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## 1. Introduction

Beginning in Fiscal Year 2010, FEMA initiated a five-year program for Risk Mapping, Assessment, and Planning (Risk MAP). The vision for Risk MAP is to deliver quality data that increases public awareness and leads to action that reduces risk to life and property. In order to realize the Risk MAP vision FEMA is acquiring high resolution terrain elevation and land cover elevation data to increase production efficiencies for NFIP regulatory products and support risk assessment data development. FEMA has made a commitment through Risk MAP to work closely with NDEP (National Digital Elevation Program) partners to obtain and support the collection of terrain data throughout the United States.

Terrain data, collected under the Risk MAP program, will be required to meet minimum specifications outlined in Procedure Memorandum No. 61-Standards for LiDAR and Other High Quality Digital Topography dated September 27, 2010. FEMA also requires all deliverables for topographic data collection be submitted in accordance with Appendix M: Data Capture Standards March 20112. All relevant project materials have been reviewed to insure that these requirements are met.

The objectives for elevation data acquisition and processing for the Merrimack River watershed are as follows:

1. LAS point cloud files collected for 1302 square miles
2. LAS point cloud files captured using the "Highest" vertical accuracy requirements
3. LAS point cloud files collected at equivalent of a 2 -foot contour accuracy
4. LAS point cloud files collected using a nominal pulse spacing of 1-meter
5. Hydro enforced break lines
6. LAS classified as Bare Earth processed for 1302 square miles
7. 1 meter Digital Elevation Models
8. Analysis and cartographic 2 foot contours

Table 1. Project Parameters

| Collection <br> Area | Processed <br> Area | FEMA <br> Specification <br> Level | Contour <br> Accuracy | NPS | RMSE $_{\mathbf{z}}$ | FVA | CVA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1302 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | $1302 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | Highest | 2 ft | 1 m | 18.5 cm | 24.5 cm | 36.3 cm |
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Figure 1. Merrimack Watershed NH and MA LiDAR Collection Area


The LiDAR Acquisition area for this project covers portions of Belknap, Hillsborough, Merrimack, Richmond, and Stratford counties in New Hampshire. The project also covers portions of Essex, Middlesex and Worcester Counties in Massachusetts. The following communities are either partially or completely included within this Area of Interest:

Communities in Belknap County New Hampshire:

| Town of Alton | Town of Barnstead |
| :--- | :--- |
| Town of Belmont | Town of Gilford |

Communities in Hillsborough County New Hampshire:
Town of Amherst Town of Bedford
Town of Bennington Town of Brookline
Town Deering Town of Francestown
Town of Goffstown Town of Greenfield
Town of Hillsborough Town of Hollis
Town of Hudson Town of Litchfield
Town of Lyndeborough City of Manchester
Town of Mason Town of Merrimack
Town of Milford Town of Mont Vernon
City of Nashua Town of New Boston
Town of New Ipswich Town of Pelham
Town of Peterborough Town of Sharon
Town of Temple Town of Weare
Town of Wilton
Communities in Merrimack County New Hampshire:

| Town of Allenstown | Town of Andover |
| :--- | :--- |
| Town of Boscawen | Town of Bow |
| Town Canterbury | Town of Chichester |
| City of Concord | Town of Dunbarton |
| Town of Epsom | City of Franklin |
| Town of Henniker | Town of Hooksett |
| Town of Hopkinton | Town of Loudon |
| Town of Northfield | Town of Pembroke |
| Town of Pittsfield | Town of Salisbury |

Communities in Rockingham County New Hampshire:

| Town of Auburn | Town of Candia |
| :--- | :--- |
| Town of Deerfield | Town of Londonderry |
| Town of Salem | Town Windham |

Communities in Strafford County New Hampshire:
Town of Farmington Town of New Durham
Town of Strafford
Communities in Essex County Massachusetts:
Town of Methuen
Communities in Middlesex County Massachusetts:

Town of Ashby
Town of Ayer
Town of Bedford
Town of Boxborough
Town of Chelmsford
Town of Dracut
Town of Groton
Town of Lincoln
City of Lowell
Town of Westford

Town of Billerica
Town of Burlington
Town of Concord
Town of Dunstable
Town of Lexington
Town of Littleton
Town of Tyngsborough
City of Woburn

Communities in Worcester County Massachusetts:
Town of Ashburnham Town of Harvard
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Figure 2. Merrimack Watershed Communities
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## 2. Scope of Work

Statement of Priorities
PTS Elevation Data Acquisition
STARR - Contract \# HSFEHQ-09-D-0370 Task Order \# HSFE01-11-J-0010
STARR understands that the Region requires one (1) area, the Merrimack Watershed, NHMA, to be collected and processed to bare earth under this task order. This area is to be collected to the "Highest" FEMA specification level. This means that the vertical accuracy must meet Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) and Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA) requirements of 24.5 cm and 36.3 cm , respectively. The nominal pulse spacing requirement is less than or equal to 1 -meter. These requirements are equivalent to that required for a 2 -foot contour accuracy standard. Table 2 provides a summary of the collection requirements.
STARR is providing the collection of breaklines and hydro-flattening of the data, as specified in USGS NGP Base LiDAR Specifications, Version 13 (USGS v.13).
Likewise, STARR is providing the construction of derivative products (Contours and DEMs) required for engineering modeling for hydrology and hydraulic analysis.

Table 2 - Merrimack Watershed Collection Requirements

| Project Name | State | Collection and <br> Processing Area in <br> Sq. Miles | Specification Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Merrimack | NH-MA | 1,302 | Highest |
| Watershed |  | 1,302 |  |
| Total Area |  |  |  |

## Technical Discussion

Survey
STARR will obtain the ground control needed to support the LiDAR collection efforts as well as obtaining the independent QC points needed to support the FVA and CVA Assessment requirements.

STARR proposes the following methodology to meet the requirements of FEMA PM61 and the associated Appendix A. We note that Appendix A was written in 2003, and the associated NOAA TM NOS NGS -58 Guidelines for Establishing GPS-Derived Ellipsoid Heights V4.3 were written in 1997 with no revision. This approach is not entirely compliant with the procedures, technologies and methodologies detailed in PM61 and Appendix A, but provides for a very effective method of collecting high accuracy points that allows FVA testing and modified CVA testing for bare-earth evaluation at reduced costs.

Given the recent advances in GPS technology and associated updates to survey methodologies, we propose the use of PM61 and associated documents as guidelines exercised through careful GPS survey practice in conjunction with reasoned professional judgment to arrive at statistically and numerically relevant Control and Testing results for the project area as currently described. This methodology will allow FVA and CVA testing to
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specification, as FVA and Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) points will be collected for the project area.

STARR will collect all points with a combination of RTK and Static Post Processing with Base lines no longer than 80 km to meet the specifications for the project. All points will be collected with Survey Grade GPS equipment, which typically achieves a high precision in the range of sub 3 cm on a point-by-point basis. As a quality control practice STARR will also be collecting an NGS monument on a daily basis, when available, to check the collection methodology and accuracy. This allows for minimal duplication of point occupation, greatly reducing time in the field.

NMAS/NSSDA Vertical Accuracy Table 1 contained in the ASPRS Guidelines (as referenced by PM61) requires accuracy test data to be 3 times more accurate than the NSSDA accuracy requirement of the finished product. Section 2.3.3 of the ASPRS Guidelines states "QC surveys should be such that the checkpoint accuracy is at least three times more accurate than the dataset being evaluated." Based on the 95th percentile confidence level of 24.5 cm , all survey points will be at $\leq 8 \mathrm{~cm}$ precision.

Ground control points will be located only in open terrain, where there is a high probability that the sensor will have detected the ground surface without influence from surrounding vegetation or buildings. Points will be located on flat or uniformly sloping terrain and will be at least five (5) meters away from any break line where there is a change in slope. All control points will be surveyed at $\leq 8 \mathrm{~cm}$ precision. This criterion applies for all FVA QC points as well. Control and FVA check points will be distributed to support all individual polygons. Distribution of the Control points will also be evaluated and approved by the LiDAR acquisition team. FVA points will remain confidential and only revealed to the LiDAR team at the time of the FVA assessment.

The blind vertical SVA QC points will be collected randomly across all polygons and different land use types using the ASPRS NSSDA land cover types. The points will be located in flat areas with no substantial elevation breaks within a 3-5 meter radius. All SVA points in the Urban and Brush land use categories, and the Forest land use category if practical, will be collected at $\leq 8 \mathrm{~cm}$ precision to ensure a valid statistical test capability.
The CVA Assessment utilizes the SVA points and a representative sample of the FVA points (open terrain) such that all land classes comprising more than $10 \%$ of the total project area will be represented.

All points will be documented with an overhead image chip showing site and situation, at least 2 ground-based photos in the cardinal directions where practical. In addition, a sketch will be provided of all Control and FVA points. An Accuracy Report for the collection will be provided based on daily observation of an NGS-Point (when available) to demonstrate system collection precision against an independent known point. Shape files as well as KML files will be provided for the block.

All coordinate data will be provided in Decimal Degrees, and in UTM Meters NAD83/NAVD88 (Geoid09). Specifically, 73 control points will be surveyed to support calibration of the collected LiDAR data to ground following initial post-flight processing.
Although the area consists of one large polygon and one smaller polygon, the topography and land cover of the area are homogenous, and the smaller area will be collected on the same
mission as the nearby section of the larger polygon. Thus, it is recommended that both polygons be tested together as one unit, and there will not be a requirement to have a minimum number of points within the small polygon to provide for testing validity.
Testing at the 95th Percentile Confidence Level requires a minimum of 20 points for each category of testing. Thus STARR proposes to collect 20 FVA points, and 20 points in each of the predominant land cover categories for the SVA/CVA testing. A summary of this point requirement is found in Table 3.

Table 3 - Survey Summary

| Project <br> Name | Ground <br> Control <br> Points | FVA <br> Points | Open |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Orban | High <br> Grass | Brush | Forest |  |  |  |
| Merrimack <br> Watershed | 73 | 20 | NA | 20 | NA | 20 | 20 |

The following will be delivered from the Survey activity:
For Ground Control Survey -

- Accuracy reports based on known monuments;
- Image chips - aerial image of the position of each point;
- Pictures - four pictures in the cardinal directions showing the point from the ground perspective;
- Shape file of the points;
- Station diagrams for each point;
- Final report - includes methodologies and general project information;
- Spreadsheet of all points; and
- Any obsolete records.


## For FVA and CVA Point Survey -

- Accuracy reports based on known monuments;
- Image chips - aerial image of the position of each point;
- Pictures - four pictures in the cardinal directions showing the point from the ground perspective;
- Shape file of the points;
- Station diagrams for each point;
- Final report - includes methodologies and general project information;
- Spreadsheet of all points; and
- Any obsolete records.


## For QC Testing -

- Final report;
- Excel spreadsheet with calculations;
- Metadata process steps; and
- Compliance certificate.
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## LiDAR Acquisition

LiDAR for the Merrimack area will be acquired to the "highest" specification level. This means that the vertical accuracy requirement must meet FVA/CVA requirements of 24.5 $\mathrm{cm} / 36.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. The nominal pulse spacing requirement is less than or equal to 1 -meter. This vertical accuracy requirement mirrors a 2 -foot equivalent contour accuracy. The LiDAR system parameters are spelled out in Table 4.

Table 4 -LiDAR System Parameters

| Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Flight altitude (AGL) | 5000 feet |
| Rep Rate | 70 KHZ |
| Scan frequency | 33.6 Hz |
| Scan half angle | 17 degrees |
| Scan full angle | 34 degrees |
| Swath width | 930.7 meters |
| Overlap | $30 \%$ side lap (60\% overlap) |
| Point density | $1.12 \mathrm{ppm}^{2}$ |
| Required point density | 1 ppm |
| Air Speed | 130 knots |
| No. of missions | 9 |
| Line spacing | 300 meters |

The following will be delivered from the LiDAR acquisition activity:

- Pre-flight Operations Plan (PreFlight Report);
- Metadata process steps


## LiDAR Processing

STARR will process the data to the point cloud deliverable and to bare earth deliverables. All areas collected will be processed to bare earth. The following is a brief explanation of the LiDAR processing:

Raw airborne GPS and IMU data will be extracted from the Applanix CARD and differentially processed in PosGPS, then integrated with the IMU data in PosPAC. The GPS/IMU data will be processed in Applanix to derive a smoothed best estimate of trajectory (SBET).The SBET is used to reduce the LiDAR slant range measurements to derive the Return measurement for each LiDAR pulse within each flight line. The coverage will be imported into TerraScan and tiled into $1500 \mathrm{~m} \times 1500 \mathrm{~m}$ tiles. An initial accuracy assessment using the ground point survey data will be calculated to ensure the data is accurately 'tied' to the ground. The data will then be classified using automated processes to extract a bare earth digital elevation model (DEM). Once all project data is imported and classified, the survey ground control data will be calculated against the LAS Class 2 (Ground) data for an accuracy assessment. As a QC measure, a routine will be used to generate accuracy statistical reports by comparison among LiDAR points, ground control, and triangulated irregular networks (TIN). Any systematic bias in the data is removed to meet or exceed the vertical accuracy requirements. At this point the FVA test will be conducted.
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The collection of breaklines will take place just prior to the manual edit of the point cloud data. The breaklines will be collected on streams that are greater than 100 feet wide and any open water bodies greater than 2 acres in size. These breaklines will enhance the ability to classify open water points, edge of water for modeling purposes, and allow for more accurate construction of TINs required for the H\&H modeling. STARR is proposing to collect the breaklines using the standard USGS specifications which will ensure this dataset will mesh seamlessly with the USGS LiDAR data that abuts within the Merrimack Watershed. Because these datasets both exist within the watershed boundary, having the datasets conform to the same specifications as nearly as possible will allow the analysis of the watershed to be more efficient.

The calibrated and filtered LiDAR point cloud will be manually checked for accuracy. Hydro-enforcement will also take place during the manual edit. Care will be taken to remove bridges, the water surfaces are flat and that all water edges are lower than adjacent ground. All points will be placed in one of the following categories: 1 Unclassified, 2 Bare-earth Ground, 7 Noise, 9 Water, 10 Ignored Ground, 11 Withheld, and 12 Overlap Points. Category 8 Model Key points will be generated from the Ground points. CVA testing will then be conducted and final reports generated.

A full suite of topographic products is included in the tasking for this watershed. The data development will be completed by the STARR staff responsible for, and immediately following, the QA of the Fully Classified (Bare Earth) LAS dataset.

The following will be delivered as a result of the processing activity:

- Post-flight Aerial Acquisition and Calibration Report (PostFlight Report);
- Point cloud LAS points (partially classified);
- Fully classified LAS points (includes 1. Unclassified, 2. Bare-earth ground, 7. Noise, 8. Model Key Points, 9. Water - if breaklines are collected, 10. Ignored ground, 11. Withheld, 12. Overlap);
- Breaklines;
- Metadata process steps; and
- Compliance certificate.

Quality Control
SURVEY. To ensure valid in-field collections, an NGS monument with suitable vertical reporting will be measured using the same equipment and procedures used for control, FVA and CVA points on a daily basis. The measurement will be compared to the NGS published values to ensure that the GPS collection schema is producing valid data and as a physical proof point of quality of collections. Those monument measurements will be summarized in the accuracy report included in the Survey data deliverables.

## LiDAR Acquisition

Calibration. All of the sensors are calibrated by flying lines at multiple altitudes and at varying directions over features on land, typically at the airport where the acquisition is staged. These lines are used to remove angular errors between the IMU and scanning mirror and to determine the precise positioning of the sensor in relationship with the phase center of the GPS antenna mounted on the fuselage of the aircraft.
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Cross Lines. Cross flight lines are run perpendicular to the overall flight lines for the survey area. Careful analysis takes place from the crossing flight lines to ensure that accurate modeling of the ground surface is attained from the use of the LiDAR sensor.

Sidelap Analysis. The side overlap is planned for each project based on the terrain to be acquired. Typically for flat terrain the overlap is $20 \%$. For more rugged terrain an overlap of up to $50 \%$ ( $100 \%$ duplicated coverage) will be required. The proposed $30 \%$ sidelap (see Table 1.4) will ensure that no data gaps exist within the coverage.

Forward and Reverse GPS Solutions. During the initial processing of the inertial navigation system (INS) data, the raw GPS observations are processed against the ground base station data in both a forward and reverse sense. The two solutions are then compared against one another for all GPS epochs and the individual differences for the northing, easting, (x, y coordinates) and elevation components are plotted for easy comparison. Any anomalies in the data are quickly analyzed, and if required, re-flights take place for the portions of the flight missions that require remediation.

Calibration of the Elevation Surface. The raw LiDAR surface is compared against ground points that are established for the calibration of the elevation surface. System biases are identified and removed during this calibration. An early statistical analysis will take place that provides an indication of the precision of the acquired data.

Blind RMSE Testing. The LAS data will be tested at the conclusion of the automated processing step. At this point the LAS points have been calibrated and open area points should accurately reflect the bare earth surface. The x , y coordinates of the FVA points will be used to determine the elevation at each location. Calculation of the RMSE and the $95 \%$ Confidence Level will be done via a spreadsheet, comparing the LiDAR derived elevation values and the survey elevation values. If the calculated value is within the acceptable range, manual processing can continue. If the value is not within range, STARR will analyze the data further to get within the acceptable range. If the test points are compromised during that analysis, STARR will be responsible for obtaining further blind check points such that the data can be confidently checked and approved. All remedial activity must be included in the PostFlight Report. Likewise, at the conclusion of the manual bare earth processing the CVA test points will be checked against the produced bare earth surface following the same methodology.

## Derived Products

A full suite of derived products will be developed immediately following final approval of the LAS datasets.

Deliverables include the following:

- 1 meter DEM;
- 3 meter DEM; and
- 2 foot contours.
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Quality Assurance
STARR will perform an impartial review of the technical, scientific, and other information submitted under Develop Topographic Data to ensure that the data and modeling are consistent with FEMA standards.

These activities are guided by the STARR Quality Assurance checklist which was developed to include all of the suggested information found in PM61. In addition a statistical sampling of LAS data tiles are reviewed, checking for spikes in the data, incomplete coverage, and cleanliness of the data. This review is done using the LP360 software (commercially available software). The software allows for review of the data via a rolling cross section approach whereby a tile of data can easily be reviewed ensuring there are no artifacts remaining in the bare earth data.

All deliverable reports are read for consistency, accuracy and completeness. As deliverables are approved, they are stored in a delivery structure ready for upload to the MIP, and loading to a hard drive for delivery to the FEMA Engineering Library.

Any data issues with the LiDAR deliverables will be reevaluated and corrected accordingly. Revised data will be back checked to ensure all issues have been rectified. The final step in the quality assurance process is the construct of the Narrative documentation and the final assembly of the metadata for the terrain products.

Deliverables as a result of the QA activity are:

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the independent QA/QC review; and
- Quality Assurance Checklist;
- Project Narrative; and
- Final compiled metadata record.


## 3. Issues

## A. Special Problem Reports

None

## B. Project Modifications

None

## 4. Information for the Next Mapping Partner

The Merrimack Watershed LiDAR collection AOI consists of one large functional area and one smaller area that cover 1302 square miles. This project included both LiDAR point cloud development and Bare Earth post processing. The Point Cloud LiDAR data for this project are 1,749 partially classified LAS 1.2 binary files. All 1,749 Point Cloud files were processed into Bare Earth LiDAR LAS 1.2 binary files. Bare Earth LiDAR for this project has been classified using ASPRS LiDAR classifications.
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Table 5 ASPRS LiDAR Classifications

| Merrimack Watershed Classified LiDAR ASPRS Classifications |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Unclassified |
| 2 | Ground |
| 7 | Low Point (Noise) |
| 8 | Model Key-point (Mass Point) |
| 9 | Water |
| 10 | Ignored Ground |
| 11 | Withheld |
| 17 | USGS Overlap Default |
| 18 | USGS Overlap Ground |

All data for this project has been collected using the following spatial reference information:

Projection: Universal Transverse Mercator
UTM Zone: 19
Linear units: Meter
Horizontal Datum: North American Datum 1983
Vertical Datum: North American Vertical Datum of 1988
Vertical units: US Survey Foot

## Vertical Accuracy Test Results

## Final Test Results

The vertical accuracy requirements based on flood risk and terrain slope are met with 14.0 cm and 24.3 cm for both FVA and CVA testing. The mandatory requirements for the highest specification for vertical accuracy, $95 \%$ confidence levels are for FVA $<24.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ and CVA $<36.3 \mathrm{~cm}$.

## FVA Test

Tested 14.0 cm fundamental vertical accuracy at $95 \%$ confidence level in open terrain using RMSE(z) x 1.9600. The Root Mean Square Error for the elevation differences between GPS control points and LiDAR points is $\mathbf{7 . 1} \mathbf{~ c m}$ calculated with 20 FVA points.

CVA Test
Tested 24.3 cm consolidated vertical accuracy at 95th percentile in: open terrain, forest terrain, and urban terrain. The Root Mean Square Error for the elevation differences between GPS control points and LiDAR points is $\mathbf{1 1 . 4} \mathbf{~ c m}$ calculated with 76 supplemental vertical accuracy points (SVA).

LAS point files are named according to the UTM Coordinates at the southwest corner of the tile, following the zz _ $0 x x x y y y$ convention, where z is the UTM zone number, x and y are the UTM coordinates. Details about the storage of this dataset can be found within Appendix G of this document.

Ground control and quality control checkpoints were collected by CompassData, Inc. Photo Science, Inc. performed LiDAR acquisition flights, automated processing and Bare
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Earth manual edits. Independent QC of the point cloud and bare earth surface was performed by CompassData, Inc. Quality Assurance testing was conducted by Greenhorne \& O'Mara, Inc. All firms were under contract to STARR, A Joint Venture which held the FEMA Professional Technical Services contract and task order for this work. All contact information for the project team can be found in Appendix A of this document.

## A. Ground Control Survey

Ground Control is collected throughout the AOI for use in the processing of LiDAR data to ensure data accurately represents the ground surface. QA/QC checkpoints, also collected throughout the AOI, are used for independent quality checks of the processed LiDAR data.

GPS based surveys were utilized to support both processing and testing of LiDAR data within FEMA designated Areas of Interest (AOIs). Geographically distinct ground points were surveyed using GPS technology throughout the AOIs to provide support for three distinct tasks.

Task 1 was to provide Vertical Ground Control to support the aerial acquisition and subsequent bare earth model processing. To accomplish this, survey-grade Trimble R-8 GPS receivers were used to collect a series of control points located on open areas, free of excessive or significant slope, and at least 5 meters away from any significant terrain break. Most if not all control points were collected at street/road intersections on bare level pavement.

Task 2 was to collect Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) checkpoints to evaluate the initial quality of the collected point cloud and to ensure that the collected data was satisfactory for further processing to meet FEMA specifications. The FVA points were collected in identical fashion to the Vertical Ground Control Points, but segregated from the point pool to ensure independent quality testing without prior knowledge of FVA locations by the aerial vendor.

Task 3 was to collect Consolidated Vertical Accuracy CVA) checkpoints to allow vertical testing of the bare-earth processed LiDAR data in different classes of land cover, including: Open (pavement, open dirt, short grass), High Grass and Crops, Brush and Low Trees, Forest, Urban. CVA points were collected in similar fashion as Control and FVA points with emphasis on establishing point locations within the predominant land cover classes within each AOI or Functional AOI Group. In order to successfully collect the Forest land cover class, it was necessary to establish a Backsight and Initial Point with the R8 receiver, and then employ a Nikon Total Station to observe a retroreflective prism stationed under tree canopy. This was necessary due to the reduced GPS performance and degradation of signal under tree canopy.

The R-8 receivers were equipped with cellular modems to receive real-time correction signals from the Keystone Precision Virtual Reference Station (VRS) network encompassing the Region 1 AOIs. Use of the VRS network allowed rapid collection times ( $\sim 3$ minutes/point) at $2.54 \mathrm{~cm}(1 \mathrm{inch})$ initial accuracy.

FEMA Case Number 12-01-1080S
Merrimack Watershed: Massachusetts and New Hampshire
Terrain Project Narrative

All points collected were below the 8 cm specification for testing 24 cm , highest category LiDAR data. To ensure valid in-field collections, an NGS monument with suitable vertical reporting was measured using the same equipment and procedures used for Control, FVA and CVA points on a daily basis. The measurement was compared to the NGS published values to ensure that the GPS collection schema was producing valid data and as a physical proof point of quality of collection. Those monument measurements are summarized in the Accuracy report included in the data delivered to FEMA.

In order to meet FEMA budgetary requirements, 20 FVA points are necessary to allow testing to CE95 - 1 point out of 20 may fail vertical testing and still allow the entire dataset to meet $95 \%$ accuracy requirements.

In similar fashion, 76 CVA points are necessary to test to CE95 as discussed above. 72 CVA points were collected with the intention at the outset that 4 of the collected FVAs would perform double -duty as Open-class CVA points, to total 76 CVAs.

The following software packages and utilities were used to control the GPS receiver in the field during data collection, and then ingest and export the collected GPS data for all points:

- Trimble Survey Controller
- Trimble Pathfinder Office

The following software utilities were used to translate the collected Latitude/Longitude Decimal Degree HAE GPS data for all points into Latitude/Longitude Degrees/Minutes/Seconds for checking the collected monument data against the published NGS Datasheet Lat/Long DMS values and into UTM NAD83 Northings/Eastings:

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers CorpsCon
- National Geodetic Survey Geoid09NAVD88

MSL values were determined using the most recent NGS-approved geoid model to generate geoid separation values for each Lat/Long coordinate pair. In this fashion, Orthometric heights were determined for each Control, FVA and CVA point by subtracting the generated Geoid Separation value from the Ellipsoidal Height (HAE) for publication and use as MSL NAVD88(09).
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Figure 3. Merrimack Watershed Ground Control Survey


## B. Data Acquisition

LiDAR acquisition products include Pre- and Post- flight reports which contain information on the flight lines, equipment parameters, and other pertinent acquisition details. The LiDAR product is considered to be point cloud data and consists of 1500 mx 1500 m tiles of LAS points which are partially classified such that the bare earth points can be calibrated to the ground surface and tested via the independent QC to ensure the ground surface is accurately represented.

All flights for the project were accomplished with both a customized twin-engine Piper PA-31 Navajo Fixed Wing Aircraft utilizing a Leica ALS60 LiDAR sensor and a Cessna 206 single Aircraft outfitted with an Optech Gemini LiDAR Sensor. These aircraft provide an ideal, stable aerial base for LiDAR acquisition. Both platforms have relatively fast cruise speeds that are beneficial for project mobilization / demobilization while maintaining relatively slow stall speeds which can prove ideal for collection of a high-density, consistent data posting.

Using a Leica ALS60 LiDAR system, 268 flight lines of highest density (Nominal Pulse Spacing of 1.0 m ) were collected over the Merrimack area which encompasses 1302 square miles. Five (5) blocks (block or area is determined by the Base Station control locations, typically airports with ground control monuments available providing coverage within 18 miles of the base as possible) to cover in its entirety.

Table 6 LiDAR Acquisition Details

| Area | Flight <br> Lines | Lifts | Dates | System |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CON | 79 | 7 | $12 / 19-12 / 292011$ | ALS60 |
| ASH | 64 | 5 | $1 / 7-1 / 112012$ | ALS60 |
| BED | 31 | 1 | $1 / 11 / 2012$ | ALS60 |
| LCl | 34 | 2 | $11 / 12-11 / 132011$ | ALS60 |
| AFN | 48 | 4 | $11 / 12-11 / 132011$ | Optech Gemini |
| Cross Flights | 12 | Lifts were combined with the acquisition of each area <br> with both sensors |  |  |

Leica proprietary software was used in the post-processing of the airborne GPS and inertial data that is critical to the positioning and orientation of the sensor during all flights. Pairing the aircraft's raw trajectory data with the stationary GPS base station data, this software yields Leica's IPAS TC ("Inertial Positioning \& Attitude Sensor - Tightly Coupled") smoothed best estimate of trajectory (an "SBET", in Leica's sol file format) that is necessary for Leica's

ALSPP post processing software to develop the resulting geo-referenced point cloud from the LiDAR missions. The point cloud is the mathematical three dimensional composite of all returns from all laser pulses as determined from the aerial mission. At this point this data is ready for analysis, classification, and filtering to generate a bare earth surface model in which the above-ground features are removed from the data set.

The point cloud was created using Leica's Post Processor software. GeoCue was used in the creation of some of the files needed in downstream processing, as well as in the tiling of the dataset into more manageable file sizes. The TerraScan and TerraModeler software packages are then used for the automated data classification, manual cleanup, and bare earth generation from this data. Project specific macros were used to classify the ground and to remove the side overlap between parallel flight lines.

## C. Post Processing

Point Cloud data is manually reviewed and any remaining artifacts are removed using functionality provided within the TerraScan and TerraModeler software packages. Additional project specific macros are created and run within GeoCue/TerraScan to ensure correct LAS classification prior to project delivery.

QT Modeler was used as a final check of the bare earth dataset. GeoCue was then used to create the deliverable industry-standard LAS files for both the All Point Cloud Data and the Bare Earth. In-house software was then used to perform final statistical analysis of the classes in the LAS files. LAS Class 2 is used to check the independent QC points against the Triangulated LiDAR surface.
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Figure 4. Merrimack Watershed Point Cloud Acquisition
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Figure 5. Merrimack Watershed Post Processing
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## D. Quality Control

Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) checkpoints are located only in open terrain, where there is a high probability that the sensor will have detected the ground surface without influence from surrounding vegetation and/or buildings. Checkpoints are located on flat or uniformly sloping terrain and at least five (5) meters away from any break line where there is a change in slope. Checkpoints are located randomly across the acquisition area. At least 20 FVA points were collected for each test.

Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA) checkpoints are collected randomly across different land use types using the ASPRS NSSDA land cover types. The points are located in flat areas with no substantial elevation breaks within a five meter radius. The CVA assessment incorporates a representative sample of the FVA assessment points into the dataset to save on the total number of points collected. CVA points were not collected for any land class comprising less that $10 \%$ of the total project area; this may have resulted in less than 4 land classes being collected in a particular area. At least 72 CVA points were collected and 4 FVA points used, for a total of at least 76 points for the CVA testing.

All checkpoints were collected by CompassData to ensure the 'independence' of the quality control check. All points were collected at three times the accuracy of the surface being checked. Thus to check a 24.5 cm surface the points were collected accurate to 8 cm .

Tests were conducted when processing by the LiDAR vendor was complete and points were called for. CompassData provided the point coordinates in an excel spreadsheet to the LiDAR vendor. The LiDAR vendor found the corresponding elevation from a surface created from the LiDAR points, filled in the spreadsheet and returned it to CompassData. CompassData compared the elevation of the LiDAR data with that of the accuracy check point, calculated the difference and reported their findings both in terms of $\mathrm{RMSE}_{z}$ and at the $95 \%$ confidence level (computed as $\mathrm{RMSE}_{\mathrm{z}} \times 1.9600$ ). LiDAR datasets passing the quality control checks were delivered to STARR for quality assurance approval.
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Figure 6. Merrimack Consolidated Vertical Accuracy Survey
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## E. Quality Assurance

Quality assurance for all elevation data collected for this project has been completed using FEMA PM61 , FEMA Appendix $M_{2}$, USGS LiDAR Guidelines and Base Specifications v13 , and FEMA Appendix $A_{4}$ as guidance. Products generated during this project are checked for conformance to the aforementioned guidance and specifications before submittal to FEMA.

Figure 7. Quality Assurance Workflow


QA1: Preflight Planning and Reporting
Project preflight operations planning were delivered as a report. This report was reviewed for completeness based on: Table 4.1 and checklists provided in section 4.2.1in $P M 61_{1}$. The report was reviewed and is compliant with FEMA guidance and specifications. This report is included within Appendix C of this document. Appendix G contains information about the location of report data on the MIP.

QA2: Post flight Report
Post flight reporting for this project has been reviewed for both content and completeness based upon: Table 4.2 and checklists provided in section 4.2.1in PM61. The report is included with Appendix E of this document. The report is complete and all content meets the guidance and specifications.
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QA3: Raw Point Cloud Review
Fully calibrated raw point cloud data has been reviewed at both a macro and micro level using Table 4.3 and checklists provided in section 4.2.1in PM61 $1_{1}$, and USGS LiDAR Guidelines and Base Specifications $v 13_{3} .5 \%$ of the total number of project tiles was reviewed for compliance with USGS and FEMA specifications. All tiles reviewed for this project passed both the macro and micro reviews. Quality assurance results for the point cloud are contained within Appendix F of this document.

QA4: Bare Earth Review
Post-processed data has been reviewed at both a macro and micro level using Table 4.4 and checklists provided in section 4.2.1 in PM61 $1_{1}$, and USGS LiDAR Guidelines and Base Specifications $v 13_{3}$. $10 \%$ of the total number of project tiles was reviewed for compliance with USGS and FEMA specifications. All tiles reviewed for this project passed both the macro and micro reviews. Quality assurance results for the bare earth are contained within Appendix F of this document.

QA5: Create Delivery Package
All deliverables have been organized in accordance with Appendix M: Data Capture Standards March 2011 Section M.4.2.82.

Figure 8. Terrain Deliverable Directory Structure

```
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4 ll Final
    1L Bare Earth DEM
    1). Breaklines
    lu Classified Point Cloud Data
    l) Contours
    1) HDEM
    | TIN
    G. General
4 L Source
    1. Bare Earth DEM
    LL Breaklines
    1. Classified Point Cloud Data
    L Contours
    1. HDEM
    1. Raw Point Cloud Data
    l TIN
    Supplemental Data
```

QA6: Finalization of Deliverables and TSDN
All data to be submitted for delivery has been reviewed for completeness based on the map activity statement, scope of work, and FEMA deliverable requirements. Quality assurance checklists are included in Appendix F of this document.

QA7: FEMA submission
All data for the elevation data acquisition task was delivered to FEMA on September 14, 2012. A transmittal of this submission is included in Appendix $G$ of this document.
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## F. Topographic Product Development

Following collection of the data, LiDAR was processed into several topographic products for the entire area collected in the Merrimack Watershed. The data collected under this activity will be used support Hydrologic and Hydraulic analyses for riverine and coastal flood sources identified within the watershed.

Deliverables: Upon completion of topographic data processing for the Concord watershed, STARR will make the following products available to FEMA:

- *LAS all return unclassified point cloud files
- *LAS all return classified point cloud files
- LiDAR Tile Index and Collection Area Shapefiles
- *Compressed 9.3.1 ESRI File Geodatabase containing 3D multipoint, ESRI Terrains, and LAS Information files created from Merrimack classified LAS files. LAS class code 2 (Bare Earth) and code 8 (Model-key) was used to develop products.
- *Compressed 9.3.1 ESRI File Geodatabase containing 2ft contours for HUC 8 Merrimack Watershed divided and organized by HUC 12 boundaries within watershed.
- *Floating Point Digital Elevation Model with 2 meter cell resolution in Geotif format that covers entire watershed for use in Hydrologic and Hydraulic modeling.
- Floating Point Digital Elevation Models with 1 meter cell resolution in ERDAS imagine (*.img) format that cover entire watershed for use in Hydrologic and Hydraulic modeling.
- Report summarizing methodology and results
- FEMA Terrain Metadata compliant with Federal Geographic Data Committee standards.
*: Due to file sizes datasets will be delivered to the FEMA Engineering Library for storage.

STARR will provide these deliverables to FEMA via external hard drive. To the extent possible datasets other than the LAS files will be loaded to the MIP at these locations:

J:\FEMA\R01INEW_HAMPSHIRE_33\MERRIMACK_33013\MERRIMACK_ 013C112-01-1080S\SubmissionUpload\Terrain\2152674

All details pertaining to the development of these products can be found within Appendix I of this document.
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## Appendix A: Contact Information

STARR Contacts:
Project Management and Quality Assurance

| Company | Greenhorne \& O'Mara, Inc. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name | Diane Rogers |
| Email | drogers @ g-and-o.com |
| Phone | $301-982-2800$ |
| Mailing Address | 5565 Centerview Drive, Suite 107 <br> Raleigh, NC 27606 |

LiDAR Ground Control and QC survey

| Company | Compass Data, Inc. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name | Hayden Howard |
| Email | haydenh @ compassdatainc.com |
| Phone | 303-627-4058 |
| Mailing Address | 12353 East Easter Avenue, Suite 200 <br> Centennial, CO 80112 |

LiDAR data acquisition and Post Processing

| Company | Photo Science, Inc |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name | Paul Bishop |
| Email | bishop@ photoscience.com |
| Phone | $859-277-8700$ |
| Mailing Address | 2670 Wilhite Drive <br> Lexington, KY 40503 |

## Appendix B: FEMA Compliance Forms and Metadata



| Project Name: |  | Merrimack Watershed, LiDAR Acquisition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement of Work No.: |  | HSFE01-11-J-0010 |
| Interagency Agreement No.: |  | N/A |
| CTP Agreement No.: |  | N/A |
| Statement/Agreement Date: |  | N/A |
| Certification Date: |  | June 21, 2012 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Base Map |  |
| X | Topographic Data Development |  |
| X | Survey |  |
| $\square$ | Hydrologic Analysis |  |
| $\square$ | Hydraulic Analysis |  |
| $\square$ | Alluvial Fan Analysis |  |
| $\square$ | Coastal Analysis |  |
| $\square$ | Floodplain Mapping |  |
|  | This is to certify that the work summarized above was completed in accordance with the statement/agreement cited above and all amendments thereto, together with all such modifications, either written or oral, as the Regional Project Officer and/or Assistance Officer or their representative have directed, as such modifications affect the statement/agreement, and that all such work has been accomplished in accordance with the provisions contained in Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners cited in the contract document, and in accordance with sound and accepted engineering practices within the contract provisions for respective phases of the work. This is also to certify that data files submitted for the work summarized above are complete and final. Any revisions made to the already submitted data are included in the final submittal. |  |
| Name: |  | Jack L. Mitchell |
| Title: |  | Project Manager |
| Firm/Agency Represented: |  | Photo Science |
| Registration No.: |  | CP \#849 |
| Signature: |  | Nampe |
|  | This form must be signed by a representative of the firm or agency contracted to ferform the work, who mus bea registered or certified professional in the area of work performed, in compliance witatederal and State pegulaigms. |  |
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    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY BENNINGTON, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330084
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY BROOKLINE, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330180
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY DEERING, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330085
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY FRANCESTOWN, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330086
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY GOFFSTSOWN, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330087
```

```
Place:
    Place Keyword Thesaurus: None
    Place Keyword: REGION I
    Place Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY GREENFIELD, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330209
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place-Keyword: COMMUNITY GREENVILLE, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330088
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY HILLSBOROUGH, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330090
Place:
    Place Keyword Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY HOLLIS, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330091
Place:
    Place Keyword Thesaurus: None
    Place - keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY HUDSON, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330092
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place-Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY LITCHFIELD, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330093
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
```

```
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY LYNDEBOROUGH, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330218
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY MANCHESTER, CITY OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330169
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 01
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY MASON, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330221
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY MERRIMACK, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330095
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY MILFORD, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330096
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY MONT VERNON, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330224
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY NASHUA, CITY OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330097
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
```

```
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place-Keyword: COMMUNITY NEW BOSTON, TOWN OF
    Place-Keyword: FEMA-CID 330098
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY NEW IPSWICH, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330099
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place-Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY PELHAM, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330100
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY PETERBOROUGH, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330101
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY WEARE, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330235
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY WILTON, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330102
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY ALLENSTOWN, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330103
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
```

```
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place-Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place-Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY ANDOVER, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330104
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY BOSCAWEN, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330105
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY BOW, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330107
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY CANTERBURY, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330108
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY CHICHESTER, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330109
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY CONCORD, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330110
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY DUNBARTON, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330202
```

```
Place:
    Place Keyword Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place Keyword: STATE NH
    Place Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY EPSOM, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330112
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place-Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place-Keyword: COMMUNITY FRANKLIN, CITY OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330113
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY HENNIKER, TOWN OF
    Place Keyword: FEMA-CID 330114
Place:
    Place Keyword Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY HOOKSETT, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330115
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place - keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place Keyword: COMMUNITY HOPKINTON, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330116
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place-Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place-Keyword: COMMUNITY LOUDON, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330117
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
```

```
    Place Keyword: COMMUNITY NORTHFIELD, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330118
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY PEMBROKE, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330119
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place-Keyword: STATE NH
    Place-Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY PITTSFIELD, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330120
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY SALISBURY, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330121
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY MERRIMACK
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 013
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY WEBSTER, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330121
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY ROCKINGHAM
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 015
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 33015C
    Place_Keyword: HYDROLOGIC CODE 01070002
    Place_Keyword: Merrimack Watershed
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY ROCKINGHAM
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 015
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY AUBURN, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330176
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
```

```
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place Keyword: STATE NH
    Place-Keyword: COUNTY ROCKINGHAM
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 015
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY CANDIA, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330126
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY ROCKINGHAM
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 015
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY DEERFIELD, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330127
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY ROCKINGHAM
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 015
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY LONDONDERRY, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330134
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY ROCKINGHAM
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 015
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY NORTHWOOD, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330855
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place-Keyword: COUNTY ROCKINGHAM
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 015
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY SALEM, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330142
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY ROCKINGHAM
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 011
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY WINDHAM, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330144
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY STRAFFORD
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 017
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY STRAFFORD COUNTY
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 33017C
```

```
    Place_Keyword: HYDROLOGIC CODE 01070002
    Place_Keyword: Merrimack Watershed
Place:
    Place Keyword Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY STRAFFORD
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 017
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY FARMINGTON, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330147
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY STRAFFORD
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 017
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY NEW DURHAM, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330227
Place:
    Place_Keyword_Thesaurus: None
    Place_Keyword: REGION I
    Place_Keyword: STATE NH
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY STRAFFORD
    Place_Keyword: COUNTY-FIPS 017
    Place_Keyword: COMMUNITY STRAFFORD, TOWN OF
    Place_Keyword: FEMA-CID 330196
```

Access_Constraints: None
Use_Constraints: Acknowledgement of FEMA would be appreciated in products derived from these data. This digital data is produced for the purposes of updating/creating a DFIRM database.

Data_Set_Credit: Ground control and quality control checkpoints were collec̄̄ed $\bar{b} y$ CompassData, Inc. LiDAR was acquired and processed by Photo Science, Inc. Quality Control testing was performed by CompassData, Inc. Quality Assurance testing was conducted by Greenhorne \& O'Mara, Inc. All firms were under contract to STARR, A Joint Venture which held the FEMA contract and task order for this work. Data_Quality_Information:

Attribute_Accuracy:
Attribute_Accuracy_Report: Elevations are recorded in floatingpoint feet and the vertical datum is NAVD88. There are no other attribute tables.

Logical_Consistency_Report: Survey data have been confirmed to be in proper unīts, coordināte systems and format. The terrain data have been confirmed as complete LAS format data files. Header files are in proper LAS format with content as specified by FEMA Procedural Memo No. 61.

Completeness_Report: Survey data have been checked for completeness, points have been collected in correct vegetation units, and distributed throughout the AOI. The terrain data have been checked for completeness against AOI polygons. No gaps as defined by FEMA Procedural Memo No. 61 are known to exist within the dataset.

Positional_Accuracy:
Horizontāl_Positional_Accuracy:

Horizontal_Positional_Accuracy_Report: Not applicable for pure elevation data: $\bar{e} v e r y ~ X Y ~ e r r o r ~ h a s ~ a n ~ a s s o c i a t e d ~ Z ~ e r r o r . ~$

Vertical_Positional_Accuracy:
Verticāl Positionāl Accuracy Report: Deliverables were tested by for vertical açcuracy. The verticāl unit of the data file is in FEET with 2-decimal point precision.

Quantitative_Vertical_Positional_Accuracy_Assessment:
Vertical_Positional_Accuracy_Value: 0.243
Vertical_Positional_Accuracy_Explanation: Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA) equal to the 95th percentile confidence level (RMSE[z] x 1.9600) calculated against the bare earth surface in all ground cover classes. Reported in meters. The point cloud surface was also tested in open terrain. The Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) equal to the 95th Percentile confidence level (RMSE[z] x 1.9600) calculated in open terrain is 0.140 meters. Accuracy statement is based on the area of moderate to flat terrain. Diminished accuracies are to be expected in areas of rugged terrain and/or dense vegetation. The accuracy of derived products may be less accurate in areas of dense vegetation due to a lesser number of points defining the bare-earth in these areas.

Lineage:
Source_Information:
Source Citation:
Citation_Information:
Originātor: STARR
Publication_Date: 2012
Title: Merrimack_GroundControl
Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
Source_-Time_Period_of_Content:
Time_Period_Information:
Single_Dāte/Time:
Calendar_Date: 20120914
Source_Currentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
Source_Cítation_Abbrēviation: Other1
Source-Contribution: Control points for tying LiDAR data to the
ground surfā̄e.
Source_Information:
Source_Citation:
Citation Information:
Originator: STARR
Publication_Date: 2012
Title: Merrimack_FVA_CVA
Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
Source_Time_Period_of_Content:
Time_Period_Information:
Sin̄gle_Dā̄e/Time:
Calendar_Date: 20120914
Source_Currentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
Source_Citation_Abbreviation: Other2
Source_Contribution: Quality Assurance points to confirm LiDAR data meets vertical accuracy requirements.

Source_Information:
Source_Citation:
Citation_Information:

```
            Originator: STARR
            Publication_Date: 2012
            Title: Merrímack Collection Area
    Type of Source Media: DIGITAL
    Sourcee_Time_Perriod_of_Content:
    Time_Period_Information:
        Single_Date/Time:
            Calendar_Date: 20120914
            Source_Currentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
    Source_Cītation_Abbrēviation: Other3
    Source_Contribution: Shapefile of Merrimack LiDAR acquisition area.
        Source_Information:
            Source_Citation:
            Citation_Information:
                    Originātor: STARR
            Publication_Date: 2012
            Title: Merrimack_Tile_Index
    Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
    Sourc̄e_Time_Pe\overline{riod_of_Content:}
    Time_Period_Information:
    Single_Date/Time:
        Caleñdar_Date: 20120914
        Source_Cūrrentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
        Source_Cītation_Abbrēviation: Other4
        Source_Contribution: Shapefile tile index used for naming of the
Point Cloud (All Returns) LAS data.
    Source_Information:
        Source_Citation:
            Citation_Information:
                    Originator: STARR
                    Publication_Date: 2012
                    Title: Merrimack_PreFlightReport
        Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
```



```
        Time_Peri}od_Information=
            Sin
            Calendar_Date: 20120914
            Source_Currentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
            Source_Citation_Abbreviation: Other5
            Source_Contribution: Document contains the operations plans for the
LiDAR acquisition.
    Source_Information:
            Source_Citation:
                Citation_Information:
                    Originātor: STARR
                    Publication_Date: 2012
                    Title: Merrimack_PostFlightReport
    Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
    Source_Time_Period_of_Content:
    Time_Period_Information:
            Single_Date/Time:
                Calendar_Date: 20120914
                Source_Currentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
            Source_Cítation_Abbrēviation: Other6
```

Source_Contribution: Document contains the acquisition and calibration $\bar{r} e p o r t$ for the LiDAR acquisition Source_Information:

Source_Citation:
Citation_Information:
Originator: STARR
Publication_Date: 2012
Title: Region 1 Merrimack Testing Results FVA CVA
Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
Source_Time_Period_of_Content: Time_Period_Information:

Single_Date/Time:
Caleñdar_Date: 20120914
Source_Cūrrentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
Source_Cítation_Abbrēviation: Other7
Source_Contribution: Document contains QC test results for both FVA and CVA blind check point tests against open area and bare earth surfaces generated from All Returns and Bare Earth (respectively) LAS points. Source_Information:

Source_Citation:
Citation_Information:
Originator: STARR
Publication_Date: 2012
Title: All_Returns
Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
Source_Time_Pē̄iod_of_Content:
Time_Period_Information:
Single_Date/Time:
Calendar_Date: 20120914
Source_Currentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
Source_Citation_Abbrēviation: VARIABLE-SPACING1
Source_Contribution: Point Cloud (All Returns) LAS 1.2 point files
named according to Merrimack_Tile_Index.
Source_Information:
Source_Citation:
Citation_Information:
Originātor: STARR
Publication_Date: 2012
Title: Bare_Earth
Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
Source_Time_Period_of_Content: Time_Period_Information:

Single_Date/Time:
Caleñdar_Date: 20120914
Source_Cūrrentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
Source_Cītation_Abbrēviation: VARIABLE-SPACING2
Source_Contribūtion: Bare Earth LAS point files named according to the Merrimack_Tile_Index.

Source_Information:
Source_Citation:
Citation_Information:
Originātor: STARR
Publication_Date: 2012
Title: Merrímack Hydro Break Lines

