


I'm not robot  reCAPTCHA

**Continue**

## Lupinus luteus pdf

### Lupinus luteus. Lupinus luteus seeds.

NITROGEN FIXATION B.S. Kurlovich, I. A. Tikhonovich, L.T. Kartuzova, J. Heinänen, A.P. Kozhemykov, S.A. Tchetskova, B.M. Cheremisov and T.A. Emeljanenko Biological nitrogen fixation is the problem of the highest priority in biological and agricultural sciences, and in attempts to develop sustainable agricultural production. Development of this trend is a response to ecological and energy problems which agriculture has recently encountered. Legumes in symbiosis with rhizobia are the best known nitrogen fixing system (Hardarson, 1993), and lupin is the best host plant in this system. The genus *Lupinus* L. totals some hundreds species (Cowling 1984; Gladstones 1974, 1988). By their origin they are divided into two clearly expressed groups (Kurlovich, 1988; Kurlovich et al., 1995): Mediterranean (Subgen. *Lupinus*), and American (Subgen.



*Platycarpus* (Wats. Kurl.). All these species are quite variable in most of their characters, including nitrogen fixing intensity. Besides, the intensity of biological nitrogen fixation is considerably predetermined by the efficiency of interaction between lupin plants and different nodule bacteria strains. The genus *Lupinus* is nodulated by the soil microorganism *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*). *Bradyrhizobia* are encountered as microsymbionts in other leguminous crops (*Argyrolobium*, *Lotus*, *Ornithopus*, *Acacia*, *Lupinus*) of Mediterranean origin (Allen and Allen, 1981; Jordan, 1982; Legocki et al., 1997; Howieson et al., 1988). Among the genus *Bradyrhizobium*, the lupin-nodulating form appears to be a taxonomic outline on the basis of fatty acid composition (Graham et al., 1995). Many aspects of the *Lupinus-Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) symbiosis remain till now poorly investigated. In this connection, the purpose of our work was to study biological nitrogen-fixing ability of lupin genetic diversity, to identify the accessions of different lupin species with high nitrogen-fixing ability, effective strains of nodule bacteria and complementary symbioses (plants and nodule bacteria strains) able to effectively accumulate nitrogen from atmosphere, and on this basis to specify definite tasks and programs of lupin breeding for high intensity of symbiotic nitrogen fixation. The 1998-1998 experiments on biological nitrogen-fixing ability involved 1050 accessions belonging to four species of lupin from the Mediterranean area and 16 species from America. The lists of the main accessions and species under investigation are presented in the attached tables together with the results of the experiments. Accessions of diverse eco-geographic origin were assessed against three backgrounds: (1) without inoculating seed with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) and mineral nitrogen application (control); (2) under seed inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* lupini (biol. nitrogen); and (3) with mineral nitrogen application (mineral nitrogen). Seed were inoculated with commercial strains 363A, 367 and 375A of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) produced in the All-Russian Research Institute of Agricultural Microbiology, also known as Rhizotorfin, which was widely used in Russia.

Against the mineral nitrogen background, the ammoniac salt-peter was applied in the amount of 60 kegs of the active substance per 1 hectare. The research was conducted in the fields of Pavlovsk Experiment Station of the N.I. Vavilov Institute of Plant Industry (VIR), 20 km away from St. Petersburg. The soil of the experimental plot was demopodzolic, light loamy, cultivated, light acid (pH = 6.2 - 6.8). For this experiment such plots were selected where mineral fertilizers had not been applied for several years. Accessions were tested on all backgrounds with uniform density of plants in the field (30 plants per 1 m<sup>2</sup>). All observations were made according to the unified strategy developed by us and applicable to lupin (Kurlovich et al., 1990, 1995, 2000a; Курлович et al., 1997). The activity of nitrogenase was determined by the acetylene method in compliance with methodical recommendations of the Institute of Agricultural Microbiology (Алисова and Чундерова, 1982). Besides, 7 new strains of nodule bacteria developed in the All-Russian Research Institute for Agricultural Microbiology were tested in greenhouse experiments on two varieties (Nemchinovsky 846 from Russia, and Yandee from Australia) of narrow-leafed lupin (*L. angustifolius* L.). The strains of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) were 1604, 1613 and 1607 selected from root nodules of *L. angustifolius* L., 1610 from *L. luteus* L., 1630 and 1635 from *L. albus* L., and 1625 from *L. polyphyllus* Lindl. (Kozhemyakov et al., 1995). When studying nitrogen-fixing ability of *Lupinus polyphyllus* Lindl. in field experiments (1990-1995), new nodule bacteria strains 1610, 1614, 1625 and 1647 were tested in pure state and in combination with the lentechin (LT) biostimulant and root diazotrops from the genus *Arthrobacter* known under the commercial name of Mizorin (MIZ). Results of plant growth and development observations. Our observations have witnessed that seed inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) did not result in any increase in the number of germinated plants or a change in the duration of the vegetation period. However, discrepancies between reference plants (without *Bradyrhizobium* lupini inoculation or mineral nitrogen application) and inoculated plants were detected early. In the first case, the coloring of lower leaves was yellow, and that of upper ones was yellow-green. At the same time, with application of biological and mineral nitrogen the color of all leaves was bright-green. Such difference in coloring was preserved until the flowering phase. Afterwards, the reference acquired greener color, but its intensity was much lower than in the plants grown against the background inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium* lupini. The tables with the data and figures on this section are here. White lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) In the reference plantings (without inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*)) all examined accessions had plants without nodules. More frequently these plants were weaker and stunted. However, a majority of plants had from one up to seven large (with maximum diameter up to 20 mm), dense and uneven nodules, located single, on the side roots. Some samples were exceptions. On one of them (k-507 from Egypt), nodules, 23-28 mm in diameter, were observed on the main root and also on side roots. Large number of nodules (up to 45) were found on the plants of three samples (k-1930 from Sudan, and k-2589 and 2617 belonging to the West-European agrogeotype), but their size did not exceed 8 mm. The plantings which had experienced inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) virtually differed from the reference in all morphological parameters.

