### Heavy to light exclusive at Belle (II) Christoph Schwanda for the Belle/Belle II collaborations

Challenges in Semileptonic B Decays, April 19-23, 2022, Barolo (IT)



 $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ 

### The golden mode

- Differential rate in terms of  $q^2 = (p_{\ell} + p_{\nu})^2$  $\frac{d\Gamma(B^0 \to \pi^- \ell^+ \nu)}{da^2} = \frac{G_F^2}{24\pi^3} |V_{ub}|^2 |p_\pi|^3 |f_+(q^2)|^2$
- BCL extraction of  $|V_{\mu b}|$  [Phys.Rev.D79:013008,2009; Erratum-ibid.D82:099902,2010]
  - Experiments determine the differential rate in bins of  $q^2$
  - Theory calculates  $f_+(q^2)$  at values of  $q^2$
  - Combined fit to the BCL expansion to determine  $|V_{ub}|$  and  $b_k(z)$  is a map of  $q^2$ )

$$f_{+}(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{2}/m_{B^{*}}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} b_{k} \left[ z^{k} - (-1)^{k-K} \frac{k}{K} z^{K} \right]$$





- Lattice QCD
  - Fermilab-MILC [Phys. Rev. D 92, 014024 (2015)] form factor calculation presented as BCL expansion
  - RBC-UKQCD [Phys. Rev. D 91, 074510 (2015)] form factor at  $q^2 = 19.0, 22.6, 25.1$  GeV<sup>2</sup>
- Light-cone sum rules
  - A. Bharucha [*JHEP* 05 (2012) 092] form factor at  $q^2 = 0$

 $\frac{14024 (2015)}{16024 (2015)}$ S BCL expansion  $\frac{4510 (2015)}{1600}$ 

 $B \rightarrow \rho, \omega, \eta^{(\prime)} \ell \nu$ 

- Although these modes have been measured precisely at B factories, we haven't seen competitive determinations of  $\|V_{ub}\|$
- Theoretical issues
  - LCSR FF available for rho and omega [J. High Energ. Phys. (2016) 2016: 98]
  - Lack of precise lattice predictions for the vector modes
- Experimental issues
  - $B \rightarrow \rho \ell \nu$ : Spin of the rho not identified in experimental analyses, scalar pi pi background?

### $B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \nu$ [Phys. Rev. D 103, 112001 (2021)]



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell) \\ &= (22.7^{+1.9}_{-1.6}(\text{stat}) \pm 3.5(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-5} \\ \\ \hline \text{vs.} \\ \end{split}$$

on the same data set [Phys. Rev. D 88, 032005 (2013)]



### Untagged vs. Tagged



poor  $q^2$  resolution (-)





### **Tagged:**

 $B_{\rm sig}$  and  $B_{\rm tag}$  are reconstructed

signal yield O(10<sup>3</sup>) lower (-) low backgrounds (+) good  $q^2$  resolution (+) tag calibration (-)

### $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ untagged [PRD 86, 092004 (2012)]

- 416/fb of BaBar  $\Upsilon(4S)$  data
- Reconstruct only  $\pi e, \pi \mu$ , infer neutrino momentum from  $p_{\text{miss}}$ (loose neutrino reconstruction technique)
- About 12,000 signal events, S/N ~0.1
- Partial branching fractions obtained in 12  $q^2$  bins
- Systematics: detector effects,  $b \rightarrow u$  background





 $\begin{bmatrix} m_{ES} = \sqrt{E_{beam}^{*2} - \mathbf{p}_{\pi\ell\nu}^{*2}} \\ \Delta E = E_{\pi\ell\nu}^* - E_{beam}^* \end{bmatrix}$ 

### $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ with hadronic tag [PRD 88, 032005 (2013)]









# 711/fb of Belle Υ(4S) data Belle hadronic tag Yield extracted from M<sup>2</sup><sub>miss</sub> in 13 (7) bins of q<sup>2</sup> for B<sup>0</sup> → π<sup>-</sup>ℓ<sup>+</sup>ν (B<sup>+</sup> → π<sup>0</sup>ℓ<sup>+</sup>ν)

Main systematics: tag calibration

$B^0 \rightarrow$	$\pi^- \ell^+ \nu$
Component	Yield
$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$	$462.6 \pm 27.7$
$\bar{B}^0\!\rightarrow\!\rho^+\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$	514.5(fixed)
$\bar{B} \to X_u \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$	$599.5 \pm 198.4$
$B\overline{B}$	$5511.6\pm200.7$
qar q	111.8(fixed)
$\chi^2/\mathrm{ndf}$	76.0/76

$$B^+ \to \pi^0 \mathscr{C}^+ \nu$$

Component	Yield	
$B^- \! ightarrow \! \pi^0 \ell^- \bar{ u}_\ell$	$232.2\pm22.6$	
$\bar{B} \to X_u \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$	$100.0\pm86.7$	
$B\overline{B}$	$1993.4\pm90.7$	
qar q	18.5(fixed)	
$\chi^2/\mathrm{ndf}$	56.3/50	

