
DAR Watershed Code: 65013

# 'Ohe'o Gulch, Maui



# WATERSHED FEATURES

'Ohe'o Gulch watershed occurs on the island of Maui. The Hawaiian meaning of the name is unknown. The area of the watershed is 9.5 square mi (24.5 square km), with maximum elevation of 8081 ft (2463 m). The watershed's DAR cluster code is not yet determined. The percent of the watershed in the different land use districts is as follows: 3% agricultural, 97% conservation, 0% rural, and 0% urban.

Land Stewardship: Percentage of the land in the watershed managed or controlled by the corresponding agency or entity. Note that this is not necessarily ownership.

Military	Federal	<u>State</u>	<u>OHA</u>	<u>County</u>	Nature Conservancy	Other Private
0.0	93.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	5.8

Land Management Status: Percentage of the watershed in the categories of biodiversity protection and management created by the Hawaii GAP program.

Permanent Biodiversity	Managed for Multiple	Protected but	
Protection	Uses	<u>Unmanaged</u>	<u>Unprotected</u>
89.2	0.3	4.7	5.8

Land Use: Areas of the various categories of land use. These data are based on NOAA C-CAP remote sensing project.

	Percent	<u>Square mi</u>	<u>Square km</u>
High Intensity Developed	0.0	0.00	0.00
Low Intensity Developed	0.2	0.02	0.05
Cultivated	2.6	0.25	0.64
Grassland	9.3	0.88	2.29
Scrub/Shrub	18.4	1.74	4.50
Evergreen Forest	68.4	6.47	16.75
Palustrine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Scrub/Shrub	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Emergent	0.0	0.00	0.00
Estuarine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Bare Land	0.8	0.08	0.20
Unconsolidated Shoreline	0.0	0.00	0.00
Water	0.3	0.03	0.08
Unclassified	0.0	0.00	0.00

### **STREAM FEATURES**

'Ohe'o Gulch is a perennial stream. Total stream length is 10.1 mi (16.3 km). The terminal stream order is 2.

# **Reach Type Percentages: The percentage of the stream's channel length in each of the reach type categories.**

<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	Middle	<u>Upper</u>	Headwaters
0.0	1.6	4.6	53.3	40.5

The following stream(s) occur in the watershed: 'Ohe'o Palikea Pīpīwai

# **BIOTIC SAMPLING EFFORT**

Biotic samples were gathered in the following year(s):						
1962	1975	1977	1979	1980	1990	1991
1993						

Distribution of Biotic Sampling: The number of survey locations that were sampled in the various reach types.

Survey type	<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Upper</u>	Headwaters
Damselfly Surveys	0	0	0	4	1
DAR General Surveys	0	18	3	0	0
HDFG	0	0	1	3	0
Published Report	0	4	5	16	0
Unpublished Report	0	0	0	2	0

# **BIOTA INFORMATION**

Species List					
Native Species	S	Native Specie	S		
Clams	lsognomon californicum	Insects	Anax junius		
Crustaceans	Atyoida bisulcata		Anax strenuus		
	Macrobrachium grandimanus		Campsicnemus bicoloripes		
Fish	Awaous guamensis		Megalagrion calliphya		
	Gobiid sp.		Megalagrion hawaiiense		
	Kuhlia sandvicensis		Megalagrion nigrohamatum nigrohamatum		
	Lentipes concolor		-		
	Sicyopterus stimpsoni		Megalagrion pacificum		
Snails	Erinna aulacospira		Megalagrion sp.		
	Nerita picea		Telmatogeton sp.		
	Neritina granosa				
Sponges	Heteromeyenia baileyi				
Worms	Hirudinean sp.				
Introduced Sp	ecies	Introduced Sp	pecies		
Crustaceans	Macrobrachium lar	Insects	Chironomid larvae		
			Pantala flavescens		
Spacios Distributions: Proconce (P) of spacios in different stream reaches					

### Species Distributions: Presence (P) of species in different stream reaches.

Scientific Name	<u>Status</u>	<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Upper</u> I	-leadwaters
Atyoida bisulcata	Endemic		Р	Р	Р	
Lentipes concolor	Endemic		Р		Р	
Sicyopterus stimpsoni	Endemic		Р	Р	Р	
Campsicnemus bicoloripes	Endemic			Р		
Megalagrion calliphya	Endemic				Р	
Megalagrion hawaiiense	Endemic				Р	
Megalagrion nigrohamatum nigrohamatum	Endemic				Р	Р
Megalagrion pacificum	Endemic				Р	
Megalagrion sp.	Endemic			Р	Р	
Neritina granosa	Endemic		Р	Р	Р	

'Ohe'o Gulch, Maui

Awaous guamensis	Indigenous	Р	Р	Р
Gobiid sp.	Indigenous	Р	Р	Ρ
Telmatogeton sp.	Indigenous		Р	Ρ
Macrobrachium lar	Introduced	Р	Р	
Chironomid larvae	Introduced			Р
Hirudinean sp.	Undetermined	Р	Р	

# **HISTORIC RANKINGS**

Historic Rankings: These are rankings of streams from historical studies. "Yes" means the stream was considered worthy of protection by that method. Some methods include non-biotic data in their determination. See Atlas Key for details.