```
    Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
    Source_Time_Period_of_Content:
    Time_Peri}od_Information'
    Siñgle Dāte/Time:
        Caleñdar_Date: 20120914
        Source_Currentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
    Source_Citation_Abbreviation: BREAKLINES1
    Source_Contribution: Merrimack Watershed 3D polyline breaklines.
    Source_Information:
    Source_Citation:
        Citation_Information:
            Originator: STARR
            Publication_Date: 2012
            Title: Merrīmack HUC8 ESRI Terrain.gdb
    Type of Source Media: DIGĪTAL
    Source_Time_Period_of_Content:
    Time_Period_Information:
    Single_Date/Time:
            Calendar_Date: 20120914
            Source_Currentness_Reference: publication date
    Source_Cītation_Abbrēviation: Other8
    Source_Contribution: File geodatabase (v9.3.1) containing Merrimack
HUC 8 ESRI Terrains. Includes bare earth multipoint (mass points), hydro
enforced breaklines (hard breakline), and project area (softclip) feature
classes.
    Source_Information:
        Source_Citation:
            Citation Information:
                Originator: STARR
                Publication_Date: 2012
                Title: Merrimack HUC 8 1 meter DEM
        Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
        Source_Time_Period_of_Content:
        Time_Period_Information:
            Sin̄gle Dā̄e/Time:
            Caleñdar_Date: 20120914
            Source_Currentness_Reference: publication date
            Source_Citation_Abbreviation: REGULAR-GRID1
            Source_Contribution: 1 meter geotif file for Merrimack HUC 8
watershed project area.
    Source_Information:
        Source_Citation:
            Citation_Information:
                Originator: STARR
                Publication_Date: 2012
                Title: Merrimack HUC 8 2 meter DEM
            Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
            Source_Time_Period_of_Content:
        Time_Period_Information:
            Single_Date/Time:
                Calendar_Date: 20120914
                Source_Currentness_Reference: publication date
            Source_Citation_Abbreviation: REGULAR-GRID2
```

Source_Contribution: 2 meter geotif file for Merrimack HUC 8 watershed project area. Source_Information:

Source Citation:
Citation_Information:
Originator: STARR
Publication_Date: 2012
Title: Merrimack HUC 8 tiled 1 meter DEMs
Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
Source_Time_Period_of_Content: Time_Period_Information:

Single_Date/Time:
Calendar_Date: 20120914
Source_Cūrrentness_Reference: publication date
Source_Cītation_Abbrēviation: REGULAR-GRID3
Source_Contribution: 17491 meter erdas imagine files for Merrimack
HUC 8 watershed project area.
Source_Information:
Source_Citation:
Citation_Information:
Originator: STARR
Publication_Date: 2012
Title: Merrimack_HUC8_2ft_Contours.gdb
Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
Sourc̄e_Time_Pēriod_of_Content:
Time_Period_Information:
Single_Date/Time:
Calendar_Date: 20120914
Source_Currentness_Reference: publication date
Source_Citation_Abbreviation: CONTOURS1
Source_Contribution: File geodatabase (v9.3) containing 2ft contour polyline feature classes for Merrimack HUC 8 based on HUC 12 boundaries. Source_Information:

Source Citation:
Citation_Information:
Originātor: STARR
Publication_Date: 2012
Title: Merrimack TSDN
Type_of_Source_Media: DIGITAL
Source_-Time_Period_of_Content:
Time_Period_Information:
Single_Date/Time:
Calendar_Date: 20120914
Source_Cūrrentness_Reference: MIP Submission Date
Source_Cítation_Abbrēviation: Other9
Source-Contribution: TSDN for the acquisition, processing and product development of the LiDAR dataset. Process_Step:

Process_Description:
GP $\bar{S}$ based surveys were utilized to support both processing and testing of LiDAR data within FEMA designated Areas of Interest (AOIs). Geographically distinct ground points were surveyed using GPS technology throughout the AOI to provide support for three distinct tasks.

Task 1 was to provide Vertical Ground Control to support the aerial acquisition and subsequent bare earth model processing. To accomplish this, survey-grade Trimble $\mathrm{R}-8$ GPS receivers were used to collect a series of control points located on open areas, free of excessive or significant slope, and at least 5 meters away from any significant terrain break. Most if not all control points were collected at street/road intersections on bare level pavement.

Task 2 was to collect Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) checkpoints to evaluate the initial quality of the collected point cloud and to ensure that the collected data was satisfactory for further processing to meet FEMA specifications. The FVA points were collected in identical fashion to the Vertical Ground Control Points, but segregated from the point pool to ensure independent quality testing without prior knowledge of FVA locations by the aerial vendor.

Task 3 was to collect Consolidated Vertical Accuracy CVA)
checkpoints to allow vertical testing of the bare-earth processed LiDAR data in different classes of land cover, including: Open (pavement, open dirt, short grass), High Grass and Crops, Forest, Urban. CVA points were collected in similar fashion as Control and FVA points with emphasis on establishing point locations within the predominant land cover classes within each AOI or Functional AOI Group. In order to successfully collect the Forest land cover class, it was necessary to establish a Backsight and Initial Point with the R 8 receiver, and then employ a Nikon Total Station to observe a retroreflective prism stationed under tree canopy. This was necessary due to the reduced GPS performance and degradation of signal under tree canopy.

The R-8 receivers were equipped with cellular modems to receive real-time correction signals from the Keystone Precision Virtual Reference Station (VRS) network encompassing the Region 1 AOIs. Use of the VRS network allowed rapid collection times (~3 minutes/point) at 2.54 cm (1 inch) initial accuracy.

All points collected were below the 8 cm specification for testing 24 cm , Highest category LiDAR data. To ensure valid in-field collections, an NGS monument with suitable vertical reporting was measured using the same equipment and procedures used for Control, FVA and CVA points on a daily basis. The measurement was compared to the NGS published values to ensure that the GPS collection schema was producing valid data and as a physical proof point of quality of collection. Those monument
measurements are summarized in the Accuracy report included in the data deliverables.

20 FVA points are necessary to allow testing to CE95 - 1 point out of 20 may fail vertical testing and still allow the entire dataset to meet $95 \%$ accuracy requirements. In similar fashion, 76 CVA points are necessary to test to CE95 as discussed above. 72 CVA points were collected with the intention at the outset that 4 of the collected FVAs would perform double -duty as Open-class CVA points, to total 76 CVAs.

The following software packages and utilities were used to control the GPS receiver in the field during data collection, and then ingest and export the collected GPS data for all points: Trimble Survey Controller, Trimble Pathfinder Office.

The following software utilities were used to translate the collected Latitude/Longitude Decimal Degree HAE GPS data for all points into Latitude/Longitude Degrees/Minutes/Seconds for checking the collected monument data against the published NGS Datasheet Lat/Long DMS
values and into UTM NAD83 Northings/Eastings: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers CorpsCon, National Geodetic Survey Geoid09NAVD88.

MSL values were determined using the most recent NGS-approved geoid model to generate geoid separation values for each Lat/Long coordinate pair. In this fashion, Orthometric heights were determined for each Control, FVA and CVA point by subtracting the generated Geoid Separation value from the Ellipsoidal Height (HAE) for publication and use as MSL NAVD88(09).

Process_Date: 2012
Process_Step:
Process_Description:
Using a Leica ALS60 LiDAR system, 268 flight lines of highest density (Nominal Pulse Spacing of 1.0 m ) were collected over the Merrimack area which encompasses 1302 square miles. Five (5) blocks (block or area is determined by the Base Station control locations, typically airports with ground control monuments available providing coverage within 18 miles of the base as possible) to cover in its entirety.

Area |Flight Lines |Lifts |Dates
|System
CON |79 |7
|12/19-12/29 2011 |ALS60
ASH |64 |5
|1/7-1/11 $2012 \quad \mid \operatorname{ALS} 60$
BED |31 |1
|1/11/2012 |ALS60
LCI |34 |2
|11/12-11/13 2011 |ALS60
AFN |48 |4
|11/12-11/13 2011 |ALS60
Cross Flights 12 Flight Lines...Lifts were combined with the acquisition of each area with both sensors

Process_Date: 2012
Process_Step:
Process_Description:
Leica proprietary software was used in the post-processing of the airborne GPS and inertial data that is critical to the positioning and orientation of the sensor during all flights. Pairing the aircraft's raw trajectory data with the stationary GPS base station data, this software yields Leica's IPAS TC ("Inertial Positioning \& Attitude Sensor - Tightly Coupled") smoothed best estimate of trajectory (an "SBET", in Leica's .sol file format) that is necessary for Leica's ALSPP post processing software to develop the resulting geo-referenced point cloud from the LiDAR missions. The point cloud is the mathematical three dimensional composite of all returns from all laser pulses as determined from the aerial mission. At this point this data is ready for analysis, classification, and filtering to generate a bare earth surface model in which the above-ground features are removed from the data set.

The point cloud was created using Leica's Post Processor
software. GeoCue was used in the creation of some of the files needed in downstream processing, as well as in the tiling of the dataset into more manageable file sizes. The TerraScan and TerraModeler software packages are then used for the automated data classification, manual cleanup, and bare earth generation from this data. Project specific macros were used to classify the ground and to remove the side overlap between parallel
flight lines. All data was manually reviewed and any remaining artifacts removed using functionality provided by TerraScan and TerraModeler. QT Modeler was used as a final check of the bare earth dataset. GeoCue was then used to create the deliverable industry-standard LAS files for both the All Point Cloud Data and the Bare Earth. In-house software was then used to perform final statistical analysis of the classes in the LAS files.

Process_Date: 2012
Process_Step:
Process_Description:
Point Cloud data is manually reviewed and any remaining artifacts are removed using functionality provided within the TerraScan and TerraModeler software packages.

Additional project specific macros are created and run within GeoCue/TerraScan to ensure correct LAS classification prior to project delivery.

Final Classified LAS tiles are created within GeoCue to confirm correct LAS versioning and header information. In-house software is then used to check LAS header information and final LAS classification prior to delivery. LAS Class 2 is used to check the independent QC points against the Triangulated LiDAR surface.

Merrimack Watershed Classified LiDAR ASPRS Classifications
1 Unclassified
2 Ground
7 Low Point (Noise)
8 Model Key-point (Mass Point)
9 Water
10 Ignored Ground
11 Withheld
17 USGS Overlap Default
18 USGS Overlap Ground
Process_Date: 2012
Process_Step:
Process_Description:
Process Steps:
1-Convert LAS to Multipoint
2-Create Terrain
3-Convert Terrain to 1m Raster
4-Split 1m Raster into 1749 imagine files
5-Contour
Convert LAS to multipoint:

1. Create file geodatabase and create a feature dataset to
store terrain information with appropriate projection and spatial domain.
2. Run LAS to multipoint tool in 3D analyst for the classified LAS files and select class 2 and 8.
3. Store results in file geodatabase feature dataset for terrain data.

Create Terrain

1. Create Terrain using multipoint as masspoints, hydro break lines as hard breakline and LiDAR coverage area as soft clip
2. Build Terrain and store in file geodatabase feature dataset for terrain data

Convert Terrain to 1 m Raster

1. Run the Terrain to raster tool in 3D analyst
2. Float output data type, Linear as the method, CELLSIZE 1
as sampling distance, and Pyramid Level Resolution 0
3. Save results as a Geotiff dataset.

Split 1m Raster into tiles

1. Load 1m raster into ERDAS Imagine Mosaic pro tool
2. Split raster using LiDAR index
3. Save results as 1 m imagine files

Create contours

1. Extract by mask from the $1 m$ DEM using a HUC12 area. Save this raster as HUC12 Name 1 m .
2. Focal Statistics using Extracted 1m DEM as input, Intermediate Focal Raster as Output, Neighborhood should be set to weighted kernel, and the statistic should be sum.
3. Create contours using focal stats raster as input, output polyline should be based on HUC12 name, Contour Interval of $2 f t$, Set base contour to DEM minimum z value
4. Check results and store in file geodatabase under the Analysis Contours feature dataset.
5. Focal Statistics using Extracted 1m DEM as input, Intermediate Focal Raster as Output, Neighborhood should be set to circle, and the statistic should be mean.
6. Create contours using focal stats raster as input, output polyline should be based on HUC12 name, Contour Interval of $2 f t$, Set base contour to DEM minimum $z$ value
7. Check results and store in file geodatabase under the Cartographic Contours feature dataset.

Process_Date: 2012
Spatial_Reference_Information:
Horizontal_Coordiñate_System_Definition:
Planar:
Grid_Coordinate_System:
Grid_Coordinate_System_Name: Universal Transverse Mercator Universal_Transverse_Mercator:
UTM_Zone_Number: 19
Transverse_Mercator:
Scale_Fāctor_at_Central_Meridian: 0.999600
Longitude_of_Cē̄tral_Mē̄idian: -69.000000
Latitude_ō_̄Projectiōn_Origin: 0.000000
False_Easting: $500000 . \overline{0} 00000$
False_Northing: 0.000000
Planar_Coordinate_Information:
Planar_Coordinate_Encoding_Method: coordinate pair
Coordiñate_Represēntation:
Abscissa_Resolution: 0.000010
Ordinate_Resolution: 0.000010
Planar_Distance_Units: meters

```
Geodetic_Model:
    Horizontal Datum Name: North American Datum 1983
    Ellipsoid Name: -Geodetic Reference System 80
    Semi-major Axis: 6378137.00
    Denominator_of_Flattening_Ratio: 298.257222
            Vertical_Coordinate_System_Definition:
                Altitu\overline{de_System_Définitiōn:}
            Altitude_Datum_\overline{Name: North American Vertical Datum of 1988}
            Altitude_Resolution: 0.01
            Altitude_Distance_Units: feet
            Altitude_Encoding_Method: Attribute Values
    Entity_and_Attribute_Information:
        De\overline{tailēd_Description:}
            Entity_Type:
            Entity_Type_Label:
Terrain\2152674\SupplementalData\Merrimack_Ground_Control
            Entity_Type_Definition: Digital Document
            Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications
for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and
Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
    Detailed_Description:
        Entity_Type:
            Entīty Type Label:
Terrain\215267\overline{4}\Supp}lementalData\Merrimack_FVA_CVA
            Entity_Type_Definition: Digital Document
            Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications
for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and
Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
    Detailed_Description:
        Entity_Type:
            Entity_Type_Label:
Terrain\215267\overline{4}\Supp
            Entity_Type_Definition: Area Spatiāl File
            Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications
for Flood Hazařd Mapping Partners, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and
Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
    Detailed_Description:
        Entity_Type:
            Entity_Type_Label:
Terrain\215267\overline{4}\SupplementalData\Merrimack_Tile_Index.shp
            Entity_Type_Definition: Area Spatiāl File
            Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications
for Flood Hazar`d Mapping Partnērs, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and
Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
        Detailed_Description:
        Entity_Type:
            Entity_Type_Label: Terrain\2152674\SupplementalData\Merrimack
Pre-Flight Operations Plan.pdf
            Entity_Type_Definition: Digital Document
```

Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and Data Capture Guidelines (available at http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)

Detailed_Description:
Entity_Type:
Entity_Type_Label: Terrain\2152674\SupplementalData\Merrimack Post-Flight Report

Entity_Type_Definition: Digitial Document
Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and Data Capture Guidelines (available at http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)

Detailed_Description:
Entity_Type:
Entity_Type_Label:
Terrain\2152674\SupplementalData\Merrimack_TestingResults
Entity_Type_Definition: Digital Document
Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
Detailed_Description:
Entity_Type:
Entity Type_Label: Terrain\2152674\Source\Raw Point Cloud Data
Entity_Type_Definition: LAS 1.2 files
Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
Detailed_Description: Entity_Type:

Entity_Type_Label: Terrain\2152674\Source\Classified Point Cloud
Data
Entity_Type_Definition: LAS 1.2 files
Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazar̄d Mapping Partnēr, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
Detailed_Description: Entity_Type:

Entity_Type_Label: Terrain\2152674\Source\Breaklines
Entity_Type_Definition: 3D polyline breakline shapefile
Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and Data Capture Guidelines (available at http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)

Detailed_Description: Entity_Type:

Entity_Type_Label: Terrain\2152674\Final\TIN
Entity_Type_Definition: ESRI Terrain Data
Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazar̄d Mapping Partnērs, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and

```
Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
    Detailed_Description:
        Entity_Type:
            Entity_Type_Label: Terrain\2152674\Final\Bare Earth DEM
            Entity_Type_Definition: Digital Elevation Models
            Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications
for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and
Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
    Detailed_Description:
        Entity_Type:
            Entity_Type_Label: Terrain\2152674\Final\Contours
            Entity_Type_Definition: ESRI File Geodatabase Feature Classes
            Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications
for Flood Hazařd Mapping Partners, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and
Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
    Detailed_Description:
        Entity_Type:
            Entity_Type_Label:
Terrain\215267\overline{4}\\mathrm{ Supp}lementalData\Merrimack_HUC8_TSDN
            Entity_Type_Definition: Digital Document
            Entity_Type_Definition_Source: FEMA Guidelines and Specifications
for Flood Hazařd Ma\overline{pping Partnērs, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards and}
Data Capture Guidelines (available at
http://www.fema.gov/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm)
    Overview_Description:
        Entity_and_Attribute_Overview: The Terrain data package is made up
of several data themes containing primarily spatial information. These
data supplement the Elevation datasets by providing additional
information to aid flood risk evaluation and flood hazard area
delineations.
                Entity_and_Attribute_Detail_Citation: Appendix M of FEMA Guidelines
and Specificàtions for FEM\overline{A} Flood Hazard Mapping Partners contains a
detailed description of the data themes and references to other relevant
information.
Distribution_Information:
    Distributor:
        Contact_Information:
            Contact_Organization_Primary:
            Contact_Organization: Federal Emergency Management Agency
Engineering Library
        Contact_Address:
            Address_Type: mailing address
            Address: Bill Davis
            Address: 847 South Pickett Street
            City: Alexandria
            State_or_Province: Virginia
            Postal_Code: 22304
            Country: USA
        Contact_Voice_Telephone: 1-877-336-2627
        Contact_Electronic_Mail_Address: miphelp@riskmapcds.com
```

```
Distribution_Liability: No warranty expressed or implied is made by FEMA
regarding the utility of the data on any other system nor shall the act
of distribution constitute any such warranty.
    Standard Order Process:
        Digita\overline{l_Form:}
            Digital_Transfer_Information:
                Format Name: FEMA-DCS-Terrain
            Digital_Transfer_Option:
                Online_Option:
                        Computer_Contact_Information:
                    Network_Address:
                            Network_Resource_Name: http://hazards.fema.gov
        Fees: Contact Dis
Metadata Reference Information:
    Metadata_Date: 2\overline{0120914}
    Metadata_Contact:
        Contact_Information:
            Contact_Person_Primary:
                Contact_Person: FEMA Representative
                Contact_Organization: Federal Emergency Management Agency
            Contact_Address:
                    Address_Type: mailing address
                    Address: 500 C Street, S.W.
                    City: Washington
                    State_or_Province: District of Columbia
                    Postal_Code: 20472
                    Country: USA
            Contact_Voice_Telephone: 1-877-336-2627
            Contact_Electronic_Mail_Address: miphelp@mapmodteam.com
    Metadata_Standard_Name: FGDC Content Standards for Digital Geospatial
Metadata
    Metadata_Standard_Version: FGDC-STD-001-1998
Metadata_Extensions:
    Online_Linkage: http://hazards.fema.gov
    Online_Linkage: http://www.epsg.org
    Profil\overline{e_Name: FEMA NFIP Metadata Content and Format Standard}
```
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# MERRIMACK PRE-FLIGHT Operations Plan 

## Planned Flight Lines

Photo Science has completed preliminary flight planning for the Merrimack project area. Merrimack is scheduled to be acquired this fall after the leaves are off in late fall and winter of 2011. The Merrimack area is approximately 1,243 square miles and initial planning details are depicted in Figure 1. This Figure details that 291 flight lines covering 4,077 flight line miles will be collected. This area warranted a "Highest" vertical accuracy requirement and will be collected with a nominal pulse spacing of 1-meter. Key components of this flight planning include:
$\checkmark$ Generating a plan that takes all specifications into account, and the required Laser settings to meet those specs, review of terrain and water issues, along with potential base station locations at airports with sufficient services available to support the crews.
$\checkmark$ Orientation of flight lines parallel to major terrain features and variation in flight line spacing due to terrain variation (steeper slopes generally require tighter line spacing between adjacent parallel lines to ensure point density and side overlap are maintained)
$\checkmark$ Check Airspace issues and access issues for Base Stations.
$\checkmark$ Safety considerations, both for flights, and Laser collection.
Acquisition of 1,243 sq. miles @ 1-meter nominal post spacing to meet 24.5 cm FVA, with the following deliverables: LAS point cloud delivery with metadata, pre-operations flight plan, and post flight aerial acquisition report.

## Planned GPS Stations

Normally existing high accuracy monuments at airports are utilized if possible. Typically a Primary Airport Control Monument (or Secondary) is available; otherwise any other high accuracy monument can be used. We typically prefer these on the airport grounds as they can be monitored for security by airport staff. If no monument is available or an existing monument is damaged, we will set a monument with re-bar and use OPUS to control the monument. These are then used for initial field processing of the data.

## Planned Control

Seventy three (73) ground control points will be surveyed to control the LiDAR data and to support a vertical test. Each of these two functions shall remain independent of each other and also be collected by an independent subcontractor (CompassData). Independent check or calibration points will be three times as accurate as the surface being checked. Therefore, in order to validate a 18.5 cm LiDAR surface (consistent with 2 foot contours), STARR will collect elevation control data accurate to 6 cm . This "three times" model for collecting ground control and QA points will be used throughout the task order.

Vertical accuracy checkpoints will be located by another independent STARR contractor (CompassData) to check Photo Science's work in open terrain, where there is a high probability that the sensor will have detected the ground surface without influence from surrounding vegetation. Checkpoints will be located on flat or uniformly sloping terrain and will be at least five (5) meters away from any break line where there is a change in slope. This criterion applies for all QA .

Blind vertical QA points for the Consolidated Accuracy Check (CVA) will also be collected by CompassData to check Photo Science's work randomly across different land use types using the ASPRS NSSDA land cover types. The points will be located in flat areas with no substantial elevation breaks within a 3-5 meter radius.

## MERRIMACK PRE-FLIGHT OpERATIONS PLAN

The CVA assessment will incorporate a representative sample of the FVA assessment into the dataset to save on the total number of points collected. Figure 1 also includes the general location of the ground control points.

## Planned Airport Locations

Photo Science will be utilizing five (5) airports for Merrimack for mobilization and demobilization and base station set-ups. As indicated in Figure 1 the airports will be: KAFN - Jaffrey; KBED - Bedford Lawrence; KASH - Nashua; KCON - Concord; KLCI - Laconia. All base stations used during flights will be at these Airports

## Calibration Plans

Periodic detailed boresighting of the LiDAR sensor is performed at a boresight facility established in Lexington, Kentucky for both our LiDAR and imagery platforms. Over 95 high-accuracy control points are located within this facility. The area also has numerous pitched roofs that are necessary in boresighting LiDAR instruments. Local boresights are also carried out at individual project sites. Typically these are established at local airports and consist of opposing and cross flights conducted at multiple flight elevations. The boresight data is processed by our Lead LiDAR Specialist with the results for all boresight parameters applied to the project acquisition. Figure 2 outlines some of the basic principles that Photo Sciences conducts for LiDAR boresighting.

Calibration - all of our sensors are calibrated by flying lines at multiple altitudes and at varying directions over features on land, typically at the airport where the acquisition is staged. These lines are used to remove angular errors between the IMU and scanning mirror and to determine the precise positioning of the sensor in relationship with the phase center of the GPS antenna

## Figure2

Sensor Calibration Boresighting

> + Photo Science routinely performs a Comprehensive Calibration process from our permanent boresighting location at the Capital City Airport in Frankfort, KY, as well as daily, local project specific boresighting locations.
> + Photo Science established GPS survey points for LiDAR ground truthing and reflective survey analysis.
> + Our calibration methodology adheres to the basic survey principle of "working for the whole of the parts" ensuring that residual values of the calibration are reduced, not multiplied.
> + Photo Science calibration process validates roll, pitch, heading, pitch at swath edge, and torsion. mounted on the fuselage of the aircraft.

Calibration of the Elevation Surface - the raw LiDAR surface is compared against ground points that are established for the calibration of the elevation surface. System biases are identified and removed during this calibration. An early statistical analysis takes place that provides an indication of the precision of the acquired data.

Additionally, each lift requires a cross flight over the lines collected during that flight. This also acts as a daily calibration and is used if any anomalies are discovered with processed data.

We have established a calibration site for the project near LCI Airport and BED and embedded within the area plans.

## MERRIMACK PRE-FLIGHT Operations Plan



Figure 1-Merrimack Flight Lines, Ground Control, and Airport Locations

## MERRIMACK PRE-FLIGHT Operations Plan

## Quality Control Procedures for Flight Crew

## Acquisition Crews

An experienced and knowledgeable acquisition crew is also critical to a successful LiDAR project. We will bring two capable crews to the project site with three more in reserve should any unexpected health issues or similar complications arise.

## General Flight Mission Procedures

On a lift by lift basis the flight crew will check cloud conditions, atmospheric conditions (fog or probability of fog) and winds and turbulence. If any of those factors would make acquisition difficult they will wait a few hours and review again.

LiDAR crews can fly at night or during the day. Night flights can be smoother in some cases, but extra care must be used as it is easy to lose orientation with the ground if in very rural areas or over large expanses of water. Additionally, if there are fog probabilities then flights will not take place as fog will block the laser. It must be clear below the aircraft at all times.

The initial item is to set the base station properly over the monument, verify it is secure and running. Prior to setting the crew will have ascertained that it has storage space on the hard drives and full battery life. They will also verify that it is running with proper collection parameters. PDOP is also reviewed as collection will not take place during times of high PDOP.

The LiDAR system (controller hard drives and Laser) is connected to the flight management system and once the project plan is loaded the parameters for collection will load as well. The sensor operator will verify that everything loaded correctly before flight.

Once the LiDAR has been started the crew will taxi to the run up area and wait for the IMU, GPS and the rest of the system level out. They will collect data in a stationary position for about 5-10 minutes until the POS (position and orientation system) provides good level characteristics (Green Lights!).

After this they crew will take off and start collection data, avoiding hard steep turns (banks typically <20 degrees). Collection requires that speeds be maintained, sometimes quite slow depending on the accuracy requirements. Additionally altitudes must be watched closely.

During flights the sensor operator must monitor the laser to sure that temperatures are consistent and within guidelines, that pulsing is taking place correctly and returns are consistent and within guidelines while watching atmospheric conditions, speeds and monitoring the pilot.

## MERRIMACK PRE-FLIGHT Operations Plan

## Planned ScanSet (Laser Collection Parameters)

| Parameters | 15cm RMSE, 1m |
| :--- | :--- |
| Flying Height | $5,000-6,000$ |
| Aircraft Ground Speed (knots) | $117-160$ |
| Pulse Rate (KHz) | $70-120$ |
| Scan Rate (Hz) | $31-63.3$ |
| Full Field of View (degrees) | $34 / 35$ |
| Multi-Pulse | Yes |
| Full Swath Width (meters) | $930-1153$ |
| Swath Overlap (percentage) | $30 \%$ |
| Max. Point Spacing Across Track (meters) | 1.0 |
| Max. Point Spacing Along Track (meters) | 1.0 |
| Across Track/Along Track Ratio | 1.0 |
| Average Point Density (M2) | 1.25 |
| Nadir Point Density (pts/m2) | 1.25 |
| Illuminated Foot Print Diameter (meters) | .42 |

Acquisition (1,243 sq. miles @ 1-meter nominal post spacing to meet 24.5 cm FVA, LAS point cloud delivery with metadata, pre-operations flight plan, and post flight aerial acquisition report)

## Type of Aircraft

Five of our LiDAR sensors are currently flown in specially modified single-engine Cessna 206 platforms. This platform provides a very stable platform for LiDAR data acquisition, with the ability to easily achieve altitudes and speeds that are most common for LiDAR collection. Achieving an accurate, dense posting of LiDAR returns on the ground is most often associated with altitudes of 2,000 to 7,000 feet above the average terrain height at speeds ranging from 90 to 140 knots. These ranges are ideal for this single-engine platform. Additionally we utilize a Piper Navajo for specific projects.

Our platforms also have significant fuel capacity, which allows us considerable time over target for performing data collection. It is also a safe platform, which is important when flying over rugged terrain. The added bonus is this is a very economical platform to fly in terms of operational and maintenance costs. Moreover, that translates to competitive rates for LiDAR data acquisition.

| Aircraft Name | Engine <br> Configuration | ABGPS | Flight <br> Management <br> System | Ceiling Feet |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Cessna U-206G (3) | Single | Yes | Yes | 16,700 |
| Cessna U-206H (2) | Single | Yes | Yes | 15,700 |
| Piper Navajo | Twin | Yes | Yes | 20,000 |

## MERRIMACK PRE-FLIGHT Operations Plan

## Procedure for Tracking, Executing, and Checking Re-flights

All daily flights are tracked with specific logs for each area. These include general logs indicating the lines, date flown etc. as well as very specific mission logs concerning the lift, weather conditions, times, speeds and other criteria critical to the performance of the laser. The daily flight logs are faxed to the office on a daily basis and entered into an access database for tracking purposes. This helps determine where next to move crews and overall project status.

After flight each day, the GPS ground base station data is processed and verified and is then is run against the LiDAR POS data in both a forward and reverse sense. The two solutions are then compared against one another for all GPS epochs and the individual differences for the northing, easting, and elevation components are plotted for easy comparison. This data is then run against the LiDAR returns and a point cloud generated. Any anomalies in the data are quickly analyzed, and if required, re-flights take place for the portions of the flight missions that require remediation.

Once the data is checked it is archived, backed up and a set sent to the office via overnight delivery, while the backup copy remains with the crew.

The flight crews do not leave the area of collection until all data has been verified and shipped.

## Considerations for Terrain, Cover, and Weather

Terrain is not an issue for flight planning on this project. The area is relatively flat. Cover has been considered and collection is scheduled for the Fall and Winter of 2011 during leaf-off conditions. Traditional LiDAR weather conditions will be observed for this area.

## Appendix D: Ground Control Survey and Vertical Testing Quality Control

# FEMA Region 1 Merrimack, NH Ground Control Project Report for Photo Science. 

May 17, 2012

## Project Information

CDI Project Number: Geographic Location:
Number of FVAs/CVAs Requested:
FSG1619
Merrimack, NH
Number of FVAs/CVAs Collected:
80

## Project Specifications

Precision (Horizontal/Vertical):
Coordinate System:
Datum:
Zone:
Altitude Reference:
Units:

CDI Precision-1 $\leq 8 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{H/V}$ UTM
NAD83
18
NAVD88 (Geoid09)
Meters

## RTK GPS

All Ground Control Points for this project were collected with survey-grade GPS equipment and a survey-grade total station. Collected Survey-Control Points were processed in real-time with a Trimble VRS network.

All Control Points were observed for 180 epochs to determine a coordinate location $\leq 8 \mathrm{~cm}$ in both Horizontal and Vertical to support subsequent LiDAR post-processing and bare earth deliverables generation.

## CompassData

## Summary

The purpose of this project was to locate and survey ground control points (GCPs) in multiple areas of interest as defined by FEMA-supplied shape and kml files. The GCP coordinates are to be used to control the vertical aspect of all newlyflown LiDAR data during post-processing and subsequent deliverables creation. CompassData visited the project area, found suitable GCPs, and determined accurate coordinates for each GCP according to the customer's specifications.

## Equipment

CompassData used a Trimble R6 to perform the Control survey. This device is accurate to within 1 cm on a position-by-position basis per Trimble specifications. Operating within the VRS network provided accurate coordinate values at or around $8 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{V}$. CompassData has consistently demonstrated this level of accuracy on many GCP collection jobs across North and South America and Africa. Specifications for the Trimble R6 are available upon request.

## Survey Methodology

CompassData has met the required precision for this project by using a highquality GPS receiver with differential corrections provided by a VRS network surrounding the project area. The GPS antenna sat atop a bubble-leveled, fixedheight range pole that was placed over the center of the desired GCP. At least 180 positions (captured at a rate of one per second) were geometrically averaged to calculate a single coordinate for each GCP. All required field documentation was filled out and the points were identified on web-based imagery. Digital pictures of each GCP location were collected in the field.

## Quality Control Procedures

CompassData collects GCPs with an unobstructed view of the sky to ensure proper GPS operation. CompassData works to avoid potential sources of multipath error such as trees, buildings, and fences that may adversely affect the GPS accuracy. Additional quality control comes from the fact that at least 180 GPS positions are collected for each GCP. While operating within a VRS, valid solutions are reached

## CompassData

within seconds; however, we continue to collect additional data to ensure meeting collection specifications. To ensure project integrity, a GCP will be reobserved or moved to a more suitable location if it does not meet project specifications.

In addition to the aforementioned procedures, CompassData "surveys" existing geodetic control monuments to see if our coordinates match the published coordinates to the required accuracy. These monuments are usually established by the National Geodetic Survey (NGS) in the United States. If it is found that our coordinates are outside the acceptable accuracy, the reason for the difference will be found or the GCPs will be reobserved under different GPS constellation constraints. There are certain geodetic considerations that must be taken into account that affect whether a GPS-derived coordinate will line up with a survey monument, especially when these monuments reference local coordinate systems or the systems of another country. Sometimes the published coordinates for a monument are not accurate, although this is very infrequent.

CompassData visited multiple survey monuments during the course of this project. The results of those monument measurements are summarized in the Accuracy Report.

## Deliverables

Deliverables for this project include:

- Coordinates (in spreadsheet format)
- Image Chips
- Sketch Sheets
- Digital Pictures
- QA/QC Data


## Project Notes

All collected points were retrieved from the Trimble Survey Controller in Decimal Degrees, NAD83, HAE Meters.

CorpsCon was used to generate files in the following format:
Degrees Minutes Decimal Seconds, NAD83 HAE (QC purposes)
UTM Meters, NAD83 HAE
Geoid09 was then used to generate the geoid separation at every Lat/Long location. NAVD88(09) orthometric heights were then generated in spreadsheet form using the formula HAE - Geoid = Orthometric Height. Those values were then included into the final delivery coordinate CSV files and have been tested against NGS monuments collected during the course of this survey and are showing millimeterlevel agreement.

The Horizontal and Vertical accuracies reported in the Final Coordinates file were obtained from the Survey Report generated by Trimble Survey Controller. The report contains all points collected during each daily survey deployment, including CVAs, FVAs and Ground Control. Copies of these reports can be provided upon request once the CVA and FVA data has been redacted.

## Contact Information

Hayden Howard Phone: (303) 627-4058 E-mail: haydenh@compassdatainc.com

## CompassData

# Region 1: Test results for Merrimack, NH 

## Summary

In FEMA-Region 1 the Merrimack area is split up in multiple parts. This test encompasses total about 1800 square miles. A LiDAR data acquisition was ordered for a 2' equivalent contour accuracy, which equals the highest specification level. The area was flown and post-processed by Photo Science. CompassData performed the quality control of the collected and processed LiDAR data with a fundamental vertical accuracy (FVA) and a consolidated vertical accuracy (CVA) assessment, respectively. The planning, data collection, data processing, and data testing were successfully accomplished by the STARR members.

## Index

- Final Test Results
- FVA Test
- CVA Test
- Distribution of Testing Points
- FVA Test Details
- CVA Test Details


## Final Test Results

The vertical accuracy requirements based on flood risk and terrain slope are met with 14.0 cm and 24.3 cm for both FVA and CVA testing. The mandatory requirements for the highest specification for vertical accuracy, $95 \%$ confidence levels are for FVA < 24.5 cm and CVA < $\mathbf{3 6 . 3} \mathbf{~ c m}$.

## FVA Test

Tested 14.0 cm fundamental vertical accuracy at 95\% confidence level in open terrain using $\operatorname{RMSE}_{(z)} \times 1.9600$. The Root Mean Square Error for the elevation differences between GPS control points and LiDAR points is 7.1 cm calculated with 20 FVA points.

## CVA Test

Tested 24.3 cm consolidated vertical accuracy at 95th percentile in: open terrain, forest terrain, and urban terrain. The Root Mean Square Error for the elevation differences between GPS control points and LiDAR points is 11.4 cm calculated with 76 supplemental vertical accuracy points (SVA).

## CompassData

## Distribution of Testing Points

## Region 10, Merrimack, NH



Legend:

- FVA points in open terrain on hard surface
- SVA points in grass terrain
(O) SVA points in urban terrain
- SVA points in forest terrain

According to the area to be tested the 20 FVA points are evenly distributed. Additional 76 SVA points are distributed in respect to the available major land classes.

## CompassData

## FVA Test Details

| FVA | Northing | Easting | MSL (GPS) | MSL <br> (LiDAR) | $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{Z}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MER301 | 4709363.03 | 804854.11 | 48.68 | 48.67 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.00 |
| MER302 | 4715954.19 | 786017.51 | 67.48 | 67.43 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | 0.00 |
| MER303 | 4728027.19 | 789194.39 | 103.66 | 103.64 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ | 0.00 |
| MER304 | 4738101.26 | 804913.66 | 99.78 | 99.68 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | 0.01 |
| MER305 | 4743750.09 | 792649.25 | 97.08 | 97.05 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | 0.00 |
| MER306 | 4746713.87 | 775836.97 | 76.02 | 75.97 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | 0.00 |
| MER307 | 4731315.64 | 757697.14 | 319.91 | 319.93 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 2}$ | 0.00 |
| MER308 | 4750305.98 | 758400.83 | 290.05 | 290.06 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 1}$ | 0.00 |
| MER309 | 4759303.49 | 776331.42 | 232.86 | 232.85 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.00 |
| MER310 | 4763513.97 | 791030.81 | 99.14 | 99.11 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | 0.00 |
| MER311 | 4766900.62 | 768279.74 | 144.31 | 144.24 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | 0.01 |
| MER312 | 4776754.78 | 759600.69 | 270.04 | 270.19 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 5}$ | 0.02 |
| MER313 | 4778539.62 | 778294.85 | 230.53 | 230.61 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 8}$ | 0.01 |
| MER314 | 4786630.45 | 795214.15 | 152.86 | 152.81 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | 0.00 |
| MER315 | 4792471.94 | 785625.52 | 115.49 | 115.52 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 3}$ | 0.00 |
| MER316 | 4799588.65 | 795219.49 | 137.17 | 137.30 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 3}$ | 0.02 |
| MER317 | 4803685.26 | 772198.04 | 114.12 | 114.29 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 8}$ | 0.03 |
| MER318 | 4806835.00 | 784332.58 | 231.80 | 231.80 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 1}$ | 0.00 |
| MER319 | 4806890.72 | 810329.60 | 278.97 | 278.98 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 2}$ | 0.00 |
| MER320 | 4816440.44 | 795088.72 | 259.28 | 259.27 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |

## Metadata

UTM 18 North, NAD83, NAVD88

| $\Delta Z$ Mean | 0.05 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta Z$ Min | -0.18 | RMSE: | 0.07 |
| $\Delta Z$ Max | 0.10 | $* 1.96$ | 0.14 |

All units in meters where applicable.
HAE - GEOID09 = NAVD88

Note:
All 20 of the FVA points (open terrain) passed. 100\% of the points are within the 24.5 cm confidence level. The FVA test is passed.
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CVA Test Details

| CVA | Ground Cover | Latitude(GPS) | Longitude(GPS) | Northing(GPS) | Easting(GPS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSL } \\ \text { (GPS) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MSL (LiDAR) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MER401 | Grass | 42.5009187 | -71.2575761 | 4712185.30 | 807515.35 | 40.88 | 40.87 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| MER402 | Grass | 42.5451516 | -71.4823467 | 4716305.96 | 788839.72 | 66.54 | 66.57 | -0.03 | 0.00 |
| MER404 | Grass | 42.7314685 | -71.3254064 | 4737546.06 | 800827.65 | 45.70 | 45.61 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| MER405 | Grass | 42.9131464 | -71.3938522 | 4757481.59 | 794359.23 | 83.39 | 83.50 | -0.11 | 0.01 |
| MER406 | Grass | 42.8590379 | -71.8151776 | 4750082.87 | 760192.46 | 262.32 | 262.47 | -0.15 | 0.02 |
| MER407 | Grass | 42.9135747 | -71.7703816 | 4756279.19 | 763619.88 | 239.30 | 239.39 | -0.09 | 0.01 |
| MER408 | Grass | 42.9635076 | -71.5463015 | 4762552.07 | 781684.16 | 123.34 | 123.37 | -0.03 | 0.00 |
| MER409 | Grass | 43.0212053 | -71.4707368 | 4769216.47 | 787578.28 | 74.06 | 74.27 | -0.21 | 0.05 |
| MER410 | Grass | 43.0838659 | -71.5560008 | 4775886.91 | 780344.13 | 182.00 | 182.27 | -0.27 | 0.08 |
| MER411 | Grass | 43.0591880 | -71.6514587 | 4772831.10 | 772682.69 | 95.19 | 95.42 | -0.23 | 0.05 |
| MER412 | Grass | 43.1623687 | -71.5986960 | 4784463.73 | 776514.20 | 109.49 | 109.57 | -0.08 | 0.01 |
| MER413 | Grass | 43.0742793 | -71.8444991 | 4773897.28 | 756898.56 | 336.68 | 336.75 | -0.07 | 0.00 |
| MER414 | Grass | 43.1493296 | -71.3589959 | 4783835.86 | 796065.67 | 126.09 | 126.15 | -0.06 | 0.00 |
| MER415 | Grass | 43.2129379 | -71.3767813 | 4790837.80 | 794313.21 | 105.66 | 105.80 | -0.14 | 0.02 |
| MER416 | Grass | 43.2598996 | -71.5905160 | 4795323.06 | 776737.39 | 111.02 | 111.18 | -0.16 | 0.03 |
| MER417 | Grass | 43.2947334 | -71.2047443 | 4800543.07 | 807876.03 | 258.35 | 258.43 | -0.08 | 0.01 |
| MER418 | Grass | 43.3846904 | -71.2416452 | 4810398.98 | 804431.86 | 202.65 | 202.75 | -0.10 | 0.01 |
| MER419 | Grass | 43.4007585 | -71.4359930 | 4811491.66 | 788611.87 | 259.44 | 259.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MER420 | Grass | 43.3808707 | -71.7103303 | 4808368.68 | 766481.92 | 236.74 | 236.83 | -0.09 | 0.01 |
| MER421 | Open | 42.8419700 | -71.7073511 | 4748526.42 | 769076.51 | 85.35 | 85.34 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| MER421A | Grass | 42.6913114 | -71.8526355 | 4731340.49 | 757827.60 | 319.95 | 319.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MER701 | Forest | 42.4738968 | -71.2044003 | 4709378.74 | 812019.84 | 48.03 | 48.07 | -0.04 | 0.00 |
| MER702 | Forest | 42.5436292 | -71.4830508 | 4716134.49 | 788788.93 | 65.66 | 65.75 | -0.09 | 0.01 |
| MER702B | Forest | 42.5434722 | -71.4833558 | 4716116.01 | 788764.60 | 65.77 | 65.85 | -0.08 | 0.01 |
| MER703 | Forest | 42.6643038 | -71.4182206 | 4729759.78 | 793545.07 | 72.61 | 72.66 | -0.05 | 0.00 |
| MER703B | Forest | 42.6641537 | -71.4184512 | 4729742.31 | 793526.88 | 72.87 | 72.98 | -0.11 | 0.01 |
| MER704 | Forest | 42.8967729 | -71.5919642 | 4754988.25 | 778260.22 | 73.97 | 74.03 | -0.06 | 0.00 |
| MER704A | Forest | 42.8971136 | -71.5920771 | 4755025.71 | 778249.47 | 75.12 | 75.22 | -0.10 | 0.01 |
| MER705 | Forest | 42.6913573 | -71.8549871 | 4731338.41 | 757634.76 | 319.76 | 319.80 | -0.04 | 0.00 |
| MER706 | Forest | 42.9828373 | -71.8111437 | 4763844.44 | 760000.39 | 246.94 | 246.98 | -0.04 | 0.00 |
| MER706A | Forest | 42.9827733 | -71.8115768 | 4763835.99 | 759965.35 | 246.71 | 246.86 | -0.15 | 0.02 |
| MER707 | Forest | 43.0250367 | -71.6101534 | 4769173.38 | 776199.93 | 96.38 | 96.39 | -0.01 | 0.00 |
| MER707A | Forest | 43.0256583 | -71.6102819 | 4769241.99 | 776186.67 | 98.29 | 98.39 | -0.10 | 0.01 |
| MER708 | Forest | 43.0701843 | -71.3815800 | 4774965.89 | 794608.99 | 139.39 | 139.58 | -0.19 | 0.03 |
| MER708A | Forest | 43.0699884 | -71.3813728 | 4774944.86 | 794626.80 | 138.87 | 139.10 | -0.23 | 0.05 |
| MER709 | Forest | 43.0607772 | -71.7596171 | 4772661.43 | 763867.52 | 201.96 | 202.25 | -0.29 | 0.08 |
| MER709A | Forest | 43.0612822 | -71.7597434 | 4772717.11 | 763855.07 | 203.23 | 203.50 | -0.28 | 0.08 |
| MER710 | Forest | 43.1751872 | -71.4858631 | 4786266.59 | 785628.04 | 101.26 | 101.27 | -0.01 | 0.00 |
| MER711 | Forest | 43.2242581 | -71.3102046 | 4792331.76 | 799666.16 | 132.99 | 133.03 | -0.04 | 0.00 |
| MER711A | Forest | 43.2244650 | -71.3100698 | 4792355.22 | 799676.10 | 134.18 | 134.28 | -0.10 | 0.01 |
| MER712 | Forest | 43.2968043 | -71.5833413 | 4799445.71 | 777152.02 | 96.45 | 96.73 | -0.28 | 0.08 |
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| MER712A | Forest | 43.2967189 | -71.5826486 | 4799438.52 | 777208.60 | 98.99 | 99.18 | -0.20 | 0.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MER713 | Forest | 43.3452379 | -71.5998571 | 4804770.33 | 775592.92 | 170.16 | 170.30 | -0.14 | 0.02 |
| MER713A | Forest | 43.3451176 | -71.5990326 | 4804759.69 | 775660.30 | 164.16 | 164.29 | -0.13 | 0.02 |
| MER714 | Forest | 43.3093666 | -71.1898322 | 4802223.50 | 809011.59 | 221.11 | 221.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MER715 | Forest | 43.3192055 | -71.3754481 | 4802645.34 | 793909.09 | 167.65 | 167.68 | -0.03 | 0.00 |
| MER714A | Forest | 43.3098886 | -71.1898890 | 4802281.27 | 809004.33 | 220.12 | 220.17 | -0.05 | 0.00 |
| MER716A | Forest | 43.4230028 | -71.3312173 | 4814330.80 | 796988.34 | 235.95 | 236.03 | -0.08 | 0.01 |
| MER717 | Forest | 43.4319642 | -71.2845305 | 4815493.79 | 800723.50 | 302.08 | 302.18 | -0.10 | 0.01 |
| MER718 | Forest | 43.2910495 | -71.3605751 | 4799570.63 | 795251.64 | 134.11 | 134.34 | -0.23 | 0.05 |
| MER719 | Forest | 43.4208677 | -71.6633590 | 4812962.32 | 770109.16 | 125.04 | 125.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| MER719A | Forest | 43.4208794 | -71.6628789 | 4812965.17 | 770147.97 | 124.01 | 123.96 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| MER720 | Forest | 43.2010657 | -71.6116810 | 4788718.75 | 775284.36 | 127.18 | 127.20 | -0.03 | 0.00 |
| MER720A | Forest | 43.2017458 | -71.6117768 | 4788793.97 | 775273.51 | 134.39 | 134.43 | -0.05 | 0.00 |
| MER721 | Forest | 43.4192990 | -71.3137957 | 4813981.73 | 798416.95 | 233.99 | 234.07 | -0.08 | 0.01 |
| MER801 | Urban | 42.4463049 | -71.2278332 | 4706228.36 | 810229.67 | 65.77 | 65.67 | 0.10 | 0.01 |
| MER802 | Urban | 42.7404381 | -71.4933904 | 4737956.59 | 787032.48 | 56.00 | 56.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MER802A | Urban | 42.7404381 | -71.4933906 | 4737956.59 | 787032.47 | 56.00 | 56.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MER803 | Urban | 42.7112348 | -71.4409064 | 4734893.29 | 791465.86 | 47.48 | 47.45 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| MER804 | Urban | 42.9626519 | -71.4450154 | 4762801.85 | 789949.85 | 74.90 | 74.86 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| MER805 | Urban | 42.9964867 | -71.4548439 | 4766525.75 | 788989.43 | 78.48 | 78.42 | 0.06 | 0.00 |
| MER806 | Urban | 43.1315607 | -71.4525341 | 4781535.59 | 788542.70 | 90.82 | 90.80 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| MER807 | Urban | 43.0972436 | -71.4656394 | 4777679.07 | 787637.54 | 67.22 | 67.29 | -0.07 | 0.01 |
| MER808 | Urban | 43.2013206 | -71.5360638 | 4788998.86 | 781427.18 | 87.22 | 87.23 | -0.01 | 0.00 |
| MER809 | Urban | 43.2181192 | -71.5029436 | 4790976.65 | 784040.18 | 106.86 | 106.88 | -0.02 | 0.00 |
| MER810 | Urban | 43.3396343 | -71.2591111 | 4805330.94 | 803241.64 | 157.03 | 157.10 | -0.07 | 0.00 |
| MER811 | Urban | 43.3064586 | -71.3256409 | 4801406.31 | 798010.56 | 150.93 | 151.00 | -0.07 | 0.01 |
| MER812 | Urban | 43.2849323 | -71.4666445 | 4798521.37 | 786674.76 | 117.55 | 117.66 | -0.11 | 0.01 |
| MER813 | Urban | 43.3217844 | -71.6296416 | 4802067.41 | 773283.90 | 101.28 | 101.40 | -0.12 | 0.01 |
| MER814 | Urban | 43.4222284 | -71.6607434 | 4813121.94 | 770314.84 | 124.82 | 124.88 | -0.06 | 0.00 |
| MER815 | Urban | 43.0953633 | -71.7299193 | 4776596.55 | 766136.12 | 195.33 | 195.46 | -0.13 | 0.02 |
| MER816 | Open | 42.9761127 | -71.6916166 | 4763474.76 | 769776.12 | 126.89 | 126.83 | 0.06 | 0.00 |
| MER817 | Open | 42.8195464 | -71.8514537 | 4745585.48 | 757392.60 | 315.22 | 315.25 | -0.03 | 0.00 |
| MER818 | Urban | 42.7673358 | -71.8119721 | 4739908.54 | 760839.88 | 250.33 | 250.41 | -0.08 | 0.01 |
| MER819 | Open | 42.8622513 | -71.6244756 | 4751047.16 | 775759.36 | 79.72 | 79.67 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| MER820 | Urban | 42.8116651 | -71.5832443 | 4745565.04 | 779356.07 | 69.02 | 68.99 | 0.03 | 0.00 |


| $\Delta Z$ Mean | 0.09 | RMSE: | 0.114 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta Z$ Min | -0.29 | $* 1.96$ | 0.223 |
| $\Delta Z$ Max | 0.10 | Percentile | 0.243 |

Note:
76 out of 76 of the SVA points (open, forest, and grass terrain) passed below the 36.3 cm criteria. The CVA test is passed.
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## 1. Overview

### 1.1 Consultant contact information

GMR Aerial Surveys, Inc. DBA Photo Science
2670 Wilhite Drive
Lexington, KY 40503
(859) 277-8700

Contact: Clay Smith, CP
Email: csmith@photoscience.com
Project Number: 7556-008

### 1.2 Project information

The purpose of this project is to provide professional surveying and mapping services for the creation of a high-resolution digital elevation model developed from LIDAR data for the Merrimack MA and NH area of interest (AOI). The project area is shown in Figure 1.1.


Figure 1.1: Merrimack Project Area

## 2. Project Planning

### 2.1 Flight and sensor parameters

Detailed project planning was performed for this project. This planning was based on project specific requirements and the characteristics of the project site. The basis of this planning included the required accuracies, type of development, amount and type of vegetation within the project area, the required data posting, and potential altitude restrictions for flights in the general area. A brief summary of the aerial acquisition parameters for this project are shown in the LiDAR System Specification (Table 2.1) below:

| Terrain and Aircraft |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Flying Height AGL | $1859+/-\mathrm{m}, 6,100+/-$ feet |
| Recommended Ground Speed (GS) | 160 kts |
| Scanner |  |
| Field of View (FOV) | $35.0 ;$ degrees |
| Maximum Scan Rate | 52.7 Hz |
| Scan Rate Setting used (SR) | 52.7 Hz |
| Laser |  |
| Maximum Laser Pulse Rate | $125,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| Laser Pulse Rate used | $120,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| Multi Pulse in Air Mode | Enabled |
| Gain Values (Up/Down) | $12 ; 3$ |
| Range Intensity mode) | 5 |
| Nominal Maximum Slant Range | $2,288.69 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Recommended Range Gate MIN Setting | 1441 m |
| Recommended Range Gate MAX Setting | 1968 m |
| Equivalent Attenuator Used | 0.08 OD |
| Recommended Laser Current | $63 \%$ |
| Coverage |  |
| Full Swath Width | $0.24-0.27 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Maximum Line Spacing (No DTM) | $0.24-0.26 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Point Spacing and Density | $0.11-0.14 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Maximum Point Spacing Across Track | $1153.36 \mathrm{~m}, 4,820.59$ feet |
| Maximum Point Spacing Along Track | 1.57 m |
| Across Track/Along Track Ratio | 1.57 m |
| Average Point Density | 1.00 |
| Average Point Area | $1.26 \mathrm{pts} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Average Point Spacing | 0.79 m |
| Estimated Along Track Accuracy | 0.89 m |
| Nadir Point Density | $0.82 \mathrm{pts} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Reflectivity and SNR | 0.42 m |
| Illuminated Footprint Diameter | 18.00 |
| Average SNR |  |
| Reflectivity and SNR | 18.00 |
| Illuminated Footprint Diameter | m |
| Average SNR |  |
|  |  |

Table 2.1: LiDAR System Specifications

### 2.2 Base Station Information

A GPS base station was utilized at predetermined locations during all phases of flight for each block. Typically existing monuments are utilized when available, but on occasion a monument consisting of a steel pin will be set. OPUS solutions are utilized to determine the exact location of the monument if set, or verify the location if existing.

For this project five base stations were utilized. The data sheet and image of the location for each base station is included in this report on pages 7-11.
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## KAFN Primary Base Station

DATABASE $=$ NGSIDB , PROGRAM = datasheet95, VERSION $=7.87 .4 .2$
1 National Geodetic Survey, Retrieval Date = OCTOBER 30, 2011
AH8857
AH8857 PACS - This is a Primary Airport Control Station.
AH8857 DESIGNATION - AFN A
AH8857 PID - AH8857
AH8857 STATE/COUNTY- NH/CHESHIRE
AH8857 USGS QUAD -
AH8857
AH8857 *CURRENT SURVEY CONTROL
AH8857
AH8857* NAD 83(2007)-42 $4824.05940(\mathrm{~N}) 0720005.05892(W)$ ADJUSTED
AH8857* NAVD 88 - 308.04 (meters) 1010.6 (feet) GPS OBS
AH8857
AH8857 EPOCH DATE - 2002.00
AH8857 X - 1,448,185.034 (meters) COMP
AH8857 Y - $-4,457,427.222$ (meters) COMP
AH8857 Z - 4,311,961.336 (meters) COMP
AH8857 LAPLACE CORR- 0.29 (seconds) DEFLEC09
AH8857 ELLIP HEIGHT- 280.506 (meters) (02/10/07) ADJUSTED
AH8857 GEOID HEIGHT- -27.54 (meters) GEOID09
AH8857
AH8857 ------- Accuracy Estimates (at 95\% Confidence Level in cm) --------
AH8857 Type PID Designation North East Ellip
AH8857 $\qquad$
AH8857 NETWORK AH8857 AFN A 0.650 .57 3.08
AH8857
AH8857
AH8857.This mark is at Jaffery Mun-Silver Ranch Airport (AFN)
AH8857
AH8857.The horizontal coordinates were established by GPS observations AH8857. and adjusted by the National Geodetic Survey in February 2007. AH8857
AH8857.The datum tag of NAD $83(2007)$ is equivalent to NAD 83 (NSRS2007).


Figure 2.1: KAFN Base Station
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Figure 2.2: LCS Base Station

## CON NGS Data Sheet