The plants here exposed a greater number of smooth nodules sized from 2 to 7 mm and placed evenly over the whole root system. On different plants and samples there were different numbers of nodules, but in all cases they were quite numerous. Narrow-leafed lupin (*L. angustifolius* L.). Accessions of this species predominantly formed nodules also when inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*).

Besides, they were larger, placed as couplings, and half-couplings. Yellow lupin (*L. luteus* L.). On the background inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*), accessions k-2290 and k-2292 from Portugal were noticeable for a large number of nodules. Figure 37 shows the root systems with nodules of k-2292, a wild form of yellow lupin from Portugal (to the right) inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*), compared with the roots of commercial cv. Akademichesky 1 (to the left) which was used in our experiment with yellow lupin as control. In the plantings without seed inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) but with application of mineral nitrogen, samples k-2290 and k-2292 were the ones most severely depressed, and nodules did not appear at all. In cv. Augy from Lithuania, nodules were densely located on all main radical root. Fig. 37. Root systems with nodules of the wild form of yellow lupin k-2292 from Portugal (right), compared with the roots of commercial variety Akademichesky 1 (left) under inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*). *Lupinus pilosus* Murr. In the control the uniform distribution on the root system of separate nodules of very large size (up to 15 mm) was characteristic. In the experimental version with inoculation, nodules were pinker and more frequently developed on the main root. Lupin species from America. In the majority of the investigated American species of lupin grown under different nitrogen-supply conditions and on the reference plot, nodules were formed on root systems. There were three exceptions (spp. *L. affinis* Agardh, *L. barkeri* Lindl., and *L. succulentus* Dougl.) whose root systems on the reference plot (without inoculation and mineral nitrogen) were poorly branched and without nodules. Depending on a lupin species and source of nitrogen, nodules were variably sized, shaped and colored. For example, on the plants of *L. paniculatus* Desr.



the half-couplings and couplings reached 20 mm. More often they presented themselves as elastic, almost smooth thickenings, sometimes raised. Plants of *L. douglassii* Agardh had marked comblike couplings.



The color of nodules was changeable depending on the species of lupin and version of experiment. Nodules were spread over all root system (*L. mutabilis* Sweet.), or mostly concentrated on the main root (*L. pubescens* Benth), or only on the side roots (all species on the sites where mineral nitrogen was applied). It was noticed that on the mineral nitrogen background the root systems were stronger developed. However, application of ammoniac salt-peter slowed down the development of nodules. They were less numerous and occurred chiefly on the side roots, looking more often as beads. One of the important parameters describing the process of biological nitrogen fixation is the activity of nitrogenase (Алисова and Чундерова, 1982; Kurlovich et al. 1995; Van Kamen, 1995). The research has shown that this parameter varies in the process of growth and development of plants. In healthy plants the activity of nitrogenase can be rather high up to the phase of seed's green maturity (Tab. 24). However, in the plants affected by *Fusarium* wilt (*L. angustifolius* L.), the activity was abruptly reduced before this phase approached. Comparative analysis of this parameter in different experiment variants showed its dependence on a number of factors: versions of experiments, specific and varietal features, belonging to this or that genotype, ecotype or variety type, presence or absence of infection by spontaneous populations of nodule bacteria or commercial strains of Rhizotorfin. Coefficient of variation of the nitrogenase activity level (CV, %) changed depending on a species from 15.1 up to 255.8 % (Kurlovich et al., 2000a). The highest nitrogenase activity was observed in *L. luteus* L. and *L. mutabilis* Sweet., and the lowest in *L. succulentus* Dougl. Practically all species of lupin manifested great variability of this parameter. For example, in the yellow lupin cv. Augy (k-2956) nitrogenase activity reached 142.70 Mkmol C<sub>2</sub> H<sub>4</sub>h<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>, while in another cv. Cyt (k-2398) belonging to the same species it varied within the limits of 0.24-16.82 Mkmol C<sub>2</sub> H<sub>4</sub>h<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>. High nitrogenase activity of cv. Augy enhanced the accumulation of dry matter in plants. Positive correlation between nitrogenase activity and dry substance accumulation, or sometimes also of protein, was observed in many lupin species.

