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Training neural networks require sizeable datasets for meaningful output. It is difficult to acquire large datasets for many types of data. This is especially challenging for individuals and small organizations. We have taken SinGAN [1], a model that works to address those issues in the image domain, and extended it to work in the audio domain. Our new model, called AudioSinGAN, uses deep convolutional generative adversarial networks (DCGAN) trained on a single audio sample to generate new, unique, audio samples. Like SinGAN, AudioSinGAN uses a pyramid of unique GANs, each responsible for learning and generating different levels of detail. Our system is capable of generating unique audio with clear features from the single input audio clip. We explore and discuss the realities of converting and tuning a generative adversarial network (GAN) built for images into one built for audio and our results. We also present a database of audio clips generated by AudioSinGAN and use Singular Value Decomposition to analyze the dataset and confirm that our model successfully generates audio belonging to unique classes. We also learn that a challenge facing our system is audio that contains multiple audio sources overlapping each other. Finally, we discuss methods to address this issue including splitting audio into frequency band before processing.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Background and Motivation

One of the greatest hurdles of building an effective machine learning system is acquiring data in sufficient quantity and quality. For example, AlexNet [2] used about 1.2 million training images to achieve state-of-the-art results at the time. Modern generative adversarial networks (GANs) often use 50,000 plus images or data points in the training process [3] [4] [5] [6].

The requirement for large datasets means that it is difficult to create a machine learning system that works with a specific kind of data unless there is a preexisting dataset. For example, there are publicly available datasets for often studied subjects such as images of faces, images of handwritten digits, and data used in diagnosing medical problems such as dimensions of possibly cancerous masses. However, for many types of data, there isn't an accessible dataset. This means that if an entity would like to use that type of data with a machine learning system they would need to either gather a dataset from the real world, synthesize an artificial dataset, or some combination of the two. This may be costly or difficult for an organization and is often out of reach for an individual. Finding ways to reduce the data required for machine learning systems would benefit organizations but more importantly it would benefit individual researchers and creators. Although this is an issue throughout the machine learning world this thesis will specifically focus on the issue in regard to Generative Adversarial Networks.

The work in this thesis is based on a GAN called SinGAN [1]. SinGAN is a system that works with images but in this work, we convert it to work with audio instead. Because we focus on converting SinGAN to audio we call our resulting system AudioSinGAN ${ }^{12}$. This system will be used for generation of new audio based off of a single audio input.

The output from our current system is inferior to machine learning systems such as WaveGAN [7] or WaveNet [8] that train on many samples. In its current form the system does not produce audio samples of high enough quality to be useful for real-world situations. Future work on the system, however, could allow for use of the system for the generation of audio in the domains of art, sound design, and accessibility. Examples from past machine learning systems include speech generation for speech impaired individuals [8] [9] and audio generation for artistic purposes [10]. Finally, there is potential for AudioSinGAN to be extended in similar ways to SinGAN for purposes such as audio editing, resampling, and style transfer/harmonization.

Our goals for this project are to convert SinGAN to work with audio, to test the limits of our converted AudioSinGAN, to produce and analyze a datset of results and to setup well for future work on AudioSinGAN. Our contributions are as follows:

1. We created a working version of AudioSinGAN.
2. We explored the necessary adjustments to convert SinGAN to AudioSinGAN.
(a) Model structural changes.
(b) Hyper-parameter tuning.
(c) Helper code rewrites.
3. We provide an in-depth discussion of the process and results.
4. We have created a dataset of audio clips from Audio SinGAN and analyzed it using Singular Value Decomposition.
5. We discuss AudioSinGAN's potential for future research.
[^0]
### 1.2 Related Work

One strategy for solving the requirement of large datasets is to augment the architecture to achieve equal or better results with fewer data points. For example, Nvidia's StyleGAN2-ada [11] is a powerful GAN capable of rivaling its predecessor's StyleGAN [5] and StyleGAN-2 [6] with a training set of only a few thousand images by using an adaptive discriminator where images are augmented as they are exposed to the discriminator.

Audio generation with neural networks is a relatively well-studied field but it is commonly done with autoregressive models. One of the better-known examples is WaveNet [8] which powers Google Assistant. Although when it comes to GANs audio has been less studied than images, there has been notable work in the area. WaveGan [7] in particular has been an inspiration for network architecture while working on this thesis. A number of other interesting GAN's have also been created for the purpose of generating audio using one method or another [9] [12] [13].

When it comes to GANs trained on a single data point, research has largely been based off of SinGAN [1]. Work has already been done to improve SinGAN within the image domain [14] [15] [16] as well as in the video domain [17] [18].

One work similar to ours in many ways is MP3Net [19] which is an audio-based GAN that uses a similar pyramid of different resolution audio scales but still trains on a dataset of many images.

As far as we know our work is the only research into using GANs to generate audio from only one training image.

### 1.3 Process

The process followed for the work on this thesis started with SinGAN [1]. SinGAN is short for single GAN because it takes in a single image and trains on multiple versions of the image at different resolutions. For this thesis, we took the SinGAN system and adopted it to work with audio instead. The process involves fixing bugs in the original system, transforming the
architecture to work with audio instead of images, experimenting to discover which parameters work well with audio in the changed system, discovering what type of audio work well with the system through experimentation and induction from the original visual system, and finally experimenting with various techniques not used in the original system to try and improve our new, transformed system.

### 1.4 Outline

Chapter 2 lays out the theoretical background for neural networks, deep convolutional neural networks, generative adversarial networks, deep convolutional generative adversarial networks and goes into detail about the working of SinGAN. Chapter 3 deals with the process of converting SinGAN to work with audio and tuning it for optimal results. Chapter 4 discusses the results of that process and output of AudioSinGAN. Chapter 5 is our conclusion and some thoughts on future research.

## Chapter 2

## From Neural Networks to SinGAN

### 2.1 Basics of Neural Networks

Neural networks are a machine learning paradigm based on biological nervous systems. Their conception was motivated by the fact that the nervous systems of animals and especially humans have capabilities that far exceed those of computers in many ways. The ability to replicate such capabilities in computers would be (and attempts to achieve this have already resulted in) large strides forward in our technological capabilities [20]. Many excellent papers explain the basics of neural networks [21] [22] [23].

Neural networks are mathematical structures built out of nodes called neurons. Neurons are connected in a variety of different configurations. The classic, fully connected neural network consists of one or more layers of neurons. The input is fed into the first layer, processed, and then fed to the next layer ad infinitum until there are no more layers. Then an activation function or threshold function is applied to calculate the output of the neural network.

Neurons are connected to other neurons in the preceding and the following layer as applicable. Each of these connections has a weight. The output of a neuron is calculated using all its incoming connections, the corresponding weights, and a bias or threshold belonging to the receiving neuron. It can be described with Equation 2.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { netinput }_{i}=\sum_{j} w_{i j} * x_{j}+\mu_{i} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation 2.1 [20] i is the neuron which is receiving input and $j$ represents each neuron with connections going from it to $\mathrm{i} . w_{i j}$ is the weight for the connection from j to i and $x_{j}$ is
the output from j . Finally the $\mu$ is the bias for neuron i. Netinput ${ }_{i}$ is the final output of neuron i.

An activation function is how the final output of a neuron is calculated. Different activation functions can be used for different situations. An example of one common activation function which is used in our work is Leaky ReLU (Rectified Linear Units). Leaky ReLU is defined by Equation 2.2:

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
a x, x<0  \tag{2.2}\\
x, x \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

This means that when the netinput is calculated it is unaltered by ReLU and if it is negative then it is multiplied by a value. This value may be something like $0.1,0.01$, or 0.2 as in SinGAN [1]. Similar to ReLU, the activation function that leaky ReLU is based on, results in the sparser firing of neurons compared to other activation functions. Sparser firing comes with several advantages [24]. Figure 2.1 shows the graph of the Leaky ReLU function [25].


Figure 2.1 Leaky ReLU Graph.

A single neuron with five incoming connections using the leaky ReLU activation function can be visualized as Figure 2.2 which shows a neuron with five incoming connections.

The neuron calculates its net input from the connections and then applies its activation function and that is the neuron's output.


Figure 2.2 An example of a neuron with five inputs using the Leaky ReLU activation function.

When neural networks are trained an input is fed into the network and the output is calculated. These weights are adjusted to get different outputs from the same input.

Neural networks are trained through a process called backpropagation. In this process, the output of a neural network is compared to what would be ideal for the given input and the difference is calculated and called loss. The weights of connections and biases of neurons are then altered through gradient descent (or a similar method) and backpropagation to minimize loss.

### 2.1.1 Perceptrons

It's relatively simple to go from a single neuron to a simple model that can be used in the real world. The classic example of this is a perceptron as visualized in Figure 2.1.1.

## Input Layer



Figure 2.3 An example of a perceptron with five inputs.

A perceptron is effectively just a single neuron set up to take in inputs and give outputs. Note that in the image the neurons in the input layer aren't true neurons in that they don't apply weights, biases, or an activation function. They simply form connections from the input to the single true neuron. They could be visualized as just inputs without neurons. However, visualizing them as neurons in an input layer makes discussing and visualizing both the perceptron and the multilayer perceptron easier.

The classic perceptron wouldn't use an activation function but instead would use a threshold function defined as Equation 2.3:

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, x>0  \tag{2.3}\\
0, x \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The extension of a perceptron is the multilayer perceptron (MLP) as seen in Figure 2.4.


Figure 2.4 An example of a multilayer perceptron with one hidden layer.

This multilayer perceptron has an input layer similar to the perceptron with neurons that simply pass input through them. It also has a hidden layer that consists of true neurons. Each input is connected to each neuron in the input layer. In the same vein, each neuron in the hidden layer is connected to the neuron in the output layer. An MLP may have an arbitrary number of hidden layers and an arbitrary number of neurons in the hidden layers.

### 2.1.2 Gradient Descent and Back propagation

A neural network's output is determined by both its inputs and the values used for its weights and biases. Training a neural network then involves optimizing its weights and biases
until the network achieves the desired output. This is done with an algorithm called backpropagation (backwards propagation of errors) which uses gradient descent.

Gradient descent starts with calculating a gradient vector defined as Equation 2.4.

$$
\nabla f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{d f}{d x_{1}}  \tag{2.4}\\
\frac{d f}{d x_{2}} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\frac{d f}{d x_{3}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This gradient vector provides the direction to maximize the output value of the function. Its magnitude represents the local change in intensity. In practice, the parameters in any function (including but not limited to a neural network) can be optimized using gradient descent.

The first step in this process is to calculate the gradient (represented by the $\nabla$ symbol). If we are trying to minimize the output (as we would be with a loss function), we take the negative of the gradient vector. Secondly, a scalar value is chosen as a learning rate and multiplied by the gradient vector. Recall that the magnitude represents the local change in intensity. If it is not decreased using the learning rate, it may overshoot the goal of optimizing the output. The learning rate is a value less than 1 such as 0.1 or 0.01 and is multiplied by the magnitude.

Once the gradient vector is calculated and adjusted by the learning rate then the value of each parameter of the function (in the case of neural networks this means weights and biases) are adjusted by the corresponding value in the reduced vector gradient.

Unless we had too high of a learning rate, over-adjusted our parameters, and overshot our minimum value, the loss is now less than it was and the process can be repeated as many times as necessary to keep optimizing the function.


Figure 2.5 A Three Layer Neural Network.

Neural networks can be thought of as a function composed of many other functions. To accomplish the task of calculating the gradient of a neural network the backpropagation algorithm was created. We'll take a toy example with an input layer, a single hidden layer, and an output layer. Each Layer will have a single neuron. For a visualization see Figure 2.1.2

The cost function can then be described as the result of several functions. In Equation 2.5, ${ }_{o}$ refers to the single output neuron in the output layer.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=c\left(a\left(\sum_{j=0}^{l_{2}} \mu_{o j}+w_{o j} * a\left(\mu_{j k}+w_{j k} * x_{k}\right)\right)\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions used above are defined below.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { Activation Function: } a(z)  \tag{2.6}\\
\text { Cost function: } c\left(y^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
\text { Weighted Input: } z_{x}(x)=x w+\mu  \tag{2.8}\\
\text { Weighted Input: } z_{w}(w)=x w+\mu  \tag{2.9}\\
\text { Weighted Input: } z_{\mu}(\mu)=x w+\mu \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that from here on we can treat $z$ as a single variable function that takes in either $x, w$, or $\mu$ as an input. This is because we will only ever be taking the derivative of concerning one value in x . This means that the other two terms are treated as constants. We will denote which we are using as our parameter with a subscript for $z$. The cost function uses some method to measure the difference between the output of the neural network and the target output. The activation function will take in z and perform some operation on it. We will leave those two undefined for now as they can be set to many different functions. In practice, the output (or
result of the activation function) for the final layer, assuming there is only one node in the output layer, is the output of the neural network. See Equation 2.11.

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\text { Output of MLP } \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we are trying to calculate the derivative relative to a specific parameter, most of the time the total equation isn't necessary. Instead, we only need to use the portion of the equation that is affected by changing the variable. Every other part of the equation is independent of the parameter and can be treated as a constant. Therefore when we take the derivative it can be ignored.

This means that if we want to find the derivative of $c$ with relation to $w_{o j}$ we can use Equation 2.12 with function z written out completely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d c}{d} w_{o j} c\left(a\left(z_{w}\left(w_{o j}\right)\right)\right)=\frac{d c}{d a} * \frac{d a}{d z} * \frac{d z_{w}}{d w_{o j}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Backpropagation works backward through the neural network and its first step is to calculate the derivatives of c in relation to the parameters for the connections between the output layer and the layer that precedes. In other words, it uses the previous formula to calculate $\frac{d c}{d w_{o j}}$ for every value of j .

When taking the derivative of c in relation to a preceding parameter in the function (i.e. closer to the input layer) we need to use a longer version of our output function with more variables. For example, if we want to calculate $\frac{d c}{d w_{j k}}$ we can use the (still reduced version) of our original loss function expressed in Equation 2.13.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d c}{d w_{j k}} c\left(a\left(z_{x}\left(a\left(z_{w}\left(w_{j k}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)=\frac{d c}{d a} * \frac{d a}{d z_{x}} * \frac{d z_{x}}{d a} * \frac{d a}{d z_{w}} * \frac{z_{w}}{d w_{j k}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that in all these equations we exclude terms that are independent of the variables that we are taking derivatives relative to. In this way, backpropagation works backward through the neural network calculating the derivatives of the cost function relative to different parameters.

The algorithm saves the results as it works through the neural network to reuse past results as equations and parts of equations repeat.

