

we can write (since  $z_{22} = -z_{11}$ )

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \bar{\beta}_1 & -i\alpha_1 \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha_2 & \beta_2 \\ \bar{\beta}_2 & -i\alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbf{C}$ .

(iii) The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  of skew-Hermitian matrices of trace 0,

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & -i\alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{R}, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$$

is obviously a real form of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ . Since the Killing form of a real form is in general obtained by restriction we see from (4) §3-1 that

$$B(X, X) = 4 \operatorname{Trace}(XX) = -8(\alpha^2 + |\beta|^2)$$

so  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  is a compact real form of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ .

The following two results are of fundamental importance.

**Theorem 2.2.** Every semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbf{C}$  contains a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Every semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbf{C}$  has a real form  $\mathfrak{u}$  which is compact.

Ordinarily Theorem 2.2 is proved first using theorems on solvable Lie algebras (Lie's theorem that a solvable Lie algebra of complex matrices has a common eigenvector). The simultaneous diagonalization of the endomorphisms  $\operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{h}$  leads to a detailed structure theory for  $\mathfrak{g}$  by which the compact real form  $\mathfrak{u}$  is constructed. The details are as follows:

Assume  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Given a linear form  $\alpha \neq 0$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$  let

$$\mathfrak{g}^\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \operatorname{ad} H(X) = \alpha(H)X \quad \text{for all } H \in \mathfrak{h}\}$$

This linear form  $\alpha$  is called a *root* if  $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $\Delta$  denote the set of all roots. Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \quad (\text{direct sum}) \quad (1)$$

and it can be proved that

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}^\alpha = 1 \quad (\alpha \in \Delta) \quad (2)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  denote the subset (real-linear subspace) of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , where all the roots have real values. Then for a suitable choice of vectors  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  the set

$$\mathfrak{u} = i\mathfrak{h}^* + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbf{R}(X_\alpha - X_{-\alpha}) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbf{R}(i(X_\alpha + X_{-\alpha})) \quad (3)$$

is a compact real form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$$N_{\alpha, \rho} = N_{-\alpha, -\rho}$$

## Example

Consider again the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  and its Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of diagonal matrices of trace 0. Let again  $E_{ij}$  denote the matrix

$$(\delta_{ai} \delta_{bj})_{1 \leq a, b \leq n}$$

and for each  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$  let  $e_i(H)$  denote the  $i$ th diagonal element in  $H$ . Then

$$[H, E_{ij}] = (e_i(H) - e_j(H))E_{ij}$$

for all  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$  so the linear form  $\alpha_{ij}(H) = e_i(H) - e_j(H)$  is a root for  $i \neq j$  and by (1) this does give all the roots. The space  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  consists of all real diagonal matrices of trace 0. Let us put  $X_{\alpha_{ij}} = E_{ij}$  ( $i \neq j$ ). Then it is easily seen that the space (3) is the set  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$  of all skew-Hermitian  $n \times n$  matrices, which is indeed a compact real form of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  (cf. example above).

It is tempting to try to prove Theorem 2.3 directly, because then Theorem 2.2 would be an immediate corollary. In fact, for each  $X \in \mathfrak{u}$ , ad  $X$  can be diagonalized, so if  $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{u}$  is any maximal Abelian subalgebra, the space  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t} + i\mathfrak{t}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

A direct and elementary proof of Theorem 2.3 (without the use of Theorem 2.2) does not seem to be available. However, Cartan has proposed an idea for this purpose (*J. Math. Pures Appl.* 8 (1929), p. 23), which I shall describe here.

Since the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is nondegenerate, there exists a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$B(Z, Z) = -\sum_1^n z_i^2 \quad \text{if } Z = \sum_1^n z_i e_i \quad (4)$$

Let the structural constants  $c_{ijk} \in \mathbb{C}$  be determined by

$$[e_i, e_j] = \sum_1^n c_{ijk} e_k$$

Then

$$B(Z, Z) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad } Z \text{ ad } Z) = \sum_{i,j} \left( \sum_{h,k} c_{ikh} c_{jkh} \right) z_i z_j$$

so by (4)

$$\sum_{h,k} c_{ikh} c_{jkh} = -\delta_{ij} \quad (5)$$

Also,

$$B([X_i, X_j], X_k) + B(X_j, [X_i, X_k]) = 0$$

so

$$c_{ijk} + c_{ikj} = 0$$

and by (5)

$$\sum_{i,h,k} c_{ihk}^2 = n$$

The space

$$u = \sum_1^n R e_i$$

is a real form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  if and only if all the  $c_{ijk}$  are real.

Consider now the set  $\mathfrak{F}$  of all bases  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that (4) holds. Consider the function  $f$  on  $\mathfrak{F}$  given by

$$f(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \sum_{i,j,k} |c_{ijk}|^2$$

Then we have seen that

$$\sum_{i,j,k} |c_{ijk}|^2 \geq \left| \sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk}^2 \right| = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk}^2 = n \quad (6)$$

and the equality sign holds if and only if all the  $c_{ijk}$  are real, that is, if and only if

$$u = \sum_1^n R e_i$$

is a real form. In this case it is a compact real form in view of (4) and Prop. 2.1.

Thus Theorem 2.3 follows if one can prove: (I) The function  $f$  on  $\mathfrak{F}$  has a minimum value; and (II) this minimum value is attained at a point  $(e_1^0, \dots, e_n^0) \in \mathfrak{F}$  for which the structural constants are real. Note that (II) is equivalent to (II'): The minimum of  $f$  is  $n$ .

### 3-3 Cartan Decompositions

We now go back to considering a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbf{R}$  and as usual we denote by  $B$  the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . There are of course many possible ways to find a direct vector space decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^+ + \mathfrak{g}^-$  such that  $B$  is positive definite on  $\mathfrak{g}^+$  and negative definite on  $\mathfrak{g}^-$ . However, we should like to find a decomposition which is directly related to the Lie algebra structure of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Definition.** A *Cartan decomposition* of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a direct decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  such that (i)  $B < 0$  on  $\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $B > 0$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$ ; and (ii) The mapping  $\theta : T + X \rightarrow T - X$  ( $T \in \mathfrak{k}$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ ) is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In this case  $\theta$  is called a *Cartan involution* of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the positive definite bilinear form  $(X, Y) \rightarrow -B(X, \theta Y)$  is denoted by  $B_\theta$ . We shall now establish the existence of Cartan decompositions, using compact real forms for semisimple Lie algebras over  $\mathbf{C}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose  $\theta$  is a Cartan involution of a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\sigma$  an arbitrary involutive automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . There then exists an automorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the Cartan involution  $\phi\theta\phi^{-1}$  commutes with  $\sigma$ .