Accumulation of green and dry matter and nitrogen in plants. The efficiency of symbiosis is most completely evaluated on the accumulation of matter and nitrogen in plants. The research has shown that applying preparations of nodule bacteria *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) in most cases leads to an increase of plant efficiency. For some samples, the increase of dry substance store when inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) bacteria was even greater than when mineral nitrogen was applied. White lupin (*L. albus* L.). The accessions studied showed variable response to inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* lupini. The maximum increase of green and dry matter (6.18 times) was registered in the sample from Greece (k-2864), and the minimum (1.17-1.21 times) in cv. Tambovsky 86 from Russia and sample k-682 from Yugoslavia. In cv. Druzha from the Ukraine, on the contrary, the content of dry weight under application of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) bacteria was decreased.

Accessions ensuring a considerable yield increase (as compared with the reference) under inoculation of bacteria also included: cvs. Start (k-2644) from Russia, Olezka (k-2980) from the Ukraine, accessions k-2989 and k-3250 from Portugal, and El Harrach-1 (k-3110) from Algeria. Among the investigated samples, the greatest content of dry substance against all backgrounds was observed in k-507 from Egypt, k-1930 from Sudan and k-2986 from Portugal. Narrow-leafed lupin (*L. angustifolius* L.). Most of the accessions demonstrated increased plant productivity after application of *Bradyrhizobium* lupini. The best efficiency was observed in cvs.

Ladny and Nemchinovsky 846, Determinant-2 (k-3365) and Determinant-3 (k-3366) from Russia, K-3065 from Australia, Аpva (k-2950), Vika 65 (k-2954), DG-94 (k-3351) and DG-95 (k-3352) from Belarus, wild forms k-3076, k-3079 from Spain, k-3083 from Portugal and k-3093 from Morocco. For example, application of *Bradyrhizobium* lupini with the commercial Russian cultivar Nemchinovsky 846 increased the dry matter content from 17.8 to 20.5 g. Besides, this cultivar also showed an increase of nitrogen accumulation. In many cases the increase of plant productivity after inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* lupini was more significant than after application of mineral nitrogen. However, inoculation failed to increase the productivity of samples and varieties from Palestine (k-288), Greece (k-2866), and some accessions from Australia (k-2632, k-3062). With test purposes, seven new strains of nodule bacteria developed in the Institute of Agricultural Microbiology were engaged in an additional laboratory experiment in vegetative vessels. The new strains were tested on two varieties.



As a result, the highest efficiency of symbiotic nitrogen fixation for the regionally adapted Russian cv. Nemchinovsky 846 was shown by new 1604, 1630 and 1607 strains of *Bradyrhizobium lupini*. With cv. Yandee from Australia, the highest efficiency was demonstrated by 1604 and 1630 strains. Their application ensured a 1.5-2.0-time increase in accumulation of dry matter in plants. Yellow lupin (*L. luteus* L.). The greatest increase of dry substance on the background of nodule bacteria application (99%) was shown by wild forms k-2290 and k-2292 from Portugal, and cv. Cyt (K-2398) from Poland. Cv. Cyt also revealed an increase in nitrogen accumulation (Tab. 26). Figure 37 reproduce the size Root systems with nodules of the wild form of yellow lupin k-2292 from Portugal (right), compared with the roots of commercial variety Akademichesky 1 (left) under inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*). However, for cv. Augy from Lithuania and also for samples k-2289 and k-2291 from Portugal, application of 375A strain of bacteria did not lead to an increase in either dry matter or nitrogen. Nevertheless, in spite of it, cv. Augy ensured the greatest content of dry substance among all investigated samples not only with inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium lupini* but also and especially without it. Accession k-304 of *Lupinus pilosus* Murr. also showed no increase of green and dry matter against the background infested with the above-mentioned preparation. However, an essential increase in the content and accumulation of nitrogen was observed (Kurlovich et al. 2001a). Species of lupin from America. These species appeared insensitive to application of 367A and 375A strains of nodule bacteria. Maximum accumulation of dry substance and nitrogen was observed almost in all

