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_141156_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135046_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135047_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135209_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141054_1_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135022_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141054_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135208_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141018_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135444_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135445_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135450_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135451_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135449_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181007_141543_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141544_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135456_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135304_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135305_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135004_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141710_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141711_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135021_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135022_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135118_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135119_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135056_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141602_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141603_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135140_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135141_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134621_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134622_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135041_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135137_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135138_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135129_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181021_141400_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181023_135100_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181023_135101_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135158_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135159_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_165231_0f06_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135226_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135227_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134953_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135104_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135105_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135006_1032_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141514_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134929_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134959_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141434_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181009_141435_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134918_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134919_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141427_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141428_1_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135006_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135007_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141515_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141516_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_135039_0f34_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134954_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134955_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141427_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141428_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135047_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135048_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135022_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135031_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141749_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135241_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135145_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135146_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134709_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135255_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135256_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135246_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141722_1051_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141723_1051_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135146_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135147_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135309_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_141640_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135323_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135309_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135310_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141615_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135333_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135338_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135310_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181002_135352_1039_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135106_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135347_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135348_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141806_1048_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135334_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135403_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135404_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135043_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135044_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141805_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135350_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135351_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135230_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141721_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141722_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135108_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134956_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134957_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141716_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141716_1_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_141637_0f44_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_141638_0f44_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135015_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135016_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135112_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135113_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135048_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135134_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135135_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141520_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141521_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134615_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135034_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135035_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141432_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141433_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135131_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135132_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181016_135217_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_135218_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135151_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135108_1032_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135351_1039_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141953_0f32_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135404_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135405_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135105_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135415_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135417_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135417_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135419_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141806_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135350_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135351_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141723_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135436_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135437_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_141749_0f3b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_141749_1_0f3b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135107_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134839_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134654_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134641_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134642_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141031_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134709_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134517_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134518_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134657_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134804_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134805_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134658_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134659_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141233_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141234_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141155_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141148_0f4d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181014_141149_0f4d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134912_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134946_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134947_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134954_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134955_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135057_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135059_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135013_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135014_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141521_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141522_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135109_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135111_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141449_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134952_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134953_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141442_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141434_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141435_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141522_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141523_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134613_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135032_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135033_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141434_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141435_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135129_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135130_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135106_1032_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141523_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141436_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135132_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141524_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134612_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141436_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_135215_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135105_1032_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134700_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181011_134653_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134639_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134640_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141032_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141033_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134707_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134708_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134708_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134652_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134553_1039_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141354_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141355_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134553_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134554_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134825_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134744_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134810_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141151_0f4d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134819_1015_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135117_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141719_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135210_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135211_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135154_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_141640_0f44_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_141641_0f44_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134824_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134911_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134856_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134857_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141356_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134552_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134901_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134743_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134514_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134653_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134800_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141158_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134654_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181006_134655_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134835_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141035_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141036_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134855_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141358_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141359_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134551_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134917_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134859_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134900_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134906_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134907_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134942_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134943_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135035_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135036_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135010_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135011_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134501_1032_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134512_103d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134513_103d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134423_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134424_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_140959_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134613_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134614_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_140920_1_1048_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_140921_1048_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134500_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134553_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134554_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134255_0e3a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_134037_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_134038_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134436_100c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134437_100c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140912_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_134540_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181019_134515_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134524_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134525_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134530_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134531_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140700_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140701_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134655_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134657_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134552_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134545_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134648_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134650_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_134639_1018_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134636_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134703_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134704_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140742_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140743_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134942_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141514_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141515_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134950_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134951_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135008_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141452_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141453_1_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134947_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141446_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134609_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135027_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135028_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141315_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141316_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135100_1032_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135101_1032_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140914_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134547_1039_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134548_1039_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181024_134700_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134702_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140743_1_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134852_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141400_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141400_1_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134548_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134856_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134857_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134738_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134739_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141157_0f4d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135110_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135111_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135126_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141725_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141726_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135204_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135147_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135135_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135246_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135236_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135237_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135136_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135312_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135313_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141442_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141443_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135329_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134848_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134849_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141404_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134853_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134900_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134948_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134936_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135029_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134847_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134543_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181006_134544_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134852_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141207_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141208_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134733_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134759_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134801_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134842_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134843_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134942_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135158_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135141_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135142_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135000_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135001_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135057_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135058_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141500_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141454_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135020_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135117_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141323_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141324_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135206_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141237_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141238_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141009_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141010_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134603_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134604_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134534_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134535_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134540_1039_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134653_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134654_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135043_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134957_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134959_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135054_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181007_135055_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135031_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135032_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134937_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134938_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135018_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135115_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135017_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141807_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141808_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134907_0e19_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135347_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135348_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135328_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135329_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135358_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135344_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135345_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135025_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141628_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141629_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135358_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135359_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_135348_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_141658_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_141659_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135332_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135418_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141633_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141634_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135410_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135437_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135439_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135145_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135146_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135515_0f51_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181028_135428_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181028_141433_1048_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134537_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181006_134538_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134846_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134847_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134728_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134729_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141049_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141050_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134535_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134536_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141253_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134919_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134901_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134844_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141214_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141215_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134851_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135021_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141051_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134955_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141812_1_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134903_0e19_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135344_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135044_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135325_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135354_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141639_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141640_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135311_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135340_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135341_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135020_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135021_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141632_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141633_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135353_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135354_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135328_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135328_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135414_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181018_135406_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135433_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135142_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181028_135424_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135123_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141542_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134644_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134646_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135231_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135233_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141503_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141455_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141456_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135246_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141455_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135245_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135246_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135229_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135230_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135309_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134455_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134634_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134741_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134635_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134637_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134803_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134911_103d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134912_103d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134749_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134831_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134833_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140759_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140800_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134643_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135230_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141457_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135245_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141545_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135228_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181001_134452_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134453_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134631_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134632_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134739_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134633_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134800_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134801_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134909_103d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134813_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134814_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134745_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140932_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134828_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134829_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140802_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140803_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134847_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135033_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135034_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134948_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134949_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135045_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135046_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135021_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134927_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134928_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135105_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135039_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135040_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135349_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135350_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135015_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135016_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135347_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135348_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141548_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141549_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_141535_0f44_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181016_141536_0f44_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135227_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135118_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135119_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141500_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135241_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135240_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141337_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141338_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135224_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_141315_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134947_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135044_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135020_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135107_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141304_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_165411_0f06_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134639_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135226_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141514_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141501_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135036_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135037_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134638_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135225_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141515_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141516_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141503_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135238_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135222_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_141317_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135049_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135050_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135143_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135041_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135043_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141346_1054_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135104_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135105_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181011_141306_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141306_1_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134918_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135029_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134945_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141302_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141303_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135018_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134924_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134925_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141224_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141225_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141305_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135017_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135048_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135141_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135142_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135223_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141347_1054_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141348_1054_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135114_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135103_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_141305_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_141306_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134942_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134943_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141304_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141305_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135039_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135040_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135016_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135102_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141307_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135049_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135034_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135035_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_141710_0f44_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135344_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141635_0f33_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181010_135011_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141505_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141506_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135343_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141553_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141554_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135318_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141505_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141506_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135404_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135356_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135423_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135424_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_141428_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135131_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134633_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134634_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135220_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135221_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135221_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135112_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_141308_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_141309_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135234_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_141109_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134938_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134939_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141308_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141309_1_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135035_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135036_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135011_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135012_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141230_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141230_1_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135057_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135058_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135045_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135046_1021_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181024_135115_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135506_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141656_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135420_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135435_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141515_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135433_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135331_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135332_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141530_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134959_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134902_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134903_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141246_0f4a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141307_0f2a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141308_0f2a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135013_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135014_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141237_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135025_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135026_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141204_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141205_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135001_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135003_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134908_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135023_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135024_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_141030_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_141031_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135106_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135133_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135134_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135939_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135940_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140038_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_170204_0f06_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_140046_0f31_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_140047_0f31_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181009_140006_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_140010_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140013_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141850_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141851_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135936_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_142136_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_142137_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_170201_0f06_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_170202_0f06_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_140044_0f31_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141940_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140037_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140038_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140035_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140036_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_140011_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135806_0e2f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135807_0e2f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140010_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140011_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_142059_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_142100_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_140115_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_140116_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141853_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141854_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134711_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134728_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134646_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_140817_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_134830_0f42_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140721_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134756_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140553_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134814_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134815_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140036_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140034_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181005_140010_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_142101_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140102_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140103_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_140137_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135719_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140204_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140205_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140946_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134958_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135020_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_140824_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134920_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134921_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135019_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_140853_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_165111_0f06_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140054_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140055_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140151_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_140210_0f34_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_142234_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_140236_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_140237_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135933_0e3a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135710_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135711_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_140219_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140156_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140157_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181021_140157_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141908_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181027_140317_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181027_141927_1054_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_142152_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_140209_0f34_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_142039_100d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_142040_100d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135752_0e2f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181011_140055_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_140056_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_140826_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134918_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135035_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135104_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135003_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135020_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135117_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135139_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134620_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135040_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_135222_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181023_135059_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_165230_0f06_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135225_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135123_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135124_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141745_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141746_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135138_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135139_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135217_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135200_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135201_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141606_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141607_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_141636_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135325_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135314_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134902_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134903_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141435_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134558_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134559_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134907_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134908_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134914_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134915_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181012_141358_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_141359_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134950_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134951_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135043_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135044_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141307_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135019_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135028_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141350_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141351_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134748_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134749_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134815_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134816_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135121_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135136_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135214_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135215_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135159_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141608_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135136_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135137_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135133_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135134_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_135219_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134557_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134937_103d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134938_103d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134824_1015_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_141034_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135137_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134710_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135248_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135310_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135324_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141614_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135334_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134901_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181009_134906_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134747_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134814_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134526_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134550_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134551_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134556_1039_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141030_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141031_1_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134710_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134555_1039_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_134616_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134925_0e19_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134926_0e19_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141954_0f32_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141837_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141807_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135456_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135203_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135204_0e16_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135533_0f51_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135534_0f51_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_141626_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_141627_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141508_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141509_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134859_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134555_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134556_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134940_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134942_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134922_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134903_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134904_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134910_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134912_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134946_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134947_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135040_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181015_135041_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135015_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135016_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135024_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135025_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134657_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134838_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141718_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135155_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_141639_0f44_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135133_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_135216_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134858_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134745_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134811_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134820_1015_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134943_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134952_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135009_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141524_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141525_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141451_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134948_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141445_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134610_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135030_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_135103_1032_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134940_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134941_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134946_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134905_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134941_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135034_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141317_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135009_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134853_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134549_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134858_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134904_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181014_134940_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135033_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135008_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134510_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134649_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134650_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141241_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141203_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134804_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134813_1015_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134814_1015_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141039_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141040_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134847_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134848_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134939_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141516_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141517_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134948_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135005_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135006_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135038_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135039_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134945_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135025_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141318_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141319_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135203_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141529_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141530_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135103_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141448_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141449_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141442_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135125_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135122_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135123_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_135208_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135211_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181002_134850_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134851_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141401_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141402_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134547_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134855_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134902_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134903_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134938_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134939_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135031_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134946_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134947_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135004_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141531_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135101_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135102_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141456_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141457_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135037_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141450_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135123_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135124_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135023_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135024_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135120_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135121_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141320_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141321_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_135206_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_135207_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135209_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135210_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135107_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135108_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135123_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141728_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_141729_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135201_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181005_135144_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135145_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135132_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135133_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135243_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135232_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135233_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135133_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135134_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135308_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135310_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141445_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141446_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181021_135402_1018_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135325_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134936_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134943_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134945_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135002_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135035_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134941_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134942_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135021_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135022_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141322_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181021_135051_0f51_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134734_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134406_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134054_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134422_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_134403_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_140843_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134428_0f42_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134429_0f42_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134451_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_140732_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_140733_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134546_0f4e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_140724_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181013_140725_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134428_100c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_134026_0e19_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134515_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134516_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140507_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140508_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_135105_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135120_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135159_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141534_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141535_1_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141447_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141447_1_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135306_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135118_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134844_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134845_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134542_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141209_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134731_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134732_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134757_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134758_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141045_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141046_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134841_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134643_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134644_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141012_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141013_1_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134531_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134532_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134636_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134637_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_140759_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_140800_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134651_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135125_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181006_141540_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134648_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135235_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135126_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135127_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141453_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135248_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135249_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141452_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141453_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135247_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135248_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135311_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135312_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135220_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135124_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141541_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134647_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135234_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141508_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141509_0f49_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135234_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135223_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135224_0f22_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141501_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141502_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135123_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135125_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141454_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135247_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135300_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141454_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135310_1040_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135317_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134953_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135049_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135050_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135025_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135026_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181009_134932_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135112_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135109_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_142015_1_0f32_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135549_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135550_1009_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_141939_0f3b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135559_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135601_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135644_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141858_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141859_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135626_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141828_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135605_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_141810_0f3b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_141811_0f3b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135647_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135648_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181021_141708_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135734_1018_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_141647_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181026_141648_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181027_135639_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181027_135640_103e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181029_135753_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181029_141525_100d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181030_135722_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181030_135723_0f3f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181031_141608_0f2a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181031_141609_0f2a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141257_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134915_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134916_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134858_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134859_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134929_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141219_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134847_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181010_134848_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134936_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134951_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134952_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141248_0f2a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134530_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134802_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141144_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134910_103d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134720_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134721_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134746_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134748_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134830_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134857_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141220_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141537_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_165410_0f06_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135116_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_141304_0f1a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134917_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135028_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134922_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134929_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134930_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135027_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141227_104b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141308_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135119_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141235_0f4a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141236_0f4a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141258_0f2a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_141259_0f2a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_134825_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134903_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134904_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141154_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141155_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134847_103c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181009_134829_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134830_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134836_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134837_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134924_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134925_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134912_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_134913_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134940_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134941_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134913_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_142133_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_142134_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135259_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135300_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_135902_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135933_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135934_0f52_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_142022_100d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135933_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135845_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135846_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141937_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134831_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134958_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141004_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141005_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134836_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134837_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141004_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141005_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134852_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134811_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134812_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134859_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134900_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135006_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135007_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134915_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181024_134916_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140755_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140035_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_140009_0f35_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140009_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141855_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140106_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_142346_0f3c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_142347_0f3c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_142309_1054_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135942_0e3a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135721_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140206_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140207_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_140157_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_140158_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_142137_1054_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_142138_1054_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134415_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134706_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134707_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134701_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_140726_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_140727_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134556_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_140731_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_140732_1049_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134736_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134737_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_140647_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_140635_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134751_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140201_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_140234_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140033_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140031_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140032_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135802_0e2f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140006_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181015_140111_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_140112_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141857_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141858_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_142142_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135801_0e2f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140005_1025_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_140120_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_140121_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_140002_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134412_0e0f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140801_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140802_104a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134704_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134659_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_140729_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_140730_1050_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_134552_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_140654_0f2d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134733_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_134738_0f31_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_134739_0f31_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134749_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140421_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140422_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134806_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134807_0f43_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140517_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140101_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135718_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140203_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_140154_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141901_1020_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140029_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140030_100e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_142144_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_142145_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140028_1012_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_140025_1004_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181007_142033_100d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135758_0e2f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135759_0e2f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_140058_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140156_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_140200_1005_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181002_140152_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181027_141928_1054_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_140017_1004_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_140019_1004_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135751_0e2f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134603_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135149_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135150_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141223_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141224_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135041_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135204_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135233_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134955_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134953_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134954_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141010_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141011_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135005_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135006_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141010_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135113_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135114_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134957_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134955_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134956_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141008_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141008_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140758_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140759_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134829_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134830_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134957_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181004_141006_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134834_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134835_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134851_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134810_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134858_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134930_0f34_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_134422_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_134423_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181023_140732_1051_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134914_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134931_0f34_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134827_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134828_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134740_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134741_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_140822_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134912_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140558_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134845_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134826_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134801_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_140823_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_140813_0f33_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134844_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134802_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134644_1001_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_140821_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_134913_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140557_1043_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_134959_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135000_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_141128_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_141129_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135010_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135032_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141043_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141044_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135049_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181024_135050_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135118_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135009_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135031_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_140922_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135048_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135117_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140757_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135001_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135002_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_141126_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135011_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135012_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135033_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135035_1011_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141041_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141042_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_140919_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135052_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140834_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140835_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135119_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135120_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_134959_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141006_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140756_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134849_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135000_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134853_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134854_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141014_1048_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141015_1048_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135008_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135010_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_140918_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140754_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135004_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_141124_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135001_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181004_135003_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135013_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141040_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135011_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_140916_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_140917_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135121_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135123_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140752_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134850_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181001_134851_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_135005_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_141123_1_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141050_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135015_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_134951_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134857_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141038_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141039_0f40_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134518_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135012_0f15_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_134932_1018_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_134933_1018_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140751_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181110_135035_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_141125_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141003_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134901_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134902_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_134425_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_134426_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_140753_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141252_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134607_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134608_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135154_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141219_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135208_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134612_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181007_134613_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135159_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141214_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141215_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135050_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135051_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135212_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135213_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134618_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135056_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135058_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135219_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135220_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141325_1_0f3d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141255_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141255_1_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135152_1034_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141222_0f2b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135042_102c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135205_1042_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135235_0f28_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141256_1053_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_134604_1105_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141149_0f3b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141150_0f3b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135242_1008_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135229_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135229_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_140856_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_134803_1014_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134847_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141007_1052_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134808_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134856_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_134809_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_134857_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_134929_0f34_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181017_134421_0e26_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_134846_103b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181003_135459_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135629_0f31_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_141830_1048_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135524_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135437_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135529_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181012_135510_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141745_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141746_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135452_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135551_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_135732_1018_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181028_135600_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181029_141526_100d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181003_141905_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135522_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135436_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141830_0f46_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135451_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135342_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135343_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141636_0f33_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_141637_0f33_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135009_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135010_0e20_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141506_1_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_141507_101c_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135341_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135342_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141555_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_141556_0f47_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135316_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135317_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141507_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_141508_104d_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135402_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135403_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135355_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135422_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October