```
1 National Geodetic Survey, Retrieval Date = JUNE 21, 2012
AH8877
```



```
AH8877
AH8877 FGDC Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards (95% confidence, cm)
AH8877 Type Horiz Ellip Dist(km)
AH8877 -------------------------------------------------------------------------
AH8877
AH8877
AH8877 MEDIAN LOCAL ACCURACY AND DIST (007 points) 0.65 2.82 41.69
AH8877 ------------------------------------------------------------------------
AH8877 NOTE: Click here for information on individual local accuracy
AH8877 values and other accuracy information.
AH8877
AH8877.This mark is at Concord Airport (CON)
AH8877
```



Figure 2.3: CON Base Station
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## ASH NGS Data Sheet



AB5425
AB5425 FGDC Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards (95\% confidence, cm) AB5425 Type Horiz Ellip Dist(km)

AB5425 NETWORK 1.09 2.67

AB5425
AB5 425
AB5425 NOTE: Click here for information on individual local accuracy AB5425 values and other accuracy information.
AB5425
AB5425.This mark is at Boire Field Airport (ASH)
AB5425


Figure 2.4: ASH Base Station
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## BED NGS Data Sheet



AI5560
AI5560 FGDC Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards (95\% confidence, cm) AI5560 Type Horiz Ellip Dist(km)
AI5560 AI5560
AI5560 AI5560 MEDIAN LOCAL ACCURACY AND DIST (002 points) $0.50 \quad 0.22 \quad 0.97$ AI5560 AI5560 NOTE: Click here for information on individual local accuracy AI5560 values and other accuracy information. AI5560
AI5560.This mark is at Laurence $G$ Hanscom Fld Airport (BED) AI5560


Figure 2.5: BED Base Station
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## 3. Acquisition

### 3.1 Flight information

All flights for the project were accomplished with a customized twin-engine Piper PA-31 Navajo fixed wing arcraft utilizing a Leica ALS60 LiDAR sensor, and a Cessna 206 single engine aircraft outfitted with an Optech Gemini LiDAR sensor. These aircraft provide an ideal, stable aerial base for LiDAR acquisition. Both platforms have relatively fast cruise speeds that are beneficial for project mobilization/demobilization while maintaining relatively slow stall speeds which can prove ideal for collection of a high-density, consistent data posting.

The project covered $1,244.91$ square miles and required five (5) blocks (block or area is determined by the Base Station control locations, typically airports with ground control monuments available providing coverage within 18 miles of the base as possible) to cover in its entirety. This resulted in 268 flight lines totaling 2844.6 flight line miles which were captured over 19 separate lifts. Each of the five blocks are shown on Figures 3.1-3.5. A summary of the flight operations is provided in Table 3.1. Flight logs are found in Appendix A.

| Area | Flight Lines | Number of Lifts | Dates flown | System |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CON | 79 | 7 | $12 / 29$ through $12 / 19 / 2011$ | ALS60 |  |
| ASH | 64 | 5 | $1 / 7 / 2012$ through $1 / 11 / 2012$ | ALS60 |  |
| BED | 31 | 1 | $1 / 11 / 2012$ | ALS60 |  |
| LCI | 34 | 2 | $11 / 12 / 2011$ and $11 / 13 / 2011$ | ALS60 |  |
| AFN | 48 | 4 | $11 / 12 / 2011$ and $11 / 13 / 2011$ | Optech |  |
| Cross flights | 12 | Lifts were combined with the acquisition of each area with both sensors |  |  |  |

Table 3.1: Flight Summary


Figure 3.1: BED Block Area


Figure 3.2 ASH Block Area


Figure 3.3 AFN Sub Area


Figure 3.4: CON Block Area


Figure 3.5: LSI Block Area

Merrimack Watershed LiDAR Post-Flight Aerial Acquisition and Calibration Report

### 3.2 Time Period

Missions were flown from November $11^{\text {th }} 2011$ through January $12^{\text {th }} 2012$ and totaled nineteen (19) sorties by two aircraft as outlined in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2: Flight Mission Summary

| Area_ID | FL_NUM | Date_Flown | System_used | SN | AC | Lift_File_Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASH | 1 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 2 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 3 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 4 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 5 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 6 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 7 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 8 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 9 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 10 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 11 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 12 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 13 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 14 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 15 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 16 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 17 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 18 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 19 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 20 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 21 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 22 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 23 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 24 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 25 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 26 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 27 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 28 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 29 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 30 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| ASH | 31 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111A-6156 |
| ASH | 32 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111A-6156 |
| ASH | 33 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111A-6156 |
| ASH | 34 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111A-6156 |
| ASH | 35 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111A-6156 |
| ASH | 36 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 37 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 38 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 39 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 40 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |

Table 3.2: Flight Mission Summary (Con't)

| Area_ID | FL_NUM | Date_Flown | System_used | SN | AC | Lift_File_Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASH | 41 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 42 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 43 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 44 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 45 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 46 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 47 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 48 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 49 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 50 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 51 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 52 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 53 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 54 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 55 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 56 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 57 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 58 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 59 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 60 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 61 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| ASH | 105 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 124 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| ASH | 142 | 07-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120107A-6156 |
| BED | 1 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 2 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 3 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 4 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 5 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 6 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 7 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 8 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 9 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 10 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 11 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 12 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 13 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 14 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 15 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 16 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 17 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 18 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 19 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 20 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 21 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 22 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 23 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
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Table 3.2: Flight Mission Summary (Con't)

| Area_ID | FL_NUM | Date_Flown | System_used | SN | AC | Lift_File_Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BED | 24 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 25 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 26 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 27 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 28 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 29 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 30 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| BED | 31 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| CON | 1 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 2 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 3 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 4 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 5 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 6 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 7 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 8 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 9 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 10 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 11 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 12 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 13 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 14 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 15 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 16 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 17 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 18 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 19 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 20 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 21 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 22 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 23 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 24 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 25 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 26 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 27 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 28 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 29 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 30 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 31 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 32 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 33 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 34 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 35 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 36 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| CON | 37 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| CON | 38 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| CON | 39 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
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Table 3.2: Flight Mission Summary (Con't)

| Area_ID | FL_NUM | Date_Flown | System_used | SN | AC | Lift_File_Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CON | 40 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| CON | 41 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| CON | 42 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 43 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 44 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 45 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 46 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 47 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 48 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 49 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 50 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 51 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 52 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 53 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 54 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 55 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 56 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 57 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 58 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 59 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 60 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 61 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 62 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 63 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 64 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 65 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 66 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 67 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 68 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 69 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 70 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 116 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218B-6156 |
| CON | 118 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 119 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219A-6156 |
| CON | 125 | 18-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111218A-6156 |
| CON | 133 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 134 | 21-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111121A-6156 |
| CON | 201 | 20-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111120A-6156 |
| CON | 202 | 20-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111120A-6156 |
| CON | 203 | 20-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111120A-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 1 | 12-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111A-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 2 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109B-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 3 | 09-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120109A-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 6 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 7 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 8 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 9 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
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Table 3.2: Flight Mission Summary (Con't)

| Area_ID | FL_NUM | Date_Flown | System_used | SN | AC | Lift_File_Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cross Flights | 10 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 11 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 12 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 13 | 19-Dec-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111219B-6156 |
| Cross Flights | 15 | 11-Jan-12 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 120111B-6156 |
| KAFN | 1 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 2 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 3 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 4 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 5 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 6 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 7 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 8 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
| KAFN | 9 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
| KAFN | 10 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
| KAFN | 11 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
| KAFN | 12 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
| KAFN | 13 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 14 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 15 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 16 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 17 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 18 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 19 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 20 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 21 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 22 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 23 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 24 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113B-240 |
| KAFN | 25 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113B-240 |
| KAFN | 26 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 27 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
| KAFN | 28 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 29 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 30 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 31 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 32 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 33 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 34 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 35 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 36 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 37 | 13-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111113A-240 |
| KAFN | 38 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 39 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
| KAFN | 40 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
| KAFN | 41 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
| KAFN | 42 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
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Table 3.2: Flight Mission Summary (Con't)

| Area_ID | FL_NUM | Date_Flown | System_used | SN | AC | Lift_File_Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KAFN | 43 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 44 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112B-240 |
| KAFN | 45 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 46 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 47 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| KAFN | 48 | 12-Nov-11 | Optech | 240 | N9471R | 111112A-240 |
| LCI | 1 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 2 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 3 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 4 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 5 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 6 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 7 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 8 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 9 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 10 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 11 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 12 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 13 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 14 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 15 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 16 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 17 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 18 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 19 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 20 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 21 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 22 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 23 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 24 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 25 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119B-6156 |
| LCI | 26 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119B-6156 |
| LCI | 27 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119B-6156 |
| LCI | 28 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119B-6156 |
| LCI | 29 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119B-6156 |
| LCI | 30 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119B-6156 |
| LCI | 122 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 129 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 131 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119A-6156 |
| LCI | 136 | 19-Nov-11 | Leica | 6156 | N262AS | 111119B-6156 |
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## 4. Processing Summary

### 4.1 Processing Summary

Leica proprietary software was used in the post-processing of the airborne GPS and inertial data that is critical to the positioning and orientation of the sensor during all flights. Pairing the aircraft's raw trajectory data with the stationary GPS base station data, this software yields Leica’s IPAS TC ("Inertial Positioning \& Attitude Sensor - Tightly Coupled") smoothed best estimate of trajectory (an "SBET", in Leica's .sol file format) that is necessary for Leica's ALSPP post processing software to develop the resulting geo-referenced point cloud from the LiDAR missions. The point cloud is the mathematical three dimensional composite of all returns from all laser pulses as determined from the aerial mission. At this point this data is ready for analysis, classification, and filtering to generate a bare earth surface model in which the aboveground features are removed from the data set.

The point cloud was created using Leica's Post Processor software. GeoCue was used in the creation of some of the files needed in downstream processing, as well as in the tiling of the dataset into more manageable file sizes. The TerraScan and TerraModeler software packages are then used for the automated data classification, manual cleanup, and bare earth generation from this data. Project specific macros were used to classify the ground and to remove the side overlap between parallel flight lines. All data was manually reviewed and any remaining artifacts removed using functionality provided by TerraScan and TerraModeler. QT Modeler was used as a final check of the bare earth dataset. GeoCue was then used to create the deliverable industry-standard LAS files for both the All Point Cloud Data and the Bare Earth. In-house software was then used to perform final statistical analysis of the classes in the LAS files.

### 4.2 Flight Line Data Overview

The following information is an overview of the data parameters based on a per flight line analysis:

-Post Spacing (Minimum): 1.57 m<br>-Flying Height AGL; 1859 +/-m, 6,100+/- feet<br>-Recommended Ground Speed (GS); 160 kts<br>-Field of View (full): $35^{\circ}$<br>-Pulse Rate: 120,000 Hz<br>-Scan Rate: 52.7 Hz<br>-Side Lap (Average): 30\%

During the sensor's (aircraft's) trajectory processing (combining GPS \& IMU datasets) certain statistics and tables are generated within Leica's IPAS-TC software. The following information is included Appendix C of this document.

- Processing software's estimation of sensor position accuracy with satellite PDOP superimposed (Estimated_Position_Accuracy)
- Graphical Latitude/Longitude depiction of the aircraft's position (Flight_Trajectory and or Flight Map)
- Processing software's estimation of how well the trajectory compared to itself when processed forward vs. backward (Combined_Seperation)
- Chart with an indication of each individual satellite's lock from the aircraft's antenna during collection activities (L2_Satellite_Lock_Elevation)
- Observed PDOP during flight (PDOP_HDOP_VDOP)
- Number of Satellites observed (Number_of_Satellites)
- IPAS Sensor Error Estimate - Z Position (Residual Error Z)
- IPAS Sensor Error Estimate - Y Position (Residual Error Y)
- IPAS Sensor Error Estimate - X Position (Residual Error X)
- Float - Fixed Ambiguity - (Float_Fixed Ambiguity)
- Base Station Information (Base Station)
- Overall Processing Quality Factor (Quality Factor)


## 5. Accuracy Assessment

A number of points are provided ( 121 total) were surveyed as part of the project in order to provide a ground calibration and to help assure the accuracy of the data model. Initially any bias identified between the LiDAR surface and the provided control points are analyzed to average out the difference. The bias is then removed from LiDAR surface to provide a final ground surface. The two sets of data are compared again. The results provided in Table 4.1 indicate the data was well within the contract specification. Table 4.2 provides the complete comparison analysis.

Table 5.1: Accuracy Assessment Summary

| Statistical Analysis |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Average Dz | 0.007 |
| Minimum Dz | -0.220 |
| Maximum Dz | 0.264 |
| RMSE | 0.099 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.099 |


| Coordinate System |
| :--- |
| Horizontal Projection |
| NAD83 - UTM Zone 19N, Meters |
| Vertical Datum |
| NAVD88 - Geoid09, Meters |
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Table 5.2: Vertical Accuracy Statistics

| Point | Easting | Northing | Known Z | LIDAR Z | Dz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MER101 | 311097.261 | 4703192.509 | 57.428 | 57.437 | 0.01 |
| MER102 | 314456.372 | 4702697.961 | 54.242 | 54.163 | -0.08 |
| MER103 | 316250.804 | 4701665.972 | 64.319 | 64.252 | -0.07 |
| MER104 | 318692.409 | 4708638.069 | 52.023 | 51.902 | -0.12 |
| MER105 | 318735.668 | 4704777.247 | 56.563 | 56.476 | -0.09 |
| MER106 | 314695.790 | 4705012.174 | 40.318 | 40.215 | -0.10 |
| MER107 | 314904.994 | 4709309.470 | 38.966 | 38.869 | -0.10 |
| MER108 | 311434.321 | 4706495.409 | 39.163 | 39.163 | 0.00 |
| MER109 | 291510.287 | 4708637.537 | 75.615 | 75.637 | 0.02 |
| MER110 | 290398.500 | 4711406.853 | 96.102 | 96.139 | 0.04 |
| MER111 | 293627.504 | 4711247.606 | 72.508 | 72.447 | -0.06 |
| MER112 | 292653.429 | 4717413.631 | 77.768 | 77.731 | -0.04 |
| MER113 | 298025.414 | 4717419.120 | 63.870 | 63.843 | -0.03 |
| MER114 | 301806.788 | 4719610.326 | 49.557 | 49.502 | -0.06 |
| MER115 | 306397.104 | 4721250.935 | 39.600 | 39.577 | -0.02 |
| MER116 | 303238.961 | 4724849.443 | 33.075 | 33.078 | 0.003 |
| MER117 | 303642.328 | 4728825.047 | 57.263 | 57.193 | -0.07 |
| MER118 | 294915.641 | 4727042.760 | 55.072 | 55.071 | -0.001 |
| MER119 | 298197.181 | 4724432.232 | 71.692 | 71.697 | 0.005 |
| MER120 | 293918.442 | 4721005.447 | 63.213 | 63.179 | -0.034 |
| MER121 | 294799.844 | 4730326.315 | 76.638 | 76.597 | -0.041 |
| MER122 | 309429.509 | 4732250.261 | 41.341 | 41.261 | -0.08 |
| MER123 | 303770.353 | 4736164.084 | 85.960 | 85.912 | -0.05 |
| MER124 | 303571.735 | 4742777.384 | 87.551 | 87.507 | -0.04 |
| MER125 | 299193.277 | 4740564.981 | 37.548 | 37.501 | -0.05 |
| MER126 | 290902.643 | 4740036.530 | 62.333 | 62.324 | -0.01 |
| MER127 | 284738.370 | 4743679.356 | 101.919 | 101.895 | -0.02 |
| MER128 | 283712.517 | 4754329.505 | 223.495 | 223.504 | 0.01 |
| MER129 | 284804.106 | 4760899.607 | 169.158 | 169.120 | -0.038 |
| MER130 | 293414.739 | 4763422.512 | 125.267 | 125.225 | -0.042 |
| MER131 | 302147.643 | 4763650.327 | 102.180 | 102.172 | -0.008 |
| MER132 | 303576.657 | 4754745.040 | 89.482 | 89.450 | -0.032 |
| MER133 | 302802.269 | 4750478.946 | 78.268 | 78.257 | -0.011 |
| MER134 | 295595.857 | 4751836.253 | 61.901 | 61.841 | -0.06 |
| MER135 | 289177.065 | 4755494.679 | 84.936 | 84.889 | -0.047 |
| MER136 | 290044.182 | 4749754.648 | 80.594 | 80.569 | -0.025 |
| MER137 | 303823.197 | 4769990.661 | 170.344 | 170.518 | 0.174 |
| MER138 | 296092.972 | 4768894.750 | 144.311 | 144.388 | 0.077 |
| MER139 | 287439.419 | 4766904.887 | 97.105 | 97.202 | 0.097 |
| MER140 | 281141.854 | 4765749.271 | 155.278 | 155.200 | -0.078 |
| MER141 | 275261.440 | 4771278.542 | 204.508 | 204.718 | 0.21 |
| MER142 | 269480.463 | 4777160.320 | 262.573 | 262.655 | 0.082 |
| MER143 | 281625.473 | 4779577.167 | 161.123 | 161.128 | 0.005 |
| MER144 | 290645.142 | 4776444.960 | 216.846 | 216.842 | -0.004 |
| MER145 | 287761.914 | 4786502.014 | 130.571 | 130.598 | 0.027 |
| MER146 | 304108.907 | 4780136.723 | 95.056 | 94.971 | -0.085 |
| MER147 | 312254.118 | 4788374.690 | 135.511 | 135.770 | 0.259 |
| MER148 | 302952.143 | 4789201.488 | 245.354 | 245.618 | 0.264 |
| MER149 | 309496.295 | 4796701.549 | 165.239 | 165.382 | 0.143 |
| MER150 | 319181.656 | 4801759.161 | 216.952 | 216.982 | 0.03 |
| MER151 | 311195.858 | 4802840.761 | 241.995 | 242.027 | 0.032 |
| MER152 | 309305.715 | 4804459.639 | 260.544 | 260.592 | 0.048 |
| MER153 | 303123.778 | 4799005.016 | 191.325 | 191.373 | 0.048 |
| MER154 | 301294.223 | 4799754.947 | 219.463 | 219.543 | 0.08 |
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Table 5.2: Vertical Accuracy Statistics (Con't)

| Point | Easting | Northing | Known Z | LIDAR Z | Dz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MER155 | 296026.644 | 4804088.413 | 247.113 | 247.151 | 0.038 |
| MER156 | 295872.690 | 4806305.262 | 263.284 | 263.370 | 0.086 |
| MER157 | 286448.886 | 4809730.850 | 149.495 | 149.431 | -0.064 |
| MER158 | 280653.786 | 4811169.919 | 234.363 | 234.351 | -0.012 |
| MER159 | 289320.140 | 4802358.650 | 166.049 | 166.085 | 0.036 |
| MER160 | 296039.055 | 4796071.592 | 159.715 | 159.962 | 0.247 |
| MER161 | 269979.293 | 4771621.709 | 267.821 | 268.034 | 0.213 |
| MER162 | 268251.419 | 4769448.193 | 342.882 | 343.007 | 0.125 |
| MER163 | 275218.171 | 4764423.291 | 188.174 | 188.159 | -0.015 |
| MER164 | 277895.851 | 4756098.193 | 202.330 | 202.330 | 0 |
| MER165 | 279232.274 | 4746690.389 | 82.683 | 82.639 | -0.044 |
| MER166 | 269995.332 | 4753623.757 | 248.099 | 248.327 | 0.228 |
| MER167 | 270263.095 | 4739640.875 | 229.285 | 229.429 | 0.144 |
| MER168 | 264803.561 | 4731806.529 | 325.004 | 325.047 | 0.043 |
| MER169 | 264642.540 | 4738396.789 | 384.737 | 384.826 | 0.089 |
| MER170 | 266958.802 | 4746470.299 | 353.113 | 353.192 | 0.079 |
| MER171 | 269960.197 | 4758099.464 | 270.718 | 270.918 | 0.2 |
| MER172 | 302113.647 | 4808988.842 | 232.517 | 232.538 | 0.021 |
| MER173 | 308115.183 | 4807452.430 | 343.692 | 343.671 | -0.021 |
| MER174 | 308115.192 | 4807452.427 | 343.723 | 343.671 | -0.052 |
| MER175 | 320798.199 | 4807127.112 | 220.912 | 220.940 | 0.028 |
| MER176 | 312607.027 | 4809900.079 | 225.450 | 225.465 | 0.015 |
| MER177 | 312607.026 | 4809900.125 | 225.445 | 225.463 | 0.018 |
| MER178 | 312026.044 | 4816409.776 | 221.154 | 221.125 | -0.029 |
| MER179 | 307399.099 | 4815267.090 | 328.118 | 328.113 | -0.005 |
| MER180 | 322408.514 | 4797531.388 | 221.298 | 221.286 | -0.012 |
| MER181 | 276075.414 | 4750799.792 | 221.252 | 221.232 | -0.02 |
| MER182 | 296123.664 | 4760474.600 | 77.995 | 77.806 | -0.189 |
| MER183 | 296123.640 | 4760474.611 | 77.985 | 77.811 | -0.174 |
| MER184 | 280092.975 | 4745878.662 | 87.126 | 87.145 | 0.019 |
| MER185 | 280092.975 | 4745878.629 | 87.148 | 87.144 | -0.004 |
| MER186 | 315439.633 | 4704518.407 | 45.343 | 45.443 | 0.1 |
| MER187 | 294596.377 | 4783139.878 | 69.198 | 69.158 | -0.04 |
| Concord150M | 296426.663 | 4786296.328 | 101.620 | 101.692 | 0.072 |
| NGS_MY0447 | 296123.664 | 4760474.600 | 77.995 | 77.806 | -0.189 |
| NGS_MY0447 | 296123.640 | 4760474.611 | 77.985 | 77.811 | -0.174 |
| NGS_MY5423 | 280092.975 | 4745878.662 | 87.126 | 87.145 | 0.019 |
| NGS_MY5423 | 280092.975 | 4745878.629 | 87.148 | 87.144 | -0.004 |
| NGS_MY6363 | 315439.633 | 4704518.407 | 45.343 | 45.443 | 0.1 |
| NGS_OC0822 | 294596.377 | 4783139.878 | 69.198 | 69.158 | -0.04 |
| 500 | 296014.946 | 4791460.118 | 112.203 | 112.32 | 0.117 |
| 501 | 288566.442 | 4789640.405 | 163.757 | 163.85 | 0.093 |
| 502 | 293126.437 | 4789705.636 | 73.12 | 73.16 | 0.04 |
| 503 | 289914.296 | 4795624.537 | 79.843 | 79.76 | -0.083 |
| 504 | 289457.710 | 4796159.791 | 105.206 | 105.27 | 0.064 |
| 505 | 289263.305 | 4780498.964 | 147.148 | 146.96 | -0.188 |
| 506 | 300852.501 | 4790430.935 | 127.558 | 127.68 | 0.122 |
| 507 | 298406.325 | 4785931.098 | 81.255 | 81.17 | -0.085 |
| 508 | 298131.518 | 4781924.235 | 89.195 | 89.05 | -0.145 |
| 509 | 291716.112 | 4785816.109 | 104.857 | 104.74 | -0.117 |
| 510 | 288104.505 | 4791979.934 | 111.718 | 111.8 | 0.082 |
| 511 | 295917.887 | 4787637.827 | 104.221 | 104.06 | -0.161 |
| 512 | 292354.590 | 4794558.719 | 94.891 | 94.9 | 0.009 |
| 514 | 288731.957 | 4781400.404 | 106.02 | 105.8 | -0.22 |
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Table 5.2: Vertical Accuracy Statistics (Con't)

| Point | Easting | Northing | Known Z | LIDAR Z | Dz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 515 | 287824.793 | 4785301.753 | 131.418 | 131.31 | -0.108 |
| 516 | 285783.906 | 4789656.955 | 124.558 | 124.64 | 0.082 |
| 518 | 288702.582 | 4795629.696 | 105.136 | 104.98 | -0.156 |
| 519 | 290574.780 | 4793034.885 | 105.364 | 105.44 | 0.076 |
| 520 | 292043.993 | 4789681.866 | 101.561 | 101.63 | 0.069 |
| 521 | 293844.000 | 4786729.906 | 85.717 | 85.66 | -0.057 |
| 522 | 294904.860 | 4784224.69 | 71.299 | 71.18 | -0.119 |
| 523 | 297983.779 | 4786201.197 | 101.829 | 101.81 | -0.019 |
| 524 | 299209.220 | 4789937.88 | 106.734 | 106.9 | 0.166 |
| 525 | 297728.631 | 4794033.272 | 159.58 | 159.61 | 0.03 |
| 526 | 295537.293 | 4796259.855 | 149.565 | 149.68 | 0.115 |
| 527 | 293584.822 | 4796384.002 | 117.742 | 117.91 | 0.168 |
| 528 | 295139.024 | 4792224.315 | 103.561 | 103.7 | 0.139 |

## LIDAR MISSION RECORD SHEET - Leica

|  | - $\because$ | \% | sp |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | \% | SP\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | \% | S\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | \% | S $1 \times$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | \% | SM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | \% | s ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | \% | SP4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | \% | SM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | \% | SM |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Gamma$ | - | \% | SH1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $73 n d y a y 80 \times 4$ | - | \% | ${ }^{\text {SPM }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | \% | SP1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | \% | SP |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - EIm | \% bb~ | sth Stin | $N$ | . 8919 |  | 225s.07 | blshar b 11111 |
|  | - H1~ | \% bb \% | six OSIn | 5 | . 98 t9 |  | $62 s 50 y$ | c09 $\square_{1}-61111$ |
|  | - $-\mathrm{SI}_{\sim}$ | \% bb | sty S91~ | N | . 2859 |  | 1 Esson | セ2bt ${ }^{-611111}$ |
|  | - 9 | \% bb ~ | sid Stir | 3 | .8919 |  | 620 177 | ChEib1 61111 |
|  | - t~ | \% b6~ | Sty SE1~ | M | . 8919 | ${ }^{2} \frac{b_{0}}{} b^{2} / \overline{90}$ bl | 270177 | h190b1-611111 |
|  | - 9~ | \% bb | sı $081 \sim$ | M | .8919 | ${ }^{2} \frac{140}{10} /{ }^{\text {ES }} 81$ | 820177 | E1t581-611111 |
|  | - 5~ | \% bb ~ | sy $081 \sim$ | $\exists$ | .1t19 | ${ }^{2} \frac{1581 / 71781}{}$ | 120127 | 929h81-611111 |
|  | qEJ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | sumpay | peads | бииреан | (7swv) 7\% | awil doisfuers | $\xrightarrow{\#}$ | amen aut $746!14$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline \text { GPS Base Location(s) } & \text { LCI D (PACS) } \\ \hline \text { PDOP Avoidance } & \text { nowe 'til late } \\ \hline \text { Static or Flyover? } & \text { STATLL } & \rightarrow \text { if flyovers, times: }\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}111119 \text { A-6156 } \\ \text { SHEET 2.0.2 }\end{array}\right]$

$\begin{array}{r}\text { paeds punong } \\ \text { :apey osind } \\ \hline\end{array}$

 LIDAR MISSION RECORD SHEET -- Leica

|  | ． | \％ | sp |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | － | \％ | SM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SH |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | S14 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | \％ | SH |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SP1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SP1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | s， |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | －． | \％ | sp1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | Sp |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SH1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SH |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | S＋1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | －$\varepsilon l_{N}$ | \％bb～ | st Ot｜ | N | .09179 | $z_{27}+22 / \frac{92}{92}$ | 98 5s\％ | Hを9ててで611111 |
|  | －$t_{\sim}$ | \％bb～ |  | 3 | ．2519 |  | 0 O 177 | 820122－61111 |
|  | 9～ | \％bb～ | sin OEI～ | M | .8519 | ${ }^{7} \frac{50}{50} 72 / \overline{x_{5}}$ | 620177 | SECS12－61111 |
|  | －t～ | \％bb～ | $\operatorname{sph}$ Qt $\sim_{\sim}$ | 3 | .2519 |  | 820177 | OEtE12－b1111 |
|  | 9～ | \％bb～ | S4 SE1～ | M | 8519 | ${ }^{z} \bar{\tau} \frac{12}{} / \overline{\text { az }} 12$ | t20 177 | 220212－b11111 |
|  | －$t_{m}$ | \％bb～ | suctin | 3 | st19 | $z$ s－17／डब12 | 920127 | th－5012－61111 |
|  | $t_{\sim}$ | \％bb～ | spm Siln | M | ． 1819 | ${ }^{2} \frac{10}{12} / 65^{2} 2$ | S20177 | 85bhor－611111 |
|  | qedo | summey | prads | бирреән | （7swv）7\％＇ | owil doasfues |  | amen oul7 |


を29てパ－611109 \＃벱 SWOs
ards punous

| 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
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## Photo Science <br> Geospatial Solutions

## Station Occupation Report For Airborne GPS

Project:
Merrimack River lidar


Comments - measured e 3 prs. ervonod antemme to bottom of u th

- use for $1111194-6156$ \& $11111913-6156$
$T^{30} T^{\text {reas }}$

|  | － | \％ | $\mathrm{SH}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | － | \％ | SM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SH |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SH |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SP4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | ${ }^{514}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SP1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | S14 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | Spr |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | sp\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | ${ }^{\text {SP1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | \％ | SM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | P． | \％ | SPI |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | －tlo | \％blo | sha Oh／n | MH | 2419 | ${ }^{2}{ }_{22} S^{2} / \overbrace{22} S_{1}$ | 2706＋03 | ho2zs1－c2111 |
|  | －81～ | \％bb～ | sy $0+1 \sim$ | 35 | ． 2 h 19 | ${ }^{2} \frac{91}{} 51 / 3151$ | $2 \times 8000$ | b\＆S1S1－c21111 |
|  | ． 91 m | \％b6～ | $\sin \operatorname{Sh} 1 \sim$ | MN | .8519 | z $\pi 1 /$－$\frac{1}{} 51$ | $12 \times x+\infty$ | £ EO1s ${ }^{-}$c21111 |
|  | － $91 \sim$ | \％bb～ | spo912 | 35 | .8519 | $2 \overline{90} 5 / / \frac{50}{50} 5$ | $1707 \times 0$ | hesosi ${ }^{-021111}$ |
|  | － $6^{\prime}$ | \％bb～ | SPM Stin | $\exists \mathrm{N}$ | ． 2219 | $2 \frac{1051}{10} 51$ | $\Sigma$ \％${ }^{\text {com }}$ | Q50051－021111 |
|  | － $5_{\sim}$ | \％bb～ | StM Ohin | MS | ． 2219 |  | $\sum \times 7+\infty$ | $2 \operatorname{sinhmi}-021111$ |
|  | qex | sumay | prods | бииреөн | （7swv）7\％ | amil dorsfues | $\underset{\text { \＃}}{\text { \＃}}$ | amen aut 146 y ¢ |
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## Photo Science <br> Geospatial Solutions

## Station Occupation Report <br> For Airborne GPS

Project: Merruncek River lidia

Project Number: $7556-008$
Date: Nov. 21, 2011


Comments

- use for $111121 A-6156$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


# Рното Science <br> Geospatial Solutions 

## Station Occupation Report For Airborne GPS

Project:
MERRIMACN RIVER 2011 LIDAR

| Location: | Nashua NH ARPORT (KASH) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Completed by: | PNH |
| Receiver: | $5^{\circ}$ |
| Receiver Type: | Trumbue ra Gnss |
| Antenna Type: | zephyr Geoderuc 2 |
| Station ID: | NASHW CBL 800 (PACS) |
| Start -- H.I. (m): | 1.693 m |
| End -- H.I. (m): | 1.694 m |
| H.I. (ft): | 5.555 ft |
| Start Time: | 85 |
| End Time: | $\sim 2{ }^{33}$ |
| Time Zone: | EST |
| Operator: | PNH |

Project Number: 7556-008 Date: JAN 7, 2012

mersurede C 3 pts vorund entennz to bottom of notch
use for 120107 A-6156 $\leqslant 1201073$-6156 (VolD)


| LIDAR MISSION RECORD SHEET - Leica |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Project Name |  | MERRIMAOL RIVER 2011 |  |  |  | Pliot I. Scom |  |  | Date flown: JAN 7, 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | Project Number FCMS .tpd File |  | 7556-008 |  |  |  | Operator P. ItrasBAL |  |  | Takeoff Time (z): 18.46 | Locat 1 |  | Aipant kesit |
|  |  |  | Merevinuk zil peo III218, fod |  |  |  | Aircrat | $\frac{\text { P. HrabBAK }}{\text { N262AS }}$ |  | Landing Time (z): $19 \xrightarrow{06}$ | Local 206 |  | Alimon KASH |
|  | Profect's Scanning Requirements |  |  |  |  |  | Data Information |  |  | Ground | Alirport | Temp Alon |  |
|  | Field of View: | $35^{\circ}$ | Altude AGL ( t ): | $6000^{\prime}$ |  |  | LIDAR Unit | Leica A | ALS-60 sn6156 | Bogin Temp - $\quad$ - |  |  |  |
|  | Scan Rate: | 52.7 Hz | (IMulti Puise) | (Single Pulse) |  |  | HD |  | " | Begin Dowpoint - $i^{\circ}$ | ASH |  |  |
|  | Pulse Rate: | 120 kHz | Laser Output Cur | ent. $63 \%$ |  |  | IPASFile ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2012010 | .7-183650 | Begin Pressure . |  | $c$ |  |
|  | Ground Speed. | 160 kts |  |  |  |  | trom, to | ¢めめ | ) $\rightarrow$ ¢ $\dagger 3$ | End Temp - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FCMS File: | 2arzolo | 27-183434 | End Dewpoint - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | End Preassure |  |  |  |
|  | GPS Base Location(s) | NAStuA | Cal 900 (PACS) |  |  |  | 20 |  | $156]$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | PDOP Avoidance |  | are iti late |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Static or Flyover? | STA | me | $\rightarrow$ if flyovers, time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flight Line Name | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Flight Line } \\ \#\end{gathered}\right.$ | Star/Stop Time | Alt. (AMSL) | Heading | Speed | Returns | Crab |  | NOTES (weather, visibility, w | winds, ride, | etc.) |  |
|  | 120107. | ASH061 | -1-3 | $6047{ }^{\circ}$ | N | $\sim$ kts | \% |  | se2t/6km | 2re, twath, W winds | a las . |  |  |
|  | 120107. | ASH 060 | -1-8 |  | 5 | $\sim$ | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 120107. | ASIH 59 | -1-2 |  | N | kss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $120107-$ | ASHOS8 | -1-2 |  | 5 | $\sim$ kts | ~ \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 120107. | ASHDS 7 | $-1-2$ |  | N | kts | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 120107. | ASH0S6 | $-1-2$ |  | 5 | kts | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 120107. | Asho5s | -1-2 |  | N | kts | * |  |  | LOUDS BELOW T | THRO | GHant |  |
|  | 120107. | ASHOS 4 | $-1-2$ |  | 5 | kts | \% |  |  | PROJECT A | A25A! | ARGH! |  |
|  | 120107 | Asito 3 | - -2 |  | N | kts | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 120107. | 52 |  |  | 5 | kts | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 120107. | 51 |  |  | N | kts | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 50 |  |  | 5 | kts | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 49 |  |  | N | kss | $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 48 |  |  | 5 | kts | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 47 |  |  | H | ks | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 46 |  |  | N | ${ }_{4} 5_{5}$ | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 45 |  |  | 5 | ks | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | kts | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | kss | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Sheel I | of - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Station Occupation Report For Airborne GPS

Project:


Comments
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## PHOTO SCIENCE <br> Geospatial Solutions

Station Occupation Report For Airborne GPS

Project:

| Location: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Completed by: BEDFORD, MA ARPORT (KBED) | PNH | Project Number: 7556008 |

Receiver:
Receiver Type:
Antenna Type:
Station ID:
Start - H.I. (m):
End - H.I. (m):
H.I. (ft):

Start Time:
End Time:
Time Zone:
Operator:

$\qquad$


LIDAR MISSION RECORD SHEET - Leica


## Appendix F: Quality Assurance

## Elevation Data Quality Assurance Report Merrimack HUC-8 Watershed Fully Classified Dataset September 14, 2012



Submitted to:
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Department of Homeland Security
99 High Street, Sixth Floor
Boston, MA 02110
Prepared by:
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## 1. Executive Summary

Under FEMA task order HSFE01-11-J-0010 STARR has completed elevation data post processing for the Merrimack HUC-8 watershed. The goal of this project is to create a classified bare-earth digital terrain dataset with a vertical accuracy Root Mean Square Error of $<18.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ capable of supporting 2 foot contours.

## 2. Overview

STARR partner Greenhorne and O'Mara performed an independent quality assurance review on the raw Point Cloud and Classified Point Cloud data. This validates the quality of LiDAR data for use in Risk MAP projects that support the National Flood Insurance Program. This document summarizes the review process and results for the Merrimack HUC-8 watershed.

Table 1 LiDAR Project Requirements

| FEMA Region 1 Merrimack HUC-8 LiDAR Post Processing Requirements |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Collection/Processing Area | 1302 square miles |
| Breaklines Required | Yes |
| Specification Level | Highest |
| Nominal Pulse Spacing | 1 m |
| DEM Post Spacing | 1 m DEM with 2 ft. contour accuracy |
| Vertical Accuracy, 95\% Confidence Level FVA/CVA | $24.5 \mathrm{~cm} / 36.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Coordinate System | UTM Zone 19N |
| Horizontal Datum and Linear Units | NAD 83 Meters |
| Vertical Datum and Linear Units | NAVD 88 US Survey Foot |

Table 2 QA Activity and Guideline and Specifications Matrix

| QA Activity | PM 61 | USGS LiDAR <br> Base Spec v13 | ASPRS <br> LAS v1.2 | Appendix A | Appendix M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor <br> Submittal | X | X | X |  | X |
| Macro Review | X | X |  | X |  |
| Micro Review | X | X | X | X |  |
| Vertical <br> Accuracy | X | X |  | X | X |

## 3. LiDAR Data Review

Greenhorne \& O'Mara, Inc. utilizes commercial software and proprietary scripts/applications to review LiDAR data. These tools, combined with guidelines and specifications, are incorporated into a standardized quality assurance workflow. Table 3 summarizes software and proprietary scripts/applications used in the review.

| Software/Tools | QA Process |
| :--- | :--- |
| ESRI ArcGIS 10.1 ArcInfo | LiDAR Visualization and Data Processing |
| ESRI 3D Analyst Extension | Visual Analysis of LiDAR Data |
| ESRI Spatial Analyst Extension | Grid Analysis for LiDAR Data |
| LP360 ArcMap Extension | Visual Analysis of LiDAR Data |
| SIS Topo Analyst | Vertical Accuracy Quality Assurance |
| Proprietary Scripts/Applications | Working with LAS files |

### 3.1 Vendor Submittal

All project data has been delivered and is accounted for. The completed Vendor Submittal Quality Assurance checklist is included with the QA Forms delivered with this document.

### 3.2 Macro Data Review

The macro review is conducted on the fully classified point cloud dataset. The purpose of this review is to determine whether the dataset was produced in a manner consistent with requirements set forth in the FEMA procedural memorandum. The individual review components are discussed in the following sections.

### 3.2.1 LiDAR Coverage and Completeness

All LiDAR data processed for the Merrimack HUC-8 watershed Project covers the area of interest with a 100 m buffer and has an area of approximately 1302 square miles (See Figure 1). All LiDAR tiles are accounted for and the project datasets have the correct projection and datum information.

### 3.2.2 LAS Header Review

All LAS files submitted for review have header information that is compliant with ASPRS LAS specifications version 1.2 and 1.3.

The completed LAS Header Quality Assurance checklist is included with the QA Forms delivered with this document.

### 3.3 Micro Data Review

The following micro reviews were completed on $5 \%$ of the fully classified point cloud datasets. Tiles selected for review were chosen throughout the project area with a focus on areas of urban development and hydrographic significance (See Figure 2).

- Scan lines removed from bare earth
- Excessive Noise in bare earth
- Elevation Steps
- Gaps/Voids
- Edge matching between tiles
- Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.)
- Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns
- "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering
- Corn Row Effects
- Mounds and Divots

All tiles reviewed meet project requirements for classified LiDAR data and can be used for floodplain mapping activities. The completed Micro Data Review Quality Assurance checklist is included with the QA Forms delivered with this document.

## 4. Vertical Accuracy Verification

An independent review and verification of submitted CVA survey data with vendor provided LAS files was completed to insure reported vertical accuracy is correct. Survey data points containing field collected GPS elevation values were buffered by 10 meters. LiDAR points contained within the buffered areas are selected and used to create a TIN. The TIN facet z value closest to the x and y control point location is compared to the height of the survey point. The height difference is evaluated statistically and compared to the submitted CVA testing results to insure the vertical accuracy meets project expectations. All CVA survey data submitted for this project has been confirmed to meet project requirements. The report delivered with this document summarizes the results of this assessment.

## 5. Conclusions

Based upon the submittal verification, acquisition reports, macro/micro reviews and vertical accuracy confirmation, the Merrimack HUC-8 watershed dataset meets all applicable project specifications defined in FEMA task order HSFE01-11-J-0010 dated September 27, 2011. This data meets all project requirements for FEMA Risk MAP elevation acquisition and can be used for flood risk analysis.

## Approvals



Date: 9/14/2012
James L. Huffines, QA Team Lead

## 6. References

Links to guidelines and specifications used in production of the LiDAR datasets:

1. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Procedure Memorandum No. 61-Standards for Lidar and Other High Quality Digital Topography, http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=4345
2. U.S. Geological Survey National Geospatial Program, LiDAR Guidelines and Base Specification, Version 13-ILMF 2010, http://lidar.cr.usgs.gov/USGS-
NGP\%20Lidar\%20Guidelines\%20and\%20Base\%20Specification\%20v13\%28ILMF\%29.pdf
3. American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, LAS v1.2,
http://www.asprs.org/a/society/committees/standards/asprs_las_format_v12.pdf
4. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners, Appendix A: Guidance for Aerial Mapping and Surveying [includes guidance on Light Detection and Ranging Systems (LIDAR)]
http://www.fema.gov/library/file;jsessionid=1E39C93AF9CD18EE125B3DFCA5A874B8.Worke r2Library?type=publishedFile\&file=frm gsaa.pdf\&fileid=2daefcd0-df08-11e0-9bf5001cc4568fb6
5. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners, Appendix M: data Capture Standards
http://www.fema.gov/library/file:jsessionid=1E39C93AF9CD18EE125B3DFCA5A874B8.Worke r2Library?type=publishedFile\&file=frm_ gsam.pdf\&fileid=cf85c9b0-df0f-11e0-9bf5001cc4568fb6



| Vendor Submittal Checklist |  | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: Photo Science, Inc |  | Reviewed By: Diane Rogers/James L. Huffines |  |
| Section: Descriptive Project Information |  |  | Date: 16Jul12 |
| Item | Included (Y/N) | Comments |  |
| Metadata - Process Steps | Y |  |  |
| Flight Reports - Pre-flight | Y |  |  |
| Flight Reports - Post-flight | Y |  |  |
| Base Station Point Shapefile | Y | NGS Base Stations |  |
| Flight Lines As Flown Trajectories Polyline Shapefile | Y |  |  |
| Flight Lines Calibration Polyline Shapefile | Y | Included with flight lines |  |
| Flight Lines Planned Flight Lines Polyline Shapefile | Y |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Section: Survey Data |  |  |  |
| Item | Included (Y/N) | Comments |  |
| Ground Control - Accuracy Report | Y | From CompassData |  |
| Ground Control - Shapefile and Final Coordinates | Y | From CompassData |  |
| Ground Control - Final Report | Y | From CompassData |  |
| Vertical Accuracy - Shapefile and Final Coordinates | Y | From CompassData |  |
| Vertical Accuracy - FVA Accuracy Final Report | Y | From CompassData |  |
| Vertical Accuracy - FVA Accuracy Testing Results | Y | From CompassData |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Section: Raw Point Cloud LiDAR |  |  |  |
| Item | Included (Y/N) | Comments |  |
| Project Area Coverage (100m Buffer) Polygon Shapefile | Y |  |  |
| LiDAR Swath - LAS v1.2 or v1.3 < 2GB | NA | LAS data was delivered in tiled format |  |
| LiDAR Swath - Project Swath Index Polygon Shapefile | NA | LAS data was delivered in tiled format |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Section: Classified Point Cloud LiDAR |  |  |  |
| Item | Included (Y/N) | Comments |  |
| Project Area Coverage (100m Buffer) Polygon Shapefile | Y |  |  |
| LiDAR Tiles - LAS v1.2 or v1.3 | Y |  |  |
| LiDAR Tiles - Project Tile Index Polygon Shapefile | Y |  |  |


| Pre-flight Aerial Calibration Report Checklist |  | Project: Merrimack Watershed |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: Photo Science, Inc | Reviewed By: Diane Rogers |  |
|  |  |  |
| Section: Main | Included <br> (Y/N) | Comments |
| Item | Y |  |
| Planned flight lines (sufficient coverage, spacing, <br> length) Jul 12 |  |  |
| Planned flight line Shapefile | Y |  |
| Planned GPS stations | Y | In report |
| Planned Ground Control | Y | In report and Control Report |
| Calibration Plans | Y | Provided and in planned shapefiles |
| Vendor Quality Procedures | Y | In report |
| LiDAR sensor scan set - scan angle, sidelap, design <br> pulse | Y | In report |
| Aircraft utilizes ABGPS | Y | In report |
| Sensor supports project design pulse density | Y | In report |
| Type of aircraft - supports project design parameters | Y | Cessna 206 and Piper Navajo 206 with Optech Gemini and <br> Navajo with Leica ALS60 |
| Re-flight procedure - tracking, documenting, processing | Y | On Logs |
| Project design supports accuracy requirements of project | Y | In report |
| Project design accounts for land cover and terrain types | Y | In report |


| Post-flight Aerial Acquisition and Calibration Report Checklist |  | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: Photo Science, Inc. |  | Reviewed By: Diane Rogers |  |
| Section: Flight Logs |  |  | Date: 16 Jul 12 |
| Item | Included | Comments |  |
| Flight logs - Job \#/name | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Lift \# | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Block or AOI | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Date | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Aircraft type | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Aircraft tail \# | Y | Included with flight logs and report pg. 2 |  |
| Flight logs - Lines - \# | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Lines - direction | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Lines - start/stop | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight $\operatorname{logs}$ - Lines - altitude | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Lines - scan angle | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Lines - speed | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Conditions | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Comments | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Pilot name | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Operator name | Y | Included with flight logs |  |
| Flight logs - Automatic Gain Control switch setting | NA |  |  |
| Flight logs - Laser pulse rate | Y | Included with flight logs and report |  |
| Flight logs - Mirror rate | Y | Included with flight logs and report |  |
| Flight logs - Field of view | Y | Included with flight logs and report |  |
| Flight logs - Airport of operations | Y | Included with flight logs and report |  |
| Flight logs - GPS base stations names or numbers | Y | Included with flight logs and report |  |


| Section: GPS Base station |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Item | Included | Comments |  |
| GPS base station - names | Y | Included in report and shapefile |  |
| GPS base station - lat/longs | Y | Included in report and shapefile |  |
| GPS base station - heights | Y | Included in report and shapefile |  |
| GPS base station - map | Y | Included in report |  |
| GPS base station - Base height (Ellipsoidal meters) | Y | Included in report and shapefile |  |
| GPS base station - Max PDOP | Y | Included in report |  |
| GPS base station - Map of locations | Y | Included in report and shapefile |  |
| Section: GPS/IMU Quality | Y | Appendix C |  |
| GPS quality - Max Horizontal GPS Variance (cm) | Y | Appendix C |  |
| GPS quality - Max Vertical GPS Variance (cm) | Y | Appendix C |  |
| GPS quality - separation plot | Y | Appendix C |  |
| GPS quality - altitude plot | Y | Appendix C |  |
| GPS quality - PDOP plot | Y | In report |  |
| Plot of GPS distance from base station/s | Y | Appendix C |  |
| Notes on GPS quality (High, Good, etc.) |  |  |  |
| Section: Data Verification and Quality Control | Y | Included in report |  |
| Description of data verification and QC process | Y | Included in report |  |
| Results of verification and QC process steps |  |  |  |
| Section: Spatial Data | Y | By Others |  |
| Base Station Point Shapefile | Y | Provided |  |
| Ground Control Point Shapefile | Y | Appendix C |  |
| Project Area Coverage (100m Buffer) Polygon Shapefile | Y | Provided as part of the overall project shapefiles |  |
| Flight Lines As Flown Trajectories Polyline Shapefile | Y | Provided |  |
| Flight Lines Calibration Polyline Shapefile | Y | Provided |  |
| Flight Lines Planned Flight Lines Polyline Shapefile | NA | Provided |  |
| Project Swath Index Polygon Shapefile | Y |  |  |
| Project Tile Index Polygon Shapefile |  |  |  |



Required Public Block Item Definitions:
File Signature - The file signature must contain the four characters "LASF", and it is required by the LAS specification.
File Source ID (Flight Line Number if this file was derived from an original flight line) - This field should be set to a value between 1 and 65,535 , inclusive. A value of zero ( 0 ) is interpreted to mean that an ID has not been assigned. In this case, processing software is free to assign any valid number. Note that this scheme allows a LIDAR project to contain up to 65,535 unique sources. A source can be considered an original flight line or it can be the result of merge and/or extract operations. All of the sources are the results of processing and are not based on the flight line number.
Global Encoding - This is a bit field used to indicate certain global properties about the file. The meaning of GPS Time in the Point Records 0 (not set) -> GPS time in the point record fields is GPS Week Time (the same as previous versions of LAS) 1 (set) $->$ GPS Time is standard GPS Time (satellite GPS Time) minus $1 \times 109$. The offset moves the time back to near zero to improve floating point resolution.

Version MajorlMinor - The version number consists of a major and minor field. The major and minor fields combine to form the number that indicates the format number of the current specification itself.
System Identifier - files often result from extraction, merging or modifying existing data files. Values should include: String identifying hardware ("ALS50"), "MERGE", "MODIFICATION", "EXTRACTION", "TRANSFORMATION", "OTHER" or a string up to 32 characters identifying the operation.
Generating Software - provides a mechanism for specifying which generating software package and version was used during LAS file creation (e.g. "TerraScan V-10.8", "REALM V-4.2" and etc.).
Header Size - The size, in bytes, of the Public Header Block itself
Offset to point data - The actual number of bytes from the beginning of the file to the first field of the first point record data field. This data offset must be updated if any software adds data from the Public Header Block or adds/removes data to/from the Variable Length Records.
Number of Variable Length Records - This field contains the current number of Variable Length Records. This number must be updated if the number of Variable Length Records changes at any time.
Point Data Format ID - The point data format ID corresponds to the point data record format type. LAS 1.2 define types 0, 1, 2 and 3 .
Point Data Record Length - The size, in bytes, of the Point Data Record
Number of point records - The total number of point records within the file
Number of points by return - This field contains an array of the total point records per return. The first unsigned long value will be the total number of records from the first return, and the second contains the total number for return two, and so forth up to five returns.
$\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$, and $\mathbf{Z}$ scale factor - The scale factor fields contain a double floating point value that is used to scale the corresponding X , Y , and Z long values within the point records. The corresponding $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z scale factor must be multiplied by the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, or Z point record value to get the actual X , Y , or Z coordinate. For example, if the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z coordinates are intended to have two decimal point values, then each scale factor will contain the number 0.01 .
$\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$, and $\mathbf{Z}$ offset - The offset fields should be used to set the overall offset for the point records. In general these numbers will be zero, but for certain cases the resolution of the point data may not be large enough for a given projection system. However, it should always be assumed that these numbers are used. So to scale a given X from the point record, take the point record X multiplied by the X scale factor, and then add the X offset. (Xcoordinate $=(\mathrm{Xrecord} * \mathrm{Xscale})+$ Xoffset, Ycoordinate $=($ Yrecord $*$ Yscale $)+$ Yoffset, Zcoordinate $=($ Zrecord $*$ Zscale $)+$ Zoffset $)$
Max and Min X, Y, and Z - The max and min data fields are the actual unscaled extents of the LAS point file data, specified in the coordinate system of the LAS data.

| LAS Header Checklist |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Section: Variable Length Records | Included (Y/N) | Comments | Date: 20AUG2012 |
| Item | Y | VLR present in LAS header |  |
| GeoKeyDirectoryTag | Y | VLR present in LAS header |  |
| User ID 'LASF_Projection' | Y | VLR present in LAS header |  |
| Record ID: 34735 | Y | VLR present in LAS header |  |
| Length after Header | Y | VLR present in LAS header |  |
| 'GeoTiff Projection Keys' |  |  |  |

Required Variable Length Record Definitions:
Georeferencing Information - Georeferencing for the LAS format will use the same robust mechanism that was developed for the GeoTIFF standard. The variable length header records section will contain the same data that would be contained in the GeoTIFF key tags of a TIFF file. Since LAS is not a raster format and each point contains its own absolute location information, only 3 of the 6 GeoTIFF tags are necessary. The GeoKeyDirectoryTag (34735), GeoDoubleParamsTag (34736), and GeoASCIIParamsTag (34737) records are used. Only the GeoKeyDirectoryTag record is required. The GeoDoubleParamsTag and GeoASCIIParamsTag records may or may not be present, depending on the content of the GeoKeyDirectoryTag record.
GeoKeyDirectoryTag Record (mandatory) - User ID: LASF_Projection, Record ID: 34735. This record contains the key values that define the coordinate system.
GeoDoubleParamsTag Record (Optional) - User ID: LASF_Projection, Record ID: 34736. This record is simply an array of doubles that contain values referenced by tag sets in the GeoKeyDirectoryTag record.
GeoAsciiParamsTag Record (Optional) - User ID: LASF_Projection, Record ID: 34737. This record is simply an array of ASCII data. It contains many strings separated by null terminator characters which are referenced by position from data in the GeoKeyDirectoryTag record.

| LAS Header Checklist |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Section: Point Data Record | Included (Y/N) | Comments | Date: 20AUG2012 |
| Item | Y |  |  |
| Point record format 1,3,4, or 5 | Y |  |  |
| X, Y, Z | Y |  |  |
| Intensity | Y |  |  |
| Edge of Flight Line | Y |  |  |
| Scan Direction Flag | Y |  |  |
| Return Number | Y |  |  |
| Number of Returns (given pulse) | Y | $1,2,7,8,9,10,11,17$ and 18 |  |
| Classification | Y |  |  |
| Scan Angle Rank (-90 to +90$)$ | Y |  |  |
| Point Source ID | Y |  |  |
| GPS Time |  |  |  |

Required Point Data Record Definitions:
$\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$, and $\mathbf{Z}$ - The $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z values are stored as long integers. The $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z values are used in conjunction with the scale values and the offset values to determine the coordinate for each point as described in the Public Header Block section.
Intensity - The integer representation of the pulse return magnitude
Edge of Flight Line - The Edge of Flight Line data bit has a value of 1 only when the point is at the end of a scan. It is the last point on a given scan line before it changes direction.
Scan Direction Flag - denotes the direction at which the scanner mirror was traveling at the time of the output pulse. A bit value of 1 is a positive scan direction, and a bit value of 0 is a negative scan direction (where positive scan direction is a scan moving from the left side of the in-track direction to the right side and negative the opposite).
Return Number - The Return Number is the pulse return number for a given output pulse. A given output laser pulse can have many returns, and they must be marked in sequence of return. The first return will have a Return Number of one, the second a Return Number of two, and so on up to five returns.
Number of Returns (for this emitted pulse) - The Number of Returns is the total number of returns for a given pulse. For example, a laser data point may be return two (Return Number) within a total number of five returns.
Scan Angle Rank - The Scan Angle Rank is a signed one-byte number with a valid range from -90 to +90 . The Scan Angle Rank is the angle (rounded to the nearest integer in the absolute value sense) at which the laser point was output from the laser system including the roll of the aircraft. The scan angle is within 1 degree of accuracy from +90 to -90 degrees. The scan angle is an angle based on 0 degrees being nadir, and -90 degrees to the left side of the aircraft in the direction of flight.

Point Source ID - This value indicates the file from which this point originated. Valid values for this field are 1 to 65,535 inclusive with zero being used for a special case discussed below. The numerical value corresponds to the File Source ID from which this point originated. Zero is reserved as a convenience to system implementers. A Point Source ID of zero implies that this point originated in this file. This implies that processing software should set the Point Source ID equal to the File Source ID of the file containing this point at some time during processing.
GPS Time - The GPS Time is the double floating point time tag value at which the point was acquired. It is GPS Week Time if the Global Encoding low bit is clear and POSIX Time if the Global Encoding low bit is set (see Global Encoding in the Public Header Block description).

Classification - Standard set of ASPRS classifications

| Classification Value | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | Created, Never Classified |
| 1 | Unclassified |
| 2 | Ground |
| 3 | Low Vegetation |
| 4 | Medium Vegetation |
| 5 | High Vegetation |
| 6 | Building |
| 7 | Low Point (noise) |
| 8 | Model Key-point (mass point) |
| 9 | Water |
| 10 | Ignored Ground (breakline proximity) |
| 11 | Withheld if Withheld bit is not implemented in processing software |
| 12 | Overlap (Should not be included) |
| $13-31$ | Reserved for ASPRS Definition |


| ONSISTENCY CHECKS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FileName | 1749 | of 1749 |
| CreationDate | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Version | 1749 | of 1749 |
| FileSourceIDHeader | 0 of | 1749 |
| GeneratingSoftware | 1749 | of 1749 |
| SystemID | 1749 | of 1749 |
| ProjectID | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxHeaderX | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxHeaderY | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxHeaderZ | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinHeaderX | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinHeaderY | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinHeaderZ | 1749 | of 1749 |
| DataFormat | 1749 | of 1749 |
| NumVLR | 1749 | of 1749 |
| PointDataRecordLength | 1749 | of 1749 |
| FileSize | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinStatsX | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinStatsY | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinStatsZ | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxStatsX | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxStatsY | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxStatsZ | 1749 | of 1749 |
| OffsetX | 1749 | of 1749 |
| OffsetY | 1749 | of 1749 |
| OffsetZ | 1749 | of 1749 |
| ScaleX | 1749 | of 1749 |
| ScaleY | 1749 | of 1749 |
| ScaleZ | 1749 | of 1749 |
| HeaderTotal | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Header1stRet | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Header2ndRet | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Header3rdRet | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Header4thRet | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Header5thRet | 1749 | of 1749 |
| StatsTotal | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Stats1stRet | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Stats2ndRet | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Stats3rdRet | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Stats4thRet | 1749 | of 1749 |
| Stats5thRet | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinIntensityRange | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxIntensityRange | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinEdgeOfFlightLine | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxEdgeOfFlightLine | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinScanDirection | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxScanDirection | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinScanAngle | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxScanAngle | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinReturn | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxReturn | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MinPointSourceID | 1749 | of 1749 |
| MaxPointSourceID | 1749 | of 1749 |


| GpsStartTime | 1749 of 1749 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GpsEndTime | 1749 of 1749 |
| Projection | 1749 of 1749 |
| VertDatum | 1749 of 1749 |
| HorzDatum | 1749 of 1749 |
| EPSGCode | 1749 of 1749 |
| VertUnits | 1749 of 1749 |

III

## Project Information

Prepared By: James L. Huffines
Project Name: Memimack watershed
Sensor Info: NA
Required Nominal Pulse Spacing: 1
Vendor Name: PSI
Units: US Survey Feet
Percent of Extent Tolerance: Extents Not Checked
Date of Aquisition: Start: 8/20/2012 Finish: 8/20/2012

## Metadata Information

Tile Index:
Path: C:\ FEMA\Region_1\MA\Memimack_Watershed\TopoAnalyst\Memimack_LDAR_Index.shp
Number of Polys: 0
Intensity:
Tile Index Attribute: Not Specified
Path to Data: Not Specified
Number of Data Files Matc hing Attribute: Not Specified
DEM:
Tile Index Attribute: DEM
Path to Data: B:\} \backslash FEMA_REGION_1 \backslash \text { Memimack_Watershed_MA\} 2 m D E M Number of Data Files Matching Attribute: 1749 out of 1749

## LAS:

Tile Index Attribute: FileNa me
Path to Data: Z<br>MA\Memimack_Watershed \Memimack_Classified_LAS Number of Data Files Matching Attribute: 1749 out of 1749
spatial information solutions
one research boulevard, suite 105
starkville, mississippi 39759
http://www.spatialis.com

Tiled-Data Area


SIIspatial information solutions one research boulevard, suite 105
starkville, mississippi 39759
http://www.spatialis.com

LiDAR Accuracy Assessment Summary

| LC Type | \# of Points | FVA | SVA | CVA |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LAS |  |  |  |  |
| ALL | 76 |  |  | 0.899 |
| Grass | 20 |  | 0.893 |  |
| Open | 4 | 0.263 | 0.206 |  |
| Forest | 34 |  | 1.044 |  |
| Urban | 18 |  | 0.433 |  |
| Total | 76 |  |  |  |
| DEM |  |  |  |  |
| ALL | 76 |  |  |  |
| Grass | 20 |  |  |  |
| Open | 4 |  |  |  |
| Forest | 34 |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18 |  |  |  |
| Total | 76 |  |  |  |

Units: US Survey Feet

Coord inates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations

spatial information solutions one research boulevard, suite 105
starkville, mississippi 39759
http://www.spatialis.com
Coordinates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations (Continued)
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Coordinates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations (Continued)
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Coordinates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations (Continued)
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Coordinates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations (Continued)
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Coordinates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations (Continued)
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Coordinates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations (Continued)
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Coordinates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations (Continued)
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Coordinates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations (Continued)


Coordinates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations (Continued)


Coordinates and Offsets of Analyzed Locations (Continued)


## LAS

LandCoverType: Open
Fundamental Vertical Accuracy
Minimum DZ: -0.206
Maximum DZ: 0.085
Mean DZ: -0.077
Mean Magnitude DZ: 0.345
Number Observations: 4
Standard Deviation DZ; 0.127
RMSE Z 0.134
95\% Confidence LevelZZ 0.263
Units: US Survey Feet

## Histogram



Min: -0.206
Max: 0.085
Number Of Bins: 20
Bin Interval: 0.015

## LAS (Continued)

Supplemental Vertical Accuracy
La ndCover Type: Grass
Minimum DZ -0.279
Maximum DZ: 0.901
Mean DZ: 0.307
Mean Magnitude DZ: 0.58
Number Observations: 20
Sta ndard Deviation DZ: 0.284
RMSE Z: 0.41495th Percentile: 0.893Units: US Survey Feet

## Histogram



Min: -0.279
Max: 0.901
Number Of Bins: 20
Bin Interval: 0.059

## LAS (Continued)

## Supplemental Vertical Accuracy

LandCoverType: Open
Minimum DZ: -0.206
Maximum DZ 0.085
Mean DZ -0.077
Mean Magnitude DZ: 0.345
Number Observations: 4
Standard Deviation DZ: 0.127
RMSE Z 0.134
95th Percentile: 0.206
Units: US Survey Feet

## Histogram



Min: -0.206
Max: 0.085
Number Of Bins: 20
Bin Interval: 0.015

## LAS (Continued)

Supplemental Vertical Accuracy
La ndCover Type: Forest
Minimum DZ -0.188
Maximum DZ: 1.319
Mean DZ: 0.365
Mean Magnitude DZ: 0.622
Number Observations: 34
Sta ndard Deviation DZ: 0.326
RMSE Z: 0.486

## Histogram



Min: -0.188
Max: 1.319
Number Of Bins: 20
Bin Interval: 0.075

## LAS (Continued)

Supplemental Vertical Accuracy
LandCoverType: Urban
Minimum DZ: -0.399
Maximum DZ 0.433
Mean DZ: 0.083
Mean Magnitude DZ; 0.436
Number Observations: 18
Standard Deviation DZ 0.222RMSE Z 0.23195th Percentile: 0.433Units: US Survey Feet

## Histogram



Min: -0.399
Max: 0.433
Number Of Bins: 20
Bin Interval: 0.042

LAS (Continued)
La ndCover Type: ALL Consolidated Vertical Accuracy
Minimum DZ: -0.399
Ma ximum DZ: 1.319
Mean DZ: 0.26
Mean Magnitude DZ: 0.56
Number Observa tions: 76
Sta nda rd Devia tion DZ: 0.314
RMSE Z: 0.405
95th Percentile: 0.899
Units: US Survey Feet

## Histogram



Min: -0.399
Max: 1.319
Number Of Bins: 20
Bin Interval: 0.086

## FEMA Region I

 Merrimack Watershed LiDAR DatasetUnclassified LiDAR Micro Review

Quality Assurance Forms
7/20/2012



Fig. 1


Fig. 2 - Asphalt taxiway shown as gap in Point Cloud

| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: MCC |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03154707.las; 19_03154708.las; 19_03164707.las; 19_03164708.las; 19_03184707.las; 19_03184708.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: MCC |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03034722.las; 19_03034724.las; 19_03034725.las; 19_03044722.las; 19_03044724.las; 19_03064722.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |



Fig. 4


Fig. 5


Fig. 6


Fig. 7


Fig. 8

| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: MCC |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02964731.las; 19_02964732.las; 19_02974732.las; 19_02974734.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |



Fig. 9


Fig. 10


Fig. 11



Fig. 13

| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: MCC |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02724743.las; 19_02724744.las; 19_02734743.las; 19_0273474.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile |  | See Fig. 15 below - scanline does not affect bare earth |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |

Fig. 15 - Scanline - 19_02734744.las \& 19_02734743.las


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03214798.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | Pate: 7/20/12 |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |  |
| Returns | NA |  |  |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |  |  |



| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist Vendor: | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02854803.las, |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | F | Few points below ground over river |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | NA |  |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03154806.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | P | Nice slope down to lake |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |  |



| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03104816.las | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| Item | P/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | Pate: 7/20/12 |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | NA |  |


|  |  | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03024790.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | See bog area below |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | NA |  |  |




| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed <br> Vendor: |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02914794.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Item | P |  |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |  |



| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02904796.las | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02884797.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02904797.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P | Good collection over swamp area. See images below. |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |




| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03144806.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |  |



| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist Vendor: | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03064785.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03064786.las | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03084788.las | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist Vendor: |  | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03084786.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed <br> Vendor: |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03004803.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Item | P |  |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | NA |  |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |  |



Kasey Kahne \#1

| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02924788.las | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | NA |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02944788.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | NA |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02974785.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02864756.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | N/A |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |



| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02704764.las | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | NA |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02804774.las | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | NA |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vendor: |  |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02984778.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |  |
| Returns | NA |  |  |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |  |  |



| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02974761.las and 19_02984761.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |





| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Project: Merrimack Watershed <br> LAS Tiles: 19_02974780.las <br> Item |  | P/F/NA |
| Scan | Comments |  |  |
| Scand profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: |  | Rejeject: Merrimack Watershed |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02974782.las and 19_02964782.las | Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_03044760.las, 19_03044761.las and 19_03044762.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |  |



Edgematching good

| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02864766.las, 19_02884766.las and 19_02854766.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |



| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02974784.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | P | See image below. |  |



| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02964784.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids |  |  |  |
| Returns |  |  |  |
| Edge matching |  |  |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02924718.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02664734.las | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scan and profile | P |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Returns | P |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |


| Unclassified Point Cloud Data Checklist | Project: Merrimack Watershed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS Tiles: 19_02964748.las |  |  | Date: 7/20/12 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scan and profile | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Returns | P |  |  |
| Edge matching | P |  |  |

# FEMA Region I Merrimack Watershed LiDAR Dataset 

Classified LiDAR Micro Review

Quality Assurance Forms
7/20/2012

## FEMA Risk MAP Quality Assurance Comment Form

| Contract: HSFEHQ-09-D-0370 | Task Order: HSFE01-11-J-0010 |  | Case Number: 12-01-1080S | FEMA Region: I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: Merrimack Watershed $\quad$ Task: LAS Classified Point Cloud QA Review Submittal Contents: 1749 Classified LAS files |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Submitted By: PSI Submittal Date: | 6/12/2012 | Reviewed | Review Date: 8/10/12 | Verification Date: 9/5/2012 |
| Applicable FEMA Guidelines and Specifications:Volume1: FEMA PM 61, USGS-NGP LiDAR Guidelines and Base Specification v13(ILMF), and ASPRS LAS format v12 |  |  |  |  |


| $\#$ | Item | Reviewer Comment | Agree | Submitter Response | Verification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 19_02964713.las | Artifacts in the bare earth |  | Surface Model looks correct. Project Horizontal Datum is in Meters, while the Vertical <br> Datum is in US Feet. When cutting profiles, or looking at the surface, there is a scale in <br> the Vertical plane. This causes the surface to look incorrect in small mounds or divots <br> that are exaggerated. The surface has been reviewed for anomalies and been found to <br> meet the criteria for bare earth cleanup. | JLH |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03104702.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03124702.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Dirt piles |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03124704.las |  |  | Date: 07/20/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P | Piles of dirt |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03104704.las |  |  | Date: 07/20/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P | Dirt piles |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack <br> Vendor: |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| LAS File: 19_03154704.las, 19_03164704.las, 19_03184704.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03204704.las |  |  | Date: 07/27/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03204706.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03204707.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P | End of extent |  |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03164706.las and 19_03154706.las | P |  |  |
| Item | P | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P | USGS tiles |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | Culvert No visible bridge deck on ortho |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots |  |  |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03184708.las, 19_03164707.las, 19_03164708.las, a | 3184710. |  | Date: 07/31/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03154707.las |  |  | Date: 07/31/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P | Construction area, mounds of dirt |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03154708.las 19_03154710.las, 19_03144710.las, and 19_03124710.las |  |  | Date: 07/31/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02964713.las |  |  | Date: 07/31/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | NA |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | F |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | F |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03064720.las and 19_03084720.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03064722.las, 19_03044722.las, and 19_03034722.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03044724.las |  |  | Date: 08/01/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03064725.las, 19_03064726.las, 19_03044726.las, 19_02624726.las, and 19_03044725.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | Comments |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P | $\mathbf{1 9 \_ 0 3 0 4 4 7 2 5 . l a s ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n ~ s i t e ~}$ |
| Other anomalies | P |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03044728.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | F |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03044730.las, 19_03064730.las, and 19_03084730.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03094730.las, 19_03124730.las, and 19_03104730.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P | Quarry |
| Other anomalies |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03124731.las and 19_03144731.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles 08/01/2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | F | Divots |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03144732.las, 19_03154732.las, and 19_03154734.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Item | P |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03004731.las, 19_03004732.las and 19_03004734.las |  |  | Date: 08/01/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03004734.las and 19_03004736.las |  |  | Date: 08/01/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | F | Check buildings 19_03004736 |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P | Dirt piles |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_02984736.las, 19_02974736.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau <br> LAS File: 19_02974734.las <br> Item |  | P/F/NA |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | Comments |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_02974732.las and 19_02964732.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |
| Edge matching between tiles | F |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vendor: |  |  |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02964731.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | Mate: 08/01/2012 |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Construction debris and dirt piles |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_02974737.las, 19_02984737.las,and 19_03004737.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Dirt piles |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |




| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02984738.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Item | P |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | F | bridges |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03124736.las and 19_03144736.las |  |  | Date: 08/02/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03124737.las, 19_03104737.las, 19_03094737.las, 19_03084737.las and 19_03084738.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, 08/02/2012 <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau <br> LAS File: 19_03064738.las <br> Item |  | P/F/NA |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | Comments |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | F |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03064740.las, 19_03064742.las, 19_03044742.las, 19_03044743.las, 19_03044744.las, 19_03044746.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, 08/02/2012 <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03044749.las, 19_03044750.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03044752.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03044754.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | F |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03064754.las 19_03064755.las, 19_03064756.las, 19 19_03044761.las | 58.las, 1 | 03044758.las, 19_03044760.las, and | Date: 08/02/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03034764.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03044766.las, 19_03044768.las, 19_03064770.las and 19_03064772.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03084773.las, 19_03084774.las, 19_03084776.las, 19_03094776.las, and 19_03094778.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, 08/03/2012 <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_02844744.las, 19_02854744.las, 19_02844746.las, 19_02824746.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Matches other Merrimack tiles |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P | Dirt piles |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |





| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_02764746.las, 19_02744746.las, and 19_02784746.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |




| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_02844756.las, 19_02984756.las, 19_02974758.las, 19_02974758.and 19_02984760.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | NA |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack <br> Vendor: |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| LAS File: 19_02974760.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | F |  |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02984761.las |  |  | Date: 08/06/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles |  |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_02984762.las, 19_02974762.las, and 19_02964762.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02964762.las |  |  | Date: 08/06/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |






| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02974766.las |  |  | Date: 08/07/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | F |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02974767.las and 19_02984767.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Item | P |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_02784772.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | NA |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02704774.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Item | P |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | NA |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02864774.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | NA |  |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03124782.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P | See images matching USGS below |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |



Merrimack


USGS tile
combined

| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_02984778.las, 19_03004778.las, and 19_02984779.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P | Piles of dirt/coal |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |



piles of coal for power plant

| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack <br> Vendor: |  | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| LAS File: 19_02884784.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | NA |  |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02984790.las and 19_03004790.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Item | P |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03064791.las |  |  | Date: 08/07/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03124784.las, 19_03144785.las, 19_03154788.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | NA |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03154790.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | NA |  |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |
| LAS File: 19_03154791.las and 19_03164791.las | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Item | P |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | NA |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | P |  |



| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: Myra Hupfeld-Cousineau |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03184794.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Item | P |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | NA |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vendor: |  |  |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03084820.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |  |
| Item | P |  |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | NA |  |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles 07/30/2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |  |
| Other anomalies | NA |  |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: MCC |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03124812.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Item | P |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | NA |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | NA |  |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: MCC |  |
| LAS File: 19_02844812.las; 19_02854809.las; 19_02854810.las; 19_02854812.las |  |  |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | NA |  |



Profile view, bare earth


bare earth TIN 3D


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist |  |  | Project: Merrimack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: MCC |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_03154800.las; 19_03164800.las | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Item | P |  |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) |  |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | NA |  |  |



| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | P |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, <br> bridges, etc.) | P |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |
| Other anomalies | NA |  |


| Classified Point Cloud Data Visual Checklist | Project: Merrimack |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vendor: | Reviewed By: MCC |  |  |
| LAS File: 19_02984796.las |  |  | Date: 07/30/2012 |
| Item | P/F/NA | Comments |  |
| Scanlines removed from bare earth | P |  |  |
| Excessive Noise in bare earth | P |  |  |
| Elevation Steps | P |  |  |
| Gaps/Voids | P |  |  |
| Edge matching between tiles | NA |  |  |
| Artifacts have been removed from bare earth (vegetation, buildings, bridges, etc.) | P |  |  |
| Proper definition of roads and drainage patterns | P |  |  |
| "Over-smoothed" areas during filtering | P |  |  |
| Corn Row Effects | P |  |  |
| Mounds and Divots | P |  |  |
| Other anomalies | NA |  |  |



## Appendix G: Deliverables

Date:
Contract \#
September 14, 2012
HSFEHQ-090D-0370
Task Order \#
HSFEHQ -01-J-0010

## Subject:

## STARR Elevation Data (LiDAR)

## Transmittal:

To: Bill Davis
Michael Baker Corporation
FEMA Engineering Library
847 South Pickett Street
Alexandria, VA 22304

From: James Huffines
Greenhorne \& O'Mara, Inc 5565 Centerview Drive
Ste 107
Raleigh, NC 27606

Transmitted:For Your Use
For Your Approval/Signature
For Your Information

## The following:

| COPIES | DATE | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $9 / 14 / 2012$ | Portable Hard Drive Containing: <br> Region 1 - Merrimack HUC 8 Watershed LiDAR and Terrain data <br> See readme.txt included on hard drive for directory structure information. |
|  |  | Includes: QC Checkpoint (CVA) data, Tile Index shapefile, Collection Area shapefile, QC <br> Testing Results, QA Review, Compliance Certificates for Survey, Unclassified Point <br> Cloud, Classified Point Cloud (Bare Earth), Metadata, Narrative, DEMs and Contours |

## Remarks:

If you have any questions or require additional information please feel free to contact me at 919-532-2332.
Please sign this transmittal upon receipt and mail to address shown above or fax to 919-851-8393.