American species when mineral nitrogen had been applied. The exceptions were *L. micranthus* Dougl. and *L. hartwegii* Lindl. which did not respond to inoculation of a commercial bacterial strain and application of mineral nitrogen. The ability to store the greatest amount of nitrogen on all three backgrounds was demonstrated by *L. mutabilis* Sweet. *L. aridus* Dougl., *L. micranthus* Dougl., *L. ornatus* Dougl. and *L. albococcineus* Hort. were also of interest as sources for breeding lines with increased nitrogen fixation ability. There were also experiments to study responses of fodder low-alkaloid multifoliate Washington lupin (*L. polyphyllus* Lindl.) to inoculation with strains of nodule bacteria in pure state and in combinations with lentechin biostimulator and root diazotrops of the genus *Arthrobracter*. Best results were obtained after inoculating the said Washington lupin (cv. Truvor) with nodule bacteria strain 1625. Combining inoculation with seed treatment with lentechin biostimulator was also found to be efficient. The root diazotrops from the genus *Arthrobracter* did not show great efficiency in this specific combination. Discussion Our research has shown that evaluation of lupin accessions of diverse origin on different backgrounds with biological and mineral nitrogen was rather effective. As a result of such experiments, a regularity in variation of symbiotic nitrogen fixation parameters was found, the same being dependant on the origin of lupin accessions and methods of their development. In the process of this research, significant differentiation in the response of various lupin species and accessions to inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) was revealed. A majority of the studied accessions showed rather high responsiveness to inoculation of commercial strains of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) and ensured an increase of plant yield where these strains had been applied. Low or negative effect of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) inoculation on some accessions (especially those from America) can be explained by the mismatch of the use bacterial strains with plant genotypes. Thus, it seems promising to create highly complementary symbiotic pairs (of a plant and microorganism) with the purpose of increasing responsiveness of plants to inoculation. This may be achieved by searching for new advantageous strains of nodule bacteria matchable with the given variety, and by identifying plant genotypes responsive to inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium lupini*. The necessity to implement such efforts is expressly backed up by the factual results of our investigations which registers a decrease of plant yield after inoculation by same inappropriate strain of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*). For example, after treatment of the seed of yellow lupin cv. Augy from Lithuania with 376A strain, the efficiency of plants was reduced by 13%. Sample of narrow-leaved lupin k-3062 from Australia, as well as the species from America also showed a reduced efficiency after treatment with 363A and 375A strains. Thus, it is necessary to take into account that without preliminary tests the use of bacterial preparations not only may be ineffective, but can also bring about negative results.



On the other hand, skilled breeding of lupin cultivars and identification of complementary microsymbionts may essentially increase plant yield parameters and soil fertility, and also save expensive mineral fertilizers. The main condition of successful lupin breeding for high nitrogen-fixing ability is the availability of genetically well-defined and diverse materials of both the plant and microorganism. By now, more attention has been paid by the researchers to the second component of symbiosis – nodule bacteria. However, further manipulations with microorganisms will become more and more difficult. In view of this, the research on increasing the efficiency of nitrogen fixation should be put forward on the foreground at the expense of breeding leguminous plants (Черкоxa and Тихоxович, 1986; Kurlovich et al, 1997). Furthermore, these components of symbiosis are not coequal, because leguminous plants can exist and give yield without microorganisms, for example with the help of mineral fertilizers. However, there is no need to target genetic and breeding researches in both directions. The identified promising plant materials should undergo trials against the backgrounds inoculated with different strains of nodule bacteria, and their new strains need to be tested on a rather large set of lupin accessions having different eco-geographic origin. The final outcome of these efforts should be the development of effective complementary symbioses between a plant variety and bacterial strain, which would jointly ensure high symbiotic nitrogen-fixing ability. It is worth mentioning that lupin breeding had started much earlier than the phenomenon of symbiotic nitrogen fixation was discovered. Moreover, in the regions where lupin had never occurred as a wild plant and was introduced from the outside, there were no lupin-related microsymbionts. This circumstance resulted in spontaneous selection of genotypes with low nitrogen-fixing ability. Thus, we presently possess lupin varieties with rather poor reaction to bacterial inoculation, but at the same time capable to ensure certain yield efficiency without inoculation.