20181024_141430_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135422_0f51_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_135423_0f51_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135345_1044_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_141648_103f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135344_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135345_1027_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135409_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_135410_1035_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181013_141633_0f36_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135319_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181014_135321_1029_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_135405_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_141539_0f44_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_141540_0f44_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135358_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181004_141825_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135412_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181005_135413_1010_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135417_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181006_135418_1024_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181007_135519_102e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135524_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181008_135525_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_135423_1032_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141751_1051_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181009_141752_1051_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181010_135433_1002_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181011_135526_1038_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181015_141643_0f4b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_135024_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181016_135025_0e0e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181018_135448_1003_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135547_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181024_135548_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141539_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181025_141540_1_104e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181029_135629_0f17_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	October
20181102_135552_101b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November

20181112_141132_0f21_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181112_135807_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181112_135808_101f_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181101_134902_101e_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181110_134921_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181110_140342_0f3b_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181110_140634_1054_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181110_135036_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181110_135037_1013_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181110_134922_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181110_134923_1006_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November
20181109_135518_100a_3B_AnalyticMS_clip	PlanetScope	Planet	3	2018	November

Appendix 2

Corrective Actions Request (CARS)

FOLLOW UP ACTIONS

CARS AND OBS	GFC's Response	
	Update at 2017	Update at 2019
2014- CAR 4 MINOR **Non-Compliance**: Biomass assessment plots of degraded forest within shifting cultivation areas are not adequately reflected within overall biomass calculation. **Objective evidence:** • Fieldwork evidence shows that most, if not all, SA mapped as pioneer actually is rotational. • Fieldwork evidence shows that the currently map identification of primary forest in shifting cultivation areas has led to the allocation of areas as primary forest where ground truthing of the same areas identified the area as rotational agriculture/degraded secondary forest. **Audit results Year 6 audit** GFC has started work on the re-stratification of its forest types however due to the delays with the Norway /Guyana Agreement and the priorities for the Year 6 reporting the CAR has not been fully implemented. **CAR remained open and will be verified during the next audit.**	The brief inspection conducted during the audit indicated that rotational shifting cultivation was classified as pioneer. It is worth noting that this the first year shifting cultivation has been reported. It is anticipated that as an approach 3 MRVS and with further repeat image coverages the attribution of both historical and new shifting cultivation areas will be improved. While the areas in question still fall within Guyana's definition of forest, it is recognised that this is secondary forest. It is expected that the historical extent of shifting cultivation areas will improve in line with annual coverages of high-resolution imagery. The current work on Emission Factors by GFC will account for the differing carbon contents. It is planned for field assessments to be conducted to inform an emission factor for Shifting Agriculture. This will inform the impact that this activity has on biomass. This will remove the dependence of categorising shifting agriculture type using remove sensing methods only, which evidently has specific challenges. It is envisaged that an Emission Factor will be developed in 2015-2016 for Shifting	In the initial development of Guyana's REDD+ Forest Carbon Monitoring System, the forests were stratified based on potential for change and accessibility. During a 2014 audit, the auditor Det Norske Veritas (DNV) visited a subsample of Guyana's carbon measurement plots. Two of these plots were in the southwestern part of the country and DNV noted that the plots had notably different carbon stocks, and a seemingly different forest type, with smaller, shorter trees than other plots in the same stratum. These plots were within the low potential for change stratum, and DNV questioned whether they should be included in a separate stratum. To address this concern, GFC and Winrock first assessed existing plot data to determine whether the stratification should be revised country-wide[17]. Analysis of forest type, latitude, rainfall, nor soil type revealed a correlation with carbon stock. As a second step Winrock and GFC chose to test the hypothesis that the climatic and edaphic factors that lead to the existence of the savannah would contribute to a forest type with diminished stock in a zone surrounding the stratum. To create a savannah forest stratum, Winrock and GFC employed a global layer of tree height[18] with the assumption that lower carbon stocks in forests surrounding the savannah would be reflected in lower tree heights. Through an iterative process looking at the spatial location of forest carbon plots with low stocks near the savannah region in southwestern Guyana, it was determined that areas adjacent to the savannah area with <25m of tree height should be used to define the savannah forest stratum. To be

[17] Winrock International. 2018. Stratification Options Assessment Report. Submitted to the Guyana Forestry Commission.
[18] Simard M, Pinto N, Fisher JB, Baccini A (2011) Mapping forest canopy height globally with spaceborne lidar. *Journal of Geophysical Research*: 116(G4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JG001708

	Agriculture. It is likely that the emission factor will be a function of the forest-fallow cycle and local practices. The challenge will be how to count for the net emissions from this activity. It is still being assessed whether Shifting Cultivation mosaics are lengthening or shortening or stable. This determination will help to decide their role. Once an estimate of the average C stock is derived in different Shifting Cultivation mosaics then this can be used with pioneer shifting cultivation—i.e. first time cleared, as the net effect will not be the C stock of the forest to begin with but the C stock of initial forest minus the long term.	included in the stratum, the forest areas had to be either 1) pockets of forest completely surrounded by non-forest savannah or 2) directly adjacent to the non-forest savannah "frontier" and larger than 10 km^2. To test the reality of this stratum, in the last quarter of 2018/2019, seven plots were added in the area mapped as savannah forest. Results from these plots indicate that the stratum does not differ in stocks statistically from any other stratum in the country, and the 95% confidence interval of the stratum overlapped entirely the 95% confidence interval of the predominantly surrounding LPFC LA stratum. From this work, it is evident that there is a lack of difference in carbon stocks in the mapped 'savannah forest' stratum from any surrounding existing stratum and moreover there is a clear sparsity and complexity in prediction of the area with ecological conditions that may be considered 'savannah forest'. As a result, we recommend that the savannah forest does not warrant a unique stratum. The Emission Factor Report for Shifting Cultivation (2018) also provides substantial evidence, that the emission factor is very small. Considering that the initial stock is already low when reporting the emissions resulting from this driver, it is very small in comparison to other drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in Guyana. The critical initial determination must be made on whether shifting cultivation represents a forest or an agricultural land use. Arguments can be made in both directions given the details of the shifting cultivation cycle: - The land use could be viewed as forest because, for the majority of time, it has tree cover and any deforestation is only a temporary unstocking; - The land use could be viewed as agriculture. The area is deforested and then moves into an agricultural system. This system does include trees, but those trees are never able to return to a forested condition because the cycle involves regular clearing. Guyana's forest definition is as follows:

		Land exceeding 1 hectare with trees exceeding 5m in height and 30% crown cover but not classified as agriculture, infrastructure, or settlements. The relevant part of the forest definition is "not classified as agriculture". While the fallow part of a shifting cultivation cycle is not itself agriculture, the entire cycle is. The system is agricultural, and the fallow period only exists to allow ongoing production of agricultural commodities. The direct consequence of Guyana's forest definition is that shifting cultivation should be considered agriculture and therefore: - The first conversion of natural forest to shifting cultivation is **deforestation**. This is termed pioneer shifting cultivation in Guyana's NFMS; - Any increases or decreases in length of the fallow cycle or the associated carbon stocks of the fallow cycle are within the **agricultural land use** and therefore not relevant to REDD+. This approach provides multiple advantages to measurement, reporting and verification in Guyana. Namely: 4. Removed risk of incorrectly inflated deforestation numbers where an artificially high emission factor is used when a shifting cultivation parcel is re-cleared but is mistaken for natural forest; 5. Removed complexity of having to track fallow parcels and determine whether stocks are increasing or decreasing as a result of the fallow cycle, lengthening cycles due to soil degradation, shortening cycles due to land pressures, or parcels being abandoned back to forest; 6. Removed risk of double and triple counting deforestation where the same pixels are repeatedly cleared. Based on remote sensing analyses over the last 20 years, zones of shifting cultivation have been identified and shifting cultivation parcels have been categorized as non-forest and subsequently not included in the National Forest Monitoring System (NFMS). For the zone surrounding existing shifting cultivation, the NFMS will include

		identification on an annual basis of pixels transitioning from natural forest to shifting cultivation – termed pioneer shifting cultivation. These pixels will be given a greenhouse gas emission and subsequently will be categorized as non-forest.
2015- OBS 2 **Potential Non-Compliance**: Original hypotheses around forest stratification (grouping of forest types) not confirmed in final stratum. **Objective evidence**: Originally GFC demonstrated and argued that carbon content within different forest types were negligible and as such could be group all under forest. However, this was based on data collected predominantly within the traditional forest logged by commercial operations. Now that new data is getting available from the savannah areas (in LPfC stratum) where forest types appear to have lower carbon content, it is not clear if this original conclusion to group all forest types together holds true. **Observation remains open**	It is intended that following the completion of the three phases of data collection, matters such as those outlined in the objective evidence will be examined. One approach is to consider post stratification of the LPfC area where this matter seems to be prevalent. We note that this was not an issue in the other two strata of HPfC and MPfC where there are multiple forest types and a prevalence of logged and unlogged forest, along with other land use and land management activities. GFC will collate the results of the data analysis from the LPfC stratum and examine this further. This will be further examined in Year 6.	During the initial development of the FCMS, various approaches to stratification were assessed, and it was determined that stratifying based on biogeographic characteristics did not result in significant differences between strata[19]. Therefore, stratification was based on two factors: 1. the potential for future land use change and 2. accessibility. This allowed for efficient sampling and the development of initial emission factors focused on the area with higher potential for change. Guyana's first reference level was based on these emission factors, with the understanding that it would be revised with stepwise improvements as additional data were collected. As additional data were collected in areas with lower potential for change, there were significant differences in carbon stocks based on potential for change and accessibility, and therefore this approach to stratification was maintained to allow for both sampling efficiency and increased accuracy. With the recent additional data collection, however, these differences have decreased, requiring an assessment of whether it remains appropriate and necessary to continue using the existing stratification. A statistical analysis was conducted to determine whether there were significant differences among the strata. The results indicated that there was **no** significant difference [ANOVA, $F(5,104) = 1.73$, $p = 0.125$]. Based on this result, combining all of the strata is indicated ultimately producing a

[19] Stratification based on biogeographical characteristics was reevaluated in 2018, using additional plot data to assess the potential for stratification based on forest type, rainfall, elevation, soil type, and latitude. Based on this analysis, none of these alternatives were provided an improvement over stratification based on potential for change and accessibility. See Stratification Options Assessment Report, submitted to GFC by Winrock International, August 2018.

		single emission factor for the country as a whole. A key reason for stratification is to lower the uncertainty of estimates. However, with all stocks combined, the total sampling error is LOWER than the summed stratified estimates (95% confidence interval equal to 5.1% of the mean), providing further support for eliminating the separate strata. <u>**The decision was thus made in May 2019 to proceed with a single carbon stock for forests in Guyana.**</u>
2016 (Year 6) CAR 2 MINOR **Non-Compliance**: Incomplete SOP of mapping degradation & deforestation **Objective evidence**: • Current SOP does not address the changes that have been adopted in relation to the determination of degradation • Current SOP makes reference to Rapid Eye applicability whilst this is no longer used. **CAR now a MINOR**	The Mapping SOP will be updated in 2018 to reflect the change in the degradation method. As part of that process GFC will provide additional documentation that outlines the approach. This will include supporting analysis of field measurements collected across sites representative of degradation. Inclusion of text and materials to ensure the approach is well documented and can be replicated in the future. For Year 7, national data on forest degradation will be estimated from a stratified random change sample. The reference data used for the analysis will be PlanetScope, Sentinel and, where available, GeoVantage aerial imagery. The SOP will be updated to clarify that RapidEye data has been superseded with more recent earth observation satellites. The documentation that relates to the image processing chain will also be adapted to more accurately reflect current use of freely available image sources and subsequent improvements that are being made to image analysis processes.	A Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) was created for degradation mapping. The *Guyana REDD MRV Statistical Change Assessment Standard Operating Procedure Guide* gives practical advice on and examples of how to assess forest change from a stratified random sample, identify the drivers for change in forest land cover and the time period when the change took place. The guide covers the following topics: • definitions of deforestation, degradation and forest change • detailed change and change driver category descriptions • rules for identifying and quantifying change • illustrated examples for identification of the drivers of forest change • how to identify the time that change took place • how to assess the certainty of the interpretation The Mapping SOP was updated to reflect the changes from RapidEye to use of more freely available images. The mapping guide provides technical outline on how to prepare satellite imagery for mapping the drivers of change in forest land cover. The guide covers the following topics: • Definitions of deforestation, degradation and forest change • Land use changes recorded in the MRVS • Data structure & agency Information

		• Image processing • Mapping procedure • QA/QC processes
2016 (Year 6) CAR 3- MINOR **Non-Compliance**: Accuracy Assessment have become part of value determination instead of quality control **Objective evidence**: · With the adoption of the sampling technique of the degradation through the accuracy assessment team the degradation value is not subject to the same level of independent assessment as the deforestation data receives through the accuracy assessment. **CAR now a MINOR**	The element of independent assessment of the change data will be reintegrated in year 7. It is intended that the revised degradation methods will be routinely applied to future years. To enable this GFC will develop in conjunction with Durham University a training module that allows the estimation or 'accuracy assessment' methods to be replicated at GFC. An innovation for Year 7 will be the development of a new SOP that will allow GFC staff to conduct the change interpretation part of the forest degradation estimation process. GFC staff will be trained in the use of the reference data and the methodology for change assessment using the bespoke GIS toolbar. Durham University will then be provided with the change data and will undertake the statistical analysis of the forest degradation results and provide tabular data/analysis for reporting purposes. In so doing, Durham University will continue to support the approach and will be responsible for auditing the GFC's interpretation of change and associated deforestation and degradation estimates. In this way the process supports GFC to attain the necessary skills required to perform the assessment while also incorporating the independent verification process –which is an integral part of the MRVS. The accuracy assessment report will be replaced with an independent	An ArcGIS Toolbar add-in for tracking degradation was created to update and track changes. A SOP has also been created to reflect the new methodology adopted for tracking degradation. The toolbar was installed at GFC on 6th September 2018 to work with ArcGIS 10.6. Training on how to interpret and assess Forest Degradation was conducted by Durham University team at the GFC from the 28th March – 6th April 2018. The Durham University team ran a refresher training session with the GFC mapping team on 21st August 2018, 24th February to 6th March, 2019, and again on 11th – 18th July, 2019. The GFC mapping team completed the interpretation of the sample areas provided by Durham University. This was then followed by consistency checks which was done by all members of the GFC mapping team on randomly selected samples. Quality assurance on the GFC sample interpretations was undertaken by Durham University team.