```
Folder PATH listing for volume Merrimack HUC8 LiDAR
Volume serial number is 00650076 040B:C0C3
E:\REGION1\MERRIMACK_RIVER_HUC8_TERRAIN_DATA
+---Correspondance
+---Final
    +---Bare Earth DEM
        Merrimack_DEM_Index.dbf
        Merrimack_DEM_Index.prj
        Merrimack_DEM_Index.s.bn
        Merrimack_DEM_Index.sbx
        Merrimack_DEM_Index.shp
        Merrimack_DEM_Index.shp.xml
        Merrimack_DEM_Index.shx
        |
        +---Merrimack_DEMs.gdb
        \---Raster_1m_DEM
            merrimack_19_02604734.img
            merrimack_19_02604734.rrd
            merrimack_19_02604736.img
            merrimack_19_02604736.rrd
            merrimack_19_02614728.img
    merrimack_19_02614728.rrd
    merrimack_19_02614730.img
    merrimack 19-02614730.rrd
    merrimack_19_02614731.img
    merrimack_19_02614731.rrd
    merrimack_19_02614732.img
    merrimack_19_02614732.rrd
    merrimack_19_02614734.img
    merrimack_19_02614734.rrd
    merrimack_19_02614736.img
    merrimack_19_02614736.rrd
    merrimack_19_02614737.img
    merrimack_19_02614737.rrd
    merrimack_19_02614738.img
    merrimack_19_02614738.rrd
    merrimack_19_02624725.img
    merrimack_19_02624725.rrd
    merrimack_19_02624726.img
    merrimack_19_02624726.rrd
    merrimack_19_02624728.img
    merrimack_19_02624728.rrd
    merrimack_19_02624730.img
    merrimack_19_02624730.rrd
    merrimack_19_02624731.img
    merrimack_19_02624731.rrd
    merrimack_19_02624732.img
    merrimack_19_02624732.rrd
    merrimack_19_02624734.img
    merrimack_19_02624734.rrd
    merrimack_19_02624736.img
    merrimack_19_02624736.rrd
    merrimack_19_02624737.img
```

merrimack_19_02624737.rrd merrimack_19_02624738.img merrimack_19_02624738.rrd merrimack_19_02624740.img merrimack_19_02624740.rrd merrimack_19_02624742.img merrimack_19_02624742.rrd merrimack_19_02624743.img merrimack_19_02624743.rrd merrimack_19_02624744.img merrimack_19_02624744.rrd merrimack_19_02624746.img merrimack_19_02624746.rrd merrimack_19_02624748.img merrimack_19_02624748.rrd merrimack_19_02644725.img merrimack_19_02644725.rrd merrimack_19_02644726.img merrimack_19_02644726.rrd merrimack_19_02644728.img merrimack_19_02644728.rrd merrimack_19_02644730.img merrimack_19_02644730.rrd merrimack_19_02644731.img merrimack_19_02644731.rrd merrimack_19_02644732.img merrimack_19_02644732.rrd merrimack_19_02644734.img merrimack_19_02644734.rrd merrimack_19_02644736.img merrimack_19_02644736.rrd merrimack_19_02644737.img merrimack_19_02644737.rrd merrimack_19_02644738.img merrimack_19-02644738.rrd merrimack_19_02644740.img merrimack_19_02644740.rrd
merrimack_19_02644742.img
merrimack_19_02644742.rrd
merrimack_19_02644743.img
merrimack_19_02644743.rrd
merrimack_19_02644744.img
merrimack_19_02644744.rrd
merrimack_19_02644746.img
merrimack_19_02644746.rrd
merrimack_19-02644748.img
merrimack_19_02644748.rrd
merrimack_19_02644749.img
merrimack_19_02644749.rrd
merrimack_19_02644750.img
merrimack_19_02644750.rrd
merrimack_19_02644752.img
merrimack_19_02644752.rrd
merrimack_19_02644754.img
merrimack_19_02644754.rrd merrimack_19_02644755.img merrimack_19_02644755.rrd merrimack ${ }^{-19-02644760 . i m g ~}$ merrimack_19_02644760.rrd merrimack_19_02644761.img merrimack_19_02644761.rrd merrimack_19_02644762.img merrimack_19_02644762.rrd merrimack_19_02644764.img merrimack_19_02644764.rrd merrimack_19_02644766.img merrimack_19_02644766.rrd merrimack_19_02644767.img merrimack_19_02644767.rrd merrimack_19_02644772.img merrimack_19_02644772.rrd merrimack_19_02664726.img merrimack_19_02664726.rrd merrimack_19_02664728.img merrimack_19_02664728.rrd merrimack_19_02664730.img merrimack_19_02664730.rrd merrimack_19_02664731.img merrimack_19_02664731.rrd merrimack_19_02664732.img merrimack_19_02664732.rrd merrimack_19_02664734.img merrimack_19_02664734.rrd merrimack_19_02664736.img merrimack_19_02664736.rrd merrimack_19_02664737.img merrimack_19_02664737.rrd merrimack_19_02664738.img merrimack_19-02664738.rrd merrimack_19_02664740.img merrimack_19_02664740.rrd merrimack_19_02664742.img merrimack_19_02664742.rrd merrimack_19_02664743.img merrimack_19_02664743.rrd merrimack_19_02664744.img merrimack_19_02664744.rrd merrimack_19_02664746.img merrimack_19_02664746.rrd merrimack_19-02664748.img merrimack_19_02664748.rrd merrimack_19_02664749.img merrimack_19_02664749.rrd merrimack_19_02664750.img merrimack_19_02664750.rrd merrimack_19_02664752.img merrimack_19_02664752.rrd merrimack_19_02664754.img
merrimack_19_02664754.rrd merrimack_19_02664755.img merrimack_19-02664755.rrd merrimack ${ }^{-19-02664756 . i m g ~}$ merrimack_19_02664756.rrd merrimack_19_02664758.img merrimack_19_02664758.rrd merrimack_19_02664760.img merrimack_19_02664760.rrd merrimack_19_02664761.img merrimack_19_02664761.rrd merrimack_19_02664762.img merrimack_19_02664762.rrd merrimack_19_02664764.img merrimack_19_02664764.rrd merrimack_19_02664766.img merrimack_19_02664766.rrd merrimack_19_02664767.img merrimack_19_02664767.rrd merrimack_19_02664768.img merrimack_19_02664768.rrd merrimack_19_02664770.img merrimack_19_02664770.rrd merrimack_19_02664772.img merrimack-19-02664772.rrd merrimack_19_02664773.img merrimack_19_02664773.rrd merrimack_19_02664774.img merrimack_19_02664774.rrd merrimack_19_02664776.img merrimack_19_02664776.rrd merrimack_19_02674730.img merrimack_19_02674730.rrd merrimack_19_02674731.img merrimack_19_02674731.rrd merrimack_19_02674732.img merrimack_19_02674732.rrd
merrimack_19_02674734.img
merrimack_19_02674734.rrd
merrimack_19_02674736.img
merrimack_19_02674736.rrd
merrimack_19_02674737.img
merrimack_19_02674737.rrd
merrimack_19_02674738.img
merrimack_19_02674738.rrd
merrimack_19-02674740.img
merrimack_19_02674740.rrd
merrimack_19_02674742.img
merrimack_19_02674742.rrd
merrimack_19_02674743.img
merrimack_19_02674743.rrd
merrimack_19_02674744.img
merrimack_19_02674744.rrd
merrimack_19_02674746.img
merrimack_19_02674746.rrd merrimack_19_02674748.img merrimack_19-02674748.rrd merrimack_19_02674749.img merrimack_19_02674749.rrd merrimack_19_02674750.img merrimack_19_02674750.rrd merrimack_19_02674752.img merrimack_19_02674752.rrd merrimack_19_02674754.img merrimack_19_02674754.rrd merrimack_19_02674755.img merrimack_19_02674755.rrd merrimack_19_02674756.img merrimack_19_02674756.rrd merrimack_19_02674758.img merrimack_19_02674758.rrd merrimack_19_02674760.img merrimack_19_02674760.rrd merrimack_19_02674761.img merrimack_19_02674761.rrd merrimack_19_02674762.img merrimack_19_02674762.rrd merrimack_19_02674764.img merrimack_19_02674764.rrd merrimack_19_02674766.img merrimack_19_02674766.rrd merrimack_19_02674767.img merrimack_19_02674767.rrd merrimack_19_02674768.img merrimack_19_02674768.rrd merrimack_19_02674770.img merrimack_19_02674770.rrd merrimack_19_02674772.img merrimack_19_02674772.rrd merrimack_19_02674773.img merrimack_19_02674773.rrd
merrimack_19_02674774.img
merrimack_19_02674774.rrd
merrimack_19_02674776.img
merrimack_19_02674776.rrd
merrimack_19_02674778.img
merrimack_19_02674778.rrd
merrimack_19_02674779.img
merrimack_19_02674779.rrd
merrimack_19-02684732.img
merrimack_19_02684732.rrd
merrimack_19_02684734.img
merrimack_19_02684734.rrd
merrimack_19_02684736.img
merrimack_19_02684736.rrd
merrimack_19_02684737.img
merrimack_19_02684737.rrd
merrimack_19_02684738.img
merrimack_19_02684738.rrd merrimack_19_02684740.img merrimack-19-02684740.rrd merrimack-19-02684742.img merrimack_19-02684742.rrd merrimack_19_02684743.img merrimack_19_02684743.rrd merrimack_19_02684744.img merrimack_19_02684744.rrd merrimack_19_02684746.img merrimack_19_02684746.rrd merrimack_19_02684748.img merrimack_19_02684748.rrd merrimack_19_02684749.img merrimack_19_02684749.rrd merrimack_19_02684750.img merrimack_19_02684750.rrd merrimack_19_02684752.img merrimack_19_02684752.rrd merrimack_19_02684754.img merrimack_19_02684754.rrd merrimack_19_02684755.img merrimack_19_02684755.rrd merrimack_19_02684756.img merrimack_19-02684756.rrd merrimack_19_02684758.img merrimack_19_02684758.rrd merrimack_19_02684760.img merrimack_19_02684760.rrd merrimack_19_02684761.img merrimack_19_02684761.rrd merrimack_19_02684762.img merrimack_19_02684762.rrd merrimack_19_02684764.img merrimack_19-02684764.rrd merrimack_19_02684766.img merrimack_19_02684766.rrd
merrimack_19_02684767.img
merrimack_19_02684767.rrd
merrimack_19_02684768.img
merrimack_19_02684768.rrd
merrimack_19_02684770.img
merrimack_19_02684770.rrd
merrimack_19_02684772.img
merrimack_19_02684772.rrd
merrimack_19-02684773.img
merrimack_19_02684773.rrd
merrimack_19_02684774.img
merrimack_19_02684774.rrd
merrimack_19_02684776.img
merrimack_19_02684776.rrd
merrimack_19_02684778.img
merrimack_19_02684778.rrd
merrimack_19_02684779.img
merrimack_19_02684779.rrd merrimack_19_02684780.img merrimack_19-02684780.rrd merrimack_19_02704737.img merrimack_19_02704737.rrd merrimack_19_02704738.img merrimack_19_02704738.rrd merrimack_19_02704740.img merrimack_19_02704740.rrd merrimack_19_02704742.img merrimack_19_02704742.rrd merrimack_19_02704743.img merrimack_19_02704743.rrd merrimack_19_02704744.img merrimack_19_02704744.rrd merrimack_19_02704746.img merrimack_19_02704746.rrd merrimack_19_02704748.img merrimack_19_02704748.rrd merrimack_19_02704749.img merrimack_19_02704749.rrd merrimack_19_02704750.img merrimack_19_02704750.rrd merrimack_19_02704752.img merrimack_19-02704752.rrd merrimack_19_02704754.img merrimack_19_02704754.rrd merrimack_19_02704755.img merrimack_19_02704755.rrd merrimack_19_02704756.img merrimack_19_02704756.rrd merrimack_19_02704758.img merrimack_19_02704758.rrd merrimack_19_02704760.img merrimack_19_02704760.rrd merrimack_19_02704761.img merrimack_19_02704761.rrd merrimack_19_02704762.img merrimack_19_02704762.rrd merrimack_19_02704764.img merrimack_19_02704764.rrd merrimack_19_02704766.img merrimack_19_02704766.rrd merrimack_19_02704767.img merrimack_19_02704767.rrd merrimack_19-02704768.img merrimack_19_02704768.rrd merrimack_19_02704770.img merrimack_19_02704770.rrd merrimack_19_02704772.img merrimack_19_02704772.rrd merrimack_19_02704773.img merrimack_19_02704773.rrd merrimack_19_02704774.img
merrimack_19_02704774.rrd merrimack_19_02704776.img merrimack_19-02704776.rrd merrimack_19_02704778.img merrimack_19_02704778.rrd merrimack_19_02704779.img merrimack_19_02704779.rrd merrimack_19_02704780.img merrimack_19_02704780.rrd merrimack_19_02724737.img merrimack_19_02724737.rrd merrimack_19_02724738.img merrimack_19_02724738.rrd merrimack_19_02724740.img merrimack_19_02724740.rrd merrimack_19_02724742.img merrimack_19_02724742.rrd merrimack_19_02724743.img merrimack_19_02724743.rrd merrimack_19_02724744.img merrimack_19_02724744.rrd merrimack_19_02724746.img merrimack_19_02724746.rrd merrimack_19_02724748.img merrimack-19-02724748.rrd merrimack_19_02724749.img merrimack_19_02724749.rrd merrimack_19_02724750.img merrimack_19_02724750.rrd merrimack_19_02724752.img merrimack_19_02724752.rrd merrimack_19_02724754.img merrimack_19_02724754.rrd merrimack_19_02724755.img merrimack_19_02724755.rrd merrimack_19_02724756.img merrimack_19_02724756.rrd
merrimack_19_02724758.img
merrimack_19_02724758.rrd
merrimack_19_02724760.img
merrimack_19_02724760.rrd
merrimack_19_02724761.img
merrimack_19_02724761.rrd
merrimack_19_02724762.img
merrimack_19_02724762.rrd
merrimack_19-02724764.img
merrimack_19_02724764.rrd
merrimack_19_02724766.img
merrimack_19_02724766.rrd
merrimack_19_02724767.img
merrimack_19_02724767.rrd
merrimack_19_02724768.img
merrimack_19_02724768.rrd
merrimack_19_02724770.img
merrimack_19_02724770.rrd merrimack_19_02724772.img merrimack_19-02724772.rrd merrimack_19_02724773.img merrimack_19_02724773.rrd merrimack_19_02724774.img merrimack_19_02724774.rrd merrimack_19_02724776.img merrimack_19_02724776.rrd merrimack_19_02724778.img merrimack_19_02724778.rrd merrimack_19_02724779.img merrimack_19_02724779.rrd merrimack_19_02724780.img merrimack_19_02724780.rrd merrimack_19_02734740.img merrimack_19_02734740.rrd merrimack_19_02734742.img merrimack_19_02734742.rrd merrimack_19_02734743.img merrimack_19_02734743.rrd merrimack_19_02734744.img merrimack_19_02734744.rrd merrimack_19_02734746.img merrimack-19-02734746.rrd merrimack_19_02734748.img merrimack_19_02734748.rrd merrimack_19_02734749.img merrimack_19_02734749.rrd merrimack_19_02734750.img merrimack_19_02734750.rrd merrimack_19_02734752.img merrimack_19_02734752.rrd merrimack_19_02734754.img merrimack_19_02734754.rrd merrimack_19_02734755.img merrimack_19_02734755.rrd merrimack_19_02734756.img merrimack_19_02734756.rrd merrimack_19_02734758.img merrimack_19_02734758.rrd merrimack_19_02734760.img merrimack_19_02734760.rrd merrimack_19_02734761.img merrimack_19_02734761.rrd merrimack_19-02734762.img merrimack_19_02734762.rrd merrimack_19_02734764.img merrimack_19_02734764.rrd merrimack_19_02734766.img merrimack_19_02734766.rrd merrimack_19_02734767.img merrimack_19_02734767.rrd merrimack_19_02734768.img
merrimack_19_02734768.rrd merrimack_19_02734770.img merrimack-19-02734770.rrd merrimack_19_02734772.img merrimack_19_02734772.rrd merrimack_19_02734773.img merrimack_19_02734773.rrd merrimack_19_02734774.img merrimack_19_02734774.rrd merrimack_19_02734776.img merrimack_19_02734776.rrd merrimack_19_02734778.img merrimack_19_02734778.rrd merrimack_19_02734779.img merrimack_19_02734779.rrd merrimack_19_02734780.img merrimack_19_02734780.rrd merrimack_19_02744742.img merrimack_19_02744742.rrd merrimack_19_02744743.img merrimack_19_02744743.rrd merrimack_19_02744744.img merrimack_19_02744744.rrd merrimack_19_02744746.img merrimack-19-02744746.rrd merrimack_19_02744748.img merrimack_19_02744748.rrd merrimack_19_02744749.img merrimack_19_02744749.rrd merrimack_19_02744750.img merrimack_19_02744750.rrd merrimack_19_02744752.img merrimack_19_02744752.rrd merrimack_19_02744754.img merrimack_19_02744754.rrd merrimack_19_02744755.img merrimack_19_02744755.rrd
merrimack_19_02744756.img
merrimack_19_02744756.rrd
merrimack_19_02744758.img
merrimack_19_02744758.rrd
merrimack_19_02744760.img
merrimack_19_02744760.rrd
merrimack_19_02744761.img
merrimack_19_02744761.rrd
merrimack_19-02744762.img
merrimack_19_02744762.rrd
merrimack_19_02744764.img
merrimack_19_02744764.rrd
merrimack_19_02744766.img
merrimack_19_02744766.rrd
merrimack_19_02744767.img
merrimack_19_02744767.rrd
merrimack_19_02744768.img
merrimack_19_02744768.rrd merrimack_19_02744770.img merrimack-19-02744770.rrd merrimack ${ }^{-19-02744772 . i m g ~}$ merrimack_19_02744772.rrd merrimack_19_02744773.img merrimack_19_02744773.rrd merrimack_19_02744774.img merrimack_19_02744774.rrd merrimack_19_02744776.img merrimack_19_02744776.rrd merrimack_19_02744778.img merrimack_19_02744778.rrd merrimack_19_02744779.img merrimack_19_02744779.rrd merrimack_19_02764742.img merrimack_19_02764742.rrd merrimack_19_02764743.img merrimack_19_02764743.rrd merrimack_19_02764744.img merrimack_19_02764744.rrd merrimack_19_02764746.img merrimack_19_02764746.rrd merrimack_19_02764748.img merrimack-19-02764748.rrd merrimack_19_02764749.img merrimack_19_02764749.rrd merrimack_19_02764750.img merrimack_19_02764750.rrd merrimack_19_02764752.img merrimack_19_02764752.rrd merrimack_19_02764754.img merrimack_19_02764754.rrd merrimack_19_02764755.img merrimack_19_02764755.rrd merrimack_19_02764756.img merrimack_19_02764756.rrd
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merrimack_19_03104806.img
merrimack_19_03104806.rrd
merrimack_19_03104808.img
merrimack_19_03104808.rrd
merrimack_19_03104809.img
merrimack_19_03104809.rrd merrimack_19_03104810.img merrimack-19-03104810.rrd merrimack ${ }^{-19-03104812 . i m g ~}$ merrimack_19_03104812.rrd merrimack_19_03104814.img merrimack_19_03104814.rrd merrimack_19_03104815.img merrimack_19_03104815.rrd merrimack_19_03104816.img merrimack_19_03104816.rrd merrimack_19_03104818.img merrimack_19_03104818.rrd merrimack_19_03104820.img merrimack_19_03104820.rrd merrimack_19_03124701.img merrimack_19_03124701.rrd merrimack_19_03124702.img merrimack_19_03124702.rrd merrimack_19_03124704.img merrimack_19_03124704.rrd merrimack_19_03124706.img merrimack_19_03124706.rrd merrimack_19_03124707.img merrimack-19-03124707.rrd merrimack_19_03124708.img merrimack_19_03124708.rrd merrimack_19_03124710.img merrimack_19_03124710.rrd merrimack_19_03124730.img merrimack_19_03124730.rrd merrimack_19_03124731.img merrimack_19_03124731.rrd merrimack_19_03124732.img merrimack_19_03124732.rrd merrimack_19_03124734.img merrimack_19_03124734.rrd
merrimack_19_03124736.img
merrimack_19_03124736.rrd
merrimack_19_03124737.img
merrimack_19_03124737.rrd
merrimack_19_03124780.img
merrimack_19_03124780.rrd
merrimack_19_03124782.img
merrimack_19_03124782.rrd
merrimack_19-03124784.img
merrimack_19_03124784.rrd
merrimack_19_03124785.img
merrimack_19_03124785.rrd
merrimack_19_03124786.img
merrimack_19_03124786.rrd
merrimack_19_03124788.img
merrimack_19_03124788.rrd
merrimack_19_03124790.img
merrimack_19_03124790.rrd merrimack_19_03124791.img merrimack-19-03124791.rrd merrimack ${ }^{-19-03124792 . i m g ~}$ merrimack_19_03124792.rrd merrimack_19_03124794.img merrimack_19_03124794.rrd merrimack_19_03124796.img merrimack_19_03124796.rrd merrimack_19_03124797.img merrimack_19_03124797.rrd merrimack_19_03124798.img merrimack_19_03124798.rrd
merrimack_19_03124800.img
merrimack_19_03124800.rrd
merrimack_19_03124802.img
merrimack_19_03124802.rrd
merrimack_19_03124803.img
merrimack_19_03124803.rrd
merrimack_19_03124804.img
merrimack_19_03124804.rrd
merrimack_19_03124806.img
merrimack_19_03124806.rrd
merrimack_19_03124808.img
merrimack-19-03124808.rrd
merrimack_19_03124809.img
merrimack_19_03124809.rrd
merrimack_19_03124810.img
merrimack_19_03124810.rrd
merrimack_19_03124812.img
merrimack_19_03124812.rrd
merrimack_19_03124814.img
merrimack_19_03124814.rrd
merrimack_19_03124815.img
merrimack ${ }^{-19-03124815 . r r d}$
merrimack_19_03124816.img
merrimack_19_03124816.rrd
merrimack_19_03124818.img
merrimack_19_03124818.rrd
merrimack_19_03124820.img
merrimack_19_03124820.rrd
merrimack_19_03144700.img
merrimack_19_03144700.rrd
merrimack_19_03144701.img
merrimack_19_03144701.rrd
merrimack_19-03144702.img
merrimack_19_03144702.rrd
merrimack_19_03144704.img
merrimack_19_03144704.rrd
merrimack_19_03144706.img
merrimack_19_03144706.rrd
merrimack_19_03144707.img
merrimack_19_03144707.rrd
merrimack_19_03144708.img
merrimack_19_03144708.rrd merrimack_19_03144710.img merrimack-19-03144710.rrd merrimack-19-03144731.img merrimack_19-03144731.rrd merrimack_19_03144732.img merrimack_19_03144732.rrd merrimack_19_03144734.img merrimack_19_03144734.rrd merrimack_19_03144736.img merrimack_19_03144736.rrd merrimack_19_03144784.img merrimack_19_03144784.rrd merrimack_19_03144785.img merrimack_19-03144785.rrd merrimack_19_03144786.img merrimack_19_03144786.rrd merrimack_19_03144788.img merrimack_19_03144788.rrd merrimack_19_03144790.img merrimack_19_03144790.rrd merrimack_19_03144791.img merrimack_19_03144791.rrd merrimack_19_03144792.img merrimack_19-03144792.rrd merrimack_19_03144794.img merrimack_19_03144794.rrd merrimack_19_03144796.img merrimack_19_03144796.rrd merrimack_19_03144797.img merrimack_19_03144797.rrd merrimack_19_03144798.img merrimack_19_03144798.rrd merrimack_19-03144800.img merrimack_19-03144800.rrd merrimack_19_03144802.img merrimack_19_03144802.rrd merrimack_19_03144803.img merrimack_19_03144803.rrd merrimack_19_03144804.img merrimack_19_03144804.rrd merrimack_19_03144806.img merrimack_19_03144806.rrd merrimack_19_03144808.img merrimack_19_03144808.rrd merrimack_19-03144809.img merrimack_19_03144809.rrd merrimack_19_03144810.img merrimack_19_03144810.rrd merrimack_19_03144812.img merrimack_19_03144812.rrd merrimack_19_03144814.img merrimack_19_03144814.rrd merrimack_19_03144815.img
merrimack_19_03144815.rrd merrimack_19_03144816.img merrimack_19_03144816.rrd merrimack ${ }^{-19-03144818 . i m g ~}$ merrimack_19_03144818.rrd merrimack_19_03144820.img merrimack_19_03144820.rrd merrimack_19_03154700.img merrimack_19_03154700.rrd merrimack_19_03154701.img merrimack_19_03154701.rrd merrimack_19_03154702.img merrimack_19_03154702.rrd merrimack_19_03154704.img merrimack_19_03154704.rrd merrimack_19_03154706.img merrimack_19_03154706.rrd merrimack_19_03154707.img merrimack_19_03154707.rrd merrimack_19_03154708.img merrimack_19_03154708.rrd merrimack_19_03154710.img merrimack_19_03154710.rrd merrimack_19_03154732.img merrimack-19-03154732.rrd merrimack_19_03154734.img merrimack_19_03154734.rrd merrimack_19_03154736.img merrimack_19_03154736.rrd merrimack_19_03154786.img merrimack_19_03154786.rrd merrimack_19_03154788.img merrimack_19_03154788.rrd merrimack_19_03154790.img merrimack_19_03154790.rrd merrimack_19_03154791.img merrimack_19_03154791.rrd
merrimack_19_03154792.img
merrimack_19_03154792.rrd
merrimack_19_03154794.img
merrimack_19_03154794.rrd
merrimack_19_03154796.img
merrimack_19_03154796.rrd
merrimack_19_03154797.img
merrimack_19_03154797.rrd
merrimack_19-03154798.img
merrimack_19_03154798.rrd
merrimack_19_03154800.img
merrimack_19_03154800.rrd
merrimack_19_03154802.img
merrimack_19_03154802.rrd
merrimack_19_03154803.img
merrimack_19_03154803.rrd
merrimack_19_03154804.img
merrimack_19_03154804.rrd merrimack_19_03154806.img merrimack_19_03154806.rrd merrimack ${ }^{-19-03154808 . i m g ~}$ merrimack_19_03154808.rrd merrimack_19_03154809.img merrimack_19_03154809.rrd merrimack_19_03154810.img merrimack_19_03154810.rrd merrimack_19_03154812.img merrimack_19_03154812.rrd merrimack_19_03154814.img merrimack_19_03154814.rrd merrimack_19_03154815.img merrimack_19_03154815.rrd merrimack_19_03154816.img merrimack_19_03154816.rrd merrimack_19_03154818.img merrimack_19_03154818.rrd merrimack_19_03164700.img merrimack_19_03164700.rrd merrimack_19_03164701.img merrimack_19_03164701.rrd merrimack_19_03164702.img merrimack-19-03164702.rrd
merrimack_19_03164704.img
merrimack_19_03164704.rrd
merrimack_19_03164706.img
merrimack_19_03164706.rrd
merrimack_19_03164707.img
merrimack_19_03164707.rrd
merrimack_19_03164708.img
merrimack_19_03164708.rrd
merrimack_19_03164791.img
merrimack ${ }^{-19-03164791 . r r d ~}$
merrimack_19_03164792.img
merrimack_19_03164792.rrd
merrimack_19_03164794.img
merrimack_19_03164794.rrd
merrimack_19_03164796.img
merrimack_19_03164796.rrd
merrimack_19_03164797.img
merrimack_19_03164797.rrd
merrimack_19_03164798.img
merrimack_19_03164798.rrd
merrimack_19-03164800.img
merrimack_19_03164800.rrd
merrimack_19_03164802.img
merrimack_19_03164802.rrd
merrimack_19_03164803.img
merrimack_19_03164803.rrd
merrimack_19_03164804.img
merrimack_19_03164804.rrd
merrimack_19_03164806.img
merrimack_19_03164806.rrd merrimack_19_03164808.img merrimack_19-03164808.rrd merrimack ${ }^{-19-03164809 . i m g ~}$ merrimack_19_03164809.rrd merrimack_19_03164810.img merrimack_19_03164810.rrd merrimack_19_03164812.img merrimack_19_03164812.rrd merrimack_19_03164814.img merrimack_19_03164814.rrd merrimack_19_03164815.img merrimack_19_03164815.rrd merrimack_19_03184701.img merrimack_19_03184701.rrd merrimack_19_03184702.img merrimack_19_03184702.rrd merrimack_19_03184704.img merrimack_19_03184704.rrd merrimack_19_03184706.img merrimack_19_03184706.rrd merrimack_19_03184707.img merrimack_19_03184707.rrd merrimack_19_03184708.img merrimack-19-03184708.rrd
merrimack_19_03184710.img
merrimack_19_03184710.rrd
merrimack_19_03184792.img
merrimack_19_03184792.rrd
merrimack_19_03184794.img
merrimack_19_03184794.rrd
merrimack_19_03184796.img
merrimack_19_03184796.rrd
merrimack_19_03184797.img
merrimack ${ }^{-19-03184797 . r r d ~}$
merrimack_19_03184798.img
merrimack_19_03184798.rrd
merrimack_19_03184800.img
merrimack_19_03184800.rrd
merrimack_19_03184802.img
merrimack_19_03184802.rrd
merrimack_19_03184803.img
merrimack_19_03184803.rrd
merrimack_19_03184804.img
merrimack_19_03184804.rrd
merrimack_19-03184806.img
merrimack_19_03184806.rrd
merrimack_19_03184808.img
merrimack_19_03184808.rrd
merrimack_19_03204702.img
merrimack_19_03204702.rrd
merrimack_19_03204704.img
merrimack_19_03204704.rrd
merrimack_19_03204706.img
merrimack_19_03204706.rrd merrimack_19_03204707.img merrimack_19_03204707.rrd merrimack ${ }^{-19-03204708 . i m g ~}$ merrimack_19_03204708.rrd merrimack_19_03204794.img merrimack_19_03204794.rrd merrimack_19_03204796.img merrimack_19_03204796.rrd merrimack_19_03204797.img merrimack_19_03204797.rrd merrimack_19_03204798.img merrimack_19_03204798.rrd merrimack_19_03204800.img merrimack_19_03204800.rrd merrimack_19_03204802.img merrimack_19_03204802.rrd merrimack_19_03204803.img merrimack_19_03204803.rrd merrimack_19_03204804.img merrimack_19_03204804.rrd merrimack_19_03204806.img merrimack_19_03204806.rrd merrimack_19_03204808.img merrimack-19-03204808.rrd merrimack_19_03214792.img merrimack_19_03214792.rrd merrimack_19_03214794.img merrimack_19_03214794.rrd merrimack_19_03214796.img merrimack_19_03214796.rrd merrimack_19_03214797.img merrimack_19_03214797.rrd merrimack_19_03214798.img merrimack_19_03214798.rrd merrimack_19_03214800.img merrimack_19_03214800.rrd
merrimack_19_03214802.img
merrimack_19_03214802.rrd
merrimack_19_03214803.img
merrimack_19_03214803.rrd
merrimack_19_03214804.img
merrimack_19_03214804.rrd
merrimack_19_03214806.img
merrimack_19_03214806.rrd
merrimack_19-03214808.img
merrimack_19_03214808.rrd
merrimack_19_03224792.img
merrimack_19_03224792.rrd
merrimack_19_03224794.img
merrimack_19_03224794.rrd
merrimack_19_03224796.img
merrimack_19_03224796.rrd
merrimack_19_03224797.img
merrimack_19_03224797.rrd merrimack_19_03224798.img merrimack_19_03224798.rrd merrimack ${ }^{-19-03224800 . i m g ~}$ merrimack_19_03224800.rrd merrimack_19_03224802.img merrimack_19_03224802.rrd merrimack_19_03224803.img merrimack_19_03224803.rrd merrimack_19_03224804.img merrimack_19_03224804.rrd merrimack_19_03224806.img merrimack_19_03224806.rrd merrimack_19_03224808.img merrimack_19_03224808.rrd merrimack_19_03244794.img merrimack_19_03244794.rrd merrimack_19_03244796.img merrimack_19_03244796.rrd merrimack_19_03244797.img merrimack_19_03244797.rrd merrimack_19_03244798.img merrimack_19_03244798.rrd merrimack_19_03244800.img merrimack-19-03244800.rrd
merrimack_19_03244802.img
merrimack_19_03244802.rrd
merrimack_19_03244803.img
merrimack_19_03244803.rrd
merrimack_19_03244804.img
merrimack_19_03244804.rrd
merrimack_19_03244806.img
merrimack_19_03244806.rrd
merrimack_19_03264796.img
merrimack ${ }^{-19-03264796 . r r d ~}$
merrimack_19_03264797.img
merrimack_19_03264797.rrd
merrimack_19_03264798.img
merrimack_19_03264798.rrd
merrimack_19_03264800.img
merrimack_19_03264800.rrd
merrimack_19_03264802.img
merrimack_19_03264802.rrd
merrimack_19_03264803.img
merrimack_19_03264803.rrd
merrimack_19-03264804.img
merrimack_19_03264804.rrd
merrimack_19_03274797.img
merrimack_19_03274797.rrd
merrimack_19_03274798.img
merrimack_19_03274798.rrd
merrimack_19_03274800.img
merrimack_19_03274800.rrd
merrimack_19_03274802.img


| \| | 1902644726.1 as |
| :---: | :---: |
| \| | 19_02644728.las |
| \| | 19-02644730.las |
| \| | 19-02644731.las |
| \| | 19_02644732.las |
| \| | 19-02644734.las |
| । | 19-02644736.las |
| \| | 19-02644737.las |
| \| | 19-02644738.las |
| \| | 19_02644740.las |
| \| | 19_02644742.las |
| \| | 19_02644743.las |
| \| | 19_02644744.las |
| \| | 19_02644746.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02644748.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02644749.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02644750.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02644752.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02644754.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02644755.las |
| \| | 19_02644760.las |
| \| | 19_02644761.las |
| \| | 19_02644762.las |
| \| | 19_02644764.las |
| \| | 19_02644766.las |
| \| | 19-02644767.las |
| \| | 19-02644772.las |
| \| | 19_02664726.las |
| \| | 19_02664728.las |
| \| | 19_02664730.las |
| \| | 19_02664731.las |
| \| | 19-02664732.las |
| \| | 19_02664734.las |
| \| | 19-02664736.las |
| \| | 19_02664737.las |
| \| | 19_02664738.las |
| \| | 19_02664740.las |
| \| | 19_02664742.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02664743.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02664744.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02664746.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02664748.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02664749.las |
| \| | 19-02664750.las |
| \| | 19_02664752.las |
| \| | 19_02664754.las |
| \| | 19_02664755.las |
| \| | 19_02664756.las |
| \| | 19_02664758.las |
| \| | 19_02664760.las |
| \| | 19_02664761.las |
| \| | 19_02664762.las |
| 1 \| | 19_02664764.las |
| \| | 19_02664766.las |



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 19_02724743.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724744.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724746.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724748.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724749.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724750.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724752.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724754.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724755.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724756.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724758.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724760.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724761.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724762.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724764.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724766.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724767.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724768.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724770.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724772.las } \\
& \text { 19_02724773.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724774.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724776.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724778.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724779.las } \\
& \text { 19-02724780.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734740.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734742.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734743.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734744.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734746.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734748.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734749.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734750.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734752.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734754.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734755.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734756.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734758.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734760.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734761.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734762.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734764.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734766.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734767.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734768.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734770.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734772.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734773.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734774.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734776.las } \\
& \text { 19-02734778.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734779.las } \\
& \text { 19_02734780.las }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN <br>  <br>  <br> 伿 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| \| | I | 19_02794773.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | । | 19-02794774.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02794776.las |
| \| | \| | 1902794778.1 as |
| \| | । | 19-02794779.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02794780.las |
| \| | \| | 1902794804.las |
| \| | I | 19-02794806.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794808.las |
| \| | \| | 1902794809.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02794810.las |
| \| | \| | 1902794812.1 s |
| \| | \| | 19-02794814.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02804740.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804742.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02804743.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804744.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804746.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804748.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902804749.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02804750.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02804752.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804754.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804755.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02804756.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902804758.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804760.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804761.1 s |
| \| | \| | 19-02804762.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02804764.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804766.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804767.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02804768.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804770.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804772.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804773.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902804774.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02804776.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804778.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02804779.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02804780.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902804800.las |
| \| | । | 19-02804802.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804803.1 s |
| \| | \| | 19-02804804.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804806.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902804808.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02804809.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02804810.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804812.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804814.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02824740.las |
| \| | \| | 1902824742.las |
| \| | । | 1902824743.1 as |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  $\infty \infty \infty \infty$ <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| \| | I | 19_02844761.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | । | 19-02844762.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02844764.las |
| \| | \| | 1902844766.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02844767.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02844768.las |
| \| | \| | 1902844770.1 as |
| \| | I | 19-02844772.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02844773.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902844774.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02844776.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02844778.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02844779.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02844780.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02844782.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02844784.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02844785.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902844786.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02844796.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902844797.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02844798.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02844800.las |
| \| | \| | 1902844802.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02844803.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02844804.las |
| \| | \| | 1902844806.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02844808.las |
| \| | । | 19-02844809.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02844810.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02844812.las |
| \| | \| | 1902844814.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854736.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02854737.las |
| \| | \| | 1902854738.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854740.1as |
| \| | । | 19-02854742.las |
| \| | \| | 1902854743.1as |
| । | \| | 19-02854744.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854746.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02854748.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02854749.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902854750.1as |
| \| | । | 19-02854752.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854754.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854755.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854756.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02854758.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02854760.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854761.las |
| \| | \| | 1902854762.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854764.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02854766.las |
| \| | \| | 1902854767.las |
| \| | । | 19_02854768.las |


| I | \| | 19_02854770.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| । | । | 19-02854772.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854773.las |
| \| | \| | 1902854774.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02854776.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02854778.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854779.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02854780.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854782.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854784.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854785.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854786.las |
| \| | I | 19_02854788.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854796.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854797.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854798.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854800.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854802.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854803.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02854804.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02854806.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854808.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854809.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02854810.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02854812.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864736.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864737.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864738.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864740.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864742.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864743.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864744.las |
| \| | \| | 1902864746.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864748.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864749.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864750.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864752.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864754.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864755.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864756.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864758.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864760.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864761.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864762.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864764.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864766.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864767.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864768.las |
| \| | \| | 1902864770.las |
| । | । | 19-02864772.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864773.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864774.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864776.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864778.las |


| I | \| | 19_02864779.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| । | । | 19-02864780.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864782.las |
| \| | \| | 1902864784.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864785.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864786.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864788.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864790.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864796.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864797.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864798.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864800.las |
| \| | I | 19_02864802.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864803.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864804.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864806.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864808.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864809.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864810.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864812.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884710.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884712.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884713.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884719.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884736.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884737.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884738.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884740.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884742.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884743.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884744.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884746.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884748.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884749.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884750.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884752.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884754.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884755.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884756.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884758.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884760.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884761.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884762.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884764.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884766.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884767.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884768.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884770.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884772.las |
| । | । | 19-02884773.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884774.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884776.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884778.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884779.las |


| \| | । | 1902884780.1 las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | \| | 19_02884782.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884784.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884785.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02884786.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884788.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884790.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884791.las |
| I | I | 19_02884792.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884794.las |
| \| | । | 1902884796.las |
| \| | I | 19_02884797.las |
| \| | । | 19-02884798.las |
| I | I | 19_02884800.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884802.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884803.las |
| I | I | 19_02884804.las |
| \| | । | 19_02884806.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884808.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02884809.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884810.las |
| \| | । | 19_02904704.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904706.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904707.las |
| I | I | 19_02904708.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904710.las |
| \| | । | 1902904712.las |
| I | I | 19_02904713.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904714.las |
| \| | I | 19_02904716.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904718.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904719.las |
| \| | I | 19_02904720.las |
| \| | । | 19_02904722.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904736.1as |
| \| | I | 19_02904737.las |
| \| | \| | 1902904738.1 las |
| \| | । | 19_02904740.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904742.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904743.1as |
| I | I | 19_02904744.las |
| \| | । | 19_02904746.las |
| \| | । | 1902904748.las |
| \| | I | 19_02904749.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904750.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904752.las |
| I | I | 19_02904754.las |
| \| | । | 19-02904755.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904756.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904758.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904760.las |
| \| | I | 19_02904761.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904762.las |
| । | \| | 19_02904764.las |


| \| | \\| | 1902904766.1 as |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | \\| | 19_02904767.las |
| \| | \| | 1902904768.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904770.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904772.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904773.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02904774.las |
| \| | \| | 1902904776.las |
| \| | I | 19_02904778.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904779.las |
| \| | \| | 1902904780.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904782.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904784.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904785.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904786.las |
| \| | \| | 1902904788.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19_02904790.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904791.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904792.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904794.las |
| \| | \| | 1902904796.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904797.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904798.las |
| \| | \| | 1902904800.las |
| \| | I | 19_02904802.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904803.las |
| \| | \| | 1902904804.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02904806.las |
| \| | \| | 1902904808.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02904809.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02914704.las |
| \| | \| | 1902914706.las |
| \| | I | 19_02914707.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02914708.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02914710.1as |
| \| | I | 19_02914712.las |
| \| | \| | 1902914713.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02914714.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02914716.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02914718.las |
| \| | I | 19_02914719.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02914720.las |
| । | \| | 19_02914722.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02914728.las |
| \| | \| | 1902914730.las |
| I | I | 19-02914731.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02914736.las |
| \| | \| | 1902914737.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02914738.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02914740.las |
| I | I | 19-02914742.las |
| \| | I | 19_02914743.las |
| \| | \| | 1902914744.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19_02914746.las |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

$\mid$
$\mid$
$\mid$
$\mid$
$\mid$
$\mid$
$\mid$
$\mid$

| I | I | 19_02944790.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| । | । | 19-02944791.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944792.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944794.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02944796.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944797.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944798.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944800.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944802.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944803.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902944804.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02944806.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02944808.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944809.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964710.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964712.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964713.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964714.las |
| \| | । | 19-02964716.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964718.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964719.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902964720.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964722.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964724.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964725.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964726.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964728.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964730.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02964731.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964732.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964734.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964736.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902964738.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964740.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964742.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964743.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02964744.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964746.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964748.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964749.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964750.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964752.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964754.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964755.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964756.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964758.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964760.las |
| । | \| | 19-02964761.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964762.las |
| । | । | 19-02964764.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02964766.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964767.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964768.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964770.1as |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |






|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  '0'0 o ' $0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 00^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime}$ ow w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w $\omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega N$ NNNNNNNNNNNNNNN <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> 心 $\omega \omega \omega \omega$ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


|  |  <br>  <br>  © か <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  $l^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{0} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0$ owow owww wow wow , - <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| \| | । | 1903094803.1 as |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | \| | 19_03094804.las |
| \| | \| | 1903094806.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03094808.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-03094809.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03094810.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03094812.las |
| \| | \| | 19-03094814.las |
| I | I | 19_03094815.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03094816.las |
| \| | । | 1903094818.las |
| \| | I | 19_03094820.las |
| \| | । | 19-03104700.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104701.las |
| \| | \| | 19-03104702.las |
| \| | \| | 19-03104704.las |
| \| | I | 19_03104706.las |
| \| | । | 19_03104707.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104730.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104731.las |
| \| | \| | 19-03104732.las |
| \| | । | 19_03104734.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104736.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104737.las |
| I | I | 19_03104778.las |
| \| | \| | 19-03104779.las |
| \| | \| | 1903104780.las |
| \| | I | 19_03104782.las |
| \| | \| | 19-03104784.las |
| I | I | 19_03104785.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104786.las |
| \| | \| | 19-03104788.las |
| \| | I | 19_03104790.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104791.las |
| I | \| | 19_03104792.las |
| I | I | 19_03104794.las |
| \| | \| | 1903104796.1 las |
| \| | \| | 19-03104797.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104798.las |
| I | \| | 19_03104800.las |
| I | I | 19_03104802.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104803.1as |
| \| | । | 1903104804.las |
| \| | । | 19-03104806.las |
| \| | \| | 19-03104808.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104809.las |
| \| | । | 19_03104810.las |
| \| | । | 19-03104812.las |
| \| | \| | 19-03104814.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104815.las |
| \| | । | 19_03104816.las |
| \| | \| | 19_03104818.las |
| \| | \| | 1903104820.1 las |
| \| | \| | 19_03124701.las |




19_03204803.las
19_03204804.1as
19_03204806.las
19_03204808.las
19_03214792.las
19_03214794.las
19_03214796.las
19_03214797.las
19-03214798.las
19_03214800.las

$$
19-03214802.1 \text { as }
$$

19-03214803.las
19_03214804.las
19_03214806.las
19_03214808.1as
19_03224792.las
19_03224794.las
19_03224796.las
19_03224797.las
19_03224798.las

$$
19 \text {-03224800.las }
$$

$$
19-03224802.1 \text { as }
$$

$$
19-03224803.1 \text { as }
$$

19_03224804.las
19_03224806.las
19_03224808.las
19_03244794.las
19_03244796.las
19_03244797.las
19_03244798.las
19_03244800.las
19_03244802.las

$$
19-03244803.1 \text { as }
$$

19_03244804.las
19_03244806.las
19_03264796.las
19_03264797.las
19_03264798.las
19_03264800.las
19_03264802.las

$$
19-03264803.1 \mathrm{as}
$$

$$
19-03264804.1 \mathrm{as}
$$

$$
19-03274797.1 \mathrm{as}
$$

19-03274798.las
19_03274800.las
19_03274802.las
19_03284798.las
19_03284800.las
Merrimack_Classified_LAS_Index.dbf
Merrimack_Classified_LAS_Index.prj
Merrimack_Classified_LAS_Index.sbn
Merrimack_Classified_LAS_Index.sbx
Merrimack_Classified_LAS_Index.shp
Merrimack_Classified_LAS_Index.shp.xml





| \| | I | 19_02724776.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| । | । | 19-02724778.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02724779.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02724780.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02734740.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02734742.las |
| । | । | 19-02734743.las |
| \| | । | 19_02734744.las |
| \| | । | 1902734746.las |
| \| | । | 19_02734748.las |
| \| | \| | 1902734749.1 las |
| \| | । | 19-02734750.las |
| \| | I | 19-02734752.las |
| \| | । | 19-02734754.las |
| \| | । | 19-02734755.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02734756.las |
| \| | । | 19-02734758.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02734760.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02734761.las |
| \| | । | 19_02734762.las |
| I | । | 19_02734764.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02734766.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02734767.las |
| \| | \\| | 19_02734768.las |
| \| | \| | 1902734770.las |
| \| | । | 19_02734772.las |
| \| | । | 1902734773.1 las |
| \| | । | 19-02734774.las |
| \| | 1 | 19_02734776.las |
| \| | I | 19-02734778.las |
| \| | । | 19-02734779.las |
| \| | । | 19-02734780.las |
| \| | । | 1902744742.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02744743.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02744744.las |
| \| | । | 19_02744746.las |
| I | \| | 19_02744748.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02744749.las |
| \| | । | 19-02744750.las |
| \| | । | 19_02744752.las |
| \| | । | 19-02744754.las |
| \| | । | 19-02744755.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02744756.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02744758.las |
| \| | । | 19-02744760.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02744761.las |
| \| | । | 19-02744762.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02744764.las |
| \| | । | 1902744766.las |
| \| | । | 19-02744767.las |
| \| | । | 19_02744768.las |
| \| | । | 1902744770.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02744772.las |
| \| | । | 19_02744773.1as |



| \| | \| | 19_02784773.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | \| | 19-02784774.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02784776.las |
| \| | \| | 1902784778.1 las |
| \| | \| | 19-02784779.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02784808.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02784809.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02784810.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02784812.las |
| \| | \| | 1902784814.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02794740.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794742.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794743.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02794744.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794746.las |
| \| | । | 19-02794748.las |
| \| | । | 19_02794749.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02794750.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02794752.las |
| \| | \| | 1902794754.1 las |
| \| | । | 19-02794755.las |
| \| | । | 19_02794756.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794758.las |
| । | \| | 19-02794760.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794761.las |
| \| | \| | 1902794762.las |
| \| | । | 19-02794764.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794766.las |
| । | \| | 19-02794767.las |
| I | \| | 19_02794768.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794770.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02794772.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02794773.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794774.las |
| । | \| | 19-02794776.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02794778.las |
| \| | \| | 1902794779.las |
| \| | I | 19_02794780.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794804.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794806.las |
| । | \| | 19-02794808.las |
| \| | \| | 1902794809.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794810.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794812.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02794814.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804740.las |
| \| | । | 19-02804742.las |
| \| | । | 19-02804743.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804744.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804746.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02804748.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02804749.las |
| \| | \| | 1902804750.las |
| । | । | 19-02804752.las |


$\mid$
$\mid$
$\mid$
$\mid$
$\mid$


| \| | I | 19_02854809.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | \| | 19-02854810.las |
| \| | । | 19-02854812.las |
| \| | I | 19_02864736.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864737.las |
| \| | \| | 1902864738.las |
| \| | । | 19-02864740.las |
| \| | । | 19-02864742.las |
| \| | । | 19_02864743.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864744.las |
| \| | \| | 1902864746.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864748.las |
| \| | I | 19-02864749.las |
| \| | । | 19_02864750.las |
| । | I | 19_02864752.las |
| \| | \| | 1902864754.1 las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02864755.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02864756.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02864758.las |
| I | । | 19_02864760.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02864761.las |
| \| | । | 1902864762.las |
| \| | 1 | 19_02864764.las |
| \| | , | 19-02864766.las |
| । | । | 19-02864767.las |
| \| | । | 19_02864768.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864770.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02864772.las |
| \| | I | 19-02864773.1as |
| \| | । | 19_02864774.las |
| । | I | 19_02864776.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02864778.las |
| \| | । | 19-02864779.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02864780.las |
| \| | , | 19-02864782.las |
| । | I | 19_02864784.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02864785.las |
| \| | । | 1902864786.las |
| \| | \\| | 19-02864788.las |
| \| | - | 19-02864790.las |
| \| | । | 19_02864796.las |
| I | \| | 19_02864797.las |
| \| | । | 1902864798.las |
| \| | । | 19-02864800.las |
| \| | - | 19-02864802.las |
| \| | \| | 1902864803.las |
| I | I | 19_02864804.las |
| \| | \| | 1902864806.1 as |
| \| | । | 19-02864808.las |
| \| | । | 19_02864809.las |
| \| | । | 19-02864810.las |
| \| | । | 19_02864812.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884710.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884712.las |


| \| | \\| | 1902884713.1 as |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | \\| | 19_02884719.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902884736.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884737.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884738.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02884740.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02884742.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884743.1as |
| \| | I | 19_02884744.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884746.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884748.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884749.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884750.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884752.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884754.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884755.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19_02884756.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884758.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884760.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884761.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884762.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884764.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884766.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884767.las |
| \| | I | 19_02884768.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884770.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884772.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884773.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884774.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884776.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02884778.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884779.1 as |
| \| | I | 19_02884780.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884782.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884784.las |
| \| | I | 19_02884785.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884786.1 as |
| \| | I | 19_02884788.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884790.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884791.las |
| \| | I | 19_02884792.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884794.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884796.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884797.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884798.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02884800.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884802.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884803.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02884804.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02884806.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884808.las |
| \| | I | 19_02884809.las |
| \| | \| | 1902884810.1 s |
| \| | \| | 19_02904704.las |




|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| \| | I | 19_02924760.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| । | । | 19-02924761.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02924762.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02924764.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02924766.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02924767.las |
| । | । | 19-02924768.las |
| \| | । | 19_02924770.las |
| \| | । | 1902924772.las |
| \| | । | 19_02924773.las |
| \| | \| | 1902924774.las |
| \| | । | 19-02924776.las |
| \| | I | 19-02924778.las |
| \| | । | 19-02924779.las |
| I | । | 19_02924780.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02924782.las |
| \| | । | 19-02924784.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02924785.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02924786.las |
| \| | । | 19_02924788.las |
| I | । | 19_02924790.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02924791.las |
| \| | । | 19-02924792.las |
| \| | \\| | 19_02924794.las |
| \| | \| | 1902924796.las |
| । | । | 19_02924797.las |
| \| | । | 1902924798.1 las |
| \| | । | 19-02924800.las |
| \| | 1 | 19_02924802.las |
| \| | I | 19-02924803.las |
| \| | । | 19-02924804.las |
| \| | । | 19-02924806.las |
| \| | । | 1902924808.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02944708.las |
| \| | 1 | 19-02944710.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944712.las |
| I | \| | 19_02944713.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02944714.las |
| \| | । | 19-02944716.las |
| \| | । | 19_02944718.las |
| \| | , | 1902944719.las |
| \| | । | 19-02944720.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944722.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944724.las |
| \| | । | 19-02944725.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944726.las |
| \| | । | 19-02944728.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944730.las |
| \| | । | 1902944731.las |
| \| | । | 19-02944732.las |
| \| | । | 19_02944734.las |
| \| | । | 1902944736.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944738.las |
| \| | । | 19_02944740.las |


| \| | I | 19_02944742.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | । | 19-02944743.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02944744.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944746.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02944748.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02944749.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944750.1 as |
| \| | I | 19-02944752.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944754.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944755.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02944756.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944758.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02944760.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02944761.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944762.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944764.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944766.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944767.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944768.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902944770.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02944772.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02944773.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944774.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944776.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944778.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902944779.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02944780.las |
| \| | । | 19-02944782.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944784.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944785.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944786.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944788.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944790.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944791.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944792.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944794.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944796.las |
| । | \| | 19-02944797.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944798.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944800.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944802.las |
| \| | \| | 1902944803.1as |
| \| | । | 19-02944804.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944806.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944808.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02944809.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964710.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964712.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964713.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902964714.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964716.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02964718.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964719.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964720.las |


| I | \| | 19_02964722.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| । | । | 19-02964724.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964725.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964726.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02964728.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964730.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964731.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964732.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964734.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964736.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902964738.1 as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964740.las |
| \| | I | 19_02964742.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964743.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964744.las |
| I | I | 19-02964746.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964748.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964749.1as |
| \| | । | 19-02964750.1as |
| \| | \| | 19_02964752.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964754.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964755.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964756.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964758.1as |
| \| | \| | 1902964760.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964761.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964762.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964764.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02964766.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964767.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964768.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964770.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964772.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964773.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964774.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964776.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02964778.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964779.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964780.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964782.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964784.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964785.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964786.1as |
| \| | \| | 19-02964788.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964790.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964791.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964792.las |
| । | \| | 19-02964794.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964796.las |
| । | । | 19-02964797.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02964798.las |
| \| | \| | 1902964800.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964802.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02964803.1as |


|  <br>  <br> 出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出 <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  <br> 出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出出 <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| \| | I | 19_02974786.las |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | I | 19-02974788.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02974790.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02974791.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02974792.las |
| \| | \| | 1902974794.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02974796.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02974797.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02974798.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02974800.las |
| \| | \| | 1902974802.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02974803.las |
| \| | । | 19-02974804.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02974806.las |
| । | I | 19_02974808.las |
| \| | \| | 1902974809.1 las |
| \| | । | 19-02984714.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984716.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984718.las |
| I | I | 19_02984719.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02984720.las |
| \| | । | 1902984722.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02984724.las |
| \| | , | 19-02984725.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984726.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02984728.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02984730.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984731.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984732.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02984734.las |
| । | \| | 19_02984736.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02984737.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984738.las |
| \| | I | 19-02984740.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984742.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02984743.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02984744.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984746.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984748.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02984749.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984750.las |
| I | \| | 19_02984752.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984754.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984755.las |
| \| | । | 19-02984756.las |
| \| | \| | 1902984758.las |
| I | I | 19_02984760.las |
| \| | \| | 1902984761.1 las |
| \| | । | 19-02984762.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02984764.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02984766.las |
| \| | \| | 19-02984767.las |
| \| | \| | 19_02984768.las |
| \| | \| | 1902984770.las |



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  ＇ $0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime}$ $\omega_{0}^{\omega} \omega_{0} \omega \omega_{0}^{\omega} \omega_{0}^{\omega} \omega_{0}^{\omega} \omega_{0} \omega_{0} \omega \omega_{0}^{\omega} \omega_{0}^{\omega}$ NNNNNNNNNNNNNN $\mapsto ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ ゅ$ $\omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega$ NNNNNNけ৮ト <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  'o'ololo'olo'o'o'o o'o'olo'o ow wow wow wow wow w $\omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega$ $\infty \times \infty$ <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


19_03084816.1as
19_03084818.1as
19_03084820.las
19_03094700.las
19_03094701.las
19_03094702.las
19_03094704.las
19_03094706.las
19_03094730.las
19_03094731.las

$$
19-03094732.1 \text { as }
$$

19-03094734.las
19_03094736.las
19_03094737.las
19_03094776.las
19_03094778.las
19_03094779.las
19_03094780.las
19_03094782.las
19_03094784.las
19_03094785.las
19_03094786.las

$$
19-03094788.1 \text { as }
$$

19_03094790.las
19_03094791.las
19_03094792.las
19_03094794.las
19_03094796.las
19_03094797.las
19_03094798.las
19_03094800.las
19_03094802.las

$$
19-03094803.1 \text { as }
$$

19_03094804.las
19_03094806.las
19_03094808.las
19_03094809.las
19_03094810.las
19_03094812.las
19-03094814.las
19_03094815.las
19-03094816.1as

$$
19-03094818.1 \mathrm{as}
$$

$$
19-03094820.1 \mathrm{as}
$$

19_03104700.las
19_03104701.las
19_03104702.las
19_03104704.las
19_03104706.las
19_03104707.las
19_03104730.las
19_03104731.las
19-03104732.las

$$
19 \_03104734.1 \text { as }
$$



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