PROOF. The product  $N = \sigma\theta$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and if  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$-B_\theta(NX, Y) = B(NX, \theta Y) = B(X, N^{-1}\theta Y) = B(X, \theta NY)$$

so

$$B_\theta(NX, Y) = B_\theta(X, NY)$$

that is,  $N$  is symmetric with respect to the positive definite bilinear form  $B_\theta$ . Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  diagonalizing  $N$ . Then  $P = N^2$  has a positive diagonal, say, with elements  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Take  $P^t$  ( $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ) with diagonal elements  $\lambda_1^t, \dots, \lambda_n^t$  and define the structural constants  $c_{ijk}$  by

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ijk} X_k$$

Since  $P$  is an automorphism, we conclude

$$\lambda_i \lambda_j c_{ijk} = \lambda_k c_{ijk}$$

which implies

$$\lambda_i^t \lambda_j^t c_{ijk} = \lambda_k^t c_{ijk} \quad (t \in \mathbf{R})$$

so  $P^t$  is an automorphism. Put  $\theta_t = P^t\theta P^{-t}$ . Since  $\theta N\theta^{-1} = N^{-1}$ , we have  $\theta P\theta^{-1} = P^{-1}$ , that is  $\theta P = P^{-1}\theta$ . In matrix terms (using still the basis  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ) this means (since  $\theta$  is symmetric with respect to  $B_\theta$ )

$$\theta_{ij} \lambda_j = \lambda_i^{-1} \theta_{ij}$$

so

$$\theta_{ij} \lambda_j^t = \lambda_i^{-t} \theta_{ij}$$

that is,  $\theta P^t \theta^{-1} = P^{-t}$ . Hence,

$$\sigma\theta_t = \sigma P^t \theta P^{-t} = \sigma\theta P^{-2t} = NP^{-2t}$$

$$\theta_t \sigma = (\sigma\theta_t)^{-1} = P^{2t} N^{-1} = N^{-1} P^{2t}$$

so it suffices to put  $\phi = P^{1/4}$  ( $=\sqrt{\sigma\theta}$ ). (cf. [3], p. 100, [31], p. 156, [47], p. 884). The following result is given in Mostow [54].

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_c = \mathfrak{g} + i\mathfrak{g}$  its complexification,  $\mathfrak{u}$  any compact real form of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  the conjugations of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}$ , respectively. Then there exists an automorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  such that  $\phi \cdot \mathfrak{u}$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_c^{\mathbf{R}}$  denote the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  considered as a Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $B^{\mathbf{R}}$  the Killing form. It is not hard to show that  $B^{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) = 2\text{Re}(B_c(X, Y))$  if  $B_c$  is the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$ . Thus  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are Cartan involutions of  $\mathfrak{g}_c^{\mathbf{R}}$  and the corollary follows (note that since  $\sigma\tau$  is a (complex) automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$ ,  $\phi$  is one as well).

**Corollary 3.3.** Each semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbf{R}$  has Cartan decompositions and any two such are conjugate under an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  denote the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\sigma$  the corresponding conjugation, and  $\mathfrak{u}$  a compact real form of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  invariant under  $\sigma$  (Theorem 2.3 and Cor. 3.2). Then put  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{u}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g} \cap i\mathfrak{u}$ . Then  $B < 0$  on  $\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $B > 0$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and since  $\theta : T + X \rightarrow T - X$  ( $T \in \mathfrak{k}$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ ) is an automorphism,  $B(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}) = 0$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  is a Cartan decomposition.

Consider now two Cartan decompositions,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_1 \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}_2 + \mathfrak{p}_2$$

Then  $u_1 = \mathfrak{k}_1 + ip_1$  and  $u_2 = \mathfrak{k}_2 + ip_2$  are compact real forms of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$ . Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  denote the corresponding conjugations. By Cor. 3.2 there exists an automorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  such that  $\phi \cdot u_2$  is invariant under  $\tau_1$ . Thus  $\phi \cdot u_2$  is equal to the direct sum of its intersections with  $u_1$  and  $i u_1$ . Now  $B > 0$  on  $i u_1$  and  $B < 0$  on  $\phi \cdot u_2$ . Hence  $i u_1 \cap \phi \cdot u_2 = \{0\}$  so  $u_1 = \phi \cdot u_2$ . But  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  both leave  $\mathfrak{g}$  invariant and  $\phi$  can (according to the proof of Theorem 3.1) be taken as a power of  $\tau_1\tau_2$  so it also leaves  $\mathfrak{g}$  invariant. Thus  $\phi(\mathfrak{g} \cap u_2) = \mathfrak{g} \cap u_1$  so  $\phi$  gives the desired automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

### Examples

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$ , the Lie algebra of the group  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ . The group  $SO(n)$  of orthogonal matrices is a closed subgroup, hence a Lie subgroup, and by (8) §2-2, its Lie algebra, denoted  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ , consists of those matrices  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$  for which  $\exp tX \in SO(n)$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . But

$$\exp tX \in SO(n) \Leftrightarrow \exp tX \exp t({}^tX) = 1 \quad \det(\exp tX) = 1$$

so

$$\mathfrak{so}(n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) \mid X + {}^tX = 0\}$$

the set of skew-symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices (which are automatically of trace 0).

The mapping  $\theta : X \rightarrow -{}^tX$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R})$  and  $\theta^2 = 1$ . Since  $B(X, X) = 2n \text{Tr}(XX)$ ,  $B(X, \theta X) < 0$  so  $\theta$  is a Cartan involution and

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) = \mathfrak{so}(n) + \mathfrak{p} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the set of  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices of trace 0, is the corresponding

Cartan decomposition. Now it is known that every positive definite matrix can be written uniquely  $e^X$  ( $X =$  symmetric) and every nonsingular matrix  $g$  can be written uniquely  $g = op$  ( $o =$  orthogonal,  $p =$  positive definite). Thus we have a global analog of (1),

$$SL(n, \mathbf{R}) = SO(n)P \quad (2)$$

where  $P = \exp \mathfrak{p}$ , the set of positive definite matrices of determinant 1.

We shall now state a generalization of (2).

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  be a Cartan decomposition ( $\mathfrak{k}$  the algebra),  $K$  the analytic subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Then the mapping

$$(X, k) \rightarrow (\exp X)k$$

is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathfrak{p} \times K$  onto  $G$ .

In Theorem 3.4, the center  $\mathfrak{z}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\{0\}$ , (immediate from the definition) so the center  $Z$  of  $G$  is discrete. One can prove  $Z \subset K$  and that  $K$  is compact if and only if  $Z$  is finite. In this case  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ , and every compact subgroup is conjugate to a subgroup of  $K$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** In terms of the notation of Theorem 3.4, the mapping

$$(\exp X)k \rightarrow \exp(-X)k \quad (3)$$

is an automorphism of  $G$ .

In fact let  $\tilde{G}$  be the universal covering group of  $G$ . Since all simply connected Lie groups with the same Lie algebra are isomorphic (cf. (v) §2-2) the automorphism  $\theta$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  induces an automorphism  $\tilde{\theta}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $d\tilde{\theta}_e = \theta$ . By the remarks above, the center  $\tilde{Z}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  is contained in the analytic subgroup  $\tilde{K}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{k}$ . But  $G = \tilde{G}/N$ , where  $N \subset \tilde{Z}$  so  $\tilde{\theta}$  induces an automorphism of  $G$  which is (3).