	report on GFC's results and estimates by Durham University	
2016 (YEAR 6) CAR 4 MINOR **Non-Compliance**: Lack of clarity in SOP and Report that minimum acceptable mapping requirements for the information needs of GFC remain fulfilled. **Objective evidence**: With the increasing developments around images that are available in the open source market and commercial market and the GFC's adoption of some of these elements in Year 6, the GFC needs to more effectively justify that the existing defined minimum criteria of the MRVS remain fulfilled under the new technologies that have been used and that these meet the needs of GFC to continue its reporting requirements under the UNFCCC and/or Donor Countries. Current SOP does not contain QA/QC controls to verify that images may not be correctly aligned over time. **CAR to be closed out during next verification**	The GFC recognises the fast pace that new sensors are becoming available. We intend to add clarity in both the SOP for Mapping as well as in future Reports that document the integrating of these developments. A fuller justification will be provided, including a checklist with test scenarios that the new developments meet the defined minimum criteria of the GFC's MRVS which include: fulfilling the requirements of the SOP for Mapping, remaining consistent to the definition of forest, and uniformly applying the MMU. Additionally, structural changes will be made to the Year 7 and future reports to more effectively present these new developments and show how they are synergistic to the existing main tenants (including defined minimum criteria) of the MRVS.	In the Updated Mapping SOP there is a Section (**Section 4.2**) that explains and justifies the use of Sentinel imagery.
2016 (Year 6) CAR 5 MINOR **Non-Compliance**: No operational linkage between CMRV and the national MRV **Objective evidence**: · Although initial capacity building, training, and data-gathering exercises have commenced and continued between GFC and its partner organizations implementing the CMRV progress with local Amerindian communities, no	The Office of Climate Change is the lead agency coordinating the implementation of the Opt In Mechanism. The GFC is not the lead agency for this REDD+ activity. The GFC will support the implementation of the Opt In as it advances however, with the Commission not being in the leadership role in this project, the GFC cannot dictate the pace or method of	Over the reporting period, twenty-three (23) indigenous communities across Guyana received Community Monitoring Reporting and Verification (CMRV) training. The sessions sought to: enhance CMRV capabilities based on the general needs of the communities; create a two way communication link on providing feedback to the GFC on community level validation of the GFC mapping; and identify areas where mapping products can assist in community level planning and decision making. The involvement of locals from indigenous communities in the MRV of carbon stocks,

operational link between the monitoring or with the data gathered and the greater MRVS system has been made to date, nor has there been any progress made with regards to the opt-in mechanism and a corresponding pilot program, which according to the JCN, should have commenced in 2015. · JCN Table 1 key REDD+ enabling Efforts. Requires the start of a pilot during 2015 for the Opt-In Mechanism. However, the verification team realizes that the GFC and its corresponding Ministry have undergone a restructuring where by some of the Ministries responsibilities may have moved to Office of Climate Change, hence the team seeks further information on how and if the GFC will support the new government body with the implementation of the JCN requirements. **CAR to be closed out during next verification**	implementation. The GFC stands ready to support the Opt In in any way requested. The Commission will look out for those requests. Notwithstanding this, the GFC will continue to work with partners, including the WWF, on CMRV related work as far as practicable whilst the Opt In evolves to a piloting status. This work will seek to support the national MRVS and vice versa. The Commission is careful to not create a parallel/divergent track to what may be required under an Opt In mechanism and for this reason stand ready to support this process when needed and in the way needed.	verification of mapped sites and other forest-related attributes has many national and community level benefits. Nationally, it will allow for REDD+ activities to benefit from the diverse skills and experience of the locals. At the community level, the locals are empowered to be participate in REDD+ and in this way, have more ownership over the process. The GFC aims to create a feedback mechanism that will support the data going into the MRVS and the results that are generated. This would essentially involve the verification and validation of the data generated by the GFC via a CMRV system. In addition to this approach adding integrity to the GFC's mapping process. Similar to the manner in which the GFC developed the MRVS and is able to constantly improve and upgrade the reporting and monitoring process, CMRV would facilitate strategic planning at the community level with the help of information generated from the process, such as information on land use and land management.
2016 (YEAR 6) OBS 1 **Requirement**: Overall Guyana MRV programme **Potential Non-Compliance**: Potential misunderstanding by stakeholders on how the applied MRV methodology is driven by existing experience and knowledge within the programme **Objective evidence:** Currently the programme is still modifying its methodology to incorporate the changes away from RapidEye and Geovantage. Although this may have impact in actual data there	Since 2009 GFC has progressively improved the MRVS to recognize changes in data availability, improvements in sensor's spatial and temporal resolution. It is envisaged that GFC will continue to take advantage of new technologies and as appropriate add these to the MRVS. As new elements are added these are rigorously tested by GFC to ensure that they meet the established MRVS reporting standards and interim measures. Compliance against these standards and measures is verified annually through the accuracy assessment and audit process.	Improvements to the MRV have been ongoing and SOP have been updated to reflect the improvements in sensor technology and availability. Improvements are progressive and in this reporting period the GFC team have focussed on updating the SOP around the use of Sentinel data for forest change detection and use of a sample-based approach for providing estimates of degradation. The reporting format has been revised with the intention of improving its readability.

is a need to verify that methodology remain consistent with the build-up experience to date. **Obs to be verified during next audit**	In 2018 GFC plan to update the existing SOP to reflect the changes incorporated to ensure that any new methods adopted are well described and able to be replicated. Some amount of structural modifications will also be made to the Year 7 Report to focus more on the current work and approaches whilst showing that the methods applied remain consistent.	

Appendix 3

Land Use Class Description

IPCC Land Use Categories

The following land use classes will be used as the MRVS is developed. These are briefly introduced below and currently are based on the default categories as defined by IPCC guidelines.

1. **Forest land**

- This category includes all land with woody vegetation consistent with thresholds used to define forest land in the national GHG inventory, sub-divided into managed and unmanaged, and also by ecosystem type as specified in the *IPCC Guidelines*3. It also includes systems with vegetation that currently fall below, but are expected to exceed, the threshold of the forest land category.
- During the MRVS development a stratification map will be produced. This builds on existing work undertaken at GFC in 2001 by consolidating the existing forest strata into six classes (see below).

2. **Grassland**

- This category includes rangelands and pasture land that is not considered as cropland. It also includes systems with vegetation that fall below the threshold used for the forest land category that are not expected to exceed, without human intervention, the threshold used in the forest land category. The category also includes all grassland from wild lands to recreational areas as well as agricultural and silvi-pastural systems, subdivided into managed and unmanaged consistent with national definitions.

3. **Cropland**

- This category includes arable and tillage land, and agro-forestry systems where vegetation falls below the thresholds used for the forest land category, consistent with the selection of national definitions

4. **Wetland**

- This category includes land that is covered or saturated by water for all or part of the year (e.g., peatland) and that does not fall into the forest land, cropland, grassland or settlements categories. The category can be subdivided into managed and unmanaged according to national definitions. It includes reservoirs as a managed sub-division and natural rivers and lakes as unmanaged sub-divisions.

5. **Settlements**

- This category includes all developed land, including transportation infrastructure and human settlements of any size, unless they are already included under other categories. This should be consistent with the selection of national definitions

6. **Other land**

- This category includes bare soil, rock, ice, and all unmanaged land areas that do not fall into any of the other five categories. It allows the total of identified land areas to match the national area, where data are available.
- The following table provides an overview of the preliminary land use classification for Guyana.

Guyana Land Use Classes

Land Use	Land Use Type	2001 Classes	Map Classes
Forest Land	Mixed forest	1 to 1.4 & 1.8	Class 1
	Wallaba/Dakama/Muri Shrub	2 to 2.6	Class 2
	Forest Swamp/Marsh forest	3.1 to 3.3	Class 3
	Mangrove	4.1	Class 4
	Savannah >30% cover	5, 6	Class 5
	Montane & steep forest	1.5 -1.7[20], 7.1, 7.2. 8.1	Class 6
	Plantations	Locations in GFC's GIS	Area insignificant
Grassland	Savannah <30% cover	Grouped as non-forest	Class 15
	Grassland		
Cropland	Cropland		Class 17
	Shifting Agriculture		Class 22
Wetland	Wetland open water		Classes 18 and 19
	Herbaceous wetland		
Settlements	Settlements		Class 20
Other land	Other land		Class 18 and 30

Table 4.1. LAND TRANSITION MATRIX

Areas and changes from 2017 (Year 7) to 2018 (Year 8)

TO: 2018 (Year 8)	Forest land	Cropland (managed)	Grassland (unmanaged)	Wetlands (unmanaged)	Settlements	Other land	Initial Area (Year 7
FROM: 2017 (Year 7)	(kha)						
Forest land (HPfC MA)(2)	4,541.5	0.2835	NO	NE	0.4733	4.0614	4,536.7
Forest land (HPfC LA)(2)	2,237.4	0.1761	NO	NE	0.0563	1.9942	2,235.2
Forest land (MPfC MA)(2)	1,255.7	0.0180	NO	NE	0.3550	0.4832	1,254.9
Forest land (MPfC LA)(2)	4,326.2	0.0332	NO	NE	0.1865	0.8731	4,325.1
Forest land (LPfC MA)(2)	208.7	0.0004	NO	NE	0.0035	0.0531	208.6
Forest land (LPfC LA)(2)	5,509.7	0.0006	NO	NE	0.0162	0.1590	5,509.6
Cropland (managed)(4)	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	799.5
Grassland (unmanaged)(5)	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	1,853.9
Wetland (unmanaged)(6)	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	280.3
Settlements(7)	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	63.0
Other land (8)	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	46.9
Final area (Year 7)	18,079.3	799.0	1,853.9	280.3	61.9	39.3	21,113.7
Net change (9)	9.23	-0.51	0.00	0.00	-1.09	-7.62	0.0

[20] This class (1.7) has also been identified as potentially threatened by fire.

Documentation for Notation keys used:

Afforestation/reforestation activity in Guyana occurs through regeneration of abandoned mining sites primarily. These areas are not monitored at present and have been reported as not estimated (NE).
There is no human induced conversion from forest to grasslands or forest to wetlands in Guyana (NO).

Area in non-forest land uses (area remaining and land use changes) have not been estimated in this reporting period (NE).

Forest Type Mapping by GFC

- In 2001 a series of detailed forest vegetation maps was produced for the entire State Forest Area. These combine various existing vegetation maps with new interpretations of aerial photographs and satellite radar imagery (JERS-1), coupled with analysis of field data collected during the Commission's forest inventories. The resulting maps are to be made available to forest concession holders to assist with their forest management planning activities.
- Secondly, a less detailed map has been produced for the entire country, based mainly on national soil survey data made available by the National Agricultural Research Institute (NARI). This map will be available to all of the Commission's stakeholders.
- To complete this work GFC's Forest Resource Information Unit drew on the skills and experience of former Tropenbos Program Manager, Dr Hans ter Steege. Dr ter Steege has extensive knowledge of Guyana's diverse forest vegetation types and specialist skills in digital cartography.

National Vegetation Map of Guyana

- Produced for the Guyana Forestry Commission and Dr Hans ter Steege, University of Utrecht, Netherlands, in collaboration with the GFC Forest Resources Information Unit 2001.

Methods

- The following provides a summary of the process used to create these maps.
- The National Vegetation Map is based on the GINRIS soil map (1:1 000 000) which was kindly provided for this purpose by the NRMP. Although problems were encountered with the accuracy of the National Map, it was felt that at the 1:1 000 000 scale they were of less importance and that using the GINRIS basemap would ensure compatibility among National Theme Maps.
- In making the National Map, use was made of the usually strong correspondence between major forest and soil types, realizing that the soil map is in fact an interpretation of vegetation cover. Based on the strong correspondence a first forest type was assigned to each of the soil classes. Problems then arose in a few areas.
- For instance, white sands are covered by Wallaba forest, Dakama forest, Muri scrub, or grass, and peat soils may have palm swamp, broadleaved swamp forest, or open swamps.
- To improve the interpretation of the forests on white sand first a digital combination of low forest of Vinks NE-Guyana map (Vink 1957) with the white sands of the soil map was created. Low forest on white sand was classified as Dakama. Then a combination of the new 'Vegetation map' was made with the dry and wet savannah themes of Vink. Dry savannah on white sand was classified as Muri scrub/grassland, dry savannah on other soil as (intermediate) savannah, wet savannah on peat was classified as open coastal swamp, on white sand as wet savannah/muri scrub on white sand, the other as open

swamp. Because in the two maps that were intersected edges of similar vegetations are not identical, a great number of small 'stray' polygons were created that had to be manually removed.

- For central and North West Guyana, FIDS maps were used to classify the various white sand areas. In a few cases white sand polygons were split into the different types of forest, especially in central Guyana. Large stretches of wet forest exist in south Guyana. These were digitized into the National Map on the basis of the regional FIDS maps. In other cases, large forest areas classified as wet forest were reclassified into mixed forest in accordance with FIDS coverage.
- In the southwest savannah cover from the FIDS maps was superimposed. However, the level of detail was much greater than the other parts of the map and it was decided to use the savannah interpretation of Huber et al (1995) for this vegetation type, which is nearly identical. In the Pakaraimas, also the interpretation of Huber et al. (1995) was used for the open non-forest vegetation types. The forests in this area were not classified on the basis of soil but rather on altitude. Submontane forest from 500-1500 m and montane forest above 1500 m. These areas were obtained by intersecting the vegetation map with altitudes obtained from a digital elevation model of Guyana.
- Several draft versions were produced and discussed. At close inspection it became clear that even at the 1:1 000 000 scale there were inconsistencies between the vegetation map and the river base map[21]. However, as the vegetation map appeared to be correct in most instances no further changes were made.
- A descriptive legend of the map was produced based on ter Steege and Zondervan (2000), Fanshawe 1952, Huber et al 1995 and FIDS reports (de Milde and de Groot 1970 a-g) (see below).
- The map was finally produced in three sizes, A4 (letter), A3 (tabloid) and A0 (1:1 000 000). TIFF & JPG versions for the GFC web page were also produced (See The Map in Appendix 3).

Provisional Forest Types

- The following forest types have been grouped into 1 of 6 forest classes. This classification will form the basis of the forest carbon stratification map. This map groups forest types according to their carbon storage potential and identifies those forest areas under threat of degradation or deforestation. The intention is to use the map to assist with the design of the carbon monitoring plot network.

Class 1: Mixed rainforest

The following mixed forest classes have been merged to form a single class

1. Mixed rainforests on Pleistocene brown sands in central to NW Guyana

Forests on the brown sands of the Berbice formation are almost invariably characterised by species of *Eschweilera* and *Licania*. Species, which may be locally dominant are *Eschweilera sagotiana, E. decolorans, E. confertiflora, Licania alba, L. majuscula, L. laxiflora, Chlorocardium rodiei, Mora gonggrijpii, Alexa imperatricis, Swartzia schomburgkii, S. leiocalycina, Catostemma commune, Eperua falcata, Pouteria guianensis, P. cladantha, Aspidosperma excelsum* and *Pentaclethra macroloba*. Mono-dominance is common in forests on brown sands in central Guyana and tends to get less in an eastward direction. Towards the east in Guyana and across the border in Suriname the species mix changes slightly and the more common species are *Goupia glabra, Swartzia leiocalycina, Aspidosperma excelsum, Manilkara bidentata, Terminalia amazonica, Parinari campestris, Vochysia surinamensis, Emmotum fagifolium, Humiria balsamifera, Catostemma fragrans, Hymenaea courbaril, Licania densiflora* and *Eperuafalcata.* The latter forest on light brown

[21]The rivers base layer has subsequently been improved as part of the MRVS implementation

sands extends south towards the Kanuku mountains, where it grades into semi-evergreen mixed forest of the Rupununi district (1.4).