```
19_03224803.las
19-03224804.las
19-03224806.las
19-03224808.las
19-03244794.las
19_03244796.las
19_03244797.las
19_03244798.las
19_03244800.las
19_03244802.las
19_03244803.las
19 03244804.las
19-03244806.las
19-03264796.las
19-03264797.las
19-03264798.las
19-03264800.las
19_03264802.las
19_03264803.las
19_03264804.las
19_03274797.las
19_03274798.las
19 03274800.las
19-03274802.las
19-03284798.las
19_03284800.las
Merrimack_Unclassified_LAS_Index.dbf
Merrimack_Unclassified_LAS_Index.prj
Merrimack_Unclassified_LAS_Index.sbn
Merrimack_Unclassified_LAS_Index.sbx
Merrimack_Unclassified_LAS_Index.shp
Merrimack_Unclassified_LAS_Index.shp.xml
Merrimack_Unclassified_LAS_Index.shx
| \---TIN
\---Supplemental Data
| Merrimack Certification of Compliance LiDAR.pdf
| Merrimack Certification of Compliance Survey.pdf
Merrimack Pre-Flight Operations Plan.pdf
Merrimack_LAS_Index.dbf
    Merrimack_LAS_Index.prj
    Merrimack_LAS_Index.sbn
    Merrimack_LAS_Index.sbx
    Merrimack_LAS_Index.shp
    Merrimack_LAS_Index.shp.xml
    Merrimack_LAS_Index.shx
|
+---Merrimack Post-Flight Report
                Merrimack_PostFlight_Report.doc
    +---Appendix A - Flight Logs
                111119a&b-6156-flight&GPSlogs.PDF
                111120a-6156-flight&GPSlogs.PDF
                111121a-6156-flight&GPSlogs.PDF
```

| 1 \| |  | 120107a\&b-6156-ABGPSlog.jpg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 \| |  | 120107a-6156-inplaneflightlog.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 120107b-6156-inplaneflightlog.jpg |
| \| | |  | 120109a-6156-inplaneflightlog-1of2.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 120109a-6156-inplaneflightlog-2of2.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 120109ab-6156-ABGPSlog.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 120109b-6156-inplaneflightlog.jpg |
| \| | |  | 120111a-6156-inplaneflightlog.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 120111b-6156-ABGPSIog.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 120111b-6156-inplaneflightlog-1of2.jpg |
| 1 I |  | 120111b-6156-inplaneflightlog-2of2.jpg |
| 1 \| |  |  |
|  | --Ap | endix B - Ground Control |
| 1 \| |  | Merrimack_HUC8_Base_Stations.dbf |
| 1 \| |  | Merrimack_HUC8_Base_Stations.prj |
| 1 I |  | Merrimack_HUC8_Base_Stations.shp |
| 1 \| |  | Merrimack_HUC8_Base_Stations.shx |
| 1 \| |  | Merrimack_HUC8_Base_Stations_dbf.txt |
| 1 I |  | Merrimack_HUC8_Base_Stations_metadata.htm |
| । |  |  |
| 1 + | -Ap | endix C - Trajectory and Associated Plots |
| 1 \| | । | Merrimack_HUC8_Flight_Lines_7556008FL_MDB. DBF |
| 1 \| | \| | Merrimack_HUC8_Flight_Lines_7556008FL_MDB. PRJ |
| \| | | । | Merrimack HUC8 Flight Lines 7556008 FL MDB. SHP |
| 1 I | - | Merrimack_HUC8_Flight_Lines_7556008FL_MDB.shp.xml |
| 1 \| | , | Merrimack_HUC8_Flight_Lines_ 7556008 FL _- MDB . SHX |
| 1 I | I | SN240_LiDAR_SOURCE. dbf |
| 1 \| | \| | SN240_LiDAR_SOURCE.prj |
| 1 \| | \| | SN240_LidAR_SOURCE.shp |
| 1 I | 1 | SN240_LiDAR_SOURCE.shx |
| 1 \| | , | SN615 $\overline{6}_{\text {_LidAR }}$ _SOURCE. dbf |
| 1 I | I | SN6156_LidAR_SOURCE.prj |
| 1 \| | \| | SN6156_LidAR_SOURCE.shp |
| 1 \| | । | SN6156_LidAR_SOURCE.shx |
| 1 I | I |  |
| 1 \| |  | 111029a |
| 1 \| | \| | 111029a-6156-\#ofsats.jpg |
| 1 I | I | 111029a-6156-basecoordinate.jpg |
| \| | | \| | 111029a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| | | I | 111029a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| I | I | 111029a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 20deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| | | \| | 111029a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| | | । | 111029a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| | | I | 111029a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 20deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| | | I | 111029a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| | 111029a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| | 111029a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-20deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | I | 111029a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-10deg.j |


| 1 \| | 111029a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 \| | 111029a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-20deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| 111029a-6156-RPH.jpg |
| 1 \| | 111029a-6156-trajectory.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| |
| 1 \| | +---111112a |
| 1 \| | \| 111112a-240_Baseline.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111112a-240_ForwardProcessPerformance_NED.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111112a-240_ProcessMode.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111112a-240_ScreenShot.jpg |
| 1 I | \| 111112a-240_SmoothPerformance_NED.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111112a-240_SV\&PDOP.bmp |
| , | \| |
| , | +---111112b |
| 1 \| | 111112b-240_Baseline.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111112b-240_ForwardProcessPerformance_NED.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111112b-240_ProcessMode.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111112b-240_ScreenShot.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| 111112b-240_SmoothPerformance_NED.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111112b-240_SV\&PDOP.bmp |
| , | \| |
| 1 \| | +---111113a |
| 1 \| | \| 111113a-240_baseline.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111113a-240_NEDpositionerror-forward.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111113a-240_NEDpositionerror-smoothed.bmp |
| 1 I | \| 111113a-240_procmode.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111113a-240_SSDfail.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| 111113a-240_SV\&PDOP.bmp |
| 1 I | \| 111113a-240_trajectory.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| |
| 1 \| | +---111113b |
| 1 I | \| 111113b-240_baselinelength.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111113b-240_nedpositionerror-forward.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111113b-240_nedpositionerror.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111113b-240_PDOP\&SVs.bmp |
| 1 \| | \| 111113b-240_procmode.bmp |
| 1 | \| |
| 1 | +---111119a |
| - | \| 111119a-6156-\#ofsats.jpg |
| \| | \| 111119a-6156-basecoordinate.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| 111119a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |
| \| | | 111119a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |
| \| | | \| 111119a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |
| \| | | 111119a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |
| \| l | \| 111119a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| 111119a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| 111119a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| 111119a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| 111119a-6156-RPH.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| 111119a-6156-trajectory.jpg |



| 1 \| |  | 111121a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 I |  | 111121a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111121a-6156-RPH.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111121a-6156-trajectory.jpg |
| 1 \| |  |  |
| 1 \| |  | 18a |
| 1 \| |  | 111218a-6156-\#ofsats.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218a-6156-basecoordinate.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| 1 \| |  | 111218a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
|  |  | 111218a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
|  |  | 111218a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| |  | 111218a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| , |  | 111218a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218a-6156-RPH.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218a-6156-trajectory.jpg |
| , |  |  |
| 1 \| |  | 18b |
| 1 \| |  | 111218b-6156-\#ofsats.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218b-6156-basecoordinate.jpg |
| \| | |  | 111218b-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| 1 \| |  | 111218b-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| |  | 111218b-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| । |  | 111218b-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| 1 \| |  | 111218b-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218b-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218b-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218b-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218b-6156-RPH.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111218b-6156-trajectory.jpg |
| 1 \| |  |  |
| 1 \| |  | 19a |
| 1 \| |  | 111219a-6156-\#ofsats.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 111219a-6156-basecoordinate.jpg |
| 1 |  | 111219a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| 1 \| |  | 111219a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| 1 \| |  | 111219a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 111219a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| |  | 111219a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 I | \| | 111219a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-15deg.jpg |



| \| | | \| | 120109a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| | | I | 120109a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| I | I | 120109a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | I | 120109a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120109a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| \| | | । | 120109a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| \| | | । | 120109a-6156-RPH.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| | 120109a-6156-trajectory.jpg |
| 1 I | I | 120109ab-6156-ABGPSlog.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| |  |
| 1 \| |  | 09b |
| 1 \| | \| | 120109b-6156-\#ofsats.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120109b-6156-basecoordinate.jpg |
| \| | | । | 120109b-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| । \| | I | 120109b-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| 1 | । | 120109b-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
|  | \| | 120109b-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| 1 | \| | 120109b-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120109b-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120109b-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120109b-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | I | 120109b-6156-RPH.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120109b-6156-trajectory.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| |  |
| 1 \| |  | 11 a |
| 1 \| | । | 120111a-6156-\#ofsats.jpg |
| 1 \| | I | 120111a-6156-ABGPSlog.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120111a-6156-basecoordinate.jpg |
| \| | | । | 120111a-6156-inplaneflightlog.jpg |
|  | \| | 120111a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| | I | 120111a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-noGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| | | 1 | 120111a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 10deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| | | 1 | 120111a-6156-positionaccuracy\&PDOP-withGLONASS- |
| 15deg.jpg |  |  |
| \| | | । | 120111a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120111a-6156-positionseparation-noGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120111a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-10deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| | 120111a-6156-positionseparation-withGLONASS-15deg.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120111a-6156-RPH.jpg |
| 1 \| | । | 120111a-6156-trajectory.jpg |
| 1 \| | \| |  |
| \| | |  | 11b |
| \| | |  | 120111b-6156-\#ofsats.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 120111b-6156-ABGPSIog.jpg |
| 1 \| |  | 120111b-6156-basecoordinate.jpg |





:

| 1 \| | \| | MER706A W.JPG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 \| | \| | MER706_E.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER706_N.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER706_S.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER706_W.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER707Ā_E.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER707A_N.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER707A_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER707A_W.JPG |
| I | \| | MER707_E.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER707_N.JPG |
| I | \| | MER707_S.JPG |
| 1 | \| | MER707_W.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER708A_E.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER708A_N.JPG |
| I | \| | MER708A_S.JPG |
| I | \| | MER708A_W.JPG |
| I | \| | MER708_E.JPG |
| 1 | \| | MER708_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER708 S.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER708_W.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER709A_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER709A_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER709A_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER709A_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER709_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER709_N.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER709_S.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER709_W.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER710_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER710_N.JPG |
| I | \| | MER710_S.JPG |
| I | , | MER710_W.JPG |
| I | \| | MER711A_E.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER711A_N.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER711A_S.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER711A_W.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER711_E.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER711_N.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER711_S.JPG |
| I | \| | MER711_W.JPG |
| I | \| | MER712A_E.JPG |
| I | \| | MER712A_N.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER712A_S.JPG |
| I | \| | MER712A_W.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER712_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER712_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER712_S.JPG |
| I | \| | MER712_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER713Ā_E.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER713A_N.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER713A_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER713A_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER713_E.JPG |


| 1 \| | \| | MER713 N.JPG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 \| | \| | MER713_S.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER713_W.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER714A_E.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER714A_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER714A_S.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER714A_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER714_E.JPG |
| I | I | MER714_N.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER714_S.JPG |
| I | \| | MER714_W.JPG |
| I | \| | MER715_E.JPG |
| I | \| | MER715_N.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER715_S.JPG |
| I | \| | MER715_W.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER716A_E.JPG |
| I | \| | MER716A_N.JPG |
| I | \| | MER716A_S.JPG |
| 1 | \| | MER716A_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER717_E.JPG |
| I | \| | MER717 ${ }^{\text {T.J.JPG }}$ |
| 1 \| | \| | MER717_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER717_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER718_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER718_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER718_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER718_W.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER719_E.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER719_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER719_S.JPG |
| I | \| | MER719_W.JPG |
| I | \| | MER720A_E.JPG |
| I | I | MER720A_N.JPG |
| I | , | MER720A_S.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER720A_W.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER720_E.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER720_N.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER720_S.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER720_W.JPG |
| I | \| | MER721_E.JPG |
| I | \| | MER721_N.JPG |
| I | \| | MER721_S.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER721 W.JPG |
| \| | \| | MER801_E.JPG |
| I | \| | MER801_N.JPG |
| I | \| | MER801_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER801_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER802A_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER802A_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER802A_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER802A_W.JPG |
| 1 | \| | MER802_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER802_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER802_S.JPG |


| 1 \| | \| | MER802 W.JPG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 \| | \| | MER803_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER803_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER803_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER803_W.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER804_E.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER804_N.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER804_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | I | MER804_W.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER805_E.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER805_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER805_S.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER805_W.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER806_E.JPG |
| 1 I | , | MER806_N.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER806_S.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER806_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER807_E.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER807_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER807_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER807 ${ }^{\text {T.JPG }}$ |
| 1 \| | \| | MER808_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER808_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER808_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER808_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER809_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER809_N.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER809_S.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER809_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER810_E.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER810_N.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER810_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | I | MER810_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | I | MER811_E.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER811_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | I | MER811_S.JPG |
| 1 I | , | MER811_W.JPG |
| 1 I | 1 | MER812_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | 1 | MER812_N.JPG |
| 1 I | 1 | MER812_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER812_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER813_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER813_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER813_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER813_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER814_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER814_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | 1 | MER814_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | 1 | MER814_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | 1 | MER815_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | 1 | MER815_N.JPG |
| 1 I | \| | MER815_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER815_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | \| | MER816_E.JPG |


| \| | MER816_N.JPG |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 \| | MER816_S.JPG |
| 1 I | MER816_W.JPG |
| 1 I | MER817_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER817_N.JPG |
| 1 I | MER817_S.JPG |
| 1 I | MER817_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER818_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER818_N.JPG |
| 1 I | MER818_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER818_W.JPG |
| , | MER819_E.JPG |
| 1 I | MER819_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER819_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER819_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER820_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER820_N.JPG |
| 1 I | MER820_S.JPG |
| 1 I | MER820_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | Thumbs. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ d |
| \| | \| |
| 1 \| | \---FVAs |
| 1 \| | MER301_E.JPG |
| 1 I | MER301_N.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER301_S.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER301_W.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER302_E.JPG |
| 1 \| | MER302_N.JPG |
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```
Merrimack MIP Locations:
```