Consider now the set  $G/K$  of left cosets  $gK$  ( $g \in G$ ). This set has a unique manifold structure such that the map  $X \rightarrow (\exp X)K$  is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathfrak{p}$  onto  $G/K$ . (More generally if  $K$  is a closed subgroup of a Lie group  $G$ ,  $G/K$  is a manifold in a natural way.) The group  $G$  operates on  $G/K$ : each  $g \in G$  gives rise to a diffeomorphism  $\tau(g) : xK \rightarrow gxK$  of  $G/K$ . Since  $Z \subset K$  we have  $G/K = (G/Z)/(K/Z)$  and  $G/Z = \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$  so the space  $G/K$  is independent of the choice of the Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In view of Cor. 3.3 the different possibilities for  $K$  are all conjugate so the space  $G/K$  is in a canonical way associated with  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $o$  denote the point  $\{K\}$  in  $G/K$  (the origin) and  $(G/K)_o$  the tangent space. The mapping  $\pi : g \rightarrow gK$  has a differential  $d\pi$  mapping  $\mathfrak{g}$  onto  $(G/K)_o$  with a kernel which contains  $\mathfrak{k}$ . By reasons of dimensionality, we see therefore that the mapping

$$d\pi : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow (G/K)_o \quad (4)$$

is an isomorphism and if  $k \in K$  we have for  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\pi(\exp \operatorname{Ad}(k)tX) = \pi(k \exp tX k^{-1}) = \tau(k)\pi(\exp tX)$$

so

$$d\pi(\operatorname{Ad}(k)X) = d\tau(k) d\pi(X). \quad (5)$$

Now the form  $B$  is  $> 0$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  so by (4) and (5) we obtain a positive definite quadratic form  $Q_o$  on  $(G/K)_o$  invariant under  $d\tau(k)$  ( $k \in K$ ). If  $p \in G/K$  is arbitrary there exists a  $g \in G$  such that  $p = gK$  and  $d\tau(g) : (G/K)_o \rightarrow (G/K)_p$  is an isomorphism giving rise to a quadratic form  $Q_p$  on  $(G/K)_p$ . If  $g' \in G$  satisfies  $g'K = gK$ ,  $d\tau(g')$  gives the same quadratic form  $Q_p$  on  $(G/K)_p$  because of the  $K$ -invariance of  $Q_o$ . Thus we have a Riemannian structure  $Q$  on  $G/K$  induced by  $B$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** The manifold  $G/K$  with the Riemannian structure induced by  $B$  is a symmetric space.

**PROOF.** Let  $\theta$  denote the automorphism (3) and  $s_o$  the mapping  $gK \rightarrow \theta(g)K$  of  $G/K$  onto itself. Then  $s_o$  is a diffeomorphism and  $s_o^2 = I$ ,  $(ds_o)_o = -I$ . To see that  $s_o$  is an isometry let  $p = gK$  ( $g \in G$ ) and  $X \in (G/K)_p$ . Then the vector  $X_o = d\tau(g^{-1})X$  belongs to  $(G/K)_o$ . But if  $x \in G$  we have

$$s_o(gxK) = \theta(gx)K = \tau(\theta(g))(s_o(xK))$$

so  $s_o \circ \tau(g) = \tau(\theta(g)) \circ s_o$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Q(ds_o(X), ds_o(X)) &= Q(ds_o \circ d\tau(g)(X_o), ds_o \circ d\tau(g)(X_o)) \\ &= Q(d\tau(\theta(g)) \circ ds_o(X_o), d\tau(\theta(g)) \circ ds_o(X_o)) \\ &= Q(X_o, X_o) = Q(X, X) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $s_o$  is an isometry and since  $(ds_o)_o = -I$ , it reverses the geodesics through  $o$ . The geodesic symmetry with respect to  $p = gK$  is given by

$$s_p = \tau(g) \circ s_o \circ \tau(g^{-1})$$

which is an isometry, so the proposition follows.

**Proposition 3.7.** The geodesics through the origin in  $G/K$  are the curves  $t \rightarrow \exp tX \cdot o$  ( $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ ).

Although the proof is not difficult we shall omit it. Instead let us take a second look at the example  $G = \mathbf{SU}(1, 1)$ . The decomposition

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & -i\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -i\alpha \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

gives a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ . We have also if

$$X_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\exp(tX_\beta) = \cosh(t|\beta|)I + \frac{1}{|\beta|} \sinh(t|\beta|)X_\beta$$

so

$$\exp(tX_\beta) \cdot o = (\tanh t|\beta|) \frac{\beta}{|\beta|}$$

verifying the proposition in this case.

### 3-4 Discussion of Symmetric Spaces

We shall now summarize some basic results in the general theory of symmetric spaces and indicate how the coset spaces  $G/K$  from the last section fit into this general theory.

Let  $M$  be a symmetric space as defined in Ch. 1. The group  $I(M)$  of all isometries of  $M$  is transitive on  $M$ . (In fact, if  $p, q \in M$  they can be joined by a broken geodesic and the product of the symmetries in the midpoints of these geodesics gives the desired isometry.) One can now parametrize the group  $I(M)$  in a natural way turning it into a Lie group. The identity component  $G = I_o(M)$  is still transitive on  $M$ . Fix a point  $o \in M$  and let  $K$  be the group of elements in  $G$  which leaves  $o$  fixed. Then the mapping  $gK \rightarrow g \cdot o$  is a diffeomorphism of  $G/K$  onto  $M$ . If  $s_o$  is the geodesic symmetry with respect to  $o$  the mapping  $\sigma : g \rightarrow s_o g s_o$  is an involutive automorphism of  $G$  and  $(K_\sigma)_o \subset K \subset K_\sigma$ , where  $K_\sigma$  is the set of fixed points of  $\sigma$  and  $(K_\sigma)_o$  its identity component. In order to verify these inclusions let  $k \in K$ . Then the maps  $k$  and  $s_o k s_o$  are isometries leaving  $o$  fixed and inducing the same linear map of the tangent space  $M_o$ . Considering the geodesics starting at  $o$  we see that  $k$  and  $s_o k s_o$  must coincide so  $K \subset K_\sigma$ . On the other hand, suppose  $X$  in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  is fixed under the differential  $(d\sigma)_e$ . Then  $s_o \exp tX s_o = \exp tX$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , so applying both sides to the point  $o$  we see that  $\exp tX \cdot o$  is fixed under  $s_o$ . But  $o$  is an isolated fixed point of  $s_o$  so  $\exp tX \cdot o = o$  for all sufficiently small  $t$ . But then  $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ , the Lie algebra of  $K$ , whence  $(K_\sigma)_o \subset K$ . Note finally that the group  $\text{Ad}_G(K)$  is compact, being a continuous image of the compact group  $K$ .

Conversely, let  $G$  be a connected Lie group,  $K$  a closed subgroup,  $\text{Ad}_G(K)$  compact. Suppose there exists an involutive automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $G$  such that  $(K_\sigma)_o \subset K \subset K_\sigma$ . Then there exists a Riemannian structure on  $G/K$  invariant under  $G$ , and for every such Riemannian structure,  $G/K$  is a symmetric space.



Consider now  $M$  as above and  $G = I_o(M)$ ;  $M$  is said to be of the *noncompact type* if  $G$  is noncompact, semisimple without a compact normal subgroup  $\neq \{e\}$ , and of the *compact type* if  $G$  is compact and semisimple.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $M$  be a symmetric space, which is simply connected. Then  $M$  is a product

$$M = M_o \times M_c \times M_n$$

where  $M_o$  is a Euclidean space and  $M_c$  and  $M_n$  are symmetric spaces of the compact type and the noncompact type, respectively.

**Proposition 4.2.** A symmetric space of the compact type (noncompact type) has sectional curvature everywhere  $\geq 0$  (respectively  $\leq 0$ ).

