CURIA REGIS ROLLS XIV [Published Calendar – TNA]¹

Trinity 1231

Curia Regis Roll 108 m7 [entry 1346]

Surrev

Matilda de Walton petitions against the prior of Merton for a carucate of land with pertinents in Morden as her dower, wherewith William her husband endowed to her in the name etc.; and the prior by his attorney comes and petitions view thereof. He has it. A day is given to him in the octaves of St John the Baptist: and meanwhile etc.

Curia Regis Roll 108 m13 [entry 1841]

Surrey:

Matilda who was wife of William de Walton, seeks against the prior of Merton a carucate of land with pertinents in Morden as her dower, of which in the name etc. And the prior vouched to warrant William de Walton, son and heir of the aforesaid William de Walton, who comes and warrants him as that which the same prior has for a term, whereof four years are still to come, namely that from the feast of St Michael next coming. And they are agreed. And the concord is such, namely that the prior for the remainder of his term shall render therefor yearly to the same Matilda in the name of dower 2 marcs and 5 quarters of wheat each year for the aforesaid four years: and after completion of the aforesaid term of four years that land shall remain to Matilda for her whole life in the name of dower. And for this etc. the aforesaid William [gives?] to the same Matilda 3 marcs. And because the plea days have not yet come, therefore at the plea day this fine shall be recorded etc. And William appoints in his place Amyas de Walton.

1 CAF Meekings *The 1235 Surrey Eyre* (Surrey Record Society 1983) Vol. II, p.481-2, note 188, quoting C.R.R., XIV, nos. 1346, 1841, erroneously says, "In Trinity term 1231 Maud, widow of William de Walton, brought an action of *dower nominatim* against the prior of Merton for a carucate at Morden; the prior then vouched William son of William de Walton to warrant and **he granted** the prior a 4-year lease of the carucate at a rent of 2 marks and 5 quarters of corn, with reversion thereafter to Maud for life; **for which Maud gave** 3 marks". (See also Pipe Roll Soc., N.S. XLIII (1980, for 1971-3), nos. 204-7, 247.)