

Host range and host preference of blister beetles

C. DURAIRAJ AND N. GANAPATHY

Dept. of Agrl. Entomology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu.

Abstract: In the field surveys conducted in selected locations on blister beetles during 1996-1999, Mylabris pustulata, M. thunbergii and Mylabris sp. were found to be more cosmopolitan. Among the host plants, leguminous hosts dominated in number followed by Malvaceae. A total of 52 hosts was identified for M. Pustulata, 27 hosts for Mylabris sp. and 16 hosts for M. thunbergii. All these beetle species showed selective polyphagy among the hosts. M. pustulata beetles preferred solitary large sized (>4cm dia) yellow or white coloured flowers, while M. thunbergii and Mylabris sp. preferred small to medium sized (2-4 cm. dia) solitary flowers. Generally yellow and white flowers were most preferred by both species. But, the role of allelochemicals present in hosts in host preference was not ruled out. The above observations are first of its kind from India.

Key Words: Blister beetles - Mylabris sp., Host range.

Introduction

Blister beetles Mylabris sp. (Coleoptera: Meloidae) are pests of various agricultural crops. The adult beetles severely damage buds, flowers, tender pods and even tender leaves by feeding either solitarily or gregariously resulting in reduced yields (Anand, 1978). They are highly cosmopolitan and are reported to occur from tropical Tamil Nadu to temperate regions of Uttar Pradesh in India (Garg, 1985; Kashyap et al. 1990; Patnaik et al. 1993; Sahoo et al. 1993 and Durairaj and Ganapathy, 1996). Many species of blister beetles were reported on pulse crops. In northern India, Mylabris phalerata Pall was severe on pigeonpea (Garg, 1985; Dutta and Singh, 1989). Besides, M. pustulata Thunberg and M. macilenta Marshall were also reported on pulses (Kashyap et al. 1990). According to Prasad (1995), M. phalerata and M. orientalis were more harmful to pigeonpea in Uttar Pradesh. Similarly, in the southern parts of India M. pustulata, M. thunbergii Billberg and Mylabris sp. caused severe damage to pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp) and blackgram (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper), greengram (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek) and cowpea (Vigna unquiculata (L.) Walper) (Giraddi et al. 1992; Durairaj, 1999). One of the many handicaps in the management of these beetles is the occurrence of a wide range of alternative hosts and the ability of these beetles to quickly adapt to newer hosts under crop ecosystem. Though some reports are available on the details of alternative hosts

in northern India (Garg, 1985), reports on thei host range from southern parts of India as lacking. In this study conducted National Pulso Research Centre, Vamban during 1996-199 investigations were carried out on the speciation of blister beetles available and their host range in selected locations in India.

Materials and Methods

Field observations were made on differen blister beetles found both on cultivated crops and weed hosts in selected locations in India The beetles were sampled in the districts of Pudukkottai, Trichy, Coimbatore in Tamil Nadu and Pantnagar in Uttar Pradesh.

Along with beetle samples, the host plants on which the beetles fed were also carefully noted. The blister beetles were got identified from International Institute of Entomology, London. The wild weed hosts were got identified from Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore, India. In addition to host plant identity, specific observations were also made on qualitative traits like flower petal colour, size and flowering habit (single or clustered) etc. The diameter of flower corolla was measured from all the hosts and based on that the size of host plant flowers were classified into three categories, viz. small (less than 2.0 cm), medium (2.1 to 4.0 cm) and large (more than 4.0 cm). The host plants were classified upto family level and the highly preferred host plants were