Once it has worked back through the network it will have calculated the derivative of the cost function relative to every parameter in the network. It can now use these derivatives to perform gradient descent to optimize the neural network and minimize the cost function.

### 2.2 Convolutional Neural Networks

With traditional neural networks, every input is connected to every neuron in the first hidden layer. In a color image, this means that there are width $x$ height $x$ channels connections that need to be considered in the neuron's activation function and that need to have weights adjusted during propagation. If more layers are added then every neuron in a layer is connected to every neuron in the next layer. This results in two problems. First, in a large color image, this means that there is a lot of computational power required when using the net or training it. Second, this opens the door for overfitting. Overfitting is a common issue in machine learning where an algorithm specializes too much in a training set and fails to generalize to the larger data pool that the training set is drawn from.

One solution to these problems is to use a convolutional neural network [26]. Instead of connecting a neuron in a given layer to every other neuron in the following layer, we will connect it to a subset of neurons in the following layer. The grouping of neurons in the following layer connected to the original neuron is called a kernel. In a two dimensional input such as an image, the kernel can be visualized as a square. With one-dimensional input such as audio, it can be visualized as a line. Each neuron in a layer is connected to a kernel of neurons in the next layer. The kernel can be visualized as a square that moves across the layer and at each location connects to a different neuron in the preceding layer. How far the kernel moves is called the stride. With a two-dimensional layer and a stride of one when the kernel is moved a
single column or row is left behind and a new column or row is added. If the stride is two then two columns or rows are left behind and two columns or rows are added.

Because a group of neurons in one layer connects to $s$ a single neuron in the next layer the system is usually set up using variables such as kernel size and stride so that each layer is smaller than the previous layer. If the goal is to have the network perform some transformation on the data then the output is often smaller than the input due to the convolutional layers downscaling it. One commonly used solution to this is to add padding around the input. More data, often numerical 0s (black pixels in an image), are added around the input to increase the size of the output. For further reading on convolutional neural networks see [27] [28] [29] [30].

### 2.3 Generative Adversarial Neural Networks

### 2.3.1 The Basics

The generative adversarial network (GAN) structure, proposed in 2014 by Goodfellow et al. [31], is an unsupervised machine learning system used for data generation. This type of system involves two competing neural networks playing an adversarial game. The analogy given in the originating paper is of money forgers contesting with police. The two adversaries compete and as the forgers learn and get better at producing fake money the police also learn and get better at discerning fake money from real. This competition continues until the forgers can make money that is identical to real currency and the best guess of the police is only as good as a random guess.

The classic GAN presented by Goodfellow et al. [31] names the two neural networks as the generator (the forger) and the discriminator (the police). Training data is put into the system (non-forged money in the analogy) and the generator tries to copy it while the discriminator tries to distinguish real from fake. The discriminator's loss is calculated based on how well it can classify both real data and generated data. Equation 2.14, as presented by the authors in the classic GAN paper, is used for ascending the discriminator's stochastic gradient:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\theta_{d}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\log D\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}\right)+\log \left(1-D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right)\right] \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generator's loss is calculated based on how well the discriminator can classify the generated data. The classic GAN paper presents the Equation 2.15 for descending the generator's stochastic gradient.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\theta_{d}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\log \left(1-D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right)\right] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theoretically, the ascension/descent of gradients to optimize the discriminator and generator continues until the generative neural network can produce output indistinguishable from the trading data.

Note that the GAN loss function is effectively minimizing the Jensen-Shannon distance. Jensen-Shannon is built on top of the Kullback-Leibler divergence which is shown in Equation 2.16.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K L\left(P_{r} \| P_{g}\right)=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{r} \log \left(\frac{P_{r}(x)}{P_{g}(x)}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This divergence has a problem where $P_{g}(x)$ is 0 causing division by 0 . Jensen-Shannon was introduced as an improvement and is defined by Equation 2.17.

$$
\begin{equation*}
J S\left(P_{r}, P_{g}\right)=K L\left(P_{r} \| P_{m}\right)+K L\left(P_{g} \| P_{m}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation $P_{m}=\left(P_{r}+P_{g}\right) / 2$ or the average of the two distributions.
While Jensen-Shannon is defined everywhere, it does have its issues. When either $P_{g}(x) \operatorname{or} P_{r}(x)$ is 0 the result is $\log 2$ no matter how close or far apart the distributions truly are. This decreases the effectiveness of the loss function as there isn't a change in loss as the distance between the two distributions varies. We can say that both the Kullback-Leibler divergence and the

Jensen-Shannon divergence aren't continuous. Under certain circumstances, their slopes can't be descended by gradient descent to reach a minimum.

GANs may be constructed out of fully connected neural networks. However, in modern research, they are more commonly built as Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks (DCGANs) [32]. These are GANs built out of deep convolutional networks instead of fully connected networks. They have many of the advantages that convolutional networks bring and as demonstrated in their originating paper are excellent when working with images.

### 2.3.2 WGAN-GP

Due to the problems inherent in the Kullback-Leibler and Shannon-Jensen divergences, the Wasserstein GANs [33] were introduced. These GANs relabel the discriminator as the critic and derive their loss functions from the Wasserstein or Earthmover distance. This distance can be considered to be the cost to transform one distribution into another distribution in the cheapest way.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{EMD}(P, Q)=\inf _{\gamma \in \Pi(P, Q)} E_{(x, y) \sim \gamma}[\|x-y\|] \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 2.18 is not useful as a loss function of a GAN as it is intractable. However, using the Kantorovich-Rubinstein [34] duality a more useful function can be derived.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\min _{G} \max _{D \in \mathcal{D}}{\underset{x}{x} \sim P_{r}}_{E}^{E}[D(\boldsymbol{x})]-\underset{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \sim P_{g}}{E}[D(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}))\right] \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality (Equation 2.19) $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a real data point and $D(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the critic's realness score for it. Similarly, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is a data point created by the generator, and $D(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}})$ is the realness score calculated by the critic for that data point. $D$ (the critic) must be a 1 Lipschitz function. The set of 1-Lipschitz functions is $\mathcal{D}$. Using a Lipschitz function keeps the critic as a differential function that gradient descent can work with.

To ensure that the critic stays a 1-Lipschitz function the parameters of the neural network are clipped to stay within set values. While this technique works it is, by the authors of the original WGAN paper's [33] own admission, a poor technique. Wasserstein GAN - Gradient


Figure 2.6 Examples Results from BigGAN. Four success and one mistake.

Penalty (WGAN-GP) [35] was introduced to address this problem. WGAN-GP uses a soft regulation strategy based on the fact that a 1-Libschitz function has gradients with a norm of at most 1 . WGAN-GP then penalizes by the square difference from one of the gradient's norms.

### 2.3.3 GANs and Images

One of the most common usages of GANs is image synthesis. By training a GAN on a dataset of images it can be optimized to produce realistic images. One example of this is BigGAN [3]. BigGAN is a GAN that works with images and specifically focuses on synthesizing images from complex data sets at high resolutions.

Another interesting research area with GANs is in the picture-to-picture transformations. An example of this is taking in a sketch (or edges) of an image and outputting a complete, nearly, photo-realistic image [36] [4] [37]. Another would be producing an image from a semantic segmentation mask [36] [38]. Although style transfer has often been done with methods other than GANs [39] it can also be done with GANs as it is with SinGAN [1].

PatchGAN [36] is an interesting example and also relevant as SinGAN draws from it. PatchGAN specializes in image-to-image translation. Examples of domains it's been used in include semantic mappings to realistic images such as houses, black and white images to color, and day images to night images. PatchGAN is named so because it was designed so that the discriminator only analyzes both the fake and the ground truth images in patches. These patches are taken in a convolutional pattern so that the entire image is represented. The results
are averaged and that is used for the output of the discriminator. Working with smaller samples of images allows the system to create more detailed results.

### 2.3.4 GANs and Audio

Due to their success with images, there have been attempts to train GANs to produce audio. When working with images the input images are read as floating-point numbers and the neural network outputs more floating-point numbers which are then transformed into an image. Things aren't always so simple with audio. One common approach [12] to working with audio in machine learning is to transform audio into a spectrogram. This is an image representation of a sound that allows a neural network to process audio as if it were an image. The GAN can then produce an image that can be transformed back into raw audio with either a neural network [40] or a linear transformation. Both of these may lose quality, however, often neural network solutions perform better than linear transformations [40]. This has the advantage that it can be processed as an image which is where most GAN research has happened. The other approach is to use audio directly in the neural network as with WaveGAN [7] and GAN TTS [9]. Outside of these two approaches, there are a few others such as using midi notes [13]

### 2.3.5 SinGAN

SinGAN [35] is an innovative deep convolutional generative adversarial neural network that uses the Wasserstein GAN loss function. SinGAN can generate realistic images based on a single input image using creative and interesting techniques. We will explain these techniques in this section and in later sections discuss their uses for generating audio with AudioSinGAN.

The system consists of a pyramid of generative adversarial networks. The individual GANs are based on PatchGAN [36]. They also use WGAN-GP [35] for a loss function.

To train the system the input image is downsampled by a certain amount for each level of the pyramid. The lowest level (let it be $n$ ) has the smallest version of the input image and each ascending level has a larger version. At the lowest level of the pyramid, the generator takes in a noise map and is taught to map it to an image that can fool the discriminator. The


Figure 2.7 SinGAN's [1] multilevel pyramid / pipeline.
discriminator, being based on PatchGAN, has a limited receptive field and so can analyze both the fake images from the generator and the real downsampled image from the discriminator in fixed chunks of pixels.

The generator at the bottom layer is trained for a set number of epochs. The final, resulting fake image (let it be z ) is upscaled (with bilinear interpolation) and fed into the next level of the pyramid (let it be $\mathrm{n}+1$.) Here z is combined with a noise map which is fed into the generator and produces an image which is again combined with z . The generator is therefore performing an image-to-image task. The GAN is once again trained for the set number of epochs.

At this level $\mathrm{n}+1$, the discriminator, is using a real image that is a downsampled version of the image input by the user but larger than the version used at level $n$. The discriminator analyzes the image in patches (the network's receptive field) which is the same size at all levels of the pyramid. This process is repeated for every layer of the pyramid.

The individual GANs in SinGAN are based on PatchGAN [36] and have the signature feature of the discriminator looking at the image in patches. Because the receptive field (or patch) is the same at each level even though it is training on different sized versions of the same image it learns to recreate large structures and similar details on a very downsampled
version of the image at the low levels of the pyramid. At the high levels, it learns to recreate textures and fine details as that is all the limited receptive field can handle at one time at that level of the pyramid.

SinGAN [1] works well on default settings when an image's superstructures allow for some variation. For example, in the image presented above of birds flying the random variation in the structure does not impair how realistic the image is. When there is little room for variance in superstructures, however, SinGAN struggles. An example of this phenomenon occurs with faces. On default settings, variations on images of faces come out looking mangled and like nothing human. However, this can be fixed by training the system on an image of a face normally but starting from a higher level of the pyramid for sample generation. This results in superstructures that are the same as the original but textures that have randomness in them. An example would be a face that is the same but has different patterns of freckles.

SinGAN can also be used for other functions such as harmonization, paint to image, editing, super-resolution, and single image animation. These are performed by using a trained GAN pyramid in different ways. Harmonization and paint to image use techniques such as inputting an image at a higher level of the image pyramid to alter textures while leaving super structures the same. Editing is performed similarly by taking in an edited version of the training image and inputting it higher in the pyramid than the bottom level. This way only textures are changed but the superstructures are left alone. Super-resolution is performed by upscaling an image, injecting it into the highest level of the pyramid, and then repeating with the output multiple times. For animation from a single image several random variations are taken and stitched together as the frames of a video.

## Chapter 3

## Adapting SinGAN to Audio

While presenting WaveGAN [7], its creators also discuss the steps they took to bootstrap a two-dimensional GAN meant for images and convert it for use with audio. They also suggest their work could be used as a guide for altering image generation models for use with audio. Not all of their suggestions were relevant to this project due to some differences in the GAN they started with compared to SinGAN but some of their suggestions were helpful and acted as a starting point for adapting SinGAN. Firstly, they point out the necessity for flattening the kernel and stride from two dimensions to one. They also advised removing batch normalization which we found to be helpful. Finally, t was necessary to alter the number of input channels from three (ideal for color images) to one (ideal for mono audio).

There was also helper code (see Appendix A) that needed to be changed from loading, processing, re-sampling, and saving images to doing the same with audio. We also had to set up tools to run and monitor our system as it ran. Once these tasks were accomplished, we were free to focus on altering numerous parameters that define the neural networks in our system.

### 3.1 Platforms / Tools

### 3.1.1 Torchaudio and bug fixing

SinGAN [1] is built on PyTorch [41] a popular, open-source machine learning framework. When working with audio we incorporated Torchaudio, a library that is part of the PyTorch project specifically built for working with audio and machine learning. This library includes helpful tools for loading, saving, and processing audio. However, because Torchaudio is a
recent addition to PyTorch we needed to use a more updated version of PyTorch than SinGAN was built with. Unfortunately, using newer versions of PyTorch caused errors in the system.

Eventually, we discovered the cause of the error and solved it. The portion of the SinGAN [1] code that handles training the models and updating their optimizers is based on the Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Network (DCGAN) example available from the PyTorch [41] documentation. However, as an optimization (as mentioned in the SinGAN supplementary material [42]) SinGAN performs three discriminator steps followed by three generator steps compared to the DCGAN example which alternates between one step of each.

PyTorch [41] checks for and disallows in-place updates for variables used for gradient computation. Old versions of PyTorch did not check for in-place operations performed by optimizers correctly. This allowed the SinGAN [1] code to run as it was. However, in PyTorch 1.5 this was patched, breaking SinGAN. The specific problem occurred because the optimizer for the generator took a step and then almost immediately backpropagated the error. It is not clear which specific variable caused the problem but changing the system to alternate the steps (like the DCGAN example from the PyTorch documentation) involved resetting many variables and successfully fixed the issue.

### 3.1.2 Julius

The resampling function built into Torchaudio proved to be a substantial bottleneck to training the system. To solve this problem we incorporated the library Julius [43]. Julius is an open-source implementation of the sinc resample algorithm by Julius O. Smith [44]. The implementation is based on PyTorch and can be run on the GPU. Using Julius and following the documentation's guidelines for maximizing speed allowed for much faster training. It is worth noting that after the code for AudioSinGAN was written Julius was integrated into pyrtoch [41].