With this in view, one of the most important problems now is to make effective selection of bacterial strains compatible with the existing commercial cultivars of lupin, and consequently to launch further breeding programs toward higher intensity of symbiotic nitrogen fixation. In the existing situation, natural soil populations of microorganisms very frequently do not contain highly active (and even specific) nodule bacteria in their structure. Such situation is most typical when introducing new lupin species into a new geographic region. Utilization of already available commercial strains of bacteria, and also identification and selection of new, more efficient ones, will provide for increasing the efficiency of the culture and for reinforcing the significance of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) bacteria as biological nitrogen accumulators. As an example of successful solution of this problem, we have selected an efficient strain of bacteria (1625) for the existing fodder cultivar of perennial multifoliate Washington lupin cv. Trypov. This cultivar belongs to the American species *Lupinus polyphyllus* Lindl. On the basis of the above-mentioned, we are able to make the conclusion that efficient cultivation of Washington lupin is possible only with a combined application of highly efficient preparations of nodule bacteria strains, especially in the regions where lupin has not been cultivated before. For each new cultivar of lupin it is necessary to select efficient strains of nodule bacteria corresponding to the plant genotype concerned. For promotion of valuable genotypes of lupin, it is also necessary to take into account the activity of the nitrogenase complex which varies in different lupin forms from 0 up to 100 Mmol C2 H4h-1 plant-1 and more. Even among the plants of one lupin sample there is variability in the given parameter (the coefficient of variation changes from 39.0 up to 94.17 %). It proves that each sample represents a complex population consisting of plants with different activity of nitrogen fixation. In this connection, it seems beneficial to select plants with high parameters of nitrogenase activity and use them directly in the breeding process. Such prospects are justified by the availability of the methods of nitrogenase activity definition without causing any harm to the growing plants (Черкоxa and Тихоxович, 1986). Matching the data on nitrogenase complex activity with the rates of dry matter and protein accumulation in lupin has shown that the correlation between these parameters is traced frequently enough, though not always. For example, the yellow lupin cultivar Augy from Lithuania demonstrated very high activity of nitrogenase (93.10 Mkmol C2 H4h-1 plant-1), and cv. Cyt from Poland had the lowest activity (5.52 Mkmol C2 H4h-1 plant-1). As a result, the yield of dry matter in cv. Augy on the background without nitrogen and without preparations of nodule bacteria amounted to 24.2 g/plant, while in cv. Cyt it was only 6 g/plant. When a preparation of nodule bacteria (strain 376A) had been applied, the figures were 20.7 and 10.2 g/plant respectively. This example not only confirms a positive correlation between the above-mentioned two parameters, but also serves as a rather clear illustration of the mismatch between the 367A strain of nodule bacteria and the yellow lupin cultivar Augy. Thus, only complex registration of all parameters describing the intensity of biological nitrogen-fixing ability of lupin makes it possible to evaluate the biodiversity of species, identify effective materials for future breeding, and also work out many theoretical assertions useful for introduction and classification of breeding sources. In our research we were guided by Vavilov's differential systematic geographic method of crop studies based on his law of homologous series in hereditary variation and on the theory of the centers of origin (domestication) of cultivated plants (Vavilov 1920, 1935, 1987). This method provided a possibility to perform targeted search for problems of evolution. This enabled us not only to disclose the diversity of forms, but also reveal a series of regularities in their variation depending on the degree of cultivation, geographic environments and soil conditions. So, our research helped to establish that the samples with high nitrogen-fixing ability more frequently occurred among the materials from primary centers of formation and origin of this or that species of lupin (Kurlovich, 1988). With yellow lupin, rather valuable in this respect was accession k-2292 from Portugal. The territory of this country belongs to the revised center of formation of yellow lupin (Vavilov 1935, 1987; Kurlovich et al, 1995). High productivity of this accession after inoculating it with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) is confirmed not only by our data but also by the evidence of other researchers (Черемисов, 1991). Among the accessions of white lupin from Greece (also the center of origin and formation of this species), worth mentioning is the sample k-2864, also with high nitrogen-fixing ability.

We explain this phenomenon, in particular, by admitting that plants and accompanying nodule bacteria in the process of their coevolution in the centers of formation and domestication became more and more adapted to each other, so their separate existence in new places of cultivation experiences difficulties. That is why inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Lupinus*) bacteria in new places of cultivation gives such positive results. The above indicated forms deserve special attention as the objects of genetic research, as the genes controlling nitrogen-fixing ability have jointly evolved with micro- and macrosymbionts, (Campana and Taxonomov, 1995). Along with introduction and genetic study of the materials available in nature, valuable forms may be obtained with the help of mutagenesis. The chains and methods of radioactive and chemical mutagenesis are widely known and were sufficiently described (Sidorova et al, 1995). The practice however shows that the best results can be achieved by optimum combination of mutagenesis and hybridization. For these purposes, hybridization process must involve mutants, wild forms and, to this or that degree, domesticated forms of lupin of various geographic origins. posted by BK @ 6:10 PM 1 Comments: Anonymous said... Hi! You have executed huge volume of researches! Their results allow to conduct lupin breeding on increase of a biological nitrogen fixation. It will promote development of ecological agriculture!Your colleague from Poland 29 June, 2006 Adhikari KN, Edwards OR, Wang S, Ridsdill-Smith TJ, Buirchell B (2012) The role of alkaloids in conferring aphid resistance in yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.). *Crop Pasture Sci* 63:444–451CrossRef CAS Google Scholar Baird N, Etter P, Atwood T, Currey M, Shiver A, Lewis Z, Selker E, Cresko W, Johnson E (2008) Rapid SNP discovery and genetic mapping using sequenced RAD markers. *PLoS ONE* 3:e3376CrossRef PubMed PubMed Central CAS Google Scholar Berger JD, Adhikari K, Wilkinson D, Buirchell B, Sweetingham M (2008) Ecogeography of the Old World lupinus.