There is a very interesting *duality* between the compact type and the noncompact type. Let  $M = G/K$  be a symmetric space of the noncompact type where  $G = I_o(M)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  denote the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $K$ , respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{p}$  be the corresponding Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_c = \mathfrak{g} + i\mathfrak{g}$  the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ , the subspace  $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{f} + i\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  is actually a Lie algebra and another real form of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$ . Since the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  is  $< 0$  on  $\mathfrak{f}$ , and  $> 0$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , it is  $< 0$  on  $\mathfrak{u}$ , so  $\mathfrak{u}$  is a compact real form. If  $U$  is a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}$  and  $K'$  is the connected Lie subgroup with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ , the space  $U/K'$  is a symmetric space of the compact type. This process can be reversed, that is,  $G/K$  can be constructed with  $U/K'$  as a starting point.

### Examples

(i) Consider the symmetric space  $G/K$ , where  $G = SU(1, 1)$  and  $K$  the subgroup of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $|t| = 1$ . In this case the Cartan decomposition (6) in §3-3 shows that  $\mathfrak{u}$  is the set of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -i\alpha \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\beta \\ i\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so  $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ , the algebra of all  $2 \times 2$  skew symmetric matrices of trace 0. For the space  $U/K'$  we can therefore take the space  $SU(2)/K$ . [ $SU(n)$  denotes the special unitary group.] It is not hard to show that when the unit sphere  $S^2$  is projected stereographically onto the complex plane the rotations of the sphere correspond to the transformations

$$z \rightarrow \frac{az + \bar{b}}{-bz + \bar{a}} \quad |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$$

that is, to the members of  $SU(2)$ . In this manner  $SU(2)$  acts transitively on

$S^2$  and the subgroup leaving the point  $z = 0$  fixed is  $K$ . Thus  $U/K = S^2$  so the non-Euclidean disk  $D$  (Ch. 1) and the sphere  $S^2$  correspond under the general duality indicated. The formulas  $g = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  and  $u = \mathfrak{k} + i\mathfrak{p}$  can be regarded as an explanation of the phenomenon that the triangle formulas in non-Euclidean trigonometry are obtained from the triangle formulas in spherical trigonometry by replacing the sides  $a, b, c$  by  $ia, ib, ic$  and using the relations  $\sinh(ia) = i \sin a$ ,  $\cosh(ia) = \cos a$ . Lobatschevsky did indeed speak of his non-Euclidean trigonometry as spherical trigonometry on a sphere of imaginary radius.

(ii) Let  $U$  be a connected, compact Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}$ . If  $Q$  is any positive definite quadratic form on  $\mathfrak{u}$ , we obtain by left translations such quadratic forms on each tangent space to  $U$  and therefore a Riemannian metric on  $U$  which is invariant under all left translations. If  $Q$  is chosen invariant under  $\text{Ad}(U)$  then the Riemannian metric is invariant under right translations as well. One can prove that the geodesics through  $e$  are the one-parameter subgroups and the symmetry  $s_e : x \rightarrow x^{-1}$  is an isometry so  $U$  is a symmetric space. If  $U^*$  denotes the diagonal in  $U \times U$  one has a diffeomorphism  $(u_1, u_2)U^* \rightarrow u_1u_2^{-1}$  of  $(U \times U)/U^*$  onto  $U$ . The group involution  $(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow (u_2, u_1)$  of  $U \times U$  leaves  $U^*$  pointwise fixed and induces the symmetry  $s_e$  of  $U$ , via the diffeomorphism indicated.

If  $U$  is in addition semisimple, the symmetric space  $(U \times U)/U^*$  has in the above sense a noncompact dual  $G/U'$ , where  $U'$  has Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}$  and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  is a certain real form of the complexification of the product algebra  $\mathfrak{u} \times \mathfrak{u}$ . One can prove that as  $\mathfrak{u}$  runs through the compact semisimple Lie algebras,  $\mathfrak{g}$  runs through the complex semisimple Lie algebras (regarded as Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

### 3-5 The Iwasawa Decomposition

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  a Cartan decomposition. The operators  $\text{ad } X$  ( $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ ) are all symmetric with respect to the positive definite form  $B_\theta$  and each of them can therefore be diagonalized, and a commutative family can be simultaneously diagonalized. Hence let  $\alpha$  denote a maximal Abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and if  $\alpha$  is a real-valued linear function on  $\alpha$  put

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [H, X] = \alpha(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \alpha\} \quad (1)$$

If  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  is called a *restricted root*. Clearly, if  $\Sigma$  denotes the set of restricted roots,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \mathfrak{g}_0 \quad (2)$$

The dimension  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$  is called the *multiplicity* of  $\alpha$ . Let  $\alpha'$  denote the set of elements in  $\alpha$ , where all roots are  $\neq 0$ . The connected components of  $\alpha'$

are intersections of half spaces; hence they are convex open sets. They are called *Weyl chambers*. Fix any Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  and call a restricted root *positive* if its values on  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  are positive.

Let  $\Sigma^+$  denote the set of positive restricted roots and put

$$\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \quad \rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha > 0} (\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha) \alpha \quad (3)$$

Then  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra. The following result is called the Iwasawa decomposition.

**Theorem 5.1.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$  (direct vector space sum). Let  $G$  be any connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $K, A, N$  denote the analytic subgroups corresponding to  $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{a}$ , and  $\mathfrak{n}$ , respectively. Then the mapping

$$(k, a, n) \rightarrow kan$$

is a diffeomorphism of  $K \times A \times N$  onto  $G$ .

Rather than give the proof we consider some examples. Consider the Cartan decomposition (1) §3-3,

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) = \mathfrak{so}(n) + \mathfrak{p} \quad (4)$$

The diagonal matrices of trace 0 form a maximal Abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and as in §3-2 we find that the corresponding restricted roots are the linear forms  $\alpha_{ij}(H) = e_i(H) - e_j(H)$  ( $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ ),  $e_i(H)$  being the  $i$ th diagonal element in  $H$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{a}'$  consists of those  $H$  for which all  $e_i(H)$  are different. The set

$$\{H \in \mathfrak{a} \mid e_1(H) > e_2(H) > \cdots > e_n(H)\} \quad (5)$$

is clearly a connected component of  $\mathfrak{a}'$  and we take this as the Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{a}^+$ . Then  $\Sigma^+$  consists of the roots  $\alpha_{ij}$  ( $i < j$ ) and  $\mathfrak{n}$  is easily found to be the set of upper triangular matrices with 0 in the diagonal. An Iwasawa decomposition of the group  $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$  is therefore  $g = oan$ , where  $o \in SO(n)$ ,  $a$  is a diagonal matrix of determinant 1 and diagonal  $> 0$ , and  $n$  is an upper triangular matrix with all diagonal elements 1.