### 3.1.3 Google Colab / Drive

We ran all our experiments on Google Colab. Google Colab is a platform built for research that allows running Python code on Google's servers with the usage of a GPU for free. Most of our experiments still took several hours to run and we ran over 300 experiments throughout our research.

### 3.1.4 WandB

The creators of SinGAN left behind code to graph loss curves using the Matplotlib library. However, we elected to use an online tool called Weights and Biases [45] (WandB) due to its superior ease of organization and tools for analyzing data.

### 3.2 Tuning Hyper-Parameters / System Variables

Tuning Hyper-parameters was more difficult than initially expected. Each AudioSinGAN model has three loss functions (generator, critic, and means-square error) for each level of the pyramid. Often these different loss functions seemed to disagree with each other, and the loss graphs were also often unhelpful with large sudden jumps or large static sections. There was no single, clear, consistent loss function to base our search on. We settled on evaluating results by evaluating generated samples by ear and subjectively determining if the result was an improvement or not.

The search for hyper-parameters had a baseline in SinGAN's [1] default hyper-parameters and recommendations found in other literature. The tuning process was done using an audio clip of a violin playing a scale and started by trying extreme values both larger and smaller than the baseline. Then we used progressively less and less extreme values centering around the recommended values. In every case the results were better around the baseline values. Once that was established, we used a brute force approach by trying all values near the baseline values. In some cases the baseline values were optimal and in others values near the baseline values were
superior. Further value tuning would be helped by developing and using an objective measurement of improvement in training that could be used for (ideally automated) hyper-parameter tuning.

Table 3.1 shows SinGAN's original hyper-parameters compared to what we selected for AudioSinGAN. The following sections go into more detail regarding the various hyper-parameters.

Table 3.1 SinGAN vs AudioSinGAN Hyper-Parameters.

| Hyper-Parameter | SinGAN | AudioSinGAN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Layers | 5 | 3 |
| Epochs | 2000 | 10000 |
| Kernel | $3 \times 3$ | 23 |
| Stride | 1 | 1 |
| Dilation | 1 | 12 |
| Receptive Field | $11 \times 11$ | 793 |
| Learning Rate - Generator | 0.0005 | 0.0001 |
| Learning Rate - Discriminator | 0.0005 | 0.0004 |
| Loss Function | WGAN-GP + MSE | WGAN-GP + MSE |
|  | Reconstruction | Reconstruction |
| Reconstruction Weight | 10 | 4 |
| Batch Norm | Yes | No |

### 3.2.1 Resolution levels on SinGAN's Pyramid

SinGAN uses a pyramid of images at different resolutions and corresponding GANs. When working with audio we chose to use a pyramid with audio at different sample rates. This is how many samples of audio are present in each second. A commonly used sample rate for good quality music is 44.1 kHz or 44,100 samples per second. However, low-quality audio at lower sample rates is used in some real-world situations. For example, audio sampled at 8 kHz is sometimes used for sound effects [46]. The contrast between Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.2 is a
visual example of the difference. These illustrate the difference between 44.1 kHz and 8 kHz . Every individual dot is a sample.


Figure 3.10 .005 seconds of audio at 44.1 kHz .


Figure 3.20 .005 seconds of audio at 8 kHz .

At the lower resolution, the large structures of the sound wave are maintained but the small details are lost. This key detail is the same as it is in images of different resolutions where the superstructures in an image are maintained at low resolution but the details are lost. One different area is that audio at different sample rates is the same length while images at different resolutions are considered different sizes.

### 3.2.2 Sample Rate at Different Scales

SinGAN [1] has a difference of approximately 0.75 between the resolution of each scale of the pyramid. We roughly followed this example for the different sample rates of audio. While SinGAN dynamically calculates how many levels to use and the appropriate resolution
at each level, AudioSinGAN uses set sample rates at each level to optimize re-sampling time. We calculated the sample rate for each level of the pyramid with a difference of around 0.75 (following Julius' guidelines for fast re-sampling caused it to not be exact) between scales from 800 Hz to 16000 kHz . Throughout our experimentation, we tried many different variations such as using more scales or fewer scales. We did not notice too much of a difference between experiments as long as the scales range from small enough to large enough and as long as there are enough of scales present ( 4 or 5 at the minimum). Our final system converts audio input into mono audio at 16 kHz and uses 9 scales ranging from 800 Hz to 16 kHz .

### 3.2.3 Learning Rate, Layers, Epochs, and Channels

One of the most important and volatile hyperparameters is the learning rate. Initially, we suspected that our discriminators had too high of a learning rate and experimented with decreasing it. We also tested only updating the discriminator's weights every few steps. Neither that technique nor the various learning rates we tried were initially successful. Eventually, on the recommendation of a blog post on training GANs [47], we tried learning rates of 0.0001 for the generator and 0.0004 for the discriminator. These values for learning rates proved to be effective and are our final values after tuning.

Two other very important hyperparameters are how many layers are in the neural network and how many epochs the system is trained for. SinGAN uses 5 layers in both the discriminator and the generator and trains for 2000 epochs for each level of the pyramid. We found that we got better results with roughly similar running time by decreasing the number of layers and increasing the number of epochs. We found that 3 layers and about 10000 epochs worked better for AudioSinGAN.

We also experimented with how many channels to use between layers. SinGAN starts with 32 channels and then increases it as the image goes up the pyramid and gets larger. We didn't find that having more channels than 32 between each level of the pyramid made any noticeable difference.

### 3.2.4 Reconstruction Weight

SinGAN [1] uses both the WGAN-GP [35] loss function and a weighted mean squared error reconstruction loss function. We experimented with various weights for the reconstruction loss function and found that about 4 seemed to work well, though it isn't a particularly delicate hyperparameter, especially compared to the learning rate.

### 3.2.5 Receptive Field Size

One of the key points of SinGAN [1] is the GAN's receptive field. SinGAN uses the PatchGAN [36] method and so intentionally has a smaller receptive field. Because the receptive field is static at each level of the pyramid but the resolution increases, the discriminator sees smaller details at higher levels of the pyramid and larger structures at lower levels. As an image is generated it works its way up the pyramid with finer details being added at higher levels.

The WaveGAN [7] paper points out that the receptive field for audio systems must be much larger than for image systems. For example, an A4 note at 16 kHz takes 36 samples for a single cycle. SinGANs naively has an $11 \times 11$ receptive field according to its creators. For a point of contrast, WaveGAN has a receptive field of 32,761 and after much experimentation, our converted system has a receptive field of 793 [48]. One of the variables that control the size of the receptive field in a convolutional neural network is the kernel size. We experimented with many kernel sizes to control the receptive field size before settling on 23.

We eventually realized we needed to expand the receptive field size but expanding the kernel didn't expand the receptive field nearly enough for how much extra computational power is required. Using dilation [49] of 12 increases the receptive field dramatically without increasing the processing power required.

### 3.2.6 Various experiments

We also tried many more varied experiments. We found that SinGANs use of schedulers to decrease the learning rate as the system trains weren't helpful to our version of the system and disabled it. We experimented with using mean absolute error loss instead of mean squared error
loss for the reconstruction loss but found that the results were inferior. We also experimented with keeping our sample rate the same at all levels of the pyramid and varying our kernel size (and therefore receptive field) instead.

### 3.2.7 Other Experiments

We attempted other experiments that failed to improve our output. These include normalizing generator output, updating weights on different numbers of steps, updating discriminator weights only when the generator's loss is decreasing, using different loss functions, using batch normalization, and various changes to the pyramid setup.

### 3.2.8 Audio Amplitude and Length

To achieve optimal results, it is important to consider the input audio's amplitude. Amplitude is the height of the crests of the sound wave. Higher amplitudes result in more distinct waveforms and produce better results. We found it helpful to increase the amplitude of audio clips as much as we could before feeding them into AudioSinGAN.

The length of the audio clip is also worth paying attention to. If the input audio clip is too long, then the system will require too many resources to run in a reasonable time frame. If the system is too short it makes it difficult for there to be clear, distinct structures. We found that audio clips of about 5-10 seconds worked well.

### 3.2.9 AudioSinGAN Pipeline

Figure 3.3 is an example of AudioSinGAN's pipeline. It can be contrasted to SinGAN's original pipeline in Figure 2.7. Like the original SinGAN model, training starts at the bottom level of the pyramid. The system learns to produce realistic super-structures in the audio and then sends the results up the pyramid. At each level the effective patch (or kernel) size gets smaller and the system fills in finer and finer details.

SinGAN dynamically determines the number of levels in the pyramid and the resolutions for each level. As explained in section 3.2.2 AudioSinGAN re-samples any input audio to 16000 hz and then uses set levels and sample rates.


Figure 3.3 A diagram of AudioSinGAN's Pipeline.

### 3.2.10 AudioSinGAN Code

The codebase for AudioSinGAN is a heavily modified version of SinGAN [1]. Although some parts remain unchanged much of it required significant alterations. The altered code can be found in appendix A. Figure 3.4 is a simple top-down diagram of the code can be found below. It describes which scripts call functions from each other in a top down approach. There are three possible starting scripts each with their own main function. The file main_train.py starts training the audio on an input file, resume_at.py resumes stopped training, and random_samples.py generated samples from a trained model. The files resume_at.py and AudioSample.py are created by (with resume_at.py being heavily based on main_train) while the others are altered versions of SinGAN's code.


Figure 3.4 A top-down diagram of AudioSinGAN's Code.

### 3.3 Singular Value Decomposition

More details of singular value decomposition and its applications can be found in [50]. Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix, with $m \geq n$. $A$ can be factorized to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=U\binom{\Sigma}{0} V^{T} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U \in R^{m \times m}$ and $V \in R^{n \times n}$ are orthogonal, and $\Sigma \in R^{n \times n}$ is diagonal. If $\operatorname{rank}(A)=$ $r<m, n$ the above factorization of $A$ can be written as,

$$
A=\left[U_{1} U_{2}\right]\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{1} & 0  \tag{3.2}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{V_{1}^{T}}{V_{2}^{T}}=U_{1} \Sigma_{1} V_{1}^{T}
$$

where $U_{1} \in R^{m \times r}, U_{2} \in R^{m \times(m-r)}, \Sigma_{1} \in R^{r \times r}, V_{1}^{T} \in R^{r \times n}$, and $V_{2}^{T} \in R^{(n-r) \times n}$.
If $\operatorname{rank}(A)=r<m, n$ the above factorization of $A$ can be written as,

$$
A=\left[U_{1} U_{2}\right]\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{1} & 0  \tag{3.3}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{V_{1}^{T}}{V_{2}^{T}}=U_{1} \Sigma_{1} V_{1}^{T}
$$

where $U_{1} \in R^{m \times r}, U_{2} \in R^{m \times(m-r)}, \Sigma_{1} \in R^{r \times r}, V_{1}^{T} \in R^{r \times n}$, and $V_{2}^{T} \in R^{(n-r) \times n}$, and the diagonal entries of $\Sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \sigma_{r}>0$.

$$
\Sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\sigma_{1} & 0 & . & \cdot & 0  \tag{3.4}\\
0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
0 & \cdot & . & . & \sigma_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The simga values $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}$ are called the singular values of $A$. The columns of $V$ $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}\right)$ are the right singular vectors of $A$ and the columns of $U\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r}\right)$ are the left singular vectors of $A$. Although, $\Sigma$ is unique, this factorization is not unique (i.e., can flip signs of $U, V)$.

In our application, we construct a matrix $A$ for each audio class such that the columns of $A$ are the training audio vectors of the selected class. For a given test vector $z$, we need to find a
projection on to the column space of $A$ (i.e., the least square solution). The least square error for $A x=z$ is same as the least square error for $U y=z$ (i.e., $y=\Sigma_{1} V_{1}^{T} x$. The least square solution for $U y=z$ is $y=U^{T} z$ and hence the residual error vector is $z-U U^{T} z=\left(I-U U^{T}\right) z$. We call the columns of $U$ as singular images.

### 3.3.1 SVD Algorithm:

a Training:
For the training set of each audio class $i$ (i,e., violin, male voice etc), compute the SVD of $A_{i}$ containing each set of vectors of one kind as columns.
b Classification:
For a given test audio vector, compute its relative residual (i.e., $e_{i}=\left\|\left(I-U_{i} U_{i}^{T}\right) z\right\|_{2}$, least square error) in all audio classes. If one residual is lower than all the others, classify as that.

## Chapter 4

## Results

Although objective evaluation was out of our means we provide some visual examples of waveforms to demonstrate the output of AudioSinGAN.

### 4.1 Dataset

We created an initial version of a dataset from the variations created by SinGAN on seven samples. This dataset is available as both audio files and as a csv with audio vectorized ${ }^{1}$.

Table 4.1 Dataset Statistics

| Sample Name | Variations | Variation Length |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allegro | 50 | 7.2 |
| female voice | 50 | 8.8 |
| flute | 50 | 3.6 |
| male voice | 50 | 7 |
| melodic hardcore | 50 | 7.9 |
| ocarina multiple | 50 | 9.5 |
| violin | 50 | 9 |

The vectorized dataset has three versions. The full version has the total vectors of all samples. The limited version has the first 50,000 points of each vector for all samples. Finally,

[^1]the small version has the first 50,000 points of the vectors of only the violin and male voice variations. For each class there are 51 examples including the input audio and 50 variations. Table 4.2 describes the layout of the csv and Table 4.3 describes class labels.

Table 4.2 Dataset Columns

| C1 (int) | C2 (int) | C3 (binary) | C4 (binary) | C5+ (float) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unique ID | Class | Variation (0) or Original (1) | Rejected(0) or Accepted (1) | Vectorized Data |

Table 4.3 Dataset Classes

| Class 0: | violin |
| :--- | :--- |
| Class 1: | male voice |
| Class 2: | female voice |
| Class 3: | allegro |
| Class 4: | flute |
| Class 5: | melodic hardcore |
| Class 6: | ocarina multiple |

### 4.2 Successes and Failures

AudioSinGAN is sensitive to qualities in the input audio and performs better on some clips than others. The system performs best on waveforms with multiple, distinct clear structures which represent an independent sound. The waveform in Figure 4.1 is an example of a waveform with clear, distinct waveforms. Each crest is a distinct note played on a violin.


Figure 4.1 Input Violin Waveform
And below are several examples of output. The images of the waveforms in Figure 4.2 show our success at creating a system that can train on a single audio clip and generate variations on
it. The output audio clips lack audio quality. Despite this, however, they exhibit varied violin sounds distinct from what was present in the training clip.


Figure 4.2 Violin Output Audio

To contrast, Figure 4.3 is a waveform with multiple instruments playing together. Our system doesn't work well with it because it has many different notes that blend and aren't distinct.