2. Mixed rainforests of the Northwest District

The dry land forests of the Northwest District of Guyana and eastern Venezuela are characterised by a high abundance of *Eschweilera sagotiana, Alexa imperatricis, Catostemma commune, Licania* spp. and *Protium decandrum*. These species are found abundantly in almost every dry land forest type in this region. Poor mono-dominant stands of *M. gonggrijpii* are found on the (probably) more clayey soils between the Cuyuni and Mazaruni.

3. Mixed rainforest in the Pakaraimas

Dicymbe altsonii (endemic to Guyana) is the main characteristic and one of the most common canopy species in the 'mixed forests' of the lowland eastern Pakaraima Mountains. *Dicymbe* may be absolutely dominant over large areas. Co-dominants are *Eperua falcata, Eschweilera sagotiana, E. potaroensis, Mora gonggrijpii, Alexa imperatricis, Licania laxiflora, Swartzia leiocalycina, Vouacapoua macropetala* and *Chlorocardium rodiei*. *Eschweilera potaroensis,* an endemic of this region, may be co-dominant in forests around the confluence of the Potaro and Essequibo Rivers.

4. Mixed rainforest in south Guyana

Dry (deciduous) forest types fringe the savannahs in south Guyana. Most of the dry forest stands show high presence of *Goupia glabra, Couratari, Sclerolobium, Parinari, Apeiba, Peltogyne, Catostemma, Spondias mombin* and *Anacardium giganteum.* South of the Cuyuwini river to east of the New River the forest is characterised by a high presence of *Geissospermum sericeum*, *Eschweilera* cf. *pedicellata, Lecythis corrugata, Pouteria coriacea* and *Pourouma* spp. Several other taxa, characteristic of late secondary forest, have fairly high presence this region: *Parkia, Ficus, Sclerolobium, Trichilia, Parkia, Parinari* and *Goupia*. *Eperua falcata(rugiginosa?), Pterocarpus* and *Macrolobium acaciifolium* are common in forests along the rivers in this area.

5. Complex of mixed forest and swamp forest in south Guyana

Large stretches of this type occur in SW Guyana between the upper reaches of the Oronoque and New Rivers. The forest is characterised by high occurrence of *Geissospermum, Pterocarpus* and *Eperua*.

Class 2: Wallaba/Dakama/Muri Scrub Forest

These are forests located on excessively drained white sands and include the following classes;

1. Clump wallaba forest

Clump wallaba forest, dominated by *Dicymbe altsonii* and *D. corymbosa* with co-dominance of *Eperua, Catostemma* and *Hyeronima* is found on excessively drained white sand ridges in the Mazaruni basin.

2. Clump wallaba/wallaba forest

In the upper Mazaruni basin *Dicymbe corymbosa* and *Eperua* spp. dominate nearly all forests on white sand. *Chamaecrista* and *Micrandra* are common co-dominants.

3. Wallaba forests (dry evergreen forest)

Dry evergreen forest on bleached white sands (albic Arenosols) occurs from the Pakaraima escarpment, through central Guyana and northern Suriname into a small narrow portion of French Guiana. *Eperuafalcata* and *E. grandiflora* are strongly dominant and may form, alone or together, more than 60% of the canopy individuals. Common other species in the canopy layer are *Catostemma fragrans, C. altsonii, Licania buxifolia, Talisia squarrosa, Formosacousinhood, Eschweilera corrugata, Aspidosperma excelsum, Terminalia Amazonia, Chamaecrista adiantifolia, Chamaecrista apocouita, Swartzia* spp., *Dicymbe altsonii* (west Guyana only), *D. corymbosa* (ibid.), *Manilkara bidentata* (Pomeroon-Waini water divide) and *Pouteria*.

4. Forests on white sands in south Guyana

Very small patches of forests on white sand are found in south Guyana. In SW. Guyana *Eperua* is the most commonly found tree genus.

5. Dakama forest

Forest dominated by *Dimorphandra conjugata* (Dakama forest) is common on the higher parts of waterdivides from central Guyana to western Suriname. This forest type is characterised by very high standing litter crop (up to 800 ton/ha, Cooper 1982) and is very fire prone. Other species, characteristic for Dakama forests, are *Eperua falcata, Talisia squarrosa, Emmotum fagifolium* and *Swartzia bannia*. *Humiria balsamifera* (Muri) co-dominates the degraded Dakama forest and Dakama-Muri scrub with *Dimorphandra*.

6. Muri scrub/white sand savannah

In areas where fires are very regular or in flood-prone areas Dakama forest degrades into Muri-scrub, dominated by *Humiria* balsamifera. Other common species in this scrub are *Swartzia bannia, Clusia fockeana, Licania incana, Bombax flaviflorum, Ocotea schomburgkiana, Trattinickia burserifolia, Ternstroemia punctata* and *Byrsonima crassifolia*.

Class 3: Swamp/Marsh forest

This class combines Swamps, swamp and marsh forests

2. Open swamps

Herbaceaous and grass swamps in brackish and sweet water with *Cyperus, Montrichardia, Commelina,* Paspalum and *Panicum.*

3. Marsh Forest

Mora excelsa forms extensive stands along the rivers on alluvial silt up to the confluence of Rupununi and Rewa rivers. Canopy associates of the *Mora* forest are *Carapa guianensis, Pterocarpus officinalis, Macrolobium bifolium, Eschweilera wachenheimii, E. sagotiana, Clathrotropis brachypetala, C. macrostachya, Eperua falcata, E. rubiginosa, Catostemma commune, C. fragrans, Pentaclethra macroloba, Vatairea guianensis, Symphonia globulifera, Terminalia dichotoma* and *Tabebuia insigni*.

The rivers in the savannah area are bordered by gallery forest, which is inundated during part of the year. Trees species such as *Caryocar microcarpum*, *Macrolobiumacaciifolium*, *Senna latifolia*, *Zygia cataractae* and *Genipa spruceana* occur along all the rivers in S-Guyana. In the open savannah *Mauritia* is a dominating element in the landscape.

4. Coastal swamp forest

In permanently flooded, flat plains in the present coastal zone a low swamp forest is found. Characteristic species are *Symphonia globulifera, Tabebuia insignis/fluviatilis, Pterocarpus officinalis* and *Euterpe oleracea*. Species that can become locally dominant in this forest type in Guyana are *Pentaclethra macroloba, Vatairea guianensis, Pterocarpus officinalis* and *Virola surinamensis*. *Manicaria saccifera* is commonly found as a narrow belt along rivers. More inland the duration of flooding is less pronounced and forest composition is slightly different. Common species here are *Symphonia globulifera, Virola surinamensis, Iryanthera* spp., *Pterocarpus officinalis, Mora excelsa, Pachira aquatica, Manicaria saccifera* and *Euterpe oleracea*.

Class 4: Mangrove forest

1 Mangrove forests

Mangrove forests occur in a narrow belt of a few kilometres wide along the coast and along the banks of the lower reaches of rivers. The mangrove forest along the coast consists mainly of *Avicennia germinans*, with occasional undergrowth of the salt fern, *Acrostichum aureum*. *Rhizophora* occupies the more exposed, soft silts in river mouths and shores. Where the water is distinctively brackish a third mangrove species can be found, *Laguncularia racemosa*. Further inland mangrove species mix with *Euterpe oleracea* palms and such trees as *Pterocarpus officinalis.*

Class 5 Savannah >30% forest cover

This class contains forest with lower volume that still meets the national definition of forest. Those areas that do not have been excluded and are treated as non-forest

1. **Lowland shrub and grass savannah**

   Lowland grass savannahs

   Lowland savannahs, dominated by the grasses *Trachypogon* and *Axonopus* and the shrubs *Curatella* and *Byrsonima* are found mainly in the southern parts where the Pakaraima Mts. border the Rupununi and Rio Branco savannahs and are also scattered throughout the western part of the region. At slightly higher altitude *Echinolaena* and *Bulbostylis* are also typical. Savannahs on white sands have more sedges and also include more genera typical of the alpine meadows.

   Lowland shrub savannah

   Fire-climax savannah vegetation, which contains characteristic species such as: *Curatella americana, Byrsonima crassifolia, Byrsonima coccolobifolia, Antonia ovata, Palicourearigida*, *Tibouchina aspera* and *Amasonia campestris*. The main grasses belong to the genera *Trachypogon, Paspalum, Axonopus* and *Andropogon* and the main sedges to the genera *Rhynchospora* and *Bulbostylis*

   Highland open vegetation types

2. **Xeromorphic scrub**

   Xeromorphic scrub is found throughout the Pakaraimas. *Humiria, Dicymbe, Clusia* and *Dimorphandra* are typical genera of this vegetation type.

3. **Tepui scrub**

   At high altitudes tepui scrub is found - in Guyana only on Mts. Roraima and Ayanganna. Most characteristic genera are *Bonnetia, Schefflera, Clusia,* and *Ilex*.

4. **Upland savannah**

   Uplands savannahs are very similar in composition to lowland savannahs. The upland savannahs on white sands have more sedges and also include more genera typical of the alpine meadows.

5. **Alpine meadows**

   The alpine meadows are also a very rich and distinct formation within the Guyana Highlands. In Guyana it is only found in the upper reaches of the Kamarang R., Mt. Holitipu and Lamotai Mt., both along the lower Kamarang R. Grasses are usually not dominant but are replaced by *Stegolepis*spp.. Other common genera include *Abolboda, Xyris, Orectanthe, Chalepophyllum, Lagenocarpus* and *Brocchinia*.

Class 6: Montane & steep forest

This class groups forests found at higher altitudes and on steep slopes.

1. **Submontane forest of south Guyana**

   Submontane forest is found in the Acarai Mts from 600-800 m. The forest is quite similar to the forest in the Kanuku Mts. with *Centrolobium, Cordia, Peltogyne, Vitex, Inga, Protium, Tetragastris, Parkia, Pseudopiptadenia, Spondias* and *Genipa.* Forests on the mountain tops are dominated by Myrtaceae and *Clusia* on Sierra do Acarai.

2. Rain forest and evergreen forest on steep hills

Throughout the central and North West Guyana dolerite dykes penetrate through the sediments. These dykes are often covered with lateritic soils that are rocky, gravelly or clayey. There is little quantitative information available on the forest composition on these soils, except for central Guyana. Common trees are *Eschweilera* spp., *Licania* spp., *Swartzia* spp., *Mora gonggrijpii*, *Chlorocardium rodiei*. On lateritic soils in central Guyana a local endemic, *Vouacapoua macropetala*, forms extensive stands with *Eschweilera sagotiana, Licania laxiflora, Sterculia rugosa, Poecilanthe hostmanii* and *Pentaclethra macroloba*. On the rocky phase of laterite, a low shrubby forest is found. Myrtaceae (*Eugenia* spp., *Calycolpes, Marlierea*) and Sapotaceae (*Ecclinusa, Manilkara*) dominate here. Because of the occurrence of steep slopes landslides are not uncommon on laterite ridges. Often liana forest is encountered on such landslides. Pioneers, such as *Cecropia* spp., *Schefflera morototonii, Jacaranda copaia* and *Pentaclethra macroloba* are also abundantly present on such sites in central Guyana.

3. Forest on steep hills in Pakaraimas

Not much is known about specific composition of this forest. The composition, though, is quite similar to mixed rain forest (1.3), with *Dicymbe altsonii, Mora gongrijppii* and *M. excelsa*. In the forests along the foothills of the southern Pakaraima Mts., *Cordia/Centrolobium* forest is found (see 1.7).

4. Forest on steep hills in south Guyana

Forests along the foothills and middle slopes of the Kanuku Mts. are characterised by *Cordia alliodora, Centrolobium paraense, Apeiba schomburgkii, Acacia polyphylla, Pithecellobium* s.l., *Peltogyne pubescens, Manilkara* spp., *Cassia multijuga* and *Vitex* spp. *Manikara* dominates the higher areas. Low forest/woodland with *Erythroxylum* and *Clusia* are on slopes with bare rock.

The South Rupununi Savannah, in particular, has rock outcrops with a typical ‘rock vegetation’. The species present on the smallest rock plates are: *Cereushexagonus, Melocactus smithii, Cnidoscolus urens, Cyrtopodium glutiniferum* and *Portulacasedifolia*.

5. Submontane forests of the Pakaraima uplands

Submontane forests, from 500 – 1,500 m, are fairly similar in composition to the lowland forests surrounding them, with species from *Dicymbe, Licania, Eschweilera, Mora, Alexa* being common to dominant. On white sands *Dicymbe, Dimorpandra, Eperua* and *Micrandra* are the most characteristic genera. Dry submontane forest is characterised by *Dicymbe jenmanii* (endemic to the Kaieteur region), *Moronobea jenmanii, Humiria balsamifera, Chrysophyllum beardii, Tabebuia* spp., *Anthodiscus obovatus, Saccoglottis, Dimorphandra cuprea* and *Clusia* spp.

6. Upper montane forests of the Pakaraima highlands

Upper montane forests (1,500-2,000 m) are only found on the high table mountains, such as Mts. Roraima, Ayanganna and Wokomung. Typical highland genera such as *Bonnetia tepuiensis, Schefflera, Podocarpus, Magnolia* and *Weinmannia* are found here. Low scrubs with Melastomataceae, Rubiaceae, *Ilex* and *Podocarpus steyermarkii* are also expected.

Non-forest Classes

In 2014 the non-forest areas were mapped from high-resolution satellite images and further divided into the following IPCC classes.

- Cropland
- Grassland
- Wetland and open water
- Settlements

- Other land

Literature cited and/or used:

Boggan, J., Funk, V., Kelloff, C., Hoff, M., Cremers, G. and Feuillet, C. (1997).*Checklist of the plants of the Guyanas (Guyana, Surinam, French Guiana)*.2nd edition. Centre for the Study of Biological Diversity, University of Guyana, Georgetown, Guyana.

Fanshawe, D.B. (1952). *The vegetation of British Guyana.A preliminary review.* Imperial Forestry Institute, Oxford, United Kingdom.

Fanshawe, D.B. (1961). *Principal Timbers. Forest products of British Guiana part 1*. Forestry Bulletin no. 1.Forest Department, Georgetown, Guyana.

Huber, O. (1995a). 'Vegetation', pp. 97-160 in P.E. Berry, B.K. Holst and K. Yatskievych (eds.), *Flora of Venezualan Guayana. Volume 1, Introduction*. Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, USA.

Huber, O., et al, (1995).Vegetation Map of Guyana. Centre for the Study of Diversity, Georgetown, Guyana.

Huber, O. (1997). Pantepui Region of Venezuela', pp. 312-315 in S.D. Davis, V.H. Heywood, O. Herrera-McBryde, J. Villa-Lobos and A.C. Hamilton (eds.), *Centres of plant diversity. A guide and strategy for their conservation. Volume 3.The Americas*.WWF, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.

Appendix 4

Accuracy Assessment Report – Year 8

Accuracy Assessment Report Year 8 (2018) Guyana REDD+ MRVS

21 November 2019

Guyana REDD+ Monitoring Reporting and Verification System (MRVS)

Accuracy Assessment Report

Year 8

Daniel Donoghue, Department of Geography, Durham University

Nikolaos Galiatsatos, Royal School of Military Survey

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. This report was commissioned by Indufor Asia Pacific Ltd for the Guyana Forestry Commission (GFC) in support of a system to Monitor, Report and Verify (MRVS) for forest resources and carbon stock changes as part of Guyana's engagement in the UN Collaborative Programme on Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation Plus (REDD+). The scope of the work was to conduct an independent assessment of deforestation, forest degradation and forest area change estimates for the period January–December 2018. Specifically, the terms of reference asked that confidence limits be attached to forest area estimates.

2. The methods used in this report follow the recommendations set out in the GOFC-GOLD guidelines to help identify and quantify uncertainty in the level and rate of deforestation and the amount of degraded forest area in Guyana over the period January-December 2018 (Interim Measures Period – Year 8). NASA Landsat, ESA Sentinel-2, Planet-PlanetScope, and Aeroptic (aka GeoVantage) imagery was used to assess change.