Belknap County, New Hampshire
$J: \backslash F E M A \backslash R 01 \backslash N E W$ HAMPSHIRE 33\BELKNAP 33001 \BELKNAP 001C\12-01-
1080 S \SubmissionUpload\Terrain $\backslash 21526 \overline{7} 4$

Hillsborough County, New Hampshire
J: \FEMA \R01 \NEW_HAMPSHIRE_33\HILLSBOROUGH_33011\HILLSBOROUGH_011C\12-01-
1080 S \SubmissionUpload\Terrain\2152674

## Merrimack County, New Hampshire J : \FEMA \R01 \NEW_HAMPSHIRE_33\MERRIMACK_33013\MERRIMACK_013C\12-011080S\Submissioñpload\Terrrain\2152674

Rockingham County, New Hampshire $J: \backslash F E M A \backslash R 01 \backslash N E W \_H A M P S H I R E \_33 \backslash R O C K I N G H A M \_33015 \backslash R O C K I N G H A M \_015 C \backslash 12-01-$ 1080 S \SubmissionUpload\Terrain\2152674

Strafford County, New Hampshire
J: \FEMA\R01\NEW_HAMPSHIRE_33\STRAFFORD_33017\STRAFFORD_017C\12-011080S \SubmissionUpload\Terrain\2152674

Essex County, Massachusetts J: \FEMA \R01 \MASSACHUSETTS_25\ESSEX_25009\ESSEX_009C\12-011080S \SubmissionUpload\Tē̄rain\215 $\overline{2} 674$

Middlesex County, Massachusetts J: \FEMA\R01 \MASSACHUSETTS_25\MIDDLESEX_25017\MIDDLESEX_017C\12-011080S \SubmissionUpload\Terrain\2152674

Worcester County, Massachusetts J: \FEMA \R01 \MASSACHUSETTS_25\WORCESTER_25027\WORCESTER_027C\12-011080S \SubmissionUpload\Terrain\2152674

NOTE:
In the interest of saving storage space on the MIP, the Merrimack County MIP location will include all supporting project data. Every other location contains the metadata and a read me text file that directs users to the Merrimack Terrain submittal directory.

## Appendix H: Guidance Documents



September 27, 2010

## MEMORANDUM FOR:

## FROM:

## SUBJECT:

## EFFECTIVE DATES:

Regional Risk Analysis Branch Chiefs

Doug A. Bellomo
 Director, Risk Analysis Division Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration

Procedure Memorandum No. 61—Standards for Lidar and Other High Quality Digital Topography

Immediately for all FY10 procured and collected data

Background: Beginning in Fiscal Year (FY) 2010, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) initiated a five-year program for Risk Mapping, Assessment, and Planning (Risk MAP). FEMA's vision for the Risk MAP program is to deliver quality data that increases public awareness and leads to mitigation actions that reduce risk to life and property. To achieve this vision, FEMA will transform its traditional flood identification and mapping efforts into a more integrated process of accurately identifying, assessing, communicating, planning for, and mitigating flood risks.

Under Risk MAP, FEMA seeks to:
-Deliver new data and products that expand risk awareness and promote mitigation planning that leads to risk reduction actions.
-Increase production efficiencies for Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) and Flood Insurance Studies (FISs).

Issue: To implement FEMA's Risk MAP vision and provide the high quality topographic data necessary to meet Risk MAP's goals, FEMA Regions and Mapping Partners need upgraded guidance concerning the accuracy and processing of high quality topographic data including Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) data. This Procedure Memorandum supersedes Appendix A: Guidance for Aerial Mapping and Surveying of the Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners (Guidelines) in key areas (defined in the Procedure Memorandum Attachments), and must be implemented beginning with all topographic data collected or procured by FEMA in FY 2010.

Actions Taken: When procuring topographic data under the Risk MAP Program, the Mapping Partner assigned to obtain topographic data or perform independent QA of topographic data must meet the specifications detailed in this Procedure Memorandum's attachments. The attachments align FEMA's high quality topographic specifications, found in Appendix A of the Guidelines, with the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Lidar Guidelines and Base Specifications v13 so that data procured and used by the Federal government is consistent across agencies and is updated to industry standards. Further, adherence to these specifications will support the Risk MAP Program by closing gaps in existing flood hazard data; supporting risk assessments; and better communicating risks to community officials and the public.

Existing elevation data, not acquired by FEMA, but planned for use in a new flood hazard analysis for National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) regulatory products must comply with the accuracy, density and the final product metadata requirements detailed in the attachments, but is not required to comply with the other specifications included and referenced below.

Consistent with FEMA's overall approach to flood hazard identification, this Procedure Memorandum aligns FEMA topographic data specifications to level of risk, and accounts for different slopes in the terrain that can affect the accuracy of base flood elevations and the delineation of mapped floodplains. These specifications represent the minimum requirements. Where involved project is jointly funded by FEMA and external partners or where the engineering requirements dictate, projects may use higher specification levels or include additional processing. Quality assurance requirements for high quality topographic data are also provided.

## Attachments:

Attachment 1 - Definitions
Attachment 2 - Alignment of FEMA Appendix A to USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification v13
Attachment 3 - Topographic Breakline and Hydro-Enforcement Specifications
Attachment 4 - Topographic Data Quality Review Process

Distribution List (electronic distribution only):
Office of the Acting Assistant Administrator for Flood Insurance and Mitigation
Risk Analysis Division
Risk Reduction Division
Risk Insurance Division
Regional Mitigation Division Directors
Regional Risk Analysis Branch Chiefs
Legislative Affairs
Office of Chief Counsel
Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity Contractors
Cooperating Technical Partners
Program Management Contractor
Customer and Data Services Contractor
Production and Technical Services Contractors

## Attachment 1 - Definitions

1) Digital Elevation Data - Includes all of the following terms: mass points, point clouds, breaklines, contours, TINs, DEMs, DTMs or DSMs.

- Breakline - A linear feature demarking a change in the smoothness or continuity of a surface such as abrupt elevation changes or a stream line. The two most common forms of breaklines are as follows:
o A soft breakline ensures that known elevations, or z -values, along a linear feature are maintained (e.g., elevations along a pipeline, road centerline or drainage ditch), and ensures the boundary of natural and man-made features on the Earth's surface are appropriately represented in the digital terrain data by use of linear features and polygon edges They are generally synonymous with 3-D breaklines because they are depicted with series of $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{z}$ coordinates.

0 A hard breakline defines interruptions in surface smoothness, e.g., to define streams, shorelines, dams, ridges, building footprints, and other locations with abrupt surface changes. Although some hard breaklines are three dimensional (3-D) breaklines, they are often depicted as two dimensional (2-D) breaklines because features such as shorelines and building footprints are normally depicted with a series of horizontal coordinates only which are often digitized from digital orthophotographs that include no elevation data.

- Contours - Lines of equal elevation on a surface. An imaginary line on the ground, all points of which are at the same elevation above or below a specified vertical datum.
- Digital Elevation Model (DEM) - An elevation model created for use in computer software where bare-earth elevation values have regularly spaced intervals in latitude and longitude (x and y$)$. The $\Delta \mathrm{x}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ values are normally measured in feet or meters to even units; however, the National Elevation Dataset (NED) defines the spacing interval in terms of arcseconds of latitude and longitude, e.g., $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ arc-second.
- Digital Surface Model (DSM) - An elevation model created for use in computer software that is similar to DEMs or DTMs except that DSMs depict the elevations of the top surfaces of buildings, trees, towers, and other features elevated above the bare earth.
- Digital Terrain Model (DTM) - An elevation model created for use in computer software of bare-earth mass points and breaklines. DTMs are technically superior to a gridded DEM for many applications because distinctive terrain features are more clearly defined and precisely located, and contours generated from DTMs more closely approximate the real shape of the terrain.
- Mass Points - Irregularly spaced points, each with latitude and longitude location coordinates and elevation values typically used to form a TIN.
- Metadata - Project descriptive information about the elevation dataset.
- Point Cloud - Often referred to as the "raw point cloud", this is the first data product of a lidar instrument. In its crudest form, a lidar raw point cloud is a collection of range measurements and sensor orientation parameters. After initial processing, the range and orientation of each laser value is converted to a position in a three dimensional frame of reference and this spatially coherent cloud of points is the base for further processing and analysis. The raw point cloud typically includes first, last, and intermediate returns for each laser pulse. In addition to spatial information, lidar intensity returns provide texture or color information. The combination of three dimensional spatial information and spectral information contained in the lidar dataset allows great flexibility for data manipulation and extraction. As used in this procedure memorandum, two additional lidar data processing terms are defined as follows:
o Lidar Preliminary Processing - The initial processing and analysis of laser data to fully "calibrated point clouds" in some specified tile format. All lidar data will be set to American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS) LAS Class 1 (unclassified) and must include testing for Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA). The tile format can change later, if necessary.
o Lidar Post-Processing - The final processing and classification of lidar data to the required ASPRS LAS classes, per project specifications. This must include testing for Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA). At this point, the datasets are referred to as the "classified point cloud."
- Triangulated Irregular Network (TIN) - A set of adjacent, non-overlapping triangles computed from irregularly-spaced points with lattitude, longitude, and elevation values. The TIN data structure is based on irregularly-spaced point, line, and polygon data interpreted as mass points and breaklines and stores the topological relationship between triangles and their adjacent neighbors. The TIN model may be preferable to a DEM when it is critical to preserve the precise location of narrow or small features, such as levees, ditch or stream centerlines, isolated peaks or pits in the data model.
- Z-Values - The elevations of the 3-D surface above the vertical datum at designated $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y}$ locations.

2) Geospatial Accuracy Standard - A common accuracy testing and reporting methodology that facilitates sharing and interoperability of geospatial data. Published in 1998, the National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) is the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) standard relevant to digital elevation data when assuming that errors follow a normal error distribution. However, after it was learned that lidar datasets do not necessarily follow a normal distribution in vegetated terrain, the National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP) published its "Guidelines for Digital Elevation Data" and the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS) published the "ASPRS Guidelines: Vertical Accuracy Reporting for Lidar Data," both of which were published in 2004 and use newer terms defined below as Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA), Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) and Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA). All of these standards, designed for digital elevation data, replace the National Map Accuracy Standard (NMAS) that is applicable only to graphic maps defined by map scale and contour interval.
3) Accuracy - The closeness of an estimated value (e.g., measured or computed) to a standard or accepted (true) value of a particular quantity. Note: With the exception of GPS Continuously Operating Reference Stations (CORS), assumed to be known with zero errors relative to established datums, the true locations of 3-D spatial coordinates or other points are not known, but only estimated. Therefore, the accuracy of other coordinate information is unknown and can only be estimated. Other accuracy definitions are as follows.

- Absolute Accuracy - A measure that accounts for all systematic and random errors in a data set. Absolute accuracy is stated with respect to a defied datum or reference system.
- Accuracy $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}}$ - The NSSDA reporting standard in the horizontal component that equals the radius of a circle of uncertainty, such that the true or theoretical horizontal location of the point falls within that circle 95-percent of the time. Accuracy $_{\mathrm{r}}=1.7308 \times$ RMSE $_{\mathrm{r}}$. Horizontal accuracy is defined as the positional accuracy of a dataset with respect to a horizontal datum.
- Accuracy $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{z}}$ - The NSSDA reporting standard in the vertical component that equals the linear uncertainty value, such that the true or theoretical vertical location of the point falls within that linear uncertainty value 95 -percent of the time. Accuracy $_{z}=1.9600 \times$ RMSE $_{z}$. Vertical accuracy is defined as the positional accuracy of a dataset with respect to a vertical datum.
- Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA) - The result of a test of the accuracy of vertical checkpoints (z-values) consolidated for two or more of the major land cover categories, representing both open terrain and other land cover categories. Computed by using the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, CVA is always accompanied by Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA).
- Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) - The value by which vertical accuracy can be equitably assessed and compared among datasets. The FVA is determined with vertical checkpoints located only in open terrain, where there is a very high probability that the sensor will have detected the ground surface. FVA is calculated at the $95 \%$ confidence level in open terrain only, using $\mathrm{RMSE}_{\mathrm{z}} \times 1.9600$,
- Local Accuracy - A value that represents the uncertainty in the coordinates of a control point relative to the coordinates of other directly-connected, adjacent control points at the 95percent confidence level. The reported local accuracy is an approximate average of the individual local accuracy values between this control point and other observed control points used to establish the coordinates of the control point.
- Network Accuracy - A value that represents the uncertainty in the coordinates of a control point with respect to the geodetic datum at the 95 -percent confidence level. For National Spatial Reference System (NSRS) network accuracy classification in the U.S., the datum is considered to be best expressed by the geodetic values at the CORS supported by the National Geodetic Survey (NGS). By this definition, the local and network accuracy values at CORS sites are considered to be infinitesimal, i.e., to approach zero.
- Percentile - Any of the values in a dataset of errors dividing the distribution of the individual errors in the dataset into one hundred groups of equal frequency. Any of those groups can specify a specific percentile, e.g., the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile as defined below.
- Precision - A statistical measure of the tendency of a set of random numbers to cluster about a number determined by the dataset. Precision relates to the quality of the method by which the measurements were made and is distinguished from accuracy which relates to the quality of the result. The term "precision" not only applies to the fidelity with which required operations are performed, but, by custom, has been applied to methods and instruments employed in obtaining results of a high order of precision. Precision is exemplified by the number of decimal places to which a computation is carried and a result stated.
- Positional Accuracy - The accuracy of the position of features, including horizontal and/or vertical positions.
- Relative Accuracy - A measure that accounts for random errors in a data set. Relative accuracy may also be referred to as point-to-point accuracy. The general measure of relative accuracy is an evaluation of the random errors (systematic errors and blunders removed) in determining the positional orientation (e.g., distance, azimuth) of one point or feature with respect to another.
- Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) - The square root of the average of the set of squared differences between dataset coordinate values and coordinate values from an independent source of higher accuracy for identical points. The vertical RMSE $\left(\mathrm{RMSE}_{Z}\right)$, for example, is calculated as the square root of $\sum\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \mathrm{N}$, where:
o $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the set of Nz -values (elevations) being evaluated, normally interpolated (for TINs and DEMs) from dataset elevations of points surrounding the $x / y$ coordinates of checkpoints
o $\quad Z_{n}^{\prime}$ is the corresponding set of checkpoint elevations for the points being evaluated
o N is the number of checkpoints
0 n is the identification number of each of the checkpoints from 1 through N .
- Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) - The result of a test of the accuracy of z-values over areas with ground cover categories or combination of categories other than open terrain. Computed by using the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, SVA is always accompanied by Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA). SVA values are computed individually for different land cover categories. Each land cover type representing $10 \%$ of more of the total project area is typically tested and reported as an SVA. SVA specifications are normally target values that may be exceeded so long as overall CVA requirements are satisfied.
- 95\% Confidence Level - Accuracy reported at the 95\% confidence level means that 95\% of the positions in the dataset will have an error with respect to true ground position that is equal to or smaller than the reported accuracy value. The reported accuracy value reflects all uncertainties, including those introduced by geodetic control coordinates, compilation, and
final computation of ground coordinate values in the product. Where errors follow a normal error distribution, Accuracy ${ }_{z}$ defines vertical accuracy at the $95 \%$ confidence level (computed as $\mathrm{RMSE}_{\mathrm{z}} \times 1.9600$ ), and Accuracy $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{r}}$ defines horizontal (radial) accuracy at the $95 \%$ confidence level (computed as RMSE $_{r} \times 1.7308$ ).
- $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile - Accuracy reported at the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile indicates that $95 \%$ of the errors will be of equal or lesser value and $5 \%$ of the errors will be of larger value. This term is used when errors may not follow a normal error distribution, e.g., in forested areas where the classification of bare-earth elevations may have a positive bias. Vertical accuracy at the $95 \%$ confidence level and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile may be compared to evaluate the degree to which actual errors approach a normal error distribution.

4) Resolution - In the context of elevation data, resolution is synonymous with the horizontal density of elevation data points for which two similar terms are used:

- Nominal Pulse Spacing (NPS) - The estimated average spacing of irregularly-spaced lidar points in both the along-track and cross-track directions resulting from: the laser pulse repetition frequency (e.g., 100,000 pulses of laser energy emitted in one second from a 100 kHz sensor); scan rate (sometimes viewed as the number of zigzags per second for this common scanning pattern); field-of-view; and flight airspeed. Lidar system developers currently provide "design NPS" as part of the design pulse density, although the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS) is currently developing standard procedures to compute the "empirical NPS" which should be approximately the same as the "design NPS" when accepting statistically insignificant loss of returns and disregarding void areas, from water for example. The NPS assessment is made against single swath first return data located within the geometrically usable center portion (typically $\sim 90 \%$ ) of each swath. Average along-track and cross-track pulse spacing should be comparable. When point density is increased by relying on overlap or double-coverage it should be documented in metadata and not by changing the project's reported NPS. The NPS should be equal to or less than the Digital Elevation Model (DEM) post spacing when gridded DEMs are required as part of project specifications. This same definition for NPS could similarly apply to irregularly-spaced mass points from photogrammetry or Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (IFSAR) data. NPS pertains to lidar only and is not intended to pertain to photogrammetry or IFSAR.
- DEM Post Spacing - Sometimes confused with Nominal Pulse Spacing, the DEM Post Spacing is defined as the constant sampling interval in $x$ - and $y$-directions of a DEM lattice or grid. This is also called the horizontal resolution of a gridded DEM or the DEM grid spacing. It is standard industry practice to have:
o 1-meter DEM post spacing for elevation data with 1-foot equivalent contour accuracy;
o 2-meter DEM post spacing for elevation data with 2-foot equivalent contour accuracy;
o 5-meter DEM post spacing for elevation data with 5-foot equivalent contour accuracy.


## Attachment 2 - Alignment of FEMA Appendix A to USGS Lidar Specification v13

FEMA is aligning Appendix A of the Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners (Guidelines) to the USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification v13 to modernize the FEMA specifications to current industry practice, leverage the expertise of the USGS Geography discipline, maintain Federal standards across agencies, and support the use of elevation products acquired as part of Risk MAP by other agencies for other purposes thus maximizing the Government's investment.

Overall, new elevation data purchased by FEMA must comply with the USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification v13, except where specifically noted in this Procedure Memorandum.

Because FEMA's needs for elevation data are specific to NFIP floodplain mapping, FEMA has some unique requirements that differ from the USGS specifications. To supplement the existing USGS specifications, FEMA-specific items such as cross section surveys, bridges, and other features in Appendix A of the Guidelines remain valid except where superseded by more current information provided in this attachment. Table 1 summarizes the sections in Appendix A that are fully superseded, partially superseded or not superseded by this Procedure Memorandum.

Table 2.1 Currency of Major Sections within FEMA's Appendix A: Guidance for Aerial Mapping and Surveying

| Section | Name | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A.1 | Introduction | Is not superseded and remains valid. |
| A.2 | Industry <br> Geospatial <br> Standards | Remains valid but is appended by additional standards which use <br> newer standards from the National Digital Elevation Program <br> (NDEP) and American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote <br> Sensing (ASPRS) to test elevation data for Fundamental Vertical <br> Accuracy (FVA), Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA), and <br> Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA). |
| A.3 | Accuracy <br> Guidelines | Partly superseded, especially Table 2, below, that specifies variable <br> vertical accuracy standards and nominal pulse spacing (NPS), <br> depending on the risk level and terrain slope within the floodplain <br> being mapped. |
| A.4 | Data <br> Requirements | Major portions are superseded. Subsection A.4.2.3 pertaining to <br> breaklines, subsection A.4.3 pertaining to elevation data vertical <br> accuracy, and subsection A.4.5 pertaining to mapping area, are <br> superseded. Subsection A.4.11 pertaining to other digital <br> topographic data requirements, including Table A-3, Digital <br> Topographic Data Requirements Checklist, is now superseded by <br> other FEMA procurement guidelines. Subsection A.4.9 on data <br> formats is partially superseded by the addition of lidar LAS <br> formatted datasets. Subsections pertaining to cross sections (A.4.6) <br> and hydraulic structures (A.4.7) remain valid. |
| A.5 | Ground Control | Is not superseded and remains valid. |
| A.6 | Ground Surveys | Is not superseded and remains valid. |


| Section | Name | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. | Photogrammetric <br> Surveys | Remains valid but is appended by additional standards which <br> require low confidence areas to be delineated for photogrammetry <br> as well as lidar and interferometric synthetic aperture radar <br> (IFSAR). The vast majority of section A.7 remains valid and <br> unchanged. |
| A.8 | Airborne LiDAR | Superseded with references the USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base <br> Specification v13; and by NDEP and ASPRS guidelines for <br> accuracy testing and reporting of lidar data. |

### 2.1 Elevation Specifications Based on Risk Levels

FEMA maintains a national dataset that estimates flood risk. The data is calculated at the Census Block Group level, and is also aggregated to the subwatershed, watershed and county levels. These data assign a risk value and a risk rank to each area. The areas are grouped into 10 classes with an equal number of members based on risk rank. These 10 classes are called risk deciles.

The table below provides the minimum elevation standards for new engineering analyses produced by FEMA. The highest and high specifications are suitable for all types of engineering analyses. The medium and low specifications are suitable for deciles and terrain as outlined in table below. Careful consideration and balance among cost, need, risk, and vertical accuracy is important. Where more than $20 \%$ of the project area covered by the new elevation will have enhanced engineering analyses, the next higher elevation specification level may be appropriate. When the scope of the enhanced engineering analyses is not sufficient to justify increasing the overall project specification level, the bulk elevation data collection may be enhanced by field survey in areas of enhanced engineering analyses if necessary.

Table 2.2. Vertical Accuracy Requirements based on Flood Risk and Terrain Slope within the Floodplain being mapped

| Level of Flood Risk | Typical <br> Slopes | Specification <br> Level | Vertical Accuracy, 95\% <br> Confidence Level <br> FVA/CVA | Lidar Nominal Pulse <br> Spacing (NPS) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High (Deciles <br> $1,2,3)$ | Flattest | Highest | $24.5 \mathrm{~cm} / 36.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\leq 1$ meter |
| High (Deciles <br> $1,2,3)$ | Rolling <br> or Hilly | High | $49.0 \mathrm{~cm} / 72.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\leq 2$ meters |
| High (Deciles <br> $2,3,4,5)$ | Hilly | Medium | $98.0 \mathrm{~cm} / 145 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\leq 3.5$ meters |
| Medium (Deciles <br> $3,4,5,6,7)$ | Flattest | High | $49.0 \mathrm{~cm} / 72.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\leq 2$ meters |
| Medium (Deciles <br> $3,4,5,6,7)$ | Rolling | Medium | $98.0 \mathrm{~cm} / 145 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\leq 3.5$ meters |


| Medium (Deciles <br> $4,5,6,7)$ | Hilly | Low | $147 \mathrm{~cm} / 218 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\leq 5$ meters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low (Deciles <br> $7,8,9,10)$ | All | Low | $147 \mathrm{~cm} / 218 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\leq 5$ meters |

Whereas contour lines are for visual interpretation and are unnecessary for FEMA's automated hydrologic and hydraulic analyses, the term "equivalent contour accuracy" is used to show the accuracy of contour lines that could be produced from a DEM if needed for manual analysis; this is also for the benefit of those who do not understand NSSDA terminology that defines vertical accuracy at the $95 \%$ confidence level. Table 3 explains "equivalent contour accuracy" for various standard contour intervals, referenced also in terms of vertical root mean square error $\left(\mathrm{RMSE}_{z}\right)$, National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) Accuracy ${ }_{z}$, SVA and CVA.

Table 2.3. Accuracy Terms that Equal "Equivalent Contour Accuracy"

| Equivalent <br> Contour <br> Accuracy | FEMA <br> Specification <br> Level | RMSE $_{2}$ | NSSDA Accuracy ${ }_{2} 95 \%$ <br> confidence level | SVA <br> (target) | CVA (mandatory) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 ft |  | 0.30 ft or 9.25 cm | 0.60 ft or 18.2 cm | 0.60 ft or 18.2 cm | 0.60 ft or 18.2 cm |
| 2 ft | Highest | 0.61 ft or 18.5 cm | 1.19 ft or 36.3 cm | 1.19 ft or 36.3 cm | 1.19 ft or 36.3 cm |
| 4 ft | High | 1.22 ft or 37.1 cm | 2.38 ft or 72.6 cm | 2.38 ft or 72.6 cm | 2.38 ft or 72.6 cm |
| 5 ft |  | 1.52 ft or 46.3 cm | 2.98 ft or 90.8 cm | 2.98 ft or 90.8 cm | 2.98 ft or 90.8 cm |
| 8 ft | Medium | 2.43 ft or 73.9 cm | 4.77 ft or 1.45 m | 4.77 ft or 1.45 m | 4.77 ft or 1.45 m |
| 10 ft |  | 3.04 ft or 92.7 cm | 5.96 ft or 1.82 m | 5.96 ft or 1.82 m | 5.96 ft or 1.82 m |
| 12 ft | Low | 3.65 ft or 1.11 m | 7.15 ft or 2.18 m | 7.15 ft or 2.18 m | 7.15 ft or 2.18 m |

FEMA's requirements for elevation data are specific to flood risk analysis. As a result, FEMA's requirements diverge from the USGS specification which is intended to serve a different purpose. Two of the key differences with the FEMA specifications are the requirements for vertical accuracy and nominal pulse spacing. The FEMA requirements in these areas are only similar to the USGS requirements in the highest specification level, but otherwise differ for the lower accuracy levels.

All data collected must go through lidar preliminary processing and the unclassified point cloud must be tested as specified in the USGS specification. Where the Mapping Activity Statement (MAS) requires bare earth post-processing of the floodplain area of interest (AOI), the elevation data must be tested and comply with both the FVA and CVA requirements. Where no bare earth post-processing is specified, only the FVA requirements apply for lidar preliminary processing.

Many other organizations require higher-accuracy lidar data for diverse applications and combine their resources to solve multiple needs with lidar. FEMA prefers to acquire elevation data through partnerships so that the resulting data will meet a broader variety of end user needs and be more consistent with the overall USGS specification. These partnership elevation collection activities will frequently utilize specifications that exceed the minimums described above in Table 2. Before committing funds to a new elevation mapping project, FEMA Regional staff should first determine whether funds could be spent more effectively by cooperating with
other agencies to more cost-effectively acquire elevation data. FEMA is a member of the National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP) which was formed, in part, to avoid duplication of effort among state and federal government agencies acquiring digital elevation data. USGS maintains state geospatial liaisons that are a good source of information regarding the status of existing and/or planned mapping activities in their states.

### 2.2 Light Detection and Ranging (lidar)

Lidar is capable of delivering 1-foot equivalent contour accuracy with sub-meter NPS used to produce DEMs with 1-meter DEM gridded post spacing. Therefore, lidar could satisfy FEMA's requirements for elevation data in high risk, moderate risk, and low risk areas. Lidar is often the best technology for mapping the elevations of the bare earth terrain in dense vegetation.

If this technology is selected for high risk areas, lidar will be collected in accordance with the USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification, v13, for the National Geospatial Program except as noted. FEMA does not require the data to be hydro-flattened, as specified in v13. Also, FEMA does not require all data to be processed to the bare earth terrain, but instead limits the area to be processed to areas in the vicinity of floodplains that will require hydraulic modeling. See FEMA's Procurement Guidelines for specifics on this topic.

The following USGS specifications are most relevant to FEMA and are consistent with FEMA requirements:

- Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) pertains only to open, non-vegetated terrain. The FVA is specified at a higher level of accuracy than other land cover categories. The FVA is a mandatory specification that must be satisfied in order to be usable by FEMA for flood risk mapping within the specified level of flood risk.
- Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) pertains to other major land cover categories representative of the floodplain being mapped. SVA values are target values, where one SVA category can test higher and another lower than the target SVA value so long as the overall CVA is satisfied for the consolidated equivalent contour accuracy.
- Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA) pertains to all land cover categories combined. Compliance with the CVA specification is mandatory in order for an elevation dataset to qualify for satisfaction of a specified equivalent contour accuracy.
- For the highest specification level equivalent to 2 foot contour accuracy, the relative accuracy should be $\leq 7 \mathrm{~cm}$ RMSE $_{z}$ within individual swaths; $\leq 10 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{RMSE}_{z}$ within swath overlap (between adjacent swaths). These relative accuracy specifications double to 14 and 20 cm , respectively, for risk areas that utilize the high elevation specification with 4 foot equivalent contour accuracy. This specification is not applicable to lower risk areas.
- Consistent with USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification, v13, a regular grid, with cell size equal to the design NPS*2 will be laid over the first return data within the geometrically usable center portion of each swath. At least $90 \%$ of the cells in the grid shall contain at least one lidar point.
- All data collected will be delivered consistent with the USGS Raw Point Cloud deliverable requirements.
- Where lidar post-processing is performed, the deliverables must also include the classified point cloud deliverable. The data will be delivered in full compliance with LAS classes 1 (processed, but unclassified), 2 (bare-earth ground), 7 (noise), 9 (water), 10 (ignored), and 11 (withheld). All points not identified as "withheld" are to be classified. "Overlap" classification (Class 12) shall not be used.
- The horizontal datum shall be referenced to the latest adjustment of the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83 [NSRS2007]).
- The vertical datum shall be referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88) whenever available. Areas outside of the continental U.S. where NAVD88 is not available should be referenced to a reproducible local datum that can be used to support floodplain management.
- The most recent approved Geoid model from the National Geodetic Survey (NGS) shall be used to perform conversions from ellipsoidal heights to orthometric heights.
- The standard coordinate reference system and units shall be Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), meters. Considerations for other standard coordinate systems such as State Plane can be made for projects which are contributed to by mapping partners.
- The single non-overlapped tiling scheme shall be established and agreed upon by the data producer and FEMA prior to collection, consistent with the USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specifications, v13.
- Specifications for breaklines and hydro-enforcement are addressed in Attachment B.
- Specifications for lidar accuracy testing by land cover categories within the floodplain being mapped are addressed in Attachment C.

Lidar dataset deliverables shall include the following:

1. Metadata should comply with the requirements in the USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification, v13. The QA/QC report provided must include the vertical accuracy calculations as a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. In addition, the finished elevation product for hydraulic modeling should be documented by a FGDC-compliant metadata file that complies with the FEMA Elevation Metadata Profile. Project documentation must also include a Pre-flight Operations Plan and Post-flight Aerial Survey and Calibration Report as described in Attachment 4.
2. Raw point cloud data shall comply with the requirements in the USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification, v13.
3. Classified point cloud data shall comply with requirements in the USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification, v13.
4. Optional breaklines, when produced, shall be delivered in compliance with guidance in Attachment 3
5. Optional digital bare earth elevation data product(s) (e.g., DEM, DTM, contours) in file formats specified in the Statement of Work.

### 2.3 Photogrammetry

Photogrammetry is also capable of delivering 1-foot equivalent contour accuracy and a DEM with 1-meter post spacing. Therefore, photogrammetry could also satisfy FEMA's requirements for elevation data in high risk, moderate risk, and low risk areas. Except for the new requirement to delineate areas of low confidence, existing guidance published in section A.7, Photogrammetric Surveys, in Appendix A of FEMA's Guidelines, remain current for new aerial image acquisition with either film or digital cameras.

The USGS annually contracts for leaf-off orthoimagery of selected areas under the National Geospatial Program, typically producing digital orthophotographs with pixel resolution of 30 cm ( $\sim 1$ foot) or 15 cm ( $\sim 6$ inches), as do many states and local governments; and the USDA contracts for leaf-on orthoimagery of major areas of the U.S. annually under the National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP) with pixel resolution of 1 meter. Although intended for production of digital orthophotos, those same images could be reused for production of digital elevation data because the aerotriangulation (AT) solution for production of orthophotos can be reused for establishing stereo models from which DEMs can be produced by photogrammetric auto-correlation and/or manual compilation. Elevation accuracies typically achievable by reuse of digital imagery and AT metrics are as follows:

- Typically acquired at an elevation of approximately 4,800 feet above mean terrain, imagery and AT solutions used to produce digital orthophotos with 6-inch pixel resolution should be acceptable for elevation data with 2.5 -foot equivalent contour accuracy
- Typically acquired at an elevation of approximately 9,600 feet above mean terrain, imagery and AT solutions used to produce digital orthophotos with 1-foot pixel resolution should be acceptable for elevation data with 5 -foot equivalent contour accuracy
- Typically acquired at an elevation of approximately 30,000 feet above mean terrain, imagery and AT solutions used to produce digital orthophotos with 1-meter pixel resolution should be acceptable for elevation data with 15 -foot equivalent contour accuracy.

Photogrammetric dataset deliverables shall include the following:

1. Metadata:
o Collection Report detailing mission planning and flight logs, flying heights, camera parameters, forward overlap and sidelap.
o Survey Report detailing the collection of control and reference points used for calibration and QA/QC.
o Aerial triangulation (AT) report detailing compliance with relevant accuracy statistics.
o Processing Report detailing photogrammetric processed used to manually compile elevation data or to semi-automatically compile elevation data with automated image correlation or other techniques.
o QA/QC reports.
o Geo-referenced extents of each delivered dataset.
2. Digital bare earth elevation data product (DEM, DTM, mass points, breaklines, contours) specified in the Statement of Work.
3. Optional breaklines, when produced, shall be delivered in compliance with guidance in Attachment 3

### 2.4 Ground Surveys

All ground surveys must be performed in accordance with procedures in Section A.5, Ground Control, and Section A.6, Ground Surveys, in Appendix A of FEMA's Guidelines. Crosssection surveys and hydraulic structure surveys shall also be performed in accordance with sections A.4.6 and A.4.7, respectively, of Appendix A.

### 2.5 Low Confidence Areas

Regardless of the technology used, FEMA requires that low confidence areas be delineated by the data provider to indicate areas where the vertical data may not meet the data accuracy requirements due to heavy vegetation even though the specified nominal pulse spacing was met or exceeded in those areas. The metadata must include an explanation of steps taken to minimize the areas delineated as low confidence areas. Accuracy test points are normally retained within such areas and are not discarded. The data provider must take reasonable steps to minimize areas delineated as low confidence areas, taking into consideration the density of the vegetation in the floodplain being mapped and other factors.

These low confidence areas must be delivered as polygons in accordance with a database schema. The database schema for polygons defining low confidence areas is as follows.

## Feature Dataset: TOPOGRAPHIC Feature Class: CONFIDENCE

Feature Type: Polygon
Contains M Values: No
Annotation Subclass: None
XY Resolution: Accept Default Setting Z Resolution: Accept Default Setting
XY Tolerance: 0.003

Contains Z Values: No

Z Tolerance: N/A

### 2.5.1 Description

This polygon feature class will depict areas where the ground is obscured by dense vegetation, meaning that the resultant bare-earth digital terrain model (DTM) may not meet the required accuracy specifications in these obscured areas. Low confidence areas can pertain to lidar, photogrammetry or IFSAR.

### 2.5.2 Table Definition

| Field Name | Data Type | Allow <br> Null <br> Values | Default <br> Value | Domain | Precision | Scale | Length | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTID | Object ID |  |  |  |  |  | Assigned by <br> Software |  |
| SHAPE | Geometry |  |  |  |  |  | Assigned by <br> Software |  |
| DATESTAMP_DT | Date | Yes |  |  | 0 | 0 | 8 | Assigned by <br> Contractor |
| SHAPE_LENGTH | Double | Yes |  |  | 0 | 0 | Calculated by <br> Contractor |  |
| SHAPE_AREA | Double | Yes |  |  | 0 | 0 | Calculated by <br> Contractor |  |
| TYPE | Long <br> Integer | No | 1 | Obscure | 0 | 0 | Assigned by <br> Contractor |  |

### 2.5.3 Feature Definition

| Code | Description | Definition | Capture Rules |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Low Confidence Area | "Low confidence areas" are defined <br> by the data provider to indicate <br> areas where the vertical data may <br> not meet the data accuracy <br> requirements due to heavy <br> vegetation even though the nominal <br> pulse spacing was met or exceeded <br> in those areas. | Capture as closed polygon. <br> Compiler does not need t z- <br> values of vertices; feature <br> class will be 2-D only. |

## Attachment 3 - Topographic Breakline and Hydro-Enforcement Specifications

FEMA has no minimum breakline requirements; breaklines are optional and depend upon the procedures used to perform hydrologic and hydraulic modeling. The FEMA Project Manager should specify the breaklines requirements if desired based on the planned approach for hydraulic analysis or the mapping partner may propose breakline requirements based on the anticipated hydraulic modeling approach.

When optional breaklines are produced, the following breakline topology rules must be followed for the applicable feature classes. The topology must be validated by each contractor prior to delivery to FEMA.

| Name: BREAKLINES_Topology |  |  | Cluster Tolerance: 0.003 <br> Maximum Generated Error Count: Undefined State: Analyzed without errors |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feature Class | Weight | XY Rank | Z Rank | Event Notification |
| COASTALSHORELINE | 5 | 1 | 1 | No |
| HYDROGRAPHICFEATURE | 5 | 1 | 1 | No |
| PONDS_AND_LAKES | 5 | 1 | 1 | No |
| HYDRAULICSTRUCTURE | 5 | 1 | 1 | No |
| ISLAND | 5 | 1 | 1 | No |

## Topology Rules

| Name | Rule Type | Trigger <br> Event | Orgin (FeatureClass::Subtype) | Destination (FeatureClass::Subtype) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Must not <br> intersect | The rule is a line-no <br> intersection rule | No | HYDRAULICSTRUCTURE::All | HYDRAULICSTRUCTURE::All |
| Must not <br> intersect | The rule is a line-no <br> intersection rule | No | HYDROGRAPHICFEATURE::All | HYDROGRAPHICFEATURE::All |
| Must not <br> intersect | The rule is a line-no <br> intersection rule | No | COASTALSHORELINE::All | COASTALSHORELINE::All |
| Must not <br> intersect | The rule is a line-no <br> intersection rule | No | PONDS_AND_LAKES::All | PONDS_AND_LAKES::All |
| Must not <br> intersect | The rule is a line-no <br> intersection rule | No | ISLAND::All | ISLAND::All |
| Must not <br> overlap | The rule is a line-no <br> overlap line rule | No | HYDROGRAPHICFEATURE::All | COASTALSHORELINE::All |
| Must not self- <br> intersect | The rule is a line-no <br> self intersect rule | No | HYDRAULICSTRUCTURE::All | HYDRAULICSTRUCTURE::All |
| Must not self- <br> intersect | The rule is a line-no <br> self intersect rule | No | HYDROGRAPHICFEATURE::All | HYDROGRAPHICFEATURE::All |
| Must not self- <br> intersect | The rule is a line-no <br> self intersect rule | No | COASTALSHORELINE::All | COASTALSHORELINE::All |


| Name | Rule Type | Trigger <br> Event | Orgin (FeatureClass::Subtype) | Destination (FeatureClass::Subtype) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Must not self- <br> intersect | The rule is a line-no <br> self intersect rule | No | PONDS_AND_LAKES::All | PONDS_AND_LAKES::All |
| Must not self- <br> intersect | The rule is a line-no <br> self intersect rule | No | ISLAND::All | ISLAND::All |

## Attachment 4 - Topographic Data Quality Review and Reporting Process

To complement the topographic data specifications in this procedure memorandum, this attachment describes data quality review processes and reporting obligations to be performed on new topographic data procured by FEMA as part of a flood hazard study or Risk MAP project. The mapping partner responsible for producing the elevation data is responsible for the quality of the product. In addition, FEMA may assign another mapping partner to perform Independent QA/QC of Topographic Data

Existing topographic data leveraged by FEMA should be certified to meet or tested for the vertical accuracy requirements specified in this procedure memo. In addition, the quality reviews described here are best practices that may be applied to existing topographic data. However, some of the documentation needed to perform some of these reviews may not be readily available for existing data.