Figure 4.3 Allegro Waveform

The waveforms of the results in Figure 4.4 look similar to the original and the audio has some vague resemblance, but in comparison to the results from the violin the audio quality is far inferior and there is significantly less interesting audible variation.

More examples and audio samples are available online ${ }^{2}$.

[^2]

Figure 4.4 Allegro Output

### 4.3 Violin Audio Analysis

Although we are not experts in the domain of music there are several interesting details about the systems greatest success: violin. Firstly, it's worth noting that the violin samples are generated from the input clip that the system hyper-parameters were tuned to. They system was effectively optimized to be most successful on audio that meets some criteria pertaining to our violin clip. We have speculated that this criterion is the frequency of the music. This could explain why the system performs better on violin compared to flute (the second most successful case) despite both being scales with a similar structure to the sound wave. For comparison the violin clip has frequencies ranging from $292 \mathrm{~Hz}-2330 \mathrm{~Hz}$ while the flute clip has frequencies ranging from $700 \mathrm{~Hz}-5600 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

Another interesting phenomenon from the violin input clip is that the D notes in the scale come through the clearest in the variations. Both a lower D note and a higher D note are present in the input clip. The repetition of two versions of the same note could partially explain why the system reconstructs them most clearly. Another contributing reason could be that the lower D note has the largest structure in the input sound wave.

### 4.4 Analysis using Singular Value Decomposition

With the help of a more knowledgeable musician we went through the violin samples and labeled them as accepted and rejected. We seek to understand what features define good output data initially through visual examination but more meaningfully through singular value decomposition as well.

A visual examination of Figures 4.5, 4.6, and 4.7 reveals that the original and accepted waveforms have clearer distinction between portions of the waveforms while the rejected sample has a large portion on the left that isn't distinct.


Figure 4.5 Original Violin Sample.


Figure 4.6 An Accepted AudioSinGan Generated Violin Variation Using the Original Sample Given in Figure 4.5.


Figure 4.7 A Rejected AudioSinGan Generated Violin Variation Using the Original Sample Given in Figure 4.5.

We performed SVD to attempt to train a model to distinguish between rejected and accepted violin samples and had poor results. More labeled data, better quality data, and more experimentation with using data at different scales could help to improve the model. Although distinguishing rejected from accepted violin samples was not successful, we trained a model to distinguish between violin samples and human male voice samples and, even on a small training set, the model was $100 \%$ successful. See Figures 4.8 and 4.9 for examples of human voice waveforms.

See Section 3.3 for an in-depth discussion of training and classification methods. Interestingly, we find that both the violin class and the male voice class have large initial singular values followed by significantly smaller singular values. See Figures 4.10 and 4.11 for graphs of the singular values and Figures 4.12-4.15 for the waveforms that correspond to the first two singular values for both classes.

We use SVD (as laid out in section 3.3) to analyze violin and male voice and try and classify samples from our test set. We use the least square error for each sample to classify them into the appropriate class. Our model has $100 \%$ success at distinguishing between the two but the test points are often very close to the boundary. This is especially true with male voice test samples. Figure 4.16 shows the difference between errors graphed. Table 4.4 and Table 4.5 numerically show the errors and the difference between errors for the samples.

We also analyze the success rate of the violin-voice classification compared to the rank of the SVD approximation. We find that the model has a success rate of $95 \%$ at rank five and at rank 18 the model has a success rate of $100 \%$. See Table 4.6 for numerical data and Figure 4.17 for a graph of the data.

We find that the models $100 \%$ classification success rate and the high success rate at low ranks to be indicative of AudioSinGAN producing data belonging to distinct classes. The closeness of test samples error to class boundaries does show there is still more work to be done.


Figure 4.8 Original Male Voice Sample.


Figure 4.9 A Variation of Male Voice Sample


Figure 4.10 Singular Values for the Violin Class, Range $=\{208.7,37.5, \ldots, 10.4\}$


Figure 4.11 Singular Values for the Male Voice Class, Range $=\{553.4,30.4, \ldots, 14.6\}$


Figure 4.12 The First Violin Singular Image corresponding to Singular Value $=208.7$


Figure 4.13 The Second Violin Singular Image corresponding to Singular Value $=37.5$


Figure 4.14 The First Male Voice Singular Image corresponding to Singular Value $=553.4$


Figure 4.15 The Second Male Voice Singular Image corresponding to Singular Value $=30.4$


Figure 4.16 The Difference of Least Square Errors (e1-e2) for 20 Test Samples; (1-10) violin samples, (11-20) - voice samples, success rate $=100 \%$

Table 4.4 Least Squared Errors: Violin Test Samples Rounded to two Decimal Points.

| Error Violin (e1) | Error Male Voice (e2) | Difference (e1-e2) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7.96 | 8.50 | -0.54 |
| 9.40 | 9.95 | -0.55 |
| 8.84 | 9.14 | -0.30 |
| 11.49 | 11.87 | -0.38 |
| 9.44 | 9.82 | -0.38 |
| 10.25 | 10.42 | -0.17 |
| 7.21 | 7.67 | -0.46 |
| 9.68 | 10.15 | -0.47 |
| 9.72 | 10.19 | -0.47 |
| 7.63 | 7.86 | -0.23 |

Table 4.5 Least Squared Errors: Male Voice Test Samples Rounded to two Decimal Points.

| Error Violin (e1) | Error Male Voice (e2) | Difference (e1-e2) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9.08 | 8.93 | 0.15 |
| 6.54 | 6.53 | 0.01 |
| 12.15 | 12.08 | 0.07 |
| 13.25 | 13.22 | 0.03 |
| 7.11 | 7.02 | 0.09 |
| 10.32 | 10.23 | 0.09 |
| 10.21 | 10.14 | 0.07 |
| 9.82 | 9.76 | 0.06 |
| 10.24 | 10.19 | 0.05 |
| 9.32 | 9.26 | 0.06 |



Figure 4.17 Success Rate of Violin-Voice Classification vs Rank of the SVD approximations

Table 4.6 Violin-Male Voice Rank and Success Rank

| Rank | Success Rate $\%$ | Rank cont. | Success Rate \% cont. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 65 | 21 | 100 |
| 2 | 75 | 22 | 100 |
| 3 | 80 | 23 | 100 |
| 4 | 85 | 24 | 100 |
| 5 | 95 | 25 | 100 |
| 6 | 90 | 26 | 100 |
| 7 | 85 | 27 | 100 |
| 8 | 85 | 28 | 100 |
| 9 | 85 | 29 | 95 |
| 10 | 85 | 30 | 100 |
| 11 | 90 | 31 | 100 |
| 12 | 90 | 32 | 100 |
| 13 | 90 | 33 | 100 |
| 14 | 95 | 34 | 100 |
| 15 | 95 | 35 | 100 |
| 16 | 95 | 36 | 100 |
| 17 | 95 | 37 | 95 |
| 18 | 100 | 38 | 95 |
| 19 | 100 | 39 | 100 |
| 20 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 5

## Future Directions and Conclusion

SinGAN was extended by its original authors to perform several advanced functions. One of these were style transfer / harmonization where a hybrid image was fed into the system and the style of one part of the image is given to another. Another was re-scaling images to higher resolutions. These were performed by taking advantage of SinGAN's unique structure and feeding input into high levels of the pyramid to affect texture and not super-structures. Both of these have potential for being ported to audio. Harmonizing two separate audio clips to blend together easier would be useful to sound designers. Up-sampling audio with neural networks is an area already being studied [51] and AudioSinGAN's pyramid structure has potential for a unique contribution.

Multiple researchers have sought to improve SinGAN using a variety of techniques with potential for use in AudioSinGAN. Among these are employing an attention mechanism in the GAN [14], using alternative activation functions[15], and using "pixel shuffling" in the input at each level of the pyramid [16].

One problem we faced in this work was a lack of objective measurement of audio realness / results. We started the process of using SVD as a potential solution to this problem. In our work with SVD we created a model that can tell the violin class apart from the male voice class with $100 \%$ success. Improving our SVD model would allow for better tuning of hyperparameters, creation of automatic feedback functions, superior understanding of the model, and a quick way to showcase the best results of AudioSinGAN.

A challenge faced in this work is the difficulty of classifying audio. This is especially difficult in cases where there may be multiple instruments or audio sources playing together.

This issue of overlapping audio is one faced by other researchers as well [52]. One way to address this would be to handle frequency bands in audio separately.

AudioSinGAN primarily alters an audio clip's phase and amplitude while generaly not affecting frequency. However, frequency is a key attribute of audio that would be interesting to explore in relation to AudioSinGAN. One possible explanation for the system working best on certain audio clips is that they happen to be within frequency bands that the system was tuned for. For example, our current system was optimized for violin and performs best on our violin input clip. Future work should include experimenting with tuning AudioSinGAN for a variety of types of music with varying frequencies. This could allow for splitting an input signal into multiple frequency bands and training them on variations of AudioSinGAN tuned for those frequencies. The pieces could then be combined into the final output. This would require a digital input audio clip to be converted to analog for splitting into frequencies, then the frequencies would be converted back to digital for processing, back to analog for mixing and finally back to digital. See Figure 5.1 on the next page.

A somewhat similar idea has been explored [53] as an attempt for faster and better results by training multiple levels of SinGAN's[1] pyramid in parallel with different hyper-parameters. It would also be interesting to study the individual GANs in such a system by analyzing their frequency and impulse responses.

We include an initial database of variations for these results. An important future direction would be to expand and improve that database. An improved database (including an objective measure of audio quality) along with more advanced usage of signal processing techniques and SVD would allow for future research and a better understanding of our model and the dataset.


Figure 5.1 AudioSinGAN splitting audio into frequency bands and recombining it.
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## Appendix A: SinGAN vs AudioSinGAN Code

The following code samples are an overview of the changes made to convert SinGAN to AudioSinGAN. In some cases they are an entire python file and in other cases they are samples of relevant code. Red highlighted code is SinGAN code we removed and green highlighted code is code we added. Code that isn't highlighted is code that there was no need to chage.

## Listing A. 1 main_train.py

```
from SinGAN.manipulate import *
from SinGAN.training import *
import SinGAN.functions as functions
import torchaudio
import os
import sys
from datetime import datetime
from SinGAN.AudioSample import AudioSample
class my_Logger(object):
    def _init__(self):
        self.console_out = sys.stdout
        self.file = open("logFile.txt","a")
        self.encoding = "UTF-8"
    def write(self, input):
        self.console_out.write(input)
        self.file.write(input)
    def close(self):
        self.file.close()
    def flush(self):
        pass
if _-name_- == , _-main_-':
    sys.stdout=my_Logger()
    print(str(sys.argv))
    parser = get_arguments()
    parser.add_argument('-input_dir', help='input image dir',
            default='Input/Audio') # Changed by Levi Pfantz 10/14/2020
    parser.add_argument('--input_name', help='input_image_name', required=True)
    parser.add_argument('--mode', help='task_to_be_done', default='train')
    opt = parser:parse.argo)
```

```
    opt = functions.post_config(opt)
        opt.batch_norm=bool(opt.batch_norm)
    opt.SR_pyr=[800, 1600, 2150, 2850, 3825, 5100, 6750, 9000, 12000, 16000]
    opt.ker_size_pyr = [1205, 3015, 401, 401, 401, 401, 401, 401, 401, 401, 401, 401, 401, 401, 301, 201]
    if opt.single_level ¿0:
        opt.SR_pyr=[opt.single_level]
    Gs = []
Zs = []
reals = []
NoiseAmp = []
dir2save = functions.generate_dir2save(opt)
```



```
if not opt.not_cuda:
    torch.set_default_tensor_type(torch.cuda.FloatTensor)
    if int(opt.wandb) ¿0:
        import wandb
        wandb.init(project=opt.wandb_proj)
    torchaudio._set_audio_backend(opt.audio_backend)
    inputpath = opt.input_dir + " /" + opt.input_name
+real = AudioSample(opt, inputpath, sr=16000)
    opt.stride=int(opt.stride)
    opt.nfc=int(opt.nfc)
    opt.min_nfc=int(opt.min_nfc)
    print(real.data.shape)
    functions.adjust_scales2data(real, opt)
    opt.stop_scale=len(opt.SR_pyr)-1
    print(real.data.shape)
    train(opt, real, Gs, Zs, reals, NoiseAmp)
    SinGAN_generate(Gs, Zs, reals, NoiseAmp, opt)
    my Logger.close()
```

```
Listing A. }2\mathrm{ resume_at.py
# I added this file. When I made it I started with main_train as a base
# so they have a lot of overlap.
from config import get_arguments
from SinGAN.manipulate import *
from SinGAN.training import *
import SinGAN.functions as functions
import torchaudio
import sys
from datetime import datetime
from SinGAN.AudioSample import AudioSample
class my_Logger(object):
    def --init--(self):
        self.console_out = sys.stdout
        self.file = open("logFile.txt", "a")
        self.encoding = "UTF-8"
    def write(self, input):
        self.console_out.write(input)
        self.file.write(input)
    def close(self):
        self.file.close()
    def flush(self):
        pass
if _-name_- == '_-main_-':
    sys.stdout=my_Logger()
    print(str(sys.argv))
    parser = get_arguments()
    parser.add_argument('--input_dir', help='input_image_dir',default='Input/Audio')
    parser.add_argument('--input_name', help='input_image_name', required=True)
    parser.add_argument('--mode', help='task\lrcornerto_be^done', default='train')
    opt = parser.parse_args()
    opt = functions.post_config(opt)
    opt.SR_pyr=[800, 1600, 2150, 2850, 3825, 5100, 6750, 9000, 12000, 16000]
    if opt.single_level >0:
        opt.SR_pyr=[opt.single_level]
    Gs = []
    Zs = []
    reals = []
    NoiseAmp = []
    dir2save = functions.generate_dir2save(opt)
    if not opt.not_cuda:
        torch.set_default_tensor_type(torch.cuda. FloatTensor)
```