3. A change analysis using two-stage stratified sampling design was conducted to provide precise estimates of forest area. Three strata were selected according to "risk of deforestation". The drivers (cause) of change were identified from expert image interpretation of high spatial resolution satellite imagery.

4. The estimate of the total area of change in the 12 month Year 8 period - Forest to Non-forest and Degraded forest to Non-forest is 6,983 ha with a standard error of 1,276 ha and a 97.5% confidence interval (4,482 ha; 9,485 ha)

5. The estimate the total area of change in the 12 month Year 8 period from Forest to Degraded forest between Y7 and Y8 is 4,253 ha with a standard error of 1,006 ha and a 97.5% confidence interval (2,281 ha, 6,225 ha).

6. Three changes of total 1.35 ha were detected within the boundary of the Intact Forest Landscape. These are interpreted as caused by shifting agriculture.

   PSU[1] 324, SSU 146: Forest-Degradation, 0.4 ha

   PSU 167, SSU 115: Forest-Degradation, 0.25 ha

   PSU 167, SSU 125: Forest-NonForest, 0.7 ha

7. The sample-based estimates for land cover class areas for December 2018 are as follows:

   a. Forest = 19,026,645 ha

   b. Degraded forest = 135,092 ha

   c. Non-forest = 1,593,468 ha

   d. Note that the total area of Guyana in the sample-based estimates is 1.5% different from the GIS-based area because the stratification uses a 5 km by 15 km grid that intersects with the national boundary polygon.

[1] PSU = Primary Sampling Unit; SSU = Secondary Sampling Unit.

1 AREAS OF ACTIVITY

1. To assess Year 8 deforestation, taking note of IPCC Good Practice Guidelines and GOFC/GOLD recommendations.

2. To outline a methodology for accuracy assessment including an outline of the (1) sample design, (2) response design, and (3) analysis design[2]. For the design component, reference data to be used should be identified, and literature cited for methods proposed. The design must ensure representativeness of the scenes selected for analysis. The sampling specifications used must be stated.

3. To support independent verification of the REDD+ interim measures and national estimates (Gross Deforestation, Intact Forest Landscape, Extent of Degradation associated with new infrastructure, and emissions from forest fires – referred to in the context of the Joint Concept Note between the Governments of Guyana and the Kingdom of Norway, including initial interim results, with a priority being on gross deforestation and the associated deforestation rate (i.e. change over time) and assessing their error margins/confidence bands, and providing verification of the deforestation rate figure for Year 8 as an area change total and by driver.

4. To conduct an independent assessment of the deforestation mapping undertaken by the Guyana Forestry Commission and comment on the attribution of types of changes e.g. agriculture, mining, forestry and fire. Make recommendations that can be used to improve efforts in the future. This assessment should be done with the recognition that "best efforts" will have to be applied in situations where there is a challenge in terms of availability of reference data. The error analysis should highlight areas of improvement for future years to decrease uncertainties and maintain consistency. Additionally, the assessment should also consider the quality on how missing data were treated for national estimation (if this is observed to be the case). It is required that real reference data is used either from the ground, ancillary data (e.g. for concessions), and/or high resolution imagery.

5. For 2018 (Year 8), forest degradation was not interpreted and mapped from satellite imagery to create a 'forest degradation' GIS layer. Instead, forest degradation was estimated from a two-stage statistical sample with randomisation of the first stage. The role of Durham University was to carry out a full quality assurance and quality control assessment on the data generated by the GFC mapping team.

6. To use the sample data to estimate the extent of forest degradation for Year 8 for the whole of Guyana and to report error margins/confidence bands, and provide verification of the forest degradation rate for Year 8 as an area change total and by driver. This assessment is done with the recognition that "best efforts" will have to be applied in situations where there is a challenge in terms of availability of reference data. The discussion section highlights areas of improvement for future years to decrease uncertainties and maintain consistency. Additionally, the assessment considers the effect of missing data for national estimation. It is required that real reference data are used either from the ancillary map data (e.g. for concessions), and the data acquired specifically for accuracy assessment including high spatial resolution imagery.

[2] GOFC GOLD Sourcebook (2016) Section 2.7.

2. AREA REPRESENTATION

The total land area for Guyana is 21,123,486 hectares, calculated from the national boundary Shapefile provided by GFC in 2014. The digital maps contained in the report were obtained from the Guyana Forestry Commission (GFC), the Guyana Land and Surveys Commission (GL&SC). All maps use the WGS 84 datum and are projected to UTM Zone 21N.

2.1 Forest Area

Land classified as **forest** by GFC follows the definition from the Marrakech Accords (UNFCCC, 2001). Under this agreement, forest is defined as: a minimum area of land of 1.0 hectare (ha) with tree crown cover (or equivalent stocking level) of more than 10-30% with trees with the potential to reach a minimum height of 2-5 m at maturity in situ.

In accordance with the Marrakech Accords, Guyana has elected to classify land as forest if it meets the following criteria:

- Tree cover of minimum 30%
- At a minimum height of 5 m
- Over a minimum area of 1 ha.

The forest area was mapped by GFC / IAP by excluding non-forest land cover types, including water bodies, infrastructure, mining and non-forest vegetation. The first epoch for mapping is 1990, and from that point forward land cover change from forest to non-forest has been mapped and labelled with the new land cover class and the change driver. GFC have conducted field inspections and measurements over a number of non-forest sites to verify the land cover type, the degree of canopy closure, the height of the vegetation and its potential to regenerate back to forest.

The assessment in this report does not look at the GFC / IAP mapping, it is an independent analysis. For reference we note that the Y8 mapping process involves a systematic review of Landsat and Sentinel data. Details of the GFC / IAP Y7 mapping are explained in the Standard Operating Procedure for Forest Changes Assessment. Areas mapped as deforested during the period 1990- 2009 are used to establish the *deforestation rate* for the benchmark reporting period.

The purpose of this report is to build upon the estimates of deforestation established for the Norway- Guyana agreement and to quantify the precision of the estimate of deforestation and forest degradation observed in the Year 8 period. A second task is to identify the processes (drivers) that are responsible for deforestation and degradation, and where possible to estimate the precision of area estimates.

3 SAMPLING DESIGN FOR VERIFYING YEAR 8 FOREST CHANGE

3.1 Change sample design

The Year 8 assessment for gross deforestation and forest degradation in Guyana used a two-stage stratified random sampling design. Stratification was based on past patterns of deforestation from Period 1 (1990) through to Year 7 (Dec 2017), where the primary drivers of land cover change are alluvial gold mining, logging, anthropogenic fire, agriculture and associated infrastructure including roads.

The assessment is guided by established principles of statistical sampling for area estimation and by good practice guidelines (GOFC-GOLD, 2016, UNFCCC Good Practice Guidance (GPG) and Guidelines (GL)). The purpose of stratification is to calculate the within-stratum means and variances and then calculate a weighted average of within-stratum estimates where the weights are proportional to the stratum size. Stratification will reduce the variance of the population parameter estimate and provide a more precise estimate of forest area and forest area change than a simple random sample.

The sampling design and the associated response design are influenced by the quality and availability of suitable reference data to verify interpretations of the GFC Forest Area Assessment Unit (FAAU). In Year 3, 4 and 5 the GFC Forest Area Assessment Unit (FAAU) used RapidEye as the primary mapping tool and so the whole country was mapped from multiple looks of orthorectified RapidEye resampled data to 5m pixel size. For Year 6, 7, and 8 the GFC Forest Area Assessment Unit (FAAU) used Landsat and Sentinel-2 imagery as the primary mapping tool. The Y8 response design used Planet PlanetScope, GeoVantage, and Sentinel-2 imagery as an appropriate fine-resolution source of data to validate land cover changes in all but the low risk of change areas where assessment was based on interpretation of Sentinel-2 and Landsat data.

For Guyana, the established MRV protocol is for the entire country to be remapped on an annual basis, and so a forest change map will be generated from wall-to-wall coverage of satellite data. To assess the accuracy of land cover change statistics an independent reference sample is needed. The focus of the independent assessment places emphasis on inference, that is optimising the precision of the change estimates. Therefore, we generate an *attribute change sample* as the reference data to estimate gross deforestation and forest degradation area.

A change sample for reference data will:

a. have a smaller variance than an estimate of change derived from two equivalently sized sets of independent observations, provided the correlation coefficient is positive;

b. increase the precision of the change estimate by virtue of the reduction of the variance of estimated change;

c. despite its obvious advantage, encounter practical and inferential problems if resampling the same areas proves difficult, or if, as time passes, the sample or the stratification of the sampling scheme, is no longer representative of the target population (Cochran 1963; Schmid-Haas, 1983);

d. for the same sample size, require no additional resource but allow both map accuracy and area estimation to be performed;

e. be an alternative to wall-to-wall mapping and may be preferred because of lower costs, normally smaller classification error, and rapid reporting of results;

f. have value when assessing any additional forest change map product such as the University of Maryland Global Change map 2000-2018 or any annual updates published by Maryland.

The desired goal of this validation is to derive a statistically robust and quantitative assessment of the uncertainties associated with the forest area and area change estimates.

Several factors potentially impact on the quality of forest mapping (GOFC GOLD, 2016), namely

i. The spatial, spectral and temporal resolution of the imagery

ii. The radiometric and geometric pre-processing of the imagery

iii. The procedures used to interpret deforestation, degradation and respective drivers

iv. Cartographic and thematic standards (i.e. minimum mapping unit and land use definitions)

v. The availability of reference data of suitable quality for evaluation of the mapping

The Standard Operating Procedure for Forest Change Assessment (GFC and Indufor Ap Ltd, 2015) outlines approaches used to minimize sources of error following IPCC and GOFC-GOLD good practice guidelines as appropriate.

The verification process used follows recognised design considerations in which three distinctive and integral phases are identified: response design, sampling design, and analysis and estimation (Stehman and Czaplewski, 1998).

3.2 Response Design

Table 3.1 summarises the data available to validate the deforestation and forest degradation change estimates for 2018, that is the end of 2017 (year 7) and the end of 2018 (year8). It also specifies the areal coverage of the imagery used for change assessment.

Table 3.1: Data sources used for Validation (Application: Forest Change Assessment)

Dataset used	Provider	Sensor	Spectral Range	Date of Acquisition	Pixel size (m)	Area (ha)	% of Guyana
RGB and CIR aerial photography	GeoVantage	Four channel multi-spectral sensor	Visible and NIR	Nov-Dec 17 Oct 18	0.25-0.60	583,949 90,327	2.76 0.42
PlanetScope	Planet	Four channel multispectral sensor	Visible and NIR	Aug-Dec 17 Oct-Nov 18	3	2,890,883 1,279,067	13.7 6.05
Sentinel-2	ESA	Four channel multispectral sensor (at 10m)	Visible and NIR	Aug-Dec 17 Aug-Dec 18	10	19,347,200	91.5
Landsat	USGS	ETM+ and ALI	Visible and NIR	Aug-Dec 17 Aug-Dec 18	30	21,127,762	100

A critical component of any accuracy assessment is the need for appropriate reference data (Herold *et al.*, 2006; Powell *et al.*, 2004). It is often the case that reference data itself contains errors and is not a gold standard and at least one study reports large differences of the order of 5-10% between field- based and remotely sensed reference data (Foody, 2010; Powell *et al.* 2004). Therefore, a key aspect of the response design is to use reference data that allow forest / non- forest land cover to be classified with certainty. Year 8 deforestation and degradation was mapped by the IAP/GFC team from Sentinel-2 and Landsat imagery, while the accuracy assessment primarily used PlanetScope and GeoVantage imagery supplemented by the detailed reinterpretation of Sentinel-2 satellite imagery in parts of Guyana that were within the Low Risk stratum, and occasionally Landsat where there were clouds in Sentinel.

For 2018, as with 2016-17, forest degradation was **not mapped** wall-to-wall across Guyana. The level of degradation was estimated from a change analysis of reference data using a two-stage stratified sample with randomisation of the first stage sample transects. The change analysis interpreted land cover at two time periods using the best available reference data - primarily PlanetScope and GeoVantage imagery supplemented by reinterpretation of Sentinel-2 and occasionally Landsat where other imagery was obscured by clouds.

The degradation analysis was also carried out by the GFC mapping team (six persons) using a rules-based approach that is described in the Standard Operation Procedure for degradation assessment. Note that the definition of forest degradation requires the interpreter to make a quantitative assessment of the area of forest lost and to record the loss as a proportion of each hectare sample analysed. Even though the interpreter has access to the area 'measure tool' within ArcMap, any misinterpretation or miscalculation of change is most likely to arise from human-error or interpretation using poor quality imagery or areas partially obscured by cloud or cloud shadow. In addition to assessing evidence for land cover change, the interpreter is required to assign a driver to every sample area that exhibits change. The choice of change driver is selected from a drop-down menu of known reasons for deforestation and forest degradation. However, the process of selecting a change driver is subjective and depends on the knowledge of the interpreter and the level of care taken in interpreting the imagery and with following the definitions / rules and respecting the exclusions (e.g. Table 3.2) specified in the SOP.

Table 3-2 – Year 8 Deforestation and Forest Degradation Assessment Exclusions

Reference	Criteria
1	Land use change that occurred prior to 1 January 2018 or after 31 December 2018
2	Roads less than a 10 m width.
3	Naturally occurring areas – i.e. water bodies
4	Cloud and cloud shadow

The following sections provide a summary of the datasets available and the way they were used for the accuracy assessment.

3.3 GeoVantage

GeoVantage is an aerial imaging camera system mounted externally to a light aircraft, in our case a Cessna 172. The camera system comprises a multi spectral sensor, capturing red, green, blue, and near infrared spectral bands. The spatial resolution of the imagery depends on the altitude that the data is captured. For this project the operating altitude ranged from 2000 to 5000 ft and the resultant imagery ranged from a pixel size of 25 cm to 60 cm. Deriving a change sample based of aerial imagery over tropical forests is a challenging task given the constraints of weather, cloud cover and navigating the exact same flight path as the previous year. GeoVantage imagery was acquired in November-December 2017 over approximately 132 sample areas in the High and Medium Risk strata. Acquisition was repeated in September-October 2018, again acquiring imagery in the High and Medium Risk strata for 132 sample transects. These very high resolution images are helpful for confirming the status of sample areas at the end of the assessment period, particularly for identifying areas of forest degradation because the area of forest loss can be measured easily from the imagery using ArcGIS tools. The GeoVantage data were acquired by Agrisat S.A who also performed image mosaicking, rectification and colour balancing. The majority of GeoVantage imagery for 2017 and 2018 were of good geometric quality; some frames exhibited saturation which made land cover interpretation difficult.

3.4 PlanetScope

PlanetScope data were downloaded from the Planet Explorer Beta GUI tool that can be used to search Planet's catalog of imagery, view metadata, and download full-resolution images[3].

PlanetScope is a swarm of 120 micro (10cm x 10cm x 30cm) satellites orbiting the Earth at 475 km altitude, and offering the capability of daily revisit. The first three generations of Planet's optical systems are referred to as PlanetScope 0, PlanetScope 1, and PlanetScope 2. PlanetScope 2 has a 4-band multispectral imager (blue,

[3] http://www.planet.com/explorer (last accessed: December 2018)

green, red, near-infrared) with a Ground Sample Distance of 3.7m. The radiometrically-corrected orthorectified product (that was used in this project) is resampled to 3m.

The radiometric resolution is 12-bit and sensor-related effects are corrected using sensor telemetry and a sensor model. The bands are co-registered, and spacecraft-related effects are corrected using attitude telemetry and best available ephemeris data. Data are orthorectified using GCPs and fine DEMs (30 m to 90 m posting). While in 2017 the PlanetScope imagery was found to be of varied quality with different radiometric integrity displayed by different sensors, and on some occasions the imagery was offset, in 2018 the PlanetScope imagery was substantially better both radiometrically and geometrically.