For another example consider the Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$  given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} ix & y \\ \bar{y} & -ix \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ix & 0 \\ 0 & -ix \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ \bar{y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $x \in \mathbf{R}, y \in \mathbf{C}$ . As the space  $\mathfrak{a}$  we can take

$$\mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and since

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} ix & y \\ \bar{y} & -ix \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y} - y & -2ix \\ 2ix & y - \bar{y} \end{pmatrix}$$

we see that the decomposition (2) equals

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} i & i \\ -i & -i \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the restricted roots are  $\alpha$  and  $-\alpha$ , where

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{a}'$  consists of the nonzero elements in  $\mathfrak{a}$  and for  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  we take for example

$$\mathbf{R}^+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $N = \exp \mathfrak{n}$  equals the group of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + in & -in \\ in & 1 - in \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{SU}(1, 1)$$

The Iwasawa decomposition of a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  involves some free choices, namely, that of  $\mathfrak{f}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and  $\mathfrak{a}^+$ . We have seen that  $\mathfrak{f}$  is unique up to conjugacy, and now we shall see that  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  are uniquely determined up to conjugacy by elements of  $K$ . We begin with a result which goes back to Weyl and Cartan with a proof given by Hunt [41].

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}'$  be two maximal Abelian subspaces of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then there exists an element  $k \in K$  such that  $\text{Ad}_G(k) \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}'$ . Also

$$\mathfrak{p} = \bigcup_{k \in K} \text{Ad}_G(k) \mathfrak{a}$$

**PROOF.** Select  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that its centralizer in  $\mathfrak{p}$  equals  $\mathfrak{a}$ . (It suffices to take  $H$  such that  $\alpha(H) \neq 0$  for all restricted roots  $\alpha$ .) Put  $K^* = \text{Ad}_G(K)$  and let  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  be arbitrary. The function

$$k^* \rightarrow B(H, k^* \cdot X) \quad (k^* \in K^*)$$

has a minimum, say, for  $k^* = k_0$ . If  $T \in \mathfrak{f}$  we have therefore

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} B(H, \text{Ad}(\exp tT)k_0 \cdot X) \right|_{t=0} = 0$$

so

$$B(H, [T, k_0 \cdot X]) = 0 \quad T \in \mathfrak{f}$$

Thus

$$B(T, [H, k_0 \cdot X]) = 0 \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathfrak{f}$$

and since  $[H, k_0 \cdot X] \in \mathfrak{f}$  we deduce  $[H, k_0 \cdot X] = 0$  so by the choice of  $H, k_0 \cdot X \in \mathfrak{a}$ .

In particular, there exists a  $k_1 \in K$  such that  $H \in \text{Ad}(k_1)\mathfrak{a}'$ . Thus each element in  $\text{Ad}(k_1)\mathfrak{a}'$  commutes with  $H$  so  $\text{Ad}(k_1)\mathfrak{a}' \subset \mathfrak{a}$ . This proves the theorem.

### 3-6 The Weyl Group

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{p}$  a Cartan decomposition,  $G$  any connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $K$  the analytic subgroup with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . Consider as before a maximal Abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and let  $M'$  and  $M$  denote, respectively, the *normalizer* and *centralizer* of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $K$ ; that is,

$$M' = \{k \in K \mid \text{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}\}$$

$$M = \{k \in K \mid \text{Ad}(k)H = H \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}$$

Clearly  $M$  is a normal subgroup of  $M'$  and the factor group  $M'/M$  can obviously be viewed as a group of linear transformations of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . It is called the *Weyl group* and denoted  $W$ . In view of Theorem 5.2 it is (up to isomorphism) independent of the choice of  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

Now  $M$  and  $M'$  are Lie subgroups of  $K$  and their Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}'$  are given by (cf. (8) §2-2, (7) §3-1),

$$\mathfrak{m} = \{T \in \mathfrak{f} \mid [H, T] = 0 \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}$$

$$\mathfrak{m}' = \{T \in \mathfrak{f} \mid [H, T] \subset \mathfrak{a} \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}$$

Note, however, that if  $T \in \mathfrak{m}'$  then for  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,

$$B([H, T], [H, T]) = -B([H, [H, T]], T) = 0$$

so  $T \in \mathfrak{m}$ , whence  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}'$ . Thus  $M'/M$  is a discrete group and being also compact, must be finite.

If  $\lambda$  is a complex-valued linear function on  $\mathfrak{a}$  let  $H_\lambda$  denote the vector in  $\mathfrak{a} + i\mathfrak{a}$  determined by  $B(H, H_\lambda) = \lambda(H)$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ . For  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  let  $s_\alpha$  denote the symmetry in the hyperplane  $\alpha(H) = 0$ :

$$s_\alpha(H) = H - 2 \frac{\alpha(H)}{\alpha(H_\alpha)} H_\alpha \quad H \in \mathfrak{a}, \quad (1)$$

(Remember  $\mathfrak{p}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{a}$  have a Euclidean metric given by  $B$ .)

**Theorem 6.1.**  $s_\alpha \in W$  for each  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ .

PROOF. Pick  $Z_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $[H, Z_\alpha] = \alpha(H)Z_\alpha$ . Decomposing  $Z_\alpha = T_\alpha + X_\alpha$  ( $T_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}$ ,  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$ ) the relations  $[\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{t}$  imply that  $(\text{ad } H)^2 T_\alpha = T_\alpha$ . Multiplying  $Z_\alpha$  by a real factor if necessary we may assume  $B(T_\alpha, T_\alpha) = -1$ . Now if  $\alpha(H) = 0$  we have  $[H, T_\alpha] = 0$  so

$$\text{Ad}(\exp tT_\alpha)H = e^{\text{ad}(tT_\alpha)}(H) = H \quad \text{if } \alpha(H) = 0$$

A simple computation shows that

$$e^{\text{ad}(t_\alpha T_\alpha)} H_\alpha = -H_\alpha$$

provided  $t_\alpha(\alpha(H_\alpha))^{1/2} = \pi$ . Thus  $s_\alpha$  coincides with the restriction of  $\text{Ad}(\exp t_\alpha T_\alpha)$  to  $\alpha$ .

If  $s \in W$  and  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  it is clear from the definitions that the linear function  $\alpha^s : H \rightarrow \alpha(s^{-1}H)$  on  $\alpha$  is a restricted root. Consequently,  $s$  permutes the Weyl chambers. Now let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be two Weyl chambers and let  $H_1 \in C_1$ ,  $H_2 \in C_2$ . If the segment  $\overline{H_1 H_2}$  intersects a hyperplane  $\alpha(H) = 0$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ) then clearly the norm  $|\cdot|$  in  $\alpha$  satisfies

$$|H_1 - H_2| > |H_1 - s_\alpha H_2| \quad (2)$$

As  $s$  runs through the finite group  $W$  the function  $|H_1 - sH_2|$  takes a minimum, say for  $s = s_0$ . By (2) the segment from  $H_1$  to  $s_0 H_2$  intersects no hyperplane  $\alpha(H) = 0$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ) so  $H_1$  and  $s_0 H_2$  lie in the same Weyl chamber and thus  $C_1 = s_0 C_2$ . This proves:

**Corollary 6.2.** Any two Weyl chambers in  $\alpha$  are conjugate under some element of  $\text{Ad}_o(K)$  which leaves  $\alpha$  invariant.

For orientation we state without proof a somewhat deeper result on the Weyl group.

**Theorem 6.3.** The Weyl group  $W$  is generated by the symmetries  $s_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ) and it is simply transitive on the set of Weyl chambers in  $\alpha$ .