## Listing A. 3 config.py

```
parser.add_argument('--netG', default=',, help="path_to_netG_(to_continue_training)")
parser.add_argument('--netD', default=', help="path\_to\lrcornernetD\smile(to\lrcornercontinue\lrcornertraining)")
parser.add_argument('--manualSeed', type=int, help='manual_seed')
parser.add_argument('-nc_z',type=int,help='noise # channels',default=3)
#Audio noise channles will be the same as audio channels
parser.add_argument('-nc_z',type=int,help='noise # channels',default=1)
#At least initally we'll be working with 1 channel audio
parser.add_argument('-nc_aud',type=int,help='image # channels',default=1)
parser.add_argument('--out', help='output_folder', default='Output')
\networks hyper parameters:
parser.add\\argument('--nfc', type=int, default=32)
#pparser.add__argument('-batch _norm', action='store_true', help=' use batch normalization (not yet implemented)', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-batch_norm', type=int, help='use batch normalization (not yet implemented)', default=0)
parser.add\_argument(' --min\_nfc', type=int, default=32)
parser.add_argument('-ker_size',type=int,help='kernel size',default=3)
# SinGAN's 3\times3 Kernel is flattened to a 9 kernel
parser.add_argument('-ker_size',type=int,help='kernel size',default=9)
parser.add_argument('-- num_layer',type=int,help='number_of_layers', default=5)
parser.add_argument('--stride',help='stride', default=1)
```

```
parser.add_argument('--padd_size', type=int,help='net_pad_size', default=0) #math.floor(opt.ker_size/2)
parser.add_argument('-dilation', type=int, help='dilation at each layer', default=1)
parser.add_argument('-RELU_in_gen', type=int, help='Use RELU instead of leaky RELU in the generator', default=0)
#pyramid parameters:
parser.add_argument('--scale_factor',type=float, help='pyramid_scale_factor', default=0.75) #pow(0.5,l/6))
parser.add_argument('-- noise_amp', type=float, help=', addative_noise_cont\_weight', default=0.1)
#optimization hyper parameters:
parser.add_argument('-- niter', type=int, default=2000, help='number_of_epochs_to_train_per_scale')
parser.add_argument(',--gamma', type=float, help=' scheduler_gamma', default=0.1)
parser.add_argument('-Ir_g', type=float, default=0.0005, help='learning rate, default=0.0005')
parser.add_argument('-lr_d', type=float, default=0.0005, help='learning rate, default=0.0005')
parser.add_argument('-Ir_g', type=float, default=0.0001, help='learning rate, default=0.0005')
parser.add_argument('-lr_d', type=float, default=0.0004, help='learning rate, default=0.0005')
parser.add_argument(' -- beta1', type=float, default=0.5, help='beta1_for_adam._default=0.5')
parser.add_argument('-Gsteps',type=int, help='Generator inner steps',default=3)
parser.add_argument('-Dsteps',type=int, help='Discriminator inner steps',default=3)
parser.add_argument('-steps',type=int, help='Generator inner steps',default=3)
parser.add_argument('--lambda_grad,, type=float, help='gradient_penelty_weight', default=0.1)
parser.add_argument('-alpha',type=float, help='reconstruction loss weight',default=10)
parser.add_argument('-alpha',type=float, help='reconstruction loss weight',default=4)
parser.add_argument('-dropout', type=float, help='dropout for discriminator', default=0)
#added by Levi
#use soundfile for windows and sox io for linux / google colab
parser.add_argument('-audio_backend', help='normalize audio input?', default='sox_io')
parser.add_argument('-norm', help='normalize audio input?', default=1)
parser.add_argument('-wandb', help='log stuff with wandb, you need to init before hand', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-wandb_proj', help='wandb Project name', default='AudioSinGAN')
parser.add_argument('-update_every_x', help='only update discriminator every x steps', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-steps_to_update', help='how many steps to update on', default=10)
parser.add_argument('-normalize_generator_output', type = int, help='In this experiment I normalize the output of the '
                                    'generator (before loss is calculated) ', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-normalize_before_saving', type = int, help='normalize audio output before saving as a file', default=1)
parser.add_argument('-use_MAE', type = int, help='use MAE instead of LSE', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-update_only_with_lower_Gloss', type = int, help='update discriminator only when GLoss is '
                                    'decreasing', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-use_schedulers', type = int, help='use DScheduler to decrease lr after 1600 epochs', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-make_input_tensor_even', type=int, help='make input tensor even', default=1)
parser.add_argument('-adjust_upsampled', type=int, help='adjust generated tensor size as they are up-sampled', default=1)
parser.add_argument('-change_channel_count', type=int, help='at higher levels of GAN pyramid use more channels', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-adjust_after_levels', type=int, help='adjust lr d after level', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-level_to_resume_at', type=int, help='level to resume training at', default=1)
parser.add_argument('-alt_pyramid_exp', type=int, help='instead of resampling audio, change kernel size at each
                                    'layer of the pyramid', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-pad_with_noise', type=int, help='pad with noise instead of 0s', default=0)
parser.add_argument('-single_level', type=int, help='use only a single layer of size x', default=-1)
parser.add_argument('-save_fake_progression', type=int, help='use this to save a copy of the fake output every 500 epochs', default=-1)
parser.add_argument('-smooth_real_labels', type=int, help='smooth the real labels of the discriminator', default=-1)
parser.add_argument('-smooth_fake_labels', type=int, help='smooth the fake labels of the discriminator', default=-1)
```


## Listing A. 4 training.py

```
import torch.utils.data
import math
import wandb
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from SinGAN.AudioSample import AudioSample
from SinGAN.imresize import imresize
def train(opt,Gs,_Zs,reals,NoiseAmp):
def train(opt, real, Gs, Zs, reals, NoiseAmp):
    in_s = 0
    scale_num = 0
    real = imresize(real__opt.scale l,opt)
    # real.resample_by(opt.scale1)
    real.resample_to_julius(opt.SR_pyr[-1])
    print("new shape", real.data.shape)
    # reals, sr_list = functions.creat_reals_pyramid(real, reals, opt, verbose=False)
    reals = functions.creat_reals_pyramid(real, reals, opt, verbose=False)
    sr_list = opt.SR_pyr
    nfc_prev = 0
    * while scale_num;opt.stop__scale+1: 
    while scale_num ; opt.stop_scale + 1:
        if opt.change_channel_count < 0
            opt.nfc = min(opt.nfc_init * pow(2, math.floor(scale_num / 4)), 128)
            opt.min_nfc = min(opt.min_nfc__nit * pow(2, math.floor(scale_num / 4)), 128)
        opt.out_ = functions.generate_dir2save(opt)
        opt.outf = '%s/%d' (opt.out_, scale_num)}
        try:
            os.makedirs(opt.outf)
        except OSError:
            pass
            pass
        # plt.imsave('%s/in.png' % (opt.out_), functions.convert_image_np(real), vmin=0, vmax=1)
        # plt.imsave('%s/original.png' % (opt.out), functions.convert_image_np(real_), vmin=0, vmax=1)
        # plt.imsave('%s/real_scale.png' % (opt.out), functions.convert_image_np(reals[scale_num]), vmin=0, vmax=1)
        if opt.alt_pyramid_exp & 0:
            level=len(Gs)
```

opt.ker_size=opt.ker_size_pyr[level]
D_curr, G_curr = init_models(opt)

$$
\text { if (nfc_prev }==\text { opt.nfc and opt.alt_pyramid_exp }==0 \text { ): }
$$

G_curr.load_state_dict(torch.load('\%s/\%d/netG.pth' \% (opt.out_, scale_num - 1)))
D_curr.load_state_dict(torch.load('\%s/\%d/netD.pth' \% (opt.out_, scale_num - 1)))
Z_curr, in_s, G_curr = train_single_scale(D_curr, G_curr, reals, sr_list, Gs, Zs, in_s, NoiseAmp, opt)
G_curr = functions.reset_grads(G_curr, False)
G_curr.eval()
D_curr = functions.reset_grads(D_curr, False)
D_curr.eval()
Gs.append(G_curr)
Zs.append(Z_curr)
NoiseAmp.append(opt.noise_amp)
torch.save(Zs, '\%s/Zs.pth' \% (opt.out_))
torch.save(Gs, '\%s/Gs.pth' \% (opt.out_))
torch.save(reals, '\%s/reals.pth' \% (opt.out_))
torch.save(NoiseAmp, '\%s/NoiseAmp.pth' \% (opt.out_))

$$
\text { scale_num += } 1
$$

$$
\text { nfc_prev }=\text { opt.nfc }
$$

del D_curr, G_curr
return
def train_on_audio_resume(opt, real, Gs, Zs, reals, NoiseAmp, in_s):

$$
\text { scale_num }=\text { opt.level_to_resume_at }
$$

\# real.resample_by(opt.scale1)
\#real.resample_to_julius(opt.SR_pyr[-1])
\#print("new shape", real.data.shape)
\# reals, sr_list = functions.creat_reals_pyramid(real, reals, opt, verbose=False)
\#reals = functions.creat_reals_pyramid(real, reals, opt, verbose=False)
sr_list = opt.SR_pyr

$$
\text { nfc_prev }=0
$$

$$
\text { for } \mathrm{x} \text { in reals: }
$$

print(x.device)

$$
\text { while scale_num ; opt.stop_scale + } 1 \text { : }
$$

$$
\text { if opt.change_channel_count } ¿ 0
$$

opt.nfc $=\min ($ opt.nfc_init $* \operatorname{pow}(2$, math.floor(scale_num / 4)), 128)
opt.min_nfc $=\min ($ opt.min_nfc_init * pow(2, math.floor(scale_num / 4)), 128)

opt.out_ = functions.generate_dir2save(opt)
opt.outf $=$ ' $\%$ s/ $/ \%$ d' $\%($ opt.out_, scale_num $)$
\#plt.imsave('\%s/in.png' \% (opt.out_), functions.convert_image_np(real), vmin=0, vmax=1) \#plt.imsave('\%s/original.png' \% (opt.out_), functions.convert_image_np(real_), vmin=0, vmax=1)


Z_curr,in_s, G_curr = train_single_scale(D_curr,G_curr,reals,Gs,Z_Z,in_s,NoiseAmp,opt)
D_curr, G_curr = init_models(opt)
\#TODO: This next line doesn't work for the first scale on resume if change_channel_count is $i 0$
if (nfc_prev $==$ opt.nfc) or opt.change_channel_count $=0$ :
G_curr.load_state_dict(torch.load(' $\%$ s/ $/ \%$ d/netG.pth' $\%$ (opt.out,, scale_num - 1)))
D_curr.load_state_dict(torch.load('\%s/\%d/netD.pth' \% (opt.out., scale_num - 1)))

G_curr = functions.reset_grads(G_curr,False)
Z_curr, in_s, G_curr = train_single_scale(D_curr, G_curr, reals, sr_list, Gs, Zs, in_s, NoiseAmp, opt)

G_curr = functions.reset_grads(G_curr, False)
G_curr .eval()
D_curr = functions.reset_grads(D_curr,False)
D_curr $=$ functions.reset_grads(D_curr, False)
D_curr.eval()

Gs. append (G_curr)
Zs.append (z_curr)
NoiseAmp. append (opt. noise_amp)
torch.save (Zs, '\%s/Zs.pth' \% (opt.out_))
torch.save(Gs, '\%s/Gs.pth, \% (opt.out_))
torch.save(reals, ' $\%$ /reals.pth' $\%$ (opt.out_))
torch.save (NoiseAmp, '\%s/NoiseAmp.pth' \% (opt.out_))
scale_num+=1
scale_num +=1
nfc_prev $=$ opt.nfc
del D_curr,G_curr
del D_curr, G_curr
return
\# On Laptop 100 epochs at first scale takes about 0:49 on debugger. Second scale: On 100 epochs at about 4:45
def train_single_scale(netD, netG, reals, sr_list, Gs, Zs, in_s, NoiseAmp, opt, centers=None):
curr_scale $=$ len(Gs)
if curr_scale $==0$ :
print('It's 0!")
if curr_scale $==1$ :
print('It's 1!")
if curr_scale $==2$ :
print('It's 2!")

```
update_count = 1
curr_sr = sr_list[curr_scale]
real = reals[curr_scale]
opt.nzx = real.shape[2] # +(opt.ker_size-1)*(opt.num_layer)
    opt.nz = real.shape[0]
    opt.receptive_field = int(opt.ker_size ) + ((int(opt.ker_size) - 1)* (int(opt.num_layer) - 1))* int(opt.stride)
    # We aren't going to worry about padding. It's easier to just make it 0 (i.e. do nothing) then
    # completely remove it
    # pad_noise = 0 #int(((opt.ker_size - 1)* opt.num_layer) / 2)
    # pad_image = 0 #int(((opt.ker_size - 1)* opt.num_layer) / 2)
def train_single__scale(netD,netG,reals,Gs,Zs,in_s,_NoiseAmp,opt,centers=None):
    pad_num = int((opt.ker_size - 1) * opt.dilation * opt.num_layer * (1/2))
    real = reals[len(Gs)]
    opt.nzx = real.shape[2]#+(opt.ker_size-1)*(opt.num_layer)
    opt.nzy = real.shape[3]#+(opt.ker_size-1)*(opt.num_layer)
    opt.receptive_field = opt.ker_size + ((opt.ker_size-1)*(opt.num_layer-1))*opt.stride
    pad_noise = int(((opt.ker_size - 1)* opt.num_layer) / 2)
    pad_image = int(((opt.ker_size - 1)* opt.num_layer)/2)
    if opt.mode == 'animation_train':
        opt.nzx = real.shape[2]+(opt.ker_size-1)*(opt.num_layer)
        opt.nzy = real.shape[3]+(opt.ker_size-1)*(opt.num_layer)
        pad_noise = 0
    m_noise = nn.ZeroPad2d(int(pad_noise))
    m_image = nn.ZeroPad2d(int(pad_image))
+ # Was an if then for animation train here I removed
    alpha = opt.alpha
    fixed_noise = functions.generate_noise([opt.nc_z,opt.nzx,opt.nzy],device=opt.device)
    z_opt = torch.full(fixed_noise.shape, 0, device=opt.device)
    z_opt = m_noise(z_opt)
    fixed_noise = functions.generate_noise([opt.nc_z, opt.nzx], device=opt.device)
    # This next line updated to bring it inline with later versions of pytorch by
    # Levi Pfantz on 10/20/2020
    z_opt = torch.full(fixed_noise.shape, 0, dtype=torch.float32, device=opt.device)
    z_opt = AudioSample.static_pad(z_opt, pad_num, opt)
    # setup optimizer
    if len(Gs)== 3 and opt.adjust_after_levels i 0:
        opt.lr_d = 0.0005
    if len(Gs)== 5 and opt.adjust_after_levels < 0
        opt.lr_d = 0.0004
    if len(Gs) == 7 and opt.adjust_after_levels }<0\mathrm{ :
        op.lr.d = 0.0003
```

```
if len(Gs)== 9 and opt.adjust_after_levels < 0
    opt.lr_d = 0.0002
if len(Gs) == 11 and opt.adjust_after_levels i 0:
    opt.lr_d = 0.0001
optimizerD = optim.Adam(netD.parameters(), lr=opt.lr_d, betas=(opt.beta1, 0.999))
optimizerG = optim.Adam(netG.parameters(), lr=opt.lr_g, betas=(opt.beta1, 0.999))
schedulerD = torch.optim.Ir_scheduler.MultiStepLR(optimizer=optimizerD,milestones=[1600],gamma=opt.gamma)
schedulerG = torch.optim.lr_scheduler.MultiStepLR(optimizer=optimizerG,milestones=[1600],gamma=opt.gamma)
schedulerD = torch.optim.Ir_scheduler.MultiStepLR(optimizer=optimizerD, milestones=[1600], gamma=opt.gamma)
schedulerG = torch.optim.lr_scheduler.MultiStepLR(optimizer=optimizerG, milestones=[1600], gamma=opt.gamma)
errD2plot = []
errG2plot = []
D\_real2plot = []
D\_fake2plot = []
z\_opt2plot = []
final_GLoss_last = 100
final_GLoss =0
# On Desktop cpu 100 epochs takes about 2:17
# on 300 epochs in about 14:45
for epoch in range(opt.niter):
    # If this is the first epoch of the first level then z_opt needs to be defined?
    if (Gs == []) & (opt.mode != 'SR_train'):
        z_.opt = functions.generate_noise([1,opt.nzx,opt.nzy], device=opt.device)
        z_opt = m_noise(z_opt.expand(1,3,opt.nzx,opt.nzy))
        noise_= functions.generate_noise([1,opt.nzx,opt.nzy], device=opt.device)
        noise_= m_noise(noise_.expand(1,3,opt.nzx,opt.nzy))
        z_opt = functions.generate_noise([1, opt.nzx], device=opt.device)
        z_opt = z_opt.expand(1, 1, opt.nzx)
        z_opt = AudioSample.static_pad(z_opt, pad_num, opt)
        noise_ = functions.generate_noise([1, opt.nzx], device=opt.device)
        noise_ = noise__expand(1, 1, opt.nzx)
        noise_ = AudioSample.static_pad(noise_, pad_num, opt)
    else :
        noise_= functions.generate_noise([opt.nc__,opt.nzx,opt.nzy], device=opt.device)
        noise_ = m_noise(noise_)
        noise_ = functions.generate_noise([opt.nc_z, opt.nzx], device=opt.device)
        noise_= AudioSample.static_pad(noise_, pad_num, opt)
        ############################
        # (2) Update G network: maximize D(G(z))
        #############################
    for j in range(opt.Dsteps):
    for j in range(opt.steps):
            # train with real
            netD.zero_grad()
            # Very First time on Laptop takes:
            output = netD(real).to(opt.device)
            #D_real_map = output.detach()
```