3.5 Sentinel-2

The Sentinel satellites are launched by ESA in support of the EU Copernicus programme. Sentinel- 2A and -2B carry an innovative wide swath high-resolution multispectral imager with 13 spectral bands primarily intended for the study of land and vegetation. The bands vary in spatial resolution, with four bands (Blue, Green, Red, and NIR) at 10m, six bands (four in NIR and two in SWIR) at 20m, and three bands (Blue, NIR and SWIR) at 60m. Although data are processed to different levels, only Level-1C (orthorectified product) is provided to users. The Sentinel Toolbox[4] can then be used to generate a Level-2A (Bottom of Atmosphere reflectance product). Although the pixel size of 10m is not as fine as PlanetScope, the Sentinel-2 radiometric resolution was found to be superior, thus providing a clearer (but not finer) land cover image.

GFC acquired multiple Sentinel-2 scenes to cover the whole land area of Guyana for Aug-Dec 2017 and Aug-Dec 2018. Multiple scenes area required to cope with cloud cover.

3.6 Sampling Design for Change Analysis

The sampling design refers to the methods used to select the locations at which the reference data are obtained. To assess the area and rate of deforestation a two stage sampling strategy with stratification of the primary units was adopted. First a rectangular grid of 5 km by 15 km in size was created within the spatial extent of the country's national boundary[5]. The shape was selected to assist with the collection of North-South orientated strips of aerial GeoVantage imagery as this shape minimises the cost of acquisition of the imagery. Gridding resulted in 2837 rectangles; note that only rectangles with a centroid within the Guyana national boundary were selected.

As the area of the country is large, and deforestation is observed to be clustered around relatively small areas of human activity, it is efficient to adopt a stratified sampling framework rather than use simple random or systematic sampling (Gallego, 2000; Foody, 2004; Stehman, 2001). For each stratum, sample means and variances can be calculated; a weighted average of the within stratum estimates is then derived, where weights are proportional to stratum size. In this case, the goal is to improve the precision of the forest (or deforestation) area using a stratum-based estimate of variance that will be more precise that using simple random sampling (Stehman and Czaplewski, 1998; Stehman, 2009; Potapov *et al.*, 2014).

Strata are based on actual observations of deforestation (particularly Years 1 to 7). The method first selected the grid rectangles that intersected deforestation events. For every year of deforestation the value 1 (one) was given. If no event was recorded then the value 0 (zero) was given. For example, the rectangle with value 0000011 intersects deforestation events that were recorded for Years 6 and 7. When there have been deforestation events for the last two years, then the rectangle was assigned to High Risk (HR) stratum. All other rectangles were assigned to LR (Low Risk) stratum.

After this, and based on geographical data provided by GFC, MR (Medium Risk) grid rectangles were selected from the LR stratum and stratified according to factors closely associated with risk of deforestation and forest degradation. In particular, data about the location of logging camps, mining dredges, settlements, and the existing road network were used (see Table 3.3 and Figure 3.1). This way, all grid rectangles that satisfied the

[4] https://earth.esa.int/web/sentinel/toolboxes/sentinel-2 (last accessed: December 2018)

[5] According to the Interim Measures Report October 2013, the national boundary (that was used for the stratification) was defined by following information received from the GL&SC and with the aid of RapidEye imagery.

following criteria were selected to be included in the MR stratum.

Contain at least one of: logging camps, mining dredges, or settlements,

<OR>

Intersect with at least one road.

This resulted in the classification of grid rectangles into four strata: 611 HR, 773 MR, and 1453 LR. (see Figure 3.2 – left).

Table 3.3 – Spatial data used to assist with defining risk strata

Data Group	Layer Name	Created/Update Frequency	Description
Admin	guyana_boundary	Received August 2013	Updated country boundary for Guyana.
Managed Forest Areas	logging_camps	N/A	Point location of logging camp sites, based on the Annual Operating plan.
Roads	gps roads_dd	3-6 months	All GPS roads and trails as at August 2013.
Mining Areas	mining_dredges	Upon granting of mining permit/licence/claim	Mining Dredge sites normally found in/around rivers
Population	Settlements	N/A	An extraction of a number of larger settlements from the place names point feature class.

The map in Figure 3.1 suggests that there is lower probability of sampling deforestation in the Low Risk stratum than the High and Medium Risk strata and so, in order not to under sample and miss deforestation events in this stratum, a weighting was applied when randomly selecting rectangles to analyse in detail. This resulted in 69 HR rectangles, 65 MR rectangles and 190 LR rectangles (see figure 3.1 - right).



Figure 3.1 – High, Medium, Low, and Zero Risk strata (left) and final random sampling of the strata (right image).

Within each first-stage sample, a systematic grid of 300 hectares was generated. The centre point of the each of the first-stage samples was generated randomly. In total 97,200 one-hectare samples became available for accuracy assessment.

For each primary sampling unit, the land cover class (e.g. Forest or Non-Forest, Degradation or Non-Degradation) is determined for the Year 8 deforestation and degradation map. The assessment follows a systematic procedure where the GIS table for the samples is populated using a GIS toolbar.

Specifically the tools used to interpret and validate Year 8 land cover change included high resolution satellite imagery (see Table 3.1). Also available were GIS data indicating mining, forestry and agricultural concessions.

Year 8 Change Assessment involved the collection of 324 equally-sized primary sample units (each with 300 ha) with a direct correspondence with Year 7. The reference data selected for the change assessment in Year 8 was a combination of PlanetScope, GeoVantage and Sentinel-2 imagery for the High and Medium Risk strata, and Sentinel-2 and Landsat imagery for the Low Risk stratum.



Figure 3.2 – As the deforestation moves, some of it 'leaks' into MR and LR. As a result, the strata may need to change for future sampling.

3.7 Precision of Area Estimates for Deforestation and Forest Degradation

The two-stage sampling with stratification of the primary units design optimises the probability of sampling deforestation and forest degradation in Year 8 when the area concerned represents only a small fraction of the national land area. Furthermore, there are several factors such as cloud cover, accessibility, safety and cost that limit the availability and quality of reference data.

A key consideration is minimising the risk of introducing any possible bias into the estimates. Bias may arise from sampling, from cloud cover patterns and perhaps from the distribution and coverage of the reference data. Sampling bias can be assessed from the joint probabliity matrices. The distribution of cloud cover has been assessed qualitatively from cloud cover masks but this can be quantified more formally from the sample area data and from the cloud mask data derived from analysis of the satellite imagery.

The validation team consists of six well qualified and experienced image interpreters, all of whom live in Guyana and work for the Guyana Forestry Commission. The analysis involved identifying change, paying strict attention of the definitions of 'forest cover', 'degraded forest cover' and 'non- forest' as well as the interpretion rules for deforestation and forest degradation.

Training was provided on two occasions in March/April 2018 and August 2018 to introduce the image interpretation team to the reference data sets, the ArcMap Change-Assessment Toolbar, and the mapping rules as detailed in the Standard Operating Procedures for Forest Change Assessment: A Guide for Remote Sensing Processing & GIS Mapping, along with Operating Procedures for REDD+ Accuracy Assessment.

3.8 Decision Tree for 2018 (Year 8) Change Analysis

The analysis will report a gross deforestation change estimate based on a stratified random change estimator. This will provide confidence interval information on the deforestation estimate (i.e. the amount of change). Put another way, there is no sub-sampling other than to break down the measurement into a hectare-sized grid to make the assessment manageable. Appendix 4 provides information about how decisions are made when a deforestation, forest degradation, or afforestation event is met by the interpreter, to complete the contingency matrix (see Table 3- 4).

Table 3-4 Contigency matrix to represent change as detected by the assessment team.

	End Reference Class			
Start Reference Class	Forest	Degradation	NonForest	**Total**
Forest	Stable Forest	Loss	Loss	
Degradation	Gain	Stable Degradation	Loss	
NonForest	Gain	Gain	Stable NonForest	
Total				

When assessing degradation it is important to follow the Mapping Rules that define degraded-forest and non-forest that are detailed in the Standard Operating Procedure for Forest Change Assessment (see Appendix 4.

The most important points to note are:

1. Only areas of forest degradation that relate to Years 7 and 8 are assessed.
2. Areas of shifting cultivation are classified as “Pioneer” and “Rotational” even if they are smaller in size than the minimum mapping unit (1 ha). “Pioneer” areas are evaluated as deforestation and “Rotational” as forest degradation.
3. Areas of water bodies are classified as non-forest.
4. Areas cloud and shadow or missing data are labeled as *Omitted*.
5. Areas representing Year 9 change (post Dec 2018) were also omitted from the analysis as this change postdates the Year 8 reference imagery.

The rules for validating each sample unit point account for small discrepancies with the geometric alignment among the various remote sensing data sets. The change samples are ideally interpreted at 1:5,000 scale using 2017 imagery (GeoVantage, PlanetScope, or Sentinel-2) and 2018 imagery (GeoVantage, PlanetScope, or Sentinel-2) imagery. Factors, other than human error, that might explain misinterpretation include land obscured by cloud or cloud shadow and change that is too small to be detected on the available cloud-free imagery.

Furthermore, where a discrepancy between the mapping and the validation data is detected, an interpretation will be made of the correct assignment for the sample point. The toolbar included a confidence label on a 0-4 scale. The uncertainty refers to confidence in interpreting either change or the driver for change and is recorded on a four interval percentage scale. This allows for uncertainties in interpretation to be removed from the estimation and validation process if required.

3.9 Precision of Area Estimates for Deforestation and Forest Degradation

Just before the Accuracy Assessment exercise, a training session was run by Durham University in GFC premises for the team of interpreters to get accustomed to the rules and the tools. It was followed by consistency check on 300 samples, analysis of the disagreements and discussion among the team. A small ‘refresher’ also took place a week before the Accuracy Assessment exercise. Following the exercise, a consistency check was run on the areas of change. The outcome is presented in Table 3-5 and Table 3.6

Table 3-5 – Consistency check results over 300 samples, on the identification of change or no-change in the sample (grey cells) and the drivers of that change (white cells).

	User A	User B	User C	User D	User E	User F
User A	Change					
User B	92.67%					
User C	97.67%	92.67%				
User D	90.33%	91.00%	90.67%			
User E	95.67%	94.33%	95.00%	91.33%		
User F	95.67%	94.00%	94.33%	92.33%	97.67%	

Table 3-6 – Consistency check: comparing each operator with independent accuracy assessment team based on 300 samples.

% Agreement	User A	User B	User C	User D	User E
Master	**98**	**94**	**93**	**96**	**96**

4 STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY

4.1 Change Sample Estimates

We treat the design as a stratified cluster design. The clusters are rectangles. The strata are HR, MR and LR. A simple random sample of rectangles from each stratum is taken. Then, within each rectangle, all hectares are systematically evaluated and all change measured quantitatively. This sample design can be analysed routine primarily used PlanetScope and GeoVantage imagery supplemented by reinterpretation of Sentinel-2 satellite imagery in parts of Guyana that were within the Low Risk stratum, and occasionally Landsat where Sentinel-2 was obscured by clouds.

The reference data consisted of 324 primary sample units stratified into HR (20,700 ha), MR (19,500 ha) and LR (57,000 ha) areas as described in the sampling design (Section 4.3) and randomly sampled within each stratum. This design allows a probability-based inference approach to be applied. This approach assumes (1) that samples are selected from each stratum randomly; (2) that the probability of sample selection from each stratum can be estimated; (3) the sampling fraction in each stratum is proportional to the total population and that the relative sample size reflects, in this case, a ratio of 65:35 between HR and LR stratum respectively.

The total number of 1 ha samples analysed in the whole survey was 97,200. Of this total only 1,851 were Omitted due to cloud cover or cloud shadow in the reference imagery. The proportion of the total omitted is 0.01904 which represents 1.9 % of the sample. This is less than Year 6 (2015-16) where the equivalent proportion of omitted samples was 0.05708 (5.7 %) and more than Year 7 (2016-17) where the equivalent proportion of omitted samples was 0.00215 (0.22 %).

Key inputs to the analysis are the total number of samples in each stratum. These are 4,810,002 ha (20,700 sampled hectares) for HR, 5,658,869 ha (19,500 sampled hectares) for MR and 10,654,582 (57,000 sampled hectares) for LR.

Apart from no change samples (Forest-Forest; NonForest-Non Forest; Degradation-Degradation), the key changes are Forest-Non Forest, Forest-Forest Degradation, and Forset degradation – Non Forest.

4.2 Software and estimators

To carry out the analysis, we have used the survey package available with the statistical package R Core Team (2014). This package is free and used by and supported by most of the world's academic statisticians, and increasingly is the commercial tool of choice. The survey package provided in Lumley (2004, 2014) provides functionality similar to that provided by the SAS package[6], and uses the same standard formulae for estimation of means and variances. These formulae are set out below and described conveniently in Lumley (2014).

Definitions and Notation

For a stratified clustered sample design, together with the sampling weights, the sample can be represented by an $n \times (P+1)$ matrix

$$(W, Y) = \left(w_{hij}, y_{hij}\right)$$
$$= (w_{hij}, y_{hij}^{(1)} y_{hij}^{(2)}, \dots \dots \dots, y_{hij}^{(p)})$$

Where

[6] SAS SURVEYMEANS procedure. http://www.math.wpi.edu/saspdf/stat/pdfidx.htm

$h = 1,2, \dots\dots\dots, H$ is the stratum number, with a total of H strata

$i = 1,2, \dots\dots\dots, n_h$ is the cluster number within stratum h, with a total of n_h clusters

$j = 1,2, \dots\dots\dots, m_{hi}$ is the unit number within cluster i of stratum h, with a total of m_{hi} units

$p = 1,2, \dots\dots\dots, P$ is the analysis variable number, with a total of P variables

$n = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} m_{hi}$ is the total number of observations in the sample

w_{hij} denotes the sampling weight for observation j in cluster i of stratum h

$y_{hij} = (y_{hij}^{(1)} y_{hij}^{(2)}, \dots\dots\dots, y_{hij}^{(p)})$ are the observed values of the analysis variables for observation j in cluster i of stratum h, including both the values of numerical variables and the values of indicator variables for levels of categorical variables.

Mean

$$\hat{\bar{Y}} = \frac{(\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{hi}} w_{hij} y_{hij})}{w}$$

Where

$$w_{\dots} = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{hi}} w_{hij}$$

Is the sum of the weights over all observations in the sample.

Confidence limit for the mean

The confidence limit is computed as

$$\hat{\bar{Y}} \pm StdErr\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}\right) . t_{df,\infty/2}$$

Where $\hat{\bar{Y}}$ is the estimate of the mean, $StdErr\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}\right)$ is the standard error of the mean, and $t_{df,\infty/2}$ is the $100(1 - {}^{\infty}/_{2})$ percentile of the t distribution with the df calculated as described in the section "t Test for the Mean".

Proportions

The procedure estimates the proportion in level c_k for variable C as

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{hi}} w_{hij} y_{hij}^{(q)}}{\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{hi}} w_{hij}}$$

Where $y_{hij}^{(q)}$ is value of the indicator function for level $C = c_k$

$y_{hij}^{(q)}$ equals $\mathbf{1}$ if the observed value of variables C equals c_k, and

$y_{hij}^{(q)}$ equals $\mathbf{0}$ otherwise.

Total

The estimate of the total weighted sum over the sample,

$$\hat{Y} = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{hi}} w_{hij} y_{hij}$$

For a categorical variable level, $\hat{Y}$ estimates its total frequency in the population.

Variance and standard deviation of the total

$$\hat{V}(\hat{Y}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{n_h(1 - f_h)}{n_h - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} (y_{hi\cdot} - \bar{y}_{h\cdots})^2$$

Where

$$y_{hi\cdot} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_{hi}} w_{hij} y_{hij}$$

$$\bar{y}_{h\cdot\cdot} = (\sum_{i=1}^{n_h} y_{hi\cdot}) / n_h$$

The standard deviation of the total equals

$$Std(\hat{Y}) = \sqrt{\hat{V}(\hat{Y})}$$

Confidence limits of a total

$$\hat{Y} \pm StdErr\,(\hat{Y}).\, t_{df,\infty/2}$$

5 RESULTS

5.1 Estimates of forest cover in Year 7

We can ignore that we have Year 8 information and obtain estimates of Year 7 forest cover. These can be compared to estimates obtained by other means. Table 5.1 shows the total areas classified as Degraded, Forest, and NonForest, together with a standard error and a 97.5% confidence interval. For example, the estimate of non-degraded Forest cover in 2017 (year 7) is 19,037,417 ha, standard error 19,063 ha, and 97.5% confidence interval (19,000,054; 19,074,780) ha.