## $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ average HFLAV 2021



 $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \pi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell) = (1.50 \pm 0.02_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.06_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-4}$ 

- Average in each bin of  $q^2$
- Taking into account correlated systematic uncertainties

• 
$$P(\chi^2) = 6\%$$

 Total *B* is obtained from summing up partial branching ratios (accuracy 6%)

### **BCL fit** HFLAV 2021



$$|V_{ub}| = (3.67 \pm 0.09_{exp} \pm |V_{ub}|) = (3.70 \pm 0.10_{exp})$$

Parameter	Value
$ V_{ub} $	$(3.67 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$
$b_0$	$0.418 \pm 0.012$
$b_1$	$-0.399\pm0.033$
$b_2$	$-0.578\pm0.130$

Parameter	$ V_{ub} $	$b_0$	$b_1$	$b_2$
$ V_{ub} $	1.000	-0.780	-0.404	0.401
$b_0$	-0.780	1.000	2.110	-0.587
$b_1$	-0.404	2.110	1.000	-0.686
$b_2$	0.401	-0.587	-0.686	1.000

 $= 0.12_{\text{theo}}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ (data + LQCD + LCSR)}$  $= \pm 0.12_{\text{theo}}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ (data + LQCD)}$ 

### $B \rightarrow \rho, \omega \ell \nu \text{ average}$ **HFLAV 2021**



- $B \to \rho \ell \nu$ 
  - $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell^+ \nu$  average includes  $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^- \ell^+ \nu$  results rescaled by  $0.5\tau_{B^+}/\tau_{B^0}$  (isospin symmetry)
  - 7% overall precision
  - 3 sigma discrepancy between BaBar untagged and Belle tagged for  $B^+ \to \rho^0 \ell^+ \nu$

•  $B \rightarrow \omega \ell \nu$ 

• 8% overall precision



### $B \rightarrow \rho, \omega \ell \nu q^2$ spectrum **HFLAV 2021**

- $B \to \rho \ell \nu$ 
  - Rate times bin width of the most precise measurements (BaBar untagged, Belle tagged) are averaged
- $B \to \omega \ell \nu$ 
  - BaBar untagged and Belle tagged are averaged
  - 2nd and 5th bin of the Belle spectrum is split using the LCSR spectrum [J. High Energ. Phys. (2016) 2016: 98]



# $B \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} \ell \nu$ average HFLAV 2021





 $B^+ \to \eta^{(\prime)} \ell^+ \nu$ 

### Submitted to PRD [arXiv:2104.13354]



$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \eta \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}) = (2.83 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-5}$$
$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \eta' \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}) = (2.79 \pm 1.29_{(\text{stat.})} \pm 0.30_{(\text{syst.})}) \times 10^{-5}$$





### Hadronic tagging at Belle II

### Comput Softw Big Sci (2019) 3: 6.



- The hadronic FEI employs over 200 boosted decision trees to reconstruct 10000 B decay chains
  - $\epsilon_{B^+} \approx 0.5 \%$ ,  $\epsilon_{B^0} \approx 0.3 \%$  at low purity

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

$$M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{beam}^2 / 4 - (p_{B_{tag}}^{cm})^2} > 5.27 \; {
m GeV}/c^2$$

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

### $B \rightarrow \pi e \nu$ tagged at Belle II Winter 2021 — paper in preparation

- 189.3/fb of Belle II, tag side is reconstructed by hadronic FEI
- $\pi^- e^+$  and  $\pi^0 e^+$  are reconstructed on the signal side
- Signal yield is extracted from the missing mass distribution in three bins of  $q^2$

• 
$$M_{\text{miss}}^2 = (p_{\Upsilon(4S)} - p_{B_{\text{tag}}} - p_{\pi} - p_e)^2$$