## \# Calculate RMSE, We'll use it when we calculate the reconstruction loss

```
RMSE \(=\) torch.sqrt(criterion(real, \(z_{\text {_ prev) }}\) )
opt.noise_amp \(=\) opt.noise_amp_init*RMSE
z_prev = m_image(z_prev)
opt.noise_amp \(=\) opt.noise_amp_init \(*\) RMSE
z_prev \(=\) AudioSample.static_pad(z_prev, pad_num, opt)
else :
prev = draw_concat(Gs,Zs,reals,NoiseAmp,in_s, rand',m_noise,m_image,opt)
prev = m_image(prev)
prev = draw_concat(Gs, Zs, reals, sr_list, NoiseAmp, in_s, 'rand', opt)
prev = AudioSample.static_pad(prev, pad_num, opt)
if opt.mode == 'paint_train':
prev \(=\) functions.quant2centers(prev,centers)
plt.imsave('\%s/prev.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(prev), vmin=0, vmax=1)
\# removed an if for paint train
if (Gs == []) \& (opt.mode != 'SR_train'):
noise \(=\) noise.
else
```



```
noise \(=\) opt.noise_amp * noise \(\quad+\) prev
fake \(=\) netG(noise.detach().prev)
fake \(=\) netG(noise.detach(), prev)
if opt.normalize_generator_output \(¿ 0\) :
prenorm_max=torch.max(fake)
prenorm_min=torch.min(fake)
fake \(=\) AudioSample.static_normalize(fake)
output \(=\) netD(fake.detach())
errD_fake \(=\) output. \(\operatorname{mean}()\)
if opt.smooth_fake_labels \(\langle 0\) :
fake_mod=((torch.rand \((1) * 0.5)+0.7)\)
errD_fake \(=\) errD_fake *fake \(\_\)mod
errD \(\backslash\) fake . backward (retain_graph = True)
D_G_z = output.mean().item()
gradient_penalty \(=\) functions.calc_gradient_penalty (netD, real, fake, opt.lambda_grad, opt. device)
gradient_penalty. backward ()
errD \(=\) errD_real + errD_fake + gradient_penalty
optimizerD.step()
temp \(=\operatorname{errD}\)
errD2plot.append(errD.detach())
if opt.update_only_with_lower_Gloss \(==0\) or final_GLoss_last \(i=\) final_GLoss:
if update_count \(\%\) int(opt.steps_to_update) \(==0\) or int(opt.update_every_x \()=0\) : optimizerD.step()
update_count \(+=1\)
```



```
\# (2) Update G network: maximize \(\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{z})\) )
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
```



\# (2) Update G network: maximize $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{z}))$
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
for j in range(opt.Gsteps):
netG. zero_grad ()
output $=$ netD (fake)
\#D_fake_map = output.detach()
\# D_fake_map = output.detach()
errG.backward (retain_graph = True)
if alpha! $=0$ :
loss = nn.MSELoss()
if opt.mode $==$ 'paint_train':

if alpha $!=0$ :
if opt.use_MAE $i 0$ :
loss $=$ nn.L1Loss()
else:
loss = nn.MSELoss()
\# if then for paint_train removed

## Z_opt $=$ opt.noise_amp * z_opt + z_prev

rec_loss $=$ alpha $* \operatorname{loss}\left(\right.$ net $G\left(Z \_\right.$_opt.detach $)$, z_prev), real)
rec_loss.backward (retain_graph=True)
rec_loss $=$ rec_loss. $\operatorname{detach}()$
rec
opt.update_only_with_lower_Gloss ¿ 0:
if update_count ¿ 2 :
final_GLoss_last=final_GLoss
final_GLoss=errG.detach ()$+$ rec_loss
optimizerG.step()
errG2plot.append(errG.detach()+rec_loss)
errD2plot.append(errD.detach())
errG2plot.append(errG.detach() + rec_loss)
D_real2plot. append (D_x)
D_fake 2 plot. append (D_G_z)
_opt2plot.append (rec_loss
if epoch $\% 25==0$ or epoch $==($ opt.niter- 1 ):
if int(opt.wandb) $i 0$ :
if opt.normalize_generator_output ${ }_{6} 0$ :
wandb. $\log (("$ prenom max at scale: " $+\operatorname{str}(\operatorname{len}(G s)))$ : prenorm_max $)$
wandb. $\log (("$ prenom min at scale: $"+\operatorname{str}(\operatorname{len}(G s)))$ : prenorm_min $)$

| wandb. $\log ((" e r r D$ at scale: " $+\operatorname{str}(\operatorname{len}(\mathrm{Gs})))$ : errD.detach()) <br> wandb. $\log ((" e r r G$ at scale: $"+\operatorname{str}(\operatorname{len}(G s)))$ : errG.detach ()$+$ |
| :---: |
| wandb. $\log ($ ("D_real2plot at scale: " $+\operatorname{str}(\operatorname{len}(\mathrm{Gs})$ )): D -x) |
| wandb. $\log ($ ("D_fake2plot at scale: " $+\operatorname{str}(\operatorname{len}(\mathrm{Gs})$ )): D_G_z) |
| wandb. $\log ($ ("z_opt2pot at scale: " $+\operatorname{str}(\operatorname{len}(G s)))$ : rec_loss) |

if epoch \% $25=0$ or epoch $=($ opt.niter -1 ):
print('scale $\%$ \% : [\%d \%d]' $\% ~(l e n(G s), ~ e p o c h, ~ o p t . n i t e r))$
if epoch $\% 500=0$ or epoch $==$ (opt.niter-1):
plt.imsave(' \%ss/fake_sample.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(fake.detach()), vmin=0, vmax=1)
plt.imsave('\%s/G(Z_opt).png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(netG(Z_opt.detach(), z_prev).detach()), vmin=0, vmax=1)
\#plt.imsave('\%s/D_fake.png' \% (opt.out), functions.convert_image_np(D_fake_map))
\#plt.imsave('\%s/D_real.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(D_real_map))
\#plt.imsave(' $\%$ ss/z_opt.png' $\%$ (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(z_opt.detach()), vmin=0, vmax=1)
\#plt.imsave('\%s/prev.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(prev), vmin=0, vmax=1)
\#plt.imsave('\%s/noise.png' \% (opt.out), functions.convert_image_np(noise), vmin=0, vmax=1)
\#plt.imsave('\%s/z_prev.png' \% (opt.out), functions.convert_image_np(z_prev), vmin=0, vmax=1)
if epoch \% $500=0$ or epoch $==($ opt.niter -1$)$ :
if epoch \% $25=0$ or epoch $==($ opt.niter -1$)$ :
\# plt.imsave('\%s/fake_sample.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(fake.detach()), vmin=0, vmax=1)
z_opt_to_save=netG(Z_opt.detach, z_prev).detach
if opt.normalize_before_saving ${ }_{\iota} 1$ :
fake=AudioSample.static_normalize(fake)
z_opt_to_save $=$ AudioSample.static_normalize(z_opt_to_save)
if opt.save_fake_progression ${ }_{i} 0$ :
if epoch $=0$ and not os.path.exists('\%s/savedProgression/' \% (opt.outf)):
os.makedirs('\%s/savedProgression/' \% (opt.outf))
string=opt.outf + '/savedProgression/ $/$ str(epoch $)+{ }^{\prime}$.wav ${ }^{\prime}$
AudioSample.static_save(fake.detach(), curr_sr, string)
AudioSample.static_save(fake.detach(), curr_sr, '\%s/fake_sample.wav' $\%$ (opt.outf))
\# plt.imsave('\%s/G(z_opt).png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(netG(Z_opt.detach(), z_prev).detach()), vmin=0, vmax=1)
AudioSample.static_save(z_opt_to_save, curr_sr, $\%$ \%s/G(z_opt).wav' \% (opt.outf))
\# plt.imsave(' $\%$ s/D_fake.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(D_fake_map))
\# plt.imsave('\%s/D_real.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(D_real_map))
\# plt.imsave('\%s/z_opt.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(z_opt.detach()), vmin=0, vmax=1)
\# plt.imsave('\%s/prev.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(prev), vmin=0, vmax=1)
\# plt.imsave(' $\%$ s/noise.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(noise), vmin=0, vmax=1)
\# plt.imsave('\%s/z_prev.png' \% (opt.outf), functions.convert_image_np(z_prev), vmin=0, vmax=1)
torch.save(z_opt, ' $\backslash \%$ s/z_opt.pth $\backslash \%$ (opt.outf))

| schedulerD.step() |
| :--- |
| schedulerG.step() |
| if opt.use_schedulers \& 0 : |

    schedulerD.step()
    schedulerG.step()
    

```
            z_in = noise_amp * z + G_z
```

            z_in = noise_amp * z + G_z
            G_z= G(z_in.detach(), G_z)
            G_z= G(z_in.detach(), G_z)
            if opt.normalize_generator_output }\mp@subsup{}{6}{}0\mathrm{ :
            if opt.normalize_generator_output }\mp@subsup{}{6}{}0\mathrm{ :
            G_z=AudioSample.static_normalize(G_z)
            G_z=AudioSample.static_normalize(G_z)
            G_z = AudioSample.resample_to_julius_static(G_z, sr_list[count], sr_list[count + 1])
            G_z = AudioSample.resample_to_julius_static(G_z, sr_list[count], sr_list[count + 1])
            if opt.adjust_upsampled i 0:
            if opt.adjust_upsampled i 0:
            if G_z.shape[2] ; real_next.shape[2]:
            if G_z.shape[2] ; real_next.shape[2]:
                    dif = real_next.shape[2] - G_z.shape[2]
                    dif = real_next.shape[2] - G_z.shape[2]
                    G_z = torch.cat((G_z, torch.zeros([1,1,dif], dtype=torch.float32)), dim=2)
                    G_z = torch.cat((G_z, torch.zeros([1,1,dif], dtype=torch.float32)), dim=2)
            # G_z = imresize(G_z, 1 / opt.scale_factor, op t)
            # G_z = imresize(G_z, 1 / opt.scale_factor, op t)
            G_z= G_z[:, :, 0:real_next.shape[2]]
            G_z= G_z[:, :, 0:real_next.shape[2]]
            count += 1
            count += 1
    