able 1. Host range for Mylabris pustulata

io.	Common Name	Botanical Name	Family	Flower size	Flower colour	Flower habit
4	Butterfly pea	Clitoria ternatea	Leguminosae	Medium	White & Violet	Single
ě.	Cowpea	Vigna unguiculata	Leguminosae	Medium	White	Single
ì	Blackgram	Vigna mungo	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
Ä	Greengram	Vigna radiata	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Cluster
	Daincha	Sesbania cannabina	Leguminosae	Small	Pink	Single
	Sunhemp	Crotalaria juncea	Leguminosae	Medium	Yellow	Single
	Siratro	Macroptelium lathyroides	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
ī	Groundnut	Arachis hypogaea	Leguminosae	Medium	Yellow	Single
9)	Redgram	Cajanus cajan	Leguminosae	Medium	Yellow	Cluster
Э.	Centro	Centrosema pubescens	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
1.	Garden bean	Dolichos lab-lab	Leguminosae	Medium	White	Cluster
2	Stylo	Stylosanthes hamata	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
3.,	Negro coffee	Cassia occidentalis	Leguminosae	Medium	Yellow	Cluster
4.	Tanner's cassia	Cassia auriculata	Leguminosae	Medium	Yellow	Cluster
5.	Sticky mallow	Pavonia zeylanica	Malvaceae	Small	White	Single
б.	Spindy sida	Sida spinosa	Malvaceae	Small	Yellow	Single
7.	Bhendi	Abelmoschus esculentus	Malvaceae	Large	White	Single
8.	Cotton	Gossypium sp.	Malvaceae	Large	White	Single
9.	Mesta	Hibiscus canabinus	Malvaceae	Large	White	Single
0.	Holly hock	Althia rosea	Malvaceae	Large	Light pink	Single
1.	Country mallow	Abutilon indicum	Malvaceae	Medium	Yellow	Single
2.	Hibiscus	Hibiscus sp.	Malvaceae	Large	Red	Single
3.	Shoe flower	Hibiscus rosa sinensis	Malvaceae	Large	Red	Single
4.	Changing rose	Hibiscus polypetalous	Malvaceae	Large	White & Pink	Single
5.	Pumpkin	Cucurbita maxima	Cucurbitaceae	Large	Orange	Single
6.	Ribbed gourd	Luffa acutangula	Cucurbitaceae	Medium	Yellow	Single
7.	Bottle gourd	Laginaria vulgaris	Cucurbitaceae	Medium	White	Single
8.	Wild mustard	Cleome viscosa	Capparideae	Small	Yellow	Single
9.	Mango	Mangifera indica	Anacardiaceae	Small	Brown	Cluster
0.	Indian night shade	Solanum indicum	Solanaceae	Medium	White	Cluster
1.	Thorn apple	Datura metal	Solanaceae	Large	White	Single
2.	Poovarasukodi	Ipomoea carnea	Convolvulaceae	Large	White	Single
3.	Sweet potato	Ipomoea batatas	Convolvulaceae		Violet & Pink	Single
4.	Amaranthus	Amarathus spp.	Amaranthaceae	Small	Pink	Cluster
5.	Bougainvillea	Bougainvillea spectabilis	Nyctaginaceae	Large	Pink	Cluster
6.	Neerium	Nerium odorum	Amaryllidaceae	Large	Yellow	Single

37.	Mountain stage	Lantana camara	Verbenaceae	Small	Orange	Cluster
38.	Indian short	Canna indica	Araceae	Large	Orange	Single
39.	Flame tree of wood	Ixora singaporensis	Rubiaceae	Medium	Red	Cluster
40.	Purging nut	Jatropha sp.	Euphorbiaceae	Medium	Red .	Single
41.	Powder puff	Calliandra spp.	Mimosaceae sp.	Large	Pink	Single
42.	Rose	Rosa centifolia	Rosaceae	Large	Pink	Single
43.	Wild passion fruit	Passiflora foetida	Passifloraceae	Large	White	Single
44.	****	Stemodia viscosa	Scrophulariaceae	Small	Violet	Single
45.	Sesamum	Sesamum orientale	Pedaliaeae	Medium	White	Single
46.	Curry leaf	Murraya koenigii	Rutaceae	Small	White	Cluster
47.	Vettukkaya keerai	Tridax procumbens	Compositae	Small	White	Single
48.	Chrysan- themum	Chrysanthemum indicum	Compositae	Large	Orange	Single
49.	i s	Corchorus aestuans	Tiliaceae	Small	Yellow	Cluster
50.	Guava	Psidium guajava	Myrtaceae	Medium	White	Single
51.	Prickly pear	Opuntia dillenii	Cactaceae	Large	Yellow	Single
52.	Indian privet	Lawsonia inermis	Lythraceae	Small	White	Cluster

^{*} Small = <2 cm dia of_standard petal; Medium = 2.1 to 4.0 cm; Large = > 4.0 cm

determined based on the intensity of damage and the beetle density on the host.