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

### $B \rightarrow \pi e \nu$ tagged at Belle II Winter 2021 — paper in preparation

$q^2$ bin	Signal efficiency	Unfolded signal yield	$\Delta \mathcal{B}$
		$B^0 \to \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$	
$0~{\rm GeV^2} \le q^2 < 8~{\rm GeV^2}$	$(0.189 \pm 0.002)\%$	$15.5 \pm 4.6$	$(0.61 \pm 0.18(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-4}$
$8~{\rm GeV^2} \leq q^2 < 16~{\rm GeV^2}$	$(0.239 \pm 0.003)\%$	$15.3 \pm 4.8$	$(0.48 \pm 0.15(\text{stat}) \pm 0.02(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-4}$
$16~{\rm GeV^2} \le q^2 \le 26.4~{\rm GeV^2}$	$(0.229 \pm 0.003)\%$	$10.3 \pm 4.2$	$(0.34 \pm 0.14(\text{stat}) \pm 0.02(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-4}$
Sum	—	$41.1 \pm 7.8$	$(1.43 \pm 0.27(\text{stat}) \pm 0.07(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-4}$
Fit over full $q^2$ range	$(0.217 \pm 0.002)\%$	$42.0 \pm 7.9$	$(1.45 \pm 0.27(\text{stat}) \pm 0.07(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-4}$
			-
$q^2$ bin	Signal efficiency	Unfolded signal yield	$\Delta \mathcal{B}$
		$B^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$	
$0~{\rm GeV^2} \le q^2 < 8~{\rm GeV^2}$	$(0.329 \pm 0.004)\%$	$12.9 \pm 4.7$	$(2.90 \pm 1.12(\text{stat}) \pm 0.19(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-5}$
$8~{\rm GeV^2} \leq q^2 < 16~{\rm GeV^2}$	$(0.439\pm0.005)\%$	$18.1\pm5.1$	$(3.05 \pm 0.91(\text{stat}) \pm 0.20(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-5}$
$16~{\rm GeV^2} \le q^2 \le 26.4~{\rm GeV^2}$	$(0.451\pm0.006)\%$	$14.5\pm4.9$	$(2.38 \pm 0.85(\text{stat}) \pm 0.16(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-5}$
Sum	_	$45.5\pm8.5$	$(8.33 \pm 1.67(\text{stat}) \pm 0.55(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-5}$
Fit over full $q^2$ range	$(0.402\pm0.003)\%$	$43.9\pm8.3$	$(8.06 \pm 1.62(\text{stat}) \pm 0.53(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-5}$

• Yields in  $q^2$  bins are corrected by bin-by-bin unfolding

### $B \rightarrow \pi e \nu$ tagged at Belle II Winter 2021 — paper in preparation

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

of			% of	
$\pi^{-}$	$e^+\nu_e$	$\mathcal{B}($	$B^+ \to \pi$	$^{0}e^{+}\nu_{e})$
	3	1	2	3
		2.9		
		1.2		
2			3.1	
6			0.3	
			4.8	
	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.3
	0.4	1.0	0.5	0.5
	0.4			
	4.8	6.7	6.7	6.7

### **First Belle II determination of** $|V_{ub}|$ Winter 2021 — paper in preparation

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

### • BCL fit with the FNAL-MILC form factor [Phys. Rev. D 92, 014024 (2015)]

Decay mode	Fitted $ V_1 $	ub
$B^0 \to \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$	$(3.71 \pm 0.55)$	$\times 10^{-3}$
$B^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$	$(4.21 \pm 0.63)$	$\times 10^{-3}$
Combined fit	$(3.88 \pm 0.45)$	$\times 10^{-3}$

![](_page_18_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Summary

• 
$$B \to \pi \ell \nu$$

• The golden mode for  $|V_{\mu b}|$  exclusive from  $\Upsilon(4S)$  data

• 
$$\mathscr{B}(B^0 \to \pi^- \mathscr{C}^+ \nu) = (1.50 \pm 0.02_{\text{stat}})$$

• 
$$|V_{ub}| = (3.67 \pm 0.09_{exp} \pm 0.12_{th}) \times$$

- $B \to \rho, \omega \ell \nu$ 
  - $\mathscr{B}$ s known to 7-8% precision (HFLAV 2021)
  - Lack of precise FF calculations limits their usefulness in terms of  $|V_{ub}|$

 $\pm 0.06_{\rm syst}$ ) × 10<sup>-4</sup> (HFLAV 2021)  $10^{-3}$  (HFLAV 2021, LQCD, LCSR)

### Summary

- New Belle II results
  - Belle II data
  - $|V_{\mu h}| = (3.88 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-3}$  (Belle II, LQCD)
- More results are in preparation
  - will significantly increase the precision in  $|V_{ub}|$

• First determination of  $|V_{\mu b}|$  from  $B \rightarrow \pi e \nu$  tagged using 189.3/fb of

• We expect in particular the untagged measurements for ICHEP 2022 which