# This function uses Z_opt for noise

# This function uses Z_opt for noise

if mode == 'rec':
if mode == 'rec':
count = 0
count = 0
for G,Z_opt,real_curr,real_next,noise_amp in zip(Gs,Z_,reals,reals[1:],NoiseAmp):
for G,Z_opt,real_curr,real_next,noise_amp in zip(Gs,Z_,reals,reals[1:],NoiseAmp):
G_z= G_z[:, , 0:real_curr.shape[2], 0:real_curr.shape[3]]
G_z= G_z[:, , 0:real_curr.shape[2], 0:real_curr.shape[3]]
G_工=m_image(G_z)
G_工=m_image(G_z)
z_in = noise_amp*Z_opt+G_z
z_in = noise_amp*Z_opt+G_z
G_z=G(z_in.detach(),G_z)
G_z=G(z_in.detach(),G_z)
G_z = imresize(G_, 1/opt.scale_factor,opt)
G_z = imresize(G_, 1/opt.scale_factor,opt)
G_z= G_z[..,0:real_next.shape[2],0:real_next.shape[3]]
G_z= G_z[..,0:real_next.shape[2],0:real_next.shape[3]]
\#if count != (len(Gs)-1):
\#if count != (len(Gs)-1):
\# G_z = m_image(G_z)
\# G_z = m_image(G_z)
for G, Z_opt, real_curr, sr_curr, real_next, noise_amp in zip(Gs, Zs, reals, sr_list, reals[1:], NoiseAmp):
for G, Z_opt, real_curr, sr_curr, real_next, noise_amp in zip(Gs, Zs, reals, sr_list, reals[1:], NoiseAmp):
if opt.alt_pyramid_exp \& 0:
if opt.alt_pyramid_exp \& 0:
level = count
level = count
calc_ker_size=opt.ker_size_pyr[level]
calc_ker_size=opt.ker_size_pyr[level]
pad_num = int(((opt.ker_size - 1)* opt.num_layer)/2)* opt.dilation
pad_num = int(((opt.ker_size - 1)* opt.num_layer)/2)* opt.dilation
G_z = G_z[:,:, 0:real_curr.shape[2]]
G_z = G_z[:,:, 0:real_curr.shape[2]]
G_z = AudioSample.static_pad(G_z, pad_num, opt)
G_z = AudioSample.static_pad(G_z, pad_num, opt)
z_in = noise_amp * Z_opt + G_z
z_in = noise_amp * Z_opt + G_z
G_z=G(z_in.detach(),G_z)
G_z=G(z_in.detach(),G_z)
if opt.normalize_generator_output }\mp@subsup{}{6}{}0\mathrm{ :
if opt.normalize_generator_output }\mp@subsup{}{6}{}0\mathrm{ :
G_z=AudioSample.static_normalize(G_z)
G_z=AudioSample.static_normalize(G_z)
G_z= AudioSample.resample_to_julius_static(G_z, sr_list[count], sr_list[count + 1])
G_z= AudioSample.resample_to_julius_static(G_z, sr_list[count], sr_list[count + 1])
if opt.adjust_upsampled i 0:
if opt.adjust_upsampled i 0:
if G_z.shape[2] ; real_next.shape[2]:
if G_z.shape[2] ; real_next.shape[2]:
dif = real_next.shape[2] - G_z.shape[2]
dif = real_next.shape[2] - G_z.shape[2]
G_z = torch.cat((G_z, torch.zeros([1,1,dif], dtype=torch.float32)), dim=2)
G_z = torch.cat((G_z, torch.zeros([1,1,dif], dtype=torch.float32)), dim=2)
\# G_z = imresize(G_z, 1/ opt.scale_factor, opt)
\# G_z = imresize(G_z, 1/ opt.scale_factor, opt)
G_z = G_z[:,:,0:real_next.shape[2]]
G_z = G_z[:,:,0:real_next.shape[2]]
\#Lines that were commented out in the original function removed here
\#Lines that were commented out in the original function removed here
count += 1
count += 1
return G\z
return G\z
...

```
train_single_scale (D_curr, G_curr, reals [: scale_num + 1], Gs [: scale_num], Zs [: scale_num],in_s, NoiseAmp[:scale_num],opt, centers= centers)
z_curr, in_s, G_curr = train_single_scale(D_curr, G_curr, reals \([\) :scale_num + 1 ], Gs[:scale_num],
Zs[:scale_num], in_s, NoiseAmp[:scale_num], opt, centers=centers)
G_curr \(=\) functions.resect_grads(G_curr.False)
G__urr = functions. reset_grads(G_curr, False)
G_curr.eval ()
D_curr \(=\) functions. reseet_grads(D_curr.False)
D_curr \(=\) functions.reset_grads(D_curr, False)
D_curr.eval ()

Gs[scale_num] = G_curr
Zs[scale_num] \(=z_{\text {_ }}\) curr
NoiseAmp[scale_num] = opt.noise_amp
torch.save (Zs, '\%s/Zs.pth' \% (opt.out_))
torch.save(Gs, , \%s/Gs.pth' \% (opt.out_))
torch.save(reals, '\%s/reals.pth' \% (opt.out_))
torch.save (NoiseAmp, '\%s/NoiseAmp.pth ' \% (opt.out_))
scale_num+=1
scale_num \(+=1\)
nfc_prev \(=o p t . n f c\)

\section*{del D_curr,G_curr} del D_curr, G_curr
return
def init_models (opt):
```

    #generator initialization:
    ```
    \# generator initialization:
    netG \(=\) models. GeneratorConcatSkip2CleanAdd (opt).to(opt.device)
    netG.apply (models. weights \(\backslash\) init)
    if opt.netG !=, ,
        netG. load_state_dict(torch.load (opt.netG))
    print (netG)
    \#discriminator initialization:
    \# discriminator initialization:
    netD \(=\) models. WDiscriminator (opt).to (opt.device)
    netD.apply (models. weights_init)
    if opt.netD !=, ,
        netD. load_state_dict(torch.load(opt.netD))
    print (netD)
    return netD, netG

\section*{Listing A. 5 models.py}
import torch.nn as nn
import numpy as np
```

import torch.nn.functional as F
from SinGAN.AudioSample import AudioSample
class ConvBlock(nn.Sequential):
def _init__(self, in_channel, out_channel, ker_size, padd, stride):
def _-init_-(self, in_channel, out_channel, ker_size, padd, stride, batch_norm, dilation, dropout=0,
use_RELU=False):
super(ConvBlock, self).\-\ - init \-\-()
self.add_module('conv', nn.Conv2d(in_channel, out_channel, kernel_size=ker_size, stride=stride, padding=padd)),
self.add_module('norm', nn.BatchNorm2d(out_channel)
self.add_module('LeakyRelu', nn.LeakyReLU(0.2, inplace=True)
self.add_module('conv',
nn.Conv1d(in_channel, out_channel, dilation=dilation, kernel_size=ker_size, stride=stride,
padding=padd)),
\# TODO: Get Batch norm working as an optional parameter
if batch_norm:
self.add_module('norm', nn.BatchNorm1d(out_channel)),
if use_RELU:
self.add_module('Relu', nn.LeakyReLU(inplace=True))
else:
self.add_module('LeakyRelu', nn.LeakyReLU(0.2, inplace=True))
if dropout % 0:
self.add_module('Dropout', nn.Dropout(p=dropout))
def weights_init(m):
classname = m._-class_-.-_name_
if classname.find('Conv2d')!=-1:
if classname.find('Conv1d')!=-1:
m.weight.data.normal_(0.0, 0.02)
elif classname.find('Norm') != -1:
m.weight.data.normal_(1.0, 0.02)
m.bias.data.fill_(0)
class WDiscriminator(nn.Module):
def _-init--(self, opt):
super(WDiscriminator, self).--init-_()
self.is_cuda = torch.cuda.is_available()
N = int(opt.nfc)
self.head = ConvBlock(opt.nc_im, N, opt.ker_size, opt.padd_size, 1)
self.head = ConvBlock(opt.nc_aud, N, opt.ker_size, opt.padd_size, opt.stride, opt.batch_norm,
dilation=opt.dilation)
self.body = nn.Sequential()
for i in range(opt.num\_layer - 2):
N = int(opt.nfc / pow(2, (i+1)))
block = ConvBlock(max(2 * N, opt.min_nfc), max(N, opt.min_nfc), opt.ker_size, opt.padd__ize, 1)
if opt.change_channel_count < 0:
N=int(opt.nfc / pow(2, (i+1)))
else:
N=1
block = ConvBlock(max(2 * N, opt.min_nfc), max(N, opt.min_nfc), opt.ker_size, opt.padd_size, opt.stride,
opt.batch_norm, dilation=opt.dilation, dropout=opt.dropout)
self.body.add_module('block%d` % (i + 1), block)
self.tail = nn.Conv2d(max(N, opt.min_nfc), 1, kernel__size=opt.ker_size, stride=1, padding=opt.padd_size)

```
self.tail \(=\) nn.Conv1d(max(N, opt.min_nfc), 1 , kernel_size=opt.ker_size, stride=1, dilation=opt.dilation,
padding=opt.padd_size)
def forward (self, \(x\) ):
\(x=\operatorname{self} \cdot h e a d(x)\)
\(x=\) self. \(\operatorname{body}(\mathrm{x})\)
\(\mathrm{x}=\operatorname{self.tail(\mathrm {x}}\)
\(\mathrm{x}=\operatorname{self}\).head \((\mathrm{x})\) \# Layer 1
\(\mathrm{x}=\) self.body \((\mathrm{x})\) \# Layers 2-4
\(\mathrm{x}=\) self.tail(x) \# Layer5
return \(x\)
class GeneratorConcatSkip2CleanAdd(nn. Module):
def _-init-_(self, opt):
self.opt \(=\) opt
super (GeneratorConcatSkip2CleanAdd, self).__init_()
self.is_cuda \(=\) torch.cuda.is \(\backslash\)-available ()
\(\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{opt} . \mathrm{nfc}\)
self.head \(=\) ConvBlock(opt.nc_im, N, opt.ker_size, opt.padd_size,
1) \# GenConvTransBlock(opt.nc_z,N,opt.ker_size,opt.padd_size,opt.stride)
self.head \(=\) ConvBlock(opt.nc_aud, N, opt.ker_size, opt.padd_size, opt.stride,
opt.batch_norm, dilation=opt.dilation,
use_RELU=opt.RELU_in_gen) \# GenConvTransBlock(opt.nc_z,N,opt.ker_size,opt.padd_size,opt.stride)
self.body \(=\) nn. Sequential ()
for \(i\) in range (opt. num_layer - 2):
\(N=\operatorname{int}(\) opt.nfc \(/ \operatorname{pow}(2,(i+1)))\)
block \(=\) ConvBlock \((\max (2 * N\), opt.min_nfc \(), \max (\mathrm{N}\), opt.min_nfc \()\), opt.ker_size, opt.padd_size, 1\()\)
if opt.change_channel_count \(<0\) :

else:
\(\mathrm{N}=1\)
block \(=\) ConvBlock(max \((2 * N\), opt.min_nfc), max ( N , opt.min_nfc), opt.ker_size, opt.padd_size, opt.stride,
opt.batch_norm, dilation=opt.dilation, use_RELU=opt.RELU_in_gen)
self.body.add_module ('block\%d, \% (i + 1), block)
self.tail \(=\) nn. Sequential (
nn. Conv2d(max(N, opt.min_nfc), opt.nc_im, kernel_size=opt.ker_size, stride=1, padding=opt.padd_size),
nn.Conv1d(max(N, opt.min_nfc), opt.nc_aud, kernel_size=opt.ker_size, stride=opt.stride,
dilation=opt.dilation, padding=opt.padd_size),
nn. Tanh ()
)
def forward(self, \(x, y):\)
\(x=\) self.head( \(x\) )
\(x=\) self. body \((x)\)
\(x=\) self.tail( \(x\) )
\# These next two lines exist because y may (and seems to often be, at least for images) bigger
\# Then x . This trims off some off from each edge to make them the same size. Assumes it's the same
\# amount bigger in each dimension
ind \(=\) int \(((y . s h a p e[2]-x . s h a p e[2]) / 2)\)
\(y=y[:\), , ind:(y.shape[2] - ind), ind:(y.shape[3] - ind)]
\(y=y[:\), , ind:(y.shape[2] - ind) \(]\)
return \(x+y\)
```

Listing A. }6\mathrm{ manipulate.py
\#This is the relevant part of the file only
def SinGAN_generate(Gs,Zs,reals,NoiseAmp,opt,in_s=None,scale_v=1,scale_h=1,n=0,gen_start__scale=0,num__samples=50):
def SinGAN_generate(Gs, Zs, reals, NoiseAmp, opt, in_s=None, scale_v=1, scale_h=1, n=0, gen_start_scale=0, num_samples=50):
\#if torch.is_tensor(in_s) == False:
if in\_s is None:
in_s = torch.full(reals[0].shape, 0, device=opt.device)
in_s = torch.full(reals[0].shape, 0, dtype=torch.float 32, device=opt.device)
images_cur = []
for G, Z_opt, noise_amp in zip(Gs,Zs,NoiseAmp):
padl = ((opt.ker_size-1)*opt.num_layer)/2
m=nn.ZeroPad2d(int(pad1))
pad1 = int(((opt.ker_size - 1) * opt.num_layer)/2) * opt.dilation
if opt.alt_pyramid_exp ¿ 0:
level = n
calc_ker_size=opt.ker_size_pyr[level]
pad1 = int(((opt.ker_size - 1)* opt.num_layer)/2)* opt.dilation
\#m=nn.ZeroPad2d(int(pad1))
nzx = (Z_opt.shape[2]-pad1*2)*scale_v
nzy = (Z_opt.shape[3]-pad1 *2)*scale_h
\# nzy = (Z_opt.shape[3]-pad1*2)*scale_h
images_prev = images_cur
images_cur = []
for i in range(0,num_samples, 1):
if n == 0:
|__curr = functions.generate_noise([1,nzx,nzy], device=opt.device)
if images_prev == []:
I_prev = m(in_s)
I_prev = AudioSample.static_pad(in_s, pad1,opt)
\#I_prev = m(I_prev)
\#I_prev = I_prev[:,:,0:z_curr.shape[2],0:z_curr.shape[3]]
\#I_prev = functions.upsampling(I_prev,z_curr.shape[2],z_curr.shape[3])
else:
I_prev = images_prev[i]
I_prev = imresize(I_prev,1/opt.scale_factor, opt)