Table 5.2 gives the same information as in Table 5.1, but shows proportions rather than totals. So, the proportion of Forest cover in 2017 is 0.9172, standard error 0.0009, 97.5% confidence interval (0.9154, 0.9190). Note that proportions add to one.

Table 5.1 Analysis of Y7 hectares of all classes

	Hectares	SE	2.5%	97.5%
Y7 Degraded forest	131,303	5,542	120,441	142,167
Y7 Non degraded forest	19,037,417	19,063	19,000,054	19,074,780
Y7 Non forest	1,586,484	18,480	1,550,264	1,622,704

Table 5.2 Analysis of Y7 proportions of all classes

	Mean	SE	2.5%	97.5%
Y7 Degraded forest	0.0063	0.0003	0.0058	0.0068
Y7 Non-degraded forest	0.9172	0.0009	0.9154	0.9190
Y7 Non-forest	0.0764	0.0009	0.0747	0.0782

5.2 Estimates of forest cover in 2018 (Year 8)

We now repeat these analyses for Year 8. Table 5.3 shows the total areas classified as degraded forest, non-degraded forest, and non-forest, together with a standard error and a 97.5% confidence interval. For example, the estimate of non-degraded forest cover in Year 8 is 19,026,646 hectares, standard error 19,107 hectares, and 97.5% confidence interval (18,989,196; 19,064,095) hectares. Table 5.4 shows proportions instead of totals. Otherwise, the interpretation is as for Year 7.

Table 5.3 Analysis of Y8 hectares of all classes

	Hectares	SE	2.5%	97.5%
2018 Degraded forest	135,092	5,620	124,075	146,108
2018 Non-degraded forest	19,026,646	19,107	18,989,196	19,064,095
2018 Non forest	1,593,468	18,511	1,557,188	1,629,748

Table 5.4 Analysis of Y8 proportions of all classes				
	Mean	SE	2.5%	97.5%
2018 Degraded forest	0.0065	0.0003	0.0060	0.0070
2018 Non-degraded forest	0.9167	0.0009	0.9149	0.9185
2018 Non forest	0.0768	0.0009	0.0750	0.0785

5.3 Estimates of change from Year 7 to Year 8

We analyse change from Year 7 to Year 8 as follows. We have matched pairs of sample data, where the hectares seen in Year 7 are seen again in Year 8. Therefore it is natural to concentrate upon the change for each pair. This is analogous to the matched paired t-test, where we calculate differences between pairs, and then analyse the differences.

There are three possible outcomes for each pair, depending on how the hectare was classified in Year 7. If the classification had been Forest (non-degraded), the possibilities are Forest in Year 7 and Year 8, Forest in Year 7 and Degraded in Year 8, and Forest in Year 7 and Non Forest in Year 8. Therefore, these will result a total of nine possible combinations of change.

Table 5.5 Totals of Class Changes from Forest for 2017-2018				
Stratum / Class	Hectares	SE	2.50%	97.50%
2017-2018 Forest -> Degradation	4,253	1,006	2,281	6,225
2017-2018 Forest/Degraded -> NonForest	6,983	1,276	4,482	9,485
2017-2018 Forest -> Forest	19,026,646	19,107	18,989,196	19,064,095

In Table 5.5 we estimate the area of Guyana which was classified as Forest in Year 7 and NonForest in Year 8. The estimate is 6,983 hectares, standard error 1,276 hectares, 97.5% confidence interval (4,482 ha; 9,485 ha). Appendix 1 gives the same information as Table 5.5, but disaggregated by stratum and by proportions rather than totals.

In Year 8 the GFC mapping team found no change from Non-Forest to Forest or Degraded Forest (reforestation). Note that it would be difficult to identify reforestation with any certainty in the LR stratum because only Sentinel-2 and Landsat data is available. Nevertheless, no reforestation was found in either the HR or MR strata using the high resolution PlanetScope or Sentinel-2 imagery.



Figure 5.1 Trends in Deforestation observed from GFC MRVS and sample-based estimates

The change from forest to degraded forest was measured precisely for each sample where change (forest loss) was identified. This was done manually using the 'measure tool' in ArcGIS and the value entered in the database using the Accuracy Toolbar to the nearest 5% for each sample hectare. The amount of loss is classed as degraded forest when forest area of 0.5 ha or more is lost, up to the point that 30% or less of the area is forest canopy covered; after that, the sample hectare would be classed as deforested.

In this way partial deforestation and forest degradation is assessed quantitatively within each sample area. The total area for change from Forest to Degraded forest is 4,253 hectares, standard error 1,006 hectares, 97.5% confidence interval (2,281 ha; 6,225 ha), see table 5.5.



Figure 5.1 Trends in Deforestation observed from GFC MRVS and sample-based estimates

5.4 Estimating rate of change

The key issue is to estimate the rate of change of gross deforestation. To do this, we restrict attention to hectares which in Year 7 were classified as forest or degraded, and then estimate the rates at which they continued to be Forest, or were classified as non-forest.

The estimated number of hectares of forest in Year 7 changed to Degraded Forest in Year 8 is 4,253 hectares with a standard error of 1,006 hectares, 97.5% confidence interval (2,281 ha; 6,225 ha). The estimated number of hectares of forest in Year 7 lost to non-forest in Year 8 is 6,983 hectares. These changes translate into a mean rate of deforestation on 0.0343 % with a SE of 0.00529 % with a 97.5% confidence interval for the rate of change of 0.02396 % to 0.04469 %, see Table 5.6.

Table 5.6 Mean Deforestation annual rate per hectare (%)				
	Mean	SE	2.5%	97.5%
Year 8 (2018) Forest loss	0.0343	0.00529	0.02396	0.04469

5.5 Deforestation rate comparison

Table 5.7 shows the Year 7 to Year 8 deforestation area and rate data compared. Note that the map-based estimate does not have a standard error associated with it but that the mapping and the change sample estimates are of similar magnitude. Note that the sample-based estimate considers only the areas available to sample, that is, the LR, MR and HR strata. Figure 5.2 shows the trend in deforestation rate from 2010 to 2018. Year 8 shows the lowest rate of change according to the sample-based change estimates.

Table 5.7 Comparison of Forest Change Estimates Source			
	Forest area change (ha) Year 7- Year 8	Change Rate (%)	SE of Y8 Rate (%)
GFC / Indufor GIS Map Estimate	9,227	0.052	
Durham Change Sample Estimate	6,983	0.0343	0.0053



Figure 5.2 Deforestation Rates observed from GFC MRVS and Accuracy Assessment sample-based estimates

6 DISCUSSION

The results divide into two areas that warrant further discussion:

i) reliability of the sampling strategy used to identify deforestation and estimate change area from imagery

ii) estimation of the drivers of forest loss;

6.1 Deforestation levels

The approach taken by GFC to produce a comprehensive (wall-to-wall) map for forest / non-forest for Guyana is ambitious and provides very precise, location-specific data. The mapped area of gross deforestation is higher than the sample-based estimate although the mapped area falls within the confidence interval of the sample-based estimate.

There are a number of possible reasons that might explain the small difference between the two measures of gross deforestation.

1. the MRV mapping is based on Sentinel-2 MSI and Landsat 8 imagery and so areas identified as deforestation might in fact be forest degradation;
2. the overall amount of deforestation is low and so it is possible that a few small areas account for the differences and these areas, by chance, fall outside the sampled areas;
3. the proportion (approx 2%) of samples Omitted (because of cloud cover) is higher than in Y7 and may obscure change areas;
4. The accuracy assessment for deforestation did not check the GIS map product, rather it estimated forest loss from an independent probability-based sample.

In the figures 6.1-6.8, different examples are presented that illustrate situations where the GIS mapping and the sample-based estimation methods differ in their interpretation of both deforestation and forest degradation although both follow the established mapping rules as described in the standard operating procedures.



Figure 6.1 – GeoVantage aerial image acquired in October 2017, showing the initial state of the forest before mapping deforestation and detecting forest degradation.



Figure 6.2 – GeoVantage aerial image acquired in September 2018, highlighting where deforestation or forest degradation has taken place. The roads and an extension of the mine have been correctly recorded by the GFC MRVS mapping team, as per mapping rules (yellow features). Note that the detected change on the mine expansion took place after this GeoVantage aerial image was acquired and it is visible in Sentinel-2 December 2018 image. The samples have been correctly identified by the GFC mapping team, following the sample-based assessment rules.



Figure 6.3 – GeoVantage aerial image acquired in October 2017. It highlights that the detected change at the West of the mining site (i.e. dead trees) was in place at the beginning of the year.



Figure 6.4 – GeoVantage aerial image acquired in September 2018. It highlights a change that was not detected by the MRVS mapping team (central lake extension), but was detected by the same team in the sample-based mapping. Dead trees at the West of the site are visible in this image – the lack of red colour indicates defoliation.



Figure 6.5 – The images above are satellite Sentinel-2 data taken one month apart. The top image was acquired in September 2018 while the bottom one was acquired in October 2018. This figure highlights how quickly forest loss and expansion of deforestation events can occur.



Figure 6.6 – This is an example where the spatial resolution of the imagery plays an important role in the interpretation of the land cover. The top image is aerial GeoVantage and the bottom image is PlanetScope satellite data; both were acquired within a day of each other. While an interpreter may have seen a forest in the PlanetScope satellite image (highlighted square), it is obvious from the GeoVantage image that this 1 ha sample square is in fact comprised of low vegetation with sparse trees seen in the left part of the square.



*Figure 6.7 – GeoVantage aerial imagery (top) and Sentinel-2 satellite imagery (bottom) of the same area at a scale of 1:10,000. From this perspective and scale, an interpreter may well think that this area is **forest** land cover.*



*Figure 6.8 – However, when zooming in (1:2,500 scale), it becomes obvious from the GeoVantage aerial image that the landscape comprises mostly of low trees and bushes which do not meet the definition of **forest** land cover used in Guyana. The correct land cover is not very apparent or easy to interpret from the Sentinel-2 image even at this scale.*

6.2 Drivers of Deforestation

The results from the stratified sample estimates confirms GFCs conclusion that mining and mining-related infrastructure including settlements is the overwhelming driver for deforestation (84%), see Table 6.1.

Table 6.1	Drivers of Deforestation and Degradation			
Driver	Deforestation area in ha	Proportion for deforestation	Degradation area in ha	Proportion for degradation
Agriculture	0	0 %	0	0 %
Mining	4,977	77 %	1,936	50 %
Settlements	441	7 %	426	5.6 %
Fire	189	3 %	0	0 %
Shifting agriculture	693	13 %	1,510	39 %
Unknown				5.6 %
Total	6,983		4,253	

6.3 Forest Degradation

In the Years 2-5 degradation statistics were derived from wall-to-wall mapping by GFC using a combination of RapidEye 5m pixel size and Landsat 30 m pixel size imagery. In year 6 covering a 24 month period 2015-2016 RapidEye imagery was not available and it was not possible to derive forest degradation maps from Landsat and some Sentinel-2 MSI data alone. Therefore, the level of forest degradation was estimated from the change sample reference data using interpretation of aerial imagery supplemented with PlanetScope and Sentinel-2 MSI data. The same approach was used for 2018 except the interpretation was carried out by the GFC Mapping Team rather than by the independent accuracy assessment team.

The key questions are:

i) have GFC been able to identify forest degradation consistently within the mapping team given the strict definitions outlined in the Standard Operating Procedure?
ii) are the reference data of sufficient quality to allow forest degradation to be determined on a consistent basis?
iii) can the drivers of degradation be determined accurately and consistently?

GeoVantage aerial image data was used for accuracy assessment but this imagery was not available to the GFC mapping team for the Y8 period and so the quantitative assessment of forest degradation was undertaken from the change sample analysis alone where GeoVantage and PlanetScope imagery was a key tool for identifying and quantifying forest degradation.

Table 6.1 shows the deforestation and forest degradation data broken down by driver for the assessment sample. The data show that 77% of deforestation is associated with mining and mining infrastructure. It must be noted (i) that drivers of change are easier to identify on GeoVantage and PlanetScope imagery than on Sentinel-2 and (ii) that GeoVantage and PlanetScope was not available for the Low Risk stratum giving a possible bias in driver classification by stratum.

The breakdown of forest degradation by driver is also shown in Table 6.2. This also reveals that mining is the dominant driver for forest degradation in Year 8. A complete breakdown of all the change observed from the reference data in Year 7 and Year 8 is shown in the tables of Appendix A of the report. Using a change sample is clearly the most efficient and powerful way to detect change over a year. The levels of precision achieved are not likely to be much improved by taking a larger sample.

Table 6.2 Drivers of degradation	Indicator	Unit	Adopted Reference Measure	Year 4 Period	Year 5 Period	Year 6 Period	Year 7 Period	Year 8 Period
Degradation Indicator	Determine the extent of degradation associated with new infrastructure such as mining, roads, settlements[7]	*ha/yr*	4 368	4 352	4 251	5,679	3,512	2,599
Emissions resulting from anthropogenic forest fires	Area of forest burnt each year should decrease.	*ha/yr*	1 706[1]	395	265	762	804	0
Emissions resulting from subsistence forestry, land use and shifting cultivation lands	Emissions resulting from communities to meet their local needs may increase as a result of inter alia a shorter fallow cycle or area expansion.	*ha/yr*	-	765	167	93	281	1,654
Natural / Unknown		*ha/yr*				802	0	
Total		*ha*				7,336	4,764	4,253

[7] Degradation from forest fires is taken from an average over the past 20 years. This value is inclusive of all degradation drivers except for rotational shifting agriculture

7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

a. We conclude that the estimates of deforestation based on the mapping undertaken by GFC based largely on interpretation of Sentinel-2 MSI and PlanetScope imagery may be overestimated.

b. The methods used by GFC, and assisted by IAP, follow the good practice recommendations set out in the GOFC-GOLD guidelines and considerable effort has been made to acquire cloud free imagery towards the end of the census period October-December 2018 (Year 8).

c. The estimate of the total area of change in the 12-month Year 8 period from forest to non-forest and degraded forest to non-forest is 6,983 ha, with a standard error of 1,276 ha and a 97.5% confidence interval (4,482 ha; 9,485 ha).

d. The estimate of the annual rate of deforestation that occurred over the Year 8 (12 month) period is 0.034% with a standard error of 0.005% and a 97.5% confidence interval (0.0240%; 0.0447%).

e. The estimate the total area of change in the 12-month Year 8 period from forest to degraded forest between Y7 and Y8 is 4,253 ha, with a standard error of 1,006 ha and a 97.5% confidence interval (2,281 ha; 6,225 ha).

f. Three changes of total 1.35 ha was detected within samples that fell within the boundary of the Intact Forest Landscape. The change was interpreted as forest degradation associated with shifting agriculture.

g. The GeoVantage (aerial survey) and PlanetScope data provided sufficient detail (spatial resolution) to assess the Sentinel-2 and PlanetScope deforestation mapping as provided by GFC. It would be difficult to make a precise assessment of degradation without access to high resolution imagery. Sentinel-2 MSI or Landsat ALI data are not sufficient for this purpose.

8 REFERENCES

Cochran, W.G. 1963. Sampling Techniques, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

Foody, G. M. 2004. Thematic map comparison: Evaluating the statistical significance of differences in classification accuracy. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 70:627-633.

Foody, G.M. 2010. Assessing the accuracy of land cover change with imperfect ground reference data, *Remote Sensing of Environment,* 114:2271-2285.

Gallego, F.J. 2000. Double sampling for area estimation and map accuracy assessment, In: Mowrer, H.T., and Congalton, R.G., (eds.) *Quantifying spatial uncertainty in natural resources*, Ann Arbor Press, pp.65- 77.

GFC and Indufor Ap Ltd, 2015, Interim Measures Report.

GOFC-GOLD. 2016. A sourcebook of methods and procedures for monitoring and reporting anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions and removals associated with deforestation, gains and losses of carbon stocks in forests remaining forests, and forestation. GOFC-GOLD Report version COP22-1, GOFC- GOLD Land Cover Project Office, Wageningen University, The Netherlands.