### 3.7 Boundary and Polar Coordinates on the Symmetric Space $G/K$

For the non-Euclidean disk  $D$  we have a natural notion of boundary, namely, the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ . However, this boundary notion refers to the position of  $D$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In order to make this definition more intrinsic we can define the boundary of  $D$  as the set of all rays (half-lines) from the origin in  $D$ . This motivates the following definition of the boundary of the symmetric space  $G/K$ . First, we recall the isomorphism  $d\pi : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow (G/K)_o$  from §3-3, which permits us to think of  $\mathfrak{p}$  as the tangent space to  $G/K$  at  $o$ . Then we understand by a *Weyl chamber in  $\mathfrak{p}$*  a Weyl chamber in some maximal Abelian

subspace  $\mathfrak{p}$ . The *boundary* of  $G/K$  is now defined as the set of all Weyl chambers in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Now fix  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  a Weyl chamber in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then according to Theorem 5.2 and Cor. 6.2,  $\text{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{a}^+$  ( $k \in K$ ) runs through the boundary and if  $\text{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{a}^+ = \mathfrak{a}^+$ , then  $k \in M'$  so  $\text{Ad}(k)$  on  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a member of the Weyl group. Using Theorem 6.3 we see that  $k \in M$ . Thus the mapping

$$kM \rightarrow \text{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{a}^+$$

identifies  $K/M$  with the boundary of  $G/K$ . In view of the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = KAN$  and the fact that  $M$  normalizes  $AN$  we have a diffeomorphism

$$kM \rightarrow kMAN$$

of  $K/M$  onto  $G/MAN$ . In his paper [19], Furstenberg defines a boundary of  $G$  to be a compact coset space  $G/H$  of  $G$  such that for each probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G/H$  there exists a sequence  $(g_n) \subset G$  such that the transformed measures  $g_n \cdot \mu$  converge weakly to the delta function on  $G/H$ . It was proved by Furstenberg [19] and Moore [53] that a "maximal" boundary of this sort is given by  $G/MAN$  which, as we saw, coincides with the geometrically defined boundary above. The relation  $K/M = G/MAN$  shows in particular that  $G$  acts as a transformation group on the boundary; in an explicit manner

$$g(kM) = k(gk)M$$

if for  $x \in G$ ,  $k(x) \in K$  is given by  $x \in k(x)AN$ .

Now let  $A^+ = \exp \mathfrak{a}^+$ . Then we have the following "polar coordinate representation" of the symmetric space  $G/K$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** The mapping  $(kM, a) \rightarrow kaK$  is a diffeomorphism of  $K/M \times A^+$  onto an open submanifold of  $G/K$  whose complement in  $G/K$  has lower dimension.

Without spelling out the proof in detail we remark that it is a fairly direct consequence of Theorems 3.4, 5.2, and 6.3.

## CHAPTER 4: FUNCTIONS ON SYMMETRIC SPACES

### 4-1 Invariant Differential Operators

Let  $M$  be a manifold and  $D$  a differential operator on  $M$ , that is, a linear mapping of  $C_c^\infty(M)$  into itself which in an arbitrary coordinate system is expressed by partial derivatives in the coordinates. Let  $\phi: M \rightarrow M$  be a diffeomorphism, and if  $f$  is a function on  $M$  put  $f^\phi = f \circ \phi^{-1}$  and let  $D^\phi$  denote the operator

$$D^\phi f = (Df^{\phi^{-1}})^\phi$$

Then  $D^\phi$  is another differential operator, and we say  $D$  is *invariant under  $\phi$*  if  $D^\phi = D$ .

### Examples

Let us find all differential operators  $D$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which are invariant under all rigid motions. Since  $D$  is invariant under all translations it has constant coefficients so  $D = P(\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$ , where  $P$  is a polynomial. But  $D$  is also invariant under all rotations around 0 so  $P$  is rotation-invariant, and since the rotations are transitive on each sphere  $|x| = r$ , we find  $P$  is constant on each such sphere so  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a function of  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ , hence a polynomial in  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** The differential operators on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which are invariant under all isometries are the operators  $\sum a_n \Delta^n$  ( $a_n \in \mathbf{C}$ ), where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian.

This result holds also if  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is replaced by a symmetric space of rank 1 (and  $\Delta$  by the Laplace–Beltrami operator) and also if we replace the isometries of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  by the inhomogeneous Lorentz group, in which case the Laplacian is replaced (cf. [29], p. 271) by the operator

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$$

Now if  $M$  is a Riemannian manifold the Laplace–Beltrami operator  $\Delta$  on  $M$  is invariant under all isometries of  $M$ . The examples above have a high degree of mobility, that is, a large group of isometries, so essentially only  $\Delta$  is invariant. The following interesting generalization is essentially a combination of results of Harish–Chandra and Chevalley (see [31] p. 432). It expresses in a precise way how higher rank of the space, that is, lower degree of mobility, leads to more invariant operators.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $G/K$  be a symmetric space of rank  $l$ . Then the algebra of all  $G$ -invariant differential operators on  $G/K$  is a commutative algebra with  $l$  algebraically independent generators.

It will now be convenient to assume that  $G$  has finite center so  $K$  is compact. As pointed out in §3-3, this is no restriction on the symmetric space  $G/K$ . Let  $L(g)$  and  $R(g)$  denote left and right translations on  $G$  by the group element  $g$  and let  $D(G)$  denote the set of all differential operators on  $G$  invariant under all  $L(g)$ . If  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  the operator

$$\tilde{X} : F(g) \rightarrow \{(d/dt)F(g \exp tX)\}_{t=0}$$

belongs to  $D(G)$ . Let  $D_K(G)$  denote the set of elements in  $D(G)$  which are invariant under all  $R(k)$  ( $k \in K$ ). For  $D \in D(G)$  we put

$$D^{\natural} = \int_K D^{R(k)} dk \quad (1)$$



where  $dk$  denotes the normalized Haar measure on  $K$ . The integral makes sense since all the operators  $D^{R(k)}$  ( $k \in K$ ) belong to a fixed finite-dimensional vector space, so  $D^{\natural}$  is a differential operator on  $G$ . Clearly  $D^{\natural} \in \mathbf{D}_K(G)$ , and we have

$$(D^{\natural}F)(e) = (DF)(e) \quad (2)$$

for every  $F \in C^{\infty}(G)$  which is bi-invariant under  $K$  (that is,  $F(k_1 g k_2) = F(g)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ ). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (D^{\natural}F)(e) &= \int_K (D^{R(k)}F)(e) dk = \int_K ((DF^{R(k^{-1})})^{R(k)})(e) dk \\ &= \int_K (DF)(k^{-1}) dk = \int_K (DF)^{L(k)}(e) dk \\ &= \int_K (DF)(e) dk = (DF)(e) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\pi$  denote the natural projection  $g \rightarrow gK$  of  $G$  onto  $G/K$ ; if  $f$  is a function on  $G/K$  we put  $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi$ . Then the mapping  $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$  is an isomorphism of  $C^{\infty}(G/K)$  onto the space  $C_K^{\infty}(G)$  of functions  $F \in C^{\infty}(G)$  satisfying  $F(gk) \equiv F(g)$ . Similarly, we would like to "lift" the operators in  $\mathbf{D}(G/K)$  to the group  $G$ . If  $D \in \mathbf{D}_K(G)$  let  $\pi(D)$  denote the operator on  $C^{\infty}(G/K)$  determined by  $(\pi(D)f)^{\sim} = D\tilde{f}$  ( $f \in C^{\infty}(G/K)$ ). It is easy to see (cf. [31], p. 390) that the map  $D \rightarrow \pi(D)$  maps  $\mathbf{D}_K(G)$  onto  $\mathbf{D}(G/K)$ .

