Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive Period 2007-2012

# **European Environment Agency** *European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity*



#### Bryhnia novae-angliae

Annex II Priority No

**Species group** Non-vascular plants Boreal, Continental

This species has since the directive come into force split into two species. The European species now called *Bryhnia scabrida*, has a more restricted distribution. The other species of the old taxon, now called *Bryhnia novae-angliae* seems to be restricted to North America. The European taxon is rare and recorded only from Norway and Sweden. It is a pioneer species of sandy soils saturated with water and it is restricted to shaded areas. This species is included in the European Red List of Bryophytes (1995) in the category 'Vulnerable' and in the latest Swedish red list (2010) as Vulnerable (VU).


The conservation status in the Boreal biogeographical region is "Unfavourable Bad" (the same as the previous, which is a result of that two localities have been lost). In Continental region the status is "Unfavourable Inadequate". However, the status of the habitat seems to be good in both regions. The future prospect of this species is "Favourable" in Continental region but "Unfavourable Inadequate" in the Boreal region. The reason for the latter is that the species has vanished from some of the localities in the boreal region.

Main threats are forestry and fertilisation.

Changes in overall conservation status between 2001-06 and 2007-12 report are mostly caused by different methodical approach and better data rather than real change in conservation status in the Continental region. No changes in overall conservation status between 2001-06 and 2007-12 reports in the Boreal region.


Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

# Assessment of conservation status at the European biogeographical level



See the endnote for more information<sup>i</sup>

#### Assessment of conservation status at the Member State level



The map shows both Conservation Status and distribution using a  $10 \text{ km} \times 10 \text{ km}$  grid. Conservation status is assessed at biogeographical level. Therefore the representation in each grid cell is only illustrative.

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

MS Region		_	Conservation status of parameters				Current	Trend in	% in	Previous	Reason
		Region	Range	Population	Habitat	Future prospects	CS	CS	region	CS	for change
S	SE	BOR	U2	U1	FV	U1	U2	-	100.0	U2-	
5	SE	CON	FV	U1	FV	FV	U1	=	100.0	U2	Better data

Knowing that not all changes in conservation status between the reporting periods were genuine, Member States were asked to give the reasons for changes in conservation status. Bulgaria and Romania only joined the EU in 2007 and Greece did not report for 2007-12 so no reason is given for change for these countries. Greek data shown above is from 2001-06.

#### Main pressures and threats reported by Member States

Member States were asked to report the 20 most important threats and pressures using an agreed hierarchical list which can be found on the Article 17 Reference Portal. Pressures are activities which are currently having an impact on the species and threats are activities expected to have an impact in the near future. Pressures and threats were ranked in three classes 'high, medium and low importance'; the tables below only show threats and pressures classed as 'high', for some species there were less than ten threats or pressures reported as highly important.

Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' pressures

Code Activity Frequency

No 'highly important' pressures were reported.

Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' threats

Code Activity Frequency

No 'highly important' threats were reported.

#### Proportion of population covered by the Natura 2000 network

For species listed in the Annex II of the Directive Member States were asked to report the population size within the Natura 2000 network. The percentage of species population covered by the network was estimated by comparing the population size within the network and the total population size in the biogeographical/marine region.

Percentage of coverage by Natura 2000 sites in biogeographical/marine region

	BOR	CON		
SE	50	58		

See the endnotes for more information ii

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

#### Most frequently reported conservation measures

For species listed in the Annex II of the Directive Member States were asked to report up to 20 conservation measures being implemented for this species using an agreed list which can be found on the Article 17 Reference Portal. Member States were further requested to highlight up to five most important ('highly important') measures; the table below only shows measures classed as 'high', for many species there were less than ten measures reported as highly important.

Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' conservation measures

Code Measure Frequency

No 'highly important' measures were reported.

This information is derived from the Member State national reports submitted to the European Commission under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive in 2013 and covering the period 2007-2012. More detailed information, including the MS reports, is available at: http://bd.eionet.europa.eu/article17/reports2012/species/summary/?group=Non-vascular+plants&period=3&subject=Bryhnia+novae-angliae

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

Assessment of conservation status at the European biogeographical level: Current Conservation Status (Current CS) shows the status for the reporting period 2007-2012, Previous Conservation Status (Previous CS) for the reporting period 2000-2006. Reason for change in conservation status between the reporting periods indicates whether the changes in the status were genuine or not genuine. Previous Conservation Status was not assessed for Steppic, Black Sea and Marine Black Sea regions. For these regions the Previous status is therefore considered as 'unknown'. The percentage of the species population occurring within the biogeographical/marine region (% in region) is calculated based on the area of GIS distribution.

<sup>ii</sup>Percentage of coverage by Natura 2000 sites in biogeographical/marine region: In some cases the population size within the Natura 2000 network has been estimated using a different methodology to the estimate of overall population size and this can lead to percentage covers greater than 100%. In such case the value has been given as 100% and highlighted with an asterisk (\*). The value 'x' indicates that the Member State has not reported the species population and/or the coverage by Natura 2000. No information is available for Greece. The values are only provided for regions, in which the occurrence of the species has been reported by the Member States.