Lumley, T. 2014. Survey: analysis of complex survey samples. *R package version 3.30*. Lumley, T. 2004. Analysis of complex

survey samples. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 9(1): 1-19

Herold, M., DeFries, R., Achard, F., Skole, D., Townshend, J. 2006. Report of the workshop on monitoring tropical deforestation for compensated reductions GOFC-GOLD Symposium on Forest and Land Cover Observations, Jena, Germany, 21–22 March 2006

Olofsson, P., Foody, G.M., Stehman, S.V., Woodcock, C.E. 2013. Making better use of accuracy data in land change studies: Estimating accuracy and area and quantifying uncertainty using stratified estimation. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 129: 122-131.

Penman, J, Gytarsky, M., Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., *et al.*, eds, 2003. Good practice guidance for land use, land use change and forestry. Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. At http://www.ipcc nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gpglulucf.htm.

Potapov, P.V., Dempewolf, J., Hansen, M C, Stehman, S V, Vargas,C., Rojas, E J., Castillo,D., Mendoza, E., Calderón,A., Giudice,R., Malaga,N. and Zutta,B.R. 2014. National satellite-based humid tropical forest change essessment in Peru in support of REDD+ implementation, Environmental Research Letters, 9(12).

Powell, R.L., Matzke, N., de Souza Jr., C., Clarke, M., Numata, I., Hess, L.L. and Roberts, D.A. 2004. Sources of error in accuracy assessment of thematic land-cover maps in the Brazilian Amazon, *Remote Sensing of Environment,* 90:221-234.

R Core Team 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/.

Schmid-Haas, P. 1983, Swiss Continuous Forest Inventory: Twenty years' experience, in: J.F. Bell, T. Atterbury (Eds.), Renewable Resource Inventories for Monitoring Changes and Trend, Proc., SAF 83- 14, 15–19 August 1983, Corvallis, OR (1983), pp. 133–140.

Stehman, S.V., 2001. Statistical rigor and practical utility in thematic map accuracy assessment. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 67(6):727-734.

Stehman, S. V., 2009. Model-assisted estimation as a unifying framework for estimating the area of land cover and landcover change from remote sensing, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113:2455-2462.

Stehman, S.V. and Czaplewski, R. C. 1998. Design and analysis for thematic map accuracy assessment: fundamental principles. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 64:331–344.

UNFCCC 2001, COP 7 29/10 - 9/11 2001 MARRAKESH, MOROCCO. MARRAKESH ACCORDS REPORT (www.unfccc.int/cop7)

9 APPENDIX A: STATISTICAL TABLES

Table A1 – ANALYSIS OF 2017 Hectares OF ALL CLASSES

	Hectares	SE	2.50 %	97.50 %
2017 Degradation	131303.7	5542.414	120440.7	142166.6
2017 Forest	19037417	19063.23	19000054	19074780
2017 NonForest	1586484	18480.01	1550264	1622704

Table A2 - ANALYSIS OF 2017 Hectares OF ALL CLASSES BY STRATUM

	Hectares	SE	2.50 %	97.50 %
HR:2017 Degradation	103635.8	4853.8	94122.6	113149
LR:2017 Degradation	8224.6	1239.4	5795.4	10653.8
MR:2017 Degradation	19443.3	2371.3	14795.6	24091
HR:2017 Forest	3902608	12813.8	3877493	3927722
LR:2017 Forest	10061851	7463.7	10047222	10076479
MR:2017 Forest	5072958	11979.4	5049479	5096438
HR:2017 NonForest	759143.8	12178.1	735275.2	783012.4
LR:2017 NonForest	298889.1	7366.1	284451.7	313326.4
MR:2017 NonForest	528451.3	11787.5	505348.2	551554.4

Table A3 - ANALYSIS OF 2017 Proportions OF ALL CLASSES

	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
2017 Degradation	0.0063	3.00E-04	0.0058	0.0068
2017 Forest	0.9172	9.00E-04	0.9154	0.919
2017 NonForest	0.0764	9.00E-04	0.0747	0.0782

Table A4- ANALYSIS OF 2017 Proportions OF ALL CLASSES BY STRATUM

	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR:2017 Degradation	0.0217	0.001	0.0198	0.0237
LR:2017 Degradation	0.0008	0.0001	0.0006	0.001
MR:2017 Degradation	0.0035	0.0004	0.0026	0.0043
HR:2017 Forest	0.8189	0.0027	0.8137	0.8242
LR:2017 Forest	0.9704	0.0007	0.969	0.9718
MR:2017 Forest	0.9025	0.0021	0.8983	0.9067
HR:2017 NonForest	0.1593	0.0026	0.1543	0.1643
LR:2017 NonForest	0.0288	0.0007	0.0274	0.0302
MR:2017 NonForest	0.094	0.0021	0.0899	0.0981

Table A4 - ANALYSIS OF 2018 Hectares OF ALL CLASSES

	Hectares	SE	2.50%	97.50%
2018 Degradation	135091.8	5620.63	124075.5	146108
2018 Forest	19026646	19107.16	18989196	19064095
2018 NonForest	1593468	18510.54	1557188	1629748

Table A6 - ANALYSIS OF 2018 Hectares OF ALL CLASSES BY STRATUM

Stratum / Class	Hectares	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR:2018 Degradation	106656.6	4922.4	97008.8	116304.3
LR:2018 Degradation	8411.5	1253.4	5954.9	10868.2
MR:2018 Degradation	20023.7	2406.3	15307.4	24740
HR:2018 Forest	3893081	12868.6	3867859	3918303
LR:2018 Forest	10061477	7468.1	10046840	10076114
MR:2018 Forest	5072088	11987.9	5048592	5095584
HR:2018 NonForest	765650.1	12220.2	741698.8	789601.3
LR:2018 NonForest	299076	7368.3	284634.3	313517.7
MR:2018 NonForest	528741.5	11790.4	505632.8	551850.2

Table A5 - ANALYSIS OF 2018 Proportions OF ALL CLASSES

	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
2018 Degradation	0.0065	3.00E-04	0.006	0.007
2018 Forest	0.9167	9.00E-04	0.9149	0.9185
2018 NonForest	0.0768	9.00E-04	0.075	0.0785

Table A8 - ANALYSIS OF 2018 Proportions OF ALL CLASSES BY STRATUM

Stratum / Class	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR:2018 Degradation	0.0224	0.001	0.0204	0.0244
LR:2018 Degradation	0.0008	0.0001	0.0006	0.001
MR:2018 Degradation	0.0036	0.0004	0.0027	0.0044
HR:2018 Forest	0.8169	0.0027	0.8117	0.8222
LR:2018 Forest	0.9703	0.0007	0.9689	0.9718
MR:2018 Forest	0.9024	0.0021	0.8982	0.9065
HR:2018 NonForest	0.1607	0.0026	0.1556	0.1657
LR:2018 NonForest	0.0288	0.0007	0.0275	0.0302
MR:2018 NonForest	0.0941	0.0021	0.09	0.0982

Table A9 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 TOTALS OF CLASS CHANGES

	Hectares	SE	2.50 %	97.50 %
2017-2018 Degradation.Degradation	130838.9	5533.1	119994.3	141683.6
2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	4252.8	1006.3	2280.4	6225.2
2017-2018 Forest.Forest	19026646	19107.2	18989196	19064095
2017-2018 Degradation.NonForest	464.7	328.6	-179.3	1108.8
2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	6518.7	1233.4	4101.2	8936.1
2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	1586484	18480	1550264	1622704

Table A10 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 TOTALS OF CLASS CHANGES BY STRATUM

Stratum / Class	Hectares	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR:2017-2018 Degradation.Degradation	103171.1	4843.1	93678.7	112663.4
LR:2017-2018 Degradation.Degradation	8224.6	1239.4	5795.4	10653.8
MR:2017-2018Degradation.Degradation	19443.3	2371.3	14795.6	24091
HR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	3485.5	899.6	1722.2	5248.8
LR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	186.9	186.9	-179.4	553.3
MR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	580.4	410.4	-224	1384.8
HR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	3893081	12868.6	3867859	3918303
LR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	10061477	7468.1	10046840	10076114
MR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	5072088	11987.9	5048592	5095584
HR:2017-2018 Degradation.NonForest	464.7	328.6	-179.3	1108.8
LR:2017-2018 Degradation.NonForest	0	0	0	0
MR:2017-2018 Degradation.NonForest	0	0	0	0
HR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	6041.5	1184.1	3720.7	8362.4
LR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	186.9	186.9	-179.4	553.3
MR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	290.2	290.2	-278.6	859
HR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	759143.8	12178.1	735275.2	783012.4
LR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	298889.1	7366.1	284451.7	313326.4
MR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	528451.3	11787.5	505348.2	551554.4

Table A11 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 proportions OF CLASS CHANGES

	Mean	SE	2.5	%
2017-2018 Degradation.Degradation	0.0063	0.00027	0.00578	0.00683
2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	0.0002	0.00005	0.00011	0.0003
2017-2018 Forest.Forest	0.91672	0.00092	0.91491	0.91852
2017-2018 Degradation.NonForest	0.00002	0.00002	-0.00001	0.00005
2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	0.00031	0.00006	0.0002	0.00043
2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	0.07644	0.00089	0.07469	0.07818

Table A12 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 proportions OF CLASS CHANGES BY STRATUM

Stratum / Class	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR:2017-2018 Degradation.Degradation	0.02165	0.00102	0.01966	0.02364
LR:2017-2018 Degradation.Degradation	0.00079	0.00012	0.00056	0.00103
MR:2017-2018 Degradation.Degradation	0.00346	0.00042	0.00263	0.00429
HR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	0.00073	0.00019	0.00036	0.0011
LR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	0.00002	0.00002	-0.00002	0.00005
MR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	0.0001	0.00007	-0.00004	0.00025
HR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	0.81695	0.0027	0.81166	0.82224
LR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	0.97035	0.00072	0.96893	0.97176
MR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	0.90237	0.00213	0.89819	0.90655
HR:2017-2018 Degradation.NonForest	0.0001	0.00007	-0.00004	0.00023
LR:2017-2018 Degradation.NonForest	0	0	0	0
MR:2017-2018 Degradation.NonForest	0	0	0	0
HR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	0.00127	0.00025	0.00078	0.00175
LR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	0.00002	0.00002	-0.00002	0.00005
MR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	0.00005	0.00005	-0.00005	0.00015
HR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	0.1593	0.00256	0.15429	0.16431
LR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	0.02883	0.00071	0.02743	0.03022
MR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	0.09402	0.0021	0.08991	0.09813

Table A13 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 TOTALS OF CLASS CHANGES FROM FOREST/DEGRADED

	Hectares	SE	2.50%	97.50%
2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Degradation	135091.8	5620.6	124075.5	146108
2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Forest	19026646	19107.2	18989196	19064095
2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.NonForest	6983.4	1276.3	4481.8	9485
2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	1586484	18480	1550264	1622704

Table A14 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 TOTALS OF CLASS CHANGES BY STRATUM FROM FOREST/DEGRADED

Stratum / Class	Hectares	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Degradation	106656.6	4922.4	97008.8	116304.3
LR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Degradation	8411.5	1253.4	5954.9	10868.2
MR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Degradation	20023.7	2406.3	15307.4	24740
HR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Forest	3893081	12868.6	3867859	3918303
LR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Forest	10061477	7468.1	10046840	10076114
MR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Forest	5072088	11987.9	5048592	5095584
HR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.NonForest	6506.3	1228.8	4098	8914.6
LR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.NonForest	186.9	186.9	-179.4	553.3
MR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.NonForest	290.2	290.2	-278.6	859
HR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	759143.8	12178.1	735275.2	783012.4
LR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	298889.1	7366.1	284451.7	313326.4
MR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	528451.3	11787.5	505348.2	551554.4

Table A15 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 proportions OF CLASS CHANGES FROM FOREST/DEGRADED

Class	Mean	SE	2.50 %	97.50 %
2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Degradation	0.00618	0.00027	0.00565	0.0067
2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Forest	0.90886	0.00105	0.90681	0.91091
2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.NonForest	0.00029	0.00006	0.00019	0.0004
2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	0.08467	0.00102	0.08267	0.08667

Table A16 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 proportions OF CLASS CHANGES BY STRATUM FROM FOREST/DEGRADED

Stratum / Class	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Degradation	0.02238	0.00103	0.02036	0.02441
LR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Degradation	0.00081	0.00012	0.00057	0.00105
MR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Degradation	0.00356	0.00043	0.00272	0.0044
HR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Forest	0.81695	0.0027	0.81166	0.82224
LR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Forest	0.97035	0.00072	0.96893	0.97176
MR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.Forest	0.90237	0.00213	0.89819	0.90655
HR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.NonForest	0.00137	0.00026	0.00086	0.00187
LR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.NonForest	0.00002	0.00002	-0.00002	0.00005
MR:2017-2018 Forest/Degraded.NonForest	0.00005	0.00005	-0.00005	0.00015
HR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	0.1593	0.00256	0.15429	0.16431
LR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	0.02883	0.00071	0.02743	0.03022
MR:2017-2018 NonForest.NonForest	0.09402	0.0021	0.08991	0.09813

Table A17 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 TOTALS OF CLASS CHANGES FROM FOREST

Stratum / Class	Hectares	SE	2.50%	97.50%
2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	4252.8	1006.3	2280.6	6225.1
2017-2018 Forest.Forest	19026646	1590.9	19023528	19029764
2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	6518.7	1233.3	4101.5	8935.8

Table A18 - 2017-2018 TOTALS OF CLASS CHANGES FROM FOREST BY STRATUM

Stratum / Class	Hectares	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	3485.5	899.6	1722.4	5248.7
LR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	186.9	186.9	-179.4	553.3
MR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	580.4	410.4	-224	1384.7
HR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	3893081	1486.1	3890168	3895994
LR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	10061477	264.3	10060959	10061995
MR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	5072088	502.6	5071103	5073073
HR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	6041.5	1184	3721	8362.1
LR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	186.9	186.9	-179.4	553.3
MR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	290.2	290.2	-278.6	859

Table A19 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 proportions OF CLASS CHANGES FROM FOREST

Stratum / Class	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	0.00022	5.00E-05	0.00012	0.00033
2017-2018 Forest.Forest	0.99943	8.00E-05	0.99927	0.9996
2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	0.00034	6.00E-05	0.00022	0.00047

Table A20 - ANALYSIS OF 2017-2018 proportions OF CLASS CHANGES FROM FOREST

Stratum / Class	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	0.00089	0.00023	0.00044	0.00134
LR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	0.00002	0.00002	-0.00002	0.00005
MR:2017-2018 Forest.Degradation	0.00011	0.00008	-0.00004	0.00027
HR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	0.99756	0.00038	0.99681	0.99831
LR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	0.99996	0.00003	0.99991	1.00001
MR:2017-2018 Forest.Forest	0.99983	0.0001	0.99963	1.00002
HR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	0.00155	0.0003	0.00095	0.00214
LR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	0.00002	0.00002	-0.00002	0.00005
MR:2017-2018 Forest.NonForest	0.00006	0.00006	-0.00005	0.00017

This analysis is restricted to hectares known to be forest in 2017.

Table A21 - Mean Deforestation (to Degraded/NonForest) per hectare

	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
loss	0.000343	5.29E-05	0.00024	0.000447

Table A22 - Mean Deforestation (to Degraded/NonForest) per hectare BY STRATUM

Stratum	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR	0.001486	0.000243	0.00101	0.001962
LR	2.42E-05	1.71E-05	-9.4E-06	5.77E-05
MR	9.72E-05	5.76E-05	-1.6E-05	0.00021

This analysis is the amount of deforestation in the area sampled, using actual area of deforestation per sample.

Table A23 - Mean Area that is not Forest per hectare

	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
Area	0.000314	0.000108	0.000102	0.000526

Table A24 - Mean Area that is not Forest per hectare BY STRATUM

Stratum	Mean	SE	2.50%	97.50%
HR	0.001442	0.000576	0.000314	0.002571
LR	8.21E-05	3.27E-05	1.82E-05	0.000146
MR	0	0	0	0