As before let  $\tau(g)$  denote the diffeomorphism  $hK \rightarrow ghK$  of  $G/K$  onto itself. We shall often denote the symmetric space  $G/K$  by  $X$ .

## 4-2 Harmonic Functions on Symmetric Spaces

In view of Prop. 1.1 it is natural to make the following definition.

**Definition.** A function  $u \in C^{\infty}(G/K)$  is called *harmonic* if  $Du = 0$  for all  $D \in \mathbf{D}(G/K)$  which annihilate the constants (that is, "without constant term").

Godement made this definition in [22] (even for nonsymmetric spaces  $G/K$ ), where he proved also the mean value theorem below.

**Theorem 2.1.** A function  $u \in C^{\infty}(G/K)$  is harmonic if and only if

$$\int_K u(gkh \cdot o) dk = u(g \cdot o) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G \quad (1)$$

This result is most easily interpreted if  $\text{rank}(G/K) = 1$ . Then the orbit  $K \cdot (h \cdot o)$  is a sphere and  $gK \cdot (h \cdot o)$  is a sphere with center  $g \cdot o$ . Thus the theorem states in this case that  $u$  is harmonic if and only if the mean value

of  $u$  over an arbitrary sphere is equal to the value of  $u$  in the center (cf. Gauss' mean value theorem for harmonic functions in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ).

PROOF. Suppose first that  $u$  is harmonic and for a fixed  $g \in G$  consider the function

$$F : h \rightarrow \int_K \tilde{u}(gkh) dk \quad (h \in G)$$

Let  $D$  be an operator in  $\mathbf{D}(G)$  annihilating the constants. Then using (2) in §4-1,

$$(DF)(e) = (D^{\natural}F)(e) = \left\{ (D^{\natural})_h \left( \int_K \tilde{u}(gkh) dk \right) \right\}_{h=e}$$

which by the left invariance of  $D^{\natural}$  equals

$$\int_K (D^{\natural}\tilde{u})(gk) dk = (D^{\natural}\tilde{u})(g)$$

(the last relation coming from the right invariance of  $D^{\natural}\tilde{u}$  under  $K$ ). However,  $(D^{\natural}\tilde{u}) = (\pi(D^{\natural}u))^{\sim} = 0$  since  $\pi(D^{\natural})$  annihilates the constants. Thus  $(DF)(e) = 0$  for all  $D \in \mathbf{D}(G)$  which annihilate the constants.

Since  $u$  satisfies the elliptic equation  $\Delta u = 0$  and since  $\Delta$  has analytic coefficients, it follows from a theorem of Bernstein (John [44], p. 142) that  $u$  is also analytic. Hence  $\tilde{u}$  and  $F$  are also analytic so from Taylor's formula (§2-2) we can conclude that  $F$  is constant. But the relation  $F(h) = F(e)$  is (1).

On the other hand, suppose (1) holds. Let  $D \in \mathbf{D}(G/K)$  annihilate the constants. Writing (1) as

$$\int_K u^{\tau(k^{-1}g^{-1})}(x) dk = u(g \cdot o) \quad g \in G, x \in X$$

we deduce by applying  $D$  to both sides (considered as functions of  $x$ ),

$$\int_K (Du)(gk \cdot x) dk = 0$$

Taking  $x = 0$  we conclude  $Du \equiv 0$ , so  $u$  is harmonic.

Now we intend to study bounded harmonic functions  $u$  on the symmetric space  $G/K$  and prove a Poisson integral representation formula due to Furstenberg [19].\* Let  $Q_u$  denote the set of all functions  $\psi \in L^{\infty}(G)$  (the space of bounded measurable functions on  $G$ ) such that the sup norm  $\|\psi\|_{\infty} = \sup_{h \in G} |\psi(h)|$  satisfies  $\|\psi\|_{\infty} \leq \|u\|_{\infty}$  and such that

$$u(g \cdot o) = \int_K \psi(gkh) dk \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G$$

According to Godement's theorem  $\tilde{u} \in Q_u$ , so  $Q_u$  is not empty. In addition

\* modify as in notes

it is a convex set and closed in the weak\* topology of  $L^\infty(G)$  (the weakest topology for which all the maps  $\psi \rightarrow \int f(g)\psi(g) dg$  of  $L^\infty(G)$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  are continuous,  $f$  being an integrable function on  $G$  and  $dg$  being a Haar measure). Since the unit ball in  $L^\infty(G)$  is compact in the weak\* topology (see, for example, [50]) it follows that  $Q_u$  is compact. Now if  $\psi \in Q_u$  we have  $\psi^{R(g)} \in Q_u$  for all  $g \in G$  so  $G$  acts as a transformation group of  $Q_u$  by right translations. We would like to find a fixed point under the subgroup  $MAN$ , which then would give us a function on the boundary  $G/MAN$ .

**Definition.** A group has the *fixed point property* if whenever it acts continuously on a locally convex topological vector space by linear transformations leaving a compact convex set  $Q \neq \emptyset$  invariant it has a fixed point in the set.

**Lemma 2.2.** Connected solvable Lie groups have the fixed point property (cf. [6], p. 115).

**PROOF.** Let  $V$  be a locally convex topological vector space and  $G$  any Abelian group of linear transformations of  $V$ . For each  $g \in G$  let  $g_n = (1/n)(I + g + \cdots + g^{n-1})$ ; let  $\tilde{G}$  denote the set of all products  $g_{n_1} \cdots g_{n_k}$  ( $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $g \in G$ ). All elements of  $\tilde{G}$  commute. Let  $Q \subset V$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of  $V$ . By convexity,  $hQ \subset Q$  for  $h \in \tilde{G}$ . Let  $h_1, \dots, h_r \in \tilde{G}$ . Then for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,

$$h_1 \dots h_r Q = h_i h_1 \dots h_{i-1} h_{i+1} \dots h_r Q \subset h_i Q$$

whence

$$h_1 \dots h_r Q \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^r h_i Q$$

so this intersection is  $\neq \emptyset$ . By compactness of  $Q$  (expressed by the finite intersection property), we have

$$\bigcap_{h \in \tilde{G}} hQ \neq \emptyset$$

Let  $x$  an element in this intersection and let  $g \in G$ . Then  $x \in g_n Q$ , so for a suitable element  $y \in Q$ ,

$$x = \frac{1}{n}(y + gy + \cdots + g^{n-1}y)$$

so

$$gx - x = \frac{1}{n}(g^n y - y) \subset \frac{1}{n}(Q + (-Q))$$

for each  $n$ . Using again the compactness of  $Q$  we conclude  $g \cdot x = x$ .