1. Ecotypic variation in yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.). *Aust J Agric Res* 59:691–701CrossRef Google Scholar Berger JD, Buirchell B, Luckett D, Nelson M (2012) Domestication bottlenecks limit genetic diversity and constrain adaptation in narrow-leaved lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* 124:637–652CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Berger JD, John C, Nelson M, Kamphuis I, Sirek B, Buirchell B (2013) The essential role of genetic resources in narrow-leaved lupin improvement. *Theor Appl Genet* 68:1–9CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Berger JD, Ludwig C (2014) Contrasting adaptive strategies to terminal drought-stress gradients in Mediterranean legumes: phenology, productivity, and water relations in wild and domesticated *Lupinus luteus* L. *J Exp Bot* 65:6219–6229CrossRef CAS PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar Berlandier F, Sweetingham M (2003) Aphid feeding damage causes large losses in susceptible lupin cultivars. *Aust J Exp Agric* 43:1357–1362CrossRef Google Scholar Bortesi L, Fischer R (2015) The CRISPR/Cas9 system for plant genome editing and beyond. *Biotechnol Adv* 33:41–52CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Chaves M, Maroco J, Pereira J (2003) Understanding plant responses to drought: from genes to the whole plant. *Funct Plant Biol* 30:239–264CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Clements J, Chong L, Quealy J, Prilyuk L, Yang H, Francis G, Buirchell B (2009) Interspecific hybrids between *Lupinus angustifolius* and *L. luteus*—an avenue to increase the value of narrow-leaved lupin in Australia. *SABRAO J Breed Genet* 41 Google Scholar Cowling W, Huyghe C, Swiecicki W, Gladstones J, Atkins C, Hamblin J (1998) Lupin breeding In: Gladstones J, Atkins CA, Hamblin J (eds) *Lupinus as crop plants: biology, production and utilization*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK Google Scholar Cowling W, Buirchell BJ, Falk DE (2009) A model for introducing novel genetic diversity from wild relatives into elite crop populations. *Crop Pasture Sci* 60:1009–1015CrossRef CAS Google Scholar Drummond C, Eastwood R, Miotto S, Hughes C (2012) Multiple continental radiations and correlates of diversification in *Lupinus* (Leguminosae): testing for key innovation with incomplete taxon sampling. *Syst Biol* 61:443–460CrossRef PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar Edwards O, Ridsdill-Smith T, Berlandier F (2003) Aphids do not avoid resistance in Australian lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L., *Luteus*) varieties. *Bull Entomol Res* 93:403–411CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Foley RC, Kamphuis LG, Hane JK, Meiser S, Singh KB (2015) Analysis of conglutin seed storage proteins across lupin species using transcriptomic, protein and comparative genomic approaches. *BMC Plant Biol* 15:106CrossRef PubMed PubMed Central CAS Google Scholar French R, Sweetingham M, Shea C (2001) A comparison of the adaptation of yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.) and narrow-leaved lupin (*L. angustifolius* L.) to acid sandplain soils in low rainfall agricultural areas of Western Australia. *Crop Pasture Sci* 52:945–954CrossRef Google Scholar Gladstones JS (1970) *Lupinus* as crop plants. *Field Crop Abstr* 23:123–148 Google Scholar Gladstones J (1998) Distribution, origin, taxonomy, history and importance. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins CA, Hamblin J (eds) *Lupinus as crop plants: biology, production and utilization*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK Google Scholar Glazinska P, Wojciechowski W, Kulasek M, Glinkowski W, Marciniak K, Klajn N, Kesy J, Kopevcicz J (2017) De novo transcriptome profiling of flowers, flower pedicels and pods of *Lupinus luteus* (yellow lupine) reveals complex expression changes during organ abscission. *Front Plant Sci* 8:641 Google Scholar Glencross B, Palta J, Berger J (2008) Harvesting the benefits of lupin meals in aquaculture feeds. In: *Lupinus for health and wealth*. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, Fremantle, Western Australia. International Lupin Association, 14–18 Sept 2008 Google Scholar Hackbarth J (1951) Beobachtungen über den Entwicklungsrhythmus bei *Lupinus luteus*. *Z für Pflanzenzücht* 30:198–209 Google Scholar Hackbarth J (1955) Die oekologischen Ansprüche der Lupinenarten. I.