Results and Discussion

The three common blister beetle species identified were M. pustulata, M. thunbergii and Mylabris sp. Among these, M. pustulata and Mylabris sp. were cosmopolitan while M.thunbergii was less prevalent. The host plants that were attracted by these three species showed marked variation. A total of 52 host plants was identified for M. pustulata, 27 for Mylabris sp. and 16 for M. thunbergii. Host plants belonging to 25 botanical families were attractive to M.pustulata, while host plants from nine and seven botanical families were attractive to M. thunbergii and Mylabris sp. respectively (Table 1,2 and 3).

Among the host plants recorded for *M.pustulata*, 14 plant species were from Leguminosae followed by 10 plant species from Malvaceae. The floral morphology also contributed for the host preference. Though the size of flowers had not shown marked variation in host preference by *M. pustulata*, flower habit

showed stricking differences. The preferences was found to be more for solitary, single flowers than clustered inflorescences. In addition, among the host plants identified, white and yellow petalled flowers were more preferred than either red, pink or orange flowers (Table 1).

In the case of Mylabris sp. out of 27 host plants identified from nine botanical families, leguminous hosts outnumbered others. Smaller sized flowers were more preferred for feeding followed by medium sized flowers whereas larger flowers were the least preferred. This species also preferred single flowers than inflorescence clusters. Yellow petalled plants were more attacked than either white or pink (Table 2).

In the case of the least dominant species, M. thunbergii, only sixteen host plants were recorded as hosts from seven botanical families. Among them, eight host plants belonged to the family Leguminosae and three hosts to Malvaceae. Leguminous hosts constituted 50.0 per cent of total host plant species identified in this investigation. As in other two species, this species also preferred solitary, smaller sized, yellow and white flowered plants (Table 3).

Table 2. Host range for Mylabris sp.

šl. Vo.	Common Name •	Botanical Name	Family	Flower size	Flower colour	Flower habit
l.	Wild indigo	Tephrosea purpurea	Leguminosae	Small	Pink	Single
2.	Cowpea	Vigna unguiculata	Leguminosae	Medium	White	Single
3.	Blackgram	Vigna mungo	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
Į	Greengram	Vigna radiata	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Cluster
i.	Daincha	Sesbania cannabina	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Cluster
	Groundnut	Arachis hypogaea	Leguminosae	Medium	Yellow	Single
	Redgram	Cajanus cajan	Leguminosae	Medium	Yellow	Cluster
., "	Butterfly pea	Centrosema pubescens	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
	Stylo	Stylosanthes hamata	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
0.	≟ **	Indigofera aspalathoides	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
1.	# · ·	Rothia indica	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
2.	Sticky mallow	Pavonia zeylanica	Malvaceae	Small	White	Single
3.	Spiny sida	Sida spinosa	Malvaceae	Small	White	Single
4.	Mesta	Hibiscus canabinus	Malvaceae	Large	White	Single
5.	Sida	Sida acuta	Malvaceae	Small	White	Single
6.	Country mallow	Abutilon indicum	Malvaceae	Medium	Yellow	Single
7.	Wild mustard	Cleome viscosa	Capparideae	Small	Yellow	Single
8.	Rat ear leaf	Merremia hederacea	Convolvulaceae	Small	White	Single
9.	Vishnu kiranthi	Evolvulus alsinoides	Convolvulaceae	Small	Pink	Single
0.	= 8	Ipomoea pestigridis	Convolvulaceae	Medium	White	Single
1	<u>122</u> ()	Stemodia viscosa	Scrophulariaceae	Small	Violet	Single
2.	Sesamum	Sesamum orientale	Pedaliaceae	Medium	White	Single
3.	Chag root	Oldenlandia umbellata	Rubiaceae	Small	White	Cluster
4.	-	Andrographis echioides	Acanthaceae	Small	Pink	Single
5.	= 1	Justica tranquebariensis	Acanthaceae	Small	Yellow	Single
6.	en :	Polygala arvensis	Polygalaceae	Small	Yellow	Single
7.	Indian Ash tree	Odina wodier	Anacardiaceae	Smal	Yellow	Single