Multi-Attribute Prioritization of Streams - Potential Heritage Streams (1998): Yes

Hawaii Stream Assessment Rank (1990): Outstanding

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service High Quality Stream (1988): Yes

The Nature Conservancy- Priority Aquatic Sites (1985): No

National Park Service - Nationwide Rivers Inventory (1982): Yes

# Current DAR Decision Rule Status: The following criteria are used by DAR to consider the biotic importance of streams. "Yes" means that watershed has that quality.


Native Insect Diversity	Native Macrofauna	Absence of Priority 1
<u>&gt; 19 spp.</u>	<u>Diversity &gt; 5 spp.</u>	Introduced
No	Yes	Yes
Abundance of Any	Presence of Candidate	Endangered Newcomb's
Native Species	Endangered Species	<u>Snail Habitat</u>
No	Yes	No

#### **CURRENT WATERSHED AND STREAM RATINGS**


The current watershed and stream ratings are based on the data contained in the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database. The ratings provide the score for the individual watershed or stream, the distribution of ratings for that island, and the distribution of ratings statewide. This allows a better understanding of the meaning of a particular ranking and how it compares to other streams. The ratings are standardized to range from 0 to 10 (0 is lowest and 10 is highest rating) for each variable and the totals are also standardized so that the rating is not the average of each component rating. These ratings are subject to change as more data are entered into the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database and can be automatically recalculated as the data improve. In addition to the ratings, we have also provided an estimate of the confidence level of the ratings. This is called rating strength. The higher the rating strength the more likely the data and rankings represent the actual condition of the watershed, stream, and aquatic biota.

#### WATERSHED RATING: 'Ohe'o Gulch, Maui


Land Cover Rating: Rating is based on a scoring sytem where in general forested lands score positively and developed lands score negatively.




<u>Shallow Waters Rating</u>: Rating is based on a combination of the extent of estuarine and shallow marine areas associated with the watershed and stream.




<u>Stewardship Rating</u>: Rating is based on a scoring system where higher levels of land and biodiversity protection within the watershed score positively.




# WATERSHED RATING (Cont): 'Ohe'o Gulch, Maui







Wetness Rating: Rating is based on the average annual rainfall within the watershed. Higher rainfall totals score more positively.



<u>Reach Diversity Rating</u>: Rating is based on the types and amounts of different stream reaches available in the watershed. More area in different reach types score more positively.




Total Watershed Rating: Rating is based on combination of Land Cover Rating, Shallow Waters Rating, Stewardship Rating, Size Rating, Wetness Rating, and Reach Diversity Rating.




## **BIOLOGICAL RATING: 'Ohe'o Gulch, Maui**


Native Species Rating: Rating is based on the number of native species observed in the watershed.




Introduced Genera Rating: Rating is based on the number of introduced genera observed in the watershed.



<u>All Species' Score Rating:</u> Rating is based on the Hawaii Stream Assessment scoring system where native species score positively and introduced species score negatively.




<u>Total Biological Rating</u>: Rating is the combination of the <u>Native Species Rating</u>, <u>Introduced</u> <u>Genera Rating</u>, and the <u>All Species' Score Rating</u>.




# **OVERALL RATING: 'Ohe'o Gulch, Maui**

Overall Rating: Rating is a combination of the <u>Total Watershed Rating</u> and the <u>Total Biological</u> <u>Rating</u>.



# **RATING STRENGTH: 'Ohe'o Gulch, Maui**

<u>Rating Strength:</u> Represents an estimate of the overall study effort in the stream and is a combination of the number of studies, number of different reaches surveyed, and the number of different survey types.



# REFERENCES

1963. Shima, S.I. Limnological Survey for Introduction of Exotic Species of Fish.

- 1975. Kinzie III, R.A, D. Wong and J.I. Ford. Preliminary Reports on Survey of Lower Kipahalu Valley Streams.
- 1980. Parrish, J.D. Numerical equivalents of biological data in the Lentipes report.
- 1980. Timbol, A.S., Sutter, A.J. and J.D. Parrish. Distribution and Relative Abundance of the Endemic Freshwater Goby, Lentipes concolor in Hawaii. Hawaii Cooperative Fishery Research Unit.

- 1980. Timbol, A.S., Sutter, A.J. and J.D. Parrish. Distribution, Relative Abundance, and Stream Environment of Lentipes concolor (Gill, 1860), and Associated Fauna in Hawaiian Streams.
- 1990. Hau, S. Skippy Hau Databook No. 311 Volume 3.
- 1991. Hau, S. Skippy Hau Databook No. 391 Volume 5.
- 1994. Hodges, M.C. Monitoring of the Freshwater Amphidromous Populations of the 'Ohe'o Gulch Streams System and Pua'alu'u Stream, Haleakala National Park. Technical Report 93.
- 2003. Ford, J.I. and R. A. Kinzie III. Factors Influencing the Distribution of an Endangered Freshwater Fish in Streams in Halaeakala National Park.
- 2006. Polhemus, D.A. Megalagrion Survey Notes in spreadsheet form.
- 2008. Hawai'i Division of Aquatic Resources. DAR Point Quadrat Survey Data from the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database.

# Blank Page