Now assume  $G$  is a connected solvable Lie group of linear transformations of  $V$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be its Lie algebra and let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \mathfrak{g}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g}_m = \{0\} \quad \mathfrak{g}_{m-1} \neq \{0\}$$

be the sequence of derived algebras,  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{D}^i \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots \supset G_m = \{e\}$  be the corresponding series of analytic subgroups of  $G$ . Suppose now the lemma holds for all connected solvable Lie groups whose series (as defined above) has length  $< m$ . Let  $A$  denote the set of points in  $Q$  fixed under all  $g \in G_1$ . By the induction assumption,  $A$  is  $\neq \emptyset$  and, of course,  $A$  is convex and compact. Let  $\gamma \in G$ . If  $g \in G_1$  then  $\gamma g \gamma^{-1} \in G_1$ , so if  $x \in A$ ,  $\gamma g \gamma^{-1} x = x$  so  $g \gamma^{-1} x = \gamma^{-1} x$ . Thus  $\gamma^{-1} x$  is fixed by all elements in  $G_1$ ; being in  $Q$ ,  $\gamma^{-1} x$  belongs to  $A$ . Thus  $G$  maps  $A$  into itself. The closed subspace  $V_A$  of  $V$  generated by  $A$  is locally convex and since  $G_1$  acts trivially on it,  $G$  acts on  $V_A$  as an Abelian group. By the first part of the proof there exists a  $v \in A$  fixed under all  $g \in G$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.3.** The group  $MAN$  has the fixed point property.

PROOF. Let  $MAN$  act on a locally convex space  $V$  and let  $Q \subset V$  be a compact convex subset  $\neq \emptyset$  invariant under  $MAN$ . Since  $AN$  is solvable and connected there exists a point  $q \in Q$  fixed under  $AN$ . If  $dm$  denotes the normalized Haar measure on the compact group  $M$  the integral

$$\int_M m \cdot q \, dm$$

(defined by means of approximating sums) represents, because of the compactness and convexity, a point  $q^*$  in  $Q$ . Since  $m(AN)m^{-1} \subset AN$  we have for  $s \in AN$

$$sq^* = \int_M sm \cdot q \, dm = \int_M m(m^{-1}sm)q \, dm = \int_M m \cdot q \, dm$$

so  $q^*$  is fixed under  $MAN$ .

We recall now that the boundary  $B$  of the symmetric space is given by the coset space representations  $B = K/M$ ,  $B = G/MAN$ . The latter shows that  $G$  acts on  $B$ ; this action will be denoted  $(g, b) \rightarrow g(b)$  in order to distinguish it from the action  $(g, x) \rightarrow g \cdot x$  of  $G$  on  $X = G/K$ , which we have already used. Let  $db$  denote the unique  $K$ -invariant measure on  $B$  satisfying

$$\int_B db = 1$$

**Theorem 2.4.** If  $u$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $X$  then there exists a bounded measurable function  $\hat{u}$  on  $B$  such that

$$u(g \cdot o) = \int_B \hat{u}(g(b)) \, db \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, if  $\hat{u}$  is a bounded measurable function on  $B$  then  $u$  as defined by (2) is a bounded harmonic function on  $X$ .

PROOF. As shown above (Lemma 2.3) the set  $Q_u$  has a fixed point under  $MAN$ , say  $u_1$ . Define  $\hat{u}$  on  $G/MAN$  by  $\hat{u}(gMAN) = u_1(g)$ . Then by the definition of  $Q_u$ , we have

$$u(g \cdot o) = \int_K \hat{u}(gkhMAN) dk$$

Take  $h = e$  and recall that  $gkMAN$  is  $g(b)$  if  $b = kM$ . Then (2) follows because if  $F$  is any continuous function on  $B$ ,

$$\int_B F(b) db = \int_K F(kM) dk$$

On the other hand, if  $\hat{u}$  is a function in  $L^\infty(B)$ , define  $u$  by (2). Then

$$u(gkh \cdot o) = \int_B \hat{u}(gkh(b)) db \quad (3)$$

Now let  $b = k'MAN$ ; then  $gkh(b) = gkhk'MAN = gkk_1MAN$  if  $hk' = k_1a_1n_1$  (Theorem 5.1, Ch. 3). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K u(gkh \cdot o) dk &= \int_K \left( \int_K \hat{u}(gkhk'MAN) dk' \right) dk \\ &= \int_K \left( \int_K \hat{u}(gkhk'MAN) dk \right) dk' = \int_K \left( \int_K \hat{u}(gkk_1MAN) dk \right) dk' \\ &= \int_K \left( \int_K \hat{u}(gkMAN) dk \right) dk' = \int_K \hat{u}(gkMAN) dk = u(g \cdot o). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1,  $u$  is harmonic, so the theorem is proved.

Now define the Poisson kernel  $P(x, b)$  on the product space  $X \times B$  by the Jacobian

$$P(g \cdot o, b) = \frac{d(g^{-1}(b))}{db} \quad (4)$$

As we saw in Ch. 1. (11) §1-3 this does indeed give the classical Poisson kernel in the case when  $G/K$  is the non-Euclidean disk. We shall give the general formula for (4) later. But at any rate formula (2) can be written

$$u(x) = \int_B P(x, b) \hat{u}(b) db \quad (5)$$

giving a Poisson integral representation of an arbitrary bounded harmonic function on  $X$ . Furstenberg showed in [19], p. 366, that in the weak topology of measures the values of  $\hat{u}$  can be regarded as boundary values of  $u$ . We

no! \*  
almost  
every int.  
formula

shall now see that this is also the case, when we approach the boundary in a more geometric fashion.

Let  $\bar{n}$  denote the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by

$$\bar{n} = \sum_{\alpha < 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

where the  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  are given by (2) §3-5. Let  $\bar{N}$  denote the corresponding analytic subgroup of  $G$ . As an immediate consequence of the Bruhat lemma (see Harish-Chandra [26]) we have that the subset  $\bar{N}MAN \subset G$  is an open subset whose complement has lower dimension. As a result the mapping  $T: \bar{n} \rightarrow k(\bar{n})M$  maps  $\bar{N}$  onto a subset of  $K/M$  whose complement has lower dimension [Here  $k(\bar{n})$  is the  $K$ -component of  $\bar{n}$  according to the decomposition  $G = KAN$ .] One can also prove that the mapping  $T$  is one-to-one.

**Lemma 2.5.** For a certain positive integrable function  $\psi$  on  $\bar{N}$ , we have

$$\int_{K/M} f(kM) dk_M = \int_{\bar{N}} f(k(\bar{n})M) \psi(\bar{n}) d\bar{n} \quad f \in C^\infty(K/M)$$

Here  $dk_M$  is the normalized  $K$ -invariant measure on  $K/M$  and  $d\bar{n}$  is a Haar measure on  $\bar{N}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $dk_M \circ T$  denote the measure on  $\bar{N}$  given by

$$(dk_M \circ T)(C) = \int_{T(C)} dk_M \quad C \text{ compact in } \bar{N}$$

Let  $\psi(\bar{n})$  denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative (see, for example, [24], p. 128). Then the lemma follows at once from the properties of  $T$  given above.

**REMARK.** This lemma is given in Harish-Chandra [27], p. 287, with an explicit formula for  $\psi(\bar{n})$  which will be derived later (Proposition 2.10).

The mapping  $T$  is particularly useful for studying the action of  $A$  on the boundary. In fact, if  $a \in A$ ,  $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$  we have

$$a(k(\bar{n})M) = ak(\bar{n})MAN = k(a\bar{n})MAN = k(a\bar{n}a^{-1})MAN$$

that is,

$$\bar{n} = k(\bar{n}) a | \bar{n} |$$

$$a(k(\bar{n})M) = k(\bar{n}^a)M \tag{6}$$

the superscript denoting conjugation.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $F$  be a continuous function on  $B$  and  $u$  its Poisson integral

$$u(x) = \int_B P(x, b) F(b) db \quad x \in X$$