### 4.1 Quality Reviews and Reporting Performed by Data Provider

The mapping partner responsible for producing new elevation data must submit copies of QA reports as specified in USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification version 13. Unless the responsibility for checkpoint surveys and vertical accuracy testing is specifically assigned to a different mapping partner performing Independent $\mathrm{QA} / \mathrm{QC}$, the mapping partner responsible for producing the elevation data must test the unclassified point cloud data for Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) and, when lidar post-processing is performed must also test the bare earth product for Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) and Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA).

### 4.1.1 Ground Survey of Quality Review Checkpoints

Quality review checkpoint surveys shall be performed in accordance with procedures in Section A.6.4, Checkpoint Surveys and A.6.5 Survey Records, in Appendix A of FEMA's Guidelines.

Checkpoints surveyed for accuracy reporting shall not be used by the data provider in the calibration or adjustment of the topographic data.

### 4.1.2 Assessment of Initial Vertical Accuracy

Assessment of the fully calibrated, raw point cloud initial vertical accuracy is required to ensure data has successfully completed preliminary processing. The absolute and relative accuracy of the data, relative to known control, shall be verified prior to classification and subsequent product development, by calculating FVA, measured in open, non-vegetated terrain. The spatial distribution of checkpoints for FVA testing should be based on the entire project collection area, distributed to avoid clustering, and support vertical accuracy reporting that is representative of the whole project.

If the project area exceeds 2,000 square miles it must be divided into smaller blocks of 2,000 square miles or less and tested as individual areas. In addition, the division of large project areas should apply the following rules if applicable:

- Divide areas by vendor used
- Divide areas by sensor type (manufacturer)
- Divide areas by flight dates if significant temporal difference is present
- Other logical project divisions based factors that might have a systematic relationships to data quality.

Reporting of positional accuracy shall be in accordance with ASPRS/NDEP standards as well as the USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification, v13, Section II. 13 and shall use the following statement:

Tested $\qquad$ (meters) fundamental vertical accuracy at $95 \%$ confidence level

Reporting on the assessment of the point cloud initial vertical accuracy shall include the following at a minimum:

- A description of the process used to test the points
- A graphic depicting the spatial distribution of the ground survey checkpoints
- Descriptive statistics and RMSEz in FVA calculations


### 4.1.3 Assessment of Bare Earth Vertical Accuracy

When bare earth post-processing is included in the project, assessment of the vertical accuracy for the delivered bare earth elevation product is required to ensure data has successfully completed post processing. Reporting of positional accuracy shall be in accordance with ASPRS/NDEP standards for FVA and CVA. Testing should be performed on the bare earth deliverable as specified in the mapping activity statement, along with the following guidance:

- If an assessment of initial vertical accuracy (FVA) was conducted prior to the processing of the data (section 4.1.2), the FVA checkpoints can again be used in the CVA computations if located within the area to be processed
- The SVA for up to three significant land cover categories, in terms of percentage of the project area covered, shall be tested in addition to the open/bare ground areas already tested for FVA Land cover categories making up $10 \%$ or more of the project area should be included in the SVA testing
- For smaller projects less than 1,000 square miles, fewer check points for SVA testing is acceptable. The number of checkpoints shall be reduced to control the QA cost to about $10 \%$ of the acquisition and processing cost. The checkpoints should be distributed evenly across the SVA land cover types.
- Processing areas greater than 2,000 square miles must be divided into smaller blocks of 2,000 square miles or less and tested as individual areas. In addition, the division of large processing areas should apply the following rules if applicable:
o Divide areas by vendor used
o Divide areas by sensor type (manufacturer)
o Divide areas by flight dates if significant temporal difference is present
o Other logical project divisions based on factors that might have a systematic relationships to data quality.
- Each block of 2,000 square miles or less shall be tested for FVA, SVA, and CVA

Checkpoints used for testing SVA of the bare earth elevation product must be located in the areas where bare earth post-processing was performed, distributed to avoid clustering, and support vertical accuracy reporting that is representative of the post processed areas. The SVA results will then be combined with the FVA results to compute CVA for the entire project area.

Reporting on the assessment of the vertical accuracy of the post-processed, delivered elevation data shall include the following at a minimum:

## - A description of the process used to test the points

- A graphic depicting the spatial distribution of the ground survey checkpoints
- An analysis of checkpoints that have errors exceeding the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile in SVA and CVA calculations
- Descriptive statistics and RMSEz in FVA calculations


### 4.1.4 Aerial Data Acquisition and Calibration

The mapping partner responsible for producing new elevation data must also submit a pre-flight Operations Plan and a post-flight Aerial Acquisition and Calibration Report will be provided to FEMA and/or their representatives by the data acquisition provider and uploaded to the MIP by the data provider. This information will aid future quality review efforts. The required reporting includes the following, outlined in Tables 4.1 and 4.2.

Table 4.1. Pre-flight Operations Plan

| Item | Contents | Format |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $\bullet$ | Planned flight lines |  |
|  | $\bullet$ | Planned GPS stations |  |
| Flight Operations | $\bullet$ | Planned control |  |
| Plan | $\bullet$ | Planned airport locations | Calibration plans |
|  | $\bullet$ | Quality procedures for flight crew (project-related for pilot and |  |
|  | operator) | MS Word or |  |
|  | $\bullet$ | Planned scanset (sensor settings and altitude) | PDF |
|  | $\bullet$ | Type of aircraft |  |
|  | $\bullet$ | Procedure for tracking, executing, and checking reflights |  |
|  | $\bullet$ | Considerations for terrain, cover, and weather in project |  |

Table 4.2. Post-flight Aerial Acquisition and Calibration Report

| Item | Contents | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPS Base station info | - Base station name <br> - Latitude/Longitude (ddd-mm-ss.sss) <br> - Base height (Ellipsoidal meters) <br> - Maximum Position Dilution of Precision PDOP <br> - Map of locations | Excel, TXT, MS Word, or PDF for data; ESRI shape file for map of locations (data and info may be in attribute table) |
| GPS/IMU <br> processing summary | - Max Horizontal GPS Variance (cm) <br> - Max Vertical GPS Variance (cm) <br> - Notes on GPS quality (High, Good, etc.) <br> - GPS separation plot <br> - GPS altitude plot <br> - PDOP plot <br> - Plot of GPS distance from base station/s | MS Word or PDF with screenshots |
| Coverage | - Verification of project coverage | ESRI shape files reflecting the actual coverage area and not the applicable tiles. |
| Flights | - As-flown trajectories <br> - Calibration lines | ESRI shape files |
| Flight logs | - Incorporated as appendix <br> Should include: <br> - Job \# / name <br> - Lift \# <br> - Block or AOI designator <br> - Date <br> - Aircraft tail number, type <br> - Flight line, line \#, direction, start/stop, altitude, scan angle/rate, speed, conditions, comments <br> - Pilot name <br> - Operator name <br> - AGC switch setting <br> - Laser pulse rate <br> - Mirror rate <br> - Field of view <br> - Airport of operations <br> - GPS base station names or numbers Comments |  |
| Control | - Ground control and base station layouts | ESRI shape files |
| Data verification/QC | - Description of data verification/QC process <br> - Results of verification and QC steps | MS Word, Excel or PDF |

### 4.2 Quality Reviews and Reporting Performed by Independent QA/QC

When a mapping partner is assigned to perform Independent QA of Topographic Data macro and micro reviews of the submitted reports and data shall be performed. Macro reviews are automated processes or are checks required to establish overall data quality and shall be
applied to the entire project area. Micro reviews are typically manual in nature and shall be used to check no less than 3 project tiles or $5 \%$ of the total number of project tiles, whichever is the greater amount.

Tables 4.3 and 4.4 outline macro and micro reviews to be conducted on the raw point cloud and for data that is post-processed. Some reviews are duplicated between the raw point cloud and post-processing phases due to the potential for errors to be introduced into the data during post-processing.

Table 4.3. Review of fully calibrated raw point cloud

| Macro Reviews |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Product | Reviewed for |
| Pre-flight Operations Plan | - Compliance with section 4.1.4 and checklists in 4.2.1 <br> - Compliance with the specifications outlined in the Mapping Activity Statement |
| Post-flight Aerial Acquisition and Calibration Report | - Compliance with section 4.1.4 and checklists in 4.2.1 <br> - Compliance with the specifications outlined in the Mapping Activity Statement |
| LAS Point Cloud Files | - Project area coverage - buffered by a minimum of 100 meters <br> - Data voids <br> - Inclusion of GPS time stamp <br> - Correct projection, datum and units <br> - Multiple Discrete Returns (at least 3 returns per pulse) <br> - Correct header information <br> - Other LAS attributes required by Mapping Activity Statement such as intensity values <br> - Correct nominal pulse spacing as required by specific risk and/or level of study and buy-up options. |
| Metadata | - Compliance with the FEMA Terrain Metadata Profile |
| Micro Reviews |  |
| Product | Reviewed for |
| LAS Point Cloud Files | - Excessive noise <br> - Elevation steps <br> - Other anomalies present in the point cloud |

Table 4.4. Review of post-processed data

| Macro Reviews |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Product | Reviewed for |
| LAS Point Cloud Files | - Compliance with checklists in section 4.2.1 <br> - Project area coverage - buffered by a minimum of 100 meters <br> - Data voids <br> - Inclusion of GPS time stamp <br> - Correct projection, datum and units <br> - Multiple Discrete Returns (at least 3 returns per pulse) <br> - Correct header information <br> - Other LAS attributes required by Mapping Activity Statement such as intensity values <br> - Correct nominal pulse spacing as required by specific risk and/or level of study and buy-up options. <br> - Easting, northing and elevation reported to nearest 0.01 m or 0.01 ft <br> - Correct file-naming convention |
| Metadata | - Compliance with the FEMA Terrain Metadata Profile |
| Micro Reviews |  |
| Product | Reviewed for |
| LAS Point Cloud Files | - Excessive noise <br> - Elevation steps <br> - Other anomalies present in the point cloud <br> - Correct classification and cleanliness: no more than $2 \%$ of the project area classified to bare ground shall contain artifacts such as buildings, trees, overpasses or other above-ground features in the ground point classification (Class 2). In addition, no more than $2 \%$ of the project area shall contain incorrect classifications of points. (USGS Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification, v13, Section IV.14. |
| Optional - <br> Breaklines | - Correct topology <br> - Horizontal placement <br> - Completeness <br> - Continuity <br> See Attachment 3 for breakline topology rules to be checked against |

If the mapping partner responsible Independent QA of Topographic Data is tasked to perform assessment of vertical accuracy of the elevation data as described above in sections 4.1.2 and 4.1.3:

- Assessment of FVA only for pre-processed data to be stored and FVA, SVA, and CVA for post-processed data
- Review of data provider vertical accuracy assessment reports


### 4.2.1 Recommended Checklists

The following checklists are recommended for use during Independent QA/QC review to facilitate the process.

## Pre-flight review checklist

| Checklist | Pass / Fail | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Planned lines - sufficient coverage, spacing, and length |  |  |
| Planned GPS stations |  |  |
| Planned ground control - sufficient to control and boresight |  |  |
| Calibration plans |  |  |
| Vendor quality procedures |  |  |
| Lidar sensor scan set - planned for proper scan angle, sidelap, design pulse. |  |  |
| Aircraft utilizes ABGPS |  |  |
| Sensor supports project design pulse density |  |  |
| Type of aircraft - supports project design parameters |  |  |
| Reflight procedure - tracking, documenting, processing |  |  |
| Project design supports accuracy requirements of project |  |  |
| Project design accounts for land cover and terrain types |  |  |

## Post-flight review checklists

| Checklist for QA of Flight Logs |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Checklist | Included <br> Yes/No |  |
| Flight logs - job \#/name |  |  |
| Flight logs - block or AOI |  |  |
| Flight logs - date |  |  |
| Flight logs - aircraft tail \# |  |  |
| Flight logs - lines - \# |  |  |
| Flight logs - lines - direction |  |  |
| Flight logs - lines - start/stop |  |  |
| Flight logs - lines - altitude |  |  |
| Flight logs - lines - scan angle |  |  |
| Flight logs - lines - speed |  |  |
| Flight logs - conditions |  |  |
| Flight logs - comments |  |  |
| Flight logs - pilot name |  |  |
| Flight logs - operator name |  |  |
| Flight logs - AGC switch |  |  |
| Flight logs - GPS base stations |  |  |


| Checklist for Aerial Acquisition Report |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Checklist | Included? <br> Yes/No | Comments |$|$| GPS base station - names |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GPS base station - lat/longs |  |  |
| GPS base station - heights |  |  |
| GPS base station - map |  |  |
| GPS quality - separation plot |  |  |
| GPS quality - PDOP plot |  |  |
| GPS quality - horizontal Acc. |  |  |
| GPS quality - vertical Acc. |  |  |
| Sensor calibration process |  |  |
| Verification of AOI coverage |  |  |
| As-flown trajectories |  |  |
| Ground control layout |  |  |
| Data verification process documented |  |  |

## Final terrain product review checklists

| Checklist for QA of Terrain Products | Pass/Fail | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Checklist |  |  |
| Vertical datum correct |  |  |
| Horizontal datum correct |  |  |
| Projection correct |  |  |
| Vertical units correct |  |  |
| Horizontal units correct |  |  |
| Each return contains - GPS week, GPS second, easting, northing, elevation, intensity, <br> return \# and classification |  |  |
| No duplicate entries |  |  |
| GPS second reported to nearest microsecond |  |  |
| Easting, northing, and elevation reported to nearest 0.01 m or 0.01 ft |  |  |
| Classifications correct - 1. Unclassified; 2. Bare-earth ground; 7. Noise; 9. Water; 10. <br> lgnored ground; 11. Withheld |  |  |
| Cloud file structure conforms to project tile layout |  |  |
| Naming conforms project requirements |  |  |
| Deliverable tiles checked for significant gaps not covered by aerial acquisition checks <br> and/or caused by data post-processing/filtering |  |  |
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## M. 4 Terrain Submittal Standards

## M.4.1 Overview

This section describes the format and type of terrain data required to be submitted to FEMA for the Flood Insurance Study (FIS). All data must be submitted in digital format. The mapping partner performing "Develop Topographic Data" is required to submit the data in this section.

The mapping partner should refer to Appendix A of these Guidelines and the USGS LiDAR Guidelines and Base Specification, v13 for guidance on terrain data production. This section is not intended to detail the specifications and procedures for coastal hydrographic surveys. The reader is referred to the following additional sources for details on coastal surveys:

- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) NOS Hydrographic Survey Specifications and Deliverables (April 2007);
- NOAA Office of Coast Survey Hydrographic Surveys Division Field Procedures Manual (March 2007); and
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) National Coastal Mapping Program Joint LiDAR Bathymetry Technical Center for Expertise.
- Appendix D of the Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners (February 2007).

The terrain data records and validation status within the CNMS database must be updated, as applicable, based on the information and data collected and revised as part of this section. The data model provided in the CNMS Database User's Guide must be used to enter the data and update CNMS.

The submitting mapping partner must retain copies of all project-related data for a period of three years. The submitting mapping partner will need these data for responding to the following:

- Questions from FEMA or the receiving mapping partner during the review of the final draft materials;
- Comments and appeals submitted to FEMA during the 90-day appeal period following the issuance of preliminary maps; and
- Other concerns and issues that may develop during the processing of the new or revised FIS report and FIRM.


## M.4.2 Requirements

M.4.2.1 Data Files

The minimum data required for the terrain data submission are the source terrain and the processed terrain data used in the flood risk project. These data can be contained in a single file or in tiled files. When tiled files are submitted, they must be accompanied by a tiling index file. If any processing has been performed, the original and final files must be submitted as well. For instance, if terrain data were blended from three different sources to create the final terrain data, the original of the three sources and the final terrain file that results from the blending process must be submitted. This information is required
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to be a georeferenced, digital submittal. The following information must be submitted when it is used to perform a flood risk project:

- Light Detection and Ranging(LiDAR) data;
- For projects that acquire new LiDAR data:
- Metadata (must comply with the requirements in the USGS LiDAR Guidelines and Base Specification, v13). The QA/QC report provided must include the vertical accuracy calculations as a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. In addition, the finished elevation product for hydraulic modeling should be documented by a FGDCcompliant metadata file that complies with the FEMA Elevation Metadata Profile. Project documentation must also include a Pre-flight Operations Plan and Post-flight Aerial Survey and Calibration Report.
- Raw point cloud data must comply with the requirements in the USGS LiDAR Guidelines and Base Specification, v13
- Classified point cloud data must comply with requirements in the USGS LiDAR Guidelines and Base Specification, v13
- Optional breaklines, when produced, must be delivered in compliance with FEMA requirements
- Optional digital bare earth elevation data product(s) (e.g., DEM, DTM, contours) in file formats specified in the Statement of Work
- For existing LiDAR data not processed as part of the project, the bare earth data must be submitted, and the submittal of the all returns data (if available) is optional.
- Photogrammetric data;
- Metadata
- Collection Report detailing mission planning and flight logs, flying heights, camera parameters, forward overlap and sidelap
- Survey Report detailing the collection of control and reference points used for calibration and QA/QC
- Aerial triangulation (AT) report detailing compliance with relevant accuracy statistics.
- Processing Report detailing photogrammetric processed used to manually compile elevation data or to semi-automatically compile elevation data with automated image correlation or other techniques
- QA/QC reports
- Geo-referenced extents of each delivered dataset
- Digital bare earth elevation data product (DEM, DTM, mass points, breaklines, contours) specified in the Statement of Work
- Optional breaklines, when produced, must be delivered in compliance with FEMA requirements
- Tiling index for data files;
- Contours;
- Bathymetry;
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- Digital Elevation Models (DEMs);
- Triangulated Irregular Networks (TINs);
- Hydro-corrected DEMs;
- USGS topographic data;
- All other terrain data; and
- A project narrative describing the SOW, direction from FEMA, issues, information for next mapping partner, etc..

A spatial file is required for use whenever terrain data is submitted in a tiled format. A Tile Index spatial file must accompany each different set of tiled data. While all tiled terrain data may reference the same Tile Index, it is possible that each set of tiled data references a unique Tile Index based on different origins and cell sizes. (For example, natural DEMs, Hydro corrected DEMs, contours and flow vectors could each be based on a different Tile Index.) Tiles must have only one part, and cannot self-intersect (must be simple). Adjacent tiles should not overlap or have gaps between them.

## M.4.2.2 General Correspondence

[March 2009]
A file that compiles general correspondence must be submitted by the mapping partner assigned to "Develop Topographic Data." General correspondence is the written correspondence generated or received by the mapping partner to fulfill the requirements of developing topographic data. It includes any documentation generated during this task, such as letters, transmittals, memoranda, general status reports and queries, SPRs, technical issues that need to be documented, and direction given by FEMA. Contractual documents, such as a signed SOW or MAS, are not to be submitted as a part of this appendix.

## M.4.2 3 Certification of Work

[March 2009]
FEMA-funded (including CTP-funded projects if they are a part of FEMA's flood mapping program) terrain data development must be certified using the Certification of Compliance Form provided in Figure M.10-1 in Section M.10. Submittal of this certification at the "Develop Topographic Data" workflow step is required if this is the only task performed by the mapping partner. Mapping partners that are contracted to perform multiple mapping tasks can submit one certification form to certify all the work performed. A PDF file of this form with the original signature, date, and seal affixed to the form must be submitted digitally in the general directory identified in Section M.4.2.8. This form must be signed by a registered or certified professional from the firm contracted to perform the work, or by the responsible official of a government agency. A digital version of this form is available at www.fema.gov.

## M.4.2.4 Acceptable File Formats

[July 2011]
Terrain data used to perform the flood risk project must be submitted in a georeferenced, digital format as listed below. These data can be contained in a single file or in a tiled set of files. Any tiled data must have an accompanying index spatial file. Note that the FEMA and USGS LiDAR specifications include some specific file format requirements.

- Contours, Masspoints, and breaklines - Personal/file Geodatabase, DXF, or shapefile (2D or 3D)
- DEMs - Esri grid, GeoTIFF, or ASCII grid
- LiDAR - LAS file, ASCII x, y, z file (comma or space delimited)
- Terrain and/or TIN-Esri ArcGIS
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- MS Word - project narrative
- PDF - correspondence and certification

PDF files must be created using the source file (e.g., MS Word file), if the source file is created by the mapping partner, rather than raster scans of hard copy text documents. Created PDF files must allow text to be copied and pasted to another document. In addition, Esri shapefiles and Geodatabases must be projected.

## M.4.2.5 Metadata

[July 2011]
A metadata file in XML format that complies with the NFIP Terrain Metadata Profiles must be included with the submittal. The profiles follow the FGDC Content Standard for metadata and define additional domains and business rules for some elements that are mandatory for FEMA, based on the specific submittal type. For each spatial data source in the metadata file, the mapping partner must assign a Source Citation Abbreviation. FEMA NFIP Metadata Profiles can be accessed on the MIP, in the "Guides \& Documentation" tab, under "MIP User Care".

If metadata is available from an agency or organization that provided data for use in the flood risk project, it should be included in the metadata submittal in addition to the NFIP Terrain Metadata Profiles. Reference the data providers' original metadata record in the Lineage section of the NFIP metadata profile. If there is a web-accessible metadata record for the original data set, the URL to the metadata may be provided in the optional Source Citation - Online Linkage element. Otherwise, the Source Contribution [free text] element may include information on how to access the metadata record for the data sets obtained.

Metadata should also comply with the requirements in the USGS LiDAR Guidelines and Base Specification, v13. In addition, the finished elevation product for hydraulic modeling should be documented by a FGDC-compliant metadata file that complies with the FEMA Elevation Metadata Profile. Project documentation must also include a pre-flight Operations Plan and a post-flight Aerial Acquisition and Calibration Report per FEMA requirements.

## M.4.2.6 Transfer Media

[March 2009]
Mapping partners must submit files via the internet by uploading to the MIP
(http://www.hazards.fema.gov) or by mailing the files to FEMA on one or more of the following electronic media:

- CD-ROM;
- DVD; or
- External Hard Drive (for very large data submissions, with a return label for shipment back to the mapping partner).

In special situations, or as technology changes, other media may be acceptable if coordinated with FEMA.
When data are mailed to FEMA, all submitted digital media must be labeled with at least the following information:

- Mapping partner's name;


## Appendix M

- Community name and State for which the FIS was prepared;
- Terrain Data;
- Date of submission (formatted $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yyyy}$ ); and
- Disk [sequential number] of [number of disks]. The media must be numbered sequentially, starting at Disk 1. [Number of disks] represents the total number of disks in the submission.


## M.4.2.7 Transfer Methodology

[July 2011]
Terrain artifacts can be uploaded to the MIP by following the guidelines for Data Upload located on the MIP (https://hazards.fema.gov).

## M.4.2.8 Directory Structure and Folder Naming Conventions

[July 2011]
The files presented in Section M.4.2 - Requirements must be submitted to the MIP or mailed to FEMA within the following directory structure. For FEMA-funded LiDAR acquisition projects, LiDAR data must be submitted in its entirety to the MIP even if the collection footprint extends beyond the current Risk MAP project area. If Lidar data is obtained for a project from a third party (e.g., existing LiDAR data from a County), only LiDAR data used for that project must be submitted to the MIP. Third party LiDAR data outside the project area must not be submitted. The following folders can be created either on a local work space (e.g., a personal computer) or within the work space for the community on the MIP. If the following folders are generated locally, these newly created folders and their contents must be uploaded to the MIP. Terrain files are arranged into appropriate directories based on data type. Only directories appropriate to the project are required.

- \General
- Project narrative
- Certification
- Flight plans and logs
- Mapping partner and Independent QA/QC reports
- Metadata File
- \Correspondence
- Letters; transmittals; memoranda; general status reports and queries; SPRs; technical issues; direction by FEMA; and internal communications, routing slips, and notes.
- \Terrain\SourcelRaw Point Cloud Data
- LiDAR data - Raw Point Cloud Data
- LiDAR Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- \Terrain\SourcelClassified Point Cloud Data
- LiDAR data - Classified Point Cloud Data
- LiDAR Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- \Terrain\Source\Breaklines
- 3D breakline spatial files
- 3D breakline Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- 2D breakline spatial files
- 2D breakline Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- Mass Points
- \Terrain\Source\Bare Earth DEM
- Bare earth DEM files
- Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- $\backslash$ Terrain\SourcelContours
- Contour spatial files
- Contour Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- Bathymetric files
- Bathymetric Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- \Terrain\SourcelTIN
- Uncorrected TIN files
- Terrain (Esri ArcGIS format)
- Tile index spatial file (if used)
- \Terrain\Source\HDEM
- Hydrologically corrected DEM files
- Terrain (Esri ArcGIS format)
- Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- \Terrain\Final\Classified Point Cloud Data
- LiDAR data - Classified Point Cloud Data
- LiDAR Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- \Terrain\Final\Breaklines
- 3D breakline spatial files
- 3D breakline Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- 2D breakline spatial files
- 2D breakline Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- Mass Points
- \Terrain\Final\Bare Earth DEM
- Bare earth DEM files
- Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- \Terrain\Final\Contours
- Contour spatial files
- Contour Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- Bathymetric files
- Bathymetric Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- \Terrain\Final\TIN
- Uncorrected TIN files
- Terrain (Esri ArcGIS format)
- Tile index spatial file (if used)
- \Terrain\Final\HDEM
- Hydrologically corrected DEM files
- Terrain (Esri ArcGIS format)
- Tile Index spatial file (if used)
- \Terrain\Supplemental Data
- As-built drawings
- GIS representation of structures


# U.S. Geological Survey National Geospatial Program Lidar Guidelines and Base Specification 

## Version 13 - ILMF 2010

The U.S. Geological Survey National Geospatial Program (NGP) has cooperated in the collection of numerous lidar datasets across the nation for a wide array of applications. These collections have used a variety of specifications and required a diverse set of products, resulting in many incompatible datasets and making cross-project analysis extremely difficult. The need for a single base specification, defining minimum collection parameters and a consistent set of deliverables, is apparent.

Beginning in late 2009, an increase in the rate of lidar data collection due to American Reinvestment and Recovery Act (ARRA) funding for The National Map makes it imperative that a single data specification be implemented to ensure consistency and improve data utility. Although the development of this specification was prompted by the ARRA stimulus funding, the specification is intended to remain durable beyond ARRA funded NGP projects.

The primary intent of this specification is to create consistency across all NGP funded lidar collections, in particular those undertaken in support of the National Elevation Dataset (NED). Unlike most other "lidar specs" which focus on the derived bare-earth DEM product, this specification places unprecedented emphasis on the handling of the source lidar point cloud data. This is to assure that the complete source dataset collected remains intact and viable to support the wide variety of non-DEM science and mapping applications that benefit from lidar technology. In the absence of other comprehensive specifications or standards, it is hoped that this specification will, to the highest degree practical, be adopted by other USGS programs and disciplines, and by other Federal agencies.

Adherence to these minimum specifications ensures that bare-earth Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) derived from lidar data is suitable for ingestion into the NED (National Elevation Dataset) at the $1 / 9$ arc-second resolution, and can be resampled for use in the $1 / 3$ and 1 arc-second NED resolutions. It also ensures that the point cloud source data are handled in a consistent manner by all data providers and delivered to the USGS in clearly defined formats. This allows straight-forward ingest into CLICK (Center for Lidar Information, Coordination, and Knowledge) and simplifies subsequent use of the source data by the broader scientific community, particularly with regard to cross-collection analysis.

It must be stressed that this is a base specification, defining minimum parameters. It is expected that local conditions in any given project area, specialized applications for the data, or the preferences of cooperators, may mandate more stringent requirements. The

USGS encourages the collection of more detailed, accurate, or value-added data. A list of common upgrades to the minimum requirements defined here is provided in Appendix 1.

In addition, it is recognized that the USGS NGP also employs lidar technology for specialized scientific research and other projects whose requirements are incompatible with the provisions of this Specification. In such cases, and with properly documented justification supporting the need for the variance, waivers of any part or all of this Specification may be granted.

It is conceivable that in some cases, based on specific topography, land cover, intended application, or other factors, the USGS-NGP may require specifications more rigorous than those defined in this document. It is expected that this would be highly uncommon.

Lidar is still a relatively new technology; adolescent but not fully matured.. Advancements and improvements in instrumentation, software, processes, applications, and understanding are constantly being made. It would not be possible to develop a set of guidelines and specifications that address all of these advances. The current document is based on our understanding of and experience with the industry and technology at the present time. Furthermore, we acknowledge that there is a lack of commonly accepted "best practices" for numerous processes and technical assessments (i.e., measurement of NPS, point clustering, classification accuracy, etc.). The USGS encourages the development of such best practices through the appropriate industry and professional governance organizations, and we eagerly await the opportunity to include them in future revisions to this and other similar documents.

It is not the intention of the USGS to stifle the development of the lidar industry, nor to discourage innovation within the technology. Technical alternatives to any part of this document may be submitted with any proposal and will be given due professional consideration.

## I. COLLECTION

1. Multiple Discrete Return, capable of at least 3 returns per pulse

Note: Full waveform collection is both acceptable and welcomed; however, waveform data is regarded as supplemental information. The requirement for deriving and delivering multiple discrete returns remains in force in all cases.
2. Intensity values for each return.
3. Nominal Pulse Spacing (NPS) of 1-2 meters, dependent on the local terrain and landcover conditions. Assessment to be made against single swath, first return data located within the geometrically usable center portion (typically ~90\%) of each swath. Average along-track and cross-track point spacings should be comparable.
4. Collections designed to achieve the NPS through swath overlap or multiple passes are generally discouraged. Such collections may be permitted with prior approval.
5. Data Voids [areas $=>\left(4^{*} \mathrm{NPS}\right)^{2}$, measured using $1^{\text {st }}$-returns only] within a single swath are not acceptable, except:

- where caused by water bodies
- where caused by areas of low near infra-red (NIR) reflectivity such as asphalt or composition roofing.
- where appropriately filled-in by another swath

6. The spatial distribution of geometrically usable points is expected to be uniform and free from clustering. In order to ensure uniform densities throughout the data set:

- A regular grid, with cell size equal to the design NPS*2 will be laid over the data.
- At least $90 \%$ of the cells in the grid shall contain at least 1 lidar point.
- Assessment to be made against single swath, first return data located within the geometrically usable center portion (typically $\sim 90 \%$ ) of each swath.
- Acceptable data voids identified previously in this specification are excluded.

Note: This requirement may be relaxed in areas of significant relief where it is impractical to maintain a consistent NPS.
7. Scan Angle: Total FOV should not exceed $40^{\circ}\left(+/-20^{\circ}\right.$ from nadir) USGS quality assurance on collections performed using scan angles wider than $34^{\circ}$ will be particularly rigorous in the edge-of-swath areas. Horizontal and vertical accuracy shall remain within the requirements as specified below.
Note: This requirement is primarily applicable to oscillating mirror lidar systems. Other instrument technologies may be exempt from this requirement.
8. Vertical Accuracy of the lidar data will be assessed and reported in accordance with the guidelines developed by the NDEP and subsequently adopted by the ASPRS. The complete guidelines may be found in Section 1.5 of the Guidelines document. See:

```
http://www.ndep.gov/NDEP_Elevation_Guidelines_Ver1_10May2004.pdf
```

Vertical accuracy requirements using the NDEP/ASPRS methodology are:
FVA $<=24.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ACCz, $95 \%$ ( 12.5 cm RMSEz)
CVA $<=36.3 \mathrm{~cm}$, 95th Percentile
SVA $<=36.3 \mathrm{~cm}$, 95th Percentile

- Accuracy for the lidar point cloud data is to be reported independently from accuracies of derivative products (i.e., DEMs). Point cloud data accuracy is to be tested against a TIN constructed from bare-earth lidar points.
- Each landcover type representing $10 \%$ or more of the total project area must be tested and reported as an SVA.
- For SVAs, the value is provided as a target. It is understood that in areas of dense vegetation, swamps, or extremely difficult terrain, this value may be exceeded. Overall CVA requirements must be met in spite of "busts" in individual SVAs.
Note: These requirements may be relaxed in cases:
- where there exists a demonstrable and substantial increase in cost to obtain this accuracy.
- where an alternate specification is needed to conform to previously contracted phases of a single larger overall collection effort, i.e., multi-year statewide collections, etc.
- where the USGS agrees that it is reasonable and in the best interest of all stakeholders to use an alternate specification.

9. Relative accuracy <=7cm RMSE ${ }_{Z}$ within individual swaths; <=10cm RMSEz within swath overlap (between adjacent swaths).
10. Flightline overlap $10 \%$ or greater, as required to ensure there are no data gaps between the usable portions of the swaths. Collections in high relief terrain are expected to require greater overlap. Any data with gaps between the geometrically usable portions of the swaths will be rejected.
11. Collection Area: Defined Project Area, buffered by a minimum of 100 meters.
12. Collection Conditions:

- Atmospheric: Cloud and fog-free between the aircraft and ground
- Ground:
o Snow free. Very light, undrifted snow may be acceptable in special cases, with prior approval.
o No unusual flooding or inundation, except in cases where the goal of the collection is to map the inundation.
- Vegetation: Leaf-off is preferred, however:

0 As numerous factors will affect vegetative condition at the time of any collection, the USGS NGP only requires that penetration to the ground must be adequate to produce an accurate and reliable bare-earth surface suitable for incorporation into the 1/9 (3-meter) NED.
o Collections for specific scientific research projects may be exempted from this requirement, with prior approval.

## II. DATA PROCESSING and HANDLING

1. All processing should be carried out with the understanding that all point deliverables are required to be in fully compliant LAS format, v1.2 or v1.3. Data producers are encouraged to review the LAS specification in detail.
2. If full waveform data is collected, delivery of the waveform packets is required. LAS v1.3 deliverables with waveform data are to use external "auxiliary" files with the extension ".wdp" for the storage of waveform packet data. See the LAS v1.3 Specification for additional information.
3. GPS times are to be recorded as Adjusted GPS Time, at a precision sufficient to allow unique timestamps for each pulse. Adjusted GPS Time is defined to be Standard (or satellite) GPS time minus $1 * 10^{9}$. See the LAS Specification for more detail.
4. Horizontal datum shall be referenced to the North American Datum of 1983/HARN adjustment. Vertical datum shall be referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88). The most recent NGS-approved Geoid model shall be used to perform conversions from ellipsoidal heights to orthometric heights.
5. The USGS preferred Coordinate Reference System for the Conterminous United States (CONUS) is: UTM, NAD83, Meters. Each discrete project is to be processed using the predominant UTM zone for the overall collection area.
State Plane Coordinate Reference Systems that have been accepted by the European Petroleum Survey Group (EPSG) and that are recognized by ESRI GIS software may be used by prior agreement with the USGS.

Alternative projected coordinate systems for collections in Alaska, Hawaii, and other areas Outside the Conterminous United States (OCONUS) must be approved by the USGS prior to collection.
6. All references to the Unit of Measure "Feet" or "Foot" must specify either "International" or "U.S. Survey"
7. Long swaths (those which result in a LAS file larger than 2GB) should be split into segments no greater than 2GB each. Each segment will thenceforth be
regarded as a unique swath and shall be assigned a unique File Source ID. Other swath segmentation approaches may be acceptable, with prior approval. Renaming schemes for split swaths are at the discretion of the data producer. The Processing Report shall include detailed information on swath segmentation sufficient to allow reconstruction of the original swaths if needed.
8. Each swath shall be assigned a unique File Source ID. The Point Source ID field for each point within each LAS swath file shall be set equal to the File Source ID prior to any processing of the data. See the LAS Specification.
9. Point Families (multiple return "children" of a single "parent" pulse) shall be maintained intact through all processing prior to tiling. Multiple returns from a given pulse shall be stored in sequential (collected) order.
10. All collected swaths are to be delivered as part of the "Raw Data Deliverable". This includes calibration swaths and cross-ties. All collected points are to be delivered. No points are to be deleted from the swath LAS files. This in no way requires or implies that calibration swath data are to be included in product generation. Excepted from this are extraneous data outside of the buffered project area (aircraft turns, transit between the collection area and airport, transit between fill-in areas, etc.). These points may be permanently removed.
11. Outliers, blunders, noise points, geometrically unreliable points near the extreme edge of the swath, and other points deemed unusable are to be identified using the "Withheld" flag, as defined in the LAS specification.

- This applies primarily to points which are identified during pre-processing or through automated post-processing routines.
- If processing software is not capable of populating the "Withheld" bit, these points may be identified using Class=11.
- "Noise points" subsequently identified during manual Classification and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) may be assigned the standard LAS classification value for "Noise" (Class=7), regardless of whether the noise is "low" or "high" relative to the ground surface.

12. The ASPRS/LAS "Overlap" classification (Class=12) shall not be used. ALL points not identified as "Withheld" are to be classified.

- If overlap points are required to be differentiated by the data producer or cooperating partner, they must be identified using a method that does not interfere with their classification, such as:
o Overlap points are tagged using Bit:0 of the User Data byte, as defined in the LAS specification. (SET=Overlap).
o Overlap points are classified using the Standard Class values +16 .
o Other techniques as agreed upon in advance
- The technique utilized must be clearly described in the project metadata files.

Note: A standard bit setting for identification of overlap points has been planned for a future version of LAS.
13. Positional Accuracy Validation: The absolute and relative accuracy of the data, both horizontal and vertical, and relative to known control, shall be verified prior to classification and subsequent product development. This validation is obviously limited to the Fundamental Vertical Accuracy, measured in clear, open areas. A detailed report of this validation is a required deliverable.
14. Classification Accuracy: It is expected that due diligence in the classification process will produce data that meets the following test:

Within any $1 \mathrm{~km} \times 1 \mathrm{~km}$ area, no more than $2 \%$ of non-withheld points will possess a demonstrably erroneous classification value.

This includes points in Classes 0 and 1 that should correctly be included in a different Class as required by the contract.

Note: This requirement may be relaxed to accommodate collections in areas where the USGS agrees classification to be particularly difficult.
15. Classification Consistency: Point classification is to be consistent across the entire project. Noticeable variations in the character, texture, or quality of the classification between tiles, swaths, lifts, or other non-natural divisions will be cause for rejection of the entire deliverable.
16. Tiles:

Note: This section assumes a projected coordinate reference system.

- A single non-overlapped tiling scheme will be established and agreed upon by the data producer and the USGS prior to collection. This scheme will be used for all tiled deliverables.
- Tile size must be an integer multiple of the cell size of raster deliverables.
- Tiles must be sized using the same units as the coordinate system of the data.
- Tiled deliverables shall conform to the tiling scheme, without added overlap.
- Tiled deliverables shall edge-match seamlessly and without gaps in both the horizontal and vertical.


## III. HYDRO-FLATTENING REQUIREMENTS

Note: Please refer to Appendix 2 for reference information on hydro-flattening.
Hydro-flattening pertains only to the creation of derived DEMs. No manipulation of or changes to originally computed lidar point elevations are to be made. Breaklines may be used to help classify the point data.

1. Inland Ponds and Lakes:

- $\sim 2$-acre or greater surface area ( $\sim 350$, diameter for a round pond) at the time of collection.
- Flat and level water bodies (single elevation for every bank vertex defining a given water body).
- The entire water surface edge must be at or below the immediately surrounding terrain.
- Long impoundments such as reservoirs, inlets, and fjords, whose water surface elevations drop when moving downstream, should be treated as rivers.

2. Inland Streams and Rivers:

- 100 nominal width: This should not unnecessarily break a stream or river into multiple segments. At times it may squeeze slightly below 100' for short segments. Data producers should use their best professional judgment.
- Flat and level bank-to-bank (perpendicular to the apparent flow centerline); gradient to follow the immediately surrounding terrain.
- The entire water surface edge must be at or below the immediately surrounding terrain.
- Streams channels should break at road crossings (culvert locations). These road fills should not be removed from DEM. However, streams and rivers should not break at elevated bridges. Bridges should be removed from DEM. When the identification of a feature as a bridge or culvert cannot be made reliably, the feature should be regarded as a culvert.

3. Non-Tidal Boundary Waters:

- Represented only as an edge or edges within the project area; collection does not include the opposing shore.
- The entire water surface edge must be at or below the immediately surrounding terrain.
- The elevation along the edge or edges should behave consistently throughout the project. May be a single elevation (i.e., lake) or gradient (i.e., river), as appropriate.


## 4. Tidal Waters:

- Water bodies such as oceans, seas, gulfs, bays, inlets, salt marshes, very large lakes, etc. Includes any water body that is affected by tidal variations.
- Tidal variations over the course of a collection or between different collections, will result in discontinuities along shorelines. This is considered normal and these "anomalies" should be retained. The final DEM should represent as much ground as the collected data permits.
- Variations in water surface elevation resulting in tidal variations during a collection should NOT be removed or adjusted, as this would require either the removal of valid, measured ground points or the introduction of unmeasured ground into the DEM. The USGS NGP priority is on the ground surface, and accepts there may be occasional, unavoidable irregularities in water surface.
- Scientific research projects in coastal areas often have very specific requirements with regard to how tidal land-water boundaries are to be handled. For such projects, the requirements of the research will take precedence.

Cooperating partners may require collection and integration of single-line streams within their lidar projects. While the USGS does not require these breaklines be collected or integrated, it does require that if used and incorporated into the DEMs, the following guidelines are met:

1. All vertices along single-line stream breaklines are at or below the immediately surrounding terrain.
2. Single-line stream breaklines are not to be used to introduce cuts into the DEM at road crossings (culverts), dams, or other such features. This is hydroenforcement and as discussed in Section VI, creates a non-traditional DEM that is not suitable for integration into the NED.
3. All breaklines used to modify the surface are to be delivered to the USGS with the DEMs.

The USGS does not require any particular process or methodology be used for breakline collection, extraction, or integration. However, the following general guidelines must be adhered to:

1. Bare-earth lidar points that are in close proximity breaklines should be excluded from the DEM generation process. This is analogous to the removal of masspoints for the same reason in a traditional photogrammetrically compiled DTM.

The proximity threshold for reclassification as "Ignored Ground" is at the discretion of the data producer, but in general should be approximately equal to the NPS.
2. These points are to be retained in the delivered lidar point dataset and shall be reclassified as "Ignored Ground" (class value $=10$ ) so that they may be subsequently identified.
3. Delivered data must be sufficient for the USGS to effectively recreate the delivered DEMs using the lidar points and breaklines without significant further editing.

## IV. DELIVERABLES

The USGS shall have unrestricted rights to all delivered data and reports, which will be placed in the public domain. This specification places no restrictions on the data provider's rights to resell data or derivative products as they see fit.

## 1. Metadata

Note: "Metadata" refers to all descriptive information about the project. This includes textual reports, graphics, supporting shapefiles, and FGDC-compliant metadata files.

- Collection Report detailing mission planning and flight logs.
- Survey Report detailing the collection of control and reference points used for calibration and QA/QC.
- Processing Report detailing calibration, classification, and product generation procedures including methodology used for breakline collection and hydroflattening (see Sections III and Appendix 1 for more information on hydroflattening).
- QA/QC Reports (detailing the analysis, accuracy assessment and validation of:
o The point data (absolute, within swath, and between swath)
o The bare-earth surface (absolute)
o Other optional deliverables as appropriate
- Control and Calibration points: All control and reference points used to calibrate, control, process, and validate the lidar point data or any derivative products are to be delivered.
- Geo-referenced, digital spatial representation of the precise extents of each delivered dataset. This should reflect the extents of the actual lidar source or derived product data, exclusive of Triangular Irregular Network (TIN) artifacts or raster NODATA areas. A union of tile boundaries or minimum bounding rectangle is not acceptable. ESRI Polygon shapefile or geodatabase is preferred.
- Product metadata (FGDC compliant, XML format metadata). One file for each:
o Project
o Lift
o Tiled deliverable product group (classified point data, bare-earth DEMs, breaklines, etc.). Metadata files for individual tiles are not required.
- FGDC compliant metadata must pass the USGS metadata parser ("mp") with no errors or warnings.


## 2. Raw Point Cloud

- All returns, all collected points, fully calibrated and adjusted to ground, by swath.
- Fully compliant LAS v1.2 or v1.3, Point Record Format 1, 3, 4, or 5
- LAS v1.3 deliverables with waveform data are to use external "auxiliary" files with the extension ".wdp" for the storage of waveform packet data. See the LAS v1.3 Specification for additional information.
- Georeference information included in all LAS file headers
- GPS times are to be recorded as Adjusted GPS Time, at a precision sufficient to allow unique timestamps for each pulse.
- Intensity values (native radiometric resolution)
- 1 file per swath, 1 swath per file, file size not to exceed 2GB, as described in Section II, Paragraph 7.


## 3. Classified Point Cloud

Note: Delivery of a classified point cloud is a standard requirement for USGS NGP lidar projects. Specific scientific research projects may be exempted from this requirement.

- Fully compliant LAS v1.2 or v1.3, Point Record Format 1,3, 4, or 5
- LAS v1.3 deliverables with waveform data are to use external "auxiliary" files with the extension ".wdp" for the storage of waveform packet data. See the LAS v1.3 Specification for additional information.
- Georeference information included in LAS header
- GPS times are to be recorded as Adjusted GPS Time, at a precision sufficient to allow unique timestamps for each pulse.
- Intensity values (native radiometric resolution)
- Tiled delivery, without overlap (tiling scheme TBD)
- Classification Scheme (minimum):

| Code | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Processed, but unclassified |
| 2 | Bare-earth ground |
| 7 | Noise (low or high, manually identified, if needed) |
| 9 | Water |
| 10 | Ignored Ground (Breakline Proximity) |
| 11 | Withheld (if the "Withheld" bit is not implemented <br> in processing software) |

Note: Class 7, Noise, is included as an adjunct to the "Withheld" bit. All "noise points" are to be identified using one of these to methods.

Note: Class 10, Ignored Ground, is for points previously classified as bareearth but whose proximity to a subsequently added breakline requires that it be excluded during Digital Elevation Model (DEM) generation.

## 4. Bare Earth Surface (Raster DEM)

Note: Delivery of a bare-earth DEM is a standard requirement for USGS NGP lidar projects. Specific scientific research projects may be exempted from this requirement.

- Cell Size no greater than 3 meters or 10 feet, and no less than the design Nominal Pulse Spacing (NPS).
- Delivery in an industry-standard, GIS-compatible, 32-bit floating point raster format (ERDAS .IMG preferred)
- Georeference information shall be included in each raster file
- Tiled delivery, without overlap
- DEM tiles will show no edge artifacts or mismatch. A quilted appearance in the overall project DEM surface, whether caused by differences in processing quality or character between tiles, swaths, lifts, or other non-natural divisions, will be cause for rejection of the entire DEM deliverable.
- Void areas (i.e., areas outside the project boundary but within the tiling scheme) shall be coded using a unique "NODATA" value. This value shall be identified in the appropriate location within the file header.
- Vertical Accuracy of the bare earth surface will be assessed and reported in accordance with the guidelines developed by the NDEP and subsequently adopted by the ASPRS. The complete guidelines may be found in Section 1.5 of the Guidelines document. See:

```
http://www.ndep.gov/NDEP_Elevation_Guidelines_Ver1_10May2004.pdf
```

Vertical accuracy requirements using the NDEP/ASPRS methodology are:
FVA $<=24.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{ACCz}, 95 \% \quad(12.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ RMSEz)
CVA $<=36.3 \mathrm{~cm}$, 95th Percentile
SVA $<=36.3 \mathrm{~cm}$, 95th Percentile
All QA/QC analysis materials and results are to be delivered to the USGS.

- Depressions (sinks), natural or man-made, are not to be filled (as in hydroconditioning and hydro-enforcement).
- Water Bodies (ponds and lakes), wide streams and rivers ("double-line"), and other non-tidal water bodies as defined in Section III are to be hydro-flattened within the DEM. Hydro-flattening shall be applied to all water impoundments, natural or man-made, that are larger than $\sim 2$ acre in area (equivalent to a round pond $\sim 350$ ' in diameter), to all streams that are nominally wider than 100', and to all non-tidal boundary waters bordering the project area regardless of size. The methodology used for hydro-flattening is at the discretion of the data producer.
Note: Please refer to the Sections III and VI for detailed discussions of hydroflattening.


## 5. Breaklines

Note: Delivery of the breaklines used in hydro-flattening is a standard requirement for USGS NGP lidar projects. Specific scientific research projects may be exempted from this requirement. If hydro-flattening is achieved through other means, this section may not apply.

- All breaklines developed for use in hydro-flattening shall be delivered as an ESRI feature class (PolylineZ or PolygonZ format, as appropriate to the type of feature represented and the methodology used by the data producer). Shapefile or geodatabase is preferred.
- Each feature class or shapefile will include properly formatted and accurate georeference information in the standard location. All shapefiles must include the companion .prj file.
- Breaklines must use the same coordinate reference system (horizontal and vertical) and units as the lidar point delivery.
- Breakline delivery may be as a continuous layer or in tiles, at the discretion of the data producer. Tiled deliveries must edge-match seamlessly in both the horizontal and vertical.