^{*} Small = <2 cm dia of standard petal; Medium = 2.1 to 4.0 cm; Large = > 4.0 cm

The range of host plants recorded in this study clearly indicated that leguminous hosts were more predominant than others for all the three species. The host range of M. pustulata was very wide compared to either Mylabris sp. or M. thunbergii. Since legumes are nutritionally superior, these beetles might have shown marked preference towards them. Earlier record on the host range of M. pustulata, M. phalerata, M. macilenta and M. tiflensis Billberg showed that the flowers, buds and tender growing parts of cucurbits, malvaceous plants, beans, pulses, potato (Solanum suberosum L.), flax (Linum usitatissimum L.),

sunhemp (Crotalaria juncea L.), sandal (Santalum album L.), mustard (Brassica juncea Corr.), maize (Zea mays L.), groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.), blackgram, chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum morifolium Vis.) and brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) were devoured by these beetles (Isaac, 1934; Subramania Iyer, 1921; Sharma et al. 1964; Sivarama Krishnan, 1984; Sood and Kakar, 1991; Dhamadhere et al. 1995). Sangha and Mavi (1995) recorded M. phalerata on ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula Roxb.) cowpea, canna (Canna edulis Kerr-Gawl.), china rose (Rosa sp.), cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.)

Table 3. Host range for Mylabris thunbergii

SI. No.	Common Name	Botanical Name	Family	Flower size	Flower colour	Flower habit
1.	Blackgram	Vigna mungo	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
2	Cowpea	Vigna unguiculata	Leguminosae	Medium	White	Single
3.	Groundnut	Arachis hypogaea	Leguminosae	Medium	Yellow	Single
4.	Greengram	Vigna radiata	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Cluster
5.	Madrasthorn	Pithecellobium dulce	Leguminosae	Small	White	Single
6.	Redgram	Cajanus cajan	Leguminosae	Medium	Yellow -	Cluster
7.	Wild indigo	Indigofera viscosa	Leguminosae	Small	Pink	Cluster
8.	Stylo	Stylosanthes hamata	Leguminosae	Small	Yellow	Single
9.	Bhendi	Abelmoschus esculentus	Malvaceae	Large	White	Single
10.	Country mallow	Abutilon indicum	Malvaceae	Medium	Yellow	Single
11.	Sida	Sida acuta	Malvaceae	Small	Yellow	Single
12.	Ash gourd	Cucumis moschata	Cucurbitaceae	Large	White	Single
13.	Cylon spinach	Talinum triangulare	Portulacaceae	Small	Pink	Single
14.	Small caltrops	Tribulus terrestris	Zygophllaceae	Small	Yellow	Single
15.	9 <u>5</u>	Cyanotis cucullata	Commeliniacea	Small	Blue	Single
16.	Australian asthma weed	Euphorbia hirta	Euphorbiaceae	Small	Brown	Cluster

^{*} Small = < 2 cm dia of the standard petal; Medium = 2.1 to 4.0 cm; Large = > 4.0 cm.

greengram, okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merrill.), tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) and rice (Oryza sativa L.). Balasubramanian (1995) listed fourteen plant species as feeding hosts for M. pustulata in Tamil Nadu besides Opuntia dilenii and Canavalia ensiformis. Natarajan (1990) reported that M. pustulata beetles were found to be feeding on mesquite (Prosopis chilensis (Molina) in Tamil Nadu, India while Krishnan and Jayakumar (1993) estimated nutritional indices for M. pustulata on Ipomoea carnea and I. tuberosa. Besides, tree crops like mango and Madrasthorn were also attacked by M. pustulata and M. thunbergii respectively.