Anbau zur Koermergewinnung. *Z für Pflanzenzücht* 35:149–178 Google Scholar Hane J, Ming Y, Kamphuis L, Nelson M, Garg G, Atkins C, Bayer P, Bravo A, Brigans S, Cannon S et al (2017) A comprehensive draft genome sequence for lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*), an emerging health food: insights into plant-microbe interactions and legume evolution. *Plant Biotechnol J* 15:318–330CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Hondelmann W (1984) The lupine—ancient and modern crop plant. *Theor Appl Genet* 68:1–9CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Iqbal MM (2019) Genetics of adaptation of yellow lupin to dryland conditions. School of Agricultural and Environment. The University of Western Australia, Perth Google Scholar Iqbal M, Huynh M, Udall JA, Kilian A, Adhikari K, Berger J, Erskine W, Nelson MN (2019) The first genetic map for yellow lupin enables genetic dissection of adaptation traits in an orphan grain legume crop. *BMC Genomics* 20:68 Google Scholar Jones RAC, Latham LJ (1996) Natural resistance to cucumber mosaic virus in lupin species. *Ann Appl Biol* 129:523–542CrossRef Google Scholar Kordan B, Stec K, Slomiński P, Laszczak-Dawid A, Gabrys B (2018) Antixenosis potential in pulses against the pea aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *J Econ Entomol* 112:465–474CrossRef Google Scholar Kroc M, Koczyk G, Swiecicki W, Kilian A, Nelson M (2014) New evidence of ancestral polyploidy in the Genistoid legume *Lupinus angustifolius* L. (narrow-leaved lupin). *Theor Appl Genet* 127:1237–1249 Google Scholar Lambers H, Clements J, Nelson M (2013) How a phosphorus-acquisition strategy based on carboxylate exudation powers the success and agronomic potential of lupines (*Lupinus*, Fabaceae). *Am J Bot* 100:263–288CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Legume Phylogeny Working Group (2017) A new subfamily classification of the Leguminosae based on a taxonomically comprehensive phylogeny. *Taxon* 66:44–77CrossRef Google Scholar Lemmon ZH, Reem NT, Dalrymple J, Soyk S, Swartwood KE, Rodriguez-Leal D, Van Eck J, Lippman ZB (2018) Rapid improvement of domestication traits in an orphan crop by genome editing. *Nat Plants* 4:766–770CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Li H, Wylie S, Jones M (2000) Transgenic yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus*). *Plant Cell Rep* 19:634–637CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Martin GE, Rousseau-Guettin M, Courtomier S, Lima O, Michon-Coudouel S, Naquin D, de Carvalho JF, Ainouche M, Salmon A, Ainouche A (2014) The first complete chloroplast genome of the Genistoid legume *Lupinus luteus*: evidence for a novel major lineage-specific rearrangement and new insights regarding plastome evolution in the legume family. *Ann Bot* 113:1197–1210CrossRef CAS PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar Mousavi-Derazmahalleh M, Bayer PE, Nevado B, Hurgobin B, Filatov D, Kilian A, Kamphuis LG, Singh KB, Berger JD, Hane JK, Edwards D, Erskine W, Nelson MN (2018a) Exploring the genetic and adaptive diversity of a pan-Mediterranean crop wild relative: narrow-leaved lupin. *Theor Appl Genet* 131:887–901CrossRef PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar Mousavi-Derazmahalleh M, Nevado B, Bayer PE, Filatov D, Hane JK, Edwards D, Erskine W, Nelson MN (2018b) The western Mediterranean region provided the founder population of domesticated narrow-leaved lupin. *Theor Appl Genet* 131:2543–2554CrossRef CAS PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar Naganowska B, Wolko B, Sliwiska E, Kaczmarek Z (2003) Nuclear DNA content variation and species relationships in the genus *Lupinus* (Fabaceae). *Ann Bot* 92:349–355CrossRef CAS PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar Nelson MN, Książkiewicz M, Rychel S, Besharat N, Taylor C, Wyrwa K, Jost R, Erskine W, Cowling W, Berger J, Batley J (2017) The loss of vernalization requirement in narrow-leaved lupin is associated with a deletion in the promoter and de-repressed expression of a Flowering Locus T (FT) homologue. *New Phytol* 213:220–232CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Nelson M, Phan H, Ellwood S, Moolhuijzen P, Hane J, Williams A, O'Lone C, Fosu-Nyarko J, Scobie M, Cakir M, Jones M, Bellgard M, Książkiewicz M, Wolko B, Barker S, Oliver R, Cowling W (2006) The first gene-based map of *Lupinus angustifolius* L.—location of domestication genes and conserved synteny with *Medicago truncatula*. *Theor Appl Genet* 113:225–238CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Nelson MN, Moolhuijzen P, Boersma J, Chudy M, Lesniowska K, Bellgard M, Oliver R, Swiecicki W, Wolko B, Cowling W (2010) Aligning a new reference genetic map of *Lupinus angustifolius* with the genome sequence of the model legume, *Lotus japonicus*. *DNA Res* 17:73–83CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Nelson MN, Moolhuijzen P, Boersma J, Chudy M, Lesniowska K, Bellgard M, Oliver R, Swiecicki W, Wolko B, Cowling W (2010) Aligning a new reference genetic map of *Lupinus angustifolius* with the genome sequence of the model legume, *Lotus japonicus*. *DNA Res* 17:73–83CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Ogura T, Hernández A, Aizawa T, Ogihara J, Sunairi M, Alcaino J, Salvo-Garrido H, Maureira-Butler IJ (2013) Identification of a low digestibility 8-Conglutin in yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.) seed meal for atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) by coupling 2D-PAGE and mass spectrometry. *PLoS One* 8:e80369 Google Scholar Ogura T, Ogihara J, Sunairi M, Takeishi H, Aizawa T, Olivos-Trujillo MR, Maureira-Butler IJ, Salvo-Garrido HE (2014) Proteomic characterization of seeds from yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.) and narrow-leaved lupin (*L. angustifolius* L.) to acid sandplain soils in low rainfall agricultural areas of Western Australia. *Development and characterization of INdel markers for Lupinus luteus* L. (Fabaceae) and cross-species amplification in other lupin species. *Electron J Biotechnol* 31:44–47CrossRef CAS Google Scholar Osorio CE, Amiaro VS, Aravena-Calvo J, Udall JA, Doyle JJ, Maureira-Butler IJ (2018b) Chromatographic fingerprinting of *Lupinus luteus* L. (Leguminosae) main secondary metabolites: a case of domestication affecting crop variability. *Genet Resour Crop Evol* 65:1281–1291CrossRef CAS Google Scholar Parra-González L, Aravena-Abarrúa G, Navarro-Navarro C, Udall J, Maughan J, Peterson L, Salvo-Garrido H, Maureira-Butler I (2012) Yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.) transcriptome sequencing: molecular marker development and comparative studies. *BMC Genomics* 13:1 Google Scholar Phan H, Ellwood S, Adhikari K, Nelson M, Oliver R (2007) The first genetic and comparative map of white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.): identification of QTLs for anthracnose resistance and flowering time, and a locus for alkaloid content. *DNA Res* 14:59–70CrossRef CAS PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar Piornos JA, Burgos-Díaz C, Ogura T, Morales E, Rubilar M, Maureira-Butler I, Salvo-Garrido H (2015) Functional and physicochemical properties of a protein isolate from *AluProt*-CGNA: a novel protein-rich lupin variety (*Lupinus luteus*). *Food Res Int* 76:719–724CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Robertson NL, Coyne CJ (2009) First report of bean yellow mosaic virus from diseased *Lupinus luteus* in Eastern Washington. *Plant Dis* 93:319–319CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Sansaloni C, Petrolli C, Jaccoud D, Carling J, Detering F, Grattapaglia D, Kilian A (2011) Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) and next-generation sequencing combined: genome-wide, high throughput, highly informative genotyping for molecular breeding of Eucalyptus. *BMC Proc* 5:P54CrossRef PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar Stinchcombe J, Hoekstra H (2008) Combining population genomics and quantitative genetics: finding the genes underlying ecologically important traits.