## APPENDIX 1

## COMMON DATA UPGRADES

1. Independent $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$-Party $\mathrm{QA} / \mathrm{QC}$ by another AE Contractor (encouraged)
2. Higher Nominal Pulse Spacing (point density)
3. Increased Vertical Accuracy
4. Full Waveform collection and delivery
5. Additional Environmental Constraints

- Tidal coordination, flood stages, crop/plant growth cycles, etc.
- Shorelines corrected for tidal variations within a collection

6. Top-of Canopy (First-Return) Raster Surface (tiled). Raster representing the highest return within each cell is preferred.
7. Intensity Images (8-bit gray scale, tiled)
8. Detailed Classification (additional classes):

| Code | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 3 | Low vegetation |
| 4 | Medium vegetation (use for single vegetation class) |
| 5 | High vegetation |
| 6 | Buildings, bridges, other man-made structures |
| n | additional Class(es) as agreed upon in advance |

9. Hydro-Enforced and/or Hydro-Conditioned DEMs
10. Breaklines (PolylineZ and PolygonZ) for single-line hydrographic features (narrow streams not collected as double-line, culverts, etc.), including appropriate integration into delivered DEMs
11. Breaklines (PolylineZ and PolygonZ) for other features (TBD), including appropriate integration into delivered DEMs
12. Extracted Buildings (PolygonZ): Footprints with maximum elevation and/or height above ground as an attribute.
13. Other products as defined by requirements and agreed upon in advance of funding commitment.

## APPENDIX 2

## HYDRO-FLATTENING REFERENCE

The subject of modifications to lidar-based DEMs is somewhat new, and although authoritative references are available, there remains significant variation in the understanding of the topic across the industry. The following material was developed to provide a definitive reference on the subject only as it relates to the creation of DEMs intended to be integrated into the USGS NED. The information presented here is not meant to supplant other reference materials and it should not be considered authoritative beyond its intended scope.

The term "hydro-flattening" is also new, coined for this document and to convey our specific needs. It is not, at this time, a known or accepted term across the industry. It is our hope that its use and acceptance will expand beyond the USGS with the assistance of other industry leaders.

Hydro-flattening of DEMs is predominantly accomplished through the use of breaklines, and this method is considered standard. Although other techniques may exist to achieve similar results, this section assumes the use of breaklines. The USGS does not require the use of any specific technique.

The Digital Elevation Model Technologies and Applications: The DEM Users Manual, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Edition (Maune et al., 2007) provides the following definitions related to the adjustment of DEM surfaces for hydrologic analyses:

1. Hydrologically-Conditioned (Hydro-Conditioned) - Processing of a DEM or TIN so that the flow of water is continuous across the entire terrain surface, including the removal of all spurious sinks or pits. The only sinks that are retained are the real ones on the landscape. Whereas "hydrologically-enforced" is relevant to drainage features that are generally mapped, "hydrologically-conditioned" is relevant to the entire land surface and is done so that water flow is continuous across the surface, whether that flow is in a stream channel or not. The purpose for continuous flow is so that relationships/links among basins/catchments can be known for large areas. This term is specifically used when describing EDNA (see Chapter 4), the dataset of NED derivatives made specifically for hydrologic modeling purposes.
2. Hydrologically-Enforced (Hydro-Enforced) - Processing of mapped water bodies so that lakes and reservoirs are level and so that streams flow downhill. For example, a DEM, TIN or topographic contour dataset with elevations removed from the tops of selected drainage structures (bridges and culverts) so as to depict the terrain under those structures. Hydro-enforcement enables hydrologic and hydraulic models to depict water flowing under these structures, rather than appearing in the computer model to be dammed by them because of road deck elevations higher than the water levels. Hydro-enforced TINs also utilize breaklines along shorelines and stream centerlines, for example, where these breaklines form the edges of TIN triangles along the alignment of drainage features. Shore breaklines for streams would be 3-D breaklines
with elevations that decrease as the stream flows downstream; however, shore breaklines for lakes or reservoirs would have the same elevation for the entire shoreline if the water surface is known or assumed to be level throughout. See figures 1.21 through 1.24. See also the definition for "hydrologically-conditioned" which has a slightly different meaning.

While these are important and useful modifications, they both result in surfaces that differ significantly from a traditional DEM. A "hydro-conditioned" surface has had its sinks filled and may have had its water bodies flattened. This is necessary for correct flow modeling within and across large drainage basins. "Hydro-enforcement" extends this conditioning by requiring water bodies be leveled and streams flattened with the appropriate downhill gradient, and also by cutting through road crossings over streams (culvert locations) to allow a continuous flow path for water within the drainage. Both treatments result in a surface on which water behaves as it physically does in the real world, and both are invaluable for specific types of hydraulic and hydrologic (H\&H) modeling activities. Neither of these treatments is typical of a traditional DEM surface.

A traditional DEM such as the NED, on the other hand, attempts to represent the ground surface more the way a bird, or person in an airplane, sees it. On this surface, natural depressions exist, and road fills create apparent sinks because the road fill and surface is depicted without regard to the culvert beneath. Bridges, it should be noted, are removed in most all types of DEMs because they are man-made, above-ground structures that have been added to the landscape.

Note: DEMs developed solely for orthophoto production may include bridges, as their presence can prevent the "smearing" of structures and reduce the amount of post-production correction of the final orthophoto. These are "special use DEMs" and are not relevant to this discussion.

For years, raster Digital Elevation Models (DEMs), have been created from a Digital Surface Model (DSM) of masspoints and breaklines, which in turn were created through photogrammetric compilation from stereo imagery. Photogrammetric DSMs inherently contain breaklines defining the edges of water bodies, coastlines, singleline streams, and double-line streams and rivers, as well as numerous other surface features.

Lidar technology, however, does not inherently collect the breaklines necessary to produce traditional DEMs. Breaklines have to be developed separately through a variety of techniques, and either used with the lidar points in the generation of the DEM, or applied as a correction to DEMs generated without breaklines.

In order to maintain the consistent character of the NED as a traditional DEM, the USGS NGP requires that all DEMs delivered have their inland water bodies flattened. This does not imply that a complete network of topologically correct hydrologic breaklines be developed for every dataset; only those breaklines necessary to ensure that the conditions defined in Section III exist in the final DEM.

# APPENDIX 3 <br> SAMPLE METADATA TEMPLATE 

[to be added]
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## LAS FORMAT VERSION 1.3:

## 1 Purpose, scope, and applicability

The LAS file is intended to contain LIDAR point data records. The data will generally be put into this format from software (e.g. provided by LIDAR hardware vendors) which combines GPS, IMU, and laser pulse range data to produce $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z point data. The intention of the data format is to provide an open format that allows different LIDAR hardware and software tools to output data in a common format.

This document reflects the third revision of the LAS format specification since its initial version 1.0 release.

## THE ADDITIONS OF LAS 1.3 INCLUDE:

- Ability to store return pulse waveform data in the LAS file (and, optionally, in an external file) using new point record types 4 and 5
- Storage of parameters necessary to geospatially traverse waveforms
- Additional Global Encoding flag to indicate that the returns in the file are synthetically generated.

GOALS OF WAVEFORM DATA STORAGE:

- Waveform data are included in the same file as the LIDAR point data
- A return may or may not have an associated waveform packet
- Multiple returns from a single LIDAR pulse may point to the same waveform packet
- $\quad 2$ through 32 bit waveform amplitude records are supported
- Multiple waveform digitizer configurations are accommodated (number of samples, sample spacing, bits per sample, etc.)
- Compression of waveform data is supported (although particular compression schemes are not provided in this version of the specification)

WAVFORM DATA STORAGE IMPLEMENTATION:

- Sections of the waveform in the vicinity of declared returns are stored (Waveform Data Packets, WDP)
- The raw waveform data packets are stored in one large, contiguous extended variable length record (EVLR) or, optionally, in an external auxiliary file
- The descriptions of the digitizer configurations are stored in one of up to 255 variable length records called Waveform Packet Descriptors (WPD)
- Each point record has new metadata that serves as an index into an associated WDP
- Each point record has additional information associated with it that indicates which WPD describes this point's waveform packet


## COMPATIBILITY WITH LAS 1.2:

One unavoidable change has been made to the Public Header Block; Start of Waveform Data Packet Record. This long, long has been added to the end of the block and thus little or no change will be needed in LAS 1.2 readers that do not need waveform data.

There are no changes to Point Data Record types 0 through 3. The waveform encoded data types have been added as Point Data Record types 4 and 5.

## 2 Conformance

The data types used in the LAS format definition are conformant to the 1999 ANSI C Language Specification (ANSI/ISO/IEC 9899:1999 ("C99").

## 3 Authority

The American Society for Photogrammetry \& Remote Sensing (ASPRS) is the owner of the LAS Specification. The standard is maintained by committees within the organization as directed by the ASPRS Board of Directors. Questions related to this standard can be directed to ASPRS at 301-493-0290, by email at asprs@asprs.org, or by mail at 5410 Grosvenor Lane, Suite 210, Bethesda, Maryland 20814-2160.

## 4 Requirements

## LAS FORMAT DEFINITION:

The format contains binary data consisting of a header block, Variable Length Records, and point data.

Table 4.1 - LAS Format Definition

| PUBLIC HEADER BLOCK |
| :---: |
| VARIABLE LENGTH RECORDS |
| POINT DATA RECORDS |

A LAS file that contains waveform data (point record types 4 or 5) would be
Table 4.2 - LAS Format Definition Containing Waveform Data

| PUBLIC HEADER BLOCK |
| :---: |
| VARIABLE LENGTH RECORDS INCLUDING |
| WAVEFORM PACKET DESCRIPTORS (up to 255) |
| POINT DATA RECORDS |
| EXTENDED VARIABLE LENGTH RECORD |
| (WAVEFORM DATA PACKETS) |

All data is in little-endian format. The header block consists of a public block followed by Variable Length Records. The public block contains generic data such as point numbers and coordinate bounds. The Variable Length Records contain variable types of data including projection information, metadata, waveform packet information and user application data. Waveform Data Packets, if included, comprise the only record that can follow the Point Data Records. It is placed in this position to allow easy "stripping" or externalizing. This record is an Extended Variable Length Record (EVLR). The length of an EVLR is stored in an unsigned long long (8 byte field) allowing more storage area than a VLR.

## DATA TYPES:

The following data types are used in the LAS format definition. Note that these data types are conformant to the 1999 ANSI C Language Specification (ANSI/ISO/IEC 9899:1999 ("C99").

- char (1 byte)
- unsigned char (1 byte)
- short (2 bytes)
- unsigned short (2 bytes)
- long (4 bytes)
- unsigned long (4 bytes)
- long long (8 bytes)
- unsigned long long (8 bytes)
- double (8 byte IEEE floating point format)


## PUBLIC HEADER BLOCK:

Table 4.3 - Public Header Block

| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| File Signature ("LASF") | char[4] | 4 bytes | * |
| File Source ID | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |
| Global Encoding | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |
| Project ID - GUID data 1 | unsigned long | 4 bytes |  |
| Project ID - GUID data 2 | unsigned short | 2 byte |  |
| Project ID - GUID data 3 | unsigned short | 2 byte |  |
| Project ID - GUID data 4 | unsigned char[8] | 8 bytes |  |
| Version Major | unsigned char | 1 byte | * |
| Version Minor | unsigned char | 1 byte | * |
| System Identifier | char[32] | 32 bytes | * |
| Generating Software | char[32] | 32 bytes | * |
| File Creation Day of Year | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |
| File Creation Year | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |
| Header Size | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |
| Offset to point data | unsigned long | 4 bytes | * |
| Number of Variable Length Records | unsigned long | 4 bytes | * |
| Point Data Format ID (0-99 for spec) | unsigned char | 1 byte | * |
| Point Data Record Length | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |
| Number of point records | unsigned long | 4 bytes | * |
| Number of points by return | unsigned long[7] | 28 bytes | * |
| X scale factor | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Y scale factor | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Z scale factor | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| $X$ offset | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Y offset | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Z offset | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Max X | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Min X | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Max Y | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Min Y | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Max Z | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Min Z | Double | 8 bytes | * |
| Start of Waveform Data Packet Record | Unsigned long long | 8 bytes | * |

Any field in the Public Header Block that is not required and is not used must be zero filled.
File Signature: The file signature must contain the four characters "LASF", and it is required by the LAS specification. These four characters can be checked by user software as a quick look initial determination of file type.

File Source ID (Flight Line Number if this file was derived from an original flight line): This field should be set to a value between 1 and 65,535 , inclusive. A value of zero (0) is interpreted to mean that an ID has not been assigned. In this case, processing software is free to assign any valid number. Note that this scheme allows a LIDAR project to contain up to 65,535 unique sources. A source can be considered an original flight line or it can be the result of merge and/or extract operations.

Global Encoding: This is a bit field used to indicate certain global properties about the file. In LAS 1.2 (the version in which this field was introduced), only the low bit is defined (this is the bit, that if set, would have the unsigned integer yield a value of 1). This bit field is defined as:

Table 4.4-Global Encoding - Bit Field Encoding

| Bits | Field Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | GPS Time Type | The meaning of GPS Time in the Point Records 0 (not set) -> GPS time in the point record fields is GPS Week Time (the same as previous versions of LAS) <br> 1 (set) -> GPS Time is standard GPS Time (satellite GPS Time) minus $1 \times 10^{9}$ (Adjusted Standard GPS Time). The offset moves the time back to near zero to improve floating point resolution. |
| 1 | Waveform Data Packets Internal | If this bit is set, the waveform data packets are located within this file (note that this bit is mutually exclusive with bit 2 ) |
| 2 | Waveform Data Packets External | If this bit is set, the waveform data packets are located external to this file in an auxiliary file with the same base name as this file and the extension ".wdp". (note that this bit is mutually exclusive with bit 1) |
| 3 | Return numbers have been synthetically generated | If set, the point return numbers in the Point Data Records have been synthetically generated. This could be the case, for example, when a composite file is created by combining a First Return File and a Last Return File. In this case, first return data will be labeled "1 of 2 " and second return data will be labeled " 2 of 2" |
| 4:15 | Reserved | Must be set to zero |

Project ID (GUID data): The four fields that comprise a complete Globally Unique Identifier (GUID) are now reserved for use as a Project Identifier (Project ID). The field remains optional. The time of assignment of the Project ID is at the discretion of processing software. The Project ID should be the same for all files that are associated with a unique project. By assigning a Project ID and using a File Source ID (defined above) every file within a project and every point within a file can be uniquely identified, globally.

Version Number: The version number consists of a major and minor field. The major and minor fields combine to form the number that indicates the format number of the current specification itself. For example, specification number 1.2 would contain 1 in the major field and 2 in the minor field.

System Identifier: The version 1.0 specification assumes that LAS files are exclusively generated as a result of collection by a hardware sensor. Subsequent versions recognize that files often result from extraction, merging or modifying existing data files. Thus System ID becomes:

Table 4.5 - System Identifier

| Generating Agent | System ID |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hardware system | String identifying hardware (e.g. "ALTM |
|  | $1210 "$ or "ALS50" |
| Merge of one or more files | "MERGE" |
| Modification of a single file | "MODIFICATION" |


| Generating Agent | System ID |
| :--- | :--- |
| Extraction from one or more files | "EXTRACTION" |
| Reprojection, rescaling, warping, etc. | "TRANSFORMATION" |
| Some other operation | "OTHER" or a string up to 32 characters <br> identifying the operation |

Generating Software: This information is ASCII data describing the generating software itself. This field provides a mechanism for specifying which generating software package and version was used during LAS file creation (e.g. "TerraScan V-10.8", "REALM V-4.2" and etc.). If the character data is less than 16 characters, the remaining data must be null.

File Creation Day of Year: Day, expressed as an unsigned short, on which this file was created. Day is computed as the Greenwich Mean Time (GMT) day. January 1 is considered day 1.

File Creation Year: The year, expressed as a four digit number, in which the file was created.
Header Size: The size, in bytes, of the Public Header Block itself. In the event that the header is extended by a software application through the addition of data at the end of the header, the Header Size field must be updated with the new header size. Extension of the Public Header Block is discouraged; the Variable Length Records should be used whenever possible to add custom header data. In the event a generating software package adds data to the Public Header Block, this data must be placed at the end of the structure and the Header Size must be updated to reflect the new size.

Offset to point data: The actual number of bytes from the beginning of the file to the first field of the first point record data field. This data offset must be updated if any software adds data from the Public Header Block or adds/removes data to/from the Variable Length Records.

Number of Variable Length Records preceding the Point Data Records: This field contains the current number of Variable Length Records that occur in the file preceding the Point Data Records. This number must be updated if the number of Variable Length Records changes at any time.

Point Data Format ID: The point data format ID corresponds to the point data record format type. LAS 1.3 defines types 0 through 5 .

Point Data Record Length: The size, in bytes, of the Point Data Record.
Number of point records: This field contains the total number of point records within the file.
Number of points by return: This field contains an array of the total point records per return. The first unsigned long value will be the total number of records from the first return, and the second contains the total number for return two, and so forth up to five returns.
$X, Y$, and $Z$ scale factors: The scale factor fields contain a double floating point value that is used to scale the corresponding $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z long values within the point records. The corresponding $X, Y$, and $Z$ scale factor must be multiplied by the $X, Y$, or $Z$ point record value to get the actual $X$, Y , or Z coordinate. For example, if the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z coordinates are intended to have two decimal point values, then each scale factor will contain the number 0.01 .

X, Y, and Z offset: The offset fields should be used to set the overall offset for the point records. In general these numbers will be zero, but for certain cases the resolution of the point data may not be large enough for a given projection system. However, it should always be assumed that these numbers are used. So to scale a given X from the point record, take the point record X multiplied by the X scale factor, and then add the X offset.
$\mathrm{X}_{\text {coordinate }}=\left(\mathrm{X}_{\text {record }} * X_{\text {scale }}\right)+\mathrm{X}_{\text {offset }}$
$Y_{\text {coordinate }}=\left(Y_{\text {record }}{ }^{*} Y_{\text {scale }}\right)+Y_{\text {offset }}$
$Z_{\text {coordinate }}=\left(Z_{\text {record }}{ }^{*} Z_{\text {scale }}\right)+Z_{\text {offset }}$
Max and Min X, Y, Z: The max and min data fields are the actual unscaled extents of the LAS point file data, specified in the coordinate system of the LAS data.

Start of Waveform Data Packet Record: This value provides the offset, in bytes, from the beginning of the LAS file to the first byte of the Waveform Data Package Record. Note that this will be the first byte of the Waveform Data Packet header.

The projection information for the point data is required for all data. The projection information will be placed in the Variable Length Records. Placing the projection information within the Variable Length Records allows for any projection to be defined including custom projections. The GeoTliff specification http://www.remotesensing.org/geotiff/geotiff.html is the model for representing the projection information, and the format is explicitly defined by this specification.

## VARIABLE LENGTH RECORDS:

The Public Header Block is followed by one or more Variable Length Records (There is one mandatory Variable Length Record, GeoKeyDirectoryTag). The number of Variable Length Records is specified in the "Number of Variable Length Records" field in the Public Header Block. The Variable Length Records must be accessed sequentially since the size of each variable length record is contained in the Variable Length Record Header. Each Variable Length Record Header is 60 bytes in length.

Table 4.6 - Variable Length Record Header

| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reserved | unsigned short | 2 bytes |  |
| User ID | char[16] | 16 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Record ID | unsigned short | 2 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Record Length After Header | Unsigned short | 2 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Description | char[32] | 32 bytes |  |

User ID: The User ID field is ASCII character data that identifies the user which created the variable length record. It is possible to have many Variable Length Records from different sources with different User IDs. If the character data is less than 16 characters, the remaining data must be null. The User ID must be registered with the LAS specification managing body. The management of these User IDs ensures that no two individuals accidentally use the same User ID. The specification will initially use two IDs: one for globally specified records (LASF_Spec), and another for projection types (LASF_Projection). Keys may be requested at http://www.asprs.org/lasform/keyform.html.

Record ID: The Record ID is dependent upon the User ID. There can be 0 to 65535 Record IDs for every User ID. The LAS specification manages its own Record IDs (User IDs owned by the specification), otherwise Record IDs will be managed by the owner of the given User ID. Thus each User ID is allowed to assign 0 to 65535 Record IDs in any manner they desire. Publicizing the meaning of a given Record ID is left to the owner of the given User ID. Unknown User ID/Record ID combinations should be ignored.

Record Length after Header: The record length is the number of bytes for the record after the end of the standard part of the header. Thus the entire record length is 54 bytes (the header size in version 1.3) plus the number of bytes in the variable length portion of the record.

Description: Optional, null terminated text description of the data. Any remaining characters not used must be null.

Note that the record with User ID = LASF_Spec and Record ID $=65535$ is the Waveform Packet Data Extended Variable Length Record (EVLR). Unlike all other Variable Length Records, this VLR (if present) is the only VLR that is placed after the Point Data Records. Thus, if present, it will be the last data record in the LAS file.

## POINT DATA RECORD

NOTE: Point Data Start Signature was removed in LAS Version 1.1. LAS file I/O software must use the Offset to Point Data field in the Public Header Block to locate the starting position of the first Point Data Record. Note that all Point Data Records must be the same type.

## POINT DATA RECORD FORMAT 0 :

Table 4.7 - Point Data Record Format 0

| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Y | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Z | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Intensity | unsigned short | 2 bytes |  |
| Return Number | 3 bits (bits 0, 1, 2) | 3 bits | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Number of Returns (given pulse) | 3 bits (bits 3, 4, 5) | 3 bits | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Scan Direction Flag | 1 bit (bit 6) | 1 bit | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Edge of Flight Line | 1 bit (bit 7) | 1 bit | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Classification | unsigned char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Scan Angle Rank (-90 to +90) - Left side | char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| User Data | unsigned char | 1 byte |  |
| Point Source ID | unsigned short | 2 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |

$X, Y$, and $Z$ : The $X, Y$, and $Z$ values are stored as long integers. The $X, Y$, and $Z$ values are used in conjunction with the scale values and the offset values to determine the coordinate for each point as described in the Public Header Block section.

Intensity: The intensity value is the integer representation of the pulse return magnitude. This value is optional and system specific. However, it should always be included if available.

NOTE: The following four fields (Return Number, Number of Returns, Scan Direction Flag and Edge of Flight Line) are bit fields within a single byte.

Return Number: The Return Number is the pulse return number for a given output pulse. A given output laser pulse can have many returns, and they must be marked in sequence of return. The first return will have a Return Number of one, the second a Return Number of two, and so on up to five returns.

Number of Returns (for this emitted pulse): The Number of Returns is the total number of returns for a given pulse. For example, a laser data point may be return two (Return Number) within a total number of five returns.

Scan Direction Flag: The Scan Direction Flag denotes the direction at which the scanner mirror was traveling at the time of the output pulse. A bit value of 1 is a positive scan direction, and a bit value of 0 is a negative scan direction (where positive scan direction is a scan moving from the left side of the in-track direction to the right side and negative the opposite).

Edge of Flight Line: The Edge of Flight Line data bit has a value of 1 only when the point is at the end of a scan. It is the last point on a given scan line before it changes direction.

Classification: This filed represents the "class" attributes of a point. If a point has never been classified, this byte must be set to zero. There are no user defined classes since all point formats 0 supply 8 bits per point for user defined operations.

Note that the format for classification is a bit encoded field with the lower five bits used for class and the three high bits used for flags. The bit definitions are:

Table 4.8 - Classification Bit Field Encoding

| Bits | Field Name | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0: 4$ | Classification | Standard ASPRS classification as defined in the <br> following classification table. |
| 5 | Synthetic | If set then this point was created by a technique <br> other than LIDAR collection such as digitized from <br> a photogrammetric stereo model or by traversing <br> a waveform. |
| 6 | Key-point | If set, this point is considered to be a model key- <br> point and thus generally should not be withheld in <br> a thinning algorithm. |
| 7 | Withheld | If set, this point should not be included in <br> processing (synonymous with Deleted). |

Note that bits 5, 6 and 7 are treated as flags and can be set or clear in any combination. For example, a point with bits 5 and 6 both set to one and the lower five bits set to 2 (see table below) would be a ground point that had been Synthetically collected and marked as a model key-point.

Classification must adhere to the following standard:
Table 4.9 - ASPRS Standard LIDAR Point Classes

| Classification Value (bits 0:4) | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | Created, never classified |
| 1 | Unclassified |
| 2 | Ground |
| 3 | Low Vegetation |
| 4 | Medium Vegetation |
| 5 | High Vegetation |
| 6 | Building |
| 7 | Low Point (noise) |
| 8 | Model Key-point (mass point) |
| 9 | Water |
| 10 | Reserved for ASPRS Definition $^{11}$ |
| 12 | Reserved for ASPRS Definition |
| $13-31$ | Overlap Points ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | Reserved for ASPRS Definition |

[^0][A note on Bit Fields - The LAS storage format is "Little Endian." This means that multi-byte data fields are stored in memory from least significant byte at the low address to most significant byte at the high address. Bit fields are always interpreted as bit 0 set to 1 equals 1 , bit 1 set to 1 equals 2 , bit 2 set to 1 equals 4 and so forth.]

Scan Angle Rank: The Scan Angle Rank is a signed one-byte number with a valid range from 90 to +90 . The Scan Angle Rank is the angle (rounded to the nearest integer in the absolute value sense) at which the laser point was output from the laser system including the roll of the aircraft. The scan angle is within 1 degree of accuracy from +90 to -90 degrees. The scan angle is an angle based on 0 degrees being nadir, and -90 degrees to the left side of the aircraft in the direction of flight.

User Data: This field may be used at the user's discretion.
Point Source ID: This value indicates the file from which this point originated. Valid values for this field are 1 to 65,535 inclusive with zero being used for a special case discussed below. The numerical value corresponds to the File Source ID from which this point originated. Zero is reserved as a convenience to system implementers. A Point Source ID of zero implies that this point originated in this file. This implies that processing software should set the Point Source ID equal to the File Source ID of the file containing this point at some time during processing.

NOTE: The File Marker field in the LAS 1.0 structure was generally miscoded and/or not implemented by users. The entire concept was removed from LAS 1.1 and this single byte field has been renamed User Data and is available for any use. The extended records associated with this field in the original LAS 1.0 specification are removed. Please note that the field named User Bit Field has been renamed Point Source ID and is no longer available for general use.

## POINT DATA RECORD FORMAT 1:

Point Data Record Format 1 is the same as Point Data Record Format 0 with the addition of GPS Time.

Table 4.10 - Point Data Record Format 1

| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Y | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Z | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Intensity | unsigned short | 2 bytes |  |
| Return Number | 3 bits (bits 0, 1, 2) | 3 bits | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Number of Returns (given pulse) | 3 bits (bits 3, 4, 5) | 3 bits | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Scan Direction Flag | 1 bit (bit 6) | 1 bit | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Edge of Flight Line | 1 bit (bit 7$)$ | 1 bit | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Classification | unsigned char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Scan Angle Rank (-90 to +90) - Left side | char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| User Data | unsigned char | 1 byte |  |
| Point Source ID | unsigned short | 2 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| GPS Time | Double | 8 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |

GPS Time: The GPS Time is the double floating point time tag value at which the point was acquired. It is GPS Week Time if the Global Encoding low bit is clear and Adjusted Standard GPS Time if the Global Encoding low bit is set (see Global Encoding in the Public Header Block description).

## POINT DATA RECORD FORMAT 2:

Point Data Record Format 2 is the same as Point Data Record Format 0 with the addition of three color channels. These fields are used when "colorizing" a LIDAR point using ancillary data, typically from a camera.

Table 4.11 - Point Data Record Format 2

| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | long | 4 bytes | * |
| Y | long | 4 bytes | * |
| Z | long | 4 bytes | * |
| Intensity | unsigned short | 2 bytes |  |
| Return Number | 3 bits (bits 0, 1, 2) | 3 bits | * |
| Number of Returns (given pulse) | 3 bits (bits 3, 4, 5) | 3 bits | * |
| Scan Direction Flag | 1 bit (bit 6) | 1 bit | * |
| Edge of Flight Line | 1 bit (bit 7) | 1 bit | * |
| Classification | unsigned char | 1 byte | * |
| Scan Angle Rank (-90 to +90) - Left side | char | 1 byte | * |
| User Data | unsigned char | 1 byte |  |
| Point Source ID | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |
| Red | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |
| Green | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |
| Blue | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |

Red: The Red image channel value associated with this point
Green: The Green image channel value associated with this point
Blue: The Blue image channel value associated with this point
NOTE: Red, Green, Blue values should always be normalized to 16 bit values. For example, when encoding an 8 bit per channel pixel, multiply each channel value by 256 prior to storage in these fields. This normalization allows color values from different camera bit depths to be accurately merged.

## POINT DATA RECORD FORMAT 3:

Point Data Record Format 3 is the same as Point Data Record Format 2 with the addition of GPS Time.

Table 4.12 - Point Data Record Format 3

| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Y | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Z | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Intensity | unsigned short | 2 bytes |  |
| Return Number | 3 bits (bits 0, 1, 2) | 3 bits | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Number of Returns (given pulse) | 3 bits (bits 3, 4, 5) | 3 bits | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Scan Direction Flag | 1 bit (bit 6) | 1 bit | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Edge of Flight Line | 1 bit (bit 7) | 1 bit | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Classification | unsigned char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Scan Angle Rank (-90 to +90) - Left side | char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| User Data | unsigned char | 1 byte |  |
| Point Source ID | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |


| GPS Time | double | 8 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Red | unsigned short | 2 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Green | unsigned short | 2 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Blue | unsigned short | 2 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |

## POINT DATA RECORD FORMAT 4:

Point Data Record Format 4 adds Wave Packets to Point Data Record Format 1.
Table 4.13 - Point Data Record Format 4

| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | long | 4 bytes | * |
| Y | long | 4 bytes | * |
| Z | long | 4 bytes | * |
| Intensity | unsigned short | 2 bytes |  |
| Return Number | 3 bits (bits 0-2) | 3 bits | * |
| Number of Returns (given pulse) | 3 bits (bits 3-5) | 3 bits | * |
| Scan Direction Flag | 1 bit (bit 6) | 1 bit | * |
| Edge of Flight Line | 1 bit (bit 7) | 1 bit | * |
| Classification | unsigned char | 1 byte | * |
| Scan Angle Rank (-90 to +90 ) - Left side | unsigned char | 1 byte | * |
| User Data | unsigned char | 1 byte |  |
| Point Source ID | unsigned short | 2 bytes | * |
| GPS Time | double | 8 bytes | * |
| Wave Packet Descriptor Index | Unsigned char | 1 byte | * |
| Byte offset to waveform data | Unsigned long long | 8 bytes | * |
| Waveform packet size in bytes | Unsigned long | 4 bytes | * |
| Return Point Waveform Location | float | 4 bytes | * |
| X(t) | float | 4 bytes | * |
| $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{t})$ | float | 4 bytes | * |
| Z(t) | float | 4 bytes | * |

Point Data Record Format 4 is the same as Point Data Record Format 1 with the addition of the waveform packet information.

Wave Packet Descriptor Index: LAS 1.3 supports up to 255 User Defined Records which describe the waveform packet. This value indicates the User Defined Record that is used to describe the waveform packet associated with this LIDAR point. Note: A value of zero indicates that there is no waveform data associated with this LIDAR point record.

Byte offset to Waveform Packet Data: The waveform packet data are stored in the LAS file in an Extended Variable Length Record (or, optionally, in an auxiliary file). The Byte Offset represents the location of the start of this LIDAR points' waveform packet within the waveform data variable length record (or external file) relative to the beginning of the Waveform Packet Data header.

Note that the absolute location of the beginning of this waveform packet relative to the beginning of the file is given by:

Start of Waveform Data Packet Record + Byte offset to Waveform Packet Data for waveform packets stored within the LAS file and

## Byte offset to Waveform Packet Data

for data stored in an auxiliary file
Waveform packet size in bytes: The size, in bytes, of the waveform packet associated with this return. Note that each waveform can be of a different size (even those with the same Waveform Packet Descriptor index) due to packet compression. Also note that waveform packets can be located only via the Byte offset to Waveform Packet Data value since there is no requirement that records be stored sequentially.

Return Point location: The offset in picoseconds $\left(10^{-12}\right)$ from the first digitized value to the location within the waveform packet that the associated return pulse was detected.
$X(t), Y(t), Z(t)$ : These parameters define a parametric line equation for extrapolating points along the associated waveform. The position along the wave is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=X_{0}+X(t) \\
& Y=Y_{0}+Y(t) \\
& Z=Z_{0}+Z(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X, Y$ and $Z$ are the spatial position of the derived point, $X_{0}, Y_{0}, Z_{0}$ are the position of the "anchor" point (the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}$ locations from this point's data record) and t is the time, in picoseconds, relative to the anchor point (i.e. $\mathrm{t}=$ zero at the anchor point). The units of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and $Z$ are the units of the coordinate systems of the LAS data. If the coordinate system is geographic, the horizontal units are decimal degrees and the vertical units are meters.

## POINT DATA RECORD FORMAT 5:

Point Data Record Format 5 adds Wave Packets to Point Data Record Format 3.
Table 4.14 - Point Data Record Format 5

| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Y | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Z | long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Intensity | unsigned short | 2 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Return Number | 3 bits (bit 0 - 2) | 3 bits | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Number of Returns <br> (given pulse) | 3 bits (bit 3-5) | 3 bits | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Scan Direction Flag | 1 bit (bit 6) | 1 bit | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Edge of Flight Line | 1 bit (bit 7) | 1 bit | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Classification | unsigned char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Scan Angle Rank (-90 <br> to +90) - Left side | unsigned char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| User Data | unsigned char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Point Source ID | unsigned short | 2 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| GPS Time | double | 2 bytes |  |
| Red | unsigned short | 2 bytes | 2 bytes |
| Green | unsigned short |  |  |
| Blue |  |  |  |


| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wave Packet <br> Descriptor Index | Unsigned char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Byte offset to <br> waveform data | Unsigned long long | 8 bytes | * |
| Waveform packet size <br> in bytes | Unsigned long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Return Point <br> Waveform Location | float | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| $X(t)$ | float | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| $Y(t)$ | float | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| $Z(t)$ | float | * bytes |  |

Point Data Record Format 5 is the same as Point Data Record Format 4 with the addition of RGB values.

## DEFINED VARIABLE LENGTH RECORDS:

## Georeferencing Information

Georeferencing for the LAS format will use the same robust mechanism that was developed for the GeoTIFF standard. The variable length header records section will contain the same data that would be contained in the GeoTIFF key tags of a TIFF file. With this approach, any vendor that has existing code to interpret the coordinate system information from GeoTIFF tags can simply feed the software with the information taken from the LAS file header. Since LAS is not a raster format and each point contains its own absolute location information, only 3 of the 6 GeoTIFF tags are necessary. The ModelTiePointTag (33922), ModelPixelScaleTag (33550), and ModelTransformationTag (34264) records can be excluded. The GeoKeyDirectoryTag (34735), GeoDoubleParamsTag (34736), and GeoASCIIParamsTag (34737) records are used.

Only the GeoKeyDirectoryTag record is required. The GeoDoubleParamsTag and GeoASCIIParamsTag records may or may not be present, depending on the content of the GeoKeyDirectoryTag record.

GeoKeyDirectoryTag Record: (mandatory)
User ID: LASF_Projection
Record ID: 34735
This record contains the key values that define the coordinate system. A complete description can be found in the GeoTIFF format specification. Here is a summary from a programmatic point of view for someone interested in implementation.

The GeoKeyDirectoryTag is defined as just an array of unsigned short values. But, programmatically, the data can be seen as something like this:

```
struct sGeoKeys
{
    unsigned short wKeyDirectoryVersion;
    unsigned short wKeyRevision;
    unsigned short wMinorRevision;
    unsigned short wNumberOfKeys;
    struct sKeyEntry
    {
        unsigned short wKeyID;
```

```
        unsigned short wTIFFTagLocation;
        unsigned short wCount;
        unsigned short wValue_Offset;
    } pKey[1];
};
```

Where:
wKeyDirectoryVersion = 1; // Always
wKeyRevision = 1; // Always
wMinorRevision = 0; // Always
wNumberOfKeys // Number of sets of 4 unsigned shorts to follow
Table 4.15 - GeoKey Four Unsigned Shorts
For each set of 4 unsigned shorts:

| Name | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| wKeyID | Defined key ID for each piece of GeoTIFF data. IDs contained in the <br> GeoTIFF specification. |
| wTIFFTagLocation | Indicates where the data for this key is located: <br> 0 means data is in the wValue_Offset field as an unsigned short. <br> 34736 means the data is located at index wValue_Offset of the <br> GeoDoubleParamsTag record. <br> 34737 means the data is located at index wValue_Offset of the <br> GeoAsciiParamsTag record. |
| wCount | Number of characters in string for values of GeoAsciiParamsTag, <br> otherwise is 1 |
| wValue_Offset | Contents vary depending on value for wTIFFTagLocation above |

GeoDoubleParamsTag Record: (optional)
User ID: LASF_Projection
Record ID: 34736
This record is simply an array of doubles that contain values referenced by tag sets in the GeoKeyDirectoryTag record.

## GeoAsciiParamsTag Record: (Optional)

User ID: LASF_Projection
Record ID: 34737
This record is simply an array of ASCII data. It contains many strings separated by null terminator characters which are referenced by position from data in the GeoKeyDirectoryTag record.

## Classification lookup: (optional)

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { User ID: } & \text { LASF_Spec } \\ \text { Record ID: } & 0\end{array}$
Record Length after Header: 255 recs X 16 byte struct len struct CLASSIFICATION
\{
unsigned char ClassNumber;
char Description[15];
\};

## Header lookup for flight-lines:

(Removed with Version 1.1 - Point Source ID in combination with Source ID provides the new scheme for directly encoding flight line number. Thus variable Record ID 1 now becomes reserved for future use.)

```
User ID: LASF_Spec
```

Record ID: 1
Histogram: (optional)
User ID: LASF_Spec
Record ID: 2
Text area description: (optional)
User ID: LASF_Spec
Record ID: 3
Waveform Packet Descriptor: (required when using Point format 4 or 5)
User ID: LASF_Spec
Record ID: n
Where $\mathrm{n}>=100$ and $\mathrm{n}<356$
These records contain information that describes the configuration of the waveform packets. Since systems may be configured differently at different times throughout a job, the LAS file supports 255 Waveform Packet Descriptors.

Table 4.16 - Waveform Packet Descriptor User Defined Record

| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bits per sample | Unsigned char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Waveform <br> compression type | Unsigned char | 1 byte | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Number of samples | Unsigned long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Temporal Sample <br> Spacing | Unsigned long | 4 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Digitizer Gain | double | 8 bits | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Digitizer Offset | double | 8 bits |  |

Bits per sample: 2 through 32 bits are supported.
Waveform Compression type: It is expected that in the future standard compression types will be adopted by the LAS committee. This field will indicate the compression algorithm used for the waveform packets associated with this descriptor. A value of 0 indicates no compression. Zero is the only value currently supported.

Number of Samples: The number of samples associated with this waveform packet type. This value always represents the fully decompressed waveform packet.

Temporal Sample Spacing: The temporal sample spacing in picoseconds. Example values might be $500,1000,2000$ and so on, representing digitizer frequencies of $2 \mathrm{GHz}, 1 \mathrm{GHz}$ and 500 MHz respectively.

Digitizer Gain: The gain and offset are used to convert the raw digitized value to an absolute digitizer voltage using the formula: VOLTS = OFFSET + GAIN * Raw_Waveform_Amplitude

Digitizer Offset: The gain and voltage offset are used to convert the raw digitized value to a voltage using the formula: VOLTS = OFFSET + GAIN * Raw_Waveform_Amplitude

## EXTENDED VARIABLE LENGTH RECORD (EVLR)

Extended Variable Length Records occur after the Point Data Records. The record header differs from a VLR in that the Record Length After Header field is 8 bytes.

Table 4.17 - Extended Variable Length Record Header

| Item | Format | Size | Required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reserved | unsigned short | 2 bytes |  |
| User ID | char[16] | 16 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Record ID | unsigned short | 2 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Record Length After Header | Unsigned long long | 8 bytes | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Description | char[32] | 32 bytes |  |

LAS 1.3 allows only a single EVLR; Waveform Data Packets.
Waveform Data Packets: (required when using Point format 4 or 5)

| User ID: | LASF_Spec |
| :--- | :--- |
| Record ID: | 65,535 |

The packet of Raw Waveform Amplitude values for all records immediately follow this variable length header.

This is the last Reserved Record for the LASF Specification. This extended variable length record must always be the last record in an LAS file. Unlike all other Variable Length Records, this record and its associated data follow the Point Data Records.

NOTE: When using a bit resolution that is not an even increment of 8, the last byte of each waveform packet must be padded such that the next waveform record will start on an even byte boundary.

## Appendix I: Topographic Data Products
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### 1.1 Purpose

Terrain data, as defined in FEMA Guidelines and Specifications, Appendix M: Data Capture Standards describes the digital topographic data that was used to create the elevation data representing the terrain environment of a watershed and/or floodplain. Terrain data requirements allow for flexibility in the types of information provided as sources used to produce final terrain deliverables. Once this type of data is provided, FEMA will be able to account for the origins of the flood study elevation data.

The purpose of these terrain datasets is to represent the topography of a watershed and/or floodplain environment for riverine hydraulic and hydrologic modeling in the Merrimack River Watershed in the states of Massachusetts and New Hampshire. All terrain data collected for hydrologic analysis, hydraulic analysis, floodplain boundary delineation, and/or testing of floodplain boundary standard compliance meets the requirements outlined in FEMA Procedure Memorandum 61 and Appendix A: Guidance for Aerial Mapping and Survey.

### 1.2 Project Synopsis

Base LiDAR point cloud data provided for this project is compliant with FEMA Guidelines and Specifications Procedure Memorandum 61. LiDAR acquisition and post processing was completed for the Merrimack River Watershed under FEMA Task Order No. HSFE01-11-J-0010 for FEMA case number 12-011080S. The LiDAR acquisition for the Merrimack River Watershed, consisting of 1302 square miles, was captured to the "Highest" vertical accuracy requirement. This collection specification is the equivalent of a 2 -foot contour accuracy with a nominal pulse spacing of 1-meter. Topographic datasets delivered to FEMA under Task Order No. HSFE01-11-J-0010 were used as the basis for topographic data development for the watershed to support riverine $\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{H}$ analysis and floodplain boundary delineation.

## 2. Information for the next Mapping Partner

The Merrimack Watershed area of interest consists of Essex, Middlesex and Worcester Counties in Massachusetts and Belknap, Hillsborough, Merrimack, Rockingham and Strafford Counties in New Hampshire. LiDAR collected under FEMA Task Order No. HSFE01-11-J-0010 was collected and processed by STARR. Compass Data, Inc. performed the ground control survey and RMSE vertical quality control. Photo Science, Inc. performed the LiDAR Acquisition and LiDAR post processing. Greenhorne and O'Mara, Inc. performed Independent Quality Assurance of the base LiDAR products and produced the LiDAR derived products. All firms performed duties under task order contract to STARR.

All LiDAR derived products for this project has been collected using the following spatial reference information:

Projection: Universal Transverse Mercator<br>UTM Zone: 19<br>Linear units: Meter<br>Horizontal Datum: North American Datum 1983<br>Vertical Datum: North American Vertical Datum of 1988<br>Vertical units: US Survey Foot

### 2.1 LAS processing

Classified LAS data for the Merrimack Watershed was used as the basis for topographic products. Due to automated processing procedures and quality reviews the LAS was selected as the base LiDAR product. LAS header files were checked to insure data consistency. By spot checking several tiles it was determined that the LAS files had a standard projection, linear units were identical, ASPRS classifications are present, and the elevation minimum and maximum values meet expectations for the project area.

Using the Point File Information tool in ArcGIS 3D Analyst, a LiDAR boundary grid was created that contains the file name, point count, point spacing, elevation minimum, and elevation maximum for each LAS file. This is compared with the header files to insure data reliability between the information in the header files and the actual spatial information. This grid is also used to determine the average point spacing by viewing the statistics of the point spacing field. The mean value is captured and compared with LAS metadata.

Once it is determined that the LAS files are ready to be used in terrain processing they are converted to a multipoint feature class and stored within a file geodatabase featuredataset. The featuredataset has the projection information matching the LiDAR collection. The ArcGIS 3D Analyst tool LAS to multipoint is used to accomplish this task. Once the dataset is created, the LAS tiles are only used as a backup in the event of errors in processing.

### 2.2 DEM processing

Once all of the LAS files have been converted to a multipoint feature class digital elevation modeling is accomplished. The first step in creating a DEM for the project is to determine the actual LiDAR extent. This area represents the actual area covered by
points and not the LAS boundary. LAS files may not include "full" point coverage. ArcGIS Spatial Analyst is used to accomplish this by converting the multipoint feature class to a raster. From there a series of Spatial Analyst tools are used to create the LiDAR coverage polygon. Once the extent has been created the next process is to create an ESRI terrain dataset.

The terrain is composed of the multipoint feature class as mass points, hydro flattened breaklines as hard lines, and the LiDAR collection extent as a soft clip. After the terrain has been created it is reviewed. This terrain is then converted to a floating point raster with a cell size of 1 meter. The 1 meter DEM is then loaded into ERDAS Imagine 2011 Mosaic Pro toolset. Using the LAS index shapefile, the DEM is split into 1749 individual imagine (*.img) raster files. The raster files are spot checked for consistency and stored as a deliverable to FEMA.

### 2.3 Contour processing

Once the DEM has been created the next step in the data processing is to generate contours. Two foot contours are created from the DEM and clipped to the USGS Hydrologic Code 12 basin boundaries located within the Merrimack HUC 8 watershed.

### 2.4 Quality Assurance

All products created under the "develop topographic information" task are carefully reviewed to make sure datasets meet the needs for detailed riverine analysis. Datasets are organized and stored in Appendix M data capture standards formatting for delivery to FEMA.

### 2.5 Deliverables

Products delivered under this task order include:

- ESRI file geodatabase that contains LAS multipoint, breaklines, LiDAR extent, LAS Index, and ESRI terrains.
- 1,749-1 meter floating point DEMs in ERDAS Imagine format
- 1 and 2 meter DEMs in Geotif format covering entire watershed.
- ESRI file geodatabase that contains 2 ft contours and index
- FEMA FGDC compliant terrain metadata record

Data will be uploaded to the MIP at this location:

[^1]Process Steps:
1-Convert LAS to Multipoint
2-Create Terrain
3-Convert Terrain to 1m Raster
4-Split 1 m Raster into 1749 imagine files
5-Contour
Convert LAS to multipoint:

1. Create file geodatabase and create a feature dataset to store terrain information with appropriate projection and spatial domain.
2. Run LAS to multipoint tool in 3D analyst for the classified LAS files and select class 2 and 8 .
3. Store results in file geodatabase feature dataset for terrain data

Create Terrain

1. Create Terrain using multipoint as masspoints and LiDAR coverage area as soft clip
2. Build Terrain and store in file geodatabase feature dataset for terrain data

Convert Terrain to $10 f t$ Raster

1. Run the Terrain to raster tool in 3D analyst
2. Float output data type, Linear as the method, CELLSIZE 10 as sampling distance, and Pyramid Level Resolution 0
3. Save results as a ESRI GRID dataset.

Create contours

1. Extract by mask from the 1 m DEM using a HUC12 area. Save this raster as HUC12 Name 1m.
2. Focal Statistics using Extracted 1m DEM as input, Intermediate Focal Raster as Output, Neighborhood should be set to weighted kernel, and the statistic should be sum.
3. Create contours using focal stats raster as input, output polyline should be based on HUC12 name, Contour Interval of $2 f t$, Set base contour to DEM minimum z value
4. Check results and store in file geodatabase under the Analysis Contours feature dataset.
5. Focal Statistics using Extracted 1m DEM as input, Intermediate Focal Raster as Output, Neighborhood should be set to circle, and the statistic should be mean.
6. Create contours using focal stats raster as input, output polyline should be based on HUC12 name, Contour Interval of $2 f t$, Set base contour to DEM minimum $z$ value
7. Check results and store in file geodatabase under the Cartographic Contours feature dataset.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We are using both 0 and 1 as Unclassified to maintain compatibility with current popular classification software such as TerraScan. We extend the idea of classification value 1 to include cases in which data have been subjected to a classification algorithm but emerged in an undefined state. For example, data with class 0 is sent through an algorithm to detect man-made structures - points that emerge without having been assigned as belonging to structures could be remapped from class 0 to class 1 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Overlap Points are those points that were immediately culled during the merging of overlapping flight lines. In general, the Withheld bit should be set since these points are not subsequently classified.

[^1]:    J:\FEMA\R01\NEW_HAMPSHIRE_33\MERRIMACK_33013\MERRIMACK_ 013C\12-01-1080S\SubmissionUpload\Terrain\2152674