```
```

                    #I_prev = imresize(I_prev,1/opt.scale_factor, opt)
            I_prev = AudioSample.resample_to_julius_static(I__prev, opt.SR_pyr[n-1], opt.SR_pyr[n])
            if opt.mode != "SR":
                I_prev = I_prev[:, :,0:round(scale_v * reals[n].shape[2]), 0:round(scale_h * reals[n].shape[3])]
                I_prev =m(I_prev)
                I_prev = I__prev[.,:,:z__curr.shape[2],0:z_curr.shape[3]]
                I_prev = functions.upsampling(I_prev,___curr.shape[2],z_curr.shape[3])
                I_prev = I_prev[:, :, 0:round(scale_v * reals[n].shape[2])]
                I_prev = AudioSample.static_pad(I_prev, pad1, opt)
                I_prev = I_prev[.,:0:__curr.shape[2]]
                #I_prev = functions.upsampling(I_prev,__curr.shape[2],___curr.shape[3])
                #I_prev = AudioSample.resample_to_julius_static(I_prev, opt.SR_pyr[n - 1], opt.SR_pyr[n])
                if z_curr.shape != I_prev.shape:
                    if I_prev.shape[2] ; z_curr.shape[2]:
                    dif = z_curr.shape[2] - I_prev.shape[2]
                        I_prev = torch.cat((I_prev, torch.zeros([1, 1, dif], dtype=torch.float32)), dim=2)
                else:
            I_prev =m(I_prev)
            I_prev = AudioSample.static_pad(I_prev, pad1, opt)
            if n< gen_start_scale:
                z_curr = Z_opt
            z_in = noise_amp*(z_curr)+1_prev
            z_in = noise_amp*(z_curr)+I_prev #__curr: 582, I_prev: 492
            I_curr = G(z_in. detach(),I_prev)
            if n == len(reals)-1:
            if opt.mode == 'train':
                dir2save = '\%s/RandomSamples/\%s/gen_start_scale=\%d'\ \% (opt.out, opt.input_name[:-4], gen_start_scale)
            else:
                    dir2save = functions.generate_dir2save(opt)
                    if opt.mode == 'random_samples':
                    dir2save ='%s/RandomSamples/%s/gen_start_scale=%d'% (opt.out, opt.input_name[ [-4], opt.gen_start_scale)
            try:
                    os.makedirs(dir2save)
            except OSError:
                    pass
            if (opt.mode != "harmonization") & (opt.mode != "editing") & (opt.mode != "SR") & (opt.mode != "paint2image")
                    plt.imsave('%s/%d.png' % (dir2save, i), functions.convert_image_np(I_curr.detach()), vmin=0,vmax=1)
            #plt.imsave('%s/%d.png' % (dir2save, i), functions.convert_image_np(I_curr.detach()), vmin=0,vmax=1)
                AudioSample.static_save(I_curr.detach(), opt.SR_pyr[-1], '%s/%d.wav' % (dir2save, i))
            #plt.imsave(`\%s\\%d_\%d.png'\% (dir2save,i,n),functions.convert_image_np(I_curr.detach()), vmin=0, vmax
                    =1)
                    #plt.imsave(`\%s/in_s.png' \% (dir2save), functions.convert_image_np(in_s), vmin=0,vmax=1)
            images_cur.append(I_curr)
        n+=1
    return I_curr.detach()
    ```

Listing A. 7 random_samples.py
1 from config import get \_arguments
```

from SinGAN.manipulate import *
from SinGAN.training import ;
from SinGAN.imresize import imresiz
import SinGAN.functions as functions
if __name_- == ',_main_-':
parser = get_arguments()
parser.add_argument('-input_dir', help='input image dir', default='Input/Audio')
parser.add_argument('--input_name', help='input_image_name', required=True)
parser.add_argument('--mode', help='random_samples_|_random_samples_arbitrary_sizes', default='train', required=True)
\# for random_samples:
parser.add_argument('--gen_start_scale', type=int, help='generation_start_scale', default=0)
\# for random_samples_arbitrary\_sizes:
parser.add_argument('_-scale_h', type=float, help='horizontal_resize_factor_for_random_samples', default=1.5)
parser.add_argument('--scale_v', type=float, help='vertical_resize_factor_for_random_samples,, default=1)
opt = parser.parse_args()
opt = functions.post_config(opt)
Gs = []
Zs = []
reals = []
NoiseAmp = []
dir2save = functions.generate_dir2save(opt
opt.SR_pyr=[800, 1600, 2150, 2850, 3825, 5100, 6750, 9000, 12000, 16000, 24000, 32000]

+ if opt.mode == 'random_samples'
dir2save = '%s/RandomSamples/%s/gen_start_scale=%d' % (opt.out, opt.input_name[:-4], opt.gen_start_scale)
else:
dir2save = functions.generate_dir2save(opt)
if dir2save is None
print('task_does_not_exist')
elif (os.path.exists(dir2save))
if opt.mode == 'random_samples':
print('random_samples_for_image_\%s,_start_scale=\%d,_already_exist' \% (opt.input_name, opt.gen_start_scale))
elif opt.mode == 'random_samples_arbitrary_sizes':

```

```

                scale_h, opt.scale_v)
    else:
        try:
            os.makedirs(dir2save)
        except OSError:
            pass
        if opt.mode == 'random_samples'
            real = functions.read_image(opt)
            functions.adjust_scales2image(real, opt)
            inputpath = opt.input_dir + " }/>+\mathrm{ opt.input_name
            real = AudioSample(opt, inputpath, sr=16000)
            functions.adjust_scales2data(real, opt)
            opt.stop_scale = len(opt.SR_pyr) - 1
            Gs, Zs, reals, NoiseAmp = functions.load_trained_pyramid(opt)
            in }s=\mathrm{ = functions.generate _in2coarsest(reals, 1,1,opt)
    ```

\section*{Listing A. 8 functions.py}
```

\#This is the relevant part of the file only

```
```

def generate_noise(size,num_samp=1,device='cuda',ype='gaussian', scale=1):

```
+def generate _noise(size, num _samp=1, device='cuda', type='gaussian', scale=1):
    \#We're gonna start by only modifying gaussian because that seems to be the default
    if type == 'gaussian':
        noise \(=\) torch.randn(num _samp, size[0], round(size[1]/scale), round(size[2]/scale), device=device)
        noise \(=\) upsampling(noise, size[ 1\(]\), size[2])
    if type \(=\) ' 'gaussian_mixure':
            noisel \(=\) torch.randn(num_samp, size[0], size[ [1], size[2], device=device) +5
            noise \(=\) torch.randn(num _samp, size[ 0 ], size[1], size[2], device=device)
            noise \(=\) noisel 1 noise2
            noise = torch.randnn(num_samp, size[0], round(size[1]/ scale), device=device)
            noise \(=\) upsampling(noise, size[1])
    ..".
    if type \(=\) ' 'gaussian_mixture':
            noisel \(=\) torch.randn(num _samp, size[0], size[1], device=device) +5
            noise \(2=\) torch.randnn(num_samp, size[0], size[ [1], device=device)
            noise \(=\) noisel + noise2
    if type == 'uniform':
            noise \(=\) torch.randn(num_samp, size[0], size[1], size[2], device=-device)
            noise \(=\) torch.randn(num_samp, size[0], size[1], device=device)
    ."."
    return noise
```

def np2torch(x, is_not_cuda=False):
x = torch.from_numpy (x)
if not(opt.not_cuda):
if not (is_not_cuda):
x = move_to_gpu(x)
x = x.type(torch.cuda.FloatTensor) if not(opt.not_cuda) else x.type(torch.FloatTensor)
\#x = x.type(torch.FloatTensor)
x=norm(x)
\# FloatTensor is a 32bit float data type. I'm going to recommend all input audio is in 32 bit float.
x = x.type(torch.cuda.FloatTensor) if not (is_not_cuda) else x.type(torch.FloatTensor)
\#x = x.type(torch.FloatTensor)
\# x = norm(x)
return x
def adjust_scales2data(real_,opt):
\#opt.num_scales = int((math.log(math.pow(opt.min_size / (real__shape[2]), 1), opt.scale_factor_init))) + 1
opt.num_scales = math.ceil((math.log(math.pow(opt.min_size / (min(real_shape[2], real_shape[3])),1), opt.scale_factor_init))) +
scale2stop = math.ceil(math.log(min([opt.max_size, max([real_shape[2], real_shape[3]])])/max([real_shape[2], real_shape[3]]),opt.scale_factor_init))

```
def adjust_scales2data(real, opt):
    real \({ }^{-}=\)real.data
    \(\mathrm{sr}=\) real.sr
    \# opt.num_scales \(=\operatorname{int}((\) math. \(\log (\) math.pow \((\) opt.min_size \(/(\) real_.shape[2] \(), 1)\), opt.scale_factor_init \()))+1\)
    opt.num_scales \(=\) math.ceil \(((\) math. \(\log (\) math.pow \((\) opt.min_size \(/(\) real_.shape[2] \(), 1)\), opt.scale_factor_init \()))+1\)
    scale2stop \(=\) math.ceil(math. \(\log (\min ([\) opt.max_size, real_shape[2]] \(/\) real_shape[2], opt.scale_factor_init) \()\)
    opt.stop_scale \(=\) opt.num \(\backslash \mathrm{scales}-\mathrm{scale} 2 \mathrm{stop}\)
    opt.scale \(1=\min (\) opt.max_size \(/ \max ([\) real_shape[2], real_shape[3]]),1) \# \(\min (250 / \max ([\) real_shape[0],real_shape[1]]),1)
    real \(=\) imresize(real_, opt.scalel, opt)
    \#opt.scale_factor = math.pow \((\) opt.min_size \(/(\) real.shape[2] \(), 1 /\) (opt.stop_scale \())\)
    opt.scale_factor \(=\) math.pow \((\) opt.min_size \(/(\min (\) real.shape[2],real.shape[3]) \(), 1 /(\) opt.stop_scale \())\)
    scale2stop \(=\) math.ceil(math. \(\log \left(\min \left(\left[\right.\right.\right.\) opt.max_size, \(\max \left(\left[r e a l \_\right.\right.\)_shape[2], real__shape[3]])]) \(/ \max \left(\left[r e a l \_\right.\right.\)_shape[2], real_shape[3]]),opt.scale_factor_init) \()\)
    opt.scale1 \(=\min (\) opt.max_size \(/\) real_shape[2], 1\() \# \min \left(250 / \max \left(\left[r e a l \_\right.\right.\right.\)_shape[0],real_shape[1]]),1)
    realsize \(=\operatorname{int}(\) sr * opt.scale1)
    \# opt.scale_factor = math.pow \((\) opt.min_size \(/(\) real.shape[2] \(), 1 /(\) opt.stop_scale \())\)
    opt.scale_factor \(=\) math.pow \((\) opt.min_size \(/(\) realsize \(), 1 /\) (opt.stop_scale \())\)
    scale2stop \(=\) math.ceil(math. \(\log \left(\min \left(\left[o p t . m a x \_s i z e, ~ r e a l s i z e\right]\right) /\right.\) realsize, opt.scale_factor_init \(\left.)\right)\)
    opt.stop_scale \(=\) opt.num_scales - scale 2 stop
    return real
    return opt.scale 1, opt.scale_factor

```

    return reals
    def creat_reals_pyramid(real, reals, opt, verbose=False):
reals.append(real.data)
for i in range(0, opt.stop_scale, 1):
curr_sr=opt.SR_pyr[-(1+i)]
new_sr=opt.SR_pyr[-(2+i)]
result=AudioSample.resample_to_julius_static(reals[-1], curr_sr, new_sr)
if result.shape[2] % 2!=0 and opt.make_input_tensor_even c 0:
result = result[:,:,0:result.shape[2] - 1]
reals.append(result)
if verbose:
print("On level:", i, "curr_sr is", curr_sr, "new sr is: ",new_sr, "justed addes shape is: ", reals[-1].shape)
reals.reverse()
if True:
if os.path.exists('Audio_pyramid'):
shutil.rmtree('Audio_pyramid')
os.makedirs('Audio_pyramid')
for x in range(len(reals)):
AudioSample.static_save(reals[x], opt.SR_pyr[x], 'Audio_pyramid/%s.wav' % (str(x)))
return reals
def creat_reals_pyramid_torch(real, reals, opt, verbose=False):
sr_list=[]
for i in range(0, opt.stop_scale +1, 1):
scale = math.pow(opt.scale_factor, opt.stop_scale - i)
curr_real = real.clone() \# for some reason curr_real = real.clone().resample_by(scale) causes a bug..
curr_real.resample_by(scale)
reals.append(curr_real.data)
sr_list.append(curr__real.sr)
if verbose:
print("On level:", i, "New scale is", scale, "new sr is: ",curr_real.sr, "curr_real.shape is: ",, curr_real.data.shape)
return reals, sr_list

```

\section*{Listing A. 9 AudioSample.py}
```

import torchaudio

```
import torch
import julius
class AudioSample:
    def __init_(self, opt, path, clone=False, data=None, sr=None):
        self.opt \(=\) opt
        self.path = path
        if not clone
            if opt.audio_backend == "soundfile"
                self.data, self. sr = torchaudio.load(path, normalization=opt.norm)
            elif opt. audio_backend \(==\) "sox_io"
                self.data, self.sr = torchaudio.load(path, normalize=opt.norm)
```

    if not opt. not_cuda and torch.cuda.is_available():
        self.data = self.data.to(torch.device('cuda'))
    # Data is stored in a tensor of shape l (batch size) x audio channels x width (floats in channel)
    self.data = self.data[0].view(1, 1, -1)
    if self.sr != sr:
        self.resample_to_julius(sr)
        if self.data.shape[2] % 2 != 0 and opt.make_input_tensor_even > 0:
        self.data=self.data[:,:,0:self.data.shape[2]-1]
    else:
        self.data = data
        self.sr = sr
    @staticmethod
def static_save(data_in, sr_in, path_in):
data_in = data_in.to(torch.device('cpu'))
torchaudio.save(path_in, data_in.view(1, -1), sr_in, 32)
@staticmethod
def static_pad(data_in, pad_each_side_by, opt):
if opt.pad_with_noise < 1:
part1 = torch.zeros(1, data_in.shape[1], pad_each_side_by, dtype=torch.float32)
part2 = part1
else
if opt.not_cuda > 0:
device = 'cpu'
else:
device = 'cuda
part1 =torch.randn(1, data_in.shape[1], pad_each_side_by, device=device)
part2 = torch.randn(1, data_in.shape[1], pad_each_side_by, device=device)
data_in = torch.cat((part1, data_in, part2), dim=2)
\# data_in=torch.cat((data_in, partl), dim=2)
return data_in
@staticmethod
def resample_to_julius_static(data_in, sr_in, new_sr):
return julius.resample_frac(data_in, sr_in, new_sr)
def resample_to_julius(self, target):
self.data = julius.resample_frac(self.data, self.sr, target)
self.sr = target
def resample_by(self, scale):
saved_shape = self.data.shape
if saved_shape[1] == 1:
newsr = int(self.sr * scale)
self.data = (torchaudio.transforms.Resample(orig_freq=self.sr, new_freq=newsr)(self.data)[0, 0, :]).view(1,
\# Things are mostly only setup for one channel. This is the exception
elif saved_shape[1] == 2:

```
```

            newsr = int(self.sr * scale)
            chan1 = (torchaudio.transforms.Resample(orig_freq=self.sr, new_freq=newsr)(self.data)[0, 0, :]).view(1, 1,
                                    -1)
            chan2 = (torchaudio.transforms.Resample(orig_freq=self.sr, new_freq=newsr)(self.data)[0, 1, :]).view(1, 1,
                                    -1)
            self.data = torch.cat(chan1, chan2, dim=1)
        self.sr = newsr
    @staticmethod
def static_normalize(data_in):
factor=torch.max(torch.abs(data_in))
if factor > 1:
out = data_in/factor
return out
else :
return data_in
def clone(self):
return AudioSample(self.opt, self.path, clone=True, data=self.data, sr=self.sr)

```
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Project GitHub: https://github.com/LPfantz/AudioSinGAN
    ${ }^{2}$ Audio Samples: https://sites.google.com/view/audiosingan

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ DataSet: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1oHtNeX1whK9kJaOBwYOm0F2dRmDRxLK5? usp=sharing

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Audio Samples: https://sites.google.com/view/audiosingan