The robustly built beetles of M. pustulata preferred larger sized flowers for feeding as they would require more niche for their foothold. Moreover, white and yellow coloured flowers were more attracted, obviously evidencing some amount of colour preference by this species. The small sized Mylabris sp preferred smaller flowers to accommodate their smaller bodies on floral surface. Besides Mylabris sp. was

gregarious, attacking the host in small swarms. This gregarious feeding was conspicuos in pigeonpea and stylo only. In order to facilitate easy mass feeding, smaller flowers might be more convenient besides eliminating competitive feeding by individual beetles on host flowers. The third species, M. thunbergii also preferred smaller soliatry yellow coloured flowers but was less aggressive in feeding.

The foregoing results also revealed that out of 52 host plants attractive to *M.pustulata*, only 36 were found to be specific to this species. Similarly, ten plant species were specific for *Mylabris* sp. while seven host plants alone were attractive to *M. thunbergii*. However, cowpea, blackgram, greengram, groundnut, redgram, stylo and country mallow were attractive to all the three blister beetle species recorded.

As regards to intensity of damage, M. pustulata preferred pigeonpea, cowpea, blackgram, greengram, stylo, country mallow, powder puff, shoe flower and changing rose more while datura and sunnhemp were least preferred. More

han 5.0 beetles/flower were observed on these josts while single beetles alone were observed in the least preferred hosts with low feeding either on petals or reproductive parts. In the case of Mylabris sp. cowpea, greengram, blackgram, edgram and stylo were highly preferred while laincha and wild mustard were least preferred. The least abundant beetle species, M.thunbergii was more attracted to stylo and country mallow only.

But a deep insight into this investigation revealed some degree of selective polyphagy exhibited by these beetles. When leguminous plants hosts were the most sought hosts, few egumes like Cassia siamea, Macrotyloma uniflorum Lam., Gliricidia sepium and Crotalaria juncea which bore yellow, pink or white flowers were not at all preferred by any of the species. Similarly, in the case of cucurbits, Coccinia andica, Citrullus colocynthis, Momordica charantia which also bore yellowish, single flowers were not preferred. The bright yellow coloured, larger flowers of Allamanda catharitica were totally spared by M. pustulata.

All these observations revealed that apart from morphological features, either nutritional or plant kairomonal factors might play a significant but dominant hidden role in the host selection process by these beetles. Zhu and Higgins (1994) found that among the alfalfa cultivars raised, 'Kansas Common" and "Arrow" alfalfas were more preferred for feeding by the blister beetle, Epicauta funebris Werner and E. occidentalis Werner than the hairy and glandular alfalfa lines like "Riley" and "KS 210". The former two cultivars were found to be nutritionally superior than the latter two.

Acknowledgements

The first author wishes to express his gratitude and thanks to Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), New Delhi, India for the financial assistance in the form of Adhoc. Scheme. The authors acknowledges the assistances of Dr.K. Srinivasan, and Dr.T. Senguttuvan in the course of the above investigation. Authors also acknowledges the valuable assistance of Dr.T.G. Shanower, Research Entomologist, Northern Plains, Agricultural Research Lab, 1500 North

Central Avenue, Sidney, MT 59270, USA for reviewing this manuscript.

References

- Anand, R.K. (1978). First record of *Meloe proscarbeus*Lin. and *M. violaceus* Marshall (Coleoptera:
 Meloidae) from India along with further
 description and a key to Indian species.