Heredity 100:158–170CrossRef CAS PubMed Google Scholar Stoddard F, Balco C, Erskine W, Khan H, Link W, Sarker A (2006) Screening techniques and sources of resistance to abiotic stresses in cool-season food legumes. *Euphytica* 147:167–186CrossRef Google Scholar Susek K, Bielski WK, Hasterok R, Naganowska B, Wolko B (2016) A first glimpse of wild lupin karyotype variation as revealed by comparative cytogenetic mapping. *Front Plant Sci* 7:1152CrossRef PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar Susek K, Bielski W, Hasterok R, Jackson SA, Wolko B, Naganowska B (2019) Impact of chromosomal rearrangements on the interpretation of lupin karyotype evolution. *Genes* 10:259CrossRef CAS PubMed PubMed Central Google Scholar Taylor CM, Kamphuis LG, Zhang W, Garg G, Berger JD, Mousavi-Derazmahalleh M, Bayer PE, Edwards D, Singh KB, Cowling W (2019) INDEL variation in the regulatory region of the major flowering time gene *LaNF1c1* is associated with vernalization response and flowering time in narrow-leaved lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). *Plant Cell Environ* 42:174–187 Google Scholar Troll H (1940a) Saatzeitversuche mit Zucht-und Landsorten sowie Wildformen von *L. luteus* und *L. angustifolius*. *Pflanzenbau* 16:403–430 Google Scholar Troll H (1940b) Vegetationsbeobachtungen an Lupinen in verschiedenen geographischen Breiten. *Der Zücht* 12:129–139CrossRef Google Scholar Varshtey R, Lekha P, Junichi K, Pooran M, Krishnamurthy L, Dave H (2011) Genomics and physiological approaches for root trait breeding to improve drought tolerance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). In: *Root genomics*. Springer, Berlin, Germany Google Scholar Wolko B, Clements JC, Naganowska B, Nelson MN, Yang H (2011) *Lupinus*. In: Kole C (ed) *Wild crop relatives: genomic and breeding resources*. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany Google Scholar Zehnder GW, Nichols AJ, Edwards OR, Ridsdill-Smith TJ (2001) Electronically monitored cowpea aphid feeding behavior on resistant and susceptible lupins. *Entomol Exp Appl* 98:259–269CrossRef Google Scholar Page 2 5123 Accesses 39 Citations 2 Altmetric