 J. Ent. Res. 2: 40-42.
- Balasubramanian, P. (1995). Food plants of blister beetle, Mylabris pustulata Thunb. (Coleoptera: Cantharidae) from Point Calimere Wildlife Sanctuary, Tamil Nadu. J. Bom. Nat. His. Soc. 89: 262-263.
- Dhamadhere, S., Dhamadhere, S.V. and Mathur, R. (1995). Occurrence and succession of pests of brinjal, Solanum melongena L. at Gwalior, India J. Ent. Res. 19: 71-77.
- Dutta, M. and Singh, V. (1989). Blister beetle (Mylabris phalerata), a serious pest of pigeonpea in the lower hills of Uttarpradesh. Int. Pigeonpea Newsl. 10: 29-30.
- Durairaj, C. (1999). Management of blister beetle in pulse crops. Final report of ICAR adhoc scheme sent to ICAR, New Delhi: 47p.
- Durairaj, C. and Ganapathy, N. (1996). Identification of blister beetle complex on pigeonpea in Tamil Nadu, India. Int. Chickpea and Pigeonpea Newsl. 3: 96.
- Garg, D.K. (1985). Blister beetles feeding on pigeonpea and other crops in Kumaon Hills of Uttar Pradesh. India. Int. Pigeonpea Newsl. 4: 54-55.
- Giraddi, R.S., Goudreddy, B.S. and Lingappa, S. (1992). Survey and surveillance of insect pests of redgram with special reference to Heliothis armigera (Hb.) in Bider district, Karnataka. J. Agric. Sci. 5: 273-274.
- Isaac, P.V. (1934). Scientific reports for Institute of Agricultural Research, Pusa, (1932-32): 161-166.
- Kashyap, N.P., Mehta, P.K. and Dhindsa, S.S. (1990).
 Insect pests associated with pigoenpea at Palampur, Himachal Pradesh. Int. Pigeonpea Newsl. 12: 26-27.
- Krishnan, N. and Jayakumar, G. (1993). Effect of feeding regimes on reproduction in Mylabris pustulata (Coleoptera: Meloidae). Uttar Pradesh J. Zoology, 13: 107-110.

- Natarajan, V. (1990). Insects infesting mesquite (Prosopis chilensis (Molina) S. at Point Calimere Wildlife Sanctuary. J. Bom. Nat. His. Soc. 87: 467.
- Patnaik, H.P., Mohapatra, H.K. and Senapati, B. (1993). Blister beetle incidence and some observations on its ovipositional behaviour on pigeonpea in Orissa, Indian. Int. Pigeonpea Newsl. 18: 27-30.
- Prasad, C.S. (1995). Insect pests in Kumaon Hills of Uttar Pradesh. Int. Chickpea and Pigeonpea Newsl. 2: 72-74.
- Sahoo, B.K., Jena, B.C. and Behera, P.K. (1993). Seasonal occurrence of blister beetle on pigeonpea varieties at south coastal districts of Orissa. Environment and Ecology, 11: 135-236.
- Sangha, H.S. and Mavi, G.S. (1995). Seasonal activity and host range of blister beetle, Mylabris phalerata (Pallas). J. Insect Sci. 8: 106-107.

- Sharma, P.L., Agarwal, S.C. and Bhalla, O.P. (1964) New record of damage of silk and milk grains of maize by blister beetles *India* J. Ent. 26: 238-239.
- Sivaramakrishnan, V.R. (1984). Mylabris pustulat Thunberg. Indian Forestry. Dehradun (ed N.K.Mathur, 110: 1201-1202
- Sood, A.K. and Kakar, K.L. (1991). Relative persistance toxicity of some insecticide against blister beetle, *Mylabris* spp. confrysanthemum flowers. *J. Insect Sci.* 4: 9 98.
- Subramania Iyer, T.V. (1921). Journal of Myso Agricultural and Experimental Union, 19-19.
- Zhu, Y.C. and Higgins, R.A. (1994). Host pla influences on feeding, survivorship, pop lation distribution and management of blist beetles (Coleoptera:Meloidae) in Kansa Environ. Ent. 23: 1472-1479.

(Received: February 2002; Revised: March 200